# Physical modeling of gelatinous zooplankton sinking in the deep global ocean

Matjaz Licer<sup>1</sup>, Martin Vodopivec<sup>1</sup>, Gerhard J Herndl<sup>2</sup>, and Tinkara Tinta<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>National Institute of Biology <sup>2</sup>University of Vienna

October 17, 2023

## Abstract

Decaying gelatinous zooplankton (GZ) originating from surface waters has been proposed as a possible major contributor to the biological carbon pump. However, studies arrived at largely diverging conclusions concerning the role of decaying GZ as organic matter supply for the deep-sea heterotrophic biota. We complement previous approaches to GZ sinking by proposing the first dynamically consistent physical model coupling GZ sinking speed and its mass. We evaluate GZ contribution to deep-ocean carbon sequestration and to the soft-tissue carbon pump by solving the model equations on the global ocean grid employing monthly climatological temperature fields and published exponential and linear temperature dependencies of mass decay rates. We present the global ocean distribution of the fraction of GZ-mass sinking out of the euphotic zone (200 m depth), twilight zone (1000 m depth) and the fraction of mass reaching the global ocean floor. Solutions in the upper water column are strongly dependent on the mass decay rate. Since most of the decay happens in the initial phase of the sinking process, the sinking-decay coupling exerts a substantial impact on sinking rates but has limited effect on the fraction of mass reaching the bathypelagic and abyssal ocean. Our model approach indicates that there are substantial latitudinal differences in the potential supply of GZ detrital matter to the deep sea. While at low latitudes only negligible amounts of GZ biomass are deposited at the ocean floor, high latitudes allow for substantial GZ detrital mass transport to depths below 1000 m.









# Physical modeling of gelatinous zooplankton sinking in the deep global ocean

M. Ličer <sup>1,2</sup>, M. Vodopivec <sup>2</sup>, G. J. Herndl<sup>3,4</sup>, T. Tinta <sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Slovenian Environment Agency, Ljubljana, Slovenia <sup>2</sup>National Institute of Biology, Ljubljana, Slovenia <sup>3</sup>Department of Functional and Evolutionary Ecology, Bio-Oceanography and Marine Biology Unit, University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria <sup>4</sup>NIOZ, Department of Marine Microbiology and Biogeochemistry, Royal Netherlands Institute for Sea Research, Den Burg, The Netherlands

## <sup>10</sup> Key Points:

1

2

3

4 5 6

8

.1	•	We	formulate	$\mathbf{a}$	dynamically	$\operatorname{consistent}$	physical	$\operatorname{model}$	of	GΖ	sinking
----	---	----	-----------	--------------	-------------	-----------------------------	----------	------------------------	----	----	---------

- We solve model equations for entire global ocean
- Substantial carbon export to deep ocean is only possible in the polar ocean

Corresponding author: Matjaž Ličer, matjaz.licer@nib.si

## 14 Abstract

Decaying gelatinous zooplankton (GZ) originating from surface waters has been proposed 15 as a possible major contributor to the biological carbon pump. However, studies arrived 16 at largely diverging conclusions concerning the role of decaying GZ as organic matter 17 supply for the deep-sea heterotrophic biota. We complement previous approaches to GZ 18 sinking by proposing the first dynamically consistent physical model coupling GZ sink-19 ing speed and its mass. We evaluate GZ contribution to deep-ocean carbon sequestra-20 tion and to the soft-tissue carbon pump by solving the model equations on the global 21 ocean grid employing monthly climatological temperature fields and published exponen-22 tial and linear temperature dependencies of mass decay rates. We present the global ocean 23 distribution of the fraction of GZ-mass sinking out of the euphotic zone (200 m depth), 24 twilight zone (1000 m depth) and the fraction of mass reaching the global ocean floor. 25 Solutions in the upper water column are strongly dependent on the mass decay rate. Since 26 most of the decay happens in the initial phase of the sinking process, the sinking-decay 27 coupling exerts a substantial impact on sinking rates but has limited effect on the frac-28 tion of mass reaching the bathypelagic and abyssal ocean. Our model approach indicates 29 that there are substantial latitudinal differences in the potential supply of GZ detrital 30 matter to the deep sea. While at low latitudes only negligible amounts of GZ biomass 31 are deposited at the ocean floor, high latitudes allow for substantial GZ detrital mass 32 transport to depths below 1000 m. 33

## <sup>34</sup> Plain Language Summary

Decaying zooplankton sinking into deep ocean has been proposed as a possible ma-35 jor contributor to the vertical carbon flux to ocean floor. We formulate the first phys-36 ical model which includes impact of organism decay on its sinking speed. We use this 37 model to create global estimates of how much zooplankton can reach deep ocean and the 38 ocean floor. We show that in equatorial and mid-latitude ocean most of organisms com-39 pletely decay before reaching depths over 1000 m and the ocean floor. In the polar ocean, 40 however, a lot of zooplankton mass can reach the ocean floor due to lower ocean tem-41 peratures. 42

## 43 **1** Introduction

Atmospheric carbon enters the ocean via photosynthesis and, following a chain of 44 diverse biochemical reactions, ends up in a plethora of carbon-containing compounds stored 45 in the biomass of different organisms. A fraction (10-30 %) of this organic carbon is ex-46 ported into the ocean's interior either via sinking, downwelling of surface waters (rich 47 in dissolved organic matter) or active vertical migration of organism (Herndl & Reinthaler, 48 2013; P. W. Boyd et al., 2019; Iversen, 2023). Collectively, these mechanisms are known 49 as the biological carbon pump (Herndl & Reinthaler, 2013; P. W. Boyd et al., 2019). The 50 biological processing of the particulate organic matter during its sinking through the wa-51 ter column affects the global carbon cycle and ultimately, the global climate. However, 52 there is a known mismatch between surface-ocean carbon supply exported to the ocean's 53 interior via sinking particulate organic carbon (POC) and dissolved organic carbon (DOC) 54 advection, and the carbon demand by mesopelagic and bathypelagic zooplankton and 55 heterotrophic microbes (Burd et al., 2010). It has been recently suggested that gelati-56 nous zooplankton (GZ), which has been largely overlooked as a source of carbon for the 57 deep-sea biota, could represent one of the missing pieces in this puzzle (Steinberg & Landry, 58 2017). 59

GZ, specifically the cnidarian subphylum *Medusozoa*, the phylum *Ctenophora* and pelagic tunicates (*Thaliacea*, phylum *Chordata*), inhabit a wide range of marine ecosystems and are responsible for a substantial amount of pelagic secondary production (i.e., net GZ production estimated at 3.9–5.8 Pg C y<sup>-1</sup> in the epipelagic ocean (Luo et al.,

2020)), occasionally forming large blooms with high biomass. Once GZ die off, their car-64 casses can face different fates: they can be (i) consumed or fragmented by predators and 65 scavengers, (ii) degraded by pelagic communities (macro and micro-organism), (iii) sink 66 intact through the water column as 'jelly-falls' and/or (iv) be degraded by benthic com-67 munities once reaching the seafloor (Tinta et al., 2021). There are many factors deter-68 mining the fate of GZ-carbon in the ocean, with many unknowns that need to be addressed 69 to accurately incorporate GZ into the ocean carbon budgets, as recently suggested (Tinta 70 et al., 2021). 71

72 The global jellyfish biomass estimates range from 0.1 PgC to 3.1 PgC (Lucas et al., 2014; Bar-On et al., 2018; Luo et al., 2020; Wright et al., 2021). Using a value of 0.51 73 PgC as the total GZ biomass, it has been recently estimated that total gelatinous zoo-74 plankton POC export at 100 m is equivalent to 32–40% of the total global POC export 75 (Luo et al., 2020). Furthermore, it has been estimated that sinking GZ export resulted 76 in a high transfer efficiency of 38-62% to 1000 m depth, and 25-40% to the seafloor (Lebrato 77 et al., 2019). This indicates that sinking GZ carcasses could be some of the most impor-78 tant contributors to carbon sequestration in the deep ocean (i.e., being an important com-79 ponent of the soft-tissue carbon pump). 80

However, these estimates are based on studies, which mostly considered a scenario 81 in which GZ carcasses rapidly sink through the water column, while being subjected to 82 degradation by different pelagic organisms (Lebrato et al., 2013, 2019). The sinking speed 83 is crucial in determining the amount of GZ-carbon reaching different depths of the ocean 84 and the values used in previous studies, i.e.,  $800-1200 \text{ m d}^{-1}$  for *Chordata*, 900-1100 m85  $d^{-1}$  for *Cnidaria* and 500-1300 m  $d^{-1}$  for *Ctenophora* (Lebrato et al., 2013, 2019), were 86 obtained in relatively simple laboratory experiments, with shortcomings previously dis-87 cussed (Tinta et al., 2021). However, these are the only estimates on the sinking speeds 88 of GZ carcasses to date, and were hence used as initial values for the sinking speed in 89 this study as well. 90

In this paper we complement numerical approaches from previous studies (Lebrato 91 et al., 2013, 2019). First, we address the fact that the decay of organisms affects sink-92 ing speed due to changing mass, which modifies the ratio between forces of gravity, buoy-93 ancy and drag. We solved these equations on a global ocean grid using exponential and 94 linear GZ mass decay rates (Lebrato et al., 2019) over several exit depths and several 95 initial sinking speeds. We present two-dimensional maps of solutions and their zonal means, 96 along with local solutions at several relevant global ocean locations which correspond to 97 high GZ biomass. 98

## <sup>99</sup> 2 Physical model of sinking and decaying GZ

This sections describes the model equations. Following a previous publication (Lebrato et al., 2019) we describe the decay rate as

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}m}{\mathrm{d}t} = -k(T)m,\tag{1}$$

where the coefficient k(T) (hereafter referred to as decay rate) was determined from observations following either a linear or an exponential function of the in-situ temperature T(z). In the linear case, k is

$$k_{lin} = 0.064 \,^{\circ}C^{-1} \,\mathrm{d}^{-1}T(z) + 0.02 \,\mathrm{d}^{-1}, \tag{2}$$

while in the exponential case, k is estimated as

$$k_{exp} = 0.140 \,\mathrm{d}^{-1} \exp[0.145 \,^{\circ}C^{-1} \,\cdot T(z)]. \tag{3}$$

As noted earlier (Lebrato et al., 2019),  $k_{exp}$  leads to a rapid decay at the surface. To remedy this,  $k_{lin}$  is proposed as a more moderate decay function.

Equation (1) and the two decay rates  $(k_{exp} \text{ and } k_{lin})$  already allow estimating organism decay in its initial phase. Shortly after organism death the solution to Equation (1) is

$$m = m_0 e^{-k_0 t} = m_0 e^{-t/\tau}; \tau = k_0^{-1}, \tag{4}$$

where  $m_0$  and  $k_0$  are initial mass and initial value of k, respectively. Hence inverse de-111 cay rate  $\tau = k_0^{-1}$  plays the role of the typical timescale during which the decaying mass 112 drops by a factor of e to  $\sim 0.36 m_0$ . In case of an exit depth near the surface and at typ-113 ical equatorial sea surface temperature of 25°C, decay rates (2) and (3) lead to  $k_{lin}^{-1} \sim$ 114 15 h and  $k_{exp,0}^{-1} \sim 5$  h. Within 24 h, traveling at  $w \sim 1000$  m d<sup>-1</sup>, the organism will 115 have sunk by about a 1000 m. We can therefore estimate that in the first 1000 m, its 116 mass will decay to about  $\exp(-24/5) m_0 \sim 0.01 m_0$  in case of exponential decay rate 117 and, similarly, to about  $\exp(-24/15) m_0 \sim 0.20 m_0$  in case of linear decay rate. These 118 simple estimates will serve as a quick benchmark for the validity of full solutions, pre-119 sented below. 120

Assuming the spherical shape of the GZ, Newton's law for vertical motion takes the form (with vertical axis oriented upwards):

$$\rho_{gz}V\frac{\mathrm{dw}}{\mathrm{d}t} = -\rho_{gz}Vg + \rho_{oc}Vg + \frac{1}{2}\rho_{oc}C_D\pi r^2\mathrm{w}^2\tag{5}$$

where w is the total vertical speed of the sinking organism, and the terms on the 123 right-hand side of the equation are gravity, buoyancy and friction (adopted from (Yang 124 et al., 2018) with zero vertical background flow).  $\rho_{oc} = 1025 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$  and  $\rho_{gz}$  are ocean 125 and GZ mass densities. As we will show below, we do not need to know the actual value 126 of  $\rho_{az}$  as long as we know the sinking speeds which were reported elsewhere (Lebrato et 127 al., 2019).  $V(t) = m(t)/\rho_{qz}$  and  $r(t) = (3m(t)/4\pi\rho_{qz})^{1/3}$  are the volume and the ra-128 dius of the GZ, respectively. Both values change as GZ mass m(t) diminishes due to mi-129 crobial decay, g is gravitational acceleration,  $C_D$  is the drag coefficient of the GZ shape. 130 We demonstrate below that the sinking dynamics is not governed by  $C_D$  or  $\rho_{qz}$  individ-131 ually, but rather by a product of powers of  $C_D$  and  $\rho_{qz}$  - and this product is calculated 132 directly from observations reported in previous publication (Lebrato et al., 2019) with-133 out making any specific assumption about the value of either  $C_D$  or  $\rho_{az}$ . 134

Assuming that the time needed for sinking organism to reach its terminal sinking speed, which we denote with the symbol w, is much shorter than the timescale of the organism's decay, we can set dw/dt = 0 in Equation (5). In other words, we assume that the decaying organism is always traveling at its equilibrium terminal speed w while we are still allowing this terminal speed w(t) to change slowly (on decay timescales) as the organism's mass m(t) decreases.

## <sup>141</sup> Condition dw/dt = 0 in Equation (5) leads to relation

$$\frac{1}{2}\rho_{oc}C_D\pi r^2(t)w^2(t) = (\rho_{gz} - \rho_{oc})g(4\pi/3)r^3(t), \tag{6}$$

which, since  $r = (3m/4\pi\rho_{qz})^{1/3}$ , yields the following expression for terminal speed

$$w(t) = \kappa m^{1/6},\tag{7}$$

where

143

$$\kappa = \left[\frac{8g}{3C_D} \left(\frac{\rho_{gz} - \rho_{oc}}{\rho_{oc}}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{3}{4\pi\rho_{gz}}\right)^{\frac{1}{6}} \tag{8}$$

is a constant numerical parameter. This parameter can be determined directly from observed vertical sinking speeds reported in (Lebrato et al., 2019) without knowing anything specific about  $C_D$  or  $\rho_{gz}$ . Observations of sinking speeds in (Lebrato et al., 2019) can be taken as a reliable estimates of the order of magnitude of the initial sinking speed at time t = 0, which we denote as  $w_0$ . Initial GZ mass at time t = 0 will be denoted as  $m(0) = m_0$ . Together with equation (7) these initial conditions yield

$$\kappa = w_0 m_0^{-1/6}.$$
 (9)

Equation (7) thus simplifies to

$$w(t) = w_0 \left[\frac{m(z(t))}{m_0}\right]^{1/6},$$
(10)

which clearly satisfies the initial condition  $w(t)|_{t=0} = w_0$  and shows that  $w/w_0$  at depth z equals the sixth root of the fraction of mass at depth z. Since w(t) = dz/dt, the system we need to solve is an initial value problem in the form of two coupled non-linear ODEs:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}z}{\mathrm{d}t} = w_0 \left[\frac{m(z)}{m_0}\right]^{1/6} \tag{11}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}m}{\mathrm{d}t} = -k(T(z))\,m(z) \tag{12}$$

where k is a known (see equations (2) and (3)) decay rate from (Lebrato et al., 2019) 155 and T(z) is the vertical ocean temperature profile at the sinking location. Physical con-156 tent of these two equations is clear: as the GZ mass decreases according to Equation (12), 157 its sinking rate decreases according to Equation (11). This causes a non-linear feedback 158 loop: mass reduction causes vertical speed reduction, hence the organism spends more 159 time in a given depth interval, causing its mass to reduce further within this depth in-160 terval. The system of equations (11)-(12) is an extension of the approach from (Lebrato 161 et al., 2019) since it takes into account that sinking speed is not constant but varies with 162 diminishing mass. 163

The system of equations (11)-(12) was numerically integrated using Python's odeint 164 solver from scipy library, which is a wrapper to the explicit LSODA solver (Petzold, 1983) 165 implemented in the FORTRAN library ODEPACK (Hindmarsh, 1983). The system was 166 solved over a global regular latitude-longitude grid with a 1-degree horizontal resolution. 167 At each grid point, the exit depth was set i) to the mid-euphotic zone at -100 m, and 168 ii) to the bottom of the twilight zone at -1000 m. Initial sinking speeds were set to 500. 169 1000 and 1500 m d<sup>-1</sup>. Initial mass was set to  $m_0 = 1.0$  kg. Starting with the same ini-170 tial mass in each grid point allows us to separately gauge the temperature, decay rate 171 and initial sinking speed impact on sinking dynamics and allows for comparisons of ide-172 alized carbon export efficiency between different regions of the global ocean. Ocean tem-173 perature profiles T(z) were obtained from Copernicus CMEMS Climatology GLOBAL\_REANALYSIS\_001\_030 174 product and remapped to our model grid using nearest neighbor interpolation at each 175 grid point. Subzero water temperatures in the polar regions were set to zero degrees Cel-176 sius during decay rate computation (2) and (3), yielding constant values of  $k_{lin} \sim 0.02$ 177  $d^{-1}$  and  $k_{exp} \sim 0.14 d^{-1}$ . Global bathymetry used to compute the fractions of mass 178 at ocean floor was the NOAA ETOPO2 Dataset (Center, 2006) [access date: Septem-179 ber 13, 2023]. 180

## <sup>181</sup> 3 Results and discussion

## 182

## 3.1 Local solutions at several locations with high GZ biomass

The vertical profiles to the winter solutions to the system (11)-(12) for the exit depth of 100 m and an initial sinking speed of 1000 m d<sup>-1</sup> are shown here only for selected locations corresponding to regions with high biomass concentrations as depicted in (Lebrato



**Figure 1.** GZ sinking locations (red circles) which are indicative to regions of high biomass and their descriptive denotations in the paper. Background map depicts climato-logical mean sea surface temperature in January from Copernicus CMEMS Climatology GLOBAL\_REANALYSIS\_001\_030 product.

et al., 2019). These locations (and their tentative names) are depicted in Figure 1, while
their coordinates (latitude, longitude) are as follows: South-West of Hawaii (6.8956 N,
162.0416 W), Mid - Atlantic (30.2837 N, 48.1421 W), South-East of Greenland (61.5174
N, 38.1832 W), Drake Passage (59.1457 S, 65.1825 W), East Taiwan (22.9443 N, 125.2140
E).

<sup>191</sup> Solutions for the depth dependence of mass (first column in Figure 2) in the equa-<sup>192</sup> torial and mid-latitude ocean (locations South West of Hawaii, Mid-Atlantic and loca-<sup>193</sup> tion East of Taiwan) all exhibit a similar pattern and are remarkably consistent with de-<sup>194</sup> cay estimates based on decay timescales  $k_0^{-1}$  from the beginning of Section 2: less than <sup>195</sup> 0.01  $m_0$  reaches 1000 m depth assuming an exponential decay rate and about 0.25  $m_0$ <sup>196</sup> when a linear decay rate is assumed.

In tropical and temperate regions of the ocean most of the organisms were completely degraded at 3000 m depth regardless of the decay rate. At sub-polar locations, however, a higher amount of initial mass reaches the ocean floor. At South-East of Greenland, roughly 30 % of the initial mass reaches the ocean floor, while in the Drake Passage 50-75 % of the initial mass of GZ reaches the ocean floor in the austral winter, in this case depending strongly on adopted decay rates, which lead to substantially differing decay time scales in the surface layers in the Drake passage, as depicted in the bottom row of the third column of Figure 2.

Dashed and dotted lines in the first and second columns of Figure 2 depict solu-205 tions with a fixed vertical speed of  $w_0 = 1000 \text{ m d}^{-1}$ , i.e. solutions where sinking rate 206 and mass decay are fully decoupled, an approach applied by previous studies (Lebrato 207 et al., 2019). Our results show that the coupling between sinking rate and mass has a 208 substantial impact on the sinking time to a specific depth (Figure 2, second column) but 200 has very little effect on the fraction of mass reaching a specific depth (Figure 2, first col-210 umn). In polar regions (Figure 2, bottom two rows) this is explained by the fact that 211 decay is slow enough to not have much impact on sinking rate. In tropical and temper-212



Figure 2. Solutions of the equations (11)-(12) at various locations (rows) in the winter (hence for Drake passage, June results are depicted). Results are shown for exit depth of 100 m and an initial sinking speed of 1000 m d<sup>-1</sup>. First column: depth dependence of fraction of initial mass for linear and exponential decay rates. Full lines denote full solutions to system (11)-(12). Dashed lines assume a constant sinking speed of 1000 m d<sup>-1</sup>. The grey line denotes the Martin curve, using location-dependent remineralization rates b from Figure 3 in (Guidi et al., 2015). Martin curve fluxes are computed for the time span of GZ sinking and are consequently truncated the moment sinking GZ organism hits the ocean floor. Second column: organism depth dependence on time. Full lines denote full solutions to system (11)-(12). Dashed lines assume constant sinking speed of 1000 m d<sup>-1</sup>. Third column: depth dependence of decay timescale  $k^{-1}$  for exponential (full lines) and linear (dashed lines) decay rates. Fourth column: vertical temperature profile at sinking location.

ate regions (Figure 2, top three rows) however, this stems from the fact that, when starting from the same initial vertical speed of 1000 m d<sup>-1</sup>, it takes about 1000-1500 vertical meters for solutions to substantially diverge (Figure 2, second column). By this depth, however, there is typically less than 20 % of the initial mass left, again preventing a major impact of mass decay on the sinking rates. Our solutions further show that an exponential decay rate effectively controls GZ carbon export in tropical and temperate regions in the top 1000 m (purple lines in top three rows of second column of Figure 2).

Grey lines in the first column of Figure 2 are the widely used Martin curve (Martin 220 et al., 1987; Guidi et al., 2015; Iversen, 2023), which describes the power-law depth-dependence 221 of vertical remineralization fluxes  $\phi(z) = \phi_0(z/z_0)^{-b}$ , where  $\phi(z) = m(z)w(z)$  is the 222 vertical mass flux at depth z and  $\phi_0 = m_0 w_0$  is the mass flux at some reference depth. 223 in our case the exit depth  $z_0 = 100$  m. Exponent b was shown to vary depending on 224 many physical, chemical and biological factors and exhibits substantial spatial variabil-225 ity (Henson et al., 2012; Guidi et al., 2015; Middelburg, 2019; Iversen, 2023). Exponents 226 used to compute Martin curves in Figure 2 were taken from (Guidi et al., 2015). While 227 there is an interesting partial match with the Martin curve for the locations SW Hawaii 228 (b = 0.95), Mid Atlantic (b = 0.70) and East Taiwan (b = 1.0), there are also clear dis-229 crepancies for SE Greenland (b = 1.2) and the Drake Passage (b = 1.2). 230

Discrepancies between the GZ mass transport and the Martin curves are not en-231 tirely unexpected. The Martin curve describes the particle flux of heterogenous organic 232 matter such as marine snow, while the results presented in this paper represent the mass 233 transport of a single bulk 1 kg GZ organism subjected to different decay processes. Fur-234 thermore, the equations (11) and (12) are theoretical derivations based on laboratory 235 decay rates and sinking velocities, while the Martin curve is a best fit to *in situ* parti-236 cle flux obtained from sediment traps (Middelburg, 2019), which, however, due to their 237 design do not capture most of GZ carcasses (Luo et al., 2020). Important to consider, 238 yet frequently not taken into account, is that the transformation of organic matter in 239 the water column is a mixture of many biological processes, and the attenuation in the 240 first few hundred meters of the water column is controlled by zooplankton and microor-241 ganisms, while microbes dominate the attenuation in the deeper waters (Iversen, 2023). 242

## 243

## 3.2 Gridded solutions for the global ocean

Gridded solution maps over the entire global ocean have been obtained for clima-244 tological temperature fields and for a depth of 100 m and an initial sinking speed of 1000 245 m d<sup>-1</sup>. Results for the exit depth of 1000 m and initial sinking speeds of 500 and 1500 246 m  $d^{-1}$  are available in the Supplementary Material. Figure 3 depicts the fraction of mass 247 that arrives at the bottom of the euphotic zone at 200 m. Solutions exhibit a strong merid-248 ional gradient of local vertical carbon export out of the euphotic zone. Solutions obtained 249 using an exponential decay rate (3) indicate that at the equator 30 - 40 % of the initial 250 mass reaches the bottom of the euphotic zone. This percentage increases to 70 % at 30° 251 latitudes and to more than 90 % at polar latitudes beyond 60°. A similar behavior is ob-252 served for linear decay rates (2), but carbon export numbers are substantially larger: more 253 than 80 % of the initial mass reaches the bottom of the euphotic zone in the equatorial 254 regions, increasing to 90 % in temperate and polar regions. Thus, decay rates are higher 255 in tropical and subtropical than in polar regions and carbon export out of euphotic zone 256 is more efficient in polar regions. 257

The fraction of mass reaching the bottom of the twilight zone (1000 m) is depicted in Figure 4. If an exponential decay rate is assumed, essentially no GZ biomass reaches this depth at latitudes between 30° S and 30° N. If a linear decay is assumed, 15-30% of mass still persists at 1000 m depth at this latitudinal band. These solutions are consistent with decay timescale  $k_0^{-1}$  estimates from Section 2. Beyond this latitudinal band a strong meridional gradient exists again, where roughly 45% of the initial mass reaches



Figure 3. Fraction of mass arriving at the bottom of the euphotic zone at 200 m (from the exit depth of 100 m), starting with initial sinking speed of 1000 m d<sup>-1</sup>. Top row: solutions with an exponential decay rate  $k_{exp}(T)$ . Bottom row: solutions with a linear decay rate  $k_{lin}(T)$ . Left column: solutions for ocean temperatures in January. Right column: solutions for ocean temperatures in January. Right column: solutions for ocean temperatures in January. A solution of the exponential (top row) and linear (bottom row) decay rates.

1000 m at 45° and more than 70% of the initial mass reaches 1000 m at 70°. Low temperatures and decay timescales in the order of 100 h again allow for more than 90 % to be exported out of the twilight zone in the polar ocean.

The fraction of mass that arrives to the ocean floor at the sinking location is depicted in Figure 5. This solution indicates that regardless of the decay rate chosen, a negligible amount of mass reaches ocean floor at latitudes between 30° S and 30° N. Ocean temperatures suitable for allowing any notable amount of mass reaching the ocean floor start poleward of 45° latitude. Exponential decay rates lead to 30-45 % of initial mass at 60° parallels, while linear decay rates allow up to 75 % at similar latitudes and more than 90 % in the Southern and Arctic Ocean.

Simulations presented above were also repeated for initial sinking speeds of 500 m  $d^{-1}$  and 1500 m  $d^{-1}$  (see Supplementary material). The above conclusions remain qualitatively the same as for an initial sinking speed of 1000 m  $d^{-1}$ .

Finally, with an exit depth in the mid-euphotic zone, a negligible amount of ini-277 tial mass reaches the tropical ocean floor in all sinking speed scenarios. Only the low tem-278 peratures in the polar regions allow a relevant amount of initial mass to reach the sea 279 floor. This is clearly reflected in Figure 6, which depicts zonally averaged fields from Fig-280 ures 3-5 (including initial sinking speeds 500 m d<sup>-1</sup> and 1500 m d<sup>-1</sup>), i.e. the zonally 281 averaged fraction of mass reaching a specific depth (200 m, 1000m, ocean floor) at the 282 given latitude. Results are shown only for global ocean temperatures in January, but re-283 sults for June (not shown) are similar. 284



Figure 4. Same as Figure 3 but for a fraction of mass that arrives at the bottom of the twilight zone at 1000 m (from the exit depth of 100 m). Dotted regions indicate areas where less than  $10^{-4}$  of the initial mass reached the depth of 1000 m.



Figure 5. Same as Figure 3 but for the fraction of mass that arrives at the bottom of the ocean (from the exit depth of 100 m). Dotted regions indicate areas where less than  $10^{-4}$  of the initial mass reached ocean floor.

285 286 The only scenario with very low, but non-zero fraction of initial mass reaching the ocean floor in tropical or temperate ocean was the scenario with an exit depth of 1000



Figure 6. Zonal averages of the fraction of mass  $m/m_0$  that reaches i) the bottom of euphotic zone at 200 m (top left), ii) the bottom of twilight zone at 1000 m (top right), and iii) the ocean floor in January from the exit depth of 100 m (bottom left) or from exit depth of 1000 m (bottom right). Orange lines correspond to linear decay, while dark blue lines depict solutions with exponential decay. Line styles differ with regard to initial sinking speed. The legend in the bottom left plot applies to all panels.

m and an initial sinking speed of 1500 m d<sup>-1</sup> (Figure 6, bottom right panel). This result indicates 0 - 5 % of the initial mass reaches the bottom in the tropical and most of the temperate ocean.

These results have to be taken with caution, however, as there are substantial un-290 certainties in the GZ decay rates. The rates (2) and (3) are probably the best available, 291 but have been obtained in a narrow temperature range, on a limited number of species, 292 in specific geographic regions and at limited ocean depths, see (Tinta et al., 2021). Since 293 our results point to a strong dependence of the GZ decay rate on ocean temperature, better constraining of these rates would help improving carbon export estimates. Further-295 more, the amount of GZ biomass exported to a certain ocean depth depends also on other 296 factors, such as the initial biomass of GZ and its specific density. Biomass of different 297 species vary in biochemical complexity and molecular composition, which determines its bioavailability (P. Boyd & Trull, 2007; Turner, 2015; Johnson et al., 2020) and conse-299 quently their degradation and re-mineralization rates. Also, the depth where GZ die, the 300 predation and fragmentation, the sinking velocity, seawater temperature and water col-301 umn structure, the composition and functional capacity of the marine food web - all these 302 determine the fate of GZ detrital matter ((Iversen, 2023) and references therein). Lin-303 ear and exponential decay rates span over a wide range and they both indicate negligi-304 ble GZ carbon export to the ocean floor in equatorial and mid-latitude ocean. The same 305 holds for initial sinking speeds between 500 m  $d^{-1}$  and 1500 m  $d^{-1}$ . We are therefore 306 confident that modeled carbon exports reflect actual values as long as decay rates  $k_{lin}$ 307 and  $k_{exp}$  appropriately describe the actual decay process in the ocean. 308

This is however not always a valid assumption. Our results are, for example, in stark contrast with observed depositions of GZ carcasses on the ocean floor (Billett et al., 2006; Lebrato & Jones, 2009; Lebrato et al., 2012; Hoving et al., 2023). It seems likely that the decay rates from (Lebrato et al., 2019) have a limited domain of validity due to sev-

eral mechanisms, which are impossible to capture in laboratory experiments:

- The decay rates likely decrease with depth additionally to the contribution due 314 to declining temperatures. The composition and functional capacity of microbial 315 communities that populate GZ particles in the upper water column are likely chang-316 ing with increasing depth and their metabolic activities are influenced by hydro-317 static pressure and other environmental factors (Iversen, 2023; Amano et al., 2022). 318 At the same time, the reactivity of the material is reduced with time due to rem-319 ineralization and associated changes in OM composition (Henson et al., 2012; Mid-320 delburg, 2019). 321
- In cases of mass mortality events, the degradation capacities of surrounding ecosystem might be saturated (Tinta et al., 2021) and the decay rate should be substantially lower than observed for individual organisms. In such cases, heterotrophic microbes might be specifically important in processing this GZ detrital matter and accelerate decay.
- Observations show increasing particle settling velocities with depth (Berelson, 2002; Middelburg, 2019). There are several possible explanations for this and there is a possibility that the sinking speed of GZ detritus is increased by changes in composition, by pressure effects or by trapping other particles with higher specific density than GZ detrital matter.
- The exit depth in observed 'jelly falls' might be deeper than used in our study, which would result in larger fraction of GZ biomass transport to the ocean floor.
- All the above-mentioned possibilities would result in increased vertical transport of GZ biomass. Our model, however, does not account for the fragmentation of GZ particles by zooplankton and larger organisms, which should result in a higher attenuation of GZ biomass in the epipelagic and upper mesopelagic (Iversen, 2023) and reduced GZ biomass transport. 'Jelly falls' might be a product of any or all the above explanations. They might, however, also be localized events with minor impact on the global GZ carbon export.

Our approach differs from similar studies of GZ carbon flux (Luo et al., 2020; Le-340 brato et al., 2019, 2013) in several respects and therefore comparison is not straightfor-341 ward. Previous studies aimed at estimating actual carbon exports using available ob-342 servations of global biomass distribution. Consequently, carbon exports reported in those 343 studies are averaged quantities, weighted by the spatial distribution of GZ biomass. In 344 other words, different spatial distribution patterns might lead to different averaged val-345 ues of carbon export even if all other parameters (temperatures, decay rates, sinking speeds) 346 are kept constant. In contrast, our GZ biomass distribution was kept constant (with an 347 initial organism mass of 1 kg) which allowed us creating global carbon export maps where 348 different regions of the global ocean are comparable in terms of their idealized carbon 349 export efficiency and its dependence on ocean temperature, decay rate and initial sink-350 ing speed. 351

## 352 4 Conclusion

We have proposed a physical model of GZ sinking which couples GZ mass decay 353 and its sinking speed and we have solved these equations on the global latitude-longitude 354 grid, using a constant initial mass  $m_0 = 1$  kg at each grid point to quantify how *in-situ* 355 ocean temperatures, decay rates (Lebrato et al., 2019) and initial sinking speed impact 356 GZ-related carbon export i) out of the euphotic zone (200 m depth), ii) out of the twi-357 light zone (1000 m depth) and iii) carbon export to the ocean floor. In this sense, this 358 work is an extension of previous studies which all adopt constant sinking speeds through-359 out the sinking process. We have shown however that while being physically consistent 360

and mathematically stricter, the mass-sinking speed coupling, when compared to the constant sinking speed simulations, leads to limited differences of carbon export in the order of 5% or less.

Our results indicate that the choice of a linear or exponential decay rate plays a crucial role in the upper water column and strongly impacts carbon exports out of the euphotic and twilight zones. In either case, however, a negligible amount of initial GZ mass reaches the ocean floor within the latitudinal band between 40° S and 40° N. Our results suggest that regardless of the decay rate and initial sinking speed, substantial carbon export to the ocean floor can only occur in the regions poleward of  $50^{\circ}$  latitude. This holds even in case of an exit depth of 1000 m and a fast sinking (1500 m d<sup>-1</sup>) scenario.

We acknowledge that in situations, when the quantity of decaying GZ biomass exceeds the capacity of the marine food web to degrade and remineralize it, GZ carcasses can sink intact through the water column as 'jelly-falls' resulting in mass depositions at the seafloor. Nevertheless, there are very few records of mass depositions of GZ carcasses at the seafloor, and mainly in coastal systems, while only little is known for the open ocean (Billett et al., 2006; Lebrato et al., 2012; Hoving et al., 2023).

Further work is needed to better delineate GZ-carbon exports. It would certainly 377 be beneficial to better constrain decay rates through further measurements. Gridded com-378 putations of actual carbon export estimates are ongoing by coupling the model to ac-379 tual spatial distribution patterns of global ocean GZ biomass. Furthermore, mechanically and biologically induced fragmentation of GZ into several size fractions might lead 381 to different sinking speeds. These largely overlooked processes, albeit likely occurring 382 in the upper water column due to physical mixing or by zooplankton (Briggs et al., 2020), 383 would allow better constraining the GZ carbon export. Furthermore, the carbon con-384 tent in our model does not change with decay, while we know that C:N ratios change due 385 to selective microbial uptake (i.e., taking up N-compounds preferentially, resulting in C-386 enriched particles, e.g., [20, 21]. Present and future studies will be steps towards an im-387 proved understanding of GZ carbon and nitrogen flux estimates to different ocean depths 388 and will allow us better constraining the amount of GZ biomass deposited at the seafloor 389 and hence also its impact on the benthos. 390

## <sup>391</sup> 5 Open Research

The coding was done in Python 3.9. The solver code, the remapped bathymetry and remapped ocean temperature datasets, needed to reproduce the results of this paper, are available under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License at https:// doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8337381

## 396 Acknowledgments

<sup>397</sup> ML and TT were supported by the financial support from the Slovenian Research Agency

(research core funding No. P1-0237). TT and MV would also like to acknowledge fund-

ing by the Slovenian Research Agency under grant number ARRS J7-2599. GJH was supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) project number I 4978-B.

## 401 References

Amano, C., Zhao, Z., Sintes, E., Reinthaler, T., Stefanschitz, J., Kisadur, M., ...
Herndl, G. J. (2022). Limited carbon cycling due to high-pressure effects on the deep-sea microbiome. *Nature Geoscience*, 15, 1041-1047.

- Bar-On, Y. M., Phillips, R., & Milo, R. (2018). The biomass distribution on earth.
   *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 115(25), 6506–6511.
- <sup>407</sup> Berelson, W. M. (2002). Particle settling rates increase with depth in the ocean.

408	Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography, 49(1-3), 237–
409	251.
410	Billett, D., Bett, B., Jacobs, C., Rouse, I., & Wigham, B. (2006). Mass deposition
411	of jellyfish in the deep arabian sea. Limnology and Oceanography, $51(5)$ , 2077–
412	2083.
413	Boyd, P., & Trull, T. (2007). Understanding the export of biogenic particles in
414	oceanic waters: Is there consensus? Progress in Oceanography, $72(4)$ , 276-312.
415	Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2006.10.007 doi:
416	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2006.10.007
417	Boyd, P. W., Claustre, H., Levy, M., Siegel, D. A., & Weber, T. (2019). Multi-
418	faceted particle pumps drive carbon sequestration in the ocean. Na-
419	<i>ture</i> , 568(7752), 327-335. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1038/
420	s41586-019-1098-2 doi: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1098-2
421	Briggs, N., Dall'Olmo, G., & Claustre, H. (2020). Major role of particle fragmenta-
422	tion in regulating biological sequestration of cojsub; 2j/sub; by the oceans. Sci-
423	ence, 367(6479), 791-793. doi: 10.1126/science.aay1790
424	Burd, A. B., Hansell, D. A., Steinberg, D. K., Anderson, T. R., Arístegui, J., Bal-
425	tar, F., Tanaka, T. (2010). Assessing the apparent imbalance between
426	geochemical and biochemical indicators of meso- and bathypelagic biological
427	activity: What the $@\$\#!$ is wrong with present calculations of carbon bud-
428	gets! Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography, 57(16),
429	(Feelericel and Riegeschemicel Interactions in the Dark Ocean)
430	(Ecological and Diogeochemical Interactions in the Dark Ocean) doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dgr2.2010.02.022
431	Conton N. C. D. (2006) a minute Childred Clobal Palief Data (FTOPOR) and doi:
432	Center, N. G. D. (2000). $z$ -minute Griadea Giobai Reitej Data (ETOFOZ) $vz$ . doi: 10.7280/U5110120
433	Cuidi I. Logondro I. Bourondoou C. Llitz I. Stommonn I. & Honson S. A.
434	(2015) A new look at accord carbon remineralization for estimating doop
435	water sequestration $Global Biogeochemical Cucles 29(7) 1044-1059 doi:$
430	https://doi.org/10.1002/2014GB005063
437	Henson S A Sanders B & Madsen E (2012) Global natterns in efficiency of
430	particulate organic carbon export and transfer to the deep ocean <u>Global Bio</u> -
440	aeochemical Cucles. 26(1).
441	Herndl, G. J., & Reinthaler, T. (2013). Microbial control of the dark end of the bio-
442	logical pump. Nature Geoscience, 6(9), 718-724. Retrieved from https://doi
443	.org/10.1038/ngeo1921 doi: https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo1921
444	Hindmarsh, A. C. (1983). Odepack, a systematized collection of ode solvers. <i>IMACS</i>
445	Transactions on Scientific Computation, 1, 55-64.
446	Hoving, HJ., Boetius, A., Dunlop, K., Greinert, J., Haeckel, M., Jones, D. O. B.,
447	Purser, A. (2023). Major fine-scale spatial heterogeneity in accumulation of
448	gelatinous carbon fluxes on the deep seabed. Frontiers in Marine Science, 10.
449	doi: 10.3389/fmars.2023.1192242
450	Iversen, M. H. (2023). Carbon export in the ocean: A biologist's perspective. An-
451	nual Review of Marine Science, 15(1), 357-381. doi: 10.1146/annurev-marine
452	-032122-035153
453	Johnson, W. M., Longnecker, K., Kido Soule, M. C., Arnold, W. A., Bhatia, M. P.,
454	Hallam, S. J., Kujawinski, E. B. (2020). Metabolite composition of
455	sinking particles differs from surface suspended particles across a latitudi-
456	nal transect in the south atlantic. $Limnology and Oceanography, 65(1),$
457	111-127. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.11255 doi:
458	https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.11255
459	Lebrato, M., & Jones, D. (2009). Mass deposition event of pyrosoma atlanticum car-
460	casses off ivory coast (west africa). Limnology and Oceanography, $54(4)$ , $1197-$
461	1209.

Lebrato, M., Molinero, J.-C., Cartes, J., Lloris, D., Mélin, F., & Beni-Casadella,

	I (2012) Circling isling and an arrestilly a starticlour incompared lower
463	L. (2013). Sinking jelly-carbon unveils potential environmental vari-
464	trigged from https://doi.org/10.1271/journal.nene.0000070
465	https://doi.org/10.1271/journal.pone.002070 doi.
466	Labrata M. Dahlaw M. Frast I. P. Kütar M. da Jagua Mandag P. Malinara J.
467	Lebrato, M., Fallow, M., Frost, J. R., Kuter, M., de Jesus Melides, F., Molliero, J
468	C., & Oschnes, A. (2019). Sinking of genatinous zoopiankton biomass increases
469	1764 1782 Detriver of from https://doi.org/10.1000/001000000000000000000000000000
470	1/04-1/83. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GB006265 doi:
471	Inttps://doi.org/10.1029/2019GB000205
472	Lebrato, M., Pitt, K. A., Sweetman, A. K., Jones, D. O., Cartes, J. E., Oschlies, A.,
473	others (2012). Jelly-fails instoric and recent observations: a review to drive future research directions. Hudrohiologic 600, 227, 245
474	Luces C. H. Jones D. O. Hellubard C. J. Conden D. H. Duente C. M. Cre
475	Lucas, C. H., Jones, D. O., Honynead, C. J., Condon, R. H., Duarte, C. M., Gra-
476	nam, W. M., Regetz, J. (2014). Genatinous zoopiankton biomass in the
477	global oceans: geographic variation and environmental drivers. Global Ecology $\mathcal{D}_{i}$
478	ana Biogeography, 23(1), 101–114.
479	Luo, J. Y., Condon, R. H., Stock, C. A., Duarte, C. M., Lucas, C. H., Pitt, K. A.,
480	& Cowen, R. K. (2020). Getatinous zoopiankton-mediated carbon nows in
481	the global oceans: A data-driven modeling study. Global Biogeochemical $Uy$ -
482	cies, 54(9), e2020GD000704. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1029/
483	2020GB000704 doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GB000704 Montin I II Knowen C A Kerl D M & Dreenborn W W (1007) Venters con
484	hartin, J. H., Knauer, G. A., Kari, D. M., & Broenkow, W. W. (1987). Vertex: car-
485	Become have a second back of the
486	nesearch r upers, 54(2), 201-265. doi: $nttps://doi.org/10.1010/0196-0149(67)$
487	90000-0 Middelburg I I (2010) Marine earlier biogeochemistrus a primer for earth system
488	Middelburg, J. J. (2019). Marine carbon biogeochemistry: a primer for earth system
489	$P_{\text{ottraild I}}$ B (1083) Automatic solution of methods for solving stiff and nonstiff
490	systems of ordinary differential equations Siam Lowral on Scientific and Sta
491	tistical Computing / 136-148
492	Steinberg D K & Landry M B $(2017)$ Zooplankton and the ocean carbon
493	cycle Annual Review of Marine Science 9(1) A13-MA Betrieved from
494	10 $1146/annurey-marine=010814=015924$ doi: 10 $1146/annurey-marine=010814=015924$
495	_010814_015024
490	Tinta T Klun K & Herndl G I (2021) The importance of iellyfish-microbe
497	interactions for biogeochemical cycles in the ocean Limnology and Oceanogra-
490	https://doi org/10 1002/lno 11741
500	doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.11741
500	Turner J. T. (2015) Zooplankton fecal pellets marine snow phytodetritus and
502	the ocean's biological pump Progress in Oceanography 130 205-248 Re-
502	trieved from https://doi_org/10_1016/i_pocean_2014_08_005doi:
503	https://doi.org/10.1016/i.pocean.2014.08.005
504	Wright B M Le Quéré C Buitenhuis E Pitois S & Gibbons M I (2021)
505	Role of jellyfish in the plankton ecosystem revealed using a global ocean bio-
507	geochemical model <i>Biogeosciences</i> $18(4)$ 1291–1320
508	Yang, P. J., Lemons, M., & Hu, D. L. (2018). Rowing jellyfish contract to main-
509	tain neutral buoyancy. Theoretical and Annlied Mechanics Letters 8(3) 147-
510	152. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1016/j.taml.2018.03.001 doi:
511	https://doi.org/10.1016/i.taml.2018.03.001
	······································

Figure 1.







Figure 2.



Figure 3.



Figure 4.



Figure 5.



Figure 6.



# Supporting Information for "Physical modeling of gelatinous zooplankton sinking in the deep global ocean"

2

3

M. Ličer  $^{1,2}$ , M. Vodopivec  $^2$ , G. J. Herndl $^{3,4}$ , T. Tinta  $^2$ 



Figure 1. Fraction of mass that arrives at the bottom of the euphotic zone at 200 m (from the exit depth of 100 m), starting with initial surface sinking speed of  $w = 1500 \text{ m d}^{-1}$ . Top row: solutions with an exponential decay rate  $k_{exp}(T)$ . Bottom row: solutions with a linear decay rate  $k_{lin}(T)$ . Left column: solutions for January ocean temperatures. Right column: solutions for June ocean temperatures. Note the different color scales for exponential (top row) and linear (bottom row) decay rates.

content of the second second

, eo 0.e3

Fraction of mass

LIN

ీ<sub>ల్ల</sub> 'ల్ల 'ల్ల 'ల్ల 'ల్ల ₀ at depth -200 m. Exit depth: 100 m. Month: Jan

0.40 0.43

Fraction of mass

Corresponding author: Matjaž Ličer, matjaz.licer@nib.si



Figure 2. Same as Figure 1 but for a fraction of mass that arrives at the bottom of the twilight zone at 1000 m (from the exit depth of 100 m), starting with initial surface sinking speed of  $w = 1500 \text{ m d}^{-1}$ . Dotted regions indicate areas where less than  $10^{-4}$  of surface mass reached the depth of 1000 m.



Figure 3. Same as Figure 1 but for the fraction of mass that arrives at the bottom of the ocean (from the exit depth of 100 m), starting with initial surface sinking speed of w = 1500 m d<sup>-1</sup>. Dotted regions indicate areas where less than  $10^{-4}$  of surface mass reached ocean floor.



Figure 4. Fraction of mass that arrives at the bottom of the euphotic zone at 200 m (from the exit depth of 100 m), starting with initial surface sinking speed of  $w = 500 \text{ m d}^{-1}$ . Top row: solutions with an exponential decay rate  $k_{exp}(T)$ . Bottom row: solutions with a linear decay rate  $k_{lin}(T)$ . Left column: solutions for January ocean temperatures. Right column: solutions for June ocean temperatures. Note the different color scales for exponential (top row) and linear (bottom row) decay rates.



Figure 5. Same as Figure 4 but for a fraction of mass that arrives at the bottom of the twilight zone at 1000 m (from the exit depth of 100 m), starting with initial surface sinking speed of  $w = 500 \text{ m d}^{-1}$ . Dotted regions indicate areas where less than  $10^{-4}$  of surface mass reached the depth of 1000 m.



Figure 6. Same as Figure 4 but for the fraction of mass that arrives at the bottom of the ocean (from the exit depth of 100 m), starting with initial surface sinking speed of w = 500 m d<sup>-1</sup>. Dotted regions indicate areas where less than  $10^{-4}$  of surface mass reached ocean floor.



Figure 7. Fraction of mass that arrives to the ocean floor (from the exit depth of 1000 m), starting with initial surface sinking speed of  $w = 500 \text{ m d}^{-1}$ . Top row: solutions with an exponential decay rate  $k_{exp}(T)$ . Bottom row: solutions with a linear decay rate  $k_{lin}(T)$ . Left column: solutions for January ocean temperatures. Right column: solutions for June ocean temperatures. Note the different color scales for exponential (top row) and linear (bottom row) decay rates.



Figure 8. Same as Figure 7 but for the fraction of mass that arrives at the bottom of the ocean with initial surface sinking speed of  $w = 1000 \text{ m d}^{-1}$ . Dotted regions indicate areas where less than  $10^{-4}$  of surface mass reached ocean floor.



Figure 9. Same as Figure 7 but for the fraction of mass that arrives at the bottom of the ocean with initial surface sinking speed of  $w = 1500 \text{ m d}^{-1}$ . Dotted regions indicate areas where less than  $10^{-4}$  of surface mass reached ocean floor.