Spatiotemporal Variability in the Annual Sea Level Cycle Along the Global Coast

Amanda Barroso¹, Thomas Wahl¹, Sida Li², Alejandra Rodríguez Enríquez¹, Joao Morim¹, Sönke Dangendorf³, Christopher G. Piecuch⁴, and Philip Robert Thompson⁵

¹University of Central Florida ²Innovation Academy for Precision Measurement Science and Technology, Chinese Academy of Sciences ³Tulane University ⁴Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution ⁵University of Hawaii at Manoa

August 4, 2023

Abstract

Changes in the seasonal sea level cycle (SSLC) can modulate the flooding risk along coastlines. Here, we use harmonic analysis to quantify changes in the amplitude and phase of the annual component of the sea level cycle at 663 tide gauge locations along the global coastline where long records are available. We identify coastal hotspots by applying clustering methods revealing coherent regions with similar patterns of variability in the annual sea level cycle (ASLC). Results show that for most tide gauges the annual amplitude reached its maximum after 1970 and its peak typically occurs during the fall season of the respective hemisphere. Many tide gauges exhibit non-stationarity in the annual cycle in terms of amplitude and/or phase. For example, at 125 tide gauges we find significant trends in the amplitude (either increasing or decreasing) while several sites (36 in total), mostly in the Mediterranean and around Pacific islands, experienced phase changes leading to shifts in the timing of the peak of the annual cycle by more than a month. Our results highlight the importance of accounting for potential non-stationarity in seasonal mean sea level (MSL) cycles along coastlines.

Hosted file

969855_0_art_file_11229421_ry8zs6.docx available at https://authorea.com/users/645558/ articles/657926-spatiotemporal-variability-in-the-annual-sea-level-cycle-along-theglobal-coast

1	Spatiotemporal Variability in the Annual Sea Level Cycle Along the Global Coast
2	
3	A. Barroso ¹ , T. Wahl ¹ , S. Li ² , A. Enriquez ^{1,3} , J. Morim ¹ , S. Dangendorf ⁴ , C. Piecuch ⁵ , P.
4	Thompson ⁶
5	
6 7	¹ Department of Civil, Environmental and Construction Engineering and National Center for Integrated Coastal Research, University of Central Florida, Orlando, FL, USA
8 9	² State Key Laboratory of Geodesy and Earth's Dynamics, Innovation Academy for Precision Measurement Science and Technology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Wuhan, China
10 11	³ Institute for Environmental Studies (IVM), Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Amsterdam, Netherlands
12 13	⁴ Department of River-Coastal Science and Engineering, Tulane University, New Orleans, LA, USA
14 15	⁵ Department of Physical Oceanography, Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, Woods Hole, MA, USA
16 17	⁶ Department of Oceanography, University of Hawai'i, Honolulu, HI, USA
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

24 Key Points:

25	•	The annual sea level cycle is non-stationary and has changed by up to more than a month
26		across multiple locations.
27	•	There are well-defined regional clusters of tide gauges where the annual sea level cycle
28		exhibits similar variability patterns.
29	•	Changes in the annual sea level cycle are linked to dominant modes of climate variability.
30		

31 Abstract

Changes in the seasonal sea level cycle (SSLC) can modulate the flooding risk along coastlines. 32 33 Here, we use harmonic analysis to quantify changes in the amplitude and phase of the annual component of the sea level cycle at 663 tide gauge locations along the global coastline where 34 35 long records are available. We identify coastal hotspots by applying clustering methods revealing coherent regions with similar patterns of variability in the annual sea level cycle (ASLC). Results 36 37 show that for most tide gauges the annual amplitude reached its maximum after 1970 and its 38 peak typically occurs during the fall season of the respective hemisphere. Many tide gauges exhibit non-stationarity in the annual cycle in terms of amplitude and/or phase. For example, at 39 40 125 tide gauges we find significant trends in the amplitude (either increasing or decreasing) while several sites (36 in total), mostly in the Mediterranean and around Pacific islands, 41 experienced phase changes leading to shifts in the timing of the peak of the annual cycle by more 42 43 than a month. Our results highlight the importance of accounting for potential non-stationarity in seasonal mean sea level (MSL) cycles along coastlines. 44

45 Plain Language Summary

The seasonal sea level cycle (SSLC) is the pattern of high and low mean sea level (MSL) 46 observed throughout the year at a given location. Shifts in the timing of the maximum sea level 47 impact coastlines with increased risk of flooding if the higher MSL occurs at a time of year when 48 other factors like storms or high tides are also at their peak. To understand changes in the SSLC, 49 we focus on the annual sea level cycle (ASLC) by decomposing the MSL at 663 locations into 50 51 amplitude and phase components which describe the variability and timing of the MSL annual peak, respectively. We find that MSL amplitude typically reaches its maximum during the fall in 52 each hemisphere. Coastal hotspots in the North Atlantic have been identified with coherent 53 54 regions showing similar patterns in their ASLC variability. We find 125 locations with significant trends in amplitude and 36 locations where the MSL peak has changed by more than a month 55 throughout the record. Additionally, we find significant relationships between the MSL annual 56 amplitudes and climate indices characterizing regional-scale climate phenomena. Our results 57 provide a comprehensive description of changes in the ASLC along portions of the global 58 coastline with tide gauges. 59

60

61 **1. Introduction**

Many coastal locations worldwide have experienced the impacts of sea level change. This includes saltwater intrusion, freshwater resource contamination, ecosystem disruptions, surge propagation, coastal infrastructure damage, and other socio-economic impacts related to flooding, which are especially observable in low-lying areas (IPCC, 2023). In addition to projections of sea level changes, it is important to understand modulations of regular and predictable cycles of mean sea level (MSL). These can coincide with other natural climate processes or with components of extreme sea level, such as storm surge, to heighten the resulting total sea level at a particular location (Wahl et al., 2014). For example, when the maximum MSL for a given year aligns with a region's seasonal maximum of storm activity or with the seasonal high tides (often referred to as king tides), the likelihood for flooding is relatively higher as opposed to when the MSL peaks occur out of phase.

The seasonal sea level cycle (SSLC) describes the sea level pattern observed annually due to the 73 74 regional climate characteristics of a location. It can be represented by the sum of annual and 75 semi-annual harmonics with amplitudes and phases that correspond to the magnitude and timing 76 of monthly MSL maxima and minima. Changes in the amplitude over time lead to larger 77 differences between the troughs and peaks, while shifts in the phase can move the peak closer to or away from the storm surge season. The SSLC is often assumed to be stationary in time and is 78 79 removed in studies considering sea level variability, but non-stationarity of the SSLC has been found to play a significant role in contributing to coastal sea level variations (Feng et al. 2015). 80 The SSLC has been studied previously at the global scale (e.g., Gill & Niller 1973; Pattullo et al. 81 1955; Pugh & Woodworth, 2014; Vinogradov et al., 2008) and across specific regions worldwide 82 (e.g., Amiruddin et al., 2015; Barbosa et al., 2008; Barbosa & Silva, 2009; Calafat et al., 2018; 83 Dangendorf et al., 2012; 2013; Feng et al., 2015; Hünicke & Zorita, 2008; Marcos & Tsimplis, 84 85 2007; Plag & Tsimplis, 1999; Torres & Tsimplis, 2012; Wahl et al., 2014).

However, these studies either focused on the mean SSLC or assessed changes in individual regions; changes of the SSLC over time in terms of amplitude and phase have not been comprehensively assessed on a global scale. Here, our central aim is to analyze how the annual component of the SSLC, hereafter referred to as the annual sea level cycle (ASLC), observed by tide gauges globally has varied in space and time. We extend previous analyses (e.g., Wahl et al., 2014; Calafat et al., 2018) to a broader scope of the global coastline and add investigations of the annual phase component. We assess how much the amplitude and phase of the annual cycle has fluctuated around the mean in the past and identify recent trends. In this context, we also identify clusters of coherent variability in the annual amplitude for the North Atlantic coastlines, as an example, where we have a dense network of tide gauges. Finally, we compare relevant climate indices to the annual amplitudes to detect whether any significant relationships exist. By doing this, we provide a comprehensive description of spatiotemporal variability in the ASLC along gauged portions of the global coastline.

99

100 **2. Data**

101 Monthly MSL data measured by tide gauges was obtained from the Permanent Service for Mean Sea Level (PSMSL) database (Woodworth & Player, 2003; Holgate et al., 2013). The tide gauge 102 data used are the revised local reference (RLR) subset. A total of 737 tide gauges located across 103 the globe were chosen initially according to a minimum record length of 30 years. Since the 104 focus of this study is on changes in the ASLC along the global coasts, 26 tide gauges were 105 discarded based on locations in lakes, rivers, or near glaciers where the seasonal cycles of water 106 levels are heavily influenced by different processes than the coastal ASLC (Amiruddin et al., 107 2015; Tsimplis & Woodworth, 1994) and hence outside the scope of this study. An additional 48 108 tide gauges were discarded after performing sensitivity analyses which revealed questionable 109 data records (see Methods). This resulted in the final set of 663 tide gauges that are used to 110 analyze the ASLC and its changes over time (see Figure 1 for the locations and record lengths). 111 Additionally, climate indices were downloaded from the National Oceanic and Atmospheric 112 Administration's Physical Sciences Laboratory (NOAA PSL). The indices used are: El Niño 3.4, 113

114 North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO), Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation (AMO), and the Pacific



115 Decadal Oscillation (PDO) because of their large-scale influence on climate.

Figure 1. Number of years of monthly MSL data available from 663 tide gauges. Records longer
than 100 years (72 tide gauges) are all shown in yellow.

119

120 **3. Methods**

121 **3.1 Harmonic Analysis**

Following Wahl et al. (2014), we perform a harmonic analysis to deconstruct the MSL into the components of interest. We fit a regression model to moving 5-year windows with no more than two years of missing data (also see Section 3.2) of the monthly MSL time series and shift the window by one month each time step, as has been done in previous studies (Tsimplis & Woodworth, 1994; Plag & Tsimplis, 1999; Amiruddin et al., 2015):

127
$$MSL(t) = MSL_0 + at + A_1 \cos[2\pi(t - p_1)] + A_2 \cos\left[\frac{2\pi}{0.5}(t - p_2)\right] (1)$$

Equation 1 shows how the time changing MSL(t) is decomposed into six parameters: the MSL₀ 128 (constant mean value) for the respective time window (where t is the time in years), a linear trend 129 a, the annual and semi-annual amplitudes, A_1 and A_2 , and the annual and semi-annual phase, p_1 130 and p_2 . The harmonic constituents are estimated at the central month of each 5-year time 131 window (i.e., 30th month). Therefore, there are no values during the first and last 30 months. The 132 phases are extracted from the model and calculated based on trigonometric theory (Eq. 2) in 133 reference to a fixed time frame, so that the degrees can be interpreted as months of the year. 134 135 January is the starting point at 0 degrees. Each calendar month of the year increases by 30 degrees for the annual phase, reaching a total of 360 degrees by the end of December. 136

137 Annual phase degree:
$$p_1 = \frac{(360^0)(nth \, day)}{365 \, days}$$
 (2)

3.2 Sensitivity Analysis

To assure robustness of our results, we perform different sensitivity tests. We compare Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) estimation to robust fit for deriving regression coefficients and we contrast the results against the Bayesian approach by Calafat et al. (2018) at select sites. We also apply jackknife resampling of the 5-year moving windows to assess how missing data (e.g., individual extreme years) can influence the results. Lastly, we test the precision of the phase estimates since our model returns phase degree values of daily resolution from monthly data.

145

3.2.1 Models: Ordinary Least Squares Estimation vs. Robust Fit

We compared OLS and robust fit to estimate regression parameters and found that the models produce different results in some locations. In most places results are similar, but to identify tide gauges where data inconsistencies could lead to larger differences and influence our results, we select locations with maximum RMSE > 0.8 cm between models and a difference > 3 cm in the 150 annual amplitude estimates. For some tide gauges where those thresholds are exceeded, we find vertical shifts due to earthquakes, e.g., in locations around Japan. We identify the change points 151 and remove the years before or after datum shifts; if less than 30 years of consistent data are left, 152 the tide gauges are discarded. In other places like the Baltic Sea, we also find several locations 153 with > 3 cm differences in the amplitude estimates, but there are no notable discrepancies in the 154 tide gauge records, many of which have been used in previous studies of the SSLC (e.g., 155 Hunicke & Zorita, 2008; Barbosa et al., 2016); after carefully assessing the data quality those 156 tide gauges were retained. This process leads to a final set of 663 tide gauges, for which we use 157 158 the results from the robust fit approach for further analysis.

Calafat et al. (2018) studied the SSLC in the US Gulf and Atlantic coasts proposing a Bayesian method as an alternative to a harmonic analysis. Under certain conditions, the Bayesian method is more robust in capturing fluctuations, but it is also much more computationally demanding, especially for our global tide gauge analysis. After testing it for several stations, we find that the differences in the results are small (not shown) and do not affect our overall conclusions and hence we proceed with the simpler regression method.

165

3.2.2 Jackknife Resampling

The next sensitivity test we apply is jackknife resampling on the 5-year moving windows. For each 5-year window we remove data from one year and fit the model, then remove the next year's data and fit the model, and so on, until all 5 years in the window were discarded. This gives for each time step five different estimates of the amplitudes and phases. At each tide gauge, we quantify the spread of the resampling results by calculating the range between the five different estimates of all model components. From this, we obtain a resampling-range value for each time step (i.e., every month). Next, we find the median resampling-range value for each tide 173 gauge over time. For the annual amplitude component, we find that the median range of 174 resampling results varies from 8 mm to 56 mm across tide gauge locations, with a median value 175 of 18 mm and a standard deviation of 9 mm. From these results and previous literature (Tsimplis 176 & Woodworth, 1994; Plag & Tsimplis, 1999; Amiruddin et al., 2015), we confirm that choosing a 177 5-year time window for the harmonic analysis is a reasonable choice.

178

3.2.3 Phase Precision Testing

We produce 700 synthetic 5-year-long time series (to hypothetically represent 700 different tide 179 gauge locations) of daily values of an annual sinusoid with known randomized phase. Then, we 180 compute monthly averages from the daily values. We apply the harmonic analysis to these 181 monthly time series to determine a phase estimate. To compute the error in estimation we take 182 the difference between the estimated phase and the true phase that was initially input to create 183 the synthetic data. From the distribution of 700 time series we incur an error in the phase 184 estimates between 0 and 1.2 degrees different than the true phases. This shows that our model 185 reliably estimates the phases at daily resolution despite the monthly resolution of the input data. 186

187

188 **3.3 Quantifying Changes in Amplitude**

From the moving harmonic analysis, we obtain time series of the MSL annual and semi-annual amplitudes. In this study we focus solely on changes in the annual amplitude because it is the dominant factor in most locations, apart from the tropics and a few sites in Antarctica where there are relatively fewer tide gauges (Vinogradov et al., 2008). Previous regional studies of the SSLC (e.g., Wahl et al., 2014; Calafat et al., 2018) also emphasized the annual component rather than the semi-annual, and in line with those studies the following discussions of amplitude and phase all refer to the annual component. In a first assessment, we derive the value of the maximum amplitude at all tide gauge locations and identify in which year it occurred. We do this for two time periods: the entire record of the respective tide gauge (for all 663 tide gauges), and the period post-1970 (for 636 tide gauges with data after 1970). We further quantify the relative variability in annual amplitude by deriving the standard deviation and the ratio between the mean amplitude and the maximum amplitude.

201 To assess long-term changes, we fit a linear trend to the annual amplitude time series of that same subset focusing on the post-1970 period to derive comparable results across sites. The 202 significance of the trends is assessed with a noise experiment. We run the harmonic analysis on 203 synthetic time series generated from a stationary seasonal cycle (using the mean at each tide 204 gauge) and non-seasonal MSL variability representative of each tide gauge location. The latter is 205 derived by fitting a first-order autoregressive (AR1) model to the detrended and de-seasoned 206 MSL time series. We generate 500 synthetic time series which are all combined with the same 207 stationary seasonal cycle. Then, the 5-year running harmonic analysis is performed and 500 trend 208 estimates are derived for each tide gauge, from which we obtain 5-95% confidence levels and 209 assess whether the trend from the actual data falls outside that range. This quantifies whether the 210 211 estimates obtained could have occurred by chance from a random red-noise process (representing the non-seasonal variability) and an invariant seasonal cycle. 212

Finally, following Calafat et al. (2018), we also assess changes in the number of 95th percentile threshold exceedances of the amplitude. In combination with the linear trend analysis and the assessment of when the maximum amplitude occurred, this provides additional insights about amplitude changes. We compare the difference between the number of 95th percentile threshold exceedances that occur in the last decade of the record to the number of exceedances in the first decade post-1970 at tide gauges with at least 20 years of data after 1970 and no more than 10
years of continuous data gaps in that time period (559 tide gauges).

220

3.4 Changes in Phase

222 To identify changes in the phase we use circular statistics (Berens, 2009). This method has been 223 used in previous studies to measure the seasonality of flooding (Villarini, 2016; Veatch & 224 Villarini, 2020) and across other scientific fields that apply periodic data such as phases of the 225 moon, wind direction, animal migration paths, neuroscience, criminology, and more (Bowers et 226 al., 2000; Gao et al., 2006; Cochran et al., 2004, etc.). In our study, the phase describes when 227 during the year MSL reaches its maximum. Quantifying phase over different 5-year windows 228 allows us to evaluate whether the peaks of the ASLC for a location have shifted over time. Circular statistics allow the phase to vary continuously across 0 (360) degrees into the next year. 229 For example, in some locations, the ASLC generally peaks between August to September (phase 230 of 210-270 degrees), whereas in others the range spans across calendar years, for instance, 231 November to January (300-30 degrees). 232

Considering the angular nature of the data, to accurately reflect instances where the range maycross over 0 degrees, we compute the circular standard deviation defined as:

$$s = \sqrt{-2lnR} \quad (3)$$

where *R* is the resultant vector length (Berens, 2009). *R* gives a value from 0 to 1 describing a uniform dispersion (0) throughout the polar circle plane, or concentration in one direction (1). In this application, a phase concentrated in one direction (with an R value near 1) implies a stronger seasonality, meaning the seasonal pattern is more evident of distinct monthly fluctuations,instead of dispersed throughout the months of the year.

241

242 **3.5 Regional Coherence and Links to Climate Modes**

243 To identify spatial coherence across locations, we apply a clustering algorithm to group tide 244 gauges according to their patterns of ASLC amplitude changes (Li et al., 2021). We use the kmeans Dynamic Time Warping (DTW) approach via Python's "tslearn" package (Tavenard et al., 245 246 2020). k-means partitions the data into k number of clusters, or groups, based on their similarity (MacQueen, 1967). The similarity is commonly measured by the squared Euclidean distance of 247 248 the data points, but in this study, we instead use a modification of k-means where we apply DTW 249 as the similarity measure because it detects similar patterns in the time series regardless of whether those features are contemporaneous (Aghabozorgi et al., 2015). DTW warps the path 250 between time series by choosing the closest points between the time series based on the 251 252 Levenshtein distance calculation (Petitjean et al., 2011). Cluster centroids are computed for each resulting group of time series, where the centroid represents an average sequence that is followed 253 by the rest of the time series within the respective cluster via DTW barycenter averaging (DBA). 254 255 The amplitude time series are scaled to have zero mean and unit variance to disregard the magnitude of the changes, since our goal is to identify regions where relatively high or low 256 values occur (near-)simultaneously. This approach captures flexible similarities and focuses on 257 similar trajectories between the time series, allowing us to cluster according to the shape of the 258 time series rather than the range of the data. 259

260 We focus on clustering tide gauges within the North Atlantic basin because of its dense network of tide gauges with long overlapping periods, and thus, we satisfy the requirement of the 261 algorithm to use a consistent time frame across tide gauges. For this analysis, we select the 262 period from 1990 to 2009 to retain a relatively large number of tide gauges with overlapping 263 records and reasonable coverage of the entire North Atlantic coast. To determine the optimal 264 number of clusters we use the elbow curve method as guidance (Camus et al., 2011), where we 265 compute the standard deviation across time series in a cluster as a function of varying numbers of 266 clusters, and we check the tipping point of the curve. For each cluster we compute the percent 267 variance explained (PVE) by the mean time series of the cluster (Rashid et al., 2019). This shows 268 how much of the variability in amplitude is explained by the cluster mean. Here we take the 269 variance of the amplitude time series for each tide gauge in a cluster (scaled with unit variance = 270 271 1), subtract the cluster mean from each individual time series, and compute the variance again. The difference between the initial variance and the resulting variance after removing the cluster 272 mean reveals what portion of the variability in amplitude was contributed by the cluster mean. 273 We multiply this value by 100 to obtain the PVE. 274

Lastly, we perform a correlation analysis of the annual amplitudes from individual tide gauges with anomalies of relevant climate indices during overlapping time periods at tide gauges with records at least 30 years complete after accounting for data gaps. The time series of the climate indices are smoothed with a 5-year running average in order to compare with the results of the harmonic analysis. The significance of the correlation coefficient is assessed at the 90% confidence level by the effective sample size considering autocorrelation (He & Guan 2013; Rashid et al., 2019).

4. Results

294

284 **4.1 Changes in Amplitude**

285 In agreement with Pugh & Woodworth (2014), the highest mean annual amplitudes, exceeding 20 cm, are found mainly in East Asia and northern Australia (Figure 2a). Small mean amplitude 286 values below 6 cm are distributed throughout the globe including along the east coast of Canada, 287 the west coast of South America, Pacific Islands, and South Africa (Figure 2a). About 95% of the 288 663 tide gauges analyzed have a mean amplitude below 17 cm, and only 1% above 20 cm. 289 However, approximately 10% reach a maximum amplitude above 20 cm at some point 290 throughout their record, when accounting for non-stationarity. As shown in Figure 2b, these 291 larger maximum amplitudes are located across the Northern Hemisphere and a few in northern 292 Australia. 293



Figure 2. Global maps of annual MSL amplitudes for 663 tide gauges. (a) Mean annual amplitude of MSL (cm). The colorbar is cut off at 18 cm where 26 tide gauges above 18 cm are

shown in yellow. (b) Maximum annual amplitude of MSL (cm) of the entire record where 111 tide gauges with values above 18 cm are shown in yellow. (c) The standard deviation of the annual amplitude. The color bar is cut off at 3 standard deviations where 59 tide gauges with a standard deviation above 3 are shown in yellow. (d) The ratio of the mean annual amplitude to the maximum annual amplitude for each respective tide gauge.

302

We find that over 75% of the 663 tide gauges' annual amplitudes peak after 1970. When considering only the post-1970 period, over half of the 636 tide gauges with records past that time frame peak after 1990 (Figure 3), and over half in the North American region peak during the most recent decade (after 2010). In northern Europe there is a concentration of peak amplitudes that occur before 1990, while the earliest peaks (1970s) occur in the northern Russian coast and at several other locations around the globe.



Figure 3. Year when the annual amplitude of MSL peaks for the period post-1970 for 636 tidegauges.

313 We consider a subset of 559 tide gauges for the trend analysis (Figure 4a). The subset is 314 composed of tide gauge records that fulfill the following criteria: they cover the period 1970 onwards, include more than 20 years of data after 1970, and they have no more than 10 years of 315 continuous data gaps. Significant trends in annual amplitude at the 95% confidence level 316 317 (according to the noise experiment described in Section 3.3) occur at a total of 125 locations. Out of those, 62 are positive and 63 are negative across the globe. Over 90% of the tide gauges with 318 significant trends show amplitude changes at a rate greater than +/- 0.5 mm/year, and 66 tide 319 gauges greater than +/- 1 mm/year (Figure 4a). 320

At 60 tide gauges, we find that the 95th percentile of the amplitude is exceeded more often during 321 322 the most recent decade of the records than during the first decade post-1970. In 34 locations, there are more exceedances during the first decade post-1970, and there is no change of 323 exceedances in 31 tide gauges (Figure 4b). Taking Key West as an example, over its entire 324 record, there are 61 out of 1225 months when the annual amplitude exceeds its 95th percentile of 325 about 10 cm; these exceedances all occurred after the year 2000, and 45 of those between 2007 326 and 2011. Neighboring tide gauge stations throughout Florida, Georgia, and the Carolinas also 327 exhibit annual amplitudes exceeding their 95th percentiles during the last decade, but none at the 328 beginning of their records. In Florida, for example, half the tide gauges have over 25 monthly 329 exceedances more during the last decade of available data; the other half show no difference in 330 exceedances between the two periods. 331

Globally (across all regions where tide gauge data are available), the largest difference between the number of exceedances is found along the Gulf of Mexico and east coast of the US with 28 more monthly exceedances of the 95th percentile occurring during the most recent decade than

during the 1970s. In contrast, the Baltic experienced higher amplitudes during the 1970s (up to 335 24 more months exceeding the 95th percentile in Kalix, Sweden) than during the most recent 336 decade in most of their tide gauges. This corresponds with the negative amplitude trends 337 338 observed in the Baltic. Note that these locations still exhibit significant trends in amplitude over the continuous portion of the record post-1970, although the change is not captured in comparing 339 merely the first and last decades of the post-1970 record. Strong values in both the trend (Figure 340 4a) and the difference of 95th percentile exceedances (Figure 4b) indicate a continuous trend 341 post-1970, whereas a small trend with a large difference of exceedances between the first and 342 last decades indicates a relatively flat curve (i.e., small trend) with a recent sharp increase (i.e., 343 large difference in 95th percentile exceedances). On the other hand, a strong trend with small 344 difference in exceedances would indicate a continuous increase over much of the record but a 345 recent decline. 346



Figure 4. (a) Trends in annual amplitude (mm/year) shown for tide gauges with records at least 20 years long for the period after 1970. Results are shown for 125 tide gauges where trends are significant at the 95% confidence level estimated by the noise experiment. For illustration purposes the color bar is cut off at 2 mm (15 tide gauges have trends with a magnitude over 2 mm). (b) Difference in the number of times (months) that the annual amplitude exceeds its 95th percentile between two time periods: the last decade of the tide gauge record and the first decade of data post-1970. If the difference is positive, it indicates that the annual amplitude exceeded its

95th percentile more often during the last decade of the record than during the first decade post1970.

357 **4.2 Changes in Phase**

Globally, the mean annual phases for most tide gauge locations (431 out of 663) indicate an ASLC peak during the fall season of the respective hemisphere, following the time integral of solar heating (September to November for the Northern Hemisphere; March to May for the Southern Hemisphere) (Figure 5a). For the rest of the sites, it peaks during summer in 159 tide gauges, 62 in winter, and 11 in spring. In addition to the mean of the phase, we also assess its variability (Figure 5b) to quantify how dispersed the peaks are during the year.



Figure 5. (a) Global map of circular mean annual phase (degrees) where each calendar month is
represented by 30-degree increments (b) Circular standard deviation of phase (degrees). The
color bar is cut off at 30 degrees where 36 tide gauges with a circular standard deviation above
30 degrees (1 month) are shown in yellow.

15[°]

20[°]

 25°

30[°]

5°

0°

364

10[°]

Recalling that 30 degrees represent approximately one month, regions such as the Mediterranean, Baltic Sea, and the northeast coast of North America are some hotspots where the phase vary most over time (> 30 degrees). For example, Brest (France) has a circular standard deviation value of 23 degrees, and in the Baltic Sea the tide gauge of Swinoujscie (Poland) has a circular standard deviation of 34 degrees. In contrast, the region around Japan exhibits small values between 0 to 5 degrees, indicating that the peak of the annual cycle always occurs around the same time.



378

Figure 6. (a) De-trended monthly MSL plotted for each month of the year (grey) for the length of the respective tide gauge record. The black line represents the long-term mean and the blue line represents the median. (b) The month in which the annual phase (degrees) occurs over time. Color bar represents the time step of the record in years. The radius of each circle on the polar

plot represents the annual amplitude (cm). Months in red font represent the summer season andblue font represents the winter season of the respective hemisphere.

385

In Key West (Florida), the circular standard deviation is also small (8 degrees). At this location, 386 the ASLC peaks between September and October (Figure 6a), and the greater amplitude values 387 (above 10 cm) start to occur after 1993 (Figure 6b). Similarly, in St. Petersburg (western 388 Florida), the phase indicates that the ASLC peaks have shifted from the month of August to 389 September in recent years. Similar results for St. Petersburg were reported in Wahl et al. (2014). 390 Brest (France), on the other hand, typically peaks between October and December, although in 391 recent years, some peaks occurred in January. At the tide gauge of Swinjouscie (Poland), the 392 annual cycle peaks mostly between July to October, but with high variability including peaks 393 across all months of the year (Figure 6c). 394

395

396 4.3 Regional Coherence of Amplitude Changes

397 The geographic clustering of the time-variable ASLC amplitudes is performed separately for the northwestern and northeastern Atlantic basins, following similar regionalization boundaries as in 398 Enriquez et al. (2020). For this analysis, we use tide gauge records covering the period from 399 1990 to 2009, resulting in 49 tide gauges in the northwestern and 115 tide gauges in the 400 northeastern Atlantic regions, respectively. As mentioned in Methods, the analysis is restricted to 401 this time period in order to retain the maximum amount of tide gauges with overlapping records 402 403 and data completeness, while achieving reasonable coverage of the coastline. Note that we include the Mediterranean Sea, but we omit tide gauges located in the Black Sea. After testing 404

several numbers of clusters suggested by the elbow curve (see Methods), we chose to use 4clusters for the northwestern and 8 clusters for the northeastern Atlantic regions.

407 Tide gauges in the northwestern Atlantic region show spatial coherence in the ASLC amplitude 408 time series, especially in the southeastern US and Gulf of Mexico coastlines (Cluster 3 in Figure 7). Similarly, tide gauges north of Cape Hatteras are distinguished as a separate cluster (Cluster 409 410 1). Both clusters exhibit an upwards tendency, particularly after 1996. Meanwhile, the amplitude time series of the northernmost tide gauges in Canada (Cluster 4) are less resemblant to their 411 cluster mean (red time series in Figure 7b). In addition to the complexity of the region's bay 412 systems and bathymetry, this is a limitation of the algorithm because it forces every time series to 413 be part of one of the clusters even if it doesn't match the other cluster members well. 414



Figure 7. Clustering (*k*-means DTW) annual amplitude time series from the period of 1990 to 2009. (a) Map of 49 tide gauges in the Northwest Atlantic region and the clusters they were assigned. (b) Annual amplitude time series of the individual records within clusters (grey) and the mean cluster time series (red).

420 In the northeastern Atlantic region (Figure 8), Cluster 4 (green) in the Baltic along the Gulf of Bothnia and Cluster 3 (red) along the Norwegian Sea share similar patterns in their mean cluster 421 time series (Pearson correlation of 0.58); with the northern Baltic gauges (Cluster 4) having more 422 pronounced fluctuations. Cluster 3 (red) coherently groups the ASLC patterns of tide gauges 423 along Norway and the east coast of the United Kingdom (UK). However, three tide gauges 424 grouped into this cluster (orange dots in Figure 9a) are located elsewhere (Vigo and Ceuta in 425 Spain, and Khios in Turkey). Other geographic clusters comprise tide gauges along the southern 426 North Sea (Netherlands, Belgium, France) (Cluster 6), and the complex Skagerrak (Cluster 5) 427 and Kattegat regions (Cluster 2). Cluster 1 is most dispersed geographically (Figure 9a) and in 428 terms of the differences of the time series within the cluster (Figure 9b); it includes tide gauges 429 from the northwestern UK, English Channel, northern Spain, and Adriatic Sea, which may show 430 some similarities within sub-regions but do not fit well into any of the other clusters. Cluster 8 431 includes a very small number of tide gauges. 432



Figure 8. Clustering (*k*-means DTW) annual amplitude time series from the period of 1990 to
2009. (a) Map of 115 tide gauges in the Northeast Atlantic region (Europe) and the clusters they
were assigned. (b) Annual amplitude time series of the individual records within clusters (grey)
and the mean cluster time series (red).

438

The percent variance explained (PVE) by each cluster's mean time series (Figure 9) shows how 439 440 well the cluster mean describes the overall amplitude pattern of the individual tide gauges pertaining to the cluster. Low, near-zero percentages indicate that for certain outlier tide gauges, 441 the mean time series of the cluster (red time series in Figures 7 & 8) does not explain the 442 amplitude variability at those locations. In the Northwest Atlantic the median PVE of Cluster 1 443 (north of Cape Hatteras) is the highest (92%), as the mean cluster time series explains 67% to 444 97% of the variance of the individual time series in the cluster. Cluster 4 has the lowest median 445 value (52%) and only explains between 0% to 65% of the variability of the individual time 446 series. 447



Figure 9. Boxplots of the percent variance explained (PVE) by the mean time series of each cluster. The colors of the boxes match the cluster to which it pertains on the maps in Figures 7a and 8a; the horizontal red lines are the medians, the red crosses (+) are outliers, and the bottom and top edges of each box represent the 25^{th} and 75^{th} percentiles.

For the Northeast Atlantic, clusters 2, 4, and 7 all have high median values and a small spread, indicating that the variability of all time series within the clusters is well explained by the mean cluster time series. Cluster 6 also has a relatively high median but a wider spread, whereas Cluster 8 has a low median value but also a low spread (likely due to the small number of tide gauges in this cluster). Clusters 1, 3, and 5 all show a very large spread, while the median values are also relatively high, especially for cluster 5.



Figure 10. Correlation of annual amplitude to anomalies of climate indices for the overlapping time periods with at least 30 years of full data coverage. Smaller dots represent tide gauges whose correlation was not significant at the 90% confidence level according to the effective sample size and autocorrelation (He & Guan 2013). For illustration purposes, the color bar cuts off correlations with a magnitude greater than 0.5.

In order to link the ASLC amplitude variability to large scale climate variations, we perform comparisons to anomalies of relevant climate indices (smoothed with a 5-year running mean for comparison with the results of the running harmonic analysis) by computing the correlation coefficient for overlapping time periods when those are at least 30 years long (Figure 9). The El Niño 3.4 Index correlates significantly with the ASLC amplitude changes at 36 out of the 423

tide gauges. In the northeastern Pacific there is strong positive correlation (up to 0.5 correlation coefficient) with tide gauges on the western US coast. The NAO, AMO, and PDO show significant correlations at 24 out of 326, 27 out of 328, and 36 out of 328 tide gauges, respectively. The NAO and AMO correlate positively with the annual amplitudes at tide gauges in the western coast of North America. The AMO also correlates positively with tide gauges on the eastern coast of the US, and negatively with those in the Baltic Sea. The PDO shows coherent positive correlation along the coast of the Norwegian Sea.

479

480 5. Discussion

481 Previous literature has documented the amplitude of the seasonal cycle in MSL for different parts 482 of the world. This analysis goes further (focusing on the ASLC) to investigate those changes over time for the entire global coast where tide gauge data is available. From assessing the mean 483 SSLC globally, Pugh & Woodworth (2014) display comparable amplitude and phase outputs 484 resulting from a harmonic analysis of tide gauges in the same dataset (PSMSL) that we use in the 485 present study. Vinogradov et al. (2008) studied the SSLC's amplitudes and phases globally 486 including the open oceans using satellite data; they focused on the climatological means of a 13-487 year period. Their estimates differ slightly from ours; for example, most amplitude values they 488 found were < 10 cm, (in a few cases reaching 15 cm), whereas we find that 95% of the tide 489 gauges have mean amplitudes of 17 cm or more (with several locations over 20 cm). This 490 indicates that altimetry data may underestimate the SSLC amplitudes along the coast, especially 491 because their study does not consider barometric pressure effects and the inverted barometer. Our 492 data still retain air pressure effects, which may explain some of the differences, particularly at 493 higher latitudes (Ponte, 2006). However, the general spatial patterns are consistent in that we 494

495 observe relatively higher amplitude values in the Western Pacific, specifically in regions like496 East Asia and Northern Australia.

497 Wahl et al. (2014) used the same approach as we do here to assess non-stationarity for the US 498 Gulf Coast where they compared the amplitude changes pre- and post-1990s. In our study we highlight the time periods of the first decade containing data post-1970 and the last decade of 499 500 each respective tide gauge record. For the South China Sea, Amiruddin et al. (2015) explored the temporal variability in the SSLC and found some high variability in the annual amplitudes, 501 which coincides with our results showing that some locations in this region can reach up to 2.8 502 standard deviations from their annual amplitude. Annual amplitudes have varied over time at 503 most of our study locations. At over 75% of all the tide gauges analyzed, the maximum 504 amplitudes occurred after 1970, with a tendency to peak during the most recent decade in North 505 America. Small ratios of mean to maximum ASLC values reveal locations where amplitude 506 changes are more apparent. For example, the smallest ratios are found in Alexandroupolis within 507 508 the Mediterranean Sea, Pago Pago, Midway Island and La Libertad II in the Pacific, and Dikson and Fedorova in Russia where we speculate influences by changes in seasonal ice cover (Ruiz 509 Etcheverry et al., 2014). 510

Our estimates of circular mean annual phase indicate that for most of the tide gauges in our global analysis, the ASLC peaks during the fall season, which overlaps with the most active storm seasons in the Pacific and Atlantic basins (Oey et al., 2016; Roustan et al., 2022). To identify where the phase deviates from its mean over time, we map the circular standard deviation of the phase (Figure 5b). We notice several regions that exhibit a high circular standard deviation such as the Mediterranean, Baltic, some Pacific Islands, and the northeastern coast of North America. The latter region includes several tide gauges located in river mouths or (complex) bay systems where river runoff can influence the seasonal cycle (Pugh & Woodworth, 2014). For instance, the tide gauge at Bar Harbor in Frenchman Bay, Maine (circular standard deviation of 32.3 degrees) exhibits a phase shift from May to October in the beginning of the record but is more concentrated from June to August past the 1980s and has remained between July and August after the late 1990s. This region of high deviation is one of comparatively low mean amplitude values (around 6 cm or less).

524 Another extreme example with a very dispersed phase (circular standard deviation of 34 degrees) is Swinoujscie, Poland, where peaks have occurred during every month of the year at some point 525 526 in the record; although most of those peaks are observed during July, August, and September. In general, we find that locations with a higher circular standard deviation of the phase have a less 527 pronounced seasonal cycle (Dunne et al., 2012) and contain more interannual variability in the 528 ASLC amplitude. Locations with a more discernable seasonal pattern (e.g., Japan, Key West, 529 etc.) have less deviation in their phase. For example, locations where the ASLC is not very 530 531 pronounced are found in the Mediterranean, specifically in Greece, and also in the Pacific Island of Pago Pago, and South Africa. Locations of high standard deviation (where the phase spreads 532 out around a month or more) are scattered around the globe but most commonly found in (semi-533 534)enclosed basins, Pacific Islands, and near bays. Large circular standard deviation values are also found in Russia, which is a distinct region due to its proximity to the poles. 535

From our cluster analysis (Figure 8), tide gauge stations along the southeast US coast (Cluster 3) and those north of Cape Hatteras (Cluster 1) show increasing ASLC amplitudes after the 1990s, with trends of 0.5 mm/year or more. For example, in Key West FL, the amplitude values did not surpass 10 cm until 1993. For the southeast US similar results were reported in Calafat et al. (2018). More than 90% of the 125 tide gauges with significant trends post-1970 show amplitude

changes at a rate of +/- 0.5 mm/year or more. Figure 4a shows strong negative trends for 541 locations in the Baltic Sea where the annual amplitude is decreasing more than 2 mm/year in 542 some parts. Hunicke and Zorita (2008) find increasing trends in the amplitude in their study of 543 the Baltic Sea, but they analyzed the entire 20th century whereas our trend analysis takes data 544 from the 1970s onwards, including more recent data than was available at the time of their study. 545 While annual amplitudes in the Baltic have followed an increasing centennial trend, it turned 546 negative for the last several decades. Although the amplitude was found to have changed over 547 time, the phase has remained relatively stable in the Baltic. That is, as reported by Hunicke and 548 549 Zorita (2008), low peaks occur in spring months and high peaks in winter which aligns with our findings. They also point out the Gulf of Bothnia (our Cluster 4 in the European region shown in 550 Figure 9) as having higher interannual and decadal variability than the rest of the Baltic, which 551 explains the more distinct fluctuations in the Cluster 4 amplitude time series as compared to the 552 southern Baltic gauges belonging to Cluster 7. Tide gauges in the Baltic showed no significant 553 correlation in their annual amplitudes related to the rest of the climate indices tested except for 554 significant negative correlation to the AMO. 555

When clustering the northwestern Atlantic region post-1990, we find strong regional coherence 556 among Cluster 1 and Cluster 3, located to the north and south of Cape Hatteras, respectively. 557 Their cluster means explain over 95% of the variances in the annual amplitude time series of the 558 tide gauges clustered. While both show increasing amplitudes, the variability is different, as also 559 reported by Calafat et al. (2018). Cape Hatteras is the point where the Gulf Stream detaches from 560 the coast, and Woodworth et al. (2014), for example, suggest wind-forcing and the Atlantic 561 Meridional Overturning Circulation (AMOC) to play a role in the distinction of MSL variability 562 in the regions north and south of Cape Hatteras on decadal timescales. Notably, the AMO Index 563

reached a positive phase after the 1990s, indicating anomalously higher sea surface temperatures in the North Atlantic basin during the time period of our clustering, which affects thermal expansion of the water column in the region and could be a possible contributor to the ASLC changes we find. Along the North Atlantic coast of the US we find positive correlations between the annual amplitudes and the AMO index, while in the Baltic Sea we find negative correlations during the overlapping time periods. A more detailed attribution of the observed changes to physical processes is beyond the scope of this study.

571

572 6. Conclusions

573 We performed a global coastal ASLC analysis. Using a harmonic regression and clustering 574 methods, we assessed mean values for the ASLC components and quantified changes over time, identifying hotspots where either amplitude or phase changes were most pronounced. Globally, 575 we find higher mean annual amplitudes along the coasts of the Pacific and Indian Ocean. In such 576 places, the ASLC plays a significant role in local seasonal sea level variability. Changes in the 577 ASLC amplitude feature spatial variability across the globe, but when focusing on the North 578 Atlantic we find coherent variations in individual regions especially on the east coast of the US. 579 Changes in the annual amplitude over time were significant (according to a noise experiment) in 580 581 125 locations, with both negative and positive trends.

In addition to the annual amplitudes, we also assessed the variability in the annual phases and found that the peaks in sea level tend to occur during the fall season of the year for the respective hemispheres, but some tide gauge locations exhibit strong variability or long-term changes in the phase over time. For example, tide gauge sites in the Pacific Islands and in the Mediterranean have phases dispersed throughout many months of the year. Both changes reported here in terms 587 of annual amplitude and phase can lead to higher base water levels on which storm surges or extreme waves can be superimposed, thereby leading to increased flooding risk. Finally, we also 588 link changes in the ASLC amplitudes to climate indices such as the AMO which is negatively 589 correlated with tide gauges in the Baltic Sea. ASLC variations in the deep ocean differ from 590 those in shallow water (Pugh & Woodworth, 2014) analyzed here. Therefore, it is of interest to 591 extend our analysis of the ASLC beyond coastal tide gauges by incorporating satellite altimetry 592 and ocean model data to fully capture the global behavior of the ASLC. Based on the results 593 presented and discussed here, future research steps could include (1) using model experiments to 594 595 identify the underlying mechanisms of ASLC variation; (2) incorporating offshore locations in understanding ASLC patterns and their seasonal changes globally; (3) linking the MSL 596 seasonality patterns to the other extreme sea level oceanographic components such as ocean 597 wave and storm surge events (Reinert et al., 2021); and (4) linking ASLC and extreme sea level 598 variability to atmospheric patterns and drivers at multiple timescales. 599

600

601 Acknowledgements

This research was supported by NASA's Sea Level Change Science Team (grant 80NSSC20K1241). A.B. was funded by University of Central Florida's McNair Graduate Scholar Fellowship. A. Enríquez was funded by Marie Skłodowska-Curie Actions, project 101019470 – SpaDeRisks. J.M. acknowledges support of the University of Central Florida (UCF) Pre-eminent Postdoctoral Program (P3). S.D. also acknowledges David and Jane Flowerree for their endowment funds.

608

609 Data Availability Statement

The monthly MSL data used for harmonic analysis are available at PSMSL's website: 610 https://psmsl.org/data/obtaining/. The climate indices used for comparison with the sea level 611 annual amplitudes available Physical Sciences 612 are at NOAA's Laboratory: https://psl.noaa.gov/data/climateindices/list/#Nina34. 613

614

615 **References**

Aghabozorgi, S., Shirkhorshidi, A. S., & Te Ying, W. (2015). Time-series clustering: A decade
review. *Information Systems* 53, 16–38. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.is.2015.04.007

618

Amiruddin, A. M., I. D. Haigh, M. N. Tsimplis, F. M. Calafat, and S. Dangendorf (2015). The
seasonal cycle and variability of sea level in the South China Sea, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 120,* 5490–5513. https://doi:10.1002/2015JC010923

- Barbosa, S. M., & Donner, R. V. (2016). Long-term changes in the seasonality of Baltic sea level.
- 624 *Tellus, Series A: Dynamic Meteorology and Oceanography*, 68(1).
- 625 <u>https://doi.org/10.3402/tellusa.v68.30540</u>
- 626
- Barbosa, S. M., and M. E. Silva (2009), Low-frequency sea-level change in Chesapeake Bay:
- 628 changing seasonality and long-term trends, Estuarine Coastal Shelf Sci., 83, 30–38,
- 629 doi:10.1016/j.ecss.2009.03.014.
- 630

631	Barbosa, S. M., M. E Silva, and M. J. Fernandes (2008). Changing seasonality in North Atlantic
632	coastal sea level from the analysis of long tide gauge records, <i>Tellus A</i> , 60, 165–177.
633	https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0870.2007.00280
634	
635 636	Berens, P. (2009). CircStat: A MATLAB Toolbox for Circular Statistics. Journal of Statistical
637	Software, 31(10), 1–21. https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v031.i10
638	
639	Bowers J.A., Morton I.D., & Mould, G.I. (2000). Directional Statistics of the Wind and Waves.
640	Applied Ocean Research, 22(1), 13–30.
641	
642	Calafat, F. M., Wahl, T., Lindsten, F., Williams, J., & Frajka-Williams, E. (2018). Coherent
643	modulation of the sea-level annual cycle in the United States by Atlantic Rossby waves. Nature
644	Communications, 9(1), 2571. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-04898-y.
645	
646	Camus, P., Mendez, F. J., Medina, R., & Cofiño, A. S. (2011). Analysis of clustering and selection

- algorithms for the study of multivariate wave climate. *Coastal Engineering* (Vol. 58, Issue 6, pp.
- 648 453–462). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coastaleng.2011.02.003
- 649
- Cochran, W.W., Mouritsen, H., & Wikelski, M. (2004). Migrating Songbirds Recalibrate Their
 Magnetic Compass Daily from Twilight Cues. *Science*, 304, 405 408.
- 652 https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1095844

- 653
- Dangendorf, S., Wahl, T., Hein, H., Jensen, J., Mai, S., Mudersbach, C. (2012). Mean Sea Level
 variability and influence of the North Atlantic Oscillation on long-term trends in the German
 Bight, *Water*, 4(1), 170-195, <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/w4010170</u>
- Dangendorf, S., Wahl, T., Mudersbach, C., Jensen, J. (2013). The seasonal mean sea level cycle
- in the south-eastern North Sea, *Journal of Coastal Research*, Special Issue No. 65, pp. 19151920, ISSN 0749-0208. https://doi.org/10.2112/SI65-324.1
- 660 Dunne, R.P., Barbosa, S.M. and Woodworth, P.L. (2012). Contemporary Sea Level in the Chagos
- Archipelago, Central Indian Ocean. *Global and Planetary Change*, 82-83, 25-37.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2011.11.009
- 663
- Feng, X., Tsimplis, M. N., Marcos, M., Calafat, F. M., Zheng, J., Jorda, G. and Cipollini,
 P. (2015) Spatial and temporal variations of the seasonal sea level cycle in the northwest
 Pacific. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 120 (10). pp. 7091-7112. ISSN 2169-9291
 doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JC011154
- 668
- Gao, F., Chia, K.-S., Krantz, I., Nordin, P. & Machin, D. (2006). On the application of the von
- 670 Mises distribution and angular regression methods to investigate the seasonality of disease onset.
- 671 Statistics In Medicine., 25: 1593-1618. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/sim.2463</u>
- 672

Gill, A.E., Niller, P. (1973). The theory of the seasonal variability in the ocean. Deep Sea

674 *Research and Oceanographic Abstracts* 20, 141-177.

675

- He, X., & Guan, H. (2013). Multiresolution analysis of precipitation teleconnections with large-
- 677 scale climate signals: A case study in South Australia, Water Resources Research, 49, 6995-
- 678 7008. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/wrcr.20560</u>.

679

- Holgate, S. J., Matthews, A., Woodworth, P. L. et al. (2013). New data systems and products at
- the Permanent Service for Mean Sea Level. *Journal of Coastal Research*, 29, 493–504,
 doi:10.2112/JCOASTRES-D-12-00175.1

683

Hünicke, B., and E. Zorita (2008). Trends in the amplitude of Baltic Sea level annual cycle, *Tellus Ser. A*, 60, 154–164, doi:10.1111/j.1600-0870.2007.00277.

686

IPCC, 2023: *Climate Change 2023: Synthesis Report*. A Report of the Intergovernmental Panel
on Climate Change. Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the Sixth Assessment Report
of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Core Writing Team, H. Lee and J. Romero
(eds.)]. IPCC, Geneva, Switzerland, (in press)

691

Ku, H. H. (1966). Notes on the use of propagation of error formulas. *J. Res. National Bureau of Standards* 70, 263–273.

- Li, S., Wahl, T., Talke, S.A., Jay, D.A., Orton, P.M., Liang, X., Wang, G., Liu, L.
 (2021). Evolving tides aggravate nuisance flooding along the United States
 coastline. *Science Advances*. 7(10), eabe2412. <u>https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abe2412</u>
- Li, K., Sward, K., Deng, H., Morrison, J., Habre, R., Franklin, M., Chiang, Y., Ambite, J.L.,
 Wilson, J.P., Eckel, S.P. (2021). Using dynamic time warping self-organizing maps to
 characterize diurnal patterns in environmental exposures. *Scientific Reports* 11, 24052.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-03515-1

- Marcos, M., & Tsimplis, M. N. (2007), Variations of the seasonal sea level cycle in southern
 Europe, J. Geophys. Res., 112, C12011, doi:10.1029/2006JC004049.

706

Newey, W. K., and K. D. West (1987). A simple, positive semi-definite, heteroskedasticity and
autocorrelation consistent covariance matrix, *Econometrica*, 55(3), 703–708.
<u>https://doi.org/10.2307/1913610</u>

- Oey, L.-Y. & Chou, S. (2016). Evidence of rising and poleward shift of storm surge in western
 North Pacific in recent decades. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans* 121, 5181–5192.
 https:// doi.org/ 10.1002/2016JC011777.
- Pattullo, J., Munk W., Revelle R., & Strong, E. (1955). The Seasonal Oscillation in Sea Level, *Journal of Marine Research* 14, 88–155

- 716
- Petitjean, F., Ketterlin, A., & Gançarski, P. (2011). A global averaging method for dynamic time
 warping, with applications to clustering. *Pattern Recognition*, 44(3), 678–693.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/J.PATCOG.2010.09.013</u>
 Plag, H.-P.; Tsimplis, M.N. (1999). Temporal variability of the seasonal sea-level cycle in the
 North Sea and Baltic Sea in relation to climate variability. *Global and Planetary Change* 20,
- 723 173–203 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0921-8181(98)00069-1

Ponte, R.M., (2006). Low-frequency sea level variability and the inverted barometer effect. *Dynamics of Atmospheres and Oceans* 23 (4), 619–629. https://doi.org/10.1175/ JTECH1864.1

727

Rashid, M.M., Wahl, T., Chambers, D.P., Calafat, F.M., & Sweet, W.V. (2019). An extreme
sea level indicator for the contiguous United States coastline, *Nature Scientific Data*, 6, 326, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-019-0333-x

731

Reinert, M., Pineau-Guillou, L., Raillard, N., & Chapron, B. (2021). Seasonal shift in storm
surges at Brest revealed by extreme value analysis. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, *126*, e2021JC017794. https://doi. org/10.1029/2021JC017794

736	Roustan, J. B., Pineau-Guillou, L., Chapron, B., Raillard, N., & Reinert, M. (2022). Shift of the
737	storm surge season in Europe due to climate variability. Scientific Reports, 12(1), 1-11.
738	https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-12356-5

- 740 Ruiz Etcheverry, L. A., Saraceno, M., Piola, A. R., Valladeau, G., & Möller, O. O. (2015). A
- comparison of the annual cycle of sea level in coastal areas from gridded satellite altimetry and
- tide gauges. *Continental Shelf Research*, *92*, 87–97. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CSR.2014.10.006
 743
- Smith, J. M. K., Cialone, M. A., Wamsley, T. V., & McAlpin, T. O. (2010). Potential impact of
 sea level rise on coastal surges in southeast Louisiana. *Ocean Engineering*, *37*(1), 37–47.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oceaneng.2009.07.008

747

- Tavenard, R., Faouzi, J., Vandewiele, G., Divo, F., Androz, G., Holtz C., Payne, et al. (2020).
- 749 Tslearn, A Machine Learning Toolkit for Time Series Data, Journal of Machine Learning
- 750 *Research*, 21(118), 1–6, 2020

751

Torres, R. R., & Tsimplis, M. N. (2012). Seasonal sea level cycle in the Caribbean Sea, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 117, C07011. <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2012JC008159</u>

Tsimplis, M.N., & Woodworth, P.L. (1994). The global distribution of the seasonal sea level
cycle calculated from coastal tide gauge data. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans 99*:
https://doi.org/10.1029/94JC01115

758

Veatch, W., & Villarini, G. (2020). Modeling the seasonality of extreme coastal water levels with
mixtures of circular probability density functions. *Theoretical and Applied Climatology* 140,
1199–1206 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-020-03143-1

762

Villarini, G. (2016). On the seasonality of flooding across the continental United States. *Advances in.Water Resources.* 87, 80–91. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advwatres.2015.11.009.

765

- Vinogradov, S. V., Ponte R. M., Heimbach, P., & Wunsch C. (2008). The mean seasonal cycle in
- sea level estimated from a data-constrained general circulation model, Journal of Geophysical

768 *Research: Oceans*, 113, C03032, <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JC004496</u>

769

- 770 Wahl, T., Calafat, F. M., & Luther, M. E. (2014). Rapid changes in the seasonal sea level cycle
- along the US Gulf coast from the late 20th century, Geophysical Research Letters, 41.
- 772 <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/2013GL058777</u>

773

Woodworth, P. L., & Player R. (2003). The permanent service for mean sea level: An update to
 the 21st century, *Journal of Coastal Research*, 19, 287-295.