GLAcier Feature Tracking testkit (GLAFT): a statistically and physically based framework built on top of open science workflows for evaluating glacier velocity maps

Whyjay Zheng¹, Shashank Bhushan², MAXIMILLIAN VAN WYK DE VRIES³, William Kochtitzky⁴, David Shean², Fernando Perez⁵, Luke Copland⁶, Christine Dow⁷, and Renette Jones-Ivey⁸

¹National Central University
²University of Washington
³University of Oxford
⁴University of New England
⁵University of California Berkeley
⁶University of Ottawa
⁷University of Waterloo
⁸University at Buffalo

December 27, 2023

Abstract

Accurate assessments of glacier velocity are essential for understanding ice flow mechanics, monitoring natural hazards, and projecting future sea-level rise. However, the most commonly used method for deriving glacier velocity maps, known as feature tracking, relies on empirical parameter choices that rarely account for glacier physics or uncertainty. The GLAcier Feature Tracking testkit (GLAFT) aims to assess velocity maps using two statistically and physically based metrics. Velocity maps with metrics falling within our recommended ranges contain fewer erroneous measurements and more spatially correlated noise than velocity maps with metrics that deviate from those ranges. Consequently, these metric ranges are suitable for refining feature-tracking workflows and evaluating the resulting velocity products. GLAFT provides modulized workflows for calculating these metrics and the associated visualization, facilitating the velocity map assessments. To ensure the package is available, reusable, and redistributable to the maximum extent, GLAFT adopts several open science practices including the narrative documentation and demos using Jupyter Book and cloud access using Ghub. By providing the benchmarking framework for evaluating the quality of glacier velocity maps procedure, GLAFT enables the cryospheric sciences and natural hazards communities to leverage the rich glacier velocity data now available, whether they are sourced from public archives or made through custom feature-tracking processes.

Plain Language Abstract

We design and propose a method that can be used to evaluate the quality of glacier velocity maps. The method is composed of two numbers that we can calculate for every velocity map. Based on statistics and ice flow physics, velocity maps with numbers close to the recommended values are considered to have good quality. We release an open-sourced software tool called GLAFT to help users assess their velocity maps. GLAFT is available by local installation and cloud access with executable documentation and demos, suitable for research and educational purposes.



Figure 1: Visual abstract.

Which glacier velocity map has the best quality?







GLAFT: a statistically and physically based framework built on top of open science workflows for evaluating glacier velocity maps



Whyjay Zheng (<u>whyjz@csrsr.ncu.edu.tw</u>), Shashank Bhushan, Maximillian Van Wyk De Vries, William Kochtitzky, David Shean, Luke Copland, Christine Dow, Renette Jones-Ivey, & Fernando Pérez

















Having trouble? Introducing **GLAFI** (GLAcier Feature Tracking testkit)



Fig 2. GLAFT-calculated metrics for the three velocity maps above, indicating the data quality.

Try GLAFT:











Maps with δ_{II} (Metric 1) less than this indicated value is good

Maps with $\delta_{x'y'}$ (Metric 2) close to this indicated value are good

Metric 1 (δ_{i}): velocity over static terrain Standard deviation of the kernel density estimation (KDE) calculated using correctly matched measurements only.

Best if
$$\approx \bar{u}_{x'} \frac{2Y}{H^2}$$
.

For more details, see the GLAFT publication at https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-17-4063-2023 or scan the QR code!



Fig 1. Kaskawulsh glacier (Yukon, Canada) flow speed in E-W. High-speed zone in the middle reveals the main channel. Three maps are derived from the same Landsat 8 pairs (2018.08.02-18) but are prepared using different feature tracking software and parameters. Full width ≅ 55 km.

Why GLAFT?

GLAFT evaluates the quality of glacier velocity maps retrieved from large open data sets (e.g., ITS_LIVE) or derived using custom feature-tracking workflows.

• Refine/smooth the velocity maps based on physics-informed metrics • Python-based & open sourced • Cloud access (Ghub) + local installation

What are these metrics?

Best if $\leq 0.2 \times \frac{\text{pixel size of source images}}{1 \times 1}$ duration of source image pair

Metric 2 ($\delta_{x'y'}$): along-flow strain rates at glacier surface Using the same KDE method from Metric 1.

 (\bar{u}_{v}) = avg surface along-flow speed Y=channel half-width, H=ice thickness)