Influence of glacial influx on the hydrodynamics of Admiralty Bay, Antarctica - a case study based on combined hydrographic measurements and numerical modeling

Maria Osińska¹ and Agnieszka Herman^2

¹University of Gdańsk ²Polish Academy of Sciences

December 14, 2023

Abstract

This study investigates the impact of glacial water discharges on the hydrodynamics of Admiralty Bay (AB) in the South Shetland Islands, a wide bay adjacent to twenty marine-terminating glaciers. From December 2018 until February 2023, AB water properties were measured on 136 days. This dataset showed that a maximally two-layered stratification occurs in AB, and that glacial water is always the most buoyant water mass. Using the Delft3D Flow, a three-dimensional hydrodynamical model of AB was developed. During tests, the vertical position and initial velocity of glacial discharges have been shown to be insignificant for the overall bay circulation. Fourteen model scenarios have been calculated with an increasing glacial influx added. The AB general circulation pattern consists of two cyclonic cells. Even in scenarios with significant glacial input, water level shifts and circulation are predominantly controlled by the ocean. Glacial freshwater is carried out of AB along its eastern boundary in a surface layer no thicker than 60 m. Within the inner AB inlets, significant glacial influx produces buoyancy-driven vertical circulation. Using an innovative approach combining hydrographic and modeling data, a four-year, unprecedentedly high-resolution timeseries of glacial influx volumes into AB has been produced. On average, glacial influx summer values are >10 times greater than in spring and winter and 3 times higher than in autumn. The annual glacial influx into AB was estimated at 0.525 Gt. Overall, it was demonstrated how the topography and forcing controlling the hydrodynamics of an Antarctic bay differs from that of well-studied northern-hemisphere fjords.

Hosted file

981746_0_art_file_11672638_s5cskj.docx available at https://authorea.com/users/708849/ articles/693183-influence-of-glacial-influx-on-the-hydrodynamics-of-admiralty-bayantarctica-a-case-study-based-on-combined-hydrographic-measurements-and-numericalmodeling

1	
2	Influence of glacial influx on the hydrodynamics of Admiralty Bay, Antarctica
3	- a case study based on combined hydrographic measurements and numerical
4	modeling
5	M. Osińska ¹ , and A. Herman ²
6	¹ University of Gdańsk, Faculty of Oceanography and Geography, Gdańsk Poland
7	² Institute of Oceanology, Polish Academy of Sciences, Sopot, Poland.
8	Corresponding author: Maria Osińska maria.osinska@phdstud.ug.edu.pl
9	Key Points:
10 11	• Investigation of the distinct traits of Antarctic glacial bay hydrodynamics based on vast hydrographic measurements and modeling results
12 13	• Assessment of the influence of glacial discharges on water levels, circulation patterns and freshwater content
14 15 16	• Estimation of seasonal variations in glacial influx volumes over a four-year period with the highest temporal resolution to date

Abstract

18 This study investigates the impact of glacial water discharges on the hydrodynamics of 19 Admiralty Bay (AB) in the South Shetland Islands, a wide bay adjacent to twenty marine-20 terminating glaciers. From December 2018 until February 2023, AB water properties were measured on 136 days. This dataset showed that a maximally two-layered stratification occurs in 21 22 AB and that glacial water is always the most buoyant water mass. Using the Delft3D Flow, a 23 three-dimensional hydrodynamical model of AB was developed. During tests, the vertical position and initial velocity of glacial discharges have been shown to be insignificant for the 24 25 overall bay circulation. Fourteen model scenarios have been calculated with an increasing glacial 26 influx added. The AB general circulation pattern consists of two cyclonic cells. Even in scenarios 27 with significant glacial input, water level shifts and circulation are predominantly controlled by 28 the ocean. Glacial freshwater is carried out of AB along its eastern boundary in a surface layer no 29 thicker than 60 m. Within the inner AB inlets, significant glacial influx produces buoyancy-30 driven vertical circulation. Using an innovative approach combining hydrographic and modeling 31 data, a four-year, unprecedentedly high-resolution timeseries of glacial influx volumes into AB 32 has been produced. On average, glacial influx summer values are >10 times greater than in 33 spring and winter and 3 times higher than in autumn. The annual glacial influx into AB was 34 estimated at 0.525 Gt. Overall, it was demonstrated how the topography and forcing controlling 35 the hydrodynamics of an Antarctic bay differs from that of well-studied northern-hemisphere 36 fjords.

37

17

Plain Language Summary

38 The purpose of this research was to investigate how water from glaciers impacts the flow 39 of water in Admiralty Bay (AB) in Antarctica. Multiple measurements of the parameters of AB 40 water were taken, and a 3D hydrodynamical model was built. Fourteen model scenarios with 41 varying amounts of glacier water were calculated. AB water typically flows in two clockwise 42 cells. Even when large amounts of glacial water are supplied to AB, the ocean controls changes 43 in water level and the overall flow pattern. A thin surface layer of freshwater from glaciers flows 44 out of AB on its eastern side. A large amount of glacial water might create alterations in flow 45 patterns in the inner AB regions. By comparing measurement and model data, a four-year time 46 series of glacial influx volumes into AB was created. The glacial water inflow is more than ten 47 times greater in the summer than in the spring and winter, and three times greater in the autumn. 48 It is anticipated that 0.525 gigatons of glacial water are released into AB each year. Overall, it 49 was demonstrated how the Antarctic glacial bay differs from northern hemisphere fjords.

50 **1 Introduction**

51 Antarctic coastal areas play a crucial role within the broader Southern Ocean system. In 52 the West Antarctic Peninsula (WAP) region more than 650 marine terminating glaciers are 53 draining into the ocean, mostly through glacial bays (Cook et al., 2016). Glaciers are significant 54 contributors to global sea level rise due to their high accumulation and ablation rates (Gregory et 55 al., 2013). The glacial water inflow to the ocean influences a wide range of climate-sensitive 56 processes, including shifts in the carbon cycle, ocean acidification, and reorganization of water 57 column stratification (IPCC, 2022). With it, additional carbon, iron, and manganese are 58 transported into the ocean, stimulating phytoplankton blooms and impacting local food chains 59 (Forsch et al., 2021; Schloss et al., 2012). In order to comprehend the impact of glacial water on 60 the Southern Ocean, it is imperative to understand the hydrodynamics of glacial bays. In 61 particular, it is crucial to understand how the bay dynamics responds to variations in the volume 62 of glacial water influx in an era of unavoidable acceleration of the West Antarctic ice sheet melt 63 rates (Naughten et al., 2023). This is because it is expected that unprecedentedly large amounts 64 of freshwater will be introduced into Antarctic coastal waters in the near future, which could 65 have complex and unanticipated consequences for regional hydrodynamics.

66 Freshwater from glaciers, both from subglacial discharges and submarine melting, mixes with ambient water, forming Glacially Modified Water (GMW; Straneo & Cenedese, 2015). To 67 68 date, the majority of studies into GMW transport and its influence on coastal hydrodynamics 69 have concentrated on fjords in the northern hemisphere, which differ geomorphologically from 70 Antarctic glacial bays (Cottier, et al., 2010). Fjords in Greenland, Alaska, and Spitsbergen are 71 typically long, narrow, and deep. In these basins, described by a large Rossby internal radius 72 (Cottier et al., 2010; Valle-Levinson, 2022), the role of cross-fjord circulation is often minimal, 73 allowing for simplified analysis and modeling in only two dimensions (Mortensen et al., 2013; 74 Motyka et al., 2003; Sciascia et al., 2013).

Motyka et al. (2003) demonstrated that circulation in narrow fjords may be reduced to a single vertical cell with GMW flowing away from the glacial front in the surface layer and ocean waters flowing in towards the front beneath it, upwelling along the glacier, entrained by rising subglacial discharge. This basic model, however, is inadequate in larger Greenlandic fjords, since glacial waters do not always reach the surface due to a larger scale and complex water column stratification (Sciascia et al., 2013; Straneo et al., 2011).

81 "Unmixing GMW" methods based on hydrographic data are the most widely used 82 techniques for quantifying and tracking pathways of glacial water in the ocean (Bartholomaus et 83 al., 2013; Jenkins, 1999; Jenkins & Jacobs, 2008; Mortensen et al., 2013; Straneo et al., 2011). 84 When GMW spreads in a narrow fjord from a singular glacial front, this analysis can provide 85 almost the entire story of GMW transport since it shows the spatial variability of freshwater 86 content as a function of depth and distance from the outlet. However, in wide bays with complex 87 bathymetry and several marine terminating glaciers, freshwater, after its initial injection, can 88 circulate within the bay, mixing with ambient waters and providing feedback on other ice-water 89 fronts. Three-dimensional (3D) modeling is required to characterize such circulation, and it has 90 been applied successfully in several studies. However, the setup used most commonly describes 91 long, deep, and narrow fjords with a single glacial front (e.g., Cowton et al., 2015; Sciascia et al., 92 2013; Slater et al., 2018; Xu et al., 2012).

Our study area, Admiralty Bay (AB), is located in the South Shetland Islands, in the northern WAP region. AB has distinctive traits of Antarctic bays rarely seen in the northern hemisphere: it is wide, has a complex coastline, and is adjacent to twenty marine terminating glaciers.

97 Although previous studies into GMW impact have predominantly focused on the 98 northern hemisphere, recent research has also expanded our understanding of the hydrodynamics 99 of the glacial bays of the WAP region. In Marguerite Bay, seasonal freshwater content variations 99 were measured, and its sources were identified (Clarke et al., 2008; Meredith et al., 2010). The 90 waters of Marguerite Bay and Barilari Bay were shown to be subject to intrusions of warm 91 Upper Circumpolar Deep Water (UCDW), which can be an additional driver of glacial melting 93 (Cape et al., 2019; Clarke et al., 2008). The study by Cape et al., (2019) looked at the impact of

104 glacial-oceanic interactions on coastal dynamics in Barilari Bay. Specifically, the study 105 concentrated on the formation of surface GMW plumes and its consequences for local 106 biogeochemistry. Lundesgaard et al. (2020) conducted a thorough investigation of the physical 107 properties of water in Andvord Bay, where the influence of UCDW was found to be limited due to the presence of a sill at the bay's outlet. Based on these findings, Hahn-Woernle et al. (2020) 108 109 demonstrated the significant role of surface water thermodynamics in the bay system. 110 Lundesgaard et al. (2019) showed how episodic strong wind events can play a substantial role in 111 the export of GMW from Andvord Bay. Meredith et al. (2018) investigations in Potter Cove, 112 King George Island, have revealed the characteristics of glacial meltwater spreading from land-113 terminating Fourcade Glacier, a glacial form that is more prevalent in the South Shetlands than in 114 the southern WAP region. In conclusion, our knowledge of the Antarctic glacial bay systems has 115 grown over the past few years; a number of hydrodynamical drivers, such as the presence of 116 UCDW, wind, heat content of the upper ocean, and glacial termini type, have been studied. The 117 seasonal variations and long-term increase in glacial runoff have been shown through the analysis of hydrographic and glaciological data (Clarke et al., 2008; Meredith & King, 2005; 118 119 Vaughan, 2006). However, no research has been conducted to investigate how these changes 120 affect Antarctic bay water level shifts, circulation patterns, and freshwater distribution. This is 121 the goal of this study.

122 The structure of this paper follows the logical reasoning underlying this project, in which 123 numerical modeling is based on the conclusions from the analysis of observational data. The 124 study area is described in Section 2, followed by the details of *in situ* measurement methodology 125 (Section 3.1). Section 3.2 provides a general overview of water property variations in AB. A 3D 126 circulation model was developed based on the conclusions of Section 3.2 (technical details in 127 Section 4.1). The problem of determining the appropriate location of glacial water injection 128 points in the model was essential. Therefore, Section 4.2 describes its theoretical background and 129 presents the results of model test runs conducted to examine it. The model was run in fourteen 130 scenarios with an increasing glacial influx volume. The findings revealed the character and 131 magnitude of glacial water's impact on water level variations, circulation, freshwater thickness 132 (FWT), and pycnocline depth in the bay (Section 4.3). This enabled identification of boundaries 133 between regions dominated by glacially and tidally-driven circulation patterns (Spall et al., 134 2017). Finally, in Section 5, an attempt was made to estimate the glacial runoff volume into AB. 135 This estimate was based on a novel approach in which differences between modeling results and in situ measurements were used to select an optimal (most probable) influx volume at a given 136 137 time instance, yielding a 136-record-long timeseries of glacial influx volumes in the period from 138 December 2018 to February 2023 (Mortensen et al., 2014; Sciascia et al., 2013; Straneo, et al., 139 2011). The results are followed by a discussion and conclusions in Section 6.

This research provides one of the first assessments of the sensitivity of an Antarctic bay's hydrodynamics to glacial forcing, including its seasonality. Also, it is one of the first attempts to model a 3D circulation within a glacial bay with multiple glaciers, showing relative significance of different forcing mechanisms and allowing inferences about their impact on local ecosystem and on the Southern Ocean system in general.

145 **2 Study area**

Admiralty Bay is a large inlet of King George Island (KGI), the biggest island in the South Shetlands (Fig. 1 a), a region described as especially sensitive to climate change (Bers et al., 2013). The acceleration of glacial melting during summer (Rückamp et al., 2010) and the

recent absence of sea ice during winter are the most prominent indicators of this vulnerability(Eavrs et al., 2021; National Snow and Ice Data Center, 2023).

151 KGI is covered in 90% with ice, divided into interconnected icecaps (Simões et al., 1999). Twenty-five percent of AB's 150 km long coastline consists of ice-water boundaries, 152 153 formed by twenty maritime glaciers draining directly into the bay waters (indicated with orange 154 lines in Fig. 1 b). All of them are relatively shallow (Fig. 1 c.), with an estimated maximum 155 grounding depth of ~150 m, and the majority of glacial fronts submerged by less than 50 m. 156 Because of that, AB glacial fronts are considered to be nearly uniform vertically, without 157 evidence for undercutting or floating tongues (Carroll et al., 2016). AB glaciers can be classified 158 as intermediate forms between polar and temperate glaciers, with both geothermal and frictional 159 heating as well as external warming inducing water discharge into the ocean (Jenkins, 2011). A 160 comparison of a regional map from 1990 and recent satellite imagery (Battke, 1990) shows a significant retreat of local glacier fronts over the past 31 years. 161

162 Additional freshwater input into the bay is produced by glacial creeks, which

Additional freshwater input into the bay is produced by glacial creeks, which frequently carry waters from glaciers that have recently retreated to land. Their existence and the amount of

164 water being supplied through them vary significantly throughout the year. Consistently

165 reoccurring summer creeks (17 separate outlets) noted by (Potapowicz et al., 2020) and observed

166 by the crew of the Arctowski Polish Antarctic Station have been marked in Fig. 1 b. with red

167 points. The mean annual precipitation in AB adds up to around $6.75 \cdot 10^7$ m³ (Plenzler et al.,

168 2019), whereas glacial input to $6.3 \cdot 10^8 \text{ m}^3$ (estimation based on a conservative assumption of

outflow of 20 m^3/s ; see sections 4.2 and 5 for details). Therefore, the impact of freshwater from

170 precipitation was not considered in this analysis.



171 172 173 174

175

Figure 1. Admiralty Bay. **a.** regional map (Gerrish et al., 2021), Bransfield Current is marked as per (Thompson et al., 2009); **b.** ocean-ice boundaries (Gerrish et al., 2021) and known creek outlets (Potapowicz et al., 2020 and observations), marked with orange lines and red dots, respectively. Green points show *in situ* measurement sites and green boxes their groupings. Blue dot indicates wavemeter mooring location (inset based on Sentinel imagery, 29.12.2021); **c.** AB bathymetric map (in meters).

AB has an area of 135 km^2 and has been previously described as a wide fjord, however, 176 177 geomorphologically, it is a tectonic estuary (Valle-Levinson, 2010), formed by geological faults 178 (Majdański et al., 2008), which explains its distinction from northern hemisphere fjords. For the 179 purposes of this study, a new, hitherto most precise bathymetric map of AB has been created, 180 compiling data from (Battke, 1990; Magrani et al., 2016; Majdański et al., 2008) and self-181 conducted ADCP measurements (Fig. 1 b). It shows that AB's mean depth is 160 m, but in its 182 central part there is a relatively narrow trough up to 600 m deep. AB is connected with 183 Bransfield Strait through a 8 km wide opening, notably, without a well-defined sill.

184 Tidally controlled water level shifts oscillate between -1.5 and 1 m at the AB outlet
185 (Padman et al., 2002). Locally, the most common wind direction is SW, present for around 25%
186 of the time; wind events from other directions take up from 5 to 10% of the time (Plenzler et al.,

187 2019). The occurrence frequency of long-lasting periods of along-fjord (NW or SE) katabatic

188 winds, controlling water exchange with the ocean is, low. This is in contrast to Greenland, as

- 189 noted by (Spall et al., 2017). Nevertheless episodic occurrence of this process is possible as
- 190 recorded, e.g., in Andvord Bay by Lundesgaard et al. (2019).

191 In Bransfield Strait, Bransfield Current flows in a northeastern direction along the 192 southern border of the South Shetlands and creates an effective barrier from outside currents 193 (Moffat & Meredith, 2018; Poulin et al., 2014; Zhou et al., 2006); see Fig. 1 a). This blocking 194 mechanism is strengthened by local bathymetry, which, close to the AB outlet, drops rapidly to 195 over 2000 m, so that relatively shallow AB-shelf waters are only to a limited extent influenced 196 by deep ocean hydrodynamics. Consequently, currents impacting the AB directly are forced by 197 tides, with the Coriolis force playing a key role, which together drive full water exchange 198 between the AB and the ocean in estimated 147 hours (Zhou et al., 2020).

- **3 Hydrographic measurements**
- 200 3.1 Methodology

201 Since December 2019, a comprehensive in situ measuring campaign has been conducted 202 using YSI Exo CTD+ sondes to investigate the AB water properties. The openly accessible data 203 up until January 2022 can be found in the PANGAEA repository (Osińska et al. 2022). Detailed 204 information regarding the scope and methodology of data collection is described in Osińska et al. 205 (2023). Measurements conducted using an unaltered methodology have continued up until 206 February 2023, and their findings have been analyzed in this study. This dataset has high 207 resolution in both time and space. For the present analysis, 23 measurement sites with depths 208 exceeding 10 m were chosen (Fig. 1 b; green points) and divided into four zones (Fig. 1 b; green 209 boxes):

 <i>external transect</i> – central region of AB's main body, in closest proximity to the open ocean, <i>internal transect</i> – further within the bay yet still within the main body of AB, <i>Ezcurra Inlet</i> – within the smaller western inlet of AB, <i>Lange glacial cove</i> – sites less than 1 km away from the medium-sized Lange glacier
Measurements with missing salinity records and from the top 0.5 m of the water column have been excluded from the analysis (due to high uncertainty of near-surface measurements). A time-averaged salinity profile ($S^x(z)$) was calculated for each site from all measurements at that site $s_n^x(z)$, where z denotes depth, x denotes a specific site and n is an index of individual measurement at that site. The following records have been classified as outliers and removed from the dataset:
 5% of profiles at each site with the largest standard deviation of differences (σ_D) from that site's mean salinity profile 5% of profiles at each site with the largest difference between vertically-averaged s^x_n(z) and vertically-averaged S^x(z)

After this procedure, the remaining dataset consisted of 1830 profiles from 136 days and allseasons of the year.

Freshwater thickness (FWT) was determined for all profiles using the Holfort et al.,
(2008) formula:

$$FWT = \int \left(\frac{S_{ref} - s_n^{\chi}(z)}{S_{ref}}\right) dz \tag{1}$$

231 where S_{ref} is a reference salinity value. S_{ref} was determined for each measurement day as the

- mean salinity value from all measurements from that day below 60 m. The decision to use
- records from below 60 m was based on modeling results that showed glacial water spreading maximally to this depth (details in Section 4.3.2).
- 2353.2 Results analysis

230

236 Fig. 2 provides a comprehensive depiction of the fluctuations in AB water properties over 237 four years of hydrographic measurements. Overall, the water temperature varied in a range of -2238 to 2°C, while the salinity ranged from 33.3 to 34.6 PSU (Fig. 2 a-h). The temperature and 239 salinity fluctuations in AB exhibited a high degree of spatial homogeneity. This is evidenced by 240 the similarity in temperature changes throughout different parts of AB depicted in Fig. 2 a, c, e, 241 and g. Similarly, the salinity changes shown in Fig. 2 b, d, f, and h also displayed a consistent 242 pattern. Notably, the average salinity values observed in the external transect were lower than 243 those observed in other regions. Upon closer examination of the individual sites within the 244 external transect (Fig. S1) it becomes evident that the aforementioned pattern is mostly 245 attributed to the low salinity values observed at the two eastern sites. Conversely, the salinity 246 values at the two western sites exhibit a comparable or higher range to the values depicted in Fig. 247 2 b, d, f, and h. Despite differences in salinity values, the water temperature fluctuations at all 248 external transect sites are similar.

The freezing line seen in TS diagrams (Fig. 2 j-m) shows that most of the time AB water properties were well above freezing conditions during all seasons of the year (details in Osińska et al., 2022). This is the reason for the absence of winter sea ice coverage in AB over the course of the measuring campaign.

253 The freshening of AB's surface water during warm months (Fig. 2 b, d, f, and h) and the 254 corresponding peaks in FWT (Fig. 2 i) indicate the presence of GMW. This is because marine 255 terminating glaciers are a primary source of freshwater in the northern WAP region, as 256 established by (Powell & Domack, 2002). Additionally, it has been determined that the 257 contribution of sea ice and precipitation to AB's freshwater content is limited. No evidence of 258 fresher water plumes in subsurface layers was detected (Fig. 2 h and more details in Osińska et 259 al., 2023). Hence, the GMW continuously exhibits the highest buoyancy among the water masses 260 in the AB region, a finding that has been corroborated by prior investigations conducted in the 261 area (Meredith et al., 2018; Monien et al., 2017; Osińska et al., 2021).

TS diagrams, as shown in Figure 2 j-m, are used to differentiate between water masses inside of the AB. AB waters during the winter are generally homogenous, with temperature and salinity marginally rising as depth increases. During the spring season, a fresher and warmer layer of water is formed on the surface, overlaying waters characterized by increasing salinity and temperature with depth. Two layers in the AB water column are also present during summer and autumn. The summer surface layer experiences maximum freshening (average salinity dropping to 33.2 PSU) and warming (mean temperature ranging from 1 to 1.5 degrees Celsius). During the autumn, the upper layer, in comparison to the summer season, exhibits lowertemperatures and higher salinity.

271 In conclusion, it has been shown that AB contains up to two characteristic water layers 272 throughout the course of a year. The distribution of these layers' salinity and temperature values can be largely attributed to atmospheric and glacial influences. The water mass found below the 273 274 surface layer during the seasons of spring, summer, and autumn, as well as the principal water 275 mass observed during winter, shall be referred to as ambient water (AW). This water mass is primarily impacted by the waters of the Bransfield Strait and by atmospheric forcing. AW 276 277 exhibit relatively small variability throughout the year and display typical patterns of seasonal 278 fluctuation commonly observed in estuarian deep waters (Cottier, et al., 2010). Fresh surface 279 waters found in spring, autumn, and particularly during the summer are classified as GMW. 280 GMW consists of a mixture of AW and glacial water that originates from subglacial discharge, 281 submarine melting, glacial creeks, and icebergs. These waters are heated and cooled to varying 282 extents through atmospheric forcing. The lowest summer surface temperatures were recorded in 283 Lange glacial cove since the freshly formed GMW surface layer has a limited duration of 284 atmospheric exposure. Notably, there is a possibility of external freshwater entering AB at the 285 surface, which may be indistinguishable from GMW using solely salinity records.

286 The presence of warm and highly saline Atlantic Waters in Greenland (Sciascia et al., 2013; Slater et al., 2018; Straneo et al., 2011) and CDW in the Antarctic (Cape et al., 2019; 287 288 Moffat et al., 2009) has been shown to directly stimulate glacial melting and play an important 289 role in shaping the hydrodynamics of glacial bays. The hydrographic data analyzed here does not 290 support the existence of such warm external water masses in AB. The measurements conducted 291 in this investigation were limited to a maximum depth of 100 meters. Consequently, it is possible 292 that distinct water masses could infiltrate deeper AB waters and remain undetected. 293 Nevertheless, the probability of such an event and its substantial influence on AB's glacial-294 oceanic boundary is low. Because water depth near AB glaciers seldom exceeds 100 m (Figure 1 295 c), any warmer and more saline water intrusions would be unable to reach glacial fronts unless 296 their presence were recorded at shallower measurement sites. Additionally, earlier measurements 297 conducted in AB over a wider vertical range also did not find any signs of the presence of such

water masses (Carbotte et al., 2007). Finally, studies of regional ocean circulation concluded that
 CDW intrusions into AB are unlikely (Hofmann et al., 2011; Sangrà et al., 2011).

The general two-layered stratification enables the determination of the internal Rossby radius, which serves as a metric for evaluating the relative significance of water column stratification in comparison to rotation (Cottier et al., 2010). In the AB, depending on conditions, the internal Rossby radius varies between 0.41 and 11.86 km and compared to the ~8 km wide

304 opening, it indicates that the AB can be classified as a "broad bay", where the presence of cross-



305 bay circulation has substantial importance.







311 4 Hydrodynamic modelling

312 4.1 Model setup

313 The presence of a two-layered stratification in AB, where the surface layer consists of the 314 most buoyant layer of glacial meltwater (GMW), is reminiscent of the conditions outlined in the 315 small-fjord single-cell circulation model proposed by (Motyka et al., 2003). However, due to the 316 "broad" character of the bay, the AB model must be three-dimensional.

317 Modelling of AB hydrodynamics has been performed using the open-source Delft3D-

318 Flow model, developed as part of a Delft3D suite created specifically for coastal, river, and

319 estuarine hydrodynamics (Deltares, 2020). The calculations were performed on a high-resolution 320 curvilinear grid of over 30,000 points, thus, an average grid cell corresponds to an area of 321 approximately 55 m². The analysis was conducted in 3D, with fifty layers utilizing a vertically 322 scaled σ-coordinate system, with more densely spaced layers toward the domain's bottom and 323 top. The bathymetric map shown in Fig. 1 c was used with a single smooth, ~10 km long open 324 boundary between AB and Bransfield Strait.

325 The model was driven by tides, and temperature and salinity gradients. The tidal water 326 level at the open boundary was calculated using the CATS2008 Antarctic tides model (Padman et al., 2002). Temperature and salinity data reanalysis by Dotto et al. (2021) was used to 327 328 determine temperature and salinity values at the open boundary since it is the most robust data 329 source for water properties in the northern WAP region, combining the majority of available in 330 situ measurement records from 1990 to 2019. Seasonally averaged (for spring and summer) 331 reanalysis values were extracted from a grid point closest to the model's open boundary and 332 interpolated in time and space to create varied vertical salinity and temperature profiles. Dotto et 333 al. (2021) results show that Bransfield waters are weakly stratified (Fig. S2) with seasonal mean 334 temperature and salinity variations of -1.23-0.51°C and 34.22 -34.27 PSU. The in situ 335 measurement results from Osińska et al. (2023) were used to determine initial values of water 336 salinity and temperature inside AB, which were uniformly set throughout the domain. It has been 337 found during preliminary model testing that after less than three days of simulations, the salinity 338 stratification in the whole bay was predominantly influenced by the open boundary input, 339 therefore no variation in the initial conditions setting was necessary.

To capture the variability associated with the entire range of tidal patterns in this region, calculations lasted 58 days (from 1.12.2021 to 28.01.2022), consisting of 3.5 days of model warm-up followed by two full lunar cycles.

343 Following Deltares recommendation, bottom roughness was calculated using the 3D 344 Chézy formula (Deltares, 2020), and assumed spatially homogenous due to lack of information 345 on bottom roughness variations in AB. During model testing, it was discovered that unreasonably high values of energy dissipation (>1000 m^2/s^3) were obtained close to the open 346 347 boundary after approximately two days of calculations and persisted throughout the simulation 348 length. It was determined that this was caused by inappropriately assessed bottom roughness. 349 Through several additional test runs it was experimentally found that uniform 3D Chézy bottom 350 roughness coefficients of 40 m^{1/2}/s, in both U and V directions, is the highest coefficient value 351 which does not result in unrealistic energy dissipation anomalies, which cause a rapid increase in 352 flow velocities near the open boundary, and consequent model destabilization. Hence, this value 353 was regarded as appropriate and was used in all subsequent calculations.

354 Additional information regarding the model configuration can be found in Table S1. 355 Importantly, as indicated by the aforementioned description of the model configuration, no 356 atmospheric forcing was considered, i.e., ocean-atmosphere momentum, heat, and moisture 357 fluxes were set to zero. This decision is justified by the fact that, first, the salinity differences 358 between the oceanic and glacial waters dominate the density structure and gradients in the 359 domain of study, and second, volume fluxes associated with tidal currents dominate those 360 generated by wind, particularly over the time scales of several tidal cycles considered here. Such 361 simplification is not unusual in studies at this scale (Straneo et al., 2011).

The typical density anomaly of water entering through the open boundary and that of the meltwater is $\sigma=27.4 \text{ kg/m}^3$ (at S=34.1 PSU and T=-0.2 °C) and $\sigma=0 \text{ kg/m}^3$ (at S=0 PSU and $T=0^{\circ}C$), respectively. The highest recorded value of surface water temperature observed in AB in

the summer was 3.54 °C, which was exceptionally high (Osińska et al., 2023); the corresponding

density anomaly at S=32.56 PSU is σ =26.0 kg/m³. Therefore, the contribution of temperature to

367 the net variability of water density in AB is minor. Accordingly, the core of the analysis and 368 discussion in the following sections is considering factors driven by salinity fluctuations. Since

soo association in the following sections is considering factors driven by samily fluctuations. Since seasonal salinity variations derived from Dotto et al. (2021) dataset are small (<0.1 PSU

- 370 difference between mean seasonal values) model setup accurately replicates AB open boundary
- 371 conditions throughout the year.

372 For model validation purposes, an RBR wavemeter was moored within Admiralty Bay 373 (location indicated with blue dot in Fig. 1 b) logging water level at 2 Hz frequency during the 374 period from 6.12.2021 to 21.12.2021. The standard deviation of differences between Delft3D 375 model data at this location and *in situ* RBR measurements is 0.08 m, the bias is 0.03 m, and their 376 correlation coefficient is 0.99, i.e., the modeling results correspond very closely to the real water 377 level changes in that part of AB. Analogously, CATS2008 compared with RBR measurements 378 has a 0.08 m standard deviation of differences, a bias of 0.01 m, and correlation coefficient of 379 0.99 (see Fig. 5 a).

380

4.2 Location, dispersal and volume of glacial freshwater influx

381 The representation of interactions between glaciers and oceans is a crucial component in 382 establishing the framework for glacial bay hydrodynamical modelling. The description of 383 oceanic dynamics near marine terminating glaciers often relies on the buoyant plume theory 384 (BPT). The BPT explains how freshwater discharged from underneath the glacier upwells along 385 the glacial front, entraining and mixing with ambient waters to form a GMW plume. This plume 386 then induces the submarine melting of the glacier's front (Jenkins, 2011). The submarine melt 387 rate is influenced by subglacial discharge volume and ambient water temperature, however, this relationship varies depending on the study location (Kimura et al., 2014; Sciascia et al., 2013; Xu 388 389 et al., 2012). When GMW reaches its depth of neutral buoyancy, which may occur at or below 390 the ocean surface, it forms a layer of distinct properties within the water column (Jenkins, 2011). 391 The influence of glacial water on ocean hydrodynamics is contingent upon the distribution of 392 subglacial discharge points, namely whether they are channelized or uniformly distributed along 393 the glacial front, and the momentum of the discharge (Cowton et al., 2015; Slater et al., 2018).

394 The Buoyant Plume Model (BPM) coupled with the general circulation model (GCM) is 395 currently considered the most sophisticated method for investigating the hydrodynamics of 396 glacial bays (Cowton et al., 2015). However, its application may not always be necessary or 397 practical. In an earlier investigation conducted by Chauché et al. (2014) observational data 398 indicated that subsequent to channelized release, subglacial influx rapidly spreads laterally along 399 the glacial front, effectively blurring the distinction between effects of localized and uniformly 400 dispersed freshwater injection points. The study by Sciascia et al. (2013) demonstrated that the 401 hydrodynamics of near-glacial waters is influenced to a greater extent by the volume of 402 subglacial discharge than the momentum of its inflow. The usage of the BPM coupled with GCM 403 for the purpose of modeling the hydrodynamics of bays with multiple ice-water boundaries is 404 challenging. Firstly, such multiway coupling is computationally expensive. Furthermore, it 405 requires detailed bathymetric and glaciological data, including discharge location points, 406 volumes, and submarine melt rates (Carroll et al., 2016) which is currently unattainable in AB 407 and, we argue, in the majority of glacial bays in Antarctica.

In light of the practical challenges involved, a question arises regarding the extent to which accurately reproduced vertical location and velocity of glacial water influx is significant for the understanding of general AB hydrodynamics. In order to address this question, several iterations of model tests were conducted, in which glacial water discharge locations and velocities were varied. The following are the identifiers and details of these test runs:

- *H0* test run with glacial water discharged from all glaciers, homogenously
 through the entirety of glacial front, with zero initial velocity (treated as reference case for other scenarios) *H2* test run with glacial water discharged from all glaciers, homogenously
 - *H2* test run with glacial water discharged from all glaciers, homogenously through the entirety of glacial front, with an initial velocity of 2 m/s
 - *S0* test run with glacial water discharged from all glaciers subglacially, with zero initial velocity
 - *S2* test run with glacial water discharged from all glaciers subglacially, with an initial velocity of 2 m/s

422 In order to emphasize the potential influence of glacial discharge velocity on AB 423 hydrodynamics, a high value of 2 m/s was selected for testing (Cowton et al., 2015; Xu et al., 424 2012). The volume of the glacial discharge for all test runs was established at ~6 m³/s per 1 km 425 of glacial front, a value that was deemed reasonable for the AB region during the summer melt

426 season (see section 5).

417

418

419

420

421

427 Three measures were employed to examine disparities between test run results: FWT 428 (Figure 3 a-d), pycnocline depth (Figure 3 e-h), and depth-averaged flow velocity (Figure 3 i-l). 429 These metrics serve as the foundation for further analysis of AB hydrodynamics, making them 430 suitable instruments for determining if the results of test scenarios exhibit substantial differences 431 between each other. FWT was calculated using formula (3), where S_{ref} was determined as the 432 mean salinity from below 60 m across the entire AB. Given the stratification of model open 433 boundary waters, the utilization of this FWT calculation method shows the presence of 434 freshwater influx from the Bransfield Strait into AB. Hence, in order to illustrate the distribution 435 of freshwater originating exclusively from AB glaciers, the FWT values calculated for a scenario devoid of glacial water inflow (scenario $0 m^3/s$) were subtracted from the FWT results of the four 436 437 test runs. The pycnocline depth was calculated as the depth at which $d\sigma/dz < 0.025 \text{ kg/m}^3$. The 438 data that have been analyzed and presented in Fig. 3 were averaged over a period from January

439 1st, 2022 to January 28th, 2022, which corresponds to a one complete lunar cycle.

All test run results show consistent patterns in the FWT, pycnocline depth, and flow
velocity values distributions across the AB (Fig. S3). On the other hand, discrepancies are visible
when comparing maps of differences between test runs and the reference case (*H0*) results (Fig.
3).





Figure 3. Comparison between model results with different glacial discharge locations and velocities. **a-d** FWT (m); **eh** Pycnocline depth (m); **i-l** depth averaged velocities in m/s; **a,e** and **i** H0 scenario – reference case; **b, f** and **j** H2 scenario differences from the reference case; **c,g**, and **k** S0 scenario differences from the reference case; **d,h** and **l** *S*2 scenario differences from the reference case. **r**, σ_{D} – correlation coefficients and standard deviation of differences between shown results and reference case respectively. All figures depict mean values from period from 1.01.2022 to 28.01.2022.

450 For all test scenarios the FWT values are highest in the northwest region of AB, ranging from 0.35 to 0.55 m, (Fig. 3 a-d). In that area the three scenarios H2, S0, and S2 have slightly 451 452 greater FWT values (<0.1 m) than the reference scenario H0. The overall FWT differences 453 between scenarios range from -0.1 to 0.15 m (Figure 3 b-d). In test runs with solely subglacial 454 discharge, narrow regions of elevated FWT form along glacial fronts. The biggest differences in 455 FWT and pycnocline depth are observed in scenario H2 (Fig. 3b and f). For instance, in an area 456 of a maximum pycnocline depth (~ 25 m) for H0, the pycnocline depth increases by up to 4 457 meters in S0 and S2, and by over 6 m in H2. In S0 and S2 scenarios the presence of subglacial 458 discharge and subsequent turbulent mixing prevents pycnocline formation in regions close to 459 glacial fronts (blue areas in Fig. 3 g and h). Crucially, the overall flow pattern remains consistent 460 in all examined cases, characterized by a strong influx from Bransfield Strait along the AB's 461 western bank and an outflow in the east (see more details in section 4.3.2). The differences in flow velocities, shown in Figure 3 i-l, are largest close to the AB opening. In scenarios H2, S0, 462 463 and S2, the AB's inflow and outflow have reduced velocities compared to the reference case 464 results. This slowing down is largest in cases in which glacial waters are discharged with 2 m/s 465 velocity (up to a -0.25 m/s decrease in H2 and -0.15 m/s decrease in S2).

466 The model test run results show that the freshwater content, the water column 467 stratification, and the flow velocities in AB are locally impacted by changes in the location and 468 momentum of glacial influx. In general, larger differences in the analyzed metrics were caused 469 by variations in the velocity of glacial input rather than an alteration in its vertical position. 470 Nevertheless, the overall circulation and glacial freshwater distribution patterns in AB have not 471 changed as a result of employing any of the studied model configurations (Fig. S3). This

472 conclusion is further strengthened by the high correlation coefficients (*r*) and low $\sigma_{\rm D}$ for all

473 employed metrics across all scenarios (Fig. 3). Therefore, it i justified to conclude that for474 examining the overall impact of glacial water on AB hydrodynamics, a simplified methodology

474 examining the overall impact of glacial water on AB hydrodynamics, a simplified methodology 475 that disregards the influence of the vertical position and velocity of glacial injections is adequate.

476 Consequently, further model simulations were performed with glacial water discharged 477 homogenously through the entirety of the glacial front, from all glaciers, with zero initial 478 velocity. A total of fourteen scenarios with increasing volumes of glacial runoff were calculated: 0, 0.15, 0.3, 0.6, 0.9, 1.7, 3.0, 4.5, 6.0, 8.0, 11.0, 14.0, 28.0, and 60.0 m³/s of freshwater volume 479 480 discharged per ~1 km of glacial front. Henceforth, these values will be employed as identifiers 481 for the scenarios in order to enhance the conciseness and clarity of the text. Input of freshwater 482 from the creeks was assumed to be vertically homogenous, was of equivalent volume to runoff 483 from ~ 1 km of a glacial front in a given scenario and was introduced through a single grid cell.

484

4.3 Response of AB hydrodynamics' to the increase in glacial discharge

485 4.3.1 Water level changes

486 Modeling results were analyzed through Principal Component Analysis (PCA) of water 487 levels, using results from two full tidal cycles from 4.12.2021 12:00 to 28.01.2022 00:00. Each 488 PCA mode consists of a spatial distribution (map) of PCA coefficients (also known as loadings), 489 a time series of PCA scores showing the relative strength of that mode through time, and the 490 overall percentage of the total variance of the dataset explained by that mode. Through the 491 calculation of the squared correlation coefficients (r^2) between scores of PCA modes and time 492 series of water level in all active grid points, maps of the spatial distribution of percentages of 493 variance explained by the first four modes have been obtained (Fig. 4 b-e).

494 Water level changes in AB are uniformly and almost exclusively driven by tidal shifts. 495 This is demonstrated in Fig. 4 a. where a comparison of *in situ* measurements collected by RBR 496 wavemeter moored 9.5 km away from the AB outlet (location marked in Fig. 1 b) with tidal data 497 from CATS2008 at the open boundary of the Delft3D model is shown. The blue line 498 corresponding to in situ measurements exhibits only small deviations from modeled data, 499 presumably during periods of very strong winds. The yellow line represents Delft3D model 500 results at the grid point closest to the wavemeter location. The very good agreement between the 501 three curves shows that the water level in the whole AB reacts almost instantaneously to the open 502 boundary forcing.

PCA analysis of water level in the $0 m^3/s$ scenario further confirms almost instantaneous 503 504 response of the whole AB to tidal shifts. Fig. 4 b-e shows maps of coefficients corresponding to 505 the first four PCA modes and the percentage of water level variance explained by them, 506 respectively. The first PCA mode (PCA 1), which represents homogenous changes in the water 507 level of the whole AB, explains more than 99.8% of the variance in all studied scenarios. 508 Accordingly, the PCA 1 score correlates almost perfectly with the time series of water level at 509 the boundary and inside of the model domain (see time series in Fig. 4 a). This indicates that 510 anomalies from this pattern are of the order of a hundredth of a percentage, even in the 60 m^3/s 511 scenario, in which an additional 2000 m^3 of water is pumped into AB every second. The predominance of tidal impact on water level changes is not surprising, since volume flux through 512 513 the open boundary is of the order of 100 000 m^3/s .

- 514 Although explaining small percentages of variance, other PCA modes of water level
- 515 shifts are showing important characteristics of water level fluctuations in AB. Modes 2-4
- 516 represent standing-wave-like water level fluctuations with respectively one, two, and three nodes
- 517 (Valle-Levinson, 2022). Each of the maps in Fig. 4 b-e emphasizes a region in central AB that
- 518 corresponds to the location of a smaller circulation cell in the overall AB circulation pattern
- 519 (Section 4.3.2 and Fig. 5).





Figure 4. Results of PCA analysis of water level changes in 14 scenarios. **a.** comparison of in situ water level measurements, CATS2008 tide model input data, Delft3D 0 m³/s model results and normalized PCA1 score (note that score values are non-dimensional, they have been divided by its double standard deviation to fit); **b-e** maps corresponding to first four principal components of water level 0 m³/s scenario (-); the percentages of water level variance explained by each mode in fourteen scenarios are shown above each map.

526

4.3.2 Changes in circulation and freshwater distribution

527 The most notable feature of AB general circulation is a strong northerly flow along its 528 western boundary (Fig. 5 a.). It is formed by the Coriolis force acting upon Bransfield Strait 529 waters flowing northeast along the edge of the South Shetland Islands (Zhou et al., 2002). The 530 existence of this current was recognized by prior modeling conducted in the AB by 531 (Robakiewicz & Rakusa-Suszczewski, 1999). Following its initial development, the AB inflow 532 current continues in a northerly direction and subsequently undergoes bifurcation. Part of it flows 533 to the right in the central region of the main body of the AB, approximately 7 kilometers from 534 the AB outlet (around the location of *main AB* cross-section), and then exits the bay in close 535 proximity to its eastern boundary. The second limb of the current penetrates deeper before 536 reversing its course in the main embranchment of the bay (~13.5 km from the opening) and also flows back to the bay opening along its eastern coast. The clockwise (cyclonic) circulation cells 537 538 formed by these two branches are crucial elements of the water exchange mechanism between 539 the ocean and inner bay waters. A visualization of monthly average velocities across the main AB 540 cross-section (Fig. 5 b) reveals that this exchange has the greatest magnitude in the surface layer. 541 In the scenario without glacial influx there exists a state of equilibrium between the amount of 542 water flowing into AB via its western half and the amount flowing out of it through the eastern

- half of the *main AB* cross-section (Fig. 5 f). At spring tide, the volume of water transported
- through each of the halves reaches $2 * 10^5$ m³/s. The quantities of water penetrating the three
- 545 inner inlets of AB, Ezcurra, MacKellar, and Martel Inlet are two orders of magnitude smaller,
- 546 with proportionally lower velocities observed across their respective cross-sections (Fig. 5 c-e,
- 547 and Fig. 7 z-cc).



548

549 **Figure 5.** General circulation pattern of AB in without glacial influx ; **a**. flow depth averaged velocities (map colors) 550 and directions (white arrows); **b-e** velocities across four crossections (their location in Fig. 6 a.), positive values correspond to 551 inflow into bays, negative to outflow; **f.** transport through main AB crossection, total (blue line) and divided into western (red 552 line) and eastern half (yellow line). Values in a-e are average for the period 1.01.2022-28.01.2022.

553 When glacial influx is introduced, AB's cyclonic circulation explains the development of 554 distinct patterns in glacial water dispersal, illustrated by FWT and pycnocline depth maps (Fig. 555 6). In each of the model scenarios, following an initial warm-up period, a quasi-stationary state is 556 reached, in which the distribution of FWT remains approximately constant (Fig. S4). With rising 557 glacial influx levels, freshwater accumulates in the northeastern region of AB, specifically in

558 MacKellar and Martel Inlets. This freshwater is then transported to Bransfield Strait by the AB's

- eastern outflowing current. In the accumulation zones, the FWT values range from 0 to 0.5 m.
- 560 The FWT exceeds 1 m in larger areas only in the two strongest glacial influx scenarios, $28 m^3/s$ 561 and $60 m^3/s$. The increase of glacial input results in the expansion of the region where the
- 561 and 50 m/s. The increase of gracial input results in the expansion of the region where the 562 pycnocline occurs, as well as in its deepening. The pycnocline depth is determined by the local
- bathymetry, resulting in the deepest pychocline developing in the area of the main AB
- 564 embranchment. In all scenarios, the depth of the pycnocline does not exceed 60 meters.

565 The model results demonstrate circulation and freshwater distribution patterns that are 566 consistent with the *in situ* measurement data. The average salinity values from the top 60 m of 567 water at two sites in the western inflow area, *ve6* and *ez9*, consistently exceed those reported at 568 the outflow sites, *ve4* and *lv3*. Despite the close proximity and similar distance from the glacial 569 front and bay's outlet between inflow and outflow sites, this salinity difference can reach 0.3 570 PSU (Figure 6 u. and Figure 6 a. for site location and Fig. S1).



572 **Figure 6.** Variability of FWT and pycnocline depth with increasing glacial input; **a-j** FWT; **k-t**. pycnocline depth. Note changing scales in different rows; **u**. difference in average salinity readings from top 60 m of inflow (ve6 and ez9) and outflow (ve4 and lv3) sites (sites' locations seen in a.) Values in a-t are average for the period 1.01.2022-28.01.2022.

575 In all the model scenarios, the circulation pattern of two cyclonic circulation cells is 576 preserved in AB (Fig. 7 a-e). The analysis of flow velocities and transport volumes across the 577 main AB cross-section reveals that in scenarios ranging from 0 to 14 m^3/s , the water exchange is consistently strongest near the surface and has a volume of $\sim 10^5$ m³/s for both inflow and 578 579 outflow (Fig. 7 f-j, and Fig. 7 z, analogous to Fig. 5 b and 5 f). However, in two highest glacial influx scenarios (28 and 60 m^3/s), the water transport on both sides of the main AB cross-section 580 decreases significantly to $\sim 10^4$ m³/s (Fig. 7 z). Similarly, in these scenarios, the flow velocities 581 are reduced (see Fig. 7, e and j). This observation suggests that a threshold value of glacial 582 583 inflow volume exists limiting water interchange between the bay and the ocean. Specifically this 584 threshold is observed to be between 14 and 28 $m^3/s \sim 1$ km of glacial front, which adds up to 450 585 and 900 m^3/s of overall freshwater input into AB.

586 In cross-sections located at the openings of inner AB inlets, Ezcurra, MacKellar, and 587 Martel Inlets, the impact of increasing glacial influx is visible from relatively low glacial water 588 inflow rates below 0.6 m^3/s , (Fig. 7 f-y). The surface outflow layer forms there, moving GMW 589 out of the bay, most evidently in the Ezcurra and MacKellar Inlets (Fig. 7 k-t). Fig. 7 aa-cc. 590 shows the variability in water volume transported through the three inlet cross-sections in 14 591 model scenarios, in total, and split into layers above (surface layer) and below the pycnocline 592 depth (calculated as in section 4.2). In AB inlets, surface outflow and deeper inflow increase 593 with rising glacial influx, up to $14 m^3/s$ scenario, when their values stabilize. This demonstrates 594 how glacial influx drives vertical circulation, similar to the 2D glacial bay circulation of (Motyka 595 et al., 2003). The drop in total transport values in Fig. 7 aa-cc indicates the importance of 596 additional freshwater input for the water budget of AB inner inlets, which is barely visible in the 597 flow transport sum up through the *main AB* cross-section, where overall values are 100 times 598 higher (Fig. 7 z).



600 601

Figure 7. AB circulation influenced by growing glacial influx (five chosen model scenarios' results); a-e. flow depth
 averaged velocities in whole AB; f-y. velocity throughout cross-sections (locations in Fig 6 a.); z. variability in mean transport
 through cross-section main AB in total and divided into western and eastern half; aa-cc. variability in transport through AB
 inlets: total and divided into surface and below surface layers; for ff-cc. positive values =inflow, negative =outflow. All values
 are average for the period 1.01.2022-28.01.2022.

606 In order to enhance the understanding of the spatial extent of areas in which glacial bay 607 buoyancy-driven vertical circulation can be a dominant flow pattern, maps depicting the 608 correlation coefficients between the glacial influx and flow velocities and directions have been 609 generated (Fig. 8). The maps are shown in three versions: for the entire water column, for depths 610 below the pycnocline, and for surface waters inside and above the pycnocline. In regions where 611 pycnocline was not present, the entire column of water was treated as waters below the 612 pycnocline. Correlations have been calculated for series with at least six values. In order to 613 reduce the influence of outliers and to increase the robustness of the results, a bootstrap 614 resampling of data was performed (Trauth, 2010).

615 In three inner AB inlets, the whole of Ezcurra and MacKellar Inlets, and most of Martel 616 Inlet, there is a strong correlation between flow velocity and glacial influx (Fig. 8a–c). Overall, 617 based on the evidence in Figs. 7 and 8, we conclude that in these areas glacial input can create 618 vertical circulation, driving local water exchange.

619 In the entire water column and in the bottom layers, the distributions of correlation coefficients

620 of flow direction changes versus glacial influx volumes do not show any discernible pattern (Fig.

621 8 d-e). However, in the surface waters, a distinct areas can be recognized where, with rising

glacial input, water flow turns to the right in a broad area in the middle of AB and to the left in a

623 smaller area in the east part of the main embranchment of AB (Fig. 8 f). This shows how the

624 GMW surface layer deflects surface water following the general circulation pattern (Fig. 5 a),
 625 redirecting it toward the AB outlet and restricting its penetration of inner bay waters.



626

Figure 8. Correlation between rising glacial influx and flow velocities and directions; a-c. correlation coefficients
 between flow velocities and glacial discharge volumes; d-f. correlation coefficients between flow directions and glacial discharge
 volumes; positive values correspond to flow turning to the right, negative to the left; a and d. average value over the water
 column; b and e. below pycnocline; c and f above and within pycnocline. Areas within black boundaries contain statistically
 significant values.

632

5 Assessment of seasonal variability in glacial influx volume

Ice mass balance models, such as the Regional Atmospheric Climate Model (RACMO2,
Wessem & Laffin, 2020), are commonly used to predict glacial influx volumes (Mankoff et al.,
2016; Xu et al., 2012). However, due to its coarse scale in both time and space, as well as
considerable uncertainty in its results (Cape et al., 2019; Mernild et al., 2010), a more locally
conformable method has been developed.

Estimates of glacial input volume into AB were obtained by comparing FWT values from
hydrographic observations to FWT values from 14 model scenarios, at grid points nearest to
measurement site locations. A best-fitting scenario was identified for each site, per measurement
day, as one with the smallest FWT difference from the FWT in measurement. The results for
each day were summarized in a boxplot (Fig. 9 a), displaying a range in glacial influx volumes of
best-fitting scenarios for each day across all locations.

Fig. 9 shows that the range of glacial discharge volumes employed in modeling was reasonable: the maximum glacial influx scenario of $60 m^3/s$ never fits best to observed results, and the second greatest scenario of $28 m^3/s$ fits best once. Fig. 9 a. depicts how winter and spring glacial influx values are close to $0 m^3/s$, while continuous highest discharge volumes occur in 648 late summer and autumn, reaching a maximum daily median value of 8 m^3/s . The median value

of projected glacial influx volume is comparatively low, maximally 0.6 m³/s in the summer (Fig

650 9 b). This observation implies that periods characterized by significant glacial influx are of651 limited duration.

652 Fig. 9 c illustrates the seasonal average difference between the 75th and 25th percentiles 653 of glacial influx estimates obtained from all sites on one measuring day. Their high values (max $2.32 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$), particularly during the summer, imply that the model does not completely capture 654 circulation in AB, most likely due to the unrealistic assumption of homogeneous and constant 655 656 volumes of injections from all glaciers. This is supported by differences in median values 657 between zones, as seen in Fig. 9 b. However, due to the long-lasting nature and high level of detail in the collected data, it is possible to generate estimates of the glacial discharge volumes 658 659 to AB. During the winter and spring, the average daily median of glacial influx estimates is 0.11 and 0.09 m^3/s , respectively. It rises to 0.39 m^3/s in the autumn and peaks in the summer at 1.19 660 m^3/s of estimated average glacial discharge per ~1 km of glacial front. 661



662

Figure 9. Estimation of glacial influx into AB assessed via a comparison of modeling and hydrographic measurement 664 results; **a.** Glacial influx volume of scenarios with the smallest FWT difference from the measurement FWT (best-fitting 665 scenario), in each boxplot information from all sites per measurement day (central mark=median, bottom and top edges of the 666 box=25th and 75th percentiles, whiskers=extreme points, circles=outliers); **b.** median of glacial influx volumes from best-fitting 667 scenarios of each day averaged per season and zone; **c.** difference between the 75th and 25th percentile of glacial influx volumes 668 from best-fitting scenarios of each day averaged per season

669 6 Discussion and conclusions

670 The scale of the analysis is critical when examining ocean-cryosphere interactions.

671 Straneo & Cenedese (2015) defined three glacial bay regions: the ice-ocean boundary zone, the

- 672 glacial plume region, and the major fjord system. The current research focuses on AB
- 673 hydrodynamics at this third scale. In this broad perspective, the vertical placement of glacial

discharges and their initial velocity has no significant impact on the overall AB circulation. Thisconclusion could help investigate the hydrodynamics of other similar bays in the WAP region.

A novel method of estimating glacial influx volume has been implemented and evaluated.
This methodology uses a comparison of hydrographic measurements and modeling results,
utilizing an exceptionally extensive dataset to affirm the validity of its findings. Other studies
estimating glacial influx quantities frequently employed far fewer observational data than the
1830 measurements used in this study (Mortensen et al., 2013; Straneo & Cenedese, 2015;
Sutherland et al., 2014).

682 The volume of glacial water released into AB is estimated to be 0.525 Gt/year (0.117) 683 Gt/month in summer, 0.038 Gt/month in autumn, 0.011 Gt/month in winter, and 0.009 Gt/month 684 in spring). This value is comparable to the findings of Hahn-Woernle et al. (2020), who 685 estimated glacial influx in Andvord Bay to be 0.128 Gt/month in the summer. It is, however, 686 significantly less than the glacial fluxes estimated for northern hemisphere fjords (De Andrés et 687 al., 2020; Mernild et al., 2010). In Spitsbergen, the percentage share of glacial freshwater in the 688 overall bay water budget was estimated to be around 1% (Cottier et al., 2010), in Greenlandic-689 based modeling, it was up to 0.25% in a medium-sized plume (Cowton et al., 2015). In the 690 summer, on average, the glacial freshwater contribution of the AB water budget is in the range of 0.19 to 0.23% (0.9–1.7 m³/s scenario results; see Fig. S5). Also, FWT in AB is lower than in, for 691 692 example, Sermilik and Kangerdlugaauqq Greenlandic fjords, where in the summer it consistently 693 exceeded 10 m (Sutherland et al., 2014), and in AB, even in implausible maximum high glacial 694 influx scenarios, it seldom exceeded 3 m. This is due to relatively low glacial input volumes as 695 well as ocean-driven circulation that carries GMW out of AB in a thin surface layer, a 696 phenomenon observed in other Antarctic bays by Hahn-Woernle et al. (2020) and Meredith et al. 697 (2018).

In AB the estimated glacial influx volumes rise more than ten times between spring/winter season and summer. These significant seasonal variation can be attributed to the absence of external warm water masses stimulating submarine melt during cold months, process demonstrated in studies conducted in Greenlandic fjords (Mortensen et al., 2013; Straneo et al., 2011) and in WAP region (Cape et al., 2019; Cook et al., 2016). This fluctuation may also be exacerbated by the fact that the majority of AB glaciers are shallowly grounded, causing melt to be primarily driven by external heat rather than hydrostatic pressure (Jenkins, 2011).

705 Hydrographic measurements and model results showed that AB water properties varied 706 modestly throughout the year (Fig. 2 and Fig. S1), with a temperature standard deviation from all 707 measurements of 0.90° C, and a salinity standard deviation of 0.22 PSU. GMW has always been 708 the most buoyant water mass, occurring at the surface of the water column in a layer with a 709 maximum thickness of 50–60 m, spreading in a distinctive pattern along the eastern boundary of 710 AB, generated by the AB general circulation pattern. The temperature of glacial water exhibits 711 slight variations compared to AW, being either colder or warmer than AW at the moment of 712 discharge. The GMW surface layer can undergo either warming or cooling as a consequence of atmospheric forcing, dependent on the air temperature. 713

By integrating the findings of glacial influx estimation from Section 5 with the analysis
of the impact of different volumes of glacial discharge on water level shifts and circulation from
Sections 4.3.1 and 4.3.2, it can be inferred that glacial influx does not alter the general

717 hydrodynamics of AB. The double-celled horizontal circulation pattern, which regulates water

exchange between AB and the ocean, has been observed to persist consistently throughout the

- 719 year. Unlike the findings of Mortensen et al. (2013) and Straneo et al. (2011) in Greenland, no
- 720 distinct modes of circulation specific to different seasons were identified in the whole AB.
- However, in the Ezcurra, MacKellar, and inner parts of Martel inlets, the presence of GMW can
- lead to the formation of buoyancy-driven vertical circulation. This circulation is expected tooccur most of the time during the summer and beginning of the autumn (estimates of glacial
- input > 0.6 m³/s) and to be particularly robust during short-term peak melt events (Figs. 7 and 9).

725 It is suspected that there exists a threshold volume of glacial influx, estimated to be within the range of 14 to 28 m^3/s . GMW is expected to significantly limit the interchange of 726 727 water between the AB and the ocean above this threshold (Fig. 7 z-cc), since the ocean induced 728 general circulation in the AB is most intense at the surface, at the level in which GMW is 729 transported outside AB. The estimated amounts of glacial influx at any given point of the 730 analyzed period did not reach this level, although it is not implausible given the projected 731 increase in melt rates in this region. Hence, it is crucial to validate and investigate this process 732 further.

733 The current investigation uncovered features of Antarctic glacier bay hydrodynamics that 734 set it apart from the better-known fjords of the northern hemisphere. These differences are 735 caused by the geomorphology of the region and different relative contributions of external forces 736 acting upon bay waters. In order to accommodate these conditions, innovative approaches have 737 been utilized to investigate bay hydrodynamics, which could be useful in similar locations. These 738 entail evaluating the significance of initial velocity and vertical position of glacial influx for 739 general hydrodynamics of a bay and using a comparative study between measurements and 740 modeled data from various model scenarios to estimate glacial influx volumes.

This study provides a comprehensive analysis of the hydrodynamic response of an Antarctic bay to changes in magnitude of glacial influx. Furthermore, with a large number of data points and high temporal resolution, this study offers, to the best of our knowledge, the most comprehensive assessment of seasonal variations in glacial discharge volumes to date. This enables the prediction of variations in circulation within a glacial bay over the course of a year.

746 Acknowledgments

747 This work was supported by two grants from the National Science Centre, Poland: No. 748 2017/25/B/ST10/02092 'Quantitative assessment of sediment transport from glaciers of South 749 Shetland Islands on the basis of selected remote sensing methods' and No. 750 2018/31/B/ST10/00195 'Observations and modeling of sea ice interactions with the atmospheric 751 and oceanic boundary layers'. Special thanks are owed to Laboratory of Sedimentary and 752 Environmental Processes - INCT-Criosfera Fluminense Federal University - Geoscience Institute 753 in Brazil for providing us with bathymetric data from Admiralty Bay, described in (Magrani et 754 al., 2016). We are thankful to Deltares for making Delft3D Flow model available for 755 calculations. Calculations were made possible thanks to computing power and software provided 756 by CI TASK (Center of the Tri-City Academic Computer Network) in Gdańsk, Poland. We are grateful for the support of Arctowski Polish Antarctic Station's crew for all their help during 757 758 measurement campaign.

759	Open Research
760 761 762 763	The hydrological measurement data is available at PANGAEA repository via <u>https://doi.org/10.1594/PANGAEA.947909</u> . The data is licensed under CC-BY-4.0. Currently, the repository contains data up until January 2022. Remaining dataset up until February 2023 is available at Zenodo repository via 10.5281/zenodo.10277429, licensed under CC-BY-4.0.
764 765 766 767 768	Mean values of freshwater thickness, pycnocline depth, and depth averaged velocities from modeling from 17 scenarios (14 scenarios of rising glacial influx scenarios + 3 scenarios testing importance of glacial influx vertical location and initial velocity). The mean values span the period from 1.01.2022 to 28.01.2022 and are available at Zenodo repository via 10.5281/zenodo.10277333, licensed under CC-BY-4.0.
769 770	The 65936 version of Delft3D4 model available at <u>https://oss.deltares.nl/web/delft3d</u> was used to calculate AB hydrodynamical model.
771 772 773	The bathymetric measurement data was made available to us by Laboratory of Sedimentary and Environmental Processes - INCT-Criosfera Fluminense Federal University - Geoscience Institute in Brazil.
774 775	Tide data used in modelling was derived from CATS2008 model described by Padman et al. (2002) available at <u>https://doi.org/10.15784/601235</u> and is licensed under CC-BY-4.0
776 777 778	Reanalysis data for open boundary temperature and salinity conditions, described by Dotto et al. (2021) is available at Zenodo repository at <u>https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4420006</u> . The data is licensed under CC-BY-4.0.
779 780	The ocean boundaries were derived from Gerrish et al. (2021) dataset available at <u>https://doi.org/10.5285/e46be5bc-ef8e-4fd5-967b-92863fbe2835</u> under license CC-BY-4.0.
781	References
782 783 784	De Andrés, E., Slater, D. A., Straneo, F., Otero, J., Das, S., & Navarro, F. (2020). Surface emergence of glacial plumes determined by fjord stratification. <i>Cryosphere</i> , 14(6), 1951– 1969. https://doi.org/10.5194/TC-14-1951-2020
785 786 787	Bartholomaus, T. C., Larsen, C. F., & O'Neel, S. (2013). Does calving matter? Evidence for significant submarine melt. <i>Earth and Planetary Science Letters</i> , 380, 21–30. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2013.08.014
788	Battke, Z. (1990). Admiralty Bay, King George Island 1:50.000 map. Insitute of Ecology PAS.
789 790 791 792	 Bers, A. V., Momo, F., Schloss, I. R., & Abele, D. (2013). Analysis of trends and sudden changes in long-term environmental data from King George Island (Antarctica): Relationships between global climatic oscillations and local system response. <i>Climatic Change</i>, <i>116</i>(3–4), 789–803. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-012-0523-4
793 794 795 796	Cape, M. R., Vernet, M., Pettit, E. C., Wellner, J., Truffer, M., Akie, G., et al. (2019). Circumpolar deep water impacts glacial meltwater export and coastal biogeochemical cycling along the west Antarctic Peninsula. <i>Frontiers in Marine Science</i> , 6(MAR), 144. https://doi.org/10.3389/FMARS.2019.00144/BIBTEX
797 798	Carbotte, S. M., Ryan, W. B. F., Hara, S. O., Arko, R., Goodwillie, A., Melkonian, A., et al. (2007). Antarctic Multibeam Bathymetry and Geophysical Data Synthesis : An On-Line

- Digital Data Resource for Marine Geoscience Research in the Southern Ocean. 10th
 International Symposium on Antarctic Earth Sciences, 196.
- 801 Carroll, D., Sutherland, D. A., Hudson, B., Moon, T., Catania, G. A., Shroyer, E. L., et al.
 802 (2016). The impact of glacier geometry on meltwater plume structure and submarine melt in
 803 Greenland fjords. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 43(18), 9739–9748.
 804 https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GL070170
- Chauché, N., Hubbard, A., Gascard, J. C., Box, J. E., Bates, R., Koppes, M., et al. (2014). Iceocean interaction and calving front morphology at two west Greenland tidewater outlet
 glaciers. *Cryosphere*, 8(4), 1457–1468. https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-8-1457-2014
- Clarke, A., Meredith, M. P., Wallace, M. I., Brandon, M. A., & Thomas, D. N. (2008). Seasonal and interannual variability in temperature, chlorophyll and macronutrients in northern Marguerite Bay, Antarctica. *Deep-Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2008.04.035
- Cook, A. J., Holland, P. R., Meredith, M. P., Murray, T., Luckman, A., & Vaughan, D. G.
 (2016). Ocean forcing of glacier retreat in the western Antarctic Peninsula. *Science*, *353*(6296), 283–286. https://doi.org/10.1126/SCIENCE.AAE0017/SUPPL_FILE/COOKSM.PDF
- Cottier, F. R., Nilsen, F., Skogseth, R., Tverberg, V., Skarðhamar, J., & Svendsen, H. (2010).
 Arctic fjords: a review of the oceanographic environment and dominant physical processes. *Geological Society, London, Special Publications, 344*(1), 35–50.
 https://doi.org/10.1144/SP344.4
- Cottier, F. R., Nilsen, F., Skogseth, R., Tverberg, V., Skardhamar, J., & Svendsen, H. (2010).
 Arctic fjords: A review of the oceanographic environment and dominant physical processes.
 Geological Society Special Publication, *344*, 35–50. https://doi.org/10.1144/SP344.4
- Cowton, T., Slater, D., Sole, A., Goldberg, D., & Nienow, P. (2015). Modeling the impact of
 glacial runoff on fjord circulation and submarine melt rate using a new subgrid-scale
 parameterization for glacial plumes. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, *120*(2).
 https://doi.org/10.1002/2014JC010324
- Beltares. (2020). Delft3D 3D/2D modelling suite for integral water solutions Hydro Morphodynamics. *User Manual*.
- Dotto, T. S., Mata, M. M., Kerr, R., & Garcia, C. A. E. (2021). A novel hydrographic gridded
 data set for the northern Antarctic Peninsula. *Earth System Science Data*, *13*(2), 671–696.
 https://doi.org/10.5194/ESSD-13-671-2021
- Eayrs, C., Li, X., Raphael, M. N., & Holland, D. M. (2021). Rapid decline in Antarctic sea ice in
 recent years hints at future change. *Nature Geoscience*. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-02100768-3
- Forsch, K. O., Hahn-Woernle, L., Sherrell, R. M., Roccanova, V. J., Bu, K., Burdige, D., et al.
 (2021). Seasonal dispersal of fjord meltwaters as an important source of iron and
- manganese to coastal Antarctic phytoplankton. *Biogeosciences*, 18(23), 6349–6375.
 https://doi.org/10.5194/BG-18-6349-2021

- 6errish, L., Fretwell, P., & Cooper, P. (2021). *High resolution vector polylines of the Antarctic coastline (7.4) [Data set]*. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.5285/e46be5bc-ef8e-4fd5-967b92863fbe2835
- Gregory, J. M., White, N. J., Church, J. A., Bierkens, M. F. P., Box, J. E., Van Den Broeke, M.
 R., et al. (2013). Twentieth-century global-mean sea level rise: Is the whole greater than the sum of the parts? *Journal of Climate*, *26*(13). https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-12-00319.1
- Hahn-Woernle, L., Powell, B., Lundesgaard, Ø., & van Wessem, M. (2020). Sensitivity of the
 summer upper ocean heat content in a Western Antarctic Peninsula fjord. *Progress in Oceanography*, 183, 102287. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.POCEAN.2020.102287
- Hofmann, E. E., Klinck, J. M., Lascara, C. M., & Smith, D. A. (2011). Water mass distribution
 and circulation west of the Antarctic Peninsula and including Bransfield Strait.
 https://doi.org/10.1029/ar070p0061
- Holfort, J., Hansen, E., Østerhus, S., Dye, S., Jónsson, S., Meincke, J., et al. (2008). Freshwater
 Fluxes East of Greenland. In *Arctic–Subarctic Ocean Fluxes* (pp. 263–287). Dordrecht:
 Springer Netherlands. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4020-6774-7_12
- IPCC. (2022). Sea Level Rise and Implications for Low-Lying Islands, Coasts and Communities.
 In *The Ocean and Cryosphere in a Changing Climate*.
 https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009157964.012
- Jenkins, A. (1999). The impact of melting ice on ocean waters. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*. https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0485(1999)029<2370:TIOMIO>2.0.CO;2
- Jenkins, A. (2011). Convection-Driven Melting near the Grounding Lines of Ice Shelves and
 Tidewater Glaciers. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, *41*(12), 2279–2294.
 https://doi.org/10.1175/JPO-D-11-03.1
- Jenkins, A., & Jacobs, S. (2008). Circulation and melting beneath George VI Ice Shelf,
 Antarctica. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, *113*(C4), C04013.
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JC004449
- Lundesgaard, Ø., Powell, B., Merrifield, M., Hahn-Woernle, L., & Winsor, P. (2019). Response
 of an Antarctic Peninsula Fjord to Summer Katabatic Wind Events. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, 49(6), 1485–1502. https://doi.org/10.1175/JPO-D-18-0119.1
- Lundesgaard, Ø., Winsor, P., Truffer, M., Merrifield, M., Powell, B., Statscewich, H., et al.
 (2020). Hydrography and energetics of a cold subpolar fjord: Andvord Bay, western
 Antarctic Peninsula. *Progress in Oceanography*, 181, 102224.
- 871 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2019.102224
- Magrani, F., Neto, A. A., & Vieira, R. (2016). Glaciomarine sedimentation and submarine
 geomorphology in Admiralty Bay, South Shetland Islands, Antarctica. 2015 IEEE/OES *Acoustics in Underwater Geosciences Symposium, RIO Acoustics 2015.*https://doi.org/10.1109/BIOACOUSTICS 2015.7473614
- 875 https://doi.org/10.1109/RIOACOUSTICS.2015.7473614
- Majdański, M., Środa, P., Malinowski, M., Czuba, W., Grad, M., Guterch, A., & Hegedűs, E.
 (2008). 3D seismic model of the uppermost crust of the Admiralty Bay area, King George
 Island, West Antarctica. *Polar Res*, *29*(4), 303–318.

879 Mankoff, K. D., Straneo, F., Cenedese, C., Das, S. B., Richards, C. G., & Singh, H. (2016). 880 Structure and dynamics of a subglacial discharge plume in a Greenlandic fjord. Journal of 881 Geophysical Research: Oceans. https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JC011764 882 Meredith, M. P., & King, J. C. (2005). Rapid climate change in the ocean west of the Antarctic 883 Peninsula during the second half of the 20th century. Geophysical Research Letters, 32(19), 884 n/a-n/a. https://doi.org/10.1029/2005GL024042 885 Meredith, M. P., Wallace, M. I., Stammerjohn, S. E., Renfrew, I. A., Clarke, A., Venables, H. J., 886 et al. (2010). Changes in the freshwater composition of the upper ocean west of the 887 Antarctic Peninsula during the first decade of the 21st century. *Progress in Oceanography*, 888 87(1-4), 127-143. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.POCEAN.2010.09.019 889 Meredith, M. P., Falk, U., Bers, A. V., Mackensen, A., Schloss, I. R., Barlett, E. R., et al. (2018). 890 Anatomy of a glacial meltwater discharge event in an Antarctic cove. *Philosophical* 891 Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences, 892 376(2122). https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2017.0163 893 Mernild, S. H., Howat, I. M., Ahn, Y., Liston, G. E., Steffen, K., Jakobsen, B. H., et al. (2010). 894 Freshwater flux to Sermilik Fjord, SE Greenland. Cryosphere, 4(4). 895 https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-4-453-2010 896 Moffat, C., & Meredith, M. (2018). Shelf-ocean exchange and hydrography west of the 897 Antarctic Peninsula: a review. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: 898 Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences, 376(2122), 20170164. 899 https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2017.0164 900 Moffat, C., Owens, B., & Beardsley, R. C. (2009). On the characteristics of Circumpolar Deep 901 Water intrusions to the west Antarctic Peninsula Continental Shelf. Journal of Geophysical 902 Research: Oceans, 114(5). https://doi.org/10.1029/2008JC004955 903 Monien, D., Monien, P., Brünjes, R., Widmer, T., Kappenberg, A., Silva Busso, A. A., et al. 904 (2017). Meltwater as a source of potentially bioavailable iron to Antarctica waters. 905 Antarctic Science, 29(3), 277–291. https://doi.org/10.1017/S095410201600064X 906 Mortensen, J., Bendtsen, J., Motyka, R. J., Lennert, K., Truffer, M., Fahnestock, M., & 907 Rysgaard, S. (2013). On the seasonal freshwater stratification in the proximity of fast-908 flowing tidewater outlet glaciers in a sub-Arctic sill fjord. Journal of Geophysical 909 Research: Oceans, 118(3), 1382–1395. https://doi.org/10.1002/jgrc.20134 910 Motyka, R. J., Hunter, L., Echelmeyer, K. A., & Connor, C. (2003). Submarine melting at the 911 terminus of a temperate tidewater glacier, LeConte Glacier, Alaska, U.S.A. Annals of 912 Glaciology. https://doi.org/10.3189/172756403781816374 913 National Snow and Ice Data Center, C. (2023). Sea Ice Index. Retrieved June 7, 2023, from 914 https://nsidc.org/data/seaice_index 915 Naughten, K. A., Holland, P. R., & De Rydt, J. (2023). Unavoidable future increase in West 916 Antarctic ice-shelf melting over the twenty-first century. *Nature Climate Change*. 917 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-023-01818-x 918 Osińska, M., Bialik, R. J., & Wójcik-Długoborska, K. A. (2021). Interrelation of quality 919 parameters of surface waters in five tidewater glacier coves of King George Island,

- 920 Antarctica. Science of the Total Environment, 771, 144780.
- 921 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.144780
- Osińska, M., Wójcik-Długoborska, K. A., & Bialik, R. J. (2022). Water conductivity, salinity,
 temperature, turbidity, pH, fluorescent dissolved organic matter (fDOM), optical dissolved
 oxygen (ODO), chlorophyll a and phycoerythrin measurements in Admiralty Bay, King
- 925 George Island, from Dec 2018 to Jan 2022. *PANGAEA*.
- 926 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1594/PANGAEA.947909
- 927 Osińska, M., Wójcik-Długoborska, K. A., & Bialik, R. J. (2023). Annual hydrographic
 928 variability in Antarctic coastal waters infused with glacial inflow. *Earth System Science*929 Data, 15(2). https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-15-607-2023
- Padman, L., Fricker, H. A., Coleman, R., Howard, S., & Erofeeva, L. (2002). A new tide model
 for the Antarctic ice shelves and seas. https://doi.org/10.3189/172756402781817752
- Plenzler, J., Budzik, T., Puczko, D., & Bialik, R. J. (2019). Climatic conditions at Arctowski
 Station (King George Island, West Antarctica) in 2013-2017 against the background of
 regional changes. *Polish Polar Research*, 40(1), 1–27.
- 935 https://doi.org/10.24425/PPR.2019.126345
- Potapowicz, J., Szumińska, D., Szopińska, M., Bialik, R. J., Machowiak, K., Chmiel, S., &
 Polkowska, Ż. (2020). Seashore sediment and water chemistry at the Admiralty Bay (King
 George Island, Maritime Antarctica) Geochemical analysis and correlations between the
 concentrations of chemical species. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 152, 110888.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MARPOLBUL.2020.110888
- Poulin, F. J., Stegner, A., Hernández-Arencibia, M., Marrero-Díaz, A., & Sangrà, P. (2014).
 Steep shelf stabilization of the coastal bransfield current: Linear stability analysis. *Journal* of Physical Oceanography. https://doi.org/10.1175/JPO-D-13-0158.1
- Powell, R., & Domack, G. W. (2002). Modern glaciomarine environments. *Modern and Past Glacial Environments*, 361–389. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-075064226-2/50015-5
- Robakiewicz, M., & Rakusa-Suszczewski, S. (1999). Application of 3D circulation model to
 Admiralty Bay, King George Island, Antarctica. *Polish Polar Research*, 20(1), 43–58.
- Rückamp, M., Blindow, N., Suckro, S., Braun, M., & Humbert, A. (2010). Dynamics of the ice
 cap on King George Island, antarctica: Field measurements and numerical simulations. *Annals of Glaciology*, *51*(55), 80–90. https://doi.org/10.3189/172756410791392817
- Sangrà, P., Gordo, C., Hernández-Arencibia, M., Marrero-Díaz, A., Rodríguez-Santana, A.,
 Stegner, A., et al. (2011). The Bransfield current system. *Deep-Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr.2011.01.011
- Schloss, I. R., Abele, D., Moreau, S., Demers, S., Bers, A. V., González, O., & Ferreyra, G. A.
 (2012). Response of phytoplankton dynamics to 19-year (1991–2009) climate trends in
 Potter Cove (Antarctica). *Journal of Marine Systems*, 92(1), 53–66.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.improvg.2011.10.006
- 957 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.2011.10.006
- Sciascia, R., Straneo, F., Cenedese, C., & Heimbach, P. (2013). Seasonal variability of
 submarine melt rate and circulation in an East Greenland fjord. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, *118*(5), 2492–2506. https://doi.org/10.1002/jgrc.20142

- Simões, J. C., Bremer, U. F., Aquino, F. E., & Ferron, F. A. (1999). Morphology and variations
 of glacial drainage basins in the King George Island ice field, Antarctica. *Annals of Glaciology*, 29, 220–224. https://doi.org/10.3189/172756499781821085
- Slater, D. A., Straneo, F., Das, S. B., Richards, C. G., Wagner, T. J. W., & Nienow, P. W.
 (2018). Localized Plumes Drive Front-Wide Ocean Melting of A Greenlandic Tidewater
 Glacier. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 45(22), 12,350-12,358.
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GL080763
- Spall, M. A., Jackson, R. H., & Straneo, F. (2017). Katabatic Wind-Driven Exchange in Fjords. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, *122*(10), 8246–8262.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/2017JC013026
- 971 Straneo, Fiamma, & Cenedese, C. (2015). The Dynamics of Greenland's Glacial Fjords and
 972 Their Role in Climate. *Annual Review of Marine Science*, 7(1), 89–112.
 973 https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-marine-010213-135133
- Straneo, Fiammetta, Curry, R., Sutherland, D., Hamilton, G., Cenedese, C., Vaage, K., &
 Stearns, L. (2011). Impact of ocean stratification on submarine melting of a major
 Greenland outlet glacier. *Nature Precedings*. https://doi.org/10.1038/npre.2011.5670.1
- Sutherland, D. A., Straneo, F., & Pickart, R. S. (2014). Characteristics and dynamics of two
 major Greenland glacial fjords. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, *119*(6), 3767–
 3791. https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JC009786
- Thompson, A. F., Heywood, K. J., Thorpe, S. E., Renner, A. H. H., & Trasviña, A. (2009).
 Surface Circulation at the Tip of the Antarctic Peninsula from Drifters. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, *39*(1), 3–26. https://doi.org/10.1175/2008JPO3995.1
- Trauth, M. H. (2010). MATLAB® recipes for earth sciences: Third edition. MATLAB® Recipes
 for Earth Sciences (Third Edition). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-12762-5
- Valle-Levinson, A. (2010). Contemporary Issues in Estuarine Physics. *Contemporary Issues in Estuarine Physics*, 1–315. https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511676567
- Valle-Levinson, A. (Arnoldo). (2022). *Introduction to estuarine hydrodynamics*. Cambridge
 University Press. Retrieved from
- https://books.google.com/books/about/Introduction_to_Estuarine_Hydrodynamics.html?hl=
 pl&id=2PKazgEACAAJ
- Vaughan, D. G. (2006). Recent trends in melting conditions on the Antarctic Peninsula and their
 implications for ice-sheet mass balance and sea level. *Arctic, Antarctic, and Alpine Research, 38*(1). https://doi.org/10.1657/1523-0430(2006)038[0147:RTIMCO]2.0.CO;2
- Wessem, J. M. V., & Laffin, M. K. (2020). Regional Atmospheric Climate Model 2 (RACMO2),
 version 2.3p2 (2.3p2) [Data set].
- Xu, Y., Rignot, E., Menemenlis, D., & Koppes, M. (2012). Numerical experiments on
 subaqueous melting of Greenland tidewater glaciers in response to ocean warming and
 enhanced subglacial discharge. *Annals of Glaciology*, *53*(60), 229–234.
 https://doi.org/10.3189/2012AoG60A139

- Zhou, M., Niiler, P. P., & Hu, J. H. (2002). Surface currents in the Bransfield and Gerlache
 Straits, Antarctica. *Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers*, 49(2),
- 1002 267–280. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0967-0637(01)00062-0
- Zhou, M., Niiler, P. P., Zhu, Y., & Dorland, R. D. (2006). The western boundary current in the
 Bransfield Strait, Antarctica. *Deep-Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers*.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr.2006.04.003
- Zhou, X., Zhu, G., & Hu, S. (2020). Influence of tides on mass transport in the Bransfield Strait
 and the adjacent areas, Antarctic. *Polar Science*, 23, 100506.
- 1008 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.POLAR.2020.100506

1009

JGR Oceans

Supporting Information for

Influence of glacial influx on the hydrodynamics of Admiralty Bay, Antarctica - a case study based on combined hydrographic measurements and numerical modeling

M. Osińska¹, and A. Herman²

¹University of Gdańsk, Faculty of Oceanography and Geography, Gdańsk Poland

²Institute of Oceanology, Polish Academy of Sciences, Sopot, Poland.

Contents of this file

Figures S1 to S5 Table S1

Introduction

The study methodology is further clarified, and its results and conclusions are corroborated by the five supporting figures and one table.

Fig. S1 displays the recorded salinity and temperature values at specific sites along the external transect. This figure aids in explaining the relatively low average salinity values seen in this transect, as depicted in Fig. 2. Moreover, it functions to substantiate the existence of AB cyclonic circulation. Fig. S2 displays the data extracted from Dotto et al. (2021) and utilized for the determination of open boundary conditions in the model. As was noted in the main text, in the model, only data from the spring and summer seasons was utilized and subsequently interpolated across time in order to generate a continuous time series of water parameter values. However, the little variations seen in values across different seasons indicate that the model configuration effectively captures the AB water variability throughout the entire year. Fig. S3 displays the absolute values of FWT, pycnocline depth, and depth-averaged velocities in test scenarios H0, H2, S0 and S2. It further demonstrates the limited significance of the initial velocity and vertical location of glacial discharges within the broader context of AB hydrodynamics. Fig. S4 displays the standard deviations of FWT at each grid point of the model throughout the course of one lunar cycle in various glacial influx scenarios. As a result, it is a representation of the stability of glacial freshwater distribution in AB at various tidal phases. Its overall values increase as the glacial influx rises. However, in cases where glacial influx volumes are within reasonable limits (see Section 5), these values tend to be modest, particularly in

the northeastern portion of AB where absolute FWT values are highest (Fig. 6). This is evidence of the relative stability of the pattern of freshwater transport in AB during the tidal cycle. Figure S5 presents data about the proportion of glacial freshwater within the overall water budget of AB in 14 model scenarios. It was calculated by taking the average of monthly mean FWT values divided by depth across all grid points.

Additional model setup details used to configure the AB model are provided in Table S1. The model variables that were not explicitly addressed in the main text or in Table S1 were kept consistent with the configuration suggested by Deltares (2020).



Figure S1. Temperature and salinity measured at *external transect* sites. **a,c,e** and **g** temperature (°C) and **b,d,f** and **h** salinity (PSU); **a-d** records from ve5 and ve6 sites located on the western/inflow region of AB; **e-h** records from ve3 and ve4 sites located in the eastern/outflow region of AB



Figure S2. Seasonal salinity (PSU) (**a**) and temperature (°C) (**b**) reanalysis results from Dotto et al. (2021) used to establish open boundary conditions of AB model; site location -62.18, -58.40 (location in reanalysis grid – m=43 n=49).



Figure S3. Analogous to Fig.3 in the main text, only presented in absolute values: **a-d** FWT (m) **e-h** Pycnocline depth (m); **i-l** depth averaged velocities in m/s; **a,e** and **i** H0 scenario; **b, f** and **j** H2 scenario; **c,g**, and **k** S0 scenario; **d,h** and **l** S2 scenario. All figures depict mean values from period from 1.01.2022 to 28.01.2022.



Figure S4. Standard deviation of FWT values in AB during a period from 1.01.2022 to 28.01.2022.



Figure S5. Percentage of glacial freshwater in the total AB water budget over 14 model scenarios

Number of grid cells	31 619	
Number of layers	50	
Duration of the modelling	58 days	
Time step	0.06 min (3.6 s)	
Initial conditions	Salinity 34.1 ppt	
	Temperature -0.2 °C	
Bottom roughness coefficients	Used formula – 3D Chézy	
	Uniform values U, V= 40 m ^{1/2} /s	
Model for 3D turbulence	k-ε	

Table S1. Additional details of AB model setup.