Variations in subsidence patterns in the Gulf of Mexico passive margin from Airborne-LiDAR data and Time Series InSAR: Baton Rouge Case Study (AGU23 - oral presentation)

Carolina Hurtado-Pulido¹, Reda Amer¹, Cynthia Ebinger¹, and Hayden Holcomb¹

 $^1\mathrm{Affiliation}$ not available

April 16, 2024

Variations in subsidence patterns in the Gulf of Mexico passive margin from Airborne-LiDAR data and Time Series InSAR: Baton Rouge Case Study

<u>Hurtado-Pulido, C.</u>, Amer, R., Ebinger, C., Holcomb, H. December 12, 2023







Context

Coastal Louisiana is affected by high rates of sea level rise exacerbated by subsidence.

Human activities such as fluid extraction/injection can increase subsidence.









Questions and Hypothesis

 Are subsidence rates caused by fault slip significant and measurable using one or both LiDAR and SAR?
 What areas are affected by fault-controlled subsidence in

- the Baton Rouge area?
- 3) Do patterns of vertical crustal movements correlate with fluid extraction and/or urban development?

InSAR

DATA

EnviSAT (2004-2010)

Sentinel-1 (2017-2020)

METHODS

Persistent Scatterer Interferometry time series. Coherence: 0.66

InSAR

DATA

EnviSAT (2004-2010)

Sentinel-1 (2017-2020)

METHODS

Persistent Scatterer Interferometry time series. Coherence: 0.66

Lidar

DATA

Survey 1999 (RMSE= 15 cm, PS= 4 m)

Survey 2018 (RMSE= 3.6 cm, PS=0.33 m)

METHODS

Iterative Closest Point (ICP)

Vertical DEM differencing (GCD)

Hurtado-Pulido C. et al., (AGU23)

InSAR

DATA

EnviSAT (2004-2010)

Sentinel-1 (2017-2020)

METHODS

Persistent Scatterer Interferometry time series. Coherence: 0.66

Lidar

DATA

Survey 1999 (RMSE= 15 cm, PS= 4 m)

Survey 2018 (RMSE= 3.6 cm, PS=0.33 m)

METHODS

Iterative Closest Point (ICP)

Vertical DEM differencing (GCD)

Hurtado-Pulido C. et al., (AGU23)

Well data



Injection and extraction of fluids on the area.

| | GW | Oil-gas | Injection |
|----------------|-----|---------|-----------|
| Total | 821 | 368 | 24 |
| 1999 – 2021 | 592 | 47 | 13 |
| Vol info | | 23 | 9 |



Results 1 -**InSAR velocities** show more "subsidence" in the northern block than the southern block, with the BRF as a boundary between the blocks

InSAR Velocities 2017-2020

Results 1 -

InSAR time series near BRF are similar.

Time series near injection wells have slower displacement.

InSAR Velocities 2017-2020



Hurtado-Pulido C. et al., (AGU23)



Results 2 -Horizontal motion from **LiDAR** agree with GNSS stations in the area. The area "moved" mostly E-NE.

LiDAR ICP with <u>ground</u> points 1999-2018

Results 2 -**Vertical motion** from LiDAR agree with GNSS.

Northern block is subsiding faster and there is deceleration in the southern block.

> LiDAR ICP with ground points 1999-2018

8



 \diamond

Discussion -

The northern block is subsiding faster than the southern block during the last decades.

This contradicts the long-term displacement. Discussion -The northern block is subsiding faster than the southern block during the last decades.

This contradicts the long-term displacement.

Discussion -Groundwater levels are low in the area between the faults.

There is a large cone of depression due to high pumping.



Discussion - Northern block has more and deeper wells than the southern block.





Discussion -Low groundwater level at deep aquifers.

Modified from Chen et al., (2023)



Discussion -Low groundwater level at deepest aquifers. **Coincides with**

Coincides with higher subsidence rates.

Main conclusions

| <i>Fluid extraction/injection</i> are dominant processes driving surface displacement in East Baton Rouge. | The BRF divides the area into 2 regions of human-controlled subsidence. Northern block controlled by groundwater level changes Southern block influenced by injection at shallow depths | |
|--|---|--|
| There is subsidence in the entire area, but it is reversing the long-term down-to-the-south displacement. | <i>LiDAR</i> is a powerful geodetic tool to estimate 3D slow motion agreeing with GNSS and InSAR time series. | |

Hurtado-Pulido C. et al., (AGU23) *The results of this research are under review

Next Steps

 Analysis of subsidence caused by seasonal variation caused by hydrological loadings.

2. Numerical model for future predictions (*with Pritom Sarma, Hebrew University*)

Next Steps

 Analysis of subsidence caused by seasonal variation caused by hydrological loadings.

2. Numerical model for future predictions (*with Pritom Sarma, Hebrew University*)

Contact I am looking for a Job/postdoc!

dhurtadopulido@tulane.edu



Personal Website