Extracting spatial spectra using coarse-graining based on implicit filters

Sergey Danilov¹, Stephan Juricke², Kacper Nowak¹, Dmitry Sidorenko³, and Qiang Wang³

¹Alfred Wegener Institute, Helmholtz Centre for Polar and Marine Research ²Jacobs University Bremen ³Alfred Wegener Institute for Polar and Marine Research

August 4, 2023

Abstract

Scale analysis based on coarse-graining has been proposed recently as an alternative to Fourier analysis. It requires interpolation to a regular mesh for data from unstructured-mesh models. We propose an alternative coarse-graining method which relies on implicit filters using powers of discrete Laplacians. This method can work on arbitrary (structured or unstructured) meshes and is applicable to the direct output of unstructured-mesh models. Illustrations and details are provided for discrete fields placed at vertices of triangular meshes. The placement on triangles is also briefly discussed.

Extracting spatial spectra using coarse-graining based 1 on implicit filters 2

S. Danilov^{1,2}, S. Juricke², K. Nowak¹, D. Sidorenko¹, Q. Wang¹

 $^1\mathrm{Alfred}$ Wegener Institute, Helmholtz Centre for Polar and Marine Research, Bremerhaven, Germany $^2\mathrm{Constructor}$ University, Bremen, Germany

Key Points:

3

4 5

6

7

9

10

- A coarse-graining technique for unstructured meshes based on implicit filters is proposed for the analysis of energy distribution over scales. 8
 - The technique is well suited for scalar and vector quantities in both flat and spherical geometry.

Corresponding author: Sergey Danilov, sergey.danilov@awi.de

11 Abstract

Scale analysis based on coarse-graining has been proposed recently as an alternative to Fourier analysis. It requires interpolation to a regular mesh for data from unstructuredmesh models. We propose an alternative coarse-graining method which relies on implicit filters using powers of discrete Laplacians. This method can work on arbitrary (structured or unstructured) meshes and is applicable to the direct output of unstructuredmesh models. Illustrations and details are provided for discrete fields placed at vertices of triangular meshes. The placement on triangles is also briefly discussed.

¹⁹ Plain Language Summary

When studying ocean flows scientists are interested in how flow energy is distributed over scales. Ocean circulation models simulate these flows on computational meshes, and some models use highly variable meshes, such as unstructured triangular meshes. To eliminate the effect of mesh inhomogeneity, the output of such models is first interpolated to a regular quadrilateral mesh, which creates uncertainties. The method we propose does not require this interpolation and can be applied to the output of such models directly.

²⁶ 1 Introduction

The analysis of energy distribution over spatial scales, as well as the related anal-27 yses for energy generation, dissipation and transfer between scales are canonical tools 28 in the study of eddy-driven flows. Typically, such analyses are conducted with the help 29 of the Fourier transform. However, recently, an approach based on convolution, or coars-30 ening has been proposed (Aluie et al. (2018); Sadek & Aluie (2018)). It not only pro-31 vides energy distribution across scales but also gives the spatial distribution of energy 32 at different scales. Additionally, this approach can be applied to flow domains of arbi-33 trary shapes. Although the number of applications using this approach is still relatively 34 limited compared to those utilizing Fourier spectra, they have demonstrated its useful-35 36 ness.

Some new-generation ocean circulation models such as FESOM2 (Danilov et al. (2017)), MPAS-O (Ringler et al. (2013)) and ICON-o (Korn (2017)) are formulated on unstructured triangular meshes, or their dual, quasi-hexagonal meshes. Scale or spectral analysis of fields simulated on such meshes typically requires interpolation to regular quadrilateral grids. Similar difficulties arise even with models using regular meshes on the sphere, as these meshes are rarely uniform, except in case where a relatively small region is explored and mesh non-uniformity can be ignored.

This paper proposes an approach to scale analysis based on implicit filters. In essence, 44 it is inspired by the work of Guedot et al. (2015), which utilizes implicit filters based on 45 powers of the Laplacian operator is extended here to incorporate scale analysis through 46 successive coarsening. An important aspect is that implicit filters based on Laplacians 47 can be easily adapted to unstructured meshes in a manner similar to that described by 48 Guedot et al. (2015) for the vertex-based data. However, some modifications are required 49 for other data placements. It is crucial to note that discrete Laplacians may have spu-50 rious eigenvalues when data are placed at the centers of triangles or mid-edges (Klemp 51 (2017), Danilov & Kutsenko (2019)), which will be discussed further. The concept of us-52 ing implicit filters is not new. Its brief review can be found in Grooms et al. (2021) and 53 is not repeated here. There is also a certain similarity to explicit approaches in Grooms 54 et al. (2021) and Defferrard et al. (2020), where the idea to represent filter operators as 55 series in powers of discrete Laplacians is exploited. In all these cases, only nearest data 56 points are needed for computations, making it convenient for parallel applications. Al-57 though implicit filters involve using iterative solvers, the procedure is highly paralleliz-58 able and, hopefully, more stable than explicit methods on general unstructured meshes 59

where local mesh inhomogeneity may pose challenges. Furthermore, the discrete Laplace 60

operators can be implemented in full spherical geometry as used in models. For vector-61

valued data (horizontal velocities), vector Laplacians can be used either in a vector-invariant 62

form or with metric terms, as will be discussed in some detail in this study. 63

To start with, we explain the method using the one-dimensional case (Section 2). 64 The extension necessary for horizontal fields is given in Section 3. The detail depends 65 on the placement of discrete data and whether one deals with scalars or vectors. While 66 our main focus is on the placement in FESOM, we also briefly discuss other placements. 67 The analysis is applied to synthetic two dimensional fields to illustrate technical aspects. 68 The results are also compared to those obtained with the coarsening method proposed 69 by Aluie et al. (2018). The final sections of the paper present discussions and conclu-70 sions. 71

2 Elementary theory 72

The consideration presented here has very much in common with that in Guedot et al. (2015) and Sadek & Aluie (2018), because it incorporates elements from both approaches. Let $\phi(\mathbf{x})$ be a scalar field, with \mathbf{x} lying in some domain D. We are interested in the distribution of the second moment (or variance if mean is removed) of this field over spatial scales. A coarse-graining approach, similar in spirit to that of Aluie et al. (2018) and Sadek & Aluie (2018) will be applied, but relying on implicit filters. The coarsened field $\phi_{\ell}(\mathbf{x})$ will be found by solving

$$(1 + \gamma(-\ell^2 \Delta)^n)\overline{\phi}_\ell = \phi. \tag{1}$$

Here, Δ is the Laplacian, the smoothing scale is parameterized by ℓ , and γ is a param-73 eter that tunes the relation of ℓ to wavenumbers, as will be explained further. The in-74 teger n defines the order of the implicit filter, which is second-order for n = 1 (in ter-75 minology of Guedot et al. (2015)), and order 2n in a general case. Equation (1) is com-76 plemented by boundary conditions on the boundary ∂D of the computational domain 77 D, which will be discussed below. 78

A discrete Laplacian operator can be constructed independent of the type of the 79 computational mesh and mesh geometry (flat or spherical), which makes the procedure 80 suited for scale analysis on unstructured meshes. 81

2.1 1D case 82

In order to clarify some details of the method, we begin with the one-dimensional 83 case assuming periodic boundary conditions to facilitate Fourier analysis. We assume 84 n = 1 here, and explain later why larger n could be desirable. 85

In the Fourier space,

$$\hat{\overline{\phi}}_{\ell}(k) = \hat{G}_{\ell}(k)\hat{\phi}(k) = \frac{\hat{\phi}(k)}{1 + \gamma\ell^2 k^2},$$

where k is the wavenumber, the hat denotes Fourier transformed quantities and $\hat{G}_{\ell}(k)$ is the Fourier transform of the convolution kernel. The same notation k will be used for the absolute value of the wave vector in the discussion of energy spectra below in this section. Let E_k be the Fourier energy spectrum of the field ϕ such that the mean energy over D is obtained by summation over positive k,

$$E = \int_0^\infty E_k dk,$$

where the integral is a replacement for the sum over Fourier modes. The area-mean energy of the coarse grained field, to be denoted by $\overline{\mathcal{E}}_{\ell}$, will be expressed as

$$\overline{\mathcal{E}}_{\ell} = \int_0^\infty |\hat{G}_{\ell}|^2 E_k dk = \int_0^\infty \frac{E_k dk}{(1 + \gamma \ell^2 k^2)^2}$$

Clearly, $\overline{\mathcal{E}}_0 = E$. We can introduce

$$\varepsilon(\ell) = -\partial \overline{\mathcal{E}}_{\ell} / \partial \ell = -\int_0^\infty \frac{\partial |\hat{G}_{\ell}|^2}{\partial \ell} E_k dk = \frac{4\gamma}{\ell^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{k^2 \ell^2 E_k \ell dk}{(1+\gamma k^2 \ell^2)^3}.$$
 (2)

The quantity $\varepsilon(\ell)$ can be considered as energy distribution over scales ℓ because

$$E = \int_0^\infty \varepsilon(\ell) d\ell, \quad \overline{\mathcal{E}}_\ell = \int_0^\infty |\hat{G}_\ell|^2 E_k dk = \int_l^\infty \varepsilon(\ell) d\ell = E - \int_0^l \varepsilon(\ell') d\ell',$$

and $\varepsilon d\ell$ can be interpreted as the energy stored between the scales ℓ and $\ell + d\ell$. This

87 88

interpretation is not perfect because, in contrast to the Fourier spectrum, the contributions from different ℓ are not orthogonal, but it can still be useful in practice.

We will introduce one more derived quantity, proposed in Aluie et al. (2018) and Sadek & Aluie (2018). It can be more convenient as it presents a closer analogy to the Fourier spectrum. We define $k_{\ell} = 1/\ell$ and introduce

$$\overline{E}(k_{\ell}) = \partial \overline{\mathcal{E}}_{\ell} / \partial k_{\ell} = \int_0^\infty \frac{\partial |\hat{G}_{\ell}|^2}{\partial k_{\ell}} E_k dk = \int_0^\infty \frac{4\gamma k^2 / k_{\ell}^2}{(1 + \gamma k^2 / k_{\ell}^2)^3} E_k \frac{dk}{k_{\ell}}.$$
(3)

The bar is used to distinguish this quantity from the Fourier energy spectrum. The formfactor $\partial |\hat{G}_{\ell}|^2 / \partial k_{\ell}$ is a function of wavenumber k and has a peak at some k. It therefore picks up the energy E_k in the vicinity of that peak wavenumber and ensures that $\overline{E}(k_{\ell})$ is an approximate representation of E_k . It remains to be seen what is the correspondence between the peak wavenumber k and k_{ℓ} , or the peak wavelength $\lambda = 2\pi/k$ and ℓ , and when $\overline{E}(k_{\ell})$ represents E_k sufficiently accurately. These questions will be addressed in the following sections.

2.2 Comparison with explicit convolution kernels

A box convolution kernel with width ℓ in the k-space is

$$\hat{G}_l(k) = \frac{\sin(k\ell/2)}{(k\ell/2)}.$$

As $z = k\ell \to 0$, $\hat{G}_l(k) \approx 1 - z^2/24$. We may require that all second-order kernels have such behavior in the vicinity of zero wavenumbers. For a Gaussian filter this requirement will lead to

$$\hat{G}_l(k) = \exp(-k^2\ell^2/24).$$

For the second order implicit filter this will lead to $\gamma = 1/24$, giving

$$\hat{G}_l(k) = \frac{1}{1 + k^2 \ell^2 / 24}.$$

97

96

The left panel of Fig. 1 depicts these kernels as a function of $z = k\ell = k/k_{\ell}$. Note that the box kernel shows the sharpest behavior in the vicinity of zero, and the implicit second order filter is the most flat. The right panel shows the behavior of the dimensionless form-factor $k_{\ell}\partial|\hat{G}_{\ell}(k)|^2/\partial k_{\ell} = -z\partial_z|\hat{G}_{\ell}(z)|^2$. The maxima are reached at $z = \sqrt{12} \approx$ 3.46 for the Gaussian and implicit second-order filter, and $z \approx 3.52$ for the box filter. Taking the approximation $k/k_{\ell} \approx 3.5$ we obtain $\ell = (3.5/2\pi)\lambda \approx 0.55\lambda$. As expected,



Figure 1. Convolution kernels (left) and the dimensionless form-factor $k_{\ell}\partial|\hat{G}_{\ell}(k)|^2/\partial_{k_{\ell}}$ (right) as functions of $z = k/k_{\ell}$. Shown are the box kernel (light gray), Gaussian kernel (gray), implicit second-order kernel with $\gamma = 1/24$ (black) and implicit fourth-order kernel (n = 2) with $\gamma = 1/288$ (dashed).

104	the scale ℓ is about half of a wavelength, and a more accurate statement has hardly a
105	lot of sense since E_k is a function of k, and the peak of the integrand in (3) for given k_ℓ
106	will occur for somewhat different k than the peak of the form-factor.

107 2.3 Limitations

In order to see how $\overline{E}(k_{\ell})$ is related to the Fourier spectrum we assume that

$$E_k = Ck^{-\alpha}, \quad k \in (k_{\min}, k_{\max}),$$

and zero otherwise. In this case,

$$\overline{E}(k_{\ell}) = 4C\gamma k_{\ell}^{-\alpha} \int_{z_{\min}}^{z_{\max}} \frac{z^{2-\alpha} dz}{(1+\gamma z^2)^3}.$$
(4)

Here $z_{\min} = k_{\min}/k_{\ell} = k_{\min}\ell$ and $z_{\max} = k_{\max}/k_{\ell} = k_{\max}\ell$. The integrand in (4) does not depend on the scale ℓ , and if not for the variable integration limits, we would have concluded that $\overline{E}(k_{\ell})$ has the same spectral slope as E_k just with a slightly different amplitude factor. However, the limits are functions of k_{ℓ} . In practical applications with discrete data, $k_{\min} = 2\pi/L_x$ and $k_{\max} = \pi/h$, where L_x and h are respectively the domain size and mesh cell size.

If $\gamma^{1/2}\ell > h/\pi$, which is generally the case, $\gamma^{1/2}z_{\text{max}} > 1$. Since the integrand in (4) vanishes rapidly for $\gamma^{1/2}z > 1$, the precise value of the upper limit will have only 114 115 a small effect. The effect of the lower limit depends on the slope α via the numerator 116 of the integrand. If $\alpha \geq 2$, the integrand takes the largest values at the lower limit, mean-117 ing that the integral in (4) becomes sensitive to its lower limit, and that $\overline{E}(k_{\ell})$ will dif-118 fer from E_k for small k_ℓ . The integral will be entirely determined by the behavior at the 119 lower limit for $\alpha > 3$. Such slopes cannot be diagnosed with second-order filters, which 120 will return $\overline{E}(k_{\ell}) \sim k_{\ell}^{-3}$. Sadek & Aluie (2018) give an illustration of such a satura-121 tion effect for slopes steeper than -3. 122

¹²³ Note that even if the Fourier energy spectra are sufficiently flat (e.g. with $\alpha = 5/3$), ¹²⁴ the integral in (4) can still be sensitive to the lower limit because $z^{2-\alpha}$ varies too slowly. ¹²⁵ In a more general situation, when E_k has a local spectral peak at intermediate scales, the position of a local peak in $\overline{E}(k_{\ell})$ could be aliased because the form-factor is rather broad for the second-order filters (see Fig. 1, right panel).

This is the reason why it is advisable to use higher orders n in (1). Indeed, the expression (4) for arbitrary n transforms to

$$\overline{E}(k_l) = 4n\gamma C k_\ell^{-\alpha} \int_{z_{\min}}^{z_{\max}} \frac{z^{2n-\alpha} dz}{(1+\gamma z^{2n})^3}.$$
(5)

For n = 2, i.e., for the biharmonic filter, one will need to take $\gamma = 1/288$ to have the 128 same position of the peak in the form-factor as in the second-order case with $\gamma = 1/24$ 129 because the peak is always at $2\gamma z^{2n} = 1$. This case is depicted in Fig. 1 with the dashed 130 line, and the right panel illustrates that the peak becomes sharper than for the second-131 order filters. Importantly, the form-factor remains closer to zero at small wavenumers 132 and is well-suited for a practically interesting range of slopes $\alpha \leq 3$. The relation be-133 tween the Fourier spectrum and the scale spectrum becomes more local. Sadek & Aluie 134 (2018) discuss the construction of high-order explicit convolution kernels and illustrate 135 the improvements in the performance of the scale analyses with high-order kernels. We 136 present similar illustrations for the biharmonic case later. 137

Note that one is free in the selection of parameter γ . For practical purposes it might be convenient to take $\gamma = 1/2$, in which case the peak is at $k = k_{\ell}$ and ℓ acquires the sense of inverse wavenumber.

¹⁴¹ 2.4 Modifications in the discrete case

The eigenvalue of the discrete Laplacian is an approximation to $-k^2$ of the continuous case. In the one-dimensional case the simplest numerical implementation of the Laplacian operator on a regular mesh $(L\phi)_j = (\phi_{j-1} + \phi_{j+1} - 2\phi_j)/h^2$, where j is the cell index and h the cell size, leads to the Fourier symbol $L_k = -(4/h^2) \sin^2(kh/2)$. For the largest resolvable wavenumber $k = \pi/h$, the ratio of discrete to continuous Fourier symbols is $-L_k/k^2 = (4/h^2) \sin^2(\pi/2)(h/\pi)^2 = 4/\pi^2$, which is not negligible.

We explore the consequences of this difference, by writing

$$\hat{G}_{\ell}(k) = \frac{1}{1 + \gamma(-\beta L_k)^n}$$

where the scale parameter $\beta = \beta(k_{\ell})$ is introduced formally instead of $\ell^2 = 1/k_{\ell}^2$. In this case the form-factor is

$$\partial \hat{G}_{\ell}^2 / \partial k_{\ell} = \frac{-2n\gamma(-\beta L_k)^n}{(1+\gamma(-\beta L_k)^n)^3} \frac{1}{\beta} \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial k_{\ell}}$$

Considering the form-factor as a function of wavenumber k, we find that it reaches maximum at

$$-2\gamma\beta L_k = 1. \tag{6}$$

We take $\gamma = 1/2$ everywhere further to simplify this condition.

There are two obvious options. We can modify the scale parameter β taking $\beta = \beta^M = -1/L_{k_\ell}$, which is similar to the correction used by Guedot et al. (2015). In this case the form-factor peaks at $k = k_\ell = 1/\ell$, so that k_ℓ acquires the sense of the standard wavenumber, which lies in the range from $2\pi/L_x$ to π/h . The drawback of this choice is that $\beta^{-1}\partial\beta/\partial k_\ell \sim \cos(k_\ell h/2)/\sin(k_\ell h/2)$, which tends to zero at $k_\ell = \pi/h$, artificially damping the scale spectrum near the cutoff wavenumber π/h .

The other choice is to leave $\beta = 1/k_{\ell}^2$. In this case the position of the peak of the form-factor is at $-L_k = k_{\ell}^2$. The relationship between k and k_{ℓ} depends on the eigenvalue of discrete Laplacian. For the standard 1D Laplacian we find $\sin(kh/2) = k_{\ell}h/2$. The peak at $k = \pi/h$ corresponds to $k_{\ell} = 2/h$ and the peak at $k = \pi/(2h)$ corresponds to $k_{\ell} = \sqrt{2}/h$. The latter values are already close, and the correspondence between the peak k and k_{ℓ} is recovered for smaller wavenumbers.

As is seen, both choices introduce certain complications at grid scales. However, 161 discrete operators in ocean circulation models also contain errors at grid scales, so that 162 the scale spectra at such scales are of limited interest. If one considers wavenumbers smaller 163 than $\pi/(2h)$, both choices of β and respective scale spectra become close. One can also 164 ignore the distinction between k_{ℓ} and the peak k in this range if $\beta = 1/k_{\ell}^2$, and this choice 165 of β becomes a simpler option. We will, nevertheless, keep the option $\beta = \beta^M$ below 166 for completeness. Guedot et al. (2015) use the 1D Laplacian eigenvalue above also on 167 unstructured meshes, identifying h with the length of the side of a triangle, but we opt 168 for a slightly different value, see below. Since h is variable on unstructured meshes, β^M 169 (if used) should be included between divergence and gradient in the scalar Laplacian, 170 and similarly in the vector Laplacian. 171

For the comparison with the box filter, we recall that the peak of the form-factor is at $k\ell_{\text{box}} \approx 3.5$, which means that $\ell_{\text{box}} \approx 3.5/k_{\ell}$ in terms of k_{ℓ} used further for implicit filters.

3 Unstructured meshes

Laplace operators on unstructured triangular meshes depend on the placement of 176 discrete degrees of freedom. Some of them are reviewed by Klemp (2017) and Danilov 177 & Kutsenko (2019). As applied to the existing unstructured-mesh global ocean circu-178 lation models, one needs to distinguish between the cases of vertex, cell and mid-edge 179 placement, and the cases of scalar and vector operators. The main focus below will be 180 on the FESOM discretization which places scalars at vertices and horizontal velocities 181 on triangles. Although horizontal velocities in FESOM are placed on triangles, in the 182 default implementation we seek coarse grained velocities at vertex locations because this 183 leads to matrices with a twice smaller dimension. One may, nevertheless, need the na-184 tive placement to analyze dissipation spectra, but we do not consider it in detail here, 185 limiting ourselves only to the case of scalars placed on triangles. The particular feature 186 of this case is that the Laplacians either contain spurious eigenvalues or a non-trivial ker-187 nel. We mention that the cell placement of scalars on hexagonal meshes in MPAS-Ocean 188 differs from the vertex placement of FESOM only in the detail of control volumes, and 189 that scalars are placed on triangles in ICON-o. Normal component of velocities are placed 190 at mid-edges in MPAS-O and ICON-o. In these cases vector-invariant forms of vector 191 Laplacians are appropriate, which will not be analysed in detail here. 192

The discretization of Laplacians on unstructured meshes can be obtained by finite volume (as in Guedot et al. (2015)) and finite element methods, and we briefly describe both for the vertex case. The discretization of vector Laplacian for FESOM which works with the full horizontal velocity vector (quasi B grid) can be done similarly to scalars, but with the addition of metric terms as discussed below. Using the vector invariant form of the vector Laplacian will not lead to advantages for quasi B grid.

199

3.1 Scalars, vertex placement

One can use either the finite volume or finite element method. They lead to slightly different discretizations on non-uniform meshes. In the first case, which is also the choice in Guedot et al. (2015),

$$A_{v}(\mathsf{D}\overline{\phi}_{\ell})_{v} = \sum_{v' \in N(v)} \frac{\overline{\phi}_{v'} - \overline{\phi}_{v}}{l_{vv'}} \beta_{vv'} \mathbf{n}_{vv'} \cdot \mathbf{s}_{vv'}, \tag{7}$$



Figure 2. Finite-volume computations of Laplacians for the vertex (left) and cell (right) quantities. In the vertex case, $\mathbf{n}_{vv'}$ is the unit vector in the direction of edge, and $\mathbf{s}_{vv'} = \mathbf{s}_l + \mathbf{s}_r$, where \mathbf{s}_l and \mathbf{s}_r are the left and right vectors from the mid-edge to cell centers rotated to outer normal directions. In the cell case, $\mathbf{n}_{cc'}$ is the unit vector along the line connecting cell centers and $\mathbf{s}_{cc'}$ is the edge vector rotated toward the outer normal.

where the index v enumerates vertices, N(v) is the set of neighboring vertices, $l_{vv'}$ is the 200 length of the edge between vertices, $\mathbf{n}_{vv'}$ is the unit vector from v to v', $\mathbf{s}_{vv'}$ is the bound-201 ary segment vector and A_v the area of scalar median-dual control volume containing ver-202 tex v, see Fig. 2. We included the scale factor $\beta_{vv'}$ as an edge-based quantity. It can be 203 either $\beta_{vv'}^M$ (see below) or $1/k_\ell^2$, in which case it can be taken as a factor before the sum. 204 The quantity $\mathbf{s}_{vv'}$ is a combination of two contributions obtained by rotating vectors con-205 necting mid-edge to cell centroids in the case of FESOM (median-dual control volume), 206 as illustrated in Fig. 2, but it would be related to a single segment on Voronoi (hexag-207 onal) meshes. The operator D is the discrete Laplacian (modified by the scale factor). 208 Because of A_v on the left hand side D is not symmetric (with respect to v and v'), but 209 the right hand side of (7) gives a symmetric operator. This is why (1) has to be discretized 210 in area-weighted sense (see below). 211

Assuming $\overline{\phi}_{\ell}, \phi \sim e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}}$, where $\mathbf{k} = (k, l)$ is the wave vector and \mathbf{x} the coordinate vector, and further assuming that the mesh consists of equilateral triangles with the side a and height $h = a\sqrt{3}/2$, with one side oriented along the x-direction, we obtain the Fourier symbol of the vertex Laplacian (for $\beta_{vv'} = 1$)

$$L_{\mathbf{k}} = (1/h^2)[\cos(ka) + \cos(ka/2 + lh) + \cos(-ka + lh) - 3].$$

The Fourier symbol depends on the wave vector orientation. The boundary of the first 212 Brillouin zone is closest to $\mathbf{k} = 0$ in six directions (one is k = 0), and in such direc-213 tions $L_{\mathbf{k}} = L_K = -(4/h^2) \sin^2(Kh/2)$, where $K = |\mathbf{k}|$ (see Danilov (2022)). This ex-214 pression is similar to the one discussed for the one-dimensional case, with the difference 215 that h is not the length of the triangle side, but the triangle height. We take $\beta_{nn'}^M = -L_{k_\ell}$, 216 where $L_{k\ell} = L_K|_{K=k\ell}$, and express the height assuming regular meshes, $h = \sqrt{3}l_{\nu\nu'}/2$. 217 The wavenumber k_{ℓ} is the absolute value of two-dimensional wave vector and should be 218 taken between $2\pi/L_x$ and π/h . 219

We will suppress the subscript ℓ in $\overline{\phi}_{\ell}$ further for compactness. The discrete version of equation (1) for n = 1 becomes

$$\mathsf{S}_{vv'}\overline{\phi}_{v'} = A_v\phi_v,$$

where summation over repeating index v' is implied. The system matrix is

$$\mathsf{S}_{vv'} = A_v \mathsf{I}_{vv'} + (1/2)A_v \mathsf{D}_{vv'}$$

with $I_{vv'}$ the identity matrix and $D_{vv'}$ the matrix of the operator in (7).

Taken in this form, $S_{vv'}$ is a positive symmetric matrix, and solution for $\overline{\phi}$ can be obtained by the conjugate gradient method.

At this place we turn to the question of boundary conditions. The procedure of con-223 structing the Laplacian, described above, implies that the component of gradients that 224 are normal to domain boundaries are thought to be zero, i.e. we are dealing with the case 225 of zero von Neumann boundary conditions. One can also use the Dirichlet boundary con-226 ditions requiring that $\phi = \phi$ at boundary points, but it will introduce small scales at 227 the boundary. If these conditions are selected, the simplest way to implement them con-228 sists in leaving only the diagonal entries due to $A_v I_{vv'}$ in row v of $\mathsf{S}_{vv'}$ if v is at the bound-229 ary. Note that the symmetry of $S_{vv'}$ will be destroyed. One more choice is to extend the 230 mesh into land and set ϕ to zero there, which might reduce the sensitivity to boundary 231 conditions. We use von Neumann boundary conditions in all cases below, but the ques-232 tion of optimal boundary conditions requires further attention. 233

In the case of a biharmonic filter the matrix of the biharmonic operator can be obtained by applying the procedure used for D twice,

$$S_{vv''} = A_v I_{vv''} + (1/2) A_v D_{vv'} D_{v'v''},$$

with summation over v' implied. Although $\mathsf{D}_{v'v''}$ includes division over $A_{v'}$, the combination $A_v \mathsf{D}_{vv'} \mathsf{D}_{v'v''}$ is symmetric.

Equation (1) can also be discretized with the help of the finite element method. Although it leads to a similar expression for scalars, it is our preferred approach because it is also more useful for vector Laplacians as concerns the metric terms. Consider first the case n = 1. We obtain the weak formulation of (1) by multiplying it with some sufficiently smooth function $w(\mathbf{x})$, integrating over the domain D and transforming the Laplacian term by integration by parts,

$$\int_{D} (w\overline{\phi} + (1/2)\beta\nabla w \cdot \nabla\overline{\phi})dS = \int_{D} w\phi dS.$$

Here the boundary term appearing after the integration by parts is set to zero, which corresponds to natural boundary conditions, similarly to the finite-volume derivation. We write the discrete fields as series $\overline{\phi} = \sum_{v'} \overline{\phi}_{v'} N_{v'}(\mathbf{x})$ and $\phi = \sum_{v'} \phi_{v'} N_{v'}(\mathbf{x})$, where $N_v(\mathbf{x})$ is a piecewise linear basis function. This function equals 1 at \mathbf{x}_v , decays to 0 at vertices connected to v by edges, and is zero outside the stencil of nearest triangles. To obtain continuous Galerkin approximation we require that the weak equation above is valid if w is any of the N_v ,

$$(\mathsf{M}_{vv'} + (1/2)\mathsf{D}_{vv'})\overline{\phi}_{v'} = \mathsf{M}_{vv'}\phi_{v'},$$

where $M_{vv'} = \int N_v N_{v'} dS$ is the mass matrix and $\mathsf{D}_{vv'} = \int \beta \nabla N_v \cdot \nabla N_{v'} dS$. The diagonally lumped approximation of mass matrix is $M_{vv'}^L = A_v \mathsf{I}_{vv'}$. If this approximation is applied, we get the same final discretization on uniform equilateral meshes as for the finite volume method except for the treatment of β . Since computation of integrals are done on triangles (mesh cells), the scaling parameter is treated as a cell quantity if

the modified option is used. Gradients of linear basis functions are constant on trian-241 gles, and their integration is just multiplication with triangle area. The height of trian-242 gle in $L_{k\ell}$ on cell c is conveniently expressed in terms of triangle area as $h = 3^{1/4} \sqrt{A_c}$ 243 if the modified scaling parameter is used. Once again, we have symmetric matrices. The 244 implementation of biharmonic operator leads to $D(M^L)^{-1}D$. An alternative approximate 245 implementation is to eliminate weighting with triangular areas in D, add the factor 1/2246 in D to compensate for the difference between triangle area and the area associated to 247 a vertex, and replace M with the identity matrix I. It is used in our examples below as 248 it implies slightly less work. 249

250

3.2 Spherical geometry and vertex-based vector Laplacians

FESOM uses longitude-latitude coordinates in spherical geometry (for detail, see 251 Danilov et al. (2017)). The cosine of latitude is approximated by a constant on trian-252 gles and local distances on each triangle are calculated in a local Cartesian frame, with 253 x and y aligned with local zonal and meridional directions. The areas of triangles are 254 computed assuming that triangles are flat, and areas of scalar control volumes are ob-255 tained by taking 1/3 of the area of all triangles joining at a given vertex. This way of 256 treating spherical geometry is fully compatible with the finite element computations de-257 scribed in the previous section. For the finite volume treatment, the length of edges is 258 determined using the cosine at mid-edges. 259

Although horizontal (zonal and meridional) velocities in FESOM are placed at triangles, in the present implementation the filtered velocities are calculated at vertices because there are twice fewer vertices than triangles, and matrices to be iteratively inverted have twice lower dimension.

Let $\overline{\mathbf{u}} = (\overline{u}, \overline{v})$ be the filtered horizontal velocity, and $\mathbf{u} = (u, v)$ the original velocity (we suppress the ℓ subscript here too). We will use index v for vertex-based velocities, and index c for cell-based original velocities. The weak equation will take the form

$$\int_{D} (\mathbf{w} \cdot \overline{\mathbf{u}} + (1/2)\beta \nabla \mathbf{w} : \nabla \overline{\mathbf{u}}) dS = \int_{D} \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{u} dS,$$

where now **w** is the vector-valued smooth function. Writing $\overline{\mathbf{u}} = \sum_{v'} \overline{\mathbf{u}}_{v'} N_{v'}(\mathbf{x})$, $\mathbf{u} = \sum_{c} \mathbf{u}_{c} M_{c}(\mathbf{x})$, where M_{c} is the indicator function equal 1 on triangle c and 0 outside it, and requiring that the weak equation is valid for $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w}_{v} N_{v}$ for any vertex v, we obtain the set of discrete equations. However, because the unit zonal and meridional vectors are varying, there will be additional metric terms in the expression for velocity gradient,

$$\nabla \mathbf{u} = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_x u - mv & \partial_x v + mu \\ \partial_y u & \partial_y v \end{pmatrix}$$

and similarly in the expression for the gradient of test function **w**. Here, $m = \tan\theta/R_e$, with θ the latitude and R_e earth's radius. Combining all metric terms, we will get the following x and y equations

$$(\mathsf{M}_{vv'} + (1/2)\mathsf{D}_{vv'})\overline{u}_{v'} + \mathsf{T}_{vv'}\overline{v}_{v'} = \mathsf{R}_{vc}u_c,\tag{8}$$

$$\mathsf{M}_{vv'} + (1/2)\mathsf{D}_{vv'})\overline{v}_{v'} - \mathsf{T}_{vv'}\overline{u}_{v'} = \mathsf{R}_{vc}v_c, \qquad (9)$$

where $\mathsf{T}_{vv'} = \int (-\partial_x N_v + \partial_x N_{v'}) \beta m dS$ is the operator accounting for metric terms and R_{vc} = $\int N_v M_c dS$ is the right hand side operator which is the projection operator onto the space of piecewise linear functions. Summation is implied over repeating indices in matrix-vector products.

The metric terms couple equations for \overline{u} and \overline{v} , and the dimension of system matrix becomes twice larger than for scalar fields. While it can still be solved, the metric terms can be accounted approximately if wavelengths $2\pi/k_{\ell}$ are not very large by putting them on the right hand side and estimating them from the solution for the nearest available k_{ℓ} . For the largest k_{ℓ} they can be estimated using the original field. On basin scales, this approximation is not necessarily sufficient and the full system has to be solved

this approximation is not necessarily sufficient and the full system has to be solved.

3.3 Scalars, cell placement

The smallest-stencil Laplacian in this case is obtained using the finite volume method and is written similarly to (7)

$$A_c(\mathsf{D}\overline{\phi})_c = \sum_{c'\in N(c)} \frac{\overline{\phi}_{c'} - \overline{\phi}_c}{l_{cc'}} \beta_{cc'} \mathbf{n}_{cc'} \cdot \mathbf{s}_{cc'}.$$
 (10)

Here summation is over the neighbors of cell (triangle) c, which are the cells that have 275 common edges with c (see Fig. 2), $l_{cc'}$ is the distance between the cell centers, A_c is the 276 cell area, $\mathbf{n}_{cc'}$ is the unit vector in the direction from c to c' and $\mathbf{s}_{cc'}$ is the cell side vec-277 tor rotated to the direction of the outer normal. For meshes used in discretizations of 278 C-grid type, the c points are associated with circumcenters. In this case the vectors $\mathbf{n}_{cc'}$ 279 and $\mathbf{s}_{cc'}$ have the same direction, and this expression presents the divergence of gradi-280 281 ent. If c is associated with cell centroids, on distorted meshes one accounts only for the component of gradient in the direction of $\mathbf{n}_{cc'}$ while full gradient is formally needed (dis-282 cretization (7) has similar limitations). More precise computations are of course possi-283 ble, but they will not be considered here. Although such details introduce some uncer-284 tainty in the analysis, they will basically affect only grid scales. 285

To estimate the eigenvalues of this Laplacian, we use a uniform equilateral mesh, setting $\beta_{cc'} = 1$ in (10). In order to find the eigenvalues we first note that for any two neighboring triangles the orientation of stencils of neighbors depends on the orientation of triangles themselves. For this reason, an elementary Fourier harmonic is described by two amplitudes and the Fourier symbol becomes a 2 by 2 matrix (see e.g. Klemp (2017), Danilov & Kutsenko (2019)), with two eigenvalues

$$L_{\mathbf{k}} = (4/a^2)(-3 \pm |V|), \quad V = e^{-2ilh/3} + e^{ika/2 + ilh/3} + e^{-ika/2 + ilh/3}.$$

The plus sign corresponds to the physical eigenvalue which tends to $-k^2 - l^2$ in the limit of small wavenumbers. The minus sign corresponds to a numerical eigenvalue which is anomalously high in absolute value (it tends to $-24/a^2$ in the limit of small wavenumbers).

In the direction k = 0, $|V|^2 = 5 + 4\cos(Kh)$, which corresponds to a more accu-290 rate behavior than in the vertex case above, giving the physical $L_{\mathbf{k}}$ equal to $-6/h^2$ in-291 stead of $-\pi^2/h^2$ at the largest wavenumber. The eigenvector of the numerical eigenvalue 292 corresponds to a grid-scale pattern that may potentially be present because of a slight 293 difference in differential operators on differently oriented triangles. However, even if data 294 contain some contributions that project on the numerical mode, these contributions will 295 be strongly damped in the filtered fields if the implicit filter is used. In contrast, the pres-296 ence of spurious eigenvalues could be problematic for explicit filters based on series in 297 Laplacians. 298

An alternative approach is to use a discrete Laplacian that is based on a wider sten-299 cil. An obvious choice is to reconstruct full gradient vectors on triangles based on the 300 values on three neighboring triangles. Gradients are then averaged to edges, and their 301 302 divergence gives a Laplacian (see, e.g. Danilov & Kutsenko (2019)). It turns out that such a discrete Laplacian has the same physical eigenvalue as the vertex Laplacian above, 303 i.e. it is less accurate. Its numerical eigenvalue is zero. This Laplacian would be less use-304 ful for implicit filter applications because it will preserve grid-scale noise in coarse-grained 305 fields. A combination of this Laplacian with the smallest-stencil Laplacian can be a way 306 to reduce spurious eigenvalue for applications with explicit filters. 307

3.4 Other discretizations

308

319

The scalar Laplacian (10) can be applied to the components of cell horizontal velocity in plane geometry. Metric terms need to be added in spherical geometry, we do not discuss them here. The vector-invariant form of the vector Laplacian,

$$\Delta \mathbf{u} = \nabla \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} - \operatorname{curl} \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{u}$$

would allow one to avoid the question on metric terms. It depends on computations of
the divergence and inner curl. For cell velocities a natural way would be to compute the
divergence and curl at vertex control volumes using the divergence and circulation theorems because velocities are known at boundaries of such control volumes. This would
lead to an analog of the wider-stencil Laplacian discussed in the previous section, which
is not necessarily optimal because of its large kernel.

C-grid types of discretizations used in MPAS-O and ICON-o will rely on the vectorinvariant forms of vector Laplacians. These Laplacians have large spurious eigenvalues (see e.g. Klemp (2017) and Danilov & Kutsenko (2019)), but for the reasons mentioned above, this is rather an advantage.

3.5 Comments on solving procedure

As recommended by Guedot et al. (2015), instead of solving

$$S\overline{\phi} = \phi$$
,

where $\overline{\phi}$ and ϕ are the vectors of discrete values, one works with perturbations $\phi' = \overline{\phi} - \phi$, with the result

$$\mathsf{S}\phi' = \phi - \mathsf{S}\phi.$$

In this case the right hand side has emphasis on small scales, and ϕ' is the fine-scale contribution that has to be removed from the original data. This is expected to improve the convergence, which is more difficult for large scales. It indeed leads to some improvements in our case, however the main issue is that conditioning of S worsens as k_{ℓ} decreases.

Also, as explained by Guedot et al. (2015), one will split the operators when n > 2. For example,

$$\mathsf{I} + \gamma(\mathsf{D})^3 = (\mathsf{I} + \gamma^{1/3}\mathsf{D})(\mathsf{I} - \gamma^{1/3}\mathsf{D} + \gamma^{2/3}\mathsf{D}^2),$$

and

$$I + \gamma(\mathsf{D})^4 = (\mathsf{I} + (4\gamma)^{1/4}\mathsf{D} + \gamma^{1/2}\mathsf{D}^2)(\mathsf{I} - (4\gamma)^{1/4}\mathsf{D} + \gamma^{1/2}\mathsf{D}^2),$$

and so on $(\gamma = 1/2)$. In principle, using tri-harmonic and bi-biharmonic operators only doubles the work of iterative solvers once matrices of harmonic and biharmonic operators D and D² are available. While biharmonic operator should be sufficient in most cases for general conclusion about energy spectra, one may opt for higher-order in the case when precise position of spectral peaks has to be estimated.

329 4 Illustrations

For illustrations here we first generate a scalar field $\phi(\mathbf{x})$ on a regular quadrilateral mesh covering a square domain with size $L_x = 1024$ km. The initial mesh has the resolution a = 4 km (the side of quadrilateral cell). The field ϕ is initially specified at vertices of this mesh by taking random values uniformly distributed around zero. It is Fourier transformed, and each wave component is divided by $K^{(\alpha+1)/2}$, where K is the absolute value of the wave vector. After that, the inverse Fourier transform is carried out. In this way, fields with Fourier power spectra $E_K \sim K^{-\alpha}$ are created, and $\alpha =$ 2 is used below. The Fourier amplitudes are additionally modified to add peaks in Fourier
 power spectra in section 4.2.

One triangular mesh is obtained by bisecting the quadrilateral cells of the primary 339 mesh. The other one is an equilateral mesh with triangle side a. The third mesh is an 340 unstructured mesh with the triangle sides varying between a and 2a. These meshes will 341 be denoted further as Q, E and U. One more unstructured mesh with resolution simi-342 lar to U, but covering a circular area, will be used for tests in spherical geometry. The 343 largest resolvable wavenumber is defined by the small side of triangles on mesh Q, the 344 345 height of triangle on mesh E and the height of largest triangles on mesh U. The initial field on mesh Q is the constructed one, and it is linearly interpolated to meshes E and 346 U, respectively. Except for Fig. 3, we use the modified β . We take $\gamma = 1/2$ which en-347 sures that k_{ℓ} corresponds to the ordinary wavenumber and ℓ has the sense of inverse wavenum-348 ber. A conjugate gradient solver is used in all cases. In all figures showing spectral den-349 sity, the vertical axis is in units of the field squared times km/cycle, and wavenumbers 350 on the horizontal axis are in cycle/km. 351

352

4.1 Uniform and distorted triangular meshes

Two panels of Fig. 3 present the computed scale spectra on triangular meshes Q, 353 E, and U using harmonic (right) and bi-harmonic (left) filter for realizations of field ϕ 354 with the power density slope $\alpha = 2$. Here, the scaling factor $\beta = 1/k_{\ell}^2$. The Fourier 355 spectrum is in red, it contains fluctuations because it is the spectrum of a realization. 356 Different realizations are used in the left and right panels. The thin dashed line corre-357 sponds to the slope of -2, and blue, solid black and green lines give scale spectra on meshes 358 Q, E, and U respectively. The horizontal axis correspond to K for the Fourier spectrum 359 and k_{ℓ} for the scale spectra. The k_{ℓ} values are sampled up to π/h , where h is the smaller 360 side of triangle on mesh Q or the height of the smallest triangle on other two meshes. 361 Since $\beta = 1/k_{\ell}^2$, in this case we should stop at 2/h, comparing the value of scale spec-362 trum at this k_{ℓ} to the value of Fourier spectrum at $K = \pi/h$. 363

As expected, for the original data (blue curves) the bi-harmonic filter ensures a closer agreement between the scale and Fourier spectra. As already mentioned, the biharmonic filter can also be used for sufficiently steep spectra (formally $\alpha \leq 5$), while the use of harmonic filter is limited to $\alpha \leq 3$. We checked this, but do not demonstrate it here.

The scale spectra on meshes E and U (solid black and green curves) deviate from the scale spectrum on mesh Q for large k_{ℓ} . This is the consequence of using interpolated data on these meshes which have smaller variance on grid scales (note that E and Q curves are very close). The effect is stronger for U mesh because it contains larger triangles and for the biharmonic case (left panel) because of the narrower form-factor. For the harmonic filter, the effect is partly masked by excessively broad form-factor which picks up the contributions from smaller wavenumbers.

The scale spectra tend to bend down compared to the Fourier spectrum on the side of smallest wavenumbers, which is presumably because a part of form-factor curve is cut on the left. Similar tendency on the side of large wavenumbers is partly related to the difference between K and k_{ℓ} in this case.

Apart from effects caused by interpolation, the scale spectra are insensitive to the mesh type, which proves that the method can work on different meshes, including unstructured meshes. The scale spectra are always smoother than the realization of the Fourier spectrum, as they correspond to the integration over some range of Fourier wavenumbers in the vicinity of k_{ℓ} , which smooths the fluctuations of the Fourier spectrum. On a practical side this means that one may need less averaging over field realizations as compared to the Fourier spectrum.



Figure 3. Scale spectra compared to Fourier spectra computed with Laplacian smoothing (right) and biharmonic smoothing (left). Shown are the Fourier spectrum (red), the scale spectrum on a uniform triangular mesh obtained by bisecting quadrilateral cells (blue, mesh Q), on a regular equilateral mesh (solid black, mesh E) and on an irregular unstructured mesh (green, mesh U). The wavenumber is in cycle/km, the horizontal axis corresponds to K for the Fourier spectrum and to $k_{\ell} = 1/\ell$ for scale spectra.

For unstructured meshes, the largest resolved wavenumber is formally set by the the height of the *largest* triangles. However, if such triangles cover only a small part of domain, the contribution from small triangles will still dominate. This is why we use the same largest k_{ℓ} for E and U meshes in practice. It is expected that the computed scale spectrum will bend down if k_{ℓ} is taken beyond the range, indicating where one has to stop.

4.2 Peak detection

392

All further examples use the modified β , so that the correspondence between the 393 wavenumbers K and k_{ℓ} is maintained. Fields with peaks in the Fourier spectrum have 394 been constructed following the same procedure as above, but applying additional fac-395 tors to the amplitudes of Fourier harmonics. Figure 4 displays two examples illustrat-396 ing possible behavior. We use mesh Q and the biharmonic filter. In the left panel, the 397 peak in the Fourier spectrum is accurately recovered in the scale spectrum. In contrast, 398 the close peaks in the scale spectrum in the right panel are not detected, and the peak 399 around $k_{\ell} \approx 0.2$ cycle/km is nearly missed. The success in the first case is because the 400 Fourier spectrum decays sufficiently fast on both sides of the peak and there are no other 401 close peaks. The form-factor responsible for the correspondence between the Fourier and 402 scale spectra is still not sharp enough for the field used in the right panel, even for the 403 biharmonic filter, and higher-order filters have to be used if finding exact position of peaks 404 is of utmost importance. 405



Figure 4. Spectral peak detection by scale spectra based on biharmonic smoothing. The Fourier spectra are in red, the scale spectra are in blue. Peaks can be missed or masked if they are narrow and/or there are neighboring intervals with high spectral density.

4.3 Harmonic filter vs explicit box-type filter

We use a box-type filter with the kernel

$$G(\mathbf{x}) = A(1 - \tanh(c(|\mathbf{x}| - 1.75/k_{\ell})/a)), \tag{11}$$

where A is the normalization constant selected so as to ensure that $\int G(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = 1$, a 407 is the (fixed) mesh cell size, c = 1.3 is a numerical factor controlling the sharpness of 408 the box filter transition, and $1.75/k_{\ell}$ is equivalent to the scale $\ell_{\rm box}/2$ of the box filter, 409 as discussed above. Figure 5 compares the scale spectrum obtained by explicit coarse-410 graining with this box-type filter (blue line), with the scale spectrum obtained by Lapla-411 cian smoothing (solid black line) on mesh Q. Both methods lead to nearly the same spec-412 tra, with the largest differences on the side of large wavenumbers. The selection of pa-413 rameter c was experimental. Decreasing it increases the discrepancy between the two curves, 414 which is still smaller than the deviation from the Fourier spectrum. If c is increased, the 415 box filter transition becomes sharper, but this leads to some unevenness of the spectrum 416 on the side of largest wavenumbers. We conclude that both methods agree, as expected, 417 and that the attribution $\ell_{\rm box} = 3.5/k_{\ell}$ works well. The excessive decay at the spectral 418 end $(k_{\ell} \ge 0.5 \text{ cycle/km})$ shown by solid black line is the consequence of using the mod-419 ified β in the analysis. 420

421 4.4 Spherical geometry

406

An unstructured variable-resolution mesh covering a circular area with diameter 1024 km is created. The sides of triangles vary between a = 4 km and 2a. The realization of $\phi(\mathbf{x})$ with -2 power spectrum is linearly interpolated on this mesh. The mesh coordinates are then approximately transformed to longitude and latitude by multiplying the coordinates with $180/(\pi R_e)$. The sphere is then rotated in the meridional direction by $\pi/6$, $\pi/3$ and $5\pi/12$ to produce three variants of the same mesh having various



Figure 5. Scale spectra obtained by explicit coarse-graining with box filter (11) (blue) compared to scale spectra computed with Laplacian smoothing (solid black). The Fourier spectrum is in red, and the dashed line corresponds to the slope of -2.

longitude and latitude coordinates, as shown in Fig. 6. We apply the coarse-graining based 428 on the biharmonic filter to these three meshes. Although they correspond to the same 429 original mesh and data, they have different coordinates on the sphere and the cosine of 430 latitude varies much stronger on the higher-latitude mesh than on the other two meshes. 431 However, the computed scale spectra, shown in Fig. 7, are indistinguishable. This in-432 dicates that the approximation of cosine by a constant value on triangles is sufficient. 433 By using a circular mesh in this example we illustrate that the smoothing procedure can 434 work in a domain of arbitrary shape. 435

436

4.5 Kinetic energy spectra in spherical geometry

For this test case, a realization of scalar field $\phi(\mathbf{x})$ with power spectrum with a -4 437 slope was created on mesh Q. This field was interpreted as a streamfunction and used 438 to compute a velocity field. The mesh coordinates were transformed to longitude and 439 latitude by multiplying them by $180/(\pi R_e)$. Then the mesh was moved along the zeroth 440 meridian so that its south-west corner is at $(0^{\circ}, 75^{\circ})$. The mesh becomes stretched zon-441 ally if viewed in longitude-latitude coordinates on the sphere. Velocities are computed 442 at the centers of triangles by computing derivatives of streamfunction given at vertices. 443 We solved the full system of equations (8,9), building matrices with twice the dimension 444 of the scalar problem. Figure 8 shows the scale spectrum for kinetic energy computed 445 with the harmonic filter (blue curve). For comparison, the black curve shows the spec-446 trum computed by solving two problems for velocity components with metric terms ig-447 nored. As is seen, the differences start to form at the large scale, and they might be larger 448 if the size of the domain is larger. In the example used, solving (8,9) takes nearly the 449 same computational time as solving two smaller-size problems for velocity components 450 separately, but requires more storage. 451



Figure 6. Three variants of the same realization of ϕ and mesh in longitude-latitude coordinates (axes in degrees).

452 5 Discussions

In this work we present an elementary theory of scale analysis based on implicit filters that use powers of Laplacian. Since discrete Laplacian can be written for any mesh type, the procedure is sufficiently general. Our focus was on triangular meshes, but the definition such as (7) are valid for arbitrary meshes

Discrete Laplacians deviate from their continuous counterparts on grid scales and 457 may have spurious modes in a general case. We used only the simplest options for dis-458 crete Laplacians and explored in some detail the case of vertex placement. The devia-459 tion of eigenvalues of discrete Laplacians from the continuous case creates some difficul-460 ties in the interpretation of scale spectra near the spectral end. Using the modified scale 461 factor similar to Guedot et al. (2015) allows one to keep the correspondence between $k_{\ell} =$ 462 $1/\ell$ and the standard wavenumber, but creates an artificial spectral decay, as seen in Figs. 5, 7 and 8. If the original definition $\beta = 1/k_{\ell}^2 = \ell^2$ is retained, the direct correspon-463 464 dence between K and k_{ℓ} is violated near the spectral end. While these difficulties are 465 not important in many practical cases, one may be interested in studying energy pileup 466 at grid scales, where the method based on implicit smoothing should be used with care. 467

More accurate discrete Laplacians will reduce these difficulties. The construction of such Laplacians for general unstructured meshes is, however, not straightforward, and remapping between K and k_{ℓ} can be an easier way if $\beta = 1/k_{\ell}^2$ is used. In fact, one does not necessarily need the exact correspondence between the Fourier and scale spectra, in practice it would be sufficient just to compare spectra in terms of k_{ℓ} . Note that similar complications may accompany the use of box-type filters if $\ell_{\text{box}}/2$ becomes close to the width of transition part (see (11)).

⁴⁷⁵ Although our examples include only energy spectra, the procedure is applicable for ⁴⁷⁶ cross-spectra of two fields. Our main focus was on scale spectra $\overline{E}(k_{\ell})$, which are simi-⁴⁷⁷ lar to the Fourier spectra, but the scale spectrum $\epsilon(\ell)$ also deserves attention and might ⁴⁷⁸ be similarly informative in practice.



Figure 7. Scale spectra computed for three meshes in Fig. 6 using the biharmonic filter. The spectrum for the high-latitude variant is in green, and two other cases are under it. The red line corresponds to the Fourier spectrum on the parent regular quadrilateral mesh, and the dashed line gives the slope -2.

The convergence of conjugate gradient solver slows down as k_{ℓ} is approaching k_{\min} , 479 and this slowdown becomes stronger when mesh resolution is increased. The biharmonic 480 filter requires many more solver iterations than the harmonic one in this case and the 481 solver should also be run with smaller tolerance in this case. The convergence can be im-482 proved through the use of preconditioners. Guedot et al. (2015) used diagonal precon-483 ditioning, but it does not provide a substantial improvement for small k_{ℓ} in our case. In 484 test implementation (in Matlab), the incomplete Cholesky preconditioner was efficient 485 on regular meshes for the harmonic filter in essentially reducing the number of iterations 486 (but not the execution time), however there are difficulties with using it on unstructured 487 meshes or with the biharmonic filter. It remains to be seen which preconditioners and 488 solution methods will be able to improve the performance for general meshes. 489

Meshes with 1M wet vertices (the size of a typical quarter degree global mesh) can 490 be treated in a serial way, requiring about 5 min for the construction of scale spectrum. 491 A parallel implementation is needed for larger meshes. Both online and offline versions 492 can be of interest. The online computations are straightforward for harmonic filters be-493 cause they can rely on the existing solvers for the implicit sea surface height in FESOM 494 and some other models. For biharmonic filters, the implementation in matrix form will 495 require increasing halos to include neighbors of neighbors. A matrix-free form does not require the increased halos, but might complicate the design of preconditioners. Respec-497 tive development is a subject of ongoing work. 498

We did not try the higher-order filters (tri-harmonic, bi-biharmonic or higher) in this work. They might be needed to compute spectra that contain peaks. Technically, once discrete harmonic and biharmonic operators are available, any higher-order implicit filters can be realized as a sequence of more elementary inversions that use harmonic and biharmonic operators. Practical implementation, convergence issues and the selection of the filter order need additional studies.



Figure 8. Scale spectra of kinetic energy computed using the biharmonic filter. The mesh is approximately between 75 and 85 degrees of latitude. The spectrum calculated taking into consideration all metric terms is represented in blue, while the spectrum without considering the metric terms is shown in black. The red line corresponds to the Fourier power spectrum of streamfunction multiplied with K^2 , and the dashed line indicates the slope -2.

We experimented only with vertex placements for coarse-grained quantities, mostly 505 because we intended to minimize the work in this study which explores and explains the 506 concept. The cell (triangle) and edge placement will be addressed in future. In partic-507 ular, for FESOM, which places horizontal velocities on triangles, the analysis of kinetic 508 energy dissipation calls for computation on the original locations. Indeed, the projec-509 tion of horizontal viscosity term from triangles to vertices may eliminate a significant part 510 of small-scale dissipation, and computations on native locations will be made available 511 in the future. The placement of coarse-grained velocities on triangles will lead to ma-512 trices with a twice larger dimension compared to the vertex case and a substantial in-513 crease in the required numerical work. 514

Finally, as mentioned before, one of the advantages of the coarse-graining approach lies in the availability of spatial distributions of energy (or other quantities). These distributions allow one to learn not only which scales contain most of the energy, but also where this energy is mainly located. This aspect was not addressed in this work, which relies on synthetic data, but it can present even larger interest than spectra in practical cases.

521 6 Conclusions

We propose to use implicit filters to extend the concept of scale analysis based on coarse-graining to general unstructured meshes. The procedure is based on the discretizations of Laplacian operators and can provide filters of high-order if higher degrees of Laplacian are used. The discretization can be applied to scalar and vector data, both in flat and spherical geometry. We demonstrated the effectiveness of the implicit coarse-graining approach through several examples, highlighting the similarity between scale spectra and standard Fourier spectra, as well as its ability to operate on diverse mesh types.

We acknowledge that numerous details regarding the extension of this approach to alternative data placements still need to be tested, which is the subject of ongoing research.

⁵³³ 7 Data availability statement

Matlab scripts used for computations in this work and data used to draw the figures can be found in Danilov et al. (2023). An accelerated Python version is under development and will be presented separately.

537 Acknowledgments

This work is a contribution to projects M3 and S2 of the Collaborative Research Centre TRR181 "Energy Transfer in Atmosphere and Ocean" funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) - Projektnummer 274762653.

541 References

- Aluie, H., Hecht, M., & Vallis, G. K. (2018). Mapping the energy cascade in
 the North Atlantic Ocean: The coarse-graining approach. Journal of Physical
 Oceanography, 48(2), 225-244. doi: https://doi.org/10.1175/jpo-d-17-0100.1
- Danilov, S. (2022). On the resolution of triangular meshes. Journal of Advances
 in Modeling Earth Systems, 14, e2022MS003177. doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/
 2022MS003177
- Danilov, S., Juricke, S., Nowak, K., Sidorenko, D., & Wang, Q. (2023). Computing
 spatial spectra using implicit filters.
- ⁵⁵⁰ doi: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8171835
- ⁵⁵¹ Danilov, S., & Kutsenko, A. (2019). On the geometric origin of spurious waves in ⁵⁵² finite-volume discretizations of shallow water equations on triangular meshes. J. ⁵⁵³ Comput. Phys., 398, 108891.
- Danilov, S., Sidorenko, D., Wang, Q., & Jung, T. (2017). The Finite-volumE Sea
 ice–Ocean Model (FESOM2). *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 10, 765–789.
- ⁵⁵⁶ Defferrard, M., Milani, M., Gusset, F., & Perraudin, N. (2020). DeepSphere: A
 ⁵⁵⁷ graph-based spherical CNN. *ICLR 2020*. doi: https://arXiv.org/2012.15000v1
- Grooms, I., Loose, N., Abernathey, R., Steinberg, J. M., Bachman, S. D., Marques,
 G., ... Yankovsky, E. (2021). Diffusion-based smoothers for spatial filtering of
 gridded geophysical data. *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems*, 13,
 e2021MS002552. doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/2021MS002552
- Guedot, L., Lartigue, G., & Moureau, V. (2015). Design of implicit high-order filters
 on unstructured grids for the identification of large-scale features in large-eddy
 simulation and application to a swirl burner. *Physics of Fluids*, 27(4), 045107.
 doi: https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4917280
- Klemp, J. (2017). Damping characteristics of horizontal Laplacian diffusion filters.
 Mon. Weather Rev., 145, 4365-4379.
- Korn, P. (2017). Formulation of an unstructured grid model for global ocean dynam *ics. J. Comput. Phys.*, 339, 525–552.
- Ringler, T., Petersen, M., Higdon, R., Jacobsen, D., Maltrud, M., & Jones, P.
 (2013). A multi-resolution approach to global ocean modelling. *Ocean Modell.*, 69, 211–232.
- Sadek, M., & Aluie, H. (2018). Extracting the spectrum of a flow by spatial filtering.
 Physical Review Fluids, 3(12), 124610. doi: https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevfluids

.3.124610

Extracting spatial spectra using coarse-graining based 1 on implicit filters 2

S. Danilov^{1,2}, S. Juricke², K. Nowak¹, D. Sidorenko¹, Q. Wang¹

 $^1\mathrm{Alfred}$ Wegener Institute, Helmholtz Centre for Polar and Marine Research, Bremerhaven, Germany $^2\mathrm{Constructor}$ University, Bremen, Germany

Key Points:

3

4 5

6

7

9

10

- A coarse-graining technique for unstructured meshes based on implicit filters is proposed for the analysis of energy distribution over scales. 8
 - The technique is well suited for scalar and vector quantities in both flat and spherical geometry.

Corresponding author: Sergey Danilov, sergey.danilov@awi.de

11 Abstract

Scale analysis based on coarse-graining has been proposed recently as an alternative to Fourier analysis. It requires interpolation to a regular mesh for data from unstructuredmesh models. We propose an alternative coarse-graining method which relies on implicit filters using powers of discrete Laplacians. This method can work on arbitrary (structured or unstructured) meshes and is applicable to the direct output of unstructuredmesh models. Illustrations and details are provided for discrete fields placed at vertices of triangular meshes. The placement on triangles is also briefly discussed.

¹⁹ Plain Language Summary

When studying ocean flows scientists are interested in how flow energy is distributed over scales. Ocean circulation models simulate these flows on computational meshes, and some models use highly variable meshes, such as unstructured triangular meshes. To eliminate the effect of mesh inhomogeneity, the output of such models is first interpolated to a regular quadrilateral mesh, which creates uncertainties. The method we propose does not require this interpolation and can be applied to the output of such models directly.

²⁶ 1 Introduction

The analysis of energy distribution over spatial scales, as well as the related anal-27 yses for energy generation, dissipation and transfer between scales are canonical tools 28 in the study of eddy-driven flows. Typically, such analyses are conducted with the help 29 of the Fourier transform. However, recently, an approach based on convolution, or coars-30 ening has been proposed (Aluie et al. (2018); Sadek & Aluie (2018)). It not only pro-31 vides energy distribution across scales but also gives the spatial distribution of energy 32 at different scales. Additionally, this approach can be applied to flow domains of arbi-33 trary shapes. Although the number of applications using this approach is still relatively 34 limited compared to those utilizing Fourier spectra, they have demonstrated its useful-35 36 ness.

Some new-generation ocean circulation models such as FESOM2 (Danilov et al. (2017)), MPAS-O (Ringler et al. (2013)) and ICON-o (Korn (2017)) are formulated on unstructured triangular meshes, or their dual, quasi-hexagonal meshes. Scale or spectral analysis of fields simulated on such meshes typically requires interpolation to regular quadrilateral grids. Similar difficulties arise even with models using regular meshes on the sphere, as these meshes are rarely uniform, except in case where a relatively small region is explored and mesh non-uniformity can be ignored.

This paper proposes an approach to scale analysis based on implicit filters. In essence, 44 it is inspired by the work of Guedot et al. (2015), which utilizes implicit filters based on 45 powers of the Laplacian operator is extended here to incorporate scale analysis through 46 successive coarsening. An important aspect is that implicit filters based on Laplacians 47 can be easily adapted to unstructured meshes in a manner similar to that described by 48 Guedot et al. (2015) for the vertex-based data. However, some modifications are required 49 for other data placements. It is crucial to note that discrete Laplacians may have spu-50 rious eigenvalues when data are placed at the centers of triangles or mid-edges (Klemp 51 (2017), Danilov & Kutsenko (2019)), which will be discussed further. The concept of us-52 ing implicit filters is not new. Its brief review can be found in Grooms et al. (2021) and 53 is not repeated here. There is also a certain similarity to explicit approaches in Grooms 54 et al. (2021) and Defferrard et al. (2020), where the idea to represent filter operators as 55 series in powers of discrete Laplacians is exploited. In all these cases, only nearest data 56 points are needed for computations, making it convenient for parallel applications. Al-57 though implicit filters involve using iterative solvers, the procedure is highly paralleliz-58 able and, hopefully, more stable than explicit methods on general unstructured meshes 59

where local mesh inhomogeneity may pose challenges. Furthermore, the discrete Laplace 60

operators can be implemented in full spherical geometry as used in models. For vector-61

valued data (horizontal velocities), vector Laplacians can be used either in a vector-invariant 62

form or with metric terms, as will be discussed in some detail in this study. 63

To start with, we explain the method using the one-dimensional case (Section 2). 64 The extension necessary for horizontal fields is given in Section 3. The detail depends 65 on the placement of discrete data and whether one deals with scalars or vectors. While 66 our main focus is on the placement in FESOM, we also briefly discuss other placements. 67 The analysis is applied to synthetic two dimensional fields to illustrate technical aspects. 68 The results are also compared to those obtained with the coarsening method proposed 69 by Aluie et al. (2018). The final sections of the paper present discussions and conclu-70 sions. 71

2 Elementary theory 72

The consideration presented here has very much in common with that in Guedot et al. (2015) and Sadek & Aluie (2018), because it incorporates elements from both approaches. Let $\phi(\mathbf{x})$ be a scalar field, with \mathbf{x} lying in some domain D. We are interested in the distribution of the second moment (or variance if mean is removed) of this field over spatial scales. A coarse-graining approach, similar in spirit to that of Aluie et al. (2018) and Sadek & Aluie (2018) will be applied, but relying on implicit filters. The coarsened field $\phi_{\ell}(\mathbf{x})$ will be found by solving

$$(1 + \gamma(-\ell^2 \Delta)^n)\overline{\phi}_\ell = \phi. \tag{1}$$

Here, Δ is the Laplacian, the smoothing scale is parameterized by ℓ , and γ is a param-73 eter that tunes the relation of ℓ to wavenumbers, as will be explained further. The in-74 teger n defines the order of the implicit filter, which is second-order for n = 1 (in ter-75 minology of Guedot et al. (2015)), and order 2n in a general case. Equation (1) is com-76 plemented by boundary conditions on the boundary ∂D of the computational domain 77 D, which will be discussed below. 78

A discrete Laplacian operator can be constructed independent of the type of the 79 computational mesh and mesh geometry (flat or spherical), which makes the procedure 80 suited for scale analysis on unstructured meshes. 81

2.1 1D case 82

In order to clarify some details of the method, we begin with the one-dimensional 83 case assuming periodic boundary conditions to facilitate Fourier analysis. We assume 84 n = 1 here, and explain later why larger n could be desirable. 85

In the Fourier space,

$$\hat{\overline{\phi}}_{\ell}(k) = \hat{G}_{\ell}(k)\hat{\phi}(k) = \frac{\hat{\phi}(k)}{1 + \gamma\ell^2 k^2},$$

where k is the wavenumber, the hat denotes Fourier transformed quantities and $\hat{G}_{\ell}(k)$ is the Fourier transform of the convolution kernel. The same notation k will be used for the absolute value of the wave vector in the discussion of energy spectra below in this section. Let E_k be the Fourier energy spectrum of the field ϕ such that the mean energy over D is obtained by summation over positive k,

$$E = \int_0^\infty E_k dk,$$

where the integral is a replacement for the sum over Fourier modes. The area-mean energy of the coarse grained field, to be denoted by $\overline{\mathcal{E}}_{\ell}$, will be expressed as

$$\overline{\mathcal{E}}_{\ell} = \int_0^\infty |\hat{G}_{\ell}|^2 E_k dk = \int_0^\infty \frac{E_k dk}{(1 + \gamma \ell^2 k^2)^2}$$

Clearly, $\overline{\mathcal{E}}_0 = E$. We can introduce

$$\varepsilon(\ell) = -\partial \overline{\mathcal{E}}_{\ell} / \partial \ell = -\int_0^\infty \frac{\partial |\hat{G}_{\ell}|^2}{\partial \ell} E_k dk = \frac{4\gamma}{\ell^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{k^2 \ell^2 E_k \ell dk}{(1+\gamma k^2 \ell^2)^3}.$$
 (2)

The quantity $\varepsilon(\ell)$ can be considered as energy distribution over scales ℓ because

$$E = \int_0^\infty \varepsilon(\ell) d\ell, \quad \overline{\mathcal{E}}_\ell = \int_0^\infty |\hat{G}_\ell|^2 E_k dk = \int_l^\infty \varepsilon(\ell) d\ell = E - \int_0^l \varepsilon(\ell') d\ell',$$

and $\varepsilon d\ell$ can be interpreted as the energy stored between the scales ℓ and $\ell + d\ell$. This

87 88

interpretation is not perfect because, in contrast to the Fourier spectrum, the contributions from different ℓ are not orthogonal, but it can still be useful in practice.

We will introduce one more derived quantity, proposed in Aluie et al. (2018) and Sadek & Aluie (2018). It can be more convenient as it presents a closer analogy to the Fourier spectrum. We define $k_{\ell} = 1/\ell$ and introduce

$$\overline{E}(k_{\ell}) = \partial \overline{\mathcal{E}}_{\ell} / \partial k_{\ell} = \int_0^\infty \frac{\partial |\hat{G}_{\ell}|^2}{\partial k_{\ell}} E_k dk = \int_0^\infty \frac{4\gamma k^2 / k_{\ell}^2}{(1 + \gamma k^2 / k_{\ell}^2)^3} E_k \frac{dk}{k_{\ell}}.$$
(3)

The bar is used to distinguish this quantity from the Fourier energy spectrum. The formfactor $\partial |\hat{G}_{\ell}|^2 / \partial k_{\ell}$ is a function of wavenumber k and has a peak at some k. It therefore picks up the energy E_k in the vicinity of that peak wavenumber and ensures that $\overline{E}(k_{\ell})$ is an approximate representation of E_k . It remains to be seen what is the correspondence between the peak wavenumber k and k_{ℓ} , or the peak wavelength $\lambda = 2\pi/k$ and ℓ , and when $\overline{E}(k_{\ell})$ represents E_k sufficiently accurately. These questions will be addressed in the following sections.

2.2 Comparison with explicit convolution kernels

A box convolution kernel with width ℓ in the k-space is

$$\hat{G}_l(k) = \frac{\sin(k\ell/2)}{(k\ell/2)}.$$

As $z = k\ell \to 0$, $\hat{G}_l(k) \approx 1 - z^2/24$. We may require that all second-order kernels have such behavior in the vicinity of zero wavenumbers. For a Gaussian filter this requirement will lead to

$$\hat{G}_l(k) = \exp(-k^2\ell^2/24).$$

For the second order implicit filter this will lead to $\gamma = 1/24$, giving

$$\hat{G}_l(k) = \frac{1}{1 + k^2 \ell^2 / 24}.$$

97

96

The left panel of Fig. 1 depicts these kernels as a function of $z = k\ell = k/k_{\ell}$. Note that the box kernel shows the sharpest behavior in the vicinity of zero, and the implicit second order filter is the most flat. The right panel shows the behavior of the dimensionless form-factor $k_{\ell}\partial|\hat{G}_{\ell}(k)|^2/\partial k_{\ell} = -z\partial_z|\hat{G}_{\ell}(z)|^2$. The maxima are reached at $z = \sqrt{12} \approx$ 3.46 for the Gaussian and implicit second-order filter, and $z \approx 3.52$ for the box filter. Taking the approximation $k/k_{\ell} \approx 3.5$ we obtain $\ell = (3.5/2\pi)\lambda \approx 0.55\lambda$. As expected,



Figure 1. Convolution kernels (left) and the dimensionless form-factor $k_{\ell}\partial|\hat{G}_{\ell}(k)|^2/\partial_{k_{\ell}}$ (right) as functions of $z = k/k_{\ell}$. Shown are the box kernel (light gray), Gaussian kernel (gray), implicit second-order kernel with $\gamma = 1/24$ (black) and implicit fourth-order kernel (n = 2) with $\gamma = 1/288$ (dashed).

104	the scale ℓ is about half of a wavelength, and a more accurate statement has hardly a
105	lot of sense since E_k is a function of k, and the peak of the integrand in (3) for given k_ℓ
106	will occur for somewhat different k than the peak of the form-factor.

107 2.3 Limitations

In order to see how $\overline{E}(k_{\ell})$ is related to the Fourier spectrum we assume that

$$E_k = Ck^{-\alpha}, \quad k \in (k_{\min}, k_{\max}),$$

and zero otherwise. In this case,

$$\overline{E}(k_{\ell}) = 4C\gamma k_{\ell}^{-\alpha} \int_{z_{\min}}^{z_{\max}} \frac{z^{2-\alpha} dz}{(1+\gamma z^2)^3}.$$
(4)

Here $z_{\min} = k_{\min}/k_{\ell} = k_{\min}\ell$ and $z_{\max} = k_{\max}/k_{\ell} = k_{\max}\ell$. The integrand in (4) does not depend on the scale ℓ , and if not for the variable integration limits, we would have concluded that $\overline{E}(k_{\ell})$ has the same spectral slope as E_k just with a slightly different amplitude factor. However, the limits are functions of k_{ℓ} . In practical applications with discrete data, $k_{\min} = 2\pi/L_x$ and $k_{\max} = \pi/h$, where L_x and h are respectively the domain size and mesh cell size.

If $\gamma^{1/2}\ell > h/\pi$, which is generally the case, $\gamma^{1/2}z_{\text{max}} > 1$. Since the integrand in (4) vanishes rapidly for $\gamma^{1/2}z > 1$, the precise value of the upper limit will have only 114 115 a small effect. The effect of the lower limit depends on the slope α via the numerator 116 of the integrand. If $\alpha \geq 2$, the integrand takes the largest values at the lower limit, mean-117 ing that the integral in (4) becomes sensitive to its lower limit, and that $\overline{E}(k_{\ell})$ will dif-118 fer from E_k for small k_ℓ . The integral will be entirely determined by the behavior at the 119 lower limit for $\alpha > 3$. Such slopes cannot be diagnosed with second-order filters, which 120 will return $\overline{E}(k_{\ell}) \sim k_{\ell}^{-3}$. Sadek & Aluie (2018) give an illustration of such a satura-121 tion effect for slopes steeper than -3. 122

¹²³ Note that even if the Fourier energy spectra are sufficiently flat (e.g. with $\alpha = 5/3$), ¹²⁴ the integral in (4) can still be sensitive to the lower limit because $z^{2-\alpha}$ varies too slowly. ¹²⁵ In a more general situation, when E_k has a local spectral peak at intermediate scales, the position of a local peak in $\overline{E}(k_{\ell})$ could be aliased because the form-factor is rather broad for the second-order filters (see Fig. 1, right panel).

This is the reason why it is advisable to use higher orders n in (1). Indeed, the expression (4) for arbitrary n transforms to

$$\overline{E}(k_l) = 4n\gamma C k_\ell^{-\alpha} \int_{z_{\min}}^{z_{\max}} \frac{z^{2n-\alpha} dz}{(1+\gamma z^{2n})^3}.$$
(5)

For n = 2, i.e., for the biharmonic filter, one will need to take $\gamma = 1/288$ to have the 128 same position of the peak in the form-factor as in the second-order case with $\gamma = 1/24$ 129 because the peak is always at $2\gamma z^{2n} = 1$. This case is depicted in Fig. 1 with the dashed 130 line, and the right panel illustrates that the peak becomes sharper than for the second-131 order filters. Importantly, the form-factor remains closer to zero at small wavenumers 132 and is well-suited for a practically interesting range of slopes $\alpha \leq 3$. The relation be-133 tween the Fourier spectrum and the scale spectrum becomes more local. Sadek & Aluie 134 (2018) discuss the construction of high-order explicit convolution kernels and illustrate 135 the improvements in the performance of the scale analyses with high-order kernels. We 136 present similar illustrations for the biharmonic case later. 137

Note that one is free in the selection of parameter γ . For practical purposes it might be convenient to take $\gamma = 1/2$, in which case the peak is at $k = k_{\ell}$ and ℓ acquires the sense of inverse wavenumber.

¹⁴¹ 2.4 Modifications in the discrete case

The eigenvalue of the discrete Laplacian is an approximation to $-k^2$ of the continuous case. In the one-dimensional case the simplest numerical implementation of the Laplacian operator on a regular mesh $(L\phi)_j = (\phi_{j-1} + \phi_{j+1} - 2\phi_j)/h^2$, where j is the cell index and h the cell size, leads to the Fourier symbol $L_k = -(4/h^2) \sin^2(kh/2)$. For the largest resolvable wavenumber $k = \pi/h$, the ratio of discrete to continuous Fourier symbols is $-L_k/k^2 = (4/h^2) \sin^2(\pi/2)(h/\pi)^2 = 4/\pi^2$, which is not negligible.

We explore the consequences of this difference, by writing

$$\hat{G}_{\ell}(k) = \frac{1}{1 + \gamma(-\beta L_k)^n}$$

where the scale parameter $\beta = \beta(k_{\ell})$ is introduced formally instead of $\ell^2 = 1/k_{\ell}^2$. In this case the form-factor is

$$\partial \hat{G}_{\ell}^2 / \partial k_{\ell} = \frac{-2n\gamma(-\beta L_k)^n}{(1+\gamma(-\beta L_k)^n)^3} \frac{1}{\beta} \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial k_{\ell}}$$

Considering the form-factor as a function of wavenumber k, we find that it reaches maximum at

$$-2\gamma\beta L_k = 1. \tag{6}$$

We take $\gamma = 1/2$ everywhere further to simplify this condition.

There are two obvious options. We can modify the scale parameter β taking $\beta = \beta^M = -1/L_{k_\ell}$, which is similar to the correction used by Guedot et al. (2015). In this case the form-factor peaks at $k = k_\ell = 1/\ell$, so that k_ℓ acquires the sense of the standard wavenumber, which lies in the range from $2\pi/L_x$ to π/h . The drawback of this choice is that $\beta^{-1}\partial\beta/\partial k_\ell \sim \cos(k_\ell h/2)/\sin(k_\ell h/2)$, which tends to zero at $k_\ell = \pi/h$, artificially damping the scale spectrum near the cutoff wavenumber π/h .

The other choice is to leave $\beta = 1/k_{\ell}^2$. In this case the position of the peak of the form-factor is at $-L_k = k_{\ell}^2$. The relationship between k and k_{ℓ} depends on the eigenvalue of discrete Laplacian. For the standard 1D Laplacian we find $\sin(kh/2) = k_{\ell}h/2$. The peak at $k = \pi/h$ corresponds to $k_{\ell} = 2/h$ and the peak at $k = \pi/(2h)$ corresponds to $k_{\ell} = \sqrt{2}/h$. The latter values are already close, and the correspondence between the peak k and k_{ℓ} is recovered for smaller wavenumbers.

As is seen, both choices introduce certain complications at grid scales. However, 161 discrete operators in ocean circulation models also contain errors at grid scales, so that 162 the scale spectra at such scales are of limited interest. If one considers wavenumbers smaller 163 than $\pi/(2h)$, both choices of β and respective scale spectra become close. One can also 164 ignore the distinction between k_{ℓ} and the peak k in this range if $\beta = 1/k_{\ell}^2$, and this choice 165 of β becomes a simpler option. We will, nevertheless, keep the option $\beta = \beta^M$ below 166 for completeness. Guedot et al. (2015) use the 1D Laplacian eigenvalue above also on 167 unstructured meshes, identifying h with the length of the side of a triangle, but we opt 168 for a slightly different value, see below. Since h is variable on unstructured meshes, β^M 169 (if used) should be included between divergence and gradient in the scalar Laplacian, 170 and similarly in the vector Laplacian. 171

For the comparison with the box filter, we recall that the peak of the form-factor is at $k\ell_{\text{box}} \approx 3.5$, which means that $\ell_{\text{box}} \approx 3.5/k_{\ell}$ in terms of k_{ℓ} used further for implicit filters.

3 Unstructured meshes

Laplace operators on unstructured triangular meshes depend on the placement of 176 discrete degrees of freedom. Some of them are reviewed by Klemp (2017) and Danilov 177 & Kutsenko (2019). As applied to the existing unstructured-mesh global ocean circu-178 lation models, one needs to distinguish between the cases of vertex, cell and mid-edge 179 placement, and the cases of scalar and vector operators. The main focus below will be 180 on the FESOM discretization which places scalars at vertices and horizontal velocities 181 on triangles. Although horizontal velocities in FESOM are placed on triangles, in the 182 default implementation we seek coarse grained velocities at vertex locations because this 183 leads to matrices with a twice smaller dimension. One may, nevertheless, need the na-184 tive placement to analyze dissipation spectra, but we do not consider it in detail here, 185 limiting ourselves only to the case of scalars placed on triangles. The particular feature 186 of this case is that the Laplacians either contain spurious eigenvalues or a non-trivial ker-187 nel. We mention that the cell placement of scalars on hexagonal meshes in MPAS-Ocean 188 differs from the vertex placement of FESOM only in the detail of control volumes, and 189 that scalars are placed on triangles in ICON-o. Normal component of velocities are placed 190 at mid-edges in MPAS-O and ICON-o. In these cases vector-invariant forms of vector 191 Laplacians are appropriate, which will not be analysed in detail here. 192

The discretization of Laplacians on unstructured meshes can be obtained by finite volume (as in Guedot et al. (2015)) and finite element methods, and we briefly describe both for the vertex case. The discretization of vector Laplacian for FESOM which works with the full horizontal velocity vector (quasi B grid) can be done similarly to scalars, but with the addition of metric terms as discussed below. Using the vector invariant form of the vector Laplacian will not lead to advantages for quasi B grid.

199

3.1 Scalars, vertex placement

One can use either the finite volume or finite element method. They lead to slightly different discretizations on non-uniform meshes. In the first case, which is also the choice in Guedot et al. (2015),

$$A_{v}(\mathsf{D}\overline{\phi}_{\ell})_{v} = \sum_{v' \in N(v)} \frac{\overline{\phi}_{v'} - \overline{\phi}_{v}}{l_{vv'}} \beta_{vv'} \mathbf{n}_{vv'} \cdot \mathbf{s}_{vv'}, \tag{7}$$



Figure 2. Finite-volume computations of Laplacians for the vertex (left) and cell (right) quantities. In the vertex case, $\mathbf{n}_{vv'}$ is the unit vector in the direction of edge, and $\mathbf{s}_{vv'} = \mathbf{s}_l + \mathbf{s}_r$, where \mathbf{s}_l and \mathbf{s}_r are the left and right vectors from the mid-edge to cell centers rotated to outer normal directions. In the cell case, $\mathbf{n}_{cc'}$ is the unit vector along the line connecting cell centers and $\mathbf{s}_{cc'}$ is the edge vector rotated toward the outer normal.

where the index v enumerates vertices, N(v) is the set of neighboring vertices, $l_{vv'}$ is the 200 length of the edge between vertices, $\mathbf{n}_{vv'}$ is the unit vector from v to v', $\mathbf{s}_{vv'}$ is the bound-201 ary segment vector and A_v the area of scalar median-dual control volume containing ver-202 tex v, see Fig. 2. We included the scale factor $\beta_{vv'}$ as an edge-based quantity. It can be 203 either $\beta_{vv'}^M$ (see below) or $1/k_\ell^2$, in which case it can be taken as a factor before the sum. 204 The quantity $\mathbf{s}_{vv'}$ is a combination of two contributions obtained by rotating vectors con-205 necting mid-edge to cell centroids in the case of FESOM (median-dual control volume), 206 as illustrated in Fig. 2, but it would be related to a single segment on Voronoi (hexag-207 onal) meshes. The operator D is the discrete Laplacian (modified by the scale factor). 208 Because of A_v on the left hand side D is not symmetric (with respect to v and v'), but 209 the right hand side of (7) gives a symmetric operator. This is why (1) has to be discretized 210 in area-weighted sense (see below). 211

Assuming $\overline{\phi}_{\ell}, \phi \sim e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}}$, where $\mathbf{k} = (k, l)$ is the wave vector and \mathbf{x} the coordinate vector, and further assuming that the mesh consists of equilateral triangles with the side a and height $h = a\sqrt{3}/2$, with one side oriented along the x-direction, we obtain the Fourier symbol of the vertex Laplacian (for $\beta_{vv'} = 1$)

$$L_{\mathbf{k}} = (1/h^2)[\cos(ka) + \cos(ka/2 + lh) + \cos(-ka + lh) - 3].$$

The Fourier symbol depends on the wave vector orientation. The boundary of the first 212 Brillouin zone is closest to $\mathbf{k} = 0$ in six directions (one is k = 0), and in such direc-213 tions $L_{\mathbf{k}} = L_K = -(4/h^2) \sin^2(Kh/2)$, where $K = |\mathbf{k}|$ (see Danilov (2022)). This ex-214 pression is similar to the one discussed for the one-dimensional case, with the difference 215 that h is not the length of the triangle side, but the triangle height. We take $\beta_{nn'}^M = -L_{k_\ell}$, 216 where $L_{k\ell} = L_K|_{K=k\ell}$, and express the height assuming regular meshes, $h = \sqrt{3}l_{\nu\nu'}/2$. 217 The wavenumber k_{ℓ} is the absolute value of two-dimensional wave vector and should be 218 taken between $2\pi/L_x$ and π/h . 219

We will suppress the subscript ℓ in $\overline{\phi}_{\ell}$ further for compactness. The discrete version of equation (1) for n = 1 becomes

$$\mathsf{S}_{vv'}\overline{\phi}_{v'} = A_v\phi_v,$$

where summation over repeating index v' is implied. The system matrix is

$$\mathsf{S}_{vv'} = A_v \mathsf{I}_{vv'} + (1/2)A_v \mathsf{D}_{vv'}$$

with $I_{vv'}$ the identity matrix and $D_{vv'}$ the matrix of the operator in (7).

Taken in this form, $S_{vv'}$ is a positive symmetric matrix, and solution for $\overline{\phi}$ can be obtained by the conjugate gradient method.

At this place we turn to the question of boundary conditions. The procedure of con-223 structing the Laplacian, described above, implies that the component of gradients that 224 are normal to domain boundaries are thought to be zero, i.e. we are dealing with the case 225 of zero von Neumann boundary conditions. One can also use the Dirichlet boundary con-226 ditions requiring that $\phi = \phi$ at boundary points, but it will introduce small scales at 227 the boundary. If these conditions are selected, the simplest way to implement them con-228 sists in leaving only the diagonal entries due to $A_v I_{vv'}$ in row v of $\mathsf{S}_{vv'}$ if v is at the bound-229 ary. Note that the symmetry of $S_{vv'}$ will be destroyed. One more choice is to extend the 230 mesh into land and set ϕ to zero there, which might reduce the sensitivity to boundary 231 conditions. We use von Neumann boundary conditions in all cases below, but the ques-232 tion of optimal boundary conditions requires further attention. 233

In the case of a biharmonic filter the matrix of the biharmonic operator can be obtained by applying the procedure used for D twice,

$$S_{vv''} = A_v I_{vv''} + (1/2) A_v D_{vv'} D_{v'v''},$$

with summation over v' implied. Although $\mathsf{D}_{v'v''}$ includes division over $A_{v'}$, the combination $A_v \mathsf{D}_{vv'} \mathsf{D}_{v'v''}$ is symmetric.

Equation (1) can also be discretized with the help of the finite element method. Although it leads to a similar expression for scalars, it is our preferred approach because it is also more useful for vector Laplacians as concerns the metric terms. Consider first the case n = 1. We obtain the weak formulation of (1) by multiplying it with some sufficiently smooth function $w(\mathbf{x})$, integrating over the domain D and transforming the Laplacian term by integration by parts,

$$\int_{D} (w\overline{\phi} + (1/2)\beta\nabla w \cdot \nabla\overline{\phi})dS = \int_{D} w\phi dS.$$

Here the boundary term appearing after the integration by parts is set to zero, which corresponds to natural boundary conditions, similarly to the finite-volume derivation. We write the discrete fields as series $\overline{\phi} = \sum_{v'} \overline{\phi}_{v'} N_{v'}(\mathbf{x})$ and $\phi = \sum_{v'} \phi_{v'} N_{v'}(\mathbf{x})$, where $N_v(\mathbf{x})$ is a piecewise linear basis function. This function equals 1 at \mathbf{x}_v , decays to 0 at vertices connected to v by edges, and is zero outside the stencil of nearest triangles. To obtain continuous Galerkin approximation we require that the weak equation above is valid if w is any of the N_v ,

$$(\mathsf{M}_{vv'} + (1/2)\mathsf{D}_{vv'})\overline{\phi}_{v'} = \mathsf{M}_{vv'}\phi_{v'},$$

where $M_{vv'} = \int N_v N_{v'} dS$ is the mass matrix and $\mathsf{D}_{vv'} = \int \beta \nabla N_v \cdot \nabla N_{v'} dS$. The diagonally lumped approximation of mass matrix is $M_{vv'}^L = A_v \mathsf{I}_{vv'}$. If this approximation is applied, we get the same final discretization on uniform equilateral meshes as for the finite volume method except for the treatment of β . Since computation of integrals are done on triangles (mesh cells), the scaling parameter is treated as a cell quantity if

the modified option is used. Gradients of linear basis functions are constant on trian-241 gles, and their integration is just multiplication with triangle area. The height of trian-242 gle in $L_{k\ell}$ on cell c is conveniently expressed in terms of triangle area as $h = 3^{1/4} \sqrt{A_c}$ 243 if the modified scaling parameter is used. Once again, we have symmetric matrices. The 244 implementation of biharmonic operator leads to $D(M^L)^{-1}D$. An alternative approximate 245 implementation is to eliminate weighting with triangular areas in D, add the factor 1/2246 in D to compensate for the difference between triangle area and the area associated to 247 a vertex, and replace M with the identity matrix I. It is used in our examples below as 248 it implies slightly less work. 249

250

3.2 Spherical geometry and vertex-based vector Laplacians

FESOM uses longitude-latitude coordinates in spherical geometry (for detail, see 251 Danilov et al. (2017)). The cosine of latitude is approximated by a constant on trian-252 gles and local distances on each triangle are calculated in a local Cartesian frame, with 253 x and y aligned with local zonal and meridional directions. The areas of triangles are 254 computed assuming that triangles are flat, and areas of scalar control volumes are ob-255 tained by taking 1/3 of the area of all triangles joining at a given vertex. This way of 256 treating spherical geometry is fully compatible with the finite element computations de-257 scribed in the previous section. For the finite volume treatment, the length of edges is 258 determined using the cosine at mid-edges. 259

Although horizontal (zonal and meridional) velocities in FESOM are placed at triangles, in the present implementation the filtered velocities are calculated at vertices because there are twice fewer vertices than triangles, and matrices to be iteratively inverted have twice lower dimension.

Let $\overline{\mathbf{u}} = (\overline{u}, \overline{v})$ be the filtered horizontal velocity, and $\mathbf{u} = (u, v)$ the original velocity (we suppress the ℓ subscript here too). We will use index v for vertex-based velocities, and index c for cell-based original velocities. The weak equation will take the form

$$\int_{D} (\mathbf{w} \cdot \overline{\mathbf{u}} + (1/2)\beta \nabla \mathbf{w} : \nabla \overline{\mathbf{u}}) dS = \int_{D} \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{u} dS,$$

where now **w** is the vector-valued smooth function. Writing $\overline{\mathbf{u}} = \sum_{v'} \overline{\mathbf{u}}_{v'} N_{v'}(\mathbf{x})$, $\mathbf{u} = \sum_{c} \mathbf{u}_{c} M_{c}(\mathbf{x})$, where M_{c} is the indicator function equal 1 on triangle c and 0 outside it, and requiring that the weak equation is valid for $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w}_{v} N_{v}$ for any vertex v, we obtain the set of discrete equations. However, because the unit zonal and meridional vectors are varying, there will be additional metric terms in the expression for velocity gradient,

$$\nabla \mathbf{u} = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_x u - mv & \partial_x v + mu \\ \partial_y u & \partial_y v \end{pmatrix}$$

and similarly in the expression for the gradient of test function **w**. Here, $m = \tan\theta/R_e$, with θ the latitude and R_e earth's radius. Combining all metric terms, we will get the following x and y equations

$$(\mathsf{M}_{vv'} + (1/2)\mathsf{D}_{vv'})\overline{u}_{v'} + \mathsf{T}_{vv'}\overline{v}_{v'} = \mathsf{R}_{vc}u_c,\tag{8}$$

$$\mathsf{M}_{vv'} + (1/2)\mathsf{D}_{vv'})\overline{v}_{v'} - \mathsf{T}_{vv'}\overline{u}_{v'} = \mathsf{R}_{vc}v_c, \qquad (9)$$

where $\mathsf{T}_{vv'} = \int (-\partial_x N_v + \partial_x N_{v'}) \beta m dS$ is the operator accounting for metric terms and R_{vc} = $\int N_v M_c dS$ is the right hand side operator which is the projection operator onto the space of piecewise linear functions. Summation is implied over repeating indices in matrix-vector products.

The metric terms couple equations for \overline{u} and \overline{v} , and the dimension of system matrix becomes twice larger than for scalar fields. While it can still be solved, the metric terms can be accounted approximately if wavelengths $2\pi/k_{\ell}$ are not very large by putting them on the right hand side and estimating them from the solution for the nearest available k_{ℓ} . For the largest k_{ℓ} they can be estimated using the original field. On basin scales, this approximation is not necessarily sufficient and the full system has to be solved

this approximation is not necessarily sufficient and the full system has to be solved.

3.3 Scalars, cell placement

The smallest-stencil Laplacian in this case is obtained using the finite volume method and is written similarly to (7)

$$A_c(\mathsf{D}\overline{\phi})_c = \sum_{c'\in N(c)} \frac{\overline{\phi}_{c'} - \overline{\phi}_c}{l_{cc'}} \beta_{cc'} \mathbf{n}_{cc'} \cdot \mathbf{s}_{cc'}.$$
 (10)

Here summation is over the neighbors of cell (triangle) c, which are the cells that have 275 common edges with c (see Fig. 2), $l_{cc'}$ is the distance between the cell centers, A_c is the 276 cell area, $\mathbf{n}_{cc'}$ is the unit vector in the direction from c to c' and $\mathbf{s}_{cc'}$ is the cell side vec-277 tor rotated to the direction of the outer normal. For meshes used in discretizations of 278 C-grid type, the c points are associated with circumcenters. In this case the vectors $\mathbf{n}_{cc'}$ 279 and $\mathbf{s}_{cc'}$ have the same direction, and this expression presents the divergence of gradi-280 281 ent. If c is associated with cell centroids, on distorted meshes one accounts only for the component of gradient in the direction of $\mathbf{n}_{cc'}$ while full gradient is formally needed (dis-282 cretization (7) has similar limitations). More precise computations are of course possi-283 ble, but they will not be considered here. Although such details introduce some uncer-284 tainty in the analysis, they will basically affect only grid scales. 285

To estimate the eigenvalues of this Laplacian, we use a uniform equilateral mesh, setting $\beta_{cc'} = 1$ in (10). In order to find the eigenvalues we first note that for any two neighboring triangles the orientation of stencils of neighbors depends on the orientation of triangles themselves. For this reason, an elementary Fourier harmonic is described by two amplitudes and the Fourier symbol becomes a 2 by 2 matrix (see e.g. Klemp (2017), Danilov & Kutsenko (2019)), with two eigenvalues

$$L_{\mathbf{k}} = (4/a^2)(-3 \pm |V|), \quad V = e^{-2ilh/3} + e^{ika/2 + ilh/3} + e^{-ika/2 + ilh/3}.$$

The plus sign corresponds to the physical eigenvalue which tends to $-k^2 - l^2$ in the limit of small wavenumbers. The minus sign corresponds to a numerical eigenvalue which is anomalously high in absolute value (it tends to $-24/a^2$ in the limit of small wavenumbers).

In the direction k = 0, $|V|^2 = 5 + 4\cos(Kh)$, which corresponds to a more accu-290 rate behavior than in the vertex case above, giving the physical $L_{\mathbf{k}}$ equal to $-6/h^2$ in-291 stead of $-\pi^2/h^2$ at the largest wavenumber. The eigenvector of the numerical eigenvalue 292 corresponds to a grid-scale pattern that may potentially be present because of a slight 293 difference in differential operators on differently oriented triangles. However, even if data 294 contain some contributions that project on the numerical mode, these contributions will 295 be strongly damped in the filtered fields if the implicit filter is used. In contrast, the pres-296 ence of spurious eigenvalues could be problematic for explicit filters based on series in 297 Laplacians. 298

An alternative approach is to use a discrete Laplacian that is based on a wider sten-299 cil. An obvious choice is to reconstruct full gradient vectors on triangles based on the 300 values on three neighboring triangles. Gradients are then averaged to edges, and their 301 302 divergence gives a Laplacian (see, e.g. Danilov & Kutsenko (2019)). It turns out that such a discrete Laplacian has the same physical eigenvalue as the vertex Laplacian above, 303 i.e. it is less accurate. Its numerical eigenvalue is zero. This Laplacian would be less use-304 ful for implicit filter applications because it will preserve grid-scale noise in coarse-grained 305 fields. A combination of this Laplacian with the smallest-stencil Laplacian can be a way 306 to reduce spurious eigenvalue for applications with explicit filters. 307

3.4 Other discretizations

308

319

The scalar Laplacian (10) can be applied to the components of cell horizontal velocity in plane geometry. Metric terms need to be added in spherical geometry, we do not discuss them here. The vector-invariant form of the vector Laplacian,

$$\Delta \mathbf{u} = \nabla \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} - \operatorname{curl} \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{u}$$

would allow one to avoid the question on metric terms. It depends on computations of
the divergence and inner curl. For cell velocities a natural way would be to compute the
divergence and curl at vertex control volumes using the divergence and circulation theorems because velocities are known at boundaries of such control volumes. This would
lead to an analog of the wider-stencil Laplacian discussed in the previous section, which
is not necessarily optimal because of its large kernel.

C-grid types of discretizations used in MPAS-O and ICON-o will rely on the vectorinvariant forms of vector Laplacians. These Laplacians have large spurious eigenvalues (see e.g. Klemp (2017) and Danilov & Kutsenko (2019)), but for the reasons mentioned above, this is rather an advantage.

3.5 Comments on solving procedure

As recommended by Guedot et al. (2015), instead of solving

$$S\overline{\phi} = \phi$$
,

where $\overline{\phi}$ and ϕ are the vectors of discrete values, one works with perturbations $\phi' = \overline{\phi} - \phi$, with the result

$$\mathsf{S}\phi' = \phi - \mathsf{S}\phi.$$

In this case the right hand side has emphasis on small scales, and ϕ' is the fine-scale contribution that has to be removed from the original data. This is expected to improve the convergence, which is more difficult for large scales. It indeed leads to some improvements in our case, however the main issue is that conditioning of S worsens as k_{ℓ} decreases.

Also, as explained by Guedot et al. (2015), one will split the operators when n > 2. For example,

$$\mathsf{I} + \gamma(\mathsf{D})^3 = (\mathsf{I} + \gamma^{1/3}\mathsf{D})(\mathsf{I} - \gamma^{1/3}\mathsf{D} + \gamma^{2/3}\mathsf{D}^2),$$

and

$$I + \gamma(\mathsf{D})^4 = (\mathsf{I} + (4\gamma)^{1/4}\mathsf{D} + \gamma^{1/2}\mathsf{D}^2)(\mathsf{I} - (4\gamma)^{1/4}\mathsf{D} + \gamma^{1/2}\mathsf{D}^2),$$

and so on $(\gamma = 1/2)$. In principle, using tri-harmonic and bi-biharmonic operators only doubles the work of iterative solvers once matrices of harmonic and biharmonic operators D and D² are available. While biharmonic operator should be sufficient in most cases for general conclusion about energy spectra, one may opt for higher-order in the case when precise position of spectral peaks has to be estimated.

329 4 Illustrations

For illustrations here we first generate a scalar field $\phi(\mathbf{x})$ on a regular quadrilateral mesh covering a square domain with size $L_x = 1024$ km. The initial mesh has the resolution a = 4 km (the side of quadrilateral cell). The field ϕ is initially specified at vertices of this mesh by taking random values uniformly distributed around zero. It is Fourier transformed, and each wave component is divided by $K^{(\alpha+1)/2}$, where K is the absolute value of the wave vector. After that, the inverse Fourier transform is carried out. In this way, fields with Fourier power spectra $E_K \sim K^{-\alpha}$ are created, and $\alpha =$ 2 is used below. The Fourier amplitudes are additionally modified to add peaks in Fourier
 power spectra in section 4.2.

One triangular mesh is obtained by bisecting the quadrilateral cells of the primary 339 mesh. The other one is an equilateral mesh with triangle side a. The third mesh is an 340 unstructured mesh with the triangle sides varying between a and 2a. These meshes will 341 be denoted further as Q, E and U. One more unstructured mesh with resolution simi-342 lar to U, but covering a circular area, will be used for tests in spherical geometry. The 343 largest resolvable wavenumber is defined by the small side of triangles on mesh Q, the 344 345 height of triangle on mesh E and the height of largest triangles on mesh U. The initial field on mesh Q is the constructed one, and it is linearly interpolated to meshes E and 346 U, respectively. Except for Fig. 3, we use the modified β . We take $\gamma = 1/2$ which en-347 sures that k_{ℓ} corresponds to the ordinary wavenumber and ℓ has the sense of inverse wavenum-348 ber. A conjugate gradient solver is used in all cases. In all figures showing spectral den-349 sity, the vertical axis is in units of the field squared times km/cycle, and wavenumbers 350 on the horizontal axis are in cycle/km. 351

352

4.1 Uniform and distorted triangular meshes

Two panels of Fig. 3 present the computed scale spectra on triangular meshes Q, 353 E, and U using harmonic (right) and bi-harmonic (left) filter for realizations of field ϕ 354 with the power density slope $\alpha = 2$. Here, the scaling factor $\beta = 1/k_{\ell}^2$. The Fourier 355 spectrum is in red, it contains fluctuations because it is the spectrum of a realization. 356 Different realizations are used in the left and right panels. The thin dashed line corre-357 sponds to the slope of -2, and blue, solid black and green lines give scale spectra on meshes 358 Q, E, and U respectively. The horizontal axis correspond to K for the Fourier spectrum 359 and k_{ℓ} for the scale spectra. The k_{ℓ} values are sampled up to π/h , where h is the smaller 360 side of triangle on mesh Q or the height of the smallest triangle on other two meshes. 361 Since $\beta = 1/k_{\ell}^2$, in this case we should stop at 2/h, comparing the value of scale spec-362 trum at this k_{ℓ} to the value of Fourier spectrum at $K = \pi/h$. 363

As expected, for the original data (blue curves) the bi-harmonic filter ensures a closer agreement between the scale and Fourier spectra. As already mentioned, the biharmonic filter can also be used for sufficiently steep spectra (formally $\alpha \leq 5$), while the use of harmonic filter is limited to $\alpha \leq 3$. We checked this, but do not demonstrate it here.

The scale spectra on meshes E and U (solid black and green curves) deviate from the scale spectrum on mesh Q for large k_{ℓ} . This is the consequence of using interpolated data on these meshes which have smaller variance on grid scales (note that E and Q curves are very close). The effect is stronger for U mesh because it contains larger triangles and for the biharmonic case (left panel) because of the narrower form-factor. For the harmonic filter, the effect is partly masked by excessively broad form-factor which picks up the contributions from smaller wavenumbers.

The scale spectra tend to bend down compared to the Fourier spectrum on the side of smallest wavenumbers, which is presumably because a part of form-factor curve is cut on the left. Similar tendency on the side of large wavenumbers is partly related to the difference between K and k_{ℓ} in this case.

Apart from effects caused by interpolation, the scale spectra are insensitive to the mesh type, which proves that the method can work on different meshes, including unstructured meshes. The scale spectra are always smoother than the realization of the Fourier spectrum, as they correspond to the integration over some range of Fourier wavenumbers in the vicinity of k_{ℓ} , which smooths the fluctuations of the Fourier spectrum. On a practical side this means that one may need less averaging over field realizations as compared to the Fourier spectrum.



Figure 3. Scale spectra compared to Fourier spectra computed with Laplacian smoothing (right) and biharmonic smoothing (left). Shown are the Fourier spectrum (red), the scale spectrum on a uniform triangular mesh obtained by bisecting quadrilateral cells (blue, mesh Q), on a regular equilateral mesh (solid black, mesh E) and on an irregular unstructured mesh (green, mesh U). The wavenumber is in cycle/km, the horizontal axis corresponds to K for the Fourier spectrum and to $k_{\ell} = 1/\ell$ for scale spectra.

For unstructured meshes, the largest resolved wavenumber is formally set by the the height of the *largest* triangles. However, if such triangles cover only a small part of domain, the contribution from small triangles will still dominate. This is why we use the same largest k_{ℓ} for E and U meshes in practice. It is expected that the computed scale spectrum will bend down if k_{ℓ} is taken beyond the range, indicating where one has to stop.

4.2 Peak detection

392

All further examples use the modified β , so that the correspondence between the 393 wavenumbers K and k_{ℓ} is maintained. Fields with peaks in the Fourier spectrum have 394 been constructed following the same procedure as above, but applying additional fac-395 tors to the amplitudes of Fourier harmonics. Figure 4 displays two examples illustrat-396 ing possible behavior. We use mesh Q and the biharmonic filter. In the left panel, the 397 peak in the Fourier spectrum is accurately recovered in the scale spectrum. In contrast, 398 the close peaks in the scale spectrum in the right panel are not detected, and the peak 399 around $k_{\ell} \approx 0.2$ cycle/km is nearly missed. The success in the first case is because the 400 Fourier spectrum decays sufficiently fast on both sides of the peak and there are no other 401 close peaks. The form-factor responsible for the correspondence between the Fourier and 402 scale spectra is still not sharp enough for the field used in the right panel, even for the 403 biharmonic filter, and higher-order filters have to be used if finding exact position of peaks 404 is of utmost importance. 405



Figure 4. Spectral peak detection by scale spectra based on biharmonic smoothing. The Fourier spectra are in red, the scale spectra are in blue. Peaks can be missed or masked if they are narrow and/or there are neighboring intervals with high spectral density.

4.3 Harmonic filter vs explicit box-type filter

We use a box-type filter with the kernel

$$G(\mathbf{x}) = A(1 - \tanh(c(|\mathbf{x}| - 1.75/k_{\ell})/a)), \tag{11}$$

where A is the normalization constant selected so as to ensure that $\int G(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = 1$, a 407 is the (fixed) mesh cell size, c = 1.3 is a numerical factor controlling the sharpness of 408 the box filter transition, and $1.75/k_{\ell}$ is equivalent to the scale $\ell_{\rm box}/2$ of the box filter, 409 as discussed above. Figure 5 compares the scale spectrum obtained by explicit coarse-410 graining with this box-type filter (blue line), with the scale spectrum obtained by Lapla-411 cian smoothing (solid black line) on mesh Q. Both methods lead to nearly the same spec-412 tra, with the largest differences on the side of large wavenumbers. The selection of pa-413 rameter c was experimental. Decreasing it increases the discrepancy between the two curves, 414 which is still smaller than the deviation from the Fourier spectrum. If c is increased, the 415 box filter transition becomes sharper, but this leads to some unevenness of the spectrum 416 on the side of largest wavenumbers. We conclude that both methods agree, as expected, 417 and that the attribution $\ell_{\rm box} = 3.5/k_{\ell}$ works well. The excessive decay at the spectral 418 end $(k_{\ell} \ge 0.5 \text{ cycle/km})$ shown by solid black line is the consequence of using the mod-419 ified β in the analysis. 420

421 4.4 Spherical geometry

406

An unstructured variable-resolution mesh covering a circular area with diameter 1024 km is created. The sides of triangles vary between a = 4 km and 2a. The realization of $\phi(\mathbf{x})$ with -2 power spectrum is linearly interpolated on this mesh. The mesh coordinates are then approximately transformed to longitude and latitude by multiplying the coordinates with $180/(\pi R_e)$. The sphere is then rotated in the meridional direction by $\pi/6$, $\pi/3$ and $5\pi/12$ to produce three variants of the same mesh having various



Figure 5. Scale spectra obtained by explicit coarse-graining with box filter (11) (blue) compared to scale spectra computed with Laplacian smoothing (solid black). The Fourier spectrum is in red, and the dashed line corresponds to the slope of -2.

longitude and latitude coordinates, as shown in Fig. 6. We apply the coarse-graining based 428 on the biharmonic filter to these three meshes. Although they correspond to the same 429 original mesh and data, they have different coordinates on the sphere and the cosine of 430 latitude varies much stronger on the higher-latitude mesh than on the other two meshes. 431 However, the computed scale spectra, shown in Fig. 7, are indistinguishable. This in-432 dicates that the approximation of cosine by a constant value on triangles is sufficient. 433 By using a circular mesh in this example we illustrate that the smoothing procedure can 434 work in a domain of arbitrary shape. 435

436

4.5 Kinetic energy spectra in spherical geometry

For this test case, a realization of scalar field $\phi(\mathbf{x})$ with power spectrum with a -4 437 slope was created on mesh Q. This field was interpreted as a streamfunction and used 438 to compute a velocity field. The mesh coordinates were transformed to longitude and 439 latitude by multiplying them by $180/(\pi R_e)$. Then the mesh was moved along the zeroth 440 meridian so that its south-west corner is at $(0^{\circ}, 75^{\circ})$. The mesh becomes stretched zon-441 ally if viewed in longitude-latitude coordinates on the sphere. Velocities are computed 442 at the centers of triangles by computing derivatives of streamfunction given at vertices. 443 We solved the full system of equations (8,9), building matrices with twice the dimension 444 of the scalar problem. Figure 8 shows the scale spectrum for kinetic energy computed 445 with the harmonic filter (blue curve). For comparison, the black curve shows the spec-446 trum computed by solving two problems for velocity components with metric terms ig-447 nored. As is seen, the differences start to form at the large scale, and they might be larger 448 if the size of the domain is larger. In the example used, solving (8,9) takes nearly the 449 same computational time as solving two smaller-size problems for velocity components 450 separately, but requires more storage. 451



Figure 6. Three variants of the same realization of ϕ and mesh in longitude-latitude coordinates (axes in degrees).

452 5 Discussions

In this work we present an elementary theory of scale analysis based on implicit filters that use powers of Laplacian. Since discrete Laplacian can be written for any mesh type, the procedure is sufficiently general. Our focus was on triangular meshes, but the definition such as (7) are valid for arbitrary meshes

Discrete Laplacians deviate from their continuous counterparts on grid scales and 457 may have spurious modes in a general case. We used only the simplest options for dis-458 crete Laplacians and explored in some detail the case of vertex placement. The devia-459 tion of eigenvalues of discrete Laplacians from the continuous case creates some difficul-460 ties in the interpretation of scale spectra near the spectral end. Using the modified scale 461 factor similar to Guedot et al. (2015) allows one to keep the correspondence between $k_{\ell} =$ 462 $1/\ell$ and the standard wavenumber, but creates an artificial spectral decay, as seen in Figs. 5, 7 and 8. If the original definition $\beta = 1/k_{\ell}^2 = \ell^2$ is retained, the direct correspon-463 464 dence between K and k_{ℓ} is violated near the spectral end. While these difficulties are 465 not important in many practical cases, one may be interested in studying energy pileup 466 at grid scales, where the method based on implicit smoothing should be used with care. 467

More accurate discrete Laplacians will reduce these difficulties. The construction of such Laplacians for general unstructured meshes is, however, not straightforward, and remapping between K and k_{ℓ} can be an easier way if $\beta = 1/k_{\ell}^2$ is used. In fact, one does not necessarily need the exact correspondence between the Fourier and scale spectra, in practice it would be sufficient just to compare spectra in terms of k_{ℓ} . Note that similar complications may accompany the use of box-type filters if $\ell_{\text{box}}/2$ becomes close to the width of transition part (see (11)).

⁴⁷⁵ Although our examples include only energy spectra, the procedure is applicable for ⁴⁷⁶ cross-spectra of two fields. Our main focus was on scale spectra $\overline{E}(k_{\ell})$, which are simi-⁴⁷⁷ lar to the Fourier spectra, but the scale spectrum $\epsilon(\ell)$ also deserves attention and might ⁴⁷⁸ be similarly informative in practice.



Figure 7. Scale spectra computed for three meshes in Fig. 6 using the biharmonic filter. The spectrum for the high-latitude variant is in green, and two other cases are under it. The red line corresponds to the Fourier spectrum on the parent regular quadrilateral mesh, and the dashed line gives the slope -2.

The convergence of conjugate gradient solver slows down as k_{ℓ} is approaching k_{\min} , 479 and this slowdown becomes stronger when mesh resolution is increased. The biharmonic 480 filter requires many more solver iterations than the harmonic one in this case and the 481 solver should also be run with smaller tolerance in this case. The convergence can be im-482 proved through the use of preconditioners. Guedot et al. (2015) used diagonal precon-483 ditioning, but it does not provide a substantial improvement for small k_{ℓ} in our case. In 484 test implementation (in Matlab), the incomplete Cholesky preconditioner was efficient 485 on regular meshes for the harmonic filter in essentially reducing the number of iterations 486 (but not the execution time), however there are difficulties with using it on unstructured 487 meshes or with the biharmonic filter. It remains to be seen which preconditioners and 488 solution methods will be able to improve the performance for general meshes. 489

Meshes with 1M wet vertices (the size of a typical quarter degree global mesh) can 490 be treated in a serial way, requiring about 5 min for the construction of scale spectrum. 491 A parallel implementation is needed for larger meshes. Both online and offline versions 492 can be of interest. The online computations are straightforward for harmonic filters be-493 cause they can rely on the existing solvers for the implicit sea surface height in FESOM 494 and some other models. For biharmonic filters, the implementation in matrix form will 495 require increasing halos to include neighbors of neighbors. A matrix-free form does not require the increased halos, but might complicate the design of preconditioners. Respec-497 tive development is a subject of ongoing work. 498

We did not try the higher-order filters (tri-harmonic, bi-biharmonic or higher) in this work. They might be needed to compute spectra that contain peaks. Technically, once discrete harmonic and biharmonic operators are available, any higher-order implicit filters can be realized as a sequence of more elementary inversions that use harmonic and biharmonic operators. Practical implementation, convergence issues and the selection of the filter order need additional studies.



Figure 8. Scale spectra of kinetic energy computed using the biharmonic filter. The mesh is approximately between 75 and 85 degrees of latitude. The spectrum calculated taking into consideration all metric terms is represented in blue, while the spectrum without considering the metric terms is shown in black. The red line corresponds to the Fourier power spectrum of streamfunction multiplied with K^2 , and the dashed line indicates the slope -2.

We experimented only with vertex placements for coarse-grained quantities, mostly 505 because we intended to minimize the work in this study which explores and explains the 506 concept. The cell (triangle) and edge placement will be addressed in future. In partic-507 ular, for FESOM, which places horizontal velocities on triangles, the analysis of kinetic 508 energy dissipation calls for computation on the original locations. Indeed, the projec-509 tion of horizontal viscosity term from triangles to vertices may eliminate a significant part 510 of small-scale dissipation, and computations on native locations will be made available 511 in the future. The placement of coarse-grained velocities on triangles will lead to ma-512 trices with a twice larger dimension compared to the vertex case and a substantial in-513 crease in the required numerical work. 514

Finally, as mentioned before, one of the advantages of the coarse-graining approach lies in the availability of spatial distributions of energy (or other quantities). These distributions allow one to learn not only which scales contain most of the energy, but also where this energy is mainly located. This aspect was not addressed in this work, which relies on synthetic data, but it can present even larger interest than spectra in practical cases.

521 6 Conclusions

We propose to use implicit filters to extend the concept of scale analysis based on coarse-graining to general unstructured meshes. The procedure is based on the discretizations of Laplacian operators and can provide filters of high-order if higher degrees of Laplacian are used. The discretization can be applied to scalar and vector data, both in flat and spherical geometry. We demonstrated the effectiveness of the implicit coarse-graining approach through several examples, highlighting the similarity between scale spectra and standard Fourier spectra, as well as its ability to operate on diverse mesh types.

We acknowledge that numerous details regarding the extension of this approach to alternative data placements still need to be tested, which is the subject of ongoing research.

⁵³³ 7 Data availability statement

Matlab scripts used for computations in this work and data used to draw the figures can be found in Danilov et al. (2023). An accelerated Python version is under development and will be presented separately.

537 Acknowledgments

This work is a contribution to projects M3 and S2 of the Collaborative Research Centre TRR181 "Energy Transfer in Atmosphere and Ocean" funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) - Projektnummer 274762653.

541 References

- Aluie, H., Hecht, M., & Vallis, G. K. (2018). Mapping the energy cascade in
 the North Atlantic Ocean: The coarse-graining approach. Journal of Physical
 Oceanography, 48(2), 225-244. doi: https://doi.org/10.1175/jpo-d-17-0100.1
- Danilov, S. (2022). On the resolution of triangular meshes. Journal of Advances
 in Modeling Earth Systems, 14, e2022MS003177. doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/
 2022MS003177
- Danilov, S., Juricke, S., Nowak, K., Sidorenko, D., & Wang, Q. (2023). Computing
 spatial spectra using implicit filters.
- ⁵⁵⁰ doi: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8171835
- ⁵⁵¹ Danilov, S., & Kutsenko, A. (2019). On the geometric origin of spurious waves in ⁵⁵² finite-volume discretizations of shallow water equations on triangular meshes. J. ⁵⁵³ Comput. Phys., 398, 108891.
- Danilov, S., Sidorenko, D., Wang, Q., & Jung, T. (2017). The Finite-volumE Sea
 ice–Ocean Model (FESOM2). *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 10, 765–789.
- ⁵⁵⁶ Defferrard, M., Milani, M., Gusset, F., & Perraudin, N. (2020). DeepSphere: A
 ⁵⁵⁷ graph-based spherical CNN. *ICLR 2020*. doi: https://arXiv.org/2012.15000v1
- Grooms, I., Loose, N., Abernathey, R., Steinberg, J. M., Bachman, S. D., Marques,
 G., ... Yankovsky, E. (2021). Diffusion-based smoothers for spatial filtering of
 gridded geophysical data. *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems*, 13,
 e2021MS002552. doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/2021MS002552
- Guedot, L., Lartigue, G., & Moureau, V. (2015). Design of implicit high-order filters
 on unstructured grids for the identification of large-scale features in large-eddy
 simulation and application to a swirl burner. *Physics of Fluids*, 27(4), 045107.
 doi: https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4917280
- Klemp, J. (2017). Damping characteristics of horizontal Laplacian diffusion filters.
 Mon. Weather Rev., 145, 4365-4379.
- Korn, P. (2017). Formulation of an unstructured grid model for global ocean dynam *ics. J. Comput. Phys.*, 339, 525–552.
- Ringler, T., Petersen, M., Higdon, R., Jacobsen, D., Maltrud, M., & Jones, P.
 (2013). A multi-resolution approach to global ocean modelling. *Ocean Modell.*, 69, 211–232.
- Sadek, M., & Aluie, H. (2018). Extracting the spectrum of a flow by spatial filtering.
 Physical Review Fluids, 3(12), 124610. doi: https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevfluids

.3.124610