# Spherical-Harmonic Distribution Analysis of Coronae in Relation to Volcanic Features on Venus

Wesley S. Tucker<sup>1</sup> and Andrew J. Dombard<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Illinois Chicago <sup>2</sup>University of Illinois at Chicago

November 22, 2023

#### Abstract

Venus boasts an abundance of volcano and volcano-like structures. Synthetic aperture radar images of the surface have revealed extensive evidence of volcanism, including lava flows and edifices. Volcanic activity is further supported by crater statistics, and analysis of topography and gravity data. Unique to Venus, coronae are quasi-circular, volcano-tectonic features exhibiting diverse volcanic characteristics. Despite this, volcanism is often under-represented in formation models. We identify a new subset of coronae that display topographic change subsequent to the emplacement of lava flows within their fracture annuli, pointing to the critical role of volcanism in the formation of these coronae. Through spherical-harmonic distribution analysis, we find that this new subset is spatially related to the full coronae database, pointing to an intrinsic process of coronae formation. Furthermore, coronae exhibit strong correlations and similar spectral shapes at low spherical harmonic degrees with large volcanoes, suggesting a shared geodynamic origin. Our findings underscore the pivotal role of volcanism in coronae formation and highlight the need for future research that integrates magmatic processes into geophysical models.

#### Hosted file

979756\_0\_art\_file\_11599163\_s4870d.docx available at https://authorea.com/users/633157/ articles/687783-spherical-harmonic-distribution-analysis-of-coronae-in-relation-tovolcanic-features-on-venus

#### Hosted file

979756\_0\_supp\_11599164\_s4870s.docx available at https://authorea.com/users/633157/articles/ 687783-spherical-harmonic-distribution-analysis-of-coronae-in-relation-to-volcanicfeatures-on-venus

1 2	Spherical-Harmonic Distribution Analysis of Coronae in Relation to Volcanic Features on Venus
3	Wesley S. Tucker <sup>1</sup> and Andrew J. Dombard <sup>1</sup>
4	<sup>1</sup> Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Illinois Chicago, Chicago, IL.
5	Corresponding author: Wesley Tucker ( <u>wtucke5@uic.edu</u> )
6	Key Points:
7	• A new subset of coronae shows topographic changes post-lava flow emplacement.
8 9	• Spectral analysis reveals strong long-wavelength similarities between coronae and large volcanoes.
10 11	• Volcanism plays a crucial role in corona formation and should be considered central in future models of formation.

## 12 Abstract

13 Venus boasts an abundance of volcano and volcano-like structures. Synthetic aperture radar

14 images of the surface have revealed extensive evidence of volcanism, including lava flows and

15 edifices. Volcanic activity is further supported by crater statistics, and analysis of topography

16 and gravity data. Unique to Venus, coronae are quasi-circular, volcano-tectonic features

17 exhibiting diverse volcanic characteristics. Despite this, volcanism is often under-represented in

18 formation models. We identify a new subset of coronae that display topographic change

subsequent to the emplacement of lava flows within their fracture annuli, pointing to the critical

20 role of volcanism in the formation of these coronae. Through spherical-harmonic distribution 21 analysis, we find that this new subset is spatially related to the full coronae database, pointing to

an intrinsic process of coronae formation. Furthermore, coronae exhibit strong correlations and

similar spectral shapes at low spherical harmonic degrees with large volcanoes, suggesting a

shared geodynamic origin. Our findings underscore the pivotal role of volcanism in coronae

25 formation and highlight the need for future research that integrates magmatic processes into

26 geophysical models.

# 27 Plain Language Summary

Venus's surface has a large number of volcanoes and features with characteristics similar to 28 volcanoes. Radar imagery of the surface reveals signs of volcanism such as lava flows and 29 volcanically built mountains. A unique volcanic feature, found only on Venus, are coronae, 30 which have circular fractures and various types of associated volcanic activity. Explanations of 31 32 how coronae form often do not consider the role of volcanic activity. In our study, we identify a new group of coronae that have undergone changes in topography after lava erupted and flowed 33 over them, which has been interpreted as volcanism having a central role in corona formation. 34 The locations of this subset of coronae on the surface fits well with all other coronae, suggesting 35 the processes that caused this topographic change occur throughout the corona population and 36 are a basic part of how all coronae form. Additionally, we find that coronae have a similar 37 pattern on the surface to large volcanoes, which indicates a shared, volcanically based origin. 38 Our work shows that in order to better understand coronae, we need to consider the role of 39 40 volcanism in their formation.

# 41 **1 Introduction**

42 NASA's Magellan spacecraft, with its single radar system, provided data covering nearly

43 the entire surface of Venus. The high-resolution (~75 m/pix) synthetic aperture radar (SAR) data

44 presented a detailed view of the surface, enabling the identification of extensive volcanic features

45 beyond the capabilities of the preceding Venera 15/16 missions. These improved data revealed a

46 more extensive range of volcanoes, with diameters from less than 1 km to over 100 km (e.g.,

47 Guest et al., 1992; Head et al., 1992), and provided a more detailed view of the intriguing

48 volcano-tectonic structures known as coronae (e.g., Squyres et al., 1992; Stofan et al., 1992).

49 Many hypotheses have been proposed regarding the formation and evolution of coronae, and the

50 debate has continued since the early days of the Magellan mission (e.g., Copp et al., 1998;

Davaille et al., 2017; DeLaughter & Jurdy, 1999; Dombard et al., 2007; Gerya, 2014; Gülcher et
al., 2021; Hoogenboom & Houseman; 2006; Janes & Squyres, 1995; Koch & Manga; 1996;

53 Lang and López, 2015; Smrekar & Stofan, 1997).

Numerous volcanoes and volcano-like structures are scattered across the surface of 54 Venus. Using Venera 15/16 data, volcanoes were initially binned into three size classes: small, 55 intermediate, and large (e.g., Slyuta, 1990; Slyuta & Kreslavsky, 1990). Following NASA's 56 Magellan mission, this classification system continued, in part for consistency (e.g., Head et al., 57 1992), but also for convenience as the individual SAR swaths were roughly 20 km wide during 58 the early stages of the mission (Crumpler et al., 1997). Further, these divisions were consistent 59 with the cumulative size distribution of the 1195 volcanoes identified by Crumpler et al. (1997). 60 Roughly half of the edifices identified in that study had diameters between 20 km and 100 km. 61

A recent survey substantially expanded on the number of volcanoes. Hahn & Byrne (2023) identified ~85,000 edifices. To skirt away from the arbitrariness of early size binning, the authors employed "mixture modeling" to determine if there are discrete populations of volcanoes based on their diameters and found two distinct exponential distributions when splitting the data into two sets: volcanoes between 5 km and 63 km (diameters were not measured for volcanoes  $\leq$ 5 km) and volcanoes > 63 km (see Figure 6 of Hahn & Byrne, 2023).

A specific focus of Venusian volcano studies has been on shield volcanoes, which make 68 up a significant percentage of the observed edifices. Early observations from Venera 15/16 data 69 noted the high number of small shield volcanoes, ~22,000, with just 25% of the surface being 70 imaged at the time (Aubele & Slyuta, 1990). Shield volcanoes often occur in relatively high 71 spatial concentrations, termed "shield fields" or "volcanic fields" (Figure 1). The most recent 72 catalogue of volcanoes included 566 volcanic fields (Hahn & Byrne, 2023). Shield volcanoes 73 display small topographic signatures and diameters of 20 km or less. They are proposed to result 74 from distinct crustal melt sources that are unable to supply magma at rates sufficient for building 75 76 a single edifice (Guest et al., 1991; Head et al., 1992; Ivanov et al., 2017; Thomas & Lang, 2016). 77



79

80

Figure 1. Magellan SAR image (75 m/pix) of a cluster of shield volcanoes (white box) from a
volcanic field identified by Hahn & Byrne (2023) within Belet-ili Corona (6°N, 20°E). Circular,
radar bright spots represent individual shield volcanoes.

84

Venus hosts more than 500 coronae, quasi-circular volcano-tectonic features (Stofan et
al., 2001a). Being unique to Venus, their existence might provide critical insights into the
differences between Earth's and Venus's lithospheres. For instance, they may contribute
significantly to the heat loss budget on Venus, which is difficult to explain due to the lack of
plate tectonics (e.g., Smrekar & Stofan, 1997; Smrekar et al., 2018).

The term "corona" refers to the features' morphology, specifically their circular structure composed of concentric fracture and ridge annuli (Barsukov et al., 1986). A survey of Magellan SAR images by Stofan et al. (2001a) significantly expanded the corona database to roughly its current size (see section 2.1). Though initially classified based solely on morphologic characteristics, a more comprehensive understanding of coronae emerges from four critical observations: an annulus of tectonic structures, varied and complex topography, a wide range of diameters, and associated volcanism (e.g., Dombard et al., 2007; Tucker & Dombard, 2023a).

97 The critical observations underscore the diverse characteristics exhibited by coronae. For example, the size of the fracture annulus within coronae varies from 10 km to over 150 km 98 (Stofan et al., 1992). Furthermore, the topographic signatures of coronae are diverse and 99 complex. Despite this, they have been categorized into nine distinct classifications (Smrekar & 100 101 Stofan, 1997; Stofan et al., 1997, 2001). Coronae cover a wide range of diameters, ranging from 102 as small as 60 km to as large as 2600 km for Artemis Corona. However, Artemis Corona is 103 approximately 1600 km wider than the next largest corona, Heng-O, and it may have formed through a completely different set of processes (e.g., Davaille et al., 2017; Hansen, 2002; 104 McKenzie et al., 1992; Sandwell & Schubert, 1992). 105

Last, coronae are closely associated with various forms and intensities of volcanism. Large lava flow fields, of the order of terrestrial flood basalts, have been linked to sources associated with coronae (e.g., Roberts & Head, 1993). Individual lava flows are also observable within and radiating from the fracture annuli (e.g., Tucker & Dombard, 2023a). Additionally, other volcanic edifices, such as tholi or small shield volcanoes, are often found within or on corona annuli (e.g., Lang & López, 2015; Roberts & Head, 1993; Russell & Johnson, 2021; and cf. Fig. 1).

Venus has a relative lack of impact craters when compared to other bodies in the solar system, apart from Earth, indicating a youthful surface and a history of resurfacing. This finding, coupled with the presence of abundant volcanic features, raises questions regarding the current level of geologic activity on Venus. Surveys of the crater population have identified approximately 900 impact structures (e.g., Herrick et al., 1997; Phillips et al., 1992; Schaber et al., 1992) with a spatial distribution that cannot be distinguished from a random population (e.g., Hauck et al., 1998; Phillips et al., 1992; Strom et al., 1994). The seemingly random distribution

of craters (see Figure 2 of Herrick et al., 2023) has led to an inferred global surface age ranging 120 from approximately 300 to 800 Myr (e.g., Hauck et al., 1998; McKinnon et al., 1997). Venus 121 does not show major effects of erosion (Arvidson et al., 1992), and thus the only explanation for 122 the lack of cratering is either volcanism or tectonism (Hauck et al., 1998). Two hypotheses have 123 emerged to explain Venus's cratering: a catastrophic resurfacing model (Schaber et al., 1992; 124 Strom et al., 1994) or a continuous (or equilibrium) resurfacing model (Phillips et al., 1992), 125 although, the catastrophic resurfacing model is no longer thought to be valid (e.g., Guest & 126 Stofan, 1999; Hauck et al., 1998; Herrick & Sharpton, 2000). On this basis, Venus could be 127 volcanically active today. 128

Indeed, several studies have presented intriguing findings of potential recent volcanism. 129 For instance, thermal emission data from the Visible and Infrared Thermal Imaging Spectrometer 130 (VIRTIS) on the European Space Agency's Venus Express spacecraft revealed emissivity 131 132 anomalies in lava flows in the Themis, Imdr, and Dione Regiones, all of which are suspected areas of hotspot volcanism. The absence of surface weathering in these regions suggests that 133 134 these lava flows could be as young as 250,000 years (Smrekar et al., 2010). Most recently, Herrick and Stanley (2023) observed a change in vent geometry of a shield volcano between two 135 Magellan image cycles. The first image was interpreted as a drained volcanic vent, which 136 appeared to refill and form a lava lake by the time of the subsequent imaging cycle. 137

Studies of gravity and topography data have also provided insights into the activity states 138 of volcanoes and coronae on Venus. The occurrence of uncompensated geoid highs in Atla, Beta, 139 140 and Eistla Regiones have been interpreted as areas underlain by active mantle plumes (e.g., Anderson & Smrekar, 2006; Grimm & Phillips, 1992; Smrekar, 1994; Stofan et al., 1995). 141 Johnson and Richards (2003) identified coronae as candidates for potential current activity based 142 on their compensated state. Dombard et al. (2007) further examined the gravity and topography 143 of coronae in the BAT region, concluding that some of these features are likely currently 144 underlain by an impinged thermal due to positive topography and geoid anomalies coinciding 145 146 with negative Bouguer anomalies.

A connection between the topographic signatures of coronae and their activity states has
 also been proposed (e.g., Smrekar & Stofan, 1997). Gülcher et al. (2020) compared the
 topographic signatures generated by their three-dimensional thermomechanical simulations to

150 the topography of existing coronae. They concluded that 37 coronae were likely active as their 151 topography matched the model's plume-lithosphere interaction stages. However, these methods 152 primarily assume that mantle upwelling and subsurface solid-state flow influence corona morphology and formation. In contrast, other volcanic-related processes, such as magmatic 153 loading (e.g., Dombard et al., 2007), collapsing magma reservoirs (e.g., Lang & López, 2015), or 154 volcanic construction (e.g., McGovern et al., 2013), could be responsible for coronae formation. 155 It is well established that both coronae and volcanoes show spatial clustering in the BAT 156 157 region (e.g., Hahn & Byrne, 2023; Head et al., 1992; Squyres et al., 1993; Stofan et al., 1992, 1995) (Figure 2). Their distribution, along with their relationship to topography and gravity, may 158 provide insights into mantle flow dynamics, and formation mechanisms. 159

160



161

162	Figure 2. Global distribution of volcanoes and corona subsets on Venus. Volcanoes with
163	diameters less than 63 km (N=84,894) are displayed using a kernel density estimate with a 500
164	km search radius (Silverman, 1986). The map is centered on 180° in Robinson projection with a
165	thrice compressed (~2025 m/pixel) Magellan SAR image (C3-MIDR) base layer. The yellow
166	outline is the Beta-Atla-Themis (BAT) region. For dataset descriptions, see section 2.

In this study, we explore the volcanic nature of coronae in greater detail. We identify a 168 new subset of coronae that have undergone topographic changes within the fracture annuli since 169 the emplacement of lava flows. This phenomenon, previously described by Tucker and Dombard 170 (2023a) in detail at Atete and Aruru Coronae, suggests a magmatic influence on corona 171 topography. To extend our understanding, we employ spatial analysis techniques and spherical 172 harmonics to investigate the global distribution and characteristics of volcanoes, coronae, and 173 subsets of these data. We focus on the interplay between coronae and volcanoes, with a specific 174 emphasis on the role of volcanic processes in the formation of coronae. Through our findings, we 175 aim to underscore the significance of coronae in our understanding of the volcanic processes 176 shaping Venus's surface and to reiterate the inherently volcanic nature of coronae. 177

## 178 **2 Data and Methods**

In our spherical harmonic analysis, we utilize a diverse selection of datasets. These include comprehensive catalogues of both volcanoes and coronae. In addition, we analyze specific subsets from these catalogues that have been compiled by previous studies. We also analyze a novel subset of coronae, identified for this work, characterized by lava flows within the fracture annuli that diverge from the modern downhill direction. In addition to the datasets discussed below, we use spherical harmonic coefficients from models of topography (VenusTopo719) (Wieczorek, 2015) and gravity (MGNP180U) (Konopliv et al., 1999).

186 2.1 Compiled datasets

A contemporary survey of volcanoes on Venus identified 85,021 volcanic features (Hahn 187 & Byrne, 2023). The authors catalogued volcanoes into three diameter bins: < 5 km (small), 5 to 188 189 100 km (intermediate), and >100 km (large). Of these, the majority (99%) were less than 5 km in diameter, while 729 were intermediate sized, and 118 were larger than 100 km. Moreover, Hahn 190 and Byrne (2023) identified 566 clusters of shield volcanoes, which they termed volcanic fields, 191 containing shield volcanoes with diameters of 20 km or less. Hahn and Byrne (2023) defined the 192 193 volcano size bins to aid in the mapping and measuring of edifices. Volcanoes  $\leq 5$  km were marked as point features, while the extents of volcanoes > 5 km were digitized, and their 194 195 diameters were calculated. However, for their spatial analysis (see Figure 7 of Hahn & Byrne, 2023), the authors classified volcanoes into those  $\leq 63$  km and those > 63 km (see section 1). To 196 be consistent with this modern catalogue of volcanoes, we will utilize these two size bins for our 197

subsets of the volcano catalogue. Notably with a minimum corona diameter of ~60 km, all
coronae are in the size range of large volcanoes.

We analyze coronae on the surface of Venus by combining the Type 1 and Type 2 corona dataset from Stofan et al. (2001a) and the Venus nomenclature database from the USGS (planetarynames.wr.usgs.gov). To further refine our analysis, we incorporate a subset of the combined corona database of coronae with lava flows that diverge from the downslope direction.

204 2.2 Corona associated divergent lava flows

Building on our preliminary work on Aruru and Atete Coronae and using the same methodology (Tucker & Dombard, 2023a), we have identified coronae with lava flows within their fracture annuli that diverge from the modern downhill slope direction in the combined corona dataset. Full resolution (~75 m/pix) Magellan synthetic aperture radar (SAR) images are imported into ESRI ArcMap and stretched to enable the identification of discrete lobate and digitate flow features. At coronae with suitable flow features, flow centerlines are mapped, and the azimuthal orientation is determined using the start and end points.

To determine if the lava flows follow the modern topography, the line azimuth is 212 compared to the regional slope facing direction (i.e., aspect). A 25 km buffer is placed around the 213 center point of each flow line, and the mean regional aspect is determined for the buffer area. 214 Aspect rasters are derived from Magellan global topographic data records (GTDR), and where 215 available, stereo-derived digital elevation models from Herrick (2020). Comparing the flow line 216 azimuth to the regional aspect provides data on changes in topography since the flows were 217 emplaced. Two new subsets of corona are recorded: coronae with intra-annular divergent flows 218 and coronae without intra-annular divergent flows. If the number of mapped flows that diverge 219 from the downhill direction is twice the number of mapped flows that do not have flow 220 divergence, we consider that corona as one with divergent flows. To determine the appropriate 221 cutoff for classifying coronae based on divergent and nondivergent lava flows, we analyze 222 coronae exhibiting both flow types (i.e., ignoring coronae with only divergent lava flows). A 223 predominant cluster is present around a ratio of 1, indicating a near-equivalent presence of both 224 flow types. Additionally, a Kernel Density Estimate (KDE) analysis produces a pronounced peak 225 near this ratio, with the density decreasing for higher ratios. Therefore, we consider a cutoff at a 226

ratio of 2 to be statistically and contextually apt for distinguishing between coronae with bothflow types and those where divergent flows prevail.

A total of 72 coronae, a clear subset of the total coronae population, had visible flows in their interiors; however, 42 of those had either too few flow units or flows without discernable margins. Divergent flows were identified in 24 corona interiors, while 6 did not have divergent lava flows (Figure 2) (Supporting Information Table S1). For simplicity, we will refer to a corona as either divergent or nondivergent when referring to coronae with lava flows that either diverge or do not diverge from the modern downhill direction.

235 2.3 Spherical harmonic analysis

To examine the distribution of coronae that have undergone topographic change, we use spectral analysis. Based on spherical harmonics, information about the wavelength that best describes the distribution can be discerned via power spectra. Spherical harmonic analysis is a useful tool for assessing the correlations and anticorrelations of global data subsets. Using this method will allow for comparison of the full corona dataset with the global volcano database and subsets of the corona database described above. Further, spectra of these data will be compared to long-wavelength features represented by continuous data such as gravity and topography.

The fully normalized spherical harmonic expansions of the distribution are given by
(Johnson & Richards, 2003; Kirchoff et al., 2011)

245 
$$f_{lm}(\theta,\phi) = P_l^m(\cos\theta)(c_{lm}\cos m\phi + s_{lm}\sin m\theta), \qquad (1)$$

where  $\phi$  is the longitude,  $\theta$  is the colatitude, and  $P_l^m$  is the associated Legendre polynomial of degree *l* and order *m*. The cosine and sine coefficients,  $c_{lm}$  and  $s_{lm}$ , are determined by projecting the locations of the features in the dataset onto a particular spherical harmonic:

249 
$$c_{lm} = \sqrt{\frac{(2-\delta_{0m})(2l+1)(l-m)!}{4\pi(l+m)!}} \sum_{n=1}^{N} P_l^m(\cos\theta_n) \cos m\phi_n$$
(2a)

250 
$$s_{lm} = \sqrt{\frac{(2-\delta_{0m})(2l+1)(l-m)!}{4\pi(l+m)!}} \sum_{n=1}^{N} P_l^m(\cos\theta_n) \sin m\phi_n,$$
(2b)

where N is the total number of features (coronae or volcanoes) in the dataset; in this definition,

the spherical harmonics are fully normalized. The Kronecker delta function,  $\delta_{lm}$ , is

253 
$$\delta_{0m} = \begin{cases} 1, & m = 0, \\ 0, & m \neq 0. \end{cases}$$
(3)

We use a maximum degree l = 20 for the expansion, which follows previous spherical harmonic analysis on Venus (e.g., Johnson & Richards, 2003). Figure 3 illustrates the relationship between spherical harmonic coefficients and the location of the features at several spherical harmonic degrees.

258



259

Figure 3. Robinson projected maps showing spherical harmonic representations of the full coronae database truncated at (a) l = 5, (b) l = 10, (c) l = 15, and (d) l = 20. Black dots are locations of coronae from the combined corona database. Hot colors (red) indicate relatively more dense concentrations of coronae and cool colors (blue) are less dense. All maps are centered at 180°E.

265

The spectral power is normalized for the number of features in a distribution, and with this normalization, will appear white for random distributions (Ribe de Valpine, 1994; Richards et al., 1988; Kirchoff et al., 2011):

$$S_l = \frac{4\pi}{N(2l+1)} \sum_{m=0}^{l} (c_{lm}^2 + s_{lm}^2).$$
(4)

Additionally, we check for randomness of the features by comparing their spectra to randomly generated latitude and longitude points on a sphere. Over 10,000 iterations, random latitude-longitude points are generated for the number of samples in the dataset of interest. In

each iteration, the latitudes and longitudes of points are randomly generated following a uniform 273 distribution on a sphere. The transformation functions  $\theta = 2\pi u$  and  $\phi = \cos^{-1}(2v - 1)$  where u 274 and v are random variates, are utilized for this purpose. To prevent the random points from being 275 artificially close to each other (e.g., if two coronae have diameters of 50 km each their centers 276 must be >100 km from each other), the ranges of the radii of the randomly generated points are 277 chosen to align with the distribution of the radii in the dataset. Each random point is assigned a 278 radius value dictated by the probability distribution that best represents the size distribution of 279 the dataset to which the random distributions is compared (e.g., a lognormal of the corona 280 diameters). The 1st to 99th percentile of the power per degree of the 10,000 iterations are 281 extracted, effectively creating a 98% confidence envelope and ignoring potential outliers. 282 Spectra with power that falls within the envelope indicates that there would be a less than 1 in 283 284 10,000 chance that they can be distinguished from the spectra of a random population.

Comparison of power spectra between two datasets, or between the full population and a subset of that population, is performed by obtaining the correlation coefficient per degree,  $r_l$ , of two distributions with (Johnson & Richards, 2003; Kirchoff et al., 2011; Richards et al., 1988)

288 
$$r_{l} = \frac{\sum_{m=0}^{l} (c_{lm}g_{lm} + s_{lm}h_{lm})}{\sqrt{\sum_{m=0}^{l} (c_{lm}^{2} + s_{lm}^{2}) \sum_{m=0}^{l} (g_{lm}^{2} + h_{lm}^{2})}}.$$
 (5)

Correlation values range from -1 to 1. Perfectly positive correlated distributions have a value of 1, anticorrelations are represented by a value of -1, and distributions with no correlation have a value of 0. Further, we use a two-tailed Student-t distribution to calculate the confidence interval for a percentage  $t_{\alpha}$ , with degrees of freedom 2*l* for the correlation coefficients (Press et al., 1987; Wetherill, 1982)

 $r_l = \pm t_\alpha \sqrt{\frac{1}{2l + t_\alpha^2}}.$  (6)

Datasets with distributions that are similarly represented by a spherical harmonic degree will be strongly correlated at that degree. As discussed by Kirchoff et al. (2011), the lack of direct superposition of features does not mean that the features will be anti-correlated, and the position of neighboring features affects correlation coefficients at high degrees. Similarly, equations (3a) and (3b) ensure that strong correlation will occur at low degrees for similar distributions.

## 301 **3 Results**

The locations of volcanoes, coronae, and their subsets are shown in Figure 2, offering a qualitative view of their distribution. To quantify these distributions and understand their relationships across varying spatial scales, we turn to the analysis of their power spectra. This method allows for the exploration of the spatial distribution characteristics of these features and their subsets, thereby offering insights into their potential correlations and similarities.

The spectra for divergent, nondivergent, and the full population of coronae is shown in 307 Figure 4a. We have normalized the spectra to equal 1 at l=2 for easy comparison. The 308 nondivergent coronae spectrum is white across all wavelengths, a pattern likely influenced by the 309 small sample size (N=6). In contrast, the spectra for the full population and the divergent subset 310 exhibit similarity at low spherical harmonic degrees, transitioning from a red (decreasing power 311 with wavelength to a white (flat) spectrum at higher degrees. This shift suggests a move towards 312 a more random or evenly distributed pattern at smaller scales. When examining the correlation at 313 low spherical harmonic degrees, we observe a strong relationship between the full corona 314 population and the divergent subset, indicating a shared large-scale spatial pattern (Figure 4b). 315 316 However, this correlation weakens at short wavelengths, staying below the 80% confidence level for *l* >14. 317

318



Figure 4. (a) Spectra for the full catalogue and subsets of coronae normalized to equal 1 at l = 2. (b) Correlation per degree between all coronae and divergent and nondivergent coronae. Dotted lines represent 80%, 95% and 99% confidence intervals, indicating a 20%, 5%, and 1% chance of randomness in correlation coefficients for points outside these lines, respectively.

324

Figure 5a shows the normalized power spectra of the full catalogues of coronae and 325 326 volcanoes, topography, and geoid. The geoid and topography spectra are red across all wavelengths and well correlated visually, consistent with previous studies (e.g., Johnson & 327 Richards, 2003; Konopliv et al., 1999; Rappaport et al., 1999; Wieczorek, 2015). Both the full 328 datasets of volcanoes and coronae exhibit similar spectral shapes at long wavelengths (l < 10), 329 transitioning from red to relatively white spectra at higher degrees. However, the volcano 330 spectrum's absolute power is approximately two orders of magnitude greater than that of the 331 coronae across all wavelengths. Furthermore, the volcano spectrum lies outside of the 99% 332 confidence bounds of the 10,000 random distributions (Figure 5c). Both outcomes are likely the 333 product of the large number of volcanoes providing good statistical robustness. 334

335



Figure 5. (a) Normalized spectral power for the full catalogue of coronae (N=545), volcanoes (N=85,021), topography, and geoid, set to equal 1 at degree 2 for comparison. Spectra for coronae and volcanoes are white at longer wavelengths, whereas topography and geoid remain red across all wavelengths shown. Spectral power of (b) coronae and (c) volcanoes without

normalizing to degree 2. The grey areas in panels (b) and (c) represent the 1st to 99th percentile
 bounds for 10,000 randomly generated samples of coronae and volcanoes, respectively.

Figure 6a shows the normalized spectra for all volcanoes, volcanoes  $\leq$  63 km, volcanoes 344 > 63 km, and volcanic fields, which contain high concentrations of shield volcanoes with 345 diameters  $\leq 20$  km as described by Hahn & Byrne (2023). The population of volcanoes is 346 dominated by edifices  $\leq 63$  km in diameter. Thus, these data have indistinguishable differences 347 in the shape of their spectra, and are perfectly positively correlated. As a result, observations 348 regarding spectra and correlations involving volcanoes  $\leq 63$  km diameter are valid for the 349 spectrum of the full catalogue. The volcanic fields spectrum shares a similar shape to the full 350 351 catalogue, but with less power loss at shorter wavelengths.

For volcanoes larger than 63 km in diameter, the spectral pattern diverges at spherical harmonic degrees greater than 12, becoming relatively blue (power increasing with wavelength). Given that the minimum diameter of coronae is approximately 60 km, our analysis focuses on comparing coronae with volcanoes larger than 63 km in diameter. The spectral shapes of these two datasets exhibit near-identical features at  $l \le 4$ .

In terms of formal correlation, coronae show strong alignment with all subsets of 357 volcanoes at l = 4. However, the correlation between coronae and volcanoes > 63 km weakens as 358 the harmonic degree increases, though it remains above the 80% confidence interval around l=8359 and l = 14. The minimum diameter of coronae is approximately 60 km. For this reason, we focus 360 our comparison of corona and volcanoes with those that are > 63 km in diameter. The similarities 361 in the shape of their spectrum are nearly identical when  $l \le 4$  (Figure 6a). Coronae are strongly 362 correlated with volcanoes and volcano subsets at l = 4. Correlations between volcanoes > 63 km 363 and coronae weakens with harmonic degree, but is above the 80% confidence interval around l =364 8 and *l* = 14 (Figure 6b). 365



367

Figure 6. (a) Normalized spectra for coronae and the full catalogue and subsets of volcanoes, set to equal 1 at l = 2 for comparison. The spectral pattern for the full population of volcanoes closely resembles that of volcanoes  $\leq 63$  km (N=84,894), which accounts for more than 99% of the total population. (b) Correlation between coronae and volcanoes, volcanic fields, and volcanoes > 63 km. Dotted lines represent 80%, 95% and 99% confidence intervals, indicating a 20%, 5%, and 1% chance of randomness in correlation coefficients for points outside these lines, respectively. The colors in (b) correspond to those in (a).

375

## 376 4 Discussion

Venus provides a unique context for investigating the relationship between mantle dynamics, surface topography, and volcanic activity. On Earth, the main source of mantle circulation is the cooling and sinking of oceanic lithosphere. While mantle plumes have a somewhat auxiliary role in Earth's heat budget, they are nonetheless driven by large-scale convection in the mantle (e.g., Davies & Richards, 1992; Lay et al., 2008; Sleep, 1990). In contrast, Venus may have two modes of mantle plumes: continuous axisymmetric mantle upwellings and transient thermals (Johnson & Richards, 2003; Robin et al., 2007). The interaction of the latter with the lithosphere produces the surface expression of a corona,
although the precise mechanism remains a matter of debate (e.g., Dombard et al., 2007; Gülcher
et al., 2020; Smrekar and Stofan, 1997; Tucker & Dombard, 2023a). The spectral analysis of the
spatial distribution of coronae and volcanic features provides valuable insights into these

complex geodynamic processes. The results have significant implications for our understanding
 of corona formation, the role of volcanic activity, and the broader mantle dynamics on Venus.

The lack of correlation between coronae and both topography and gravity on Venus 390 391 (Figure 7) is likely attributable to the coupled nature of large-scale mantle convection and 392 transient thermal plumes. Johnson and Richards (2003) proposed that the relative absence of coronae in the lowland regions is due to the suppression of transient thermals by broad 393 downwelling of cold lithosphere underlying these regions. Further, the concentration of coronae 394 in the BAT region, while also being absent from the direct vicinity of active volcanic highlands 395 396 in the BAT region, can be explained by the "capture" of transient thermals by the broad upwellings in the region. The correlation between topography and large volcanoes supports this 397 398 hypothesis (Figure 7), as the long-wavelength topography and gravity of Venus are associated with large-scale upwelling (e.g., Anderson & Smrekar, 2006; Grimm & Phillips; 1992; Kiefer & 399 Hager, 1992; Smrekar, 1994; Smrekar & Phillips, 1991). 400



Figure 7. Correlation between topography and gravity, volcanoes, volcanoes > 63 km, and
 coronae. Dotted lines represent 80%, 95% and 99% confidence intervals, indicating a 20%, 5%,
 and 1% chance of randomness in correlation coefficients for points outside these lines,
 respectively.

406

Approvement Approvement Approvement Approvement Approvement Approximate Approx 407 scarcity of volcanoes and coronae within these highlands contributes to the lack of correlation 408 between topography and volcanic features at this spherical harmonic degree. Further, these 409 regions explain the significant difference between the spectral power of volcanoes and the 410 bounds of the random samples (Figure 5c). The random sampling was not limited by geologic 411 boundaries; however, by constraining the latitude range for random sampling from the start to 412 sizes comparable to Aphrodite and Ishtar (a computationally inexpensive way to prevent 413 sampling from regions), the spectral power increases to a magnitude similar to that for the 414 volcano dataset (Supporting Information Figure S1). 415

The dearth of volcanic features observed in these highland plateaus can be ascribed to crustal thickening (e.g., James et al., 2013). These compensated highlands may represent old, thickened crust making it difficult for plumes to penetrate in these regions (Johnson & Richards, 2003). Conversely, the strong correlation between volcanic features at l = 4 (Figure 6) is caused by the BAT region clustering (Figure 8b).

421



422

Figure 8. Robinson projected maps, centered at 180°E, of (a) degree 4 truncated spherical
harmonic expansion of topography where low elevation is represented by blue and red it high
elevation, and (b) degree 4 truncated representation of the spherical harmonic expansion of the
full coronae dataset were blue represents low density and red represents high density regions.
Both maps are underlain by Magellan SAR mosaic. Pink triangles are locations of volcanoes >63
km in diameter, white circles (a) are corona locations, and green circles (b) are coronae with

divergent lava flows. The highland plateaus of Aphrodite Terra (60°E-150°E, ~30°S) and Ishtar
Terra (300°E to 60°E, ~75°N) are both largely represented in (a), the degree 4 topography, but
are absent from (b), the degree 4 representation of coronae.

432

433 The spectral analysis of divergent coronae reveals characteristics that align closely with those of the full population, supporting our assertion that they represent a subset of the 434 population rather than a distinct group. The concentration of divergent coronae in the BAT 435 region, consistent with the distribution of the full corona population, further supports this 436 conclusion. The post-emplacement change in slope direction of lava flows suggests a potential 437 shift or migration of the magmatic source (Tucker & Dombard, 2023a). Changes in stress 438 orientations could allow for new pathways for magma accent, facilitating migration away from 439 the interior and towards the fracture annulus (e.g., McGovern et al., 2013). The spatial 440 relationship of these divergent coronae to the complete catalogue, especially their concentration 441 in the BAT region, implies that this mechanism is integral to their formation rather than being a 442 consequence of some other regional or random phenomenon. The "white" spectrum and lack of 443 correlation seen in nondivergent coronae (Figure 8) is likely due to the small sample size. 444 However, the limited number of observed nondivergent coronae reinforces our interpretation that 445 this process is an intrinsic part of corona formation. 446

The relationship between volcanism and coronae is well established (e.g., Head et al., 1992; Roberts & Head, 1993). With few exceptions, the magmatic relationship is rarely explicitly incorporated in geophysical models of formation (e.g., Dombard et al., 2007; Lang & López, 2015), instead focusing on the dynamics of the plume-lithosphere interaction. In addition to observations of volcanism at coronae, the long wavelength spectral similarities between coronae and volcanoes > 63 km suggest that they are influenced by the same long-wavelength mantle dynamics.

In addition to the direct observations of volcanic activity at coronae, the spectral analysis reveals similarities between coronae and large volcanoes. Specifically, the long-wavelength spectral characteristics of these features exhibit similar trends as well as correlation (> 80% confidence) at various long-wavelength scales. This correlation is further strengthened by the observed clustering of coronae and large volcanoes in the BAT region. The patterns observed align well with models that invoke mantle material upwelling and its interaction with the lithosphere, resulting in volcanic activity and surface deformation. The strong correlation at low
 spherical harmonic degrees and shared spatial distribution underline a likely commonality in the
 mantle processes that drive coronae formation.

## 463 **5 Conclusion**

Observations of coronae from SAR images show many associated volcanic features and 464 landforms. By mapping discrete lava flows within corona fracture annuli, we have developed a 465 new subset of the corona dataset of coronae with topography that has changed since the 466 emplacement of those lava flows. Spectral power analysis of the distribution of divergent 467 coronae shows that they are a part of the same distribution of the full population of coronae, 468 rather than a random or regional occurrence. Furthermore, coronae have a strong correlation and 469 spectral shape at low spherical harmonic degrees with volcanoes of comparable scale suggesting 470 a shared geodynamic origin. 471

472 These observations underscore the significance of volcanism and highlight the need for future investigations that incorporate magmatic processes into models of corona formation, 473 474 instead of models that ascribe coronae as the products of plume/lithosphere interactions. As with many studies that rely on surface observations of Venus, improved resolution of surface SAR 475 476 imagery, topography, and gravity data would further refine our understanding of the geologic and geophysical processes related to coronae and volcanism. Improved data would likely 477 increase the number of coronae at which divergent lava flows due to better identification of flow 478 margins. Additionally, it would allow for measurements of flow divergence to be better 479 480 constrained.

## 481 Acknowledgments

Portions of this work were funded by the Illinois Space Grant Consortium. Early portions of this
work were presented at the Lunar and Planetary Science Conference with support from the
University of Illinois Chicago LAS Travel Award.

485 **Open Research** 

The global catalog of volcanoes developed by Hahn and Byrne (2023) is available
through the Washington University in St. Louis Open Scholarship repository (Hahn & Byrne,

- 488 2022). The Stofan et al. (2001a) catalogue of Type 1 and Type 2 coronae is available through the
- 489 NASA Planetary Data System (Stofan et al., 2001b). NASA Magellan Mission data, including
- 490 full-resolution and compressed SAR images (Pettengill, 1991) and global topography data (Ford,
- 491 1992), and stereo-derived topography data (Herrick, 2020) is available from the NASA Planetary
- 492 Data System. A portion of the spherical harmonic calculations were performed using SHTools
- 493 (Wieczorek & Meschede, 2018). The Venus topography model, VenusTopo719 (Wieczorek,
- 494 2015), and gravity model, MGNP180U (Konopliv et al., 1999), are available through the
- 495 *datasets* submodule in SHTools. The Python code SHPointPower for the spherical harmonic
- analysis is available via GitHub (Tucker, 2023), and GIS shapefiles of the mapped lava flow
- 497 centerlines are available via Zenodo (Tucker & Dombard, 2023b).
- 498
- 499 **References**
- Anderson, F. S., & Smrekar, S. E. (2006). Global mapping of crustal and lithospheric thickness
   on Venus. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, *111*(8), 1–20.
   https://doi.org/10.1029/2004JE002395
- Arvidson, R. E., Greeley, R., Malin, M. C., Saunders, R. S., Izenberg, N., Plaut, J. J., et al.
   (1992). Surface modification of Venus as inferred from Magellan observations of plains.
   *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 97(E8), 13303. https://doi.org/10.1029/92JE01384
- Aubele, J. C., & Slyuta, E. N. (1990). Small domes on Venus: Characteristics and origin. *Earth, Moon and Planets*, 50–51(1), 493–532. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00142404
- Barsukov, V. L., Basilevsky, A. T., Burba, G. A., Bobinna, N. N., Kryuchkov, V. P., Kuzmin, R.
  O., et al. (1986). The geology and geomorphology of the Venus surface as revealed by
  the radar images obtained by Veneras 15 and 16. *Journal of Geophysical Research*,
  91(B4), 378–398. https://doi.org/10.1029/JB091iB04p0D378
- Bindschadler, D. L., Schubert, G., & Kaula, W. M. (1992). Coldspots and hotspots: Global
  tectonics and mantle dynamics of Venus. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 97(E8),
  13495. https://doi.org/10.1029/92JE01165
- Copp, D. L., Guest, J. E., & Stofan, E. R. (1998). New insights into Coronae evolution: Mapping
  on Venus. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, *103*(E8), 19401–19417.
  https://doi.org/10.1029/97JE03182
- Davaille, A., Smrekar, S. E., & Tomlinson, S. (2017). Experimental and observational evidence
   for plume-induced subduction on Venus. *Nature Geoscience*, 10(5), 349–355.
   https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2928
- Davies, G. F., & Richards, M. A. (1992). Mantle Convection. *The Journal of Geology*, *100*(2).
   https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1086/629582

- DeLaughter, J. E., & Jurdy, D. M. (1999). Corona Classification by Evolutionary Stage. *Icarus*,
   *139*(1), 81–92. https://doi.org/10.1006/icar.1999.6087
- Dombard, A. J., Johnson, C. L., Richards, M. A., & Solomon, S. C. (2007). A magmatic loading
   model for coronae on Venus. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, *112*(4), 1–13.
   https://doi.org/10.1029/2006JE00273
- Ford, P. G. (1992). MGN V RDRS 5 global data record topographic V1.0 [Dataset]. NASA
   Planetary Data System. https://doi.org/10.17189/1522522
- Gerya, T. V. (2014). Plume-induced crustal convection: 3D thermomechanical model and
   implications for the origin of novae and coronae on Venus. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 391, 183–192. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2014.02.005
- Glaze, L. S., Stofan, E. R., Smrekar, S. E., & Baloga, S. M. (2002). Insights into corona
   formation through statistical analyses. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 107(E12), 18-1 18–12. https://doi.org/10.1029/2002JE001904
- Grimm, R. E., & Phillips, R. J. (1992). Anatomy of a Venusian hot spot: Geology, gravity, and
   mantle dynamics of Eistla Regio. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 97(E10), 16035.
   https://doi.org/10.1029/92JE01500
- Guest, J. E., Bulmer, M. H., Aubele, J. C., Beratan, K. K., Greeley, R., Head, J. W., et al. (1992).
   Small volcanic edifices and volcanism in the plains of Venus. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets*, 97(E10), 15949–15966. https://doi.org/10.1029/92JE01438
- Guest, J. E., & Stofan, E. R. (1999). A New View of the Stratigraphic History of Venus. *Icarus*,
   *139*(1), 55–66. https://doi.org/10.1006/icar.1999.6091
- Gülcher, A. J. P., Gerya, T. V., Montési, L. G. J., & Munch, J. (2020). Corona structures driven
   by plume–lithosphere interactions and evidence for ongoing plume activity on Venus.
   *Nature Geoscience*, *13*(8), 547–554. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-020-0606-1
- Hahn, R. M., & Byrne, P. K. (2022). A global catalog of volcanoes and volcanic fields on Venus
  [V2] (version 2) [Dataset]. Washington University in St. Louis. https://doi.org/10.7936/8XY0-X885
- Hahn, R. M., & Byrne, P. K. (2023). A Morphological and Spatial Analysis of Volcanoes on
   Venus. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets*, *128*(4), 1–26.
   https://doi.org/10.1029/2023JE007753
- Hansen, V. L. (2002). Artemis: Surface expression of a deep mantle plume on Venus. Bulletin of
   the Geological Society of America, 114(7), 839–848. https://doi.org/10.1130/0016 7606(2002)114<0839:ASEOAD>2.0.CO;2
- Hauck, S. A. I., Phillips, R. J., & Price, M. H. (1998). Venus: Crater distribution and plains
  resurfacing models. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets*, *103*(E6), 13635–13642.
  https://doi.org/10.1029/98JE00400
- Head, J. W., Crumpler, L. S., Aubele, J. C., Guest, J. E., & Saunders, R. S. (1992). Venus
  volcanism: Classification of volcanic features and structures, associations, and global
  distribution from Magellan data. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 97(E8), 13153.
  https://doi.org/10.1029/92JE01273

- Herrick, R. R. (2020). Magellan Venus stereo-derived topography Bundle. [Dataset].
   Geosciences Node. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.17189/1519332
- Herrick, R. R., & Hensley, S. (2023). Direct Observation of Volcanic Activity on Venus from
   Repeat Magellan Imaging. In L. and P. Institute (Ed.), 54th Lunar and Planetary Science
   *Conference*. Retrieved from https://www.hou.usra.edu/meetings/lpsc2023/pdf/1061.pdf
- Herrick, R. R., & Sharpton, V. L. (2000). Implications from stereo-derived topography of
   Venusian impact craters. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets*, 105(E8), 20245–
   20262. https://doi.org/10.1029/1999JE001225
- Herrick, R. R., Sharpton, V. L., Malin, M. C., Lyons, S. N., & Feely, K. (1997). Morphology and
  Morphometry of Impact Craters. In S. W. Bougher, D. M. Hunten, R. J. Phillips (Eds.), *Venus II: Geology, Geophysics, Atmosphere, and Solar Wind Environment* (pp. 1015–
  1046). Tucson, AZ: The University of Arizona Press.
- Herrick, R. R., Stahlke, D. L., & Sharpton, V. L. (2012). Fine-scale Venusian topography from
   Magellan stereo data. *Eos, Transactions American Geophysical Union*, 93(12), 125–126.
   https://doi.org/10.1029/2012EO120002
- Hoogenboom, T., & Houseman, G. A. (2006). Rayleigh-Taylor instability as a mechanism for
  corona formation on Venus. *Icarus*, *180*(2), 292–307.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2005.11.001
- Ivanov, M. A., Crumpler, L. S., Aubele, J. C., & Head, J. W. (2015). Volcanism on Venus. In H.
   Sigurdsson (Ed.), *The Encyclopedia of Volcanoes* (Second Edi, pp. 729–746). Academic
   Press. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-385938-9.00042-0
- James, P. B., Zuber, M. T., & Phillips, R. J. (2013). Crustal thickness and support of topography
   on Venus. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets*, *118*(4), 859–875.
   https://doi.org/10.1029/2012JE004237
- Janes, D. M., & Squyres, S. W. (1995). Viscoelastic relaxation of topographic highs on Venus to
   produce coronae. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, *100*(E10), 21173.
   https://doi.org/10.1029/95je01748
- Jellinek, A. M., Lenardic, A., & Manga, M. (2002). The influence of interior mantle temperature
   on the structure of plumes: Heads for Venus, Tails for the Earth. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 29(11), 1532. https://doi.org/10.1029/2001GL014624
- Johnson, C. L., & Richards, M. A. (2003). A conceptual model for the relationship between
   coronae and large-scale mantle dynamics on Venus. *Journal of Geophysical Research*,
   *108*(6), 5058. https://doi.org/10.1029/2002je001962
- Kiefer, W. S., & Hager, B. H. (1992). Geoid anomalies and dynamic topography from
  convection in cylindrical geometry: applications to mantle plumes on Earth and Venus. *Geophysical Journal International*, 108(1), 198–214. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365246X.1992.tb00850.x
- Kirchoff, M. R., McKinnon, W. B., & Schenk, P. M. (2011). Global distribution of volcanic
  centers and mountains on Io: Control by asthenospheric heating and implications for
  mountain formation. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 301(1–2), 22–30.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2010.11.018

Koch, D. M., & Manga, M. (1996). Neutrally buoyant diapirs: A model for Venus coronae. 604 605 Geophysical Research Letters, 23(3), 225–228. https://doi.org/10.1029/95GL03776 Konopliv, A. S., Banerdt, W. B., & Sjogren, W. L. (1999). Venus Gravity: 180th Degree and 606 Order Model. Icarus, 139(1), 3-18. https://doi.org/10.1006/icar.1999.6086 607 Lang, N. P., & López, I. (2015). The magmatic evolution of three Venusian coronae. Geological 608 Society, London, Special Publications, 401(1), 77–95. https://doi.org/10.1144/SP401.3 609 610 Lay, T., Hernlund, J., & Buffett, B. A. (2008). Core-mantle boundary heat flow. Nature Geoscience, 1(1), 25–32. https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo.2007.44 611 612 McGovern, P. J., Rumpf, M. E., & Zimbelman, J. R. (2013). The influence of lithospheric flexure on magma ascent at large volcanoes on Venus. Journal of Geophysical Research: 613 614 Planets, 118(11), 2423–2437. https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JE004455 McKenzie, D., Ford, P. G., Johnson, C. L., Parsons, B., Sandwell, D. T., Saunders, R. S., & 615 Solomon, S. C. (1992). Features on Venus generated by plate boundary processes. 616 Journal of Geophysical Research, 97(E8), 13533. https://doi.org/10.1029/92JE01350 617 McKinnon, W. B., Zahnle, K. B., Ivanov, B. A., & Melosh, H. J. (1997). Cratering on Venus. In 618 S. W. Bougher, D. M. Hunten, & R. J. Phillips (Eds.), Venus II: Geology, Geophysics, 619 Atmosphere, and Solar Wind Environment (pp. 969–1014). Tucson, AZ: The University 620 of Arizona Press. 621 Pettengill, G. (1991) MGN V RDRS derived mosaic image data record full res (V1.0 [Dataset]. 622 NASA Planetary Data System. https://doi.org/10.17189/1522523 623 Phillips, R. J., & Malin, M. C. (1983). The interior of Venus and tectonic implications. In D. M. 624 Hunten, L. Colin, T. M. Donahue, & V. I. Moroz (Eds.), Venus (pp. 159–214). Tucson, 625 AZ: The University of Arizona Press. 626 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.2307/j.ctv25c4z16. 627 Phillips, R. J., Raubertas, R. F., Arvidson, R. E., Sarkar, I. C., Herrick, R. R., Izenberg, N., & 628 Grimm, R. E. (1992). Impact Craters and Venus Resurfacing History. Journal of 629 Geophysical Research, 97(E10), 15923. https://doi.org/10.1029/92JE01696 630 Rappaport, N. J., Konopliv, A. S., Kucinskas, A. B., & Ford, P. G. (1999). An Improved 360 631 Degree and Order Model of Venus Topography. Icarus, 139(1), 19-31. 632 https://doi.org/10.1006/icar.1999.6081 633 Ribe, N. M., & de Valpine, D. P. (1994). The global hotspot distribution and instability of D". 634 Geophysical Research Letters, 21(14), 1507–1510. https://doi.org/10.1029/94GL01168 635 Richards, M. A., Hager, B. H., & Sleep, N. H. (1988). Dynamically supported geoid highs over 636 hotspots: observation and theory. Journal of Geophysical Research, 93(B7), 7690-7708. 637 638 https://doi.org/10.1029/JB093iB07p07690 Roberts, K. M., & Head, J. W. (1993). Large-scale volcanism associated with coronae on Venus: 639 Implications for formation and evolution. Geophysical Research Letters, 20(12), 1111– 640 1114. https://doi.org/10.1029/93GL01484 641 Robin, C. M. I., Jellinek, A. M., Thayalan, V., & Lenardic, A. (2007). Transient mantle 642 convection on Venus: The paradoxical coexistence of highlands and coronae in the BAT 643

644 64	region. <i>Earth and Planetary Science Letters</i> , 256(1–2), 100–119. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2007.01.016
640 647 648	<ul> <li>Russell, M. B., &amp; Johnson, C. L. (2021). Evidence for a Locally Thinned Lithosphere Associated</li> <li>with Recent Volcanism at Aramaiti Corona, Venus. <i>Journal of Geophysical Research:</i></li> <li><i>Planets</i>, <i>126</i>(8), 1–19. https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JE006783</li> </ul>
649 650	<ul> <li>Sandwell, D. T., &amp; Schubert, G. (1992). Evidence for Retrograde Lithospheric Subduction on</li> <li>Venus. <i>Science</i>, 257(5071), 766–770. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.257.5071.766</li> </ul>
65 652 653	<ul> <li>Schaber, G. G., Strom, R. G., Moore, H. J., Soderblom, L. A., Kirk, R. L., Chadwick, J., et al.</li> <li>(1992). Geology and distribution of impact craters on Venus: What are they telling us?</li> <li><i>Journal of Geophysical Research</i>, 97(E8), 13257. https://doi.org/10.1029/92JE01246</li> </ul>
654 655	<ul> <li>Silverman, B. W. (1998). Density Estimation for Statistics and Data Analysis. Routledge.</li> <li>https://doi.org/10.2307/2347507</li> </ul>
650 657	<ul> <li>Sleep, N. H. (1990). Hotspots and mantle plumes: Some phenomenology. <i>Journal of</i></li> <li><i>Geophysical Research</i>, 95(B5), 6715. https://doi.org/10.1029/JB095iB05p06715</li> </ul>
658 659 660	<ul> <li>Slyuta, E. N. (1990). Large Shield Volcanoes (&gt;100 Km in Diameter) on Venus: Morphologic</li> <li>Types. In 21st Lunar and Planetary Science Conference. Retrieved from https://articles.adsabs.harvard.edu/full/1990LPI21.1172S</li> </ul>
66 66 66	<ul> <li>Slyuta, E. N., &amp; Kreslavsky, M. A. (1990). Intermediate (20-100 Km) Sized Volcanic Edifices</li> <li>on Venus. In <i>21st Lunar and Planetary Science Conference</i>. Retrieved from</li> <li>https://articles.adsabs.harvard.edu/full/1990LPI21.1174S</li> </ul>
664 665	<ul> <li>Smrekar, S. E. (1994). Evidence for Active Hotspots on Venus from Analysis of Magellan</li> <li>Gravity Data. <i>Icarus</i>, <i>112</i>(1), 2–26. https://doi.org/10.1006/icar.1994.1166</li> </ul>
660 667 668	<ul> <li>Smrekar, S. E., Davaille, A., &amp; Sotin, C. (2018). Venus Interior Structure and Dynamics. Space</li> <li>Science Reviews (Vol. 214). Springer Nature B.V. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11214-018-</li> <li>0518-1</li> </ul>
669 670 672	<ul> <li>Smrekar, S. E., Kiefer, W. S., &amp; Stofan, E. R. (1997). Large volcanic rises on Venus. In S. W.</li> <li>Bougher, D. M. Hunten, &amp; R. J. Phillips (Eds.), <i>Venus II: Geology, Geophysics,</i></li> <li><i>Atmosphere, and Solar Wind Environment</i> (pp. 845–878). Tucson, AZ: University of</li> <li>Arizona Press.</li> </ul>
673 674 675	<ul> <li>Smrekar, S. E., &amp; Phillips, R. J. (1991). Venusian highlands: geoid to topography ratios and their</li> <li>implications. <i>Earth and Planetary Science Letters</i>, <i>107</i>(3–4), 582–597.</li> <li>https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-821X(91)90103-O</li> </ul>
670 671	<ul> <li>Smrekar, S. E., &amp; Stofan, E. R. (1999). Origin of Corona-Dominated Topographic Rises on</li> <li>Venus. <i>Icarus</i>, <i>139</i>(1), 100–115. https://doi.org/10.1006/icar.1999.6090</li> </ul>
678 679 680	<ul> <li>Smrekar, S. E., Stofan, E. R., Mueller, N., Treiman, A. H., Elkins-Tanton, L. T., Helbert, J., et al.</li> <li>(2010). Recent hotspot volcanism on Venus from VIRTIS emissivity data. <i>Science</i>,</li> <li>328(5978), 605–608. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1186785</li> </ul>
68 682 683	<ul> <li>Squyres, S. W., Janes, D. M., Baer, G., Bindschadler, D. L., Schubert, G., Sharpton, V. L., &amp;</li> <li>Stofan, E. R. (1992). The morphology and evolution of coronae on Venus. <i>Journal of Geophysical Research</i>, <i>97</i>(E8), 13611. https://doi.org/10.1029/92je01213</li> </ul>

- Squyres, S. W., Janes, D. M., Schubert, G., Bindschadler, D. L., Moersch, J. E., Turcotte, D. L.,
   & Stofan, E. R. (1993). The spatial distribution of coronae and related features on Venus.
   *Geophysical Research Letters*, 20(24), 2965–2968. https://doi.org/10.1029/93GL00866
- Stofan, E. R., Hamilton, V. E., Janes, D. M., & Smrekar, S. E. (1997). Coronae on Venus:
  Morphology and Origin. In S. W. Bougher, D. M. Hunten, & R. J. Phillips (Eds.), *Venus II: Geology, Geophysics, Atmosphere, and Solar Wind Environment* (pp. 931–965).
  Tucson, AZ: University of Arizona Press. https://doi.org/10.2307/j.ctv27tct5m
- Stofan, E. R., Sharpton, V. L., Schubert, G., Baer, G., Bindschadler, D. L., Janes, D. M., &
  Squyres, S. W. (1992). Global distribution and characteristics of coronae and related
  features on Venus: Implications for origin and relation to mantle processes. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 97(E8), 13347. https://doi.org/10.1029/92JE01314
- Stofan, E. R., Smrekar, S. E., Bindschadler, D. L., & Senske, D. A. (1995). Large topographic
   rises on Venus: Implications for mantle upwelling. *Journal of Geophysical Research*,
   *100*(E11), 23317. https://doi.org/10.1029/95JE01834
- Stofan, E. R., Smrekar, S. E., Tapper, S. W., Guest, J. E., & Grindrod, P. M. (2001a).
   Preliminary analysis of an expanded corona database for Venus. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 28(22), 4267–4270. https://doi.org/10.1029/2001GL013307
- Stofan, E. R., Smrekar, S. E., Tapper, S. W., Guest, J. E., & Grindrod, P. M. (2001b).
   Preliminary analysis of an expanded corona database for Venus. NASA Planetary Data
   System. https://pdsimage2.wr.usgs.gov/pub/pigpen/venus/Coronae/
- Strom, R. G., Schaber, G. G., & Dawson, D. D. (1994). The global resurfacing of Venus. *Journal* of *Geophysical Research*, 99(E5), 10,899-10,926. https://doi.org/10.1029/94JE00388
- Tucker, W. S. (2023). *SHPointPower* (v1.0.0) [Software]. Zenodo.
   https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10084438
- Tucker, W. S. & Dombard, A. J. (2023a). Evidence of Topographic Change Recorded by Lava
   Flows at Atete and Aruru Coronae on Venus. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets*,
   128, e2023JE007971. https://doi.org/10.1029/2023JE007971
- Tucker, W. S. & Dombard, A. J. (2023b) SI files for Spherical-harmonic distribution of coronae
   in relation to volcanic features on Venus. [Dataset]. Zenodo.
   https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10144166
- Wieczorek, M. A. (2015). Gravity and topography of the terrestrial planets. In G. Schubert & T.
   Spohn (Eds.), *Treatise on Geophysics* (2nd ed., Vol. 10, pp. 153–193). Oxford, UK:
   Elsevier-Pergamon. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-53802-4.00169-X
- Wieczorek, M. A., & Meschede, M. (2018). SHTools: Tools for Working with Spherical
   Harmonics. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, 19*(8), 2574–2592.
- 719 https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GC007529
- 720