

# Tropical convection overshoots the cold point tropopause nearly as often over warm oceans as over land

Jacqueline M. Nugent<sup>1</sup> and Christopher S. Bretherton<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Atmospheric Sciences, University of Washington, Seattle, WA

<sup>2</sup>Allen Institute for Artificial Intelligence, Seattle, WA

## Key Points:

- We identify likely tropical cold point overshoots using a radar/lidar calibrated cold point-relative brightness temperature proxy
- In a 4-year climatology, cold point overshoots only modestly favor convectively active land areas over the Indo-Pacific warm pool
- Thin cirrus above the cold point covers over 100-fold more tropical area than cold point overshoots

---

Corresponding author: Jacqueline Nugent, [jnug@uw.edu](mailto:jnug@uw.edu)

## Abstract

Tropical convection that overshoots the cold point tropopause can impact the climate by directly influencing water vapor, temperatures, and thin cirrus in the upper troposphere-lower stratosphere (UTLS) region. The distribution of cold point overshoots between land and ocean may help determine how the overshoots will affect the UTLS in a changing climate. Using four years of satellite and reanalysis data, we test a brightness temperature proxy calibrated by radar/lidar data to identify cold point-overshooting convection across the global tropics. We find evidence of cold point-overshooting convection throughout the tropics, though other cirrus above the cold point cover an area 100 times larger than overshooting tops. Cold point-overshooting convection occurs 30–40% more often over convectively active land areas than over the warmest oceans. This proxy can be generalized to evaluate the fidelity of cold point overshoots simulated by storm-resolving models.

## Plain Language Summary

Extremely deep convection in the tropics that overshoots the cold point, the coldest temperature level between the upper troposphere and lower stratosphere, influences the vertical temperature structure of this region and water vapor in the lower stratosphere, where it acts as a greenhouse gas. Overshooting cloud tops appear “cold” in infrared satellite imagery, so they can be identified from the difference between their brightness temperature and the nearby cold point temperature. We calibrate this brightness temperature proxy using satellite measurements of cloud ice. Cold point overshoots occur almost as often over the warmest oceans as over moist tropical land areas. Overshooting tops comprise only 1% of satellite-detectable cloud above the cold point, most of which is very thin ice cloud. Our proxy can be used as a real-world observational test of cold point overshoots simulated by the most realistic global atmospheric models, which resolve individual thunderstorm systems.

## 1 Introduction

The tropical upper troposphere-lower stratosphere region (UTLS,  $\sim 12$ – $20$  km) plays a critical role in the Earth’s climate by influencing the composition of the lower stratosphere. The Brewer-Dobson circulation helps loft air from the upper troposphere into the lower stratosphere, which gets “freeze-dried” as it passes through the coldest temperatures near the tropopause, helping to set stratospheric moisture content (Brewer, 1949; Holton & Gettelman, 2001; Dessler, 2002). This mechanism is robust in observations; variations in stratospheric moisture are highly correlated with variations in the cold point temperature on subseasonal to interannual time scales (e.g., Randel & Jensen, 2013; Zhou et al., 2001; Randel et al., 2004). This is significant for climate change. Many climate models project stratospheric water vapor will increase under 21st century greenhouse warming (Dessler et al., 2016; Tian et al., 2023), producing an additional greenhouse effect which would further increase the surface warming rate (Solomon et al., 2010).

However, this is a challenging modeling problem. In addition to nonlocal dynamical drivers, a complex, multiscale mixture of local physical processes is thought to regulate the cold point and overlying lower stratosphere. Though infrequent, convection that overshoots the cold point can alter the cold point temperature through entrainment (Gettelman et al., 2002; Kuang & Bretherton, 2004; Chae et al., 2011; Randel & Park, 2019) and modify stratospheric air through turbulent mixing of ice and water vapor (e.g., Corti et al., 2008; Dion et al., 2019; Ueyama et al., 2023) and removal of vapor by deposition onto sedimenting ice crystals (Jensen et al., 2007; Khaykin et al., 2022). Observations during the southeast Asian monsoon suggest ice injected by convective overshoots over land strongly affects the regional UTLS composition (Bucci et al., 2020). Cold point-overshooting convection may drive much of the transport between the upper troposphere and lower

stratosphere (Pommereau, 2010; Vernier et al., 2011). It can also support the formation of thin UTLS cirrus through anvil detrainment or by generating gravity waves where ice nucleates in the cold perturbations (Jensen et al., 1996b; Chang & L'Ecuyer, 2020; Jensen et al., 2016; Krämer et al., 2016).

Thin cirrus are common just below the cold point, especially around areas of frequent deep convection (Virts & Houze, 2015), with possible impacts on the UTLS from ice removal and radiative lofting (Jensen et al., 1996a, 1996b; Fueglistaler et al., 2009). An outstanding question is whether cold point-overshooting convection or thin cirrus has a greater impact on cold point temperatures and thus lower stratospheric moisture. Even global storm-resolving models, which explicitly simulate deep convection that reaches into the UTLS, have substantial intermodel spread in simulating the convection, cold point temperature, and cirrus that affect the UTLS (Nugent et al., 2022; Turbeville et al., 2022).

An important but imperfectly understood aspect of cold point-overshooting convection is its geographical and seasonal distribution, and how it differs between land and ocean regions. When defined using the 20 dBZ echo top height, the deepest tropical convection (Zipser et al., 2006) occurs mostly over land (e.g., Xian & Fu, 2015; N. Liu & Liu, 2016; N. Liu et al., 2020). But far too little large ice is typically lofted in cold point overshoots to reach such reflectivities. Other observational studies using more sensitive radar thresholds (e.g., -20 to -30 dBZ) to identify high convective cloud tops have found comparable frequencies of overshoots in convectively active land and ocean regions (Luo et al., 2008; Iwasaki et al., 2010; Takahashi & Luo, 2014; Li et al., 2022). Studies that used brightness temperature thresholds have even found more overshoots over oceans (Gettelman et al., 2002; Rossow & Pearl, 2007). The weaker land/ocean contrast in cold point overshoots vs. in intense mid-tropospheric updrafts is not fully understood. The upper-tropospheric thermal environment surrounding very deep oceanic convection may be more conducive for relatively weaker updrafts to reach high altitudes (Kelley et al., 2010). Convective updraft velocity measurements in the UTLS are difficult and sparse, and extreme updraft strengths predicted by global storm-resolving models at 14 km altitude vary widely (Nugent et al., 2022).

A recent modeling study by Wu et al. (2023) found that in a warming climate, overshoots will increase much more over tropical oceans. Hong et al. (2005) and Aumann et al. (2018) also found warmer sea surface temperatures are correlated with more overshoots. Thus, documenting and understanding the spatial distribution of present-day cold point overshoots over ocean and land regions is important for predicting how overshooting convection may influence the UTLS in a changing climate.

Past studies using brightness temperature or -20 to -30 dBZ definitions of overshooting convection have been somewhat limited by relatively coarse data (Gettelman et al., 2002; Rossow & Pearl, 2007) or the twice-daily sun-synchronous sampling by the NASA A-Train (Luo et al., 2008; Takahashi & Luo, 2014; Li et al., 2022), which misses overshoots over land during the late afternoon diurnal cycle peak. Other studies used just one year of data (Iwasaki et al., 2010) or had only aggregate land-ocean statistics (Luo et al., 2008).

The goal of this paper is to achieve a climatology of the spatial distribution of cold point-overshooting convection across different land and ocean regions using a method that is generalizable to model output. Via calibration with active sensor data, we show more convincingly than prior studies that a combination of passive satellite brightness temperatures and reanalysis with frequent global coverage is now sufficiently accurate to develop the desired climatology. In four years of data, we find evidence of cold point-overshooting convection throughout the warmest, moistest parts of the tropics, with comparable frequencies over the Pacific warm pool and tropical land hot spots. Our proxy provides a useful observational benchmark for testing storm-resolving model simulations of tropical convective overshoot.

## 2 Data and Methods

### 2.1 Data Sets

We use ice water contents (IWC) from DARDAR-CLOUD v3.10 (Delanoë, 2023). The DARDAR product joins retrievals from the CloudSat cloud profiling radar and CALIPSO lidar on the NASA A-Train. The data have a horizontal footprint of 1.4 km and a vertical resolution of 60 m (Delanoë & Hogan, 2008, 2010; Cazenave et al., 2019). We use brightness temperatures from the NCEP/CPC L3 Half Hourly 4 km Global (60S–60N) Merged IR V1 (GPM\_MERGIR) data set, which combines infrared brightness temperature data from several geostationary satellites (Janowiak et al., 2017). The GPM\_MERGIR data set has a horizontal resolution of 4 km and a temporal resolution of 30 minutes.

Temperature profiles come from the ECMWF Reanalysis v5 (ERA5) model level data, which is available hourly on a  $0.25^\circ \times 0.25^\circ$  grid with a vertical resolution of 300–400 m in the UTLS (Hersbach et al., 2017). The ERA5 reanalysis incorporates both Global Navigation Satellite System Radio Occultation (GNSS-RO) data and radiosonde measurements into the upper-level temperatures (Hersbach et al., 2020). We use reanalysis rather than the GNSS-RO or radiosonde data directly to have a collocated cold point temperature for each DARDAR retrieval. Overshooting convection occurs so infrequently that further restricting to near-coincident DARDAR and GNSS-RO data would insufficiently sample overshoots.

We consider two seasons: December-January-February (DJF) and June-July-August (JJA). Our DARDAR climatology is limited to 2007–2010, after which CloudSat only operated during the daytime. The ERA5 and GPM\_MERGIR data are mapped onto the DARDAR track by selecting the data point closest in space and time to each DARDAR retrieval. However, the mapped  $T_b$  values may not represent the true brightness temperatures at each pixel since the GPM\_MERGIR and DARDAR data could be offset by up to 15 minutes.

### 2.2 Analysis Regions

We focus our analysis on four regions of approximately equal size for each season (Figure 1a–d). These locations (three oceanic, one land) were selected to enclose areas of frequent active convection based on the climatological mean precipitation rate. For DJF, the regions are Amazonia (AMZ), the southern Indian Ocean (IOS), and the South Pacific Convergence Zone (SPC). For JJA, the analogous regions are Africa (AFR), the equatorial Indian Ocean (IOE), and the West Pacific (WPC). We also consider the East-Central Pacific (ECP) as a control, since this area rarely experiences deep convection as intense as that over the warmest oceans (e.g., C. Liu & Zipser, 2005; C. Liu et al., 2007), despite having similarly high time-mean precipitation rates. Table S1 lists the coordinates of each region. Across all regions, there are approximately 6.5M retrievals in DJF and 8.4M in JJA.

### 2.3 Cold Point Tropopause

We define the cold point as the level of the minimum temperature in the hourly  $0.25^\circ$  ERA5 temperature profiles. The  $0.25^\circ$  grid is small enough to capture fine spatiotemporal variations in the cold point but large enough to avoid interpreting individual convective cloud tops as cold point fluctuations. The 2007–2010 time-mean cold points (see Figure S1) are higher ( $>17$  km) and colder ( $\sim 191$  K) in DJF than in JJA (altitude  $<17$  km and temperature  $\sim 194$  K), consistent with previous studies (e.g., Seidel et al., 2001; Kim & Son, 2012).

Compared to GNSS-RO and radiosonde data, the ERA5 climatological tropical cold point is generally  $<0.5$  K warmer and  $\sim 150$  m lower (Tegtmeier et al., 2020), although




local variations may be larger. Hoffmann and Spang (2022) calculated an uncertainty of  $\pm 120$ – $200$  m in the lapse rate tropopause (LRT) height globally for ERA5 reanalysis. The ERA5 cold point, typically at or  $0.5$ – $1$  km above the LRT (Munchak & Pan, 2014; Tseng & Fu, 2017; Pan et al., 2018), likely has a comparable uncertainty. In Section 3.3 we identify cold point overshoots by binning CloudSat-detectable echoes at intervals of  $500$  m relative to the local ERA5 cold point height. Echoes in the bin  $500$  m above the cold point presumably will lie above the true cold point despite these uncertainties.

### 3 Cloud Ice Above the Cold Point

#### 3.1 Stratospheric Cirrus and Brightness Temperatures

To focus on cirrus associated with convective cold point overshoots, we bin DARDAR cloud ice by the difference between the brightness temperature ( $T_b$ ) and the collocated cold point temperature ( $T_{CP}$ ). This method is similar to that of Dauhut and Hohenegger (2022), who identified very deep convection in GSRM output by binning ice by the outgoing longwave radiation (OLR). Since brightness temperatures vary much more than cold point temperatures, large values of  $T_b - T_{CP}$  correspond to high  $T_b$  and vice versa. We vertically bin the DARDAR data at cold-point-relative altitude levels. The ERA5 cold point height has a standard deviation of about  $600$  m within each analysis region, so using fixed altitude levels could incorrectly identify cold point overshoots. Following Pan and Munchak (2011), we instead use levels  $-500$  m,  $0$  m,  $+500$  m, and  $+1000$  m relative to the local ERA5-estimated cold point height. We interpret “stratospheric” cirrus detected by DARDAR in the  $+500$  m and  $+1000$  m bins as very likely to lie above the cold point, despite uncertainties in measurement, reanalysis, and collocation.



**Figure 1.** Cold point-relative cloud ice binned by  $T_b - T_{CP}$  for all DARDAR pixels in DJF 2007–2010. (a)–(d) Maps of each analysis region; (e)–(h) bin-mean IWC at  $500$  m below to  $1000$  m above the cold point height; and (i)–(l) bin counts conditioned on when DARDAR detects ice at each cold point-relative level. The row below the thick black line in (i)–(l) shows the total brightness temperature bin counts. The dashed lines in (e)–(l) mark where the brightness temperature equals the cold point. The bin width is  $2$  K.

Figure 1 shows the bin-mean IWC and bin counts at the cold point-relative levels in the four analysis regions shown in the top row. In all regions, cirrus is occasionally detected at least 500 m above the cold point across most of the  $T_b - T_{CP}$  bins, corresponding to a broad range of brightness temperatures (bottom row). In fact, more stratospheric cirrus is collocated with high  $T_b$  than low  $T_b$ , which may seem counterintuitive. However, the coloring for overall count in each  $T_b - T_{CP}$  bin (below the thick black line) indicates that there are many more bins with high than low brightness temperature. Thus, an atmospheric column with high  $T_b$  (i.e., relatively thin and/or low-lying cirrus) is much less likely to include cirrus above the cold point than one with low  $T_b$ .

When  $T_b - T_{CP}$  is below  $\sim 10$  K, there is enhanced cloud ice (middle row;  $\geq 5 \times 10^{-6}$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>) at 500 m above the cold point in all regions except the ECP. At these low brightness temperatures, ice is almost always detected at 500 m above the cold point; i.e., the stratospheric cirrus bin counts are almost the same as the total bin counts. Together, these bin means and counts suggest that some of the DARDAR-detected stratospheric cirrus may indicate overshooting tops in both land and warm ocean regions.

There is some seasonal variability in the bin means and counts (see Figure S2 for JJA), but the overall patterns between analogous regions in DJF and JJA are the same. One difference is that bin counts at the +500 m level are lower in the WPC (Figure S2f) than in the SPC (Figure 1f), meaning cirrus above the cold point is rarer in JJA over the Pacific warm pool. The ECP (Figure S2h) has more ice above the cold point in JJA than in DJF (Figure 1h), but still has much less than in other regions.

### 3.2 Identifying Cold Point-Overshooting Tops


Most of the detections of ice above the cold point in Figures 1 and S2 are associated with thin cirrus clouds with high  $T_b$ . For the low  $T_b - T_{CP}$  bins, the cirrus likely overlies deep convection. An actual overshooting top should not just have dense cloud ice but also larger ice particles lofted in the strong updraft that supports it; these particles should be detectable by the cloud radar. We therefore make binned plots restricted to include only radar-lidar pixels (Figure 2).

We anticipate that the dense cloud ice and low temperatures of overshooting tops should also cause particularly low brightness temperatures, possibly lower than the cold point temperature. The bottom row of Figure 2 shows that indeed, radar-detected ice above the cold point is almost exclusively associated with  $T_b - T_{CP} < 10$  K, and at the coldest brightness temperatures, ice is almost always detected by the radar 500 m above the cold point. Thus we interpret radar/lidar-detected cirrus above the cold point as a cold point overshoot. We use the term “other stratospheric cirrus” for the remaining cirrus above the cold point that is detected only by lidar; some of this cirrus may be thin, while some of it may overlie extensive convective anvils or updrafts that do not overshoot the cold point.

Overshooting tops only comprise 1–2% of all tropical stratospheric cirrus, as inferred by comparing the fraction of DARDAR cold point overshoot pixels containing ice at the +500 m level detected by both radar and lidar (Figures 2 and S3) vs. lidar-only detections. The occurrence frequency of other stratospheric cirrus (0.9–2.5% in DJF, 0.4–1.5% in JJA) is approximately 50 to 100 times larger than the convective overshoot occurrence frequency (0.01–0.04% in both seasons). This relationship also holds for the ECP in JJA, but not in DJF when ice almost never occurs above the cold point there.


### 3.3 Brightness Temperature Proxy for Overshoots

Using the DARDAR data, we can infer a relationship between brightness temperature and cold point overshoots. If the relationship is strong enough,  $T_b$ , which is much more broadly available than radar/lidar data, can be confidently used as an overshoot



**Figure 2.** As in Figure 1 for select regions in DJF and JJA, but restricted to pixels in which the radar and lidar both detect ice.


proxy. The reliability of this proxy will depend on the infrared opacity of the cloud extending above the cold point. We expect cold point overshoots to be ice-rich, but whether their  $T_b$  over the size of a GPM\_MERGIR pixel is necessarily less than the  $T_{CP}$  is less obvious.



**Figure 3.** (a)–(d) Conditional probability of cold point overshoots (ice at 500 m above the cold point) as a function of  $T_b - T_{CP}$  for all retrievals and radar-detected ice. The horizontal dashed line indicates a 50% chance of cold point overshoots. (e)–(h) Joint brightness temperature-cold point histograms for all GPM\_MERGIR data points. In all panels, the solid lines mark where the brightness temperature equals the cold point. The same regions as in Figure 2 are shown.

We calibrate the brightness temperature proxy by finding the fraction of DARDAR detections at a given value of  $T_b - T_{CP}$  that are associated with ice at 500 m above the cold point (top row in Figure 3). The probability of cold point overshoots steadily increases once  $T_b - T_{CP}$  falls below 10 K. In AFR, AMZ, and SPC, there is about a 50% chance of ice detected at 500 m above the cold point when  $T_b = T_{CP}$  (solid lines in Figure 3). The probability of radar-detected ice (dashed lines in Figure 3) is slightly lower than for ice from all detections and does not exceed 50% when  $T_b = T_{CP}$ . The pattern is the same in the IOS and IOE (Figure S4a, c). In the ECP, the probability of cold point overshoots never reaches 50%, and the brightness temperature is rarely less than the cold point (Figure S4b, d). In the WPC, the probability of cold point overshoots at +500 m never exceeds 30%, but does exceed 50% at slightly lower heights (green lines in Figure 3h). Unlike in other regions, the conditional probability of a convective overshoot over the WPC does not monotonically increase as  $T_b - T_{CP}$  becomes more negative; more investigation is needed to understand what other factors may enable  $T_b < T_{CP}$  with a low conditional probability of stratospheric cirrus in this region. Luo et al. (2008) argued that  $T_b < T_{CP}$  is an unreliable indicator of cold point overshoots because overshoots with (without) radar-detected ice above the cold point may have brightness temperatures warmer (colder) than the cold point. While this may be true for individual cases, our DARDAR results suggest that the  $T_b < T_{CP}$  proxy is a statistically unbiased and physically plausible threshold for convective overshoots that are often CloudSat-detectable.

The joint histograms of brightness and cold point temperatures in the bottom row of Figure 3 show the probability distributions for the entire GPM\_MERGIR data set (i.e., not conditioned on DARDAR retrievals) in each study region/season. These probability distributions are similar to those from Gettelman et al. (2002) for the global tropics. Despite the differently-shaped distributions between land and ocean, the percentage of data points where  $T_b < T_{CP}$  (below the solid lines) is similar: 0.008/0.007% in AMZ/SPC and 0.024/0.015% in AFR/WPC. In both Indian Ocean regions, 0.004% of data points have  $T_b < T_{CP}$ , and there are even less in the ECP (0.0002% in DJF, 0.002% in JJA). These patterns suggest there are slightly more cold point overshoots over hot spots of tropical convection over land than over the warmest oceans, and that convective overshoots are rare over convectively active but slightly less warm ocean regions such as the Pacific Intertropical Convergence Zone.



**Figure 4.** Frequency of cold point overshoots over time for (a) DJF and (b) JJA.

Finally, in Figure 4 we apply this proxy to estimate the spatial distribution of cold point overshoots within our study regions over the entire four-year GPM\_MERGIR climatology, which past studies have not documented. With more data analysis, one could extend this analysis to look at the full global distribution and diurnal cycle of overshoots. Overshoots are 3–4 times more likely in JJA than DJF for analogous regions. Unsurprisingly, they are most common in AFR. Cold point overshoots are 30–40% more frequent over land regions (AFR, AMZ) than the warmest ocean regions (WPC, SPC). On average, the regional frequencies of cold point overshoots for DJF are 0.011% in the AMZ, 0.008% in the SPC, 0.006% in the IOS, and negligible (0.0004%) in the ECP. In JJA, they are 0.045% in AFR, 0.032% in the WPC, 0.016% in the IOE, and 0.014% in the ECP. The frequencies of cold point overshoots and convective cirrus are similar everywhere but the SPC. Convective cirrus are much more frequent for the SPC, which may result from enhanced ascent from the Brewer-Dobson Circulation in the Pacific warm pool during boreal winter that can help maintain anvils produced by cold point-overshooting convection. Such anvils could be thick enough to be radar-detectable but not so thick that  $T_b < T_{CP}$ .

These results corroborate past findings that cold point overshoots somewhat favor tropical land areas over oceans from Luo et al. (2008) and other studies that defined convective overshoot using low radar reflectivity thresholds. Even if we adjust the proxy to  $T_b - T_{CP} < -2$  K following the 50% probability of radar-detectable ice in Figure 3, this pattern is robust.

## 4 Conclusions

We argue that  $T_b < T_{CP}$  is a suitable proxy to identify cold point overshoots that uses only high-resolution (4 km) IR brightness temperature from a geostationary satellite and ERA5-inferred cold point temperature. At such low brightness temperatures, we find that there is a high probability of cloud ice occurring above the cold point. Applying this proxy to 4 years of data over convectively active tropical land and ocean regions, we corroborate past findings that cold point-overshooting convection is only about 30–40% more common over land (AFR and AMZ) than Pacific warm pool regions (WPC and SPC). The cooler East Pacific region (ECP) has very few cold point overshoots, and the Indian Ocean regions (IOE and IOS) fall somewhere in between. Even in the regions where cold point overshoots are the most frequent, thin cirrus above the cold point cover  $\sim 100$  times more area than the cold point-overshooting tops. By using more data than available to earlier investigators, we obtain seasonal maps of the overshoot frequency over our study regions that sample the full diurnal cycle and could easily be extended to the global tropics.

Our finding that cold point overshoots are comparably frequent between warm land and ocean areas does not contradict the widely-held view that convection is more intense over land. Oceanic convection has been well-documented to contain weaker and narrower updrafts (e.g., LeMone & Zipser, 1980; Zipser & LeMone, 1980; Fierro et al., 2012). Other classic measures of convective intensity where land dominates, including frequent lightning and tall 40 dBZ echo top heights (Zipser et al., 2006), need not always coincide with overshooting tops above the cold point. We expect that the cold point overshoots we have identified over land are more intense by these measures than those we have identified over oceans, but this does not necessarily imply less frequent cold point overshoots.

In a follow-up paper, we will adapt our  $T_b < T_{CP}$  proxy to global storm-resolving model (GSRM) output from the DYAMOND intercomparison (Stevens et al., 2019) to compare cold point overshoots between models and observations. GSRMs explicitly simulate deep convection and have small enough horizontal grid spacing to capture convective overshoots. Understanding how well GSRMs can reproduce observed cold point overshoots in the current climate over both land and ocean will test the reliability of these

models for simulating cold point overshoots and their influence on the UTLS in a warming climate.

## Open Research Section

DARDAR data was provided by NASA and is available from the AERIS/ICARE Data and Services Center in Delanoë (2023). ERA5 reanalysis is available in Hersbach et al. (2017) and was downloaded using the CDS API from the Copernicus Climate Change Service. NCEP/CPC GPM\_MERGIR data is available from NASA GES DISC in Janowiak et al. (2017). All code used for this analysis is available on Github in Nugent (2023).

## Acknowledgments

JN was supported by the National Science Foundation through the Partnerships in International Research and Education program under grant number OISE-1743753. CB was supported by the Allen Institute for AI. Data management was provided by the German Climate Computing Center (DKRZ) and supported through the projects ESiWACE and ESiWACE2, which have received funding from the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under grant agreements No 675191 and 823988. This work used resources of the DKRZ granted by its Scientific Steering Committee (WLA) under project IDs bk1040 and bb1153.

## References

- Aumann, H. H., Behrangi, A., & Wang, Y. (2018). Increased Frequency of Extreme Tropical Deep Convection: AIRS Observations and Climate Model Predictions. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *45*(24). doi: 10.1029/2018GL079423
- Brewer, A. W. (1949). Evidence for a world circulation provided by the measurements of helium and water vapour distribution in the stratosphere. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, *75*(326), 351–363. doi: 10.1002/qj.49707532603
- Bucci, S., Legras, B., Sellitto, P., D’Amato, F., Viciani, S., Montori, A., ... Strohm, F. (2020). Deep-convective influence on the upper troposphere–lower stratosphere composition in the Asian monsoon anticyclone region: 2017 StratoClim campaign results. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, *20*(20), 12193–12210. doi: 10.5194/acp-20-12193-2020
- Cazenave, Q., Ceccaldi, M., Delanoë, J., Pelon, J., Groß, S., & Heymsfield, A. (2019). Evolution of DARDAR-CLOUD ice cloud retrievals: new parameters and impacts on the retrieved microphysical properties. *Atmospheric Measurement Techniques*, *12*(5), 2819–2835. doi: 10.5194/amt-12-2819-2019
- Chae, J. H., Wu, D. L., Read, W. G., & Sherwood, S. C. (2011). The role of tropical deep convective clouds on temperature, water vapor, and dehydration in the tropical tropopause layer (TTL). *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, *11*(8), 3811–3821. doi: 10.5194/acp-11-3811-2011
- Chang, K.-W., & L’Ecuyer, T. (2020). Influence of gravity wave temperature anomalies and their vertical gradients on cirrus clouds in the tropical tropopause layer – a satellite-based view. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, *20*(21), 12499–12514. doi: 10.5194/acp-20-12499-2020
- Corti, T., Luo, B. P., de Reus, M., Brunner, D., Cairo, F., Mahoney, M. J., ... Peter, T. (2008). Unprecedented evidence for deep convection hydrating the tropical stratosphere: CONVECTIVE HYDRATION. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *35*(10). doi: 10.1029/2008GL033641
- Dauhut, T., & Hohenegger, C. (2022). The Contribution of Convection to the Stratospheric Water Vapor: The First Budget Using a Global Storm-Resolving Model. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, *127*(5). doi:



- 10.1029/2021JD036295
- Delanoë, J. (2023). *DARDAR CLOUD - Heymfield's composite mass-size relationship. DARDAR-CLOUD v3.10*. [Dataset]. AERIS. Retrieved 2023-02-01, from <https://www.icare.univ-lille.fr/> doi: doi.org/10.25326/449
- Delanoë, J., & Hogan, R. J. (2008). A variational scheme for retrieving ice cloud properties from combined radar, lidar, and infrared radiometer. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 113(D7), D07204. doi: 10.1029/2007JD009000
- Delanoë, J., & Hogan, R. J. (2010). Combined CloudSat-CALIPSO-MODIS retrievals of the properties of ice clouds. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 115, D00H29. doi: 10.1029/2009JD012346
- Dessler, A. E. (2002). The effect of deep, tropical convection on the tropical tropopause layer. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 107(D3), 4033. doi: 10.1029/2001JD000511
- Dessler, A. E., Ye, H., Wang, T., Schoeberl, M., Oman, L., Douglass, A., ... Portmann, R. (2016). Transport of ice into the stratosphere and the humidification of the stratosphere over the 21st century. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 43(5), 2323–2329. doi: 10.1002/2016GL067991
- Dion, I.-A., Ricaud, P., Haynes, P., Carminati, F., & Dauhut, T. (2019). Ice injected into the tropopause by deep convection – Part 1: In the austral convective tropics. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 19(9), 6459–6479. doi: 10.5194/acp-19-6459-2019
- Fierro, A. O., Zipser, E. J., LeMone, M. A., Straka, J. M., & Simpson, J. M. (2012). Tropical Oceanic Hot Towers: Need They Be Undilute to Transport Energy from the Boundary Layer to the Upper Troposphere Effectively? An Answer Based on Trajectory Analysis of a Simulation of a TOGA COARE Convective System. *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, 69(1), 195–213. doi: 10.1175/JAS-D-11-0147.1
- Fueglistaler, S., Dessler, A. E., Dunkerton, T. J., Folkins, I., Fu, Q., & Mote, P. W. (2009). Tropical tropopause layer. *Reviews of Geophysics*, 47(1). doi: 10.1029/2008RG000267
- Gottelman, A., Salby, M. L., & Sassi, F. (2002). Distribution and influence of convection in the tropical tropopause region: CONVECTION IN THE TROPOPAUSE REGION. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 107(D10), ACL 6–1–ACL 6–12. doi: 10.1029/2001JD001048
- Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Hirahara, S., Horányi, A., Muñoz-Sabater, J., ... Thépaut, J. (2020). The ERA5 global reanalysis. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, 146(730), 1999–2049. doi: 10.1002/qj.3803
- Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Hirahara, S., Horányi, A., Muñoz-Sabater, J., ... Thépaut, J.-N. (2017). *Complete ERA5 from 1940: Fifth generation of ECMWF atmospheric reanalyses of the global climate*. [Dataset]. Accessed 2023-02-01. Copernicus Climate Change Service (C3S) Data Store (CDS). doi: 10.24381/cds.143582cf
- Hoffmann, L., & Spang, R. (2022). An assessment of tropopause characteristics of the ERA5 and ERA-Interim meteorological reanalyses. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 22(6), 4019–4046. doi: 10.5194/acp-22-4019-2022
- Holton, J. R., & Gottelman, A. (2001). Horizontal transport and the dehydration of the stratosphere. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 28(14), 2799–2802. doi: 10.1029/2001GL013148
- Hong, G., Heygster, G., Miao, J., & Kunzi, K. (2005). Detection of tropical deep convective clouds from AMSU-B water vapor channels measurements. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 110(D5). doi: 10.1029/2004JD004949
- Iwasaki, S., Shibata, T., Nakamoto, J., Okamoto, H., Ishimoto, H., & Kubota, H. (2010). Characteristics of deep convection measured by using the A-train constellation. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 115(D6). doi: 10.1029/2009JD013000




- Janowiak, J., Joyce, B., & Xie, P. (2017). *NCEP/CPC L3 Half Hourly 4km Global (60S - 60N) Merged IR V1*. [Dataset]. Accessed 2023-02-01. (Edited by Andrey Savtchenko, Greenbelt, MD, Goddard Earth Sciences Data and Information Services Center (GES DISC)) doi: 10.5067/P4HZB9N27EKU
- Jensen, E. J., Ackerman, A. S., & Smith, J. A. (2007). Can overshooting convection dehydrate the tropical tropopause layer? *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 112(D11), D11209. doi: 10.1029/2006JD007943
- Jensen, E. J., Toon, O. B., Pfister, L., & Selkirk, H. B. (1996a). Dehydration of the upper troposphere and lower stratosphere by subvisible cirrus clouds near the tropical tropopause. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 23(8), 825–828. doi: 10.1029/96GL00722
- Jensen, E. J., Toon, O. B., Selkirk, H. B., Spinhirne, J. D., & Schoeberl, M. R. (1996b). On the formation and persistence of subvisible cirrus clouds near the tropical tropopause. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 101(D16), 21361–21375. doi: 10.1029/95JD03575
- Jensen, E. J., Ueyama, R., Pfister, L., Bui, T. V., Alexander, M. J., Podglajen, A., ... Schoeberl, M. R. (2016). High-frequency gravity waves and homogeneous ice nucleation in tropical tropopause layer cirrus: WAVES AND ICE NUCLEATION. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 43(12), 6629–6635. doi: 10.1002/2016GL069426
- Kelley, O. A., Stout, J., Summers, M., & Zipser, E. J. (2010). Do the Tallest Convective Cells over the Tropical Ocean Have Slow Updrafts? *Monthly Weather Review*, 138(5), 1651–1672. doi: 10.1175/2009MWR3030.1
- Khaykin, S. M., Moyer, E., Krämer, M., Clouser, B., Bucci, S., Legras, B., ... Strohm, F. (2022). Persistence of moist plumes from overshooting convection in the Asian monsoon anticyclone. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 22(5), 3169–3189. doi: 10.5194/acp-22-3169-2022
- Kim, J., & Son, S.-W. (2012). Tropical Cold-Point Tropopause: Climatology, Seasonal Cycle, and Intraseasonal Variability Derived from COSMIC GPS Radio Occultation Measurements. *Journal of Climate*, 25(15), 5343–5360. doi: 10.1175/JCLI-D-11-00554.1
- Krämer, M., Rolf, C., Luebke, A., Afchine, A., Spelten, N., Costa, A., ... Avalone, L. (2016). A microphysics guide to cirrus clouds – Part 1: Cirrus types. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 16(5), 3463–3483. doi: 10.5194/acp-16-3463-2016
- Kuang, Z., & Bretherton, C. S. (2004). Convective Influence on the Heat Balance of the Tropical Tropopause Layer: A Cloud-Resolving Model Study. *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, 61(23), 2919–2927. doi: 10.1175/JAS-3306.1
- LeMone, M. A., & Zipser, E. J. (1980). Cumulonimbus Vertical Velocity Events in GATE. Part I: Diameter, Intensity and Mass Flux. *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, 37(11), 2444–2457. doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1980)037<2444:CVVEIG>2.0.CO;2
- Li, H., Wei, X., Min, M., Li, B., Nong, Z., & Chen, L. (2022). A Dataset of Overshooting Cloud Top from 12-Year CloudSat/CALIOP Joint Observations. *Remote Sensing*, 14(10), 2417. doi: 10.3390/rs14102417
- Liu, C., & Zipser, E. J. (2005). Global distribution of convection penetrating the tropical tropopause. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 110(D23), D23104. doi: 10.1029/2005JD006063
- Liu, C., Zipser, E. J., & Nesbitt, S. W. (2007). Global Distribution of Tropical Deep Convection: Different Perspectives from TRMM Infrared and Radar Data. *Journal of Climate*, 20(3), 489–503. doi: 10.1175/JCLI4023.1
- Liu, N., & Liu, C. (2016). Global distribution of deep convection reaching tropopause in 1 year GPM observations. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 121(8), 3824–3842. doi: 10.1002/2015JD024430
- Liu, N., Liu, C., & Hayden, L. (2020). Climatology and Detection of Overshooting

- Convection From 4 Years of GPM Precipitation Radar and Passive Microwave Observations. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 125(7). doi: 10.1029/2019JD032003
- Luo, Z., Liu, G. Y., & Stephens, G. L. (2008). CloudSat adding new insight into tropical penetrating convection. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 35(19), L19819. doi: 10.1029/2008GL035330
- Munchak, L. A., & Pan, L. L. (2014). Separation of the lapse rate and the cold point tropopauses in the tropics and the resulting impact on cloud top-tropopause relationships. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 119(13), 7963–7978. doi: 10.1002/2013JD021189
- Nugent, J. M. (2023). *Tropical Cold Point-Overshooting Convection Software*. [Software]. Retrieved 2023-09-27, from <https://github.com/jacnugent/tropical-conv-os-2023>
- Nugent, J. M., Turbeville, S. M., Bretherton, C. S., Blossey, P. N., & Ackerman, T. P. (2022). Tropical Cirrus in Global Storm-Resolving Models: 1. Role of Deep Convection. *Earth and Space Science*, 9(2). doi: 10.1029/2021EA001965
- Pan, L. L., Honomichl, S. B., Bui, T. V., Thornberry, T., Rollins, A., Hints, E., & Jensen, E. J. (2018). Lapse Rate or Cold Point: The Tropical Tropopause Identified by In Situ Trace Gas Measurements. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 45(19). doi: 10.1029/2018GL079573
- Pan, L. L., & Munchak, L. A. (2011). Relationship of cloud top to the tropopause and jet structure from CALIPSO data. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 116(D12). doi: 10.1029/2010JD015462
- Pommerehne, J.-P. (2010). Troposphere-to-stratosphere transport in the tropics. *Comptes Rendus Geoscience*, 342(4-5), 331–338. doi: 10.1016/j.crte.2009.10.015
- Randel, W. J., & Jensen, E. J. (2013). Physical processes in the tropical tropopause layer and their roles in a changing climate. *Nature Geoscience*, 6(3), 169–176. doi: 10.1038/ngeo1733
- Randel, W. J., & Park, M. (2019). Diagnosing Observed Stratospheric Water Vapor Relationships to the Cold Point Tropical Tropopause. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 124(13), 7018–7033. doi: 10.1029/2019JD030648
- Randel, W. J., Wu, F., Oltmans, S. J., Rosenlof, K., & Nedoluha, G. E. (2004). Interannual Changes of Stratospheric Water Vapor and Correlations with Tropical Tropopause Temperatures. *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, 61(17), 2133–2148. doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(2004)061<2133:ICOSWV>2.0.CO;2
- Rossow, W. B., & Pearl, C. (2007). 22-Year survey of tropical convection penetrating into the lower stratosphere. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 34(4), L04803. doi: 10.1029/2006GL028635
- Seidel, D. J., Ross, R. J., Angell, J. K., & Reid, G. C. (2001). Climatological characteristics of the tropical tropopause as revealed by radiosondes. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 106(D8), 7857–7878. doi: 10.1029/2000JD900837
- Solomon, S., Rosenlof, K. H., Portmann, R. W., Daniel, J. S., Davis, S. M., Sanford, T. J., & Plattner, G.-K. (2010). Contributions of Stratospheric Water Vapor to Decadal Changes in the Rate of Global Warming. *Science*, 327(5970), 1219–1223. doi: 10.1126/science.1182488
- Stevens, B., Satoh, M., Auger, L., Biercamp, J., Bretherton, C. S., Chen, X., ... Zhou, L. (2019). DYAMOND: the DYNAMics of the Atmospheric general circulation Modeled On Non-hydrostatic Domains. *Progress in Earth and Planetary Science*, 6(1), 61. doi: 10.1186/s40645-019-0304-z
- Takahashi, H., & Luo, Z. J. (2014). Characterizing tropical overshooting deep convection from joint analysis of CloudSat and geostationary satellite observations: TROPICAL DEEP CONVECTION BY CLOUDSAT.

- Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 119(1), 112–121. doi: 10.1002/2013JD020972
- Tegtmeier, S., Anstey, J., Davis, S., Dragani, R., Harada, Y., Ivanciu, I., . . . Wright, J. S. (2020). Temperature and tropopause characteristics from reanalyses data in the tropical tropopause layer. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 20(2), 753–770. doi: 10.5194/acp-20-753-2020
- Tian, W., Huang, J., Zhang, J., Xie, F., Wang, W., & Peng, Y. (2023). Role of Stratospheric Processes in Climate Change: Advances and Challenges. *Advances in Atmospheric Sciences*. doi: 10.1007/s00376-023-2341-1
- Tseng, H.-H., & Fu, Q. (2017). Tropical tropopause layer cirrus and its relation to tropopause. *Journal of Quantitative Spectroscopy and Radiative Transfer*, 188, 118–131. doi: 10.1016/j.jqsrt.2016.05.029
- Turbeville, S. M., Nugent, J. M., Ackerman, T. P., Bretherton, C. S., & Blossey, P. N. (2022). Tropical Cirrus in Global Storm-Resolving Models: 2. Cirrus Life Cycle and Top-of-Atmosphere Radiative Fluxes. *Earth and Space Science*, 9(2). doi: 10.1029/2021EA001978
- Ueyama, R., Schoeberl, M., Jensen, E., Pfister, L., Park, M., & Ryoo, J.-M. (2023). Convective Impact on the Global Lower Stratospheric Water Vapor Budget. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 128(6), e2022JD037135. doi: 10.1029/2022JD037135
- Vernier, J.-P., Pommereau, J.-P., Thomason, L. W., Pelon, J., Garnier, A., Deshler, T., . . . Nielsen, J. K. (2011). Overshooting of clean tropospheric air in the tropical lower stratosphere as seen by the CALIPSO lidar. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 11(18), 9683–9696. doi: 10.5194/acp-11-9683-2011
- Virts, K. S., & Houze, R. A. (2015). Clouds and Water Vapor in the Tropical Tropopause Transition Layer over Mesoscale Convective Systems. *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, 72(12), 4739–4753. doi: 10.1175/JAS-D-15-0122.1
- Wu, X., Fu, Q., & Kodama, C. (2023). Response of Tropical Overshooting Deep Convection to Global Warming Based on Global Cloud-Resolving Model Simulations. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 50(14), e2023GL104210. doi: 10.1029/2023GL104210
- Xian, T., & Fu, Y. (2015). Characteristics of tropopause-penetrating convection determined by TRMM and COSMIC GPS radio occultation measurements. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 120(14), 7006–7024. doi: 10.1002/2014JD022633
- Zhou, X. L., Geller, M. A., & Zhang, M. H. (2001). Tropical Cold Point Tropopause Characteristics Derived from ECMWF Reanalyses and Soundings. *Journal of Climate*, 14(8), 1823–1838. doi: 10.1175/1520-0442(2001)014<1823:TCPTCD>2.0.CO;2
- Zipser, E. J., Cecil, D. J., Liu, C., Nesbitt, S. W., & Yorty, D. P. (2006). WHERE ARE THE MOST INTENSE THUNDERSTORMS ON EARTH? *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, 87(8), 1057–1072. doi: 10.1175/BAMS-87-8-1057
- Zipser, E. J., & LeMone, M. A. (1980). Cumulonimbus Vertical Velocity Events in GATE. Part II: Synthesis and Model Core Structure. *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, 37(11), 2458–2469. doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1980)037<2458:CVVEIG>2.0.CO;2

Figure 4.

**(a)** DJF 2007—2010



**(b)** JJA 2007—2010




Figure 2.

DJF 2007–2010

JJA 2007–2010






Figure 1.

# DJF 2007–2010




Figure 3.

DJF 2007—2010

JJA 2007—2010

