Saturation of destratifying and restratifying instabilities during down-front wind events: a case study in the Irminger Sea

Fraser William Goldsworth¹, Isabela Alexander-Astiz Le Bras², Helen Louise Johnson¹, and David P Marshall¹

¹University of Oxford ²Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution

September 11, 2023

Abstract

Observations indicate that symmetric instability is active in the East Greenland Current during strong northerly wind events. Theoretical considerations suggest that baroclinic instability may also be enhanced during these events. An ensemble of idealised numerical ocean models, forced with northerly winds show that the short time-scale response (from two to four weeks) to the increased baroclinicity of the flow is the excitation of symmetric instability, which sets the potential vorticity of the flow to zero. The high latitude of the current means that the zero potential vorticity state has low stratification, and symmetric instability destratifies the water column. On longer time scales (greater than four weeks), baroclinic instability is excited and the associated slumping of isopycnals restratifies the water column. Eddy-resolving models that fail to resolve the submesoscale should consider using submesoscale parameterisations to prevent the formation of overly stratified frontal systems following down-front wind events. The mixed layer in the current deepens at a rate proportional to the square root of the time-integrated wind stress. Peak water mass transformation rates vary linearly with the time-integrated wind stress. The duration of a wind event leads to a saturation of mixing rates which means increasing the peak wind stress in an event leads to no extra mixing. Using ERA5 reanalysis data we estimate that between 1.5Sv and 1.8Sv of East Greenland Coastal Current Waters are produced by mixing with lighter surface waters during wintertime by down-front wind events. Similar amounts of East Greenland-Irminger Current water are produced at a slower rate.

Saturation of destratifying and restratifying instabilities during down-front wind events: a case study in the Irminger Sea

F. W. Goldsworth^{1,2}, H. L. Johnson³, D. P. Marshall¹, I. A. Le Bras⁴

¹AOPP, Department of Physics, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK ²Oxford NERC Environmental Research DTP, Oxford, UK ³Department of Earth Science, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK ⁴Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, Woods Hole, MA, USA

Key Points:

1

2

3

4

9

10	•	Down-front wind events produce approximately 1.5 Sv of water mass transforma-
11		tion off the coast of Greenland between November and April.
12	•	Mixing is induced by symmetric and gravitational instabilities.
13	•	Baroclinic instabilities subsequently restratify the water column.

Corresponding author: F. W. Goldsworth, fraser.goldsworth@physics.ox.ac.uk

14 Abstract

Observations indicate that symmetric instability is active in the East Greenland Cur-15 rent during strong northerly wind events. Theoretical considerations suggest that baro-16 clinic instability may also be enhanced during these events. An ensemble of idealised nu-17 merical ocean models, forced with northerly winds show that the short time-scale response 18 (from two to four weeks) to the increased baroclinicity of the flow is the excitation of sym-19 metric instability, which sets the potential vorticity of the flow to zero. The high lati-20 tude of the current means that the zero potential vorticity state has low stratification, 21 and symmetric instability destratifies the water column. On longer time scales (greater 22 than four weeks), baroclinic instability is excited and the associated slumping of isopy-23 cnals restratifies the water column. Eddy-resolving models that fail to resolve the sub-24 mesoscale should consider using submesoscale parameterisations to prevent the forma-25 tion of overly stratified frontal systems following down-front wind events. 26

The mixed layer in the current deepens at a rate proportional to the square root 27 of the time-integrated wind stress. Peak water mass transformation rates vary linearly 28 with the time-integrated wind stress. The duration of a wind event leads to a satura-29 tion of mixing rates which means increasing the peak wind stress in an event leads to 30 no extra mixing. Using ERA5 reanalysis data we estimate that between 1.5 Sv and 1.8 Sv 31 of East Greenland Coastal Current Waters are produced by mixing with lighter surface 32 waters during wintertime by down-front wind events. Similar amounts of East Greenland-33 Irminger Current water are produced at a slower rate. 34

³⁵ Plain Language Summary

Symmetric instability is a process that mixes waters at the surface of the ocean with 36 denser waters below them. Observations show that in winter, when winds blow from the 37 north, along the coast of Greenland, symmetric instability occurs; however, observations 38 are limited which makes it difficult to understand the effect of the instability on the ocean 39 currents in the region. We test the hypothesis that symmetric instability leads to the 40 production of dense waters which are known to form in the region and contribute to the 41 Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation, (or "ocean conveyor" (Broecker, 1991)). 42 We find that symmetric instability doesn't lead directly to the formation of deep waters; 43 instead it mixes lighter water with denser water which may subsequently form deep wa-44 ters. A second type of instability, called baroclinic instability leads to the development 45 of a fresh water "lid" which sits on top of the newly formed waters masses, isolating them 46 from the atmosphere. 47

48 State of the art climate models don't resolve symmetric instability which means
 49 they may not get the density structure in the sub-polar North Atlantic correct, which
 50 could lead to errors in ocean heat transports which are important in determining the Earth's
 51 climate.

52 1 Introduction

The Irminger Sea is the region of the North Atlantic that sits between the East Coast 53 of Greenland, the West Coast of Iceland and the Reykjanes Ridge. It has recently been 54 revealed by OSNAP observations to be an important region in the formation of dense 55 North Atlantic Deep Waters which make up the lower limb of the AMOC (Lozier et al., 56 2019). This finding came as a surprise to many, with most models suggesting deep wa-57 ter formation primarily occurs in the adjacent Labrador Sea (Hirschi et al., 2020). As 58 such, there has been a renewed interest in processes that may enhance deep water for-59 mation in the Eastern Sub-polar North Atlantic Ocean (de Jong & de Steur, 2016; Josey 60 et al., 2019; Le Bras et al., 2022). 61

One such process is symmetric instability, with observations indicating that it is 62 excited in the East Greenland Current system during strong northerly wind events (Le Bras 63 et al., 2022). The East Greenland Current system consists of two surface intensified west-64 ern boundary currents within the Irminger Sea. They flow southwards along the east coast 65 of Greenland, with the East Greenland Coastal Current on the landward side, and the 66 East Greenland-Irminger Current sitting on the seaward side. The combined volume trans-67 port is around 18 Sv with peak speeds of around 20 $\mathrm{cm\,s^{-1}}$ found in the Irminger Cur-68 rent (Talley et al., 2011a, 2011b; Daniault et al., 2011; Le Bras et al., 2018). Symmet-69 ric instability within the current leads to the generation of a deep low potential vortic-70 ity layer 1.5 to 4 times deeper than the conventionally defined mixed layer (Le Bras et 71 al., 2022; Taylor & Ferrari, 2010). Le Bras et al. (2022) hypothesised that the buoyancy 72 fluxes associated with the excitement of symmetric instability may contribute to the for-73 mation of North Atlantic Deep Waters. 74

Symmetric instability occurs when there is an imbalance between a fluid parcel's 75 inertia, and the Coriolis and buoyancy forces acting on it. It can be shown that this con-76 dition is equivalent to its Ertel potential vorticity having opposite sign to the vertical 77 component of the planetary vorticity¹ (Ertel, 1942; Stone, 1966; Hoskins, 1974). When 78 symmetric instability is excited, slantwise convection occurs. Slantwise convection is when 79 overturning cells develop in a region of negative potential vorticity oriented almost par-80 allel to isopycnals (Emanuel, 1994). The horizontal scale of the cells is typically set by 81 the width of the negative potential vorticity region whereas the vertical scale is set by 82 both the rate of turbulent mixing, which acts to erode small scale overturning motions, 83 and the stratification, which prohibits the formation of tall overturning cells (Plougonven 84 & Zeitlin, 2009). 85

For the East Greenland Current to become symmetrically unstable it must be in-86 jected with negative potential vorticity — during down-front wind events, this injection 87 is provided by an Ekman buoyancy flux. Ekman driven symmetric instability occurs when 88 potential vorticity is made negative by winds blowing along a geostrophically balanced 89 current (Thomas & Lee, 2005). Consider a southwards flowing surface intensified cur-90 rent in the Northern Hemisphere. In order to balance the vertical shear, thermal wind 91 balance requires the outcropping of dense waters in the East (figure 1). A northerly wind 92 stress blowing along the current will induce a westwards Ekman transport (figure 2), which 93 will act to steepen the isopycnals (Allen & Newberger, 1996). For a current in thermal 94 wind balance, potential vorticity is given by 95

$$Q = \left(f + \frac{\partial V}{\partial x}\right)\frac{\partial b}{\partial z} - \frac{1}{f}\left(\frac{\partial b}{\partial x}\right)^2.$$
 (1)

If the stratification is stable, and the planetary vorticity dominates over relative vortic-96 ity, as is typical when more than a few degrees away from the equator, then the first term 97 in the equation will have the same sign as f. The quantity $(\partial_x b)^2$, however, is positive 98 semi-definite, so the second term will always act to make the potential vorticity more 99 anomalous (Haine & Marshall, 1998) — that is it will make the flow less stable to sym-100 metric instability. As the isopycnals steepen the $\partial_z b$ term decreases and the $\partial_x b$ term 101 increases so that eventually, if the isopycnals become sufficiently steep, the potential vor-102 ticity can become negative, rendering the flow unstable to symmetric instability (Thomas 103 & Lee, 2005). 104

¹Note that in this work we will use the classical definition of symmetric instability (Hoskins, 1974) rather than the energetic definition of Thomas and Lee (2005). For more information see chapter 2 of F. W. Goldsworth (2022). Under the classical definition both inertial and gravitational instabilities *are* also symmetric instabilities, whereas, under the energetic definition they are distinct.



Figure 1. (a) The bathymetry of the Sub-Polar North Atlantic (GEBCO Compilation Group, 2020). Red line indicates the OSNAP section which the initial conditions and wind forcing used in our models are based on. (b) The density and velocity structure used to initialise the idealised models.



Figure 2. Schematic showing generation of slantwise overturning cells during a down-front wind event. Northerly winds blow along the current leading to a westward Ekman transport of outcropping isopycnals. This in turn reduces potential vorticity leading to the excitement of symmetric instability in regions where the potential vorticity is negative. Symmetric instability is characterised by stacked, counter-rotating overturning cells which orient themselves almost parallel to isopycnals.

The idea that Ekman induced symmetric instability is an important mechanism 105 in the formation of deep waters in the Sub-polar North Atlantic is not a new one. Straneo 106 et al. (2002) found that wind-driven Ekman buoyancy fluxes over the Labrador Sea can 107 be around a third of the size of the air-sea buoyancy flux, and concluded that symmet-108 ric instability should be taken into account when modelling deep water formation in the 109 region. More recently Clément et al. (2023) found that the restratifying effect of sym-110 metric instability and mixed layer eddies is responsible for the cessation of deep convec-111 tion in the Labrador Sea. Indeed, that symmetric instability can both restratify and de-112 stratify further motivates this study. Ongoing modelling work is being carried out by Shu 113 (2023) investigating symmetric instability and baroclinic instabilities in the region. Sim-114 ilarly to Clément et al. (2023) they see the formation of mixed layer eddies; however they 115 also see symmetric instability destratifying the mixed layer. 116

Spall and Thomas (2016) investigate the effect of down-front winds in an idealised 117 model of a buoyant coastal plume, similar to the East Greenland Current. They inte-118 grate both two-dimensional and three-dimensional hydrostatic models, with a horizon-119 tal grid spacing of 500 m and a vertical grid spacing of 1 m. They force their models with 120 a uniform meridional wind stress which is ramped up over seven days and then held con-121 stant for the remaining thirteen days of model integration. In their models, they observe 122 symmetric instability which sets the potential vorticity to near zero, alongside baroclinic 123 instability. These two processes act together to produce water mass transformations, with 124 baroclinic instability greatly enhancing the transformation rates. 125

Other field and modelling campaigns have investigated the role of Ekman driven 126 symmetric instability in various boundary current and frontal systems. Thomas et al. 127 (2013) observed symmetric instability in the Gulf Stream under down-front winds and 128 found a competition between destratification of the mixed layer by convection and re-129 stratification resulting from symmetric instabilities. Similar effects have been observed 130 by D'Asaro et al. (2011) in the Kuroshio, and conditions conducive to the excitement 131 of Ekman driven symmetric instability have been observed in the Antarctic Circumpo-132 lar Current (Taylor et al., 2018). 133

The observations of Le Bras et al. (2022), taken in the East Greenland Current re-134 gion, raise questions about how much water mass transformation is driven by down-front 135 wind events, and whether these highly seasonal events could be a source of AMOC vari-136 ability. These questions are incredibly difficult to answer with sparse observations, and 137 so here we will use idealised models to tackle them. Our results could also be used to 138 evaluate parameterisations for mixing induced by down-front wind events (although we 139 will not attempt to do this here). The work of Spall and Thomas (2016) lays the foun-140 dations for addressing the above questions; however, their study design means it is only 141 able to partially answer them. Their hydrostatic models are too coarse to provide a truly 142 reliable estimate of the mixing induced by symmetric instability. A non-hydrostatic model 143 with a higher resolution is required to resolve the secondary shear instabilities which are 144 known to be important in generating mixing (Taylor & Ferrari, 2009). 145

In the model simulations of Spall and Thomas (2016), the wind stress is held con-146 stant after the first seven days of model integration. This means both potential vortic-147 ity and buoyancy are constantly being extracted from the flow, and the models will only 148 equilibriate to a pseudo-steady state in which instability will constantly be excited. There-149 fore, estimates of mixing at later times in their integrations may be either overestimates 150 or underestimates, depending upon whether the preconditioning by the wind stress at 151 earlier times enhances or suppresses subsequent mixing. To estimate the effect of a wind 152 153 event on mixing, we must model it as just that — an isolated event, with a wind stress which is ramped up and down to some characteristic value over a characteristic period 154 of time. 155

In this work we address:

¹⁵⁶

Run	$\tau_0~({\rm Nm^{-2}})$	δ_t (days)	ΔX (m)	Pressure	Dimensions
Standard 2D	0.5	2.5	25	NH	2D
Standard 3D	0.5	2.5	200	Η	3D
Coarse 2D	0.5	2.5	200	Η	2D
Ensemble	0 - 0.75	0-5	25	NH	2D

Table 1. Table showing parameters used in the different model integrations. $\tau_0 = \text{maxi-}$ mum down-front wind stress. $\delta_t = \text{wind}$ event duration. $\Delta X = \text{model}$ resolution. NH = non-hydrostatic. H = hydrostatic.

1.	how s	symn	netric	and	baroclinic	instabiliti	es alter	the mean	structure	of the	East
	Green	nland	d Curi	ent f	following of	lown-front	wind e	events;			

2. the role of baroclinic and symmetric instabilities in producing diapycnal mixing during down-front wind events;

160 161 162

157 158

159

3. approaches to parameterising symmetric instability in coarse resolution models that fail to resolve the process.

Although this work focuses on the East Greenland Current, the findings will be applicable to other boundary current systems which are subject to down-front winds.

In section 2 we describe the suite of idealised models that underpin this study. In section 3 we examine the effects of symmetric and baroclinic instabilities on the structure of the (modelled) East Greenland Current following down-front wind events. In section 4 we take a more quantitative look at the depth of the low potential vorticity layer and water mass transformation rates, before examining the implications for numerical climate models. Finally, in section 6 we summarise our results and make concluding remarks.

¹⁷² 2 The models

We integrate an ensemble of idealised models of the East Greenland current based 173 on two different configurations of the MITgcm (Marshall et al., 1997; Campin et al., 2022). 174 The first configuration is a non-hydrostatic two-dimensional model that is symmetric (pe-175 riodic) in the along-stream direction. The domain is 150 km wide in the horizontal (across-176 stream) direction and 500 m deep. The horizontal and vertical grid spacings are set to 177 25 m and 1 m, respectively. The resolution was chosen to be high enough that the Richard-178 son number is sufficiently small for Kelvin-Helmholtz instabilities to be resolved, as Kelvin-179 Helmholtz instabilities are known to be important for obtaining reliable estimates of di-180 apycnal mixing rates (Griffiths, 2003; Yankovsky & Legg, 2019). The time step is set to 181 2 seconds and the model is integrated for a total of 21 days. 182

This first configuration allows us to probe the fine-scale dynamics that occur dur-183 ing down-front wind events; however, the two-dimensional nature of the models prohibits 184 the development of baroclinic instability which grows in the along stream direction (Stone, 185 1966). Given the high baroclinicity of the current system, it is plausible that baroclinic 186 instability will have a material effect on the dynamics. In order to resolve baroclinic in-187 stability we require a three-dimensional model. As such we also integrate a second set 188 of model configurations which compromise on resolution but can be run in either a two-189 dimensional or three-dimensional setup. 190

The second configuration is hydrostatic and has a horizontal resolution of 200 m. In the three-dimensional setup the model domain has a meridional extent of 50 km, with periodic meridional boundaries. The time step is set to 4 seconds. The model is inte grated for a total of 84 days. The model setup is otherwise identical to the non-hydrostatic
 configuration. A summary of the model integrations is shown in table 1.

Both configurations are sited on an f-plane with f set to $1.26 \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1}$, corresponding to a latitude of 60°N. At the surface, a rigid lid boundary condition is employed, with the lateral and bottom boundaries set to be free-slip. The model has sloping bathymetry, which can be seen in figure 1b. The model is initialised in thermal wind balance, with the velocity field and density profiles also shown in figure 1b. Both of these fields are based on observations from the OSNAP array (Le Bras et al., 2022).

A linear equation of state is used, with a reference density of 1,027 kg m⁻³, a thermal expansion coefficient of 2×10^{-4} K⁻¹, and constant salinity. The thermal diffusion coefficient is set to 1×10^{-5} m² s⁻¹. A second order-moment Prather advection scheme with a flux limiter is employed (Prather, 1986). Momentum dissipation is provided by an adaptive biharmonic lateral Smagorinsky viscosity and a vertical Laplacian viscosity of 4×10^{-4} m² s⁻¹ (Smagorinsky, 1963; Griffies & Hallberg, 2000). The biharmonic viscosity is chosen to ensure dissipation occurs as close to the grid-scale as possible.

The models are forced using a time-varying, along-stream wind stress. The stress is spatially uniform and temporally Gaussian, taking the form

$$\tau_y = \tau_0 e^{-(t - t_{mid})^2 / 2\delta_t^2} , \qquad (2)$$

where τ_0 is the maximum wind stress, t_{mid} is the time at which the wind stress peaks and δ_t is the duration of the wind event. We integrate the non-hydrostatic configuration using ten different values of τ_0 ranging linearly from 0 Nm^{-2} to -0.75 Nm^{-2} and four different values of δ_t ranging linearly from 1.25 days to 5 days, giving 37 different ensemble members². In all integrations t_{mid} is set to 10.5 days.

We define the set of standard integrations as those in which $\tau_0 = -0.5 \text{ Nm}^{-2}$ and $\delta_t = 2.5 \text{ days}$. This set consists of a hydrostatic and non-hydrostatic two-dimensional integration, and a non-hydrostatic three-dimensional integration. Each of these models is integrated for 84 days.

In some of the model fields plotted here, thin horizontal and vertical lines are present. Investigation of their locations suggests they are a result of sharp "lego-like" bathymetry in the the models. As far as we are aware, the features only come to prominence in fields involving derivatives and they have no effect on the large scale dynamics.

3 Instabilities and the background flow

3.1 Symmetric instability

223

We first investigate the response to down-front winds in the standard two-dimensional model setup, in which symmetric instability and Kelvin Helmholtz instabilities may be excited, but in which baroclinic instability is not able to develop.

Examining the isopycnals plotted in figure 3a, we see how after 1 week of downfront wind forcing there is an Ekman transport of surface waters towards the shelf, leading to a steepening of isopycnal surfaces. In panels (a) and (d) we see how both the potential vorticity and stratification are made negative near the surface, rendering the flow unstable to both symmetric and gravitational instabilities. Figure 4 shows the fraction of wet grid points susceptible to each of these instabilities as a function of depth and time

² Note that when the wind stress is zero it doesn't matter how long the wind event is meaning there are only 40 - 3 = 37 unique ensemble members.



Standard 2D

Figure 3. (a-c) Potential vorticity and (d-f) Stratification in the standard non-hydrostatic two-dimensional model integration. Overlain contours show isopycnals.

in the integration. Note that gravitational instability is dominant in the surface whereas 233 symmetric instability dominates below around 15 metres. The fraction of grid points sus-234 ceptible to symmetric instability remains large well after the wind forcing has subsided 235 (i.e. past 21 days). Figure 3c suggests this is largely due to patches of near zero but neg-236 ative potential vorticity. Although these regions may be susceptible to symmetric insta-237 bility in principle, their potential vorticity is so close to zero that they are essentially in 238 a state of marginal stability. The spatial structure of potential vorticity, stratification 239 and density (as shown in figure 3) is very similar after three and five weeks, further sup-240 porting the hypothesis that symmetric instability is largely inactive during the time pe-241 riod following the wind event. 242

In panels (b) and (e) of figure 3 we see a deeply penetrating low potential vorticity layer, which has incredibly low stratification. The low stratification of this low potential vorticity layer makes distinguishing it from the conventionally defined convectively mixed layer difficult. The low potential vorticity layer we see here is deeper on the anticyclonic (shore-ward) flanks of the currents — an effect seen in observations too (Le Bras et al., 2022). It arises as regions of anticyclonic relative vorticity are less stable to symmetric instability: note that this deepening is not a bathymetric effect.



Figure 4. Fraction of grid cells susceptible to (a) gravitational instability and (b) symmetric instability as a function of depth and time in the standard non-hydrostatic two-dimensional model integration. Grid cells are taken to be susceptible to gravitational instability if $\partial_z b < 0$ and susceptible to symmetric instability if fQ < 0.

That the instability sets the vertical stratification to zero contrasts with studies 250 of the Kuroshio and Gulf Stream, where it is found that the water column is restrati-251 fied following the excitement of symmetric instability (D'Asaro et al., 2011; Thomas et 252 al., 2013); however, the finding is consistent with observations from the Sub-polar North 253 Atlantic (Le Bras et al., 2022) and the theory of Haine and Marshall (1998). We hypoth-254 esise that these differences stem from differences in planetary vorticity at high and mid 255 latitudes — large planetary vorticity at high latitudes means that a zero potential vor-256 ticity state must have low stratification too. As we will shortly see in section 3.2 the ab-257 sence of baroclinic instability in our two-dimensional models also leads to reduced strat-258 ification in regions where symmetric instability has occurred. Furthermore, our model 259 resolution is high enough to resolve Kelvin Helmholtz billows at interfaces between over-260 turning cells. These billows can be susceptible to gravitational instability, further con-261 tributing to the low stratification when our results are compared to coarser modelling 262 studies (see for example figure S2 in the supplementary information which shows the strat-263 ification in the coarse two-dimensional model integration). 264

265

3.2 Baroclinic instability

The isopycnal structure following the excitement of symmetric instability (as seen in figure 3f) is highly baroclinic, especially in the surface 100 m. The steeply slanted isopycnals, although stable to symmetric instability, are unstable to baroclinic instability. Baroclinic modes grow in the along stream direction, however, meaning that they will not be resolved in our two-dimensional models with along stream symmetry. Because of this we will now examine output from the standard three-dimensional model run at a resolution of 200 m (standard 3D).

To ensure the resolution of this model is sufficient to capture the dynamics we are interested in, we also integrated a two-dimensional version of the model at the same resolution (coarse 2D) and compared its output with that of the finer non-hydrostatic reference simulation (standard 2D). We found that key fields such as potential vorticity and stratification are qualitatively similar and water mass transformation rates also look broadly similar (for more details see the supplementary information and figures 6 & 8.)

In figure 5 we show meridionally averaged potential vorticity and stratification in 279 the standard three-dimensional model integration. At early times (figure 5a & d), these 280 look very similar to the standard two-dimensional integration (figure 3a & d), with the 281 generation of negative potential vorticity and unstable stratification towards the surface. 282 At three weeks, however, the low potential vorticity layer appears more diffuse and we 283 see signs of restratification and the slumping of isopycnals at the surface, concentrated 284 in the eastern part of the domain (figure 5b & e). There is also restratification in the west-285 ern part of the domain concentrated at the base of the inner shelf. Given the accompa-286 nying isopycnal slumping and the absence of the restratification in the two-dimensional 287 models, we conclude that this is the effect of baroclinic instability. After five weeks, the 288 stratification at the surface in the eastern part of the domain has increased further, re-289 sulting in a highly stratified "lid" on top of the low potential vorticity waters below. Fur-290 thermore the potential vorticity in the low potential vorticity layer is increased, a result 291 of baroclinic eddies fluxing potential vorticity laterally and eroding potential vorticity 292 gradients. 293

294

3.3 A hierarchy of instabilities

Other studies have found that baroclinic instability is more efficient at removing negative potential vorticity injected by Ekman buoyancy fluxes than symmetric instability (e.g. Haine & Marshall, 1998; Spall & Thomas, 2016). Our results do not contradict these previous works. In these studies, the authors force a front with constant winds in which a pseudo-steady state can be reached. In this steady state Ekman buoyancy



Standard 3D

Figure 5. Evolution of meridionally averaged (a-c) potential vorticity and (d-f) stratification in the standard hydrostatic three-dimensional model integration. Overlain contours show isopycnals. Columns correspond to the quantities after 1 week, 3 weeks and 5 weeks.

fluxes are balanced by eddy fluxes. These eddies grow slowly over timescales given by the inverse of the Eady growth rate. During the initial stages of wind events when the flow is highly baroclinic, there may be other faster growing processes which are capable of steadying the system. Indeed, when the flow is highly baroclinic, symmetric instability can have a larger growth rate than that of baroclinic instability (Stone, 1966).

In our model simulations, we subject currents to wind stresses that are ramped up 305 and back down again. Compared to the Eady growth rate, however, this ramping up and 306 down behaves more like an impulse forcing which steepens the isopycnals faster than the 307 steepening can be counteracted by any of baroclinic, symmetric or gravitational insta-308 bility. On the shortest time scales (less than around two weeks) gravitational instabil-309 ity is excited in regions where the isopycnal tilt exceeds 90° . On intermediate time scales 310 (from two weeks to four weeks) symmetric instability is excited in regions with negative 311 potential vorticity. This typically corresponds to isopycnal tilts in excess of around 5° . 312 And, finally, on long timescales (after around four weeks) baroclinic instability will be 313 excited. The transition from gravitational to symmetric instability and symmetric to baro-314 clinic instabilities will occur when their growth rates are of similar orders of magnitude 315 for the isopycnal structure of the time. The transition from gravitational to symmetric 316 instability can be expected to occur for a Richardson number of around one (Thomas 317 et al., 2013), and for symmetric to baroclinic instability this corresponds to a Richard-318 son number of 0.95 (Stone, 1966). In reality, all three instabilities will be growing con-319 currently and interacting with each other (Stamper & Taylor, 2017); however, thinking 320 in terms of a hierarchy of instabilities is a useful abstraction. 321

322 4 Diapycnal mixing

The observations of Le Bras et al. (2022) and the results shown here in figure 3 suggest that the excitement of symmetric instability may be a mechanism by which dense waters, such as North Atlantic Deep Waters, can be formed. It is difficult to quantify the diapycnal mixing that follows down-front wind events from the moored observations of Le Bras et al. (2022), so here we use our model ensemble to investigate the dependence of the low potential vorticity layer depth, and water mass transformation patterns, on the parameters of the down-front wind event.

330 4.1 Mixing depth

Taylor and Ferrari (2010) propose a scaling for the depth of the low potential vorticity layer generated during down-front wind events. Assuming the only forcing comes from winds and that the initial depth of the low potential vorticity layer is zero, the scaling can be summarised as

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}H^2}{\mathrm{d}t} \propto B_{wind} \tag{3}$$

where H is the depth of the low potential vorticity layer and B_{wind} is the Ekman buoyancy flux induced by the down-front winds, and is given by

$$B_{wind} = -\frac{\tau_y \partial_x b}{\rho_0 f} \,. \tag{4}$$

Integrating equation 3 under the assumption that $\partial_x b$ is approximately constant, we find that

$$H(t = t_{end}) \propto \tau_{int}^{1/2} , \qquad (5)$$

where τ_{int} is the temporally integrated wind stress. As noted already, the low potential vorticity layer in our models, due to its low stratification, is almost indistinguishable from



Figure 6. Spatially averaged change in mixed layer depth between day 21 and day 0, a a function of integrated wind stress. Both horizontal and vertical axes are logarithmic. Triagonal markers correspond to integrations from the 2D ensemble, the cross the standard 3D, and the plus the coarse 2D integrations. Colours show the duration of the wind event. The solid line shows the mixed layer depth scaling predicted by theory and the dashed line the scaling found across the 2D ensemble members.

the mixed layer. If we assume the change in mixed layer depth is a result of the expansion of the low potential vorticity layer we would expect changes in mixed layer depth to scale with the square root of the integrated wind stress.

We define the mixed layer depth as the depth at which density changes by 0.05 kg m⁻³ relative to the surface density. In figure 6 we show the change in mixed layer depth plotted against integrated wind stress for each member of our ensemble (note both axes are logarithmic). Performing a least squares regression on the ensemble data and using a *t*-test to estimate the confidence intervals, we find that the change in mixed layer depth scales with τ_{int} to the power of 0.54, with a 95% confidence interval of 0.49 to 0.58. This is remarkably consistent with the value of 0.5 predicted by idealised theory. Lines showing the 0.5 and 0.54 power laws are also shown in figure 6a. Note how, for a given wind duration, the change in mixed layer depth starts to saturate as the wind strength is increased. This saturation suggests that the amount of mixing may be limited by the duration of the wind event. We can understand why this occurs as follows: if we relax the condition of $\partial_x b$ being constant, integrating equations 3 & 4 by parts we find that

$$H^{2}(t) \propto \left(\tau_{int}(t)\partial_{x}b(t) - \int_{t'=t_{0}}^{t} \tau_{int}(t')\frac{\partial^{2}b}{\partial x\partial t'}dt',\right)$$
(6)

where $\tau_{int}(t)$ is the wind stress integrated from $t' = t_0$ to t' = t. It is the integral in the above equation that causes deviations from the power law and, as such, we will refer to this as the "correction" term. For an infinitesimally short wind event, $\tau_{int}(t)$ is given by a step function (figure 7). This means the integrand in equation 6 will only be nonzero at times following the wind event. Evaluating equation 6 for an infinitesimally short wind event we recover equation 5 exactly.



Figure 7. Integrated wind stress as a function of time for wind events with short and long durations. For short wind events (solid line) the wind stress resembles a step function, whereas for longer wind events (dashed line) the integrated wind stress varies more gradually.

For a longer wind event, $\tau_{int}(t)$ increases more gradually (figure 7), meaning that the integrand is non-zero over a wider time interval. This means that, for a given wind strength, the "correction" term is larger, leading to larger deviations from the power law. Because of this, care should be taken when considering whether the power law scaling applies to longer or stronger wind events than those discussed here.

4.2 Water mass transformation

356

357

360

361

The water mass transformation framework of Walin (1982) allows us to quantify diapycnal volume fluxes (which represent the amount of diapycnal mixing) integrated along isopycnals. Consider a volume of size ΔV bounded above and below by isopycnals of density σ and $\sigma + \Delta \sigma$ respectively. In a closed domain, the only way the volume between the isopycnals can change is if there is a convergence or divergence of the diapycnal volume fluxes, G, integrated over the isopycnals. This quantity is often referred to as the water mass transformation rate. Mathematically we can write

$$\frac{\partial \Delta V}{\partial t} = G(\sigma) - G(\sigma + \Delta \sigma), \qquad (7)$$

with positive values of G indicating a flux from lighter to denser water. The time mean fluxes, G, can be diagnosed from the instantaneous density field as follows:

- 1. define density bins, and at the first and last time-step, bin grid cell volumes by their instantaneous density. Sum all the volumes in the bin to find $\Delta V(\sigma, t)$;
- 2. subtract these values and divide by the elapsed time to find the time averaged value of $\partial_t \Delta V(\sigma)$;
 - 3. cumulatively integrate the time averaged value of $\partial_t \Delta V(\sigma)$ over density, with the boundary condition of $G(\sigma_{max}) = 0$.
- Thus we are able to find the time averaged $G(\sigma)$.

Figure 8a shows the time averaged water mass transformation rates in density space for the standard three-dimensional (blue) and two-dimensional integrations (orange), and the coarse two-dimensional control integration (green). The grey envelope displays the maximum and minimum transformation from the 2D ensemble of simulations. The coarse



Figure 8. (a) Water mass transformation rates for the standard 3D (blue), standard 2D (orange) and coarse 2D (green) integrations. Grey envelope denotes the maximum and minimum transformation rates across the 2D ensemble. The plotted rates have the dimensions of transformation per unit length. To get the transformation in Sv the data should be multiplied by the length of the current, which for the East Greenland Current system is around 200 km. (b) The maximum (upward pointing triagonals) and minimum (downward pointing triagonals) water mass transformation rates as a function of integrated wind stress. (c) The densities of the maximum (upward pointing triagonals) and minimum (downward pointing triagonals) water mass transformation rates as a function of integrated wind stress. In panels (b) and (c) colour corresponds to the duration of the wind event. In place of triagonals, the standard 3D model is represented by a cross and the coarse 2D model is represented by a plus.

two-dimensional model (green) does a good job of representing the transformation close to the surface relative to its finer resolution counterpart (orange); however, transformation is suppressed at depth — in particular in the 27.00 kg m⁻³ to 27.05 kg m⁻³ density classes. This suggests that transformation rates in the standard 3D model are likely reasonable and possibly slightly underestimated.

The transformation rates have a double peak structure, with two maxima and two 372 minima as a function of depth. Broadly speaking this means we have two density classes 373 at which the transformation rates converge (are formed) and three density classes at which 374 the transformation rates diverge (water masses are depleted). For the model integrations 375 plotted, the lightest water mass formed has a density of between 26.90 kg m⁻³ and 26.95 kg m⁻³ 376 with a deeper set of water masses formed between around 27.00 kg m^{-3} and 27.05 kg m^{-3} . 377 Waters with density between these two classes are depleted as are surface and deep wa-378 ters. All models with non-negligible transformation rates have double transformation peaks. 379 The lighter of these water mass classes corresponds to water masses in the core of the 380 East Greenland Coastal Current between depths of 100 m and 200 m; whereas, the heav-381 ier water mass class corresponds to water masses in the core of the East Greenland-Irminger 382 Current in the same depth range. 383

Comparing the standard two-dimensional (orange) and three-dimensional (blue) models, we see that baroclinic instability suppresses water mass transformation near the surface, especially in the 26.90 kg m⁻³ to 26.95 kg m⁻³ class. This is likely a result of the restratifying effect of the baroclinic instability. In the 27.00 kg m⁻³ to 27.05 kg m⁻³ density range there is enhanced downwelling. This corresponds to the density classes present on the inner shelf of the model, where we see enhanced restratification in the three-dimensional model (see figure 5 for example).

Figure 8b shows how the maximum and minimum of the time averaged diapycnal 391 volume fluxes vary with the integrated wind stress — the response is linear. The rates 392 have both maxima and minima as at different depths the diapycnal volume flux may be 393 towards either lighter or denser waters. Also shown on this panel are transformation rates 394 from the coarse and three-dimensional models, which appear to follow the same relation-395 ship as the two-dimensional ones. Performing a linear regression over data points from 396 the two-dimensional ensemble, and using a t-test to find the confidence intervals, we find 397 that the maximum and minimum transformation rates scale as $(3.00\pm0.20)\times10^{-4}$ Sv km⁻¹ Pa⁻¹ day⁻¹ 398 and $(-2.69 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-4} \text{ Sv km}^{-1} \text{ Pa}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1}$, respectively. 399

Figure 8c shows how the isopycnals of maximum and minimum transformation vary with the wind stress. Above a wind stress of approximately 3 Pa days, the isopycnals are unaffected by the integrated wind stress, with maximal densification close to the surface and the lightening of deeper waters. The maxima and minima sit directly above and below the lighter of the two water mass classes that are formed, meaning transformation between the upper water masses is greatest.

Given the linearity of the peak transformation rates with respect to the integrated 406 wind stress (figure 8a), we can estimate an upper bound on the average transformation 407 rate over the course of a season. The average transformation rate will be given by the 408 scaling of the peak transformation rate multiplied by the down-front wind stress inte-409 grated over a season. This is an upper bound on the mixing as we expect the mixing rates 410 to saturate as we go to larger wind stresses (in a similar way to how the changes in mixed 411 layer depth saturate). Using ERA5 hourly data (Hersbach et al., 2020; Copernicus Cli-412 mate Change Service, 2023) we calculate the zonal average of the meridional wind stress 413 at 60° N between 43° W and 41° W for the months of November through April, from 2014 414 to 2018. We select observations with southerly wind stresses and integrate the result-415 ing time series over the time dimension. We get a wintertime total integrated down-front 416 wind stress of 30 Pa days. Assuming a current length of 200 km and a scaling of 3×10^{-4} Sv km⁻¹ Pa⁻¹ day⁻¹ 417 (as previously calculated) we get a transformation rate of 1.8 Sv at $\sigma \approx 26.95$ kg m⁻³. 418

For a given wind duration, there will be a wind stress at which increasing the in-419 tegrated wind stress does not lead to an increase in mixing — the linear relationship be-420 tween water mass transformation rates and integrated wind stress will break down. Wind 421 stresses over this threshold will cause the same amount of mixing as if the wind stress 422 were at this threshold and so 1.8 Sv of water mass transformation will be an upper bound 423 on the amount of mixing occurring in winter. We now attempt to estimate the winter-424 time mean transformation rate as a function of the wind stress at which the linear re-425 lationship breaks down — the saturation wind stress. We calculate the wintertime mean 426 integrated wind stress from the same ERA5 data as used above; however, we set any wind 427 stresses above a critical value, τ_{crit} , to be equal to τ_{crit} . We do this for a range of val-428 ues of τ_{crit} and obtain the curve shown in figure 9. Given that in this study we tested 429 wind stresses degree of confidence that we expect winter time wind events to produce 430 at least 1.5 Sv of extra transformation across $\sigma \approx 26.95 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$ (this is the amount of 431 transformation that occurs with a saturation wind stress of 0.75 N m⁻².) 432

The scaling used in estimating this seasonal range corresponds to peak transformation rates, which as we have just seen, describes the transformation of surface waters into "East Greenland Coastal Current waters". There will also be weaker transformation between denser water classes, and as figure 8a shows, the order of magnitude will likely be similar.

In summary we expect down-front wind events to drive between 1.5 Sv and 1.8 Sv 438 of water mass transformation during wintertime. This suggests that down-front wind events 439 may be one mechanism by which water is preconditioned to form North Atlantic Deep 440 Waters in the sub-polar North Atlantic during wintertime. Furthermore, the changes in 441 mixed layer depth following the down-front wind events imply that symmetric and baro-442 clinic instabilities are key processes in setting the stratification off the coast of Green-443 land. During summertime the down-front wind events tend to be less intense with the 444 integrated wind stress summing to 16 Pa days, implying transformation rates are roughly 445 halved at this time of year. 446

447 5 Discussion

Of key concern to those running, or using output from, numerical ocean models is 448 how well the model in question captures these down-front wind events and whether they 449 should be parameterised. This of course depends on the specific model configuration in 450 question; however, we would like to make the following general remarks. If the model 451 is not eddy resolving, it will certainly not be resolving symmetric instability. Attempt-452 ing to parameterise the process is likely a waste of time as the areas where the param-453 eterisation is active will be a few grid cells thick at most and much bigger biases will likely 454 be introduced by the lack of eddies in the model. 455

If, however, the model is eddy permitting or eddy resolving, a submesoscale param-456 eterisation would likely improve the representation of these down-front wind events. The 457 parameterisation of Bachman et al. (2017) may be effective — the parameterisation makes 458 use of the scaling proposed by Taylor and Ferrari (2010) which we showed here to be a 459 good fit to our models. Comparing results from our models with a coarse resolution pa-460 rameterised model is a clear next step in ascertaining whether parameterisations can ad-461 equately represent the submesoscale response to down-front wind events. If a good pa-462 rameterisation for the dynamics can be identified, it will become possible to examine the 463 effect of down-front wind events over longer spatial and temporal scales. This will en-464 able independent estimates of the amount of wintertime mixing induced by down-front 465 wind events in the Sub-polar North Atlantic. 466

Large changes in the depth of the mixed layer following the excitement of symmetric instability imply that the instability is a key process in setting the vertical stratifi-



Figure 9. Wintertime transformation plotted as a function of the wind stress at which water mass transformation rates saturate. The true saturation wind stress in unknown; however, the dashed line shows the wind stress at 0.75 Nm^{-2} , which puts a lower bound on the saturation wind stress. As such, it is likely that the true wintertime transformation rate into "East Greenland Coastal Current waters" at $\sigma \approx 26.95 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$ lies somewhere in the range 1.5 Sv to 1.8 Sv. Shading shows the range of the transformation when calculated using the 95% confidence intervals on the transformation rate scaling factors previously calculated. We assume a current length of 200 km.

cation in the western boundary region of the Irminger Sea. The water mass transforma-469 tion rates, however, show that this mixing occurs mostly within lighter surface waters, 470 and does not lead to the direct formation of North Atlantic Deep Waters. It may be tempt-471 ing to use this as evidence that the action of the instability can be neglected but this is 472 a simplistic interpretation of the results. Surface waters must lose a lot of buoyancy on 473 their journey to the deep ocean, and symmetric instability may be one of several mech-474 anisms that lowers it. Symmetric instability may then act to precondition surface wa-475 ters before their subsequent transformation into deep waters. 476

This study didn't examine the role of down-front wind events in the lateral transport of fresh water and heat; however, given the intense eddy field and overturning cells that develop during these wind events, it seems plausible that the events could be responsible for large fluxes of salt away from the coast of Greenland and into the ocean interior. Further research is required to estimate the magnitude of these fluxes. If they are found to be significant, there would be an extra impetus to go to the expense of parameterising the submesoscale instabilities excited during down-front wind events.

6 Conclusions

Observations show that strong northerly winds during spring and winter trigger
the excitement of Ekman induced symmetric instability in the western boundary region
of the Irminger Sea (Le Bras et al., 2022). This leads to the development of a deep low
potential vorticity layer that sits below the conventionally defined convectively mixed
layer (Le Bras et al., 2022; Taylor & Ferrari, 2010). The spatial sparsity of existing moored
observations makes it difficult to determine the spatial structure of mixing and mixing
rates during these wind events.

We have used an idealised two-dimensional model with resolution of 25 m and an 492 idealised three-dimensional model with a resolution of 200 m to investigate how down-493 front wind events alter the stratification in the region. The two-dimensional model al-494 lowed the development of symmetric instability only, whereas the three-dimensional model 495 allowed the development of both symmetric and baroclinic instabilities. The models were 496 forced with a spatially constant but temporally varying wind stress. We found that in 497 both models, over short time scales symmetric and gravitational instability are the dom-498 inant processes. A deep low potential vorticity layer which is almost indistinguishable 499 from, but deeper than, the convectively mixed layer develops in both models. In the three-500 dimensional model, we see restratification at the surface of the low potential vorticity 501 layer through the action of baroclinic instability following the down-front wind event. 502 We propose that the short time scale response (up to two weeks) of the flow to down-503 front wind forcing is gravitational instability, with symmetric instability dominating over 504 intermediate time scales (two to four weeks) and baroclinic instability dominating over 505 longer timescales (over four weeks.) 506

In order to investigate how the duration and strength of wind events influence di-507 apycnal mixing, we integrated an ensemble of two-dimensional models with different wind 508 forcing. We defined the quantity the integrated wind stress and hypothesised that the 509 depth of the low potential vorticity layer following down-front wind events varies accord-510 ing to its square root. The low potential vorticity layer scaling within the model ensem-511 ble was consistent with this prediction; however, we also found that the duration of wind 512 events limits the deepening of the mixed layer. This suggests mixing rates saturate when 513 the wind stress is sufficiently large. 514

We calculated time mean water mass transformation rates for our ensemble and 515 found that the maximum and minimum rates scale linearly with the integrated wind stress. 516 Using ERA5 reanalysis data (Hersbach et al., 2020) and the linear relationship between 517 integrated wind stress and water mass transformation rates, we estimated the mean win-518 tertime water mass transformation rate to be 1.8 Sv. This calculation assumes there is 519 no saturation in transformation rates at high wind stresses. Taking into account the sat-520 uration of water mass transformation rates when wind stresses are large, we estimate be-521 tween 1.5 Sv and 1.8 Sv of water mass transformation are produced by down-front wind 522 events each winter. This transformation is between light surface waters and East Green-523 land Coastal Current waters; however, there will also be formation of East Greenland-524 Irminger Current waters at a similar but slightly lower rate. 525

Coarse resolution numerical ocean models do not resolve symmetric instability. We 526 suggest that models that do not resolve mesoscale eddies should not worry about this 527 omission as the absence of eddies is likely leading to much larger biases. Eddy permit-528 ting and eddy resolving models should, however, consider parameterising the response 529 of the ocean to down-front wind events, as failing to do so will lead to biases in the strat-530 ification. In particular the surface may end up overly stratified following down-front wind 531 events. We suggest the parameterisation of Bachman et al. (2017) may capture the dy-532 namics well as it uses the scaling of Taylor and Ferrari (2010) which, as we have demon-533 strated, is effective at predicting mixed layer depths in the idealised models presented 534 here. Future work should ascertain whether this is indeed the case. 535

This work has focused on diapycnal transports following down-front wind events. 536 We have not investigated along-isopycnal transports of heat and salt, partly due to the 537 single buoyancy tracer employed by our models making them ill suited for such studies. 538 This is, however, a clear avenue for future research. Waters off the coast of Greenland 539 540 are salinity stratified whereas in the interior of the Irminger Sea they are thermally stratified (Le Bras et al., 2022). Both symmetric instability and baroclinic eddies are effec-541 tive at producing along-isopycnal mixing (Abernathey et al., 2022) and may be respon-542 sible for significant diahaline and diathermal transports, fluxing heat and salt between 543 the boundary and the interior of the Irminger Sea. 544

⁵⁴⁵ Open Research Section

All processed data and a selection of the raw data used in this study is available
at https://dx.doi.org/doi:10.5281/zenodo.8232682 (F. Goldsworth et al., 2023).
Code used for model integrations and subsequent analysis is available at https://dx.doi
.org/doi:10.5281/zenodo.8233578 (F. Goldsworth, 2023).

550 Acknowledgments

We are grateful for the financial support of the Natural Environment Research Council (grants NE/L002612/1 and NE/T013494/1). This work used the ARCHER2 UK National Supercomputing Service (https://www.archer2.ac.uk). We would also like to thank Andrew Coward for providing computational support. The results contain modified Copernicus Climate Change Service information 2020. Neither the European Commission nor ECMWF is responsible for any use that may be made of the Copernicus information or data it contains.

558 References

559	Abernathey, R., Gnanadesikan, A., Pradal, MA., & Sundermeyer, M. A.
560	(2022, January). Chapter 9 - Isopycnal mixing. In M. Meredith &
561	A. Naveira Garabato (Eds.), Ocean Mixing (pp. 215–256). Elsevier. doi:
562	10.1016/B978-0-12-821512-8.00016-5
563	Allen, J. S., & Newberger, P. A. (1996, October). Downwelling circulation
564	on the Oregon Continental Shelf. Part I: Response to idealized forcing.
565	Journal of Physical Oceanography, $26(10)$, $2011-2035$. doi: $10.1175/$
566	1520-0485(1996)026(2011:DCOTOC)2.0.CO;2
567	Bachman, S. D., Fox-Kemper, B., Taylor, J. R., & Thomas, L. N. (2017). Param-
568	eterization of frontal symmetric instabilities. I: Theory for resolved fronts.
569	Ocean Modelling, 109, 72–95. doi: 10.1016/j.ocemod.2016.12.003
570	Broecker, W. S. (1991). The Great Ocean Conveyor. <i>Oceanography</i> , 4(2), 79–89.
571	Campin, JM., Heimbach, P., Losch, M., Forget, G., edhill3, Adcroft, A., Derem-
572	ble, B. (2022, April). <i>MITgcm/MITgcm: checkpoint68i</i> [Software]. zenodo.
573	doi: 10.5281/zenodo.6498956
574	Clément, L., Frajka-Williams, E., Von Oppeln-Bronikowski, N., Goszczko, I., &
575	De Young, B. (2023, May). Cessation of Labrador Sea convection triggered by
576	distinct fresh and warm (sub)mesoscale flows. Journal of Physical Oceanogra-
577	<i>phy.</i> doi: 10.1175/JPO-D-22-0178.1
578	Copernicus Climate Change Service. (2023). ERA5 hourly data on single levels from
579	1940 to present [Dataset]. Copernicus Climate Change Service (C3S) Climate
580	Data Store (CDS). doi: $10.24381/cds.adbb2d47$
581	Daniault, N., Mercier, H., & Lherminier, P. (2011, April). The 1992-2009 trans-
582	port variability of the East Greenland-Irminger Current at 60°N. Geophysical $P_{\rm ext} = \frac{1}{2} 1$
583	Research Letters, $38(7)$, n/a-n/a. doi: 10.1029/2011GL046863
584	D'Asaro, E., Lee, C., Rainville, L., Harcourt, R., & Thomas, L. N. (2011). En-
585	nanced turbulence and energy dissipation at ocean fronts. Science, 332(6027),
586	516-522. doi: 10.1120/science.1201515
587	de Jong, M. F., & de Steur, L. (2016). Strong winter cooling over the irminger
588	Sea in winter 2014–2015, exceptional deep convection, and the emergence of anomalously low SST Combusies Proceeding Letters $\frac{12}{12}$, $\frac{12}{106}$, $\frac{7112}{7112}$, doi:
589	10 1002/2016CI 060506
590	$\frac{10.1002}{2010GL005550}$ Emanuel K A (1004) Shortwise convection In Atmospheric Convection (pp. 202)
591	417) Oxford: Oxford University Press
592	Ertel H (1042 Sentember) Ein neuer hydrodynamischer Erhaltungssatz Naturnie
593	senschaften 20(36) 543-544 doi: 10.1007/BE01475602
594	<i>Sensenagien, 50</i> (50), 945 944. doi: 10.1001/DF01410002

595	GEBCO Compilation Group. (2020). GEBCO 2020 grid [Dataset]. doi: 10.5285/
596	$a 29 c 5465 {\ } b 138 {\ } 234 d {\ } e 0 53 {\ } 6 c 86 a b c 0 40 b 9$
597	Goldsworth, F. (2023, August). fraserwg/irminger-proj: v1.1 [Software]. Zenodo.
598	doi: 10.5281/zenodo.8233578
599	Goldsworth, F., Le Bras, I., Johnson, H., & Marshall, D. (2023, August). Data
600	for "Saturation of destratifying and restratifying instabilities during down
601	front wind events: A case study in the Irminger Sea" [Dataset]. Zenodo. doi:
602	10.5281/zenodo.8232682
603	Goldsworth, F. W. (2022). Symmetric instability in the Atlantic Meridional Over-
604	turning Circulation (Doctoral dissertation, University of Oxford, Oxford). doi:
605	10.5287/ora-xogpmrvzd
606	Griffies, S. M., & Hallberg, R. W. (2000, August). Biharmonic friction with
607	a Smagorinsky-like viscosity for use in large-scale eddy-permitting ocean
608	models. Monthly Weather Review, $128(8)$, $2935-2946$. doi: $10.1175/$
609	1520-0493(2000)128(2935:bfwasl)2.0.co;2
610	Griffiths, S. D. (2003). Nonlinear vertical scale selection in equatorial inertial insta-
611	bility. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, $60(7)$, 977–990. doi: $10.1175/1520$
612	-0469(2003)060(0977:NVSSIE)2.0.CO;2
613	Haine, T. W. N., & Marshall, J. (1998, April). Gravitational, symmetric, and baro-
614	clinic instability of the ocean mixed layer. Journal of Physical Oceanography,
615	28(4), 634-658. doi: $10.1175/1520-0485(1998)028(0634:gsabio)2.0.co;2$
616	Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Hirahara, S., Horányi, A., Muñoz-Sabater,
617	J., Thépaut, JN. (2020, July). The ERA5 global reanalysis. Quar-
618	terly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, $146(730)$, $1999-2049$. doi:
619	10.1002/qj. 3803
620	Hirschi, J. J., Barnier, B., Böning, C., Biastoch, A., Blaker, A. T., Coward, A.,
621	Xu, X. (2020). The Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation in high-
622	resolution models. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 125(4), 1–35. doi:
623	10.1029/2019JC015522
624	Hoskins, B. J. (1974, July). The role of potential vorticity in symmetric stability and
625	instability. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, $100(425)$,
626	480-482. doi: $10.1002/q$. $49/10042520$
627	Josey, S. A., de Jong, M. F., Oltmanns, M., Moore, G. K., & Weller, R. A. (2019).
628	Extreme variability in Irminger Sea winter neat loss revealed by Ocean Ob-
629	servatories initiative mooring and the ERA5 reanalysis. Geophysical Research Letters $I_{c}(1)$ 202, 202, doi: 10.1020/2018/CL020005/c
630	Letters, $40(1)$, $293-302$. doi: $10.1029/2018GL080950$
631	Le Bras, I. AA., Calles, J., Straneo, F., Bilo, T. C., Holte, J., & Johnson, H. L. (2022, October) — Clantwice convection in the Impirmer Sec. — Lewrol of Con-
632	(2022, October). Statituise convection in the frinniger Sea. Journal of Geo- naurical Research: Oceano. $107(10)$, doi: 10.1020/2022IC010071
633	p_{nystem} research. Oceans, $127(10)$. doi: 10.1029/202250019071
634	Le Dras, I. AA., Straneo, F., Holle, J., & Holliday, N. P. (2016, December). Sea-
635	2016 Journal of Coophysical Research: Oceans, 192(12), 8828–8848 doi:
636	10 1020 /2018 IC01/511
037	Logior M S Li E Bogon S Bohr E Bower A S Cunningham S A
638	Zhao I (2010 February) A sea change in our view of overturn-
639	ing in the subpolar North Atlantic Science $\frac{363}{6426}$, $516-521$ doi:
641	10 1126/science aau6592
642	Marshall J Adcroft A Hill C Perelman L & Heisev C (1997) A finite-
643	volume, incompressible navier stokes model for studies of the ocean on parallel
644	computers. Journal of Geonhusical Research: Oceans 102(C3) 5753–5766
645	doi: 10.1029/96JC02775
646	Plougonven, R., & Zeitlin, V. (2009). Nonlinear development of inertial instability in
647	a barotropic shear. <i>Physics of Fluids</i> , 21(10). doi: 10.1063/1.3242283
648	Prather, M. J. (1986). Numerical advection by conservation of second-order mo-
649	ments. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 91(D6), 6671. doi: 10

650	.1029/JD091iD06p06671
651	Shu, R. (2023, Max). Slantwise convection in the West Greenland Current. In 21st
652	Arctic-Subarctic Ocean Fluxes Workshon, Gran Canaria.
653	Smagorinsky, J. (1963, March). General circulation experiments with the primi-
654	tive equations. Monthly Weather Review. 91(3), 99–164. doi: 10.1175/1520
655	-0493(1963)091(0099:GCEWTP)2.3.CO:2
656	Spall, M. A., & Thomas, L. N. (2016, October). Downfront winds over buoyant
657	coastal plumes. Journal of Physical Oceanography, 46(10), 3139–3154. doi: 10
658	.1175/JPO-D-16-0042.1
659	Stamper, M. A., & Taylor, J. R. (2017, January). The transition from symmetric to
660	baroclinic instability in the Eady model. Ocean Dynamics, 67(1), 65–80. doi:
661	10.1007/s10236-016-1011-6
662	Stone, P. H. (1966, July). On non-geostrophic baroclinic stability. Journal of the
663	Atmospheric Sciences, 23(4), 390–400. doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1966)023(0390:
664	ONGBS\2.0.CO;2
665	Straneo, F., Kawase, M., & Pickart, R. S. (2002). Effects of wind on con-
666	vection in strongly and weakly baroclinic flows with application to the
667	Labrador Sea. Journal of Physical Oceanography, 32(9), 2603–2618. doi:
668	10.1175/1520-0485(2002)032(2603:EOWOCI)2.0.CO:2
669	Talley, L. D., Pickard, G. L., Emery, W. J., & Swift, J. H. (2011a, January).
670	Chapter 12 - Arctic Ocean and Nordic Seas. In L. D. Talley, G. L. Pickard,
671	W. J. Emery, & J. H. Swift (Eds.), Descriptive Physical Oceanography
672	(Sixth Edition) (pp. 401–436). Boston: Academic Press. doi: 10.1016/
673	B978-0-7506-4552-2.10012-5
674	Talley, L. D., Pickard, G. L., Emery, W. J., & Swift, J. H. (2011b, January).
675	Chapter 9 - Atlantic Ocean. In L. D. Talley, G. L. Pickard, W. J. Emery,
676	& J. H. Swift (Eds.), Descriptive Physical Oceanography (Sixth Edition) (pp.
677	245–301). Boston: Academic Press. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-7506-4552-2.10009-5
678	Taylor, J. R., Bachman, S., Stamper, M., Hosegood, P., Adams, K., Sallee, J
679	B., & Torres, R. (2018, March). Submesoscale Rossby waves on the
680	Antarctic Circumpolar Current. Science Advances, 4(3), eaao2824. doi:
681	10.1126/sciadv.aao2824
682	Taylor, J. R., & Ferrari, R. (2009). On the equilibration of a symmetrically unstable
683	front via a secondary shear instability. Journal of Fluid Mechanics, 622, 103-
684	113. doi: 10.1017/S0022112008005272
685	Taylor, J. R., & Ferrari, R. (2010, June). Buoyancy and wind-driven convection
686	at mixed layer density fronts. Journal of Physical Oceanography, 40(6), 1222-
687	1242. doi: 10.1175/2010JPO4365.1
688	Thomas, L. N., & Lee, C. M. (2005). Intensification of ocean fronts by down-front
689	winds. Journal of Physical Oceanography, 35(6), 1086–1102. doi: 10.1175/
690	JPO2737.1
691	Thomas, L. N., Taylor, J. R., Ferrari, R., & Joyce, T. M. (2013, July). Symmet-
692	ric instability in the Gulf Stream. Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies
693	in Oceanography, 91, 96–110. doi: 10.1016/j.dsr2.2013.02.025
694	Walin, G. (1982). On the relation between sea-surface heat flow and thermal circula-
695	tion in the ocean. Tellus, $34(2)$, 187–195. doi: 10.3402 /tellusa.v $34i2.10801$
696	Yankovsky, E., & Legg, S. (2019). Symmetric and baroclinic instability in dense
697	shelf overflows. Journal of Physical Oceanography, 49(1), 39–61. doi: 10.1175/
698	JPO-D-18-0072.1

Supporting Information for "Saturation of destratifying and restratifying instabilities during down-front wind events: a case study in the Irminger Sea"

F. W. Goldsworth^{1,2}, H. L. Johnson³, D. P. Marshall², I. A. Le Bras⁴

¹AOPP, Department of Physics, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK
 ²Oxford NERC Environmental Research DTP, Oxford, UK
 ³Department of Earth Science, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK
 ⁴Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, Woods Hole, MA, USA

Contents of this file

- 1. Text S1
- 2. Figures S1 & S2

S1: Coarse two-dimensional model integrations In order to evaluate how well the standard three-dimensional model captures small scale processes forced by down front wind events we integrated a two-dimensional version of the model for comparison with the standard two-dimensional model. The model integration is described in the methods section of the main text.

Corresponding author: F. W. Goldsworth, AOPP, Department of Physics, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK (frasergocean@gmail.com)

August 17, 2023, 11:32am



Potential vorticity

Figure S1. Potential vorticity after (a) 1 week (b) 2 weeks and (c) 3 weeks in the coarse two-dimensional model integration.

Figures S1 & S2 should be compared with figure 3 of the main text. The potential vorticity fields look similar but with less near zero potential vorticity in the coarse model. The isopycnal structure in the coarse model is slightly distorted, relative to its high resolution counterpart but is broadly comparable. The stratification, like the potential vorticity, has slightly more negative values in the well mixed region for the coarse model than the high resolution model. The shape of the well mixed region remains broadly similar though. however the isopycnal structure in the coarse runs



Figure S2. Stratification after (a) 1 week (b) 2 weeks and (c) 3 weeks in the coarse twodimensional model integration.

August 17, 2023, 11:32am