Downstream control on the stability of river bifurcations

Lorenzo Durante¹, Michele Bolla Pittaluga², Gaetano Porcile¹, and Nicoletta Tambroni¹

¹University of Genoa ²University of Genova

December 3, 2023

Abstract

River bifurcations are prevalent features in both gravel-bed and sand-bed fluvial systems, including braiding networks, anabranches and deltas. Therefore, gaining insight into their morphological evolution is important to understand the impact they have on the adjoining environment. While previous investigations have primarily focused on the influence on bifurcation morphodynamics by upstream channels, recent research has highlighted the importance of downstream controls, like branches length or tidal forcing. In particular, in the case of rivers, current linear stability analyses for a simple bifurcation are unable to capture the stabilizing effect of branches length unless a confluence is added downstream.

In this work, we introduce a novel theoretical model that effectively accounts for the effects of downstream branch length in a single bifurcation. To substantiate our findings, a series of fully 2D numerical simulations are carried out to test different branches lengths and other potential sources of asymmetries at the node, such as different widths of the downstream channels. Results from linear stability analysis show that bifurcation stability increases as the branches length decreases. These results are confirmed by the numerical simulations, which also show that, as the branch length tends to vanish, bifurcations are invariably stable. Finally, our results interestingly show that, while in general, when a source of asymmetry is present at the node, the hydraulically favoured branch dominates, there are scenarios in which the less-favoured side becomes dominant.

Downstream control on the stability of river bifurcations

Lorenzo Durante, ¹Michele Bolla Pittaluga, ¹Gaetano Porcile, ^{1,2}Nicoletta Tambroni¹

¹Department of Civil, Chemical and Environmental Engineering, University of Genova, Genova, Italy. ²Coastal and Continental Morphodynamics Laboratory, University of Caen Normandy, Caen, France.

Key Points:

1

2

3

5

7

8	•	A new formulation for the stability of river bifurcations based on energy balance
9		at the node is proposed.
10	•	The bifurcation stability has been found to increase as the branches length decreases
11	•	Despite the presence of asymmetry at the node, the less-favoured side can become
12		dominant under certain conditions.

Corresponding author: Lorenzo Durante, lorenzo.durante@edu.unige.it

13 Abstract

River bifurcations are prevalent features in both gravel-bed and sand-bed fluvial systems, 14 including braiding networks, anabranches and deltas. Therefore, gaining insight into their 15 morphological evolution is important to understand the impact they have on the adjoin-16 ing environment. While previous investigations have primarily focused on the influence 17 on bifurcation morphodynamics by upstream channels, recent research has highlighted 18 the importance of downstream controls, like branches length or tidal forcing. In partic-19 ular, in the case of rivers, current linear stability analyses for a simple bifurcation are 20 unable to capture the stabilizing effect of branches length unless a confluence is added 21 downstream. In this work, we introduce a novel theoretical model that effectively accounts 22 for the effects of downstream branch length in a single bifurcation. To substantiate our 23 findings, a series of fully 2D numerical simulations are carried out to test different branches 24 lengths and other potential sources of asymmetries at the node, such as different widths 25 of the downstream channels. Results from linear stability analysis show that bifurcation 26 stability increases as the branches length decreases. These results are confirmed by the 27 numerical simulations, which also show that, as the branch length tends to vanish, bi-28 furcations are invariably stable. Finally, our results interestingly show that, while in gen-29 eral, when a source of asymmetry is present at the node, the hydraulically favoured branch 30 dominates, there are scenarios in which the less-favoured side becomes dominant. 31

32 Plain Language Summary

This research looks at how rivers divide into multiple branches and how this pro-33 cess shapes the surrounding environment. While past studies mostly focused on factors 34 upstream influencing these splits, recent research emphasizes the importance of down-35 stream factors, such as branch length and tidal forces. The study introduces a new the-36 oretical model to better understand how downstream branch length affects a single river 37 split. We used computer simulations with different branch lengths and channel widths 38 to test the model, discovering that shorter branch lengths result in more stable river splits. 39 The theoretical model is also adapted to account for different shapes commonly found 40 in nature, revealing results that are not always straightforward. 41

42 **1** Introduction

Rivers have always covered a fundamental role in the evolution of humankind. Due to the high economic interest and risk associated to these areas, humans have always tried to control and modify these environments to sustain their activities. Noteworthy, this feature has become even more evident in the last decades due to an increase both of the intensity of the natural forcings (due to climate change, extreme events are becoming more frequent) and of the anthropogenic actions (e.g., the building of dams and other flow control structures in the upstream part of rivers).

However, even if extreme events require detailed analyses, the morphodynamic de-50 velopment of rivers, estuaries and deltas is commonly studied referring to the concept 51 of a formative or effective forcing which represents the most frequent condition that these 52 systems experience over time [Wolman & Miller, 1960; Williams, 1978]. This allows to 53 estimate the long term river equilibrium configuration and predict if some perturbation 54 of this state can permanently modify it, leading to erosional or depositional processes 55 and to variations of the planar configuration [Bolla Pittaluga et al., 2014; Wilkerson & 56 Parker, 2011]. 57

One crucial control unit in the evolution of rivers and deltas is the bifurcation, which governs both flow and sediment partitioning in downstream branches, thus, affecting downstream erosion or deposition [*Jerolmack*, 2009; *Tejedor et al.*, 2017; *Nienhuis et al.*, 2020]. A typical case where one bifurcate closes completely is the avulsions of meandering rivers

with chute cut-offs: the branch with the highest carrying capacity becomes the main chan-

⁶⁷ nel, while the other, known as oxbow lake, remains isolated [*Seminara*, 2006; *Viero et al.*, 2018]. These phenomena have historically led to approach the problem of the stability



Figure 1. Example of natural bifurcations. a) Fast migrating meandering river in the
 Republic of Khakassia, Russia. (Photo by Denis Ovsyannikov: https://www.pexels.com/@denis ovsyannikov-1411283/). b) River bifurcates debouching into a lake in Altura, US. (Photo by Tom
 Fisk: https://www.pexels.com/@tomfisk/).

68

of the bifurcations in terms of their upstream forcings in both gravel-bed and sand-bed 69 fluvial systems. Early analytical works were proposed by Wang et al. [1995], who per-70 formed a 1D analysis, including an empirical nodal point condition at the bifurcation node 71 to evaluate the partitioning in the branches. This condition turns out to depend on a 72 parameter that is not related to the physics of the system but governs its evolution in 73 time. Thus, Bolla Pittaluga et al. [2003] overcame this limit by introducing a two-cell 74 model which accounts for the localized 2-D effects upstream of the bifurcation node in 75 terms of sediment and flow division. Applying it to both gravel-bed and sand-bed rivers, 76 Bolla Pittaluga et al. [2015] found that the stability is mainly dependent on the Shields 77 parameter ϑ and on the aspect ratio $(\beta = \frac{W}{2D})$ of the upstream channel. However, also 78 in this model, some empirical parameters need to be specified. Indeed, the critical value 79 of the aspect ratio above which a bifurcation becomes unstable, is found to be linearly 80 dependent on the length of the two upstream cells α and on the 'Talmon' parameter r81 accounting for the contribution of the transversal bed slope on the sediment transport 82 [Talmon et al., 1995]. Common values of the parameter r range between 0.3 and 1 [Ikeda 83 et al., 1981], while the experimental calibration of the parameter α provides values from 84 1 to 6 [Bolla Pittaluga et al., 2003; Bertoldi and Tubino, 2007]. 85

This notwithstanding, the simple two-cell model has proven to be able to adequately 86 reproduce the main mechanism governing the morphodynamic evolution of a river bi-87 furcation. Consequently, efforts have been made to extend this model to account for some 88 additional effects that were neglected in the original formulation. Miori et al. [2006] in-89 cluded channel width variations according to hydraulic geometry rules. Bertoldi et al. 90 [2009] studied the effect of incoming migrating bars by integrating the bifurcation model 91 with the model of *Colombini et al.* [1987], which provides the spatial structure and the 92 temporal development of finite amplitude bars. Kleinhans et al. [2008] analysed the ef-93 fect of the secondary flow due to an upstream meander bend on the bifurcation stability. Later Redolfi et al. [2019] studied the combined effect of upstream radius of curva-95 ture and slope advantage in the two branches. Recently, Raqno et al. [2023] managed 96 to examine the effect of sediment sorting on the unbalanced bifurcations. 97

However, these studies predominantly focused on the upstream forcings, without 98 accounting for the potential feedback mechanisms arising from the downstream ones. Salter qq et al. [2018], for instance, investigated the consequences of prograding branches finding 100 an oscillating behaviour attributed to the restorative feedback arising from the gentler 101 slope in the longer branch. The length of the branches thus emerges as a determining 102 factor for bifurcation stability. Recently, Ragno et al. [2021] applied the two-cell model 103 to a bifurcation-confluence loop by introducing a momentum balance model for the down-104 stream junction. This revealed the system being more stable as the confluence influence 105 increases (i.e. decreasing the branch lengths). Furthermore, the distance of the bifur-106 cation node from the downstream boundary has once again proven to be crucial when 107 incorporating the two-cell model with downstream effects, such as the tidal forcings [Raqno 108 et al., 2020; Iwantoro et al., 2020]. This set its basis on the observations that, even in 109 micro-tidal environments, tides exert a profound influence on distributary hydrodynam-110 ics throughout both high and low fluvial discharge regimes [Leonardi et al., 2015]. 111

The considerations outlined above lead us to question whether the original two-cell 112 model of Bolla Pittaluga et al. [2003] can be reliably applied in scenarios where down-113 stream effects are not negligible. It is important to note that the model operates under 114 the assumption that the free surface elevations remain constant at the bifurcation node 115 regardless of flow conditions. However, this condition may no longer hold when the down-116 stream conditions influence the bifurcation, as is the case with short branch lengths. Since 117 any disturbance of the flow could potentially trigger a destabilization of the system, we 118 relax the constraint of constant water elevations at the node, incorporating an energy 119 balance condition between the upstream and the downstream branches. This approach 120 allows for flow asymmetries to directly impact the morphological equilibrium of river bi-121 furcations. The system of equations arising from the new formulation is tackled with lin-122 ear stability analysis, allowing us to account for the length of the branches on the sta-123 bility and equilibrium configurations of the river network. To validate the theoretical find-124 ings, numerical simulations are conducted, yielding results consistent with our analyt-125 ical framework. In the current study, we formulate the model in its most comprehensive 126 form accounting also for other possible sources of asymmetries at the node. Given that 127 natural river bifurcations typically exhibit limited symmetry, we analyse the effect of var-128 ious asymmetries individually to gain insights into their impact on equilibrium config-129 urations. 130

The subsequent Section will provide a detailed explanation of the analytical procedure employed in this study. Section 3 will be dedicated to presenting and discussing the theoretical and numerical findings obtained for symmetric scenarios. In section 4, the asymmetries in the system are analyzed independently to discern their respective impacts on the equilibrium configuration of bifurcations. Finally, in Section 5, we will summarize our key observations and insights.

¹³⁷ 2 Formulation of the analytical model

As previously discussed, the equilibrium of bifurcations is predominantly influenced 141 by the flow and sediment division at the node. Given the complexity of factors govern-142 ing the system's evolution, it is necessary to simplify the problem for analytical handling. 143 The bifurcation is then idealized as an upstream rectangular channel a, which bifurcates 144 into two branches, channels b and c (as depicted in Figure 2), respectively. No param-145 eter variability is included along any channel, thus, they all have constant widths $(W_a^*,$ 146 W_b^*, W_c^* , even though the two downstream branches could have different lengths (l_b^*, l_b^*) 147 l_{c}^{*}). Furthermore, it is assumed that the system evolves primarily due to formative forc-148 ing, therefore, steady uniform flow is established in the channels through a constant dis-149 charge upstream Q_a^* and a fixed water level elevation at the two downstream ends $(h_b^{*L},$ 150 h_c^{*L}). For every channel (i = a, b, c) the steady and uniform flow is described by the 151



Figure 2. Representative sketch of theoretical river bifurcations. Sketch of the twocell model of *Bolla Pittaluga et al.* [2003] extended to account for uneven branch widths and lengths.

152 Chezy relation:

153

164

$$Q_i^* = W_i^* D_i^* C_i \sqrt{g s_i D_i^*} \tag{1}$$

where D_i^* is the uniform flow depth in the channel *i*, *g* is the gravitational acceleration, C_i is the Chezy coefficient and s_i is the longitudinal bed slope.

¹⁵⁶ Constant sediment discharge is provided in equilibrium with the flow conditions ¹⁵⁷ upstream. It is computed in general terms by the following relation:

$$\phi = \frac{q_{is}^*}{\sqrt{\frac{\rho_s - \rho}{\rho}}gd_s^{*3}} = n(D_i^*)(\vartheta_i - \vartheta_{cr})^m.$$
(2)

where q_{is}^* is the dimensional volumetric sediment flux per unit width of the *i* channel, d_s^* is the mean diameter, ρ and ρ_s are the density of water and sediment respectively, ϑ_{cr} is the threshold value for sediment mobilization and the coefficients *n* and *m* depend on the sediment transport closure relation. Finally, ϑ_i is the value of the Shields paramter associated with the uniform flow in the *i*th channel:

$$\vartheta_i = \frac{q_i^{*2}}{\frac{\rho_s - \rho}{\rho}} g d_s^* C_i^2 D_i^{*2}.$$
(3)

being q_i^{*2} the flow discharge per unit width.

The model accounts for the two-dimensional effects at the node considering a transverse exchange of flow and sediment between the two upstream cells through the following nodal point condition:

$$q_{Ts}^* = q_{as}^* \left[\frac{Q_T^* D_a^*}{Q_a^* \alpha D_{abc}^*} - \frac{r}{\sqrt{\vartheta_a}} \frac{\partial \eta^*}{\partial y^*} \right]. \tag{4}$$

where q_{Ts}^* is the dimensional transverse solid discharge per unit width and Q_T^* is the to-170 tal transverse flow discharge, $\partial \eta^* / \partial y^*$ is the transverse bed slope calculated as incremen-171 tal ratio between the difference in bed elevations of the inlet of channels b and c and the 172 semi-width of the upstream channel, and D^*_{abc} is the average water depth at the node. 173 The latter can be safely assumed equal to D_a^* , such that $D_a^*/D_{abc}^* \simeq 1$. The parame-174 ter α is the length of the two cells scaled with the upstream channel width W_a^* ; from ex-175 perimental observations, it attains values between 1 and 3. The constant r in equation 176 (4) has been experimentally determined and it ranges between 0.3 and 1 [*Ikeda et al.*, 177 1981; Talmon et al., 1995]. 178

To solve the problem, other five relations are required. Noteworthy, here we replace the conditions for water level constancy of *Bolla Pittaluga et al.* [2003] with an energy head E^* (i.e., the total energy per unit weight of flowing liquid above an horizontal datum) balance at the node:

- 183 1. Flow discharge balance: 184 $q_a^* W_a^* = q_b^* W_b^* + q_c^* W_c^*$ (5)
- ¹⁸⁵ 2. Solid discharge balance:

$$q_{as}^* W_a^* = q_{bs}^* W_b^* + q_{cs}^* W_c^* \tag{6}$$

¹⁸⁷ 3. Flow discharge balance applied to cell *b*:

$$q_a^* W_a^* \frac{W_b^*}{W_b^* + W_c^*} + q_T^* \alpha W_a^* = q_b^* W_b^* \tag{7}$$

¹⁸⁹ 4. Solid discharge balance applied to cell *b*:

$$q_{as}^* W_a^* \frac{W_b^*}{W_b^* + W_c^*} + q_{Ts}^* \alpha W_a^* = q_{bs}^* W_b^*$$
(8)

5. Energy head balance applied to cell b:

$$h_a^{*N} + \frac{q_a^{*2}}{2gD_a^{*2}} - \alpha W_a^* s_a = h_b^{*N} + (1+\xi)\frac{q_b^{*2}}{2gD_b^{*2}}$$
(9)

¹⁹³ 6. Energy head balance applied to cell *c*:

$$h_a^{*N} + \frac{q_a^{*2}}{2gD_a^{*2}} - \alpha W_a^* s_a = h_c^{*N} + (1+\xi) \frac{q_c^{*2}}{2gD_c^{*2}}$$
(10)

where ξ is a energy loss coefficient which has been introduced to account for possible localised fluid's energy dissipation at the node, in analogy with what it is commonly assumed in the case of pipe flows. Finally h_i^{*N} indicates the free surface elevation of the i^{th} channel at the node. Recalling the assumption of uniform flow in the branches, it is possible to rewrite h_i^{*N} as a function of the imposed level at the downstream end: $h_i^{*N} = h_i^{*L} + s_i l_i^*$.

The aforementioned equations can be made dimensionless, scaling the variables with the typical physical characteristics of the channel a as follows:

$$(D_i, h_i^N, h_i^L) = \frac{(D_i^*, h_i^{*N}, h_i^{*L})}{D_a^*}.$$
(11)

203 204 205

186

188

190

191

192

194

 $(q_{is}, q_{Ts}) = \frac{(q_{is}^*, q_{Ts}^*)}{q_{as}^*}, \quad (q_i, q_T) = \frac{(q_i^*, q_T^*)}{q_a^*}.$ (12)

206 207

211 212

214

216

$$\mathcal{L}_i = \frac{l_i^* s_a}{D_a^*}.$$
(13)

Note that, the branches' lengths are scaled with the backwater length (D_a^*/s_a) .

After some manipulations, the governing equations (5)-(10) and the nodal point condition (4) can thus be rewritten in a dimensionless form as:

(

1. Flow discharge balance:

$$q_b r_b + q_c (r_a - r_b) = 1 (14)$$

213 2. Solid discharge balance:

$$q_{bs}r_b + q_{cs}(r_a - r_b) = 1$$
(15)

²¹⁵ 3. Energy balance:

$$\Delta h^{L} + L_{b} \left[\frac{q_{b}^{2}C_{a}^{2}}{D_{b}^{3}C_{b}^{2}} - \frac{q_{c}^{2}C_{a}^{2}}{D_{c}^{3}C_{c}^{2}}\gamma_{L} \right] + \frac{Fr^{2}}{2}(1+\xi) \left[\frac{q_{b}^{2}}{D_{b}^{2}} - \frac{q_{c}^{2}}{D_{c}^{2}} \right] = 0$$
(16)

4. Nodal condition:

217 218

219

220

221

222

227

229

234

240

Note that the above equations include the dependence on classical parameters of bifurcation theory as proposed by *Bolla Pittaluga et al.* [2003]. These parameters are the aspect ratio, β , defined as

 $\beta = \frac{W_a^*}{2D_a^*},\tag{18}$

 $q_{bs} = q_b - \frac{\alpha r}{\beta \sqrt{\theta_c}} \frac{1}{r_a r_b} \left[(h_b^N - h_c^N) - (D_b - D_c) \right].$

(17)

and the Shields parameter of the upstream channel θ_a . Additionally, the Froude Number of the upstream channel, $Fr = q_a^* / \sqrt{g D_a^{*3}}$, and the following dimensionless parameters, accounting for possible asymmetries in the system, appear:

- (a) Branch width ratios: $r_b = \frac{W_b^*}{W_a^*}, r_c = \frac{W_c^*}{W_a^*}$
 - (b) Downstream enlargement: $r_a = \frac{W_b^* + W_c^*}{W_a^*} = r_b + r_c$
- (c) Length ratio: $\gamma_L = \frac{L_c}{L_b}$
 - (d) Downstream level asymmetry: $\Delta h^L = h_h^L h_c^L$.

Finally, it is noteworthy that, the specific load balance equation (16) derives from equating the second members of equations (9) and (10), and that, in the nodal point condition (17), the transverse sediment and flow discharges have been derived from the previous (7) and (8) conditions.

2.1 Linear Stability Analysis

Through a linearization procedure, it is possible to solve numerically the system of equations (14)-(17), in terms of the four unknowns $[q_b, q_c, D_b, D_c]$ (or $[s_b, s_c, D_b, D_c]$), finding the threshold conditions for the appearance of multiple equilibrium configurations. A perturbative approach is, thus, employed whereby every unknown $f([q_b, q_c, D_b, D_c])$ $D_c]$) is expanded in terms of a small parameter δ as follows:

$$f = f_0 + \delta f_1 + \mathcal{O}(\delta^2) , \qquad (19)$$

where f_0 represents the basic state, namely, the uniform flow conditions. Similar expan-

sions to (19) hold for any other variable g depending on the unknowns of the problem,

where g_1 derives from a Taylor expansion around the basic state, in the form $g_1 = \frac{dg}{d\delta}\Big|_{\delta=0}$. Substituting the expansions in the equations (14)-(17), it is possible to solve the

system at each order of approximation. At the leading order, a set of non-linear algebraic equations in terms of the basic state variable arises, that can be solved with a central finite-difference solver. Differently from the classical case of equal length and width of the branches b and c, in the general case of different geometrical characteristics of the two downstream branches, at the leading order, $\mathcal{O}(\delta^0)$, we do not find a symmetrical water and sediment discharge distribution between them, but rather we find multiple equilibrium configurations. The order $\mathcal{O}(\delta)$ problem, consists of an homogeneous linear system of equations that has the form:

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} & A_{13} & A_{14} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} & A_{23} & A_{24} \\ A_{31} & A_{32} & A_{33} & A_{34} \\ A_{41} & A_{42} & A_{43} & A_{44} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} q_{b1} \\ q_{c1} \\ D_{b1} \\ D_{c1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(20)

with the A_{ij} coefficients reported in Appendix A: The sign of the eigenvalues associ-

ated with the matrix of the coefficient of the above linear system of algebraic equations allows to determine if the multiple equilibrium configurations found at the leading or-

der are stable or not.

²⁴⁸ **3** The case of Symmetrical Bifurcations



Figure 3. Representative sketches of theoretical and numerical river bifurcations.
a) Sketch of the symmetrical two-cell model of *Bolla Pittaluga et al.* [2003]. b) Synthetic sketch

²⁵¹ of the numerical grid of a symmetrical river bifurcation.

252 3.1 Linear Stability Analysis

To understand the basic mechanisms underlying bifurcation stability, let us first 253 consider the case of a completely symmetrical bifurcation (as depicted in Figure 3a) (i.e. 254 $r_a=1, r_b=0.5, \gamma_L=1, \Delta h^L=0$ and $\xi=0$). In this case the solution at the leading order of 255 the perturbation approach (Section 2.1) is the trivial solution, where the flow is equally 256 partitioned in the downstream branches and there is no transversal exchange between 257 the cells. At the first-order approximation, the flows and depths are anti-symmetric be-258 tween b and c, therefore, the system (20) reduces to two equations, with unknowns as-259 sociated to just one of the two downstream branches (e.g. D_b and q_b). Nontrivial solu-260 tions are found setting the determinant of the matrix of the coefficients equal to 0. The 261 procedure allows for an algebraic relation for the critical aspect ratio β_{cr} , reading: 262

$$\beta_{cr} = \frac{4\alpha r}{\sqrt{\vartheta_a}} \frac{\left[2L_b + Fr^2 + L_bFr^2(2c_D + 1)\right]}{(L_b\gamma_1 + Fr^2\gamma_2)},\tag{21}$$

264 with:

263

267

265
$$\gamma_1 = 2(\phi_{\vartheta} + \phi_n + c_D) - 3, \ \gamma_2 = -2\phi_{\vartheta}c_D + \phi_n - \frac{1}{2}.$$
 (22)

and the coefficients c_D , ϕ_{ϑ} and ϕ_n defined as:

$$c_D = \frac{1}{C_0} \left. \frac{\partial C_b}{\partial D_b} \right|_{D_0}, \ \phi_{\vartheta} = \frac{m\vartheta_a}{\vartheta_a - \vartheta_{cr}}, \ \phi_n = \frac{1}{n} \left. \frac{\partial n}{\partial D_b} \right|_{D_0}.$$
 (23)

They represent the sensitivity of the Chezy coefficient and of the dimensionless sediment transport rate to variations of water depth and Shields stress as similarly defined by *Redolfi et al.* [2019].

The aspect ratio β_{cr} represents the critical conditions for the stability of the symmetrical bifurcations: those with $\beta < \beta_{cr}$ (i.e., narrower upstream channels) are deemed stable, while, when $\beta > \beta_{cr}$ the symmetrical solution becomes unstable, leading to the dominance of one of the two branches. For a clearer representation, let's consider the case where the roughness is defined with the Strickler relationship in an infinitely wide channel:

$$C_i = \frac{k_s^* D_i^{*1/6}}{\sqrt{q}} , \qquad (24)$$

where k_s^* is the Gauckler-Strickler coefficient.

277

282

284

289

292

296

As far as the closure relationship for sediment transport is concerned, in the case of gravel-bed rivers, a relation of the type of *Meyer-Peter and Müller* [1948] might be used:

$$\phi_{MPM} = 8(\vartheta_a - \vartheta_{cr})^{1.5},\tag{25}$$

leading to the following algebraic relation for β_{cr} :

$$\beta_{cr} = \frac{4}{3} \frac{\alpha r}{\sqrt{\vartheta_a}} \frac{(6L_b + 3Fr^2 + 4L_bFr^2)}{\left[\frac{\vartheta_a}{\vartheta_a - \vartheta_{cr}}(3L_b - \frac{1}{2}Fr^2) - \frac{10}{3}L_b - Fr^2\right]}.$$
(26)

On the contrary, in the case of sand-bed rivers, as a fist approximation, the *Engelund* and Hansen [1967] relationship for the total sediment transport can be used:

$$\phi_{EH} = 0.05 C_i^2 \vartheta_i^{2.5}.$$
 (27)

The corresponding relation for the critical aspect ratio β_{cr} takes the form:

$$\beta_{cr} = \frac{4}{3} \frac{\alpha r}{\sqrt{\vartheta_a}} \frac{(6L_b + 3Fr^2 + 4L_bFr^2)}{(7/3L_b - 3/2Fr^2)}.$$
(28)

Noteworthy, setting Fr = 0 in (28) (i.e., not considering the kinetic head at the node), the solution coincides with that found by *Bolla Pittaluga et al.* [2015]:

$$\beta_{cr} = \frac{24}{7} \frac{\alpha r}{\sqrt{\vartheta_a}}.$$
(29)

Moreover, the two solutions reach almost the same values when the branches' lengths tend to infinity, meaning that the downstream conditions are not felt at the bifurcation node:

$$L_b \to \infty: \quad \beta_{cr} = \frac{24}{7} \frac{\alpha r}{\sqrt{\vartheta_a}} (1 + 2/3Fr^2).$$
 (30)

²⁹⁷ 3.2 Numerical Tests

The case of symmetrical bifurcations (i.e., where the branches have equal length and width) has also been tested with a systematic set of depth-averaged numerical simulations performed with the software suite Delft3D. The package Delft3D-FLOW solves the three-dimensional shallow water equations for incompressible fluid with a finite-difference scheme. It comprehends the exchange of sediment with the bed and, also, includes a morphological acceleration factor (*MorFac*) to speed up long-term morphological evolution *Lesser et al.* [2004].

The symmetrical bifurcation is represented as a fixed-bank, free-slip, rectangular 305 channel a split by a thin dam into two branches b and c with equal length $(l_b^* = l_c^*)$ 306 and equal width $(W_b^* = W_c^* = W_a^*/2)$, as sketched in Figure 3b. The overall length 307 of the domain, L_{tot}^* , is a multiple of the backwater length L_{back}^* to avoid interferences 308 at the inflow. The computational grid comprises 10 cells in the transversal direction, main-309 taining an aspect ratio equal to 1 (i.e., $\Delta x = \Delta y$) so that 5 transversal cells are em-310 ployed in each downstream branch. With this design, the overall width remains constant 311 throughout the domain without any loss of computational grid cells. A careful reader 312 might notice that in this way the number of cells in the longitudinal direction depends 313 not only on L_{tot}^* , but also on the width W_a^* , making the overall number of computational 314

ID	β	L	ID	β	L
run01	5	0.5	run14	16	1.5
run02	10	1	run15	20	0.1
run03	10	1.5	run16	20	0.2
run04	12	0.1	run17	20	0.3
run05	12	0.5	run18	25	0.1
run06	12	1.5	run19	25	0.2
run07	16	0.05	run20	33	0.05
run08	16	0.1	run21	33	0.1
run09	16	0.2	run22	33	0.2
run10	16	0.3	run23	41	0.05
run11	16	0.4	run24	41	0.1
run12	16	0.5	run25	41	0.5
run13	16	1			

 Table 1.
 Summary of symmetrical numerical simulations.

cells case-dependent. Following the same reasoning, the computational time step was changed depending on the grid size always obeying to the Courant–Frederichs–Levy criterion.

The investigation carried out in this study involves a systematic set of simulations, 318 wherein the channel width is varied to explore the impact of the main channel aspect 319 ratio β_a on the bifurcation stability, as summarized in Table 1. The stability of each con-320 figuration is assessed by perturbing the bed profile of one branch with a cosine-shaped 321 deposit of amplitude $0.1D_a^*$. This perturbation ensures that the water depth at the bi-322 furcation node and downstream boundary remains consistent with the previous equilib-323 rium. As the simulation progresses, a step is observed in the perturbed branch at the 324 bifurcation node, while the other branch shows signs of incipient erosion. To track the 325 temporal evolution of the system, the discharge asymmetry ΔQ between the branches 326 is computed: 327

328

$$\Delta Q = \frac{Q_b^* - Q_c^*}{Q_a^*}.\tag{31}$$

In those cases when ΔQ approaches values close to 0, the bifurcation is stable, indicating equal partitioning of the flow. Conversely, when it reaches ± 1 one of the two branches carries all the flow coming from the upstream channel *a*.

To maintain consistency with theoretical considerations and ease comparison be-344 tween the different results, the slope s_a and the discharge per unit width q_a are kept con-345 stant in every configuration, equal to 2×10^{-4} and $0.44 \text{ } m^2/s$ respectively. This approach 346 ensures the establishment of a uniform flow depth D_a^* in equilibrium with the prescribed 347 inflow discharge, while maintaining a constant Shields number ($\vartheta_a = 0.15$) and a dimen-348 sionless grains size $(d_s = d_s^*/D_a^*)$ equal to 8.2×10^{-4} throughout all simulations. To 349 accomplish this, a constant water discharge and a constant sediment flux, in equilibrium 350 with the flow field, are defined at the upstream boundary, while a fixed water level is pro-351 vided downstream. Flow and sediments are allowed to freely leave the system from the 352 downstream boundaries, thus, letting the bed to change in accordance with the hydro-353 dynamics. The sediment transport is evaluated with the total-load closure of Engelund 354 and Hansen [1967], and sediment are assumed uniform with a diameter $d_s^* = 0.5 mm$. 355 The transverse bed slope effects are accounted for in Delft3D by adopting the approach 356 of *Ikeda et al.* [1981]. Here the related parameter α_{bn} is set equal to 5, that corresponds 357 to a value of the Talmon et al. [1995] coefficient r equal to 0.88, well within the range 358 of the values suggested by Bolla Pittaluga et al. [2003]. The value emplyed represents 359 a good compromise between the value commonly used in the analytical analysis and the 360



Figure 4. Bed profile evolution in numerical simulations. The figure illustrates the 329 temporal evolution of the width-averaged bed profiles for two distinct branches, as derived from 330 simulations. The branch experiencing the bed perturbation is visually represented in orange, 331 while the other branch is delineated in green. The initial conditions for each channel are denoted 332 by dashed lines. The blue line corresponds to the free-surface elevation. The black vertical line 333 signifies the coordinate of the bifurcation node. The two panels depict the evolution of the same 334 channel for $\beta = 16$, differing only in the length of the branches. Panel a) presents findings for 335 the scenario with $L_b = 0.1$, wherein the perturbation traverses beyond the domain, leading to 336 the system returning to its initial bed equilibrium. In contrast, panel b) showcases results for 337 $L_b = 0.5$, demonstrating that the perturbed branch undergoes gradual deposition until reaching 338 closure. Simultaneously, the alternate branch erodes over time to accommodate the heightened 339 flow. 340

value often used in numerical simulations ($\alpha_{bn} = 10$) to avoid unrealistic channel inci-361 sion (Baar et al. [2019]; Iwantoro et al. [2020]; Van der Wegen and Roelvink [2012]). Re-362 garding the streamwise bed slope effects, the Bagnold [1966] approach is used with the 363 default value of $\alpha_{bs} = 1$. As a design choice, the morphological acceleration factor Mor-364 Fac is not utilized at the initial stages of the simulation to prevent inducing numerical 365 artefacts at the bifurcation node where non-linearities may be present. However, once 366 the system approaches the new equilibrium state, the morphological factor is set to val-367 ues ranging from 10 to 100 to enhance the possible modest morphological variations in 368 the system. 369

370 **3.3 Results and Discussions**

The linear stability analysis conducted for the symmetrical bifurcation of sand-bed 371 rivers yields the algebraic relation (28) for the critical aspect ratio. In simple terms, β_{cr} 372 serves as the demarcation point distinguishing configurations where the symmetrical so-373 lution remains stable (for β values less than β_{cr}) from configurations in which one of the 374 two branches gains dominance (for β values greater than β_{cr}). Differently from the so-375 lution (29) of Bolla Pittaluga et al. [2015] hereafter referred to as BCK, the current the-376 oretical framework establishes a direct correlation with the flow conditions at the node 377 378 and the lengths of the branches L_b .

Our numerical simulations consistently reveal a small water level asymmetry be-379 tween the two branches at the bifurcation node, in line with the findings of *Edmonds and* 380 Slingerland [2008]. Consequently, it enforces the necessity of a more sophisticated nodal 381 condition rather than relying on the assumption of constant water level as originally pro-382 posed by Bolla Pittaluga et al. [2003]. It is worth noting that the significance of this phe-383 nomenon diminishes as the branch lengthens, particularly for values surpassing the back-384 water length. Therefore, the solution proposed by Bolla Pittaluga et al. [2003] can be 385 regarded as an asymptotic condition that the system would approach when the bifur-386 cation is far enough from the downstream boundaries. 387

Consistently with the findings of *Bolla Pittaluga et al.* [2015], it has been observed that sand-bed and gravel-bed rivers exhibit contrasting behaviours as Shields values increase, as depicted in Figure 5. This disparity is attributed to the degree of non-linearity inherent in each sediment transport closure for varying Shields values. Additionally, the transverse sediment discharge plays a crucial role, with a more pronounced effect in rivers characterized by coarser grain sizes and, consequently, lower Shields values.

However, the present theory offers a novel insight, demonstrating that the reduc-401 tion in the length of the branches exerts a stabilizing influence on the bifurcation evo-402 lution, resulting in more stable symmetrical configurations. Figure 5 visually illustrates 403 the asymptotic behaviour of the original BCK model, wherein the neutral stability curve 404 diverges for lower values of L_b . However, it is important to underline that the solution 405 is still linearly dependent on the two parameters α and r introduced by Bolla Pittaluga 406 et al. [2003]. The first is defined experimentally, but it still needs a careful determina-407 tion for various configurations since it is a measure of the 2-D effects due to the bifur-408 cation node. A first progress in this direction has been made by *Redolfi et al.* [2016], who 409 linked the value of α with the wavelength of the steady damped alternate bars arising 410 due to the instability mechanism originally found by Zolezzi & Seminara [2001]. Basi-411 cally, the presence of the bifurcation exerts an upstream influence if the aspect ratio of 412 the upstream channel is higher than the resonant value found by Blondeaux & Seminara 413 [1985]. Recently, *Redolfi* [2023] further provided a physically-based estimation of the cell 414 length assuming that the critical aspect ratio, for which the symmetric solution becomes 415 unstable, should be equal to the resonant value as formulated by *Camporeale et al.* [2007]. 416 As for the parameter r, it is expected to have an effect only on the bedload transport 417 direction. Consequently, while employing a total load formulation akin to Engelund and 418 Hansen [1967], it is important to recognize that the stabilizing effect of the transverse 419 slope may be subject to some overestimation. 420

The numerical simulations confirm the increased stability observed in configura-421 tions featuring shorter branch lengths, as illustrated in Figure 6. In the numerical con-422 test, we classify as stable (indicated by red dots) the cases where the initial perturba-423 tion leaves the domain without influencing the flow partitioning at the node. Conversely, 424 instances where the perturbation increases in time, resulting in the dominance of one of 425 the bifurcating branches, are labeled as unstable (marked by blue dots). Notably, there 426 were only a few simulations where the final equilibrium of the system displayed a resid-427 ual but steady discharge asymmetry (of the order of 2% in magnitude). These simula-428



Figure 5. Opposite behaviour of gravel and sand bed rivers. Neutral stability curve of the symmetrical solution in the (β, ϑ_a) parameter space for different values of the dimensionless length L_b . Panel a) is representative of sand-bed rivers, where the *Engelund and Hansen* [1967] relation has been used. Panel b) shows the results using *Meyer-Peter and Müller* [1948] for gravel-bed rivers. In each section, the continuous lines show the present solution, while the staggered lines represent the BCK solution for the same set of parameters. Each line splits the graph into stable and unstable areas. (Parameters: $\alpha r = 1$, Fr = 0.3.)

tions, represented by green dots in Figure 6, are denoted as critical conditions due to their
proximity to the critical value established by the theoretical framework. Furthermore,
it is noteworthy how, in most instances, the configurations require longer times to reach
the final equilibrium the closer the system is to the critical conditions.

The underlying mechanism entails that a small perturbation of the flow depth in 433 the branch, could in turn affect the sediment transport capacity. When the carrying ca-434 pacity of a branch exceeds the supply of sediments from upstream, that particular branch 435 experiences overall erosion. Conversely, the other bifurcate undergoes a reduction of its 436 ability to transport sediments downstream, consequently leading to sediment deposition. 437 Over time, the gradual increase of the deposition may lead to the complete closure of 438 the branch. Simultaneously, the remaining branch continues to erode until the riverbed 439 establishes a renewed equilibrium in alignment with the altered flow discharge conditions. 440 The closer is the system to the critical conditions, the smaller are the differences in car-441 rying capacity, thus, requiring longer times to achieve an equilibrium. 442

453 454 455 Figure 6 clearly shows how the variation of the branches length alone is able to define stable/unstable configurations. For instance, fixing the aspect ratio β to 16 (i.e. keeping the upstream channel width equal), it is evident that merely extending the length of the branches L is sufficient to destabilize the system.



Figure 6. Stability of symmetrical river bifurcations. Neutral stability diagram of 443 bifurcations with symmetrical downstream branches. The solid black line, denoting β_{cr} in the 444 present study, highlights an area of heightened stability for diminishing dimensionless branch 445 lengths, in comparison to the earlier work by BCK (depicted by the dashed line). The diagram is 446 dichotomized by the β_{cr} line into regions of stable configurations (indicated by the red shading) 447 and unstable configurations (indicated by the blue shading). The stable and unstable states, as 448 determined through numerical simulations, are marked by coloured dots corresponding to the 449 respective shading. Notably, the critical instances, signifying equilibrium with marginal stability 450 accompanied by slight asymmetry, are represented by the green dots. (Parameters: α = 451 1.3, $r = 0.88, \ \vartheta = 0.15, \ Fr = 0.31.$ 452

456

The equilibrium solutions resulting from the aforementioned concepts are determined by solving the non-linear system of equations derived from the nodal point conditions. For each aspect ratio of the main channel, denoted as β , we endeavor to identify multiple solutions within the system. These solutions encompass both the scenario of an equal partitioning of the flow and instances where one of the two branches carries a greater fraction of the flow.

The equilibrium solutions once again conform to the conventional pattern of a pitchfork bifurcation commonly observed in such configurations. In cases where β is low, the solitary solution corresponds to the equal partitioning of the flow between the branches. However, with an increase in β beyond the critical value β_{cr} , the symmetrical solution loses stability, resulting in a diversion of more flow toward one of the branches.

Figure 7 illustrates the equilibrium diagram for various values of the branch length, denoted as L_b . The solutions are depicted using the discharge asymmetry between the branches, as described in equation (31). The diagram clearly highlights the heightened stability of configurations for the smallest branch length. In contrast, an increase in L_b



Figure 7. Equilibrium configurations of symmetrical river bifurcations. In this plot, 468 each continuous line of a specific colour corresponds to a pitchfork bifurcation delineating the 469 equilibrium diagram associated with a particular dimensionless length of the branches, denoted as 470 L_b . The solutions are expressed in terms of discharge asymmetry between the branches ΔQ . The 471 black dashed line is indicative of the BCK solution, in which the branch length is not accounted 472 for. The dots presented on the graph signify the final equilibrium obtained from numerical sim-473 ulations, aligned with the corresponding colour scheme of the lines. (Parameters: α =1.3.474 $r = 0.88, \ \vartheta = 0.15, \ Fr = 0.31.$ 475

ulations effectively discriminate between symmetrical configurations that exhibit stabil-483 ity and those that manifest instability accordingly to the present theory. However, in cases 484 of unstable configurations, the final equilibrium assumes the form of the closure of the 485 perturbed branch, leading to the complete diversion of flow toward the other branch (i.e., 486 $\Delta Q = \pm 1$). This discrepancy with the analytical model can be attributed to its assump-487 tion of uniform flow within the branches. This assumption may be no longer valid when 488 the perturbed branch undergoes sediment deposition, reaching a point at which it can 489 no longer adapt its bed to accommodate the incoming sediments due to the reduced trans-490 port capacity. Notably, a recent study by Barile et al. [2023] extended the two-cell model 491 to encompass partially avulsing bifurcations. Their findings once again highlight that 492 as the downstream branches lengthen, the degree of asymmetry increases, potentially cul-493 minating in the complete avulsion of the system. 494

482

495 4 Asymmetrical Case

496

4.1 Results and Discussions

Encountering symmetrical bifurcations within a natural riverine setting proves to 497 be a rarity, primarily due to the continuous evolutionary dynamics that typically drive 498 these features towards pronounced asymmetry. Such conditions are commonly observed 499 in both mountainous gravel-bed rivers and in low-lying sand-bed rivers reaching their 500 downstream end in deltas. During field observations in mountainous braided networks, 501 Zolezzi et al. [2006] reported that gravel-bed rivers tend to display highly unbalanced 502 bifurcations, wherein the most carrying branch is generally wider and deeper. The ef-503 fect of different branch widths is incorporated for in our analytical framework through 504 the parameter denoted as r_b . Another prevalent occurrence is observed in meandering 505 rivers, where the presence of cut-off channels gives rise to branches marked by signifi-506 cant disparities in both length and width (Slingerland and Smith [1998]). In the present 507 investigation, these effects are accounted for through the parameters γ_L and r_b , contribut-508 ing to a comprehensive understanding of the phenomenon. Furthermore, the aggregate 509 width of downstream branches is frequently greater than that of the upstream channel, 510 a characteristic represented here by the parameter r_a . Edmonds and Slingerland [2007] 511 have measured, in several bifurcations within river-dominated deltas, an average down-512 stream enlargement of the order of 1.7. Consequently, it becomes reasonable to postu-513 late the presence of energy losses at the bifurcation node (through the coefficient ξ), aris-514 ing from localized width variations or instances where the angle between the streamlines 515 and the branches' thalweg deviates. Pertinently, a recent study related to confluences 516 [Ragno et al., 2021] has revealed the significant impact of downstream water level asym-517 metries on the stability of bifurcation confluence loops. Hence, our analysis incorporates 518 this effect through the parameter Δh^L . 519

In this section, we investigate the influence of each one of the asymmetry param-526 eters introduced above singularly. The objective of this analysis is to discern and iso-527 late their respective impacts on the equilibrium configuration of bifurcations. Notewor-528 thy, the following considerations are posed selecting a given value of the branches length. 529 However, the previous results concerning the enhanced stability for shorter branch lengths 530 still hold. Therefore, the following considerations will work to any value of L_b and the 531 related β_{cr} . Within river bifurcations characterized by distinct branch lengths, the equal 532 partitioning of the flow is rarely encountered. As the length ratio γ_L is augmented, it 533 becomes evident that the shorter branch consistently accommodates a greater propor-534 tion of the flow. This is attributable to the advantageous influence of the free-surface slope 535 that the shorter branch experiences relative to its longer counterpart, as depicted in Fig-536 ure 8. Curiously, noteworthy arrangements arise in scenarios featuring elevated aspect 537 ratios β , wherein the preeminence in conveying flow can shift to the longest branch. In 538 such cases, any perturbation in the shorter branch affecting the carrying capacity might 539 lead to an incipient flow diversion into the longest branch. Given the large upstream chan-540 nel width, the stabilizing effect of the transverse slope is not able to counteract this ten-541 dency, thus, leading to the complete dominance of the longest branch. 542

A slope advantage has also been analyzed by *Redolfi et al.* [2019], who studied the combined effect of the slope advantage with the coexistence of upstream channel curvature. They found that the slope advantage can compensate for the effect of channel curvature under sub-resonant conditions. However, the length of the branches itself was not accounted for in their formulation, thus, possibly leading to less asymmetrical partitioning even for higher β .

Salter et al. [2018] investigated the effect of prograding branches finding an oscillating behaviour due to the restoring feedback of the milder slope in the longer branch.
 They also showed that shorter branches respond quicker to variation of sediment supply, thus, showing lower asymmetric partitioning.



Figure 8. Equilibrium configurations of bifurcations with different branch lengths. The equilibrium diagram, delineated in relation to discharge asymmetry, illustrates the modulation of flow distribution concerning alterations in the length ratio, denoted as γ_L . Each continuous line, distinguished by a specific hue, represents stable solutions, while the dashed curve denotes instances where the symmetrical solution becomes unstable. (Parameters: $\alpha = 1.3$, r = 0.88, $\vartheta = 0.15$, Fr = 0.31.)

Figure 9 illustrates the influence of varying branch widths on the flow distribution 572 within bifurcations. The blue line in the graph corresponds to the case in which branch 573 widths are equal (i.e., $r_b = 0.5$), resulting in an even partition of the flow for configu-574 rations below β_{cr} . Notably, increasing the branch width ratio, the flow distribution varies 575 accordingly diverting a larger proportion of the flow towards the wider branch. In those 576 configurations, the largest branch is inevitably dominant and would easily move toward 577 the closure of the narrow branch for high aspect ratios. However, it is essential to rec-578 ognize that in our computation, the branches can solely adjust their bed levels, with their 579 widths considered as fixed parameters. In contrast, field observations indicate that such 580 asymmetrical distribution often arises from adaptations in channel width in response to 581 incoming flow conditions. To account for this effect, we can refer to the local approach 582 by Miori et al. [2006] where they relaxed the assumption of fixed-banks, but assuming 583 that downstream effects do not influence the bifurcation. Figure 10 describes the effect 584 of varying the aggregated widths within the branches in relation to the upstream chan-585 nel width. Evidently, an elevation in the ratio r_a , signifying an enlargement downstream, 586 results in a decreased number of configurations where the symmetric solution is stable. 587 On the other hand, narrower branches correspondingly lead to an augmentation of the 588 critical aspect ratio β_{cr} . In those configurations, the flow is expected to increase its ve-589 locity entering the branches, thereby enhancing their conveyance capacity. As a conse-590 quence, any perturbation in the system can be flushed away preserving the unobstructed 591 flow in both branches. Conversely, when a localized widening occurs at the bifurcation 592 node, the flow decelerates, creating favourable conditions for sediment deposition within 593



Figure 9. Equilibrium configurations of bifurcations with different branch widths. The equilibrium diagram, delineated in relation to discharge asymmetry, illustrates the modulation of flow distribution concerning alterations in the branch width ratio, denoted as r_b . Each continuous line, distinguished by a specific hue, represents stable solutions, while the dashed curve denotes instances where the symmetrical solution becomes unstable. (Parameters: $\alpha = 1.3$, r = 0.88, $\vartheta = 0.15$, Fr = 0.31.)

the branches. In accordance with the rationale underlying pressurized flows, it is rea-594 sonable to attribute localized head losses to local width variations or bifurcation angles 595 between the branches. The extent of this influence on the critical aspect ratio β_{cr} is con-596 tingent upon the value of ξ , which is an order-one parameter. Figure 11 provides a vi-597 sual representation of how alterations in ξ can impact the equilibrium configurations. 598 The findings indicate that enhancing dissipations leads to a more stabilized system due 599 to the consequent increase in water level disparities at the bifurcation, thereby ampli-600 fying the differences in free-surface slopes between branches. This impact is discernible 601 in equation (16), where $\Delta h^L = 0$ and $\gamma_L = 1$: an increase in ξ accentuates the im-602 portance of kinetic head differences, thereby increasing the slope variations for branches 603 of equal length L_b . 604

In Figure 12, an examination of distinct downstream water levels is compared with 611 the symmetric case $(\Delta h^L = 0)$ depicted in blue. Notably, variations in the downstream 612 water level introduce a free surface slope advantage within one branch, consequently in-613 ducing an acceleration in flow velocity. This increase in flow speed, in turn, amplifies the 614 branch's capacity for carrying flow. Consequently, under circumstances marked by el-615 evated aspect ratios, the branch can attain dominance. However, it is worth mention-616 ing that instances might arise wherein perturbations affecting the favoured channel could 617 still destabilize the system, causing a redirection of flow toward the opposite branch. 618

Nevertheless, the parameter Δh^L is formulated without accounting for the adjustment of the downstream free surface based on flow conditions. In the realm of natural



Figure 10. Equilibrium configurations of bifurcations with downstream enlargement. The equilibrium diagram, delineated in relation to discharge asymmetry, illustrates the modulation of flow distribution concerning alterations in the ratio between the aggregate of the branch widths and the upstream channel width, denoted as r_a . Each continuous line, distinguished by a specific hue, represents stable solutions, while the dashed curve denotes instances where the symmetrical solution becomes unstable. (Parameters: $\alpha = 1.3$, r = 0.88, $\vartheta = 0.15$, Fr = 0.31.)

environments over extended temporal scales, such fixed definitions of water levels are scarcely 621 encountered. More commonly, the configurations of interest, particularly those with shorter 622 branch lengths, manifest in bifurcation-confluence loops. In the context of confluences, 623 a direct correlation between water level asymmetry and the square of the Froude num-624 ber has been established, underscoring the inevitability of water level adaptations in re-625 sponse to flow conditions. In this regard, Ragno et al. [2021] succeeded in coupling a con-626 fluence model with the work of Bolla Pittaluqa et al. [2003], thereby accommodating down-627 stream flow fluctuations. Their findings indicate that confluences tend to elevate the wa-628 ter level within the branch responsible for carrying the greater flow rate. This dynamic 629 prompts a reduction in the slope of the dominant branch, creating a negative feedback 630 mechanism that strives to restore equilibrium in the distribution of water and sediment 631 fluxes. 632

533 5 Conclusions

The current study has introduced a revision of the well-established two-cell model originally proposed by *Bolla Pittaluga et al.* [2003] for the purpose of predicting the stability of river bifurcations. The model is based on the foundational assumption of maintaining constant water levels between the branches at the bifurcation node. However, it is evident that this assumption no longer holds true in scenarios where downstream conditions significantly impact the distribution of flow at the bifurcation node. Through



Figure 11. Equilibrium configurations of bifurcations with localized kinetic head losses. The equilibrium diagram, delineated in relation to discharge asymmetry, illustrates the modulation of flow distribution concerning alterations in the differences between kinetic losses of the branches, denoted with the parameter $\Delta \xi$. Each continuous line, distinguished by a specific hue, represents stable solutions, while the dashed curve denotes instances where the symmetrical solution becomes unstable. (Parameters: $\alpha = 1.3$, r = 0.88, $\vartheta = 0.15$, Fr = 0.31.)

numerical simulations, it has been observed that any alteration to the bed of the branch-640 ing channels leads to corresponding adjustments in the uniform flow depth profile. These 641 adjustments, driven by downstream boundary conditions, consequently result in discernible 642 changes to the water surface elevation at the bifurcation node. Especially noteworthy 643 is the effect of branch length on this phenomenon. In cases where the branching chan-644 nels are of limited length, the aforementioned alterations in flow division become non-645 trivial, causing an asymmetry that contributes to the stabilization of the bifurcation sys-646 tem. Conversely, when the branching channels exhibit substantial length, the impact of 647 these alterations diminishes, allowing the original model to remain a reliable predictor. 648 Thus, to accommodate these intricate effects within analytical models, a formulation akin 649 to an energy balance at the bifurcation node has been seamlessly integrated into the model 650 of Bolla Pittaluga et al. [2003]. 651

The newly introduced theory clearly demonstrates that symmetrical bifurcations 652 attain enhanced stability as the length of the branches decreases, as substantiated by 653 numerical simulations. Nonetheless, truly symmetrical systems are a rarity in natural 654 settings, prompting the inclusion of various asymmetry-inducing elements in the theory. 655 Intriguingly, when considering branches of differing lengths, the shorter branch emerges 656 as the preferred path for flow distribution. Nevertheless, scenarios may arise, particu-657 larly in the context of large rivers characterized by substantial aspect ratios, where the 658 longer branch may dominate by capturing the majority of the upstream flow. 659



Figure 12. Equilibrium configurations of bifurcations with downstream water level asymmetry. The equilibrium diagram, delineated in relation to discharge asymmetry, illustrates the modulation of flow distribution concerning alterations in the water level asymmetry downstream, denoted with the parameter Δh^L . Each continuous line, distinguished by a specific hue, represents stable solutions, while the dashed curve denotes instances where the symmetrical solution becomes unstable. (Parameters: $\alpha = 1.3$, r = 0.88, $\vartheta = 0.15$, Fr = 0.31.)

However, some limitations within the framework presented herein need to be ac knowledged, although they might be of straightforward incorporation. Factors such as
 channel curvature and its influence on sediment partitioning between branches, widen ing of the channels, and the presence of free/forced bars or prograding delta branches
 have not been included within the current model.

In light of these considerations, it is plausible to anticipate that the novel model presented in this study will facilitate an enhanced understanding of bifurcation evolution in estuarine environments subject to tidal fluctuations.

668 Acknowledgments

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest. This paper has been supported by the Italian Ministerial grant PRIN 2022 "Allogenic and Autogenic controls of DElta MOrphodynamics (AADEMO)", n. 2022P9Z7NP - CUP C53D23002080006, by the Po River Basin Authority grant "Updating the Po River Management Programme and integration with the delta branches" - CUP F22G16000000001, and by the Italian Ministerial grant PRIN 2022 "Reconciling coastal flooding protection and morphological conservation of shallow coastal environments (Prot&Cons)", n. 2022FZNH82 - CUP C53D23002050006.

677 References

- Baar, A. W., Boechat Albernaz, M., Van Dijk, W. M., & Kleinhans, M. G. (2019),
 Critical dependence of morphodynamic models of fluvial and tidal systems on
 empirical downslope sediment transport, *Nature Communications*, 10(1), 4903.
- Bagnold, R. A. (1966), An approach to the sediment transport problem from general physics, US government printing office.
- Barile, G., Redolfi, M., & Tubino, M. (2023). Analysis of autogenic bifurcation processes resulting in river avulsion, *EGUsphere*, 2023, 1-26.
- Bertoldi, W. and M. Tubino (2007), River bifurcations: Experimental observations on equilibrium configurations, *Water Resources Research*, 43, 10, DOI:
 10.1029/2007WR005907.
- Bertoldi, W., Zanoni, L., Miori, S., Repetto, R., and Tubino, M. (2009). Interaction
 between migrating bars and bifurcations in gravel bed rivers. Water Resources
 Research, 45(6).
- ⁶⁹¹ Blondeaux, P., and Seminara, G. (1985), A unified bar-bend theory of river meanders, *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, 157, 449-470.
- Bolla Pittaluga, M., Repetto, R. and M. Tubino (2003), Channel bifurcation in
 braided rivers: Equilibrium configurations and stability, *Water Resources Research*, 39(3), 1046, doi:10.1029/2001WR001112.
- Bolla Pittaluga, M., Luchi, R. and G. Seminara (2014), On the equilibrium profile of river beds, J. Geophys. Res. - Earth, 119, 317–332, doi: 10.1002/2013JF002806.
- Bolla Pittaluga, M., G. Coco, and M. G. Kleinhans (2015), A unified framework for
 stability of channel bifurcations in gravel and sand fluvial systems, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 42, doi:10.1002/2015GL065175.
- Camporeale, C., Perona, P., Porporato, A., & Ridolfi, L. (2007). Hierarchy of models
 for meandering rivers and related morphodynamic processes. *Reviews of Geo- physics*, 45(1).
- Colombini, M., Seminara, G., and Tubino, M. (1987), Finite-amplitude alternate
 bars, Journal of Fluid Mechanics, 181, 213-232.
- Edmonds, D. A. and R. L. Slingerland (2007), Mechanics of river mouth bar forma tion: Implications for the morphodynamics of delta distributary networks, *Journal* of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, 112(F2).
- Edmonds, D. A. and R. L. Slingerland (2008), Stability of delta distributary
 networks and their bifurcations, *Water Resources Research*, 44, W09426,
 doi:10.1029/2008WR006992.
- Engelund, F. and E. Hansen (1967), A monograph on sediment transport, *Technical University of Denmark*, *Technisk Forlag*, Copenhagen, Denmark.
- Ikeda, S., Parker G. and K. Sawai (1981), Bend theory of river meanders. Part 1 Linear development, J. Fluid Mech., 112, 363-377.
- Iwantoro, A. P., Van Der Vegt, M., and Kleinhans, M. G. (2020), Morphological
 evolution of bifurcations in tide-influenced deltas, *Earth Surface Dynamics*, 8(2),
 413–429.
- Jerolmack, D. J. (2009), Conceptual framework for assessing the response of delta
 channel networks to Holocene sea level rise. *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 28(17),
 1786—1800.
- Kleinhans, M. G., Jagers, H. R. A., Mosselman, E. and C. J. Sloff (2008), Bifur cation dynamics and avulsion duration in meandering rivers by one-dimensional
 and three-dimensional models, *Water Resources Research*, 44, W085454, doi:10 1029/2007WR005912.
- Leonardi, N., Kolker, A. S., & Fagherazzi, S. (2015). Interplay between river discharge and tides in a delta distributary. *Advances in Water Resources*, 80, 69-78.
- Lesser, G. R., Roelvink, J. V., van Kester, J. T. M., and Stelling, G. S. (2004), De-
- velopment and validation of a three-dimensional morphological model. *Coastal*

730	Engineering, 51(8-9), 883-915.
731	Mever-Peter, E. and R. Müller (1948), Formulas for bedload transport, III Conf. of
732	Internat. Ass. of Hydraul. Res., Stockholm, Sweden.
733	Miori, S., Repetto, R. and M. Tubino (2006). A one-dimensional model of bifurca-
734	tions in gravel bed channels with erodible banks. Water Resources Research 12
735	W11413 doi:10.1029/2006WB004863
736	Nienhuis I H Ashton A D Edmonds D A Hoitink A I F Kettner A I
730	Rowland I C and Törngvist T E (2020) Clobal-scale human impact on delta
737	morphology has led to net land area gain Nature $577(7791)$ 514—518
730	Ramo N. Tambroni N. and Bolla Dittaluga M. (2020). Effort of small tidal flue
739	tuations on the stability and equilibrium configurations of bifurcations. <i>Lowrnal of</i>
740	Coonductions on the stability and equilibrium configurations of bintreations, <i>50th nut of</i>
741	Barne N. Bodelf, M. and Tubine M (2021). Coupled morphodynamics of river
742	higher and confluences. Water Research 57 c2020WB028515
743	https://doi.org/10.1020/2020WP028515
744	Pagna N. Padalfi M. Tambrani N. and Tubina M (2022) Modalling standy grain
745	agno, N., Redoni, M., Tambroni, N., and Tubino, M (2023), Modelling Steady gran
746 747	e2023JF007230.
748	Redolfi, M., Zolezzi, G., and Tubino, M. (2016), Free instability of channel bifurca-
749	tions and morphodynamic influence, Journal of Fluid Mechanics, 799, 476-504.
750	Redolfi, M., Zolezzi, G., and Tubino, M. (2019), Free and forced morphodynamics of
751	river bifurcations, Earth Surface Processes and Landforms, 44(4), 973-987.
752	Redolfi, M. (2023). Defining the length parameter in river bifurcation models: a
753	theoretical approach. Earth Surf. Process. Landforms. 2023;48:2121-2132.
754	Salter, G., Paola, C., & Voller, V. R. (2018). Control of delta avulsion by down-
755	stream sediment sinks. Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, 123,
756	142–166. https://doi.org/10.1002/2017JF004350
757	Seminara, G. (2006). Meanders. Journal of Fluid Mechanics, 554, 271–297.
758	doi:10.1017/S0022112006008925
759	Slingerland, R. and N. D. Smith (1998), Necessary condition for a meandering-river
760	avulsion, Geology, 26 (5), 435–438.
761	Van der Wegen, M., & Roelvink, J. A. (2012), Reproduction of estuarine bathymetry
762	by means of a process-based model: Western Scheldt case study, the Netherlands,
763	Geomorphology, 179, 152-167.
764	van Rijn, L. C. (1984), Sediment transport, II, Suspended load transport, J. Hy-
765	draul. Eng., 110(11), 1431–1456.
766	Viero, D.P., Dubon, S.L. and Lanzoni, S. (2018). Chute cutoffs in meander-
767	ing rivers: formative mechanisms and hydrodynamic forcing. In Fluvial Me-
768	anders and Their Sedimentary Products in the Rock Record (eds M. Ghi-
769	nassi, L. Colombera, N.P. Mountney, A.J.H. Reesink and M. Bateman).
770	https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119424437.ch8
771	Talmon, A. M., Struiksma, N., and Van Mierlo, M. C. L. M. (1995), Laboratory
772	measurements of the direction of sediment transport on transverse alluvial-bed
773	slopes, J. Hydraul. Res., 33 (4), 495–517.
774	Tejedor, A., Longjas, A., Edmonds, D. A., Zaliapin, I., Georgiou, T. T., Rinaldo,
775	A., and Foufoula-Georgiou, E. (2017). Entropy and optimality in river deltas.
776	Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 114(44), 11651–11656.
777	Wang, Z. B., Fokkink, R. J., De Vries, M. and A. Langerak (1995), Stability of river
778	bifurcations in 1D morphodynamics models, J. Hydraul. Res., 33 (6), 739–750.
779	Wilkerson, G. V., and Parker, G. (2011), Physical basis for quasi-universal relation-
780	ships describing bankfull hydraulic geometry of sand-bed rivers, J. Hydraul. Eng.,
781	137(7), 739-753.
782	Williams, G.P. (1978), Bank-Full discharge of rivers, Water Resources Research
783	14(6): 1141-1154.

- Wolman, M.G. and J.P. Miller (1960), Magnitude and frequency of forces in geomor phic processes, *Journal of Geology* 68: 54–74.
- Zolezzi, G. and Seminara, G. (2001), Downstream and upstream influence in river
 meandering. Part 1. General theory and application to overdeepening, *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, 438(13), 183–211.
- Zolezzi, G., Bertoldi, W., Tubino, M., Smith, G. H. S., Best, J. L., Bristow, C. S.,
- ⁷⁹⁰ & Petts, G. E. (2006). Morphological analysis and prediction of river bifurcations,
- ⁷⁹¹ Braided rivers: process, deposits, ecology and management, 36, 233–256.

⁷⁹² A: Coefficients of the asymmetrical linear system

The system of equations (14)-(17) is solved through a linearization procedure, in terms of the four unknowns $[q_b, q_c, D_b, D_c]$. With a perturbative approach, every variables and unknowns are expanded in terms of a small parameter δ as follows:

$$f = f_0 + \delta f_1 + \mathcal{O}(\delta^2) . \tag{A.1}$$

where f_0 represents the basic state and f_1 derives from a Taylor expansion around the basic state.

Substituting the expansions in the equations, it is possible to solve the system at
each order of approximation. At the leading order, a set of non-linear algebraic equations in terms of the basic state variable arise, that can be solved with a central finitedifference solver.

The order δ problem consists of the homogeneous linear system of equations 20. The coefficients A_{ij} are defined as follows:

$$A_{11} = r_b, \tag{A.2}$$

$$A_{12} = r_c,$$
 (A.3)

$$A_{13} = 0,$$
 (A.4)

$$A_{14} = 0,$$
 (A.5)

$$A_{21} = \frac{2r_b\phi_{b0}\Phi_{\vartheta b}}{\phi_a q_{b0}},\tag{A.6}$$

$$A_{22} = \frac{2r_c \phi_{c0} \Phi_{\vartheta c}}{\phi_a q_{c0}},\tag{A.7}$$

$$A_{23} = \frac{r_b \phi_{b0}}{\phi_a} \left(-2\Phi_{\vartheta b} C_{Db} - \frac{2\Phi_{\vartheta b}}{D_{b0}} + \phi_{nb} \right), \tag{A.8}$$

$$A_{24} = \frac{r_c \phi_{c0}}{\phi_a} \left(-2\Phi_{\vartheta c} C_{Dc} - \frac{2\Phi_{\vartheta c}}{D_{c0}} + \phi_{nc} \right), \tag{A.9}$$

$$A_{31} = \frac{2RL_b C_a^2 q_{b0}}{r_a r_b D_{b0}^3 C_{b0}^2} - 1 + \frac{2\phi_{b0} \Phi_{\vartheta b}}{\phi_a q_{b0}},\tag{A.10}$$

$$A_{32} = \frac{2RL_b\gamma_L C_a^2 q_{c0}}{r_a r_b D_{c0}^3 C_{c0}^2},\tag{A.11}$$

$$A_{33} = -\frac{R}{r_a r_b} \left[1 + \frac{C_a^2 q_{b0}^2 L_b}{D_{b0}^3 C_{b0}^2} \left(2C_{Db} + \frac{3}{D_{b0}} \right) \right] + -\frac{\phi_{b0}}{\phi_a} \left(2\Phi_{\vartheta b} C_{Db} + 2\frac{\Phi_{\vartheta b}}{D_{b0}} - \phi_{nb} \right),$$
(A.12)

815

812

796

805

$$A_{34} = \frac{R}{r_a r_b} \left[1 + \frac{C_a^2 q_{c0}^2 L_b \gamma_L}{D_{c0}^3 C_{c0}^2} \left(2C_{Dc} + \frac{3}{D_{c0}} \right) \right],\tag{A.13}$$

$$A_{41} = \frac{2L_b C_a^2 q_{b0}}{D_{b0}^3 C_{b0}^2} + (1+\xi) \frac{Fr^2 q_{b0}}{D_{b0}^2}, \tag{A.14}$$

$$A_{42} = -\frac{2L_b\gamma_L C_a^2 q_{c0}}{D_{c0}^3 C_{c0}^2} - (1+\xi)\frac{Fr^2 q_{c0}}{D_{c0}^2},\tag{A.15}$$

⁸¹⁹
$$A_{43} = -\frac{C_a^2 L_b q_{b0}^2}{D_{b0}^3 C_{b0}^2} \left(2C_{Db} + \frac{3}{D_{b0}} \right) - (1+\xi) \frac{Fr^2 q_{b0}^2}{D_{b0}^3}, \tag{A.16}$$

$$A_{44} = \frac{C_a^2 L_b \gamma_L q_{c0}^2}{D_{c0}^3 C_{c0}^2} \left(2C_{Dc} + \frac{3}{D_{c0}} \right) + (1+\xi) \frac{Fr^2 q_{c0}^2}{D_{c0}^3}, \tag{A.17}$$

⁸²¹ where:

816

817

820

$$\Phi_{\vartheta b} = \frac{m\vartheta_{b0}}{\vartheta_{b0} - \vartheta_{cr}},\tag{A.18}$$

$$\Phi_{\vartheta c} = \frac{m\vartheta_{c0}}{\vartheta_{c0} - \vartheta_{cr}},\tag{A.19}$$

$$C_{Db} = \frac{1}{C_{b0}} \left. \frac{\partial C_b}{\partial D_b} \right|_{D_{b0}},\tag{A.20}$$

$$C_{Dc} = \frac{1}{C_{c0}} \left. \frac{\partial C_c}{\partial D_c} \right|_{D_{c0}},\tag{A.21}$$

$$\phi_{nb} = \frac{1}{n(D_{b0})} \left. \frac{\partial n}{\partial D_b} \right|_{D_{b0}},\tag{A.22}$$

$$\phi_{nc} = \frac{1}{n(D_{c0})} \left. \frac{\partial n}{\partial D_c} \right|_{D_{c0}},\tag{A.23}$$

$$R = \frac{\alpha r}{\beta_a \sqrt{\vartheta_a}}.$$
(A.24)

Noteworthy, for the case of symmetrical bifurcations, the coefficients (A.18)-(A.23) are equal between b and c. Therefore, they can be summed up as in (23).

Downstream control on the stability of river bifurcations

Lorenzo Durante, ¹Michele Bolla Pittaluga, ¹Gaetano Porcile, ^{1,2}Nicoletta Tambroni¹

¹Department of Civil, Chemical and Environmental Engineering, University of Genova, Genova, Italy. ²Coastal and Continental Morphodynamics Laboratory, University of Caen Normandy, Caen, France.

Key Points:

1

2

3

5

7

8	•	A new formulation for the stability of river bifurcations based on energy balance
9		at the node is proposed.
10	•	The bifurcation stability has been found to increase as the branches length decreases
11	•	Despite the presence of asymmetry at the node, the less-favoured side can become
12		dominant under certain conditions.

Corresponding author: Lorenzo Durante, lorenzo.durante@edu.unige.it

13 Abstract

River bifurcations are prevalent features in both gravel-bed and sand-bed fluvial systems, 14 including braiding networks, anabranches and deltas. Therefore, gaining insight into their 15 morphological evolution is important to understand the impact they have on the adjoin-16 ing environment. While previous investigations have primarily focused on the influence 17 on bifurcation morphodynamics by upstream channels, recent research has highlighted 18 the importance of downstream controls, like branches length or tidal forcing. In partic-19 ular, in the case of rivers, current linear stability analyses for a simple bifurcation are 20 unable to capture the stabilizing effect of branches length unless a confluence is added 21 downstream. In this work, we introduce a novel theoretical model that effectively accounts 22 for the effects of downstream branch length in a single bifurcation. To substantiate our 23 findings, a series of fully 2D numerical simulations are carried out to test different branches 24 lengths and other potential sources of asymmetries at the node, such as different widths 25 of the downstream channels. Results from linear stability analysis show that bifurcation 26 stability increases as the branches length decreases. These results are confirmed by the 27 numerical simulations, which also show that, as the branch length tends to vanish, bi-28 furcations are invariably stable. Finally, our results interestingly show that, while in gen-29 eral, when a source of asymmetry is present at the node, the hydraulically favoured branch 30 dominates, there are scenarios in which the less-favoured side becomes dominant. 31

32 Plain Language Summary

This research looks at how rivers divide into multiple branches and how this pro-33 cess shapes the surrounding environment. While past studies mostly focused on factors 34 upstream influencing these splits, recent research emphasizes the importance of down-35 stream factors, such as branch length and tidal forces. The study introduces a new the-36 oretical model to better understand how downstream branch length affects a single river 37 split. We used computer simulations with different branch lengths and channel widths 38 to test the model, discovering that shorter branch lengths result in more stable river splits. 39 The theoretical model is also adapted to account for different shapes commonly found 40 in nature, revealing results that are not always straightforward. 41

42 **1** Introduction

Rivers have always covered a fundamental role in the evolution of humankind. Due to the high economic interest and risk associated to these areas, humans have always tried to control and modify these environments to sustain their activities. Noteworthy, this feature has become even more evident in the last decades due to an increase both of the intensity of the natural forcings (due to climate change, extreme events are becoming more frequent) and of the anthropogenic actions (e.g., the building of dams and other flow control structures in the upstream part of rivers).

However, even if extreme events require detailed analyses, the morphodynamic de-50 velopment of rivers, estuaries and deltas is commonly studied referring to the concept 51 of a formative or effective forcing which represents the most frequent condition that these 52 systems experience over time [Wolman & Miller, 1960; Williams, 1978]. This allows to 53 estimate the long term river equilibrium configuration and predict if some perturbation 54 of this state can permanently modify it, leading to erosional or depositional processes 55 and to variations of the planar configuration [Bolla Pittaluga et al., 2014; Wilkerson & 56 Parker, 2011]. 57

One crucial control unit in the evolution of rivers and deltas is the bifurcation, which governs both flow and sediment partitioning in downstream branches, thus, affecting downstream erosion or deposition [*Jerolmack*, 2009; *Tejedor et al.*, 2017; *Nienhuis et al.*, 2020]. A typical case where one bifurcate closes completely is the avulsions of meandering rivers

with chute cut-offs: the branch with the highest carrying capacity becomes the main chan-

⁶⁷ nel, while the other, known as oxbow lake, remains isolated [*Seminara*, 2006; *Viero et al.*, 2018]. These phenomena have historically led to approach the problem of the stability



Figure 1. Example of natural bifurcations. a) Fast migrating meandering river in the
 Republic of Khakassia, Russia. (Photo by Denis Ovsyannikov: https://www.pexels.com/@denis ovsyannikov-1411283/). b) River bifurcates debouching into a lake in Altura, US. (Photo by Tom
 Fisk: https://www.pexels.com/@tomfisk/).

68

of the bifurcations in terms of their upstream forcings in both gravel-bed and sand-bed 69 fluvial systems. Early analytical works were proposed by Wang et al. [1995], who per-70 formed a 1D analysis, including an empirical nodal point condition at the bifurcation node 71 to evaluate the partitioning in the branches. This condition turns out to depend on a 72 parameter that is not related to the physics of the system but governs its evolution in 73 time. Thus, Bolla Pittaluga et al. [2003] overcame this limit by introducing a two-cell 74 model which accounts for the localized 2-D effects upstream of the bifurcation node in 75 terms of sediment and flow division. Applying it to both gravel-bed and sand-bed rivers, 76 Bolla Pittaluga et al. [2015] found that the stability is mainly dependent on the Shields 77 parameter ϑ and on the aspect ratio $(\beta = \frac{W}{2D})$ of the upstream channel. However, also 78 in this model, some empirical parameters need to be specified. Indeed, the critical value 79 of the aspect ratio above which a bifurcation becomes unstable, is found to be linearly 80 dependent on the length of the two upstream cells α and on the 'Talmon' parameter r81 accounting for the contribution of the transversal bed slope on the sediment transport 82 [Talmon et al., 1995]. Common values of the parameter r range between 0.3 and 1 [Ikeda 83 et al., 1981], while the experimental calibration of the parameter α provides values from 84 1 to 6 [Bolla Pittaluga et al., 2003; Bertoldi and Tubino, 2007]. 85

This notwithstanding, the simple two-cell model has proven to be able to adequately 86 reproduce the main mechanism governing the morphodynamic evolution of a river bi-87 furcation. Consequently, efforts have been made to extend this model to account for some 88 additional effects that were neglected in the original formulation. Miori et al. [2006] in-89 cluded channel width variations according to hydraulic geometry rules. Bertoldi et al. 90 [2009] studied the effect of incoming migrating bars by integrating the bifurcation model 91 with the model of *Colombini et al.* [1987], which provides the spatial structure and the 92 temporal development of finite amplitude bars. Kleinhans et al. [2008] analysed the ef-93 fect of the secondary flow due to an upstream meander bend on the bifurcation stability. Later Redolfi et al. [2019] studied the combined effect of upstream radius of curva-95 ture and slope advantage in the two branches. Recently, Raqno et al. [2023] managed 96 to examine the effect of sediment sorting on the unbalanced bifurcations. 97

However, these studies predominantly focused on the upstream forcings, without 98 accounting for the potential feedback mechanisms arising from the downstream ones. Salter qq et al. [2018], for instance, investigated the consequences of prograding branches finding 100 an oscillating behaviour attributed to the restorative feedback arising from the gentler 101 slope in the longer branch. The length of the branches thus emerges as a determining 102 factor for bifurcation stability. Recently, Ragno et al. [2021] applied the two-cell model 103 to a bifurcation-confluence loop by introducing a momentum balance model for the down-104 stream junction. This revealed the system being more stable as the confluence influence 105 increases (i.e. decreasing the branch lengths). Furthermore, the distance of the bifur-106 cation node from the downstream boundary has once again proven to be crucial when 107 incorporating the two-cell model with downstream effects, such as the tidal forcings [Raqno 108 et al., 2020; Iwantoro et al., 2020]. This set its basis on the observations that, even in 109 micro-tidal environments, tides exert a profound influence on distributary hydrodynam-110 ics throughout both high and low fluvial discharge regimes [Leonardi et al., 2015]. 111

The considerations outlined above lead us to question whether the original two-cell 112 model of Bolla Pittaluga et al. [2003] can be reliably applied in scenarios where down-113 stream effects are not negligible. It is important to note that the model operates under 114 the assumption that the free surface elevations remain constant at the bifurcation node 115 regardless of flow conditions. However, this condition may no longer hold when the down-116 stream conditions influence the bifurcation, as is the case with short branch lengths. Since 117 any disturbance of the flow could potentially trigger a destabilization of the system, we 118 relax the constraint of constant water elevations at the node, incorporating an energy 119 balance condition between the upstream and the downstream branches. This approach 120 allows for flow asymmetries to directly impact the morphological equilibrium of river bi-121 furcations. The system of equations arising from the new formulation is tackled with lin-122 ear stability analysis, allowing us to account for the length of the branches on the sta-123 bility and equilibrium configurations of the river network. To validate the theoretical find-124 ings, numerical simulations are conducted, yielding results consistent with our analyt-125 ical framework. In the current study, we formulate the model in its most comprehensive 126 form accounting also for other possible sources of asymmetries at the node. Given that 127 natural river bifurcations typically exhibit limited symmetry, we analyse the effect of var-128 ious asymmetries individually to gain insights into their impact on equilibrium config-129 urations. 130

The subsequent Section will provide a detailed explanation of the analytical procedure employed in this study. Section 3 will be dedicated to presenting and discussing the theoretical and numerical findings obtained for symmetric scenarios. In section 4, the asymmetries in the system are analyzed independently to discern their respective impacts on the equilibrium configuration of bifurcations. Finally, in Section 5, we will summarize our key observations and insights.

¹³⁷ 2 Formulation of the analytical model

As previously discussed, the equilibrium of bifurcations is predominantly influenced 141 by the flow and sediment division at the node. Given the complexity of factors govern-142 ing the system's evolution, it is necessary to simplify the problem for analytical handling. 143 The bifurcation is then idealized as an upstream rectangular channel a, which bifurcates 144 into two branches, channels b and c (as depicted in Figure 2), respectively. No param-145 eter variability is included along any channel, thus, they all have constant widths $(W_a^*,$ 146 W_b^*, W_c^* , even though the two downstream branches could have different lengths (l_b^*, l_b^*) 147 l_{c}^{*}). Furthermore, it is assumed that the system evolves primarily due to formative forc-148 ing, therefore, steady uniform flow is established in the channels through a constant dis-149 charge upstream Q_a^* and a fixed water level elevation at the two downstream ends $(h_b^{*L},$ 150 h_c^{*L}). For every channel (i = a, b, c) the steady and uniform flow is described by the 151



Figure 2. Representative sketch of theoretical river bifurcations. Sketch of the twocell model of *Bolla Pittaluga et al.* [2003] extended to account for uneven branch widths and lengths.

152 Chezy relation:

153

164

$$Q_i^* = W_i^* D_i^* C_i \sqrt{g s_i D_i^*} \tag{1}$$

where D_i^* is the uniform flow depth in the channel *i*, *g* is the gravitational acceleration, C_i is the Chezy coefficient and s_i is the longitudinal bed slope.

¹⁵⁶ Constant sediment discharge is provided in equilibrium with the flow conditions ¹⁵⁷ upstream. It is computed in general terms by the following relation:

$$\phi = \frac{q_{is}^*}{\sqrt{\frac{\rho_s - \rho}{\rho}}gd_s^{*3}} = n(D_i^*)(\vartheta_i - \vartheta_{cr})^m.$$
(2)

where q_{is}^* is the dimensional volumetric sediment flux per unit width of the *i* channel, d_s^* is the mean diameter, ρ and ρ_s are the density of water and sediment respectively, ϑ_{cr} is the threshold value for sediment mobilization and the coefficients *n* and *m* depend on the sediment transport closure relation. Finally, ϑ_i is the value of the Shields paramter associated with the uniform flow in the *i*th channel:

$$\vartheta_i = \frac{q_i^{*2}}{\frac{\rho_s - \rho}{\rho}} g d_s^* C_i^2 D_i^{*2}.$$
(3)

being q_i^{*2} the flow discharge per unit width.

The model accounts for the two-dimensional effects at the node considering a transverse exchange of flow and sediment between the two upstream cells through the following nodal point condition:

$$q_{Ts}^* = q_{as}^* \left[\frac{Q_T^* D_a^*}{Q_a^* \alpha D_{abc}^*} - \frac{r}{\sqrt{\vartheta_a}} \frac{\partial \eta^*}{\partial y^*} \right]. \tag{4}$$

where q_{Ts}^* is the dimensional transverse solid discharge per unit width and Q_T^* is the to-170 tal transverse flow discharge, $\partial \eta^* / \partial y^*$ is the transverse bed slope calculated as incremen-171 tal ratio between the difference in bed elevations of the inlet of channels b and c and the 172 semi-width of the upstream channel, and D^*_{abc} is the average water depth at the node. 173 The latter can be safely assumed equal to D_a^* , such that $D_a^*/D_{abc}^* \simeq 1$. The parame-174 ter α is the length of the two cells scaled with the upstream channel width W_a^* ; from ex-175 perimental observations, it attains values between 1 and 3. The constant r in equation 176 (4) has been experimentally determined and it ranges between 0.3 and 1 [*Ikeda et al.*, 177 1981; Talmon et al., 1995]. 178

To solve the problem, other five relations are required. Noteworthy, here we replace the conditions for water level constancy of *Bolla Pittaluga et al.* [2003] with an energy head E^* (i.e., the total energy per unit weight of flowing liquid above an horizontal datum) balance at the node:

- 183 1. Flow discharge balance: 184 $q_a^* W_a^* = q_b^* W_b^* + q_c^* W_c^*$ (5)
- ¹⁸⁵ 2. Solid discharge balance:

$$q_{as}^* W_a^* = q_{bs}^* W_b^* + q_{cs}^* W_c^* \tag{6}$$

¹⁸⁷ 3. Flow discharge balance applied to cell *b*:

$$q_a^* W_a^* \frac{W_b^*}{W_b^* + W_c^*} + q_T^* \alpha W_a^* = q_b^* W_b^* \tag{7}$$

¹⁸⁹ 4. Solid discharge balance applied to cell *b*:

$$q_{as}^* W_a^* \frac{W_b^*}{W_b^* + W_c^*} + q_{Ts}^* \alpha W_a^* = q_{bs}^* W_b^*$$
(8)

5. Energy head balance applied to cell b:

$$h_a^{*N} + \frac{q_a^{*2}}{2gD_a^{*2}} - \alpha W_a^* s_a = h_b^{*N} + (1+\xi)\frac{q_b^{*2}}{2gD_b^{*2}}$$
(9)

¹⁹³ 6. Energy head balance applied to cell *c*:

$$h_a^{*N} + \frac{q_a^{*2}}{2gD_a^{*2}} - \alpha W_a^* s_a = h_c^{*N} + (1+\xi) \frac{q_c^{*2}}{2gD_c^{*2}}$$
(10)

where ξ is a energy loss coefficient which has been introduced to account for possible localised fluid's energy dissipation at the node, in analogy with what it is commonly assumed in the case of pipe flows. Finally h_i^{*N} indicates the free surface elevation of the i^{th} channel at the node. Recalling the assumption of uniform flow in the branches, it is possible to rewrite h_i^{*N} as a function of the imposed level at the downstream end: $h_i^{*N} = h_i^{*L} + s_i l_i^*$.

The aforementioned equations can be made dimensionless, scaling the variables with the typical physical characteristics of the channel a as follows:

$$(D_i, h_i^N, h_i^L) = \frac{(D_i^*, h_i^{*N}, h_i^{*L})}{D_a^*}.$$
(11)

203 204 205

186

188

190

191

192

194

 $(q_{is}, q_{Ts}) = \frac{(q_{is}^*, q_{Ts}^*)}{q_{as}^*}, \quad (q_i, q_T) = \frac{(q_i^*, q_T^*)}{q_a^*}.$ (12)

206 207

211 212

214

216

$$\mathcal{L}_i = \frac{l_i^* s_a}{D_a^*}.$$
(13)

Note that, the branches' lengths are scaled with the backwater length (D_a^*/s_a) .

After some manipulations, the governing equations (5)-(10) and the nodal point condition (4) can thus be rewritten in a dimensionless form as:

(

1. Flow discharge balance:

$$q_b r_b + q_c (r_a - r_b) = 1 (14)$$

213 2. Solid discharge balance:

$$q_{bs}r_b + q_{cs}(r_a - r_b) = 1$$
(15)

²¹⁵ 3. Energy balance:

$$\Delta h^{L} + L_{b} \left[\frac{q_{b}^{2}C_{a}^{2}}{D_{b}^{3}C_{b}^{2}} - \frac{q_{c}^{2}C_{a}^{2}}{D_{c}^{3}C_{c}^{2}}\gamma_{L} \right] + \frac{Fr^{2}}{2}(1+\xi) \left[\frac{q_{b}^{2}}{D_{b}^{2}} - \frac{q_{c}^{2}}{D_{c}^{2}} \right] = 0$$
(16)

4. Nodal condition:

217 218

219

220

221

222

227

229

234

240

Note that the above equations include the dependence on classical parameters of bifurcation theory as proposed by *Bolla Pittaluga et al.* [2003]. These parameters are the aspect ratio, β , defined as

 $\beta = \frac{W_a^*}{2D_a^*},\tag{18}$

 $q_{bs} = q_b - \frac{\alpha r}{\beta \sqrt{\theta_c}} \frac{1}{r_a r_b} \left[(h_b^N - h_c^N) - (D_b - D_c) \right].$

(17)

and the Shields parameter of the upstream channel θ_a . Additionally, the Froude Number of the upstream channel, $Fr = q_a^* / \sqrt{g D_a^{*3}}$, and the following dimensionless parameters, accounting for possible asymmetries in the system, appear:

- (a) Branch width ratios: $r_b = \frac{W_b^*}{W_a^*}, r_c = \frac{W_c^*}{W_a^*}$
 - (b) Downstream enlargement: $r_a = \frac{W_b^* + W_c^*}{W_a^*} = r_b + r_c$
- (c) Length ratio: $\gamma_L = \frac{L_c}{L_b}$
 - (d) Downstream level asymmetry: $\Delta h^L = h_h^L h_c^L$.

Finally, it is noteworthy that, the specific load balance equation (16) derives from equating the second members of equations (9) and (10), and that, in the nodal point condition (17), the transverse sediment and flow discharges have been derived from the previous (7) and (8) conditions.

2.1 Linear Stability Analysis

Through a linearization procedure, it is possible to solve numerically the system of equations (14)-(17), in terms of the four unknowns $[q_b, q_c, D_b, D_c]$ (or $[s_b, s_c, D_b, D_c]$), finding the threshold conditions for the appearance of multiple equilibrium configurations. A perturbative approach is, thus, employed whereby every unknown $f([q_b, q_c, D_b, D_c])$ $D_c]$) is expanded in terms of a small parameter δ as follows:

$$f = f_0 + \delta f_1 + \mathcal{O}(\delta^2) , \qquad (19)$$

where f_0 represents the basic state, namely, the uniform flow conditions. Similar expan-

sions to (19) hold for any other variable g depending on the unknowns of the problem,

where g_1 derives from a Taylor expansion around the basic state, in the form $g_1 = \frac{dg}{d\delta}\Big|_{\delta=0}$. Substituting the expansions in the equations (14)-(17), it is possible to solve the

system at each order of approximation. At the leading order, a set of non-linear algebraic equations in terms of the basic state variable arises, that can be solved with a central finite-difference solver. Differently from the classical case of equal length and width of the branches b and c, in the general case of different geometrical characteristics of the two downstream branches, at the leading order, $\mathcal{O}(\delta^0)$, we do not find a symmetrical water and sediment discharge distribution between them, but rather we find multiple equilibrium configurations. The order $\mathcal{O}(\delta)$ problem, consists of an homogeneous linear system of equations that has the form:

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} & A_{13} & A_{14} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} & A_{23} & A_{24} \\ A_{31} & A_{32} & A_{33} & A_{34} \\ A_{41} & A_{42} & A_{43} & A_{44} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} q_{b1} \\ q_{c1} \\ D_{b1} \\ D_{c1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(20)

with the A_{ij} coefficients reported in Appendix A: The sign of the eigenvalues associ-

ated with the matrix of the coefficient of the above linear system of algebraic equations allows to determine if the multiple equilibrium configurations found at the leading or-

der are stable or not.

²⁴⁸ **3** The case of Symmetrical Bifurcations



Figure 3. Representative sketches of theoretical and numerical river bifurcations.
a) Sketch of the symmetrical two-cell model of *Bolla Pittaluga et al.* [2003]. b) Synthetic sketch

²⁵¹ of the numerical grid of a symmetrical river bifurcation.

252 3.1 Linear Stability Analysis

To understand the basic mechanisms underlying bifurcation stability, let us first 253 consider the case of a completely symmetrical bifurcation (as depicted in Figure 3a) (i.e. 254 $r_a=1, r_b=0.5, \gamma_L=1, \Delta h^L=0$ and $\xi=0$). In this case the solution at the leading order of 255 the perturbation approach (Section 2.1) is the trivial solution, where the flow is equally 256 partitioned in the downstream branches and there is no transversal exchange between 257 the cells. At the first-order approximation, the flows and depths are anti-symmetric be-258 tween b and c, therefore, the system (20) reduces to two equations, with unknowns as-259 sociated to just one of the two downstream branches (e.g. D_b and q_b). Nontrivial solu-260 tions are found setting the determinant of the matrix of the coefficients equal to 0. The 261 procedure allows for an algebraic relation for the critical aspect ratio β_{cr} , reading: 262

$$\beta_{cr} = \frac{4\alpha r}{\sqrt{\vartheta_a}} \frac{\left[2L_b + Fr^2 + L_bFr^2(2c_D + 1)\right]}{(L_b\gamma_1 + Fr^2\gamma_2)},\tag{21}$$

264 with:

263

267

265
$$\gamma_1 = 2(\phi_{\vartheta} + \phi_n + c_D) - 3, \ \gamma_2 = -2\phi_{\vartheta}c_D + \phi_n - \frac{1}{2}.$$
 (22)

and the coefficients c_D , ϕ_{ϑ} and ϕ_n defined as:

$$c_D = \frac{1}{C_0} \left. \frac{\partial C_b}{\partial D_b} \right|_{D_0}, \ \phi_{\vartheta} = \frac{m\vartheta_a}{\vartheta_a - \vartheta_{cr}}, \ \phi_n = \frac{1}{n} \left. \frac{\partial n}{\partial D_b} \right|_{D_0}.$$
 (23)

They represent the sensitivity of the Chezy coefficient and of the dimensionless sediment transport rate to variations of water depth and Shields stress as similarly defined by *Redolfi et al.* [2019].

The aspect ratio β_{cr} represents the critical conditions for the stability of the symmetrical bifurcations: those with $\beta < \beta_{cr}$ (i.e., narrower upstream channels) are deemed stable, while, when $\beta > \beta_{cr}$ the symmetrical solution becomes unstable, leading to the dominance of one of the two branches. For a clearer representation, let's consider the case where the roughness is defined with the Strickler relationship in an infinitely wide channel:

$$C_i = \frac{k_s^* D_i^{*1/6}}{\sqrt{q}} , \qquad (24)$$

where k_s^* is the Gauckler-Strickler coefficient.

277

282

284

289

292

296

As far as the closure relationship for sediment transport is concerned, in the case of gravel-bed rivers, a relation of the type of *Meyer-Peter and Müller* [1948] might be used:

$$\phi_{MPM} = 8(\vartheta_a - \vartheta_{cr})^{1.5},\tag{25}$$

leading to the following algebraic relation for β_{cr} :

$$\beta_{cr} = \frac{4}{3} \frac{\alpha r}{\sqrt{\vartheta_a}} \frac{(6L_b + 3Fr^2 + 4L_bFr^2)}{\left[\frac{\vartheta_a}{\vartheta_a - \vartheta_{cr}}(3L_b - \frac{1}{2}Fr^2) - \frac{10}{3}L_b - Fr^2\right]}.$$
(26)

On the contrary, in the case of sand-bed rivers, as a fist approximation, the *Engelund* and Hansen [1967] relationship for the total sediment transport can be used:

$$\phi_{EH} = 0.05 C_i^2 \vartheta_i^{2.5}.$$
 (27)

The corresponding relation for the critical aspect ratio β_{cr} takes the form:

$$\beta_{cr} = \frac{4}{3} \frac{\alpha r}{\sqrt{\vartheta_a}} \frac{(6L_b + 3Fr^2 + 4L_bFr^2)}{(7/3L_b - 3/2Fr^2)}.$$
(28)

Noteworthy, setting Fr = 0 in (28) (i.e., not considering the kinetic head at the node), the solution coincides with that found by *Bolla Pittaluga et al.* [2015]:

$$\beta_{cr} = \frac{24}{7} \frac{\alpha r}{\sqrt{\vartheta_a}}.$$
(29)

Moreover, the two solutions reach almost the same values when the branches' lengths tend to infinity, meaning that the downstream conditions are not felt at the bifurcation node:

$$L_b \to \infty: \quad \beta_{cr} = \frac{24}{7} \frac{\alpha r}{\sqrt{\vartheta_a}} (1 + 2/3Fr^2).$$
 (30)

²⁹⁷ 3.2 Numerical Tests

The case of symmetrical bifurcations (i.e., where the branches have equal length and width) has also been tested with a systematic set of depth-averaged numerical simulations performed with the software suite Delft3D. The package Delft3D-FLOW solves the three-dimensional shallow water equations for incompressible fluid with a finite-difference scheme. It comprehends the exchange of sediment with the bed and, also, includes a morphological acceleration factor (*MorFac*) to speed up long-term morphological evolution *Lesser et al.* [2004].

The symmetrical bifurcation is represented as a fixed-bank, free-slip, rectangular 305 channel a split by a thin dam into two branches b and c with equal length $(l_b^* = l_c^*)$ 306 and equal width $(W_b^* = W_c^* = W_a^*/2)$, as sketched in Figure 3b. The overall length 307 of the domain, L_{tot}^* , is a multiple of the backwater length L_{back}^* to avoid interferences 308 at the inflow. The computational grid comprises 10 cells in the transversal direction, main-309 taining an aspect ratio equal to 1 (i.e., $\Delta x = \Delta y$) so that 5 transversal cells are em-310 ployed in each downstream branch. With this design, the overall width remains constant 311 throughout the domain without any loss of computational grid cells. A careful reader 312 might notice that in this way the number of cells in the longitudinal direction depends 313 not only on L_{tot}^* , but also on the width W_a^* , making the overall number of computational 314

ID	β	L	ID	β	L
run01	5	0.5	run14	16	1.5
run02	10	1	run15	20	0.1
run03	10	1.5	run16	20	0.2
run04	12	0.1	run17	20	0.3
run05	12	0.5	run18	25	0.1
run06	12	1.5	run19	25	0.2
run07	16	0.05	run20	33	0.05
run08	16	0.1	run21	33	0.1
run09	16	0.2	run22	33	0.2
run10	16	0.3	run23	41	0.05
run11	16	0.4	run24	41	0.1
run12	16	0.5	run25	41	0.5
run13	16	1			

 Table 1.
 Summary of symmetrical numerical simulations.

cells case-dependent. Following the same reasoning, the computational time step was changed depending on the grid size always obeying to the Courant–Frederichs–Levy criterion.

The investigation carried out in this study involves a systematic set of simulations, 318 wherein the channel width is varied to explore the impact of the main channel aspect 319 ratio β_a on the bifurcation stability, as summarized in Table 1. The stability of each con-320 figuration is assessed by perturbing the bed profile of one branch with a cosine-shaped 321 deposit of amplitude $0.1D_a^*$. This perturbation ensures that the water depth at the bi-322 furcation node and downstream boundary remains consistent with the previous equilib-323 rium. As the simulation progresses, a step is observed in the perturbed branch at the 324 bifurcation node, while the other branch shows signs of incipient erosion. To track the 325 temporal evolution of the system, the discharge asymmetry ΔQ between the branches 326 is computed: 327

328

$$\Delta Q = \frac{Q_b^* - Q_c^*}{Q_a^*}.\tag{31}$$

In those cases when ΔQ approaches values close to 0, the bifurcation is stable, indicating equal partitioning of the flow. Conversely, when it reaches ± 1 one of the two branches carries all the flow coming from the upstream channel *a*.

To maintain consistency with theoretical considerations and ease comparison be-344 tween the different results, the slope s_a and the discharge per unit width q_a are kept con-345 stant in every configuration, equal to 2×10^{-4} and $0.44 \text{ } m^2/s$ respectively. This approach 346 ensures the establishment of a uniform flow depth D_a^* in equilibrium with the prescribed 347 inflow discharge, while maintaining a constant Shields number ($\vartheta_a = 0.15$) and a dimen-348 sionless grains size $(d_s = d_s^*/D_a^*)$ equal to 8.2×10^{-4} throughout all simulations. To 349 accomplish this, a constant water discharge and a constant sediment flux, in equilibrium 350 with the flow field, are defined at the upstream boundary, while a fixed water level is pro-351 vided downstream. Flow and sediments are allowed to freely leave the system from the 352 downstream boundaries, thus, letting the bed to change in accordance with the hydro-353 dynamics. The sediment transport is evaluated with the total-load closure of Engelund 354 and Hansen [1967], and sediment are assumed uniform with a diameter $d_s^* = 0.5 mm$. 355 The transverse bed slope effects are accounted for in Delft3D by adopting the approach 356 of *Ikeda et al.* [1981]. Here the related parameter α_{bn} is set equal to 5, that corresponds 357 to a value of the Talmon et al. [1995] coefficient r equal to 0.88, well within the range 358 of the values suggested by Bolla Pittaluga et al. [2003]. The value emplyed represents 359 a good compromise between the value commonly used in the analytical analysis and the 360



Figure 4. Bed profile evolution in numerical simulations. The figure illustrates the 329 temporal evolution of the width-averaged bed profiles for two distinct branches, as derived from 330 simulations. The branch experiencing the bed perturbation is visually represented in orange, 331 while the other branch is delineated in green. The initial conditions for each channel are denoted 332 by dashed lines. The blue line corresponds to the free-surface elevation. The black vertical line 333 signifies the coordinate of the bifurcation node. The two panels depict the evolution of the same 334 channel for $\beta = 16$, differing only in the length of the branches. Panel a) presents findings for 335 the scenario with $L_b = 0.1$, wherein the perturbation traverses beyond the domain, leading to 336 the system returning to its initial bed equilibrium. In contrast, panel b) showcases results for 337 $L_b = 0.5$, demonstrating that the perturbed branch undergoes gradual deposition until reaching 338 closure. Simultaneously, the alternate branch erodes over time to accommodate the heightened 339 flow. 340

value often used in numerical simulations ($\alpha_{bn} = 10$) to avoid unrealistic channel inci-361 sion (Baar et al. [2019]; Iwantoro et al. [2020]; Van der Wegen and Roelvink [2012]). Re-362 garding the streamwise bed slope effects, the Bagnold [1966] approach is used with the 363 default value of $\alpha_{bs} = 1$. As a design choice, the morphological acceleration factor Mor-364 Fac is not utilized at the initial stages of the simulation to prevent inducing numerical 365 artefacts at the bifurcation node where non-linearities may be present. However, once 366 the system approaches the new equilibrium state, the morphological factor is set to val-367 ues ranging from 10 to 100 to enhance the possible modest morphological variations in 368 the system. 369

370 **3.3 Results and Discussions**

The linear stability analysis conducted for the symmetrical bifurcation of sand-bed 371 rivers yields the algebraic relation (28) for the critical aspect ratio. In simple terms, β_{cr} 372 serves as the demarcation point distinguishing configurations where the symmetrical so-373 lution remains stable (for β values less than β_{cr}) from configurations in which one of the 374 two branches gains dominance (for β values greater than β_{cr}). Differently from the so-375 lution (29) of Bolla Pittaluga et al. [2015] hereafter referred to as BCK, the current the-376 oretical framework establishes a direct correlation with the flow conditions at the node 377 378 and the lengths of the branches L_b .

Our numerical simulations consistently reveal a small water level asymmetry be-379 tween the two branches at the bifurcation node, in line with the findings of *Edmonds and* 380 Slingerland [2008]. Consequently, it enforces the necessity of a more sophisticated nodal 381 condition rather than relying on the assumption of constant water level as originally pro-382 posed by Bolla Pittaluga et al. [2003]. It is worth noting that the significance of this phe-383 nomenon diminishes as the branch lengthens, particularly for values surpassing the back-384 water length. Therefore, the solution proposed by Bolla Pittaluga et al. [2003] can be 385 regarded as an asymptotic condition that the system would approach when the bifur-386 cation is far enough from the downstream boundaries. 387

Consistently with the findings of *Bolla Pittaluga et al.* [2015], it has been observed that sand-bed and gravel-bed rivers exhibit contrasting behaviours as Shields values increase, as depicted in Figure 5. This disparity is attributed to the degree of non-linearity inherent in each sediment transport closure for varying Shields values. Additionally, the transverse sediment discharge plays a crucial role, with a more pronounced effect in rivers characterized by coarser grain sizes and, consequently, lower Shields values.

However, the present theory offers a novel insight, demonstrating that the reduc-401 tion in the length of the branches exerts a stabilizing influence on the bifurcation evo-402 lution, resulting in more stable symmetrical configurations. Figure 5 visually illustrates 403 the asymptotic behaviour of the original BCK model, wherein the neutral stability curve 404 diverges for lower values of L_b . However, it is important to underline that the solution 405 is still linearly dependent on the two parameters α and r introduced by Bolla Pittaluga 406 et al. [2003]. The first is defined experimentally, but it still needs a careful determina-407 tion for various configurations since it is a measure of the 2-D effects due to the bifur-408 cation node. A first progress in this direction has been made by *Redolfi et al.* [2016], who 409 linked the value of α with the wavelength of the steady damped alternate bars arising 410 due to the instability mechanism originally found by Zolezzi & Seminara [2001]. Basi-411 cally, the presence of the bifurcation exerts an upstream influence if the aspect ratio of 412 the upstream channel is higher than the resonant value found by Blondeaux & Seminara 413 [1985]. Recently, *Redolfi* [2023] further provided a physically-based estimation of the cell 414 length assuming that the critical aspect ratio, for which the symmetric solution becomes 415 unstable, should be equal to the resonant value as formulated by *Camporeale et al.* [2007]. 416 As for the parameter r, it is expected to have an effect only on the bedload transport 417 direction. Consequently, while employing a total load formulation akin to Engelund and 418 Hansen [1967], it is important to recognize that the stabilizing effect of the transverse 419 slope may be subject to some overestimation. 420

The numerical simulations confirm the increased stability observed in configura-421 tions featuring shorter branch lengths, as illustrated in Figure 6. In the numerical con-422 test, we classify as stable (indicated by red dots) the cases where the initial perturba-423 tion leaves the domain without influencing the flow partitioning at the node. Conversely, 424 instances where the perturbation increases in time, resulting in the dominance of one of 425 the bifurcating branches, are labeled as unstable (marked by blue dots). Notably, there 426 were only a few simulations where the final equilibrium of the system displayed a resid-427 ual but steady discharge asymmetry (of the order of 2% in magnitude). These simula-428



Figure 5. Opposite behaviour of gravel and sand bed rivers. Neutral stability curve of the symmetrical solution in the (β, ϑ_a) parameter space for different values of the dimensionless length L_b . Panel a) is representative of sand-bed rivers, where the *Engelund and Hansen* [1967] relation has been used. Panel b) shows the results using *Meyer-Peter and Müller* [1948] for gravel-bed rivers. In each section, the continuous lines show the present solution, while the staggered lines represent the BCK solution for the same set of parameters. Each line splits the graph into stable and unstable areas. (Parameters: $\alpha r = 1$, Fr = 0.3.)

tions, represented by green dots in Figure 6, are denoted as critical conditions due to their
proximity to the critical value established by the theoretical framework. Furthermore,
it is noteworthy how, in most instances, the configurations require longer times to reach
the final equilibrium the closer the system is to the critical conditions.

The underlying mechanism entails that a small perturbation of the flow depth in 433 the branch, could in turn affect the sediment transport capacity. When the carrying ca-434 pacity of a branch exceeds the supply of sediments from upstream, that particular branch 435 experiences overall erosion. Conversely, the other bifurcate undergoes a reduction of its 436 ability to transport sediments downstream, consequently leading to sediment deposition. 437 Over time, the gradual increase of the deposition may lead to the complete closure of 438 the branch. Simultaneously, the remaining branch continues to erode until the riverbed 439 establishes a renewed equilibrium in alignment with the altered flow discharge conditions. 440 The closer is the system to the critical conditions, the smaller are the differences in car-441 rying capacity, thus, requiring longer times to achieve an equilibrium. 442

453 454 455 Figure 6 clearly shows how the variation of the branches length alone is able to define stable/unstable configurations. For instance, fixing the aspect ratio β to 16 (i.e. keeping the upstream channel width equal), it is evident that merely extending the length of the branches L is sufficient to destabilize the system.



Figure 6. Stability of symmetrical river bifurcations. Neutral stability diagram of 443 bifurcations with symmetrical downstream branches. The solid black line, denoting β_{cr} in the 444 present study, highlights an area of heightened stability for diminishing dimensionless branch 445 lengths, in comparison to the earlier work by BCK (depicted by the dashed line). The diagram is 446 dichotomized by the β_{cr} line into regions of stable configurations (indicated by the red shading) 447 and unstable configurations (indicated by the blue shading). The stable and unstable states, as 448 determined through numerical simulations, are marked by coloured dots corresponding to the 449 respective shading. Notably, the critical instances, signifying equilibrium with marginal stability 450 accompanied by slight asymmetry, are represented by the green dots. (Parameters: α = 451 1.3, $r = 0.88, \ \vartheta = 0.15, \ Fr = 0.31.$ 452

456

The equilibrium solutions resulting from the aforementioned concepts are determined by solving the non-linear system of equations derived from the nodal point conditions. For each aspect ratio of the main channel, denoted as β , we endeavor to identify multiple solutions within the system. These solutions encompass both the scenario of an equal partitioning of the flow and instances where one of the two branches carries a greater fraction of the flow.

The equilibrium solutions once again conform to the conventional pattern of a pitchfork bifurcation commonly observed in such configurations. In cases where β is low, the solitary solution corresponds to the equal partitioning of the flow between the branches. However, with an increase in β beyond the critical value β_{cr} , the symmetrical solution loses stability, resulting in a diversion of more flow toward one of the branches.

Figure 7 illustrates the equilibrium diagram for various values of the branch length, denoted as L_b . The solutions are depicted using the discharge asymmetry between the branches, as described in equation (31). The diagram clearly highlights the heightened stability of configurations for the smallest branch length. In contrast, an increase in L_b



Figure 7. Equilibrium configurations of symmetrical river bifurcations. In this plot, 468 each continuous line of a specific colour corresponds to a pitchfork bifurcation delineating the 469 equilibrium diagram associated with a particular dimensionless length of the branches, denoted as 470 L_b . The solutions are expressed in terms of discharge asymmetry between the branches ΔQ . The 471 black dashed line is indicative of the BCK solution, in which the branch length is not accounted 472 for. The dots presented on the graph signify the final equilibrium obtained from numerical sim-473 ulations, aligned with the corresponding colour scheme of the lines. (Parameters: α =1.3.474 $r = 0.88, \ \vartheta = 0.15, \ Fr = 0.31.$ 475

ulations effectively discriminate between symmetrical configurations that exhibit stabil-483 ity and those that manifest instability accordingly to the present theory. However, in cases 484 of unstable configurations, the final equilibrium assumes the form of the closure of the 485 perturbed branch, leading to the complete diversion of flow toward the other branch (i.e., 486 $\Delta Q = \pm 1$). This discrepancy with the analytical model can be attributed to its assump-487 tion of uniform flow within the branches. This assumption may be no longer valid when 488 the perturbed branch undergoes sediment deposition, reaching a point at which it can 489 no longer adapt its bed to accommodate the incoming sediments due to the reduced trans-490 port capacity. Notably, a recent study by Barile et al. [2023] extended the two-cell model 491 to encompass partially avulsing bifurcations. Their findings once again highlight that 492 as the downstream branches lengthen, the degree of asymmetry increases, potentially cul-493 minating in the complete avulsion of the system. 494

482

495 4 Asymmetrical Case

496

4.1 Results and Discussions

Encountering symmetrical bifurcations within a natural riverine setting proves to 497 be a rarity, primarily due to the continuous evolutionary dynamics that typically drive 498 these features towards pronounced asymmetry. Such conditions are commonly observed 499 in both mountainous gravel-bed rivers and in low-lying sand-bed rivers reaching their 500 downstream end in deltas. During field observations in mountainous braided networks, 501 Zolezzi et al. [2006] reported that gravel-bed rivers tend to display highly unbalanced 502 bifurcations, wherein the most carrying branch is generally wider and deeper. The ef-503 fect of different branch widths is incorporated for in our analytical framework through 504 the parameter denoted as r_b . Another prevalent occurrence is observed in meandering 505 rivers, where the presence of cut-off channels gives rise to branches marked by signifi-506 cant disparities in both length and width (Slingerland and Smith [1998]). In the present 507 investigation, these effects are accounted for through the parameters γ_L and r_b , contribut-508 ing to a comprehensive understanding of the phenomenon. Furthermore, the aggregate 509 width of downstream branches is frequently greater than that of the upstream channel, 510 a characteristic represented here by the parameter r_a . Edmonds and Slingerland [2007] 511 have measured, in several bifurcations within river-dominated deltas, an average down-512 stream enlargement of the order of 1.7. Consequently, it becomes reasonable to postu-513 late the presence of energy losses at the bifurcation node (through the coefficient ξ), aris-514 ing from localized width variations or instances where the angle between the streamlines 515 and the branches' thalweg deviates. Pertinently, a recent study related to confluences 516 [Ragno et al., 2021] has revealed the significant impact of downstream water level asym-517 metries on the stability of bifurcation confluence loops. Hence, our analysis incorporates 518 this effect through the parameter Δh^L . 519

In this section, we investigate the influence of each one of the asymmetry param-526 eters introduced above singularly. The objective of this analysis is to discern and iso-527 late their respective impacts on the equilibrium configuration of bifurcations. Notewor-528 thy, the following considerations are posed selecting a given value of the branches length. 529 However, the previous results concerning the enhanced stability for shorter branch lengths 530 still hold. Therefore, the following considerations will work to any value of L_b and the 531 related β_{cr} . Within river bifurcations characterized by distinct branch lengths, the equal 532 partitioning of the flow is rarely encountered. As the length ratio γ_L is augmented, it 533 becomes evident that the shorter branch consistently accommodates a greater propor-534 tion of the flow. This is attributable to the advantageous influence of the free-surface slope 535 that the shorter branch experiences relative to its longer counterpart, as depicted in Fig-536 ure 8. Curiously, noteworthy arrangements arise in scenarios featuring elevated aspect 537 ratios β , wherein the preeminence in conveying flow can shift to the longest branch. In 538 such cases, any perturbation in the shorter branch affecting the carrying capacity might 539 lead to an incipient flow diversion into the longest branch. Given the large upstream chan-540 nel width, the stabilizing effect of the transverse slope is not able to counteract this ten-541 dency, thus, leading to the complete dominance of the longest branch. 542

A slope advantage has also been analyzed by *Redolfi et al.* [2019], who studied the combined effect of the slope advantage with the coexistence of upstream channel curvature. They found that the slope advantage can compensate for the effect of channel curvature under sub-resonant conditions. However, the length of the branches itself was not accounted for in their formulation, thus, possibly leading to less asymmetrical partitioning even for higher β .

Salter et al. [2018] investigated the effect of prograding branches finding an oscillating behaviour due to the restoring feedback of the milder slope in the longer branch.
 They also showed that shorter branches respond quicker to variation of sediment supply, thus, showing lower asymmetric partitioning.



Figure 8. Equilibrium configurations of bifurcations with different branch lengths. The equilibrium diagram, delineated in relation to discharge asymmetry, illustrates the modulation of flow distribution concerning alterations in the length ratio, denoted as γ_L . Each continuous line, distinguished by a specific hue, represents stable solutions, while the dashed curve denotes instances where the symmetrical solution becomes unstable. (Parameters: $\alpha = 1.3$, r = 0.88, $\vartheta = 0.15$, Fr = 0.31.)

Figure 9 illustrates the influence of varying branch widths on the flow distribution 572 within bifurcations. The blue line in the graph corresponds to the case in which branch 573 widths are equal (i.e., $r_b = 0.5$), resulting in an even partition of the flow for configu-574 rations below β_{cr} . Notably, increasing the branch width ratio, the flow distribution varies 575 accordingly diverting a larger proportion of the flow towards the wider branch. In those 576 configurations, the largest branch is inevitably dominant and would easily move toward 577 the closure of the narrow branch for high aspect ratios. However, it is essential to rec-578 ognize that in our computation, the branches can solely adjust their bed levels, with their 579 widths considered as fixed parameters. In contrast, field observations indicate that such 580 asymmetrical distribution often arises from adaptations in channel width in response to 581 incoming flow conditions. To account for this effect, we can refer to the local approach 582 by *Miori et al.* [2006] where they relaxed the assumption of fixed-banks, but assuming 583 that downstream effects do not influence the bifurcation. Figure 10 describes the effect 584 of varying the aggregated widths within the branches in relation to the upstream chan-585 nel width. Evidently, an elevation in the ratio r_a , signifying an enlargement downstream, 586 results in a decreased number of configurations where the symmetric solution is stable. 587 On the other hand, narrower branches correspondingly lead to an augmentation of the 588 critical aspect ratio β_{cr} . In those configurations, the flow is expected to increase its ve-589 locity entering the branches, thereby enhancing their conveyance capacity. As a conse-590 quence, any perturbation in the system can be flushed away preserving the unobstructed 591 flow in both branches. Conversely, when a localized widening occurs at the bifurcation 592 node, the flow decelerates, creating favourable conditions for sediment deposition within 593



Figure 9. Equilibrium configurations of bifurcations with different branch widths. The equilibrium diagram, delineated in relation to discharge asymmetry, illustrates the modulation of flow distribution concerning alterations in the branch width ratio, denoted as r_b . Each continuous line, distinguished by a specific hue, represents stable solutions, while the dashed curve denotes instances where the symmetrical solution becomes unstable. (Parameters: $\alpha = 1.3$, r = 0.88, $\vartheta = 0.15$, Fr = 0.31.)

the branches. In accordance with the rationale underlying pressurized flows, it is rea-594 sonable to attribute localized head losses to local width variations or bifurcation angles 595 between the branches. The extent of this influence on the critical aspect ratio β_{cr} is con-596 tingent upon the value of ξ , which is an order-one parameter. Figure 11 provides a vi-597 sual representation of how alterations in ξ can impact the equilibrium configurations. 598 The findings indicate that enhancing dissipations leads to a more stabilized system due 599 to the consequent increase in water level disparities at the bifurcation, thereby ampli-600 fying the differences in free-surface slopes between branches. This impact is discernible 601 in equation (16), where $\Delta h^L = 0$ and $\gamma_L = 1$: an increase in ξ accentuates the im-602 portance of kinetic head differences, thereby increasing the slope variations for branches 603 of equal length L_b . 604

In Figure 12, an examination of distinct downstream water levels is compared with 611 the symmetric case $(\Delta h^L = 0)$ depicted in blue. Notably, variations in the downstream 612 water level introduce a free surface slope advantage within one branch, consequently in-613 ducing an acceleration in flow velocity. This increase in flow speed, in turn, amplifies the 614 branch's capacity for carrying flow. Consequently, under circumstances marked by el-615 evated aspect ratios, the branch can attain dominance. However, it is worth mention-616 ing that instances might arise wherein perturbations affecting the favoured channel could 617 still destabilize the system, causing a redirection of flow toward the opposite branch. 618

Nevertheless, the parameter Δh^L is formulated without accounting for the adjustment of the downstream free surface based on flow conditions. In the realm of natural



Figure 10. Equilibrium configurations of bifurcations with downstream enlargement. The equilibrium diagram, delineated in relation to discharge asymmetry, illustrates the modulation of flow distribution concerning alterations in the ratio between the aggregate of the branch widths and the upstream channel width, denoted as r_a . Each continuous line, distinguished by a specific hue, represents stable solutions, while the dashed curve denotes instances where the symmetrical solution becomes unstable. (Parameters: $\alpha = 1.3$, r = 0.88, $\vartheta = 0.15$, Fr = 0.31.)

environments over extended temporal scales, such fixed definitions of water levels are scarcely 621 encountered. More commonly, the configurations of interest, particularly those with shorter 622 branch lengths, manifest in bifurcation-confluence loops. In the context of confluences, 623 a direct correlation between water level asymmetry and the square of the Froude num-624 ber has been established, underscoring the inevitability of water level adaptations in re-625 sponse to flow conditions. In this regard, Ragno et al. [2021] succeeded in coupling a con-626 fluence model with the work of Bolla Pittaluqa et al. [2003], thereby accommodating down-627 stream flow fluctuations. Their findings indicate that confluences tend to elevate the wa-628 ter level within the branch responsible for carrying the greater flow rate. This dynamic 629 prompts a reduction in the slope of the dominant branch, creating a negative feedback 630 mechanism that strives to restore equilibrium in the distribution of water and sediment 631 fluxes. 632

533 5 Conclusions

The current study has introduced a revision of the well-established two-cell model originally proposed by *Bolla Pittaluga et al.* [2003] for the purpose of predicting the stability of river bifurcations. The model is based on the foundational assumption of maintaining constant water levels between the branches at the bifurcation node. However, it is evident that this assumption no longer holds true in scenarios where downstream conditions significantly impact the distribution of flow at the bifurcation node. Through



Figure 11. Equilibrium configurations of bifurcations with localized kinetic head losses. The equilibrium diagram, delineated in relation to discharge asymmetry, illustrates the modulation of flow distribution concerning alterations in the differences between kinetic losses of the branches, denoted with the parameter $\Delta \xi$. Each continuous line, distinguished by a specific hue, represents stable solutions, while the dashed curve denotes instances where the symmetrical solution becomes unstable. (Parameters: $\alpha = 1.3$, r = 0.88, $\vartheta = 0.15$, Fr = 0.31.)

numerical simulations, it has been observed that any alteration to the bed of the branch-640 ing channels leads to corresponding adjustments in the uniform flow depth profile. These 641 adjustments, driven by downstream boundary conditions, consequently result in discernible 642 changes to the water surface elevation at the bifurcation node. Especially noteworthy 643 is the effect of branch length on this phenomenon. In cases where the branching chan-644 nels are of limited length, the aforementioned alterations in flow division become non-645 trivial, causing an asymmetry that contributes to the stabilization of the bifurcation sys-646 tem. Conversely, when the branching channels exhibit substantial length, the impact of 647 these alterations diminishes, allowing the original model to remain a reliable predictor. 648 Thus, to accommodate these intricate effects within analytical models, a formulation akin 649 to an energy balance at the bifurcation node has been seamlessly integrated into the model 650 of Bolla Pittaluga et al. [2003]. 651

The newly introduced theory clearly demonstrates that symmetrical bifurcations 652 attain enhanced stability as the length of the branches decreases, as substantiated by 653 numerical simulations. Nonetheless, truly symmetrical systems are a rarity in natural 654 settings, prompting the inclusion of various asymmetry-inducing elements in the theory. 655 Intriguingly, when considering branches of differing lengths, the shorter branch emerges 656 as the preferred path for flow distribution. Nevertheless, scenarios may arise, particu-657 larly in the context of large rivers characterized by substantial aspect ratios, where the 658 longer branch may dominate by capturing the majority of the upstream flow. 659



Figure 12. Equilibrium configurations of bifurcations with downstream water level asymmetry. The equilibrium diagram, delineated in relation to discharge asymmetry, illustrates the modulation of flow distribution concerning alterations in the water level asymmetry downstream, denoted with the parameter Δh^L . Each continuous line, distinguished by a specific hue, represents stable solutions, while the dashed curve denotes instances where the symmetrical solution becomes unstable. (Parameters: $\alpha = 1.3$, r = 0.88, $\vartheta = 0.15$, Fr = 0.31.)

However, some limitations within the framework presented herein need to be ac knowledged, although they might be of straightforward incorporation. Factors such as
 channel curvature and its influence on sediment partitioning between branches, widen ing of the channels, and the presence of free/forced bars or prograding delta branches
 have not been included within the current model.

In light of these considerations, it is plausible to anticipate that the novel model presented in this study will facilitate an enhanced understanding of bifurcation evolution in estuarine environments subject to tidal fluctuations.

668 Acknowledgments

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest. This paper has been supported by the Italian Ministerial grant PRIN 2022 "Allogenic and Autogenic controls of DElta MOrphodynamics (AADEMO)", n. 2022P9Z7NP - CUP C53D23002080006, by the Po River Basin Authority grant "Updating the Po River Management Programme and integration with the delta branches" - CUP F22G16000000001, and by the Italian Ministerial grant PRIN 2022 "Reconciling coastal flooding protection and morphological conservation of shallow coastal environments (Prot&Cons)", n. 2022FZNH82 - CUP C53D23002050006.

677 References

- Baar, A. W., Boechat Albernaz, M., Van Dijk, W. M., & Kleinhans, M. G. (2019),
 Critical dependence of morphodynamic models of fluvial and tidal systems on
 empirical downslope sediment transport, *Nature Communications*, 10(1), 4903.
- Bagnold, R. A. (1966), An approach to the sediment transport problem from general physics, US government printing office.
- Barile, G., Redolfi, M., & Tubino, M. (2023). Analysis of autogenic bifurcation processes resulting in river avulsion, *EGUsphere*, 2023, 1-26.
- Bertoldi, W. and M. Tubino (2007), River bifurcations: Experimental observations on equilibrium configurations, *Water Resources Research*, 43, 10, DOI:
 10.1029/2007WR005907.
- Bertoldi, W., Zanoni, L., Miori, S., Repetto, R., and Tubino, M. (2009). Interaction
 between migrating bars and bifurcations in gravel bed rivers. Water Resources
 Research, 45(6).
- ⁶⁹¹ Blondeaux, P., and Seminara, G. (1985), A unified bar-bend theory of river meanders, *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, 157, 449-470.
- Bolla Pittaluga, M., Repetto, R. and M. Tubino (2003), Channel bifurcation in
 braided rivers: Equilibrium configurations and stability, *Water Resources Research*, 39(3), 1046, doi:10.1029/2001WR001112.
- Bolla Pittaluga, M., Luchi, R. and G. Seminara (2014), On the equilibrium profile of river beds, J. Geophys. Res. - Earth, 119, 317–332, doi: 10.1002/2013JF002806.
- Bolla Pittaluga, M., G. Coco, and M. G. Kleinhans (2015), A unified framework for
 stability of channel bifurcations in gravel and sand fluvial systems, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 42, doi:10.1002/2015GL065175.
- Camporeale, C., Perona, P., Porporato, A., & Ridolfi, L. (2007). Hierarchy of models
 for meandering rivers and related morphodynamic processes. *Reviews of Geo- physics*, 45(1).
- Colombini, M., Seminara, G., and Tubino, M. (1987), Finite-amplitude alternate
 bars, Journal of Fluid Mechanics, 181, 213-232.
- Edmonds, D. A. and R. L. Slingerland (2007), Mechanics of river mouth bar forma tion: Implications for the morphodynamics of delta distributary networks, *Journal* of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, 112(F2).
- Edmonds, D. A. and R. L. Slingerland (2008), Stability of delta distributary
 networks and their bifurcations, *Water Resources Research*, 44, W09426,
 doi:10.1029/2008WR006992.
- Engelund, F. and E. Hansen (1967), A monograph on sediment transport, *Technical University of Denmark*, *Technisk Forlag*, Copenhagen, Denmark.
- Ikeda, S., Parker G. and K. Sawai (1981), Bend theory of river meanders. Part 1 Linear development, J. Fluid Mech., 112, 363-377.
- Iwantoro, A. P., Van Der Vegt, M., and Kleinhans, M. G. (2020), Morphological
 evolution of bifurcations in tide-influenced deltas, *Earth Surface Dynamics*, 8(2),
 413–429.
- Jerolmack, D. J. (2009), Conceptual framework for assessing the response of delta
 channel networks to Holocene sea level rise. *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 28(17),
 1786—1800.
- Kleinhans, M. G., Jagers, H. R. A., Mosselman, E. and C. J. Sloff (2008), Bifur cation dynamics and avulsion duration in meandering rivers by one-dimensional
 and three-dimensional models, *Water Resources Research*, 44, W085454, doi:10 1029/2007WR005912.
- Leonardi, N., Kolker, A. S., & Fagherazzi, S. (2015). Interplay between river discharge and tides in a delta distributary. *Advances in Water Resources*, 80, 69-78.
- Lesser, G. R., Roelvink, J. V., van Kester, J. T. M., and Stelling, G. S. (2004), De-
- velopment and validation of a three-dimensional morphological model. *Coastal*

730	Engineering, 51(8-9), 883-915.
731	Mever-Peter, E. and R. Müller (1948), Formulas for bedload transport, III Conf. of
732	Internat. Ass. of Hydraul. Res., Stockholm, Sweden.
733	Miori, S., Repetto, R. and M. Tubino (2006). A one-dimensional model of bifurca-
734	tions in gravel bed channels with erodible banks. Water Resources Research 12
735	W11413 doi:10.1029/2006WB004863
736	Nienhuis I H Ashton A D Edmonds D A Hoitink A I F Kettner A I
730	Rowland I C and Törngvist T E (2020) Clobal-scale human impact on delta
737	morphology has led to net land area gain Nature $577(7791)$ 514—518
/ 30	Ramo N. Tambroni N. and Bolla Dittaluga M. (2020). Effort of small tidal flue
739	tuations on the stability and equilibrium configurations of bifurcations. <i>Lowrnal of</i>
740	Coonductions on the stability and equilibrium configurations of bintreations, <i>50th nut of</i>
741	Barne N. Bodelf, M. and Tubine M (2021). Coupled morphodynamics of river
742	higher and confluences. Water Research 57 c2020WB028515
743	https://doi.org/10.1020/2020WP028515
744	Pagna N. Padalfi M. Tambrani N. and Tubina M (2022) Modalling standy grain
745	agno, N., Redoni, M., Tambroni, N., and Tubino, M (2023), Modelling Steady gran
746 747	e2023JF007230.
748	Redolfi, M., Zolezzi, G., and Tubino, M. (2016), Free instability of channel bifurca-
749	tions and morphodynamic influence, Journal of Fluid Mechanics, 799, 476-504.
750	Redolfi, M., Zolezzi, G., and Tubino, M. (2019), Free and forced morphodynamics of
751	river bifurcations, Earth Surface Processes and Landforms, 44(4), 973-987.
752	Redolfi, M. (2023). Defining the length parameter in river bifurcation models: a
753	theoretical approach. Earth Surf. Process. Landforms. 2023;48:2121-2132.
754	Salter, G., Paola, C., & Voller, V. R. (2018). Control of delta avulsion by down-
755	stream sediment sinks. Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, 123,
756	142–166. https://doi.org/10.1002/2017JF004350
757	Seminara, G. (2006). Meanders. Journal of Fluid Mechanics, 554, 271–297.
758	doi:10.1017/S0022112006008925
759	Slingerland, R. and N. D. Smith (1998), Necessary condition for a meandering-river
760	avulsion, Geology, 26 (5), 435–438.
761	Van der Wegen, M., & Roelvink, J. A. (2012), Reproduction of estuarine bathymetry
762	by means of a process-based model: Western Scheldt case study, the Netherlands,
763	Geomorphology, 179, 152-167.
764	van Rijn, L. C. (1984), Sediment transport, II, Suspended load transport, J. Hy-
765	draul. Eng., 110(11), 1431–1456.
766	Viero, D.P., Dubon, S.L. and Lanzoni, S. (2018). Chute cutoffs in meander-
767	ing rivers: formative mechanisms and hydrodynamic forcing. In Fluvial Me-
768	anders and Their Sedimentary Products in the Rock Record (eds M. Ghi-
769	nassi, L. Colombera, N.P. Mountney, A.J.H. Reesink and M. Bateman).
770	https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119424437.ch8
771	Talmon, A. M., Struiksma, N., and Van Mierlo, M. C. L. M. (1995), Laboratory
772	measurements of the direction of sediment transport on transverse alluvial-bed
773	slopes, J. Hydraul. Res., 33 (4), 495–517.
774	Tejedor, A., Longjas, A., Edmonds, D. A., Zaliapin, I., Georgiou, T. T., Rinaldo,
775	A., and Foufoula-Georgiou, E. (2017). Entropy and optimality in river deltas.
776	Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 114(44), 11651–11656.
777	Wang, Z. B., Fokkink, R. J., De Vries, M. and A. Langerak (1995), Stability of river
778	bifurcations in 1D morphodynamics models, J. Hydraul. Res., 33 (6), 739–750.
779	Wilkerson, G. V., and Parker, G. (2011), Physical basis for quasi-universal relation-
780	ships describing bankfull hydraulic geometry of sand-bed rivers, J. Hydraul. Eng.,
781	137(7), 739-753.
782	Williams, G.P. (1978), Bank-Full discharge of rivers, Water Resources Research
783	14(6): 1141-1154.

- Wolman, M.G. and J.P. Miller (1960), Magnitude and frequency of forces in geomor phic processes, *Journal of Geology* 68: 54–74.
- Zolezzi, G. and Seminara, G. (2001), Downstream and upstream influence in river
 meandering. Part 1. General theory and application to overdeepening, *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, 438(13), 183–211.
- Zolezzi, G., Bertoldi, W., Tubino, M., Smith, G. H. S., Best, J. L., Bristow, C. S.,
- ⁷⁹⁰ & Petts, G. E. (2006). Morphological analysis and prediction of river bifurcations,
- ⁷⁹¹ Braided rivers: process, deposits, ecology and management, 36, 233–256.

⁷⁹² A: Coefficients of the asymmetrical linear system

The system of equations (14)-(17) is solved through a linearization procedure, in terms of the four unknowns $[q_b, q_c, D_b, D_c]$. With a perturbative approach, every variables and unknowns are expanded in terms of a small parameter δ as follows:

$$f = f_0 + \delta f_1 + \mathcal{O}(\delta^2) . \tag{A.1}$$

where f_0 represents the basic state and f_1 derives from a Taylor expansion around the basic state.

Substituting the expansions in the equations, it is possible to solve the system at
each order of approximation. At the leading order, a set of non-linear algebraic equations in terms of the basic state variable arise, that can be solved with a central finitedifference solver.

⁸⁰³ The order δ problem consists of the homogeneous linear system of equations 20. ⁸⁰⁴ The coefficients A_{ij} are defined as follows:

$$A_{11} = r_b, \tag{A.2}$$

$$A_{12} = r_c,$$
 (A.3)

$$A_{13} = 0,$$
 (A.4)

$$A_{14} = 0,$$
 (A.5)

$$A_{21} = \frac{2r_b\phi_{b0}\Phi_{\vartheta b}}{\phi_a q_{b0}},\tag{A.6}$$

$$A_{22} = \frac{2r_c \phi_{c0} \Phi_{\vartheta c}}{\phi_a q_{c0}},\tag{A.7}$$

$$A_{23} = \frac{r_b \phi_{b0}}{\phi_a} \left(-2\Phi_{\vartheta b} C_{Db} - \frac{2\Phi_{\vartheta b}}{D_{b0}} + \phi_{nb} \right), \tag{A.8}$$

$$A_{24} = \frac{r_c \phi_{c0}}{\phi_a} \left(-2\Phi_{\vartheta c} C_{Dc} - \frac{2\Phi_{\vartheta c}}{D_{c0}} + \phi_{nc} \right), \tag{A.9}$$

$$A_{31} = \frac{2RL_b C_a^2 q_{b0}}{r_a r_b D_{b0}^3 C_{b0}^2} - 1 + \frac{2\phi_{b0} \Phi_{\vartheta b}}{\phi_a q_{b0}},\tag{A.10}$$

$$A_{32} = \frac{2RL_b\gamma_L C_a^2 q_{c0}}{r_a r_b D_{c0}^3 C_{c0}^2},\tag{A.11}$$

$$A_{33} = -\frac{R}{r_a r_b} \left[1 + \frac{C_a^2 q_{b0}^2 L_b}{D_{b0}^3 C_{b0}^2} \left(2C_{Db} + \frac{3}{D_{b0}} \right) \right] + -\frac{\phi_{b0}}{\phi_a} \left(2\Phi_{\vartheta b} C_{Db} + 2\frac{\Phi_{\vartheta b}}{D_{b0}} - \phi_{nb} \right),$$
(A.12)

815

812

796

805

$$A_{34} = \frac{R}{r_a r_b} \left[1 + \frac{C_a^2 q_{c0}^2 L_b \gamma_L}{D_{c0}^3 C_{c0}^2} \left(2C_{Dc} + \frac{3}{D_{c0}} \right) \right],\tag{A.13}$$

$$A_{41} = \frac{2L_b C_a^2 q_{b0}}{D_{b0}^3 C_{b0}^2} + (1+\xi) \frac{Fr^2 q_{b0}}{D_{b0}^2}, \tag{A.14}$$

$$A_{42} = -\frac{2L_b\gamma_L C_a^2 q_{c0}}{D_{c0}^3 C_{c0}^2} - (1+\xi)\frac{Fr^2 q_{c0}}{D_{c0}^2},\tag{A.15}$$

⁸¹⁹
$$A_{43} = -\frac{C_a^2 L_b q_{b0}^2}{D_{b0}^3 C_{b0}^2} \left(2C_{Db} + \frac{3}{D_{b0}} \right) - (1+\xi) \frac{Fr^2 q_{b0}^2}{D_{b0}^3}, \tag{A.16}$$

$$A_{44} = \frac{C_a^2 L_b \gamma_L q_{c0}^2}{D_{c0}^3 C_{c0}^2} \left(2C_{Dc} + \frac{3}{D_{c0}} \right) + (1+\xi) \frac{Fr^2 q_{c0}^2}{D_{c0}^3}, \tag{A.17}$$

⁸²¹ where:

816

817

820

$$\Phi_{\vartheta b} = \frac{m\vartheta_{b0}}{\vartheta_{b0} - \vartheta_{cr}},\tag{A.18}$$

$$\Phi_{\vartheta c} = \frac{m\vartheta_{c0}}{\vartheta_{c0} - \vartheta_{cr}},\tag{A.19}$$

$$C_{Db} = \frac{1}{C_{b0}} \left. \frac{\partial C_b}{\partial D_b} \right|_{D_{b0}},\tag{A.20}$$

$$C_{Dc} = \frac{1}{C_{c0}} \left. \frac{\partial C_c}{\partial D_c} \right|_{D_{c0}},\tag{A.21}$$

$$\phi_{nb} = \frac{1}{n(D_{b0})} \left. \frac{\partial n}{\partial D_b} \right|_{D_{b0}},\tag{A.22}$$

$$\phi_{nc} = \frac{1}{n(D_{c0})} \left. \frac{\partial n}{\partial D_c} \right|_{D_{c0}},\tag{A.23}$$

$$R = \frac{\alpha r}{\beta_a \sqrt{\vartheta_a}}.$$
(A.24)

Noteworthy, for the case of symmetrical bifurcations, the coefficients (A.18)-(A.23) are equal between b and c. Therefore, they can be summed up as in (23).