Water vapor spectroscopy and thermodynamics constrain Earth's tropopause temperature

Brett A McKim¹, Nadir Jeevanjee², Geoffrey K Vallis¹, and Neil T Lewis¹

¹University of Exeter ²Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory

February 27, 2024

Abstract

As Earth warms, the tropopause is expected to rise, but predictions of its temperature change are less certain. One theory ties tropopause temperature to outgoing longwave radiation (OLR), but this contradicts simulations that exhibit a Fixed Tropopause Temperature (FiTT) even as OLR increases. Another theory ties tropopause temperature to upper tropospheric moisture, but is not precise enough to make quantitative predictions. Here, we argue that tropopause temperature, defined by where radiative cooling becomes negligible, is set by water vapor's maximum spectroscopic absorption and Clausius-Clapeyron scaling. This "thermospectric constraint' makes quantitative predictions for tropopause temperature that are borne out in single column and general circulation model experiments where the spectroscopy is modified and the tropopause changes in response. This constraint underpins the FiTT hypothesis, shows how tropopause temperature can decouple from OLR, suggests a way to relate the temperatures of anvil clouds and the tropopause, and shows how spectroscopy manifests in Earth's general circulation.

Water vapor spectroscopy and thermodynamics constrain Earth's tropopause temperature

Brett A. McKim¹, Nadir Jeevanjee², Geoffrey K. Vallis¹, Neil T. Lewis¹

 $^1\mathrm{Department}$ of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Exeter, Exeter, UK $^2\mathrm{Geophysical}$ Fluid Dynamics Laboratory, Princeton, NJ, USA

6 Key Points:

1

2

3

4 5

7	•	We hypothesize that moisture and spectroscopy constrain the radiative tropopause
8		temperature
9	•	This prediction bears out quantitatively in both single column and general circulation
10		model experiments
11	•	Our derivation and results underpin the Fixed Tropopause Temperature (FiTT) hy-
12		pothesis

Corresponding author: Brett A. McKim, brettmckim@gmail.com

13 Abstract

As Earth warms, the tropopause is expected to rise, but predictions of its temperature 14 change are less certain. One theory ties tropopause temperature to outgoing longwave radi-15 ation (OLR), but this contradicts simulations that exhibit a Fixed Tropopause Temperature 16 (FiTT) even as OLR increases. Another theory ties tropopause temperature to upper tro-17 pospheric moisture, but is not precise enough to make quantitative predictions. Here, we 18 argue that tropopause temperature, defined by where radiative cooling becomes negligible, 19 is set by water vapor's maximum spectroscopic absorption and Clausius-Clapeyron scaling. 20 This "thermospectric constraint" makes quantitative predictions for tropopause tempera-21 ture that are borne out in single column and general circulation model experiments where 22 the spectroscopy is modified and the tropopause changes in response. This constraint un-23 derpins the FiTT hypothesis, shows how tropopause temperature can decouple from OLR, 24 suggests a way to relate the temperatures of anvil clouds and the tropopause, and shows 25 how spectroscopy manifests in Earth's general circulation. 26

27 Plain Language Summary

The tropopause separates the troposphere from the stratosphere, but theories disagree 28 on the mechanisms that determine its temperature. We argue that the tropopause occurs 29 where water vapor becomes so sparse that it can no longer emit radiation to space. The 30 temperature this occurs at is set by how sensitive water vapor is to temperature and how 31 effective it is in blocking and emitting radiation. Our theory leads to precise predictions 32 of tropopause temperature and its change with surface warming. We verify our theory's 33 mechanism by varying the effectiveness of water vapor absorption in climate models and 34 find the trop pause temperature to change consistently with our theory's predictions. Our 35 results suggest a role for wavelength-dependent radiation physics in constraining the large 36 scale motions of Earth's atmosphere. 37

³⁸ 1 Introduction

The tropopause separates the overturning troposphere from a more idle stratosphere. 39 Understanding the mechanisms setting tropopause temperature and height remains a fun-40 damental and important unsolved problem in climate science (Phillips, 1956) — fundamen-41 tal because it depends on how two branches of climate, dynamics and radiation, interact 42 (Schneider, 2008; Vallis, 2017); important because the tropopause is a boundary condition 43 in hurricane intensity (Emanuel, 2006; Emanuel et al., 2013), convectively available poten-44 tial energy (Romps, 2016), CO_2 forcing (Jeevanjee et al., 2021), the water vapor feedback 45 (Meraner et al., 2013; Koll et al., 2023; Feng et al., 2023), stratospheric water vapor (Mote 46 et al., 1996), and ozone destruction (Match & Gerber, 2022). 47

The dynamically active troposphere is thought to extend upwards until the radiative equilibrium temperature profile of the stratosphere becomes stable to convection and eddies (Held, 1982; Thuburn & Craig, 2000), a condition known as the *radiative constraint* that defines a radiative tropopause as the lowest level at which the atmosphere attains radiative equilibrium. We focus on this radiative definition, but note that the tropopause can also be diagnosed with a lapse-rate criterion, and the two measures will often but not always be similar (Highwood & Hoskins, 1998), a point we return to later.

⁵⁵ One way to understand the radiative tropopause temperature is in terms of top-of-⁵⁶ atmosphere energy balance (Held, 1982; Thuburn & Craig, 2000; Vallis et al., 2015; Vallis, ⁵⁷ 2017). In this theory, *gray* radiative transfer (independent of wavenumber) and an optically ⁵⁸ thin stratosphere and upper troposphere are often assumed for conceptual simplicity. This ⁵⁹ lets tropopause temperature (T_{tp}) be regarded as a skin-like temperature (Pierrehumbert, ⁶⁰ 2010) dictated by the outgoing longwave radiation (OLR):

$$T_{\rm tp} = ({\rm OLR}/2\sigma)^{1/4}$$
 (OLR constraint), (1)

where σ is the Stefan-Boltzmann constant. Note, though, that the source of the outgoing radiation still lies within the troposphere. This suggests a direct coupling between $T_{\rm tp}$ and OLR and makes no direct reference to the properties of Earth's greenhouse gasses. It predicts an unchanging tropopause temperature with CO₂-driven global warming, which is generally consistent with comprehensive climate models (Vallis et al., 2015; Hu & Vallis, 2019). It also suggests a sensitivity of $T_{\rm tp}$ to warming agents that increase OLR (such as an increase in insolation).

However, a fixed tropopause temperature (FiTT) has been shown in simulations of 68 warming without fixed OLR (Seeley et al., 2019), which may be at odds with the OLR 69 constraint. The expectation of a FiTT *independent* of the warming agent originates from 70 an entirely different branch of research focused on the fixed temperature of anvil clouds 71 in response to surface warming (Hartmann & Larson, 2002). In this theory, water vapor, 72 the primary source of radiative cooling in the troposphere (Manabe & Strickler, 1964), 73 is thought to control $T_{\rm tp}$. Hartmann and Larson (2002); Harrop and Hartmann (2012) 74 showed that tropical convection is tied to water vapor-driven radiative cooling. Moisture 75 declines exponentially with temperature, until there is so little water vapor that it can no 76 longer radiatively cool, thereby limiting the vertical extent of convection. These results 77 were generalized and shown to apply to extratropical high clouds (Thompson et al., 2017, 78 2019), and Seeley et al. (2019) suggested that a similar hypothesis may be even more apt for 79 the radiative tropopause. As evidence of this potential connection, Seidel and Yang (2022)80 showed that anvil clouds and the tropopause covary with surface warming. 81

If this is all true, then the temperature dependence of water vapor and its radiative 82 cooling imposes a *moist thermodynamic constraint* on the tropopause. This is consistent 83 with observations and models (Thompson et al., 2017, 2019) and helps explain the FiTT 84 response to surface warming and its relation to Fixed Anvil Temperatures (FAT) (Hartmann 85 & Larson, 2002; Seeley et al., 2019; Seidel & Yang, 2022). However, it makes no reference 86 to OLR and it remains unclear what sets the temperature at which water vapor is unable 87 to radiatively cool. The moist constraint cannot predict $T_{\rm tp}$, and thus the FiTT hypothesis 88 lacks a quantitative basis. 89

These limitations and contradictions may be resolved by noting that OLR is coupled 90 to moist thermodynamics (Simpson, 1928; Nakajima et al., 1992; Koll & Cronin, 2018; 91 Jeevanjee et al., 2021), and that spectral (wavenumber-dependent) theories of radiation 92 can yield quantitative insights into this coupling (Feng et al., 2023; Koll et al., 2023). 93 This approach led to a moist radiative theory for anvil cloud temperatures (Jeevanjee & 94 Fueglistaler, 2020b) and we will follow suit to derive a more precise theory of the radiative 95 tropopause temperature and of FiTT. Like Held (1982); Thuburn and Craig (2000), we 96 study the radiative tropopause (henceforth "the tropopause"), but we will inspect the lapse 97 rate tropopause and the role of dynamical constraints (Stone & Carlson, 1979; Held, 1982; 98 Schneider, 2004, 2008; Schneider & O'Gorman, 2008; O'Gorman, 2011; Zurita-Gotor & 99 Vallis, 2011; Vallis, 2017) later on. Stratospheric dynamics and ozone affect tropopause 100 structure (Highwood & Hoskins, 1998; Thuburn & Craig, 2000, 2002; Fueglistaler et al., 101 2009; Birner, 2010; Lin et al., 2017; Dacie et al., 2019) and their inclusion is necessary to 102 capture the full complexity of the tropopause response to climate change (Randel & Jensen, 103 2013). However, here we focus on more basic mechanisms that should be embedded in most 104 climate models. 105

¹⁰⁶ 2 Formulating the thermospectric constraint

107 Qualitative overview

¹⁰⁸ Understanding clear-sky radiative cooling is key to constraining the tropopause. The ¹⁰⁹ cooling profile is controlled by the wavenumber-dependence of water vapor spectroscopy ¹¹⁰ (Jeevanjee & Fueglistaler, 2020b). At each temperature (or height), there are only a few wavenumbers that cool (Jeevanjee & Fueglistaler, 2020a, 2020b), with colder temperatures (higher heights) cooling at wavenumbers with stronger spectroscopic absorption. We demonstrate this in a moist-adiabatic single column model at 300 K with line-by-line radiative transfer, PyRADS (Koll & Cronin, 2018). Plotting the spectrally-resolved cooling reveals that at any given height, most cooling is contained within a roughly 200 cm⁻¹ width band whose contours mimic the V-shape of water vapor spectroscopy (Figure 1a,c).

Following this logic, water vapor's maximum spectroscopic absorption strength around 117 150 cm^{-1} (Figure 1a) suggests there is a minimum temperature (maximum height) to which 118 water vapor can radiatively cool (Figure 1c). We argue that the *combination* of water vapor 119 spectroscopy and Clausius-Clapeyron scaling constrains tropopause temperature. This ther-120 mospectric constraint refines the moist constraint with a more fundamental explanation for 121 where and why water vapor's radiative cooling declines in the upper troposphere. It refines 122 the OLR constraint into a spectral emission constraint that relates particular features of 123 the radiative cooling profile to their corresponding emission temperatures. 124

125

Making the constraint quantitative

Small amounts of upper tropospheric water vapor can cool because of its strong radiative
 absorption in the rotational band (Figure 1a and Clough et al., 1992). Consider water
 vapor's optical depth:

$$\tau_{\rm H_2O}(\nu, z) = \int_z^\infty \kappa_{\rm H_2O}(\nu) \frac{p}{p_{\rm ref}} \rho_{\rm H_2O} dz', \qquad (2)$$

where $\kappa_{\rm H_2O}(\nu)$ is the spectroscopic absorption strength of water vapor (m² kg⁻¹) at wavenum-129 ber ν (cm⁻¹), p/p_{ref} accounts for pressure broadening at wavenumbers more than about 0.1 130 $\rm cm^{-1}$ away from line centers (Fu, 2006), p is the pressure, $p_{\rm ref} = 500$ hPa is a reference 131 pressure, and ρ_{H_2O} is the density of water vapor. Infrared emission from water vapor peaks 132 around $\tau_{\rm H_2O} \approx 1$ (Jeevanjee & Fueglistaler, 2020a; Jeevanjee, 2023), which implies an in-133 verse relationship between $\kappa_{\rm H_2O}$ and the integral of $\rho_{\rm H_2O}$. $\kappa_{\rm H_2O}$ varies by many orders of 134 magnitude across the infrared (Figure 1a), so many atmospheric levels emit to space (Figure 135 1c,d). However, a maximum in $\kappa_{\rm H_2O}$ implies a minimum $\rho_{\rm H_2O}$ and therefore a minimum 136 temperature of the atmosphere that can effectively cool to space. 137

To formulate this statement quantitatively, we assume that all emission occurs at $\tau_{\rm H_2O} = 1$, which defines an emitting temperature $T_{\rm em}$ at wavenumber ν by the relation

$$\tau_{\rm H_2O}(\nu, T_{\rm em}) = 1.$$
 (3)

It is more accurate to invert this equation numerically, but more informative to do so analytically, as shown in Jeevanjee and Fueglistaler (2020b); Jeevanjee (2023). We reproduce some of their steps for clarity.

The variable of integration in optical depth can be changed from height to temperature, and though water vapor spectroscopy varies due to pressure broadening, it varies much less than water vapor density does across the troposphere, so it can be pulled out of the integral. Optical depth is then proportional to water vapor path, which can be computed analytically (Koll & Cronin, 2018), resulting in a simplified expression:

$$\tau_{\rm H_2O}(\kappa_{\rm H_2O}, T) \approx \underbrace{\kappa_{\rm H_2O} \frac{p}{p_{\rm ref}}}_{\rm spectroscopy} \underbrace{M_{\rm v} \rm RH \exp\left(-\frac{L}{R_{\rm v}T}\right)}_{\rm water vapor path},\tag{4}$$

where $M_{\rm v}$ is a characteristic column water vapor mass (kg m⁻²) and $M_{\rm v}$ RH exp $(-L/R_{\rm v}T)$ is the column mass of water vapor above the isotherm with temperature T. Setting $\tau_{\rm H_2O} = 1$ and inverting it results in the emission temperatures as a function of absorption coefficients:



Figure 1. The max absorption strength of water vapor spectroscopic absorption is hypothesized to constrain Earth's tropopause. (a) Water vapor absorption strength as a function of wavenumber. (b) The rotational branch (150 to 1000 cm⁻¹) of absorption strength as a normalized histogram (plotted vertically), with units of $\ln \kappa_{H_2O}$. (c) Spectrally-resolved radiative cooling from a single column model with line-by-line radiative transfer, PyRADS. (d) Spectrally-integrated radiative cooling. We make a rough estimate of the maximum absorption coefficient as $\kappa_{max} \sim 10^3 - 10^4$ $m^2 kg^{-1}$, which we hypothesize relates to the tropopause. $\kappa_{kink} = 40 m^2 kg^{-1}$ refers to where the density of lines begins to decline rapidly, which has been hypothesized to relate to anvil clouds (Jeevanjee & Fueglistaler, 2020b). Spectral data plotted at a resolution of 0.1 cm⁻¹ using PyRADS (Koll & Cronin, 2018).



Figure 2. The thermospectric constraint, Equation 5 and 6, can quantitatively capture the change in tropopause temperature (T_{tp}) . (a) Isca's single column model control simulation's temperature profile. (b) Control simulation's radiative cooling profile. (c) The surface temperature is varied and RH kept fixed at 0.7. Simulations (dots), theory (solid lines). (d) The relative humidity is varied and T_s fixed at 290 K. (e) The absorption coefficients of water vapor are scaled uniformly and T_s and RH fixed at 290 K and 0.7, respectively. Water vapor and CO₂ (280 ppmv) are the only greenhouse gases present in these simulations.

$$T_{\rm em}(\kappa_{\rm H_2O}) = \frac{T^*}{W\left(\frac{T^*}{T_{\rm ref}}(D \cdot \rm RH \cdot M_v \cdot \kappa_{\rm H_2O})^{R_{\rm d}\Gamma/g}\right)},\tag{5}$$

where T^* is a characteristic temperature for water vapor, W is the Lambert-W function, T_{ref} is a characteristic temperature of the troposphere, D = 1.5 is a scaling factor that accounts for the two stream approximation in radiative transfer theory, $R_d = 287$ J kg⁻¹ K⁻¹ is the specific gas constant for dry air, $\Gamma = 7$ K km⁻¹ is the globally-averaged lapse rate of the troposphere in the general circulation model used later on (Figure S1b), and g is the gravitational acceleration (see Table 1 in Methods for values and meanings of the variables and constants).

The thermospectric constraint posits that tropopause temperature $T_{\rm tp}$ is the emission temperature determined by a combination of Clausius-Clapeyron scaling (as embodied by RH and $M_{\rm v}$) and the maximum absorption coefficient of water vapor, $\kappa_{\rm max}$. That is,

$$T_{\rm tp} = T_{\rm em}(\kappa_{\rm max}).$$
 (Thermospectric constraint) (6)

The presence of thousands of absorption lines across the infrared (Figure 1a) makes 161 it difficult to select an appropriate value of $\kappa_{\rm max}$. It helps that the strength of spectrally 162 integrated radiative cooling is roughly proportional to the density of absorption lines at 163 a given strength (Figure 1b,d and Jeevanjee & Fueglistaler, 2020b). For values of $\kappa_{\rm H_2O} \in$ 164 $(10^{-4}, 10^{1})$ m² kg⁻¹, which correspond to tropospheric emission and a typical value of -2 K 165 day^{-1} of radiative cooling (Jeevanjee & Fueglistaler, 2020b), the density of absorption lines 166 in the rotational band (150 to 1000 cm⁻¹) has a characteristic value of $0.07 \ln \kappa_{\rm H_2O}$ (Figure 167 1b). The vibrational-rotational band (1000 to 1500 cm^{-1}) is not as important because its 168 Planck emission is about 1/6 of the rotational band's emission (Jeevanjee & Fueglistaler, 169 2020b). 170

The proportionality between the density of lines and the strength of cooling provides a 171 heuristic way to determine κ_{max} : look for where the density of lines drops between a tenth 172 and a hundredth of its density for tropospheric emission, as this would roughly correspond 173 to where cooling drops to between a tenth and a hundredth of its tropospheric value (thereby 174 achieving radiative equilibrium) (Figure 1b,d). Other factors influence the strength of cool-175 ing, such as the change in optical depth with height and the strength of the Planck function 176 at a given wavenumber and temperature, but (Jeevanjee & Fueglistaler, 2020b) showed that 177 these cannot explain the declining strength of cooling in the upper troposphere. 178

¹⁷⁹ We plot the density of absorption lines in the rotational band in Figure 1b. The density ¹⁸⁰ drops to between a tenth and a hundredth of its typical value at $\kappa_{\rm H_2O} \in (4 \cdot 10^3, 4 \cdot 10^4)$ ¹⁸¹ m² kg⁻¹. Taking the geometric average of the upper and lower bounds, we arrive at our ¹⁸² estimate of $\kappa_{\rm max} \approx 13000 \text{ m}^2 \text{ kg}^{-1}$. Plugging into Equation 6, our prediction for the ¹⁸³ tropopause temperature is $T_{\rm tp} \approx 180 \text{ K}$.

¹⁸⁴ **3** Testing the thermospectric constraint

To test the thermospectric constraint (Equation 6), we run simulations using a clear-185 sky single column model (SCM) configuration of the Isca modeling framework (Vallis et al., 186 2018). The SCM is configured with the correlated-k radiative transfer code RRTM (Mlawer 187 et al., 1997), and a simplified representation of moist convection (the simple Betts-Miller 188 code of Frierson, 2007 and O'Gorman & Schneider, 2008). Configuring the SCM using Isca 189 lets us compare to general circulation model (GCM) simulations with identical column-wise 190 physics later in the paper. Further description of our model set-up can be found in the 191 Supporting Information. 192

To begin, we consider an SCM control run with a prescribed surface temperature of $T_{\rm s} = 290$ K, relative humidity RH= 0.7, and CO₂ concentration of 280 ppmv. The diagnosed



Figure 3. Water vapor spectroscopy affects the radiative and lapse rate tropopauses. (a) Zonalmean temperature profile of the control Isca aquaplanet simulation. (b) Zonal-mean radiative cooling profile of the control. (c) Zonal-mean mass flux profile of the control. (d-g) Water vapor absorption coefficients are increased geometrically by [1/2, 1, 2] and the resulting changes in radiative- and lapse rate-tropopause temperature and height are recorded. The lack of ozone in these simulations accounts for the high (25 km) lapse rate tropopause.

tropopause temperature obtained in this simulation (the lowest level to which radiative equilibrium is achieved, which we identify as -0.05 K day⁻¹ to avoid sensitivity issues related to the cooling profile's asymptotic approach to 0 K day⁻¹, see Figure 2a,b and Supporting Information), is 184 K, close to our prediction.

The maximum absorption coefficient of water vapour, κ_{max} , can also be considered a 199 free parameter to match the predicted tropopause temperature with the value diagnosed 200 from a climate model. Tuning $\kappa_{\rm max}$ results in a value of 7000 m² kg⁻¹, which is within our 201 identified range for κ_{max} based on the density of absorption lines. This tuned value is used 202 henceforth and will not be retuned, except where explicitly scaled. Regarding this climate as 203 our base state, we can test the thermospectric constraint by varying the prescribed surface 204 temperature, column relative humidity, and absorption coefficients of water vapor in the 205 SCM and see how well theory compares. 206

207 Surface temperature

As surface temperature increases, the thermospectric constraint (Equation 6) predicts 208 a small but nonzero warming of the troppause of about $\Delta T_{\rm tp}/\Delta T_{\rm s} = 1/5$ (Figure 2c, solid 209 line). The slight warming is a second order effect from pressure broadening (Koll et al., 210 2023; Feng et al., 2023) which can be understood as follows. The tropopause temperature 211 is fixed, to first order, which implies a rising tropopause as surface temperature increases. 212 As pressure decreases, the effective water vapor absorption coefficients ($\kappa_{\rm H_2O} \cdot p/p_{\rm ref}$) also 213 decreases, which implies a larger $\rho_{\rm H_2O}$ is needed to achieve $\tau_{\rm H_2O} = 1$, and thus a slightly 214 warmer tropopause temperature. A simple calculation shows that the change in water 215 vapor emission temperatures (including at the troppause) should be about 1/4 to 1/5 of 216 the warming at the surface (Equation B4 of Jeevanjee, 2023 and Equation 46 of Koll et al., 217 2023).218

In an SCM experiment where surface temperature is increased (Figure 2c, dots), the tropopause warms almost exactly as predicted. The relatively fixed tropopause temperature (FiTT) has been noted before (Seeley et al., 2019) and explained qualitatively by Thompson et al. (2019) with the thermodynamic constraint. However, the thermospectric constraint provides a quantitative understanding of how T_{tp} should change with warming. The pressure broadening explanation differs from Hu and Vallis (2019), who explains the slight warming as a consequence of increased longwave radiation from outside the water vapor window.

226 Relative humidity

Variations in column relative humidity (RH) may influence $T_{\rm tp}$. A larger RH implies a smaller saturation water vapor density $\rho_{\rm H_2O}^{\rm sat}$ to reach $\tau_{\rm H_2O} = 1$, and thus a cooler temperature. We vary RH in the SCM but keep surface temperature fixed and find the tropopause cools as RH increases (Figure 2d), in excellent agreement with predictions from inputting RH into the thermospectric constraint (Equation 5).

232 Water vapor absorption

Modifying the $\rho_{\rm H_2O}$ passed to the radiation code of a climate model alters the tempera-233 ture of anvil clouds and the tropopause (Harrop & Hartmann, 2012; Thompson et al., 2019; 234 Spaulding-Astudillo & Mitchell, 2023). The thermospectric constraint suggests that modi-235 fying $\kappa_{\rm H_2O}$ should have a similar effect. A geometrically larger $\kappa_{\rm max}$ implies a geometrically 236 smaller minimum $\rho_{\rm H_2O}$ to achieve $\tau_{\rm H_2O} = 1$ and hence an arithmetically colder $T_{\rm tp}$ due to 237 Clausius-Clapeyron scaling: $d \ln \rho_{\rm H_2O}/dT|_{T_{\rm tp}} = L/(R_{\rm v}T_{\rm tp}^2) = 16\% \text{ K}^{-1}$ or roughly 4 K of 238 cooling to halve ρ_{H_2O} . These predictions are borne out quantitatively by the simulations, 239 where $T_{\rm tp}$ cools arithmetically as $\kappa_{\rm max}$ is scaled geometrically over many octaves while $T_{\rm s}$ 240 and RH are fixed, at a rate of roughly 4 K per doubling (Figure 2e). This is the most direct 241

test of the thermospectric constraint and it confirms spectroscopy's key role in constraining $T_{\rm tp}$.

4 From spectroscopy to the general circulation

The previous tests were done in a single column model, but the tropopause is a feature of Earth's general circulation and will be influenced by other factors (Thuburn & Craig, 247 2000; Birner, 2010). We test whether modifying $\kappa_{\rm H_2O}$ influences $T_{\rm tp}$ and $z_{\rm tp}$ (tropopause 248 height) in a general circulation model configured as an idealized aquaplanet with a standard 249 fixed sea surface temperature distribution (Neale & Hoskins, 2000):

$$T_{s}(\phi) = \begin{cases} 300(1 - \sin^{2}(3\phi/2)) \text{ K}, & \text{for } -\pi/3 < \phi < \pi/3\\ 273 \text{ K}, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$
(7)

where ϕ is the latitude. The GCM is configured to use the same column-wise physics routines (e.g., RRTM radiative transfer, simplified Betts-Miller moist convection) as the SCM. See the Supporting Information for further details. When analysing the GCM, we diagnose the radiative tropopause with a -0.2 K day⁻¹ threshold instead of the -0.05 K day⁻¹ used for the SCM. The updated threshold more closely aligns with relevant dynamical features such the mass flux profile (Figure 3c) while still using a threshold value \ll typical tropospheric cooling (Figure 3b).

257 Spectroscopic control of the tropopause

We vary $\kappa_{\rm H_2O}$ geometrically and find the tropopause cools and rises across all latitudes, again at $\approx 4-5$ K and 0.5-1 km per doubling of $\kappa_{\rm H_2O}$ (Figure 3d,e). This cooling confirms the quantitative predictions of thermospectric constraint (Figure 2e) in a more comprehensive and Earth-like setting. The spectroscopic control on the radiative tropopause has implications for the general circulation because infrared cooling constrains the residual motion of the atmosphere, the amplitude of tropospheric wave breaking, and the depth of its diabatic mixing (Thompson et al., 2017, 2019).

 $T_{\rm tp}$ varies by only 5 K across latitude in these simulations, consistent with FiTT and 265 the idea of a fairly insensitive radiative tropopause temperature to surface temperature 266 and the large-scale circulation. However, radiative tropopause *height* is not uniform due 267 to its strong dependence on surface temperature and vertically averaged lapse rate (Γ), 268 $z_{\rm tp} \approx (T_{\rm tp} - T_{\rm s})/\Gamma$. It has a top-hat meridional structure because $T_{\rm s}$ varies from equator 269 to poles and because Γ varies as the dominant control on stratification changes from moist 270 convection in the tropics to baroclinic eddies in the extratropics (Stone & Carlson, 1979; 271 Held, 1982; Schneider, 2008; Vallis, 2017). 272

This dynamical control extends to the lapse-rate tropopause, diagnosed here as where 273 the lapse rate exceeds -5 K km^{-1} . It has a much more pronounced top-hat structure in 274 both its height and temperature (Figure 3f,g). FiTT does not apply to all definitions of 275 the troppoause because each definition respects different physical constraints (Highwood 276 & Hoskins, 1998; Fueglistaler et al., 2009; Birner, 2010; Hu & Vallis, 2019). The lapse 277 rate tropopause, for instance, depends on the profile of stratification, which is primarily 278 determined by dynamics (Schneider, 2008). Nevertheless, the lapse rate tropopause still 279 cools and rises as $\kappa_{\rm H_2O}$ is increased (Figure 3f,g), particularly in the tropics, hinting at a 280 broader role of spectroscopy in the interaction between upper tropospheric radiative cooling, 281 dynamics, and stratification which future work could make more precise. 282

283 Other controls of the tropopause

Meridional variations in radiative tropopause temperature may be due to surface temperature, which varies between 300 K and 273 K from equator to poles and can change



Figure 4. Moisture is essential to capturing a fixed tropopause temperature and spectral radiative transfer decouples tropopause temperature from outgoing longwave radiation. (a) Outgoing longwave radiation (OLR) of Isca single column model with various types of radiative transfer. (b) Tropopause temperature for the same simulations. (c) Predicted tropopause temperature from the OLR constraint (Equation 1). (d-f) The radiative cooling profile plotted in temperature coordinates for $T_s = 270, 280, 290, 300, 310$ K for each model setup. Each profile has been normalized by its maximum tropospheric value and is plotted starting at the lifting condensation level for clarity. See Supporting Information for details.

 $T_{\rm tp}$ with pressure-broadening effects. It may also be due to tropospheric relative humidity, which varies from 20 to 70 % (Figure S1a). The SCM and Equation 5 shows varying column relative humidity by a similar amount changes $T_{\rm tp}$ by about 5 K (Figure 2d). The lapse rate (Figure S1b) could also change $T_{\rm tp}$; changing Γ from 4 K km⁻¹ to 7 K km⁻¹ in Equation 5 changes $T_{\rm tp}$ by 3 K.

Column-wise physics and water vapor may not be the only source of variations in $T_{\rm tp}$. Stratospheric dynamics may influence $z_{\rm tp}$ and $T_{\rm tp}$ by altering the location of zero radiative cooling (Thuburn & Craig, 2000; Birner, 2010; Hu & Vallis, 2019). CO₂-driven radiative cooling, which primarily emanates from the stratosphere (Jeevanjee & Fueglistaler, 2020b), may also drive changes in $T_{\rm tp}$. Future work could address these questions and lead to a more comprehensive theory, but our goal here is to provide a first order picture of moist thermodynamics interact with spectroscopy to set $T_{\rm tp}$.

²⁹⁸ 5 Reconciling different constraints

Previous theories of tropopause temperature have either emphasized outgoing radiation (Held, 1982; Thuburn & Craig, 2000; Vallis et al., 2015) or moist thermodynamics and upper tropospheric radiative cooling (Hartmann & Larson, 2002; Thompson et al., 2017). Combining moisture with a spectral perspective of radiative cooling can make more precise predictions for $T_{\rm tp}$ and FiTT (Figure 2c). Now we combine the OLR constraint (Equation 1) with moisture to make better predictions of FiTT, and consider how adding bands to gray radiative transfer theory morphs the OLR constraint into an upper tropospheric radiative
 emission constraint.

The OLR constraint was derived with grav radiative transfer uncoupled to moisture 307 (Held, 1982; Thuburn & Craig, 2000; Vallis et al., 2015). This "dry" constraint predicts 308 a FiTT with respect to CO₂-driven global warming because OLR remains fixed (Vallis et 309 al., 2015). By this logic, a warming that changes OLR would change $T_{\rm tp}$, which stands 310 in contrast to simulations that exhibit a FiTT even as OLR increases (Seeley et al., 2019; 311 Seidel & Yang, 2022). For both gray and spectral atmospheres, the amount of OLR increase 312 313 for a prescribed surface warming depends on the presence of radiatively active moisture and its optical thickness (Simpson, 1928; Nakajima et al., 1992; Ingram, 2010; Koll & Cronin, 314 2018; Jeevanjee et al., 2021; Feng et al., 2023; Stevens & Kluft, 2023; Koll et al., 2023). 315 Changes in $T_{\rm tp}$ may be similarly constrained. 316

We test the role of moisture and choice of radiative transfer in controlling OLR and $T_{\rm tp}$ by varying surface temperature in different configurations of Isca's SCM: a model with gray radiation uncoupled to moisture, similar to Frierson et al. (2006); with gray radiation coupled to moisture, similar to Byrne and O'Gorman (2013); and with spectral radiation coupled to moisture, as already described. In these experiments, OLR and $T_{\rm tp}$ change much more in the dry gray model than the moist gray and spectral models (Figure 4a,b).

In dry simulations, the greenhouse gas is assumed to be well mixed and so optical depth 323 is a single valued function of pressure, $\tau = \tau(p)$. As T_s increases, isobars warm and radiative 324 cooling at $\tau = 1$ emanates from a warmer layer of atmosphere that can emit more radiation 325 to space (Figure 4d). In contrast, moisture constrains the optical depth to be a single valued 326 function of temperature, $\tau = \tau(T)$ (in the absence of pressure broadening). As $T_{\rm s}$ increases, 327 radiative cooling at $\tau = 1$ emanates from nearly the same temperature (Figure 4e,f and 328 Figure S1a of Seeley et al., 2019) and thus OLR is constrained to increase less than in the 329 dry case. (Radiative cooling can increase for other reasons, see, e.g., Jeevanjee & Romps, 330 2018, but less so if there is moisture.) Therefore, the OLR constraint, when combined with 331 a notion of how moisture constrains changes in OLR, is more consistent with FiTT for a 332 wider variety of warming scenarios such as in Seeley et al. (2019); Seidel and Yang (2022). 333

However, this explanation still does not address a motivating question of this study: How can $T_{\rm tp}$ decouple from OLR (compare Figure 4b,c)? The answer lies in the role of additional bands of radiative transfer. Hu and Vallis (2019) showed that adding a window band decouples the radiative equilibrium temperature of the planet, $T_{\rm re}$, from total OLR and couples it instead to outgoing radiation from the optically thick band (OLR_{thick}):

$$T_{\rm re} = \left[\frac{\tau_{\rm thick} + 1}{2\sigma} \text{OLR}_{\rm thick}\right]^{1/4}.$$
(8)

The window band becomes optically thin at the surface, so its emission does not contribute 339 to radiative balance at the stratosphere (Hu & Vallis, 2019). If a third, even thicker band 340 were introduced, then this logic implies that the thickest band's emission would determine 341 the radiative balance at the stratosphere and constrain $T_{\rm re}$, rather than the emission from 342 the thinner bands. If we take the spectral limit of an infinite number of bands that vary 343 by orders of magnitude in their optical depth, which is the case for Earth's atmosphere, 344 then $T_{\rm re}$ would be determined primarily by the optically thickest band and constrained by 345 its spectral emission. $T_{\rm re}$ (and hence $T_{\rm tp}$) would be related to the brightness temperature 346 of that spectral emission. This is essentially what we have calculated in the thermospectric 347 constraint (Equations 5 and 6), though framed in a different way. The OLR constraint is only 348 strictly true for a gray atmosphere, and the thermospectric constraint is the generalization 349 of that idea to a spectral, moist atmosphere. Hence, $T_{\rm tp}$ can decouple from OLR, as seen 350 in simulations of FiTT (Figure 4c and Seeley et al., 2019; Seidel & Yang, 2022). 351

352 6 Discussion

353 Summary

Spectral radiative transfer decouples Earth's radiative tropopause temperature from 354 the total outgoing radiation and constrains it instead to where water vapor becomes opti-355 cally thin across all wavenumbers and stops radiative cooling. This is set by water vapor's 356 maximum spectroscopic absorption and Clausius-Clapevron scaling. The thermospectric 357 constraint implies a relatively fixed radiative tropopause temperature (FiTT) with warming 358 because isopleths of water vapor path follows isotherms. However, pressure broadening mod-359 ifies the strength of spectroscopic absorption as the tropopause rises with surface warming, 360 causing it to warm slightly. FiTT also constrains the meridional distribution of the radiative 361 tropopause, but not the lapse rate tropopause, which is more strongly controlled by dynam-362 ics than by radiation. The thermospectric constraint does not rule out a role for processes 363 such as the Brewer-Dobson circulation (which is relatively weak in an aquaplanet, but can affect the tropopause height; Thuburn & Craig, 2000; Birner, 2010; Hu & Vallis, 2019) and 365 ozone (which is not present in our simulations but can affect stratospheric temperature; 366 Thuburn & Craig, 2000, 2002; Lin et al., 2017; Dacie et al., 2019), but it does suggest 367 a previously unnoticed mechanism grounded in robust physics is important in controlling 368 tropopause temperature. 369

370 Anvil clouds and the tropopause

The temperature of anvil clouds and the tropopause respond similarly to surface warm-371 ing (Seidel & Yang, 2022), despite their ≈ 5 km difference in height (Seeley et al., 2019). 372 The thermospectric constraint offers an explanation. Anvil clouds and the troppause share 373 a thermodynamic control by water vapor, which is why they respond similarly to warming, 374 but they depend on distinct features of water vapor spectroscopy, so they occur at differ-375 ent temperatures. The radiative tropopause occurs where radiative cooling goes to zero, 376 which is controlled by the maximum spectroscopic absorption ($\kappa_{\rm max} \approx 13000 \text{ m}^2 \text{ kg}^{-1}$): 377 $T_{\rm tp} = T_{\rm em}(\kappa_{\rm max}) \approx 180$ K. Anvil clouds occur near the max vertical derivative of radiative 378 cooling (Hartmann & Larson, 2002), which is controlled by the sharp decline in water va-379 por's emission line density at $\kappa_{\rm kink} = 40 \text{ m}^2 \text{ kg}^{-1}$: $T_{\rm anvil} = T_{\rm em}(\kappa_{\rm kink}) \approx 214 \text{ K}$ (Jeevanjee 380 & Fueglistaler, 2020b). These thermodynamic and spectroscopic ingredients are embedded 381 in most climate models, which could be why the relationship between anvil clouds and the 382 tropopause are robust with respect to modeling configuration (Seidel & Yang, 2022). 383

384

A role for gray radiative transfer in studying climate?

Water vapor's thermodynamic and radiative properties have distinct but equally pro-385 found influences on Earth's climate (Held & Soden, 2006; Stevens & Bony, 2013), but are 386 gray models of radiative transfer fit for understanding these influences? Gray climate mod-387 els can capture the interplay of latent heat release and the general circulation (Frierson et 388 al., 2006; Schneider et al., 2010; Vallis, 2020), some of the interaction between radiation 389 and moisture necessary for water vapor feedbacks (Byrne & O'Gorman, 2013) and the run-390 away greenhouse effect (Nakajima et al., 1992), and can offer a qualitative understanding 391 of Earth's greenhouse effect (Pierrehumbert, 2010). 392

However, many circulation responses to warming depend sensitively on the radiative 393 response to warming (Kang et al., 2009; Voigt & Shaw, 2015; Ceppi & Hartmann, 2016; Tan 394 et al., 2019), which stresses the need for more nuanced understanding of radiation. For the 395 problems where a quantitative answer is desired, such as the forcing from CO_2 (Jeevanjee 396 et al., 2021; He et al., 2023), water vapor feedback (Koll et al., 2023; Feng et al., 2023), 397 and equilibrium climate sensitivity (Jeevanjee, 2023; Stevens & Kluft, 2023); or for the 398 problems involving vertical gradients in radiative cooling, such as the temperature of anvil 399 clouds (Hartmann & Larson, 2002; Jeevanjee & Fueglistaler, 2020b), radiation's wavenum-400

⁴⁰¹ ber dependence matters. Spectral theories promise to be the more powerful approach to ⁴⁰² identifying, studying, and potentially resolving them.

403 7 Open Research

All scripts used to support the creation and analysis of climate modeling data will be made available in a Github repository upon acceptance.

406 8 Author contributions

B.A.M, G.K.V., and N.J. designed research; B.A.M. performed research. B.A.M, G.K.V., and N.J. interpreted results and analyzed data; B.A.M wrote the first draft of the paper; N.L. created the single column model implementation in Isca.

410 Acknowledgments

This work was funded by CEMPS at the University of Exeter. GKV also acknowledges support from NERC under the CIRCULATES grant NE/T006285/1. We declare no known conflicts of interest.

414 **References**

- Birner, T. (2010). Residual circulation and tropopause structure. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 67(8), 2582 2600. doi: https://doi.org/10.1175/2010JAS3287.1
- ⁴¹⁷ Byrne, M. P., & O'Gorman, P. A. (2013). Land-ocean warming contrast over a wide range
 ⁴¹⁸ of climates: Convective quasi-equilibrium theory and idealized simulations. *Journal*⁴¹⁹ of Climate, 26(12), 4000 4016. doi: https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-12-00262.1
- Ceppi, P., & Hartmann, D. L. (2016). Clouds and the atmospheric circulation response to
 warming. Journal of Climate, 29(2), 783 799. doi: 10.1175/JCLI-D-15-0394.1
- Clough, S. A., Iacono, M. J., & Moncet, J.-L. (1992). Line-by-line calculations of atmo spheric fluxes and cooling rates: Application to water vapor. Journal of Geophys *ical Research: Atmospheres*, 97(D14), 15761-15785. doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/
 92JD01419
- ⁴²⁶ Dacie, S., Kluft, L., Schmidt, H., Stevens, B., Buehler, S. A., Nowack, P. J., ... Birner, T.
 ⁴²⁷ (2019). A 1d rce study of factors affecting the tropical tropopause layer and surface
 ⁴²⁸ climate. Journal of Climate, 32(20), 6769 6782. doi: https://doi.org/10.1175/
 ⁴²⁹ JCLI-D-18-0778.1
- Emanuel, K. (2006, August). Hurricanes: Tempests in a greenhouse. *Physics Today*, 59(8),
 74–75. doi: 10.1063/1.2349743
- Emanuel, K., Solomon, S., Folini, D., Davis, S., & Cagnazzo, C. (2013). Influence of
 tropical tropopause layer cooling on atlantic hurricane activity. *Journal of Climate*,
 26(7), 2288 2301. doi: https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-12-00242.1
- Feng, J., Paynter, D., & Menzel, R. (2023). How a stable greenhouse effect on earth is
 maintained under global warming. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*,
 128(9), e2022JD038124. doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/2022JD038124
- Frierson, D. M. W. (2007). The dynamics of idealized convection schemes and their effect on
 the zonally averaged tropical circulation. *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, 64(6),
 1959 1976. doi: https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS3935.1
- Frierson, D. M. W., Held, I. M., & Zurita-Gotor, P. (2006). A gray-radiation aquaplanet
 moist gcm. part i: Static stability and eddy scale. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences,
 63(10), 2548 2566. doi: https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS3753.1
- Fu, Q. (2006). 4 radiative transfer. In J. M. Wallace & P. V. Hobbs (Eds.), Atmospheric science (second edition) (Second Edition ed., p. 113-152). San Diego: Academic Press. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-732951-2.50009-0

Fueglistaler, S., Dessler, A. E., Dunkerton, T. J., Folkins, I., Fu, Q., & Mote, P. W. (2009). 447 Tropical tropopause layer. Reviews of Geophysics, 47(1). doi: https://doi.org/10 448 .1029/2008RG000267 449 Harrop, B. E., & Hartmann, D. L. (2012). Testing the role of radiation in determining 450 tropical cloud-top temperature. Journal of Climate, 25(17), 5731 - 5747. doi: https:// 451 doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-11-00445.1 452 Hartmann, D. L., & Larson, K. (2002). An important constraint on tropical cloud - climate 453 feedback. Geophysical Research Letters, 29(20), 12-1-12-4. doi: https://doi.org/10 454 .1029/2002GL015835 455 He, H., Kramer, R. J., Soden, B. J., & Jeevanjee, N. (2023). State dependence of co2 456 forcing and its implications for climate sensitivity. Science, 382(6674), 1051-1056. 457 doi: 10.1126/science.abq6872 458 Held, I. M. (1982). On the height of the tropopause and the static stability of the tro-459 posphere. Journal of Atmospheric Sciences, 39(2), 412 - 417. doi: https://doi.org/ 460 10.1175/1520-0469(1982)039(0412:OTHOTT)2.0.CO;2 461 Held, I. M., & Soden, B. J. (2006). Robust responses of the hydrological cycle to global 462 warming. Journal of Climate, 19(21), 5686 - 5699. doi: 10.1175/JCLI3990.1 463 Highwood, E. J., & Hoskins, B. J. (1998). The tropical troppause. Quarterly Journal of 464 the Royal Meteorological Society, 124 (549), 1579-1604. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/ 465 qj.49712454911 466 Hu, S., & Vallis, G. K. (2019). Meridional structure and future changes of tropopause height 467 and temperature. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 145(723), 468 2698-2717. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3587 469 Ingram, W. (2010). A very simple model for the water vapour feedback on climate change. 470 Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 136(646), 30-40. 471 Jeevanjee, N. (2023, 09). Climate sensitivity from radiative-convective equilibrium: A 472 chalkboard approacha). American Journal of Physics, 91(9), 731-745. doi: 10.1119/ 473 5.0135727474 Jeevanjee, N., & Fueglistaler, S. (2020a). On the cooling-to-space approximation. Journal 475 of the Atmospheric Sciences, 77(2), 465 - 478. doi: https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS-D 476 -18-0352.1477 Jeevanjee, N., & Fueglistaler, S. (2020b). Simple spectral models for atmospheric radiative 478 cooling. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 77(2), 479 - 497. doi: https://doi.org/ 479 10.1175/JAS-D-18-0347.1 480 Jeevanjee, N., Koll, D. D. B., & Lutsko, N. (2021). "simpson's law" and the spectral can-481 cellation of climate feedbacks. Geophysical Research Letters, 48(14), e2021GL093699. 482 doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/2021GL093699 483 Jeevanjee, N., & Romps, D. M. (2018). Mean precipitation change from a deepening 484 troposphere. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 115(45), 11465-11470. 485 doi: 10.1073/pnas.1720683115 486 Jeevanjee, N., Seeley, J. T., Paynter, D., & Fueglistaler, S. (2021). An analytical model for 487 spatially varying clear-sky co2 forcing. Journal of Climate, 34(23), 9463 - 9480. doi: 488 https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-19-0756.1 489 Kang, S. M., Frierson, D. M. W., & Held, I. M. (2009). The tropical response to extra-490 tropical thermal forcing in an idealized gcm: The importance of radiative feedbacks 491 and convective parameterization. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 66(9), 2812 -492 2827. doi: 10.1175/2009JAS2924.1 493 Koll, D. B., & Cronin, T. W. (2018). Earth's outgoing longwave radiation linear due to 494 h20 greenhouse effect. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 115(41), 495 10293-10298. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1809868115 496 Koll, D. D. B., Jeevanjee, N., & Lutsko, N. J. (2023). An analytic model for the clear-497 sky longwave feedback. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences. doi: https://doi.org/ 10.1175/JAS-D-22-0178.1 499 Lin, P., Paynter, D., Ming, Y., & Ramaswamy, V. (2017). Changes of the tropi-500 cal tropopause layer under global warming. Journal of Climate, 30(4), 1245 -501

1258. Retrieved from https://journals.ametsoc.org/view/journals/clim/30/4/ 502 jcli-d-16-0457.1.xml doi: 10.1175/JCLI-D-16-0457.1 503 Manabe, S., & Strickler, R. F. (1964). Thermal equilibrium of the atmosphere with a 504 convective adjustment. Journal of Atmospheric Sciences, 21(4), 361 - 385. doi: 505 https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1964)021(0361:TEOTAW)2.0.CO;2 506 Match, A., & Gerber, E. P. (2022). Tropospheric expansion under global warming 507 reduces tropical lower stratospheric ozone. Geophysical Research Letters, 49(19), 508 e2022GL099463. doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/2022GL099463 509 Meraner, K., Mauritsen, T., & Voigt, A. (2013). Robust increase in equilibrium climate 510 sensitivity under global warming. Geophysical Research Letters, 40(22), 5944-5948. 511 doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/2013GL058118 512 Mlawer, E. J., Taubman, S. J., Brown, P. D., Iacono, M. J., & Clough, S. A. (1997). 513 Radiative transfer for inhomogeneous atmospheres: Rrtm, a validated correlated-k 514 model for the longwave. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 102(D14), 515 16663-16682. doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/97JD00237 516 Mote, P. W., Rosenlof, K. H., McIntyre, M. E., Carr, E. S., Gille, J. C., Holton, J. R., 517 ... Waters, J. W. (1996). An atmospheric tape recorder: The imprint of tropical 518 tropopause temperatures on stratospheric water vapor. Journal of Geophysical Re-519 search: Atmospheres, 101(D2), 3989-4006. doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/95JD03422 520 Nakajima, S., Hayashi, Y.-Y., & Abe, Y. (1992). A study on the "runaway green-521 house effect" with a one-dimensional radiative-convective equilibrium model. Jour-522 nal of Atmospheric Sciences, 49(23), 2256 - 2266. doi: https://doi.org/10.1175/ 523 1520-0469(1992)049(2256:ASOTGE)2.0.CO;2 524 Neale, R. B., & Hoskins, B. J. (2000). A standard test for agcms including their physical 525 parametrizations: I: the proposal. Atmospheric Science Letters, 1(2), 101-107. doi: 526 https://doi.org/10.1006/asle.2000.0022 527 O'Gorman, P. A., & Schneider, T. (2008). The Hydrological Cycle over a Wide Range of 528 Climates Simulated with an Idealized GCM. Journal of Climate, 21(15), 3815. doi: 529 10.1175/2007JCLI2065.1 530 O'Gorman, P. A. (2011). The effective static stability experienced by eddies in a moist 531 atmosphere. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 68(1), 75 - 90. doi: 10.1175/ 532 2010JAS3537.1 533 Phillips, N. A. (1956). The general circulation of the atmosphere: A numerical experiment. 534 Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 82(352), 123-164. doi: https:// 535 doi.org/10.1002/qj.49708235202 536 Pierrehumbert, R. T. (2010). Principles of planetary climate. Cambridge University Press. 537 doi: 10.1017/CBO9780511780783 538 Randel, W. J., & Jensen, E. J. (2013). Physical processes in the tropical tropopause layer 539 and their roles in a changing climate. Nature Geoscience, 6(3), 169–176. Retrieved 540 from https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo1733 doi: 10.1038/ngeo1733 541 Romps, D. M. (2016). Clausius-clapeyron scaling of cape from analytical solutions to 542 rce. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 73(9), 3719 - 3737. doi: https://doi.org/ 543 10.1175/JAS-D-15-0327.1 544 Schneider, T. (2004). The troppause and the thermal stratification in the extratropics of 545 a dry atmosphere. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 61(12), 1317 - 1340. doi: 546 https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(2004)061(1317:TTATTS)2.0.CO;2 547 Schneider, T. (2008). Chapter 3 the thermal stratification of the extratropical troposphere. 548 In The global circulation of the atmosphere (pp. 47–77). Princeton: Princeton Univer-549 sity Press. doi: doi:10.1515/9780691236919-005 550 Schneider, T., O'Gorman, P. A., & Levine, X. J. (2010). Water vapor and the dynamics 551 of climate changes. Reviews of Geophysics, 48(3). doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/ 552 2009RG000302 553 Schneider, T., & O'Gorman, P. A. (2008). Moist convection and the thermal stratification 554 of the extratropical troposphere. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 65(11), 3571 -555 3583. doi: 10.1175/2008JAS2652.1 556

- Seeley, J. T., Jeevanjee, N., & Romps, D. M. (2019). Fat or fitt: Are anvil clouds or the
 tropopause temperature invariant? *Geophysical Research Letters*, 46(3), 1842-1850.
 doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GL080096
- Seidel, S. D., & Yang, D. (2022). Temperatures of anvil clouds and radiative tropopause in
 a wide array of cloud-resolving simulations. *Journal of Climate*, 35(24), 8065 8078.
 doi: https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-21-0962.1
- Simpson, G. C. (1928). Further studies in terrestrial radiation. Monthly Weather Review,
 564 56(8), 322 323. doi: https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493(1928)56(322:FSITR)2.0.CO;
 2
- Spaulding-Astudillo, F. E., & Mitchell, J. L. (2023). Effects of varying saturation vapor
 pressure on climate, clouds, and convection. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences,
 80(5), 1247 1266. doi: https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS-D-22-0063.1
- Stevens, B., & Bony, S. (2013, 06). Water in the atmosphere. *Physics Today*, 66(6), 29-34.
 doi: 10.1063/PT.3.2009
 - Stevens, B., & Kluft, L. (2023). A colorful look at climate sensitivity. *EGUsphere*, 2023, 1–24. doi: 10.5194/egusphere-2022-1460

571

572

582

583

584

595

596

597

598

- Stone, P. H., & Carlson, J. H. (1979). Atmospheric lapse rate regimes and their parameterization. Journal of Atmospheric Sciences, 36(3), 415 423. doi: https://doi.org/ 10.1175/1520-0469(1979)036(0415:ALRRAT)2.0.CO;2
- Tan, Z., Lachmy, O., & Shaw, T. A. (2019). The sensitivity of the jet stream response to climate change to radiative assumptions. *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems*, 11(4), 934-956. doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/2018MS001492
- Thompson, D. W. J., Bony, S., & Li, Y. (2017). Thermodynamic constraint on the depth of
 the global tropospheric circulation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*,
 114 (31), 8181-8186. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1620493114
 - Thompson, D. W. J., Ceppi, P., & Li, Y. (2019). A robust constraint on the temperature and height of the extratropical tropopause. *Journal of Climate*, 32(2), 273 - 287. doi: https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-18-0339.1
- Thuburn, J., & Craig, G. C. (2000). Stratospheric influence on tropopause height: The radiative constraint. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 57(1), 17 28. doi: https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(2000)057(0017:SIOTHT)2.0.CO;2
- Thuburn, J., & Craig, G. C. (2002). On the temperature structure of the tropical substratosphere. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 107(D2), ACL 10-1-ACL 10-10. doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JD000448
- Vallis, G. K. (2017). Atmospheric and oceanic fluid dynamics: Fundamentals and large-scale
 circulation (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press. doi: 10.1017/9781107588417
- Vallis, G. K. (2020). The trouble with water: Condensation, circulation and climate. The European Physical Journal Plus, 135(6), 478. doi: 10.1140/epjp/s13360-020-00493-7
 - Vallis, G. K., Colyer, G., Geen, R., Gerber, E., Jucker, M., Maher, P., ... Thomson, S. I. (2018). Isca, v1.0: a framework for the global modelling of the atmospheres of earth and other planets at varying levels of complexity. *Geoscientific Model Development*, 11(3), 843–859. doi: 10.5194/gmd-11-843-2018
- Vallis, G. K., Zurita-Gotor, P., Cairns, C., & Kidston, J. (2015). Response of the large scale structure of the atmosphere to global warming. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, 141(690), 1479-1501. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.2456
- Voigt, A., & Shaw, T. A. (2015). Circulation response to warming shaped by radiative
 changes of clouds and water vapour. *Nature Geoscience*, 8(2), 102–106. doi: 10.1038/
 ngeo2345
- Zurita-Gotor, P., & Vallis, G. K. (2011). Dynamics of midlatitude tropopause height in
 an idealized model. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 68(4), 823 838. doi:
 https://doi.org/10.1175/2010JAS3631.1

Water vapor spectroscopy and thermodynamics constrain Earth's tropopause temperature

Brett A. McKim¹, Nadir Jeevanjee², Geoffrey K. Vallis¹, Neil T. Lewis¹

 $^1\mathrm{Department}$ of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Exeter, Exeter, UK $^2\mathrm{Geophysical}$ Fluid Dynamics Laboratory, Princeton, NJ, USA

6 Key Points:

1

2

3

4 5

7	•	We hypothesize that moisture and spectroscopy constrain the radiative tropopause
8		temperature
9	•	This prediction bears out quantitatively in both single column and general circulation
10		model experiments
11	•	Our derivation and results underpin the Fixed Tropopause Temperature (FiTT) hy-
12		pothesis

Corresponding author: Brett A. McKim, brettmckim@gmail.com

13 Abstract

As Earth warms, the tropopause is expected to rise, but predictions of its temperature 14 change are less certain. One theory ties tropopause temperature to outgoing longwave radi-15 ation (OLR), but this contradicts simulations that exhibit a Fixed Tropopause Temperature 16 (FiTT) even as OLR increases. Another theory ties tropopause temperature to upper tro-17 pospheric moisture, but is not precise enough to make quantitative predictions. Here, we 18 argue that tropopause temperature, defined by where radiative cooling becomes negligible, 19 is set by water vapor's maximum spectroscopic absorption and Clausius-Clapeyron scaling. 20 This "thermospectric constraint" makes quantitative predictions for tropopause tempera-21 ture that are borne out in single column and general circulation model experiments where 22 the spectroscopy is modified and the tropopause changes in response. This constraint un-23 derpins the FiTT hypothesis, shows how tropopause temperature can decouple from OLR, 24 suggests a way to relate the temperatures of anvil clouds and the tropopause, and shows 25 how spectroscopy manifests in Earth's general circulation. 26

27 Plain Language Summary

The tropopause separates the troposphere from the stratosphere, but theories disagree 28 on the mechanisms that determine its temperature. We argue that the tropopause occurs 29 where water vapor becomes so sparse that it can no longer emit radiation to space. The 30 temperature this occurs at is set by how sensitive water vapor is to temperature and how 31 effective it is in blocking and emitting radiation. Our theory leads to precise predictions 32 of tropopause temperature and its change with surface warming. We verify our theory's 33 mechanism by varying the effectiveness of water vapor absorption in climate models and 34 find the trop pause temperature to change consistently with our theory's predictions. Our 35 results suggest a role for wavelength-dependent radiation physics in constraining the large 36 scale motions of Earth's atmosphere. 37

³⁸ 1 Introduction

The tropopause separates the overturning troposphere from a more idle stratosphere. 39 Understanding the mechanisms setting tropopause temperature and height remains a fun-40 damental and important unsolved problem in climate science (Phillips, 1956) — fundamen-41 tal because it depends on how two branches of climate, dynamics and radiation, interact 42 (Schneider, 2008; Vallis, 2017); important because the tropopause is a boundary condition 43 in hurricane intensity (Emanuel, 2006; Emanuel et al., 2013), convectively available poten-44 tial energy (Romps, 2016), CO_2 forcing (Jeevanjee et al., 2021), the water vapor feedback 45 (Meraner et al., 2013; Koll et al., 2023; Feng et al., 2023), stratospheric water vapor (Mote 46 et al., 1996), and ozone destruction (Match & Gerber, 2022). 47

The dynamically active troposphere is thought to extend upwards until the radiative equilibrium temperature profile of the stratosphere becomes stable to convection and eddies (Held, 1982; Thuburn & Craig, 2000), a condition known as the *radiative constraint* that defines a radiative tropopause as the lowest level at which the atmosphere attains radiative equilibrium. We focus on this radiative definition, but note that the tropopause can also be diagnosed with a lapse-rate criterion, and the two measures will often but not always be similar (Highwood & Hoskins, 1998), a point we return to later.

⁵⁵ One way to understand the radiative tropopause temperature is in terms of top-of-⁵⁶ atmosphere energy balance (Held, 1982; Thuburn & Craig, 2000; Vallis et al., 2015; Vallis, ⁵⁷ 2017). In this theory, *gray* radiative transfer (independent of wavenumber) and an optically ⁵⁸ thin stratosphere and upper troposphere are often assumed for conceptual simplicity. This ⁵⁹ lets tropopause temperature (T_{tp}) be regarded as a skin-like temperature (Pierrehumbert, ⁶⁰ 2010) dictated by the outgoing longwave radiation (OLR):

$$T_{\rm tp} = ({\rm OLR}/2\sigma)^{1/4}$$
 (OLR constraint), (1)

where σ is the Stefan-Boltzmann constant. Note, though, that the source of the outgoing radiation still lies within the troposphere. This suggests a direct coupling between $T_{\rm tp}$ and OLR and makes no direct reference to the properties of Earth's greenhouse gasses. It predicts an unchanging tropopause temperature with CO₂-driven global warming, which is generally consistent with comprehensive climate models (Vallis et al., 2015; Hu & Vallis, 2019). It also suggests a sensitivity of $T_{\rm tp}$ to warming agents that increase OLR (such as an increase in insolation).

However, a fixed tropopause temperature (FiTT) has been shown in simulations of 68 warming without fixed OLR (Seeley et al., 2019), which may be at odds with the OLR 69 constraint. The expectation of a FiTT *independent* of the warming agent originates from 70 an entirely different branch of research focused on the fixed temperature of anvil clouds 71 in response to surface warming (Hartmann & Larson, 2002). In this theory, water vapor, 72 the primary source of radiative cooling in the troposphere (Manabe & Strickler, 1964), 73 is thought to control $T_{\rm tp}$. Hartmann and Larson (2002); Harrop and Hartmann (2012) 74 showed that tropical convection is tied to water vapor-driven radiative cooling. Moisture 75 declines exponentially with temperature, until there is so little water vapor that it can no 76 longer radiatively cool, thereby limiting the vertical extent of convection. These results 77 were generalized and shown to apply to extratropical high clouds (Thompson et al., 2017, 78 2019), and Seeley et al. (2019) suggested that a similar hypothesis may be even more apt for 79 the radiative tropopause. As evidence of this potential connection, Seidel and Yang (2022)80 showed that anvil clouds and the tropopause covary with surface warming. 81

If this is all true, then the temperature dependence of water vapor and its radiative 82 cooling imposes a *moist thermodynamic constraint* on the tropopause. This is consistent 83 with observations and models (Thompson et al., 2017, 2019) and helps explain the FiTT 84 response to surface warming and its relation to Fixed Anvil Temperatures (FAT) (Hartmann 85 & Larson, 2002; Seeley et al., 2019; Seidel & Yang, 2022). However, it makes no reference 86 to OLR and it remains unclear what sets the temperature at which water vapor is unable 87 to radiatively cool. The moist constraint cannot predict $T_{\rm tp}$, and thus the FiTT hypothesis 88 lacks a quantitative basis. 89

These limitations and contradictions may be resolved by noting that OLR is coupled 90 to moist thermodynamics (Simpson, 1928; Nakajima et al., 1992; Koll & Cronin, 2018; 91 Jeevanjee et al., 2021), and that spectral (wavenumber-dependent) theories of radiation 92 can yield quantitative insights into this coupling (Feng et al., 2023; Koll et al., 2023). 93 This approach led to a moist radiative theory for anvil cloud temperatures (Jeevanjee & 94 Fueglistaler, 2020b) and we will follow suit to derive a more precise theory of the radiative 95 tropopause temperature and of FiTT. Like Held (1982); Thuburn and Craig (2000), we 96 study the radiative tropopause (henceforth "the tropopause"), but we will inspect the lapse 97 rate tropopause and the role of dynamical constraints (Stone & Carlson, 1979; Held, 1982; 98 Schneider, 2004, 2008; Schneider & O'Gorman, 2008; O'Gorman, 2011; Zurita-Gotor & 99 Vallis, 2011; Vallis, 2017) later on. Stratospheric dynamics and ozone affect tropopause 100 structure (Highwood & Hoskins, 1998; Thuburn & Craig, 2000, 2002; Fueglistaler et al., 101 2009; Birner, 2010; Lin et al., 2017; Dacie et al., 2019) and their inclusion is necessary to 102 capture the full complexity of the tropopause response to climate change (Randel & Jensen, 103 2013). However, here we focus on more basic mechanisms that should be embedded in most 104 climate models. 105

¹⁰⁶ 2 Formulating the thermospectric constraint

107 Qualitative overview

¹⁰⁸ Understanding clear-sky radiative cooling is key to constraining the tropopause. The ¹⁰⁹ cooling profile is controlled by the wavenumber-dependence of water vapor spectroscopy ¹¹⁰ (Jeevanjee & Fueglistaler, 2020b). At each temperature (or height), there are only a few wavenumbers that cool (Jeevanjee & Fueglistaler, 2020a, 2020b), with colder temperatures (higher heights) cooling at wavenumbers with stronger spectroscopic absorption. We demonstrate this in a moist-adiabatic single column model at 300 K with line-by-line radiative transfer, PyRADS (Koll & Cronin, 2018). Plotting the spectrally-resolved cooling reveals that at any given height, most cooling is contained within a roughly 200 cm⁻¹ width band whose contours mimic the V-shape of water vapor spectroscopy (Figure 1a,c).

Following this logic, water vapor's maximum spectroscopic absorption strength around 117 150 cm^{-1} (Figure 1a) suggests there is a minimum temperature (maximum height) to which 118 water vapor can radiatively cool (Figure 1c). We argue that the *combination* of water vapor 119 spectroscopy and Clausius-Clapeyron scaling constrains tropopause temperature. This ther-120 mospectric constraint refines the moist constraint with a more fundamental explanation for 121 where and why water vapor's radiative cooling declines in the upper troposphere. It refines 122 the OLR constraint into a spectral emission constraint that relates particular features of 123 the radiative cooling profile to their corresponding emission temperatures. 124

125

Making the constraint quantitative

Small amounts of upper tropospheric water vapor can cool because of its strong radiative
 absorption in the rotational band (Figure 1a and Clough et al., 1992). Consider water
 vapor's optical depth:

$$\tau_{\rm H_2O}(\nu, z) = \int_z^\infty \kappa_{\rm H_2O}(\nu) \frac{p}{p_{\rm ref}} \rho_{\rm H_2O} dz', \qquad (2)$$

where $\kappa_{\rm H_2O}(\nu)$ is the spectroscopic absorption strength of water vapor (m² kg⁻¹) at wavenum-129 ber ν (cm⁻¹), p/p_{ref} accounts for pressure broadening at wavenumbers more than about 0.1 130 $\rm cm^{-1}$ away from line centers (Fu, 2006), p is the pressure, $p_{\rm ref} = 500$ hPa is a reference 131 pressure, and ρ_{H_2O} is the density of water vapor. Infrared emission from water vapor peaks 132 around $\tau_{\rm H_2O} \approx 1$ (Jeevanjee & Fueglistaler, 2020a; Jeevanjee, 2023), which implies an in-133 verse relationship between $\kappa_{\rm H_2O}$ and the integral of $\rho_{\rm H_2O}$. $\kappa_{\rm H_2O}$ varies by many orders of 134 magnitude across the infrared (Figure 1a), so many atmospheric levels emit to space (Figure 135 1c,d). However, a maximum in $\kappa_{\rm H_2O}$ implies a minimum $\rho_{\rm H_2O}$ and therefore a minimum 136 temperature of the atmosphere that can effectively cool to space. 137

To formulate this statement quantitatively, we assume that all emission occurs at $\tau_{\rm H_2O} = 1$, which defines an emitting temperature $T_{\rm em}$ at wavenumber ν by the relation

$$\tau_{\rm H_2O}(\nu, T_{\rm em}) = 1.$$
 (3)

It is more accurate to invert this equation numerically, but more informative to do so analytically, as shown in Jeevanjee and Fueglistaler (2020b); Jeevanjee (2023). We reproduce some of their steps for clarity.

The variable of integration in optical depth can be changed from height to temperature, and though water vapor spectroscopy varies due to pressure broadening, it varies much less than water vapor density does across the troposphere, so it can be pulled out of the integral. Optical depth is then proportional to water vapor path, which can be computed analytically (Koll & Cronin, 2018), resulting in a simplified expression:

$$\tau_{\rm H_2O}(\kappa_{\rm H_2O}, T) \approx \underbrace{\kappa_{\rm H_2O} \frac{p}{p_{\rm ref}}}_{\rm spectroscopy} \underbrace{M_{\rm v} \rm RH \exp\left(-\frac{L}{R_{\rm v}T}\right)}_{\rm water vapor path},\tag{4}$$

where $M_{\rm v}$ is a characteristic column water vapor mass (kg m⁻²) and $M_{\rm v}$ RH exp $(-L/R_{\rm v}T)$ is the column mass of water vapor above the isotherm with temperature T. Setting $\tau_{\rm H_2O} = 1$ and inverting it results in the emission temperatures as a function of absorption coefficients:



Figure 1. The max absorption strength of water vapor spectroscopic absorption is hypothesized to constrain Earth's tropopause. (a) Water vapor absorption strength as a function of wavenumber. (b) The rotational branch (150 to 1000 cm⁻¹) of absorption strength as a normalized histogram (plotted vertically), with units of $\ln \kappa_{H_2O}$. (c) Spectrally-resolved radiative cooling from a single column model with line-by-line radiative transfer, PyRADS. (d) Spectrally-integrated radiative cooling. We make a rough estimate of the maximum absorption coefficient as $\kappa_{max} \sim 10^3 - 10^4$ $m^2 kg^{-1}$, which we hypothesize relates to the tropopause. $\kappa_{kink} = 40 m^2 kg^{-1}$ refers to where the density of lines begins to decline rapidly, which has been hypothesized to relate to anvil clouds (Jeevanjee & Fueglistaler, 2020b). Spectral data plotted at a resolution of 0.1 cm⁻¹ using PyRADS (Koll & Cronin, 2018).



Figure 2. The thermospectric constraint, Equation 5 and 6, can quantitatively capture the change in tropopause temperature (T_{tp}) . (a) Isca's single column model control simulation's temperature profile. (b) Control simulation's radiative cooling profile. (c) The surface temperature is varied and RH kept fixed at 0.7. Simulations (dots), theory (solid lines). (d) The relative humidity is varied and T_s fixed at 290 K. (e) The absorption coefficients of water vapor are scaled uniformly and T_s and RH fixed at 290 K and 0.7, respectively. Water vapor and CO₂ (280 ppmv) are the only greenhouse gases present in these simulations.

$$T_{\rm em}(\kappa_{\rm H_2O}) = \frac{T^*}{W\left(\frac{T^*}{T_{\rm ref}}(D \cdot \rm RH \cdot M_v \cdot \kappa_{\rm H_2O})^{R_{\rm d}\Gamma/g}\right)},\tag{5}$$

where T^* is a characteristic temperature for water vapor, W is the Lambert-W function, T_{ref} is a characteristic temperature of the troposphere, D = 1.5 is a scaling factor that accounts for the two stream approximation in radiative transfer theory, $R_d = 287$ J kg⁻¹ K⁻¹ is the specific gas constant for dry air, $\Gamma = 7$ K km⁻¹ is the globally-averaged lapse rate of the troposphere in the general circulation model used later on (Figure S1b), and g is the gravitational acceleration (see Table 1 in Methods for values and meanings of the variables and constants).

The thermospectric constraint posits that tropopause temperature $T_{\rm tp}$ is the emission temperature determined by a combination of Clausius-Clapeyron scaling (as embodied by RH and $M_{\rm v}$) and the maximum absorption coefficient of water vapor, $\kappa_{\rm max}$. That is,

$$T_{\rm tp} = T_{\rm em}(\kappa_{\rm max}).$$
 (Thermospectric constraint) (6)

The presence of thousands of absorption lines across the infrared (Figure 1a) makes 161 it difficult to select an appropriate value of $\kappa_{\rm max}$. It helps that the strength of spectrally 162 integrated radiative cooling is roughly proportional to the density of absorption lines at 163 a given strength (Figure 1b,d and Jeevanjee & Fueglistaler, 2020b). For values of $\kappa_{\rm H_2O} \in$ 164 $(10^{-4}, 10^{1})$ m² kg⁻¹, which correspond to tropospheric emission and a typical value of -2 K 165 day^{-1} of radiative cooling (Jeevanjee & Fueglistaler, 2020b), the density of absorption lines 166 in the rotational band (150 to 1000 cm⁻¹) has a characteristic value of $0.07 \ln \kappa_{\rm H_2O}$ (Figure 167 1b). The vibrational-rotational band (1000 to 1500 cm^{-1}) is not as important because its 168 Planck emission is about 1/6 of the rotational band's emission (Jeevanjee & Fueglistaler, 169 2020b). 170

The proportionality between the density of lines and the strength of cooling provides a 171 heuristic way to determine κ_{max} : look for where the density of lines drops between a tenth 172 and a hundredth of its density for tropospheric emission, as this would roughly correspond 173 to where cooling drops to between a tenth and a hundredth of its tropospheric value (thereby 174 achieving radiative equilibrium) (Figure 1b,d). Other factors influence the strength of cool-175 ing, such as the change in optical depth with height and the strength of the Planck function 176 at a given wavenumber and temperature, but (Jeevanjee & Fueglistaler, 2020b) showed that 177 these cannot explain the declining strength of cooling in the upper troposphere. 178

¹⁷⁹ We plot the density of absorption lines in the rotational band in Figure 1b. The density ¹⁸⁰ drops to between a tenth and a hundredth of its typical value at $\kappa_{\rm H_2O} \in (4 \cdot 10^3, 4 \cdot 10^4)$ ¹⁸¹ m² kg⁻¹. Taking the geometric average of the upper and lower bounds, we arrive at our ¹⁸² estimate of $\kappa_{\rm max} \approx 13000 \text{ m}^2 \text{ kg}^{-1}$. Plugging into Equation 6, our prediction for the ¹⁸³ tropopause temperature is $T_{\rm tp} \approx 180 \text{ K}$.

¹⁸⁴ **3** Testing the thermospectric constraint

To test the thermospectric constraint (Equation 6), we run simulations using a clear-185 sky single column model (SCM) configuration of the Isca modeling framework (Vallis et al., 186 2018). The SCM is configured with the correlated-k radiative transfer code RRTM (Mlawer 187 et al., 1997), and a simplified representation of moist convection (the simple Betts-Miller 188 code of Frierson, 2007 and O'Gorman & Schneider, 2008). Configuring the SCM using Isca 189 lets us compare to general circulation model (GCM) simulations with identical column-wise 190 physics later in the paper. Further description of our model set-up can be found in the 191 Supporting Information. 192

To begin, we consider an SCM control run with a prescribed surface temperature of $T_{\rm s} = 290$ K, relative humidity RH= 0.7, and CO₂ concentration of 280 ppmv. The diagnosed



Figure 3. Water vapor spectroscopy affects the radiative and lapse rate tropopauses. (a) Zonalmean temperature profile of the control Isca aquaplanet simulation. (b) Zonal-mean radiative cooling profile of the control. (c) Zonal-mean mass flux profile of the control. (d-g) Water vapor absorption coefficients are increased geometrically by [1/2, 1, 2] and the resulting changes in radiative- and lapse rate-tropopause temperature and height are recorded. The lack of ozone in these simulations accounts for the high (25 km) lapse rate tropopause.

tropopause temperature obtained in this simulation (the lowest level to which radiative equilibrium is achieved, which we identify as -0.05 K day⁻¹ to avoid sensitivity issues related to the cooling profile's asymptotic approach to 0 K day⁻¹, see Figure 2a,b and Supporting Information), is 184 K, close to our prediction.

The maximum absorption coefficient of water vapour, κ_{max} , can also be considered a 199 free parameter to match the predicted tropopause temperature with the value diagnosed 200 from a climate model. Tuning $\kappa_{\rm max}$ results in a value of 7000 m² kg⁻¹, which is within our 201 identified range for κ_{max} based on the density of absorption lines. This tuned value is used 202 henceforth and will not be retuned, except where explicitly scaled. Regarding this climate as 203 our base state, we can test the thermospectric constraint by varying the prescribed surface 204 temperature, column relative humidity, and absorption coefficients of water vapor in the 205 SCM and see how well theory compares. 206

207 Surface temperature

As surface temperature increases, the thermospectric constraint (Equation 6) predicts 208 a small but nonzero warming of the troppause of about $\Delta T_{\rm tp}/\Delta T_{\rm s} = 1/5$ (Figure 2c, solid 209 line). The slight warming is a second order effect from pressure broadening (Koll et al., 210 2023; Feng et al., 2023) which can be understood as follows. The tropopause temperature 211 is fixed, to first order, which implies a rising tropopause as surface temperature increases. 212 As pressure decreases, the effective water vapor absorption coefficients ($\kappa_{\rm H_2O} \cdot p/p_{\rm ref}$) also 213 decreases, which implies a larger $\rho_{\rm H_2O}$ is needed to achieve $\tau_{\rm H_2O} = 1$, and thus a slightly 214 warmer tropopause temperature. A simple calculation shows that the change in water 215 vapor emission temperatures (including at the troppause) should be about 1/4 to 1/5 of 216 the warming at the surface (Equation B4 of Jeevanjee, 2023 and Equation 46 of Koll et al., 217 2023).218

In an SCM experiment where surface temperature is increased (Figure 2c, dots), the tropopause warms almost exactly as predicted. The relatively fixed tropopause temperature (FiTT) has been noted before (Seeley et al., 2019) and explained qualitatively by Thompson et al. (2019) with the thermodynamic constraint. However, the thermospectric constraint provides a quantitative understanding of how T_{tp} should change with warming. The pressure broadening explanation differs from Hu and Vallis (2019), who explains the slight warming as a consequence of increased longwave radiation from outside the water vapor window.

226 Relative humidity

Variations in column relative humidity (RH) may influence $T_{\rm tp}$. A larger RH implies a smaller saturation water vapor density $\rho_{\rm H_2O}^{\rm sat}$ to reach $\tau_{\rm H_2O} = 1$, and thus a cooler temperature. We vary RH in the SCM but keep surface temperature fixed and find the tropopause cools as RH increases (Figure 2d), in excellent agreement with predictions from inputting RH into the thermospectric constraint (Equation 5).

232 Water vapor absorption

Modifying the $\rho_{\rm H_2O}$ passed to the radiation code of a climate model alters the tempera-233 ture of anvil clouds and the tropopause (Harrop & Hartmann, 2012; Thompson et al., 2019; 234 Spaulding-Astudillo & Mitchell, 2023). The thermospectric constraint suggests that modi-235 fying $\kappa_{\rm H_2O}$ should have a similar effect. A geometrically larger $\kappa_{\rm max}$ implies a geometrically 236 smaller minimum $\rho_{\rm H_2O}$ to achieve $\tau_{\rm H_2O} = 1$ and hence an arithmetically colder $T_{\rm tp}$ due to 237 Clausius-Clapeyron scaling: $d \ln \rho_{\rm H_2O}/dT|_{T_{\rm tp}} = L/(R_{\rm v}T_{\rm tp}^2) = 16\% \rm K^{-1}$ or roughly 4 K of 238 cooling to halve ρ_{H_2O} . These predictions are borne out quantitatively by the simulations, 239 where $T_{\rm tp}$ cools arithmetically as $\kappa_{\rm max}$ is scaled geometrically over many octaves while $T_{\rm s}$ 240 and RH are fixed, at a rate of roughly 4 K per doubling (Figure 2e). This is the most direct 241

test of the thermospectric constraint and it confirms spectroscopy's key role in constraining $T_{\rm tp}$.

4 From spectroscopy to the general circulation

The previous tests were done in a single column model, but the tropopause is a feature of Earth's general circulation and will be influenced by other factors (Thuburn & Craig, 247 2000; Birner, 2010). We test whether modifying $\kappa_{\rm H_2O}$ influences $T_{\rm tp}$ and $z_{\rm tp}$ (tropopause 248 height) in a general circulation model configured as an idealized aquaplanet with a standard 249 fixed sea surface temperature distribution (Neale & Hoskins, 2000):

$$T_{s}(\phi) = \begin{cases} 300(1 - \sin^{2}(3\phi/2)) \text{ K}, & \text{for } -\pi/3 < \phi < \pi/3\\ 273 \text{ K}, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$
(7)

where ϕ is the latitude. The GCM is configured to use the same column-wise physics routines (e.g., RRTM radiative transfer, simplified Betts-Miller moist convection) as the SCM. See the Supporting Information for further details. When analysing the GCM, we diagnose the radiative tropopause with a -0.2 K day⁻¹ threshold instead of the -0.05 K day⁻¹ used for the SCM. The updated threshold more closely aligns with relevant dynamical features such the mass flux profile (Figure 3c) while still using a threshold value \ll typical tropospheric cooling (Figure 3b).

257 Spectroscopic control of the tropopause

We vary $\kappa_{\rm H_2O}$ geometrically and find the tropopause cools and rises across all latitudes, again at $\approx 4-5$ K and 0.5-1 km per doubling of $\kappa_{\rm H_2O}$ (Figure 3d,e). This cooling confirms the quantitative predictions of thermospectric constraint (Figure 2e) in a more comprehensive and Earth-like setting. The spectroscopic control on the radiative tropopause has implications for the general circulation because infrared cooling constrains the residual motion of the atmosphere, the amplitude of tropospheric wave breaking, and the depth of its diabatic mixing (Thompson et al., 2017, 2019).

 $T_{\rm tp}$ varies by only 5 K across latitude in these simulations, consistent with FiTT and 265 the idea of a fairly insensitive radiative tropopause temperature to surface temperature 266 and the large-scale circulation. However, radiative tropopause *height* is not uniform due 267 to its strong dependence on surface temperature and vertically averaged lapse rate (Γ), 268 $z_{\rm tp} \approx (T_{\rm tp} - T_{\rm s})/\Gamma$. It has a top-hat meridional structure because $T_{\rm s}$ varies from equator 269 to poles and because Γ varies as the dominant control on stratification changes from moist 270 convection in the tropics to baroclinic eddies in the extratropics (Stone & Carlson, 1979; 271 Held, 1982; Schneider, 2008; Vallis, 2017). 272

This dynamical control extends to the lapse-rate tropopause, diagnosed here as where 273 the lapse rate exceeds -5 K km^{-1} . It has a much more pronounced top-hat structure in 274 both its height and temperature (Figure 3f,g). FiTT does not apply to all definitions of 275 the troppoause because each definition respects different physical constraints (Highwood 276 & Hoskins, 1998; Fueglistaler et al., 2009; Birner, 2010; Hu & Vallis, 2019). The lapse 277 rate tropopause, for instance, depends on the profile of stratification, which is primarily 278 determined by dynamics (Schneider, 2008). Nevertheless, the lapse rate tropopause still 279 cools and rises as $\kappa_{\rm H_2O}$ is increased (Figure 3f,g), particularly in the tropics, hinting at a 280 broader role of spectroscopy in the interaction between upper tropospheric radiative cooling, 281 dynamics, and stratification which future work could make more precise. 282

283 Other controls of the tropopause

Meridional variations in radiative tropopause temperature may be due to surface temperature, which varies between 300 K and 273 K from equator to poles and can change



Figure 4. Moisture is essential to capturing a fixed tropopause temperature and spectral radiative transfer decouples tropopause temperature from outgoing longwave radiation. (a) Outgoing longwave radiation (OLR) of Isca single column model with various types of radiative transfer. (b) Tropopause temperature for the same simulations. (c) Predicted tropopause temperature from the OLR constraint (Equation 1). (d-f) The radiative cooling profile plotted in temperature coordinates for $T_s = 270, 280, 290, 300, 310$ K for each model setup. Each profile has been normalized by its maximum tropospheric value and is plotted starting at the lifting condensation level for clarity. See Supporting Information for details.

 $T_{\rm tp}$ with pressure-broadening effects. It may also be due to tropospheric relative humidity, which varies from 20 to 70 % (Figure S1a). The SCM and Equation 5 shows varying column relative humidity by a similar amount changes $T_{\rm tp}$ by about 5 K (Figure 2d). The lapse rate (Figure S1b) could also change $T_{\rm tp}$; changing Γ from 4 K km⁻¹ to 7 K km⁻¹ in Equation 5 changes $T_{\rm tp}$ by 3 K.

Column-wise physics and water vapor may not be the only source of variations in $T_{\rm tp}$. Stratospheric dynamics may influence $z_{\rm tp}$ and $T_{\rm tp}$ by altering the location of zero radiative cooling (Thuburn & Craig, 2000; Birner, 2010; Hu & Vallis, 2019). CO₂-driven radiative cooling, which primarily emanates from the stratosphere (Jeevanjee & Fueglistaler, 2020b), may also drive changes in $T_{\rm tp}$. Future work could address these questions and lead to a more comprehensive theory, but our goal here is to provide a first order picture of moist thermodynamics interact with spectroscopy to set $T_{\rm tp}$.

²⁹⁸ 5 Reconciling different constraints

Previous theories of tropopause temperature have either emphasized outgoing radiation (Held, 1982; Thuburn & Craig, 2000; Vallis et al., 2015) or moist thermodynamics and upper tropospheric radiative cooling (Hartmann & Larson, 2002; Thompson et al., 2017). Combining moisture with a spectral perspective of radiative cooling can make more precise predictions for $T_{\rm tp}$ and FiTT (Figure 2c). Now we combine the OLR constraint (Equation 1) with moisture to make better predictions of FiTT, and consider how adding bands to gray radiative transfer theory morphs the OLR constraint into an upper tropospheric radiative
 emission constraint.

The OLR constraint was derived with grav radiative transfer uncoupled to moisture 307 (Held, 1982; Thuburn & Craig, 2000; Vallis et al., 2015). This "dry" constraint predicts 308 a FiTT with respect to CO₂-driven global warming because OLR remains fixed (Vallis et 309 al., 2015). By this logic, a warming that changes OLR would change $T_{\rm tp}$, which stands 310 in contrast to simulations that exhibit a FiTT even as OLR increases (Seeley et al., 2019; 311 Seidel & Yang, 2022). For both gray and spectral atmospheres, the amount of OLR increase 312 313 for a prescribed surface warming depends on the presence of radiatively active moisture and its optical thickness (Simpson, 1928; Nakajima et al., 1992; Ingram, 2010; Koll & Cronin, 314 2018; Jeevanjee et al., 2021; Feng et al., 2023; Stevens & Kluft, 2023; Koll et al., 2023). 315 Changes in $T_{\rm tp}$ may be similarly constrained. 316

We test the role of moisture and choice of radiative transfer in controlling OLR and $T_{\rm tp}$ by varying surface temperature in different configurations of Isca's SCM: a model with gray radiation uncoupled to moisture, similar to Frierson et al. (2006); with gray radiation coupled to moisture, similar to Byrne and O'Gorman (2013); and with spectral radiation coupled to moisture, as already described. In these experiments, OLR and $T_{\rm tp}$ change much more in the dry gray model than the moist gray and spectral models (Figure 4a,b).

In dry simulations, the greenhouse gas is assumed to be well mixed and so optical depth 323 is a single valued function of pressure, $\tau = \tau(p)$. As T_s increases, isobars warm and radiative 324 cooling at $\tau = 1$ emanates from a warmer layer of atmosphere that can emit more radiation 325 to space (Figure 4d). In contrast, moisture constrains the optical depth to be a single valued 326 function of temperature, $\tau = \tau(T)$ (in the absence of pressure broadening). As $T_{\rm s}$ increases, 327 radiative cooling at $\tau = 1$ emanates from nearly the same temperature (Figure 4e,f and 328 Figure S1a of Seeley et al., 2019) and thus OLR is constrained to increase less than in the 329 dry case. (Radiative cooling can increase for other reasons, see, e.g., Jeevanjee & Romps, 330 2018, but less so if there is moisture.) Therefore, the OLR constraint, when combined with 331 a notion of how moisture constrains changes in OLR, is more consistent with FiTT for a 332 wider variety of warming scenarios such as in Seeley et al. (2019); Seidel and Yang (2022). 333

However, this explanation still does not address a motivating question of this study: How can $T_{\rm tp}$ decouple from OLR (compare Figure 4b,c)? The answer lies in the role of additional bands of radiative transfer. Hu and Vallis (2019) showed that adding a window band decouples the radiative equilibrium temperature of the planet, $T_{\rm re}$, from total OLR and couples it instead to outgoing radiation from the optically thick band (OLR_{thick}):

$$T_{\rm re} = \left[\frac{\tau_{\rm thick} + 1}{2\sigma} \text{OLR}_{\rm thick}\right]^{1/4}.$$
(8)

The window band becomes optically thin at the surface, so its emission does not contribute 339 to radiative balance at the stratosphere (Hu & Vallis, 2019). If a third, even thicker band 340 were introduced, then this logic implies that the thickest band's emission would determine 341 the radiative balance at the stratosphere and constrain $T_{\rm re}$, rather than the emission from 342 the thinner bands. If we take the spectral limit of an infinite number of bands that vary 343 by orders of magnitude in their optical depth, which is the case for Earth's atmosphere, 344 then $T_{\rm re}$ would be determined primarily by the optically thickest band and constrained by 345 its spectral emission. $T_{\rm re}$ (and hence $T_{\rm tp}$) would be related to the brightness temperature 346 of that spectral emission. This is essentially what we have calculated in the thermospectric 347 constraint (Equations 5 and 6), though framed in a different way. The OLR constraint is only 348 strictly true for a gray atmosphere, and the thermospectric constraint is the generalization 349 of that idea to a spectral, moist atmosphere. Hence, $T_{\rm tp}$ can decouple from OLR, as seen 350 in simulations of FiTT (Figure 4c and Seeley et al., 2019; Seidel & Yang, 2022). 351

352 6 Discussion

353 Summary

Spectral radiative transfer decouples Earth's radiative tropopause temperature from 354 the total outgoing radiation and constrains it instead to where water vapor becomes opti-355 cally thin across all wavenumbers and stops radiative cooling. This is set by water vapor's 356 maximum spectroscopic absorption and Clausius-Clapevron scaling. The thermospectric 357 constraint implies a relatively fixed radiative tropopause temperature (FiTT) with warming 358 because isopleths of water vapor path follows isotherms. However, pressure broadening mod-359 ifies the strength of spectroscopic absorption as the tropopause rises with surface warming, 360 causing it to warm slightly. FiTT also constrains the meridional distribution of the radiative 361 tropopause, but not the lapse rate tropopause, which is more strongly controlled by dynam-362 ics than by radiation. The thermospectric constraint does not rule out a role for processes 363 such as the Brewer-Dobson circulation (which is relatively weak in an aquaplanet, but can affect the tropopause height; Thuburn & Craig, 2000; Birner, 2010; Hu & Vallis, 2019) and 365 ozone (which is not present in our simulations but can affect stratospheric temperature; 366 Thuburn & Craig, 2000, 2002; Lin et al., 2017; Dacie et al., 2019), but it does suggest 367 a previously unnoticed mechanism grounded in robust physics is important in controlling 368 tropopause temperature. 369

370 Anvil clouds and the tropopause

The temperature of anvil clouds and the tropopause respond similarly to surface warm-371 ing (Seidel & Yang, 2022), despite their ≈ 5 km difference in height (Seeley et al., 2019). 372 The thermospectric constraint offers an explanation. Anvil clouds and the troppause share 373 a thermodynamic control by water vapor, which is why they respond similarly to warming, 374 but they depend on distinct features of water vapor spectroscopy, so they occur at differ-375 ent temperatures. The radiative tropopause occurs where radiative cooling goes to zero, 376 which is controlled by the maximum spectroscopic absorption ($\kappa_{\rm max} \approx 13000 \text{ m}^2 \text{ kg}^{-1}$): 377 $T_{\rm tp} = T_{\rm em}(\kappa_{\rm max}) \approx 180$ K. Anvil clouds occur near the max vertical derivative of radiative 378 cooling (Hartmann & Larson, 2002), which is controlled by the sharp decline in water va-379 por's emission line density at $\kappa_{\rm kink} = 40 \text{ m}^2 \text{ kg}^{-1}$: $T_{\rm anvil} = T_{\rm em}(\kappa_{\rm kink}) \approx 214 \text{ K}$ (Jeevanjee 380 & Fueglistaler, 2020b). These thermodynamic and spectroscopic ingredients are embedded 381 in most climate models, which could be why the relationship between anvil clouds and the 382 tropopause are robust with respect to modeling configuration (Seidel & Yang, 2022). 383

384

A role for gray radiative transfer in studying climate?

Water vapor's thermodynamic and radiative properties have distinct but equally pro-385 found influences on Earth's climate (Held & Soden, 2006; Stevens & Bony, 2013), but are 386 gray models of radiative transfer fit for understanding these influences? Gray climate mod-387 els can capture the interplay of latent heat release and the general circulation (Frierson et 388 al., 2006; Schneider et al., 2010; Vallis, 2020), some of the interaction between radiation 389 and moisture necessary for water vapor feedbacks (Byrne & O'Gorman, 2013) and the run-390 away greenhouse effect (Nakajima et al., 1992), and can offer a qualitative understanding 391 of Earth's greenhouse effect (Pierrehumbert, 2010). 392

However, many circulation responses to warming depend sensitively on the radiative 393 response to warming (Kang et al., 2009; Voigt & Shaw, 2015; Ceppi & Hartmann, 2016; Tan 394 et al., 2019), which stresses the need for more nuanced understanding of radiation. For the 395 problems where a quantitative answer is desired, such as the forcing from CO_2 (Jeevanjee 396 et al., 2021; He et al., 2023), water vapor feedback (Koll et al., 2023; Feng et al., 2023), 397 and equilibrium climate sensitivity (Jeevanjee, 2023; Stevens & Kluft, 2023); or for the 398 problems involving vertical gradients in radiative cooling, such as the temperature of anvil 399 clouds (Hartmann & Larson, 2002; Jeevanjee & Fueglistaler, 2020b), radiation's wavenum-400

⁴⁰¹ ber dependence matters. Spectral theories promise to be the more powerful approach to ⁴⁰² identifying, studying, and potentially resolving them.

403 7 Open Research

All scripts used to support the creation and analysis of climate modeling data will be made available in a Github repository upon acceptance.

406 8 Author contributions

B.A.M, G.K.V., and N.J. designed research; B.A.M. performed research. B.A.M, G.K.V., and N.J. interpreted results and analyzed data; B.A.M wrote the first draft of the paper; N.L. created the single column model implementation in Isca.

410 Acknowledgments

This work was funded by CEMPS at the University of Exeter. GKV also acknowledges support from NERC under the CIRCULATES grant NE/T006285/1. We declare no known conflicts of interest.

414 **References**

- Birner, T. (2010). Residual circulation and tropopause structure. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 67(8), 2582 2600. doi: https://doi.org/10.1175/2010JAS3287.1
- ⁴¹⁷ Byrne, M. P., & O'Gorman, P. A. (2013). Land-ocean warming contrast over a wide range
 ⁴¹⁸ of climates: Convective quasi-equilibrium theory and idealized simulations. *Journal*⁴¹⁹ of Climate, 26(12), 4000 4016. doi: https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-12-00262.1
- Ceppi, P., & Hartmann, D. L. (2016). Clouds and the atmospheric circulation response to
 warming. Journal of Climate, 29(2), 783 799. doi: 10.1175/JCLI-D-15-0394.1
- Clough, S. A., Iacono, M. J., & Moncet, J.-L. (1992). Line-by-line calculations of atmo spheric fluxes and cooling rates: Application to water vapor. Journal of Geophys *ical Research: Atmospheres*, 97(D14), 15761-15785. doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/
 92JD01419
- ⁴²⁶ Dacie, S., Kluft, L., Schmidt, H., Stevens, B., Buehler, S. A., Nowack, P. J., ... Birner, T.
 ⁴²⁷ (2019). A 1d rce study of factors affecting the tropical tropopause layer and surface
 ⁴²⁸ climate. Journal of Climate, 32(20), 6769 6782. doi: https://doi.org/10.1175/
 ⁴²⁹ JCLI-D-18-0778.1
- Emanuel, K. (2006, August). Hurricanes: Tempests in a greenhouse. *Physics Today*, 59(8),
 74–75. doi: 10.1063/1.2349743
- Emanuel, K., Solomon, S., Folini, D., Davis, S., & Cagnazzo, C. (2013). Influence of
 tropical tropopause layer cooling on atlantic hurricane activity. *Journal of Climate*,
 26(7), 2288 2301. doi: https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-12-00242.1
- Feng, J., Paynter, D., & Menzel, R. (2023). How a stable greenhouse effect on earth is
 maintained under global warming. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*,
 128(9), e2022JD038124. doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/2022JD038124
- Frierson, D. M. W. (2007). The dynamics of idealized convection schemes and their effect on
 the zonally averaged tropical circulation. *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, 64(6),
 1959 1976. doi: https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS3935.1
- Frierson, D. M. W., Held, I. M., & Zurita-Gotor, P. (2006). A gray-radiation aquaplanet
 moist gcm. part i: Static stability and eddy scale. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences,
 63(10), 2548 2566. doi: https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS3753.1
- Fu, Q. (2006). 4 radiative transfer. In J. M. Wallace & P. V. Hobbs (Eds.), Atmospheric science (second edition) (Second Edition ed., p. 113-152). San Diego: Academic Press. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-732951-2.50009-0

Fueglistaler, S., Dessler, A. E., Dunkerton, T. J., Folkins, I., Fu, Q., & Mote, P. W. (2009). 447 Tropical tropopause layer. Reviews of Geophysics, 47(1). doi: https://doi.org/10 448 .1029/2008RG000267 449 Harrop, B. E., & Hartmann, D. L. (2012). Testing the role of radiation in determining 450 tropical cloud-top temperature. Journal of Climate, 25(17), 5731 - 5747. doi: https:// 451 doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-11-00445.1 452 Hartmann, D. L., & Larson, K. (2002). An important constraint on tropical cloud - climate 453 feedback. Geophysical Research Letters, 29(20), 12-1-12-4. doi: https://doi.org/10 454 .1029/2002GL015835 455 He, H., Kramer, R. J., Soden, B. J., & Jeevanjee, N. (2023). State dependence of co2 456 forcing and its implications for climate sensitivity. Science, 382(6674), 1051-1056. 457 doi: 10.1126/science.abq6872 458 Held, I. M. (1982). On the height of the tropopause and the static stability of the tro-459 posphere. Journal of Atmospheric Sciences, 39(2), 412 - 417. doi: https://doi.org/ 460 10.1175/1520-0469(1982)039(0412:OTHOTT)2.0.CO;2 461 Held, I. M., & Soden, B. J. (2006). Robust responses of the hydrological cycle to global 462 warming. Journal of Climate, 19(21), 5686 - 5699. doi: 10.1175/JCLI3990.1 463 Highwood, E. J., & Hoskins, B. J. (1998). The tropical troppause. Quarterly Journal of 464 the Royal Meteorological Society, 124 (549), 1579-1604. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/ 465 qj.49712454911 466 Hu, S., & Vallis, G. K. (2019). Meridional structure and future changes of tropopause height 467 and temperature. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 145(723), 468 2698-2717. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3587 469 Ingram, W. (2010). A very simple model for the water vapour feedback on climate change. 470 Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 136(646), 30-40. 471 Jeevanjee, N. (2023, 09). Climate sensitivity from radiative-convective equilibrium: A 472 chalkboard approacha). American Journal of Physics, 91(9), 731-745. doi: 10.1119/ 473 5.0135727474 Jeevanjee, N., & Fueglistaler, S. (2020a). On the cooling-to-space approximation. Journal 475 of the Atmospheric Sciences, 77(2), 465 - 478. doi: https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS-D 476 -18-0352.1477 Jeevanjee, N., & Fueglistaler, S. (2020b). Simple spectral models for atmospheric radiative 478 cooling. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 77(2), 479 - 497. doi: https://doi.org/ 479 10.1175/JAS-D-18-0347.1 480 Jeevanjee, N., Koll, D. D. B., & Lutsko, N. (2021). "simpson's law" and the spectral can-481 cellation of climate feedbacks. Geophysical Research Letters, 48(14), e2021GL093699. 482 doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/2021GL093699 483 Jeevanjee, N., & Romps, D. M. (2018). Mean precipitation change from a deepening 484 troposphere. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 115(45), 11465-11470. 485 doi: 10.1073/pnas.1720683115 486 Jeevanjee, N., Seeley, J. T., Paynter, D., & Fueglistaler, S. (2021). An analytical model for 487 spatially varying clear-sky co2 forcing. Journal of Climate, 34(23), 9463 - 9480. doi: 488 https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-19-0756.1 489 Kang, S. M., Frierson, D. M. W., & Held, I. M. (2009). The tropical response to extra-490 tropical thermal forcing in an idealized gcm: The importance of radiative feedbacks 491 and convective parameterization. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 66(9), 2812 -492 2827. doi: 10.1175/2009JAS2924.1 493 Koll, D. B., & Cronin, T. W. (2018). Earth's outgoing longwave radiation linear due to 494 h20 greenhouse effect. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 115(41), 495 10293-10298. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1809868115 496 Koll, D. D. B., Jeevanjee, N., & Lutsko, N. J. (2023). An analytic model for the clear-497 sky longwave feedback. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences. doi: https://doi.org/ 10.1175/JAS-D-22-0178.1 499 Lin, P., Paynter, D., Ming, Y., & Ramaswamy, V. (2017). Changes of the tropi-500 cal tropopause layer under global warming. Journal of Climate, 30(4), 1245 -501

1258. Retrieved from https://journals.ametsoc.org/view/journals/clim/30/4/ 502 jcli-d-16-0457.1.xml doi: 10.1175/JCLI-D-16-0457.1 503 Manabe, S., & Strickler, R. F. (1964). Thermal equilibrium of the atmosphere with a 504 convective adjustment. Journal of Atmospheric Sciences, 21(4), 361 - 385. doi: 505 https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1964)021(0361:TEOTAW)2.0.CO;2 506 Match, A., & Gerber, E. P. (2022). Tropospheric expansion under global warming 507 reduces tropical lower stratospheric ozone. Geophysical Research Letters, 49(19), 508 e2022GL099463. doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/2022GL099463 509 Meraner, K., Mauritsen, T., & Voigt, A. (2013). Robust increase in equilibrium climate 510 sensitivity under global warming. Geophysical Research Letters, 40(22), 5944-5948. 511 doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/2013GL058118 512 Mlawer, E. J., Taubman, S. J., Brown, P. D., Iacono, M. J., & Clough, S. A. (1997). 513 Radiative transfer for inhomogeneous atmospheres: Rrtm, a validated correlated-k 514 model for the longwave. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 102(D14), 515 16663-16682. doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/97JD00237 516 Mote, P. W., Rosenlof, K. H., McIntyre, M. E., Carr, E. S., Gille, J. C., Holton, J. R., 517 ... Waters, J. W. (1996). An atmospheric tape recorder: The imprint of tropical 518 tropopause temperatures on stratospheric water vapor. Journal of Geophysical Re-519 search: Atmospheres, 101(D2), 3989-4006. doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/95JD03422 520 Nakajima, S., Hayashi, Y.-Y., & Abe, Y. (1992). A study on the "runaway green-521 house effect" with a one-dimensional radiative-convective equilibrium model. Jour-522 nal of Atmospheric Sciences, 49(23), 2256 - 2266. doi: https://doi.org/10.1175/ 523 1520-0469(1992)049(2256:ASOTGE)2.0.CO;2 524 Neale, R. B., & Hoskins, B. J. (2000). A standard test for agcms including their physical 525 parametrizations: I: the proposal. Atmospheric Science Letters, 1(2), 101-107. doi: 526 https://doi.org/10.1006/asle.2000.0022 527 O'Gorman, P. A., & Schneider, T. (2008). The Hydrological Cycle over a Wide Range of 528 Climates Simulated with an Idealized GCM. Journal of Climate, 21(15), 3815. doi: 529 10.1175/2007JCLI2065.1 530 O'Gorman, P. A. (2011). The effective static stability experienced by eddies in a moist 531 atmosphere. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 68(1), 75 - 90. doi: 10.1175/ 532 2010JAS3537.1 533 Phillips, N. A. (1956). The general circulation of the atmosphere: A numerical experiment. 534 Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 82(352), 123-164. doi: https:// 535 doi.org/10.1002/qj.49708235202 536 Pierrehumbert, R. T. (2010). Principles of planetary climate. Cambridge University Press. 537 doi: 10.1017/CBO9780511780783 538 Randel, W. J., & Jensen, E. J. (2013). Physical processes in the tropical tropopause layer 539 and their roles in a changing climate. Nature Geoscience, 6(3), 169–176. Retrieved 540 from https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo1733 doi: 10.1038/ngeo1733 541 Romps, D. M. (2016). Clausius-clapeyron scaling of cape from analytical solutions to 542 rce. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 73(9), 3719 - 3737. doi: https://doi.org/ 543 10.1175/JAS-D-15-0327.1 544 Schneider, T. (2004). The troppause and the thermal stratification in the extratropics of 545 a dry atmosphere. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 61(12), 1317 - 1340. doi: 546 https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(2004)061(1317:TTATTS)2.0.CO;2 547 Schneider, T. (2008). Chapter 3 the thermal stratification of the extratropical troposphere. 548 In The global circulation of the atmosphere (pp. 47–77). Princeton: Princeton Univer-549 sity Press. doi: doi:10.1515/9780691236919-005 550 Schneider, T., O'Gorman, P. A., & Levine, X. J. (2010). Water vapor and the dynamics 551 of climate changes. Reviews of Geophysics, 48(3). doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/ 552 2009RG000302 553 Schneider, T., & O'Gorman, P. A. (2008). Moist convection and the thermal stratification 554 of the extratropical troposphere. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 65(11), 3571 -555 3583. doi: 10.1175/2008JAS2652.1 556

- Seeley, J. T., Jeevanjee, N., & Romps, D. M. (2019). Fat or fitt: Are anvil clouds or the
 tropopause temperature invariant? *Geophysical Research Letters*, 46(3), 1842-1850.
 doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GL080096
- Seidel, S. D., & Yang, D. (2022). Temperatures of anvil clouds and radiative tropopause in
 a wide array of cloud-resolving simulations. *Journal of Climate*, 35(24), 8065 8078.
 doi: https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-21-0962.1
- Simpson, G. C. (1928). Further studies in terrestrial radiation. Monthly Weather Review,
 564 56(8), 322 323. doi: https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493(1928)56(322:FSITR)2.0.CO;
 2
- Spaulding-Astudillo, F. E., & Mitchell, J. L. (2023). Effects of varying saturation vapor
 pressure on climate, clouds, and convection. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences,
 80(5), 1247 1266. doi: https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS-D-22-0063.1
- Stevens, B., & Bony, S. (2013, 06). Water in the atmosphere. *Physics Today*, 66(6), 29-34.
 doi: 10.1063/PT.3.2009
 - Stevens, B., & Kluft, L. (2023). A colorful look at climate sensitivity. *EGUsphere*, 2023, 1–24. doi: 10.5194/egusphere-2022-1460

571

572

582

583

584

595

596

597

598

- Stone, P. H., & Carlson, J. H. (1979). Atmospheric lapse rate regimes and their parameterization. Journal of Atmospheric Sciences, 36(3), 415 423. doi: https://doi.org/ 10.1175/1520-0469(1979)036(0415:ALRRAT)2.0.CO;2
- Tan, Z., Lachmy, O., & Shaw, T. A. (2019). The sensitivity of the jet stream response to climate change to radiative assumptions. *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems*, 11(4), 934-956. doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/2018MS001492
- Thompson, D. W. J., Bony, S., & Li, Y. (2017). Thermodynamic constraint on the depth of
 the global tropospheric circulation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*,
 114 (31), 8181-8186. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1620493114
 - Thompson, D. W. J., Ceppi, P., & Li, Y. (2019). A robust constraint on the temperature and height of the extratropical tropopause. *Journal of Climate*, 32(2), 273 - 287. doi: https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-18-0339.1
- Thuburn, J., & Craig, G. C. (2000). Stratospheric influence on tropopause height: The radiative constraint. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 57(1), 17 28. doi: https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(2000)057(0017:SIOTHT)2.0.CO;2
- Thuburn, J., & Craig, G. C. (2002). On the temperature structure of the tropical substratosphere. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 107(D2), ACL 10-1-ACL 10-10. doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JD000448
- Vallis, G. K. (2017). Atmospheric and oceanic fluid dynamics: Fundamentals and large-scale
 circulation (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press. doi: 10.1017/9781107588417
- Vallis, G. K. (2020). The trouble with water: Condensation, circulation and climate. The European Physical Journal Plus, 135(6), 478. doi: 10.1140/epjp/s13360-020-00493-7
 - Vallis, G. K., Colyer, G., Geen, R., Gerber, E., Jucker, M., Maher, P., ... Thomson, S. I. (2018). Isca, v1.0: a framework for the global modelling of the atmospheres of earth and other planets at varying levels of complexity. *Geoscientific Model Development*, 11(3), 843–859. doi: 10.5194/gmd-11-843-2018
- Vallis, G. K., Zurita-Gotor, P., Cairns, C., & Kidston, J. (2015). Response of the large scale structure of the atmosphere to global warming. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, 141(690), 1479-1501. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.2456
- Voigt, A., & Shaw, T. A. (2015). Circulation response to warming shaped by radiative
 changes of clouds and water vapour. *Nature Geoscience*, 8(2), 102–106. doi: 10.1038/
 ngeo2345
- Zurita-Gotor, P., & Vallis, G. K. (2011). Dynamics of midlatitude tropopause height in
 an idealized model. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 68(4), 823 838. doi:
 https://doi.org/10.1175/2010JAS3631.1

Supporting Information for "Water vapor spectroscopy and thermodynamics constrain Earth's tropopause temperature"

Brett A. McKim¹, Nadir Jeevanjee², Geoffrey K. Vallis¹, Neil Lewis¹

 $^1\mathrm{University}$ of Exeter, Stocker Rd, EX4 4PY Exeter, UK

 $^2{\rm Geophysical}$ Fluid Dynamics Laboratory, Princeton, NJ, USA

Additional Figures

Methods

Isca Framework

For all simulations, we use Isca, a modeling framework that makes it easy to vary between configurations (Vallis et al., 2018). We use Isca configured as a clear-sky general circulation model (GCM) and a clear-sky single column model (SCM). There is no sea ice, land, or topography. The GCM and SCM configurations use the same column-wise physics routines (e.g., radiative transfer, convective adjustment).

In the GCM, we run at T42 resolution with 40 vertical levels, distributed according to $\sigma = \exp[-7(0.25\tilde{z} + 0.75\tilde{z}^7)]$, where \tilde{z} is evenly spaced on the unit interval. This distribution produces levels that are roughly evenly spaced in the troposphere, and spaced

more closely in the stratosphere. We use a slab mixed-layer ocean with a standard specified meridional profile of sea surface temperatures (Neale & Hoskins, 2000):

$$T_{s}(\phi) = \begin{cases} 300(1 - \sin^{2}(3\phi/2)) \text{ K,} & \text{for } -\pi/3 < \phi < \pi/3\\ 273 \text{ K,} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$
(1)

where ϕ is the latitude.

In the SCM, we run at 80 vertical levels, necessarily omit the dynamical core, and constrain stratospheric water vapor so that it cannot increase with height. We prescribe surface temperature in increments of 10 K by setting the mixed-layer temperature and then setting its depth to 10^9 m.

In both models, we use the simple Betts-Miller convection scheme (Frierson, 2007; O'Gorman & Schneider, 2008), which drives the free troposphere to a prescribed relative humidity of 70%. Large scale condensation is included to prevent supersaturation, following (Frierson et al., 2006), and all condensed water returns immediately to the surface. Boundary layer turbulence is parameterized using a k-profile scheme similar to Troen and Mahrt (1986), and diffusion coefficients are obtained from Monin-Obukhov similarity theory (in the column model, this computation uses a prescribed surface wind of 5 m s^{-1}). In the SCM, we set the boundary layer depth to the lifting condensation level. For consistency, we also use this method to determine the boundary layer depth in the GCM.

In both the GCM and the SCM, we compute radiative transfer primarily with RRTM (Mlawer et al., 1997). The incoming solar radiation meridional profile resembles Earth's seasonally-averaged profile with a Second Legendre Polynomial. The surface albedo is set to 0.2. CO_2 and water vapor are the only greenhouse gasses (unless specified otherwise).

In the SCM, we also run experiments with gray radiative transfer configured to resemble the setup of (Frierson et al., 2006), in which water vapor has no effect on radiative fluxes. That is, the gray optical depth is

$$\tau = \tau_0 \left[f_\ell \left(\frac{p}{p_s} \right) + (1 - f_\ell) \left(\frac{p}{p_s} \right)^4 \right],\tag{2}$$

where $\tau_0 = 6$ is the surface optical depth and $f_{\ell} = 0.1$ is a constant. See (Frierson et al., 2006) and the Isca documentation (https://execlim.github.io/Isca/index.html) for details. Atmospheric shortwave absorption is turned off, the surface albedo is still set to 0.2 and the stellar constant is set to 342.5 Wm² unless stated otherwise.

When water vapor is coupled to the gray radiative transfer scheme, our approach resembles (Byrne & O'Gorman, 2013). That is, the optical depth is calculated as a function of specific humidity q (kg kg⁻¹),

$$\frac{d\tau}{d\sigma} = bq,\tag{3}$$

where b = 1997.9 and $\sigma = p/p_0$, the pressure normalized by a constant (10⁵ Pa). See (Byrne & O'Gorman, 2013; Vallis et al., 2018) for details.

Diagnosing the tropopause

The radiative tropopause is diagnosed as the lowest layer of atmosphere where radiative cooling goes to zero. In the absence of radiative heating from ozone, the radiative cooling profile asymptotes to zero in the upper troposphere and so a threshold of -0.05 K day⁻¹ is used for the SCM and -0.2 K day⁻¹ for the GCM. To make the diagnostic less sensitive to model's vertical resolution, the vertical profile is linearly interpolated from 40 (GCM) or 80 (SCM) levels to 800.

X - 4

The lapse rate tropopause is diagnosed as where the lapse rate exceeds -5 K km¹. Again, the vertical profile is linearly interpolated.

Water vapor spectroscopy

We use PyRADS, a validated line-by-line column model (Koll & Cronin, 2018), to plot the spectral line absorption coefficients of water vapor. These data are sourced from the HITRAN 2016 database (Gordon et al., 2017), with a Lorenz line profile assumed for all lines. Data is plotted with 0.1 cm^{-1} spectral resolution.

Table of constants and their values

See Table S1.

References

- Byrne, M. P., & O'Gorman, P. A. (2013). Land-ocean warming contrast over a wide range of climates: Convective quasi-equilibrium theory and idealized simulations. *Journal* of Climate, 26(12), 4000 - 4016. doi: https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-12-00262.1
- Frierson, D. M. W. (2007). The dynamics of idealized convection schemes and their effect on the zonally averaged tropical circulation. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 64(6), 1959 - 1976. doi: https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS3935.1
- Frierson, D. M. W., Held, I. M., & Zurita-Gotor, P. (2006). A gray-radiation aquaplanet moist gcm. part i: Static stability and eddy scale. *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, 63(10), 2548 - 2566. doi: https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS3753.1
- Gordon, I., Rothman, L., Hill, C., Kochanov, R., Tan, Y., Bernath, P., ... Zak, E. (2017). The hitran2016 molecular spectroscopic database. *Journal of Quantitative*

Spectroscopy and Radiative Transfer, 203, 3-69. (HITRAN2016 Special Issue) doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jqsrt.2017.06.038

:

- Jeevanjee, N., & Fueglistaler, S. (2020). Simple spectral models for atmospheric radiative cooling. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 77(2), 479 - 497. doi: https://doi.org/ 10.1175/JAS-D-18-0347.1
- Koll, D. B., & Cronin, T. W. (2018). Earth's outgoing longwave radiation linear due to h2o greenhouse effect. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 115(41), 10293-10298. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1809868115
- Mlawer, E. J., Taubman, S. J., Brown, P. D., Iacono, M. J., & Clough, S. A. (1997). Radiative transfer for inhomogeneous atmospheres: Rrtm, a validated correlated-k model for the longwave. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 102(D14), 16663-16682. doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/97JD00237
- Neale, R. B., & Hoskins, B. J. (2000). A standard test for agcms including their physical parametrizations: I: the proposal. Atmospheric Science Letters, 1(2), 101-107. doi: https://doi.org/10.1006/asle.2000.0022
- O'Gorman, P. A., & Schneider, T. (2008). The Hydrological Cycle over a Wide Range of Climates Simulated with an Idealized GCM. *Journal of Climate*, 21(15), 3815. doi: 10.1175/2007JCLI2065.1
- Troen, I. B., & Mahrt, L. (1986, October). A simple model of the atmospheric boundary layer; sensitivity to surface evaporation. *Boundary-Layer Meteorology*, 37(1-2), 129-148. doi: 10.1007/BF00122760

Vallis, G. K., Colyer, G., Geen, R., Gerber, E., Jucker, M., Maher, P., ... Thomson, S. I.

(2018). Isca, v1.0: a framework for the global modelling of the atmospheres of earth and other planets at varying levels of complexity. *Geoscientific Model Development*, 11(3), 843–859. doi: 10.5194/gmd-11-843-2018

:



:

Figure S1. Zonal-mean profiles from control Isca aquaplanet simulation. (a) Relative humidity. (b) Lapse rate. The dashed line indicates the radiative tropopause. The globally averaged tropospheric lapse rate is 7 K km⁻¹, defined here as the region between the average lifting condensation level (≈ 950 hPa) and the average tropopause height (≈ 150 hPa).

X - 8

Table S1. Definition of symbols used. See main text for details on computing κ_{max} . See Jeevanjee and Fueglistaler (2020) for more details and derivations of many of these quantities.

Symbol	Type	Description	Value/Units
ν	Variable	Wavenumber	${\rm cm}^{-1}$
$ au_{ m H_2O}$	Variable	Optical depth of water vapor at a given wavenumber	_
$\kappa_{\rm H_2O}$	Variable	Spectroscopic absorption of water vapor at a given wavenumber	$\mathrm{m}^2~\mathrm{kg}^{-1}$
$ ho_{ m H_2O}$	Variable	Density of water vapor	${ m kg}~{ m m}^{-3}$
p_{ref}	Constant	Reference atmospheric pressure	500 hPa
$T_{ m em}$	Variable	Emission temperature at a given wavenumber	K
T^*	Constant	Characteristic temperature of water vapor	$LR_d\Gamma/(gR_v) \approx 635~{ m K}$
$T_{\rm ref}$	Variable	Characteristic tropospheric temperature	260 K
$T_{ m tp}$	Variable	Tropopause temperature	К
M_v^{ref}	Constant	Characteristic column water vapor mass	$T_{\rm ref} p_v^{\infty} / (\Gamma L) \approx 6 \cdot 10^9 \ {\rm kg \ m^{-2}}$
p_v^∞	Constant	Reference value for the saturation vapor pressure	$2.5\cdot 10^{11}~\mathrm{Pa}$
$\kappa_{ m max}$	Constant	Maximum absorption of water vapor	$\approx 13000~{\rm m^2~kg^{-1}}$
OLR	Variable	February 6, 2024, 3:50pm Outgoing longwave radiation	Wm^{-2}

: