Characterizing Climatic Socio-Environmental Tipping Points in Coastal Communities: A Conceptual Framework for Research and Practice

Julie Elizabeth Shortridge¹, Anamaria Bukvic¹, Molly Mitchell², Jesse Goldstein³, and Tom Allen⁴

¹Virginia Tech ²Virginia Institute of Marine Science ³Virginia Commonwealth University ⁴Old Dominion University

September 30, 2023

Abstract

The concept of climate tipping points in socio-environmental systems is increasingly being used to describe nonlinear climate change impacts and encourage social transformations in response to climate change. However, the processes that lead to these tipping points and their impacts are highly complex and deeply uncertain. This is due to numerous interacting environmental and societal system components, constant system evolution, and uncertainty in the relationships between events and their consequences. In the face of this complexity and uncertainty, this research presents a conceptual framework that describes systemic processes that could lead to tipping points socio-environmental systems, with a focus on coastal communities facing sea level rise. Within this context, we propose an organizational framework for system description that consists of elements, state variables, links, internal processes, and exogenous influences. This framework is then used to describe three mechanisms by which socio-environmental tipping could occur: feedback processes, cascading linkages, and nonlinear relationships. We presented this conceptual framework to an expert panel of coastal practitioners and found that it has potential to characterize the effects of secondary climatic impacts that are rarely the focus of coastal risk analyses. Finally, we identify salient areas for further research that can build upon the proposed conceptual framework to inform practical efforts that support climate adaptation and resilience.

Hosted file

974160_0_art_file_11389881_s11hzx.docx available at https://authorea.com/users/541491/ articles/667549-characterizing-climatic-socio-environmental-tipping-points-in-coastalcommunities-a-conceptual-framework-for-research-and-practice

1			
2 3	Characterizing Climatic Socio-Environmental Tipping Points in Coastal Communities: A Conceptual Framework for Research and Practice		
4	J.E. Shortridge ¹ , A. Bukvic ² , M. Mitchell ³ , J. Goldstein ⁴ , and T. Allen ⁵		
5	¹ Virginia Tech, Department of Biological Systems Engineering, Center for Coastal Studies		
6	² Virginia Tech, Department of Geography, Center for Coastal Studies		
7	³ Virginia Institute of Marine Science, Center for Coastal Resources Management		
8	⁴ Virginia Commonwealth University, Department of Sociology		
9	⁵ Old Dominion University, Department of Political Science and Geography		
10			
11	Corresponding author: Julie Shortridge (jshortridge@vt.edu)		
12	Key Points:		
13 14	• The study presents a conceptual framework of climate-related tipping points in socio- environmental systems		
15 16	• It identifies and describe three tipping mechanisms: feedback processes, cascading linkages, and nonlinear relationships		
17 18	• An expert panel of coastal practitioners validated the framework and provided multiple examples of tipping points in practice		
19			

21 Abstract

The concept of climate tipping points in socio-environmental systems is increasingly being used 22 23 to describe nonlinear climate change impacts and encourage social transformations in response to climate change. However, the processes that lead to these tipping points and their impacts are 24 highly complex and deeply uncertain. This is due to numerous interacting environmental and 25 26 societal system components, constant system evolution, and uncertainty in the relationships 27 between events and their consequences. In the face of this complexity and uncertainty, this research presents a conceptual framework that describes systemic processes that could lead to 28 tipping points socio-environmental systems, with a focus on coastal communities facing sea level 29 rise. Within this context, we propose an organizational framework for system description that 30 consists of elements, state variables, links, internal processes, and exogenous influences. This 31 32 framework is then used to describe three mechanisms by which socio-environmental tipping could occur: feedback processes, cascading linkages, and nonlinear relationships. We presented 33 this conceptual framework to an expert panel of coastal practitioners and found that it has 34 potential to characterize the effects of secondary climatic impacts that are rarely the focus of 35 coastal risk analyses. Finally, we identify salient areas for further research that can build upon 36 the proposed conceptual framework to inform practical efforts that support climate adaptation 37 and resilience. 38

39

40 Plain Language Summary

41 In the face of climate change, there is growing concern that incremental adaptation measures will

42 be insufficient in addressing climate risks. Socio-environmental tipping points describe situations

43 where a small change or pressure results in a societal system moving into a fundamentally

44 different state. This concept is increasingly used to describe risks from climate change and the

45 meaningful societal changes necessary to reduce these risks. However, understanding how

- 46 climatic tipping points might unfold in socio-environmental systems is very challenging because
- these systems are highly complex, with human, built, and environmental components that

interact in unpredictable ways. This research presents a framework for describing tipping points
 in socio-environmental systems, and uses this descriptive framework to identify three tipping

in socio-environmental systems, and uses this descriptive framework to identify three t
 point mechanisms. These are feedback processes, cascading linkages, and nonlinear

relationships. A panel of coastal practitioners reviewed the framework and found that it could

capture the multiple potential tipping points that they have found in their work. By developing a

53 common way of describing climatic tipping points in socio-environmental systems, this

framework can support comparative studies across different locations and the development of

55 computational models for exploring the impact of tipping points and potential interventions.

57 **1 Introduction**

In the face of rapid and profound changes in the Earth's climate system, the concept of 58 tipping points is becoming an increasingly widespread framing to describe climate change risks. 59 This concept has been widely applied to describe abrupt and irreversible changes where a 60 biophysical phenomenon moves from one state to another, such as the collapse of the Greenland 61 ice sheet or cessation of the Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation ocean currents (Lenton 62 et al., 2019). More recently, the concept has been extended to the context of socio-environmental 63 systems (SES) where humans interact with the natural and engineered components within their 64 environments (Elsawah et al., 2017). This is motivated by an interest in tipping point dynamics 65 that could support climate mitigation and resilience (Lenton, 2020). One appealing aspect of the 66 tipping points framing is its potential to support rapid, significant changes that could accelerate 67 the societal response to climate change (Winkelmann et al., 2022) and transformative 68 adaptation (Fedele et al., 2020). Socio-environmental tipping points have several elements that 69 70 distinguish them from climatic or biophysical tipping points, including the role of human agency, the relevance of social-institutional network structures, different spatio-temporal scales, and a 71 high degree of complexity (Winkelmann et al., 2022). 72

Multiple definitions for socio-environmental tipping points exist in the literature (Table 73 1). Some definitions have a mathematical or quasi-mathematical focus that specifically centers 74 75 on feedback dynamics (e.g., Lenton, 2020; Lenton et al., 2022). These definitions focus on the process of tipping, and specifically the balance between positive and negative feedbacks that can 76 77 propel a system into a new stable state defined by different feedback processes. Other definitions take a more general, intuitive approach and are based on the concept of a small event or change 78 79 in a system resulting in disproportionate system-wide impacts which may be rapid or irreversible and move the system into a different stable state (van Ginkel et al., 2020; Milkoreit et al., 80 2018; Tàbara et al., 2022). These definitions are more focused on the outcome of a tipping 81 process, rather than the specific processes or dynamics that cause it. Definitions also exist that 82 83 aim to capture both the process and outcome of tipping points, coupling both qualitative and mathematical elements (Winkelmann et al., 2022). Several studies specifically define positive 84 or intentional tipping points that could lead to rapid growth in mitigation and adaptation actions 85 (Lenton, 2020; Lenton et al., 2022; Tàbara et al., 2022), with the acknowledgment cumulative 86 prior action is required to move the system to a state where tipping is possible (Tàbara et al., 87 2022). A multidisciplinary review found that even though numerous tipping point definitions 88 89 exist in the literature, they include many common themes with the most frequent being multiple states, abruptness, feedbacks, and limited reversibility (Figure 1; Milkoreit et al., 2018). After 90 these core themes, the next most common suggest system complexity and salience (Figure 1). 91 While these elements of complexity and salience may not be necessarily define tipping points, 92 they do suggest a common perception across the research community that tipping points should 93 be focused on complex problems with societal relevance. 94

Source	Definition of Tipping Points
Lenton, 2020	Cases where a small perturbation causes a qualitative change in the future state or trajectory of a system. This can come about because the perturbation triggers a change in underlying system dynamics, triggering a strong self- amplifying (mathematically positive) feedback that propels the system from one stable state to a different one.
Lenton et. al, 2022	Cases where a small intervention leads to large and long-term consequences for the evolution of a complex system, profoundly altering its mode of operation. This includes the possibility of intentional positive tipping points .
Milkoreit et al., 2018	A social tipping point occurs within a social-ecological system at the point when a small quantitative change inevitably triggers a non-linear change in the social component of the social-environmental system, driven by self- reinforcing positive feedback mechanisms, that inevitably and often irreversibly lead to a qualitatively different state of the system.
Van Ginkel et al., 2020	Climate change-induced socio-economic tipping points are cases where a climate change induced, abrupt change shifts a socio-economic system into a new, fundamentally different state.
Tabara et al., 2022	Positive socio-ecological tipping points are moments when, due to previous cumulative and targeted interventions or individual activities, an additional action or event is able to shift a given social-ecological system towards a more just and sustainable development trajectory or configuration.
Winkelmann et al., 2022	Social tipping occurs in a social system where, under certain conditions, small changes in the system or its environment can lead to a qualitative (macroscopic) change, typically via the cascading network of effects such as complex contagion and positive feedback mechanisms.

96

Table 1: A sample of definitions relevant to SES tipping points.



97

Figure 1: Components of tipping point definitions identified in a multidisciplinary literature
 review (Milkoreit et al., 2018; reproduced under Creative Commons 3.0 license). These
 components are organized by the frequency with this they appeared across studies. The most
 commonly used themes (inner circle) are all related to the tipping process itself. The less
 frequently used themes in the middle circle relate to system complexity, while the outermost ring
 largely points towards a salience and relevance of tipping points.

Existing research on SES tipping points has predominantly focused on either renewable 104 energy transitions or primary industries, such as fishing, forestry, and agriculture. Evaluations of 105 tipping points toward growth in renewable energy largely focus on technology adoption, building 106 upon a large body of research on socio-technical transitions (Lenton et al., 2022). Within this 107 perspective, the tipping point of interest is when conventional energy sources are largely 108 replaced by low-carbon or renewable technologies. Tipping points in technological adoption 109 across a population of decentralized, individual users can occur through multiple mechanisms, 110 including critical mass, diffusion of innovation, and social contagion, all of which center 111 questions of human behavior, perceptions, and decisions (Lenton et al., 2022). However, 112 technological standards, policy regimes and the interests of industrial incumbents play an 113 important role that can deter early adoption of new technologies, only to then support faster 114 change once a process is underway (Lenton et al., 2022). Primary industries have also received 115 attention as they have several features that may predispose them to tipping points. For example, 116 117 they are often concerned with intensely managed ecosystems whose structure has arisen through management actions rather than biophysical processes, likely making them more vulnerable to 118 stressors (Yletvinen et al., 2019). These systems are often centered around a relationship where 119 the environmental component of the system provides a resource and the social component 120 responds to changes (or perceptions of changes) in that resource level (Mathias et al., 2020). 121 Reviews of tipping points in primary industries have found that existing research largely consists 122 of either conceptual exploration or individual case studies, limiting the degree to which this 123 research could inform broader management (Lauerburg et al., 2020; Yletyinen et al., 2019). 124

Across this literature, two defining features of SES tipping points are complexity and 125 126 uncertainty. In SES, tipping points (and changes more generally) are mostly the result of multiple interacting factors and are rarely traceable to a single driver (Winkelmann et al., 2022). For this 127 128 reason, it may be misleading to refer to tipping points as being "climate-induced," when in reality, climatic conditions are mediated and influenced by social processes. In addition to 129 multiple drivers, complex SES will likely exhibit multiple mechanisms and pathways toward 130 tipping, as well as multiple potential post-tipping states (Mathias et al., 2020; Winkelmann et 131 al., 2022). This multitude of drivers, pathways, and impacts creates a high degree of uncertainty 132 and unpredictability, particularly since social adaptive behaviors can drive responses to a given 133 climate forcing and change the level of stress that the social system experiences. Because 134 multiple actors exist within a system, classification of tipping points as positive or negative 135 requires normative judgments that do not capture a diversity of values and may miss inequities in 136 the distribution of outcomes (Lenton et al., 2022). Finally, the interactions across multiple 137 systems components (e.g., social, ecological, infrastructure, policy, etc.) require the integration 138 of multiple types of expertise, each of which will have unique epistemological perspectives. 139

Due to this complexity and uncertainty, efforts to understand and influence SES tipping 140 points can easily become convoluted and disorganized. This points towards the potential benefits 141 of a conceptual framework that can assist in organizing collective thinking to identify key 142 uncertainties, points of influence, and possible pathways of change. Conceptual frameworks can 143 be regarded as organizational diagrams that summarize information on relationships and 144 conditions in a standard, structured manner, assisting in understanding and explaining complex 145 problems (Gari et al., 2015; Patrício et al., 2016). These approaches have a long history in 146 environmental and climate management contexts. For example, the driver-pressure-state-impact-147 response (DPSIR) framework has been widely applied in environmental management, although it 148 has been critiqued for its limited ability to capture the full complexity of cause-effect 149 relationships in environmental systems (Patrício et al., 2016). The XLRM framework was 150 151 developed to provide a formal approach for "intellectual bookkeeping" that could support longterm policy analysis under conditions of deep uncertainty (Lempert et al., 2003). Conceptual 152 frameworks can serve as problem structuring methods to provide decision support in situations 153 where the performance measures, constraints and relationships between actions and 154 consequences are not well defined or known (Bell, 2012; Gregory et al., 2013; Mingers & 155 Rosenhead, 2004). They facilitate interdisciplinary research by supporting a shared 156 157 understanding of the problem definition, scope of inquiry, and relevant questions (Hall et al., 2012). Finally, a consistent conceptual framework can provide structure that supports 158 159 comparative analyses across locations and topics in a consistent manner, supporting broader 160 insights than can be obtained from individual case studies alone (Lauerburg et al., 2020;

161 **Yletyinen et al., 2019)**.

The objective of this manuscript is to define and present a conceptual framework for 162 describing socio-environmental tipping points, using coastal communities as an example context. 163 Previous research has developed conceptual frameworks for differentiating climate tipping points 164 in social systems from those in biophysical systems (Winkelmann et al., 2022). Here, we build 165 on this prior work to develop a descriptive framework that provides a common baseline for 166 understanding and describing climatic tipping point phenomena in SES. To this end, we first 167 propose an organizational framework consisting of elements, state variables, links, internal 168 processes, and exogenous influences that describes a SES subject to climatic pressures. This 169

- 170 organizational framework is then used to define three potential modes by which tipping points
- could occur, including feedback processes, cascading linkages, and nonlinear relationships. We
- 172 present the results of an expert panel review of the proposed framework, wherein coastal
- 173 practitioners assessed the degree to which the framework could capture potential tipping points
- and inform research and planning needs. Finally, we present research priorities that could
 leverage this conceptual framework to advance knowledge of SES tipping points and identify
- leverage this conceptual framework to advance knowledge of SES tipping points and identiactionable insights to inform adaptive planning and decision making.

177 **2** An Organizational Framework for Describing SES Tipping Points

- A well-defined organizational framework can provide structure for describing tipping points, support comparative analyses across different locations, and provide a foundation for computational modeling activities. We propose a system description of elements, state variables, links, internal processes, and exogenous influences. We also identify the following four key domains:
- Population: number of residents (permanent and temporary, e.g., students, tourists);
 demographic composition (e.g., age, race, income, and education); and socio-cultural
 characteristics such as community history, values, norms, attachment to place and sense
 of future possibility.
- Institutional: organizations with an influence over the system, including government at
 various levels (federal, state, local, military, and tribal), the educational system, non profit and religious organizations, and community groups.
- Economic: businesses and industries; job opportunities and quality; real estate and other
 forms of wealth, assets, and debts (individual, public and private sector).
- Physical: natural and built environments, including forests, wetlands, and marshes; water
 bodies; homes and infrastructure; and places (e.g., beaches, parks, scenic landscapes and
 ancestral grounds) with emotional, spiritual, and recreational draw.

While deeply interwoven, we find that distinguishing these domains is helpful in enhancing the organizational and descriptive clarity of the model. However, we recognize that they are not independent of each other and overlap in many ways. For instance, a large employer within a community may have substantial influence on community politics and identity, exerting an institutional and cultural influence as well as economic. Thus, while we leverage the selected domains for descriptive purposes, they should not be considered exhaustive or fully independent.

201 2.1 Elements

Elements describe the actors, institutions, and physical assets in a system. For instance, in the population domain, elements include residents, households, and temporary populations such as tourists, students, or migrants. Within the institutional domain, elements include local government agencies and planning districts, public safety organizations, schools and universities, faith-based organizations, and civic or activist groups. Economic elements include businesses with a footprint in the community as either a source of employment, consumer opportunities, or services. Physical elements within a SES refer to the shoreline and water bodies, natural and built infrastructure, ecological resources, homes and buildings, land, and community spaces suchas parks.

These elements will differ from place to place. For instance, communities with sizeable 211 military bases will have economic opportunities, risks, and dynamics that would not occur in 212 communities without this element. Rural communities often have different physical, institutional, 213 economic, and social structures than urban areas. It is also important to recognize that many 214 relevant elements may be partially internal to the community and partially exogenous. For 215 example, a hospital or major employer might be located nearby but not directly within the 216 community in question. However, they may still fundamentally shape the system in terms of 217 people's day to day lives, through the provision of healthcare or employment opportunities. 218 Thus, the bounds of the SES should not be considered purely spatial, but instead consider the 219 influence or role that a given element plays in the community of interest. 220

221 2.2 State Variables

State variables describe the elements in an SES, typically at a single point in time, in 222 terms of physical, institutional, economic, and socio-cultural characteristics. These state 223 variables can describe a single element or population-level characteristics across multiple 224 elements. A summary of potential state variables and the elements to which they apply are 225 presented in Table 2. State variables are conditions that could conceivably be measured, even if 226 practical challenges would make that difficult or infeasible. State variables in the population 227 domain include those related not just to community population and demographics, but also to 228 socio-cultural characteristics such as values and norms, community cohesion, inequity, capacity 229 230 for engagement in civic actions, and environmental literacy. Values and norms are a particularly important population characteristic as they influence not just what types of resilience-building 231 efforts are deemed palatable, but also those that are conceived of in the first place. Individual and 232 community-level literacy and efficacy are key state variables that also influence community 233 capacity for adaptation and resilience building (Bey et al., 2020). 234

Another important state variable in the population domain is risk perceptions, as these 235 may not be aligned with actual physical conditions. Perceptions are particularly important 236 because even an expectation of a bad outcome could be sufficient to encourage large changes in 237 238 social behavior (Lenton, 2020). Conversly, if risk perceptions are misaligned with reality, that could also discourage a behavioural response. For instance, if people perceive that a location is 239 safe due to the presence of flood protection infrastructure, they might be less inclined to take 240 individual protective actions such as evacuation planning, building retrofits, or even deciding not 241 to live in an area (Pielke, 1999). Flood risk perceptions may be different in communities that 242 experience regular nuisance or episodic flooding, which could normalize disruptions but also 243 increase awareness or concern due to the frequent and visible nature of these events. 244

In the institutional domain, state variables include quantitative and qualitative descriptors of the organization's capacity and motivation to engage in resilience-building efforts. For example, organizational values, goals, and mandates might help identify the activities and efforts in which the organization could conceivably engage. Possible state variables to describe the capacity of an organization could be described by the tax base and other available financial resources such as the number of employees or members; the presence, absence, or capacity of incentive programs; and the means and degree of influence that an organization has within a

community. State variables in the economic domain could describe the number of people

employed or served by a business, as well as the financial precarity or resilience of the

organization. In addition to the number of people employed by an organization, the nature of

those jobs is likely to have an important influence on community susceptibility to tipping points.

For example, workers in jobs requiring strict hours and physical presence might be at greater risk of losing employment if they are regularly late due to nuisance flooding compared to those with

a more flexible schedule or the ability to work remotely. Possible state variables in the physical

domain include land cover, building stock, elevation, and the presence and absence of

260 infrastructure. Physical flood hazard and exposure are also considered physical state variables.

261

Domain	Elements	State Variables
Population	 Individuals Households Tourists or temporary residents 	 Population size and demographics Values and norms Degree of cohesion/division, equity/inequity Knowledge and efficacy Risk perceptions
Institutional	 Government agencies Churches Schools Health care facilities Community organizations 	 Capacity and resources Influence Number of members Organizational values and goals
Economic	BusinessesBanksReal-estate	 Business community characteristics Employment profile Tax base Availability of goods and services
Physical	 Land cover Building stock Shoreline Built and natural infrastructure Public spaces 	 Distribution of land use Age and condition of built assets Shoreline characteristics (e.g., hardened or not, current mean tide levels)

Table 2: A non-exhaustive example of elements and state variables relevant for coastal risk andresilience across four domains.

263 264

262

265 2.3 Links

Links describe connections between elements within the system where a change in the state variable of one element would impact the state variable of another. Links can occur within

- and across domains, and consist of many different types of relationships, such as physical
- connections, financial interactions, cultural influences, and regulatory authority. Links can also
- be conceptualized by conditions where the functionality or wellbeing of one element relies on the
- functioning or wellbeing of another. Figure 2 presents a simplified example of potential links
 within a coastal SES that influence flood risk and resilience. For example, employment serves as
- a link between residents and businesses, whereas businesses that exist in reciprocal relationships
- with each other (for instance, farms, agricultural suppliers, and buyers cooperatives) would be
- 275 linked through financial exchanges and potentially form broader economic industry in the
- community. Physical links exist between infrastructure systems (for example, water treatment or
- pumping infrastructure, which relies on electrical infrastructure), as well as within service
- 278 relationships between infrastructure systems and the elements who use or rely on these systems.





Figure 2: Example of links between different elements of a coastal SES. The figure is meant to
 show examples relevant to flood impacts and resilience building and is not intended to be
 exhaustive.

284

285 2.4 Internal Processes

Processes are the internal mechanisms and dynamics by which the elements and state 286 variables change over time. These changes can occur through a process within the element itself, 287 or by creating, strengthening, or weakening links between elements. Some processes may be 288 289 internal to a single element, such as the financial growth of a business or industry that is only weakly linked with other elements in the community. Other processes will require links between 290 multiple elements. For example, the process of land use change is a complex interaction between 291 zoning decisions of regulatory institutions, housing market dynamics, and relocation decisions 292 by individuals. 293

294 Because of the complexity and interconnectedness of SES, very few processes will impact a single domain independently. Still, we use the domain classification as a tool to 295 describe the primary influence that different processes exert. Processes that most directly impact 296 the population domain include in- and out-migration, as well as numerous socio-cultural 297 processes that would impact the values, perceptions, and norms of a community in ways that 298 have a direct impact on resilience. For instance, education is a process which can change 299 community-level awareness and understanding of collective risks. Network or spreading 300 processes among people and mediated by institutions and businesses can result in a departure 301 from historically accepted practices to new behaviors or perceptions. Network spreading 302 processes can be horizontal and spread across a single level (e.g., households) or more vertical 303 with aggregation at higher levels (e.g., household to neighborhood to municipality). Examples of 304 processes that most directly impact the physical domain include land use change and 305 development, as well as infrastructure development, upgrades, maintenance and degradation. 306 Institutional-domain processes include voting and other actions that result in changes to 307 institutional actors, the operation and design of revenue collection programs, the development 308 and operation of resilience-building or incentivization programs, and investment in community-309 strengthening organizations. Examples of economic processes include housing market dynamics, 310 changes in employment opportunities, and growth or decline in the tax base. 311

312 2.5 Exogenous Influences

Exogenous influences are external factors that influence the state variables of the system, 313 either directly or by influencing the internal processes of the system. While internal processes 314 and exogenous influences are not entirely independent, we distinguish them by the degree to 315 which actors within the system can meaningfully influence them. For example, sea level rise can 316 effectively be considered an exogenous influence at the community level because it results from 317 318 global-scale greenhouse gas emissions and ocean circulation patterns. However, the construction and maintenance of flood protection infrastructure and implementation of flood resilience efforts, 319 such as evacuation planning or restricted development in flood-prone areas, can be considered 320 internal processes because they are influenced by elements and actors within a community. Flood 321 events are also exogenous influences, although the impact they have on a community is mediated 322 by the elements present within the community (e.g., protective infrastructure, homes in at-risk 323

- 324 areas, and community education programs), with their condition and effectiveness described by
- 325 state variables. Other exogenous influences could include broad-scale economic drivers and
- trends that occur at a larger scale and filter down to community impacts, such as economic
- 327 recessions, military base realignments, and enactment of federal regulations. Lateral exogenous
- influences could exist as well, such as the occurrence of a major disaster in a neighboring
- 329 community that results in an influx of displaced people. Exogenous influences can be
- 330 characterized as either chronic, long-term forces (e.g., sea level rise) as well as short-term sudden
- 331 events (e.g., individual flood events).

332 3 Tipping Mechanisms in SES

Using a descriptive framework of elements, state variables, processes, links, and 333 exogenous influences, we can describe three different mechanisms by which SES tipping points 334 could occur (Figure 3). In the first, internal processes create feedback loops where the variables 335 that influence a process are also affected by that same process. In the second, cascading impacts 336 occur due to linkages within a system that lead to state variable changes in one element 337 influencing state variables across many others. Finally, nonlinear relationships between state 338 variables can lead to conditions where a relatively minor change in one variable results in a large 339 change in a second. These different modes of tipping, as well as the manner in which they may 340 interact, are described in the following sections. 341



Figure 3: Mechanisms of tipping in SES.

343 344

345

346 3.1 Feedback Loops

Most processes relevant to climate impacts and resilience within SES will have multiple 347 drivers (state variables that influence or contribute to the process) and impacts (state variables 348 influenced by the process). These impacts can be directly influenced by the process in question, 349 or indirectly influenced by linkages between system elements. Feedback loops can occur when 350 351 the drivers and impacts of a process overlap; examples of processes with potentially overlapping drivers and impacts are presented in Table 3. Using in- and out-migration as an example, a 352 classical economic view would assume that an influx of new residents to a community would 353 increase housing demand and prices unless new home construction expanded the housing supply. 354 This change in home prices could reduce demand for homes in the community, creating a 355 dampening feedback loop. However, it could also fuel speculative property buying for 356 357 investment purposes, amplifying price increases further and potentially contributing to gentrification if property tax and rental rates exceed the means of long-time residents 358 (Wilhelmsson et al., 2021). Furthermore, a growth in the tax base of a community provides 359 more resources for public-sector investment in infrastructure, schools, parks, and other 360 community services, while population growth can also fuel the development of consumer 361 businesses and amenities that further increases community desirability. These factors would then 362 create an amplifying feedback, where continued community growth leads to more in-migration 363 and the likely displacement of longer-term residents who can no longer afford to stay. 364 365 In general, dampening feedbacks would be expected to push a system away from tipping

points, where amplifying feedbacks push a system towards tipping points. Many processes may 366 be characterized by multiple feedback loops, some of which are amplifying and others 367 dampening. In these cases, the relative strength of those feedbacks will determine the overall 368 trajectory of that process and its potential for tipping. The relative strength of these processes are 369 likely to be very context specific. For example, population growth fueled by increased job 370 opportunities for low- and middle-income workers may be more sensitive to the dampening 371 effect of increasing home prices compared to population growth fueled by high-income in-372 373 migration and speculative property buying. If these feedbacks can be quantified and measured, it may be possible to mathematically describe this balance between negative and positive feedback 374 375 loops, determining an overall trajectory for the process. However, many processes may be challenging to quantify and measure, particularly those related to human perceptions, 376 motivations, and understanding of risk and resilience. In such cases, it may still be possible to 377 determine the functional form of the relationship between variables (e.g., linear, logistic, etc.) 378 379 and the direction of feedbacks (amplifying or dampening) and make reasonable judgements about their relative strengths (Barbrook-Johnson & Penn, 2022). This approach has been 380 widely adopted in systems dynamics modeling, which consists of conceptual and quantitative 381 methods used to simulate non-linear interactions and feedbacks among system elements, 382 management actions, and performance metrics (Elsawah et al., 2017). Even in contexts where 383 limited data on system variables is available, systems dyamics models have been used to 384 385 demonstrate the potential for regime shifts and tipping points (Krueger et al., 2019).

Process	Drivers	Direct Impacts	Indirect Impacts
Migration in and out of community	 Housing availability and cost Job availability and quality Perceptions of community vitality and future prospects 	- Population	 Tax base Membership and capacity of community organizations Housing costs and availability Perceptions about community vitality and possibility
Industry- wide economic growth or decline	 Exogenous economic drivers (e.g. demand, commodity prices, presence of competitors) Business innovation and agility Regulatory environment and incentives Resource availability (actual) Resource availability (perceptions) 	- Job availability and quality - Tax base - Resource availability (actual)	 Perceptions about community vitality and possibility Population Resource availability (perceptions)
Constructi on of flood protection infrastruct ure	 Damages and impacts of flooding (current and expected) Perceptions of current and future flood risk Resource availability Regulatory will and capacity 	- Damages and impacts of flooding (current and expected)	 Perceptions of current and future flood risk Housing costs and availability

Table 3: Examples of processes where common drivers and impacts create feedback loops.
 Instances where a state variable is both a driver and impact of a process, creating feedback
 loops, are denoted in italics.

390 3.2 Cascading Linkages

391 A second mechanism by which tipping can occur is when cascading linkages across elements within the systems create the potential for a change in one element to impact others. 392 Any complex system is defined by many linkages between system elements; thus, the presence 393 of these linkages alone does not necessarily present an increased likelihood of tipping. However, 394 there may be certain network structures that create a greater potential for tipping. The 395 relationship between network structure and system change has been widely explored through the 396 397 resilience lens. For example, the concept of centrality in social network analysis is used to describe nodes within a network that have a high degree of influence on the network as a whole 398

399 (Carrington et al., 2005). Changes to a highly central element of the system have a greater

400 potential to cascade into system-wide impacts that influence many different elements. The notion

401 of centrality within social network analysis can be integrated with physical and geographic

system information to provide a broader view of system resilience (e.g., Wang et al., 2020).
 Similarly, the presence of redundancy within a network can lead to greater resilience across

405 infrastructure systems and supply chains (Hesarkazzazi et al., 2022; Tan et al., 2019).

These concepts of centrality and redundancy could also describe the conditions where a small change in one system element creates greater potential for cascading impacts and system tipping. For example, consider an agricultural community where multiple farms are served by a single wholesaler who sells to multiple markets and buyers of farm products. This wholesaler would be a central node within the economic system, and the loss of this element, or even changes in their operations or capacity, would likely lead to broader impacts across farms and markets. Conversely, a community with multiple wholesalers would have a degree of network

redundancy that reduced the chances of tipping if one business closes. Educational organizations can also serve as a central node within broader networks, connecting decentralized networks of

414 peers and encouraging broader adoption of new technologies and practices.

415 3.3 Nonlinear relationships between state variables

A final mechanism by which tipping could occur is the presence of nonlinear 416 relationships between state variables. In some cases, these nonlinear relationships may result 417 from either feedbacks or linkages in the system, but these nonlinear relationships may also 418 develop independently of these mechanisms. Coastal hypsometry, which describes the 419 420 relationship between land elevation and sea level, is one example of a potentially nonlinear relationship that does not require the presence of feedback loops or cascading processes but 421 could nonetheless lead to tipping point behavior. In a coastal landscape with a highly nonlinear 422 hypsometric curve (e.g., an abrupt change in elevation), a small increase in sea level beyond a 423 certain threshold would lead to a rapid increase in the area of land exposed to tidal flooding. 424 Many coastal regions of the U.S. have been found to exhibit critical elevation thresholds where 425 flood impacts to ecological and built resources become significantly more severe, with SLR 426 projections indicating that nuisance flooding could regularly exceed these critical thresholds 427 within decades (Sweet & Park, 2014). 428

Nonlinear relationships will also likely exist in other contexts; for example, the 429 relationship between business profit and number of employees will generally have a threshold 430 below which the business closes down. In other contexts, a nonlinear relationship can arise from 431 feedback processes or cascading linkages. One challenge in identifying these nonlinear 432 relationships is that in complex SES, relationships between variables are rarely independent and 433 there may be many instances where the relationships of interest cannot be easily mapped or 434 quantified. However, even in these instances, it may be possible to qualitatively identify the 435 436 conditions or thresholds where the relationship between variables would change in a significant 437 way.

438 3.4 Interactions Across Tipping Mechanisms

The mechanisms of tipping presented above are not entirely independent, and tipping 439 may depend on simultaneous processes. Nonlinear relationships may stem from the presence of 440 feedback loops, or feedback loops may rely on the presence of cascading linkages between 441 system elements. For example, if a municipality adopts a program to encourage home 442 retrofitting, this could create additional elements in the form of businesses offering installation 443 services, as well as new linkages between those businesses, early adopters, and later adopters 444 who hire those businesses. The creation of these linkages then allows a feedback loop where 445 visible customer satisfaction in the program drives more interest. However, there may also be 446 instances where a single mode provides a sufficiently comprehensive description of the tipping 447 point in question. For example, physical relationships between sea level rise and some measure 448 of physical flood impact (e.g., the area exposed to spring-tide flooding) could point to tipping 449 point thresholds. Feedback processes that are largely contained within a single element (e.g., one 450 government agency) could create a potential for tipping that does not necessarily require 451 cascading linkages across other elements. Thus, we present these modes as three distinct 452 processes not to suggest that tipping points will always fit neatly into a single category, but 453 rather to provide a framework for describing these tipping points and potentially identifying their 454 455 dominant mechanism. Because different modes may be most compatible with certain analytical methods (e.g., systems dynamics modeling for feedback processes, agent based modeling or 456 network theory for cascading linkages), this classification approach can also assist in identifying 457 458 suitable approaches to better understand and project the tipping point in question.

459 **4 Expert Review of Conceptual Framework**

To obtain preliminary feedback on the conceptual model and the degree to which it could 460 inform practice, we held a series of expert panel discussions with coastal practitioners from local 461 and regional governments, private sector companies, and nonprofit organizations. Expert panels 462 were comprised of ten professionals involved in coastal resilience in urban and rural 463 communities in Virginia, and were interviewed in two sessions in May of 2023. The expert panel 464 review was conducted using a semi-structured process adopted in previous evaluations of social 465 tipping points (van Ginkel et al., 2020; Winkelmann et al., 2022) where participants 466 collectively asked a common set of questions and then discussed those questions freely. Key 467 themes from these discussions are summarized and discussed below. 468

469 4.1 Panel-identified tipping points

470 The first question presented to expert panel participants was, "What are the issues or locations where you think tipping has or could potentially occur in the communities you are 471 familiar with, and why?" Participants identified multiple tipping points including changes in 472 political will, updated regulations, economic changes, and infrastructure disruptions. In 473 communities that had started implementing resilience measures, participants identified the point 474 at which there was sufficient political will to move forward with projects as an important tipping 475 476 point resulting from an accumulation of numerous small pressures and changes. These included increasing "pain points" as flooding resulted in land devaluation, lower tax revenues, and 477 disruptions to everyday life, such as flooded roads and school closures. This tipping point also 478 479 stemmed from changes in problem framing, with one participant stating that they were able to get political support for resilience measures only after they began discussing the problem in 480 terms of the core governance tenets of protecting public health, safety, and welfare. Other panel 481

members, particularly those representing smaller rural communities, considered this a
 prospective tipping point they still had not reached. In these cases, participants identified the

483 prospective upping point they still had not reached. In these cases, participants identified th 484 importance of community education and outreach by locally credible individuals and

485 organizations as a necessary step towards reaching a tipping point that would enable action.

A second type of tipping point identified by panel participants was regulatory changes 486 either encouraging or hindering local-level resilience action. Participants pointed out that many 487 existing regulations were created under very different circumstances than those we are 488 experiencing now, and in some cases hindered the ability of localities or individuals to reduce 489 flood risks. On the other hand, there are currently multiple opportunities that provide federal cost 490 share for local-level adaptive measures. Participants noted that without these programs, localities 491 would have to fully fund flood protection measures, likely leading to to increased retreat from at-492 risk areas. A third category of tipping point was economic transitions, particularly in the seafood 493 and real estate industries. Participants noted that in certain waterfront industries such as seafood 494 495 processing, regular flooding was creating an additional stressor to other challenges such as changing prices and labor shortages, making it increasingly difficult to remain in business. In 496 communities heavily reliant on a single industry, the loss of these businesses could lead to much 497 broader impacts. The real estate industry was also identified as a sector where tipping could 498 occur. Tipping could stem from market changes, such as declining home prices in flood-prone 499 areas, and industry decisions about where they will write mortgages and what risk mitigation 500 measures must be in place. Finally, participants pointed out changes in infrastructure services as 501 an issue that could contribute to tipping points. They were particularly concerned that private 502 utility service providers, such as those that provide cable, internet, and electricity, could 503 eventually decide to pull out of certain areas where providing services is challenging and costly. 504 This could lead to significant impacts in rural communities with few, if any, alternative 505 providers. 506

507 4.2 Conceptual Framework Feedback

The second question asked to the expert panel was, "Do you think the mechanisms of 508 tipping presented in our model would be capable of capturing these potential tipping points? If 509 not, what is missing or unsuitable about the model?" In general, the panel agreed that the 510 mechanisms could capture the tipping points they had identified, but pointed out that the three 511 512 modes were not independent and could occur simultaneously. Participants also noted desirable aspects of a conceptual framework that were not necessarily incompatible with the presented 513 framework, but would need to be explicitly considered to support resilience planning. For 514 example, panel members emphasized the importance of integrating economic, social, and 515 physical components within any model, pointing out that social elements are very important but 516 also difficult to measure and quantify. Representing economic outcomes, including changes in 517 government revenue and the cost of inaction, was also viewed as a crucial component for 518 identifying interventions. Effectively capturing social elements requires not only involving 519 people with relevant expertise (sociologists, political scientists, psychologists, economists) but 520 also developing model structures that can incorporate social components that cannot be 521 quantified with confidence. Participants suggested various processes that could help achieve this, 522 such as starting with a simple, narrowly focused model that was explicit about what variables 523 were included and excluded; conducting a screening process in terms of what could be included 524

with confidence; or developing a weighted or classification system that presented the relative

526 impact of different drivers or processes without explicitly quantifying them.

Participants also pointed out the need for accurately reflecting complexities in physical 527 coastal systems. For example, understanding the societal impacts of flooding and sea level rise 528 requires not just data on the spatial extent of flooding, but more comprehensive information on 529 flood frequency, duration, and depth. Participants also noted that interactions between chronic, 530 repeated events and large floods are poorly understood as these events are often considered 531 independently rather than as a suite of interrelated hazards that now commonly occur. Tidal 532 wetlands were also identified as a physical element not often considered in its full complexity. 533 For example, tidal wetlands play an important role in maintaining water quality, but their ability 534 to do this is degraded by flooding. This can, in turn, lead to impacts such as beach closures due 535 to contamination. The expert panel members noted that these impacts will occur earlier than 536 damage to buildings and residences. Not only must an effective conceptual model capture this 537 complexity, but it also needs to translate the outcomes into a policy-relevant format that can be 538 communicated to various coastal audiences. 539

540 4.3 Panel Priorities for Research and Data Collection

The final question asked to the expert panel was, "For the potential tipping points you 541 identified, what are the uncertainties, data or research needs that present challenges in 542 understanding or preparing for that tipping point?" Multiple participants pointed out the need 543 for more spatially detailed data not only on flood impacts but also on socio-economic data such 544 as housing prices and characteristics, community risk tolerance, and willingness to pay for 545 546 protective measures. For example, panel members pointed out that there could be differences in prices, insurance coverage, and the ability to sell property between waterfront properties and 547 those a few blocks inland. There may also be neighborhood-scale differences in physical 548 building characteristics (e.g., first-floor elevations, which often depend on neighborhood age) 549 and economic characteristics (e.g., rental versus owner-occupied) that have large impacts on 550 vulnerability and risk. A local focus is also needed to understand community tolerance for 551 impacts and risk, as well as political will for adaptive actions. Differences across these factors 552 mean that a tipping point for one community won't be a tipping point for another, and some 553 places may be willing to expend significant financial resources to save a community, while 554 555 others may not. Panel members noted local-scale differences in community values and preferences, such as the relative importance of private property rights or cultural history. Finally, 556 participants noted local-scale differences in community risk perceptions, expectations, and 557 history; for example, in locations where basic infrastructure services are not robust, communities 558 may assume that increased flooding results from infrastructure deficiencies rather than sea level 559 rise. 560

Expert panel members also noted the importance of developing a better understanding of recent changes and possible trajectories of economic and regulatory factors. For example, participants noted that there have been instances where insurance companies have revised their policies for homeowner insurance, and changes in the locations where federal flood insurance is provided. Understanding the factors that prompted these decisions would be helpful for communities in anticipating where similar changes might take place in the future. Finally, participants noted that regulatory policies and programs regarding resilience at the federal, state,

and local level are generally uncoordinated. In the absence of this coordination, there is a need to 568

- identify effective strategies and potential risk reduction pathways that are feasible within this 569 regulatory context.
- 570

5 Research Directions and Priorities 571

572 5.2 Priorities for Tipping Points in Coastal Communites

One of the clearest priorities to emerge from the expert panel review is the need for more 573 comprehensive and salient understanding of the social, political, and economic factors that 574 influence coastal risk and resilience. While the panelists noted some needs for physical system 575 576 data that could support planning efforts (e.g., more comprehensive data on flood depth and duration; road flooding data; better understanding of the role of wetlands in coastal water 577 quality), the bulk of the discussion centered on human systems, the substantial role that they play 578 in resilience efforts, and the high degree of uncertainty in anticipating their behavior. This need 579 for better understanding of human systems is mirrored more broadly; for instance, in agent based 580 models of flood risk, structural uncertainty related to the way that human actions are simulated 581 results in significantly more variability in model outcomes than parametric uncertainty (Yoon et 582 al., 2023). Thus there is a critical need for both additional data on human responses to flood 583 impacts at the individual and institutional level, as well as approaches for integrating this 584 information with physical flood risk data to inform planning. Reliable collection of data on 585 human systems and behaviors is typically time and resource intensive; the use of the framework 586 presented here could provide guidance in the types of data (e.g., those related to linkages and 587 feedbacks) that could best improve understanding of tipping point dynamics. Nevertheless, 588 589 complete characterization of complex human behavior and institutions is likely not feasible in many SES, and the urgent problems related to coastal risk and sea level rise cannot wait for this 590 characterization to occur. Thus, there is a need for transparent analytical approaches that can 591 simulate and explore the social and political outcomes associated with flooding and resilience 592 building efforts despite the limited data available. Expert panelists suggested that even simple, 593 qualitative models or descriptions of social, economic, and political elements could provide 594 useful information, as long as researchers were clear about the limitations, assumptions, and 595 factors excluded within these models. 596

5.1 Empirical Research and Foundational Understanding of Tipping Points 597

Moving from a conceptual perspective into more concrete knowledge that can inform 598 adaptation practice will require empirical research and data collection that synthesizes insights 599 across multiple SES (Lauerburg et al., 2020). The conceptual framework presented here can 600 help guide empirical efforts by suggesting areas on which researchers can focus their efforts to 601 develop a better empirical understanding of processes, linkages, and nonlinear relationships 602 between state variables.. One approach would be to include retrospective SES analyses that have 603 undergone changes consistent with the tipping point concept, which could be evaluated to better 604 understand how system features affect tipping point dynamics (Winkelmann et al., 2022). 605 606 However, retrospective analyses must move beyond individual case studies to produce more generalizable insights that can anticipate tipping points and identify interventions related to 607 them. To this end, targeted efforts to collect comparable data across multiple SES using a 608

609 common framework such as the one presented here could be beneficial in synthesizing

610 information across different locations and contexts.

A greater empirical understanding of SES tipping point dynamics could also point toward 611 the types of monitoring data that can best identify critical system states that precede tipping. 612 Research in biophysical contexts has identified dynamic behavior often preceding tipping, such 613 as a greater perturbation and slower recovery to shocks (Lenton et al., 2019). However, it is 614 unclear to what degree these insights can transfer to SES, where dynamics are less observable 615 and predictable. Monitoring efforts to understand the trajectory of SES through time, and 616 particularly of the social elements of those systems, would help determine if these warning 617 indicators are present in SES and, if so, what conditions lead to more rapid change. However, 618 many of the state variables associated with the population domain (Table 2) are not available in 619 the socio-economic datasets often leveraged for climate impact assessment, such as the U.S. 620 Census. More detailed monitoring of social data through time presents several methodological, 621 logistical, and ethical challenges. Informing data collection and monitoring efforts in a 622 conceptual framework such as the one presented here could assist in identifying the data types 623 (e.g. real estate prices, social-media activity, use of community support services, flood assistance 624 program claims, etc.) that could most effectively describe tipping potential. It is also important 625 not only to monitor state variables within a system, but also people's perceptions of these 626 variables. This is because these perceptions will likely guide public behavior and social system 627 evolution, regardless of whether they align with the objective value of these variables. 628

629 Investigation into where the concept of tipping points sits within the broader context of resilience, sustainability, and social transitions could help determine if methods or concepts from 630 these contexts could improve understanding and anticipation of tipping points. There is a broad 631 literature on social and political system transitions and reorganization that focuses on 632 fundamental system reorganization without requiring nonlinear or feedback-driven changes (e.g., 633 van den Bergh et al., 2019; Herrfahrdt-Pähle et al., 2020). This broader view of SES 634 transitions may prove more impactful than focusing on tipping points alone, as it can contrast the 635 conditions or characteristics associated with rapid, highly impactful changes (including tipping 636 points) with those that lead to more gradual transitions or minor impacts. In comparing tipping 637 points to resilience, tipping points could be considered an extreme case of a non-resilient system: 638 a change which is unrecoverable, where the system never returns to the prior state. However, a 639 key difference is that resilience is often centered on recovery to the existing stable state 640 following extreme events, whereas tipping points definitions tend to include the notion that 641 impacts can result from relatively minor contributing events. 642

643 5.2 Development and Use of Computational Models of Tipping Points

Computational models can serve an important role in managing SES complexity by 644 facilitating the exploration of different alternative scenarios, assumptions, or management 645 strategies. While integrated models that combine physical and social elements are common in 646 climate impact analyses, a number of challenges undermine the degree to which these models 647 lead to generalizable findings or support practical adaptation decision-making. Simulating 648 649 interactions between multiple system components (e.g., natural processes, physical infrastructure, and human components), and particularly human behaviors that are difficult to 650 predict or represent mathematically, introduces considerable uncertainty into computational 651

models. Multiple methods exist for representing human behavior in integrated models, and the

- use of different approaches or parameterizations greatly impacts model outcomes (Yoon et al.,
 2022). These issues and uncertainties undermine the degree to which models can be used in a
- 654 **2022**). These issues and uncertainties undermine the degree to which models can be used in a classical consolidative or predictive approach, where data are consolidated to represent the best
- available projection of how the system of interest would evolve under certain conditions
- 657 (Bankes, 1993). However, it is still possible to leverage computational models in an exploratory
- 658 sense, which begins with an acknowledgement that models of complex, deeply uncertain systems
- are unlikely to be effective as predictive aids or complete representations of the systems they
- represent. Instead, models are used as deliberative aids that can simulate complex phenomena,
- enumerate possible assumptions and outcomes, and systematically explore the implications of
- different uncertainties (Moallemi et al., 2020). They can also help address cognitive limitations that hinder our ability to conceive of important systems-level features such as feedback loops,
- time delays, and nonlinearity by demonstrating the impact that these features have on system
- characteristics, behaviors, and outcomes (Atkins et al., 2002; Brehmer, 1992; Sterman, 2002).

There are multiple ways in which exploratory modeling grounded in the framework 666 presented here could improve our understanding and management of SES tipping points. For 667 example, previous simulation research has demonstrated that multiple transition pathways can 668 lead to tipping (Mathias et al., 2020) and that a capacity for regime shifts exists in urban water 669 supply systems (Krueger et al., 2019). Exploratory modeling could be used to identify these 670 pathways and regimes, as well as the conditions that characterize them. The concept of pathways 671 or roadmaps, which are potential sequences of adaptive actions that can be taken through time to 672 respond to climatic changes, has been applied within the context of infrastructure adaptation 673 (e.g., Haasnoot et al., 2013; Lawrence, Judy et al., 2019) and could be useful in identifying 674 various pathways towards tipping points within SES. Exploratory modeling can also assist in 675 identifying unintended consequences that can arise from interventions addressing issues within a 676 single system component without considering their broader impacts. Even in biophysical 677 678 systems, there are examples of interventions that ultimately had negative consequences (e.g., introducing species for pest control that become invasive). There is arguably an even greater 679 possibility for unintended consequences in SES. Exploratory modeling can thus be used to elicit 680 a range of possible outcomes to an intervention, as well as protective measures and adjustments 681 that could guard against the worst outcomes. 682

683 5.3 Connecting Tipping Points Research with Practical Adaptation

Much of the motivation for researching tipping points is grounded in a desire to better 684 understand the potential for severe climate change impacts and encourage positive changes to 685 support climate mitigation and resilience. Therefore, it is important to pursue research that not 686 just improves our foundational understanding of tipping points, but also supports policies and 687 decision-making. One notable outcome from our expert panel review was that many of the 688 tipping points identified by panel participants do not necessarily share the tipping point 689 characteristics identified by the research community. For example, changes in political will or 690 economic industries do not necessarily rely on feedback mechanisms. Thus, some degree of 691 flexibility may be required among researchers aiming to support practical actions related to 692 tipping points. The complexity and unpredictability inherent in SES tipping points likely 693 undermine prescriptive approaches to decision support; however, existing decision-support 694 695 methods aimed combining analysis with deliberative planning could be an avenue for actionable recommendations (Lempert, 2019). Previous reviews have suggested multiple ways of

697 "operationalizing" tipping points research to encourage positive change, including creating

conditions that would enable desired tipping points, sensing the potential for a tipping point, and

triggering this tipping (Lenton et al., 2022). Similarly, Winkelmann et al. (2022) propose the notion of a "critical state," where a single or small number of actions can effect systemic

changes. In this perspective, the event that triggers a tipping point is less important than the

- conditions that enable that change. Thus, identifying the strategic actions that can move a system
- towards a critical state is crucial in encouraging these transformations in practice (Tàbara et al.,
- 704 **2022)**.

Finally, an important next step will be developing models and methods to embed the 705 tipping points concept within processes for broader engagement across diverse groups of 706 practitioners and stakeholders. The complexity and uncertainty that make predicting and 707 modeling SES tipping points challenging also present difficulty in communicating these 708 709 dynamics to general audiences. Developing of stakeholder-informed system maps to identify feedbacks and interconnections can support a more comprehensive view of potential tipping 710 points (Lenton et al., 2022). Computational models can assist in communicating complexity and 711 uncertainty through activities such as serious games (Flood et al., 2018) and collaborative 712 modeling (Langsdale et al., 2013). While stakeholder involvement is crucial, it can also be 713 demanding and require considerable effort from people with limited time to participate in 714 research activities. Developing strategies to reduce the burden on stakeholders could facilitate 715 their engagement with tipping point assessments. For example, the time and effort required from 716 717 the stakeholders could be reduced by developing archetypes of tipping points that discuss their enabling conditions and modes of tipping but can be adjusted to individual contexts. 718

719 6 Conclusions

720 The concept of climatic tipping points in socio-environmental systems is gaining interest as a potential mechanism for understanding and, in some instances, encouraging rapid shifts in 721 response to climate change. This work presents a conceptual framework for describing climate-722 related tipping points in socio-environmental systems. We use a descriptive framework 723 consisting of elements, state variables, processes, linkages, and exogenous forces as a means to 724 describe SES. Within this framework, we consider three mechanisms by which tipping points 725 726 could incur: feedback processes, cascading linkages, and nonlinear relationships. An expert panel reviewing this framework found that it could be used to describe past and future tipping points 727 observed in their professional experience. Namely, they identified four critical tipping points in 728 coastal systems challenged by flooding and sea level rise: political will, regulatory changes, 729 economic transitions, and infrastructure services. Experts also pointed toward several challenges 730 in representing and anticipating these tipping points, including the need for a more sophisticated 731 732 representation of social, political, and economic processes. This points towards several priorities for future research that could improve the understanding of tipping potential for risk reduction 733 and transformative adaptation. These priorities include a better empirical understanding of 734 tipping processes in SES; the development and utilization of computational models that can 735 provide insight into tipping potential and simulate potential outcomes of interventions; and 736 developing methods for translating tipping point research into practical decision making. We 737 propose the descriptive framework presented here as a common baseline for structuring future 738

- research on climate-related socio-environmental tipping points that can ultimately support
- transformative adaptation in the face of climate change.

741 Acknowledgments

- The authors declare no real or perceived financial conflicts of interest or affiliations that may be perceived as having a conflict of interest with respect to the results of this paper. This work was financially supported by a grant from the Virginia Tech's Center for Coastal Studies.
- 745 We would like to thank expert panel participants for their valuable contributions and insights.
- 746

747 **Open Research**

748 749 No specific data were created for this manuscript.

750 **References**

- Atkins, P. W. B., Wood, R. E., & Rutgers, P. J. (2002). The effects of feedback format on
 dynamic decision making. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*,
 88(2), 587–604. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0749-5978(02)00002-X
- Bankes, S. (1993). Exploratory Modeling for Policy Analysis. *Operations Research*, 41(3), 435–
 449. https://doi.org/10.1287/opre.41.3.435
- Barbrook-Johnson, P., & Penn, A. S. (2022). System Dynamics. In P. Barbrook-Johnson & A. S.
 Penn (Eds.), Systems Mapping: How to build and use causal models of systems (pp. 113– 128). Cham: Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-01919-7 8
- Bell, S. (2012). DPSIR=A Problem Structuring Method? An exploration from the "Imagine" approach. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 222(2), 350–360. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejor.2012.04.029
- van den Bergh, J. C. J. M., Savin, I., & Drews, S. (2019). Evolution of opinions in the growth-vsenvironment debate: Extended replicator dynamics. *Futures*, *109*, 84–100.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.futures.2019.02.024
- Bey, G., McDougall, C., & Schoedinger, S. (2020). *Report on the NOAA Office of Education Environmental Literacy Program Community Resilience Education Theory of Change.* Washington, D.C.: NOAA. Retrieved from
- https://www.noaa.gov/education/explainers/noaas-community-resilience-education theory-of-change
- Brehmer, B. (1992). Dynamic decision making: Human control of complex systems. *Acta Psychologica*, *81*(3), 211–241. https://doi.org/10.1016/0001-6918(92)90019-A
- Carrington, P. J., Scott, J., & Wasserman, S. (2005). *Models and Methods in Social Network Analysis*. Cambridge University Press.
- Elsawah, S., Pierce, S. A., Hamilton, S. H., van Delden, H., Haase, D., Elmahdi, A., & Jakeman,
 A. J. (2017). An overview of the system dynamics process for integrated modelling of
 socio-ecological systems: Lessons on good modelling practice from five case studies. *Environmental Modelling & Software*, 93, 127–145.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2017.03.001
- 779 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.201/.03.001
- Fedele, G., Donatti, C., Harvey, C., Hannah, L., & Hole, D. (2020). Limited use of
 transformative adaptation in response to social-ecological shifts driven by climate
 change. *Ecology and Society*, 25(1). https://doi.org/10.5751/ES-11381-250125
- Flood, S., Cradock-Henry, N. A., Blackett, P., & Edwards, P. (2018). Adaptive and interactive
 climate futures: systematic review of 'serious games' for engagement and decision-

785 786	making. Environmental Research Letters, 13(6), 063005. https://doi.org/10.1088/1748- 9326/aac1c6
700	Cari S. P. Nowton A. & Icoly, I. D. (2015). A review of the application and evolution of the
787 788	DPSIR framework with an emphasis on coastal social-ecological systems. <i>Ocean &</i>
789	Coastal Management, 103, 63–77, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2014.11.013
790	van Ginkel K C H Botzen W I W Haasnoot M Bachner G Steininger K W Hinkel I
791	et al. (2020). Climate change induced socio-economic tipping points: review and
792	stakeholder consultation for policy relevant research <i>Environmental Research Letters</i>
793	15(2) 023001 https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ab6395
794	Gregory A J Atkins J P Burdon D & Elliott M (2013) A problem structuring method for
795	ecosystem-based management: The DPSIR modelling process. <i>European Journal of</i>
796	Operational Research, 227(3), 558–569, https://doi.org/10.1016/i.ejor.2012.11.020
797	Haasnoot, M., Kwakkel, J. H., Walker, W. E., & ter Maat, J. (2013). Dynamic adaptive policy
798	pathways: A method for crafting robust decisions for a deeply uncertain world. <i>Global</i>
799	Environmental Change, 23(2), 485–498, https://doi.org/10.1016/i.gloenvcha.2012.12.006
800	Hall, K. L., Vogel, A. L., Stipelman, B., Stokols, D., Morgan, G., & Gehlert, S. (2012). A Four-
801	Phase Model of Transdisciplinary Team-Based Research: Goals, Team Processes, and
802	Strategies. Translational Behavioral Medicine, 2(4), 415–430.
803	https://doi.org/10.1007/s13142-012-0167-v
804	Herrfahrdt-Pähle, E., Schlüter, M., Olsson, P., Folke, C., Gelcich, S., & Pahl-Wostl, C. (2020).
805	Sustainability transformations: socio-political shocks as opportunities for governance
806	transitions. Global Environmental Change, 63, 102097.
807	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2020.102097
808	Hesarkazzazi, S., Bakhshipour, A. E., Hajibabaei, M., Dittmer, U., Haghighi, A., & Sitzenfrei, R.
809	(2022). Battle of centralized and decentralized urban stormwater networks: From
810	redundancy perspective. Water Research, 222, 118910.
811	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2022.118910
812	Krueger, E. H., Borchardt, D., Jawitz, J. W., Klammler, H., Yang, S., Zischg, J., & Rao, P. S. C.
813	(2019). Resilience Dynamics of Urban Water Supply Security and Potential of Tipping
814	Points. Earth's Future, 7(10), 1167–1191. https://doi.org/10.1029/2019EF001306
815	Langsdale, S., Beall, A., Bourget, E., Hagen, E., Kudlas, S., Palmer, R., et al. (2013).
816	Collaborative Modeling for Decision Support in Water Resources: Principles and Best
817	Practices. JAWRA Journal of the American Water Resources Association, 49(3), 629-
818	638. https://doi.org/10.1111/jawr.12065
819	Lauerburg, R. A. M., Diekmann, R., Blanz, B., Gee, K., Held, H., Kannen, A., et al. (2020).
820	Socio-ecological vulnerability to tipping points: A review of empirical approaches and
821	their use for marine management. Science of The Total Environment, 705, 135838.
822	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.135838
823	Lawrence, Judy, Haasnoot, Marjolijn, McKim, Laura, Atapattu, Dayasiri, Campbell, Graeme, &
824	Stroombergen, Adolf. (2019). Dynamic Adaptive Policy Pathways (DAPP): From Theory
825	to Practice. In Decision Making Under Deep Uncertainty: From Theory to Practice (pp.
826	187–199). Springer.
827	Lempert, R. (2019). Robust Decision Making (RDM). In Decision Making Under Deep
828	Uncertainty: From Theory to Practice (pp. 23-52). Springer.
829	Lempert, R. J., Popper, S. W., & Bankes, S. C. (2003). Shaping the next one hundred years: new
830	methods for quantitative, long-term policy analysis. Santa Monica, CA: RAND.

831	Lenton, T. M. (2020). Tipping positive change. <i>Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society</i>
832	B: Biological Sciences, 375(1794), 20190123. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2019.0123
833	Lenton, T. M., Rockström, J., Gaffney, O., Rahmstorf, S., Richardson, K., Steffen, W., &
834	Schellnhuber, H. J. (2019). Climate tipping points — too risky to bet against. Nature,
835	575(7784), 592–595. https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-019-03595-0
836	Lenton, T. M., Benson, S., Smith, T., Ewer, T., Lanel, V., Petykowski, E., et al. (2022).
837	Operationalising positive tipping points towards global sustainability. Global
838	Sustainability, 5, e1. https://doi.org/10.1017/sus.2021.30
839	Mathias, JD., Anderies, J. M., Baggio, J., Hodbod, J., Huet, S., Janssen, M. A., et al. (2020).
840	Exploring non-linear transition pathways in social-ecological systems. Scientific Reports,
841	10(1), 4136. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-59713-w
842	Milkoreit, M., Hodbod, J., Baggio, J., Benessaiah, K., Calderón-Contreras, R., Donges, J. F., et
843	al. (2018). Defining tipping points for social-ecological systems scholarship—an
844	interdisciplinary literature review. Environmental Research Letters, 13(3), 033005.
845	https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/aaaa75
846	Mingers, J., & Rosenhead, J. (2004). Problem structuring methods in action. European Journal
847	of Operational Research, 152(3), 530-554. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0377-
848	2217(03)00056-0
849	Moallemi, E. A., Kwakkel, J., de Haan, F. J., & Bryan, B. A. (2020). Exploratory modeling for
850	analyzing coupled human-natural systems under uncertainty. Global Environmental
851	Change, 65, 102186. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2020.102186
852	Patrício, J., Elliott, M., Mazik, K., Papadopoulou, KN., & Smith, C. J. (2016). DPSIR-Two
853	Decades of Trying to Develop a Unifying Framework for Marine Environmental
854	Management? Frontiers in Marine Science, 3. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2016.00177
855	Pielke, R. A. (1999). Nine Fallacies of Floods. Climatic Change, 42(2), 413–438.
856	https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1005457318876
857	Sterman, J. D. (2002). All models are wrong: reflections on becoming a systems scientist. System
858	<i>Dynamics Review</i> , 18(4), 501–531. https://doi.org/10.1002/sdr.261
859	Sweet, W. V., & Park, J. (2014). From the extreme to the mean: Acceleration and tipping points
860	of coastal inundation from sea level rise. <i>Earth's Future</i> , 2(12), 579–600.
861	https://doi.org/10.1002/2014EF000272
862	Tabara, J. D., Lieu, J., Zaman, R., Ismail, C., & Takama, T. (2022). On the discovery and
863	enactment of positive socio-ecological tipping points: insights from energy systems
864	interventions in Bangladesh and Indonesia. Sustainability Science, 17(2), 565–571.
865	https://doi.org/10.100//s11625-021-01050-6
866	Tan, W. J., Zhang, A. N., & Cai, W. (2019). A graph-based model to measure structural
867	redundancy for supply chain resilience. International Journal of Production Research,
868	5/(20), 6385–6404. https://doi.org/10.1080/0020/543.2019.1566666
869	Wang, Y., Taylor, J. E., & Garvin, M. J. (2020). Measuring Resilience of Human–Spatial
870	Systems to Disasters: Framework Combining Spatial-Network Analysis and Fisher
871	Information. Journal of Management in Engineering, 36(4), 04020019.
872	nttps://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)ME.1943-54/9.0000/82
873	Wilhelmsson, M., Ismail, M., & Warsame, A. (2021). Gentrification effects on housing prices in
874	neighbouring areas. International Journal of Housing Markets and Analysis, 15(4), 910–
875	929. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJHMA-04-2021-0049

- Winkelmann, R., Donges, J. F., Smith, E. K., Milkoreit, M., Eder, C., Heitzig, J., et al. (2022).
 Social tipping processes towards climate action: A conceptual framework. *Ecological Economics*, 192, 107242. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2021.107242
 Yletyinen, J., Brown, P., Pech, R., Hodges, D., Hulme, P. E., Malcolm, T. F., et al. (2019).
 Understanding and Managing Social–Ecological Tipping Points in Primary Industries. *BioScience*, 69(5), 335–347. https://doi.org/10.1093/biosci/biz031
- Yoon, J., Romero-Lankao, P., Yang, Y. C. E., Klassert, C., Urban, N., Kaiser, K., et al. (2022). A
 Typology for Characterizing Human Action in MultiSector Dynamics Models. *Earth's Future*, 10(8), e2021EF002641. https://doi.org/10.1029/2021EF002641
- Yoon, J., Wan, H., Daniel, B., Srikrishnan, V., & Judi, D. (2023). Structural model choices
 regularly overshadow parametric uncertainty in agent-based simulations of household
 flood risk outcomes. *Computers, Environment and Urban Systems, 103*, 101979.
- 888 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compenvurbsys.2023.101979
- 889