The Hunt for Perpendicular Magnetic Field Measurements in Plasma

Elizabeth Jensen¹, Yaser Rahmani², and Jamesina $\rm Simpson^2$

¹Planetary Science Institute ²University of Utah

January 31, 2024

The Hunt for Perpendicular Magnetic Field Measurements in Plasma

ELIZABETH A. JENSEN,¹ YASER RAHMANI,² AND JAMESINA J. SIMPSON²

 ¹ Planetary Science Institute
 1700 East Fort Lowell, Suite 106 Tucson, AZ 85719-2395*
 ² University of Utah
 50 S. Central Campus Dr. Salt Lake City, UT 84112

ABSTRACT

The one consistent technique for remotely estimating the magnetic field in plasma has been Faraday rotation. It is only sensitive to the portion of the vector parallel to the propagation path. We show how to remotely detect the the portion of the vector that is perpendicular using a modified measurement. Isolating this electromagnetic propagation wave mode to measure the magnetic field enables studying (i) more about the magnetic field vector in plasma, (ii) reducing error in total electron content measurements, and (iii) discovering new magnetic field information from archived data sets. The Appleton-Hartree equation is used to verify a new approach to calculating the phase change to an electromagnetic wave propagating through a plasma at frequencies larger than the gryofrequency, the cyclotron frequency, and the upper hybrid frequency. Focusing on the perpendicular propagation modes, the simplified equation for the integrated path effect from a perpendicular magnetic field is calculated. The direction of the perpendicular component is unknown, because the magnetic field is squared. Isolating the magnetic term in the equation with dual frequency waves is shown. We also show how to eliminate the magnetic field contribution to total electron content measurements with a similar approach. In combination with Faraday rotation, the degeneracy of the magnetic field vector direction is reduced to a cone configuration.

Keywords: Solar magnetic fields(1503) — Radio spectroscopy(1359) — Interstellar scintillation(855) — Ionospheric scintillation(861) — Interplanetary scintillation(828) — Solar corona(1483) — Solar wind(1534) — Interstellar medium wind(848) — Radio astronomy(1338) — Magnetic fields(994) — Plasma physics(2089)

1. INTRODUCTION

One facet of Space Weather consists of plasma conditions of the Sun, solar corona, solar wind, Earth's local magnetosphere, ionosphere, as well as other regions of interplanetary and intraplanetary space. Studying, modeling, and predicting this aspect of Space Weather requires understanding the magnetic field (e.g. Viall & Borovsky 2020). Radio frequencies are best to remotely measure magnetic field for the vast majority of space (e.g. Kooi et al. 2022).

The propagation of radio waves through plasma depends on the electromagnetic (EM) wave frequency relative to the plasma's cyclotron, plasma, and hybrid frequencies. In the high frequency regime, where the EM wave frequency exceeds the plasma, cyclotron, and upper hybrid frequencies, the EM wave assumes four different plasma wave modes configurations: (1) the ordinary "O-mode", (2) the extra-ordinary "X-mode", (3) the left-handed circularly polarized "LCP-mode", and (4) the right-handed circularly polarized "RCP-mode". Note that O-/LCP-modes and X-/RCPmodes are the same wave modes but occurring at different orientations relative to the path. As with many fields of science, these terms are not uniformly used to describe the same conditions. Table 1 lists the definitions that we will be using in this paper. Ionospheric radio experts will use "O-mode" and "X-mode" for what we have defined

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

JENSEN ET AL.

as "LCP-mode" and "RCP-mode". To reduce confusion, we will be referring to the O-mode as the "non-B-mode" and X-mode as the " B_{\perp} -mode" to distinguish between these definitions when possible. For example, the following statement in Poole (1985), "Thus the direction of the electric vector measured in the horizontal plane of the antennas moves clockwise with time, looking down on the antennas. This corresponds to the extraordinary mode," contains two issues; the first is that magnetic field is not present in the description of the mode, and the second is that it describes one of the circular polarization modes of the observing antenna. Another example is Walden (2016), "When the thumb points in the direction of the magnetic field B0, the rotation of the extraordinary-wave vectors is given by the fingers of the right hand; the rotation of the ordinary-wave vectors is given by the fingers of the left hand." With respect to the equations in Table 1, this description meets our definition of the RCP and LCP modes specifically.

The non-B-mode propagates regardless of whether a magnetic field is present in the plasma. It is the fundamental 50 propagation mode for the interaction of an EM wave with charged plasma particles. The remaining modes exist when a 51 magnetic field is present in the plasma. As the EM wave propagates parallel to the magnetic field, the gyromotion of the 52 electrons around the magnetic field, right-handed cyclotron motion, comprises a circularly birefringent medium. The 53 EM wave assumes not just non-B-mode propagation, but also RCP- and LCP-mode propagation. These circularly 54 polarized modes are the cause of the well-known phenomenon of Faraday rotation, which enables measuring one 55 component of the magnetic field vector in the plasma. Whichever of the RCP/LCP modes is in the handedness of the 56 electrons' gyromotion has a greater phase velocity than the other; this is a function of whether the magnetic field is 57 parallel or anti-parallel to the EM wave. If the magnetic field is parallel to the EM wave propagation direction, then 58 the RCP-mode has the greater phase velocity and vice versa. What is not obtained with Faraday rotation is a measure 59 of the full strength of the magnetic field vector. That information is obtained in combination with the B_{\perp} -mode. 60

2. PERPENDICULAR B MODE

The fourth mode, the B_{\perp} -mode, occurs when the magnetic field is perpendicular to the EM wave propagation direction. The O-, RCP-, and LCP-modes are used for many different applications of plasma remote sensing (examples in solar physics include Bertotti & Giampieri 1998; Miyamoto et al. 2014; Bird & Edenhofer 1990; Kooi et al. 2022; Jensen et al. 2018; Efimov et al. 2018, and the references therein). Until now, the B_{\perp} -mode has not been studied.

To investigate the B_{\perp} -mode, we analytically calculated how the B_{\perp} -mode would modify the phase of the EM wave as it propagates. Then we modeled EM wave propagation through a plasma having a perpendicular magnetic field using the fundamental plasma Appleton-Hartree equation. Finally, we compared these two solutions and discovered how the B_{\perp} -mode manifests.

The analytic calculation of the B_{\perp} -mode begins with a general equation for how the phase angle changes that we assumed for examining.

$$\frac{d\varphi}{dS} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\omega}{v_{\varphi}} - \frac{\omega}{v_{group}} \right) \tag{1}$$

where φ is the phase angle, S is the propagation path, v_{φ} is the phase velocity, v_{group} is the group velocity, and ω is the angular frequency of the wave. The 1/2 on the right side of the equation results from what we assume is averaging the difference in phases between the phase and group velocities. At issue is that there is a factor of 1/2 on the right side of the equation that must be accounted for in the known non-B-mode and RCP/LCP-modes products shown in Equations 4 & 6. This is a topic of future study with finite-difference time-domain modeling.

In the case of EM waves, the phase and group velocities are a function of the index of refraction, n, and the speed of light, c. As a result, Equation 1 becomes the following. Note that the group velocity differences between the B_{\perp} -mode and non-B-mode are insignificant in the calculation, being of the order ω^{-4} . An issue with the circularly polarized modes will arise in oblique propagation, but that will be discussed in future work.

$$\varphi = \frac{\omega}{2c} \int \left(\frac{1}{n} - n\right) dS \tag{2}$$

The indices of refraction in Table 1, when substituted for n in this equation, become the following after expanding and dropping the insignificant terms.

85 O-mode (non-B-mode)

$$\varphi_0 = \frac{q^2}{2\omega cm_e \varepsilon_o} \int N dS \tag{3}$$

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

B_{\perp} -Mode

(4)

⁸⁷ Under the condition of radio frequency signal propagation described in Jensen et al. (2016), this equation becomes the ⁸⁸ form of which is important in isolating this effect, where φ_S is the received phase, the completed integral from source ⁸⁹ to receiver, and $d\varphi_S/dt = \Delta \omega$

92

95 96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

 $\frac{\Delta\omega}{\omega} = \frac{q^2}{2\omega^2 cm_e \varepsilon_o} \frac{d}{dt} \int N dS$

⁹¹ RCP- and LCP-modes (B_{\parallel} -modes)

 $\varphi_{rcp,lcp} = \frac{q^2}{2\omega cm_e \varepsilon_o} \int N\left(1 \pm \frac{qB_{\parallel}}{\omega m_e}\right) dS \tag{5}$

⁹³ which yields the Faraday rotation equation

$$\frac{\varphi_{rcp} - \varphi_{lcp}}{2} = \frac{q^3}{8\pi^2 f^2 c m_e^2 \varepsilon_o} \int N B_{\parallel} dS \tag{6}$$

Finally, the X-mode $(B_{\perp}$ -mode)

$$\varphi_x = \frac{q^2}{2\omega cm_e \varepsilon_o} \int N\left(1 + \frac{q^2}{m_e^2 \omega^2} B_\perp^2\right) dS \tag{7}$$

where q = |-e| in this formulation, e is the electron charge, m_e is the electron mass, ε_o is the permittivity of free space, N is the electron density, and B is the magnetic field strength along the path S (||) in the case of RCP- and LCP-modes or perpendicular to the path (\perp) in the case of the X_{\perp} -mode. The frequency is, $f = \frac{\omega}{2\pi}$.

Notice that the non-B-mode is present in Equations 5 and 7 as the first term. The Appleton-Hartree equation comprises the full solutions to each of these propagation modes. Unfortunately, it is difficult to work with; hence, this approach shown in Equation 7 provides a solution that is easier to work with.

3. TESTING

¹⁰⁴ Using the Zenodo-published code for Appleton-Hartree Jensen (2024), we initially calculated the accuracy of Equa-¹⁰⁵ tions 3 and 7 in this paper. Figure 1 shows that our calculations are excellent approximations for Appleton-Hartree. ¹⁰⁶ However, comparison of $\Delta \varphi / \Delta S$ quickly shows the effect of the Taylor expansions used in the calculations. Figure ¹⁰⁷ 1 (bottom row) shows the error in the difference in $\Delta \varphi / \Delta S$ grows even after a few meters; over 1×10^{10} m, this is ¹⁰⁸ significant.

¹⁰⁹ Current technology is not going to provide information on the absolute phase φ change when it reaches the receiver, ¹¹⁰ but it can provide information on how the received phase at the receiver φ_S changes with time. This is given by ¹¹¹ frequency fluctuations $\frac{d}{dt}\varphi_S = \Delta \omega$. Changes to φ_S (φ observed by the receiver) with time are consistent. This is what ¹¹² is demonstrated in the 2nd and 4th rows of Figure 2. To be clear: Figure 1 (1st row) shows the changes in φ along the ¹¹³ line of sight S; whereas Figure 2 shows the final received φ_S varying with time, which is observed as a non-Doppler ¹¹⁴ frequency shift.

Figure 2 shows two tests run to investigate the impact of different forms of variability. On the 1st row, the electron 115 density varied by decreasing then increasing in time while the perpendicular magnetic field was consistently decreasing. 116 This produced the expected positive/negative fluctuation well known for studying changes in TEC as seen in the 2nd 117 row plot. On the 3rd row, the electron density was steadily decreasing in time while the perpendicular magnetic field 118 was decreasing then increasing in time. It's effect on the observed frequency fluctuations was significantly less as 119 shown in the plot on the 4th row. However, the discontinuity when the perpendicular magnetic field reverses from 120 decreasing to increasing remains. Note that for the 3rd and 4th rows in this figure, the floating point error in the 121 Appleton-Hartree calculations becomes visible. These were present in the 2nd row; however, the range was too large 122 for the instability to be seen. 123

We then calculated the propagation of a signal using solar coronal mass ejection sheath values and distances (B_{\perp} 500 nT, N 200 cc, S 1 × 10¹⁰ m, see Figure 3) at frequencies too high for the evanescent upper hybrid resonance to absorb (10 MHz and 20 MHz). The 10/20 MHz frequencies were selected as being reasonably low to be sensitive to the B_{\perp} -mode plasma conditions. Undoubtedly, higher frequencies are also sensitive; that is an analysis we will pursue with calculating the oblique magnetic field case.

The challenge is to separate the TEC effect from the B_{\perp} -mode. Consequently, we have calculated a method to isolate each, the non-B-mode and the B_{\perp} -mode. To perform this operation, two frequencies must be simultaneously observed.

C-mode vs. dist C-mode

Figure 1. Top panels: Appleton-Hartree simulation (blue lines) of high frequency EM wave propagation in a plasma without a magnetic field (non-B-mode only) is shown to the left and conditions with a perpendicular magnetic field (B_{\perp} -mode) is shown to the right. The calculation for the expected cumulative phase change from Equations 3 and 7 is shown in red. Bottom panels: The accumulating difference between the solutions is shown; this is due to the Taylor expansion used to simplify the Appleton-Hartree solutions. For this figure ONLY, the frequency of the signal was 477 MHz, the plasma frequency was 100 MHz, and the cyclotron frequency was 200 MHz.

As shown in Equations 8 and 9, subtraction of the frequency fluctuations with a scaled factor enables isolating the modes.

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int NdS = \frac{\omega_1^3 \Delta \omega_1 - \omega_2^3 \Delta \omega_2}{(\omega_1^2 - \omega_2^2)} \frac{2cm\varepsilon}{q^2}$$
(8)

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int NB_{\perp}^{2}dS = \frac{\omega_{1}\Delta\omega_{1} - \omega_{2}\Delta\omega_{2}}{\left(\frac{1}{\omega_{1}^{2}} - \frac{1}{\omega_{2}^{2}}\right)}\frac{2cm^{3}\varepsilon}{q^{4}}$$
(9)

where the two frequencies are ω_1 and ω_2 , and the fluctuations observed in their values from plasma alone (eliminating other effects such as Doppler) are $\Delta \omega_1$ and $\Delta \omega_2$.

A quick back-of-the-envelope calculation can be made to examine the use of Equations 8 and 9 for separating the modes. For this investigation, the CME parameters were used for the whole space uniformly. As can be seen in Figure 3, uniform distribution of plasma parameters is not realistic, but it is a common first order assumption when analyzing data. The purpose here is to examine the equations for reproducing the input values. Under the conditions of *uniform distribution of density and magnetic field*, we can inspect the result of these equations with the following calculations. Note: the less uniform the distribution in density and magnetic field along the line of sight is, the greater the error in using Equations 10 and 11.

$$\langle N \rangle \approx \frac{1}{S} \left(\int \left[\frac{d}{dt} \int N dS \right] dt + TEC(t=0) \right)$$
 (10)

and

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

145

146

$$< B_{\perp} > \approx \sqrt{\frac{\left(\int \left[\frac{d}{dt} \int NB_{\perp}^{2} dS\right] dt + \int_{t=0} NB_{\perp}^{2} dS\right)}{\int \left[\frac{d}{dt} \int N dS\right] dt + TEC(t=0)}}$$
(11)

Figure 4 shows that Equations 3 and 7 performed as expected ($\omega_1 = 10$ MHz and $\omega_2 = 20$ MHz). Two cases were run, the second is shown in the figure. The first case used the output from Equation 7; it was a perfect fit which would be the case when using the same equation in Equations 8 and 9. The second case used the phase calculated from the Appleton-Hartree equation. Even though it had the floating point problem, as can be seen the calculations work. A non-B-mode signal was obtained with Equation 8, and the magnetic field component to the B_{\perp} -mode was isolated with Equation 9 enabling further analysis. A word of caution: the electron density and magnetic field must be evenly



Figure 2. Testing how d/dt varies between electron density (plasma frequency) and magnetic field (cyclotron frequency); the signal frequency is 10 MHz. The top 3 plots show the case where plasma frequency decreases/increases; the bottom (2nd row) shows the \pm change in d/dt frequency that is utilized in studying TEC. The bottom 3 plots show the case where cyclotron frequency decreases/increases; the plot in the last row shows the discontinuity in the change. Due to the plasma frequency's greater impact on d/dt, the discontinuity does not change the overall sign of the response. Also visible in this plot's resolution is the floating point error in the Appleton-Hartree calculations with these plasma parameters. The plots used the following conditions: N = 200 cc, $B_{\perp} = 500 \text{ nT}$, $S = 1 \times 10^{10} \text{ m}$.

distributed along the line of sight (S) for the plots shown in the bottom of Figure 4 to be that accurate. This is a well-known challenge in remote sensing problems as is how to measure initial conditions. In this case we need to use other data sets or modeling to obtain TEC(t=0) and $\int_{t=0} NB_{\perp}^2 dS$.

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

4. BROADER IMPACT

The formulation in Equations 3 and 7 has implications for other observations. As shown earlier in the discussion on Equation 3, the frequency fluctuations calculated for narrowband artificial signals is assumed to be entirely non-Bmode. This assumption is utilized for a critical infrastructure component: Total Electron Content (TEC) measurements of the ionosphere using Global Positioning Satellites (e.g. Dyrud et al. 2008; Schreiner & Born 1993; Minter et al. 2007). Equations 7 and 5 show that the B_{\perp} -mode and the B_{\parallel} -modes similarly impact frequency fluctuations. In fact, since the non-B-mode is linearly polarized, it may be that all TEC observations are actually the elliptically polarized, combined by-product of B_{\perp} -mode and B_{\parallel} -modes. The B_{\parallel} -modes can be incorporated into models for data analysis



Figure 3. CME values obtained from the Manchester IV et al. (2014) 13 May 2005 CME MHD simulation. Using the line of sight shown in the top panel (source is the *, receiver is the \Box , Sun is the vellow circle at the origin), the B_{\perp} and N parameters at 22:04 UT along the line of sight are shown in the middle and bottom panels. The red triangles show the extent of 1×10^{10} m over which the values were averaged.



Figure 4. Taking the solar wind conditions shown in Figure 3, the ability of Equations 8 and 9 to isolate the parameters is studied using the Appleton-Hartree modeled phases. The primary signal is 10 MHz and the secondary is 20 MHz. The top left plot shows the Equation 8 calculated TEC + TEC(t=0); the top right plot shows the Equation 9 calculation + its initial value. Using the back-of-envelope calculations for $\langle N \rangle$ and $\langle B_{\perp} \rangle$, these are compared in the bottom two plots to the initial conditions calculated from the plasma and cyclotron frequencies (input).

as they are well understood. However, this means that with respect to the B_{\perp} -mode, it is a consistent source of error 164 in ionospheric TEC measurements. Regions where it would have the greatest impact are where the perpendicular 165 magnetic field is stronger relative to the EM wave propagation path, e.g. the auroral oval and paths closer to the 166 horizon. The challenge this paper will address is to discuss an approach to separate the TEC effect from the B_{\perp} -mode. 167 As we show above, the analysis can be conducted to study the component of the magnetic field perpendicular to the 168

path of the EM wave. The effect of the B_{\perp} -mode on dual frequency, narrowband observations, enables reanalyzing older datasets. For example, Cassini 2 and 8 GHz radio sounding observations were collected through Saturn's ionosphere (Tamburo et al. 2023). It is important to note that the direction of this component is unknown. Thus when combined

$$\begin{array}{ll} O-mode \mbox{ (non-B)} & n^2 = 1 - \frac{\omega_{pe}^2}{\omega^2} \\ X-mode \mbox{ } (B_{\perp}) & n^2 = 1 - \frac{\omega_{pe}^2}{\omega^2} \frac{\omega^2 - \omega_{pe}^2}{\omega^2 - \omega_{pe}^2 - \Omega_{ce}^2} \\ RCP/LCP-mode \mbox{ } (B_{||}) & n_{RL}^2 = 1 - \frac{\omega_{pe}^2/\omega^2}{1 \pm \Omega_{ce}/\omega} \\ *Note & \Omega_{ce} = -\frac{eB_0}{m_e}, \omega_{pe}^2 = \frac{Ne^2}{m_e\varepsilon_0}, \end{array}$$

X-mode vector orientations $B_0 \& B_\perp$ are in the z-direction $E_{1y} \& U_{1y}$ are in the y-direction $k, E_{1x}, \& U_{1x}$ are in the x-direction

Table 1. Radio EM Propagation Dispersion Relations & description of X-mode (B_{\perp} -mode) Vector Orientations. ω is the frequency of the transmitted radio signal, ω_{pe} is the plasma frequency, Ω_{ce} is the cyclotron frequency, n is the index of refraction, e is the electron charge, B_0 is the background magnetic field, m_e is the electron mass, N is the electron density, ϵ_0 is the permittivity of free space. In the X-mode (B_{\perp} -mode) description, k is the wave vector, U_1 is the perturbed electron density, E_1 electric field, and B_1 magnetic field.

with the magnetic field analysis from Faraday rotation observations, a cone of vector orientations can be observed. The Faraday rotation gives the magnitude and direction parallel to the propagation path, whereas the B_{\perp} -mode gives the magnitude perpendicular to the propagation path. Extensive analysis to address integration effects is necessary to reach this result; however, this is the first time a full magnetic field magnitude estimation can be made.

5. SUMMARY

The remote observing of magnetic fields is essential to understanding Space Weather. Thus far, radio frequency observations of plasmas have not taken advantage of the propagation mode containing the perpendicular magnetic field. Instead it is typically treated as noise. Here, however, the B_{\perp} -mode from the Appleton-Hartree equation is compared to our derivation enabling easier manipulation for studying this phenomenon. After demonstrating that our equation is successful for time varying observations, we then presented how to isolate the non-magnetic mode from the B_{\perp} -mode using dual frequency observing.

The equations derived for analyzing the B_{\perp} -mode and non-B-mode separately, can be applied to evaluate previously collected and archived data (e.g. Tamburo et al. 2023 for Saturn's ionosphere). It enables removing a source of error in GPS TEC measurements through the ionosphere. It also can be incorporated into future spacecraft radio designs for obtaining the full magnetic field magnitude in remote sensing observations (e.g. Jensen et al. 2023). The various applications opened with these equations impact our infrastructure and understanding of the Sun, solar wind, stellar wind, and geospace.

In future work, we will simulate these propagation modes using a finite-difference time-domain (FDTD) model of EM propagation through more complex magnetized plasma to examine the dual frequency observing equations and their performance under a variety of realistic plasma conditions ranging from the ionosphere to the solar corona.

ACS Engineering & Safety LLC funded this work. We would like to thank Dr. David Wexler, the Planetary Science Institute, and University of Utah.

REFERENCES

204

- Bertotti, B., & Giampieri, G. 1998, Solar Physics, 178, 85,
 doi: 10.1023/A:1004938717700
- ¹⁹⁶ Bird, M. K., & Edenhofer, P. 1990, in Physics of the Inner
- ¹⁹⁷ Heliosphere I, ed. R. Schwenn & E. Marsch, 13,
- doi: 10.1007/978-3-642-75361-9_2
- 199 Dyrud, L., Jovancevic, A., Brown, A., Wilson, D., &
- ²⁰⁰ Ganguly, S. 2008, Radio Science, 43, RS6002,
- doi: 10.1029/2007RS003770

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

- ²⁰² Efimov, A. I., Lukanina, L. A., Chashei, I. V., et al. 2018,
- 203 Cosmic Research, 56, 405,
 - doi: 10.1134/S0010952518060023
- ²⁰⁵ Jensen, E. 2024, The Hunt for Perpendicular Magnetic
- ²⁰⁶ Field Measurements in Plasma, 1.0, Zenodo,
- 207 doi: 10.5281/zenodo.10493432
- ²⁰⁸ Jensen, E. A., Frazin, R., Heiles, C., et al. 2016,
- 209 Solar Phys., 291, 465, doi: 10.1007/s11207-015-0834-5

236

- ²¹⁰ Jensen, E. A., Heiles, C., Wexler, D., et al. 2018,
- Astrophysical Journal, 861, 118,
- 212 doi: 10.3847/1538-4357/aac5dd
- ²¹³ Jensen, E. A., Gopalswamy, N., Wilson, Lynn B., I., et al.
- 2023, Frontiers in Astronomy and Space Sciences, 10,
 1064069, doi: 10.3389/fspas.2023.1064069
- Kivelson, M. G., & Russell, C. T., eds. 1995, Introduction
 to Space Physics (Cambridge, UK: CAMBRIDGE)
- 218 Kooi, J. E., Wexler, D. B., Jensen, E. A., et al. 2022,
- ²¹⁹ Front. Astron. Space Sci., 9, 841866,
- doi: 10.3389/fspas.2022.841866
- Manchester IV, W. B., van der Holst, B., & Lavraud, B.
 2014, Plasma Phys. Control Fusion, 56, 1,
- doi: 10.1088/0741-3335/56/6/064006
- ²²⁴ Minter, C. F., Robertson, D. S., Spencer, P. S. J., et al.
- ²²⁵ 2007, Radio Science, 42, RS3026,
- doi: 10.1029/2006RS003460

- 227 Miyamoto, M., Imamura, T., Tokumaru, M., et al. 2014,
- Astrophysical Journal, 797, 51,
- 229 doi: 10.1088/0004-637X/797/1/51
- Poole, A. W. V. 1985, Radio Science, 20, 1609,
 doi: 10.1029/RS020i006p01609
- Schreiner, W. S., & Born, G. H. 1993, NASA Technical
 Report, NASA. https://ntrs.nasa.gov/api/citations/
 19940009576/downloads/19940009576.pdf
- Tamburo, P., Withers, P., Dalba, P. A., Moore, L., &
 - Koskinen, T. 2023, Journal of Geophysical Research
- 237 (Space Physics), 128, e2023JA031310,
- 238 doi: 10.1029/2023JA031310
- Viall, N. M., & Borovsky, J. E. 2020, J. Geophys. Res.
 (Space Physics), 125, e26005, doi: 10.1029/2018JA026005
 - Walden, M. C. 2016, IEEE Antennas and Propagation
- ²⁴² Magazine, 58, 16, doi: 10.1109/MAP.2016.2609798