Deep Short-term Slow Slip and Tremor in the Manawatu Region, New Zealand

Shannon Lee Fasola¹, Noel McCay Jackson¹, and Charles A. Williams²

¹University of Kansas ²GNS Science

August 8, 2023

Abstract

The Manawatu region experiences deep tremor and long-term SSEs; however tremor is adjacent to, and not co-located with, long-term SSEs. Observations of Episodic tremor and Slip (ETS) elsewhere suggest it is possible smaller short-term SSEs below the current detection threshold occur where tremor is observed. Therefore, we sought to determine if small SSEs occurred with Manawau tremor. We decomposed GNSS data using times of tremor to assess average surface displacements and performed a static slip inversion to model the displacement during tremor. The slip inversion suggested small slow slip partially coincided with tremor and long-term SSEs may influence these small SSEs by increasing slip rates. We suggest that the interface below deep long-term SSEs may slip often, in small ETS-like SSEs that are not individually detectable geodetically. The question remains as to the nature of the strong variability in SSE behavior with depth and duration in the southern Hikauangi margin.

Hosted file

968428_0_art_file_11205447_ry1yfb.docx available at https://authorea.com/users/641746/ articles/655872-deep-short-term-slow-slip-and-tremor-in-the-manawatu-region-new-zealand

1 2	Deep Short-term Slow Slip and Tremor in the Manawatu Region, New Zealand						
3	Shannon L. Fasola ¹ , Noel M. Jackson ¹ , and Charles A. Williams ²						
4	¹ Department of Geology, University of Kansas, Lawrence, KS.						
5	² GNS Science, Lower Hutt, New Zealand.						
6	Corresponding author: Shannon Fasola (<u>shannon.fasola@ku.edu</u>)						
7	Key Points:						
8	• GNSS data indicates newly detected short-term slow slip in region of deep tremor						
9	• Long-term slow slip events may influence these small slow slip events by increasing slip						
10	rates						
11	• Three types of slow slip overlap along-strike in Hikuragni margin: shallow, short-term;						

12 deep, long-term; deeper, short-term with tremor

13 Abstract

The Manawatu region experiences deep tremor and long-term SSEs; however tremor is adjacent 14 to, and not co-located with, long-term SSEs. Observations of Episodic tremor and Slip (ETS) 15 elsewhere suggest it is possible smaller short-term SSEs below the current detection threshold 16 occur where tremor is observed. Therefore, we sought to determine if small SSEs occurred with 17 Manawau tremor. We decomposed GNSS data using times of tremor to assess average surface 18 displacements and performed a static slip inversion to model the displacement during tremor. 19 20 The slip inversion suggested small slow slip partially coincided with tremor and long-term SSEs may influence these small SSEs by increasing slip rates. We suggest that the interface below 21 deep long-term SSEs may slip often, in small ETS-like SSEs that are not individually detectable 22 geodetically. The question remains as to the nature of the strong variability in SSE behavior with 23 depth and duration in the southern Hikauangi margin. 24

25 Plain Language Summary

In between the Earth's tectonic plates, energy builds over time and can be released along faults 26 suddenly (seconds-minutes; i.e., earthquakes) or slowly (weeks-years; i.e., slow slip events). 27 Slow slip often happens with low-frequency earthquakes (i.e., tectonic tremor). The North 28 Island, New Zealand features two colliding tectonic plates with the potential to generate large 29 earthquakes. The interface between these plates has both deep tectonic tremor and large, long-30 lasting slow slip, but the tectonic tremor is deeper on the fault than the large slow slip. Studies 31 have suggested small, short-lasting slow slip, usually not able to be detected, occur 32 where tectonic tremor is found. In this study we tried a different approach to find the small slow 33 slip. While small slow slip are not detected by themselves, we were able to detect their 34 cumulative effect in the tectonic tremor area. We modeled small slow slip during tectonic tremor 35 to find the mean sliding rate on the fault that is between the tectonic plates. The large long-36 37 lasting slow slip may drive these smaller slow slip by making them slip faster. The question 38 remains as to the cause of the many types of slow slip in New Zealand.

39 **1 Introduction**

40 Tectonic tremor was first detected in the Nankai subduction zone in southwest Japan
41 (Obara, 2002) and has since been discovered in several subduction zones around the world.

Tectonic tremor consists of low-level seismic vibrations representing a swarm of low-frequency 42 earthquakes (Brown et al., 2009; Ide et al., 2007; Shelly et al., 2006, 2007). Tremor is often 43 accompanied by and thought to be the result of slow slip events (SSEs; Bartlow et al., 2011; 44 Shelly et al., 2006; Wech & Creager, 2007). As a result, tremor is thought to be a proxy for slow 45 slip, and hence can be used to track and better understand SSEs. These aseismic ruptures occur 46 on the plate interface in the frictional transition zone from stick-slip to stable sliding (Beroza & 47 Ide, 2011; Dragert et al., 2001) or in zones of high pore fluid pressure and low effective stress 48 (e.g. Gao & Wang, 2017; Hyndman et al., 2015). While the amount of strain released from 49 tremor is relatively small, SSEs are capable of releasing as much strain along the plate interface 50 as M 7+ earthquakes (e.g., Radiguet et al., 2012). There have also been cases where SSEs have 51 been found to precede large megathrust earthquakes (e.g., Graham et al., 2014; Kato et al., 2012; 52 Ruiz et al., 2014). Therefore, understanding the behavior of slow slip and tremor is valuable for 53 estimating its potential impact on the seismic budget (e.g., Obara & Kato, 2016; Radiguet et al., 54 2016) and potential for triggering future earthquakes. 55

56 There are a variety of scenarios in which tremor occurs with slow slip. Tremor that spatiotemporally correlates with short-term SSEs is referred to as "episodic tremor and slip" 57 (ETS) which is prominent in the Nankai and Cascadia subduction zones at depths of 25-45 km 58 (Obara et al., 2004; Rogers & Dragert, 2003). Tremor is not always co-located with SSEs, but is 59 60 instead found offset downdip from the region of slow slip as in the Bungo Channel in Japan and Costa Rica (Brown et al., 2009; Hirose et al., 2010). Bursts of tremor co-located with or near the 61 down-dip limit of long-term SSEs have also been detected in Mexico, Alaska, and Japan, with a 62 higher frequency of tremors during long-term SSEs (Frank et al., 2018; Hirose et al., 2010; 63 Rousset et al., 2019). Frank et al. (2018) and Rousset et al. (2019) both suggested that long-term 64 SSEs were actually composed of a cluster of short ETS-like events while Rousset et al. (2019) 65 also proposed the long-term SSE may have occurred updip from a cluster of short ETS-like 66 events. The difference in the variability in tremor behavior within and between subduction zones 67 is not well understood. Therefore, it is important to study tremor and slow slip in as many 68 regions as possible to evaluate the full range of behaviors. 69

The North Island of New Zealand along the Hikurangi margin provides an excellent
opportunity to further investigate the wide range of tremor and slow slip behaviors. New Zealand
has both shallow, short-term SSEs and deep, mid- to long-term SSEs. See Wallace (2020) for a



73 Figure 1. a. Slow slip and tectonic tremor for North Island, New Zealand. Cumulative slip (mm) 74 for 2002-2014 in Kapiti, Manawatu and East Coast SSEs (solid line; Wallace, 2020), 2006 and 75 76 2008 Kaimanawa SSEs (dashed line; Wallace, 2020), 2011 Cape Turnagain SSE (dashed line; Bartlow et al., 2014), and small 2009 SSE (orange dotted line; Wallace, 2020; Wallace, Barnes, 77 et al., 2012). Tectonic tremor (2005-2016) is represented by black dots (Manawatu and Cape 78 Turnagain: Romanet & Ide, 2019; Gisborne: Todd et al., 2018). Faults (green lines; Langridge et 79 al., 2016), Pacific-Australia relative plate motion (arrow; Beavan et al., 2002), and study region 80 (blue box) are marked. Abbreviations: Cape Turnagain, CT; Gisborne, GISB; Hawkes Bay, HB; 81 Tolga Bay, TB; Taupo volcanic zone, TVZ. b. Sketch of the tremor and slip dynamics in the 82 Manawatu region. 83

84 detailed review of New Zealand's SSEs. Tremor has been observed with shallow SSEs near

Gisborne and Cape Turnagain (e.g. Romanet & Ide, 2019; Todd et al., 2018) and downdip of

deep SSEs near Manawatu (Romanet & Ide, 2019). However, most SSEs in New Zealand are not 86 accompanied by observed tectonic tremor. Instead, increased rates of seismicity are more 87 commonly associated with SSEs at the Hikurangi margin (e.g., Bartlow et al. 2014; Delahaye et 88 al. 2009; Jacobs et al. 2016; Reyners & Bannister 2007; Shaddox & Schwartz 2019; Yarce et al. 89 2019). Wallace (2020) suggested the difference in the more dominant seismic signature could 90 reflect the thermal structure of the subduction zone (Yabe et al., 2014), frictional heterogeneities 91 in the region of slow slip (Wallace, Barnes, et al. 2012), and attenuation within the upper plate 92 that would impact the ability of tremor to be recorded at the surface (Todd & Schwartz, 2016). 93

In this study, we focused on the deep portion of the central Hikurangi margin where large 94 (M_w 6.9-7.2), long-term (1-2 year) Manawatu SSEs and small (M_w 6.6), mid-term (couple 95 months) Kaimanawa SSEs occur at similar depth but are adjacent to each other along-strike 96 97 (Figure 1) (Wallace, 2020). Tremor occurs down dip from the Manawatu and Kaimanawa SSEs 98 and both during and in between times of SSEs (Romanet & Ide, 2019). Observations of ETS elsewhere in the world and more frequent tremor episodes relative to the mid- to long-term deep 99 100 SSEs at the Hikurangi margin suggest it is possible smaller short-term SSEs below the current geodetic network detection threshold also occur in the area of observed tremor. This would 101 indicate SSEs occur at three different depth ranges in the central Hikurangi margin. Therefore, 102 we sought to further investigate tremor in the Manawatu region to determine if a detectable 103 104 geodetic signal can be identified with decomposition, in which we stacked tremor displacement offsets on GNSS time series and calculated a time-averaged displacement rate. Time-averaged 105 displacement rates during tremor periods were then compared with displacement rates outside of 106 tremor periods and inverted to obtain a model of slip rate on the plate interface associated with 107 tremor. It is valuable to understand how much of the seismic budget is being released 108 aseismically and to what degree, if any, do the different types of SSEs impact each other. This 109 study seeks to build on the understanding of the already complex nature of SSEs and tremor at 110 the Hikurangi margin. 111

112 **2 Data and Methods**

For this study we used daily time-series solutions from continuous GNSS stations from the GeoNet network on the North Island (GNS Science, 2000). GNSS time series were referenced to the fixed Australian plate, and outliers and offsets due to antenna changes and 116 earthquakes were removed. Time series were regionally filtered by removing a common mode

signal (Figure S1). See supporting information for more details on post-processing of the time

118 series.



119

Figure 2. Decomposition of the East components of the entire time series for stations whose decompositions were found to be robust. Red and blue curves indicate cumulative offsets during tremor and inter-tremor periods, respectively. Values represent velocities from a best-fit line and 1σ uncertainties obtained from random resampling. See Figure S3 for east and north components for all stations used in the inversion.

We decomposed the GNSS time series in the Manawatu region based on times of tremor following the methods of Rousset et al. (2019). We used the tremor catalog from Romanet and Ide (2019) which spans from 2005 through 2016 and consists of 354 events in the Manawatu

region. Therefore, time series used for decomposition ranged from 2005, or the start of station 128 recording, until the day before the 14 November 2016 M_W 7.8 Kaikoura earthquake in order to 129 avoid signals from the earthquake. Twelve GNSS stations surrounding the Manawatu tremor 130 were used for decomposition which had no large gaps in data and were recording for at least two 131 deep, mid- to long-term SSEs. We acknowledge that there are stations near the tremor that were 132 not used for decomposition (Figure S1d). It is important to note that part of this region overlaps 133 with the Taupo volcanic zone and there are multiple stations directly on volcanoes. Thus, we 134 sought to limit the use of volcanic stations to avoid any volcanic signals. 135

Tremor was grouped into clusters to identify bursts of tremor which are believed to 136 represent transient slip (Frank et al., 2018; Hawthorne & Rubin, 2013; Villafuerte & Cruz-137 Atienza, 2017). As in Rousset et al. (2019), we used daily counts of tremor detections and 138 grouped them into clusters based on a 22-day minimum inter-cluster duration and minimum 139 number of 5 events per cluster (Figure S2). Due to the smaller tremor catalog relative to those in 140 other studies applying the decomposition method, we first utilized every tremor burst when 141 142 decomposing the GNSS time series without distinguishing whether the burst occurred during or in between identified deep SSEs. For each tremor cluster, we estimated the corresponding 143 displacement in the GNSS time series horizontal components by computing the difference 144 between average positions 10 days after and before each cluster. Displacements and durations of 145 146 each tremor cluster were stacked to produce a time series of cumulative displacement increments for both horizontal components on each station (Figure 2, S3). Similarly, cumulative 147 displacement increments during the inter-tremor period (i.e., times of no tremor) were measured. 148 We performed a linear regression to the tremor and inter-tremor displacement time series to find 149 time-averaged displacement rates (i.e., time-averaged velocities). Tremor and inter-tremor 150 velocity vectors were plotted to obtain a sense of direction and to confirm that vectors were 151 spatially coherent (Figure 3a). To find the true tremor velocity, we isolated the tremor signal by 152 subtracting the inter-tremor velocity from the velocity during the tremor period (Figure 3b). 153 Essentially, we removed the plate convergence rate, the slip associated with mid- to long-term 154 SSEs but not associated with times of tremor, and any other long-term signals from the tremor 155 156 signal by subtracting out the inter-tremor velocity such that the new tremor velocities were relative to an 'inter-tremor motion' fixed reference. In discussing the results of this study, we 157 will refer to the true tremor velocities in the 'inter-tremor motion' fixed reference frame as 158

simply the 'tremor velocities'. The uncertainty of the velocities was determined by taking the
standard deviation from the population of velocities generated through random resampling of the
displacement increments.

We sought to determine if tremor-associated motions were affected by the deep mid- to 162 long-term SSEs in the Manawatu and Kaimanawa regions. We computed time-averaged tremor 163 and inter-tremor velocities only during the mid- to long-term SSEs via decomposition (Figure 164 S4-S5). The deep SSEs during the time frame analyzed were the 2004-2005, 2010-2011, 2014-165 166 2015 Manawatu SSEs and the 2006, 2008, 2013 Kaimanawa SSEs (Figure S2) (Bartlow et al., 167 2014; Wallace, 2020; Wallace & Beavan, 2010; Wallace & Eberhart-Phillips, 2013). Wallace and Eberhart-Phillips (2013) identified a shallow SSE in February-March 2013, 20 km updip 168 from the 2006 and 2008 Kaimanawa SSEs. However, there may have also been a deep SSE 169 during this time similar to the 2006 and 2008 mid-term Kaimanawa SSEs (personal 170 171 communication with Laura Wallace). Therefore, we included this probable deep 2013 event as a SSE during the decomposition. We also computed time-averaged tremor and inter-tremor 172 173 velocities during the inter-SSEs periods (Figure S6-S7). In order to quantify the robustness of 174 these decompositions with regard to background noise levels, we followed the modified bootstrapping approach of Rousset et al. (2019) (Figures S8-10). See supporting information for 175 more details on determining robustness of the decompositions. 176

177 **3 Results**

The direction of the time-averaged tremor velocities obtained from all clusters for 178 stations closest to the tremor were spatially coherent and oriented southeast consistent with slip 179 on the plate interface (Figure 3b). Tremor velocities were negligible at far-field stations and only 180 significant at stations closest to the Manawatu tremor as we would expect (Figure 3b). This 181 supports that the decomposition method was able to detect a geodetic signal associated with the 182 tremor. With the exception of the stations updip of the tremor, the magnitude of the tremor 183 184 displacement rates was larger than those during the inter-tremor periods suggesting the plate 185 interface below stations within and downdip from the tremor was slipping faster than during times of no tremor. Time-averaged tremor surface displacement rates ranged from 3-7 mm/yr. 186



Figure 3. Time-averaged displacement rate and slip rate for all tremor bursts. a) Displacement rate of tremor (red arrows) and inter-tremor periods (blue arrows) with 1σ uncertainties are shown. GeoNet GNSS station names are in purple. b) A zoomed out view of true tremor displacement rates with the 'inter-tremor motion' fixed (yellow arrows) and 1σ uncertainty. c) Comparison of the true tremor displacement rates (yellow arrows) and modeled tremor displacement rates (black arrows). d) Time-averaged slip rate during tremor bursts as estimated by static slip inversion.

195 For tremor that occurred during the deep, mid- to long-term Manawatu and Kaimanawa SSEs, we also saw a coherent signal among stations closest to the tremor with all but two stations 196 197 oriented towards the trench (Figure S5). The magnitude of tremor displacement rates were larger than those when considering the entire tremor catalog suggesting a potential influence of the 198 deep SSEs. Tremor displacement rates during deep SSEs ranged from 5-10 mm/yr. The tremor 199 signal was not as spatially coherent for tremor during the inter-SSE period and was very small 200 (1-4 mm/yr) yet still visible at some stations (Figure S7). The lack of a spatially coherent tremor 201 signal during the inter-SSE period is likely a result of the weak robustness of the decomposition 202 for the inter-SSE period (Figure S10). 203

204 **3.1 Static Slip Inversion**

205 We used a weighted, Laplacian smoothed, nonnegative least squares inversion with heterogeneous Green's functions to invert the time-averaged tremor velocities (Figure 3) for 206 tremor-associated slip rate on the plate interface. We used a triangular mesh to represent the fault 207 surface, using the Hikurangi fault geometry of Williams et al. (2013). We embedded the fault 208 geometry within a tetrahedral volume mesh and used the finite element code PyLith (Aagaard et 209 al., 2013, 2017a, 2017b) to generate Green's functions for the observation sites. By using PyLith 210 to generate our Green's functions, we were able to account for elastic heterogeneity using the 211 New Zealand-wide seismic velocity model (Eberhart-Phillips et al., 2010; Eberhart-Phillips & 212 Bannister, 2015; Eberhart-Phillips & Reyners, 2012; Reyners et al., 2014). As shown by 213 Williams and Wallace (2015, 2018), accounting for elastic heterogeneity typically has a large 214 effect on slip; for deep events, accounting for elastic heterogeneity decreases estimated slip by 215 about 20%. Slip direction was specified for each triangle using the tectonic block model of 216 Wallace, Beavan et al. (2012). 217

Both the data and Green's functions were weighted with the inverse of the tremor velocity uncertainties. Smoothing was applied by appending a discrete Laplacian matrix onto the Green's function matrix and zeros to the data vector. The Laplacian matrix was scaled by the Green's function amplitudes and multiplied by a smoothing parameter of 16, selected by visual inspection of an L-curve (Figure S11). MATLAB's nonnegative least squares function (lsqnonneg) was used to impose nonnegativity, preventing reversal of slip of the plate interface. For specifics on the inversion, see Bartlow (2020). The resulting slip rate estimates should be considered timeaveraged estimates of tremor slip rate, which are not applicable to a single tremor cluster. The time-averaged tremor velocities during SSE and inter-SSE periods were also inverted. We calculated a total moment rate for each of the three modeled slip rate solutions and estimated the average moment per tremor burst (Table S1). For details on the moment rate calculation, see the supplement.

Inverting the time-averaged tremor displacement rates considering all tremor clusters 230 revealed tremor-associated slip co-located with the tremor and in the Manawatu and Kaimanawa 231 232 SSE source regions (Figure 3d). Maximum slip rates were 45-50 mm/yr and updip from the region of tremor, coinciding with the downdip edge of the Kaimanawa SSEs. There was a second 233 slip rate maximum, ~40 mm/yr, which coincided with the Manawatu SSE source region. Slip 234 rates in the tremor region were 10-30 mm/yr. The total moment rate for the entire slip area was 235 2.4×10^{19} Nm/yr, while the average moment per tremor burst was 1.5×10^{18} Nm equivalent to a 236 237 M_w 5.0.

Decomposition for the SSE period revealed periods of fast slip associated with the tremor. Tremor slip rates during the deep SSEs also showed two maximum slip patches updip from the region of tremor coinciding with the Kaimanawa (70-80 mm/yr) and Manawatu (~55 mm/yr) SSEs (Figure S5). The maximum tremor slip rate coinciding with the Kaimanawa SSEs was nearly twice as large during SSEs than when not distinguishing times of SSEs. Slip rates in the tremor region were 15-40 mm/yr. The total moment rate was 3.4×10^{19} Nm/yr, while the average moment per tremor burst was 3.0×10^{18} Nm, equivalent to a M_w 5.2.

Results from decomposition of the inter-SSE period were less conclusive due to more 245 stations being less robust. This meant at most stations (9/12 for the east component) the velocity 246 during tremor could not be distinguished from the inter-tremor period with less than a 1σ 247 difference (Figure S10). Since there were still a few stations with at least a 1σ difference, we ran 248 the inversion. Interestingly, the model produced a single slip patch near the tremor source region 249 (max of ~25 mm/yr) and no slip patch in the Manawatu or Kaimanawa SSE regions, albeit there 250 was a poor fit with the model (Figure S7). Slip rates in the tremor region were 5-20 mm/yr. The 251 total moment rate was 9.4 x 10^{18} Nm/yr, and the average moment per tremor burst was 4.4 x 10^{17} 252 Nm, equivalent to a $M_W 4.6$. 253

4 Small, short-term ETS-like events at deep, central Hikurangi margin

In this study we detected another type of slow slip in this segment of the Hikurangi margin associated with the deep tremor, which we interpret as ETS-like events, a new observation of slow slip for the Hikurangi margin. Our findings support the general idea that seismically observed tectonic tremor can be used to infer the existence of slow slip.

The slip inversion indicated slip on the plate interface in the region of tremor, but 259 maximum slip rates were updip of the tremor and overlapping with the downdip end of the 260 Kaimanawa and Manawatu SSE source regions. This slip was only detectable when tremor 261 262 occurred during mid- to long-term SSEs, implying that the ETS-like slip associated with tremor is also accompanied by an acceleration of the updip larger SSE. Our data were well-fit with the 263 assumption that slip occurs on the plate interface, but short-term SSEs on structures other than 264 the plate interface cannot be ruled out. While we are confident that the decomposition revealed a 265 geodetic signal associated with the tremor, our ability to interpret the location of slip relative to 266 267 the tremor was limited. The location of our model was not well-constrained, and there were uncertainties with tremor locations such that we cannot rule out that the tremor and short-term 268 269 SSEs were indeed co-located. There were a limited number of stations we were able to use up dip of the tremor as those stations included signals from the shallow east coast SSEs. In addition, 270 there were no stations directly on top of the tremor due to the terrain in the region nor were there 271 enough long running stations north of the tremor. Romanet and Ide (2019) noted the relative 272 273 location of the tremor may not be accurate as they found a standard deviation of 0.15° longitude and 0.12° latitude for the difference between their locations of earthquakes and the locations 274 given by the GeoNet catalog. Even though the exact location of the deep short-term SSEs 275 relative to the tremor was not fully resolvable at this time, the geodetic signal associated with the 276 tremor was coherent across several stations near the tremor and negligible at far-field stations 277 suggesting that this was a real geodetic signal. 278

In seeking to characterize the ETS-like events during versus in between the mid- to longterm SSEs, we concluded that short-term ETS-like events could robustly be detected during the mid- to long-term SSEs but those during the inter-SSE periods could not. Decomposition of the inter-SSE time series indicated all but four stations had tremor velocities less than 1σ uncertainty from the mean velocity generated through random decompositions. We suspect this to be a result of too small of a SSE to be detected at all stations even through the decomposition method. However, we speculate that tremor during the inter-SSE periods were occurring with small ETS- like SSEs. Equivalent moment magnitudes of an average tremor burst for each of the three regimes were a good justification for why we can't detect these small short-term ETS-like SSEs individually as they are expected to be below the geodetic detection threshold. However, we demonstrated that decomposition with respect to times of tremor effectively stacks multiple events and served as a method for identification and estimation of size for ETS-like events below the geodetic detection threshold.

To support the fact that the geodetic signal we observed was not simply the mid- to long-292 293 term SSE signal, decomposition of the time series during the mid- to long-term SSEs showed displacement rates during tremor were higher than during the SSEs without tremor. Therefore, 294 mid- to long-term SSEs may influence deep short-term SSEs by increasing slip rates for which 295 there are two equivalent interpretations. Under one interpretation, faster slip-rates of ETS-like 296 297 events during SSEs are driven by the stress shadow effect created by the larger SSEs updip. The 298 SSE that is updip from the tremor will slip faster relatively, generating a stress shadow downdip which will increase the shear stress in the source region of the tremor, hence increasing the rate 299 300 of tremor failure compared to when mid- to long-term SSEs are not occuring (Frank et al., 2018). The ETS-like slip in turn will add shear stress to the updip SSE region, accelerating ongoing slip 301 there, which may explain our observed tremor-associated slip in the updip SSE regions during 302 the SSE periods (Figure S5). This interpretation is illustrated in Figure 1b. With this 303 304 understanding, it makes sense that the inter-SSE periods have smaller tremor-associated slip and no slip in the mid- to long-term SSE zones, albeit these results were not robust. Alternatively, we 305 can interpret that the mid- to long-term SSEs merely fluctuate and move in and out of the tremor-306 generating region, generating tremor only when they enter this region, which tends to be when 307 the slip rate is higher in the adjacent updip region. These interpretations can be seen as 308 essentially equivalent during the mid- to long-term SSEs. However, we interpret the occurrence 309 of tremor outside the times of mid- to long-term SSEs as evidence for the first interpretation. 310

311 **5 Conclusions**

This study identified and modeled a geodetic signal associated with deep tectonic tremor. We found the plate interface below the deep mid- to long-term SSEs in Manawatu and Kaimanawa regions may slip often, in small, short-term SSEs with tremor, which we refer to as ETS-like (Figure 1b). This is a new observation of slow slip behavior in New Zealand which

implies that there are three types of slow slip that overlap along-strike, 1) shallow, short-term 316 SSEs, 2) deep, mid- to long-term SSEs, and 3) deeper, short-term ETS-like SSEs with tremor. In 317 addition, the mid- to long-term SSEs may influence the deep, short-term ETS-like events by 318 increasing slip rates, and the ETS-like events in turn may increase slip rates in mid-to-long term 319 SSEs. Similar observations of deep, small, short-term SSEs co-located with tremor and long-320 term SSEs located updip from tremor have been observed in Japan, Mexico and Alaska (Frank et 321 al., 2018; Hirose & Obara, 2005; Hirose et al., 2010; Rousset et al., 2019). However, the 322 Hikurangi margin also has shallow, short-term SSEs which may be separated from the region of 323 mid- to long-term SSEs by a region of creeping (Wallace, 2020). The nature of the strong 324 variabilities in SSE depths and durations in the central Hikurangi margin is still not well 325 understood. 326

The decomposition method relies on a catalog of tremor to ensure proper identification of 327 start and end times of tremor bursts which are subsequently used for measuring displacement 328 increments and tremor-associated velocities. Tremor is difficult to detect in New Zealand as the 329 330 high rates of seismicity make automatic detection and location of tremor challenging, and we expect that the tremor catalog is incomplete (Romanet & Ide, 2019). We do not know if tremor 331 bursts were missed or if apparent durations are a mis-representation of the true duration due to 332 too few events able to be detected. Therefore, the tremor catalog is one caveat to this method and 333 334 results from this study are meant to be an estimation of average tremor velocities and slip rates. As network coverage, tremor detection methods, and detection of low-frequency earthquakes 335 improve in New Zealand, the spatiotemporal relationship between deep, ETS-like events and 336 mid- to long-term SSEs can be better understood. 337

338 Acknowledgments

This work was supported by departmental funds from the University of Kansas Geology
Department. The authors thank Dr. Laura Wallace for her insightful discussions which benefited
this study. The authors also thank graphic designer Hailey Stepanek for helping make Figure 1b.

342 Data Availability Statement

343 Daily time-series solutions from continuous GNSS stations can be obtained from the GeoNet

Aotearoa New Zealand Continuous GNSS Network (<u>https://doi.org/10.21420/30F4-1A55</u>).

- Timing of the offsets were obtained from the University of Nevada-Reno steps database
- 346 (http://geodesy.unr.edu/NGLStationPages/steps.txt). The tectonic tremor catalog from Romanet
- and Ide (2019) is available via <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s40623-019-1039-1</u>

348 **References**

- Aagaard, B. T., Knepley, M. G., & Williams, C. A. (2013). A domain decomposition approach to
- implementing fault slip in finite-element models of quasi-static and dynamic crustal
- deformation. Journal of Geophysical Research Solid Earth, 118, 3059–3079.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/jgrb.50217
- Aagaard, B. T., Knepley, M. G., & Williams, C. A. (2017a). PyLith v2.2.1, Computational
- Infrastructure for Geodynamics. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.886600, Retrieved from
 https://geodynamics.org/cig/software/pylith/
- Aagaard, B. T., Knepley, M. G., & Williams, C. A. (2017b). PyLith user manual, version 2.2.0,
- Computational Infrastructure for Geodynamics, Davis, CA. Retrieved from
 https://geodynamics.org/cig/software/github/pylith/v2.2.0/pylith-2.2.0 manual.pdf
- Altamimi, Z., Métivier, L., & Collilieux, X. (2012). ITRF2008 plate motion model. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, *117*(B7). <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JB008930</u>
- Bartlow, N. M. (2020). A long-term view of episodic tremor and slip in Cascadia. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 47(3), e2019GL085303. <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GL085303</u>
- 363 Bartlow, N. M., Miyazaki, S. I., Bradley, A. M., & Segall, P. (2011). Space-time correlation of
- 364 slip and tremor during the 2009 Cascadia slow slip event. *Geophysical Research Letters*,
- 365 *38*(18). <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2011GL048714</u>
- 366 Bartlow, N. M., Wallace, L. M., Beavan, R. J., Bannister, S., & Segall, P. (2014). Time-
- 367 dependent modeling of slow slip events and associated seismicity and tremor at the
- 368 Hikurangi subduction zone, New Zealand. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth,
- 369 *119*(1), 734–753. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JB010609</u>
- Beavan, J., Tregoning, P., Bevis, M., Kato, T., & Meertens, C. (2002). Motion and rigidity of the
- 371 Pacific Plate and implications for plate boundary deformation. *Journal of Geophysical*
- 372 *Research: Solid Earth*, *107*(B10), ETG-19. <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JB000282</u>

- Beroza, G. C., & Ide, S. (2011). Slow earthquakes and nonvolcanic tremor. *Annual review of*
- 374 *Earth and planetary sciences*, *39*, 271–296. <u>https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-earth-040809-</u>
 375 <u>152531</u>
- Brown, J. R., Beroza, G. C., Ide, S., Ohta, K., Shelly, D. R., Schwartz, S. Y., et al. (2009). Deep
 low-frequency earthquakes in tremor localize to the plate interface in multiple subduction
- zones. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *36*(19). https://doi.org/10.1029/2009GL040027
- 379 Delahaye, E. J., Townend, J., Reyners, M. E., & Rogers, G. (2009). Microseismicity but no
- tremor accompanying slow slip in the Hikurangi subduction zone, New Zealand. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 277(1-2), 21–28. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2008.09.038
- 382 Dragert, H., Wang, K., & James, T. S. (2001). A silent slip event on the deeper Cascadia
- subduction interface. *Science*, *292*(5521), 1525–1528.
- 384 <u>https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1060152</u>
- Eberhart-Phillips, D., & Bannister, S. (2015). 3-D imaging of the northern Hikurangi subduction
 zone, New Zealand: Variations in subducted sediment, slab fluids and slow slip.
- 387 *Geophysical Journal International*, 201(2), 838–855. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggv057</u>
- Eberhart-Phillips, D., & Reyners, M. (2012). Imaging the Hikurangi plate interface region with
- improved local-earthquake tomography. *Geophysical Journal International*, 190(2), 1221–
 1242. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246X.2012.05553.x</u>
- 391 Eberhart-Phillips, D., Reyners, M., Bannister, S., Chadwick, M., & Ellis, S. (2010). Establishing
- a versatile 3-D seismic velocity model for New Zealand. Seismological Research Letters,
 81(6), 992–1000. https://doi.org/10.1785/gssrl.81.6.992
- Frank, W. B., Rousset, B., Lasserre, C., & Campillo, M. (2018). Revealing the cluster of slow
 transients behind a large slow slip event. *Science advances*, 4(5), eaat0661.
- 396 https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aat0661
- 397 Gao, X., & Wang, K. (2017). Rheological separation of the megathrust seismogenic zone and
- 398 episodic tremor and slip. *Nature*, *543*(7645), 416–419. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nature21389</u>
- GNS Science. (2000). GeoNet Aotearoa New Zealand Continuous GNSS Network Time Series
 Dataset [Data set]. GNS Science, GeoNet. https://doi.org/10.21420/30F4-1A55
- 401 Graham, S. E., DeMets, C., Cabral-Cano, E., Kostoglodov, V., Walpersdorf, A., Cotte, N., et al.,
- 402 (2014). GPS constraints on the 2011–2012 Oaxaca slow slip event that preceded the 2012

- 403 March 20 Ometepec earthquake, southern Mexico. *Geophysical Journal International*,
- 404 *197*(3), 1593–1607. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggu019</u>
- Hawthorne, J. C., & Rubin, A. M. (2013). Short-time scale correlation between slow slip and
 tremor in Cascadia. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, *118*(3), 1316–1329.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/jgrb.50103
- Hirose, H., Y. Asano, K. Obara, T. Kimura, T. Matsuzawa, S. Tanaka, and T. Maeda (2010).
 Slow earthquakes linked along dip in the Nankai subduction zone. *Science*, *330*, 1502.
 https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1197102
- 411 Hirose, H., & Obara, K. (2005). Repeating short-and long-term slow slip events with deep tremor
- 412 activity around the Bungo channel region, southwest Japan. *Earth, planets and space*,
- 413 57(10), 961–972. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/BF03351875</u>
- 414 Hyndman, R. D., McCrory, P. A., Wech, A., Kao, H., & Ague, J. (2015). Cascadia subducting
- 415 plate fluids channelled to fore-arc mantle corner: ETS and silica deposition. *Journal of*

416 *Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, *120*(6), 4344–4358.

- 417 https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JB011920
- Ide, S., Shelly, D. R., & Beroza, G. C. (2007). Mechanism of deep low frequency earthquakes:
- Further evidence that deep non-volcanic tremor is generated by shear slip on the plate interface. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *34*(3). https://doi.org/10.1029/2006GL028890
- 421 Jacobs, K. M., Savage, M. K., & Smith, E. C. G. (2016). Quantifying seismicity associated with
- slow slip events in the Hikurangi margin, New Zealand. New Zealand Journal of Geology
 and Geophysics, 59(1), 58–69. https://doi.org/10.1080/00288306.2015.1127827
- Kato, A., Obara, K., Igarashi, T., Tsuruoka, H., Nakagawa, S., & Hirata, N. (2012). Propagation
 of slow slip leading up to the 2011 Mw 9.0 Tohoku-Oki earthquake. *Science*, *335*(6069),
- 426 705–708. <u>https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1215141</u>.
- 427 Langridge, R. M., Ries, W. F., Litchfield, N. J., Villamor, P., Van Dissen, R. J., Barrell, D. J. A.,
- et al., (2016). The New Zealand Active Faults Database. *New Zealand Journal of Geology and Geophysics*, 59, 86–96. https://doi.org/0.1080/00288306.2015.1112818
- 430 Obara, K. (2002). Nonvolcanic deep tremor associated with subduction in southwest Japan.
- 431 *Science*, *296*(5573), 1679–1681. <u>https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1070378</u>

- 432 Obara, K., Hirose, H., Yamamizu, F., & Kasahara, K. (2004). Episodic slow slip events
- 433 accompanied by non-volcanic tremors in southwest Japan subduction zone. *Geophysical* 434 *Research Letters*, *31*(23). https://doi.org/10.1029/2004GL020848
- 435 Obara, K., & Kato, A. (2016). Connecting slow earthquakes to huge earthquakes. *Science*,
 436 353(6296), 253–257. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaf1512
- 437 Radiguet, M., Cotton, F., Vergnolle, M., Campillo, M., Walpersdorf, A., Cotte, N., &
- 438 Kostoglodov, V. (2012). Slow slip events and strain accumulation in the Guerrero gap,
- 439 Mexico. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 117(B4).
- 440 <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JB008801</u>
- 441 Radiguet, M., Perfettini, H., Cotte, N., Gualandi, A., Valette, B., Kostoglodov, V., et al. (2016).
- Triggering of the 2014 M w 7.3 Papanoa earthquake by a slow slip event in Guerrero,
- 443 Mexico. *Nature Geoscience*, 9(11), 829–833. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2817</u>
- 444 Reyners, M., & Bannister, S. (2007). Earthquakes triggered by slow slip at the plate interface in
- the Hikurangi subduction zone, New Zealand. *Geophysical research letters*, *34*(14).
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2007GL030511
- 447 Reyners, M., Eberhart-Phillips, D., & Martin, S. (2014). Prolonged Canterbury earthquake
- sequence linked to widespread weakening of strong crust. *Nature Geoscience*, 7(1), 34–37.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2013
- 450 Rogers, G., & Dragert, H. (2003). Episodic tremor and slip on the Cascadia subduction zone:
- 451 The chatter of silent slip. *Science*, *300*(5627), 1942–1943.
- 452 <u>https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1084783</u>
- 453 Romanet, P., & Ide, S. (2019). Ambient tectonic tremors in manawatu, Cape Turnagain,
- 454 marlborough, and Puysegur, New Zealand. *Earth, Planets and Space*, 71(1), 1–9.
 455 https://doi.org/10.1186/s40623-019-1039-1
- 456 Rousset, B., Fu, Y., Bartlow, N., & Bürgmann, R. (2019). Weeks-long and years-long slow slip
- 457 and tectonic tremor episodes on the south central Alaska Megathrust. *Journal of*
- 458 *Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, *124*(12), 13392–13403.
- 459 <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JB018724</u>
- 460 Ruiz, S., Metois, M., Fuenzalida, A., Ruiz, J., Leyton, F., Grandin, R., et al., (2014). Intense
- foreshocks and a slow slip event preceded the 2014 Iquique M w 8.1 earthquake. *Science*,
- 462 *345*(6201), 1165–1169. <u>https://doi.ord/10.1126/science.1256074</u>

- Shaddox, H. R., & Schwartz, S. Y. (2019). Subducted seamount diverts shallow slow slip to the
 forearc of the northern Hikurangi subduction zone, New Zealand. *Geology*, 47(5), 415–418.
 https://doi.org/10.1130/G45810.1
- Shelly, D. R., Beroza, G. C., & Ide, S. (2007). Non-volcanic tremor and low-frequency
 earthquake swarms. *Nature*, 446(7133), 305–307. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature05666
- 468 Shelly, D. R., Beroza, G. C., Ide, S., & Nakamula, S. (2006). Low-frequency earthquakes in
- Shikoku, Japan, and their relationship to episodic tremor and slip. *Nature*, 442(7099), 188–
 191. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature04931
- 471 Todd, E. K., & Schwartz, S. Y. (2016). Tectonic tremor along the northern Hikurangi Margin,
- 472 New Zealand, between 2010 and 2015. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*,

473 *121*(12), 8706–8719. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JB013480</u>

- Todd, E. K., Schwartz, S. Y., Mochizuki, K., Wallace, L. M., Sheehan, A. F., Webb, S. C., et al.
- 475 (2018). Earthquakes and tremor linked to seamount subduction during shallow slow slip at
- the Hikurangi margin, New Zealand. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, *123*(8),
 6769–6783. <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JB016136</u>
- Villafuerte, C., & Cruz-Atienza, V. M. (2017). Insights into the causal relationship between slow
- slip and tectonic tremor in Guerrero, Mexico. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 122(8), 6642–6656. https://doi.org/10.1002/2017JB014037
- Wallace, L. M. (2020). Slow slip events in New Zealand. *Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences*, 48, 175–203. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-earth-071719-055104
- 483 Wallace, L. M., Barnes, P., Beavan, J., Van Dissen, R., Litchfield, N., Mountjoy, J., et al. (2012).
- The kinematics of a transition from subduction to strike-slip: An example from the central
- 485 New Zealand plate boundary. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 117(B2).
 486 <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JB008640</u>
- 487 Wallace, L. M., & Beavan, J. (2010). Diverse slow slip behavior at the Hikurangi subduction
- 488 margin, New Zealand. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth,
- 489 *115*(B12). <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2010JB007717</u>
- 490 Wallace, L. M., Beavan, J., Bannister, S., & Williams, C. (2012). Simultaneous long-term and
- 491 short-term slow slip events at the Hikurangi subduction margin, New Zealand: Implications
- for processes that control slow slip event occurrence, duration, and migration. *Journal of*
- 493 *Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 117(B11). <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2012JB009489</u>

- 494 Wallace, L. M., & Eberhart-Phillips, D. (2013). Newly observed, deep slow slip events at the
- 495 central Hikurangi margin, New Zealand: Implications for downdip variability of slow slip
- and tremor, and relationship to seismic structure. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 40(20),
- 497 5393–5398. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/2013GL057682</u>
- Wech, A. G., & Creager, K. C. (2007). Cascadia tremor polarization evidence for plate interface
 slip. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *34*(22). https://doi.org/10.1029/2007GL031167
- 500 Williams, C. A., Eberhart-Phillips, D., Bannister, S., Barker, D. H. N., Henrys, S., Reyners, M.,
- 501 & Sutherland, R. (2013). Revised interface geometry for the Hikurangi subduction zone,
- 502 New Zealand. *Seismological Research Letters*, *84*(6), 1066–1073.
- 503 https://doi.org/10.1785/0220130035
- 504 Williams, C. A., & Wallace, L. M. (2015). Effects of material property variations on slip
- 505 estimates for subduction interface slow-slip events. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 42(4),
- 506 1113–1121. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/2014GL062505</u>
- Williams, C. A., & Wallace, L. M. (2018). The impact of realistic elastic properties on inversions
 of shallow subduction interface slow slip events using seafloor geodetic data. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 45, 7462–7470. https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GL078042
- 510 Wu, Z. L., & Chen, Y. T. (2003). Definition of seismic moment at a discontinuity interface.
- 511 Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America, 93(4), 1832–1834.
- 512 https://doi.org/10.1785/0120020234
- 513 Yabe, S., Ide, S., & Yoshioka, S. (2014). Along-strike variations in temperature and tectonic
- tremor activity along the Hikurangi subduction zone, New Zealand. *Earth, Planets and Space*, *66*, 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40623-014-0142-6
- 516 Yarce, J., Sheehan, A. F., Nakai, J. S., Schwartz, S. Y., Mochizuki, K., Savage, M. K., et al.
- 517 (2019). Seismicity at the northern Hikurangi Margin, New Zealand, and investigation of the
- 518 potential spatial and temporal relationships with a shallow slow slip event. *Journal of*
- 519 *Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, *124*(5), 4751–4766.
- 520 https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JB017211



Geophysical Research Letters

Supporting Information for

Deep Short-term Slow Slip and Tremor in the Manawatu Region, New Zealand

Shannon L. Fasola¹, Noel M. Jackson¹, and Charles A. Williams²

¹Department of Geology, University of Kansas, Lawrence, KS. ²GNS Science, Lower Hutt, New Zealand.

Contents of this file

Text S1 Figures S1 to S11 Table S1

Introduction

This Supporting Information includes details on the methods used and additional figures supporting the main text.

Text S1. Methods

GNSS Post-Processing

For this study we used daily time-series solutions from continuous GNSS stations from the GeoNet network on the North Island (GNS Science, 2000). GNSS data processing methods are outlined on the GeoNet website. We referenced GNSS time series to the fixed Australian plate using the plate model of Altamimi et al. (2012). Offsets due to antenna changes and earthquakes were estimated by differencing the average positions of 10 days before and after the offset and were then removed. Timing of the offsets were obtained from the University of Nevada-Reno steps database (<u>http://geodesy.unr.edu/NGLStationPages/steps.txt</u>). Outliers, any day for which there was more than a 4 mm difference in the horizontal position and/or

more than a 14 mm difference in the vertical position from a running mean with a window of 6 data points, were removed from all 3 components regardless of which criteria was met. There was a common mode signal on all stations in the network. Therefore, we regionally filtered the time series by averaging a select set of detrended time series with offsets removed from stations far from the Hikurangi Trench that have close to linear behavior. Regional stations used for averaging were AUCK, CORM, KTIA, WARK, WHNG (Figure S1). The averaged time series (i.e., the common mode signal) was then subtracted from the stations that exhibit tectonic deformation.

Determining Surface Velocity Uncertainties

The uncertainty of the inter-tremor velocities ($\sigma_{inter-tremor}$) for each of the three scenarios was determined by taking the standard deviation from a population of velocities generated through random resampling of the displacement increments. For each set, we randomly resampled the displacement increments without replacement 10,000 times, generated a cumulative displacement time series and performed a linear regression on the cumulative displacement time series to obtain a velocity for each iteration. We kept the duration of the displacement during resampling. Since the true tremor velocity was calculated by subtracting the inter-tremor velocity from the uncorrected tremor velocity, we similarly found the uncertainty of the uncorrected tremor velocity (σ_{tremor}) through random resampling and then propagated uncertainties to determine the uncertainty of the true tremor velocity (σ_{tremor}):

$$\sigma_{tremor} = \sqrt{(\sigma_{tremor \ before \ correction})^2 + (\sigma_{inter-tremor})^2}$$

Robustness of Decompositions

In order to quantify the robustness of these decompositions with regard to background noise levels, we followed the modified bootstrapping approach of Rousset et al. (2019). Using the same number of tremor clusters as in the catalog, we randomly chose start times from the time series and randomly sampled the tremor durations without replacement to generate a synthetic catalog of tremor clusters to perform decompositions with. Periods of tremor from the real catalog were removed before running the decompositions with the synthetic tremor catalog. For decomposition of the SSE and inter-SSE times, we also removed periods of inter-SSE and SSE, respectively, to generate a synthetic 'SSE-only time series' and 'inter-SSE-only time series'. We performed these decompositions for 1) the entire time series, 2) during mid-tolong-term SSE time series, and 3) inter-SSE time series for the horizontal components 10,000 times and assumed that the decomposition was robust if the average velocity acquired for the real tremor periods was more than 2σ from the mean of velocities obtained with the random decompositions associated with noise or the inter-tremor periods. Rousset et al. (2019) used a 3σ threshold, but due to the likely incomplete tremor catalog, we lowered the threshold to 2σ . The decompositions for the entire time series were found to be robust for the east component of eight stations (Figure S7). The decompositions for the SSE time series were also found to be robust for the east component of eight stations (Figure S8). Fewer stations were found to be

robust for the north components, mainly because they are less sensitive to slip on the subduction interface. The random decompositions for the inter-SSE time series indicated a smaller difference between the real average velocity of tremor periods and the mean of the randomly generated velocities. For the east and north components no stations were greater than 2σ but 3 and 4 were greater than 1σ , respectively (Figure S9).

This modified bootstrapping technique as a method of testing the robustness of the decompositions is station specific. Therefore, we ran the decomposition on a select number of GNSS stations on the southern part of the North Island which was further from the Manawatu tremor, other regions of SSEs, and the Taupo volcanic zone to confirm that the tremor associated signal was confined to where tremor was observed (Figure 3b). To further support the validity of a geodetic signal only at stations nearest the tremor, we applied the modified bootstrapping technique to those far-field stations on which we ran the decompositions. As we expected, none of the east component time-averaged velocities of the far-field stations were found to be robust for decomposition of the entire time series, signifying the decomposition method of the Manawatu tremor did not detect a discernible geodetic signal at stations further from the tremor.

Calculation of Moment Rates

We calculated a total moment rate for each of the three modeled slip rate solutions. For each triangular patch, we found the time-averaged moment rate using the slip rate, area, and effective shear modulus for that patch and then summed the moment rates for the entire slip area. We consider both the variation of the shear modulus within the volume as well as possible 'jumps' in the shear modulus across the fault. To do this, we sample the properties on either side of the fault, assuming a distance of 100 m normal to the fault on each side, and then compute an effective shear modulus using the technique described by Wu and Chen (2003). We estimated the average moment per tremor burst by multiplying the moment rate for each slip patch by the average duration of tremor burst for that regime and totaling the moment (Table S1).



Figure S1. Time series of regional common mode signal. Black dots are detrended GNSS time series with offsets removed from stations far from the Hikurangi Trench that have close to linear behavior and were used in averaging to determine the common mode signal. Red line represents the average of those regional time series. a) East component. b) North component. c) Vertical component. d) Map of GeoNet GNSS stations (squares). Stations used for determining common mode signal and for decomposition are colored light blue and red, respectively.







Figure S3. Decomposition of the entire time series a) east and b) north components from 2005 until the 2016 Kaikoura earthquake. Red and blue curves indicate cumulative offsets during tremor and inter-tremor periods, respectively. Values represent slope of the best fit line and 1 σ uncertainty determined from random resampling of cumulative displacement increments.



Figure S4. Decomposition of the GNSS time series a) east and b) north components during known deep 2004-2005, 2006, 2008, 2010-2011, 2013, and 2014-2015 slow slip events in the Manawatu and Kaimanawa regions. Red and blue curves indicate cumulative offsets during tremor and inter-tremor periods, respectively. Values represent slope of the best fit line and 1 σ uncertainty determined from random resampling of cumulative displacement increments.



Figure S5. Time-averaged displacement rate and slip rate for tremor bursts during the mid- to long-term SSEs in Manawatu and Kaimanawa regions. a) Displacement rate of tremor (red arrows) and inter-tremor periods (blue arrows) with 1σ uncertainties are shown. b) Comparison of the true tremor displacement rates with the 'inter-tremor motion' fixed (yellow arrows) and 1σ uncertainty and modeled tremor displacement rates obtained from inversion (black arrows). c) Time-averaged slip rate during tremor bursts as estimated by static slip inversion.



Figure S6. Decomposition of the GNSS time series. a) east and b) north components during inter-SSE time in the Manawatu and Kaimanawa regions: 2005 SSE to 2006 SSE, 2006 SSE to 2008 SSE, 2008 SSE to 2010-2011, 2010-2011 SSE to 2013 SSE, 2013 SSE to 2014-2015 SSE, and 2014-2015 to 2016 Kaikoura earthquake. The red and blue curves indicate the cumulative offsets during tremor and inter-tremor periods, respectively. Values represent slope of the best fit line and 1 σ uncertainty determined from random resampling of cumulative displacement increments.



Figure S7. Time-averaged displacement rate and slip rate for tremor bursts during the inter-SSE periods. a) Displacement rate of tremor (red arrows) and inter-tremor periods (blue arrows) with 1 σ uncertainties are shown. b) Comparison of the true tremor displacement rates with the 'inter-tremor motion' fixed (yellow arrows) and 1 σ uncertainty and modeled tremor displacement rates obtained from inversion (black arrows). Due to the small values of the modeled vectors, we enlarged the 5 mm/yr scale. c) Time-averaged slip rate during tremor bursts as estimated by static slip inversion.



Figure S8. Histogram of velocities obtained by random decomposition of the entire time series with known tremor periods removed. For the decomposition, we randomly selected times of tremor clusters with the same number of clusters and durations as the real tremor catalog. The mean of the distribution is indicated by a solid black line whereas the σ , 2σ , and 3σ of the distribution are indicated by dashed lines. The red and blue lines indicate the averaged velocities obtained respectively for the tremor and inter-tremor periods. a) East velocity. b) North velocity.



Figure S9. Histogram of velocities obtained by random decomposition of the time series of the deep mid- to long-term SSEs with known tremor periods removed. For the decomposition, we randomly selected times of tremor clusters with the same number of clusters and durations as that which occurs during the deep SSEs. The mean of the distribution is indicated by a solid black line whereas the σ , 2σ , and 3σ of the distribution are indicated by dashed lines. The red and blue lines indicate the averaged velocities obtained respectively for the tremor and intertremor periods. a) East velocity. b) North velocity.



Figure S10. Histogram of velocities obtained by random decomposition of the time series of inter-SSEs with known tremor periods removed. For the decomposition, we randomly selected times of tremor clusters with the same number of clusters and durations as that which occurs during the inter-SSEs. The mean of the distribution is indicated by a solid black line whereas the σ , 2σ , and 3σ of the distribution are indicated by dashed lines. The red and blue lines indicate the averaged velocities obtained respectively for the tremor and inter-tremor periods. a) East velocity. b) North velocity.



Figure S11. L-curve used to select the smoothing parameter γ where the y-axis is the 2-norm of the discrete Laplacian of the slip rate distribution and the x-axis is the 2-norm of the residuals. Numbers represent values of the smoothing parameter with 16 being the selected value.

	Max Slip Rate (mm/yr)	Cumulative Moment Rate (Nm/yr)	Average Duration of Tremor Bursts (days)	Average Moment per Tremor Burst (Nm)	Average Equivalent M _w per Tremor Burst
All Tremor	51	2.4 X 10 ¹⁹	23	1.5 X 10 ¹⁸	5.0
Tremor during SSEs	80	3.4 × 10 ¹⁹	32	3.0 x 10 ¹⁸	5.2
Tremor during Inter-SSEs	25	9.4 X 10 ¹⁸	17	4.4 X 10 ¹⁷	4.6

Table S1. Summary of deep, ETS-like events in the Manawatu-Kaimanawa region detectedthrough decomposition.