Simulating aerosol-radiation effects on subseasonal prediction using the coupled Unified Forecast System and CCPP-Chem: prescribed aerosol climatology versus interactive aerosol model

Shan Sun¹, Georg A. A. Grell¹, Li (Kate) Zhang², Siyuan Wang³, Dominikus Heinzeller⁴, Haiqin Li⁵, Jessica Meixner¹, Partha Sarathi Bhattacharjee⁶, and Judy Henderson⁷

¹National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA)
²NOAA ESRL
³CIRES/CU Boulder, NOAA/CSL
⁴University Corporation for Atmospheric Research (UCAR) / UCAR Community Programs / Joint Center for Satellite Data Assimilation
⁵CIRES/University of Colorado Boulder at NOAA/GSL
⁶NOAA/NCEP
⁷NOAA/GSL

April 19, 2024

Abstract

This study investigates the effects of aerosol-radiation interaction on subseasonal prediction using the Unified Forecast System (UFS) with an ocean, a sea ice and a wave component, coupled to an aerosol component. The aerosol component is from the current NOAA operational GEFSv12-Aerosols model, which includes the GOCART aerosol modules simulating sulfate, dust, black carbon, organic carbon, and sea-salt. The modeled aerosol optical depth (AOD) is compared to reanalysis from Modern-Era Retrospective analysis for Research and Applications, Version 2 (MERRA2) and observations from Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectro-radiometer (MODIS) satellite andAtmospheric Tomography (ATom) aircraft. Despite biases primarily in dust and sea salt, a good agreement in AOD is achieved globally. The simulated radiative forcing (RF) from the total aerosols at the top of the atmosphere is approximately -2.5 W/m2 or -16 W/m2 per unit AOD globally. This is consistent with previous studies.

In subsequent simulations, prognostic aerosol component is substituted with climatological aerosol concentrations derived from initial experiments. While regional differences in RF are noticeable in specific events between these two experiments, the resulting RF, surface temperature, geopotential height at 500 hPa and precipitation, show similarities in multi-year subseasonal applications. This suggests that given the current capacities of the aerosol modeling, adopting a climatology of aerosol concentrations as a cost-effective substitute for the intricate aerosol module may be a practical approach for subseasonal applications.

Simulating aerosol-radiation effects on subseasonal prediction using the coupled Unified Forecast System and CCPP-Chem: prescribed aerosol climatology versus interactive aerosol model

1

2

3

5 6

8 9

10

11 12 13

S. Sun¹, G. A. Grell¹, L. Zhang^{1,2}, J. K. Henderson¹, S. Wang^{2,3}, D. Heinzeller⁴, H. Li^{1,2}, J. Meixner⁵, P. S. Bhattacharjee⁶

¹NOAA Global Systems Laboratory ²University of Colorado at Boulder, Cooperative Institute for Research in Environmental Sciences ³NOAA Chemical Sciences Laboratory ⁴University Corporation for Atmospheric Research (UCAR) / UCAR Community Programs / Joint

14	Key Points:
15	• The incorporation of aerosol-radiation interaction (ARI) in the coupled atmosphere-
16	ocean-sea ice model UFS-CCPP-Chem indicates a net cooling effect at the top of
17	the atmosphere on subseasonal prediction
18	• Two simulations, one with an interactive aerosol model and the other using the
19	prescribed aerosol climatology, demonstrated comparable ARI effects and corre-
20	sponding meteorological impacts on weekly and monthly scales
21	• Substituting the interactive aerosol model with the aerosol climatology presents
22	a cost-effective alternative in subseasonal applications

Corresponding author: Shan Sun, Shan.Sun@noaa.gov

23 Abstract

This study investigates the effects of aerosol-radiation interaction on subseasonal 24 prediction using the Unified Forecast System (UFS) with an ocean, a sea ice and a wave 25 component, coupled to an aerosol component. The aerosol component is from the cur-26 rent NOAA operational GEFSv12-Aerosols model, which includes the GOCART aerosol 27 modules simulating sulfate, dust, black carbon, organic carbon, and sea-salt. The mod-28 eled aerosol optical depth (AOD) is compared to reanalysis from Modern-Era Retrospec-29 tive analysis for Research and Applications, Version 2 (MERRA2) and observations from 30 31 Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectro-radiometer (MODIS) satellite and Atmospheric Tomography (ATom) aircraft. Despite biases primarily in dust and sea salt, a good agree-32 ment in AOD is achieved globally. The simulated radiative forcing (RF) from the total 33 aerosols at the top of the atmosphere is approximately -2.5 W/m^2 or -16 W/m^2 per unit 34 AOD globally. This is consistent with previous studies. 35

In subsequent simulations, prognostic aerosol component is substituted with cli-36 matological aerosol concentrations derived from initial experiments. While regional dif-37 ferences in RF are noticeable in specific events between these two experiments, the re-38 sulting RF, surface temperature, geopotential height at 500 hPa and precipitation, show 39 similarities in multi-year subseasonal applications. This suggests that given the current 40 capacities of the aerosol modeling, adopting a climatology of aerosol concentrations as 41 a cost-effective substitute for the intricate aerosol module may be a practical approach 42 for subseasonal applications. 43

44 Plain Language Summary

This research explores how the interaction between aerosols and radiation influences weather predictions over several weeks. Our forecast system consists of six components, including the atmosphere, land, ocean, sea ice, wave and aerosols. Despite some differences, the simulated aerosol optical depth aligns with observations. The impact of these aerosols on the Earth's energy balance results in a net cooling effect.

Furthermore, we conduct parallel experiments to assess a simpler method: prescribing aerosol climatology instead of utilizing an interactive aerosol model. We find numerous similarities in its influence on radiation at the top of the atmosphere and on meteorology at the subseasonal timescale between these two approaches, despite the absence of interannual variabilities in the aerosol climatology. Our findings suggest that adopting this simplified approach of prescribing aerosol climatology for subseasonal predictions might offer cost-saving benefits without compromising accuracy.

57 **1 Introduction**

Climate change is driven by changes in the earth's energy budget, which can be quan-58 tified by the radiative forcing (RF) measured at the top of the atmosphere (e.g., IPCC, 59 2013). A positive RF indicates that the earth system is absorbing energy. The largest 60 increase in RF in recent years has been from the concentration of well-mixed greenhouse 61 gases (GHGs) in the atmosphere, estimated to be 2.8 W/m^2 for 2011 or 3.3 W/m^2 for 62 2019 relative to 1750 (IPCC, 2013, 2021), where the total aerosol effective RF which in-63 cludes the interaction of aerosols with solar radiation and cloudiness, partially offsets this 64 positive RF with negative estimates of -0.9 W/m^2 for 2011 or -1.1 W/m^2 for 2019. 65

Atmospheric aerosols have an impact on weather and climate by interacting with solar radiation through scattering and absorbing light, affecting the three-dimensional temperature fields in the direct effect and influencing cloud properties, cloudiness, and precipitation in the indirect effect. Any changes in cloud properties resulting from aerosolradiation interaction are classified as the semi-direct effect (e.g., J. M. Mitchell, 1971; Twomey, 1974; IPCC, 2013). Aerosols originate from both natural and anthropogenic
sources and are removed from the atmosphere by precipitation and other processes within
a few days or weeks. Due to different aerosol species and particle sizes, they interact with
climate in a far more complicated manner than GHGs.

Climate models have integrated aerosol effects for several decades with varying com-75 plexity (e.g., Hansen et al., 1992; Le Treut et al., 1998; Ming et al., 2005; Stier et al., 2005). 76 It is only with the recent development of online modeling systems that showed the im-77 portance of aerosol direct effects in numerical weather prediction (NWP) models (e.g., 78 79 Grell & Baklanov, 2011; Reale et al., 2011; Baklanov et al., 2014). For instance, including radiative effects of dust aerosols improved the radiation balance of NWP models (Haywood 80 et al., 2005; Pérez et al., 2006) and helped forecast of African easterly Jet (Tompkins et 81 al., 2005; Reale et al., 2011). Rodwell and Jung (2008) demonstrated an improvement 82 in local medium-range forecast skill and a reduction in mean extratropical circulation 83 errors in the ECMWF simulations when a more realistic dust aerosol climatology was 84 employed. Grell et al. (2011) showed that aerosols resulting from wildfires had a signif-85 icant influence on NWP, using the Weather Research and Forecasting model coupled with 86 Chemistry (WRF-Chem, Grell et al., 2005; Fast et al., 2006) with complex chemistry and 87 direct/indirect effects. Haustein et al. (2012) provided evidence of a connection between 88 dust emissions and weather patterns over synoptic-to-seasonal time scales. Mulcahy et 89 al. (2014) noted large regional improvements in radiation and temperature forecasts from 90 the direct and indirect effects of aerosols in the Met Office's Unified Model for NWP, and 91 recommended choosing an appropriate level of aerosol complexity that fits its applica-92 tions. Furthermore, aerosol effects have also been shown to impact extreme weather events, 93 such as tornadoes and hurricanes, in weather forecast models (e.g., Sun et al., 2008; Reale et al., 2014; Saide et al., 2015; Pan et al., 2020). Recently, Murakami (2022) quantified 95 the impact of anthropogenic aerosols on tropical cyclone activity using the System for 96 Prediction and Earth System Research (SPEAR) model from Geophysical Fluid Dynam-97 ics Laboratory (GFDL). Benedetti and Vitart (2018) investigated the potential of includ-98 ing interactive aerosols to improve monthly prediction in the ECMWF's IFS system, with 99 a hypothesis that aerosol variability is connected to the different phases of the Madden–Julian 100 oscillation (Madden & Julian, 1971). 101

Despite a large uncertainty in aerosol observations and modeling (Carslaw et al., 102 2013; Mann et al., 2014; Reddington et al., 2017; Vogel et al., 2022), substantial progress 103 has been made in global aerosol modeling for operational aerosol forecasts. For instance, 104 the International Cooperative for Aerosol Prediction (ICAP) project with nine global 105 aerosol models has shown a higher skill in the multi-model ensemble mean than in the 106 individual model (Reid et al., 2011; Xian et al., 2019), even though it does not consider 107 aerosol feedback on meteorology. ICAP has paved the way for establishing quasi-real time 108 aerosol reanalysis from multi-model ensembles for numerical weather prediction (NWP) 109 applications. On the other hand, there is an ongoing debate regarding the computational 110 cost of modeling interactive aerosol impact compared to the benefits and whether us-111 ing some form of aerosol climatology or reanalysis is feasible. This is one question we 112 attempt to address in this study from the perspective of aerosol-radiation interaction on 113 subseasonal applications. 114

115 The WMO Working Group on Numerical Experimentation (WGNE) initiated a project to evaluate the impacts of aerosols on numerical weather prediction. The project exam-116 ined how dust, smog and smoke scenarios affected surface radiation and temperature with 117 eight models from six countries, including several operational NWP models (S. Freitas 118 et al., 2015). Currently, the WGNE Aerosol project is in its second phase, focused on 119 evaluating the impact of aerosols on subseasonal prediction (Frassoni et al., 2021). Our 120 study is part of this project, and we use the coupled Unified Forecast System (UFS), NOAA's 121 next operational coupled atmosphere-ocean-sea ice-land system for S2S predictions. Our 122 goal is to document these experiments with detailed analysis of the aerosol radiative ef-123

fects on S2S prediction. The experimental setup is described in Section 2. Section 3 presents
the results of the aerosol-radiation interaction analysis on both the global and regional
scales, where two-way feedback between aerosols and meteorology is simulated with either an interactive aerosol model or a prescribed aerosol climatology. A summary and
conclusion of findings are presented in Section 4.

2 Model Setup and Experiments

This study investigates the radiative forcing of direct and semi-direct aerosol-radiation interaction in the UFS using the GFDL single-moment microphysics parameterization. The indirect impact between aerosols and clouds is not considered here but will be the subject of future experiments when a double-moment microphysics parameterization is used.

135

129

2.1 Model Components

A community effort, including major contributions from the Environmental Mod-136 eling Center (EMC) at the National Centers for Environmental Prediction (NCEP), is 137 underway in developing the UFS for seamless weather prediction across time scales, rang-138 ing from short-range to seasonal. The UFS model framework comprises the GFDL Finite-139 Volume cubed-sphere dynamical core (FV3) (Harris et al., 2021), the Global Forecast 140 System (GFS) physics package, the land surface model, the GFDL Modular Ocean Model 141 MOM6 (Harris et al., 2021), the Sea Ice Model CICE from Los Alamos National Lab-142 oratory (Hunke et al., 2015) and the wave model WAVEWATCH III (Tolman et al., 2002). 143 Its subseasonal forecast skills are evaluated in Stefanova et al. (2022) through a series of incremental prototypes. This study is based on the version of Prototype 6 (P6), which 145 uses FV3 with the GFS physics package version 16 (GFSv16, NOAA, 2021) via the Com-146 mon Community Physics Package (CCPP, Heinzeller et al., 2023), the Noah land sur-147 face model (K. Mitchell, 2005) and CICE6. We coupled a revised version of P6 to the 148 aerosol component from the GEFSv12-Aerosols model as described in Zhang et al. (2022). 149 Since the aerosol component is coupled inline using CCPP, we refer to the resulting model 150 system as UFS-CCPP-Chem in this study, as shown in Table 1. 151

152

2.2 Aerosol Component

The aerosol component is based on WRF-Chem, which employs the aerosol mod-153 ules from the NASA Goddard Chemistry Aerosol Radiation and Transport model (GOCART. 154 Chin et al., 2000, 2002). Five species of aerosols are included in this study, which are sul-155 fate, dust, black carbon (BC), organic carbon (OC) and sea-salt. GOCART uses a sim-156 plified sulfur chemistry for sulfate simulation, bulk aerosols of BC, OC, and sectional dust 157 and sea-salt. GEFSv12-Aerosols updated the sea-salt scheme based on the 2nd-generation 158 GOCART model (Colarco et al., 2010) and a new dust emission scheme called FENG-159 SHA, with a distinct approach to treat biomass burning and dust emissions (Zhang et 160 al., 2022). Both dust and sea-salt have five size bins. 161

During the inline coupling, the meteorological fields, including the land-sea mask, vegetation type, and surface fields, are imported from the atmospheric model to drive the aerosol component. The aerosol component updates the aerosol extinction coefficient, single scattering albedo, and asymmetry factor for each aerosol species and passes them to the radiation scheme in atmospheric physics.

The monthly anthropogenic emission inventories from the Community Emissions Data System based on 2014 inventory (CEDS-2014, Hoesly et al., 2018) are used. The daily fire emissions are obtained from the ECMWF Global Fire Assimilation System (GFAS, Kaiser et al., 2012), which assimilates fire radiative power observations from satellitebased sensors of NASA Terra Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectro-radiometer (MODIS,

Components	Modules		Resolutions		Initial Conditions
Atmosphere	FV3 & GFSv16		25km, 64 layers		CFSR May 1 & Sept. 1, 2003-2019
Ocean	MOM6		$1/4^{\circ}$, 75 layers		$\overrightarrow{\text{CPC-3DVar}(2011\text{-}2017)\text{ CFSR (other times)}}$
Sea Ice	CICE6		1/4°		CPC-CSIS
Wave	WW3		$1/2^{\circ} \ge 1/2^{\circ}$		(rest)
Aerosol	GEFSv12-Aerosols	3	same as atmosphere	e	30-day free spin-up (from zero)

Levy et al., 2013; Sayer et al., 2014) and Aqua MODIS active free produ	ucts to produce
daily estimates of emissions from wildfires and biomass burning. GEFS	v12 Aerosols im-
plements an updated one-dimensional time-dependent cloud module fro	m WRF-Chem
(Grell et al., 2011), which is also used at EMC in the High-Resolution I	Rapid Refresh (HRRR)-
Smoke model to calculate injection heights and emission rates online (S	. R. Freitas et
al., 2007; Ahmadov et al., 2017). The resulting AOD from GEFSv12-Ad	erosols agrees well
with satellite and aircraft observations in the short-range forecasts (Zha	ang et al., 2022;
Bhattacharjee et al., 2023).	

Regarding the cost estimate for the aerosol component, the CCPP version of the GEFSv12-Aerosols model (Zhang et al., 2022), with the same aerosol component used in the UFS-CCPP-Chem in this study, takes approximately 1129 core hours for a 7-day forecast at a standalone atmospheric configuration. This is nearly double the core hours of running the same model without the active aerosol component, which consumes 580 core hours. Both simulations employ 320 cores with an 8x8 grid layout and without coupling to ocean, ice and wave modules.

187

2.3 Initial Conditions, Ensemble Members and Resolutions

We followed the protocol from the WMO WGNE S2S (Frassoni et al., 2021), and initialized the model on May 1st and September 1st, respectively, from 2003 to 2019. The integration time is 32 days for all experiments. There are five ensemble members, in which the atmospheric initial conditions are time-shifted by up to ± 2 days, while the initial conditions for the rest of the modules remain the same.

Table 1 displays the resolution of the atmospheric and aerosol modules in the UFS-193 CCPP-Chem model, which are approximately 25km (C384) and consist of 64 vertical 194 layers. The ocean model has a resolution of $1/4^{\circ}$ horizontally and includes 75 vertical 195 layers. The ice model shares the horizontal grid with the ocean model. The wave model 196 is on the $1/2^{\circ} \ge 1/2^{\circ}$ grid. Atmospheric and oceanic initial conditions rely on CFSR (Saha 197 et al., 2010), except for the years 2011-2017, when the ocean initial conditions used the 198 3DVar data from NCEP/CPC. NCEP/CPC also provides the sea ice initial conditions 199 (CSIS, Liu et al., 2019). The wave model starts at rest. The aerosol initial conditions 200 are from free spin-up runs by integrating the UFS-CCPP-Chem model for 30 days from 201 zero aerosol concentration, prior to the scheduled initial date of May 1st or September 202 1st.203

Experiments	Aerosol Sources
Prognostic aerosols	Aerosol module active
(ProgAer)	(with prognostic aerosol)
Climatological aerosols	Aerosol module inactive
(ClimAer)	(use <i>ProgAer</i> monthly climatology)
No aerosols	Aerosol module inactive
(NoAer)	(no aerosol interaction considered)

Table 2. Three sets of experiments used in this study.

204

208

209

2.4 Experimental Design

Climate and weather models can integrate aerosol effects in multiple ways, and three commonly practiced methods aim to reduce computational costs:

- Updating aerosol loadings as time changes,
 - Using climatological aerosols that is fixed in time or vary with season or month,
 - Ignoring aerosol loadings and its impact on meteorology.

This study evaluates and quantifies the radiative forcing from aerosols and the impact 210 on meteorology, in each of these three options. The first set of experiments employs UFS-211 CCPP-Chem with 'prognostic aerosols' (*ProgAer*) that simulates the evolution of five 212 tropospheric aerosol species, while enabling the aerosol feedback on radiation. Two more 213 parallel sets of experiments are conducted with UFS but exclude the costly aerosol com-214 ponent. They utilize either prescribed climatological aerosol concentrations (*ClimAer*) 215 or zero aerosol concentration (NoAer) instead of the aerosol module. Note that the cli-216 matological aerosol concentrations used in *ClimAer* is a monthly model climatology gen-217 erated from Experiment *ProgAer*, as illustrated in Table 2. This is done to ensure that 218 the mean aerosol concentrations in Experiments *ClimAer* and *ProqAer* are the same. 219

220

2.5 Observations from Satellites and Aircrafts

We verified our modeled AOD using the MODIS Collection 6.1 Level-3 AOD dataset 221 (Levy et al., 2013) from the Aqua satellite, where the merged AOD product combines 222 retrievals from the Dark Target and Deep Blue algorithms to provide a consistent data 223 set that spans various surface types from oceans to bright deserts (Sayer et al., 2014). 224 We compared each of the 5 modeled AOD components against the Modern-Era Retro-225 spective analysis for Research and Applications, Version 2 (MERRA2, Buchard et al., 226 2017), which is an assimilation product of the Global Modeling and Assimilation Office 227 at NASA. 228

The AOD dataset from the NASA Atmospheric Tomography Mission (ATom, Brock et al., 2021) comprises merged data from all instruments on aircraft flights during each of the four seasons from 2016 to 2018. It offers a comprehensive global-scale sampling of the atmosphere, profiling continuously from 0.2 to 12 km altitude and providing detailed latitude, longitude and altitude information. In this study we compared ATom-4 with our results, which overlaps with our experiments in May 2018.

We use the Clouds and the Earth's Radiant Energy System (CERES, Wielicki et al., 1996) data to validate radiative fluxes and cloud coverage in the model experiments. CERES provides global composite radiative fluxes, including top-of-atmosphere (TOA)



Figure 1. Monthly mean AOD at 550nm during 2003-2019 in May (upper) and September (lower) from MODIS (left), MERRA2 (middle) and Experiment *ProgAer* (right). Global mean is shown in the upper right corner.

shortwave and longwave, and cloud properties based on each CERES 20 km field of view.
We employ the Edition 4.2 product of the CERES Energy Balance and Filled (EBAF)
observations (Loeb et al., 2018) for the period of 2003-2019. The TOA fluxes and the
cloud properties of CERES EBAF are monthly averages on a 1°x1° latitude-longitude
grid. The estimated uncertainty in the regional monthly mean all-sky TOA flux ranges
from 2.5 W/m² to 3 W/m² in this product.

The ERA5 global reanalysis (Hersbach et al., 2020) serves as the reference dataset for verifying the modeled surface temperature and geopotential height at 500 hPa (H500). Modeled precipitation is validated utilizing GPCP (Huffman et al., 2001), a composite dataset integrating in situ gauge data with satellite observations of daily precipitation.

3 Model Results and Comparison to Observations

All verification in each of the three experiments in this study is conducted using the ensemble means from the five members discussed earlier, after interpolated onto a 1° horizontal resolution.

3.1 Aerosol Optical Depth

252

Fig. 1 presents monthly averages of aerosol optical depth at 550 nm¹ from Experiment *ProgAer* compared with satellite estimates from MODIS and MERRA2 reanalysis for May and September during the period from 2003 to 2019. The modeled results

¹ All AODs in this manuscript are at 550 nm.



Figure 2. Each of the five components in AOD at 550 nm, sulfate, dust, BC, OC and sea-salt (top to bottom), from MERRA2 and Experiment *ProgAer* in May (left two rows) and September (right two rows) averaged over years 2003-2019. Note that BC AOD is enlarged by a factor of 5 in order to share the colorbar.

effectively captured the geographic patterns of AOD shown in MODIS and MERRA2, with positive biases in North Africa as well as South and East Asia. The AOD distribution between MODIS and MERRA2 shows good agreement in general, considering that MODIS is one of the various datasets assimilated by MERRA2. However, it is noteworthy that the magnitude of AOD from MERRA2 tends to be lower than that from MODIS across both months. Note that there are large values in MODIS over the Arctic region in May.

To further investigate the root in AOD bias, the five AOD components used in the models, namely sulfate, dust, BC, OC and sea-salt, are compared to MERRA2 in May and September in Fig. 2, using the 2003-2019 monthly averages. Among these five components, the biggest bias is in dust AOD over the Saharan region, where it has an excessive dust loading compared to MERRA2 in both months. This bias in the modeled dust AOD may be related to the bias in dust emission in the 'FENGSHA' scheme or in



Figure 3. AOD at 550 nm in May (left) and September (right) during 2003-2019 from MODIS, MERRA2 and model experiments *ProgAer* and *ClimAer* globally and in three chosen regions of northern Africa (0 - 30° E, EQ - 30° N), southern Africa (0 - 30° E, EQ - 30° S) and east Asia (100° E - 130° E, 15° N - 45° N).

the modeled surface meteorology. The global mean sulfate AOD, shown in the upper right corner, is close to MERRA2, despite a larger local maximum over East Asia. The model underestimates OC/BC AOD in Central Africa and South America in May, likely from a weaker than observed biomass burning prescribed from the GFAS dataset. In contrast, the modeled sea-salt AOD is higher than MERRA2 over most of the ocean surface, particularly in the north Indian Ocean.

The global mean AOD values from MODIS, MERRA2, Experiments ProgAer and 275 *ClimAer* are shown in the upper panel of Fig. 3 in May and September during the pe-276 riod from 2003 to 2019. The 17-year average for each product is listed in the upper right 277 corner. The AOD from Experiment *ProgAer* shares a lot in common with that from MODIS, 278 not only in the mean value but also in the interannual variabilities. As expected, the AOD 279 from Experiments *ClimAer* is close to the average of that from Experiments *ProgAer* dur-280 ing this period, where the former uses the model climatology from the latter. The global 281 mean AOD value from MERRA2 is the lowest among these products both in May and 282 September during each of the 17 years. Additionally, Fig. 3 displays the area mean in 283 several chosen regions with high AOD loading, including northern Africa (0 - 30°E, EQ 284 - 30°N), southern Africa (0 - 30°E, EQ - 30°S) and east Asia (100°E - 130°E, 15°N - 45°N), 285

from MODIS, MERRA2 and Experiments ProgAer and ClimAer. The modeled AOD 286 consistently exceeds satellite observations and reanalysis data in northern Africa in both 287 May and September each year. This positive bias in AOD, primarily attributed to dust 288 as shown in Fig. 2, contributes to the overall positive bias seen in the total AOD in the 289 model experiments. Moreover, the AOD dominated by aerosols from biomass burning 290 in southern Africa is much stronger in September than in May in all products. The mod-291 eled AOD over east Asia is relatively stable throughout the years and is somewhat higher 292 than that from MODIS in both May and September, mostly from the sulfate components 293 shown in Fig. 2. 294

The zonal mean AOD average of 2003-2019 and its standard deviation during this 295 period are shown in the top panel of Fig. 4, for MODIS, MERRA2 and Experiment Pro-296 qAer in May and September. As shown in Fig. 1, one notable aspect is that the model 297 tends to overestimate AOD between 10°N - 30°N, compared to MODIS. This bias mostly 298 comes from dust and somewhat from sulfate, as shown in Fig. 2. The interannual vari-299 abilities of the zonal mean AOD in Experiment *ProgAer*, shown by the standard devi-300 ation, match that in MODIS and MERRA2. A larger interannual variability of AOD is 301 seen in May at high latitudes in the Northern Hemisphere from Experiment *ProgAer*. 302 To explore its root, zonal mean AOD from each of the five components, sulfate, dust, 303 BC, OC and sea-salt, and their standard deviations are also shown in Fig. 4. It turns 304 out that it is the OC component that has a large standard deviation north of 40°N, which 305 indicates a large interannual variability of OC at mid-high latitudes in May. Among these 306 five components, another source of discrepancy in AOD between the model and MERRA2 307 is in the sea-salt, which occurred at most latitudes where the ocean exists. The relatively 308 small standard deviation in most region makes it feasible to have consistent results when using time-varying aerosols versus climatological aerosols. 310

Fig. 5 compares the total AOD and its components from Experiment *ProgAer* with 311 a single flight circuit from the ATom-4 aircraft observations in the Pacific and Atlantic 312 sections during May 2018. The modeled total AOD matches well with ATom-4 obser-313 vations at all latitudes in the Pacific and Atlantic sections, except for a positive bias at 314 high latitudes in the Pacific and an even larger positive bias at low latitudes in the north-315 ern Atlantic. The component analysis reveals that the modeled AOD from combined sul-316 fate and OC mostly accounts for the large positive bias at high latitudes in the North 317 Pacific and is in better agreement with ATom-4 in the Atlantic section, except for a spike 318 near 45°N. The positive bias in the Atlantic mostly comes from dust between the equa-319 tor and 20°N. The modeled sea-salt AOD has a positive bias at most latitudes in both 320 ocean basins. The modeled BC AOD, despite being smaller in magnitude compared to 321 other components, is close to that from ATom-4 in both ocean basins. All the findings 322 here are consistent with those in Fig. 4, where comparisons are against MODIS and MERRA2. 323

In summary, the modeled AOD distributions in Experiment *ProgAer* with time-324 varying prognostic aerosols are generally consistent with satellite and aircraft observa-325 tions as well as reanalysis estimates, albeit with some noticeable biases. For instance, 326 the model tends to overestimate dust AOD in West Africa and offshore compared to MODIS 327 and ATom-4 data. Additionally, the model's estimate of sea-salt AOD is higher over most 328 ocean basins than what is observed by MODIS and ATom-4. The largest interannual vari-329 330 ability in modeled OC AOD among all components in May over the northern mid to high latitudes is consistent with that in MERRA2 over a 17-year period, despite being higher 331 than ATom-4 observations in 2018 over the North Pacific. In addition, the AOD from 332 Experiment *ClimAer* with a prescribed monthly climatology of aerosol concentrations 333 derived from Experiment *ProgAer*, closely aligns with the 17-year mean seen in the lat-334 ter. 335

Table 3 presents a global mean comparison of the five AOD components between the modeling study in Chin et al. (2002) and Experiment *ProgAer*. The annual mean in 1990 from Chin et al. (2002) and the monthly mean averaged over 17 years from Exper-



Figure 4. The zonal mean and the standard deviation for total AOD_{550} from MODIS, MERRA2 and Experiment *ProgAer* (top) and its components from sulfate, dust, BC, OC and sea-salt from MERRA2 and Experiment *ProgAer* during 2003-2019 in May (left) and September (right).

AOD	Chin et al. (2002) Year 1990	May/Sep 2003-2009
Dust	0.051	0.041/0.026
Sulfate	0.040	0.040/0.036
Sea salt	0.027	0.070/0.071
OC	0.017	0.024/0.031
BC	0.007	0.005/0.006

Table 3. Five AOD components from Chin et al. (2002) and Experiment ProgAer in thisstudy.

iment *ProgAer* show comparable magnitudes for each component, except for the sea-salt
 AOD, where Experiment *ProgAer* is approximately 2.5 times larger than in Chin et al.
 (2002). This difference is greater compared to both the MERRA2 and ATom compar isons.

343

3.2 Radiative Forcing at the Top of the Atmosphere

The radiative forcing at the top of the atmosphere is defined as the difference between the downward and upward radiative flux, expressed as

$$RF^{TOA} = Flux_{Downward}^{TOA} - Flux_{Upward}^{TOA}$$

In this fully coupled UFS-CCPP-Chem model, we don't differentiate between RF 346 and effective RF as in IPCC (2013) since both are typically the same and all surface con-347 ditions are allowed to adjust. Fig. 6 shows the RF at TOA in the CERES EBAF dataset, 348 as well as the model bias against CERES EBAF for the three experiments mentioned 349 earlier, all based on all-sky conditions. In May and September, the positive bias in RF 350 (positive downward) is predominant in all three experiments, with a global mean rang-351 ing from 6 to 7 W/m² in Experiments *ProgAer* and *ClimAer* and up to 10 W/m² in Ex-352 periment NoAer. The bias is particularly large along the eastern boundary of the ocean 353 basin off the coast of California, Chile and Angola. Comparison between the experiments 354 with and without aerosols gives an estimate of the total aerosol effects on RF to be about 355 -2.5 W/m^2 globally, which is one order of magnitude bigger than the global mean dif-356 ference in RF between Experiments *ProgAer* and *ClimAer*. Meanwhile, the bias in RF 357 from the model physics is several times larger than the total aerosol effects on RF. 358

To further investigate the distribution of the aerosol-radiation effects, we use the equations below

$$\Delta \mathrm{RF}_{ProgAer}^{\mathrm{TOA}} = \mathrm{RF}_{ProgAer}^{\mathrm{TOA}} - \mathrm{RF}_{NoAer}^{\mathrm{TOA}}$$
$$\Delta \mathrm{RF}_{ClimAer}^{\mathrm{TOA}} = \mathrm{RF}_{ClimAer}^{\mathrm{TOA}} - \mathrm{RF}_{NoAer}^{\mathrm{TOA}}$$

to represent the aerosol radiative effects in Experiments *ProgAer* and *ClimAer*, which is defined as the difference in RF from Experiment *NoAer*. The left and middle columns of Fig. 7 show $\Delta RF_{ProgAer}^{TOA}$ and $\Delta RF_{ClimAer}^{TOA}$ at TOA averaged for May and September over 17 years. The patterns are dominated by the negative flux mostly at low latitudes. The difference between Experiments *ProgAer* and *ClimAer* shown on the right panel of Fig. 7 is much smaller, suggesting the model-simulated RF with prognostic aerosols is similar to that using aerosol climatology on the subseasonal time scale when evaluated over multiple years.

To examine the correlation between $\Delta RF_{ProgAer}^{TOA}$ and $\Delta RF_{ClimAer}^{TOA}$ with respect to AOD values, we plotted the zonal mean of the left and middle columns in Fig. 7 as



Figure 5. Upper: geographic distribution of the total AOD₅₅₀ from Experiment *ProgAer* overlaying the ATom-4 observations; Lower: modeled AOD (red) shown in the upper panel and its dust, sea-salt, sulfate+OC+smoke and BC components and its comparison to ATom4 (black) in May 2018 in the Pacific (left) and Atlantic (right) section.



RF TOA Monthly Mean 2003-2019

Figure 6. The radiative forcing at TOA (W/m^2 , positive downward) in CERES EBAF and biases in Experiments *ProgAer*, *ClimAer* and *NoAer* from CERES EBAF in May (upper) and September (lower) over 17 years.



RF TOA Monthly Mean 2003-2019

Figure 7. Difference in radiative forcing at TOA (W/m^2 , positive downward) of Experiments *ProgAer* (upper) and *ClimAer* (lower) from Experiment *NoAer*, respectively, in May (upper) and September (lower).



Figure 8. Zonal mean radiative forcing at TOA $(W/m^2, positive downward)$ in Experiments *ProgAer* and *ClimAer* from *NoAer* and their AOD in May (upper) and September (lower). Note that 'negative' AOD is plotted.



Figure 9. Upper: normalized radiative forcing at TOA per unit AOD, both are zonal mean, from Experiments *ProgAer* and *ClimAer* in May (solid) and September (dashed). Lower: five AOD components in May (left bar) and September (right bar).



Cloud Cover (%) Monthly Mean 2003-2019

Figure 10. Cloud coverage (%) in CERES EBAF (left) and biases in Experiments *ProgAer*, *ClimAer* and *NoAer* (2nd to 4th column) from CERES EBAF in May (upper) and September (lower).

solid red and blue lines in Fig. 8, respectively, along with the zonal mean 'negative' AOD in dashed lines. The two pairs of curves are well correlated, with the maximum AOD and minimum ΔRF^{TOA} co-located near 15°N, and some discrepancies between the two at certain latitudes. It is worth noting that changes in RF per unit AOD depend on various physical and chemical properties of the aerosols (Bellouin et al., 2020).

To further investigate the efficiency of RF, we calculated the normalized ΔRF^{TOA} 377 which is the ratio of ΔRF^{TOA} and AOD, both zonal mean, and plotted it in Fig. 9(a) 378 for May and September. The normalized ΔRF^{TOA} between Experiments *ProgAer* and 379 ClimAer are very close in magnitude in both months, varying from 0 to -30 W/m² per 380 unit AOD, depending on latitudes and seasons. The ratio becomes close to zero south 381 of 45° S, where the sea-salt component dominates. It seems that sea-salt has a relatively lower effect on RF than other components. There are two peak values occurring at the 383 equator and 30°S, with different magnitudes in May and September. The different ra-384 tios in season may be related to the time-varying aerosol compositions, as shown in Fig. 9(b). 385 where the magnitude of each AOD component is shown with latitudes for May and September averaged from 2003 to 2019. There are seasonal and latitudinal variabilities in the 387 magnitude of each AOD component between May and September, particularly for OC 388 and dust. These results are consistent with $-23.7 \pm 3.1 \text{ W/m}^2$ per unit AOD reported 389 in Myhre et al. (2013). 390

3.3 Cloud Coverage

391

Fig. 10 shows the total cloud coverage from the CERES EBAF dataset as the 'truth', along with the biases in modeled cloud cover from three experiments: *ProgAer*, *ClimAer* and *NoAer*. All products are monthly averages in May and September from 2003 to 2019. The global mean cloud coverage in May and September in the CERES EBAF is 67.7% and 67.0%, respectively. The modeled cloud coverage from all three model experiments has a similar negative bias, ranging from -6.0% to -5.8% globally in May and from -6.5% to -6.3% in September. The bias pattern in clouds is similar to that in RF at TOA shown in Fig. 6, where the lack of clouds along the east boundary of ocean basins off the continents explains the lack of upward radiation at TOA.

There is little difference in cloud coverage among the three experiments featuring different aerosols, whereas the variance in RF at TOA can reach up to -2.5 W/m². This suggests that the alteration in cloud coverage due to aerosol-radiation interaction, known as the semi-direct effect, remains negligible regardless of whether the aerosols are prognosed, based on climatology, or even absent. This is expected given that this version of the model employs a single-moment microphysics parameterization.

It is worth noting that a model bias of approximately 6% in cloud coverage leads to a bias of about $+12 \text{ W/m}^2$ in RF in May and $+6 \text{ W/m}^2$ in September, which is several times larger than the estimated aerosol effects on RF of -2.5 W/m^2 . Evidently, clouds have a more substantial impact on RF than aerosols, although the significance of aerosols should not be overlooked.

412

3.4 Hemispheric Surface Temperature, H500 and Precipitation

With the analysis of radiative forcing and cloud coverage associated with differ-413 ent aerosols in Experiments NoAer, ClimAer and ProgAer shown above, we investigate 414 the impact of aerosols on meteorological fields across these three experiments. Fig. 11 415 presents the anomaly correlation coefficient (ACC) for the predicted surface tempera-416 ture at 2 m (T2m) and H500 against ERA5 reanalysis, and precipitation against GPCP 417 data. The analysis covers the 20°N-80°N (NHX) and 20°S-80°S (SHX) regions, with lead 418 times ranging from weeks 1 to 4 and a combination of weeks 3 and 4, in May and Septem-419 420 ber from 2003 to 2019. At weeks 1 and 2, the ACC scores for T2m, H500 and precipitation remain consistent across the experiments, irrespective of the variations in aerosol 421 loadings. This pattern holds true for both May and September initializations, suggest-422 ing that the ACC scores at these lead times are minimally influenced by aerosol levels 423 on a hemispheric scale. At longer lead times of weeks 3+4 in the SHX, Experiment Pro-424 qAer shows the highest ACC values for both T2m and H500 in May but the lowest in 425 September. Meanwhile, in the NHX, the ACC scores for T2m and H500 are similar. 426

In these experiments, precipitation is not influenced by aerosol-cloud interactions,
as they are not parameterized. Instead, the impact of aerosols on precipitation primarily comes from the thermodynamic fields, which have a minimal effect. The precipitation skill beyond week 2 is low and no longer significant.

The results presented suggest a number of implications. First, despite the well-understood 431 and accurately simulated radiative forcing from the aerosol-radiation interaction within 432 the model, its significant influence on surface temperature and H500 is not evident in 433 these experiments. Second, the discrepancies in the ACC values from Experiment Pro-434 gAer across different hemispheres could be attributed to the accuracy of prescribed emis-435 sion sources and parameterized emission sinks, especially in the SHX during September. 436 Additionally, the parameterization of aerosol-cloud interaction appears to be crucial in 437 capturing the impact of aerosols on precipitation patterns. 438

439

3.5 Aerosol Regional Impact

As shown in the Figs 7 and 8, the modeled RF differences of Experiments Pro gAer and ClimAer from NoAer ($\Delta RF_{ProgAer}^{TOA}$ and $\Delta RF_{ClimAer}^{TOA}$) shows similarities when assessed as averages over 17 years. However, there are significant interannual variabilities in AOD and its associated RF in regions such as Sahara. For instance, the AOD over northern Africa in Experiment *ProgAer* exceeds that in Experiment *ClimAer* by 0.15 in May 2004, according to Fig. 3(c). As an example, we chose a domain over northern Africa larger than the one used in Fig. 3 to show the horizontal distribution of AOD, net radiative forcing at TOA and surface, as well as T2m in May 2004 in Experiment



Figure 11. Anomaly correlation coefficient for T2m, H500 and precipitation at different lead times from Experiments *ProgAer*, *ClimAer* and *NoAer*, from 2003 to 2019, in May (a) and September (b), for northern hemisphere (left) and southern hemisphere (right).



Figure 12. From left to right: AOD, radiative forcing at TOA and surface (W/m^2) , and T2m (°C) in Experiment *ClimAer* (upper) and the difference of Experiments *ProgAer* and *ClimAer* (lower), all monthly means in May 2004. Numbers in the upper-right corner are the means over the displayed domain. Positive values are downward for fluxes.



ACC at wk1 & wk34 May 2003-2019 over N. Africa

Figure 13. ACC at lead time of week 1 and weeks 3 and 4 combined of T2m (leftmost two columns), H500 (third and fourth columns) and precipitation (rightmost two columns) over northern Africa for Experiments *NoAer* (top), *ClimAer* (middle) and *ProgAer* (bottom). All model experiments are initialized on the first of May, 2003-2019.



ACC at wk1 & wk34 May 2003-2019 over E. Asia

Figure 14. Same as Fig. 13, except for east Asia.

ClimAer when aerosol climatology is used in the upper row of Fig. 12. The impact of 448 prognostic aerosols on these fields are shown as difference of Experiments *ProqAer* and 449 *ClimAer* in the lower row of Fig. 12. The AOD in Experiment *ProqAer* exceeds the one 450 from the aerosol climatology run, mostly over the Sahara and Sahel regions as shown in 451 Fig. 12(e). A negative RF at TOA and surface is shown in the same regions in Fig. 12(f)452 and (g) as expected. Noteworthy correlations exist in the difference patterns between 453 AOD and RF at TOA in Fig. 12(e) and (f), as well as between AOD and RF at the sur-454 face in Fig. 12(e) and (g). This suggests that the aerosol-radiation interaction and its 455 variations with different aerosol loadings are well-captured in this episode over north-456 ern Africa. However, there is no apparent correlation in the difference patterns between 457 AOD and surface temperature in Fig. 12(e) and (h), similar to what is discussed in Sec. 3.4. 458

To further investigate the regional effects of aerosols on meteorological patterns, 459 Fig. 13 shows the ACC scores for T2m (leftmost two columns), H500 (third and fourth 460 columns) and precipitation (rightmost two columns), at lead times of week 1 and weeks 461 3+4 in May from 2003 to 2019 over northern Africa. Despite large variations in aerosol 462 loadings used in these simulations, as indicated in Fig. 3, the ACC scores for T2m, H500 463 and precipitation are remarkably similar at week 1 among the three experiments. In the subsequent weeks 3+4, Experiment *ProgAer* shows marginally higher ACC scores for T2m 465 and H500 in the Sahel region and adjacent to the Africa coast, yet these scores are re-466 duced over northern Africa when compared to the other two experiments. As anticipated, 467 the ACC for precipitation remains notably low during weeks 3+4. 468

East Asia, a region characterized by relatively high aerosol concentrations, as shown 469 in Fig. 3. Fig. 14, is examined for its meteorological response. Fig. 14 shows the ACC 470 scores for T2m, H500 and precipitation for this region in May, presented in a similar man-471 ner to Fig. 13. Consistency in the ACC scores at week-1 across the three experiments 472 indicates a minimal influence of aerosol concentrations on these metrics at this lead time. 473 However, during the weeks 3+4 period, Experiment *ProgAer* shows elevated ACC scores 474 for T2m and H500 in Southeast Asia, while these scores are diminished over Central Asia 475 when compared to the other experiments. 476

Figs. 13 and 14 reveal modest regional variations in skill for T2m and H500 within the prognostic aerosol experiments over a lead time of weeks 3+4. These findings are based on a limited set of experiments conducted from 2003 to 2019.

480 4 Summary and Conclusion

This study investigates the aerosol radiative effects on subseasonal prediction us-481 ing the UFS-CCPP-Chem, the Unified Forecast System integrated with a CCPP-based 482 aerosol module from the GEFS-Aerosols model. We evaluated the top-of-the-atmosphere 483 radiative forcing from tropospheric aerosols, including sulfate, dust, black carbon, or-484 ganic carbon, and sea-salt. Our research involved three sets of UFS-CCPP-Chem sim-485 ulations: *ProgAer*, featuring an interactive aerosol module, *ClimAer*, which applies aerosol 486 climatology derived from Experiment *ProgAer* in place of the interactive aerosol mod-487 ule, and *NoAer*, which excludes aerosol effects. We used monthly mean estimates, includ-488 ing zonal or global average, for model evaluation, despite the recognized spatial and tem-489 poral heterogeneity of aerosol distributions. 490

Our analysis, based on experiments initialized on May 1 and September 1 from 2003 to 2019, reveals that the monthly mean AOD patterns and interannual variability from Experiment *ProgAer* align well with MODIS satellite observations, MERRA2 reanalysis, and ATom-4 aircraft observations, despite some discrepancies between these datasets. Model simulations reveal a positive bias in dust AOD over the Sahara and sea-salt AOD across most oceans, possibly due to biases in the aerosol module. Furthermore, modeled cloud coverage is less than that in the CERES EBAF dataset, likely from inadequate model physics parameterization, contributing to inaccuracies in the radiation.

To correct for model bias, we compared the radiative forcing at the top-of-atmosphere 499 between Experiment *ProgAer* and *NoAer*, using this as an indicator of the total aerosol 500 radiative forcing. Our calculations suggest a global average of approximately -2.5 W/m^2 501 at the TOA. This figure aligns with findings from prior research, such as the -1.0 W/m^2 502 in IPCC (2013) and -1.2 W/m^2 in Bellouin et al. (2020), which focus solely on the an-503 thropogenic component of radiative forcing since the pre-industrial era. In contrast, our 504 model's estimate includes both anthropogenic and natural aerosol sources. Additionally, 505 we determined the normalized radiative forcing to be approximately -16 W/m^2 per unit 506 AOD globally. 507

Contrary to expectations, incorporating aerosol-radiation interaction into the model 508 simulations does not consistently improve the forecast skill for T2m and H500. The ACC 509 for these variables at a 1-week lead time remains comparable across various aerosol sce-510 narios. With increasing lead times, the forecast outcomes become mixed, suggesting that 511 the predictive accuracy for T2m and H500 is affected by the intricate relationship be-512 tween aerosols and meteorology. This variation in forecast skill over extended lead times 513 highlights the complexity of the aerosol-meteorology interaction and emphasizes the im-514 portance of careful consideration of both aerosol-radiation and aerosol-cloud interactions. 515 along with addressing biases in model physics for long-range forecasts and the accuracy 516 in aerosol emission datasets. 517

Moreover, the impact of aerosols on cloud formation and precipitation through ra-518 diative processes is not readily apparent due to the single-moment microphysics param-519 eterization employed in this version of the model. In the UFS-CCPP-Chem experiments, 520 despite a global RF difference of approximately -2.5 W/m^2 at the TOA with and with-521 out aerosol-radiation interaction, cloud coverage and precipitation patterns remain largely 522 unchanged. This indicates that the modeled semi-direct effects of aerosol-radiation in-523 teraction on cloudiness and precipitation are minimal. Current efforts are focused on sim-524 ulating the indirect effects using a double-moment microphysics parameterization in the 525 upcoming version of the UFS. 526

This study represents one of the initial efforts to evaluate the aerosol radiation ef-527 fects on subseasonal forecasts using the UFS. Notably, local regions exhibited significant 528 radiative forcing discrepancies, particularly where the AOD differences between prog-529 nosed and climatological aerosols were exceptionally pronounced during certain events. 530 The UFS-CCPP-Chem, utilizing modeled climatological aerosol concentrations, success-531 fully captures the average radiative forcing seen in simulations with prognosed aerosols 532 over subseasonal timescales, albeit without the interannual aerosol variabilities. Never-533 theless, given the current constraints within this aerosol module, including demonstrated 534 biases and uncertainties in time-varying aerosol emission datasets, the potential bene-535 fits of utilizing a prognostic aerosol module are limited. This prompts consideration for 536 substituting the resource-intensive chemistry module with an aerosol climatology in sub-537 seasonal applications. Additionally, the development of a global, high-quality, and high-538 resolution aerosol climatology, derived from either observations or reanalysis, is essen-539 tial to mitigate uncertainties inherent in aerosol modeling. 540

⁵⁴¹ Open Research Section

The model data from three sets of experiments used in this study, as well as the NCL and MATLAB scripts used to produce the figures, are available on GitHub at https:// github.com/ShanSunNOAA/WGNE_2024. The MODIS dataset and MERRA2 reanalysis are available at Bhattacharjee et al. (2023). The aerosol dataset from ATom including AOD is available at Brock et al. (2021).

547 Acknowledgments

This research is supported by the base funds from the NOAA Global Systems Laboratory. LZ, SW, DH and HL are supported by NOAA cooperative agreements NA17OAR4320101 and NA22OAR4320151. We thank the EMC group and the associated community for their multiyear effort of developing and improving the UFS model. The constructive suggestions from Dr. Dave Turner during the interval review is much appreciated. The authors acknowledge the NOAA Research and Development High Performance Computing Program for providing computing and storage resources.

555 References

556	Ahmadov, R., Grell, G., James, E., Csiszar, I., Tsidulko, M., Pierce, B.,
557	Goldberg, M. (2017). Using viirs fire radiative power data to simulate
558	biomass burning emissions, plume rise and smoke transport in a real-time
559	air quality modeling system. Ieee International Geoscience and Remote
560	Sensing Symposium, IEEE International Geoscience and Remote Sens-
561	ing Symposium (IGARSS). Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1109/
562	IGARSS.2017.8127581
563	Baklanov, A., Schlünzen, K., Suppan, P., Baldasano, J., Brunner, D., Aksoyoglu, S.,
564	Zhang, Y. (2014). Online coupled regional meteorology chemistry models
565	in europe: current status and prospects. Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 317-398.
566	Bellouin, N., Quaas, J., Gryspeerdt, E., Kinne, S., Stier, P., Watson-Parris, D.,
567	Stevens, B. (2020). Bounding global aerosol radiative forcing of cli-
568	mate change. Reviews of Geophysics, 8, e2019RG000660. Retrieved from
569	https://doi.org/10.1029/2019RG000660
570	Benedetti, A., & Vitart, F. (2018). Can the direct effect of aerosols improve subsea-
571	sonal predictability? Mon. Wea. Rev., 146, 3481-3498.
572	Bhattacharjee, P. S., Zhang, L., Baker, B., Pan, L., Montuoro, R., Grell, G. A., &
573	McQueen, J. T. (2023). Evaluation of aerosol optical depth forecasts from
574	noaa's global aerosol forecast model (gefs-aerosols) (Vol. 38) (No. 2). Retrieved

575	from https://doi.org/10.1175/waf-d-22-0083.1
576	Brock, C. A., Froyd, K. D., Dollner, M., Williamson, C. J., Schill, G., Murphy,
577	D. M., Wofsy, S. C. (2021). Ambient aerosol properties in the re-
578	mote atmosphere from global-scale in situ measurements. Atmos. Chem.
579	<i>Phys.</i> , 21, 15023–15063. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.5194/
580	acp-21-15023-2021
581	Buchard, V., Randles, C. A., da Silva, A. M., Darmenov, A., Colarco, P. R., Govin-
582	daraju, R., Yu, H. (2017). The merra-2 aerosol reanalysis, 1980-onward,
583	part ii: Evaluation and case studies. J. Climate, 30, 6851-6872. Retrieved from
584	https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-16-0613.1
585	Carslaw, K. S., Lee, L. A., Reddington, C. L., Pringle, K. J., Rap, A., Forster,
586	P. M., Pierce, J. R. (2013). Large contribution of natural aerosols
587	to uncertainty in indirect forcing. <i>Nature</i> , 503, 67–71. Retrieved from
588	https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12674
589	Chin, M., Ginoux, P., Kinne, S., Torres, O., Holben, B. N., Duncan, B. N.,
590	Nakajima, T. (2002). Tropospheric aerosol optical thickness from the go-
591	cart model and comparisons with satellite and sun photometer measurements.
592	J. Atmos. Sci., 59, 461-483.
593	Chin, M., Rood, R. B., Lin, SJ., Müller, JF., & Thompson, A. M. (2000). Atmo-
594	spheric sulfur cycle in the global model gocart: Model description and global
595	properties. J. Geophys. Res., 105(24), 24,661-24,687.
596	Colarco, P., da Silva, A., Chin, M., & Diehl, T. (2010). Online simulations of global
597	aerosol distributions in the nasa geos-4 model and comparisons to satellite and
598	ground-based aerosol optical depth. J. Geophys. Res., 115, D14207. Retrieved
599	from https://doi:10.1029/2009JD012820
600	Fast, J., Jr., W. G., Easter, R., Zaveri, R., Barnard, J., Chapman, E., Peckham,
601	S. (2006). Evolution of ozone, particulates, and aerosol direct forcing in an ur-
602	ban area using a new fully-coupled meteorology, chemistry, and aerosol model.
603	J. Geophys. Res., 111(5), D21305.
604	Frassoni, A., Benedettio, A., Vitarto, F., & Engelbrecht, F. (2021). The second
605	phase of the wgne aerosol project: Evaluating aerosol impacts on numerical
606	weather and subseasonal prediction. Retrieved from https://wgne.net/
607	bluebook/uploads/2019/docs/07_Frassoni_Ariane_The_Second_Phase_of
608	_the_WGNE_Aerosol_Project.pdf
609	Freitas, S., da Silva, A., Benedetti, A., Grell, G., Jorba, O., & Mokhtari, M. (2015).
610	Evaluating aerosol impacts on numerical weather prediction: A wgne initiative.
611	Symposium on Coupled Chemistry-Meteorology/Climate Modeling, Switzer-
612	land.
613	Freitas, S. R., Longo, K. M., Chatfield, R., Latham, D., Silva Dias, M. A. F., An-
614	dreae, M. O., Carvalho Jr., J. A. (2007). Including the sub-grid scale
615	plume rise of vegetation fires in low resolution atmospheric transport mod-
616	els. Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 3385-3398. Retrieved from https://doi.org/
617	10.5194/acp-7-3385-2007
618	Grell, G. A., & Baklanov, A. (2011). Integrated modeling for forecasting weather
619	and air quality: A call for fully coupled approaches. <i>Atmos. Environ.</i> , 45,
620	6845 - 6851.
621	Grell, G. A., Freitas, S. R., Stuefer, M., & Fast, J. D. (2011). Inclusion of biomass
622	burning in wrf-chem: Impact of wildfires on weather forecasts. Atmos. Chem.
623	Phys., 11, 1-16. Retrieved from https://doi:10.5194/acp-11-1-2011
624	Grell, G. A., Peckham, S. E., Schmitz, R., McKeen, S. A., Frost, G., Skamarock,
625	W. C., & Eder, B. (2005). Fully coupled "online" chemistry within the wrf
626	model. Atmos. Environ., 39, 6957–6975.
627	Hansen, J., Lacis, A., Ruedy, R., & Sato, M. (1992). Potential climate impact of
628	mount pinatubo eruption. Geophys. Res. Lett., 19, 215–218. Retrieved from
629	https://doi.org/10.1029/91GL02788

630	Harris, L., Chen, X., Putman, W., Zhou, L., & Chen, J. H. (2021). A scientific de-
631	scription of the gfdl finite-volume cubed-sphere dynamical core. NOAA techni-
632	cal memorandum OAR GFDL. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.25923/
633	6nhs-5897
634	Haustein, K., Pèrez, C., Baldasano, J. M., Jorba, O., Basart, S., Miller, R. L.,
635	Schladitz, A. (2012). Atmospheric dust modeling from meso to global scales
636	with the online nmmb/bsc-dust model – part 2: Experimental campaigns
637	in northern africa. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 12, 2933–2958. Betrieved from
638	https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-2933-2012
630	Havwood I M Allan B P Culverwell I Slingo T Milton S Edwards I &
039	Clorbaux N (2005) Can desort dust explain the outgoing longwave radiation
640	anomaly over the schere during july 2003? I. Combus. Res. 110, D05105
641	Lipsolar D. Domondet I. Fiel C. Zhang M. Sup Y. & Els M. (2022)
642	The community physical products of the physical product of the community of the physical product of the physical physica
643	The common community physics package (ccpp) framework vo. $Geosci.$
644	Model Dev., 10, 2235-2259. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.5194/
645	gmd-16-2235-2023
646	Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Serrisford, P., Hirahara, S., Horányi, A., noz Sabater,
647	J. M., co authors (2020). The era5 global reanalysis. Quarterly Jour-
648	nal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 146, 1999-2049. Retrieved from
649	https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3803
650	Hoesly, R. M., Smith, S. J., Feng, L., Klimont, Z., Janssens-Maenhout, G., Pitkanen,
651	T., Zhang, Q. (2018). Historical $(1750-2014)$ anthropogenic emissions of
652	reactive gases and aerosols from the community emissions data system (ceds).
653	Geosci. Model Dev., 11, 369–408.
654	Huffman, G. J., Adler, R. F., Morrissey, M. M., Bolvin, D. T., Curtis, S., Joyce, R.,
655	Susskind, J. (2001). Global precipitation at one-degree daily resolution
656	from multisatellite observations. J. Hydrometeor., $2(1)$, 36-50.
657	Hunke, E. C., Lipscomb, W. H., Turner, A. K., Jeffery, N., & Elliott, S. (2015).
658	Cice: the los alamos sea ice model documentation and software user's manual
659	version 5.1. Los Alamos Natl. Lab., Los Alamos, N. M.
660	IPCC. (2013). Climate change 2013: The physical science basis. contribution of
661	working group i to the fifth assessment report of the intergovernmental panel
662	on climate change [stocker, t.f., d. qin, gk. plattner, m. tignor, s.k. allen, j.
663	boschung, a. nauels, y. xia, v. bex and p.m. midgley (eds.)]. Cambridge Uni-
664	versity Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA. doi:
665	doi: $10.1017/CBO9781107415324$
666	IPCC. (2021). Summary for policymakers. in: Climate change 2021: The physical
667	science basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Re-
668	port of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Masson-Delmotte, V.,
669	P. Zhai, A. Pirani, S. L. Connors, C. Péan, S. Berger, N. Caud, Y. Chen, L.
670	Goldfarb, M. I. Gomis, M. Huang, K. Leitzell, E. Lonnoy, J.B.R. Matthews, T.
671	K. Maycock, T. Waterfield, O. Yelekçi, R. Yu and B. Zhou (eds.)]. Cambridge
672	University Press. In Press.
673	Kaiser, J., Heil, A., Andreae, M., Benedetti, A., Chubarova, N., Jones, L.,
674	van der Werf, G. (2012). Biomass burning emissions estimated with a global
675	fire assimilation system based on observed fire radiative power. Biogeosciences,
676	9,527–554.
677	Le Treut, H., Forichon, M., Boucher, O., & Li, Z. (1998). Sulfate aerosol indirect
678	effect and co2 greenhouse forcing: Equilibrium response of the lmd gcm and
679	associated cloud feedbacks. J. Climate, 11(7), 1673-1684.
680	Levy, R. C., Mattoo, S., Munchak, L. A., Remer, L. A., Sayer, A. M., Pata-
681	dia, F., & Hsu, N. (2013). The collection 6 modis aerosol products over
682	land and ocean. Atmos. Meas. Tech., 6, 2989–3034. Retrieved from
683	https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-6-2989-2013
684	Liu, Y., Wang, W., Kumar, A., & Collow, T. (2019). Assessment of cpc sea ice

685	initialization system (csis) and cpc weekly experimental sea ice forecasts. 44th
686	NOAA Annual Climate Diagnostics and Prediction Workshop. Retrieved from
687	https://www.nws.noaa.gov/ost/climate/STIP/44CDPW/44cdpw-YLiu.pdf
688	Loeb, N. G., Doelling, D. R., Wang, H., Su, W., Nguyen, C., Corbett, J. G.,
689	Kato, S. (2018). Clouds and the earth's radiant energy system (ceres) energy
690	balanced and filled (ebaf) top-of-atmosphere (toa) edition-4.0 data product.
691	J. Climate, 31(2), 895–918. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1175/
692	JCLI-D-17-0208.1
693	Madden, R. A., & Julian, P. R. (1971). Detection of a 40–50 day oscillation in the
694	zonal wind in the tropical pacific. J. Atmos. Sci., 28, 702–708. Retrieved from
695	https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1971)028<0702:DOADOI>2.0.C0:2
696	Mann, G. W., Carslaw, K. S., Reddington, C. L., Pringle, K. J., Schulz, M.,
697	Asmi, A., Henzing, J. S. (2014). Intercomparison and evaluation of
698	global aerosol microphysical properties among aerocom models of a range
699	of complexity. Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14(9), 4679-4713. Retrieved from
700	https://acp.copernicus.org/articles/14/4679/2014/
701	Ming Y Bamaswamy V Ginoux P A & Horowitz L H (2005) Direct ra-
702	diative forcing of anthronogenic organic aerosol I Geonbus Res - Atmos 110
703	D20208 Retrieved from doi.org/10.1029/2004.ID005573
704	Mitchell I M (1971) The effect of atmospheric aerosols on climate with special
704	reference to temperature near the earth's surface <u>I</u> of Amplied Meteorology
705	703-714
700	Mitchell K (2005) The community near land-surface model (lem) user's quide
707	nublic release version 271 Batriaved from https://ral.ucar.edu/
708	sites/default/files/public/product-tool/unified-noah-lsm/
709	Noah I SM USERCHIDE 2 7 1 ndf
/10	Mulashy I P Walters D N Ballouin N & Milton S E (2014) Impacts of
711	increasing the aerosol complexity in the met office global numerical weather
712	prediction model $4tmos$ Chem Phys $1/(9)$ $4749-4778$ Betrieved from
713	doi:10.5194/acn-14-4749-2014
714	Murskami H (2022) Substantial global influence of anthronogenic acrossle on
715	tropical cyclones over the past 40 years $Sci Adv = 8(10)$ doi: 10.1126/sciady
710	abn0403
717	Muhro C. Samsot B. H. Schulz M. Balkanski V. Bauer S. & Berntson
718	T K a 2 (2013) Badiative forcing of the direct percent of from zero
719	com phase is simulations Atmos Chem Phys. 13 1853–1877. Retrieved from
720	https://doi org/10 5194/acp-13-1853-2013
721	NOAA (2021) Unarade neen alobal forecast systems (afs) to v16: Effective march
722	17 2021). Service Change Notice 21-20. Undeted National Weather Ser-
723	vice Headquarters Silver Spring MD https://www.weather.gov/media/
724	notification/scn\ 21-20\ gfsv16 0\ aaa\ undate ndf
725	Pan B Wang V Logan T Heich I Jiang I H Li V & Zhang B (2020)
726	Determinant role of percessle from industrial sources in hurricane harvoy's
727	catastropha Ceonhusical Research Letters 17 a2020CL000014 Batriavad
728	from https://doi org/10_1029/2020GL090014. 1000014
729	Péroz C Nickovia S Dejanovia C Baldasano I M & Özsov E (2006) In
730	toractive dust radiation modeling: A stop to improve weather forecasts
731	Combus Res 111 D16206 Betrioved from https://doi.org/10.1020/
732	2005 ID006717
(33	Pople O Lou K M & do Silvo A (2011) Impact of interactive accessed on the
734	african contaily jot in the near good 5 global forecasting system
735	Encontration and the second se
736	Rople O Lou K M do Silvo A & Mataui T (2014) Impact of accimilated and
737	interactive percent on tropical evelopenesis. Coordina Res. Lett. 11, 2002, 2009
738	Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1002/2014CL0E0019
739	100116460 HOIL HEEDS . / A OT OT K I A 1002/ 20140203310

740	Reddington, C. L., Carslaw, K. S., Stier, P., Schutgens, N., Coe, H., Liu, D.,
741	Zhang, Q. (2017). The global aerosol synthesis and science project (gassp):
742	measurements and modeling to reduce uncertainty. BAMS, 1857-1877.
743	Reid, J., Benedetti, A., Colarco, P., & Hansen, J. (2011). International opera-
744	tional aerosol observability workshop. BAMS, 92, ES21-ES24. Retrieved from
745	https://doi.org/10.1175/2010BAMS3183.1
746	Rodwell, M., & Jung, T. (2008). Understanding the local and global impacts
747	of model physics changes: an aerosol example. Q J ROY METEOR SOC,
748	134(635), 1479–1497.
749	Saha, S., Moorthi, S., Pan, HL., Wu, X., Wang, J., Nadiga, S., Goldberg, M.
750	(2010). Ncep climate forecast system reanalysis. Bulletin of the American
751	Meteorological Society, 91, 1015-1058.
752	Saide, P. E., Spak, S. N., Pierce, R. B., Otkin, J. A., Schaack, T. K., Heidinger,
753	A. K., Carmichael, G. R. (2015). Central american biomass burning smoke
754	can increase tornado severity in the u.s. <i>Geophys. Res. Lett.</i> , 42, 956–965.
755	Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1002/2014GL062826
756	Saver, A. M., Munchak, L. A., Hsu, N. C., Levy, R. C., Bettenhausen, C., & Jeong
757	M-J (2014) Modis collection 6 aerosol products: Comparison between
758	aqua's e-deep blue, dark target, and "merged" data sets, and usage recom-
759	mendations. J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos. 119, 13,965-13,989. Retrieved from
760	https://doi.org/10.1002/2014JD022453
761	Stefanova, L., Meixner, J., Wang, J., Ray, S., Mehra, A., Barlage, M.,, Zheng, W.
762	(2022). Description and results from ufs coupled prototypes for future alobal.
763	ensemble and seasonal forecasts at ncen. Office Note 510, NOAA. Retrieved
764	from https://doi.org/10.25923/knxm-kz26
765	Stier P Feichter J Kinne S Kloster S Vignati E Wilson J Petzold
766	A (2005) The aerosol-climate model echam5-ham Atmos Chem Phys
767	5. 1125-1156. Retrieved from http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/5/1125/
768	2005/
769	Sun, D. L., Lau, K. M., & Kafatos, M. (2008). Contrasting the 2007 and 2005 hur-
770	ricane seasons: Evidence of possible impacts of saharan dry air and dust on
771	tropical cyclone activity in the atlantic basin. <i>Geophys. Res. Lett.</i> , 35, L15405.
772	Retrieved from doi:10.1029/2008GL034529
773	Tolman, H. L., Balasubramaniyan, B., Burroughs, L. D., Chalikov, D. V., Chao,
774	Y. Y. Chen, H. S., & Gerald, V. M. (2002). Development and implemen-
775	tation of wind generated ocean surface wave models at ncep. Weather and
776	Forecasting, 17, 311-333.
777	Tompkins A M Cardinali C Morcrette J-J & Rodwell M (2005) Influence of
778	aerosol climatology on forecasts of the african easterly jet. <i>Geophys. Res. Lett.</i>
779	<i>32.</i> L10801. Retrieved from doi:10.1029/2004GL022189
780	Twomey, S. (1974). Pollution and the planetary albedo Atmospheric Environment
781	8 1251–1256
790	Vogel A Alessa G Scheele B Weber L Dubovik O North P & Fiedler
702	S = (2022) Uncertainty in aerosol optical depth from modern aerosol-climate
784	models, reanalyses, and satellite products. Journal of Geophysical Research:
785	Atmospheres, 127, e2021JD035483, doi: doi.org/10.1029/2021JD035483
786	Wielicki, B. A., Barkstrom, B. R. Harrison E. F. Lee R. R. Smith G. L. &
787	Cooper. J. E. (1996). Clouds and the earth's radiant energy system (ceres).
788	An earth observing system experiment Bulletin of the American Meteo-
789	rological Society, 77(5), 853-868. doi: 10.1175/1520-0477(1996)077/0853
790	CATERE/2.0.CO:2
701	Xian P Reid J S Hver E J Sampson C R Rubin I I Ades M Zhang
792	J. (2019). Current state of the global operational aerosol multi-model ensem-
793	ble: An update from the international cooperative for aerosol prediction (icap)
794	Quart. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 145, 176–209. doi: doi.org/10.1002/qj.3497

- Zhang, L., Montuoro, R., McKeen, S. A., Baker, B., Bhattacharjee, P. S., Grell,
- G. A., ... Li, F. (2022). Development and evaluation of the aerosol forecast member in ncep's global ensemble forecast system (gefs-aerosols v1).
- ⁷⁹⁷ cast member in ncep's global ensemble forecast system (gefs-aerosols v1).
 ⁷⁹⁸ Geosci. Model Dev., 15. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.5194/
- ⁷⁹⁹ gmd-15-5337-2022

Simulating aerosol-radiation effects on subseasonal prediction using the coupled Unified Forecast System and CCPP-Chem: prescribed aerosol climatology versus interactive aerosol model

1

2

3

5 6

8 9

10

11 12 13

S. Sun¹, G. A. Grell¹, L. Zhang^{1,2}, J. K. Henderson¹, S. Wang^{2,3}, D. Heinzeller⁴, H. Li^{1,2}, J. Meixner⁵, P. S. Bhattacharjee⁶

¹NOAA Global Systems Laboratory ²University of Colorado at Boulder, Cooperative Institute for Research in Environmental Sciences ³NOAA Chemical Sciences Laboratory ⁴University Corporation for Atmospheric Research (UCAR) / UCAR Community Programs / Joint

14	Key Points:
15	• The incorporation of aerosol-radiation interaction (ARI) in the coupled atmosphere-
16	ocean-sea ice model UFS-CCPP-Chem indicates a net cooling effect at the top of
17	the atmosphere on subseasonal prediction
18	• Two simulations, one with an interactive aerosol model and the other using the
19	prescribed aerosol climatology, demonstrated comparable ARI effects and corre-
20	sponding meteorological impacts on weekly and monthly scales
21	• Substituting the interactive aerosol model with the aerosol climatology presents
22	a cost-effective alternative in subseasonal applications

Corresponding author: Shan Sun, Shan.Sun@noaa.gov

23 Abstract

This study investigates the effects of aerosol-radiation interaction on subseasonal 24 prediction using the Unified Forecast System (UFS) with an ocean, a sea ice and a wave 25 component, coupled to an aerosol component. The aerosol component is from the cur-26 rent NOAA operational GEFSv12-Aerosols model, which includes the GOCART aerosol 27 modules simulating sulfate, dust, black carbon, organic carbon, and sea-salt. The mod-28 eled aerosol optical depth (AOD) is compared to reanalysis from Modern-Era Retrospec-29 tive analysis for Research and Applications, Version 2 (MERRA2) and observations from 30 31 Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectro-radiometer (MODIS) satellite and Atmospheric Tomography (ATom) aircraft. Despite biases primarily in dust and sea salt, a good agree-32 ment in AOD is achieved globally. The simulated radiative forcing (RF) from the total 33 aerosols at the top of the atmosphere is approximately -2.5 W/m^2 or -16 W/m^2 per unit 34 AOD globally. This is consistent with previous studies. 35

In subsequent simulations, prognostic aerosol component is substituted with cli-36 matological aerosol concentrations derived from initial experiments. While regional dif-37 ferences in RF are noticeable in specific events between these two experiments, the re-38 sulting RF, surface temperature, geopotential height at 500 hPa and precipitation, show 39 similarities in multi-year subseasonal applications. This suggests that given the current 40 capacities of the aerosol modeling, adopting a climatology of aerosol concentrations as 41 a cost-effective substitute for the intricate aerosol module may be a practical approach 42 for subseasonal applications. 43

44 Plain Language Summary

This research explores how the interaction between aerosols and radiation influences weather predictions over several weeks. Our forecast system consists of six components, including the atmosphere, land, ocean, sea ice, wave and aerosols. Despite some differences, the simulated aerosol optical depth aligns with observations. The impact of these aerosols on the Earth's energy balance results in a net cooling effect.

Furthermore, we conduct parallel experiments to assess a simpler method: prescribing aerosol climatology instead of utilizing an interactive aerosol model. We find numerous similarities in its influence on radiation at the top of the atmosphere and on meteorology at the subseasonal timescale between these two approaches, despite the absence of interannual variabilities in the aerosol climatology. Our findings suggest that adopting this simplified approach of prescribing aerosol climatology for subseasonal predictions might offer cost-saving benefits without compromising accuracy.

57 **1 Introduction**

Climate change is driven by changes in the earth's energy budget, which can be quan-58 tified by the radiative forcing (RF) measured at the top of the atmosphere (e.g., IPCC, 59 2013). A positive RF indicates that the earth system is absorbing energy. The largest 60 increase in RF in recent years has been from the concentration of well-mixed greenhouse 61 gases (GHGs) in the atmosphere, estimated to be 2.8 W/m^2 for 2011 or 3.3 W/m^2 for 62 2019 relative to 1750 (IPCC, 2013, 2021), where the total aerosol effective RF which in-63 cludes the interaction of aerosols with solar radiation and cloudiness, partially offsets this 64 positive RF with negative estimates of -0.9 W/m^2 for 2011 or -1.1 W/m^2 for 2019. 65

Atmospheric aerosols have an impact on weather and climate by interacting with solar radiation through scattering and absorbing light, affecting the three-dimensional temperature fields in the direct effect and influencing cloud properties, cloudiness, and precipitation in the indirect effect. Any changes in cloud properties resulting from aerosolradiation interaction are classified as the semi-direct effect (e.g., J. M. Mitchell, 1971; Twomey, 1974; IPCC, 2013). Aerosols originate from both natural and anthropogenic
sources and are removed from the atmosphere by precipitation and other processes within
a few days or weeks. Due to different aerosol species and particle sizes, they interact with
climate in a far more complicated manner than GHGs.

Climate models have integrated aerosol effects for several decades with varying com-75 plexity (e.g., Hansen et al., 1992; Le Treut et al., 1998; Ming et al., 2005; Stier et al., 2005). 76 It is only with the recent development of online modeling systems that showed the im-77 portance of aerosol direct effects in numerical weather prediction (NWP) models (e.g., 78 79 Grell & Baklanov, 2011; Reale et al., 2011; Baklanov et al., 2014). For instance, including radiative effects of dust aerosols improved the radiation balance of NWP models (Haywood 80 et al., 2005; Pérez et al., 2006) and helped forecast of African easterly Jet (Tompkins et 81 al., 2005; Reale et al., 2011). Rodwell and Jung (2008) demonstrated an improvement 82 in local medium-range forecast skill and a reduction in mean extratropical circulation 83 errors in the ECMWF simulations when a more realistic dust aerosol climatology was 84 employed. Grell et al. (2011) showed that aerosols resulting from wildfires had a signif-85 icant influence on NWP, using the Weather Research and Forecasting model coupled with 86 Chemistry (WRF-Chem, Grell et al., 2005; Fast et al., 2006) with complex chemistry and 87 direct/indirect effects. Haustein et al. (2012) provided evidence of a connection between 88 dust emissions and weather patterns over synoptic-to-seasonal time scales. Mulcahy et 89 al. (2014) noted large regional improvements in radiation and temperature forecasts from 90 the direct and indirect effects of aerosols in the Met Office's Unified Model for NWP, and 91 recommended choosing an appropriate level of aerosol complexity that fits its applica-92 tions. Furthermore, aerosol effects have also been shown to impact extreme weather events, 93 such as tornadoes and hurricanes, in weather forecast models (e.g., Sun et al., 2008; Reale et al., 2014; Saide et al., 2015; Pan et al., 2020). Recently, Murakami (2022) quantified 95 the impact of anthropogenic aerosols on tropical cyclone activity using the System for 96 Prediction and Earth System Research (SPEAR) model from Geophysical Fluid Dynam-97 ics Laboratory (GFDL). Benedetti and Vitart (2018) investigated the potential of includ-98 ing interactive aerosols to improve monthly prediction in the ECMWF's IFS system, with 99 a hypothesis that aerosol variability is connected to the different phases of the Madden–Julian 100 oscillation (Madden & Julian, 1971). 101

Despite a large uncertainty in aerosol observations and modeling (Carslaw et al., 102 2013; Mann et al., 2014; Reddington et al., 2017; Vogel et al., 2022), substantial progress 103 has been made in global aerosol modeling for operational aerosol forecasts. For instance, 104 the International Cooperative for Aerosol Prediction (ICAP) project with nine global 105 aerosol models has shown a higher skill in the multi-model ensemble mean than in the 106 individual model (Reid et al., 2011; Xian et al., 2019), even though it does not consider 107 aerosol feedback on meteorology. ICAP has paved the way for establishing quasi-real time 108 aerosol reanalysis from multi-model ensembles for numerical weather prediction (NWP) 109 applications. On the other hand, there is an ongoing debate regarding the computational 110 cost of modeling interactive aerosol impact compared to the benefits and whether us-111 ing some form of aerosol climatology or reanalysis is feasible. This is one question we 112 attempt to address in this study from the perspective of aerosol-radiation interaction on 113 subseasonal applications. 114

115 The WMO Working Group on Numerical Experimentation (WGNE) initiated a project to evaluate the impacts of aerosols on numerical weather prediction. The project exam-116 ined how dust, smog and smoke scenarios affected surface radiation and temperature with 117 eight models from six countries, including several operational NWP models (S. Freitas 118 et al., 2015). Currently, the WGNE Aerosol project is in its second phase, focused on 119 evaluating the impact of aerosols on subseasonal prediction (Frassoni et al., 2021). Our 120 study is part of this project, and we use the coupled Unified Forecast System (UFS), NOAA's 121 next operational coupled atmosphere-ocean-sea ice-land system for S2S predictions. Our 122 goal is to document these experiments with detailed analysis of the aerosol radiative ef-123

fects on S2S prediction. The experimental setup is described in Section 2. Section 3 presents
the results of the aerosol-radiation interaction analysis on both the global and regional
scales, where two-way feedback between aerosols and meteorology is simulated with either an interactive aerosol model or a prescribed aerosol climatology. A summary and
conclusion of findings are presented in Section 4.

2 Model Setup and Experiments

This study investigates the radiative forcing of direct and semi-direct aerosol-radiation interaction in the UFS using the GFDL single-moment microphysics parameterization. The indirect impact between aerosols and clouds is not considered here but will be the subject of future experiments when a double-moment microphysics parameterization is used.

135

129

2.1 Model Components

A community effort, including major contributions from the Environmental Mod-136 eling Center (EMC) at the National Centers for Environmental Prediction (NCEP), is 137 underway in developing the UFS for seamless weather prediction across time scales, rang-138 ing from short-range to seasonal. The UFS model framework comprises the GFDL Finite-139 Volume cubed-sphere dynamical core (FV3) (Harris et al., 2021), the Global Forecast 140 System (GFS) physics package, the land surface model, the GFDL Modular Ocean Model 141 MOM6 (Harris et al., 2021), the Sea Ice Model CICE from Los Alamos National Lab-142 oratory (Hunke et al., 2015) and the wave model WAVEWATCH III (Tolman et al., 2002). 143 Its subseasonal forecast skills are evaluated in Stefanova et al. (2022) through a series of incremental prototypes. This study is based on the version of Prototype 6 (P6), which 145 uses FV3 with the GFS physics package version 16 (GFSv16, NOAA, 2021) via the Com-146 mon Community Physics Package (CCPP, Heinzeller et al., 2023), the Noah land sur-147 face model (K. Mitchell, 2005) and CICE6. We coupled a revised version of P6 to the 148 aerosol component from the GEFSv12-Aerosols model as described in Zhang et al. (2022). 149 Since the aerosol component is coupled inline using CCPP, we refer to the resulting model 150 system as UFS-CCPP-Chem in this study, as shown in Table 1. 151

152

2.2 Aerosol Component

The aerosol component is based on WRF-Chem, which employs the aerosol mod-153 ules from the NASA Goddard Chemistry Aerosol Radiation and Transport model (GOCART. 154 Chin et al., 2000, 2002). Five species of aerosols are included in this study, which are sul-155 fate, dust, black carbon (BC), organic carbon (OC) and sea-salt. GOCART uses a sim-156 plified sulfur chemistry for sulfate simulation, bulk aerosols of BC, OC, and sectional dust 157 and sea-salt. GEFSv12-Aerosols updated the sea-salt scheme based on the 2nd-generation 158 GOCART model (Colarco et al., 2010) and a new dust emission scheme called FENG-159 SHA, with a distinct approach to treat biomass burning and dust emissions (Zhang et 160 al., 2022). Both dust and sea-salt have five size bins. 161

During the inline coupling, the meteorological fields, including the land-sea mask, vegetation type, and surface fields, are imported from the atmospheric model to drive the aerosol component. The aerosol component updates the aerosol extinction coefficient, single scattering albedo, and asymmetry factor for each aerosol species and passes them to the radiation scheme in atmospheric physics.

The monthly anthropogenic emission inventories from the Community Emissions Data System based on 2014 inventory (CEDS-2014, Hoesly et al., 2018) are used. The daily fire emissions are obtained from the ECMWF Global Fire Assimilation System (GFAS, Kaiser et al., 2012), which assimilates fire radiative power observations from satellitebased sensors of NASA Terra Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectro-radiometer (MODIS,

Components	Modules		Resolutions		Initial Conditions
Atmosphere	FV3 & GFSv16		25km, 64 layers		CFSR May 1 & Sept. 1, 2003-2019
Ocean	MOM6		$1/4^{\circ}$, 75 layers		$\overrightarrow{\text{CPC-3DVar}(2011\text{-}2017)\text{ CFSR (other times)}}$
Sea Ice	CICE6		1/4°		CPC-CSIS
Wave	WW3		$1/2^{\circ} \ge 1/2^{\circ}$		(rest)
Aerosol	GEFSv12-Aerosols	3	same as atmosphere	e	30-day free spin-up (from zero)

daily estimates of emissions from wildfires and biomass burning. GEFSv12 Aerosols	.m-
plements an updated one-dimensional time-dependent cloud module from WRF-Cher	n
(Grell et al., 2011), which is also used at EMC in the High-Resolution Rapid Refresh	(HRRR)-
Smoke model to calculate injection heights and emission rates online (S. R. Freitas e	;
al., 2007; Ahmadov et al., 2017). The resulting AOD from GEFSv12-Aerosols agrees	well
with satellite and aircraft observations in the short-range forecasts (Zhang et al., 202	2;
Bhattacharjee et al., 2023).	

Regarding the cost estimate for the aerosol component, the CCPP version of the GEFSv12-Aerosols model (Zhang et al., 2022), with the same aerosol component used in the UFS-CCPP-Chem in this study, takes approximately 1129 core hours for a 7-day forecast at a standalone atmospheric configuration. This is nearly double the core hours of running the same model without the active aerosol component, which consumes 580 core hours. Both simulations employ 320 cores with an 8x8 grid layout and without coupling to ocean, ice and wave modules.

187

2.3 Initial Conditions, Ensemble Members and Resolutions

We followed the protocol from the WMO WGNE S2S (Frassoni et al., 2021), and initialized the model on May 1st and September 1st, respectively, from 2003 to 2019. The integration time is 32 days for all experiments. There are five ensemble members, in which the atmospheric initial conditions are time-shifted by up to ± 2 days, while the initial conditions for the rest of the modules remain the same.

Table 1 displays the resolution of the atmospheric and aerosol modules in the UFS-193 CCPP-Chem model, which are approximately 25km (C384) and consist of 64 vertical 194 layers. The ocean model has a resolution of $1/4^{\circ}$ horizontally and includes 75 vertical 195 layers. The ice model shares the horizontal grid with the ocean model. The wave model 196 is on the $1/2^{\circ} \ge 1/2^{\circ}$ grid. Atmospheric and oceanic initial conditions rely on CFSR (Saha 197 et al., 2010), except for the years 2011-2017, when the ocean initial conditions used the 198 3DVar data from NCEP/CPC. NCEP/CPC also provides the sea ice initial conditions 199 (CSIS, Liu et al., 2019). The wave model starts at rest. The aerosol initial conditions 200 are from free spin-up runs by integrating the UFS-CCPP-Chem model for 30 days from 201 zero aerosol concentration, prior to the scheduled initial date of May 1st or September 202 1st.203

Experiments	Aerosol Sources
Prognostic aerosols	Aerosol module active
(ProgAer)	(with prognostic aerosol)
Climatological aerosols	Aerosol module inactive
(ClimAer)	(use <i>ProgAer</i> monthly climatology)
No aerosols	Aerosol module inactive
(NoAer)	(no aerosol interaction considered)

Table 2. Three sets of experiments used in this study.

204

208

209

2.4 Experimental Design

Climate and weather models can integrate aerosol effects in multiple ways, and three commonly practiced methods aim to reduce computational costs:

- Updating aerosol loadings as time changes,
 - Using climatological aerosols that is fixed in time or vary with season or month,
 - Ignoring aerosol loadings and its impact on meteorology.

This study evaluates and quantifies the radiative forcing from aerosols and the impact 210 on meteorology, in each of these three options. The first set of experiments employs UFS-211 CCPP-Chem with 'prognostic aerosols' (*ProgAer*) that simulates the evolution of five 212 tropospheric aerosol species, while enabling the aerosol feedback on radiation. Two more 213 parallel sets of experiments are conducted with UFS but exclude the costly aerosol com-214 ponent. They utilize either prescribed climatological aerosol concentrations (*ClimAer*) 215 or zero aerosol concentration (NoAer) instead of the aerosol module. Note that the cli-216 matological aerosol concentrations used in *ClimAer* is a monthly model climatology gen-217 erated from Experiment *ProgAer*, as illustrated in Table 2. This is done to ensure that 218 the mean aerosol concentrations in Experiments *ClimAer* and *ProqAer* are the same. 219

220

2.5 Observations from Satellites and Aircrafts

We verified our modeled AOD using the MODIS Collection 6.1 Level-3 AOD dataset 221 (Levy et al., 2013) from the Aqua satellite, where the merged AOD product combines 222 retrievals from the Dark Target and Deep Blue algorithms to provide a consistent data 223 set that spans various surface types from oceans to bright deserts (Sayer et al., 2014). 224 We compared each of the 5 modeled AOD components against the Modern-Era Retro-225 spective analysis for Research and Applications, Version 2 (MERRA2, Buchard et al., 226 2017), which is an assimilation product of the Global Modeling and Assimilation Office 227 at NASA. 228

The AOD dataset from the NASA Atmospheric Tomography Mission (ATom, Brock et al., 2021) comprises merged data from all instruments on aircraft flights during each of the four seasons from 2016 to 2018. It offers a comprehensive global-scale sampling of the atmosphere, profiling continuously from 0.2 to 12 km altitude and providing detailed latitude, longitude and altitude information. In this study we compared ATom-4 with our results, which overlaps with our experiments in May 2018.

We use the Clouds and the Earth's Radiant Energy System (CERES, Wielicki et al., 1996) data to validate radiative fluxes and cloud coverage in the model experiments. CERES provides global composite radiative fluxes, including top-of-atmosphere (TOA)



Figure 1. Monthly mean AOD at 550nm during 2003-2019 in May (upper) and September (lower) from MODIS (left), MERRA2 (middle) and Experiment *ProgAer* (right). Global mean is shown in the upper right corner.

shortwave and longwave, and cloud properties based on each CERES 20 km field of view.
We employ the Edition 4.2 product of the CERES Energy Balance and Filled (EBAF)
observations (Loeb et al., 2018) for the period of 2003-2019. The TOA fluxes and the
cloud properties of CERES EBAF are monthly averages on a 1°x1° latitude-longitude
grid. The estimated uncertainty in the regional monthly mean all-sky TOA flux ranges
from 2.5 W/m² to 3 W/m² in this product.

The ERA5 global reanalysis (Hersbach et al., 2020) serves as the reference dataset for verifying the modeled surface temperature and geopotential height at 500 hPa (H500). Modeled precipitation is validated utilizing GPCP (Huffman et al., 2001), a composite dataset integrating in situ gauge data with satellite observations of daily precipitation.

3 Model Results and Comparison to Observations

All verification in each of the three experiments in this study is conducted using the ensemble means from the five members discussed earlier, after interpolated onto a 1° horizontal resolution.

3.1 Aerosol Optical Depth

252

Fig. 1 presents monthly averages of aerosol optical depth at 550 nm¹ from Experiment *ProgAer* compared with satellite estimates from MODIS and MERRA2 reanalysis for May and September during the period from 2003 to 2019. The modeled results

¹ All AODs in this manuscript are at 550 nm.



Figure 2. Each of the five components in AOD at 550 nm, sulfate, dust, BC, OC and sea-salt (top to bottom), from MERRA2 and Experiment *ProgAer* in May (left two rows) and September (right two rows) averaged over years 2003-2019. Note that BC AOD is enlarged by a factor of 5 in order to share the colorbar.

effectively captured the geographic patterns of AOD shown in MODIS and MERRA2, with positive biases in North Africa as well as South and East Asia. The AOD distribution between MODIS and MERRA2 shows good agreement in general, considering that MODIS is one of the various datasets assimilated by MERRA2. However, it is noteworthy that the magnitude of AOD from MERRA2 tends to be lower than that from MODIS across both months. Note that there are large values in MODIS over the Arctic region in May.

To further investigate the root in AOD bias, the five AOD components used in the models, namely sulfate, dust, BC, OC and sea-salt, are compared to MERRA2 in May and September in Fig. 2, using the 2003-2019 monthly averages. Among these five components, the biggest bias is in dust AOD over the Saharan region, where it has an excessive dust loading compared to MERRA2 in both months. This bias in the modeled dust AOD may be related to the bias in dust emission in the 'FENGSHA' scheme or in



Figure 3. AOD at 550 nm in May (left) and September (right) during 2003-2019 from MODIS, MERRA2 and model experiments *ProgAer* and *ClimAer* globally and in three chosen regions of northern Africa (0 - 30° E, EQ - 30° N), southern Africa (0 - 30° E, EQ - 30° S) and east Asia (100° E - 130° E, 15° N - 45° N).

the modeled surface meteorology. The global mean sulfate AOD, shown in the upper right corner, is close to MERRA2, despite a larger local maximum over East Asia. The model underestimates OC/BC AOD in Central Africa and South America in May, likely from a weaker than observed biomass burning prescribed from the GFAS dataset. In contrast, the modeled sea-salt AOD is higher than MERRA2 over most of the ocean surface, particularly in the north Indian Ocean.

The global mean AOD values from MODIS, MERRA2, Experiments ProgAer and 275 *ClimAer* are shown in the upper panel of Fig. 3 in May and September during the pe-276 riod from 2003 to 2019. The 17-year average for each product is listed in the upper right 277 corner. The AOD from Experiment *ProgAer* shares a lot in common with that from MODIS, 278 not only in the mean value but also in the interannual variabilities. As expected, the AOD 279 from Experiments *ClimAer* is close to the average of that from Experiments *ProgAer* dur-280 ing this period, where the former uses the model climatology from the latter. The global 281 mean AOD value from MERRA2 is the lowest among these products both in May and 282 September during each of the 17 years. Additionally, Fig. 3 displays the area mean in 283 several chosen regions with high AOD loading, including northern Africa (0 - 30°E, EQ 284 - 30°N), southern Africa (0 - 30°E, EQ - 30°S) and east Asia (100°E - 130°E, 15°N - 45°N), 285

from MODIS, MERRA2 and Experiments ProgAer and ClimAer. The modeled AOD 286 consistently exceeds satellite observations and reanalysis data in northern Africa in both 287 May and September each year. This positive bias in AOD, primarily attributed to dust 288 as shown in Fig. 2, contributes to the overall positive bias seen in the total AOD in the 289 model experiments. Moreover, the AOD dominated by aerosols from biomass burning 290 in southern Africa is much stronger in September than in May in all products. The mod-291 eled AOD over east Asia is relatively stable throughout the years and is somewhat higher 292 than that from MODIS in both May and September, mostly from the sulfate components 293 shown in Fig. 2. 294

The zonal mean AOD average of 2003-2019 and its standard deviation during this 295 period are shown in the top panel of Fig. 4, for MODIS, MERRA2 and Experiment Pro-296 qAer in May and September. As shown in Fig. 1, one notable aspect is that the model 297 tends to overestimate AOD between 10°N - 30°N, compared to MODIS. This bias mostly 298 comes from dust and somewhat from sulfate, as shown in Fig. 2. The interannual vari-299 abilities of the zonal mean AOD in Experiment *ProgAer*, shown by the standard devi-300 ation, match that in MODIS and MERRA2. A larger interannual variability of AOD is 301 seen in May at high latitudes in the Northern Hemisphere from Experiment *ProgAer*. 302 To explore its root, zonal mean AOD from each of the five components, sulfate, dust, 303 BC, OC and sea-salt, and their standard deviations are also shown in Fig. 4. It turns 304 out that it is the OC component that has a large standard deviation north of 40°N, which 305 indicates a large interannual variability of OC at mid-high latitudes in May. Among these 306 five components, another source of discrepancy in AOD between the model and MERRA2 307 is in the sea-salt, which occurred at most latitudes where the ocean exists. The relatively 308 small standard deviation in most region makes it feasible to have consistent results when using time-varying aerosols versus climatological aerosols. 310

Fig. 5 compares the total AOD and its components from Experiment *ProgAer* with 311 a single flight circuit from the ATom-4 aircraft observations in the Pacific and Atlantic 312 sections during May 2018. The modeled total AOD matches well with ATom-4 obser-313 vations at all latitudes in the Pacific and Atlantic sections, except for a positive bias at 314 high latitudes in the Pacific and an even larger positive bias at low latitudes in the north-315 ern Atlantic. The component analysis reveals that the modeled AOD from combined sul-316 fate and OC mostly accounts for the large positive bias at high latitudes in the North 317 Pacific and is in better agreement with ATom-4 in the Atlantic section, except for a spike 318 near 45°N. The positive bias in the Atlantic mostly comes from dust between the equa-319 tor and 20°N. The modeled sea-salt AOD has a positive bias at most latitudes in both 320 ocean basins. The modeled BC AOD, despite being smaller in magnitude compared to 321 other components, is close to that from ATom-4 in both ocean basins. All the findings 322 here are consistent with those in Fig. 4, where comparisons are against MODIS and MERRA2. 323

In summary, the modeled AOD distributions in Experiment *ProgAer* with time-324 varying prognostic aerosols are generally consistent with satellite and aircraft observa-325 tions as well as reanalysis estimates, albeit with some noticeable biases. For instance, 326 the model tends to overestimate dust AOD in West Africa and offshore compared to MODIS 327 and ATom-4 data. Additionally, the model's estimate of sea-salt AOD is higher over most 328 ocean basins than what is observed by MODIS and ATom-4. The largest interannual vari-329 330 ability in modeled OC AOD among all components in May over the northern mid to high latitudes is consistent with that in MERRA2 over a 17-year period, despite being higher 331 than ATom-4 observations in 2018 over the North Pacific. In addition, the AOD from 332 Experiment *ClimAer* with a prescribed monthly climatology of aerosol concentrations 333 derived from Experiment *ProgAer*, closely aligns with the 17-year mean seen in the lat-334 ter. 335

Table 3 presents a global mean comparison of the five AOD components between the modeling study in Chin et al. (2002) and Experiment *ProgAer*. The annual mean in 1990 from Chin et al. (2002) and the monthly mean averaged over 17 years from Exper-



Figure 4. The zonal mean and the standard deviation for total AOD_{550} from MODIS, MERRA2 and Experiment *ProgAer* (top) and its components from sulfate, dust, BC, OC and sea-salt from MERRA2 and Experiment *ProgAer* during 2003-2019 in May (left) and September (right).

AOD	Chin et al. (2002) Year 1990	May/Sep 2003-2009
Dust	0.051	0.041/0.026
Sulfate	0.040	0.040/0.036
Sea salt	0.027	0.070/0.071
OC	0.017	0.024/0.031
BC	0.007	0.005/0.006

Table 3. Five AOD components from Chin et al. (2002) and Experiment ProgAer in thisstudy.

iment *ProgAer* show comparable magnitudes for each component, except for the sea-salt
 AOD, where Experiment *ProgAer* is approximately 2.5 times larger than in Chin et al.
 (2002). This difference is greater compared to both the MERRA2 and ATom compar isons.

343

3.2 Radiative Forcing at the Top of the Atmosphere

The radiative forcing at the top of the atmosphere is defined as the difference between the downward and upward radiative flux, expressed as

$$RF^{TOA} = Flux_{Downward}^{TOA} - Flux_{Upward}^{TOA}$$

In this fully coupled UFS-CCPP-Chem model, we don't differentiate between RF 346 and effective RF as in IPCC (2013) since both are typically the same and all surface con-347 ditions are allowed to adjust. Fig. 6 shows the RF at TOA in the CERES EBAF dataset, 348 as well as the model bias against CERES EBAF for the three experiments mentioned 349 earlier, all based on all-sky conditions. In May and September, the positive bias in RF 350 (positive downward) is predominant in all three experiments, with a global mean rang-351 ing from 6 to 7 W/m² in Experiments *ProgAer* and *ClimAer* and up to 10 W/m² in Ex-352 periment NoAer. The bias is particularly large along the eastern boundary of the ocean 353 basin off the coast of California, Chile and Angola. Comparison between the experiments 354 with and without aerosols gives an estimate of the total aerosol effects on RF to be about 355 -2.5 W/m^2 globally, which is one order of magnitude bigger than the global mean dif-356 ference in RF between Experiments *ProgAer* and *ClimAer*. Meanwhile, the bias in RF 357 from the model physics is several times larger than the total aerosol effects on RF. 358

To further investigate the distribution of the aerosol-radiation effects, we use the equations below

$$\Delta \mathrm{RF}_{ProgAer}^{\mathrm{TOA}} = \mathrm{RF}_{ProgAer}^{\mathrm{TOA}} - \mathrm{RF}_{NoAer}^{\mathrm{TOA}}$$
$$\Delta \mathrm{RF}_{ClimAer}^{\mathrm{TOA}} = \mathrm{RF}_{ClimAer}^{\mathrm{TOA}} - \mathrm{RF}_{NoAer}^{\mathrm{TOA}}$$

to represent the aerosol radiative effects in Experiments *ProgAer* and *ClimAer*, which is defined as the difference in RF from Experiment *NoAer*. The left and middle columns of Fig. 7 show $\Delta RF_{ProgAer}^{TOA}$ and $\Delta RF_{ClimAer}^{TOA}$ at TOA averaged for May and September over 17 years. The patterns are dominated by the negative flux mostly at low latitudes. The difference between Experiments *ProgAer* and *ClimAer* shown on the right panel of Fig. 7 is much smaller, suggesting the model-simulated RF with prognostic aerosols is similar to that using aerosol climatology on the subseasonal time scale when evaluated over multiple years.

To examine the correlation between $\Delta RF_{ProgAer}^{TOA}$ and $\Delta RF_{ClimAer}^{TOA}$ with respect to AOD values, we plotted the zonal mean of the left and middle columns in Fig. 7 as



Figure 5. Upper: geographic distribution of the total AOD₅₅₀ from Experiment *ProgAer* overlaying the ATom-4 observations; Lower: modeled AOD (red) shown in the upper panel and its dust, sea-salt, sulfate+OC+smoke and BC components and its comparison to ATom4 (black) in May 2018 in the Pacific (left) and Atlantic (right) section.



RF TOA Monthly Mean 2003-2019

Figure 6. The radiative forcing at TOA (W/m^2 , positive downward) in CERES EBAF and biases in Experiments *ProgAer*, *ClimAer* and *NoAer* from CERES EBAF in May (upper) and September (lower) over 17 years.



RF TOA Monthly Mean 2003-2019

Figure 7. Difference in radiative forcing at TOA (W/m^2 , positive downward) of Experiments *ProgAer* (upper) and *ClimAer* (lower) from Experiment *NoAer*, respectively, in May (upper) and September (lower).



Figure 8. Zonal mean radiative forcing at TOA $(W/m^2, positive downward)$ in Experiments *ProgAer* and *ClimAer* from *NoAer* and their AOD in May (upper) and September (lower). Note that 'negative' AOD is plotted.



Figure 9. Upper: normalized radiative forcing at TOA per unit AOD, both are zonal mean, from Experiments *ProgAer* and *ClimAer* in May (solid) and September (dashed). Lower: five AOD components in May (left bar) and September (right bar).



Cloud Cover (%) Monthly Mean 2003-2019

Figure 10. Cloud coverage (%) in CERES EBAF (left) and biases in Experiments *ProgAer*, *ClimAer* and *NoAer* (2nd to 4th column) from CERES EBAF in May (upper) and September (lower).

solid red and blue lines in Fig. 8, respectively, along with the zonal mean 'negative' AOD in dashed lines. The two pairs of curves are well correlated, with the maximum AOD and minimum ΔRF^{TOA} co-located near 15°N, and some discrepancies between the two at certain latitudes. It is worth noting that changes in RF per unit AOD depend on various physical and chemical properties of the aerosols (Bellouin et al., 2020).

To further investigate the efficiency of RF, we calculated the normalized ΔRF^{TOA} 377 which is the ratio of ΔRF^{TOA} and AOD, both zonal mean, and plotted it in Fig. 9(a) 378 for May and September. The normalized ΔRF^{TOA} between Experiments *ProgAer* and 379 ClimAer are very close in magnitude in both months, varying from 0 to -30 W/m² per 380 unit AOD, depending on latitudes and seasons. The ratio becomes close to zero south 381 of 45° S, where the sea-salt component dominates. It seems that sea-salt has a relatively lower effect on RF than other components. There are two peak values occurring at the 383 equator and 30°S, with different magnitudes in May and September. The different ra-384 tios in season may be related to the time-varying aerosol compositions, as shown in Fig. 9(b). 385 where the magnitude of each AOD component is shown with latitudes for May and September averaged from 2003 to 2019. There are seasonal and latitudinal variabilities in the 387 magnitude of each AOD component between May and September, particularly for OC 388 and dust. These results are consistent with $-23.7 \pm 3.1 \text{ W/m}^2$ per unit AOD reported 389 in Myhre et al. (2013). 390

3.3 Cloud Coverage

391

Fig. 10 shows the total cloud coverage from the CERES EBAF dataset as the 'truth', along with the biases in modeled cloud cover from three experiments: *ProgAer*, *ClimAer* and *NoAer*. All products are monthly averages in May and September from 2003 to 2019. The global mean cloud coverage in May and September in the CERES EBAF is 67.7% and 67.0%, respectively. The modeled cloud coverage from all three model experiments has a similar negative bias, ranging from -6.0% to -5.8% globally in May and from -6.5% to -6.3% in September. The bias pattern in clouds is similar to that in RF at TOA shown in Fig. 6, where the lack of clouds along the east boundary of ocean basins off the continents explains the lack of upward radiation at TOA.

There is little difference in cloud coverage among the three experiments featuring different aerosols, whereas the variance in RF at TOA can reach up to -2.5 W/m². This suggests that the alteration in cloud coverage due to aerosol-radiation interaction, known as the semi-direct effect, remains negligible regardless of whether the aerosols are prognosed, based on climatology, or even absent. This is expected given that this version of the model employs a single-moment microphysics parameterization.

It is worth noting that a model bias of approximately 6% in cloud coverage leads to a bias of about $+12 \text{ W/m}^2$ in RF in May and $+6 \text{ W/m}^2$ in September, which is several times larger than the estimated aerosol effects on RF of -2.5 W/m^2 . Evidently, clouds have a more substantial impact on RF than aerosols, although the significance of aerosols should not be overlooked.

412

3.4 Hemispheric Surface Temperature, H500 and Precipitation

With the analysis of radiative forcing and cloud coverage associated with differ-413 ent aerosols in Experiments NoAer, ClimAer and ProgAer shown above, we investigate 414 the impact of aerosols on meteorological fields across these three experiments. Fig. 11 415 presents the anomaly correlation coefficient (ACC) for the predicted surface tempera-416 ture at 2 m (T2m) and H500 against ERA5 reanalysis, and precipitation against GPCP 417 data. The analysis covers the 20°N-80°N (NHX) and 20°S-80°S (SHX) regions, with lead 418 times ranging from weeks 1 to 4 and a combination of weeks 3 and 4, in May and Septem-419 420 ber from 2003 to 2019. At weeks 1 and 2, the ACC scores for T2m, H500 and precipitation remain consistent across the experiments, irrespective of the variations in aerosol 421 loadings. This pattern holds true for both May and September initializations, suggest-422 ing that the ACC scores at these lead times are minimally influenced by aerosol levels 423 on a hemispheric scale. At longer lead times of weeks 3+4 in the SHX, Experiment Pro-424 qAer shows the highest ACC values for both T2m and H500 in May but the lowest in 425 September. Meanwhile, in the NHX, the ACC scores for T2m and H500 are similar. 426

In these experiments, precipitation is not influenced by aerosol-cloud interactions,
as they are not parameterized. Instead, the impact of aerosols on precipitation primarily comes from the thermodynamic fields, which have a minimal effect. The precipitation skill beyond week 2 is low and no longer significant.

The results presented suggest a number of implications. First, despite the well-understood 431 and accurately simulated radiative forcing from the aerosol-radiation interaction within 432 the model, its significant influence on surface temperature and H500 is not evident in 433 these experiments. Second, the discrepancies in the ACC values from Experiment Pro-434 gAer across different hemispheres could be attributed to the accuracy of prescribed emis-435 sion sources and parameterized emission sinks, especially in the SHX during September. 436 Additionally, the parameterization of aerosol-cloud interaction appears to be crucial in 437 capturing the impact of aerosols on precipitation patterns. 438

439

3.5 Aerosol Regional Impact

As shown in the Figs 7 and 8, the modeled RF differences of Experiments Pro gAer and ClimAer from NoAer ($\Delta RF_{ProgAer}^{TOA}$ and $\Delta RF_{ClimAer}^{TOA}$) shows similarities when assessed as averages over 17 years. However, there are significant interannual variabilities in AOD and its associated RF in regions such as Sahara. For instance, the AOD over northern Africa in Experiment *ProgAer* exceeds that in Experiment *ClimAer* by 0.15 in May 2004, according to Fig. 3(c). As an example, we chose a domain over northern Africa larger than the one used in Fig. 3 to show the horizontal distribution of AOD, net radiative forcing at TOA and surface, as well as T2m in May 2004 in Experiment



Figure 11. Anomaly correlation coefficient for T2m, H500 and precipitation at different lead times from Experiments *ProgAer*, *ClimAer* and *NoAer*, from 2003 to 2019, in May (a) and September (b), for northern hemisphere (left) and southern hemisphere (right).



Figure 12. From left to right: AOD, radiative forcing at TOA and surface (W/m^2) , and T2m (°C) in Experiment *ClimAer* (upper) and the difference of Experiments *ProgAer* and *ClimAer* (lower), all monthly means in May 2004. Numbers in the upper-right corner are the means over the displayed domain. Positive values are downward for fluxes.



ACC at wk1 & wk34 May 2003-2019 over N. Africa

Figure 13. ACC at lead time of week 1 and weeks 3 and 4 combined of T2m (leftmost two columns), H500 (third and fourth columns) and precipitation (rightmost two columns) over northern Africa for Experiments *NoAer* (top), *ClimAer* (middle) and *ProgAer* (bottom). All model experiments are initialized on the first of May, 2003-2019.



ACC at wk1 & wk34 May 2003-2019 over E. Asia

Figure 14. Same as Fig. 13, except for east Asia.

ClimAer when aerosol climatology is used in the upper row of Fig. 12. The impact of 448 prognostic aerosols on these fields are shown as difference of Experiments *ProqAer* and 449 *ClimAer* in the lower row of Fig. 12. The AOD in Experiment *ProqAer* exceeds the one 450 from the aerosol climatology run, mostly over the Sahara and Sahel regions as shown in 451 Fig. 12(e). A negative RF at TOA and surface is shown in the same regions in Fig. 12(f)452 and (g) as expected. Noteworthy correlations exist in the difference patterns between 453 AOD and RF at TOA in Fig. 12(e) and (f), as well as between AOD and RF at the sur-454 face in Fig. 12(e) and (g). This suggests that the aerosol-radiation interaction and its 455 variations with different aerosol loadings are well-captured in this episode over north-456 ern Africa. However, there is no apparent correlation in the difference patterns between 457 AOD and surface temperature in Fig. 12(e) and (h), similar to what is discussed in Sec. 3.4. 458

To further investigate the regional effects of aerosols on meteorological patterns, 459 Fig. 13 shows the ACC scores for T2m (leftmost two columns), H500 (third and fourth 460 columns) and precipitation (rightmost two columns), at lead times of week 1 and weeks 461 3+4 in May from 2003 to 2019 over northern Africa. Despite large variations in aerosol 462 loadings used in these simulations, as indicated in Fig. 3, the ACC scores for T2m, H500 463 and precipitation are remarkably similar at week 1 among the three experiments. In the subsequent weeks 3+4, Experiment *ProgAer* shows marginally higher ACC scores for T2m 465 and H500 in the Sahel region and adjacent to the Africa coast, yet these scores are re-466 duced over northern Africa when compared to the other two experiments. As anticipated, 467 the ACC for precipitation remains notably low during weeks 3+4. 468

East Asia, a region characterized by relatively high aerosol concentrations, as shown 469 in Fig. 3. Fig. 14, is examined for its meteorological response. Fig. 14 shows the ACC 470 scores for T2m, H500 and precipitation for this region in May, presented in a similar man-471 ner to Fig. 13. Consistency in the ACC scores at week-1 across the three experiments 472 indicates a minimal influence of aerosol concentrations on these metrics at this lead time. 473 However, during the weeks 3+4 period, Experiment *ProgAer* shows elevated ACC scores 474 for T2m and H500 in Southeast Asia, while these scores are diminished over Central Asia 475 when compared to the other experiments. 476

Figs. 13 and 14 reveal modest regional variations in skill for T2m and H500 within the prognostic aerosol experiments over a lead time of weeks 3+4. These findings are based on a limited set of experiments conducted from 2003 to 2019.

480 4 Summary and Conclusion

This study investigates the aerosol radiative effects on subseasonal prediction us-481 ing the UFS-CCPP-Chem, the Unified Forecast System integrated with a CCPP-based 482 aerosol module from the GEFS-Aerosols model. We evaluated the top-of-the-atmosphere 483 radiative forcing from tropospheric aerosols, including sulfate, dust, black carbon, or-484 ganic carbon, and sea-salt. Our research involved three sets of UFS-CCPP-Chem sim-485 ulations: *ProgAer*, featuring an interactive aerosol module, *ClimAer*, which applies aerosol 486 climatology derived from Experiment *ProgAer* in place of the interactive aerosol mod-487 ule, and *NoAer*, which excludes aerosol effects. We used monthly mean estimates, includ-488 ing zonal or global average, for model evaluation, despite the recognized spatial and tem-489 poral heterogeneity of aerosol distributions. 490

Our analysis, based on experiments initialized on May 1 and September 1 from 2003 to 2019, reveals that the monthly mean AOD patterns and interannual variability from Experiment *ProgAer* align well with MODIS satellite observations, MERRA2 reanalysis, and ATom-4 aircraft observations, despite some discrepancies between these datasets. Model simulations reveal a positive bias in dust AOD over the Sahara and sea-salt AOD across most oceans, possibly due to biases in the aerosol module. Furthermore, modeled cloud coverage is less than that in the CERES EBAF dataset, likely from inadequate model physics parameterization, contributing to inaccuracies in the radiation.

To correct for model bias, we compared the radiative forcing at the top-of-atmosphere 499 between Experiment *ProgAer* and *NoAer*, using this as an indicator of the total aerosol 500 radiative forcing. Our calculations suggest a global average of approximately -2.5 W/m^2 501 at the TOA. This figure aligns with findings from prior research, such as the -1.0 W/m^2 502 in IPCC (2013) and -1.2 W/m^2 in Bellouin et al. (2020), which focus solely on the an-503 thropogenic component of radiative forcing since the pre-industrial era. In contrast, our 504 model's estimate includes both anthropogenic and natural aerosol sources. Additionally, 505 we determined the normalized radiative forcing to be approximately -16 W/m^2 per unit 506 AOD globally. 507

Contrary to expectations, incorporating aerosol-radiation interaction into the model 508 simulations does not consistently improve the forecast skill for T2m and H500. The ACC 509 for these variables at a 1-week lead time remains comparable across various aerosol sce-510 narios. With increasing lead times, the forecast outcomes become mixed, suggesting that 511 the predictive accuracy for T2m and H500 is affected by the intricate relationship be-512 tween aerosols and meteorology. This variation in forecast skill over extended lead times 513 highlights the complexity of the aerosol-meteorology interaction and emphasizes the im-514 portance of careful consideration of both aerosol-radiation and aerosol-cloud interactions. 515 along with addressing biases in model physics for long-range forecasts and the accuracy 516 in aerosol emission datasets. 517

Moreover, the impact of aerosols on cloud formation and precipitation through ra-518 diative processes is not readily apparent due to the single-moment microphysics param-519 eterization employed in this version of the model. In the UFS-CCPP-Chem experiments, 520 despite a global RF difference of approximately -2.5 W/m^2 at the TOA with and with-521 out aerosol-radiation interaction, cloud coverage and precipitation patterns remain largely 522 unchanged. This indicates that the modeled semi-direct effects of aerosol-radiation in-523 teraction on cloudiness and precipitation are minimal. Current efforts are focused on sim-524 ulating the indirect effects using a double-moment microphysics parameterization in the 525 upcoming version of the UFS. 526

This study represents one of the initial efforts to evaluate the aerosol radiation ef-527 fects on subseasonal forecasts using the UFS. Notably, local regions exhibited significant 528 radiative forcing discrepancies, particularly where the AOD differences between prog-529 nosed and climatological aerosols were exceptionally pronounced during certain events. 530 The UFS-CCPP-Chem, utilizing modeled climatological aerosol concentrations, success-531 fully captures the average radiative forcing seen in simulations with prognosed aerosols 532 over subseasonal timescales, albeit without the interannual aerosol variabilities. Never-533 theless, given the current constraints within this aerosol module, including demonstrated 534 biases and uncertainties in time-varying aerosol emission datasets, the potential bene-535 fits of utilizing a prognostic aerosol module are limited. This prompts consideration for 536 substituting the resource-intensive chemistry module with an aerosol climatology in sub-537 seasonal applications. Additionally, the development of a global, high-quality, and high-538 resolution aerosol climatology, derived from either observations or reanalysis, is essen-539 tial to mitigate uncertainties inherent in aerosol modeling. 540

⁵⁴¹ Open Research Section

The model data from three sets of experiments used in this study, as well as the NCL and MATLAB scripts used to produce the figures, are available on GitHub at https:// github.com/ShanSunNOAA/WGNE_2024. The MODIS dataset and MERRA2 reanalysis are available at Bhattacharjee et al. (2023). The aerosol dataset from ATom including AOD is available at Brock et al. (2021).

547 Acknowledgments

This research is supported by the base funds from the NOAA Global Systems Laboratory. LZ, SW, DH and HL are supported by NOAA cooperative agreements NA17OAR4320101 and NA22OAR4320151. We thank the EMC group and the associated community for their multiyear effort of developing and improving the UFS model. The constructive suggestions from Dr. Dave Turner during the interval review is much appreciated. The authors acknowledge the NOAA Research and Development High Performance Computing Program for providing computing and storage resources.

555 References

556	Ahmadov, R., Grell, G., James, E., Csiszar, I., Tsidulko, M., Pierce, B.,
557	Goldberg, M. (2017). Using viirs fire radiative power data to simulate
558	biomass burning emissions, plume rise and smoke transport in a real-time
559	air quality modeling system. Ieee International Geoscience and Remote
560	Sensing Symposium, IEEE International Geoscience and Remote Sens-
561	ing Symposium (IGARSS). Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1109/
562	IGARSS.2017.8127581
563	Baklanov, A., Schlünzen, K., Suppan, P., Baldasano, J., Brunner, D., Aksoyoglu, S.,
564	Zhang, Y. (2014). Online coupled regional meteorology chemistry models
565	in europe: current status and prospects. Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 317-398.
566	Bellouin, N., Quaas, J., Gryspeerdt, E., Kinne, S., Stier, P., Watson-Parris, D.,
567	Stevens, B. (2020). Bounding global aerosol radiative forcing of cli-
568	mate change. Reviews of Geophysics, 8, e2019RG000660. Retrieved from
569	https://doi.org/10.1029/2019RG000660
570	Benedetti, A., & Vitart, F. (2018). Can the direct effect of aerosols improve subsea-
571	sonal predictability? Mon. Wea. Rev., 146, 3481-3498.
572	Bhattacharjee, P. S., Zhang, L., Baker, B., Pan, L., Montuoro, R., Grell, G. A., &
573	McQueen, J. T. (2023). Evaluation of aerosol optical depth forecasts from
574	noaa's global aerosol forecast model (gefs-aerosols) (Vol. 38) (No. 2). Retrieved

575	from https://doi.org/10.1175/waf-d-22-0083.1
576	Brock, C. A., Froyd, K. D., Dollner, M., Williamson, C. J., Schill, G., Murphy,
577	D. M., Wofsy, S. C. (2021). Ambient aerosol properties in the re-
578	mote atmosphere from global-scale in situ measurements. Atmos. Chem.
579	<i>Phys.</i> , 21, 15023–15063. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.5194/
580	acp-21-15023-2021
581	Buchard, V., Randles, C. A., da Silva, A. M., Darmenov, A., Colarco, P. R., Govin-
582	daraju, R., Yu, H. (2017). The merra-2 aerosol reanalysis, 1980-onward,
583	part ii: Evaluation and case studies. J. Climate, 30, 6851-6872. Retrieved from
584	https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-16-0613.1
585	Carslaw, K. S., Lee, L. A., Reddington, C. L., Pringle, K. J., Rap, A., Forster,
586	P. M., Pierce, J. R. (2013). Large contribution of natural aerosols
587	to uncertainty in indirect forcing. <i>Nature</i> , 503, 67–71. Retrieved from
588	https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12674
589	Chin, M., Ginoux, P., Kinne, S., Torres, O., Holben, B. N., Duncan, B. N.,
590	Nakajima, T. (2002). Tropospheric aerosol optical thickness from the go-
591	cart model and comparisons with satellite and sun photometer measurements.
592	J. Atmos. Sci., 59, 461-483.
593	Chin, M., Rood, R. B., Lin, SJ., Müller, JF., & Thompson, A. M. (2000). Atmo-
594	spheric sulfur cycle in the global model gocart: Model description and global
595	properties. J. Geophys. Res., 105(24), 24,661-24,687.
596	Colarco, P., da Silva, A., Chin, M., & Diehl, T. (2010). Online simulations of global
597	aerosol distributions in the nasa geos-4 model and comparisons to satellite and
598	ground-based aerosol optical depth. J. Geophys. Res., 115, D14207. Retrieved
599	from https://doi:10.1029/2009JD012820
600	Fast, J., Jr., W. G., Easter, R., Zaveri, R., Barnard, J., Chapman, E., Peckham,
601	S. (2006). Evolution of ozone, particulates, and aerosol direct forcing in an ur-
602	ban area using a new fully-coupled meteorology, chemistry, and aerosol model.
603	J. Geophys. Res., 111(5), D21305.
604	Frassoni, A., Benedettio, A., Vitarto, F., & Engelbrecht, F. (2021). The second
605	phase of the wore aerosol project: Evaluating aerosol impacts on numerical
606	weather and subseasonal prediction. Retrieved from https://wgne.net/
607	bluebook/uploads/2019/docs/07_Frassoni_Ariane_The_Second_Phase_of
608	_the_WGNE_Aerosol_Project.pdf
609	Freitas, S., da Silva, A., Benedetti, A., Grell, G., Jorba, O., & Mokhtari, M. (2015).
610	Evaluating aerosol impacts on numerical weather prediction: A wgne initiative.
611	Symposium on Coupled Chemistry-Meteorology/Climate Modeling, Switzer-
612	land.
613	Freitas, S. R., Longo, K. M., Chatfield, R., Latham, D., Silva Dias, M. A. F., An-
614	dreae, M. O., Carvalho Jr., J. A. (2007). Including the sub-grid scale
615	plume rise of vegetation fires in low resolution atmospheric transport mod-
616	els. Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 3385-3398. Retrieved from https://doi.org/
617	10.5194/acp-7-3385-2007
618	Grell, G. A., & Baklanov, A. (2011). Integrated modeling for forecasting weather
619	and air quality: A call for fully coupled approaches. <i>Atmos. Environ.</i> , 45,
620	6845 - 6851.
621	Grell, G. A., Freitas, S. R., Stuefer, M., & Fast, J. D. (2011). Inclusion of biomass
622	burning in wrf-chem: Impact of wildfires on weather forecasts. Atmos. Chem.
623	Phys., 11, 1-16. Retrieved from https://doi:10.5194/acp-11-1-2011
624	Grell, G. A., Peckham, S. E., Schmitz, R., McKeen, S. A., Frost, G., Skamarock,
625	W. C., & Eder, B. (2005). Fully coupled "online" chemistry within the wrf
626	model. Atmos. Environ., 39, 6957–6975.
627	Hansen, J., Lacis, A., Ruedy, R., & Sato, M. (1992). Potential climate impact of
628	mount pinatubo eruption. Geophys. Res. Lett., 19, 215–218. Retrieved from
629	https://doi.org/10.1029/91GL02788

630	Harris, L., Chen, X., Putman, W., Zhou, L., & Chen, J. H. (2021). A scientific de-
631	scription of the gfdl finite-volume cubed-sphere dynamical core. NOAA techni-
632	cal memorandum OAR GFDL. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.25923/
633	6nhs-5897
634	Haustein, K., Pèrez, C., Baldasano, J. M., Jorba, O., Basart, S., Miller, R. L.,
635	Schladitz, A. (2012). Atmospheric dust modeling from meso to global scales
636	with the online nmmb/bsc-dust model – part 2: Experimental campaigns
637	in northern africa. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 12, 2933–2958. Betrieved from
638	https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-2933-2012
630	Havwood I M Allan B P Culverwell I Slingo T Milton S Edwards I &
039	Clorbaux N (2005) Can desort dust explain the outgoing longwave radiation
640	anomaly over the schere during july 2003? I. Coophus Res. 110 D05105
641	Lipsolar D. Domondet I. Fiel C. Zhang M. Sup Y. & Els M. (2022)
642	The community physical products of the physical product of the community of the physical product of the physical physica
643	The common community physics package (ccpp) framework vo. $Geosci.$
644	Model Dev., 10, 2235-2259. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.5194/
645	gmd-16-2235-2023
646	Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Serrisford, P., Hirahara, S., Horányi, A., noz Sabater,
647	J. M., co authors (2020). The era5 global reanalysis. Quarterly Jour-
648	nal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 146, 1999-2049. Retrieved from
649	https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3803
650	Hoesly, R. M., Smith, S. J., Feng, L., Klimont, Z., Janssens-Maenhout, G., Pitkanen,
651	T., Zhang, Q. (2018). Historical $(1750-2014)$ anthropogenic emissions of
652	reactive gases and aerosols from the community emissions data system (ceds).
653	Geosci. Model Dev., 11, 369–408.
654	Huffman, G. J., Adler, R. F., Morrissey, M. M., Bolvin, D. T., Curtis, S., Joyce, R.,
655	Susskind, J. (2001). Global precipitation at one-degree daily resolution
656	from multisatellite observations. J. Hydrometeor., $2(1)$, 36-50.
657	Hunke, E. C., Lipscomb, W. H., Turner, A. K., Jeffery, N., & Elliott, S. (2015).
658	Cice: the los alamos sea ice model documentation and software user's manual
659	version 5.1. Los Alamos Natl. Lab., Los Alamos, N. M.
660	IPCC. (2013). Climate change 2013: The physical science basis. contribution of
661	working group i to the fifth assessment report of the intergovernmental panel
662	on climate change [stocker, t.f., d. qin, gk. plattner, m. tignor, s.k. allen, j.
663	boschung, a. nauels, y. xia, v. bex and p.m. midgley (eds.)]. Cambridge Uni-
664	versity Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA. doi:
665	doi: $10.1017/CBO9781107415324$
666	IPCC. (2021). Summary for policymakers. in: Climate change 2021: The physical
667	science basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Re-
668	port of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Masson-Delmotte, V.,
669	P. Zhai, A. Pirani, S. L. Connors, C. Péan, S. Berger, N. Caud, Y. Chen, L.
670	Goldfarb, M. I. Gomis, M. Huang, K. Leitzell, E. Lonnoy, J.B.R. Matthews, T.
671	K. Maycock, T. Waterfield, O. Yelekçi, R. Yu and B. Zhou (eds.)]. Cambridge
672	University Press. In Press.
673	Kaiser, J., Heil, A., Andreae, M., Benedetti, A., Chubarova, N., Jones, L.,
674	van der Werf, G. (2012). Biomass burning emissions estimated with a global
675	fire assimilation system based on observed fire radiative power. Biogeosciences,
676	9,527–554.
677	Le Treut, H., Forichon, M., Boucher, O., & Li, Z. (1998). Sulfate aerosol indirect
678	effect and co2 greenhouse forcing: Equilibrium response of the lmd gcm and
679	associated cloud feedbacks. J. Climate, 11(7), 1673-1684.
680	Levy, R. C., Mattoo, S., Munchak, L. A., Remer, L. A., Sayer, A. M., Pata-
681	dia, F., & Hsu, N. (2013). The collection 6 modis aerosol products over
682	land and ocean. Atmos. Meas. Tech., 6, 2989–3034. Retrieved from
683	https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-6-2989-2013
684	Liu, Y., Wang, W., Kumar, A., & Collow, T. (2019). Assessment of cpc sea ice

685	initialization system (csis) and cpc weekly experimental sea ice forecasts. 44th
686	NOAA Annual Climate Diagnostics and Prediction Workshop. Retrieved from
687	https://www.nws.noaa.gov/ost/climate/STIP/44CDPW/44cdpw-YLiu.pdf
688	Loeb, N. G., Doelling, D. R., Wang, H., Su, W., Nguyen, C., Corbett, J. G.,
689	Kato, S. (2018). Clouds and the earth's radiant energy system (ceres) energy
690	balanced and filled (ebaf) top-of-atmosphere (toa) edition-4.0 data product.
691	J. Climate, 31(2), 895–918. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1175/
692	JCLI-D-17-0208.1
693	Madden, R. A., & Julian, P. R. (1971). Detection of a 40–50 day oscillation in the
694	zonal wind in the tropical pacific. J. Atmos. Sci., 28, 702–708. Retrieved from
695	https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1971)028<0702:DOADOI>2.0.C0:2
696	Mann, G. W., Carslaw, K. S., Reddington, C. L., Pringle, K. J., Schulz, M.,
697	Asmi, A., Henzing, J. S. (2014). Intercomparison and evaluation of
698	global aerosol microphysical properties among aerocom models of a range
699	of complexity. Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14(9), 4679-4713. Retrieved from
700	https://acp.copernicus.org/articles/14/4679/2014/
701	Ming V Bamaswamy V Ginoux P A & Horowitz L H (2005) Direct ra-
702	diative forcing of anthronogenic organic aerosol <i>J. Geophys. Res - Atmos.</i> 110
702	D20208 Retrieved from doi $\sigma rg/10$ 1029/2004 ID005573
704	Mitchell I M (1971) The effect of atmospheric aerosols on climate with special
704	reference to temperature near the earth's surface <u>I</u> of Applied Meteorology
705	703-714
707	Mitchell K (2005) The community noch land-surface model (Ism) user's quide
707	nublic release version 271 Betrieved from https://ral.ucar.edu/
700	sites/default/files/public/product-tool/unified-noah-lsm/
709	Noah I SM HSERCHIDE 2 7 1 ndf
710	Muleaby I P Walters D N Bollowin N & Milton S F (2014) Impacts of
711	increasing the aerosol complexity in the met office global numerical weather
712	prediction model $4tmos$ Chem Phys $1/(9)$ $4749-4778$ Betrieved from
713	doi:10.5194/acn-14-4749-2014
714	Murakami H (2022) Substantial global influence of anthropogenic acrossle on
715	tropical cyclones over the past 40 years $Sci Adv = 8(10)$ doi: 10.1126/sciady
710	shn0403
717	Muhro C. Samsot B. H. Schulz M. Balkanski V. Bauer S. & Berntson
718	T K e a (2013) Redistive forcing of the direct aerosol effect from aero-
719	com phase is simulations Atmos Chem Phys. 13 1853–1877 Retrieved from
720	https://doi_org/10_5194/acp-13-1853-2013
721	NOAA (2021) Unarada nean alabal forecast systems (afe) to y16: Effective march
722	17 2021 Sorvice Change Notice 21 20 Undated National Weather Sor
723	vice Headquarters Silver Spring MD https://www.weather.gov/media/
724	notification/scn\ 21-20\ gfgw16 0\ aaa\ undate ndf
725	Dan B. Wang V. Logan T. Heigh I. Jiang I.H. Li V. & Zhang P. (2020)
726	Determinant role of acroscole from industrial courses in humicana homeou's
727	astastropha Coorbusial Research Latters // a2020CL 000014 Batrioved
728	from https://doi.org/10_1020/2020014. Retrieved
729	Dáraz C. Nickovic S. Dojanovic C. Baldasano I.M. & Özsov F. (2006) In
730	teractive dust-radiation modeling: A stop to improve weather forecasts
731	Coophus Res 111 D16206 Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1020/
732	2005 ID006717
733	$Poolo \cap Low K M $ is do Silve A (9011) Impact of interactive control of the
734	neare, O., Lau, K. M., & da BIVA, A. (2011). Impact of interactive aerosol on the
735	Encontra 26, 504 510
736	Forecastrily, $20, 504-519$. Deale O Ley K M de Silve A & Materii T (2014) Impact of activit-to-d-ord
737	interpretive across on transical evolution of a construction of assimilated and
738	interactive aerosor on tropical cyclogenesis. Geophys. Res. Lett., 41, 3282–3288.

Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1002/2014GL059918

739

740	Reddington, C. L., Carslaw, K. S., Stier, P., Schutgens, N., Coe, H., Liu, D.,
741	Zhang, Q. (2017). The global aerosol synthesis and science project (gassp):
742	measurements and modeling to reduce uncertainty. BAMS, 1857-1877.
743	Reid, J., Benedetti, A., Colarco, P., & Hansen, J. (2011). International opera-
744	tional aerosol observability workshop. BAMS, 92, ES21-ES24. Retrieved from
745	https://doi.org/10.1175/2010BAMS3183.1
746	Rodwell, M., & Jung, T. (2008). Understanding the local and global impacts
747	of model physics changes: an aerosol example. <i>Q J ROY METEOR SOC</i> ,
748	134(635), 1479–1497.
749	Saha, S., Moorthi, S., Pan, HL., Wu, X., Wang, J., Nadiga, S., Goldberg, M.
750	(2010). Ncep climate forecast system reanalysis. Bulletin of the American
751	Meteorological Society, 91, 1015-1058.
752	Saide, P. E., Spak, S. N., Pierce, R. B., Otkin, J. A., Schaack, T. K., Heidinger,
753	A. K., Carmichael, G. R. (2015). Central american biomass burning smoke
754	can increase tornado severity in the u.s. <i>Geophys. Res. Lett.</i> , 42, 956–965.
755	Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1002/2014GL062826
756	Saver A M Munchak L A Hsu N C Levy B C Bettenhausen C & Jeong
757	M-J (2014) Modis collection 6 aerosol products: Comparison between
758	aqua's e-deep blue, dark target, and "merged" data sets, and usage recom-
759	mendations. J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos. 119, 13,965-13,989. Retrieved from
760	https://doi.org/10.1002/2014JD022453
761	Stefanova, L., Meixner, J., Wang, J., Ray, S., Mehra, A., Barlage, M.,, Zheng, W.
762	(2022). Description and results from ufs coupled prototypes for future alobal.
763	ensemble and seasonal forecasts at neen. Office Note 510, NOAA. Retrieved
764	from https://doi.org/10.25923/knxm-kz26
765	Stier P Feichter J Kinne S Kloster S Vignati E Wilson J Petzold
766	A (2005) The aerosol-climate model echam5-ham Atmos Chem Phys
767	5. 1125-1156. Retrieved from http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/5/1125/
768	2005/
769	Sun, D. L., Lau, K. M., & Kafatos, M. (2008). Contrasting the 2007 and 2005 hur-
770	ricane seasons: Evidence of possible impacts of saharan dry air and dust on
771	tropical cyclone activity in the atlantic basin. <i>Geophys. Res. Lett.</i> , 35, L15405.
772	Retrieved from doi:10.1029/2008GL034529
773	Tolman, H. L., Balasubramaniyan, B., Burroughs, L. D., Chalikov, D. V., Chao,
774	Y. Y. Chen, H. S., & Gerald, V. M. (2002). Development and implemen-
775	tation of wind generated ocean surface wave models at ncep. Weather and
776	Forecastina, 17, 311-333.
777	Tompkins A M Cardinali C Morcrette J-J & Rodwell M (2005) Influence of
778	aerosol climatology on forecasts of the african easterly jet. <i>Geophys. Res. Lett.</i>
779	<i>32.</i> L10801. Retrieved from doi:10.1029/2004GL022189
780	Twomey, S. (1974). Pollution and the planetary albedo Atmospheric Environment
781	8 1251–1256
790	Vogel A Alessa G Scheele B Weber L Dubovik O North P & Fiedler
702	S (2022) Uncertainty in aerosol optical depth from modern aerosol-climate
784	models, reanalyses, and satellite products. Journal of Geophysical Research:
785	Atmospheres, 127, e2021JD035483, doi: doi.org/10.1029/2021JD035483
796	Wielicki B A Barkstrom B B Harrison E F Lee B B Smith G L &
787	Cooper. J. E. (1996). Clouds and the earth's radiant energy system (ceres).
788	An earth observing system experiment Bulletin of the American Meteo-
789	rological Society, 77(5), 853-868. doi: 10.1175/1520-0477(1996)077/0853
790	CATERE)2.0.CO:2
701	Xian P Reid I S Hver E I Sampson C R Rubin I I Ades M Zhang
792	J. (2019). Current state of the global operational aerosol multi-model ensem-
793	ble: An update from the international cooperative for aerosol prediction (icap)
794	Quart. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 145, 176–209. doi: doi.org/10.1002/qj.3497

- Zhang, L., Montuoro, R., McKeen, S. A., Baker, B., Bhattacharjee, P. S., Grell,
- G. A., ... Li, F. (2022). Development and evaluation of the aerosol forecast member in ncep's global ensemble forecast system (gefs-aerosols v1).
- ⁷⁹⁷ cast member in ncep's global ensemble forecast system (gefs-aerosols v1).
 ⁷⁹⁸ Geosci. Model Dev., 15. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.5194/
- ⁷⁹⁹ gmd-15-5337-2022