

1 **Testing Mantle Convection Simulations with Paleobiology and**
2 **Other Stratigraphic Observations: Examples from Western**
3 **North America**

4 **Victoria M. Fernandes^{1,2}, Gareth G. Roberts², Fred Richards²**

5 ¹GFZ Potsdam, Helmholtz Zentrum, Telegrafenberg, 14473 Potsdam, Germany

6 ²Department of Earth Science and Engineering, Imperial College London, South Kensington Campus, SW7 2AZ, UK.

7 **Key Points:**

- 8 • Large-scale digital inventories of stratigraphic observations used to test predictions from man-
9 tle convection simulations.
10 • Useful diagnostics include net uplift and subsidence, absolute elevations, paleo-water depths.
11 • Upper mantle thermo-mechanical evolution—crucial for assessing sub-plate support—constrained
12 using stratigraphy.

Corresponding author: V.M. Fernandes & G.G. Roberts, milanez@gfz-potsdam.de,
gareth.roberts@imperial.ac.uk

Abstract

Mantle convection plays a fundamental role in driving evolution of oceanic and continental lithosphere. In turn it impacts a broad suite of processes operating at or close to Earth's surface including landscape evolution, glacio-eustasy, magmatism and climate. A variety of theoretical approaches now exist to simulate mantle convection. Outputs from such simulations are being used to parameterise models of landscape evolution and basin formation. However, the substantial body of existing simulations has generated a variety of conflicting views on the history of dynamic topography, its evolution and key parameters for modelling mantle flow. The focus of this study is on developing strategies to use large-scale quantitative stratigraphic observations to assess model predictions and identify simulation parameters that generate realistic predictions of Earth surface evolution. Spot measurements of uplift or subsidence provide useful target observations but are often controlled by tectonic processes, yet avoiding areas where tectonics have influenced vertical motions is challenging. To address this issue, we use large inventories of stratigraphic data from across North America with contextual geophysical and geodetic data to constrain the regional uplift and subsidence history. We demonstrate that a suite of fairly typical simulations struggle to match the amplitude, polarity and timing of observed vertical motions. Building on recent seismological advances, we then explore strategies for understanding patterns of continental uplift and subsidence that incorporate (and test) predicted evolution of the lithosphere, asthenosphere and deep mantle. Our results demonstrate the importance of contributions from the uppermost mantle in driving vertical motions of continental interiors.

1 Introduction

1.1 Overview

Mantle convection is generally accepted as an important driver of horizontal and vertical lithospheric motions (i.e., uplift and subsidence; *Pekeris, 1935; McKenzie & Jarvis, 1980; Parsons & Daly, 1983; Hager & Richards, 1989; Gurnis et al., 2000; Hoggard et al., 2021*). In turn, lithospheric motion in response to mantle convection appears to play a key role in driving diverse geological, geomorphological, glacio-eustatic and climatic processes (e.g., *Austernamm et al., 2015; Salles et al., 2017; Stephenson et al., 2019; Richards et al., 2023*). As such, there is considerable interest in developing reliable means to determine the history of mantle convection and its role in dictating the history of Earth's surface. An important challenge is to produce or identify data of sufficient quality and breadth such that histories of mantle convection can either be directly constrained, or used to test the fidelity of geodynamic simulations. In this contribution, we explore the use of substantial, digital, inventories of stratigraphic and paleobiological data to test vertical motion histories predicted by models of mantle convection. In our view, stratigraphic observations are the least equivocal constraints on histories of lithospheric vertical motion available and provide a crucial test of geodynamic simulations.

It is generally accepted that mantle convection is expressed in geological and geomorphological processes including the generation of topography (e.g., *Parsons & Daly, 1983; Richards & Hager, 1984; Hager & Richards, 1985*), creation of accommodation space in sedimentary basins (e.g., *Lodhia et al., 2018; Morris et al., 2020*), changes in sea-level (e.g., *Moucha et al., 2008*), flooding of continental interiors and shoreline deformation (e.g., *Rowley et al., 2013; Rovere et al., 2015*). It also appears to determine the planform and relief of continental drainage patterns (e.g., *Cox, 1989; Roberts et al., 2012; Czarnota et al., 2014; Faccenna et al., 2019; Lipp & Roberts, 2021*). In turn it likely impacts many related phenomena operating at, or close to, Earth's surface, including climate (*Conway-Jones & White, 2022*). Constraining amplitudes and histories of dynamic topography across scales of interest is clearly crucial for understanding Earth's surface evolution. For mantle convection, these scales include wavelengths, λ , set by the elastic strength of plates ($O(10-10^2)$ km), to global scales (spherical harmonic degree, $l = 2$, $\lambda = O(10^4)$ km; *Müller et al., 2018; Ghelichkhan et al., 2021; Holdt et al., 2022*). Methodologies to constrain sub-plate support sit in two broad categories.

First, geological, geophysical and geomorphological observations have been used to constrain modern and historic dynamic topography at specific localities (e.g., *Fernandes et al., 2019; Morris*

64 *et al.*, 2020; *Ball et al.*, 2021; *Holdt et al.*, 2022). The clearest observational guide to amplitudes, wave-
65 lengths and spectral power of modern dynamic topography arise from fitting spherical harmonic func-
66 tions to oceanic age-depth measurements (e.g., *Hoggard et al.*, 2020; *Valentine & Davies*, 2020; *Holdt*
67 *et al.*, 2022, strictly, models are fit to residuals from calibrated oceanic plate cooling histories).

68 The second suite of methodologies use geodynamic simulators to predict dynamic topography
69 across the scales of interest (e.g., *McKenzie et al.*, 1974; *Hager & Richards*, 1989; *Gurnis*, 1988; *Bunge*
70 *& Baumgardner*, 1995; *Flament et al.*, 2013; *Colli et al.*, 2016; *Müller et al.*, 2018; *Ghelichkhan et*
71 *al.*, 2021). This approach, which now often makes use of geological (e.g., plate motion histories) and
72 geophysical (e.g., seismic tomography) observations and theory to prescribe model properties (e.g.,
73 conversion of acoustic velocities into density), provides means to explore theoretical expressions and
74 sensitivities of dynamic topography to calculated mantle evolution (e.g., *Flament et al.*, 2013; *Müller*
75 *et al.*, 2018). There now exists a considerable body of mantle convection simulations, a few of which
76 have been compared to independent geological observations at specific localities (e.g., New Jersey
77 Margin, North America; *Flament et al.*, 2013) and to global power spectra of ocean age-depth resid-
78 uals (*Hoggard et al.*, 2016). Model predictions, such as uplift and subsidence, have recently been cou-
79 pled with models of lithospheric and landscape evolution to predict development of drainage net-
80 works and sedimentary basins (e.g., *Salles et al.*, 2017; *Faccenna et al.*, 2019; *Wang et al.*, 2020; *Ding*
81 *et al.*, 2023).

82 Assessing sub-plate support of continents is generally more challenging than for oceans. The
83 variability and complexity of continental lithosphere rheology, structure, and density tend to make
84 extricating patterns of dynamic topography fraught (see, e.g., *Hoggard et al.*, 2021; *Stephenson et*
85 *al.*, 2021). Nonetheless, assessing predictions, and changes, of continental sub-plate support has re-
86 cently become considerably more tractable due to the improved availability of stratigraphic data (e.g.,
87 Macrostrat, Paleobiology Database). These data can be combined with geophysical observations (e.g.,
88 seismology; gravity anomalies) as well as other geological (e.g., magmatic) and geomorphological ob-
89 servations to constrain geometries and histories of sub-plate support (e.g., *Fernandes & Roberts*, 2021).
90 As an example, in this paper we focus on Western North America, where stratigraphic data is dense
91 and well documented. Additionally, a large body of magmatic and geophysical data is available to
92 test predictions of mantle convection simulations. A considerable body of information regarding con-
93 textual tectonic histories (e.g., crustal shortening, extension and plate flexure) also exists, which is
94 crucial for extricating signals of sub-plate support from geological observations (e.g., *DeCelles*, 1994).

95 Available stratigraphic observations include paleo-water depth information recorded in the Pa-
96 leobiology Database (PBDB), developed by the paleobiological community, and stratigraphic data
97 that record transitions from marine to terrestrial deposition (e.g., *Hintze and Kowallis*, 2009). These
98 data have the important benefit of requiring few corrections, bar minor, relatively well constrained
99 adjustments for compaction and denudation (see, e.g., *Fernandes & Roberts*, 2021). There are of course
100 many other indirect constraints on lithospheric vertical motions including thermochronometry, pal-
101 ynology, isotopes, histories of sedimentary flux, and landform geometries, which can be used to fill
102 in spatio-temporal stratigraphic gaps (e.g., *Flowers et al.*, 2008; *Huntington et al.*, 2010; *Galloway*
103 *et al.*, 2011; *Flowers et al.*, 2012; *Flowers and Farley*, 2012; *Roberts et al.*, 2012; *Chamberlain et al.*,
104 2012; *Blum and Pecha*, 2014; *Fernandes et al.*, 2019). However, we think it is important to make
105 a distinction between information provided from such approaches and the more direct constraints
106 on elevation, uplift and subsidence that arise from interrogating the stratigraphic archive.

107 In the following sections, first, existing geological constraints on the history of vertical motions
108 of the North American Western Interior are summarised. Secondly, predictions of dynamic topog-
109 raphy from mantle circulation models are compared to geological observations. We identify model
110 parameterizations that best match geological observations. Thirdly, geophysical observations of the
111 upper mantle are combined with isostatic models to explore asthenospheric and lithospheric con-
112 tributions to uplift in the region. We note that evolution of the uppermost convecting mantle and
113 lithosphere are sometimes not included in global geodynamic simulations, including those assessed
114 in this paper. The isostatic models we develop make use of seismological and stratigraphic obser-
115 vations to explore contributions to North American topography from asthenospheric thermal anoma-
116 lies and modification of lithospheric depletion and structure. Finally, we quantify uncertainties and

117 sensitivities via Monte Carlo error propagation, and discuss the implications of findings for assess-
 118 ing predictions of dynamic topography from geodynamic models.

119 1.2 Global observations of sub-plate support

120 Potential field and seismological observations combined with magmatism, geomorphology and
 121 stratigraphy have been used to quantify modern sub-plate support and histories of dynamic topog-
 122 raphy (e.g., *Gurnis*, 1990; *Gurnis et al.*, 2000; *Roberts et al.*, 2012; *Klöcking et al.*, 2018; *Stephenson*
 123 *et al.*, 2019; *Ball et al.*, 2021). The relative simplicity and consistency of oceanic lithospheric
 124 structure and rheologies, combined with increasingly tightly constrained thermal histories, make it
 125 well suited for identifying signals of sub-plate support. For example, the age and isostatically cor-
 126 rected basement depths of oceanic basins have been used to measure residuals from ocean plate cool-
 127 ing models with amplitudes up to $O(1)$ km (e.g., *Menard*, 1969; *Crough*, 1983; *Panasjuk & Hager*,
 128 2000; *Hoggard et al.*, 2016; *Richards et al.*, 2020; *Valentine & Davies*, 2020). A recent, extensive,
 129 compilation of measurements across all oceanic basins indicates that residual surface deflections are
 130 measurable to wavelengths at least as low as ~ 900 km (spherical harmonic degree 40; *Holdt et al.*,
 131 2022). These near global-scale compilations of residual topography paint a detailed picture of the
 132 present-day state of dynamic topography along continental margins and within oceanic basins. The
 133 power spectra of oceanic residual depths indicate that both deep mantle flow and shallower processes,
 134 likely involving interaction between the asthenosphere and lithosphere, are fundamental for gener-
 135 ating Earth’s dynamic surface response. Deep mantle flow primarily contributes to dynamic topog-
 136 raphy at long wavelengths ($\sim 10^4$ km), and shallower processes control shorter wavelength contri-
 137 butions (10^2 – 10^3 km; *Parsons & Daly*, 1983; *Colli et al.*, 2016; *Richards et al.*, 2020). As an exam-
 138 ple, consider Atlantic oceanic lithosphere abutting eastern North America, which has residuals of
 139 up to ± 1 km (*Holdt et al.*, 2022). Backstripping of stratigraphy preserved along this passive mar-
 140 gin indicates that sub-plate drawdown generated 500–900 m of water-loaded subsidence during the
 141 last ~ 20 Ma (*Morris et al.*, 2020).

142 Global compilations of melt fractions of young (Neogene-Quaternary) mafic rocks and upper
 143 mantle shear wave velocity anomalies have recently been shown to be broadly negatively correlated
 144 (*Ball et al.*, 2021). For example, mafic samples in western North America are concentrated atop slow
 145 upper mantle shear-wave velocity anomalies. Many continental regions underlain by relatively slow
 146 upper mantle shear wave velocity anomalies, including Western North America, are also associated
 147 with uplifted marine rock (*Fernandes et al.*, 2019). Such stratigraphy, preserved atop continental
 148 interiors and on fringing sedimentary basins, provides opportunities to constrain histories of sub-
 149 plate support (*Fernandes & Roberts*, 2021). Elevated Quaternary marine terraces and warped shore-
 150 lines provide the least equivocal constraints on vertical lithospheric motions, but are limited to the
 151 more recent geological past (e.g., *Austermann et al.*, 2017). Older paleo-water depth indicators pre-
 152 served in the marine rock record can be used to extend these constraints further into the geologi-
 153 cal past (e.g., *Dickinson et al.*, 1988; *Bessin et al.*, 2017). A general challenge is generating obser-
 154 vations at sufficient scale and density to directly constrain histories of sub-plate support at $O(10^2$ –
 155 $10^3)$ km wavelengths. Building on the methodologies developed by *Ziegler et al.* (1985), *Sahagian*
 156 (1988) and *Rowley et al.* (2013), *Fernandes & Roberts* (2021) generated an inventory of 24,372 mea-
 157 surements of Late Cretaceous to Recent net uplift. These measurements were generated by combin-
 158 ing records of Cretaceous to Recent marine rock documented in the Paleobiology Database (PBDB)
 159 with estimates of elevation, paleobathymetry, compaction, and global sea-level change. The distri-
 160 bution of recorded marine rock is of course not uniform across all continents. Nonetheless, most con-
 161 tinentals have stratigraphic observations preserved in sedimentary basins and atop uplifted topogra-
 162 phy that are suited to testing predictions of geodynamic models.

163 1.3 Geodynamic modelling

164 A variety of methodologies exist to retrodict mantle convection. A widely used approach in-
 165 verts mantle structure derived from seismological (tomographic) models of Earth’s interior, and can
 166 incorporate supplementary information (e.g., plate motion histories). Methods for prescribing tem-
 167 perature, density and viscosity fields, and also surface velocities, that drive mantle convection sim-
 168 ulations vary (see e.g., *Hager & Richards*, 1985; *Gurnis*, 1990; *Forte*, 2000; *Forte et al.*, 2007; *Forte*

169 *et al.*, 2009; Forte *et al.*, 2010; *Spasojević & Gurnis*, 2012; *Flament et al.*, 2013; *Müller et al.*, 2018;
 170 *Ghelichkhan et al.*, 2021). As such, associated models have differing predictive capabilities. Some
 171 constrain the present-day state of the mantle and its rate of change, whilst those generated using
 172 adjoint or data assimilation methods make predictions of how dynamic topography has changed in
 173 the geological past (see, e.g., *Ghelichkhan et al.*, 2021). We note that a significant number of man-
 174 tle convection simulations do not include the shallowest 200–350 km of the mantle in part because
 175 of the computational challenges associated with accurately modelling large changes in viscosity be-
 176 tween the lithosphere and asthenosphere, uncertain lithospheric structure and rheologies, and dif-
 177 ficulty of accurately incorporating boundary conditions on numerical simulations. This approach makes
 178 it challenging to ascertain the role the dynamic shallow mantle plays in generating topography. Given
 179 that simulations of mantle convection are often extremely computationally expensive, we think it
 180 is prudent to develop strategies to identify existing simulations that are consistent with available
 181 observations.

182 Here, we focus on testing predictions generated by *Müller et al.* (2018) because they examined
 183 a diverse range of parameterisations, and made their predictions available for testing. We use their
 184 outputs in a plate reference frame. A focus of this study is on examining whether contributions from
 185 the uppermost convecting mantle and lithosphere, which might include isostatic effects associated
 186 with heating asthenosphere and changes in lithospheric mantle thickness or density, are crucial for
 187 generating observed vertical lithospheric motions (e.g., *Colli et al.*, 2016). First, we explain why West-
 188 ern North America was chosen to test model predictions.

189 2 North American dynamic topography: Observations and theory

190 2.1 Geophysical and stratigraphic framework

191 A considerable body of geophysical and geological data across North America constrains the
 192 present-day state of its crust, lithospheric and sub-lithospheric mantle, and therefore isostatic con-
 193 tributions to topography, with higher fidelity than most places on Earth. These include, but are not
 194 limited to USArray-derived seismic velocities of the crust and mantle, active source seismology, grav-
 195 ity anomalies and associated estimates of elastic thickness and dynamic support, and stratigraphic
 196 observations (see, e.g., *Crough*, 1983; *Laske et al.*, 2013; *Buehler & Shearer*, 2016; *Fernandes & Roberts*,
 197 2021; *Hoggard et al.*, 2021). Additionally, isotopic paleoaltimetry, thermochronometry, sedimentary
 198 flux histories, mafic melting histories, and geomorphic observations and theory provide contextual
 199 information about the evolution of North American topography and sub-plate support (see, e.g., *Fer-*
 200 *nan- des et al.*, 2019, for summary). Inverse modelling of longitudinal river profiles provides one way
 201 to fill spatio-temporal gaps between spot measurements (e.g., *Roberts et al.*, 2012; *Fernandes et al.*,
 202 2019). Nonetheless, disentangling tectonic (e.g., shortening, extension) and sub-lithospheric con-
 203 tributions to elevations is especially fraught along the western continental margin where tectonic pro-
 204 cesses and terrane accretion have dominated its recent geological history. Instead, we focus on Cre-
 205 taceous to Recent stratigraphy in the North American Western Interior (Figure 1).

206 In our view, the least equivocal, most direct, constraints on histories of North American ver-
 207 tical lithospheric motion arise from the distribution of ancient marine rock (e.g., *Ziegler et al.*, 1985).
 208 Biostratigraphic information contained within these rocks (e.g., age, paleo-water depth) provide cru-
 209 cial clues about the elevation of Earth’s surface at specific times, typically when it is at, or close to,
 210 sea-level. By combining these data with their compaction and denudation histories, spot measure-
 211 ments of post-deposition uplift can be generated for substantial tracts of North American topogra-
 212 phy (*Fernandes & Roberts*, 2021). The present-day elevation of marine fossil assemblages recorded
 213 in the PBDB, for example, provide constraints on post-Cretaceous net uplift in the Great Plains, Rocky-
 214 Mountains-Colorado-plateaux, Basin and Range, and Interior Lowlands (Figure 1). In addition, strati-
 215 graphic sections throughout North America record facies transitions from marine to non-marine de-
 216 positional environments, which can be used to calculate precise rates of net uplift (e.g., *Hintze and*
 217 *Kowallis*, 2009; *Hampson*, 2010). Building on the body of stratigraphic observations generated by
 218 *Crough* (1983), *Ziegler et al.* (1985) and others, *Fernandes et al.* (2019) compiled 339 locations where
 219 such stratigraphic transitions are observed in outcropping stratigraphy of the North American West-
 220 ern Interior. Stratigraphy from these locations typically feature as part of well-established chronos-

221 stratigraphic frameworks that include radiometric dating (*Obradovich & Cobban, 1975; Cobban et*
 222 *al., 2006; Merewether et al., 2011; Merewether & McKinney, 2015; Lynds & Slattery, 2017*). Thick-
 223 nesses and distributions of Cretaceous rocks from outcrop and well data (e.g., Mancos Shale) are
 224 of special importance because of their role in defining when marine conditions likely last prevailed
 225 across large tracts of the continental interior (*Bond, 1976; Cross and Piliger, 1978; Roberts & Kirschbaum,*
 226 *1995; Li & Aschoff, 2022*).

227 The subsidence history of the region is also well documented from well and outcrop data. Tec-
 228 tonic processes (lithospheric shortening, extension, plate flexure) evidently generated Cretaceous to
 229 Recent accommodation space and uplifted sedimentary rock (e.g., in the Basin and Range province,
 230 Rio Grange Rift, Sevier-Laramide piggy-back basins and forelands; *Cross and Piliger, 1978; Cross,*
 231 *1986; Dickinson et al., 1988; Dickinson, 2004*). For example, during Cretaceous flooding > 12 km
 232 of sediment was deposited in small, isolated, probably flexural basins (e.g., *Roberts & Kirschbaum,*
 233 *1995*). Nonetheless, the distribution of uplifted marine rock and subsidence patterns indicate that
 234 such tectonic processes cannot explain $O(1)$ km subsidence at horizontal scales > 1000 km. Instead,
 235 mantle convective drawdown likely played an important role in generating accommodation space at
 236 these scales (e.g., *Mitrovica et al., 1989; Pang & Nummedal, 1995*). In this paper we explore how
 237 such observations of net vertical lithospheric motion can be used to assess predictions from geody-
 238 namic models.

239 **2.2 Quantifying net vertical motions from stratigraphy**

240 **2.2.1 Uplift**

241 We quantify uplift using the data compilations of marine fossil assemblages with a known paleo-
 242 water depth, and stratigraphic markers of the youngest outcropping transition between marine and
 243 non-marine depositional environments presented in *Fernandes et al. (2019)* and *Fernandes & Roberts*
 244 *(2021)*. Together, these constraints comprise > 2000 spot measurements of net uplift. Regressive
 245 stratigraphic sequences were identified from published facies interpretations (e.g., *Roberts & Kirschbaum,*
 246 *1995; Johnson et al., 2002; Gani et al., 2015*), and ages were tied using published regional chronos-
 247 tratigraphy (Figure 1D; *Obradovich & Cobban, 1975; Cobban et al., 2006; Merewether et al., 2011;*
 248 *Merewether & McKinney, 2015; Lynds & Slattery, 2017*). Particular care was taken to identify lat-
 249 eral and temporal variability in the depositional facies sequences. Depositional environments, inter-
 250 preted using fossil assemblages, were converted to paleo-water depth using the paleo-bathymetric
 251 model described by *Fernandes & Roberts (2021, Figure 1E)*. Elevations and paleo-sea level ranges
 252 were extracted from ETOPO1 and the compilation of *Bessin et al. (2017)*, respectively.

253 A demonstration of the methodology for estimating net uplift from fossil assemblages is shown
 254 in Figure 2. This figure shows net uplift of a fossil assemblage from the Book Cliffs Utah corrected
 255 for paleo-water depth, sea-level and compaction. Net dynamic topography extracted from Model M2
 256 of *Müller et al. (2018)* is also included to illustrate our approach to extracting commensurate (in
 257 time) predictions.

258 The spatial and temporal distribution of paleo-biological data provide constraints on the mag-
 259 nitude of net uplift experienced at each location, and paleo-elevation of the surface at the time of
 260 deposition. This information constrains both the absolute paleo-elevation of the region, as well as
 261 the magnitude and polarity of net post-deposition vertical motion. Using such inventories of geo-
 262 logical observations means that suites of spot measurements can be used to identify vertical motions
 263 associated with changes in elevation at the scales predicted by mantle convection models (e.g., $>$
 264 1000 km; i.e., larger than deflections determined by flexural rigidity of the lithosphere). The fossil
 265 and stratigraphic dataset we make use of covers 3500×2350 km, with a sample density of ~ 1 sam-
 266 ple per km in the densest regions (Figure 1D).

267 There are a variety of means to assess long wavelength contributions to measured uplift (e.g.,
 268 fitting spherical harmonic functions to spot measurements; *Hoggard et al., 2016*). Given that we fo-
 269 cus on a single continental region, a simple way to do so is to fit splines to spot measurements. We
 270 seek gridded surfaces that require the least smoothing of data and allow the amplitude of measure-
 271 ments to be preserved (Figure 1). The resultant grid was filtered using a 1000-km low-pass Gaus-

272 sian filter and is shown in Figure 3A. A histogram showing the difference between the grid and spot
 273 measurements of uplift is shown in Figure 3B. The difference between the smooth surface and spot
 274 measurements is less than ± 200 m for $> 65\%$ of the spot measurements, which we attribute to large-
 275 scale, > 1000 km, post-deposition uplift. Small-scale deviations from the large-scale pattern of up-
 276 lift are generally associated with small-scale faulting generated principally during Laramide defor-
 277 mation and basin-forming tectonic events (see, e.g., *Dickinson et al.*, 1988; *DeCelles*, 2004). Grids
 278 generated using alternative low-pass cut-offs are shown in Supplementary Figure S1.

279 2.2.2 Subsidence

280 Additional constraints on the vertical motions of Western North America were provided by the
 281 subsidence history of the Western Interior Basin. Basin stratigraphy recorded in individual wells has
 282 been flexurally backstripped to reveal a spatially and temporally variable subsidence pattern (see
 283 Supplementary Figures S3-5; *Liu et al.*, 2014). However, individual wells do not constrain the his-
 284 tory of subsidence on a sufficiently large scale to accurately reflect the broader geodynamic history
 285 of the basin. In order to expand this dataset to larger spatial scales, following *Mitrovica et al.* (1989),
 286 we calculate subsidence by backstripping existing regional isopach maps. First, isopach maps from
 287 *Roberts & Kirschbaum* (1995) were digitised using QGIS software. The published isopach figures for
 288 each time slice were geo-referenced, and contour lines were individually traced to create a vector map
 289 of the contour lines where the thickness value of each line is an attribute. Next, using GRASS func-
 290 tionalities, the vector map was rasterized by creating a 10×10 minute grid, where each grid cell
 291 is either a contour line cell (containing the sediment thickness value), or a fill cell. Using the `r.surf.cont`
 292 functionality, a linear interpolation between contour intervals was performed using a flood fill algo-
 293 rithm. Gridding by fitting a regularised spline with tension was also tested, however this method-
 294 ology produced unwanted data artefacts in regions where the contour lines were too closely spaced.
 295 The best-fit isopach maps are shown in Supplementary Figure S3.

296 Air-loaded subsidence histories were calculated by backstripping the isopach maps. Admittance
 297 between gravity and topography, and their associated coherence, indicates that the elastic thickness
 298 of the lithosphere, T_e , beneath the North American Western Interior is < 40 km and as low as \sim
 299 10 km atop the Colorado Plateau (*Stephenson et al.*, 2014; *Audet*, 2014). These results suggest that
 300 it is reasonable to assume isostasy prevails when backstripping. The isopach maps were decompacted
 301 using the compaction parameterisation of *Athy* (1930). We assumed a compaction wavelength $\lambda =$
 302 2 km and initial porosity $\phi_o = 0.6$, typical for sandstones (*Sclater & Christie*, 1980). Minimum and
 303 maximum subsidence was calculated by assuming 0 and 3 km thickness of post-Cretaceous sediment
 304 was preserved atop Cretaceous rock, respectively. Maximum thickness of post-Cretaceous rocks were
 305 estimated from an average thicknesses preserved in boreholes and outcrops in the area (e.g., *Hintze*
 306 *and Kowallis*, 2009). In order to compare the subsidence histories with dynamic topography pre-
 307 dictions, decompacted subsidence was isostatically air-loaded assuming a density of sedimentary rock,
 308 $\rho_s = 2400 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$. The resultant grids of calculated subsidence are shown in Supplementary Fig-
 309 ure S4 and are summarised for specific localities of interest in Figure 6. These maps are in broad
 310 agreement with previous studies, providing a large-scale spatio-temporal history of subsidence (see
 311 *Cross and Piliger*, 1978; *Mitrovica et al.*, 1989). The pattern and magnitude of subsidence predicted
 312 from this simple method was compared to subsidence estimates for the same time intervals gener-
 313 ated from backstripping of data from individual wells (colored circles in Figure 6; *Liu et al.*, 2014).
 314 There is generally a good agreement between the well data and the subsidence grids, both in the spa-
 315 tial distribution and the magnitude of subsidence.

316 The results suggest that parts of the westernmost portion of the study region, i.e., the Basin
 317 and Range and Colorado Plateau, experienced subsidence due to lithospheric thinning and loading.
 318 Backstripping of well data predict a higher magnitude of subsidence in the Late Campanian, which
 319 is likely due to a combination of grid smoothing and choice of compaction parameterisation. We now
 320 have a body of uplift and subsidence data from across Western North America with which we can
 321 test predictions from geodynamic simulations of mantle convection.

3 Testing histories of dynamic topography from mantle convection simulations

3.1 Introduction

A substantial body of published mantle convection simulations predict dynamic topography of Western North America (see, e.g., Forte et al., 2007; Liu et al., 2008; Moucha et al., 2008; Forte et al., 2009; Flament et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2014; Rovere et al., 2015; Müller et al., 2018; Davies et al., 2023). We focus on testing predictions of dynamic topography from the eight models presented by Müller et al. (2018). Their paper describes their methodologies in depth and clearly explains challenges and potential pitfalls in comparing models predictions to independent geologic observations. An important issue they discuss, which we address below, is exclusion of the upper few hundred kilometres of the Earth from calculations of dynamic topography. In short, they test different parameterisations including variations in viscosity, thermal expansivity, density, activation energies and initial conditions. They also test models that contain plumes and models in which plume formation is suppressed. The models can be placed into three categories based on their parameterisations, each of which make broadly similar predictions: M1, M2–M4 and M5–M7. In model M1 mantle flow is computed by a backwards integration of the temperature field wherein the direction of gravity and plate motions are reversed (Liu et al., 2008; Spasojević & Gurnis, 2012). The other models are driven by forward thermal convection with plate velocities applied as surface boundary conditions using a modified version of CitcomS (Müller et al., 2018). The main difference between the M2–M4 and M5–M7 families of models lies within their viscosity structures; M5–M7 models have mantle viscosities that are lower by $O(10-10^2)$, and a much larger viscosity contrast between the lithosphere and asthenosphere (asthenosphere viscosity being $500\times$ lower, when compared to $10\times$ lower in models M2–M4). For all models, average model resolution is $50\times 50\times 15$ km at Earth’s surface. Predicted dynamic topography and its incremental change for each model is shown in the Supplementary Information.

Examples of predicted net change in dynamic topography from each category are shown in Figure 4A–C. Grids of calculated net dynamic topography were generated by subtracting values from a specific time in the past (e.g., 90, 80, 70 or 60 Ma) from present-day values (see, e.g., Figure 4A). By doing so we can compare changes in predicted dynamic topography to net uplift or subsidence recorded by stratigraphy. Each group of models generated significantly different predictions of the history, spatial distribution and amplitude of dynamic topography. We compare absolute elevations and net vertical motions recorded by stratigraphy to predictions of net dynamic topography.

3.2 General insights from testing geodynamic predictions using geologic observations

Müller et al. (2018) compared their dynamic topographic predictions to power spectra of ocean-age depth residuals and to paleo-geographic maps generated by, e.g., Smith et al. (1994) and Heine et al. (2015). Most of the models over-predict power calculated from ocean-age depth residuals at spherical harmonic degrees 1–2 (wavelengths $\sim 2.2\pm 0.6\times 10^4$ km; see their Figure 5), despite having excised the top 300 km of the upper mantle containing strong long-wavelength anomalies. Models M5–7 most closely match spectra of residual depth anomalies at degrees 2–3 ($\lambda = 1.4 \pm 0.3 \times 10^4$ km), but under-predict power at higher degrees. Models M1–4 more closely match at degrees 3–5 ($\lambda = 0.9 \pm 0.2 \times 10^4$ km). In other words, none of the models match the entire power spectrum of ocean age-depth residual at degrees 1–5, but some do a reasonable job at specific scales. Paleo-geographic maps were also used to identify regions submerging or emerging from beneath sea-level at given time periods. These data were used to constrain the polarity of vertical lithospheric motions (i.e., uplift or subsidence). Their preferred model, which most closely matches continental inundation by seaways, is the forward geodynamic simulation M7, which suppresses plumes. We explore whether amplitudes and net change in vertical lithospheric motion provide additional useful information to discriminate between models. In this study, we test the model predictions using geological observations in three ways.

First, amplitude and polarity of net dynamic topographic change since the Late Cretaceous are compared to spot measurements from the PBDB augmented by 339 spot measurements that tightly constrain the timing of transition from marine to terrestrial conditions. We select eight specific lo-

calities with different tectonic and lithospheric settings across the continent to demonstrate our approach (Figure 1). The seven geodynamic models predict net uplift for most of the North American Western Interior since the late Cretaceous, however the pattern and amplitude of uplift significantly vary between models. As an example of the diversity of model predictions, consider Figure 4D–G, which shows calculated dynamic topography in Alberta, Manitoba, Utah and New Mexico (see Figure 4A). Predicted net dynamic topography was extracted at the same location and for the same duration as each PBDB and stratigraphic constraint (see, e.g., Figure 2).

Second, predictions of the absolute amplitude of dynamic topography in the Late Cretaceous are compared to paleo-water depth estimates from the PBDB and additional stratigraphic constraints. Air-loaded predictions of dynamic topography from the geodynamic models (D_{air}) were isostatically corrected to a water-load (D_w),

$$D_{air} = D_w \frac{\rho_a}{\rho_a - \rho_w} \approx 1.43 D_w \quad (1)$$

where ρ_a and ρ_w is the density of asthenospheric mantle and water, respectively. To extract predicted water-loaded dynamic topography at a specific time (e.g., the time of deposition of the fossil assemblage in Figure 2, 81.22 Ma) the discrete time steps (e.g., 80, 90 Ma) of Müller *et al.* (2018) were linearly interpolated (see blue line in Figure 2D). Thus, predicted dynamic topography at the location and time of deposition of each fossil assemblage was extracted and compared to the observed paleo-bathymetry (interpreted from the fossil record).

Finally, the history of Late Cretaceous dynamic topographic vertical motions are compared to the subsidence history of the Western Interior Basin. Figure 6 shows the Late Cretaceous vertical motions at four key locations (shown in Figure 4A). For each location, the first panel shows paleo-water depth of fossil assemblages located within a 50 km radius of dynamic topography predicted by the geodynamic models. The second panel shows the predicted net change in dynamic topography for each Late Cretaceous stage: Cenomanian, Turonian, Santonian–Coniacian, lower Campanian, upper Campanian and Maastrichtian. The final panel shows the range of isopach thicknesses within a 50 km radius of the point, isostatic subsidence from backstripping the isopach maps of Roberts & Kirschbaum (1995), and a subsidence curve from Liu *et al.* (2014). In all cases, the continuous subsidence of the Western Interior Basin in the Late Cretaceous requires that the net vertical motion of the surface is negative. However, with the exception of point 8, located in the eastern-most edge of the Western Interior Basin, all models predict dynamic uplift of the North American Western Interior in the Late Cretaceous.

In the following subsections we summarise the specific parameterisations, described in detail by Müller *et al.* (2018), and predictions from each group of models. The fidelity of predicted dynamic topography is then assessed by comparison to the inventory of stratigraphic data.

3.3 Geodynamic Model M1

Model M1 uses the plate reconstruction of Gurnis *et al.* (2012), and is equivalent to the hybrid model of Spasojević & Gurnis (2012, their model *M2*). The global mantle temperature field at present-day is estimated using tomographic inversions of different seismic phases (surface and body waves using the S20RTS model in the lower mantle, and Benioff zone seismicity for the upper mantle). The temperature field is back-integrated using the backward integration method of Liu & Gurnis (2008). A hybrid paleo-buoyancy field is generated by merging the calculated backward-advected mantle temperature field with synthetic subducted slabs assimilated into the model based on location of subduction zones (Spasojević & Gurnis, 2012). The model has a Rayleigh number, $Ra = 7.5 \times 10^7$. Air-loaded dynamic topography is calculated with a no-slip surface boundary condition, with the top 250 km of mantle removed from the calculation. The power spectra of dynamic topography predictions show that model M1 has the highest power at spherical harmonic degree $l = 1 - 3$ for all examples considered by Müller *et al.* (2018). It predicts highest amplitudes of dynamic topography at wavelengths $> 10,000$ km. Comparisons between observed and predicted net vertical motions since 85 ± 5 , 75 ± 5 , 65 ± 5 and < 60 Ma are shown in Figure 5A. For each scatter plot, a

linear regression was fit using a least-squares residual misfit calculation and 99% confidence interval. Model M1 tends to under-predict net uplift of Cretaceous to earliest Cenozoic marine rocks. Observed and predicted paleo-water depths for model M1 are shown in Figure 5E–H, along with a least-squares regression fit through the data. The range of predicted Cretaceous to Cenozoic water-loaded elevations is somewhat larger than those constrained by biostratigraphic data. However, we note that many of the points coincide with the 1:1 line within error.

3.4 Models M2–M4

Models M2–M4 are characterised by initial conditions that include a 113 km thick basal thermochemical layer above the core-mantle boundary (CMB) that is 4.2% denser than ambient mantle, which acts to suppresses plume formation. This dense basal layer has the same thickness as the lower thermal boundary layer. They explore the consequences of assuming constant thermal expansion and temperature-dependent viscosity. The models have a $Ra = 7.8 \times 10^7$. Model M2 uses the plate reconstruction of *Seton et al. (2012)*, and is equivalent to Model 3 from *Müller et al. (2016a)*. Model M3 uses the plate reconstruction of *Müller et al. (2016a)* and is equivalent to Model 2 described in *Müller et al. (2016a)*. Model M4 uses the relative plate motions of *Müller et al. (2016a)*, absolute plate velocities of *Van der Meer et al. (2010)*, and is equivalent to model (case) 24 from *Flament et al. (2017)*.

For models M2–4 (and M5–7), dynamic topography is calculated from surface vertical stress resulting from mantle flow in restarts of the model in which the surface boundary condition is free-slip and top 350 km is removed from the calculations. Lateral viscosity variations are preserved in the rest of the mantle. The power spectra of predicted dynamic topography from all models show that for models M1–M4 the highest power resides at spherical harmonic degree $l = 2$.

The amplitude of observed net uplift is best matched by models M2, M3 and M4 (see Figure 10C). These models predict a large amplitude drawdown at ~ 90 Ma, ranging from -1.5 km to -4 km, and subsequent net uplift to ~ 0 km at present day. Uplift is highest in the West (Arizona, Colorado, Wyoming) with a smooth decrease to the East (Illinois, Wisconsin). If all of the uplift was dynamic in origin, these results would suggest that the present-day topography of the North American Western Interior may not be dynamically supported, but instead owes its history of vertical motions to recovery from Cretaceous drawdown caused by subduction of the Farallon slab (*Spasojević & Gurnis, 2012*). As such, any additional sources of vertical motions would be negligible. Models M2–M4 predict as much as 1.5 km of uplift near the Colorado Plateau (near the Utah–Colorado border) during Santonian–Coniacian times (83.6–89.8 Ma). Conversely, the stratigraphic record indicates water-loaded subsidence of $\sim 100 - 500$ m and up to 1.5 km of sediment accumulation during this time. As far as we are aware, there is no evidence for a widespread stratigraphic unconformity that might be expected to have been generated as a consequence of 1.5 km of regional uplift during this time.

M2–M4 predict up to ~ 1 km of water-loaded Cretaceous subsidence, with a sharp uplift pulse (with magnitude up to 1.5 km) between 90–70 Ma that is not observed in the paleo-water depth record. In general the amplitude of elevations predicted from the geodynamic models (up to -4 km) overestimate observed, mostly neritic, O(10) m, paleo-water depths.

3.5 Models M5–7

Models M5–M7 are based on examples presented in *Hassan et al. (2015)* and *Barnett-Moore et al. (2017)*. Plumes are present in models M5–6 due to a 100 km thick, 2.5% denser basal thermochemical layer above the core-mantle boundary that is thinner than the basal thermal boundary layer (300 km). Like models M2–M4, M7 contains a 113 km basal thermochemical layer above the core-mantle boundary made of 10% denser than ambient mantle, which suppresses plume formation. All models assume a mantle adiabat, where thermal expansion is set to decrease with depth (i.e., background mantle temperature is depth-dependent). They have an initial potential temperature of 1252°C and a temperature drop of 952°C between thermal boundary layers. Viscosity variation with temperature is parameterized using Arrhenius laws. The models incorporate viscous dis-

472 sipation and adiabatic heating, internal radiogenic heating, and have a $Ra = 5 \times 10^8$. Model M5
 473 is equivalent to model *M3* developed by *Hassan et al.* (2015) and incorporates the plate reconstruc-
 474 tion of *Seton et al.* (2012), with modifications for the Arctic from *Shephard et al.* (2013), and mod-
 475 ified absolute plate motions from *Shephard et al.* (2014). Model M6 uses plate reconstructions of *Müller*
 476 *et al.* (2016a) and *Müller et al.* (2016b) and is equivalent to model *C1* of *Barnett-Moore et al.* (2017).

477 Models M5–M7 have highest power at degree $l = 1$. Power drops off by more than an order
 478 of magnitude between $l = 3$ and 5. Models M5–M7 significantly under-predict the amplitude of
 479 net uplift. Nor can these models fully explain the large-scale surface tilt of the North American West-
 480 ern Interior. Conversely, the absolute amplitudes of models M5–M7 tend to match observed paleo-
 481 water depth within error. An important consideration is that sediment infill of accommodation space
 482 generated by dynamic drawdown could result in the maintenance of shallow paleo-water depths. This
 483 hypothesis can be investigated using the history of basement subsidence and sediment accumula-
 484 tion in the Western Interior Basin (e.g., Figure 6).

485 3.6 Summary of predicted dynamic topography and independent observations

486 The predictions of dynamic topography from models M1–M7 are successful at recreating the
 487 broad patterns of uplift and drawdown of the North American Western Interior. Similarly, they have
 488 been shown to agree with broad patterns of continental inundation estimated using the fossil record
 489 (*Müller et al.*, 2018). However, some substantial discrepancies between the geological record of large-
 490 scale vertical motions and predictions from geodynamic models exist. For instance, predicted dy-
 491 namic topography is inconsistent with amplitudes and polarities of net post-Cretaceous vertical mo-
 492 tions in the North American Western Interior (Figures 4–6). Similarly, predicted paleo-bathymetries
 493 of the Western Interior Basin also tend to be discrepant (Figure 4). It is intriguing that models that
 494 predict paleo-bathymetry with some fidelity tend to be poor predictors of net changes in vertical mo-
 495 tions, and vice versa (e.g., Figure 5). As *Müller et al.* (2018) discuss, an obvious source of discrep-
 496 ancy between observations and model predictions is excising contributions from the lithosphere and
 497 uppermost convecting mantle (uppermost 250–350 km) from predictions of vertical motions, which
 498 is common practice in such studies (see, e.g., Section 3.1). Thus, in the rest of this paper we explore
 499 whether the discrepancies between model predictions and observations can be explained by evolu-
 500 tion of North American upper mantle.

501 4 Assessing Shallow Mantle Contributions to Modern Topography

502 It is well known that uplift and subsidence at, or close to, Earth’s surface are often dominated
 503 by the thermal and structural evolution of the lithosphere (e.g., *Watts*, 2001). Changes in astheno-
 504 spheric buoyancy via thermal expansion also appear to be important contributors to observed pat-
 505 terns of uplift (*Parsons & Daly*, 1983; *Rudge et al.*, 2008; *Hartley et al.*, 2011; *Ghelichkhan et al.*,
 506 2021). Surface response kernels indicate that the shallow mantle (< 400 km) exerts a key role in
 507 controlling evolution of Earth’s topography (*Parsons & Daly*, 1983; *Colli et al.*, 2016; *Richards et*
 508 *al.*, 2020). Calculated sensitivity kernels indicate that the convecting mantle directly beneath the
 509 lithosphere-asthenosphere boundary produces the strongest contributions (from the convecting man-
 510 tle) to isostatic topography (e.g., *Parsons & Daly*, 1983; *Colli et al.*, 2016; *Ghelichkhan et al.*, 2021).
 511 These results are supported by instantaneous flow models, which show, first, that topographic def-
 512 lections caused by internal buoyancy anomalies have amplitudes that are inversely proportional to
 513 the depth of the anomaly (*Hager & Richards*, 1989). Secondly, they indicate that amplitudes of to-
 514 pography most closely approximate those expected for full isostatic compensation when they are gen-
 515 erated by buoyancy variations in the uppermost mantle (asthenosphere and lithosphere). One of the
 516 key outstanding challenges is being able to “see through” isostatic contributions from the crust and
 517 shallow mantle to test predictions from geodynamic models of mantle convection. Here, we first sum-
 518 marise geophysical and geochemical observations that indicate shallow mantle contributions to the
 519 modern elevation of the North American Western Interior. Simple isostatic calculations are then used
 520 in conjunction with those insights to estimate contributions to net vertical motions of Cretaceous
 521 and younger marine rock from excess asthenospheric temperatures and changes to lithospheric struc-
 522 ture.

4.1 Geophysical features of shallow North American mantle

Seismic shear-wave velocities (V_S) are sensitive to temperature in the upper mantle and provide a useful guide to the thermal state of the lithosphere and asthenosphere beneath continents (e.g., *Schutt & Leshner, 2006*). Figure 7A shows a slice at 125 km depth through the SL2013sv shear wave velocity model and the location of Late Cretaceous to Recent mafic magmatism (*Fitton et al., 1991; Walker et al., 2006; Roy et al., 2009; Schaeffer & Lebedev, 2014; Klöcking et al., 2018*). Shear wave velocities show a distinctive spatial pattern, being slow in the west and fast in the east, separated by an undulating boundary. Slow velocities broadly coincide with the location of Late Cretaceous to Recent magmatism, giving complimentary indications of anomalously warm asthenosphere and thin lithospheric mantle (*Ball et al., 2021*).

To quantify the role of upper mantle temperatures in generating vertical lithospheric motions, the SLNAAFSA V_S tomography model of *Hoggard et al. (2020)*—a hybrid which embeds high-resolution regional models for North America (SL2013NA; *Schaeffer & Lebedev, 2014*), Africa (AF2019; *Celli et al., 2020*) and the South Atlantic (SA2019; *Celli et al., 2020*) within the global SL2013sv model of *Schaeffer & Lebedev (2013)*—is converted to temperature using the methodology of *Richards et al. (2020)*. This approach incorporates recent experimental parameterisations of anelasticity at seismic frequencies (*Yamauchi & Takei, 2016*), allowing the highly non-linear relationship between V_S and temperature at near-solidus conditions (e.g., within the asthenosphere) to be accurately constrained. Our best-fitting anelastic parameters for this model differ slightly from those quoted in *Hoggard et al. (2020)*, due to our use of an updated oceanic lithospheric cooling model (*Richards et al., 2020*, instead of *Richards et al., 2018*). The parameters controlling the unrelaxed shear modulus, μ_U , are $\mu_0=75.91$ GPa, $\partial\mu/\partial T = -0.01794$, and $\partial\mu/\partial P = 2.538$ (see *Richards et al., 2020*, their Equation 8), while those controlling the steady-state diffusion creep viscosity and proximity to the solidus are $\eta_0 = 9.538 \times 10^{22}$ Pa s, $E_a = 4.889 \times 10^5$ J mol⁻¹, $V_a = 6.26 \times 10^{-7}$ m³ mol⁻¹, and $\partial T_s/\partial z = 0.9309^\circ\text{C km}^{-1}$ (see *Richards et al., 2020*, their Equations 9 & 11). Note that this parameterisation is only valid in the upper 400 km of the mantle, since calibrated material properties are appropriate for an olivine-dominated composition and do not account for phase transitions. In addition, the uppermost 50 km is excluded from our analysis to avoid errors associated with downward bleeding of crustal velocities to lithospheric depths, a problem that is particularly pronounced in continental interiors and leads to unrealistically elevated temperature predictions at shallow depths.

Calculated temperatures for the 125 km depth slice are shown in Figure 7B, and other depth slices are shown in the Supporting Information (Figure S5). As expected, temperatures follow the patterns of the V_S tomography model, with hottest potential temperatures in the west beneath the Basin and Range and Colorado Plateau reaching upwards of $\sim 1445^\circ\text{C}$. Mantle temperature estimates can be used to map the depth to the lithosphere-asthenosphere boundary (LAB), defined here by the 1200°C isotherm (white dashed line in Figure 7B; Figure S6), which delineates a region of thinner lithosphere in the west. Note that defining the LAB by the 1300°C isotherm, as has been done in other studies (*Afonso et al., 2016*), does not significantly change the observed thickness or location of significant gradients in thickness (white solid line in Figures 7B).

Figure 7C shows lithospheric thickness as defined by the 1200°C isotherm, filtered to include only spherical harmonic degrees smaller than $l = 60$ (wavelengths < 660 km; the unfiltered LAB is included in the Supporting Information, Figure S7). This filtering approach seeks to remove small-scale features that might be a consequence of artefacts in the underlying SLNAAFSA tomographic model. Comparison of mantle temperature and LAB depths to the observed net uplift shows that most of the uplift since the Late Cretaceous has occurred atop lithosphere that is thin compared to the interior of the continent and atop asthenosphere that is relatively warm (cf. Figures 1, 3 & 7D). The most prominent anomaly is centred on the protuberance of thicker lithosphere beneath the Colorado-Utah-Wyoming state borders, where uplift is high yet the lithosphere is thick. This region also coincides with a spatial gap in magmatism, and may indicate a more melt-depleted and viscous lithosphere.

Figure 8 shows cross-sections of topography, mantle temperatures and lithospheric thicknesses from west to east (see Figure 7B for locations). Topographic data was extracted from the SRTM90 dataset (*Jarvis et al., 2008*). Mantle temperatures and lithospheric thicknesses were calculated in

576 this study (Figure 7). Crustal thicknesses were extracted from the PnUS model (Buehler & Shearer,
 577 2016). Coloured circles and squares show the present-day elevation of PBDB and stratigraphic up-
 578 lift constraints, respectively. They are shown atop 100 km-wide swath profiles of elevation (see Fer-
 579 nandes & Roberts, 2021). Crustal thicknesses (black dashed lines) do not exceed 50 km and show
 580 no significant variation below topographic gradients. Assuming crustal composition does not vary
 581 significantly laterally, changes in crustal thickness alone cannot be used to explain the topographic
 582 gradient (Roberts et al., 2012; Klöcking et al., 2018; Levandowski et al., 2018). On the other hand,
 583 lithospheric and asthenospheric temperatures show significant lateral variation, and consequently
 584 so does lithospheric thickness. All cross sections show an increase in lithospheric thickness to the
 585 east, accompanied by a drop in surface elevations. The magnitude of lithospheric thickness and to-
 586 pographic change, however, varies significantly from north to south. Cross section X–X', crossing
 587 the Northern Rocky Mountains, shows LAB depth increasing from ~ 125 km to ~ 225 km from east
 588 to west, concomitant with a change in the mean elevation of ~ 1.6 km. Moving south to cross sec-
 589 tion Z–Z', LAB depth increases from ~ 80 km beneath the Southern Rocky Mountains to ~ 200 km
 590 beneath the eastern Great Plains, accompanied by an elevation difference of ~ 2.8 km. Elevations
 591 between the Colorado Plateau and the Southern Rockies also have similar changes in shallow man-
 592 tle temperatures and elevations.

593 The correspondence between upper mantle temperatures and changes in surface elevation sug-
 594 gests that the uppermost mantle may have played an important role in controlling the evolution of
 595 topography in the North American Western Interior. We thus combine these geophysical observa-
 596 tions with simple isostatic models to quantify the contributions of mantle temperature and chang-
 597 ing lithospheric thickness to the uplift history of the North American Western Interior.

598 4.2 Topographic support from asthenospheric thermal anomalies

599 We first assess contributions to topographic support from an asthenospheric channel, h , that
 600 sits directly beneath the lithosphere (see Supporting Figure S8). Absolute asthenospheric temper-
 601 atures, T , are calculated using the SLNAAFSA tomographic model and the V_S to T conversion scheme
 602 summarised in Section 4.1 (Figures 7–8). Prior to calculating support, absolute temperatures are
 603 converted into potential temperatures. We do so because absolute temperatures vary as function of
 604 depth, z , (strictly pressure), and we are interested in isolating contributions from thermal anom-
 605 lies. Potential temperature, T_p is related to absolute temperature, T , such that

$$T_p = (T + 273) \exp\left(-\frac{\alpha g z}{C_p}\right) - 273, \quad (2)$$

606 where thermal expansivity $\alpha = 3.3 \times 10^{-5} \text{ K}^{-1}$, gravitational acceleration $g = 10 \text{ m s}^{-2}$, heat
 607 capacity $C_p = 1187 \text{ J K}^{-1} \text{ kg}^{-1}$. Calculated temperatures are used to estimate excess potential
 608 asthenospheric temperatures, ΔT_p . They are calculated by taking the difference between the mean
 609 T_p for the layer and the background, here $T_p^o = 1333^\circ\text{C}$. Support (strictly, uplift relative to refer-
 610 ence mantle), U —assuming isostasy prevails—can then be calculated as

$$U = \frac{h\alpha\Delta T_p}{1 - \alpha T_p^o}. \quad (3)$$

611 Note that calculated support is relatively insensitive to the choice of assumed background temper-
 612 ature (see, e.g., Rudge et al., 2008). Inspection of Equation 3 shows that support is sensitive to chang-
 613 ing the thickness of the anomalously hot layer and excess temperature; doubling either doubles the
 614 support. We acknowledge that the thickness of the asthenospheric channel h can be defined in dif-
 615 ferent ways. Mean excess temperatures for different definitions are given in the Supplementary In-
 616 formation (Figure S8). Estimated support for layers thicker than ~ 100 km are likely unreliable be-
 617 cause the isostatic approximation underpinning Equation 3 becomes less valid and predicted topog-
 618 raphy begins to significantly overestimate the true amplitude of asthenospheric contribution to dy-
 619 namic topography. Table 1 summarises the sensitivity of calculated support to each parameter in
 620 Equation 3 for unit adjustments. Sensitivity of all model parameters is explored in Figure 9, which
 621 we return to later after lithospheric isostatic calculations are introduced.

622 We can now use stratigraphic data (Figure 3) and mantle temperatures derived from V_S to-
 623 mography (Figure 7) to investigate whether the observed elevation of marine rock could be a con-

Table 1. Support (strictly uplift relative to reference mantle) from heating an asthenospheric layer beneath the lithosphere with thickness $z_L = 200\text{km}$ and background (reference mantle) potential temperature $T_p^\circ = 1333^\circ\text{C}$ by 1°C .

Parameter	Reference value	δ	ΔU (km)	%
h (km)	100	± 1	3.66×10^{-5}	1.01
T_p° ($^\circ\text{C}$)	1333	± 1	1.30×10^{-7}	3.6×10^{-3}
z_L (km)	200	± 1	1.04×10^{-6}	0.029

624 sequence of support by asthenospheric heating. First, marine rock elevations were (spatially) aver-
 625 aged in $1 \times 1^\circ$ bins, which approximates the resolution of the shear wave tomography model. For
 626 each binned set of elevations, excess potential temperature within a 100 km thick layer beneath the
 627 base of the lithosphere was extracted from the T_p grid (Figure 7D; Supplementary Information). Binned
 628 elevations as a function of asthenospheric potential temperature are shown in Figure 10A, with the
 629 physiographic province of each measurement indicated by colour (see Figure 1B for locations and
 630 colours). The distribution of elevations is broadly uniform between 0.5 and 2 km. The distribution
 631 of potential temperatures is unimodal, with cool mantle temperatures in the shallowest 100 km be-
 632 neath the lithosphere (mostly $1200 < T_p < 1300^\circ\text{C}$), with respect to assumed background man-
 633 tle temperature $T_p = 1333^\circ\text{C}$. Few locations beneath the Colorado Plateau and Basin and Range
 634 have calculated shallow mantle potential temperature anomalies exceeding 1333°C , in agreement with
 635 estimates of potential temperatures for the region from basalt geochemistry (Klöcking *et al.*, 2018).

636 Equation 3 can be used to explore whether observed elevations can be explained by astheno-
 637 spheric heating alone. The solid black line in Figure 10A shows support (strictly uplift, Equation
 638 3) predicted from heating a 100 km thick asthenospheric layer located immediately beneath the lithosphere-
 639 asthenosphere boundary. The isostatic calculation predicts no more than 500 m of uplift from a layer
 640 with $T_p = 1450^\circ\text{C}$ if heating from a background mantle potential temperature of 1333°C is assumed.
 641 If the background mantle temperature is assumed to be significantly cooler, e.g., 1200°C , maximum
 642 predicted uplift is still less than 1 km. These results indicate that isostatic support from heating of
 643 even an anomalously cool asthenospheric mantle alone cannot explain the 2–3 km of observed el-
 644 evations. At most, ~ 1 km of uplift of the Colorado Plateau and Basin and Range can be explained
 645 by warming of initially cool asthenospheric mantle. Other obvious contributors to isostatic support
 646 are lithospheric thicknesses and densities. Thus, in the following subsection we assess the consequences
 647 of thermally equilibrated and disequilibrated lithosphere for generating observed patterns of uplift.

648 4.3 Relations between the lithosphere and elevation of marine stratigraphy

649 We examine contributions from thermally equilibrated and disequilibrated lithosphere with a
 650 view to assessing the range of topographic support that lithospheric mantle could provide to topog-
 651 raphy. We discuss their geologic implications, with regards to histories of lithospheric thinning, in
 652 Section 5. Assuming isostasy prevails, support as a function of thermally equilibrated lithospheric
 653 thinning, $U(\Delta t_L)$, is given by

$$U(\Delta t_L) = \frac{1}{\rho_a} [t_{L_i}(\rho_{L_i} - \rho_a) + t_{TBL_i}(\rho_{TBL_i} - \rho_a) + (t_{L_i} - \Delta t_L)(\rho_a - \rho_{L_f}) + t_{TBL_f}(\rho_a - \rho_{TBL_f})] \quad (4)$$

654 where t_{L_i} and is the initial lithospheric thickness, t_{TBL_i} and t_{TBL_f} are the initial and final thick-
 655 ness of the thermal boundary layer, respectively. Density of asthenospheric mantle is given by ρ_a ,
 656 and the initial and final densities of lithospheric mantle and thermal boundary layer are given by
 657 ρ_{L_i} , ρ_{L_f} , ρ_{TBL_i} , ρ_{TBL_f} , respectively. The depth to the base of the thermal boundary layer, z_{TBL} ,
 658 is defined as a linear function of the thickness of the lithosphere, and is given by

$$z_{TBL} = (1.678z_{L1}) - 5.472, \quad (5)$$

where z_{L1} is the depth to the base of the lithosphere. This relationship is derived by analysing the correspondence between the depth to the 1200°C isotherm inferred from V_S -derived geothermal profiles and the depth to the base of the thermal boundary layer obtained by fitting steady-state geotherms to these V_S -based temperature predictions (see Text S3 and Equation S1 in *Hazzard et al., 2023*).

In an idealised case, density of the lithospheric mantle, thermal boundary layer and asthenospheric mantle are taken to be a function of temperature. Mantle density, ρ_m , is calculated as

$$\rho_m = \rho_o (1 - \alpha \overline{T_m}), \quad (6)$$

where ρ_o is the reference mantle density at standard temperature and pressure (3.33 Mg m⁻³), α is the mantle thermal expansivity, and $\overline{T_m}$ is the average temperature of the mantle layer. For lithospheric mantle densities, ρ_L , compositional variation can be included as $(1-d)\rho_o(1-\alpha\overline{T_a})$ where d is a lithospheric density depletion factor between 0 and 1. The temperature of the lithospheric mantle is calculated assuming a linear geothermal gradient from the surface, here set to 0°C, to the base of the lithosphere, defined at the 1200°C isothermal surface. The temperature of the thermal boundary layer is calculated assuming an upper bounding temperature of 1200°C and a basal temperature calculated assuming an adiabatic gradient defined by Equation 2. Similarly, temperature of the asthenospheric mantle is calculated using the same adiabatic gradient.

These equations can be used to propagate uncertainties and to assess the sensitivity of calculated support to the properties of the lithosphere. Table 2 shows sensitivity of calculated support to unit adjustment, δ , of each parameter. Calculated support is most sensitive to density depletion.

To develop greater statistical insight, we performed a Monte-Carlo error analysis. One million calculations of isostatic support in response to thinning the lithosphere by 1 km, and unit adjustments to all other parameters, were performed. All parameters were varied within specified ranges and have well-defined (mostly normal) distributions (Figure 9). Crustal thickness was varied such that the mean and variance reflected values observed within the North American Western Interior (mean = 40 km, standard deviation = 10 km; *Buehler & Shearer, 2016*). Initial lithospheric thickness was also assigned a mean of 150 km and standard deviation of 30 km, reflecting the vertical resolution of the SLNAAFSA tomography model. Thermal expansion coefficient, 3.3×10^{-5} , was assigned a 10% standard deviation, which encompasses the predicted range of values as reported by *Bouhifd et al. (1996)* and *Katsura et al. (2009)*. Similarly, the temperature at the base of the lithosphere, 1200°C, adiabatic gradient, simplified to 4.44°C/km, and reference mantle density, 3.33 Mg m⁻³, were all assumed to have a standard deviation of 10%. Mantle potential temperature, 1333°C, was assigned a standard deviation of 100°C, which encompasses a range of values for ‘standard’ mantle (*Parsons & Sclater, 1977*). Lithospheric density depletion was assigned an exponential distribution with a length-scale of 0.005. Parameters were varied first simultaneously, shown in Figure 9A, then individually, Figure 9B–I.

The results show that there is a well-defined peak in predicted support centred at ~24 m per km thinning, with a large uncertainty. Most of the uncertainty is a consequence of uncertain background mantle potential temperatures, thermal expansion coefficient values, temperatures at the base of the lithosphere, and mantle density depletion factors. If the density of lithospheric mantle is known, the uncertainty in predicted isostatic support is significantly reduced (see Supplementary Information). We now explore how the histories of uplift inferred from the inventory of uplifted marine rock can be used to assess the history of shallow isostatic support. We seek to quantify the roles asthenospheric temperature anomalies and changes to lithospheric architecture played in post-Cretaceous uplift of North America.

Table 2. Calculated variance of lithospheric isostatic support, ΔU , from a systematic sweep of modified parameter values (from reference values indicated in second column). Variance of parameter values, δ , are given in third column. Parameters: crustal thickness (t_{cc} , km), lithospheric density depletion factor (d), geothermal gradient (dT/dz , $^{\circ}\text{C km}^{-1}$), mantle potential temperature (T_p , $^{\circ}\text{C}$), temperature of thermal boundary layer (T_{bl} , $^{\circ}\text{C}$). % indicates percentage difference to calculated support for reference lithosphere ($U = 0.03$ km). Sensitivity analysis for thinning 200 km-thick lithosphere can be found in the Supplementary Information.

Parameter	Reference value	δ	ΔU (km)	%
t_{cc}	40	± 1	1.70×10^{-4}	0.65
d	0	+0.01	-1.0245×10^{-2}	-39.3
dT/dz	0.44	± 0.01	5.9×10^{-5}	0.23
T_p	1333	± 1	4.7×10^{-5}	0.18
T_{bl}	100	± 1	3.2×10^{-5}	0.12

5 Exploring shallow isostatic origins for the history of observed uplift

First, we examine the consequences of assuming that lithospheric thinning alone can explain observed patterns of uplift. Thermally equilibrated lithospheric thinning requires the thermal boundary layer (between the lithosphere and convecting mantle) to be present during thinning. In contrast, thermally disequibrated thinning implies that the thermal boundary layer is removed instantaneously during thinning and replaced by asthenospheric mantle, creating a discontinuity in temperature across the LAB. We test these two end-member scenarios to place lower and upper bounds on calculated uplift. Calculated uplift from thinning of thermally equilibrated and disequibrated lithosphere with initial thicknesses (at 0 uplift) of 150, 200 and 250 km are shown in Figure 10B, coloured by geographic province (Equation 4). Uplift of the Great Plains and most of the Middle Rocky Mountains can be explained by thinning initially thick (> 200 km) lithosphere, while uplift of the Colorado Plateau and Basin and Range can be explained by thinning of an originally relatively thin (< 150 km) lithosphere.

The distribution of calculated lithospheric thicknesses are broadly bimodal (see histogram atop Figure 10B). Thus, to simplify our approach, we estimate uplift from lithospheric thinning for only these two modes. We do so by back-projecting the centroids of lithospheric thickness-uplift pairs along equilibrium and disequilibrium lithospheric thinning trajectories (Figure 10C: solid, dashed grey and black lines). The centroids were identified using a *k-means* algorithm (see Supporting Figure S9). Figure 10C-D shows the results of the *k-means* clustering algorithm. The number of clusters is chosen *a priori* by calculating the total within-cluster sum of squared distances (WCSS; see Supporting Information). The first centroid is (60 km, 1.8 km) and contains localities mostly within the Colorado Plateau, Basin and Range, and parts of the Middle and Northern Rocky Mountains. The second centroid is (170 km, 1.1 km), at the centre of a cluster encompassing localities from the Canadian shield and Interior Lowlands. Calculated initial lithospheric thicknesses for the two clusters are 130 km and 200 km. This result can be explained by thinning of lithosphere beneath the Colorado Plateau and Basin and Range from 130 km to 80–70 km. The second cluster contains loci atop lithosphere that progressively thins to the West by as much as 100 km. These results indicate that the tilting of the Great Plains, with highest uplift in the Southern and Middle Rocky Mountains, can be explained by thinning of lithosphere that was initially ~ 200 km thick.

Secondly, we explore an alternative explanation in which lithosphere with different densities are thinned. Estimates of lithospheric mantle density from gravity modelling suggests that cratonic lithosphere, present beneath the eastern region of our study area, has a density depleted by 30–70 g cm^{-3} (Mooney & Kaban, 2010). Lamb et al. (2020) proposed that the lithosphere here is depleted by 20–40 g cm^{-3} compared to the asthenospheric mantle. Figure 10D shows thinning trajectories for a lithosphere that is initially 225 km thick (e.g., the modern Canadian Shield). It shows

737 results for different assumed reductions in density. A useful rule of thumb is that a 1% reduction
 738 lowers density by $\approx 36 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$. Assuming that lithospheric mantle densities were 1–2% depleted
 739 (prior to thinning) implies that up to 150 km of thinning, from an initial thickness of 250 km (across
 740 the entire domain), would be required to explain observed patterns of uplift. That would constitute
 741 a major geological event that could be tested in the future using independent observations of, for
 742 example, heat flow and xenolith-derived paleo-lithospheric thicknesses, and more granular uplift his-
 743 tories.

744 6 Discussion

745 There now exists a considerable body of quantitative paleogeographic, uplift and subsidence
 746 observations with which surface deflections predicted by geodynamic models of the mantle can be
 747 tested (e.g., PBDB, MacroStrat; Figures 1–3). In this study, we augmented marine fossil assemblages
 748 recorded in the PBDB with stratigraphic information to test the timing, amplitude and distribution
 749 of dynamic topography of Western North America predicted by the models presented by *Müller et al.*
 750 (2018).

751 Their Model M1 does not explain post-Cretaceous net uplift (it is lower by up to a factor of
 752 2; Figure 5A). It does however broadly predict paleo-water depths that are consistent with estimates
 753 from the fossil record (Figure 5B). In contrast, models M2–M4 broadly reproduce amplitudes of net
 754 post-Cretaceous uplift (Figure 5C), but do not explain observed patterns of Cretaceous paleo-bathymetry.
 755 In particular, predicted dynamic drawdown implies a much deeper depth for the Western Interior
 756 Seaway than its fossil assemblages indicate (e.g. Figure 5D). We note that *Müller et al.* (2018)’s pre-
 757 ferred model is M7, since it yields realistic continental flooding histories. However, the model ex-
 758 plicitly suppresses plume formation, which probably reduces similarity to actual mantle convection.
 759 We note that calculated net dynamic topography in Model 7 is up to a factor of 3 lower than ob-
 760 served net uplift. Similarly, these models do not explain subsidence histories of basins in the West-
 761 ern Interior inferred by backstripping stratigraphy (Figure 6). As *Müller et al.* (2018) point out, an
 762 obvious source of these discrepancies is the lack of contributions to topographic support in these mod-
 763 els from the uppermost few hundred kilometres. We addressed this issue as follows.

764 Recent shear wave tomographic models were used to estimate lithospheric and asthenospheric
 765 temperatures, and thence structure (Figures 7–10). This information was combined with simple iso-
 766 static calculations to assess whether shallow isostatic contributions can explain observed patterns
 767 of net uplift. Heating shallow asthenospheric mantle alone is unlikely to be sufficient for explain-
 768 ing observed net uplift. Maximum amplitudes predicted from heating are too low for reasonable pa-
 769 rameter values (Figure 10A). In contrast, thinning lithospheric mantle (whether depleted or of vari-
 770 able initial thickness) can, on its own explain, observed patterns of uplift (Figure 10C-D). For ex-
 771 ample, petrological modelling of eclogite xenoliths from the Colorado Plateau suggest that up to 80
 772 km shear-removal of subcontinental lithospheric mantle between Cretaceous and Eocene times, from
 773 an initial lithospheric thickness of 200 km to 120–130 km (*Hernández-Urbe & Palín, 2019*). Petro-
 774 logical analysis of garnet inclusions in peridotite xenoliths of the same region suggest an additional
 775 ~ 50 km of lithospheric thinning, from 130 km to ~ 80 km, from Eocene to present (*Hunter & Smith,*
 776 1981; *Ritter & Smith, 1996*). These results are consistent with histories of sub-lithospheric support
 777 of Western North America inferred from melt histories of mafic rocks in the region (e.g., *Ball et al.,*
 778 2021).

779 Figure 11 demonstrates an attempt to reconcile predicted contributions to net uplift of West-
 780 ern North America. They show the ‘targets’, i.e., net uplift estimated using fossil assemblages and
 781 associated corrections for paleo-water depth and sea-level. Also shown are estimated contributions
 782 to net uplift from the three sources considered in this paper. First, contributions from the deep man-
 783 tle are extracted from *Müller et al.* (2018)’s Model M5. Secondly, isostatic asthenospheric support
 784 is estimated from excess temperatures calculated using shear wave tomography (Figure 7-8; Equa-
 785 tion 3). Finally, the residual is attributed to lithospheric thinning, which can be compared to Fig-
 786 ure 10. The two examples shown include a locality from the Book Cliffs in Western North Amer-
 787 ica and from eastern Iowa on the Great Plains. These results emphasise the importance of constrain-
 788 ing lithospheric thicknesses and densities for determining the history of net uplift and thus for us-

789 ing such observations to determine the history of mantle convection. Important future work will in-
790 clude refining knowledge of lithospheric densities and structure, and incorporating it into geodynamic
791 simulations.

792 **7 Conclusions**

793 Histories of mantle convection and their role in generating vertical motions of Earth surface
794 are of general interest. That a considerable body of mantle convection simulations predict differing
795 surface deflection histories indicates that identifying optimal models using independent geologic ob-
796 servations is likely to be a fruitful endeavour. In this paper we combine digital inventories of pale-
797 obiological data with stratigraphic information to explore origins of western North American topog-
798 raphy. We demonstrate that geodynamic simulations that exclude the uppermost few hundred kilo-
799 metres of the mantle cannot, on their own, reproduce observed patterns of vertical motions. We also
800 demonstrate that excess asthenospheric temperatures, on their own, are insufficient for explaining
801 net support of Western North American topography. Since crustal thicknesses across this region ap-
802 pear to be broadly similar we instead, turn to modification of lithospheric mantle as a source of post-
803 Cretaceous net uplift. We demonstrate that changes to lithospheric thickness and depletion could
804 explain observed patterns of uplift. These results emphasise the importance of determining the prop-
805 erties (e.g., densities and structure) of the lithosphere (especially the lithospheric mantle) and its
806 modification by the convecting mantle if we are to understand how the convecting mantle impacts
807 surface processes and vice versa.

808 **8 Open Research**

809 All data used in this publication are accessible through online, open-access data repositories.
810 Dynamic topography models from *Müller et al.* (2018) can be accessed through the open data repos-
811 itory <https://www.earthbyte.org/global-dynamic-topography-models/>. Database for uplift measure-
812 ments from paleobiological data, from *Fernandes & Roberts* (2021), can be accessed through the open
813 data repository <https://doi.org/10.1130/GSAB.S.12939470>. All other datasets used in this research
814 can be accessed in the data repository <https://zenodo.org/records/10212951>.

Figures




Figure 1. Western North America and its surroundings. (A) Hill-shaded elevation map showing USA, Canadian and Mexican state borders, note two-letter state abbreviations (SRTM+; *Becker et al.*, 2009). (B) Physiographic provinces after *Fenneman* (1928): CS = Canadian Shield; GP = Great Plains; NRM, MRM, SRM = Northern, Middle and Southern Rocky Mountains; CP = Colorado Plateau; BR = Basin and Range; IL = Interior Lowlands; OO = Ozark and Ouachita Mountains; GoM = Gulf of Mexico Coastal Plain. (C) Crustal thickness from CRUST1 (*Laske et al.*, 2013) and PnUS (*Buehler & Shearer*, 2016). Dashed gray lines = extent of PnUS model. (D) Location of fossil assemblages from the Paleobiology Database (PBDB; circles) indicating youngest outcropping marine to terrestrial (MTT; squares) stratigraphic transitions (*Fernandes et al.*, 2019; *Fernandes & Roberts*, 2021). Points are coloured by stratigraphic age, using the *Gradstein et al.* (2012) timescale. (E) Interpreted paleo-water depth for each location. (F) Net uplift calculated from fossil and stratigraphic data.




Figure 2. Illustration of methodologies used to extract observed and predicted net uplift from geological data and predictions from mantle convection simulations. Loci of the fossil assemblage in the Book Cliffs of Utah and dynamic topographic prediction is labelled ‘3’ in Figure 3. (A) General stratigraphic framework of the Mancos Shale, and associated bio- and chrono-stratigraphic constraints. (B) Fossil assemblage used to constrain the paleo-bathymetry of the Prairie Canyon Member of the Mancos Shale: 1–Prognathodon, 2–Platyceramus cycloides, 3–Baculites haresi, 4–Squalicorax pristodontus, 5–Baculites aquilaensis, 6–Innoceramus balticus, 7–Cretolamna (see *Fernandes & Roberts, 2021*, for more details). (C) Schematic showing net uplift calculation and corrections. (D) History of dynamic topography from Model M2 of *Müller et al. (2018)* at the location of the fossil assemblage. The star marks the age of deposition of the fossil assemblage. Net predicted dynamic topography, ΔDT , is calculated as the difference between predicted dynamic topography at the time of deposition and the present-day.




Figure 3. Large-scale patterns of uplift. (A) Smooth surface fit to 2617 spot measurements of uplift derived from the PBDB (circles) and marine to terrestrial stratigraphic transitions (squares). Large numbered circles = localities where stratigraphic observations of vertical motion and predicted dynamic topography are shown in Figure 4D and Supplementary Information. Surface was generated using continuous curvature splines and then filtered to remove wavelengths < 1000 km. (B) Difference between uplift estimated from 2617 stratigraphic (PBDB) observations and the smooth surface shown in panel A. (C) Location and mean uplift value for uplift data averaged into $1^\circ \times 1^\circ$ bins ($N = 295$). Data from the westernmost edge of the North American continent were not included as their uplift history most likely does not reflect a history of mantle-driven vertical motions.




Figure 4. Comparison of dynamic topography predicted from mantle convection simulations and stratigraphic observations. Maps show cumulative change in dynamic topography since 90, 80, 70 and 60 Ma predicted by models (A) M1, (B) M2 and (C) M5, which are representative histories produced by families of models generated by *Müller et al.* (2018). Gray lines = state/country boundaries (see Figure 1A). Labelled white circles = locations of stratigraphic constraints and predicted dynamic topography shown in panel D: Alberta (1), Manitoba (2), Utah (3), New Mexico (4). (D) Histories of vertical motion predicted by geodynamic models compared to stratigraphic observations at localities labelled 1–4 in panel A. Orange, purple, green lines = predicted dynamic topography from models M1, M2–M4, M5–M7, respectively (*Müller et al.*, 2018). Circles and squares = stratigraphic observations from PBDB and first recorded terrestrial sediments, respectively, and modern topography; error bars = paleo-water depth uncertainties (colours indicate paleo-water depth, see Figure 1E; see body text for details).




Figure 5. Comparisons of net (post-deposition) uplift and paleo-water depths from stratigraphic observations to geodynamic model predictions. (A) Circles = net uplift measured using modern elevation of marine rock compared to net change in predicted dynamic topography (see e.g. Figure 2). Measurements of net uplift are grouped according to age of deposition in 85 ± 5 Ma (top row), 75 ± 5 Ma, 65 ± 5 Ma and < 60 Ma (bottom row) bins (see Figures 1F & 2). Predictions of net dynamic topography (ΔDT) are from model M1 of Müller *et al.* (2018). Measured and predicted motions were averaged in the same $1^\circ \times 1^\circ$ bins prior to insertion into this panel (see Figure 2); error bars = 1 standard deviation from the mean vertical stratigraphic motions within each $1 \times 1^\circ$ bin, which includes age and paleo-water depth uncertainties and the range of values in each bin. Black line = 1:1 relationship; red line = best-fit linear least-squares regression. (B) Comparison of predicted absolute elevations from model M1 and paleo-water depth estimates from stratigraphy in labelled age bins. (C-D) Model M2. (E-F) Model M5. See Figure 3.




Figure 6. Comparison of predicted Late Cretaceous dynamic topography and independent subsidence histories. (A) Observations and predictions from bin (width = 30 km) centred on circle labelled 1 in Figure 2 (Alberta). Top panel: Orange, purple, green lines = predicted dynamic topography from models M1, M2–M4, M5–M7, respectively (Müller *et al.*, 2018). Circles = paleo-water depths from biostratigraphic observations; error bars = associated uncertainties, note that in many cases uncertainty is less than symbol size. Triangles = minimum paleo-water depth from marine biostratigraphic constraints where paleo-environment is poorly constrained. Squares = first recorded post-marine terrestrial sediments (Fernandes *et al.*, 2019). Vertical lines bound geological stages. Middle panel: Net change in predicted dynamic topography during each stage for the seven mantle convective simulations (colours as for lines in panel A); Ceno = Cenomanian, Turo = Turonian, S-C = Coniacian-Santonian, Camp I & Camp II = Campanian, Maast = Maastrichtian. Negative/positive values indicate predicted net subsidence/uplift. Bottom panel: Black dashed line = subsidence history from backstripping, decompacting and air-loading isopach maps from Roberts & Kirschbaum (1995), see Supplementary Information. Grey bands = sediment thickness within bin for each stage, also from Roberts & Kirschbaum (1995). (B) Manitoba (centred on circle labelled 2 in Figure 2A). (C) Utah (3). (D) New Mexico (4). Black solid line is air-loaded subsidence curve from Well 3 (-110.178° , 39.435°) presented in Liu *et al.* (2014).




Figure 7. Shallow mantle geometries, mafic magmatism and uplift constraints. (A) Shear wave velocity anomaly at 125 km depth from *Schaeffer & Lebedev* (2013). Circles = magmatism coloured by age from NAVDAT database with MgO > 4 wt% (*Walker et al.*, 2006). Gray lines = state/country boundaries. (B) Temperature at 125 km estimated from conversion of *Schaeffer & Lebedev* (2014)'s shear wave tomography model into temperature (see body text for details). White contours = 1200 °C (dashed) and 1300 °C (solid) isotherms. Labelled white lines = location of cross sections shown in Figure 8. (C) Lithospheric thickness (defined by 1200 °C isotherm), filtered to include spherical harmonic degrees less than $l = 60$ (wavelengths > 660 km). Black circles = foci of binned ($1 \times 1^\circ$) uplift measurements (see Figure 1). (D) Excess potential temperature of shallowest 100 km beneath the base of the lithosphere. White curves = temperature contours shown in panel B.




Figure 8. Cross sections through North American surface observations and calculated shallow mantle temperatures. (A) Top panel: Black curve = smoothed topography (100 km Gaussian low-pass filter) from Northern Rockies, through the Great Plains to the Canadian Shield (see line labelled X-X' in Figure 6B; (SRTM90: *Jarvis et al.*, 2008)). Gray band = maximum and minimum topography within 100 km wide swath. Circles = uplifted marine rocks from PBDB inventory; squares = youngest recorded marine to terrestrial transitional stratigraphy; all samples are from within 100 km wide swath and are coloured by age (see Figure 1). Bottom panel: Colours = temperatures calculated by converting a version of *Schaeffer & Lebedev* (2014)'s shear wave model (see body text for details). Thin dotted lines = isotherms in 100°C intervals. Temperatures at depths shallower than 50 km (grey band) are not shown. Black dashed line = crustal thickness from PnUS (*Buehler & Shearer*, 2016). Solid white line = lithosphere-asthenosphere boundary calculated from the 1200°C isotherm smoothed by only including scales less than spherical harmonic degree $l = 60$ (wavelengths > 660 km). (B-C) Cross sections through Yellowstone to Lake Superior (Y-Y'), and Colorado Plateau to the Great Plains (Z-Z'; see Figure 6B).




Figure 9. Sensitivity of calculated uplift to unit lithospheric thinning and other unit modifications. (A) Distribution (mean = μ , standard deviation = σ) of calculated isostatic uplift generated by Monte Carlo simulation incorporating variance of all parameters in Equation 4: crustal thickness, initial lithospheric thickness, lithospheric density depletion, adiabatic gradient, initial mantle density, thermal expansion coefficient, mantle potential temperature and temperature at the base of the lithospheric mantle. Variance of parameters included in this test are indicated in following panels. (B)–(I) Calculated distributions of uplift that arise from varying only parameters annotated in each panel.




Figure 10. Assessing the role of lithospheric thinning and asthenospheric temperatures for generating observed uplift. (A) Uplift measured from stratigraphic observations compared to calculated mean potential temperatures of a 100 km thick asthenospheric channel beneath the base of the lithosphere. Circles = mean values in $1 \times 1^\circ$ bins; error bars = 1σ of uplift measurements in bin. Potential temperatures were extracted from thermal model shown in Figures 6–7 (see body text for details). Circles are coloured according to location (see legend); post-Cretaceous uplift data from the Gulf of Mexico coastal plain are not included. Histograms atop and aside main panel show distributions of calculated potential temperatures and uplift measurements, respectively. Lines = predicted isostatic uplift for anomalously warm 100 km (solid) or 200 km (dashed) thick asthenospheric channels (see body text). (B) Uplift measurements in $1 \times 1^\circ$ bins compared to lithospheric thickness estimates shown in Figure 6C. Black lines = predicted uplift for thermally equilibrated thinning of lithosphere with initial thicknesses of 250 (solid), 200 (dashed), 150 (dot-dashed) or 100 (dotted) km. Nearby gray lines are for thinning without thermal equilibration. (C) Uplift as a function of lithospheric thickness, coloured by cluster calculated using k-means clustering algorithm. Yellow squares = cluster centroids. Centroid values are consistent with (thermally equilibrated or disequilibrated) thinning of lithosphere with initial thicknesses of 130 km (black and grey dotted lines) or 200 km (back and grey dashed lines). (D) Black lines show thermally equilibrated thinning of lithosphere with initial thickness of 225 km with different degrees of lithospheric density depletion.




Figure 11. Reconciling dynamic and isostatic drivers of uplift in the North American Western Interior. These schematics incorporate dynamic topography predicted by mantle convection simulations (Model M5; Figure 4), and estimated additional asthenospheric heating and lithospheric thinning required to generate observed uplift (see Figure 10 and body text for details). (A) Uplift of the Book Cliffs (Location 3; Figures 2 & 3). Note that the lithospheric thinning required to match observed uplift is significantly less if predictions from models M2–M4 are considered. (B) Uplift in eastern Iowa (Location 8; Figure 3). (C) Schematic cross section across the study region showing the positions of these examples (see Figure 7B: Z–Z' for location).

References

- 816
- 817 Afonso, J.C., Moorkamp, M. and Fullea, J. (2016). Imaging the Lithosphere and Upper Mantle
818 *in* Moorkamp, M., Lelièvre, P.G., Linde, N., and Khan, A. (eds.) *Integrated Imaging of the*
819 *Earth; Geophysical Monograph Series*. doi: 10.1002/9781118929063.ch10.
- 820 Athy, L.F. (1930). Density, porosity, and compaction of sedimentary rocks. *AAPG Bulletin*, 141,
821 1-24, doi:10.1306/3D93289E-16B1-11D7-8645000102C1865D.
- 822 Audet, P. (2014). Toward mapping the effective elastic thickness of planetary lithospheres from
823 a spherical wavelet analysis of gravity and topography. *Physics of the Earth and Planetary*
824 *Interiors*, 226, 48-82, doi:10.1016/j.pepi.2013.09.011.
- 825 Austermann, J., Pollard, D., Mitrovica, J.X., Moucha, R., Forte, A.M., DeConto, R.M., Row-
826 ley, D.B. and Raymo, M.E. (2015). The impact of dynamic topography change on Antarc-
827 tic ice sheet stability during the mid-Pliocene warm period. *Geology*, 43(10), 927-930,
828 doi:10.1130/G36988.1.
- 829 Austermann, J., Mitrovica, J.X., Huybers, P. and Rovere, A. (2017). Detection of a dynamic
830 topography signal in last interglacial sea-level records. *Science Advances*, 3(7), p.e1700457,
831 doi:10.1126/sciadv.1700457.
- 832 Ball, P. W., White, N. J., Maclennan, J. and Stephenson, S. N. (2021). Global influence of
833 mantle temperature and plate thickness on intraplate volcanism. *Nat. Commun.*, 12(2045),
834 doi:10.1038/s41467-021-22323-9.
- 835 Barnett-Moore, N., Hassan, R., Müller, R. D., Williams, S. E., and Flament, N. (2017). Dy-
836 namic topography and eustasy controlled the paleogeographic evolution of northern Africa
837 since the mid-Cretaceous. *Tectonics*, 36(5), 929-944, doi:10.1002/2016TC004280.
- 838 Becker, J.J., Sandwell, D.T., Smith, W.H.F., Braud, J., Binder, B., Depner, J., Fabre, D.,
839 Factor, J., Ingalls, S., Kim, S-H., Ladner, R., Marks, K., Nelson, S., Pharaoh, A., Shar-
840 man, G., Trimmer, R., vonRosenburg, J., Wallace, G., and Weatherall, P. (2009). Global
841 Bathymetry and Elevation Data at 30 Arc Seconds Resolution: SRTM30_PLUS. revised for
842 *Marine Geodesy*, 32(4), 355-371, doi:10.1080/01490410903297766.
- 843 Bessin, P., Guillocheau, F., Robin, C., Braun, J., Bauer, H., and Schroetter, J.-M. (2017).
844 Quantification of vertical movement of low elevation topography combining a new compi-
845 lation of global sea-level curves and scattered marine deposits (Armorican Massif, western
846 France). *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 470, 25-36, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2017.04.018.
- 847 Blum, M., and Pecha, M. (2014). Mid-Cretaceous to Paleocene North American drainage reor-
848 ganization from detrital zircons. *Geology*, 42(7), 607-610, doi:10.1130/G35513.1.
- 849 Bond, G. (1976). Evidence for continental subsidence in North America during
850 the Late Cretaceous global submergence. *Geology*, 4, 557-560, doi:10.1130/0091-
851 7613(1976)4<557:EFCSIN>2.0.CO;2.
- 852 Bouhifd, M. A., Andrault, D., Fiquet, G., and Richet, P. (1996). Thermal expansion of
853 forsterite up to the melting point. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 23(10), 1143-1146,
854 doi:10.1029/96GL01118.
- 855 Buehler J.S. and Shearer, P.M. (2016). Uppermost mantle seismic velocity structure beneath
856 USArray. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 121, doi:10.1002/2016JB013265.
- 857 Bunge, H. P., and Baumgardner, J. R. (1995). Mantle convection modeling on parallel virtual
858 machines. *Computers in physics*, 9(2), 207-215, doi:10.1063/1.168525.
- 859 Celli, N. L., Lebedev, S., Schaeffer, A. J., and Gaina, C. (2020). African cratonic lithosphere
860 carved by mantle plumes. *Nature communications*, 11(1), 1-10, doi:10.1038/s41467-019-13871-
861 2.
- 862 Celli, N. L., Lebedev, S., Schaeffer, A. J., Ravenna, M., and Gaina, C. (2020). The upper
863 mantle beneath the South Atlantic Ocean, South America and Africa from waveform to-
864 mography with massive data sets. *Geophysical Journal International*, 221(1), 178-204,
865 doi:10.1093/gji/ggz574.
- 866 Chamberlain, C.P., Mix, H.T., Mulch, A., Hren, M.T., Kent-Corson, M.L., Davis, S.J., Hor-
867 ton, T.W., and Graham, S.A. (2012). The Cenozoic climatic and topographic evolution
868 of the western north American Cordillera. *American Journal of Science*, 312(2), 213-262,
869 doi:10.2475/02.2012.05.

- 870 Cobban, W.A., Walaszczyk, I., Obradovich, J.D., and McKinney, K.C. (2006). A USGS zonal
871 table for the Upper Cretaceous Middle Cenomanian–Maastrichtian of the Western Interior of
872 the United States based on Ammonites, Inoceramids, and Radiometric ages. *Technical report*.
- 873 Colli, L., Ghelichkhan, S., and Bunge, H.P. (2016). On the ratio of dynamic topography and
874 gravity anomalies in a dynamic Earth. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 43(6), 2510-2516,
875 doi:10.1002/2016GL067929.
- 876 Conway-Jones, B. W. and White, N. (2022). Paleogene buried landscapes and climatic aberrations
877 triggered by mantle plume activity. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 593, 117644,
878 doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2022.117644.
- 879 Cox, K. G. (1989). The role of mantle plumes in the development of continental drainage pat-
880 terns. *Nature*, 342873-877, doi:10.1038/342873a0.
- 881 Craig, C. H., and McKenzie, D. (1987). Surface deformation, gravity and the geoid from a
882 three-dimensional convection model at low Rayleigh numbers. *Earth and Planetary Science*
883 *Letters*, 83(1-4), 123-136, doi:10.1016/0012-821X(87)90056-2.
- 884 Cross, T.A. (1986). Tectonic Controls of Foreland Basin Subsidence and Laramide Style De-
885 formation, Western United States, in *Foreland Basins*, P.A. Allen and P. Homewood (eds.),
886 doi:10.1002/9781444303810.ch1.
- 887 Cross, T.A., and Piliger, R.H. (1978). Tectonic controls of late Cretaceous sedimentation, west-
888 ern interior, USA. *Nature*, 247, 653-657, doi:10.1038/274653a0.
- 889 Crough, S. T. (1983). Hotspot swells. *Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences*, 11, 165-
890 93.
- 891 Czarnota, K., Roberts, G. G., White, N. J. and Fishwick, S. (2014). Spatial and temporal pat-
892 terns of Australian dynamic topography from River Profile Modeling. *Journal of Geophysical*
893 *Research: Solid Earth*, 119(2), 1384-1424, doi:10.1002/2013JB010436.
- 894 Davies, D. R., Ghelichkhan, S., Hoggard, M. J., Valentine, A. P., and Richards, F. D. (2023).
895 Observations and models of dynamic topography: Current status and future directions. *Dy-*
896 *namics of Plate Tectonics and Mantle Convection*, 223-269, doi:10.1016/B978-0-323-85733-
897 8.00017-2.
- 898 DeCelles, P. G. (1994). Late Cretaceous–Paleocene synorogenic sedimentation and kinematic his-
899 tory of the Sevier thrust belt, northeast Utah and southwest Wyoming. *GSA Bulletin*, 106(1),
900 32-56, doi:10.1130/0016-7606(1994)106<0032:LCPSSA>2.3.CO;2.
- 901 DeCelles, P. G. (2004). Late Jurassic to Eocene evolution of the Cordilleran thrust belt and
902 foreland basin system, Western U.S.A.. *American Journal of Science*, 304 (February), 105-168,
903 doi:10.2475/ajs.304.2.105.
- 904 Dickinson, W.R. (2004). Evolution of the North American Cordillera. *Annual Review of Earth*
905 *and Planetary Science*, 32(1), 13-45, doi:10.1146/annurev.earth.32.101802.120257.
- 906 Dickinson, W. R., Klute, M. A., Hayes, M. J., Janecke, S. U., Lundin, E. R., McKittrick, M.
907 A., and Olivares, M. D. (1988). Paleogeographic and paleotectonic setting of Laramide sed-
908 imentary basins in the central Rocky Mountain region. *GSA Bulletin*, 100(7), 1023-1039,
909 doi:10.1130/0016-7606(1988)100<1023:PAPSOL>2.3.CO;2.
- 910 Ding, X., Dávila, F. M., and Lithgow-Bertelloni, C. (2023). Mechanisms of subsidence and up-
911 lift of Southern Patagonia and offshore basins during slab window formation. *Geochemistry,*
912 *Geophysics, Geosystems*, 24(5), e2022GC010844, doi:10.1029/2022GC010844.
- 913 Faccenna, C., Glišović, P., Forte, A., Becker, T. W., Garzanti, E., Sembroni, A. and Gvirtzman,
914 Z. (2019). Role of dynamic topography in sustaining the Nile River over 30 million years.
915 *Nature Geoscience*, 12(12), 1012-1017, doi:10.1038/s41561-019-0472-x.
- 916 Fenneman, N. (1928). Physiographic Divisions of the United States. *Annals of the Association*
917 *of American Geographers*, 18(4), 261-353, doi:10.2307/2560726.
- 918 Fernandes, V.M., Roberts, G.G., White, N.J., and Whittaker, A.C. (2019). Continental-Scale
919 Landscape Evolution: A History of North American Topography. *Journal of Geophysical*
920 *Research: Earth Surface*, 124, 2689-2722, doi:10.1029/2018JF004979.
- 921 Fernandes, V.M., and Roberts, G.G. (2021). Cretaceous to Recent Net Continental Uplift from
922 Paleobiological Data: Insights into Sub-Plate Support. *Geological Society of America Bulletin*,
923 133(5-6), 1217-1236, doi:10.1130/B35739.1.

- 924 Fitton, J.G., James, D., and Leeman, W.P. (1991). Basic magmatism associated with Late
925 Cenozoic extension in the western United States: Compositional variations in space and time.
926 *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 96(B8), 13693, doi:10.1029/91JB00372.
- 927 Flament, N., Gurnis, M. and Müller, R.D. (2013). A review of observations and models of dy-
928 namic topography. *Lithosphere*, 52, 189-210, doi:10.1130/L245.1.
- 929 Flament, N., Williams, S., Müller, R., Gurnis, M. & Bower, D. (2017). Origin and evolution
930 of the deep thermochemical structure beneath Eurasia. *Nature Communications*, 8, 14164,
931 doi:10.1038/ncomms14164.
- 932 Flowers, R.M., Wernicke, B.P., and Farley, K.A. (2008). Unroofing, incision, and uplift history
933 of the southwestern Colorado Plateau from apatite (U-Th)/He thermochronometry. *GSA*
934 *Bulletin*, 120(5-6), 571-587, doi:10.1130/B26231.1.
- 935 Flowers, R.M., Ault, A.K., Kelley, S.A., Zhang, N., and Zhong, S. (2012). Epeirogeny or eu-
936 stasy? Paleozoic-Mesozoic vertical motion of the North American continental interior from
937 thermochronometry and implications for mantle dynamics. *Earth and Planetary Science Let-*
938 *ters*, 317-318, 436-445, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2011.11.015.
- 939 Flowers, R.M., and Farley, K.A. (2012). Apatite $^4\text{He}/^3\text{He}$ and (U-Th)/He Evidence for an An-
940 cient Grand Canyon. *Science*, 338, 1616-1619, doi:10.1126/science.1229390.
- 941 Forte, A.M., Peltier, W.R., Dziewonski, A.M., and Woodward, R.L. (1993). Dynamic surface
942 topography: A new interpretation based upon mantle flow models derived from seismic to-
943 mography. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 20(3), 225-228, doi:10.1029/93GL00249.
- 944 Forte, A.M. (2000). Seismic-Geodynamic Constraints on Mantle Flow: Implications for Layered
945 Convection, Mantle Viscosity, and Seismic Anisotropy in the Deep Mantle, *in* Karato, S.I.,
946 Forte, A., Liebermann, R., Masters, G., and Stixrude, L. (eds.) *Earth's Deep Interior: Min-*
947 *eral Physics and Tomography From the Atomic to the Global Scale*. American Geophysical
948 Union–Geophysical Monograph Series, 117, doi:10.1029/GM117p0003.
- 949 Forte, A.M., Mitrović, J.X., Moucha, R., Simmons, N.A., and Grand, S.P. (2007). Descent of
950 the ancient Farallon slab drives localized mantle flow below the New Madrid seismic zone.
951 *Geophysical Research Letters*, 34(4), doi:10.1029/2006GL027895.
- 952 Forte, A.M., Moucha, R., Simmons, N.A., Grand S.P., and Mitrović, J.X. (2009). Deep-mantle
953 contributions to the surface dynamics of the North American continent. *Tectonophysics*,
954 481(1–4), 3-15, doi:10.1016/j.tecto.2009.06.010.
- 955 Forte, A.M., Quéré, S., Moucha, R., Simmons, N. A., Grand, S.P., Mitrović, J.X., and Rowley,
956 D.B. (2010). Joint seismic–geodynamic–mineral physical modelling of African geodynamics:
957 A reconciliation of deep-mantle convection with surface geophysical constraints. *Earth and*
958 *Planetary Science Letters*, 295(3–4), 329-341, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2010.03.017.
- 959 Galloway, W.E., Whiteaker, T.L., and Ganey-Curry, P. (2011). History of Cenozoic North
960 American drainage basin evolution, sediment yield, and accumulation in the Gulf of Mex-
961 ico basin. *Geosphere*, 7(4), 938-973, doi:10.1130/GES00647.1.
- 962 Gani, R.M., Ranson, A., Cross, D.B., Hampson, G.J., Gani, N.D., and Sahoo, H. (2015).
963 Along-strike sequence stratigraphy across the Cretaceous shallow marine to coastal-
964 plain transition, Wasatch Plateau, Utah, U.S.A.. *Sedimentary Geology*, 325, 59-70,
965 doi:10.1016/j.sedgeo.2015.05.003.
- 966 Ghelichkhan, S., Bunge, H., and Oeser, J. (2021). Global mantle flow retrodictions for the
967 early Cenozoic using an adjoint method: evolving dynamic topographies, deep mantle struc-
968 tures, flow trajectories and sublithospheric stresses. *Geophysical Journal International*, 226,
969 1432–1460. doi:10.1093/gji/ggab108.
- 970 Gradstein, F.M., Ogg, J.G., Schmitz, M.B., and Ogg, G.M. (eds.) (2012). *The geologic time*
971 *scale*, Elsevier, Oxford, UK.
- 972 Gurnis, M. (1988). Large-scale mantle convection and the aggregation and dispersal of supercon-
973 tinents. *Nature*, 332(6166), 695-699, doi:10.1038/332695a0.
- 974 Gurnis, M. (1990). Bounds on global dynamic topography from Phanerozoic flooding of conti-
975 nental platforms, *Nature*, 344, 754-756, doi:10.1038/344754a0.
- 976 Gurnis, M. (1993). Phanerozoic marine inundation of continent driven by dynamic topography
977 above subducting slabs, *Nature*, 364, 590-593, doi:10.1038/364589a0.

- 978 Gurnis, M., Mitrovica, J.X., Ritsema, J., and van Heijst, H.J. (2000). Constraining mantle
979 density structure using geological evidence of surface uplift rates: The case of the African
980 Superplume. *Geochemistry, Geophysics Geosystems*, 1(7), doi:10.1029/1999GC000035.
- 981 Gurnis, M., Turner, M., Zahirovic, S., DiCaprio, L., Spasojevic, S., Müller, R., Boyden, J., Se-
982 ton, M., Manea, V., and Bower, D. (2012). Plate tectonic reconstructions with continuously
983 closing plates, *Computers and Geosciences*, 38, 35–42, doi:10.1016/j.cageo.2011.04.014.
- 984 Hager, B. H., Clayton, R. W., Richards, M. A., Comer, R. P., and Dziewonski, A. M. (1985).
985 Lower mantle heterogeneity, dynamic topography and the geoid. *Nature*, 313(6003), 541-545,
986 doi:10.1038/313541a0.
- 987 Hager, B. H., and Richards, M. A. (1989). Long-wavelength variations in Earth's geoid:
988 physical models and dynamical implications. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal*
989 *Society of London. Series A, Mathematical and Physical Sciences*, 328(1599), 309-327,
990 doi:10.1098/rsta.1989.0038.
- 991 Hampson, G.J., (2010). Sediment dispersal and quantitative stratigraphic architecture across an
992 ancient shelf. *Sedimentology*, 57, 96-141, doi:10.1111/j.1365-3091.2009.01093.x.
- 993 Hartley, R. A., Roberts, G. G., White, N., and Richardson, C. (2011). Transient convective up-
994 lift of an ancient buried landscape. *Nature Geoscience*, 4(8), 562-565, doi:10.1038/ngeo1191.
- 995 Hassan, R., Flament, N., Gurnis, M., Bower, D.J., and Müller, D. (2015). Provenance of plumes
996 in global convection models, *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, 16, 1465-1489.
- 997 Hazzard, J. A., Richards, F. D., Goes, S. D., and Roberts, G. G. (2023). Probabilistic assess-
998 ment of Antarctic thermomechanical structure: Impacts on ice sheet stability. *Journal of*
999 *Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 128(5), e2023JB026653, doi:10.1029/2023JB026653.
- 1000 Heine, C., Yeo, L.G., and Müller, R.D. (2015). Evaluating global paleoshoreline models
1001 for the Cretaceous and Cenozoic. *Australian Journal of Earth Sciences*, 62(3), 275-287,
1002 doi:10.1080/08120099.2015.1018321.
- 1003 Hernández-Uribe, D., and Palin, R. M. (2019). Catastrophic shear-removal of subcontinental
1004 lithospheric mantle beneath the Colorado Plateau by the subducted Farallon slab. *Scientific*
1005 *Reports*, 9(1), 8153, doi:10.1038/s41598-019-44628-y.
- 1006 Hintze, L., and Kowallis, B. (2009). Geologic history of Utah, *Brigham Young University Geol-*
1007 *ogy Studies Special Publication 9*, Brigham Young University, Salt Lake City, UT.
- 1008 Hoggard, M. J., White, N. and Al-Attar, D. (2016). Global dynamic topography observa-
1009 tions reveal limited influence of large-scale mantle flow. *Nature Geoscience*, 9, 456-463,
1010 doi:10.1038/10.1038/ngeo2709.
- 1011 Hoggard, M. J., Czarnota, K., Richards, F. D., Huston, D. L., Jaques, A. L., and Ghelichkhan,
1012 S. (2020). Global distribution of sediment-hosted metals controlled by craton edge stability.
1013 *Nature Geoscience*, 13(7), 504-510, doi: 10.1038/s41561-020-0593-2.
- 1014 Hoggard, M., Austermann, J., Randel, C. and Stephenson, S. (2021). Observational Es-
1015 timates of Dynamic Topography Through Space and Time, in *Mantle Convection*
1016 *and Surface Expressions*, H. Marquardt, M. Ballmer, S. Cottaar and J. Konter (eds.),
1017 doi:10.1002/9781119528609.ch15.
- 1018 Holdt, M. C., White, N. J., Stephenson, S. N., and Conway-Jones, B. W. (2022). Densely sam-
1019 pled global dynamic topographic observations and their significance. *Journal of Geophysical*
1020 *Research: Solid Earth*, 127(7), e2022JB024391, doi:10.1029/2022JB024391.
- 1021 Hunter, W. C., and Smith, D. (1981). Garnet peridotite from Colorado Plateau ultramafic dia-
1022 tremes: hydrates, carbonates, and comparative geothermometry. *Contributions to Mineralogy*
1023 *and Petrology*, 76, 312-320.
- 1024 Huntington, K.W., Wernicke, B.P., and Eiler, J.M. (2010). Influence of climate change and up-
1025 lift on Colorado Plateau paleotemperatures from carbonate clumped isotope thermometry,
1026 *Tectonics*, 29(3), 1-19, doi:10.1029/2009TC002449.
- 1027 Jarvis, A., H.I. Reuter, A. Nelson, and E. Guevara (2008). Hole-filled SRTM for the globe Ver-
1028 sion 4, *CGIAR-CSI SRTM 90m Database*, <http://srtm.csi.cgiar.org>.
- 1029 Johnson, K.R., Nichols, D.J., and Hartman, J.H. (2002). Hell Creek Formation: a 2001 synthe-
1030 sis, in, Hartman, J.H., Johnson, K.R., and Nichols, D.J. (eds.), *The Hell Creek Formation*
1031 *and the Cretaceous-Tertiary boundary in the northern Great Plains: An integrated conti-*
1032 *ental record of the end of the Cretaceous*, *Geological Society of America Special Paper 361*,

- 1033 Boulder, CO., 503-510.
- 1034 Katsura, T., Shatskiy, A., Manthilake, M.G.M., Zhai, S., Fukui, H., Yamazaki, D., Matsuzaki,
1035 T., Yoneda, A., Ito, E., Kuwata, A., Ueda, A., Nozawa, A., and Funakoshi, K. (2009). Ther-
1036 mal expansion of forsterite at high pressures determined by in situ X-ray diffraction: The
1037 adiabatic geotherm in the upper mantle. *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors*, *174*(1-
1038 4), 86-92, doi:10.1016/j.pepi.2008.08.002.
- 1039 Klöcking, M., White, N.J., MacLennan, J., McKenzie, D., and Fitton, J.G. (2018). Quantitative
1040 Relationships Between Basalt Geochemistry, Shear Wave Velocity, and Asthenospheric Tem-
1041 perature Beneath Western North America. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, *19*(9),
1042 3376-3404, doi:10.1029/2018GC007559.
- 1043 Lamb, S., Moore, J. D., Perez-Gussinye, M., and Stern, T. (2020). Global whole lithosphere
1044 isostasy: implications for surface elevations, structure, strength, and densities of the con-
1045 tinental lithosphere. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, *21*(10), e2020GC009150,
1046 doi:10.1029/2020GC009150.
- 1047 Laske, G., Masters, G., Ma, Z., and Pasyanos, M. (2013). Update on CRUST1.0—A 1-degree
1048 global model of Earth’s crust, *Geophysical Research Abstracts*, *15*, 2658, Vienna, Austria:
1049 EGU General Assembly.
- 1050 Levandowski, W., Jones, C.H., Butcher, L.A., and Mahan, K.H. (2018). Lithospheric density
1051 models reveal evidence for Cenozoic uplift of the Colorado Plateau and Great Plains by lower-
1052 crustal hydration, *Geosphere*, *14*(3), 1-15, doi:10.1130/GES01619.1.
- 1053 Li, Z., and Aschoff, J. (2022). Constraining the effects of dynamic topography on the develop-
1054 ment of Late Cretaceous Cordilleran foreland basin, western United States. *GSA Bulletin*,
1055 *134*(1-2), 446-462, doi:10.1130/B35838.1.
- 1056 Lipp, A. G., and Roberts, G. G. (2021). Scale-dependent flow directions of rivers and the
1057 importance of subplate support. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *48*(1), e2020GL091107,
1058 doi:10.1029/2020GL091107.
- 1059 Liu, L., Spasojević, S., and Gurnis, M. (2008). Reconstructing Farallon plate subduction
1060 beneath North America back to the Late Cretaceous. *Science*, *322*(January), 934-938,
1061 doi:10.5061/dryad.5t110.
- 1062 Liu, S., Nummedal, D., and Gurnis, M. (2014). Dynamic versus flexural controls of Late Cre-
1063 taceous Western Interior Basin, USA. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, *389*, 221-229,
1064 doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2014.01.006.
- 1065 Liu, L., and Gurnis, M. (2008). Simultaneous inversion of mantle properties and initial condi-
1066 tions using an adjoint of mantle convection. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*,
1067 *113*, doi:10.1029/2008JB005594.
- 1068 Lodhia, B. H., Roberts, G. G., Fraser, A. J., Fishwick, S., Goes, S., and Jarvis, J. (2018). Conti-
1069 nental margin subsidence from shallow mantle convection: Example from West Africa. *Earth
1070 and Planetary Science Letters*, *481*, 350-361, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2017.10.024.
- 1071 Lynds, R.M., and Slattery, J.S. (2017). Correlation of the Upper Cretaceous strata of Wyoming,
1072 *Wyoming State Geological Survey*.
- 1073 McKenzie, D. P., Roberts, J. M., and Weiss, N. O. (1974). Convection in the Earth’s
1074 mantle: towards a numerical simulation. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, *62*(3), 465-538,
1075 doi:10.1017/S0022112074000784.
- 1076 McKenzie, D., and Jarvis, G. (1980). The conversion of heat into mechanical work by
1077 mantle convection. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, *85*(B11), 6093-6096,
1078 doi:10.1029/JB085iB11p06093.
- 1079 McKenzie, D. (1978). Some remarks on the development of sedimentary basins. *Earth and Plan-
1080 etary Science Letters*, *40*(1), 25-32, doi:10.1016/0012-821X(80)90168-5.
- 1081 McKenzie, D., Jackson, J., and Priestley, K. (2005). Thermal structure of oceanic and
1082 continental lithosphere. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, *233*(3-4), 337-349,
1083 doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2005.02.005.
- 1084 Menard, H. W. (1969). Elevation and subsidence of oceanic crust. *Earth and Planetary Science
1085 Letters*, *6*, 4, 275-284, doi:10.1016/0012-821X(69)90168-X.
- 1086 Merewether, E.A., Cobban, W.A., and Obradovich, J.D. (2011). Biostratigraphic Data from
1087 Upper Cretaceous Formations—eastern Wyoming, Central Colorado, and Northeastern New

- 1088 Mexico, *U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Map 3175*, 2 sheets, pamphlet, 10 p.
- 1089 Merewether, E.A., and McKinney, K.C. (2015). Composite biostratigraphic outcrop sections
1090 for Cretaceous Formations along a south-trending transect from northwestern Montana to
1091 northwestern New Mexico, *USGS, 1258*, 20151, 087.
- 1092 Mitrovica, J.X., Beaumont, C., and Jarvis, G.T. (1989). Tilting of continental interiors by the
1093 dynamical effects of subduction, *Tectonics*, 8(5), 1079-1094, doi:10.1029/TC008i005p01079.
- 1094 Mooney, W. D., and Kaban, M. K. (2010). The North American upper mantle: Density,
1095 composition, and evolution. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 115(B12),
1096 doi:10.1029/2010JB000866.
- 1097 Morris, M., Fernandes, V. M., and Roberts, G. G. (2020). Extricating dynamic topography from
1098 subsidence patterns: Examples from Eastern North America's passive margin. *Earth and
1099 Planetary Science Letters*, 530, 115840, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2019.115840.
- 1100 Moucha, R., Forte, A. M., Rowley, D. B., Mitrovica, J. X., Simmons, N. A., and Grand, S.
1101 P. (2008). Mantle convection and the recent evolution of the Colorado Plateau and the Rio
1102 Grande Rift valley. *Geology*, 36(6), 439-442. doi:10.1130/G24577A.1.
- 1103 Moucha, R., Forte, A. M., Mitrovica, J. X., Rowley, D. B., Quéré, S., Simmons, N. A., and
1104 Grand, S. P. (2008). Dynamic topography and long-term sea-level variations: There is no such
1105 thing as a stable continental platform. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 271(1), 101-108.
1106 doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2008.03.056.
- 1107 Müller, R.D., Flament, N., Matthews, K.J., Williams, S.E., and Gurnis, M. (2016a). Formation
1108 of Australian continental margin highlands driven by plate-mantle interaction, *Earth and
1109 Planetary Science Letters*, 441, 60-70, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2016.02.025.
- 1110 Müller, R.D., Seton, M., Zahirovic, S., Williams, S.E., Matthews, K.J., Wright, N.M., Shep-
1111 hard, G., Maloney, K.T., Barnett-Moore, N., Hosseinpour, M., Bower, D.J., and Cannon,
1112 J. (2016b). Ocean basin evolution and global-scale plate reorganization events since Pangea
1113 breakup, *Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences*, 44, 107-138, doi:10.1146/annurev-
1114 earth-060115-012211.
- 1115 Müller, R.D., Hassan, R., Gurnis, M., Flament, N., and Williams, S.E. (2018). Dynamic topog-
1116 raphy of passive continental margins and their hinterlands since the Cretaceous, *Gondwana
1117 Research*, 53, 225-251, doi:10.1016/j.gr.2017.04.028.
- 1118 Obradovich, J.D., and Cobban, W.A. (1975). A time-scale for the Late Cretaceous of the West-
1119 ern Interior of North America, *Geological Association of Canada Special Paper 13*, 31-54.
- 1120 Parsons, B. and Daly, S. (1983). The relationship between surface topography, gravity anoma-
1121 lies, and temperature structure of convection. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 88(B2),
1122 1129-1144, doi:10.1029/JB088iB02p01129.
- 1123 Parsons, B., and Sclater, J. G. (1977). An analysis of the variation of ocean floor
1124 bathymetry and heat flow with age. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 82(5), 803-827,
1125 doi:10.1093/petrology/29.3.625.
- 1126 Panasyuk, S. V. and Hager, B. H. (2000). Inversion for mantle viscosity profiles constrained by
1127 dynamic topography and the geoid, and their estimated errors. *Geophysical Journal Interna-
1128 tional*, 143(3), 821-836, doi:10.1046/j.0956-540X.2000.01286.x.
- 1129 Pang, M., and Nummedal, D. (1995). Flexural subsidence and basement tectonics of the Cre-
1130 taceous Western Interior basin, United States. *Geology*, 23(2), 173-176, doi:10.1130/0091-
1131 7613(1995)023<0173:FSABTO>2.3.CO;2.
- 1132 Pekeris, C. L. (1935). Thermal convection in the interior of the Earth. *Geophysical Journal
1133 International*, 3, 343-367, doi:10.1111/j.1365-246X.1935.tb01742.x.
- 1134 Richards, M. A., and Hager, B. H. (1984). Geoid anomalies in a dynamic Earth. *Journal of
1135 Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 89(B7), 5987-6002, doi:10.1029/JB089iB07p05987.
- 1136 Richards, F. D., Coulson, S. L., Hoggard, M. J., Austermann, J. A., Dyer, B., and Mitrovica,
1137 J. X. (2023). Geodynamically corrected Pliocene shoreline elevations in Australia consis-
1138 tent with midrange projections of Antarctic ice loss. *Science Advances*, 9(46), eadg3035,
1139 doi:10.1126/sciadv.adg3035.
- 1140 Richards, F.D., Hoggard, M.J., White, N., and Ghelichkhan, S. (2020). Quantifying the rela-
1141 tionship between short-wavelength dynamic topography and thermomechanical structure of
1142 the upper mantle using calibrated parameterization of anelasticity. *Journal of Geophysical*

- 1143 *Research: Solid Earth*, 125(9), e2019JB019062, doi:10.1029/2019JB019062.
- 1144 Richards, F., Hoggard, M., Crosby, A., Ghelichkhan, S., and White, N. (2020). Structure and
1145 dynamics of the oceanic lithosphere-asthenosphere system. *Physics of the Earth and Plane-*
1146 *tary Interiors*, 309, 106559, doi:10.1016/j.pepi.2020.106559.
- 1147 Riter, J. C. A., & Smith, D. (1996). Xenolith constraints on the thermal history of
1148 the mantle below the Colorado Plateau. *Geology*, 24(3), 267-270, doi:10.1130/0091-
1149 7613(1996)024<0267:XCOTTH>2.3.CO;2.
- 1150 Richards, F. D., Hoggard, M. J., Cowton, L. R., and White, N. J. (2018). Reassessing the ther-
1151 mal structure of oceanic lithosphere with revised global inventories of basement depths and
1152 heat flow measurements. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 123(10), 9136-9161,
1153 doi:10.1029/2018JB015998.
- 1154 Roberts, L.N.R., and Kirschbaum, M.A. (1995). Paleogeography and the Late Cretaceous of
1155 the Western Interior of middle North America; coal distribution and sediment accumulation.
1156 *USGS Professional Paper 1561*, doi:10.3133/pp1561.
- 1157 Roberts, G. G., Paul, J. D., White, N., and Winterbourne, J. (2012). Temporal and spatial evo-
1158 lution of dynamic support from river profiles: A framework for Madagascar. *Geochemistry,*
1159 *Geophysics, Geosystems*, 13(4), doi:10.1029/2012GC004040.
- 1160 Roberts, G.G., White, N.J., Martin-Brandis, G.L., and Crosby, A.G. (2012a), An uplift his-
1161 tory of the Colorado Plateau and its surroundings from inverse modeling of longitudinal river
1162 profiles, *Tectonics*, 31(4), 1-25, doi:10.1029/2012TC003107.a.
- 1163 Roy, M., Jordan, T.H., and Pederson, J.L. (2009). Colorado Plateau magmatism and uplift by
1164 warming of heterogeneous lithosphere. *Nature*, 459(7249), 978-82, doi:10.1038/nature08052.
- 1165 Rovere, A., Hearty, P. J., Austermann, J., Mitrovica, J. X., Gale, J., Moucha, R., Forte, A.M.
1166 and Raymo, M.E. (2015). Mid-Pliocene shorelines of the US Atlantic Coastal Plain—An
1167 improved elevation database with comparison to Earth model predictions. *Earth-Science*
1168 *Reviews*, 145, 117-131, doi:10.1016/j.earscirev.2015.02.007.
- 1169 Rowley, D. B., Forte, A. M., Moucha, R., Mitrovica, J. X., Simmons, N. A., and Grand, S. P.
1170 (2013). Dynamic topography change of the eastern United States since 3 million years ago.
1171 *Science*, 340(6140), 1560-1563, doi:10.1126/science.1229180.
- 1172 Rudge, J.F., Champion, M.E.S., White, N., McKenzie, D., and Lovell, B. (2008). A plume
1173 model of transient diachronous uplift at the Earth's surface. *Earth and Planetary Science*
1174 *Letters*, 267(1-2), 146-160, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2007.11.040.
- 1175 Sahagian, D. (1988). Epeirogenic motions of Africa as inferred from Cretaceous shoreline de-
1176 posits. *Tectonics*, 7(1), 125-138, doi:10.1029/TC007i001p00125.
- 1177 Salles, T., Flament, N., and Müller, D. (2017). Influence of mantle flow on the drainage of
1178 eastern Australia since the Jurassic Period. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, 18(1),
1179 280-305, doi:10.1002/2016GC006617.
- 1180 Slater, J.G., and Christie, P.A.F. (1980). Continental stretching: An explanation for the post-
1181 Mid-Cretaceous subsidence of the Central North Sea Basin, *Journal of Geophysical Research:*
1182 *Solid Earth*, 85(B7), 3711-3739, doi:10.1029/JB085iB07p03711.
- 1183 Schaeffer, A.J., and Lebedev, S. (2013). Global shear speed structure of the upper mantle and
1184 transition zone. *Geophysical Journal International*, 194(1), 417-449, doi:10.1093/gji/ggt095.
- 1185 Schaeffer, A.J. and Lebedev, S. (2014). Imaging the North American continent using wave-
1186 form inversion of global and USArray data, *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 402, 26-41,
1187 doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2014.05.014.
- 1188 Schutt, D. L., and Leshner, C. E. (2006). Effects of melt depletion on the density and seismic ve-
1189 locity of garnet and spinel lherzolite. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 111(B5),
1190 doi:10.1029/2003JB002950.
- 1191 Seton, M., Müller, R.D., Zahirovic, S., Gaina, C., Torsvik, T.H., Shephard, G., Talsma,
1192 A., Gurnis, M., Turner, M., Maus, S., and Chandler, M.T. (2012). Global continental
1193 and ocean basin reconstructions since 200 Ma. *Earth Science Reviews*, 113, 212-270,
1194 doi:10.1016/j.earscirev.2012.03.002.
- 1195 Shephard, G., Müller, R.D. and Seton, M. (2013). The tectonic evolution of the Arctic since
1196 Pangea breakup: Integrating constraints from surface geology and geophysics with mantle
1197 structure. *Earth Science Reviews*, 124, 148-183, doi:10.1016/j.earscirev.2013.05.012.

- 1198 Shephard, G., Flament, N., Williams, S., Seton, M., Gurnis, M. and Müller, R.D. (2014).
 1199 Circum-Arctic mantle structure and long-wavelength topography since the Jurassic. *Jour-*
 1200 *nal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 119(10), 7889-7908, doi:10.1002/2014JB011078.
- 1201 Smith, A.G., Smith, D.G., and Funnell, B.M. (1994). *Atlas of Mesozoic and Cenozoic Coastlines*,
 1202 99 pp., Cambridge University Press.
- 1203 Spasojević, S., and Gurnis, M. (2012). Sea level and vertical motion of continents from
 1204 dynamic earth models since the Late Cretaceous. *AAPG Bulletin*, 96(11), 2037-2064,
 1205 doi:10.1306/03261211121.
- 1206 Stephenson, S.N., Roberts, G.G., Hoggard, M.J., and Whittaker, A.C. (2014), A Cenozoic up-
 1207 lift history of Mexico and its surroundings from longitudinal river profiles. *Geochemistry,*
 1208 *Geophysics, Geosystems*, 15(12), 4734-4758, doi:10.1002/2014GC005425.
- 1209 Stephenson, S. N., White, N. J., Li, T., and Robinson, L. F. (2019). Disentangling interglacial
 1210 sea level and global dynamic topography: Analysis of Madagascar. *Earth and Planetary Sci-*
 1211 *ence Letters*, 519, 61-69, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2019.04.029.
- 1212 Stephenson, S., Hoggard, M., and White, N. (2021, April). Global continental residual topogra-
 1213 phy. In EGU general assembly conference abstracts (pp. EGU21-15505).
- 1214 Valentine, A. P., and D. R. Davies (2020). Global Models From Sparse Data: A Robust Es-
 1215 timate of Earth's Residual Topography Spectrum. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*,
 1216 21(8), e2020GC009.240, doi:10.1029/2020GC009240.
- 1217 Van Der Meer, D.G., Spakman, W., Van Hinsbergen, D.J., Amaru, M.L., and Torsvik, T.H.
 1218 (2010). Towards absolute plate motions constrained by lower-mantle slab remnants, *Nature*
 1219 *Geoscience*, 3, 36-40, doi:10.1038/ngeo708.
- 1220 Walker, J.D., Todd, D.B., Ross, A.B., Glazner, A., Farmer, L., and Richard, W. (2006). NAV-
 1221 DAT: A western North American volcanic and intrusive rock geochemical database, *Special*
 1222 *Paper of the Geological Society of America*, 397, doi:10.1130/2006.2397(05).
- 1223 Wang, H., Gurnis, M., & Skogseid, J. (2020). Continent-wide drainage reorganization in North
 1224 America driven by mantle flow. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 530, 115910. doi:
 1225 10.1016/j.epsl.2019.115910.
- 1226 Watts, A. B. (2001). *Isostasy and Flexure of the Lithosphere*. Cambridge University Press.
- 1227 Yamauchi, H., and Takei, Y. (2016). Polycrystal anelasticity at near-solidus temperatures. *Jour-*
 1228 *nal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 121(11), 7790-7820, doi:10.1002/2016JB013316.
- 1229 Ziegler, A., Rowley, D., Lottes, A., Sahagian, D., Hulver, M., and Gierlowski, T. (1985). Paleo-
 1230 geographic interpretation: with an example from the mid-Cretaceous, *Annual Review of Earth*
 1231 *and Planetary Science*, 13, 385-425, doi:10.1146/annurev.earth.13.1.385.