# Cumulative Exposures to Environmental and Socioeconomic Risk Factors in Milwaukee County, Wisconsin

John K Kodros<sup>1</sup>, Ellison Carter<sup>1</sup>, Oluwatobi Oke<sup>1</sup>, Ander Wilson<sup>1</sup>, Shantanu H Jathar<sup>1</sup>, and Sheryl Magzamen<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Colorado State University

August 21, 2023

#### Abstract

The environmental justice literature demonstrates consistently that low-income and minority communities are disproportionately exposed to environmental hazards. In this case study, we examined cumulative multipollutant, multidomain, and multimatrix environmental exposures in Milwaukee County, Wisconsin. We identified spatial hot spots in Milwaukee County both individually and through clusters across a profile of environmental pollutants that span regulatory domains and matrices of exposure, as well as socioeconomic indicators. The most sensitive cluster within the urban area was largely characterized by low socioeconomic status (SES) and an overrepresentation of the Non-Hispanic Black (NHB) population relative to the county as a whole. In this cluster, average pollutant concentrations were equivalent to the 78th percentile in county-level blood lead levels, 67th percentile in county-level NO2, 79th percentile in county-level CO, and 78th percentile in county-level air toxics while simultaneously having an average equivalent to the 62nd percentile in county-level unemployment, 70th percentile in county-level population rate lacking a high school diploma, 73rd percentile in county-level poverty rate, and 28th percentile in county-level median household income. The spatial patterns of pollutant exposure and SES indicators suggested that these disparities were not random but were instead structured by socioeconomic and racial factors. Our case study, which combines environmental pollutant exposures, sociodemographic data, and clustering analysis, provides a roadmap to identify and target overburdened communities for interventions that reduce environmental exposures and consequently improve public health.

# 1 Cumulative Exposures to Environmental and Socioeconomic Risk Factors in

## 2 Milwaukee County, Wisconsin

- John K. Kodros<sup>1#</sup>, Ellison Carter<sup>2</sup>, Oluwatobi Oke<sup>2&</sup>, Ander Wilson<sup>3</sup>, Shantanu H. Jathar<sup>1</sup> and Sheryl
   Magzamen<sup>4,5</sup>
- <sup>1</sup>Department of Mechanical Engineering, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO, USA
- 6 <sup>2</sup>Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO, USA
- 7 <sup>3</sup>Department of Statistics, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado, USA
- <sup>4</sup>Department of Environmental and Radiological Health Sciences, Colorado State University, Fort Collins,
   CO, USA
- 10 <sup>5</sup>Department of Epidemiology, Colorado School of Public Health, Colorado State University, Fort
- 11 Collins, CO, USA
- 12 \*Correspondence to: John K. Kodros (jkkodros.research@gmail.com)
- 13 <sup>#</sup>Now at Clarity Movement, Berkeley, CA, USA
- 14 <sup>&</sup>Now at Building Energy and Environment Division, National Institute of Standards and Technology,
- 15 Gaithersburg, Maryland, USA.
- 16

## 17 Key Points

- 18 We examine cumulative exposures to multiple pollutants and their association with
- 19 socioeconomic and racial disparities in Milwaukee County
- 20 We highlight census block groups that are most vulnerable to pollution and low SES, which can
- 21 be prioritized for regulatory interventions
- People of color in Milwaukee County are not just exposed to high pollution, they are often
   exposed within the context of low SES
- 24

## 25 Plain Language Summary

- 26 Our study focused on Milwaukee County, Wisconsin, where we examined how people in this region were
- 27 exposed to different types of pollutants. We found that areas with the highest levels of pollution (e.g.,
- 28 lead, nitrogen dioxide) had a higher proportion of Black residents and those residents also experienced
- 29 social and economic challenges (e.g., unemployment, poverty, and low education). Our work adds to the
- 30 growing evidence that patterns of pollution and economic challenges are not random, but rather, racially
- 31 and socially structured. By understanding these patterns, we can develop policies that reduce pollution in
- 32 these areas and improve the health for residents in these overburdened communities.

### 34 Abstract

35 The environmental justice literature demonstrates consistently that low-income and minority 36 communities are disproportionately exposed to environmental hazards. In this case study, we examined 37 cumulative multipollutant, multidomain, and multimatrix environmental exposures in Milwaukee County, 38 Wisconsin. We identified spatial hot spots in Milwaukee County both individually and through clusters 39 across a profile of environmental pollutants that span regulatory domains and matrices of exposure, as 40 well as socioeconomic indicators. The most sensitive cluster within the urban area was largely 41 characterized by low socioeconomic status (SES) and an overrepresentation of the Non-Hispanic Black 42 (NHB) population relative to the county as a whole. In this cluster, average pollutant concentrations were equivalent to the 78<sup>th</sup> percentile in county-level blood lead levels, 67<sup>th</sup> percentile in county-level NO<sub>2</sub>, 79<sup>th</sup> 43 percentile in county-level CO, and 78<sup>th</sup> percentile in county-level air toxics while simultaneously having 44 an average equivalent to the 62<sup>nd</sup> percentile in county-level unemployment, 70<sup>th</sup> percentile in county-level 45 population rate lacking a high school diploma, 73<sup>rd</sup> percentile in county-level poverty rate, and 28<sup>th</sup> 46 47 percentile in county-level median household income. The spatial patterns of pollutant exposure and SES 48 indicators suggested that these disparities were not random but were instead structured by socioeconomic 49 and racial factors. Our case study, which combines environmental pollutant exposures, sociodemographic 50 data, and clustering analysis, provides a roadmap to identify and target overburdened communities for 51 interventions that reduce environmental exposures and consequently improve public health.

52

### 53 1. Introduction

54 Previous research has established an association between health risks and exposure to various 55 anthropogenic environmental pollutants. Ambient air pollution has been consistently associated with an 56 array of adverse health impacts and is one of the leading risk factors contributing to morbidity and 57 premature mortality (Dockery et al., 1993; Bell et al., 2004; Miller et al., 2007; Apte et al., 2018). As a 58 result, the US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) enforces national ambient air quality standards 59 (NAAQS) for six common air pollutants ("criteria air pollutants"), which are known to have adverse 60 health effects (EPA, 2023a). In addition to the criteria air pollutants, the EPA also mandates the reporting 61 of emissions of hundreds of chemicals with known cancer-causing or chronic/acute health effects (EPA, 62 2023b). Other exposure matrices are also known to have health risks. Lead exposure, which may occur 63 through air, water, paint, or soil, has been shown to adversely impact intelligence quotient scores 64 (Bellinger et al. 1992; Lanphear et al. 2005), school performance (Kordas et al. 2007; Magzamen et al. 2015), prosocial behavior (Wright et al. 2008; Amato et al. 2013), and cardiovascular disease 65 66 (Chowdhury et al. 2018; Lamas et al. 2021).

67 Current regulations are often based on single pollutant exposures, which do not consider the

68 possible synergistic effects of cumulative exposures (Mauderly and Samet, 2009; Benka-Coker et al.,

69 2020). Individuals are rarely exposed to single pollutants in isolation (e.g., Molitor et al. (2011)). Instead,

70 people and communities are commonly exposed to numerous pollutants within a regulatory domain (e.g.,

71 different criteria air pollutants such as,  $PM_{2.5}$  and  $O_3$ ) as well as multiple pollutants across regulatory

72 domains (for instance, criteria air pollutants and air toxics) (Benka-Coker et al., 2020). Further,

73 individuals may be exposed to environmental pollutants across multiple exposure matrices (e.g., air and

74 water). These cumulative multipollutant, multidomain, and multimatrix exposures may lead to complex

75 health responses not captured by considering single exposure to pollutants. Complicating matters,

76 interventions are rarely designed to target multidomain and multimatrix exposures.

77 Environmental epidemiology has increasingly considered exposures within the context of 78 socioeconomic status (SES) (O'Neill et al., 2003). A wealth of literature has illustrated the relationship 79 between SES and health (e.g., Adler et al. (1993); Isaacs and Schroeder (2004); Lynch et al. (2004)), as 80 well as the concept that low SES and negative environmental exposures are interrelated (Magzamen et al., 81 2008). This association may occur because individuals living in areas of low SES may be exposed to 82 higher concentrations of environmental pollutants and/or may be more susceptible to environmental 83 pollutants (O'Neill et al., 2004). In addition to SES, numerous studies have highlighted disparities in 84 exposure to environmental pollutants across racial and ethnic lines (Morello-Frosch and Jesdale, 2006; 85 Clark et al., 2014; Jbailey et al., 2022). Furthermore, recent modeling work suggests that Black and 86 Hispanic populations in the US are exposed to a higher air pollution exposure burden relative to the 87 expected exposure originating from emissions associated with these population groups (Tessum et al., 88 2019; Tessum et al., 2021). These racial and ethnic disparities in exposure may contribute to higher rates 89 of adverse health outcomes among communities of color (Apelberg et al., 2005; Hill et al., 2011).

90 Communities of color and low SES are exposed to higher concentrations of environmental 91 pollutants and are more susceptible to the effects of this exposure (Clark et al. 2014; Tessum et al. 2021). 92 Recently, several methodological approaches have been proposed to address the independent and joint 93 contribution of environmental exposures and social factors to health outcomes (Martenies et al. 2019; 94 Martenies et al. 2022a; Martenies et al. 2022b; Martenies et al. 2023). Identification of relevant social or 95 environmental factors associated with disease outcomes are an important pathway to identify effective 96 intervention and mediation strategies to improve health. Informed by earlier work (Molitor et al., 2011; 97 Lalloué et al., 2014; Shrestha et al., 2016), it is necessary to develop indicators that highlight communities 98 of high risk due to elevated cumulative exposure to environmental pollutants and/or low SES. For 99 instance, CalEnviroScreen develops an index based on percentile rankings across a set of environmental 100 and social indicators (Faust et al., 2014).

101 Comprehensive interventions that address multidomain and multimatrix exposures and adaptable 102 to varying demographic and SES contexts are scarce. In this study, we examine associations between 103 environmental exposures known to have adverse health risks and demographic and SES indicators across 104 multiple pollutants, domains, and matrices. We focus on the urban/suburban area of Milwaukee County, 105 Wisconsin. We highlight communities with cumulative exposures to elevated concentrations of 106 environmental pollutants and indicators of low SES status that can be prioritized for regulatory 107 interventions. In Section 2, we outline the environmental pollutants, SES indicators, and statistical 108 methodology used here. In Section 3, we examine geographical distributions across the profile of 109 environmental pollutants and SES indicators, and the local and global clustering of these risk factors. We 110 share our conclusions and study limitations in Section 4.

111

### 112 **2. Methods**

### 113 2.1 Study Area

114 Milwaukee County, Wisconsin (shown in the inset in Figure S1) includes the city of Milwaukee 115 and the suburban area outside it. Milwaukee County is the most racially diverse county in the state of 116 Wisconsin, with a Black population fraction over twice as high as the national average (US Census 117 Bureau, 2022). Milwaukee County has a history of poor environmental pollution. It was designated a 118 NAAQS maintenance area for 24-hr PM2.5 in 2016 (Southeastern Wisconsin Regional Planning 119 Commission, 2016) and received an 'F' grade for O<sub>3</sub> from the American Lung Association's 2016 State 120 of the Air report (American Lung Association, 2016). In 2014, the city of Milwaukee had the highest 121 prevalence of lead poisoning in Wisconsin (which rates among the states with the highest incidence of 122 childhood lead poisoning in the US) (Wisconsin Department of Health Services, 2014).

123

### 124 2.2 Environmental Pollutants

125 We examined the cumulative exposure to blood lead levels (BLL), five of the six criteria air 126 pollutants, and inhalation toxicity-weighted summed concentrations of air toxics. These pollutants 127 spanned regulatory exposure domains and exposure matrices. We used measurements and estimates of 128 pollutants in the year 2015 (the most recent year for all data sources) at the census block group (CBG) 129 resolution (the highest resolution estimates offered for all data sources). The dataset at the individual level 130 for BLL consisted of samples collected from children who were part of the Healthy Homes and Lead 131 Poisoning Surveillance system (HHLPSS) overseen by the Wisconsin Department of Health Services, 132 Division of Public Health Services. The participants were children aged five or below, living in 133 Milwaukee County between 2015 and 2019. These data, which received ethics approval from the 134 Wisconsin Division of Public Health data governance board, encompassed information such as the child's

test ID, test date, test type, age at testing, gender, race, primary address, and BLL. BLL were determined

through venous or capillary testing methods. Some of the BLL values were reported with unknown

137 sampling methods. Therefore, to avoid duplicating samples, if a child had multiple BLL tests, the highest

138 BLL obtained from the venous test was retained since the venous test has been reported to give the most

reliable BLL result than the capillary method (Parson et al., 1993; Schlenker et al., 1994; Sargent and

140 Dalton, 1996; Holtrop et al., 1998; Cantor et al., 2019). When venous tests were absent, the highest value

141 from capillary tests was retained. If the testing method was unspecified, the result was still included in the

142 analysis, accounting for less than 2% of the total test data. Following data preprocessing, the BLL of

143 95,659 children in Milwaukee County were assessed, with 71,162 residing within the city of Milwaukee.

144 We aggregate measurements to the CBG resolution. We note substantial variability in measurements of

145 BLL within CBGs (Figure S2).

Estimates of criteria air pollutants (CO, NO<sub>2</sub>, PM<sub>2.5</sub>, O<sub>3</sub>, PM<sub>10</sub>, and SO<sub>2</sub>) were taken from the
Center for Air, Climate and Energy Solutions (CACES) land use regression model; for details refer to
Kim et al. (2015). Estimates of air toxics come from the EPA's Risk-Screening Environmental Indicators
(RSEI) model (EPA, 2023c). RSEI aggregates data collected from the Toxic Release Inventory. We used
the sum of the concentrations of all chemicals in each CBG weighted by toxicity (i.e., the concentration
multiplied by the relative inhalation toxicity weight summed over all chemicals in the CBG). Thus, this
analysis was sensitive to estimates of both concentration of each chemical as well as its toxicity.

153

### 154 2.3 Demographic and Socioeconomic Data

155 To examine the association of cumulative environmental exposure with SES and racial/ethnic 156 disparities, we downloaded data from the 5-year American Community Survey available from the US 157 Census Bureau (US Census Bureau, 2022). We used estimates of the percent of the population 16 years or 158 older within the civilian labor force that is unemployed, percent of the population older than 25 years 159 without a high school diploma, median household income, and percent of the population living below the 160 poverty line. These risk factors have been used in previous studies as measures of social vulnerability 161 (Martenies et al. 2019). To examine disparities along racial and ethnic lines, we used the percent of the 162 population in each CBG identifying as non-Hispanic White (NHW) and non-Hispanic Black (NHB). We 163 focused on these two groups due to the historical record of racial residential segregation in Wisconsin 164 between NHW and NHB populations.

165

### 166 2.4 Statistical Analysis

167 To investigate the degree of spatial structure in the dataset, we calculated measures of global and
168 local spatial autocorrelation. We reported Moran's I as our metric for global spatial autocorrelation

169 (Moran, 1948). Moran's I was normalized to range from -1 to +1 with values closer to +1 indicating a 170 greater degree of positive spatial autocorrelation. Further, we calculated Local Indicators of Spatial 171 Association using Local Moran's I to identify statistically significant hot and cold spots across 172 environmental pollutants and SES indicators (Anselin, 1995). This measure of local spatial 173 autocorrelation identifies geographic clusters with high (low) values beyond what we would expect by 174 random chance. Statistical significance was assessed at the 95<sup>th</sup> percentile confidence interval. Both local 175 and global spatial autocorrelation were calculated using queen-adjacent spatial weights matrices. Spatial 176 statistics were done in Python using the PySAL package (Rey and Anselin, 2010). We quantified 177 inequality in environmental pollutants and SES indicators using the Gini index. The Gini index ranges 178 from 0 to 1 with higher values indicating a greater degree of inequality. This index, borrowed from 179 economic studies (Gini, 1936), has also been used frequently in previous studies investigating disparities 180 in environmental pollutants (e.g., Levy et al., 2006).

181 To identify clusters of vulnerable populations across a profile of environmental pollutants and 182 SES indicators, we used K-means clustering. As input features, we used standardized values for all 183 environmental pollutants and SES indicators with all features weighted equally. We did not include 184 demographic or geographic data as inputs to the clustering algorithm to explore the degree to which 185 spatial and demographic factors are associated with the predicted clusters. The number of predicted 186 clusters was to some degree subjective. We chose three clusters as this number demonstrated consistent 187 environmental social profiles across the clusters. In addition, the three predicted clusters occupied a 188 roughly spatially homogeneous region.

189

### 190 **3. Results**

### 191 3.1 Geographic Distribution of Environmental Pollutants and Socioeconomic Indicators

Annual (year 2015) mean concentrations of BLL, criteria air pollutants, and air toxics exhibited substantial spatial structure across Milwaukee, County; though, the spatial patterns differed by pollutant (Figure 1 and Table 1). The highest concentrations of BLL, CO, NO<sub>2</sub>, PM<sub>2.5</sub>, and air toxics occurred within the city of Milwaukee (Figure 1), while O<sub>3</sub> and PM<sub>10</sub> had slightly lower concentrations in this area relative to other parts of the county. For SO<sub>2</sub>, the highest concentrations were found both inside and outside the Milwaukee city limits. Pollutants generally exhibited weak (less than 0.4) paired correlations with the exception of CO and NO<sub>2</sub> (0.72), CO and O<sub>3</sub> (-0.65), and NO<sub>2</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub> (0.64) (Figure S4).

All pollutants exhibited a high degree of spatial structure (evidenced by Moran's I measure of global spatial autocorrelation) across Milwaukee County, as expected based on known differences in emissions across an urban area (Table 1). Children residing in the census tract in the metropolitan area of the city of Milwaukee, particularly in older housing stock with a median housing age of 94 years 203 (interquartile range = 48 years), exhibited elevated BLLs. These aged residences may contain lead-based 204 paints in multiple layers of painted surfaces, despite the absence of lead in the topmost paint layer. 205 Additionally, a significant majority of these residential homes, approximately 90% are equipped with lead 206 service lines, which are major sources of childhood lead poisoning. Mixing ratios of NO<sub>2</sub> exhibited the 207 highest degree of spatial structure, with elevated concentrations along major roadways. While on-road 208 sources mostly emit NO, some of this NO is rapidly converted to NO<sub>2</sub>. Emissions of CO are also likely 209 associated with traffic and urban sources. In contrast, PM<sub>2.5</sub> was spatially heterogeneous, which includes a 210 mixture of primary (e.g., elemental carbon) and secondary (e.g., ammonium nitrate, ammonium sulfate) 211 species. Annually-averaged measurements from the EPA's Chemical Speciation Network in Milwaukee 212 reported a normalized PM<sub>2.5</sub> mass composition of organic carbon (37%, by mass), nitrate (26%), sulfate 213 (18%), and ammonium (11%) ions, and elemental carbon (8%).  $PM_{10}$  and  $SO_2$  could have been higher in 214 some pockets outside the city due to the presence of specific emissions sources. O<sub>3</sub> is a regional pollutant 215 formed from photochemical reactions and, hence, exhibited less variability across the county. The spatial 216 pattern of toxicity-weighted concentrations of air toxics was strongly dependent on the location of the 217 point sources (e.g., factories).

218 In addition to deleterious environmental exposure, the city of Milwaukee remains one of the most 219 segregated areas in the United States (Johnston 2022). An analysis of 2000 census data for cities over 1 220 million residents indicated that Milwaukee was the most segregated city in the United States, where Black 221 residents are concentrated in the central city (Frey 2018). Further, according to analyses conducted by the 222 Center for Economic Development at University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee, Milwaukee's Black 223 community faces myriad social challenges: median Black household income in Milwaukee is 42% that of 224 a NHW household, the largest racial disparity in the country. Additionally, Milwaukee has the second-225 lowest Black homeownership rate among the nation's largest metropolitan areas at approximately 27.2 226 percent (Levine 2020). Over 72% of Black schoolchildren in Milwaukee attend hypersegregated schools, 227 the highest rate in the country, and significantly higher than the percentage 30 years ago (Levine 2020).

- To quantify the degree of spatial inequality in environmental pollutants, we calculated the Gini coefficient for each pollutant for Milwaukee County. A value of the Gini coefficient of 0 indicates perfect equality with increasing values indicating a higher degree of inequality (with a maximum of 1). We calculated the Gini coefficient based on the distribution of annual means in the CBGs for each pollutant.
- BLL and air toxics had by far the highest degree of inequality across the county, 0.2 and 0.3, respectively.
- 233 The criteria air pollutants generally had low Gini coefficients, ranging from 0.006-0.09. O<sub>3</sub> had the lowest
- 234 measure of inequality (0.006) consistent with the low spatial variability in concentration across the
- county.

Similar to the environmental pollutants, the SES indicators also exhibited a high degree of spatial

237 structure where indications of low SES were concentrated in the center of the city of Milwaukee (Table 1

238 and Figure 1). These indicators were moderately correlated (with the absolute value of the paired

239 correlations ranging from 0.34-0.67) (Figure S4). The Gini coefficient was high for all indicators

240 considered here, ranging from 0.3 to 0.5, indicating a high degree of spatial inequality across Milwaukee 241 County.

242

#### 243 3.2 Local Hot and Cold Spots for Environmental Pollutants and SES Indicators

244 We identified statistically significant geographic hot and cold spots of individual environmental 245 pollutants and SES indicators. BLL, CO, NO<sub>2</sub>, and PM<sub>2.5</sub> showed a similar geographic distribution, with a 246 hot spot (a region of elevated values) in the center of the county (and roughly the center of the city of 247 Milwaukee) and cold spots (low values) around the northern and southern parts of the county (Figure 2). 248 BLL in the elevated clusters were 49% higher than the county average, indicating an important area of 249 elevated exposure and associated health risk to this pollutant. In contrast, the average concentrations of 250 CO, NO<sub>2</sub>, and PM<sub>2.5</sub> in the elevated clusters were only moderately higher than the county average: 8%, 251 15%, and 6%, respectively. Air toxics, which displayed the greatest variability across the state (Table 1), 252 were 165% higher in the elevated cluster on average than in the county average. There were 503 CBGs 253 identified as a hotspot for at least one of BLL, CO, NO<sub>2</sub>, PM<sub>2.5</sub>, and air toxics (Figure S5). While the hot 254 spots for BLL, CO, NO<sub>2</sub>, PM<sub>2.5</sub>, and air toxics had roughly similar patterns, only eight CBGs, 255 representing less than 1% of the county population, were considered a statistically significant hot spot for 256 all these pollutants. While central Milwakuee clearly showed a risk of cumulative exposure across 257 environmental pollutants, the individual hot and cold spots were not necessarily overlapping when 258 considering all pollutants.

259 The pattern of hot and cold spots for  $O_3$ ,  $PM_{10}$ , and  $SO_2$  was notably different than for the other 260 environmental pollutants (Figure 2).  $O_3$  displayed the opposite pattern, with a cluster of low 261 concentrations in the center of the county, likely due to titration by urban NO emissions. The variability 262 of O<sub>3</sub> across the county was much lower than for the other pollutants considered here (Table 1). In 263 contrast,  $PM_{10}$  and  $SO_2$  did not show a homogenous area in central Milwaukee of either high or low 264 concentrations. This was likely caused by the spatial pattern of emissions for these pollutants. PM<sub>10</sub> is 265 commonly associated with resuspension of mineral dust and may be linked to natural emissions or 266 agriculture while SO<sub>2</sub> is linked to the use of coal and petroleum at electric utilities and industrial facilities. 267 Similarly, the SES indicators showed regions of low SES in central Milwaukee; though, the 268 spatial patterns of these hot spots weres varied. The clusters indicating low SES (the hot spots for

unemployment, lower education, and poverty and the cold spot for median household income) were on
average 110 -160% higher than the county average (and 48% lower for the median household income).

There was a clear difference in the demographics across CBGs in clusters with elevated values
compared to lower values of environmental pollutants. In the local clusters with elevated values for BLL,
CO, NO<sub>2</sub>, PM<sub>2.5</sub>, and air toxics the NHB population proportion ranged from 34-62% (the 66<sup>th</sup>-74<sup>th</sup>)

 $270 - 60, 1002, 1002, 1002, 1000 \text{ and an toxics the 111D population proportion ranged from <math>5 + 6276$  (the 60 - 71

- percentile in the county), while the NHW population proportion in these same CBGs ranged from 11%-
- $42\% (23^{rd}-44^{th} \text{ percentile across the county}). Conversely, in clusters of low values for these pollutants the$
- 276 NHB population percent ranged from 9%-14% while the NHW population ranged from 71%-75%.
- 277

### 278 3.3 Clustering Across the Profile of Environmental Pollutants and SES Indicators

To identify the most vulnerable residential areas, we performed K-means clustering across the profile of environmental pollutants and SES indicators. While geographic information was not included in the clustering algorithm, we selected 3 clusters of roughly homogeneous spatial extent. The selection of the number of clusters was subjective to some degree. We chose this number of clusters as it provided insight into geographic areas of elevated values across the profile of environmental pollutants and consistent low SES indicators. We show alternate choices of the number of clusters in Figure S6.

- 285 The three clusters chosen showed consistent environmental and social profiles. The first cluster 286 was located in the center of the county and was characterized by the highest BLL (the average was equivalent to the 78<sup>th</sup> percentile in county-level BLL), NO<sub>2</sub> (67<sup>th</sup> percentile), CO (79<sup>th</sup> percentile), and air 287 toxics (78th percentile) across the three clusters considered here (Table 2 and Figure 3). The third cluster, 288 289 located in the northern/southern parts of the county, had the lowest concentrations of these pollutants (ranging from the 13<sup>th</sup>-28<sup>th</sup> percentile across the pollutants). PM<sub>2.5</sub> (46<sup>th</sup> percentile in county-level 290 291 concentrations) and SO<sub>2</sub> (48<sup>th</sup> percentile) also showed elevated concentrations in the first cluster; 292 however, their concentrations were on average higher in the second cluster, which was geographically 293 sandwiched between the first and third clusters. Still, concentrations of PM<sub>2.5</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub> were clearly 294 elevated in the first and second clusters relative to the third cluster.  $O_3$  showed a different trend with the 295 lowest concentration in the first cluster and highest in the third cluster. This was consistent with the 296 moderate anticorrelation of O<sub>3</sub> with NO<sub>2</sub>.
- Similarly, the first cluster showed a consistent social profile of low SES indicators. This cluster had the highest rate of unemployment (an average rate equivalent to the  $62^{nd}$  percentile across the county), highest rate of people without a high school degree (70<sup>th</sup> percentile), lowest median household income (28<sup>th</sup> percentile), and highest rate of poverty (73<sup>rd</sup> percentile) relative to the other two clusters (Table 2 and Figure 3). Demographic data were not included in fitting the clustering algorithm; however, applying the predicted labels to this data clearly showed a pattern across racial and ethnic lines (Table 2 and Figure

- 303 3). The first cluster, characterized by elevated BLL, NO<sub>2</sub>, CO, air toxics, PM<sub>2.5</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub>, had the lowest
- 304 population fraction of NHW (30<sup>th</sup> percentile in the county) and the highest population fraction of NHB
- 305 (63<sup>rd</sup> percentile). Of the total NHB population in Milwaukee County, a plurality resided in the first cluster
- 306 (46%) compared to 43% in the second cluster and 11% in the third cluster. On the other hand, only 8% of
- 307 the NHW resided in the first cluster.

The CBGs that made up the first cluster experience elevated multipollutant, multidomain, and multimatrix exposures to environmental pollutants. Moreover, this cluster was characterized by low SES with an overrepresentation of the NHB population (relative to the rest of the county). The environmental and social profile of this area indicated the most vulnerable population to exposure to environmental pollutants.

313

### 314 4. Discussion

315 Across the United States, environmental justice communities, in both urban and rural areas, 316 contend with multiple environmental pollutants from multiple domains. Residential segregation due to 317 discriminatory mortgage lending practices (Home Owners Loan Corporation or "redlining") have resulted 318 in historically minoritized communities residing in close proximity to industrial sources of pollution, 319 traffic related air pollution from roadways, and lack of beneficial resources for health, such as green 320 spaces (Kowalski et al., 2023; Nardone et al., 2021). Yet, within reason, environmental regulatory 321 strategies in the United States have been developed to focus on interventions within the same regulatory 322 domain (e.g., air, water). As a result, they are not intentionally designed to address the cumulative and 323 synergistic effects of exposure to multiple pollutants nor the systemic nature of exposure disparities. 324 Tools that leverage existing data resources for the identification of localized spatial clusters of high 325 cumulative exposures lead to better identification of at-risk communities where investments could be 326 made to address multiple systemic disparities at once through place-based, multi-pronged interventions. 327 Here, we applied a novel approach to identify vulnerable populations where regulatory interventions 328 across multiple domains could be braided to reduce exposure to a wider range of environmental pollutants 329 than would be achieved by a single regulatory domain. The first cluster, characterized by high pollutant 330 concentrations, low SES, and high representation of NHB residents represents an exemplar output of this 331 approach to cluster analysis, i.e., a high-risk population in need of interventions across multiple regulatory 332 domains. If implemented with data resources like existing and emerging federal (e.g., EPA EJ Screen; 333 https://www.epa.gov/ejscreen) and state (e.g., CalEnviroScreen; https://oehha.ca.gov/calenviroscreen) 334 environmental screening and mapping tools, the approach presented here may also be useful in other 335 settings where the spatial structure of environmental exposures, socioeconomic factors, and racial/ethnic 336 demographics overlaps. Furthermore, this example may be also the most useful for urban areas where

there is a legacy of lead pollution as well as air pollution from anthropogenic (e.g., transportation, oil andgas) sources.

339 We note several limitations in this analysis. First, we weighted all environmental pollutants 340 equally in this analysis; however, the health risks due to exposure to each in isolation are likely unequal. 341 Moreover, we note that the association between exposure and health risk also varies by health outcome 342 being considered (e.g., hospital admissions for asthma compared to stroke). Second, application of this 343 approach to other cities may not result in clear spatial designations. In our analysis, predicted clusters 344 tended to be spatially homogeneous, reflecting the underlying distributions of the environmental 345 pollutants and SES indicators. Third, when determining local individual clusters, the hot and cold spots 346 were determined relatively and may not necessarily indicate high or low values in a broader context. 347 Finally, we note that the modeled criteria air pollutants from the CACES land use regression model were 348 developed and aggregated at the national level (Kim et al. 2015). Quantitative comparisons of this model 349 at high spatial resolution are limited by lack of high-spatial resolution monitoring data, which highlights a 350 need for enhanced monitoring of multiple pollutants.

351 The study described has several notable strengths as well. First, the study took comprehensive 352 approach by considering multiple environmental pollutants across different domains and matrices. This 353 approach was more reflective of real-world conditions where individuals are exposed to a mix of 354 pollutants rather than a single pollutant. This study went beyond just examining multipollutant exposures 355 by also considering SES and racial disparities. This allowed for a more nuanced understanding of 356 environmental health risks and how they intersected with social and ethno-racial factors. Another strength 357 of this study was the use of spatial analysis techniques, such as Moran's I and Local Indicators of Spatial 358 Association, which provided a detailed understanding of the geographic distribution of environmental 359 pollutants and SES indicators. This helped identify hotspots of exposure and vulnerability. Further, the 360 application of K-means clustering to identify vulnerable populations across a profile of environmental 361 pollutants and SES indicators was a novel approach. This can help prioritize areas for intervention and 362 policy action. The use of the Gini coefficient to quantify spatial inequality in environmental pollutant 363 exposures and SES indicators was a significant strength. Another strength was the use of multiple data 364 sources in a localized context. The study's focus on Milwaukee County, Wisconsin, allowed for a detailed 365 examination of environmental, socioeconomic, and racial disparities in a specific geographic context. 366 This can provide valuable insights for local policymakers and stakeholders. Lastly, the study integrated 367 data from multiple sources, including measurements and estimates of pollutants, demographic and 368 socioeconomic data from the US Census Bureau, and data from the Healthy Homes and Lead Poisoning 369 Surveillance system. This allowed for a more comprehensive analysis of environmental exposures and 370 their social determinants using publicly available datasets.

371 In conclusion, this study provided valuable insights into the spatial distribution of environmental

372 pollutant exposure and its association with SES and racial disparities in Milwaukee County. The findings

373 underscore the need for comprehensive interventions that address multipollutant, multidomain, and

374 multimatrix exposures, particularly in communities with low SES and high minority populations. Future

375 research should focus on understanding the health impacts of cumulative exposure to multiple pollutants

- and developing effective strategies to reduce these exposures and mitigate their health effects.
- 377

## 378 5. Data Availability

No new data were generated as part of this work. The BLL data were collected as part of the
Healthy Homes and Lead Poisoning Surveillance system (HHLPSS) overseen by the Wisconsin
Department of Health Services. Household BLL data may be made available after careful consultation
with all co-authors, partners, and stakeholders. The criteria air pollutant data were downloaded from
https://www.caces.us/data, the air toxics data were downloaded from https://www.epa.gov/rsei, and
socioeconomic and demographic data were downloaded from https://data.census.gov/cedsci/.

385

### 386 6. Supporting Information

387 Additional information about the study area, demographic distribution, pairwise correlations, and388 sensitivity to clustering assumptions.

389

## **390 7. Author Contributions**

391 JK, SHJ, and SM designed the study. OO and EC provided the blood lead level data. JK analyzed
392 and visualized the data. JK, EC, and SM wrote the paper with contributions from all co-authors.

393

## 394 8. Acknowledgements

This publication was developed under Assistance Agreement No. R839278 awarded by the U.S.

396 Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to Colorado State University (SM). EPA does not endorse any

397 products or commercial services mentioned in this publication. The views expressed in this article are

those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views or policies of the U.S. EPA. EC

acknowledges support of the JPB Environmental Health Fellowship Award.

400

## 401 References

Adler, N. E., Boyce, W. T., Chesney, M. A., Folkman, S., and Syme, S. L.: Socioeconomic inequalities in
health. No easy solution., 269, 3140–3145, 1993.

404 American Lung Association. State of the Air 2016. Chicago, IL: American Lung Association; 2016.

- 405 Anselin, L.: Local Indicators of Spatial Association—LISA, Geogr. Anal., 27, 93–115,
- 406 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1538-4632.1995.tb00338.x, 1995.
- 407 Apte, J. S., Brauer, M., Cohen, A. J., Ezzati, M., & Pope III, C. A.: Ambient PM2. 5 reduces global and
- 408 regional life expectancy, Environmental Science & Technology Letters, 5(9), 546-551,
- 409 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.estlett.8b00360m, 2018.
- 410 Bell, M. L., McDermott, A., Zeger, S. L., Samet, J. M., and Dominici, F.: Ozone and short-term mortality
- 411 in 95 US urban communities, 1987-2000., 292, 2372–2378, https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.292.19.2372,
  412 2004.
- 413 Benka-Coker, W., Hoskovec, L., Severson, R., Balmes, J., Wilson, A., and Magzamen, S.: The joint
- 414 effect of ambient air pollution and agricultural pesticide exposures on lung function among children with
- 415 asthma, Environ. Res., 190, 109903, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2020.109903, 2020.
- 416 Cantor, A.G., Hendrickson, R., Blazina, I., Griffin, J., Grusing, S. and McDonagh, M.S.: Screening for
- 417 elevated blood lead levels in childhood and pregnancy: updated evidence report and systematic review for
- 418 the US Preventive Services Task Force. JAMA, 321(15), pp.1510-1526, doi:10.1001/jama.2019.1004,
- **419** 2019.
- 420 Clark, L. P., Millet, D. B., and Marshall, J. D.: National Patterns in Environmental Injustice and
- 421 Inequality: Outdoor NO2 Air Pollution in the United States, PLoS One, 9, e94431, 2014.
- 422 Dockery, D. W., Pope, C. A., Xu, X., Spengler, J. D., Ware, J. H., Fay, M. E., Ferris, B. G., and Speizer,
- F. E.: An Association between Air Pollution and Mortality in Six U.S. Cities, N. Engl. J. Med., 329,
  1753–1759, https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJM199312093292401, 1993.
- 425 Environmental Protection Agency, https://gispub.epa.gov/air/trendsreport/2023/#home, Last Accessed:
  426 August 14, 2023a.
- 427 Environmental Protection Agency, https://www.epa.gov/toxics-release-inventory-tri-program, Last
  428 Accessed: August 14, 2023b.
- 429 Environmental Protection Agency, https://www.epa.gov/rsei, Last Accessed: August 14, 2023c.
- 430 Faust, J., August, L., Alexeeff, G., Bangia, K., Cendak, R., Cheung-Sutton, E., Cushing, L., Galaviz, V.,
- 431 Kadir, T., Leichty, J. and Milanes, C.: California Communities Environmental Health Screening Tool,
- 432 Version 2.0 (CalEnviroScreen 2.0): Guidance and Screening Tool. Office of Environmental Health
- 433 Hazard Assessment, 2014.
- Gini, C.: On the measure of concentration with special reference to income and statistics. Colorado
  College Publication, General Series 208.1 (1936): 73-79.
- Hill, T. D., Graham, L. M., and Divgi, V.: Racial Disparities in Pediatric Asthma: A Review of the
  Literature, Curr. Allergy Asthma Rep., 11, 85–90, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11882-010-0159-2, 2011.
- 438 Holtrop, T.G., Yee, H.Y., Simpson, P.M. and Kauffman, R.E.: A community outreach lead screening
- program using capillary blood collected on filter paper. Archives of pediatrics & adolescent medicine,
  152(5), pp.455-458, doi: 10.1001/archpedi.152.5.455, 1998.
- Isaacs, S. L. and Schroeder, S. A.: Class the ignored determinant of the nation's health., N. Engl. J.
  Med., 351, 1137–1142, https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMsb040329, 2004.

- J., A. B., J., B. T., and H., W. R.: Socioeconomic and Racial Disparities in Cancer Risk from Air Toxics
  in Maryland, Environ. Health Perspect., 113, 693–699, https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.7609, 2005.
- 445 Jbaily, A., Zhou, X., Liu, J., Lee, T.-H., Kamareddine, L., Verguet, S., and Dominici, F.: Air pollution
- 446 exposure disparities across US population and income groups, Nature, 601, 228–233,
- 447 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-04190-y, 2022.
- 448 Kim, S.-Y., Bechle, M., Hankey, S., Sheppard, L., Szpiro, A. A., and Marshall, J. D.: Concentrations of
- 449 criteria pollutants in the contiguous U.S., 1979 2015: Role of prediction model parsimony in integrated
- 450 empirical geographic regression, PLoS One, 15, e0228535, 2020.
- Levy, J. I., Chemerynski, S. M., and Tuchmann, J. L.: Incorporating concepts of inequality and inequity
  into health benefits analysis, Int. J. Equity Health, 5, 2, https://doi.org/10.1186/1475-9276-5-2, 2006.
- 453 Lynch, J., Smith, G. D., Harper, S., and Hillemeier, M.: Is income inequality a determinant of population
- 454 health? Part 2. U.S. National and regional trends in income inequality and age- and cause-specific
- 455 mortality., Milbank Q., 82, 355–400, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0887-378X.2004.00312.x, 2004.
- 456 Magzamen, S., Havlena, J., and Kanarek, M.: Patterns of Residential Mobility Among Lead Poisoned
- 457 Children in Wisconsin, 19, 2008. Mauderly, J. L. and Samet, J. M.: Is there evidence for synergy among
- 458 air pollutants in causing health effects?, Environ. Health Perspect., 117, 1–6,
- 459 https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.11654, 2009.
- 460 Martenies, S. E., Allshouse, W. B., Starling, A. P., Ringham, B. M., Glueck, D. H., Adgate, J. L.,
- 461 Dabelea, D., and Magzamen, S.: Combined environmental and social exposures during pregnancy and
- 462 associations with neonatal size and body composition: the Healthy Start study., 3,
- 463 https://doi.org/10.1097/EE9.00000000000043, 2019.
- 464 Martenies, S. E., Hoskovec, L., Wilson, A., Moore, B. F., Starling, A. P., Allshouse, W. B., Adgate, J. L.,
- 465 Dabelea, D., and Magzamen, S.: Using non-parametric Bayes shrinkage to assess relationships between
- 466 multiple environmental and social stressors and neonatal size and body composition in the Healthy Start
- 467 cohort., Environ. Health, 21, 111, https://doi.org/10.1186/s12940-022-00934-z, 2022.
- 468 Martenies, S. E., Zhang, M., Corrigan, A. E., Kvit, A., Shields, T., Wheaton, W., Bastain, T. M., Breton,
- 469 C. V, Dabelea, D., Habre, R., Magzamen, S., Padula, A. M., Him, D. A., Camargo, C. A. J., Cowell, W.,
- 470 Croen, L. A., Deoni, S., Everson, T. M., Hartert, T. V, Hipwell, A. E., McEvoy, C. T., Morello-Frosch,
- 471 R., O'Connor, T. G., Petriello, M., Sathyanarayana, S., Stanford, J. B., Woodruff, T. J., Wright, R. J., and
- 472 Kress, A. M.: Associations between combined exposure to environmental hazards and social stressors at
- the neighborhood level and individual perinatal outcomes in the ECHO-wide cohort., Health Place, 76,
- 474 102858, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.healthplace.2022.102858, 2022.
- 475 Miller, K. A., Siscovick, D. S., Sheppard, L., Shepherd, K., Sullivan, J. H., Anderson, G. L., and
- 476 Kaufman, J. D.: Long-Term Exposure to Air Pollution and Incidence of Cardiovascular Events in
- 477 Women, N. Engl. J. Med., 356, 447–458, https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa054409, 2007.
- 478 Molitor, J., Su, J. G., Molitor, N.-T., Rubio, V. G., Richardson, S., Hastie, D., Morello-Frosch, R., and
- 479 Jerrett, M.: Identifying Vulnerable Populations through an Examination of the Association Between
- 480 Multipollutant Profiles and Poverty, Environ. Sci. Technol., 45, 7754–7760,
- 481 https://doi.org/10.1021/es104017x, 2011.
- 482 Moran, P. A. P.: The Interpretation of Statistical Maps, J. R. Stat. Soc. Ser. B, 10, 243–251, 1948.

- 483 Morello-Frosch, R. and Jesdale, B. M.: Separate and Unequal: Residential Segregation and Estimated
- 484 Cancer Risks Associated with Ambient Air Toxics in U.S. Metropolitan Areas, Environ. Health Perspect.,
  485 114, 386–393, https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.8500, 2006.
- 486 O'Neill, M. S., Jerrett, M., Kawachi, I., Levy, J. I., Cohen, A. J., Gouveia, N., Wilkinson, P., Fletcher, T.,
- 487 Cifuentes, L., and Schwartz, J.: Health, wealth, and air pollution: advancing theory and methods.,
  488 Environ. Health Perspect., 111, 1861–1870, https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.6334, 2003.
- +00 Environ. Treatmin respect., 111, 1801–1870, https://doi.org/10.1289/enp.0554, 2005.
- 489 Parsons, P.J., Raciti, K. and Esernio-Jenssen, D.: Evaluation and improvement of sample collection
- 490 procedures for the determination of blood lead. Third semi-annual report to the Center for Environmental
- 491 Health and Injury Control, 1993.
- 492 Rey, S. J. and Anselin, L.: PySAL: A Python library of spatial analytical methods, in: Handbook of
  493 applied spatial analysis, Springer, 175–193, 2010.
- 494 Sargent, J.D. and Dalton, M.A.: Rethinking the threshold for an abnormal capillary blood lead screening
- 495 test. Archives of pediatrics & adolescent medicine, 150(10), pp.1084-1088,
- 496 doi:10.1001/archpedi.1996.02170350086015, 1996.
- Schlenker, T.L., Fritz, C.J., Mark, D., Layde, M., Linke, G., Murphy, A. and Matte, T.: Screening for
   pediatric lead poisoning: comparability of simultaneously drawn capillary and venous blood samples.
- 499 Jama, 271(17), pp.1346-1348, doi:10.1001/jama.1994.03510410058033, 1994.
- Southeastern Wisconsin Regional Planning Commission. Fifty-fifth Annual Report. Waukesha, WI:
   Southeastern Wisconsin Regional Planning Commission; 2016.
- 502 Tessum, C. W., Apte, J. S., Goodkind, A. L., Muller, N. Z., Mullins, K. A., Paolella, D. A., Polasky, S.,
- 503 Springer, N. P., Thakrar, S. K., Marshall, J. D., and Hill, J. D.: Inequity in consumption of goods and
- services adds to racial-ethnic disparities in air pollution exposure, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 116, 6001-
- 505 6006, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1818859116, 2019.
- 506 Tessum, C. W., Paolella, D. A., Chambliss, S. E., Apte, J. S., Hill, J. D., and Marshall, J. D.: PM2.5
- 507 polluters disproportionately and systemically affect people of color in the United States, Sci. Adv., 7,
- 508 eabf4491, https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abf4491, 2021.
- US Census Bureau, https://www.census.gov/quickfacts/table/PST045216/00, Last Accessed: August 14,
   2023.
- Wisconsin Department of Health Services: Report on Childhood Lead Poisoning in Wisconsin. Madison,
   WI2016, 2014.
- 513

Table 1. Summary statistics (annual mean, standard deviation as well as the 5<sup>th</sup>, 25<sup>th</sup>, 50<sup>th</sup>, 75<sup>th</sup>, and 95<sup>th</sup> percentile) in 2015 and global spatial autocorrelation (Moran's I) for blood lead levels, criteria air pollutants, air toxins, and socioeconomic indicators across Milwaukee County, Wisconsin. 515

Pollutant	Mean	SD	$5^{th}$	25 <sup>th</sup>	50 <sup>th</sup>	75 <sup>th</sup>	95 <sup>th</sup>	Moran's I	Gini
BLL [µg dL]	2.99	1.18	1.54	2.13	2.73	3.66	5.17	0.51	0.21
CO [ppm]	0.29	0.02	0.25	0.28	0.29	0.31	0.32	0.85	0.04
NO <sub>2</sub> [ppb]	10.1	1.74	6.53	9.13	10.7	11.3	11.9	0.93	0.09
PM <sub>2.5</sub> [µg m <sup>-3</sup> ]	9.17	0.48	8.28	8.88	9.25	9.53	9.83	0.82	0.03
O <sub>3</sub> [ppb]	44.1	0.46	43.2	43.8	44.1	44.4	44.7	0.96	0.01
PM <sub>10</sub> [µg m <sup>-3</sup> ]	17.2	1.32	15.2	16.3	17.1	17.9	19.4	0.61	0.04
SO <sub>2</sub> [ppb]	1.01	0.12	0.8	0.93	1.02	1.10	1.20	0.70	0.07
Air Toxics	4070	3760	1970	2400	3080	4550	7890	0.56	0.32
[µg m <sup>-3</sup> ]									
Unemployed	6.29	6.61	0.00	1.65	4.35	8.51	20.29	0.26	0.53
[%]									
No HS diploma	17.1	13.9	1.42	6.59	13.6	23.6	48.2	0.69	0.44
[%]									
Household	55,000	30,000	20,000	35,000	50,000	68,000	109,000	0.61	0.28
Income [USD]									
Poverty [%]	20.3	17.1	1.27	6.19	15.3	32.0	51.9	0.55	0.46

Variable	Cluster 1	Cluster 2	Cluster 3	
BLL	0.78	0.42	0.28	
CO	0.79	0.47	0.17	
NO <sub>2</sub>	0.67	0.56	0.13	
PM <sub>2.5</sub>	0.46	0.67	0.17	
O <sub>3</sub>	0.21	0.59	0.69	
PM <sub>10</sub>	0.37	0.56	0.54	
$SO_2$	0.48	0.58	0.35	
Air toxics	0.78	0.43	0.27	
% NHW	0.30	0.53	0.72	
% NHB	0.63	0.50	0.33	
% Unemployed	0.62	0.48	0.38	
No high school diploma	0.70	0.46	0.32	
Median Income	0.28	0.54	0.71	
% Below Poverty	0.73	0.45	0.30	

Table 2. The average percentile ranking for blood lead levels, criteria air pollutants, air toxins,
 demographic indicators, and socioeconomic indicators across the three predicted clusters.



523

Figure 1. Annual mean year 2015 values in Milwaukee County, Wisconsin of (a) blood lead levels, (b) CO, (c)  $NO_2$ , (d)  $PM_{2.5}$ , (e)  $O_3$ , (f)  $PM_{10}$ , (g)  $SO_2$ , (h) air toxics as well as socioeconomic factors (i) unemployment rate, (j) percent of the population without a high school diploma, (k) median household income, (l) percent of the population below the poverty line. The green polygon shows the municipal boundary of the city of Milwaukee, Wisconsin.



Figure 2. Statistically significant local clusters of high values (red) and low values (blue) for (a) blood

531 lead levels, (b) CO, (c) NO<sub>2</sub>, (d)  $PM_{2.5}$ , (e)  $O_3$ , (f)  $PM_{10}$ , (g)  $SO_2$ , (h) air toxics, (i) unemployment rate, (j) percent of the population without a high school diploma, (k) median household income, (l) percent of the

532 533 population below the poverty line in Milwaukee County.



535 536

536 Figure 3. (a) Geographic distribution of K-means cluster predictions and distribution of annual mean

values (expressed as a percentile ranking) across the three predicted clusters for (b) blood lead levels, (c)
CO, (d) NO<sub>2</sub>, (e) PM<sub>2.5</sub>, (f) O<sub>3</sub>, (g) PM<sub>10</sub>, (h) SO<sub>2</sub>, (i) air toxics, (j) percent unemployed, (k) percent

539 without a high school diploma, (l) median household income, (m) percent below the federal poverty line,

540 (n) percent of the population identifying as non-Hispanic White, (o) percent of the population identifying

541 as non-Hispanic Black. Environmental pollutants (b-i), SES indicators (j-m), and population racial

542 groups (n-o) are expressed as percentile rankings.

# 1 Cumulative Exposures to Environmental and Socioeconomic Risk Factors in

## 2 Milwaukee County, Wisconsin

- John K. Kodros<sup>1#</sup>, Ellison Carter<sup>2</sup>, Oluwatobi Oke<sup>2&</sup>, Ander Wilson<sup>3</sup>, Shantanu H. Jathar<sup>1</sup> and Sheryl
   Magzamen<sup>4,5</sup>
- <sup>1</sup>Department of Mechanical Engineering, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO, USA
- 6 <sup>2</sup>Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO, USA
- 7 <sup>3</sup>Department of Statistics, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado, USA
- <sup>4</sup>Department of Environmental and Radiological Health Sciences, Colorado State University, Fort Collins,
   CO, USA
- 10 <sup>5</sup>Department of Epidemiology, Colorado School of Public Health, Colorado State University, Fort
- 11 Collins, CO, USA
- 12 \*Correspondence to: John K. Kodros (jkkodros.research@gmail.com)
- 13 <sup>#</sup>Now at Clarity Movement, Berkeley, CA, USA
- 14 <sup>&</sup>Now at Building Energy and Environment Division, National Institute of Standards and Technology,
- 15 Gaithersburg, Maryland, USA.
- 16

## 17 Key Points

- 18 We examine cumulative exposures to multiple pollutants and their association with
- 19 socioeconomic and racial disparities in Milwaukee County
- 20 We highlight census block groups that are most vulnerable to pollution and low SES, which can
- 21 be prioritized for regulatory interventions
- People of color in Milwaukee County are not just exposed to high pollution, they are often
   exposed within the context of low SES
- 24

## 25 Plain Language Summary

- 26 Our study focused on Milwaukee County, Wisconsin, where we examined how people in this region were
- 27 exposed to different types of pollutants. We found that areas with the highest levels of pollution (e.g.,
- 28 lead, nitrogen dioxide) had a higher proportion of Black residents and those residents also experienced
- 29 social and economic challenges (e.g., unemployment, poverty, and low education). Our work adds to the
- 30 growing evidence that patterns of pollution and economic challenges are not random, but rather, racially
- 31 and socially structured. By understanding these patterns, we can develop policies that reduce pollution in
- 32 these areas and improve the health for residents in these overburdened communities.

### 34 Abstract

35 The environmental justice literature demonstrates consistently that low-income and minority 36 communities are disproportionately exposed to environmental hazards. In this case study, we examined 37 cumulative multipollutant, multidomain, and multimatrix environmental exposures in Milwaukee County, 38 Wisconsin. We identified spatial hot spots in Milwaukee County both individually and through clusters 39 across a profile of environmental pollutants that span regulatory domains and matrices of exposure, as 40 well as socioeconomic indicators. The most sensitive cluster within the urban area was largely 41 characterized by low socioeconomic status (SES) and an overrepresentation of the Non-Hispanic Black 42 (NHB) population relative to the county as a whole. In this cluster, average pollutant concentrations were equivalent to the 78<sup>th</sup> percentile in county-level blood lead levels, 67<sup>th</sup> percentile in county-level NO<sub>2</sub>, 79<sup>th</sup> 43 percentile in county-level CO, and 78<sup>th</sup> percentile in county-level air toxics while simultaneously having 44 an average equivalent to the 62<sup>nd</sup> percentile in county-level unemployment, 70<sup>th</sup> percentile in county-level 45 population rate lacking a high school diploma, 73<sup>rd</sup> percentile in county-level poverty rate, and 28<sup>th</sup> 46 47 percentile in county-level median household income. The spatial patterns of pollutant exposure and SES 48 indicators suggested that these disparities were not random but were instead structured by socioeconomic 49 and racial factors. Our case study, which combines environmental pollutant exposures, sociodemographic 50 data, and clustering analysis, provides a roadmap to identify and target overburdened communities for 51 interventions that reduce environmental exposures and consequently improve public health.

52

### 53 1. Introduction

54 Previous research has established an association between health risks and exposure to various 55 anthropogenic environmental pollutants. Ambient air pollution has been consistently associated with an 56 array of adverse health impacts and is one of the leading risk factors contributing to morbidity and 57 premature mortality (Dockery et al., 1993; Bell et al., 2004; Miller et al., 2007; Apte et al., 2018). As a 58 result, the US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) enforces national ambient air quality standards 59 (NAAQS) for six common air pollutants ("criteria air pollutants"), which are known to have adverse 60 health effects (EPA, 2023a). In addition to the criteria air pollutants, the EPA also mandates the reporting 61 of emissions of hundreds of chemicals with known cancer-causing or chronic/acute health effects (EPA, 62 2023b). Other exposure matrices are also known to have health risks. Lead exposure, which may occur 63 through air, water, paint, or soil, has been shown to adversely impact intelligence quotient scores 64 (Bellinger et al. 1992; Lanphear et al. 2005), school performance (Kordas et al. 2007; Magzamen et al. 2015), prosocial behavior (Wright et al. 2008; Amato et al. 2013), and cardiovascular disease 65 66 (Chowdhury et al. 2018; Lamas et al. 2021).

67 Current regulations are often based on single pollutant exposures, which do not consider the

68 possible synergistic effects of cumulative exposures (Mauderly and Samet, 2009; Benka-Coker et al.,

69 2020). Individuals are rarely exposed to single pollutants in isolation (e.g., Molitor et al. (2011)). Instead,

70 people and communities are commonly exposed to numerous pollutants within a regulatory domain (e.g.,

71 different criteria air pollutants such as,  $PM_{2.5}$  and  $O_3$ ) as well as multiple pollutants across regulatory

72 domains (for instance, criteria air pollutants and air toxics) (Benka-Coker et al., 2020). Further,

73 individuals may be exposed to environmental pollutants across multiple exposure matrices (e.g., air and

74 water). These cumulative multipollutant, multidomain, and multimatrix exposures may lead to complex

75 health responses not captured by considering single exposure to pollutants. Complicating matters,

76 interventions are rarely designed to target multidomain and multimatrix exposures.

77 Environmental epidemiology has increasingly considered exposures within the context of 78 socioeconomic status (SES) (O'Neill et al., 2003). A wealth of literature has illustrated the relationship 79 between SES and health (e.g., Adler et al. (1993); Isaacs and Schroeder (2004); Lynch et al. (2004)), as 80 well as the concept that low SES and negative environmental exposures are interrelated (Magzamen et al., 81 2008). This association may occur because individuals living in areas of low SES may be exposed to 82 higher concentrations of environmental pollutants and/or may be more susceptible to environmental 83 pollutants (O'Neill et al., 2004). In addition to SES, numerous studies have highlighted disparities in 84 exposure to environmental pollutants across racial and ethnic lines (Morello-Frosch and Jesdale, 2006; 85 Clark et al., 2014; Jbailey et al., 2022). Furthermore, recent modeling work suggests that Black and 86 Hispanic populations in the US are exposed to a higher air pollution exposure burden relative to the 87 expected exposure originating from emissions associated with these population groups (Tessum et al., 88 2019; Tessum et al., 2021). These racial and ethnic disparities in exposure may contribute to higher rates 89 of adverse health outcomes among communities of color (Apelberg et al., 2005; Hill et al., 2011).

90 Communities of color and low SES are exposed to higher concentrations of environmental 91 pollutants and are more susceptible to the effects of this exposure (Clark et al. 2014; Tessum et al. 2021). 92 Recently, several methodological approaches have been proposed to address the independent and joint 93 contribution of environmental exposures and social factors to health outcomes (Martenies et al. 2019; 94 Martenies et al. 2022a; Martenies et al. 2022b; Martenies et al. 2023). Identification of relevant social or 95 environmental factors associated with disease outcomes are an important pathway to identify effective 96 intervention and mediation strategies to improve health. Informed by earlier work (Molitor et al., 2011; 97 Lalloué et al., 2014; Shrestha et al., 2016), it is necessary to develop indicators that highlight communities 98 of high risk due to elevated cumulative exposure to environmental pollutants and/or low SES. For 99 instance, CalEnviroScreen develops an index based on percentile rankings across a set of environmental 100 and social indicators (Faust et al., 2014).

101 Comprehensive interventions that address multidomain and multimatrix exposures and adaptable 102 to varying demographic and SES contexts are scarce. In this study, we examine associations between 103 environmental exposures known to have adverse health risks and demographic and SES indicators across 104 multiple pollutants, domains, and matrices. We focus on the urban/suburban area of Milwaukee County, 105 Wisconsin. We highlight communities with cumulative exposures to elevated concentrations of 106 environmental pollutants and indicators of low SES status that can be prioritized for regulatory 107 interventions. In Section 2, we outline the environmental pollutants, SES indicators, and statistical 108 methodology used here. In Section 3, we examine geographical distributions across the profile of 109 environmental pollutants and SES indicators, and the local and global clustering of these risk factors. We 110 share our conclusions and study limitations in Section 4.

111

### 112 **2. Methods**

### 113 2.1 Study Area

114 Milwaukee County, Wisconsin (shown in the inset in Figure S1) includes the city of Milwaukee 115 and the suburban area outside it. Milwaukee County is the most racially diverse county in the state of 116 Wisconsin, with a Black population fraction over twice as high as the national average (US Census 117 Bureau, 2022). Milwaukee County has a history of poor environmental pollution. It was designated a 118 NAAQS maintenance area for 24-hr PM2.5 in 2016 (Southeastern Wisconsin Regional Planning 119 Commission, 2016) and received an 'F' grade for O<sub>3</sub> from the American Lung Association's 2016 State 120 of the Air report (American Lung Association, 2016). In 2014, the city of Milwaukee had the highest 121 prevalence of lead poisoning in Wisconsin (which rates among the states with the highest incidence of 122 childhood lead poisoning in the US) (Wisconsin Department of Health Services, 2014).

123

### 124 2.2 Environmental Pollutants

125 We examined the cumulative exposure to blood lead levels (BLL), five of the six criteria air 126 pollutants, and inhalation toxicity-weighted summed concentrations of air toxics. These pollutants 127 spanned regulatory exposure domains and exposure matrices. We used measurements and estimates of 128 pollutants in the year 2015 (the most recent year for all data sources) at the census block group (CBG) 129 resolution (the highest resolution estimates offered for all data sources). The dataset at the individual level 130 for BLL consisted of samples collected from children who were part of the Healthy Homes and Lead 131 Poisoning Surveillance system (HHLPSS) overseen by the Wisconsin Department of Health Services, 132 Division of Public Health Services. The participants were children aged five or below, living in 133 Milwaukee County between 2015 and 2019. These data, which received ethics approval from the 134 Wisconsin Division of Public Health data governance board, encompassed information such as the child's

test ID, test date, test type, age at testing, gender, race, primary address, and BLL. BLL were determined

through venous or capillary testing methods. Some of the BLL values were reported with unknown

137 sampling methods. Therefore, to avoid duplicating samples, if a child had multiple BLL tests, the highest

138 BLL obtained from the venous test was retained since the venous test has been reported to give the most

reliable BLL result than the capillary method (Parson et al., 1993; Schlenker et al., 1994; Sargent and

140 Dalton, 1996; Holtrop et al., 1998; Cantor et al., 2019). When venous tests were absent, the highest value

141 from capillary tests was retained. If the testing method was unspecified, the result was still included in the

142 analysis, accounting for less than 2% of the total test data. Following data preprocessing, the BLL of

143 95,659 children in Milwaukee County were assessed, with 71,162 residing within the city of Milwaukee.

144 We aggregate measurements to the CBG resolution. We note substantial variability in measurements of

145 BLL within CBGs (Figure S2).

Estimates of criteria air pollutants (CO, NO<sub>2</sub>, PM<sub>2.5</sub>, O<sub>3</sub>, PM<sub>10</sub>, and SO<sub>2</sub>) were taken from the
Center for Air, Climate and Energy Solutions (CACES) land use regression model; for details refer to
Kim et al. (2015). Estimates of air toxics come from the EPA's Risk-Screening Environmental Indicators
(RSEI) model (EPA, 2023c). RSEI aggregates data collected from the Toxic Release Inventory. We used
the sum of the concentrations of all chemicals in each CBG weighted by toxicity (i.e., the concentration
multiplied by the relative inhalation toxicity weight summed over all chemicals in the CBG). Thus, this
analysis was sensitive to estimates of both concentration of each chemical as well as its toxicity.

153

### 154 2.3 Demographic and Socioeconomic Data

155 To examine the association of cumulative environmental exposure with SES and racial/ethnic 156 disparities, we downloaded data from the 5-year American Community Survey available from the US 157 Census Bureau (US Census Bureau, 2022). We used estimates of the percent of the population 16 years or 158 older within the civilian labor force that is unemployed, percent of the population older than 25 years 159 without a high school diploma, median household income, and percent of the population living below the 160 poverty line. These risk factors have been used in previous studies as measures of social vulnerability 161 (Martenies et al. 2019). To examine disparities along racial and ethnic lines, we used the percent of the 162 population in each CBG identifying as non-Hispanic White (NHW) and non-Hispanic Black (NHB). We 163 focused on these two groups due to the historical record of racial residential segregation in Wisconsin 164 between NHW and NHB populations.

165

### 166 2.4 Statistical Analysis

167 To investigate the degree of spatial structure in the dataset, we calculated measures of global and
168 local spatial autocorrelation. We reported Moran's I as our metric for global spatial autocorrelation

169 (Moran, 1948). Moran's I was normalized to range from -1 to +1 with values closer to +1 indicating a 170 greater degree of positive spatial autocorrelation. Further, we calculated Local Indicators of Spatial 171 Association using Local Moran's I to identify statistically significant hot and cold spots across 172 environmental pollutants and SES indicators (Anselin, 1995). This measure of local spatial 173 autocorrelation identifies geographic clusters with high (low) values beyond what we would expect by 174 random chance. Statistical significance was assessed at the 95<sup>th</sup> percentile confidence interval. Both local 175 and global spatial autocorrelation were calculated using queen-adjacent spatial weights matrices. Spatial 176 statistics were done in Python using the PySAL package (Rey and Anselin, 2010). We quantified 177 inequality in environmental pollutants and SES indicators using the Gini index. The Gini index ranges 178 from 0 to 1 with higher values indicating a greater degree of inequality. This index, borrowed from 179 economic studies (Gini, 1936), has also been used frequently in previous studies investigating disparities 180 in environmental pollutants (e.g., Levy et al., 2006).

181 To identify clusters of vulnerable populations across a profile of environmental pollutants and 182 SES indicators, we used K-means clustering. As input features, we used standardized values for all 183 environmental pollutants and SES indicators with all features weighted equally. We did not include 184 demographic or geographic data as inputs to the clustering algorithm to explore the degree to which 185 spatial and demographic factors are associated with the predicted clusters. The number of predicted 186 clusters was to some degree subjective. We chose three clusters as this number demonstrated consistent 187 environmental social profiles across the clusters. In addition, the three predicted clusters occupied a 188 roughly spatially homogeneous region.

189

### 190 **3. Results**

### 191 3.1 Geographic Distribution of Environmental Pollutants and Socioeconomic Indicators

Annual (year 2015) mean concentrations of BLL, criteria air pollutants, and air toxics exhibited substantial spatial structure across Milwaukee, County; though, the spatial patterns differed by pollutant (Figure 1 and Table 1). The highest concentrations of BLL, CO, NO<sub>2</sub>, PM<sub>2.5</sub>, and air toxics occurred within the city of Milwaukee (Figure 1), while O<sub>3</sub> and PM<sub>10</sub> had slightly lower concentrations in this area relative to other parts of the county. For SO<sub>2</sub>, the highest concentrations were found both inside and outside the Milwaukee city limits. Pollutants generally exhibited weak (less than 0.4) paired correlations with the exception of CO and NO<sub>2</sub> (0.72), CO and O<sub>3</sub> (-0.65), and NO<sub>2</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub> (0.64) (Figure S4).

All pollutants exhibited a high degree of spatial structure (evidenced by Moran's I measure of global spatial autocorrelation) across Milwaukee County, as expected based on known differences in emissions across an urban area (Table 1). Children residing in the census tract in the metropolitan area of the city of Milwaukee, particularly in older housing stock with a median housing age of 94 years 203 (interquartile range = 48 years), exhibited elevated BLLs. These aged residences may contain lead-based 204 paints in multiple layers of painted surfaces, despite the absence of lead in the topmost paint layer. 205 Additionally, a significant majority of these residential homes, approximately 90% are equipped with lead 206 service lines, which are major sources of childhood lead poisoning. Mixing ratios of NO<sub>2</sub> exhibited the 207 highest degree of spatial structure, with elevated concentrations along major roadways. While on-road 208 sources mostly emit NO, some of this NO is rapidly converted to NO<sub>2</sub>. Emissions of CO are also likely 209 associated with traffic and urban sources. In contrast, PM<sub>2.5</sub> was spatially heterogeneous, which includes a 210 mixture of primary (e.g., elemental carbon) and secondary (e.g., ammonium nitrate, ammonium sulfate) 211 species. Annually-averaged measurements from the EPA's Chemical Speciation Network in Milwaukee 212 reported a normalized PM<sub>2.5</sub> mass composition of organic carbon (37%, by mass), nitrate (26%), sulfate 213 (18%), and ammonium (11%) ions, and elemental carbon (8%).  $PM_{10}$  and  $SO_2$  could have been higher in 214 some pockets outside the city due to the presence of specific emissions sources. O<sub>3</sub> is a regional pollutant 215 formed from photochemical reactions and, hence, exhibited less variability across the county. The spatial 216 pattern of toxicity-weighted concentrations of air toxics was strongly dependent on the location of the 217 point sources (e.g., factories).

218 In addition to deleterious environmental exposure, the city of Milwaukee remains one of the most 219 segregated areas in the United States (Johnston 2022). An analysis of 2000 census data for cities over 1 220 million residents indicated that Milwaukee was the most segregated city in the United States, where Black 221 residents are concentrated in the central city (Frey 2018). Further, according to analyses conducted by the 222 Center for Economic Development at University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee, Milwaukee's Black 223 community faces myriad social challenges: median Black household income in Milwaukee is 42% that of 224 a NHW household, the largest racial disparity in the country. Additionally, Milwaukee has the second-225 lowest Black homeownership rate among the nation's largest metropolitan areas at approximately 27.2 226 percent (Levine 2020). Over 72% of Black schoolchildren in Milwaukee attend hypersegregated schools, 227 the highest rate in the country, and significantly higher than the percentage 30 years ago (Levine 2020).

- To quantify the degree of spatial inequality in environmental pollutants, we calculated the Gini coefficient for each pollutant for Milwaukee County. A value of the Gini coefficient of 0 indicates perfect equality with increasing values indicating a higher degree of inequality (with a maximum of 1). We calculated the Gini coefficient based on the distribution of annual means in the CBGs for each pollutant.
- BLL and air toxics had by far the highest degree of inequality across the county, 0.2 and 0.3, respectively.
- 233 The criteria air pollutants generally had low Gini coefficients, ranging from 0.006-0.09. O<sub>3</sub> had the lowest
- 234 measure of inequality (0.006) consistent with the low spatial variability in concentration across the
- county.

Similar to the environmental pollutants, the SES indicators also exhibited a high degree of spatial

237 structure where indications of low SES were concentrated in the center of the city of Milwaukee (Table 1

238 and Figure 1). These indicators were moderately correlated (with the absolute value of the paired

239 correlations ranging from 0.34-0.67) (Figure S4). The Gini coefficient was high for all indicators

240 considered here, ranging from 0.3 to 0.5, indicating a high degree of spatial inequality across Milwaukee 241 County.

242

#### 243 3.2 Local Hot and Cold Spots for Environmental Pollutants and SES Indicators

244 We identified statistically significant geographic hot and cold spots of individual environmental 245 pollutants and SES indicators. BLL, CO, NO<sub>2</sub>, and PM<sub>2.5</sub> showed a similar geographic distribution, with a 246 hot spot (a region of elevated values) in the center of the county (and roughly the center of the city of 247 Milwaukee) and cold spots (low values) around the northern and southern parts of the county (Figure 2). 248 BLL in the elevated clusters were 49% higher than the county average, indicating an important area of 249 elevated exposure and associated health risk to this pollutant. In contrast, the average concentrations of 250 CO, NO<sub>2</sub>, and PM<sub>2.5</sub> in the elevated clusters were only moderately higher than the county average: 8%, 251 15%, and 6%, respectively. Air toxics, which displayed the greatest variability across the state (Table 1), 252 were 165% higher in the elevated cluster on average than in the county average. There were 503 CBGs 253 identified as a hotspot for at least one of BLL, CO, NO<sub>2</sub>, PM<sub>2.5</sub>, and air toxics (Figure S5). While the hot 254 spots for BLL, CO, NO<sub>2</sub>, PM<sub>2.5</sub>, and air toxics had roughly similar patterns, only eight CBGs, 255 representing less than 1% of the county population, were considered a statistically significant hot spot for 256 all these pollutants. While central Milwakuee clearly showed a risk of cumulative exposure across 257 environmental pollutants, the individual hot and cold spots were not necessarily overlapping when 258 considering all pollutants.

259 The pattern of hot and cold spots for  $O_3$ ,  $PM_{10}$ , and  $SO_2$  was notably different than for the other 260 environmental pollutants (Figure 2).  $O_3$  displayed the opposite pattern, with a cluster of low 261 concentrations in the center of the county, likely due to titration by urban NO emissions. The variability 262 of O<sub>3</sub> across the county was much lower than for the other pollutants considered here (Table 1). In 263 contrast,  $PM_{10}$  and  $SO_2$  did not show a homogenous area in central Milwaukee of either high or low 264 concentrations. This was likely caused by the spatial pattern of emissions for these pollutants. PM<sub>10</sub> is 265 commonly associated with resuspension of mineral dust and may be linked to natural emissions or 266 agriculture while SO<sub>2</sub> is linked to the use of coal and petroleum at electric utilities and industrial facilities. 267 Similarly, the SES indicators showed regions of low SES in central Milwaukee; though, the 268 spatial patterns of these hot spots weres varied. The clusters indicating low SES (the hot spots for

unemployment, lower education, and poverty and the cold spot for median household income) were on
average 110 -160% higher than the county average (and 48% lower for the median household income).

There was a clear difference in the demographics across CBGs in clusters with elevated values
compared to lower values of environmental pollutants. In the local clusters with elevated values for BLL,
CO, NO<sub>2</sub>, PM<sub>2.5</sub>, and air toxics the NHB population proportion ranged from 34-62% (the 66<sup>th</sup>-74<sup>th</sup>)

 $270 - 60, 1002, 1002, 1002, 1000 \text{ and an toxics the 111D population proportion ranged from <math>5 + 6276$  (the 60 - 71

- percentile in the county), while the NHW population proportion in these same CBGs ranged from 11%-
- $42\% (23^{rd}-44^{th} \text{ percentile across the county}). Conversely, in clusters of low values for these pollutants the$
- 276 NHB population percent ranged from 9%-14% while the NHW population ranged from 71%-75%.
- 277

### 278 3.3 Clustering Across the Profile of Environmental Pollutants and SES Indicators

To identify the most vulnerable residential areas, we performed K-means clustering across the profile of environmental pollutants and SES indicators. While geographic information was not included in the clustering algorithm, we selected 3 clusters of roughly homogeneous spatial extent. The selection of the number of clusters was subjective to some degree. We chose this number of clusters as it provided insight into geographic areas of elevated values across the profile of environmental pollutants and consistent low SES indicators. We show alternate choices of the number of clusters in Figure S6.

- 285 The three clusters chosen showed consistent environmental and social profiles. The first cluster 286 was located in the center of the county and was characterized by the highest BLL (the average was equivalent to the 78<sup>th</sup> percentile in county-level BLL), NO<sub>2</sub> (67<sup>th</sup> percentile), CO (79<sup>th</sup> percentile), and air 287 toxics (78th percentile) across the three clusters considered here (Table 2 and Figure 3). The third cluster, 288 289 located in the northern/southern parts of the county, had the lowest concentrations of these pollutants (ranging from the 13<sup>th</sup>-28<sup>th</sup> percentile across the pollutants). PM<sub>2.5</sub> (46<sup>th</sup> percentile in county-level 290 291 concentrations) and SO<sub>2</sub> (48<sup>th</sup> percentile) also showed elevated concentrations in the first cluster; 292 however, their concentrations were on average higher in the second cluster, which was geographically 293 sandwiched between the first and third clusters. Still, concentrations of PM<sub>2.5</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub> were clearly 294 elevated in the first and second clusters relative to the third cluster.  $O_3$  showed a different trend with the 295 lowest concentration in the first cluster and highest in the third cluster. This was consistent with the 296 moderate anticorrelation of O<sub>3</sub> with NO<sub>2</sub>.
- Similarly, the first cluster showed a consistent social profile of low SES indicators. This cluster had the highest rate of unemployment (an average rate equivalent to the  $62^{nd}$  percentile across the county), highest rate of people without a high school degree (70<sup>th</sup> percentile), lowest median household income (28<sup>th</sup> percentile), and highest rate of poverty (73<sup>rd</sup> percentile) relative to the other two clusters (Table 2 and Figure 3). Demographic data were not included in fitting the clustering algorithm; however, applying the predicted labels to this data clearly showed a pattern across racial and ethnic lines (Table 2 and Figure

- 303 3). The first cluster, characterized by elevated BLL, NO<sub>2</sub>, CO, air toxics, PM<sub>2.5</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub>, had the lowest
- 304 population fraction of NHW (30<sup>th</sup> percentile in the county) and the highest population fraction of NHB
- 305 (63<sup>rd</sup> percentile). Of the total NHB population in Milwaukee County, a plurality resided in the first cluster
- 306 (46%) compared to 43% in the second cluster and 11% in the third cluster. On the other hand, only 8% of
- 307 the NHW resided in the first cluster.

The CBGs that made up the first cluster experience elevated multipollutant, multidomain, and multimatrix exposures to environmental pollutants. Moreover, this cluster was characterized by low SES with an overrepresentation of the NHB population (relative to the rest of the county). The environmental and social profile of this area indicated the most vulnerable population to exposure to environmental pollutants.

313

### 314 4. Discussion

315 Across the United States, environmental justice communities, in both urban and rural areas, 316 contend with multiple environmental pollutants from multiple domains. Residential segregation due to 317 discriminatory mortgage lending practices (Home Owners Loan Corporation or "redlining") have resulted 318 in historically minoritized communities residing in close proximity to industrial sources of pollution, 319 traffic related air pollution from roadways, and lack of beneficial resources for health, such as green 320 spaces (Kowalski et al., 2023; Nardone et al., 2021). Yet, within reason, environmental regulatory 321 strategies in the United States have been developed to focus on interventions within the same regulatory 322 domain (e.g., air, water). As a result, they are not intentionally designed to address the cumulative and 323 synergistic effects of exposure to multiple pollutants nor the systemic nature of exposure disparities. 324 Tools that leverage existing data resources for the identification of localized spatial clusters of high 325 cumulative exposures lead to better identification of at-risk communities where investments could be 326 made to address multiple systemic disparities at once through place-based, multi-pronged interventions. 327 Here, we applied a novel approach to identify vulnerable populations where regulatory interventions 328 across multiple domains could be braided to reduce exposure to a wider range of environmental pollutants 329 than would be achieved by a single regulatory domain. The first cluster, characterized by high pollutant 330 concentrations, low SES, and high representation of NHB residents represents an exemplar output of this 331 approach to cluster analysis, i.e., a high-risk population in need of interventions across multiple regulatory 332 domains. If implemented with data resources like existing and emerging federal (e.g., EPA EJ Screen; 333 https://www.epa.gov/ejscreen) and state (e.g., CalEnviroScreen; https://oehha.ca.gov/calenviroscreen) 334 environmental screening and mapping tools, the approach presented here may also be useful in other 335 settings where the spatial structure of environmental exposures, socioeconomic factors, and racial/ethnic 336 demographics overlaps. Furthermore, this example may be also the most useful for urban areas where

there is a legacy of lead pollution as well as air pollution from anthropogenic (e.g., transportation, oil andgas) sources.

339 We note several limitations in this analysis. First, we weighted all environmental pollutants 340 equally in this analysis; however, the health risks due to exposure to each in isolation are likely unequal. 341 Moreover, we note that the association between exposure and health risk also varies by health outcome 342 being considered (e.g., hospital admissions for asthma compared to stroke). Second, application of this 343 approach to other cities may not result in clear spatial designations. In our analysis, predicted clusters 344 tended to be spatially homogeneous, reflecting the underlying distributions of the environmental 345 pollutants and SES indicators. Third, when determining local individual clusters, the hot and cold spots 346 were determined relatively and may not necessarily indicate high or low values in a broader context. 347 Finally, we note that the modeled criteria air pollutants from the CACES land use regression model were 348 developed and aggregated at the national level (Kim et al. 2015). Quantitative comparisons of this model 349 at high spatial resolution are limited by lack of high-spatial resolution monitoring data, which highlights a 350 need for enhanced monitoring of multiple pollutants.

351 The study described has several notable strengths as well. First, the study took comprehensive 352 approach by considering multiple environmental pollutants across different domains and matrices. This 353 approach was more reflective of real-world conditions where individuals are exposed to a mix of 354 pollutants rather than a single pollutant. This study went beyond just examining multipollutant exposures 355 by also considering SES and racial disparities. This allowed for a more nuanced understanding of 356 environmental health risks and how they intersected with social and ethno-racial factors. Another strength 357 of this study was the use of spatial analysis techniques, such as Moran's I and Local Indicators of Spatial 358 Association, which provided a detailed understanding of the geographic distribution of environmental 359 pollutants and SES indicators. This helped identify hotspots of exposure and vulnerability. Further, the 360 application of K-means clustering to identify vulnerable populations across a profile of environmental 361 pollutants and SES indicators was a novel approach. This can help prioritize areas for intervention and 362 policy action. The use of the Gini coefficient to quantify spatial inequality in environmental pollutant 363 exposures and SES indicators was a significant strength. Another strength was the use of multiple data 364 sources in a localized context. The study's focus on Milwaukee County, Wisconsin, allowed for a detailed 365 examination of environmental, socioeconomic, and racial disparities in a specific geographic context. 366 This can provide valuable insights for local policymakers and stakeholders. Lastly, the study integrated 367 data from multiple sources, including measurements and estimates of pollutants, demographic and 368 socioeconomic data from the US Census Bureau, and data from the Healthy Homes and Lead Poisoning 369 Surveillance system. This allowed for a more comprehensive analysis of environmental exposures and 370 their social determinants using publicly available datasets.

371 In conclusion, this study provided valuable insights into the spatial distribution of environmental

372 pollutant exposure and its association with SES and racial disparities in Milwaukee County. The findings

373 underscore the need for comprehensive interventions that address multipollutant, multidomain, and

374 multimatrix exposures, particularly in communities with low SES and high minority populations. Future

375 research should focus on understanding the health impacts of cumulative exposure to multiple pollutants

- and developing effective strategies to reduce these exposures and mitigate their health effects.
- 377

## 378 5. Data Availability

No new data were generated as part of this work. The BLL data were collected as part of the
Healthy Homes and Lead Poisoning Surveillance system (HHLPSS) overseen by the Wisconsin
Department of Health Services. Household BLL data may be made available after careful consultation
with all co-authors, partners, and stakeholders. The criteria air pollutant data were downloaded from
https://www.caces.us/data, the air toxics data were downloaded from https://www.epa.gov/rsei, and
socioeconomic and demographic data were downloaded from https://data.census.gov/cedsci/.

385

### 386 6. Supporting Information

387 Additional information about the study area, demographic distribution, pairwise correlations, and388 sensitivity to clustering assumptions.

389

## **390 7. Author Contributions**

391 JK, SHJ, and SM designed the study. OO and EC provided the blood lead level data. JK analyzed
392 and visualized the data. JK, EC, and SM wrote the paper with contributions from all co-authors.

393

## 394 8. Acknowledgements

This publication was developed under Assistance Agreement No. R839278 awarded by the U.S.

396 Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to Colorado State University (SM). EPA does not endorse any

397 products or commercial services mentioned in this publication. The views expressed in this article are

those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views or policies of the U.S. EPA. EC

acknowledges support of the JPB Environmental Health Fellowship Award.

400

## 401 References

Adler, N. E., Boyce, W. T., Chesney, M. A., Folkman, S., and Syme, S. L.: Socioeconomic inequalities in
health. No easy solution., 269, 3140–3145, 1993.

404 American Lung Association. State of the Air 2016. Chicago, IL: American Lung Association; 2016.

- 405 Anselin, L.: Local Indicators of Spatial Association—LISA, Geogr. Anal., 27, 93–115,
- 406 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1538-4632.1995.tb00338.x, 1995.
- 407 Apte, J. S., Brauer, M., Cohen, A. J., Ezzati, M., & Pope III, C. A.: Ambient PM2. 5 reduces global and
- 408 regional life expectancy, Environmental Science & Technology Letters, 5(9), 546-551,
- 409 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.estlett.8b00360m, 2018.
- 410 Bell, M. L., McDermott, A., Zeger, S. L., Samet, J. M., and Dominici, F.: Ozone and short-term mortality
- 411 in 95 US urban communities, 1987-2000., 292, 2372–2378, https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.292.19.2372,
  412 2004.
- 413 Benka-Coker, W., Hoskovec, L., Severson, R., Balmes, J., Wilson, A., and Magzamen, S.: The joint
- 414 effect of ambient air pollution and agricultural pesticide exposures on lung function among children with
- 415 asthma, Environ. Res., 190, 109903, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2020.109903, 2020.
- 416 Cantor, A.G., Hendrickson, R., Blazina, I., Griffin, J., Grusing, S. and McDonagh, M.S.: Screening for
- 417 elevated blood lead levels in childhood and pregnancy: updated evidence report and systematic review for
- 418 the US Preventive Services Task Force. JAMA, 321(15), pp.1510-1526, doi:10.1001/jama.2019.1004,
- **419** 2019.
- 420 Clark, L. P., Millet, D. B., and Marshall, J. D.: National Patterns in Environmental Injustice and
- 421 Inequality: Outdoor NO2 Air Pollution in the United States, PLoS One, 9, e94431, 2014.
- 422 Dockery, D. W., Pope, C. A., Xu, X., Spengler, J. D., Ware, J. H., Fay, M. E., Ferris, B. G., and Speizer,
- F. E.: An Association between Air Pollution and Mortality in Six U.S. Cities, N. Engl. J. Med., 329,
  1753–1759, https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJM199312093292401, 1993.
- 425 Environmental Protection Agency, https://gispub.epa.gov/air/trendsreport/2023/#home, Last Accessed:
  426 August 14, 2023a.
- 427 Environmental Protection Agency, https://www.epa.gov/toxics-release-inventory-tri-program, Last
  428 Accessed: August 14, 2023b.
- 429 Environmental Protection Agency, https://www.epa.gov/rsei, Last Accessed: August 14, 2023c.
- 430 Faust, J., August, L., Alexeeff, G., Bangia, K., Cendak, R., Cheung-Sutton, E., Cushing, L., Galaviz, V.,
- 431 Kadir, T., Leichty, J. and Milanes, C.: California Communities Environmental Health Screening Tool,
- 432 Version 2.0 (CalEnviroScreen 2.0): Guidance and Screening Tool. Office of Environmental Health
- 433 Hazard Assessment, 2014.
- Gini, C.: On the measure of concentration with special reference to income and statistics. Colorado
  College Publication, General Series 208.1 (1936): 73-79.
- Hill, T. D., Graham, L. M., and Divgi, V.: Racial Disparities in Pediatric Asthma: A Review of the
  Literature, Curr. Allergy Asthma Rep., 11, 85–90, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11882-010-0159-2, 2011.
- 438 Holtrop, T.G., Yee, H.Y., Simpson, P.M. and Kauffman, R.E.: A community outreach lead screening
- program using capillary blood collected on filter paper. Archives of pediatrics & adolescent medicine,
  152(5), pp.455-458, doi: 10.1001/archpedi.152.5.455, 1998.
- Isaacs, S. L. and Schroeder, S. A.: Class the ignored determinant of the nation's health., N. Engl. J.
  Med., 351, 1137–1142, https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMsb040329, 2004.

- J., A. B., J., B. T., and H., W. R.: Socioeconomic and Racial Disparities in Cancer Risk from Air Toxics
  in Maryland, Environ. Health Perspect., 113, 693–699, https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.7609, 2005.
- 445 Jbaily, A., Zhou, X., Liu, J., Lee, T.-H., Kamareddine, L., Verguet, S., and Dominici, F.: Air pollution
- 446 exposure disparities across US population and income groups, Nature, 601, 228–233,
- 447 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-04190-y, 2022.
- 448 Kim, S.-Y., Bechle, M., Hankey, S., Sheppard, L., Szpiro, A. A., and Marshall, J. D.: Concentrations of
- 449 criteria pollutants in the contiguous U.S., 1979 2015: Role of prediction model parsimony in integrated
- 450 empirical geographic regression, PLoS One, 15, e0228535, 2020.
- Levy, J. I., Chemerynski, S. M., and Tuchmann, J. L.: Incorporating concepts of inequality and inequity
  into health benefits analysis, Int. J. Equity Health, 5, 2, https://doi.org/10.1186/1475-9276-5-2, 2006.
- 453 Lynch, J., Smith, G. D., Harper, S., and Hillemeier, M.: Is income inequality a determinant of population
- 454 health? Part 2. U.S. National and regional trends in income inequality and age- and cause-specific
- 455 mortality., Milbank Q., 82, 355–400, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0887-378X.2004.00312.x, 2004.
- 456 Magzamen, S., Havlena, J., and Kanarek, M.: Patterns of Residential Mobility Among Lead Poisoned
- 457 Children in Wisconsin, 19, 2008. Mauderly, J. L. and Samet, J. M.: Is there evidence for synergy among
- 458 air pollutants in causing health effects?, Environ. Health Perspect., 117, 1–6,
- 459 https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.11654, 2009.
- 460 Martenies, S. E., Allshouse, W. B., Starling, A. P., Ringham, B. M., Glueck, D. H., Adgate, J. L.,
- 461 Dabelea, D., and Magzamen, S.: Combined environmental and social exposures during pregnancy and
- 462 associations with neonatal size and body composition: the Healthy Start study., 3,
- 463 https://doi.org/10.1097/EE9.00000000000043, 2019.
- 464 Martenies, S. E., Hoskovec, L., Wilson, A., Moore, B. F., Starling, A. P., Allshouse, W. B., Adgate, J. L.,
- 465 Dabelea, D., and Magzamen, S.: Using non-parametric Bayes shrinkage to assess relationships between
- 466 multiple environmental and social stressors and neonatal size and body composition in the Healthy Start
- 467 cohort., Environ. Health, 21, 111, https://doi.org/10.1186/s12940-022-00934-z, 2022.
- 468 Martenies, S. E., Zhang, M., Corrigan, A. E., Kvit, A., Shields, T., Wheaton, W., Bastain, T. M., Breton,
- 469 C. V, Dabelea, D., Habre, R., Magzamen, S., Padula, A. M., Him, D. A., Camargo, C. A. J., Cowell, W.,
- 470 Croen, L. A., Deoni, S., Everson, T. M., Hartert, T. V, Hipwell, A. E., McEvoy, C. T., Morello-Frosch,
- 471 R., O'Connor, T. G., Petriello, M., Sathyanarayana, S., Stanford, J. B., Woodruff, T. J., Wright, R. J., and
- 472 Kress, A. M.: Associations between combined exposure to environmental hazards and social stressors at
- the neighborhood level and individual perinatal outcomes in the ECHO-wide cohort., Health Place, 76,
- 474 102858, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.healthplace.2022.102858, 2022.
- 475 Miller, K. A., Siscovick, D. S., Sheppard, L., Shepherd, K., Sullivan, J. H., Anderson, G. L., and
- 476 Kaufman, J. D.: Long-Term Exposure to Air Pollution and Incidence of Cardiovascular Events in
- 477 Women, N. Engl. J. Med., 356, 447–458, https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa054409, 2007.
- 478 Molitor, J., Su, J. G., Molitor, N.-T., Rubio, V. G., Richardson, S., Hastie, D., Morello-Frosch, R., and
- 479 Jerrett, M.: Identifying Vulnerable Populations through an Examination of the Association Between
- 480 Multipollutant Profiles and Poverty, Environ. Sci. Technol., 45, 7754–7760,
- 481 https://doi.org/10.1021/es104017x, 2011.
- 482 Moran, P. A. P.: The Interpretation of Statistical Maps, J. R. Stat. Soc. Ser. B, 10, 243–251, 1948.

- 483 Morello-Frosch, R. and Jesdale, B. M.: Separate and Unequal: Residential Segregation and Estimated
- 484 Cancer Risks Associated with Ambient Air Toxics in U.S. Metropolitan Areas, Environ. Health Perspect.,
  485 114, 386–393, https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.8500, 2006.
- 486 O'Neill, M. S., Jerrett, M., Kawachi, I., Levy, J. I., Cohen, A. J., Gouveia, N., Wilkinson, P., Fletcher, T.,
- 487 Cifuentes, L., and Schwartz, J.: Health, wealth, and air pollution: advancing theory and methods.,
  488 Environ. Health Perspect., 111, 1861–1870, https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.6334, 2003.
- +00 Environ. Treatmin respect., 111, 1801–1870, https://doi.org/10.1289/enp.0554, 2005.
- 489 Parsons, P.J., Raciti, K. and Esernio-Jenssen, D.: Evaluation and improvement of sample collection
- 490 procedures for the determination of blood lead. Third semi-annual report to the Center for Environmental
- 491 Health and Injury Control, 1993.
- 492 Rey, S. J. and Anselin, L.: PySAL: A Python library of spatial analytical methods, in: Handbook of
  493 applied spatial analysis, Springer, 175–193, 2010.
- 494 Sargent, J.D. and Dalton, M.A.: Rethinking the threshold for an abnormal capillary blood lead screening
- 495 test. Archives of pediatrics & adolescent medicine, 150(10), pp.1084-1088,
- 496 doi:10.1001/archpedi.1996.02170350086015, 1996.
- Schlenker, T.L., Fritz, C.J., Mark, D., Layde, M., Linke, G., Murphy, A. and Matte, T.: Screening for
   pediatric lead poisoning: comparability of simultaneously drawn capillary and venous blood samples.
- 499 Jama, 271(17), pp.1346-1348, doi:10.1001/jama.1994.03510410058033, 1994.
- Southeastern Wisconsin Regional Planning Commission. Fifty-fifth Annual Report. Waukesha, WI:
   Southeastern Wisconsin Regional Planning Commission; 2016.
- 502 Tessum, C. W., Apte, J. S., Goodkind, A. L., Muller, N. Z., Mullins, K. A., Paolella, D. A., Polasky, S.,
- 503 Springer, N. P., Thakrar, S. K., Marshall, J. D., and Hill, J. D.: Inequity in consumption of goods and
- services adds to racial-ethnic disparities in air pollution exposure, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 116, 6001-
- 505 6006, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1818859116, 2019.
- 506 Tessum, C. W., Paolella, D. A., Chambliss, S. E., Apte, J. S., Hill, J. D., and Marshall, J. D.: PM2.5
- 507 polluters disproportionately and systemically affect people of color in the United States, Sci. Adv., 7,
- 508 eabf4491, https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abf4491, 2021.
- US Census Bureau, https://www.census.gov/quickfacts/table/PST045216/00, Last Accessed: August 14,
   2023.
- Wisconsin Department of Health Services: Report on Childhood Lead Poisoning in Wisconsin. Madison,
   WI2016, 2014.
- 513

Table 1. Summary statistics (annual mean, standard deviation as well as the 5<sup>th</sup>, 25<sup>th</sup>, 50<sup>th</sup>, 75<sup>th</sup>, and 95<sup>th</sup> percentile) in 2015 and global spatial autocorrelation (Moran's I) for blood lead levels, criteria air pollutants, air toxins, and socioeconomic indicators across Milwaukee County, Wisconsin. 515

Pollutant	Mean	SD	$5^{th}$	25 <sup>th</sup>	50 <sup>th</sup>	75 <sup>th</sup>	95 <sup>th</sup>	Moran's I	Gini
BLL [µg dL]	2.99	1.18	1.54	2.13	2.73	3.66	5.17	0.51	0.21
CO [ppm]	0.29	0.02	0.25	0.28	0.29	0.31	0.32	0.85	0.04
NO <sub>2</sub> [ppb]	10.1	1.74	6.53	9.13	10.7	11.3	11.9	0.93	0.09
PM <sub>2.5</sub> [µg m <sup>-3</sup> ]	9.17	0.48	8.28	8.88	9.25	9.53	9.83	0.82	0.03
O <sub>3</sub> [ppb]	44.1	0.46	43.2	43.8	44.1	44.4	44.7	0.96	0.01
PM <sub>10</sub> [µg m <sup>-3</sup> ]	17.2	1.32	15.2	16.3	17.1	17.9	19.4	0.61	0.04
SO <sub>2</sub> [ppb]	1.01	0.12	0.8	0.93	1.02	1.10	1.20	0.70	0.07
Air Toxics	4070	3760	1970	2400	3080	4550	7890	0.56	0.32
[µg m <sup>-3</sup> ]									
Unemployed	6.29	6.61	0.00	1.65	4.35	8.51	20.29	0.26	0.53
[%]									
No HS diploma	17.1	13.9	1.42	6.59	13.6	23.6	48.2	0.69	0.44
[%]									
Household	55,000	30,000	20,000	35,000	50,000	68,000	109,000	0.61	0.28
Income [USD]									
Poverty [%]	20.3	17.1	1.27	6.19	15.3	32.0	51.9	0.55	0.46

Variable	Cluster 1	Cluster 2	Cluster 3	
BLL	0.78	0.42	0.28	
CO	0.79	0.47	0.17	
NO <sub>2</sub>	0.67	0.56	0.13	
PM <sub>2.5</sub>	0.46	0.67	0.17	
O <sub>3</sub>	0.21	0.59	0.69	
PM <sub>10</sub>	0.37	0.56	0.54	
$SO_2$	0.48	0.58	0.35	
Air toxics	0.78	0.43	0.27	
% NHW	0.30	0.53	0.72	
% NHB	0.63	0.50	0.33	
% Unemployed	0.62	0.48	0.38	
No high school diploma	0.70	0.46	0.32	
Median Income	0.28	0.54	0.71	
% Below Poverty	0.73	0.45	0.30	

Table 2. The average percentile ranking for blood lead levels, criteria air pollutants, air toxins,
 demographic indicators, and socioeconomic indicators across the three predicted clusters.



523

Figure 1. Annual mean year 2015 values in Milwaukee County, Wisconsin of (a) blood lead levels, (b) CO, (c)  $NO_2$ , (d)  $PM_{2.5}$ , (e)  $O_3$ , (f)  $PM_{10}$ , (g)  $SO_2$ , (h) air toxics as well as socioeconomic factors (i) unemployment rate, (j) percent of the population without a high school diploma, (k) median household income, (l) percent of the population below the poverty line. The green polygon shows the municipal boundary of the city of Milwaukee, Wisconsin.



Figure 2. Statistically significant local clusters of high values (red) and low values (blue) for (a) blood

531 lead levels, (b) CO, (c) NO<sub>2</sub>, (d)  $PM_{2.5}$ , (e)  $O_3$ , (f)  $PM_{10}$ , (g)  $SO_2$ , (h) air toxics, (i) unemployment rate, (j) percent of the population without a high school diploma, (k) median household income, (l) percent of the

532 533 population below the poverty line in Milwaukee County.



535 536

536 Figure 3. (a) Geographic distribution of K-means cluster predictions and distribution of annual mean

values (expressed as a percentile ranking) across the three predicted clusters for (b) blood lead levels, (c)
CO, (d) NO<sub>2</sub>, (e) PM<sub>2.5</sub>, (f) O<sub>3</sub>, (g) PM<sub>10</sub>, (h) SO<sub>2</sub>, (i) air toxics, (j) percent unemployed, (k) percent

539 without a high school diploma, (l) median household income, (m) percent below the federal poverty line,

540 (n) percent of the population identifying as non-Hispanic White, (o) percent of the population identifying

541 as non-Hispanic Black. Environmental pollutants (b-i), SES indicators (j-m), and population racial

542 groups (n-o) are expressed as percentile rankings.

## Supporting Information for: Cumulative Exposures to Environmental and Socioeconomic Risk Factors in Milwaukee County, Wisconsin

John K. Kodros<sup>1#</sup>, Ellison Carter<sup>2</sup>, Oluwatobi Oke<sup>2&</sup>, Ander Wilson<sup>3</sup>, Shantanu H. Jathar<sup>1</sup> and Sheryl Magzamen<sup>4,5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mechanical Engineering, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO, USA

<sup>2</sup>Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO, USA

<sup>3</sup>Department of Statistics, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado, USA

<sup>4</sup>Department of Environmental and Radiological Health Sciences, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO, USA

<sup>5</sup>Department of Epidemiology, Colorado School of Public Health, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO, USA

\*Correspondence to: John K. Kodros (jkkodros.research@gmail.com)

<sup>#</sup>Now at Clarity Movement, Berkeley, CA, USA

<sup>&</sup>Now at Building Energy and Environment Division, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, Maryland, USA.



Figure S1. Study area of Milwaukee County in Wisconsin.



Figure S2. The (a) mean, (b) standard deviation, (c) number of measurements of BLL in CBGs based on household resolution measurements.



Figure S3. Population density in Milwaukee County, Wisconsin.



Figure S4. Pairwise correlations across the environmental and social risk factors in Milwaukee County, Wisconsin.



**Figure S5.** Census block groups identified as hotspots (shown in green) for (a) at least one of BLL, CO, NO<sub>2</sub>, or air toxics and (b) overlapping for BLL, CO, NO<sub>2</sub>, and air toxics.



Figure S6. Alternate numbers of predicted clusters.