# Modeling the Dynamic Global Distribution of the Ring Current Oxygen Ions Using Artificial Neural Network Technique

Qiushuo Wang<sup>1</sup>, Chao Yue<sup>2</sup>, Jinxing Li<sup>3</sup>, Jacob Bortnik<sup>3</sup>, Donglai Ma<sup>4</sup>, and Chae-Woo Jun<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Peking University <sup>2</sup>Peking University <sup>3</sup>University of California Los Angeles <sup>4</sup>Department of Atmospheric and Oceanic Sciences, University of California <sup>5</sup>Institute for Space-Earth Environmental Research, Nagoya University

November 8, 2023

#### Abstract

The ring current is an important component of the Earth's near-space environment, as its variations are the direct driver of geomagnetic storms that can disrupt power grids, satellite communications, and navigation systems, thereby impacting a wide range of technological and human activities. Oxygen ions (O+) are one of the major components of the ring current and play a significant role in both the enhancement and depletion of the ring current during geomagnetic storms. Although a standard statistical study can provide average global distributions of ring current ions, it can't offer insight into the short-term dynamic variations of the global distribution. Therefore, we employed the Artificial Neural Network (ANN) technique to construct a global ring current O+ ion model based on the Van Allen Probes observations. Through optimization of the combination of the oxygen ion flux distributions and demonstrates remarkable accuracy and minimal errors. Additionally, the model effectively reconstructs the temporal variation of ring current O+ ions for an out-of-sample dataset. Furthermore, the model provides a comprehensive and dynamic representation of global ring current O+ ion distribution. It accurately captures the dynamics of O+ ions during a geomagnetic storm with the oxygen ion fluxes enhancement and decay, and reveals distinct characteristics for different energy levels, such as injection from the plasma sheet, outflow from the ionosphere, and magnetic local time asymmetry.

#### Hosted file

978322\_0\_art\_file\_11548503\_s3hq7z.docx available at https://authorea.com/users/695309/ articles/684183-modeling-the-dynamic-global-distribution-of-the-ring-current-oxygenions-using-artificial-neural-network-technique

# Modeling the Dynamic Global Distribution of the Ring Current Oxygen Ions Using Artificial Neural Network Technique

## 3 Qiushuo Wang<sup>1</sup>, Chao Yue<sup>1</sup>, Jinxing Li<sup>2</sup>, Jacob Bortnik<sup>2</sup>, Donglai Ma<sup>2</sup>, Chae-Woo Jun<sup>3s</sup>

- <sup>4</sup> <sup>1</sup> Institute of Space Physics and Applied Technology, Peking University, Beijing, China
- <sup>5</sup> <sup>2</sup> Department of Atmospheric and Oceanic Sciences, UCLA, Los Angeles, CA, USA.
- <sup>6</sup> <sup>3</sup> Institute for Space-Earth Environmental Research (ISEE), Nagoya University, Nagoya, Japan
- 7
- 8 Corresponding author: Chao Yue (<u>yuechao@pku.edu.cn</u>)

## 9 Key Points:

- An artificial neural network model was built to reconstruct ring current oxygen ions using geomagnetic indices as input.
- The model shows high accuracy in out-of-sample tasks and successfully captures the enhancement and decay of oxygen ion fluxes.
- The model successfully reproduces the variation of global distribution for oxygen ions of different energies during geomagnetic storms.

#### 17 Abstract

The ring current is an important component of the Earth's near-space environment, as its 18 variations are the direct driver of geomagnetic storms that can disrupt power grids, satellite 19 communications, and navigation systems, thereby impacting a wide range of technological and 20 human activities. Oxygen ions  $(O^+)$  are one of the major components of the ring current and play 21 22 a significant role in both the enhancement and depletion of the ring current during geomagnetic storms. Although a standard statistical study can provide average global distributions of ring 23 current ions, it can't offer insight into the short-term dynamic variations of the global 24 distribution. Therefore, we employed the Artificial Neural Network (ANN) technique to 25 construct a global ring current  $O^+$  ion model based on the Van Allen Probes observations. 26 Through optimization of the combination of input geomagnetic indices and their respective time 27 history lengths, the model can well reproduce the spatiotemporal variation of the oxygen ion flux 28 distributions and demonstrates remarkable accuracy and minimal errors. Additionally, the model 29 effectively reconstructs the temporal variation of ring current O+ ions for an out-of-sample 30 dataset. Furthermore, the model provides a comprehensive and dynamic representation of global 31 ring current O+ ion distribution. It accurately captures the dynamics of O+ ions during a 32 geomagnetic storm with the oxygen ion fluxes enhancement and decay, and reveals distinct 33 characteristics for different energy levels, such as injection from the plasma sheet, outflow from 34 35 the ionosphere, and magnetic local time asymmetry.

36

#### 37 Plain Language Summary

The ring current, a significant part of Earth's space environment, is closely linked to geomagnetic 38 disturbances that can disrupt power grids, satellite communications, and navigation systems, 39 affecting our daily lives. Oxygen ions (O+) are a key component of the ring current during 40 geomagnetic active time. In our study, we use a powerful tool called Artificial Neural Networks 41 (ANN) to create a model for ring current O+ behavior. This model only requires F10.7 and 42 geomagnetic indices as inputs. By carefully selecting the best combination of the geomagnetic 43 indices and their time history, we built a model that can accurately mimic the behavior of oxygen 44 ions observed by satellites. Our model demonstrates that the levels of O+ ion fluxes rise and fall 45 at various locations during geomagnetic disturbance, which is consistent with previous 46 observational trend. The model also helps us to understand the global distribution of O+ ions in 47 real time. During a test case of a geomagnetic storm, the model revealed details about energy-48 dependent O+ ion flux enhancement and decay. 49

50

#### 51 **1 Introduction**

The terrestrial ring current is a distinctive current system flowing toroidally around the Earth, 52 centered at the equatorial plane over a geocentric distance ranging from  $L \sim 2$  to  $L \sim 7$ . Here 53 the McIlwain L is the distance in Earth radii  $(R_E)$  from the Earth's center to the point where a 54 geomagnetic field line crosses the equator (Daglis et al., 1999). The enhancement of ring current 55 causes global decreases in the Earth's surface magnetic field, known as geomagnetic storms. 56 Intense geomagnetic storms can disrupt power grids, satellite communications, and navigation 57 systems, impacting various technological and human activities. The ring current is formed due to 58 the collective azimuthal drift of energetic charged particles due to the gradient and curvature of 59

60 the Earth's magnetic field (Baumjohann & Treumann, 1996). Electrons move eastward, while 61 energetic ions above a several keV typically move westward (De Michelis et al., 1997; Yue et 62 al., 2017), forming the westward directed ring current.

The ring current is mainly composed of hydrogen (H+), helium (He+) and oxygen (O+) ions 63 from ~10s to several hundreds of keV (Williams, 1987; Daglis et al., 1993; Fu et al., 2023). Due 64 to the negligible mass (and hence energy density) of electrons, their contribution to the ring 65 current is minor (Baumjohann, 1993). During quiet times, H+ is the dominant ion species, while 66 the contribution from O+ increases drastically during active times and may become the dominant 67 ion species during the storm main phase (e.g. Daglis et al., 1999; Yue et al., 2019). Daglis (1997) 68 showed that as the storm intensity increases, the contribution of O+ to the ring current rises. Yue 69 et al. (2019) showed that the O+ ion fluxes intensify during the storm main phase and then 70 rapidly decay during the storm recovery phase, suggesting that O+ ion buildup and decay rates 71 are significantly faster than H+ ions. The faster build-up is probably related to some species-72 dependent source and/or energization processes in the inner magnetosphere (e.g., Yue et al., 73 2019), while the faster decay of O+ ions may be caused by the faster charge exchange and 74 Coulomb collision rates (e.g., Chen et al., 2020). 75

Ring current ions may originate from the Earth's plasma sheet and/or directly enter the inner 76 magnetosphere from the terrestrial ionosphere (e.g. Chappell et al., 1987, 2000, 2008; Delcourt 77 78 et al., 1992; Gkioulidou et al., 2019; Huddleston et al., 2005; Keika et al., 2016, 2018; Kronberg et al., 2014; Sheldon et al., 1998; Yue et al., 2020). With increasing geomagnetic activity, a 79 80 broader range of ion species, including the heavy O+ ions, are transported into the inner magnetosphere, thereby enhancing the ring current. During this transport process, these ions gain 81 energy through adiabatic transport driven by large-scale convection, mesoscale impulsive 82 dipolarizations, and localized nonadiabatic acceleration (Keika et al., 2013; Yang et al., 2011; 83 Zong et al., 2012; Zhou et al., 2012). During geomagnetically active periods, ring current ions 84 including O+ ions, are depleted due to Coulomb collisions and charge exchange processes with 85 the geocorona, as well as pitch-angle scattering induced by electromagnetic ion cyclotron 86 (EMIC) waves (Jordanova et al., 1997; Jordanova, 2007; Kennel & Petschek, 1966; Meredith et 87 al., 2003) and current sheet scattering (Donovan et al., 2003; Liang et al., 2014; Sergeev & 88 Tsyganenko, 1982; Sergeev 87 et al., 1983, 1993; Yue et al., 2014). These mechanisms lead to 89 the decay of the ring current back to quiescent levels. 90

The Artificial neural network (ANN) model has become a powerful machine learning (ML) 91 technique able to build empirical models with the development of hardware facilities and the 92 increasing computing power in recent years. Recent studies (e.g. Chu et al., 2021) show that 93 ANN model for radiation belt electrons does not rely on any initial conditions, boundary 94 conditions, or certain assumptions. Compared with traditional empirical models (e.g. 95 Claudepierre et al., 2016), the ANN model offers a remarkable advantage in capturing intricate 96 patterns and relationships directly from data that are very hard to detect otherwise. This is 97 particularly advantageous when dealing with complex, nonlinear systems or when the underlying 98 physics is not well understood. Moreover, ANN excels at handling noisy or incomplete data, 99 making them a robust choice for space science scenarios where data quality from satellites may 100 vary. Additionally, their scalability to large and high-dimensional datasets further enhances their 101 utility in various domains. Utilizing the ANN technique, Bortnik et al. (2016) developed a 102 103 method to use ANNs to capture the spatiotemporal variability of plasma density at the equatorial

region, employing the Sym-H index as the primary predictor. Chu, Bortnik, Li, Ma, Denton, et 104 105 al. (2017); Chu, Bortnik, Li, Ma, Angelopoulos, and Thorne (2017) and Zhelavskaya et al. (2017) created dynamic plasma density models using multiple input sources, including solar 106 107 wind parameters in addition to time series of geomagnetic indices. Additionally, the ANN technique has proven successful in reconstructing distributions of radiation belt electrons (S. G. 108 Claudepierre & O'Brien, 2020; Chu et al., 2021; Ma et al., 2022; Landis et al., 2022) and wave 109 distributions (Bortnik et al., 2018; Guo et al., 2022). Li et al. (2023) developed an ANN model to 110 reconstruct the distribution and dynamic variation of ring current protons by optimizing the best 111 combination of geomagnetic indices and time history length. 112

In this study, we utilize the ANN model to predict ring current oxygen ion fluxes across a range 113 of energy channels spanning from 38 keV to 870 keV. The ANN model is constructed based on 114 particle fluxes measured aboard the Van Allen Probes, and uses solely geomagnetic indices as 115 input parameters. Notably, the ANN model operates independently of any specific initial or 116 boundary conditions, relying instead on the direct influence of geomagnetic activity, as 117 expressed by the geomagnetic indices on the fluctuations in ring current oxygen ion fluxes. This 118 119 unique characteristic, which distinguishes the ANN model from traditional physics-based approaches, opens up new avenues for comprehending the dynamics of the ring current. 120 Furthermore, our ANN model exhibits exceptional robustness, effectively filtering out noise 121 presented in the measurements obtained from the Van Allen Probes. This robustness enhances 122 the reliability of our model. Through rigorous analysis, we demonstrate that our ANN model 123 accurately captures the dominant patterns of variability observed during geomagnetic storm 124 periods. Additionally, it accounts for variations across different magnetic local time (MLT) 125 intervals for distinct energy channels, providing valuable insights into the intricate behavior of 126 the ring current under varying conditions. 127

#### 128 **2 Data Description**

- 129 The predictors, which are the input parameters of the model, include several geomagnetic and
- 130 solar indices, the candidate indices are Sym-H, Sym-D, Asy-H, Asy-D, SME, and F10.7. The
- 131 asymmetric (Asy) and symmetric (Sym) disturbance indices describe the geomagnetic
- disturbances at mid-latitudes, including the horizontal (H) and the east-west (D) components.
- 133 The 1-min resolution Sym/Asy indices used in this study are obtained from the Coordinated Data
- 134 Analysis Web (CDAWeb). SME is the SuperMAG version of Auroral Electrojet (AE) index,
- 135 which is typically and indication of particle injections during substorms. F10.7 is the solar radio
- 136 flux at a wavelength of 10.7 cm (2800 MHz), which is an excellent indicator of solar activity and
- 137 is usually used as a proxy for high frequency X-ray and UV radiation which is strongly
- attenuated by the atmosphere. Figure 1 illustrates an overview of above mentioned geomagnetic
- indices and the F10.7 index from 2013 to 2018.



140

141 Figure 1. Overview of predictors from 2013 to 2018. The predictors include the (a) Sym-H, (b) Sym-D, (c)

142 Asy-H, (d) Asy-D, (e) SME and (f) F10.7 indices.



144 The oxygen ion flux data we use in this study are obtained from the Van Allen Probes, which operated on an elliptical orbit of  $1.2R_E \times 5.8R_E$ . We use energy channels from 38 keV to 145 870 keV, with data from 38 – 52 keV measured by the Helium Oxygen Proton Electron 146 (HOPE) instrument (Funsten et al., 2013), and data from  $71 - 870 \, keV$  measured by the 147 Radiation Belt Storm Probes Ion Composition Experiment (RBSPICE) instrument (Mitchell et 148 al., 2013). Data ranges from February 2013 to April 2019, and is reduced to have a time 149 resolution of 1 minute. Figure 2 shows O+ ion fluxes at selected energies versus L-shell and the 150 151 Sym/H, SME, and F10.7 indices throughout 2017.



153 Figure 2. (a) SME, (b) Sym-H, (c) F10.7, and (d-f) oxygen ion fluxes measured throughout

154 **the year of 2017.** 

#### 155 **3 Methodology**

156 3.1 Model Description

In this study, the 2 dimensional (2D) distributions of fluxes of ring current oxygen ions are reconstructed using a fully connected multi-layer ANN. This network consists of massively parallel distributed processors with processing units called neurons. These neurons perform progressive learning of the inputs using a learning algorithm that involves orderly modificationof the synaptic weights until a required objective is achieved as an output.

Each layer in ANN has interconnected neurons, with connections defined by weights matrix Wand bias vector  $\boldsymbol{b}$ . For a given input vector  $\boldsymbol{z}$  in layer l, the output of this layer can be expressed as

$$z_j^{l+1} = f\left(\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} z_i^l w_{ij}^l + b_i^l\right)$$

- Here, *i* and *j* are the number of neurons in each layer,  $w_{ij}^l$  and  $b_i^l$  are the weight and bias in each layer. The activation function f(z) is applied to obtain the final output of the layer. In this study, we use the ReLU function  $f(z) = \max(0, z)$ , which is widely used for regression tasks (e.g. Ramachandran et al., 2017). This process is performed at each layer during the forward propagation phase. The output  $z_j^{l+1}$  in layer *l* is the input of the next layer l + 1. It allows for the mapping of the input data to nonlinear representations, enabling the network to capture complex patterns and relationships. This iterative process continues until it reaches the output layer.
- In the training process, the ANN model can adjust the weights  $(w_{ij}^l)$  and biases  $(b_i^l)$  of each layer 172 automatically (Rumelhart et al., 1986), minimizing the difference between the network's final 173 output and the true output (the measured oxygen fluxes). We use Tensorflow software, adopting 174 2 hidden layers and 75 neurons for each layer. We choose mean square error (MSE) as the loss 175 function and use stochastic gradient descent (SGD) optimizer to minimize it. The training 176 process stops when the training goes for 2000 epoches or the MSE of the validation set stops 177 improving for 32 consecutive steps to prevent overfitting (Ma et al., 2022). The model 178 performance is evaluated in term of the coefficient of determination R of the test set, which is 179 expressed as 180

$$R = \sqrt{1 - \frac{\sum_i (z_i - \hat{z})^2}{\sum_i (z_i - \bar{z})^2}}$$

Here  $z_i$  is the measured value for each test sample,  $\overline{z}$  is the mean value of  $z_i$ , and  $\hat{z}$  is the predicted value for each test sample.

183 Since the particle flux span over a few orders of magnitude, we model the lograrithm of the

particle flux with a base of 10, and remove all invalid flux data. The whole data set are split into

contiguous 1-day segments (Ma et al., 2022; Li et al., 2023). We first we use a 5-fold cross-

validation method to find out the best combination of input parameters. We select each whole year as the test set, and partition the rest of data segments into a training set ( $\sim 80\%$ ) and a

validation set ( $\sim 20\%$ ). After we obtain the optimal combination of predictors, we construct a

189 new model using the predictors that produce the best performance.

190

191 3.2 Selection of input parameters and time history length

- 192 The input to the first layer in our model includes  $X(t_0)$  and  $P(t_0)$ .  $X(t_0)$  is the spacecraft's
- location in the solar magnetospheric (SM) coordinates at the time of the observation  $t_0$ ,
- including  $L, cos\theta, sin\theta, MLAT$ . Here MLAT is the geomagnetic latitude,  $\theta$  is the azimuthal angle
- where 0  $^{\circ}$  indicates the direction pointing to the Sun. We use both  $cos\theta$  and the  $sin\theta$  to describe
- the azimuthal coordinate is to eliminate the discontinuity at  $\theta = 0$  following the approach of
- Bortnik et al. (2016).  $P(t_0)$  is a  $m \times n$  tensor denoting a series of geomagnetic indices, where mdenotes the number of geomagnetic indices used, and n denotes the time history length with with
- a 1-hour resolution. Specifically, the number  $P_{ii}$  denotes the *i*th predicter at the time of *j* hours
- 200 prior to  $t_0$ .
- Following the procedure of the work by Ma et al., (2022), we perform a series of experiments to
- select the optimal predictors. We first use coordinates as the only input, and perform 5
- experiments in which each whole year of data is used as the test set. Then we calculate the
- averaged R score. Table 1 illustrates the results for the 52 keV O+ ions, showing an averaged R
- score of 0.434. Then, we add each candidate parameter (including their history over the past few
- days) into the input-, and evaluate the resultant R score. The model incorporating the SME
- 207 index produce a R score of 0.743, outperforming those incorporating other parameters. We
- continue this process, and identify the parameters that most efficiently improve our model. The
- 209 exploration for optimal predictors concludes when the model ceases to yield improvements when
- adding additional parameters.
- 211 The SME index makes the most significant improvement to the model performance, increasing
- the R value of 52 keV O+ from 0.43 to 0.74. This possibly reflects that substorm effects make a
- 213 major contribution to the ring current O+ ion enhancements. Table 1 suggests that the
- $214 \qquad \text{combination of SME} + \text{Sym-H} + \text{F10.7 yields the best performance for modeling the 52 keV O+}$
- 215 ion flux. This is understandable, since the Sym-H index reflects the intensity of the ring current
- 216 iteself, the SME reflects the intensity of substorm injections, and the F10.7 reflects the intensity
- of solar illumination which closely correlates with the degree of photoionization in the
- ionosphere and potential upflow/outflow of the O+ ions. All these activities can direct impact the
- sources of ring current oxygen ions.

Input parameters		R score
Settled parameters	Pending parameters	
	Coordinates	0.434
coordinates	Sym-H	0.478
	Sym-D	0.460
	Asy-H	0.451
	Asy-D	0.429
	SME	0.743
	F10.7	0.387
coordinates + SME	Sym-H	0.832
	Sym-D	0.824
	Asy-H	0.831
	Asy-D	0.824
	F10.7	0.828
coordinates+ SME + sym-H	Sym-D	0.830
	Asy-H	0.839
	Asy-D	0.828
	F10.7	0.846

coordinates+ SME + sym-H +	Sym-D	0.834
F10.7	Asy-H	0.835
	Asy-D	0.82

Table 1: The process to find the best input parameter combinations for 52 keV oxygen ions fluxes model. The cells highlighted by green color are the highest R score for each step, and the cell highlighted as yellow is the highest R score in the training process, which indicates the best combination of input parameters for 52 keV oxygen ion fluxes.

We repeated the input-parameter-optimizing technique on other energy channels, and find that the combination of SME + Sym-H + F10.7 roughly produce the best model performance across all energies. Therefore, we adopt these parameters in the model for all energies from 38 keV to 870 keV.

229 Since the O+ lifetime varies over different energies (and different L-shells), we select the

230 optimal history length for the O+ modeling of different energies. An overly short history length

will result in an underfitting model that lacks sufficient information about past events, while a

overly long history length will result in an overfitting as the model collects too much information

that does not contain the relevant information. We try to change the different history length

ranging from 0 to 10 days with a resolution of 1-day for the model. We split the segments into

 $\sim 70\%$  training set,  $\sim 15\%$  validation set and  $\sim 15\%$  test set to optimize the best history length.

The best time history lengths for 38 and 142 keV were both found to be 5 days, and for 400 keV

channel was found to be 2 days which stands in contrast to the electron energy channels that

typically require longer time histories for larger energies (Ma et al., 2022, Chu et al., 2021).



239

Figure 3: R score (left panel) and mean square error (right panel) of ANN models of
 the O+ fluxes for the test data set as a function of history lengths for selected
 energies.

243

#### 244 **4 Model Results**

- 245 We build our ANN models by adopting the optimized combination of input parameters and
- history length, and hold out the data in 2017 as test set to illustrate the model's out-of-sample
- 247 performance over long term. Note that the year 2017 observed low solar activity but multiple
- strong geomagnetic storms. Figure 4 shows the out-of-sample performance of the three selected energies by comparing the  $log_{10}$  of the measured fluxes versus  $log_{10}$  of the model fluxes in a
- two-dimensional distribution of occurrence. A perfect regression follows the diagonal red solid
- 251 line (y = x) and yields R = 1 between the observations and model results. Our model results
- indicate that the ANN models can predict the (sequentially organized) out-of-sample ring current
- O+ fluxes with more than **70**% accuracy which is the most accurate global model of O+ ion
- 254 fluxes at the present time, to the best of our knowledge.



### Figure 4: Model performance on the test data set for O+ fluxes at energies of (a) 38, (b) 142, and (c) 400 keV.

Figure 5 provides a comparative analysis between the measured 38 keV O+ fluxes and the ANN 258 modeled results over the whole year of 2017 which has not been involved in the model training 259 260 and is fully out of sample, as noted above. Figures 5a-5c show the variations of SME, Sym-H and F10.7 indices which serve as inputs to the model. It could be seen from Sym-H index that 261 there are several large geomagnetic storms with minimum SYM-H index less than -100 nT. 262 Figure 5d shows the measured 38 keV O+ flux as a function of L-shell and time along Van Allen 263 Probe B's orbit. The modeled O+ flux, shown in Figure 5e, captures the rapid intensification and 264 subsequent decay during geomagnetic storms in a realistic way. Notably, the discrepancy 265 between the model predictions and the measured data are generally minimal, as depicted in 266 Figure 5f with the largest relative errors typically occurring when the observed fluxes become 267 very low (e.g., at L<3), which are also the times when the ring current plays the smallest role in 268

- 269 space weather effects.
- Figure 6 shows the model performance for 142 keV O+ fluxes in a similar format to Figure 5.
- The behavior of 142 keV oxygen ions is different from that of 38 keV: the magnitude of flux
- variations is smaller than that of 38 keV oxygen ions, and it is seen that the model successfully
- captures these characteristics. In addition, it should be noted that Figure 6 demonstrates the
- robustness of our model: RBSPICE exhibits a continuous period of noisy data from mid-March
- to mid-May at L = 2.5, as shown in Figure 6d. Remarkably, the model adeptly filters out the
- noise present in the original data (Figure 6f), underscoring its reliability.
- 277





 $log_{10}$  modeled fluxes minus  $log_{10}$  observed fluxes.



Figure 6: Same format as Figure 5 but for 142 keV O+ fluxes.

284

ANN models have the advantage that they are capable of reconstructing the global distribution of 286 ring current oxygen ions (based directly on the data itself), whereas satellites only provide 287 single-point observations. This holds significant implications for our understanding of the O+ 288 enhancement and loss during storm events. Figure 7 illustrates the modeled global distribution of 289 290 38, 142 and 400 keV O+ fluxes during a large geomagnetic storm spanning from 27 May to 30 May 2017. Figures 7a and 7b show the variation of Sym-H and SME indices during this storm 291 event. Figures 7c-7h illustrates the variation of 38 keV O+ fluxes at six distinct moments 292 representing the pre-storm, the initial main phase, the late main phase, the recovery phase, the 293 subsequent substorm time, and the post-storm time, respectively. During quiet period, the flux 294 level of 38 keV oxygen is small. In the early main phase, a notable asymmetry in the magnetic 295 local time (MLT) is observed, consistent with the physica understanding that enhanced oxygen 296 ions drift is directed toward the pre-midnight to dusk side. This phenomenon arises from oxygen 297 ion injection in the magnetic tail, followed by westward drift induced by magnetic field gradient 298 and curvature. In the late main phase, the model displays symmetry in the dawn-dusk direction 299 due to ring current intensification, while asymmetry is evident in the day-night direction due to 300 continuous plasma sheet injection. During the recovery phase, the flux of 38 keV oxygen ions 301 decreases and the distribution returns to a symmetrical state, with the peak around L = 3 - 5. 302 We reiterate that none of these physical processes have been inserted into the ANN model in any 303 way, it is simply picking up subtle patterns in the data and reconstructing the global O+ 304 305 distribution according to these patterns.

The 142 keV oxygen ions contribute little during quiet time, while during the early main phase, 306 307 the flux enhance dramatically with strong day-night asysmetry, indicating strong substorm injection as shown in Figure 7b. During the later main phase, these ions penetrate into smaller L 308 shells and move towards dusk side, demonstrating strong dawn-dusk asymmetry. As 309 geomagnetic activity goes down, the flux of 142 keV oxygen ions decreases as shown in Figure 310 7i. When there is another substorm during the recovery phase of geomagnetic storms, the flux of 311 142 keV oxygen ions increases again and it shows fast decay after the storm. The observed 312 asymmetry, peaking on the dusk side during the main phase, recovery phase, and substorm times 313 (Figure 7k-7m), can be understood physically by drift physics in theoretical models (Spence & 314 Kivelson, 1990, 1993), as well as by related findings (Keesee et al., 2011) based on TWINS 315 energetic neutral atom observations. 316

- The 400 keV high energy O+ flux exhibit distinct characteristics from low energy O+ fluxes.
- They do not constitute a substantial part of the storm time ring current due to their low flux
- 319 levels, as the typical energy range of oxygen ions which contribte the enhancement of the ring
- current spans from approximately 10 keV to around 100 keV (Fu et al., 2023). Oxygen ions
- above 100 keV remain stably trapped on the equatorial plane and contribute the quiet time ring
- current (e.g., Yue et al., 2018). Compared to the 38 and 142 keV O+ fluxes, the 400 keV O+
- fluxes are more symmetric, possibly because the magnetic drift along closed drift path and their drift periods are short, while the low energy ions are largely impacted by the electric field,
- leading to an evident asymmetry during storm time.



326

- **Figure 7: The Modeled global distribution of O+ flux spanning from 27 May to 30 May**
- 2017. (a) The Sym-H index. (b) The SME index. (c-h) Global distributions of 38 keV O+
- 329 fluxes at six moments representing the pre-storm phase, the early main phase, the late main
- 330 phase, the early recovery phase, the late recovery phase, and the post-storm time,
- respectively. (i-n) The variation of global distribution of 142 keV O+ flux, and (o-t), that of
- 332 the 400 keV O+ flux at the same timestamps as above.

#### 333 **5 Conclusions**

- This study described the construction of a global, time-varying model of the ring current oxygen ions at a range of energies spanning the lower boundary to the upper energy boundary of the ring
- current ions, utilizing the ANN technique and trained on data measured on the Van Allen Probes.
- This model is driven by the time histories of the geomagnetic and solar indices, and does not
- require any initial and/or boundary conditions. Hence, this model is capable of reconstructing the
- oxygen fluxes for periods outside of the Van Allen Probe era (2012-2019) as long as
- 340 geomagnetic index information is available. Although this paper only shows the O+ model at
- representative energies, we have established the ANN O+ flux model at a range of energies
- 342 spanning from 38 keV to 870 keV based on the HOPE and RBSPICE measurements.

343 We summarize the model performance below:

(1) The model performance on the test set, exhibits a robust coefficient of determination (R > 0.8). This impressive result underscores the model's high precision in predicting out-ofsample data.

(2) The model successfully captures the results of various intricate processes involving the
 enhancement and loss of oxygen ions during geomagnetic storms throughout the year 2017.

(3) In a specific test case involving the geomagnetic storm of May 2017, the model excels in
reconstructing the dynamic global variations in the fluxes of 38, 142, and 400 keV oxygen ions.
It accurately predicts the spatiotemporal flux variations that – we believe (as a result of our
physical understanding) - come about as a result of injection of ions from the plasma sheet and
the subsequent enhancement on the pre-midnight side for 38 and 142 keV oxygen ions.

354 Oxygen ions constitute a significant component of the storm-time ring current, they are closely related to subsequent space weather events, and are particularly observed during large 355 geomagnetic storms. The study of oxygen ions within the ring current is pivotal for advancing 356 our comprehension of space weather and its repercussions on various technological systems. 357 Modeling the enhancement and loss processes of oxygen ions serves as a key aspect in 358 unraveling the dynamic characteristics of the inner magnetosphere. The application of ANN 359 techniques in modeling oxygen ion flux populations offers a novel and versatile approach. This 360 methodology can be readily extended to other ion species and energy channels, thus broadening 361 362 its applicability in the realm of space physics and space weather research.

363

#### 364 Acknowledgments

The study was supported by NSFC research Grant 42274200 and 41974191.

366

#### 367 **Open Research**

The RBSPICE data is publically available at <u>https://rbspice.ftecs.com/Data.html</u>. The HOPE data

is publically availably at <u>https://rbsp-ect.newmexicoconsortium.org/</u>. The 1-min resolution

370 Sym/Asy indices used in this study are from Coordinated Data Analysis Web (CDAWeb)

371 (<u>https://cdaweb.gsfc.nasa.gov</u>), and SME is from the SuperMAG (<u>https://supermag.jhuapl.edu/)</u>.

The 1-day resolution F10.7 used in this study is from CelesTrak (<u>https://celestrak.org/</u>).

373

#### 374 **References**

- Baumjohann, Wolfgang. (1993). The near-Earth plasma sheet: An AMPTE/IRM perspective. Space Science
- 376 *Reviews*, *64*(1–2), 141–163.

- 377 Baumjohann, W., & Treumann, R. A. (1996). Basic Plasma Space Physics. Imperial College Press, London.
- Bortnik, J., Li, W., Thorne, R. M., & Angelopoulos, V. (2016). A unified approach to inner magnetospheric
  state prediction. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, *121*(3), 2423–2430.
- 380 Bortnik, J., Chu, X., Ma, Q., Li, W., Zhang, X., Thorne, R. M., ... Others. (2018). Artificial neural networks
- for determining magnetospheric conditions. In *Machine learning techniques for space weather* (pp.
  279–300). Elsevier.
- Chappell, C. R., Moore, T. E., & Waite, J. H., Jr. (1987). The ionosphere as a fully adequate source of plasma
  for the Earth's magnetosphere. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, 92(A6), 5896–5910.
- Chappell, C. R., Giles, B. L., Moore, T. E., Delcourt, D. C., Craven, P. D., & Chandler, M. O. (2000). The
  adequacy of the ionospheric source in supplying magnetospheric plasma. *Journal of Atmospheric and Solar-Terrestrial Physics*, 62(6), 421–436.
- Chappell, C. R., Huddleston, M. M., Moore, T. E., Giles, B. L., & Delcourt, D. C. (2008). Observations of the
  warm plasma cloak and an explanation of its formation in the magnetosphere. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, *113*(A9).
- Chen, A., Yue, C., Chen, H., Zong, Q., Fu, S., Wang, Y., & Ren, J. (2020). Ring current decay during
  geomagnetic storm recovery phase: comparison between rbsp observations and theoretical modeling.
  Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 125, e2020JA028500.
  https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JA028500
- Chu, X., Bortnik, J., Li, W., Ma, Q., Denton, R., Yue, C., ... Others. (2017). A neural network model of threedimensional dynamic electron density in the inner magnetosphere. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, *122*(9), 9183–9197.
- Chu, X. N., Bortnik, J., Li, W., Ma, Q., Angelopoulos, V., & Thorne, R. M. (2017). Erosion and refilling of the
   plasmasphere during a geomagnetic storm modeled by a neural network. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, 122(7), 7118–7129.
- Chu, Xiangning, Ma, D., Bortnik, J., Tobiska, W. K., Cruz, A., Bouwer, S. D., ... Others. (2021). Relativistic
  electron model in the outer radiation belt using a neural network approach. *Space Weather*, *19*(12),
  e2021SW002808.

- 404 Claudepierre, S. G., Chen, M. W., Roeder, J. L., & Fennell, J. F. (2016). An empirical model of ion plasma in
- 405 the inner magnetosphere derived from CRRES/MICS measurements. *Journal of Geophysical*406 *Research: Space Physics*, *121*(12), 11–780.
- Claudepierre, Seth G., & O'Brien, T. P. (2020). Specifying high-altitude electrons using low-altitude leo
  systems: The shells model. *Space Weather*, *18*(3), e2019SW002402.
- Daglis, I. A., Sarris, E. T., & Wilken, B. (1993). AMPTE/CCE CHEM observations of the energetic ion
  population at geosynchronous altitudes. *Annales Geophysicae*, *11*, 685–696.
- 411 Daglis, I. A. (1997). The role of magnetosphere-ionosphere coupling in magnetic storm dynamics. *Washington* 412 *DC American Geophysical Union Geophysical Monograph Series*, 98, 107–116.
- Daglis, I. A., Thorne, R. M., Baumjohann, W., & Orsini, S. (1999). The terrestrial ring current: Origin,
  formation, and decay. *Reviews of Geophysics*, *37*(4), 407–438.
- 415 De Michelis, P., Daglis, I. A., & Consolini, G. (1997). Average terrestrial ring current derived from
  416 AMPTE/CCE-CHEM measurements. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, *102*(A7),
  417 14103–14111.
- Delcourt, D. C., Moore, T. E., Sauvaud, J. A., & Chappell, C. R. (1992). Nonadiabatic transport features in the
  outer cusp region. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, 97(A11), 16833–16842.
- Donovan, E. F., Jackel, B., Strangeway, R., & Klumpar, D. (2003). Energy dependence of the latitude of the 1-25 KeV ion isotropy boundary. *Sodankylä Geophysical Observatory Publications*, 92, 11–14.
- Fu, H., Yue, C., Zong, Q.-G., Zhou, X.Z., Yu, Y., Li, Y., et al. (2023). Substorm influences on plasma pressure
  and current densities inside the geosynchronous orbit. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space
  Physics, 128, e2022JA031099. https://doi. org/10.1029/2022JA031099
- 425 Funsten, H. O., Skoug, R. M., Guthrie, A. A., MacDonald, E. A., Baldonado, J. R., Harper, R. W., et al. (2013).
- 426 Helium, oxygen, proton, and electron (HOPE) mass spectrometer for the radiation belt storm probes
- 427 mission. Space Science Reviews, 179(1 4), 423-484. https://doi. org/10.1007/s11214 013 -
- 428 9968 7

- 429 Gkioulidou, M., Ohtani, S., Ukhorskiy, A. Y., Mitchell, D. G., Takahashi, K., Spence, H. E., ... Barnes, R. J.
- 430 (2019). Low-energy (< keV) O+ ion outflow directly into the inner magnetosphere: Van Allen Probes</li>
  431 observations. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, *124*(1), 405–419.
- Guo, Y., Ni, B., Fu, S., Wang, D., Shprits, Y. Y., Zhelavskaya, I. S., ... Guo, D. (2022). Identification of
  controlling geomagnetic and solar wind factors for magnetospheric chorus intensity using feature
  selection techniques. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, *127*(1), e2021JA029926.
- Huddleston, M. M., Chappell, C. R., Delcourt, D. C., Moore, T. E., Giles, B. L., & Chandler, M. O. (2005). An
  examination of the process and magnitude of ionospheric plasma supply to the
  magnetosphere. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, *110*(A12).
- Jordanova, V. K., Kozyra, J. U., Khazanov, G. V., Nagy, A. F., Rasmussen, C. E., & Fok, M.-C. (1994). A
  bounce-averaged kinetic model of the ring current ion population. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 21(25), 2785–2788.
- Jordanova, V. K., Kozyra, J. U., Nagy, A. F., & Khazanov, G. V. (1997). Kinetic model of the ring currentatmosphere interactions. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, *102*(A7), 14279–14291.
- Jordanova, Vania K. (2007). Modeling geomagnetic storm dynamics: New results and challenges. *Journal of Atmospheric and Solar-Terrestrial Physics*, 69(1–2), 56–66.
- Keesee, A. M., Buzulukova, N., Goldstein, J., McComas, D. J., Scime, E. E., Spence, H., ... Tallaksen, K.
  (2011). Remote observations of ion temperatures in the quiet time magnetosphere. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 38(3).
- Keika, K., Kistler, L. M., & Brandt, P. C. (2013). Energization of O+ ions in the Earth's inner magnetosphere
  and the effects on ring current buildup: A review of previous observations and possible
  mechanisms. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, *118*(7), 4441–4464.
- Keika, K., Seki, K., Nosé, M., Machida, S., Miyoshi, Y., Lanzerotti, L. J., ... Others. (2016). Storm time
  impulsive enhancements of energetic oxygen due to adiabatic acceleration of preexisting warm
  oxygen in the inner magnetosphere. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, *121*(8), 7739–
  7752.

- Keika, K., Kasahara, S., Yokota, S., Hoshino, M., Seki, K., Nosé, M., ... Shinohara, I. (2018). Ion energies
  dominating energy density in the inner magnetosphere: Spatial distributions and composition,
  observed by Arase/MEP-i. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 45(22), 12–153.
- Kennel, C. F., & Petschek, H. E. (1966). Limit on stably trapped particle fluxes. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 71(1), 1–28.
- Kivelson, M. G., & Spence, H. E. (1988). On the possibility of quasi-static convection in the quiet
  magnetotail. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 15(13), 1541–1544.
- 462 Kistler, L. M., & Mouikis, C. G. (2016). The inner magnetosphere ion composition and local time distribution
  463 over a solar cycle. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, *121*(3), 2009–2032.
- 464 Kronberg, E. A., Ashour-Abdalla, M., Dandouras, I., Delcourt, D. C., Grigorenko, E. E., Kistler, L. M., ...
- 465 Others. (2014). Circulation of heavy ions and their dynamical effects in the magnetosphere: Recent
  466 observations and models. *Space Science Reviews*, *184*, 173–235.
- Landis, D. A., Saikin, A. A., Zhelavskaya, I., Drozdov, A. Y., Aseev, N., Shprits, Y. Y., ... Smirnov, A. G.
  (2022). NARX neural network derivations of the outer boundary radiation belt electron flux. *Space Weather*, 20(5), e2021SW002774.
- Li, J., Bortnik, J., Chu, X., Ma, D., Tian, S., Wang, C.-P., ... Lanzerotti, L. J. (2023). Modeling ring current
  proton fluxes using artificial neural network and Van Allen Probe measurements. *Space Weather*, 21(5), e2022SW003257.
- Liang, J., Donovan, E., Ni, B., Yue, C., Jiang, F., & Angelopoulos, V. (2014). On an energy-latitude dispersion
  pattern of ion precipitation potentially associated with magnetospheric EMIC waves. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, *119*(10), 8137–8160.
- Ma, D., Chu, X., Bortnik, J., Claudepierre, S. G., Tobiska, W. K., Cruz, A., ... Blake, J. B. (2022). Modeling
  the dynamic variability of sub-relativistic outer radiation belt electron fluxes using machine
  learning. *Space Weather*, 20(8), e2022SW003079.
- Meredith, N. P., Thorne, R. M., Horne, R. B., Summers, D., Fraser, B. J., & Anderson, R. R. (2003). Statistical
  analysis of relativistic electron energies for cyclotron resonance with EMIC waves observed on
  CRRES. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, *108*(A6).

- Milillo, A., Orsini, S., & Daglis, I. A. (2001). Empirical model of proton fluxes in the equatorial inner
  magnetosphere: Development. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, *106*(A11), 25713–
  25729.
- Mitchell, D. G., Lanzerotti, L. J., Kim, C. K., Stokes, M., Ho, G., Cooper, S., et al. (2013). Radiation belt
  storm probes ion composition experiment (RBSPICE). Space Science Reviews, 179(1 4), 263–308.
  https://doi.org/10.1007/s11214 013 9965 x
- 488 Ramachandran, P., Zoph, B., & Le, Q. V. (2017). Searching for activation functions. *arXiv Preprint*489 *arXiv:1710.05941*.
- 490 Roeder, J. L., Chen, M. W., Fennell, J. F., & Friedel, R. (2005). Empirical models of the low-energy plasma in
  491 the inner magnetosphere. *Space Weather*, *3*(12).
- 492 Rumelhart, D. E., Hinton, G. E., & Williams, R. J. (1986). Learning Representations by Back-Propagating
  493 Errors. Nature, 323, 533-536. https://doi.org/10.1038/323533a0
- 494 Sergeev, V. A., & Tsyganenko, N. A. (1982). Energetic particle losses and trapping boundaries as deduced
  495 from calculations with a realistic magnetic field model. *Planetary and Space Science*, *30*(10), 999–
  496 1006.
- 497 Sergeev, V. A., Sazhina, E. M., Tsyganenko, N. A., Lundblad, J. Å., & Søraas, F. (1983). Pitch-angle
  498 scattering of energetic protons in the magnetotail current sheet as the dominant source of their
  499 isotropic precipitation into the nightside ionosphere. *Planetary and Space Science*, *31*(10), 1147–1155.
- Sergeev, V. A., Malkov, M., & Mursula, K. (1993). Testing the isotropic boundary algorithm method to
  evaluate the magnetic field configuration in the tail. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, 98(A5), 7609–7620.
- Sheldon, R. B., Spence, H. E., & Fennell, J. F. (1998). Observation of the 40 keV field-aligned ion
  beams. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 25(10), 1617–1620.
- Smith, P. H., Bewtra, N. K., & Hoffman, R. A. (1981). Inference of the ring current ion composition by means
   of charge exchange decay. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, 86(A5), 3470–3480.
- 507 Spence, H. E., & Kivelson, M. G. (1990). The variation of the plasma sheet polytropic index along the 508 midnight meridian in a finite width magnetotail. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *17*(5), 591–594.

- Spence, H. E., & Kivelson, M. G. (1993). Contributions of the low-latitude boundary layer to the finite width
  magnetotail convection model. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, 98(A9), 15487–
  15496.
- 512 Toffoletto, F., Sazykin, S., Spiro, R., & Wolf, R. (2003). Inner magnetospheric modeling with the Rice
  513 Convection Model. *Space Science Reviews*, *107*, 175–196.
- 514 Williams, D. J. (1987). Ring current and radiation belts. *Reviews of Geophysics*, 25(3), 570–578.
- Yang, B., Zong, Q.-G., Fu, S. Y., Li, X., Korth, A., Fu, H. S., ... Reme, H. (2011). The role of ULF waves
  interacting with oxygen ions at the outer ring current during storm times. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, *116*(A1).
- 518 Yue, C., J. Bortnik, L. Chen, Q. Ma, R. M. Thorne, G. D. Reeves, and H. E. Spence (2017), Transitional
- 519 behavior of different energy protons based on Van Allen Probes observations, Geophysical Research
  520 Letters, 44, doi:10.1002/2016GL071324.
- Yue, C., Wang, C.-P., Lyons, L., Liang, J., Donovan, E. F., Zaharia, S. G., & Henderson, M. (2014). Current
  sheet scattering and ion isotropic boundary under 3-D empirical force-balanced magnetic
  field. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, *119*(10), 8202–8211.
- Yue, C., Bortnik, J., Li, W., Ma, Q., Gkioulidou, M., Reeves, G. D., ... Others. (2018). The composition of
   plasma inside geostationary orbit based on Van Allen Probes observations. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, 123(8), 6478–6493.
- Yue, C., Bortnik, J., Li, W., Ma, Q., Wang, C.-P., Thorne, R. M., ... Others. (2019). Oxygen ion dynamics in
  the Earth's ring current: Van Allen Probes observations. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, 124(10), 7786–7798.
- 530 Yue, C., Bortnik, J., Zou, S., Nishimura, Y., Foster, J. C., Coppeans, T., et al. (2020). Episodic Occurrence of
- 531 Field Aligned Energetic Ions on the Dayside. Geophysical Research Letters, 47, e2019GL086384.
  532 https://doi.org/ 10.1029/2019GL086384
- Zhelavskaya, I. S., Shprits, Y. Y., & Spasojević, M. (2017). Empirical modeling of the plasmasphere dynamics
  using neural networks. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, *122*(11), 11–227.

- 535 Zong, Q.-G., Wang, Y. F., Zhang, H., Fu, S. Y., Zhang, H., Wang, C. R., ... Vogiatzis, I. (2012). Fast
- acceleration of inner magnetospheric hydrogen and oxygen ions by shock induced ULF
  waves. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, *117*(A11).
- 538 Zhou, X.-Z., Ge, Y. S., Angelopoulos, V., Runov, A., Liang, J., Xing, X., ... Zong, Q.-G. (2012).
- 539 Dipolarization fronts and associated auroral activities: 2. Acceleration of ions and their subsequent
- 540 behavior. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 117(A10).
- 541