Assimilating summer sea-ice thickness observations improves Arctic sea-ice forecast

Ruizhe Song¹, Longjiang Mu², Svetlana Loza³, Frank Kauker⁴, and Xianyao Chen¹

¹Ocean University of China ²Laoshan Laboratory ³Alfred Wener Institute for Polar and Marine Research ⁴OASys

April 16, 2024

Abstract

Proper Arctic sea-ice forecasting for the melt season is still a major challenge because of the recent lack of reliable pan-Arctic summer sea-ice thickness (SIT) data. A new summer CryoSat-2 SIT observation data set based on an artificial intelligence algorithm may alleviate this situation. We assess the impact of this new data set on the initialization of sea-ice forecasts in the melt seasons of 2015 and 2016 in a coupled sea ice-ocean model with data assimilation. We find that the assimilation of the summer CryoSat-2 SIT observations can reduce the summer ice-edge forecast error. Further, adding SIT observations to an established forecast system with sea-ice concentration assimilation leads to more realistic short-term summer ice-edge forecasts in the Arctic Pacific sector. The long-term Arctic-wide SIT prediction is also improved. In spite of remaining uncertainties, summer CryoSat-2 SIT observations have the potential to improve Arctic sea-ice forecast on multiple time scales.

Assimilating summer sea-ice thickness observations improves Arctic sea-ice forecast

Ruizhe Song^{1,2,3,4}, Longjiang Mu², Svetlana N. Loza^{3,5}, Frank Kauker³, Xianyao Chen^{1,2}

5	¹ Frontier Science Center for Deep Ocean Multispheres and Earth System and Physical Oceanography
6	Laboratory, Ocean University of China, Qingdao, China
7	² Laoshan Laboratory, Qingdao, China
8	³ Alfred Wegener Institute, Helmholtz Centre for Polar and Marine Research, Bremerhaven, Germany
9	⁴ Academy of the Future Ocean, Ocean University of China, Qingdao, China
10	⁵ Shirshov Institute of Oceanology, Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia

Key Points:

1

2

3 4

11

12	•	Assimilating summer CryoSat-2 sea-ice thickness (SIT) observations makes more
13		skillful Arctic ice-edge forecasts on multiple time scales.
14	•	The long-term SIT forecasts improve with the assimilation of summer CryoSat-
15		2 SIT observations.
16	•	Further refinement is needed for summer CryoSat-2 SIT observations.

Corresponding author: Longjiang Mu, ljmu@qnlm.ac

17 Abstract

Proper Arctic sea-ice forecasting for the melt season is still a major challenge because of 18 the recent lack of reliable pan-Arctic summer sea-ice thickness (SIT) data. A new summer 19 CryoSat-2 SIT observation data set based on an artificial intelligence algorithm may alleviate 20 this situation. We assess the impact of this new data set on the initialization of sea-ice 21 forecasts in the melt seasons of 2015 and 2016 in a coupled sea ice-ocean model with data 22 assimilation. We find that the assimilation of the summer CryoSat-2 SIT observations 23 can reduce the summer ice-edge forecast error. Further, adding SIT observations to an 24 established forecast system with sea-ice concentration assimilation leads to more realistic 25 short-term summer ice-edge forecasts in the Arctic Pacific sector. The long-term Arctic-wide 26 SIT prediction is also improved. In spite of remaining uncertainties, summer CryoSat-2 SIT 27 observations have the potential to improve Arctic sea-ice forecast on multiple time scales. 28

²⁹ Plain Language Summary

Arctic sea ice is rapidly declining due to global warming, especially in summer. Accu-30 rate sea-ice forecasting is important to understand the potential influence of these changes 31 and devise effective responses. The performance of sea-ice forecasts highly depends on the 32 accuracy of the initial sea-ice states. So refining the initial conditions of sea-ice forecasts 33 with satellite observations is a common way to reduce forecast errors. However, obtain-34 ing reliable summer pan-Arctic satellite sea-ice thickness (SIT) data is challenging due to 35 complex ice-surface conditions in summer. A new artificial-intelligence-based summer SIT 36 satellite data product may mitigate this situation. We integrate this data set into a sea-ice 37 forecast system to evaluate its impact on forecast accuracy. We find that the new sum-38 mer satellite SIT data can reduce short-term ice-edge location forecast errors and benefit 39 long-term SIT forecasts. 40

41 **1 Introduction**

Arctic sea ice is declining at unprecedented speed (Rothrock et al., 1999; Comiso et al.,
2008; Kwok & Rothrock, 2009; Stroeve et al., 2012), which would pose challenges to climatic
and ecological stakeholders (Landrum & Holland, 2020). The Arctic Passage, opening up
with the gradually melting summer sea ice, calls for accurate Arctic sea-ice prediction from
daily to seasonal scales (Jung et al., 2016).

Accurate initialization of sea-ice state is vital for predicting Arctic sea ice (e.g., BlanchardWrigglesworth et al., 2011; Guemas et al., 2016; Xie et al., 2016; Dirkson et al., 2017; Bushuk
et al., 2022). The assimilation of sea-ice concentration (SIC) has improved the short-term
sea-ice forecasts greatly as documented in the literature, and is now widely used at forecasting centers (e.g., Hebert et al., 2015; Lemieux et al., 2015). Sea-ice thickness (SIT) persists
longer, therefore assimilation of SIT raises long-term sea-ice forecast skills even stronger
(Day, Hawkins, & Tietsche, 2014; Shu et al., 2021; Mu et al., 2022).

However, the potential impacts of summer SIT observations on sea-ice forecasts are 54 not examined comprehensively yet due to a lack of data. An effective retrieval method for 55 the remotely sensed SIT from May to September was missing (Laxon et al., 2013; Ricker et 56 al., 2014). The complex summer ice-surface conditions restrict the application of classical 57 algorithms designed for winter conditions. For instance, melt ponds which occupy a huge 58 fraction of the sea-ice surface in the melt seasons (Maykut et al., 1992) complicate the clas-59 sification algorithms (Lee et al., 2018; Tilling et al., 2019) and introduce large uncertainties 60 due to increased moisture in the snow (Drinkwater, 1991). On the other hand, in-situ Arctic 61 SIT observations are rather scarce and localized. They can hardly be used in basin-scale 62 assimilation systems. 63

In a recent study, Dawson et al. (2022) presented the first estimate of pan-Arctic summer 64 sea-ice freeboard from radar altimeter by using a 1D convolutional neural network (CNN) 65 to distinguish ice leads from melt ponds. Landy et al. (2022) converted summer CryoSat-2 66 radar freeboard to SIT and applied further corrections. The spring predictability barrier of 67 the Arctic sea ice (e.g., Day, Tietsche, & Hawkins, 2014; Bushuk et al., 2017) suggests that 68 sea-ice forecast should benefit from the initialization with SIT in the melt season (Bushuk et 69 al., 2020). Therefore, it presents an opportunity to explore the extent to which the summer 70 SIT observation could improve the real-time forecast skill. Min et al. (2023) demonstrated 71 that assimilation of summer SIT corrects the overestimation in the Combined Model and 72 Satellite Thickness (CMST; Mu et al., 2018b) product. Y.-F. Zhang et al. (2023) found 73 that the assimilation of May to August CryoSat-2 SIT anomalies improves local SIC and 74 sea-ice extent (SIE) forecasts in September. However, the influence of assimilating summer 75 CryoSat-2 SIT observations on short-term sea-ice forecast in summer and on long-term 76 forecast extending beyond September still needs to be investigated further. 77

In this study, we focus on the impact of summer SIT observations on the daily and seasonal forecast skills of a sea-ice prediction modelling system. In particular, we perform a series of short- and long-term ensemble sea-ice forecasts where the sea ice-ocean initial state is constrained by the summer CryoSat-2 SIT or where these data are not used. The benefits and challenges of using these new SIT data are evaluated and critically discussed using independent sea-ice data.

⁸⁴ 2 Data and Methods

85

94

2.1 The coupled sea ice-ocean model

We use a regional coupled sea ice-ocean model driven by atmospheric forecasts to con-86 figure the sea ice-ocean forecast system. The model is based on the Massachusetts Institute 87 of Technology general circulation model (MITgcm; Marshall et al., 1997) and covers the 88 pan-Arctic region with a horizontal resolution of around 18 km as in Losch et al. (2010). 89 The sea-ice model uses a viscous-plastic rheology (Hibler III, 1979; J. Zhang & Hibler III, 90 1997) and a so called zero-layer thermodynamic formulation without heat capacity (Semtner, 91 1976; Parkinson & Washington, 1979). The readers are referred to Losch et al. (2010) and 92 Nguyen et al. (2011) for more details on the model. 93

2.2 Data assimilation and forecast

The summer data assimilation system is initialized from restart files generated by CMST 95 (Mu et al., 2018b) simulation with 11 ensemble members. CMST combines model physics 96 with information from remote-sensed SIT and SIC observations. It successfully reproduces 97 the spatio-temporal sea-ice variations (Mu et al., 2018b). The summer data assimilation 98 and forecast strategy follows Mu et al. (2017) and Mu et al. (2019). A Local Error Subspace qq Transform Kalman Filter (Nerger et al., 2012) coded within the Parallel Data Assimilation 100 Framework (Nerger et al., 2005) is used to assimilate the summer SIT and SIC observations 101 separately or simultaneously. Then, the summer ensemble forecasts start from the new 102 individual analyses and the model is integrated forced by the atmospheric forecasts (cf. 103 Section 2.3). 104

The CryoSat-2 summer SIT data set is derived from local variations in the CryoSat-2 105 radar echo response using a deep learning method (Dawson et al., 2022; Landy et al., 2022). 106 This is the first estimate of pan-Arctic summer SIT from satellite observations. However, 107 the accuracy of the CryoSat-2 summer SIT still needs to be further improved after the 108 correction introduced by Landy et al. (2022), for example over the regions north of the 109 Greenland and the Canadian Arctic Archipelago (CAA). The summer SIT is assimilated 110 into the system on a daily basis using the observations linearly interpolated between two 111 biweekly records. Considering the shortcomings of the summer SIT over thick ice regions, 112

practical experience suggests that the observation uncertainties should be set higher than
the original values over thick ice regions, while still using the provided errors over thin ice
regions (Supporting Information). The SIC data used in the assimilation are computed at
the French Research Institute for Exploitation of the Sea (IFREMER) based on the 85-GHz
SSM/I and SSM/IS channels (Kaleschke et al., 2001; Spreen et al., 2008; Kern et al., 2010).
The uncertainty of the SIC observation is set to a constant value of 0.25 following Yang,
Losa, Losch, Jung, and Nerger (2015) and Yang et al. (2016).

The short-term ensemble assimilation and forecast experiments are driven by the 174-120 121 hour atmospheric ensemble forecasts from the United Kingdom Met Office (UKMO) Ensemble Prediction System (EPS; Bowler et al., 2008). For the long-term prediction, the ensemble 122 members are driven by deterministic atmospheric forcing (single member). The hourly Eu-123 ropean Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts Reanalysis v5 (ERA-5; Hersbach et al., 124 2020) is used as the atmospheric forcing during the data assimilation, while the atmospheric 125 forecasts from the National Center for Environmental Prediction Climate Forecast System 126 Version 2 (CFSv2; Saha et al., 2014) are used for the 9-month long-term forecasts. 127

128 2.3 Experiment design

In order to investigate the potential impact of the CryoSat-2 summer SIT on sea-ice 129 forecasts, this study designs both short-term (7 days) and long-term (270 days) forecasts 130 (Table. 1). These experiments are conducted over different months. The short-term ex-131 periments in 2015, which cover the melt season, start from the CMST restart files on May 132 1, May 31, June 30, July 30, and August 29, respectively. Each forecast experiment lasts 133 for 30 days and on each day a 7-day sea-ice forecast is run using the atmospheric forcing 134 from the daily UKMO ensemble forecasts. No data assimilation is applied in the con-135 trol run of the short-term forecasts (Short-CTRL). The Short-SIT experiments assimilate 136 only the CryoSat-2 summer SIT data, and the Short-SIC experiments assimilate only the 137 SSMI/SSMIS SIC data, while both data sets are assimilated in the Short-SICSIT experi-138 ments. For the 2016 experiments, only the start dates are changed to match the available 139 restart files from CMST (Table. 1). 140

The long-term forecast experiments are designed to diagnose the persistence of the 141 assimilated CryoSat-2 summer SIT over the months from the melt season to the freezing 142 season. The Long-SIT experiments with SIT assimilation start each summer month from 143 CMST restart files and a daily data assimilation step iterating over 15 days is performed 144 to mitigate abrupt SIT changes. Over that period, ERA5 atmospheric reanalysis forcing is 145 used. Then, the 270-day sea-ice forecasts start from the sea-ice analysis restart files and are 146 forced by the CFSv2 operational atmospheric forecasts. No data assimilation is performed 147 in the Long-CTRL experiments. The forecast start dates are listed in Table 1. 148

¹⁴⁹ 2.4 Verification

Simulation output from the Pan-Arctic Ice-Ocean Modeling and Assimilation System
 (PIOMAS; J. Zhang & Rothrock, 2003) is employed for the comparison with the assimilation
 results. PIOMAS is constrained by SIC and sea surface temperature observations. Its
 modeled SIT has been validated to be comparable to in-situ observations and has been
 widely used in previous studies.

The integrated ice-edge error (IIEE; Goessling et al., 2016) is used to quantify the skill of the short-term ice-edge forecasts. It measures the discrepancy between the forecasted and observed SIE. The reference observation used in this study is the NOAA/NSIDC Climate Data Record (CDR) of Passive Microwave Sea Ice Concentration Version 4 (Meier et al., 2021).

To validate the skill of the long-term sea-ice forecast, we compute the IIEE and the RMSD of SIT against various other products and in-situ observations. The IIEE is com-

Experiment	Assimilated data	Forecast duration (days)	Atmospheric forcing during assimilation	Atmospheric forcing during forecast	Forecast start date
Short-CTRL	/	7	UKMO (11)	UKMO (11)	Daily fore- cast start- ing from 05/01/2015.
Short-SIT	CryoSat-2 SIT	7	UKMO (11)	UKMO (11)	$\begin{array}{c} 05/31/2015,\\ 06/30/2015,\\ 07/30/2015,\\ \end{array}$
Short-SIC	SSMI/SSMIS SIC	7	UKMO (11)	UKMO (11)	08/29/2015, 04/25/2016, 05/25/2016,
Short-SICSIT	SSMI/SSMIS SIC and CryoSat-2 SIT	7	UKMO (11)	UKMO (11)	$\begin{array}{c} 06/24/2016,\\ 07/24/2016,\\ 08/23/2016. \end{array}$
Long-CTRL	/	270	ERA5 (1)	CFSv2(1)	$ \begin{vmatrix} 05/16/2015, \\ 06/15/2015, \\ 07/15/2015, \\ 08/14/2015, \\ 09/13/2015. \end{vmatrix} $
Long-SIT	CryoSat-2 SIT	270	ERA5 (1)	CFSv2 (1)	05/10/2016, 06/09/2016, 07/09/2016, 08/08/2016, 09/07/2016.

Table 1. Summary of forecast experiments design. Short: short-term forecast. Long: long-termforecast.

puted using the NOAA/NSIDC SIC CDR data. The RMSDs of SIT are computed with respect to the CS2SMOS products (Ricker et al., 2017). The SIT observations derived from ULS moorings maintained by the Beaufort Gyre Exploration Program (BGEP) are used for the forecast evaluation. The three moorings BGEP-A, BGEP-B, and BGEP-D, which provide year-round sea-ice draft observations, are located at (75.0°N, 150.0°W), (78.0°N, 150.0°W) and (74.0°N, 140.0°W), respectively (Figure S1). The draft is converted to SIT by multiplying it by a constant factor of 1.1 as in Nguyen et al. (2011).

169 3 Result

3.1 Short-term ice-edge forecast

An overview of the SIT states of PIOMAS, CryoSat-2, and the short-term experiment 171 assimilation results in 2015 is shown in Figure 1 and in 2016 in Figure S2. In May and 172 June, CryoSat-2 has similar SIT over the compact ice regions but thinner (by more than 173 $(0.5 \,\mathrm{m})$ ice over the first-year ice regions compared to the PIOMAS SIT. This is more evident 174 in July, August, and September, while the CryoSat-2 SIT is biased low over the central 175 Arctic. Landy et al. (2022) pointed out that the roughness-induced electromagnetic range 176 bias on the heavily-deformed ice in the coast regions north of the CAA and Greenland are 177 responsible for these underestimates. In general, the SIT patterns of CryoSat-2 observations 178 are more similar to the Short-CTRL patterns, which are the extensions of CMST, than to 179 the PIOMAS patterns. Short-CTRL SIT patterns have thinner ice in the Beaufort Sea 180 than the PIOMAS patterns, capturing an expected SIT distribution. This is not surprising 181 since CMST is constructed by assimilating remote-sensed SIT during the freezing season 182 until April (Mu et al., 2018b), while PIOMAS does not assimilate any SIT (J. Zhang & 183 Rothrock, 2003). 184

The area-averaged SIT differences between Short-SIT and Short-CTRL in May to 185 September of 2015 are 0.10 m, -0.06 m, -0.37 m, -0.37 m and -0.39 m, respectively. Over-186 all, the area-averaged SIT differences are smallest in May and June, when the assimilation 187 of the summer CryoSat-2 observations reduces the SIT in the Amerasian Basin and increases 188 it in the Eurasian Basin. In the strong melt months of July, August and September, when 189 the uncertainties of the CryoSat-2 SIT are at their maximum, the underestimation of the 190 SIT over the multi-year ice regions, i.e., north of the CAA and Greenland, is remarkable. 191 The differences can easily exceed -1 m and even reach -1.5 m. SIT is also reduced in most of 192 the marginal ice zones, especially in the Beaufort Sea and the Chukchi Sea. CMST tends to 193 overestimate late summer SIT in the marginal seas due to unrealistic covariances between 194 SIC and SIT when abrupt increases in SIC are triggered by wind convergences (Mu et al., 195 2018b). The assimilation of CryoSat-2 SIT corrects this bias, resulting in a more reasonable 196 estimate of SIT in the marginal seas. 197

SIT assimilation has an important impact on SIC simulations through the physical 198 connection between thickness and concentration (Xie et al., 2016; Mignac et al., 2022). 199 Short-term forecast of ice edge, defined as the 15% SIC isoline, can be strongly influenced 200 by SIT assimilation. Figure 2 shows the reduction of IIEE in the Pacific sector and Atlantic 201 sector (regions shown in Figure S1). IIEE in each forecast experiment is given in Figure S3. 202 The observed SIC used as the reference for the IIEE calculation is the NOAA/NSIDC SIC 203 CDR. The difference in the ice-edge position between forecasts and observations in 2015 and 204 2016 is displayed in Figure S4 and Figure S5. 205

The impact of CryoSat-2 SIT assimilation on ice-edge forecasts varies with time and region. Compared to Short-CTRL, IIEE in Short-SIT is strongly reduced in most times and both sectors (Figure 2). In the Pacific sector, the ice-edge position in the forecasts is consistently overestimated in Short-CTRL. Assimilation of the summer SIT reduces the SIT of the forecasts near the ice edge, resulting in a better agreement between the ice-edge forecasts and the ice-edge observations from the satellite (Figure S4 and Figure S5).



Figure 1. SIT (m) in PIOMAS, CryoSat-2, Short-CTRL, Short-SIT, and the difference between Short-SIT and Short-CTRL 15 days after the start in May to September of 2015. Note that CryoSat-2 observations are two-week averages while the rest are daily SIT.

In May and June, only a slight improvement in IIEE is observed. However, in July, 212 especially in 2015, IIEE increases. This can be attributed to the fact that the melt-pond 213 fraction starts to increase in June and reaches its maximum in July (Feng et al., 2022). 214 In particular, the melt-pond fraction in the Beaufort Sea peaked in 2015 during the 2000-215 2021 observation period (Xiong & Ren, 2023). The presence of excessive melt-pond fraction 216 may lead to more misclassification of ice leads and melt ponds in the CryoSat-2 sea-ice 217 freeboard retrieval using the CNN model, which affects the SIT analysis in the Pacific 218 sector. Therefore, the underestimated SIT erroneously leads to a large ice-edge error in July 219 of the Short-SIT experiments. This warrants further refinement of the artificial intelligence 220 algorithm used for summer CryoSat-2 SIT retrieval. In late summer, the assimilation of 221 CryoSat-2 SIT observations in Short-SIT leads to more skillful ice-edge forecasts, resulting 222 in a statistically significant average reduction in IIEE of about 2.1×10^5 km². For example, 223 the assimilation of SIT allows the model to predict an ice-free "cave" inside the Beaufort 224 Sea in August 2015, while it is completely covered by sea ice in Short-CTRL (Figure S4). 225 Furthermore, the ice-edge forecasts in the Atlantic sector are also improved, especially in 226 June (about 0.8×10^5 km²) and July (more than 0.9×10^5 km²). 227

We further investigate the influences of SIC assimilation together with summer SIT assimilation on the ice-edge forecasts, considering the more important role of SIC observations on summer sea-ice forecasts as documented in the literature (e.g., Posey et al., 2015; Yang, Losa, Losch, Liu, et al., 2015). Forecasts from the Short-SICSIT experiments are also compared to the Short-SIC experiments, which performs SIC assimilation only.

In the Pacific sector, the additional SIT assimilation tends to yield more favorable ice-233 edge forecasts compared to Short-SIC (Figure 2). Similar to the IIEE differences between 234 Short-SIT and Short-CTRL, the improvement in May and June between Short-SICSIT and 235 Short-SIC is relatively small (only 3.0×10^3 km² on average). In July, IIEE becomes smaller 236 in 2015 but larger in 2016 relative to Short-SIC. In late summer, the analysis of summer 237 SIT observations significantly reduces the IIEE, bringing the ice-edge forecasts closer to the 238 observations. In the Atlantic Sector, Short-SICSIT does not yield overwhelmingly better 239 results than Short-SIC (Figure 2). The introduction of summer CryoSat-2 SIT observations 240 gives rise to larger IIEE in May and June, while the IIEE differences are smaller in later 241 months. Nevertheless, these mean IIEE differences are still in the range of $\pm 0.5 \times 10^5$ km², 242 which is much smaller than the changes between Short-SIT and Short-CTRL. In the Atlantic 243 sector Short-SIC is already close to the observations due to a reasonable CMST SIT estimate 244 north of the Svalbard and Novaya Zemlya, so further improvements are rather limited. 245

Note that, as shown by the solid lines representing the mean IIEE differences in Figure
2, the effect of the summer CryoSat-2 SIT assimilation is gradually more evident in most of
the months in the Short-SICSIT experiments. The improvements of Short-SICSIT relative
to Short-SIC become larger with increasing lead time, while the deteriorations of IIEE
become smaller, with the exception of the June 2016 forecasts.

251

3.2 Long-term sea-ice forecast

The Long-SIT experiments with summer CryoSat-2 SIT assimilation provides significant benefits for ice-edge and thickness forecasts, as shown in Figure 3. Reductions in IIEEs are found in May, June and August in 2015 and in 2016 for the first 30 days (Figure 3a, b). In July, the CryoSat-2 SIT assimilation is only effective for a few days due to the underestimated thickness uncertainties caused by melt ponds. The improvement in ice-edge forecast is also pronounced in September, for three weeks in 2015 and two weeks in 2016: As freezing begins, the IIEE difference gradually increases.

With respect to the CS2SMOS SIT product, the predicted Arctic-wide thickness is also 259 improved (Figure 3c, d), except for the forecast starting in July 2016, which degrades after 260 140 days. The summer CryoSat-2 SIT mitigates the SIT overestimation in the Beaufort Sea 261 in Long-CTRL that is initialized from the CMST state (not shown). The improvements 262 are most pronounced in October, when the freezing season begins, and decrease exponen-263 tially with time until the forecast system falls into the control of the internal variability. 264 This superior skill may even persist throughout the freezing season, similar to the previous 265 findings on an optimal winter SIT initialization improving the predictive skill of summer 266 sea ice (Blockley & Peterson, 2018). Consistent with the performance of the short-term 267 forecasts in section 3.1, the reduction of SIT RMSD in 2015 is more significant than that in 268 2016, because relatively small SIT difference between summer CryoSat-2 observations and 269 the CMST estimate is observed in 2016. 270

We also examine the performance of the long-term SIT forecasts at the BGEP sites 271 (Figure S6). In general, significant improvements in the SIT forecasts are found in Long-SIT 272 initialized in July, August and September of 2015. The differences between Long-SIT and 273 274 Long-CTRL in 2016 are limited, not exceeding 30 cm most of the time. The forecasts tend to overestimate SIT in the early freezing season in the Beaufort Sea. To check if the reason 275 is within the biases of long-term atmospheric forecasts, we performed additional forecast 276 experiments in 2015 (not shown) with the same configuration as Long-CTRL, except that 277 the CFSv2 atmospheric forecast is replaced by the ERA-5 reanalysis for the atmospheric 278



Figure 2. Box plot of the IIEE difference $(10^5 km^2)$ between Short-SIT and Short-CTRL (left), together with that between Short-SICSIT and Short-SIC (right) in the 7-day sea-ice forecasts. The IIEE in the box plot is calculated after 7 days of assimilation when the summer CryoSat-2 SIT is fully effective. Blue, red, green, purple and orange boxes indicate different summer months. Colored boxes indicate IIEE difference between the lower and upper quartiles. Colored outliers denote values more than 1.5 interquartile range from the top or bottom of the colored box. The outer edges of the black lines denote the minimum and maximum values that are not outliers. Solid-colored lines show the mean IIEE difference at each lead time. A positive value indicates an increase in IIEE, when SIT is assimilated, while a negative value indicates a decrease in the IIEE. Markers at the bottom of each panel indicate increases (cross) and decreases (circle) in IIEE that pass the Student's T-test at the 95% confidence level. Note that negative values indicate better forecast skills.

forcing. The ERA-5 driven simulations show a similar overestimation of SIT in the Beaufort
Sea. The anticyclonic wind in the Beaufort Gyre pushes excessively thick ice from the multiyear ice region north of the CAA into the Beaufort Sea as in Long-CTRL. This suggests
that the overestimation is not mainly due to biases in the atmospheric forcing but imperfect
model parameterizations and initial ice-ocean conditions.



Figure 3. The difference of the IIEE $(10^5 km^2)$ in 2015 (a) and in 2016 (b), and the difference of the RMSD of the SIT (m) in 2015 (c) and in 2016 (d) between the Long-SIT and Long-CTRL forecasts initialized from May to September. The RMSD of the SIT is computed with respect to the CS2SMOS product available from October to April, hence the staggered time series in (c) and (d). Note that negative values indicate better forecast skill.

284 4 Summary

This study examines the impact of summer CryoSat-2 SIT assimilation on short- and 285 long-term sea-ice forecasts in 2015 and in 2016. The ice-edge forecasts with summer CryoSat-286 2 SIT assimilation are dramatically improved when compared to the experiments without 287 any data assimilation. When the summer CryoSat-2 SIT data are assimilated together with 288 SIC data, the effects on the ice-edge forecast skill are rather dependent on the time when the 289 forecast is initialized and are spatially highly variable. In the Pacific sector, the combined 290 assimilation of summer SIT and SIC observations leads to more realistic summer ice-edge 291 forecasts with a one-week lead time. 292

The long-term sea-ice forecasts show significant reductions in both IIEE and RMSD of the SIT, except for those initialized in July, when the summer CryoSat-2 SIT has large uncertainties. The improvement in ice-edge forecasts can last up to about 30 days, while for the SIT forecasts the benefits can last for more than 3 months. This result demonstrates that, although the atmospheric forecasts used to drive the model can evolve freely after about one month, the SIT initialization in summer remains a primary factor in predicting long-term SIT variations.

However, limitations of the summer CryoSat-2 SIT data product still remain. The deep learning algorithm used has a certain degree of uncertainty in classifying ice leads and melt ponds, especially when the melt-pond fraction is large. The underestimation in the summer CryoSat-2 SIT from July to September in the coastal regions north of the CAA and Greenland requires further work on the sea-ice freeboard and thickness retrieval algorithm or exploration of new correction schemes to improve their reliability and accuracy. Further more, it is still an open question how this product should be used for real-time Arctic sea-ice
 forecasting, since its uncertainty currently does not account for all the algorithm errors, and
 possible representation errors (Janjić et al., 2018) should be considered accurately.

³⁰⁹ 5 Open Research

The ensemble mean Arctic sea-ice thickness (SIT) and sea-ice concentration (SIC) fore-310 cast data used in the study can be downloaded at Song et al. (2024). The file size of the 311 forecast results with all ensemble members exceeds 50GB and can be made available upon re-312 quest through contact. The CMST SIT estimate is available at Mu et al. (2018a). The sum-313 mer CryoSat-2 SIT observations can be downloaded from Landy and Dawson (2022). The 314 SSMI/SSMIS SIC data is avaliable from Kern et al. (2024). The UKMO atmospheric ensem-315 ble forecasts are avaliable in the THORPEX Interactive Grand Global Ensemble (TIGGE; 316 Bougeault et al., 2010) archive (https://apps.ecmwf.int/datasets/data/tigge). The 317 hourly ERA5 reanalysis is available at Hersbach et al. (2023). The CFSv2 atmospheric fore-318 casts are avaliable at https://www.ncei.noaa.gov/products/weather-climate-models/ 319 climate-forecast-system. The PIOMAS (J. Zhang & Rothrock, 2003) data is provided 320 at https://psc.apl.uw.edu/data. The NOAA/NSIDC SIC CDR data is available at 321 Meier et al. (2021). The CS2SMOS data is available at https://www.meereisportal.de. 322 Mooring observations from BGEP are downloaded from https://www2.whoi.edu/site/ 323 beaufortgyre. 324

325 Acknowledgments

This study is supported by the National Key R&D Program of China under Grant 2019YFA0607000, the National Natural Science Foundation of China (42176235) and the Laoshan Laboratory (LSKJ202202300). Contribution of SNL was supported by the Federal Ministry of Education and Research of Germany in the framework of the Seamless Sea Ice Prediction project (SSIP, Grant 01LN1701A) and partly made in the framework of the state assignment of SIO RAS (theme FMWE-2024-0028).

332 References

342

343

344

- Blanchard-Wrigglesworth, E., Bitz, C. M., & Holland, M. M. (2011, 09). Influence of initial conditions and climate forcing on predicting arctic sea ice. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 38, L18503. doi: 10.1029/2011GL048807
- Blockley, E. W., & Peterson, K. A. (2018). Improving met office seasonal predictions of arctic sea ice using assimilation of cryosat-2 thickness. *The Cryosphere*, 12(11), 3419-3438. doi: 10.5194/tc-12-3419-2018
- Bougeault, P., Toth, Z., Bishop, C., Brown, B., Burridge, D., Chen, D. H., ... Worley, S. (2010). The thorpex interactive grand global ensemble. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, 91(8), 1059-1072. doi: 10.1175/2010BAMS2853.1
 - Bowler, N. E., Arribas, A., Mylne, K. R., Robertson, K. B., & Beare, S. E. (2008). The mogreps short-range ensemble prediction system. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, 134(632), 703–722. doi: 10.1002/qj.234
- Bushuk, M., Msadek, R., Winton, M., Vecchi, G. A., Gudgel, R., Rosati, A., & Yang, X.
 (2017). Skillful regional prediction of arctic sea ice on seasonal timescales. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 44(10), 4953-4964. doi: 10.1002/2017GL073155
- Bushuk, M., Winton, M., Bonan, D. B., Blanchard-Wrigglesworth, E., & Delworth, T. L.
 (2020). A mechanism for the arctic sea ice spring predictability barrier. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 47(13), e2020GL088335. doi: 10.1029/2020GL088335
- Bushuk, M., Zhang, Y., Winton, M., Hurlin, B., Delworth, T., Lu, F., ... Zeng, F. (2022, 07). Mechanisms of regional arctic sea ice predictability in two dynamical seasonal forecast systems. *Journal of Climate*, 35, 4207-4231. doi: 10.1175/JCLI-D-21-0544.1

Comiso, J. C., Parkinson, C. L., Gersten, R., & Stock, L. (2008). Accelerated decline in 354 the arctic sea ice cover. Geophysical Research Letters, 35(1), L01703. doi: 10.1029/ 355 2007GL031972 356 Dawson, G., Landy, J., Tsamados, D. M., Komarov, A. S., Howell, S., Heorton, H., & 357 Krumpen, T. (2022, 01). A 10-year record of arctic summer sea ice freeboard from 358 cryosat-2. Remote Sensing of Environment, 268, 112744. doi: 10.1016/j.rse.2021 359 .112744 360 Day, J. J., Hawkins, E., & Tietsche, S. (2014). Will arctic sea ice thickness initialization 361 improve seasonal forecast skill? Geophysical Research Letters, 41, 7566-7575. doi: 362 10.1002/2014GL061694 363 Day, J. J., Tietsche, S., & Hawkins, E. (2014). Pan-arctic and regional sea ice predictability: 364 initialization month dependence. Journal of Climate, 27(12), 4371-4390. doi: 10.1175/ 365 JCLI-D-13-00614.1 366 Dirkson, A., Merryfield, W. J., & Monahan, A. H. (2017). Impacts of sea ice thickness 367 initialization on seasonal arctic sea ice predictions. Journal of Climate, 30, 1001-1017. 368 doi: 10.1175/JCLI-D-16-0437.1 369 Drinkwater, M. R. (1991). K $_{\mu}$ band airborne radar altimeter observations of marginal sea 370 ice during the 1984 marginal ice zone experiment. Journal of Geophysical Research: 371 Oceans, 96(C3), 4555-4572. doi: 10.1029/90JC01954 372 Feng, J., Zhang, Y., Cheng, Q., & Tsou, J. Y. (2022). Pan-arctic melt pond fraction trend, 373 variability, and contribution to sea ice changes. Global and Planetary Change, 217, 374 103932. doi: 10.1016/j.gloplacha.2022.103932 375 Goessling, H. F., Tietsche, S., Day, J. J., Hawkins, E., & Jung, T. (2016). Predictability 376 of the arctic sea-ice edge. Geophysical Research Letters, 43, 1642–1650. doi: 10.1002/ 377 2015GL067232 378 Guemas, V., Blanchard-Wrigglesworth, E., Chevallier, M., Day, J. J., Déqué, M., Doblas-379 Reyes, F. J., ... Tietsche, S. (2016). A review on arctic sea ice predictability and 380 prediction on seasonal-to-decadal timescales. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteo-381 rological Society, 142, 546-561. doi: 10.1002/gj.2401 382 Hebert, D. A., Allard, R. A., Metzger, E. J., Posey, P. G., Preller, R. H., Wallcraft, A. J., 383 ... Smedstad, O. M. (2015, 11). Short-term sea ice forecasting: An assessment of ice 384 concentration and ice drift forecasts using the u.s. navy's arctic cap nowcast/forecast 385 system. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 120, 8327-8345. doi: 10.1002/ 386 2015JC011283 387 Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Biavati, G., Horányi, A., Muñoz Sabater, J., ... Thé-388 paut, J.-N. (2023). Era5 hourly data on single levels from 1940 to present [dataset]. 389 Copernicus Climate Change Service (C3S) Climate Data Store (CDS). Retrieved 390 from https://cds.climate.copernicus.eu/cdsapp#!/dataset/reanalysis-era5 391 -single-levels?tab=overview doi: 10.24381/cds.adbb2d47 392 Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Hirahara, S., Horányi, A., Muñoz-Sabater, J., ... 393 Thépaut, J.-N. (2020). The era5 global reanalysis. Quarterly Journal of the Royal 394 Meteorological Society, 1999–2049. doi: 10.1002/qj.3803 395 Hibler III, W. D. (1979). A dynamic thermodynamic sea ice model. Journal of Physical 396 *Oceanography*, 9, 815-846. doi: 10.1175/1520-0485(1979)009<0815:ADTSIM>2.0.CO; 397 2 398 Janjić, T., Bormann, N., Bocquet, M., Carton, J. A., Cohn, S. E., Dance, S. L., ... Weston, 399 P. (2018). On the representation error in data assimilation. Quarterly Journal of the 400 Royal Meteorological Society, 144(713), 1257-1278. doi: 10.1002/qj.3130 401 Jung, T., Gordon, N. D., Bauer, P., Bromwich, D. H., Chevallier, M., Day, J. J., ... Yang, 402 Q. (2016). Advancing polar prediction capabilities on daily to seasonal time scales. 403 Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 97, 160113112747009. doi: 10.1175/ 404 BAMS-D-14-00246.1 405 Kaleschke, L., Lüpkes, C., Vilna, T., Haarpaintner, J., Borchert, A., Hartmann, J., & 406 Heygster, G. (2001). Ssm/i sea ice remote sensing for mesoscale ocean-atmosphere 407 interaction analysis. Canadian Journal of Remote Sensing, 27, 526-537. doi: 10.1080/ 408

409	07038992.2001.10854892
410	Kern S. Kaleschke I. Girard-Ardhuin F. Spreen G. & Beitsch A. (2024) Global daily
410	aridded 5-day median-filtered aan-filled asi algorithm ssmi-ssmis seg ice concentration
412	data [dataset]. Integrated Climate Date Center, Retrieved from https://www.cen.uni
413	-hamburg.de/en/icdc/data/cryosphere/seaiceconcentration-asi-ssmi.html
414	Kern S. Kaleschke I. & Spreen G. (2010). Climatology of the nordic (irminger greenland
414	harents kara and white/pechora) seas ice cover based on 85 ghz satellite microwave
415	radiometry: 1992–2008 Tellus A 62 411-434 doi: 10.3402/tellusa.v62i4.15709
417	Kwok B & Bothrock D A (2009) Decline in arctic sea ice thickness from submarine and
417	icesat records: 1958-2008 Geophysical Research Letters 36 L15501 doi: 10.1029/
410	2009GL039035
419	Landrum L & Holland M M (2020–12) Extremes become routine in an emerging new
420	arctic Nature Climate Change 10 1-8 doi: 10.1038/s41558-020-0892-z
421	Londy I C & Dawson C I (2022) Vear round aretic sea ise thickness from cruceat
422	2 hasaling d level th observations 2010 2020 (version 1.0) [dataset] NERCEDS IK
423	Polar Data Centre Betrieved from https://data bas ac.uk/full=record php?id=
424	CR/NEPC/RAS/DDC/01613 doi: 10.5285/d8c66670.57ad 44fc.8fof.042a46734och
425	Landy I C Dawron C I Tanmadoa M Buchult M Stroom I C Howell S F I
426	Landy, J. C., Dawson, G. J., Isamados, M., Dusnuk, M., Stroeve, J. C., Howen, S. E. L.,
427	Aksenov, F. (2022). A year-round satemite sea-ice thickness record from cryosat-2.
428	Nature, 009, 1-0. doi: 10.1056/841580-022-05058-5
429	Laxon, S. W., Glies, K. A., Ridout, A. L., Wingham, D. J., Willatt, R., Cullen, R.,
430	Davidson, M. (2013). Cryosat-2 estimates of arctic sea ice thickness and volume.
431	Geophysical Research Letters, $40(4)$, $732-737$. doi: 10.1002/gri.50193
432	Lee, S., Kim, HC., & Im, J. (2018). Arctic lead detection using a wavelorm mixture $10(5)$ 1665 1670 data 10 5104/
433	algorithm from cryosat-2 data. The $Cryosphere, 12(5), 1005-1079.$ doi: 10.5194/
434	
435	Lemieux, JF., Beaudoin, C., Dupont, F., Roy, F., Smith, G. C., Shlyaeva, A., Ferry, N.
436	(2015, 03). The regional ice prediction system (rips): Verification of forecast sea ice
437	concentration. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 142, 632-643.
438	$\frac{d01: 10.1002}{q}.2520$
439	Losch, M., Menemeniis, D., Campin, JM., Heimbach, P., & Hill, C. (2010). On the
440	formulation of sea-ice models, part 1: Effects of different solver implementations and $M_{\rm eff} = 20(1)$ 120 144 dei: 10.1016/j second 2000 12
441	parameterizations. Ocean Modelling, $33(1)$, $129-144$. doi: 10.1010/j.ocemod.2009.12
442	
443	Marshall, J., Adcroit, A., Hill, C., Perelman, L., & Heisey, C. (1997). A finite-volume,
444	incompressible navier stokes model for studies of the ocean on parallel computers.
445	Journal of Geophysical Research, 102 , $5753-5760$. doi: $10.1029/96JC02775$
446	Maykut, G. A., Grenfell, T. C., & Weeks, W. (1992). On estimating spatial and temporal
447	variations in the properties of ice in the polar oceans. Journal of Marine Systems, 3,
448	41-72. doi: 10.1016/0924-7963(92)90030-C
449	Meier, W. N., Fetterer, F., Windnagel, A. K., & Stewart., J. S. (2021). Noaa/nsidc cli-
450	mate data record of passive microwave sea ice concentration, version 4 [dataset]. Na-
451	tional Snow and Ice Data Center. Retrieved from https://nsidc.org/data/G02202/
452	versions/4 doi: 10.7265/etmz-2t65
453	Mignac, D., Martin, M., Fiedler, E., Blockley, E., & Fournier, N. (2022, 02). Improving
454	the met office's forecast ocean assimilation model (foam) with the assimilation of
455	satellite-derived sea-ice thickness data from cryosat-2 and smos in the arctic. Quarterly
456	Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 148, 1-24. doi: 10.1002/qj.4252
457	Min, C., Yang, Q., Luo, H., Chen, D., Krumpen, T., Mamnun, N., Nerger, L. (2023).
458	Improving arctic sea-ice thickness estimates with the assimilation of cryosat-2 summer
459	observations. Ocean-Land-Atmosphere Research, 2, 0025. doi: 10.34133/olar.0025
460	Mu, L., Liang, X., Yang, Q., Liu, J., & Zheng, F. (2019). Arctic ice ocean prediction system:
461	evaluating sea ice forecasts during xuelong's first trans-arctic passage in summer 2017.
462	Journal of Glaciology, 1-9. doi: 10.1017/jog.2019.55
463	Mu, L., Losch, M., Yang, Q., Ricker, R., Losa, S. N., & Nerger, L. (2018a). The arc-

464 465	tic combined model and satellite sea ice thickness (cmst) dataset [dataset]. PAN-GAEA. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1594/PANGAEA.891475 doi: 10.1594/
466	PANGAEA.891470
467	Mu, L., Losch, M., Yang, Q., Ricker, R., Losa, S. N., & Nerger, L. (2018b). Arctic-
468	wide sea ice thickness estimates from combining satellite remote sensing data and a
469	dynamic ice-ocean model with data assimilation during the cryosat-2 period. Journal
470	of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 123 , 1763 - 1780 . doi: $10.1029/2018$ JC014310
471	Mu, L., Nerger, L., Stremng, J., Iang, Q., Niraula, B., Zampieri, L., Goessing,
472	H. F. (2022). Sea-ice forecasts with an upgraded awi coupled prediction sys-
473 474	tem. Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, $14(12)$, $e2022MS003176$. doi: $10.1029/2022MS003176$
475	Mu, L., Yang, Q., Losch, M., Losa, S. N., Ricker, R., Nerger, L., & Liang, X. (2017). Im-
476	proving sea ice thickness estimates by assimilating cryosat-2 and smos sea ice thickness
477	data simultaneously: Cryosat-2 and smos sea ice thickness data assimilation. $Quarterly$
478	Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 144 , 529-538. doi: $10.1002/qj.3225$
479	Nerger, L., Hibler III, W. D., & SCHRÖTER, J. (2005). Pdaf-the parallel data assimilation
480	framework: Experiences with kalman filtering. World Scientific, 63–83. doi: $10.1142/$
481	9789812701831_0006
482	Nerger, L., Janjić, T., Schröter, J., & Hiller, W. (2012). A regulated localization scheme for
483	ensemble-based kalman filters. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society,
484	138(664), 802-812. doi: 10.1002/qj.945
485	Nguyen, A. T., Menemenlis, D., & Kwok, R. (2011). Arctic ice-ocean simulation with opti-
486	mized model parameters: Approach and assessment. Journal of Geophysical Research:
487	Oceans, 116, C04025. doi: 10.1029/2010JC006573
488	Parkinson, C. L., & Washington, W. M. (1979). A large-scale numerical model of sea ice.
489	Journal of Geophysical Research, 84, 311-337. doi: 10.1029/JC084iC01p00311
490	Posey, P., Metzger, E., Wallcraft, A., Hebert, D., Allard, R., Smedstad, O., Helfrich,
491	S. (2015, 08). Improving arctic sea ice edge forecasts by assimilating high horizontal
492	resolution sea ice concentration data into the us navy's ice forecast systems. The
493	Cryosphere, 9, 1735-1745. doi: $10.5194/tc-9-1735-2015$
494	Ricker, R., Hendricks, S., Helm, V., Skourup, H., & Davidson, M. (2014). Sensitivity of
495	cryosat-2 arctic sea-ice freeboard and thickness on radar-waveform interpretation. The
496	Cryosphere, 8, 1607-1622. doi: $10.5194/tc-8-1607-2014$
497	Ricker, R., Hendricks, S., Kaleschke, L., Tian-Kunze, X., King, J., & Haas, C. (2017). A
498	weekly arctic sea-ice thickness data record from merged cryosat-2 and smos satellite
499	data. The Cryosphere, $11(4)$, 1607–1623. doi: $10.5194/tc-11-1607-2017$
500	Rothrock, D. A., Yu, Y., & Maykut, G. A. (1999). Thinning of the arctic sea-ice cover.
501	Geophysical Research Letters, 26, 3469-3472. doi: 10.1029/1999GL010863
502	Saha, S., Moorthi, S., Wu, X., Wang, J., Nadiga, S., Tripp, P., Becker, E. (2014). The
503	ncep climate forecast system version 2. Journal of Climate, 27, 2185–2208. doi:
504	10.1175/JCLI-D-12-00823.1
505	Schlitzer, R. (2023). Ocean data view [software]. Retrieved from https://odv.awi.de
506	Semtner, A. J. (1976). A model for thermodynamic growth of sea ice in numeri-
507	cal investigations if climate. Journal of Physical Oceanography, 6, 379-389. doi:
508	10.1175/1520-0485(1976)006 < 0379: AMFTTG > 2.0. CO; 2
509	Shu, Q., Qiao, F., Liu, J., Song, Z., Chen, Z., Zhao, J., Song, Y. (2021). Arctic sea ice
510	concentration and thickness data assimilation in the fio-esm climate forecast system.
511	Acta Oceanologica Sinica, 40, 65-75. doi: 10.1007/s13131-021-1768-4
512	Song, R., Mu, L., Kauker, F., Loza, S., & Chen, X. (2024). Forecast data for the paper:
513	"assimilating summer sea-ice thickness observations improves arctic sea-ice forecast"
514	[dataset]. Zenodo. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10589315 doi:
515	10.5281/zenodo.10589315
516	Spreen, G., Kaleschke, L., & Heygster, G. (2008). Sea ice remote sensing using amsr-e 89-ghz
517	channels. Journal of Geophysical Research, 113, C02S03. doi: 10.1029/2005JC003384
518	Stroeve, J. C., Serreze, M. C., Holland, M. M., Kay, J. E., Malanik, J., & Barrett, A. P.

519	(2012, 02). The arctic's rapidly shrinking sea ice cover: A research synthesis. <i>Climatic</i>
520	Change, 110, 1005-1027. doi: 10.1007/s10584-011-0101-1
521	Tilling, R., Ridout, A., & Shepherd, A. (2019). Assessing the impact of lead and floe
522	sampling on arctic sea ice thickness estimates from envisat and cryosat-2. Journal of
523	Geophysical Research: Oceans, 124, 7473–7485. doi: 10.1029/2019JC015232
524	Xie, J., Counillon, F., Bertino, L., Tian-Kunze, X., & Kaleschke, L. (2016). Benefits of
525	assimilating thin sea ice thickness from smos into the topaz system. The Cryosphere,
526	10, 2745-2761. doi: $10.5194/tc-10-2745-2016$
527	Xiong, C., & Ren, Y. (2023). Arctic sea ice melt pond fraction in 2000–2021 derived by
528	dynamic pixel spectral unmixing of modis images. ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry
529	and Remote Sensing, 197, 181-198. doi: 10.1016/j.isprsjprs.2023.01.023
530	Yang, Q., Losa, S. N., Losch, M., Jung, T., & Nerger, L. (2015). The role of atmospheric
531	uncertainty in arctic summer sea ice data assimilation and prediction. Quarterly
532	Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 141 , 2314 - 2323 . doi: $10.1002/qj.2523$
533	Yang, Q., Losa, S. N., Losch, M., Liu, J., Zhang, Z., Nerger, L., & Yang, H. (2015).
534	Assimilating summer sea-ice concentration into a coupled ice–ocean model using a
535	lseik filter. Annals of Glaciology, 56(69), 38–44. doi: 10.3189/2015AoG69A740
536	Yang, Q., Losch, M., Losa, S. N., Jung, T., Nerger, L., & Lavergne, T. (2016). Brief
537	communication: The challenge and benefit of using sea ice concentration satellite
538	data products with uncertainty estimates in summer sea ice data assimilation. The
539	Cryosphere, 10, 761-774. doi: 10.5194/tc-10-761-2016
540	Zhang, J., & Hibler III, W. D. (1997). On an efficient numerical method for modeling sea ice
541	dynamics. Journal of Geophysical Research, 102, 8691–8702. doi: 10.1029/96JC03744
542	Zhang, J., & Rothrock, D. A. (2003). Modeling global sea ice with a thickness and enthalpy
543	distribution model in generalized curvilinear coordinates. Monthly Weather Review,
544	131, 845–861. doi: $10.1175/1520-0493(2003)131<0845$:MGSIWA>2.0.CO;2
545	Zhang, YF., Bushuk, M., Winton, M., Hurlin, B., Gregory, W., Landy, J., & Jia, L.
546	(2023). Improvements in september arctic sea ice predictions via assimilation of sum-
547	mer cryosat-2 sea ice thickness observations. Geophysical Research Letters, $50(24)$,

e2023GL105672. doi: 10.1029/2023GL105672

548

Assimilating summer sea-ice thickness observations improves Arctic sea-ice forecast

Ruizhe Song^{1,2,3,4}, Longjiang Mu², Svetlana N. Loza^{3,5}, Frank Kauker³, Xianyao Chen^{1,2}

5	¹ Frontier Science Center for Deep Ocean Multispheres and Earth System and Physical Oceanography
6	Laboratory, Ocean University of China, Qingdao, China
7	² Laoshan Laboratory, Qingdao, China
8	³ Alfred Wegener Institute, Helmholtz Centre for Polar and Marine Research, Bremerhaven, Germany
9	⁴ Academy of the Future Ocean, Ocean University of China, Qingdao, China
10	⁵ Shirshov Institute of Oceanology, Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia

Key Points:

1

2

3 4

11

12	•	Assimilating summer CryoSat-2 sea-ice thickness (SIT) observations makes more
13		skillful Arctic ice-edge forecasts on multiple time scales.
14	•	The long-term SIT forecasts improve with the assimilation of summer CryoSat-
15		2 SIT observations.
16	•	Further refinement is needed for summer CryoSat-2 SIT observations.

Corresponding author: Longjiang Mu, ljmu@qnlm.ac

17 Abstract

Proper Arctic sea-ice forecasting for the melt season is still a major challenge because of 18 the recent lack of reliable pan-Arctic summer sea-ice thickness (SIT) data. A new summer 19 CryoSat-2 SIT observation data set based on an artificial intelligence algorithm may alleviate 20 this situation. We assess the impact of this new data set on the initialization of sea-ice 21 forecasts in the melt seasons of 2015 and 2016 in a coupled sea ice-ocean model with data 22 assimilation. We find that the assimilation of the summer CryoSat-2 SIT observations 23 can reduce the summer ice-edge forecast error. Further, adding SIT observations to an 24 established forecast system with sea-ice concentration assimilation leads to more realistic 25 short-term summer ice-edge forecasts in the Arctic Pacific sector. The long-term Arctic-wide 26 SIT prediction is also improved. In spite of remaining uncertainties, summer CryoSat-2 SIT 27 observations have the potential to improve Arctic sea-ice forecast on multiple time scales. 28

²⁹ Plain Language Summary

Arctic sea ice is rapidly declining due to global warming, especially in summer. Accu-30 rate sea-ice forecasting is important to understand the potential influence of these changes 31 and devise effective responses. The performance of sea-ice forecasts highly depends on the 32 accuracy of the initial sea-ice states. So refining the initial conditions of sea-ice forecasts 33 with satellite observations is a common way to reduce forecast errors. However, obtain-34 ing reliable summer pan-Arctic satellite sea-ice thickness (SIT) data is challenging due to 35 complex ice-surface conditions in summer. A new artificial-intelligence-based summer SIT 36 satellite data product may mitigate this situation. We integrate this data set into a sea-ice 37 forecast system to evaluate its impact on forecast accuracy. We find that the new sum-38 mer satellite SIT data can reduce short-term ice-edge location forecast errors and benefit 39 long-term SIT forecasts. 40

41 **1 Introduction**

Arctic sea ice is declining at unprecedented speed (Rothrock et al., 1999; Comiso et al.,
2008; Kwok & Rothrock, 2009; Stroeve et al., 2012), which would pose challenges to climatic
and ecological stakeholders (Landrum & Holland, 2020). The Arctic Passage, opening up
with the gradually melting summer sea ice, calls for accurate Arctic sea-ice prediction from
daily to seasonal scales (Jung et al., 2016).

Accurate initialization of sea-ice state is vital for predicting Arctic sea ice (e.g., BlanchardWrigglesworth et al., 2011; Guemas et al., 2016; Xie et al., 2016; Dirkson et al., 2017; Bushuk
et al., 2022). The assimilation of sea-ice concentration (SIC) has improved the short-term
sea-ice forecasts greatly as documented in the literature, and is now widely used at forecasting centers (e.g., Hebert et al., 2015; Lemieux et al., 2015). Sea-ice thickness (SIT) persists
longer, therefore assimilation of SIT raises long-term sea-ice forecast skills even stronger
(Day, Hawkins, & Tietsche, 2014; Shu et al., 2021; Mu et al., 2022).

However, the potential impacts of summer SIT observations on sea-ice forecasts are 54 not examined comprehensively yet due to a lack of data. An effective retrieval method for 55 the remotely sensed SIT from May to September was missing (Laxon et al., 2013; Ricker et 56 al., 2014). The complex summer ice-surface conditions restrict the application of classical 57 algorithms designed for winter conditions. For instance, melt ponds which occupy a huge 58 fraction of the sea-ice surface in the melt seasons (Maykut et al., 1992) complicate the clas-59 sification algorithms (Lee et al., 2018; Tilling et al., 2019) and introduce large uncertainties 60 due to increased moisture in the snow (Drinkwater, 1991). On the other hand, in-situ Arctic 61 SIT observations are rather scarce and localized. They can hardly be used in basin-scale 62 assimilation systems. 63

In a recent study, Dawson et al. (2022) presented the first estimate of pan-Arctic summer 64 sea-ice freeboard from radar altimeter by using a 1D convolutional neural network (CNN) 65 to distinguish ice leads from melt ponds. Landy et al. (2022) converted summer CryoSat-2 66 radar freeboard to SIT and applied further corrections. The spring predictability barrier of 67 the Arctic sea ice (e.g., Day, Tietsche, & Hawkins, 2014; Bushuk et al., 2017) suggests that 68 sea-ice forecast should benefit from the initialization with SIT in the melt season (Bushuk et 69 al., 2020). Therefore, it presents an opportunity to explore the extent to which the summer 70 SIT observation could improve the real-time forecast skill. Min et al. (2023) demonstrated 71 that assimilation of summer SIT corrects the overestimation in the Combined Model and 72 Satellite Thickness (CMST; Mu et al., 2018b) product. Y.-F. Zhang et al. (2023) found 73 that the assimilation of May to August CryoSat-2 SIT anomalies improves local SIC and 74 sea-ice extent (SIE) forecasts in September. However, the influence of assimilating summer 75 CryoSat-2 SIT observations on short-term sea-ice forecast in summer and on long-term 76 forecast extending beyond September still needs to be investigated further. 77

In this study, we focus on the impact of summer SIT observations on the daily and seasonal forecast skills of a sea-ice prediction modelling system. In particular, we perform a series of short- and long-term ensemble sea-ice forecasts where the sea ice-ocean initial state is constrained by the summer CryoSat-2 SIT or where these data are not used. The benefits and challenges of using these new SIT data are evaluated and critically discussed using independent sea-ice data.

⁸⁴ 2 Data and Methods

85

94

2.1 The coupled sea ice-ocean model

We use a regional coupled sea ice-ocean model driven by atmospheric forecasts to con-86 figure the sea ice-ocean forecast system. The model is based on the Massachusetts Institute 87 of Technology general circulation model (MITgcm; Marshall et al., 1997) and covers the 88 pan-Arctic region with a horizontal resolution of around 18 km as in Losch et al. (2010). 89 The sea-ice model uses a viscous-plastic rheology (Hibler III, 1979; J. Zhang & Hibler III, 90 1997) and a so called zero-layer thermodynamic formulation without heat capacity (Semtner, 91 1976; Parkinson & Washington, 1979). The readers are referred to Losch et al. (2010) and 92 Nguyen et al. (2011) for more details on the model. 93

2.2 Data assimilation and forecast

The summer data assimilation system is initialized from restart files generated by CMST 95 (Mu et al., 2018b) simulation with 11 ensemble members. CMST combines model physics 96 with information from remote-sensed SIT and SIC observations. It successfully reproduces 97 the spatio-temporal sea-ice variations (Mu et al., 2018b). The summer data assimilation 98 and forecast strategy follows Mu et al. (2017) and Mu et al. (2019). A Local Error Subspace qq Transform Kalman Filter (Nerger et al., 2012) coded within the Parallel Data Assimilation 100 Framework (Nerger et al., 2005) is used to assimilate the summer SIT and SIC observations 101 separately or simultaneously. Then, the summer ensemble forecasts start from the new 102 individual analyses and the model is integrated forced by the atmospheric forecasts (cf. 103 Section 2.3). 104

The CryoSat-2 summer SIT data set is derived from local variations in the CryoSat-2 105 radar echo response using a deep learning method (Dawson et al., 2022; Landy et al., 2022). 106 This is the first estimate of pan-Arctic summer SIT from satellite observations. However, 107 the accuracy of the CryoSat-2 summer SIT still needs to be further improved after the 108 correction introduced by Landy et al. (2022), for example over the regions north of the 109 Greenland and the Canadian Arctic Archipelago (CAA). The summer SIT is assimilated 110 into the system on a daily basis using the observations linearly interpolated between two 111 biweekly records. Considering the shortcomings of the summer SIT over thick ice regions, 112

practical experience suggests that the observation uncertainties should be set higher than
the original values over thick ice regions, while still using the provided errors over thin ice
regions (Supporting Information). The SIC data used in the assimilation are computed at
the French Research Institute for Exploitation of the Sea (IFREMER) based on the 85-GHz
SSM/I and SSM/IS channels (Kaleschke et al., 2001; Spreen et al., 2008; Kern et al., 2010).
The uncertainty of the SIC observation is set to a constant value of 0.25 following Yang,
Losa, Losch, Jung, and Nerger (2015) and Yang et al. (2016).

The short-term ensemble assimilation and forecast experiments are driven by the 174-120 121 hour atmospheric ensemble forecasts from the United Kingdom Met Office (UKMO) Ensemble Prediction System (EPS; Bowler et al., 2008). For the long-term prediction, the ensemble 122 members are driven by deterministic atmospheric forcing (single member). The hourly Eu-123 ropean Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts Reanalysis v5 (ERA-5; Hersbach et al., 124 2020) is used as the atmospheric forcing during the data assimilation, while the atmospheric 125 forecasts from the National Center for Environmental Prediction Climate Forecast System 126 Version 2 (CFSv2; Saha et al., 2014) are used for the 9-month long-term forecasts. 127

128 2.3 Experiment design

In order to investigate the potential impact of the CryoSat-2 summer SIT on sea-ice 129 forecasts, this study designs both short-term (7 days) and long-term (270 days) forecasts 130 (Table. 1). These experiments are conducted over different months. The short-term ex-131 periments in 2015, which cover the melt season, start from the CMST restart files on May 132 1, May 31, June 30, July 30, and August 29, respectively. Each forecast experiment lasts 133 for 30 days and on each day a 7-day sea-ice forecast is run using the atmospheric forcing 134 from the daily UKMO ensemble forecasts. No data assimilation is applied in the con-135 trol run of the short-term forecasts (Short-CTRL). The Short-SIT experiments assimilate 136 only the CryoSat-2 summer SIT data, and the Short-SIC experiments assimilate only the 137 SSMI/SSMIS SIC data, while both data sets are assimilated in the Short-SICSIT experi-138 ments. For the 2016 experiments, only the start dates are changed to match the available 139 restart files from CMST (Table. 1). 140

The long-term forecast experiments are designed to diagnose the persistence of the 141 assimilated CryoSat-2 summer SIT over the months from the melt season to the freezing 142 season. The Long-SIT experiments with SIT assimilation start each summer month from 143 CMST restart files and a daily data assimilation step iterating over 15 days is performed 144 to mitigate abrupt SIT changes. Over that period, ERA5 atmospheric reanalysis forcing is 145 used. Then, the 270-day sea-ice forecasts start from the sea-ice analysis restart files and are 146 forced by the CFSv2 operational atmospheric forecasts. No data assimilation is performed 147 in the Long-CTRL experiments. The forecast start dates are listed in Table 1. 148

¹⁴⁹ 2.4 Verification

Simulation output from the Pan-Arctic Ice-Ocean Modeling and Assimilation System
 (PIOMAS; J. Zhang & Rothrock, 2003) is employed for the comparison with the assimilation
 results. PIOMAS is constrained by SIC and sea surface temperature observations. Its
 modeled SIT has been validated to be comparable to in-situ observations and has been
 widely used in previous studies.

The integrated ice-edge error (IIEE; Goessling et al., 2016) is used to quantify the skill of the short-term ice-edge forecasts. It measures the discrepancy between the forecasted and observed SIE. The reference observation used in this study is the NOAA/NSIDC Climate Data Record (CDR) of Passive Microwave Sea Ice Concentration Version 4 (Meier et al., 2021).

To validate the skill of the long-term sea-ice forecast, we compute the IIEE and the RMSD of SIT against various other products and in-situ observations. The IIEE is com-

Experiment	Assimilated data	Forecast duration (days)	Atmospheric forcing during assimilation	Atmospheric forcing during forecast	Forecast start date
Short-CTRL	/	7	UKMO (11)	UKMO (11)	Daily fore- cast start- ing from 05/01/2015.
Short-SIT	CryoSat-2 SIT	7	UKMO (11)	UKMO (11)	$\begin{array}{c} 05/31/2015,\\ 06/30/2015,\\ 07/30/2015,\\ \end{array}$
Short-SIC	SSMI/SSMIS SIC	7	UKMO (11)	UKMO (11)	08/29/2015, 04/25/2016, 05/25/2016,
Short-SICSIT	SSMI/SSMIS SIC and CryoSat-2 SIT	7	UKMO (11)	UKMO (11)	$\begin{array}{c} 06/24/2016,\\ 07/24/2016,\\ 08/23/2016. \end{array}$
Long-CTRL	/	270	ERA5 (1)	CFSv2(1)	$ \begin{vmatrix} 05/16/2015, \\ 06/15/2015, \\ 07/15/2015, \\ 08/14/2015, \\ 09/13/2015. \end{vmatrix} $
Long-SIT	CryoSat-2 SIT	270	ERA5 (1)	CFSv2 (1)	05/10/2016, 06/09/2016, 07/09/2016, 08/08/2016, 09/07/2016.

Table 1. Summary of forecast experiments design. Short: short-term forecast. Long: long-termforecast.

puted using the NOAA/NSIDC SIC CDR data. The RMSDs of SIT are computed with respect to the CS2SMOS products (Ricker et al., 2017). The SIT observations derived from ULS moorings maintained by the Beaufort Gyre Exploration Program (BGEP) are used for the forecast evaluation. The three moorings BGEP-A, BGEP-B, and BGEP-D, which provide year-round sea-ice draft observations, are located at (75.0°N, 150.0°W), (78.0°N, 150.0°W) and (74.0°N, 140.0°W), respectively (Figure S1). The draft is converted to SIT by multiplying it by a constant factor of 1.1 as in Nguyen et al. (2011).

169 3 Result

3.1 Short-term ice-edge forecast

An overview of the SIT states of PIOMAS, CryoSat-2, and the short-term experiment 171 assimilation results in 2015 is shown in Figure 1 and in 2016 in Figure S2. In May and 172 June, CryoSat-2 has similar SIT over the compact ice regions but thinner (by more than 173 $(0.5 \,\mathrm{m})$ ice over the first-year ice regions compared to the PIOMAS SIT. This is more evident 174 in July, August, and September, while the CryoSat-2 SIT is biased low over the central 175 Arctic. Landy et al. (2022) pointed out that the roughness-induced electromagnetic range 176 bias on the heavily-deformed ice in the coast regions north of the CAA and Greenland are 177 responsible for these underestimates. In general, the SIT patterns of CryoSat-2 observations 178 are more similar to the Short-CTRL patterns, which are the extensions of CMST, than to 179 the PIOMAS patterns. Short-CTRL SIT patterns have thinner ice in the Beaufort Sea 180 than the PIOMAS patterns, capturing an expected SIT distribution. This is not surprising 181 since CMST is constructed by assimilating remote-sensed SIT during the freezing season 182 until April (Mu et al., 2018b), while PIOMAS does not assimilate any SIT (J. Zhang & 183 Rothrock, 2003). 184

The area-averaged SIT differences between Short-SIT and Short-CTRL in May to 185 September of 2015 are 0.10 m, -0.06 m, -0.37 m, -0.37 m and -0.39 m, respectively. Over-186 all, the area-averaged SIT differences are smallest in May and June, when the assimilation 187 of the summer CryoSat-2 observations reduces the SIT in the Amerasian Basin and increases 188 it in the Eurasian Basin. In the strong melt months of July, August and September, when 189 the uncertainties of the CryoSat-2 SIT are at their maximum, the underestimation of the 190 SIT over the multi-year ice regions, i.e., north of the CAA and Greenland, is remarkable. 191 The differences can easily exceed -1 m and even reach -1.5 m. SIT is also reduced in most of 192 the marginal ice zones, especially in the Beaufort Sea and the Chukchi Sea. CMST tends to 193 overestimate late summer SIT in the marginal seas due to unrealistic covariances between 194 SIC and SIT when abrupt increases in SIC are triggered by wind convergences (Mu et al., 195 2018b). The assimilation of CryoSat-2 SIT corrects this bias, resulting in a more reasonable 196 estimate of SIT in the marginal seas. 197

SIT assimilation has an important impact on SIC simulations through the physical 198 connection between thickness and concentration (Xie et al., 2016; Mignac et al., 2022). 199 Short-term forecast of ice edge, defined as the 15% SIC isoline, can be strongly influenced 200 by SIT assimilation. Figure 2 shows the reduction of IIEE in the Pacific sector and Atlantic 201 sector (regions shown in Figure S1). IIEE in each forecast experiment is given in Figure S3. 202 The observed SIC used as the reference for the IIEE calculation is the NOAA/NSIDC SIC 203 CDR. The difference in the ice-edge position between forecasts and observations in 2015 and 204 2016 is displayed in Figure S4 and Figure S5. 205

The impact of CryoSat-2 SIT assimilation on ice-edge forecasts varies with time and region. Compared to Short-CTRL, IIEE in Short-SIT is strongly reduced in most times and both sectors (Figure 2). In the Pacific sector, the ice-edge position in the forecasts is consistently overestimated in Short-CTRL. Assimilation of the summer SIT reduces the SIT of the forecasts near the ice edge, resulting in a better agreement between the ice-edge forecasts and the ice-edge observations from the satellite (Figure S4 and Figure S5).



Figure 1. SIT (m) in PIOMAS, CryoSat-2, Short-CTRL, Short-SIT, and the difference between Short-SIT and Short-CTRL 15 days after the start in May to September of 2015. Note that CryoSat-2 observations are two-week averages while the rest are daily SIT.

In May and June, only a slight improvement in IIEE is observed. However, in July, 212 especially in 2015, IIEE increases. This can be attributed to the fact that the melt-pond 213 fraction starts to increase in June and reaches its maximum in July (Feng et al., 2022). 214 In particular, the melt-pond fraction in the Beaufort Sea peaked in 2015 during the 2000-215 2021 observation period (Xiong & Ren, 2023). The presence of excessive melt-pond fraction 216 may lead to more misclassification of ice leads and melt ponds in the CryoSat-2 sea-ice 217 freeboard retrieval using the CNN model, which affects the SIT analysis in the Pacific 218 sector. Therefore, the underestimated SIT erroneously leads to a large ice-edge error in July 219 of the Short-SIT experiments. This warrants further refinement of the artificial intelligence 220 algorithm used for summer CryoSat-2 SIT retrieval. In late summer, the assimilation of 221 CryoSat-2 SIT observations in Short-SIT leads to more skillful ice-edge forecasts, resulting 222 in a statistically significant average reduction in IIEE of about 2.1×10^5 km². For example, 223 the assimilation of SIT allows the model to predict an ice-free "cave" inside the Beaufort 224 Sea in August 2015, while it is completely covered by sea ice in Short-CTRL (Figure S4). 225 Furthermore, the ice-edge forecasts in the Atlantic sector are also improved, especially in 226 June (about 0.8×10^5 km²) and July (more than 0.9×10^5 km²). 227

We further investigate the influences of SIC assimilation together with summer SIT assimilation on the ice-edge forecasts, considering the more important role of SIC observations on summer sea-ice forecasts as documented in the literature (e.g., Posey et al., 2015; Yang, Losa, Losch, Liu, et al., 2015). Forecasts from the Short-SICSIT experiments are also compared to the Short-SIC experiments, which performs SIC assimilation only.

In the Pacific sector, the additional SIT assimilation tends to yield more favorable ice-233 edge forecasts compared to Short-SIC (Figure 2). Similar to the IIEE differences between 234 Short-SIT and Short-CTRL, the improvement in May and June between Short-SICSIT and 235 Short-SIC is relatively small (only 3.0×10^3 km² on average). In July, IIEE becomes smaller 236 in 2015 but larger in 2016 relative to Short-SIC. In late summer, the analysis of summer 237 SIT observations significantly reduces the IIEE, bringing the ice-edge forecasts closer to the 238 observations. In the Atlantic Sector, Short-SICSIT does not yield overwhelmingly better 239 results than Short-SIC (Figure 2). The introduction of summer CryoSat-2 SIT observations 240 gives rise to larger IIEE in May and June, while the IIEE differences are smaller in later 241 months. Nevertheless, these mean IIEE differences are still in the range of $\pm 0.5 \times 10^5$ km², 242 which is much smaller than the changes between Short-SIT and Short-CTRL. In the Atlantic 243 sector Short-SIC is already close to the observations due to a reasonable CMST SIT estimate 244 north of the Svalbard and Novaya Zemlya, so further improvements are rather limited. 245

Note that, as shown by the solid lines representing the mean IIEE differences in Figure
2, the effect of the summer CryoSat-2 SIT assimilation is gradually more evident in most of
the months in the Short-SICSIT experiments. The improvements of Short-SICSIT relative
to Short-SIC become larger with increasing lead time, while the deteriorations of IIEE
become smaller, with the exception of the June 2016 forecasts.

251

3.2 Long-term sea-ice forecast

The Long-SIT experiments with summer CryoSat-2 SIT assimilation provides significant benefits for ice-edge and thickness forecasts, as shown in Figure 3. Reductions in IIEEs are found in May, June and August in 2015 and in 2016 for the first 30 days (Figure 3a, b). In July, the CryoSat-2 SIT assimilation is only effective for a few days due to the underestimated thickness uncertainties caused by melt ponds. The improvement in ice-edge forecast is also pronounced in September, for three weeks in 2015 and two weeks in 2016: As freezing begins, the IIEE difference gradually increases.

With respect to the CS2SMOS SIT product, the predicted Arctic-wide thickness is also 259 improved (Figure 3c, d), except for the forecast starting in July 2016, which degrades after 260 140 days. The summer CryoSat-2 SIT mitigates the SIT overestimation in the Beaufort Sea 261 in Long-CTRL that is initialized from the CMST state (not shown). The improvements 262 are most pronounced in October, when the freezing season begins, and decrease exponen-263 tially with time until the forecast system falls into the control of the internal variability. 264 This superior skill may even persist throughout the freezing season, similar to the previous 265 findings on an optimal winter SIT initialization improving the predictive skill of summer 266 sea ice (Blockley & Peterson, 2018). Consistent with the performance of the short-term 267 forecasts in section 3.1, the reduction of SIT RMSD in 2015 is more significant than that in 268 2016, because relatively small SIT difference between summer CryoSat-2 observations and 269 the CMST estimate is observed in 2016. 270

We also examine the performance of the long-term SIT forecasts at the BGEP sites 271 (Figure S6). In general, significant improvements in the SIT forecasts are found in Long-SIT 272 initialized in July, August and September of 2015. The differences between Long-SIT and 273 274 Long-CTRL in 2016 are limited, not exceeding 30 cm most of the time. The forecasts tend to overestimate SIT in the early freezing season in the Beaufort Sea. To check if the reason 275 is within the biases of long-term atmospheric forecasts, we performed additional forecast 276 experiments in 2015 (not shown) with the same configuration as Long-CTRL, except that 277 the CFSv2 atmospheric forecast is replaced by the ERA-5 reanalysis for the atmospheric 278



Figure 2. Box plot of the IIEE difference $(10^5 km^2)$ between Short-SIT and Short-CTRL (left), together with that between Short-SICSIT and Short-SIC (right) in the 7-day sea-ice forecasts. The IIEE in the box plot is calculated after 7 days of assimilation when the summer CryoSat-2 SIT is fully effective. Blue, red, green, purple and orange boxes indicate different summer months. Colored boxes indicate IIEE difference between the lower and upper quartiles. Colored outliers denote values more than 1.5 interquartile range from the top or bottom of the colored box. The outer edges of the black lines denote the minimum and maximum values that are not outliers. Solid-colored lines show the mean IIEE difference at each lead time. A positive value indicates an increase in IIEE, when SIT is assimilated, while a negative value indicates a decrease in the IIEE. Markers at the bottom of each panel indicate increases (cross) and decreases (circle) in IIEE that pass the Student's T-test at the 95% confidence level. Note that negative values indicate better forecast skills.

forcing. The ERA-5 driven simulations show a similar overestimation of SIT in the Beaufort
Sea. The anticyclonic wind in the Beaufort Gyre pushes excessively thick ice from the multiyear ice region north of the CAA into the Beaufort Sea as in Long-CTRL. This suggests
that the overestimation is not mainly due to biases in the atmospheric forcing but imperfect
model parameterizations and initial ice-ocean conditions.



Figure 3. The difference of the IIEE $(10^5 km^2)$ in 2015 (a) and in 2016 (b), and the difference of the RMSD of the SIT (m) in 2015 (c) and in 2016 (d) between the Long-SIT and Long-CTRL forecasts initialized from May to September. The RMSD of the SIT is computed with respect to the CS2SMOS product available from October to April, hence the staggered time series in (c) and (d). Note that negative values indicate better forecast skill.

284 4 Summary

This study examines the impact of summer CryoSat-2 SIT assimilation on short- and 285 long-term sea-ice forecasts in 2015 and in 2016. The ice-edge forecasts with summer CryoSat-286 2 SIT assimilation are dramatically improved when compared to the experiments without 287 any data assimilation. When the summer CryoSat-2 SIT data are assimilated together with 288 SIC data, the effects on the ice-edge forecast skill are rather dependent on the time when the 289 forecast is initialized and are spatially highly variable. In the Pacific sector, the combined 290 assimilation of summer SIT and SIC observations leads to more realistic summer ice-edge 291 forecasts with a one-week lead time. 292

The long-term sea-ice forecasts show significant reductions in both IIEE and RMSD of the SIT, except for those initialized in July, when the summer CryoSat-2 SIT has large uncertainties. The improvement in ice-edge forecasts can last up to about 30 days, while for the SIT forecasts the benefits can last for more than 3 months. This result demonstrates that, although the atmospheric forecasts used to drive the model can evolve freely after about one month, the SIT initialization in summer remains a primary factor in predicting long-term SIT variations.

However, limitations of the summer CryoSat-2 SIT data product still remain. The deep learning algorithm used has a certain degree of uncertainty in classifying ice leads and melt ponds, especially when the melt-pond fraction is large. The underestimation in the summer CryoSat-2 SIT from July to September in the coastal regions north of the CAA and Greenland requires further work on the sea-ice freeboard and thickness retrieval algorithm or exploration of new correction schemes to improve their reliability and accuracy. Further more, it is still an open question how this product should be used for real-time Arctic sea-ice
 forecasting, since its uncertainty currently does not account for all the algorithm errors, and
 possible representation errors (Janjić et al., 2018) should be considered accurately.

³⁰⁹ 5 Open Research

The ensemble mean Arctic sea-ice thickness (SIT) and sea-ice concentration (SIC) fore-310 cast data used in the study can be downloaded at Song et al. (2024). The file size of the 311 forecast results with all ensemble members exceeds 50GB and can be made available upon re-312 quest through contact. The CMST SIT estimate is available at Mu et al. (2018a). The sum-313 mer CryoSat-2 SIT observations can be downloaded from Landy and Dawson (2022). The 314 SSMI/SSMIS SIC data is avaliable from Kern et al. (2024). The UKMO atmospheric ensem-315 ble forecasts are avaliable in the THORPEX Interactive Grand Global Ensemble (TIGGE; 316 Bougeault et al., 2010) archive (https://apps.ecmwf.int/datasets/data/tigge). The 317 hourly ERA5 reanalysis is available at Hersbach et al. (2023). The CFSv2 atmospheric fore-318 casts are avaliable at https://www.ncei.noaa.gov/products/weather-climate-models/ 319 climate-forecast-system. The PIOMAS (J. Zhang & Rothrock, 2003) data is provided 320 at https://psc.apl.uw.edu/data. The NOAA/NSIDC SIC CDR data is available at 321 Meier et al. (2021). The CS2SMOS data is available at https://www.meereisportal.de. 322 Mooring observations from BGEP are downloaded from https://www2.whoi.edu/site/ 323 beaufortgyre. 324

325 Acknowledgments

This study is supported by the National Key R&D Program of China under Grant 2019YFA0607000, the National Natural Science Foundation of China (42176235) and the Laoshan Laboratory (LSKJ202202300). Contribution of SNL was supported by the Federal Ministry of Education and Research of Germany in the framework of the Seamless Sea Ice Prediction project (SSIP, Grant 01LN1701A) and partly made in the framework of the state assignment of SIO RAS (theme FMWE-2024-0028).

332 References

342

343

344

- Blanchard-Wrigglesworth, E., Bitz, C. M., & Holland, M. M. (2011, 09). Influence of initial conditions and climate forcing on predicting arctic sea ice. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 38, L18503. doi: 10.1029/2011GL048807
- Blockley, E. W., & Peterson, K. A. (2018). Improving met office seasonal predictions of arctic sea ice using assimilation of cryosat-2 thickness. *The Cryosphere*, 12(11), 3419-3438. doi: 10.5194/tc-12-3419-2018
- Bougeault, P., Toth, Z., Bishop, C., Brown, B., Burridge, D., Chen, D. H., ... Worley, S. (2010). The thorpex interactive grand global ensemble. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, 91(8), 1059-1072. doi: 10.1175/2010BAMS2853.1
 - Bowler, N. E., Arribas, A., Mylne, K. R., Robertson, K. B., & Beare, S. E. (2008). The mogreps short-range ensemble prediction system. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, 134(632), 703–722. doi: 10.1002/qj.234
- Bushuk, M., Msadek, R., Winton, M., Vecchi, G. A., Gudgel, R., Rosati, A., & Yang, X.
 (2017). Skillful regional prediction of arctic sea ice on seasonal timescales. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 44(10), 4953-4964. doi: 10.1002/2017GL073155
- Bushuk, M., Winton, M., Bonan, D. B., Blanchard-Wrigglesworth, E., & Delworth, T. L.
 (2020). A mechanism for the arctic sea ice spring predictability barrier. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 47(13), e2020GL088335. doi: 10.1029/2020GL088335
- Bushuk, M., Zhang, Y., Winton, M., Hurlin, B., Delworth, T., Lu, F., ... Zeng, F. (2022, 07). Mechanisms of regional arctic sea ice predictability in two dynamical seasonal forecast systems. *Journal of Climate*, 35, 4207-4231. doi: 10.1175/JCLI-D-21-0544.1

Comiso, J. C., Parkinson, C. L., Gersten, R., & Stock, L. (2008). Accelerated decline in 354 the arctic sea ice cover. Geophysical Research Letters, 35(1), L01703. doi: 10.1029/ 355 2007GL031972 356 Dawson, G., Landy, J., Tsamados, D. M., Komarov, A. S., Howell, S., Heorton, H., & 357 Krumpen, T. (2022, 01). A 10-year record of arctic summer sea ice freeboard from 358 cryosat-2. Remote Sensing of Environment, 268, 112744. doi: 10.1016/j.rse.2021 359 .112744 360 Day, J. J., Hawkins, E., & Tietsche, S. (2014). Will arctic sea ice thickness initialization 361 improve seasonal forecast skill? Geophysical Research Letters, 41, 7566-7575. doi: 362 10.1002/2014GL061694 363 Day, J. J., Tietsche, S., & Hawkins, E. (2014). Pan-arctic and regional sea ice predictability: 364 initialization month dependence. Journal of Climate, 27(12), 4371-4390. doi: 10.1175/ 365 JCLI-D-13-00614.1 366 Dirkson, A., Merryfield, W. J., & Monahan, A. H. (2017). Impacts of sea ice thickness 367 initialization on seasonal arctic sea ice predictions. Journal of Climate, 30, 1001-1017. 368 doi: 10.1175/JCLI-D-16-0437.1 369 Drinkwater, M. R. (1991). K $_{\mu}$ band airborne radar altimeter observations of marginal sea 370 ice during the 1984 marginal ice zone experiment. Journal of Geophysical Research: 371 Oceans, 96(C3), 4555-4572. doi: 10.1029/90JC01954 372 Feng, J., Zhang, Y., Cheng, Q., & Tsou, J. Y. (2022). Pan-arctic melt pond fraction trend, 373 variability, and contribution to sea ice changes. Global and Planetary Change, 217, 374 103932. doi: 10.1016/j.gloplacha.2022.103932 375 Goessling, H. F., Tietsche, S., Day, J. J., Hawkins, E., & Jung, T. (2016). Predictability 376 of the arctic sea-ice edge. Geophysical Research Letters, 43, 1642–1650. doi: 10.1002/ 377 2015GL067232 378 Guemas, V., Blanchard-Wrigglesworth, E., Chevallier, M., Day, J. J., Déqué, M., Doblas-379 Reyes, F. J., ... Tietsche, S. (2016). A review on arctic sea ice predictability and 380 prediction on seasonal-to-decadal timescales. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteo-381 rological Society, 142, 546-561. doi: 10.1002/gj.2401 382 Hebert, D. A., Allard, R. A., Metzger, E. J., Posey, P. G., Preller, R. H., Wallcraft, A. J., 383 ... Smedstad, O. M. (2015, 11). Short-term sea ice forecasting: An assessment of ice 384 concentration and ice drift forecasts using the u.s. navy's arctic cap nowcast/forecast 385 system. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 120, 8327-8345. doi: 10.1002/ 386 2015JC011283 387 Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Biavati, G., Horányi, A., Muñoz Sabater, J., ... Thé-388 paut, J.-N. (2023). Era5 hourly data on single levels from 1940 to present [dataset]. 389 Copernicus Climate Change Service (C3S) Climate Data Store (CDS). Retrieved 390 from https://cds.climate.copernicus.eu/cdsapp#!/dataset/reanalysis-era5 391 -single-levels?tab=overview doi: 10.24381/cds.adbb2d47 392 Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Hirahara, S., Horányi, A., Muñoz-Sabater, J., ... 393 Thépaut, J.-N. (2020). The era5 global reanalysis. Quarterly Journal of the Royal 394 Meteorological Society, 1999–2049. doi: 10.1002/qj.3803 395 Hibler III, W. D. (1979). A dynamic thermodynamic sea ice model. Journal of Physical 396 *Oceanography*, 9, 815-846. doi: 10.1175/1520-0485(1979)009<0815:ADTSIM>2.0.CO; 397 2 398 Janjić, T., Bormann, N., Bocquet, M., Carton, J. A., Cohn, S. E., Dance, S. L., ... Weston, 399 P. (2018). On the representation error in data assimilation. Quarterly Journal of the 400 Royal Meteorological Society, 144(713), 1257-1278. doi: 10.1002/qj.3130 401 Jung, T., Gordon, N. D., Bauer, P., Bromwich, D. H., Chevallier, M., Day, J. J., ... Yang, 402 Q. (2016). Advancing polar prediction capabilities on daily to seasonal time scales. 403 Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 97, 160113112747009. doi: 10.1175/ 404 BAMS-D-14-00246.1 405 Kaleschke, L., Lüpkes, C., Vilna, T., Haarpaintner, J., Borchert, A., Hartmann, J., & 406 Heygster, G. (2001). Ssm/i sea ice remote sensing for mesoscale ocean-atmosphere 407 interaction analysis. Canadian Journal of Remote Sensing, 27, 526-537. doi: 10.1080/ 408

409	07038992.2001.10854892
410	Kern S. Kaleschke I. Girard-Ardhuin F. Spreen G. & Beitsch A. (2024) Global daily
410	aridded 5-day median-filtered aan-filled asi algorithm ssmi-ssmis seg ice concentration
412	data [dataset]. Integrated Climate Date Center, Retrieved from https://www.cen.uni
413	-hamburg.de/en/icdc/data/cryosphere/seaiceconcentration-asi-ssmi.html
414	Kern S. Kaleschke I. & Spreen G. (2010). Climatology of the nordic (irminger greenland
414	harents kara and white/pechora) seas ice cover based on 85 ghz satellite microwave
415	radiometry: 1992–2008 Tellus A 62 411-434 doi: 10.3402/tellusa.v62i4.15709
417	Kwok B & Bothrock D A (2009) Decline in arctic sea ice thickness from submarine and
417	icesat records: 1958-2008 Geophysical Research Letters 36 L15501 doi: 10.1029/
410	2009GL039035
419	Landrum L & Holland M M (2020–12) Extremes become routine in an emerging new
420	arctic Nature Climate Change 10 1-8 doi: 10.1038/s41558-020-0892-z
421	Londy I C & Dawson C I (2022) Vear round aretic sea ice thickness from cruceat
422	2 hasaling d level th observations 2010 2020 (version 1.0) [dataset] NERCEDS IK
423	Polar Data Centre Betrieved from https://data bas ac.uk/full=record php?id=
424	CR/NEPC/RAS/DDC/01613 doi: 10.5285/d8c66670.57ad 44fc.8fof.042a46734och
425	Landy I C Dawron C I Tanmadoa M Buchult M Stronge I C Howell S F I
426	Landy, J. C., Dawson, G. J., Isamados, M., Dusnuk, M., Stroeve, J. C., Howen, S. E. L.,
427	Aksenov, F. (2022). A year-round satemite sea-ice thickness record from cryosat-2.
428	Nature, 009, 1-0. doi: 10.1056/841580-022-05058-5
429	Laxon, S. W., Glies, K. A., Ridout, A. L., Wingham, D. J., Willatt, R., Cullen, R.,
430	Davidson, M. (2013). Cryosat-2 estimates of arctic sea ice thickness and volume.
431	Geophysical Research Letters, $40(4)$, $732-737$. doi: 10.1002/gri.50193
432	Lee, S., Kim, HC., & Im, J. (2018). Arctic lead detection using a wavelorm mixture $10(5)$ 1665 1670 data 10 5104/
433	algorithm from cryosat-2 data. The $Cryosphere, 12(5), 1005-1079.$ doi: 10.5194/
434	
435	Lemieux, JF., Beaudoin, C., Dupont, F., Roy, F., Smith, G. C., Shlyaeva, A., Ferry, N.
436	(2015, 03). The regional ice prediction system (rips): Verification of forecast sea ice
437	concentration. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 142, 632-643.
438	$\frac{d01: 10.1002}{q}.2520$
439	Losch, M., Menemeniis, D., Campin, JM., Heimbach, P., & Hill, C. (2010). On the
440	formulation of sea-ice models, part 1: Effects of different solver implementations and $M_{\rm eff} = 20(1)$ 120 144 dei: 10.1016/j second 2000 12
441	parameterizations. Ocean Modelling, $33(1)$, $129-144$. doi: 10.1010/j.ocemod.2009.12
442	
443	Marshall, J., Adcroit, A., Hill, C., Perelman, L., & Heisey, C. (1997). A finite-volume,
444	incompressible navier stokes model for studies of the ocean on parallel computers.
445	Journal of Geophysical Research, 102 , $5753-5760$. doi: $10.1029/96JC02775$
446	Maykut, G. A., Grenfell, T. C., & Weeks, W. (1992). On estimating spatial and temporal
447	variations in the properties of ice in the polar oceans. Journal of Marine Systems, 3,
448	41-72. doi: 10.1016/0924-7963(92)90030-C
449	Meier, W. N., Fetterer, F., Windnagel, A. K., & Stewart., J. S. (2021). Noaa/nsidc cli-
450	mate data record of passive microwave sea ice concentration, version 4 [dataset]. Na-
451	tional Snow and Ice Data Center. Retrieved from https://nsidc.org/data/G02202/
452	versions/4 doi: 10.7265/etmz-2t65
453	Mignac, D., Martin, M., Fiedler, E., Blockley, E., & Fournier, N. (2022, 02). Improving
454	the met office's forecast ocean assimilation model (foam) with the assimilation of
455	satellite-derived sea-ice thickness data from cryosat-2 and smos in the arctic. Quarterly
456	Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 148, 1-24. doi: 10.1002/qj.4252
457	Min, C., Yang, Q., Luo, H., Chen, D., Krumpen, T., Mamnun, N., Nerger, L. (2023).
458	Improving arctic sea-ice thickness estimates with the assimilation of cryosat-2 summer
459	observations. Ocean-Land-Atmosphere Research, 2, 0025. doi: 10.34133/olar.0025
460	Mu, L., Liang, X., Yang, Q., Liu, J., & Zheng, F. (2019). Arctic ice ocean prediction system:
461	evaluating sea ice forecasts during xuelong's first trans-arctic passage in summer 2017.
462	Journal of Glaciology, 1-9. doi: 10.1017/jog.2019.55
463	Mu, L., Losch, M., Yang, Q., Ricker, R., Losa, S. N., & Nerger, L. (2018a). The arc-

464 465	tic combined model and satellite sea ice thickness (cmst) dataset [dataset]. PAN-GAEA. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1594/PANGAEA.891475 doi: 10.1594/
466	PANGAEA.891470
467	Mu, L., Losch, M., Yang, Q., Ricker, R., Losa, S. N., & Nerger, L. (2018b). Arctic-
468	wide sea ice thickness estimates from combining satellite remote sensing data and a
469	dynamic ice-ocean model with data assimilation during the cryosat-2 period. Journal
470	of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 123 , 1763 - 1780 . doi: $10.1029/2018$ JC014310
471	Mu, L., Nerger, L., Stremng, J., Iang, Q., Niraula, B., Zampieri, L., Goessing,
472	H. F. (2022). Sea-ice forecasts with an upgraded awi coupled prediction sys-
473 474	tem. Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, $14(12)$, $e2022MS003176$. doi: $10.1029/2022MS003176$
475	Mu, L., Yang, Q., Losch, M., Losa, S. N., Ricker, R., Nerger, L., & Liang, X. (2017). Im-
476	proving sea ice thickness estimates by assimilating cryosat-2 and smos sea ice thickness
477	data simultaneously: Cryosat-2 and smos sea ice thickness data assimilation. $Quarterly$
478	Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 144 , 529-538. doi: $10.1002/qj.3225$
479	Nerger, L., Hibler III, W. D., & SCHRÖTER, J. (2005). Pdaf-the parallel data assimilation
480	framework: Experiences with kalman filtering. World Scientific, 63–83. doi: $10.1142/$
481	9789812701831_0006
482	Nerger, L., Janjić, T., Schröter, J., & Hiller, W. (2012). A regulated localization scheme for
483	ensemble-based kalman filters. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society,
484	138(664), 802-812. doi: 10.1002/qj.945
485	Nguyen, A. T., Menemenlis, D., & Kwok, R. (2011). Arctic ice-ocean simulation with opti-
486	mized model parameters: Approach and assessment. Journal of Geophysical Research:
487	Oceans, 116, C04025. doi: 10.1029/2010JC006573
488	Parkinson, C. L., & Washington, W. M. (1979). A large-scale numerical model of sea ice.
489	Journal of Geophysical Research, 84, 311-337. doi: 10.1029/JC084iC01p00311
490	Posey, P., Metzger, E., Wallcraft, A., Hebert, D., Allard, R., Smedstad, O., Helfrich,
491	S. (2015, 08). Improving arctic sea ice edge forecasts by assimilating high horizontal
492	resolution sea ice concentration data into the us navy's ice forecast systems. The
493	Cryosphere, 9, 1735-1745. doi: $10.5194/tc-9-1735-2015$
494	Ricker, R., Hendricks, S., Helm, V., Skourup, H., & Davidson, M. (2014). Sensitivity of
495	cryosat-2 arctic sea-ice freeboard and thickness on radar-waveform interpretation. The
496	Cryosphere, 8, 1607-1622. doi: $10.5194/tc-8-1607-2014$
497	Ricker, R., Hendricks, S., Kaleschke, L., Tian-Kunze, X., King, J., & Haas, C. (2017). A
498	weekly arctic sea-ice thickness data record from merged cryosat-2 and smos satellite
499	data. The Cryosphere, $11(4)$, 1607–1623. doi: $10.5194/tc-11-1607-2017$
500	Rothrock, D. A., Yu, Y., & Maykut, G. A. (1999). Thinning of the arctic sea-ice cover.
501	Geophysical Research Letters, 26, 3469-3472. doi: 10.1029/1999GL010863
502	Saha, S., Moorthi, S., Wu, X., Wang, J., Nadiga, S., Tripp, P., Becker, E. (2014). The
503	ncep climate forecast system version 2. Journal of Climate, 27, 2185–2208. doi:
504	10.1175/JCLI-D-12-00823.1
505	Schlitzer, R. (2023). Ocean data view [software]. Retrieved from https://odv.awi.de
506	Semtner, A. J. (1976). A model for thermodynamic growth of sea ice in numeri-
507	cal investigations if climate. Journal of Physical Oceanography, 6, 379-389. doi:
508	10.1175/1520-0485(1976)006 < 0379: AMFTTG > 2.0. CO; 2
509	Shu, Q., Qiao, F., Liu, J., Song, Z., Chen, Z., Zhao, J., Song, Y. (2021). Arctic sea ice
510	concentration and thickness data assimilation in the fio-esm climate forecast system.
511	Acta Oceanologica Sinica, 40, 65-75. doi: 10.1007/s13131-021-1768-4
512	Song, R., Mu, L., Kauker, F., Loza, S., & Chen, X. (2024). Forecast data for the paper:
513	"assimilating summer sea-ice thickness observations improves arctic sea-ice forecast"
514	[dataset]. Zenodo. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10589315 doi:
515	10.5281/zenodo.10589315
516	Spreen, G., Kaleschke, L., & Heygster, G. (2008). Sea ice remote sensing using amsr-e 89-ghz
517	channels. Journal of Geophysical Research, 113, C02S03. doi: 10.1029/2005JC003384
518	Stroeve, J. C., Serreze, M. C., Holland, M. M., Kay, J. E., Malanik, J., & Barrett, A. P.

519	(2012, 02). The arctic's rapidly shrinking sea ice cover: A research synthesis. <i>Climatic</i>
520	Change, 110, 1005-1027. doi: 10.1007/s10584-011-0101-1
521	Tilling, R., Ridout, A., & Shepherd, A. (2019). Assessing the impact of lead and floe
522	sampling on arctic sea ice thickness estimates from envisat and cryosat-2. Journal of
523	Geophysical Research: Oceans, 124, 7473–7485. doi: 10.1029/2019JC015232
524	Xie, J., Counillon, F., Bertino, L., Tian-Kunze, X., & Kaleschke, L. (2016). Benefits of
525	assimilating thin sea ice thickness from smos into the topaz system. The Cryosphere,
526	10, 2745-2761. doi: $10.5194/tc-10-2745-2016$
527	Xiong, C., & Ren, Y. (2023). Arctic sea ice melt pond fraction in 2000–2021 derived by
528	dynamic pixel spectral unmixing of modis images. ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry
529	and Remote Sensing, 197, 181-198. doi: 10.1016/j.isprsjprs.2023.01.023
530	Yang, Q., Losa, S. N., Losch, M., Jung, T., & Nerger, L. (2015). The role of atmospheric
531	uncertainty in arctic summer sea ice data assimilation and prediction. Quarterly
532	Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 141 , 2314 - 2323 . doi: $10.1002/qj.2523$
533	Yang, Q., Losa, S. N., Losch, M., Liu, J., Zhang, Z., Nerger, L., & Yang, H. (2015).
534	Assimilating summer sea-ice concentration into a coupled ice–ocean model using a
535	lseik filter. Annals of Glaciology, 56(69), 38–44. doi: 10.3189/2015AoG69A740
536	Yang, Q., Losch, M., Losa, S. N., Jung, T., Nerger, L., & Lavergne, T. (2016). Brief
537	communication: The challenge and benefit of using sea ice concentration satellite
538	data products with uncertainty estimates in summer sea ice data assimilation. The
539	Cryosphere, 10, 761-774. doi: 10.5194/tc-10-761-2016
540	Zhang, J., & Hibler III, W. D. (1997). On an efficient numerical method for modeling sea ice
541	dynamics. Journal of Geophysical Research, 102, 8691–8702. doi: 10.1029/96JC03744
542	Zhang, J., & Rothrock, D. A. (2003). Modeling global sea ice with a thickness and enthalpy
543	distribution model in generalized curvilinear coordinates. Monthly Weather Review,
544	131, 845–861. doi: $10.1175/1520-0493(2003)131<0845$:MGSIWA>2.0.CO;2
545	Zhang, YF., Bushuk, M., Winton, M., Hurlin, B., Gregory, W., Landy, J., & Jia, L.
546	(2023). Improvements in september arctic sea ice predictions via assimilation of sum-
547	mer cryosat-2 sea ice thickness observations. Geophysical Research Letters, $50(24)$,

e2023GL105672. doi: 10.1029/2023GL105672

548

Supporting Information for "Assimilating summer sea-ice thickness observations improves Arctic sea-ice forecast"

Ruizhe Song^{1,2,3,4}, Longjiang Mu², Svetlana N. Loza^{3,5}, Frank Kauker³,

Xianyao Chen^{1,2}

¹Frontier Science Center for Deep Ocean Multispheres and Earth System and Physical Oceanography Laboratory, Ocean University

of China, Qingdao, China

 $^2 {\rm Laoshan}$ Laboratory, Qingdao, China

 $^{3}\mathrm{Alfred}$ Wegener Institute, Helmholtz Centre for Polar and Marine Research, Bremerhaven, Germany

 $^4\mathrm{Academy}$ of the Future Ocean, Ocean University of China, Qingdao, China

 $^5\mathrm{Shirshov}$ Institute of Oceanology, Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia

Contents of this file

1. Uncertainty of CryoSat-2 summer SIT observation used in the assimilation and fore-

cast system

2. Figures S1 to S6 $\,$

Uncertainty of CryoSat-2 summer SIT observation used in the assimilation and forecast system

Corresponding author: Longjiang Mu, ljmu@qnlm.ac

The observation uncertainty used in the assimilation and forecast system includes measurement errors and representation errors (Janjić et al., 2018). Considering the underestimation of the CryoSat-2 (CS2) summer SIT observations, in our study, the total uncertainty (σ) is determined by taking into account both the observational errors (σ_{CS2}) provided in the CS2 SIT dataset and the corrected errors (σ_{corr}) estimated based on the spatial distribution of the CMST SIT, as $\sigma = max(\sigma_{CS2}, \sigma_{corr})$. We take a piecewise form for σ_{corr} , which is a function of the SIT of a reference product. It is set to 0.5 m when CMST SIT values are between 2.5 and 3.0 m, and 1.0 m when CMST SIT is greater than 4.0 m. A linear interpolation between 0.5 and 1.0 m is utilized for the CMST SIT between 3.0-4.0 m. Note that this correction is most important when the CS2 SIT uncertainty peaks annually, specifically in the range of 0.4-0.8 m in multi-year ice regions from July to August.

References

Janjić, T., Bormann, N., Bocquet, M., Carton, J. A., Cohn, S. E., Dance, S. L., ... Weston,
P. (2018). On the representation error in data assimilation. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, 144(713), 1257-1278. doi: 10.1002/qj.3130

Schlitzer, R. (2023). Ocean data view [software]. Retrieved from https://odv.awi.de



Figure S1. Study area of ice-edge forecasts and the location of BGEP moorings. This figure is plotted with Ocean Data View (Schlitzer, 2023).



Figure S2. Same as Figure 1, but in 2016



Figure S3. Box plot of IIEE. The forecasted IIEE is calculated after 7 days of assimilation, when the summer CryoSat-2 SIT takes full effect. Blue, red, green, and purple boxes refer to IIEE in Short-CTRL, Short-SIT, Short-SIC, and Short-SICSIT, respectively. Colored boxes indicate IIEE between the lower and appery attil 29.2 Col5r30 pathiers denote values more than 1.5 interquartile range away from the top or bottom of the box. The outer edges of the black lines denote the minimum and maximum of the values that are not outliers. Solid lines show the mean IIEE in each month and region.



Figure S4. The 7-day lead time ice-edge forecasts from the 18th day after the initialization in May to September in 2015. Red lines indicate the NSIDC observed ice edge. The color scale shows the sea-ice probability computed from the 11 ensemble members.



Figure S5. Same as Figure S4, but in 2016



Figure S6. Long-term SIT forecasts at the sites of BGEP-A, BGEP-B, and BGEP-D. Black lines show the 7-day average SIT from the BGEP ULS, red lines indicate the SIT from Long-SIT, while blue lines show the SIT from Long-CTRL.