# Constraints and Drivers of Dissolved Fluxes of Pyrogenic Carbon in Soil and Freshwater Systems: a Global Review and Meta-analysis

Rebecca Brianne Abney<sup>1</sup>, Morgan Barnes<sup>2</sup>, Ali Moss<sup>3</sup>, and Fernanda Santos<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Indiana University <sup>2</sup>Pacific Northwest National Laboratory <sup>3</sup>Warnell School of Forestry and Natural Resources, University of Georgia <sup>4</sup>Oak Ridge National Laboratory

January 8, 2024

#### Abstract

Pyrogenic carbon (PyC) is a significant component of the global soil carbon pool due to its longer environmental persistence than other soil organic matter components. Despite PyC's persistence in soil, recent work has indicated that it is susceptible to loss processes such as mineralization and leaching, with the significance and magnitude of these largely unknown at the hillslope and watershed scale. We present a review of the work concerning dissolved PyC transport in soil and freshwater. Our analysis found the primary environmental controls on dissolved PyC (dPyC) transport are the formation conditions and quality of the PyC itself, with longer and higher temperature charring conditions leading to less transport of dPyC. While correlations between dPyC and dissolved organic carbon in rivers and other pools are frequently reported, the slope of these correlations was pool-dependent (i.e., soil-water, precipitation, lakes, streams, rivers), suggesting site-specific environmental controls. However, the lack of consistency in analytical techniques and sample preparation remains a major challenge to quantifying environmental controls on dPyC fluxes. We propose that future research should focus on the following: (1) consistency in methodological approaches, (2) more quantitative measures of dPyC in pools and fluxes from soils to streams, (3) turnover times of dPyC in soils and aquatic systems, and (4) improved understanding of how mechanisms controlling the fate of dPyC in dynamic post-fire landscapes interact. With more refined quantitative information about the controls on dPyC transport at the hillslope and landscape scale, we can increase the accuracy and utility of global carbon models.

1 2	Constraints and Drivers of Dissolved Fluxes of Pyrogenic Carbon in Soil and Freshwater Systems: a Global Review and Meta-analysis
3	
4	
5	Authors: R. B. Abney <sup>1</sup> , M. E. Barnes <sup>2</sup> , A. Moss <sup>1</sup> , and F. Santos <sup>3</sup>
6	
7 8 9	<sup>1</sup> Warnell School of Forestry and Natural Resources, University of Georgia, Athens, GA. <sup>2</sup> Biological Sciences Division, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, WA. <sup>3</sup> Environmental Sciences Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN.
10	
11	
12	
13	Corresponding author: Rebecca Abney ( <u>Rebecca.Abney@uga.edu</u> )
14	
15	
16	
17	Key Points:
18	• Burn intensity of pyrogenic carbon (PyC) controls its dissolution and aqueous transport.
19 20	• From headwater streams to oceans, dissolved PyC increases in radiocarbon age, implying environmental persistence.
21 22	• Environmental controls, including climate, topography, biota, parent material, and time impact the pool size and flux of dissolved PyC.
23	
~ 1	

#### 25 Abstract

- 26 Pyrogenic carbon (PyC) is a significant component of the global soil carbon pool due to its
- 27 longer environmental persistence than other soil organic matter components. Despite PyC's
- 28 persistence in soil, recent work has indicated that it is susceptible to loss processes such as
- 29 mineralization and leaching, with the significance and magnitude of these largely unknown at the
- 30 hillslope and watershed scale. We present a review of the work concerning dissolved PyC
- transport in soil and freshwater. Our analysis found the primary environmental controls on
- 32 dissolved PyC (dPyC) transport are the formation conditions and quality of the PyC itself, with
- 33 longer and higher temperature charring conditions leading to less transport of dPyC. While
- 34 correlations between dPyC and dissolved organic carbon in rivers and other pools are frequently 35 reported, the slope of these correlations was pool-dependent (i.e., soil-water, precipitation, lakes,
- streams, rivers), suggesting site-specific environmental controls. However, the lack of
- 37 consistency in analytical techniques and sample preparation remains a major challenge to
- 38 quantifying environmental controls on dPyC fluxes. We propose that future research should
- 39 focus on the following: (1) consistency in methodological approaches, (2) more quantitative
- 40 measures of dPyC in pools and fluxes from soils to streams, (3) turnover times of dPyC in soils
- and aquatic systems, and (4) improved understanding of how mechanisms controlling the fate of
- 42 dPyC in dynamic post-fire landscapes interact. With more refined quantitative information about
- the controls on dPyC transport at the hillslope and landscape scale, we can increase the accuracy
- 44 and utility of global carbon models.

# 45 **1. Introduction**

# 46 **1.1 Significance of dissolved pyrogenic carbon in the global C cycle**

- 47
- 48 Wildfire is a major ecosystem disturbance that has been progressively getting more severe and
- 49 intense in many areas of the world (<u>Descals et al., 2022</u>; <u>Feurdean et al., 2020</u>; <u>Turco et al., 2018</u>;
- 50 <u>Westerling et al., 2006</u>). Additionally, significant increases in the use of prescribed fire have
- been observed across the US in the past few decades, especially in the southeast (Kolden, 2019).
- Natural and anthropogenic fires transform carbon (C) into a range of materials across the burn continuum including lightly charred material to charcoal, soot, and ash, collectively known as
- continuum including lightly charred material to charcoal, soot, and ash, collectively known as
   pyrogenic carbon, or PyC (Bird et al., 2015; Masiello, 2004). This PyC is initially deposited on
- the soil surface and emitted to the atmosphere. Pyrogenic C has received considerable research
- attention over the past decades due to its generally longer persistence times in soil relative to
- other soil organic matter components, which has implications for C storage (Abney and Berhe,
- 58 2018; Bird et al., 2015; Santín et al., 2016).
- 59
- 60 There has been limited research synthesizing the production, pools, and fluxes of PyC across
- 61 large spatial scales (<u>Coppola et al., 2022</u>; <u>Wagner et al., 2018</u>) to better constrain the role of PyC
- 62 in the global C cycle. It has been estimated that approximately 2.7% of the global land area is
- 63 burned annually (<u>Giglio et al., 2013</u>), resulting in 50-270 Tg y<sup>-1</sup> of PyC produced (<u>Kuhlbusch</u>
- and Crutzen, 1995). At a global scale, PyC can account for a significant portion (~10%) of
- dissolved organic carbon (DOC) fluxes in rivers (Jaffé et al., 2013; Wagner et al., 2018). The
- 66 global annual transport of particulate PyC from rivers into oceans ranges from 19-80 Tg/yr
- 67 (Druffel, 2004; Elmquist et al., 2008; Jaffé et al., 2013), although this is most frequently reported
- as 26-27 Tg/yr (<u>Elmquist et al., 2008; Jaffé et al., 2013</u>). However, current estimates of dPyC

- 69 export from terrestrial to inland aquatic systems range over several orders of magnitude, from
- 70 ~0.4-18 Tg/yr (<u>Bowring et al., 2022</u>; <u>Jones et al., 2020</u>), likely reflecting both the variability in
- 71 processes (e.g., decomposition, photooxidation, water availability, etc.) influencing PyC
- 72 dynamics at the terrestrial- aquatic interface and insufficient PyC measurements.
- 73

74 While parameterization of global PyC models typically includes annual production, stocks,

- <sup>75</sup> large-scale fluxes, and some smaller-scale controls (i.e., decomposition (Bird et al., 2015;
- 76 <u>Reisser et al., 2016</u>; <u>Santín et al., 2016</u>), several critical knowledge gaps remain regarding what
- controls those fluxes, especially at smaller scales. In particular, we need a more detailed
- <sup>78</sup> understanding of how PyC is transported from source burned material into the soil system, across
- hillslopes and watersheds, and into aquatic environments (<u>Myers-Pigg et al., 2015</u>; <u>Wagner et al.</u>, 2010).
- 80 <u>2019c</u>; <u>Wagner et al.</u>, <u>2015b</u>). Some recent research has demonstrated inconsistencies between</u>
- the sources and ages of dPyC flowing from rivers and those from PyC measured in the ocean (Wagner et al., 2019b), which indicates limitations in our mechanistic understanding of (1) the
- cycling of dPyC within terrestrial systems, (2) cycling within freshwater aquatic systems, and (3)
- connections between terrestrial and aquatic systems. This paper addresses this limitation by
- synthesizing our current knowledge of dPyC fluxes and the processes that control its
- transformation within and between terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems to inform research
- communities involved in management, modeling, and description of PyC.
- 88

# 89 **1.2 Aims**

- 90
- 91 The aim of this paper is two-fold. The first aim is to conduct a meta-analysis of available primary
- 92 literature on dPyC to synthesize the state of knowledge of pools and fluxes in soils and
- freshwater systems. We use the meta-analysis to quantify methodological differences in
- 94 measurements of dPyC and examine whether they confound our understanding of reported dPyC
- 95 fluxes. We also assess the interactive effects of precursor material, burn conditions, and
- 96 environmental factors on the magnitude and quality of reported dPyC fluxes.
- 97
- 98 The second aim is to synthesize known and unknown processes that affect the aqueous transport
- and composition of dPyC across the terrestrial to aquatic interface. We conclude this paper by
- 100 identifying gaps in our understanding of processes that drive dPyC retention and mobility in soils
- and freshwater. By providing a more quantitative understanding of dPyC in soil and freshwater
- 102 carbon cycles, this work should provide useful information for researchers, land managers, and
- 103 for land models that handle fire disturbances used to predict future climates.

# 104 **1.3 Defining dPyC**

- 105
- 106 Pyrogenic C is a continuum of materials that range from lightly charred organic matter to highly
- 107 charred and condensed organic matter (Bird et al., 2015; Masiello, 2004; Santín et al., 2016).
- 108 Therefore, dPyC also exists on such a continuum (<u>Wagner et al., 2017</u>). In a review paper on the
- 109 PyC cycle, <u>Bird et al. (2015)</u> define dPyC as the "thermochemically altered carbon present in the
- environment as a component of dissolved organic carbon in the  $<0.45 \mu m$  fraction of natural
- 111 waters." However, current methodological approaches restrict measurement of PyC or dPyC to
- only subsections of the continuum (<u>Wiedemeier et al., 2015</u>). Thus, by selecting a single method,
- most studies are operationally defining PyC or dPyC. Previous studies have measured dPyC
- using filter sizes ranging from 0.1 um to 0.7 um (see section **3.2.2**, **Figure S2**), and while much

- of environmental research considers materials  $<0.45 \mu m$  to be dissolved, these materials may in
- 116 fact be very small colloidal organic matter. Benzene, which makes up the backbone of many PyC
- molecules, is only slightly soluble in water, but it is small enough that it would easily pass
- through a 0.45  $\mu$ m filter (diameter of benzene ring is 2.49 A or approximately 0.000249  $\mu$ m). Of
- course, most PyC does not exist as single benzene rings but is comprised of large, complex
   macromolecules with some soluble functional groups (<u>Bird et al., 2015</u>), and much of what is
- 120 Inacromolecules with some soluble functional groups (<u>Bird et al., 2015</u>), and inden of what is 121 often described as dPyC are chemical fragments and colloids (Wagner et al., 2017). In this work,
- we are using "dPyC" to indicate both fully dissolved and colloidal PyC within an aqueous matrix
- due to inconsistencies in analytical approaches used for quantifying PyC pools and fluxes.
- 124

#### 125 **2. Methods**

#### 126 **2.1 Data acquisition**

127

Publications were selected for the meta-analysis following a modification of the PSALSAR

129 (research protocol and reporting results) method adapted by <u>Mengist et al. (2020)</u> from the

130 SALSA (search, appraisal, synthesis, and analysis) method (<u>Grant and Booth, 2009</u>), which

131 outlines organized methods for systematic literature reviews. Complete search and selection

132 criteria can be found in **Table S1**. The scope of the meta-analysis was defined as original

research papers that either quantified dPyC or characterized its chemical composition within

terrestrial or freshwater systems, including in-lab simulations and extractions. The search for

- publications was initiated on August 23, 2021, via Web of Science and returned 12,776
- 136 publications, which we narrowed to 175 final publications via three successive rounds of filtering
- 137 (**Figure S1**).

# 138 2.2 Data processing and analysis

139

# 140 **2.2.1 Extraction of dPyC values and other relevant information**

141

142 Data were extracted from the 175 meta-analysis publications (Figure 1 and Table S1) and

143 organized in Microsoft Excel as study- and observation-level information, where the study-level

- 144 information was applicable to the entire publication (e.g., publication year) and observation-level
- information was specific to individual data points (e.g., pyrolysis temperature for a single PyC

146 type). Observations were included when they were reported concentrations of dPyC or

147 concentrations of DOC when only PyC (charcoal, biochar) was extracted in the laboratory. Data

148 which were presented only in figures (e.g., a bar graph of dPyC concentration means) were

- 149 extracted using Web Plot Digitizer (<u>Rohatgi, 2022</u>).
- 150

# 151 2.2.2 Assignment of location and climate regions to dPyC field observations

152

153 Latitude and longitude were assigned to each field study observation using provided coordinates,

154 map figures (using Web Plot Digitizer as described earlier), or via Google Earth using

155 descriptions in the manuscript text. Climate assignments were made by entering coordinates into

- 156 ClimateCharts.net (Laura Zepner, 2020), a web-based application which generates climate
- 157 classifications based on the Geiger-Köppen system. These assignments may contain some error
- at locations where regional or local scale climate variation exists at too fine a resolution to be

- 159 captured by the raster cell size from ClimateCharts.net or within the Geiger-Köppen
- 160 classification system itself. We further binned the climate assignments into broader classes, such
- 161 as temperate, tropical, polar, etc.
- 162
- 163 The map figure was generated in R version 4.2.1 using the geom\_map() function in the ggplot2
- 164 package. To reduce noise in the map, observations were binned by river basin using ArcMap
- 165 from ArcGIS Desktop 10.8.1(2020) with the World Wildlife Fund hydroSHEDS level 5 basins
- dataset (<u>Lehner and Grill, 2013</u>). Detailed workflows for processing of spatial data are provided
   in the Supplemental Materials.
- 168

# 169 2.2.4 Statistical analyses

- 170 We subset the data to analyze field and laboratory studies separately, and for field studies we
- only used dPyC observations measured by the benzene polycarboxylic acid (BPCA) method to
- maintain comparability across studies, and because this method comprised ~71% of the field
- observations. Data were found not normal using the Shapiro-Wilk test and were analyzed using
- 174 non-parametric tests: the Kruskal-Wallis rank sum test and pairwise comparisons using the
- 175 Wilcoxon rank sum test. For field studies dissolved pyrogenic carbon was the dependent variable
- and sample type (Flowing Water, Still Water, Precipitation, Soil Field Extract, and Throughflow
- and Stemflow), methodology, filter size, and climate bin (Cold, Polar, Temperate, and Tropical)
- 178 were independent variables. For laboratory studies, we used observations across all
- 179 methodologies to increase our sample size and because no method was employed to produce a
- 180 majority of the observations. For some continuous variables in the laboratory observations
- 181 (charring temperature, time, extraction ratios), we used linear regression to describe their
- relationship with dPyC. Significance was determined at the p < 0.05 level.
- 183

# 184 **3. Results**

# 185 **3.1 Overview of dataset**

- 186 Here we provide an overview of the meta-analysis based on 175 studies on dPyC, which yielded
- 187 2084 individual observations of dPyC (**Table 1**). The majority of observations (66%) were from
- the field setting, and they were reported across five different non-convertible units. We analyzed
- the data in light of methodological differences (Section 3.2), field measurements (Section 3.3),
- and laboratory-based measurements (Section 3.4) to quantify the relative magnitude of different
- dPyC pools and fluxes across the terrestrial to aquatic interface and to identify important
- 192 mechanisms driving these fluxes.
- 193
- 194 The most frequently published journals were Science of the Total Environment (n = 20);
- 195 Environmental Science and Technology (n = 17); Chemosphere (n = 13); Journal of Hazardous
- 196 Materials (n = 9); Organic Geochemistry (n = 8); Global Biogeochemical Cycles (n = 6);
- Biogeochemistry (n = 5); Frontiers in Earth Science (n = 5); and Water Research (n = 5); The
- remainder had fewer than five studies included in this meta-analysis. This wide range in scope of
- journal topics reflects our broad search aims to connect terrestrial and aquatic research on dPyC.
- 200
- 201 Of the studies selected for this meta-analysis, only 12 measured soil, sediment, or other PyC
- 202 source concentration in combination with dPyC. Many of these reported positive correlations

- between dPyC with DOC and source PyC concentration (Bi et al., 2018; Güereña et al., 2015;
- Jones et al., 2019). Contrastingly, several studies reported a reduction in DOC export after
- biochar or charcoal amendment (e.g.(<u>Abdelrahman et al., 2018</u>; <u>Braun et al., 2020</u>; <u>Zand and</u>
   Grathwohl, 2016), suggesting initial sorption of OM to the added PyC surface. Furthermore,
- 206 <u>Grathwohl, 2016</u>), suggesting initial sorption of OM to the added PyC surface. Furthermore, 207 many of these papers noted or measured variability in time post-fire or post-addition of charcoal
- as factors in leaching of PyC (e.g.(<u>Bi et al., 2018</u>; <u>Jones et al., 2019</u>; <u>Santos et al., 2017</u>),
- highlighting the need for investigation on the controls on the timing of post-fire dPyC fluxes.
- 210
- 211

Table 1. Number of dPyC measurements (n) across all methods that were extracted in this metaanalysis. A measurement of dPyC was considered whenever the observation was associated with

- a quantitative measurement of dPyC concentration. Dissolved organic C was considered a
- 215 measurement of dPyC when charcoal only was extracted in the laboratory setting. Proportion of
- 216 <u>dPyC in DOC is denoted as %.</u>

Sample	Individual Observations (n)	dPyC	dPyC	DOC	DOC	dPyC
rype		mg L <sup>-1</sup> (n)	$mg kg^{-1}(n)$	mg L <sup>-1</sup> (n)	$mg kg^{-1}(n)$	% (n)
Field	1389	1300	64	NA	NA	25
Lab	695	67	121	302	159	46
Total	2084	1367	185	302	159	71

217

# **3.2.** The influence of methodological approaches on dPyC measurements

#### 219 **3.2.1 Detection and measurement of dissolved PyC**

220

A major obstacle to closing the gaps in the global PyC cycle is associated with the

222 methodological difficulty in measuring PyC, because there are a broad suite of methods used to

- 223 quantify PyC and dPyC, and each method only captures a small portion of the PyC continuum
- (Bird et al., 2015; Masiello, 2004; Wiedemeier et al., 2015). As such, a suite of analytical
- 225 methods was used by the studies selected for our meta-analysis. Many studies utilized qualitative
- methods for identification of the chemical composition of PyC in solution, including ultrahigh
- 227 resolution mass spectrometry, Nuclear Magnetic Resonance spectroscopy (NMR), X-ray
- 228 photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), and X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS). For additional
- details on how these methods can be utilized for dPyC, see (<u>Chen et al., 2022</u>; <u>Hameed et al.</u>,
- 230 <u>2023</u>; <u>Wagner et al., 2018</u>). Quantitative methods provide a measurement of PyC concentration
- in solution, which we further investigated in our meta-analysis, and included molecular markers
- 232 (Benzene Polycarboxylic Acid or BPCA, levoglucosan, and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons or 232 PAHs), cheme thermal oxidation, as well as analytical and hydrocarb pyrolycic, all of which have
- PAHs), chemo-thermal oxidation, as well as analytical and hydrogen pyrolysis, all of which have been extensively discussed elsewhere (Ascough et al., 2009; Gustafsson et al., 2001; Hameed et
- al., 2023; Hammes et al., 2007; Schmidt et al., 2001; Wagner et al., 2018). In 3.2.2, we examined
- the most common methods applied for the chemical characterization and quantification of dPyC
- 237 in field and laboratory studies.
- 238

# 239 **3.2.2** The impact of methodological approach on measured dPyC concentration

- 240 To assess how the use of different methodological approaches may influence dPyC values, we
- examined concentrations (in mg  $L^{-1}$  or mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) across field- and lab-based observations (Figure
- 242 2). Field measurements of dPyC ranged over six orders of magnitude, and the lowest reported
- concentrations were in some of the methods that describe the narrowest section of the PyC
   continuum, namely GC-MS for PAHs and levoglucosan. BPCA is a widely used method across
- 245 many sample types and this is reflected in the concentrations reported from this method.
- Approximately 50% of all data points were derived from the BPCA method, the majority of
- which were from field-based studies. The majority of field observations were obtained from the
- BPCA method (71%), while the majority of laboratory observations were made by quantifying
- total DOC from PyC (as charcoal, biochar, etc. extractions), followed by GC-MS techniques.
- The GC-MS techniques were also common in field studies (16% of observations). The vast
- majority (>80%) of laboratory studies quantified dPyC on char extracts, and the remainder quantified dPyC on soil extracts.
- 252
- 254 Most of the samples were filtered prior to analysis, with approximately a third of samples (n =
- 255 742) filtered using a 0.7 μm filter size, followed by 664 samples filtered with a 0.45 μm filter.
- Laboratory studies most frequently used the 0.45  $\mu$ m filter (n = 412), whereas field-based studies
- 257 most frequently used the 0.7  $\mu$ m filter size (n = 670).
- 258



Figure 2. Field measurements of dPyC across different methodologies (n.b. Y axis is in log 260 scale). Methods included in this figure are: BPCA (benzene polycarboxylic acid), Chemo-thermo 261 oxidation, FTICR-MS (Fourier-transform ion cyclotron resonance mass spectrometry), GC-MS 262 (gas chromatography mass spectrometry) for PAHs (polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons), HPLC 263 (High-performance liquid chromatography) for PAHs, hydrogen pyrolysis, levoglucosan, optics 264 (includes fluorescence excitation-emission matrix-parallel factor analysis and other optical 265 266 techniques), and other (includes liquid-chromatography for organic carbon detection and dichromate sulfuric acid oxidation). 267 268

- 268
- 269
- 270 271





Figure 3. Field-based measurements of dPyC across all methods with color indicating sample type and size of point denoting the number of observations.

#### 276 3.3 Field-based measurements

277

Field-based observations of dPyC were distributed globally, including six of seven continents 278 (excluding Australia). We extracted dPvC observations from several locations, including central 279 and western Asia, eastern Europe, central America, and northern Africa (Figure 3). The majority 280 of field-based observations of dPyC using the BPCA method were made in flowing water (n= 281 734; 78%), with only a few that were soil related (n = 5; 0.5%). There were significant 282 differences in dPyC concentration across different sample types (p < 0.05;  $\chi 2 = 109.42$ , df = 4; 283 Kruskal-Wallis, Figure 4A). A pairwise Wilcoxon rank sum test indicated that each sample type 284 was significantly different from each other (p < 0.05), except for soil field extract and 285 throughflow and stemflow. The highest concentrations of dPyC in the field were found in water 286 that had more time to interact with PyC (e.g., still water, soil pore water, throughfall and 287 stemflow vs. precipitation and flowing water (Figure 4A). Additionally, there were significant 288 differences in dPyC concentration across different climate zones (p < 0.05;  $\gamma 2 = 78.434$ , df = 3; 289 Kruskal-Wallis; Figure 4B). This likely reflects differences in burn season length, vegetation 290 available for burning, and mean air temperature and availability of water for dissolution. 291 292

293

294



Figure 4A and B. Mean concentration with standard error of dPyC across different sample types (A) and climate zones (B) observed in the field derived from the BPCA method. Letters denote significant differences based on Wilcox rank sum test.

299

#### 300 **Correlation of dPyC with DOC**

In field-based studies across all sample types, dPyC comprised approximately 5% of DOC. In

sample types where water has more contact time with PyC (i.e., soil water, still water, see **Figure** 

- 4A), dPyC makes up a greater proportion of DOC. In many of the flowing water studies the dPyC was correlated with discharge rates (Dittmar et al., 2012; Drake et al., 2020), as is DOC,
- but some found a dilution effect of higher precipitation with lower dPyC concentrations under
- certain hydrologic conditions (<u>Bao et al., 2019</u>; <u>Jones et al., 2019</u>). Together, this suggests that
- the mobility of dPyC is controlled by many of the same processes as DOC; hence the positive
- 308 correlations between dPyC and DOC (Figure 5). However, studies that incorporate
- 309 measurements of urban and anthropogenic sources of dPyC have noted a decoupling of dPyC
- from DOC where there are higher combustion activities (<u>Bi et al., 2018</u>).
- 311



312 313

**Figure 5**. Dissolved PyC as measured by the BPCA method was correlated with DOC in field

data from all sample types (panel A) and separated by different sample types (panels B-F). Solid
 black lines indicate linear fit with grey shaded area indicating 95% CI. The dashed line

black lines indicate linear fit with grey shaded area indicating 95% CI. The dashed line represents a 1:1 ratio of dPyC to DOC.

317

#### 318 **3.4 Laboratory-based measurements**

319

For laboratory studies, we considered measurements of DOC from char-extracts as a measure of 320 dPyC since the source material would be classified as PyC. This was the most frequently used 321 322 method in laboratory studies that measured dPyC, followed by the BPCA method. The highest concentrations of dPvC measured in the lab were with the DOC method, which generated values 323 approximately 1-2 orders of magnitude higher than the next highest concentrations, which were 324 determined via the BPCA method. We found two categories of sample types in laboratory 325 326 studies – soil extracts and charcoal extracts (n = 35 and 86, respectively). The soil extract had a not significantly lower concentration of dPyC compared with charcoal extracts (in units dPyC 327 328  $mg/kg; p = 0.11; \chi 2 = 2.5347; df = 1).$ 

329

330 There were significant differences in dPyC concentrations across different filter sizes (Figure

331 S2), but these did not increase with filter pore size, therefore these differences were more likely

due to differing methodological approaches and/or sample characteristics. For laboratory studies,

the BPCA method was most frequently used (n = 69 observations), followed by GC-MS for

PAHs (n = 46). There were significant differences in the dPyC concentration based on the

analytical method used to quantify dPyC (p < 0.05;  $\chi 2 = 40.268$ ; df = 3), with BPCA having

- 336 significantly higher concentrations than GC-MS and GC-MS specifically applied for PAHs
- **337** (Figure S3).
- 338

#### **339 3.4.1 Inferences from char extracts**

340

We also examined drivers of dPyC by analyzing data from charcoal extractions, with feedstocks 341 categorized as woody or herbaceous. There were no significant differences in dPyC 342 concentration based upon feedstock type (woody vs herbaceous) alone ( $\chi 2 = 0.011081$ ; df = 1; p 343 > 0.05) or on extraction time ( $\chi 2 = 5.1529$ , df = 5; p > 0.05). Extraction by shaking released 344 significantly more dPvC than other methods ( $\gamma 2 = 22.512$ ; df = 1; p < 0.05). There was a 345 significant negative correlation between the solid to solution ratio (p < 0.05; F=5.648; df = 65), 346 which reflects more PyC available for dissolution, although this only explained a small portion 347 of the variability in this data (Adjusted  $R^2 = 0.068$ ). For extraction solvents, organic solvents 348 resulted in significantly lower concentrations of dPvC than water or other solvents ( $\gamma 2 = 33.883$ ; 349 df = 2; p<0.05). We did find significant negative relationships between dPyC and burn time (F = 350 10; df = 18; p < 0.05) and maximum charring temperature (F = 8.57; df = 40; p < 0.05). This is 351

- consistent with freshly produced charcoal at high charring intensities being some of the least
- 353 soluble PyC (<u>Pyle et al., 2015</u>).







#### **4. Synthesis: factors affecting the fate of dPyC in terrestrial ecosystems**

358

Through this meta-analysis we have identified some key factors controlling the observed

360 magnitudes of dPyC fluxes reported by previous studies, including formation properties of PyC

and the method used to quantify dPyC. We found that methods that quantify a smaller

component of the dPyC continuum report lower concentrations of dPyC, and we found that

higher solid to solution ratios resulted in higher dPyC concentrations. We found that higher

charring time and temperature produced PyC that yielded lower amounts of dPyC (**Figure 6**).

- 365 These results make intuitive sense in terms of mechanistic controls on decomposition and
- already described properties of the solubility of PyC.
- 367
- 368 In the following sections we synthesize the controls on pools and fluxes of dPyC along the
- 369 terrestrial to aquatic continuum. We will explore the main chemical and physical properties of
- 370 dPyC that impact its transport, discuss the role of fire in changing soil and landscape properties
- that guide dPyC movement through terrestrial to aquatic systems, and finally describe how the
- soil forming factors can be applied as a model for understanding the relevant environmental
- 373 controls on dPyC formation, transformation, and loss in watersheds.
- 374

# **4.1 Properties of PyC and dPyC that impact persistence and cycling in soil**

376

377 Once PyC is deposited on the soil surface after a fire, its physical and chemical properties dictate

- how it may be transformed by the many processes that control its cycling and long-term fate.
- 379 Earlier work on PyC suggested that it persists in soil on the millennial time scale; however recent
- 380 work indicates that it has a centennial mean residence time in soil (Bird et al., 2015; Dittmar,
- <sup>381</sup> <u>2015; Wagner et al., 2015a</u>). The persistence of PyC in soil is typically longer when it is formed
- at higher temperatures and/or longer burning durations, and there is evidence that when formed
- at lower temperatures (<250 °C), it may breakdown over relatively shorter time scales (6 months;
- 384 (Abney et al., 2019a; Whitman et al., 2015). Santos et al. (2012) showed that this early
- breakdown can occur even when PyC is formed in the laboratory at relatively higher controlled temperatures (450 °C). This more rapid breakdown has been mainly attributed to decomposition;
- temperatures (450 °C). This more rapid breakdown has been mainly attributed to decomposition however, some loss is likely due to leaching (Hockaday et al., 2006; Hockaday et al., 2007;
- Major et al., 2010) and erosion (Abney et al., 2019a; Abney and Berhe, 2018; Abney et al.,
- 2019b; Abney et al., 2017). In this section we discuss how chemical and physical properties of
- 390 PyC and leached dPyC impact its transport in soils. We propose the following function can be
- used to understand the controls on the fate and properties of PyC and dPyC throughout post-fire
- 392 landscapes (Figure 7).
- 393
- $f = Burn \ conditions + Climate + Topography + Organisms + Parent \ material + Time + post$ fire soil properties



Leaching potential

396

**Figure 7.** The interaction of soil forming factors and burn conditions and severity likely dictate the fate and transport of dissolved pyrogenic carbon across dynamic landscapes. By studying post-fire landscapes through these two lenses, we can build predictive models of post-fire PyC dynamics.

401

#### 402 **4.1.2 Chemical composition**

The chemical composition of solid PyC before dissolution influences the quantity and quality of 403 dPyC. At lower burn temperatures, the resulting PyC formed is high in nutrients and oxygenated 404 functional groups, while higher burn temperatures tend to produce more condensed aromatic 405 PyC with low H:C and O:C ratios (Baldock and Smernik, 2002; Hammes et al., 2006; Schneider 406 et al., 2010). Bostick et al. (2018) investigated dPyC from PyC formed from oak wood and grass 407 and reported that the leachates were mostly chemically composed of a complex mixture of C 408 groups such as carbohydrates, aliphatics, and low molecular mass compounds, suggesting that 409 the DOM resulting from PyC is not solely comprised of condensed aromatics. Wozniak et al. 410 411 (2020) demonstrated the chemical heterogeneity of dPyC derived from low (250 °C) heating temperatures exhibits a wide range of O/C values and the presence of highly unsaturated 412

- aliphatics, polyphenolic formulas, and condensed aromatic C compounds, whereas compounds
- 414 with low O/C ratios dominated the composition of dPyC from higher heating temperatures (400
- <sup>415</sup> °C). Taken together, these recent findings suggest that DOM leached from solid PyC is
- heterogeneous and exists also along a chemical continuum (<u>Wagner et al., 2021</u>).

#### 417 **4.1.3 The influence of burn conditions**

418

The physical structure of PyC has been described by porosity, density, and particle size, each of

- 420 which can impact the stability of PyC and its potential for dissolution. For many biochars,
- 421 increasing charring temperature increases skeletal density and porosity, which may alter the
- 422 availability of the char for microbial decomposition or leaching (<u>Brewer et al., 2014</u>). We found

- that the formation conditions (burn time and temperature) of dPyC were most critical for
- 424 predicting the concentration of dPyC (Figure 6). Together, burn temperature and duration
- represent burn intensity, which controls solid PyC properties, such that increasing burn
- temperature and duration generate PyC that is increasingly aromatic and condensed, contributing
- to lower solubility. Solid PyC can physically break down via comminution that leads to its
- 428 dissolved transport, and there is evidence that weaker portions of the solid PyC structure are
- responsible for the production of dPyC (<u>Spokas et al., 2014</u>). Some of the variability in strength
- in char structure reflects the precursor plant material structure, which is often retained in PyC
   (Brewer et al., 2014; Hockaday et al., 2007), although we found no effect of precursor material
- 431 on resulting dPyC concentration. Additionally, size of the solid PyC controls the distance it can
- be transported via erosion (Bellè et al., 2021), which may result in the PyC being eroded into a
- 434 landform position with more favorable leaching conditions. The physical properties of charcoal
- have been linked to its persistence in soil, however more rsearch is needed on how and if these
- 436 properties dictate quantity and quality of leached dPyC.

# 437 4.2 Environmental and soil factors controlling transport and fate of dPyC along a 438 terrestrial to aquatic gradient

439

440 In addition to the properties of PyC, there are a number of environmental and soil factors that

- 441 play a role in the transport of dPyC across landscapes. Below we discuss the relative importance
- 442 of site factors, such as climate, topography, biotic factors, parent material, time, and burn
- 443 conditions, in dPyC formation and transport. Additionally, these factors are frequently
- 444 interacting across dynamics landscapes and are often difficult to disentangle in natural systems.

# 445 **4.2.1 Climate: precipitation and temperature**

The climate of an area regulates vegetation type, biomass (see section 4.2.3) and the availability 446 and quantity of water required for leaching, erosion, and microbial functioning. We found dPyC 447 concentration varied with climate (Figure 4B). Precipitation can affect erosion of PyC and 448 transport of dPyC. However, we found no significant relationship between MAP and field-449 reported concentration of dPyC (p > 0.05). This is likely because climate describes average 450 expected weather for an area, whereas leaching is largely driven by individual precipitation 451 events. Climate does dictate the amount of time throughout the year that precipitation-driven 452 erosion events can occur (i.e., rainfall vs snowfall), which can drive overall sediment transport 453 (Nearing et al., 2004). Importantly, extreme precipitation events can be major drivers of erosion 454 in post-fire landscapes, and previous research has described how rain-on-snow events, can lead 455

- 456 significant erosional losses of PyC (<u>Abney et al., 2019b; Carroll et al., 2007</u>), and increase runoff
- 457 (see Section 4.2.2).
- 458
- 459 From this meta-analysis, studies that measured dPyC concentrations in precipitation samples
- 460 found some of the lowest ratios of dPyC to DOC and the weakest correlation between DOC and
- dPyC (**Figure 5**). The variability in dPyC in precipitation is likely due to the movement of air
- 462 masses containing particulate PyC (either from fire ash and soot or fossil fuel burning) and
- 463 variability in timing of precipitation events. The timing of the initial interaction of PyC and water
  464 is critical for its fate in the post-fire landscape (Masiello and Berhe, 2020).
- 465
- 466 Environmental temperatures likely impact the potential for PyC leaching via a few key
- 467 mechanisms, mainly through the form of precipitation (snow vs rain fall), the speed of

decomposition, and interactively through controlling the vegetation available to serve as fuel for

a fire (formation quantity and quality of PyC). Slow rates of snow melting or rainfall could

470 possibly drive more downwards migration of PyC through leaching than the rapid runoff of an

471 intense rainfall event. The role of precipitation intensity as a driver of leaching is also moderated

472 by soil type (<u>Bellè et al., 2021</u>).

473

Temperature is a significant driver of the rate of decomposition. Due to the higher temperature

sensitivity of PyC and other more persistent SOM components to rising temperatures (<u>Conant et</u>

476 <u>al., 2011; Davidson and Janssens, 2006; von Lützow and Kögel-Knabner, 2009</u>), these materials

may have increased decomposition that leads to greater dissolution of PyC (<u>Abiven et al., 2011</u>;
 Hockaday et al., 2006). Temperature also impacts the solubility of DOM, where considerably

higher temperatures may lead to greater solubility of PyC. We did not find a significant

relationship between mean annual temperature and field dPyC concentrations (p > 0.05), but we

481 expect that this is due to confounding site-specific environmental and methodological factors.

# 482 **4.2.2 Topography and Erosion**

483

Topographic landform positions are likely significant controls on dPyC and SOM dynamics, as previous research has reported an increase in SOC in depositional landform positions, which

receive eroded material from upslope landform positions (Berhe et al., 2018; Berhe et al., 2007).

Additionally, the preferential erosion of PyC likely indicates that it can accumulate in these

depositional landform positions (Abney et al., 2019a; Abney and Berhe, 2018; Rumpel et al.,

489 2009; Rumpel et al., 2006). An unanswered question, however, is whether PyC in depositional vs

490 eroding landform positions could be more susceptible to leaching when there are higher nutrient

491 concentrations and higher water content. The impacts of erosion on the long-term fate of PyC in

soil are complex and depend on the landscape erosion and deposition dynamics along with the

493 soil water conditions and precipitation events (<u>Abney and Berhe, 2018</u>; <u>Masiello and Berhe</u>,

494 <u>2020</u>).

495

Elevated rates of post-fire erosion are widely reported across a range of different ecosystem

497 types (<u>Carroll et al., 2007</u>; <u>de Dios Benavides-Solorio and MacDonald, 2005</u>; <u>Johansen et al.</u>,

498 <u>2001; Robichaud et al., 2007</u>). The magnitude of post-fire erosion is dependent on a number of  $\frac{1}{200}$ 

soil and precipitation factors, which also control the (1) amount of PyC available for leaching

and (2) the soil-water conditions to allow for leaching to occur. Furthermore, there is a direct

relationship between burn severity, SOM chemical composition, and erosion (<u>Abney and Berhe</u>,
 <u>2018</u>; <u>Abney et al.</u>, <u>2019b</u>), such that areas that experience higher burn severities have SOM that

502 <u>2018</u>; <u>Abney et al., 2019b</u>), such that areas that experience higher burn severities have SOM that 503 is more aromatic and more erosion prone. This suggests that conversely, areas that experience

moderate- to low-severity burning may have SOM that is more prone to leaching due to both its

505 chemical composition and higher soil infiltration rates (de Dios Benavides-Solorio and

- 506 MacDonald, 2005; Morris and Moses, 1987; Robichaud, 2000; Torreano and Morris, 1998).
- 507 Several studies have indicated that PyC is preferentially transported post-fire (<u>Abney et al.</u>,
- 508 2019b; Rumpel et al., 2009; Rumpel et al., 2006). However, since most SOM that is transported
- via erosion is deposited within the same or adjacent watershed (<u>Stallard, 1998</u>), this transported

510 PyC may still be available for and susceptible to leaching, depending on where in the landscape

511 it is deposited and if it is buried after erosion.

#### 512 **4.2.3 Organisms**

513

514 Vegetation can play a role in controlling the fate of dPyC through several pathways including

- acting as fuel for PyC formation and regulating burn severity, moderating microbial
- decomposition/cycling of PyC, and dictating post-fire erosion via soil stabilization and ground
- 517 cover (Cerdà and Doerr, 2005; Kim et al., 2021). These factors will vary with functional type,
- diversity, and structure of vegetation, along with pre- and post-fire growth, and likely will drive
- shifts in SOM composition and stability (<u>Hart et al., 2005</u>; <u>Viedma et al., 2020</u>).
- 520
- 521 In addition to vegetation growing in soil, soil fauna can impact the fate of PyC and dPyC. Soil
- 522 macro- and meso-fauna can fragment PyC into smaller particle sizes and remobilize it (Domene,
- 523 <u>2016</u>; <u>Domene et al., 2015</u>; <u>Elmer et al., 2015</u>), likely increasing the availability of PyC to be
- 524 microbially degraded and/or to enter the dissolved phase. However, the specific role of
- 525 bioturbation in controlling dPyC production has, to the authors' knowledge, not yet be
- demonstrated. The addition of PyC to soil also has been demonstrated to increase microbial
- 527 biomass and cause shifts in microbial community composition (Dove et al., 2021; Lehmann et
- 528 <u>al., 2011</u>). While in some cases bacteria can be more sensitive to fire relative to fungi (Brown et
- 529 <u>al., 2019</u>), emerging general trends suggest that fungi are more sensitive to fire than bacteria
- 530 (Beals et al., 2022; Pressler et al., 2019; Qin and Liu, 2021), and may (Dove and Hart, 2017) or 531 may not (Miyamoto et al., 2021) receiver to may fine levels even a development level. There
- 531 may not (<u>Miyamoto et al., 2021</u>) recover to pre-fire levels over a decade post burn. These
- 532 microbial and other organismal community changes can alter soil functioning, possibly leading 533 to shifts in dPyC formation and leaching.
- 534

# 535 4.2.4 Parent material and mineralogy

536

537 A soil's parent material will influence the soil texture, structure, and reactivity, among other soil characteristics. Coarse, sandy soils formed under granodiorite parent material may have a low 538 cation exchange capacity (CEC) and little aggregation. These soils can have high infiltration and 539 hydraulic conductivity, with potential for rapid leaching of PyC; however, clay-rich soils may 540 have lower hydraulic conductivity, with lower potential for leaching (Barnes et al., 2014). The 541 parent material also influences the hydrologic properties of a soil, including depth to ground 542 water, hydrologic flow paths, and connectivity to nearby aquatic systems. In soils where surface 543 water is connected to groundwater, post-fire leaching can transport dPyC to groundwater, with 544

some concerns regarding contamination (i.e., with PAHs) of aquifers (<u>Mansilha et al., 2014</u>).

547 Mineral-PyC associations have been demonstrated by several studies (Brodowski et al., 2005;

548 <u>Glaser et al., 2000; Hockaday et al., 2007</u>), but it is not yet known if these form from the

549 interaction of dPyC or solid PyC with soil minerals. <u>Cusack et al. (2012)</u> found positive

- 550 correlations between PyC, SOC, and short range order minerals. They did not find selective
- 551 preservation of PyC over non-pyrogenic SOC, but they indicate that physical protection is likely 552 an important stabilization mechanism for PyC. A study by <u>Brodowski et al. (2006)</u> found that
- 553 PyC was preferentially stored in the occluded particulate matter fraction. Some labeling studies
- have also found PyC associated with heavy fractions or aggregate-protected fractions in less than
- a year post-application (Singh et al., 2014; Soong and Cotrufo, 2015). In subsurface soils,
- increasing mineral surface area and soil aggregates (<u>Lehmann and Kleber, 2015</u>) may control
- 557 dPyC mobility or stabilization via sorption. Mineral stabilization of PyC needs more

- 558 investigation to fully understand how mineral-PyC associations are similar to other mineral-OM
- 559 interactions, how important the quality and quantity of dPyC is for the formation of these
- associations, and how these interactions impact the long-term fate of PyC in soil.

#### 561 562 **425** T

4.2.5 Time 562 Time is a critical factor in controlling the availability of PyC for forming dPyC. Some research 563 has shown that dPyC increases over time, as the processes of chemical, physical, and biological 564 breakdown of PyC progress, leading to more soluble DOC and dPyC with increasing time since 565 fire (Bostick et al., 2021; Wagner et al., 2017; Wagner et al., 2018). This increase in solubility is 566 due to introduction of oxygen and nitrogen containing functional groups (Hilscher and Knicker, 567 2011). There are also lags in between the formation and deposition of PyC and the transport of 568 dPyC into adjacent and further downstream aquatic systems, as evidenced by increasing ages of 569 PyC and dPyC (see Table S2, Figure 9) along terrestrial to aquatic gradients to the ocean 570 (Wagner et al., 2019a; Wagner et al., 2018). Contrastingly, some evidence indicates that PyC is 571 more bioavailable immediately after production than previously considered, in part because PyC 572 also contains C compounds that are readily available for microorganisms and leaching (Cheng et 573 al., 2008; Schiedung et al., 2020). In addition, the amount of soil PyC available for forming 574 dPyC is highest in the time immediately post fire. There are many environmental factors that 575 may control dPyC concentrations in soils and streams post-fire, such as soil PyC concentrations, 576 hydrological connectivity of a watershed, potential for decomposition of PyC, etc. At least one 577 study has found no significant relationship between time since fire or between fire return interval 578

- and dPyC concentration in stream water (<u>Ding et al., 2013</u>), which may be reflective of these complexities. Wagner et al. (2015a) show different timing of particulate PyC compared with
- complexities. <u>Wagner et al. (2015a)</u> show different timing of particulate PyC compared with
   dPyC peak fluxes in a fire-impacted watershed in Colorado, USA. With this variability in timing
- of export of dPyC from fire-impacted watersheds, we need more mechanistic understanding of
- the hillslope-scale processes that are driving this export.

# 584 **4.3 Fire-driven changes in soil properties that impact mobilization of PyC**

585

586 Fire has long been described as a major disturbance and driver of changes in soil properties,

- including pH, hydrophobicity, texture, nutrient availability, organic matter, and vegetation
- dynamics, among others (DeBano, 1991; Gonzalez-Perez et al., 2004; MacDonald and Huffman,
- 589 <u>2004; Mataix-Solera et al., 2011</u>). Increases in pH may shift the solubility of PyC, as shown by
- 590 <u>Rebollo et al. (2008)</u>. Increases in hydrophobicity post-fire have frequently been reported in low
- to moderate burn severity fires (<u>MacDonald and Huffman, 2004</u>; <u>Mataix-Solera and Doerr</u>, 2004) and increases in hydrophobioity may at least terms are the least in the first first of the first sector.
- $\frac{2004}{10}$ , and increases in hydrophobicity may at least temporarily reduce the leaching of PyC into the soil matrix, as there is reduced infiltration (with notantial for increased must find the C)
- the soil matrix, as there is reduced infiltration (with potential for increased runoff of dPyC).
   Reduced infiltration and increased runoff post-fire due to loss of vegetation also can lead to
- Reduced infiltration and increased runoff post-fire due to loss of vegetation also can lead to elevated rates of erosion, at least until vegetation cover has returned (de Dios Benavides-Solorio
- and MacDonald, 2005). As vegetation regrows post-fire and the hydrophobic layer begins to
- 597 breakdown, it is not yet clear what timescales are relevant for processes of dissolution, within
- soil redistribution, and hydrologic export of dPyC or how these processes are moderated by burn
- severity to impact the transport of dPyC.
- 600
- Results from this meta-analysis indicate that burn intensity (energy x duration, **Figure 6**) is a
- significant driver of formation and quality of dPyC in soils. Other works have suggested that
- quality of PyC is important for formation of dPyC (more aliphatic, from either lower temperature

burns or more decomposed/oxidized). For example, as PyC ages, its surfaces may be broken into 604

605 more digestible aliphatic functional groups, which are more soluble, but as these are consumed,

this can leave behind a more aromatic PyC (Hockaday et al., 2007) that is less soluble and more 606 persistent in soil. Rainfall can also drive fluxes of dPyC, as multiple papers monitoring dPyC in

607 rivers noted positive correlations with higher discharge (Jaffé et al., 2013; Wagner et al., 2019c; 608

Wagner et al., 2015a). Bao et al. (2019) argue that different pools of DOC are accessed at 609

- different flow rates through soil, so we often find higher DOC and dPyC with higher flows. The 610
- actual solubility (or potential for leaching) of PyC across landscapes is controlled by the 611
- interaction of several environmental factors, including the burn intensity, chemical and physical 612
- properties of the PvC, and amount and timing of rainfall (Figure 8). 613
- 614



\*Burn intensity = temperature + duration of burning

- 615 Figure 8. Controls on the solubility (leaching potential) of PyC in soil. There are other factors 616
- controlling the leaching of PyC, including decomposition, sorption, fire return intervals, aging of 617

PvC etc. However, these are complex and likely do not follow linear relationships. 618

#### 619 5. Ecosystem implications

620

#### 5.1 Fluxes and internal cycling of dPyC between ecosystem components 621

If we assume that the main sources of dPyC for soil water include precipitation and dissolution 622

of in situ charcoal or PyC, then our data currently suggest that in situ dissolution is much more 623

important than precipitation, which has a dPyC concentration three orders of magnitude lower 624

than reported soil extract dPyC concentrations (Figure 6). The radiocarbon age of dPyC in 625

precipitation was, however, older than reported in some tributaries and rivers (Figure 9, Table 626

S2), which likely suggests that there is some contribution of very old pyrogenic material (i.e., 627

fossil fuel combustion) with more modern fuel burning to this dPyC pool. 628



**Figure 9**. Transport of dPyC across different global pools is presented as percent of dissolved

organic carbon. The range of radiocarbon ages of the dPyC in each pool is reported in years (see **Table S2** for more details).

#### 633

In terrestrial waters, there is an increase in dPyC age reported with increasingly larger and more 634 mixed pools (rivers and oceans compared with tributaries). The age of dPvC in tributaries was 635 also highly variable, which is likely reflective of the significance of individual watershed 636 properties on controlling DOM release and quality. The proportion of PyC that makes it from 637 deposition on soil surface post-fire to ocean dPyC is likely infinitesimally small. Bostick et al. 638 (2018) report that between 1-14% of dPyC in soil is leached to river dPyC, so the vast majority is 639 internally recycled. However, the controls on the variability and rates of transport of soil dPyC to 640 riverine dPyC need further investigation. 641

642

#### 643 **5.2 Future research directions**

There are numerous needs for future research on dPyC in soil and across the terrestrial-aquatic 644 interface. Arguably the most urgent of these is for more quantitative measurements of dPyC in 645 soil and in fluxes between soil and aquatic systems. For example, two previous studies showed 646 that PyC can be redistributed through leaching at rates of 1-17% of soil PyC (Boot et al., 2015; 647 Major et al., 2010), however considerable further quantification is required before we can predict 648 649 dPyC leaching across different soil and precipitation regimes. There is also a need for better intercomparison between quantitative approaches, or perhaps wider adoption of a smaller 650 number of methodologies. In field studies, the BPCA method has been widely used and has the 651 advantage of being able to measure PyC in both solid and liquid samples, as well as provide 652 information about the condensation of PyC. However, BPCA is time consuming, somewhat 653 expensive, and is quantitative only for higher burn intensity dPvC. Combining BPCA with 654 methods that are more sensitive to the lower burn intensity portion of the dPyC continuum will 655 allow for a more complete understanding of transport of dPyC in the natural environment. BPCA 656 can also be used to train models that enable researchers to obtain more quantiative measurements 657 from less costly, high-throughput methods such as mid-infrared spectroscopy(Cotrufo et al., 658 2016; Sanderman et al., 2020). 659

660

- A second methodological need is for studies designed to provide infromation within relevant
- timescales for dPyC pools and fluxes post fire. Many studies have reported some "aging"
- required for PyC to become dissolved (<u>Abiven et al., 2011</u>; <u>Hockaday et al., 2007</u>), but these do
- 664 not frequently have more than two or three timepoints for comparing dissolution. Additionally, 665 we know that some of this aging is related to photooxidation, microbial processing, and physical
- we know that some of this aging is related to photooxidation, microbial processing, and physical comminution (<u>Abney et al., 2019a; Spokas et al., 2014; Ward et al., 2014</u>), but these processes
- 667 likely operate on different timescales and interact with one another to dynamically impact the
- 668 fate of PyC in soil. Some post-fire soil changes have been well-described, such as increases in
- pH, weaking of soil aggregation, and decreases in infiltration due to increases in hydrophobicity,
- and these post-fire changes can be short (<1 year) or medium term (>10 years), depending on
- burn severity and post-fire vegetation recovery (<u>Abney et al., 2017</u>; <u>Gonzalez-Perez et al., 2004</u>;
- 672 <u>Mataix-Solera and Doerr, 2004</u>). Longer-term experiments and field studies which encompass
- repeated burn events are needed to capture the time component of dPyC transformation.
- 674
- 675 There is also a need for a better understanding of dPyC cycling within soils and watersheds in
- particular, the fate of PyC once it becomes dissolved in soil water. We hypothesize that PyC can
- also form mineral-organic interactions and become stabilized, but there is only limited evidence
- for this process (Brodowski et al., 2006). We also hypothesize that dPyC is more susceptible to
- decomposition than solid PyC, but this needs further evidence. We also need a better
- 680 understanding of the transport and connectivity of DOC and dPyC between different aquatic
- reservoirs (i.e., soil water to stream to lake, etc.). There is considerable research attention on DOC at the terrestrial-aquatic interface (Rasilo et al., 2015; Tank et al., 2018), but this has yet to
- focus on the transport of dPyC, which may be a significant persistent source of OC in this pool.
- Future research should also focus on the interaction between disturbances and loss processes
- 685 impacting PyC and dPyC, such as erosion, leaching, decomposition, land management activities,
- and climate change.
- 687

# 688 6. Conclusions

- 689 The concentration and flux of dPyC from soils represents a critical soil C loss mechanism that 690 has received a limited amount of research attention. In rivers and the ocean, dPyC has been well
- characterized, but more investigation is needed to understand the connections between terrestrial
- and aquatic dPyC. One major challenge to integrating current research on dPyC is the range of
- 693 different methodological approaches used to quantitatively and qualitatively describe dPyC. In
- 694 this meta-analysis we found over ten different methodologies used to quantify dPyC, but in field
- 695 studies BPCA was the most frequently used method. We found that methods that quantify a
- 696 smaller component of the dPyC continuum reported smaller concentrations of dPyC, which
- 697 indicates that these may be underestimating dPyC more than other methods.
- 698
- Due to the variability in the data in this meta-analysis, we found only a few significant trends in
- controlling factors for dPyC. In field studies, sample types where PyC was in contact with water
- for longer periods had higher concentrations of dPyC (i.e., still water vs flowing water), and
- samples from areas with more fire-prone climates had higher dPyC concentrations (i.e.,
- temperate vs polar). Across sample types we also found high correlations between DOC and
- dPyC, which suggests that these are controlled by many of the same environmental processes,
- although these processes need further elucidation. From laboratory studies, we found that burn
- temperature and burn time were negatively correlated with freshly extracted dPyC, which is

- consistent with chemical controls of dPyC solubility. We have also identified a significant need
- for (1) more mechanistic understanding of the environmental controls of movement of dPyC
- from soil to aquatic systems, (2) more soil-water measurements of dPyC, and (3) better
- understanding of the temporal scales relevant to environmental fluxes of dPyC. Future research
- on dPyC that provides quantitative measures of the fate of dPyC in soils will be useful for
- models of soil C and can potentially provide critical information to land managers seeking to
- 713 increase soil C storage.
- 714

#### 716 Acknowledgments

717 This manuscript has been authored by UT-Battelle, LLC, under contract DE-AC05-00OR22725

- vith the US Department of Energy (DOE). The US government retains and the publisher, by
- accepting the article for publication, acknowledges that the US government retains a
- nonexclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, worldwide license to publish or reproduce the published form
- of this manuscript, or allow others to do so, for US government purposes. DOE will provide
- public access to these results of federally sponsored research in accordance with the DOE Public
- Access Plan (https://www.energy.gov/doe-public-access-plan).Contributions to this work from
- F.S. were supported in part by the Laboratory Directed Research and Development Program ofOak Ridge National Laboratory, managed by UT-Battelle, LLC, for the U. S. Department of
- Oak Ridge National Laboratory, managed by UT-Battelle, LLC, for the U. S. Department of
   Energy (Project number 11176), and the Next Generation Ecosystem Experiment (NGEE) Arctic
- Project, which is supported by the Office of Biological and Environmental Research in the US
- 728 Department of Energy's Office of Science.
- 729

#### 730 **Open Research**

The data extracted from the meta-analysis are available in a table uploaded in the supplemental

information of this manuscript as a .xslx file.

733

734

735	References
736	
737	2020. ArcGIS Desktop 10.8.1 Esri Inc.
738	Abdelrahman, H. et al., 2018. Historical charcoal additions alter water extractable, particulate
739	and bulk soil C composition and stabilization. Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil
740	Science, 181, 809-817.
741	Abiven, S., Hengartner, P., Schneider, M.P.W., Singh, N., Schmidt, M.W.I., 2011. Pyrogenic
742	carbon soluble fraction is larger and more aromatic in aged charcoal than in fresh
743	charcoal. Soil Biology & Biochemistry, 43, 1615-1617.
744	Abney, R., Jin, L., Berhe, A., 2019a. Soil properties and combustion temperature: Controls on
745	the decomposition rate of pyrogenic organic matter. Catena, 182, 104127.
746	Abney, R.B., Berhe, A.A., 2018. Pyrogenic carbon erosion: implications for stock and
747	persistence of pyrogenic carbon in soil. Frontiers in Earth Science, 6, 26.
748	Abney, R.B. et al., 2019b. Pyrogenic carbon erosion after the Rim Fire, Yosemite National Park:
749	the role of burn severity and slope. Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences,
750	124, 432-449.
751	Abney, R.B., Sanderman, J., Johnson, D., Fogel, M.L., Berhe, A.A., 2017. Post-wildfire erosion
752	in mountainous terrain leads to rapid and major redistribution of soil organic carbon.
753	Frontiers in Earth Science, 5, 99.
754	Ascough, P. et al., 2009. Hydropyrolysis as a new tool for radiocarbon pre-treatment and the
755	quantification of black carbon. Quaternary Geochronology, 4, 140-147.
756	Baldock, J.A., Smernik, R.J., 2002. Chemical composition and bioavailability of thermally
757	altered Pinus resinosa (Red pine) wood. Organic Geochemistry, 33, 1093-1109.
758	Bao, H., Niggemann, J., Huang, D., Dittmar, T., Kao, S.J., 2019. Different responses of
759	dissolved black carbon and dissolved lignin to seasonal hydrological changes and an
760	extreme rain event. Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences, 124, 479-493.
761	Barnes, R.T., Gallagher, M.E., Masiello, C.A., Liu, Z., Dugan, B., 2014. Biochar-Induced
762	Changes in Soil Hydraulic Conductivity and Dissolved Nutrient Fluxes Constrained by
763	Laboratory Experiments. Plos One, 9.
764	Beals, K.K., Scearce, A.E., Swystun, A.T., Schweitzer, J.A., 2022. Belowground mechanisms for
765	oak regeneration: Interactions among fire, soil microbes, and plant community alter oak
766	seedling growth. Forest Ecology and Management, 503, 119774.
767	Bellè, SL. et al., 2021. Key drivers of pyrogenic carbon redistribution during a simulated
768	rainfall event. Biogeosciences, 18, 1105-1126.
769	Berhe, A.A., Barnes, R.T., Six, J., Marín-Spiotta, E., 2018. Role of soil erosion in
770	biogeochemical cycling of essential elements: carbon, nitrogen, and phosphorus. Annual
771	Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences, 46, 521-548.
772	Berhe, A.A., Harte, J., Harden, J.W., Torn, M.S., 2007. The significance of the erosion-induced
773	terrestrial carbon sink. BioScience, 57, 337-346.
774	Bi, C., Wang, X., Jia, J., Chen, Z., 2018. Spatial variation and sources of polycyclic aromatic
775	hydrocarbons influenced by intensive land use in an urbanized river network of East
776	China. Science of the Total Environment, 627, 671-680.
777	Bird, M.I., Wynn, J.G., Saiz, G., Wurster, C.M., McBeath, A., 2015. The pyrogenic carbon
778	cycle. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences, 43, 273-298.

- Boot, C., Haddix, M., Paustian, K., Cotrufo, M., 2015. Distribution of black carbon in ponderosa
  pine forest floor and soils following the High Park wildfire. Biogeosciences, 12, 30293039.
- Bostick, K.W. et al., 2021. Biolability of Fresh and Photodegraded Pyrogenic Dissolved Organic
   Matter From Laboratory-Prepared Chars. Journal of Geophysical Research:
   Biogeosciences, 126, e2020JG005981.
- Bostick, K.W., Zimmerman, A.R., Wozniak, A.S., Mitra, S., Hatcher, P.G., 2018. Production and
   Composition of Pyrogenic Dissolved Organic Matter From a Logical Series of
   Laboratory-Generated Chars. Frontiers in Earth Science, 6.
- Bowring, S.P., Jones, M.W., Ciais, P., Guenet, B., Abiven, S., 2022. Pyrogenic carbon
   decomposition critical to resolving fire's role in the Earth system. Nature Geoscience, 15,
   135-142.
- Braun, M., Kappenberg, A., Sandhage-Hofmann, A., Lehndorff, E., 2020. Leachable soil black
   carbon after biochar application. Organic Geochemistry, 143, 103996.
- Brewer, C.E. et al., 2014. New approaches to measuring biochar density and porosity. Biomass
   and bioenergy, 66, 176-185.
- Brodowski, S., Amelung, W., Haumaier, L., Abetz, C., Zech, W., 2005. Morphological and
   chemical properties of black carbon in physical soil fractions as revealed by scanning
   electron microscopy and energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy. Geoderma, 128, 116-129.
- Brodowski, S., John, B., Flessa, H., Amelung, W., 2006. Aggregate-occluded black carbon in
   soil. European journal of soil science, 57, 539-546.
- Brown, S.P. et al., 2019. Context dependent fungal and bacterial soil community shifts in
  response to recent wildfires in the Southern Appalachian Mountains. Forest Ecology and
  Management, 451, 117520.
- Carroll, E.M. et al., 2007. Spatial analysis of a large magnitude erosion event following a Sierran
   wildfire. Journal of environmental quality, 36, 1105-1111.
- Cerdà, A., Doerr, S.H., 2005. Influence of vegetation recovery on soil hydrology and erodibility
   following fire: an 11-year investigation. International Journal of Wildland Fire, 14, 423 437.
- Chen, Y. et al., 2022. Analytical methods, molecular structures and biogeochemical behaviors of
   dissolved black carbon. Carbon Research, 1, 23.
- Cheng, C.H., Lehmann, J., Thies, J.E., Burton, S.D., 2008. Stability of black carbon in soils
   across a climatic gradient. Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences, 113.
- Conant, R.T. et al., 2011. Temperature and soil organic matter decomposition rates–synthesis of
   current knowledge and a way forward. Global change biology, 17, 3392-3404.
- Coppola, A.I. et al., 2022. The black carbon cycle and its role in the Earth system. Nature
   Reviews Earth & Environment, 3, 516-532.
- Cotrufo, M.F. et al., 2016. Quantification of pyrogenic carbon in the environment: An integration
   of analytical approaches. Organic Geochemistry, 100, 42-50.
- Cusack, D.F., Chadwick, O.A., Hockaday, W.C., Vitousek, P.M., 2012. Mineralogical controls
   on soil black carbon preservation. Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 26.
- Bavidson, E.A., Janssens, I.A., 2006. Temperature sensitivity of soil carbon decomposition and
   feedbacks to climate change. Nature, 440, 165-173.
- de Dios Benavides-Solorio, J., MacDonald, L.H., 2005. Measurement and prediction of post-fire
   erosion at the hillslope scale, Colorado Front Range. International Journal of Wildland
   Fire, 14, 457-474.

- DeBano, L.F., 1991. The effect of fire on soil properties, Proceedings management and
   productivity of western-Montane. Forest Soils, pp. 151-155.
- Bescals, A. et al., 2022. Unprecedented fire activity above the Arctic Circle linked to rising
   temperatures. Science, 378, 532-537.
- Ding, Y., Yamashita, Y., Dodds, W.K., Jaffé, R., 2013. Dissolved black carbon in grassland
   streams: Is there an effect of recent fire history? Chemosphere, 90, 2557-2562.
- Dittmar, T., 2015. Reasons Behind the Long-Term Stability of Dissolved Organic Matter.
- Dittmar, T. et al., 2012. Continuous flux of dissolved black carbon from a vanished tropical
   forest biome. Nature Geoscience, 5, 618-622.
- Bomene, X., 2016. A critical analysis of meso-and macrofauna effects following biochar
   supplementation, Biochar Application. Elsevier, pp. 268-292.
- Bomene, X., Hanley, K., Enders, A., Lehmann, J., 2015. Short-term mesofauna responses to soil
   additions of corn stover biochar and the role of microbial biomass. Applied Soil Ecology,
   838 89, 10-17.
- Bove, N.C., Hart, S.C., 2017. Fire reduces fungal species richness and in situ mycorrhizal
   colonization: a meta-analysis. Fire Ecology, 13, 37-65.
- Bove, N.C., Klingeman, D.M., Carrell, A.A., Cregger, M.A., Schadt, C.W., 2021. Fire alters
   plant microbiome assembly patterns: integrating the plant and soil microbial response to
   disturbance. New Phytologist, 230, 2433-2446.
- Brake, T.W. et al., 2020. Du feu à l'eau: source and flux of dissolved black carbon from the
   Congo River. Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 34, e2020GB006560.
- Bruffel, E.R., 2004. Comments on the importance of black carbon in the global carbon cycle.
   Marine Chemistry, 92, 197-200.
- Elmer, W., Lattao, C., Pignatello, J., 2015. Active removal of biochar by earthworms (Lumbricus terrestris). Pedobiologia, 58, 1-6.
- Elmquist, M., Semiletov, I., Guo, L., Gustafsson, Ö., 2008. Pan-Arctic patterns in black carbon
  sources and fluvial discharges deduced from radiocarbon and PAH source apportionment
  markers in estuarine surface sediments. Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 22.
- Feurdean, A. et al., 2020. Recent fire regime in the southern boreal forests of western Siberia is
   unprecedented in the last five millennia. Quaternary Science Reviews, 244, 106495.
- Giglio, L., Randerson, J.T., Van Der Werf, G.R., 2013. Analysis of daily, monthly, and annual
   burned area using the fourth-generation global fire emissions database (GFED4). Journal
   of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences, 118, 317-328.
- Glaser, B., Balashov, E., Haumaier, L., Guggenberger, G., Zech, W., 2000. Black carbon in
   density fractions of anthropogenic soils of the Brazilian Amazon region. Organic
   geochemistry, 31, 669-678.
- Gonzalez-Perez, J.A., Gonzalez-Vila, F.J., Almendros, G., Knicker, H., 2004. The effect of fire
   on soil organic matter a review. Environment International, 30, 855-870.
- Grant, M.J., Booth, A., 2009. A typology of reviews: an analysis of 14 review types and
   associated methodologies. Health information & libraries journal, 26, 91-108.
- Güereña, D.T. et al., 2015. Terrestrial pyrogenic carbon export to fluvial ecosystems: Lessons
   learned from the White Nile watershed of East Africa. Global Biogeochemical Cycles,
   29, 1911-1928.
- Gustafsson, Ö. et al., 2001. Evaluation of a protocol for the quantification of black carbon in
   sediments. Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 15, 881-890.

- Hameed, R. et al., 2023. Structural characteristics of dissolved black carbon and its interactions
  with organic and inorganic contaminants: A critical review. Science of The Total
  Environment, 162210.
- Hammes, K. et al., 2007. Comparison of quantification methods to measure fire-derived
  (black/elemental) carbon in soils and sediments using reference materials from soil,
  water, sediment and the atmosphere. Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 21.
- Hammes, K. et al., 2006. Synthesis and characterisation of laboratory-charred grass straw (Oryza sativa) and chestnut wood (Castanea sativa) as reference materials for black carbon
  quantification. Organic Geochemistry, 37, 1629-1633.
- Hart, S.C., DeLuca, T.H., Newman, G.S., MacKenzie, M.D., Boyle, S.I., 2005. Post-fire
  vegetative dynamics as drivers of microbial community structure and function in forest
  soils. Forest Ecology and Management, 220, 166-184.
- Hilscher, A., Knicker, H., 2011. Degradation of grass-derived pyrogenic organic material,
  transport of the residues within a soil column and distribution in soil organic matter
  fractions during a 28 month microcosm experiment. Organic Geochemistry, 42, 42-54.
- Hockaday, W.C., Grannas, A.M., Kim, S., Hatcher, P.G., 2006. Direct molecular evidence for
  the degradation and mobility of black carbon in soils from ultrahigh-resolution mass
  spectral analysis of dissolved organic matter from a fire-impacted forest soil. Organic
  Geochemistry, 37, 501-510.
- Hockaday, W.C., Grannas, A.M., Kim, S., Hatcher, P.G., 2007. The transformation and mobility
  of charcoal in a fire-impacted watershed. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 71, 34323445.
- Jaffé, R. et al., 2013. Global charcoal mobilization from soils via dissolution and riverine
   transport to the oceans. Science, 340, 345-347.
- Johansen, M.P., Hakonson, T.E., Breshears, D.D., 2001. Post-fire runoff and erosion from
   rainfall simulation: contrasting forests with shrublands and grasslands. Hydrological
   processes, 15, 2953-2965.
- Jones, M.W. et al., 2020. Fires prime terrestrial organic carbon for riverine export to the global oceans. Nature communications, 11, 2791.
- Jones, M.W. et al., 2019. Environmental controls on the riverine export of dissolved black
   carbon. Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 33, 849-874.
- Kim, Y., Kim, C.-G., Lee, K.S., Choung, Y., 2021. Effects of post-fire vegetation recovery on
  soil erosion in vulnerable montane regions in a monsoon climate: a decade of monitoring.
  Journal of Plant Biology, 64, 123-133.
- Kolden, C.A., 2019. We're not doing enough prescribed fire in the Western United States to
   mitigate wildfire risk. Fire, 2, 30.
- Kuhlbusch, T., Crutzen, P., 1995. Toward a global estimate of black carbon in residues of
   vegetation fires representing a sink of atmospheric CO2 and a source of O2. Global
   Biogeochemical Cycles, 9, 491-501.
- Laura Zepner, P.K., Felix Wiemann & Lars Bernard 2020. ClimateCharts.net an interactive
   climate analysis web platform. International Journal of Digital Earth.
- Lehmann, J., Kleber, M., 2015. The contentious nature of soil organic matter. Nature, 528, 6068.
- Lehmann, J. et al., 2011. Biochar effects on soil biota–a review. Soil biology and biochemistry,
  43, 1812-1836.

- Lehner, B., Grill, G., 2013. Global river hydrography and network routing: baseline data and
   new approaches to study the world's large river systems. Hydrological Processes, 27,
   2171-2186.
- MacDonald, L.H., Huffman, E.L., 2004. Post-fire soil water repellency: persistence and soil
   moisture thresholds. Soil Science Society of America Journal, 68, 1729-1734.
- Major, J., Lehmann, J., Rondon, M., Goodale, C., 2010. Fate of soil-applied black carbon:
   downward migration, leaching and soil respiration. Global Change Biology, 16, 1366 1379.
- Mansilha, C., Carvalho, A., Guimarães, P., Espinha Marques, J., 2014. Water quality concerns
   due to forest fires: Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) contamination of
   groundwater from mountain areas. Journal of Toxicology and Environmental Health, Part
   A, 77, 806-815.
- Masiello, C.A., 2004. New directions in black carbon organic geochemistry. Marine Chemistry,
   92, 201-213.
- Masiello, C.A., Berhe, A.A., 2020. First interactions with the hydrologic cycle determine
   pyrogenic carbon's fate in the Earth system. Earth Surface Processes and Landforms, 45,
   2394-2398.
- Mataix-Solera, J., Cerdà, A., Arcenegui, V., Jordán, A., Zavala, L., 2011. Fire effects on soil
   aggregation: a review. Earth-Science Reviews, 109, 44-60.
- Mataix-Solera, J., Doerr, S.H., 2004. Hydrophobicity and aggregate stability in calcareous
   topsoils from fire-affected pine forests in southeastern Spain. Geoderma, 118, 77-88.
- Mengist, W., Soromessa, T., Legese, G., 2020. Method for conducting systematic literature
   review and meta-analysis for environmental science research. MethodsX, 7, 100777.
- Miyamoto, Y., Danilov, A.V., Bryanin, S.V., 2021. The dominance of Suillus species in
  ectomycorrhizal fungal communities on Larix gmelinii in a post-fire forest in the Russian
  Far East. Mycorrhiza, 31, 55-66.
- Morris, S.E., Moses, T.A., 1987. Forest fire and the natural soil erosion regime in the Colorado
   Front Range. Annals of the association of American geographers, 77, 245-254.
- Myers-Pigg, A.N. et al., 2015. Labile pyrogenic dissolved organic carbon in major Siberian
   Arctic rivers: Implications for wildfire-stream metabolic linkages. Geophysical Research
   Letters, 42, 377-385.
- Nearing, M., Pruski, F., O'neal, M., 2004. Expected climate change impacts on soil erosion rates:
   a review. Journal of soil and water conservation, 59, 43-50.
- Pressler, Y., Moore, J.C., Cotrufo, M.F., 2019. Belowground community responses to fire: meta analysis reveals contrasting responses of soil microorganisms and mesofauna. Oikos,
   128, 309-327.
- Pyle, L.A. et al., 2015. Chemical and isotopic thresholds in charring: implications for the
  interpretation of charcoal mass and isotopic data. Environmental Science & Technology,
  49, 14057-14064.
- Qin, Q., Liu, Y., 2021. Changes in microbial communities at different soil depths through the
   first rainy season following severe wildfire in North China artificial Pinus tabulaeformis
   forest. Journal of Environmental Management, 280, 111865.
- Rasilo, T., Ojala, A., Huotari, J., Starr, M., Pumpanen, J., 2015. Concentrations and quality of
   DOC along the terrestrial–aquatic continuum in a boreal forested catchment. Freshwater
   Science, 34, 440-455.

- Rebollo, N. et al., 2008. Structural characterization of charcoal exposed to high and low pH:
   implications for 14C sample preparation and charcoal preservation. Radiocarbon, 50,
   289-307.
- Reisser, M., Purves, R.S., Schmidt, M.W.I., Abiven, S., 2016. Pyrogenic Carbon in Soils: A
   Literature-Based Inventory and a Global Estimation of Its Content in Soil Organic
   Carbon and Stocks. Frontiers in Earth Science, 4.
- Robichaud, P., Elliot, W., Pierson, F., Hall, D., Moffet, C., 2007. Predicting postfire erosion and
   mitigation effectiveness with a web-based probabilistic erosion model. Catena, 71, 229 241.
- Robichaud, P.R., 2000. Fire effects on infiltration rates after prescribed fire in Northern Rocky
   Mountain forests, USA. Journal of hydrology, 231, 220-229.
- 971 Rohatgi, A., 2022. WebPlotDigitizer.
- Rumpel, C., Ba, A., Darboux, F., Chaplot, V., Planchon, O., 2009. Erosion budget and process
  selectivity of black carbon at meter scale. Geoderma, 154, 131-137.
- Rumpel, C. et al., 2006. Preferential erosion of black carbon on steep slopes with slash and burn
   agriculture. Catena, 65, 30-40.
- Sanderman, J., Savage, K., Dangal, S.R., 2020. Mid-infrared spectroscopy for prediction of soil
   health indicators in the United States. Soil Science Society of America Journal, 84, 251 261.
- Santín, C. et al., 2016. Towards a global assessment of pyrogenic carbon from vegetation fires.
   Global Change Biology, 22, 76-91.
- Santos, F., Torn, M.S., Bird, J.A., 2012. Biological degradation of pyrogenic organic matter in
   temperate forest soils. Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 51, 115-124.
- Santos, F., Wagner, S., Rothstein, D., Jaffe, R., Miesel, J.R., 2017. Impact of a historical fire
   event on pyrogenic carbon stocks and dissolved pyrogenic carbon in spodosols in
   northern Michigan. Frontiers in Earth Science, 5, 80.
- Schiedung, M., Bellè, S.-L., Sigmund, G., Kalbitz, K., Abiven, S., 2020. Vertical mobility of
   pyrogenic organic matter in soils: a column experiment. Biogeosciences, 17, 6457-6474.
- Schmidt, M.W. et al., 2001. Comparative analysis of black carbon in soils. Global
  biogeochemical cycles, 15, 163-167.
- Schneider, M.P., Hilf, M., Vogt, U.F., Schmidt, M.W., 2010. The benzene polycarboxylic acid
   (BPCA) pattern of wood pyrolyzed between 200 C and 1000 C. Organic Geochemistry,
   41, 1082-1088.
- Singh, N. et al., 2014. Transformation and stabilization of pyrogenic organic matter in a
   temperate forest field experiment. Global Change Biology, 20, 1629-1642.
- Soong, J.L., Cotrufo, M.F., 2015. Annual burning of a tallgrass prairie inhibits C and N cycling
   in soil, increasing recalcitrant pyrogenic organic matter storage while reducing N
   availability. Global change biology, 21, 2321-2333.
- Spokas, K. et al., 2014. Physical disintegration of biochar: an overlooked process. Environmental
   Science & Technology Letters, 1, 326-332.
- Stallard, R.F., 1998. Terrestrial sedimentation and the carbon cycle: Coupling weathering and
   erosion to carbon burial. Global biogeochemical cycles, 12, 231-257.
- Tank, S.E., Fellman, J.B., Hood, E., Kritzberg, E.S., 2018. Beyond respiration: Controls on
   lateral carbon fluxes across the terrestrial-aquatic interface. Limnology and
   Oceanography Letters, 3, 76-88.

- Torreano, S.J., Morris, L.A., 1998. Loblolly pine root growth and distribution under water stress.
   Soil Science Society of America Journal, 62, 818-827.
- Turco, M. et al., 2018. Exacerbated fires in Mediterranean Europe due to anthropogenic warming
   projected with non-stationary climate-fire models. Nature communications, 9, 1-9.
- Viedma, O. et al., 2020. Disentangling the role of prefire vegetation vs. burning conditions on
   fire severity in a large forest fire in SE Spain. Remote Sensing of Environment, 247,
   111891.
- von Lützow, M., Kögel-Knabner, I., 2009. Temperature sensitivity of soil organic matter
   decomposition—what do we know? Biology and Fertility of soils, 46, 1-15.
- Wagner, S. et al., 2019a. Isotopic composition of oceanic dissolved black carbon reveals non riverine source. Nature communications, 10, 1-8.
- Wagner, S. et al., 2019b. Isotopic composition of oceanic dissolved black carbon reveals non riverine source. Nature Communications, 10.
- Wagner, S. et al., 2019c. Dissolved black carbon in throughfall and stemflow in a fire-managed
   longleaf pine woodland. Biogeochemistry, 146, 191-207.
- Wagner, S., Cawley, K.M., Rosario-Ortiz, F.L., Jaffe, R., 2015a. In-stream sources and links
   between particulate and dissolved black carbon following a wildfire. Biogeochemistry,
   124, 145-161.
- Wagner, S. et al., 2021. Questions remain about the biolability of dissolved black carbon along
   the combustion continuum. Nature communications, 12, 4281.
- Wagner, S., Ding, Y., Jaffé, R., 2017. A new perspective on the apparent solubility of dissolved
   black carbon. Frontiers in Earth Science, 5, 75.
- Wagner, S., Dittmar, T., Jaffe, R., 2015b. Molecular characterization of dissolved black nitrogen
   via electrospray ionization Fourier transform ion cyclotron resonance mass spectrometry.
   Organic Geochemistry, 79, 21-30.
- Wagner, S., Jaffe, R., Stubbins, A., 2018. Dissolved black carbon in aquatic ecosystems.
   Limnology and Oceanography Letters, 3, 168-185.
- Ward, C.P., Sleighter, R.L., Hatcher, P.G., Cory, R.M., 2014. Insights into the complete and
   partial photooxidation of black carbon in surface waters. Environmental Science:
   Processes & Impacts, 16, 721-731.
- Westerling, A.L., Hidalgo, H.G., Cayan, D.R., Swetnam, T.W., 2006. Warming and earlier
   spring increase western US forest wildfire activity. science, 313, 940-943.
- Whitman, T., Singh, B.P., Zimmerman, A.R., Lehmann, J., Joseph, S., 2015. Priming effects in
   biochar-amended soils: implications of biochar-soil organic matter interactions for carbon
   storage. Biochar for environmental management: Science, technology and
   implementation, 2, 455-488.
- Wiedemeier, D.B. et al., 2015. Aromaticity and degree of aromatic condensation of char.
  Organic Geochemistry, 78, 135-143.
- Wozniak, A.S. et al., 2020. Molecular heterogeneity in pyrogenic dissolved organic matter from
   a thermal series of oak and grass chars. Organic Geochemistry, 148, 104065.
- Zand, A.D., Grathwohl, P., 2016. Enhanced Immobilization of Polycyclic Aromatic
   Hydrocarbons in Contaminated Soil Using Forest Wood-Derived Biochar and Activated
   Carbon under Saturated Conditions, and the Importance of Biochar Particle Size. Polish
   Journal of Environmental Studies, 25.
- 1049

1 2	Constraints and Drivers of Dissolved Fluxes of Pyrogenic Carbon in Soil and Freshwater Systems: a Global Review and Meta-analysis
3	
4	
5	Authors: R. B. Abney <sup>1</sup> , M. E. Barnes <sup>2</sup> , A. Moss <sup>1</sup> , and F. Santos <sup>3</sup>
6	
7 8 9	<sup>1</sup> Warnell School of Forestry and Natural Resources, University of Georgia, Athens, GA. <sup>2</sup> Biological Sciences Division, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, WA. <sup>3</sup> Environmental Sciences Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN.
10	
11	
12	
13	Corresponding author: Rebecca Abney ( <u>Rebecca.Abney@uga.edu</u> )
14	
15	
16	
17	Key Points:
18	• Burn intensity of pyrogenic carbon (PyC) controls its dissolution and aqueous transport.
19 20	• From headwater streams to oceans, dissolved PyC increases in radiocarbon age, implying environmental persistence.
21 22	• Environmental controls, including climate, topography, biota, parent material, and time impact the pool size and flux of dissolved PyC.
23	
~ 1	

#### 25 Abstract

- 26 Pyrogenic carbon (PyC) is a significant component of the global soil carbon pool due to its
- 27 longer environmental persistence than other soil organic matter components. Despite PyC's
- 28 persistence in soil, recent work has indicated that it is susceptible to loss processes such as
- 29 mineralization and leaching, with the significance and magnitude of these largely unknown at the
- 30 hillslope and watershed scale. We present a review of the work concerning dissolved PyC
- transport in soil and freshwater. Our analysis found the primary environmental controls on
- 32 dissolved PyC (dPyC) transport are the formation conditions and quality of the PyC itself, with
- 33 longer and higher temperature charring conditions leading to less transport of dPyC. While
- 34 correlations between dPyC and dissolved organic carbon in rivers and other pools are frequently 35 reported, the slope of these correlations was pool-dependent (i.e., soil-water, precipitation, lakes,
- streams, rivers), suggesting site-specific environmental controls. However, the lack of
- 37 consistency in analytical techniques and sample preparation remains a major challenge to
- 38 quantifying environmental controls on dPyC fluxes. We propose that future research should
- 39 focus on the following: (1) consistency in methodological approaches, (2) more quantitative
- 40 measures of dPyC in pools and fluxes from soils to streams, (3) turnover times of dPyC in soils
- and aquatic systems, and (4) improved understanding of how mechanisms controlling the fate of
- 42 dPyC in dynamic post-fire landscapes interact. With more refined quantitative information about
- the controls on dPyC transport at the hillslope and landscape scale, we can increase the accuracy
- 44 and utility of global carbon models.

# 45 **1. Introduction**

# 46 **1.1 Significance of dissolved pyrogenic carbon in the global C cycle**

- 47
- 48 Wildfire is a major ecosystem disturbance that has been progressively getting more severe and
- 49 intense in many areas of the world (<u>Descals et al., 2022</u>; <u>Feurdean et al., 2020</u>; <u>Turco et al., 2018</u>;
- 50 <u>Westerling et al., 2006</u>). Additionally, significant increases in the use of prescribed fire have
- been observed across the US in the past few decades, especially in the southeast (Kolden, 2019).
- Natural and anthropogenic fires transform carbon (C) into a range of materials across the burn continuum including lightly charred material to charcoal, soot, and ash, collectively known as
- continuum including lightly charred material to charcoal, soot, and ash, collectively known as
   pyrogenic carbon, or PyC (Bird et al., 2015; Masiello, 2004). This PyC is initially deposited on
- the soil surface and emitted to the atmosphere. Pyrogenic C has received considerable research
- attention over the past decades due to its generally longer persistence times in soil relative to
- other soil organic matter components, which has implications for C storage (Abney and Berhe,
- 58 2018; Bird et al., 2015; Santín et al., 2016).
- 59
- 60 There has been limited research synthesizing the production, pools, and fluxes of PyC across
- 61 large spatial scales (<u>Coppola et al., 2022</u>; <u>Wagner et al., 2018</u>) to better constrain the role of PyC
- 62 in the global C cycle. It has been estimated that approximately 2.7% of the global land area is
- 63 burned annually (<u>Giglio et al., 2013</u>), resulting in 50-270 Tg y<sup>-1</sup> of PyC produced (<u>Kuhlbusch</u>
- and Crutzen, 1995). At a global scale, PyC can account for a significant portion (~10%) of
- dissolved organic carbon (DOC) fluxes in rivers (Jaffé et al., 2013; Wagner et al., 2018). The
- 66 global annual transport of particulate PyC from rivers into oceans ranges from 19-80 Tg/yr
- 67 (Druffel, 2004; Elmquist et al., 2008; Jaffé et al., 2013), although this is most frequently reported
- as 26-27 Tg/yr (<u>Elmquist et al., 2008; Jaffé et al., 2013</u>). However, current estimates of dPyC

- 69 export from terrestrial to inland aquatic systems range over several orders of magnitude, from
- 70 ~0.4-18 Tg/yr (<u>Bowring et al., 2022</u>; <u>Jones et al., 2020</u>), likely reflecting both the variability in
- 71 processes (e.g., decomposition, photooxidation, water availability, etc.) influencing PyC
- 72 dynamics at the terrestrial- aquatic interface and insufficient PyC measurements.
- 73

74 While parameterization of global PyC models typically includes annual production, stocks,

- <sup>75</sup> large-scale fluxes, and some smaller-scale controls (i.e., decomposition (Bird et al., 2015;
- 76 <u>Reisser et al., 2016</u>; <u>Santín et al., 2016</u>), several critical knowledge gaps remain regarding what
- controls those fluxes, especially at smaller scales. In particular, we need a more detailed
- <sup>78</sup> understanding of how PyC is transported from source burned material into the soil system, across
- hillslopes and watersheds, and into aquatic environments (<u>Myers-Pigg et al., 2015</u>; <u>Wagner et al.</u>, 2010).
- 80 <u>2019c</u>; <u>Wagner et al.</u>, <u>2015b</u>). Some recent research has demonstrated inconsistencies between</u>
- the sources and ages of dPyC flowing from rivers and those from PyC measured in the ocean (Wagner et al., 2019b), which indicates limitations in our mechanistic understanding of (1) the
- cycling of dPyC within terrestrial systems, (2) cycling within freshwater aquatic systems, and (3)
- connections between terrestrial and aquatic systems. This paper addresses this limitation by
- synthesizing our current knowledge of dPyC fluxes and the processes that control its
- transformation within and between terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems to inform research
- communities involved in management, modeling, and description of PyC.
- 88

# 89 **1.2 Aims**

- 90
- 91 The aim of this paper is two-fold. The first aim is to conduct a meta-analysis of available primary
- 92 literature on dPyC to synthesize the state of knowledge of pools and fluxes in soils and
- freshwater systems. We use the meta-analysis to quantify methodological differences in
- 94 measurements of dPyC and examine whether they confound our understanding of reported dPyC
- 95 fluxes. We also assess the interactive effects of precursor material, burn conditions, and
- 96 environmental factors on the magnitude and quality of reported dPyC fluxes.
- 97
- 98 The second aim is to synthesize known and unknown processes that affect the aqueous transport
- and composition of dPyC across the terrestrial to aquatic interface. We conclude this paper by
- 100 identifying gaps in our understanding of processes that drive dPyC retention and mobility in soils
- and freshwater. By providing a more quantitative understanding of dPyC in soil and freshwater
- 102 carbon cycles, this work should provide useful information for researchers, land managers, and
- 103 for land models that handle fire disturbances used to predict future climates.

# 104 **1.3 Defining dPyC**

- 105
- 106 Pyrogenic C is a continuum of materials that range from lightly charred organic matter to highly
- 107 charred and condensed organic matter (Bird et al., 2015; Masiello, 2004; Santín et al., 2016).
- 108 Therefore, dPyC also exists on such a continuum (<u>Wagner et al., 2017</u>). In a review paper on the
- 109 PyC cycle, <u>Bird et al. (2015)</u> define dPyC as the "thermochemically altered carbon present in the
- environment as a component of dissolved organic carbon in the  $<0.45 \mu m$  fraction of natural
- 111 waters." However, current methodological approaches restrict measurement of PyC or dPyC to
- only subsections of the continuum (<u>Wiedemeier et al., 2015</u>). Thus, by selecting a single method,
- most studies are operationally defining PyC or dPyC. Previous studies have measured dPyC
- using filter sizes ranging from 0.1 um to 0.7 um (see section **3.2.2**, **Figure S2**), and while much

- of environmental research considers materials  $<0.45 \mu m$  to be dissolved, these materials may in
- 116 fact be very small colloidal organic matter. Benzene, which makes up the backbone of many PyC
- molecules, is only slightly soluble in water, but it is small enough that it would easily pass
- through a 0.45  $\mu$ m filter (diameter of benzene ring is 2.49 A or approximately 0.000249  $\mu$ m). Of
- course, most PyC does not exist as single benzene rings but is comprised of large, complex
   macromolecules with some soluble functional groups (<u>Bird et al., 2015</u>), and much of what is
- 120 Inacromolecules with some soluble functional groups (<u>Bird et al., 2015</u>), and inden of what is 121 often described as dPyC are chemical fragments and colloids (Wagner et al., 2017). In this work,
- we are using "dPyC" to indicate both fully dissolved and colloidal PyC within an aqueous matrix
- due to inconsistencies in analytical approaches used for quantifying PyC pools and fluxes.
- 124

#### 125 **2. Methods**

#### 126 **2.1 Data acquisition**

127

Publications were selected for the meta-analysis following a modification of the PSALSAR

129 (research protocol and reporting results) method adapted by <u>Mengist et al. (2020)</u> from the

130 SALSA (search, appraisal, synthesis, and analysis) method (<u>Grant and Booth, 2009</u>), which

131 outlines organized methods for systematic literature reviews. Complete search and selection

132 criteria can be found in **Table S1**. The scope of the meta-analysis was defined as original

research papers that either quantified dPyC or characterized its chemical composition within

terrestrial or freshwater systems, including in-lab simulations and extractions. The search for

- publications was initiated on August 23, 2021, via Web of Science and returned 12,776
- 136 publications, which we narrowed to 175 final publications via three successive rounds of filtering
- 137 (**Figure S1**).

# 138 2.2 Data processing and analysis

139

# 140 **2.2.1 Extraction of dPyC values and other relevant information**

141

142 Data were extracted from the 175 meta-analysis publications (Figure 1 and Table S1) and

143 organized in Microsoft Excel as study- and observation-level information, where the study-level

- 144 information was applicable to the entire publication (e.g., publication year) and observation-level
- information was specific to individual data points (e.g., pyrolysis temperature for a single PyC

146 type). Observations were included when they were reported concentrations of dPyC or

147 concentrations of DOC when only PyC (charcoal, biochar) was extracted in the laboratory. Data

148 which were presented only in figures (e.g., a bar graph of dPyC concentration means) were

- 149 extracted using Web Plot Digitizer (<u>Rohatgi, 2022</u>).
- 150

# 151 2.2.2 Assignment of location and climate regions to dPyC field observations

152

153 Latitude and longitude were assigned to each field study observation using provided coordinates,

154 map figures (using Web Plot Digitizer as described earlier), or via Google Earth using

155 descriptions in the manuscript text. Climate assignments were made by entering coordinates into

- 156 ClimateCharts.net (Laura Zepner, 2020), a web-based application which generates climate
- 157 classifications based on the Geiger-Köppen system. These assignments may contain some error
- at locations where regional or local scale climate variation exists at too fine a resolution to be

- 159 captured by the raster cell size from ClimateCharts.net or within the Geiger-Köppen
- 160 classification system itself. We further binned the climate assignments into broader classes, such
- 161 as temperate, tropical, polar, etc.
- 162
- 163 The map figure was generated in R version 4.2.1 using the geom\_map() function in the ggplot2
- 164 package. To reduce noise in the map, observations were binned by river basin using ArcMap
- 165 from ArcGIS Desktop 10.8.1(2020) with the World Wildlife Fund hydroSHEDS level 5 basins
- dataset (<u>Lehner and Grill, 2013</u>). Detailed workflows for processing of spatial data are provided
   in the Supplemental Materials.
- 168

# 169 2.2.4 Statistical analyses

- 170 We subset the data to analyze field and laboratory studies separately, and for field studies we
- only used dPyC observations measured by the benzene polycarboxylic acid (BPCA) method to
- maintain comparability across studies, and because this method comprised ~71% of the field
- observations. Data were found not normal using the Shapiro-Wilk test and were analyzed using
- 174 non-parametric tests: the Kruskal-Wallis rank sum test and pairwise comparisons using the
- 175 Wilcoxon rank sum test. For field studies dissolved pyrogenic carbon was the dependent variable
- and sample type (Flowing Water, Still Water, Precipitation, Soil Field Extract, and Throughflow
- and Stemflow), methodology, filter size, and climate bin (Cold, Polar, Temperate, and Tropical)
- 178 were independent variables. For laboratory studies, we used observations across all
- 179 methodologies to increase our sample size and because no method was employed to produce a
- 180 majority of the observations. For some continuous variables in the laboratory observations
- 181 (charring temperature, time, extraction ratios), we used linear regression to describe their
- relationship with dPyC. Significance was determined at the p < 0.05 level.
- 183

# 184 **3. Results**

# 185 **3.1 Overview of dataset**

- 186 Here we provide an overview of the meta-analysis based on 175 studies on dPyC, which yielded
- 187 2084 individual observations of dPyC (**Table 1**). The majority of observations (66%) were from
- the field setting, and they were reported across five different non-convertible units. We analyzed
- the data in light of methodological differences (Section 3.2), field measurements (Section 3.3),
- and laboratory-based measurements (Section 3.4) to quantify the relative magnitude of different
- dPyC pools and fluxes across the terrestrial to aquatic interface and to identify important
- 192 mechanisms driving these fluxes.
- 193
- 194 The most frequently published journals were Science of the Total Environment (n = 20);
- 195 Environmental Science and Technology (n = 17); Chemosphere (n = 13); Journal of Hazardous
- 196 Materials (n = 9); Organic Geochemistry (n = 8); Global Biogeochemical Cycles (n = 6);
- Biogeochemistry (n = 5); Frontiers in Earth Science (n = 5); and Water Research (n = 5); The
- remainder had fewer than five studies included in this meta-analysis. This wide range in scope of
- journal topics reflects our broad search aims to connect terrestrial and aquatic research on dPyC.
- 200
- 201 Of the studies selected for this meta-analysis, only 12 measured soil, sediment, or other PyC
- 202 source concentration in combination with dPyC. Many of these reported positive correlations

- between dPyC with DOC and source PyC concentration (Bi et al., 2018; Güereña et al., 2015;
- Jones et al., 2019). Contrastingly, several studies reported a reduction in DOC export after
- biochar or charcoal amendment (e.g.(<u>Abdelrahman et al., 2018</u>; <u>Braun et al., 2020</u>; <u>Zand and</u>
   Grathwohl, 2016), suggesting initial sorption of OM to the added PyC surface. Furthermore,
- 206 <u>Grathwohl, 2016</u>), suggesting initial sorption of OM to the added PyC surface. Furthermore, 207 many of these papers noted or measured variability in time post-fire or post-addition of charcoal
- as factors in leaching of PyC (e.g.(<u>Bi et al., 2018</u>; <u>Jones et al., 2019</u>; <u>Santos et al., 2017</u>),
- highlighting the need for investigation on the controls on the timing of post-fire dPyC fluxes.
- 210
- 211

Table 1. Number of dPyC measurements (n) across all methods that were extracted in this metaanalysis. A measurement of dPyC was considered whenever the observation was associated with

- a quantitative measurement of dPyC concentration. Dissolved organic C was considered a
- 215 measurement of dPyC when charcoal only was extracted in the laboratory setting. Proportion of
- 216 <u>dPyC in DOC is denoted as %.</u>

Sample	Individual Observations (n)	dPyC	dPyC	DOC	DOC	dPyC
rype		mg L <sup>-1</sup> (n)	$mg kg^{-1}(n)$	mg L <sup>-1</sup> (n)	$mg kg^{-1}(n)$	% (n)
Field	1389	1300	64	NA	NA	25
Lab	695	67	121	302	159	46
Total	2084	1367	185	302	159	71

217

# **3.2.** The influence of methodological approaches on dPyC measurements

#### 219 **3.2.1 Detection and measurement of dissolved PyC**

220

A major obstacle to closing the gaps in the global PyC cycle is associated with the

222 methodological difficulty in measuring PyC, because there are a broad suite of methods used to

- 223 quantify PyC and dPyC, and each method only captures a small portion of the PyC continuum
- (Bird et al., 2015; Masiello, 2004; Wiedemeier et al., 2015). As such, a suite of analytical
- 225 methods was used by the studies selected for our meta-analysis. Many studies utilized qualitative
- methods for identification of the chemical composition of PyC in solution, including ultrahigh
- 227 resolution mass spectrometry, Nuclear Magnetic Resonance spectroscopy (NMR), X-ray
- 228 photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), and X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS). For additional
- details on how these methods can be utilized for dPyC, see (<u>Chen et al., 2022</u>; <u>Hameed et al.</u>,
- 230 <u>2023</u>; <u>Wagner et al., 2018</u>). Quantitative methods provide a measurement of PyC concentration
- in solution, which we further investigated in our meta-analysis, and included molecular markers
- 232 (Benzene Polycarboxylic Acid or BPCA, levoglucosan, and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons or 232 PAHs), cheme thermal oxidation, as well as analytical and hydrocarb pyrolycic, all of which have
- PAHs), chemo-thermal oxidation, as well as analytical and hydrogen pyrolysis, all of which have been extensively discussed elsewhere (Ascough et al., 2009; Gustafsson et al., 2001; Hameed et
- al., 2023; Hammes et al., 2007; Schmidt et al., 2001; Wagner et al., 2018). In 3.2.2, we examined
- the most common methods applied for the chemical characterization and quantification of dPyC
- 237 in field and laboratory studies.
- 238

# 239 **3.2.2** The impact of methodological approach on measured dPyC concentration

- 240 To assess how the use of different methodological approaches may influence dPyC values, we
- examined concentrations (in mg  $L^{-1}$  or mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) across field- and lab-based observations (Figure
- 242 2). Field measurements of dPyC ranged over six orders of magnitude, and the lowest reported
- concentrations were in some of the methods that describe the narrowest section of the PyC
   continuum, namely GC-MS for PAHs and levoglucosan. BPCA is a widely used method across
- 245 many sample types and this is reflected in the concentrations reported from this method.
- Approximately 50% of all data points were derived from the BPCA method, the majority of
- which were from field-based studies. The majority of field observations were obtained from the
- BPCA method (71%), while the majority of laboratory observations were made by quantifying
- total DOC from PyC (as charcoal, biochar, etc. extractions), followed by GC-MS techniques.
- The GC-MS techniques were also common in field studies (16% of observations). The vast
- majority (>80%) of laboratory studies quantified dPyC on char extracts, and the remainder quantified dPyC on soil extracts.
- 252
- 254 Most of the samples were filtered prior to analysis, with approximately a third of samples (n =
- 255 742) filtered using a 0.7 μm filter size, followed by 664 samples filtered with a 0.45 μm filter.
- Laboratory studies most frequently used the 0.45  $\mu$ m filter (n = 412), whereas field-based studies
- 257 most frequently used the 0.7  $\mu$ m filter size (n = 670).
- 258



Figure 2. Field measurements of dPyC across different methodologies (n.b. Y axis is in log 260 scale). Methods included in this figure are: BPCA (benzene polycarboxylic acid), Chemo-thermo 261 oxidation, FTICR-MS (Fourier-transform ion cyclotron resonance mass spectrometry), GC-MS 262 (gas chromatography mass spectrometry) for PAHs (polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons), HPLC 263 (High-performance liquid chromatography) for PAHs, hydrogen pyrolysis, levoglucosan, optics 264 (includes fluorescence excitation-emission matrix-parallel factor analysis and other optical 265 266 techniques), and other (includes liquid-chromatography for organic carbon detection and dichromate sulfuric acid oxidation). 267 268

- 268
- 269
- 270 271





Figure 3. Field-based measurements of dPyC across all methods with color indicating sample type and size of point denoting the number of observations.

#### 276 3.3 Field-based measurements

277

Field-based observations of dPyC were distributed globally, including six of seven continents 278 (excluding Australia). We extracted dPvC observations from several locations, including central 279 and western Asia, eastern Europe, central America, and northern Africa (Figure 3). The majority 280 of field-based observations of dPyC using the BPCA method were made in flowing water (n= 281 734; 78%), with only a few that were soil related (n = 5; 0.5%). There were significant 282 differences in dPyC concentration across different sample types (p < 0.05;  $\chi 2 = 109.42$ , df = 4; 283 Kruskal-Wallis, Figure 4A). A pairwise Wilcoxon rank sum test indicated that each sample type 284 was significantly different from each other (p < 0.05), except for soil field extract and 285 throughflow and stemflow. The highest concentrations of dPyC in the field were found in water 286 that had more time to interact with PyC (e.g., still water, soil pore water, throughfall and 287 stemflow vs. precipitation and flowing water (Figure 4A). Additionally, there were significant 288 differences in dPyC concentration across different climate zones (p < 0.05;  $\gamma 2 = 78.434$ , df = 3; 289 Kruskal-Wallis; Figure 4B). This likely reflects differences in burn season length, vegetation 290 available for burning, and mean air temperature and availability of water for dissolution. 291 292

293

294



Figure 4A and B. Mean concentration with standard error of dPyC across different sample types (A) and climate zones (B) observed in the field derived from the BPCA method. Letters denote significant differences based on Wilcox rank sum test.

299

#### 300 **Correlation of dPyC with DOC**

In field-based studies across all sample types, dPyC comprised approximately 5% of DOC. In

sample types where water has more contact time with PyC (i.e., soil water, still water, see **Figure** 

- 4A), dPyC makes up a greater proportion of DOC. In many of the flowing water studies the dPyC was correlated with discharge rates (Dittmar et al., 2012; Drake et al., 2020), as is DOC,
- but some found a dilution effect of higher precipitation with lower dPyC concentrations under
- certain hydrologic conditions (<u>Bao et al., 2019</u>; <u>Jones et al., 2019</u>). Together, this suggests that
- the mobility of dPyC is controlled by many of the same processes as DOC; hence the positive
- 308 correlations between dPyC and DOC (Figure 5). However, studies that incorporate
- 309 measurements of urban and anthropogenic sources of dPyC have noted a decoupling of dPyC
- from DOC where there are higher combustion activities (<u>Bi et al., 2018</u>).
- 311



312 313

**Figure 5**. Dissolved PyC as measured by the BPCA method was correlated with DOC in field

data from all sample types (panel A) and separated by different sample types (panels B-F). Solid
 black lines indicate linear fit with grey shaded area indicating 95% CI. The dashed line

black lines indicate linear fit with grey shaded area indicating 95% CI. The dashed line represents a 1:1 ratio of dPyC to DOC.

317

#### 318 **3.4 Laboratory-based measurements**

319

For laboratory studies, we considered measurements of DOC from char-extracts as a measure of 320 dPyC since the source material would be classified as PyC. This was the most frequently used 321 322 method in laboratory studies that measured dPyC, followed by the BPCA method. The highest concentrations of dPvC measured in the lab were with the DOC method, which generated values 323 approximately 1-2 orders of magnitude higher than the next highest concentrations, which were 324 determined via the BPCA method. We found two categories of sample types in laboratory 325 326 studies – soil extracts and charcoal extracts (n = 35 and 86, respectively). The soil extract had a not significantly lower concentration of dPyC compared with charcoal extracts (in units dPyC 327 328  $mg/kg; p = 0.11; \chi 2 = 2.5347; df = 1).$ 

329

330 There were significant differences in dPyC concentrations across different filter sizes (Figure

331 S2), but these did not increase with filter pore size, therefore these differences were more likely

due to differing methodological approaches and/or sample characteristics. For laboratory studies,

the BPCA method was most frequently used (n = 69 observations), followed by GC-MS for

PAHs (n = 46). There were significant differences in the dPyC concentration based on the

analytical method used to quantify dPyC (p < 0.05;  $\chi 2 = 40.268$ ; df = 3), with BPCA having

- 336 significantly higher concentrations than GC-MS and GC-MS specifically applied for PAHs
- **337** (Figure S3).
- 338

#### **339 3.4.1 Inferences from char extracts**

340

We also examined drivers of dPyC by analyzing data from charcoal extractions, with feedstocks 341 categorized as woody or herbaceous. There were no significant differences in dPyC 342 concentration based upon feedstock type (woody vs herbaceous) alone ( $\chi 2 = 0.011081$ ; df = 1; p 343 > 0.05) or on extraction time ( $\chi 2 = 5.1529$ , df = 5; p > 0.05). Extraction by shaking released 344 significantly more dPvC than other methods ( $\gamma 2 = 22.512$ ; df = 1; p < 0.05). There was a 345 significant negative correlation between the solid to solution ratio (p < 0.05; F=5.648; df = 65), 346 which reflects more PyC available for dissolution, although this only explained a small portion 347 of the variability in this data (Adjusted  $R^2 = 0.068$ ). For extraction solvents, organic solvents 348 resulted in significantly lower concentrations of dPvC than water or other solvents ( $\gamma 2 = 33.883$ ; 349 df = 2; p<0.05). We did find significant negative relationships between dPyC and burn time (F = 350 10; df = 18; p < 0.05) and maximum charring temperature (F = 8.57; df = 40; p < 0.05). This is 351

- consistent with freshly produced charcoal at high charring intensities being some of the least
- 353 soluble PyC (<u>Pyle et al., 2015</u>).







#### **4. Synthesis: factors affecting the fate of dPyC in terrestrial ecosystems**

358

Through this meta-analysis we have identified some key factors controlling the observed

360 magnitudes of dPyC fluxes reported by previous studies, including formation properties of PyC

and the method used to quantify dPyC. We found that methods that quantify a smaller

component of the dPyC continuum report lower concentrations of dPyC, and we found that

higher solid to solution ratios resulted in higher dPyC concentrations. We found that higher

charring time and temperature produced PyC that yielded lower amounts of dPyC (**Figure 6**).

- 365 These results make intuitive sense in terms of mechanistic controls on decomposition and
- already described properties of the solubility of PyC.
- 367
- 368 In the following sections we synthesize the controls on pools and fluxes of dPyC along the
- 369 terrestrial to aquatic continuum. We will explore the main chemical and physical properties of
- 370 dPyC that impact its transport, discuss the role of fire in changing soil and landscape properties
- that guide dPyC movement through terrestrial to aquatic systems, and finally describe how the
- soil forming factors can be applied as a model for understanding the relevant environmental
- 373 controls on dPyC formation, transformation, and loss in watersheds.
- 374

# **4.1 Properties of PyC and dPyC that impact persistence and cycling in soil**

376

377 Once PyC is deposited on the soil surface after a fire, its physical and chemical properties dictate

- how it may be transformed by the many processes that control its cycling and long-term fate.
- 379 Earlier work on PyC suggested that it persists in soil on the millennial time scale; however recent
- 380 work indicates that it has a centennial mean residence time in soil (Bird et al., 2015; Dittmar,
- <sup>381</sup> <u>2015; Wagner et al., 2015a</u>). The persistence of PyC in soil is typically longer when it is formed
- at higher temperatures and/or longer burning durations, and there is evidence that when formed
- at lower temperatures (<250 °C), it may breakdown over relatively shorter time scales (6 months;
- 384 (Abney et al., 2019a; Whitman et al., 2015). Santos et al. (2012) showed that this early
- breakdown can occur even when PyC is formed in the laboratory at relatively higher controlled temperatures (450 °C). This more rapid breakdown has been mainly attributed to decomposition;
- temperatures (450 °C). This more rapid breakdown has been mainly attributed to decomposition however, some loss is likely due to leaching (Hockaday et al., 2006; Hockaday et al., 2007;
- Major et al., 2010) and erosion (Abney et al., 2019a; Abney and Berhe, 2018; Abney et al.,
- 2019b; Abney et al., 2017). In this section we discuss how chemical and physical properties of
- 390 PyC and leached dPyC impact its transport in soils. We propose the following function can be
- used to understand the controls on the fate and properties of PyC and dPyC throughout post-fire
- 392 landscapes (Figure 7).
- 393
- $f = Burn \ conditions + Climate + Topography + Organisms + Parent \ material + Time + post$ fire soil properties



Leaching potential

396

**Figure 7.** The interaction of soil forming factors and burn conditions and severity likely dictate the fate and transport of dissolved pyrogenic carbon across dynamic landscapes. By studying post-fire landscapes through these two lenses, we can build predictive models of post-fire PyC dynamics.

401

#### 402 **4.1.2 Chemical composition**

The chemical composition of solid PyC before dissolution influences the quantity and quality of 403 dPyC. At lower burn temperatures, the resulting PyC formed is high in nutrients and oxygenated 404 functional groups, while higher burn temperatures tend to produce more condensed aromatic 405 PyC with low H:C and O:C ratios (Baldock and Smernik, 2002; Hammes et al., 2006; Schneider 406 et al., 2010). Bostick et al. (2018) investigated dPyC from PyC formed from oak wood and grass 407 and reported that the leachates were mostly chemically composed of a complex mixture of C 408 groups such as carbohydrates, aliphatics, and low molecular mass compounds, suggesting that 409 the DOM resulting from PyC is not solely comprised of condensed aromatics. Wozniak et al. 410 411 (2020) demonstrated the chemical heterogeneity of dPyC derived from low (250 °C) heating temperatures exhibits a wide range of O/C values and the presence of highly unsaturated 412

- aliphatics, polyphenolic formulas, and condensed aromatic C compounds, whereas compounds
- 414 with low O/C ratios dominated the composition of dPyC from higher heating temperatures (400
- <sup>415</sup> °C). Taken together, these recent findings suggest that DOM leached from solid PyC is
- heterogeneous and exists also along a chemical continuum (<u>Wagner et al., 2021</u>).

#### 417 **4.1.3 The influence of burn conditions**

418

The physical structure of PyC has been described by porosity, density, and particle size, each of

- 420 which can impact the stability of PyC and its potential for dissolution. For many biochars,
- 421 increasing charring temperature increases skeletal density and porosity, which may alter the
- 422 availability of the char for microbial decomposition or leaching (<u>Brewer et al., 2014</u>). We found

- that the formation conditions (burn time and temperature) of dPyC were most critical for
- 424 predicting the concentration of dPyC (Figure 6). Together, burn temperature and duration
- represent burn intensity, which controls solid PyC properties, such that increasing burn
- temperature and duration generate PyC that is increasingly aromatic and condensed, contributing
- to lower solubility. Solid PyC can physically break down via comminution that leads to its
- 428 dissolved transport, and there is evidence that weaker portions of the solid PyC structure are
- responsible for the production of dPyC (<u>Spokas et al., 2014</u>). Some of the variability in strength
- in char structure reflects the precursor plant material structure, which is often retained in PyC
   (Brewer et al., 2014; Hockaday et al., 2007), although we found no effect of precursor material
- 431 on resulting dPyC concentration. Additionally, size of the solid PyC controls the distance it can
- be transported via erosion (Bellè et al., 2021), which may result in the PyC being eroded into a
- 434 landform position with more favorable leaching conditions. The physical properties of charcoal
- have been linked to its persistence in soil, however more rsearch is needed on how and if these
- 436 properties dictate quantity and quality of leached dPyC.

# 437 4.2 Environmental and soil factors controlling transport and fate of dPyC along a 438 terrestrial to aquatic gradient

439

440 In addition to the properties of PyC, there are a number of environmental and soil factors that

- 441 play a role in the transport of dPyC across landscapes. Below we discuss the relative importance
- 442 of site factors, such as climate, topography, biotic factors, parent material, time, and burn
- 443 conditions, in dPyC formation and transport. Additionally, these factors are frequently
- 444 interacting across dynamics landscapes and are often difficult to disentangle in natural systems.

# 445 **4.2.1 Climate: precipitation and temperature**

The climate of an area regulates vegetation type, biomass (see section 4.2.3) and the availability 446 and quantity of water required for leaching, erosion, and microbial functioning. We found dPyC 447 concentration varied with climate (Figure 4B). Precipitation can affect erosion of PyC and 448 transport of dPyC. However, we found no significant relationship between MAP and field-449 reported concentration of dPyC (p > 0.05). This is likely because climate describes average 450 expected weather for an area, whereas leaching is largely driven by individual precipitation 451 events. Climate does dictate the amount of time throughout the year that precipitation-driven 452 erosion events can occur (i.e., rainfall vs snowfall), which can drive overall sediment transport 453 (Nearing et al., 2004). Importantly, extreme precipitation events can be major drivers of erosion 454 in post-fire landscapes, and previous research has described how rain-on-snow events, can lead 455

- 456 significant erosional losses of PyC (<u>Abney et al., 2019b; Carroll et al., 2007</u>), and increase runoff
- 457 (see Section 4.2.2).
- 458
- 459 From this meta-analysis, studies that measured dPyC concentrations in precipitation samples
- 460 found some of the lowest ratios of dPyC to DOC and the weakest correlation between DOC and
- dPyC (**Figure 5**). The variability in dPyC in precipitation is likely due to the movement of air
- 462 masses containing particulate PyC (either from fire ash and soot or fossil fuel burning) and
- 463 variability in timing of precipitation events. The timing of the initial interaction of PyC and water
  464 is critical for its fate in the post-fire landscape (Masiello and Berhe, 2020).
- 465
- 466 Environmental temperatures likely impact the potential for PyC leaching via a few key
- 467 mechanisms, mainly through the form of precipitation (snow vs rain fall), the speed of

decomposition, and interactively through controlling the vegetation available to serve as fuel for

a fire (formation quantity and quality of PyC). Slow rates of snow melting or rainfall could

470 possibly drive more downwards migration of PyC through leaching than the rapid runoff of an

471 intense rainfall event. The role of precipitation intensity as a driver of leaching is also moderated

472 by soil type (<u>Bellè et al., 2021</u>).

473

Temperature is a significant driver of the rate of decomposition. Due to the higher temperature

sensitivity of PyC and other more persistent SOM components to rising temperatures (<u>Conant et</u>

476 <u>al., 2011; Davidson and Janssens, 2006; von Lützow and Kögel-Knabner, 2009</u>), these materials

may have increased decomposition that leads to greater dissolution of PyC (<u>Abiven et al., 2011</u>;
 Hockaday et al., 2006). Temperature also impacts the solubility of DOM, where considerably

higher temperatures may lead to greater solubility of PyC. We did not find a significant

relationship between mean annual temperature and field dPyC concentrations (p > 0.05), but we

481 expect that this is due to confounding site-specific environmental and methodological factors.

# 482 **4.2.2 Topography and Erosion**

483

Topographic landform positions are likely significant controls on dPyC and SOM dynamics, as previous research has reported an increase in SOC in depositional landform positions, which

receive eroded material from upslope landform positions (Berhe et al., 2018; Berhe et al., 2007).

Additionally, the preferential erosion of PyC likely indicates that it can accumulate in these

depositional landform positions (Abney et al., 2019a; Abney and Berhe, 2018; Rumpel et al.,

489 2009; Rumpel et al., 2006). An unanswered question, however, is whether PyC in depositional vs

490 eroding landform positions could be more susceptible to leaching when there are higher nutrient

491 concentrations and higher water content. The impacts of erosion on the long-term fate of PyC in

soil are complex and depend on the landscape erosion and deposition dynamics along with the

493 soil water conditions and precipitation events (<u>Abney and Berhe, 2018</u>; <u>Masiello and Berhe</u>,

494 <u>2020</u>).

495

Elevated rates of post-fire erosion are widely reported across a range of different ecosystem

497 types (<u>Carroll et al., 2007</u>; <u>de Dios Benavides-Solorio and MacDonald, 2005</u>; <u>Johansen et al.</u>,

498 <u>2001; Robichaud et al., 2007</u>). The magnitude of post-fire erosion is dependent on a number of  $\frac{1}{200}$ 

soil and precipitation factors, which also control the (1) amount of PyC available for leaching

and (2) the soil-water conditions to allow for leaching to occur. Furthermore, there is a direct

relationship between burn severity, SOM chemical composition, and erosion (<u>Abney and Berhe</u>,
 <u>2018</u>; <u>Abney et al.</u>, <u>2019b</u>), such that areas that experience higher burn severities have SOM that

502 <u>2018</u>; <u>Abney et al., 2019b</u>), such that areas that experience higher burn severities have SOM that 503 is more aromatic and more erosion prone. This suggests that conversely, areas that experience

moderate- to low-severity burning may have SOM that is more prone to leaching due to both its

505 chemical composition and higher soil infiltration rates (de Dios Benavides-Solorio and

- 506 MacDonald, 2005; Morris and Moses, 1987; Robichaud, 2000; Torreano and Morris, 1998).
- 507 Several studies have indicated that PyC is preferentially transported post-fire (<u>Abney et al.</u>,
- 508 2019b; Rumpel et al., 2009; Rumpel et al., 2006). However, since most SOM that is transported
- via erosion is deposited within the same or adjacent watershed (<u>Stallard, 1998</u>), this transported

510 PyC may still be available for and susceptible to leaching, depending on where in the landscape

511 it is deposited and if it is buried after erosion.

#### 512 **4.2.3 Organisms**

513

514 Vegetation can play a role in controlling the fate of dPyC through several pathways including

- acting as fuel for PyC formation and regulating burn severity, moderating microbial
- decomposition/cycling of PyC, and dictating post-fire erosion via soil stabilization and ground
- 517 cover (Cerdà and Doerr, 2005; Kim et al., 2021). These factors will vary with functional type,
- diversity, and structure of vegetation, along with pre- and post-fire growth, and likely will drive
- shifts in SOM composition and stability (<u>Hart et al., 2005</u>; <u>Viedma et al., 2020</u>).
- 520
- 521 In addition to vegetation growing in soil, soil fauna can impact the fate of PyC and dPyC. Soil
- 522 macro- and meso-fauna can fragment PyC into smaller particle sizes and remobilize it (Domene,
- 523 <u>2016</u>; <u>Domene et al., 2015</u>; <u>Elmer et al., 2015</u>), likely increasing the availability of PyC to be
- 524 microbially degraded and/or to enter the dissolved phase. However, the specific role of
- 525 bioturbation in controlling dPyC production has, to the authors' knowledge, not yet be
- demonstrated. The addition of PyC to soil also has been demonstrated to increase microbial
- 527 biomass and cause shifts in microbial community composition (Dove et al., 2021; Lehmann et
- 528 <u>al., 2011</u>). While in some cases bacteria can be more sensitive to fire relative to fungi (Brown et
- 529 <u>al., 2019</u>), emerging general trends suggest that fungi are more sensitive to fire than bacteria
- 530 (Beals et al., 2022; Pressler et al., 2019; Qin and Liu, 2021), and may (Dove and Hart, 2017) or 531 may not (Miyamoto et al., 2021) receiver to may fine levels even a development level. There
- 531 may not (<u>Miyamoto et al., 2021</u>) recover to pre-fire levels over a decade post burn. These
- 532 microbial and other organismal community changes can alter soil functioning, possibly leading 533 to shifts in dPyC formation and leaching.
- 534

# 535 4.2.4 Parent material and mineralogy

536

537 A soil's parent material will influence the soil texture, structure, and reactivity, among other soil characteristics. Coarse, sandy soils formed under granodiorite parent material may have a low 538 cation exchange capacity (CEC) and little aggregation. These soils can have high infiltration and 539 hydraulic conductivity, with potential for rapid leaching of PyC; however, clay-rich soils may 540 have lower hydraulic conductivity, with lower potential for leaching (Barnes et al., 2014). The 541 parent material also influences the hydrologic properties of a soil, including depth to ground 542 water, hydrologic flow paths, and connectivity to nearby aquatic systems. In soils where surface 543 water is connected to groundwater, post-fire leaching can transport dPyC to groundwater, with 544

some concerns regarding contamination (i.e., with PAHs) of aquifers (<u>Mansilha et al., 2014</u>).

547 Mineral-PyC associations have been demonstrated by several studies (Brodowski et al., 2005;

548 <u>Glaser et al., 2000; Hockaday et al., 2007</u>), but it is not yet known if these form from the

549 interaction of dPyC or solid PyC with soil minerals. <u>Cusack et al. (2012)</u> found positive

- 550 correlations between PyC, SOC, and short range order minerals. They did not find selective
- 551 preservation of PyC over non-pyrogenic SOC, but they indicate that physical protection is likely 552 an important stabilization mechanism for PyC. A study by <u>Brodowski et al. (2006)</u> found that
- 553 PyC was preferentially stored in the occluded particulate matter fraction. Some labeling studies
- have also found PyC associated with heavy fractions or aggregate-protected fractions in less than
- a year post-application (Singh et al., 2014; Soong and Cotrufo, 2015). In subsurface soils,
- increasing mineral surface area and soil aggregates (<u>Lehmann and Kleber, 2015</u>) may control
- 557 dPyC mobility or stabilization via sorption. Mineral stabilization of PyC needs more

- 558 investigation to fully understand how mineral-PyC associations are similar to other mineral-OM
- 559 interactions, how important the quality and quantity of dPyC is for the formation of these
- associations, and how these interactions impact the long-term fate of PyC in soil.

#### 561 562 **425** T

4.2.5 Time 562 Time is a critical factor in controlling the availability of PyC for forming dPyC. Some research 563 has shown that dPyC increases over time, as the processes of chemical, physical, and biological 564 breakdown of PyC progress, leading to more soluble DOC and dPyC with increasing time since 565 fire (Bostick et al., 2021; Wagner et al., 2017; Wagner et al., 2018). This increase in solubility is 566 due to introduction of oxygen and nitrogen containing functional groups (Hilscher and Knicker, 567 2011). There are also lags in between the formation and deposition of PyC and the transport of 568 dPyC into adjacent and further downstream aquatic systems, as evidenced by increasing ages of 569 PyC and dPyC (see Table S2, Figure 9) along terrestrial to aquatic gradients to the ocean 570 (Wagner et al., 2019a; Wagner et al., 2018). Contrastingly, some evidence indicates that PyC is 571 more bioavailable immediately after production than previously considered, in part because PyC 572 also contains C compounds that are readily available for microorganisms and leaching (Cheng et 573 al., 2008; Schiedung et al., 2020). In addition, the amount of soil PyC available for forming 574 dPyC is highest in the time immediately post fire. There are many environmental factors that 575 may control dPyC concentrations in soils and streams post-fire, such as soil PyC concentrations, 576 hydrological connectivity of a watershed, potential for decomposition of PyC, etc. At least one 577 study has found no significant relationship between time since fire or between fire return interval 578

- and dPyC concentration in stream water (<u>Ding et al., 2013</u>), which may be reflective of these complexities. Wagner et al. (2015a) show different timing of particulate PyC compared with
- complexities. <u>Wagner et al. (2015a)</u> show different timing of particulate PyC compared with
   dPyC peak fluxes in a fire-impacted watershed in Colorado, USA. With this variability in timing
- of export of dPyC from fire-impacted watersheds, we need more mechanistic understanding of
- the hillslope-scale processes that are driving this export.

# 584 **4.3 Fire-driven changes in soil properties that impact mobilization of PyC**

585

586 Fire has long been described as a major disturbance and driver of changes in soil properties,

- including pH, hydrophobicity, texture, nutrient availability, organic matter, and vegetation
- dynamics, among others (DeBano, 1991; Gonzalez-Perez et al., 2004; MacDonald and Huffman,
- 589 <u>2004; Mataix-Solera et al., 2011</u>). Increases in pH may shift the solubility of PyC, as shown by
- 590 <u>Rebollo et al. (2008)</u>. Increases in hydrophobicity post-fire have frequently been reported in low
- to moderate burn severity fires (<u>MacDonald and Huffman, 2004</u>; <u>Mataix-Solera and Doerr</u>, 2004) and increases in hydrophobioity may at least terms are the least in the first first of the first sector.
- $\frac{2004}{10}$ , and increases in hydrophobicity may at least temporarily reduce the leaching of PyC into the soil matrix, as there is reduced infiltration (with notantial functions)  $\frac{1}{10}$
- the soil matrix, as there is reduced infiltration (with potential for increased runoff of dPyC).
   Reduced infiltration and increased runoff post-fire due to loss of vegetation also can lead to
- Reduced infiltration and increased runoff post-fire due to loss of vegetation also can lead to elevated rates of erosion, at least until vegetation cover has returned (de Dios Benavides-Solorio
- and MacDonald, 2005). As vegetation regrows post-fire and the hydrophobic layer begins to
- 597 breakdown, it is not yet clear what timescales are relevant for processes of dissolution, within
- soil redistribution, and hydrologic export of dPyC or how these processes are moderated by burn
- severity to impact the transport of dPyC.
- 600
- Results from this meta-analysis indicate that burn intensity (energy x duration, **Figure 6**) is a
- significant driver of formation and quality of dPyC in soils. Other works have suggested that
- quality of PyC is important for formation of dPyC (more aliphatic, from either lower temperature

burns or more decomposed/oxidized). For example, as PyC ages, its surfaces may be broken into 604

605 more digestible aliphatic functional groups, which are more soluble, but as these are consumed,

this can leave behind a more aromatic PyC (Hockaday et al., 2007) that is less soluble and more 606 persistent in soil. Rainfall can also drive fluxes of dPyC, as multiple papers monitoring dPyC in

607 rivers noted positive correlations with higher discharge (Jaffé et al., 2013; Wagner et al., 2019c; 608

Wagner et al., 2015a). Bao et al. (2019) argue that different pools of DOC are accessed at 609

- different flow rates through soil, so we often find higher DOC and dPyC with higher flows. The 610
- actual solubility (or potential for leaching) of PyC across landscapes is controlled by the 611
- interaction of several environmental factors, including the burn intensity, chemical and physical 612
- properties of the PvC, and amount and timing of rainfall (Figure 8). 613
- 614



\*Burn intensity = temperature + duration of burning

- 615 Figure 8. Controls on the solubility (leaching potential) of PyC in soil. There are other factors 616
- controlling the leaching of PyC, including decomposition, sorption, fire return intervals, aging of 617

PvC etc. However, these are complex and likely do not follow linear relationships. 618

#### 619 5. Ecosystem implications

620

#### 5.1 Fluxes and internal cycling of dPyC between ecosystem components 621

If we assume that the main sources of dPyC for soil water include precipitation and dissolution 622

of in situ charcoal or PyC, then our data currently suggest that in situ dissolution is much more 623

important than precipitation, which has a dPyC concentration three orders of magnitude lower 624

than reported soil extract dPyC concentrations (Figure 6). The radiocarbon age of dPyC in 625

precipitation was, however, older than reported in some tributaries and rivers (Figure 9, Table 626

S2), which likely suggests that there is some contribution of very old pyrogenic material (i.e., 627

fossil fuel combustion) with more modern fuel burning to this dPyC pool. 628



**Figure 9**. Transport of dPyC across different global pools is presented as percent of dissolved

organic carbon. The range of radiocarbon ages of the dPyC in each pool is reported in years (see **Table S2** for more details).

#### 633

In terrestrial waters, there is an increase in dPyC age reported with increasingly larger and more 634 mixed pools (rivers and oceans compared with tributaries). The age of dPvC in tributaries was 635 also highly variable, which is likely reflective of the significance of individual watershed 636 properties on controlling DOM release and quality. The proportion of PyC that makes it from 637 deposition on soil surface post-fire to ocean dPyC is likely infinitesimally small. Bostick et al. 638 (2018) report that between 1-14% of dPyC in soil is leached to river dPyC, so the vast majority is 639 internally recycled. However, the controls on the variability and rates of transport of soil dPyC to 640 riverine dPyC need further investigation. 641

642

#### 643 **5.2 Future research directions**

There are numerous needs for future research on dPyC in soil and across the terrestrial-aquatic 644 interface. Arguably the most urgent of these is for more quantitative measurements of dPyC in 645 soil and in fluxes between soil and aquatic systems. For example, two previous studies showed 646 that PyC can be redistributed through leaching at rates of 1-17% of soil PyC (Boot et al., 2015; 647 Major et al., 2010), however considerable further quantification is required before we can predict 648 649 dPyC leaching across different soil and precipitation regimes. There is also a need for better intercomparison between quantitative approaches, or perhaps wider adoption of a smaller 650 number of methodologies. In field studies, the BPCA method has been widely used and has the 651 advantage of being able to measure PyC in both solid and liquid samples, as well as provide 652 information about the condensation of PyC. However, BPCA is time consuming, somewhat 653 expensive, and is quantitative only for higher burn intensity dPvC. Combining BPCA with 654 methods that are more sensitive to the lower burn intensity portion of the dPyC continuum will 655 allow for a more complete understanding of transport of dPyC in the natural environment. BPCA 656 can also be used to train models that enable researchers to obtain more quantiative measurements 657 from less costly, high-throughput methods such as mid-infrared spectroscopy(Cotrufo et al., 658 2016; Sanderman et al., 2020). 659

660

- A second methodological need is for studies designed to provide infromation within relevant
- timescales for dPyC pools and fluxes post fire. Many studies have reported some "aging"
- required for PyC to become dissolved (<u>Abiven et al., 2011</u>; <u>Hockaday et al., 2007</u>), but these do
- 664 not frequently have more than two or three timepoints for comparing dissolution. Additionally, 665 we know that some of this aging is related to photooxidation, microbial processing, and physical
- we know that some of this aging is related to photooxidation, microbial processing, and physical comminution (<u>Abney et al., 2019a; Spokas et al., 2014; Ward et al., 2014</u>), but these processes
- 667 likely operate on different timescales and interact with one another to dynamically impact the
- 668 fate of PyC in soil. Some post-fire soil changes have been well-described, such as increases in
- pH, weaking of soil aggregation, and decreases in infiltration due to increases in hydrophobicity,
- and these post-fire changes can be short (<1 year) or medium term (>10 years), depending on
- burn severity and post-fire vegetation recovery (<u>Abney et al., 2017</u>; <u>Gonzalez-Perez et al., 2004</u>;
- 672 <u>Mataix-Solera and Doerr, 2004</u>). Longer-term experiments and field studies which encompass
- repeated burn events are needed to capture the time component of dPyC transformation.
- 674
- 675 There is also a need for a better understanding of dPyC cycling within soils and watersheds in
- particular, the fate of PyC once it becomes dissolved in soil water. We hypothesize that PyC can
- also form mineral-organic interactions and become stabilized, but there is only limited evidence
- for this process (Brodowski et al., 2006). We also hypothesize that dPyC is more susceptible to
- decomposition than solid PyC, but this needs further evidence. We also need a better
- 680 understanding of the transport and connectivity of DOC and dPyC between different aquatic
- reservoirs (i.e., soil water to stream to lake, etc.). There is considerable research attention on DOC at the terrestrial-aquatic interface (Rasilo et al., 2015; Tank et al., 2018), but this has yet to
- focus on the transport of dPyC, which may be a significant persistent source of OC in this pool.
- Future research should also focus on the interaction between disturbances and loss processes
- 685 impacting PyC and dPyC, such as erosion, leaching, decomposition, land management activities,
- and climate change.
- 687

# 688 6. Conclusions

- 689 The concentration and flux of dPyC from soils represents a critical soil C loss mechanism that 690 has received a limited amount of research attention. In rivers and the ocean, dPyC has been well
- characterized, but more investigation is needed to understand the connections between terrestrial
- and aquatic dPyC. One major challenge to integrating current research on dPyC is the range of
- 693 different methodological approaches used to quantitatively and qualitatively describe dPyC. In
- 694 this meta-analysis we found over ten different methodologies used to quantify dPyC, but in field
- 695 studies BPCA was the most frequently used method. We found that methods that quantify a
- 696 smaller component of the dPyC continuum reported smaller concentrations of dPyC, which
- 697 indicates that these may be underestimating dPyC more than other methods.
- 698
- Due to the variability in the data in this meta-analysis, we found only a few significant trends in
- controlling factors for dPyC. In field studies, sample types where PyC was in contact with water
- for longer periods had higher concentrations of dPyC (i.e., still water vs flowing water), and
- samples from areas with more fire-prone climates had higher dPyC concentrations (i.e.,
- temperate vs polar). Across sample types we also found high correlations between DOC and
- dPyC, which suggests that these are controlled by many of the same environmental processes,
- although these processes need further elucidation. From laboratory studies, we found that burn
- temperature and burn time were negatively correlated with freshly extracted dPyC, which is

- consistent with chemical controls of dPyC solubility. We have also identified a significant need
- for (1) more mechanistic understanding of the environmental controls of movement of dPyC
- from soil to aquatic systems, (2) more soil-water measurements of dPyC, and (3) better
- understanding of the temporal scales relevant to environmental fluxes of dPyC. Future research
- on dPyC that provides quantitative measures of the fate of dPyC in soils will be useful for
- models of soil C and can potentially provide critical information to land managers seeking to
- 713 increase soil C storage.
- 714

#### 716 Acknowledgments

717 This manuscript has been authored by UT-Battelle, LLC, under contract DE-AC05-00OR22725

- vith the US Department of Energy (DOE). The US government retains and the publisher, by
- accepting the article for publication, acknowledges that the US government retains a
- nonexclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, worldwide license to publish or reproduce the published form
- of this manuscript, or allow others to do so, for US government purposes. DOE will provide
- public access to these results of federally sponsored research in accordance with the DOE Public
- Access Plan (https://www.energy.gov/doe-public-access-plan).Contributions to this work from
- F.S. were supported in part by the Laboratory Directed Research and Development Program ofOak Ridge National Laboratory, managed by UT-Battelle, LLC, for the U. S. Department of
- Oak Ridge National Laboratory, managed by UT-Battelle, LLC, for the U. S. Department of
   Energy (Project number 11176), and the Next Generation Ecosystem Experiment (NGEE) Arctic
- Project, which is supported by the Office of Biological and Environmental Research in the US
- 728 Department of Energy's Office of Science.
- 729

#### 730 **Open Research**

The data extracted from the meta-analysis are available in a table uploaded in the supplemental

information of this manuscript as a .xslx file.

733

734

735	References
736	
737	2020. ArcGIS Desktop 10.8.1 Esri Inc.
738	Abdelrahman, H. et al., 2018. Historical charcoal additions alter water extractable, particulate
739	and bulk soil C composition and stabilization. Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil
740	Science, 181, 809-817.
741	Abiven, S., Hengartner, P., Schneider, M.P.W., Singh, N., Schmidt, M.W.I., 2011. Pyrogenic
742	carbon soluble fraction is larger and more aromatic in aged charcoal than in fresh
743	charcoal. Soil Biology & Biochemistry, 43, 1615-1617.
744	Abney, R., Jin, L., Berhe, A., 2019a. Soil properties and combustion temperature: Controls on
745	the decomposition rate of pyrogenic organic matter. Catena, 182, 104127.
746	Abney, R.B., Berhe, A.A., 2018. Pyrogenic carbon erosion: implications for stock and
747	persistence of pyrogenic carbon in soil. Frontiers in Earth Science, 6, 26.
748	Abney, R.B. et al., 2019b. Pyrogenic carbon erosion after the Rim Fire, Yosemite National Park:
749	the role of burn severity and slope. Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences,
750	124, 432-449.
751	Abney, R.B., Sanderman, J., Johnson, D., Fogel, M.L., Berhe, A.A., 2017. Post-wildfire erosion
752	in mountainous terrain leads to rapid and major redistribution of soil organic carbon.
753	Frontiers in Earth Science, 5, 99.
754	Ascough, P. et al., 2009. Hydropyrolysis as a new tool for radiocarbon pre-treatment and the
755	quantification of black carbon. Quaternary Geochronology, 4, 140-147.
756	Baldock, J.A., Smernik, R.J., 2002. Chemical composition and bioavailability of thermally
757	altered Pinus resinosa (Red pine) wood. Organic Geochemistry, 33, 1093-1109.
758	Bao, H., Niggemann, J., Huang, D., Dittmar, T., Kao, S.J., 2019. Different responses of
759	dissolved black carbon and dissolved lignin to seasonal hydrological changes and an
760	extreme rain event. Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences, 124, 479-493.
761	Barnes, R.T., Gallagher, M.E., Masiello, C.A., Liu, Z., Dugan, B., 2014. Biochar-Induced
762	Changes in Soil Hydraulic Conductivity and Dissolved Nutrient Fluxes Constrained by
763	Laboratory Experiments. Plos One, 9.
764	Beals, K.K., Scearce, A.E., Swystun, A.T., Schweitzer, J.A., 2022. Belowground mechanisms for
765	oak regeneration: Interactions among fire, soil microbes, and plant community alter oak
766	seedling growth. Forest Ecology and Management, 503, 119774.
767	Bellè, SL. et al., 2021. Key drivers of pyrogenic carbon redistribution during a simulated
768	rainfall event. Biogeosciences, 18, 1105-1126.
769	Berhe, A.A., Barnes, R.T., Six, J., Marín-Spiotta, E., 2018. Role of soil erosion in
770	biogeochemical cycling of essential elements: carbon, nitrogen, and phosphorus. Annual
771	Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences, 46, 521-548.
772	Berhe, A.A., Harte, J., Harden, J.W., Torn, M.S., 2007. The significance of the erosion-induced
773	terrestrial carbon sink. BioScience, 57, 337-346.
774	Bi, C., Wang, X., Jia, J., Chen, Z., 2018. Spatial variation and sources of polycyclic aromatic
775	hydrocarbons influenced by intensive land use in an urbanized river network of East
776	China. Science of the Total Environment, 627, 671-680.
777	Bird, M.I., Wynn, J.G., Saiz, G., Wurster, C.M., McBeath, A., 2015. The pyrogenic carbon
778	cycle. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences, 43, 273-298.

- Boot, C., Haddix, M., Paustian, K., Cotrufo, M., 2015. Distribution of black carbon in ponderosa
  pine forest floor and soils following the High Park wildfire. Biogeosciences, 12, 30293039.
- Bostick, K.W. et al., 2021. Biolability of Fresh and Photodegraded Pyrogenic Dissolved Organic
   Matter From Laboratory-Prepared Chars. Journal of Geophysical Research:
   Biogeosciences, 126, e2020JG005981.
- Bostick, K.W., Zimmerman, A.R., Wozniak, A.S., Mitra, S., Hatcher, P.G., 2018. Production and
   Composition of Pyrogenic Dissolved Organic Matter From a Logical Series of
   Laboratory-Generated Chars. Frontiers in Earth Science, 6.
- Bowring, S.P., Jones, M.W., Ciais, P., Guenet, B., Abiven, S., 2022. Pyrogenic carbon
   decomposition critical to resolving fire's role in the Earth system. Nature Geoscience, 15,
   135-142.
- Braun, M., Kappenberg, A., Sandhage-Hofmann, A., Lehndorff, E., 2020. Leachable soil black
   carbon after biochar application. Organic Geochemistry, 143, 103996.
- Brewer, C.E. et al., 2014. New approaches to measuring biochar density and porosity. Biomass
   and bioenergy, 66, 176-185.
- Brodowski, S., Amelung, W., Haumaier, L., Abetz, C., Zech, W., 2005. Morphological and
   chemical properties of black carbon in physical soil fractions as revealed by scanning
   electron microscopy and energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy. Geoderma, 128, 116-129.
- Brodowski, S., John, B., Flessa, H., Amelung, W., 2006. Aggregate-occluded black carbon in
   soil. European journal of soil science, 57, 539-546.
- Brown, S.P. et al., 2019. Context dependent fungal and bacterial soil community shifts in
  response to recent wildfires in the Southern Appalachian Mountains. Forest Ecology and
  Management, 451, 117520.
- Carroll, E.M. et al., 2007. Spatial analysis of a large magnitude erosion event following a Sierran
   wildfire. Journal of environmental quality, 36, 1105-1111.
- Cerdà, A., Doerr, S.H., 2005. Influence of vegetation recovery on soil hydrology and erodibility
   following fire: an 11-year investigation. International Journal of Wildland Fire, 14, 423 437.
- Chen, Y. et al., 2022. Analytical methods, molecular structures and biogeochemical behaviors of
   dissolved black carbon. Carbon Research, 1, 23.
- Cheng, C.H., Lehmann, J., Thies, J.E., Burton, S.D., 2008. Stability of black carbon in soils
   across a climatic gradient. Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences, 113.
- Conant, R.T. et al., 2011. Temperature and soil organic matter decomposition rates–synthesis of
   current knowledge and a way forward. Global change biology, 17, 3392-3404.
- Coppola, A.I. et al., 2022. The black carbon cycle and its role in the Earth system. Nature
   Reviews Earth & Environment, 3, 516-532.
- Cotrufo, M.F. et al., 2016. Quantification of pyrogenic carbon in the environment: An integration
   of analytical approaches. Organic Geochemistry, 100, 42-50.
- Cusack, D.F., Chadwick, O.A., Hockaday, W.C., Vitousek, P.M., 2012. Mineralogical controls
   on soil black carbon preservation. Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 26.
- Bavidson, E.A., Janssens, I.A., 2006. Temperature sensitivity of soil carbon decomposition and
   feedbacks to climate change. Nature, 440, 165-173.
- de Dios Benavides-Solorio, J., MacDonald, L.H., 2005. Measurement and prediction of post-fire
  erosion at the hillslope scale, Colorado Front Range. International Journal of Wildland
  Fire, 14, 457-474.

- DeBano, L.F., 1991. The effect of fire on soil properties, Proceedings management and
   productivity of western-Montane. Forest Soils, pp. 151-155.
- Bescals, A. et al., 2022. Unprecedented fire activity above the Arctic Circle linked to rising
   temperatures. Science, 378, 532-537.
- Ding, Y., Yamashita, Y., Dodds, W.K., Jaffé, R., 2013. Dissolved black carbon in grassland
   streams: Is there an effect of recent fire history? Chemosphere, 90, 2557-2562.
- Dittmar, T., 2015. Reasons Behind the Long-Term Stability of Dissolved Organic Matter.
- Dittmar, T. et al., 2012. Continuous flux of dissolved black carbon from a vanished tropical
   forest biome. Nature Geoscience, 5, 618-622.
- Bomene, X., 2016. A critical analysis of meso-and macrofauna effects following biochar
   supplementation, Biochar Application. Elsevier, pp. 268-292.
- Bomene, X., Hanley, K., Enders, A., Lehmann, J., 2015. Short-term mesofauna responses to soil
   additions of corn stover biochar and the role of microbial biomass. Applied Soil Ecology,
   838 89, 10-17.
- Bove, N.C., Hart, S.C., 2017. Fire reduces fungal species richness and in situ mycorrhizal
   colonization: a meta-analysis. Fire Ecology, 13, 37-65.
- Bove, N.C., Klingeman, D.M., Carrell, A.A., Cregger, M.A., Schadt, C.W., 2021. Fire alters
   plant microbiome assembly patterns: integrating the plant and soil microbial response to
   disturbance. New Phytologist, 230, 2433-2446.
- Brake, T.W. et al., 2020. Du feu à l'eau: source and flux of dissolved black carbon from the
   Congo River. Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 34, e2020GB006560.
- Bruffel, E.R., 2004. Comments on the importance of black carbon in the global carbon cycle.
   Marine Chemistry, 92, 197-200.
- Elmer, W., Lattao, C., Pignatello, J., 2015. Active removal of biochar by earthworms (Lumbricus terrestris). Pedobiologia, 58, 1-6.
- Elmquist, M., Semiletov, I., Guo, L., Gustafsson, Ö., 2008. Pan-Arctic patterns in black carbon
  sources and fluvial discharges deduced from radiocarbon and PAH source apportionment
  markers in estuarine surface sediments. Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 22.
- Feurdean, A. et al., 2020. Recent fire regime in the southern boreal forests of western Siberia is
   unprecedented in the last five millennia. Quaternary Science Reviews, 244, 106495.
- Giglio, L., Randerson, J.T., Van Der Werf, G.R., 2013. Analysis of daily, monthly, and annual
   burned area using the fourth-generation global fire emissions database (GFED4). Journal
   of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences, 118, 317-328.
- Glaser, B., Balashov, E., Haumaier, L., Guggenberger, G., Zech, W., 2000. Black carbon in
   density fractions of anthropogenic soils of the Brazilian Amazon region. Organic
   geochemistry, 31, 669-678.
- Gonzalez-Perez, J.A., Gonzalez-Vila, F.J., Almendros, G., Knicker, H., 2004. The effect of fire
   on soil organic matter a review. Environment International, 30, 855-870.
- Grant, M.J., Booth, A., 2009. A typology of reviews: an analysis of 14 review types and
   associated methodologies. Health information & libraries journal, 26, 91-108.
- Güereña, D.T. et al., 2015. Terrestrial pyrogenic carbon export to fluvial ecosystems: Lessons
   learned from the White Nile watershed of East Africa. Global Biogeochemical Cycles,
   29, 1911-1928.
- Gustafsson, Ö. et al., 2001. Evaluation of a protocol for the quantification of black carbon in
   sediments. Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 15, 881-890.

- Hameed, R. et al., 2023. Structural characteristics of dissolved black carbon and its interactions
  with organic and inorganic contaminants: A critical review. Science of The Total
  Environment, 162210.
- Hammes, K. et al., 2007. Comparison of quantification methods to measure fire-derived
  (black/elemental) carbon in soils and sediments using reference materials from soil,
  water, sediment and the atmosphere. Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 21.
- Hammes, K. et al., 2006. Synthesis and characterisation of laboratory-charred grass straw (Oryza sativa) and chestnut wood (Castanea sativa) as reference materials for black carbon
  quantification. Organic Geochemistry, 37, 1629-1633.
- Hart, S.C., DeLuca, T.H., Newman, G.S., MacKenzie, M.D., Boyle, S.I., 2005. Post-fire
  vegetative dynamics as drivers of microbial community structure and function in forest
  soils. Forest Ecology and Management, 220, 166-184.
- Hilscher, A., Knicker, H., 2011. Degradation of grass-derived pyrogenic organic material,
  transport of the residues within a soil column and distribution in soil organic matter
  fractions during a 28 month microcosm experiment. Organic Geochemistry, 42, 42-54.
- Hockaday, W.C., Grannas, A.M., Kim, S., Hatcher, P.G., 2006. Direct molecular evidence for
  the degradation and mobility of black carbon in soils from ultrahigh-resolution mass
  spectral analysis of dissolved organic matter from a fire-impacted forest soil. Organic
  Geochemistry, 37, 501-510.
- Hockaday, W.C., Grannas, A.M., Kim, S., Hatcher, P.G., 2007. The transformation and mobility
  of charcoal in a fire-impacted watershed. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 71, 34323445.
- Jaffé, R. et al., 2013. Global charcoal mobilization from soils via dissolution and riverine
   transport to the oceans. Science, 340, 345-347.
- Johansen, M.P., Hakonson, T.E., Breshears, D.D., 2001. Post-fire runoff and erosion from
   rainfall simulation: contrasting forests with shrublands and grasslands. Hydrological
   processes, 15, 2953-2965.
- Jones, M.W. et al., 2020. Fires prime terrestrial organic carbon for riverine export to the global oceans. Nature communications, 11, 2791.
- Jones, M.W. et al., 2019. Environmental controls on the riverine export of dissolved black
   carbon. Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 33, 849-874.
- Kim, Y., Kim, C.-G., Lee, K.S., Choung, Y., 2021. Effects of post-fire vegetation recovery on
  soil erosion in vulnerable montane regions in a monsoon climate: a decade of monitoring.
  Journal of Plant Biology, 64, 123-133.
- Kolden, C.A., 2019. We're not doing enough prescribed fire in the Western United States to
   mitigate wildfire risk. Fire, 2, 30.
- Kuhlbusch, T., Crutzen, P., 1995. Toward a global estimate of black carbon in residues of
   vegetation fires representing a sink of atmospheric CO2 and a source of O2. Global
   Biogeochemical Cycles, 9, 491-501.
- Laura Zepner, P.K., Felix Wiemann & Lars Bernard 2020. ClimateCharts.net an interactive
   climate analysis web platform. International Journal of Digital Earth.
- Lehmann, J., Kleber, M., 2015. The contentious nature of soil organic matter. Nature, 528, 6068.
- Lehmann, J. et al., 2011. Biochar effects on soil biota–a review. Soil biology and biochemistry,
  43, 1812-1836.

- Lehner, B., Grill, G., 2013. Global river hydrography and network routing: baseline data and
   new approaches to study the world's large river systems. Hydrological Processes, 27,
   2171-2186.
- MacDonald, L.H., Huffman, E.L., 2004. Post-fire soil water repellency: persistence and soil
   moisture thresholds. Soil Science Society of America Journal, 68, 1729-1734.
- Major, J., Lehmann, J., Rondon, M., Goodale, C., 2010. Fate of soil-applied black carbon:
   downward migration, leaching and soil respiration. Global Change Biology, 16, 1366 1379.
- Mansilha, C., Carvalho, A., Guimarães, P., Espinha Marques, J., 2014. Water quality concerns
   due to forest fires: Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) contamination of
   groundwater from mountain areas. Journal of Toxicology and Environmental Health, Part
   A, 77, 806-815.
- Masiello, C.A., 2004. New directions in black carbon organic geochemistry. Marine Chemistry,
   92, 201-213.
- Masiello, C.A., Berhe, A.A., 2020. First interactions with the hydrologic cycle determine
   pyrogenic carbon's fate in the Earth system. Earth Surface Processes and Landforms, 45,
   2394-2398.
- Mataix-Solera, J., Cerdà, A., Arcenegui, V., Jordán, A., Zavala, L., 2011. Fire effects on soil
   aggregation: a review. Earth-Science Reviews, 109, 44-60.
- Mataix-Solera, J., Doerr, S.H., 2004. Hydrophobicity and aggregate stability in calcareous
   topsoils from fire-affected pine forests in southeastern Spain. Geoderma, 118, 77-88.
- Mengist, W., Soromessa, T., Legese, G., 2020. Method for conducting systematic literature
   review and meta-analysis for environmental science research. MethodsX, 7, 100777.
- Miyamoto, Y., Danilov, A.V., Bryanin, S.V., 2021. The dominance of Suillus species in
  ectomycorrhizal fungal communities on Larix gmelinii in a post-fire forest in the Russian
  Far East. Mycorrhiza, 31, 55-66.
- Morris, S.E., Moses, T.A., 1987. Forest fire and the natural soil erosion regime in the Colorado
   Front Range. Annals of the association of American geographers, 77, 245-254.
- Myers-Pigg, A.N. et al., 2015. Labile pyrogenic dissolved organic carbon in major Siberian
   Arctic rivers: Implications for wildfire-stream metabolic linkages. Geophysical Research
   Letters, 42, 377-385.
- Nearing, M., Pruski, F., O'neal, M., 2004. Expected climate change impacts on soil erosion rates:
   a review. Journal of soil and water conservation, 59, 43-50.
- Pressler, Y., Moore, J.C., Cotrufo, M.F., 2019. Belowground community responses to fire: meta analysis reveals contrasting responses of soil microorganisms and mesofauna. Oikos,
   128, 309-327.
- Pyle, L.A. et al., 2015. Chemical and isotopic thresholds in charring: implications for the
  interpretation of charcoal mass and isotopic data. Environmental Science & Technology,
  49, 14057-14064.
- Qin, Q., Liu, Y., 2021. Changes in microbial communities at different soil depths through the
   first rainy season following severe wildfire in North China artificial Pinus tabulaeformis
   forest. Journal of Environmental Management, 280, 111865.
- Rasilo, T., Ojala, A., Huotari, J., Starr, M., Pumpanen, J., 2015. Concentrations and quality of
   DOC along the terrestrial–aquatic continuum in a boreal forested catchment. Freshwater
   Science, 34, 440-455.

- Rebollo, N. et al., 2008. Structural characterization of charcoal exposed to high and low pH:
   implications for 14C sample preparation and charcoal preservation. Radiocarbon, 50,
   289-307.
- Reisser, M., Purves, R.S., Schmidt, M.W.I., Abiven, S., 2016. Pyrogenic Carbon in Soils: A
   Literature-Based Inventory and a Global Estimation of Its Content in Soil Organic
   Carbon and Stocks. Frontiers in Earth Science, 4.
- Robichaud, P., Elliot, W., Pierson, F., Hall, D., Moffet, C., 2007. Predicting postfire erosion and
   mitigation effectiveness with a web-based probabilistic erosion model. Catena, 71, 229 241.
- Robichaud, P.R., 2000. Fire effects on infiltration rates after prescribed fire in Northern Rocky
   Mountain forests, USA. Journal of hydrology, 231, 220-229.
- 971 Rohatgi, A., 2022. WebPlotDigitizer.
- Rumpel, C., Ba, A., Darboux, F., Chaplot, V., Planchon, O., 2009. Erosion budget and process
  selectivity of black carbon at meter scale. Geoderma, 154, 131-137.
- Rumpel, C. et al., 2006. Preferential erosion of black carbon on steep slopes with slash and burn
   agriculture. Catena, 65, 30-40.
- Sanderman, J., Savage, K., Dangal, S.R., 2020. Mid-infrared spectroscopy for prediction of soil
   health indicators in the United States. Soil Science Society of America Journal, 84, 251 261.
- Santín, C. et al., 2016. Towards a global assessment of pyrogenic carbon from vegetation fires.
   Global Change Biology, 22, 76-91.
- Santos, F., Torn, M.S., Bird, J.A., 2012. Biological degradation of pyrogenic organic matter in
   temperate forest soils. Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 51, 115-124.
- Santos, F., Wagner, S., Rothstein, D., Jaffe, R., Miesel, J.R., 2017. Impact of a historical fire
   event on pyrogenic carbon stocks and dissolved pyrogenic carbon in spodosols in
   northern Michigan. Frontiers in Earth Science, 5, 80.
- Schiedung, M., Bellè, S.-L., Sigmund, G., Kalbitz, K., Abiven, S., 2020. Vertical mobility of
   pyrogenic organic matter in soils: a column experiment. Biogeosciences, 17, 6457-6474.
- Schmidt, M.W. et al., 2001. Comparative analysis of black carbon in soils. Global
  biogeochemical cycles, 15, 163-167.
- Schneider, M.P., Hilf, M., Vogt, U.F., Schmidt, M.W., 2010. The benzene polycarboxylic acid
   (BPCA) pattern of wood pyrolyzed between 200 C and 1000 C. Organic Geochemistry,
   41, 1082-1088.
- Singh, N. et al., 2014. Transformation and stabilization of pyrogenic organic matter in a
   temperate forest field experiment. Global Change Biology, 20, 1629-1642.
- Soong, J.L., Cotrufo, M.F., 2015. Annual burning of a tallgrass prairie inhibits C and N cycling
   in soil, increasing recalcitrant pyrogenic organic matter storage while reducing N
   availability. Global change biology, 21, 2321-2333.
- Spokas, K. et al., 2014. Physical disintegration of biochar: an overlooked process. Environmental
   Science & Technology Letters, 1, 326-332.
- Stallard, R.F., 1998. Terrestrial sedimentation and the carbon cycle: Coupling weathering and
   erosion to carbon burial. Global biogeochemical cycles, 12, 231-257.
- Tank, S.E., Fellman, J.B., Hood, E., Kritzberg, E.S., 2018. Beyond respiration: Controls on
   lateral carbon fluxes across the terrestrial-aquatic interface. Limnology and
   Oceanography Letters, 3, 76-88.

- Torreano, S.J., Morris, L.A., 1998. Loblolly pine root growth and distribution under water stress.
   Soil Science Society of America Journal, 62, 818-827.
- Turco, M. et al., 2018. Exacerbated fires in Mediterranean Europe due to anthropogenic warming
   projected with non-stationary climate-fire models. Nature communications, 9, 1-9.
- Viedma, O. et al., 2020. Disentangling the role of prefire vegetation vs. burning conditions on
   fire severity in a large forest fire in SE Spain. Remote Sensing of Environment, 247,
   111891.
- von Lützow, M., Kögel-Knabner, I., 2009. Temperature sensitivity of soil organic matter
   decomposition—what do we know? Biology and Fertility of soils, 46, 1-15.
- Wagner, S. et al., 2019a. Isotopic composition of oceanic dissolved black carbon reveals non riverine source. Nature communications, 10, 1-8.
- Wagner, S. et al., 2019b. Isotopic composition of oceanic dissolved black carbon reveals non riverine source. Nature Communications, 10.
- Wagner, S. et al., 2019c. Dissolved black carbon in throughfall and stemflow in a fire-managed
   longleaf pine woodland. Biogeochemistry, 146, 191-207.
- Wagner, S., Cawley, K.M., Rosario-Ortiz, F.L., Jaffe, R., 2015a. In-stream sources and links
   between particulate and dissolved black carbon following a wildfire. Biogeochemistry,
   124, 145-161.
- Wagner, S. et al., 2021. Questions remain about the biolability of dissolved black carbon along
   the combustion continuum. Nature communications, 12, 4281.
- Wagner, S., Ding, Y., Jaffé, R., 2017. A new perspective on the apparent solubility of dissolved
   black carbon. Frontiers in Earth Science, 5, 75.
- Wagner, S., Dittmar, T., Jaffe, R., 2015b. Molecular characterization of dissolved black nitrogen
   via electrospray ionization Fourier transform ion cyclotron resonance mass spectrometry.
   Organic Geochemistry, 79, 21-30.
- Wagner, S., Jaffe, R., Stubbins, A., 2018. Dissolved black carbon in aquatic ecosystems.
   Limnology and Oceanography Letters, 3, 168-185.
- Ward, C.P., Sleighter, R.L., Hatcher, P.G., Cory, R.M., 2014. Insights into the complete and
   partial photooxidation of black carbon in surface waters. Environmental Science:
   Processes & Impacts, 16, 721-731.
- Westerling, A.L., Hidalgo, H.G., Cayan, D.R., Swetnam, T.W., 2006. Warming and earlier
   spring increase western US forest wildfire activity. science, 313, 940-943.
- Whitman, T., Singh, B.P., Zimmerman, A.R., Lehmann, J., Joseph, S., 2015. Priming effects in
   biochar-amended soils: implications of biochar-soil organic matter interactions for carbon
   storage. Biochar for environmental management: Science, technology and
   implementation, 2, 455-488.
- Wiedemeier, D.B. et al., 2015. Aromaticity and degree of aromatic condensation of char.
  Organic Geochemistry, 78, 135-143.
- Wozniak, A.S. et al., 2020. Molecular heterogeneity in pyrogenic dissolved organic matter from
  a thermal series of oak and grass chars. Organic Geochemistry, 148, 104065.
- Zand, A.D., Grathwohl, P., 2016. Enhanced Immobilization of Polycyclic Aromatic
   Hydrocarbons in Contaminated Soil Using Forest Wood-Derived Biochar and Activated
   Carbon under Saturated Conditions, and the Importance of Biochar Particle Size. Polish
   Journal of Environmental Studies, 25.
- 1049

Global Biogeochemical Cycles

Supporting Information for

#### Constraints and Drivers of Dissolved Fluxes of Pyrogenic Carbon in Soil and Freshwater Systems: a Global Review and Meta-analysis

R. B. Abney<sup>1</sup>, M. E. Barnes<sup>2</sup>, A. Moss<sup>1</sup>, and F. Santos<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Warnell School of Forestry and Natural Resources, University of Georgia, Athens, GA.

<sup>2</sup>Biological Sciences Division, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, WA.

<sup>3</sup>Environmental Sciences Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN.

#### **Contents of this file**

Text S1 Figures S1 to S2 Tables S1 to S2

#### Additional Supporting Information (Files uploaded separately)

Captions for Dataset 1

#### Introduction

This supporting information includes some detailed methodological information, two supporting figures, two supporting tables, and metadata information for supporting dataset that is uploaded separately.

#### Text S1. Detailed methodology for meta-analysis

In 2021, we conducted a meta-analysis of peer-reviewed publications using Web of Science. The search terms we used were "dissolved OR particulate OR colloidal" and "PyC OR pyrogenic carbon OR black carbon OR BC OR PyOM OR pyrogenic organic matter OR charcoal OR biochar". We limited our search to papers written or translated to English and that were books, articles, and reviews. This search yielded 12,776 articles, and we removed 442 duplicates. In the following appraisal steps, we included papers that (1) concern the aqueous transport of PyC in natural systems including inland/terrestrial waters and within the soil system; (2) where aqueous transport is in the dissolved and/or particulate and/or colloidal phase; (3) concern in-lab PyC studies with dissolution, when the PyC was from plant derived material; (4) that described the chemical composition of DOM that includes a method to quantify or characterize PyC; (5) focus on biochar and they measure the dissolved concentration or quality of PyC. We excluded papers that met the following criteria: (1) dPyC was in salt marshes, ocean, atmosphere, estuaries (exclusion keywords: aerosol, PM2.5, sewer sludge); (2) dPyC was not quantified or characterized (e.g., only DOC is measured); (3) non-plant derived biochar or charcoal as a source of dPyC; (4) key terms "black carbon," "pyrogenic," "charcoal," "biochar," or "fire" are not found in the text; and (5) papers were reviews or syntheses. In the extraction step, we read the papers in detail and used the questions in Table S1 to extract data, which were inputted into excel.



**Figure S1.** Flowchart depicting the steps of systematic literature review and metaanalysis based on the PSALSAR method outlined by Mengist et al. (2020).



**Figure S2.** Relationship between reported filter size and dPyC concentration in laboratory-based studies. There are significant differences in dPyC concentration between each of the filter sizes ( $\chi 2 = 40.268$ ; df = 3; p < 0.05).



**Figure S3.** Relationship between method and dPyC concentration in laboratory-based studies. There are significant differences in dPyC concentration between BPCA and each of the PAH methods, but not between XAS and any of the other methods ( $\chi 2 = 26.953$ ; df = 3; p < 0.05).

1.	Citation information
2.	Publication year
3.	What country were field observations made in?
4.	What location were field observations made in (state, province, etc.)?
5.	Latitude of individual observation
6.	Longitude of individual observation
7.	Was this observation collected in the field or lab?
8.	What koppen climate zone does this Lat/Long correspond to?
9.	What climate bin does this observation belong in (Polar, Temperate, etc.)?
10.	How did the study report the climate?
11.	Study reported MAT (C)?
12.	Study reported MAP (cm)?
13.	Vegetation type (study reported)?
14.	Soil type (study reported)?
15.	Soil depth for collected sample?
16.	Sample type of the following: Soil Lab Extract, Char Lab Extract, Precipitation, Flowing
	Water, Still Water, Soil Water.
17.	Biochar feedstock, of the following types: wood, herbaceous, other.
18.	Methods, of the following categories:
19.	Filter size
20.	Concentration of dPyC in mg/L
21.	Concentration of dPyC in mg/kg
22.	Concentration of dPyC in % of spectrum (1H and 13C NMR)
23.	Concentration of dPyC in DOC in mg/L
24.	Concentration of dPyC in DOC in mg/kg
25.	Was PyC measured in bulk soil? (Yes/No)
26.	Concentration of PyC in bulk soil
27.	Was carbon concentration measured in charcoal? (Yes/No)
28.	Concentration of C in charcoal?
29.	Was PyC measured in charcoal? (Yes/No)
30.	Concentration of PyC in charcoal.
31.	What method was used for solid PyC characterization (in charcoal or soil)?
32.	What was the ratio of solid to liquid for extraction of dPyC (lab studies)?
33.	What solvent type was used to extract dPyC from the following categories (lab
	studies):
34.	What was the maximum temperature reached for lab-made biochar (C)?
35.	How long was the biochar burned (hours)?
36.	Other notes
37.	What journal was the study published in?

**Table S1.** Data extraction template for dPyC meta-analysis.

Sample name	dΡyC $\Delta^{14}$ C	dPyC Age (years)	Reference
Precipitation			
Nam Co	-	1080	<u>Li et al. (2018)</u>
Lhasa	-	1750	
Chengdu	-	2300	
Kunming	-	2600	
Kathmandu	-	2200	
Tributary			
Negro	-20	-	<u>Coppola et al. (2019)</u>
Trombetas	14	-	
Tapajós	-771	-	
River			
Solimões	0	-	<u>Coppola et al. (2019)</u>
Amazon	-293 (307)	-	
Santa Clara River	-567 (184)	-	Masiello and Druffel
			<u>(2001)</u>
Changjiang (CRO3)	-64.9	475	<u>Wang et al. (2016)</u>
ECS-P02	-152	1230	
ECO-P04	-283	2620	
Huanghe River -	-138 (19)	1142 (179)	
January			
Suwannee River	-202	410	Ziolkowski and Druffel
	<u></u>	10.100	<u>(2010)</u>
Amazon influenced	-629	10,400	
Ocean			
Surface ocean	-450	4800 (620)	<u>Coppola and Druffel</u> (2016)
Deep ocean	-945	23,000 (3000)	

**Table S2.** Radiocarbon aging of dPyC across different sample types with standard deviation in parentheses when means are reported.

#### Data Set S1. Metadata for Table S3

Study or Observation: Data are organized into study level-information and individual observations (data points)

UniqueID: each line in this dataset has a unique identifier

Study Number: each study included in this dataset has a unique number identifier

Citation: Full citation information for each study

Publication year: year of publication

StudyCountry: Country where study was conducted or data were collected

Study Location: Reported location of study in manuscript

Latitude: latitude coordinate either study-reported or extracted as described in the section above

Longitude: longitude coordinate either study-reported or extracted as described in the section above

FieldorLab: data were collected in field or laboratory settings, study level may be both

Climate: Geiger-Köppen climate classification based on latitude and longitude

Climate Bin: Climate zones were binned into larger climate bins, including polar, cold, tropical, temperate, etc.

Study reported climate: Climate details reported by study

MAT: mean annual temperature, as reported by study

MAP: mean annual precipitation, as reported by study

Vegetation: any vegetation as reported by study

Soil Type: soil description information

Soil Depth: depth of sampling

Sample Type: we categorized our extracted data into the following categories of sample type: flowing water, precipitation, sediment, soil field extract, still water, surface runoff

Biochar feedstock: we characterized the biochar feedstocks into woody, herbaceous, and other. Woody plants have stem that doesn't go away, herbaceous does go away

Methods: BPCA, Chemo-thermal oxidation, FTICR-MS, GC-MS for PAHs, GC-MS not for PAHs, HPLC for PAH, hydrogen pyrolysis, levoglucosan, optics, other

Filter size: 0.2  $\mu m$ , 0.45  $\mu m$ , 0.7  $\mu m$ , not filtered, other, N/A (filtered, but size not reported)

dPyC mg/L: reported concentration of dPyC in mg/L

dPyC mg/kg: reported concentration of dPyC in mg/kg

dPyC % ("dPyC."): reported concentration of dPyC in %

DOC mg/L: reported concentration of DOC in mg/L

DOC mg/kg: reported concentration of DOC in mg/kg

PyC in bulk soil: did the authors report the concentration of PyC in bulk soil (yes/no)

PyC in bulk soil concentration: reported concentration of PyC in bulk soil

Carbon in Charcoal: did the authors report the concentration of C in charcoal (yes/no)

Carbon in Charcoal concentration: reported the concentration of C in charcoal

PyC in Charcoal: did the authors report the concentration of PyC in charcoal (yes/no)

PyC in charcoal concentration: reported concentration of PyC in charcoal

Solid PyC method: method used to determine PyC in bulk soil or charcoal

Lab Extraction Time: extraction times were binned into the following categories (hours): 0-1.4; 1.5-4; 4.1-11.4; 11.5-24; 24.1-48; and >48

Lab Extraction Solvent: Solvents used to extraction dPyC from soils and charcoal were categorized as: acidic, basic, hot water, organic, salt, water, other, N/A

Lab Extraction Method: Mixing, settling, shaking, sonicating, stirring, other, and N/A

Lab Extract Solid to Solution ratio: ratio of solids to solution for extraction

Biochar Maximum Temperature: maximum temperature that the biochar experienced during production (°C)

Biochar Burn Time (hours): duration of charring for biochar production

Extract Ratio Group: bin for ratio of solid to solution for dPyC extraction from charcoal

Temp Group: bin for temperature of PyC production for dPyC extraction from charcoal

Time Group: bin for time extracted for dPyC extraction from charcoal

Journal: Journal that published the manuscript

#### **References for supplemental materials**

- Coppola, A. I., & Druffel, E. R. (2016). Cycling of black carbon in the ocean. Geophysical Research Letters, 43(9), 4477-4482.
- Coppola, A. I., Seidel, M., Ward, N. D., Viviroli, D., Nascimento, G. S., Haghipour, N., Revels, B. N., Abiven, S., Jones, M. W., Richey, J. E., Eglinton, T. I., Dittmar, T., & Schmidt, M. W. I. (2019). Marked isotopic variability within and between the Amazon River and marine dissolved black carbon pools. Nature communications, 10, Article 4018. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-11543-9
- Li, C., Chen, P., Kang, S., Yan, F., Tripathee, L., Wu, G., Qu, B., Sillanpaa, M., Yang, D., Dittmar, T., Stubbins, A., & Raymond, P. A. (2018). Fossil Fuel Combustion Emission From South Asia Influences Precipitation Dissolved Organic Carbon Reaching the Remote Tibetan Plateau: Isotopic and Molecular Evidence. Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres, 123(11), 6248-6258, Article D028181. https://doi.org/10.1029/2017jd028181
- Masiello, C. A., & Druffel, E. R. (2001). Carbon isotope geochemistry of the Santa Clara River. Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 15(2), 407-416.
- Mengist, W., Soromessa, T., & Legese, G. (2020). Method for conducting systematic literature review and meta-analysis for environmental science research. MethodsX, 7, 100777.
- Wang, X., Xu, C., Druffel, E. M., Xue, Y., & Qi, Y. (2016). Two black carbon pools transported by the Changjiang and Huanghe Rivers in China. Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 30(12), 1778-1790.
- Ziolkowski, L. A., & Druffel, E. R. M. (2010). Aged black carbon identified in marine dissolved organic carbon. Geophysical Research Letters, 37, Article L16601. https://doi.org/10.1029/2010gl043963