Experimental study on flow characteristics of compound-braided river channel

Jing ZHANG¹, Qin Tong², Dong Wang³, Bo Xiang³, Zhixue GUO⁴, Xiaoyan Gan², and Xia Wen²

¹Xihua University ²XiHua University ³Sichuan Highway Planning ⁴Sichuan University

October 17, 2023

Abstract

Braided rivers easily form wide and shallow floodplains when there is no constraints on both sides of the river. During floods, rising water level submerges the floodplain of the bifurcated channel, resulting in the Compound-Braided River. The generalized model was established based on statistical data from the braided river reach of Heilongjiang. In this paper, the flow field of the straight compound-braided river was measured in flume experiments, and then the effect of the interaction of floodplain and main channel on the flow pattern, water level, flow structure and resistance force were studied under overbank flow conditions. The split ratio variation trend is further discussed. The results show that hydraulic factors in diverge segment were mainly related to braided reach, with high longitudinal velocity observed in inner floodplain. The exchange flow between floodplain and main channel accelerates transverse flow and promotes sediment transport intensity laterally. Secondary flow of compound section within the influence range of bifurcated flow was obviously inhibited. Boundary shear stress analysis showed that the diversion ratio of the main tributary under overbank condition decreased slightly and would maintain constant values as surface rise.

Hosted file

972169_0_art_file_11316054_rz3533.docx available at https://authorea.com/users/666533/ articles/667322-experimental-study-on-flow-characteristics-of-compound-braided-riverchannel

1 2 Experimental study on flow characteristics of compound-braided river channel 3 Jing Zhang^{1,2}, Qin Tong^{1,2}, Dong Wang³, Bo Xiang³, Zhixue Guo^{4*}, Xiaoyan Gan^{1,2}, and 4 Xia Wen^{1,2} 5 ¹Key Laboratory of Fluid and Power Machinery(Xihua University), Ministry of Education. 6 ²Key Laboratory of Fluid Machinery and Engineering(Xihua University), Sichuan Province. 7 ³Sichuan Highway Planning, Survey, Design and Research Institute Ltd. 8 ⁴Sichuan Univ, State Key Lab Hydraul & Mt River Engn. 9 Zhixue Guo (413549644@gq.com) 10 **Key Points:** 11

- The flow structure and flow field characteristics of Compound-Braided River model are studied.
- The secondary flow of the compound section within the influence range of bifurcated
 flow was obviously inhibited.
- After the bifurcated river forms the compound section, the flow resistance boundary varies, resulting in the change of the diversion ratio.

18 Abstract

- 19 Braided rivers easily form wide and shallow floodplains when there is no constraints on both
- 20 sides of the river. During floods, rising water level submerges the floodplain of the bifurcated
- 21 channel, resulting in the Compound-Braided River. The generalized model was established based
- on statistical data from the braided river reach of Heilongjiang. In this paper, the flow field of the
- 23 straight compound-braided river was measured in flume experiments, and then the effect of the
- 24 interaction of floodplain and main channel on the flow pattern, water level, flow structure and
- resistance force were studied under overbank flow conditions. The split ratio variation trend is
- further discussed. The results show that hydraulic factors in diverge segment were mainly related to braided reach, with high longitudinal velocity observed in inner floodplain. The exchange
- flow between floodplain and main channel accelerates transverse flow and promotes sediment
- transport intensity laterally. Secondary flow of compound section within the influence range of
- bifurcated flow was obviously inhibited. Boundary shear stress analysis showed that the
- 31 diversion ratio of the main tributary under overbank condition decreased slightly and would
- 32 maintain constant values as surface rise.
- 33 Keywords: Compound cross section; Braided River; Flow characteristics; Boundary shear stress; Diversion ratio

34 **1 Introduction**

The existence of center bar and floodplain will alter the channel boundary, affecting the characteristics of flow field and river process, which will bring challenges for river related work, such as navigation engineering, river regulation engineering, land use, and the development and utilization of water resources. For both of bifurcated river and compound river, numerous studies were conducted via experiments and mathematical stimulations.

40 For the bifurcated channel, the flow pattern at the bifurcation is the most complex and the diversion ratio affects the trend of river process. Therefore, the studies focused on the flow field 41 characteristics of the bifurcated region, and the diversion ratio. Experimental studies of right-42 angle bifurcation had shown that the curvature of flow increases and the streamlines became 43 denser when closer to the bifurcation, and the bottom and near-bottom flow patterns were 44 different from the surface(F. Luo et al., 1995). The near-bottom flow was affected by turbulence, 45 which complicated the flow near the bottom(ZHANG et al., 2021). In the straight braided rivers, 46 the turbulent flow was strongest in the recirculation zone at the bifurcation, and the contour line 47 of the high turbulence zone tended to be concave bank to convex bank from the bottom to the 48 surface. The turbulence in the confluence segment was also strong(Hua et al., 2009; Khan & 49 Sharma, 2019), and vortex appears at the confluence and the intensity decreases as the water 50 flows downstream(X. Liu et al., 2019; H. Tang et al., 2018). The diversion ratio is an important 51 hydrodynamic index in the braided river. Starting from energy, Ramamurthy studied the 52 relationship between the diversion ratio and Froude number, and proposed a theoretical 53 calculation formula(Ramamurthy & Satish, 1988). Tong proposed a diversion ratio estimation 54 formula based on erosion-deposition balance and momentum conservation(TONG et al., 2011). 55 Scholars have studied the influence of different factors on the diversion ratio through theoretical 56 analysis and model tests, such as flow, roughness, inlet angle and branch ratio(Du et al., 2016; 57 ZHAO et al., 2022). 58

59 For the compound section, scholars focus on the flow capacity of the section under the 60 interaction between the floodplain and the main channel. Through experiments, Zheleznyakov 61 found that the interaction between the floodplain and the main channel flow will reduce the 62 water capacity of the main channel and increase it in the floodplain(Γ .B.Zheleznyakov, 1956).

- This was because the momentum exchange between the floodplain and the main channel changes
- 64 the distribution of boundary shear stress, which affects the water transport capacity of the
- floodplain and main channel(HU & JI, 1999). From experimental studies of the flow structures,
 Proust found that small transverse flows can also affect the transverse shear layer at the junction
- 66 Proust found that small transverse flows can also affect the transverse shear layer at the junction 67 of the floodplain and the main channel of the compound channel (Proust & Nikora, 2018, 2020).
- Abbaspour and Naik et al. proposed a model for predicting boundary shear stress based on
- experiments(Abbaspour, 2020; Naik et al., 2018). The traditional calculation method of flow
- capacity was no longer suitable for compound section(Stephenson & Kolovopoulos, 1990).
- 71 Scholars have put forward a large number of compound river flow estimation models through
- model tests, theoretical analysis or numerical simulation(X. Tang, 2019; Yonesi et al., 2022).

73 Wide and shallow floodplains were easy to produce in bifurcated rivers when there was poor anti-scourability and no constraints on either side of the river. During the dry season, the 74 water flows in the main channel. During the flood season, the water level rises to submerge the 75 floodplain and the flow section develops into the compound section (Devi et al., 2017). 76 77 Heilongjiang, located in the high latitude area, is the boundary river between China and Russia. Due to the political sensitivity, there is the lack of river bank regulation projects, and has 78 79 bifurcation and floodplain. During the spring flood period, the flow of the main stream increased rapidly, resulting in the water level rising to submerge the floodplain, and the formation of a 80 compound section. The compound-braided river is controlled by the braided river type in the 81 plane shape, and the two-dimensional flow field is affected by the interaction of the floodplain 82 and the main channel. This type of channel has both morphological characteristics and the flow 83 characteristics are more complicated, such as branch diversion, velocity distribution and 84 boundary shear stress. At present, there are few studies on the superposition of these two 85 boundary conditions, but it is likely to occur during the flood season. If there is a lack of 86 understanding of the flow characteristics of this type of river, there will be a deviation in the 87 judgment of the trend of river process. In this study, a generalized model of compound braided 88 89 channel is established. The changes of flow characteristics and resistance distribution characteristics of braided channel after overbank flow are explored through experiments, and the 90 influence between of the floodplain and main channel interaction on braided channel diversion is 91 analyzed. 92

93 **2 Experiment overview**

In the experiment, three indexes (formula $(1) \sim (3)$) of bending coefficient *Ka*, width ratio *K* and length-width ratio *M* were used to statistically analyze the morphology of the compoundbraided river reach of the main stream of Heilongjiang River in the study area.

$$Ka = L/l \tag{1}$$

99

- $K = \max(B_i, B_r) / \min(B_i, B_r)$ ⁽²⁾
 - $M = L_c / B_c \tag{3}$

100 Where *L* is the length of the reach, *l* is the straight line length of the reach, B_l is the total 101 width of the left branch of reach, B_r is the total width of the right branch of reach, L_c is the length 102 of the center bar, B_c is the width of the center bar.

103 There were 144 braided reaches in the study area, of which 129 were two branches,

accounting for 90%. The morphological of the two-branch reaches were analyzed by using the

- aforementioned indicators. As shown in Table 1, the straight bifurcation with the bending
- 106 coefficient *Ka* of 1.0~1.2 accounted for 73%, and the width ratio *K* of $1 \sim 2.5$ accounted for more 107 than 50%. The length-width ratio *M* was used to describe the plane shape of the center bar, and
- the narrow center bar (M > 4) was the main type and accounted for 57%.

The design of experimental model was based on the morphological characteristics of the compound-braided river reach of the Heilongjiang. The model was straight bifurcated, the center bar was narrow and long, and the width ratio of the two branches was 1: 2 (the right branch is the main branch).

1 0		<u> </u>			
bending coe	fficient Ka	width ra	atio <i>K</i>	length-width ratio M	
Index ranges	percentage	Index ranges	percentage	Index ranges	percentage
1.0~1.2	73%	1~2.5	52%	2~3	16%
1.2~1.5	22%	2.5~5	34%	3~4	27%
>1.5	5%	5~11	14%	>4	57%

113 **Table 1.** Morphological statistics of bifurcated reaches in Heilongjiang River with two branches

The experiment was carried out in the State Key Laboratory of Hydraulics and Mountain 114 River Development and Protection of Sichuan University. The layout of the test model was 115 shown in Fig.1. Before the upstream of the flume, it was arranged with inlet pipe, triangular weir, 116 tank and grids, and the downstream was connected with sluice gate and tailwater pool. The 117 experiment flume is 12m long, 2m wide, 0.5m high, and 1‰ gradient. The boundary was 118 tempered glass, and the bottom was cement plaster. The model was divided into three reaches 119 from upstream to downstream. The length of the upstream compound river reach was 3m, and 120 the total width of the section was 1.40m. The middle reach was the compound-braided river, 121 which included bifurcation segment, branch segment and confluence segment. The branch 122 segment after the diversion through the center bar was a compound section. The downstream 123 compound river reach was 3m long, with the same shape and size as the upstream section. As 124 shown in Fig.2, both sides of the center bar were the inner floodplain of the compound section, 125 and the side near the wall was the outer floodplain. The junction areas of the reaches were 126 connected by the gradient section, which was to smoothly connect from the upstream to the 127 downstream, and the boundary of the gradient section was arc boundary. 128



129

130 **Figure 1.** Plane layout of the experiment model.



131

Figure 2. Cross section of the model. B is the width of the main channel, b is the width of the floodplain, and the subscripts 1 and 2 represent the left branch and the right branch respectively.

134 As shown in Figure 1, five typical cross-sections CS1~CS5 were set up in the study, which were located in the bifurcation segment, the branch segment and the confluence segment 135 of the compound-braided river reach. Because the flow pattern of the bifurcation was the most 136 complex, three cross-sections were arranged here, which were located at the beginning position 137 of the bifurcation (CS1), the transition position of the bifurcation (CS2) and the end position of 138 the bifurcation (CS3). The water depth was measured by using the ultrasonic water level mete, 139 and the flow velocity was measured by using the Acoustic Doppler Velocimeter (ADV). The 140 coordinate system setting was shown in Figure 2. The origin was located at the junction of the 141 left side wall of the inlet cross-section and the river bottom. The X, Y, and Z axes were parallel 142 143 to the river boundary, where the X axis points to the downstream, the Y axis points to the right bank, and the Z axis points to the water surface. The longitudinal velocity u points to the 144

- 145 downstream was positive, the transverse velocity v points to the right bank was positive, and the
- 146 vertical velocity w points to the water surface was positive. The test flow range was $10 \sim 85 \text{L} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$,
- 147 which was divided into 7 levels. At 10 and $15L \cdot s^{-1}$, due to the small flow rate, the floodplain was
- 148 not submerged by water, which was the braided river channel. Under the other flow rates, the 149 compound section was formed because the floodplain was submerged by water, which was the
- compound section was formed because the hoodplain was submerged by water, w compound-braided river channel. The test conditions were shown in Table 2.

test	B _o /cm	h _o /cm	B_1/cm	h_1/cm	B ₂ /cm	h ₂ /cm	h/cm	$O/L \cdot s^{-1}$	river nattern
test	D ₀ / cm	00/0111	Diven		D ₂ /vm	02/011	m _m / cm	Q' L 5	
Q ₁								10	braided river
Q ₂								15	
Q3								30	
Q4	48	36	19.2	14.4	38.4	28.8	12	45	compound-braided
Q5								65	river
Q ₆								75	11001
Q ₇								85	

151 **Table 2.** Summary of experiment conditions

Note. Where B is the width of the main channel, b is the width of the floodplain, and the

subscripts 0,1 and 2 represent the unbranched river section, the left branch and the right branchrespectively.

155 **3 Results**

156 3.1 Flow pattern of water surface

To observe the flow pattern change of the compound-braided river channel under the superposition influences between the center bar diversion and the interaction between the floodplain and the main channel. In Q_6 condition, the light colored plastic is put into the entrance of flume. Because the density of plastic is less than that of water, it can float on the water surface and move with the water flow, which can reflect the water surface flow movements of the reach. The results are shown in Figure 3.

There are ripples on the water surface in the bifurcation segment (Figure 3a) due to the 163 jacking effect on the head of the center bar. At the junction of the bifurcation section and the 164 branch section (Figure 3b), the water flow bypasses the head of center bar, and backflow vertical 165 vortex appears on both sides of the center bar. The vortex of left branch is clockwise, and that of 166 right branch is counterclockwise. The vortex moves downstream in the branch section and moves 167 to the main channel at the same time. During the process, the vortex size continues to expand 168 until it disappears. In the branch segment, the exposed area of water surface at the junction of the 169 170 floodplain and the main channel is not covered by the plastic due to water flow mixing, as shown in Figure 3c. The exposed area of the right branch is larger than that of the left branch, indicating 171 that the mixing of the right branch is stronger. In the confluence segment (Figure 3d), the strong 172 mixing caused by the confluence of water flows causes the plastic to move to both sides, and an 173 obvious mixing band appears. The exposed area in Figure 3d is the mixing band position. The 174 mixing zone is near to the left when the water flow just confluence, and then moves to the center 175 176 line of the main channel.

At the beginning position of the bifurcation (CS1), there is a significant water surface 177 gradient caused by the presence of the center bar. At the junction of the transition of the 178 bifurcation (CS2) and the end of the bifurcation (CS3), the water flows around the center bar and 179 produces a vertical vortex, which passes through the floodplain and main channel. The flow in 180 the branch segment is mainly affected by the interaction between the floodplain and the main 181 channel, and the flow mixing occurs at the junction of the floodplain and the main channel along 182 the reach. The flow pattern change in the confluence segment is primarily affected by the 183 confluence of water flows. Overall, the flow pattern change in the bifurcation segment is the 184 most complex due to the superposition of the center bar diversion and the interaction between the 185

186 floodplain and the main channel.



187

Figure 3. Tracing results of flow surface. (a) Jacking effect of the center bar. (b) Vortexes on
both sides of the center bar generate, expand and disappear. (c) Flow mixing at the interface of

- 190 floodplain and main channel. (d) Flow mixing band at the confluence
- 191 3.2 Water elevation analysis

When the water level rises to submerge the floodplain for the increment of discharge, the width of flow section increases suddenly, varying the transverse distribution of the water level. In order to compare the water level distribution along the typical sections of braided river with compound-braided river, Q_2 and Q_6 group are taken as examples (see Figure 4), where the floodplain is above water in Q_2 group and inundated in Q_6 group.

At the beginning of bifurcation (Figure 4a), the water level distribution of Q_2 group is high in the middle and low on both sides because of the jacking effect of center bar, which is consistent with the typical water level distribution of the braided section(H. Luo, 1989). In Q_6 condition, the transverse distribution of water level is also high in the middle and low on both sides, but this is because the main channel of compound section has high flow velocity and water level, while the floodplain has low flow velocity and low water level. Therefore, the water surface gradient between the main channel and the floodplain on both sides is significantly greater than that of Q_2 after the influence of diversion and jacking of center bar is superimposed.

At the end of bifurcation (Figure 4b), the bending shape of the river channel has 205 essentially terminated, which caused by the diversion, but the water flow still has strong bending 206 characteristics because of inertia. In Q₂ group, there is high water level on the concave bank and 207 208 low on the convex bank, which is consistent with the typical cross-sectional distribution of water level in curved river(Y. Liu, 2003). In Q₆ condition, the water level on the concave side is still 209 higher than that on the convex side, and the water surface is inclined to the center bar. However, 210 the superposition of the water surface gradient of the compound section makes the gradient 211 between the main channel and the outer floodplain (concave bank) smaller than that between the 212 main channel and the inner floodplain (convex bank). 213

The influence of channel diversion and bending completely disappears at the branch section (Figure 4c). In Q_2 condition, the water level distribution of the two branches is basically equal, which returns to the rectangular channel state. In Q_6 condition, the water level of the inner and outer floodplain is basically equal, but lower than that of the main channel, which returns to the compound channel state(Stephenson & Kolovopoulos, 1990).

In the confluence segment (Figure 4d), the flow velocity of the left branch is low due to 219 the small discharge, so the water level on the left side is higher than that on the right when the 220 water flow confluence. In Q_2 group, due to the small total discharge, the discharge difference 221 between the two branches is small, so the water level of the section changes little. In Q₆ group, 222 223 the discharge difference between the two branches is large, and the water level on the left side is higher than that on the right side. At the same time, the water level of the main channel is higher 224 than that of the floodplain due to the water surface characteristics of the compound section. The 225 mixing and collision caused by the confluence of high and low velocity water flow (see Figure 226 3d) and the periodic change of the vortex formed by the flow around cause the water level of the 227 main channel to fluctuate. 228

Comparing the water stage of typical sections in Q_2 group with Q_6 group, it is found that the transverse distribution of the water level is mainly controlled by the compound section before and after the flow branching (Figure 4a and Figure 4c). Within the influence reach of bifurcation and confluence (Figure 4b and Figure 4d), the transverse distribution of the water level is not only controlled by braided channel, but also affected by the flow redistribution for compound section.





Figure 4. (a) The distribution of water level in bifurcation segment (CS1). (b) The distribution of
water level in bifurcation segment(CS3). (C) The distribution of water level in branch
segment(CS4). (d) The distribution of water level in confluence segment(CS5). The red dotted
line is the water level trend line.

240 3.3 Secondary flow distribution

The vector distribution of cross-section velocity under the Q_6 condition is taken as an example. This study explores the mechanism of secondary flow generation under the superposition influence between the center bar diversion and the interaction between the floodplain and the main channel. Two vector length unit settings of 0.002 and 0.007 are used to observe the direction of the vector arrow.

The velocity vector diagram of the bifurcation section is shown in Figure 5a~Figure 5c. 246 The streamline bending on the plane is caused by the diversion effect. The cross-section velocity 247 vector is mainly affected by the lateral extrusion of the center bar, and the interaction between 248 the floodplain and the main channel of the compound section is weakened, there is no circulation 249 in the CS1. At the cross-section CS2, the water flow deflects from the head of the center bar to 250 251 both sides, which makes the lateral velocity increase, and the lateral water and sediment transport is enhanced. A pair of opposite vertical vortexes is formed on both sides of the central bar head 252 as the current separates from the flume walls (see Figure 3b). From Figure 5b, the sinking flow is 253 formed by the flow of the inner floodplain into the bottom of the main channel, which is 254 subjected to the centrifugal force of the vortex. The streamline plane has bending characteristics, 255 but there is still no bend circulation. At the end of the bifurcation segment (CS3), the size of the 256 vertical vortex increases and moves laterally to the main channel, making the water flow in the 257 main channel more obviously downward and the angle of the sinking flow increases. At the same 258 time, upward flow is generated in the interaction area between the main channel and the outer 259 floodplain as the curvature of the boundary plane and the centrifugal force increase. Overall, 260 there is no closed bend circulation at the end of the bifurcation segment. The extrusion force 261

caused by the diversion of the center bar makes the surface water form a transverse velocity
 consistent with the direction of the bottom, causing in the transverse velocity distribution of the
 section not conform to the law of straight or curved compound section.

When the water enters the branch segment (Figure 5d), the influence of the backflow 265 caused by the bifurcation of the upstream center bar is very weak. The influence range of the 266 backflow in the main branch is longer due to the larger discharge, and there are still some 267 undercurrents on the inner floodplain into the main channel. The interaction between the 268 floodplain and the main channel under the influence of compound section gradually increased 269 and began to play a leading role. There is a pair of secondary flows with opposite directions at 270 the junction of floodplain and main channel on both sides of the two branches, but its shape and 271 position are still affected by the diversion. The circulation scale of the inner floodplain is always 272 273 larger than that of the outer floodplain. The reverse circulation in the inner side of the main channel is affected by the undercurrent, which occurs above the bank water level. Moreover, the 274 scale of secondary flow is limited by the free water surface. Only near the interaction area 275 between the outer floodplain and the main channel, the secondary flow is nearly unaffected by 276 the diversion. 277

The velocity vector diagram of the confluence segment is shown in Figure 5e (CS5). As 278 the river width gradually narrows, water flow from both sides converges towards the central bar, 279 resulting in an augmentation of transverse velocity. The CS5 section has been restored to the 280 same boundary conditions as the upstream, the cross-section velocity vector is dominated by the 281 transverse velocity generated by the confluence, and the secondary flow generated by the 282 interaction between the floodplain and the main channel has disappeared. The cross-sectional 283 backflow caused by the confluence of the branch flow is located on the left side of the main 284 channel center. 285

In general, the velocity distribution in the branch segment located far from the diversion 286 and confluence exhibits the typical compound cross-section characteristics. However, the 287 strength of the secondary flow is related to the inner and outer floodplains and main channel. In 288 the compound river reach affected by confluence, the transverse velocity is independent of the 289 interaction between the floodplain and the main channel, and is mainly controlled by the change 290 of the boundary plane shape. The velocity vector distribution in the bifurcation segment is also 291 affected by center bar diversion, compound section, and plane bending, which makes more 292 complex flow field characteristics be displayed, and the evolution of the center bar and the 293 diversion of the branch channel are deeply affected. Therefore, the longitudinal velocity and 294 stress distribution in the diversion segment are emphatically analyzed below. 295



296

Figure 5. The velocity vector diagram of typical cross-section. (a) The velocity vector diagram of cross-section CS1. (b) The velocity vector diagram of cross-section CS2. (c) The velocity vector diagram of cross-section CS3. (d) The velocity vector diagram of cross-section CS4. (e) The velocity vector diagram of cross-section CS5. 0.002 and 0.007 are the length units of the vector arrow.

302 3.4 Velocity analysis in bifurcation section

The longitudinal velocity variations at three cross-sections of the beginning of the bifurcation (CS1), the transition of the bifurcation (CS2) and the end of the bifurcation (CS3) under Q6 test conditions were analyzed, as shown in Figure 6.

At the beginning of the bifurcation (Figure 6a), the velocity contour at the junction of the floodplain and the main channel is raised upward, which is basically consistent with the distribution characteristics of the longitudinal velocity contour in the compound section, but the stagnation effect of the center bar head is obvious. The high velocity area is basically at the entrance of the main branch, due to the asymmetry of the branch.

At the transition of bifurcation (Figure 6b), because the bottom in the head of the center bar is submerged, the inner floodplain of the two branches is still connected. The longitudinal velocity distribution is consistent with the velocity distribution characteristics of the rectangular channel. The velocity distribution is basically only related to the water depth, and the overall velocity is smaller than that of the outer floodplain. The velocity gradient near the junction of the floodplain and the main channel increases suddenly. Combined with the cross-section vector of Figure 5b, the erosion of the center bar may be caused by a large transverse velocity from the

- junction of the floodplain and the main channel, and then the transverse transport occurs and
- deposits in the main channel or even the outer floodplain. In the main channel and the outer
- floodplain, the characteristics of the velocity contour of the compound section are basically maintained, and the contour flow core is close to the center bar due to the inertia effect of the
- maintained, and the contour flow core is close to the center by water flow.
- At the end of the bifurcation (Figure 6c), the asymmetric distribution of the flow velocity 323 is presented at the compound section of the branch channel. The flow velocity generally 324 decreases from the inner floodplain to the outer floodplain, which is basically consistent with the 325 distribution characteristics of the typical velocity section after braided channel diversion, that is, 326 the flow velocity is inversely proportional to the distance from the center bar. The flow velocity 327 at the side wall of the outer floodplain is further lower than that of CS2, and the separation zone 328 may appear in the downstream segment, with branch separation zone appears closer to the 329 downstream. From Figure 5c, although there is no complete secondary flow structure, the high 330 velocity flow of the main channel still diffuses to the outer floodplain, which results in the 331
- typical contour characteristics of the upward bulge at the junction of the outer floodplain and
- 333 main channel.



334

Figure 6. Longitudinal velocity variation (Q_6 condition). (a) The longitudinal velocity variation of CS1 under Q_6 condition. (b) The longitudinal velocity variation of CS2 under Q_6 condition. (c) The longitudinal velocity variation of CS3 under Q_6 condition.

During the diversion process, the longitudinal velocity distribution of the section transits 338 339 from the compound section characteristics to the typical diversion characteristics. Before the complete bifurcation, the transverse velocity distribution is affected by the interaction between 340 the floodplain and the main channel, which may affect the flow capacity of both branches. 341 Compound-braided river channels exhibit variations in growth and decline patterns due to 342 changes in flow capacity compared to a single rectangular section. In order to further analyze the 343 change of the diversion characteristics under the influence of the compound section, the 344 transverse distribution of the boundary shear stress τ_b of the CS2 section under Q₂ and Q₅~Q₇ is 345 calculated, and the change of the resistance characteristics before and after the overbank are 346 compared. 347

The boundary shear stress of compound section is generally based on the vertical average velocity U_d , which is calculated by formula (4)(LIU et al., 2012).

350

$$\boldsymbol{\tau}_{h} = \rho\left(f/8\right) U_{d}^{2} \tag{4}$$

(5)

351 Where ρ is the density of water and *f* is the Darcy-Weisbach resistance coefficient, which 352 is calculated by Formula (5)(Spooner, Jake, 2001).

 $f = 8gn^2/R^{1/3}$

353

Where g is the acceleration of gravity, n is the comprehensive roughness of the river section, and R is the hydraulic radius.

The determination of U_d is crucial in calculating the boundary shear stress of the compound channel. The SKM method is employed to obtain the analytical solution for the transverse distribution of U_d . In this paper, the vertical average flow velocity U_d is derived directly from the point flow velocity measurements obtained through flume tests.

The modified vertical segmentation method was utilized to calculate the hydraulic radius 360 R, considering the impact of flow momentum exchange between the floodplain and main 361 channel(KANG, 2023). The cross-section composition characteristics of the left and right 362 branches are consistent, so the right branch is used as an example to illustrate the calculation 363 parameters of the hydraulic radius *R*. As shown in Figure 7, the section is divided into three parts: 364 I, II, and III. From Figure 5b and Figure 6b, it can be observed that due to blockage at the center 365 bar head, water flow diverts towards both left and right branches at y=0.7~0.8m, and the 366 longitudinal velocity of the two branches is basically symmetrical to the center line of the main 367 channel. Therefore, the water body boundary on the left side of region I is replaced by the solid 368 side wall in this paper, and the calculation parameters of I and II are completely consistent. In 369 order to reflect the resistance effect of the floodplain flow on the main channel, the concept of 370 371 equivalent wetted perimeter is used in III to appropriately increase the wetted perimeter of the boundary section between the floodplain and the main channel. 372





Figure 7. The diagram of section segmentation. Where A is the area calculation formula and χ is the wet cycle calculation formula.

The additional roughness in flow resistance is caused by the momentum exchange between the floodplain and the main channel. Based on the comprehensive roughness n_{LM} proposed by Lotter, KangLu further considers factors such as cross-section morphological and water depth variation, and a modified model for the composite channel comprehensive roughness is obtained by utilizing experimental data, as shown in formula (6) (KANG, 2023).

381
$$\frac{n}{n_{LM}} = 6 \times 10^{-4} \left(\frac{h_f}{h_m}\right)^{3.15} \left(\frac{B}{h_m + h_f}\right)^{2.77} \left(\frac{R}{h}\right)^{-4.59} + 0.7$$
(6)

The calculated values of *n* and *R* are substituted into the formula (5) to get *f*, and then *f* and U_d are substituted into the formula (4) to get the transverse distribution of the boundary shear stress τ_b of the transition of the bifurcation (CS2) of Q₂, Q₅~Q₇. The average shear stress distribution curve under three overbank flow conditions is denicted, as shown in Figure 8

distribution curve under three overbank flow conditions is depicted, as shown in Figure 8.



386

Figure 8. The transverse distribution of τ_b . The solid line is the transverse distribution of shear force under different flow conditions, in which the floodplain is not submerged in Q₂, and the floodplain is submerged in Q₅, Q₆ and Q₇ to form a compound section. The red dashed line is the

average value of the shear force for Q_5 , Q_6 , and Q_7 . The rectangular dashed box shows the increase of the average shear force value relative to Q_2 group.

The resistance distribution of the cross section before and after the overbank is compared, 392 as shown in Figure 8. In the main channel, the distribution trend of the shear stress remains 393 unchanged after submergence of the floodplain. However, the resistance increases with rising 394 water levels, particularly noticeable in the main branch where it increase at a higher rate than the 395 other branch. The boundary shear stress of the main branch of $Q_5 \sim Q_7$ increases by 145.7% ~186.5% 396 on average compared with that before the overbank, while the increase of the other branch is 397 only 53.4%~117.8%. Furthermore, the resistance area increases due to the inundated floodplain. 398 The ratio $(\tau_{h2} / \tau_{h1})_{inner}$ of the boundary shear stress of the inner floodplain in the main branch is 399 about 1.71, and the ratio $(\tau_{b2} / \tau_{b1})_{outer}$ of the boundary shear stress of the outer floodplain is 400 about 1.01. The subscripts 1 and 2 represent the branch and the main branch respectively. The 401 main branch section is wider and the resistance area is larger, so the overbank of the rising water 402 level will inevitably cause the resistance increase at the entrance of the main branch to exceed 403 404 the branch, which may lead to the decrease of the flow proportion of the main branch.

4053.5 Diversion ratio

To further compare the flow capacity of the two branches before and after the submergence of the floodplain, the main branch flow ratio $\eta = Q_r / (Q_r + Q_l) \times 100\%$ is used to analyze the change of the branch diversion ratio as discharge increase, where Q_r is the main branch flow and Q_l is the other branch flow. The calculation results are shown in Figure 9.



410



414 The prototype observation and laboratory experiment of the braided river channel both 415 indicate that the diversion ratio η of the main branch gradually increases with increasing 416 discharge, and after discharge reaching the critical value, η remains constant(Du et al., 2016;

417 WANG et al., 2019). According to Figure 9, the flow does not submerged the floodplain under

- the condition of small discharge, and the main branch flow of $Q_1 \sim Q_2$ accounts for over 73%.
- 419 Moreover, the increase of discharge makes η increase to a certain extent, which is consistent with
- 420 the previous research results. After the water level rises to form a compound braided channel
- under the large discharge, η of $Q_3 \sim Q_7$ is between 70% and 71%, and the diversion ratio of the main branch not only fails to increase but also decreases significantly. The result obtained is
- main branch not only fails to increase but also decreases significantly. The result obtained is
 inconsistent with the evolution law of the braided channel, but it is consistent with the previous
- 424 inference about the influence of the changes of the inlet resistance of the main branch on the
- 425 diversion ratio. This shows that the formation of the compound section by submerging of the
- 426 floodplain will indeed change the diversion law of the braided channel, which makes the river
- 427 process of the compound-braided river channel show distinct characteristics from the previous
- 428 braided channel.

From Figure 9, there is no obvious correlation between the change of the η and the discharge after overbank condition. The boundary resistance of the main channel is sensitive to the change of discharge, but the velocity gradient in the interaction area between the floodplain and the main channel is increased due to the asynchronous changes in resistance between the floodplain and the main channel. This forms a stronger lateral momentum exchange, which maintains the flow capacity of the main branch to a certain extent. The decrease of the main branch diversion ratio caused by overbank is limited, about $3\sim4\%$.

436 4 Conclusion

In this paper, according to the investigation and statistics data from the braided river of
 Heilongjiang, the generalized flume model is designed. The flow field characteristics, resistance
 characteristics and branch diversion ratio of the compound bifurcated channel are studied
 through the flume experiments, and the following conclusions are obtained:

(1) In the bifurcation segment of the compound-braided river channel, the water surface 441 flow pattern and the transverse distribution characteristics of water level can be observed at the 442 same time, which are caused by the influence of central bar diversion and interaction between the 443 floodplain and the main channel. The velocity difference between the floodplain and the main 444 channel aggravates the transverse water surface gradient in the diversion segment, which 445 accelerates the transverse flow of the section and may increase the transverse sediment transport 446 intensity. The vertical vortex caused by center bar diversion through the interaction zone 447 between the floodplain and the main channel should be the main factor, which causes the cross-448 section sinking flow. 449

(2) The cross-section vector distribution of flow velocity in the diversion segment is 450 mainly controlled by the diversion effect of the center bar, which completely suppresses the 451 generation of secondary flow. However, the longitudinal cross-section distribution of flow 452 velocity still retains the distribution characteristics of the compound section. Under the combined 453 action of extrusion force and centrifugal force, the longitudinal velocity of the inner floodplain is 454 high, and the transverse flow of the section is violent. If there is no anti-scouring protection of 455 vegetation roots, the center bar may be scoured first, and silted up in the main channel or even 456 the outer floodplain of the downstream. The compound section of the branch is still affected by a 457 certain degree of diversion, and the asymmetric distribution of the secondary flow is caused. 458

(3) The calculation results of boundary shear stress distribution, based on the interaction
 of floodplain and main channel, indicate that the resistance of the outer floodplain in both

branches is equivalent. However, from the perspective of the main channel and the inner

462 floodplain, the resistance change of the main branch caused by the increase of flow rate is faster

than that of the other branch. In general, after the floodplain is submerged, the section resistance

464 growth of the main branch is significantly greater than that of the other branch. This leads to the 465 diversion ratio of the branch not increasing but decreasing, and the range is very limited. And the

diversion ratio of the branch not increasing but decreasing, and the range is very innited. And the diversion ratio no longer decreases with the flow rate continue to increase, basically maintaining

467 a constant value. After the water level rises in the flood season to submerge floodplain, the flow

- 468 capacity of the branch has a certain improvement, and the braided channel of the compound
- section may be more conducive to maintaining a relatively stable branch pattern.

470 **5 Discussion**

The compound-braided model used in the test is generalized according to the statistics of 471 the braided channel in Heilongjiang. These research conclusions are applicable to the judgment 472 473 of water level, flow velocity, resistance distribution, and diversion ratio of the straight and asymmetric compound-braided river. For curved braided river, if the reach where the center bar 474 is located is curved, the diversion ratio after the water level overflows also needs to consider the 475 476 changes of the curved circulation and the mainstream axis. When the tributary is located on the concave bank of the bend, due to the large discharge, the circulation intensity of the bend 477 generated by the curved river section increases, the mainstream axis becomes straight, and the 478 diversion ratio of the branch increases. At the same time, due to the overbank condition, the 479 increase of the flow capacity of the branch is more than that of the main branch, the compound 480 section will further improve the diversion ratio of the branch in the flood season. This causes 481 more intense erosion, which may aggravate the evolution trend of the alternation of the main 482 483 branch.

Limited by the scope of application of the flow velocity measurement equipment, there 484 are only two groups of bifurcation tests carried out under the condition of non-floodplain. 485 However, even if the flow rate increase is only 5L•s-1, the main branch diversion ratio still has 486 an increase. This is consistent with the existing research and observation conclusions of the 487 diversion ratio of the braided channel, and can be used as a control test group for the diversion 488 ratio data after the floodplain. However, this conclusion is still qualitative, and it is impossible to 489 quantitatively evaluate or calculate the impact of flow or floodplain on the decrease of diversion 490 ratio. Data under more test conditions are needed for further exploration. 491

During the dry season, both the center bar of the braided river and the floodplain of the 492 compound river remain unsubmerged and usually grows a certain coverage vegetation. The 493 subterranean root system of vegetation roots provides soil consolidation effects, thereby 494 enhancing bank slope erosion resistance. Moreover, the rhizomes and leaves on the ground can 495 significantly increase the water flow resistance. The spatial distribution uniformity of vegetation 496 along both sides of the floodplain and the center bar will also affect the flow velocity distribution 497 in the bifurcation section. In this paper, the experimental conditions of vegetation are not 498 considered, and the calculation of resistance coefficient is deviated from the actual situation. 499 When the research results of this paper are applied or verified in natural river, the factors of 500 vegetation should be considered, such as species, growth, coverage, and distribution uniformity, 501 in view of its great impact on overflow capacity of channel. 502

503 Acknowledgments

- 504 The authors gratefully acknowledge the support of the Natural Fund Project of Sichuan Science
- and Technology Department (23NSFSC5355), the Sichuan Univ, State Key Lab Hydraul & Mt
- 506 River Engn (SKHL2217) and the Open Research Subject of Key Laboratory of Fluid Machinery
- and Engineering (Xihua University), Sichuan Province (grant number LTJX-2022002).
- 508 References
- 509 Abbaspour, A. (2020). Experimental investigation on bed shear stress distribution in the
- 510 roughened compound channel. Applied Water Science, 10(3).
- 511 Devi, K., Khatua, K. K., & Khuntia, J. R. (2017). Boundary Shear Stress Distribution for a Two-
- 512 Stage Asymmetric Compound Channel. Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering, 42(3),
- 513 1077–1091. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13369-016-2321-1
- 514 Du, Q., Tang, H., Yuan, S., & Xiao, Y. (2016). Predicting flow rate and sediment in bifurcated
- river branches. Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers Water Management, 169(4),
- 516 156–167. https://doi.org/10.1680/wama.14.00161
- 517 HU, C., & JI, Z. (1999). Distribution of Boundary Shear Stress in Compound Cross-section.
- Journal of Sediment Research, 06, 52–55. https://doi.org/10.16239/j.cnki.0468-
- 519 155x.1999.06.013
- 520 Hua, Z., Gu, L., & Chu, K. (2009). Experiments of Three-Dimensional Flow Structure in
- Braided Rivers. *Journal of Hydrodynamics*, *21*(2), 228–237. https://doi.org/10.1016/S10016058(08)60140-7
- 523 KANG, L. (2023). Flume experimental study on flow capacity of compound bifurcated channel
- 524 [Master's thesis]. Xihua University.
- 525 Khan, Md. A., & Sharma, N. (2019). Turbulence Study Around Bar in a Braided River Model.
- 526 Water Resources, 46(3), 353–366. https://doi.org/10.1134/S0097807819030023

- 527 LIU, C., YANG, K., & LIU, X. (2012). Two-dimensional analytical solutions to depth-averaged
- velocity in compound channels with overbank flows. Journal of Hydraulic Engineering, 43(S2),
- 529 27-34. https://doi.org/10.13243/j.cnki.slxb.2012.s2.024
- Liu, X., Li, L., Hua, Z., Tu, Q., Yang, T., & Zhang, Y. (2019). Flow Dynamics and Contaminant
- 531 Transport in Y-Shaped River Channel Confluences. International Journal of Environmental
- 532 *Research and Public Health*, *16*(4), 572. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16040572
- Liu, Y. (2003). Development of Research on Essential Characteristics of Meandering Rivers.
- 534 *Pearl River*, 2, 1–4.
- Luo, F., Liang, Z., & Zhang, D. (1995). Experimental Studies om Division of Flow. *Advances in*
- 536 *Water Science*, 01, 71–75.
- 537 Luo, H. (1989). Characteristics of fluvial processes and stability of the braided channel in the
- middle and lower reaches of the Yangze River. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering*, 6, 10–19.
- 539 Naik, B., Padhi, E., & Khatua, K. K. (2018). Flow Prediction of Boundary Shear Stress and
- 540 Depth Average Velocity of a Compound Channel with Narrowing Floodplain. *Iranian Journal of*
- 541 Science and Technology, Transactions of Civil Engineering, 42(4), 415–425.
- 542 https://doi.org/10.1007/s40996-018-0105-4
- 543 Proust, S., & Nikora, V. (2018). Flow structure in compound open-channel flows in the presence
- of transverse currents. *E3S Web of Conferences*, 40.
- 545 https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/20184005024
- 546 Proust, S., & Nikora, V. I. (2020). Compound open-channel flows: Effects of transverse currents
- on the flow structure. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, 885. https://doi.org/10.1017/jfm.2019.973

- 548 Ramamurthy, A. S., & Satish, M. G. (1988). Division of Flow in Short Open Channel Branches.
- 549 Journal of Hydraulic Engineering, 114(4), 428–438. https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-
- 550 9429(1988)114:4(428)
- 551 Spooner, Jake. (2001). Flow structures in a compound meandering channel with flat and
- *natural bedforms* [Ph.D. thesis]. Loughborough University.
- 553 Stephenson, D., & Kolovopoulos, P. (1990). Effects of Momentum Transfer in Compound
- 554 Channels. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering*, *116*(12), 1512–1522.
- 555 https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9429(1990)116:12(1512)
- 556 Tang, H., Zhang, H., & Yuan, S. (2018). Hydrodynamics and contaminant transport on a
- bed at a 90-degree channel confluence. Environmental Fluid Mechanics, 18(2), 443-
- 558 463. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10652-017-9562-8
- 559 Tang, X. (2019). A new apparent shear stress-based approach for predicting discharge in
- uniformly roughened straight compound channels. Flow Measurement and Instrumentation, 65,
- 561 280–287. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.flowmeasinst.2019.01.012
- 562 TONG, C., YAN, Y., MENG, Y., & YUE, L. (2011). Methods of evaluating flow diversion ratio
- of bifurcated rivers. Advances in Science and Technoligy of Water Resource, 31(06), 7-9+90.
- 564 WANG, Z., LI, Y., DONG, Z., ZHUANG, W., WENG, S., & ZHANG, J. (2019). Influencing
- factors of flow diversion ratio in plain river networks of Taihu Lake Basin. *Water Resources*
- 566 *Protection*, *35*(4), 49-54+75.
- 567 Yonesi, H. A., Parsaie, A., Arshia, A., & Shamsi, Z. (2022). Discharge modeling in compound
- channels with non-prismatic floodplains using GMDH and MARS models. *Water Supply*, 22(4),
- 569 4400–4421. https://doi.org/10.2166/ws.2022.058

- 570 ZHANG, J., WANG, P., HU, J., & WANG, M. (2021). Experimental Study on the
- 571 Characteristics of Near-Bottom Flow in Bifurcated Channel. Science Technology and
- 572 *Engineering*, *21*(8), 3297–3303.
- 573 ZHAO, C., WANG, pingyi, WANG, M., & CHEN, Y. (2022). Experimental study on flow law
- of branching channel under different branching ratios. *Port & Waterway Engineering*, 05, 84–90.
- 575 https://doi.org/10.16233/j.cnki.issn1002-4972.20220418.026
- 576 Γ.B.Zheleznyakov. (1956). On the Bases of Hydraulics for Method of Hydrometry of River.
- 577 China Water Conservacy Press.
- 578
- 579