Multiscale CO budget estimates across South America: quantifying local sources and long range transport

Pablo Lichtig¹, Benjamin Gaubert², Louisa K. Emmons³, Duseong S. Jo⁴, Patrick Callaghan⁴, Sergio Ibarra-Espinosa⁵, Laura Dawidowski⁶, Guy P. Brasseur⁷, and Gabriele G. Pfister³

¹National Comission of Atomic Energy
²National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR)
³National Center for Atmospheric Research (UCAR)
⁴National Center for Atmospheric Research
⁵Global Monitoring Laboratory (NOAA) and CIRES (Colorado University)
⁶Unknown
⁷Max Planck Institute for Meteorology

January 13, 2024

Abstract

South America is a large continent situated mostly in the Southern Hemisphere (SH) with complex topography and diverse emissions sources. However, the atmospheric chemistry of this region has been historically understudied. Here, we employ the Multi-Scale Infrastructure for Chemistry and Aerosols, a novel global circulation model with regional refinement capabilities and full chemistry, to explore the sources and distribution of the carbon monoxide (CO) tropospheric column in South America during 2019, and also to assess the effect that South American primary emissions have over the rest of the world. Most of the CO over South America can be explained either by NMVOC secondary chemical production or by biomass burning emissions, with biomass burning as the main explanation for the variability in CO. Biomass burning in Central Africa is a relevant contributor to CO in all of the continent, including the southern tip. Biogenic emissions play a dual role in CO concentrations: they provide volatile organic compounds that contribute to the secondary CO production, but they also destroy OH, which limits the chemical production and destruction of CO. As a net effect, the lifetime of CO is extended to ~120 days on average over the Amazon, while still being in the range of 30-60 days in the rest of South America.

Multiscale CO budget estimates across South America: quantifying local sources and long range transport

Pablo Lichtig^{1,2}, Benjamin Gaubert³, Louisa K. Emmons³, Duseong S. Jo³, Patrick Callaghan³, Sergio Ibarra-Espinosa⁴, Laura Dawidowski¹, Guy P. Brasseur⁵, Gabriele Pfister³

 ¹National Comission of Atomic Energy, Buenos Aires, Argentina ²National Counsel of Science and Technology, Buenos Aires, Argentina ³National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, Co, USA ⁴National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration, Boulder, CO, USA ⁵Max Planck Institute for Meteorology, Hamburg, Germany 	A
--	---

Key Points:

3

4

5

11

17

12	•	We employ the Multi-Scale infrastructure for Chemistry and Aerosols to quantify
13		the budget of CO in South America during 2019.
14	•	Most of the variability in the CO burden is explained by the variability in biomass
15		burning emissions.
16	•	Biomass burning in Central Africa is a relevant contributor to CO in all of the con-

tinent, including the southern region.

-1-

Corresponding author: Guy Brasseur, guy.brasseur@mpimet.mpg.de

Corresponding author: Pablo Lichtig, pablolichtig@cnea.gov.ar

18 Abstract

South America is a large continent situated mostly in the Southern Hemisphere (SH) with 19 complex topography and diverse emissions sources. However, the atmospheric chemistry 20 of this region has been historically understudied. Here, we employ the Multi-Scale In-21 frastructure for Chemistry and Aerosols, a novel global circulation model with regional 22 refinement capabilities and full chemistry, to explore the sources and distribution of the 23 carbon monoxide (CO) tropospheric column in South America during 2019, and also to 24 assess the effect that South American primary emissions have over the rest of the world. 25 Most of the CO over South America can be explained either by NMVOC secondary chem-26 ical production or by biomass burning emissions, with biomass burning as the main ex-27 planation for the variability in CO. Biomass burning in Central Africa is a relevant con-28 tributor to CO in all of the continent, including the southern tip. Biogenic emissions play 29 a dual role in CO concentrations: they provide volatile organic compounds that contribute 30 to the secondary CO production, but they also destroy OH, which limits the chemical 31 production and destruction of CO. As a net effect, the lifetime of CO is extended to ~ 120 32 days on average over the Amazon, while still being in the range of 30–60 days in the rest 33 of South America. 34

³⁵ Plain Language Summary

We use the Multi-Scale Infrastructure for Chemistry and Aerosols, a global model with regional refinement, to study the origins of carbon monoxide (CO) in South America during 2019. The main sources of CO are the secondary production from non-volatile organic compounds and the biomass burning primary emissions. The main source of temporal variability in the whole are the biomass burning emissions. We show that biomass burning in central Africa is a relevant source of South American CO during all year in all of the continent, including the furthermost south.

43 **1** Introduction

Carbon monoxide (CO) is an atmospheric trace gas constituent that plays an im-44 portant role in tropospheric chemistry (Levy, 1971; Gaubert et al., 2017). Globally, the 45 CO primary sources result from incomplete combustion of fossil fuel from industrial, road 46 transportation and residential sectors, and from biomass burning, including for cooking, 47 heating and wildfires (Duncan et al., 2007). Other minor sources include biological pro-48 cesses, mainly by land's vegetation, with minor contributions from oceanic emissions. The 49 CO oxidation into CO_2 (Eq. 1) plays an essential role in atmospheric chemistry as a ma-50 jor sink of hydroxyl radical (OH), and a source of hydroperoxyl radical (HO_2) (Stone et 51 al., 2012). CO has a relatively long lifetime (weeks to months), and is mostly emitted 52 by anthropogenic emissions or biomass burning (Gaubert et al., 2016). Hence, it is of-53 ten used as a tracer for pollution sources and transport, and will be the main focus of 54 this study (Edwards, 2004). 55

$$CO + OH \xrightarrow{O_2} CO_2 + HO_2$$
 (1)

Around 50% of CO is formed in the atmosphere as a result of the oxidation of methane (CH₄) and non-methane volatile organic compounds (NMVOCs) (Duncan et al., 2007; Stein et al., 2014; Gaubert et al., 2016), either through photolysis or through multiple oxidation process. Aside from photolysis, most organic compounds including CH₄ and NMVOCs are oxidized mainly or exclusively by OH (Seinfeld & Pandis, 2016), as shown in Eq. 2. The oxidation process by OH produces organic peroxy radicals (RO₂), which can later produce CO through multiple reaction pathways.

$$\operatorname{RH}(\operatorname{CH}_4, \operatorname{NMVOC}) + \operatorname{OH} \xrightarrow{\operatorname{O}_2} \operatorname{RO}_2 + \operatorname{H}_2\operatorname{O} \longrightarrow \alpha CO$$
 (2)

The yield α varies according to oxidation pathways, including the NO_x levels, and prod-

ucts generated (Pfister et al., 2008; Grant et al., 2010). Therefore, the net effect of a change
 in OH on the CO budget is not always straightforward, as OH acts both as a source and

⁶⁶ a sink for CO (Gaubert et al., 2016, 2017).

CO has been studied extensively in the Northern Hemisphere where even the back-67 ground levels are almost twice as large as in the Southern Hemisphere (Novelli, 2003). 68 Comparisons with the satellite CO observations indicate that global models have remain-69 ing difficulties to simulate CO during the winter and spring with a strong underestima-70 71 tion in the Northern Hemisphere extratropics (Shindell et al., 2006), mostly because of underestimation in emissions (Stein et al., 2014; Gaubert et al., 2020) and representa-72 tion of the chemistry (Naik et al., 2013; Müller et al., 2018; Gaubert et al., 2023). High 73 CO amounts from biomass burning were first observed in the 1990's from the Measure-74 ment of Air Pollution from Satellites (MAPS) experiment (Watson et al., 1990). Biomass 75 burning emissions are the main driver of CO levels in the tropics and in the Southern 76 Hemisphere, explaining the inter-model differences in modeled CO levels, with a strong 77 interannual variability(Edwards, 2004; Shindell et al., 2006). 78

As reported by Paton-Walsh et al. (2022), the Southern Hemisphere, in contrast,
remains largely understudied. It holds only ~ 10% of the global population, and is ~80%
ocean. It also holds the cleanest atmospheric conditions, found in the Southern Ocean.
South America is a continent extending from 55.8 °S - 12.5 °N and 81.4 °W - 34.3 °W.
It has a large variety of vegetation, soil and climate regions (de Miranda et al., 2022),
ranging from the rainforests in the Amazon Basin to the desert in Atacama, the plains
in the Pampas, the Patagonian Steppe and the continental ice of southern Chile.

The goal of this study is to quantify the local and long range origins of CO in South America. We utilize the newly developed Multi-Scale Infrastructure for Chemistry and Aerosols version 0 (MUSICAv0) (Pfister et al., 2020; Schwantes et al., 2022) with regional mesh refinement over South America. This study is the first that applies MUSICA over South America. We employ a system of CO tagged tracers to quantify the CO budget and to identify geographical origins of CO by sources.

In section 2, we first describe the general model setup (subsec. 2.1) and a CO tagged 92 tracer mechanism (subsec. 2.2). We then describe the evaluation of the model results 93 using satellite data (subsec. 2.3) and the methodology to evaluate CO sources and CO 94 variability using the tags (subsec. 2.4). In section 3, we start by evaluating the model 95 with satellite CO data (subsec. 3.1). We then evaluate the CO budget both globally and 96 over South America, and compare the results of the MUSICAv0 refined simulation with 97 a standard, control run with a global coarse grid (subsec. 3.2). In section 3.3, we ana-98 lyze the effect on the burden and the variability, as well as the spatial distribution of the CO chemical lifetime. We further discuss the variability and geographical origin of CO 100 in 3.3.2. In section 3.3.3, we analyze the effect that South American primary emissions 101 are having in the CO burden over the rest of the world. Finally, we summarize our re-102 sults and conclusions in section 4, and close the paper discussing future perspectives. 103

104 2 Methods

105

2.1 Model description and setup

We use MUSICAv0, which is part of the Community Earth System Model (Danabasoglu et al., 2020) version 2.2 (CESM2.2), an open source Earth System Model maintained by the National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR). MUSICAv0 is a configuration of the Community Atmosphere Model with Chemistry (CAM-chem) (Emmons et al., 2020; Tilmes et al., 2019) with a spectral element (SE) dynamical core that allows for regional refinement (Lauritzen et al., 2018; Schwantes et al., 2022; Tang et al., 2022, 2023). The model is run coupled to the Community Land Model (CLM) v5.0 (Lawrence et al., 2019)



Figure 1. Model meshes

to interactively simulate land processes, the deposition of gases and aerosols and biogenic 113 emissions. The latter are estimated from the Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols 114 from Nature (MEGAN) version 2.1 (Guenther et al., 2012) and depend interactively on 115 the modeled temperature, solar radiation, leaf area index (LAI) and other modeled pa-116 rameters. We employ prescribed monthly LAI at 0.25° resolution, denoted as satellite 117 phenology. The effect resulting from this configuration is discussed in detail in Jo et al. 118 (2023). In this paper, we perform a global simulation including a mesh refinement over 119 South America (ne30x4, $[\sim 28 \text{ km}]$) and a control simulation at uniform resolution (ne30. 120 $[\sim 111 \text{ km}]$, as shown in Fig. 1. Unless otherwise stated for specific parameters, the two 121 simulations should be assumed to have the same setup. The ne30 simulation is run with 122 a physical time step of 1800 s, while the ne30x4 simulation uses a time step of 450 s. Both 123 simulations have 32 vertical layers, with \sim 7 model layers below the planetary boundary 124 layer height (PBLH) and ~ 15 layers below the stratosphere, and a hybrid terrain fol-125 lowing vertical coordinate. The Cloud Layers Unified by Binormals (CLUBB) scheme 126 is used for shallow convection, cloud macrophysics and boundary layer turbulence (Bogenschutz 127 et al., 2013), and the MG2 scheme is used for cloud microphysics (Gettelman & Mor-128 rison, 2015). The ZM scheme (Zhang & McFarlane, 1995) is used for deep convection. 129 We nudge relevant meteorological parameters (T, U, V) to the Modern-Era Retrospec-130 tive Analysis for Research and Applications, Version 2, (MERRA-2) (Gelaro et al., 2017), 131 with a 12 h relaxation time. 132

As a chemical mechanism, we employ the Model for Ozone and Related Chemical 133 Tracers with tropospheric and stratospheric chemistry (MOZART-TS1) (Emmons et al., 134 2020) and the Modal Aerosol Model with 4 modes (MAM4) (Liu et al., 2016). We use 135 anthropogenic emissions from the CAMS-GLOB-ANT version 5.3, and aircraft emissions 136 from CAMS-GLOB-AIR version 2.1 (Soulie et al., 2023). The daily fire emissions are pre-137 scribed from the Fire Inventory from NCAR version 2.5 (FINN2.5), using both MODIS 138 and VIIRS fire detection (Wiedinmyer et al., 2023). The chemistry mechanism also in-139 cludes a volatility basis set representation of secondary organic aerosol (Tilmes et al., 140 2019) with NO_x^{-1} dependent pathways for secondary organic aerosol (SOA) formation 141 (Jo et al., 2021). We include the update of the HO₂ heterogeneous uptake introduced 142 by Gaubert et al. (2020). 143

The spin-up simulations for the land model and the atmospheric model are performed separately. The land model is spun-up for a year at the final resolution, with a

 $^{^1\,\}mathrm{NO}_x$ is the sum of nitrogen monoxide (NO) and nitrogen dioxide (NO_2)

default CESM/CAM simulation initialized from long-term CESM2.2 simulation. The atmospheric chemistry spin-up is run in a ne30 configuration, also for a year, but with full
chemistry and including the aforementioned tags. For 2019, the ne30 simulation is simply continued for the complete period. For the ne30x4 simulation, the model initial conditions resulting from the ne30 simulation spin-up is regridded to the finer grid, and run
together for a month with the output of the land spin-up, as a final spin-up step. The
simulation is then continued for the entire year of 2019.

¹⁵³ 2.2 CO tagged tracers

We include a series of CO tagged tracers (hereafter CO tags) to identify transport of different sources. This approach has been previously used with various chemistry models (Gaubert et al., 2016; Tang et al., 2019; R. A. Fisher & Koven, 2020) to identify pollution origins and transport. The tags used in this study include 4 global CO tags according to the source type (anthropogenic, biomass burning, oceanic, biogenic). The sum of the four tags define the primary CO (Eq. 3).

$$CO_{primary} = CO_{ant} + CO_{bb} + CO_{ocn} + CO_{megan}$$
(3)

We define the secondary CO by subtracting the primary CO from the modeled total CO (Eq. 4).

$$CO_{secondary} = CO - CO_{primary}$$
 (4)

We also define two tags to quantify the secondary CO resulting from the methane 162 (CH₄) oxidation. CH₄ can be a major source of secondary CO, following complex reac-163 tion paths (Gaubert et al., 2016). Duncan et al. (2007) has reported a yield approach-164 ing unity using the model GEOS-Chem version 5.02, and a yield of 1 has been used in 165 subsequent GEOS-Chem studies (J. A. Fisher et al., 2017). Gaubert et al. (2016) found 166 a yield of 0.75 using CAM-Chem, due to the wet deposition of intermediate soluble species. 167 To quantify the CH_4 source of secondary CO, two tags were added to Eq. 2 as shown 168 in Eq. 5, one with a yield of 1 and another one assuming a yield of 0.75, without alter-169 ing the other products of the oxidation of CH_4 by OH. 170

$$CH_4 + OH \rightarrow CH_3O_2 + H_2O + 0.75 CO_{met0.75} + CO_{met1}$$
 (5)

Having an estimation of CO generated by methane allows us to estimate secondary
 CO generated by other VOCs, as shown in equation 6.

$$CO_{nmvoc} = CO - CO_{primary} - CO_{met}$$
 (6)

We also define latitudinal tags for anthropogenic and biomass burning emissions, 173 as described in table 1. Other geographical tags were defined as shown in Fig. 2. As in 174 Gaubert et al. (2016), these tags are co-emitted and share the same dry deposition and 175 chemical destruction rate as CO, but do not alter OH. We then estimate the contribu-176 tion of each global source of CO (anthropogenic emissions, biomass burning emissions, 177 emissions from the ocean, biogenic emissions and secondary CO). There is no specific 178 tag for the secondary CO derived from NMVOCs, but it can be estimated as shown in 179 Eq. 6 by choosing a yield for CH_4 . 180

181 2.3 Model evaluation

The model is evaluated through comparison with gridded data from the Measurement Of Pollution In The Troposphere instrument (MOPITT) Level 3 data, on board of the Terra spacecraft. These retrievals have been used extensively to evaluate model

Tag	Geogr. Origin	Source
$\overline{\rm CO}_{\rm ant}$	World	Anthropogenic emissions
$\rm CO_{bb}$	World	Biomass burning emissions
$\rm CO_{ocn}$	World	Ocean emissions
$\rm CO_{bio}$	World	Biogenic emissions from land
$\rm CO_{met0.75}$	World	Sec. Methane $(yield=0.75)$
$\rm CO_{met1}$	World	Sec. Methane $(yield=1)$
$\rm CO_{bbSET}$	lat: 90°S - 24°S	Biomass burning emissions
$\rm CO_{bbST}$	lat: 24°S - 0°	Biomass burning emissions
$\rm CO_{bbNT}$	lat: 0°N - 24°N	Biomass burning emissions
$\rm CO_{bbNET}$	lat: 24°N - 90°N	Biomass burning emissions
$\rm CO_{antSET}$	lat: 90°S - 24°S	Anthropogenic emissions
$\rm CO_{antST}$	lat: 24°S - 0°S	Anthropogenic emissions
$\rm CO_{antNT}$	lat: 0° - 24°N	Anthropogenic emissions
$\mathrm{CO}_{\mathrm{antNET}}$	lat: 24°N - 90°N	Anthropogenic emissions

 Table 1.
 Global and latitudinal CO tags.



Figure 2. Geographical tags for biomass burning (bb) and anthropogenic (ant) CO (red). The latitudinal bands used for the latitudinal tags are also shown with a dashed blue line.

output (Daskalakis et al., 2022; Gaubert et al., 2020, 2016; Dekker et al., 2017). The output of the simulation is regridded to a 1° x 1° structured grid, and the MOPITT averaging kernel and *a priori* CO concentration are utilized as in Gaubert et al. (2016) to
smooth dry-air column-averaged mole fraction (XCO) of the model in a way that can
be compared to the satellite product. A simple subtraction in MOPITT space is applied
for every simulated monthly mean.

The regionally refined model output is compared to the control (ne30) by regridding both outputs conservatively to a 0.25°-0.25° grid worldwide.

193

2.4 Evaluation of the main sources of CO and CO variability.

The mean tropospheric burden for each global tag is calculated for the complete simulation period. The standard deviation is also calculated utilizing the monthly means, to characterize the variability. The monthly means of the regional tags of figure 2 are used to evaluate the regional sources of CO as the year progresses.

198

The chemical lifetime of CO for each month is calculated as shown in equation 7.

$$lifetime = CO_{burden} / CO_{CHML}, \tag{7}$$

where CO_{CHML} is the integral of the CO chemical loss. Dry-air column averaged mole fractions of OH and isoprene are also used in the analysis to evaluate matching patterns.

Although most of South America (SAm) is in the Southern Hemisphere (SH), its northern tip stretches into the Northern Hemisphere (NH), including a portion of the Amazon rainforest. The latitudinal CO tags are used to evaluate cross-hemispheric transport, the effects that SAm might be having over each hemisphere and the effects of the NH over SAm.

The global relevance of SAm's primary emissions can be analyzed by adding the CO_{ant} and CO_{bb} tags from all the South American regions, and calculating the fraction of tagged CO over the total CO for any area of interest.

209 3 Results

210

3.1 Evaluation with MOPITT

In Fig. 3, we show the ne30x4 model bias compared to MOPITT XCO for four individual months during the year. The XCO comparison for all months can be found in the SI (Fig. S1). The analysis for the control run yielded similar results and is shown in Figure S2.

The general distribution of global CO is well represented in terms of the location of the hotspots. However, there are distinct biases in both hemispheres.

The simulated CO in the SH is generally higher than MOPITT retrievals. This applies to all of SAm, including the part of SAm that lies in the NH region. This is especially true during the biomass burning season, which starts in August and continues until the end of the year. In most months, the bias is below 20 ppbv, however, during the fire season, it can locally reach up to 90 ppbv. This high bias decreases rapidly further away from the fire hotspot, but it can remain at about 20–30 ppbv in the whole SH.

The version of FINN2.5 utilized provides the highest CO and VOC emissions of the commonly used fire emission inventories (Wiedinmyer et al., 2023). MOPITT and MODIS assimilation have shown important and large-scale positive biases in CO and aerosols (Gaubert et al., 2023). Some of the bias could be explained by the relative importance of the fire emissions in the SH combined with larger uncertainties in the anthropogenic emissions and the concentrations of other species (Paton-Walsh et al., 2022), including OH and bio-



Figure 3. Model evaluation with MOPITT for the months of Jan, Apr, Jul, and Oct. See Fig. S1 for the total monthly difference for every simulated month. XCO represents the dry-air column averaged mole fraction. The model XCO is calculated by regridding to MOPITT space and using the MOPITT averaging kernel.



Figure 4. Annual mean CO burden over South America. a) ne30x4 refined simulation and b) ne30 control run. The absolute difference between the two is shown in c)

genic VOCs. It is unlikely that the fire inventory of choice is the only explanation, considering that Daskalakis et al. (2022), although studying a different time period, found
similar bias patterns compared to MOPITT utilizing emissions from the Atmospheric
Chemistry and Climate Intercomparison Project (ACCMIP) emission database and the
offline chemical transport model TM4-ECPL.

3.2 CO budget

234

Here we compare the two different simulations (ne30 and ne30x4) in order to assess the impacts of a refined region over SAm. The higher resolution over the refined region is expected to better represent the sources and transport, thus also leading to changes in the chemistry and CO lifetimes. Table 2 shows the CO budget at the global scale and for South America.

SAm represents about 17% of the global CO emissions and 39% of the SH CO emissions. It includes 22% of the global biomass burning emissions, but only 9% of the global anthropogenic emissions. As shown in Table 2, the overall differences between the refined and the control grids in terms of the CO burden and budget estimates are fairly small.

There are, however, differences in the spatial distribution of the CO burden (Fig. 245 4). Part of these differences far from the refined region can be due to the ne30x4 grid 246 being rotated with respect to the ne30 grid, which could have some global effects. Part 247 of the differences, however, are likely also due to changes in the refined region, that could 248 have global impacts either directly or indirectly due to meteorological feedbacks and trans-249 port. The mean dry-air column averaged OH mole fraction over the South-American con-250 tinent is about the same in both simulations (0.64 ppt in ne30 vs 0.63 in ne30x4) but 251 shows differences spatially (Fig. S4). When analyzing the different components of the 252 budget, it is clear that the differences are mostly due to differences in chemical produc-253 tion and loss. The ne30x4 simulation has generally larger OH concentrations over the 254 Andes, but smaller OH concentrations elsewhere. The CO burden in the ne30x4 simu-255 lation is smaller than the ne30 simulation to the west of the Andes, but larger to the east. 256 Analyzing every tag, we find that the CO_{nmvoc} burden is actually smaller in the ne30x4 257 simulation on both sides of the Andes, likely due to less CO being produced from the 258 oxidation of NMVOCs by OH. However, the CO_{bb} burden in the ne30x4 simulation is 259 generally larger in the ne30 simulation over the continent. This is likely also the effect 260 of less OH over the Amazon, and therefore less oxidation of CO_{bb}. When CO_{bb} trav-261 els over the Andes, however, it is oxidized. Therefore, CO west of the northern part of 262 the continent is smaller in the ne30x4 simulation than in the control run. 263

T	Burden	Net Budget	Surf. Emis.	Chem Prod	Dry Dep	Chem Loss
Tag	(Tg)	(Tg/year)	(Ig/year)	(Ig/year)	(Tg/year)	(Tg/year)
			ne30, Globa	al		
СО	343	-53	1400	1839	175	3117
$\rm CO_{ant}$	62	-28	558		49	536
$\rm CO_{bb}$	75	-18	734		68	683
$\rm CO_{ocn}$	3	-1	22		0.6	22
$\rm CO_{bio}$	9	-4	86		5	85
$\rm CO_{met0.75}$	88	-3		811	21	794
$\mathrm{CO}_{\mathrm{nmvoc}}$	104	1		1028	31	996
			ne30x4, Glo	bal		
СО	349	-39	1399	1786	177	3047
$\rm CO_{ant}$	64	-26	558		50	533
$\rm CO_{bb}$	79	-11	734		69	676
$\rm CO_{ocn}$	3	-1	20		0.6	20
$\mathrm{CO}_{\mathrm{bio}}$	9	-3	87		5	85
$\rm CO_{met0.75}$	88	-3		782	21	765
$\mathrm{CO}_{\mathrm{nmvoc}}$	105	7		1005	30	967
			ne30, SAM	1		
СО	23	276	244	253	35	186
$\rm CO_{ant}$	3	24	52		5	23
$\rm CO_{bb}$	6	97	162		16	49
$\rm CO_{bio}$	1	21	30		2	7
$\rm CO_{met0.75}$	5	-3		40	4	39
$\mathrm{CO}_{\mathrm{nmvoc}}$	8	138		213	8	67
			ne30x4, SA	М		
СО	23	279	244	242	35	172
$\mathrm{CO}_{\mathrm{ant}}$	3	24	51		5	22
$\rm CO_{bb}$	7	101	162		16	45
$\mathrm{CO}_{\mathrm{bio}}$	1	22	30		2	7
$\rm CO_{met0.75}$	5	-2		38	4	36
$\mathrm{CO}_{\mathrm{nmvoc}}$	8	136		204	8	61

Table 2. CO budget during 2019 for the global sources of CO in both simulations. In this table, a yield of 0.75 was assumed for Secondary CO derived from methane.



Figure 5. Annual mean CO tags. a) total CO, b) anthropogenic primary CO, c) biomass burning primary CO, d) ocean primary CO, f) biogenic primary CO, g) methane secondary CO assuming a yield of 0.75 and h) secondary CO from other (non-methane) sources. Note the different scales between the total CO in a) and the CO tags.

3.3 CO tag contribution

265 3.3.1 Global CO tags: annual analysis

In Fig. 5, we show the annual average concentration of CO and of each CO tag from the ne30x4 simulation. Most of the CO burden in the tropospheric column can be explained by either biomass burning emissions or secondary CO production. Biomass burning CO (Fig. 5b) is highest in the southern part of the Amazon Basin, and high concentrations are also shown in the westward plume transport to the Pacific Ocean.

The secondary CO (Fig. 5h) has maxima to the west of the Andes, over Peru and over northern Chile. This is, however, not necessarily a region with a high chemical production in the simulations. It also has relatively high OH concentrations, and the CO lifetime is, therefore, not particularly long (Fig. 6).

In Fig. 6, the mean CO chemical lifetime is shown together with the dry-air col-275 umn averaged mole fraction of isoprene and OH. A longer chemical lifetime is simulated 276 at higher latitudes because of a low OH under small solar radiation conditions. Conversely, 277 the lifetime is shorter than 1 month in the tropics because of higher OH. The chemical 278 lifetime of CO is relatively high in parts of the Amazon (160–180 days, compared to 30-279 90 days at similar latitudes outside the rainforest). As expected, the regions of long life-280 time match regions of low OH. Jacob and Wofsy (1990) had already concluded that iso-281 prene was the main OH sink over the Amazon rainforest, and Nölscher et al. (2016) re-282 ported a marked seasonality of OH reactivity modulated by biogenic emissions of NMVOCs. 283 In the case of the rainforest, the region with long lifetimes matches almost perfectly re-284



Figure 6. a) Annual mean CO lifetime (2019), b) dry-air column average mole fraction of isoprene and c) dry-air column average mole fraction of OH.

gions of high isoprene, which is probably destroying OH and reducing the chemical loss.
Biogenic emissions and meteorology are affected by changing model resolution, and since
isoprene is highly sensitive to temperature and reacts quickly with OH, this effect is assumed to account for some of the differences in chemical production and loss between
the refined and control runs.

As shown in Fig. 7, while CO explains a large part of the burden all year round, 290 CO_{bb} constitutes a smaller proportion until August, and increases significantly during 291 the biomass burning season. The CO_{bb} burden has its peak in September, and slowly 292 decreases over the following months. While having a smaller variation, CO_{nmvoc} also has 293 values higher than the previous months during the biomass burning season. This is likely 294 related to the emissions of other VOCs during biomass burning events, although other, 295 less obvious effects might have an influence (including the effects that the biomass burn-296 ing emissions might have over OH, total radiation, etc.). 297

The temporal variability of the CO monthly means (Fig 8) can be explained almost completely by biomass burning emissions. CH_4 in MUSICAv0 is prescribed in the lower vertical layers, which is the reason the standard deviation of $CO_{met0.75}$ is close to 0. Notice that there might still be minor variations due to the changes in OH concentrations, however.

A noticeable fact is that there are only minor variations in CO_{ant}, and these are 303 mostly found in the region around São Paulo. Although the variability of CO_{bio} is close 304 to zero, the effects of most of the biogenic emissions is probably in the changes in bio-305 genic NMVOCs, and is therefore contained within the variability of CO_{nmvoc} . Although 306 we believe that CO_{bb} is likely overestimated in the model, its dominant role in monthly 307 variability remains true even if the total burden of CO_{bb} would be divided by three. It 308 is therefore reasonable to focus mostly on CO_{bb} to understand the changes and sources 309 of CO variability over the course the year. 310

311 312

3.3.2 Temporal and geographical analysis of biomass burning primary CO

In the right column of Fig. 7 the burden of the different CO_{bb} tags are shown for selected regions. For all of SAM, CO_{bb} ranges from 16% of the total CO in January to



Figure 7. Stacked bar plot of the burden of CO for each tag. The left column represents global tags by CO source, whereas the right column represents the geographical tags for CO_{bb} . The regions are defined as shown in Fig. 2a). Note that each region has different areas and, therefore, the total burden should not be compared directly with each other. The sum of NWSAm, NESAm and SSAm does, however, equal All SAm.



Figure 8. Standard deviation of the monthly means for each global tag. a) is the total CO, b) is anthropogenic primary CO, c) is the biogenic primary CO, d) is the ocean primary CO, f) is the biogenic primary CO, g) is the secondary CO derived from methane with a yield of 0.75 and h) is the non-methane derived secondary CO.

47% of the total CO in September. Looking at the individual CO_{bb} tags (see Fig. 2a),
it is clear that a large portion of the CO_{bb} is from Africa all year round. As a percentage of the total CO burden in all of SAM, it ranges from 5% in November to 14% of the
total CO in September. This applies even to SSAm, which is furthest away from Africa.
In SSAm, it is 4%-9% of the total CO burden. As a percentage of the total primary biomass
burning CO burden, it is 19%-48%, and is the largest contributor up to the Amazon fires
in August.

The biomass burning pattern over the Amazon (i.e., NWSAm) is clearly visible. 322 323 The contribution of $CO_{bbNWSAm}$ to the total SAm burden during September is ~10 times larger than during May. It is worth noting that the peak of $CO_{bbNWSAm}$ in all of SAm 324 during September does not match the peak of $CO_{bbNWSAm}$ in the region of NWSAm dur-325 ing August. This is a clear sign of the long lifetime of CO, and slower fluxes in the re-326 gion, with the compound effects of CO slowly accumulating over the continent over mul-327 tiple months, causing a 1-month delay in the peak. During 2019, there is also a compound 328 effect of the SSAf and Amazonian fires, which have their maximum contribution to the 329 burden during August and September. 2019 was a year of few biomass burning events 330 in SSAm, which is reflected in its low contribution to the CO_{bb} burden. 331

Africa has two clearly distinct fire seasons, north and south of the equator. Trans-332 port from African biomass burning into the Amazon has been reported in previous stud-333 ies, and its effects in the aerosol cycling have been extensively researched (Barkley et al., 334 2019; Holanda et al., 2023). We quantify the impact of African biomass burning emis-335 sions for CO, and find that the African contribution to the total CO burden in NWSAm 336 ranges from 4% in November to 15% in September. The magnitude of the effect in Septem-337 ber is especially relevant, taking into account that it is the month with the overall largest 338 CO burden in that region. NESAm is the entry point of fluxes from Central Africa into 339 South America. This remains true during both African biomass burning seasons (north 340 and south of the equator). In NESAm, the CO_{bbSSAf} burden is 79% of the total CO_{bb} 341 in February, although this only accounts to 15% of the total CO burden in the region. 342 In November, the month with the lowest percentage contribution, it is only about 6%343 of the total CO burden, but remains at 20% of the CO_{bb}. 344

In SSAm, while biomass burning from NWSAm and SSAf are estimated to be pre dominant during most of the year, the effects of the Australian fires becomes apparent
 during the peak biomass burning in December 2019.

348

3.3.3 South America's effect on the rest of the world

In Fig. 9 we demonstrate the effects of the South American CO primary emissions 349 on the rest of the world. During the biomass burning season, SAm primary emissions 350 can increase the CO concentration considerably in the entire Southern Hemisphere, with 351 a large effect over the southern tip of Africa (up to 50 ppbv in the column averaged mole 352 fraction in our simulation, which accounts for about 30% of the total CO concentration). 353 Following wind patterns, CO travels towards the Pacific Ocean in the northeast, and to-354 wards the west over the Atlantic at about $15^{\circ}S-30^{\circ}S$ of the continent. The results also 355 show effects over SEA and Australia, reaching up to 30 ppbv during October (${\sim}25\%$ of 356 the total CO concentration over these regions). 357

The effects over the Northern Hemisphere are overall minor and are limited to the oceans or close to the equator because of the much higher CO contributions from NH sources. Fig. 9 shows a relatively strong flux of CO from the northern Amazon into the tropical Pacific Ocean.

The CO that is transported to the tropical Pacific gets well mixed zonally, and some of it might reenter the continent from the east.



Figure 9. Summary of the effect of South American primary emissions over the rest of the world. In subplot a), the yearly mean is shown. In subplot b), we show the monthly mean for May, a month with low BB emissions, and in subplot c), September is chosen as an example of a month with large biomass burning over the Amazon.

364 4 Conclusions

365

The main conclusions from this paper can be summarized as follows:

- We present the first application and evaluation of MUSICAv0 to the entire South American continent. Our refined grid (ne30x4) includes a global 1°x1° model with a refinement up to 28 km over South America. We present the results for the year 2019 and evaluate the impact of the refined grid. The simulated trace gases and dynamics are comparable to the standard configuration of CAM-Chem with a spectral element dynamical core and standard grid configuration (ne30).
- We quantify the CO budget for the year 2019, and characterize the contribution from different emission/chemical sources and geographical origin using CO tags. The biomass burning emissions play an overwhelming role in the continental budget, and are the main factor of temporal variability. They also explain the majority of the temporal variability in CO columns. However, our comparison with MO-PITT suggests that FINN2.5 is overestimating biomass burning emissions in the Southern Hemisphere.
- 3. The effects of the model spatial resolution leads to minor changes in the CO budget, driven by changes in the chemical production and loss. Higher resolution implies more localized biogenic emissions of multiple species (including isoprene), which in turn affects OH. There are also minor variations in the temperature, which can have a large effect on isoprene emissions. Changes in temperature and other meteorological parameters will also affect atmospheric chemistry per se.
- 4. Biomass burning activities in Africa are a relevant source of CO in all of SAm, including the South. This is the case during for all seasons, in the early months of 2019 we find a cross-equatorial flux of African biomass sources. Outside of the Amazon biomass burning season, they represent the largest source of CO_{bb}.
- 5. CO is estimated to have a long chemical lifetime over the Amazon in our simu-389 lations, determined by low OH concentrations. This is likely due to large isoprene 390 emissions. The biogenic emissions over the Amazon play two different roles in the 391 CO budget. As VOC emitters, they are a relevant source of secondary CO. At the 392 same time, they destroy OH, leading to a longer chemical lifetime, but lower chem-393 ical production and loss. Further study is needed to understand the exact net ef-394 fect, and would require a more complex tagged CO production from biogenic NMVOCs 395 to be included in the model. 396

^{6.} SAm's primary emissions are relevant contributors to CO in the SH, but show only minor influence on the NH. The largest effects in the SH are over the southern tip

of Africa, with smaller but relevant effects over the Maritime Continent, New Zealand, Australia and South East Asia.

401 Future perspectives

Understanding the CO budget and chemistry in South America is far from a well 402 characterized problem yet is essential to understanding air quality and human and en-403 vironmental impacts. For modeling studies, there is a need to further tackle the emis-404 sion inventories, understand sources of model biases and correct for them. Some useful 405 and important work has been done in regard to improving anthropogenic inventories (Ibarra-406 Espinosa et al., 2018; Castesana et al., 2022; Álamos et al., 2022), but there is no region-407 ally concerted effort to maintain a high quality anthropogenic emission inventory for the whole region which is regularly updated. As shown in this paper, at the same time tack-409 ling uncertainties in biomass burning sources is of very high importance. 410

Measurement campaigns have been performed in different parts of the continent, but there are few operational urban air quality stations and even fewer over remote sites. These sites are located mostly in the Amazon, parts of Chile, and Colombia. There are also relevant observatories, like the Amazon Tall Tower Observatory, the Chacaltaya and the Ushuaia GAW stations. However, coverage is rather sparse and there are many regions without relevant observations. In addition, data access and availability is a major obstacle, e.g., Argentina lacks a centralized database to access available data.

With the formation of the Latin America Early Career Earth System Scientist Network (Yáñez-Serrano et al., 2022) and the Southern Hemisphere Working Group of the
International Global Atmospheric Chemistry (IGAC) project (Paton-Walsh et al., 2022),
a stronger scientific community is starting to focus on the region.

Further studies and observations are needed, especially in the southern part of the continent (i.e., SSAm). There, there is also a large amount of small fires that might not be captured by the satellites, and large territories with no observation sites, which could provide useful information on CO chemistry and air quality in general.

426 Open Research

CESM2.2 (including MUSICAv0) is a publicly released version of the Community 427 Earth System Model that is available at https://www.cesm.ucar.edu/ (last access: 15 428 March 2023). The MERRA-2 data and FINN2.5 are available at the National Center for 429 Atmospheric Research's Research Data Archive (Atmospheric Chemistry Observations 430 & Modeling, National Center for Atmospheric Research, University Corporation for At-431 mospheric Research & Climate and Global Dynamics Division, National Center for At-432 mospheric Research, University Corporation for Atmospheric Research, 2018). The CAMS-433 GLOB-ANT v5.3 and CAMS-GLOB-AIR v2.1 anthropogenic emissions are available at 434 https://eccad.sedoo.fr/#/catalogue (last access: 15 March 2023). The MOPITT 435 gridded monthly means are available at https://search.earthdata.nasa.gov/ (last 436 access: 3 September 2023). 437

438 Acknowledgments

This material is based upon work supported by the National Center for Atmospheric
Research, which is a major facility sponsored by the National Science Foundation under Cooperative Agreement No. 1852977. Computing resources were provided by NSF
NCAR's Computational and Information Systems Laboratory (CISL). This work also
used resources of the Deutsches Klimarechenzentrum (DKRZ) granted by its Scientific
Steering Committee (WLA) under project IDs bm1234 and mh0735. The authors would

 $_{\tt 445}$ also like to acknowledge the EU Horizon 2020 Marie Skłodowska-Curie project PAPILA

(grant no. 777544; MSCA action for research and innovation staff exchange).

447 References

448	Álamos, N., Huneeus, N., Opazo, M., Osses, M., Puja, S., Pantoja, N., Calvo,
449	R. (2022). High-resolution inventory of atmospheric emissions from transport,
450	industrial, energy, mining and residential activities in Chile. Earth System
451	Science Data, 14(1), 361–379. doi: 10.5194/essd-14-361-2022
452	Atmospheric Chemistry Observations & Modeling, National Center for Atmospheric
453	Research, University Corporation for Atmospheric Research, & Climate and
454	Global Dynamics Division, National Center for Atmospheric Research, Univer-
455	sity Corporation for Atmospheric Research. (2018). Merra2 global atmosphere
456	forcing data. Boulder CO: Research Data Archive at the National Center for
457	Atmospheric Research, Computational and Information Systems Laboratory.
458	Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.5065/XVAQ-2X07
459	Barkley, A. E., Prospero, J. M., Mahowald, N., Hamilton, D. S., Popendorf, K. J.,
460	Oehlert, A. M., Gaston, C. J. (2019). African biomass burning is a sub-
461	stantial source of phosphorus deposition to the Amazon, Tropical Atlantic
462	Ocean, and Southern Ocean. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences,
463	116(33), 16216-16221. doi: $10.1073/pnas.1906091116$
464	Bogenschutz, P. A., Gettelman, A., Morrison, H., Larson, V. E., Craig, C., & Scha-
465	nen, D. P. (2013). Higher-Order Turbulence Closure and Its Impact on Cli-
466	mate Simulations in the Community Atmosphere Model. Journal of Climate,
467	26(23), 9655-9676. doi: 10.1175/jcli-d-13-00075.1
468	Castesana, P., Resquin, M. D., Huneeus, N., Puliafito, E., Darras, S., Gómez, D.,
469	Dawidowski, L. (2022). PAPILA dataset: a regional emission inven-
470	tory of reactive gases for South America based on the combination of local
471	and global information. Earth System Science Data, $14(1)$, $271-293$. doi:
472	10.5194/essd-14-271-2022
473	Danabasoglu, G., Lamarque, JF., Bacmeister, J., Bailey, D. A., DuVivier, A. K.,
474	Edwards, J., Strand, W. G. (2020). The Community Earth System Model
475	Version 2 (CESM2). Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 12(2).
476	001: 10.1029/2019ms001910
477	Daskalakis, N., Gallardo, L., Kanakidou, M., Nub, J. R., Menares, C., Rondanelli,
478	intrusions in the remote South Pacific Ocean tronognhere. Atmospheric Chem
479	intrusions in the remote South Fachic Ocean troposphere. Atmospheric Chem-
480	Dakkor I N Houwaling S Abon I Böckmann T Krol M Martínaz Alansa
481	S Worden H M (2017) Quantification of CO emissions from
402	the city of Madrid using MOPITT satellite retrievals and WBF simula-
403	tions Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics $17(23)$ 14675–14694 doi:
485	10.5194/acp-17-14675-2017
486	de Miranda, P. L. S., Dexter, K. G., Swaine, M. D., de Oliveira-Filho, A. T., Hardy,
487	O. J., & Favolle, A. (2022). Dissecting the difference in tree species richness
488	between Africa and South America. Proceedings of the National Academy of
489	Sciences, 119(14). doi: 10.1073/pnas.2112336119
490	Duncan, B. N., Logan, J. A., Bey, I., Megretskaia, I. A., Yantosca, R. M., Novelli,
491	P. C., Rinsland, C. P. (2007). Global budget of CO, 1988-1997: Source
492	estimates and validation with a global model. Journal of Geophysical Research,
493	112(D22). doi: 10.1029/2007jd008459
494	Edwards, D. P. (2004). Observations of carbon monoxide and aerosols from the terra
495	satellite: Northern hemisphere variability. Journal of Geophysical Research,
496	109(D24). doi: $10.1029/2004$ jd004727
497	Emmons, L. K., Schwantes, R. H., Orlando, J. J., Tyndall, G., Kinnison, D., Lamar-

498	que, JF., Pétron, G. (2020). The Chemistry Mechanism in the Com-
499	munity Earth System Model Version 2 (CESM2). Journal of Advances in
500	Modeling Earth Systems, 12(4). doi: 10.1029/2019ms001882
501	Fisher, J. A., Murray, L. T., Jones, D. B. A., & Deutscher, N. M. (2017). Improved
502	method for linear carbon monoxide simulation and source attribution in at-
503	mospheric chemistry models illustrated using GEOS-Chem v9. <i>Geoscientific</i>
504	Model Development, 10(11), 4129–4144. doi: 10.5194/gmd-10-4129-2017
505	Fisher, R. A., & Koven, C. D. (2020). Perspectives on the Future of Land
506	Surface Models and the Challenges of Representing Complex Terrestrial
507	Systems. Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 12(4). doi:
508	10.1029/2018ms001453
509	Gaubert, B., Arellano, A. F., Barré, J., Worden, H. M., Emmons, L. K., Tilmes, S.,
510	Jones, N. (2016). Toward a chemical reanalysis in a coupled chemistry-
511	climate model: An evaluation of MOPITT CO assimilation and its impact on
512	tropospheric composition. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres.
513	121(12), 7310–7343. doi: 10.1002/2016jd024863
514	Gaubert, B., Edwards, D. P., Anderson, J. L., Arellano, A. F., Barré, J., Buchholz,
515	R. R., Ziskin, D. (2023). Global Scale Inversions from MOPITT CO and
516	MODIS AOD. Remote Sensing, 15(19), 4813, doi: 10.3390/rs15194813
517	Gaubert B Emmons L K Baeder K Tilmes S Miyazaki K Jr A F A
518	Diskin, G. S. (2020). Correcting model biases of CO in East Asia: impact on
519	oxidant distributions during KORUS-AQ. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics.
520	20(23), 14617–14647. doi: 10.5194/acp-20-14617-2020
521	Gaubert, B., Worden, H. M., Arellano, A. F. J., Emmons, L. K., Tilmes, S., Barré,
522	J., Edwards, D. P. (2017). Chemical Feedback From Decreasing Carbon
523	Monoxide Emissions. <i>Geophysical Research Letters</i> , 44 (19), 9985–9995. doi:
524	10.1002/2017gl074987
525	Gelaro, B., McCarty, W., Suárez, M. J., Todling, B., Molod, A., Takacs, L.,
526	Zhao, B. (2017). The Modern-Era Retrospective Analysis for Research and
527	Applications, Version 2 (MERRA-2), Journal of Climate, 30(14), 5419–5454.
528	doi: 10.1175/jcli-d-16-0758.1
529	Gettelman, A., & Morrison, H. (2015). Advanced Two-Moment Bulk Micro-
530	physics for Global Models. Part i: Off-Line Tests and Comparison with
531	Other Schemes. Journal of Climate, 28(3), 1268–1287. doi: 10.1175/
532	jcli-d-14-00102.1
533	Grant, A., Archibald, A. T., Cooke, M. C., & Shallcross, D. E. (2010). Mod-
534	elling the oxidation of seventeen volatile organic compounds to track yields
535	of CO and CO2. Atmospheric Environment, 44(31), 3797–3804. doi:
536	10.1016/j.atmosenv.2010.06.049
537	
538	Guenther, A. B., Jiang, X., Heald, C. L., Sakulyanontvittaya, T., Duhl, T., Em-
	Guenther, A. B., Jiang, X., Heald, C. L., Sakulyanontvittaya, T., Duhl, T., Em- mons, L. K., & Wang, X. (2012). The Model of Emissions of Gases and
539	 Guenther, A. B., Jiang, X., Heald, C. L., Sakulyanontvittaya, T., Duhl, T., Emmons, L. K., & Wang, X. (2012). The Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature version 2.1 (MEGAN2.1): an extended and updated
539 540	 Guenther, A. B., Jiang, X., Heald, C. L., Sakulyanontvittaya, T., Duhl, T., Emmons, L. K., & Wang, X. (2012). The Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature version 2.1 (MEGAN2.1): an extended and updated framework for modeling biogenic emissions. <i>Geoscientific Model Development</i>,
539 540 541	 Guenther, A. B., Jiang, X., Heald, C. L., Sakulyanontvittaya, T., Duhl, T., Emmons, L. K., & Wang, X. (2012). The Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature version 2.1 (MEGAN2.1): an extended and updated framework for modeling biogenic emissions. <i>Geoscientific Model Development</i>, 5(6), 1471–1492. doi: 10.5194/gmd-5-1471-2012
539 540 541 542	 Guenther, A. B., Jiang, X., Heald, C. L., Sakulyanontvittaya, T., Duhl, T., Emmons, L. K., & Wang, X. (2012). The Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature version 2.1 (MEGAN2.1): an extended and updated framework for modeling biogenic emissions. <i>Geoscientific Model Development</i>, 5(6), 1471–1492. doi: 10.5194/gmd-5-1471-2012 Holanda, B. A., Franco, M. A., Walter, D., Artaxo, P., Carbone, S., Cheng, Y.,
539 540 541 542 543	 Guenther, A. B., Jiang, X., Heald, C. L., Sakulyanontvittaya, T., Duhl, T., Emmons, L. K., & Wang, X. (2012). The Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature version 2.1 (MEGAN2.1): an extended and updated framework for modeling biogenic emissions. <i>Geoscientific Model Development</i>, 5(6), 1471–1492. doi: 10.5194/gmd-5-1471-2012 Holanda, B. A., Franco, M. A., Walter, D., Artaxo, P., Carbone, S., Cheng, Y., Pöhlker, C. (2023). African biomass burning affects aerosol cycling
539 540 541 542 543 544	 Guenther, A. B., Jiang, X., Heald, C. L., Sakulyanontvittaya, T., Duhl, T., Emmons, L. K., & Wang, X. (2012). The Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature version 2.1 (MEGAN2.1): an extended and updated framework for modeling biogenic emissions. <i>Geoscientific Model Development</i>, 5(6), 1471–1492. doi: 10.5194/gmd-5-1471-2012 Holanda, B. A., Franco, M. A., Walter, D., Artaxo, P., Carbone, S., Cheng, Y., Pöhlker, C. (2023). African biomass burning affects aerosol cycling over the amazon. <i>Communications Earth & Marpharet Environment</i>, 4(1). doi:
539 540 541 542 543 544 544	 Guenther, A. B., Jiang, X., Heald, C. L., Sakulyanontvittaya, T., Duhl, T., Emmons, L. K., & Wang, X. (2012). The Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature version 2.1 (MEGAN2.1): an extended and updated framework for modeling biogenic emissions. Geoscientific Model Development, 5(6), 1471–1492. doi: 10.5194/gmd-5-1471-2012 Holanda, B. A., Franco, M. A., Walter, D., Artaxo, P., Carbone, S., Cheng, Y., Pöhlker, C. (2023). African biomass burning affects aerosol cycling over the amazon. Communications Earth & Eamp Environment, 4(1). doi: 10.1038/s43247-023-00795-5
539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546	 Guenther, A. B., Jiang, X., Heald, C. L., Sakulyanontvittaya, T., Duhl, T., Emmons, L. K., & Wang, X. (2012). The Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature version 2.1 (MEGAN2.1): an extended and updated framework for modeling biogenic emissions. <i>Geoscientific Model Development</i>, 5(6), 1471–1492. doi: 10.5194/gmd-5-1471-2012 Holanda, B. A., Franco, M. A., Walter, D., Artaxo, P., Carbone, S., Cheng, Y., Pöhlker, C. (2023). African biomass burning affects aerosol cycling over the amazon. <i>Communications Earth & amp Environment</i>, 4(1). doi: 10.1038/s43247-023-00795-5 Ibarra-Espinosa, S., Ynoue, R., O'Sullivan, S., Pebesma, E., de Fátima Andrade,
539 540 541 542 543 544 545 545 546 547	 Guenther, A. B., Jiang, X., Heald, C. L., Sakulyanontvittaya, T., Duhl, T., Emmons, L. K., & Wang, X. (2012). The Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature version 2.1 (MEGAN2.1): an extended and updated framework for modeling biogenic emissions. Geoscientific Model Development, 5(6), 1471–1492. doi: 10.5194/gmd-5-1471-2012 Holanda, B. A., Franco, M. A., Walter, D., Artaxo, P., Carbone, S., Cheng, Y., Pöhlker, C. (2023). African biomass burning affects aerosol cycling over the amazon. Communications Earth & amp Environment, 4(1). doi: 10.1038/s43247-023-00795-5 Ibarra-Espinosa, S., Ynoue, R., O'Sullivan, S., Pebesma, E., de Fátima Andrade, M., & Osses, M. (2018). VEIN v0.2.2: an R package for bottom–up vehicular
539 540 541 542 543 544 545 545 546 547 548	 Guenther, A. B., Jiang, X., Heald, C. L., Sakulyanontvittaya, T., Duhl, T., Emmons, L. K., & Wang, X. (2012). The Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature version 2.1 (MEGAN2.1): an extended and updated framework for modeling biogenic emissions. Geoscientific Model Development, 5(6), 1471–1492. doi: 10.5194/gmd-5-1471-2012 Holanda, B. A., Franco, M. A., Walter, D., Artaxo, P., Carbone, S., Cheng, Y., Pöhlker, C. (2023). African biomass burning affects aerosol cycling over the amazon. Communications Earth & amp Environment, 4(1). doi: 10.1038/s43247-023-00795-5 Ibarra-Espinosa, S., Ynoue, R., O'Sullivan, S., Pebesma, E., de Fátima Andrade, M., & Osses, M. (2018). VEIN v0.2.2: an R package for bottom–up vehicular emissions inventories. Geoscientific Model Development, 11(6), 2209–2229. doi:
539 540 541 542 543 544 545 545 546 547 548 549	 Guenther, A. B., Jiang, X., Heald, C. L., Sakulyanontvittaya, T., Duhl, T., Emmons, L. K., & Wang, X. (2012). The Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature version 2.1 (MEGAN2.1): an extended and updated framework for modeling biogenic emissions. Geoscientific Model Development, 5(6), 1471–1492. doi: 10.5194/gmd-5-1471-2012 Holanda, B. A., Franco, M. A., Walter, D., Artaxo, P., Carbone, S., Cheng, Y., Pöhlker, C. (2023). African biomass burning affects aerosol cycling over the amazon. Communications Earth & mp Environment, 4(1). doi: 10.1038/s43247-023-00795-5 Ibarra-Espinosa, S., Ynoue, R., O'Sullivan, S., Pebesma, E., de Fátima Andrade, M., & Osses, M. (2018). VEIN v0.2.2: an R package for bottom–up vehicular emissions inventories. Geoscientific Model Development, 11(6), 2209–2229. doi: 10.5194/gmd-11-2209-2018
539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550	 Guenther, A. B., Jiang, X., Heald, C. L., Sakulyanontvittaya, T., Duhl, T., Emmons, L. K., & Wang, X. (2012). The Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature version 2.1 (MEGAN2.1): an extended and updated framework for modeling biogenic emissions. Geoscientific Model Development, 5(6), 1471–1492. doi: 10.5194/gmd-5-1471-2012 Holanda, B. A., Franco, M. A., Walter, D., Artaxo, P., Carbone, S., Cheng, Y., Pöhlker, C. (2023). African biomass burning affects aerosol cycling over the amazon. Communications Earth & Environment, 4(1). doi: 10.1038/s43247-023-00795-5 Ibarra-Espinosa, S., Ynoue, R., O'Sullivan, S., Pebesma, E., de Fátima Andrade, M., & Osses, M. (2018). VEIN v0.2.2: an R package for bottom-up vehicular emissions inventories. Geoscientific Model Development, 11(6), 2209–2229. doi: 10.5194/gmd-11-2209-2018 Jacob, D. J., & Wofsy, S. C. (1990). Budgets of reactive nitrogen, hydrocar-
539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551	 Guenther, A. B., Jiang, X., Heald, C. L., Sakulyanontvittaya, T., Duhl, T., Emmons, L. K., & Wang, X. (2012). The Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature version 2.1 (MEGAN2.1): an extended and updated framework for modeling biogenic emissions. Geoscientific Model Development, 5(6), 1471–1492. doi: 10.5194/gmd-5-1471-2012 Holanda, B. A., Franco, M. A., Walter, D., Artaxo, P., Carbone, S., Cheng, Y., Pöhlker, C. (2023). African biomass burning affects aerosol cycling over the amazon. Communications Earth & Environment, 4(1). doi: 10.1038/s43247-023-00795-5 Ibarra-Espinosa, S., Ynoue, R., O'Sullivan, S., Pebesma, E., de Fátima Andrade, M., & Osses, M. (2018). VEIN v0.2.2: an R package for bottom–up vehicular emissions inventories. Geoscientific Model Development, 11(6), 2209–2229. doi: 10.5194/gmd-11-2209-2018 Jacob, D. J., & Wofsy, S. C. (1990). Budgets of reactive nitrogen, hydrocarbons, and ozone over the amazon forest during the wet season. Jour-

553	10.1029/jd095id10p16737
554	Jo, D. S., Emmons, L. K., Callaghan, P., Tilmes, S., Woo, JH., Kim, Y.,
555	Kanaya, Y. (2023). Comparison of Urban Air Quality Simulations During
556	the KORUS-AQ Campaign With Regionally Refined Versus Global Uniform
557	Grids in the Multi-Scale Infrastructure for Chemistry and Aerosols (MU-
558	SICA) Version 0. Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 15(7). doi:
550	10.1029/2022ms003458
560	Io D S Hodzic A Emmons I. K Tilmes S Schwantes B H Mills M I
500	Jimenez I. L. (2021) Future changes in isoprene-epoxydial-derived secondary
501	organia perced (IFPOX SOA) under the Shared Socioeconomia Dethypus:
562	the importance of physicochemical dependency. Atmospheric Chemistry and
503	Densing 01(5) 2305 2425 doi: 10.5104/non.21.2305.2021
564	1 itysics, 21(5), 5555-5425. doi: $10.5154/acp-21-5555-2021$
565	Lauritzen, P. H., Nair, R. D., Herrington, A. R., Callagnan, P., Goldnaber, S., Den-
566	A Defermination of the Superturb Element Demonstrate Company Mars Mars
567	A Reformulation of the Spectral Element Dynamical Core in Dry-Mass Verti-
568	cal Coordinates with Comprehensive Treatment of Condensates and Energy.
569	Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, $IU(7)$, $1537-1570$. doi:
570	10.1029/2017ms001257
571	Lawrence, D. M., Fisher, R. A., Koven, C. D., Oleson, K. W., Swenson, S. C., Bo-
572	nan, G., Zeng, X. (2019). The Community Land Model Version 5: De-
573	scription of New Features, Benchmarking, and Impact of Forcing Uncertainty.
574	Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, $11(12)$, $4245-4287$. doi:
575	10.1029/2018 ms 001583
576	Levy, H. (1971). Normal Atmosphere: Large Radical and Formaldehyde Concentra-
577	tions Predicted. Science, 173 (3992), 141–143. doi: 10.1126/science.173.3992
578	.141
579	Liu, X., Ma, PL., Wang, H., Tilmes, S., Singh, B., Easter, R. C., Rasch,
580	P. J. (2016). Description and evaluation of a new four-mode version of the
581	Modal Aerosol Module (MAM4) within version 5.3 of the Community At-
582	mosphere Model. $Geoscientific Model Development, 9(2), 505-522.$ doi:
583	10.5194/gmd-9-505-2016
584	Müller, JF., Stavrakou, T., Bauwens, M., George, M., Hurtmans, D., Coheur,
585	PF Sweeney, C. (2018). Top-Down CO Emissions Based On IASI Ob-
586	servations and Hemispheric Constraints on OH Levels Geonhusical Research
500	Letters $15(3)$ 1621–1629 doi: 10.1002/2017gl076697
507	Naik V Voulgarakis & Fiore & M Horowitz I. W Lamarque L-F Lin
500	M Zong C (2013) Preindustrial to present-day changes in trong-
589	spheric hydroxyl radical and mathana lifetime from the Atmospheric Chem
590	istry and Climate Model Intercomparison P10 1020/2010gl085706roject
591	(ACCMIP) Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics 12(10) 5277-5208 doi:
592	(AOOMIT). Atmospheric Oremistry and Physics, $15(10)$, $5211-5256$. (10).
593	Novelli D C (2002) Dependencia of the population CO there does Effects of the
594	1007 1009 mildfred Lower of Combining December 100(D17)
595	1991-1990 when these Journal of Geophysical Research, 108 (D15). doi: 10.1020/2000; J002021
596	10.1029/20020000001
597	Noischer, A. C., Yanez-Serrano, A. M., Wolff, S., de Araujo, A. C., Lavrič, J. V.,
598	Kesselmeier, J., & Williams, J. (2016). Unexpected seasonality in quantity and
599	composition of amazon rainforest air reactivity. Nature Communications, $\gamma(1)$.
600	doi: 10.1038/ncomms10383
601	Paton-Walsh, C., Emmerson, K. M., Garland, R. M., Keywood, M., Hoelzemann,
602	J. J., Huneeus, N., Olivares, G. (2022). Key challenges for tropospheric
603	chemistry in the Southern Hemisphere. Elementa: Science of the Anthro-
604	pocene, 10(1). doi: 10.1525/elementa.2021.00050
605	Pfister, G. G., Eastham, S. D., Arellano, A. F., Aumont, B., Barsanti, K. C., Barth,
606	M. C., Brasseur, G. P. (2020). The Multi-Scale Infrastructure for Chem-
607	istry and Aerosols (MUSICA). Bulletin of the American Meteorological Soci-

608	ety, 101(10), E1743-E1760. doi: 10.1175/bams-d-19-0331.1
609	Pfister, G. G., Emmons, L. K., Hess, P. G., Lamarque, JF., Orlando, J. J., Wal-
610	ters, S., Lawrence, P. J. (2008). Contribution of isoprene to chem-
611	ical budgets: A model tracer study with the NCAR CTM MOZART-4.
612	Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 113(D5), n/a–n/a, doi:
613	10.1029/2007id008948
615	Schwantos B H. Lacov F C. Tilmos S. Emmons I. K. Lauritzon P H. Wal
614	tors S Wistbalar A (2022) Evaluating the Impact of Chamical Com
615	playity and Havigantal Pagalutian on Transgraphysic Orang Over the Conten
616	minous US With a Clabal Variable Desolution Chemistry Model
617	minous US with a Giobal variable Resolution Chemistry Model. Journal of A_{1} A_{2} A_{3} A_{4} A_{4
618	Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 14(6). doi: 10.1029/2021ms002889
619	Seinfeld, J. H., & Pandis, S. N. (2016). Atmospheric chemistry and physics: from air
620	pollution to climate change. John Wiley & Sons.
621	Shindell, D. T., Faluvegi, G., Stevenson, D. S., Krol, M. C., Emmons, L. K., Lamar-
622	que, JF., Zeng, G. (2006). Multimodel simulations of carbon monoxide:
623	Comparison with observations and projected near-future changes. Journal of
624	Geophysical Research, 111(D19). doi: 10.1029/2006jd007100
625	Soulie, A., Granier, C., Darras, S., Zilbermann, N., Doumbia, T., Guevara, M.,
626	Smith, S. (2023). Global Anthropogenic Emissions (CAMS-GLOB-
627	ANT) for the Copernicus Atmosphere Monitoring Service Simulations of
628	Air Quality Forecasts and Reanalyses. Earth Syst. Sci. Data Discuss doi:
629	10.5194/essd-2023-306
630	Stein, O., Schultz, M. G., Bouarar, L. Clark, H., Huijnen, V., Gaudel, A., Cler-
631	baux, C. (2014). On the wintertime low bias of Northern Hemisphere carbon
632	monoxide found in global model simulations. Atmospheric Chemistry and
633	Physics 1/(17) 9295–9316 doi: 10.5194/acn-14-9295-2014
634	Stone D Whalley L K & Heard D E (2012) Tropospheric OH and HO2 rad-
625	icals: field measurements and model comparisons Chemical Society Reviews
636	/1(19) 6348 doi: 10.1039/c2cs35140d
630	Tang W Emmons I. K. Buchholz B. B. Wiedinmver C. Schwantes B. H. He
637	C Campos T I (2022) Effects of fire diurnal variation and plumo rice
638	on U.S. air quality during FIDEX AO and WE CAN based on the Multi Scale
639	Infragtructure for Chemistry and Apresels (MUSICArd) Journal of Combusi
640	al Research: Atmospheres, doi: 10.1020/2022id026650
641	$m \qquad \text{W} = 1 \qquad \text{K} \qquad \text{L} \qquad \text{K} \qquad \text{L} \qquad \text{C} \qquad \text{L} \qquad \text{L} \qquad \text{C} \qquad$
642	lang, W., Emmons, L. K., Jr, A. F. A., Gaubert, B., Knote, C., Himes, S.,
643	Kim, D. (2019). Source contributions to carbon monoxide concentrations dur-
644	ing KORUS-AQ based on CAM-chem model applications. Journal of Geophys-
645	<i>ical Research: Atmospheres</i> , 124(5), 2796–2822. doi: 10.1029/2018jd029151
646	Tang, W., Emmons, L. K., Worden, H. M., Kumar, R., He, C., Gaubert, B.,
647	Levelt, P. (2023). Application of the multi-scale infrastructure for
648	chemistry and aerosols version 0 (MUSICAv0) for air quality research
649	in africa. $Geoscientific Model Development, 16(20), 6001-6028.$ doi:
650	10.5194/gmd-16-6001-2023
651	Tilmes, S., Hodzic, A., Emmons, L. K., Mills, M. J., Gettelman, A., Kinnison, D. E.,
652	Liu, X. (2019). Climate Forcing and Trends of Organic Aerosols in the
653	Community Earth System Model (CESM2). Journal of Advances in Modeling
654	Earth Systems, $11(12)$, $4323-4351$. doi: $10.1029/2019$ ms001827
655	Watson, C. E., Fishman, J., & Reichle, H. G. (1990). The significance of biomass
656	burning as a source of carbon monoxide and ozone in the southern hemisphere
657	tropics: A satellite analysis. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres,
658	95 (D10), 16443–16450. doi: 10.1029/jd095id10p16443
659	Wiedinmyer, C., Kimura, Y., McDonald-Buller, E. C., Emmons, L. K., Buchholz.
660	R. R., Tang, W., Yokelson, R. (2023). The Fire Inventory from NCAR
661	version 2.5: an updated global fire emissions model for climate and chem-
662	istry applications. Geoscientific Model Development, 16(13), 3873–3891. doi:

663	10.5194/gmd-16-3873-2023
664	Yáñez-Serrano, A. M., Aguilos, M., Barbosa, C., Bolaño-Ortiz, T. R., Carbone, S.,
665	Díaz-López, S., Tzompa-Sosa, Z. A. (2022). The latin america early ca-
666	reer earth system scientist network (LAECESS): addressing present and future
667	challenges of the upcoming generations of scientists in the region. npj Climate
668	and Atmospheric Science, 5(1). doi: 10.1038/s41612-022-00300-3
669	Zhang, G., & McFarlane, N. A. (1995). Sensitivity of climate simulations to
670	the parameterization of cumulus convection in the Canadian climate cen-

tre general circulation model. Atmosphere-Ocean, 33(3), 407-446. doi: 10.1080/07055900.1995.9649539

Multiscale CO budget estimates across South America: quantifying local sources and long range transport

Pablo Lichtig^{1,2}, Benjamin Gaubert³, Louisa K. Emmons³, Duseong S. Jo³, Patrick Callaghan³, Sergio Ibarra-Espinosa⁴, Laura Dawidowski¹, Guy P. Brasseur⁵, Gabriele Pfister³

 ¹National Comission of Atomic Energy, Buenos Aires, Argentina ²National Counsel of Science and Technology, Buenos Aires, Argentina ³National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, Co, USA ⁴National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration, Boulder, CO, USA ⁵Max Planck Institute for Meteorology, Hamburg, Germany 	A
--	---

Key Points:

3

4

5

11

17

12	•	We employ the Multi-Scale infrastructure for Chemistry and Aerosols to quantify
13		the budget of CO in South America during 2019.
14	•	Most of the variability in the CO burden is explained by the variability in biomass
15		burning emissions.
16	•	Biomass burning in Central Africa is a relevant contributor to CO in all of the con-

tinent, including the southern region.

-1-

Corresponding author: Guy Brasseur, guy.brasseur@mpimet.mpg.de

Corresponding author: Pablo Lichtig, pablolichtig@cnea.gov.ar

18 Abstract

South America is a large continent situated mostly in the Southern Hemisphere (SH) with 19 complex topography and diverse emissions sources. However, the atmospheric chemistry 20 of this region has been historically understudied. Here, we employ the Multi-Scale In-21 frastructure for Chemistry and Aerosols, a novel global circulation model with regional 22 refinement capabilities and full chemistry, to explore the sources and distribution of the 23 carbon monoxide (CO) tropospheric column in South America during 2019, and also to 24 assess the effect that South American primary emissions have over the rest of the world. 25 Most of the CO over South America can be explained either by NMVOC secondary chem-26 ical production or by biomass burning emissions, with biomass burning as the main ex-27 planation for the variability in CO. Biomass burning in Central Africa is a relevant con-28 tributor to CO in all of the continent, including the southern tip. Biogenic emissions play 29 a dual role in CO concentrations: they provide volatile organic compounds that contribute 30 to the secondary CO production, but they also destroy OH, which limits the chemical 31 production and destruction of CO. As a net effect, the lifetime of CO is extended to ~ 120 32 days on average over the Amazon, while still being in the range of 30–60 days in the rest 33 of South America. 34

³⁵ Plain Language Summary

We use the Multi-Scale Infrastructure for Chemistry and Aerosols, a global model with regional refinement, to study the origins of carbon monoxide (CO) in South America during 2019. The main sources of CO are the secondary production from non-volatile organic compounds and the biomass burning primary emissions. The main source of temporal variability in the whole are the biomass burning emissions. We show that biomass burning in central Africa is a relevant source of South American CO during all year in all of the continent, including the furthermost south.

43 **1** Introduction

Carbon monoxide (CO) is an atmospheric trace gas constituent that plays an im-44 portant role in tropospheric chemistry (Levy, 1971; Gaubert et al., 2017). Globally, the 45 CO primary sources result from incomplete combustion of fossil fuel from industrial, road 46 transportation and residential sectors, and from biomass burning, including for cooking, 47 heating and wildfires (Duncan et al., 2007). Other minor sources include biological pro-48 cesses, mainly by land's vegetation, with minor contributions from oceanic emissions. The 49 CO oxidation into CO_2 (Eq. 1) plays an essential role in atmospheric chemistry as a ma-50 jor sink of hydroxyl radical (OH), and a source of hydroperoxyl radical (HO_2) (Stone et 51 al., 2012). CO has a relatively long lifetime (weeks to months), and is mostly emitted 52 by anthropogenic emissions or biomass burning (Gaubert et al., 2016). Hence, it is of-53 ten used as a tracer for pollution sources and transport, and will be the main focus of 54 this study (Edwards, 2004). 55

$$CO + OH \xrightarrow{O_2} CO_2 + HO_2$$
 (1)

Around 50% of CO is formed in the atmosphere as a result of the oxidation of methane (CH₄) and non-methane volatile organic compounds (NMVOCs) (Duncan et al., 2007; Stein et al., 2014; Gaubert et al., 2016), either through photolysis or through multiple oxidation process. Aside from photolysis, most organic compounds including CH₄ and NMVOCs are oxidized mainly or exclusively by OH (Seinfeld & Pandis, 2016), as shown in Eq. 2. The oxidation process by OH produces organic peroxy radicals (RO₂), which can later produce CO through multiple reaction pathways.

$$\operatorname{RH}(\operatorname{CH}_4, \operatorname{NMVOC}) + \operatorname{OH} \xrightarrow{\operatorname{O}_2} \operatorname{RO}_2 + \operatorname{H}_2\operatorname{O} \longrightarrow \alpha CO$$
 (2)

The yield α varies according to oxidation pathways, including the NO_x levels, and prod-

ucts generated (Pfister et al., 2008; Grant et al., 2010). Therefore, the net effect of a change
 in OH on the CO budget is not always straightforward, as OH acts both as a source and

⁶⁶ a sink for CO (Gaubert et al., 2016, 2017).

CO has been studied extensively in the Northern Hemisphere where even the back-67 ground levels are almost twice as large as in the Southern Hemisphere (Novelli, 2003). 68 Comparisons with the satellite CO observations indicate that global models have remain-69 ing difficulties to simulate CO during the winter and spring with a strong underestima-70 71 tion in the Northern Hemisphere extratropics (Shindell et al., 2006), mostly because of underestimation in emissions (Stein et al., 2014; Gaubert et al., 2020) and representa-72 tion of the chemistry (Naik et al., 2013; Müller et al., 2018; Gaubert et al., 2023). High 73 CO amounts from biomass burning were first observed in the 1990's from the Measure-74 ment of Air Pollution from Satellites (MAPS) experiment (Watson et al., 1990). Biomass 75 burning emissions are the main driver of CO levels in the tropics and in the Southern 76 Hemisphere, explaining the inter-model differences in modeled CO levels, with a strong 77 interannual variability(Edwards, 2004; Shindell et al., 2006). 78

As reported by Paton-Walsh et al. (2022), the Southern Hemisphere, in contrast,
remains largely understudied. It holds only ~ 10% of the global population, and is ~80%
ocean. It also holds the cleanest atmospheric conditions, found in the Southern Ocean.
South America is a continent extending from 55.8 °S - 12.5 °N and 81.4 °W - 34.3 °W.
It has a large variety of vegetation, soil and climate regions (de Miranda et al., 2022),
ranging from the rainforests in the Amazon Basin to the desert in Atacama, the plains
in the Pampas, the Patagonian Steppe and the continental ice of southern Chile.

The goal of this study is to quantify the local and long range origins of CO in South America. We utilize the newly developed Multi-Scale Infrastructure for Chemistry and Aerosols version 0 (MUSICAv0) (Pfister et al., 2020; Schwantes et al., 2022) with regional mesh refinement over South America. This study is the first that applies MUSICA over South America. We employ a system of CO tagged tracers to quantify the CO budget and to identify geographical origins of CO by sources.

In section 2, we first describe the general model setup (subsec. 2.1) and a CO tagged 92 tracer mechanism (subsec. 2.2). We then describe the evaluation of the model results 93 using satellite data (subsec. 2.3) and the methodology to evaluate CO sources and CO 94 variability using the tags (subsec. 2.4). In section 3, we start by evaluating the model 95 with satellite CO data (subsec. 3.1). We then evaluate the CO budget both globally and 96 over South America, and compare the results of the MUSICAv0 refined simulation with 97 a standard, control run with a global coarse grid (subsec. 3.2). In section 3.3, we ana-98 lyze the effect on the burden and the variability, as well as the spatial distribution of the CO chemical lifetime. We further discuss the variability and geographical origin of CO 100 in 3.3.2. In section 3.3.3, we analyze the effect that South American primary emissions 101 are having in the CO burden over the rest of the world. Finally, we summarize our re-102 sults and conclusions in section 4, and close the paper discussing future perspectives. 103

104 2 Methods

105

2.1 Model description and setup

We use MUSICAv0, which is part of the Community Earth System Model (Danabasoglu et al., 2020) version 2.2 (CESM2.2), an open source Earth System Model maintained by the National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR). MUSICAv0 is a configuration of the Community Atmosphere Model with Chemistry (CAM-chem) (Emmons et al., 2020; Tilmes et al., 2019) with a spectral element (SE) dynamical core that allows for regional refinement (Lauritzen et al., 2018; Schwantes et al., 2022; Tang et al., 2022, 2023). The model is run coupled to the Community Land Model (CLM) v5.0 (Lawrence et al., 2019)



Figure 1. Model meshes

to interactively simulate land processes, the deposition of gases and aerosols and biogenic 113 emissions. The latter are estimated from the Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols 114 from Nature (MEGAN) version 2.1 (Guenther et al., 2012) and depend interactively on 115 the modeled temperature, solar radiation, leaf area index (LAI) and other modeled pa-116 rameters. We employ prescribed monthly LAI at 0.25° resolution, denoted as satellite 117 phenology. The effect resulting from this configuration is discussed in detail in Jo et al. 118 (2023). In this paper, we perform a global simulation including a mesh refinement over 119 South America (ne30x4, $[\sim 28 \text{ km}]$) and a control simulation at uniform resolution (ne30. 120 $[\sim 111 \text{ km}]$, as shown in Fig. 1. Unless otherwise stated for specific parameters, the two 121 simulations should be assumed to have the same setup. The ne30 simulation is run with 122 a physical time step of 1800 s, while the ne30x4 simulation uses a time step of 450 s. Both 123 simulations have 32 vertical layers, with \sim 7 model layers below the planetary boundary 124 layer height (PBLH) and ~ 15 layers below the stratosphere, and a hybrid terrain fol-125 lowing vertical coordinate. The Cloud Layers Unified by Binormals (CLUBB) scheme 126 is used for shallow convection, cloud macrophysics and boundary layer turbulence (Bogenschutz 127 et al., 2013), and the MG2 scheme is used for cloud microphysics (Gettelman & Mor-128 rison, 2015). The ZM scheme (Zhang & McFarlane, 1995) is used for deep convection. 129 We nudge relevant meteorological parameters (T, U, V) to the Modern-Era Retrospec-130 tive Analysis for Research and Applications, Version 2, (MERRA-2) (Gelaro et al., 2017), 131 with a 12 h relaxation time. 132

As a chemical mechanism, we employ the Model for Ozone and Related Chemical 133 Tracers with tropospheric and stratospheric chemistry (MOZART-TS1) (Emmons et al., 134 2020) and the Modal Aerosol Model with 4 modes (MAM4) (Liu et al., 2016). We use 135 anthropogenic emissions from the CAMS-GLOB-ANT version 5.3, and aircraft emissions 136 from CAMS-GLOB-AIR version 2.1 (Soulie et al., 2023). The daily fire emissions are pre-137 scribed from the Fire Inventory from NCAR version 2.5 (FINN2.5), using both MODIS 138 and VIIRS fire detection (Wiedinmyer et al., 2023). The chemistry mechanism also in-139 cludes a volatility basis set representation of secondary organic aerosol (Tilmes et al., 140 2019) with NO_x^{-1} dependent pathways for secondary organic aerosol (SOA) formation 141 (Jo et al., 2021). We include the update of the HO₂ heterogeneous uptake introduced 142 by Gaubert et al. (2020). 143

The spin-up simulations for the land model and the atmospheric model are performed separately. The land model is spun-up for a year at the final resolution, with a

 $^{^1\,\}mathrm{NO}_x$ is the sum of nitrogen monoxide (NO) and nitrogen dioxide (NO_2)

default CESM/CAM simulation initialized from long-term CESM2.2 simulation. The atmospheric chemistry spin-up is run in a ne30 configuration, also for a year, but with full
chemistry and including the aforementioned tags. For 2019, the ne30 simulation is simply continued for the complete period. For the ne30x4 simulation, the model initial conditions resulting from the ne30 simulation spin-up is regridded to the finer grid, and run
together for a month with the output of the land spin-up, as a final spin-up step. The
simulation is then continued for the entire year of 2019.

¹⁵³ 2.2 CO tagged tracers

We include a series of CO tagged tracers (hereafter CO tags) to identify transport of different sources. This approach has been previously used with various chemistry models (Gaubert et al., 2016; Tang et al., 2019; R. A. Fisher & Koven, 2020) to identify pollution origins and transport. The tags used in this study include 4 global CO tags according to the source type (anthropogenic, biomass burning, oceanic, biogenic). The sum of the four tags define the primary CO (Eq. 3).

$$CO_{primary} = CO_{ant} + CO_{bb} + CO_{ocn} + CO_{megan}$$
(3)

We define the secondary CO by subtracting the primary CO from the modeled total CO (Eq. 4).

$$CO_{secondary} = CO - CO_{primary}$$
 (4)

We also define two tags to quantify the secondary CO resulting from the methane 162 (CH₄) oxidation. CH₄ can be a major source of secondary CO, following complex reac-163 tion paths (Gaubert et al., 2016). Duncan et al. (2007) has reported a yield approach-164 ing unity using the model GEOS-Chem version 5.02, and a yield of 1 has been used in 165 subsequent GEOS-Chem studies (J. A. Fisher et al., 2017). Gaubert et al. (2016) found 166 a yield of 0.75 using CAM-Chem, due to the wet deposition of intermediate soluble species. 167 To quantify the CH_4 source of secondary CO, two tags were added to Eq. 2 as shown 168 in Eq. 5, one with a yield of 1 and another one assuming a yield of 0.75, without alter-169 ing the other products of the oxidation of CH_4 by OH. 170

$$CH_4 + OH \rightarrow CH_3O_2 + H_2O + 0.75 CO_{met0.75} + CO_{met1}$$
 (5)

Having an estimation of CO generated by methane allows us to estimate secondary
 CO generated by other VOCs, as shown in equation 6.

$$CO_{nmvoc} = CO - CO_{primary} - CO_{met}$$
 (6)

We also define latitudinal tags for anthropogenic and biomass burning emissions, 173 as described in table 1. Other geographical tags were defined as shown in Fig. 2. As in 174 Gaubert et al. (2016), these tags are co-emitted and share the same dry deposition and 175 chemical destruction rate as CO, but do not alter OH. We then estimate the contribu-176 tion of each global source of CO (anthropogenic emissions, biomass burning emissions, 177 emissions from the ocean, biogenic emissions and secondary CO). There is no specific 178 tag for the secondary CO derived from NMVOCs, but it can be estimated as shown in 179 Eq. 6 by choosing a yield for CH_4 . 180

181 2.3 Model evaluation

The model is evaluated through comparison with gridded data from the Measurement Of Pollution In The Troposphere instrument (MOPITT) Level 3 data, on board of the Terra spacecraft. These retrievals have been used extensively to evaluate model

Tag	Geogr. Origin	Source
$\overline{\rm CO}_{\rm ant}$	World	Anthropogenic emissions
$\rm CO_{bb}$	World	Biomass burning emissions
$\rm CO_{ocn}$	World	Ocean emissions
$\rm CO_{bio}$	World	Biogenic emissions from land
$\rm CO_{met0.75}$	World	Sec. Methane $(yield=0.75)$
$\rm CO_{met1}$	World	Sec. Methane $(yield=1)$
$\rm CO_{bbSET}$	lat: 90°S - 24°S	Biomass burning emissions
$\rm CO_{bbST}$	lat: 24°S - 0°	Biomass burning emissions
$\rm CO_{bbNT}$	lat: 0°N - 24°N	Biomass burning emissions
$\rm CO_{bbNET}$	lat: 24°N - 90°N	Biomass burning emissions
$\rm CO_{antSET}$	lat: 90°S - 24°S	Anthropogenic emissions
$\rm CO_{antST}$	lat: 24°S - 0°S	Anthropogenic emissions
$\rm CO_{antNT}$	lat: 0° - 24°N	Anthropogenic emissions
$\mathrm{CO}_{\mathrm{antNET}}$	lat: 24°N - 90°N	Anthropogenic emissions

 Table 1.
 Global and latitudinal CO tags.



Figure 2. Geographical tags for biomass burning (bb) and anthropogenic (ant) CO (red). The latitudinal bands used for the latitudinal tags are also shown with a dashed blue line.

output (Daskalakis et al., 2022; Gaubert et al., 2020, 2016; Dekker et al., 2017). The output of the simulation is regridded to a 1° x 1° structured grid, and the MOPITT averaging kernel and *a priori* CO concentration are utilized as in Gaubert et al. (2016) to
smooth dry-air column-averaged mole fraction (XCO) of the model in a way that can
be compared to the satellite product. A simple subtraction in MOPITT space is applied
for every simulated monthly mean.

The regionally refined model output is compared to the control (ne30) by regridding both outputs conservatively to a 0.25°-0.25° grid worldwide.

193

2.4 Evaluation of the main sources of CO and CO variability.

The mean tropospheric burden for each global tag is calculated for the complete simulation period. The standard deviation is also calculated utilizing the monthly means, to characterize the variability. The monthly means of the regional tags of figure 2 are used to evaluate the regional sources of CO as the year progresses.

198

The chemical lifetime of CO for each month is calculated as shown in equation 7.

$$lifetime = CO_{burden} / CO_{CHML}, \tag{7}$$

where CO_{CHML} is the integral of the CO chemical loss. Dry-air column averaged mole fractions of OH and isoprene are also used in the analysis to evaluate matching patterns.

Although most of South America (SAm) is in the Southern Hemisphere (SH), its northern tip stretches into the Northern Hemisphere (NH), including a portion of the Amazon rainforest. The latitudinal CO tags are used to evaluate cross-hemispheric transport, the effects that SAm might be having over each hemisphere and the effects of the NH over SAm.

The global relevance of SAm's primary emissions can be analyzed by adding the CO_{ant} and CO_{bb} tags from all the South American regions, and calculating the fraction of tagged CO over the total CO for any area of interest.

209 3 Results

210

3.1 Evaluation with MOPITT

In Fig. 3, we show the ne30x4 model bias compared to MOPITT XCO for four individual months during the year. The XCO comparison for all months can be found in the SI (Fig. S1). The analysis for the control run yielded similar results and is shown in Figure S2.

The general distribution of global CO is well represented in terms of the location of the hotspots. However, there are distinct biases in both hemispheres.

The simulated CO in the SH is generally higher than MOPITT retrievals. This applies to all of SAm, including the part of SAm that lies in the NH region. This is especially true during the biomass burning season, which starts in August and continues until the end of the year. In most months, the bias is below 20 ppbv, however, during the fire season, it can locally reach up to 90 ppbv. This high bias decreases rapidly further away from the fire hotspot, but it can remain at about 20–30 ppbv in the whole SH.

The version of FINN2.5 utilized provides the highest CO and VOC emissions of the commonly used fire emission inventories (Wiedinmyer et al., 2023). MOPITT and MODIS assimilation have shown important and large-scale positive biases in CO and aerosols (Gaubert et al., 2023). Some of the bias could be explained by the relative importance of the fire emissions in the SH combined with larger uncertainties in the anthropogenic emissions and the concentrations of other species (Paton-Walsh et al., 2022), including OH and bio-



Figure 3. Model evaluation with MOPITT for the months of Jan, Apr, Jul, and Oct. See Fig. S1 for the total monthly difference for every simulated month. XCO represents the dry-air column averaged mole fraction. The model XCO is calculated by regridding to MOPITT space and using the MOPITT averaging kernel.



Figure 4. Annual mean CO burden over South America. a) ne30x4 refined simulation and b) ne30 control run. The absolute difference between the two is shown in c)

genic VOCs. It is unlikely that the fire inventory of choice is the only explanation, considering that Daskalakis et al. (2022), although studying a different time period, found
similar bias patterns compared to MOPITT utilizing emissions from the Atmospheric
Chemistry and Climate Intercomparison Project (ACCMIP) emission database and the
offline chemical transport model TM4-ECPL.

3.2 CO budget

234

Here we compare the two different simulations (ne30 and ne30x4) in order to assess the impacts of a refined region over SAm. The higher resolution over the refined region is expected to better represent the sources and transport, thus also leading to changes in the chemistry and CO lifetimes. Table 2 shows the CO budget at the global scale and for South America.

SAm represents about 17% of the global CO emissions and 39% of the SH CO emissions. It includes 22% of the global biomass burning emissions, but only 9% of the global anthropogenic emissions. As shown in Table 2, the overall differences between the refined and the control grids in terms of the CO burden and budget estimates are fairly small.

There are, however, differences in the spatial distribution of the CO burden (Fig. 245 4). Part of these differences far from the refined region can be due to the ne30x4 grid 246 being rotated with respect to the ne30 grid, which could have some global effects. Part 247 of the differences, however, are likely also due to changes in the refined region, that could 248 have global impacts either directly or indirectly due to meteorological feedbacks and trans-249 port. The mean dry-air column averaged OH mole fraction over the South-American con-250 tinent is about the same in both simulations (0.64 ppt in ne30 vs 0.63 in ne30x4) but 251 shows differences spatially (Fig. S4). When analyzing the different components of the 252 budget, it is clear that the differences are mostly due to differences in chemical produc-253 tion and loss. The ne30x4 simulation has generally larger OH concentrations over the 254 Andes, but smaller OH concentrations elsewhere. The CO burden in the ne30x4 simu-255 lation is smaller than the ne30 simulation to the west of the Andes, but larger to the east. 256 Analyzing every tag, we find that the CO_{nmvoc} burden is actually smaller in the ne30x4 257 simulation on both sides of the Andes, likely due to less CO being produced from the 258 oxidation of NMVOCs by OH. However, the CO_{bb} burden in the ne30x4 simulation is 259 generally larger in the ne30 simulation over the continent. This is likely also the effect 260 of less OH over the Amazon, and therefore less oxidation of CO_{bb}. When CO_{bb} trav-261 els over the Andes, however, it is oxidized. Therefore, CO west of the northern part of 262 the continent is smaller in the ne30x4 simulation than in the control run. 263

T	Burden	Net Budget	Surf. Emis.	Chem Prod	Dry Dep	Chem Loss
Tag	(1g)	(Tg/year)	(Tg/year)	(Ig/year)	(Tg/year)	(Tg/year)
			ne30, Globa	al		
СО	343	-53	1400	1839	175	3117
$\rm CO_{ant}$	62	-28	558		49	536
$\rm CO_{bb}$	75	-18	734		68	683
$\rm CO_{ocn}$	3	-1	22		0.6	22
$\rm CO_{bio}$	9	-4	86		5	85
$\rm CO_{met0.75}$	88	-3		811	21	794
$\mathrm{CO}_{\mathrm{nmvoc}}$	104	1		1028	31	996
			ne30x4, Glo	bal		
СО	349	-39	1399	1786	177	3047
$\rm CO_{ant}$	64	-26	558		50	533
$\rm CO_{bb}$	79	-11	734		69	676
$\rm CO_{ocn}$	3	-1	20		0.6	20
$\mathrm{CO}_{\mathrm{bio}}$	9	-3	87		5	85
$\rm CO_{met0.75}$	88	-3		782	21	765
$\mathrm{CO}_{\mathrm{nmvoc}}$	105	7		1005	30	967
			ne30, SAM	1		
СО	23	276	244	253	35	186
$\rm CO_{ant}$	3	24	52		5	23
$\rm CO_{bb}$	6	97	162		16	49
$\mathrm{CO}_{\mathrm{bio}}$	1	21	30		2	7
$\rm CO_{met0.75}$	5	-3		40	4	39
$\mathrm{CO}_{\mathrm{nmvoc}}$	8	138		213	8	67
			ne30x4, SA	М		
СО	23	279	244	242	35	172
$\mathrm{CO}_{\mathrm{ant}}$	3	24	51		5	22
$\rm CO_{bb}$	7	101	162		16	45
$\mathrm{CO}_{\mathrm{bio}}$	1	22	30		2	7
$\rm CO_{met0.75}$	5	-2		38	4	36
$\mathrm{CO}_{\mathrm{nmvoc}}$	8	136		204	8	61

Table 2. CO budget during 2019 for the global sources of CO in both simulations. In this table, a yield of 0.75 was assumed for Secondary CO derived from methane.



Figure 5. Annual mean CO tags. a) total CO, b) anthropogenic primary CO, c) biomass burning primary CO, d) ocean primary CO, f) biogenic primary CO, g) methane secondary CO assuming a yield of 0.75 and h) secondary CO from other (non-methane) sources. Note the different scales between the total CO in a) and the CO tags.

3.3 CO tag contribution

265 3.3.1 Global CO tags: annual analysis

In Fig. 5, we show the annual average concentration of CO and of each CO tag from the ne30x4 simulation. Most of the CO burden in the tropospheric column can be explained by either biomass burning emissions or secondary CO production. Biomass burning CO (Fig. 5b) is highest in the southern part of the Amazon Basin, and high concentrations are also shown in the westward plume transport to the Pacific Ocean.

The secondary CO (Fig. 5h) has maxima to the west of the Andes, over Peru and over northern Chile. This is, however, not necessarily a region with a high chemical production in the simulations. It also has relatively high OH concentrations, and the CO lifetime is, therefore, not particularly long (Fig. 6).

In Fig. 6, the mean CO chemical lifetime is shown together with the dry-air col-275 umn averaged mole fraction of isoprene and OH. A longer chemical lifetime is simulated 276 at higher latitudes because of a low OH under small solar radiation conditions. Conversely, 277 the lifetime is shorter than 1 month in the tropics because of higher OH. The chemical 278 lifetime of CO is relatively high in parts of the Amazon (160–180 days, compared to 30-279 90 days at similar latitudes outside the rainforest). As expected, the regions of long life-280 time match regions of low OH. Jacob and Wofsy (1990) had already concluded that iso-281 prene was the main OH sink over the Amazon rainforest, and Nölscher et al. (2016) re-282 ported a marked seasonality of OH reactivity modulated by biogenic emissions of NMVOCs. 283 In the case of the rainforest, the region with long lifetimes matches almost perfectly re-284



Figure 6. a) Annual mean CO lifetime (2019), b) dry-air column average mole fraction of isoprene and c) dry-air column average mole fraction of OH.

gions of high isoprene, which is probably destroying OH and reducing the chemical loss.
Biogenic emissions and meteorology are affected by changing model resolution, and since
isoprene is highly sensitive to temperature and reacts quickly with OH, this effect is assumed to account for some of the differences in chemical production and loss between
the refined and control runs.

As shown in Fig. 7, while CO explains a large part of the burden all year round, 290 CO_{bb} constitutes a smaller proportion until August, and increases significantly during 291 the biomass burning season. The CO_{bb} burden has its peak in September, and slowly 292 decreases over the following months. While having a smaller variation, CO_{nmvoc} also has 293 values higher than the previous months during the biomass burning season. This is likely 294 related to the emissions of other VOCs during biomass burning events, although other, 295 less obvious effects might have an influence (including the effects that the biomass burn-296 ing emissions might have over OH, total radiation, etc.). 297

The temporal variability of the CO monthly means (Fig 8) can be explained almost completely by biomass burning emissions. CH_4 in MUSICAv0 is prescribed in the lower vertical layers, which is the reason the standard deviation of $CO_{met0.75}$ is close to 0. Notice that there might still be minor variations due to the changes in OH concentrations, however.

A noticeable fact is that there are only minor variations in CO_{ant}, and these are 303 mostly found in the region around São Paulo. Although the variability of CO_{bio} is close 304 to zero, the effects of most of the biogenic emissions is probably in the changes in bio-305 genic NMVOCs, and is therefore contained within the variability of CO_{nmvoc} . Although 306 we believe that CO_{bb} is likely overestimated in the model, its dominant role in monthly 307 variability remains true even if the total burden of CO_{bb} would be divided by three. It 308 is therefore reasonable to focus mostly on CO_{bb} to understand the changes and sources 309 of CO variability over the course the year. 310

311 312

3.3.2 Temporal and geographical analysis of biomass burning primary CO

In the right column of Fig. 7 the burden of the different CO_{bb} tags are shown for selected regions. For all of SAM, CO_{bb} ranges from 16% of the total CO in January to



Figure 7. Stacked bar plot of the burden of CO for each tag. The left column represents global tags by CO source, whereas the right column represents the geographical tags for CO_{bb} . The regions are defined as shown in Fig. 2a). Note that each region has different areas and, therefore, the total burden should not be compared directly with each other. The sum of NWSAm, NESAm and SSAm does, however, equal All SAm.



Figure 8. Standard deviation of the monthly means for each global tag. a) is the total CO, b) is anthropogenic primary CO, c) is the biogenic primary CO, d) is the ocean primary CO, f) is the biogenic primary CO, g) is the secondary CO derived from methane with a yield of 0.75 and h) is the non-methane derived secondary CO.

47% of the total CO in September. Looking at the individual CO_{bb} tags (see Fig. 2a),
it is clear that a large portion of the CO_{bb} is from Africa all year round. As a percentage of the total CO burden in all of SAM, it ranges from 5% in November to 14% of the
total CO in September. This applies even to SSAm, which is furthest away from Africa.
In SSAm, it is 4%-9% of the total CO burden. As a percentage of the total primary biomass
burning CO burden, it is 19%-48%, and is the largest contributor up to the Amazon fires
in August.

The biomass burning pattern over the Amazon (i.e., NWSAm) is clearly visible. 322 323 The contribution of $CO_{bbNWSAm}$ to the total SAm burden during September is ~10 times larger than during May. It is worth noting that the peak of $CO_{bbNWSAm}$ in all of SAm 324 during September does not match the peak of $CO_{bbNWSAm}$ in the region of NWSAm dur-325 ing August. This is a clear sign of the long lifetime of CO, and slower fluxes in the re-326 gion, with the compound effects of CO slowly accumulating over the continent over mul-327 tiple months, causing a 1-month delay in the peak. During 2019, there is also a compound 328 effect of the SSAf and Amazonian fires, which have their maximum contribution to the 329 burden during August and September. 2019 was a year of few biomass burning events 330 in SSAm, which is reflected in its low contribution to the CO_{bb} burden. 331

Africa has two clearly distinct fire seasons, north and south of the equator. Trans-332 port from African biomass burning into the Amazon has been reported in previous stud-333 ies, and its effects in the aerosol cycling have been extensively researched (Barkley et al., 334 2019; Holanda et al., 2023). We quantify the impact of African biomass burning emis-335 sions for CO, and find that the African contribution to the total CO burden in NWSAm 336 ranges from 4% in November to 15% in September. The magnitude of the effect in Septem-337 ber is especially relevant, taking into account that it is the month with the overall largest 338 CO burden in that region. NESAm is the entry point of fluxes from Central Africa into 339 South America. This remains true during both African biomass burning seasons (north 340 and south of the equator). In NESAm, the CO_{bbSSAf} burden is 79% of the total CO_{bb} 341 in February, although this only accounts to 15% of the total CO burden in the region. 342 In November, the month with the lowest percentage contribution, it is only about 6%343 of the total CO burden, but remains at 20% of the CO_{bb}. 344

In SSAm, while biomass burning from NWSAm and SSAf are estimated to be pre dominant during most of the year, the effects of the Australian fires becomes apparent
 during the peak biomass burning in December 2019.

348

3.3.3 South America's effect on the rest of the world

In Fig. 9 we demonstrate the effects of the South American CO primary emissions 349 on the rest of the world. During the biomass burning season, SAm primary emissions 350 can increase the CO concentration considerably in the entire Southern Hemisphere, with 351 a large effect over the southern tip of Africa (up to 50 ppbv in the column averaged mole 352 fraction in our simulation, which accounts for about 30% of the total CO concentration). 353 Following wind patterns, CO travels towards the Pacific Ocean in the northeast, and to-354 wards the west over the Atlantic at about $15^{\circ}S-30^{\circ}S$ of the continent. The results also 355 show effects over SEA and Australia, reaching up to 30 ppbv during October (${\sim}25\%$ of 356 the total CO concentration over these regions). 357

The effects over the Northern Hemisphere are overall minor and are limited to the oceans or close to the equator because of the much higher CO contributions from NH sources. Fig. 9 shows a relatively strong flux of CO from the northern Amazon into the tropical Pacific Ocean.

The CO that is transported to the tropical Pacific gets well mixed zonally, and some of it might reenter the continent from the east.



Figure 9. Summary of the effect of South American primary emissions over the rest of the world. In subplot a), the yearly mean is shown. In subplot b), we show the monthly mean for May, a month with low BB emissions, and in subplot c), September is chosen as an example of a month with large biomass burning over the Amazon.

364 4 Conclusions

365

The main conclusions from this paper can be summarized as follows:

- We present the first application and evaluation of MUSICAv0 to the entire South American continent. Our refined grid (ne30x4) includes a global 1°x1° model with a refinement up to 28 km over South America. We present the results for the year 2019 and evaluate the impact of the refined grid. The simulated trace gases and dynamics are comparable to the standard configuration of CAM-Chem with a spectral element dynamical core and standard grid configuration (ne30).
- We quantify the CO budget for the year 2019, and characterize the contribution from different emission/chemical sources and geographical origin using CO tags. The biomass burning emissions play an overwhelming role in the continental budget, and are the main factor of temporal variability. They also explain the majority of the temporal variability in CO columns. However, our comparison with MO-PITT suggests that FINN2.5 is overestimating biomass burning emissions in the Southern Hemisphere.
- 3. The effects of the model spatial resolution leads to minor changes in the CO budget, driven by changes in the chemical production and loss. Higher resolution implies more localized biogenic emissions of multiple species (including isoprene), which in turn affects OH. There are also minor variations in the temperature, which can have a large effect on isoprene emissions. Changes in temperature and other meteorological parameters will also affect atmospheric chemistry per se.
- 4. Biomass burning activities in Africa are a relevant source of CO in all of SAm, including the South. This is the case during for all seasons, in the early months of 2019 we find a cross-equatorial flux of African biomass sources. Outside of the Amazon biomass burning season, they represent the largest source of CO_{bb}.
- 5. CO is estimated to have a long chemical lifetime over the Amazon in our simu-389 lations, determined by low OH concentrations. This is likely due to large isoprene 390 emissions. The biogenic emissions over the Amazon play two different roles in the 391 CO budget. As VOC emitters, they are a relevant source of secondary CO. At the 392 same time, they destroy OH, leading to a longer chemical lifetime, but lower chem-393 ical production and loss. Further study is needed to understand the exact net ef-394 fect, and would require a more complex tagged CO production from biogenic NMVOCs 395 to be included in the model. 396

^{6.} SAm's primary emissions are relevant contributors to CO in the SH, but show only minor influence on the NH. The largest effects in the SH are over the southern tip

of Africa, with smaller but relevant effects over the Maritime Continent, New Zealand, Australia and South East Asia.

401 Future perspectives

Understanding the CO budget and chemistry in South America is far from a well 402 characterized problem yet is essential to understanding air quality and human and en-403 vironmental impacts. For modeling studies, there is a need to further tackle the emis-404 sion inventories, understand sources of model biases and correct for them. Some useful 405 and important work has been done in regard to improving anthropogenic inventories (Ibarra-406 Espinosa et al., 2018; Castesana et al., 2022; Álamos et al., 2022), but there is no region-407 ally concerted effort to maintain a high quality anthropogenic emission inventory for the whole region which is regularly updated. As shown in this paper, at the same time tack-409 ling uncertainties in biomass burning sources is of very high importance. 410

Measurement campaigns have been performed in different parts of the continent, but there are few operational urban air quality stations and even fewer over remote sites. These sites are located mostly in the Amazon, parts of Chile, and Colombia. There are also relevant observatories, like the Amazon Tall Tower Observatory, the Chacaltaya and the Ushuaia GAW stations. However, coverage is rather sparse and there are many regions without relevant observations. In addition, data access and availability is a major obstacle, e.g., Argentina lacks a centralized database to access available data.

With the formation of the Latin America Early Career Earth System Scientist Network (Yáñez-Serrano et al., 2022) and the Southern Hemisphere Working Group of the
International Global Atmospheric Chemistry (IGAC) project (Paton-Walsh et al., 2022),
a stronger scientific community is starting to focus on the region.

Further studies and observations are needed, especially in the southern part of the continent (i.e., SSAm). There, there is also a large amount of small fires that might not be captured by the satellites, and large territories with no observation sites, which could provide useful information on CO chemistry and air quality in general.

426 Open Research

CESM2.2 (including MUSICAv0) is a publicly released version of the Community 427 Earth System Model that is available at https://www.cesm.ucar.edu/ (last access: 15 428 March 2023). The MERRA-2 data and FINN2.5 are available at the National Center for 429 Atmospheric Research's Research Data Archive (Atmospheric Chemistry Observations 430 & Modeling, National Center for Atmospheric Research, University Corporation for At-431 mospheric Research & Climate and Global Dynamics Division, National Center for At-432 mospheric Research, University Corporation for Atmospheric Research, 2018). The CAMS-433 GLOB-ANT v5.3 and CAMS-GLOB-AIR v2.1 anthropogenic emissions are available at 434 https://eccad.sedoo.fr/#/catalogue (last access: 15 March 2023). The MOPITT 435 gridded monthly means are available at https://search.earthdata.nasa.gov/ (last 436 access: 3 September 2023). 437

438 Acknowledgments

This material is based upon work supported by the National Center for Atmospheric
Research, which is a major facility sponsored by the National Science Foundation under Cooperative Agreement No. 1852977. Computing resources were provided by NSF
NCAR's Computational and Information Systems Laboratory (CISL). This work also
used resources of the Deutsches Klimarechenzentrum (DKRZ) granted by its Scientific
Steering Committee (WLA) under project IDs bm1234 and mh0735. The authors would

 $_{\tt 445}$ also like to acknowledge the EU Horizon 2020 Marie Skłodowska-Curie project PAPILA

(grant no. 777544; MSCA action for research and innovation staff exchange).

447 References

448	Álamos, N., Huneeus, N., Opazo, M., Osses, M., Puja, S., Pantoja, N., Calvo,
449	R. (2022). High-resolution inventory of atmospheric emissions from transport,
450	industrial, energy, mining and residential activities in Chile. Earth System
451	Science Data, 14(1), 361-379. doi: 10.5194/essd-14-361-2022
452	Atmospheric Chemistry Observations & Modeling, National Center for Atmospheric
453	Research, University Corporation for Atmospheric Research, & Climate and
454	Global Dynamics Division, National Center for Atmospheric Research, Univer-
455	sity Corporation for Atmospheric Research. (2018). Merra2 global atmosphere
456	forcing data. Boulder CO: Research Data Archive at the National Center for
457	Atmospheric Research, Computational and Information Systems Laboratory.
458	Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.5065/XVAQ-2X07
459	Barkley, A. E., Prospero, J. M., Mahowald, N., Hamilton, D. S., Popendorf, K. J.,
460	Oehlert, A. M., Gaston, C. J. (2019). African biomass burning is a sub-
461	stantial source of phosphorus deposition to the Amazon, Tropical Atlantic
462	Ocean, and Southern Ocean. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences,
463	116(33), 16216-16221.doi: $10.1073/$ pnas.1906091116
464	Bogenschutz, P. A., Gettelman, A., Morrison, H., Larson, V. E., Craig, C., & Scha-
465	nen, D. P. (2013). Higher-Order Turbulence Closure and Its Impact on Cli-
466	mate Simulations in the Community Atmosphere Model. Journal of Climate,
467	26(23), 9655-9676. doi: 10.1175/jcli-d-13-00075.1
468	Castesana, P., Resquin, M. D., Huneeus, N., Puliafito, E., Darras, S., Gómez, D.,
469	Dawidowski, L. (2022). PAPILA dataset: a regional emission inven-
470	tory of reactive gases for South America based on the combination of local
471	and global information. Earth System Science Data, $14(1)$, $271-293$. doi:
472	10.5194/essd-14-271-2022
473	Danabasoglu, G., Lamarque, JF., Bacmeister, J., Bailey, D. A., DuVivier, A. K.,
474	Edwards, J., Strand, W. G. (2020). The Community Earth System Model
475	Version 2 (CESM2). Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 12(2).
476	doi: $10.1029/2019$ ms001916
477	Daskalakis, N., Gallardo, L., Kanakidou, M., Nub, J. R., Menares, C., Rondanelli,
478	R., Vrekoussis, M. (2022). Impact of biomass burning and stratospheric
479	intrusions in the remote South Pacific Ocean troposphere. Atmospheric Chem-
480	Delten I. N. Heumeling C. Aber I. Döckmann T. Krol M. Martínez Alance
481	Decker, I. N., Houweinig, S., Aben, I., Rockmann, I., Kroi, M., Martinez-Alonso,
482	the gity of Madrid using MOPITT satellite retrievals and WPF simula
483	tions $Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics 17(23) 14675–14604 doi:$
484	10 5194/a cn 17-14675-2017
405	de Miranda P. L. S. Devter K. C. Swaine M. D. de Oliveira-Filho A. T. Hardy
480	O I & Favolle A (2022) Dissecting the difference in tree species richness
407	between Africa and South America Proceedings of the National Academy of
480	Sciences 119(14) doi: 10.1073/pnas.2112336119
400	Duncan B N Logan J A Bey I Megretskaja J A Yantosca B M Novelli
490	P C Binsland C P (2007) Global budget of CO 1988-1997: Source
492	estimates and validation with a global model. Journal of Geophysical Research.
493	112(D22). doi: 10.1029/2007jd008459
494	Edwards, D. P. (2004). Observations of carbon monoxide and aerosols from the terra
495	satellite: Northern hemisphere variability. Journal of Geophysical Research.
496	109(D24). doi: 10.1029/2004jd004727
497	Emmons, L. K., Schwantes, R. H., Orlando, J. J., Tyndall, G., Kinnison, D., Lamar-

498	que, JF., Pétron, G. (2020). The Chemistry Mechanism in the Com-
499	munity Earth System Model Version 2 (CESM2). Journal of Advances in
500	Modeling Earth Systems, 12(4). doi: 10.1029/2019ms001882
501	Fisher, J. A., Murray, L. T., Jones, D. B. A., & Deutscher, N. M. (2017). Improved
502	method for linear carbon monoxide simulation and source attribution in at-
503	mospheric chemistry models illustrated using GEOS-Chem v9. <i>Geoscientific</i>
504	Model Development, 10(11), 4129–4144. doi: 10.5194/gmd-10-4129-2017
505	Fisher, R. A., & Koven, C. D. (2020). Perspectives on the Future of Land
506	Surface Models and the Challenges of Representing Complex Terrestrial
507	Systems. Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 12(4). doi:
508	10.1029/2018ms001453
509	Gaubert, B., Arellano, A. F., Barré, J., Worden, H. M., Emmons, L. K., Tilmes, S.,
510	Jones, N. (2016). Toward a chemical reanalysis in a coupled chemistry-
511	climate model: An evaluation of MOPITT CO assimilation and its impact on
512	tropospheric composition. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres.
513	121(12), 7310–7343. doi: 10.1002/2016jd024863
514	Gaubert, B., Edwards, D. P., Anderson, J. L., Arellano, A. F., Barré, J., Buchholz,
515	R. R., Ziskin, D. (2023). Global Scale Inversions from MOPITT CO and
516	MODIS AOD. Remote Sensing, 15(19), 4813, doi: 10.3390/rs15194813
517	Gaubert, B., Emmons, L. K., Baeder, K., Tilmes, S., Miyazaki, K., Jr., A. F. A.,
518	Diskin, G. S. (2020). Correcting model biases of CO in East Asia: impact on
519	oxidant distributions during KORUS-AQ. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics.
520	20(23), 14617–14647. doi: 10.5194/acp-20-14617-2020
521	Gaubert, B., Worden, H. M., Arellano, A. F. J., Emmons, L. K., Tilmes, S., Barré,
522	J., Edwards, D. P. (2017). Chemical Feedback From Decreasing Carbon
523	Monoxide Emissions. <i>Geophysical Research Letters</i> , 44(19), 9985–9995. doi:
524	10.1002/2017gl074987
525	Gelaro, R., McCarty, W., Suárez, M. J., Todling, R., Molod, A., Takacs, L.,
526	Zhao, B. (2017). The Modern-Era Retrospective Analysis for Research and
527	Applications, Version 2 (MERRA-2), Journal of Climate, 30(14), 5419–5454.
528	doi: 10.1175/jcli-d-16-0758.1
529	Gettelman, A., & Morrison, H. (2015). Advanced Two-Moment Bulk Micro-
530	physics for Global Models. Part i: Off-Line Tests and Comparison with
531	Other Schemes. Journal of Climate, 28(3), 1268–1287. doi: 10.1175/
532	jcli-d-14-00102.1
533	Grant, A., Archibald, A. T., Cooke, M. C., & Shallcross, D. E. (2010). Mod-
534	elling the oxidation of seventeen volatile organic compounds to track yields
535	of CO and CO2. Atmospheric Environment, 44(31), 3797–3804. doi:
536	10.1016/j.atmosenv.2010.06.049
537	
E 20	Guenther, A. B., Jiang, X., Heald, C. L., Sakulyanontvittaya, T., Duhl, T., Em-
530	Guenther, A. B., Jiang, X., Heald, C. L., Sakulyanontvittaya, T., Duhl, T., Em- mons, L. K., & Wang, X. (2012). The Model of Emissions of Gases and
530	 Guenther, A. B., Jiang, X., Heald, C. L., Sakulyanontvittaya, T., Duhl, T., Emmons, L. K., & Wang, X. (2012). The Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature version 2.1 (MEGAN2.1): an extended and updated
539 540	 Guenther, A. B., Jiang, X., Heald, C. L., Sakulyanontvittaya, T., Duhl, T., Emmons, L. K., & Wang, X. (2012). The Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature version 2.1 (MEGAN2.1): an extended and updated framework for modeling biogenic emissions. <i>Geoscientific Model Development</i>,
539 540 541	 Guenther, A. B., Jiang, X., Heald, C. L., Sakulyanontvittaya, T., Duhl, T., Emmons, L. K., & Wang, X. (2012). The Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature version 2.1 (MEGAN2.1): an extended and updated framework for modeling biogenic emissions. <i>Geoscientific Model Development</i>, 5(6), 1471–1492. doi: 10.5194/gmd-5-1471-2012
539 540 541 542	 Guenther, A. B., Jiang, X., Heald, C. L., Sakulyanontvittaya, T., Duhl, T., Emmons, L. K., & Wang, X. (2012). The Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature version 2.1 (MEGAN2.1): an extended and updated framework for modeling biogenic emissions. <i>Geoscientific Model Development</i>, 5(6), 1471–1492. doi: 10.5194/gmd-5-1471-2012 Holanda, B. A., Franco, M. A., Walter, D., Artaxo, P., Carbone, S., Cheng, Y.,
539 540 541 542 543	 Guenther, A. B., Jiang, X., Heald, C. L., Sakulyanontvittaya, T., Duhl, T., Emmons, L. K., & Wang, X. (2012). The Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature version 2.1 (MEGAN2.1): an extended and updated framework for modeling biogenic emissions. <i>Geoscientific Model Development</i>, 5(6), 1471–1492. doi: 10.5194/gmd-5-1471-2012 Holanda, B. A., Franco, M. A., Walter, D., Artaxo, P., Carbone, S., Cheng, Y., Pöhlker, C. (2023). African biomass burning affects aerosol cycling
538 539 540 541 542 543 544	 Guenther, A. B., Jiang, X., Heald, C. L., Sakulyanontvittaya, T., Duhl, T., Emmons, L. K., & Wang, X. (2012). The Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature version 2.1 (MEGAN2.1): an extended and updated framework for modeling biogenic emissions. Geoscientific Model Development, 5(6), 1471–1492. doi: 10.5194/gmd-5-1471-2012 Holanda, B. A., Franco, M. A., Walter, D., Artaxo, P., Carbone, S., Cheng, Y., Pöhlker, C. (2023). African biomass burning affects aerosol cycling over the amazon. Communications Earth & map Environment, 4(1). doi:
530 539 540 541 542 543 544 544	 Guenther, A. B., Jiang, X., Heald, C. L., Sakulyanontvittaya, T., Duhl, T., Emmons, L. K., & Wang, X. (2012). The Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature version 2.1 (MEGAN2.1): an extended and updated framework for modeling biogenic emissions. Geoscientific Model Development, 5(6), 1471–1492. doi: 10.5194/gmd-5-1471-2012 Holanda, B. A., Franco, M. A., Walter, D., Artaxo, P., Carbone, S., Cheng, Y., Pöhlker, C. (2023). African biomass burning affects aerosol cycling over the amazon. Communications Earth & map Environment, 4(1). doi: 10.1038/s43247-023-00795-5
538 539 540 541 542 542 543 544 545 546	 Guenther, A. B., Jiang, X., Heald, C. L., Sakulyanontvittaya, T., Duhl, T., Emmons, L. K., & Wang, X. (2012). The Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature version 2.1 (MEGAN2.1): an extended and updated framework for modeling biogenic emissions. Geoscientific Model Development, 5(6), 1471–1492. doi: 10.5194/gmd-5-1471-2012 Holanda, B. A., Franco, M. A., Walter, D., Artaxo, P., Carbone, S., Cheng, Y., Pöhlker, C. (2023). African biomass burning affects aerosol cycling over the amazon. Communications Earth & mp Environment, 4(1). doi: 10.1038/s43247-023-00795-5 Ibarra-Espinosa, S., Ynoue, R., O'Sullivan, S., Pebesma, E., de Fátima Andrade,
530 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 545 546 547	 Guenther, A. B., Jiang, X., Heald, C. L., Sakulyanontvittaya, T., Duhl, T., Emmons, L. K., & Wang, X. (2012). The Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature version 2.1 (MEGAN2.1): an extended and updated framework for modeling biogenic emissions. Geoscientific Model Development, 5(6), 1471–1492. doi: 10.5194/gmd-5-1471-2012 Holanda, B. A., Franco, M. A., Walter, D., Artaxo, P., Carbone, S., Cheng, Y., Pöhlker, C. (2023). African biomass burning affects aerosol cycling over the amazon. Communications Earth & amp Environment, 4(1). doi: 10.1038/s43247-023-00795-5 Ibarra-Espinosa, S., Ynoue, R., O'Sullivan, S., Pebesma, E., de Fátima Andrade, M., & Osses, M. (2018). VEIN v0.2.2: an R package for bottom–up vehicular
538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 545 545 546 547 548	 Guenther, A. B., Jiang, X., Heald, C. L., Sakulyanontvittaya, T., Duhl, T., Emmons, L. K., & Wang, X. (2012). The Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature version 2.1 (MEGAN2.1): an extended and updated framework for modeling biogenic emissions. Geoscientific Model Development, 5(6), 1471–1492. doi: 10.5194/gmd-5-1471-2012 Holanda, B. A., Franco, M. A., Walter, D., Artaxo, P., Carbone, S., Cheng, Y., Pöhlker, C. (2023). African biomass burning affects aerosol cycling over the amazon. Communications Earth & amp Environment, 4(1). doi: 10.1038/s43247-023-00795-5 Ibarra-Espinosa, S., Ynoue, R., O'Sullivan, S., Pebesma, E., de Fátima Andrade, M., & Osses, M. (2018). VEIN v0.2.2: an R package for bottom-up vehicular emissions inventories. Geoscientific Model Development, 11(6), 2209–2229. doi:
538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 545 546 547 548 549	 Guenther, A. B., Jiang, X., Heald, C. L., Sakulyanontvittaya, T., Duhl, T., Emmons, L. K., & Wang, X. (2012). The Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature version 2.1 (MEGAN2.1): an extended and updated framework for modeling biogenic emissions. Geoscientific Model Development, 5(6), 1471–1492. doi: 10.5194/gmd-5-1471-2012 Holanda, B. A., Franco, M. A., Walter, D., Artaxo, P., Carbone, S., Cheng, Y., Pöhlker, C. (2023). African biomass burning affects aerosol cycling over the amazon. Communications Earth & map Environment, 4(1). doi: 10.1038/s43247-023-00795-5 Ibarra-Espinosa, S., Ynoue, R., O'Sullivan, S., Pebesma, E., de Fátima Andrade, M., & Osses, M. (2018). VEIN v0.2.2: an R package for bottom-up vehicular emissions inventories. Geoscientific Model Development, 11(6), 2209–2229. doi: 10.5194/gmd-11-2209-2018
538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 546 547 548 549 550	 Guenther, A. B., Jiang, X., Heald, C. L., Sakulyanontvittaya, T., Duhl, T., Emmons, L. K., & Wang, X. (2012). The Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature version 2.1 (MEGAN2.1): an extended and updated framework for modeling biogenic emissions. Geoscientific Model Development, 5(6), 1471–1492. doi: 10.5194/gmd-5-1471-2012 Holanda, B. A., Franco, M. A., Walter, D., Artaxo, P., Carbone, S., Cheng, Y., Pöhlker, C. (2023). African biomass burning affects aerosol cycling over the amazon. Communications Earth & Environment, 4(1). doi: 10.1038/s43247-023-00795-5 Ibarra-Espinosa, S., Ynoue, R., O'Sullivan, S., Pebesma, E., de Fátima Andrade, M., & Osses, M. (2018). VEIN v0.2.2: an R package for bottom-up vehicular emissions inventories. Geoscientific Model Development, 11(6), 2209–2229. doi: 10.5194/gmd-11-2209-2018 Jacob, D. J., & Wofsy, S. C. (1990). Budgets of reactive nitrogen, hydrocar-
538 539 540 541 542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551	 Guenther, A. B., Jiang, X., Heald, C. L., Sakulyanontvittaya, T., Duhl, T., Emmons, L. K., & Wang, X. (2012). The Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature version 2.1 (MEGAN2.1): an extended and updated framework for modeling biogenic emissions. Geoscientific Model Development, 5(6), 1471–1492. doi: 10.5194/gmd-5-1471-2012 Holanda, B. A., Franco, M. A., Walter, D., Artaxo, P., Carbone, S., Cheng, Y., Pöhlker, C. (2023). African biomass burning affects aerosol cycling over the amazon. Communications Earth & Environment, 4(1). doi: 10.1038/s43247-023-00795-5 Ibarra-Espinosa, S., Ynoue, R., O'Sullivan, S., Pebesma, E., de Fátima Andrade, M., & Osses, M. (2018). VEIN v0.2.2: an R package for bottom-up vehicular emissions inventories. Geoscientific Model Development, 11(6), 2209–2229. doi: 10.5194/gmd-11-2209-2018 Jacob, D. J., & Wofsy, S. C. (1990). Budgets of reactive nitrogen, hydrocarbons, and ozone over the amazon forest during the wet season. Jour-

553	10.1029/jd095id10p16737
554	Jo, D. S., Emmons, L. K., Callaghan, P., Tilmes, S., Woo, JH., Kim, Y.,
555	Kanaya, Y. (2023). Comparison of Urban Air Quality Simulations During
556	the KORUS-AQ Campaign With Regionally Refined Versus Global Uniform
557	Grids in the Multi-Scale Infrastructure for Chemistry and Aerosols (MU-
558	SICA) Version 0. Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 15(7). doi:
550	10.1029/2022ms003458
560	Io D S Hodzic A Emmons I. K Tilmes S Schwantes B H Mills M I
500	Jimenez I. L. (2021) Future changes in isoprene-epoxydial-derived secondary
501	organia perced (IFPOX SOA) under the Shared Socioeconomia Dethypus:
562	the importance of physicochemical dependency. Atmospheric Chemistry and
503	Densing 01(5) 2305 2425 doi: 10.5104/non.21.2305.2021
564	1 itysics, 21(5), 5555-5425. doi: $10.5154/acp-21-5555-2021$
565	Lauritzen, P. H., Nair, R. D., Herrington, A. R., Callagnan, P., Goldnaber, S., Den-
566	A Defermination of the Superturb Element Demonstrate Company Mars Mars
567	A Reformulation of the Spectral Element Dynamical Core in Dry-Mass Verti-
568	cal Coordinates with Comprehensive Treatment of Condensates and Energy.
569	Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, $IU(7)$, $1537-1570$. doi:
570	10.1029/2017ms001257
571	Lawrence, D. M., Fisher, R. A., Koven, C. D., Oleson, K. W., Swenson, S. C., Bo-
572	nan, G., Zeng, X. (2019). The Community Land Model Version 5: De-
573	scription of New Features, Benchmarking, and Impact of Forcing Uncertainty.
574	Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, $11(12)$, $4245-4287$. doi:
575	10.1029/2018 ms 001583
576	Levy, H. (1971). Normal Atmosphere: Large Radical and Formaldehyde Concentra-
577	tions Predicted. Science, 173 (3992), 141–143. doi: 10.1126/science.173.3992
578	.141
579	Liu, X., Ma, PL., Wang, H., Tilmes, S., Singh, B., Easter, R. C., Rasch,
580	P. J. (2016). Description and evaluation of a new four-mode version of the
581	Modal Aerosol Module (MAM4) within version 5.3 of the Community At-
582	mosphere Model. $Geoscientific Model Development, 9(2), 505-522.$ doi:
583	10.5194/gmd-9-505-2016
584	Müller, JF., Stavrakou, T., Bauwens, M., George, M., Hurtmans, D., Coheur,
585	PF Sweeney, C. (2018). Top-Down CO Emissions Based On IASI Ob-
586	servations and Hemispheric Constraints on OH Levels Geonhusical Research
500	Letters $15(3)$ 1621–1629 doi: 10.1002/2017gl076697
507	Naik V Voulgarakis & Fiore & M Horowitz I. W Lamarque L-F Lin
500	M Zong C (2013) Preindustrial to present-day changes in trong-
589	spheric hydroxyl radical and mathana lifetime from the Atmospheric Chem
590	istry and Climate Model Intercomparison P10 1020/2010gl085706roject
591	(ACCMIP) Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics 12(10) 5277-5208 doi:
592	(AOOMIT). Atmospheric Oremistry and Physics, $15(10)$, $5211-5256$. (10).
593	Novelli D C (2002) Dependencia of the population CO there does Effects of the
594	Novem, P. C. (2003). Reanalysis of tropospheric CO trends: Effects of the $1007, 1000$ (11)
595	1991-1990 when these Journal of Geophysical Research, 108 (D15). doi: 10.1020/2000; J002021
596	10.1029/2002ja003031
597	Noischer, A. C., Yanez-Serrano, A. M., Wolff, S., de Araujo, A. C., Lavrič, J. V.,
598	Kesselmeier, J., & Williams, J. (2016). Unexpected seasonality in quantity and
599	composition of amazon rainforest air reactivity. Nature Communications, $\gamma(1)$.
600	doi: 10.1038/ncomms10383
601	Paton-Walsh, C., Emmerson, K. M., Garland, R. M., Keywood, M., Hoelzemann,
602	J. J., Huneeus, N., Olivares, G. (2022). Key challenges for tropospheric
603	chemistry in the Southern Hemisphere. Elementa: Science of the Anthro-
604	pocene, 10(1). doi: 10.1525/elementa.2021.00050
605	Pfister, G. G., Eastham, S. D., Arellano, A. F., Aumont, B., Barsanti, K. C., Barth,
606	M. C., Brasseur, G. P. (2020). The Multi-Scale Infrastructure for Chem-
607	istry and Aerosols (MUSICA). Bulletin of the American Meteorological Soci-

608	ety, 101(10), E1743-E1760. doi: 10.1175/bams-d-19-0331.1
609	Pfister, G. G., Emmons, L. K., Hess, P. G., Lamarque, JF., Orlando, J. J., Wal-
610	ters, S., Lawrence, P. J. (2008). Contribution of isoprene to chem-
611	ical budgets: A model tracer study with the NCAR CTM MOZART-4.
612	Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 113(D5), n/a–n/a, doi:
613	10.1029/2007id008948
615	Schwantes B H Lacov F C Tilmes S Emmons I K Lauritzen P H Wal
614	tors S Wistheler A (2022) Evaluating the Impact of Chemical Com
615	playity and Horizontal Pagalution on Transgrhavia Ozona Over the Conten
616	piexity and Horizontal Resolution on Hopospheric Ozone Over the Conter-
617	minous US with a Giobai variable Resolution Chemistry Model. Journal of A_{1}
618	Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 14(6). doi: 10.1029/2021ms002889
619	Seinfeld, J. H., & Pandis, S. N. (2016). Atmospheric chemistry and physics: from air
620	pollution to climate change. John Wiley & Sons.
621	Shindell, D. T., Faluvegi, G., Stevenson, D. S., Krol, M. C., Emmons, L. K., Lamar-
622	que, JF., Zeng, G. (2006). Multimodel simulations of carbon monoxide:
623	Comparison with observations and projected near-future changes. Journal of
624	Geophysical Research, 111(D19). doi: 10.1029/2006jd007100
625	Soulie, A., Granier, C., Darras, S., Zilbermann, N., Doumbia, T., Guevara, M.,
626	Smith, S. (2023). Global Anthropogenic Emissions (CAMS-GLOB-
627	ANT) for the Copernicus Atmosphere Monitoring Service Simulations of
628	Air Quality Forecasts and Reanalyses. Earth Syst. Sci. Data Discuss doi:
629	10.5194/essd-2023-306
630	Stein, O., Schultz, M. G., Bouarar, L. Clark, H., Huijnen, V., Gaudel, A., Cler-
631	baux, C. (2014). On the wintertime low bias of Northern Hemisphere carbon
632	monoxide found in global model simulations. Atmospheric Chemistry and
633	Physics 1/(17) 9295–9316 doi: 10.5194/acp-14-9295-2014
633	Stone D Whalley L K & Heard D E (2012) Tronospheric OH and HO2 rad-
034	icale: field massuraments and model comparisons — Chemical Society Reviews
635	/1(10) 6348 doi: 10.1020/c2cg25140d
636	Tong W. Emmong I. V. Duchholz D. D. Wiedinmuch C. Schwantes D. H. He
637	Tang, W., Emmons, L. K., Buchnoiz, R. R., Wiedminyer, C., Schwantes, R. H., ne,
638	on U.C. ain guality during EIDEX AO and WE CAN based on the Multi Scale
639	Infrastructure for Chamistry and Appendix (MUSICArro) – Journal of Combusi
640	Infrastructure for Chemistry and Aerosols (MUSICAVO). Journal of Geophysi-
641	cal Research: Atmospheres. doi: 10.1029/2022jd030650
642	Tang, W., Emmons, L. K., Jr, A. F. A., Gaubert, B., Knote, C., Tilmes, S.,
643	Kim, D. (2019). Source contributions to carbon monoxide concentrations dur-
644	ing KORUS-AQ based on CAM-chem model applications. Journal of Geophys-
645	ical Research: Atmospheres, 124(5), 2796–2822. doi: 10.1029/2018jd029151
646	Tang, W., Emmons, L. K., Worden, H. M., Kumar, R., He, C., Gaubert, B.,
647	Levelt, P. (2023). Application of the multi-scale infrastructure for
648	chemistry and aerosols version 0 (MUSICAv 0) for air quality research
649	in africa. $Geoscientific Model Development, 16(20), 6001-6028.$ doi:
650	10.5194/gmd-16-6001-2023
651	Tilmes, S., Hodzic, A., Emmons, L. K., Mills, M. J., Gettelman, A., Kinnison, D. E.,
652	Liu, X. (2019). Climate Forcing and Trends of Organic Aerosols in the
653	Community Earth System Model (CESM2). Journal of Advances in Modeling
654	Earth Systems, 11(12), 4323–4351. doi: 10.1029/2019ms001827
655	Watson, C. E., Fishman, J., & Reichle, H. G. (1990). The significance of biomass
656	burning as a source of carbon monoxide and ozone in the southern hemisphere
657	tropics: A satellite analysis. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres.
658	95(D10), 16443–16450. doi: 10.1029/jd095id10p16443
659	Wiedinmver, C., Kimura, Y., McDonald-Buller, E. C., Emmons, L. K., Buchholz
660	R. R., Tang, W., Yokelson, R. (2023). The Fire Inventory from NCAR
661	version 2.5: an updated global fire emissions model for climate and chem-
662	istry applications, <i>Geoscientific Model Development</i> , 16(13), 3873–3891 doi:

663	10.5194/gmd-16-3873-2023
664	Yáñez-Serrano, A. M., Aguilos, M., Barbosa, C., Bolaño-Ortiz, T. R., Carbone, S.,
665	Díaz-López, S., Tzompa-Sosa, Z. A. (2022). The latin america early ca-
666	reer earth system scientist network (LAECESS): addressing present and future
667	challenges of the upcoming generations of scientists in the region. npj Climate
668	and Atmospheric Science, 5(1). doi: 10.1038/s41612-022-00300-3
669	Zhang, G., & McFarlane, N. A. (1995). Sensitivity of climate simulations to
670	the parameterization of cumulus convection in the Canadian climate cen-

tre general circulation model. Atmosphere-Ocean, 33(3), 407-446. doi: 10.1080/07055900.1995.9649539

Journal of Geophysical Research

Supporting Information for

Multiscale CO budget estimates across South America: quantifying local sources and long range transport

Pablo Lichtig^{1,2}, Benjamin Gaubert³, Louisa K. Emmons³, Duseong S. Jo³, Patrick Callaghan³, Sergio Ibarra-Espinosa⁴, Laura Dawidowski¹, Guy P. Brasseur⁵, Gabriele Pfister³

¹National Commission of Atomic Energy, Buenos Aires, Argentina
 ²National Counsel of Science and Technology, Buenos Aires, Argentina
 ³National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, Co, USA
 ⁴National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration, Boulder, CO, USA
 ⁵Max Planck Institute for Meteorology, Hamburg, Germany

Contents of this file

Figures S1 to S5

Introduction

This supplemental information contains a figure to analyze the biases of the monthly CO dry-column averaged mole fraction for the ne30x4 and ne30 simulations compared to MOPITT (Figures S1 and S2) . Figures S3 and S4 contain maps to compare the yearly mean of the CO and OH dry-air column averaged mole fraction in the ne30x4 and ne30 simulations. Figure S5 shows the difference in MEGAN isoprene emissions in both simulations.



Figure S1. Comparison of the dry-air column averaged mole fraction monthly mean of the ne30x4 run with MOPITT output.



Figure S2. Comparison of the dry-air column averaged mole fraction monthly mean of the control simulation ne30 with MOPITT output.



Figure S3. Comparison of the dry-air column averaged mole fraction of CO monthly mean of a) the refined, ne30x4 and b) the control ne30 runs. The difference is represented in c)



Figure S4. Comparison of the dry-air column averaged mole fraction of OH monthly mean of a) the refined, ne30x4 and b) the control ne30 runs. The difference is represented in c).



Figure S5. Comparison of the MEGAN Isoprene emissions monthly mean for a) the refined, ne30x4 and b) the control ne30 runs. The difference is represented in c).