

A Step in Understanding Glacial Flow: Exploring the effects of entrained insoluble debris on mechanical properties of polycrystalline ice

Alexandra Rivera¹, Dr. Christine McCarthy²

¹Ardasley High School, NY, USA ²Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory, Columbia University

Introduction and Review of Literature

- Study by NASA noted an acceleration in the rise of global sea level (Blumberg, 2018)
 - One direct cause = melting terrestrial ice
- Efforts to better predict/prepare for sea level rise
 - Need stronger understanding of glaciers, mechanisms of ice flow**
- Glaciers = large masses of ice
 - Move through internal ice deformation and basal sliding




Fig 1: Diagram of a glacier

Internal Ice Deformation

- Grain boundary sliding dominant mechanism at glacial conditions (Goldsby and Kohlstedt, 2001)
- Flow of individual ice crystals (grains) in relation to each other
- Atoms oriented in hexagonal rings, layers of rings form basal planes
 - Grains with atoms in hexagonal rings, layers of grains form basal planes
 - Under stress grains align and slide past each other on basal planes (Tarbuck and Lutgens, 2015)
- Grain boundary migration theorized to be grain size-dependent (Goldsby and Kohlstedt, 2001)
 - Smaller grains = faster flow (Dahl-Jensen et al., 1987, Fisher et al., 1986)
 - Method to study factors affecting grain size: observing **grain growth**




Fig 2: Diagram of a glacier and internal ice flow




Fig 3: Grain microstructure

Grain Growth

- Growth through grain boundary migration
 - Larger grains expand and consume smaller grains over time
- Previous study monitored pure ice grain growth in varying temps in a controlled laboratory setting (Nielson, 2015)
 - Colder temperatures, smaller grain sizes
- Grain growth is a way to understand the larger mechanism of internal ice deformation, since deformation is grain-sized dependent**




Fig 4: Model of grain growth through boundary migration

Basal Sliding

- Glacier sliding over the bedrock
- Influenced by presence of meltwater
 - Layer of water that forms between glacier and bedrock
 - Glacier velocity increases with meltwater (Hoffman et al., 2011)
- Can be influenced by **frictional heating**




Fig 5: Diagram of a glacier and basal sliding

Frictional Heating

- Ice-on-rock friction occurring at base of glacier generates heat
 - Can lead to the creation of meltwater
- Previous study modeled frictional heating based on given depth and stress in a fault (Lachenbruch, 1986)
 - Frictional heating dependent on friction coefficient
- Studying frictional heating is one way to better understand basal sliding, since meltwater generated influences glacial movement**

Gap in Literature

- Glacier impurities such as entrained insoluble debris particles
 - From atmosphere or from contact with bedrock at bottom of glacier

Grains

Field studies noted ice with debris having small grain sizes (Dahl-Jensen et al., 1987, Fisher et al., 1986)
Relationship not studied in controlled laboratory setting

Previous study observing grain growth in a controlled laboratory setting (Nielson, 2015)

Did not examine ice with impurities such as entrained debris

Frictional Heating

Previous study noted friction coefficient increases with debris (Zoet et al., 2013)

Did not emphasize relationship between debris content and frictional heating

Problem

Necessary to study effects of debris on ice mechanics in relation to glacial movement

Goals

To compare grain growth in pure ice and ice with debris

To model the effect of entrained debris on frictional heating

Hypotheses

Ice with debris will have smaller grain sizes

Frictional heating increases with debris content

Methodology

(1a) Grain Growth Study: Fabricating Ice Samples (Cole, 1979)

Fabricating bulk ice

- Deionized water in a metal bucket
- Directional freezing unit (Fig 6) in chest freezer (-5°C)





Fig 6: Directional freezing unit (Nielson, 2015)

Fabricating seed ice

- Shaved using a 1/2" crosscut burr bit
- Sieved using mesh pans (250µm and 106µm)



Figs 7 & 8: Ice molds

Filling mold

- Press sieved ice into mold (Figs 7 & 8)
- If debris: mix with sieved ice before filling mold



Flooding mold

- Air out with vacuum, DI water flooding through (Fig 9)
- Crystallized molds in chest freezer (-22°C)




Fig 9: Vacuum mold-flooding (Nielson, 2015)

(1b) Grain Growth Study: Microstructural Analysis

Preparing for Observation


Microtome used to create flat surface for imaging

Observing Samples

Leica Light microscope; obj. lens 2.5x "Dinoeye" camera; Images saved with "DinoCapture 2.0"

Grain Size Analysis

Avg. grain size calculated (linear intercept method) Recorded grain sizes over time; compared samples



Figs 10 & 11: Pure ice & ice with debris samples

Fig 12: Linear-intercept analysis methodology

(2) Modeling Frictional Heating

MATLAB Program

1D Model, previously made by a lab member Based off methodology of Lachenbruch, 1986

Variables Redefined

- μ = friction coefficient based on debris-rich ice-on-rock friction (Zoet et al., 2013).
- Ambient temp (T) = -3°C or -6°C
- Glacial velocity (v) = average (1.16x10⁻⁵ m/s) or faster (5.6x10⁻⁴ m/s)




Fig 13: Friction coefficient based on debris content (Zoet et al., 2013)

Extrapolating the Δ in temp.

Graph of depth vs. temperature after frictional heating
Used temp at depth of 140m (simulates actual glacier; Zoet, et al. 2013)




Fig 14: Determining temp. from MATLAB graphs

Results

Grain Growth Results




Fig 15: Ice microstructure taken with "DinoEye" camera

- Pure ice day 3. Grain boundaries are the visible lines between the crystals. Horizontal lines are marks from the microtome.
- Ice with debris day 2. Debris particles recognizable as small dots in between grain boundaries. Vertical lines are marks from the microtome.




Fig 16: Time duration study of grain growth for pure ice and ice with debris

- Measured values overlain with $D^n = D_0^n + kt$ (Gow, 1969)
- $k = 1.12 \times 10^{-6.05}$ for pure ice, $8.57 \times 10^{-7.38}$ for ice with debris (Azuma, 2012)




Fig 17: Extrapolated growth trends for pure ice and ice with debris

- Trendlines from measured values extrapolated to T=1, 2, 5, 100 & 200 yrs

Frictional Heating Results




Fig 18: Change in temperature due to frictional heating as a function of debris content

- a. Trial run at average glacial velocity
- b. Trial run at faster glacial velocity




Fig 19: Change in temperature due to frictional heating as a function of debris content; comparing glacial velocities

- a. Trial run at -3°C ambient temperature
- b. Trial run at -6°C ambient temperature

Discussion

Grain Growth Study

- Grains smaller in ice with debris
 - Hypothesized to be result of grain boundary pinning: debris "pin" grains into place, restricting their movement / growth (Warren, 2006)
- Extrapolated grain sizes used with flow law (Goldsby and Kohlstedt, 2001)
 - Trend: ice with debris has lower viscosity (will flow faster)
 - Trend seems to augment over time




Fig 20: Extrapolated viscosities using flow law from Goldsby and Kohlstedt, 2001.

Modeling Frictional Heating

- Frictional heating and % debris directly proportional
- Faster velocities had larger amounts of temperature increase due to frictional heating
 - Faster velocities are consistent with stick-slip events
 - Stick-slip thought to be dominant in debris-rich glaciers (Zoet et al., 2013)

Applications

- Better accounting for ice impurities in glacial models will help understand which glaciers are at larger risk of melting
 - Prioritize attention to regions at higher risk, and address subsequent habitat changes
- Air pollution: contaminants may enter ice and affect flow in the future
- Improved sea level rise predictions will help coastal regions prepare for future climate

Conclusions

Hypothesis: ice with debris will have smaller grain sizes

Other findings: Ice with fine-grained debris has lower viscosity

Ice entrained with insoluble fine-grained debris may flow faster than pure ice

Hypothesis: Frictional heating directly proportional to debris content

Other findings: As velocity increases, frictional heating increases

Glaciers with debris-rich beds experiencing stick-slip may create more melt. Lubrication may cause the glacier to move faster.

Future Research

Goal: To obtain a more comprehensive understanding of the effect of debris on polycrystalline ice

Continuation of this study

- Grain Growth Study**
 - Repeat with various types and sizes of debris
 - Create ice with different layers; pure ice & ice with debris
- Modeling Frictional Heating**
 - Use cryogenic biaxial friction apparatus (Fig. 21) to find own friction coefficients of debris-rich ice-on-rock friction to use in model




Fig 21: Cryogenic biaxial friction apparatus (McCarthy, 2017)

Calculate Young's Modulus

- The measure of the stiffness of a solid material
- Measure P- and S- waves through sample
- Strength of ice can relate to calving events

$$E = \frac{V_P^2 (3V_P^2 - 4V_S^2)}{V_P^2 - V_S^2}$$




Fig 22: P and S wave apparatus (K. Huang)

References

- Azuma, N., Miyake, T., Yokoyama, S., & Takata, M. (2012). Impeding effect of air bubbles on normal grain growth of ice. *Journal of Structural Geology*, 42, 184-193. doi:10.1016/j.jsg.2012.05.005
- Blumberg, S. (2018, February 13). New Study Finds Sea Level Rise Accelerating. Retrieved from <https://www.nasa.gov/feature/goddard/2018/new-study-finds-sea-level-rise-accelerating>
- Cole, D. M. (1979). Preparation of polycrystalline ice specimens for laboratory experiments. *Cold Regions Science and Technology*, 8(2), 153-159. doi:10.1016/0165-2324(79)90007-7
- Dahl-Jensen, D., & Gundestrup, N. S. (1987). Constitutive properties of ice at Gye 3, Greenland. Retrieved July 12, 2017.
- Fisher, D., & Koerner, R. (1986). On the Special Rheological Properties of Ancient Microcrystalline Lichen Northern Hemisphere Ice as Derived from Bore-Hole and Core Measurements. *Journal of Glaciology*, 32(112), 501-510. doi:10.1017/S002214300012211
- Goldsby, D. L., & Kohlstedt, D. L. (2001). Superplastic deformation of ice: Experimental observations. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 106(B6), 11017-11030. doi:10.1029/2000JB003336
- Gow, A. J. (1969). On the Rates of Growth of Grains and Crystals in South Polar Firn. *Journal of Glaciology*, 8(53), 241-252. doi:10.1017/S002214300012123
- J. Hoffman, M. & Catana, G. (1981). 6097-6112. doi:10.1029/J085B11p06097
- McCarthy, C., Savage, H., & Nettles, M. (2016). Temperature dependence of ice-on-rock friction at realistic glacier conditions. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, 372(2060), 20150348. doi:10.1098/rsta.2015.0348
- Nielson, M. A. (2015). Microstructural Characterization of Ice Crystal Evolution During Thermal Stress Experiments. Retrieved July 13, 2017.
- Tarabuck, E. J., & Lutgens, F. K. (2015). Earth science. NY, NY: Pearson.
- Warren, J. M., & Hirth, G. (2006). Grain size sensitive deformation mechanisms in naturally deformed peridotites. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 248(1-2), 438-450. doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2006.06.006
- Zoet, L. B., Carpenter, M., Soder, R. B., Alley, S., Avasarathnam, C., Marone, and M. Jackson (2013). The effects of entrained debris on the basal sliding stability of a glacier. *J. Geophys. Res. Earth Surf.*, 118, 656-666. doi:10.1002/jgr.20052
- Acknowledgements:**
Dr. Christine McCarthy
Lamont Assistant Research Professor
Lamont Doherty Earth Observatory, Columbia University
Diana Evangelista
Science Research Teacher, Ardsley High School, NY
Michael A. Nielson
Department of Earth & Environ. Science, Columbia University
Theodore A. Kocynski
Staff Associate: Seismology Geology and Tectonophysics
Lamont Doherty Earth Observatory, Columbia University