

Enhanced biogeochemical cycling along the U.S. West Coast shelf

Pierre Damien¹, Daniele Bianchi¹, James C. McWilliams¹, Faycal Kessouri²,
Curtis Deutsch³, Ru Chen⁴, Lionel Renault⁵

¹University of California, Los Angeles, CA, USA

²Southern California Coastal Water Research Project, Costa Mesa, CA, USA

³University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA

⁴Tianjin University, Tianjin, China

⁵Institut de Recherche pour le Developpement, France

Key Points:

- The balances of carbon, oxygen and nitrogen along the U.S. West Coast shelf are characterized using a submesoscale-permitting oceanic biogeochemical model.
- Alongshore wind stress, intensified curl, eddies, and boundary layer dynamics generate a vigorous cross-shelf overturning and biogeochemical rates twice as large as offshore.
- Intense mean and eddy cross-shore exchanges, mainly in the surface and bottom boundary layers, fuel productivity offshore.

Corresponding author: Pierre DAMIEN, pdamien@ucla.edu

Abstract

Continental margins play an essential role in global ocean biogeochemistry and the carbon cycle; however, global assessments of this role remain highly uncertain. This uncertainty arises from large variability over a broad range of temporal and spatial scales of the processes that characterize these environments. High-resolution simulations with ocean biogeochemical models have emerged as essential tools to advance biogeochemical assessments at regional scales. Here, we examine the processes and balances for carbon, oxygen, and nitrogen cycles along the U.S. West Coast in an 11-year hindcast simulation with a submesoscale-permitting oceanic circulation-biogeochemical model. We highlight the importance of biogeochemical cycles on the continental shelf, and their connection to the broader regional context encompassing the California Current System. On the shelf, coastal and wind stress curl upwelling drive a vigorous overturning circulation that supports biogeochemical rates and fluxes that are approximately twice as large as offshore. Exchanges with the proximate sediments, submesoscale shelf currents, bottom boundary layer transport, and intensified cross-shelf export of shelf-produced materials impact coastal and open-ocean balances. While regional variability prevents extrapolation of our results to global margins, our approach provides a powerful tool to identify the dominant dynamics in different shelf setting and quantify their large-scale consequences.

1 Introduction

Oceanic margins – lying at the interface between the land, open ocean, atmosphere, and sediments — are emerging as central locations in Earth’s Biogeochemical (BGC) transformations and exchanges, and an essential component of the land-to-ocean aquatic continuum (Regnier et al., 2022). Although this idea has a long history (Walsh, 1991), the most recent assessments exceed previous expectations, and reveal the critical role of margins in the global cycles of carbon (C), nutrients, and other elements (Hofmann et al., 2011; Laruelle et al., 2014; Najjar et al., 2018; Fennel et al., 2019; Fennel & Testa, 2019; Cai et al., 2020). Representing only about 7–8% of the surface area of the oceans, shelf environments could support about 20% of total oceanic productivity, more than 40% of the carbon sequestration to the deep ocean, and at least 15% of the net uptake of atmospheric carbon dioxide (CO₂) by the global ocean (Muller-Karger et al., 2005; K.-K. Liu et al., 2010; Cai, 2011; Laruelle et al., 2018; Regnier et al., 2022; Dai et al., 2022). Because of the enhanced exchanges with land and atmosphere and large BGC rates, the

effects of climate change are amplified along oceanic margins, adding to pressure from a growing human population along the coast (Doney et al., 2007; Doney, 2010; Cai et al., 2011; Regnier et al., 2013; Bauer et al., 2013; Breitburg et al., 2018; Fennel & Testa, 2019; Kessouri et al., 2021; Lacroix et al., 2021b; Regnier et al., 2022).

While there is a growing consensus on the importance of oceanic margins for global biogeochemistry (Walsh, 1991; Muller-Karger et al., 2005; Fennel et al., 2008), global assessments of this role remain uncertain (Hofmann et al., 2011), although observational and modeling advances have begun to close this gap (Laruelle et al., 2014; Roobaert et al., 2019; Fennel et al., 2019; Lacroix et al., 2021a, 2021b; X. Liu et al., 2021; Regnier et al., 2022). The difficulty in quantifying ocean margin BGC cycles results from a combination of factors, including the small area of coastal regions, many of which remain undersampled compared to the open ocean; the variety of geographical conditions (e.g., Eastern vs. Western Boundary Currents, wide vs. narrow shelves, polar margins, etc.); the small spatial and temporal scales involved; and the presence of intense and often unique processes, including inputs from terrestrial and anthropogenic sources (Kessouri et al., 2021; Lacroix et al., 2021a; X. Liu et al., 2021; Dai et al., 2022). Given these features, extrapolation from local to global scales is often fraught with uncertainties (Hofmann et al., 2011; Regnier et al., 2022; Dai et al., 2022). Progress towards robust assessments of the role of continental margins at the global scale increasingly depends on improved estimates at regional and local scales (Bauer et al., 2013; Najjar et al., 2018; Fennel et al., 2019; Cai et al., 2020) and high-resolution modeling efforts (X. Liu et al., 2019; Regnier et al., 2022; Dai et al., 2022).

Among continental margins, the U.S. West Coast (USWC) comprises the California Current System (CCS), an ocean-dominated Eastern Boundary Upwelling that exhibits intense biological productivity and sustains high marine biodiversity and important fisheries (Chavez & Messié, 2009; McClatchie, 2014). In the CCS, the predominantly equatorward along-shore winds induce offshore surface Ekman transport balanced by upwelling of denser water at the coast, and shoreward flow at depth (Huyer, 1983; Marchesiello et al., 2003). Upwelled waters are rich in nutrients and dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC), and low in dissolved oxygen (O_2) and pH. Thus, while upwelling fuels high biological production, it also exposes shelf ecosystems to chemical conditions that are potentially harmful to a variety of organisms (Grantham et al., 2004; Chan et al., 2008; Gruber et al., 2012). Large biological DIC uptake in the CCS contributes to the global

atmospheric CO₂ sink, while CO₂ outgassing in recently upwelled waters near the coast counteracts it in Central California (Feely et al., 2008; Fiechter et al., 2014; Laruelle et al., 2014; Landschützer et al., 2020).

Upwelling fuels vigorous variability along the CCS. Upwelling-driven density gradients and along-shore currents exhibit instabilities and support energetic mesoscale and submesoscale flows (Marchesiello et al., 2003; Capet et al., 2008). These in turn affect BGC by transporting and subducting unutilized inorganic nutrients, detritus, and plankton offshore and downward along isopycnal surfaces (Nagai et al., 2015; Chenillat et al., 2015; Deutsch et al., 2021a), in a process known as “eddy quenching” of productivity (Lathuilière et al., 2010; Gruber et al., 2011; Renault et al., 2016b). Submesoscale currents (Capet et al., 2008; Thomas et al., 2008; McWilliams, 2016) further enhance BGC patchiness and modulate ecosystem responses (Lévy et al., 2018; Kessouri et al., 2020).

Because of natural upwelling coupled to a slow decadal shoaling of the pycnocline (Deutsch et al., 2021a), the CCS is expected to be at the forefront of emerging oceanic acidification and hypoxia driven by anthropogenic climate change (Feely et al., 2008; Chan et al., 2008; Gruber et al., 2012), resulting in a multitude of impacts on the coastal ecosystem (Marshall et al., 2017; Doney et al., 2020). A variety of studies, helped by long-running monitoring efforts (e.g., the CalCOFI program (McClatchie, 2014)), have begun showing evidence of these trends along the USWC (Pespeni et al., 2013; Bednaršek et al., 2014).

Although the CCS has been extensively studied, gaps remain in our understanding of BGC cycles in the region, especially on the shelf where acidification and hypoxia events are increasingly frequent (Chan et al., 2008; Feely et al., 2008; Fennel & Testa, 2019; Osborne et al., 2020). Despite knowledge that the bulk of upwelling occurs on the shelf, the patterns of shelf circulation, their contribution to BGC cycles, and their connection to the broad CCS remains poorly-quantified. Additionally, how sub-regional variability, submesoscale currents, and boundary-layer dynamics affect shelf circulation and BGC cycles coast-wide remain topics of active research (Kessouri et al., 2020; Fiechter et al., 2018). These governing processes have often been studied separately, and how they balance each others in a consistent picture is still unclear. While model-based studies provide an ideal tool to study these questions (Frischknecht et al., 2018; Dai et al., 2022), shelf environments have often been poorly represented in models, because of the small scales and strong connections to the adjacent open ocean (X. Liu et al., 2019; Lacroix

et al., 2021a; Dai et al., 2022). Furthermore, cross-shelf exchange is often investigated too far offshore to realistically resolve the shelf-to-open ocean continuum (X. Liu et al., 2019; Lacroix et al., 2021a; Regnier et al., 2022). This problem is particularly acute in the CCS, which is characterized by a narrow shelf with vigorous submesoscale activity (Dauhajre et al., 2017; Kessouri et al., 2020, 2021).

Our goals with this study are two-fold: (1) elucidate how an intense, wind-driven overturning circulation enhances the cycles of carbon, nitrogen (N) and oxygen on the continental shelf of the USWC, and (2) elucidate the contribution of the continental shelf to the balances of these elements within the broader CCS. Specifically, we aim to address the following questions: What physical and biogeochemical processes drive intense carbon, nutrient and oxygen cycles on the USWC shelf? What circulation patterns connect the shelf to the open ocean? And to what extent biogeochemical cycles on the shelf affect the adjacent open ocean?

Answering these questions requires a faithful representation of the complex, fine-scale circulation and BGC of the region, and resolution of shelf processes and their connection to the open ocean. These elements are also needed to improve predictions of BGC and ecosystem change in the CCS (Jacox et al., 2014; Brady et al., 2020), and to expand our understanding of the role of continental margins in the global BGC cycles and changes now underway (Doney, 2010; Fennel & Testa, 2019; Stock et al., 2020; Regnier et al., 2022; Dai et al., 2022). Ultimately, the purpose of this paper is to provide a revised picture of BGC cycles along the USWC, and set a new standard for studies of climate change and anthropogenic impact on continental margin systems.

To this end, we present results from a twin set of high-resolution (i.e., submesoscale-permitting) numerical simulations, composed of a Southern and a Northern configuration that span the USWC (Fig. 1). The simulations are integrated over a 11-year period, forced by realistic winds that include the orographic shaping of the atmospheric boundary layer (Fiechter et al., 2018; Renault et al., 2016a) and current feedback to the wind stress (Renault et al., 2016, 2020), both major physical drivers along the USWC. These configurations are nested in a mesoscale-resolving parent simulation at coarser resolution (Deutsch et al., 2021a; Renault et al., 2021b) that conveys the external influences of the wind-driven gyres and broader CCS into the fine-scale processes along the coast. The simulations fully resolve the mesoscale circulation and provide a partial represen-

tation of submesoscale currents along a narrow shelf over an unprecedented time period and spatial extent (Kessouri et al., 2020). This expensive numerical approach is expected to advance coastal modeling toward improved realism, and to provide time series long enough for statistically robust analyses of local scale variability and climatic trends.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 describes the methods, including model setup and analysis approach. Section 3 provides an overview of the physical circulation, BGC distributions and cycling rates along the USWC, focusing on the balances of carbon, nitrogen and oxygen, with emphasis on the cross-shelf overturning and shelf-to-offshore connectivity. Section 4 provides a detailed analysis of the cycles of these elements on the shelf, and their offshore transports. Section 5 discusses the main findings of the study and their relevance to the broader topic of continental margin biogeochemistry. Various appendices provide additional information to support our results.

2 Methods

2.1 The coupled circulation - biogeochemical model

Figure 1. (a) Map of the USWC showing the 4 km resolution model domain (USW4, gray box) and the twin 1 km resolution northern (USNW1) and southern (USSW1) domains (black boxes). The 200 m isobath (inner red line) divides the oceanic margin from the broad CCS extending approximately 400 km further offshore (outer red line). The 2000 m isobath (light gray line) illustrates the steepness of the continental slope. The CCS is separated into three regions: the Southern Region south of Point Conception (blue shading); the Central Region between Point Conception and Cape Blanco (red shading); and the Northern Region north of Cape Blanco (green shading). (b) Width of the continental shelf (km) between 0 and 200 m depth, as a function of latitude (red line).

Our approach is based on the online coupling between the Regional Ocean Modeling System (ROMS, (Shchepetkin & McWilliams, 2005)) and the Biogeochemical Elemental Cycling model (BEC, (Moore et al., 2004; Deutsch et al., 2021a)). The model solutions analyzed here are run on two Arakawa C grids that cover the whole USWC, from Baja California to Vancouver Island, with a horizontal resolution of about 1 km, i.e., submesoscale-permitting (Capet et al., 2008; Kessouri et al., 2020), and with 60 topography-following vertical levels irregularly stretched for better surface and bottom resolution.

The stretching parameters are $h_{cline} = 250$ m, $\theta_b = 3.0$, and $\theta_s = 6.0$ (Shchepetkin & McWilliams, 2009). The southern configuration (USSW1) extends from 130.7°W to 115.9°W and from 24.4°N to 40.2°N (from Tijuana to Cape Mendocino, Fig. 1). The northern configuration (USNW1) extends from 133.0°W to 121.5°W and from 36.8°N to 49.9°N (from Monterey Bay to Vancouver Island, Fig. 1).

Initial and boundary conditions for both simulations are provided by downscaling an existing hindcast simulation for the whole USWC run at 4 km (USW4) with the same model configuration (Renault et al., 2021b; Deutsch et al., 2021a). The physical surface forcings are identical to the “parent” 4 km simulation and consist of radiative, momentum, heat, and freshwater fluxes at the air-sea interface computed from hourly output from a 6 km resolution atmospheric simulation with the Weather Research and Forecast model (Skamarock et al., 2008) using bulk formulae (W. B. Large, 2006). The topography is retrieved from Becker et al. (2009) at 30 arc seconds, and smoothed to limit horizontal pressure gradient errors. Further details on the 4 km configuration setup, initialization, and boundary forcings can be found in Deutsch et al. (2021a) and Renault et al. (2021b), along with an extensive validation of the large-scale circulation and BGC solutions. We also refer the reader to Kessouri et al. (2020) for a discussion of the emergence of submesoscale physics and its BGC effects in the USSW1 simulation.

The two configurations are run over an 11-year period, starting in October 1996 and ending in December 2007. Physical and BGC state variables are saved as daily averages; physical fluxes and BGC rates as monthly averages. To provide a robust picture of the typical state of the CCS, model output is analyzed over a 8-year period (1999-2007) that excludes year 1998, known for its particularly intense El Niño (Friederich et al., 2002). To a remarkable degree, there is good continuity for the statistical properties of the solutions in the overlap region for USSW1 and USNW1 (Fig. 1).

2.2 BGC material balance equations

We compute the balances of organic carbon (OC, consisting of living, dissolved, and detrital components), DIC, inorganic nitrogen (IN, the sum of nitrate NO_3^- , nitrite NO_2^- , and ammonium NH_4^+), and O_2 along the USWC, based on monthly climatologies. The balance equations for these tracers can be summarized as follows:

$$\frac{\partial \text{OC}}{\partial t} = Adv_{OC} + Mix_{OC} + PP_C - Remin_C - Pexp_{OC} \quad (1)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial DIC}{\partial t} &= Adv_{DIC} + Mix_{DIC} - PP_C + Remin_C + Sed_C + AIF_{CO_2} & (2) \\ \frac{\partial IN}{\partial t} &= Adv_{IN} + Mix_{IN} - PP_N + Remin_N + Sed_N & (3) \\ \frac{\partial O_2}{\partial t} &= Adv_{O_2} + Mix_{O_2} + PP_{O_2} - Resp - Sed_{O_2} + AIF_{O_2} & (4)\end{aligned}$$

In these equations, PP_x and $Remin_x$ represents respectively the effects of primary production and remineralization of the element x , linked together by a fixed stoichiometry ($C : N : O_2 = 117:16:150$). $Resp$ represents oxygen consumption by respiration and nitrification, Sed_x is the flux from sediment, $Pexp_{OC}$ is the organic carbon export by settling particles (which in the model are instantaneously redistributed to the remineralization term), and AIF_x is the air-sea flux of CO_2 and O_2 . Adv_x and Mix_x represent physical transports by advection and parameterized vertical diffusion respectively. Adv_x is computed using the third-order upwind scheme described in Marchesiello et al. (2009) and Lemarié et al. (2012), and Mix_x is specified by the K-profile Parameterization (KPP) boundary-layer scheme (W. G. Large et al., 1994). By averaging these balance term equations over 8 years, the temporal derivatives nearly vanish, allowing analysis of the BGC seasonal steady-state dynamics of the CCS. Seasonal variability is then quantified by constructing monthly climatological averages of each term in the balance equations.

For a complete description of BEC model's equations and parameters, we refer the reader to Deutsch et al. (2021a), in particular the Appendix. Unless differently stated, we restrict the BGC balance analysis to the upper 0-50 m layer, which corresponds to the approximate range of the euphotic zone and encompasses the maximum mixed layer depth in the CCS.

2.3 Eddy decomposition of biogeochemical transport

To highlight the importance of eddies on the transport of biogeochemical material, we separate the advective terms of Equations 1-4 into mean and eddy components, following a classical Reynolds decomposition:

$$\overline{uA} = \overline{u}\overline{A} + \overline{u'A'}, \quad (5)$$

where u is the cross-shore velocity, and A the concentration of a particular biogeochemical tracer. The overbar represents a monthly mean operator and ' the deviation from this mean. Practically, \overline{uA} , \overline{u} , and \overline{A} are computed online, and the eddy term is retrieved

by difference. This decomposition has been used in previous studies (Capet et al., 2008; Gruber et al., 2011; Nagai et al., 2015; Kessouri et al., 2020) to investigate eddy-induced transport. Here, we use it to quantify the eddy contribution to shelf overturning and shelf-to-offshore exchanges. The resultant eddy components include transport on time scales faster than a month, that is, mesoscale and submesoscale fluctuations. Critically, the importance of eddy transport on shelf BGC balances remains largely unresolved in current models targeting continental margins (Lacroix et al., 2021a; X. Liu et al., 2019; Dai et al., 2022), although it is likely to play a primary role.

2.4 Along-isobath coordinate transformation

For convenience, we define the continental shelf as the region with a topographic depth shallower than 200 m (Laruelle et al., 2013). Along the USWC, the width of the continental shelf, estimated from the smoothed topography, varies considerably with latitude around a mean value of 25 km, but it rarely exceeds 50 km (Fig. 1). The USWC continental margin is particularly narrow south of Monterey Bay (~ 10 km on average), where a horizontal resolution of 1 km or less is required to resolve shelf physical processes.

To highlight the vigorous cross-shelf overturning circulation and the resulting BGC intensification, and to facilitate visualization and analysis of model output, we remap model variables on a curvilinear, along-isobath coordinate system adapted to the USWC. This coordinate system is based on 3-dimensional locally orthogonal planes, with the \vec{y} axis aligned with the 200 m isobath and pointing poleward, and the \vec{x} axis pointing shoreward, representing the primary direction of the bathymetric gradient. Further offshore, i.e., for depths greater than 200 m, we transition to a more typical curvilinear coordinate system, with the same \vec{y} axis, but using distance from the 200 m isobath as the \vec{x} axis. The latter extends 400 km offshore and embraces the entirety of the California Current and its meanders. In a region dominated by coastal upwelling and anisotropic circulation, this is a convenient coordinate system that naturally highlights gradients in the cross-shore and along-shore directions, and allows a clear characterization of coastal processes on the narrow shelf.

Figure 2. Along-shore (color contours) and across-shore (solid streamlines) currents along the USWC, averaged in time and along-shore in the Southern (a,d), Central (b,e), and Northern (c,f) Regions during January (a,b,c) and July (d,e,f). By convention, northward along-shore currents are shown by positive velocities (red colors), and southward by negative velocities (blue colors). On the shelf, black streamlines show a “pseudo” stream function ($\Psi_{\beta z}$) computed in the isobath-depth coordinate system as $\partial\Psi/\partial x=w$, with w the along-shore averaged vertical velocity set to 0 on the shelf bottom, used to diagnose the cross-shelf overturning circulation. Dashed black contours show isopycnal surfaces with labeled potential density anomalies. The top panels show the wind-stress curl, which is the primary driver of the cross-shelf overturning circulation.

3 BGC cycles along the USWC

3.1 Mean Shelf circulation and overturning

The CCS is typically described as a wide Eastern Boundary Current, which comprises an offshore equatorward flow at the surface, nearshore summer-intensified wind-driven upwelling, a vigorous cross-shore overturning circulation, and the subsurface poleward California Undercurrent hugging the continental slope around the 200 m isobath (Hickey, 1979; Huyer, 1983; Marchesiello et al., 2003; Checkley Jr & Barth, 2009; Molemaker et al., 2015). In summertime, a coastal equatorward current forms on the shelf to geostrophically balance the cross-shore density gradient produced by upwelling. These circulation patterns are well captured by our solutions (Fig. 2).

To highlight regional variations, we separate the CCS into Southern, Central, and Northern Regions, each characterized by coherent and distinct features (Fig. 1; see also Appendix A for further details), consistent with previous work (Hales et al., 2012; Turi et al., 2014; Renault et al., 2016a; Fiechter et al., 2018). The Southern Region, south of Point Concepcion, comprises the complex bathymetry, islands, and channels of the Southern California Bight, and is characterized by cyclonic recirculation and weaker upwelling. The Central Region, spanning Central and Northern California, is more directly exposed to the offshore oceanic circulation and intense summer upwelling. Finally, the Northern region comprises the Oregon and Washington coasts, and is separated from the Central Region at Cape Blanco, north of which the prevailing winds drive downwelling in winter and upwelling in summer (Figs. A1c).

Figure 2 shows that across the USWC, the wind stress curl is enhanced on the shelf, with a peak in the very nearshore region (shallower than 100 m depth), and it quickly vanishes further offshore. This so-called wind drop-off zone (Renault et al., 2016a; Fiechter et al., 2018) drives a surface Ekman transport divergence, which is balanced by a cross-shelf flow at depth that feeds into the upwelling/downwelling on the shelf.

Figure 3. Vertical cross-shore sections of NO_3 (a,b,c), OC (d,e,f), chlorophyll (g,h,i), DIC (j,k,l), and O_2 (m,n,o) concentrations averaged in the (left) Southern, (center) Central, and (right) Northern Regions from December 1999 to November 2007. The dashed black contours show isopycnal surfaces with labeled potential density anomalies.

Because of the steep decline of the wind stress curl offshore, the wind-forced (Fig. A1.c) vertical circulation occurs mostly on the shelf, turning the whole continental margin into the “engine” of wind-driven upwelling. This cross-shelf overturning circulation is seasonally enhanced, in particular in summer in the Central Region (Fig. 2e), while in the Northern Region a sign reversal in wind stress curl strengthens the downwelling cell in winter (Fig. 2c).

The strong positive wind-stress curl in summer favors the formation of an intense upwelling front on the inner shelf, which is balanced by a surface equatorward current on the Central and Northern Shelves (Fig. 2e,f). The vertical shear is intense enough that the current reverses direction at depth, turning into a poleward coastal undercurrent, which in turn provides a source of baroclinic instabilities that foster eddy exchanges of heat, salt, and BGC materials between shelf and offshore waters (Marchesiello et al., 2003; F et al., 2013; Nagai et al., 2015).

Figure 2 reveals that the cross-shelf overturning comprises a bottom-confined transverse cell dominated by downward and offshore flow next to the seafloor. This cell is active throughout the whole year, and is generally shallower in winter, and deeper in summer. In the Southern and Central Regions, the downslope bottom flow is intensified during summer upwelling, whereas in the Northern Region it is greater during winter downwelling. As suggested by the correlation with the alongshore current, this cross-shelf circulation likely results from shear stress via Ekman dynamics in the bottom boundary layer. Generation of bottom shear on the deeper shelf were reported for the USWC (Lentz

& Chapman, 2004; Perlin et al., 2005) and the NW Iberian continental shelf (Villacieros-Robineau et al., 2019). Here, we highlight the poleward California Undercurrent as central in the generation of bottom shear, and the overlooked role of this bottom cell as an essential margin-to-open-ocean transport pathway that exports biogeochemical material offshore outside the surface euphotic layer.

The complex wind-driven dynamics on the shelf leaves a clear imprint on BGC tracers (Fig. 3). Nutrient- and DIC-rich and O₂-depleted waters are brought to the surface on the shelf, generating strong cross-shore BGC gradients, while in the euphotic layer organic biomass and chlorophyll decrease with the distance from the coast. These patterns can be observed coast-wide, and are particularly pronounced in the Central Region, in agreement with a variety of observations, e.g., from CalCOFI (Bograd & Mantyla, 2005).

3.2 BGC balances

Figure 4. Area-normalized, upper-ocean carbon and oxygen cycle balances along the U.S. West Coast. Net lateral transport terms are calculated as the divergence of horizontal advective fluxes, and correspond to the local time rate of change solely due to the horizontal circulation. The corresponding area-integrated fluxes are shown in Figure C1 in Appendix C.

Figure 5. Area-normalized, upper-ocean nitrogen cycle balance along the whole U.S. West Coast. See caption of Fig. 4 for additional details. The corresponding area-integrated fluxes are shown in Figure C2 in Appendix C.

The major BGC role of the shelf is reflected in the coast-wide balances of C, O₂, and N, shown in Figs. 4 and 5. We focus on area- and time-averaged BGC rates integrated between 0 and 50 m depth, to highlight the intense cycling on the shelf, while reporting spatially integrated fluxes in Appendix C (Figs. C1 and C2).

Offshore, primary production converts DIC to OC at a rate of $54.2 \times 10^{-8} \text{ molC m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ($10^{-8} \text{ mol m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} = 0.864 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ y}^{-1}$) (Fig. 4). The majority of newly-formed organic matter (73%) is directly remineralized in the euphotic layer, with the remainder exported as sinking particles (18%) and by isopycnal eddy diffusion and advec-

tion (9%). On the shelf, carbon assimilation is about twice as large as offshore ($113.5 \times 10^{-8} \text{ molC m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$). Approximately 52% of the organic matter is remineralized in the euphotic layer, 22.5% is exported as particles below the euphotic layer or into the inner-shelf sediment, and 25.5% by lateral advection. Similar to assimilation, particle export and remineralization nearly double on the shelf compared to offshore, whereas atmospheric CO_2 uptake occurs at comparable mean rates. On the shelf, the outgassing of excess CO_2 in recently upwelled DIC-rich waters in central California (consistently with Laruelle et al. (2014) and Turi et al. (2014)) is overwhelmed by the substantial CO_2 uptake by photosynthesis in the Southern and Northern Regions (see Appendix D for further details on air-sea fluxes).

The intensification of BGC rates on the shelf arises from contrasting patterns of nutrient supply to the euphotic layer (Fig. 5). Offshore, N delivery occurs nearly exclusively as nitrate ($\sim 95\%$), by a combination of isopycnal diffusion and lateral advection. This transport feeds new primary production at a rate of $2.0 \times 10^{-8} \text{ molN m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$, and it is balanced by export of organic matter primarily as sinking particles ($\sim 70\%$). As a consequence, ammonium regeneration tightly balances ammonium uptake ($5.4 \times 10^{-8} \text{ molN m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$), resulting in low nitrification rates, and an f - *ratio*, here defined as nitrate uptake over total primary production, of 0.27.

Because of wind-driven overturning, the surface nitrate supply by advection and diffusion on the shelf is about 3.4 times higher than offshore, driving an average assimilation rate of $6.6 \times 10^{-8} \text{ molN m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$. Note that, on the shelf, nitrification is a non-negligible source of nitrate ($\sim 10\%$). Because of nitrification, ammonium release (of which $\sim 13\%$ from the sediment) is not fully balanced by ammonium uptake ($8.8 \times 10^{-8} \text{ molN m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$), and the f - *ratio* is larger on the shelf (~ 0.43) than offshore. Of the organic nitrogen (ON) produced on the shelf, 52% is remineralized, 23% is exported by settling particles, and 25% by lateral advection away from the shelf. Production of O_2 by photosynthesis and consumption by respiration are about twice as large on the shelf as offshore (respectively by a factor of 2.1 and 1.7). O_2 produced in the sunlit zone of the shelf also ventilates deeper layers, and is laterally exported toward the open ocean.

In addition to this cross-shore variability, BGC rates are characterized by strong vertical gradients and along-shore variability between the three USWC regions (Fig. 6). Net community production mainly occurs in the uppermost 50 m of the water column,

Figure 6. Vertical cross-shore sections of primary production (a,b,c in $\text{molC m}^{-3} \text{s}^{-1}$), carbon remineralization (d,e,f in $\text{molC m}^{-3} \text{s}^{-1}$), particulate flux (g,h,i in $\text{molC m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$), oxygen production minus respiration (j,k,l in $\text{molO}_2 \text{m}^{-3} \text{s}^{-1}$), air-sea fluxes (m,n,o, in $\text{molC m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$) of CO_2 (red) and O_2 (blue), with positive values out of the ocean, and negative values into the ocean, and respiration in the sediment (p,q,r in $\text{molO}_2 \text{m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$), averaged in the (left) Southern, (center) Central, and (right) Northern Regions from December 1999 to November 2007. The dashed black contours show isopycnal surfaces with labeled potential density anomalies.

and it sharply decreases to negligible rates at depth. Similarly, most of remineralization occurs in the 0-50 layer ($\sim 70\%$), although substantial rates are observed at depth, mostly driven by organic particle decomposition. Sinking particle fluxes reach a maximum at about 50 m (Fig. 6g-i), coinciding with the phytoplankton compensation depth, where respiration equals photosynthesis.

On the shelf, significant particulate organic carbon fluxes reach the sea floor at depths shallower than 100 m, where they drive intense benthic respiration (Fig. 6p-r), release of DIC at the sediment-water interface, and carbon burial into coastal sediment. The cross-shore variation in sedimentary respiration is noteworthy, because it is a primary source of low-oxygen and low-pH conditions that impact coastal benthic ecosystems (Fennel & Testa, 2019).

While BGC rates show similar spatial patterns in the three USWC regions, they display significant variability. For instance, BGC rates are higher in the Central Region, where primary production can exceed $25 \times 10^{-8} \text{ molC m}^{-3} \text{s}^{-1}$ at the surface, and lower in the Southern Region, where their vertical gradients are also weaker.

Air-sea fluxes contrast with other BGC rates by their particularly pronounced spatial variability (Fig. 6m-o). Due to high DIC concentrations, the central shelf experiences large CO_2 outgassing (with maximum annual mean rates along the 40 m isobath), while ingassing dominates on the northern and southern shelves. The magnitude of the CO_2 flux increases with latitude: the annual ingassing of CO_2 is larger in the Northern Region, reaching up to $10.9 \times 10^{-8} \text{ molC m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ on the outer shelf, whereas it does not exceed $3.3 \times 10^{-8} \text{ molC m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ in the Southern Region. A local peak in CO_2 outgassing in the Southern Region is associated with the Channel Islands, where recurrent cyclonic

eddy eddies expose subsurface waters to the atmosphere. These patterns are broadly consistent with prior data-based assessments (Laruelle et al., 2014; Landschützer et al., 2020; Dai et al., 2022) and modeling studies (Fiechter et al., 2014), and provide a detailed picture of the underlying flux dynamics.

O₂ fluxes are largely anti-correlated with CO₂ fluxes, with ingassing dominating in the central shelf, and weak outgassing dominating in the southern and northern shelves. In the very nearshore region, a sign reversal in air-sea fluxes is often observed, a feature matched by in-situ measurements, e.g., along CalCOFI line 77 (Fiechter et al., 2014), and likely driven by increased production very close to the coast.

In summary, the largest BGC rates on the USWC are found on the shelf, sustained by the vigorous upwelling-driven overturning circulation, and they rapidly decrease offshore. For completeness, we include Appendixes describing the BGC temporal variability (Appendix B), a detailed USWC budget analysis (Appendix C), the seasonal variability in air-sea fluxes (Appendix D), and a comparisons of BGC rates with prior studies (Appendix E). In the next sections, we investigate how this enhanced shelf activity affects offshore BGC balances via lateral transport and tracer redistribution.

4 BGC transport and cycling on the shelf

4.1 Carbon

Figure 7. (Left column) Carbon transport and cycling on the USWC shelf (in 10^3 molC s^{-1}). (central column) DIC and (right column) OC monthly flux across the 200 m isobath integrated over the (upper) northern, (middle) central, and (bottom panels) southern regions. Each panel displays the (upper part) flux integrated over the vertical (in 10^3 molC s^{-1}) as solid blue lines with one standard deviation shown by the shading, and the (lower part) vertical profiles (in $10^{-3} \text{ molC m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$) shown as color contours.

Figure 7 shows the time-mean carbon transport and cycling rates on the three regions of the USWC continental shelf, integrated horizontally and from the surface to the bottom. As a whole, the USWC shelf represents a site of enhanced carbon assimilation that converts DIC to OC at an average rate of ($14.1 \times 10^3 \text{ molC s}^{-1}$), before exporting it at a rate of $14.4 \times 10^3 \text{ molC s}^{-1}$, with a small residual ($0.3 \times 10^3 \text{ molC s}^{-1}$) ac-

counted for by terrestrial inputs and sediment burial. Of the total OC export from the USWC shelf, 90% occurs across the continental slope, rather than meridionally. Along-shore transport across the northern and southern boundaries account for respectively 8% and 2% of the OC export.

In contrast, there are large lateral fluxes and recirculation of DIC across shelf boundaries, with significant import from offshore to the Southern and Northern Regions, and significant export offshore from the Central Region, and along-shore from the Northern Region. Overall, the net supply of DIC occurs mainly across the continental slope, with a net input of $163.1 \times 10^3 \text{ molC s}^{-1}$.

Because of intense upwelling, more than half (63.1%) of the net biological carbon assimilation occurs in the Central Region, which also contributes by about three quarters (78.3%) to the cross-shelf OC export to the open ocean. The mismatch between OC production and export results mainly from the convergence of meridional DIC and OC fluxes that increase the local carbon content, fostering export of DIC and OC offshore, and release of CO_2 to the atmosphere. The Southern and Northern Regions contribute respectively 7.8% and 29.1% of the net carbon assimilation, and 1.5% and 20.2% of the OC offshore export.

Air-sea and sedimentary C fluxes are an order of magnitude smaller than lateral transport, accounting for $\sim 14\%$ and $\sim 1\%$ of the net DIC input to the shelf, respectively. Terrestrial sources, here represented by exchange through the Juan de Fuca Strait, which connects the USWC to the Salish Sea at the U.S. northern border, are not negligible ($\sim 56.3 \times 10^3 \text{ molC s}^{-1}$).

Figure 7 also shows the vertical structure and seasonal variability of the cross-shelf exchange of DIC across the 200 m isobath. Both are strongly influenced by the cross-shelf overturning circulation shown in Fig. 2. During upwelling, DIC is transported into the shelf in the water column interior (Fig. 7, central column), i.e., outside the surface and bottom boundary layers. Export of DIC from the shelf to the open ocean occurs instead within these boundary layers. During winter downwelling in the Northern Region, transport reverses direction at the surface and in the interior, while it remains offshore at the bottom.

The bulk of OC exchange between the continental shelf and the offshore region takes place in the upper Ekman layer, reflecting strong surface currents and high OC concentration. In the Southern Region, the cross-shelf export remains low ($< 2.0 \times 10^3 \text{ molC s}^{-1}$) due to a partial compensation between offshore transport above 20 m and inshore transport below it. The total offshore transport from the Central Region reaches up to $14.0 \times 10^3 \text{ molC s}^{-1}$ at the beginning of upwelling, driven by increasing offshore OC transport at the surface (from $0.08 \times 10^{-3} \text{ molC m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ in February to $1.01 \times 10^3 \text{ molC m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ June). After June, as organic matter accumulates offshore, inshore transport of OC increases, first in subsurface layers from July to October, then from the whole euphotic layer until December. The inshore flux reduces the net OC export from the shelf during upwelling (July and August).

4.2 Inorganic nitrogen

Figure 8. Same as Fig. 7 for inorganic nitrogen and oxygen.

The USWC continental shelf acts as a net sink of inorganic nitrogen ($\sim 2.9 \times 10^3 \text{ molN s}^{-1}$; Fig 8). Biological IN assimilation is largely balanced by the net IN transport across the shelf break ($3.1 \times 10^3 \text{ molN s}^{-1}$). This first-order IN balance is closed by a net terrestrial input in the Northern Region ($0.4 \times 10^3 \text{ molN s}^{-1}$), and net export across its northern boundary ($\sim 0.6 \times 10^3 \text{ molN s}^{-1}$). Cross-shore transport is maximum in the Central Region, reflecting the strong upwelling ($1.3 \times 10^3 \text{ molN s}^{-1}$). Along-shore transport is significant at Point Conception, where a net IN supply by the northward coastal counter-current fertilizes the central coast, consistent with the results of Frischknecht et al. (2018).

The net cross-shelf IN transport results from a balance between inshore and offshore fluxes with a characteristic vertical structure and seasonal cycle (Fig. 8 central column). In the Southern and Central Regions, the cross-shelf transport closely reflects the upwelling-driven overturning (Fig. 2), which transports IN inshore at depth, and offshore in the surface boundary layer. Similar to the upwelling intensity, cross-shore fluxes are much lower in the Southern Region compared to the Central Region, where inshore transport can reach up to $0.13 \times 10^{-3} \text{ molN m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ at about 50 m depth, and offshore fluxes up to $0.43 \times 10^{-3} \text{ molN m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ at the surface. Bottom Ekman layer dynamic drives

substantial offshore IN export year-round in the Central Region. Integrated over the first 20 m above the sea floor, it exports $0.3 \times 10^3 \text{ molN s}^{-1}$ on average, with a maximum of $0.6 \times 10^3 \text{ molN s}^{-1}$ during peak upwelling.

Seasonal variability in cross-shelf IN transport is particularly pronounced in the Northern Region. Net inshore fluxes are higher in summer, during upwelling. From October to April, downwelling drives offshore transport at about 50 m depth, and inshore transport at the surface. Export by the bottom boundary layer is considerable ($2.0 \times 10^3 \text{ molN s}^{-1}$ in average), reaching up to $4.0 \times 10^3 \text{ molN s}^{-1}$ during October and November, when it dominates the net cross-shelf exchange.

4.3 Oxygen

As a result of intense photosynthesis, the USWC shelf is a location of net O_2 production ($15.4 \times 10^3 \text{ molO}_2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ of which $\sim 68.2\%$ occurs in the Central Region; see Fig. 8). The O_2 circulation resembles DIC transport, except for air-sea fluxes, which have opposite patterns. Indeed, despite strong production (Figs. 4-5), the USWC shelf is a site of net O_2 ingassing, mainly occurring in the Central Region.

Wind-driven overturning exposes low- O_2 waters to the surface, where they are replenished by gas exchange and photosynthesis. On the shelf, newly-produced O_2 is exported offshore in the surface Ekman layer, while the northern and southern shelf boundaries and the bottom boundary layer constitute secondary pathways of O_2 export. In particular, the bottom Ekman layer, with an average offshore flux of $10.0 \times 10^3 \text{ molO}_2 \text{ s}^{-1}$, represents an overlooked pathway for ventilating O_2 -poor waters along the deeper parts of the USWC shelf.

Similar to those of DIC, along-shore O_2 fluxes represent an important component of the O_2 balance on the shelf. Their convergence in the Central Region provides the largest source of O_2 ($14.8 \times 10^3 \text{ molO}_2 \text{ s}^{-1}$), exceeding net biological O_2 production. In contrast, in the Southern and Northern Regions, O_2 export in the along-shore direction represents a O_2 source for the adjacent Baja California and Canadian shelves.

Figure 9. Total (solid black line), mean (solid blue line), and eddy (dashed red line) lateral biogeochemical fluxes across the 200 m isobath (in $10^{-3} \text{ mol m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$). Shaded envelopes indicate the monthly variability (1 s.d.) of the mean and eddy components. Positive fluxes are directed inshore. Note the different scale on the x-axis for the Central Region. The gray solid line shows 0 cross-shore flux.

4.4 Cross-shore eddy fluxes

The lateral transports shown in Figs. 7 and 8 arise from a combination of mean and eddy fluxes, the latter of which are particularly vigorous in the region (Capet et al., 2008; Gruber et al., 2011; Dauhajre et al., 2017; Kessouri et al., 2020). Figure 9 shows the mean and eddy BGC fluxes across the shelf break and their vertical structure, highlighting three main exchange pathways: the surface and bottom boundary layers, confined to the top and bottom 20 m, and an interior route in the intermediate layer.

The offshore surface boundary layer transport in the Southern and Central Regions (Fig. 9.a and 9.b) results from the combination of mean and eddy offshore fluxes, with the magnitudes of eddy-fluxes comparable in the two regions. In the Southern California Bight (Fig. 9.a), both components have similar magnitudes, while eddy-driven fluxes are smaller than mean fluxes in the Central Region (Fig. 9.b). This is due to the much more intense mean transports in the Central Region that overwhelm eddy components. This is particularly true for OC transport (Fig. 9.b.2). In this region, the stronger effect of surface eddy fluxes on IN (Fig. 9.b.1) as compared to OC (Fig. 9.b.2) and O_2 (Fig. 9.b.3) indicates that eddies efficiently export upwelled nutrients offshore before they get completely assimilated. While expected, this high level of eddy-induced transport is lower than prior estimates (Gruber et al., 2011; Nagai et al., 2015), partly because of the smaller scales investigated here, and the focus on the nearshore region. The surface boundary layer transport differs in the Northern Region (Fig. 9.c). The wintertime surface coastal convergence is balanced by summertime surface coastal divergence for IN eddy and mean transports (Fig. 9.c.1), resulting in a negligible annual mean net transport. The balance is dominated by onshore mean downwelling for O_2 and DIC (Fig. 9.c.3 and 4, note the large variability associated), with a seasonal compensation of eddy fluxes. Driven by high primary production during the upwelling season, the surface boundary layer OC mean and eddy transports are directed offshore.

In the intermediate layers, the onshore transport is characterised by a significant anticorrelation between mean and eddy fluxes. The eddy terms largely oppose the mean terms, with similar contributions in the Southern and Northern Regions (Fig 9.a and 9.c), and a dominant contribution in the Central Region. In particular, eddies transport inorganic nutrients into the shelf in the 90-40m layer of the Southern Region, and through the intermediate layer of the Central and Northern Regions. This role for fine scale circulation in transporting nutrients and other material on-shelf differs from previous works (Gruber et al., 2011; Nagai et al., 2015) . This can be partly explained by the explicit focus on the shelf of this study. In addition, a critical feature of the offshore transport classically attributed to eddy transport in the CCS is the sharpening of the upwelling front, which causes convergence and subduction of organic matter and nutrients. This front is typically found between 30 and 60 km offshore, (Nagai et al., 2015) that is, outside the shelf in our model (Fig. 1). Thus, part of the material subducted along the upwelling front is likely advected back onto the shelf by eddies. This idea is supported by the inshore eddy flux of organic matter between 50 and 20m depth (Fig. 9.b2).

The bottom boundary layer transport provides a shelf-to-ocean export pathway that is particularly relevant in the Northern Region. The large, bottom-confined mean transport points to a year-round Ekman dynamic. Eddy transport at the bottom may be driven, at least partly, by cross-shore meanders in the California Undercurrent, or even by episodes in which the Undercurrent detaches from the shelf to release submesoscale coherent vortices (Molemaker et al., 2015; Frenger et al., 2018; McCoy et al., 2020). The nearshore localization of the Undercurrent south of Point Conception (Fig. 2) may help explain the large eddy transport extending largely above the bottom, in the Southern Region (Fig. 9.a).

5 Discussion and conclusions

Our study shows that, along the USWC, the largest BGC rates occur on the shelf, driven by the vigorous cross-shelf overturning circulation that results from wind-driven coastal upwelling/downwelling, curl-driven Ekman pumping, and bottom boundary layer dynamics.

While continental margins represents only 6.0% of the total USWC area (considering an offshore limit at 400 km from the coast) our simulations show that they account

for about 18% of the net IN flux to the euphotic zone, 14.3% of the total biomass, 11.9% of primary production, and 17.9% of new primary production. These results are consistent with studies suggesting that about 10-15% of global primary production occurs on continental margins (Muller-Karger et al., 2005).

In addition to locally enhanced BGC rates, due to intense cross-shelf exchanges, the USWC shelf actively participates in the BGC dynamics of the open ocean. A large portion ($\sim 20.5\%$) of the organic matter produced on the shelf is exported towards the Pacific Ocean, comparable to a previous estimate of about 36% from Frischknecht et al. (2018). This export corresponds to about 10% of the net community production (i.e., net primary production minus remineralization) offshore. In other words, 10% of the organic matter found offshore is produced on the USWC shelf. Even if the net cross-shelf IN transport is directed inshore, the surface boundary layer represents a major pathway of IN export offshore. Integrated over the euphotic zone, the IN flux from the shelf to the open ocean equals 12.9% of the total nitrate supply to the euphotic layer offshore. This outgoing flux indicates that the time-scales for nutrient utilization on the shelf are too slow to allow complete drawdown of recently upwelled nitrate on the shelf, despite recent high-resolution estimates of enhanced water residence times on continental margins (X. Liu et al., 2019). Earlier estimates from K.-K. Liu et al. (2010) and Frischknecht et al. (2018) were significantly larger, at respectively about 24% and 17%, perhaps reflecting the coarser resolution of those studies.

Our study also highlights the importance of the mean bottom boundary layer circulation, i.e., the lower limb of the cross-shelf overturning, for shelf biogeochemistry. Transport in the bottom boundary layer drives a year-round offshore and downward flux of DIC, IN, and O_2 across the shelf break along the entire USWC shelf. This flux is substantial, and often of the same magnitude as the vertically integrated net transport. Its consequences for the chemical environment include removal of nutrients and DIC, ventilation of intermediate and deep parts of the shelf, and transport of low- O_2 waters downstream of seasonally anoxic shallow shelf sediment, as observed along the Oregon coast (Chan et al., 2008). Export of DIC and IN along the bottom partially counteracts mid-water transport onto the shelf, potentially reducing the productivity and water acidity of shallower layers. Tracer transport and transformation in the bottom boundary layer also set the properties of submesoscale coherent vortices spawned by the poleward Un-

dercurrent (Garfield et al., 1999; Molemaker et al., 2015; McCoy et al., 2020), in turn affecting subsurface BGC in the ocean interior (Frenger et al., 2018).

The important role of lateral transport of organic matter supports the idea of a fully three-dimensional biological pump along the continental margin of the USWC, as suggested by previous work (Frischknecht et al., 2018; Lovecchio et al., 2017). Our results give particular emphasis to the shelf (within the first 25 km of the shoreline on average) for the production and transport of organic matter to the open ocean, and its sequestration to deeper layers and the sediment. Yet, we downplay the classical view of eddy-driven transport as primarily an offshore flux followed by subduction into the subtropical gyre. On the shelf, our findings indicate an horizontal onshore eddy transport in the intermediate layer, with potential recirculation of material subducted along the upwelling front, painting a more complex view of the eddy-induced component of the biological pump (Lovecchio et al., 2017, 2018). The ability to resolve submesoscale eddies is likely important to correctly represent transport of organic matter and inorganic nutrients, as compared to mesoscale-resolving studies (K.-K. Liu et al., 2010; Frischknecht et al., 2018; Kessouri et al., 2020).

Considering a depth horizon of 200 m (or the sea floor for depths shallower than 200 m), we estimate an export flux of particulate organic carbon of 25.59 TgCyr^{-1} for the USWC, of which 4.59 TgCyr^{-1} , i.e. 17.9% of the total, over the shelf (i.e., to the sediment) (Table 1). Furthermore, 62.6% of the total flux of particulate organic matter to the sediment along the USWC takes place on the shelf. Thus, despite partial decoupling of carbon export from production on the shelf, coastal sediments are likely major actors in the long-term storage of carbon along the USWC margin, consistent with the global-scale estimate ($>40\%$) from Muller-Karger et al. (2005).

Vigorous CO_2 outgassing in the Central Region is more than compensated by ingassing in the Northern Region, making the USWC shelf a relatively weak sink for atmospheric CO_2 , with a net uptake of $\sim 15.3 \text{ TgC yr}^{-1}$ (Table 1), in agreement with the $14 (\pm 14) \text{ TgC yr}^{-1}$ from Hales et al. (2012) estimated over a similar region. Because this net flux is a small residual of large regionally-variable fluxes, even small errors in the representation of gas exchange or interpolation from undersampled observations could lead to biased estimates of the importance of the USWC as an atmospheric CO_2 sink. Coupled to large seasonal variability (detailed in Appendix D), this likely explains the di-

	USWC	Shelf	Ratio (Shelf/USWC)
CO ₂ air-sea flux	15.10	0.76	5.0%
Primary Production	200.61	23.84	11.9%
Particulate organic carbon flux at 200 m or shallower	18.26	4.59	25.1%
Flux directly to sediment	7.33	4.59	62.6%

Table 1. Summary of the main carbon cycle fluxes along the USWC [TgC yr⁻¹]. The units adopted here are commonly used in global carbon flux estimates, and allow comparisons between different studies. See Table E1 for a comparison of these fluxes with published estimates.

versity of estimates for CO₂ fluxes that often consider slightly different regions (see Appendix E).

Following the atmospheric CO₂ increase caused by human emissions, uptake of atmospheric CO₂ along the USWC and its transport into the ocean interior will continue to evolve towards a larger net CO₂ sink (Laruelle et al., 2018; Lacroix et al., 2021b; Regnier et al., 2022). However, the extent and pace of this change remain unclear, because of the variety of mechanisms involved and the significant variability and non-linearity of the system. High resolution regional simulations are thus essential to shed light on future USWC uptake, storage, and transport of anthropogenic CO₂ (Dai et al., 2022).

While terrestrial inputs are generally important along continental margins, in this study we only represent inputs of biogeochemical material from the Juan de Fuca Strait, which largely dominates the total terrestrial discharge along the USWC (Hickey & Banas, 2008). However, additional river fluxes (mainly via the Columbia River and the Golden Gate Strait) and local anthropogenic inputs, for example from agricultural and urban sources (e.g., Sutula et al., 2021), are likely to be locally important. We leave a dedicated assessment of the role of these inputs to future studies.

In summary, due to the vigorous wind-driven overturning circulation (Fig. 2) and specifically its curl-driven Ekman pumping component, the USWC shelf can be schemat-

ically represented as the BGC “engine” of the USWC . Figure 10 summarizes this picture, highlighting the bottom boundary layer as a novel export pathway for biogeochemical material.

Figure 10. Schematic of carbon and nitrogen fluxes along the USWC and their drivers. Solid arrows show transport of organic carbon (blue), inorganic carbon (red) and inorganic nitrogen (green). Major regionally integrated carbon fluxes are reported in units of TgC yr^{-1} .

By quantifying the balances of N, C, and O_2 and providing a consistent picture of the underlying processes, this study is a step forward for assessing the state of the coastal USWC biogeochemistry. Although predicting the future of coastal biogeochemistry under changing forcings is a complex undertaking (Howard et al., 2020; Pozo Buil et al., 2021), our study lays the basis for elucidating the interplay of C, N and O_2 cycles at regional to local scales, highlighting the major elements required, and providing a framework for studying variability and future trends.

In particular, our analysis highlights several new aspects of biogeochemistry along the USWC: (1) The presence of vigorous and highly fluctuating BGC fluxes on the shelf, approximately twice as large as offshore, which are largely under-sampled in observations, and under-resolved by current models; (2) The role of eddies that not only export nutrients and organic matter from the nearshore region, mostly near the surface, but also contribute to enriching the shelf via horizontal subsurface fluxes directed inshore; (3) The importance of the bottom boundary layer circulation, which removes inorganic nutrients and DIC from the shelf by exporting them offshore, thus partially balancing sedimentary fluxes, and provides a O_2 ventilation mechanism for the outer shelf, thus mitigating hypoxia and acidification on the USWC margin.

These results are based on numerical methods that provide a realistic simulation of the coastal-open ocean continuum down to the submesoscale (McWilliams, 2016) and analysis in a novel coordinate system that emphasizes shelf processes. In the intense eddy regime associated with upwelling (Capet et al., 2008; Nagai et al., 2015; Lévy et al., 2018; Kessouri et al., 2020), this requires a resolution fine enough to represent submesoscale currents that induce vigorous cross-shore exchange with a complex vertical structure (Fig. 9). Because of the chaotic nature of the mesoscale and submesoscale regimes,

solutions spanning a period of several years or longer are needed to produce statistically robust representations of biogeochemical balances along the shelf. The resolution used in this study, 1 km, and the duration of the simulations, 10 years, appear to be an effective compromise to achieve a detailed, robust representation of biogeochemical balances along the shelf. However, quantification of multi-decadal to longer trends would require even longer simulations (Deutsch et al., 2021a).

Our study supports the idea that the importance of continental margins in global BGC cycles has likely been underestimated (Muller-Karger et al., 2005; K.-K. Liu et al., 2010; Najjar et al., 2018; Laruelle et al., 2018). However, while we find significantly enhanced primary production and organic carbon sequestration into the sediment along the USWC shelf, CO₂ air sea-fluxes are not dramatically different than in the open ocean, reflecting compensation between upwelling of CO₂-rich waters and enhanced biological uptake. Lateral exchange of nutrients and organic matter between the shelf and the open ocean is also substantial, consistent with a three-dimensional biological pump along the continental margin (Frischknecht et al., 2018; Lovecchio et al., 2017). This exchange reflects a combination of transport pathways on the shelf, which includes eddies and bottom boundary layer circulation. Both remain significant sources of uncertainty for global estimates, with significant regional variability and compensating effects when vertically integrated.

While computational limitations prevent application of our numerical approach at the global scale, analysis of similar high-resolution regional configurations can help filling current knowledge gaps. Some of the general patterns that we simulate along the USWC likely apply to other Eastern Boundary Upwelling Systems with similar wind-driven circulation, for example the role of eddies and bottom boundary layer transport on the shelf. However, the large spatial variability that we observe along the USWC also implies that extrapolation to other continental margins will be difficult, even for Eastern Boundary Upwelling Systems. The fine-scale nature of many of the processes that drive BGC cycles on continental shelves will likely require concerted high-resolution simulations grounded by local observational studies, in order to achieve robust global syntheses (Regnier et al., 2022; Dai et al., 2022).

Acknowledgments

This research is supported by NSF grants OCE-1419323 and OCE-2023493, NOAA grants NA15NOS4780186 and NA18NOS4780174, and California Ocean Protection Council grant C0100400. Computational resources were provided by the Extreme Science and Engineering Discovery Environment (XSEDE) through allocation TG-OCE170017. The model code is available at [10.5281/zenodo.3988618](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3988618).

Appendix A USWC dynamical regions

Figure A1. Maps of (a) surface salinity, (b) surface chlorophyll, and (c) wind stress curl in the USWC averaged from December 1999 to November 2007. Contours of 0.2, 0.5, and 1 mgChl m^{-3} for (full line) the solution and (dashed line) climatological MODIS-Aqua observations ((Esaias et al., 1998) are superimposed on panel b. Black arrows represent the wind field at 10 m height.

Based on geographical, meteorological, and bathymetric characteristics, and the circulation dynamics, we separate the USWC into 3 main coherent regions, each one characterized by consistent patterns in atmospheric and oceanic variables (Fig. A1).

- The **Southern Region** (blue-shaded area in Fig. 1) is characterized by the complex topography and re-circulation of the Southern Californian Bight. Surface waters in the region are relatively warm and salty (Fig. A1) due to advection of low-latitude waters by the Southern California Counter-Current. High surface chlorophyll concentrations are encountered around the islands and near the coast. Along-shore equatorward winds, with relatively weak seasonal variability, produce a year-long coastal upwelling of moderate intensity.
- The **Central Region** (red-shaded area in Fig. 1) is characterized by intense coastal upwelling driven by strong along-shore winds in summer. The coastal wind drop-off generates an intense positive wind curl (Fig. A1) that further strengthen upwelling (Renault et al., 2016a), with significant impacts on BGC (Messié et al., 2009; Renault et al., 2016b). The vigorous supply of nutrients supports high chlorophyll concentrations that extend 100s km offshore.

- Intense river fluxes and nutrient discharge affect the **Northern Region** (green-shaded area in Fig. 1) extending northward to Vancouver Island (Hickey & Banas, 2008). Here, winds are mostly along-shore, but reverses direction from equatorward to poleward during winter. This drives coastal upwelling during summer, and coastal downwelling during winter. The continental shelf is wider in this region, with multiple canyons carving the continental slope.

This separation of the USWC into 3 coherent regions is overall consistent with previous work (King et al., 2011; Hales et al., 2012; Renault et al., 2016a; Kämpf & Chapman, 2016; Fiechter et al., 2018), although boundaries between regions, in particular between the Central and Northern ones, may differ between studies (Jacox et al., 2014). Here, we choose Cape Blanco as the separation because the climatological coastal wind stress curl is positive, and consequently upwelling-favorable, south of the Cape, whereas it is negative north of it (Fig. A1).

The annual mean chlorophyll concentration at surface is in agreement with satellite observations (MODIS-Aqua). The main modeling mismatch occurs in the Northern Region probably due to the absence of an explicit river discharge in the model, especially the Columbia River (Banas et al., 2009).

Appendix B Variability of BGC quantities and rates

As a complement to the mean BGC material distributions and rates in Figs. 3 and 6, here we present daily and monthly variability maps, using a root-mean-square (RMS) measure for the fluctuations (Figs. B1-B2).

The shelf is presented as the region of intense variability of the USWC. Tracers variability increases from the Southern to the Northern Regions, reflecting the intensification of winds and seasonal cycles. It shows the largest variability in the inner shelf of the Northern Region likely driven by the seasonal reversal of the of the wind-driven circulation on the shelf. The same observation can be made for biogeochemical rates expect that larger variability occurs in the outter shelf of the Central Region. Off the southern continental shelf, within about 120 km of the 200 m isobath, the Southern California Bight is a secondary spot of variability. Around the islands, the subsurface variability of NO_3 , DIC, and O_2 is larger than on the Southern shelf. It reflects enhanced sub-mesoscale circulation around the Channel Islands (Dong & McWilliams, 2007).

Figure B1. Vertical cross-shore sections of the daily RMS for (a,b,c) NO_3 , (d,e,f) OC, (g,h,i) chlorophyll, (j,k,l) DIC, and (m,n,o) O_2 concentrations averaged in the (left) Southern, (center) Central, and (right) Northern Regions from December 1999 to November 2007. The dashed black contours represent isopycnal surfaces with labeled potential density anomalies.

Figure B2. Vertical cross-shore sections of the monthly RMS for (a,b,c) primary production, (d,e,f) carbon remineralization, (g,h,i) particulate flux, (j,k,l) oxygen production minus respiration, (m,n,o) air-sea fluxes of (red) CO_2 and (blue) O_2 , and (p,q,r) respiration in the sediment, averaged in the (left) Southern, (center) Central, and (right) Northern Regions from December 1999 to November 2007. The dashed black contours represent isopycnal surfaces with labeled potential density anomalies.

Appendix C Mean BGC balances

In Figs. 4-5, area-normalized balances are presented. Here we translate them into are-integrated balances (Figs. C1-C2). In addition, more detailed breakdowns of the mean oxygen and carbon balances are listed in Tables C1-C2-C3.

Figure C1. Spatially integrated USWC carbon and oxygen cycling schematic.

Figure C2. Spatially integrated USWC nitrogen cycling schematic.

Oxygen Balance 0-50 m [10^{-8} molO ₂ m ⁻² s ⁻¹]											
	USWC	OFFSH.	SHELF	Southern USWC			Central USWC			Northern USWC	
				Shelf	SBC	Offshore	Shelf	Offshore	Shelf	Offshore	Offshore
Area $10^{10}m^2$	91.9	86.3	5.6	0.5	8.4	14.4	2.0	40.6	3.1	22.9	
O ₂ air-sea flux	8.1	9.6	-14.5	5.4	11.2	6.9	-48.8	12.4	4.1	5.8	
Photo 0-50 m	73.6	69.1	144.4	129.0	98.5	44.8	183.4	78.1	122.2	57.4	
Respi 0-50 m	53.2	51.1	87.4	83.4	67.0	37.1	97.6	56.7	81.6	43.8	
VrtFlx at 50 m	-9.1	-8.1	-24.4	-13.6	29.4	-41.7	-15.5	-6.3	-31.9	-3.8	
HrzFlx 0-50 m	-3.2	-0.3	-47.1	-26.6	-49.7	40.9	-119.1	-2.7	-4.6	-4.0	

Table C1. Details of the mean oxygen balance in the USWC upper ocean.

Carbon Balance 0-50 m [10^{-8} molC m $^{-2}$ s $^{-1}$]										
	USWC	OFFSH.	SHELF	Southern USWC			Central USWC			Northern USWC
				Shelf	SBC	Offshore	Shelf	Offshore	Shelf	Offshore
PP 0-50 m	57.8	54.3	113.5	101.2	77.3	35.3	144.3	61.3	96.2	45.1
CO ₂ air-sea flux	-4.4	-4.4	-3.6	-0.8	-2.2	-0.8	5.4	-4.6	-9.8	-7.6
<i>Remin_C</i> from sed.	0.5	-	8.4	7.8	0.2	-	12.4	-	6.1	-
Flux to sed.	0.5	-	8.6	7.9	0.2	-	12.9	-	6.1	-
Remin _C 0-50 m	40.7	39.6	59.0	57.1	51.8	28.9	63.0	44.0	56.7	33.9
<i>Export</i> at 50 m d $^{-1}$	10.4	10.0	16.8	11.6	14.8	5.6	19.5	11.9	16.0	7.6
HFlx _{DIC} 0-50 m	-15.6	-24.0	114.9	107.9	-413.9	296.8	53.9	-43.6	155.4	-49.3
HFlx _{OC} 0-50 m	-1.7	0.1	-28.9	-27.5	-9.1	6.0	-49.6	0.2	-16.1	-0.6
VrtFlx _{DIC} at 50 m	27.8	34.2	-72.4	-72.4	437.0	-291.2	20.4	56.3	-131.8	52.9
VrtFlx _{OC} at 50 m	-4.5	-4.7	-0.2	2.9	-1.4	-6.8	0.7	-5.6	-1.3	-3.0

Table C2. Details of the mean carbon balance in the USWC upper ocean.

Appendix D Air-sea exchanges

In Figure 6, the averaged CO_2 and O_2 air-sea fluxes are presented. This mean picture is complemented by its RMS in order to evaluate their monthly variability B2. In addition, we present here the monthly time series of air-sea fluxes which detailed the strong shaping by seasonal forcing.

In the open ocean, the air-sea flux can schematically be described as outgazing in summer and ingazing in winter. O_2 and CO_2 behave similarly likely indicating that this seasonal variability is driven by the temperature dependence of their solubility in seawater. This statement can be applied to the USWC shelf besides the seasonal intense upwelling, that is on the shelf of the Central and Northern Regions. Summer upwelling brings low-oxygen and high-DIC water toward the surface fostering intense O_2 ingazing (up to $150 \times 10^{-8} \text{ molO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ on the inner shelf of the Central Region) and CO_2 outgazing (up to $20 \times 10^{-8} \text{ molC m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ on the inner shelf of the Central Region).

The air-sea O_2 disequilibrium is reversed on the shelf compared to the offshore region, leading to an O_2 flux directed into the ocean on the continental margin and out of the ocean away from it. The large O_2 ingassing on the shelf can be attributed to upwelling of O_2 -poor waters, which tend to rapidly equilibrate with the atmosphere via air-sea exchange. However, it appears that the upwelling-driven overturning circulation is faster than the timescale of equilibration by air-sea fluxes, so that significant surface O_2 undersaturation persists on the shelf.

Figure D1. Seasonal variability of O_2 and CO_2 air-sea fluxes.

Appendix E Comparison of rate estimates in the CCS

In order to interpret our estimates in perspective of the previous studies and validate them in the context of other findings in the literature, we present here a non-extensive summary of studies contributing to assess the biogeochemical balances along the USWC.

The discrepancies in these independent estimates mainly arise from the varying USWC sub-regions considered by the cited references. Considering they are not point-to-point comparisons, they together provide a literature context with which our modeling results are in agreement. This gives us confidence that the model is performing reasonably well.

Nitrogen Balance 0-50 m [10^{-8} molN m $^{-2}$ s $^{-1}$]											
	USWC	OFFSH.	SHELF	Southern USWC			Central USWC			Northern USWC	
				Shelf	SBC	Offshore	Shelf	Offshore	Shelf	Offshore	Offshore
NO ₃ uptake 0-50 m	2.2	2.0	6.6	5.0	3.4	0.6	9.6	2.3	5.0	1.5	
NH ₄ uptake 0-50 m	5.6	5.4	8.8	8.8	7.1	4.1	10.0	6.0	8.0	4.6	
Nitrif 0-50 m	0.2	0.1	0.8	0.3	0.2	0.0	0.9	0.2	0.8	0.2	
NH ₄ Remin 0-50 m	5.6	5.4	8.0	7.8	7.1	3.9	8.6	6.0	7.8	4.6	
NH ₄ Remin in sed.	0.0	-	1.2	1.0	0.0	-	1.6	-	0.8	-	
Sed. denitr.	0.0	-	0.4	0.4	0.0	-	0.5	-	0.3	-	
Flux to sed.	0.0	-	1.2	1.1	0.0	-	1.8	-	0.8	-	
Export at 50 m	1.4	1.4	2.3	1.6	2.0	0.7	2.6	1.6	2.1	1.0	
HF'lx NO ₃ 0-50 m	0.7	0.4	6.1	6.5	-0.5	0.3	8.8	0.6	4.3	0.1	
HF'lx NH ₄ 0-50 m	0.0	0.0	-0.1	-0.3	0.0	0.0	-0.0	0.0	-0.2	-0.0	
HF'lx ON 0-50 m	-0.2	0.0	-3.9	-3.7	-1.2	0.8	-6.8	0.0	-2.2	-0.1	
VrtF'lx NO ₃ at 50 m	1.3	1.5	0.1	-1.4	3.7	0.3	0.4	1.5	0.2	1.2	
VrtF'lx NH ₄ at 50 m	0.2	0.1	0.5	0.6	0.2	0.2	0.7	0.2	0.4	0.2	
VrtF'lx ON at 50 m	-0.6	-0.6	-0.0	0.4	-0.2	-0.9	0.2	-0.7	-0.1	-0.4	

Table C3. Details of the nitrogen mean balance in the USWC upper ocean.

Another important element concerns the spatial and temporal variability associated with the biogeochemical fluxes in the USWC. The reported estimates varying greatly from one sub-region to another. Also, if the variability at relatively large scales (\sim for inter-annual to seasonal and regional) has been primarily studied in the past since it is largely forced by external mechanisms, variability at smaller scales is less known mainly due to its intrinsic and chaotic nature. In this study, we tried to reduce uncertainties by resolving biogeochemical fluxes associated with small scales processes and by producing solutions over time scales long enough to produce robust analysis.

Biogeochemical rate	Location	Estimates	Experiment/reference
Primary Production $\text{mmolC m}^{-2}\text{d}^{-1}$	Offshore Central Cal.	16 - 67	(Kahru et al., 2009)
	Pt. Concepcion	35 - 52	(Stukel et al., 2011)
	29-34degN	19 - 41	(Munro et al., 2013)
	USWC	46.8	<i>Us</i>
	shelf Pt. Concepcion	91 - 159	(Stukel et al., 2011)
	29-34degN	53 - 96.7	(Munro et al., 2013)
	USWC	98.1	<i>Us</i>
Carbon export $\text{mmolC m}^{-2}\text{d}^{-1}$	Offshore SCB	6.4 - 17.0	(Eppley, 1992)
	SCB	~ 27.1	(Bograd et al., 2001)
	USWC	~ 51.8	(Messié et al., 2009)
	Pt. Concepcion	4.0 - 9.5	(Stukel et al., 2011)
	San Pedro Basin	~ 11.2	(Collins et al., 2011)
	29-34degN	9.0 - 17.5	(Munro et al., 2013)
	USWC at 200 m	4.84	<i>Us</i>
	shelf SCB	21.4 - 46.0	(Eppley, 1992)
	Pt. Concepcion	5.3 - 13.5	(Stukel et al., 2011)
	29-34degN	21.4 - 46.6	(Munro et al., 2013)
	USWC in sed.	18.9	<i>Us</i>
CO ₂ air-sea flux TgCyr^{-1}	25–50N 370 km offshore	14	(Hales et al., 2012)
	33–46N 800 km offshore	-4.5 - 2.7	(Turi et al., 2014)
	35–50N 600 km offshore	6	(Fiechter et al., 2014)
	USWC 400 km offshore	15.86	<i>Us</i>
f-ratio	Monterey Bay	0.84	(Olivieri & Chavez, 2000)
	Baja California	0.25-0.56	(Hernández-de-la Torre et al., 2003)
	USWC shelf	0.43	<i>Us</i>
Nitrification $\text{mmolN m}^{-2}\text{d}^{-1}$	Monterey Bay	1-4	(Ward, 2005)
	USWC shelf	0.7	<i>Us</i>

Table E1. Comparison of BGC rate estimates with selected other studies.

References

- Banas, N. S., Lessard, E. J., Kudela, R. M., MacCready, P., Peterson, T. D., Hickey, B. M., & Frame, E. (2009). Planktonic growth and grazing in the columbia river plume region: A biophysical model study. *J. Geophys. Res. Oceans*, *114*(C2).
- Bauer, J. E., Cai, W.-J., Raymond, P. A., Bianchi, T. S., Hopkinson, C. S., & Regnier, P. A. G. (2013). The changing carbon cycle of the coastal ocean. *Nature*, *504*(7478), 61–70.
- Becker, J. J., Sandwell, D. T., Smith, W. H. F., Braud, J., Binder, B., Depner, J. L., ... Weatherall, P. (2009). Global bathymetry and elevation data at 30 arc seconds resolution: Srtm30_plus. *Mar. Geod.*, *32*(4), 355–371.
- Bednaršek, N., Feely, R. A., Reum, J. C. P., Peterson, B., Menkel, J., Alin, S. R., & Hales, B. (2014). Limacina helicina shell dissolution as an indicator of declining habitat suitability owing to ocean acidification in the California Current Ecosystem. *Proc. R. Soc. B.*, *281*, 20140123.
- Bograd, S. J., Chereskin, T. K., & Roemmich, D. (2001). Transport of mass, heat, salt, and nutrients in the southern California Current System: Annual cycle and interannual variability. *J. Geophys. Res. Oceans*, *106*, 9255–9275.
- Bograd, S. J., & Mantyla, A. W. (2005). On the subduction of upwelled waters in the california current. *J. Mar. Res.*, *63*(5), 863–885.
- Brady, R. X., Lovenduski, N. S., Yeager, S. G., Long, M. C., & Lindsay, K. (2020). Skillful multiyear predictions of ocean acidification in the california current system. *Nat. Commun.*, *11*, 1–9.
- Breitburg, D., Levin, L. A., Oschlies, A., Grégoire, M., Chavez, F. P., Conley, D. J., ... others (2018). Declining oxygen in the global ocean and coastal waters. *Science*, *359*(6371).
- Cai, W.-J. (2011). Estuarine and coastal ocean carbon paradox: Co2 sinks or sites of terrestrial carbon incineration? *Ann. Rev. Mar. Sci.*, *3*, 123–145.
- Cai, W.-J., Hu, X., Huang, W.-J., Murrell, M. C., Lehrter, J. C., Lohrenz, S. E., ... others (2011). Acidification of subsurface coastal waters enhanced by eutrophication. *Nature geoscience*, *4*(11), 766–770.
- Cai, W.-J., Xu, Y.-Y., Feely, R. A., Wanninkhof, R., Jönsson, B., Alin, S. R., ... others (2020). Controls on surface water carbonate chemistry along north

- american ocean margins. *Nat. commun.*, *11*(1), 1–13.
- Capet, X., McWilliams, J. C., Molemaker, M. J., & Shchepetkin, A. F. (2008). Mesoscale to submesoscale transition in the California Current System. part i: Flow structure, eddy flux, and observational tests. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, *38*, 29–43.
- Chan, F., Barth, J. A., Lubchenco, J., Kirincich, A., Weeks, H., Peterson, W. T., & Menge, B. A. (2008). Emergence of anoxia in the california current large marine ecosystem. *Science*, *319*, 920–920.
- Chavez, F. P., & Messié, M. (2009). A comparison of eastern boundary upwelling ecosystems. *Progr. Oceanogr.*, *83*, 80–96.
- Checkley Jr, D. M., & Barth, J. A. (2009). Patterns and processes in the California Current System. *Progr. Oceanogr.*, *83*, 49–64.
- Chenillat, F., Franks, P. J. S., Rivière, P., Capet, X., Grima, N., & Blanke, B. (2015). Plankton dynamics in a cyclonic eddy in the Southern California Current System. *J. Geophys. Res. Oceans*, *120*, 5566–5588.
- Collins, L. E., Berelson, W., Hammond, D. E., Knapp, A., Schwartz, R., & Capone, D. (2011). Particle fluxes in San Pedro Basin, California: A four-year record of sedimentation and physical forcing. *Deep Sea Res. Part I Oceanogr. Res. Pap.*, *58*, 898–914.
- Dai, M., Su, J., Zhao, Y., Hofmann, E. E., Cao, Z., Cai, W.-J., ... others (2022). Carbon fluxes in the coastal ocean: Synthesis, boundary processes and future trends. *Annu. Rev. Earth Planet. Sci.*, *50*.
- Dauhajre, D. P., McWilliams, J. C., & Uchiyama, Y. (2017). Submesoscale coherent structures on the continental shelf. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, *47*, 2949–2976.
- Deutsch, C., Frenzel, H., McWilliams, J. C., Renault, L., Kessouri, F., Howard, E., ... Yang, S. (2021a). Biogeochemical variability in the california current system. *Prog. Oceanogr.*, *196*, 102565.
- Doney, S. C. (2010). The growing human footprint on coastal and open-ocean biogeochemistry. *Science*, *328*(5985), 1512–1516.
- Doney, S. C., Busch, D. S., Cooley, S. R., & Kroeker, K. J. (2020). The impacts of ocean acidification on marine ecosystems and reliant human communities. *Annu. Rev. Environ. Resour.*, *45*.
- Doney, S. C., Mahowald, N., Lima, I., Feely, R. A., Mackenzie, F. T., Lamarque,

- 840 J.-F., & Rasch, P. J. (2007). Impact of anthropogenic atmospheric nitrogen
841 and sulfur deposition on ocean acidification and the inorganic carbon system.
842 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 104(37), 14580–14585.
- 843 Dong, C., & McWilliams, J. C. (2007). A numerical study of island wakes in the
844 Southern California Bight. *Cont. Shelf Res.*, 27, 1233–1248.
- 845 Eppley, R. W. (1992). Chlorophyll, photosynthesis and new production in the
846 Southern California Bight. *Progr. Oceanogr.*, 30, 117–150.
- 847 Esaias, W. E., Abbott, M. R., Barton, I., Brown, O. B., Campbell, J. W., Carder,
848 K. L., ... others (1998). An overview of MODIS capabilities for ocean science
849 observations. *IEEE Trans. on Geosci. Remote Sens.*, 36, 1250–1265.
- 850 F, C., X, C., C, M. J., & Z, L. (2013). Mesoscale eddy buoyancy flux and eddy-
851 induced circulation in eastern boundary currents. *J. Phys. Ocean.*, 43, 1073-
852 1095.
- 853 Feely, R. A., Sabine, C. L., Hernandez-Ayon, J. M., Ianson, D., & Hales, B. (2008).
854 Evidence for upwelling of corrosive” acidified” water onto the continental shelf.
855 *Science*, 320, 1490–1492.
- 856 Fennel, K., Alin, S., Barbero, L., Evans, W., Bourgeois, T., Cooley, S., ... others
857 (2019). Carbon cycling in the north american coastal ocean: a synthesis.
858 *Biogeosciences*, 16(6), 1281–1304.
- 859 Fennel, K., & Testa, J. M. (2019). Biogeochemical controls on coastal hypoxia.
860 *Annu. Rev. Mar. Sci.*, 11(1), 105–130.
- 861 Fennel, K., Wilkin, J., Previdi, M., & Najjar, R. (2008). Denitrification effects
862 on air-sea co₂ flux in the coastal ocean: Simulations for the northwest North
863 Atlantic. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 35, L24608.
- 864 Fiechter, J., Curchitser, E. N., Edwards, C. A., Chai, F., Goebel, N. L., & Chavez,
865 F. P. (2014). Air-sea CO₂ fluxes in the California Current: Impacts of model
866 resolution and coastal topography. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, 28, 371–385.
- 867 Fiechter, J., Edwards, C. A., & Moore, A. M. (2018). Wind, circulation, and to-
868 pographic effects on alongshore phytoplankton variability in the california
869 current. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 45, 3238–3245.
- 870 Frenger, I., Bianchi, D., Stührenberg, C., Oschlies, A., Dunne, J., Deutsch, C., ...
871 Schütte, F. (2018). Biogeochemical role of subsurface coherent eddies in the
872 ocean: Tracer cannonballs, hypoxic storms, and microbial stewpots? *Global*

- 873 *Biogeochem. Cycles*, *32*, 226–249.
- 874 Friederich, G. E., Walz, P. M., Burczynski, M. G., & Chavez, F. P. (2002). Inor-
 875 ganic carbon in the central California upwelling system during the 1997–1999
 876 El Niño–La Niña event. *Progr. Oceanogr.*, *54*, 185–203.
- 877 Frischknecht, M., Münnich, M., & Gruber, N. (2018). Origin, transformation, and
 878 fate: The three-dimensional biological pump in the California current system.
 879 *J. Geophys. Res. Oceans*, *123*, 7939–7962.
- 880 Garfield, N., Collins, C. A., Paquette, R. G., & Carter, E. (1999). Lagrangian explo-
 881 ration of the California Undercurrent, 1992–95. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, *29*, 560–
 882 583.
- 883 Grantham, B. A., Chan, F., Nielsen, K. J., Fox, D. S., Barth, J. A., Huyer, A., ...
 884 Menge, B. A. (2004). Upwelling-driven nearshore hypoxia signals ecosystem
 885 and oceanographic changes in the northeast Pacific. *Nature*, *429*, 749–754.
- 886 Gruber, N., Hauri, C., Lachkar, Z., Loher, D., Frölicher, T. L., & Plattner, G.-K.
 887 (2012). Rapid progression of ocean acidification in the California Current
 888 System. *Science*, *337*, 220–223.
- 889 Gruber, N., Lachkar, Z., Frenzel, H., Marchesiello, P., Münnich, M., McWilliams,
 890 J. C., ... Plattner, G. K. (2011). Eddy-induced reduction of biological produc-
 891 tion in eastern boundary upwelling systems. *Nat. Geosci.*, *4*, 787–792.
- 892 Hales, B., Strutton, P. G., Saraceno, M., Letelier, R., Takahashi, T., Feely, R., ...
 893 Chavez, F. (2012). Satellite-based prediction of pCO₂ in coastal waters of the
 894 eastern North Pacific. *Progr. Oceanogr.*, *103*, 1–15.
- 895 Hernández-de-la Torre, B., Gaxiola-Castro, G., Alvarez-Borrego, S., Gómez-Valdés,
 896 J., & Nájera-Martínez, S. (2003). Interannual variability of new production
 897 in the southern region of the California Current. *Deep Sea Res. Part II Top.*
 898 *Stud. Oceanogr.*, *50*, 2423–2430.
- 899 Hickey, B. M. (1979). The California Current System — hypotheses and facts.
 900 *Progr. Oceanogr.*, *8*, 191–279.
- 901 Hickey, B. M., & Banas, N. S. (2008). Why is the northern end of the California
 902 Current System so productive? *Oceanography*, *21*, 90–107.
- 903 Hofmann, E. E., Cahill, B., Fennel, K., Friedrichs, M. A. M., Hyde, K., Lee, C., ...
 904 others (2011). Modeling the dynamics of continental shelf carbon. *Ann. Rev.*
 905 *Mar. Sci.*, *3*, 93–122.

- Howard, E. M., Frenzel, H., Kessouri, F., Renault, L., Bianchi, D., McWilliams, J. C., & Deutsch, C. (2020). Attributing causes of future climate change in the california current system with multimodel downscaling. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, *34*(11), e2020GB006646. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GB006646>
- Huyer, A. (1983). Coastal upwelling in the California Current System. *Progr. Oceanogr.*, *12*, 259–284.
- Jacox, M. G., Moore, A. M., Edwards, C. A., & Fiechter, J. (2014). Spatially resolved upwelling in the California Current System and its connections to climate variability. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *41*, 3189–3196.
- Kahru, M., Kudela, R., Manzano-Sarabia, M., & Mitchell, B. G. (2009). Trends in primary production in the California Current detected with satellite data. *J. Geophys. Res. Oceans*, *114*, C02004.
- Kämpf, J., & Chapman, P. (2016). The california current upwelling system. In *Upwelling systems of the world* (pp. 97–160). Springer.
- Kessouri, F., Bianchi, D., Renault, L., McWilliams, J. C., Frenzel, H., & Deutsch, C. (2020). Submesoscale currents modulate the seasonal cycle of nutrients and productivity in the California Current System. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, e2020GB006578.
- Kessouri, F., McWilliams, J. C., Bianchi, D., Sutula, M., Renault, L., Deutsch, C., ... others (2021). Coastal eutrophication drives acidification, oxygen loss, and ecosystem change in a major oceanic upwelling system. *PNAS*, *118*(21), e2018856118.
- King, J. R., Agostini, V. N., Harvey, C. J., McFarlane, G. A., Foreman, M. G., Overland, J. E., ... Aydin, K. Y. (2011). Climate forcing and the california current ecosystem. *ICES J. Mar. Sci.*, *68*(6), 1199–1216.
- Lacroix, F., Ilyina, T., Laruelle, G. G., & Regnier, P. (2021a). Reconstructing the preindustrial coastal carbon cycle through a global ocean circulation model: was the global continental shelf already both autotrophic and a co2 sink? *Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles*, *35*(2), e2020GB006603.
- Lacroix, F., Ilyina, T., Mathis, M., Laruelle, G. G., & Regnier, P. (2021b). Historical increases in land-derived nutrient inputs may alleviate effects of a changing physical climate on the oceanic carbon cycle. *Glob. Change Biol.*, *27*(21), 5491–5513.

- 939 Landschützer, P., Laruelle, G. G., Roobaert, A., & Regnier, P. (2020). A uniform
 940 pco 2 climatology combining open and coastal oceans. *Earth Syst. Sci. Data*,
 941 12(4), 2537–2553.
- 942 Large, W. B. (2006). Surface fluxes for practitioners of global ocean data assimila-
 943 tion. *Ocean Weather Forecasting*, 229–270.
- 944 Large, W. G., McWilliams, J. C., & Doney, S. C. (1994). Oceanic vertical mixing:
 945 A review and a model with a nonlocal boundary layer parameterization. *Rev.*
 946 *Geophys.*, 32, 363–403.
- 947 Laruelle, G. G., Cai, W.-J., Hu, X., Gruber, N., Mackenzie, F. T., & Regnier, P.
 948 (2018). Continental shelves as a variable but increasing global sink for atmo-
 949 spheric carbon dioxide. *Nat. Commun.*, 9(1), 1–11.
- 950 Laruelle, G. G., Dürr, H. H., Lauerwald, R., Hartmann, J., Slomp, C., Goossens,
 951 N., & Regnier, P. (2013). Global multi-scale segmentation of continental and
 952 coastal waters from the watersheds to the continental margins. *Hydrol. Earth*
 953 *Sys. Sci.*, 17(5), 2029–2051.
- 954 Laruelle, G. G., Lauerwald, R., Pfeil, B., & Regnier, P. (2014). Regionalized global
 955 budget of the co2 exchange at the air-water interface in continental shelf seas.
 956 *Global biogeochem. cycles*, 28(11), 1199–1214.
- 957 Lathuilière, C., Echevin, V., Lévy, M., & Madec, G. (2010). On the role of the
 958 mesoscale circulation on an idealized coastal upwelling ecosystem. *J. Geophys.*
 959 *Res. Oceans*, 115, C09016.
- 960 Lemarié, F., Debreu, L., Shchepetkin, A. F., & McWilliams, J. C. (2012). On the
 961 stability and accuracy of the harmonic and biharmonic isoneutral mixing oper-
 962 ators in ocean models. *Ocean Model.*, 52, 9–35.
- 963 Lentz, S. J., & Chapman, D. C. (2004). The importance of nonlinear cross-shelf mo-
 964 mentum flux during wind-driven coastal upwelling. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, 34(11),
 965 2444–2457.
- 966 Lévy, M., Franks, P. J. S., & Smith, K. S. (2018). The role of submesoscale currents
 967 in structuring marine ecosystems. *Nat. Commun.*, 9, 1–16.
- 968 Liu, K.-K., Atkinson, L., Quiñones, R. A., & Talaue-McManus, L. (2010). Biogeo-
 969 chemistry of continental margins in a global context. In *Carbon and nutrient*
 970 *fluxes in continental margins* (pp. 3–24). Springer.
- 971 Liu, X., Dunne, J. P., Stock, C. A., Harrison, M. J., Adcroft, A., & Resplandy, L.

- (2019). Simulating water residence time in the coastal ocean: A global perspective. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *46*(23), 13910–13919.
- Liu, X., Stock, C. A., Dunne, J. P., Lee, M., Shevliakova, E., Malyshev, S., & Milly, P. C. (2021). Simulated global coastal ecosystem responses to a half-century increase in river nitrogen loads. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *48*(17), e2021GL094367.
- Lovecchio, E., Gruber, N., & Münnich, M. (2018). Mesoscale contribution to the long-range offshore transport of organic carbon from the Canary Upwelling System to the open North Atlantic. *Biogeosciences*, *15*, 5061–5091.
- Lovecchio, E., Gruber, N., Münnich, M., & Lachkar, Z. (2017). On the long-range offshore transport of organic carbon from the canary upwelling system to the open north atlantic. *Biogeosciences*, *14*(13), 3337–3369.
- Marchesiello, P., Debreu, L., & Couvelard, X. (2009). Spurious diapycnal mixing in terrain-following coordinate models: The problem and a solution. *Ocean Modelling*, *26*, 156–169.
- Marchesiello, P., McWilliams, J. C., & Shchepetkin, A. (2003). Equilibrium structure and dynamics of the California Current System. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, *33*, 753–783.
- Marshall, K. N., Kaplan, I. C., Hodgson, E. E., Hermann, A., Busch, D. S., McElhany, P., ... Fulton, E. A. (2017). Risks of ocean acidification in the California Current food web and fisheries: Ecosystem model projections. *Glob. Change Biol.*, *23*, 1525–1539.
- McClatchie, S. (2014). Fisheries stock assessment, environmental variability, and CalCOFI. *Regional Fisheries Oceanography of the California Current System*, 151–164.
- McCoy, D., Bianchi, D., & Stewart, A. L. (2020). Global observations of submesoscale coherent vortices in the ocean. *Prog. Oceanogr.*, *189*, 102452.
- McWilliams, J. C. (2016). Submesoscale currents in the ocean. *Proc. Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.*, *472*, 20160117.
- Messié, M., Ledesma, J., Kolber, D. D., Michisaki, R. P., Foley, D. G., & Chavez, F. P. (2009). Potential new production estimates in four eastern boundary upwelling ecosystems. *Progr. Oceanogr.*, *83*, 151–158.
- Molemaker, M. J., McWilliams, J. C., & Dewar, W. K. (2015). Submesoscale instability and generation of mesoscale anticyclones near a separation of the

- 1005 California Undercurrent. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, 45, 613–629.
- 1006 Moore, J. K., Doney, S. C., & Lindsay, K. (2004). Upper ocean ecosystem dynamics
1007 and iron cycling in a global three-dimensional model. *Global Biogeochem. Cy-*
1008 *cles*, 18.
- 1009 Muller-Karger, F. E., Varela, R., Thunell, R., Luerksen, R., Hu, C., & Walsh, J. J.
1010 (2005). The importance of continental margins in the global carbon cycle.
1011 *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 32.
- 1012 Munro, D. R., Quay, P. D., Juranek, L. W., & Goericke, R. (2013). Biological
1013 production rates off the Southern California coast estimated from triple O₂
1014 isotopes and O₂: Ar gas ratios. *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 58, 1312–1328.
- 1015 Nagai, T., Gruber, N., Frenzel, H., Lachkar, Z., McWilliams, J. C., & Plattner, G.-
1016 K. (2015). Dominant role of eddies and filaments in the offshore transport
1017 of carbon and nutrients in the California Current System. *J. Geophys. Res.*
1018 *Oceans*, 120, 5318–5341.
- 1019 Najjar, R. G., Herrmann, M., Alexander, R., Boyer, E. W., Burdige, D., Butman,
1020 D., ... others (2018). Carbon budget of tidal wetlands, estuaries, and shelf
1021 waters of eastern north america. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, 32(3), 389–416.
- 1022 Olivieri, R. A., & Chavez, F. P. (2000). A model of plankton dynamics for the
1023 coastal upwelling system of Monterey Bay, California. *Deep Sea Res. Part II*
1024 *Top. Stud. Oceanogr.*, 47(5-6), 1077–1106.
- 1025 Osborne, E. B., Thunell, R. C., Gruber, N., Feely, R. A., & Benitez-Nelson, C. R.
1026 (2020). Decadal variability in twentieth-century ocean acidification in the
1027 california current ecosystem. *Nat. Geosci.*, 13(1), 43–49.
- 1028 Perlin, A., Moum, J. N., & Klymak, J. M. (2005). Response of the bottom boundary
1029 layer over a sloping shelf to variations in alongshore wind. *J. Geophys. Res.*
1030 *Oceans*, 110(C10).
- 1031 Pespeni, M. H., Chan, F., Menge, B. A., & Palumbi, S. R. (2013). Signs of adap-
1032 tation to local ph conditions across an environmental mosaic in the California
1033 Current Ecosystem. *Integr. Comp. Biol.*, 53, 857–870.
- 1034 Pozo Buil, M., Jacox, M. G., Fiechter, J., Alexander, M. A., Bograd, S. J., Cur-
1035 chitser, E. N., ... Stock, C. A. (2021). A dynamically downscaled ensemble
1036 of future projections for the california current system. *Frontiers in Marine*
1037 *Science*, 8, 612874.

- 1038 Regnier, P., Friedlingstein, P., Ciais, P., Mackenzie, F. T., Gruber, N., Janssens,
 1039 I. A., ... others (2013). Anthropogenic perturbation of the carbon fluxes from
 1040 land to ocean. *Nat. Geosci.*, *6*(8), 597–607.
- 1041 Regnier, P., Resplandy, L., Najjar, R. G., & Ciais, P. (2022). The land-to-ocean
 1042 loops of the global carbon cycle. *Nature*, *603*(7901), 401–410.
- 1043 Renault, L., Deutsch, C., McWilliams, J. C., Frenzel, H., Liang, J.-H., & Colas, F.
 1044 (2016b). Partial decoupling of primary productivity from upwelling in the
 1045 california current system. *Nature Geosci.*, *9*, 505–508.
- 1046 Renault, L., Hall, A., & McWilliams, J. C. (2016a). Orographic shaping of us west
 1047 coast wind profiles during the upwelling season. *Clim. Dyn.*, *46*, 273–289.
- 1048 Renault, L., Masson, S., Arsouze, T., Madec, G., & McWilliams, J. C. (2020).
 1049 Recipes for how to force oceanic model dynamics. *J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst.*,
 1050 *12*, e2019MS001715.
- 1051 Renault, L., McWilliams, J. C., Jousse, A., Deutsch, C., Frenzel, H., Kessouri, F.,
 1052 & Chen, R. (2021b). The physical structure and behavior of the california
 1053 current system. *Prog. Oceanogr.*, *195*, 102564.
- 1054 Renault, L., Molemaker, M. J., McWilliams, J. C., Shchepetkin, A. F., Lemarié, F.,
 1055 Chelton, D., ... Hall, A. (2016). Modulation of wind work by oceanic current
 1056 interaction with the atmosphere. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, *46*(6), 1685–1704.
- 1057 Roobaert, A., Laruelle, G. G., Landschützer, P., Gruber, N., Chou, L., & Regnier,
 1058 P. (2019). The spatiotemporal dynamics of the sources and sinks of co2 in the
 1059 global coastal ocean. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, *33*(12), 1693–1714.
- 1060 Shchepetkin, A. F., & McWilliams, J. C. (2005). The Regional Oceanic Modeling
 1061 System (ROMS): a split-explicit, free-surface, topography-following-coordinate
 1062 oceanic model. *Ocean Model.*, *9*, 347–404.
- 1063 Shchepetkin, A. F., & McWilliams, J. C. (2009). Correction and commentary for
 1064 “Ocean forecasting in terrain-following coordinates: Formulation and skill as-
 1065 sessment of the regional ocean modeling system” by Haidvogel et al., *J. Comp.*
 1066 *Phys.* *227*, pp. 3595–3624. *J. Comput. Phys.*, *228*, 8985–9000.
- 1067 Skamarock, W. C., Klemp, J. B., Dudhia, J., Gill, D. O., Barker, D. M., Duda,
 1068 M. G., ... Powers, J. G. (2008). G.: A description of the advanced research
 1069 wrf version 3. *NCAR Tech. Note NCAR/TN-475+ STR*.
- 1070 Stock, C. A., Dunne, J. P., Fan, S., Ginoux, P., John, J., Krasting, J. P., ... Zadeh,

- 1071 N. (2020). Ocean biogeochemistry in gfdl’s earth system model 4.1 and
1072 its response to increasing atmospheric co2. *J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst.*,
1073 e2019MS002043.
- 1074 Stukel, M. R., Landry, M. R., Benitez-Nelson, C. R., & Goericke, R. (2011). Trophic
1075 cycling and carbon export relationships in the California Current Ecosystem.
1076 *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 56, 1866–1878.
- 1077 Sutula, M., Ho, M., Sengupta, A., Kessouri, F., McLaughlin, K., McCune, K., &
1078 Bianchi, D. (2021). A baseline of terrestrial freshwater and nitrogen fluxes to
1079 the southern california bight, usa. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 170, 112669.
- 1080 Thomas, L. N., Tandon, A., & Mahadevan, A. (2008). Submesoscale processes and
1081 dynamics. *Ocean Modeling in an Eddying Regime, Geophys. Monogr. Ser.*,
1082 177, 17–38.
- 1083 Turi, G., Lachkar, Z., & Gruber, N. (2014). Spatiotemporal variability and drivers of
1084 pco2 and air-sea co2 fluxes in the california current system: an eddy-resolving
1085 modeling study. *Biogeosciences*, 11, 671–690.
- 1086 Villaceros-Robineau, N., Zúñiga, D., Barreiro-González, B., Alonso-Pérez, F., de la
1087 Granda, F., Froján, M., ... Castro, C. G. (2019). Bottom boundary layer and
1088 particle dynamics in an upwelling affected continental margin (nw iberia). *J.*
1089 *Geophys. Res. Oceans*, 124(12), 9531–9552.
- 1090 Walsh, J. J. (1991). Importance of continental margins in the marine biogeochemical
1091 cycling of carbon and nitrogen. *Nature*, 350, 53–55.
- 1092 Ward, B. B. (2005). Temporal variability in nitrification rates and related biogeo-
1093 chemical factors in Monterey Bay, California, USA. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, 292,
1094 97–109.