Dryland watersheds in flux: How nitrogen deposition and changing precipitation regimes shape nitrogen export

Jianning Ren¹, Erin Hanan¹, Paolo D'Odorico², Christina Tague³, Joshua Schimel³, and Peter M Homyak⁴

¹University of Nevada, Reno ²University of California, Berkeley ³University of California, Santa Barbara ⁴University of California, Riverside

September 30, 2023

Abstract

Atmospheric nitrogen (N) deposition and climate change are transforming the way N moves through dryland watersheds. For example, N deposition is increasing N export to streams, which may be exacerbated by changes in the magnitude, timing, and intensity of precipitation (i.e., the precipitation regime). While deposition controls the amount of N entering a watershed, the precipitation regime influences rates of internal cycling; when and where soil N, plant roots, and microbes are hydrologically connected; how quickly plants and microbes assimilate N; and rates of denitrification, runoff, and leaching. We used the ecohydrological model RHESSys to investigate (1) how N dynamics differ between N-limited and N-saturated conditions in a dryland watershed, and (2) how total precipitation and its intra-annual intermittency (i.e., the time between storms in a year), interannual intermittency (i.e., the duration of dry months across multiple years), and interannual variability (i.e., variance in the amount of precipitation among years) modify N dynamics. Streamflow N export was more sensitive to increasing intermittency and variability in N-limited vs. N-saturated model scenarios, particularly when total precipitation was lower—the opposite was true for denitrification. N export and denitrification increased or decreased the most with increasing interannual intermittency compared to other changes in precipitation timing. This suggests that under future climate change, prolonged droughts that are followed by more intense storms may pose a major threat to water quality in dryland watersheds.

























Hosted file

973947_0_supp_11380160_s0xb1m.docx available at https://authorea.com/users/650340/articles/ 667040-dryland-watersheds-in-flux-how-nitrogen-deposition-and-changing-precipitationregimes-shape-nitrogen-export

1	Dryland watersheds in flux: How nitrogen deposition and changing
2	precipitation regimes shape nitrogen export
3	¹ Jianning Ren, ¹ Erin J. Hanan, ² Paolo D'Odorico, ³ Naomi Tague, ⁴ Joshua P. Schimel, ⁵ Peter M.
4	Homyak
5	¹ Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Science, University of Nevada, Reno,
6	89501, Reno, USA
7	² Department of Environmental Science, policy, & Management, University of California,
8	Berkeley, 94720, Berkely, USA
9	³ Bren School of Environmental Science & Management, University of California, Santa Barbara,
10	93106, Santa Barbara, USA
11	⁴ Department of Ecology, Evolution and Marine Biology, University of California, Santa Barbara,
12	93106, Santa Barbara, USA
13	⁵ Department of Environmental Sciences, University of California, Riverside, 92521, Riverside,
14	USA
15	
16	
17	Correspondence:
18	Jianning Ren (nren@unr.edu, renjianning@gmail.com)
19	Erin Hanan (ehanan@unr.edu)
20	

21 Abstract

22 Atmospheric nitrogen (N) deposition and climate change are transforming the way N 23 moves through dryland watersheds. For example, N deposition is increasing N export to streams, 24 which may be exacerbated by changes in the magnitude, timing, and intensity of precipitation 25 (i.e., the precipitation regime). While deposition controls the amount of N entering a watershed, 26 the precipitation regime influences rates of internal cycling; when and where soil N, plant roots, 27 and microbes are hydrologically connected; how quickly plants and microbes assimilate N; and 28 rates of denitrification, runoff, and leaching. We used the ecohydrological model RHESSys to 29 investigate (1) how N dynamics differ between N-limited and N-saturated conditions in a 30 dryland watershed, and (2) how total precipitation and its intra-annual intermittency (i.e., the 31 time between storms in a year), interannual intermittency (i.e., the duration of dry months across 32 multiple years), and interannual variability (i.e., variance in the amount of precipitation among 33 years) modify N dynamics. Streamflow N export was more sensitive to increasing intermittency 34 and variability in N-limited vs. N-saturated model scenarios, particularly when total precipitation 35 was lower-the opposite was true for denitrification. N export and denitrification increased or 36 decreased the most with increasing interannual intermittency compared to other changes in 37 precipitation amount. This suggests that under future climate change, prolonged droughts that are 38 followed by more intense storms may pose a major threat to water quality in dryland watersheds. 39 Key points:

We developed a new metric for identifying when a dryland watershed becomes "capacity
N-saturated".

Streamflow N export was more sensitive to precipitation regime changes in N-limited
 than N-saturated watersheds.

N export increased or decreased the most with increasing interannual intermittency than
 other changes in precipitation amount.

46 **1 Introduction**

47 Atmospheric N deposition has been increasing in dryland watersheds of the western US 48 since the 1860s, largely due to human population growth and concomitant increases in both 49 fossil fuel consumption and industrial agriculture (Galloway et al., 2008; Kanakidou et al., 50 2016). In many populated regions around the globe, N deposition is already around 20 times 51 higher than the natural rate of 0.05 g N g m⁻² year⁻¹ (Dentener et al., 2006; Galloway et al., 52 2008). For example, in dryland chaparral watersheds near Los Angeles, California, the N deposition rate is more than 3 g N m⁻² year⁻¹ (Benish et al., 2022). By 2050, rates are likely to 53 54 reach 5 g N m⁻² year⁻¹ (Sutton et al., 2007). Given these dramatic increases in N inputs, there is 55 an urgent need to understand (1) the point at which dryland watersheds will no longer be able to 56 assimilate additional N (i.e., the threshold of N deposition at which they become N-saturated) 57 and (2) how deposited N will be transformed and exported from watersheds through both 58 hydrologic and gaseous pathways (i.e., denitrification) under both N saturated and unsaturated 59 conditions. Precipitation plays an important role in driving N cycling, uptake, and export. 60 However, in drylands, these processes can act on different timescales and high precipitation 61 variability can complicate our ability to predict the fate of atmospherically deposited N (Homyak 62 et al., 2014; Howarth et al., 2006; Krichels et al., 2022; Ren et al., 2023, submitted).

Conceptual models used to assess N saturation and N export were developed in temperate
systems where relatively high and consistent rainfall maintains hydrologically connected soils
throughout the year, allowing substrates to diffuse to plant roots and be taken up (Homyak et al.,
2014). As a result, these models assume that N export occurs once a watershed exceeds the

67 capacity of plants and other ecosystem components to assimilate N and becomes N-saturated 68 (Aber et al., 1989). In drylands however, summer aridity can keep soils dry for months without 69 rain, limiting subsurface N transport and allowing it to accumulate in hydrologically 70 disconnected microsites (i.e., hotspots; Parker & Schimel, 2011). At the onset of the wet season 71 when rains return, N can be rapidly exported before plants and soil microbes can assimilate it— 72 this can produce large stream N losses (known as "pulses") that under traditional conceptual 73 models would suggest N saturation (Zhu et al., 2018). However, such hydrologic losses regularly 74 occur in drylands even when plants remain N-limited (Homyak et al., 2014). 75 To better account for asynchronies between N availability and uptake, Lovett & Goodale. 76 (2011) introduced the concept of kinetic N saturation, where available N can exceed demand 77 over short timescales (e.g., when a storm follows a long dry period). This contrasts with **capacity** 78 N-saturation, where an ecosystem or watershed can no longer assimilate N over longer 79 timescales, resulting in consistent increases in N export that correspond with increasing 80 atmospheric N inputs. Because both N saturation statuses can increase N export, it is difficult to 81 identify the threshold at which dryland watersheds shift from kinetic to capacity saturation. As a 82 result, it also remains difficult to predict the fate of atmospherically deposited N and how it 83 changes along a gradient from kinetic to capacity saturation.

Further complicating our understanding of N saturation and export, general circulation
models project changes in the both the total amount and timing of precipitation in drylands
(Fischer et al., 2013). These changes can occur on both intra- and interannual scales (Knapp et
al., 2002; Trenberth et al., 2003). On intra-annual time scales, a higher water-holding capacity in
a warming atmosphere can give rise to larger precipitation events with longer dry periods
between storms (i.e., higher *intra-annual intermittency*, Allen & Ingram, 2002). At interannual

90 scales, climate change can alter atmospheric circulation and moisture transport to promote 91 extreme wet months with a longer duration of dry months across multiple years (i.e., higher 92 interannual intermittency (Allen & Ingram, 2002; Trenberth et al., 2003). Alternatively, some 93 models project that climate change will enhance *interannual variability*, making dry years drier 94 and wet years wetter, while still retaining the intra-annual storm event characteristics (Pörtner et 95 al., 2022). Higher precipitation intermittency and variability can both affect N export, but 96 increases in intermittency, which change both the timing and magnitude of storms (i.e., fewer, 97 more intense storms), may have a different effect than changes in variability alone (which only 98 influences storm size without changing timing (Homyak et al., 2017; Winter et al., 2023). Recent 99 studies have shown that enhanced precipitation variability and intermittency can increase both 100 nitric oxide (NO) emissions and stream N export (Krichels et al., 2022; Winter et al., 2023), 101 however, most of these studies are event-based and the long-term, and cumulative effects of 102 altered precipitation regimes and their interactions with N deposition remain poorly understood. 103 A simulation modeling approach should be useful for identifying the threshold of 104 atmospheric N deposition at which a watershed transitions from kinetic to capacity saturation, 105 which would enable us to better project future N export. With this approach, we can directly 106 investigate how N export responds to temporal asynchrony between N availability and uptake; 107 for example, when the first rain event of a wet season flushes N while plants are not actively 108 growing (in a Mediterranean climate) vs. when the activation of rainy season overlaps with the 109 peak growing season in early spring (in a continental climate). We expect that when a watershed 110 only experiences kinetic saturation, there will be pronounced differences between these two 111 scenarios. Conversely, when a watershed is capacity N-saturated due to high N-deposition, total 112 N export will not change in response to the timing of precipitation.

113 Using a simulation modeling approach, we developed and tested our proposed metric for 114 identifying when a dryland watershed becomes "capacity N-saturated." Then using the new 115 metric, we developed N-limited and N-saturated scenarios to address two questions: (1) How do 116 changes in the precipitation regime, including the total amount of precipitation, its intra-annual 117 intermittency, interannual intermittency, and interannual variability influence watershed-scale N 118 export, and (2) How do these responses differ between N-limited and N-saturated watersheds? 119 These scenarios were conducted using the coupled ecohydrological-biogeochemical model 120 RHESSys (Tague & Band, 2004) in a dryland, chaparral-dominated watershed downwind of Los 121 Angeles, California that experiences high rates of N-deposition.

122 **2 Methods**

123 **2.1 Study site**

124 We developed modeling scenarios for the chaparral-dominated Bell 4 watershed in the 125 San Dimas Experimental Forest, located 50 km northeast of Los Angeles, California (34°12'N, $117^{\circ}47'$ W). This is a small watershed (0.14 km²) with elevations ranging from 700 to 1024 126 127 meters. The soils are shallow, coarse-textured sandy loams weathered from granitic parent 128 material (Chaney et al., 2016; Dunn et al., 1988); they classify as Typic or Lithic Xerorthents 129 (Hubbert et al., 2006; Ryan, 1991). The climate is characterized by hot and dry summers and 130 cool-humid winters. Mean annual precipitation is approximately 700 mm, with daily 131 temperatures ranging from -8°C in winter to 40 °C in summer. Vegetation on south-facing slopes 132 includes chamise (Adenostoma fasciculatum), California lilac (Ceanothus spp.), and black sage 133 (Salvia mellifera), while north-facing slopes are covered by ceanothus spp. and California laurel 134 (Umbellularia californica). Riparian areas are dominated by live oak (Ouercus agrifolia). Being

downwind from a major metropolitan area, Bell 4 experiences high N deposition rates, which
exceed 30 kg ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ (Benish et al., 2022; Bytnerowicz & Fenn, 1996).

137 2.2 RHESSys model

138 To investigate how precipitation regime changes affect N cycling and export in drylands 139 and how they are different between N-limited and N-saturated watersheds, we used the regional 140 hydro-ecologic simulation system (RHESSys). RHESSys is a spatially distributed model that 141 fully couples hydrological processes with biogeochemical processes, allowing it to simulate the 142 effects of climate and environmental change on C and N cycling and hydrologic conditions 143 (Garcia et al., 2016; Lin et al., 2015; Tague & Band, 2004). Recent model improvements have 144 enabled RHESSys to better-represent N cycling and transport in dryland watersheds (Burke et 145 al., 2021; Hanan et al., 2017, Ren et al., 2023, submitted). This includes refining nitrification 146 processes after wildfire in chaparral (Hanan et al., 2017), and representing biogeochemical 147 hotspots explicitly across a landscape (Ren et al., 2023, submitted). RHESSys has been 148 extensively evaluated in several dryland watersheds across the western US (Burke et al., 2021; 149 Chen et al., 2020; E. S. Garcia & Tague, 2015; Elizabeth S. Garcia et al., 2016; Hanan et al., 150 2017, 2021; Ren et al., 2021, 2022; Reyes et al., 2017; Stephens et al., 2022).

C and N cycling among vegetation, litter, and soil layers are simulated at a patch scale (the smallest spatial unit; 3-meter resolution in this study). Photosynthesis is calculated using the Farquhar model which is a function of stomatal conductance, radiation, nitrogen and carbon dioxide concentration, air temperature and atmospheric pressure (Farquhar & von Caemmerer, 1982). Plant respiration includes maintenance and growth respiration, which is estimated using Ryan. (1991) model. Carbon is then allocated to roots, stems, and leaves using an architecture (or age) based method (Dickinson et al., 1998). RHESSys has four litter pools and four soil pools

with different C:N ratios and decomposition rates. Decomposition is estimated based on a
defined maximum decomposition rate and constrained by soil moisture, soil temperature, and
nitrogen availability. N mineralization and immobilization are estimated using the C:N ratios of
the litter and soil pools when materials decompose from one pool to another (Hanan et al., 2017;
Tague & Band, 2004).

163 RHESSys calculates nitrification rates based on the CENTURY_{NGAS} model which is a 164 function of soil pH, soil moisture, soil temperature, and available soil ammonium (Parton, 1996). 165 A maximum denitrification rate is calculated as a function of the total available nitrate (NO_3^-) in 166 soil, and total soil carbon and nitrogen, and then the maximum rate is modified based on soil 167 moisture and soil respiration as a proxy for microbial abundance.

168 Soil moisture processes include four vertical layers, a surface detention store, a root zone 169 store, an unsaturated store, and a saturated store. At a daily timestep, the surface detention store 170 receives water from canopy throughfall and snowmelt (when present), and infiltrates into the soil 171 based on the Phillip (1957) infiltration equation. Overland flow is generated when the ponded 172 water is above the detention storage capacity. Water can percolate into a deeper ground water 173 store through bypass flow. Water drains from the unsaturated zone or root zone to the saturated 174 zone based on hydraulic conductivity and moves from the saturated zone to the unsaturated zone 175 or root zone based on the Eagleson (1978) equation. Subsurface lateral flow between patches 176 follows topographic gradients and soil hydraulic parameters such as saturation deficit and 177 transmissivity. N moves with these water fluxes based on its concentration (Tague & Band, 178 2004). Atmospherically deposited N enters the soil through infiltration from the surface 179 detention store. In the unsaturated zone, soil nitrate decreases exponentially with depth. In the

saturated zone, nitrate export follows a flushing hypothesis, where more soil N becomes
available for flushing to streams as the water table rises (Chen et al., 2020).

182 To account for sub-grid scale heterogeneity in vegetation cover, RHESSys can be run 183 using a new aspatial framework (Burke et al., 2021). In this new framework, "patch families" are 184 the smallest spatially explicit model unit, and "aspatial patches" nested within a patch family are 185 the smallest aspatial model unit. Aspatial patches do not have physical locations, but instead 186 represent a distribution of vegetation types based on observed or hypothetical distributions. 187 Local routing of water between aspatial patches within a patch family is based on the relative 188 moisture differences among aspatial patches in the rooting and unsaturated zones and mediated 189 by user-defined gaining and losing coefficients for each patch type (Burke et al., 2021). Local 190 routing in the saturated zone is based on the differences in the groundwater table and it carries 191 nitrate when exchanging water.

192 We also recently expanded the aspatial patch framework to incorporate the role of fine-193 scale biogeochemical "hotspots," represented as aspatial patches within each patch family— 194 these represent a distribution of microsites (e.g., soil aggregates) where biogeochemical cycling 195 can be hydrologically disconnected, as soils dry out, from other aspatial patches that contain 196 plant roots (Ren et al. 2023, submitted). Hotspots help drive kinetic N saturation by enabling N 197 to accumulate and subsequently be flushed from the system when soils are rewetted.-To model 198 hotspot dynamics, the framework includes: (1) model algorithms that enable hotspots to access 199 soil and litter C and N from neighboring non-hotspot patches for decomposition and 200 biogeochemical cycling, and (2) algorithms and parameters that control the moisture conditions 201 under which hotspots are hydrologically disconnected from other aspatial patches in the saturated 202 zone, (3) parameters that control water diffusion in the unsaturated and/or root zone between

hotspot and non-hotspot patches as soils dry out. For detailed descriptions of the RHESSys
model and the new hotspot framework, refer to Tague & Band, (2004) and Ren. et al (2023,
under review).

206 **2.3 Data**

207 To represent topography across the watershed, we used a 1-meter resolution digital 208 elevation model (DEM) from LiDAR aggregated to 10-meters (Ren et al., 2023, submitted). Soil 209 texture was delineated across the watershed using the POLARIS database (Chaney et al., 2016). 210 To map landcover across Bell 4, we aggregated 1-meter resolution land cover data from the 211 National Agriculture Imagery Program (NAIP; collected on June 5, 2016) to 3-meters. We then 212 classified three land cover types across the watershed: chaparral, live oak, and bare ground 213 (Maxwell et al., 2017). RHESSys patch families were established based on the 10-meter DEM, 214 while the aspatial patch vegetation distributions were classified based on the 3-meter NAIP data 215 (Ren et al., 2023, submitted). The Bell 4 basin contained 1259 patch families, with each patch 216 family having approximately 11 aspatial patches. We acquired meteorological forcing data from 217 1979 to 2020 from the gridMET, including daily maximum and minimum temperatures, 218 precipitation, relative humidity, radiation, and wind speed (Abatzoglou, 2013). Daily streamflow 219 data from 1980 to 2002 and stream N data from 1988 to 2000 were provided by U.S. Forest 220 Service (USFS).

221

2.4 Model initialization and calibration

To initialize soil C and N pools to steady state, we spun RHESSys up for three hundred years. Then to initialize vegetation C and N pools, we used a target-driven spin-up approach, which leverages remotely sensed LAI calculated from NAIP (at April 24, 2010) to set target values for each patch across the watershed; this enables us to spin the model up mechanistically

226 while still capturing landscape heterogeneity (Hanan et al., 2018). We then calibrated six soil 227 parameters using observed streamflow data: saturated hydraulic conductivity (K_{sat}), the decay of 228 K_{sat} with depth (m), pore size index (b), air entry pressure (ϕ), bypass flow to deeper 229 groundwater storage (gw1), and deep groundwater drainage rates to stream (gw2). We selected 230 the best parameters by comparing the observed and modeled streamflow using the monthly 231 Nash-Sutcliffe efficiency (NSE; Nash & Sutcliffe, 1970) and percent error of annual streamflow. 232 We used eight years of streamflow data from 1993 to 2002 for calibration and three years from 233 1980 to 1983 for validation. Following calibration, we designated one aspatial patch in each 234 patch family to represent hotspots, assuming that hotspots were evenly distributed across the 235 landscape. We then optimized the hotspot-related parameters (i.e., the water sharing coefficient 236 between aspatial patches and subsurface flow threshold) by comparing modeled and observed 237 streamflow N from 1988 to 2000. During the calibration, the monthly NSE of simulated 238 streamflow reached 0.88 with a percent error of 5.45%, while for the validation period, the 239 monthly NSE was 0.80 with a percent error of -3.92%. This suggests a close match between 240 modeled and measured streamflow timing and volume. By including hotspots in the model, we 241 also improved our ability to capture the timing of peak streamflow nitrate observations (NSE = 242 0.40). A more detailed description of initialization and calibration results can be found in Ren et 243 al. (2023, submitted).

244 2.4 Scenarios

245 2.4.1 Developing better metrics for N-saturation in dryland watersheds.

Because seasonal N export to streams is characteristic in dryland watersheds, even when they are not N-polluted (Homyak et al., 2014), we need to develop metrics that can identify when a watershed shifts from kinetic to capacity saturation (Lovett & Goodale, 2011). This requires

249 understanding how N deposition interacts with the timing of precipitation. To determine the N 250 deposition threshold at which a watershed becomes N-saturated, we built scenarios considering 251 interactions between the N deposition rate and precipitation seasonality over a period of 60 252 years. Because the observed meteorological forcing data spans only 40 years (water years 1980 253 to 2020), we repeated this data to construct the additional 20 years. This included three scenarios 254 for precipitation seasonality: a dry summer scenario (to match observations), a wet summer 255 scenario (to represent a more continental climate), and an evenly distributed scenario (Figure 1a). 256 The wet summer and evenly distributed scenarios were reconstructed from the observed 257 precipitation data by manipulating the duration of dry days and the timing of precipitation using 258 a method from Rodriguez-Iturbe et al. (1999) which will be introduced in detail in section 2.4.2. We also included 12 dry N deposition scenarios (including 0.05, 0.25, and 0.5 to 5 g m⁻² year⁻¹ at 259 an increment of 0.5 g m⁻² year⁻¹). This resulted in 36 scenarios for precipitation and N deposition 260 261 in a factorial design.

262 To determine the level of N deposition at which our watershed becomes N-saturated, we 263 examined N export for each scenario and determined the magnitude of N deposition where 264 export no longer varied under different precipitation seasonality scenarios. This approach 265 assumes that under kinetic saturation, dry summers would promote more N export because 266 rainfall occurs when plants are less active. We define the N deposition threshold above which the 267 watershed is capacity N saturated as the amount of N deposition required for mean normalized 268 streamflow N (i.e., annual streamflow N divided by the N deposition rate) in both the dry 269 summer and the evenly distributed scenarios to be above 90% of the mean normalized 270 streamflow in the dry winter scenario. Using this threshold, we then built two scenarios for N 271 saturation status: N-saturated and N-limited. This involved scaling N deposition up or down such

272 that the N saturated scenario had 100 times higher N deposition than the N-limited scenario. We 273 then used these scenarios for the following sensitivity analysis to examine how precipitation 274 intermittency and variability influence N export under saturated and unsaturated conditions. 275 2.4.2 Effects of intra-annual precipitation intermittency on N export 276 To understand the how intra-annual precipitation intermittency influence N export, we 277 used a stochastic precipitation generator, based on Rodriguez-Iturbe et al. (1999). Within a given 278 year, the occurrence and amount of total daily precipitation can be viewed as a stochastic 279 process. Specifically, the occurrence of rainfall is modeled as a **Poisson process with a rate** λ 280 (average rainfall frequency), and the amount of rainfall for each event is determined by a 281 random exponential distribution. As our model operates on a daily timestep, we did not consider 282 the temporal structure of rainfall within each event and instead assumed the precipitation 283 occurred instantaneously.

Based on these assumptions, the distribution of the length of dry days (τ) between precipitation events is an exponential distribution with a mean 1/ λ (the unit of λ is 1/day).

286 $f_{\tau}(\tau) = \lambda e^{-\lambda \tau}, \text{ for } \tau \ge 0$ Eq (1)

The amount of precipitation is an independent random variable h (*mm/day*), calculated by an exponential probability density function:

289
$$f_H(h) = \frac{1}{\alpha} e^{-\frac{h}{\alpha}}, \text{ for } h \ge 0$$
 Eq (2)

290 Where α is the mean of daily rainfall amount (mm/day) when precipitation occurs for a certain 291 year and can be estimated from the observed data.

292 The total amount of precipitation R (mm year⁻¹) for a given year can therefore be calculated as

293
$$R = h \times T/\tau$$
 Eq (3)

294 Where *T* is the total days for a rainy season.

We estimated the two parameters (λ_0 and α) for the stochastic model based on observed precipitation. Then we adjusted the rainfall frequency parameter $1/\lambda_0$ by a factor of 2 to 4 to increase the duration of dry days between rainfall events. Additionally, we adjusted the mean daily rainfall amount α to maintain consistent total precipitation amounts across different scenarios. In total, we developed five distinct intra-annual intermittency scenarios (Figure 1b).

300 To summarize, within one year, this method determines the number of dry days across a 301 rainy season and uses rainfall intensity and the number of days with precipitation (from 302 observations) to determine the size and timing of storms that occur between dry days. This 303 enables us to vary rainfall intermittency, while maintaining a fixed amount of precipitation for 304 each year. Then, to examine how precipitation intermittency interacts with the total amount of 305 precipitation (e.g., under drier vs. wetter futures), we developed five precipitation scalers for 306 each intermittency scenario (ranging from 0.6 to 1.4 at an increment of 0.2). Hereafter, we refer 307 to scenarios with precipitation scaling factors less than one as "drier future" scenarios and greater 308 than one as "wetter future" scenarios. By combing five precipitation scaling factor scenarios, 309 two N saturation scenarios, and five intra-annual intermittency scenarios, we generated a total of 310 40 different scenarios in a factorial design and ran the model for 60 years (Figure 2).

311 2.4.3 The effect of interannual intermittency on N export

To investigate the effects of interannual precipitation intermittency on N export, we used a stochastic precipitation generator that was similar to the one used for the intra-annual precipitation intermittency analysis. Specifically, we examined monthly precipitation data for a

315 period of 40 years (in total 480 months) and modeled both the amount of monthly precipitation 316 and duration of dry months as stochastic processes. We initially ignored the temporal structure of 317 precipitation within each month and calculated the two parameters $\lambda = 0$ (unit is 1/month) and α 318 (mm/month). We then downscaled the modeled monthly precipitation to a daily timestep based 319 on observed precipitation considering the temporal structure of rainfall events within a month. 320 To increase the interannual intermittency, we then manipulate the two parameters (λ and α) to 321 increase both the duration and the mean amount of monthly precipitation while maintaining 322 consistent total precipitation levels over the 40-year period (Figure 1d). Again, we built 40 323 scenarios by combining the five interannual intermittency scenarios with the previous four 324 precipitation scaling factors and the two N saturation scenarios and ran RHESSys for 60 years by 325 looping the 40 years reconstructed data.

326 2.4.4 Effect of interannual precipitation variability on N export

327 To understand the effects of interannual precipitation variability on N export, we adapted 328 methodology proposed by Gherardi & Sala (2015). We generated different scenarios for 329 interannual precipitation variability by manipulating the observed precipitation data. To increase 330 variability, we increased the annual precipitation amount in wet years and decreased it in dry 331 years (by 20%, 40%, 60% relative to the observed amounts). To decrease variability, we lessened 332 the amount of annual precipitation in wet years and increased it in dry years (by 20%, 40%, 60%) 333 and 80%). This approach enabled us to create scenarios with varying coefficients of variation 334 (CV) while keeping the total precipitation the same throughout the simulation period. This 335 resulted in eight interannual variability scenarios including a baseline scenario (Figure 1c). By 336 combing them with five total precipitation scaling factors, and two levels of N saturation (N-337 limited vs. N-saturated), we generated 80 factorial scenarios.



339 Figure 1. A summary of reconstructed precipitation data used. Panel a represents the 340 precipitation seasonality scenarios: dry summer, evenly distributed across the year, and wet 341 summer. The drv summer scenarios used observed precipitation data. Total precipitation and 342 inter-annual intermittency over the 40 years was consistent across three scenarios. Panel b represents the reconstructed precipitation data with different intra-annual intermittencies for a 343 344 period of 40 years, including the distribution of daily precipitation and dry days duration. The total amount of precipitation over 40 years was the same for all four precipitation intermittency 345 346 scenarios. Lambda (λ 0) is the frequency of observed rain events, and the x-axis shows an increase in intermittency. The comparison between observed and reconstructed precipitation of 347 $1/\lambda$ 0 is shown in Figure S1. Panel c represents reconstructed and observed precipitation for 348 349 different levels of interannual variability. The green horizontal line is the mean annual 350 precipitation from the observation data and the black dots are the observed annual precipitation. 351 Blue lines correspond with lower variability relative to observation, red lines correspond with 352 higher variability relative to observation. Panel d represents reconstructed precipitation data 353 with different interannual intermittencies for a period of 40 years, including the distribution of 354 daily precipitation amounts and the distribution of duration of dry days. The total amount of 355 precipitation over 40 years was the same for all five precipitation scenarios at a given precipitation scaling factor. Lambda (λ_0) is the frequency of reconstructed baseline rain events, 356 357 and the x-axis shows an increase in intermittency. Note that the y axis is in \log_2 scale to better 358 show extreme values. 359 To summarize, we developed two scenarios for N saturation status (N-saturated vs N-

```
360 limited), five precipitation scaling factors (0.6, 0.8, 1, 1.2, 1.4), and three sets of scenarios for
```

- 361 changes in precipitation timing. These changes include four intra-annual intermittency scenarios,
- 362 four interannual intermittency scenarios, and eight interannual variability scenarios. This resulted

363 in 160 factorial scenarios (Figure 2). We then calculated the normalized differences in N fluxes 364 for each precipitation regime relative to its baseline, defined as the lowest variability or 365 intermittency scenario for each precipitation scaling factor and N saturation status. For example, 366 in the N-limited scenarios, to compare N export among intra-annual intermittency scenarios at a 367 given precipitation scaling factor, we calculated differences between a given intermittency 368 scenario and the baseline $(1/\lambda \ 0)$. This resulted in 5 baseline intermittency scenarios (two drier, 369 two wetter, and a scenario with the baseline precipitation variability and total amount). The 370 combination of high precipitation scaling factors and high intermittency/variability can interact 371 to create some extreme storms that are historically unprecedented, though within the range of 372 possible future projections (Knapp et al., 2015). However, median storm sizes are well within the 373 range of historical variability for these semiarid systems; we focus on median values in our 374 discussion.





Figure 2. Summary of the scenarios developed to examine how interactions between N

- 377 *deposition and changes in precipitation regime affect N export. The number inside the*
- 378 *parenthesis indicates the number of corresponding scenarios.*
- **379 3 Results**

380 **3.1 A better metric for N saturation in drylands**

381 In scenarios with relatively low atmospheric N deposition (i.e., smaller than 1 g m⁻² year 382 ¹), the mean and distribution of annual streamflow N (over 60 years) varied depending on the 383 seasonality of precipitation, with the dry summer scenario resulting in the highest export and the 384 wet summer scenario resulting in the lowest (Figure 3 and Figure S2). However, as N deposition 385 increased, the watershed became less N-limited, leading to similar mean values and streamflow 386 N distributions across different precipitation seasonality scenarios. This can be attributed to the 387 fact that in a watershed with dry summers, the wet winter period can flush N to streams before 388 plants begin to take it up, whereas in watersheds with wet summers, N is consumed by plants 389 prior to leaching, resulting in less streamflow N export. Consequently, it can be inferred that

390 when the watershed is N-limited, the dry summer scenario would yield higher streamflow N 391 export than the dry winter scenario. Conversely, in an N-saturated watershed, the consumption of N by plants and microbes would have a much smaller effect on streamflow N export. Using this 392 logic, we identify an N deposition threshold of approximately 2 g m⁻² year⁻¹ above which the 393 394 watershed becomes N-saturated. At this threshold, the ratio of the normalized mean annual 395 streamflow N for the wet summer: dry summer scenarios was no smaller than 0.9 (the same was 396 true for the evenly distributed scenario; Figure 3). For the following scenarios, we selected 0.05 g m⁻² year⁻¹ and 5 g m⁻² year⁻¹ to represent extremes of N-limited and N-saturated systems, 397 respectively. 398



400 Figure 3. Ratio of the normalized mean annual streamflow N export between scenarios

399

401 (calculated as wet summer/dry summer (blue line) or even/dry summer (green line); values are

402 normalized by the N deposition rate). We selected an N saturated threshold where normalized
403 streamflow N in the wet summer scenario was no smaller than 90% of that observed in the dry

404 summer scenario. We used mean, rather than median values to account for extreme values.

405 **3.2** The effect of changing precipitation regimes on N export.

406 3.2.1 The effect of intra-annual precipitation intermittency on N export.

407 Streamflow N export increased with higher intra-annual intermittency, which alters both 408 the timing and magnitude of storms (Figure 4). Moreover, in N-limited scenarios, a higher total 409 precipitation scaling factor generally increased streamflow N export (Figure 4). However, in N-410 saturated scenarios, baseline conditions can lead to more streamflow N export (Figure 4a). 411 Higher intermittency implies longer dry periods and greater differences in precipitation amount 412 between dry and wet periods, despite the same total precipitation among scenarios over the 60-413 year simulation. This can increase soil N accumulation during dry periods while reducing denitrification and N uptake (model estimates of plant carbon declined from 6 kg m⁻² to around 4 414 415 kg m⁻² between the highest and lowest intermittency scenario, Figure S4b). As a result, more N is 416 flushed to streams during the wet periods. For both N-limited and N-saturated scenarios, 417 denitrification decreased with higher levels of intermittency (Figure 4 b and e), primarily due to 418 slower rates of decomposition caused by decreases in plant growth and litter production (Figure 419 S4a).



421 Figure 4. Sensitivity of cumulative N fluxes over 60 years (absolute value) to intra-annual

422 precipitation intermittency for N-saturated and N-limited scenarios. The x axis is the duration of

423 *dry days between rainfall event, larger values represent higher intra-annual intermittencies.*

424 Streamflow N export and denitrification were more sensitive to intra-annual precipitation 425 intermittency in N-limited scenarios than in N-saturated scenarios, while plant N uptake was 426 more sensitive to intra-annual intermittency in N saturated scenarios (Figure 5). For example, plant C declined 12% (from 3.9 to 3.4 kg m⁻²) in N-limited scenarios but declined 27.5% (from 427 428 5.8 to 4.2 kg m⁻²) in N-saturated ones (Figure S4a). The declines in plant carbon with higher 429 intra-annual intermittency were smaller in N-limited scenarios because plants can be limited by 430 both N and water, and changing water availability does not matter as much in N-limited as it 431 does in N saturated scenarios, where once N limitation was alleviated, vegetation growth became 432 more limited by water availability. In addition, streamflow N export increased with higher levels 433 of intra-annual intermittency, while denitrification decreased (Figure 5a, b). This suggests

434 increases in intra-annual intermittency can increase N export to streams while decreasing N435 losses to the atmosphere.

In N-saturated scenarios, streamflow N export was most sensitive to variation in intraannual intermittency under a precipitation scaling factor of 0.8, while in N-limited scenarios,
scaling factors of 0.6 or 1 were the most sensitive (Figure 5a, b). In N-limited scenarios, the 0.6
scaling factor showed the strongest exponential increases in streamflow N export with increasing
intermittency, indicating that the drier future scenarios have the largest sensitivity to
intermittency changes.

442 Denitrification in the wetter future scenarios decreased more with increasing intra-annual 443 precipitation variability than in the drier future scenarios because total denitrification was higher 444 in wetter baseline intermittency scenarios $(1/\lambda_0)$. With greater intra-annual intermittency, these 445 decreases were larger (Figure 5b, e). By contrast, in dry scenarios, plant N uptake decreased 446 slightly more with increasing intra-annual intermittency than in wetter scenarios, but the 447 magnitude was relatively small compared to streamflow N export and denitrification ((Figure 5c, 448 f).



450 *Figure 5. Sensitivity of cumulative N fluxes over 60 years to intra-annual precipitation*

451 *intermittency for N-saturated and N-limited scenarios (normalized differences relative to*

452 baseline (1/ λ 0). The x-axis is intermittency, the y-axis is normalized change of other

453 intermittencies relative to their baseline intermittency scenarios $(1/\lambda \ 0)$ for every precipitation

454 scaling factor (different precipitation scaling factor scenarios have different baseline

455 *intermittency scenarios). The top panels are N-saturated and bottom panels are N-limited*456 *scenarios.*

457 3.2.2 The effects of interannual precipitation intermittency on N export.

458 Greater interannual intermittency and higher levels of precipitation increased streamflow 459 N export and this effect was consistent across both N-limited and N-saturated scenarios (Figure 460 6). Denitrification exhibited two distinct responses to interannual intermittency. First, when the 461 intermittency exceeded $2/\lambda$ 0, there was a significant increase in denitrification, which occurred 462 because higher interannual intermittency corresponded with more precipitation per rainfall event, 463 resulting in higher soil moisture levels across the landscape and triggering denitrification in nonhotspot patches (Figure 6b, e). However, denitrification started decreasing once interannual 464 intermittency was larger than $3/\lambda$ 0. At the highest interannual intermittency levels, increasing 465 466 the duration of dry months decreased denitrification to a greater extent than larger storms

increased it. Plant uptake generally decreased with higher levels of interannual intermittency,
which occurred because long-term drought slowed plant growth (Figure S4b). It is worth noting
that the magnitude of streamflow N and denitrification in interannual intermittency scenarios is
much larger than that of the scenarios for intra-annual intermittency (Figure 4 and Figure 6).



472 Figure 6. Sensitivity of cumulative N fluxes over 60 years (absolute value) to interannual
473 precipitation intermittency for N-saturated and N-limited scenarios. The x-axis is the duration of
474 dry days between rainfall events. Interannual intermittency increases from left to right.



482 showed greater changes. This occurred because in N-limited scenarios, drier scenarios had less 483 denitrification and plant uptake, resulting in more N available to be flushed to the stream. It 484 worth noting that in the N-limited scenario, interannual precipitation intermittency caused the 485 largest changes in streamflow N export compared to intra-annual intermittency and interannual 486 variability.



487

488 *Figure 7. Sensitivity of cumulative N fluxes over 60 years to interannual precipitation*

489 *intermittency for N-saturated and N-limited scenarios (differences are relative to baseline intermittency; 1/\lambda 0). The x-axis is intermittency, the y-axis is normalized change of other*

491 intermittencies relative to their baseline intermittency scenarios $(1/\lambda \ 0)$ for every precipitation

492 scaling factor. The top panels are N limited and the bottom panels are N saturated scenarios.

493 Note that the scale of y-axis for changes in streamflow N is different for N-saturated and N-

494 *limited scenarios.*

495 3.2.3 The effect of interannual precipitation variability on N export

- 496 In general, scenarios with higher precipitation variance and wetter scaling factors resulted
- 497 in more streamflow N export (Figure 8). However, for wetter future scenarios, a precipitation
- 498 scaling factor of 1.2 (rather than 1.4) resulted in the highest streamflow N export (Figure 8a, d).

This suggests there is a threshold of precipitation increase above which higher flushing capacity is compensated by less available N for flushing with higher denitrification and plant uptake. This can occur because more precipitation can cause higher denitrification and plant N uptake, which can reduce the amount of N available for flushing. Moreover, denitrification rates increased with higher precipitation variance and a higher precipitation scaling factor (Figure 8b, e). Notably, both streamflow N export and denitrification rates were higher in N-saturated compared to Nlimited scenarios, due to greater nitrate inputs in N-saturated scenarios.



Figure 8. Cumulative N fluxes over 60 years (absolute value) relative to interannual
precipitation variability and scaling factors for N-limited and N-saturated scenarios. The x axis
is the coefficient of variation for annual precipitation, the y axis is the cumulative N fluxes over
60 years.

511 The sensitivity of N fluxes to precipitation variability differed between N-limited and N-512 saturated scenarios and was also affected by the precipitation scaling factors (i.e., drier vs. wetter 513 futures; Figure 9). Streamflow N export was more sensitive to precipitation variability in N-514 limited than in N-saturated scenarios, particularly for the drier future scenarios (Figure 9a, d), 515 while denitrification showed the opposite trend (Figure 9b, e). The magnitude of sensitivity for 516 plant N uptake was similar between N-limited and N-saturated scenarios, but the direction of 517 effects (i.e., increases or decreases) differed and was affected by the precipitation scaling factor. 518 In the N-limited scenarios, plant N uptake decreased with precipitation variability in drier future 519 scenarios but increased in wetter future scenarios, suggesting that higher precipitation variability 520 can increase plant growth when there is more water available, even if the watershed is N-limited. 521 On the other hand, higher precipitation variability and water stress will suppress plant growth. In 522 N-saturated scenarios, plant N uptake generally decreased with higher precipitation variability, 523 except in some drier scenarios with smaller variability. This suggests that in N-saturated 524 watersheds, less precipitation combined with moderately higher variability can promote plant 525 growth to some extent.



Figure 9. Sensitivity of cumulative N fluxes over 60 years to interannual precipitation variability
for N-saturated and N-limited scenarios (differences are relative to the baseline variability

529 scenario which is 0.11). The x axis is the coefficient of variation for annual precipitation. The y

axis is the normalized change in other variances relative to the baseline variability scenario (CV
is 0.11) for every precipitation scaling factor (different precipitation scaling factor scenarios
have different 0.1 variance baseline scenario). The top panels are N-saturated and the bottom

533 panels are N-limited scenarios.

534 **4 Discussion**

535 Over the last century, atmospheric N deposition and climate change have increased both 536 greenhouse gas emissions (e.g., NO and nitrous oxide; N₂O) and stream nitrate export from 537 many dryland watersheds in western North America (Groffman, 2012; Homyak et al., 2016; 538 Krichels et al., 2022). Because these gaseous and hydrologic N fluxes can exacerbate global 539 climate change, decrease aquatic biodiversity, and harm human health (Galloway et al., 2003; 540 Gustine et al., 2022; Meyer et al., 2022), it is important to be able to predict how they will 541 change in the future. In drylands, N export is highly sensitive to both N deposition rates and 542 precipitation variability (Welter et al., 2005; Ye & Grimm, 2013); interannual precipitation 543 variability and intermittency are both projected to increase in drylands under future climate 544 change (Pörtner et al., 2022). However, our ability to model and predict future N export remains 545 limited, particularly in response to these interacting drivers. 546 Previous research has mainly focused on how the amount of precipitation in storm events 547 can affect ecosystem function (e.g., Jarvis et al., 2007; Kennedy et al., 2021; Stephens et al., 548 2020; Ye & Grimm, 2013); fewer studies have also explored the cumulative effects of 549 precipitation variability across multiple decades (D'Odorico et al., 2003; Gherardi & Sala, 2015; 550 Jiang et al., 2019; Porporato et al., 2003). Further research is needed to examine how different 551 types of precipitation intensification (e.g., increasing intermittency vs. variability) will influence 552 biogeochemical cycling, and to investigate how these effects can differ between N-limited and 553 N-saturated watersheds. In this study, we conducted a modeling analysis to understand how N

saturation status, precipitation intermittency, variability, and the total amount of precipitation can

interact to influence N export in a dryland watershed in California. We found that streamflow N was more sensitive to intensification of the precipitation regime in N-limited than N-limited scenarios, whereas the opposite was true for denitrification. Furthermore, changes in interannual precipitation intermittency had the largest effect on streamflow N and denitrification, suggesting that N export may become an even greater threat to water quality when prolonged drought is followed by more intense storm events.

561 **4.1 Identifying N deposition thresholds for capacity saturation**

562 To distinguish between kinetic (i.e., seasonal) and capacity (i.e., long-term) N saturation 563 (Lovett & Goodale 2011), we developed a simulation modeling approach that quantifies 564 watershed responses to N deposition under different rainfall seasonality regimes. By identifying 565 the amount of N deposition required for precipitation regimes to no longer modify N export, we 566 can approximate when N deposition has truly exceeded the capacity for plants and microbes to 567 take it up. This approach assumes that kinetic N saturation is more sensitive to precipitation 568 seasonality and timing, which affects plant and microbial N assimilation, while capacity N 569 saturation is less sensitive.

570 We found that the Bell 4 watershed can become capacity saturated when N deposition reaches 2 g m⁻² year⁻¹ over about 40 years (Figure 3). This suggests that the watershed—which 571 has a current mean N deposition rate greater than 2 g m⁻² year⁻¹—has already approached 572 573 capacity saturation. It is important to note that the threshold we identified is location-specific and 574 is likely to vary with the size, vegetation cover, and climate of a given watershed (Dijkstra et al., 2004; Yu et al., 2018). On average, N deposition is around 0.7 g m⁻² year⁻¹ in dryland watersheds 575 576 globally and rates are expected to double by 2050 (Benish et al., 2022; Galloway et al., 2008; 577 Kanakidou et al., 2016). Given these increases, many other dryland watersheds could begin to

578 exceed the N deposition thresholds required to shift from a kinetic to capacity saturation in the 579 coming decades. These shifts can pose a major threat to water quality, aquatic ecosystems, and 580 human health. Our study provides a useful modeling approach that can be applied to other 581 watersheds to determine N deposition thresholds for establishing capacity N saturation.

582

4.2 The role of N saturation status

583 Does an increase in N deposition lead to greater N export or N uptake? It is essential to 584 distinguish between N-saturated and N-limited watersheds to unravel how changes in the total 585 amount of precipitation and its intermittency or variability will influence watershed processes 586 (Rudgers et al., 2023). In our model setup, N deposition was 100 times higher in N-saturated 587 than in N-limited scenarios. However, the N-saturated/N-limited ratios for various N fluxes (i.e., 588 streamflow N, denitrification, N uptake) were all smaller than 100 and varied among scenarios 589 (Figure S5). This suggests that N partitioning and soil N storage also changed in response to N-590 deposition. Not surprisingly, streamflow N had the highest N-saturated/N-limited ratios, ranging 591 from 15 to 80. Denitrification and N uptake, on the other hand, only experienced modest 1-2-fold 592 increases in response to N saturation (Figure S5). Because most atmospherically deposited N is 593 exported to streams, projected decreases in streamflow (Ficklin et al., 2022; Stephens et al., 594 2020) could lead to even higher streamflow nitrate concentrations, particularly under increased 595 interannual precipitation variability (Gallo et al., 2015; Ye & Grimm, 2013).

As precipitation regimes become more intermittent and/or variable, N-limited watersheds can retain less N in soil (Winter et al., 2023) and N saturated watershed can become more saturated or retain more N in soil . In N-limited scenarios, total N export was 25 times higher than the rate of atmospheric N deposition over the 60-year simulation period. This occurred in large part due to declines in plant productivity and N uptake. In N-saturated scenarios, on the
601 other hand, the ratio between N inputs and outputs was consistently less than one, with 602 approximately 20% to 60% of the atmospheric N deposition being exported over the 60-year 603 simulation period (Figure 10). The highest N export transfer efficiencies (calculated as the ratio 604 between total N export and N deposition) occurred with high interannual intermittency, while 605 high intra-annual intermittency produced the lowest. Additionally, transfer efficiency can 606 become even higher in a wetter future due to increases in streamflow. Thus, in N-limited 607 scenarios (particularly in a wetter future), increases in precipitation interannual intermittency can 608 increase N export efficiency, thereby reducing N retention capacity in soil. However, our result 609 should be interpreted with care since 25 times higher N transfer efficiency will eventually 610 deplete the N in soil. Conversely, in N-saturated scenarios, a drier future will have lower transfer 611 efficiencies, which can intensify N saturation. This is corroborated by a recent meta-analysis 612 globally, which found that reduced precipitation can increase soil N storage over long-term 613 studies, particularly precipitation decreases by more than 25% (Wu et al., 2022).



- 615 *Figure 10. The ratio of total N export (streamflow N and denitrification) to N deposition and its*
- 616 response to precipitation regime changes. For N-saturated scenarios, the N deposition rate was 617 5 g m^{-2} year⁻¹, for N-limited scenarios, it was 0.05 g m⁻² year⁻¹. Ratios larger than 1 indicates N
- 017 5 g m year, jor 10-innited scenarios, it was 0.05 g m year. Ratios targer than 1
- 618 *outputs are larger than N inputs and vice versa.*
- 619 **4.3 The role of changing precipitation regimes**

620 Recent studies have found that precipitation amount and variability both play an 621 important role in driving ecosystem responses to climate change (Gherardi & Sala, 2015, 2019; 622 Jiang et al., 2019; Rudgers et al., 2023). Here we extend those studies to also examine the role of 623 precipitation intermittency and focus on how it affects streamflow N export. In our experimental 624 setup, we ensured water balance among all scenarios (e.g., scenarios with high vs. low 625 interannual intermittency at a given amount of total precipitation had the same total rainfall over 626 the 60-year simulation period). Thus, longer droughts were followed by more precipitation after 627 drought. Thus interannual intermittency scenarios varied both the timing of storms and their 628 magnitude, whereas interannual precipitation variability scenarios only varied the relative 629 magnitude of storms (e.g., some become larger and some become smaller with increasing 630 variability; Figure 1c). We found that increases in interannual intermittency produced the largest 631 increases (with the greatest variance) in streamflow N among precipitation regime scenarios 632 (Figure 11a). Conversely, interannual variability had the smallest effect on streamflow N. These 633 findings suggest that prolonged drought followed by larger, more intense storms can have the 634 strongest effect on streamflow N. This occurs because multi-year droughts that occur with 635 greater intermittency can reduce N uptake by plants and enable N to accumulate in soils 636 (Krichels et al., 2022; Winter et al., 2023). Subsequent storms then flush accumulated N to streams before plants can take it up. 637

638 Denitrification exhibited the most substantial increases with increasing interannual
 639 intermittency, whereas it slightly decreased with increasing intra-annual intermittency (Figure

640 11b). This pattern arose because denitrification is strongly influenced by soil moisture and 641 therefore the amount of precipitation in storm events (Homyak et al., 2016). Increases in both 642 interannual intermittency and variability had large effects on the size of individual storms, while 643 increases in intra-annual intermittency had relatively smaller effects.

644 Higher precipitation intra-annual and interannual intermittency and variability can both 645 reduce plant growth and corresponding N uptake, but increases in interannual intermittency, 646 which lead to fewer, more intense storms, exert a stronger influence than changes in variability 647 alone (which only affects the relative size of storms without changing their timing). These 648 findings have important implications for designing field and laboratory experiments aimed at 649 understanding plant responses to changing precipitation regimes. Such experiments should not 650 only consider the important roles of storm size and variance (as identified by Gherardi & Sala 651 (2015) and Rudgers et al. (2023)), but should also incorporate intermittency as a key driver.





653 *Figure 11. The distribution of ratios of highest intermittency/variability to lowest for different N*

654 *fluxes. For intermittency this is the ratio between 4/lambda_0 and 1/lambda_0, for variability*

this is the ratio between 0.89 CV and 0.11 CV. The distribution consists of outputs from all N

656 saturation and precipitation scaling factor scenarios and the variance of distribution indicates

657 how sensitive these N fluxes were to intra-annual intermittency, interannual intermittency, and 658 interannual variability. Note that the y-axis for panel a is on a different scale than for panels b

659 and c. The red dashed line represents a ratio of 1, above which N fluxes increases with

659 and c. The real dushed line represents a ratio of 1, above which is juases increases which is transition proving and below 1 indicates a decrease.

660 *intensified precipitation regime and below 1 indicates a decrease.*

661 **4.4 The role of total precipitation amount in N-limited systems**

662Does more total precipitation result in higher N export? When transitioning from drier to663wetter future scenarios, denitrification was the most affected, followed by streamflow N, while664plant N uptake was least affected (Figure 12 d, e, and f). With a 2.3 fold increase of precipitation665(from a 0.6 to a 1.4 scaling factor), median denitrification increased approximately 5 to 7 fold,666and this response was slightly greater in N saturated scenarios compared to N-limited scenarios667(Figure 12e). This finding aligns with the fact that denitrification is strongly influenced by soil668moisture and available nitrate (Poblador et al., 2017). Denitrification is also strongly influenced

by soil C (represented as a function of soil respiration in RHESSys), which was higher in wetter

670 future and N-saturated scenarios. Conversely, streamflow N in N-limited scenarios was more 671 responsive to changes in the precipitation scaling factor than in N-saturated scenarios (Figure 672 12d). In N-limited scenarios, streamflow N increased the precipitation scaling factor, reaching 673 approximately 3.5 times higher than baseline in scenarios with a scaling factor of 1.2. However, 674 it reached an asymptote once the scaling factor exceeded 1.2. This suggests that, for N-limited 675 scenarios, increases in total precipitation do not necessarily translate into higher streamflow N 676 because additional water can enhance denitrification, plant N uptake, and reduce nitrification. 677 Because the effects of total precipitation on streamflow N export are non-linear, it can be 678 challenging to predict N export as precipitation regimes continue to change, particularly in N-679 limited watersheds (Harms & Grimm, 2008; Homyak et al., 2016).

680 Changes in the amount of precipitation can also interact with N saturation status to 681 modify various N fluxes. For example, a higher precipitation scaling factor enhanced the N 682 saturation effect on denitrification and its variability (Figure 12h). Alternatively, in drier future 683 scenarios the ratio between N-saturated to N-limited denitrification was smaller than 1 (Figure 684 12h), suggesting that a drier future can largely inhibit (or even reverse) the N saturation effect, 685 even with 100 times higher N deposition (Wu et al., 2022). With respect to streamflow N, a 686 higher precipitation scaling factor reduced the effects of N saturation and its variability (Figure 687 12g). This aligns with predictions that a drier future would lead to greater N export to streams 688 and a lower flux to the atmosphere through denitrification (Cregger et al., 2014). Our findings 689 also corroborate recent studies showing that interactions between N deposition and the total 690 amount of precipitation drive N export in drylands (Li et al., 2022).

691 Although increases or decreases in the total amount of precipitation had smaller effects692 on N fluxes than increases in intermittency and/or variability, they interacted with precipitation

35

693 timing to amplify or attenuate their effects. In N-limited scenarios, streamflow N export 694 increased with higher intermittency and variability, but a drier future exaggerated this response 695 whereas a wetter future dampened it (e.g., Figure 5d, Figure 7d, and Figure 9d). Although a 696 wetter future may lead to greater overall streamflow N export (e.g., Figure 8d), a drier future can 697 result in more substantial increases in streamflow N export (Figure 9d). Furthermore, even minor 698 decreases in the precipitation scaling factor could substantially increase streamflow N (e.g., 699 Figure 9d and Figure 12g; 0.8 and 0.6 precipitation scaling factors). Thus, the total amount of 700 precipitation can play a critical threshold role in driving how N fluxes respond to increases in 701 precipitation variability and timing (Ficklin et al., 2022).



702

Figure 12. The effect of drier or wetter conditions on N fluxes. The top panels a, b, and c

represent how cumulative N fluxes over 60 years change with precipitation scaling factor. Each

705 *distribution contains both N saturation statuses and all precipitation regime changes. The*

706 *middle panels of d, e, and f represent the ratio of fluxes between precipitation scaling factors*

larger than 0.6 and the driest scaling factor (i.e., 0.6). The bottom panels g, h and i represent the ratio of fluxes in N-saturated and N-limited conditions and how they vary with the precipitation

708 ratio of fluxes in N-saturated and N-timited conditions and now they vary with the precipitatio

scaling factor. The dashed blue line denotes a ratio equal to 1.

710 **4.5 Study implications**

711 Predicting future N export in drylands requires considering interaction between hotspots

712 (defined as wetter microsites in the soil that have disproportionately high rates of

713 biogeochemical cycling) and hot moments (defined as wet periods after a prolonged dry spell) 714 rather than treating them as separate entities (Groffman et al., 2009; Kuzyakov & Blagodatskaya, 715 2015; Pinay et al., 2015). Our study revealed that the interannual intermittency exhibited the 716 largest influence on streamflow N and denitrification. Interannual intermittency scenarios 717 incorporated increases in both the timing and magnitude of storms (with the same total 718 precipitation over the simulation period for a given precipitation multiplier). Following 719 prolonged drought, large storms can be viewed as hot moments. Once the amount of 720 precipitation during these hot moments surpassed a certain threshold (e.g., $2/\lambda$ in Figure 7e), 721 denitrification was activated in patches across the basin that were not designated as microscale 722 hotspots. This generated a more dynamic distribution of "hotspots" due to the timing of hot 723 moments. To better account for the interdependence between hotspots and hot moments, 724 Bernhardt et al. (2017) proposed a new term more comprehensive term: "ecosystem control 725 points." This new term incorporates both spatial and temporal dynamics instead of addressing 726 them independently (Bernhardt et al., 2017). Our research in a dryland chaparral watershed 727 illustrates how ecosystem control points drive N export under a range of future scenarios.

728 Our modeling framework considered interactions between N saturation status and several 729 ways that precipitation regimes can change. This framework can serve as a tool for 730 understanding the specific mechanisms driving future N export under climate change. For 731 example, our model framework highlights the importance of considering the role of interannual 732 intermittency (not just variability) when examining how future precipitation will influence N 733 fluxes. This approach can help researcher determine the interannual intermittency thresholds that 734 trigger substantial increases in denitrification, which can in turn help them design precipitation 735 manipulation experiments with appropriate intermittency levels.

38

736 **5** Conclusion

737 We developed a comprehensive modeling framework that incorporates the role of both N 738 deposition and intensification of the precipitation regime (i.e., the total amount, intermittency, 739 and variability) in driving N export in dryland ecosystems. We identified a critical N deposition threshold of around 2 g m⁻² year⁻¹ beyond which the watershed shifts from N-limited to N-740 741 saturated. Our findings reveal that streamflow N export in N-limited watersheds is more sensitive 742 to changes in the timing of precipitation compared to N-saturated watersheds, whereas 743 denitrification in N-saturated watersheds was more responsive to changes in precipitation timing 744 in N-saturated watersheds. Additionally, we found that a drier future exaggerated the effects of 745 precipitation timing on N export, while there was no uniform response under a wetter future. 746 Notably, among the various precipitation regime changes, interannual intermittency caused the 747 largest changes in N export compared to other characteristics of the precipitation regime. Our 748 modeling framework helps disentangle the key drivers of N fluxes amid complex interactions 749 between N saturation and precipitation in dryland watersheds. We found that interannual rainfall 750 intermittency enables solutes like nitrate to build up in hotspots and then be flushed to streams 751 with subsequent intense storms—thus as rainfall intermittency and associated droughts continue 752 to increase, N export will become an even greater threat to water security.

753 6 Acknowledgments

This project was supported by National Science Foundation of the United States under award
number DEB-1916658. We thank Tom Dilts for helping with preparing input maps and data of
RHESSys. We thank Pete Wohlgemuth for helping with streamflow data processing and

757 model calibration.

39

758 Data Availability Statement

- 759 The data sets used to run simulations for this study can be found in the Open Science Forum:
- 760 https://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/7QTXV, and the model code can be found on GitHub:
- 761 https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7754375.

762 **References**

- Abatzoglou, J. T. (2013). Development of gridded surface meteorological data for ecological
 applications and modelling. *International Journal of Climatology*, *33*(1), 121–131.
- 765 https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.3413
- Aber, J. D., Nadelhoffer, K. J., Steudler, P., & Melillo, J. M. (1989). Nitrogen Saturation in
- Northern Forest Ecosystems: Excess nitrogen from fossil fuel combustion may stress the
 biosphere. *BioScience*, *39*(6), 378–386. https://doi.org/10.2307/1311067
- 769 Allen, M. R., & Ingram, W. J. (2002). Constraints on future changes in climate and the
- 770 hydrologic cycle. *Nature*, *419*(6903), 224–232. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature01092
- 771 Benish, S. E., Bash, J. O., Foley, K. M., Appel, K. W., Hogrefe, C., Gilliam, R., & Pouliot, G.
- 772 (2022). Long-term regional trends of nitrogen and sulfur deposition in the United States
- from 2002 to 2017. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, *22*(19), 12749–12767.
- 774 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-22-12749-2022
- 775 Bernhardt, E. S., Blaszczak, J. R., Ficken, C. D., Fork, M. L., Kaiser, K. E., & Seybold, E. C.
- 776 (2017). Control Points in Ecosystems: Moving Beyond the Hot Spot Hot Moment
- 777 Concept. *Ecosystems*, 20(4), 665–682. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-016-0103-y
- 778 Burke, W. D., Tague, C., Kennedy, M. C., & Moritz, M. A. (2021). Understanding How Fuel
- 779 Treatments Interact With Climate and Biophysical Setting to Affect Fire, Water, and

- 780 Forest Health: A Process-Based Modeling Approach. Frontiers in Forests and Global
- 781 *Change*, *3*. https://doi.org/10.3389/ffgc.2020.591162
- 782 Bytnerowicz, A., & Fenn, M. E. (1996). Nitrogen deposition in California forests: a review.
- 783 Environmental Pollution, Vol. 92(2): 127-146. Retrieved from
- 784 https://www.fs.usda.gov/research/treesearch/24944
- 785 Chaney, N. W., Wood, E. F., McBratney, A. B., Hempel, J. W., Nauman, T. W., Brungard, C.
- W., & Odgers, N. P. (2016). POLARIS: A 30-meter probabilistic soil series map of the
- 787 contiguous United States. *Geoderma*, 274, 54–67.
- 788 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2016.03.025
- 789 Chen, X., Tague, C. L., Melack, J. M., & Keller, A. A. (2020). Sensitivity of nitrate
- concentration-discharge patterns to soil nitrate distribution and drainage properties in the
 vertical dimension. *Hydrological Processes*, *34*(11), 2477–2493.
- 792 https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.13742
- 793 Cregger, M. A., McDowell, N. G., Pangle, R. E., Pockman, W. T., & Classen, A. T. (2014). The
- 794 impact of precipitation change on nitrogen cycling in a semi-arid ecosystem. *Functional*
- *Ecology*, *28*(6), 1534–1544. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.12282
- 796 Dentener, F., Drevet, J., Lamarque, J. F., Bey, I., Eickhout, B., Fiore, A. M., et al. (2006).
- 797 Nitrogen and sulfur deposition on regional and global scales: A multimodel evaluation.
- 798 *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 20(4). https://doi.org/10.1029/2005GB002672
- 799 Dickinson, R. E., Shaikh, M., Bryant, R., & Graumlich, L. (1998). Interactive Canopies for a
- 800 Climate Model. Journal of Climate, 11(11), 2823–2836. https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-
- 801 0442(1998)011<2823:ICFACM>2.0.CO;2

802	Dijkstra, F. A., Hobbie, S. E., Knops, J. M. H., & Reich, P. B. (2004). Nitrogen deposition and
803	plant species interact to influence soil carbon stabilization. Ecology Letters, 7(12), 1192-
804	1198. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2004.00679.x
805	D'Odorico, P., Laio, F., Porporato, A., & Rodriguez-Iturbe, I. (2003). Hydrologic controls on
806	soil carbon and nitrogen cycles. II. A case study. Advances in Water Resources, 26(1),
807	59-70. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0309-1708(02)00095-7
808	Dunn, P. H., Barro, S. C., Wells, W. G., Poth, M. A., Wohlgemuth, P. M., & Colver, C. G.
809	(1988). The San Dimas experimental forest: 50 years of research (No. PSW-GTR-104)
810	(p. PSW-GTR-104). Berkeley, CA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service,
811	Pacific Southwest Forest and Range Experiment Station. https://doi.org/10.2737/PSW-
812	GTR-104
813	Eagleson, P. S. (1978). Climate, soil, and vegetation: 3. A simplified model of soil moisture
814	movement in the liquid phase. Water Resources Research, 14(5), 722-730.
815	https://doi.org/10.1029/WR014i005p00722
816	Farquhar, G. D., & von Caemmerer, S. (1982). Modelling of Photosynthetic Response to
817	Environmental Conditions. In O. L. Lange, P. S. Nobel, C. B. Osmond, & H. Ziegler
818	(Eds.), Physiological Plant Ecology II: Water Relations and Carbon Assimilation (pp.
819	549-587). Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-68150-9_17
820	Ficklin, D. L., Null, S. E., Abatzoglou, J. T., Novick, K. A., & Myers, D. T. (2022).
821	Hydrological Intensification Will Increase the Complexity of Water Resource
822	Management. Earth's Future, 10(3), e2021EF002487.
823	https://doi.org/10.1029/2021EF002487

- Fischer, E. M., Beyerle, U., & Knutti, R. (2013). Robust spatially aggregated projections of
- 825 climate extremes. *Nature Climate Change*, *3*(12), 1033–1038.
- 826 https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2051
- 827 Gallo, E. L., Meixner, T., Aoubid, H., Lohse, K. A., & Brooks, P. D. (2015). Combined impact
- 828 of catchment size, land cover, and precipitation on streamflow and total dissolved
- 829 nitrogen: A global comparative analysis. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 29(7), 1109–
- 830 1121. https://doi.org/10.1002/2015GB005154
- 831 Galloway, J. N., Aber, J. D., Erisman, J. W., Seitzinger, S. P., Howarth, R. W., Cowling, E. B.,
- 832 & Cosby, B. J. (2003). The Nitrogen Cascade. *BioScience*, *53*(4), 341–356.
- 833 https://doi.org/10.1641/0006-3568(2003)053[0341:TNC]2.0.CO;2
- 834 Galloway, J. N., Townsend, A. R., Erisman, J. W., Bekunda, M., Cai, Z., Freney, J. R., et al.
- 835 (2008). Transformation of the Nitrogen Cycle: Recent Trends, Questions, and Potential
 836 Solutions. *Science*, *320*(5878), 889–892. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1136674
- 837 Garcia, E. S., & Tague, C. L. (2015). Subsurface storage capacity influences climate-
- 838 evapotranspiration interactions in three western United States catchments. *Hydrology and*
- 839 *Earth System Sciences*, 19(12), 4845–4858. https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-19-4845-2015
- 840 Garcia, Elizabeth S., Tague, C. L., & Choate, J. S. (2016). Uncertainty in carbon allocation
- 841 strategy and ecophysiological parameterization influences on carbon and streamflow
- estimates for two western US forested watersheds. *Ecological Modelling*, *342*, 19–33.
- 843 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2016.09.021
- 844 Gherardi, L. A., & Sala, O. E. (2015). Enhanced precipitation variability decreases grass- and
- 845 increases shrub-productivity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 112(41),
- 846 12735–12740. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1506433112

847	Gherardi, L. A., & Sala, O. E. (2019). Effect of interannual precipitation variability on dryland
848	productivity: A global synthesis. Global Change Biology, 25(1), 269-276.
849	https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14480
850	Groffman, P. M. (2012). Terrestrial denitrification: challenges and opportunities. Ecological
851	Processes, 1(1), 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1186/2192-1709-1-11
852	Groffman, P. M., Butterbach-bahl, K., Fulweiler, R. W., Gold, A. J., Morse, J. L., Stander, E. K.,
853	et al. (2009). Challenges to incorporating spatially and temporally explicit phenomena

- 854 (hotspots and hot moments) in denitrification models. *Biogeochemistry*, 93(1–2), 49–77.
- 855 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-008-9277-5
- Gustine, R. N., Hanan, E. J., Robichaud, P. R., & Elliot, W. J. (2022). From burned slopes to
 streams: how wildfire affects nitrogen cycling and retention in forests and fire-prone
 watersheds. *Biogeochemistry*, *157*(1), 51–68. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-021-008610
- 860 Hanan, E. J., Tague, C. (Naomi), & Schimel, J. P. (2017). Nitrogen cycling and export in
- 861 California chaparral: the role of climate in shaping ecosystem responses to fire.
 862 *Ecological Monographs*, 87(1), 76–90. https://doi.org/10.1002/ecm.1234
- 863 Hanan, E. J., Tague, C., Choate, J., Liu, M., Kolden, C., & Adam, J. (2018). Accounting for
- 864 disturbance history in models: using remote sensing to constrain carbon and nitrogen pool
- 865 spin-up. Ecological Applications: A Publication of the Ecological Society of America,
- 866 28(5), 1197–1214. https://doi.org/10.1002/eap.1718
- 867 Hanan, E. J., Ren, J., Tague, C. L., Kolden, C. A., Abatzoglou, J. T., Bart, R. R., et al. (2021).
- 868 How climate change and fire exclusion drive wildfire regimes at actionable scales.

- 869 *Environmental Research Letters*, *16*(2), 024051. https://doi.org/10.1088/1748870 9326/abd78e
- 871 Harms, T. K., & Grimm, N. B. (2008). Hot spots and hot moments of carbon and nitrogen
- dynamics in a semiarid riparian zone. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences*,
- 873 *113*(G1). https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JG000588
- 874 Homyak, P. M., Sickman, J. O., Miller, A. E., Melack, J. M., Meixner, T., & Schimel, J. P.
- 875 (2014). Assessing Nitrogen-Saturation in a Seasonally Dry Chaparral Watershed:
- Limitations of Traditional Indicators of N-Saturation. *Ecosystems*, 17(7), 1286–1305.
- 877 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-014-9792-2
- 878 Homyak, P. M., Blankinship, J. C., Marchus, K., Lucero, D. M., Sickman, J. O., & Schimel, J. P.
- 879 (2016). Aridity and plant uptake interact to make dryland soils hotspots for nitric oxide
- 880 (NO) emissions. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 113(19), E2608–
- E2616. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1520496113
- Homyak, P. M., Allison, S. D., Huxman, T. E., Goulden, M. L., & Treseder, K. K. (2017).
- 883 Effects of Drought Manipulation on Soil Nitrogen Cycling: A Meta-Analysis. *Journal of*
- 884 *Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences*, *122*(12), 3260–3272.
- 885 https://doi.org/10.1002/2017JG004146
- 886 Howarth, R. W., Swaney, D. P., Boyer, E. W., Marino, R., Jaworski, N., & Goodale, C. (2006).
- 887 The influence of climate on average nitrogen export from large watersheds in the
- 888 Northeastern United States. *Biogeochemistry*, 79(1), 163–186.
- 889 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-006-9010-1
- Hubbert, K. R., Preisler, H. K., Wohlgemuth, P. M., Graham, R. C., & Narog, M. G. (2006).
- 891 Prescribed burning effects on soil physical properties and soil water repellency in a steep

- 892 chaparral watershed, southern California, USA. *Geoderma*, 130(3), 284–298.
- 893 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2005.02.001
- Jarvis, P., Rey, A., Petsikos, C., Wingate, L., Rayment, M., Pereira, J., et al. (2007). Drying and
- 895 wetting of Mediterranean soils stimulates decomposition and carbon dioxide emission:
- the "Birch effect." *Tree Physiology*, 27(7), 929–940.
- 897 https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/27.7.929
- Jiang, P., Liu, H., Piao, S., Ciais, P., Wu, X., Yin, Y., & Wang, H. (2019). Enhanced growth
 after extreme wetness compensates for post-drought carbon loss in dry forests. *Nature Communications*, 10(1), 195. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-08229-z
- Kanakidou, M., Myriokefalitakis, S., Daskalakis, N., Fanourgakis, G., Nenes, A., Baker, A. R., et
 al. (2016). Past, Present and Future Atmospheric Nitrogen Deposition. *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, 73(5), 2039–2047. https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS-D-15-0278.1
- 904 Kennedy, M. C., Bart, R. R., Tague, C. L., & Choate, J. S. (2021). Does hot and dry equal more
- 905 wildfire? Contrasting short- and long-term climate effects on fire in the Sierra Nevada,

906 CA. *Ecosphere*, *12*(7), e03657. https://doi.org/10.1002/ecs2.3657

907 Knapp, A. K., Fay, P. A., Blair, J. M., Collins, S. L., Smith, M. D., Carlisle, J. D., et al. (2002).

Rainfall Variability, Carbon Cycling, and Plant Species Diversity in a Mesic Grassland. *Science*, 298(5601), 2202–2205.

- 910 Knapp, A. K., Hoover, D. L., Wilcox, K. R., Avolio, M. L., Koerner, S. E., La Pierre, K. J., et al.
- 911 (2015). Characterizing differences in precipitation regimes of extreme wet and dry years:
- 912 implications for climate change experiments. *Global Change Biology*, *21*(7), 2624–2633.
- 913 https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12888

- 914 Krichels, A. H., Greene, A. C., Jenerette, G. D., Spasojevic, M. J., Glassman, S. I., & Homyak,
- 915 P. M. (2022). Precipitation legacies amplify ecosystem nitrogen losses from nitric oxide
- 916 emissions in a Pinyon–Juniper dryland. *Ecology*, *104*(2), e3930.
- 917 https://doi.org/10.1002/ecy.3930
- 918 Kuzyakov, Y., & Blagodatskaya, E. (2015). Microbial hotspots and hot moments in soil:
- 919 Concept & review. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, *83*, 184–199.
- 920 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2015.01.025
- 21 Li, Z., Tang, Z., Song, Z., Chen, W., Tian, D., Tang, S., et al. (2022). Variations and controlling
- factors of soil denitrification rate. *Global Change Biology*, 28(6), 2133–2145.
- 923 https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.16066
- Lin, L., Webster, J. R., Hwang, T., & Band, L. E. (2015). Effects of lateral nitrate flux and
- 925 instream processes on dissolved inorganic nitrogen export in a forested catchment: A
- 926 model sensitivity analysis. *Water Resources Research*, *51*(4), 2680–2695.
- 927 https://doi.org/10.1002/2014WR015962
- 928 Lovett, G. M., & Goodale, C. L. (2011). A New Conceptual Model of Nitrogen Saturation Based
- 929 on Experimental Nitrogen Addition to an Oak Forest. *Ecosystems*, 14(4), 615–631.
- 930 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-011-9432-z
- 931 Maxwell, A. E., Warner, T. A., Vanderbilt, B. C., & Ramezan, C. A. (2017). Land Cover
- 932 Classification and Feature Extraction from National Agriculture Imagery Program
- 933 (NAIP) Orthoimagery: A Review. *Photogrammetric Engineering & Remote Sensing*,
- 934 83(11), 737–747. https://doi.org/10.14358/PERS.83.10.737
- 935 Meyer, M. F., Ozersky, T., Woo, K. H., Shchapov, K., Galloway, A. W. E., Schram, J. B., et al.
- 936 (2022). Effects of spatially heterogeneous lakeside development on nearshore biotic

- 937 communities in a large, deep, oligotrophic lake. *Limnology and Oceanography*, 67(12),
- 938 2649–2664. https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.12228
- 939 Nash, J. E., & Sutcliffe, J. V. (1970). River flow forecasting through conceptual models part I —
- 940 A discussion of principles. *Journal of Hydrology*, *10*(3), 282–290.
- 941 https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1694(70)90255-6
- 942 Parker, S. S., & Schimel, J. P. (2011). Soil nitrogen availability and transformations differ
- between the summer and the growing season in a California grassland. *Applied Soil Ecology*, 48(2), 185–192. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2011.03.007
- 945 Parton, W. J. (1996). The CENTURY model. In D. S. Powlson, P. Smith, & J. U. Smith (Eds.),
- 946 Evaluation of Soil Organic Matter Models (Vol. 38, pp. 283–291). Springer, Berlin,
- 947 Heidelberg: Evaluation of Soil Organic Matter Models.
- 948 Pinay, G., Peiffer, S., De Dreuzy, J.-R., Krause, S., Hannah, D. M., Fleckenstein, J. H., et al.
- 949 (2015). Upscaling Nitrogen Removal Capacity from Local Hotspots to Low Stream
- 950 Orders' Drainage Basins. *Ecosystems*, 18(6), 1101–1120. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-
- 951 015-9878-5
- 952 Poblador, S., Lupon, A., Sabaté, S., & Sabater, F. (2017). Soil water content drives
- 953 spatiotemporal patterns of CO₂ and N₂O emissions from a Mediterranean riparian forest
- 954 soil. *Biogeosciences*, *14*(18), 4195–4208. https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-14-4195-2017
- 955 Porporato, A., D'Odorico, P., Laio, F., & Rodriguez-Iturbe, I. (2003). Hydrologic Controls on
- 956 Soil Carbon and Nitrogen Cycles. I. Modeling Scheme. *Advances in Water Resources*,
- 957 26, 45–58. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0309-1708(02)00094-5
- Pörtner, H.-O., Roberts, D. C., Tignor, M. M. B., Poloczanska, E. S., Mintenbeck, K., Alegría,
 A., et al. (Eds.). (2022). *Climate Change 2022: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability.*

- 960 Contribution of Working Group II to the Sixth Assessment Report of the
- 961 *Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.*
- 962 Ren, J., Adam, J. C., Hicke, J. A., Hanan, E. J., Tague, C. L., Liu, M., et al. (2021). How does
- 963 water yield respond to mountain pine beetle infestation in a semiarid forest? *Hydrology*
- 964 and Earth System Sciences, 25(9), 4681–4699. https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-25-4681-
- 965 2021
- 966 Ren, J., Hanan, E. J., Abatzoglou, J. T., Kolden, C. A., Tague, C. (Naomi) L., Kennedy, M. C., et
- 967 al. (2022). Projecting Future Fire Regimes in a Semiarid Watershed of the Inland
- 968 Northwestern United States: Interactions Among Climate Change, Vegetation
- 969 Productivity, and Fuel Dynamics. *Earth's Future*, *10*(3), e2021EF002518.
- 970 https://doi.org/10.1029/2021EF002518
- 871 Ren, J., Hanan, E., Greene, A. C., Tague, C., Krichels, A., Burk, W., et al. (2023). *Simulating the*
- 972 role of biogeochemical hotspots in driving nitrogen export from dryland watersheds
- 973 (preprint). Preprints. https://doi.org/10.22541/essoar.169168922.25673935/v1
- 974 Reyes, J. J., Tague, C. L., Evans, R. D., & Adam, J. C. (2017). Assessing the Impact of
- 975 Parameter Uncertainty on Modeling Grass Biomass Using a Hybrid Carbon Allocation
- 976 Strategy: A HYBRID CARBON ALLOCATION STRATEGY. Journal of Advances in
- 977 *Modeling Earth Systems*, 9(8), 2968–2992. https://doi.org/10.1002/2017MS001022
- 978 Rodriguez-Iturbe, I., Porporato, A., Ridolfi, L., Isham, V., & Coxi, D. R. (1999). Probabilistic
- 979 modelling of water balance at a point: the role of climate, soil and vegetation.
- 980 Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A: Mathematical, Physical and
- 981 Engineering Sciences, 455(1990), 3789–3805. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.1999.0477

- 982 Rudgers, J. A., Luketich, A., Bacigalupa, M., Baur, L. E., Collins, S. L., Hall, K. M., et al.
- 983 (2023). Infrastructure to factorially manipulate the mean and variance of precipitation in
 984 the field. *Ecosphere*, 14(7), e4603. https://doi.org/10.1002/ecs2.4603
- 985 Ryan, M. G. (1991). Effects of Climate Change on Plant Respiration. *Ecological Applications*,
- 986 *1*(2), 157–167. https://doi.org/10.2307/1941808
- 987 Ryan, T. M. (1991). Soil Survey of Angeles National Forest Area, California (p. Vol. 588-137).
 988 Glendora, CA.
- 989 Stephens, C. M., Marshall, L. A., Johnson, F. M., Lin, L., Band, L. E., & Ajami, H. (2020). Is
- 990 Past Variability a Suitable Proxy for Future Change? A Virtual Catchment Experiment.
- 991 *Water Resources Research*, *56*(2), e2019WR026275.
- 992 https://doi.org/10.1029/2019WR026275
- 993 Stephens, C. M., Marshall, L. A., Johnson, F. M., Ajami, H., Lin, L., & Band, L. E. (2022).
- 994 Spatial Variation in Catchment Response to Climate Change Depends on Lateral
- 995 Moisture Transport and Nutrient Dynamics. *Water Resources Research*, 58(10),
- 996 e2021WR030577. https://doi.org/10.1029/2021WR030577
- 997 Sutton, M. A., Nemitz, E., Erisman, J. W., Beier, C., Bahl, K. B., Cellier, P., et al. (2007).
- 998 Challenges in quantifying biosphere-atmosphere exchange of nitrogen species.
- 999 Environmental Pollution, 150(1), 125–139. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2007.04.014
- 1000 Tague, C. L., & Band, L. E. (2004). RHESSys: Regional Hydro-Ecologic Simulation System-
- 1001 An Object-Oriented Approach to Spatially Distributed Modeling of Carbon, Water, and
- 1002 Nutrient Cycling. Earth Interactions, 8(19), 1–42. https://doi.org/10.1175/1087-
- 1003 3562(2004)8<1:RRHSSO>2.0.CO;2

- 1004 Trenberth, K. E., Dai, A., Rasmussen, R. M., & Parsons, D. B. (2003). The Changing Character
- of Precipitation. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, *84*(9), 1205–1218.
 https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-84-9-1205
- 1007 Welter, J. R., Fisher, S. G., & Grimm, N. B. (2005). Nitrogen Transport and Retention in an Arid
- Land Watershed: Influence of Storm Characteristics on Terrestrial–aquatic Linkages.
 Biogeochemistry, 76(3), 421–440. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-005-6997-7
- 1010 Winter, C., Nguyen, T. V., Musolff, A., Lutz, S. R., Rode, M., Kumar, R., & Fleckenstein, J. H.
- 1011 (2023). Droughts can reduce the nitrogen retention capacity of catchments. *Hydrology*
- 1012 and Earth System Sciences, 27(1), 303–318. https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-27-303-2023
- 1013 Wu, Q., Yue, K., Ma, Y., Heděnec, P., Cai, Y., Chen, J., et al. (2022). Contrasting effects of
- 1014 altered precipitation regimes on soil nitrogen cycling at the global scale. *Global Change*1015 *Biology*, 28(22), 6679–6695. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.16392
- 1016 Ye, L., & Grimm, N. B. (2013). Modelling potential impacts of climate change on water and
- 1017 nitrate export from a mid-sized, semiarid watershed in the US Southwest. *Climatic*
- 1018 Change, 120(1), 419–431. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-013-0827-z
- 1019 Yu, Q., Duan, L., Yu, L., Chen, X., Si, G., Ke, P., et al. (2018). Threshold and multiple
- 1020 indicators for nitrogen saturation in subtropical forests. *Environmental Pollution*, 241,
- 1021 664–673. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2018.06.001
- 1022 Zhu, Q., Castellano, M. J., & Yang, G. (2018). Coupling soil water processes and the nitrogen
- 1023 cycle across spatial scales: Potentials, bottlenecks and solutions. *Earth-Science Reviews*,
- 1024 *187*, 248–258. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2018.10.005
- 1025
- 1026

Figure 1.



Water year



Figure 2.



Figure 3.



N deposition (g/m²/year)

Figure 4.



Intra-annual intermittency

Figure 5.



Figure 6.



Figure 7.



Figure 8.


CV of annual precipitation

Figure 9.



Figure 10.



Precipitation regime changes

Precipitation scaling factor

Figure 11.



Intra–annual intermittency 📫 Interannual intermittency 븢 Interannual variability

Figure 12.



Precipitation scaling factor

1	Dryland watersheds in flux: How nitrogen deposition and changing
2	precipitation regimes shape nitrogen export
3	¹ Jianning Ren, ¹ Erin J. Hanan, ² Paolo D'Odorico, ³ Naomi Tague, ⁴ Joshua P. Schimel, ⁵ Peter M.
4	Homyak
5	¹ Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Science, University of Nevada, Reno,
6	89501, Reno, USA
7	² Department of Environmental Science, policy, & Management, University of California,
8	Berkeley, 94720, Berkely, USA
9	³ Bren School of Environmental Science & Management, University of California, Santa Barbara,
10	93106, Santa Barbara, USA
11	⁴ Department of Ecology, Evolution and Marine Biology, University of California, Santa Barbara,
12	93106, Santa Barbara, USA
13	⁵ Department of Environmental Sciences, University of California, Riverside, 92521, Riverside,
14	USA
15	
16	
17	Correspondence:
18	Jianning Ren (nren@unr.edu, renjianning@gmail.com)
19	Erin Hanan (ehanan@unr.edu)
20	

21 Abstract

22 Atmospheric nitrogen (N) deposition and climate change are transforming the way N 23 moves through dryland watersheds. For example, N deposition is increasing N export to streams, 24 which may be exacerbated by changes in the magnitude, timing, and intensity of precipitation 25 (i.e., the precipitation regime). While deposition controls the amount of N entering a watershed, 26 the precipitation regime influences rates of internal cycling; when and where soil N, plant roots, 27 and microbes are hydrologically connected; how quickly plants and microbes assimilate N; and 28 rates of denitrification, runoff, and leaching. We used the ecohydrological model RHESSys to 29 investigate (1) how N dynamics differ between N-limited and N-saturated conditions in a 30 dryland watershed, and (2) how total precipitation and its intra-annual intermittency (i.e., the 31 time between storms in a year), interannual intermittency (i.e., the duration of dry months across 32 multiple years), and interannual variability (i.e., variance in the amount of precipitation among 33 years) modify N dynamics. Streamflow N export was more sensitive to increasing intermittency 34 and variability in N-limited vs. N-saturated model scenarios, particularly when total precipitation 35 was lower-the opposite was true for denitrification. N export and denitrification increased or 36 decreased the most with increasing interannual intermittency compared to other changes in 37 precipitation amount. This suggests that under future climate change, prolonged droughts that are 38 followed by more intense storms may pose a major threat to water quality in dryland watersheds. 39 Key points:

We developed a new metric for identifying when a dryland watershed becomes "capacity
N-saturated".

Streamflow N export was more sensitive to precipitation regime changes in N-limited
 than N-saturated watersheds.

N export increased or decreased the most with increasing interannual intermittency than
 other changes in precipitation amount.

46 **1 Introduction**

47 Atmospheric N deposition has been increasing in dryland watersheds of the western US 48 since the 1860s, largely due to human population growth and concomitant increases in both 49 fossil fuel consumption and industrial agriculture (Galloway et al., 2008; Kanakidou et al., 50 2016). In many populated regions around the globe, N deposition is already around 20 times 51 higher than the natural rate of 0.05 g N g m⁻² year⁻¹ (Dentener et al., 2006; Galloway et al., 52 2008). For example, in dryland chaparral watersheds near Los Angeles, California, the N deposition rate is more than 3 g N m⁻² year⁻¹ (Benish et al., 2022). By 2050, rates are likely to 53 54 reach 5 g N m⁻² year⁻¹ (Sutton et al., 2007). Given these dramatic increases in N inputs, there is 55 an urgent need to understand (1) the point at which dryland watersheds will no longer be able to 56 assimilate additional N (i.e., the threshold of N deposition at which they become N-saturated) 57 and (2) how deposited N will be transformed and exported from watersheds through both 58 hydrologic and gaseous pathways (i.e., denitrification) under both N saturated and unsaturated 59 conditions. Precipitation plays an important role in driving N cycling, uptake, and export. 60 However, in drylands, these processes can act on different timescales and high precipitation 61 variability can complicate our ability to predict the fate of atmospherically deposited N (Homyak 62 et al., 2014; Howarth et al., 2006; Krichels et al., 2022; Ren et al., 2023, submitted).

Conceptual models used to assess N saturation and N export were developed in temperate
systems where relatively high and consistent rainfall maintains hydrologically connected soils
throughout the year, allowing substrates to diffuse to plant roots and be taken up (Homyak et al.,
2014). As a result, these models assume that N export occurs once a watershed exceeds the

67 capacity of plants and other ecosystem components to assimilate N and becomes N-saturated 68 (Aber et al., 1989). In drylands however, summer aridity can keep soils dry for months without 69 rain, limiting subsurface N transport and allowing it to accumulate in hydrologically 70 disconnected microsites (i.e., hotspots; Parker & Schimel, 2011). At the onset of the wet season 71 when rains return, N can be rapidly exported before plants and soil microbes can assimilate it— 72 this can produce large stream N losses (known as "pulses") that under traditional conceptual 73 models would suggest N saturation (Zhu et al., 2018). However, such hydrologic losses regularly 74 occur in drylands even when plants remain N-limited (Homyak et al., 2014). 75 To better account for asynchronies between N availability and uptake, Lovett & Goodale. 76 (2011) introduced the concept of kinetic N saturation, where available N can exceed demand 77 over short timescales (e.g., when a storm follows a long dry period). This contrasts with **capacity** 78 N-saturation, where an ecosystem or watershed can no longer assimilate N over longer 79 timescales, resulting in consistent increases in N export that correspond with increasing 80 atmospheric N inputs. Because both N saturation statuses can increase N export, it is difficult to 81 identify the threshold at which dryland watersheds shift from kinetic to capacity saturation. As a 82 result, it also remains difficult to predict the fate of atmospherically deposited N and how it 83 changes along a gradient from kinetic to capacity saturation.

Further complicating our understanding of N saturation and export, general circulation
models project changes in the both the total amount and timing of precipitation in drylands
(Fischer et al., 2013). These changes can occur on both intra- and interannual scales (Knapp et
al., 2002; Trenberth et al., 2003). On intra-annual time scales, a higher water-holding capacity in
a warming atmosphere can give rise to larger precipitation events with longer dry periods
between storms (i.e., higher *intra-annual intermittency*, Allen & Ingram, 2002). At interannual

90 scales, climate change can alter atmospheric circulation and moisture transport to promote 91 extreme wet months with a longer duration of dry months across multiple years (i.e., higher 92 interannual intermittency (Allen & Ingram, 2002; Trenberth et al., 2003). Alternatively, some 93 models project that climate change will enhance *interannual variability*, making dry years drier 94 and wet years wetter, while still retaining the intra-annual storm event characteristics (Pörtner et 95 al., 2022). Higher precipitation intermittency and variability can both affect N export, but 96 increases in intermittency, which change both the timing and magnitude of storms (i.e., fewer, 97 more intense storms), may have a different effect than changes in variability alone (which only 98 influences storm size without changing timing (Homyak et al., 2017; Winter et al., 2023). Recent 99 studies have shown that enhanced precipitation variability and intermittency can increase both 100 nitric oxide (NO) emissions and stream N export (Krichels et al., 2022; Winter et al., 2023), 101 however, most of these studies are event-based and the long-term, and cumulative effects of 102 altered precipitation regimes and their interactions with N deposition remain poorly understood. 103 A simulation modeling approach should be useful for identifying the threshold of 104 atmospheric N deposition at which a watershed transitions from kinetic to capacity saturation, 105 which would enable us to better project future N export. With this approach, we can directly 106 investigate how N export responds to temporal asynchrony between N availability and uptake; 107 for example, when the first rain event of a wet season flushes N while plants are not actively 108 growing (in a Mediterranean climate) vs. when the activation of rainy season overlaps with the 109 peak growing season in early spring (in a continental climate). We expect that when a watershed 110 only experiences kinetic saturation, there will be pronounced differences between these two 111 scenarios. Conversely, when a watershed is capacity N-saturated due to high N-deposition, total 112 N export will not change in response to the timing of precipitation.

113 Using a simulation modeling approach, we developed and tested our proposed metric for 114 identifying when a dryland watershed becomes "capacity N-saturated." Then using the new 115 metric, we developed N-limited and N-saturated scenarios to address two questions: (1) How do 116 changes in the precipitation regime, including the total amount of precipitation, its intra-annual 117 intermittency, interannual intermittency, and interannual variability influence watershed-scale N 118 export, and (2) How do these responses differ between N-limited and N-saturated watersheds? 119 These scenarios were conducted using the coupled ecohydrological-biogeochemical model 120 RHESSys (Tague & Band, 2004) in a dryland, chaparral-dominated watershed downwind of Los 121 Angeles, California that experiences high rates of N-deposition.

122 **2 Methods**

123 **2.1 Study site**

124 We developed modeling scenarios for the chaparral-dominated Bell 4 watershed in the 125 San Dimas Experimental Forest, located 50 km northeast of Los Angeles, California (34°12'N, $117^{\circ}47'$ W). This is a small watershed (0.14 km²) with elevations ranging from 700 to 1024 126 127 meters. The soils are shallow, coarse-textured sandy loams weathered from granitic parent 128 material (Chaney et al., 2016; Dunn et al., 1988); they classify as Typic or Lithic Xerorthents 129 (Hubbert et al., 2006; Ryan, 1991). The climate is characterized by hot and dry summers and 130 cool-humid winters. Mean annual precipitation is approximately 700 mm, with daily 131 temperatures ranging from -8°C in winter to 40 °C in summer. Vegetation on south-facing slopes 132 includes chamise (Adenostoma fasciculatum), California lilac (Ceanothus spp.), and black sage 133 (Salvia mellifera), while north-facing slopes are covered by ceanothus spp. and California laurel 134 (Umbellularia californica). Riparian areas are dominated by live oak (Ouercus agrifolia). Being

downwind from a major metropolitan area, Bell 4 experiences high N deposition rates, which
exceed 30 kg ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ (Benish et al., 2022; Bytnerowicz & Fenn, 1996).

137 2.2 RHESSys model

138 To investigate how precipitation regime changes affect N cycling and export in drylands 139 and how they are different between N-limited and N-saturated watersheds, we used the regional 140 hydro-ecologic simulation system (RHESSys). RHESSys is a spatially distributed model that 141 fully couples hydrological processes with biogeochemical processes, allowing it to simulate the 142 effects of climate and environmental change on C and N cycling and hydrologic conditions 143 (Garcia et al., 2016; Lin et al., 2015; Tague & Band, 2004). Recent model improvements have 144 enabled RHESSys to better-represent N cycling and transport in dryland watersheds (Burke et 145 al., 2021; Hanan et al., 2017, Ren et al., 2023, submitted). This includes refining nitrification 146 processes after wildfire in chaparral (Hanan et al., 2017), and representing biogeochemical 147 hotspots explicitly across a landscape (Ren et al., 2023, submitted). RHESSys has been 148 extensively evaluated in several dryland watersheds across the western US (Burke et al., 2021; 149 Chen et al., 2020; E. S. Garcia & Tague, 2015; Elizabeth S. Garcia et al., 2016; Hanan et al., 150 2017, 2021; Ren et al., 2021, 2022; Reyes et al., 2017; Stephens et al., 2022).

C and N cycling among vegetation, litter, and soil layers are simulated at a patch scale (the smallest spatial unit; 3-meter resolution in this study). Photosynthesis is calculated using the Farquhar model which is a function of stomatal conductance, radiation, nitrogen and carbon dioxide concentration, air temperature and atmospheric pressure (Farquhar & von Caemmerer, 1982). Plant respiration includes maintenance and growth respiration, which is estimated using Ryan. (1991) model. Carbon is then allocated to roots, stems, and leaves using an architecture (or age) based method (Dickinson et al., 1998). RHESSys has four litter pools and four soil pools

with different C:N ratios and decomposition rates. Decomposition is estimated based on a
defined maximum decomposition rate and constrained by soil moisture, soil temperature, and
nitrogen availability. N mineralization and immobilization are estimated using the C:N ratios of
the litter and soil pools when materials decompose from one pool to another (Hanan et al., 2017;
Tague & Band, 2004).

163 RHESSys calculates nitrification rates based on the CENTURY_{NGAS} model which is a 164 function of soil pH, soil moisture, soil temperature, and available soil ammonium (Parton, 1996). 165 A maximum denitrification rate is calculated as a function of the total available nitrate (NO_3^-) in 166 soil, and total soil carbon and nitrogen, and then the maximum rate is modified based on soil 167 moisture and soil respiration as a proxy for microbial abundance.

168 Soil moisture processes include four vertical layers, a surface detention store, a root zone 169 store, an unsaturated store, and a saturated store. At a daily timestep, the surface detention store 170 receives water from canopy throughfall and snowmelt (when present), and infiltrates into the soil 171 based on the Phillip (1957) infiltration equation. Overland flow is generated when the ponded 172 water is above the detention storage capacity. Water can percolate into a deeper ground water 173 store through bypass flow. Water drains from the unsaturated zone or root zone to the saturated 174 zone based on hydraulic conductivity and moves from the saturated zone to the unsaturated zone 175 or root zone based on the Eagleson (1978) equation. Subsurface lateral flow between patches 176 follows topographic gradients and soil hydraulic parameters such as saturation deficit and 177 transmissivity. N moves with these water fluxes based on its concentration (Tague & Band, 178 2004). Atmospherically deposited N enters the soil through infiltration from the surface 179 detention store. In the unsaturated zone, soil nitrate decreases exponentially with depth. In the

saturated zone, nitrate export follows a flushing hypothesis, where more soil N becomes
available for flushing to streams as the water table rises (Chen et al., 2020).

182 To account for sub-grid scale heterogeneity in vegetation cover, RHESSys can be run 183 using a new aspatial framework (Burke et al., 2021). In this new framework, "patch families" are 184 the smallest spatially explicit model unit, and "aspatial patches" nested within a patch family are 185 the smallest aspatial model unit. Aspatial patches do not have physical locations, but instead 186 represent a distribution of vegetation types based on observed or hypothetical distributions. 187 Local routing of water between aspatial patches within a patch family is based on the relative 188 moisture differences among aspatial patches in the rooting and unsaturated zones and mediated 189 by user-defined gaining and losing coefficients for each patch type (Burke et al., 2021). Local 190 routing in the saturated zone is based on the differences in the groundwater table and it carries 191 nitrate when exchanging water.

192 We also recently expanded the aspatial patch framework to incorporate the role of fine-193 scale biogeochemical "hotspots," represented as aspatial patches within each patch family— 194 these represent a distribution of microsites (e.g., soil aggregates) where biogeochemical cycling 195 can be hydrologically disconnected, as soils dry out, from other aspatial patches that contain 196 plant roots (Ren et al. 2023, submitted). Hotspots help drive kinetic N saturation by enabling N 197 to accumulate and subsequently be flushed from the system when soils are rewetted.-To model 198 hotspot dynamics, the framework includes: (1) model algorithms that enable hotspots to access 199 soil and litter C and N from neighboring non-hotspot patches for decomposition and 200 biogeochemical cycling, and (2) algorithms and parameters that control the moisture conditions 201 under which hotspots are hydrologically disconnected from other aspatial patches in the saturated 202 zone, (3) parameters that control water diffusion in the unsaturated and/or root zone between

hotspot and non-hotspot patches as soils dry out. For detailed descriptions of the RHESSys
model and the new hotspot framework, refer to Tague & Band, (2004) and Ren. et al (2023,
under review).

206 **2.3 Data**

207 To represent topography across the watershed, we used a 1-meter resolution digital 208 elevation model (DEM) from LiDAR aggregated to 10-meters (Ren et al., 2023, submitted). Soil 209 texture was delineated across the watershed using the POLARIS database (Chaney et al., 2016). 210 To map landcover across Bell 4, we aggregated 1-meter resolution land cover data from the 211 National Agriculture Imagery Program (NAIP; collected on June 5, 2016) to 3-meters. We then 212 classified three land cover types across the watershed: chaparral, live oak, and bare ground 213 (Maxwell et al., 2017). RHESSys patch families were established based on the 10-meter DEM, 214 while the aspatial patch vegetation distributions were classified based on the 3-meter NAIP data 215 (Ren et al., 2023, submitted). The Bell 4 basin contained 1259 patch families, with each patch 216 family having approximately 11 aspatial patches. We acquired meteorological forcing data from 217 1979 to 2020 from the gridMET, including daily maximum and minimum temperatures, 218 precipitation, relative humidity, radiation, and wind speed (Abatzoglou, 2013). Daily streamflow 219 data from 1980 to 2002 and stream N data from 1988 to 2000 were provided by U.S. Forest 220 Service (USFS).

221

2.4 Model initialization and calibration

To initialize soil C and N pools to steady state, we spun RHESSys up for three hundred years. Then to initialize vegetation C and N pools, we used a target-driven spin-up approach, which leverages remotely sensed LAI calculated from NAIP (at April 24, 2010) to set target values for each patch across the watershed; this enables us to spin the model up mechanistically

226 while still capturing landscape heterogeneity (Hanan et al., 2018). We then calibrated six soil 227 parameters using observed streamflow data: saturated hydraulic conductivity (K_{sat}), the decay of 228 K_{sat} with depth (m), pore size index (b), air entry pressure (ϕ), bypass flow to deeper 229 groundwater storage (gw1), and deep groundwater drainage rates to stream (gw2). We selected 230 the best parameters by comparing the observed and modeled streamflow using the monthly 231 Nash-Sutcliffe efficiency (NSE; Nash & Sutcliffe, 1970) and percent error of annual streamflow. 232 We used eight years of streamflow data from 1993 to 2002 for calibration and three years from 233 1980 to 1983 for validation. Following calibration, we designated one aspatial patch in each 234 patch family to represent hotspots, assuming that hotspots were evenly distributed across the 235 landscape. We then optimized the hotspot-related parameters (i.e., the water sharing coefficient 236 between aspatial patches and subsurface flow threshold) by comparing modeled and observed 237 streamflow N from 1988 to 2000. During the calibration, the monthly NSE of simulated 238 streamflow reached 0.88 with a percent error of 5.45%, while for the validation period, the 239 monthly NSE was 0.80 with a percent error of -3.92%. This suggests a close match between 240 modeled and measured streamflow timing and volume. By including hotspots in the model, we 241 also improved our ability to capture the timing of peak streamflow nitrate observations (NSE = 242 0.40). A more detailed description of initialization and calibration results can be found in Ren et 243 al. (2023, submitted).

244 2.4 Scenarios

245 2.4.1 Developing better metrics for N-saturation in dryland watersheds.

Because seasonal N export to streams is characteristic in dryland watersheds, even when they are not N-polluted (Homyak et al., 2014), we need to develop metrics that can identify when a watershed shifts from kinetic to capacity saturation (Lovett & Goodale, 2011). This requires

249 understanding how N deposition interacts with the timing of precipitation. To determine the N 250 deposition threshold at which a watershed becomes N-saturated, we built scenarios considering 251 interactions between the N deposition rate and precipitation seasonality over a period of 60 252 years. Because the observed meteorological forcing data spans only 40 years (water years 1980 253 to 2020), we repeated this data to construct the additional 20 years. This included three scenarios 254 for precipitation seasonality: a dry summer scenario (to match observations), a wet summer 255 scenario (to represent a more continental climate), and an evenly distributed scenario (Figure 1a). 256 The wet summer and evenly distributed scenarios were reconstructed from the observed 257 precipitation data by manipulating the duration of dry days and the timing of precipitation using 258 a method from Rodriguez-Iturbe et al. (1999) which will be introduced in detail in section 2.4.2. We also included 12 dry N deposition scenarios (including 0.05, 0.25, and 0.5 to 5 g m⁻² year⁻¹ at 259 an increment of 0.5 g m⁻² year⁻¹). This resulted in 36 scenarios for precipitation and N deposition 260 261 in a factorial design.

262 To determine the level of N deposition at which our watershed becomes N-saturated, we 263 examined N export for each scenario and determined the magnitude of N deposition where 264 export no longer varied under different precipitation seasonality scenarios. This approach 265 assumes that under kinetic saturation, dry summers would promote more N export because 266 rainfall occurs when plants are less active. We define the N deposition threshold above which the 267 watershed is capacity N saturated as the amount of N deposition required for mean normalized 268 streamflow N (i.e., annual streamflow N divided by the N deposition rate) in both the dry 269 summer and the evenly distributed scenarios to be above 90% of the mean normalized 270 streamflow in the dry winter scenario. Using this threshold, we then built two scenarios for N 271 saturation status: N-saturated and N-limited. This involved scaling N deposition up or down such

272 that the N saturated scenario had 100 times higher N deposition than the N-limited scenario. We 273 then used these scenarios for the following sensitivity analysis to examine how precipitation 274 intermittency and variability influence N export under saturated and unsaturated conditions. 275 2.4.2 Effects of intra-annual precipitation intermittency on N export 276 To understand the how intra-annual precipitation intermittency influence N export, we 277 used a stochastic precipitation generator, based on Rodriguez-Iturbe et al. (1999). Within a given 278 year, the occurrence and amount of total daily precipitation can be viewed as a stochastic 279 process. Specifically, the occurrence of rainfall is modeled as a **Poisson process with a rate** λ 280 (average rainfall frequency), and the amount of rainfall for each event is determined by a 281 random exponential distribution. As our model operates on a daily timestep, we did not consider 282 the temporal structure of rainfall within each event and instead assumed the precipitation 283 occurred instantaneously.

Based on these assumptions, the distribution of the length of dry days (τ) between precipitation events is an exponential distribution with a mean 1/ λ (the unit of λ is 1/day).

286 $f_{\tau}(\tau) = \lambda e^{-\lambda \tau}, \text{ for } \tau \ge 0$ Eq (1)

The amount of precipitation is an independent random variable h (*mm/day*), calculated by an exponential probability density function:

289
$$f_H(h) = \frac{1}{\alpha} e^{-\frac{h}{\alpha}}, \text{ for } h \ge 0$$
 Eq (2)

290 Where α is the mean of daily rainfall amount (mm/day) when precipitation occurs for a certain 291 year and can be estimated from the observed data.

292 The total amount of precipitation R (mm year⁻¹) for a given year can therefore be calculated as

293
$$R = h \times T/\tau$$
 Eq (3)

294 Where *T* is the total days for a rainy season.

We estimated the two parameters (λ_0 and α) for the stochastic model based on observed precipitation. Then we adjusted the rainfall frequency parameter $1/\lambda_0$ by a factor of 2 to 4 to increase the duration of dry days between rainfall events. Additionally, we adjusted the mean daily rainfall amount α to maintain consistent total precipitation amounts across different scenarios. In total, we developed five distinct intra-annual intermittency scenarios (Figure 1b).

300 To summarize, within one year, this method determines the number of dry days across a 301 rainy season and uses rainfall intensity and the number of days with precipitation (from 302 observations) to determine the size and timing of storms that occur between dry days. This 303 enables us to vary rainfall intermittency, while maintaining a fixed amount of precipitation for 304 each year. Then, to examine how precipitation intermittency interacts with the total amount of 305 precipitation (e.g., under drier vs. wetter futures), we developed five precipitation scalers for 306 each intermittency scenario (ranging from 0.6 to 1.4 at an increment of 0.2). Hereafter, we refer 307 to scenarios with precipitation scaling factors less than one as "drier future" scenarios and greater 308 than one as "wetter future" scenarios. By combing five precipitation scaling factor scenarios, 309 two N saturation scenarios, and five intra-annual intermittency scenarios, we generated a total of 310 40 different scenarios in a factorial design and ran the model for 60 years (Figure 2).

311 2.4.3 The effect of interannual intermittency on N export

To investigate the effects of interannual precipitation intermittency on N export, we used a stochastic precipitation generator that was similar to the one used for the intra-annual precipitation intermittency analysis. Specifically, we examined monthly precipitation data for a

315 period of 40 years (in total 480 months) and modeled both the amount of monthly precipitation 316 and duration of dry months as stochastic processes. We initially ignored the temporal structure of 317 precipitation within each month and calculated the two parameters $\lambda = 0$ (unit is 1/month) and α 318 (mm/month). We then downscaled the modeled monthly precipitation to a daily timestep based 319 on observed precipitation considering the temporal structure of rainfall events within a month. 320 To increase the interannual intermittency, we then manipulate the two parameters (λ and α) to 321 increase both the duration and the mean amount of monthly precipitation while maintaining 322 consistent total precipitation levels over the 40-year period (Figure 1d). Again, we built 40 323 scenarios by combining the five interannual intermittency scenarios with the previous four 324 precipitation scaling factors and the two N saturation scenarios and ran RHESSys for 60 years by 325 looping the 40 years reconstructed data.

326 2.4.4 Effect of interannual precipitation variability on N export

327 To understand the effects of interannual precipitation variability on N export, we adapted 328 methodology proposed by Gherardi & Sala (2015). We generated different scenarios for 329 interannual precipitation variability by manipulating the observed precipitation data. To increase 330 variability, we increased the annual precipitation amount in wet years and decreased it in dry 331 years (by 20%, 40%, 60% relative to the observed amounts). To decrease variability, we lessened 332 the amount of annual precipitation in wet years and increased it in dry years (by 20%, 40%, 60%) 333 and 80%). This approach enabled us to create scenarios with varying coefficients of variation 334 (CV) while keeping the total precipitation the same throughout the simulation period. This 335 resulted in eight interannual variability scenarios including a baseline scenario (Figure 1c). By 336 combing them with five total precipitation scaling factors, and two levels of N saturation (N-337 limited vs. N-saturated), we generated 80 factorial scenarios.



339 Figure 1. A summary of reconstructed precipitation data used. Panel a represents the 340 precipitation seasonality scenarios: dry summer, evenly distributed across the year, and wet 341 summer. The drv summer scenarios used observed precipitation data. Total precipitation and 342 inter-annual intermittency over the 40 years was consistent across three scenarios. Panel b represents the reconstructed precipitation data with different intra-annual intermittencies for a 343 344 period of 40 years, including the distribution of daily precipitation and dry days duration. The total amount of precipitation over 40 years was the same for all four precipitation intermittency 345 346 scenarios. Lambda (λ 0) is the frequency of observed rain events, and the x-axis shows an increase in intermittency. The comparison between observed and reconstructed precipitation of 347 $1/\lambda$ 0 is shown in Figure S1. Panel c represents reconstructed and observed precipitation for 348 349 different levels of interannual variability. The green horizontal line is the mean annual 350 precipitation from the observation data and the black dots are the observed annual precipitation. 351 Blue lines correspond with lower variability relative to observation, red lines correspond with 352 higher variability relative to observation. Panel d represents reconstructed precipitation data 353 with different interannual intermittencies for a period of 40 years, including the distribution of 354 daily precipitation amounts and the distribution of duration of dry days. The total amount of 355 precipitation over 40 years was the same for all five precipitation scenarios at a given precipitation scaling factor. Lambda (λ_0) is the frequency of reconstructed baseline rain events, 356 357 and the x-axis shows an increase in intermittency. Note that the y axis is in \log_2 scale to better 358 show extreme values. 359 To summarize, we developed two scenarios for N saturation status (N-saturated vs N-

```
360 limited), five precipitation scaling factors (0.6, 0.8, 1, 1.2, 1.4), and three sets of scenarios for
```

- 361 changes in precipitation timing. These changes include four intra-annual intermittency scenarios,
- 362 four interannual intermittency scenarios, and eight interannual variability scenarios. This resulted

363 in 160 factorial scenarios (Figure 2). We then calculated the normalized differences in N fluxes 364 for each precipitation regime relative to its baseline, defined as the lowest variability or 365 intermittency scenario for each precipitation scaling factor and N saturation status. For example, 366 in the N-limited scenarios, to compare N export among intra-annual intermittency scenarios at a 367 given precipitation scaling factor, we calculated differences between a given intermittency 368 scenario and the baseline $(1/\lambda \ 0)$. This resulted in 5 baseline intermittency scenarios (two drier, 369 two wetter, and a scenario with the baseline precipitation variability and total amount). The 370 combination of high precipitation scaling factors and high intermittency/variability can interact 371 to create some extreme storms that are historically unprecedented, though within the range of 372 possible future projections (Knapp et al., 2015). However, median storm sizes are well within the 373 range of historical variability for these semiarid systems; we focus on median values in our 374 discussion.





Figure 2. Summary of the scenarios developed to examine how interactions between N

- 377 *deposition and changes in precipitation regime affect N export. The number inside the*
- 378 *parenthesis indicates the number of corresponding scenarios.*
- **379 3 Results**

380 **3.1 A better metric for N saturation in drylands**

381 In scenarios with relatively low atmospheric N deposition (i.e., smaller than 1 g m⁻² year 382 ¹), the mean and distribution of annual streamflow N (over 60 years) varied depending on the 383 seasonality of precipitation, with the dry summer scenario resulting in the highest export and the 384 wet summer scenario resulting in the lowest (Figure 3 and Figure S2). However, as N deposition 385 increased, the watershed became less N-limited, leading to similar mean values and streamflow 386 N distributions across different precipitation seasonality scenarios. This can be attributed to the 387 fact that in a watershed with dry summers, the wet winter period can flush N to streams before 388 plants begin to take it up, whereas in watersheds with wet summers, N is consumed by plants 389 prior to leaching, resulting in less streamflow N export. Consequently, it can be inferred that

390 when the watershed is N-limited, the dry summer scenario would yield higher streamflow N 391 export than the dry winter scenario. Conversely, in an N-saturated watershed, the consumption of N by plants and microbes would have a much smaller effect on streamflow N export. Using this 392 logic, we identify an N deposition threshold of approximately 2 g m⁻² year⁻¹ above which the 393 394 watershed becomes N-saturated. At this threshold, the ratio of the normalized mean annual 395 streamflow N for the wet summer: dry summer scenarios was no smaller than 0.9 (the same was 396 true for the evenly distributed scenario; Figure 3). For the following scenarios, we selected 0.05 g m⁻² year⁻¹ and 5 g m⁻² year⁻¹ to represent extremes of N-limited and N-saturated systems, 397 respectively. 398



400 Figure 3. Ratio of the normalized mean annual streamflow N export between scenarios

399

401 (calculated as wet summer/dry summer (blue line) or even/dry summer (green line); values are

402 normalized by the N deposition rate). We selected an N saturated threshold where normalized
403 streamflow N in the wet summer scenario was no smaller than 90% of that observed in the dry

404 summer scenario. We used mean, rather than median values to account for extreme values.

405 **3.2** The effect of changing precipitation regimes on N export.

406 3.2.1 The effect of intra-annual precipitation intermittency on N export.

407 Streamflow N export increased with higher intra-annual intermittency, which alters both 408 the timing and magnitude of storms (Figure 4). Moreover, in N-limited scenarios, a higher total 409 precipitation scaling factor generally increased streamflow N export (Figure 4). However, in N-410 saturated scenarios, baseline conditions can lead to more streamflow N export (Figure 4a). 411 Higher intermittency implies longer dry periods and greater differences in precipitation amount 412 between dry and wet periods, despite the same total precipitation among scenarios over the 60-413 year simulation. This can increase soil N accumulation during dry periods while reducing denitrification and N uptake (model estimates of plant carbon declined from 6 kg m⁻² to around 4 414 415 kg m⁻² between the highest and lowest intermittency scenario, Figure S4b). As a result, more N is 416 flushed to streams during the wet periods. For both N-limited and N-saturated scenarios, 417 denitrification decreased with higher levels of intermittency (Figure 4 b and e), primarily due to 418 slower rates of decomposition caused by decreases in plant growth and litter production (Figure 419 S4a).



421 Figure 4. Sensitivity of cumulative N fluxes over 60 years (absolute value) to intra-annual

422 precipitation intermittency for N-saturated and N-limited scenarios. The x axis is the duration of

423 *dry days between rainfall event, larger values represent higher intra-annual intermittencies.*

424 Streamflow N export and denitrification were more sensitive to intra-annual precipitation 425 intermittency in N-limited scenarios than in N-saturated scenarios, while plant N uptake was 426 more sensitive to intra-annual intermittency in N saturated scenarios (Figure 5). For example, plant C declined 12% (from 3.9 to 3.4 kg m⁻²) in N-limited scenarios but declined 27.5% (from 427 428 5.8 to 4.2 kg m⁻²) in N-saturated ones (Figure S4a). The declines in plant carbon with higher 429 intra-annual intermittency were smaller in N-limited scenarios because plants can be limited by 430 both N and water, and changing water availability does not matter as much in N-limited as it 431 does in N saturated scenarios, where once N limitation was alleviated, vegetation growth became 432 more limited by water availability. In addition, streamflow N export increased with higher levels 433 of intra-annual intermittency, while denitrification decreased (Figure 5a, b). This suggests

434 increases in intra-annual intermittency can increase N export to streams while decreasing N435 losses to the atmosphere.

In N-saturated scenarios, streamflow N export was most sensitive to variation in intraannual intermittency under a precipitation scaling factor of 0.8, while in N-limited scenarios,
scaling factors of 0.6 or 1 were the most sensitive (Figure 5a, b). In N-limited scenarios, the 0.6
scaling factor showed the strongest exponential increases in streamflow N export with increasing
intermittency, indicating that the drier future scenarios have the largest sensitivity to
intermittency changes.

442 Denitrification in the wetter future scenarios decreased more with increasing intra-annual 443 precipitation variability than in the drier future scenarios because total denitrification was higher 444 in wetter baseline intermittency scenarios $(1/\lambda_0)$. With greater intra-annual intermittency, these 445 decreases were larger (Figure 5b, e). By contrast, in dry scenarios, plant N uptake decreased 446 slightly more with increasing intra-annual intermittency than in wetter scenarios, but the 447 magnitude was relatively small compared to streamflow N export and denitrification ((Figure 5c, 448 f).



450 *Figure 5. Sensitivity of cumulative N fluxes over 60 years to intra-annual precipitation*

451 *intermittency for N-saturated and N-limited scenarios (normalized differences relative to*

452 baseline (1/ λ 0). The x-axis is intermittency, the y-axis is normalized change of other

453 intermittencies relative to their baseline intermittency scenarios $(1/\lambda \ 0)$ for every precipitation

454 scaling factor (different precipitation scaling factor scenarios have different baseline

455 *intermittency scenarios). The top panels are N-saturated and bottom panels are N-limited*456 *scenarios.*

457 3.2.2 The effects of interannual precipitation intermittency on N export.

458 Greater interannual intermittency and higher levels of precipitation increased streamflow 459 N export and this effect was consistent across both N-limited and N-saturated scenarios (Figure 460 6). Denitrification exhibited two distinct responses to interannual intermittency. First, when the 461 intermittency exceeded $2/\lambda$ 0, there was a significant increase in denitrification, which occurred 462 because higher interannual intermittency corresponded with more precipitation per rainfall event, 463 resulting in higher soil moisture levels across the landscape and triggering denitrification in nonhotspot patches (Figure 6b, e). However, denitrification started decreasing once interannual 464 intermittency was larger than $3/\lambda$ 0. At the highest interannual intermittency levels, increasing 465 466 the duration of dry months decreased denitrification to a greater extent than larger storms

increased it. Plant uptake generally decreased with higher levels of interannual intermittency,
which occurred because long-term drought slowed plant growth (Figure S4b). It is worth noting
that the magnitude of streamflow N and denitrification in interannual intermittency scenarios is
much larger than that of the scenarios for intra-annual intermittency (Figure 4 and Figure 6).



472 Figure 6. Sensitivity of cumulative N fluxes over 60 years (absolute value) to interannual
473 precipitation intermittency for N-saturated and N-limited scenarios. The x-axis is the duration of
474 dry days between rainfall events. Interannual intermittency increases from left to right.



482 showed greater changes. This occurred because in N-limited scenarios, drier scenarios had less 483 denitrification and plant uptake, resulting in more N available to be flushed to the stream. It 484 worth noting that in the N-limited scenario, interannual precipitation intermittency caused the 485 largest changes in streamflow N export compared to intra-annual intermittency and interannual 486 variability.



487

488 Figure 7. Sensitivity of cumulative N fluxes over 60 years to interannual precipitation

489 *intermittency for N-saturated and N-limited scenarios (differences are relative to baseline* 490 *intermittency; 1/\lambda 0). The x-axis is intermittency, the v-axis is normalized change of other*

491 intermittencies relative to their baseline intermittency scenarios $(1/\lambda \ 0)$ for every precipitation

492 scaling factor. The top panels are N limited and the bottom panels are N saturated scenarios.

493 Note that the scale of y-axis for changes in streamflow N is different for N-saturated and N-

494 *limited scenarios.*

495 3.2.3 The effect of interannual precipitation variability on N export

- 496 In general, scenarios with higher precipitation variance and wetter scaling factors resulted
- 497 in more streamflow N export (Figure 8). However, for wetter future scenarios, a precipitation
- 498 scaling factor of 1.2 (rather than 1.4) resulted in the highest streamflow N export (Figure 8a, d).

This suggests there is a threshold of precipitation increase above which higher flushing capacity is compensated by less available N for flushing with higher denitrification and plant uptake. This can occur because more precipitation can cause higher denitrification and plant N uptake, which can reduce the amount of N available for flushing. Moreover, denitrification rates increased with higher precipitation variance and a higher precipitation scaling factor (Figure 8b, e). Notably, both streamflow N export and denitrification rates were higher in N-saturated compared to Nlimited scenarios, due to greater nitrate inputs in N-saturated scenarios.



Figure 8. Cumulative N fluxes over 60 years (absolute value) relative to interannual
precipitation variability and scaling factors for N-limited and N-saturated scenarios. The x axis
is the coefficient of variation for annual precipitation, the y axis is the cumulative N fluxes over
60 years.

511 The sensitivity of N fluxes to precipitation variability differed between N-limited and N-512 saturated scenarios and was also affected by the precipitation scaling factors (i.e., drier vs. wetter 513 futures; Figure 9). Streamflow N export was more sensitive to precipitation variability in N-514 limited than in N-saturated scenarios, particularly for the drier future scenarios (Figure 9a, d), 515 while denitrification showed the opposite trend (Figure 9b, e). The magnitude of sensitivity for 516 plant N uptake was similar between N-limited and N-saturated scenarios, but the direction of 517 effects (i.e., increases or decreases) differed and was affected by the precipitation scaling factor. 518 In the N-limited scenarios, plant N uptake decreased with precipitation variability in drier future 519 scenarios but increased in wetter future scenarios, suggesting that higher precipitation variability 520 can increase plant growth when there is more water available, even if the watershed is N-limited. 521 On the other hand, higher precipitation variability and water stress will suppress plant growth. In 522 N-saturated scenarios, plant N uptake generally decreased with higher precipitation variability, 523 except in some drier scenarios with smaller variability. This suggests that in N-saturated 524 watersheds, less precipitation combined with moderately higher variability can promote plant 525 growth to some extent.



Figure 9. Sensitivity of cumulative N fluxes over 60 years to interannual precipitation variability
for N-saturated and N-limited scenarios (differences are relative to the baseline variability

529 scenario which is 0.11). The x axis is the coefficient of variation for annual precipitation. The y
axis is the normalized change in other variances relative to the baseline variability scenario (CV
is 0.11) for every precipitation scaling factor (different precipitation scaling factor scenarios
have different 0.1 variance baseline scenario). The top panels are N-saturated and the bottom

533 panels are N-limited scenarios.

534 **4 Discussion**

535 Over the last century, atmospheric N deposition and climate change have increased both 536 greenhouse gas emissions (e.g., NO and nitrous oxide; N₂O) and stream nitrate export from 537 many dryland watersheds in western North America (Groffman, 2012; Homyak et al., 2016; 538 Krichels et al., 2022). Because these gaseous and hydrologic N fluxes can exacerbate global 539 climate change, decrease aquatic biodiversity, and harm human health (Galloway et al., 2003; 540 Gustine et al., 2022; Meyer et al., 2022), it is important to be able to predict how they will 541 change in the future. In drylands, N export is highly sensitive to both N deposition rates and 542 precipitation variability (Welter et al., 2005; Ye & Grimm, 2013); interannual precipitation 543 variability and intermittency are both projected to increase in drylands under future climate 544 change (Pörtner et al., 2022). However, our ability to model and predict future N export remains 545 limited, particularly in response to these interacting drivers. 546 Previous research has mainly focused on how the amount of precipitation in storm events 547 can affect ecosystem function (e.g., Jarvis et al., 2007; Kennedy et al., 2021; Stephens et al., 548 2020; Ye & Grimm, 2013); fewer studies have also explored the cumulative effects of 549 precipitation variability across multiple decades (D'Odorico et al., 2003; Gherardi & Sala, 2015; 550 Jiang et al., 2019; Porporato et al., 2003). Further research is needed to examine how different 551 types of precipitation intensification (e.g., increasing intermittency vs. variability) will influence 552 biogeochemical cycling, and to investigate how these effects can differ between N-limited and 553 N-saturated watersheds. In this study, we conducted a modeling analysis to understand how N

saturation status, precipitation intermittency, variability, and the total amount of precipitation can

interact to influence N export in a dryland watershed in California. We found that streamflow N was more sensitive to intensification of the precipitation regime in N-limited than N-limited scenarios, whereas the opposite was true for denitrification. Furthermore, changes in interannual precipitation intermittency had the largest effect on streamflow N and denitrification, suggesting that N export may become an even greater threat to water quality when prolonged drought is followed by more intense storm events.

561 **4.1 Identifying N deposition thresholds for capacity saturation**

562 To distinguish between kinetic (i.e., seasonal) and capacity (i.e., long-term) N saturation 563 (Lovett & Goodale 2011), we developed a simulation modeling approach that quantifies 564 watershed responses to N deposition under different rainfall seasonality regimes. By identifying 565 the amount of N deposition required for precipitation regimes to no longer modify N export, we 566 can approximate when N deposition has truly exceeded the capacity for plants and microbes to 567 take it up. This approach assumes that kinetic N saturation is more sensitive to precipitation 568 seasonality and timing, which affects plant and microbial N assimilation, while capacity N 569 saturation is less sensitive.

570 We found that the Bell 4 watershed can become capacity saturated when N deposition reaches 2 g m⁻² year⁻¹ over about 40 years (Figure 3). This suggests that the watershed—which 571 has a current mean N deposition rate greater than 2 g m⁻² year⁻¹—has already approached 572 573 capacity saturation. It is important to note that the threshold we identified is location-specific and 574 is likely to vary with the size, vegetation cover, and climate of a given watershed (Dijkstra et al., 2004; Yu et al., 2018). On average, N deposition is around 0.7 g m⁻² year⁻¹ in dryland watersheds 575 576 globally and rates are expected to double by 2050 (Benish et al., 2022; Galloway et al., 2008; 577 Kanakidou et al., 2016). Given these increases, many other dryland watersheds could begin to

578 exceed the N deposition thresholds required to shift from a kinetic to capacity saturation in the 579 coming decades. These shifts can pose a major threat to water quality, aquatic ecosystems, and 580 human health. Our study provides a useful modeling approach that can be applied to other 581 watersheds to determine N deposition thresholds for establishing capacity N saturation.

582

4.2 The role of N saturation status

583 Does an increase in N deposition lead to greater N export or N uptake? It is essential to 584 distinguish between N-saturated and N-limited watersheds to unravel how changes in the total 585 amount of precipitation and its intermittency or variability will influence watershed processes 586 (Rudgers et al., 2023). In our model setup, N deposition was 100 times higher in N-saturated 587 than in N-limited scenarios. However, the N-saturated/N-limited ratios for various N fluxes (i.e., 588 streamflow N, denitrification, N uptake) were all smaller than 100 and varied among scenarios 589 (Figure S5). This suggests that N partitioning and soil N storage also changed in response to N-590 deposition. Not surprisingly, streamflow N had the highest N-saturated/N-limited ratios, ranging 591 from 15 to 80. Denitrification and N uptake, on the other hand, only experienced modest 1-2-fold 592 increases in response to N saturation (Figure S5). Because most atmospherically deposited N is 593 exported to streams, projected decreases in streamflow (Ficklin et al., 2022; Stephens et al., 594 2020) could lead to even higher streamflow nitrate concentrations, particularly under increased 595 interannual precipitation variability (Gallo et al., 2015; Ye & Grimm, 2013).

As precipitation regimes become more intermittent and/or variable, N-limited watersheds can retain less N in soil (Winter et al., 2023) and N saturated watershed can become more saturated or retain more N in soil . In N-limited scenarios, total N export was 25 times higher than the rate of atmospheric N deposition over the 60-year simulation period. This occurred in large part due to declines in plant productivity and N uptake. In N-saturated scenarios, on the

601 other hand, the ratio between N inputs and outputs was consistently less than one, with 602 approximately 20% to 60% of the atmospheric N deposition being exported over the 60-year 603 simulation period (Figure 10). The highest N export transfer efficiencies (calculated as the ratio 604 between total N export and N deposition) occurred with high interannual intermittency, while 605 high intra-annual intermittency produced the lowest. Additionally, transfer efficiency can 606 become even higher in a wetter future due to increases in streamflow. Thus, in N-limited 607 scenarios (particularly in a wetter future), increases in precipitation interannual intermittency can 608 increase N export efficiency, thereby reducing N retention capacity in soil. However, our result 609 should be interpreted with care since 25 times higher N transfer efficiency will eventually 610 deplete the N in soil. Conversely, in N-saturated scenarios, a drier future will have lower transfer 611 efficiencies, which can intensify N saturation. This is corroborated by a recent meta-analysis 612 globally, which found that reduced precipitation can increase soil N storage over long-term 613 studies, particularly precipitation decreases by more than 25% (Wu et al., 2022).



- 615 *Figure 10. The ratio of total N export (streamflow N and denitrification) to N deposition and its*
- 616 response to precipitation regime changes. For N-saturated scenarios, the N deposition rate was 617 5 g m^{-2} year⁻¹, for N-limited scenarios, it was 0.05 g m⁻² year⁻¹. Ratios larger than 1 indicates N
- 017 5 g m year, jor 10-innited scenarios, it was 0.05 g m year. Ratios targer than 1
- 618 *outputs are larger than N inputs and vice versa.*
- 619 **4.3 The role of changing precipitation regimes**

620 Recent studies have found that precipitation amount and variability both play an 621 important role in driving ecosystem responses to climate change (Gherardi & Sala, 2015, 2019; 622 Jiang et al., 2019; Rudgers et al., 2023). Here we extend those studies to also examine the role of 623 precipitation intermittency and focus on how it affects streamflow N export. In our experimental 624 setup, we ensured water balance among all scenarios (e.g., scenarios with high vs. low 625 interannual intermittency at a given amount of total precipitation had the same total rainfall over 626 the 60-year simulation period). Thus, longer droughts were followed by more precipitation after 627 drought. Thus interannual intermittency scenarios varied both the timing of storms and their 628 magnitude, whereas interannual precipitation variability scenarios only varied the relative 629 magnitude of storms (e.g., some become larger and some become smaller with increasing 630 variability; Figure 1c). We found that increases in interannual intermittency produced the largest 631 increases (with the greatest variance) in streamflow N among precipitation regime scenarios 632 (Figure 11a). Conversely, interannual variability had the smallest effect on streamflow N. These 633 findings suggest that prolonged drought followed by larger, more intense storms can have the 634 strongest effect on streamflow N. This occurs because multi-year droughts that occur with 635 greater intermittency can reduce N uptake by plants and enable N to accumulate in soils 636 (Krichels et al., 2022; Winter et al., 2023). Subsequent storms then flush accumulated N to streams before plants can take it up. 637

638 Denitrification exhibited the most substantial increases with increasing interannual
 639 intermittency, whereas it slightly decreased with increasing intra-annual intermittency (Figure

640 11b). This pattern arose because denitrification is strongly influenced by soil moisture and 641 therefore the amount of precipitation in storm events (Homyak et al., 2016). Increases in both 642 interannual intermittency and variability had large effects on the size of individual storms, while 643 increases in intra-annual intermittency had relatively smaller effects.

644 Higher precipitation intra-annual and interannual intermittency and variability can both 645 reduce plant growth and corresponding N uptake, but increases in interannual intermittency, 646 which lead to fewer, more intense storms, exert a stronger influence than changes in variability 647 alone (which only affects the relative size of storms without changing their timing). These 648 findings have important implications for designing field and laboratory experiments aimed at 649 understanding plant responses to changing precipitation regimes. Such experiments should not 650 only consider the important roles of storm size and variance (as identified by Gherardi & Sala 651 (2015) and Rudgers et al. (2023)), but should also incorporate intermittency as a key driver.





653 *Figure 11. The distribution of ratios of highest intermittency/variability to lowest for different N*

654 *fluxes. For intermittency this is the ratio between 4/lambda_0 and 1/lambda_0, for variability*

this is the ratio between 0.89 CV and 0.11 CV. The distribution consists of outputs from all N

656 saturation and precipitation scaling factor scenarios and the variance of distribution indicates

657 how sensitive these N fluxes were to intra-annual intermittency, interannual intermittency, and 658 interannual variability. Note that the y-axis for panel a is on a different scale than for panels b

659 and c. The red dashed line represents a ratio of 1, above which N fluxes increases with

659 and c. The real dushed line represents a ratio of 1, above which is juases increases which is transition proving and below 1 indicates a decrease.

660 *intensified precipitation regime and below 1 indicates a decrease.*

661 **4.4 The role of total precipitation amount in N-limited systems**

662Does more total precipitation result in higher N export? When transitioning from drier to663wetter future scenarios, denitrification was the most affected, followed by streamflow N, while664plant N uptake was least affected (Figure 12 d, e, and f). With a 2.3 fold increase of precipitation665(from a 0.6 to a 1.4 scaling factor), median denitrification increased approximately 5 to 7 fold,666and this response was slightly greater in N saturated scenarios compared to N-limited scenarios667(Figure 12e). This finding aligns with the fact that denitrification is strongly influenced by soil668moisture and available nitrate (Poblador et al., 2017). Denitrification is also strongly influenced

by soil C (represented as a function of soil respiration in RHESSys), which was higher in wetter

670 future and N-saturated scenarios. Conversely, streamflow N in N-limited scenarios was more 671 responsive to changes in the precipitation scaling factor than in N-saturated scenarios (Figure 672 12d). In N-limited scenarios, streamflow N increased the precipitation scaling factor, reaching 673 approximately 3.5 times higher than baseline in scenarios with a scaling factor of 1.2. However, 674 it reached an asymptote once the scaling factor exceeded 1.2. This suggests that, for N-limited 675 scenarios, increases in total precipitation do not necessarily translate into higher streamflow N 676 because additional water can enhance denitrification, plant N uptake, and reduce nitrification. 677 Because the effects of total precipitation on streamflow N export are non-linear, it can be 678 challenging to predict N export as precipitation regimes continue to change, particularly in N-679 limited watersheds (Harms & Grimm, 2008; Homyak et al., 2016).

680 Changes in the amount of precipitation can also interact with N saturation status to 681 modify various N fluxes. For example, a higher precipitation scaling factor enhanced the N 682 saturation effect on denitrification and its variability (Figure 12h). Alternatively, in drier future 683 scenarios the ratio between N-saturated to N-limited denitrification was smaller than 1 (Figure 684 12h), suggesting that a drier future can largely inhibit (or even reverse) the N saturation effect, 685 even with 100 times higher N deposition (Wu et al., 2022). With respect to streamflow N, a 686 higher precipitation scaling factor reduced the effects of N saturation and its variability (Figure 687 12g). This aligns with predictions that a drier future would lead to greater N export to streams 688 and a lower flux to the atmosphere through denitrification (Cregger et al., 2014). Our findings 689 also corroborate recent studies showing that interactions between N deposition and the total 690 amount of precipitation drive N export in drylands (Li et al., 2022).

Although increases or decreases in the total amount of precipitation had smaller effectson N fluxes than increases in intermittency and/or variability, they interacted with precipitation

35

693 timing to amplify or attenuate their effects. In N-limited scenarios, streamflow N export 694 increased with higher intermittency and variability, but a drier future exaggerated this response 695 whereas a wetter future dampened it (e.g., Figure 5d, Figure 7d, and Figure 9d). Although a 696 wetter future may lead to greater overall streamflow N export (e.g., Figure 8d), a drier future can 697 result in more substantial increases in streamflow N export (Figure 9d). Furthermore, even minor 698 decreases in the precipitation scaling factor could substantially increase streamflow N (e.g., 699 Figure 9d and Figure 12g; 0.8 and 0.6 precipitation scaling factors). Thus, the total amount of 700 precipitation can play a critical threshold role in driving how N fluxes respond to increases in 701 precipitation variability and timing (Ficklin et al., 2022).



702

Figure 12. The effect of drier or wetter conditions on N fluxes. The top panels a, b, and c

represent how cumulative N fluxes over 60 years change with precipitation scaling factor. Each

705 *distribution contains both N saturation statuses and all precipitation regime changes. The*

706 *middle panels of d, e, and f represent the ratio of fluxes between precipitation scaling factors*

larger than 0.6 and the driest scaling factor (i.e., 0.6). The bottom panels g, h and i represent the ratio of fluxes in N-saturated and N-limited conditions and how they vary with the precipitation

708 ratio of fluxes in N-saturated and N-timited conditions and now they vary with the precipitatio

scaling factor. The dashed blue line denotes a ratio equal to 1.

710 **4.5 Study implications**

711 Predicting future N export in drylands requires considering interaction between hotspots

712 (defined as wetter microsites in the soil that have disproportionately high rates of

713 biogeochemical cycling) and hot moments (defined as wet periods after a prolonged dry spell) 714 rather than treating them as separate entities (Groffman et al., 2009; Kuzyakov & Blagodatskaya, 715 2015; Pinay et al., 2015). Our study revealed that the interannual intermittency exhibited the 716 largest influence on streamflow N and denitrification. Interannual intermittency scenarios 717 incorporated increases in both the timing and magnitude of storms (with the same total 718 precipitation over the simulation period for a given precipitation multiplier). Following 719 prolonged drought, large storms can be viewed as hot moments. Once the amount of 720 precipitation during these hot moments surpassed a certain threshold (e.g., $2/\lambda$ in Figure 7e), 721 denitrification was activated in patches across the basin that were not designated as microscale 722 hotspots. This generated a more dynamic distribution of "hotspots" due to the timing of hot 723 moments. To better account for the interdependence between hotspots and hot moments, 724 Bernhardt et al. (2017) proposed a new term more comprehensive term: "ecosystem control 725 points." This new term incorporates both spatial and temporal dynamics instead of addressing 726 them independently (Bernhardt et al., 2017). Our research in a dryland chaparral watershed 727 illustrates how ecosystem control points drive N export under a range of future scenarios.

728 Our modeling framework considered interactions between N saturation status and several 729 ways that precipitation regimes can change. This framework can serve as a tool for 730 understanding the specific mechanisms driving future N export under climate change. For 731 example, our model framework highlights the importance of considering the role of interannual 732 intermittency (not just variability) when examining how future precipitation will influence N 733 fluxes. This approach can help researcher determine the interannual intermittency thresholds that 734 trigger substantial increases in denitrification, which can in turn help them design precipitation 735 manipulation experiments with appropriate intermittency levels.

38

736 **5** Conclusion

737 We developed a comprehensive modeling framework that incorporates the role of both N 738 deposition and intensification of the precipitation regime (i.e., the total amount, intermittency, 739 and variability) in driving N export in dryland ecosystems. We identified a critical N deposition threshold of around 2 g m⁻² year⁻¹ beyond which the watershed shifts from N-limited to N-740 741 saturated. Our findings reveal that streamflow N export in N-limited watersheds is more sensitive 742 to changes in the timing of precipitation compared to N-saturated watersheds, whereas 743 denitrification in N-saturated watersheds was more responsive to changes in precipitation timing 744 in N-saturated watersheds. Additionally, we found that a drier future exaggerated the effects of 745 precipitation timing on N export, while there was no uniform response under a wetter future. 746 Notably, among the various precipitation regime changes, interannual intermittency caused the 747 largest changes in N export compared to other characteristics of the precipitation regime. Our 748 modeling framework helps disentangle the key drivers of N fluxes amid complex interactions 749 between N saturation and precipitation in dryland watersheds. We found that interannual rainfall 750 intermittency enables solutes like nitrate to build up in hotspots and then be flushed to streams 751 with subsequent intense storms—thus as rainfall intermittency and associated droughts continue 752 to increase, N export will become an even greater threat to water security.

753 6 Acknowledgments

This project was supported by National Science Foundation of the United States under award
number DEB-1916658. We thank Tom Dilts for helping with preparing input maps and data of
RHESSys. We thank Pete Wohlgemuth for helping with streamflow data processing and

757 model calibration.

39

758 Data Availability Statement

- 759 The data sets used to run simulations for this study can be found in the Open Science Forum:
- 760 https://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/7QTXV, and the model code can be found on GitHub:
- 761 https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7754375.

762 **References**

- Abatzoglou, J. T. (2013). Development of gridded surface meteorological data for ecological
 applications and modelling. *International Journal of Climatology*, *33*(1), 121–131.
- 765 https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.3413
- Aber, J. D., Nadelhoffer, K. J., Steudler, P., & Melillo, J. M. (1989). Nitrogen Saturation in
- Northern Forest Ecosystems: Excess nitrogen from fossil fuel combustion may stress the
 biosphere. *BioScience*, *39*(6), 378–386. https://doi.org/10.2307/1311067
- 769 Allen, M. R., & Ingram, W. J. (2002). Constraints on future changes in climate and the
- 770 hydrologic cycle. *Nature*, *419*(6903), 224–232. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature01092
- 771 Benish, S. E., Bash, J. O., Foley, K. M., Appel, K. W., Hogrefe, C., Gilliam, R., & Pouliot, G.
- 772 (2022). Long-term regional trends of nitrogen and sulfur deposition in the United States
- from 2002 to 2017. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, *22*(19), 12749–12767.
- 774 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-22-12749-2022
- 775 Bernhardt, E. S., Blaszczak, J. R., Ficken, C. D., Fork, M. L., Kaiser, K. E., & Seybold, E. C.
- 776 (2017). Control Points in Ecosystems: Moving Beyond the Hot Spot Hot Moment
- 777 Concept. *Ecosystems*, 20(4), 665–682. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-016-0103-y
- 778 Burke, W. D., Tague, C., Kennedy, M. C., & Moritz, M. A. (2021). Understanding How Fuel
- 779 Treatments Interact With Climate and Biophysical Setting to Affect Fire, Water, and

- 780 Forest Health: A Process-Based Modeling Approach. Frontiers in Forests and Global
- 781 *Change*, *3*. https://doi.org/10.3389/ffgc.2020.591162
- 782 Bytnerowicz, A., & Fenn, M. E. (1996). Nitrogen deposition in California forests: a review.
- 783 Environmental Pollution, Vol. 92(2): 127-146. Retrieved from
- 784 https://www.fs.usda.gov/research/treesearch/24944
- 785 Chaney, N. W., Wood, E. F., McBratney, A. B., Hempel, J. W., Nauman, T. W., Brungard, C.
- W., & Odgers, N. P. (2016). POLARIS: A 30-meter probabilistic soil series map of the
- 787 contiguous United States. *Geoderma*, 274, 54–67.
- 788 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2016.03.025
- 789 Chen, X., Tague, C. L., Melack, J. M., & Keller, A. A. (2020). Sensitivity of nitrate
- concentration-discharge patterns to soil nitrate distribution and drainage properties in the
 vertical dimension. *Hydrological Processes*, *34*(11), 2477–2493.
- 792 https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.13742
- 793 Cregger, M. A., McDowell, N. G., Pangle, R. E., Pockman, W. T., & Classen, A. T. (2014). The
- 794 impact of precipitation change on nitrogen cycling in a semi-arid ecosystem. *Functional*
- *Ecology*, *28*(6), 1534–1544. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.12282
- 796 Dentener, F., Drevet, J., Lamarque, J. F., Bey, I., Eickhout, B., Fiore, A. M., et al. (2006).
- 797 Nitrogen and sulfur deposition on regional and global scales: A multimodel evaluation.
- 798 *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 20(4). https://doi.org/10.1029/2005GB002672
- 799 Dickinson, R. E., Shaikh, M., Bryant, R., & Graumlich, L. (1998). Interactive Canopies for a
- 800 Climate Model. Journal of Climate, 11(11), 2823–2836. https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-
- 801 0442(1998)011<2823:ICFACM>2.0.CO;2

802	Dijkstra, F. A., Hobbie, S. E., Knops, J. M. H., & Reich, P. B. (2004). Nitrogen deposition and
803	plant species interact to influence soil carbon stabilization. Ecology Letters, 7(12), 1192-
804	1198. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2004.00679.x
805	D'Odorico, P., Laio, F., Porporato, A., & Rodriguez-Iturbe, I. (2003). Hydrologic controls on
806	soil carbon and nitrogen cycles. II. A case study. Advances in Water Resources, 26(1),
807	59-70. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0309-1708(02)00095-7
808	Dunn, P. H., Barro, S. C., Wells, W. G., Poth, M. A., Wohlgemuth, P. M., & Colver, C. G.
809	(1988). The San Dimas experimental forest: 50 years of research (No. PSW-GTR-104)
810	(p. PSW-GTR-104). Berkeley, CA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service,
811	Pacific Southwest Forest and Range Experiment Station. https://doi.org/10.2737/PSW-
812	GTR-104
813	Eagleson, P. S. (1978). Climate, soil, and vegetation: 3. A simplified model of soil moisture
814	movement in the liquid phase. Water Resources Research, 14(5), 722-730.
815	https://doi.org/10.1029/WR014i005p00722
816	Farquhar, G. D., & von Caemmerer, S. (1982). Modelling of Photosynthetic Response to
817	Environmental Conditions. In O. L. Lange, P. S. Nobel, C. B. Osmond, & H. Ziegler
818	(Eds.), Physiological Plant Ecology II: Water Relations and Carbon Assimilation (pp.
819	549-587). Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-68150-9_17
820	Ficklin, D. L., Null, S. E., Abatzoglou, J. T., Novick, K. A., & Myers, D. T. (2022).
821	Hydrological Intensification Will Increase the Complexity of Water Resource
822	Management. Earth's Future, 10(3), e2021EF002487.
823	https://doi.org/10.1029/2021EF002487

- Fischer, E. M., Beyerle, U., & Knutti, R. (2013). Robust spatially aggregated projections of
- 825 climate extremes. *Nature Climate Change*, *3*(12), 1033–1038.
- 826 https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2051
- 827 Gallo, E. L., Meixner, T., Aoubid, H., Lohse, K. A., & Brooks, P. D. (2015). Combined impact
- 828 of catchment size, land cover, and precipitation on streamflow and total dissolved
- 829 nitrogen: A global comparative analysis. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 29(7), 1109–
- 830 1121. https://doi.org/10.1002/2015GB005154
- 831 Galloway, J. N., Aber, J. D., Erisman, J. W., Seitzinger, S. P., Howarth, R. W., Cowling, E. B.,
- 832 & Cosby, B. J. (2003). The Nitrogen Cascade. *BioScience*, *53*(4), 341–356.
- 833 https://doi.org/10.1641/0006-3568(2003)053[0341:TNC]2.0.CO;2
- Galloway, J. N., Townsend, A. R., Erisman, J. W., Bekunda, M., Cai, Z., Freney, J. R., et al.
- 835 (2008). Transformation of the Nitrogen Cycle: Recent Trends, Questions, and Potential
 836 Solutions. *Science*, *320*(5878), 889–892. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1136674
- 837 Garcia, E. S., & Tague, C. L. (2015). Subsurface storage capacity influences climate-
- 838 evapotranspiration interactions in three western United States catchments. *Hydrology and*
- 839 *Earth System Sciences*, 19(12), 4845–4858. https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-19-4845-2015
- 840 Garcia, Elizabeth S., Tague, C. L., & Choate, J. S. (2016). Uncertainty in carbon allocation
- 841 strategy and ecophysiological parameterization influences on carbon and streamflow
- 842 estimates for two western US forested watersheds. *Ecological Modelling*, *342*, 19–33.
- 843 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2016.09.021
- 844 Gherardi, L. A., & Sala, O. E. (2015). Enhanced precipitation variability decreases grass- and
- 845 increases shrub-productivity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 112(41),
- 846 12735–12740. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1506433112

847	Gherardi, L. A., & Sala, O. E. (2019). Effect of interannual precipitation variability on dryland
848	productivity: A global synthesis. Global Change Biology, 25(1), 269-276.
849	https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14480
850	Groffman, P. M. (2012). Terrestrial denitrification: challenges and opportunities. Ecological
851	Processes, 1(1), 1-11. https://doi.org/10.1186/2192-1709-1-11
852	Groffman, P. M., Butterbach-bahl, K., Fulweiler, R. W., Gold, A. J., Morse, J. L., Stander, E. K.,
853	et al. (2009). Challenges to incorporating spatially and temporally explicit phenomena

- 854 (hotspots and hot moments) in denitrification models. *Biogeochemistry*, 93(1-2), 49-77.
- 855 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-008-9277-5
- 856 Gustine, R. N., Hanan, E. J., Robichaud, P. R., & Elliot, W. J. (2022). From burned slopes to streams: how wildfire affects nitrogen cycling and retention in forests and fire-prone 857 858 watersheds. Biogeochemistry, 157(1), 51-68. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-021-00861-0
- 859
- 860 Hanan, E. J., Tague, C. (Naomi), & Schimel, J. P. (2017). Nitrogen cycling and export in
- 861 California chaparral: the role of climate in shaping ecosystem responses to fire. 862 Ecological Monographs, 87(1), 76-90. https://doi.org/10.1002/ecm.1234
- 863 Hanan, E. J., Tague, C., Choate, J., Liu, M., Kolden, C., & Adam, J. (2018). Accounting for
- 864 disturbance history in models: using remote sensing to constrain carbon and nitrogen pool
- 865 spin-up. Ecological Applications: A Publication of the Ecological Society of America,
- 866 28(5), 1197–1214. https://doi.org/10.1002/eap.1718
- 867 Hanan, E. J., Ren, J., Tague, C. L., Kolden, C. A., Abatzoglou, J. T., Bart, R. R., et al. (2021).
- 868 How climate change and fire exclusion drive wildfire regimes at actionable scales.

- 869 *Environmental Research Letters*, *16*(2), 024051. https://doi.org/10.1088/1748870 9326/abd78e
- 871 Harms, T. K., & Grimm, N. B. (2008). Hot spots and hot moments of carbon and nitrogen
- dynamics in a semiarid riparian zone. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences*,
- 873 *113*(G1). https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JG000588
- 874 Homyak, P. M., Sickman, J. O., Miller, A. E., Melack, J. M., Meixner, T., & Schimel, J. P.
- 875 (2014). Assessing Nitrogen-Saturation in a Seasonally Dry Chaparral Watershed:
- Limitations of Traditional Indicators of N-Saturation. *Ecosystems*, 17(7), 1286–1305.
- 877 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-014-9792-2
- 878 Homyak, P. M., Blankinship, J. C., Marchus, K., Lucero, D. M., Sickman, J. O., & Schimel, J. P.
- 879 (2016). Aridity and plant uptake interact to make dryland soils hotspots for nitric oxide
- 880 (NO) emissions. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 113(19), E2608–
- E2616. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1520496113
- Homyak, P. M., Allison, S. D., Huxman, T. E., Goulden, M. L., & Treseder, K. K. (2017).
- 883 Effects of Drought Manipulation on Soil Nitrogen Cycling: A Meta-Analysis. *Journal of*
- 884 *Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences*, *122*(12), 3260–3272.
- 885 https://doi.org/10.1002/2017JG004146
- 886 Howarth, R. W., Swaney, D. P., Boyer, E. W., Marino, R., Jaworski, N., & Goodale, C. (2006).
- 887 The influence of climate on average nitrogen export from large watersheds in the
- 888 Northeastern United States. *Biogeochemistry*, 79(1), 163–186.
- 889 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-006-9010-1
- Hubbert, K. R., Preisler, H. K., Wohlgemuth, P. M., Graham, R. C., & Narog, M. G. (2006).
- 891 Prescribed burning effects on soil physical properties and soil water repellency in a steep

- 892 chaparral watershed, southern California, USA. *Geoderma*, 130(3), 284–298.
- 893 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2005.02.001
- Jarvis, P., Rey, A., Petsikos, C., Wingate, L., Rayment, M., Pereira, J., et al. (2007). Drying and
- 895 wetting of Mediterranean soils stimulates decomposition and carbon dioxide emission:
- the "Birch effect." *Tree Physiology*, 27(7), 929–940.
- 897 https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/27.7.929
- Jiang, P., Liu, H., Piao, S., Ciais, P., Wu, X., Yin, Y., & Wang, H. (2019). Enhanced growth
 after extreme wetness compensates for post-drought carbon loss in dry forests. *Nature Communications*, 10(1), 195. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-08229-z
- Kanakidou, M., Myriokefalitakis, S., Daskalakis, N., Fanourgakis, G., Nenes, A., Baker, A. R., et
 al. (2016). Past, Present and Future Atmospheric Nitrogen Deposition. *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, 73(5), 2039–2047. https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS-D-15-0278.1
- 904 Kennedy, M. C., Bart, R. R., Tague, C. L., & Choate, J. S. (2021). Does hot and dry equal more
- 905 wildfire? Contrasting short- and long-term climate effects on fire in the Sierra Nevada,

906 CA. *Ecosphere*, *12*(7), e03657. https://doi.org/10.1002/ecs2.3657

907 Knapp, A. K., Fay, P. A., Blair, J. M., Collins, S. L., Smith, M. D., Carlisle, J. D., et al. (2002).

Rainfall Variability, Carbon Cycling, and Plant Species Diversity in a Mesic Grassland. *Science*, 298(5601), 2202–2205.

- 910 Knapp, A. K., Hoover, D. L., Wilcox, K. R., Avolio, M. L., Koerner, S. E., La Pierre, K. J., et al.
- 911 (2015). Characterizing differences in precipitation regimes of extreme wet and dry years:
- 912 implications for climate change experiments. *Global Change Biology*, *21*(7), 2624–2633.
- 913 https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12888

- 914 Krichels, A. H., Greene, A. C., Jenerette, G. D., Spasojevic, M. J., Glassman, S. I., & Homyak,
- 915 P. M. (2022). Precipitation legacies amplify ecosystem nitrogen losses from nitric oxide
- 916 emissions in a Pinyon–Juniper dryland. *Ecology*, *104*(2), e3930.
- 917 https://doi.org/10.1002/ecy.3930
- 918 Kuzyakov, Y., & Blagodatskaya, E. (2015). Microbial hotspots and hot moments in soil:
- 919 Concept & review. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, *83*, 184–199.
- 920 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2015.01.025
- 21 Li, Z., Tang, Z., Song, Z., Chen, W., Tian, D., Tang, S., et al. (2022). Variations and controlling
- factors of soil denitrification rate. *Global Change Biology*, 28(6), 2133–2145.
- 923 https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.16066
- Lin, L., Webster, J. R., Hwang, T., & Band, L. E. (2015). Effects of lateral nitrate flux and
- 925 instream processes on dissolved inorganic nitrogen export in a forested catchment: A
- 926 model sensitivity analysis. *Water Resources Research*, *51*(4), 2680–2695.
- 927 https://doi.org/10.1002/2014WR015962
- 928 Lovett, G. M., & Goodale, C. L. (2011). A New Conceptual Model of Nitrogen Saturation Based
- 929 on Experimental Nitrogen Addition to an Oak Forest. *Ecosystems*, 14(4), 615–631.
- 930 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-011-9432-z
- 931 Maxwell, A. E., Warner, T. A., Vanderbilt, B. C., & Ramezan, C. A. (2017). Land Cover
- 932 Classification and Feature Extraction from National Agriculture Imagery Program
- 933 (NAIP) Orthoimagery: A Review. *Photogrammetric Engineering & Remote Sensing*,
- 934 83(11), 737–747. https://doi.org/10.14358/PERS.83.10.737
- 935 Meyer, M. F., Ozersky, T., Woo, K. H., Shchapov, K., Galloway, A. W. E., Schram, J. B., et al.
- 936 (2022). Effects of spatially heterogeneous lakeside development on nearshore biotic

- 937 communities in a large, deep, oligotrophic lake. *Limnology and Oceanography*, 67(12),
- 938 2649–2664. https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.12228
- 939 Nash, J. E., & Sutcliffe, J. V. (1970). River flow forecasting through conceptual models part I —
- 940 A discussion of principles. *Journal of Hydrology*, *10*(3), 282–290.
- 941 https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1694(70)90255-6
- 942 Parker, S. S., & Schimel, J. P. (2011). Soil nitrogen availability and transformations differ
- between the summer and the growing season in a California grassland. *Applied Soil Ecology*, 48(2), 185–192. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2011.03.007
- 945 Parton, W. J. (1996). The CENTURY model. In D. S. Powlson, P. Smith, & J. U. Smith (Eds.),
- 946 Evaluation of Soil Organic Matter Models (Vol. 38, pp. 283–291). Springer, Berlin,
- 947 Heidelberg: Evaluation of Soil Organic Matter Models.
- 948 Pinay, G., Peiffer, S., De Dreuzy, J.-R., Krause, S., Hannah, D. M., Fleckenstein, J. H., et al.
- 949 (2015). Upscaling Nitrogen Removal Capacity from Local Hotspots to Low Stream
- 950 Orders' Drainage Basins. *Ecosystems*, 18(6), 1101–1120. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-
- 951 015-9878-5
- 952 Poblador, S., Lupon, A., Sabaté, S., & Sabater, F. (2017). Soil water content drives
- 953 spatiotemporal patterns of CO₂ and N₂O emissions from a Mediterranean riparian forest
- 954 soil. *Biogeosciences*, *14*(18), 4195–4208. https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-14-4195-2017
- 955 Porporato, A., D'Odorico, P., Laio, F., & Rodriguez-Iturbe, I. (2003). Hydrologic Controls on
- 956 Soil Carbon and Nitrogen Cycles. I. Modeling Scheme. *Advances in Water Resources*,
- 957 26, 45–58. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0309-1708(02)00094-5
- Pörtner, H.-O., Roberts, D. C., Tignor, M. M. B., Poloczanska, E. S., Mintenbeck, K., Alegría,
 A., et al. (Eds.). (2022). *Climate Change 2022: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability.*

- 960 Contribution of Working Group II to the Sixth Assessment Report of the
- 961 *Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.*
- 962 Ren, J., Adam, J. C., Hicke, J. A., Hanan, E. J., Tague, C. L., Liu, M., et al. (2021). How does
- 963 water yield respond to mountain pine beetle infestation in a semiarid forest? *Hydrology*
- 964 and Earth System Sciences, 25(9), 4681–4699. https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-25-4681-
- 965 2021
- 966 Ren, J., Hanan, E. J., Abatzoglou, J. T., Kolden, C. A., Tague, C. (Naomi) L., Kennedy, M. C., et
- 967 al. (2022). Projecting Future Fire Regimes in a Semiarid Watershed of the Inland
- 968 Northwestern United States: Interactions Among Climate Change, Vegetation
- 969 Productivity, and Fuel Dynamics. *Earth's Future*, *10*(3), e2021EF002518.
- 970 https://doi.org/10.1029/2021EF002518
- 871 Ren, J., Hanan, E., Greene, A. C., Tague, C., Krichels, A., Burk, W., et al. (2023). *Simulating the*
- 972 role of biogeochemical hotspots in driving nitrogen export from dryland watersheds
- 973 (preprint). Preprints. https://doi.org/10.22541/essoar.169168922.25673935/v1
- 974 Reyes, J. J., Tague, C. L., Evans, R. D., & Adam, J. C. (2017). Assessing the Impact of
- 975 Parameter Uncertainty on Modeling Grass Biomass Using a Hybrid Carbon Allocation
- 976 Strategy: A HYBRID CARBON ALLOCATION STRATEGY. Journal of Advances in
- 977 *Modeling Earth Systems*, 9(8), 2968–2992. https://doi.org/10.1002/2017MS001022
- 978 Rodriguez-Iturbe, I., Porporato, A., Ridolfi, L., Isham, V., & Coxi, D. R. (1999). Probabilistic
- 979 modelling of water balance at a point: the role of climate, soil and vegetation.
- 980 Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A: Mathematical, Physical and
- 981 Engineering Sciences, 455(1990), 3789–3805. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.1999.0477

- 982 Rudgers, J. A., Luketich, A., Bacigalupa, M., Baur, L. E., Collins, S. L., Hall, K. M., et al.
- 983 (2023). Infrastructure to factorially manipulate the mean and variance of precipitation in
 984 the field. *Ecosphere*, 14(7), e4603. https://doi.org/10.1002/ecs2.4603
- 985 Ryan, M. G. (1991). Effects of Climate Change on Plant Respiration. *Ecological Applications*,
- 986 *1*(2), 157–167. https://doi.org/10.2307/1941808
- 987 Ryan, T. M. (1991). Soil Survey of Angeles National Forest Area, California (p. Vol. 588-137).
 988 Glendora, CA.
- 989 Stephens, C. M., Marshall, L. A., Johnson, F. M., Lin, L., Band, L. E., & Ajami, H. (2020). Is
- 990 Past Variability a Suitable Proxy for Future Change? A Virtual Catchment Experiment.
- 991 *Water Resources Research*, *56*(2), e2019WR026275.
- 992 https://doi.org/10.1029/2019WR026275
- 993 Stephens, C. M., Marshall, L. A., Johnson, F. M., Ajami, H., Lin, L., & Band, L. E. (2022).
- 994 Spatial Variation in Catchment Response to Climate Change Depends on Lateral
- 995 Moisture Transport and Nutrient Dynamics. *Water Resources Research*, 58(10),
- 996 e2021WR030577. https://doi.org/10.1029/2021WR030577
- 997 Sutton, M. A., Nemitz, E., Erisman, J. W., Beier, C., Bahl, K. B., Cellier, P., et al. (2007).
- 998 Challenges in quantifying biosphere-atmosphere exchange of nitrogen species.
- 999 Environmental Pollution, 150(1), 125–139. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2007.04.014
- 1000 Tague, C. L., & Band, L. E. (2004). RHESSys: Regional Hydro-Ecologic Simulation System-
- 1001 An Object-Oriented Approach to Spatially Distributed Modeling of Carbon, Water, and
- 1002 Nutrient Cycling. Earth Interactions, 8(19), 1–42. https://doi.org/10.1175/1087-
- 1003 3562(2004)8<1:RRHSSO>2.0.CO;2

- 1004 Trenberth, K. E., Dai, A., Rasmussen, R. M., & Parsons, D. B. (2003). The Changing Character
- of Precipitation. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, *84*(9), 1205–1218.
 https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-84-9-1205
- 1007 Welter, J. R., Fisher, S. G., & Grimm, N. B. (2005). Nitrogen Transport and Retention in an Arid
- Land Watershed: Influence of Storm Characteristics on Terrestrial–aquatic Linkages.
 Biogeochemistry, 76(3), 421–440. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-005-6997-7
- 1010 Winter, C., Nguyen, T. V., Musolff, A., Lutz, S. R., Rode, M., Kumar, R., & Fleckenstein, J. H.
- 1011 (2023). Droughts can reduce the nitrogen retention capacity of catchments. *Hydrology*
- 1012 and Earth System Sciences, 27(1), 303–318. https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-27-303-2023
- 1013 Wu, Q., Yue, K., Ma, Y., Heděnec, P., Cai, Y., Chen, J., et al. (2022). Contrasting effects of
- 1014 altered precipitation regimes on soil nitrogen cycling at the global scale. *Global Change*1015 *Biology*, 28(22), 6679–6695. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.16392
- 1016 Ye, L., & Grimm, N. B. (2013). Modelling potential impacts of climate change on water and
- 1017 nitrate export from a mid-sized, semiarid watershed in the US Southwest. *Climatic*
- 1018 Change, 120(1), 419–431. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-013-0827-z
- 1019 Yu, Q., Duan, L., Yu, L., Chen, X., Si, G., Ke, P., et al. (2018). Threshold and multiple
- 1020 indicators for nitrogen saturation in subtropical forests. *Environmental Pollution*, 241,
- 1021 664–673. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2018.06.001
- 1022 Zhu, Q., Castellano, M. J., & Yang, G. (2018). Coupling soil water processes and the nitrogen
- 1023 cycle across spatial scales: Potentials, bottlenecks and solutions. *Earth-Science Reviews*,
- 1024 *187*, 248–258. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2018.10.005
- 1025
- 1026