Observations of relativistic electron precipitation due to combined scattering of whistler-mode and EMIC waves

Muhammad Fraz Bashir¹, Anton V Artemyev², Xiao-Jia Zhang³, Vassilis Angelopoulos¹, Ethan Tsai⁴, and Colin Wilkins⁵

¹University of California Los Angeles ²UCLA IGPP ³The University of Texas at Dallas ⁴UCLA ⁵University of California, Los Angeles

August 4, 2023

Abstract

The two most important wave modes responsible for energetic electron scattering to the Earth's ionosphere are electromagnetic ion cyclotron (EMIC) waves and whistler-mode waves. In this study, we report direct observations of energetic electron (from 50 keV to 2.5 MeV) scattering driven by the combined effect of whistler-mode and EMIC waves using ELFIN measurements. We analyze several events exhibiting such properties, and show that electron resonant interactions with whistler-mode waves may enhance relativistic electron precipitation by EMIC waves. During a prototypical event which benefits from conjugate THEMIS measurements, we demonstrate that below the minimum resonance energy (Emin) of EMIC waves, the whistler-mode wave may both scatter electrons into the loss-cone and also accelerate them to higher energy (1-3 MeV). These accelerated electrons above Emin resonate with EMIC waves that, in turn, quickly scatter those electrons into the loss-cone. This enhances relativistic electron precipitation beyond what EMIC waves alone could achieve.











Observations of relativistic electron precipitation due to combined scattering of whistler-mode and EMIC waves

M. Fraz Bashir 1, Anton Artemyev $^{1,2},$ Xiao-Jia Zhang $^{3,1},$ Vassilis Angelopoulos 1, E. Tsai 1, and C. Wilkins 1

^{1}E	arth, Planetary, and Space Sciences, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA 90095,
	USA ² Space Research Institute, BAS, Moscow, Bussia
	³ Department of Physics, University of Texas at Dallas, Richardson, TX, USA

Key Points:

1

2

3

4

10

11	•	We report observations of energetic electron precipitation likely driven by concur-
12		rent whistle-mode and EMIC waves
13	•	The combined scattering of whistler-mode and EMIC waves leads to electron pre-
14		cipitation over a wide energy range of 50 keVs to a few MeVs
15	•	This study highlights the importance of nonlinear effects for explaining the ob-
16		served energetic electron fluxes in the inner magnetosphere

Corresponding author: Muhammad Fraz Bashir, frazbashir@epss.ucla.edu

17 Abstract

The two most important wave modes responsible for energetic electron scattering 18 to the Earth's ionosphere are electromagnetic ion cyclotron (EMIC) waves and whistler-19 mode waves. In this study, we report the direct observations of energetic electron (from 20 50 keV to 2.5 MeV) scattering driven by the combined effect of whistler-mode and EMIC 21 waves using ELFIN measurements. We analyze several events exhibiting such proper-22 ties, and show that electron resonant interactions with whistler-mode waves may enhance 23 relativistic electron precipitation by EMIC waves. During a prototypical event which ben-24 25 efits from conjugate THEMIS measurements, we demonstrate that below the minimum resonance energy (E_{min}) of EMIC waves, the whistler-mode wave may both scatter elec-26 trons into the loss-cone and also accelerate them to higher energy (1-3 MeV). These ac-27 celerated electrons above E_{min} resonate with EMIC waves that, in turn, quickly scat-28 ter those electrons into the loss-cone. This enhances relativistic electron precipitation 29 beyond what EMIC waves alone could achieve. 30

³¹ Plain Language Summary

Energetic electron precipitation into the upper atmosphere is an important loss pro-32 cess of the Earth's inner magnetosphere. Whistler-mode and electromagnetic ion cyclotron 33 (EMIC) waves are the two most important wave modes responsible for energetic elec-34 tron scattering to the Earth's ionosphere through wave-particle interaction. Although 35 these wave modes typically drive electron losses of different energy ranges (above 1 MeV 36 for EMIC waves and tens to hundreds of keV for whistler-mode waves), the loss mech-37 anism due to the combined effects of EMIC and whistler-mode waves is not well-understood. 38 We report the first direct observation of energetic electron scattering driven by the com-39 bined effect of whistler-mode and EMIC waves. Our results from equatorial and low-altitude 40 observations, and from a data-driven test particle simulation explain the wide energy range 41 of electron precipitation from tens of keVs to a few MeVs due to the combined whistler-42 mode and EMIC waves effect. 43

44 1 Introduction

Electromagnetic ion cyclotron (EMIC) and whistler-mode waves are the two main 45 wave modes responsible for energetic electron scattering and precipitation from the Earth's 46 radiation belts into the atmosphere (see reviews by Millan & Thorne, 2007; Li & Hud-47 son, 2019; Thorne et al., 2021). EMIC waves are mostly responsible for the precipita-48 tion of relativistic (> 1MeV) electrons (e.g., Usanova et al., 2014; Blum et al., 2015; Sh-49 prits et al., 2016, 2017; Ni et al., 2015; Grach & Demekhov, 2020; Bashir & Ilie, 2018, 50 2021; Bashir et al., 2022b; Capannolo et al., 2018, 2022), whereas whistler-mode waves 51 are very effective in precipitating sub-MeV electrons (see, e.g., reviews by Shprits et al., 52 2008; Ni et al., 2016; Artemyev et al., 2016; Thorne et al., 2021). Resonant scattering 53 of relativistic (> 1MeV) electrons by whistler-mode waves is most effective at higher elec-54 tron pitch-angles, which may not result in precipitation (e.g., Summers & Omura, 2007). 55 However, the combined effect of EMIC and whistler-mode waves may enable a rapid de-56 crease of relativistic electron fluxes: whistler-mode waves scatter electrons at higher pitch-57 angles toward the lower pitch-angle range, where resonance with EMIC waves may quickly 58 scatter these electrons into the loss-cone (e.g., Mourenas et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2017; 59 Bashir et al., 2022a). Therefore, relativistic electron losses by combined EMIC and whistler-60 mode wave scattering may critically control the radiation belt dynamics (Drozdov et al., 61 2020). However, in contrast to electron resonance with EMIC waves providing only pitch-62 angle scattering (e.g., Summers & Thorne, 2003), resonance with whistler-mode waves 63 can result in both pitch-angle and energy (acceleration) scattering (e.g., Summers, 2005; 64 Glauert & Horne, 2005). If such acceleration is sufficiently fast and efficient, EMIC waves 65 may scatter the newly formed relativistic electron population, those accelerated by whistler-66

⁶⁷ mode waves, into the loss cone (see discussion in Bashir et al., 2022a). Such precipita-⁶⁸ tion may not require preexisting relativistic electron fluxes, and would not lead to the ⁶⁹ decrease of preexisting, e.g., previously stably trapped, relativistic electron fluxes. This ⁷⁰ study combines near-equatorial wave measurements and low-altitude electron precipi-⁷¹ tation measurements to provide direct evidence for this process in the radiation belts.

To provide a new population of relativistic electrons for subsequent EMIC-driven 72 scattering, electron acceleration by whistler-mode waves should be sufficiently fast. The 73 quasi-linear diffusion rates for average wave intensities show that pitch-angle scattering 74 75 by EMIC waves is much faster than acceleration by whistler-mode waves (see, e.g., Glauert & Horne, 2005; Summers et al., 2007b). However, very intense whistler-mode waves may 76 resonate with electrons nonlinearly and lead to the rapid formation of relativistic elec-77 trons via phase trapping into the turning acceleration (see Omura et al., 2007; Summers 78 & Omura, 2007; Hsieh & Omura, 2017; Hsieh et al., 2020; Bashir et al., 2022a). The rate 79 of this acceleration mechanism may approach the rate of pitch-angle diffusion by EMIC 80 waves, and thus can potentially provide rapid electron acceleration and subsequent losses. 81 In contrast to the simple phase trapping acceleration associated with pitch-angle increase 82 (e.g., Bortnik et al., 2008; Vainchtein et al., 2018), turning acceleration will lead to a pitch-83 angle decrease with energy increase (Omura et al., 2007), i.e., accelerated particles are 84 transported toward the loss-cone where EMIC waves will scatter them. Thus, addition-85 ally to the analysis of electron precipitation events with the combined effect of EMIC 86 and whistler-mode waves, we will focus on a case study with equatorial observations of 87 very intense whistler-mode waves simultaneously observed with EMIC waves. 88

We use equatorial wave measurements from THEMIS (Angelopoulos, 2008) and low-89 90 altitude precipitation measurements from ELFIN (Angelopoulos et al., 2020) to investigate the effect of electron resonant acceleration by whistler-mode waves and the sub-91 sequent scattering into the atmosphere by EMIC waves. Section 2 provides an overview 92 of four ELFIN events exhibiting clear signatures of the combined operation of whistler-93 mode and EMIC waves, Section 3 describes in detail one event benefiting from ELFIN 94 and THEMIS conjunction observations whereas Section 4 discusses possible mechanisms 95 responsible for the enhanced precipitation of relativistic electrons in the simultaneous 96 presence of EMIC and whistler-mode waves. Section 5 summarizes our main findings. 97

98

2 ELFIN Observations of Relativistic Electron Precipitation

We use data from the ELFIN-A CubeSat which is equipped with energetic elec-99 tron detector measuring 50 keV to 6 MeV electrons with an energy resolution of $\Delta E/E <$ 100 40% and covering the full (180°) pitch angle twice over a 3 s spin period. Because of its 101 high energy resolution, ELFIN can distinguish precipitation events driven by whistler-102 mode waves or by EMIC waves (Grach et al., 2022; An et al., 2022; Tsai et al., 2022; Zhang 103 et al., 2022; Angelopoulos et al., 2023). Thus, we focus on putative combined precipi-104 tation events which demonstrate properties of both whistler-mode and EMIC wave-driven 105 precipitation. 106

Figure 1 shows four ELFIN orbits with signatures of electron precipitation due to 107 EMIC and whistler-mode waves. The typical minimum resonance energy for EMIC waves 108 is $\sim 0.5-1$ MeV (Summers et al., 2007a; Kersten et al., 2014), so only the part of pre-109 cipitation above a certain energy should be interpreted as evidence of EMIC-driven pre-110 cipitation (see the detailed analysis of such events in Angelopoulos et al., 2023). Typ-111 ical minimum resonance energy for whistler-mode waves (low band chorus waves) is be-112 low 10keV (Ni et al., 2012), whereas the scattering rate of electrons by whistler-mode 113 waves decreases with increasing energy (Summers et al., 2007a). Thus, ELFIN observa-114 115 tions of precipitation bursts with precipitating-to-trapped flux ratio maximizing at low energies should be interpreted as evidence of whistler-mode wave-driven precipitation 116 (see the detailed analysis of such events in Tsai et al., 2022; Zhang et al., 2022). Mid-117



Figure 1. Four events of ELFIN observations of electron precipitation: top panels (a) show locally trapped (i.e., outside the local loss cone, or near-perpendicular) electron fluxes j_{perp} ; middle panels (b) show precipitating (down-going)-to-trapped flux ratio (j_{down}/j_{perp}) ; bottom panels (c) show energy spectra of precipitating electrons during subintervals (shown by arrow and corresponding panel inserts) with relativistic and subrelativistic electron precipitation bursts exhibiting EMIC-only and EMIC & whistler-mode wave signatures. Bottom panels also include the average background fluxes before (pre $\langle j_{down} \rangle$) and after (post $\langle j_{down} \rangle$) each subinterval.

dle panels of Figure 1 show that precipitation events contain both spin-scale bursts with 118 EMIC-driven precipitation only (j_{down}/j_{perp}) maximizing at relativistic energies) and bursts 119 with combined whistler-mode and EMIC effects (j_{down}/j_{perp}) is high over the entire en-120 ergy range). Therefore, we may interpret these events as short-time-scale whistler-driven 121 precipitation bursts embedded within large-time-scale EMIC-driven precipitation. The 122 scale difference is likely due to the different spatial scales of equatorial generation regions 123 of EMIC waves (thousands of km, see Blum et al., 2016, 2017; Angelopoulos et al., 2023) 124 and whistler-mode waves (hundreds of km, see Agapitov et al., 2017). Note that the pre-125 cipitating flux levels during precipitation bursts are much higher than the background 126 precipitating fluxes levels i.e., indeed these observations allow us to compare the efficiency 127 of EMIC-only versus EMIC and whistler-mode burst-driven precipitation with no con-128 tribution from background waves. The bottom panels of Figure 1 demonstrate that in 129 the presence of whistler-mode waves, not only precipitation of sub-relativistic electrons 130 (< 500 keV) is enhanced, which should be directly scattered by whistler-mode waves, 131 but precipitation of relativistic electrons (likely scattered by EMIC waves) is also enhanced. 132

3 Event with ELFIN/THEMIS Observations of EMIC and Whistler Waves

This section describes an event with ELFIN-A measurements similar to those shown in Figure 1, but with conjugate, near-equatorial wave measurements by THEMIS. Figure 2 shows the ELFIN-A measurements which were collected on 29 April 2021, at *L*shell ~ 5 near the dawn sector of the southern hemisphere. At ~ 04:10:40 UT, precipitating (down-going) fluxes (J_{down}) of 300 keV to 2.5 MeV electrons suddenly increase



Figure 2. Overview of ELFIN-A observations (left panels): locally trapped fluxes (j_{perp}) , precipitating or down-going fluxes (j_{down}) , precipitating-to-trapped flux ratio (j_{down}/j_{perp}) , 1D spectra j_{down} and j_{perp} at five energy channels (520 keV-2121 keV). *L*, MLT, and MLAT of ELFIN-A are marked at the bottom. The right panel depicts the average precipitating fluxes as a function of energy due to combined EMIC and whistler-mode waves (solid-blue curve) and EMIC waves only (dashed-red curve) for the sub-interval (as shown by down-to-perpendicular flux ratio inserted spectrogram similar to Figure 1 bottom panels)

with the highest precipitating to trapped flux ratio above 1 MeV. The locally trapped 140 (near-perpendicular) electron fluxes (J_{perp}) show enhancement over a wide range of L-141 shells (4-6.5), exhibiting low precipitating to trapped flux ratio (J_{down}/J_{perp}) is mostly 142 less than 0.1) except for a few spins around 04:10:40 UT where this ratio can be greater 143 than 0.5. The most intense burst of precipitation shows J_{down}/J_{perp} enhancement max-144 imizing at relativistic energies $\sim 1 \text{MeV}$ (the typical range of EMIC-driven precipitation), 145 but extending down to 50keV (the typical range of whistler-wave-driven precipitation). 146 Note that the enhanced relativistic electron precipitation lasts longer than the enhance-147 ment of < 500 keV precipitation (which only lasts for a single spin). This is indicative 148 of a short whistler-mode burst embedded within a large-scale EMIC generation region. 149

During this event, ELFIN magnetically mapped close to the near-equatorial THEMIS-150 E spacecraft (Angelopoulos, 2008), which, at the time, was moving from lower L to higher 151 L, observed the pertinent EMIC and whistler-mode waves and measured the properties 152 of the cold plasma, and magnetic fields. We use magnetic field data from Flux Gate Mag-153 netometer (FGM)(Auster et al., 2008). During fast mode, FGM measures waveforms at 154 a time resolution of $1/16 \ s$, sufficient to resolve the EMIC wave frequency range. Mea-155 surements of the THEMIS Search Coil Magnetometer (Le Contel et al., 2008) well cover 156 the whistler-mode frequency range. The cold plasma density is inferred from the space-157 craft potential (Nishimura et al., 2013) measured by THEMIS Electric Field Instrument 158 (Bonnell et al., 2008). 159

Supplementary Figure S1 shows that during this event, THEMIS-E observed whistlermode waves around and outside of the plasmapause, identified as a strong plasma frequency (plasma density) gradient. At the plasmapause, THEMIS-E also observed He⁺ band EMIC waves (field-aligned, left-hand polarized waves). The ratio of plasma to electron cyclotron frequency, $f_{pe}/f_{ce,eq}$, varies from ~ 20 to 5 across the plasmapause. Note



Figure 3. Illustrative electron trajectories from our simulations. Panels (a,b) depict the temporal (in units of ct/LR_E) evolution of the electron's energy and pitch angle for whistler-mode waves only (blue curve) and for the combined effect (magenta curve) of whistler-mode and EMIC waves. The loss-cone (assumed to be 4.5 °) is shaded in grey in Panel (b). Panel (c) shows the same trajectories on an energy, pitch-angle plane, with resonance curves for whistler-mode (blue curves) and EMIC (red lines) waves overlaid, and the range of EMIC resonance energies is shown by a thick red curve. The blue dots show electron trajectory (starting at an initial energy of 400keV and an equatorial pitch angle of 10 °, represented by the triangle) directly scattered by whistler waves into loss-cone; the other electron trajectory (magenta dots), with an initial energy of 500 keV and an equatorial pitch angle of 20 °, show that the electron gets accelerated by whistler waves and then quickly scattered into loss-cone by EMIC waves.

that the projection of ELFIN to the equatorial plane is subject to uncertainties of em-165 pirical magnetic field models. Thus, this THEMIS-ELFIN conjunction is only approx-166 imate. ELFIN observations of relativistic electron precipitation burst and THEMIS ob-167 servations of EMIC waves are within the $\Delta L = \pm 1$, $\Delta MLT = 2$ of each other. These 168 ranges are comparable to the spatial scale of the typical EMIC wave source region (Blum 169 et al., 2016, 2017), whereas an \sim 40min time difference between THEMIS and ELFIN 170 observations is within the lifetime of EMIC wave source region (Engebretson et al., 2015; 171 Blum et al., 2020). Whistler mode waves are observed by all three THEMIS probes dur-172 ing the entire ~ 2 hour interval within the $\Delta MLT \sim 2$ domain. However, we should 173 note that the EMIC burst is observed by THEMIS E only, whereas A and D located $\pm 1.5 R_E$ 174 away from E do not detect these EMIC bursts. Thus, we cannot exclude the possibil-175 ity of a small-scale EMIC source region (e.g., Frey et al., 2004). But ELFIN observations 176 of relativistic electron precipitation without $\sim 50 \text{keV}$ precipitation (two spins before the 177 main precipitation burst, see the inserted panel in Fig. 2) confirm that there was scat-178 tering by equatorial EMIC waves, which may relate to THEMIS-E observations. 179

180 4 Discussion

Figures 1, 2 show that the presence of whistler-mode waves may enhance the precipitation of relativistic electrons. One possible mechanism of such enhancement is that intense whistler-mode waves drive electron acceleration (e.g., turning acceleration, see Omura et al., 2007; Summers & Omura, 2007), and the accelerated electrons supplement the population that are to be scattered by EMIC waves (Bashir et al., 2022a). In order to verify this scenario, we perform simple test particle simulations. The simulation is based on Hamiltonian equations for a monochromatic wave (see Vainchtein et al., 2018; Arte myev et al., 2021), and this simplification (constant wave frequency) may reduce the efficiency of wave-particle resonant interactions (see discussion of frequency drift contri bution in Demekhov et al., 2006; Katoh & Omura, 2007; Hiraga & Omura, 2020).

We consider the precipitation event of Figure 2, for which we have near-equatorial 191 observations of waves (see the supplementary information). For the whistler wave, we 192 use the frequency $f_{wh}/f_{ce,eq} = 0.3 \& 0.4$, the observed wave amplitude at $B_{w,wh} =$ 193 500 pT $\cdot \Lambda(\lambda)$, the whistler wave latitudinal profile modeled as $\Lambda(\lambda) = 0.5 \cdot (1 + \tanh(\lambda/\delta\lambda_1)) \exp(-(\lambda/\delta\lambda_2)^2)$ 194 and $\delta\lambda_{1,2} = 1^{\circ}, 40^{\circ}$ (e.g., we assume wave generation at the equator and damping at 195 high latitudes, see details of this empirical wave model in Agapitov et al., 2018). For the 196 ducted whistler case, we have used $\Lambda(\lambda) \to 1$. We also include wave field modulation 197 by assuming whistler-mode waves propagate in wave packets: $B_{w,wh} \rightarrow B_{w,wh} \cdot \Phi(l)$ 198 with $\Phi(l) = \exp(-0.25 \cdot (\sin(\phi/(2\pi l))^2))$, where ϕ is the wave phase and l = 300 de-199 termines the wave-packet size (we use the longest wave packets from observations, see 200 statistics in Zhang et al., 2019, 2021). 201

For the He⁺ band EMIC wave, we use the most intense wave from observations, 202 with an amplitude of $B_{w,EMIC} = 500 \text{ pT}$, at a fixed frequency $f_{EMIC}/f_{cp,eq} = 0.2$. 203 Plasma composition is assumed to be 20% helium and 80% cold protons (Lee et al., 2012; Lee & Angelopoulos, 2014), and latitudinal distribution of EMIC waves as $B_{w,EMIC} \rightarrow$ 205 $B_{w,EMIC} \cdot \Lambda(\lambda)$ with $\Lambda(\lambda) = 0.5(\tanh(\lambda/\delta\lambda_1) - \tanh((\lambda - \delta\lambda_2)/\delta\lambda_1))$ with $\delta\lambda_{1,2} =$ 206 $1^{\circ}, 15^{\circ}$, i.e., there is no EMIC wave around and above the helium resonance latitude that 207 is around $\sim 25^{\circ}$ for the selected wave frequency. For this study, we used the field-aligned 208 cold plasma dispersion relation for both whistler and EMIC waves (Stix, 1962), with $f_{pe}/f_{ce,eq} =$ 209 20, and L = 4.5. The time is normalized to a typical scale R/c~ 0.1 s as R=4.5 R_E, 210 where R_E is the radius of the Earth and c is the speed of light and simulation in time 211 units is run for 200 seconds (see figure S4 for more details). 212

Figure 3 shows two loss mechanisms of energetic electrons with+ initial pitch-angles 213 that are not in resonance with EMIC waves: (1) electrons directly scattered into the loss-214 cone by whistler-mode waves (blue trajectory) or (2) electrons phase trapped and ac-215 celerated by whistler-mode waves to energies sufficiently high for resonance with EMIC 216 waves, and then scattered into loss-cone by EMIC waves (magenta trajectory). Resonance 217 with whistler-mode waves moves electrons along the resonance curves (Summers et al., 218 1998), and thus we are interested in those that will cross the region (above the E_{min} shown 219 by thick red curve) of electron resonant interaction with EMIC waves (the latter inter-220 actions, primarily resulting in pitch-angle scattering, occur along the thin horizontal red 221 lines). For moderate electron energies, phase trapping results in energy and pitch-angle increase (e.g., Bortnik et al., 2008; Vainchtein et al., 2018), and thus resonance curves 223 move away from the loss-cone. However, when the electron energy reaches $\gamma > f_{ce}/f$, 224 its pitch-angle starts to decrease during the phase trapping (so-called turning acceler-225 ation Omura et al., 2007; Bashir et al., 2022a). This effect bends the resonance curves 226 back toward smaller pitch-angles. It thus allows the accelerated electron to escape from 227 the trapping at an energy and pitch-angle where resonance with EMIC waves can oc-228 cur. Supplementary information provides more examples of such a double resonance ef-229 fect (trapping acceleration by whistler-mode waves followed by scattering into the loss-230 231 cone by EMIC waves).

The model results suggest the following scenario for the formation of the observed 232 electron precipitation spectrum: The source size of EMIC waves is usually sufficiently 233 large (Blum et al., 2016, 2017) to provide relativistic electron precipitation within an \sim 234 $1R_E$ region near the equator (Capannolo et al., 2019), which corresponds to several spins 235 of ELFIN observations at low altitudes (see several examples of ELFIN observed EMIC-236 driven precipitation in, e.g., Grach et al., 2021; An et al., 2022; Angelopoulos et al., 2023) 237 Therefore, the relativistic electron precipitation within 04:10:35-04:10:50 UT should be 238 attributed to EMIC waves. At the beginning of this subinterval, there is no strong sub-239

MeV precipitation (see j_{prec}/j_{trap} in Figure 2) which we assert is indicative of an ab-240 sence of strong whistler-mode waves. We interpret the following burst of < 1 MeV pre-241 cipitation around 04:10:45 UT as due to a whistler-mode wave burst (the short duration 242 of the precipitation burst should be attributed to the small scale of whistler-mode wave 243 source region near the equator, see Agapitov et al., 2017). This whistler-mode wave burst 244 is sufficiently strong to provide electron acceleration, and thus efficiently increase > 1 MeV245 electron fluxes that are further precipitated by EMIC waves (see an increase of j_{trap} as-246 sociated with j_{prec} increase in Figure 2). However, we shall caution that due to large un-247 certainties of ELFIN/THEMIS mapping and the time/spatial separation of precipita-248 tion events and THEMIS wave measurements, this interpretation is presently a reason-249 able hypothesis supported by the limited dataset examined, and needs to be confirmed 250 by further multi-point and statistical analysis in the future. Moreover, we used a rather 251 simplified wave model that may not describe all important details of wave-particle non-252 linear resonances. Therefore, results shown in Figure 3 should be considered as an in-253 dication that the combined whistler-mode and EMIC resonant interactions with electrons 254 may explain the enhanced relativistic electron precipitation observed in Figures 1, 2; but 255 much more sophisticated and detailed simulations are needed to confirm this scenario 256 and assess the overall efficiency of the proposed combined precipitation mechanism. 257

²⁵⁸ 5 Conclusions

This letter reports the observation of relativistic electron precipitation driven by 259 the scattering of EMIC waves with the effect of precipitation enhancement by concur-260 rent whistler-mode waves. For five events, ELFIN observed electron precipitation at 300keV-261 2.5 MeV, and precipitating fluxes which were higher during subintervals containing both 262 EMIC and whistler-driven precipitation, compared to subintervals of EMIC-driven pre-263 cipitation alone. We propose the scenario of electron acceleration (via the nonlinear res-264 onant acceleration, e.g., phase trapping and turning acceleration) by whistler-mode waves 265 up to relativistic energies and subsequent scattering of this accelerated electron popu-266 lation by EMIC waves. Simplified test particle simulations confirm that this scenario indeed can work. Our results suggest that nonlinear resonant acceleration (Omura et al., 268 2007, 2015) may significantly contribute to electron precipitation events observed at low 269 altitudes. 270

271 Acknowledgments

A.V.A, X.-J.Z., and M.F.B. acknowledge support from the NASA grants 80NSSC20K1270,
80NSSC23K0403. X.-J. Z. acknowledges support from the NSF grant 2021749. V.A. acknowledges support by NSF grants AGS-1242918, and AGS-2019950.

We are grateful to NASA's CubeSat Launch Initiative for ELFIN's successful launch
in the desired orbits. We acknowledge the early support of the ELFIN project by the
AFOSR, under its University Nanosat Program, UNP-8 project, contract FA9453-12D-0285, and by the California Space Grant program. We acknowledge the critical contributions of numerous volunteer ELFIN team student members.

We also acknowledge NASA contract NAS5-02099 for use of data from the THEMIS mission. We thank K. H. Glassmeier, U. Auster, and W. Baumjohann for the use of FGM data provided under the lead of the Technical University of Braunschweig and with financial support through the German Ministry for Economy and Technology and the German Aerospace Center (DLR) under contract 50 OC 0302.

²⁸⁵ Open Research

Fluxes measured by ELFIN are available in ELFIN data archive https://data.elfin.ucla.edu/ in CDF format. THEMIS data is available at http://themis.ssl.berkeley.edu/data/themis. Data

analysis was done using SPEDAS V4.1 (Angelopoulos et al., 2019). The software can be

downloaded from http://spedas.org/wiki/index.php?title=Downloads_and_Installation

291 **References**

292	Agapitov, O. V., Blum, L. W., Mozer, F. S., Bonnell, J. W., & Wygant, J. (2017,
293	March). Chorus whistler wave source scales as determined from multipoint
294	Van Allen Probe measurements. Geophys. Res. Lett., 44, 2634-2642. doi:
295	10.1002/2017GL072701
296	Agapitov, O. V., Mourenas, D., Artemyev, A. V., Mozer, F. S., Hospodarsky, G.,
297	Bonnell, J., & Krasnoselskikh, V. (2018, January). Synthetic Empirical
298	Chorus Wave Model From Combined Van Allen Probes and Cluster Statis-
299	tics. Journal of Geophysical Research (Space Physics), 123(1), 297-314. doi:
300	10.1002/2017JA024843
301	An, X., Artemyev, A., Angelopoulos, V., Zhang, X., Mourenas, D., & Bortnik, J.
302	(2022, September). Nonresonant Scattering of Relativistic Electrons by Elec-
303	tromagnetic Ion Cyclotron Waves in Earth's Radiation Belts. Phys. Rev.
304	Lett., 129(13), 135101. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.129.135101
305	Angelopoulos, V. (2008, December). The THEMIS Mission. Space Sci. Rev., 141, 5-
306	34. doi: 10.1007/s11214-008-9336-1
307	Angelopoulos, V., Cruce, P., Drozdov, A., Grimes, E. W., Hatzigeorgiu, N., King,
308	D. A., Schroeder, P. (2019, January). The Space Physics Environ-
309	ment Data Analysis System (SPEDAS). Space Sci. Rev., 215, 9. doi:
310	10.1007/s11214-018-0576-4
311	Angelopoulos, V., Tsai, E., Bingley, L., Shaffer, C., Turner, D. L., Runov, A., Zhang, G. V. (2020, July) The ELEIN Mission Course Gai, Bay 216(7) 102
312	Zhang, G. Y. (2020, July). The ELFIN Mission. Space Sci. Rev., 210(5), 103.
313	doi: 10.1007/S11214-020-00721-7
314	Angelopoulos, V., Zhang, A. J., Artemyev, A. V., Mourenas, D., Isai, E., Wilkins, C – Zarifan A (2023) Energetic electron precipitation driven by electron
315	magnetic ion cyclotron ways from elfin's low altitude perspective Space Sci
217	<i>Rev. 219.</i> 37. doi: https://doi.org/10.1007/s11214-023-00984-w
210	Artemyev A V Agapitov O Mourenas D Krasposelskikh V Shastun V &
310	Mozer F (2016 April) Oblique Whistler-Mode Waves in the Earth's Inner
320	Magnetosphere: Energy Distribution, Origins, and Role in Radiation Belt Dy-
321	namics. Space Sci. Rev., 200(1-4), 261-355. doi: 10.1007/s11214-016-0252-5
322	Artemyev, A. V., Neishtadt, A. I., Vasiliev, A. A., Zhang, XJ., Mourenas, D.,
323	& Vainchtein, D. (2021, March). Long-term dynamics driven by res-
324	onant wave-particle interactions: from Hamiltonian resonance theory to
325	phase space mapping. Journal of Plasma Physics, 87(2), 835870201. doi:
326	10.1017/S0022377821000246
327	Auster, H. U., Glassmeier, K. H., Magnes, W., Aydogar, O., Baumjohann,
328	W., Constantinescu, D., Wiedemann, M. (2008, December). The
329	THEMIS Fluxgate Magnetometer. Space Sci. Rev., 141, 235-264. doi:
330	10.1007/s11214-008-9365-9
331	Bashir, M. F., Artemyev, A., Zhang, XJ., & Angelopoulos, V. (2022a). Ener-
332	getic electron precipitation driven by the combined effect of ulf, emic, and
333	whistler waves. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 127(1),
334	e2021JA029871. doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JA029871
335	Bashir, M. F., Artemyev, A., Zhang, XJ., & Angelopoulos, V. (2022b). Hot
336	plasma effects on electron resonant scattering by electromagnetic ion cyclotron waves $C_{\text{combusided}}$ Basearch Letters $10(11)$ c2022CL 000220 Determs^{-1}
337	waves. Geophysical Research Letters, 49(11), e2022GL099229. Retrieved
338	1000000000000000000000000000000000000
339	20220E033223 uoi. https://uoi.org/10.1029/20220E033223 Bashir M F & Iio R (2018) A now n + band of electromegnetic ion evolution
340	Dashin, with real with (2010) . A new intermediate of electromagnetic foll cyclotron

341	waves in multi-ion cold plasmas. Geophysical Research Letters, 45(19), 10,150-
342	10,159. Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/
343	abs/10.1029/2018GL080280 doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GL080280
344	Bashir, M. F., & Ilie, R. (2021). The first observation of n^+ electromagnetic ion
345	cyclotron waves. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 126(3),
346	e2020JA028716. doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JA028716
347	Blum, L. W., Agapitov, O., Bonnell, J. W., Kletzing, C., & Wygant, J. (2016,
348	May). EMIC wave spatial and coherence scales as determined from multipoint
349	Van Allen Probe measurements. Geophys. Res. Lett., 43, 4799-4807. doi:
350	10.1002/2016GL068799
351	Blum, L. W., Bonnell, J. W., Agapitov, O., Paulson, K., & Kletzing, C. (2017,
352	February). EMIC wave scale size in the inner magnetosphere: Observations
353	from the dual Van Allen Probes. Geophys. Res. Lett., 44, 1227-1233. doi:
354	10.1002/2016GL072316
355	Blum, L. W., Halford, A., Millan, R., Bonnell, J. W., Goldstein, J., Usanova, M.,
356	Li, X. (2015, July). Observations of coincident EMIC wave activity and
357	duskside energetic electron precipitation on 18-19 January 2013. <i>Geophys.</i>
358	Res. Lett., 12, 5727-5735, doi: 10.1002/2015GL065245
350	Blum L W Remva B Denton M H & Schiller O (2020 March) Persis-
360	tent EMIC Wave Activity Across the Nightside Inner Magnetosphere Geo-
361	nhus Res Lett $\sqrt{7}(6)$ e87009 doi: 10.1029/2020GL087009
360	Bonnell I W Mozer F S Delory C T Hull A I Ergun B E Cully C M
262	Harvey P B (2008 December) The Electric Field Instrument (EFI) for
364	THEMIS Space Sci Rev 1/1 303-341 doi: 10.1007/s11214-008-9469-2
304	Bortnik I. Thorne B. M. & Inan II. S. (2008 November) Nonlinear interac
365	tion of anorgatic electrons with large amplitude chorus Ceenhus Res Lett
300	25 21102 doi: 10.1020/2008CI.035500
307	Capannelo I Li W Ma O Shen X C Zhang X I Redmon R I Raita
368	T (2010 Apr) Energetic Floctron Procipitation: Multiovent Analysis of Its
309	Spatial Extent During EMIC Wave Activity Journal of Coonducted Research
370	Spatial Extent During ENNO wave Activity. $50 \mu m u$ of Geophysical Research (Space Physics) $12/(\Lambda)$ 2466 2483 doi: 10.1020/2018IA026201
371	Capappolo I I; W Mo O Zhong V I Dodmon D I Dodriguez I V
372	Boover C D (2018 July) Understanding the Driver of Energetic Electron
3/3	Procipitation Using Coordinated Multisatellite Measurements — Ceenbus Res
374	Lett 15 6755 6765 doi: 10 1020/2018CL078604
375	Capannelo I Li W Millen R Smith D Sirades N Sample I & Shekhar
376	Capamolo, L., El, W., Minan, R., Sintin, D., Sivadas, N., Sample, J., & Shekhar, S = (2022) Bolotivistic electron prognitation near midnight: Drivers distribution
377	tion and properties <u>Journal of Computing Research (Space Physics</u>) 127
378	2001 I A 030111 doi: 10.1020/2021 I A 030111
379	Demokhov A C Trakhtangarta V V Ryaroft M I & Nunn D (2006 Decom
380	her) Electron acceleration in the magneteenhere by whistler mode waves
381	of varying frequency Commandian and Aeronomy 16, 711, 716 doi:
382	10 1134/S00167032060600053
383	Drogdov A V Uconeve M E Hudson M K Allicon H I & Sharita V V
384	(2020 September) The Bole of Higg Cherus and FMIC Ways in the
385	(2020, September). The Role of thiss, Chorus, and EMIC waves in the Modeling of the Dynamics of the Multi MeV Padiation Polt Floatnang
386	Lowmol of Coophysical Possarch (Space Physica) 105(0) o22222
387	$10,1000/20201\Lambda022222$
388	10.1029/2020JA020202
389	Huppe C. I. Chickeye K. (2015 Intro) Van Allen nuclei NOAA
390	COFS and ground observations of an interna EMIC wave event event and realized
391	GOED, and ground observations of an intense EMIC wave event extending over 12 h in magnetic local time I Combate Dec. 100 5465 5409 I
392	over 12 n m magnetic local time. J. Geophys. Res., 120, 3403-3488. doi: 10/1002/20151A021227
393	TOTAL AUTOTALIAAI
394	(AMDC) Stand N (2004 October) Subsurged memory meter and (CAMDC)
395	\mathcal{S}

396	as a result of plasmapause-ring-current interaction. Journal of Geophysical
397	Research (Space Physics), 109(A10), A10305. doi: 10.1029/2004JA010516
398	Glauert, S. A., & Horne, R. B. (2005, April). Calculation of pitch angle and energy
399	diffusion coefficients with the PADIE code. J. Geophys. Res., 110, 4206. doi:
400	10.1029/2004JA010851
401	Grach, V. S., Artemyev, A. V., Demekhov, A. G., Zhang, XJ., Bortnik, J., An-
402	gelopoulos, V., Roberts, O. W. (2022, September). Relativistic Electron
403	Precipitation by EMIC Wayes: Importance of Nonlinear Resonant Effects.
404	Geophus, Res. Lett., 49(17), e99994, doi: 10.1029/2022GL099994
405	Grach, V. S., & Demekhov, A. G. (2020, February). Precipitation of Relativistic
406	Electrons Under Resonant Interaction With Electromagnetic Ion Cyclotron
400	Wave Packets Journal of Geombusical Research (Space Physics) 125(2)
408	e27358 doi: 10.1029/2019.IA027358
400	Grach V S Demekhov A G & Larchenko A V (2021 December) Res-
409	onant interaction of relativistic electrons with realistic electromagnetic
410	ion-cyclotron wave packets Earth Planets and Space $72(1)$ 120 doi:
411	101-cyclotron wave packets. Burni, Tunners and Space, $75(1)$, 129. (1). 10.1186/s40623-021-01453-w
412	Uinaga D & Omuna V (2020 Echnyamy) Acceleration mechanism of rediction holt
413	alectrons through interaction with multi-subpacket shows were <i>Farth Plan</i>
414	electrons through interaction with multi-subpacket chorus waves. Eurin, Fun- eta and Space $70(1)$ 21 doi: 10.1186/s40622.020.1124.2
415	$\frac{ets}{2}, unu \ Space, \ 72(1), \ 21. \ doi: \ 10.1100/840025-020-1154-5$
416	Hsien, YK., Kubota, Y., & Omura, Y. (2020). Nonlinear evolution of radiation
417	Learned of Combusical Bossenshi, Grass Physics, 2010 IA 027465 Detrieved
418	<i>Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics</i> , e2019JA021405. Retheved
419	IFOIN https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/dol/abs/10.1029/
420	2019JA027465 (e2019 $JA027465$ 2019 $JA027465$) doi: $10.1029/2019JA027465$
421	Hsieh, YK., & Omura, Y. (2017, January). Nonlinear dynamics of electrons inter-
422	acting with oblique whistler mode chorus in the magnetosphere. J. Geophys.
423	Res., 122, 675-694. doi: 10.1002/2016JA023255
424	Katon, Y., & Omura, Y. (2007, July). Relativistic particle acceleration in the
425	process of whistler-mode chorus wave generation. Geophys. Res. Lett., 34,
426	L13102. doi: $10.1029/2007GL029758$
427	Kersten, T., Horne, R. B., Glauert, S. A., Meredith, N. P., Fraser, B. J., & Grew,
428	R. S. (2014, November). Electron losses from the radiation belts caused by
429	EMIC waves. J. Geophys. Res., 119, 8820-8837. doi: 10.1002/2014JA020300
430	Le Contel, O., Roux, A., Robert, P., Collot, C., Bouabdellah, A., de La Porte,
431	B., Larson, D. (2008, December). First Results of the THEMIS
432	Search Coll Magnetometers. Space Sci. Rev., 141, 509-534. doi: 10.1007/
433	s11214-008-9371-y
434	Lee, J. H., & Angelopoulos, V. (2014, Mar). On the presence and properties of cold
435	ions near Earth's equatorial magnetosphere. Journal of Geophysical Research
436	(Space Physics), 119(3), 1749-1770. doi: 10.1002/2013JA019305
437	Lee, J. H., Chen, L., Angelopoulos, V., & Thorne, R. M. (2012, Jun). THEMIS
438	observations and modeling of multiple ion species and EMIC waves: Implica-
439	tions for a vanishing He^{+} stop band. Journal of Geophysical Research (Space
440	<i>Physics</i>), 117(A6), A06204. doi: 10.1029/2012JA017539
441	Li, W., & Hudson, M. K. (2019, Nov). Earth's Van Allen Radiation Belts: From
442	Discovery to the Van Allen Probes Era. Journal of Geophysical Research
443	(Space Physics), 124(11), 8319-8351. doi: 10.1029/2018JA025940
444	Millan, R. M., & Thorne, R. M. (2007, March). Review of radiation belt relativistic
445	electron losses. Journal of Atmospheric and Solar-Terrestrial Physics, 69, 362-
446	377. doi: 10.1016/j.jastp.2006.06.019
447	Mourenas, D., Artemyev, A. V., Ma, Q., Agapitov, O. V., & Li, W. (2016, May).
448	Fast dropouts of multi-MeV electrons due to combined effects of EMIC
449	and whistler mode waves. $Geophys. Res. Lett., 43(9), 4155-4163.$ doi:
450	10.1002/2016GL068921

451	Ni, B., Cao, X., Zou, Z., Zhou, C., Gu, X., Bortnik, J., Xie, L. (2015, Septem-
452	ber). Resonant scattering of outer zone relativistic electrons by multiband
453	EMIC waves and resultant electron loss time scales. J. Geophys. Res., 120,
454	7357-7373. doi: 10.1002/2015JA021466
455	Ni, B., Thorne, R. M., & Ma, Q. (2012, April). Bounce-averaged Fokker-
456	Planck diffusion equation in non-dipolar magnetic fields with applications
457	to the Dungey magnetosphere. Annales Geophysicae, 30, 733-750. doi:
458	10.5194/angeo-30-733-2012
459	Ni, B., Thorne, R. M., Zhang, X., Bortnik, J., Pu, Z., Xie, L., Gu, X. (2016,
460	April). Origins of the Earth's Diffuse Auroral Precipitation. Space Sci. Rev.,
461	200, 205-259. doi: 10.1007/s11214-016-0234-7
462	Nishimura, Y., Bortnik, J., Li, W., Thorne, R. M., Ni, B., Lyons, L. R., Auster,
463	U. (2013, Feb). Structures of dayside whistler-mode waves deduced from
464	conjugate diffuse aurora. Journal of Geophysical Research (Space Physics),
465	118(2), 664-673. doi: 10.1029/2012JA018242
466	Omura, Y., Furuya, N., & Summers, D. (2007, June). Relativistic turning ac-
467	celeration of resonant electrons by coherent whistler mode waves in a dipole
468	magnetic field. J. Geophys. Res., 112, 6236. doi: 10.1029/2006JA012243
469	Omura, Y., Miyashita, Y., Yoshikawa, M., Summers, D., Hikishima, M., Ebihara, Y.,
470	& Kubota, Y. (2015, November). Formation process of relativistic electron flux
471	through interaction with chorus emissions in the Earth's inner magnetosphere.
472	J. Geophys. Res., 120, 9545-9562. doi: 10.1002/2015JA021563
473	Shprits, Y. Y., Drozdov, A. Y., Spasojevic, M., Kellerman, A. C., Usanova, M. E.,
474	Engebretson, M. J., Aseev, N. A. (2016, September). Wave-induced loss of
475	ultra-relativistic electrons in the Van Allen radiation belts. Nature Communi-
476	cations, 7, 12883. doi: 10.1038/ncomms12883
477	Shprits, Y. Y., Kellerman, A., Aseev, N., Drozdov, A. Y., & Michaelis, I. (2017)
478	Feb). Multi-MeV electron loss in the heart of the radiation belts. <i>Geophys.</i>
479	Res. Lett., 44(3), 1204-1209. doi: 10.1002/2016GL072258
480	Shprits, Y. Y., Subbotin, D. A., Meredith, N. P., & Elkington, S. R. (2008, Novem-
481	ber). Review of modeling of losses and sources of relativistic electrons in the
482	outer radiation belt II: Local acceleration and loss. <i>Journal of Atmospheric</i>
483	and Solar-Terrestrial Physics, 70, 1694-1713. doi: 10.1016/j.jastp.2008.06.014
484	Stix, T. H. (1962). The Theory of Plasma Waves.
485	Summers, D. (2005, August), Quasi-linear diffusion coefficients for field-aligned elec-
486	tromagnetic waves with applications to the magnetosphere. J. Geophys. Res.
487	110, 8213, doi: 10.1029/2005JA011159
488	Summers, D., Ni, B., & Meredith, N. P. (2007a, April). Timescales for radiation
489	belt electron acceleration and loss due to resonant wave-particle interactions:
490	1. Theory. J. Geophus. Res., 112, 4206. doi: 10.1029/2006JA011801
491	Summers, D., Ni, B., & Meredith, N. P. (2007b, April), Timescales for radiation belt
492	electron acceleration and loss due to resonant wave-particle interactions: 2.
493	Evaluation for VLF chorus, ELF hiss, and electromagnetic ion cyclotron waves.
494	J. Geophys. Res., 112, 4207. doi: 10.1029/2006JA011993
495	Summers, D., & Omura, Y. (2007, December). Ultra-relativistic acceleration of elec-
496	trons in planetary magnetospheres. <i>Geophys. Res. Lett.</i> , 34, 24205. doi: 10
497	.1029/2007GL032226
498	Summers, D., & Thorne, R. M. (2003 April) Relativistic electron pitch-angle scat-
499	tering by electromagnetic ion cyclotron waves during geomagnetic storms
500	Geophus, Res., 108, 1143, doi: 10.1029/2002.JA009489
501	Summers D Thorne B M & Xiao F (1998 Sentember) Relativistic the-
502	ory of wave-particle resonant diffusion with application to electron accel-
502	eration in the magnetosphere. J. Geonbus Res 103 20487-20500 doi:
504	10.1029/98JA01740
505	Thorne, R. M., Bortnik, L. Li, W & Ma O (2021) Wave-particle interactions
505	There, i.e., Derenning et, i.e., we have a star we have particle interactions

506	in the earth's magnetosphere. In Magnetospheres in the solar system (p. 93-
507	108). American Geophysical Union (AGU). doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/
508	9781119815624.ch6
509	Tsai, E., Artemyev, A., Zhang, XJ., & Angelopoulos, V. (2022, May). Relativistic
510	Electron Precipitation Driven by Nonlinear Resonance With Whistler-Mode
511	Waves. Journal of Geophysical Research (Space Physics), 127(5), e30338. doi:
512	10.1029/2022JA030338
513	Usanova, M. E., Drozdov, A., Orlova, K., Mann, I. R., Shprits, Y., Robertson,
514	M. T., Wygant, J. (2014, March). Effect of EMIC waves on relativistic and
515	ultrarelativistic electron populations: Ground-based and Van Allen Probes ob-
516	servations. Geophys. Res. Lett., 41, 1375-1381. doi: 10.1002/2013GL059024
517	Vainchtein, D., Zhang, X. J., Artemyev, A. V., Mourenas, D., Angelopoulos, V.,
518	& Thorne, R. M. (2018, October). Evolution of Electron Distribution
519	Driven by Nonlinear Resonances With Intense Field-Aligned Chorus Waves.
520	Journal of Geophysical Research (Space Physics), 123(10), 8149-8169. doi:
521	10.1029/2018JA025654
522	Zhang, XJ., Angelopoulos, V., Mourenas, D., Artemyev, A., Tsai, E., & Wilkins,
523	C. (2022, May). Characteristics of Electron Microburst Precipitation Based
524	on High-Resolution ELFIN Measurements. Journal of Geophysical Research $(G = DL = 1) + 40\%(5) = 20500$, $L = 10,1020$ (2020) A 020500
525	(Space Physics), 127(5), 630509. doi: 10.1029/2022JA030509
526	Zhang, A. J., Demeknov, A. G., Katon, Y., Nunn, D., Tao, A., Mourenas, D.,
527	Register, Comparison Potycon Observations and Wave Concertion Mod
528	Packets: Comparison Detween Observations and wave Generation Mod-
529	eis. <i>Journal of Geophysical Research (Space 1 hysics)</i> , 120(8), e29550. doi: 10.1020/2021 IA020330
530	Zhang X I Mouronas D Artomyoy A V Angelopoulos V Bertnik I
531	Thorne B M Hospodersky C B (2010 July) Nonlinear Electron
532	Interaction With Interse Chorus Wayes: Statistics of Occurrence Bates Geo-
533	nhus Res Lett $/6(13)$ 7182-7190 doi: 10.1029/2019GL083833
535	Zhang X - J. Mourenas D. Artemvev A. V. Angelopoulos V. & Thorne B. M.
536	(2017, August). Contemporaneous EMIC and whistler mode waves: Obser-
537	vations and consequences for MeV electron loss. <i>Geophys. Res. Lett.</i> 44.
538	8113-8121. doi: 10.1002/2017GL073886
	1

Figure 1.



Figure 2.



Jdown



Figure 3.

