

1 **Statistical Analysis of Discrete Aurora on Mars:**
2 **Variability with Magnetic Topology, Local Time and**
3 **Season**

4 **Krishnaprasad Chirakkil^{1,2,3}, Robert J. Lillis³, Justin Deighan¹, Michael S.**
5 **Chaffin¹, Sonal K. Jain¹, David A. Brain¹, Matthew O. Fillingim³, Raghuram**
6 **Susarla^{1,2}, Greg Holsclaw¹, Xiaohua Fang¹, Nick M. Schneider¹, Hoor**
7 **AlMazmi⁴, Hessa AlMatroushi⁵, Marko Gacesa^{2,6}, Nayla El-Kork^{2,6}**

8 ¹Laboratory for Atmospheric and Space Physics, University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, CO, USA

9 ²Space and Planetary Science Center, Khalifa University, Abu Dhabi, UAE

10 ³Space Sciences Laboratory, University of California, Berkeley, CA, USA

11 ⁴United Arab Emirates Space Agency, Abu Dhabi, UAE

12 ⁵Mohammed Bin Rashid Space Centre, Dubai, UAE

13 ⁶Department of Physics, Khalifa University, Abu Dhabi, UAE

14 **Key Points:**

- 15 • Higher aurora occurrence is observed in regions with open magnetic topology and
16 vertical crustal fields
17 • More aurora occurs near-terminator compared to midnight, with higher occurrence
18 at dusk than dawn
19 • Aurora shows seasonal dependence that follows the seasonal variability in ionospheric
20 photoelectrons

21 February 14, 2024

Corresponding author: Krishnaprasad Chirakkil, krishnaprasad.chirakkil@lasp.colorado.edu,
kpchirakkil@gmail.com

Abstract

We present a comprehensive study of the nightside discrete electron aurora phenomenon on Mars, utilizing observations from the Emirates Mars Ultraviolet Spectrometer (EMUS) onboard the Emirates Mars Mission (EMM). The oxygen emission at 130.4 nm is by far the brightest FUV (far ultraviolet) auroral emission line observed at Mars. We identify auroral pixels in OI 130.4 nm disk observations, with higher sensitivity than previously possible. Our statistical analysis reveals regional, solar zenith angle, local time, and seasonal dependencies of auroral occurrence. Higher occurrence of aurora is observed in regions of open magnetic topology and vertical crustal magnetic fields. Aurora occurs more frequently closer to the terminator and is more likely on the dusk versus dawn sides of the night hemisphere. A pronounced auroral feature appears close to midnight local times in the southern hemisphere, consistent with the “spot” of energetic electron fluxes previously identified in the Mars Global Surveyor (MGS) data. The auroral spot is more frequent after midnight than before. Additionally, some regions on Mars are “aurora voids” where essentially no aurora occurs. The non-crustal field aurora exhibits a seasonal dependence, with major enhancements around L_S 235° (near perihelion) and L_S 30°. This is in line with the seasonal variability in ionospheric Total Electron Content (TEC) observed by Mars Express, which is in turn related to the variability of solar irradiance and thermospheric density. Aurora occurrence also shows an increase with the rise of Solar Cycle 25. These observations not only shed light on where and when Martian aurora occurs, but also add to our understanding of Mars’ magnetic environment and its interaction with the heliospheric environment.

Plain Language Summary

In our study, we explore the fascinating phenomenon of aurora on the nightside of Mars, using observations from the highly sensitive Emirates Mars Ultraviolet Spectrometer on the Emirates Mars Mission. Our analysis reveals distinct patterns in aurora occurrence on the planet. For instance, there’s a higher rate of auroral activity in regions where Mars’ magnetic field lines are open to space and in places with strong vertical magnetic fields. We also found that aurora is more common near the terminator, and particularly during the evening hours, as opposed to early morning. Interestingly, these Martian light shows also have a seasonal rhythm, peaking around specific times in a Martian year. This seasonal pattern aligns with the seasonal variation of photoelectrons in Mars’ ionosphere. Our study not only gives us a clearer picture of where and when the aurora occurs on Mars but also hints at the underlying processes influencing them, offering insights into the planet’s magnetic and charged particle environment.

1 Nightside Discrete Electron Aurora on Mars

Mars discrete electron aurora, first identified by the SPICAM (SPectroscopy for the Investigation of the Characteristics of the Atmosphere of Mars) ultraviolet spectrometer on Mars Express (Bertaux et al., 2005; Bertaux et al., 2006; Leblanc et al., 2006; Soret et al., 2016), are spatially confined photon emissions in Mars’ nightside upper atmosphere, generated by the decay of electronic states in atoms and molecules excited by suprathermal electrons ($>$ a few eV). These discrete aurorae (a total of 19 detections by SPICAM: 16 in nadir mode and 3 in limb mode), distinguishable by their small spatial scales and association with strong vertical crustal magnetic fields, differ significantly from other Mars auroral types (Haider et al., 2022): diffuse aurora, caused by the global precipitation of solar energetic particles (Schneider et al., 2015; Gérard et al., 2017; Nakamura et al., 2022; Schneider et al., 2018), and proton aurora, resulting from solar wind protons directly entering the upper atmosphere after charge exchange with neutral hydrogen in the corona (Deighan et al., 2018; Hughes et al., 2019; Ritter et al., 2018; Gérard et al., 2019; Chaffin et al., 2022).

70 The Mars Atmosphere and Volatile Evolution (MAVEN) Imaging Ultraviolet Spectro-
 71 graph (IUVS) has expanded our understanding with over 400 detections of discrete aurora
 72 (278 detections in limb mode and 126 detections in nadir mode), revealing different behav-
 73 iors within and outside Mars’ strong crustal magnetic field region or “mini-magnetosphere”
 74 in the southern hemisphere which is near 177°E and 52°S (Schneider et al., 2021; Girazian
 75 et al., 2022; Johnston et al., 2023; Bowers et al., 2023; Soret et al., 2021; Fang et al., 2022).
 76 Both MEX (Mars Express) and MAVEN observations of discrete aurorae are mainly focused
 77 on mid ultraviolet (MUV) emissions, such as CO Cameron bands (190 to 270 nm), CO₂⁺
 78 UV doublet (at 288–289 nm), and the oxygen forbidden emission (at 297.2 nm) due to their
 79 higher brightness (Gérard et al., 2015; Schneider et al., 2018; Soret et al., 2021).

80 The Emirates Mars Ultraviolet Spectrograph (EMUS) on the Emirates Mars Mission
 81 (EMM) spacecraft (Amiri et al., 2022) has further advanced this research. With its large
 82 orbit and greater sensitivity in the extreme and far ultraviolet range ($\sim 85 - 180$ nm),
 83 EMM/EMUS has enabled systematic synoptic (disk) nearly global observations of Mars’
 84 upper atmosphere (Holsclaw et al., 2021). Using EMUS observations, Chaffin et al. (2022)
 85 reported patchy proton aurora emissions in the dayside Martian atmosphere at hydrogen
 86 Lyman alpha and Lyman beta wavelengths. Furthermore, England et al. (2022) reported
 87 dayglow structures in the EMUS observations of several disk emissions including OI 130.4
 88 nm.

89 Lillis et al. (2022) presented the first synoptic images of nightside discrete aurora using
 90 EMUS observations, detecting discrete aurora in over 75% of images during a time of mostly
 91 low solar activity. The oxygen emission lines (at 130.4 nm and 135.6 nm among others),
 92 carbon monoxide (CO) emission lines including the Fourth Positive Group (CO 4PG) band
 93 emission, and carbon emission lines are prominent among the detected extreme/far ultravi-
 94 olet (EUV/FUV) auroral emission features. This is expected for electron impact on CO₂,
 95 O, and CO resulting in a range of excited states of O and CO. Lillis et al. (2022) classified
 96 the discrete auroral features captured by EMUS as crustal field aurora in regions of strong
 97 crustal magnetic fields (e.g., Figure 1a), non-crustal field sinuous aurora which are elon-
 98 gated, filamentary emissions usually formed away from strong crustal fields (e.g., Figure
 99 1b), and non-crustal field patchy aurora in spatially extended weak crustal field regions
 100 often with less defined edges (e.g., Figure 1c). Note that all three types of discrete aurora
 101 can appear simultaneously at different locations on the nightside (Lillis et al., 2022; Atri et
 102 al., 2022; Pacios et al., 2024). However, these studies used limited data sets and did not
 103 provide in-depth analyses of Mars’ discrete aurora, its variability, and drivers.

104 We use OI 130.4 nm triplet emission (result of an electric dipole allowed resonance
 105 transition $^3S \rightarrow ^3P$ of atomic oxygen), which is brightest FUV auroral emission feature in
 106 the Martian atmosphere – with the highest signal-to-noise ratio – for the analysis presented
 107 in this study. The following sections describe the instrument and data used, dependence of
 108 aurora on crustal field, magnetic topology, solar zenith angle (SZA), local time, and season.
 109 Finally, the paper concludes by summarizing the analysis and describing the prospects for
 110 future work.

111 2 EMM EMUS Disk Observations

112 The Emirates Mars Mission (EMM) spacecraft, orbiting every approximately 55 hours
 113 in a $\sim 20,000 \times 43,000$ km altitude (6.9×13.7 Mars radii) science orbit inclined at 25°,
 114 provides near-complete geographic and diurnal coverage of Mars every approximately 10
 115 days (Amiri et al., 2022; Almatroushi et al., 2021). Emirates Mars Ultraviolet Spectrometer
 116 (EMUS), an EUV/FUV spectrometer mounted on the instrument deck of the EMM orbiter,
 117 records photon counts from $\sim 85 - 180$ nm UV light in each pixel. The light enters through a
 118 narrow 0.6° x 11° aperture (or “slit”) and is directed by a spherical mirror onto a diffraction
 119 grating, which then splits the light into its spectral components. This process results in
 120 a two-dimensional image (one spatial and one spectral dimension) on the detector, which




Figure 1. EMUS disk images of OI 130.4 nm emission showing examples of crustal field aurora and non-crustal field aurora: a) crustal field aurora on 2023/04/28, b) sinuous non-crustal field aurora on 2022/12/01, and c) patchy non-crustal field aurora on 2022/01/04. The cyan and magenta contours are radial (i.e., vertical) component of crustal magnetic field at -10 nT and 10 nT respectively at an altitude of 400 km based on the model of Langlais et al. (2019).

121 consists of a microchannel plate stack with a cesium iodide photocathode and a photon-
 122 counting, cross-delay line anode that enables spectral-spatial imaging (Holsclaw et al., 2021).

123 EMUS operates in various observation strategies (OS), including OS1, OS2, and OSr,
 124 where the slit field-of-view is dragged slowly (about 13–21 minutes) across the disk of Mars
 125 as seen from the spacecraft (with 7.1, 6, and 10.7 seconds integrations for OS1, OS2, and
 126 OSr respectively). OSr involves a single swath covering most of the disk, OS1 consists of two
 127 overlapping swaths, and OS2 comprises two or three swaths depending on EMM’s distance
 128 from Mars, covering the disk and inner corona (Holsclaw et al., 2021). This allows for the
 129 reconstruction of images at any desired wavelength for each swath. Holsclaw et al. (2021)
 130 provides a detailed description of the EMUS instrument, its data collection modes, and
 131 science goals.

132 This study has examined the EMUS disk dataset acquired between 2021-04-21 and
 133 2023-08-31, which is a total of 4879 swaths (or individual images) scanning both dayside
 134 and nightside combining OS1 (454 swaths), OS2 (1979 swaths) and OSr (2446 swaths). We
 135 restricted the solar zenith angle (SZA) and phase angle to be greater than 120° , ensuring suf-
 136 ficient nightside disk coverage, with emission angle less than 88° , to observe discrete aurora
 137 if present. The SZA criterion is for picking the nightside pixels that are not contaminated
 138 by the UV dayglow (primarily produced by resonant scattering of sunlight at UV) and are
 139 away from the UV terminator (Lillis et al., 2022). The phase angle criterion is introduced
 140 to remove any dayside oxygen exosphere foreground contribution to the auroral brightness.
 141 The emission angle criterion is set to get just the disk pixels and to avoid any inner corona
 142 pixels. The altitude of the discrete aurora emission is around ~ 130 km near the ionospheric
 143 peak (Soret et al., 2021). These criteria reduced our dataset to 1319 swaths (consisting
 144 of 732, 538, and 49 swaths in OSr, OS2, and OS1 respectively), comprising a total of \sim
 145 6.08×10^6 pixels on the nightside. We also removed any hydrogen Lyman alpha background
 146 to OI 130.4 nm emission by subtracting the H Lyman alpha wing from 130.4 nm oxygen
 147 emission (Chirakkil et al., 2023).

148 Figure 1 shows three examples of EMUS disk swath observations on the nightside (in
 149 orthographic projection) with discrete aurora in OI 130.4 nm emission. Figure 1a is a crustal
 150 field aurora, where the auroral emission is from a strong crustal field region in the southern
 151 hemisphere (“the mini-magnetosphere”), Figures 1b and 1c are examples of non-crustal




Figure 2. Histograms showing the number of pixels in logarithmic brightness bins for all night-side pixels (in black), divided into pre-midnight pixels (in blue), and post-midnight pixels (in red). Vertical gray lines show the brightness thresholds of 5 R (dashed line) and 8 R (dotted line).

152 field sinuous aurora and non-crystal field patchy aurora respectively, where the emission is
 153 from regions away from the strong crustal fields. The contours of radial crustal field at -10
 154 nT (cyan) and 10 nT (magenta) are also shown for context (Langlais et al., 2019; Lillis et
 155 al., 2022). We can notice that the non-crystal field aurora (both patchy and sinuous) are
 156 connected to the dayside terminator, and this is commonly observed.

157 3 Crustal Field and Magnetic Topology Dependence of Aurora

158 Figure 2 shows the brightness histograms of all the nightside pixels, as well as the
 159 histograms divided into pre-midnight pixels (before 12 am) and post-midnight pixels (after
 160 12 am). An aurora is detected using a fixed brightness threshold following Lillis et al. (2022).
 161 The OI 130.4 nm emission brightness thresholds of 5 R and 8 R are also shown in Figure 2.
 162 At all brightness levels, the pre-midnight pixel counts are higher than the post-midnight
 163 pixel counts.

164 Figure 3 shows the occurrence of aurora in geographic latitude and longitude. Occur-
 165 rence is calculated as a fraction of aurora pixels to all nightside pixels in each bin. Here
 166 we used 1° by 1° geographic bins. We did a pixel subsampling (by dividing each individual
 167 pixel into four subpixels) for better statistics and improved spatial resolution. A Gaussian
 168 filter is applied in the geographic maps to reduce the noise. The upper and lower panels
 169 depict the geographic occurrence maps for 5 R and 8 R thresholds, respectively, in OI 130.4
 170 nm emission. The crustal field contours of -10 nT (green) and 10 nT (blue) are also shown.
 171 We can see that the strong crustal field regions display a higher aurora occurrence that is
 172 localized and discrete, especially in the southern hemisphere and near the equator. The
 173 aurora occurrence is reduced for a threshold of 8 R as compared to 5 R. This aligns with
 174 the trend in Figure 2, where the number of auroral pixels decreases with increasing auroral
 175 brightness.




Figure 3. Geographic occurrence of discrete aurora in OI 130.4 nm emission using brightness thresholds of a) 5 R and b) 8 R. Green and blue contours are the -10 nT and 10 nT levels of radial component of crustal magnetic field respectively, at 400 km altitude according the model of Langlais et al. (2019).




Figure 4. Geographic maps showing Mars' a) crustal magnetic field strength ($B = \sqrt{B_r^2 + B_t^2 + B_p^2}$), b) crustal magnetic field elevation angle (Elevation Angle = $\arctan\left(\frac{|B_r|}{\sqrt{B_t^2 + B_p^2}}\right)$), both at 400 km altitude according to the model of Langlais et al. (2019), and c) open field topology probability at 400 km altitude at 2 am local time from Brain et al. (2007). Histograms showing aurora occurrence d) as a function of crustal field strength, e) as a function of crustal field elevation angle (for crustal field strength greater than 10 nT), and f) as a function of probability of open field topology.




Figure 5. Aurora occurrence as a function of crustal field elevation angle at 400 km (Langlais et al., 2019) and probability of open field topology at 400 km at 2 am (Brain et al., 2007). Aurora occurrence is highest for the bin of 90–100% open field topology and 80–90° crustal field elevation angle.

176 In addition to the bright crustal field patches, we also notice large areas of “aurora
 177 voids”, where the aurora occurrence is nearly zero. This is analogous to “plasma voids”
 178 observed by Mars Global Surveyor (MGS) spacecraft, and are seemed to be observed where
 179 closed crustal magnetic loops existed at 400 km on the nightside – i.e., they did not connect
 180 with the magnetosphere, and hence, suprathermal electrons could not access them (Mitchell
 181 et al., 2001; Steckiewicz et al., 2017; Brain et al., 2007; Lillis & Brain, 2013). A strong
 182 auroral occurrence feature in the southern hemisphere between ~ 60 to 150 degrees east
 183 longitude and $\sim 60^\circ\text{S}$ to 75°S latitude is consistent with the “spot” of energetic electron
 184 fluxes identified in MGS data at 2 am (Brain et al., 2007), which has also been numerically
 185 predicted by Fang et al. (2022). Additionally, we can see significant aurora occurrence in
 186 regions away from these strong crustal fields. These are more diffuse features (i.e., with less
 187 clear edges) in the occurrence map and are the result of mostly non-crustal field patchy
 188 aurora, but also less-common sinuous aurora. The coverage at high latitudes (near the
 189 poles) is poor due to the SZA cut-off at 120° . The geographic maps with both 5 R and 8 R
 190 thresholds are similar, except that the features become more sharp (with distinct boundaries)
 191 at higher brightness threshold. Hence for the rest of the analysis, we use a brightness
 192 threshold of 5 R for better pixel statistics, due to the greater number of pixels falling above
 193 that threshold and O corona background emission of the nightside almost never exceeding
 194 5 R (Lillis et al., 2022).

195 Now that we know there is a crustal field dependence for discrete aurora, let us exam-
 196 ine it in detail. Figure 4(a–c) shows the geographic maps of crustal field strength (Figure
 197 4a), crustal field elevation angle (Figure 4b), and probability of open field topology (Figure
 198 4c). The crustal field data at 400 km altitude is according to the model of Langlais et al.
 199 (2019), which combines MGS magnetometer, MGS Electron Reflectometer, and MAVEN
 200 magnetometer data. The open field topology data is from Brain et al. (2007). The mag-
 201 netic topology map shows the probability of open field lines at 400 km altitude as esti-
 202 mated through electron pitch angle distributions from MGS Magnetometer and Electron
 203 Reflectometer (MAG/ER). One-sided loss cones are indicative of open field topology, while
 204 two-sided loss cones are indicative of closed field topology. The measurements were made
 205 at 400 km altitude around 2 am local time on the nightside due to the near circular and
 206 Sun-synchronous orbit of the MGS spacecraft (Brain et al., 2007).

207 Figure 4(d–f) shows the histograms of aurora occurrence as a function of crustal field
 208 strength (Figure 4d), crustal field elevation angle (Figure 4e), and open field topology (Fig-
 209 ure 4f). Here also, the occurrence is calculated as fraction of aurora pixels to the total pixels
 210 in each bin. Only the elevation angles for CF strength more than 10 nT are used. These are
 211 global occurrence rates averaged over all geographic locations. We can see that the aurora
 212 occurrence increases with both higher open field topology as well as higher elevation angles
 213 (i.e., vertical crustal fields), while the aurora occurrence is not directly proportional to CF
 214 strength. This is due to the fact that there is a higher geographic occurrence in regions away
 215 from the strong crustal fields due to non-crustal field aurorae. As mentioned previously,
 216 both MEX/SPICAM and MAVEN/IUVS observations were largely focused on the crustal
 217 field aurora and thus obtained a direct correlation with CF strength (Gérard et al., 2015;
 218 Schneider et al., 2021).

219 Figure 5 shows the aurora occurrence as a function of crustal field elevation angle and
 220 probability of open field topology. Occurrence is calculated as fraction of aurora pixels
 221 to the total nightside pixels in each bin. Aurora occurrence is highest for the bin of 90–
 222 100% open field topology and $80\text{--}90^\circ$ crustal field elevation angle. Also, in general, higher
 223 aurora occurrence is observed when the probability of open field topology is larger than
 224 50% and crustal field elevation angle greater than 60° . However, when the probability of
 225 open field topology is less than 20%, the aurora occurrence is lower, even for larger crustal
 226 field elevation angles. Similarly, when the probability of open field topology is larger than
 227 50%, aurora occurrence is higher, even when the crustal field elevation angle is smaller. In
 228 this context, it is important to note that at 400 km altitude, the induced magnetic field




Figure 6. Pixel statistics in solar zenith angle (SZA) and local time bins: a) histogram of all nightside pixels (SZA $> 120^\circ$) and aurora pixels in SZA bins, and b) histogram of all nightside pixels and aurora pixels in local time bins. Aurora occurrence as a function of c) SZA, and d) local time.

229 dominates over the crustal magnetic field (Fang et al., 2022). That is, the magnetic field
 230 direction at 400 km is less determined by the intrinsic crustal field direction, although,
 231 for the auroral emission altitude of ~ 130 km, the magnetic field direction is significantly
 232 determined by the intrinsic crustal field direction.

233 4 Solar Zenith Angle and Local Time Variability

234 The top two panels in Figure 6 show the pixel statistics in SZA (top left panel) and
 235 local time bins (top right panel). The blue color histogram corresponds to all nightside
 236 pixels and the orange color histogram corresponds to aurora pixels. The bottom panels
 237 show the global aurora occurrence, which is calculated as a fraction of aurora pixels to total
 238 nightside pixels. Interestingly, aurora shows a SZA dependence, with more aurora occurring
 239 close to the terminator as compared to midnight (Figure 6c). This may be attributed to
 240 the greater availability of photoelectrons near the terminator compared to the midnight
 241 sector. Figure 6d shows the aurora occurrence as a function of local time, and we can notice
 242 that there is a dawn–dusk asymmetry, with more aurora occurring in pre–midnight local
 243 times as compared to post–midnight local times. This is also consistent with the previous
 244 observations by MAVEN IUVS instrument on pre–midnight vs. post–midnight detection
 245 frequencies (Johnston et al., 2023; Bowers et al., 2023).




Figure 7. Geographic maps of aurora occurrence (for a brightness threshold of 5 R for OI 130.4 nm emission) for different solar zenith angle (SZA) ranges. a) For SZA from 120 to 140 degrees, b) for SZA from 140 to 160 degrees, and c) for SZA from 160 to 180 degrees.




Figure 8. Geographic maps of aurora occurrence (for a brightness threshold of 5 R for OI 130.4 nm emission) for a) pre-midnight (19 - 0 hours) and b) post-midnight (0 - 5 hours) intervals. c) Shows the difference between pre-midnight and post-midnight occurrence rates.




Figure 9. Geographic maps of aurora occurrence (for a brightness threshold of 5 R for OI 130.4 nm emission) for different local time ranges. a) For 19 to 22 hours, b) 22 hours to midnight, c) midnight to 2 hours, and d) 2 to 5 hours. The difference maps comparing these local time bins are provided in Figure S1 of the Supporting Information.

Figure 7 shows the geographic maps of aurora occurrence, similar to Figure 3, but for three different SZA ranges. The first one is for a range 120° – 140° (Figure 7a), the second one for 140° – 160° (Figure 7b), and the third one for 160° – 180° (Figure 7c). Although the nightside geographic coverage reduces for higher SZAs, the aurora occurrence also decreases, as also seen in the histogram (Figure 6c).

Figure 8 shows the geographic maps of aurora occurrence for pre–midnight (19 - 0 hours, Figure 8a) and post–midnight local times (0 - 5 hours, Figure 8b), as well as the map of their difference (Figure 8c). The auroral “spot” in the southern hemisphere mentioned previously is more frequent after midnight than before midnight. Figure 9 shows the geographic maps of aurora occurrence again split into four different local time ranges. As we can see from Figure 6b, the pixel coverage between ~ 19 to 20 hours and ~ 4 to 5 hours is smaller compared to other local time intervals. Hence, we average data from 19 to 22 hours local time for the first subplot of evening or dusk occurrence (Figure 9a), 22 to 0 hours for the second subplot (Figure 9b), 0 to 2 hours for the third subplot (Figure 9c), and 2 to 5 hours for the fourth subplot of morning or dawn occurrence (Figure 9d). The dusk and dawn slices (Figures 9a and 9d) show higher aurora occurrence as compared to the 22 to 2 hours slices (Figures 9b and 9c). Also, the dusk sector has a higher occurrence as compared to the dawn sector.

The geographic maps of percentage difference in aurora occurrence for these four different local time ranges are shown Figure S1 of the Supporting Information. Figure S1a shows the difference between dusk (19 - 22 hours) and dawn (2 - 5 hours) local times, and Figure S1b shows the difference between pre–midnight (22 - 0 hours) and post–midnight (0 - 2 hours) local times. Different regions on the planet (e.g., the strong crustal field region in the southern hemisphere) exhibit a local time dependence with north/south (dusk/dawn) and east/west (pre–midnight/post–midnight) preferred activations (Figures 8c, S1a, S1b), possibly related to the different magnetic field configurations at these locations.

The aurora detections and field line configuration of the strong crustal field region in the southern hemisphere have been studied recently using MAVEN/IUVS and MAVEN/MAG observations by Johnston et al. (2023) and Bowers et al. (2023). They examined the local time control of aurora observations in the “mini–magnetosphere” region. They found a north/south local time dependence of aurora detections, and attributed this to the magnetic reconnection between crustal fields and draped Interplanetary Magnetic Field (IMF). This interaction between crustal field and draped interplanetary field is found to be different during evening and morning local times (Bowers et al., 2023). However, these studies were restricted to a small part of the strong crustal field region where the fields were oriented north/south.

In another previous study on local time effects on Martian aurora, a concentration of inverted–V auroral particle acceleration events to midnight, with a significant shift towards pre–midnight at Mars was observed by Lundin, Winningham, Barabash, Frahm, Brain, et al. (2006) using ASPERA-3 (Analyzer of Space Plasma and Energetic Atoms)/MEX observations. Also, for comparison, the OI 130.4 nm emission in the Venus aurora was previously observed by the Pioneer Venus Orbiter (PVO) UV spectrometer (Phillips et al., 1986). They observed a typical aurora intensity of 10–20 R, occasionally exceeding 100 R, and have a dawn–dusk asymmetry in brightness, with higher brightness in the evening local time (Phillips et al., 1986).

5 Seasonal Variability

Figure 10 shows the seasonal change of aurora occurrence during MY 36 and early MY 37. The top panel shows aurora occurrence as a function of L_S and latitude, and the bottom panel shows the aurora occurrence as a function of L_S averaged over all geographic locations. The red curve in the bottom panel (Figure 10b) shows the averaged Total Electron Content




Figure 10. Seasonal variability of aurora occurrence (for a brightness threshold of 5 R for OI 130.4 nm emission), a) as a function of L_S and latitude, b) as a function of L_S averaged over all geographic locations. The red curve in panel (b) shows the averaged Total Electron Content (TEC) from Mars Express MARSIS for MY 27–32 and for SZA = 85° digitized from Sánchez-Cano et al. (2018).




Figure 11. Seasonal variability of aurora occurrence as a function of L_S averaged over all geographic locations and divided into a) crustal field aurora (crustal field strength at 400 km greater than 10 nT and elevation angle greater than 60°), b) non-crustal field aurora (crustal field strength at 400 km less than 10 nT). The red curve in both panels show the averaged Total Electron Content (TEC) from Mars Express MARSIS for MY 27–32 and for $SZA = 85^\circ$ digitized from Sánchez-Cano et al. (2018).

(TEC) from Mars Express (MEX) Mars Advanced Radar for Subsurface and Ionospheric Sounding (MARSIS) for MY 27–32 and for SZA = 85° (digitized data from Figure 1 of Sánchez-Cano et al. (2018)). It is interesting to see that two distinct enhancements in aurora occurrence is observed, the primary enhancement from ~ 210 to 300 degrees L_S and the secondary peak from ~ 0 to 60 degrees L_S . This is matching with the primary and secondary enhancements identified in the ionospheric TEC measured by MARSIS. The annual occurrence of both TEC maxima in MARSIS data was found to be independent of solar conditions, such as the SZA or solar activity. Sánchez-Cano et al. (2018) attributed the variability in solar irradiance (peaking near perihelion) as the cause for the primary enhancement, while the second peak is not related to the solar irradiance variation but instead coincides with an increase in the thermospheric density. The thermospheric density increase is possibly linked to the variation in surface pressure produced by atmospheric cycles such as the CO₂ or water cycles (Sánchez-Cano et al., 2018). This suggests a relationship between the ionospheric photoelectron content near-terminator and the nightside discrete aurora. As the Solar Cycle 25 ramped up further in MY 37 (increased solar activity, solar irradiance and hence a denser ionosphere (Sánchez-Cano et al., 2016)), the aurora activity also increased as compared to MY 36 (Figure 10).

Additionally, we split the histogram of seasonal dependence based on the crustal field strength as Crustal Field Aurora (Figure 11a) and Non-Crustal Field Aurora (Figure 11b). We can see that the global occurrence rate of non-crustal field aurora is higher than the global occurrence rate of crustal field aurora. Also, non-crustal field aurora follows the Total Electron Content (TEC) variation, but the crustal field aurora is not exactly following the TEC variation, although the increased occurrence during perihelion season and increase with solar activity progression is evident in the crustal field aurora as well. Hence, we propose that the non-crustal field aurora (predominantly the patchy non-crustal field aurora) is primarily contributed by the impact of ionospheric photoelectrons, while the source of crustal field aurora could be more energetic electrons, for example those from the magnetosphere or the solar wind or the low-energy electrons (such as photoelectrons) accelerated downward in crustal field cusp by parallel potentials or energization of low-energy electrons due to magnetic reconnection and plasma waves (Lundin, Winningham, Barabash, Frahm, Holmström, et al., 2006; Brain, 2006; Fang et al., 2022). The denser ionosphere near perihelion is a potential source of electrons for these acceleration mechanisms, and thus the crustal field aurora as well.

Variability of aurora occurrence as a function of subsolar longitude (which is analogous to local time) and subsolar latitude (which is analogous to seasons on Mars) is shown in Figure S2 of the Supporting Information.

6 Aurora Brightness Variability

Figure 12 shows the geographic maps of OI 130.4 nm aurora emission brightness. Figure 12a shows the mean brightness in 1° by 1° geographic bins. Figure 12b shows the standard deviation of the samples in each bin, and Figure 12c shows the ratio of mean brightness and standard deviation in each geographic bin. Bright aurorae generally occur in strong vertical crustal field regions (although some of the brightest regions are also the most variable), including the mini-magnetosphere in the southern hemisphere. However, we can also see the signature of just a few bright instances of sinuous aurora in the northern hemisphere. A total of fewer than 100 sinuous aurora events have been detected by EMUS so far, and these are analyzed in another study.

Figure 13a shows the seasonal variation of aurora brightness for MY 36 and MY 37. Prominent bright patches corresponds to bright aurora events (often associated with solar transient events such as Coronal Mass Ejections (CMEs) and Stream Interaction Regions (SIRs)). Previously, Brain (2006) suggested that most energetic auroral particle acceleration events are likely to be observed during periods of disturbed solar wind conditions, such as




Figure 12. Geographic maps of a) mean aurora brightness of OI 130.4 nm emission, b) standard deviation of the population, and c) ratio of standard deviation and mean brightness.




Figure 13. a) Seasonal variability of mean aurora brightness of OI 130.4 nm emission as a function of L_S and latitude, and b) histogram showing the number of aurora pixels (brightness threshold of 5 R) as a function of L_S . We can notice that the total number of aurora pixels follows the seasonal variability shown in Figures 10 and 11. We can also notice that the aphelion season of MY 37 has more aurora than the aphelion season of MY 36 due to increased solar activity (i.e., due to the inclining phase of Solar Cycle 25).

347 CMEs. The Venus aurora observations also suggested increased aurora brightness in OI
348 130.4 nm during interplanetary shock events (Phillips et al., 1986).

349 Nevertheless, we note that the seasonal trend in aurora occurrence is also seen in aurora
350 brightness. Figure 13b shows the number of aurora pixels as a function of Martian season.
351 We can notice the seasonal enhancements during perihelion (near L_S 235°) and near L_S 30°.
352 The earlier period of MY 36 was mostly quiet (except the occasional bright aurora due to
353 transient events, example on 2021/07/21), and the brightness is prominently increased for
354 ~ 210 to 300 degrees L_S and ~ 0 to 60 degrees L_S , primarily contributed by the non-crustal
355 field patchy aurora due to an increase in the source photoelectrons. Solar Cycle 25 is rising,
356 and the solar activity is observed to have an effect on observed auroral brightness. We can
357 notice this solar activity enhancement in auroral brightness when comparing the aphelion
358 seasons of MY 36 and MY 37 (Figure 13). The dependence of discrete aurora occurrence
359 and brightness levels on upstream solar wind and Interplanetary Magnetic Field (IMF)
360 conditions will be examined in a separate paper.

361 **7 Photoelectron precipitation on the nightside is a significant contribu-** 362 **tor to discrete aurora**

363 The first measurements of discrete aurora by MEX/SPICAM were carried out in the
364 strong crustal field region in the southern hemisphere, where the field lines are more likely
365 open and are vertical, analogous to the terrestrial polar cusps (Lundin, Winningham,
366 Barabash, Frahm, Brain, et al., 2006; Brain et al., 2006). Also, peaked electron energy
367 distributions were observed, very similar to the “inverted-V” structures (characterized by
368 downward traveling electrons and upward traveling planetary ions) similar to aurora on
369 Earth (Lundin, Winningham, Barabash, Frahm, Holmström, et al., 2006; Brain et al., 2006;
370 Dubinin et al., 2008; Lundin, Winningham, Barabash, Frahm, Brain, et al., 2006; Bisikalo
371 et al., 2017). Quasi-static field-aligned potential could be one mechanism that accelerate
372 electrons and ions (Lundin, Winningham, Barabash, Frahm, Brain, et al., 2006; Brain &
373 Halekas, 2012). These accelerated down-going electrons encounter the atmosphere and lead
374 to both enhanced ionization and auroral emission (Fillingim et al., 2007; Lillis & Brain,
375 2013).

376 Leblanc et al. (2006) simulated the emission rate of this aurora due to electron precipi-
377 tation, and suggested that the measured emissions might have been produced by electrons
378 of a few tens of eV rather than by the electrons of above 100 eV. Leblanc et al. (2008)
379 showed a correlation between the auroral emissions observed by SPICAM and electron flux
380 observed by Analyzer of Space Plasma and Energetic Atoms (ASPERA-3) Electron Spec-
381 trometer as well as with the Total Electron Content (TEC) observed by Mars Advanced
382 Radar for Subsurface and Ionosphere Sounding (MARSIS) in subsurface mode – using the
383 ionospheric phase distortion to the radio signal. Clear peak in TEC during the time of
384 SPICAM measurement of the auroral events was noted (Leblanc et al., 2008). Hence, pho-
385 toelectron transport to the nightside and acceleration by quasi-static field-aligned potentials
386 above crustal field cusp were seemed to be the processes responsible for the observed aurora
387 emissions. Other proposed mechanisms for electron acceleration include magnetic reconnec-
388 tion, plasma waves and current sheet on the nightside (Brain & Halekas, 2012; Bowers et
389 al., 2023; Uluşen & Linscott, 2008; J. S. Halekas et al., 2006; J. Halekas et al., 2008). Lillis
390 et al. (2022) suggested that sinuous non-crustal field aurora observed by EMUS could be
391 due to accelerated electrons in the magnetotail current sheet, which is consistent with the
392 previous observations of magnetotail current sheet extending to very low altitudes on the
393 nightside, and those were not associated with crustal fields (J. S. Halekas et al., 2006).

394 MAVEN Solar Wind Electron Analyzer (SWEA) has also measured electron energy
395 and pitch angle distributions to study the accelerated electron populations associated with
396 discrete aurora (Xu et al., 2020). These auroral electron events share the same characteristics
397 such as location, crustal field, etc. as the MAVEN/IUVS observed auroral emission events,

thus establishing a causal connection (Xu et al., 2022). MAVEN/SWEA observations also revealed the spectral features of accelerated electron populations which suggest that they originate from a cold plasma with electron temperature as low as 1 eV like the thermal ionosphere (Akbari et al., 2019). Fang et al. (2022) used global plasma modeling to predict aurora occurrence location and probability. They found aurora occurs more likely on small-scale patches inside strong crustal field regions, and also occurrence increases during space weather events (Fang et al., 2022).

The modeling study by Fox and Stewart (1991) suggested that precipitation of very soft electrons into the nightside Venusian atmosphere as the source of aurora. From Mars Express data, low-energy electrons appear to be responsible for a subset of aurora observations, and it is therefore expected that transport of electrons from day to night should occur (Liemohn et al., 2007; Brain & Halekas, 2012; Liemohn et al., 2006). The dayside ionospheric photoelectrons have nearly the appropriate energy distribution (tens of eV) to explain the auroral emission, and could be due to photoelectrons transported from day to night across the terminator (Leblanc et al., 2006; Bertaux et al., 2005; Leblanc et al., 2008), and photoelectrons have been observed well above the ionosphere of Mars (Frahm et al., 2006; Frahm et al., 2006). MAVEN/SWEA also observed photoelectrons deep on the nightside due to day–night magnetic connectivity allowing cross-terminator transport of electrons (Xu et al., 2016).

The seasonal variability of discrete aurora occurrence and brightness presented here demonstrates for the first time that the aurora follows the variation in ionospheric photoelectrons. This further supports the hypothesis that photoelectron precipitation is a significant contributor to discrete aurora on Mars, especially the patchy non-crustal field aurora.

8 Conclusions and Future Prospects

The wealth of new synoptic images from EMUS onboard EMM provides unprecedented observations of the nightside discrete electron aurora on Mars. Our statistical analysis of these synoptic images reveals the following:

1. Aurora shows a geographic preference, with a higher aurora occurrence in regions having open magnetic topology and strong vertical crustal fields. Aurora voids are observed in areas where electron precipitation is blocked by closed crustal magnetic field loops.
2. Higher aurora occurrence is observed near the terminator sector as compared to the midnight sector. There is a dawn–dusk asymmetry, with a greater occurrence of aurora in pre-midnight local times (evening) than in post-midnight local times (morning). This confirms the dawn–dusk asymmetry in aurora detections observed by MAVEN spacecraft (Johnston et al., 2023; Schneider et al., 2021). Distinct local time-dependent electron features (such as the electron “spot” in the southern hemisphere) previously observed by MGS (Brain et al., 2007), are also evident in EMUS auroral observations.
3. Aurora shows a seasonal dependence, with a primary enhancement near L_S 235° and a secondary enhancement near L_S 30°. Both enhancements are consistent with the seasonal variability of near-terminator ionospheric TEC observed by MARSIS onboard MEX, which in turn depends on the solar irradiance and thermospheric density (Sánchez-Cano et al., 2018), implying a photoelectron source for discrete aurora. Aurora occurrence also shows an increase with increasing solar activity due to the rise of Solar Cycle 25.
4. Classifying the seasonal variability of aurora into crustal field aurora and non-crustal field aurora reveals that the seasonal peaks are more prominent for the non-crustal field aurora, primarily contributed by the patchy non-crustal field aurora. However, the perihelion season enhancement in occurrence is also evident for crustal field aurora.

448 5. Aurora brightness shows a geographic distribution, with brighter features appearing in
 449 the strong crustal field regions (due to crustal field aurora), as well as in the northern
 450 hemisphere (with signatures of non-crustal field sinuous aurora). Aurora brightness
 451 also shows a seasonal and solar cycle variability similar to aurora occurrence.

452 Further comparative studies are required to understand the dependence of aurora oc-
 453 currence on varying magnetic field topologies, as the topology varies with altitude, local
 454 time, season, IMF polarity, etc. (Xu et al., 2017, 2019; Brain et al., 2020; Weber et al.,
 455 2017, 2020; Fang et al., 2022). The auroral electron energy distribution can be better con-
 456 strained using the ratio of oxygen auroral emissions OI 130.4 nm and OI 135.6 nm (Fox
 457 & Stewart, 1991). As mentioned previously, dependence of aurora on upstream solar wind
 458 parameters and IMF parameters also needs to be examined (Girazian et al., 2022; Schneider
 459 et al., 2021). Furthermore, the mechanisms for particle precipitation and aurora formation
 460 require detailed exploration (Bowers et al., 2023; Haider et al., 2022; Brain & Halekas, 2012).
 461 The consequences of aurora, such as enhanced ionization (creating a “patchy” ionosphere),
 462 Joule heating of the upper atmosphere, changes in chemical composition, and enhanced ion
 463 escape via auroral flux tubes, need further investigation (Fillingim et al., 2007; Shematovich
 464 et al., 2017; Brain & Halekas, 2012).

465 In summary, the insights gathered from EMM/EMUS observations not only advances
 466 our understanding of Martian discrete aurora but also sets the stage for future explorations
 467 that promise to unravel the complex interplay between Sun’s activity, Martian magnetic
 468 fields, ionospheric and magnetospheric dynamics. This endeavor will not only enhance our
 469 knowledge of Mars’ present-day environment but also contribute to our broader understand-
 470 ing of weakly magnetized planetary atmospheres and their interactions with space weather.

471 Open Research Section

472 Data Availability Statement

473 The EMM/EMUS 12a data we analyze here are available at the EMM Science Data
 474 Center (SDC, <https://sdc.emiratesmarsmission.ae/>). This location is designated as the
 475 primary repository for all data products produced by the EMM team and is designated as
 476 long-term repository as required by the UAE Space Agency. The data available ([https://
 477 sdc.emiratesmarsmission.ae/data](https://sdc.emiratesmarsmission.ae/data)) include ancillary spacecraft data, instrument telemet-
 478 ry, Level 1 (raw instrument data) to Level 3 (derived science products), quicklook products,
 479 and data users guides (<https://sdc.emiratesmarsmission.ae/documentation>) to assist
 480 in the analysis of the data. Following the creation of a free login, all EMM data are searchable
 481 via parameters such as product file name, solar longitude, acquisition time, sub-spacecraft
 482 latitude and longitude, instrument, data product level, etc. EMUS data and users guides
 483 are available at: <https://sdc.emiratesmarsmission.ae/data/emus>.

484 Acknowledgments

485 Funding for development of the EMM mission is provided by the UAE government, and
 486 to co-authors outside of the UAE by MBRSC. KC and RS are supported by the grant
 487 8474000332-KU-CU-LASP Space Sci. XF is supported by NASA Grant 80NSSC19K0562.

488 References

- 489 Akbari, H., Andersson, L., Fowler, C., & Mitchell, D. (2019). Spectral Analysis of Acceler-
 490 ated Electron Populations at Mars. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*,
 491 *124*(10), 8056-8065. Retrieved from [https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/
 492 doi/abs/10.1029/2019JA026738](https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2019JA026738) doi: <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JA026738>
 493 Almatroushi, H., AlMazmi, H., AlMheiri, N., AlShamsi, M., AlTunaiji, E., Badri, K., ...
 494 Young, R. M. B. (2021, December). Emirates Mars Mission Characterization of

- 495 Mars Atmosphere Dynamics and Processes. *Space Science Reviews*, 217(8), 89. doi:
496 10.1007/s11214-021-00851-6
- 497 Amiri, H. E. S., Brain, D., Sharaf, O., Withnell, P., McGrath, M., Alloghani, M., . . . Yousuf,
498 M. (2022, February). The Emirates Mars Mission. *Space Science Reviews*, 218(1), 4.
499 doi: 10.1007/s11214-021-00868-x
- 500 Atri, D., Dhuri, D. B., Simoni, M., & Sreenivasan, K. R. (2022, December). Auroras on
501 Mars: From discovery to new developments. *European Physical Journal D*, 76(12),
502 235. doi: 10.1140/epjd/s10053-022-00566-5
- 503 Bertaux, J.-L., Korablev, O., Perrier, S., Quémerais, E., Montmessin, F., Leblanc, F., . . .
504 Guibert, S. (2006). SPICAM on Mars Express: Observing modes and overview of UV
505 spectrometer data and scientific results. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets*,
506 111(E10). Retrieved from [https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/
507 10.1029/2006JE002690](https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2006JE002690) doi: <https://doi.org/10.1029/2006JE002690>
- 508 Bertaux, J.-L., Leblanc, F., Witasse, O., Quemerai, E., Lilensten, J., Stern, S. A., . . .
509 Korablev, O. (2005, June). Discovery of an aurora on Mars. *Nature*, 435(7043),
510 790-794. doi: 10.1038/nature03603
- 511 Bisikalo, D., Shematovich, V., Gérard, J.-C., & Hubert, B. (2017). Influence of the crustal
512 magnetic field on the Mars aurora electron flux and UV brightness. *Icarus*, 282,
513 127-135. Retrieved from [https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/
514 S0019103516305504](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0019103516305504) doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2016.08.035>
- 515 Bowers, C. F., DiBraccio, G. A., Slavin, J. A., Johnston, B., Schneider, N. M., Brain,
516 D. A., & Azari, A. (2023). Evidence for Magnetic Reconnection as the Precursor to
517 Discrete Aurora at Mars. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, 128(12),
518 e2023JA031622. Retrieved from [https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/
519 abs/10.1029/2023JA031622](https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2023JA031622) (e2023JA031622 2023JA031622) doi: [https://doi.org/
520 10.1029/2023JA031622](https://doi.org/10.1029/2023JA031622)
- 521 Brain, D., & Halekas, J. S. (2012). Aurora in Martian Mini Magnetospheres. In
522 *Auroral Phenomenology and Magnetospheric Processes: Earth And Other Planets*
523 (p. 123-132). American Geophysical Union (AGU). Retrieved from [https://agupubs
524 .onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2011GM001201](https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2011GM001201) doi: [https://doi
525 .org/10.1029/2011GM001201](https://doi.org/10.1029/2011GM001201)
- 526 Brain, D. A. (2006, October). Mars Global Surveyor Measurements of the Martian Solar
527 Wind Interaction. *Space Science Reviews*, 126(1-4), 77-112. doi: 10.1007/s11214-006
528 -9122-x
- 529 Brain, D. A., Halekas, J. S., Peticolas, L. M., Lin, R. P., Luhmann, J. G., Mitchell, D. L.,
530 . . . Rème, H. (2006). On the origin of aurorae on Mars. *Geophysical Research
531 Letters*, 33(1). Retrieved from [https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/
532 abs/10.1029/2005GL024782](https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2005GL024782) doi: <https://doi.org/10.1029/2005GL024782>
- 533 Brain, D. A., Lillis, R. J., Mitchell, D. L., Halekas, J. S., & Lin, R. P. (2007). Electron pitch
534 angle distributions as indicators of magnetic field topology near Mars. *Journal of
535 Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, 112(A9). Retrieved from [https://agupubs
536 .onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2007JA012435](https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2007JA012435) doi: [https://doi
537 .org/10.1029/2007JA012435](https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JA012435)
- 538 Brain, D. A., Weber, T., Xu, S., Mitchell, D. L., Lillis, R. J., Halekas, J. S., . . . Jakosky,
539 B. M. (2020). Variations in Nightside Magnetic Field Topology at Mars. *Geophys-
540 ical Research Letters*, 47(19), e2020GL088921. Retrieved from [https://agupubs
541 .onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2020GL088921](https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2020GL088921) (e2020GL088921
542 2020GL088921) doi: <https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GL088921>
- 543 Chaffin, M. S., Fowler, C. M., Deighan, J., Jain, S., Holsclaw, G., Hughes, A., . . . AlMa-
544 troushi, H. (2022). Patchy Proton Aurora at Mars: A Global View of Solar Wind
545 Precipitation Across the Martian Dayside From EMM/EMUS. *Geophysical Research
546 Letters*, 49(17), e2022GL099881. Retrieved from [https://agupubs.onlinelibrary
547 .wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2022GL099881](https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2022GL099881) (e2022GL099881 2022GL099881) doi:
548 <https://doi.org/10.1029/2022GL099881>
- 549 Chirakkil, K., Deighan, J., Chaffin, M. S., Jain, S. K., Lillis, R. J., Susarla, R., . . . Curry,

- 550 S. (2023). EMM EMUS Observations of Hot Oxygen Corona at Mars: Radial Distri-
 551 bution and Temporal Variability. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, ac-
 552 cepted. Retrieved from [https://doi.org/10.22541/essoar.170224474.48958302/](https://doi.org/10.22541/essoar.170224474.48958302/v1)
 553 v1 doi: 10.1029/2023JA032342
- 554 Deighan, J., Jain, S. K., Chaffin, M. S., Fang, X., Halekas, J. S., Clarke, J. T., ... Jakosky,
 555 B. M. (2018, July). Discovery of a proton aurora at Mars. *Nature Astronomy*, *2*,
 556 802-807. doi: 10.1038/s41550-018-0538-5
- 557 Dubinin, E., Fraenz, M., Woch, J., Winningham, J., Frahm, R., Lundin, R., & Barabash,
 558 S. (2008). Suprathermal electron fluxes on the nightside of Mars: ASPERA-3 obser-
 559 vations. *Planetary and Space Science*, *56*(6), 846-851. Retrieved from [https://](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0032063307003893)
 560 www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0032063307003893 (Mars Ex-
 561 press/Venus Express) doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pss.2007.12.010>
- 562 England, S. L., Jain, S., Deighan, J., Chaffin, M., Holsclaw, G., Evans, J. S.,
 563 ... Almazmi, H. (2022). Spatio-Temporal Structure of Far Ultraviolet Mar-
 564 tian Dayglow Observed by EMM-EMUS. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *49*(19),
 565 e2022GL099611. Retrieved from [https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/](https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2022GL099611)
 566 [abs/10.1029/2022GL099611](https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2022GL099611) (e2022GL099611 2022GL099611) doi: [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1029/2022GL099611)
 567 [10.1029/2022GL099611](https://doi.org/10.1029/2022GL099611)
- 568 Fang, X., Ma, Y., Schneider, N., Girazian, Z., Luhmann, J., Milby, Z., ... Jakosky,
 569 B. (2022). Discrete Aurora on the Nightside of Mars: Occurrence Loca-
 570 tion and Probability. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, *127*(3),
 571 e2021JA029716. Retrieved from [https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/](https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2021JA029716)
 572 [abs/10.1029/2021JA029716](https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2021JA029716) (e2021JA029716 2021JA029716) doi: [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JA029716)
 573 [10.1029/2021JA029716](https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JA029716)
- 574 Fillingim, M. O., Peticolas, L. M., Lillis, R. J., Brain, D. A., Halekas, J. S., Mitchell,
 575 D. L., ... Kirchner, D. L. (2007). Model calculations of electron precipitation
 576 induced ionization patches on the nightside of Mars. *Geophysical Research Let-
 577 ters*, *34*(12). Retrieved from [https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/](https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2007GL029986)
 578 [abs/10.1029/2007GL029986](https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2007GL029986) doi: <https://doi.org/10.1029/2007GL029986>
- 579 Fox, J. L., & Stewart, A. I. F. (1991). The Venus ultraviolet aurora: A soft electron source.
 580 *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, *96*(A6), 9821-9828. Retrieved from
 581 <https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/91JA00252> doi:
 582 <https://doi.org/10.1029/91JA00252>
- 583 Frahm, R., Winningham, J., Sharber, J., Scherrer, J., Jeffers, S., Coates, A., ... Dierker,
 584 C. (2006). Carbon dioxide photoelectron energy peaks at Mars. *Icarus*, *182*(2),
 585 371-382. Retrieved from [https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0019103506000352)
 586 [S0019103506000352](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0019103506000352) (Results from the Mars Express ASPERA-3 Investigation) doi:
 587 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2006.01.014>
- 588 Frahm, R. A., Sharber, J. R., Winningham, J. D., Wurz, P., Liemohn, M. W., Kallio, E., ...
 589 Mckenna-Lawler, S. (2006, October). Locations of Atmospheric Photoelectron Energy
 590 Peaks Within the Mars Environment. *Space Science Reviews*, *126*(1-4), 389-402. doi:
 591 [10.1007/s11214-006-9119-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11214-006-9119-5)
- 592 Gérard, J. C., Hubert, B., Ritter, B., Shematovich, V. I., & Bisikalo, D. V. (2019, March).
 593 Lyman- α emission in the Martian proton aurora: Line profile and role of horizontal
 594 induced magnetic field. *Icarus*, *321*, 266-271. doi: 10.1016/j.icarus.2018.11.013
- 595 Gérard, J.-C., Soret, L., Libert, L., Lundin, R., Stiepen, A., Radioti, A., & Bertaux, J.-L.
 596 (2015). Concurrent observations of ultraviolet aurora and energetic electron precipi-
 597 tation with Mars Express. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, *120*(8),
 598 6749-6765. Retrieved from [https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/](https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/2015JA021150)
 599 [10.1002/2015JA021150](https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/2015JA021150) doi: <https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JA021150>
- 600 Gérard, J.-C., Soret, L., Shematovich, V., Bisikalo, D., & Bougher, S. (2017). The
 601 Mars diffuse aurora: A model of ultraviolet and visible emissions. *Icarus*, *288*,
 602 284-294. Retrieved from [https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0019103516305450)
 603 [S0019103516305450](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0019103516305450) doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2017.01.037>
- 604 Girazian, Z., Schneider, N. M., Milby, Z., Fang, X., Halekas, J., Weber, T., ...

- 605 Lee, C. O. (2022). Discrete Aurora at Mars: Dependence on Upstream Solar
606 Wind Conditions. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, *127*(4),
607 e2021JA030238. Retrieved from [https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/](https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2021JA030238)
608 [abs/10.1029/2021JA030238](https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2021JA030238) (e2021JA030238 2021JA030238) doi: [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JA030238)
609 [10.1029/2021JA030238](https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JA030238)
- 610 Haider, S. A., Mahajan, K. K., Bougher, S. W., Schneider, N. M., Deighan, J., Jain, S. K.,
611 & Gérard, J. C. (2022, June). Observations and Modeling of Martian Auroras. *Space*
612 *Science Reviews*, *218*(4), 32. doi: 10.1007/s11214-022-00906-2
- 613 Halekas, J., Brain, D., Lin, R., Luhmann, J., & Mitchell, D. (2008). Distribution and
614 variability of accelerated electrons at Mars. *Advances in Space Research*, *41*(9),
615 1347-1352. Retrieved from [https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0273117707000476)
616 [pii/S0273117707000476](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0273117707000476) doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asr.2007.01.034>
- 617 Halekas, J. S., Brain, D. A., Lillis, R. J., Fillingim, M. O., Mitchell, D. L., &
618 Lin, R. P. (2006). Current sheets at low altitudes in the Martian magneto-
619 tail. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *33*(13). Retrieved from [https://agupubs](https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2006GL026229)
620 [.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2006GL026229](https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2006GL026229) doi: [https://doi](https://doi.org/10.1029/2006GL026229)
621 [.org/10.1029/2006GL026229](https://doi.org/10.1029/2006GL026229)
- 622 Holsclaw, G. M., Deighan, J., Almatroushi, H., Chaffin, M., Correia, J., Evans, J. S., ...
623 Tyagi, K. (2021, December). The Emirates Mars Ultraviolet Spectrometer (EMUS)
624 for the EMM Mission. *Space Science Reviews*, *217*(8), 79. doi: 10.1007/s11214-021-
625 -00854-3
- 626 Hughes, A., Chaffin, M., Mierkiewicz, E., Deighan, J., Jain, S., Schneider, N., ... Jakosky,
627 B. (2019). Proton Aurora on Mars: A Dayside Phenomenon Pervasive in South-
628 ern Summer. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, *124*(12), 10533-
629 10548. Retrieved from [https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10](https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2019JA027140)
630 [.1029/2019JA027140](https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2019JA027140) doi: <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JA027140>
- 631 Johnston, B. J., Schneider, N. M., Jain, S. K., Milby, Z., Deighan, J., Bowers, C. F.,
632 ... Curry, S. (2023). Discrete Aurora at Mars: Insights Into the Role of
633 Magnetic Reconnection. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *50*(24), e2023GL104198.
634 Retrieved from [https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/](https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2023GL104198)
635 [2023GL104198](https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2023GL104198) (e2023GL104198 2023GL104198) doi: [https://doi.org/10.1029/](https://doi.org/10.1029/2023GL104198)
636 [2023GL104198](https://doi.org/10.1029/2023GL104198)
- 637 Langlais, B., Thébaud, E., Houliez, A., Purucker, M. E., & Lillis, R. J. (2019). A New
638 Model of the Crustal Magnetic Field of Mars Using MGS and MAVEN. *Journal of*
639 *Geophysical Research: Planets*, *124*(6), 1542-1569. Retrieved from [https://agupubs](https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2018JE005854)
640 [.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2018JE005854](https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2018JE005854) doi: [https://doi](https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JE005854)
641 [.org/10.1029/2018JE005854](https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JE005854)
- 642 Leblanc, F., Witasse, O., Lilensten, J., Frahm, R. A., Safaenili, A., Brain, D. A., ... Lundin,
643 R. (2008). Observations of aurorae by SPICAM ultraviolet spectrograph on board
644 Mars Express: Simultaneous ASPERA-3 and MARSIS measurements. *Journal of*
645 *Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, *113*(A8). Retrieved from [https://agupubs](https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2008JA013033)
646 [.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2008JA013033](https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2008JA013033) doi: [https://doi](https://doi.org/10.1029/2008JA013033)
647 [.org/10.1029/2008JA013033](https://doi.org/10.1029/2008JA013033)
- 648 Leblanc, F., Witasse, O., Winningham, J., Brain, D., Lilensten, J., Brelly, P.-L.,
649 ... Bertaux, J. L. (2006). Origins of the Martian aurora observed by Spec-
650 troscopy for Investigation of Characteristics of the Atmosphere of Mars (SPI-
651 CAM) on board Mars Express. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*,
652 *111*(A9). Retrieved from [https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/](https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2006JA011763)
653 [10.1029/2006JA011763](https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2006JA011763) doi: <https://doi.org/10.1029/2006JA011763>
- 654 Liemohn, M. W., Ma, Y., Frahm, R. A., Fang, X., Kozyra, J. U., Nagy, A. F., ... Lundin, R.
655 (2006, October). Mars Global MHD Predictions of Magnetic Connectivity Between the
656 Dayside Ionosphere and the Magnetospheric Flanks. *Space Science Reviews*, *126*(1-4),
657 63-76. doi: 10.1007/s11214-006-9116-8
- 658 Liemohn, M. W., Ma, Y., Nagy, A. F., Kozyra, J. U., Winningham, J. D., Frahm, R. A., ...
659 Lundin, R. (2007). Numerical modeling of the magnetic topology near Mars auroral

- 660 observations. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *34*(24). Retrieved from <https://agupubs>
 661 [.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2007GL031806](https://doi.org/10.1029/2007GL031806) doi: [https://doi](https://doi.org/10.1029/2007GL031806)
 662 [.org/10.1029/2007GL031806](https://doi.org/10.1029/2007GL031806)
- 663 Lillis, R. J., & Brain, D. A. (2013). Nightside electron precipitation at Mars: Geo-
 664 geographic variability and dependence on solar wind conditions. *Journal of Geo-*
 665 *physical Research: Space Physics*, *118*(6), 3546-3556. Retrieved from [https://](https://agupubs)
 666 [agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/jgra.50171](https://doi.org/10.1002/jgra.50171) doi: [https://](https://doi.org/10.1002/jgra.50171)
 667 doi.org/10.1002/jgra.50171
- 668 Lillis, R. J., Deighan, J., Brain, D., Fillingim, M., Jain, S., Chaffin, M., ... Curry,
 669 S. (2022). First Synoptic Images of FUV Discrete Aurora and Discovery of Sin-
 670 uous Aurora at Mars by EMM EMUS. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *49*(16),
 671 e2022GL099820. Retrieved from [https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/](https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2022GL099820)
 672 [abs/10.1029/2022GL099820](https://doi.org/10.1029/2022GL099820) (e2022GL099820 2022GL099820) doi: [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1029/2022GL099820)
 673 [10.1029/2022GL099820](https://doi.org/10.1029/2022GL099820)
- 674 Lundin, R., Winningham, D., Barabash, S., Frahm, R., Brain, D., Nilsson, H., ... Wurz, P.
 675 (2006, October). Auroral Plasma Acceleration Above Martian Magnetic Anomalies.
 676 *Space Science Reviews*, *126*(1-4), 333-354. doi: 10.1007/s11214-006-9086-x
- 677 Lundin, R., Winningham, D., Barabash, S., Frahm, R., Holmström, M., Sauvaud, J. A., ...
 678 Wurz, P. (2006, February). Plasma Acceleration Above Martian Magnetic Anomalies.
 679 *Science*, *311*(5763), 980-983. doi: 10.1126/science.1122071
- 680 Mitchell, D. L., Lin, R. P., Mazelle, C., Rème, H., Cloutier, P. A., Connerney, J. E. P.,
 681 ... Ness, N. F. (2001). Probing Mars' crustal magnetic field and ionosphere with the
 682 MGS Electron Reflectometer. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets*, *106*(E10),
 683 23419-23427. Retrieved from [https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/](https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2000JE001435)
 684 [abs/10.1029/2000JE001435](https://doi.org/10.1029/2000JE001435) doi: <https://doi.org/10.1029/2000JE001435>
- 685 Nakamura, Y., Terada, N., Leblanc, F., Rahmati, A., Nakagawa, H., Sakai, S., ...
 686 Murase, K. (2022). Modeling of Diffuse Auroral Emission at Mars: Contribution
 687 of MeV Protons. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, *127*(1),
 688 e2021JA029914. Retrieved from [https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/](https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2021JA029914)
 689 [abs/10.1029/2021JA029914](https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JA029914) (e2021JA029914 2021JA029914) doi: [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JA029914)
 690 [10.1029/2021JA029914](https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JA029914)
- 691 Pacios, D., Vázquez-Poletti, J. L., Dhuri, D. B., Atri, D., Moreno-Vozmediano, R., Lillis,
 692 R. J., ... Vázquez, L. (2024). A serverless computing architecture for Martian auro-
 693 ra detection with the Emirates Mars Mission. *Sci Rep*, *14*, 3029. Retrieved from
 694 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-53492-4> doi: 10.1038/s41598-024-53492-4
- 695 Phillips, J. L., Stewart, A. I. F., & Luhmann, J. G. (1986). The Venus ultravi-
 696 olet aurora: Observations at 130.4 nm. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *13*(10),
 697 1047-1050. Retrieved from [https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/](https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/GL013i010p01047)
 698 [10.1029/GL013i010p01047](https://doi.org/10.1029/GL013i010p01047) doi: <https://doi.org/10.1029/GL013i010p01047>
- 699 Ritter, B., Gérard, J.-C., Hubert, B., Rodriguez, L., & Montmessin, F. (2018). Ob-
 700 servations of the Proton Aurora on Mars With SPICAM on Board Mars Express.
 701 *Geophysical Research Letters*, *45*(2), 612-619. Retrieved from <https://agupubs>
 702 [.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/2017GL076235](https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL076235) doi: [https://doi](https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL076235)
 703 [.org/10.1002/2017GL076235](https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL076235)
- 704 Schneider, N. M., Deighan, J. I., Jain, S. K., Stiepen, A., Stewart, A. I. F., Larson, D.,
 705 ... Jakosky, B. M. (2015, November). Discovery of diffuse aurora on Mars. *Science*,
 706 *350*(6261), 0313. doi: 10.1126/science.aad0313
- 707 Schneider, N. M., Jain, S. K., Deighan, J., Nasr, C. R., Brain, D. A., Larson,
 708 D., ... Jakosky, B. M. (2018). Global Aurora on Mars During the Septem-
 709 ber 2017 Space Weather Event. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *45*(15), 7391-
 710 7398. Retrieved from [https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10](https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2018GL077772)
 711 [.1029/2018GL077772](https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GL077772) doi: <https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GL077772>
- 712 Schneider, N. M., Milby, Z., Jain, S. K., Gérard, J.-C., Soret, L., Brain, D. A., ... Jakosky,
 713 B. M. (2021). Discrete Aurora on Mars: Insights Into Their Distribution and Ac-
 714 tivity From MAVEN/IUVS Observations. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space*

- 715 *Physics*, 126(10), e2021JA029428. Retrieved from <https://agupubs.onlinelibrary>
 716 [.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2021JA029428](https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JA029428) (e2021JA029428 2021JA029428) doi:
 717 <https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JA029428>
- 718 Shematovich, V. I., Bisikalo, D. V., Gérard, J. C., & Hubert, B. (2017, September). Changes
 719 in the Martian atmosphere induced by auroral electron precipitation. *Solar System*
 720 *Research*, 51(5), 362-372. doi: 10.1134/S0038094617050094
- 721 Soret, L., Gérard, J.-C., Libert, L., Shematovich, V. I., Bisikalo, D. V., Stiepen, A., &
 722 Bertaux, J.-L. (2016). SPICAM observations and modeling of Mars aurorae. *Icarus*,
 723 264, 398-406. Retrieved from [https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0019103515004285)
 724 [pii/S0019103515004285](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2015.09.023) doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2015.09.023>
- 725 Soret, L., Gérard, J.-C., Schneider, N., Jain, S., Milby, Z., Ritter, B., ... Weber,
 726 T. (2021). Discrete Aurora on Mars: Spectral Properties, Vertical Profiles,
 727 and Electron Energies. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, 126(10),
 728 e2021JA029495. Retrieved from [https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/](https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2021JA029495)
 729 [abs/10.1029/2021JA029495](https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JA029495) (e2021JA029495 2021JA029495) doi: [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JA029495)
 730 [10.1029/2021JA029495](https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JA029495)
- 731 Steckiewicz, M., Garnier, P., André, N., Mitchell, D. L., Andersson, L., Penou, E.,
 732 ... Jakosky, B. M. (2017). Comparative study of the Martian suprathermal
 733 electron depletions based on Mars Global Surveyor, Mars Express, and Mars
 734 Atmosphere and Volatile Evolution mission observations. *Journal of Geophysi-*
 735 *cal Research: Space Physics*, 122(1), 857-873. Retrieved from <https://agupubs>
 736 [.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/2016JA023205](https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JA023205) doi: [https://doi](https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JA023205)
 737 [.org/10.1002/2016JA023205](https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JA023205)
- 738 Sánchez-Cano, B., Lester, M., Witasse, O., Blelly, P.-L., Indurain, M., Cartacci, M.,
 739 ... Noschese, R. (2018). Spatial, Seasonal, and Solar Cycle Variations of
 740 the Martian Total Electron Content (TEC): Is the TEC a Good Tracer for At-
 741 mospheric Cycles? *Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets*, 123(7), 1746-
 742 1759. Retrieved from [https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10](https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2018JE005626)
 743 [.1029/2018JE005626](https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JE005626) doi: <https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JE005626>
- 744 Sánchez-Cano, B., Lester, M., Witasse, O., Milan, S. E., Hall, B. E. S., Cartacci, M., ...
 745 Pätzold, M. (2016). Solar cycle variations in the ionosphere of Mars as seen by
 746 multiple Mars Express data sets. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*,
 747 121(3), 2547-2568. Retrieved from [https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/](https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/2015JA022281)
 748 [doi/abs/10.1002/2015JA022281](https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JA022281) doi: <https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JA022281>
- 749 Uluşen, D., & Linscott, I. (2008). Low-energy electron current in the Martian tail due
 750 to reconnection of draped interplanetary magnetic field and crustal magnetic fields.
 751 *Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets*, 113(E6). Retrieved from <https://agupubs>
 752 [.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2007JE002916](https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JE002916) doi: [https://doi](https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JE002916)
 753 [.org/10.1029/2007JE002916](https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JE002916)
- 754 Weber, T., Brain, D., Mitchell, D., Xu, S., Connerney, J., & Halekas, J. (2017). Char-
 755 acterization of Low-Altitude Nightside Martian Magnetic Topology Using Electron
 756 Pitch Angle Distributions. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, 122(10),
 757 9777-9789. Retrieved from [https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/](https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/2017JA024491)
 758 [10.1002/2017JA024491](https://doi.org/10.1002/2017JA024491) doi: <https://doi.org/10.1002/2017JA024491>
- 759 Weber, T., Brain, D., Xu, S., Mitchell, D., Espley, J., Halekas, J., ... Jakosky,
 760 B. (2020). The Influence of Interplanetary Magnetic Field Direction on Mar-
 761 tian Crustal Magnetic Field Topology. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 47(19),
 762 e2020GL087757. Retrieved from [https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/](https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2020GL087757)
 763 [abs/10.1029/2020GL087757](https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GL087757) (e2020GL087757 10.1029/2020GL087757) doi: [https://](https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GL087757)
 764 doi.org/10.1029/2020GL087757
- 765 Xu, S., Mitchell, D., Liemohn, M., Dong, C., Bougher, S., Fillingim, M., ... Jakosky, B.
 766 (2016). Deep nightside photoelectron observations by MAVEN SWEA: Implications
 767 for Martian northern hemispheric magnetic topology and nightside ionosphere source.
 768 *Geophysical Research Letters*, 43(17), 8876-8884. Retrieved from <https://agupubs>
 769 [.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/2016GL070527](https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GL070527) doi: [https://doi](https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GL070527)

- 770 .org/10.1002/2016GL070527
771 Xu, S., Mitchell, D., Liemohn, M., Fang, X., Ma, Y., Luhmann, J., ... Jakosky, B.
772 (2017). Martian low-altitude magnetic topology deduced from MAVEN/SWEA
773 observations. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, 122(2), 1831-
774 1852. Retrieved from [https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10](https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/2016JA023467)
775 [.1002/2016JA023467](https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/2016JA023467) doi: <https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JA023467>
- 776 Xu, S., Mitchell, D. L., McFadden, J. P., Fillingim, M. O., Andersson, L., Brain, D. A., ...
777 Espley, J. (2020). Inverted-V Electron Acceleration Events Concurring With Local-
778 ized Auroral Observations at Mars by MAVEN. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 47(9),
779 e2020GL087414. Retrieved from [https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/](https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2020GL087414)
780 [abs/10.1029/2020GL087414](https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2020GL087414) (e2020GL087414 10.1029/2020GL087414) doi: [https://](https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GL087414)
781 doi.org/10.1029/2020GL087414
- 782 Xu, S., Mitchell, D. L., McFadden, J. P., Schneider, N. M., Milby, Z., Jain, S.,
783 ... Johnston, B. (2022). Empirically Determined Auroral Electron Events at
784 Mars—MAVEN Observations. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 49(6), e2022GL097757.
785 Retrieved from [https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/](https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2022GL097757)
786 [2022GL097757](https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2022GL097757) (e2022GL097757 2022GL097757) doi: [https://doi.org/10.1029/](https://doi.org/10.1029/2022GL097757)
787 [2022GL097757](https://doi.org/10.1029/2022GL097757)
- 788 Xu, S., Weber, T., Mitchell, D. L., Brain, D. A., Mazelle, C., DiBraccio, G. A., & Espley,
789 J. (2019). A Technique to Infer Magnetic Topology at Mars and Its Application
790 to the Terminator Region. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, 124(3),
791 1823-1842. Retrieved from [https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/](https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2018JA026366)
792 [10.1029/2018JA026366](https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2018JA026366) doi: <https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JA026366>