Water Mass Transformation Budgets in Finite-Volume Generalized Vertical Coordinate Ocean Models

Henri Francois Drake¹, Shanice Bailey², Raphael Dussin³, Stephen M Griffies⁴, John P Krasting⁵, Graeme Alastair MacGilchrist⁶, Geoffrey J Stanley⁷, Jan-Erik Tesdal⁶, and Jan David Zika⁸

¹University of California, Irvine
²Unknown
³UCAR/GFDL
⁴GFDL, NOAA
⁵Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory
⁶Princeton University
⁷University of Victoria
⁸University of New South Wales

April 11, 2024

Abstract

Water mass transformation theory provides conceptual tools that in principle enable innovative analyses of numerical ocean models; in practice, however, these methods can be challenging to implement and interpret, and therefore remain under-utilized. Most prior work evaluates only some of the simpler or more accessible terms in the water mass budget; meanwhile, the few full budget calculations in the literature are either limited to idealized model configurations and geometrically-simple domains or else have required heroic efforts that are neither scalable to large data sets nor portable to other ocean models or research questions. We begin with a pedagogical derivation of key results of classical water mass transformation theory. We then describe best practices for diagnosing each of the water mass budget terms from the output of Finite-Volume Generalized Vertical Coordinate (FV-GVC) ocean models, including the identification of a non-negligible remainder term as the spurious numerical mixing due to advection scheme discretization errors. We illustrate key aspects of the methodology through an example application to diagnostics from a polygonal region of a Baltic Sea regional configuration of the Modular Ocean Model v6 (MOM6). We verify the convergence of our WMT diagnostics by brute-force, comparing time-averaged diagnostics on various vertical grids to timestep-averaged diagnostics on the native model grid. Finally, we briefly describe a stack of xarray-enabled Python packages for evaluating WMT budgets in FV-GVC models, which is intended to be model-agnostic and available for community use and development.

Water Mass Transformation Budgets in Finite-Volume Generalized Vertical Coordinate Ocean Models

Henri. F. Drake¹, Shanice Bailey², Raphael Dussin^{3,5}, Stephen M. Griffies^{4,5}, John Krasting⁵, Graeme MacGilchrist⁶, Geoffrey Stanley⁷, Jan-Erik Tesdal⁴, Jan D. Zika^{8,9}

¹Department of Earth System Science, University of California Irvine, Irvine, CA, USA
 ²Columbia University, Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, NY, USA
 ³University Corporation for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, CO, USA
 ⁴Princeton University Program in Atmospheric and Oceanic Sciences, Princeton, NJ, USA
 ⁵NOAA Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory, Princeton, NJ, USA
 ⁶School of Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of St. Andrews, Scotland
 ⁷School of Earth and Ocean Sciences, University of Victoria, Canada
 ⁸School of Mathematics and Statistics, University of New South Wales, Australia
 ⁹Australian Centre for Excellence in Antarctic Science, University of New South Wales, Australia

Key Points:

1

2

3

4

6

13 14

15

16	٠	Water mass budgets provide insights into the processes transforming material wa-
17		ter mass properties and how they relate to circulation.
18	•	Spurious water mass transformations due to advection scheme errors can be quan-
19		tified by combining mass and tracer budget diagnostics.
20	•	We describe best practices for model analysis and present a novel Python stack
21		for model-agnostic and out-of-memory regional calculations.

Corresponding author: Henri Drake, hfdrake@uci.edu

22 Abstract

Water mass transformation theory provides conceptual tools that in principle enable innovative analyses of numerical ocean models; in practice, however, these methods can be challenging to implement and interpret, and therefore remain under-utilized. Our aim is to demonstrate the feasibility of diagnosing all terms in the water mass budget and to exemplify their usefulness for scientific inquiry and model development by quantitatively relating water mass changes, overturning circulations, boundary fluxes, and interior mixing.

30 We begin with a pedagogical derivation of key results of classical water mass transformation theory. We then describe best practices for diagnosing each of the water mass 31 budget terms from the output of Finite-Volume Generalized Vertical Coordinate (FV-32 GVC) ocean models, including the identification of a non-negligible remainder term as 33 the spurious numerical mixing due to advection scheme discretization errors. We illus-34 trate key aspects of the methodology through an example application to diagnostics from 35 a polygonal region of a Baltic Sea regional configuration of the Modular Ocean Model 36 v6 (MOM6). We verify the convergence of our WMT diagnostics by brute-force, com-37 paring time-averaged diagnostics on various vertical grids to timestep-averaged diagnos-38 tics on the native model grid. Finally, we briefly describe a stack of xarray-enabled Python 39 packages for evaluating WMT budgets in FV-GVC models, which is intended to be model-40 agnostic and available for community use and development. 41

42 Plain Language Summary

A useful tool for characterizing ocean variability and change is water mass anal-43 ysis, in which the ocean is decomposed into parcels with distinct properties (such as their 44 temperature, density, or dissolved oxygen concentrations). Water mass transformation 45 theory provides a concise equation for the evolution of these water masses, which can 46 be used to identify the various processes that act to increase or decrease the total mass 47 (or size) of each parcel. In practice, however, calculating the terms in these WMT bud-48 get equations from ocean model simulation output is technically challenging, limiting the 49 creativity of applications in the literature. We review the fundamentals of water mass 50 transformation theory, explain how to calculate WMT budgets based on the output of 51 a generic ocean model simulation (with examples from a widely used one), and summa-52 rize a new publicly-available software for doing such calculations. 53

54 1 Introduction

The mean state, intrinsic variability, and forced changes of the global ocean can 55 be usefully characterized by dividing waters into several distinct water masses and an-56 alyzing their respective steady balances or transient evolutions (Sverdrup et al., 1942). 57 Each such water mass is defined by the intersection of bounds on scalar tracer concen-58 trations and/or fixed spatial coordinates that define a region of the globe. (For conve-59 nience, we use the term 'water mass' to refer to both the region that it occupies in space, 60 Ω , as well as the total mass of seawater in the region, \mathcal{M}_{Ω} ; it will be clear which is meant 61 from context and notation.) The ocean's global overturning circulation, for example, is 62 canonically described as the circulation of water masses through latitude-density space 63 (Döös & Webb, 1994; Lumpkin & Speer, 2007; Cessi, 2019). Since density variations ex-64 ert a significant control on oceanic flow, it is common to categorizing water masses in 65 distinct density classes. Seawater density itself depends nonlinearly on temperature and 66 salinity (and pressure), which due to their different boundary conditions are partially 67 independent and are thus used to further distinguish water masses. Passive tracers, es-68 pecially dissolved or particulate biogeochemical substances, also serve as effective wa-69 ter mass tags (Broecker, 1982). We focus here on water masses defined by bounds on a 70 single scalar (e.g., temperature, density, or tracer concentration) but acknowledge that 71

it can be beneficial to consider the intersections of bounds on multiple tracers (e.g., to
analyze the thermohaline streamfunction as in Zika et al. (2012); Döös et al. (2012); Groeskamp
et al. (2014)). Throughout the text, we denote surfaces of constant scalar values as *iso*scalar
surfaces and the direction normal to isoscalar surfaces (in the direction of increasing scalar
value) as *dia*scalar.

In water mass analysis, ocean variability and change is understood as the variabil-77 ity and change of its constituent water *masses*. Kinematically, water mass change refers 78 to the movement of the isoscalar surfaces that bound the water mass. For instance, the 79 80 observed contraction of Antarctic Bottom Waters corresponds to the deepening of their bounding isopycnal surface due to decades of abyssal warming and freshening (Purkey 81 & Johnson, 2012). The utility of a particular tracer for water mass analysis depends on 82 how its source/sink and transport processes relate to the problem at hand. Water mass 83 analysis has long been used by oceanographers to infer patterns of large-scale ocean cir-84 culation from tracers with long residence times and known sources/sinks. Early anal-85 yses (e.g., Iselin (1939)) were carried out qualitatively and by hand, while more recent 86 analyses employ numerical models on fixed Eulerian grids. 87

A major conceptual breakthrough was Walin (1982)'s introduction of Water Mass 88 Transformation (WMT) theory, which directly equates the kinematic evolution and cir-89 culation of water masses to the various transformation processes that drive material change. 90 Tziperman (1986), A. J. G. Nurser et al. (1999), Marshall et al. (1999), Iudicone et al. 91 (2008) and others further developed important aspects of the theory. Groeskamp et al. 92 (2019) present a modern treatment of WMT fundamentals and review various applica-93 tions in the literature; our purpose here is not to repeat this exercise but instead to com-94 plement it by detailing how each of the terms in a water mass budget should be diag-95 nosed and interpreted in the context of finite-volume ocean models. Calculations of full 96 water mass transformation budgets are rare in the literature, reflecting the scarcity of 97 necessary diagnostics and the technical difficulty of implementing methods consistent with 98 increasingly complicated numerical model formulations. The difficulty of closing WMT ٩q budgets motivates a common use case, which is to assume a closed budget and simply 100 bundle any neglected terms into a remainder (e.g. Tesdal et al., 2023; Evans et al., 2023). 101 Many go even further, assuming the water mass distribution to be in steady state and 102 thus identifying the remainder of the water mass transformation terms as the diascalar 103 overturning transport (de Lavergne et al., 2016; Ferrari et al., 2016). A major caveat of 104 all these indirect inference approaches is that any errors in the explicitly calculated terms-105 whether observational, computational, or conceptual in nature-are obscured by bundling 106 them into the remainder along with the neglected terms; this total remainder term is of-107 ten found to be of leading order, calling into question interpretations of WMT budget 108 results. A more careful analysis would evaluate every term in the budget and confirm 109 that the remainder is zero-or at least sufficiently small to not affect the interpretation 110 of results (see Lele et al. (2021) for an observation-based example of an approximately 111 closed full WMT budget). 112

A related but more subtle problem with model-based water mass analysis regards 113 spurious water mass transformation due to numerical mixing, which is the effective di-114 ascalar mixing induced by artificial diffusive and dispersive errors in a discretized tracer 115 advection scheme (Molenkamp, 1968). Spurious water mass transformations in density 116 space (i.e. diapycnal) are particularly concerning because diapycnal mixing plays a leading-117 order role in ocean dynamics and energetics (Toggweiler & Samuels, 1998; Ferrari & Wun-118 sch, 2009) and because measured diapycnal mixing rates are small enough that they can 119 be easily overwhelmed by numerical errors (Griffies et al., 2000; Lee et al., 2002). Current-120 generation ocean and climate models still suffer from significant biases which can be traced 121 to excessive diapycnal mixing, much of which is thought to be due to spurious numer-122 ical mixing as opposed to over-tuned mixing parameterizations (Fox-Kemper et al., 2019). 123 Reducing spurious diapycnal mixing thus remains a priority of ocean model development 124

and a driver of major model configuration choices, such as the adoption of isopycnal or
hybrid generalized vertical coordinates (e.g., Adcroft et al., 2019; Griffies et al., 2020).
Despite the importance of numerical mixing for both the development of ocean models
and their application for scientific research, there is no consensus on the best practices
for quantifying it, and no open-source community diagnostics are presently available. Let
us briefly review some of the key approaches for quantifying numerical mixing in the literature and describe each of their limitations, motivating our novel approach here.

In their formative analysis, Griffies et al. (2000) apply Winters et al. (1995)'s avail-132 133 able potential energy-based framework to diagnose spurious diapycnal mixing from erroneous increases in background potential energy in explicitly 'adiabatic' idealized flows. 134 This background potential energy approach is invasive in that it requires temporarily 'spin-135 ning down' a diabatic model in a counterfactual adiabatic configuration; a limitation of 136 this approach is that the spurious mixing inferred from the adiabatic configuration does 137 not necessarily correspond to that of the diabatic configuration of interest. Ilicak et al. 138 (2012) apply this method to a suite of idealized and realistic ocean model configurations, 139 and demonstrate that numerical mixing is highest in an eddying depth-coordinate model. 140 The interpretation of such results requires additional humility, however, because the cal-141 culation of background potential energy by globally sorting the density field is ill-posed 142 for global ocean models with nonlinear equations of state and topography that dynam-143 ically decouples ocean basins (Huang, 2005; Stewart et al., 2014; Saenz et al., 2015).Hill 144 et al. (2012) propose an approach based on the diapycnal spreading of passive tracers; 145 however, this approach is not scalable to global climate models configurations due to the 146 many additional passive tracers that would need to be integrated. Drake et al. (2022) 147 raise a more fundamental problem with the tracer-based approach: a tracer's diapycnal 148 spreading rate is not exactly proportional to tracer-weighted diffusivity. Burchard and 149 Rennau (2008) propose a tracer variance approach motivated by turbulence studies, which 150 offers the means to map where spurious mixing occurs. However, it provides a three-dimensional 151 effective diffusivity and so does not distinguish between diapycnal and isopycnal. Given 152 these caveats and limitations of the above methods, we would like a more robust met-153 ric of spurious diascalar mixing that can be: 1) directly compared to parameterized di-154 ascalar mixing processes, 2) efficiently and unintrusively diagnosed, and 3) unambigu-155 ously interpreted. 156

Lee et al. (2002) pioneered a promising approach for diagnosing spurious diapyc-157 nal mixing as the remainder of a model's WMT budget, which in principle allows spu-158 rious mixing to be directly compared against other terms in the mass budget. Lee et al. 159 (2002)'s analysis suggests that spurious diapycnal mixing is an order of magnitude larger 160 than the parameterized vertical mixing in their model, although they invoke some ques-161 tionable assumptions to close the mass budget without directly diagnosing transforma-162 tions due to parameterized diffusion or surface fluxes. Megann (2018) improve upon this 163 approach by directly comparing effective diapycnal transports with those implied by pa-164 rameterized mixing, attributing the difference between the two to spurious numerical mix-165 ing. Urakawa and Hasumi (2012) and Urakawa and Hasumi (2014) extend the approach 166 by evaluating every term in the WMT budget and identifying the remainder as the spu-167 rious water mass transformation, without resorting to approximations or expressing the 168 results in terms of an 'effective' numerical diffusivity. (They additionally propose a method 169 for decomposing spurious numerical mixing into cabbeling and non-cabbeling compo-170 nents, but this requires implementing intrusive new diagnostics, so we neglect it here for 171 simplicity). Bailey et al. (2023) generalizes the approach from latitudinal coordinates to 172 a rectangular region with vertical walls. An important caveat of the above WMT bud-173 get calculations is that they consider transformations across potential density surfaces 174 (often referenced to either surface pressures $p = p_{\text{atm}} \approx 0 \text{ dbar}$, denoted ρ_0 , or a mid-175 depth pressure of 2000 dbar, denoted ρ_2). Because potential density surfaces deviate sig-176 nificantly from neutrality away from their reference pressure, purely isoneutral processes 177 can misleadingly appear to induce diapycnal transformations, potentially leading to mis-178

interpretation of results (see T. J. McDougall et al. (2014) as well as the discussion in 179 Section 4). Iudicone et al. (2008) address these limitations by diagnosing water mass trans-180 formations across approximately neutral density γ^n surfaces (as defined by Jackett and 181 McDougall (1997)); however, they do not diagnose all of the terms in the WMT bud-182 get and thus do not isolate the contributions from spurious numerical mixing. A prac-183 tical limitation of each of these approaches is that the calculation of lateral transport 184 terms is tailored to the particular analysis, such that it would take a considerable effort 185 to replicate the analysis for 1) a different region, 2) a model with a different grid or bud-186 get diagnostics, or 3) with respect to a different tracer. Holmes et al. (2021) cleverly sidestep 187 the two challenging aspects of WMT analysis described above-nonlinear equation of state 188 effects and the diagnosis of lateral transports across complicated regional boundaries-189 by framing their analysis in terms of dia*thermal* fluxes and by diagnosing budget terms 190 in *individual grid columns*. 191

We present a theoretically precise and numerically accurate approach for diagnos-192 ing closed WMT budgets in Finite-Volume ocean models with Generalized Vertical Co-193 ordinates (FV-GVC models). The following self-contained derivations of the theory are 194 inspired by the water mass transformation framework introduced by Walin (1982), re-195 cently reviewed by Groeskamp et al. (2019), and extended to the numerical modeling con-196 text by Lee et al. (2002), Urakawa and Hasumi (2012), and Bailey et al. (2023). Because 197 the derivations in these prior texts leave out many details and pass over some important 198 theoretical and practical aspects of water mass analysis, we present a more complete and 199 pedagogical derivation of the fundamental equations of water mass analysis. We argue 200 that this level of care is necessary to 1) correctly close regional WMT budgets, 2) con-201 fidently attribute the remainder term to spurious numerical mixing, and 3) robustly in-202 terpret the balance of terms in the budget. 203

Section 2 presents the continuous theory and Section 3 describes how each of these 204 terms is diagnosed in practice, including the identification of the remainder term with 205 spurious numerical mixing (Section 3.5). Section 4 concludes with a future outlook discussion, including discussions of unconventional WMT analyses and important subtleties 207 of WMT calculations in density space. Appendix A describes the relationship between 208 the continuous theory (Section 2) and the layer-integrated mass and tracer budget di-209 agnostics provided by FV-GVC models (used for the calculations in Section 3). Appendix 210 B describes how these terms correspond to diagnostics available in the Modular Ocean 211 Model v6 (MOM6; Adcroft et al. (2019)). In Appendix C we discuss some theoretical 212 aspects of WMT budgets under the Boussinesq approximation, which is employed by many 213 commonly used FV-GVC ocean models and often assumed in WMT analyses. Appendix 214 D describes the core open-source Python package (xwmb) developed to carry out these 215 WMT budget calculations, as well as the stack of packages it depends on, which are all 216 intended to be model-agnostic and available for use by the ocean modeling community. 217 Throughout, we provide illustrations of theoretical concepts and examples of numerical 218 calculations based on diagnostics from a year-long ocean-only simulation in a regional 219 Baltic Sea configuration of MOM6 at a nominal horizontal grid spacing of 0.25°. Ongo-220 ing extensions of the work to global OM4 (Adcroft et al., 2019) and CM4 (Held et al., 221 2019) outputs and approximately neutral density coordinates (following Stanley et al. 222 (2021)) are beyond the scope of this paper and will be described elsewhere. 223

224 2 Theory: kinematics of λ -water masses and their transformations

225

We are interested in the evolution of bulk water masses defined by contours $\{\tilde{\lambda}\}$

2.1 Evolution of λ -water masses

We are interested in the evolution of bulk water masses defined by contours $\{\lambda\}$ of a single given scalar $\lambda(\mathbf{x}, t)$, which we here define as the class of waters with $\lambda(\mathbf{x}, t) \leq$ λ . The key metric that characterizes a water mass is, unsurprisingly, its mass

$$\mathcal{M}(\tilde{\lambda}, t) \equiv \int_{\lambda(\boldsymbol{x}, t) \le \tilde{\lambda}} \rho \, \mathrm{d}V, \tag{1}$$

where $\rho(\boldsymbol{x}, t)$ is the seawater density *in situ* and dV is the volume element. More generally, we can consider the intersection $\Omega(\tilde{\lambda}, t) \equiv \{\boldsymbol{x} : \lambda(\boldsymbol{x}, t) < \tilde{\lambda}\} \cap \mathcal{R}$ of this global water mass definition with an arbitrary time-varying spatial region of the ocean, $\mathcal{R}(t)$. We emphasize that the water mass region Ω need not be contiguous. The water mass region Ω is characterized by the sub-mass

$$\mathcal{M}_{\Omega}(\tilde{\lambda}, t) \equiv \int_{\Omega(\tilde{\lambda}, t)} \rho \, \mathrm{d}V \le \mathcal{M}(\tilde{\lambda}, t).$$
⁽²⁾

For example, if \mathcal{R} is the Southern Ocean south of 30°S, then we identify $\Omega(1 \circ C, t) = \{ \boldsymbol{x} : \Theta(\boldsymbol{x}, t) < 1 \circ C \} \cap \mathcal{R}$ as the mass of cold waters around Antarctica.

The complementary water mass that is instead bounded from below, $\mathcal{M}_{\Omega}^{\dagger}(\hat{\lambda}, t) \equiv \int_{\tilde{\lambda} < \lambda} \rho \, \mathrm{d}V$, is simply derived as $\mathcal{M}_{\Omega}^{\dagger}(\tilde{\lambda}, t) = \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}} - \mathcal{M}_{\Omega}(\tilde{\lambda}, t)$, where $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}} \equiv \mathcal{M}_{\Omega}(\tilde{\lambda} \rightarrow +\infty)$ is the total seawater mass in the region \mathcal{R} . Similarly, intermediate water masses are defined by $\mathcal{M}_{\Omega}(\tilde{\lambda}_{1}; \tilde{\lambda}_{2}) \equiv \int_{\tilde{\lambda}_{1} < \lambda' \leq \tilde{\lambda}_{2}} \rho \, \mathrm{d}V = \mathcal{M}_{\Omega}(\tilde{\lambda}_{2}) - \mathcal{M}_{\Omega}(\tilde{\lambda}_{1}).$

To understand the evolution of $M_{\Omega}(\tilde{\lambda}, t)$ over time, we start by integrating the seawater mass conservation equation,

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = -\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot (\rho \, \boldsymbol{v}),\tag{3}$$

over the spatial extent of the water mass $\Omega(\tilde{\lambda}, t)$. Invoking the divergence theorem, we have

$$\int_{\Omega(\tilde{\lambda},t)} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} \, \mathrm{d}V = -\int_{\Omega(\tilde{\lambda},t)} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot (\rho \, \boldsymbol{v}) \, \mathrm{d}V = -\oint_{\partial\Omega(\tilde{\lambda},t)} \rho \, \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{n}} \, \mathrm{d}S,\tag{4}$$

where we define $\partial\Omega$ as the surface that bounds Ω and \hat{n} is the outward normal unit vector. Since the water mass $\Omega(\tilde{\lambda}, t)$ is time-varying, time derivatives do not commute with the density-weighted volume integral:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\mathcal{M}_{\Omega}(\tilde{\lambda},t) \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{\Omega(\tilde{\lambda},t)} \rho \,\mathrm{d}V \neq \int_{\Omega(\tilde{\lambda},t)} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} \,\mathrm{d}V.$$
(5)

Hence, we cannot simply pull the time derivative on the left-hand side (LHS) of equation (4) out of the volume integral. Instead, we must invoke the three-dimensional generalization of Leibniz' integral rule (also known as Leibniz-Reynolds' transport theorem), which accounts for the time-evolving bounds of integration through an additional term:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{\Omega(\tilde{\lambda},t)} F \, \mathrm{d}V = \int_{\Omega(\tilde{\lambda},t)} \frac{\partial F}{\partial t} \, \mathrm{d}V + \oint_{\partial\Omega(\tilde{\lambda},t)} F \, \boldsymbol{v}^{(\partial\Omega)} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{n}} \, \mathrm{d}S, \tag{6}$$

where $F(\boldsymbol{x},t)$ is an arbitrary scalar function and $\boldsymbol{v}^{(\partial\Omega)}$ is the velocity of the boundary $\partial\Omega$ itself. A nonzero boundary velocity $\boldsymbol{v}^{(\partial\Omega)}$ can occur as a result of movement in either the bounding $\tilde{\lambda}$ -isosurface or the boundaries of the region \mathcal{R} . The boundary velocity is generally decoupled from the fluid velocity; the important exception is for a material region that follows the flow, in which

$$\boldsymbol{v}^{(\partial\Omega)} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{n}} = \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{n}}$$
 (material region). (7)

Applying equation (6) to the case of $F = \rho$ and combining with equation (4), we find that λ -water mass evolution is determined by a balance between flow convergence within the water mass and the movement of the water mass' boundary:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\mathcal{M}_{\Omega}(\tilde{\lambda},t) \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{\Omega(\tilde{\lambda},t)} \rho \,\mathrm{d}V = -\oint_{\partial\Omega(\tilde{\lambda},t)} \rho \left(\boldsymbol{v} - \boldsymbol{v}^{(\partial\Omega)}\right) \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{n}} \,\mathrm{d}S.$$
(8)

This form of equation (8) is useful because the surface integral can be evaluated separately for each of the different categories of the water mass boundary, $\partial\Omega$.

261

2.2 Characterizing dia-surface transport and boundary conditions

For an arbitrary region \mathcal{R} of the ocean (e.g., Figure 1), we consider four distinct categories of water mass boundaries: the sea floor, the sea surface, interior λ -isosurfaces, and any remaining boundaries of \mathcal{R} that do not fall under one of the other categories. We can thus evaluate the total surface integral by considering the disjoint union

$$\partial\Omega(\tilde{\lambda}, t) \equiv \partial\Omega_{\text{seafloor}}(\tilde{\lambda}, t) \sqcup \partial\Omega_{\text{surf}}(\tilde{\lambda}, t) \sqcup \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{R}}(\tilde{\lambda}, t) \sqcup \partial\mathcal{R}.$$
(9)



Figure 1. A schematic (x, z) profile view of the λ -water mass budget (equation (8)) for a water mass $\Omega(\tilde{\lambda}, t)$ defined by the region \mathcal{R} and tracer values that satisfy $\lambda(\boldsymbol{x}, t) \leq \tilde{\lambda}$ for a specific choice of $\tilde{\lambda}$. Note that λ is not required to be monotonic with depth and it is possible for multiple isosurfaces of λ to exist within a single vertical profile. The water mass $\Omega(\tilde{\lambda}, t)$ is bounded by the seafloor (brown), sea surface (blue), interior λ -isosurfaces (red), and a specified regional boundary $\partial \mathcal{R}$ (grey dashed). Water mass changes are due to mass fluxes across each of these boundaries, except the impermeable seafloor.

266

Boundary 1: the seafloor. The seafloor is assumed to be static $(\boldsymbol{v}^{(b)} = 0)$, trivially leading to $\rho \boldsymbol{v}^{(b)} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{n}}^{(b)} = 0$. It is also impermeable to fluid flow, so that $\rho \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{n}}^{(b)} = 0$.

Boundary 2: the sea surface. The sea surface, on the other hand, is time dependent
and permeable, allowing for exchanges of mass due to processes like precipitation, evaporation, sea ice freezing/melting, river inflow, etc. Following section 4 of A. G. Nurser
and Griffies (2019), this transport condition is given by

$$\rho\left(\boldsymbol{v}-\boldsymbol{v}^{(s)}\right)\cdot\hat{\boldsymbol{n}}^{(s)}=-\mathcal{Q}_{\mathrm{M}}^{\mathrm{surf}},\tag{10}$$

where $Q_{\rm M}^{\rm surf}$ is the net mass flux crossing the boundary per unit surface area due to the various exchange processes mentioned above. We thus define the net surface mass flux into the water mass as

$$S_{\Omega}(\tilde{\lambda}, t) \equiv -\int_{\partial\Omega_{surf}(\tilde{\lambda}, t)} \rho\left(\boldsymbol{v} - \boldsymbol{v}^{(s)}\right) \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{n}}^{(s)} dS = \int_{\partial\Omega_{surf}(\tilde{\lambda}, t)} \mathcal{Q}_{M}^{surf} dS.$$
(11)

In practice, it is more convenient to work in terms of the horizontal projection of dS, which we write as dA, and thus define the normalized surface mass flux

$$Q_{\rm M}^{\rm surf} \equiv \mathcal{Q}_{\rm M}^{\rm surf} \, \mathrm{d}S/\mathrm{d}A,\tag{12}$$

²⁷⁸ which we use hereafter.

Boundary 3: interior $\tilde{\lambda}$ -isosurfaces. This surface is the boundary between the re-279 gions in \mathcal{R} where $\lambda < \tilde{\lambda}$ and $\lambda > \tilde{\lambda}$, with normal vector $\hat{n}^{(\tilde{\lambda})} = \nabla \lambda / |\nabla \lambda|$. Note that, 280 so long as λ is continuous, $|\nabla \lambda| > 0$ on the $\tilde{\lambda}$ -isosurface. We defer the further treatment 281 of this case to Section 2.3, where we relate the density-weighted dia- $\tilde{\lambda}$ velocity, $(\boldsymbol{v}-\boldsymbol{v}^{(\lambda)})$. 282 $\hat{n}^{(\lambda)}$, to the kinematic material time derivative that appears in the λ conservation equa-283 tion (16). The density-weighted dia- λ velocity is then integrated along the $\lambda = \lambda$ iso-284 surface, $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{R}}(\lambda, t)$, which we again emphasize need not be contiguous or single-valued in 285 a water column. 286

Boundary 4: specified regional boundaries. Finally, the velocities of (and across) 287 the remaining surfaces of $\partial \Omega(\lambda, t)$ depend on the definition of the specified region of in-288 terest, $\mathcal{R}(t)$. When \mathcal{R} is the global ocean, for example, the other three boundaries al-289 ready constitute all of $\partial\Omega$ and this term vanishes. Another useful limit is when \mathcal{R} is time-290 independent, such that $v^{(\partial \mathcal{R})} = 0$, and we only need consider the contribution from the 291 cross-boundary flow, $v \cdot \hat{n}^{(\partial \mathcal{R})}$. A further simplifying assumption, which has been adopted 292 in most prior regional water mass transformation analyses (including Groeskamp et al. 293 (2019) and Bailey et al. (2023)) and will be employed hereafter, is that the region has 294 vertical boundaries. In this case, $\hat{z} \cdot \hat{n}^{(\partial \mathcal{R})} = 0$ such that $v \cdot \hat{n}^{(\partial \mathcal{R})}$ depends only on the 295 horizontal velocity 296

$$\boldsymbol{u} \equiv (\boldsymbol{v} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{x}}) \, \hat{\boldsymbol{x}} + (\boldsymbol{v} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{y}}) \, \hat{\boldsymbol{y}}. \tag{13}$$

- ²⁹⁷ We define the net convergent horizontal mass transport across the region's boundaries
- ²⁹⁸ $\partial \mathcal{R}$ (see Figure 1) as

$$\Psi_{\partial \mathcal{R}}(\tilde{\lambda}, t) \equiv -\int_{\partial \mathcal{R} \cap \{\lambda \leq \tilde{\lambda}\}} \rho \, \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{n}}^{(\partial \mathcal{R})} \, \mathrm{d}S, \tag{14}$$

- where the surface integral includes the vertical boundaries of the region, where $\lambda \leq \hat{\lambda}$.
- Altogether, the λ -water mass budget equation (8) within the region \mathcal{R} can thus be written as

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\mathcal{M}_{\Omega}(\tilde{\lambda},t) = \mathcal{S}_{\Omega}(\tilde{\lambda},t) - \int_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{R}}(\tilde{\lambda},t)} \rho(\boldsymbol{v} - \boldsymbol{v}^{(\tilde{\lambda})}) \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{n}}^{(\tilde{\lambda})} \,\mathrm{d}S + \Psi_{\partial\mathcal{R}}(\tilde{\lambda},t).$$
(15)

While equation (15) is conceptually straightforward, the surface integral appearing on the right-hand side (RHS) is unwieldy and challenging to diagnose from both observation and models; we derive a more tractable expression for it in the following section.

$_{305}$ 2.3 Dia- λ transport and water mass transformation

The natural starting point for developing a better understanding of the drivers of dia- $\tilde{\lambda}$ transport is the conservation equation for the tracer concentration λ ,

$$\frac{\mathrm{D}\lambda}{\mathrm{D}t} \equiv \frac{\partial\lambda}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}\lambda = \dot{\lambda},\tag{16}$$

where $\frac{D}{Dt}$ is the material time derivative (which is the total time derivative computed 308 following the fluid flow) and $\dot{\lambda}$ represents the sum of all processes that modify or trans-309 form the λ concentration along that trajectory. Example processes leading to transfor-310 mation include boundary exchange fluxes, molecular (or parameterized turbulent) dif-311 fusion, and internal tracer sources or sinks (e.g. chemical reactions, ecological species in-312 teractions, or radioactive decay). Multiplying equation (16) by $\rho/|\nabla\lambda|$ and integrating 313 along the $\lambda = \hat{\lambda}$ isosurface within \mathcal{R} , denoted $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{R}}(\hat{\lambda}, t)$, we arrive at 314

$$\int_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{R}}(\tilde{\lambda},t)} \frac{\rho}{|\nabla\lambda|} \frac{\partial\lambda}{\partial t} \, \mathrm{d}S + \int_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{R}}(\tilde{\lambda},t)} \rho \, \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \frac{\nabla\lambda}{|\nabla\lambda|} \, \mathrm{d}S = \int_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{R}}(\tilde{\lambda},t)} \frac{\rho\lambda}{|\nabla\lambda|} \, \mathrm{d}S.$$
(17)

Dividing by $|\nabla \lambda|$ requires that it not vanish anywhere along the $\tilde{\lambda}$ -isosurface $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{R}}(\tilde{\lambda}, t)$; 315 while this may at first seem like a stringent constraint, however, it will always be the case 316 so long as λ is continuous. Note that it is perfectly fine for one or two components of the 317 gradient to vanish, as in the case of vertical overturns, but the three-dimensional gra-318 dient will not vanish on any point on the surface as this would imply the point ceases 319 to be on the boundary between where $\lambda < \lambda$ and $\lambda > \lambda$, which is the definition of the 320 isosurface. In the second term on the LHS of the isosurface-integrated equation (17), we 321 recognize $\hat{n}^{(\tilde{\lambda})} \equiv \nabla \lambda / |\nabla \lambda|$ as the unit vector normal to the $\tilde{\lambda}$ -isosurface, thus identi-322 fying this term with the flow-velocity component of the dia-surface transport term that 323 appears in the mass budget equation (15), 324

$$\int_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{R}}(\tilde{\lambda},t)} \rho \, \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \frac{\boldsymbol{\nabla}\lambda}{|\boldsymbol{\nabla}\lambda|} \, \mathrm{d}S = \int_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{R}}(\tilde{\lambda},t)} \rho \, \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{n}^{(\tilde{\lambda})} \, \mathrm{d}S.$$
(18)

The boundary-velocity component of the dia- $\tilde{\lambda}$ transport term is instead defined kine-325 matically as the velocity $v^{(\lambda)}$ along which λ is materially conserved, 326

$$\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{v}^{(\lambda)} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \lambda = 0.$$
⁽¹⁹⁾

Again assuming $|\nabla \lambda| \neq 0$, equation (19) can be multiplied by $\rho / \nabla \lambda$ and rearranged 327 as328

$$\rho \, \boldsymbol{v}^{(\lambda)} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{n}}^{(\lambda)} = -\frac{\rho}{|\boldsymbol{\nabla}\lambda|} \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial t}.$$
(20)

Substituting equations (18) and (20) into equation (17), we can re-express the dia- $\hat{\lambda}$ mass 329 transport in terms of isosurface-integrated λ -tendencies, evaluated along the particular 330 $\lambda = \lambda$ isosurface, 331

$$\int_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{R}}(\tilde{\lambda},t)} \rho(\boldsymbol{v}-\boldsymbol{v}^{(\tilde{\lambda})}) \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{n}}^{(\tilde{\lambda})} \, \mathrm{d}S = \int_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{R}}(\tilde{\lambda},t)} \rho \frac{\left(\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}\lambda\right)}{|\boldsymbol{\nabla}\lambda|} \, \mathrm{d}S = \int_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{R}}(\tilde{\lambda},t)} \frac{\rho \dot{\lambda}}{|\boldsymbol{\nabla}\lambda|} \, \mathrm{d}S.$$
(21)

332 333

To make evaluation of the surface integrals in equation (21) more amenable to our anticipated finite-volume discretization, we reformulate the definition of λ -water masses as integrals in λ coordinates. Applying the chain rule, we first re-express the dia- λ thick-334 ness, dh, of an infinitesimal volume element dV in λ coordinates, $d\lambda = |\nabla \lambda| dh$, such 335 that $dV = dh dS = d\lambda dS / |\nabla \lambda|$. We can re-express the density-weighted integral of 336 an arbitrary scalar function $\mathcal{F}(\boldsymbol{x},t)$ over the water mass $\Omega(\lambda,t)$ as 337

$$G_{\Omega}^{(\mathcal{F})}(\tilde{\lambda},t) \equiv \int_{\Omega(\tilde{\lambda},t)} \rho \mathcal{F} \,\mathrm{d}V = \int_{-\infty}^{\tilde{\lambda}} \left[\oint_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{R}}(\tilde{\lambda}',t)} \rho \mathcal{F} \,\mathrm{d}S \right] \,\mathrm{d}h = \int_{-\infty}^{\tilde{\lambda}} \left[\oint_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{R}}(\tilde{\lambda}',t)} \frac{\rho \mathcal{F}}{|\nabla \lambda|} \,\mathrm{d}S \right] \,\mathrm{d}\tilde{\lambda}', \tag{22}$$

where we emphasize that $\partial \Omega(\tilde{\lambda}, t)$ is the full boundary of the water mass while $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{R}}(\tilde{\lambda}, t)$ 338 is just the λ -isosurface and excludes the lateral boundary $\partial \mathcal{R}$ and the seafloor. Taking 339

the partial derivative with respect to $\tilde{\lambda}$ and applying the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus, we have the generalized *dia*- $\tilde{\lambda}$ *transformation relation* for the arbitrary scalar \mathcal{F} ,

$$\mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(\mathcal{F})}(\tilde{\lambda},t) \equiv \partial_{\tilde{\lambda}} G_{\Omega}^{(\mathcal{F})} = \partial_{\tilde{\lambda}} \left[\int_{\Omega(\tilde{\lambda},t)} \rho \mathcal{F} \, \mathrm{d}V \right] = \oint_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{R}}(\tilde{\lambda},t)} \frac{\rho \mathcal{F}}{|\nabla \lambda|} \, \mathrm{d}S.$$
(23)

In doing so, we have ignored the contribution from the lower bound $(\tilde{\lambda}' \to -\infty)$ because $\int_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{R}}(\tilde{\lambda}',t)} \frac{\rho \mathcal{F}}{|\nabla \lambda|} dS \to 0$ as $\tilde{\lambda}'$ decreases below the range of realizable values and thus the area $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{R}}(\tilde{\lambda}',t)$ of the isosurface vanishes; in practice, it suffices to set a lower limit of $\tilde{\lambda}_0 < \min\{\lambda(\boldsymbol{x},t)\}$.

Taking the arbitrary scalar \mathcal{F} to be λ -tendencies, the dia- λ transformation relation (23) allows us to express the surface integrals in equation (21) as volume integrals. First, we integrate the density-weighted λ conservation equation (17) over $\Omega(\tilde{\lambda}, t)$ and take a derivative with respect to $\tilde{\lambda}$:

$$\underbrace{\frac{\partial}{\partial\tilde{\lambda}} \left[\int_{\Omega(\tilde{\lambda},t)} \rho \frac{\partial\lambda'}{\partial t} \, \mathrm{d}V \right]}_{\mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(\partial t)}(\tilde{\lambda},t)} + \underbrace{\frac{\partial}{\partial\tilde{\lambda}} \left[\int_{\Omega(\tilde{\lambda},t)} \rho \left(\boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}\lambda' \right) \, \mathrm{d}V \right]}_{\mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(A)}(\tilde{\lambda},t)} = \underbrace{\frac{\partial}{\partial\tilde{\lambda}} \left[\int_{\Omega(\tilde{\lambda},t)} \rho \, \dot{\lambda'} \, \mathrm{d}V \right]}_{\mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(T)}(\tilde{\lambda},t)}.$$
(24)

We refer to equation (24) as the λ -Water Mass Transformation (λ -WMT) equation because the dia- $\tilde{\lambda}$ transformation relation (23) allows us to then combine equations (21) and (24), yielding an expression for the dia- $\tilde{\lambda}$ transport in terms of differential λ -transformation tendencies integrated over the water mass (see definition on RHS of equation 24),

$$\int_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{R}}(\tilde{\lambda},t)} \rho(\boldsymbol{v} - \boldsymbol{v}^{(\tilde{\lambda})}) \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{n}}^{(\tilde{\lambda})} \, \mathrm{d}S = \mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(\mathrm{T})}(\tilde{\lambda},t),$$
(25)

which we simply refer to as the total *water mass transformation*. The terms in equations (24) and (25) have units of mass transport, kg/s; motivated by the Boussinesq approximation, they can be converted into equivalent volume transports (units of m³/s or Sv = $10^6 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$) by dividing by a reference density such as $\rho_0 \approx 1035 \text{ kg/m}^3$.

Now that we have defined our final expressions for each term, we drop the variables' arguments for conciseness. It is often useful to decompose the transformation term by process type (Figure 2), e.g.,

$$\mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(\mathrm{T})} \equiv \mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(\mathrm{Surface})} + \mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(\mathrm{Ice-Ocean})} + \mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(\mathrm{Seafloor})} + \mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(\mathrm{Mix})},$$
(26)

or even more granularly by physical process (e.g., brine rejection, radiative cooling, diapycnal mixing by internal wave breaking, isopycnal mixing by mesoscale eddies). To simplify discussions of the λ -water mass budgets diagnosed from our Baltic Sea simulation, we hereafter bundle all fluxes across external ocean boundaries into:

$$\mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(\mathrm{BF})} \equiv \mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(\mathrm{Surface})} + \mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(\mathrm{Ice-Ocean})} + \mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(\mathrm{Seafloor})}.$$
 (27)

2.4 The λ -Water Mass Transformation Budget

365

The power of the λ -water mass budget approach arises when we replace the total surface integral in the λ -water mass budget equation (8) with our expressions for the nonzero mass transports across the sea surface (11), interior $\tilde{\lambda}$ -isosurfaces (25), and lateral boundaries (14), yielding the concise λ -WMT budget:

$$\partial_t \mathcal{M}_{\Omega} = \mathcal{S}_{\Omega} - \mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(\mathrm{T})} + \Psi_{\partial \mathcal{R}}.$$
 (28)

Rearranging equation (28) as

$$\partial_t \mathcal{M}_\Omega - \mathcal{S}_\Omega - \Psi_{\partial \mathcal{R}} = -\mathcal{G}_\Omega^{(\mathrm{T})} \tag{29}$$

clarifies the distinction between the kinematic mass budget terms on the LHS and the water mass transformation processes on the RHS. In the context of numerical ocean models, equation (29) is not necessarily closed because additional spurious water mass transformations $\mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(S)}$ can arise due to discretization errors; we defter a detailed investigation of spurious nuermical mixing until Section 3.5. The λ -WMT budget is illustrated schematically in Figure 2.



Figure 2. A schematic (x, z) profile view of the λ -WMT budget (equation (41)) for a water mass $\Omega(\tilde{\lambda}, t)$ defined by the region \mathcal{R} and tracer values that satisfy $\lambda(x, t) \leq \tilde{\lambda}$ for a specific choice of $\tilde{\lambda}$. Note that λ is not required to be monotonic with depth and it is possible for multiple isosurfaces of λ to exist within a single vertical profile. The water mass $\Omega(\tilde{\lambda}, t)$ is bounded by the seafloor (brown), sea surface (blue), interior λ -isosurfaces (red), and a specified regional boundary $\partial \mathcal{R}$ (grey dashed). The various terms in the budget are defined throughout Section 2. The dia-scalar transformation term $\mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(T)}$ is decomposed into the contributions from fluxes across the sea surface, seafloor, and λ -isosurface. An additional term $\mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(S)}$ is introduced to account for water mass transformations associated with spurious numerical mixing (see Section 3.5).

377 378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

2.5 Example: Water Mass Transformations in the Baltic Sea

Example evaluations of the λ -WMT budget equation (29) are shown in Figure 3 for $\lambda = \Theta$ (Conservative Temperature), S (Absolute Salinity), and ρ_0 (potential density referenced to atmospheric pressure) in a region \mathcal{R} of the inner Baltic Sea (whose boundary $\partial \mathcal{R}$ is visualized later in Figures 5 and 9). The region contains two distinct water masses (Figure 3a): (1) light fresh water of seasonally-varying temperature in the shallow inner Baltic Sea and (2) salty, cold, and dense open ocean water at the entrance to the Baltic Sea. Let us consider the dominant features of this region's annual-mean WMT budgets.

In temperature space (Figure 3b), a leading-order balance emerges between surface heat fluxes (which warm warm water and cool cold water) and interior mixing (which mixes cold and warm waters together, transforming both towards intermediate temperatures). Interestingly, near 2.5 °C, the warming of cold waters due to mixing is not balanced by surface cooling, resulting in a small annual mean drift towards warmer water

classes. In salinity space (Figure 3c), we see two distinct lobes of water mass transfor-391 mation, consistent with the bimodal water mass distribution seen in Figure 3a. For the 392 deep water lobe with S > 20 ppt, interior mixing again produces a straightforward dipole 393 structure as relatively fresh (S $< 29 \,\mathrm{ppt}$) and salty (> 29 ppt) waters are mixed together; 394 however, only the fresh part of these mixing-driven transformations are balanced by sur-395 face flux salinification, with the freshening of the salty part instead being primarily bal-396 anced by a net export of relatively fresh water into the open ocean. The WMT budget 397 in potential density space (Figure 3d) closely follows that of salinity, except for the light-398 est waters ($\rho_0 < 1007 \, \text{kg/m}^3$) where the temperature-related transformations are con-399 centrated. 400



Figure 3. Examples of water masses and their budgets for the region \mathcal{R} of the Baltic Sea shown in Figure 9. a) The distribution of water masses in \mathcal{R} as a function of Conservative Temperature, Absolute Salinity, and potential density $\rho_0 - 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$ (referenced to atmospheric pressure, shown as black contours) averaged over a full year, mainly provided as context for the budgets that follow. b-d) Annual-mean (angle brackets) WMT budgets (eq. 29) in Conservative Temperature, Absolute Salinity, and potential density coordinates, diagnosed as described in Section 3 but using timestep-averaged diagnostics in the model's native prognostic vertical coordinate to minimize discretization errors. Total water mass transformations $\mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(T)}$ are broken down into two components: boundary fluxes $\mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(BF)}$ and mixing, which includes both directly diagnosed parameterized mixing $\mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(Mix)}$ and spurious numerical mixing $\mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(S)}$, which we identify as the remainder of the other budget terms (see Section 3.5). With in-situ densities of $\rho \approx 1000 \text{ kg/s}$, a water mass transformation rate of 10^9kg/s is approximately equivalent to $1 \text{ Sv} \equiv 10^6 \text{m}^3/\text{s}$.

401 **2.6** On steady-state λ -Water Mass Transformation Budgets

Assuming a steady-state (i.e. $v^{(\tilde{\lambda})} = 0$) and neglecting the typically small surface mass flux term (i.e. $S_{\Omega} = 0$), the WMT budget equations (15 and 29) reduce to:

$$\Psi_{\partial \mathcal{R}} = \int_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{R}}(\tilde{\lambda})} \rho \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{n}}^{(\tilde{\lambda})} \mathrm{d}S = \mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(\mathrm{T})}.$$
(30)

We remind the reader that the area $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{R}}(\tilde{\lambda})$ of the $\tilde{\lambda}$ -isosurface within \mathcal{R} is the subset of 404 the total water mass boundary $\partial \Omega$ that excludes the lateral boundary $\partial \mathcal{R}$, the sea sur-405 face, and the seafloor. Equation (30) states that the convergent horizontal circulation 406 into a region is exactly balanced by the circulation across its bounding λ -isosurface, which 407 is driven by the sum of the water mass transformation processes. A common applica-408 tion of water mass transformation theory is to use an estimate of the RHS of the steadystate equation (30) in density coordinates to infer the diapycnal overturning circulation 410 it induces on the LHS (e.g. de Lavergne et al., 2016; Ferrari et al., 2016; Drake et al., 411 2020). Marsh et al. (2000) warn, however, about the dangers of incorrectly applying the 412 steady state equation (30) to an unsteady meridional overturning circulation. Testing 413 the steady-state assumption in the context of our annual-mean S-WMT budgets in the 414 Baltic Sea (Figure 4), we find that the assumption is fairly reasonable for salty waters 415 (in the deep open ocean), but not the fresh waters (in the shallow inner Baltic Sea) which 416 are drifting at leading order (Figure 3c). 417



Figure 4. A test of the steady-state S-WMT budget assumption (eq. 30), reproducing Figure 3c except that we have now bundled all of the transformation terms together, including both directly diagnosed transformations $\mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(\mathrm{T})} = \mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(\mathrm{BF})} + \mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(\mathrm{Mix})}$ and spurious transformations $\mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(\mathrm{S})}$ (see Section 3.5) and have changed the sign of the transport term for more direct comparison.

$_{418}$ 3 Diagnosing Discretized λ -Water Mass Transformation Budgets

In this section, we adapt the continuous theory outlined above to express the WMT budget in a form befitting the diagnostic output provided by numerical ocean models. We would like to diagnose the terms in the time-mean WMT budget (29), given by

$$\langle \partial_t \mathcal{M}_{\Omega} \rangle - \langle \mathcal{S}_{\Omega} \rangle - \langle \Psi_{\partial \mathcal{R}} \rangle + \langle \mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(\mathrm{T})} \rangle = 0$$
(31)

422 where

439

$$\langle \phi \rangle \equiv \frac{1}{\Delta t} \int_{t_n}^{t_n + \Delta t} \phi \,\mathrm{d}t \tag{32}$$

is the diagnostic time-averaging operator over a given interval of length Δt and centered 423 around $t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}$. For clarity, we assume a priori that all diagnostics have been conserva-424 tively regridded and remapped into λ bins $[\lambda_{m-\frac{1}{2}}, \lambda_{m+\frac{1}{2}}]$ (denoting layer m), where over-425 bars $\bar{\phi}^m$ denote layer averages. We emphasize that whatever vertical regridding/remapping 426 scheme is employed, it should be conservative so that total water mass and tracer con-427 tent is conserved. Any any case, results should be robust to changes in the diagnostic 428 and target grids (see Section 3.6). We will use i and j as horizontal grid indices (with 429 a straightforward extension to adjacency matrices for unstructured mesh implementa-430 tions, e.g. Ringler et al. (2013)) and q as the λ layer index. We discuss aliasing errors 431 due to 'offline' remapping with time-mean diagnostics in Section 3.6. 432

433 3.1 Kinematic mass tendency

The Fundamental Theorem of Calculus allows us to write the time-mean mass tendency term as the difference between snapshots bounding the averaging interval,

$$\langle \partial_t \mathcal{M}_{\Omega} \rangle = (\Delta t)^{-1} [\mathcal{M}_{\Omega}]_{t_n}^{t_n + \Delta t}.$$
(33)

г

For a given interface $\tilde{\lambda} = \lambda_{m+\frac{1}{2}}$, each of these mass snapshots can be estimated by cumulatively summing layer masses, $h_q \overline{\rho}^q \, \mathrm{d}A$, for all layers with $\lambda_q \leq \lambda_{m+\frac{1}{2}}$ within a tracer cell mask R that approximates the continuous region \mathcal{R} ,

$$\langle \partial_t \mathcal{M}_{\Omega} \rangle (\lambda_{m+\frac{1}{2}}, t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) \simeq \frac{1}{\Delta t} \left[\sum_{i,j \in \mathbb{R}} \sum_{q < m+\frac{1}{2}} h_q \,\overline{\rho}^q \, \mathrm{d}A \right]_{t_n}^{t_n + \Delta t}.$$
 (34)

3.2 Convergent horizontal mass transport

The transport term, $\langle \Psi_{\Omega} \rangle$, requires accumulating all mass transports normal to the 440 region's boundary, $\partial \mathcal{R}$, with a consistently convergent orientation, for $\lambda \leq \hat{\lambda}$. Since the 441 surface integral form of this term originates from the divergence theorem, internal con-442 sistency of the discretized mass budget requires that the discrete boundary, $\partial \mathbf{R} \approx \partial \mathcal{R}$. 443 exactly follow the faces of the finite volume elements that bound the tracer cell mask, ллл R, as illustrated in Figure 5. The total convergent transport across ∂R is computed by 445 summing the convergent mass transports over all layers with $\lambda_q \leq \lambda_{m+\frac{1}{2}}$ and over all 446 of the cell faces along the boundary $\partial \mathbf{R}$: 447

$$\langle \Psi_{\partial \mathcal{R}} \rangle (\lambda_{m+\frac{1}{2}}, t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) \equiv \left\langle \int_{\partial \mathcal{R} \cap \{\lambda \le \lambda_{m+\frac{1}{2}}\}} -\rho \, \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{n}}^{(\partial \mathcal{R})} \, \mathrm{d}A \right\rangle \simeq \sum_{i^{(\boldsymbol{u})}, j^{(\boldsymbol{u})} \in \partial \mathcal{R}} \left[\sum_{\lambda_q \le \lambda_{m+\frac{1}{2}}} (-\overline{\rho \, \boldsymbol{u}}^q \, h_q \, \mathrm{d}\ell) \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{n}}^{(\partial \mathcal{R})} \right],$$

$$(35)$$

where $i^{(u)}, j^{(u)}$ are the grid face indices that correspond to the normal mass fluxes $[(\rho u) \cdot \hat{n}^{(\partial \mathcal{R})}] \hat{n}^{(\partial \mathcal{R})}$ and $d\ell$ are the widths of grid cell faces. Since local recirculations can be orders of magnitude larger than the net convergence into a region (Figure 5, inset arrows), inexact interpolation methods (e.g. for a boundary ∂R that does not exactly follow the grid cell faces bounding the mask R) or seemingly minor indexing errors can balloon into leadingorder errors in the overall WMT budget and therefore corrupt the identification of the residual as spurious numerical mixing.

⁴⁵⁵ Our method for directly computing boundary-normal convergent transports, which ⁴⁵⁶ consists of finding pairs of grid face indices $(i^{(u)}, j^{(u)})$ and assigning the appropriate sign ⁴⁵⁷ for the inward orientation $-(\overline{\rho u}^q h_q d\ell) \cdot \hat{n}^{(\partial R)}$, is illustrated in Figure 5 and briefly de-⁴⁵⁸ scribed in Appendix D. We have verified for various definitions of discrete boundaries ⁴⁵⁹ $R \approx \mathcal{R}$ that the surface integral of the convergent mass flux along ∂R is within machine ⁴⁶⁰ precision of the volume-integrated convergent mass flux diagnostic within R, thereby sat-⁴⁶¹ isfying the divergence theorem on the model grid.

⁴⁶² An alternative method of diagnosing the convergent horizontal mass transport into ⁴⁶³ the discrete region R is to first compute the convergence into each individual grid col-⁴⁶⁴ umn C (where $R = \bigcup\{C\}$), and then sum the convergent transport over all of the columns ⁴⁶⁵ in R to get the net convergent transport,

$$\langle \Psi_{\partial \mathcal{R}} \rangle (\lambda_{m+\frac{1}{2}}, t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) \simeq \sum_{\mathbf{C} \subseteq \mathbf{R}} \left\{ \sum_{i^{(\boldsymbol{u})}, j^{(\boldsymbol{u})} \in \partial \mathbf{C}} \left[\sum_{\lambda_q \leq \lambda_{m+\frac{1}{2}}} (\overline{\rho \, \boldsymbol{u}}^q \, h_q \, \mathrm{d}\ell) \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{n}}^{(\partial \mathbf{C})} \right] \right\}.$$
(36)

We use this approach to diagnose the maps of column-wise horizontal mass transport 466 convergence shown in Figure 9c, discussed in detail later in the text]. Benefits of com-467 puting the convergence of horizontal mass transports for each grid column individually 468 are: 1) it is readily diagnosed from the finite difference of horizontal mass transports across 469 the lateral faces of a grid column, significantly simplifying its implementation; 2) it is 470 positioned at the center of tracer grid cells, allowing it to be conveniently integrated with 471 all of the other diagnostics required to close the λ -WMT budget; 3) it can be precom-472 puted once globally and then efficiently reused for various choices of R; and 4) it enables 473 column-wise estimation of spurious numerical mixing (see Section 3.5 and Holmes et al. 474 (2021)), rather than a single bulk estimate for the entire region R. A major disadvan-475 tage of this column-wise approach, however, is that it provides no information about the 476 spatial structure of normal mass transports along the boundary ∂R (as shown in Fig-477 ure 5), which may be necessary information for some applications. Our software pack-478 ages described in Appendix D allow users to specify either of these two methods to cal-479 culate the transport convergence term. 480



Figure 5. Annual-mean convergent transport (expression 36 diagnosed as 35) into the discrete region R, integrated for waters colder than $\Theta = 13$ °C, as a counterclockwise cumulative line integral beginning from the red star. The black arrows show the orientation of the inward-facing normal vectors $-\hat{n}^{(\partial R)}$ for each grid face along the discrete boundary ∂R . The inset zooms in on the entrance to the Baltic Sea, where the net mass transport is the relatively small residual of fluxes in (red arrows) and out (blue arrows) of the region; for clarity, we only show the handful of arrows that correspond to transports larger than 10% of the maximum cross-boundary transport.

3.3 Boundary mass source 481

The boundary mass source term, 482

$$\langle \mathcal{S}_{\Omega} \rangle (\lambda_{m+\frac{1}{2}}, t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) = \left\langle \int_{\partial \Omega_{\text{surf}}} Q_M^{\text{surf}} \, \mathrm{d}A \right\rangle, \tag{37}$$

is estimated by simply summing $Q_M^{\text{surf}} dA$ for all $\lambda_q^{\text{surf}} \leq \lambda_{m+\frac{1}{2}}$. This term is globally small but can be important in smaller regions with large and concentrated freshwater 483 484 fluxes (e.g. major river inflows or rapidly melting sea ice, icebergs, or ice shelves). 485

486

3.4 Transformation rates

Water mass transformation terms $\mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(\star)}$, i.e. those in the form of the λ -Water Mass Transformation equation (24), are approximated by finite difference: 487 488

$$\mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(\mathrm{T})} \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial\tilde{\lambda}} \int_{\Omega(\tilde{\lambda},t)} \rho \,\dot{\lambda} \,\mathrm{d}V \simeq \frac{\int_{\Omega(\lambda_{m+\frac{1}{2}})} \rho \,\dot{\lambda} \,\mathrm{d}V - \int_{\Omega(\lambda_{m-\frac{1}{2}})} \rho \,\dot{\lambda} \,\mathrm{d}V}{\lambda_{m+\frac{1}{2}} - \lambda_{m-\frac{1}{2}}} \tag{38}$$

where $\rho \lambda dV$ represents tracer mass tendencies arising from material transformation pro-489 cesses. In the Riemann sum evaluation of the integral, these tendencies take the form 490 of the density-weighted and layer-integrated tracer tendency terms shown in equations 491 (A34)–(A35) (derived in Appendix A), as scaled by the vertically-uniform area element 492 $\mathrm{d}A.$ 493



Figure 6. Sensitivity of the Θ -WMT budget to the choice of λ bins for (a) the mass tendency term and (b) the boundary flux transformation rate term (eq. 27). Both terms are integrated over the discrete region mask R shown in Figure 5. Blue curves show the default bins (every $0.1 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$, from $-4 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$ to $40 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$) and orange curves show the same terms recalculated with alternative bins that are larger than, and offset from, the default. Differences between the two calculations are much smaller than the resolved features of interest, giving us confidence that discretization errors in our offline diagnostic methods are negligible.

Water mass transformation rates can be inherently noisy, making it difficult to dis-494 tinguish actual process-based variability from methodological errors. A robust method 495 for assessing whether variability is due to diagnostic discretization errors is to assess the 496

results' sensitivity to the choice of the target $\hat{\lambda}$ bins. For three-dimensional general cir-497 culation models and $\mathcal{O}(100)$ target bins, it is common for each target bin to represent 498 thousands of grid cells and thus for the results to be relatively robust. Regions of excep-499 tionally strong or weak gradients, $|\nabla \lambda|$, however, may require more careful considera-500 tions of the target λ grid. Our experience is that sensitivity to binning is sufficiently small 501 to not affect interpretation of results (see Figure 6), except perhaps for small regions con-502 sisting of just a few grid columns. Noise at the grid column level was a major motiva-503 tion for Holmes et al. (2021)'s diathermal transport approach, which essentially amounts 504 to smoothing out the noise in the Θ -WMT budget equation by integrating in Θ . 505

3.5 Spurious numerical mixing

506

Theoretically, the time-averaged λ -WMT budget (31) should exactly hold (with 507 the minor caveat that, strictly speaking, this also requires $|\nabla \lambda| \neq 0$ along the λ -isosurface). 508 In practice, however, discretization errors in the advection schemes used in ocean mod-509 els can induce water mass transformations in excess of those resulting from the imposed 510 or parameterized material transformation processes, $\rho \lambda$. To build intuition about spu-511 rious mixing, consider the limiting case in which \mathcal{R} is global, such that $\langle \Psi_{\partial \mathcal{R}} \rangle = 0$, and 512 there are no prescribed mass fluxes or material tracer tendencies, i.e. $S_{\Omega} = 0$ and $\dot{\lambda} =$ 513 0 (such that $\mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(\mathrm{T})} = 0$), respectively. In theory, equation (29) should then reduce to $\partial_t \mathcal{M}_{\Omega} =$ 514 0, meaning the λ -water mass distribution should remain exactly as is. [Note that the steadi-515 ness of the λ -water mass distribution does not impose any restrictions on the steadiness 516 of the flow or tracer fields, which can in principle still be quite turbulent and variable-517 as in the adiabatic eddying simulations of Marques et al. (2022), with $\lambda = \rho_2$. Most 518 advection schemes employed in ocean models result in errors that are dispersive and/or 519 diffusive in nature, which in λ -space manifest as spurious water mass transformations, 520 i.e. $\partial_t \mathcal{M}_{\Omega}(\lambda, t) \neq 0$. This point applies even to semi-Lagrangian FV-GVC models in 521 which the vertical coordinate σ is λ itself (e.g. density transformations in a density co-522 ordinate model), since such approaches must rely on imperfect vertical regridding/remapping 523 schemes to accomodate interior dia- σ transports. Purely isopychal coordinate models 524 (e.g. stacked shallow water models) are spared from spurious diapycnal mixing by con-525 struction, but are subject to other problems that limit their viability for global climate 526 modeling (Fox-Kemper et al., 2019). 527

In the general case, spurious numerical water mass transformations $\mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(S)}(\tilde{\lambda}, t)$ can be identified as the remainder of the λ -WMT budget equation (29),

$$\mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(\mathrm{S})} \equiv \left[-\left(\partial_t \mathcal{M}_{\Omega} - \mathcal{S}_{\Omega} - \Psi_{\partial \mathcal{R}}\right) \right] - \mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(\mathrm{T})} \neq 0, \tag{39}$$

where the sign convention is chosen to match those of the other material water mass transformation terms, for example allowing transformations due to parameterized and spurious mixing to be directly compared. We emphasize that the remainder $\mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(S)}$ can be nonzero even if both the mass and tracer conservation equations are themselves closed in every grid cell, because spurious dia- $\tilde{\lambda}$ transformations may be embedded within the diagnosed advective λ tendency. Crucially, closure of cell-wise tracer budgets does not imply closure of λ -WMT budgets or that spurious mixing is vanishingly small!

Because we have already accounted for all non-advective WMTs when subtracting $\mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(\mathrm{T})}$ in equation (39), the remaining spurious numerical errors can only be due to errors in the tracer advection operator. [An interpretive limitation of this approach is that we are unable to distinguish between spurious WMTs due to horizontal vs. vertical aspects of advection schemes.] It is useful to interpret the transformations $\mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(A)}$ diagnosed from the advective tracer tendency in equation (24) as the difference of two distinct components:

$$\mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(\mathrm{A})} \equiv \mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(\mathrm{D})} - \mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(\mathrm{S})},\tag{40}$$

where $-\mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(S)}$ represents transformations due to spurious numerical mixing (diagnosed following eq. 39, with its arbitrary sign chosen to match that of the other material WMT terms) and we identify $\mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(D)}$ as the yet unknown diascalar mass transport induced by all material transformation (including spurious numerical mixing). Since $\mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(A)}$ is directly diagnosed from the discrete advective tracer operator, we can also indirectly diagnose the sum $\mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(D)} = \mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(A)} + \mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(S)}$.



Figure 7. Three equivalent ways of decomposing material water mass transformations (equation 41; panel d) in Conservative Temperature coordinates ($\lambda = \Theta$): a) The terms of the kinematic transformation rate; b) the dia-surface transformation rate (which include strongly compensating bin-scale features); and c) the process-based transformation rate, which includes contributions from spurious numerical mixing $\mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(S)}$ and for which we further decompose the transformation term (following eq. (26)) into boundary flux and interior mixing contributions, $\mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(T)} = \mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(BF)} + \mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(Mix)}$. d) Demonstration that all three decompositions are exactly equivalent, which is true by construction because $\mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(S)}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(D)}$ are both diagnosed as remainders of the other terms. The inner Baltic Sea region of integration R, is shown in Figure 5. Figure 9 shows the local grid-column contributions to the three key terms for $\Theta = 13$ °C (dashed grey line).

Combining the definitions (39) and (40) with the water mass transformation equation (24), we identify three equivalent and complementary perspectives for interpreting water mass change in FV-GVC ocean models:

$$\underbrace{-\partial_t \mathcal{M}_{\Omega} + \mathcal{S}_{\Omega} + \Psi_{\partial \mathcal{R}}}_{\text{Kinematic}} = \underbrace{\mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(\partial_t)} + \mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(D)}}_{\text{Dia-surface}} = \underbrace{\mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(T)} + \mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(S)}}_{\text{Material property}} .$$
(41)

The three decompositions of WMT defined by equation 41 are shown in Figure 7. Figure 7c shows that spurious numerical mixing plays a large enough role in the budget to be non-negligible; its temperature structure closely follows that of parameterized mixing, but with just 20-50% of its magnitude. Like physical (parameterized turbulent) diffusion, spurious water mass transformations conserve tracer mass and thus are compensatory in nature, such that the destruction of one water mass is exactly balanced by the formation of another. Rearranging equation (7) and decomposing the diagnosed material transformations into the components due to boundary fluxes and interior mixing, $\mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(\mathrm{T})} = \mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(\mathrm{BF})} + \mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(\mathrm{Mix})}$, we arrive at the closed λ -WMT budget,

$$\partial_t \mathcal{M}_{\Omega} - \mathcal{S}_{\Omega} - \Psi_{\partial \mathcal{R}} + \mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(BF)} + \mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(Mix)} + \mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(S)} = 0.$$
(42)

Figure 8 shows that even when integrated over the many grid columns that comprise the 563 inner Baltic region, the Θ -WMT budget is highly variable with time. The given isotherm 564 $\Theta = 13$ °C only exists in the Baltic region R during the summer, so during the rest of 565 the year the water mass Ω covers the entire region ($\Omega = \mathcal{R}$) and all of the terms in the 566 WMT budget are vanishingly small. During the summer, the budget is dominated by 567 the diurnal formation and destruction of cold water masses by boundary heat fluxes (Fig-568 ure 8a). Smoothing over the diurnal cycle, we find that surface heating steadily transforms cold waters into warmer ones, although this process is partially opposed by pa-570 rameterized mixing with cold waters (Figure 8b). By contrast, in the fall surface fluxes 571 and mixing conspire to form cold waters. WMTs due to spurious numerical mixing are 572 generally near-zero, but exhibit some short-lived negative excursions that contribute to 573 an overall transformation towards lower temperatures-reinforcing the effects of param-574 eterized mixing (Figure 8b). Further smoothing clarifies the annual-mean balance in the 575 WMT budget (Figure 8c): net heating by surface fluxes is balanced by parameterized 576 and numerical mixing; direct mass fluxes and lateral mass transports into the region are 577 both negligible.



Figure 8. Contributions to the Θ -WMT budget (eq. 42) integrated over the inner Baltic region, for $\Theta = 13$ °C. The hourly (timestep)-averaged budget (a) is dominated by the diurnal cycle, so we also show the results of daily box-averaging (b) and 10-day box-averaging (c).

578

⁵⁷⁹ It can also be insightful to analyze maps of local (per unit area) WMT rates (e.g. ⁵⁸⁰ Maze et al., 2009; Drake et al., 2020; Holmes et al., 2021). Figure 9 shows the annual-⁵⁸¹ mean column-wise WMT budget for waters colder than $\tilde{\Theta} = 13$ °C in the Baltic region

R. The annual-mean mass tendency is small (Figure 9a) because waters warmer than 582 13 °C only exist in the summer and the total mass of water columns in the inner Baltic 583 does not change much from year to year. The dominant column-wise balance is between 584 the warming effect of surface heat fluxes and the cooling effect of parameterized mixing, 585 consistent with the region-wide integral. Column-wise lateral mass transport convergence 586 and transformations due to spurious numerical mixing are both relatively noisy (Figure 587 9a). While the noisy lateral convergent transports average out to near-zero (Figure 8), 588 the noisy transformations due to spurious numerical mixing are overwhelmingly nega-589 tive, and contribute to the overall cooling of the water mass. 590



Figure 9. Column-wise contributions to the annual-mean Θ -WMT budget (eq. 42) by term in the inner Baltic region, for $\Theta = 13$ °C. Each panel shows the summand of the corresponding discretized diagnostics described in Section 3, divided by the grid column area dA. The region \mathcal{R} is a spherical polygon defined here by the coordinates of eight user-provided vertices, where the black contour shows the discretized boundary ∂R that best approximates the continuous boundary $\partial \mathcal{R}$ as a sequence of model grid faces.

591

3.6 Offline vertical remapping and temporal aliasing errors

Thus far we have assumed that the required diagnostics are available in λ coordi-592 nates (i.e. were remapped online to a diagnostic grid before time-averaging the outputs). 593 In practice, however, one must often make do with diagnostics that are only available 594 on other vertical coordinate grids, such as depth levels, isopycnals, terrain-following co-595 ordinates, or other GVCs. Problematically, the time-averaging operator, $\langle \phi \rangle$, does not 596 commute with vertical remapping if layer-integrated λ -tendencies and λ values covary 597 on timescales shorter than the averaging interval. Remapping interval-averaged tracer 598 diagnostics to tracer coordinates thereby introduces errors in the WMT budget. 599

In our year-long Baltic Sea test configuration at 0.25° horizontal grid spacing, we 600 quantify these errors by brute-force, comparing calculations with diagnostic averaging 601 intervals that decrease from monthly, to daily, and finally all the way down to the hourly 602 model timestep. First, we consider the sensitivity of the ρ_2 -WMT budget to the diag-603 nostic averaging interval and the choice of vertical coordinate (Figure 10); because ρ_2 604 is available as an 'online' diagnostic coordinate in our MOM6 simulations, ρ_2 -WMT bud-605 gets are independent of the diagnostic averaging interval (compare Figure 10c,f,i) and 606 therefore serve as a ground truth against which we can compare our offline calculations. 607 The convergence of the offline depth-level and prognostic hybrid coordinate budgets to-608



Figure 10. Sensitivity of annual-mean ρ_2 -WMT budgets to the diagnostic averaging interval Δt (rows) and the diagnostic vertical coordinate (columns), where zstr denotes depth-levels, natv denotes prognostic hybrid coordinate levels, and rho2 denotes potential density surfaces referenced to 2000 dbar. Diagnostics in non-rho2 coordinates are transformed into the bins of the rho2 diagnostic to facilitate direct comparison. Because the rho2 diagnostics are already binned in ρ_2 coordinates online, the WMT budgets for rho2 are independent of the averaging interval. The non-rho2 diagnostics converge towards the rho2 diagnostic results to varying degrees as the averaging interval is reduced; the rate of change and overturning terms are particularly well represented even with monthly-averaged diagnostics.

wards the online density coordinate budget (compare 10a-c to d-f to g-i) demonstrates that monthly-averaged diagnostics introduce significant errors while daily-mean diagnostics are nearly indistinguishable from those with the hourly-mean/timestep diagnostics. Even with the timestep-averaged diagnostics, however, there are still noticeable errors in the zstr diagnostics; this is likely because the effective resolution of the zstr diagnostic coordinate differs substantially from that of the natv and rho2 diagnostic coordinates.



Figure 11. Sensitivity of annual-mean ρ_2 -WMT budgets to the diagnostic averaging interval Δt (rows) and the diagnostic vertical coordinate (columns), where zstr denotes depth-levels, natv denotes prognostic hybrid coordinate levels, and rho2 denotes potential density surfaces referenced to 2000 dbar. Diagnostics in all three coordinates are transformed into a common target $\tilde{\rho}_2$ grid with uniformly fine spacing of $\Delta \tilde{\rho}_2 = 0.1 \text{ kg/m}^3$. Because the rho2 diagnostics are already binned in $\tilde{\rho}_2$ coordinates online, the WMT budgets for rho2 are independent of the averaging interval; however, the relatively coarse bins of the $\tilde{\rho}_2$ grid used for the online diagnostics (see bin limits in Figure 10) obscures interesting finer-scale features of the ρ_2 -WMT budget (compare panels g and h to i).

Next, we repeat our calculation of the ρ_2 -WMT budget but now use a target $\tilde{\rho}_2$ 616 grid that differs significantly from the online diagnostic grid (Figure 11), in particular 617 by making the $\tilde{\rho}_2$ bin spacing much finer at lower densities. As before, the calculations 618 employing monthly-mean depth-level and hybrid coordinate diagnostics are fairly noisy 619 but converge reasonably well when dropped to daily-means. Again, the ρ_2 calculations 620 remain by construction independent of the averaging interval; however, comparison with 621 the brute-forced calculations using hourly-mean diagnostics in depth-level and prognos-622 tic hybrid coordinates (Figure 11g-i) reveals that the online-binning in $\tilde{\rho}_2$ coordinates 623

obscures interesting leading-order features at scales finer than the diagnostic $\tilde{\rho}_2$ grid, and which can not be recovered.

Finally, we repeat the same exercise but for a Θ -WMT budget. Because Conser-626 vative Temperature Θ was not yet available as an online diagnostic coordinate in MOM6, 627 all three sets of diagnostics were transformed into Θ coordinates offline. From the top 628 row of Figure 12, we observe that offline calculations with monthly-mean diagnostics are 629 noisy, while those with daily-mean diagnostics are quite similar to the hourly-mean/timestep 630 ones. Interestingly, the density-coordinate calculations differ substantially from those with 631 the depth-level and prognostic hybrid coordinates, which are themselves in agreement. 632 These errors appear to be due to the very low effective resolution of the density-coordinate 633 grid in the shallow and fresh inner Baltic Sea (see Figures 3 and 11), meaning the whole 634 water column is in some cases represented by a single density layer, over which the Θ 635 values and Θ -tendency diagnostics are then averaged. 636



Figure 12. Sensitivity of annual-mean Θ -WMT budgets to the diagnostic averaging interval Δt (rows) and the diagnostic vertical coordinate (columns), where zstr denotes depth-levels, natv denotes prognostic hybrid coordinate levels, and rho2 denotes potential density surfaces referenced to 2000 dbar. The rho2 diagnostics do not converge to the correct values because most of the Baltic Sea occupies the single lightest layer of the diagnostic potential density grid.

For most ocean model applications, outputting thousands of three-dimensional ten-637 dency fields with an averaging interval shorter than the inherent variability of the model 638 is resource-intensive and largely untenable. Instead, it is recommended that all required 639 diagnostics be conservatively regridded and remapped online into λ coordinate bins af-640 ter each tracer timestep, so that longer-term time-averaged diagnostics can be meaning-641 fully accumulated (at the cost of slower model run times) and more readily analyzed. Such 642 online remapping of diagnostics was recommended as part of the OMIP exercise by Griffies 643 et al. (2016), with this technology becoming more standard across ocean climate model 644 codes. However, the leading-order differences between the various λ -WMT budgets de-645 scribed above (Figures 10-12) serve as a caution that one should carefully tune their di-646 agnostic coordinates to the problem at hand to avoid the risk of ending up with noisy 647 or misleading WMT budgets. (In the case of the Baltic Sea simulation described here, 648 the employed diagnostic $\tilde{\rho}_2$ grid was previously calibrated to capture features of the Merid-649 ional Overturning Circulation in global climate model configurations, so it is not surpris-650 ing that it poorly resolves water masses in the marginal Baltic Sea.) 651

652 4 Outlook

As Water Mass Transformation (WMT) analysis becomes an increasingly popu-653 lar tool for advancing understanding of ocean circulation (Tesdal et al., 2023; Evans et 654 al., 2023) and coupled climate dynamics (Deppenmeier et al., 2022), it is all the more 655 important that the methods used to diagnose terms in WMT budgets be correct and that 656 the scientific interpretations of these results be robust. We intend for this self-contained 657 presentation of the theory and practice of diagnosing WMT budgets to be a useful ref-658 erence for practitioners of water mass analysis, especially (but not only) as applied to 659 numerical ocean models. We contend that full WMT budgets are an under-utilized tool 660 in the ocean and climate modeler's toolbox, with applications to both model develop-661 ment (e.g., identifying the processes causing water mass biases, circulation biases, and 662 unwanted spurious mixing and control model drift) and scientific discovery (e.g., attribut-663 ing the drivers of variability and change in water mass properties and overturning cir-664 culations). 665

The method for diagnosing λ -WMT budgets presented here requires the scalar field 666 λ to satisfy a conservation equation of the form (16) and that the diagnostics for each 667 of the terms in the conservation budget be available, as described in Appendix A. This 668 is generally true for prognostic tracers, i.e. tracers that are time-stepped within a FV-669 GVC ocean model, such as temperature, salinity, and biogeochemical tracers. Diagnos-670 tic tracers, which are instead derived from the prognostic tracers using algebraic equa-671 tions or elliptic partial differential equations, however, do not necessarily satisfy exact 672 conservation equations of the form required for water mass transformation analysis. 673

Seawater *in-situ* density, ρ , is an example of a more complicated diagnostic tracer, 674 as it depends nonlinearly on temperature, salinity, and pressure (T. McDougall et al., 675 2009). Because gravity acts upon seawater density gradients, it would be advantageous 676 to analyze oceanic flows along surfaces over which this buoyancy force vanishes, i.e., neu-677 tral surfaces, and to diagnose dianeutral transformations across them. Unfortunately, 678 however, the locally referenced (*in-situ*) seawater density can vary substantially under 679 purely adiabatic displacements (i.e. $\delta \Theta = \delta S = 0$ but $\delta p \neq 0$), making it an inappro-680 priate choice for a dynamically-useful density coordinate. These compressibility effects 681 can be accounted for by instead considering the *potential density*, 682

$$\rho_r(\Theta, \mathbf{S}) \equiv \rho(\Theta, \mathbf{S}, r), \tag{43}$$

defined as the hypothetical density sea water would have if brought adiabatically to a constant reference pressure, p = r. Following Groeskamp et al. (2019), changes in potential density, ρ_r , can then be usefully expressed just in terms of changes in Conservative Temperature, Θ , and Absolute Salinity, S,

$$\delta\rho_r = \rho \left[-\left(-\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial\rho_r}{\partial\Theta} \right) \delta\Theta + \left(\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial\rho_r}{\partial\mathrm{S}} \right) \delta\mathrm{S} \right],\tag{44}$$

where $\alpha_r \equiv -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial \rho_r}{\partial \Theta}$ and $\beta_r \equiv \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial \rho_r}{\partial S}$ are both referenced to p = r. Dia- ρ_r transformations are thus simply diagnosed as

$$\frac{\mathrm{D}\rho_r}{\mathrm{D}t} = \rho \left(-\alpha_r \frac{\mathrm{D}\Theta}{\mathrm{D}t} + \beta_r \frac{\mathrm{D}\mathrm{S}}{\mathrm{D}t} \right), \tag{45}$$

where $\alpha_r = \alpha_r(\boldsymbol{x}, t; r)$ is the (usually positive) thermal expansion coefficient, $\beta_r = \beta_r(\boldsymbol{x}, t; r) > 0$ is the positive haline contraction coefficient, and $\frac{D}{Dt} \equiv \lim_{\delta t \to 0} \frac{\delta}{\delta t}$ is the material derivative. The density-coordinate calculations presented here take r = 2000 dbar because budget diagnostics remapped online to the corresponding potential density ρ_2 were readily available.

While the global self-consistency of potential density surfaces is a useful charac-694 teristic for water mass analysis, it nevertheless suffers from two important interpreta-695 tive limitations: 1) it is *in-situ* density, not potential density, that appears in the mo-696 mentum equation and 2) away from the reference pressure, adiabatic displacements along 697 potential density surfaces generally cause buoyant restoring forces, and thus dia-neutral 698 transformation! In a potential density framework, we are therefore unable to isolate the 699 desired dianeutral contributions to dia- ρ_r transformations from potentially non-negligible 700 isoneutral contributions. This limitation is likely exacerbated for studies of deep ocean 701 overturning, for which we would like to compare surface-forced water mass transforma-702 tions (at $p = p_{\text{atm}}$) against abyssal mixing-driven transformations (at p > 4000 dbar). 703

For global-scale water mass analysis, it would thus be desirable to define a neutral 704 density variable that satisfies the following three desirable properties: 1) its isosurfaces 705 are neutral surfaces, 2) it is quasi-material, i.e., changes only due to material transfor-706 mations of temperature and salinity, and 3) is pycnotropic, i.e., can be expressed as a 707 function of only *in-situ* density and pressure. While it turns out to be impossible to de-708 fine exactly neutral surfaces for the global ocean, Stanley et al. (2021) demonstrate that 709 it is possible to construct approximately neutral surfaces that satisfy property 1, and hence 710 also properties 2 and 3, to a reasonable degree-or at least better than existing alterna-711 tives, such as the commonly-used "neutral density" variable proposed by Jackett and Mc-712 Dougall (1997) and later applied to WMT analysis by Iudicone et al. (2008). Future work 713 includes extending our water mass transformation methods to density variables that are 714 more neutral than potential density referenced to 2000 dbar, ρ_2 . 715

In describing the conventional methods of water mass transformation analysis in 716 detail, we have omitted a broader discussion of recent developments in water mass anal-717 ysis that provide complementary perspectives: circulation and transformation in tracer-718 tracer coordinates (Zika et al., 2012; ?, ?; Evans et al., 2018), constant-mass partition-719 ing (Sohail et al., 2023), Lagrangian water mass transformations (Döös et al., 2008; Tam-720 sitt et al., 2018), internal tracer content (Holmes et al., 2019; Bladwell et al., 2021), wa-721 ter mass-based inverse methods (Zika & Taimoor, 2023), and applications to biogeochem-722 istry (Iudicone et al., 2011). Practitioners of water mass analysis should choose whichever 723 method (or combination of methods) best suits the problem at hand. 724

Budget diagnostics suitable for full WMT budget analysis are typically not available from commonly used repositories of ocean and climate model data, such as the CMIP ESGF data portals. For temperature, salinity, and density, surface water mass transformations and lateral convergent transports can be computed using monthly-mean surface flux and horizontal mass transport diagnostics, respectively, both of which are designated as Priority 1 in the OMIP protocol (Griffies et al., 2016). Full mass and tracer budget diagnostics, which include the interior mixing tendencies, are only asked to be

saved at annual-mean resolution at Priority 3. The FAFMIP protocol elevates these annual-732 means to Priority 1 and asks for monthly-means at Priority 2; the monthly-mean diag-733 nostics are useful for resolving the seasonal cycle of these budgets in geographical-depth 734 space, but can result in substantial errors when transformed offline into tracer coordi-735 nates (compare rows of Figure 12). To our knowledge, snapshots of layer-thickness and 736 tracer concentration/content, which are required at the bounds of the budget time-averaging 737 intervals to accurately close the WMT budget, are not included in the OMIP, FAFMIP, 738 or any other MIP protocol; however, it may be possible to approximate these tenden-739 cies sufficiently well for some use cases by finite-differencing monthly-mean layer thick-740 ness diagnostics and interpolating the results to the middle of the months. As demon-741 strated here, accurate WMT budgets require closed mass and tracer budgets that are 742 either output at sufficiently high frequency-more often than monthly for global climate 743 simulations-or are regridded and remapped online into the target tracer coordinate (see 744 Figure 10). In either case, the raw data can be prohibitively large due to their four-dimensional 745 nature and the large number of variables required to close the WMT budgets, which is 746 presumably one reason these diagnostics are not already more available. At GFDL, this 747 data proliferation problem has been mitigated in the development and production of mesoscale 748 eddy-rich simulations by remapping to target tracer coordinates online and conservatively 749 coarsening the diagnostics in time (as monthly- or annual-means) and in the horizon-750 tal dimensions (by integrating over 2x2 grid cell tiles). We argue that intercomparison 751 of modeled WMT budgets would be sufficiently helpful for model development, evalu-752 ation, and analysis to warrant the inclusion of suitable ocean model diagnostics in fu-753 ture MIP protocols. We call on the community to initiate proof-of-concept inter-model 754 comparisons in support of a Water Mass Model Intercomparison Project (WMMIP). 755

Provided that model data suitable for full WMT budgets becomes available, ro-756 bust model intercomparison will require the standardization of analysis methods. As we 757 have demonstrated here, there are several subtleties involved in the diagnosis of WMT 758 budgets through which differences in implementation could introduce spurious inter-model 759 differences. We developed the xwmb stack of Python packages (see Appendix D) as a model-760 agnostic community tool to standardize the diagnosis of WMT budgets in models and 761 to facilitate robust model intercomparisons. For each distinct ocean model, the package 762 only requires the specification of the model's grid geometry (using xgcm) and the struc-763 ture of its mass and tracer budgets (using xbudget). Future work will extend support 764 to arbitrary grid topologies such as the cubed-sphere grid of ECCOv4 (Forget et al., 2015) 765 or the unstructured mesh of MPAS-Ocean (Ringler et al., 2013). 766

In conclusion, the development of increasingly comprehensive and high-resolution
 numerical ocean models requires new diagnostics that can extract meaning from the chaos;
 water mass transformation theory provides a framework for integrating these big and complex data sets to produce physically interpretable scalar budgets. The advances outlined
 here provide a set of best practices and software tools for robust calculations and interpretations of water mass transformation budgets–we encourage you to use them!

773 Appendix A Diagnosis of water mass transformation rates

A1 Relationship between advective- and flux-forms of the material derivative

Budget diagnostics for finite-volume ocean models are often not immediately available in the *intensive* form (16), $\rho \frac{D\lambda}{Dt} = \rho \dot{\lambda}$, or

$$\rho \frac{\mathrm{D}\lambda}{\mathrm{D}t} \equiv \rho \left(\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \lambda \right) = -\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{J},\tag{A1}$$

which exposes the advective-form material derivative that is necessary for the WMT budget approach outlined in Section 2. To write equation (A1), we have assumed all of the material transformation processes represented in $\rho \lambda$ can be expressed as the convergence of a density-weighted tracer flux¹ J, which we take to include fluxes across the ocean boundary (or, equivalently, the oceanic fluxes they induce infinitesimally close by).

Many ocean models employ discretizations that fall under the class of Generalized Vertical Coordinate (GVC) models (Chassignet et al., 2006), in which the GVC, denoted σ , is discretized in a number N of k-indexed layers of thickness $h_k \equiv \int_{\sigma_{k-1/2}}^{\sigma_{k+1/2}} z_{\sigma} d\sigma$. The function $z(\sigma)$ must be a strictly monotonic mapping such that the specific thickness $z_{\sigma} \equiv$ $\frac{\partial z}{\partial \sigma}$ (in σ -coordinates) is single-signed. In such models, tracer budgets are diagnosed in the extensive (layer-integrated) form (Griffies et al. (2020), eq. 32)

$$\frac{\partial(h_k \overline{\rho \lambda}^k)}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\sigma} \cdot (h_k \overline{\rho \lambda \boldsymbol{u}}^k + h_k \overline{\boldsymbol{J}}^k) + \Delta^k_{\sigma} (\rho \lambda w^{(\dot{\sigma})} + J^{(\sigma)}) = 0$$
(A2)

which also corresponds with the models' prognostic conservation equations. In equation (A2), overbars $(\overline{\phi}^k = \frac{1}{h_k} \int_{\sigma_{k-1/2}}^{\sigma_{k+1/2}} \phi z_{\sigma} d\sigma)$ denote the layer-average operation; $J^{(\sigma)} =$ $z_{\sigma} \nabla \sigma \cdot J$ is the thickness-weighted dia- σ flux; ∇_{σ} is the along- σ gradient; $\Delta_{\sigma}^k \phi = \phi(\sigma_{k+1/2}) \phi(\sigma_{k-1/2})$ is the finite difference across the k^{th} layer; and ρ , λ , and u are the density, tracer concentration, and horizontal velocity, respectively. The dia- σ velocity component for the GVC is denoted by $w^{(\dot{\sigma})} \equiv z_{\sigma} \frac{D\sigma}{Dt} \equiv z_{\sigma} \dot{\sigma}$, which is a normalization of the dia- σ advective mass flux in terms of a horizontal area element dA, defined by $\rho w^{(\dot{\sigma})} dA \equiv$ $\rho(v - v^{(\sigma)}) \cdot \hat{n} dS$ (Griffies et al. (2020), Appendix D).

⁷⁹⁷ To recover the evolution equation (A1) for the intensive tracer concentration λ , we ⁷⁹⁸ must remove from the extensive layer-integrated budget (A2) the effects of an evolving ⁷⁹⁹ layer mass per unit area $\bar{h}\rho$, given by (Griffies et al. (2020), eq. 32b)

$$\frac{\partial(h_k\overline{\rho}^k)}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\sigma} \cdot (h_k\overline{\rho}\overline{\boldsymbol{v}}^k) + \Delta^k_{\sigma}(\rho\lambda w^{(\dot{\sigma})}) = 0.$$
(A3)

Since information has already been irreversibly lost by integration between the continuous form (A1) and the vertically discrete layer-integrated form (A2), however, we take a step back and consider the continuous versions of the thickness-weighted budgets (Griffies (2024), 58.4):

$$\frac{\partial(\rho z_{\sigma} \lambda)}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\sigma} \cdot (\rho z_{\sigma} \lambda \boldsymbol{v}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} (\rho z_{\sigma} \lambda \dot{\sigma}) = -\left[\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\sigma} \cdot (z_{\sigma} \boldsymbol{J}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} (z_{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \sigma \cdot \boldsymbol{J})\right], \quad (A4)$$

$$\frac{\partial(\rho z_{\sigma})}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\sigma} \cdot (\rho z_{\sigma} \boldsymbol{v}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} (\rho z_{\sigma} \dot{\sigma}) = 0.$$
(A5)

Note that under the Boussinesq approximation ($\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{v} = 0$) and with geopotential coordinates ($\sigma = z$), the specific cell thickness $z_{\sigma} = 1$ and reference density $\rho = \rho_0$ are constant and can be pulled out of the derivatives such that (A4) reduces trivially to (A1).

In the non-Boussinesq GVC case, expanding each of the LHS terms of (A4) using the product rule, we can write the respective terms in equation (A1) as:

807

808

$$\rho \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{z_{\sigma}} \left[\frac{\partial (\rho z_{\sigma} \lambda)}{\partial t} - \lambda \frac{\partial (\rho z_{\sigma})}{\partial t} \right]$$
(A6)

$$\rho \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \lambda \equiv \rho \left(\boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\sigma} \lambda + \dot{\sigma} \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial \sigma} \right) = \frac{1}{z_{\sigma}} \left[\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\sigma} \cdot (\rho z_{\sigma} \lambda \boldsymbol{v}) - \lambda \boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\sigma} \cdot (\rho z_{\sigma} \boldsymbol{v}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} (\rho z_{\sigma} \lambda \dot{\sigma}) - \lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} (\rho z_{\sigma} \dot{\sigma}) \right]$$
(A7)

$$\rho\dot{\lambda} = \frac{-1}{z_{\sigma}} \left[\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\sigma} \cdot (z_{\sigma} \boldsymbol{J}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \left(z_{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \sigma \cdot \boldsymbol{J} \right) \right],\tag{A8}$$

 $^{^{1}}$ It is straight-forward to extend the method to include non-flux-form tracer sources and sinks; we leave these out to simplify the exposition as much as possible.

where each of the terms now corresponds directly with the continuous versions of the extensive tracer and mass budget diagnostics. To further connect these to finite-volume diagnostics, we now integrate (A6-A8) over discrete σ -layers, but first multiplying both sides by z_{σ} because the intensive λ -budgets only appear as thickness-weighted integrands, e.g. $\rho \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial t} dV$, where $dV = dz dA = z_{\sigma} d\sigma dA$.

814

816

817

For the tendency term, the σ -integral commutes with time derivatives and we have

$$h_{k}\overline{\rho}\frac{\partial\lambda}{\partial t}^{k} \equiv \int_{\sigma_{k-1/2}}^{\sigma_{k+1/2}} \rho z_{\sigma}\frac{\partial\lambda}{\partial t} \mathrm{d}\sigma = \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right]_{\sigma} (h_{k}\overline{\rho\lambda}^{k}) - \int_{\sigma_{k-1/2}}^{\sigma_{k+1/2}} \lambda\frac{\partial(\rho z_{\sigma})}{\partial t} \mathrm{d}\sigma = \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right]_{\sigma} (h_{k}\overline{\rho\lambda})^{k} - \overline{\lambda}_{k}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right]_{\sigma} (h_{k}\overline{\rho}^{k})$$
(A9)

where we have ignored intra-layer spatial correlations between λ and $\frac{\partial(\rho z_{\sigma})}{\partial t}$.

For the advection term, derivatives also commute and we similarly arrive at

$$h_k \overline{\rho \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \lambda}^k = \boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\sigma} \cdot (h_k \overline{\rho \lambda \boldsymbol{v}}^k) + \Delta^k_{\sigma} (\rho w^{(\dot{\sigma})} \lambda) - \overline{\lambda}^k \left[\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\sigma} \cdot (h_k \overline{\rho \boldsymbol{v}}^k) + \Delta^k_{\sigma} (\rho w^{(\dot{\sigma})}) \right].$$
(A10)

For the non-conservative processes, we have

$$h_k \overline{\rho \dot{\lambda}}^k = -\left[\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\sigma} \cdot (h_k \overline{\boldsymbol{J}}^k) + \Delta_{\sigma}^k J^{(\sigma)} \right].$$
(A11)

Altogether, we have the following finite-volume expressions for the layer-mass-weighted λ -conservation equation

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(h_k \overline{\rho} \overline{\lambda}^k \right) - \overline{\lambda}^k \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\overline{\rho}^k h_k \right) \end{bmatrix} \qquad \qquad h_k \overline{\rho} \frac{\overline{\partial \lambda}^k}{\partial t}$$

$$+ \begin{bmatrix} \nabla_{\sigma} \cdot \left(h_k \overline{\rho} \overline{\lambda} \overline{v}^k \right) + \Delta_{\sigma}^k \left(\rho w^{(\dot{\sigma})} \lambda \right) - \overline{\lambda}^k \left(\nabla_{\sigma} \cdot \left(h \overline{\rho} \overline{v}^k \right) + \Delta_{\sigma}^k \left(\rho w^{(\dot{\sigma})} \right) \right) \end{bmatrix} \qquad \Leftrightarrow \qquad + h_k \overline{\rho} \overline{v \cdot \nabla \lambda}^k$$

$$(A12)$$

$$= - \begin{bmatrix} \nabla_{\sigma} \cdot \left(h_k \overline{J}^k \right) + \Delta_{\sigma}^k J^{(\sigma)} \end{bmatrix} \qquad \qquad (A14)$$

820

=

A2 Kinematics of normal-flux boundary conditions

We now turn to a discussion of the subtleties related to the treatment of kinematic boundary conditions on fluxes of seawater mass and tracer content. We will consider both advective exchange fluxes that reflect the exchange of mass between the ocean and other Earth system components (e.g. precipitation, evaporation, sea ice melt), as well as nonadvective tracer exchange fluxes (e.g. turbulent air-sea fluxes, radiative heating/cooling, sea ice brine rejection).

We label the N+1 layer interfaces with integers (k = 0, 1, ..., N) and the N layer centers or layer averages with the half-steps between them $(k = \frac{1}{2}, ..., N - \frac{1}{2})$. This index notation is shifted by $\frac{1}{2}$ relative to that used by others (e.g. Griffies et al. (2020)); however, it greatly simplifies the interpretation of the following derivation. We take k =0 to be the sea surface and k = N the sea floor. Then, to explicitly reveal the influence of boundary conditions, we consider the three flux categories (bottom-interfacing, $_{333}$ interior, surface-interfacing) separately²:

$$\begin{array}{ll} (\text{density-weighted tracer}) & (\text{M15}) \\ \Delta^{k}_{\sigma}(\rho w^{(\dot{\sigma})}\lambda + J^{(\sigma)}) & \Delta^{k}_{\sigma}(\rho w^{(\dot{\sigma})}) & (\text{A16}) \\ = \Delta^{k} \left(\mathcal{H}(k-N) \left[\rho w^{(\dot{\sigma})}\lambda + J^{(\sigma)} \right] \right) & = \Delta^{k} \left(\mathcal{H}(k-N) \left[\rho w^{(\dot{\sigma})} \right] \right) & (\text{bottom ocean fluxes}) \end{array}$$

$$+\Delta_{\sigma}^{\kappa} \left(\mathcal{I}_{0}^{N}(k) \left[\rho w^{(\sigma)} \lambda + J^{(\sigma)} \right] \right) + \Delta_{\sigma}^{\kappa} \left(\mathcal{I}_{0}^{N}(k) \left[\rho w^{(\sigma)} \right] \right) \quad \text{(interior ocean fluxes)}$$
(A18)

$$+\Delta_{\sigma}^{k}\left(\mathcal{H}(-k)\left[\rho w^{(\dot{\sigma})}\lambda+J^{(\sigma)}\right]\right), \qquad + \qquad \Delta_{\sigma}^{k}\left(\mathcal{H}(-k)\left[\rho w^{(\dot{\sigma})}\right]\right) \quad , (\text{surface ocean fluxes})$$
(A19)

where $\mathcal{H}(n)$ is the Heaviside function (1 if $n \geq 0$; 0 otherwise) and $\mathcal{I}_i^j(k)$ is the inte-834 rior function³ (1 if 0 < k < N; 0 otherwise). To illustrate the utility of the Heaviside 835 function notation, consider the expressions for the ocean bottom fluxes (A17), which only 836 have a nonzero contribution within the bottom-interfacing layer (k = N - 1/2), where 837 $\Delta_{\sigma}^{k} \left(\mathcal{H}(k-N)\phi \right) = \mathcal{H}(0) \left[\phi\right]_{k=N} - \mathcal{H}(-1) \left[\phi\right]_{k=N-1} = \left[\phi\right]_{k=N} \text{ is just the dia-seafloor flux, as desired. Since interior fluxes are typically already diagnosed in the form of ex-$ 838 839 pression (A18), where $\mathcal{I}_0^N(k)J^{(\sigma)}$ represent interior diffusive fluxes, we do not need to 840 manipulate them any further. The surface and bottom oceanic fluxes, on the other hand, 841 are provided only in terms of the interfacial advective and non-advective exchange fluxes 842 that induce them. 843

At the bottom, the advective mass and tracer fluxes both vanish due to the no-normal flow boundary condition on the barycentric velocity $(\boldsymbol{v} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{n}} = 0)$ at the static boundary $(\boldsymbol{v}^{(b)} = 0)$, which together yield $\rho(\boldsymbol{v} - \boldsymbol{v}^{(b)}) \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{n}} dS = 0$ and thus $\rho w^{(\dot{\sigma})} = 0$. However, there may still be a nonzero non-advective tracer transport (e.g. geothermal heat flux), which we denote as $J^{(\sigma)} \equiv -Q_{\lambda}^{\text{bot}}$ such that $Q_{\lambda}^{\text{bot}} > 0$ indicates an input of densityweighted λ to the ocean. Thus, we write the bottom fluxes as

$$\left[J^{(\sigma)}\right]_{k=N} = -Q^{\text{bot}}_{\lambda} \tag{A20}$$

$$\left[\rho w^{(\dot{\sigma})}\right]_{k=N} = 0 \tag{A21}$$

At the sea surface, the mass flux Q_M^{surf} (e.g. due to precipitation, evaporation, sea ice melt) is, by definition, purely advective, yielding the straight-forward equivalence

$$\left[\rho w^{(\dot{\sigma})}\right]_{k=0} = -Q_M^{\text{surf}}.$$
(A22)

⁸⁵² By contrast, the net density-weighted tracer exchange flux Q_{λ}^{net} must be treated ⁸⁵³ carefully because it includes a non-advective component as well as an advective compo-⁸⁵⁴ nent associated with the tracer content of the water being exchanged,

$$Q_{\lambda}^{\text{net}} = \lambda_M Q_M^{\text{surf}} + Q_{\lambda}^{\text{surf}}, \qquad (A23)$$

 $^{^{2}}$ In practice, this conceptual approach is complicated by the fact that some boundary fluxes (most notably incoming solar radiation) penetrate across the interfaces and into the interior ocean, resulting in a non-negligible interior flux-divergence (Iudicone et al., 2008). It is nevertheless useful to distinguish the boundary-related processes from interior processes such as diffusion.

 $^{^{3}}$ The interior function differs from conventional boxcar functions because it excludes the endpoints.

where λ_M is the tracer concentration of the exchanged water mass⁴. Within an infinites-855 imal skin layer of the surface ocean, this boundary exchange flux induces both an ad-856 857

vective oceanic flux and a non-advective oceanic flux:

$$Q_{\lambda}^{\text{net}} = -\left[\rho w^{\dot{\sigma}} \lambda + J^{(\sigma)}\right]_{k=0} = \left[\lambda\right]_{k=0} Q_{M}^{\text{surf}} - \left[J^{(\sigma)}\right]_{k=0}.$$
 (A24)

Equating the RHS expressions of (A23) and (A24) yields an expression for the induced 858 non-advective oceanic flux in terms of the non-advective tracer exchange flux and the 859

advective mass flux: 860

$$[J^{\sigma}]_{k=0} = -Q^{\text{surf}}_{\lambda} - (\lambda_M - [\lambda]_{k=0})Q^{\text{surf}}_M.$$
(A25)

In summary, plugging the above expressions back into equation (A19), we have 861

$$\left[J^{(\sigma)}\right]_{k=0} = -Q_{\lambda}^{\text{surf}} - (\lambda_M - [\lambda]_{k=0})Q_M^{\text{surf}}$$
(A26)

$$\left[\rho w^{(\dot{\sigma})}\right]_{k=0} = -Q_M^{\text{surf}} \tag{A27}$$

$$\left[\rho w^{(\dot{\sigma})}\lambda\right]_{k=0} = -\left[\lambda\right]_{k=0} Q_M^{\text{surf}} \tag{A28}$$

Altogether, plugging expressions (A20, A21, A26, A28, A27) into (A17–A19) we 862 have 863

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(h_k\overline{\rho\lambda}^k\right) - \overline{\lambda}^k\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\overline{\rho}^k h_k\right)\right] \tag{A29}$$

$$+ \left[\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\sigma} \cdot (h_k \overline{\rho \lambda \boldsymbol{v}}^k) + \Delta_{\sigma}^k \left(\mathcal{I}_0^N(k) \rho w^{(\dot{\sigma})} \lambda - \mathcal{H}(-k) \lambda Q_M^{\mathrm{surf}} \right) \right]$$
(A30)

$$-\overline{\lambda}^{k} \left[\left(\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\sigma} \cdot (h \overline{\rho} \overline{\boldsymbol{v}}^{k}) + \Delta_{\sigma}^{k} (\mathcal{I}_{0}^{N}(k) \rho w^{(\dot{\sigma})} - \mathcal{H}(-k) Q_{M}^{\mathrm{surf}} \right) \right]$$
(A31)

$$= -\left[\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\sigma} \cdot (h_k \overline{\boldsymbol{J}}^k) + \Delta_{\sigma}^k \left(\mathcal{I}_0^N(k) J^{(\sigma)} - \mathcal{H}(-k) \left[Q_{\lambda}^{\text{surf}} + (\lambda_M - \lambda) Q_M^{\text{surf}} \right] - \mathcal{H}(k-N) \right) Q_{\lambda}^{\text{bot}} \right) \right].$$
(A32)

If the Eulerian time derivative and advective components of the material derivative do 864 not need to be distinguished, then the terms with the common factor $\overline{\lambda}^k$ outside of the 865 deriative/difference operators can be collectively identified as the conservative equation 866 for layer-integrated mass (A3), which therefore vanishes. We are left with: 867

$$h_k \overline{\rho \left(\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \lambda\right)^k} \tag{A33}$$

$$= \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(h_k \overline{\rho \lambda}^k\right) + \nabla_{\sigma} \cdot \left(h_k \overline{\rho \lambda v}^k\right) + \Delta_{\sigma}^k \left(\mathcal{I}_0^N(k) \rho w^{(\dot{\sigma})} \lambda\right) - \Delta_{\sigma}^k \left(\mathcal{H}(-k) \lambda Q_M^{\mathrm{surf}}\right)\right]$$
(A34)

$$= -\left[\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\sigma} \cdot (h_k \overline{\boldsymbol{J}}^k) + \Delta_{\sigma}^k \left(\mathcal{I}_0^N(k) J^{(\sigma)} - \mathcal{H}(-k) \left[Q_{\lambda}^{\text{surf}} + (\lambda_M - \lambda) Q_M^{\text{surf}} \right] - \mathcal{H}(-(N-k)) Q_{\lambda}^{\text{bot}} \right) \right]$$
(A35)

Expression (A33) is the density-weighted and layer-integrated advective form of the kine-868

matic material derivative; expression (A34) is the flux form of the kinematic material 869

derivative; and expression (A35) is the flux-form of the non-conservative process-based ma-870 terial derivative. Breaking the budget down into the contributions from different classes 871

 $^{^4}$ This term could in principle be represented as the aggregate of multiple different mass fluxes, each carrying different mean tracer concentrations. In practice, assumptions are often much simpler; in MOM6, for example, both the in- and out-flowing water masses are assumed to have the same Conservative Temperature as the surface layer $(\Theta_M = \overline{\Theta}^{k=0})$ and to be pure freshwater $(S_M = 0)$.

of physical processes, we assign the following labels:

$rac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(h_k\overline{ ho\lambda}^k ight)$	(Eulerian layer tendency)	(A36)
$+ \boldsymbol{ abla}_{\sigma} \cdot (h_k \overline{ ho \lambda oldsymbol{v}}^k)$	(Along-surface lateral advection)	(A37)
$+\Delta^k_\sigma \left(\mathcal{I}^N_0(k)\rho w^{(\dot{\sigma})}\lambda\right)$	(Dia-interface advection, i.e. regridding	g/remapping)
		(A38)
$-\Delta_{\sigma}^{k}\left(\mathcal{H}(-k)\lambda Q_{M}^{\mathrm{surf}}\right) =$	(Advective ocean tracer flux at surface	e)
		(A39)
$-oldsymbol{ abla}_{\sigma}\cdot(h_k\overline{oldsymbol{J}}^k)$	(Along-layer lateral diffusion)	(A40)
$-\Delta^k_\sigma\left(\mathcal{I}^N_0(k)J^{(\sigma)} ight)$	(Dia-interface turbulent diffusion)	(A41)
$+\Delta_{\sigma}^{k}\left(\mathcal{H}(-k)\left[Q_{\lambda}^{\mathrm{surf}}+(\lambda_{M}-\lambda)Q_{M}^{\mathrm{surf}}\right]\right)$	(Non-advective ocean tracer flux at su	rface) (A42)
$+\Delta_{\sigma}^{k}\left(\mathcal{H}(-(N-k))Q_{\lambda}^{\mathrm{bot}}\right).$	(Non-advective ocean tracer flux at bo	(A43)

This partitioning of the layer-integrated tracer budget is useful because it is consistent with the kinematic-process partitioning of the λ -WMT equation (24), allowing the integrals appearing therein to be approximated by Riemann sums and computed by 1) appropriately area-weighting the terms (A36-A43), 2) vertically-remapping them to λ -coordinates, 3) and summing them over the discrete water class $\Delta\Omega(\tilde{\lambda}, t) \equiv \Omega(\lambda + \Delta\lambda/2, t) \setminus \Omega(\lambda - \Delta\lambda/2, t)$, where \setminus denotes the set difference, as described in Section 3.

Appendix B Water mass transformation rates from MOM6 diagnostics

The terms identified in expressions (A36-A43) are nearly identical to those available as layer-integrated tracer-budget diagnostics in MOM6,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(h_k \overline{\rho} \overline{\lambda}^k \right) = (\text{Eulerian layer tendency}) \quad (B1)$$

$$-\nabla_{\sigma} \cdot \left(h_k \overline{\rho} \overline{\lambda} v^k \right) \quad (\text{Along-layer advection}) \quad (B2)$$

$$-\Delta_{\sigma}^k \left(\mathcal{I}_0^N(k) \rho w^{(\dot{\sigma})} \lambda \right) \quad (\text{Dia-interface advection, i.e. regridding/remapping})$$

$$(B3)$$

$$-\nabla_{\sigma} \cdot \left(h_k \overline{J}^k \right) \quad (\text{Along-surface lateral diffusion}) \quad (B4)$$

$$-\Delta_{\sigma}^k \left(\mathcal{I}_0^N(k) J^{(\sigma)} \right) \quad (\text{Dia-interface turbulent diffusion}) \quad (B5)$$

$$+\Delta_{\sigma}^{k} \left(\mathcal{H}(-k) \left[Q_{\lambda}^{\text{surf}} + \lambda_{M} Q_{M}^{\text{surf}} \right] \right) \quad (\text{Surface tracer flux}) \tag{B6}$$
$$+\Delta_{\sigma}^{k} \left(\mathcal{H}(-(N-k)) Q_{\lambda}^{\text{bot}} \right). \qquad (\text{Non-advective bottom tracer flux}), \tag{B7}$$

Terms B2 and B3 can be moved to the LHS by changing signs. The more problematic 883 discrepancy is that, in the presently available layer-integrated MOM6 diagnostics, all of 884 the surface ocean tracer flux terms are bundled together (expression B6) and thus are 885 not readily decomposed into their purely advective part (A39), which contributes to the 886 kinematic material derivative (LHS), and the non-advective part (A42), which contributes 887 to the process-based material derivative (RHS). This means that the layer-integrated bud-888 get diagnostics, as currently implemented, are inconsistent with the water mass trans-889 formation approach! In the Baltic sea test case presented here, the erroneous transfor-890 mations due to the omitted advective ocean tracer flux divergence term $\Delta_{\sigma}^{k} \left(\mathcal{H}(-k)\lambda Q_{M}^{\mathrm{Mrf}}\right)$ 891 are, relative to other terms in the budget, $\mathcal{O}(10^{-2})$ for temperature but $\mathcal{O}(1)$ for salin-892 ity (for which $\lambda_M = 0$ by assumption for freshwater, whereas $\lambda = \mathcal{O}(30)$ ppt) and there-893 fore can not be neglected. 894

While neither the advective ocean tracer flux divergence $\Delta_{\sigma}^{k} \left(\mathcal{H}(-k)\lambda Q_{M}^{\mathrm{surf}} \right)$ nor the non-advective ocean tracer flux divergence $\Delta_{\sigma}^{k} \left(\mathcal{H}(-k) \left[Q_{\lambda}^{\mathrm{surf}} + (\lambda_{M} - \lambda) Q_{M}^{\mathrm{surf}} \right] \right)$ are directly available as layer-integrated budget tendencies, they can be nevertheless be estimated offline from the product of $[\lambda]^{k=0}$ (often set to the upper-most layer's concentra-895 896 897 tion $[\lambda]^{k=\frac{1}{2}}$ and Q_M^{surf} . However, this offline operation inevitably omits temporal cor-899 relation terms below the diagnostic averaging period, thereby introducing errors into the 900 otherwise exact budget. We recommend this approach eventually be replaced by a new 901 online diagnostic for $\lambda Q_M^{\text{surf}}$, ideally as a three-dimensional layer-integrated budget ten-902 dency. In any case, subtracting the advective ocean tracer flux term from both sides of 903 equation (B1-B7) and rearranging the layer-integrated budget into the kinematic part 904 (LHS) and non-conservative process-based part (RHS), we arrive at the desired equa-905 tion (A36-A43). 906

⁹⁰⁷ Appendix C Boussinesq relationships

⁹⁰⁸ Under the Boussinesq approximation, the flow field is non-divergent ($\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{v} = 0$), ⁹⁰⁹ which one might expect to simplify the water mass (or volume) budget. For instance, ⁹¹⁰ directly integrating the continuity equation $\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{v} = 0$ over $\Omega(\tilde{\lambda}, t)$ and following a sim-⁹¹¹ ilar logic as in the above section, we have

$$-\int_{\Omega} \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{v} dV = -\oint_{\partial\Omega} \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{n}}^{(\partial\Omega)} dS = -\int_{\partial\Omega_{surf}} \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{n}}^{(s)} dS - \int_{\partial\mathcal{R}} \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{n}}^{(\partial\mathcal{R})} dS - \partial\lambda \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}\lambda dV = 0.$$
(C1)

912 913

Additionally, by applying Leibniz' integral rule (6) to the volume budget (i.e., setting F = 1 instead of $F = \rho$), we have

$$\partial_t \mathcal{V}_{\Omega} \equiv \partial_t \int_{\Omega} \mathrm{d}V = \oint_{\partial\Omega} \boldsymbol{v}^{(\partial\Omega)} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{n}} \mathrm{d}S = \int_{\partial\Omega_{\mathrm{surf}}} \boldsymbol{v}^{(s)} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{n}}^{(s)} \mathrm{d}S - \partial_{\tilde{\lambda}} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial\lambda}{\partial t} \mathrm{d}V.$$
(C2)

Summing (C1) and (C2), and using equation (16), yields a λ -water volume budget

$$\partial_t \mathcal{V}_{\Omega} + \int_{\partial \Omega_{\text{surf}}} (\boldsymbol{v} - \boldsymbol{v}^{(s)}) \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{n}}^{(s)} \mathrm{d}S + \int_{\partial \mathcal{R}} \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{n}}^{(\partial \mathcal{R})} \mathrm{d}S = -\partial_{\tilde{\lambda}} \left[\int_{\Omega} \dot{\lambda} \, \mathrm{d}V \right], \quad (C3)$$

which is, modulo a reference density $\rho = \rho_0$, identical to the λ -WMT budget (29).

In theory, the possibility of separately evaluating the two components (C1 and C2) might provide more granular insight into the WMT budget. Because boundary mass fluxes Q_M^{surf} are implemented directly in terms of the relative velocity $\boldsymbol{v}-\boldsymbol{v}^{(s)}$, equations (C1) and (C2) are intrinsically coupled and can not be separately evaluated. In many cases, however, the surface mass flux only plays a minor role in the water volume budget, such that the following approximate relationships can still be useful (see Bailey et al., 2023):

$$\partial_t \mathcal{V}_{\Omega} \simeq -\partial_{\tilde{\lambda}} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial t} \mathrm{d}V$$
 (C4)

$$\int_{\partial \mathcal{R} \cap \{\lambda \leq \tilde{\lambda}\}} \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{n}}^{(\partial \mathcal{R})} \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{S} = -\partial_{\tilde{\lambda}} \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \lambda \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{V}$$
(C5)

The utility of these approximate relationships may carry over to the non-Boussinesq case, even as they become even less exact.

924 Appendix D Open Research

The calculations described above make use of a stack of new open-source Python packages, which leverage data structures and methods from xarray (Hoyer & Hamman, 2017) and xgcm (Abernathey et al., 2022) for out-of-memory operations on finite volume model grids.

Proper archiving and referencing of the following packages will be deferred to a 929 later stage of the publication process]. We use the new package regionate to define our 930 discretized regions R on the model grid and an updated version of sectionate to ac-931 cumulate mass transports normal to the boundary $\partial \mathbb{R}$ (see also Section 3.2). Water mass 932 properties and transformation rates are evaluated using an updated version of xwmt (Tesdal 933 et al., 2023). The remaining terms in the water mass budget are computed with the new 934 935 package xwmb. An additional package, xbudget, provides helper functions for wrangling complicated multi-level tracer budget diagnostics and is used to verify budget closure 936 and decompose high-level terms into constituent processes. All of the packages are de-937 signed to be agnostic to the specific formulation of the FV-GVC ocean model to promote 938 community uptake and facilitate inter-model comparisons, requiring only that users pro-939 vide metadata describing each model's grid geometry/topology and diagnostic mass/tracer 940 budgets. The current implementations only support curvilinear grid but future work aims 941 to develop support for arbitrary grid-face topologies (e.g. cubed-sphere) and grid geome-942 tries (i.e. unstructured triangular or hexagonal horizontal grids). 943

944 Acknowledgments

H.F.D. acknowledges support from the NOAA C&GC Fellowship (AWARD NO.) and

⁹⁴⁶ UC Irvine's School of Physical Sciences.

947 **References**

948	Abernathey, R. P., Busecke, J. J. M., Smith, T. A., Deauna, J. D., Banihirwe,	
949	A., Nicholas, T., Thielen, J. (2022, November). <i>xgcm.</i> Zenodo.	Re-
950	trieved 2023-08-07, from https://zenodo.org/record/7348619	doi:
951	10.5281/zenodo.7348619	

- Adcroft, A., Anderson, W., Balaji, V., Blanton, C., Bushuk, M., Dufour, C. O., ... 952 Zhang, R. (2019). The GFDL Global Ocean and Sea Ice Model OM4.0: Model 953 Description and Simulation Features. Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth 954 Systems, 11(10), 3167–3211. Retrieved 2020-12-06, from https://agupubs 955 .onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2019MS001726 (_eprint: 956 https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2019MS001726) doi: 957 https://doi.org/10.1029/2019MS001726 958
- Bailey, S. T., Jones, C. S., Abernathey, R. P., Gordon, A. L., & Yuan, X. (2023, April). Water mass transformation variability in the Weddell Sea in ocean reanalyses. Ocean Science, 19(2), 381–402. Retrieved 2023-07-21, from https://os.copernicus.org/articles/19/381/2023/ (Publisher: Copernicus GmbH) doi: 10.5194/os-19-381-2023
- Bladwell, C., Holmes, R. M., & Zika, J. D. (2021, July). Internal Salt Content:
 A Useful Framework for Understanding the Oceanic Branch of the Water
- Cycle. Journal of Physical Oceanography, 51(7), 2167–2179. Retrieved 2024-
- 96702-27, from https://journals.ametsoc.org/view/journals/phoc/51/7/968JPO-D-20-0212.1.xml969Journal of Physical Oceanography) doi: 10.1175/JPO-D-20-0212.1
- Broecker, W. S. (1982). *Tracers in the Sea* (Edition unstated ed.). Eldigio Pr.
- Burchard, H., & Rennau, H. (2008). Comparative quantification of physically and
 numerically induced mixing in ocean models. Ocean Modelling, 20, 293–311.
- 973
 Cessi, P. (2019). The Global Overturning Circulation. Annual Review of Marine Sci-974

 974
 ence, 11(1), 249–270. Retrieved 2022-01-08, from https://doi.org/10.1146/ 975

 975
 annurev-marine-010318-095241 (_eprint: https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-976

 976
 marine-010318-095241) doi: 10.1146/annurev-976
- 977 Chassignet, E., Hurlburt, H., Smedstad, O. M., Halliwell, G., Wallcraft, A., Metzger,

978	E. J., Srinivasan, A. (2006, March). Generalized Vertical Coordinates for
979	Eddy-Resolving Global and Coastal Ocean Forecasts. $Oceanography, 19(1),$
980	118-129. Retrieved 2024-02-29, from https://tos.org/oceanography/
981	article/generalized-vertical-coordinates-for-eddy-resolving-global
982	-and-coastal-ocea doi: 10.5670/oceanog.2006.95
983	de Lavergne, C., Madec, G., Le Sommer, J., Nurser, A. J. G., & Naveira Garabato,
984	A. C. (2016, February). On the Consumption of Antarctic Bottom Water
985	in the Abyssal Ocean. <i>Journal of Physical Oceanography</i> , 46(2), 635–661.
986	Retrieved 2019-01-02, from http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/10.1175/
987	JP0-D-14-0201.1 doi: 10.1175/JPO-D-14-0201.1
000	Deppenmeier A -L Bryan F O Kessler W S & Thompson L (2022 Octo-
900	ber) Diabatic Unwelling in the Tropical Pacific: Seasonal and Subseasonal
909	Variability Journal of Physical Oceanography 59(11) 2657–2668 Retrieved
990	2023-07-20 from https://journals_ametsoc_org/view/journals/nhoc/
991	52/11/IDD-D-21-0316 1 yml (Publicher: American Mateoralogical Society
992	Section: Journal of Physical Oceanography) doi: 10.1175/JPO D 21.0316.1
993	D'''' K N'' L N L L D L D L L D L K D L K M (2010)
994	Doos, K., Nilsson, J., Nycander, J., Brodeau, L., & Ballarotta, M. (2012). The
995	world Ocean thermonaline circulation. Journal of Physical Oceanography, 42,
996	1445–1460. doi: 10.11/5/JPO-D-11-0163.1
997	Drake, H. F., Ferrari, R., & Callies, J. (2020, August). Abyssal Circulation Driven
998	by Near-Boundary Mixing: Water Mass Transformations and Interior Strat-
999	ification. Journal of Physical Oceanography, 50(8), 2203–2226. Retrieved
1000	2020-11-29, from https://journals.ametsoc.org/jpo/article/50/8/2203/
1001	348530/Abyssal-Circulation-Driven-by-Near-Boundary-Mixing (Pub-
1002	lisher: American Meteorological Society) doi: 10.1175/JPO-D-19-0313.1
1003	Drake, H. F., Ruan, X., & Ferrari, R. (2022, November). Diapycnal Displace-
1004	ment, Diffusion, and Distortion of Tracers in the Ocean. Journal of Physical
1005	<i>Oceanography</i> , 52(12), 3221–3240. Retrieved 2022-12-02, from https://
1006	journals.ametsoc.org/view/journals/phoc/52/12/JPO-D-22-0010.1.xml
1007	(Publisher: American Meteorological Society Section: Journal of Physical
1008	Oceanography) doi: $10.1175/JPO-D-22-0010.1$
1009	Döös, K., Nycander, J., & Coward, A. C. (2008). Lagrangian decomposition of the
1010	Deacon Cell. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 113(7), 1–13. (ISBN:
1011	0148-0227) doi: 10.1029/2007JC004351
1012	Döös, K., & Webb, D. J. (1994, February). The Deacon Cell and the Other Merid-
1013	ional Cells of the Southern Ocean. Journal of Physical Oceanography, $24(2)$,
1014	429-442. Retrieved 2018-05-08, from http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/
1015	abs/10.1175/1520-0485%281994%29024%3C0429%3ATDCAT0%3E2.0.C0%3B2
1016	doi: 10.1175/1520-0485(1994)024(0429:TDCATO)2.0.CO;2
1017	Evans, D. G., Holliday, N. P., Bacon, S., & Le Bras, I. (2023, June). Mixing and
1018	air-sea buoyancy fluxes set the time-mean overturning circulation in the sub-
1019	polar North Atlantic and Nordic Seas. Ocean Science, 19(3), 745–768. Re-
1020	trieved 2023-09-18, from https://os.copernicus.org/articles/19/745/
1021	2023/ (Publisher: Copernicus GmbH) doi: 10.5194/os-19-745-2023
1022	Evans, D. G., Zika, J. D., Naveira Garabato, A. C., & Nurser, A. J. G. (2018).
1023	The Cold Transit of Southern Ocean Upwelling. Geonhusical Research
1024	Letters, 45(24), 13,386–13,395. Retrieved 2024-02-27. from https://
1025	onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2018GL079986 (eprint:
1026	https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2018GL079986) doi:
1027	10.1029/2018GL079986
1028	Ferrari, R., Mashavek, A., McDougall, T. J. Nikurashin, M. & Campin, J
1020	M (2016 July) Turning Ocean Mixing Unside Down Journal of
1030	Physical Oceanography, 46(7) 2239–2261 Retrieved 2019-01-02 from
1031	$http://iournals.ametsoc.org/doi/10_1175/JPD-D-15-0244_1_doi$
1032	10.1175/JPO-D-15-0244.1
1032	

1033	Ferrari, R., & Wunsch, C. (2009). Ocean Circulation Kinetic Energy: Reservoirs,
1034	Sources, and Sinks. Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics, $41(1)$, 253–282. (ISBN: 0066-4189) doi: 10.1146/annurgy fluid 40.111406.102139
1035	Forget C. Campin I. M. Heimbach D. Hill C. N. Donto D. M. & Wun
1036	rolget, G., Campin, JW., Heinbach, I., Hin, C. N., Fonte, R. M., & Wun- sch C (2015 October) ECCO version 4: an integrated framework for
1037	non linear inverse modeling and global ocean state estimation
1038	non-intera inverse modeling and global ocean state estimation. $Geosci-$
1039	https://md.commission.com/onticles/2/2071/2015/ (Publishow Commission
1040	nicus GmbH) doi: $10.5194/\text{gmd}-8-3071-2015$ (1 ubitsher. Coper-
1041	Fox-Kemper B Adcroft A Böning C W Chassignet E P Curchitser E Dan-
1042	abasoglu G Veager S G (2019) Challenges and Prospects in Ocean Cir-
1043	culation Models Frontiers in Marine Science 6 Retrieved 2023-08-01 from
1045	https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmars.2019.00065
1046	Griffies, S. M. (2024). <i>Geophysical Fluid Mechanics</i> . (Draft available at
1047	https://stephengriffies.github.io/assets/pdfs/GFM_lectures.pdf)
1048	Griffies, S. M., Adcroft, A., & Hallberg, R. W. (2020). A Primer on the Ver-
1049	tical Lagrangian-Remap Method in Ocean Models Based on Finite Vol-
1050	ume Generalized Vertical Coordinates. Journal of Advances in Model-
1051	ing Earth Systems, 12(10), e2019MS001954. Retrieved 2023-10-11, from
1052	https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2019MS001954
1053	(_eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2019MS001954)
1054	doi: 10.1029/2019MS001954
1055	Griffies, S. M., Danabasoglu, G., Durack, P. J., Adcroft, A. J., Balaji, V., Böning,
1056	C. W., Yeager, S. G. (2016, September). OMIP contribution to CMIP6:
1057	experimental and diagnostic protocol for the physical component of the Ocean
1058	Model Intercomparison Project. Geoscientific Model Development, 9(9), 3231-
1059	3296. Retrieved 2024-02-27, from https://gmd.copernicus.org/articles/9/
1060	3231/2016/ (Publisher: Copernicus GmbH) doi: 10.5194/gmd-9-3231-2016
1061	Griffies, S. M., Pacanowski, R. C., & Hallberg, R. W. (2000, March). Spu-
1062	rious Diapycnal Mixing Associated with Advection in a z -Coordinate
1063	Ocean Model. Monthly Weather Review, 128(3), 538–564. Retrieved
1064	2018-10-20, from http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/abs/10.1175/
1065	1520-0493%282000%29128%3C0538%3ASDMAWA%3E2.0.C0%3B2 doi: $10.1175/$
1066	1520-0493(2000)128(0538:SDMAWA)2.0.CO;2
1067	Groeskamp, S., Griffies, S. M., Iudicone, D., Marsh, R., Nurser, A. G., & Zika, J. D.
1068	(2019). The Water Mass Transformation Framework for Ocean Physics and
1069	Biogeochemistry. Annual Review of Marine Science, 11(1), 271–305. Re-
1070	trieved 2022-11-04, from https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-marine-010318
1071	-095421 (_eprint: https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-marine-010318-095421)
1072	doi: 10.1146/annurev-marine-010318-095421
1073	Groeskamp, S., Zika, J. D., McDougall, T. J., Sloyan, B. M., & Laliberté, F.
1074	(2014, July). The Representation of Ocean Circulation and Variability in
1075	Thermodynamic Coordinates. Journal of Physical Oceanography, $44(7)$,
1076	1735-1750. Retrieved 2023-08-31, from https://journals.ametsoc.org/
1077	view/journals/phoc/44/7/jpo-d-13-0213.1.xml (Publisher: Ameri-
1078	can Meteorological Society Section: Journal of Physical Oceanography) doi:
1079	10.1175/JPO-D-13-0213.1
1080	Held, I. M., Guo, H., Adcroft, A., Dunne, J. P., Horowitz, L. W., Krasting,
1081	J., Zaden, N. (2019). Structure and Performance of GFDL's
1082	UNI4.U Climate Model. Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Sys-
1083	<i>tems</i> , 11(11), 3091–3(2(. Retrieved 2022-12-12, from https://
1084	onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2019MS001829 (_eprint: https://onlinelibrowy.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2010MS001829)
1085	10,1020/2010MS001820 doi:
1086	10.1029/2019Mi0001029
1087	пш, С., гегтеіга, D., Campin, JM., Marsnall, J., Adernathey, K., & Barrier, N.
1088	(2012, January). Controlling spurious diapycnal mixing in eddy-resolving
------	--
1089	height-coordinate ocean models – Insights from virtual deliberate tracer
1090	release experiments. Ocean Modelling, 45-46, 14–26. Retrieved 2023-
1091	08-28, from https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/
1092	S1463500311001880 doi: 10.1016/j.ocemod.2011.12.001
1093	Holmes, R. M., Zika, J. D., & England, M. H. (2019, January). Diathermal Heat
1094	Transport in a Global Ocean Model. Journal of Physical Oceanography, $49(1)$,
1095	141-161. Retrieved 2024-02-27, from https://journals.ametsoc.org/view/
1096	journals/phoc/49/1/jpo-d-18-0098.1.xml (Publisher: American Meteoro-
1097	logical Society Section: Journal of Physical Oceanography) doi: 10.1175/JPO
1098	-D-18-0098.1
1099	Holmes, R. M., Zika, J. D., Griffies, S. M., Hogg, A. M., Kiss, A. E., & Eng-
1100	land, M. H. (2021). The Geography of Numerical Mixing in a Suite
1101	of Global Ocean Models. Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Sys-
1102	<i>tems</i> , 13(7), e2020MS002333. Retrieved 2023-08-01, from https://
1103	onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2020MS002333 (_eprint:
1104	https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2020MS002333) doi:
1105	10.1029/2020 MS002333
1106	Hoyer, S., & Hamman, J. (2017, April). xarray: N-D labeled Arrays and
1107	Datasets in Python., 5(1), 10. Retrieved 2023-08-07, from https://
1108	openresearchsoftware.metajnl.com/articles/10.5334/jors.148 (Num-
1109	ber: 1 Publisher: Ubiquity Press) doi: 10.5334/jors.148
1110	Huang, R. (2005, January). Available potential energy in the world's ocean. Journal
1111	of Marine Research, 63. doi: 10.1357/0022240053693770
1112	Ilıcak, M., Adcroft, A. J., Griffies, S. M., & Hallberg, R. W. (2012, January). Spu-
1113	rious dianeutral mixing and the role of momentum closure. Ocean Modelling,
1114	45-46, 37-58. Retrieved 2023-08-28, from https://www.sciencedirect.com/
1115	science/article/pii/S1463500311001685 doi: 10.1016/j.ocemod.2011.10
1116	.003
1117	Iselin, C. O. (1939). The influence of vertical and lateral turbulence on the charac-
1118	teristics of the waters at mid-depth. $EOS, 3, 414-417$.
1119	Iudicone, D., Madec, G., & McDougall, T. J. (2008, July). Water-Mass Trans-
1120	formations in a Neutral Density Framework and the Key Role of Light Pen-
1121	etration. Journal of Physical Oceanography, 38(7), 1357–1376. Retrieved
1122	2023-08-28, from https://journals.ametsoc.org/view/journals/phoc/38/
1123	(Publisher: American Meteorological Society Section:
1124	Journal of Physical Oceanography) doi: 10.1175/2007JPO3464.1
1125	Dil and Malerle' M. (2011, Mar) Weter manual a sufficient framework for
1126	Ribera d'Alcala, M. (2011, May). Water masses as a unifying framework for understanding the Southern Ocean Carbon Cycle. $Piggeogeneration of (5)$ 1021
1127	understanding the Southern Ocean Carbon Cycle. $Diogeosciences, \delta(5), 1051-$ 1052 Betrieved 2024 02 27 from https://bg.conorpi.cug.org/orticlog/8/
1128	1031/2011/ (Publicher: Congrature CmbH) doi: 10.5104/bg.8.1031.2011
1129	Lackett D R & McDougell T I (1007 February) A Neutral Density Vari
1130	she for the World's Oceans $Lewral of Physical Oceanscraphy 97(2) 227$
1131	263 Retrieved 2010-01-02 from http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/abs/
1132	10 1175/1520-0485/281997/29027/300237/30ANDVET/3F2 0 CD/3B2 doi:
1133	10.1175/1520-0485(1997)027(0237:ANDVFT)2.0 CO:2
1134	Lee M-M Coward A C & Nurser A I G (2002 May) Spurious Diapyc-
1135	nal Mixing of the Deep Waters in an Eddy-Permitting Global Ocean Model
1137	Journal of Physical Oceanography, 32(5), 1522–1535 Retrieved 2023-08-
1138	01. from https://journals.ametsoc.org/view/journals/phoc/32/5/
1139	1520-0485_2002_032_1522_sdmotd_2.0.co_2.xml (Publisher: American
1140	Meteorological Society Section: Journal of Physical Oceanography) doi:
1141	10.1175/1520-0485(2002)032(1522:SDMOTD)2.0.CO:2
1142	Lele, R., Purkey, S. G., Nash, J. D., MacKinnon, J. A., Thurnherr, A. M., Whalen,

1143	C. B., Talley, L. D. (2021, November). Abyssal Heat Budget in the
1144	Southwest Pacific Basin. Journal of Physical Oceanography, 51(11), 3317–
1145	3333. Retrieved 2021-11-22, from https://journals.ametsoc.org/view/
1146	journals/phoc/51/11/JPO-D-21-0045.1.xml (Publisher: American
1147	Meteorological Society Section: Journal of Physical Oceanography) doi:
1148	10.1175/JPO-D-21-0045.1
1149	Lumpkin, R., & Speer, K. (2007). Global Ocean Meridional Overturning. Journal
1150	of Physical Oceanography, 37(10), 2550-2562. Retrieved from http://dx.doi
1151	.org/10.1175/JP03130.1 (ISBN: 0022-3670) doi: 10.1175/JPO3130.1
1152	Marques, G. M., Loose, N., Yankovsky, E., Steinberg, J. M., Chang, CY., Bhamidi-
1153	pati, N., Zanna, L. (2022, September). NeverWorld2: an idealized model
1154	hierarchy to investigate ocean mesoscale eddies across resolutions. <i>Geosci</i> -
1155	entific Model Development, 15(17), 6567–6579. Retrieved 2022-10-27, from
1156	https://gmd.copernicus.org/articles/15/6567/2022/ (Publisher: Coper-
1157	nicus GmbH) doi: 10.5194/gmd-15-6567-2022
1158	Marsh, R., Nurser, A. J. G., Megann, A. P., & New, A. L. (2000, May). Water
1159	Mass Transformation in the Southern Ocean of a Global Isopycnal Coordi-
1160	nate GCM. Journal of Physical Oceanography, 30(5), 1013–1045. Retrieved
1161	2024-01-09. from https://journals.ametsoc.org/view/journals/phoc/
1162	30/5/1520-0485 2000 030 1013 wmtits 2.0.co 2.xml (Publisher: Ameri-
1163	can Meteorological Society Section: Journal of Physical Oceanography) doi:
1164	10 1175/1520-0485(2000)030/1013·WMTITS>2.0 CO-2
1165	Marshall I Jamous D & Nilsson I (1999) Beconciling thermodynamic and dy-
1166	namic methods of computation of water-mass transformation rates Deen-Sea
1167	Research Part I: Oceanoaraphic Research Papers (6(4) 545–572 (ISBN: 0967-
1169	0637) doi: 10.1016/S0967-0637(98)00082-X
1100	March C. Forget C. Buckley M. Marchall I. & Coroverki I. (2000 August)
1169	Using Transformation and Formation Maps to Study the Bole of Air-Soa Heat
1170	Fluxes in North Atlantic Fighteen Degree Water Formation Lowrnal of Phys
1171	ical Oceanography 20(8) 1818–1835 Botriovod 2023 07 21 from https://
1172	iournals amoteos org/viou/iournals/phoc/39/8/2009ipo3985_1_vml
1173	(Publisher: American Meteorological Society Section: Journal of Physical
1174	(rubisher: American Meteorological Society Section: Southar of Physical Oceanography) doi: 10.1175/2000 IPO3085.1
1175	McDoursell T. Foistel P. Millero F. & Jackstt D. (2000) The international
1176	thermodynamic equation of segurator 2010 (toos 10): Calculation and use of
1177	thermodynamic equation of seawater 2010 (teos-10). Calculation and use of
1178	McDecerell T. I. Considering C. & Criffing C. M. (2014). On memory of the second state of
1179	McDougail, 1. J., Groeskamp, S., & Grimes, S. M. (2014). On geometric aspects of
1180	interior ocean mixing. Journal of Physical Oceanography, 44, 2104–2175.
1181	Megann, A. (2018, January). Estimating the numerical diapycnal mixing in an
1182	eddy-permitting ocean model. <i>Ocean Modelling</i> , 121, 19–33. Retrieved
1183	2022-12-19, from https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/
1184	S146350031/301/62 doi: 10.1016/j.ocemod.2017.11.001
1185	Molenkamp, C. R. (1968, April). Accuracy of Finite-Difference Methods Applied
1186	to the Advection Equation. Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology,
1187	7(2), 160-167. Retrieved 2023-08-25, from https://journals.ametsoc.org/
1188	view/journals/apme/7/2/1520-0450_1968_007_0160_aofdma_2_0_co_2.xml
1189	(Publisher: American Meteorological Society Section: Journal of Applied
1190	Meteorology and Climatology) doi: $10.1175/1520-0450(1968)007(0160)$:
1191	$AOFDMA \rangle 2.0.CO;2$
1192	Nurser, A. G., & Griffies, S. M. (2019). Relating diffusive surface salinity fluxes
1193	to boundary freshwater and salt fluxes. Journal of Physical Oceanography, 49,
1194	2365-2376. doi: 10.1175/JPO-D-19-0037.1
1194 1195	2365-2376. doi: 10.1175/JPO-D-19-0037.1 Nurser, A. J. G., Marsh, R., & Williams, R. G. (1999, July). Diagnosing
1194 1195 1196	2365-2376. doi: 10.1175/JPO-D-19-0037.1 Nurser, A. J. G., Marsh, R., & Williams, R. G. (1999, July). Diagnosing Water Mass Formation from Air–Sea Fluxes and Surface Mixing. Jour-

1198	18, from https://journals.ametsoc.org/view/journals/phoc/29/7/
1199	1520-0485_1999_029_1468_dwmffa_2.0.co_2.xml (Publisher: American
1200	Meteorological Society Section: Journal of Physical Oceanography) doi:
1201	10.1175/1520-0485(1999)029(1468:DWMFFA)2.0.CO;2
1202	Purkey, S. G., & Johnson, G. C. (2012, September). Global Contraction of Antarc-
1203	tic Bottom Water between the 1980s and 2000s. Journal of Climate, 25(17),
1204	5830-5844. Retrieved 2022-11-28, from https://journals.ametsoc.org/
1205	view/journals/clim/25/17/jcli-d-11-00612.1.xml (Publisher: Amer-
1206	ican Meteorological Society Section: Journal of Climate) doi: 10.1175/
1207	JCLI-D-11-00612.1
1208	Ringler, T., Petersen, M., Higdon, R. L., Jacobsen, D., Jones, P. W., & Maltrud,
1209	M. (2013, September). A multi-resolution approach to global ocean model-
1210	ing. Ocean Modelling, 69, 211–232. Retrieved 2023-12-06, from https://
1211	www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1463500313000760 doi:
1212	10.1016/i.ocemod.2013.04.010
1012	Saenz I A Tailleux B Butler E D Hughes G O & Oliver K I C (2015)
1213	May) Estimating Lorenz's Reference State in an Ocean with a Nonlinear
1214	Equation of State for Segwater Lowrnal of Physical Oceanography (5(5))
1215	1242-1257 Betrieved 2023-11-20 from https://journals.ametsoc.org/
1216	1242-1257. Refine ver 2025-11-25, nom net ps.//journals.ametsot.org/
1217	an Meteorological Society Section: Journal of Physical Oceanography) doi:
1218	10.1175 / IDO D 14.0105.1
1219	Cohoil T. Holman D. M. & Zika I. D. (2022 April) Water Man Coordinates
1220	Sonali, I., Holmes, R. M., & Zika, J. D. (2023, April). Water-Mass Coordinates
1221	Isolate the Historical Ocean warming Signal. Journal of Climate, 30(9), 3063–
1222	3081. Retrieved 2023-08-01, from https://journals.ametsoc.org/view/
1223	journals/clim/36/9/JCLI-D-22-0363.1.xml (Publisher: American Meteo-
1224	rological Society Section: Journal of Climate) doi: 10.11/5/JCLI-D-22-0363.1
1225	Stanley, G. J., McDougall, T. J., & Barker, P. M. (2021). Algorithmic Improve-
1226	ments to Finding Approximately Neutral Surfaces. Journal of Advances
1227	in Modeling Earth Systems, $13(5)$, e2020MS002436. Retrieved 2023-11-30,
1228	from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2020MS002436
1229	(_eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2020MS002436) doi:
1230	10.1029/2020MS002436
1231	Stewart, K. D., Saenz, J. A., Hogg, A. M., Hughes, G. O., & Griffiths, R. W. (2014,
1232	April). Effect of topographic barriers on the rates of available potential
1233	energy conversion of the oceans. Ocean Modelling, 76, 31–42. Retrieved
1234	2022-12-19, from https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/
1235	S1463500314000146 doi: 10.1016/j.ocemod.2014.02.001
1236	Sverdrup, H., Johnson, M., & Fleming, R. (1942). The Oceans: Their Physics,
1237	Chemistry and General Biology. Oceanography, 1104. (arXiv: 1011.1669v3
1238	ISBN: 9788578110796) doi: 10.2307/210609
1239	Tamsitt, V., Abernathev, R. P., Mazloff, M. R., Wang, J., & Tallev, L. D. (2018)
1240	March). Transformation of Deep Water Masses Along Lagrangian Upwelling
1241	Pathways in the Southern Ocean: SOUTHERN OCEAN UPWELLING
1242	TRANSFORMATION Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans 123(3)
1242	1994-2017 Retrieved 2019-01-02 from http://doi.wilev.com/10.1002/
1243	2017.JC013409 doi: 10.1002/2017.JC013409
1244	Tordal I F MacCilchrist C A Boadling B I Criffice S M Krast
1245	ing I P & Durack P I (2023) Revisiting Interior Water Mass
1240	Bosponsos to Surface Forcing Changes and the Subsequent Effects on
1247	Overturning in the Southern Ocean Issuered of Coenhavieral Passageh.
1248	Oceane 198(2) o2022 IC010105 Detriourd 2022 02 10 from https://
1249	120(3), $120(3)$, $120(2)$, $1000000000000000000000000000000000000$
1250	https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2022J0019105 (_eprint:
1251	10,1020/2022JC010105 (001/10.1029/2022JC019105) (001:
1252	10.1029/2022JO019100

1253	Toggweiler, J. R., & Samuels, B. (1998). On the Ocean's Large-Scale Circula-
1254	tion near the Limit of No Vertical Mixing. Journal of Physical Oceanog-
1255	raphy, 28(9), 1832–1852. Retrieved from http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/
1256	1520-0485(1998)028%3C1832:0T0SLS%3E2.0.C0%5Cn2 (ISBN: 0022-3670)
1257	doi: 10.1175/1520-0485(1998)028(1832:OTOSLS)2.0.CO;2
1258	Tziperman, E. (1986, April). On the Role of Interior Mixing and Air-Sea
1259	Fluxes in Determining the Stratification and Circulation of the Oceans.
1260	Journal of Physical Oceanography, 16(4), 680–693. Retrieved 2021-05-
1261	27, from https://journals.ametsoc.org/view/journals/phoc/16/4/
1262	1520-0485_1986_016_0680_otroim_2_0_co_2.xml (Publisher: American
1263	Meteorological Society Section: Journal of Physical Oceanography) doi:
1264	10.1175/1520-0485(1986)016(0680:OTROIM)2.0.CO;2
1265	Urakawa, L. S., & Hasumi, H. (2012, August). Eddy-Resolving Model Estimate
1266	of the Cabbeling Effect on the Water Mass Transformation in the Southern
1267	Ocean. Journal of Physical Oceanography, 42(8), 1288–1302. Retrieved 2023-
1268	08-25, from https://journals.ametsoc.org/view/journals/phoc/42/8/
1269	jpo-d-11-0173.1.xml (Publisher: American Meteorological Society Section:
1270	Journal of Physical Oceanography) doi: 10.1175/JPO-D-11-0173.1
1271	Urakawa, L. S., & Hasumi, H. (2014, February). Effect of numerical diffusion on the
1272	water mass transformation in eddy-resolving models. Ocean Modelling, 74, 22-
1273	35. Retrieved 2023-08-25, from https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/
1274	article/pii/S1463500313002047 doi: 10.1016/j.ocemod.2013.11.003
1275	Walin, G. (1982, January). On the relation between sea-surface heat flow and ther-
1276	mal circulation in the ocean. $Tellus, 34(2), 187-195$. Retrieved 2020-02-10,
1277	from https://doi.org/10.3402/tellusa.v34i2.10801 doi: 10.3402/tellusa
1278	.v34i2.10801
1279	Winters, K. B., Lombard, P. N., Riley, J. J., & D'Asaro, E. A. (1995, April).
1280	Available potential energy and mixing in density-stratified fluids. Journal
1281	of Fluid Mechanics, 289, 115-128. Retrieved 2021-06-09, from https://www
1282	.cambridge.org/core/journals/journal-of-fluid-mechanics/article/
1283	available-potential-energy-and-mixing-in-densitystratified-fluids/
1284	A45F1A40521FF0A0DC82BC705AD398DA (Publisher: Cambridge University
1285	Press) doi: 10.1017/S002211209500125X
1286	Zika, J. D., England, M. H., & Sijp, W. P. (2012, May). The Ocean Circulation
1287	in Thermohaline Coordinates. Journal of Physical Oceanography, $42(5)$,
1288	708-724. Retrieved 2023-08-31, from https://journals.ametsoc.org/
1289	view/journals/phoc/42/5/jpo-d-11-0139.1.xml (Publisher: Ameri-
1290	can Meteorological Society Section: Journal of Physical Oceanography) doi:
1291	10.1175/JPO-D-11-0139.1
1292	Zika, J. D., & Taimoor, S. (2023, July). An optimal transformation method
1293	for inferring ocean tracer sources and sinks. EGUsphere, 1–25. Re-
1294	trieved 2024-02-27, from https://egusphere.copernicus.org/preprints/
1295	2023/egusphere-2023-1220/ (Publisher: Copernicus GmbH) doi:
1296	10.5194/egusphere-2023-1220

Water Mass Transformation Budgets in Finite-Volume Generalized Vertical Coordinate Ocean Models

Henri. F. Drake¹, Shanice Bailey², Raphael Dussin^{3,5}, Stephen M. Griffies^{4,5}, John Krasting⁵, Graeme MacGilchrist⁶, Geoffrey Stanley⁷, Jan-Erik Tesdal⁴, Jan D. Zika^{8,9}

¹Department of Earth System Science, University of California Irvine, Irvine, CA, USA
 ²Columbia University, Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, NY, USA
 ³University Corporation for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, CO, USA
 ⁴Princeton University Program in Atmospheric and Oceanic Sciences, Princeton, NJ, USA
 ⁵NOAA Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory, Princeton, NJ, USA
 ⁶School of Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of St. Andrews, Scotland
 ⁷School of Earth and Ocean Sciences, University of Victoria, Canada
 ⁸School of Mathematics and Statistics, University of New South Wales, Australia
 ⁹Australian Centre for Excellence in Antarctic Science, University of New South Wales, Australia

Key Points:

1

2

3

4

6

13 14

15

16	٠	Water mass budgets provide insights into the processes transforming material wa-
17		ter mass properties and how they relate to circulation.
18	•	Spurious water mass transformations due to advection scheme errors can be quan-
19		tified by combining mass and tracer budget diagnostics.
20	•	We describe best practices for model analysis and present a novel Python stack
21		for model-agnostic and out-of-memory regional calculations.

Corresponding author: Henri Drake, hfdrake@uci.edu

22 Abstract

Water mass transformation theory provides conceptual tools that in principle enable innovative analyses of numerical ocean models; in practice, however, these methods can be challenging to implement and interpret, and therefore remain under-utilized. Our aim is to demonstrate the feasibility of diagnosing all terms in the water mass budget and to exemplify their usefulness for scientific inquiry and model development by quantitatively relating water mass changes, overturning circulations, boundary fluxes, and interior mixing.

30 We begin with a pedagogical derivation of key results of classical water mass transformation theory. We then describe best practices for diagnosing each of the water mass 31 budget terms from the output of Finite-Volume Generalized Vertical Coordinate (FV-32 GVC) ocean models, including the identification of a non-negligible remainder term as 33 the spurious numerical mixing due to advection scheme discretization errors. We illus-34 trate key aspects of the methodology through an example application to diagnostics from 35 a polygonal region of a Baltic Sea regional configuration of the Modular Ocean Model 36 v6 (MOM6). We verify the convergence of our WMT diagnostics by brute-force, com-37 paring time-averaged diagnostics on various vertical grids to timestep-averaged diagnos-38 tics on the native model grid. Finally, we briefly describe a stack of xarray-enabled Python 39 packages for evaluating WMT budgets in FV-GVC models, which is intended to be model-40 agnostic and available for community use and development. 41

42 Plain Language Summary

A useful tool for characterizing ocean variability and change is water mass anal-43 ysis, in which the ocean is decomposed into parcels with distinct properties (such as their 44 temperature, density, or dissolved oxygen concentrations). Water mass transformation 45 theory provides a concise equation for the evolution of these water masses, which can 46 be used to identify the various processes that act to increase or decrease the total mass 47 (or size) of each parcel. In practice, however, calculating the terms in these WMT bud-48 get equations from ocean model simulation output is technically challenging, limiting the 49 creativity of applications in the literature. We review the fundamentals of water mass 50 transformation theory, explain how to calculate WMT budgets based on the output of 51 a generic ocean model simulation (with examples from a widely used one), and summa-52 rize a new publicly-available software for doing such calculations. 53

54 1 Introduction

The mean state, intrinsic variability, and forced changes of the global ocean can 55 be usefully characterized by dividing waters into several distinct water masses and an-56 alyzing their respective steady balances or transient evolutions (Sverdrup et al., 1942). 57 Each such water mass is defined by the intersection of bounds on scalar tracer concen-58 trations and/or fixed spatial coordinates that define a region of the globe. (For conve-59 nience, we use the term 'water mass' to refer to both the region that it occupies in space, 60 Ω , as well as the total mass of seawater in the region, \mathcal{M}_{Ω} ; it will be clear which is meant 61 from context and notation.) The ocean's global overturning circulation, for example, is 62 canonically described as the circulation of water masses through latitude-density space 63 (Döös & Webb, 1994; Lumpkin & Speer, 2007; Cessi, 2019). Since density variations ex-64 ert a significant control on oceanic flow, it is common to categorizing water masses in 65 distinct density classes. Seawater density itself depends nonlinearly on temperature and 66 salinity (and pressure), which due to their different boundary conditions are partially 67 independent and are thus used to further distinguish water masses. Passive tracers, es-68 pecially dissolved or particulate biogeochemical substances, also serve as effective wa-69 ter mass tags (Broecker, 1982). We focus here on water masses defined by bounds on a 70 single scalar (e.g., temperature, density, or tracer concentration) but acknowledge that 71

it can be beneficial to consider the intersections of bounds on multiple tracers (e.g., to
analyze the thermohaline streamfunction as in Zika et al. (2012); Döös et al. (2012); Groeskamp
et al. (2014)). Throughout the text, we denote surfaces of constant scalar values as *iso*scalar
surfaces and the direction normal to isoscalar surfaces (in the direction of increasing scalar
value) as *dia*scalar.

In water mass analysis, ocean variability and change is understood as the variabil-77 ity and change of its constituent water *masses*. Kinematically, water mass change refers 78 to the movement of the isoscalar surfaces that bound the water mass. For instance, the 79 80 observed contraction of Antarctic Bottom Waters corresponds to the deepening of their bounding isopycnal surface due to decades of abyssal warming and freshening (Purkey 81 & Johnson, 2012). The utility of a particular tracer for water mass analysis depends on 82 how its source/sink and transport processes relate to the problem at hand. Water mass 83 analysis has long been used by oceanographers to infer patterns of large-scale ocean cir-84 culation from tracers with long residence times and known sources/sinks. Early anal-85 yses (e.g., Iselin (1939)) were carried out qualitatively and by hand, while more recent 86 analyses employ numerical models on fixed Eulerian grids. 87

A major conceptual breakthrough was Walin (1982)'s introduction of Water Mass 88 Transformation (WMT) theory, which directly equates the kinematic evolution and cir-89 culation of water masses to the various transformation processes that drive material change. 90 Tziperman (1986), A. J. G. Nurser et al. (1999), Marshall et al. (1999), Iudicone et al. 91 (2008) and others further developed important aspects of the theory. Groeskamp et al. 92 (2019) present a modern treatment of WMT fundamentals and review various applica-93 tions in the literature; our purpose here is not to repeat this exercise but instead to com-94 plement it by detailing how each of the terms in a water mass budget should be diag-95 nosed and interpreted in the context of finite-volume ocean models. Calculations of full 96 water mass transformation budgets are rare in the literature, reflecting the scarcity of 97 necessary diagnostics and the technical difficulty of implementing methods consistent with 98 increasingly complicated numerical model formulations. The difficulty of closing WMT ٩q budgets motivates a common use case, which is to assume a closed budget and simply 100 bundle any neglected terms into a remainder (e.g. Tesdal et al., 2023; Evans et al., 2023). 101 Many go even further, assuming the water mass distribution to be in steady state and 102 thus identifying the remainder of the water mass transformation terms as the diascalar 103 overturning transport (de Lavergne et al., 2016; Ferrari et al., 2016). A major caveat of 104 all these indirect inference approaches is that any errors in the explicitly calculated terms-105 whether observational, computational, or conceptual in nature-are obscured by bundling 106 them into the remainder along with the neglected terms; this total remainder term is of-107 ten found to be of leading order, calling into question interpretations of WMT budget 108 results. A more careful analysis would evaluate every term in the budget and confirm 109 that the remainder is zero-or at least sufficiently small to not affect the interpretation 110 of results (see Lele et al. (2021) for an observation-based example of an approximately 111 closed full WMT budget). 112

A related but more subtle problem with model-based water mass analysis regards 113 spurious water mass transformation due to numerical mixing, which is the effective di-114 ascalar mixing induced by artificial diffusive and dispersive errors in a discretized tracer 115 advection scheme (Molenkamp, 1968). Spurious water mass transformations in density 116 space (i.e. diapycnal) are particularly concerning because diapycnal mixing plays a leading-117 order role in ocean dynamics and energetics (Toggweiler & Samuels, 1998; Ferrari & Wun-118 sch, 2009) and because measured diapycnal mixing rates are small enough that they can 119 be easily overwhelmed by numerical errors (Griffies et al., 2000; Lee et al., 2002). Current-120 generation ocean and climate models still suffer from significant biases which can be traced 121 to excessive diapycnal mixing, much of which is thought to be due to spurious numer-122 ical mixing as opposed to over-tuned mixing parameterizations (Fox-Kemper et al., 2019). 123 Reducing spurious diapycnal mixing thus remains a priority of ocean model development 124

and a driver of major model configuration choices, such as the adoption of isopycnal or
hybrid generalized vertical coordinates (e.g., Adcroft et al., 2019; Griffies et al., 2020).
Despite the importance of numerical mixing for both the development of ocean models
and their application for scientific research, there is no consensus on the best practices
for quantifying it, and no open-source community diagnostics are presently available. Let
us briefly review some of the key approaches for quantifying numerical mixing in the literature and describe each of their limitations, motivating our novel approach here.

In their formative analysis, Griffies et al. (2000) apply Winters et al. (1995)'s avail-132 133 able potential energy-based framework to diagnose spurious diapycnal mixing from erroneous increases in background potential energy in explicitly 'adiabatic' idealized flows. 134 This background potential energy approach is invasive in that it requires temporarily 'spin-135 ning down' a diabatic model in a counterfactual adiabatic configuration; a limitation of 136 this approach is that the spurious mixing inferred from the adiabatic configuration does 137 not necessarily correspond to that of the diabatic configuration of interest. Ilicak et al. 138 (2012) apply this method to a suite of idealized and realistic ocean model configurations, 139 and demonstrate that numerical mixing is highest in an eddying depth-coordinate model. 140 The interpretation of such results requires additional humility, however, because the cal-141 culation of background potential energy by globally sorting the density field is ill-posed 142 for global ocean models with nonlinear equations of state and topography that dynam-143 ically decouples ocean basins (Huang, 2005; Stewart et al., 2014; Saenz et al., 2015).Hill 144 et al. (2012) propose an approach based on the diapycnal spreading of passive tracers; 145 however, this approach is not scalable to global climate models configurations due to the 146 many additional passive tracers that would need to be integrated. Drake et al. (2022) 147 raise a more fundamental problem with the tracer-based approach: a tracer's diapycnal 148 spreading rate is not exactly proportional to tracer-weighted diffusivity. Burchard and 149 Rennau (2008) propose a tracer variance approach motivated by turbulence studies, which 150 offers the means to map where spurious mixing occurs. However, it provides a three-dimensional 151 effective diffusivity and so does not distinguish between diapycnal and isopycnal. Given 152 these caveats and limitations of the above methods, we would like a more robust met-153 ric of spurious diascalar mixing that can be: 1) directly compared to parameterized di-154 ascalar mixing processes, 2) efficiently and unintrusively diagnosed, and 3) unambigu-155 ously interpreted. 156

Lee et al. (2002) pioneered a promising approach for diagnosing spurious diapyc-157 nal mixing as the remainder of a model's WMT budget, which in principle allows spu-158 rious mixing to be directly compared against other terms in the mass budget. Lee et al. 159 (2002)'s analysis suggests that spurious diapycnal mixing is an order of magnitude larger 160 than the parameterized vertical mixing in their model, although they invoke some ques-161 tionable assumptions to close the mass budget without directly diagnosing transforma-162 tions due to parameterized diffusion or surface fluxes. Megann (2018) improve upon this 163 approach by directly comparing effective diapycnal transports with those implied by pa-164 rameterized mixing, attributing the difference between the two to spurious numerical mix-165 ing. Urakawa and Hasumi (2012) and Urakawa and Hasumi (2014) extend the approach 166 by evaluating every term in the WMT budget and identifying the remainder as the spu-167 rious water mass transformation, without resorting to approximations or expressing the 168 results in terms of an 'effective' numerical diffusivity. (They additionally propose a method 169 for decomposing spurious numerical mixing into cabbeling and non-cabbeling compo-170 nents, but this requires implementing intrusive new diagnostics, so we neglect it here for 171 simplicity). Bailey et al. (2023) generalizes the approach from latitudinal coordinates to 172 a rectangular region with vertical walls. An important caveat of the above WMT bud-173 get calculations is that they consider transformations across potential density surfaces 174 (often referenced to either surface pressures $p = p_{\text{atm}} \approx 0 \text{ dbar}$, denoted ρ_0 , or a mid-175 depth pressure of 2000 dbar, denoted ρ_2). Because potential density surfaces deviate sig-176 nificantly from neutrality away from their reference pressure, purely isoneutral processes 177 can misleadingly appear to induce diapycnal transformations, potentially leading to mis-178

interpretation of results (see T. J. McDougall et al. (2014) as well as the discussion in 179 Section 4). Iudicone et al. (2008) address these limitations by diagnosing water mass trans-180 formations across approximately neutral density γ^n surfaces (as defined by Jackett and 181 McDougall (1997)); however, they do not diagnose all of the terms in the WMT bud-182 get and thus do not isolate the contributions from spurious numerical mixing. A prac-183 tical limitation of each of these approaches is that the calculation of lateral transport 184 terms is tailored to the particular analysis, such that it would take a considerable effort 185 to replicate the analysis for 1) a different region, 2) a model with a different grid or bud-186 get diagnostics, or 3) with respect to a different tracer. Holmes et al. (2021) cleverly sidestep 187 the two challenging aspects of WMT analysis described above-nonlinear equation of state 188 effects and the diagnosis of lateral transports across complicated regional boundaries-189 by framing their analysis in terms of dia*thermal* fluxes and by diagnosing budget terms 190 in *individual grid columns*. 191

We present a theoretically precise and numerically accurate approach for diagnos-192 ing closed WMT budgets in Finite-Volume ocean models with Generalized Vertical Co-193 ordinates (FV-GVC models). The following self-contained derivations of the theory are 194 inspired by the water mass transformation framework introduced by Walin (1982), re-195 cently reviewed by Groeskamp et al. (2019), and extended to the numerical modeling con-196 text by Lee et al. (2002), Urakawa and Hasumi (2012), and Bailey et al. (2023). Because 197 the derivations in these prior texts leave out many details and pass over some important 198 theoretical and practical aspects of water mass analysis, we present a more complete and 199 pedagogical derivation of the fundamental equations of water mass analysis. We argue 200 that this level of care is necessary to 1) correctly close regional WMT budgets, 2) con-201 fidently attribute the remainder term to spurious numerical mixing, and 3) robustly in-202 terpret the balance of terms in the budget. 203

Section 2 presents the continuous theory and Section 3 describes how each of these 204 terms is diagnosed in practice, including the identification of the remainder term with 205 spurious numerical mixing (Section 3.5). Section 4 concludes with a future outlook discussion, including discussions of unconventional WMT analyses and important subtleties 207 of WMT calculations in density space. Appendix A describes the relationship between 208 the continuous theory (Section 2) and the layer-integrated mass and tracer budget di-209 agnostics provided by FV-GVC models (used for the calculations in Section 3). Appendix 210 B describes how these terms correspond to diagnostics available in the Modular Ocean 211 Model v6 (MOM6; Adcroft et al. (2019)). In Appendix C we discuss some theoretical 212 aspects of WMT budgets under the Boussinesq approximation, which is employed by many 213 commonly used FV-GVC ocean models and often assumed in WMT analyses. Appendix 214 D describes the core open-source Python package (xwmb) developed to carry out these 215 WMT budget calculations, as well as the stack of packages it depends on, which are all 216 intended to be model-agnostic and available for use by the ocean modeling community. 217 Throughout, we provide illustrations of theoretical concepts and examples of numerical 218 calculations based on diagnostics from a year-long ocean-only simulation in a regional 219 Baltic Sea configuration of MOM6 at a nominal horizontal grid spacing of 0.25°. Ongo-220 ing extensions of the work to global OM4 (Adcroft et al., 2019) and CM4 (Held et al., 221 2019) outputs and approximately neutral density coordinates (following Stanley et al. 222 (2021)) are beyond the scope of this paper and will be described elsewhere. 223

224 2 Theory: kinematics of λ -water masses and their transformations

225

We are interested in the evolution of bulk water masses defined by contours $\{\tilde{\lambda}\}$

2.1 Evolution of λ -water masses

We are interested in the evolution of bulk water masses defined by contours $\{\lambda\}$ of a single given scalar $\lambda(\mathbf{x}, t)$, which we here define as the class of waters with $\lambda(\mathbf{x}, t) \leq$ λ . The key metric that characterizes a water mass is, unsurprisingly, its mass

$$\mathcal{M}(\tilde{\lambda}, t) \equiv \int_{\lambda(\boldsymbol{x}, t) \le \tilde{\lambda}} \rho \, \mathrm{d}V, \tag{1}$$

where $\rho(\boldsymbol{x}, t)$ is the seawater density *in situ* and dV is the volume element. More generally, we can consider the intersection $\Omega(\tilde{\lambda}, t) \equiv \{\boldsymbol{x} : \lambda(\boldsymbol{x}, t) < \tilde{\lambda}\} \cap \mathcal{R}$ of this global water mass definition with an arbitrary time-varying spatial region of the ocean, $\mathcal{R}(t)$. We emphasize that the water mass region Ω need not be contiguous. The water mass region Ω is characterized by the sub-mass

$$\mathcal{M}_{\Omega}(\tilde{\lambda}, t) \equiv \int_{\Omega(\tilde{\lambda}, t)} \rho \, \mathrm{d}V \le \mathcal{M}(\tilde{\lambda}, t).$$
⁽²⁾

For example, if \mathcal{R} is the Southern Ocean south of 30°S, then we identify $\Omega(1 \circ C, t) = \{ \boldsymbol{x} : \Theta(\boldsymbol{x}, t) < 1 \circ C \} \cap \mathcal{R}$ as the mass of cold waters around Antarctica.

The complementary water mass that is instead bounded from below, $\mathcal{M}_{\Omega}^{\dagger}(\hat{\lambda}, t) \equiv \int_{\tilde{\lambda} < \lambda} \rho \, \mathrm{d}V$, is simply derived as $\mathcal{M}_{\Omega}^{\dagger}(\tilde{\lambda}, t) = \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}} - \mathcal{M}_{\Omega}(\tilde{\lambda}, t)$, where $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{R}} \equiv \mathcal{M}_{\Omega}(\tilde{\lambda} \rightarrow +\infty)$ is the total seawater mass in the region \mathcal{R} . Similarly, intermediate water masses are defined by $\mathcal{M}_{\Omega}(\tilde{\lambda}_{1}; \tilde{\lambda}_{2}) \equiv \int_{\tilde{\lambda}_{1} < \lambda' \leq \tilde{\lambda}_{2}} \rho \, \mathrm{d}V = \mathcal{M}_{\Omega}(\tilde{\lambda}_{2}) - \mathcal{M}_{\Omega}(\tilde{\lambda}_{1}).$

To understand the evolution of $M_{\Omega}(\tilde{\lambda}, t)$ over time, we start by integrating the seawater mass conservation equation,

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = -\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot (\rho \, \boldsymbol{v}),\tag{3}$$

over the spatial extent of the water mass $\Omega(\tilde{\lambda}, t)$. Invoking the divergence theorem, we have

$$\int_{\Omega(\tilde{\lambda},t)} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} \, \mathrm{d}V = -\int_{\Omega(\tilde{\lambda},t)} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot (\rho \, \boldsymbol{v}) \, \mathrm{d}V = -\oint_{\partial\Omega(\tilde{\lambda},t)} \rho \, \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{n}} \, \mathrm{d}S,\tag{4}$$

where we define $\partial\Omega$ as the surface that bounds Ω and \hat{n} is the outward normal unit vector. Since the water mass $\Omega(\tilde{\lambda}, t)$ is time-varying, time derivatives do not commute with the density-weighted volume integral:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\mathcal{M}_{\Omega}(\tilde{\lambda},t) \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{\Omega(\tilde{\lambda},t)} \rho \,\mathrm{d}V \neq \int_{\Omega(\tilde{\lambda},t)} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} \,\mathrm{d}V.$$
(5)

Hence, we cannot simply pull the time derivative on the left-hand side (LHS) of equation (4) out of the volume integral. Instead, we must invoke the three-dimensional generalization of Leibniz' integral rule (also known as Leibniz-Reynolds' transport theorem), which accounts for the time-evolving bounds of integration through an additional term:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{\Omega(\tilde{\lambda},t)} F \, \mathrm{d}V = \int_{\Omega(\tilde{\lambda},t)} \frac{\partial F}{\partial t} \, \mathrm{d}V + \oint_{\partial\Omega(\tilde{\lambda},t)} F \, \boldsymbol{v}^{(\partial\Omega)} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{n}} \, \mathrm{d}S, \tag{6}$$

where $F(\boldsymbol{x},t)$ is an arbitrary scalar function and $\boldsymbol{v}^{(\partial\Omega)}$ is the velocity of the boundary $\partial\Omega$ itself. A nonzero boundary velocity $\boldsymbol{v}^{(\partial\Omega)}$ can occur as a result of movement in either the bounding $\tilde{\lambda}$ -isosurface or the boundaries of the region \mathcal{R} . The boundary velocity is generally decoupled from the fluid velocity; the important exception is for a material region that follows the flow, in which

$$\boldsymbol{v}^{(\partial\Omega)} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{n}} = \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{n}}$$
 (material region). (7)

Applying equation (6) to the case of $F = \rho$ and combining with equation (4), we find that λ -water mass evolution is determined by a balance between flow convergence within the water mass and the movement of the water mass' boundary:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\mathcal{M}_{\Omega}(\tilde{\lambda},t) \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{\Omega(\tilde{\lambda},t)} \rho \,\mathrm{d}V = -\oint_{\partial\Omega(\tilde{\lambda},t)} \rho \left(\boldsymbol{v} - \boldsymbol{v}^{(\partial\Omega)}\right) \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{n}} \,\mathrm{d}S.$$
(8)

This form of equation (8) is useful because the surface integral can be evaluated separately for each of the different categories of the water mass boundary, $\partial\Omega$.

261

2.2 Characterizing dia-surface transport and boundary conditions

For an arbitrary region \mathcal{R} of the ocean (e.g., Figure 1), we consider four distinct categories of water mass boundaries: the sea floor, the sea surface, interior λ -isosurfaces, and any remaining boundaries of \mathcal{R} that do not fall under one of the other categories. We can thus evaluate the total surface integral by considering the disjoint union

$$\partial\Omega(\tilde{\lambda}, t) \equiv \partial\Omega_{\text{seafloor}}(\tilde{\lambda}, t) \sqcup \partial\Omega_{\text{surf}}(\tilde{\lambda}, t) \sqcup \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{R}}(\tilde{\lambda}, t) \sqcup \partial\mathcal{R}.$$
(9)



Figure 1. A schematic (x, z) profile view of the λ -water mass budget (equation (8)) for a water mass $\Omega(\tilde{\lambda}, t)$ defined by the region \mathcal{R} and tracer values that satisfy $\lambda(\boldsymbol{x}, t) \leq \tilde{\lambda}$ for a specific choice of $\tilde{\lambda}$. Note that λ is not required to be monotonic with depth and it is possible for multiple isosurfaces of λ to exist within a single vertical profile. The water mass $\Omega(\tilde{\lambda}, t)$ is bounded by the seafloor (brown), sea surface (blue), interior λ -isosurfaces (red), and a specified regional boundary $\partial \mathcal{R}$ (grey dashed). Water mass changes are due to mass fluxes across each of these boundaries, except the impermeable seafloor.

266

Boundary 1: the seafloor. The seafloor is assumed to be static $(\boldsymbol{v}^{(b)} = 0)$, trivially leading to $\rho \boldsymbol{v}^{(b)} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{n}}^{(b)} = 0$. It is also impermeable to fluid flow, so that $\rho \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{n}}^{(b)} = 0$.

Boundary 2: the sea surface. The sea surface, on the other hand, is time dependent
and permeable, allowing for exchanges of mass due to processes like precipitation, evaporation, sea ice freezing/melting, river inflow, etc. Following section 4 of A. G. Nurser
and Griffies (2019), this transport condition is given by

$$\rho\left(\boldsymbol{v}-\boldsymbol{v}^{(s)}\right)\cdot\hat{\boldsymbol{n}}^{(s)}=-\mathcal{Q}_{\mathrm{M}}^{\mathrm{surf}},\tag{10}$$

where $Q_{\rm M}^{\rm surf}$ is the net mass flux crossing the boundary per unit surface area due to the various exchange processes mentioned above. We thus define the net surface mass flux into the water mass as

$$S_{\Omega}(\tilde{\lambda}, t) \equiv -\int_{\partial\Omega_{surf}(\tilde{\lambda}, t)} \rho\left(\boldsymbol{v} - \boldsymbol{v}^{(s)}\right) \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{n}}^{(s)} dS = \int_{\partial\Omega_{surf}(\tilde{\lambda}, t)} \mathcal{Q}_{M}^{surf} dS.$$
(11)

In practice, it is more convenient to work in terms of the horizontal projection of dS, which we write as dA, and thus define the normalized surface mass flux

$$Q_{\rm M}^{\rm surf} \equiv \mathcal{Q}_{\rm M}^{\rm surf} \, \mathrm{d}S/\mathrm{d}A,\tag{12}$$

²⁷⁸ which we use hereafter.

Boundary 3: interior $\tilde{\lambda}$ -isosurfaces. This surface is the boundary between the re-279 gions in \mathcal{R} where $\lambda < \tilde{\lambda}$ and $\lambda > \tilde{\lambda}$, with normal vector $\hat{n}^{(\tilde{\lambda})} = \nabla \lambda / |\nabla \lambda|$. Note that, 280 so long as λ is continuous, $|\nabla \lambda| > 0$ on the $\tilde{\lambda}$ -isosurface. We defer the further treatment 281 of this case to Section 2.3, where we relate the density-weighted dia- $\tilde{\lambda}$ velocity, $(\boldsymbol{v}-\boldsymbol{v}^{(\lambda)})$. 282 $\hat{n}^{(\lambda)}$, to the kinematic material time derivative that appears in the λ conservation equa-283 tion (16). The density-weighted dia- λ velocity is then integrated along the $\lambda = \lambda$ iso-284 surface, $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{R}}(\lambda, t)$, which we again emphasize need not be contiguous or single-valued in 285 a water column. 286

Boundary 4: specified regional boundaries. Finally, the velocities of (and across) 287 the remaining surfaces of $\partial \Omega(\lambda, t)$ depend on the definition of the specified region of in-288 terest, $\mathcal{R}(t)$. When \mathcal{R} is the global ocean, for example, the other three boundaries al-289 ready constitute all of $\partial\Omega$ and this term vanishes. Another useful limit is when \mathcal{R} is time-290 independent, such that $v^{(\partial \mathcal{R})} = 0$, and we only need consider the contribution from the 291 cross-boundary flow, $v \cdot \hat{n}^{(\partial \mathcal{R})}$. A further simplifying assumption, which has been adopted 292 in most prior regional water mass transformation analyses (including Groeskamp et al. 293 (2019) and Bailey et al. (2023)) and will be employed hereafter, is that the region has 294 vertical boundaries. In this case, $\hat{z} \cdot \hat{n}^{(\partial \mathcal{R})} = 0$ such that $v \cdot \hat{n}^{(\partial \mathcal{R})}$ depends only on the 295 horizontal velocity 296

$$\boldsymbol{u} \equiv (\boldsymbol{v} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{x}}) \, \hat{\boldsymbol{x}} + (\boldsymbol{v} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{y}}) \, \hat{\boldsymbol{y}}. \tag{13}$$

- ²⁹⁷ We define the net convergent horizontal mass transport across the region's boundaries
- ²⁹⁸ $\partial \mathcal{R}$ (see Figure 1) as

$$\Psi_{\partial \mathcal{R}}(\tilde{\lambda}, t) \equiv -\int_{\partial \mathcal{R} \cap \{\lambda \leq \tilde{\lambda}\}} \rho \, \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{n}}^{(\partial \mathcal{R})} \, \mathrm{d}S, \tag{14}$$

- where the surface integral includes the vertical boundaries of the region, where $\lambda \leq \hat{\lambda}$.
- Altogether, the λ -water mass budget equation (8) within the region \mathcal{R} can thus be written as

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\mathcal{M}_{\Omega}(\tilde{\lambda},t) = \mathcal{S}_{\Omega}(\tilde{\lambda},t) - \int_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{R}}(\tilde{\lambda},t)} \rho(\boldsymbol{v} - \boldsymbol{v}^{(\tilde{\lambda})}) \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{n}}^{(\tilde{\lambda})} \,\mathrm{d}S + \Psi_{\partial\mathcal{R}}(\tilde{\lambda},t).$$
(15)

While equation (15) is conceptually straightforward, the surface integral appearing on the right-hand side (RHS) is unwieldy and challenging to diagnose from both observation and models; we derive a more tractable expression for it in the following section.

$_{305}$ 2.3 Dia- λ transport and water mass transformation

The natural starting point for developing a better understanding of the drivers of dia- $\tilde{\lambda}$ transport is the conservation equation for the tracer concentration λ ,

$$\frac{\mathrm{D}\lambda}{\mathrm{D}t} \equiv \frac{\partial\lambda}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}\lambda = \dot{\lambda},\tag{16}$$

where $\frac{D}{Dt}$ is the material time derivative (which is the total time derivative computed 308 following the fluid flow) and $\dot{\lambda}$ represents the sum of all processes that modify or trans-309 form the λ concentration along that trajectory. Example processes leading to transfor-310 mation include boundary exchange fluxes, molecular (or parameterized turbulent) dif-311 fusion, and internal tracer sources or sinks (e.g. chemical reactions, ecological species in-312 teractions, or radioactive decay). Multiplying equation (16) by $\rho/|\nabla\lambda|$ and integrating 313 along the $\lambda = \hat{\lambda}$ isosurface within \mathcal{R} , denoted $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{R}}(\hat{\lambda}, t)$, we arrive at 314

$$\int_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{R}}(\tilde{\lambda},t)} \frac{\rho}{|\nabla\lambda|} \frac{\partial\lambda}{\partial t} \, \mathrm{d}S + \int_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{R}}(\tilde{\lambda},t)} \rho \, \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \frac{\nabla\lambda}{|\nabla\lambda|} \, \mathrm{d}S = \int_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{R}}(\tilde{\lambda},t)} \frac{\rho\lambda}{|\nabla\lambda|} \, \mathrm{d}S.$$
(17)

Dividing by $|\nabla \lambda|$ requires that it not vanish anywhere along the $\tilde{\lambda}$ -isosurface $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{R}}(\tilde{\lambda}, t)$; 315 while this may at first seem like a stringent constraint, however, it will always be the case 316 so long as λ is continuous. Note that it is perfectly fine for one or two components of the 317 gradient to vanish, as in the case of vertical overturns, but the three-dimensional gra-318 dient will not vanish on any point on the surface as this would imply the point ceases 319 to be on the boundary between where $\lambda < \lambda$ and $\lambda > \lambda$, which is the definition of the 320 isosurface. In the second term on the LHS of the isosurface-integrated equation (17), we 321 recognize $\hat{n}^{(\tilde{\lambda})} \equiv \nabla \lambda / |\nabla \lambda|$ as the unit vector normal to the $\tilde{\lambda}$ -isosurface, thus identi-322 fying this term with the flow-velocity component of the dia-surface transport term that 323 appears in the mass budget equation (15), 324

$$\int_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{R}}(\tilde{\lambda},t)} \rho \, \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \frac{\boldsymbol{\nabla}\lambda}{|\boldsymbol{\nabla}\lambda|} \, \mathrm{d}S = \int_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{R}}(\tilde{\lambda},t)} \rho \, \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{n}^{(\tilde{\lambda})} \, \mathrm{d}S.$$
(18)

The boundary-velocity component of the dia- $\tilde{\lambda}$ transport term is instead defined kine-325 matically as the velocity $v^{(\lambda)}$ along which λ is materially conserved, 326

$$\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{v}^{(\lambda)} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \lambda = 0.$$
⁽¹⁹⁾

Again assuming $|\nabla \lambda| \neq 0$, equation (19) can be multiplied by $\rho / \nabla \lambda$ and rearranged 327 as328

$$\rho \, \boldsymbol{v}^{(\lambda)} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{n}}^{(\lambda)} = -\frac{\rho}{|\boldsymbol{\nabla}\lambda|} \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial t}.$$
(20)

Substituting equations (18) and (20) into equation (17), we can re-express the dia- $\hat{\lambda}$ mass 329 transport in terms of isosurface-integrated λ -tendencies, evaluated along the particular 330 $\lambda = \lambda$ isosurface, 331

$$\int_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{R}}(\tilde{\lambda},t)} \rho(\boldsymbol{v}-\boldsymbol{v}^{(\tilde{\lambda})}) \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{n}}^{(\tilde{\lambda})} \, \mathrm{d}S = \int_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{R}}(\tilde{\lambda},t)} \rho \frac{\left(\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}\lambda\right)}{|\boldsymbol{\nabla}\lambda|} \, \mathrm{d}S = \int_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{R}}(\tilde{\lambda},t)} \frac{\rho \dot{\lambda}}{|\boldsymbol{\nabla}\lambda|} \, \mathrm{d}S.$$
(21)

332 333

To make evaluation of the surface integrals in equation (21) more amenable to our anticipated finite-volume discretization, we reformulate the definition of λ -water masses as integrals in λ coordinates. Applying the chain rule, we first re-express the dia- λ thick-334 ness, dh, of an infinitesimal volume element dV in λ coordinates, $d\lambda = |\nabla \lambda| dh$, such 335 that $dV = dh dS = d\lambda dS / |\nabla \lambda|$. We can re-express the density-weighted integral of 336 an arbitrary scalar function $\mathcal{F}(\boldsymbol{x},t)$ over the water mass $\Omega(\lambda,t)$ as 337

$$G_{\Omega}^{(\mathcal{F})}(\tilde{\lambda},t) \equiv \int_{\Omega(\tilde{\lambda},t)} \rho \mathcal{F} \,\mathrm{d}V = \int_{-\infty}^{\tilde{\lambda}} \left[\oint_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{R}}(\tilde{\lambda}',t)} \rho \mathcal{F} \,\mathrm{d}S \right] \,\mathrm{d}h = \int_{-\infty}^{\tilde{\lambda}} \left[\oint_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{R}}(\tilde{\lambda}',t)} \frac{\rho \mathcal{F}}{|\nabla \lambda|} \,\mathrm{d}S \right] \,\mathrm{d}\tilde{\lambda}', \tag{22}$$

where we emphasize that $\partial \Omega(\tilde{\lambda}, t)$ is the full boundary of the water mass while $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{R}}(\tilde{\lambda}, t)$ 338 is just the λ -isosurface and excludes the lateral boundary $\partial \mathcal{R}$ and the seafloor. Taking 339

the partial derivative with respect to $\tilde{\lambda}$ and applying the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus, we have the generalized *dia*- $\tilde{\lambda}$ *transformation relation* for the arbitrary scalar \mathcal{F} ,

$$\mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(\mathcal{F})}(\tilde{\lambda},t) \equiv \partial_{\tilde{\lambda}} G_{\Omega}^{(\mathcal{F})} = \partial_{\tilde{\lambda}} \left[\int_{\Omega(\tilde{\lambda},t)} \rho \mathcal{F} \, \mathrm{d}V \right] = \oint_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{R}}(\tilde{\lambda},t)} \frac{\rho \mathcal{F}}{|\nabla \lambda|} \, \mathrm{d}S.$$
(23)

In doing so, we have ignored the contribution from the lower bound $(\tilde{\lambda}' \to -\infty)$ because $\int_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{R}}(\tilde{\lambda}',t)} \frac{\rho \mathcal{F}}{|\nabla \lambda|} dS \to 0$ as $\tilde{\lambda}'$ decreases below the range of realizable values and thus the area $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{R}}(\tilde{\lambda}',t)$ of the isosurface vanishes; in practice, it suffices to set a lower limit of $\tilde{\lambda}_0 < \min\{\lambda(\boldsymbol{x},t)\}$.

Taking the arbitrary scalar \mathcal{F} to be λ -tendencies, the dia- λ transformation relation (23) allows us to express the surface integrals in equation (21) as volume integrals. First, we integrate the density-weighted λ conservation equation (17) over $\Omega(\tilde{\lambda}, t)$ and take a derivative with respect to $\tilde{\lambda}$:

$$\underbrace{\frac{\partial}{\partial\tilde{\lambda}} \left[\int_{\Omega(\tilde{\lambda},t)} \rho \frac{\partial\lambda'}{\partial t} \, \mathrm{d}V \right]}_{\mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(\partial t)}(\tilde{\lambda},t)} + \underbrace{\frac{\partial}{\partial\tilde{\lambda}} \left[\int_{\Omega(\tilde{\lambda},t)} \rho \left(\boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}\lambda' \right) \, \mathrm{d}V \right]}_{\mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(A)}(\tilde{\lambda},t)} = \underbrace{\frac{\partial}{\partial\tilde{\lambda}} \left[\int_{\Omega(\tilde{\lambda},t)} \rho \, \dot{\lambda'} \, \mathrm{d}V \right]}_{\mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(T)}(\tilde{\lambda},t)}.$$
(24)

We refer to equation (24) as the λ -Water Mass Transformation (λ -WMT) equation because the dia- $\tilde{\lambda}$ transformation relation (23) allows us to then combine equations (21) and (24), yielding an expression for the dia- $\tilde{\lambda}$ transport in terms of differential λ -transformation tendencies integrated over the water mass (see definition on RHS of equation 24),

$$\int_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{R}}(\tilde{\lambda},t)} \rho(\boldsymbol{v} - \boldsymbol{v}^{(\tilde{\lambda})}) \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{n}}^{(\tilde{\lambda})} \, \mathrm{d}S = \mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(\mathrm{T})}(\tilde{\lambda},t),$$
(25)

which we simply refer to as the total *water mass transformation*. The terms in equations (24) and (25) have units of mass transport, kg/s; motivated by the Boussinesq approximation, they can be converted into equivalent volume transports (units of m³/s or Sv = $10^6 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$) by dividing by a reference density such as $\rho_0 \approx 1035 \text{ kg/m}^3$.

Now that we have defined our final expressions for each term, we drop the variables' arguments for conciseness. It is often useful to decompose the transformation term by process type (Figure 2), e.g.,

$$\mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(\mathrm{T})} \equiv \mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(\mathrm{Surface})} + \mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(\mathrm{Ice-Ocean})} + \mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(\mathrm{Seafloor})} + \mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(\mathrm{Mix})},$$
(26)

or even more granularly by physical process (e.g., brine rejection, radiative cooling, diapycnal mixing by internal wave breaking, isopycnal mixing by mesoscale eddies). To simplify discussions of the λ -water mass budgets diagnosed from our Baltic Sea simulation, we hereafter bundle all fluxes across external ocean boundaries into:

$$\mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(\mathrm{BF})} \equiv \mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(\mathrm{Surface})} + \mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(\mathrm{Ice-Ocean})} + \mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(\mathrm{Seafloor})}.$$
 (27)

2.4 The λ -Water Mass Transformation Budget

365

The power of the λ -water mass budget approach arises when we replace the total surface integral in the λ -water mass budget equation (8) with our expressions for the nonzero mass transports across the sea surface (11), interior $\tilde{\lambda}$ -isosurfaces (25), and lateral boundaries (14), yielding the concise λ -WMT budget:

$$\partial_t \mathcal{M}_{\Omega} = \mathcal{S}_{\Omega} - \mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(\mathrm{T})} + \Psi_{\partial \mathcal{R}}.$$
 (28)

Rearranging equation (28) as

$$\partial_t \mathcal{M}_\Omega - \mathcal{S}_\Omega - \Psi_{\partial \mathcal{R}} = -\mathcal{G}_\Omega^{(\mathrm{T})} \tag{29}$$

clarifies the distinction between the kinematic mass budget terms on the LHS and the water mass transformation processes on the RHS. In the context of numerical ocean models, equation (29) is not necessarily closed because additional spurious water mass transformations $\mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(S)}$ can arise due to discretization errors; we defter a detailed investigation of spurious nuermical mixing until Section 3.5. The λ -WMT budget is illustrated schematically in Figure 2.



Figure 2. A schematic (x, z) profile view of the λ -WMT budget (equation (41)) for a water mass $\Omega(\tilde{\lambda}, t)$ defined by the region \mathcal{R} and tracer values that satisfy $\lambda(x, t) \leq \tilde{\lambda}$ for a specific choice of $\tilde{\lambda}$. Note that λ is not required to be monotonic with depth and it is possible for multiple isosurfaces of λ to exist within a single vertical profile. The water mass $\Omega(\tilde{\lambda}, t)$ is bounded by the seafloor (brown), sea surface (blue), interior λ -isosurfaces (red), and a specified regional boundary $\partial \mathcal{R}$ (grey dashed). The various terms in the budget are defined throughout Section 2. The dia-scalar transformation term $\mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(T)}$ is decomposed into the contributions from fluxes across the sea surface, seafloor, and λ -isosurface. An additional term $\mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(S)}$ is introduced to account for water mass transformations associated with spurious numerical mixing (see Section 3.5).

377 378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

2.5 Example: Water Mass Transformations in the Baltic Sea

Example evaluations of the λ -WMT budget equation (29) are shown in Figure 3 for $\lambda = \Theta$ (Conservative Temperature), S (Absolute Salinity), and ρ_0 (potential density referenced to atmospheric pressure) in a region \mathcal{R} of the inner Baltic Sea (whose boundary $\partial \mathcal{R}$ is visualized later in Figures 5 and 9). The region contains two distinct water masses (Figure 3a): (1) light fresh water of seasonally-varying temperature in the shallow inner Baltic Sea and (2) salty, cold, and dense open ocean water at the entrance to the Baltic Sea. Let us consider the dominant features of this region's annual-mean WMT budgets.

In temperature space (Figure 3b), a leading-order balance emerges between surface heat fluxes (which warm warm water and cool cold water) and interior mixing (which mixes cold and warm waters together, transforming both towards intermediate temperatures). Interestingly, near 2.5 °C, the warming of cold waters due to mixing is not balanced by surface cooling, resulting in a small annual mean drift towards warmer water

classes. In salinity space (Figure 3c), we see two distinct lobes of water mass transfor-391 mation, consistent with the bimodal water mass distribution seen in Figure 3a. For the 392 deep water lobe with S > 20 ppt, interior mixing again produces a straightforward dipole 393 structure as relatively fresh (S $< 29 \,\mathrm{ppt}$) and salty (> 29 ppt) waters are mixed together; 394 however, only the fresh part of these mixing-driven transformations are balanced by sur-395 face flux salinification, with the freshening of the salty part instead being primarily bal-396 anced by a net export of relatively fresh water into the open ocean. The WMT budget 397 in potential density space (Figure 3d) closely follows that of salinity, except for the light-398 est waters ($\rho_0 < 1007 \, \text{kg/m}^3$) where the temperature-related transformations are con-399 centrated. 400



Figure 3. Examples of water masses and their budgets for the region \mathcal{R} of the Baltic Sea shown in Figure 9. a) The distribution of water masses in \mathcal{R} as a function of Conservative Temperature, Absolute Salinity, and potential density $\rho_0 - 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$ (referenced to atmospheric pressure, shown as black contours) averaged over a full year, mainly provided as context for the budgets that follow. b-d) Annual-mean (angle brackets) WMT budgets (eq. 29) in Conservative Temperature, Absolute Salinity, and potential density coordinates, diagnosed as described in Section 3 but using timestep-averaged diagnostics in the model's native prognostic vertical coordinate to minimize discretization errors. Total water mass transformations $\mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(T)}$ are broken down into two components: boundary fluxes $\mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(BF)}$ and mixing, which includes both directly diagnosed parameterized mixing $\mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(Mix)}$ and spurious numerical mixing $\mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(S)}$, which we identify as the remainder of the other budget terms (see Section 3.5). With in-situ densities of $\rho \approx 1000 \text{ kg/s}$, a water mass transformation rate of 10^9kg/s is approximately equivalent to $1 \text{ Sv} \equiv 10^6 \text{m}^3/\text{s}$.

401 **2.6** On steady-state λ -Water Mass Transformation Budgets

Assuming a steady-state (i.e. $v^{(\tilde{\lambda})} = 0$) and neglecting the typically small surface mass flux term (i.e. $S_{\Omega} = 0$), the WMT budget equations (15 and 29) reduce to:

$$\Psi_{\partial \mathcal{R}} = \int_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{R}}(\tilde{\lambda})} \rho \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{n}}^{(\tilde{\lambda})} \mathrm{d}S = \mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(\mathrm{T})}.$$
(30)

We remind the reader that the area $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{R}}(\tilde{\lambda})$ of the $\tilde{\lambda}$ -isosurface within \mathcal{R} is the subset of 404 the total water mass boundary $\partial \Omega$ that excludes the lateral boundary $\partial \mathcal{R}$, the sea sur-405 face, and the seafloor. Equation (30) states that the convergent horizontal circulation 406 into a region is exactly balanced by the circulation across its bounding λ -isosurface, which 407 is driven by the sum of the water mass transformation processes. A common applica-408 tion of water mass transformation theory is to use an estimate of the RHS of the steadystate equation (30) in density coordinates to infer the diapycnal overturning circulation 410 it induces on the LHS (e.g. de Lavergne et al., 2016; Ferrari et al., 2016; Drake et al., 411 2020). Marsh et al. (2000) warn, however, about the dangers of incorrectly applying the 412 steady state equation (30) to an unsteady meridional overturning circulation. Testing 413 the steady-state assumption in the context of our annual-mean S-WMT budgets in the 414 Baltic Sea (Figure 4), we find that the assumption is fairly reasonable for salty waters 415 (in the deep open ocean), but not the fresh waters (in the shallow inner Baltic Sea) which 416 are drifting at leading order (Figure 3c). 417



Figure 4. A test of the steady-state S-WMT budget assumption (eq. 30), reproducing Figure 3c except that we have now bundled all of the transformation terms together, including both directly diagnosed transformations $\mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(\mathrm{T})} = \mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(\mathrm{BF})} + \mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(\mathrm{Mix})}$ and spurious transformations $\mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(\mathrm{S})}$ (see Section 3.5) and have changed the sign of the transport term for more direct comparison.

$_{418}$ 3 Diagnosing Discretized λ -Water Mass Transformation Budgets

In this section, we adapt the continuous theory outlined above to express the WMT budget in a form befitting the diagnostic output provided by numerical ocean models. We would like to diagnose the terms in the time-mean WMT budget (29), given by

$$\langle \partial_t \mathcal{M}_{\Omega} \rangle - \langle \mathcal{S}_{\Omega} \rangle - \langle \Psi_{\partial \mathcal{R}} \rangle + \langle \mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(\mathrm{T})} \rangle = 0$$
(31)

422 where

439

$$\langle \phi \rangle \equiv \frac{1}{\Delta t} \int_{t_n}^{t_n + \Delta t} \phi \,\mathrm{d}t \tag{32}$$

is the diagnostic time-averaging operator over a given interval of length Δt and centered 423 around $t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}$. For clarity, we assume a priori that all diagnostics have been conserva-424 tively regridded and remapped into λ bins $[\lambda_{m-\frac{1}{2}}, \lambda_{m+\frac{1}{2}}]$ (denoting layer m), where over-425 bars $\bar{\phi}^m$ denote layer averages. We emphasize that whatever vertical regridding/remapping 426 scheme is employed, it should be conservative so that total water mass and tracer con-427 tent is conserved. Any any case, results should be robust to changes in the diagnostic 428 and target grids (see Section 3.6). We will use i and j as horizontal grid indices (with 429 a straightforward extension to adjacency matrices for unstructured mesh implementa-430 tions, e.g. Ringler et al. (2013)) and q as the λ layer index. We discuss aliasing errors 431 due to 'offline' remapping with time-mean diagnostics in Section 3.6. 432

433 3.1 Kinematic mass tendency

The Fundamental Theorem of Calculus allows us to write the time-mean mass tendency term as the difference between snapshots bounding the averaging interval,

$$\langle \partial_t \mathcal{M}_{\Omega} \rangle = (\Delta t)^{-1} [\mathcal{M}_{\Omega}]_{t_n}^{t_n + \Delta t}.$$
(33)

г

For a given interface $\tilde{\lambda} = \lambda_{m+\frac{1}{2}}$, each of these mass snapshots can be estimated by cumulatively summing layer masses, $h_q \overline{\rho}^q \, \mathrm{d}A$, for all layers with $\lambda_q \leq \lambda_{m+\frac{1}{2}}$ within a tracer cell mask R that approximates the continuous region \mathcal{R} ,

$$\langle \partial_t \mathcal{M}_{\Omega} \rangle (\lambda_{m+\frac{1}{2}}, t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) \simeq \frac{1}{\Delta t} \left[\sum_{i,j \in \mathbb{R}} \sum_{q < m+\frac{1}{2}} h_q \,\overline{\rho}^q \, \mathrm{d}A \right]_{t_n}^{t_n + \Delta t}.$$
 (34)

3.2 Convergent horizontal mass transport

The transport term, $\langle \Psi_{\Omega} \rangle$, requires accumulating all mass transports normal to the 440 region's boundary, $\partial \mathcal{R}$, with a consistently convergent orientation, for $\lambda \leq \hat{\lambda}$. Since the 441 surface integral form of this term originates from the divergence theorem, internal con-442 sistency of the discretized mass budget requires that the discrete boundary, $\partial \mathbf{R} \approx \partial \mathcal{R}$. 443 exactly follow the faces of the finite volume elements that bound the tracer cell mask, ллл R, as illustrated in Figure 5. The total convergent transport across ∂R is computed by 445 summing the convergent mass transports over all layers with $\lambda_q \leq \lambda_{m+\frac{1}{2}}$ and over all 446 of the cell faces along the boundary $\partial \mathbf{R}$: 447

$$\langle \Psi_{\partial \mathcal{R}} \rangle (\lambda_{m+\frac{1}{2}}, t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) \equiv \left\langle \int_{\partial \mathcal{R} \cap \{\lambda \le \lambda_{m+\frac{1}{2}}\}} -\rho \, \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{n}}^{(\partial \mathcal{R})} \, \mathrm{d}A \right\rangle \simeq \sum_{i^{(\boldsymbol{u})}, j^{(\boldsymbol{u})} \in \partial \mathcal{R}} \left[\sum_{\lambda_q \le \lambda_{m+\frac{1}{2}}} (-\overline{\rho \, \boldsymbol{u}}^q \, h_q \, \mathrm{d}\ell) \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{n}}^{(\partial \mathcal{R})} \right],$$

$$(35)$$

where $i^{(u)}, j^{(u)}$ are the grid face indices that correspond to the normal mass fluxes $[(\rho u) \cdot \hat{n}^{(\partial \mathcal{R})}] \hat{n}^{(\partial \mathcal{R})}$ and $d\ell$ are the widths of grid cell faces. Since local recirculations can be orders of magnitude larger than the net convergence into a region (Figure 5, inset arrows), inexact interpolation methods (e.g. for a boundary ∂R that does not exactly follow the grid cell faces bounding the mask R) or seemingly minor indexing errors can balloon into leadingorder errors in the overall WMT budget and therefore corrupt the identification of the residual as spurious numerical mixing.

⁴⁵⁵ Our method for directly computing boundary-normal convergent transports, which ⁴⁵⁶ consists of finding pairs of grid face indices $(i^{(u)}, j^{(u)})$ and assigning the appropriate sign ⁴⁵⁷ for the inward orientation $-(\overline{\rho u}^q h_q d\ell) \cdot \hat{n}^{(\partial R)}$, is illustrated in Figure 5 and briefly de-⁴⁵⁸ scribed in Appendix D. We have verified for various definitions of discrete boundaries ⁴⁵⁹ $R \approx \mathcal{R}$ that the surface integral of the convergent mass flux along ∂R is within machine ⁴⁶⁰ precision of the volume-integrated convergent mass flux diagnostic within R, thereby sat-⁴⁶¹ isfying the divergence theorem on the model grid.

⁴⁶² An alternative method of diagnosing the convergent horizontal mass transport into ⁴⁶³ the discrete region R is to first compute the convergence into each individual grid col-⁴⁶⁴ umn C (where $R = \bigcup\{C\}$), and then sum the convergent transport over all of the columns ⁴⁶⁵ in R to get the net convergent transport,

$$\langle \Psi_{\partial \mathcal{R}} \rangle (\lambda_{m+\frac{1}{2}}, t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) \simeq \sum_{\mathbf{C} \subseteq \mathbf{R}} \left\{ \sum_{i^{(\boldsymbol{u})}, j^{(\boldsymbol{u})} \in \partial \mathbf{C}} \left[\sum_{\lambda_q \leq \lambda_{m+\frac{1}{2}}} (\overline{\rho \, \boldsymbol{u}}^q \, h_q \, \mathrm{d}\ell) \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{n}}^{(\partial \mathbf{C})} \right] \right\}.$$
(36)

We use this approach to diagnose the maps of column-wise horizontal mass transport 466 convergence shown in Figure 9c, discussed in detail later in the text]. Benefits of com-467 puting the convergence of horizontal mass transports for each grid column individually 468 are: 1) it is readily diagnosed from the finite difference of horizontal mass transports across 469 the lateral faces of a grid column, significantly simplifying its implementation; 2) it is 470 positioned at the center of tracer grid cells, allowing it to be conveniently integrated with 471 all of the other diagnostics required to close the λ -WMT budget; 3) it can be precom-472 puted once globally and then efficiently reused for various choices of R; and 4) it enables 473 column-wise estimation of spurious numerical mixing (see Section 3.5 and Holmes et al. 474 (2021)), rather than a single bulk estimate for the entire region R. A major disadvan-475 tage of this column-wise approach, however, is that it provides no information about the 476 spatial structure of normal mass transports along the boundary ∂R (as shown in Fig-477 ure 5), which may be necessary information for some applications. Our software pack-478 ages described in Appendix D allow users to specify either of these two methods to cal-479 culate the transport convergence term. 480



Figure 5. Annual-mean convergent transport (expression 36 diagnosed as 35) into the discrete region R, integrated for waters colder than $\Theta = 13 \,^{\circ}$ C, as a counterclockwise cumulative line integral beginning from the red star. The black arrows show the orientation of the inward-facing normal vectors $-\hat{n}^{(\partial R)}$ for each grid face along the discrete boundary ∂R . The inset zooms in on the entrance to the Baltic Sea, where the net mass transport is the relatively small residual of fluxes in (red arrows) and out (blue arrows) of the region; for clarity, we only show the handful of arrows that correspond to transports larger than 10% of the maximum cross-boundary transport.

3.3 Boundary mass source 481

The boundary mass source term, 482

$$\langle \mathcal{S}_{\Omega} \rangle (\lambda_{m+\frac{1}{2}}, t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) = \left\langle \int_{\partial \Omega_{\text{surf}}} Q_M^{\text{surf}} \, \mathrm{d}A \right\rangle, \tag{37}$$

is estimated by simply summing $Q_M^{\text{surf}} dA$ for all $\lambda_q^{\text{surf}} \leq \lambda_{m+\frac{1}{2}}$. This term is globally small but can be important in smaller regions with large and concentrated freshwater 483 484 fluxes (e.g. major river inflows or rapidly melting sea ice, icebergs, or ice shelves). 485

486

3.4 Transformation rates

Water mass transformation terms $\mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(\star)}$, i.e. those in the form of the λ -Water Mass Transformation equation (24), are approximated by finite difference: 487 488

$$\mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(\mathrm{T})} \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial\tilde{\lambda}} \int_{\Omega(\tilde{\lambda},t)} \rho \,\dot{\lambda} \,\mathrm{d}V \simeq \frac{\int_{\Omega(\lambda_{m+\frac{1}{2}})} \rho \,\dot{\lambda} \,\mathrm{d}V - \int_{\Omega(\lambda_{m-\frac{1}{2}})} \rho \,\dot{\lambda} \,\mathrm{d}V}{\lambda_{m+\frac{1}{2}} - \lambda_{m-\frac{1}{2}}} \tag{38}$$

where $\rho \lambda dV$ represents tracer mass tendencies arising from material transformation pro-489 cesses. In the Riemann sum evaluation of the integral, these tendencies take the form 490 of the density-weighted and layer-integrated tracer tendency terms shown in equations 491 (A34)–(A35) (derived in Appendix A), as scaled by the vertically-uniform area element 492 $\mathrm{d}A.$ 493



Figure 6. Sensitivity of the Θ -WMT budget to the choice of λ bins for (a) the mass tendency term and (b) the boundary flux transformation rate term (eq. 27). Both terms are integrated over the discrete region mask R shown in Figure 5. Blue curves show the default bins (every $0.1 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$, from $-4 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$ to $40 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$) and orange curves show the same terms recalculated with alternative bins that are larger than, and offset from, the default. Differences between the two calculations are much smaller than the resolved features of interest, giving us confidence that discretization errors in our offline diagnostic methods are negligible.

Water mass transformation rates can be inherently noisy, making it difficult to dis-494 tinguish actual process-based variability from methodological errors. A robust method 495 for assessing whether variability is due to diagnostic discretization errors is to assess the 496

results' sensitivity to the choice of the target $\hat{\lambda}$ bins. For three-dimensional general cir-497 culation models and $\mathcal{O}(100)$ target bins, it is common for each target bin to represent 498 thousands of grid cells and thus for the results to be relatively robust. Regions of excep-499 tionally strong or weak gradients, $|\nabla \lambda|$, however, may require more careful considera-500 tions of the target λ grid. Our experience is that sensitivity to binning is sufficiently small 501 to not affect interpretation of results (see Figure 6), except perhaps for small regions con-502 sisting of just a few grid columns. Noise at the grid column level was a major motiva-503 tion for Holmes et al. (2021)'s diathermal transport approach, which essentially amounts 504 to smoothing out the noise in the Θ -WMT budget equation by integrating in Θ . 505

3.5 Spurious numerical mixing

506

Theoretically, the time-averaged λ -WMT budget (31) should exactly hold (with 507 the minor caveat that, strictly speaking, this also requires $|\nabla \lambda| \neq 0$ along the λ -isosurface). 508 In practice, however, discretization errors in the advection schemes used in ocean mod-509 els can induce water mass transformations in excess of those resulting from the imposed 510 or parameterized material transformation processes, $\rho \lambda$. To build intuition about spu-511 rious mixing, consider the limiting case in which \mathcal{R} is global, such that $\langle \Psi_{\partial \mathcal{R}} \rangle = 0$, and 512 there are no prescribed mass fluxes or material tracer tendencies, i.e. $S_{\Omega} = 0$ and $\dot{\lambda} =$ 513 0 (such that $\mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(\mathrm{T})} = 0$), respectively. In theory, equation (29) should then reduce to $\partial_t \mathcal{M}_{\Omega} =$ 514 0, meaning the λ -water mass distribution should remain exactly as is. [Note that the steadi-515 ness of the λ -water mass distribution does not impose any restrictions on the steadiness 516 of the flow or tracer fields, which can in principle still be quite turbulent and variable-517 as in the adiabatic eddying simulations of Marques et al. (2022), with $\lambda = \rho_2$. Most 518 advection schemes employed in ocean models result in errors that are dispersive and/or 519 diffusive in nature, which in λ -space manifest as spurious water mass transformations, 520 i.e. $\partial_t \mathcal{M}_{\Omega}(\lambda, t) \neq 0$. This point applies even to semi-Lagrangian FV-GVC models in 521 which the vertical coordinate σ is λ itself (e.g. density transformations in a density co-522 ordinate model), since such approaches must rely on imperfect vertical regridding/remapping 523 schemes to accomodate interior dia- σ transports. Purely isopychal coordinate models 524 (e.g. stacked shallow water models) are spared from spurious diapycnal mixing by con-525 struction, but are subject to other problems that limit their viability for global climate 526 modeling (Fox-Kemper et al., 2019). 527

In the general case, spurious numerical water mass transformations $\mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(S)}(\tilde{\lambda}, t)$ can be identified as the remainder of the λ -WMT budget equation (29),

$$\mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(\mathrm{S})} \equiv \left[-\left(\partial_t \mathcal{M}_{\Omega} - \mathcal{S}_{\Omega} - \Psi_{\partial \mathcal{R}}\right) \right] - \mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(\mathrm{T})} \neq 0, \tag{39}$$

where the sign convention is chosen to match those of the other material water mass transformation terms, for example allowing transformations due to parameterized and spurious mixing to be directly compared. We emphasize that the remainder $\mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(S)}$ can be nonzero even if both the mass and tracer conservation equations are themselves closed in every grid cell, because spurious dia- $\tilde{\lambda}$ transformations may be embedded within the diagnosed advective λ tendency. Crucially, closure of cell-wise tracer budgets does not imply closure of λ -WMT budgets or that spurious mixing is vanishingly small!

Because we have already accounted for all non-advective WMTs when subtracting $\mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(\mathrm{T})}$ in equation (39), the remaining spurious numerical errors can only be due to errors in the tracer advection operator. [An interpretive limitation of this approach is that we are unable to distinguish between spurious WMTs due to horizontal vs. vertical aspects of advection schemes.] It is useful to interpret the transformations $\mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(A)}$ diagnosed from the advective tracer tendency in equation (24) as the difference of two distinct components:

$$\mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(\mathrm{A})} \equiv \mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(\mathrm{D})} - \mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(\mathrm{S})},\tag{40}$$

where $-\mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(S)}$ represents transformations due to spurious numerical mixing (diagnosed following eq. 39, with its arbitrary sign chosen to match that of the other material WMT terms) and we identify $\mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(D)}$ as the yet unknown diascalar mass transport induced by all material transformation (including spurious numerical mixing). Since $\mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(A)}$ is directly diagnosed from the discrete advective tracer operator, we can also indirectly diagnose the sum $\mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(D)} = \mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(A)} + \mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(S)}$.



Figure 7. Three equivalent ways of decomposing material water mass transformations (equation 41; panel d) in Conservative Temperature coordinates ($\lambda = \Theta$): a) The terms of the kinematic transformation rate; b) the dia-surface transformation rate (which include strongly compensating bin-scale features); and c) the process-based transformation rate, which includes contributions from spurious numerical mixing $\mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(S)}$ and for which we further decompose the transformation term (following eq. (26)) into boundary flux and interior mixing contributions, $\mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(T)} = \mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(BF)} + \mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(Mix)}$. d) Demonstration that all three decompositions are exactly equivalent, which is true by construction because $\mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(S)}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(D)}$ are both diagnosed as remainders of the other terms. The inner Baltic Sea region of integration R, is shown in Figure 5. Figure 9 shows the local grid-column contributions to the three key terms for $\Theta = 13$ °C (dashed grey line).

Combining the definitions (39) and (40) with the water mass transformation equation (24), we identify three equivalent and complementary perspectives for interpreting water mass change in FV-GVC ocean models:

$$\underbrace{-\partial_t \mathcal{M}_{\Omega} + \mathcal{S}_{\Omega} + \Psi_{\partial \mathcal{R}}}_{\text{Kinematic}} = \underbrace{\mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(\partial_t)} + \mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(D)}}_{\text{Dia-surface}} = \underbrace{\mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(T)} + \mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(S)}}_{\text{Material property}} .$$
(41)

The three decompositions of WMT defined by equation 41 are shown in Figure 7. Figure 7c shows that spurious numerical mixing plays a large enough role in the budget to be non-negligible; its temperature structure closely follows that of parameterized mixing, but with just 20-50% of its magnitude. Like physical (parameterized turbulent) diffusion, spurious water mass transformations conserve tracer mass and thus are compensatory in nature, such that the destruction of one water mass is exactly balanced by the formation of another. Rearranging equation (7) and decomposing the diagnosed material transformations into the components due to boundary fluxes and interior mixing, $\mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(\mathrm{T})} = \mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(\mathrm{BF})} + \mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(\mathrm{Mix})}$, we arrive at the closed λ -WMT budget,

$$\partial_t \mathcal{M}_{\Omega} - \mathcal{S}_{\Omega} - \Psi_{\partial \mathcal{R}} + \mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(BF)} + \mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(Mix)} + \mathcal{G}_{\Omega}^{(S)} = 0.$$
(42)

Figure 8 shows that even when integrated over the many grid columns that comprise the 563 inner Baltic region, the Θ -WMT budget is highly variable with time. The given isotherm 564 $\Theta = 13$ °C only exists in the Baltic region R during the summer, so during the rest of 565 the year the water mass Ω covers the entire region ($\Omega = \mathcal{R}$) and all of the terms in the 566 WMT budget are vanishingly small. During the summer, the budget is dominated by 567 the diurnal formation and destruction of cold water masses by boundary heat fluxes (Fig-568 ure 8a). Smoothing over the diurnal cycle, we find that surface heating steadily transforms cold waters into warmer ones, although this process is partially opposed by pa-570 rameterized mixing with cold waters (Figure 8b). By contrast, in the fall surface fluxes 571 and mixing conspire to form cold waters. WMTs due to spurious numerical mixing are 572 generally near-zero, but exhibit some short-lived negative excursions that contribute to 573 an overall transformation towards lower temperatures-reinforcing the effects of param-574 eterized mixing (Figure 8b). Further smoothing clarifies the annual-mean balance in the 575 WMT budget (Figure 8c): net heating by surface fluxes is balanced by parameterized 576 and numerical mixing; direct mass fluxes and lateral mass transports into the region are 577 both negligible.



Figure 8. Contributions to the Θ -WMT budget (eq. 42) integrated over the inner Baltic region, for $\Theta = 13$ °C. The hourly (timestep)-averaged budget (a) is dominated by the diurnal cycle, so we also show the results of daily box-averaging (b) and 10-day box-averaging (c).

578

⁵⁷⁹ It can also be insightful to analyze maps of local (per unit area) WMT rates (e.g. ⁵⁸⁰ Maze et al., 2009; Drake et al., 2020; Holmes et al., 2021). Figure 9 shows the annual-⁵⁸¹ mean column-wise WMT budget for waters colder than $\tilde{\Theta} = 13$ °C in the Baltic region

R. The annual-mean mass tendency is small (Figure 9a) because waters warmer than 582 13 °C only exist in the summer and the total mass of water columns in the inner Baltic 583 does not change much from year to year. The dominant column-wise balance is between 584 the warming effect of surface heat fluxes and the cooling effect of parameterized mixing, 585 consistent with the region-wide integral. Column-wise lateral mass transport convergence 586 and transformations due to spurious numerical mixing are both relatively noisy (Figure 587 9a). While the noisy lateral convergent transports average out to near-zero (Figure 8), 588 the noisy transformations due to spurious numerical mixing are overwhelmingly nega-589 tive, and contribute to the overall cooling of the water mass. 590



Figure 9. Column-wise contributions to the annual-mean Θ -WMT budget (eq. 42) by term in the inner Baltic region, for $\Theta = 13$ °C. Each panel shows the summand of the corresponding discretized diagnostics described in Section 3, divided by the grid column area dA. The region \mathcal{R} is a spherical polygon defined here by the coordinates of eight user-provided vertices, where the black contour shows the discretized boundary ∂R that best approximates the continuous boundary $\partial \mathcal{R}$ as a sequence of model grid faces.

591

3.6 Offline vertical remapping and temporal aliasing errors

Thus far we have assumed that the required diagnostics are available in λ coordi-592 nates (i.e. were remapped online to a diagnostic grid before time-averaging the outputs). 593 In practice, however, one must often make do with diagnostics that are only available 594 on other vertical coordinate grids, such as depth levels, isopycnals, terrain-following co-595 ordinates, or other GVCs. Problematically, the time-averaging operator, $\langle \phi \rangle$, does not 596 commute with vertical remapping if layer-integrated λ -tendencies and λ values covary 597 on timescales shorter than the averaging interval. Remapping interval-averaged tracer 598 diagnostics to tracer coordinates thereby introduces errors in the WMT budget. 599

In our year-long Baltic Sea test configuration at 0.25° horizontal grid spacing, we 600 quantify these errors by brute-force, comparing calculations with diagnostic averaging 601 intervals that decrease from monthly, to daily, and finally all the way down to the hourly 602 model timestep. First, we consider the sensitivity of the ρ_2 -WMT budget to the diag-603 nostic averaging interval and the choice of vertical coordinate (Figure 10); because ρ_2 604 is available as an 'online' diagnostic coordinate in our MOM6 simulations, ρ_2 -WMT bud-605 gets are independent of the diagnostic averaging interval (compare Figure 10c,f,i) and 606 therefore serve as a ground truth against which we can compare our offline calculations. 607 The convergence of the offline depth-level and prognostic hybrid coordinate budgets to-608



Figure 10. Sensitivity of annual-mean ρ_2 -WMT budgets to the diagnostic averaging interval Δt (rows) and the diagnostic vertical coordinate (columns), where zstr denotes depth-levels, natv denotes prognostic hybrid coordinate levels, and rho2 denotes potential density surfaces referenced to 2000 dbar. Diagnostics in non-rho2 coordinates are transformed into the bins of the rho2 diagnostic to facilitate direct comparison. Because the rho2 diagnostics are already binned in ρ_2 coordinates online, the WMT budgets for rho2 are independent of the averaging interval. The non-rho2 diagnostics converge towards the rho2 diagnostic results to varying degrees as the averaging interval is reduced; the rate of change and overturning terms are particularly well represented even with monthly-averaged diagnostics.

wards the online density coordinate budget (compare 10a-c to d-f to g-i) demonstrates that monthly-averaged diagnostics introduce significant errors while daily-mean diagnostics are nearly indistinguishable from those with the hourly-mean/timestep diagnostics. Even with the timestep-averaged diagnostics, however, there are still noticeable errors in the zstr diagnostics; this is likely because the effective resolution of the zstr diagnostic coordinate differs substantially from that of the natv and rho2 diagnostic coordinates.



Figure 11. Sensitivity of annual-mean ρ_2 -WMT budgets to the diagnostic averaging interval Δt (rows) and the diagnostic vertical coordinate (columns), where zstr denotes depth-levels, natv denotes prognostic hybrid coordinate levels, and rho2 denotes potential density surfaces referenced to 2000 dbar. Diagnostics in all three coordinates are transformed into a common target $\tilde{\rho}_2$ grid with uniformly fine spacing of $\Delta \tilde{\rho}_2 = 0.1 \text{ kg/m}^3$. Because the rho2 diagnostics are already binned in $\tilde{\rho}_2$ coordinates online, the WMT budgets for rho2 are independent of the averaging interval; however, the relatively coarse bins of the $\tilde{\rho}_2$ grid used for the online diagnostics (see bin limits in Figure 10) obscures interesting finer-scale features of the ρ_2 -WMT budget (compare panels g and h to i).

Next, we repeat our calculation of the ρ_2 -WMT budget but now use a target $\tilde{\rho}_2$ 616 grid that differs significantly from the online diagnostic grid (Figure 11), in particular 617 by making the $\tilde{\rho}_2$ bin spacing much finer at lower densities. As before, the calculations 618 employing monthly-mean depth-level and hybrid coordinate diagnostics are fairly noisy 619 but converge reasonably well when dropped to daily-means. Again, the ρ_2 calculations 620 remain by construction independent of the averaging interval; however, comparison with 621 the brute-forced calculations using hourly-mean diagnostics in depth-level and prognos-622 tic hybrid coordinates (Figure 11g-i) reveals that the online-binning in $\tilde{\rho}_2$ coordinates 623

obscures interesting leading-order features at scales finer than the diagnostic $\tilde{\rho}_2$ grid, and which can not be recovered.

Finally, we repeat the same exercise but for a Θ -WMT budget. Because Conser-626 vative Temperature Θ was not yet available as an online diagnostic coordinate in MOM6, 627 all three sets of diagnostics were transformed into Θ coordinates offline. From the top 628 row of Figure 12, we observe that offline calculations with monthly-mean diagnostics are 629 noisy, while those with daily-mean diagnostics are quite similar to the hourly-mean/timestep 630 ones. Interestingly, the density-coordinate calculations differ substantially from those with 631 the depth-level and prognostic hybrid coordinates, which are themselves in agreement. 632 These errors appear to be due to the very low effective resolution of the density-coordinate 633 grid in the shallow and fresh inner Baltic Sea (see Figures 3 and 11), meaning the whole 634 water column is in some cases represented by a single density layer, over which the Θ 635 values and Θ -tendency diagnostics are then averaged. 636



Figure 12. Sensitivity of annual-mean Θ -WMT budgets to the diagnostic averaging interval Δt (rows) and the diagnostic vertical coordinate (columns), where zstr denotes depth-levels, natv denotes prognostic hybrid coordinate levels, and rho2 denotes potential density surfaces referenced to 2000 dbar. The rho2 diagnostics do not converge to the correct values because most of the Baltic Sea occupies the single lightest layer of the diagnostic potential density grid.

For most ocean model applications, outputting thousands of three-dimensional ten-637 dency fields with an averaging interval shorter than the inherent variability of the model 638 is resource-intensive and largely untenable. Instead, it is recommended that all required 639 diagnostics be conservatively regridded and remapped online into λ coordinate bins af-640 ter each tracer timestep, so that longer-term time-averaged diagnostics can be meaning-641 fully accumulated (at the cost of slower model run times) and more readily analyzed. Such 642 online remapping of diagnostics was recommended as part of the OMIP exercise by Griffies 643 et al. (2016), with this technology becoming more standard across ocean climate model 644 codes. However, the leading-order differences between the various λ -WMT budgets de-645 scribed above (Figures 10-12) serve as a caution that one should carefully tune their di-646 agnostic coordinates to the problem at hand to avoid the risk of ending up with noisy 647 or misleading WMT budgets. (In the case of the Baltic Sea simulation described here, 648 the employed diagnostic $\tilde{\rho}_2$ grid was previously calibrated to capture features of the Merid-649 ional Overturning Circulation in global climate model configurations, so it is not surpris-650 ing that it poorly resolves water masses in the marginal Baltic Sea.) 651

652 4 Outlook

As Water Mass Transformation (WMT) analysis becomes an increasingly popu-653 lar tool for advancing understanding of ocean circulation (Tesdal et al., 2023; Evans et 654 al., 2023) and coupled climate dynamics (Deppenmeier et al., 2022), it is all the more 655 important that the methods used to diagnose terms in WMT budgets be correct and that 656 the scientific interpretations of these results be robust. We intend for this self-contained 657 presentation of the theory and practice of diagnosing WMT budgets to be a useful ref-658 erence for practitioners of water mass analysis, especially (but not only) as applied to 659 numerical ocean models. We contend that full WMT budgets are an under-utilized tool 660 in the ocean and climate modeler's toolbox, with applications to both model develop-661 ment (e.g., identifying the processes causing water mass biases, circulation biases, and 662 unwanted spurious mixing and control model drift) and scientific discovery (e.g., attribut-663 ing the drivers of variability and change in water mass properties and overturning cir-664 culations). 665

The method for diagnosing λ -WMT budgets presented here requires the scalar field 666 λ to satisfy a conservation equation of the form (16) and that the diagnostics for each 667 of the terms in the conservation budget be available, as described in Appendix A. This 668 is generally true for prognostic tracers, i.e. tracers that are time-stepped within a FV-669 GVC ocean model, such as temperature, salinity, and biogeochemical tracers. Diagnos-670 tic tracers, which are instead derived from the prognostic tracers using algebraic equa-671 tions or elliptic partial differential equations, however, do not necessarily satisfy exact 672 conservation equations of the form required for water mass transformation analysis. 673

Seawater *in-situ* density, ρ , is an example of a more complicated diagnostic tracer, 674 as it depends nonlinearly on temperature, salinity, and pressure (T. McDougall et al., 675 2009). Because gravity acts upon seawater density gradients, it would be advantageous 676 to analyze oceanic flows along surfaces over which this buoyancy force vanishes, i.e., neu-677 tral surfaces, and to diagnose dianeutral transformations across them. Unfortunately, 678 however, the locally referenced (*in-situ*) seawater density can vary substantially under 679 purely adiabatic displacements (i.e. $\delta \Theta = \delta S = 0$ but $\delta p \neq 0$), making it an inappro-680 priate choice for a dynamically-useful density coordinate. These compressibility effects 681 can be accounted for by instead considering the *potential density*, 682

$$\rho_r(\Theta, \mathbf{S}) \equiv \rho(\Theta, \mathbf{S}, r), \tag{43}$$

defined as the hypothetical density sea water would have if brought adiabatically to a constant reference pressure, p = r. Following Groeskamp et al. (2019), changes in potential density, ρ_r , can then be usefully expressed just in terms of changes in Conservative Temperature, Θ , and Absolute Salinity, S,

$$\delta\rho_r = \rho \left[-\left(-\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial\rho_r}{\partial\Theta} \right) \delta\Theta + \left(\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial\rho_r}{\partial\mathrm{S}} \right) \delta\mathrm{S} \right],\tag{44}$$

where $\alpha_r \equiv -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial \rho_r}{\partial \Theta}$ and $\beta_r \equiv \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial \rho_r}{\partial S}$ are both referenced to p = r. Dia- ρ_r transformations are thus simply diagnosed as

$$\frac{\mathrm{D}\rho_r}{\mathrm{D}t} = \rho \left(-\alpha_r \frac{\mathrm{D}\Theta}{\mathrm{D}t} + \beta_r \frac{\mathrm{D}\mathrm{S}}{\mathrm{D}t} \right), \tag{45}$$

where $\alpha_r = \alpha_r(\boldsymbol{x}, t; r)$ is the (usually positive) thermal expansion coefficient, $\beta_r = \beta_r(\boldsymbol{x}, t; r) > 0$ is the positive haline contraction coefficient, and $\frac{D}{Dt} \equiv \lim_{\delta t \to 0} \frac{\delta}{\delta t}$ is the material derivative. The density-coordinate calculations presented here take r = 2000 dbar because budget diagnostics remapped online to the corresponding potential density ρ_2 were readily available.

While the global self-consistency of potential density surfaces is a useful charac-694 teristic for water mass analysis, it nevertheless suffers from two important interpreta-695 tive limitations: 1) it is *in-situ* density, not potential density, that appears in the mo-696 mentum equation and 2) away from the reference pressure, adiabatic displacements along 697 potential density surfaces generally cause buoyant restoring forces, and thus dia-neutral 698 transformation! In a potential density framework, we are therefore unable to isolate the 699 desired dianeutral contributions to dia- ρ_r transformations from potentially non-negligible 700 isoneutral contributions. This limitation is likely exacerbated for studies of deep ocean 701 overturning, for which we would like to compare surface-forced water mass transforma-702 tions (at $p = p_{\text{atm}}$) against abyssal mixing-driven transformations (at p > 4000 dbar). 703

For global-scale water mass analysis, it would thus be desirable to define a neutral 704 density variable that satisfies the following three desirable properties: 1) its isosurfaces 705 are neutral surfaces, 2) it is quasi-material, i.e., changes only due to material transfor-706 mations of temperature and salinity, and 3) is pycnotropic, i.e., can be expressed as a 707 function of only *in-situ* density and pressure. While it turns out to be impossible to de-708 fine exactly neutral surfaces for the global ocean, Stanley et al. (2021) demonstrate that 709 it is possible to construct approximately neutral surfaces that satisfy property 1, and hence 710 also properties 2 and 3, to a reasonable degree-or at least better than existing alterna-711 tives, such as the commonly-used "neutral density" variable proposed by Jackett and Mc-712 Dougall (1997) and later applied to WMT analysis by Iudicone et al. (2008). Future work 713 includes extending our water mass transformation methods to density variables that are 714 more neutral than potential density referenced to 2000 dbar, ρ_2 . 715

In describing the conventional methods of water mass transformation analysis in 716 detail, we have omitted a broader discussion of recent developments in water mass anal-717 ysis that provide complementary perspectives: circulation and transformation in tracer-718 tracer coordinates (Zika et al., 2012; ?, ?; Evans et al., 2018), constant-mass partition-719 ing (Sohail et al., 2023), Lagrangian water mass transformations (Döös et al., 2008; Tam-720 sitt et al., 2018), internal tracer content (Holmes et al., 2019; Bladwell et al., 2021), wa-721 ter mass-based inverse methods (Zika & Taimoor, 2023), and applications to biogeochem-722 istry (Iudicone et al., 2011). Practitioners of water mass analysis should choose whichever 723 method (or combination of methods) best suits the problem at hand. 724

Budget diagnostics suitable for full WMT budget analysis are typically not available from commonly used repositories of ocean and climate model data, such as the CMIP ESGF data portals. For temperature, salinity, and density, surface water mass transformations and lateral convergent transports can be computed using monthly-mean surface flux and horizontal mass transport diagnostics, respectively, both of which are designated as Priority 1 in the OMIP protocol (Griffies et al., 2016). Full mass and tracer budget diagnostics, which include the interior mixing tendencies, are only asked to be

saved at annual-mean resolution at Priority 3. The FAFMIP protocol elevates these annual-732 means to Priority 1 and asks for monthly-means at Priority 2; the monthly-mean diag-733 nostics are useful for resolving the seasonal cycle of these budgets in geographical-depth 734 space, but can result in substantial errors when transformed offline into tracer coordi-735 nates (compare rows of Figure 12). To our knowledge, snapshots of layer-thickness and 736 tracer concentration/content, which are required at the bounds of the budget time-averaging 737 intervals to accurately close the WMT budget, are not included in the OMIP, FAFMIP, 738 or any other MIP protocol; however, it may be possible to approximate these tenden-739 cies sufficiently well for some use cases by finite-differencing monthly-mean layer thick-740 ness diagnostics and interpolating the results to the middle of the months. As demon-741 strated here, accurate WMT budgets require closed mass and tracer budgets that are 742 either output at sufficiently high frequency-more often than monthly for global climate 743 simulations-or are regridded and remapped online into the target tracer coordinate (see 744 Figure 10). In either case, the raw data can be prohibitively large due to their four-dimensional 745 nature and the large number of variables required to close the WMT budgets, which is 746 presumably one reason these diagnostics are not already more available. At GFDL, this 747 data proliferation problem has been mitigated in the development and production of mesoscale 748 eddy-rich simulations by remapping to target tracer coordinates online and conservatively 749 coarsening the diagnostics in time (as monthly- or annual-means) and in the horizon-750 tal dimensions (by integrating over 2x2 grid cell tiles). We argue that intercomparison 751 of modeled WMT budgets would be sufficiently helpful for model development, evalu-752 ation, and analysis to warrant the inclusion of suitable ocean model diagnostics in fu-753 ture MIP protocols. We call on the community to initiate proof-of-concept inter-model 754 comparisons in support of a Water Mass Model Intercomparison Project (WMMIP). 755

Provided that model data suitable for full WMT budgets becomes available, ro-756 bust model intercomparison will require the standardization of analysis methods. As we 757 have demonstrated here, there are several subtleties involved in the diagnosis of WMT 758 budgets through which differences in implementation could introduce spurious inter-model 759 differences. We developed the xwmb stack of Python packages (see Appendix D) as a model-760 agnostic community tool to standardize the diagnosis of WMT budgets in models and 761 to facilitate robust model intercomparisons. For each distinct ocean model, the package 762 only requires the specification of the model's grid geometry (using xgcm) and the struc-763 ture of its mass and tracer budgets (using xbudget). Future work will extend support 764 to arbitrary grid topologies such as the cubed-sphere grid of ECCOv4 (Forget et al., 2015) 765 or the unstructured mesh of MPAS-Ocean (Ringler et al., 2013). 766

In conclusion, the development of increasingly comprehensive and high-resolution
 numerical ocean models requires new diagnostics that can extract meaning from the chaos;
 water mass transformation theory provides a framework for integrating these big and complex data sets to produce physically interpretable scalar budgets. The advances outlined
 here provide a set of best practices and software tools for robust calculations and interpretations of water mass transformation budgets–we encourage you to use them!

773 Appendix A Diagnosis of water mass transformation rates

A1 Relationship between advective- and flux-forms of the material derivative

Budget diagnostics for finite-volume ocean models are often not immediately available in the *intensive* form (16), $\rho \frac{D\lambda}{Dt} = \rho \dot{\lambda}$, or

$$\rho \frac{\mathrm{D}\lambda}{\mathrm{D}t} \equiv \rho \left(\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \lambda \right) = -\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{J},\tag{A1}$$

which exposes the advective-form material derivative that is necessary for the WMT budget approach outlined in Section 2. To write equation (A1), we have assumed all of the material transformation processes represented in $\rho \lambda$ can be expressed as the convergence of a density-weighted tracer flux¹ J, which we take to include fluxes across the ocean boundary (or, equivalently, the oceanic fluxes they induce infinitesimally close by).

Many ocean models employ discretizations that fall under the class of Generalized Vertical Coordinate (GVC) models (Chassignet et al., 2006), in which the GVC, denoted σ , is discretized in a number N of k-indexed layers of thickness $h_k \equiv \int_{\sigma_{k-1/2}}^{\sigma_{k+1/2}} z_{\sigma} d\sigma$. The function $z(\sigma)$ must be a strictly monotonic mapping such that the specific thickness $z_{\sigma} \equiv$ $\frac{\partial z}{\partial \sigma}$ (in σ -coordinates) is single-signed. In such models, tracer budgets are diagnosed in the extensive (layer-integrated) form (Griffies et al. (2020), eq. 32)

$$\frac{\partial(h_k \overline{\rho \lambda}^k)}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\sigma} \cdot (h_k \overline{\rho \lambda \boldsymbol{u}}^k + h_k \overline{\boldsymbol{J}}^k) + \Delta^k_{\sigma} (\rho \lambda w^{(\dot{\sigma})} + J^{(\sigma)}) = 0$$
(A2)

which also corresponds with the models' prognostic conservation equations. In equation (A2), overbars $(\overline{\phi}^k = \frac{1}{h_k} \int_{\sigma_{k-1/2}}^{\sigma_{k+1/2}} \phi z_{\sigma} d\sigma)$ denote the layer-average operation; $J^{(\sigma)} =$ $z_{\sigma} \nabla \sigma \cdot J$ is the thickness-weighted dia- σ flux; ∇_{σ} is the along- σ gradient; $\Delta_{\sigma}^k \phi = \phi(\sigma_{k+1/2}) \phi(\sigma_{k-1/2})$ is the finite difference across the k^{th} layer; and ρ , λ , and u are the density, tracer concentration, and horizontal velocity, respectively. The dia- σ velocity component for the GVC is denoted by $w^{(\dot{\sigma})} \equiv z_{\sigma} \frac{D\sigma}{Dt} \equiv z_{\sigma} \dot{\sigma}$, which is a normalization of the dia- σ advective mass flux in terms of a horizontal area element dA, defined by $\rho w^{(\dot{\sigma})} dA \equiv$ $\rho(v - v^{(\sigma)}) \cdot \hat{n} dS$ (Griffies et al. (2020), Appendix D).

⁷⁹⁷ To recover the evolution equation (A1) for the intensive tracer concentration λ , we ⁷⁹⁸ must remove from the extensive layer-integrated budget (A2) the effects of an evolving ⁷⁹⁹ layer mass per unit area $\bar{h}\rho$, given by (Griffies et al. (2020), eq. 32b)

$$\frac{\partial(h_k\overline{\rho}^k)}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\sigma} \cdot (h_k\overline{\rho}\overline{\boldsymbol{v}}^k) + \Delta^k_{\sigma}(\rho\lambda w^{(\dot{\sigma})}) = 0.$$
(A3)

Since information has already been irreversibly lost by integration between the continuous form (A1) and the vertically discrete layer-integrated form (A2), however, we take a step back and consider the continuous versions of the thickness-weighted budgets (Griffies (2024), 58.4):

$$\frac{\partial(\rho z_{\sigma} \lambda)}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\sigma} \cdot (\rho z_{\sigma} \lambda \boldsymbol{v}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} (\rho z_{\sigma} \lambda \dot{\sigma}) = -\left[\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\sigma} \cdot (z_{\sigma} \boldsymbol{J}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} (z_{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \sigma \cdot \boldsymbol{J})\right], \quad (A4)$$

$$\frac{\partial(\rho z_{\sigma})}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\sigma} \cdot (\rho z_{\sigma} \boldsymbol{v}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} (\rho z_{\sigma} \dot{\sigma}) = 0.$$
(A5)

Note that under the Boussinesq approximation ($\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{v} = 0$) and with geopotential coordinates ($\sigma = z$), the specific cell thickness $z_{\sigma} = 1$ and reference density $\rho = \rho_0$ are constant and can be pulled out of the derivatives such that (A4) reduces trivially to (A1).

In the non-Boussinesq GVC case, expanding each of the LHS terms of (A4) using the product rule, we can write the respective terms in equation (A1) as:

807

808

$$\rho \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{z_{\sigma}} \left[\frac{\partial (\rho z_{\sigma} \lambda)}{\partial t} - \lambda \frac{\partial (\rho z_{\sigma})}{\partial t} \right]$$
(A6)

$$\rho \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \lambda \equiv \rho \left(\boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\sigma} \lambda + \dot{\sigma} \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial \sigma} \right) = \frac{1}{z_{\sigma}} \left[\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\sigma} \cdot (\rho z_{\sigma} \lambda \boldsymbol{v}) - \lambda \boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\sigma} \cdot (\rho z_{\sigma} \boldsymbol{v}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} (\rho z_{\sigma} \lambda \dot{\sigma}) - \lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} (\rho z_{\sigma} \dot{\sigma}) \right]$$
(A7)

$$\rho\dot{\lambda} = \frac{-1}{z_{\sigma}} \left[\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\sigma} \cdot (z_{\sigma} \boldsymbol{J}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \left(z_{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \sigma \cdot \boldsymbol{J} \right) \right],\tag{A8}$$

 $^{^{1}}$ It is straight-forward to extend the method to include non-flux-form tracer sources and sinks; we leave these out to simplify the exposition as much as possible.

where each of the terms now corresponds directly with the continuous versions of the extensive tracer and mass budget diagnostics. To further connect these to finite-volume diagnostics, we now integrate (A6-A8) over discrete σ -layers, but first multiplying both sides by z_{σ} because the intensive λ -budgets only appear as thickness-weighted integrands, e.g. $\rho \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial t} dV$, where $dV = dz dA = z_{\sigma} d\sigma dA$.

814

816

817

For the tendency term, the σ -integral commutes with time derivatives and we have

$$h_{k}\overline{\rho}\frac{\partial\lambda}{\partial t}^{k} \equiv \int_{\sigma_{k-1/2}}^{\sigma_{k+1/2}} \rho z_{\sigma}\frac{\partial\lambda}{\partial t} \mathrm{d}\sigma = \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right]_{\sigma} (h_{k}\overline{\rho\lambda}^{k}) - \int_{\sigma_{k-1/2}}^{\sigma_{k+1/2}} \lambda\frac{\partial(\rho z_{\sigma})}{\partial t} \mathrm{d}\sigma = \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right]_{\sigma} (h_{k}\overline{\rho\lambda})^{k} - \overline{\lambda}_{k}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right]_{\sigma} (h_{k}\overline{\rho}^{k})$$
(A9)

where we have ignored intra-layer spatial correlations between λ and $\frac{\partial(\rho z_{\sigma})}{\partial t}$.

For the advection term, derivatives also commute and we similarly arrive at

$$h_k \overline{\rho \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \lambda}^k = \boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\sigma} \cdot (h_k \overline{\rho \lambda \boldsymbol{v}}^k) + \Delta^k_{\sigma} (\rho w^{(\dot{\sigma})} \lambda) - \overline{\lambda}^k \left[\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\sigma} \cdot (h_k \overline{\rho \boldsymbol{v}}^k) + \Delta^k_{\sigma} (\rho w^{(\dot{\sigma})}) \right].$$
(A10)

For the non-conservative processes, we have

$$h_k \overline{\rho \dot{\lambda}}^k = -\left[\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\sigma} \cdot (h_k \overline{\boldsymbol{J}}^k) + \Delta_{\sigma}^k J^{(\sigma)} \right].$$
(A11)

Altogether, we have the following finite-volume expressions for the layer-mass-weighted λ -conservation equation

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(h_k \overline{\rho} \overline{\lambda}^k \right) - \overline{\lambda}^k \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\overline{\rho}^k h_k \right) \end{bmatrix} \qquad \qquad h_k \overline{\rho} \frac{\overline{\partial \lambda}^k}{\partial t}$$

$$+ \begin{bmatrix} \nabla_{\sigma} \cdot \left(h_k \overline{\rho} \overline{\lambda} \overline{v}^k \right) + \Delta_{\sigma}^k \left(\rho w^{(\dot{\sigma})} \lambda \right) - \overline{\lambda}^k \left(\nabla_{\sigma} \cdot \left(h \overline{\rho} \overline{v}^k \right) + \Delta_{\sigma}^k \left(\rho w^{(\dot{\sigma})} \right) \right) \end{bmatrix} \qquad \Leftrightarrow \qquad + h_k \overline{\rho} \overline{v \cdot \nabla \lambda}^k$$

$$(A12)$$

$$= - \begin{bmatrix} \nabla_{\sigma} \cdot \left(h_k \overline{J}^k \right) + \Delta_{\sigma}^k J^{(\sigma)} \end{bmatrix} \qquad \qquad (A14)$$

820

=

A2 Kinematics of normal-flux boundary conditions

We now turn to a discussion of the subtleties related to the treatment of kinematic boundary conditions on fluxes of seawater mass and tracer content. We will consider both advective exchange fluxes that reflect the exchange of mass between the ocean and other Earth system components (e.g. precipitation, evaporation, sea ice melt), as well as nonadvective tracer exchange fluxes (e.g. turbulent air-sea fluxes, radiative heating/cooling, sea ice brine rejection).

We label the N+1 layer interfaces with integers (k = 0, 1, ..., N) and the N layer centers or layer averages with the half-steps between them $(k = \frac{1}{2}, ..., N - \frac{1}{2})$. This index notation is shifted by $\frac{1}{2}$ relative to that used by others (e.g. Griffies et al. (2020)); however, it greatly simplifies the interpretation of the following derivation. We take k =0 to be the sea surface and k = N the sea floor. Then, to explicitly reveal the influence of boundary conditions, we consider the three flux categories (bottom-interfacing, interior, surface-interfacing) separately²:

$$\begin{array}{ll} (\text{density-weighted tracer}) & (\text{M15}) \\ \Delta^{k}_{\sigma}(\rho w^{(\dot{\sigma})}\lambda + J^{(\sigma)}) & \Delta^{k}_{\sigma}(\rho w^{(\dot{\sigma})}) & (\text{A16}) \\ = \Delta^{k} \left(\mathcal{H}(k-N) \left[\rho w^{(\dot{\sigma})}\lambda + J^{(\sigma)} \right] \right) & = \Delta^{k} \left(\mathcal{H}(k-N) \left[\rho w^{(\dot{\sigma})} \right] \right) & (\text{bottom ocean fluxes}) \end{array}$$

$$+\Delta_{\sigma}^{\kappa} \left(\mathcal{I}_{0}^{N}(k) \left[\rho w^{(\sigma)} \lambda + J^{(\sigma)} \right] \right) + \Delta_{\sigma}^{\kappa} \left(\mathcal{I}_{0}^{N}(k) \left[\rho w^{(\sigma)} \right] \right) \quad \text{(interior ocean fluxes)}$$
(A18)

$$+\Delta_{\sigma}^{k}\left(\mathcal{H}(-k)\left[\rho w^{(\dot{\sigma})}\lambda+J^{(\sigma)}\right]\right), \qquad + \qquad \Delta_{\sigma}^{k}\left(\mathcal{H}(-k)\left[\rho w^{(\dot{\sigma})}\right]\right) \quad , (\text{surface ocean fluxes})$$
(A19)

where $\mathcal{H}(n)$ is the Heaviside function (1 if $n \geq 0$; 0 otherwise) and $\mathcal{I}_i^j(k)$ is the inte-834 rior function³ (1 if 0 < k < N; 0 otherwise). To illustrate the utility of the Heaviside 835 function notation, consider the expressions for the ocean bottom fluxes (A17), which only 836 have a nonzero contribution within the bottom-interfacing layer (k = N - 1/2), where 837 $\Delta_{\sigma}^{k} \left(\mathcal{H}(k-N)\phi \right) = \mathcal{H}(0) \left[\phi\right]_{k=N} - \mathcal{H}(-1) \left[\phi\right]_{k=N-1} = \left[\phi\right]_{k=N} \text{ is just the dia-seafloor flux, as desired. Since interior fluxes are typically already diagnosed in the form of ex-$ 838 839 pression (A18), where $\mathcal{I}_0^N(k)J^{(\sigma)}$ represent interior diffusive fluxes, we do not need to 840 manipulate them any further. The surface and bottom oceanic fluxes, on the other hand, 841 are provided only in terms of the interfacial advective and non-advective exchange fluxes 842 that induce them. 843

At the bottom, the advective mass and tracer fluxes both vanish due to the no-normal flow boundary condition on the barycentric velocity $(\boldsymbol{v} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{n}} = 0)$ at the static boundary $(\boldsymbol{v}^{(b)} = 0)$, which together yield $\rho(\boldsymbol{v} - \boldsymbol{v}^{(b)}) \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{n}} dS = 0$ and thus $\rho w^{(\dot{\sigma})} = 0$. However, there may still be a nonzero non-advective tracer transport (e.g. geothermal heat flux), which we denote as $J^{(\sigma)} \equiv -Q_{\lambda}^{\text{bot}}$ such that $Q_{\lambda}^{\text{bot}} > 0$ indicates an input of densityweighted λ to the ocean. Thus, we write the bottom fluxes as

$$\left[J^{(\sigma)}\right]_{k=N} = -Q^{\text{bot}}_{\lambda} \tag{A20}$$

$$\left[\rho w^{(\dot{\sigma})}\right]_{k=N} = 0 \tag{A21}$$

At the sea surface, the mass flux Q_M^{surf} (e.g. due to precipitation, evaporation, sea ice melt) is, by definition, purely advective, yielding the straight-forward equivalence

$$\left[\rho w^{(\dot{\sigma})}\right]_{k=0} = -Q_M^{\text{surf}}.$$
(A22)

⁸⁵² By contrast, the net density-weighted tracer exchange flux Q_{λ}^{net} must be treated ⁸⁵³ carefully because it includes a non-advective component as well as an advective compo-⁸⁵⁴ nent associated with the tracer content of the water being exchanged,

$$Q_{\lambda}^{\text{net}} = \lambda_M Q_M^{\text{surf}} + Q_{\lambda}^{\text{surf}}, \qquad (A23)$$

 $^{^{2}}$ In practice, this conceptual approach is complicated by the fact that some boundary fluxes (most notably incoming solar radiation) penetrate across the interfaces and into the interior ocean, resulting in a non-negligible interior flux-divergence (Iudicone et al., 2008). It is nevertheless useful to distinguish the boundary-related processes from interior processes such as diffusion.

 $^{^{3}}$ The interior function differs from conventional boxcar functions because it excludes the endpoints.

where λ_M is the tracer concentration of the exchanged water mass⁴. Within an infinites-855 imal skin layer of the surface ocean, this boundary exchange flux induces both an ad-856 857

vective oceanic flux and a non-advective oceanic flux:

$$Q_{\lambda}^{\text{net}} = -\left[\rho w^{\dot{\sigma}} \lambda + J^{(\sigma)}\right]_{k=0} = \left[\lambda\right]_{k=0} Q_{M}^{\text{surf}} - \left[J^{(\sigma)}\right]_{k=0}.$$
 (A24)

Equating the RHS expressions of (A23) and (A24) yields an expression for the induced 858 non-advective oceanic flux in terms of the non-advective tracer exchange flux and the 859

advective mass flux: 860

$$[J^{\sigma}]_{k=0} = -Q^{\text{surf}}_{\lambda} - (\lambda_M - [\lambda]_{k=0})Q^{\text{surf}}_M.$$
(A25)

In summary, plugging the above expressions back into equation (A19), we have 861

$$\left[J^{(\sigma)}\right]_{k=0} = -Q_{\lambda}^{\text{surf}} - (\lambda_M - [\lambda]_{k=0})Q_M^{\text{surf}}$$
(A26)

$$\left[\rho w^{(\dot{\sigma})}\right]_{k=0} = -Q_M^{\text{surf}} \tag{A27}$$

$$\left[\rho w^{(\dot{\sigma})}\lambda\right]_{k=0} = -\left[\lambda\right]_{k=0} Q_M^{\text{surf}} \tag{A28}$$

Altogether, plugging expressions (A20, A21, A26, A28, A27) into (A17–A19) we 862 have 863

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(h_k\overline{\rho\lambda}^k\right) - \overline{\lambda}^k\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\overline{\rho}^k h_k\right)\right] \tag{A29}$$

$$+ \left[\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\sigma} \cdot (h_k \overline{\rho \lambda \boldsymbol{v}}^k) + \Delta_{\sigma}^k \left(\mathcal{I}_0^N(k) \rho w^{(\dot{\sigma})} \lambda - \mathcal{H}(-k) \lambda Q_M^{\mathrm{surf}} \right) \right]$$
(A30)

$$-\overline{\lambda}^{k} \left[\left(\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\sigma} \cdot (h \overline{\rho} \overline{\boldsymbol{v}}^{k}) + \Delta_{\sigma}^{k} (\mathcal{I}_{0}^{N}(k) \rho w^{(\dot{\sigma})} - \mathcal{H}(-k) Q_{M}^{\mathrm{surf}} \right) \right]$$
(A31)

$$= -\left[\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\sigma} \cdot (h_k \overline{\boldsymbol{J}}^k) + \Delta_{\sigma}^k \left(\mathcal{I}_0^N(k) J^{(\sigma)} - \mathcal{H}(-k) \left[Q_{\lambda}^{\text{surf}} + (\lambda_M - \lambda) Q_M^{\text{surf}} \right] - \mathcal{H}(k-N) \right) Q_{\lambda}^{\text{bot}} \right) \right].$$
(A32)

If the Eulerian time derivative and advective components of the material derivative do 864 not need to be distinguished, then the terms with the common factor $\overline{\lambda}^k$ outside of the 865 deriative/difference operators can be collectively identified as the conservative equation 866 for layer-integrated mass (A3), which therefore vanishes. We are left with: 867

$$h_k \overline{\rho \left(\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \lambda\right)^k} \tag{A33}$$

$$= \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(h_k \overline{\rho \lambda}^k\right) + \nabla_{\sigma} \cdot \left(h_k \overline{\rho \lambda v}^k\right) + \Delta_{\sigma}^k \left(\mathcal{I}_0^N(k) \rho w^{(\dot{\sigma})} \lambda\right) - \Delta_{\sigma}^k \left(\mathcal{H}(-k) \lambda Q_M^{\mathrm{surf}}\right)\right]$$
(A34)

$$= -\left[\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\sigma} \cdot (h_k \overline{\boldsymbol{J}}^k) + \Delta_{\sigma}^k \left(\mathcal{I}_0^N(k) J^{(\sigma)} - \mathcal{H}(-k) \left[Q_{\lambda}^{\text{surf}} + (\lambda_M - \lambda) Q_M^{\text{surf}} \right] - \mathcal{H}(-(N-k)) Q_{\lambda}^{\text{bot}} \right) \right]$$
(A35)

Expression (A33) is the density-weighted and layer-integrated advective form of the kine-868

matic material derivative; expression (A34) is the flux form of the kinematic material 869

derivative; and expression (A35) is the flux-form of the non-conservative process-based ma-870 terial derivative. Breaking the budget down into the contributions from different classes 871

 $^{^4}$ This term could in principle be represented as the aggregate of multiple different mass fluxes, each carrying different mean tracer concentrations. In practice, assumptions are often much simpler; in MOM6, for example, both the in- and out-flowing water masses are assumed to have the same Conservative Temperature as the surface layer $(\Theta_M = \overline{\Theta}^{k=0})$ and to be pure freshwater $(S_M = 0)$.

of physical processes, we assign the following labels:

$rac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(h_k\overline{ ho\lambda}^k ight)$	(Eulerian layer tendency)	(A36)
$+ \boldsymbol{ abla}_{\sigma} \cdot (h_k \overline{ ho \lambda oldsymbol{v}}^k)$	(Along-surface lateral advection)	(A37)
$+\Delta^k_\sigma \left(\mathcal{I}^N_0(k)\rho w^{(\dot{\sigma})}\lambda\right)$	(Dia-interface advection, i.e. regridding	g/remapping)
		(A38)
$-\Delta_{\sigma}^{k}\left(\mathcal{H}(-k)\lambda Q_{M}^{\mathrm{surf}}\right) =$	(Advective ocean tracer flux at surface	e)
		(A39)
$-oldsymbol{ abla}_{\sigma}\cdot(h_k\overline{oldsymbol{J}}^k)$	(Along-layer lateral diffusion)	(A40)
$-\Delta^k_\sigma\left(\mathcal{I}^N_0(k)J^{(\sigma)} ight)$	(Dia-interface turbulent diffusion)	(A41)
$+\Delta_{\sigma}^{k}\left(\mathcal{H}(-k)\left[Q_{\lambda}^{\mathrm{surf}}+(\lambda_{M}-\lambda)Q_{M}^{\mathrm{surf}}\right]\right)$	(Non-advective ocean tracer flux at su	rface) (A42)
$+\Delta_{\sigma}^{k}\left(\mathcal{H}(-(N-k))Q_{\lambda}^{\mathrm{bot}}\right).$	(Non-advective ocean tracer flux at bo	(A43)

This partitioning of the layer-integrated tracer budget is useful because it is consistent with the kinematic-process partitioning of the λ -WMT equation (24), allowing the integrals appearing therein to be approximated by Riemann sums and computed by 1) appropriately area-weighting the terms (A36-A43), 2) vertically-remapping them to λ -coordinates, 3) and summing them over the discrete water class $\Delta\Omega(\tilde{\lambda}, t) \equiv \Omega(\lambda + \Delta\lambda/2, t) \setminus \Omega(\lambda - \Delta\lambda/2, t)$, where \setminus denotes the set difference, as described in Section 3.

Appendix B Water mass transformation rates from MOM6 diagnostics

The terms identified in expressions (A36-A43) are nearly identical to those available as layer-integrated tracer-budget diagnostics in MOM6,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(h_k \overline{\rho} \overline{\lambda}^k \right) = (\text{Eulerian layer tendency}) \quad (B1)$$

$$-\nabla_{\sigma} \cdot \left(h_k \overline{\rho} \overline{\lambda} v^k \right) \quad (\text{Along-layer advection}) \quad (B2)$$

$$-\Delta_{\sigma}^k \left(\mathcal{I}_0^N(k) \rho w^{(\dot{\sigma})} \lambda \right) \quad (\text{Dia-interface advection, i.e. regridding/remapping})$$

$$(B3)$$

$$-\nabla_{\sigma} \cdot \left(h_k \overline{J}^k \right) \quad (\text{Along-surface lateral diffusion}) \quad (B4)$$

$$-\Delta_{\sigma}^k \left(\mathcal{I}_0^N(k) J^{(\sigma)} \right) \quad (\text{Dia-interface turbulent diffusion}) \quad (B5)$$

$$+\Delta_{\sigma}^{k} \left(\mathcal{H}(-k) \left[Q_{\lambda}^{\text{surf}} + \lambda_{M} Q_{M}^{\text{surf}} \right] \right) \quad (\text{Surface tracer flux}) \tag{B6}$$
$$+\Delta_{\sigma}^{k} \left(\mathcal{H}(-(N-k)) Q_{\lambda}^{\text{bot}} \right). \qquad (\text{Non-advective bottom tracer flux}), \tag{B7}$$

Terms B2 and B3 can be moved to the LHS by changing signs. The more problematic 883 discrepancy is that, in the presently available layer-integrated MOM6 diagnostics, all of 884 the surface ocean tracer flux terms are bundled together (expression B6) and thus are 885 not readily decomposed into their purely advective part (A39), which contributes to the 886 kinematic material derivative (LHS), and the non-advective part (A42), which contributes 887 to the process-based material derivative (RHS). This means that the layer-integrated bud-888 get diagnostics, as currently implemented, are inconsistent with the water mass trans-889 formation approach! In the Baltic sea test case presented here, the erroneous transfor-890 mations due to the omitted advective ocean tracer flux divergence term $\Delta_{\sigma}^{k} \left(\mathcal{H}(-k)\lambda Q_{M}^{\mathrm{Mrf}}\right)$ 891 are, relative to other terms in the budget, $\mathcal{O}(10^{-2})$ for temperature but $\mathcal{O}(1)$ for salin-892 ity (for which $\lambda_M = 0$ by assumption for freshwater, whereas $\lambda = \mathcal{O}(30)$ ppt) and there-893 fore can not be neglected. 894

While neither the advective ocean tracer flux divergence $\Delta_{\sigma}^{k} \left(\mathcal{H}(-k)\lambda Q_{M}^{\mathrm{surf}} \right)$ nor the non-advective ocean tracer flux divergence $\Delta_{\sigma}^{k} \left(\mathcal{H}(-k) \left[Q_{\lambda}^{\mathrm{surf}} + (\lambda_{M} - \lambda) Q_{M}^{\mathrm{surf}} \right] \right)$ are directly available as layer-integrated budget tendencies, they can be nevertheless be estimated offline from the product of $[\lambda]^{k=0}$ (often set to the upper-most layer's concentra-895 896 897 tion $[\lambda]^{k=\frac{1}{2}}$ and Q_M^{surf} . However, this offline operation inevitably omits temporal cor-899 relation terms below the diagnostic averaging period, thereby introducing errors into the 900 otherwise exact budget. We recommend this approach eventually be replaced by a new 901 online diagnostic for $\lambda Q_M^{\text{surf}}$, ideally as a three-dimensional layer-integrated budget ten-902 dency. In any case, subtracting the advective ocean tracer flux term from both sides of 903 equation (B1-B7) and rearranging the layer-integrated budget into the kinematic part 904 (LHS) and non-conservative process-based part (RHS), we arrive at the desired equa-905 tion (A36-A43). 906

⁹⁰⁷ Appendix C Boussinesq relationships

⁹⁰⁸ Under the Boussinesq approximation, the flow field is non-divergent ($\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{v} = 0$), ⁹⁰⁹ which one might expect to simplify the water mass (or volume) budget. For instance, ⁹¹⁰ directly integrating the continuity equation $\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{v} = 0$ over $\Omega(\tilde{\lambda}, t)$ and following a sim-⁹¹¹ ilar logic as in the above section, we have

$$-\int_{\Omega} \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{v} dV = -\oint_{\partial\Omega} \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{n}}^{(\partial\Omega)} dS = -\int_{\partial\Omega_{surf}} \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{n}}^{(s)} dS - \int_{\partial\mathcal{R}} \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{n}}^{(\partial\mathcal{R})} dS - \partial\lambda \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}\lambda dV = 0.$$
(C1)

912 913

Additionally, by applying Leibniz' integral rule (6) to the volume budget (i.e., setting F = 1 instead of $F = \rho$), we have

$$\partial_t \mathcal{V}_{\Omega} \equiv \partial_t \int_{\Omega} \mathrm{d}V = \oint_{\partial\Omega} \boldsymbol{v}^{(\partial\Omega)} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{n}} \mathrm{d}S = \int_{\partial\Omega_{\mathrm{surf}}} \boldsymbol{v}^{(s)} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{n}}^{(s)} \mathrm{d}S - \partial_{\tilde{\lambda}} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial\lambda}{\partial t} \mathrm{d}V.$$
(C2)

Summing (C1) and (C2), and using equation (16), yields a λ -water volume budget

$$\partial_t \mathcal{V}_{\Omega} + \int_{\partial \Omega_{\text{surf}}} (\boldsymbol{v} - \boldsymbol{v}^{(s)}) \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{n}}^{(s)} \mathrm{d}S + \int_{\partial \mathcal{R}} \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{n}}^{(\partial \mathcal{R})} \mathrm{d}S = -\partial_{\tilde{\lambda}} \left[\int_{\Omega} \dot{\lambda} \, \mathrm{d}V \right], \quad (C3)$$

which is, modulo a reference density $\rho = \rho_0$, identical to the λ -WMT budget (29).

In theory, the possibility of separately evaluating the two components (C1 and C2) might provide more granular insight into the WMT budget. Because boundary mass fluxes Q_M^{surf} are implemented directly in terms of the relative velocity $\boldsymbol{v}-\boldsymbol{v}^{(s)}$, equations (C1) and (C2) are intrinsically coupled and can not be separately evaluated. In many cases, however, the surface mass flux only plays a minor role in the water volume budget, such that the following approximate relationships can still be useful (see Bailey et al., 2023):

$$\partial_t \mathcal{V}_{\Omega} \simeq -\partial_{\tilde{\lambda}} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial t} \mathrm{d}V$$
 (C4)

$$\int_{\partial \mathcal{R} \cap \{\lambda \leq \tilde{\lambda}\}} \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{n}}^{(\partial \mathcal{R})} \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{S} = -\partial_{\tilde{\lambda}} \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \lambda \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{V}$$
(C5)

The utility of these approximate relationships may carry over to the non-Boussinesq case, even as they become even less exact.

924 Appendix D Open Research

The calculations described above make use of a stack of new open-source Python packages, which leverage data structures and methods from xarray (Hoyer & Hamman,
2017) and xgcm (Abernathey et al., 2022) for out-of-memory operations on finite volume model grids.

Proper archiving and referencing of the following packages will be deferred to a 929 later stage of the publication process]. We use the new package regionate to define our 930 discretized regions R on the model grid and an updated version of sectionate to ac-931 cumulate mass transports normal to the boundary $\partial \mathbb{R}$ (see also Section 3.2). Water mass 932 properties and transformation rates are evaluated using an updated version of xwmt (Tesdal 933 et al., 2023). The remaining terms in the water mass budget are computed with the new 934 935 package xwmb. An additional package, xbudget, provides helper functions for wrangling complicated multi-level tracer budget diagnostics and is used to verify budget closure 936 and decompose high-level terms into constituent processes. All of the packages are de-937 signed to be agnostic to the specific formulation of the FV-GVC ocean model to promote 938 community uptake and facilitate inter-model comparisons, requiring only that users pro-939 vide metadata describing each model's grid geometry/topology and diagnostic mass/tracer 940 budgets. The current implementations only support curvilinear grid but future work aims 941 to develop support for arbitrary grid-face topologies (e.g. cubed-sphere) and grid geome-942 tries (i.e. unstructured triangular or hexagonal horizontal grids). 943

944 Acknowledgments

H.F.D. acknowledges support from the NOAA C&GC Fellowship (AWARD NO.) and

⁹⁴⁶ UC Irvine's School of Physical Sciences.

947 **References**

948	Abernathey, R. P., Busecke, J. J. M., Smith, T. A., Deauna, J. D., Banihirwe,	
949	A., Nicholas, T., Thielen, J. (2022, November). <i>xgcm.</i> Zenodo.	Re-
950	trieved 2023-08-07, from https://zenodo.org/record/7348619	doi:
951	10.5281/zenodo.7348619	

- Adcroft, A., Anderson, W., Balaji, V., Blanton, C., Bushuk, M., Dufour, C. O., ... 952 Zhang, R. (2019). The GFDL Global Ocean and Sea Ice Model OM4.0: Model 953 Description and Simulation Features. Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth 954 Systems, 11(10), 3167–3211. Retrieved 2020-12-06, from https://agupubs 955 .onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2019MS001726 (_eprint: 956 https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2019MS001726) doi: 957 https://doi.org/10.1029/2019MS001726 958
- Bailey, S. T., Jones, C. S., Abernathey, R. P., Gordon, A. L., & Yuan, X. (2023, April). Water mass transformation variability in the Weddell Sea in ocean reanalyses. Ocean Science, 19(2), 381–402. Retrieved 2023-07-21, from https://os.copernicus.org/articles/19/381/2023/ (Publisher: Copernicus GmbH) doi: 10.5194/os-19-381-2023
- Bladwell, C., Holmes, R. M., & Zika, J. D. (2021, July). Internal Salt Content:
 A Useful Framework for Understanding the Oceanic Branch of the Water
- ⁹⁶⁶ Cycle. Journal of Physical Oceanography, 51(7), 2167–2179. Retrieved 2024-
- 96702-27, from https://journals.ametsoc.org/view/journals/phoc/51/7/968JPO-D-20-0212.1.xml969Journal of Physical Oceanography) doi: 10.1175/JPO-D-20-0212.1
- Broecker, W. S. (1982). *Tracers in the Sea* (Edition unstated ed.). Eldigio Pr.
- Burchard, H., & Rennau, H. (2008). Comparative quantification of physically and
 numerically induced mixing in ocean models. Ocean Modelling, 20, 293–311.
- 973
 Cessi, P. (2019). The Global Overturning Circulation. Annual Review of Marine Sci-974

 974
 ence, 11(1), 249–270. Retrieved 2022-01-08, from https://doi.org/10.1146/ 975

 975
 annurev-marine-010318-095241 (_eprint: https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-976

 976
 marine-010318-095241) doi: 10.1146/annurev-976
- 977 Chassignet, E., Hurlburt, H., Smedstad, O. M., Halliwell, G., Wallcraft, A., Metzger,

978	E. J., Srinivasan, A. (2006, March). Generalized Vertical Coordinates for
979	Eddy-Resolving Global and Coastal Ocean Forecasts. $Oceanography, 19(1),$
980	118-129. Retrieved 2024-02-29, from https://tos.org/oceanography/
981	article/generalized-vertical-coordinates-for-eddy-resolving-global
982	-and-coastal-ocea doi: $10.5670/oceanog.2006.95$
983	de Lavergne, C., Madec, G., Le Sommer, J., Nurser, A. J. G., & Naveira Garabato,
984	A. C. (2016, February). On the Consumption of Antarctic Bottom Water
985	in the Abyssal Ocean. Journal of Physical Oceanography, 46(2), 635–661.
986	Retrieved 2019-01-02, from http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/10.1175/
987	JPO-D-14-0201.1 doi: 10.1175/JPO-D-14-0201.1
088	Deppenmeier A -L Bryan F O Kessler W S & Thompson L (2022 Octo-
090	ber) Diabatic Upwelling in the Tropical Pacific: Seasonal and Subseasonal
000	Variability Journal of Physical Oceanography 59(11) 2657–2668 Retrieved
990	2023-07-20 from https://journals_ametsoc_org/view/journals/phoc/
991	52/11/IPD-D-21-0316 1 yml (Publisher: American Meteorological Society
992	Section: Journal of Physical Oceanography) doi: 10.1175/JPO.D.21.0316.1
993	$\Sigma_{\rm rescaled}$ Section. Journal of Fuysical Occanography (doi: 10.1175/51.0-D-21-0510.1
994	Doos, K., Misson, J., Nycander, J., Brodeau, L., & Ballarotta, M. (2012). The
995	world Ocean thermonaline circulation. Journal of Physical Oceanography, 42,
996	1445–1460. doi: 10.11/5/JPO-D-11-0163.1
997	Drake, H. F., Ferrari, R., & Callies, J. (2020, August). Abyssal Circulation Driven
998	by Near-Boundary Mixing: Water Mass Transformations and Interior Strat-
999	ification. Journal of Physical Oceanography, 50(8), 2203–2226. Retrieved
1000	2020-11-29, from https://journals.ametsoc.org/jpo/article/50/8/2203/
1001	348530/Abyssal-Circulation-Driven-by-Near-Boundary-Mixing (Pub-
1002	lisher: American Meteorological Society) doi: 10.1175/JPO-D-19-0313.1
1003	Drake, H. F., Ruan, X., & Ferrari, R. (2022, November). Diapycnal Displace-
1004	ment, Diffusion, and Distortion of Tracers in the Ocean. Journal of Physical
1005	Oceanography, 52(12), 3221–3240. Retrieved 2022-12-02, from https://
1006	journals.ametsoc.org/view/journals/phoc/52/12/JPO-D-22-0010.1.xml
1007	(Publisher: American Meteorological Society Section: Journal of Physical
1008	Oceanography) doi: 10.1175/JPO-D-22-0010.1
1009	Döös, K., Nycander, J., & Coward, A. C. (2008). Lagrangian decomposition of the
1010	Deacon Cell. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 113(7), 1–13. (ISBN:
1011	0148-0227) doi: 10.1029/2007JC004351
1012	Döös, K., & Webb, D. J. (1994, February). The Deacon Cell and the Other Merid-
1013	ional Cells of the Southern Ocean. Journal of Physical Oceanography, 24(2).
1014	429-442. Retrieved 2018-05-08. from http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/
1015	abs/10.1175/1520-0485%281994%29024%3C0429%3ATDCAT0%3E2.0.C0%3B2
1016	doi: 10.1175/1520-0485(1994)024(0429:TDCATO)2.0.CO:2
1017	Evans D G Holliday N P Bacon S & Le Bras I (2023 June) Mixing and
1017	air-sea buoyancy fluxes set the time-mean overturning circulation in the sub-
1010	nolar North Atlantic and Nordic Seas Ocean Science 10(3) 745-768 Ro
1019	point North Atlantic and North Seas. Ocean Science, $15(5)$, $145-700$. Ite-
1020	2022 / (Dublisher) Concernicus (mbH) doi: 10.5104/cg.10.745.2022
1021	20257 (Fublisher: Coperincus Ginbir) doi: $10.5194/05-19-745-2025$
1022	Evans, D. G., Zika, J. D., Naveira Garabato, A. C., & Nurser, A. J. G. (2018).
1023	The Cold Transit of Southern Ocean Upwelling. Geophysical Research
1024	Letters, 45 (24), 13,386–13,395. Retrieved 2024-02-27, from https://
1025	onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2018GL079986 (_eprint:
1026	https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2018GL079986) doi:
1027	10.1029/2018GL079986
1028	Ferrari, R., Mashayek, A., McDougall, T. J., Nikurashin, M., & Campin, J
1029	M. (2016, July). Turning Ocean Mixing Upside Down. Journal of
1030	Physical Oceanography, 46(7), 2239–2261. Retrieved 2019-01-02, from
1031	http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/10.1175/JPO-D-15-0244.1 doi:
1032	10.1175/JPO-D-15-0244.1

1033	Ferrari, R., & Wunsch, C. (2009). Ocean Circulation Kinetic Energy: Reservoirs,
1034	Sources, and Sinks. Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics, $41(1)$, 253–282. (ISBN: 0066-4189) doi: 10.1146/annurgy fluid 40.111406.102139
1035	Forget C. Campin I. M. Heimbach D. Hill C. N. Donto D. M. & Wun
1036	rolger, G., Campin, JW., Heinbach, I., Hin, C. N., Fonte, R. M., & Wun- sch C (2015 October) ECCO version 4: an integrated framework for
1037	non linear inverse modeling and global ocean state estimation
1038	non-intera inverse modeling and global ocean state estimation. $Geosci-$
1039	https://md.commission.com/onticles/2/2071/2015/ (Publishow Commission
1040	nicus GmbH) doi: $10.5194/\text{gmd}-8-3071-2015$ (1 ubitsher. Coper-
1041	Fox-Kemper B Adcroft A Böning C W Chassignet E P Curchitser E Dan-
1042	abasoglu G Veager S G (2019) Challenges and Prospects in Ocean Cir-
1043	culation Models Frontiers in Marine Science 6 Retrieved 2023-08-01 from
1045	https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmars.2019.00065
1046	Griffies, S. M. (2024). <i>Geophysical Fluid Mechanics</i> . (Draft available at
1047	https://stephengriffies.github.io/assets/pdfs/GFM_lectures.pdf)
1048	Griffies, S. M., Adcroft, A., & Hallberg, R. W. (2020). A Primer on the Ver-
1049	tical Lagrangian-Remap Method in Ocean Models Based on Finite Vol-
1050	ume Generalized Vertical Coordinates. Journal of Advances in Model-
1051	ing Earth Systems, 12(10), e2019MS001954. Retrieved 2023-10-11, from
1052	https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2019MS001954
1053	(_eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2019MS001954)
1054	doi: 10.1029/2019MS001954
1055	Griffies, S. M., Danabasoglu, G., Durack, P. J., Adcroft, A. J., Balaji, V., Böning,
1056	C. W., Yeager, S. G. (2016, September). OMIP contribution to CMIP6:
1057	experimental and diagnostic protocol for the physical component of the Ocean
1058	Model Intercomparison Project. Geoscientific Model Development, 9(9), 3231-
1059	3296. Retrieved 2024-02-27, from https://gmd.copernicus.org/articles/9/
1060	3231/2016/ (Publisher: Copernicus GmbH) doi: 10.5194/gmd-9-3231-2016
1061	Griffies, S. M., Pacanowski, R. C., & Hallberg, R. W. (2000, March). Spu-
1062	rious Diapycnal Mixing Associated with Advection in a z -Coordinate
1063	Ocean Model. Monthly Weather Review, 128(3), 538–564. Retrieved
1064	2018-10-20, from http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/abs/10.1175/
1065	1520-0493%282000%29128%3C0538%3ASDMAWA%3E2.0.C0%3B2 doi: $10.1175/$
1066	1520-0493(2000)128(0538:SDMAWA)2.0.CO;2
1067	Groeskamp, S., Griffies, S. M., Iudicone, D., Marsh, R., Nurser, A. G., & Zika, J. D.
1068	(2019). The Water Mass Transformation Framework for Ocean Physics and
1069	Biogeochemistry. Annual Review of Marine Science, 11(1), 271–305. Re-
1070	trieved 2022-11-04, from https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-marine-010318
1071	-095421 (_eprint: https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-marine-010318-095421)
1072	doi: 10.1146/annurev-marine-010318-095421
1073	Groeskamp, S., Zika, J. D., McDougall, T. J., Sloyan, B. M., & Laliberté, F.
1074	(2014, July). The Representation of Ocean Circulation and Variability in
1075	Thermodynamic Coordinates. Journal of Physical Oceanography, $44(7)$,
1076	1735-1750. Retrieved 2023-08-31, from https://journals.ametsoc.org/
1077	view/journals/phoc/44/7/jpo-d-13-0213.1.xml (Publisher: Ameri-
1078	can Meteorological Society Section: Journal of Physical Oceanography) doi:
1079	10.1175/JPO-D-13-0213.1
1080	Held, I. M., Guo, H., Adcroft, A., Dunne, J. P., Horowitz, L. W., Krasting,
1081	J., Zaden, N. (2019). Structure and Performance of GFDL's
1082	UNI4.U Climate Model. Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Sys-
1083	<i>tems</i> , 11(11), 3091–3(2(. Retrieved 2022-12-12, from https://
1084	onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2019MS001829 (_eprint: https://onlinelibrowy.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2010MS001829)
1085	10,1020/2010MS001820 doi:
1086	10.1029/2019Mi0001029
1087	пш, С., гегтеіга, D., Campin, JM., Marsnall, J., Adernathey, K., & Barrier, N.

1088	(2012, January). Controlling spurious diapycnal mixing in eddy-resolving
1089	height-coordinate ocean models – Insights from virtual deliberate tracer
1090	release experiments. Ocean Modelling, 45-46, 14–26. Retrieved 2023-
1091	08-28, from https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/
1092	S1463500311001880 doi: 10.1016/j.ocemod.2011.12.001
1093	Holmes, R. M., Zika, J. D., & England, M. H. (2019, January). Diathermal Heat
1094	Transport in a Global Ocean Model. Journal of Physical Oceanography, $49(1)$,
1095	141-161. Retrieved 2024-02-27, from https://journals.ametsoc.org/view/
1096	journals/phoc/49/1/jpo-d-18-0098.1.xml (Publisher: American Meteoro-
1097	logical Society Section: Journal of Physical Oceanography) doi: 10.1175/JPO
1098	-D-18-0098.1
1099	Holmes, R. M., Zika, J. D., Griffies, S. M., Hogg, A. M., Kiss, A. E., & Eng-
1100	land, M. H. (2021). The Geography of Numerical Mixing in a Suite
1101	of Global Ocean Models. Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Sys-
1102	<i>tems</i> , 13(7), e2020MS002333. Retrieved 2023-08-01, from https://
1103	onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2020MS002333 (_eprint:
1104	https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2020MS002333) doi:
1105	10.1029/2020 MS002333
1106	Hoyer, S., & Hamman, J. (2017, April). xarray: N-D labeled Arrays and
1107	Datasets in Python., 5(1), 10. Retrieved 2023-08-07, from https://
1108	openresearchsoftware.metajnl.com/articles/10.5334/jors.148 (Num-
1109	ber: 1 Publisher: Ubiquity Press) doi: 10.5334/jors.148
1110	Huang, R. (2005, January). Available potential energy in the world's ocean. Journal
1111	of Marine Research, 63. doi: 10.1357/0022240053693770
1112	Ilıcak, M., Adcroft, A. J., Griffies, S. M., & Hallberg, R. W. (2012, January). Spu-
1113	rious dianeutral mixing and the role of momentum closure. Ocean Modelling,
1114	45-46, 37-58. Retrieved 2023-08-28, from https://www.sciencedirect.com/
1115	science/article/pii/S1463500311001685 doi: 10.1016/j.ocemod.2011.10
1116	.003
1117	Iselin, C. O. (1939). The influence of vertical and lateral turbulence on the charac-
1118	teristics of the waters at mid-depth. $EOS, 3, 414-417$.
1119	Iudicone, D., Madec, G., & McDougall, T. J. (2008, July). Water-Mass Trans-
1120	formations in a Neutral Density Framework and the Key Role of Light Pen-
1121	etration. Journal of Physical Oceanography, 38(7), 1357–1376. Retrieved
1122	2023-08-28, from https://journals.ametsoc.org/view/journals/phoc/38/
1123	(Publisher: American Meteorological Society Section:
1124	Journal of Physical Oceanography) doi: 10.1175/2007JPO3464.1
1125	Dil and Malerle' M. (2011, Mar) Weter manual a sufficient framework for
1126	Ribera d'Alcala, M. (2011, May). Water masses as a unifying framework for understanding the Southern Ocean Carbon Cycle. $Piggeogeneration of (5)$ 1021
1127	understanding the Southern Ocean Carbon Cycle. $Diogeosciences, \delta(5), 1051-$ 1052 Betrieved 2024 02 27 from https://bg.conorpi.cug.org/orticlog/8/
1128	1031/2011/ (Publicher: Congrature CmbH) doi: 10.5104/bg.8.1031.2011
1129	Lackett D R & McDougell T I (1007 February) A Neutral Density Vari
1130	she for the World's Oceans $Lewral of Physical Oceanscraphy 97(2) 227$
1131	263 Retrieved 2010-01-02 from http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/abs/
1132	10 1175/1520-0485/281997/29027/300237/30ANDVET/3F2 0 CD/3B2 doi:
1133	10.1175/1520-0485(1997)027(0237:ANDVFT)2.0 CO:2
1134	Lee M-M Coward A C & Nurser A I G (2002 May) Spurious Diapyc-
1135	nal Mixing of the Deep Waters in an Eddy-Permitting Global Ocean Model
1137	Journal of Physical Oceanography, 32(5), 1522–1535 Retrieved 2023-08-
1138	01. from https://journals.ametsoc.org/view/journals/phoc/32/5/
1139	1520-0485_2002_032_1522_sdmotd_2.0.co_2.xml (Publisher: American
1140	Meteorological Society Section: Journal of Physical Oceanography) doi:
1141	10.1175/1520-0485(2002)032(1522:SDMOTD)2.0.CO:2
1142	Lele, R., Purkey, S. G., Nash, J. D., MacKinnon, J. A., Thurnherr, A. M., Whalen,

1143	C. B., Talley, L. D. (2021, November). Abyssal Heat Budget in the
1144	Southwest Pacific Basin. Journal of Physical Oceanography, 51(11), 3317–
1145	3333. Retrieved 2021-11-22, from https://journals.ametsoc.org/view/
1146	journals/phoc/51/11/JPO-D-21-0045.1.xml (Publisher: American
1147	Meteorological Society Section: Journal of Physical Oceanography) doi:
1148	10.1175/JPO-D-21-0045.1
1149	Lumpkin, R., & Speer, K. (2007). Global Ocean Meridional Overturning. Journal
1150	of Physical Oceanography, 37(10), 2550-2562. Retrieved from http://dx.doi
1151	.org/10.1175/JP03130.1 (ISBN: 0022-3670) doi: 10.1175/JPO3130.1
1152	Marques, G. M., Loose, N., Yankovsky, E., Steinberg, J. M., Chang, CY., Bhamidi-
1153	pati, N., Zanna, L. (2022, September). NeverWorld2: an idealized model
1154	hierarchy to investigate ocean mesoscale eddies across resolutions. <i>Geosci</i> -
1155	entific Model Development, 15(17), 6567–6579. Retrieved 2022-10-27, from
1156	https://gmd.copernicus.org/articles/15/6567/2022/ (Publisher: Coper-
1157	nicus GmbH) doi: 10.5194/gmd-15-6567-2022
1158	Marsh, R., Nurser, A. J. G., Megann, A. P., & New, A. L. (2000, May). Water
1159	Mass Transformation in the Southern Ocean of a Global Isopycnal Coordi-
1160	nate GCM. Journal of Physical Oceanography, 30(5), 1013–1045. Retrieved
1161	2024-01-09. from https://journals.ametsoc.org/view/journals/phoc/
1162	30/5/1520-0485 2000 030 1013 wmtits 2.0.co 2.xml (Publisher: Ameri-
1163	can Meteorological Society Section: Journal of Physical Oceanography) doi:
1164	10 1175/1520-0485(2000)030/1013·WMTITS>2.0 CO-2
1165	Marshall I Jamous D & Nilsson I (1999) Beconciling thermodynamic and dy-
1166	namic methods of computation of water-mass transformation rates Deen-Sea
1167	Research Part I: Oceanoaraphic Research Papers (6(4) 545–572 (ISBN: 0967-
1169	0637) doi: 10.1016/S0967-0637(98)00082-X
1100	March C. Forget C. Buckley M. Marchall I. & Coroverki I. (2000 August)
1169	Using Transformation and Formation Maps to Study the Bole of Air-Soa Heat
1170	Fluxes in North Atlantic Fighteen Degree Water Formation Lowrnal of Phys
1171	ical Oceanography 20(8) 1818–1835 Botriovod 2023 07 21 from https://
1172	iournals amoteos org/viou/iournals/phoc/39/8/2009ipo3985_1_vml
1173	(Publisher: American Meteorological Society Section: Journal of Physical
1174	(rubisher: American Meteorological Society Section: Southar of Physical Oceanography) doi: 10.1175/2000 IPO3085.1
1175	McDoursell T. Foistel P. Millero F. & Jackstt D. (2000) The international
1176	thermodynamic equation of segurator 2010 (toos 10): Calculation and use of
1177	thermodynamic equation of seawater 2010 (teos-10). Calculation and use of
1178	McDecerell T. I. Considering C. & Criffing C. M. (2014). On memory of the second state of
1179	McDougail, 1. J., Groeskamp, S., & Grimes, S. M. (2014). On geometric aspects of
1180	interior ocean mixing. Journal of Physical Oceanography, 44, 2104–2175.
1181	Megann, A. (2018, January). Estimating the numerical diapycnal mixing in an
1182	eddy-permitting ocean model. <i>Ocean Modelling</i> , 121, 19–33. Retrieved
1183	2022-12-19, from https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/
1184	S146350031/301/62 doi: 10.1016/j.ocemod.2017.11.001
1185	Molenkamp, C. R. (1968, April). Accuracy of Finite-Difference Methods Applied
1186	to the Advection Equation. Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology,
1187	7(2), 160-167. Retrieved 2023-08-25, from https://journals.ametsoc.org/
1188	view/journals/apme/7/2/1520-0450_1968_007_0160_aofdma_2_0_co_2.xml
1189	(Publisher: American Meteorological Society Section: Journal of Applied
1190	Meteorology and Climatology) doi: $10.1175/1520-0450(1968)007(0160)$:
1191	$AOFDMA \rangle 2.0.CO;2$
1192	Nurser, A. G., & Griffies, S. M. (2019). Relating diffusive surface salinity fluxes
1193	to boundary freshwater and salt fluxes. Journal of Physical Oceanography, 49,
1194	2365-2376. doi: 10.1175/JPO-D-19-0037.1
1194 1195	2365-2376. doi: 10.1175/JPO-D-19-0037.1 Nurser, A. J. G., Marsh, R., & Williams, R. G. (1999, July). Diagnosing
1194 1195 1196	2365-2376. doi: 10.1175/JPO-D-19-0037.1 Nurser, A. J. G., Marsh, R., & Williams, R. G. (1999, July). Diagnosing Water Mass Formation from Air–Sea Fluxes and Surface Mixing. Jour-

1198	18, from https://journals.ametsoc.org/view/journals/phoc/29/7/
1199	1520-0485_1999_029_1468_dwmffa_2.0.co_2.xml (Publisher: American
1200	Meteorological Society Section: Journal of Physical Oceanography) doi:
1201	10.1175/1520-0485(1999)029(1468:DWMFFA)2.0.CO;2
1202	Purkey, S. G., & Johnson, G. C. (2012, September). Global Contraction of Antarc-
1203	tic Bottom Water between the 1980s and 2000s. Journal of Climate, 25(17),
1204	5830-5844. Retrieved 2022-11-28, from https://journals.ametsoc.org/
1205	view/journals/clim/25/17/jcli-d-11-00612.1.xml (Publisher: Amer-
1206	ican Meteorological Society Section: Journal of Climate) doi: 10.1175/
1207	JCLI-D-11-00612.1
1208	Ringler, T., Petersen, M., Higdon, R. L., Jacobsen, D., Jones, P. W., & Maltrud,
1209	M. (2013, September). A multi-resolution approach to global ocean model-
1210	ing. Ocean Modelling, 69, 211–232. Retrieved 2023-12-06, from https://
1211	www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1463500313000760 doi:
1212	10.1016/i.ocemod.2013.04.010
1012	Saenz I A Tailleux B Butler E D Hughes G O & Oliver K I C (2015)
1213	May) Estimating Lorenz's Reference State in an Ocean with a Nonlinear
1214	Equation of State for Segwater Lowrnal of Physical Oceanography (5(5))
1215	1242-1257 Betrieved 2023-11-20 from https://journals.ametsoc.org/
1216	1242-1257. Refine ver 2025-11-25, nom net ps.//journals.ametsot.org/
1217	an Meteorological Society Section: Journal of Physical Oceanography) doi:
1218	10.1175 / IDO D 14.0105.1
1219	Cohoil T. Holman D. M. & Zika I. D. (2022 April) Water Man Coordinates
1220	Sonali, I., Holmes, R. M., & Zika, J. D. (2023, April). Water-Mass Coordinates
1221	Isolate the Historical Ocean warming Signal. Journal of Climate, 30(9), 3063–
1222	3081. Retrieved 2023-08-01, from https://journals.ametsoc.org/view/
1223	journals/clim/36/9/JCLI-D-22-0363.1.xml (Publisher: American Meteo-
1224	rological Society Section: Journal of Climate) doi: 10.11/5/JCLI-D-22-0363.1
1225	Stanley, G. J., McDougall, T. J., & Barker, P. M. (2021). Algorithmic Improve-
1226	ments to Finding Approximately Neutral Surfaces. Journal of Advances
1227	in Modeling Earth Systems, $13(5)$, e2020MS002436. Retrieved 2023-11-30,
1228	from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2020MS002436
1229	(_eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2020MS002436) doi:
1230	10.1029/2020MS002436
1231	Stewart, K. D., Saenz, J. A., Hogg, A. M., Hughes, G. O., & Griffiths, R. W. (2014,
1232	April). Effect of topographic barriers on the rates of available potential
1233	energy conversion of the oceans. Ocean Modelling, 76, 31–42. Retrieved
1234	2022-12-19, from https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/
1235	S1463500314000146 doi: 10.1016/j.ocemod.2014.02.001
1236	Sverdrup, H., Johnson, M., & Fleming, R. (1942). The Oceans: Their Physics,
1237	Chemistry and General Biology. Oceanography, 1104. (arXiv: 1011.1669v3
1238	ISBN: 9788578110796) doi: 10.2307/210609
1239	Tamsitt, V., Abernathev, R. P., Mazloff, M. R., Wang, J., & Tallev, L. D. (2018)
1240	March). Transformation of Deep Water Masses Along Lagrangian Upwelling
1241	Pathways in the Southern Ocean: SOUTHERN OCEAN UPWELLING
1242	TRANSFORMATION Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans 123(3)
1242	1994-2017 Retrieved 2019-01-02 from http://doi.wilev.com/10.1002/
1243	2017.JC013409 doi: 10.1002/2017.JC013409
1244	Tordal I F MacCilchrist C A Boadling B I Criffice S M Krast
1245	ing I P & Durack P I (2023) Revisiting Interior Water Mass
1240	Bosponsos to Surface Forcing Changes and the Subsequent Effects on
1247	Overturning in the Southern Ocean Issuered of Coenhavieral Passageh.
1248	Oceane 198(2) o2022 IC010105 Detriourd 2022 02 10 from https://
1249	120(3), $120(3)$, $120(2)$, $1000000000000000000000000000000000000$
1250	https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2022J0019105 (_eprint:
1251	10,1020/2022JC010105 (001/10.1029/2022JC019105) (001:
1252	10.1029/2022JO019100

1253	Toggweiler, J. R., & Samuels, B. (1998). On the Ocean's Large-Scale Circula-
1254	tion near the Limit of No Vertical Mixing. Journal of Physical Oceanog-
1255	raphy, 28(9), 1832–1852. Retrieved from http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/
1256	1520-0485(1998)028%3C1832:0T0SLS%3E2.0.C0%5Cn2 (ISBN: 0022-3670)
1257	doi: 10.1175/1520-0485(1998)028(1832:OTOSLS)2.0.CO;2
1258	Tziperman, E. (1986, April). On the Role of Interior Mixing and Air-Sea
1259	Fluxes in Determining the Stratification and Circulation of the Oceans.
1260	Journal of Physical Oceanography, 16(4), 680–693. Retrieved 2021-05-
1261	27, from https://journals.ametsoc.org/view/journals/phoc/16/4/
1262	1520-0485_1986_016_0680_otroim_2_0_co_2.xml (Publisher: American
1263	Meteorological Society Section: Journal of Physical Oceanography) doi:
1264	10.1175/1520-0485(1986)016(0680:OTROIM)2.0.CO;2
1265	Urakawa, L. S., & Hasumi, H. (2012, August). Eddy-Resolving Model Estimate
1266	of the Cabbeling Effect on the Water Mass Transformation in the Southern
1267	Ocean. Journal of Physical Oceanography, 42(8), 1288–1302. Retrieved 2023-
1268	08-25, from https://journals.ametsoc.org/view/journals/phoc/42/8/
1269	jpo-d-11-0173.1.xml (Publisher: American Meteorological Society Section:
1270	Journal of Physical Oceanography) doi: 10.1175/JPO-D-11-0173.1
1271	Urakawa, L. S., & Hasumi, H. (2014, February). Effect of numerical diffusion on the
1272	water mass transformation in eddy-resolving models. Ocean Modelling, 74, 22–
1273	35. Retrieved 2023-08-25, from https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/
1274	article/pii/S1463500313002047 doi: 10.1016/j.ocemod.2013.11.003
1275	Walin, G. (1982, January). On the relation between sea-surface heat flow and ther-
1276	mal circulation in the ocean. $Tellus, 34(2), 187-195$. Retrieved 2020-02-10,
1277	from https://doi.org/10.3402/tellusa.v34i2.10801 doi: 10.3402/tellusa
1278	.v34i2.10801
1279	Winters, K. B., Lombard, P. N., Riley, J. J., & D'Asaro, E. A. (1995, April).
1280	Available potential energy and mixing in density-stratified fluids. Journal
1281	of Fluid Mechanics, 289, 115–128. Retrieved 2021-06-09, from https://www
1282	.cambridge.org/core/journals/journal-of-fluid-mechanics/article/
1283	available-potential-energy-and-mixing-in-densitystratified-fluids/
1284	A45F1A40521FF0A0DC82BC705AD398DA (Publisher: Cambridge University
1285	Press) doi: 10.1017/S002211209500125X
1286	Zika, J. D., England, M. H., & Sijp, W. P. (2012, May). The Ocean Circulation
1287	in Thermohaline Coordinates. Journal of Physical Oceanography, 42(5),
1288	708-724. Retrieved 2023-08-31, from https://journals.ametsoc.org/
1289	view/journals/phoc/42/5/jpo-d-11-0139.1.xml (Publisher: Ameri-
1290	can Meteorological Society Section: Journal of Physical Oceanography) doi:
1291	10.1175/JPO-D-11-0139.1
1292	Zika, J. D., & Taimoor, S. (2023, July). An optimal transformation method
1293	tor interring ocean tracer sources and sinks. $EGUsphere, 1-25.$ Re-
1294	trieved 2024-02-27, from https://egusphere.copernicus.org/preprints/
1295	2023/egusphere-2023-1220/ (Publisher: Copernicus GmbH) doi:
1296	10.5194/egusphere-2023-1220