Quantifying magma overpressure beneath a submarine caldera: A mechanical modeling approach to tsunamigenic trapdoor faulting near Kita-Ioto Island, Japan

Osamu Sandanbata¹ and Tatsuhiko Saito²

 $^{1}\mathrm{Earth}$ quake Research Institute, The University of Tokyo $^{2}\mathrm{National}$ Research Institute for Earth Science and Disaster Resilience

December 1, 2023

Abstract

Submarine volcano monitoring is vital for assessing volcanic hazards but challenging in remote and inaccessible environments. In the vicinity of Kita-Ioto Island, south of Japan, unusual M^{-5} non-double-couple volcanic earthquakes exhibited quasi-regular repetition near a submarine caldera. Following the 2008 earthquake, a distant ocean bottom pressure sensor recorded a distinct tsunami signal. In this study, we aim to find a source model of the tsunami-generating earthquake and quantify the preseismic magma overpressure within the caldera's magma reservoir. Based on the earthquake's atypical focal mechanism and efficient tsunami generation, we hypothesize that submarine trapdoor faulting occurred due to highly pressurized magma. To investigate this hypothesis, we establish a mechanical earthquake model that links pre-seismic magma overpressure to the size of the resulting trapdoor faulting, by considering stress interaction between a ring-fault system and a reservoir of the caldera. The model reproduces the observed tsunami waveform data. Our estimates indicate trapdoor faulting with large fault slip occurred in the critically stressed submarine caldera accommodating pre-seismic magma overpressure of ~10 MPa. The model infers that the earthquake partially reduced magma overpressure by 10–20%, indicating that the magmatic system maintained high stress levels even after the earthquake. Due to limited data, uncertainties persist, and alternative source geometries of trapdoor faulting could lead to estimate variations. These results suggest that magmatic systems beneath calderas are influenced much by intra-caldera fault systems. Monitoring and investigation of volcanic tsunamis and earthquakes help to obtain quantitative insights into submarine volcanism hidden under the ocean.

1	Quantifying magma overpressure beneath a submarine caldera:
2	A mechanical modeling approach to tsunamigenic trapdoor faulting near Kita-Ioto
3	Island, Japan
4	
5	Osamu Sandanbata ^{1,2†} , and Tatsuhiko Saito ¹
6	
7	¹ National Research Institute for Earth Science and Disaster Resilience, Ibaraki, Japan.
8	² Now at Earthquake Research Institute, the University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan.
9	
10	Corresponding author: Osamu Sandanbata (<u>osm3@eri.u-tokyo.ac.jp</u>)
11	
12	Key Points (<140 characters):
13	• Non-double-couple earthquakes with seismic magnitudes of 5.2–5.3 recurred in the
14	vicinity of a submarine caldera near Kita-Ioto Island.
15	• A mechanical model of trapdoor faulting based on tsunami data of the 2008 earthquake
16	infers pre-seismic overpressure in a magma reservoir.
17	• Uncertainty in fault geometry varies our estimate of pre-seismic overpressure (5–20
18	MPa) and co-seismic pressure drop ratio (10-40 %).
19	

20 Abstract

Submarine volcano monitoring is vital for assessing volcanic hazards but challenging in remote 21 and inaccessible environments. In the vicinity of Kita-Ioto Island, south of Japan, unusual $M \sim 5$ 22 non-double-couple volcanic earthquakes exhibited quasi-regular recurrence near a submarine 23 caldera. Following the earthquakes in 2008 and 2015, a distant ocean bottom pressure sensor 24 recorded distinct tsunami signals. In this study, we aim to find a source model of the tsunami-25 generating earthquake and quantify the pre-seismic magma overpressure within the caldera's 26 27 magma reservoir. Based on the earthquake's characteristic focal mechanism and efficient tsunami 28 generation, we hypothesize that submarine trapdoor faulting occurred due to highly pressurized magma. To investigate this hypothesis, we establish mechanical earthquake models that link pre-29 30 seismic magma overpressure to the size of the resulting trapdoor faulting, by considering stress interaction between a ring-fault system and a reservoir of the caldera. The trapdoor faulting with 31 32 large fault slip due to magma-induced shear stress in the submarine caldera reproduces well the observed tsunami waveform. Due to limited data, uncertainties in the fault geometry persist, 33 34 leading to variations of magma overpressure estimation: the pre-seismic magma overpressure ranging approximately from 5 to 20 MPa, and the co-seismic pressure drop ratio from 10 to 35 40 %. Although better constraints on the fault geometry are required for robust magma pressure 36 quantification, this study shows that magmatic systems beneath calderas are influenced 37 38 significantly by intra-caldera fault systems and that tsunamigenic trapdoor faulting provides rare opportunities to obtain quantitative insights into remote submarine volcanism hidden under the 39 ocean. 40

41

42 Plain Language Summary

Monitoring submarine volcanoes is essential to understand and prepare for potential volcanic hazards in/around oceans, but it's challenging because these volcanoes are located in inaccessible environments. In a submarine volcano with a caldera structure in south of Japan, unusual volcanic earthquakes took place every several years. After one of these earthquakes in 2008, a pressure sensor deployed on the sea bottom recorded a clear signal of tsunami waves. By utilizing the tsunami signal from the earthquake, we attempt to measure how much magma pressure was building up beneath the volcano before the earthquake. Assuming that the

earthquake happened with sudden rupture on an intra-caldera fault system due to highly pressurized magma beneath the volcano, we develop a method to assess the built-up magma pressure through quantification of the earthquake and tsunami sizes. By applying the method, we estimate that the volcanic edifice was under a highly stressed condition before the earthquake, suggesting active magma accumulation process that has continued beneath the volcano. Signals emitted from volcanic earthquakes under oceans shed light on the activity of poorly monitored submarine volcanoes.

57 **1 Introduction**

58 Investigation of the magma pressure beneath volcanoes is important for forecasting eruptions and assessing their eruption potential. The overpressure of magma, or the excess 59 magma pressure relative to the stress in the surrounding host rock, induces diverse volcanic 60 unrest, such as deformation, seismicity, or gas emission, potentially triggering a volcanic 61 eruption when the pressure exceeds the strength of the host rock (Sparks, 2003). Previous studies 62 have tried to detect signals of volcanic unrest to examine the magma pressure and/or the stress 63 state of the host rock (Anderson et al., 2019; Gregg et al., 2018; Le Mével et al., 2016; Massa et 64 al., 2016; Segall & Anderson, 2021). Recently, mechanics-based numerical models have been 65 developed to establish links between magma overpressure to surface deformation observed by 66 on-site instruments and/or satellites. These models helped to quantify the sub-surface 67 pressure/stress state, tracking the change over time leading up to eruptions (Cabaniss et al., 2020; 68 Gregg et al., 2022; Segall & Anderson, 2021). These previous studies provided quantitative 69 insights into the eruption triggering due to the magma overpressure. Thus, magma pressure or 70 71 stress status in volcanoes can be vital proxies for assessing the potentials and the timings of eruptions. 72

Submarine volcanoes have the potential to bring severe damage to local and even global societies with volcanic tsunamis, as highlighted by recent tsunami events related to the 15 January 2022 eruption of Hunga Tonga-Hunga Ha'apai, Tonga (e.g., Kubota et al., 2022; Lynett et al., 2022; Purkis et al., 2023; Borrero et al., 2023; Kubo et al., 2022), or the 22 December 2018 eruption of Anak Krakatau, Indonesia (e.g., Grilli et al., 2019; Muhari et al., 2019; Heidarzadeh, Ishibe, et al., 2020; Heidarzadeh, Putra et al., 2020; Mulia et al., 2020; Ye et al., 2020), and by historical events listed in Day (2015) and Paris et al. (2014), some of which caused over

80 hundreds to thousands of fatalities. Yet, it is often challenging to investigate submarine

volcanoes due to the lack of on-site monitoring systems. Many previous studies remotely

82 detected geophysical signals from submarine volcanoes, such as, seawater acoustic waves (Metz

et al., 2016; Tepp & Dziak, 2021), seismic waves (Cesca et al., 2020; Saurel et al., 2021;

84 Sugioka et al., 2001), or tsunami waves (Fukao et al., 2018; Sandanbata et al., 2018; Y. Wang et

al., 2019), shedding light on volcanic processes in submarine volcanoes. However, only a limited

86 number of studies have utilized these remote signals to examine the magma pressure or the stress

87 state of submarine volcanoes.

In this paper, we aim to investigate the magma overpressure and the stress status in a 88 submarine caldera near Kita-Ioto Island, south of Japan, by studying a volcanic earthquake 89 90 driven by the sub-caldera magma accumulation. We first report volcanic earthquakes with 91 seismic magnitudes of M_{W} ~5 that recurred near the caldera, and show that one of the earthquakes 92 in 2008 caused a tsunami that traveled in the ocean over the distance of about 1,000 km. We then develop a mechanical model of the earthquake to quantitatively link the sub-caldera magma 93 94 overpressure to the earthquake size and thereby the tsunami size. By combining the tsunami 95 waveform data with the mechanical model, we estimate the magma overpressure that drove the volcanic earthquake, as well as explain the tsunami generation. We discuss the variation in our 96 97 magma overpressure estimate, the comparison with previous studies, the model validation with 98 seismic data, the trapdoor faulting recurrence, and the limitation of our proposed modeling 99 approach, and conclude by highlighting the significant potential of studying submarine trapdoor faulting for remote quantification of caldera volcanism in the ocean. 100

101 2 Tsunami signal from a volcanic earthquake at Kita-Ioto submarine caldera

102 Kita-Ioto Island is an inhabited island in the Izu-Bonin Arc, to the northwest of which a submarine caldera with a size of 12 km x 8 km is located, hereafter called *Kita-Ioto caldera* 103 104 (Figures 1a-1c). While no historical eruption on the island has been reported, past submarine 105 eruptions were found at a submarine vent called Funka Asane on a major cone within the caldera structure (Figure 1c). According to Japan Meteorological Agency (2013), the latest eruptions of 106 Funka Asane were reported between 1930 to 1945, and its volcanic activity has been recently 107 inferred from sea-color changes and underwater gas emission near the vent (Ossaka et al., 1994). 108 In March 2022, Japan Meteorological Agency (2022) reported ash-like clouds near Kita-Ioto 109

Island and suggested the possibility of an eruption, but it is not clear whether the clouds were caused by an eruption or by meteorological factors. Thus, the volcanic activity of the submarine caldera has not been understood well.

Active volcanism of Kita-Ioto caldera shows unique seismic activity characterized by 113 shallow earthquakes near the caldera repeating every 2-5 years, in 2008, 2010, 2015, 2017, and 114 2019, in addition to that in 1992 (Figure 1c; Table S1). As the focal mechanism of the 115 earthquake in 2008 represents in Figure 1c, these six earthquakes reported in the Global Centroid 116 Moment Tensor (GCMT) catalog (Ekström et al., 2012) similarly had seismic magnitudes of M_w 117 118 5.2–5.3 and non-double-couple moment tensors with large compensated-linear-vector-dipole (CLVD) components (Figure S1). Such types of earthquakes at a shallow depth in volcanic or 119 geothermal environments are often called vertical-CLVD earthquakes (e.g., Shuler, Nettles, & 120 Ekström, 2013; Sandanbata, Kanamori, et al., 2021), which can be categorized into two types: 121 122 vertical-T CLVD earthquakes with a nearly vertical tension and vertical-P CLVD earthquakes with a nearly vertical pressure axis. In recent caldera studies, vertical-T earthquakes were 123 124 observed in caldera inflation phases (Bell et al., 2021; Glastonbury-Southern et al., 2022; Jónsson, 2009; Sandanbata et al., 2021), whereas vertical-P earthquakes coincided with caldera 125 collapse and formation (Gudmundsson et al., 2016; Lai et al., 2021; Michon et al., 2007; Riel et 126 al., 2015; Rodríguez-Cardozo et al., 2021). The earthquakes near Kita-Ioto caldera fall into the 127 128 vertical-T type, implying their association with the caldera inflation.

Yet, the mechanisms of shallow vertical-CLVD earthquakes are often indistinguishable 129 only from the seismic characters, due to weak constraint on parts of moment tensor components 130 $(M_{r\theta} \text{ and } M_{r\phi})$ (Kanamori & Given, 1981; Sandanbata, Kanamori, et al., 2021) and a tradeoff 131 between the vertical-CLVD and isotropic components (Kawakatsu, 1996). These ambiguities 132 leave room for different interpretations for the earthquake mechanism, such as fault slips in 133 calderas, deformation of a magma reservoir, or volume change due to heated fluid injection, as 134 previously proposed for similar vertical-CLVD earthquakes (Shuler, Ekström, & Nettles, 2013, 135 136 and references therein).

Following the earthquake that occurred at 13:10 on 12 June 2008 (UTC), a tsunami-like signal was recorded by an ocean-bottom-pressure (OBP) gauge with a sampling interval of 15 s of the station 52404, ~1,000 km away from the caldera, of Deep-ocean Assessment and

Reporting of Tsunamis (DART) system (Bernard & Meinig, 2011) (Figure 1a). Figure 1d shows 140 the OBP data, which we obtain by removing the tidal component from and by applying the 141 bandpass (2-10 mHz) Butterworth filter to the raw record. The OBP data demonstrates that clear 142 oscillations with the maximum pressure of $\sim 2 \text{ mm H}_2\text{O}$ started $\sim 5,000 \text{ s}$ after the earthquake 143 origin time. Our calculation using the Geoware TTT (Tsunami Travel Time) software (Geoware, 144 2011) estimates that the tsunami would have arrived \sim 5,050 s after the origin time (Figure S2), if 145 a tsunami was generated in the center of Kita-Ioto caldera at the earthquake timing. The 146 estimated tsunami arrival time agrees well with the timing when the oscillation starts in the OBP 147 record (Figure 1d). Our spectrogram analysis for the OBP waveform record (Figure S3) shows 148 that lower-frequency oscillations, starting around the estimated tsunami arrival time, are 149 followed by higher-frequency signals. This frequency-dependent character with later arrivals of 150 higher-frequency components is typical for tsunami waves with the dispersion that traveled over 151 long distances (e.g., Saito et al., 2010; Sandanbata et al., 2018). Hence, it is very likely that the 152 OBP gauge captured a tsunami signal from the 2008 earthquake at Kita-Ioto caldera. 153

154 **3 Hypothetical source mechanism**

Given the tsunami generation by the vertical-T CLVD earthquake at Kita-Ioto caldera, 155 hereafter we call Kita-Ioto caldera earthquake, we hypothesize the trapdoor faulting mechanism 156 in the inflating caldera, or sudden slip of an intra-caldera ring fault interacting with a sill-like 157 magma reservoir accommodating highly pressurized magma. This hypothesis is mainly from 158 analogy with other better-studied calderas, which accompanied vertical-T CLVD earthquakes 159 causing large caldera deformation or tsunamis. The trapdoor faulting accompanying a vertical-T 160 CLVD earthquake of M_{W} ~5 was first reported in a subaerial caldera of Sierra Negra volcano in 161 the Galapagos Islands, where the phenomenon occurred several times and caused the caldera 162 uplift of a few meters by each event (Amelung et al., 2000; Gregg et al., 2018; Jónsson, 2009; 163 Shreve & Delgado, 2023; Zheng et al., 2022). Recently, Sandanbata et al. (2022; 2023) revealed 164 165 that trapdoor faulting repeated with $M_{\rm W}$ 5.4–5.8 vertical-T CLVD earthquakes and generated large tsunamis at two submarine calderas: Sumisu caldera in the Izu-Bonin Arc (Sandanbata et 166 al., 2022), and a submerged caldera near Curtis Island, or Curtis caldera, in the Kermadec Arc 167 (Sandanbata et al., 2023). Those submarine earthquakes are particularly similar to the 2008 Kita-168

Ioto caldera earthquake in terms of seismic and tsunami characters, and source environments incalderas.

171 **4 Methodology**

In this section, we describe the methodology to construct a 3-D mechanical model of trapdoor faulting and to apply it to the tsunami data of the 2008 Kita-Ioto caldera earthquake. Through the application, we attempt to reproduce the tsunami data and estimate the sub-caldera magma overpressure that drove the tsunamigenic earthquake.

176 4.1 Mechanical model of trapdoor faulting

We consider the 3-D half-space elastic medium of the host rock with an intra-caldera ring fault and a horizontal crack filled with magma (Figure 2). The ring fault and the horizontal crack are discretized into small triangular meshes, or sub-faults and sub-crack (with N_F and N_C meshes), respectively. The crack is assumed to have a finite inner volume and filled with compressible magma. Note that we do not consider viscoelasticity or heterogeneous rheology of the host rock, as the limitations are discussed later in Section 6.5.3.

183 We assume that trapdoor faulting is driven by magma overpressure in the crack, as follows; before trapdoor faulting, continuous magma input into the crack gradually increases the 184 inner pressure and volume, and causes elastic stress in the host rock, accumulating shear stress 185 on the ring fault; when the shear stress on the fault overcomes its strength, trapdoor faulting 186 187 takes place. In the following, we model trapdoor faulting as a dislocation model that combines sudden and interactive processes of dip-slip on the fault with stress drop, deformation (vertical 188 opening/closure) of the crack with volume change, and pressure change of the magma in the 189 crack. Note that, some previous studies used the terminology of trapdoor faulting to refer to only 190 191 the fault part (e.g., Amelung et al., 2000), while we consider it as the composite process involving both the fault and the magma-filled crack. 192

193 Pre-seismic elastic stress in the host rock

As a reference state, we consider that the magma pressure p_0 in the crack is in equilibrium with the background stress σ_{ij}^0 in the host rock due to the lithostatic and seawater loading, and that the background differential stress as zero. If we take the stress in the host rock

as positive when it is compression, the background stress at an arbitrary position in the referencestate is expressed as:

199
$$\sigma_{ij}^0 = (\rho_h z + \rho_s H)g\delta_{ij}, \dots (1)$$

where ρ_h and z are the host rock density and the arbitrary depth in the host rock, respectively, ρ_s and H are the seawater density and the approximated thickness of the overlying seawater layer, respectively, g is the gravitational acceleration, and δ_{ij} is the Kronecker's delta. The magma pressure in the reference state is expressed as follows:

204
$$p_0 = (\rho_h z_0 + \rho_s H)g. - (2)$$

where z_0 is the depth of the horizontal crack, respectively.

206 We assume that long-term magma input into the crack increases the magma overpressure and opens the crack vertically, and that the resultant crack deformation changes the stress in the 207 host rock. Thus, the shear stress is accumulated on the fault, which eventually causes trapdoor 208 faulting. Magma pressure in the pre-seismic state, just before trapdoor faulting, is assumed to be 209 spatially uniform within the crack and expressed as $p = p_0 + p_e$, where p_e is the pre-seismic 210 magma overpressure. If we denote the spatial distribution of the crack opening in the pre-seismic 211 state as $\underline{\delta}_e$, the equilibrium relationship between the normal stress on the surfaces of sub-cracks 212 and the inner magma pressure reduces to: 213

214
$$\underline{\sigma}_e = P \underline{\delta}_e = p_e \underline{I}_C, --(3)$$

where $\underline{\sigma}_{e}$ is the $N_{C} \times I$ column vector of the pre-seismic normal stress on sub-cracks, *P* is the interaction matrix, with a size of $N_{C} \times N_{C}$, that map the tensile opening of sub-cracks into the normal stress on sub-cracks, and \underline{I}_{C} is the $N_{C} \times I$ column vector of ones. The distribution of the crack opening in the pre-seismic state $\underline{\delta}_{e}$ can be obtained from the second equality of Equation 3. Then, the pre-seismic shear stress along the dip direction on the surfaces of sub-faults (denoted as $\underline{\tau}_{e}$) created by the magma overpressure p_{e} can be expressed as:

221
$$\underline{\tau}_e = Q \underline{\delta}_e, --(4)$$

where *Q* is the interaction matrix, with a size of $N_F \times N_C$, that maps the tensile opening of subcracks into the shear stress on sub-faults. With Equation 3, Equation 4 can be rewritten as:

224
$$\underline{\tau}_e = p_e \left(Q P^{-1} \underline{I}_c \right). - (5)$$

The part in the bracket, $QP^{-1}I_c$, represents the shear stress on the surfaces of sub-faults due to unit magma overpressure. If we denote it as $\hat{\tau}_e$, Equation 5 can be rewritten as:

227
$$\underline{\tau}_e = p_e \hat{\tau}_e. - (6)$$

228 Occurrence of trapdoor faulting

Trapdoor faulting is caused by sudden stress drop of the shear stress accumulated on the fault. The motion involves dip-slip of the fault, and deformation (opening/closure) of the crack. To determine the motion of trapdoor faulting, we here derive two boundary conditions on the surfaces of the ring fault and the horizontal crack.

Assuming that the shear stress along the dip direction on the fault decreases by a stress drop ratio α due to trapdoor faulting, the boundary condition on the surface of the fault can be expressed as:

(7)

236
$$\underline{\Delta\tau} = Q\underline{\delta} + R\underline{s} = -\alpha\underline{\tau}_e, --$$

where $\Delta \tau$ is the $N_F \times I$ column vector of the shear stress change on sub-faults during trapdoor faulting. *Q* and *R*, with sizes of $N_F \times N_C$ and $N_F \times N_F$, map dip-slip of sub-faults into the normal stress on sub-crack and the shear stress on sub-faults, respectively (*Q* is the same as that in Equation 4).

Sudden stress change in the host rock due to dip-slip of the fault interactively accompanies deformation (opening/closure) of the crack, and the resultant normal stress change on the crack induces horizontal movement of the inner magma. For simplicity, we assume that the magma movement finishes and the magma pressure becomes spatially uniform in the crack quickly. Under this simplification, the boundary condition on the surface of the horizontal crack is derived from the equilibrium relationship between the normal stress on sub-cracks and the inner magma pressure, as follows:

248
$$\underline{\Delta\sigma} = P\underline{\delta} + U\underline{s} = (\Delta p)\underline{I}_{c}, - (8)$$

where $\Delta \sigma$ and Δp are the $N_C \times I$ column vector of the normal stress change on sub-cracks and the scalar of the magma pressure change during trapdoor faulting, respectively. *P* and *U* are the interaction matrices, with sizes of $N_C \times N_C$ and $N_C \times N_F$, that map the tensile opening of sub-cracks into the normal stress on sub-cracks and into the shear stress on sub-faults, respectively (*P* is the

same as that in Equation 3).

254 The magma pressure change Δp during trapdoor faulting can be related to the crack 255 volume change ΔV through the mass conservation law, as follows:

256
$$\Delta m/\rho_m = V_0 \beta_m \Delta p + \Delta V, --(9)$$

where Δm is the magma influx and β_m is the compressibility of magma. Since previously observed trapdoor faulting occurred within less than ~10 s (Geist et al., 2008; Sandanbata et al., 2022, 2023), we can disregard magma mass influx during trapdoor faulting to reduce Equation 9 to:

261
$$\Delta p = -\frac{1}{\beta_m V_0} \Delta V = -\frac{1}{\beta_m V_0} \underline{A}^T \underline{\delta} = -\frac{1}{\beta_m V_0} \sum_{k=1}^{N_c} A_k \delta_k, \quad (10)$$

262 where <u>A</u> is the $N_C \times I$ column vector of the areas of sub-cracks.

By substituting Equations 6 and 10 into Equations 7 and 8, respectively, we obtain the following equations:

265
$$\begin{bmatrix} P & U \\ Q & R \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \underline{\delta} \\ \underline{s} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \left(-\frac{1}{\beta_m V_0} \underline{A}^T \underline{\delta} \right) \underline{I}_c \\ -\alpha p_e \underline{\hat{\tau}}_e \end{bmatrix} \dots (11)$$

Equation 11 can be rewritten by:

267
$$\begin{bmatrix} P' & U\\ Q & R \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \underline{\delta}\\ \underline{s} \end{bmatrix} = p_e \begin{bmatrix} \underline{0}\\ -\alpha \underline{\hat{\tau}}_e \end{bmatrix}, \quad (12)$$

268 where

269
$$P' = P + \frac{1}{\beta_m v_0} \underline{A}^T \text{ (or } P'_{ij} = P_{ij} + \frac{1}{\beta_m v_0} A_j).$$
(13)

Equations 12 and 13 represent $N_C + N_F$ equations with $N_C + N_F$ unknown values ($\underline{\delta}, \underline{s}$), if we priorly assume the pre-seismic magma overpressure p_e , the stress drop ratio α , the source geometry determining the interaction matrices, and the parameters β_m and V_0 . In this study, the source geometry and the parameters are assumed as described in Section 4.2. Also, the stress drop ratio is simply assumed as $\alpha = 1$; in other words, the pre-seismic shear stress on the fault completely vanishes to zero due to trapdoor faulting. In this case, Equation 12 is reduced to:

276
$$\begin{bmatrix} P' & U\\ Q & R \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \underline{\delta}\\ \underline{s} \end{bmatrix} = p_e \begin{bmatrix} \underline{0}\\ -\underline{\hat{\tau}}_e \end{bmatrix}, -(14)$$

By solving Equation 14 with Equation 13 for $(\underline{\delta}, \underline{s})$, we can determine the motion of trapdoor faulting generated by pre-seismic magma overpressure p_e . Also, we can estimate the co-seismic changes of magma pressure and crack volume due to trapdoor faulting by substituting $\underline{\delta}$ into Equation 10, and the stress drop by substituting \underline{s} into Equation 7.

281 4.2 Model setting

The source geometry employed for main results is shown in Figure 2. A partial ring fault 282 is along an ellipse with a size of 3.6 km \times 2.6 km on seafloor; the center is at (141.228°E, 283 25.4575°N), and its major axis is oriented N60°E. The fault is on the NW side of Kita-Ioto 284 caldera with an arc length of 90° and dips inwardly with a dip angle of 83°; this fault setting on 285 the NW side is based on our moment tensor analysis that suggests a ring fault orientated in the 286 NE-SW direction (see Text S1, for details). The fault's down-dip end connects to a horizontal 287 crack at a depth of 2 km. The crack is elliptical in shape, 15 % larger than the size of an ellipse 288 traced along the fault's down-dip end. After discretizing the source geometry into sub-faults and 289 sub-cracks, the four interaction matrices (P, Q, R, and U) between sub-faults and sub-cracks are 290 computed by the triangular dislocation (TD) method (Nikkhoo & Walter, 2015), when we 291 assume the Poisson's ratio of 0.25 and the Lame's constants λ and μ of 5 GPa. 292

The product $V_0\beta_m$ controls how the magma-filled crack responds to stress perturbation by faulting, as explained by Zheng et al. (2022). For main results, we assume the crack volume V_0 and the magma compressibility β_m as 1.5 x 10¹⁰ m³ (corresponding to a crack thickness of ~500 m) and 1.0 x 10⁻¹⁰ Pa⁻¹ (from a typical value for degassed basaltic magma [e.g., Kilbride et al., 2016]), respectively, thereby, $V_0\beta_m = 1.5$ m³/Pa. This product value is similar to Zheng et al.'s (2022) estimates for a magma reservoir of Sierra Negra caldera.

We emphasize that the model setting above, which is used to obtain main results shown in Section 5, is just an assumption. The location of the ring fault cannot be constrained from the earthquake information of the GCMT catalog, since the solutions can contain horizontal location

errors up to ~40 km (Hjörleifsdóttir & Ekström, 2010; Pritchard et al., 2006). The bathymetry data containing several cones found on the NW side of the caldera floor (Figure 1c) may suggest an existence of a fault system, given such structures often formed over a sub-caldera ring fault (e.g., Cole et al., 2005), but this is not decisive information. Also, we have no constraint on the magma compressibility and the reservoir depth. In Section 6.1, we will test the sensitivity to those possible uncertainties in model setting.

4.3 Constraint from the tsunami data of the 2008 Kita-Ioto caldera earthquake

We apply the mechanical model of trapdoor faulting to the tsunami data of the 2008 Kita-Ioto caldera earthquake. Utilizing the linear relationship between $(\underline{\delta}, \underline{s})$ and p_e through Equation 14, we estimate the pre-seismic magma overpressure p_e causing the earthquake by constraining the magnitude of trapdoor faulting from the tsunami data.

313 For estimation of p_e , we prepare a model of trapdoor faulting due to unit pre-seismic magma overpressure $p_e = 1$ Pa, which we call unit-overpressure model, and then simulate a 314 315 tsunami OBP waveform at the station 52404 from the model (see the methodology in Section 4.4). We denote the synthetic waveform as \hat{m} and consider it as the tsunami OBP amplitude due 316 to unit overpressure, whose unit is [mm H₂O/Pa]. Because of the linearity of the tsunami 317 318 propagation problem we employ, the amplitude of tsunami waveform is linearly related to the magnitude of trapdoor faulting, and thereby to the pre-seismic magma overpressure p_e through 319 Equation 14. Therefore, the synthetic tsunami waveform from trapdoor faulting due to an 320 arbitrary p_e can be expressed as $\underline{m} = p_e \underline{\hat{m}}$. Supposing that the tsunami signal from the 2008 321 earthquake recorded in the OBP data (denoted by d) is reproduced well by m, we can estimate 322 the pre-seismic magma overpressure p_e from: 323

324
$$p_e = \frac{\rho_d}{\hat{\rho}}, --(15)$$

where ρ_d and $\hat{\rho}$ are the root-mean-square (RMS) amplitudes of \underline{d} and $\underline{\hat{m}}$ (in units of [mm H₂O] and [mm H₂O/Pa]), respectively. The time window for calculating the RMS amplitudes is set as it includes major oscillations in earlier parts of the observed waveform (see the gray line in Figure 1d).

329 4.4 Tsunami waveform simulation

A tsunami waveform from the unit-overpressure model \hat{m} is synthesized as follows. 330 Assuming $(\underline{\delta}, \underline{s})$ of the unit-overpressure model, we compute the vertical seafloor displacement 331 by the TD method, and convert it to vertical sea-surface displacement by applying the Kajiura 332 filter (Kajiura, 1963). We then simulate the tsunami propagation over the time of 12,000 s from 333 the sea-surface displacement over Kita-Ioto caldera, generated instantly at the earthquake origin 334 time, by solving the linear Boussinesq equations (Peregrine, 1972) in the finite-difference 335 scheme of the JAGURS code (Baba et al., 2015). The simulation is done with a two-layer nested 336 bathymetric grid system, composed of a broad-region layer with a grid size of 18 arcsec (~555 337 338 m) derived from JTOPO30 data, and a caldera-vicinity-region layer with a grid size of 6 arcsec (~185 m), obtained by combining data from M7000 series and JTOPO30. The computation time 339 340 step is 0.5 s, as the Courant-Friedrichs-Lewy (CFL) condition is satisfied. The outputted 2-D maps of sea-surface wave heights, every 5 s, are converted into maps of OBP perturbation by 341 342 incorporating reduction of tsunami pressure perturbation with increasing water depth (e.g., Chikasada, 2019). The synthetic waveform of OBP perturbation at the station 52404 is obtained 343 from the OBP maps. 344

The linear Boussinesq equations employed above do not include the effects of the elastic Earth, the seawater compressibility, and the gravitational potential change, and are less accurate for computation of higher-frequency waves due to the error of dispersion approximation (Sandanbata, Watada, et al., 2021). Hence, we apply a phase correction method for short-period tsunamis (Sandanbata, Watada, et al., 2021) to improve the synthetic waveform accuracy by incorporating the effects (i.e., elastic Earth, compressible seawater, and gravitation potential change) and by correcting the approximation error.

352 **5 Results**

353 5.1 Source model of the 2008 Kita-Ioto caldera earthquake

Under the model setting explained in Section 4.2 (Figure 2), we obtain a trapdoor faulting model for the 2008 Kita-Ioto caldera earthquake that explains the OBP tsunami data (Figure 3). The pre-seismic magma overpressure p_e constrained from the OBP tsunami data is 11.8 MPa. Figures 3b and 3c show the spatial distributions of the ring-fault slip *s* and the crack

opening/closing δ during trapdoor faulting. Large reverse slip at maximum of 8.9 m is on the 358 359 ring fault, near which the inner crack opens by 5.5 m at maximum and the outer closes by 2.7 m. In the SE area, the crack closes broadly with a maximum value of 0.86 m. In total, the crack 360 volume increases by $\Delta V = 0.0030 \text{ km}^3$. The co-seismic magma pressure change Δp is -1.97 MPa361 during trapdoor faulting, meaning that the magma overpressure drops by 16.7 % relative to the 362 pre-seismic state and makes additional storage for magma. The response of the magmatic system 363 to faulting may have postponed eruption timing; on the other hand, post-seismic magma 364 overpressure is estimated to remain at a high level (~9.8 MPa) even after trapdoor faulting. 365

The obtained trapdoor faulting model is predicted to cause large asymmetric caldera-floor 366 367 uplift, thereby generating a tsunami efficiently. The large seafloor displacement is concentrated near the fault, with the maximum uplift of as large as 5.6 m and outer subsidence of 2.8 m 368 (Figure 3d). The sea surface displacement is smoothed by the low-pass effect of seawater, 369 resulting in seawater uplift of 3.6 m within the caldera rim with the exterior subsidence of 1.1 m 370 371 (Figure 3e). Figure 3f compares the synthetic tsunami waveform from the model with the OBP tsunami signal recorded at the station 52404, which demonstrates good waveform agreement, 372 373 including later phases that are not used for the amplitude fitting. In addition, the spectrogram analysis confirms quite similar tsunami travel times and dispersive properties of the synthetic 374 375 and observed waveforms (Figures 3g and 3h). These results support the reasonability of our mechanical model for the 2008 Kita-Ioto caldera earthquake. 376

377 5.2 Pre-seismic state just before trapdoor faulting

From the mechanical model, we consider how trapdoor faulting is caused by the inflated crack. In the pre-seismic state just before trapdoor faulting, the crack has inflated with vertical opening $\underline{\delta}_e$ of 12.1 m at maximum due to the pre-seismic magma overpressure p_e (Figure 4a). The inner volume has been increased by 0.21 km³ relative to that in the reference state. This preseismic crack opening generates the shear stress on the fault $\underline{\tau}_e$, which takes its maximum value of 11.6 MPa (Figures 4b); this value corresponds to the stress drop during trapdoor faulting, because we assume that the stress totally vanishes co-seismically.

In a simple earthquake paradigm of the stick-slip motion, which assumes that slip occurs when the shear stress overcomes the static frictional stress (e.g., pp. 14 of Udias et al., 2014), the

387 fault requires friction to remain stationarity until faulting occurrence. The total normal stress on

the fault $\underline{\sigma}_0^F$ is the sum of the effects of the crack opening $\underline{\sigma}_e^F$ (Figure 4c) and the lithostatic and

seawater loading $\underline{\sigma}_{lit}^F + \underline{\sigma}_{sea}^F$, as shown in Figure 4d (see the caption). By taking a ratio of the

area-averaged values of $\underline{\tau}_e$ and $\underline{\sigma}_e^F$, the static friction coefficient on the ring fault can be

solution estimated as 0.31. The frictional fault system may enable the caldera system to accommodate the

392 high magma overpressure without fault slip until trapdoor faulting. Note that, however,

393 sophisticated modeling approaches including realistic fault friction law will be needed for

investigation of the dynamic initiation process.

395 5.3 Deformation and elastic stress change in the host rock

Our model demonstrates how trapdoor faulting deforms the host rock and changes its elastic stress. With the model outputs, we compute the displacement, stress and strain fields in the host rock along an SE–NW profile across the caldera (see the dashed line in Figure 3c) by the TD method; the pre-seismic state is from $\underline{\delta}_e$, the co-seismic change is from ($\underline{\delta}, \underline{s}$), and the postseismic state is the sum of the pre-seismic state and the co-seismic change. We also calculate the shear-strain energy from the stress and strain fields (e.g., Saito et al., 2018). When we denote the stress tensors in the host rock as:

403
$$\tau_{ij} = \tau'_{ij} + \frac{1}{3}\tau_{kk}\delta_{ij}, \dots (16)$$

where τ'_{ij} is the deviatoric components, the shear-strain energy density *W* in the elastic medium can be expressed as:

406
$$W = \frac{1}{4\mu} \tau'_{ij} \tau'_{ij} \dots (17)$$

Note that the shear-strain energy density is zero in the reference state $(p = p_0)$, where the deviatoric stress is assumed to be zero. Using Equation 17, the shear-strain energy density in the pre- and post-seismic states, W^{pre} and W^{post} , can be calculated with the deviatoric shear stress. The co-seismic change in the shear-strain energy density is obtained by:

411
$$\Delta W = W^{post} - W^{pre}. - (18)$$

Figures 5a–5c show displacement in the host rock along the SE–NW profile. In the preseismic state (Figure 5a), since the fault accommodates no slip, the host rock deforms purely

elastically from the reference state due to the opening crack and causes large uplift of the caldera 414 surface by 8.8 m at maximum at the caldera center. During trapdoor faulting, the co-seismic 415 displacement is concentrated along the fault (Figure 5b). The inner caldera block uplifts by 5.7 m 416 at maximum, while the outer host rock moves downward by 3.2 m. The fault motion 417 accompanies crack opening beneath the NW side of the caldera block, whereas slight downward 418 motion is seen in the SE part of the caldera block, which can be attributed to elastic response to 419 magma depressurization. Figure 5c shows the displacement in the post-seismic state, where the 420 upward displacement is confined within the caldera block, with cumulative uplift of 9.9 m at 421 maximum, from the center to near the fault, while notable deformation is not found outside the 422 fault. As shown in Figure 5d, the pre-seismic seafloor displacement takes its uplift peak in the 423 center, while after trapdoor faulting the seafloor becomes almost flat on the NW side near the 424 fault. This indicates that if we take a long term including the pre-seismic inflation and trapdoor 425 faulting, the caldera causes a block-like motion with a clear boundary cut by the fault. 426

In terms of the stress and the shear-strain energy, trapdoor faulting can be considered as a 427 428 process that releases the shear-strain energy accumulated in the host rock. Figures 5e-5g show the shear-strain energy density with the principal axes of the stress field in the host rock along 429 the same SE-NW profile. In the pre-seismic state, the shear-strain energy density is concentrated 430 around the crack edge, or near the fault (Figure 5e). The plunge of the maximum compressional 431 432 stress near the fault ranges from $\sim 50^{\circ}$ in the middle of the fault, which preferably induces a reverse slip on a steeply dipping fault. During trapdoor faulting (Figure 5f), the shear-strain 433 energy density near the fault on the NW side dramatically decreases. Eventually, in the post-434 seismic state (Figure 5g), the shear-strain energy density almost vanishes near the fault. Note 435 that, on the other hand, the shear-strain density is only slightly reduced on the SE side in 436 response to co-seismic magma depressurization and remains high even after trapdoor faulting. 437 We speculate that the remaining shear-strain energy may be released by other causes, such as 438 aseismic fault slip, a subsequent trapdoor faulting, or viscoelastic deformation of the host rock, 439 which are not incorporated in our modeling; we will discuss the limitations of our models in 440 Section 6.5. 441

442 6 Discussion

443 6.1 Model uncertainties

444 Our source model has been constructed in the model setting as described in Section 4.2. 445 However, since a single tsunami waveform data at a distant location has low sensitivity to the 446 source details, we do not have enough data to constrain the sub-surface structure and magma 447 property. Hence, our model outputs vary depending on how the model setting is assumed priorly.

448 6.1.1 Depth of a horizontal crack

The depth of a horizontal crack, or a magma reservoir, significantly influences our pre-449 seismic magma overpressure estimation. When a deeper crack is assumed at a depth of 4 km 450 below seafloor (Figure 6), the estimated magma overpressure p_e is 22.26 MPa, almost a factor of 451 two larger compared to our main result assuming a depth of 2 km (Figure 3). The obtained model 452 with a 4-km deep crack explains the tsunami data well, even better than that with a 2-km deep 453 crack (compare waveforms and spectrograms in Figures 3f-3h and 6f-6h), implying preference 454 455 of the deeper crack model. When a crack is located deeper in the crust, the magnitude of the crack opening per unit magma overpressure becomes smaller because it is farther from the free-456 457 surface seafloor (Fukao et al., 2018). This lowers the shear stress on the fault generated per unit magma overpressure, and thereby larger pre-seismic magma overpressure is required to cause a 458 similar-sized earthquake and tsunami. Despite the large difference in pre-seismic magma 459 overpressure, the estimated co-seismic parameters for the 2008 earthquake, such as magnitudes 460 of fault slips, crack deformation, and changes in magma pressure and crack volume, do not 461 change largely. 462

463 6.1.2 Arc length of a ring fault

The arc length of a ring fault is also an important factor affecting our modeling. As shown in Figure 7, when we assume a ring fault with an arc length of 180° , or a half-ring fault, on the NW side, pre-seismic magma overpressure p_e is estimated as 4.84 MPa, less than half of the value from our main results assuming an arc length of 90° (Figure 3). This large difference can be attributed to two main causes. First, the average fault slip amount is known to be proportional to the faut length when the stress drop is identical (Eshelby, 1957); therefore, a longer ring fault causes large slip efficiently, compared to that on a shorter arc length.

Additionally, trapdoor faulting with a longer fault uplifts larger volume of seawater over a
broader area (compare Figures 7e and 3e), making its tsunami generation efficiency higher.

Although smaller magma overpressure ($p_e = 4.84$ MPa) is estimated in the case with a 473 ring-fault arc angle of 180°, we emphasize that the co-seismic magma pressure change Δp is as 474 large as -1.99 MPa. The magma overpressure efficiently drops by 41.1 % from the pre-seismic 475 state, in contrast to the ratio of only 16.7 % in the case of an arc length of 90° (see Section 5.1). 476 The difference arises from the fact that the fault slip along a longer segment induces the crack 477 opening in a broader area and increases the inner volume more, resulting in more efficient 478 pressure relief. The two models with different ring-fault arc lengths produce very similar tsunami 479 waveforms at the station 52404 (compare Figures 7f and 3f), indicating the difficulty in 480 distinguishing the arc length from our dataset. However, these results provide an important 481 insight that the magma pressure drop ratio strongly depends on a fault length ruptured during 482 trapdoor faulting, suggesting importance to investigate the intra-caldera fault geometry for robust 483 quantification of magma pressure change due to faulting. 484

485 6.1.3 Other uncertainties

We discuss on effects of the product $V_0\beta_m$, which controls how the magma-filled crack 486 responds to stress perturbation by faulting. The effects in extreme cases are discussed by Zheng 487 et al. (2022); when $V_0\beta_m \to 0$, the crack involves no total volume change ($\Delta V \to 0$), while a 488 magnitude of magma pressure drop becomes the largest; on the other hand, when $V_0\beta_m \to \infty$, the 489 net volume change of the crack is at maximum, while no pressure change occurs ($\Delta p \rightarrow 0$). In 490 491 previous studies of the 2018 Kilauea caldera collapse and eruption sequence, the estimated product ranges 1.3–5.5 m³/Pa (Anderson et al., 2019; Segall & Anderson, 2021). We assumed 492 $V_0\beta_m = 1.5 \text{ m}^3/\text{Pa}$ for our main results, which is close to the lower end of the range. To examine 493 the model variations, we try the source modeling alternatively by assuming $V_0\beta_m = 6.0 \text{ m}^3/\text{Pa}$, 494 near the upper limit of the range estimated in the case of Kilauea. For the larger $V_0\beta_m$, the area of 495 496 the crack opening becomes broader, while a magnitude of the closure on the other side becomes smaller (Figures S4a–S4c; compare them with Figures 3a–3c). The sea-surface displacement is 497 thereby broader (Figure S4e), exciting long-period tsunamis more efficiently that arrives as 498 499 earlier waveform phases used for the amplitude fitting (Figure S4f). Thus, in this case, our estimation of the pre-seismic magma overpressure, $p_e = 9.11$ MPa, becomes slightly smaller than 500

the main result ($p_e = 11.8$ MPa); on the other hand, we estimate smaller magma pressure drop ($\Delta p = -1.27$ MPa) and a larger crack volume increase ($\Delta V = 0.0076$ km³). These suggest that if we take a plausible range of $V_0\beta_m$, variations of our estimations are insignificant.

It is uncertain on which side of the caldera the ruptured fault is located. Based on our 504 moment tensor analysis (Text S1), the fault ruptured during the 2008 earthquake can be 505 estimated to be oriented manly in the NE-SW direction, allowing us to assume two different 506 fault locations, either of the NW or SE sides of the caldera; for our main results, we chose the 507 model with a fault on the NW side. Here, we alternatively assume a fault on the SE side to obtain 508 509 another source model, and consequently estimate the pre-seismic magma overpressure p_e as 15.36 MPa (Figure S5). Despite the fault location difference, the tsunami data is explained well 510 by the model with a SE-sided fault (Figure S5f). The change of the estimated magma 511 overpressure can be attributed to effects of tsunami directivity and complex bathymetry in the 512 source region on the wave amplitude of a tsunami arriving at the station. Thus, our limited 513 dataset is not sufficient to determine well the fault location, but the uncertainty in fault location 514 influences our estimations insignificantly. 515

516 6.2 Comparison with previous studies

Our quantification of pre-seismic magma overpressure before trapdoor faulting in Kita-517 Ioto caldera ($p_e = 4-22$ MPa) is of the same order of magnitude as those estimated geodetically 518 for the subaerial caldera of Sierra Negra. Gregg et al. (2018) applied a thermomechanical finite 519 element method (FEM) model to long-term geodetic data and estimated that magma overpressure 520 of ~10 MPa in the sill-like reservoir induced a trapdoor faulting event that occurred ~3 hours 521 before the eruption starting on 22 October 2005. Another trapdoor faulting event on 25 June 522 523 2018 (M_w 5.4) also preceded the 2018 eruption of Sierra Negra by ten hours; Gregg et al. (2022) employed the thermomechanical FEM approach to the long-term deformation and suggested that 524 a similar magma overpressure <~15 MPa had been accumulated to cause the failure of the 525 trapdoor fault system. 526

527 Zheng et al. (2022), on the other hand, quantified co-seismic magma pressure change by 528 trapdoor faulting with an m_b 4.6 earthquake on 16 April 2005. By modeling the interaction 529 between the intra-caldera fault system and the sill-like reservoir, Zheng et al. geodetically 530 estimated the trapdoor faulting event with a maximum fault slip of 2.1 m reduced magma

overpressure by 0.8 MPa; the slightly smaller pressure change, relative to our estimation ($|\Delta p| =$

1-3 MPa) for the 2008 Kita-Ioto earthquake, may be explained by the discrepancies in the

533 earthquake size or the length of a ruptured fault.

Sandanbata et al. (2023) compiled the seismic magnitude and the maximum fault slip of 534 trapdoor faulting events and demonstrated their atypical earthquake scaling relationship; in other 535 words, trapdoor faulting accompanies larger fault slip by an order of magnitude than those for 536 similar-sized tectonic earthquakes. Source models presented in this study for the 2008 Kita-Ioto 537 caldera earthquake also accommodate large fault slip ranging 5-10 m at maximum, which are 538 clearly larger than those empirically predicted for M_W 5.3 tectonic earthquakes; for example, the 539 empirical maximum slip for M_w 5.3 earthquake is only ~0.1 m, following Wells & Coppersmith 540 (1994). This indicates the efficiency of intra-caldera fault systems in causing large slip, possibly 541 due to their interaction with magma reservoirs and shallow source depth (Sandanbata et al., 542 2022). 543

544 6.3 Long-period seismic waveforms

545 For validation from a different perspective, we consider long-period seismic excitation by the mechanical source model that we have obtained based on the tsunami data. For this analysis, 546 we follow the methodology used in Sandanbata et al. (2022; 2023), as the detailed procedures are 547 described in Text S2. We here briefly summarize the method. We first approximate the trapdoor 548 549 faulting model (Figure 3a) as a point-source moment tensor M_T by summing up partial moment tensors of the ring fault M_F and the horizontal crack M_C (Figure 8a–8c). We then compute long-550 551 period (80–200 s) seismic waveforms from the moment tensor M_T by using the W-phase package (Duputel et al., 2012; Hayes et al., 2009; Kanamori & Rivera, 2008) and compare the synthetic 552 waveforms with broad-band seismic data from F-net and global seismic networks. In Figures 8d 553 and S6, we show synthetic seismic waveforms from the moment tensor (Figure 8a), which 554 reproduce well the observed seismograms. This supports that our trapdoor faulting model is 555 plausible in terms of seismic excitation, as well as tsunami generation. 556

We note that the theoretical moment tensor obtained from our model (Figure 8a) is different from the GCMT solution; our theoretical solution has a seismic magnitude (M_w 5.6) and is characterized by large double-couple and isotropic components, while the GCMT solution is with a smaller magnitude M_w 5.3 and a dominant vertical-T CLVD component (Figure 1c). The ⁵⁶¹ difference can be explained by very inefficient excitation of long-period seismic waves by

- 562 specific types of shallow earthquake sources (Fukao et al., 2018; Sandanbata, Kanamori, et al.,
- 563 2021). As demonstrated in Figure S7, major parts of the long-period seismic waves of the
- trapdoor faulting model arise from limited moment tensor components that constitute a vertical-T
- 565 CLVD moment tensor, equivalent to M_w 5.2 (Figure S7b), whereas the contribution by the
- horizontal crack M_T , and $M_{r\theta}$ and $M_{r\phi}$ components in M_F are negligibly small. Hence, the
- 567 GCMT solution determined with the long-period seismic waveforms becomes a vertical-T
- 568 CLVD moment tensor with a smaller magnitude than that of the theoretical moment tensor of our
- 569 model. The gap between theoretical and observed moment tensors of trapdoor faulting is
- 570 discussed in more detail by Sandanbata et al. (2022).

571 6.4 Tsunami generation by other Kita-Ioto caldera earthquakes

We have conducted a survey of OBP data from the station 52404 to determine if there 572 were any tsunami signals following the other Kita-Ioto caldera earthquakes (Figure S1), apart 573 from that in 2008. Available data was found only for the event on 15 December 2015 (Figure 574 9a), for which a clear tsunami signal was recorded in the OBP data with a 15-s sampling interval 575 (Figure 9b). On the other hand, we were unable to obtain OBP data to confirm tsunami signals 576 from the earthquakes in 1992, 2010, 2017, and 2019. The station 52404 had not been deployed 577 yet as of the 1992 event. For the other events, the bottom pressure recorders have been lost, 578 preventing our access to its 15-s sampling-interval data. Although low-sampling data (15-min 579 interval) sent via a satellite transfer are available, they are not useful for confirming tsunami 580 signals with dominant periods of 100-500 s. 581

We further investigate the tsunami signal from the 2015 earthquake in comparison with 582 that from the 2008 event. Note that the station location (20.7722N°, 132.3375E°) as of 2008 had 583 shifted about 20 km northward to a new location (20.9478N°, 132.3122E°) as of 2015. To 584 examine the similarity between the two earthquake events, we simulate a tsunami waveform at 585 the station location as of the 2015 event from a model similar to that of the 2008 event. We 586 assume the model setting with a deeper crack at a depth of 4 km, based on that presented in 587 Section 6.1.1 (Figure 7). Since the GCMT catalog reports a smaller seismic moment for the 2015 588 event $(M_0^{2015} = 8.1 \times 10^{17} \text{ Nm})$ than that for the 2008 event $(M_0^{2008} = 1.1 \times 10^{18} \text{ Nm})$, we adjust 589

590 the source model assuming a smaller pre-seismic overpressure of $p_e = 16.41$ MPa (= 22.26 MPa 591 $\times \frac{M_0^{2015}}{M_0^{2008}}$).

Although the observed tsunami waveforms from the two earthquakes look different 592 (compare the waveforms in Figures 7f and 9b), the trapdoor faulting model, based on the tsunami 593 594 data form the 2008 earthquake, also explains that from the 2015 earthquake overall (Figure 9), simply by changing the station location. The nonnegligible waveform difference at the two 595 nearby locations can be attributed to the focusing/defocusing effect by complex bathymetry 596 along the path (Figure S8; see the figure caption for details). This suggests that the 2015 597 598 earthquake was caused by trapdoor faulting, in a similar way to the 2008 earthquake. The similarity is further supported by our moment tensor analysis (see Text S1). Thus, we confirmed 599 tsunami signals from both of the two events. Therefore, we propose that the quasi-regularly 600 repeating earthquakes with similar magnitudes and vertical-CLVD characters reflect the 601 recurrence of trapdoor faulting in Kita-Ioto caldera, as observed in the three calderas of Sierra 602 Negra, Sumisu, and Curtis, where trapdoor faulting events have recurred (Bell et al., 2021; 603 Jónsson, 2009; Sandanbata et al., 2022, 2023). 604

605 6.5 Limitations of our mechanical trapdoor faulting model

Our mechanical model of trapdoor faulting has been developed under some
 simplifications to focus on the essential mechanics. In this subsection we discuss some factors
 simplified or ignored in our model, which may influence our results.

609 6.5.1. Stress drop ratio

The stress drop ratio during earthquakes has been controversial in general. Some studies reported complete or near-complete stress drop during tectonic earthquakes (Hasegawa et al., 2011; Ross et al., 2017), while the stress drop ratio can be partial and vary from earthquake to earthquake (Hardebeck & Okada, 2018). For intra-caldera earthquakes, several recent studies estimated stress drop during caldera collapses (Moyer et al., 2020; T. A. Wang et al., 2022), but our knowledge on the stress drop ratio in calderas is poor and the ratio may vary from caldera to caldera.

617 We have avoided the problem by simply assuming the complete stress drop as an extreme 618 case (Equation 14, obtained by assuming $\alpha = 1$ in Equation 12); this assumption can influence

our estimation of the pre-seismic magma overpressure p_e . Because s and δ are determined by the 619 620 stress drop on the fault, not directly by pre-seismic magma overpressure (Equation 3), if a partial stress drop ratio α (0 < α < 1) is instead assumed in Equation 12, the trapdoor faulting size due 621 to the same pre-seismic magma overpressure becomes smaller proportionally to α , and the 622 tsunami amplitude does. In this case, larger magma overpressure by a factor of $1/\alpha$ is required to 623 explain the observed tsunami amplitude. Hence, the complete stress drop assumption provides 624 625 lower-limit estimation of pre-seismic magma overpressure in the model setting. On the other hand, estimations of co-seismic parameters, such as fault slip s and crack opening δ , and changes 626 of magma pressure Δp and crack volume ΔV , do not change regardless of our assumption of the 627 stress drop ratio α , since they are constrained form the tsunami amplitude. 628

629 6.5.2. Pre-slips and earthquake cycles

We have attributed the shear stress that generates trapdoor faulting to an inflating crack 630 alone and neglected other factors that may also cause the stress on the fault. First, different 631 segments of the intra-caldera ring fault may have caused microseismic or aseismic slips prior to 632 the occurrence of M_{w} ~5 trapdoor faulting. In Sierra Negra caldera, high microseismicity was 633 observed along the western segment of the intra-caldera fault, leading to trapdoor faulting on the 634 635 southern segment before eruption (Bell et al., 2021; Shreve & Delgado, 2023). Similarly, during the 2018 eruption and summit caldera collapse sequence of Kilauea, large collapse events 636 accompanying $M_{w}\sim 5$ earthquakes were located on the southeastern and northwestern sides of the 637 summit caldera, while high microseismicity was found on other segments (Lai et al., 2021; 638 639 Shelly & Thelen, 2019). T. A. Wang et al. (2023) further suggested non-negligible effects on large collapses of Kilauea by intra-caldera fault creep in the inter-collapse period. Such high 640 microseismicity or creeping on other fault segments, in adjacent to the ruptured segment of 641 trapdoor faulting, may impose additional shear stress. 642

Additionally, the recurrency of trapdoor faulting can play an important role in the stress accumulation on the fault. Similar earthquakes have been repeated near Kita-Ioto caldera (Figure S1), strongly suggesting recurrence of trapdoor faulting, as supported by the tsunami signal from the 2015 earthquake (see Section 6.4). If a similar earthquake repeated on the same segment of the fault and the stress drop is only partial, the remaining stress may influence subsequent trapdoor faulting events. Also, assuming that the earthquakes occur on different segments of the

ring fault, an event on a segment increases the shear stress on its adjacent segment. Thus, in the

650 presence of additional shear stress by pre-slips or creeping on different segments or previous

trapdoor faulting events, the ring fault may be ruptured by smaller pre-seismic magma

overpressure. For better understanding of the physics of trapdoor faulting, further studies of the

653 earthquake cycle in calderas are crucial.

654 6.5.3. Other factors

Other factors simplified in our model, such as magma reservoir geometry, and 655 656 viscoelasticity and heterogeneous rheological property of the host rock, may influence the mechanics of trapdoor faulting. While we have modeled a magma reservoir simply as an 657 infinitely thin crack that lies horizontally, the reservoir should have a finite thickness and the 658 geometry may not be flat, as estimated for that beneath Sierra Negra caldera (Gregg et al., 2022). 659 The host rock has been also simplified as a homogeneous elastic medium, but the viscoelastic 660 effects and thermal dependency of the rheological property may impact the deformation and 661 stress and strain states in hot volcanic environments. For example, Newman et al. (2006) showed 662 that the viscoelastic effect significantly reduces the estimated magma overpressure using surface 663 deformation data at Long Valley caldera, compared to that based on a purely elastic model. The 664 665 viscoelastic effect can be more important in the stress accumulation process, particularly during a long-term caldera inflation phase. Additionally, the temperature-dependency of the host-rock 666 rheology is shown to have an impact on the stress accumulation process in the host rock, 667 impacting on estimation of the timing of host-rock failures and eruption (Cabaniss et al., 2020; 668 Zhan & Gregg, 2019). For further studies, it would be critical to incorporate these effects on the 669 deformation and the stress-strain accumulation in the host rock, as done by previous studies 670 employing the FEM modelling approach (e.g., Gregg et al., 2012; Le Mével et al., 2016; Zhan & 671 Gregg, 2019). 672

673 **7 Conclusions**

We have presented a new mechanical model of trapdoor faulting that quantitatively links pre-seismic magma overpressure in a sill-like reservoir and the size of trapdoor faulting. We applied this model to a tsunami-generating submarine earthquake in 2008 around Kita-Ioto caldera, for quantifying the caldera's mechanical states. Our trapdoor faulting model explains well the tsunami signal recorded by a single distant ocean bottom pressure gauge, as well as

regional long-period seismic waveforms. Although we acknowledge that other possible 679 mechanisms (e.g., fluid-flow or volumetric-change source in magma reservoir) are not tested in 680 this study, and that there is no direct observation of an active fault system in the caldera, our 681 results suggest the plausibility of our hypothesis of the submarine trapdoor faulting in Kita-Ioto 682 caldera. This is also supported by the similarity to trapdoor faulting events found recently in 683 better-investigated submarine calderas (Sumisu and Curtis calderas). Repeating vertical-T CLVD 684 earthquakes and another tsunami signal following the 2015 earthquake suggest the recurrence of 685 686 trapdoor faulting in Kita-Ioto caldera.

687 Our mechanical models enable us to infer the pre-seismic magma overpressure beneath the submarine caldera, through quantification of the trapdoor faulting size. In an example case 688 with a ring fault with an arc length of 90° and a horizontal crack at a depth of 2 km in the crust as 689 the main model setting, we estimate that pre-seismic magma overpressure over ~10 MPa causes 690 691 the trapdoor faulting event, and that the co-seismic magma pressure drops by ~15 %. Yet, since uncertainty on the source geometry remains due to our limited dataset, or a single tsunami 692 693 record, these estimated values related to magma overpressure vary by a factor of half to twice, depending on model setting; the pre-seismic magma overpressure ranges approximately from 5 694 to 20 MPa, and the co-seismic overpressure drop ratio from 10 to 40 %. For example, a longer 695 ring fault with an arc angle of 180° requires less magma overpressure to generate the similar-696 697 sized tsunami but more effectively reduces the overpressure; on the other hand, larger magma overpressure is estimated when the source has a crack at a deeper depth of 4 km. The significant 698 variations suggest that magmatic systems beneath calderas can be strongly influenced by source 699 properties of trapdoor faulting. Therefore, it is critical to study trapdoor faulting in active 700 calderas and its source properties, which would help us obtain more robust estimation of magma 701 overpressure or stress states, providing rare opportunity to achieve comprehensive understanding 702 703 of how inflating calderas behave in the ocean.

704 Acknowledgments

We thank Rachel Abercrombie (Editor) and Associate Editor for handling our
manuscript, and two anonymous reviewers for their constructive suggestions. We thank also
Kurama Okubo for helpful discussion. This study is funded by the JSPS KAKENHI (Grant
numbers JP20J01689).

709 **Open Research**

- 710 OBP data of DART system is available from DART® Bottom Pressure Recorder Data
- 711 Inventory of National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (National Oceanic and
- 712 Atmospheric Administration, 2005; <u>https://www.ngdc.noaa.gov/hazard/dart/</u>). Bathymetric data
- of M7000 Digital Bathymetric Chart and JTOPO30 are available from (Japan Hydrographic
- 714 Association, 2011; 2022; <u>https://www.jha.or.jp/shop/index.php?main_page=categories</u>). F-net
- seismic data of F-net are available from the NIED (National Research Institute for Earth Science
- and Disaster Resilience, 2019; <u>https://www.fnet.bosai.go.jp/top.php?LANG=en</u>). Global seismic
- data were downloaded through the EarthScope Consortium Wilber 3 system
- 718 (<u>https://ds.iris.edu/wilber3/</u>) or EarthScope Consortium Web Services (<u>https://service.iris.edu/</u>),
- including the following seismic networks: the IU and II (GSN; Albuquerque Seismological
- Laboratory/USGS, 2014; Scripps Institution of Oceanography, 1986), and the IC (New China
- 721 Digital Seismograph Network: NCDSN; Albuquerque Seismological Laboratory (ASL)/USGS,
- 1992). The earthquake information is available from the GCMT catalog (Ekström et al., 2012;
- 723 <u>https://www.globalcmt.org/</u>). The Geoware TTT software (Geoware, 2011;
- 724 <u>https://www.geoware-online.com/tsunami.html</u>) is used for estimating tsunami arrival times.
- Focal mechanisms representing moment tensors are plotted with a MATLAB code of focalmech
- (Conder, 2019). The data of the source model proposed for main results in this study (Figure 3)
- can be obtained from an open-access repository of Zenodo (Sandanbata & Saito, 2023).

728



Figure 1. Vertical-T CLVD earthquakes near Kita-Ioto caldera. (a) Map of the southern ocean of 731 Japan. Orange triangle represents the ocean-bottom-pressure (OBP) gauge of DART 52404. (b) 732 Map of the region near Kita-Ioto Island. (c) Bathymetry of the region near Kita-Ioto caldera, a 733 submarine caldera with a size of 12 km x 8 km, near Kita-Ioto Island. Funka Asane is the summit 734 of a cone structure within the caldera rim. Red circle represents the location of the 2008 Kita-735 Ioto earthquake with its moment tensor, whereas black circles represent locations of similar 736 events; the earthquake information is from the GCMT catalog (Ekström et al., 2012). The focal 737 mechanism is shown as projections of the lower focal hemisphere, and the orientation of the best 738 double-couple solution is shown by thin lines. (d) Tsunami waveform recorded at the OBP gauge 739 of DART 52404. Dashed gray line represents the tsunami arrival time estimated using the 740 Geoware TTT software (Geoware, 2011). Solid gray line represents the data length for 741 calculating the root-mean-square (RMS) amplitudes (Equation 15). This waveform data is 742 obtained by removing the tidal trend from and by applying the bandpass (2–10 mHz) 743 Butterworth filter to the raw OBP data for 12,000 s after the earthquake origin time. Note that 744

- 745 oscillations of OBP changes with a few mm H₂O are recorded after the estimated arrival time,
- 746 indicating tsunami signals.



Figure 2. A source structure for the mechanical model of trapdoor faulting viewed from top

750 (left) and southeast (right). Gray lines are plotted every water depth of 200 m.



Figure 3. Mechanical trapdoor faulting model of the 2008 Kita-Ioto earthquake. (a) Mechanical model viewed from southeast, represented by dip slip of the ring fault <u>s</u> and vertical deformation of the crack $\underline{\delta}$. Red color on the ring fault represents reverse slip, while red and blue colors on the horizontal crack represent vertical opening and closure, respectively. (b and c) Spatial distributions of (b) the ring fault and (c) the horizontal crack. In b, the fault is viewed from the caldera center, and the azimuth from the caldera center to arbitrary point on the fault is measured clockwise from the midpoint of the fault. In c, dashed line represents a profile shown in Figure 5.

- 760 (**d** and **e**) Vertical displacement of seafloor (**d**) and sea surface (**e**) due to the model. Red and
- ⁷⁶¹ blue colors represent uplift and subsidence, respectively, with white lines plotted every 1.0 m.
- 762 Black lines represent water depth every 100 m. (f) Comparison between a synthetic tsunami
- vaveform from the model (red line) and the observed OBP waveform (blue line) at the station
- ⁷⁶⁴ 52404. Solid gray line represents the data length for calculating the root-mean-square (RMS)
- amplitudes (Equation 15). (g and h) Spectrograms of the (g) synthetic and (h) observed
- ⁷⁶⁶ waveforms. In **f**–**h**, black dashed line represents the tsunami arrival time.



Figure 4. Pre-seismic state of the fault-crack system just before trapdoor faulting. (a) 768 Distribution of the crack opening, $\underline{\delta}_{e}$. (b) Critical shear stress along dip-slip direction on the ring 769 fault, $\underline{\tau}_e$. (c) Normal stress on the ring fault induced by the critically opening crack, $\underline{\sigma}_e^F$. In **b** and 770 c, blue and red colors represent compressive and extensional normal stress, respectively. (d) 771 Total normal stress on the ring fault, $\sigma_0^F = \sigma_e^F + \sigma_{lit}^F + \sigma_{sea}^F$; here, $\sigma_{lit}^F = \rho_h zg$, where ρ_h , z, and 772 g are the host rock density $(2,600 \text{ kg/m}^3)$, the depth of each mesh, and the gravitational 773 acceleration (9.81 m/s²), respectively, and $\sigma_{sea}^F = \rho_s Hg$, where ρ_s and H are the seawater density 774 and the approximated thickness of the overlying seawater layer (1,020 kg/m³ and 400 m), 775 776 respectively. 777



779 Figure 5. Displacement and shear-strain energy density in the host rock, along a SE–NW profile shown in Figure 3c. (**a**-**c**) Displacement, relative to the reference state ($p = p_0$): (**a**) the pre-780 seismic state just before trapdoor faulting, (b) the co-seismic change due to trapdoor faulting, 781 and (c) the post-seismic state after trapdoor faulting. (d) Vertical seafloor displacement in each 782 state shown in **a**, **b**, and **c**. (e-g) Shear-strain energy density W: (e) the pre-seismic state, (f) the 783 co-seismic change, and (g) the post-seismic state. Color represents shear-strain energy density, 784 and bars represent principal axes of compression projected on the profile, whose thickness 785 reflects half the differential stress change $(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)/2$, where σ_1 and σ_3 are the maximum and 786 787 minimum stress, respectively. 788



789

Figure 6. Same as Figure 3, but for a model with a horizontal crack at a depth of 4 km. See

791 details in Section 6.1.1.



793

Figure 7. Same as Figure 3, but for a model with a longer ring fault of an arc ancle of 180°. See

795 details in Section 6.1.2.



Figure 8. Long-period (80–200 s) seismic waveform modeling. (a) Moment tensor of the model, composed of partial moment tensors of (b) the ring fault and (c) the horizontal crack. (d)
Comparison between synthetic waveforms (red line) and the observation (black line) at
representative stations. In inset figures, a large red circle and a blue star represent the station and
the earthquake centroid, respectively. On the top of each panel, the network name, station name,
record component, station azimuth, and epicentral distance are shown. Note that waveform
comparisons in all the tested seismic records are shown in Figure S6.



805

Figure 9. Tsunami waveform data from the 2015 earthquake. (a) The GCMT solution of the 806 Kita-Ioto caldera earthquake on December 15, 2015. (b) Comparison between a synthetic 807 tsunami waveform from a source model adjusted from the 2008 earthquake model (red line; see 808 Section 6.4) and the observed OBP waveform (blue line) at the station 52404. (c-d) 809 Spectrograms of the synthetic waveform (c) and the OBP waveform (d). In b-d, black dashed 810 line represents the tsunami arrival time. Note that the location of the 52404 station as of the 2015 811 earthquake has been shifted by ~20 km southward from the location as of the 2008 earthquake 812 (see text and Figure S8). 813 814

815 **References**

816	Albuquerque Seismological Laboratory (ASL)/USGS. (1992). IC: New China Digital
817	Seismograph Network. https://doi.org/10.7914/SN/IC

- Albuquerque Seismological Laboratory/USGS. (2014). IU: Global Seismograph Network (GSN
 IRIS/USGS). <u>https://doi.org/10.7914/SN/IU</u>
- Amelung, F., Jónsson, S., Zebker, H., & Segall, P. (2000). Widespread uplift and 'trapdoor'
 faulting on Galápagos volcanoes observed with radar interferometry. *Nature*, 407(6807),
 993–996. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/35039604</u>
- Anderson, K. R., Johanson, I. A., Patrick, M. R., Gu, M., Segall, P., Poland, M. P., et al. (2019).
- Magma reservoir failure and the onset of caldera collapse at Kīlauea Volcano in 2018. *Science*, *366*(6470). <u>https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaz1822</u>
- Baba, T., Takahashi, N., Kaneda, Y., Ando, K., Matsuoka, D., & Kato, T. (2015). Parallel
 Implementation of Dispersive Tsunami Wave Modeling with a Nesting Algorithm for the
- 828
 2011 Tohoku Tsunami. Pure and Applied Geophysics, 172(12), 3455–3472.

 829
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00024-015-1049-2
- Bell, A. F., Hernandez, S., La Femina, P. C., & Ruiz, M. C. (2021). Uplift and seismicity driven
 by magmatic inflation at Sierra Negra volcano, Galápagos islands. *Journal of*
- 832 *Geophysical Research, [Solid Earth], 126*(7). <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2021jb022244</u>
- Bernard, E. N., & Meinig, C. (2011). History and future of deep-ocean tsunami measurements. In
 OCEANS'11 MTS/IEEE KONA (pp. 1–7). ieeexplore.ieee.org.
 https://doi.org/10.23919/OCEANS.2011.6106894
- Borrero, J. C., Cronin, S. J., Latu'ila, F. H., Tukuafu, P., Heni, N., Tupou, A. M., et al. (2023).

Tsunami Runup and Inundation in Tonga from the January 2022 Eruption of Hunga

- Volcano. Pure and Applied Geophysics, 180(1), 1–22. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00024-</u>
 022-03215-5
- Cabaniss, H. E., Gregg, P. M., Nooner, S. L., & Chadwick, W. W., Jr. (2020). Triggering of
 eruptions at Axial Seamount, Juan de Fuca Ridge. *Scientific Reports*, *10*(1), 10219.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-67043-0
- Cesca, S., Letort, J., Razafindrakoto, H. N. T., Heimann, S., Rivalta, E., Isken, M. P., et al.
 (2020). Drainage of a deep magma reservoir near Mayotte inferred from seismicity and

- 845 deformation. *Nature Geoscience*, *13*(1), 87–93. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-019-</u>
- 846 <u>0505-5</u>
- Chikasada, N. Y. (2019). Short-wavelength Tsunami Observation Using Deep Ocean Bottom
 Pressure Gauges. In *The 29th International Ocean and Polar Engineering Conference*.
- 849 International Society of Offshore and Polar Engineers. Retrieved from
- 850 <u>https://onepetro.org/conference-paper/ISOPE-I-19-707</u>
- Cole, J. W., Milner, D. M., & Spinks, K. D. (2005). Calderas and caldera structures: a review.
 Earth-Science Reviews, 69(1), 1–26. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2004.06.004</u>
- 853 Conder, J. (2019). focalmech(fm, centerX, centerY, diam, varargin) [Software]. MATLAB
- 854 Central File Exchange. <u>https://www.mathworks.com/matlabcentral/fileexchange/61227-</u>
 855 <u>focalmech-fm-centery-diam-varargin</u>
- Day, S. J. (2015). Chapter 58 Volcanic Tsunamis. In H. Sigurdsson (Ed.), *The Encyclopedia of Volcanoes (Second Edition)* (pp. 993–1009). Amsterdam: Academic Press.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-385938-9.00058-4
- Duputel, Z., Rivera, L., Kanamori, H., & Hayes, G. (2012). W phase source inversion for
 moderate to large earthquakes (1990–2010). *Geophysical Journal International*, 189(2),
 1125–1147. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246X.2012.05419.x
- Ekström, G., Nettles, M., & Dziewoński, A. M. (2012). The global CMT project 2004–2010:
- Centroid-moment tensors for 13,017 earthquakes. *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors*, 200-201, 1–9. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pepi.2012.04.002</u>
- Eshelby, J. D. (1957). The Determination of the Elastic Field of an Ellipsoidal Inclusion, and
 Related Problems. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A, Mathematical and Physical Sciences*, 241(1226), 376–396. Retrieved from
- 868 <u>http://www.jstor.org/stable/100095</u>
- Fukao, Y., Sandanbata, O., Sugioka, H., Ito, A., Shiobara, H., Watada, S., & Satake, K. (2018).
 Mechanism of the 2015 volcanic tsunami earthquake near Torishima, Japan. *Science Advances*, 4(4), eaao0219. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aao0219
- Geist, D. J., Harpp, K. S., Naumann, T. R., Poland, M., Chadwick, W. W., Hall, M., & Rader, E.
 (2008). The 2005 eruption of Sierra Negra volcano, Galápagos, Ecuador. *Bulletin of*
- 874 *Volcanology*, *70*(6), 655–673. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00445-007-0160-3

- Geoware. (2011). TTT (Tsunami Travel Times) [Software]. Geoware Tsunami Product List.
 https://www.geoware-online.com/tsunami.html
- Glastonbury-Southern, E., Winder, T., White, R. S., & Brandsdóttir, B. (2022). Ring fault slip
 reversal at bárðarbunga volcano, Iceland: Seismicity during caldera collapse and re -
- inflation 2014–2018. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 49(21).
- https://doi.org/10.1029/2021g1097613
- Gregg, P. M., de Silva, S. L., Grosfils, E. B., & Parmigiani, J. P. (2012). Catastrophic calderaforming eruptions: Thermomechanics and implications for eruption triggering and
 maximum caldera dimensions on Earth. *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research*, 241–242, 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2012.06.009
- 885 Gregg, P. M., Le Mével, H., Zhan, Y., Dufek, J., Geist, D., & Chadwick, W. W., Jr. (2018).
- Stress triggering of the 2005 eruption of Sierra Negra volcano, Galápagos. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 45(24), 13288–13297. <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2018gl080393</u>
- Gregg, P. M., Zhan, Y., Amelung, F., Geist, D., Mothes, P., Koric, S., & Yunjun, Z. (2022).
 Forecasting mechanical failure and the 26 June 2018 eruption of Sierra Negra Volcano,
 Galápagos, Ecuador. *Science Advances*, 8(22), eabm4261.
- 891 <u>https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abm4261</u>
- 892 Grilli, S. T., Tappin, D. R., Carey, S., Watt, S. F. L., Ward, S. N., Grilli, A. R., et al. (2019).
- Modelling of the tsunami from the December 22, 2018 lateral collapse of Anak Krakatau volcano in the Sunda Straits, Indonesia. *Scientific Reports*, *9*(1), 11946.
- 895 <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-48327-6</u>
- Gudmundsson, M. T., Jónsdóttir, K., Hooper, A., Holohan, E. P., Halldórsson, S. A., Ófeigsson,
 B. G., et al. (2016). Gradual caldera collapse at Bárdarbunga volcano, Iceland, regulated
 by lateral magma outflow. *Science*, *353*(6296), aaf8988.
- 899 <u>https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaf8988</u>
- Hardebeck, J. L., & Okada, T. (2018). Temporal stress changes caused by earthquakes: A
 review. *Journal of Geophysical Research, [Solid Earth]*, 123(2), 1350–1365.
- 902 <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/2017jb014617</u>
- Hasegawa, A., Yoshida, K., & Okada, T. (2011). Nearly complete stress drop in the 2011 Mw
 904 9.0 off the Pacific coast of Tohoku Earthquake. *Earth, Planets and Space*, 63(7), 35.
 905 <u>https://doi.org/10.5047/eps.2011.06.007</u>

906	Hayes, G. P., Rivera, L., & Kanamori, H. (2009). Source Inversion of the W-Phase: Real-time
907	Implementation and Extension to Low Magnitudes. Seismological Research Letters,
908	80(5), 817-822. https://doi.org/10.1785/gssr1.80.5.817
909	Heidarzadeh, M., Ishibe, T., Sandanbata, O., Muhari, A., & Wijanarto, A. B. (2020). Numerical
910	modeling of the subaerial landslide source of the 22 December 2018 Anak Krakatoa
911	volcanic tsunami, Indonesia. Ocean Engineering, 195, 106733.
912	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oceaneng.2019.106733
913	Heidarzadeh, M., Putra, P. S., Nugroho, S. H., & Rashid, D. B. Z. (2020). Field Survey of
914	Tsunami Heights and Runups Following the 22 December 2018 Anak Krakatau Volcano
915	Tsunami, Indonesia. Pure and Applied Geophysics, 177(10), 4577-4595.
916	https://doi.org/10.1007/s00024-020-02587-w
917	Hjörleifsdóttir, V., & Ekström, G. (2010). Effects of three-dimensional Earth structure on CMT
918	earthquake parameters. Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors, 179(3), 178–190.
919	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pepi.2009.11.003
920	Japan Hydrographic Association. (2022). M7000 Digital Bathymetric Chart (ASCII file)
921	[D7023A-2] [Dataset]. Japan Nautical Chart Web Shop.
922	https://www.jha.or.jp/shop/index.php?main_page=product_info_js2&products_id=1409
923	Japan Hydrographic Association. (2011). Grid Bathymetric Data (JTOPO30v2) [Dataset]. Japan
924	Nautical Chart Web Shop.
925	https://www.jha.or.jp/shop/index.php?main_page=advanced_search_result&categories_id
926	<u>=1302</u>
927	Japan Meteorological Agency. (2013). 73. Funka Asane. National Catalogue of the active
928	volcanoes in Japan (The forth edition). Retrieved from
929	https://www.data.jma.go.jp/vois/data/tokyo/STOCK/souran_eng/volcanoes/073_funka_as
930	ane.pdf
931	Japan Meteorological Agency. (2022). Historical Activity of Funka Asane (in Japanese).
932	Retrieved November 22, 2023, from
933	https://www.data.jma.go.jp/vois/data/tokyo/328_FunkaAsane/328_history.html
934	Jónsson, S. (2009). Stress interaction between magma accumulation and trapdoor faulting on
935	Sierra Negra volcano, Galápagos. Tectonophysics, 471(1), 36-44.
936	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2008.08.005

- 937 Kajiura, K. (1963). The Leading Wave of a Tsunami. Bulletin of the Earthquake Research
- Institute, University of Tokyo, 41(3), 535–571. Retrieved from
 https://ci.nii.ac.jp/naid/120000866529/
- Kanamori, H., & Given, J. W. (1981). Use of long-period surface waves for rapid determination
 of earthquake-source parameters. *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors*, 27(1), 8–

942 31. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0031-9201(81)90083-2</u>

- Kanamori, H., & Rivera, L. (2008). Source inversion of Wphase: speeding up seismic tsunami
 warning. *Geophysical Journal International*, 175(1), 222–238.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246X.2008.03887.x
- Kilbride, B. M., Edmonds, M., & Biggs, J. (2016). Observing eruptions of gas-rich compressible
 magmas from space. *Nature Communications*, 7, 13744.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms13744</u>
- Kubo, H., Kubota, T., Suzuki, W., Aoi, S., Sandanbata, O., Chikasada, N., & Ueda, H. (2022).
- Ocean-wave phenomenon around Japan due to the 2022 Tonga eruption observed by the
 wide and dense ocean-bottom pressure gauge networks. *Earth, Planets and Space*, 74(1),
 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40623-022-01663-w
- Kubota, T., Saito, T., & Nishida, K. (2022). Global fast-traveling tsunamis driven by
 atmospheric Lamb waves on the 2022 Tonga eruption. *Science*, *377*(6601), 91–94.
 https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abo4364
- Lai, V. H., Zhan, Z., Brissaud, Q., Sandanbata, O., & Miller, M. S. (2021). Inflation and
- 957asymmetric collapse at kīlauea summit during the 2018 eruption from seismic and958infrasound analyses. Journal of Geophysical Research, [Solid Earth].
- 959 <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2021jb022139</u>
- Le Mével, H., Gregg, P. M., & Feigl, K. L. (2016). Magma injection into a long-lived reservoir
 to explain geodetically measured uplift: Application to the 2007-2014 unrest episode at
- Laguna del Maule volcanic field, Chile. *Journal of Geophysical Research, [Solid Earth]*, *121*(8), 6092–6108. https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JB013066
- Lynett, P., McCann, M., Zhou, Z., Renteria, W., Borrero, J., Greer, D., et al. (2022). Diverse
 tsunamigenesis triggered by the Hunga Tonga-Hunga Ha'apai eruption. *Nature*,
 609(7928), 728–733. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-05170-6</u>

967	Massa, B., D'Auria, L., Cristiano, E., & De Matteo, A. (2016). Determining the Stress Field in
968	Active Volcanoes Using Focal Mechanisms. Frontiers of Earth Science in China, 4.
969	https://doi.org/10.3389/feart.2016.00103
970	Metz, D., Watts, A. B., Grevemeyer, I., Rodgers, M., & Paulatto, M. (2016). Ultra - long - range
971	hydroacoustic observations of submarine volcanic activity at Monowai, Kermadec Arc.
972	Geophysical Research Letters, 43(4), 1529–1536. https://doi.org/10.1002/2015gl067259
973	Michon, L., Staudacher, T., Ferrazzini, V., Bachèlery, P., & Marti, J. (2007). April 2007 collapse
974	of Piton de la Fournaise: A new example of caldera formation. Geophysical Research
975	Letters, 34(21). https://doi.org/10.1029/2007gl031248
976	Moyer, P. A., Boettcher, M. S., Bohnenstiehl, D. R., & Abercrombie, R. E. (2020). Crustal
977	strength variations inferred from earthquake stress drop at axial seamount surrounding
978	the 2015 eruption. Geophysical Research Letters, 47(16).
979	https://doi.org/10.1029/2020gl088447
980	Muhari, A., Heidarzadeh, M., Susmoro, H., Nugroho, H. D., Kriswati, E., Supartoyo, et al.
981	(2019). The December 2018 Anak Krakatau Volcano Tsunami as Inferred from Post-
982	Tsunami Field Surveys and Spectral Analysis. Pure and Applied Geophysics, 176(12),
983	5219-5233. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00024-019-02358-2
984	Mulia, I. E., Watada, S., Ho, TC., Satake, K., Wang, Y., & Aditiya, A. (2020). Simulation of
985	the 2018 tsunami due to the flank failure of anak krakatau volcano and implication for
986	future observing systems. Geophysical Research Letters, 47(14), e2020GL087334.
987	https://doi.org/10.1029/2020g1087334
988	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. (2005). Deep-ocean assessment and
989	reporting of tsunamis (DART) [Dataset]. National Geophysical Data Center, NOAA.
990	https://doi.org/10.7289/V5F18WNS
991	National Research Institute for Earth Science and Disaster Resilience. (2019). NIED F-net
992	[Dataset]. National Research Institute for Earth Science and Disaster Resilience.
993	https://doi.org/10.17598/NIED.0005
994	Newman, A. V., Dixon, T. H., & Gourmelen, N. (2006). A four-dimensional viscoelastic
995	deformation model for Long Valley Caldera, California, between 1995 and 2000. Journal
996	of Volcanology and Geothermal Research, 150(1), 244–269.
997	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2005.07.017

998	Nikkhoo, M., & Walter, T. R. (2015). Triangular dislocation: an analytical, artefact-free solution.
999	Geophysical Journal International, 201(2), 1119–1141.
1000	https://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggv035
1001	Peregrine, D. H. (1972). Equations for Water Waves and the Approximation behind Them. In R.
1002	E. Meyer (Ed.), Waves on Beaches and Resulting Sediment Transport (pp. 95–121).
1003	Academic Press. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-493250-0.50007-2
1004	Pritchard, M. E., Ji, C., & Simons, M. (2006). Distribution of slip from $11 M_w > 6$ earthquakes in
1005	the northern Chile subduction zone. Journal of Geophysical Research, 111(B10).
1006	https://doi.org/10.1029/2005jb004013
1007	Purkis, S. J., Ward, S. N., Fitzpatrick, N. M., Garvin, J. B., Slayback, D., Cronin, S. J., et al.
1008	(2023). The 2022 Hunga-Tonga megatsunami: Near-field simulation of a once-in-a-
1009	century event. Science Advances, 9(15), eadf5493. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.adf5493
1010	Riel, B., Milillo, P., Simons, M., Lundgren, P., Kanamori, H., & Samsonov, S. (2015). The
1011	collapse of Bárðarbunga caldera, Iceland. Geophysical Journal International, 202(1),
1012	446–453. https://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggv157
1013	Rodríguez-Cardozo, F., Hjörleifsdóttir, V., Jónsdóttir, K., Iglesias, A., Franco, S. I., Geirsson,
1014	H., et al. (2021). The 2014–2015 complex collapse of the Bárðarbunga caldera, Iceland,
1015	revealed by seismic moment tensors. Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research,
1016	107275. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2021.107275
1017	Ross, Z. E., Kanamori, H., & Hauksson, E. (2017). Anomalously large complete stress drop
1018	during the $2016M_w 5.2$ Borrego Springs earthquake inferred by waveform modeling and
1019	near - source aftershock deficit. Geophysical Research Letters, 44(12), 5994-6001.
1020	https://doi.org/10.1002/2017g1073338
1021	Saito, T., Matsuzawa, T., Obara, K., & Baba, T. (2010). Dispersive tsunami of the 2010 Chile
1022	earthquake recorded by the high-sampling-rate ocean-bottom pressure gauges.
1023	Geophysical Research Letters, 37(23). https://doi.org/10.1029/2010gl045290
1024	Saito, T., Noda, A., Yoshida, K., & Tanaka, S. (2018). Shear strain energy change caused by the
1025	interplate coupling along the Nankai trough: An integration analysis using stress tensor
1026	inversion and slip-deficit inversion. Journal of Geophysical Research, [Solid Earth],

123(7), 5975–5986. <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2018jb015839</u>

- 1028 Sandanbata, O., Watada, S., Satake, K., Fukao, Y., Sugioka, H., Ito, A., & Shiobara, H. (2018).
- 1029 Ray Tracing for Dispersive Tsunamis and Source Amplitude Estimation Based on
- 1030 Green's Law: Application to the 2015 Volcanic Tsunami Earthquake Near Torishima,
- 1031 South of Japan. *Pure and Applied Geophysics*, 175(4), 1371–1385.
- 1032 <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00024-017-1746-0</u>
- Sandanbata, O., Kanamori, H., Rivera, L., Zhan, Z., Watada, S., & Satake, K. (2021). Moment
 tensors of ring-faulting at active volcanoes: Insights into vertical CLVD earthquakes at
 the Sierra Negra caldera, Galápagos islands. *Journal of Geophysical Research, [Solid Earth*], 126(6), e2021JB021693. https://doi.org/10.1029/2021jb021693
- Sandanbata, O., & Saito, T. (2023). Quantifying magma overpressure beneath a submarine
 caldera: A mechanical modeling approach to tsunamigenic trapdoor faulting near Kita Ioto Island, Japan [Dataset]. Zenodo. <u>https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8344070</u>
- Sandanbata, O., Watada, S., Ho, T.-C., & Satake, K. (2021). Phase delay of short-period
 tsunamis in the density-stratified compressible ocean over the elastic Earth. *Geophysical Journal International*, 226(3), 1975–1985. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggab192</u>
- Sandanbata, O., Watada, S., Satake, K., Kanamori, H., Rivera, L., & Zhan, Z. (2022). Sub decadal volcanic tsunamis due to submarine trapdoor faulting at Sumisu caldera in the
 Izu–Bonin arc. *Journal of Geophysical Research, [Solid Earth]*, *127*(9).
- 1046 <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2022jb024213</u>
- Sandanbata, O., Watada, S., Satake, K., Kanamori, H., & Rivera, L. (2023). Two volcanic
 tsunami events caused by trapdoor faulting at a submerged caldera near Curtis and
 Cheeseman islands in the Kermadec arc. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 50(7).
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2022gl101086
- Saurel, J.-M., Jacques, E., Aiken, C., Lemoine, A., Retailleau, L., Lavayssière, A., et al. (2021).
 Mayotte seismic crisis: building knowledge in near real-time by combining land and
 ocean-bottom seismometers, first results. *Geophysical Journal International*, 228(2),
- 1054 1281–1293. https://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggab392
- Scripps Institution of Oceanography. (1986). II: Global Seismograph Network IRIS/IDA.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.7914/SN/II</u>

1057	Segall, P., & Anderson, K. (2021). Repeating caldera collapse events constrain fault friction at
1058	the kilometer scale. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United
1059	States of America, 118(30). <u>https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2101469118</u>
1060	Shelly, D. R., & Thelen, W. A. (2019). Anatomy of a caldera collapse: Kīlauea 2018 summit
1061	seismicity sequence in high resolution. Geophysical Research Letters, 46(24), 14395-
1062	14403. https://doi.org/10.1029/2019gl085636
1063	Shreve, T., & Delgado, F. (2023). Trapdoor fault activation: A step towards caldera collapse at
1064	Sierra Negra, Galápagos, Ecuador. Journal of Geophysical Research, [Solid Earth].
1065	https://doi.org/10.1029/2023jb026437
1066	Sparks, R. S. J. (2003). Forecasting volcanic eruptions. Earth and Planetary Science Letters,
1067	210(1), 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0012-821X(03)00124-9
1068	Sugioka, H., Fukao, Y., Okamoto, T., & Kanjo, K. (2001). Detection of shallowest submarine
1069	seismicity by acoustic coupled shear waves. Journal of Geophysical Research, 106(B7),
1070	13485–13499. https://doi.org/10.1029/2000jb900476
1071	Tepp, G., & Dziak, R. P. (2021). The Seismo-Acoustics of Submarine Volcanic Eruptions.
1072	Journal of Geophysical Research, [Solid Earth], 126(4), e2020JB020912.
1073	https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JB020912
1074	Wang, T. A., Coppess, K. R., Segall, P., Dunham, E. M., & Ellsworth, W. (2022). Physics -

- 1075 based model reconciles caldera collapse induced static and dynamic ground motion:
 1076 Application to kīlauea 2018. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 49(8).
- 1077 https://doi.org/10.1029/2021g1097440
- Wang, T. A., Segall, P., Hotovec-Ellis, A. J., Anderson, K. R., & Cervelli, P. F. (2023). Ring
 fault creep drives volcano-tectonic seismicity during caldera collapse of Kīlauea in 2018.
 Earth and Planetary Science Letters, *618*, 118288.
- 1081 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2023.118288</u>
- Wang, Y., Satake, K., Sandanbata, O., Maeda, T., & Su, H. (2019). Tsunami data assimilation of
 cabled ocean bottom pressure records for the 2015 torishima volcanic tsunami
- 1084 earthquake. Journal of Geophysical Research, [Solid Earth], 124(10), 10413–10422.
 1085 https://doi.org/10.1029/2019jb018056
- Wells, D. L., & Coppersmith, K. J. (1994). New Empirical Relationships among Magnitude,
 Rupture Length, Rupture Width, Rupture Area, and Surface Displacement. *Bulletin of the*

- 1088 Seismological Society of America, 84(4), 974–1002.
- 1089 <u>https://doi.org/10.1785/BSSA0840040974</u>
- Ye, L., Kanamori, H., Rivera, L., Lay, T., Zhou, Y., Sianipar, D., & Satake, K. (2020). The 22
 December 2018 tsunami from flank collapse of Anak Krakatau volcano during eruption.
 Science Advances, 6(3), eaaz1377. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aaz1377
- 1093Zhan, Y., & Gregg, P. M. (2019). How accurately can we model magma reservoir failure with1094uncertainties in host rock rheology? Journal of Geophysical Research, [Solid Earth],
- 1095 *124*(8), 8030–8042. <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2019jb018178</u>
- Zheng, Y., Blackstone, L., & Segall, P. (2022). Constraints on absolute magma chamber volume
 from geodetic measurements of trapdoor faulting at Sierra Negra volcano, Galapagos.
- 1098 *Geophysical Research Letters*, 49(5). <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2021gl095683</u>
- 1099

1100 References From the Supporting Information

- Aki, K., & Richards, P. G. (1980). *Quantitative seismology: theory and methods* (Vol. 842).
 Freeman San Francisco, CA.
- Dziewonski, A. M., & Anderson, D. L. (1981). Preliminary reference Earth model. *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors*, 25(4), 297–356. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0031-</u>
 9201(81)90046-7
- Kawakatsu, H., & Yamamoto, M. (2015). 4.15 Volcano Seismology. In G. Schubert (Ed.),
 Treatise on Geophysics (Second Edition) (pp. 389–419). Oxford: Elsevier.
- 1108 https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-53802-4.00081-6
- 1109 Takeuchi, H., & Saito, M. (1972). Seismic surface waves. Methods in Computational Physics,
- *1110 11*, 217–295.
- 1111