# Improved observations of deep earthquake ruptures using machine learning

Qibin Shi<sup>1</sup> and Marine Denolle<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Earth and Space Sciences, University of Washington <sup>2</sup>University of Washington

July 9, 2023

#### Abstract

Elevated seismic noise for moderate-size earthquakes recorded at teleseismic distances has limited our ability to see their complexity. We develop a machine-learning-based algorithm to separate noise and earthquake signals that overlap in frequency. The multi-task encoder-decoder model is built around a kernel pre-trained on local (e.g., short distances) earthquake data (missing citation) and is modified by continued learning with high-quality teleseismic data. We denoise teleseismic P waves of deep Mw5.0+ earthquakes and use the clean P waves to estimate source characteristics with reduced uncertainties of these understudied earthquakes. We find a scaling of moment and duration to be  $M_0$  simeq  $ta^{4.16}$ , and a resulting strong scaling of stress drop and radiated energy with magnitude ( $s_{m} M_0^{0}$  and  $E_R \otimes M_0^{1.23}$ ). The median radiation efficiency is 5/%, a low value compared to shallow earthquakes. Overall, we show that deep earthquakes have weak rupture directivity and few subevents, suggesting a simple model of a circular crack with radial rupture propagation is appropriate. When accounting for their respective scaling with earthquake size, we find no systematic depth variations of duration, stress drop, or radiated energy within the 100-700 km depth range. Our study supports the findings of  $citeA{poli-global_2016}$  with a doubled amount of earthquakes investigated and with earthquakes of lower magnitudes.

# References

# Improved observations of deep earthquake ruptures using machine learning

# Qibin $Shi^1$ and Marine A. Denolle<sup>1</sup>

 $^1\mathrm{Department}$  of Earth and Space Sciences, University of Washington

## 5 Key Points:

1

2

3

4

6	•	A neural network is used to double the number of earthquakes studied by improv-
7		ing the data quality.
8	•	Denoising teleseismic waves improves the source signature in Mw5-6 events and
9		reduces uncertainties
10	•	Large deep earthquake ruptures are dissipative and compact

Corresponding author: Qibin Shi, qibins@uw.edu

#### 11 Abstract

Elevated seismic noise for moderate-size earthquakes recorded at teleseismic distances 12 has limited our ability to see their complexity. We develop a machine-learning-based al-13 gorithm to separate noise and earthquake signals that overlap in frequency. The multi-14 task encoder-decoder model is built around a kernel pre-trained on local (e.g., short dis-15 tances) earthquake data (Yin et al., 2022) and is modified by continued learning with 16 high-quality teleseismic data. We denoise teleseismic P waves of deep Mw5.0+ earth-17 quakes and use the clean P waves to estimate source characteristics with reduced uncer-18 tainties of these understudied earthquakes. We find a scaling of moment and duration 19 to be  $M_0 \simeq \tau^{4.16}$ , and a resulting strong scaling of stress drop and radiated energy with magnitude ( $\sigma \simeq M_0^{0.2}$  and  $E_R \simeq M_0^{1.23}$ ). The median radiation efficiency is 5%, a low 20 21 value compared to shallow earthquakes. Overall, we show that deep earthquakes have 22 weak rupture directivity and few subevents, suggesting a simple model of a circular crack 23 with radial rupture propagation is appropriate. When accounting for their respective scal-24 ing with earthquake size, we find no systematic depth variations of duration, stress drop, 25 or radiated energy within the 100-700 km depth range. Our study supports the findings 26 27 of Poli and Prieto (2016) with a doubled amount of earthquakes investigated and with earthquakes of lower magnitudes. 28

<sup>29</sup> Plain Language Summary

The vibration of the Earth's ground recorded at seismometers carries the seismic 30 signatures of distant earthquakes superimposed to the Earth's natural or anthropogenic 31 noise surrounding the seismic station. We use artificial intelligence technology to sep-32 arate the weak signals of distant earthquakes from other sources of ground vibrations 33 that are not related to the earthquakes. The separated signal provides new insights into 34 earthquakes, especially those within the Earth's deep interior, most of which have not 35 been investigated due to noise levels. In contrast with shallow earthquakes, deep earth-36 quakes are less efficient at radiating energy, though their stress drop and radiated en-37 ergy are abnormally larger the bigger they are. This may suggest that deep earthquakes 38 tend to be more confined fault surfaces. A dual mechanism between nucleation in the 39 subduction-zone core and propagation of larger events in the dry mantle explains our 40 observations. 41

#### 42 **1** Introduction

Deep earthquakes are understudied because they tend not to generate shaking-induced 43 damage, only rarely generate surface displacement (Steblov et al., 2014; Luo et al., 2023; 44 Park et al., 2023), and their extreme remoteness yields poor seismic signals on surface 45 sensors. They occur in the deep portion of subducted oceanic lithosphere. The mech-46 anisms that lead to the unstable seismic slip of deep earthquakes are still debated (Zhan, 47 2020). Indeed, the rheology of Earth materials does not favor brittle failure below about 48 70 km, thus requiring mechanisms different from shallow earthquakes. A minimum of 49 seismicity is reached at a depth of about 300 km (Frohlich, 1989; Green & Houston, 1995; 50 Kirby et al., 1996; Zhan, 2020), indicating different mechanisms operate the intermedi-51 ate (above 300 km) and deep-focus earthquakes (below 300 km). Previous studies have 52 revealed fairly complicated characteristics of the deep earthquakes (Ye et al., 2016; Knopoff 53 & Randall, 1970). The focal mechanisms of deep earthquakes usually show non-double-54 couple components (Knopoff & Randall, 1970), implying more complex rupture processes 55 than simple shear dislocation on faults with uniform fault geometries. The non-double-56 couple moment tensor could also be partially attributed to the anisotropic features of 57 the slab rock fabric (Li et al., 2018). Deep earthquakes' stress drops are larger than shal-58 low earthquakes, mostly due to the increased rigidity (Vallée, 2013). Multiple investi-59 gations found a strong magnitude dependence of the stress drop, which may be inter-60

preted as dynamic weakening mechanisms (Radulian & Popa, 1996; Oth et al., 2009; Pri eto et al., 2013; Poli & Prieto, 2016). Deep earthquakes follow Gutenberg-Richter law (B.
 Gutenberg & C. F. Richter, 1949) but have depleted aftershock productivity compared

to shallow earthquakes (Dascher-Cousineau et al., 2020; Ye et al., 2020).

The presence of deep earthquakes within the subducted slab provides an interest-65 ing window to explore the physical processes of subduction. (Zhan, 2020) reviewed the 66 three leading mechanisms that favor dynamic rupture of deep earthquakes: i) mineral 67 dehydration from metamorphosis processes that release fluids and lubricate faults (i.e., 68 69 dehydration embrittlement), ii) phase transformation that changes mineral density and volume, and iii) thermal runaway that lowers fault friction from shear heating. The flu-70 ids released by mineral dehydration are thought to explain the double-seismic zone (DSZ) 71 (Brudzinski et al., 2007; Hacker et al., 2003; Yamasaki & Seno, 2003; Abers et al., 2013). 72 Whether the released water can penetrate the slab core (Green & Houston, 1995; Boneh 73 et al., 2019) and be transported deeper in the mantle is still under debate (Plümper et 74 al., 2017; Pearson et al., 2014; Schmandt et al., 2014; Tschauner et al., 2018; Sobolev et 75 al., 2019). 76

Teleseismic observations of deep earthquakes are the most common data available 77 to study these earthquakes. Because small events are more frequent than large earth-78 quakes, moderate-size earthquakes (Mw5-6) could provide crucial constraints on the rup-79 ture mechanisms of deep earthquakes. However, elevated seismic noise has limited our 80 ability to investigate the dynamics of moderate-size earthquakes (Mw5-6) from teleseis-81 mic distances. The source analyses of deep earthquakes have been conducted with only 82 the high signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) data of Mw5.8+ earthquakes (Poli & Prieto, 2014, 83 2016), leaving a vast number of moderate-magnitude earthquakes ignored given then with 84 lower SNR waveforms. Furthermore, SNR-based data selection of teleseismic P waves 85 may result in azimuthal biases with azimuths and take-off angles due to the radiation 86 pattern. 87

The superposition of seismic noise and signal at overlapping frequencies poses chal-88 lenges to the traditional Fourier-based noise removal approaches (Douglas, 1997). Other 89 time-frequency methods are useful in separating the overlapped spectra but requiring 90 extensive human intervention (Donoho & Johnstone, 1994; Stockwell et al., 1996; Chang 91 et al., 2000; Mousavi & Langston, 2017). The recent development of deep neural net-92 works for seismological research has repeatedly demonstrated its potential for extract-93 ing coherent earthquake features from noisy seismic observations. Several recent stud-94 ies have applied machine learning to denoise the signals in the time-frequency domain 95 with the assumption that local earthquake and noise signals have distinct Fourier spec-96 tra. Zhu et al. (2019) converted seismic time series (seismograms) of local earthquakes 97 to a time-frequency representation and developed a deep convolutional neural network 98 to extract the earthquake signals in a time-frequency latent space. In fact, the time-frequency 99 information may also be utilized implicitly by appropriate convolutional layers consid-100 ered multi-frequency-band "filters" in the time domain. Using that concept, Novoselov 101 et al. (2022) showed that recurrent neural networks could separate overlapping seismic 102 signals produced by distinct sources. Yin et al. (2022) combined two-branch encoder-103 decoder and recurrent neural networks to compose the WaveDecompNet, which has been 104 proven effective in reconstructing local earthquake and noise waveforms. Yin et al. (2022) 105 demonstrated that even the clean noise waveforms improved the coherence of noise single-106 station cross-correlations for ambient noise seismology. 107

There remain challenges in using these existing models to denoise teleseismic recordings. First, teleseismic waveforms have a much lower SNR than local or regional waveforms for the same earthquake magnitude, mainly due to the geometrical spreading and attenuation. Second, the attenuation of global seismic phases distorts the signal such that signal frequencies overlap with the microseismic signals in velocity seismograms.

This study uses a multi-task encoder-decoder to denoise the teleseismic waves of 113 global M5.0+ earthquakes, a method that we name "DenoTe" (Shi, 2023). The neural 114 network takes the architecture of WaveDecompNet (Yin et al., 2022) as a kernel to ex-115 tract high-level features of the teleseismic body waves and uses convolutional layers to 116 reconstruct the denoised signals and pure noise signals. We add a layer on the top and 117 bottom of the kernel network to adjust the input window lengths. Our training data com-118 prises teleseismic data from the International Federation of Digital Seismograph Networks 119 (FDSN) for Mw5-8 earthquakes of the 2000-2021 International Seismological Centre (ISC) 120 earthquake catalog (International Seismological Centre, 2022). The pre-trained kernel 121 is updated through transfer learning. We denoise the teleseismic body waves to extract 122 P-wave pulses of deep Mw5.0+ earthquakes. We estimate several source parameters: pulse 123 duration and rupture directivity using relative duration measurements and radiated en-124 ergy, stress drop, and fracture energy using denoised P-wave spectra. We discuss the strong 125 scaling of these properties with earthquake magnitude in contrast with the typical scal-126 ing of crustal earthquakes and the possible dual mechanisms that would explain inter-127 mediate and deep earthquakes. 128



#### <sup>129</sup> 2 Data Preparation

Figure 1. Earthquakes and seismic stations. (a) The 1148 earthquakes with high-SNR recordings used as training data. (b) The FDSN and GSN broadband stations recorded the 45,262 high-SNR teleseismic waveforms of the 1148 earthquakes. (c) The 920 deep earthquakes with low-SNR teleseismic waveforms labeled with focal mechanisms are denoised and tested in this study.

We use supervised learning to separate the earthquake and noise waveforms from their combined form. The amount, diversity, and accuracy of the training data greatly impact learning performance. The volume of high-quality earthquake records from global seismic networks has grown vastly in the past two decades. We extract 1148 Mw5.5+ earthquakes from the 2000-2021 ISC earthquake catalog (International Seismological Centre, 2022) based on focal mechanisms (specifically rake angle) to ensure a relatively even number of strike-slip (306), normal-faulting (242), and reverse-faulting (600) earthquake
 types. The extracted earthquake list includes events from diverse seismic regions and depths
 ranging from the surface to 700 km (Figure1a).

To prepare the labels of "clean" P waves seismic waveforms, we download data from 139 all broadband seismometers available from the FDSN stations selected at teleseismic an-140 gular distances between  $30^{\circ}$  and  $90^{\circ}$  to avoid Moho and core reflected and converted phases. 141 The P waves of Mw5.0-5.9 are noisy in general, thus, tend not to be included in the train-142 ing data given our signal-to-noise ratio-based selection criteria. We calculate the P-wave 143 arrival time based on the catalog origin time and hypocentral location using an Obspy 144 implementation of Tau-P (Crotwell et al., 1999; Beyreuther et al., 2010) in an IASPI91 145 Earth model (Kennet, 1991). We then downsample the three-component ground veloc-146 ity waveforms down to 10 Hz and cut a wide time window starting from 2,500 seconds 147 before and 2,500 seconds after the P-arrival. We then calculate the amplitude-based SNR 148 using a noise window (75-10 seconds before) and a signal window (0-75 seconds after the 149 P-wave arrival) with the following definition, 150

$$SNR = \frac{A_S}{A_N},\tag{1}$$

where  $A_S$  and  $A_N$  are the standard deviations of the amplitudes of the signal window 152 and noise window, respectively. We only select the clean P-wave labels with SNR higher 153 than 25 for training. We gathered 45,262 high-SNR P waves of 1,148 earthquakes of mag-154 nitude Mw5.5+. To generate realistic noise waveforms, we extract a 150-second noise win-155 dow before each P wave arrival time and consider it as the noise signal specific to the 156 station. Our data selection provides 45,262 earthquake traces and 45,262 noise traces, 157 each composed of three-component seismograms. The proportions of waveforms gener-158 ated by the strike-slip, normal-faulting, and reverse-faulting events are 21%, 25%, and 159 54%, respectively (Figure 1b). 160

#### <sup>161</sup> **3 Denoising**

15

We develop, train, and apply a multi-task encoder-decoder to denoise the teleseismic P waves in the time domain. We adapt from an existing model architecture by Yin et al. (2022) to use teleseismic data.

#### **3.1** Neural Network Architecture

We expand from the encoder-decoder network of Yin et al. (2022) to adapt to longer 166 input window lengths. We follow a similar style as WaveDecompNet in Yin et al. (2022). 167 Because the teleseismic waveforms have distinct low-level features from the local wave-168 forms, we stack the WaveDecomNet kernel with feature extraction layers. The stacked 169 neural network on the top encoder branch is a 2-layer convolutional neural network (CNN) 170 with a 1-layer fully connected layer (FCNN) on the optimal training performance. Next, 171 we introduce the architecture of the two-branch encoder-encoder (Figure 2) and the strat-172 egy to enhance training efficiency. 173

Similar to Yin et al. (2022), we use a stride of two after each CNN layer to avoid aliasing (Zhang, 2019). A skip connection is introduced after the first CNN layer to retain the fine scale of the feature. Compared to the single-branch prediction of either the earthquake or noise signal (Zhu et al., 2019; Novoselov et al., 2022), our multi-task model (i.e., two-branch prediction) depends on the efficiency of feature extraction for both earthquake and noise signals.

The data is normalized using standard scaling (removing the mean and normalizing by the data standard deviation) and can be rescaled after the wavefield separation by the same scaling factor. In the following analysis, where we measure simply duration



Figure 2. Architecture of the teleseismic wave denoiser, DenoTe. DenoTe is constructed based on the U-net with symmetric structures in the encoding and decoding branches of WaveDecomp-Net (Yin et al., 2022). The neural network reads composite earthquake waveforms (black) and predicts earthquake (red) and noise (gray) signals through the two output branches, which have the same structure and length. The size, number of channels, and kernel length are indicated for each sub-network. CNN: convolutional neural network. FCNN: fully connected neural network.



**Figure 3.** The three steps of data augmentation: the raw high-SNR P wave (red) is 1) stretched, 2) shifted along the time axis, and 3) scaled before it is stacked with the noise (gray) extracted from the same station to compose the noisy waveform (black).

estimates and normalize the data to seismic moment, we do not rescale the data after denoising.

#### **3.2 Data Augmentation**

Training the model with 60% of the overall data is insufficient to yield a satisfy-186 ing model performance (see details below). Therefore, we proceed with a data augmen-187 tation approach to improve model training. We conduct a three-step data augmentation 188 to increase the diversity of the training data (Figure 3), which is most important to the 189 generalization of neural networks. The training data is more likely selected from higher 190 magnitude earthquakes (i.e., Mw6+), which tend to have longer source duration and thus 191 tend to generate relatively lower-frequency signals compared to the more frequent smaller 192 earthquakes. Hence, the raw training data lacks high-frequency information, such as those 193 expected for lower-magnitude earthquakes (Mw5-6). To generate high-frequency data 194 compatible with these small earthquakes, we augment the training data of earthquake 195 waveforms by squeezing the seismogram along the time axis. The squeezing ratio is ran-196 domly sampled from 1,2,...8 with equal probability (i.e., 12.5% for all ratios). We then 197 shift waveforms to avoid the case of the denoising algorithm memorizing the stationary 198 P-wave arrival time Zhu et al. (2020). We take the theoretical P arrival time as the orig-199 inal zero and then shift waveforms using a uniform probability between  $\pm$  75 seconds. 200 After shifting, we trim the time series to the  $-75s \sim +75s$  time window. Thus, the trimmed 201 waveforms mostly include the P wave onsets. In the final augmentation step, we stack 202 each 150-second trace with the 150-second amplified noise extracted from pre-P noise 203 at the same channel. A random SNR (as defined in Equation 1) between 0.5 and 10 is 204 selected to give earthquake and noise relative weights in the combined, "noisy" wave-205 form. The three-step augmentation –stretching, shifting, and adding noise– is performed 206 repeatedly in every training epoch with randomly selected parameters. The diversity of 207 the data is enhanced with each additional training step (epoch), which reduces the pos-208 sibility of overfitting the training data (Zhu et al., 2020). 209



Figure 4. Example of DenoTe's performance. In the time domain: (a) composite waveform, (b) (label) earthquake signal (label data, P-wave, its coda, and the direct S wave), (c) comparison between the labeled (red) and predicted (blue) earthquake signals (and their variance reduction and correlation coefficient), and (a) comparison between the labeled (red) and predicted (blue) noise signals (and their variance reduction and correlation coefficient). In the frequency domain: (e) comparison between the velocity spectra of the label and predicted earthquake data and (f) comparison between the velocity spectra of the label and predicted noise data.

#### 210 **3.3 Training**

We train DenoTe using the composed waveform data and high-quality labels of the P-wave and noise signals. We first shuffle and then split the entire dataset and corresponding labels into three subsets: 60% for training, 20% for validation, and 20% for testing. Data augmentation (section 3.2) is done after the split, ensuring no data exchange among subsets or no data leakage leading to unrealistic testing scores. The validation and test data are also augmented data sets after data augmentation of the original data. Training is greatly improved thanks to data augmentation.

The main criterion for proper denoising is the similarity between the predicted and labeled waveforms for both earthquake and noise time series. To improve from the classic loss function mean-squared error (MSE) and focus on wiggle-by-wiggle reconstruction, we define a new loss function that combines the Pearson correlation coefficient (CC) and the MSE of the residual waveforms: loss = MSE + 1- CC. The CC is independent of the absolute wave amplitude, typically between -1.0 and 1.0, such that 1-CC varies between 0 and 2. In comparison, the MSE typically ranges between 0 and 1. Different weighting choices are tested between MSE and (1-CC). We find by trial and error an equal weighting between both is optimal for reducing the waveform misfit.

We train for up to 200 epochs and set up an early stopping mechanism when the 227 minimum validation loss is not updated for 20 consecutive epochs. We randomly divide 228 the training subset into 177 mini-batches containing 256 three-component waveforms. 229 The learning rate is fixed at 0.001, combined with an adaptive momentum (ADAM) to 230 control the step size in the gradient-decent process. This training process is efficient and 231 converges at a low loss of about 0.45 after 140 epochs (see Figure S1). The validation 232 loss computed for every epoch shows closely follows the training loss. The final testing 233 loss is 0.46 (Fig. S1), similar to the training and validation losses. The training, valida-234 tion, and test losses suggest that the neural network does not over-fit the training data 235 and may generalize to diverse teleseismic waves. In Figure 4, we compare the ground truth 236 waveform and the predicted waveforms (P wave and noise), both matching well the am-237 plitude of the pulse and the phases in the direct and coda waves of P and S waves. 238

239

#### 3.4 Predicting (denoising) the P waves

We apply DenoTe to 3,079 Mw5.0+ deep earthquakes between 1/1/2000 and 12/31/2021, of which 920 are labeled with focal mechanisms (217 strike-slip, 341 reverse-faulting and 362 normal faulting events as shown in Figure1c). The data is normalized before prediction and rescaled after wavefield separation using standard scaling.

For subsequent validation of the source characteristics, we select the raw, noisy P waves with SNR >2 (as defined in Equation 1) and extract the denoised P waves through DenoTe. This ensures that the post-processing analysis is only selecting data that could have been included in previous analysis and should limit the effect of artifacts generated by the model (though these were minimal when using the WaveDecompNet kernel Yin et al. (2022)).

The first-order source processes are better analyzed from displacement waveforms 250 since these are proportional to the moment-rate function in the far-field seismograms. 251 Therefore, we integrate all denoised velocity waveforms to displacement and normalize 252 them to their maximum absolute amplitude. We show waveform examples from two earth-253 quakes, original and denoised waveforms, sorted by station azimuth relative to the earth-254 quake epicenter, aligned using cross-correlation Figure 5. We find a systematic improve-255 ment of the P wave signal-to-noise ratio for a broad range of frequencies after denois-256 ing. 257

We find, in general, that the noise is considerably reduced: pre-P signals have much lower amplitudes and low-frequency noises after the P and are also absent in the post-P pulse. Because of the noise removal, it is a lot easier to visualize and automatically measure pulse width.

### <sup>262</sup> 4 Source Parameters

The goal of this study is to improve the quality of the source parameters of the deep Mw5.0+ earthquakes. Source parameters are extracted from the time domain (source duration and directivity) or the spectral domain (corner frequency, stress drop, radiated energy, and radiation ratio).



Figure 5. Denoising performance on two representative earthquakes deep earthquakes: the Mw6.1 2013 April 21 earthquake near the Izu Islands in Japan and the Mw5.9 2002 February 1 earthquake at Primor'ye in Russia. (a) and (c) show the original displacement waveforms, and (b) and (d) show the denoised waveforms. The waveforms are aligned with the peak amplitude, stretched based on the maximum cross-correlation coefficients, and sorted by azimuth relative to the epicenter. The blue waveforms are flipped in polarity for better visualization. The dashed line marks the onset of the P waves. The stacked displacement waveform is shown in green. The cumulative energy waveform shown in red is computed using the integral of the squared stacked velocity waveform. The black and yellow dots indicate the onset and termination time of the energy growth, which defines the duration.

In the following subsections, we select the denoised deep events with at least 20 data in at least six azimuthal bins (each of 45° width). This selection leads to **739** deep Mw5+ earthquakes for further analysis and ensures that the statistical properties of deep earthquakes are not biased by imperfect data coverage. This about doubles the number of events studied relative to Poli and Prieto (2016).

#### 4.1 Source Duration

272

20

The event source duration is assumed to be the measured pulse width of the stacked 273 P displacement waveform (we ignore the broadening of the pulse due to attenuation). 274 This assumption is made because displacement seismograms are proportional to moment 275 rate functions in the far field of an attenuation-free whole space. We first shift the time 276 series using cross-correlation. We use the highest SNR trace as a reference and align all 277 others using cross-correlation. We normalize the waveforms with their maximum am-278 plitudes (flipping those with negative polarity). We then stack the aligned and normal-279 ized traces for a first reference waveform. In a second iteration, we align the waveforms 280 according to the first reference. We show these aligned and normalized waveforms in Fig-281 ure 5. 282

In the second iteration, we take the stacked waveform as a reference to align each normalized trace again. We then stretch each normalized trace according to the reference using the stretching ratio that maximizes the Pearson coefficient between the stretched trace and the reference. We then stack the aligned and stretched pulses to obtain our improved stacked P-wave pulse.

We measure the source duration of the average from cumulative energy. We first 288 take the derivative of the stacked displacement pulse, square it, and integrate it over time 289 to compute the cumulative energy function. A typical cumulative energy function shows 290 a flat-ramp-flat shape, where the time when cumulative energy rises corresponds to the 291 source duration. We use the time when 5% and 90% of the total energy are reached to 292 approximate the onset and termination of the event. The threshold choice was chosen 293 to mitigate the artifact of the coda waves. All durations done in the time domain fol-294 low this calculation. 295

Because earthquake duration varies greatly with earthquake magnitude, we also calculate the scaled duration  $\tau_S$  in a similar way to Houston et al. (1998) and Poli and Prieto (2014), using the following definition,

$$\tau_S = \frac{\beta}{\beta^{ref}} \left(\frac{M_0^{ref}}{M_0}\right)^{3+\epsilon} \tau, \qquad (2)$$

where  $\tau$  is the source duration,  $\beta$  is the shear-wave velocity at the event depth of the Preliminary Reference Earth Model (PREM) (Dziewonski & Anderson, 1981), and  $M_0$  is the event seismic moment.  $M_0^{ref}$  is the reference moment 10<sup>19</sup> N m and  $\beta^{ref}$  is the shearwave velocity 4.4 km/s at the reference depth 170 km. Here,  $\epsilon$  represents the departure from the self-similarity and is fit to the data (Houston et al., 1998; Kanamori, 2004; Poli & Prieto, 2014). The map view of the scaled duration is shown in Figure S2.

We also measure duration as the inverse of the corner frequency. Section 4.4 discusses how we perform spectral fitting, extracting the corner frequency that is inversely proportional to the duration. We test this relation and show it in supplementary Figure S3.

The source duration of moderate-size earthquakes  $(10^{16} < M_0 < 10^{19} \text{ N m})$  shows relatively higher variability than those of larger earthquakes  $(M_0 > 10^{19} \text{ N m})$ , possibly due to the limited number of large events or sensitivity to residual noise (Figure 6a). This increased variability at low magnitudes is typical of studies Allmann and Shearer



Figure 6. Durations scaling with magnitude and depth. (a) The source duration is shown as a function of the moment with markers color-coded by the event depth and compared with two idealized scaling relationships shown as black lines (the solid line for a scaling of 0.24, the dashed line for a self-similar scaling of 0.33). Each green dot and bar indicate the bootstrapped average of each moment bin and its standard deviation. (b) The magnitude-scaled source duration (eq 2) against depth and color-coded by the event magnitude. The green dots indicate the bootstrapped average and the error bars indicate the standard deviation of the depth bins.

(2009); Denolle and Shearer (2016); Courboulex et al. (2016). As shown in Figure 6a, the source duration of the earthquakes of moments around  $10^{18}$  N m (equivalent to  $M_W 5.9$ ) ranges between 1 and 8 s, which is about an order of magnitude difference. The duration measurement taken as the inverse of the corner frequency exhibits similar variability (Figure S3).

Potential errors that introduce variability in the measurements could be attributed to depth phases of the shallowest deep earthquakes, which can be easily eliminated for short-duration events using a cut-off time window of 0-20 s following the first arrival, but could be difficult to remove for long-duration events where the depth phases interfering with the direct phases.

We fit the observed  $\log_{10} \tau \sim a \log_{10} M_0$  with linear regression, where the duration is corrected with the depth-dependent bulk properties (i.e., shear-wave velocity). We find that a = 0.24 matches best with the moderate- to large-magnitude earthquakes, and this represents the scaling  $\tau \sim M_0^{0.24}$ . The measurements of the inverse of corner frequency further confirm the scaling assuming  $\tau = 1/f_c$  (see Figure S3). This scaling is similar to what has been found for intermediate and deep earthquakes (Allmann & Shearer, 2009; Turner et al., 2022; Poli & Prieto, 2016).

The depth dependence in scaled duration is well explained by the depth variations in material properties, or equivalently that scaled duration is depth independent. Given a reference magnitude of Mw6.6, the scaled duration at a depth of 100-250 km has a mean value of about 5.5 s, while those at a depth of 500-600 km have a mean value of about 5.3 s. The mean scaled duration, when estimated from corner frequency (i.e.,  $1/f_c$ ), of the intermediate-depth and deep-focus events are both about 5.5 s. Similar variability of  $1/f_c$  is found for the intermediate-depth and deep-focus events (2-12 s).

#### **4.2 Directivity Effects**

359

The rupture directivity alters the shape of far-field P-wave pulses by stretching or 339 squeezing the seismic waveforms with ratios that vary with the azimuths and take-off 340 angles away from the direction of rupture propagation. Directivity effects usually yield 341 a shorter apparent duration and an enhanced high-frequency content in the direction of 342 rupture propagation. These effects may be referred to as Doppler effects. When the earth-343 quake rupture propagates in a unilateral direction, the Doppler effects are clear and asym-344 metric with respect to the direction of rupture. When the earthquake rupture propagates 345 fast, as measured by the ratio of the rupture speed  $V_r$  to the velocity of the seismic wave 346 propagation  $V_P$ , it enhances the contrast in apparent duration and magnifies Doppler 347 effects. 348

Figure S4 illustrates the geometrical relation between the direction of rupture and the direction of the seismic ray taking off. We modify equation 1 of Park and Ishii (2015) to express the apparent duration of the P-wave pulse at station  $i, \tau_i$ :

$$\tau_i = \frac{L}{V_r} \left( 1 - \frac{V_r}{V_P} \cos \theta_i \right), \tag{3}$$

where  $V_r$  is the average speed of a unilaterally propagating through rupture, L is the total length of rupture,  $V_P$  is the P-wave velocity at the source, and  $\theta_i$  is the angle between the rupture propagation and ray take-off directions. Because  $V_r$  tends to be closer to the shear-wave speed  $V_S$ , directivity effects in P-wave pulses are typically less than observed in S-wave pulses. Based on the geometry between the rupture directivity and the seismic ray path (Fig. 7a),  $\cos \theta_i$  is

$$\cos\theta_i = \sin\gamma_i \sin\beta + \cos\gamma_i \cos\beta \cos(\phi_i - \phi_r), \tag{4}$$

where the angle parameters are explained and illustrated in Figure S4. Each source-station geometry provides a unique set of geometrical parameters. We know  $\phi_i$  and  $\gamma_i$  from earthquake and receiver location and  $\tau_i$  from measurements. We need to find L,  $V_r$ ,  $\beta$ , and  $\phi_r$ . We perform a grid search for the four parameters.  $\beta$  is searched between  $-\pi/2$  and  $\pi/2$  with 36 grid points,  $\phi_r$  is searched between 0 and  $2\pi$  with 72 grid points,  $V_r$  is searched within  $0 \sim V_P$  with 100 grid points and L is searched between 0.6  $V_r \tau$  and 1.4  $V_r \tau$ with 8 grid points.

In order to get apparent  $V_r$  and the direction of directivity, we need to measure  $\tau_i$ . We measure the  $\tau_i$  at each station using the stretching/squeezing ratio between the stationspecific and the station-stacked displacement P waveforms. Then, we take the ratio between the relative pulse durations and the average source duration. We draw a threedimensional distribution of the relative durations because the P-wave rays from the source to receivers have specific take-off angles and azimuths.

We select the events with at least 20 data in at least six azimuthal bins (each of 373  $45^{\circ}$  width). The ratio of the optimal rupture velocity of the events with the local S-wave 374 velocity is referred to as the "Doppler ratio" because it is only relevant for unilateral mov-375 ing ruptures. Here, we cannot determine the rupture velocity of a radially propagating 376 rupture, but we can assess the circularity of the rupture propagation with the Doppler 377 ratio. High Doppler strength indicates a rather unilateral rupture, and a low Doppler 378 ratio indicates a rather circular rupture. Our measured Doppler ratio  $(V_{rup}/V_S)$  is shown 379 in Figure 7a. Most earthquakes in this analysis have an apparent unilateral rupture speed 380 slower than 50% of the S-wave velocity. Hence, we draw our first conclusion that uni-381 lateral propagation is not the dominant mode of propagation of deep earthquakes. Rather, 382 the crack model of radially propagating rupture might well suit our observations. 383

We report that the denoised waveforms yield a much-reduced variance among the station-specific Doppler ratio values. We attribute this to the enhanced cross-correlation



**Figure 7.** The Doppler effect of deep earthquakes analyzed in this study. (a) The equivalent unilateral rupture speed ratio to the S-wave velocity near the earthquake source is plotted to show the relation with the moment, color-coded by event depth. (b) The number of peaks of the source time function in relation to seismic moment color-coded by event depth.

coefficients of stretched P waves, contributing to a more precise estimation of the relative source durations.

Our result shows a significant correlation between the estimated  $V_{rup}/V_S$  and earth-388 quake moment. The smaller earthquakes have a broad range of Doppler ratios between 389 0.0 and 0.8, with a mean value of 0.3 (Figure 7a). This means the equivalent unilateral 390 rupture speeds of the moderate-size deep earthquakes are mostly lower than 30% of the 391 S-wave velocity. The large deep earthquakes have a narrower range of Doppler ratio val-392 ues between 0.0 and 0.4, with a mean value of 0.15. The decrease of the maximum Doppler 393 ratio with the increasing moment may be related to i) the weakening of material beyond 394 the seismogenic width (i.e., the slab) or ii) the growing complexity of the rupture pro-395 cesses, which can be involved with multiple faults or multiple mechanisms during a sin-396 gle large deep event, leading to more homogeneous rupture propagation and a poorer rep-397 resentation of the directivity with the Doppler ratio. 398

We conduct statistical tests to demonstrate the significance of the difference between the distributions of the Doppler ratio at different depths. The null hypothesis is that the mean of the two distributions of Doppler ratios (depth ranges of 100-300 km and 300-700 km) are equal. We then obtain a *t*-score of 1.6 with an associated *p*-score of 0.11. Hence, we cannot reject the null hypothesis. Therefore, Doppler ratios of earthquakes at the depth range of 100-300 km are statistically similar to that of earthquakes deeper than 300 km.

406

#### 4.3 Earthquake Complexity with Subevents

Complex earthquake ruptures may comprise subevents that are bursts of moment 407 release well separated in time (Kikuchi & Fukao, 1987; Houston et al., 1998; Ihmlé, 1998; 408 Antolik et al., 1999; Tibi et al., 2003; Tsai et al., 2005; Duputel et al., 2012; Wei et al., 409 2013; Zhan, Kanamori, et al., 2014; Danré et al., 2019; Shi & Wei, 2020; Yin et al., 2021). 410 We count the number of peaks of the stacked P-wave displacement for all deep earth-411 quakes analyzed in this study. We use a peak detector function (scipy.signal.find\_peaks 412 in Python) and only search between the P-wave arrival time and the apparent duration. 413 The data has been low-pass filtered below 4 Hz before integrating into displacements. 414 We pick the subevent peaks from the stacked displacement over stations. We found that 415

most events have between 1 and 3 subevents, as shown in Figure 7c. The waveform resolution (<4 Hz) is sufficient for Mw > 6 events and well below some Mw5.0-6.0 earthquakes. Three subevents are only detected for Mw > 5.5, and smaller events present fewer subevents (i.e., 1 or 2) as shown in Figure 7b. Larger earthquakes have a few but more subevents, but overall, deep earthquakes are simpler ruptures with fewer subevents confirming Yin et al. (2021) and the hypothesis that deep earthquakes are rather crack-like.

#### 4.4 Spectral Fitting

422

433

The far-field P wave displacement waveforms are an approximation to the moment-423 rate function. Their amplitudes are controlled by radiation patterns and geometrical spread-424 ing, which are mostly frequency independent. The seismogram amplitudes are also af-425 fected by seismic attenuation, which considerably decreases the seismic amplitudes at 426 frequencies greater than 1 Hz. It is common in seismology to remove the attenuation ef-427 fect by correcting the amplitudes in the frequency domain. We first transform the dis-428 placement time series to the Fourier amplitude spectrum using the package **mtspec** (Prieto, 429 2022; Prieto et al., 2009), which uses a multi-taper spectral analysis that is robust for 430 short windows (Thomson, 1982). To correct for the attenuation of high-frequency en-431 ergy for teleseismic P waves, we use the following equation, 432

$$\hat{S}(f) = \hat{U} \ e^{2\pi f t^*/2},\tag{5}$$

where  $t^* = 0.3$  for the P waves that originate from the mantle (Poli & Prieto, 2016). 434 We then scale each attenuation-corrected displacement spectra to one. To avoid biases 435 of azimuthal distributions in the station coverage, we group the P-wave spectra into eight 436  $\pi/4$ -wide azimuth bins. We first compute the average spectrum in each bin if there is 437 data, then stack the spectra over azimuth bins, ignoring those without data. This pro-438 cedure is to approximately correct the radiation pattern and geometrical spreading ef-439 fects. We then level the stacked P spectra with the ISC catalog earthquake moment. Next, 440 we use the following equation to model the source spectrum, assuming a Brune model 441 (Brune, 1970). 442

443 
$$\hat{S}'(f) = \frac{M_0}{1 + \left(\frac{f}{f_c}\right)^n},$$
 (6)

where the two parameters to find are the falloff rate n and corner frequency  $f_c$ . The choice 444 of a simple spectral shape is justified because of the low Doppler ratio and low complex-445 ity of the P-wave pulses. We perform fitting in the log-log space: log of amplitudes re-446 sampled on a log-frequency array. We then perform a grid search by minimizing the mean 447 square residuals between the modeled and observed spectrum between 0 and 1 Hz. We 448 limit the grid search to 2.5 Hz for the corner frequency, approximately the corner fre-449 quency (or inverse of duration) of an Mw5 earthquake based on the regional data anal-450 ysis of intermediate-depth earthquakes by Prieto et al. (2013). A visual comparison be-451 tween the optimal modeled spectra with the stacked spectra of the noisy and denoised 452 P waves is shown in Figure S5. The difference in spectral shapes between the synthetic 453 and stacked spectra is reduced after denoising. 454

We now explore the effects of earthquake size on the shape of the observed and modeled spectra. We group the spectra in seven-magnitude bins by normalizing all spectra and leveling them to the bin central moment. We show the bootstrapped spectra in Figure 8. We average the logarithmic spectra amplitude in each magnitude bin by bootstrapping (selecting with replacement) 1,000 times the data. We obtained 1,000 averaged spectra, shown in Figure 8, and then averaged again for a single stacked spectrum per magnitude bin. We perform the same analysis for the original and the denoised seismograms.

The main results that can be interpreted are the variation of the corner frequencies with the seismic moment for the denoised seismograms (Figure 8b). We find a vi-



Figure 8. Spectra averaged in magnitude bins. (a) The noisy spectra are divided into seven magnitude groups, as indicated on the left, and bootstrapped in each group 1000 times to compute the average spectra (gray). The median of the bootstrapped spectra mean (black lines) is well fit by the spectral model (blue dashed lines) after searching for the optimal corner frequency (yellow dots) and high-frequency fall-off rate. The corner frequency is marked as white circles with uncertainties shown as gray bars. (b) Same as (a) for the denoised waveforms.

sual correlation that  $M_0 \propto f_c^{-4}$ , again supporting a deviation from a self-similar behavior. This result holds when considering the 739 individual estimates of  $f_c$  (Figure S3) and confirms the inverse relation between duration  $\tau$  and moment,  $M_0 \sim \tau^{4.17}$ , illustrated in Figure 6a.

With the recognition that such noisy waveforms (Figure 8a) would be disregarded in seismological studies, we want to highlight the impact of including noise in the spectral fitting. Microseismic noise particularly biases the retrieval of corner frequency for magnitude Mw 5-6.5. Moreover, high-frequency noise biases the retrieval of the high-frequency fall-off rate (and thus corner frequency given the parameter trade-offs) of the larger earthquakes.

#### 474 4.5 Stress Drop

481

Since the spectra are well fit using a single-corner frequency model and the weak directivity effects, we propose using a circular crack model of rupture for deep earthquakes. Crack models are modes of rupture where the fault slips behind the rupture front from the beginning of the fault slip until the earthquake fully arrests. We use the classic model of Brune (Brune, 1970) later updated by (Madariaga, 1976) to relate event duration and moment to stress drop  $\Delta \sigma$ :

$$\Delta \sigma = \frac{7}{16} M_0 \left( \frac{f_c}{0.35 V_S} \right)^3, \tag{7}$$

where the geometrical parameter 7/16 is used for a circular crack, the radius of the crack is estimated as  $0.35V_S/f_c$ . We extract the shear-wave velocity  $V_S$  from the 1D PREM model (Dziewonski & Anderson, 1981). We show the values of stress drop in Figures 9. We find a strong scaling of stress drops with earthquake magnitude but no variation with depth. We perform a linear regression  $\log_{10} (\Delta \sigma) \sim a \log_{10} M_0$  using linear-least squares



Figure 9. Stress Drop, Depth, and Magnitude. (a) The stress drop is shown against moment, color-coded by the event depth, with the bootstrapped mean of each magnitude bin shown in green and the best-fit scaling relationship denoted by the dashed line. (b) The stress drop is shown against depth, color-coded by the event magnitude. The bootstrapped mean on each depth bin is shown in green, and the best-fit scaling relationship is denoted by the dashed line.

and find the exponent a = 0.2. The resulting strong scaling suggests that if the Mw 5.0 earthquakes have a stress drop of about 1.8 MPa, the Mw 7.5 earthquakes have a stress drop of 10 MPa. This scaling is slightly weaker than that found by (Poli & Prieto, 2016), though we generally find lower stress drops more consistent with global studies and crustal earthquakes (Allmann & Shearer, 2009), and using the time-domain duration estimate T would decrease the mean value of stress drop.

As expected from the non-typical scaling of duration with seismic moments, the scaling of stress drop with magnitude is strong (Figure 9). We bootstrap the stress drop in the moment bins, calculate average stress drops, perform a linear regression in the loglog space, and find a best slope of 0.21, such as  $\Delta \sigma \sim M_0^{0.21}$ . Furthermore, the scaling is stronger for earthquakes deeper than 300 km: "intermediate depth" earthquakes have a scaling  $\Delta \sigma \sim M_0^{0.23}$  and "deep focused" earthquakes have a scaling of  $\Delta \sigma \sim M_0^{0.26}$ , as shown in Figure S6.

Unsurprisingly, the variability in spectral shapes shown in Figure 8a yields a higher variability in corner frequency and, consequently, in estimated stress drop. The variability may be unreasonable and span four orders of magnitude higher than for the same waveforms but denoised using DenoTe. Therefore, our denoising technique has been essential and provides more precise stress drop measurements and their scaling with magnitude. We calculate the stress drop using the duration estimates and find similar momentdependence (Figure S7).

We do not see any strong dependence between stress drop and depth (Fig. 9). We measure an increased variability of the shallowest intermediate-depth earthquakes, which may indicate that we have less stable duration measurements for the shallowest earthquakes (some depth phases may leak in our measurements), a greater sensitivity of the measurements to unknown attenuation effects, or may indicate a greater heterogeneity in source properties of shallow earthquakes. Vallée (2013) found the constant strain drop with depth better fits the data. We scale the source duration using Equation 2, a different approach from Vallée (2013), based on the assumption of constant stress drop with depth. However, since the density and S-wave velocity vary by some moderate amount, we can not discriminate between constant stress drop and constant strain drop.

#### 517 4.6 Radiated Energy

523

531

Next, we estimate the radiated energy of these earthquakes using the denoised waveforms. The kinetic energy of the radiated P wave can be estimated by integrating the squared P-wave velocity spectrum. We were partially motivated to measure if ML-denoising affected the waveforms over a broad range of frequencies, to which radiated energy is particularly sensitive. We estimate the radiated P-wave energy using,

$$E_P = \frac{2\pi M_0^2 \langle R_P^2 \rangle}{\rho V_P^5} \int_0^\infty [f \ \hat{S}(f)]^2 df, \tag{8}$$

where,  $\langle R_P^2 \rangle = 4\pi/15$  is the squared P-wave radiation pattern coefficient averaged over the double-couple focal sphere assuming the uniform shape of source spectra  $\hat{S}(f)$ ,  $\alpha$  is the P wave velocity at the location of the source. The shear modulus  $\mu$  is calculated with the shear-wave velocity of the PREM model, and the seismic moment  $M_0$  is calculated from moment-magnitude.

<sup>529</sup> With the radiated energy, we can further calculate the apparent stress (see Figure <sup>530</sup> S8) by

$$\sigma_a = \mu E_R / M_0, \tag{9}$$

In general, the observed spectra well match the model  $\hat{S}'(f)$  in equation 6 within 0-1 Hz (see Figure 8). Higher than 1 Hz, the observed spectra have a steeper fall-off than the model, which implies that attenuation may be frequency dependent and under-corrected at higher frequencies. Ide and Beroza (2001) has indicated that the source spectrum at frequencies higher than ten times the corner frequency only accounts for less than 10% of the total energy. Hence, we separate the integration in equation 8 in two parts: observed spectra integrated over 0-1 Hz and modeled spectra integrated over 1-4 Hz.

Similar to (Boatwright & Choy, 1986; Convers & Newman, 2011; Poli & Prieto, 2016; 539 Denolle & Shearer, 2016), we scale the S energy using the ratio  $E_S = 3V_P^5/2V_S^5 E_P$ . Sev-540 eral assumptions are required to apply this ratio. First, S waves are assumed to have the 541 same spectral shape as P waves. Second, we assume that the focal mechanism of the source 542 is strictly a double couple, which is questionable for deep earthquakes (Knopoff & Ran-543 dall, 1970; Frohlich, 1989; Green & Houston, 1995), and that we are sampling the whole 544 focal sphere. Third, we assume the ratio between P and S waves found in the PREM ve-545 locity model. 546

<sup>547</sup> We find that radiated energy also scales strongly with the seismic moment, with <sup>548</sup> an exponent of 1.23. Such scaling is expected from the scaling of corner frequency with <sup>549</sup> earthquake magnitude because of the abnormally higher corner frequency of larger earth-<sup>550</sup> quakes, within which seismic energy concentrates. Typical self-similar concepts of earth-<sup>551</sup> quake scaling promote the idea that scaled energy,  $E_R/M_0$  is constant (Venkataraman <sup>552</sup> & Kanamori, 2004; Baltay et al., 2010; Convers & Newman, 2011), though Denolle and <sup>553</sup> Shearer (2016) found this was true regardless of the fault geometry.

We show the moment-dependent radiated energy derived from the noisy and denoised P waves in Figure 10a and b, respectively. Similar to the other measurements, denoising reduces the variability of the radiated energy measurements but does not alter the general trend of the scaling (Figure S9).



Figure 10. Radiation energy and efficiency of deep earthquakes. Radiated energy as a function of seismic moment and color-coded with depth. The green dots and bars indicate the average logarithmic radiated energy bootstrapped in magnitude bins and the best-fitting regression coefficients, respectively, for the raw, attenuation-corrected waveforms (a) and after denoising, attenuation-corrected waveforms (b). (c) Radiation efficiency against moment as markers color-coded with event depth with the binned average efficiency. (d) Radiation efficiency against depth color-coded by event magnitude, with green dots denoting the bootstrapped average efficiency in each fine depth bin.

#### 558 4.7 Radiation Efficiency

Considering the simplified slip-weakening model of fault strength, we also calculate the apparent radiation efficiency introduced by Venkataraman and Kanamori (2004), also well explained and discussed in Abercrombie and Rice (2005), Noda and Lapusta (2013), and Lambert et al. (2021). We use the definition of radiation efficiency:

 $\eta_R = \frac{2\mu E_R}{\Delta\sigma M_0},\tag{10}$ 

where the shear modulus  $\mu$  is calculated with the shear-wave velocity of the PREM model, seismic moment  $M_0$  is calculated from moment-magnitude, radiated energy  $E_R$  and stress drop  $\Delta\sigma$  are measured above.

We find low radiation efficiency at about 0.05, similar to other studies (Poli & Pri-567 eto, 2016; Prieto et al., 2013; Wiens, 2001). These values are typically much lower than 568 those reported for crustal earthquakes (Venkataraman & Kanamori, 2004; Singh et al., 569 2004; Zollo et al., 2014; Prieto et al., 2017; Lambert et al., 2021). Noda and Lapusta (2013) 570 and Lambert et al. (2021) suggested that radiation efficiency inferred from seismic ob-571 servations tends to be overestimated as the seismological stress drop estimate is likely 572 to be underestimated (Noda & Lapusta, 2013). Together with these potential biases, our 573 results suggest deep earthquakes have much lower radiation efficiency than crustal ones. 574

We also observe a weak moment-dependence of radiation efficiency (Figure 10c), also implied by the slight difference in scaling found for radiated energy and stress drop. Visually, there is greater variability of radiation efficiency for smaller magnitude earthquakes, which can be attributed to greater variability in corner frequency.

To further study the relationship between the radiation efficiency and source depth, 579 we calculate the average radiation efficiency within each small depth interval (see Fig-580 ure 10d). The shallowest earthquakes (100-250 km) have average radiation efficiencies 581 about 30% higher than those of the events at greater depth. We can rule out attenua-582 tion effects: we have assumed a unique attenuation correction. Thus it is possible that we over-corrected the deep earthquake signals relative to shallower earthquake signals, 584 which would give an apparent higher radiated energy. Because radiation efficiency as cal-585 culated in equation 10 is effectively proportional to  $V_P^5/V_S^5$ , uncertainties from this ra-586 tio due to our choice of velocity depth profile can explain a portion of the depth-dependence. 587 Nevertheless, our conclusions remain unchanged when using the AK135f velocity model 588 (Kennett et al., 1995; Montagner & Kennett, 1996) see Figure S10 for comparison. 589

590

595

563

#### 4.8 Fracture Energy

Fracture energy is the energy spent to create the fracture. We use the definition of the energy budget in Kanamori and Rivera (2006) for slip-weakening models of earthquakes to estimate the fracture energy from our seismic observables, stress drop and scaled energy:

$$G' = \frac{1}{2} \left( \Delta \sigma - 2\sigma_a \right) S,$$

(11)

where  $\sigma_a$  is referred to as apparent stress and S is the average slip of the ruptured area that is calculated in an elliptical, circular model as  $S = M_0 / [\mu \pi (0.35 V_S \tau)^2]$ . We use  $\tau$  as our time-domain duration estimate in this example. It should be noted that the fracture energy can be underestimated in the case of undershoot, where the fault is weakened to a low friction level dynamically and recover to higher friction when the slip stops (Viesca & Garagash, 2015). We show the estimated values in Figure 11.

In general, deep earthquakes exhibit slightly higher fracture energy, discussed earlier, with a slightly lower radiation efficiency. But overall, both intermediate-depth and



**Figure 11.** Fracture energy against average slip inferred from seismic observations, colorcoded with depth. A linear regression of slip is fitted to the bootstrapped mean values of the binned data, and the best slopes are found for the earthquakes with estimates of average slip as shown in black solid line, in contrast to the power-law scaling by Viesca and Garagash (2015).

deep earthquakes share a similar relation between fracture energy and slip. This further suggests that their energy budget are similar despite the possible and diverse mechanisms discussed in Zhan (2020).

Typical scaling between observed fracture energy and average slip is  $G' \sim S^2$  is 607 overall satisfied with our observations. This is consistent with the inference from Abercrombie 608 and Rice (2005). For shallower earthquakes, Viesca and Garagash (2015) found a change 609 in scaling for larger earthquakes that could be modeled using dynamic weakening mech-610 anisms such as flash heating (Rice, 2006) and thermo-pressurization of fluids (Noda & 611 Lapusta, 2013; Marguin & Simpson, 2023). In contrast to the inferred behavior of shal-612 lower earthquakes (Viesca & Garagash, 2015), our results suggest no strong dynamic weak-613 ening mechanisms. 614

The overall low radiation efficiency of moderate- to large-size deep earthquakes imply that the fault weakening is likely to be persistent during the slip growth so that fracture energy keeps at a high level.

#### 5 Discussion on the properties of deep earthquakes

The weak directivity is a distinct feature of deep earthquakes, implying the rela-619 tively homogeneous stress states in the mantle or more diffusive rupture mechanisms. 620 On average, we find Doppler ratios of 0.1-0.4 for Mw > 7 deep earthquakes, correspond-621 ing to 0.5-2.2 km/s apparent unilateral rupture speed, assuming an average S-wave ve-622 locity of 4.5-5.5 km/s. This is consistent with the slow rupture speed observed for large 623 deep earthquakes. Beck et al. (1995) derived a slow rupture speed (1-2 km/s, 636 km) 624 for the 1994 Mw8.3 Bolivian earthquake. Park and Ishii (2015) derived the average rup-625 ture speed for the 2012 Mw7.7 (2.7 km/s, 583 km) and 2013 Mw8.3 (1.4 km/s, 602 km) 626 earthquakes in the Sea of Okhotsk region. Warren and Shearer (2006) studied the global 627 deep moderate-to-large earthquakes during 1988-2000 and found slow rupture speed in 628 most earthquakes. Prieto et al. (2017) obtained a best-fit slow unilateral and sub-horizontal 629 rupture directivity (1.3 km/s) of the 2013 Mw4.8 Wyoming earthquake (75 km). Díaz-630 Mojica et al. (2014) used an elliptical patch approach to study the 2011 Mw6.5 Guer-631 rero, Mexico earthquake (62 km) and found a slow rupture (0.5 km/s). Mirwald et al. 632 (2019) also found a slow rupture (0.34 km/s) during the 2017 Mw7.1 earthquake (57 km)633

<sup>634</sup> in the Cocos plate beneath central Mexico. In contrast, Zhan, Helmberger, et al. (2014) <sup>635</sup> used the duration after EGF correction and obtained a rupture speed above the local <sup>636</sup>  $V_S$  for the Mw6.7 Sea of Okhotsk earthquake (642 km), implying a very different rup-<sup>637</sup> ture process relative to the nearby 2013 Mw8.3 Okhotsk Earthquake. This may be con-<sup>638</sup> firmed by the larger variability of Doppler ratios we find for Mw5.0-6.9 earthquakes.

The moderate-magnitude earthquakes  $(10^{16} < M_0 < 10^{19} \text{ N m})$  have source di-639 mensions comparable to the width of the subduction zone slab core. Within the core, 640 frictional conditions may be more favorable for dynamic rupture, given the potentially 641 elevated pore pressure due to mineral phase transformation (dehydration or compaction), 642 or pre-existing slab faults. The larger-magnitude earthquakes have greater spatial ex-643 tent, and therefore can further propagate into the surrounding, mantle which could have 644 a less heterogeneous structure than the slab and considerably less water content. The 645 distinct environments where these earthquakes reside may lead to scale-dependent Doppler 646 ratios. The colder slab core may provide favorable conditions for small but faster rup-647 ture growth, while the surrounding warm material may be involved with a more dissi-648 pative and slower rupture. 649

Deep earthquakes have shorter source duration and thus higher corner frequencies 650 than shallow earthquakes due to increased rigidity with depth (Vallée, 2013). The magnitude-651 duration scaling  $M_0 \sim \tau^4$  that we measured from the denoised P waves is consistent 652 with previous studies (Poli & Prieto, 2014). The corner frequency of deep earthquake 653 displacement seismograms of direct P waves obtained from fitting Brune's models fol-654 lows the same scaling with seismic moment  $(M_0 \sim f_c^{-4})$  are consistent with the time-655 domain measurements. The difference between this scaling and that found for shallow 656 earthquakes (Allmann & Shearer, 2009) suggests that the rupture area and slip scaling 657 are not self-similar. 658

Given the moment-duration scaling, we infer that stress drop increases with seis-659 mic moment. Early studies on the topic reported weak stress drop scaling (Frohlich, 2006), 660 while some recent studies based on a larger number of stations and wider frequency band 661 have found evident scaling (Prieto et al., 2013; Poli & Prieto, 2016). We obtain a sim-662 ilar moment-scaling of stress drop  $\Delta \sigma \sim M_0^{0.21}$  for Mw5-8 earthquakes at a 100-700 km 663 depth range. This contrasts with shallow earthquakes, where stress drop tends to be scale-664 invariant (Allmann & Shearer, 2009; Denolle & Shearer, 2016; Courboulex et al., 2016). 665 Cocco et al. (2016) compared stress drop estimates from different tectonic settings and using different methodologies to confirm the large variability up to three orders of mag-667 nitude (0.1–100 MPa, similar to the range in Figure 9) for a broad range of seismic mo-668 ment (-8 < MW < 9), and reported no evident scaling of stress drop with earthquake 669 size. 670

The radiation efficiency of deep earthquakes mainly ranges between 1% and 10%, much lower than that of shallow large events (25% by Kanamori and Brodsky (2004)). The low radiation efficiency and high stress drop of these deep earthquakes could also be explained by substantial shear heating, similar to the interpretation of Prieto et al. (2013). We have ignored 3D velocity and attenuation models, which significantly impact the high-frequency content of the P-wave displacement, which should be incorporated in future work.

In spite of the argument that different mechanisms may enable intermediate-depth 678 earthquakes and deep-focus (Zhan, 2020), they show similar characteristics in terms of 679 magnitude scaling with duration, static stress drop, and radiated energy. The lack of depth 680 variations in these parameters may also indicate that similar mechanisms govern the earth-681 quakes in the two depth ranges. We note that the stress drop-magnitude scaling (power 682 law of exponent 0.21) and the low median radiation efficiency (0.05) of both intermediate-683 depth and deep-focus earthquakes are similar to the result of Prieto et al. (2013). This 684 indicates that the source processes of deep earthquakes could be dissipative and trans-685

late a small portion of static stress drop into high-frequency radiation. Hence, this study
 further extends the possibility of thermal runaway mechanism from the intermediate depth earthquakes to the deep-focus events.

The study based on data from shallow earthquakes (Abercrombie & Rice, 2005) 689 suggests the frictional strength decreases more rapidly in the initial stage of rapid slip 690 and then decreases more slowly at larger cumulated slip  $(\sigma_f(S) \propto -S^{0.28})$ . Deep earth-691 quakes show a more uniform decay rate of friction over slip distance ( $\sigma_f(S) \propto -S^1$ ). 692 Based on the scaling of fracture energy and average slip, deep earthquakes may not fa-693 vor the dynamic weakening mechanism of thermal pressurization mechanism, Viesca and Garagash (2015) proposed to dominate for shallow events (Fig. 11). Alternative mech-695 anisms may include flash heating and even melting, which require persistently high frac-696 ture energy for larger earthquakes. On the other hand, thermal pressurization may be 697 greatly limited for deep earthquakes because of the depleted water or fluid at the depth 698 range, especially if the earthquakes propagate in the mantle. Nonetheless, other mech-699 anisms, such as shear heating, may be invoked to explain the large fracture energy and 700 slow rupture propagation. 701

It appears difficult to invoke single mechanisms proposed for deep earthquakes (phase 702 transformation, dehydration embrittlement, shear heating) to explain whole event dy-703 namics. Our measurements of source dynamics favor the interpretation of dissipative shear 704 heating as a dominant mechanism at the source, though dissipative mechanisms do not 705 favor nucleation. Instead, the dual-mechanism proposed y Zhan (2020) is practical may 706 explain the combination of dynamic nucleation and dissipative propagation. Besides, two 707 nucleation mechanisms can be invoked to differentiate between intermediate-depth and 708 deep-focused earthquakes. The intermediate-depth earthquakes may be initiated by de-709 hydration embrittlement, and the deep-focus earthquake may be triggered by transfor-710 mational faulting. As the rupture grows in size, thermal runaway takes over, leading to 711 a large portion of stress drop being dissipated near the source. Due to the diffusive na-712 ture of heat transmission, shear heating allows for dynamic rupture, even if it's ineffi-713 cient at radiating waves. 714

In general, deep earthquakes have relatively simple rupture processes compared to 715 crustal earthquakes because of the fewer subevents identified from their source time func-716 tions. This feature may favor that deep earthquakes tend to start on the faults with pre-717 ferred orientation (e.g., along the metastable olivine wedge or along the pre-existing intra-718 plate faults) and develop with smooth propagation. This starting phase may be related 719 to a relatively faster unilateral rupture speed (Zhan, Helmberger, et al., 2014). As the 720 rupture is growing to a certain extent, the smooth propagation with the preferred fault 721 orientation could be replaced with a slower and dissipative phase, which probably has 722 a complex fault orientation (e.g., the 1994 Bolivia earthquake interpreted by Zhan, Kanamori, 723 et al. (2014)). 724

Our neural networks can be easily generalized to other seismic waves with differ-725 ent window lengths and sampling rates. The fully-connected layer between the shallow 726 and deep kernels is adjustable, with higher learning capability for larger input sizes. Hence, 727 the same architecture can be effectively applied to other seismic phases with minor mod-728 ifications. Therefore, the general framework we developed in this study is of great po-729 tential to be applied to different types of research. An extension of this work could be 730 extending the analysis for shallow earthquakes, which are still offshore and have cover-731 age on island stations that are polluted with microseismic noise. The denoised waveform 732 can provide Green's functions with better azimuthal coverages. 733

Another widely employed research is receiver function studies that rely on the data quality of the three-component teleseismic seismograms. With the P wave denoiser, the secondary phases can better stand out from the strong noise, so it provides many-fold more data recordings: 135,265 traces of Mw5-5.5 deep earthquakes were selected based on SNR > 8 after denoising, while only 3,118 of them could have been used with the same SNR criterion without denoising. We show the overall improvement for individual deep earthquakes in Figure S11. Furthermore, the application of our "DenoTe" to regional seismic networks would greatly benefit the real-time phase picking for largerscale earthquake monitoring and enhance the accuracy of both the travel-time-based and waveform-based tomography studies.

#### 744 6 Conclusion

This study demonstrates that machine learning can be included as data pre-processing 745 to enhance our observation capabilities for earthquake source characterization. The demon-746 stration uses deep earthquakes as an example because they already have relatively "clean" 747 seismograms. Our ML denoising considerably improved the volume of data with a suf-748 ficiently good signal-to-noise ratio and an accurate wiggle-to-wiggle reconstruction over 749 a broad range of frequencies, especially in the smaller earthquake magnitudes. We dou-750 bled the number of events studied and considerably added independent observations (e.g., 751 station waveforms) to each earthquake. We have demonstrated that broadband signals 752 can be recovered using time-domain ML processing. 753

Our analysis of deep earthquakes is an update from the Poli and Prieto (2016) analysis, whereby we include more events of smaller magnitudes and expand beyond the analysis of scaling, depth dependence, energy budget, and earthquake complexity. We confirm the results of other studies that have found a strong scaling of stress drop and scaled energy with earthquake magnitude, which suggests weakening mechanisms stronger with earthquake size.

The lack of directivity effects and low complexity found for intermediate and deep earthquakes suggests that these events are rather crack-like and confined ruptures. In general, we find that typical stress drops of 1-10 MPa and low scaled energy  $(10^{-5})$ , relatively low directivity, yielding low radiation efficiency and high fracture energy. While dynamic mechanisms may be at play for larger earthquakes, the rupture propagation of intermediate and deep earthquakes is dissipative.

There remain limitations to this work. Our preliminary test on S wave data was inconclusive because generating the data set of "clean" S waves is tedious and because S waves are much more depleted in high frequency than can be corrected for by a frequencyconstant t\* model. There are clearly opportunities to incorporate ML denoising in other earthquake studies such as receiver functions and finite source inversions.

#### 771 7 Open Research

The software package for denoising is developed using PyTorch. It is named "De-772 noTe" and can be accessed from https://github.com/qibinshi/TeleseismicDenoiser. 773 We use data from the 1078 networks of the FDSN archive. The digital object identifier 774 (DOI) of all 1078 networks can be found in the supplementary materials. The minimally 775 pre-processed seismic data used for training the neural network can be accessed at https:// 776 dasway.ess.washington.edu/qibins/Psnr25\_1p4\_2000-2021.hdf5 and the waveform 777 data and metadata for the deep eearthquake analysis can be accessed at http://dasway 778 .ess.washington.edu/qibins/deepquake\_M5.5\_6\_data\_metadata.zip. The earthquake 779 catalog for selecting the waveform data is downloaded from ISC http://www.isc.ac.uk/. 780

#### 781 Acknowledgments

We acknowledge the National Science Foundation (CAREER award EAR 2124722) for

<sup>783</sup> supporting this research. The authors thank Jiuxun Yin for the discussions about his

denoising. Conceptualization: QS, MD. Data curation: QS. Formal Analysis: QS. Fund-

<sup>785</sup> ing acquisition: MD. Investigation QS, MD. Methodology: QS, MD. Project adminis-

tration: MD, QS. Resources: MD. Software: QS. Supervision: MD. Validation: QS, Yiyu

<sup>787</sup> Ni. Visualization: QS. Writing – original draft: QS. Writing – review & editing: QS, MD.

The DOIs of the seismic network involved in this study are saved as a ZIP file. The fa-

cilities of IRIS Data Services, and specifically the IRIS Data Management Center, were

<sup>790</sup> used for access to waveforms and metadata (last accessed July 2022).

#### 791 References

Abercrombie, R. E., & Rice, J. R. (2005, August). Can observations of earthquake 792 scaling constrain slip weakening? Geophysical Journal International, 162(2), 793 406-424. Retrieved 2022-12-04, from https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246X 794 .2005.02579.x doi: 10.1111/j.1365-246X.2005.02579.x 795 Abers, G. A., Nakajima, J., van Keken, P. E., Kita, S., & Hacker, B. R. (2013,796 Thermal-petrological controls on the location of earthquakes within Mav). 797 subducting plates. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 369-370, 178-187. 798 Retrieved 2023-02-23, from https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/ 799 article/pii/S0012821X1300143X doi: 10.1016/j.epsl.2013.03.022 Allmann, B. P., & Shearer, P. M. (2009).Global variations of stress 801 drop for moderate to large earthquakes. Journal of Geophysical Re-802 search: Solid Earth, 114(B1). Retrieved 2023-05-01, from https:// 803 onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2008JB005821 (\_eprint: 804 https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2008JB005821) doi: 805 10.1029/2008JB005821 806 Antolik, M., Dreger, D., & Romanowicz, B. (1999).Rupture processes of large 807 deep-focus earthquakes from inversion of moment rate functions. Journal of 808 Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 104 (B1), 863–894. Retrieved 2023-05-31. 809 from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/1998JB900042 810 (\_eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/1998JB900042) doi: 811 10.1029/1998JB900042 812 B. Gutenberg, & C. F. Richter. (1949).Seismicity Of The Earth And Associated 813 Phenomena. Princeton University Press. Retrieved 2023-01-31, from http:// 814 archive.org/details/seismicityofthee009299mbp 815 Baltay, A., Prieto, G., & Beroza, G. C. (2010). Radiated seismic energy from coda 816 measurements and no scaling in apparent stress with seismic moment. Jour-817 nal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 115(B8). Retrieved 2023-05-31, 818 from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2009JB006736 819 (\_eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2009JB006736) doi: 820 10.1029/2009JB006736 821 Beck, S. L., Silver, P., Wallace, T. C., & James, D. (1995).Directivity anal-822 ysis of the Deep Bolivian Earthquake of June 9, 1994. Geophysical Re-823 Retrieved 2023-06-22, from https:// search Letters, 22(16), 2257–2260. 824 onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/95GL01089 (\_eprint: 825 https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/95GL01089) doi: 10.1029/ 826 95GL01089 827 Beyreuther, M., Barsch, R., Krischer, L., Megies, T., Behr, Y., & Wassermann, 828 ObsPy: A Python Toolbox for Seismology. J. (2010, May). Seismo-829 logical Research Letters, 81(3), 530–533. Retrieved 2023-04-28, from 830 https://doi.org/10.1785/gssrl.81.3.530 doi: 10.1785/gssrl.81.3.530 831 Boatwright, J., & Choy, G. L. (1986). Teleseismic estimates of the energy radiated 832 by shallow earthquakes. Journal of Geophysical Research, 91(B2), 2095. Re-833 trieved 2022-08-24, from http://doi.wiley.com/10.1029/JB091iB02p02095 834 doi: 10.1029/JB091iB02p02095 835 Boneh, Y., Schottenfels, E., Kwong, K., van Zelst, I., Tong, X., Eimer, M., ... 836 Zhan, Z. (2019).Intermediate-Depth Earthquakes Controlled by In-837

838	coming Plate Hydration Along Bending-Related Faults. Geophysical Re-
839	search Letters, 46(7), 3688–3697. Retrieved 2023-02-23, from https://
840	onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2018GL081585 (_eprint:
841	https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2018GL081585) doi:
842	10.1029/2018GL081585
843	Brudzinski, M. R., Thurber, C. H., Hacker, B. R., & Engdahl, E. R. (2007, June).
844	Global Prevalence of Double Benioff Zones. Science, 316(5830), 1472–1474.
845	Retrieved 2023-02-23, from https://www.science.org/doi/full/10.1126/
846	science.1139204 (Publisher: American Association for the Advancement of
847	Science) doi: $10.1120$ /science. $1139204$
848	Brune, J. N. (1970). Tectonic stress and the spectra of seismic shear
849	waves from earthquakes. Journal of Geophysical Research (1890- 1077) 75(26) 4007 5000 Betrieved 2022 02 12 from https://
850	1977), 75(20), 4997–5009. Retrieved 2025-02-15, from https://
851	https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/JB0/51026p04997 (_eprint:
852	10.1029/3D075020p04997) doi: 10.1029/3D075020p04997) doi:
853	Chang S. Vy. B. & Vetterli M. (2000 Contembor) Adaptive wevelet thresholding
854	for image denoising and compression <i>IEEE Transactions on Image Processing</i>
855	0(0) 1532–1546 (Conference Name: IEEE Transactions on Image Processing)
957	doi: 10.1109/83.862633
057	$C_{OCCO}$ M Tinti E & Cirella A (2016 October) On the scale dependence of
950	earthquake stress drop Journal of Seismology 20(4) 1151–1170 Retrieved
860	2023-06-23 from https://doi org/10 1007/s10950-016-9594-4 doi:
861	10 1007/s10950-016-9594-4
862	Convers J A & Newman A V (2011) Global evaluation of large earth-
863	quake energy from 1997 through mid-2010. Journal of Geophysical Re-
864	search: Solid Earth, 116(B8). Retrieved 2023-05-31. from https://
865	onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2010JB007928 (_eprint:
866	https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2010JB007928) doi:
867	10.1029/2010JB007928
868	Courboulex, F., Vallée, M., Causse, M., & Chounet, A. (2016, May). Stress-
869	Drop Variability of Shallow Earthquakes Extracted from a Global Database
870	of Source Time Functions. Seismological Research Letters, 87(4), 912–918.
871	Retrieved 2023-02-15, from https://doi.org/10.1785/0220150283 doi:
872	10.1785/0220150283
873	Crotwell, H. P., Owens, T. J., & Ritsema, J. (1999, March). The TauP Toolkit:
874	Flexible Seismic Travel-time and Ray-path Utilities. Seismological Research
875	Letters, 70(2), 154–160. Retrieved 2023-03-01, from https://doi.org/
876	10.1785/gssrl.70.2.154 doi: 10.1785/gssrl.70.2.154
877	Danré, P., Yin, J., Lipovsky, B. P., & Denolle, M. A. (2019). Earthquakes
878	Within Earthquakes: Patterns in Rupture Complexity. Geophysical Re-
879	search Letters, 46(13), 7352–7360. Retrieved 2023-05-31, from https://
880	onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2019GL083093 (_eprint:
881	https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2019GL083093) doi:
882	10.1029/2019GL083093
883	Dascher-Cousineau, K., Brodsky, E. E., Lay, T., & Goebel, T. H. W. (2020). What
884	Controls Variations in Aftershock Productivity? Journal of Geophysical Re-
885	search: Solid Earth, 125 (2), e2019JB018111. Retrieved 2023-05-18, from
886	https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2019JB018111
887	(_eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2019JB018111)
888	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
889	Denoile, M. A., & Snearer, P. M. (2016, September). New perspectives on
890	sen-similarity for shallow thrust earthquakes. Journal of Geophysical
891	neseurch: Solid Earth, 121(9), 0555–0505. Retrieved 2022-09-21, from
892	nttps://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/dol/10.1002/2016JB013105 dol:

893	$10.1002/2016 \mathrm{JB}013105$
894	Donoho, D. L., & Johnstone, I. M. (1994, September). Ideal spatial adaptation by
895	wavelet shrinkage. <i>Biometrika</i> , 81(3), 425–455. Retrieved 2023-03-09. from
896	https://doi.org/10.1093/biomet/81.3.425_doi: 10.1093/biomet/81.3.425
907	Douglas A (1997 June) Bandnass filtering to reduce noise on seismograms:
097	Is there a better way? Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America
898	Is there a better way: Dutletin of the Setsmological Society of America, 27(2) 770 777 Detrived 2022 02 00 from https://doi.org/10.1705/
899	87(5), 770-777. Retrieved 2023-05-09, from https://doi.org/10.1785/
900	BSSA0870030770 doi: 10.1785/BSSA0870030770
901	Duputel, Z., Kanamori, H., Tsai, V. C., Rivera, L., Meng, L., Ampuero, JP., &
902	Stock, J. M. (2012, October). The 2012 Sumatra great earthquake se-
903	quence. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 351-352, 247–257. Retrieved
904	2023-05-31, from https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/
905	S0012821X12003846 doi: 10.1016/j.epsl.2012.07.017
906	Dziewonski, A. M., & Anderson, D. L. (1981, June). Preliminary reference
907	Earth model. Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors, 25(4), 297–
908	356. Retrieved 2023-03-12, from https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/
909	article/pii/0031920181900467 doi: 10.1016/0031-9201(81)90046-7
910	Díaz-Mojica, J., Cruz-Atienza, V. M., Madariaga, R., Singh, S. K., Tago,
911	J., & Iglesias, A. (2014). Dynamic source inversion of the M6.5
912	intermediate-depth Zumpango earthquake in central Mexico: A par-
913	allel genetic algorithm. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid
914	<i>Earth.</i> 119(10), 7768–7785. Retrieved 2023-06-23. from https://
915	onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/2013JB010854 (eprint:
916	https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/2013JB010854) doi:
917	10.1002/2013JB010854
018	Frohlich C (1989) The Nature of Deen-Focus Earthquakes Annual $Re$ -
010	view of Earth and Planetary Sciences 17(1) 227–254 Retrieved 2023-
920	02-20 from https://doi.org/10.1146/annurey.ea.17.050189.001303
021	(eprint: https://doi.org/10.1146/annurey.ea.17.050189.001303) doi:
022	101146 /annurev ea 17 050189 001303
022	Frohlich C (2006) Deen Earthquakes Cambridge University Press
525	
U. 27	Green H W & Houston H (1995) The Mechanics of Deep Earthquakes Annual
924	Green, H. W., & Houston, H. (1995). The Mechanics of Deep Earthquakes. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences 23(1) 169–213 Betrieved 2023-
924 925	Green, H. W., & Houston, H. (1995). The Mechanics of Deep Earthquakes. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences, 23(1), 169–213. Retrieved 2023- 02-20. from https://doi.org/10.1146/annurey.ea.23.050195.001125
924 925 926	Green, H. W., & Houston, H. (1995). The Mechanics of Deep Earthquakes. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences, 23(1), 169–213. Retrieved 2023- 02-20, from https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125 (aprint: https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125)
924 925 926 927	Green, H. W., & Houston, H. (1995). The Mechanics of Deep Earthquakes. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences, 23(1), 169–213. Retrieved 2023- 02-20, from https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125 (_eprint: https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125) doi: 10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125
924 925 926 927 928	<ul> <li>Green, H. W., &amp; Houston, H. (1995). The Mechanics of Deep Earthquakes. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences, 23(1), 169–213. Retrieved 2023- 02-20, from https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125</li> <li>(_eprint: https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125) doi: 10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125</li> </ul>
924 925 926 927 928 929	<ul> <li>Green, H. W., &amp; Houston, H. (1995). The Mechanics of Deep Earthquakes. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences, 23(1), 169–213. Retrieved 2023- 02-20, from https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125 (_eprint: https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125) doi: 10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125</li> <li>Hacker, B. R., Peacock, S. M., Abers, G. A., &amp; Holloway, S. D. (2003). Sub- duction factors 2. Are intermediate donth contheucles in subduction.</li> </ul>
924 925 926 927 928 929 930	<ul> <li>Green, H. W., &amp; Houston, H. (1995). The Mechanics of Deep Earthquakes. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences, 23(1), 169–213. Retrieved 2023- 02-20, from https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125 (_eprint: https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125) doi: 10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125</li> <li>Hacker, B. R., Peacock, S. M., Abers, G. A., &amp; Holloway, S. D. (2003). Sub- duction factory 2. Are intermediate-depth earthquakes in subducting claba linked to metamorphic debudynetion meeting?</li> </ul>
924 925 926 927 928 929 930 931	<ul> <li>Green, H. W., &amp; Houston, H. (1995). The Mechanics of Deep Earthquakes. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences, 23(1), 169–213. Retrieved 2023- 02-20, from https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125 (_eprint: https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125) doi: 10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125</li> <li>Hacker, B. R., Peacock, S. M., Abers, G. A., &amp; Holloway, S. D. (2003). Sub- duction factory 2. Are intermediate-depth earthquakes in subducting slabs linked to metamorphic dehydration reactions? Journal of Geo- nbusical Beacamptic Solid Family 108(P1)</li> </ul>
924 925 926 927 928 929 930 931 932	<ul> <li>Green, H. W., &amp; Houston, H. (1995). The Mechanics of Deep Earthquakes. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences, 23(1), 169–213. Retrieved 2023- 02-20, from https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125 (_eprint: https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125) doi: 10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125</li> <li>Hacker, B. R., Peacock, S. M., Abers, G. A., &amp; Holloway, S. D. (2003). Sub- duction factory 2. Are intermediate-depth earthquakes in subducting slabs linked to metamorphic dehydration reactions? Journal of Geo- physical Research: Solid Earth, 108(B1). Retrieved 2023-02-23, from</li> </ul>
924 925 926 927 928 929 930 931 932 933	<ul> <li>Green, H. W., &amp; Houston, H. (1995). The Mechanics of Deep Earthquakes. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences, 23(1), 169–213. Retrieved 2023- 02-20, from https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125 (_eprint: https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125) doi: 10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125</li> <li>Hacker, B. R., Peacock, S. M., Abers, G. A., &amp; Holloway, S. D. (2003). Sub- duction factory 2. Are intermediate-depth earthquakes in subducting slabs linked to metamorphic dehydration reactions? Journal of Geo- physical Research: Solid Earth, 108(B1). Retrieved 2023-02-23, from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2001JB001129</li> </ul>
924 925 926 927 928 929 930 931 932 933 933	<ul> <li>Green, H. W., &amp; Houston, H. (1995). The Mechanics of Deep Earthquakes. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences, 23(1), 169–213. Retrieved 2023- 02-20, from https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125 (_eprint: https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125) doi: 10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125</li> <li>Hacker, B. R., Peacock, S. M., Abers, G. A., &amp; Holloway, S. D. (2003). Sub- duction factory 2. Are intermediate-depth earthquakes in subducting slabs linked to metamorphic dehydration reactions? Journal of Geo- physical Research: Solid Earth, 108(B1). Retrieved 2023-02-23, from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2001JB001129 (_eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2001JB001129)</li> </ul>
924 925 926 927 928 929 930 931 932 933 934 935	<ul> <li>Green, H. W., &amp; Houston, H. (1995). The Mechanics of Deep Earthquakes. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences, 23(1), 169–213. Retrieved 2023- 02-20, from https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125 (_eprint: https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125) doi: 10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125</li> <li>Hacker, B. R., Peacock, S. M., Abers, G. A., &amp; Holloway, S. D. (2003). Sub- duction factory 2. Are intermediate-depth earthquakes in subducting slabs linked to metamorphic dehydration reactions? Journal of Geo- physical Research: Solid Earth, 108(B1). Retrieved 2023-02-23, from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2001JB001129 (_eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2001JB001129) doi: 10.1029/2001JB001129</li> </ul>
924 925 926 927 928 929 930 931 931 932 933 934 935 936	<ul> <li>Green, H. W., &amp; Houston, H. (1995). The Mechanics of Deep Earthquakes. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences, 23(1), 169–213. Retrieved 2023- 02-20, from https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125 (_eprint: https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125) doi: 10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125</li> <li>Hacker, B. R., Peacock, S. M., Abers, G. A., &amp; Holloway, S. D. (2003). Sub- duction factory 2. Are intermediate-depth earthquakes in subducting slabs linked to metamorphic dehydration reactions? Journal of Geo- physical Research: Solid Earth, 108(B1). Retrieved 2023-02-23, from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2001JB001129 (_eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2001JB001129) doi: 10.1029/2001JB001129</li> <li>Houston, H., Benz, H. M., &amp; Vidale, J. E. (1998). Time functions of deep earth-</li> </ul>
924 925 926 927 928 929 930 931 932 933 934 935 936 937	<ul> <li>Green, H. W., &amp; Houston, H. (1995). The Mechanics of Deep Earthquakes. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences, 23(1), 169–213. Retrieved 2023- 02-20, from https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125 (_eprint: https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125) doi: 10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125</li> <li>Hacker, B. R., Peacock, S. M., Abers, G. A., &amp; Holloway, S. D. (2003). Sub- duction factory 2. Are intermediate-depth earthquakes in subducting slabs linked to metamorphic dehydration reactions? Journal of Geo- physical Research: Solid Earth, 108(B1). Retrieved 2023-02-23, from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2001JB001129 (_eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2001JB001129) doi: 10.1029/2001JB001129</li> <li>Houston, H., Benz, H. M., &amp; Vidale, J. E. (1998). Time functions of deep earth- quakes from broadband and short-period stacks. Journal of Geophysical</li> </ul>
924 925 926 927 928 929 930 931 932 933 934 935 936 937 938	<ul> <li>Green, H. W., &amp; Houston, H. (1995). The Mechanics of Deep Earthquakes. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences, 23(1), 169–213. Retrieved 2023- 02-20, from https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125 (_eprint: https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125) doi: 10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125</li> <li>Hacker, B. R., Peacock, S. M., Abers, G. A., &amp; Holloway, S. D. (2003). Sub- duction factory 2. Are intermediate-depth earthquakes in subducting slabs linked to metamorphic dehydration reactions? Journal of Geo- physical Research: Solid Earth, 108(B1). Retrieved 2023-02-23, from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2001JB001129 (_eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2001JB001129) doi: 10.1029/2001JB001129</li> <li>Houston, H., Benz, H. M., &amp; Vidale, J. E. (1998). Time functions of deep earth- quakes from broadband and short-period stacks. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 103(B12), 29895-29913. Retrieved 2023-02-14,</li> </ul>
924 925 926 927 928 929 930 931 932 933 934 933 934 935 936 937 938 939	<ul> <li>Green, H. W., &amp; Houston, H. (1995). The Mechanics of Deep Earthquakes. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences, 23(1), 169–213. Retrieved 2023- 02-20, from https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125 (_eprint: https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125) doi: 10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125</li> <li>Hacker, B. R., Peacock, S. M., Abers, G. A., &amp; Holloway, S. D. (2003). Sub- duction factory 2. Are intermediate-depth earthquakes in subducting slabs linked to metamorphic dehydration reactions? Journal of Geo- physical Research: Solid Earth, 108(B1). Retrieved 2023-02-23, from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2001JB001129 (_eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2001JB001129) doi: 10.1029/2001JB001129</li> <li>Houston, H., Benz, H. M., &amp; Vidale, J. E. (1998). Time functions of deep earth- quakes from broadband and short-period stacks. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 103(B12), 29895–29913. Retrieved 2023-02-14, from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/98JB02135</li> </ul>
924 925 926 927 928 929 930 931 933 934 933 934 935 936 937 938 939 939	<ul> <li>Green, H. W., &amp; Houston, H. (1995). The Mechanics of Deep Earthquakes. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences, 23(1), 169–213. Retrieved 2023- 02-20, from https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125 (.eprint: https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125) doi: 10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125</li> <li>Hacker, B. R., Peacock, S. M., Abers, G. A., &amp; Holloway, S. D. (2003). Sub- duction factory 2. Are intermediate-depth earthquakes in subducting slabs linked to metamorphic dehydration reactions? Journal of Geo- physical Research: Solid Earth, 108(B1). Retrieved 2023-02-23, from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2001JB001129 (.eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2001JB001129) doi: 10.1029/2001JB001129</li> <li>Houston, H., Benz, H. M., &amp; Vidale, J. E. (1998). Time functions of deep earth- quakes from broadband and short-period stacks. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 103(B12), 29895–29913. Retrieved 2023-02-14, from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/98JB02135 (.eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/98JB02135) doi:</li> </ul>
924 925 926 927 928 929 930 931 931 932 933 934 935 936 937 938 939 939 940 941	<ul> <li>Green, H. W., &amp; Houston, H. (1995). The Mechanics of Deep Earthquakes. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences, 23(1), 169–213. Retrieved 2023- 02-20, from https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125 (_eprint: https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125) doi: 10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125</li> <li>Hacker, B. R., Peacock, S. M., Abers, G. A., &amp; Holloway, S. D. (2003). Sub- duction factory 2. Are intermediate-depth earthquakes in subducting slabs linked to metamorphic dehydration reactions? Journal of Geo- physical Research: Solid Earth, 108(B1). Retrieved 2023-02-23, from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2001JB001129 (_eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2001JB001129) doi: 10.1029/2001JB001129</li> <li>Houston, H., Benz, H. M., &amp; Vidale, J. E. (1998). Time functions of deep earth- quakes from broadband and short-period stacks. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 103(B12), 29895–29913. Retrieved 2023-02-14, from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/98JB02135 (_eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/98JB02135) doi: 10.1029/98JB02135</li> </ul>
924 925 926 927 928 929 930 931 931 932 933 934 935 936 937 938 939 940 941	<ul> <li>Green, H. W., &amp; Houston, H. (1995). The Mechanics of Deep Earthquakes. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences, 23(1), 169–213. Retrieved 2023- 02-20, from https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125 (_eprint: https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125) doi: 10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125</li> <li>Hacker, B. R., Peacock, S. M., Abers, G. A., &amp; Holloway, S. D. (2003). Sub- duction factory 2. Are intermediate-depth earthquakes in subducting slabs linked to metamorphic dehydration reactions? Journal of Geo- physical Research: Solid Earth, 108(B1). Retrieved 2023-02-23, from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2001JB001129 (_eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2001JB001129) doi: 10.1029/2001JB001129</li> <li>Houston, H., Benz, H. M., &amp; Vidale, J. E. (1998). Time functions of deep earth- quakes from broadband and short-period stacks. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 103(B12), 29895–29913. Retrieved 2023-02-14, from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/98JB02135 (_eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/98JB02135</li> <li>fueprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/98JB02135</li> <li>fueprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/98JB02135</li> </ul>
924 925 926 927 928 929 930 931 932 933 934 935 936 935 936 937 938 939 940 941 941 942	<ul> <li>Green, H. W., &amp; Houston, H. (1995). The Mechanics of Deep Earthquakes. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences, 23(1), 169–213. Retrieved 2023- 02-20, from https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125 (_eprint: https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125) doi: 10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125</li> <li>Hacker, B. R., Peacock, S. M., Abers, G. A., &amp; Holloway, S. D. (2003). Sub- duction factory 2. Are intermediate-depth earthquakes in subducting slabs linked to metamorphic dehydration reactions? Journal of Geo- physical Research: Solid Earth, 108(B1). Retrieved 2023-02-23, from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2001JB001129 (_eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2001JB001129) doi: 10.1029/2001JB001129</li> <li>Houston, H., Benz, H. M., &amp; Vidale, J. E. (1998). Time functions of deep earth- quakes from broadband and short-period stacks. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 103(B12), 29895-29913. Retrieved 2023-02-14, from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/98JB02135 (_eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/98JB02135) doi: 10.1029/98JB02135</li> <li>Ide, S., &amp; Beroza, G. C. (2001, September). Does apparent stress vary with earth- quake size? Geophysical Research Letters, 28(17), 3349-3352. Retrieved 2022-</li> </ul>
924 925 926 927 928 929 930 931 932 933 934 935 936 935 936 937 938 939 940 941 941	<ul> <li>Green, H. W., &amp; Houston, H. (1995). The Mechanics of Deep Earthquakes. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences, 23(1), 169–213. Retrieved 2023- 02-20, from https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125 (_eprint: https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125) doi: 10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125</li> <li>Hacker, B. R., Peacock, S. M., Abers, G. A., &amp; Holloway, S. D. (2003). Sub- duction factory 2. Are intermediate-depth earthquakes in subducting slabs linked to metamorphic dehydration reactions? Journal of Geo- physical Research: Solid Earth, 108(B1). Retrieved 2023-02-23, from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2001JB001129 (_eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2001JB001129) doi: 10.1029/2001JB001129</li> <li>Houston, H., Benz, H. M., &amp; Vidale, J. E. (1998). Time functions of deep earth- quakes from broadband and short-period stacks. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 103(B12), 29895-29913. Retrieved 2023-02-14, from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/98JB02135 (_eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/98JB02135) doi: 10.1029/98JB02135</li> <li>Ide, S., &amp; Beroza, G. C. (2001, September). Does apparent stress vary with earth- quake size? Geophysical Research Letters, 28(17), 3349-3352. Retrieved 2022- 08-31, from http://doi.wiley.com/10.1029/2001GL013106 doi: 10.1029/</li> </ul>
924 925 926 927 928 929 930 931 932 933 934 935 936 936 937 938 939 940 941 942 942 943 944	<ul> <li>Green, H. W., &amp; Houston, H. (1995). The Mechanics of Deep Earthquakes. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences, 23(1), 169–213. Retrieved 2023- 02-20, from https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125 (.eprint: https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125) doi: 10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125</li> <li>Hacker, B. R., Peacock, S. M., Abers, G. A., &amp; Holloway, S. D. (2003). Sub- duction factory 2. Are intermediate-depth earthquakes in subducting slabs linked to metamorphic dehydration reactions? Journal of Geo- physical Research: Solid Earth, 108(B1). Retrieved 2023-02-23, from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2001JB001129 (.eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2001JB001129) doi: 10.1029/2001JB001129</li> <li>Houston, H., Benz, H. M., &amp; Vidale, J. E. (1998). Time functions of deep earth- quakes from broadband and short-period stacks. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 103(B12), 29895-29913. Retrieved 2023-02-14, from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/98JB02135 (.eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/98JB02135) doi: 10.1029/98JB02135</li> <li>Ide, S., &amp; Beroza, G. C. (2001, September). Does apparent stress vary with earth- quake size? Geophysical Research Letters, 28(17), 3349–3352. Retrieved 2022- 08-31, from http://doi.wiley.com/10.1029/2001GL013106 doi: 10.1029/ 2001GL013106</li> </ul>
924 925 926 927 928 929 930 931 931 932 933 934 935 936 937 938 939 940 941 942 943 944 945	<ul> <li>Green, H. W., &amp; Houston, H. (1995). The Mechanics of Deep Earthquakes. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences, 23(1), 169–213. Retrieved 2023- 02-20, from https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125 (.eprint: https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125) doi: 10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125</li> <li>Hacker, B. R., Peacock, S. M., Abers, G. A., &amp; Holloway, S. D. (2003). Sub- duction factory 2. Are intermediate-depth earthquakes in subducting slabs linked to metamorphic dehydration reactions? Journal of Geo- physical Research: Solid Earth, 108(B1). Retrieved 2023-02-23, from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2001JB001129 (.eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2001JB001129) doi: 10.1029/2001JB001129</li> <li>Houston, H., Benz, H. M., &amp; Vidale, J. E. (1998). Time functions of deep earth- quakes from broadband and short-period stacks. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 103(B12), 29895-29913. Retrieved 2023-02-14, from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/98JB02135 (.eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/98JB02135) doi: 10.1029/98JB02135</li> <li>Ide, S., &amp; Beroza, G. C. (2001, September). Does apparent stress vary with earth- quake size? Geophysical Research Letters, 28(17), 3349–3352. Retrieved 2022- 08-31, from http://doi.wiley.com/10.1029/2001GL013106 doi: 10.1029/ 2001GL013106</li> <li>Ihmlé, P. F. (1998). On the interpretation of subevents in teleseismic wave-</li> </ul>

948	cal Research: Solid Earth, 103(B8), 17919–17932. Retrieved 2023-05-31,
949	<pre>from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/98JB00603</pre>
950	(_eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/98JB00603) doi:
951	10.1029/98JB00603
952	International Seismological Centre, I. (2022). ISC-GEM Earthquake Catalogue. ISC
953	Bulletin. doi: https://doi.org/10.31905/d808b825
954	Kanamori, H. (2004, February). Static and Dynamic Scaling Relations for Earth-
955	quakes and Their Implications for Rupture Speed and Stress Drop. Bulletin of
956	the Seismological Society of America, 94(1), 314–319. Retrieved 2023-06-23,
957	from https://pubs.geoscienceworld.org/bssa/article/94/1/314-319/
958	103081 doi: 10.1785/0120030159
959	Kanamori, H., & Brodsky, E. E. (2004, August). The physics of earthquakes. <i>Re-</i>
960	ports on Progress in Physics, 67(8), 1429–1496. Retrieved 2023-02-16, from
961	https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/0034-4885/67/8/R03 doi:
962	10.1088/0034-4885/67/8/R03
963	Kanamori, H., & Rivera, L. (2006). Energy partitioning during an earthquake. In
964	Geophysical Monograph Series (Vol. 170, pp. 3–13). Washington, D. C.: Amer-
965	ican Geophysical Union. Retrieved 2023-05-29. from https://onlinelibrary
966	.wilev.com/doi/10.1029/170GM03 doi: 10.1029/170GM03
067	Kennet B L N (1991) Jaspei 1991 Seismological Tables Terra Nova
968	3(2) 122–122 Retrieved 2023-03-12 from https://onlinelibrary
969	.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/i.1365-3121.1991.tb00863.x (eprint:
970	https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1111/i.1365-3121.1991.tb00863.x)
971	doi: 10.1111/i.1365-3121.1991.tb00863.x
072	Kennett B L N Engdahl E B $\&$ Buland B (1995 July) Constraints on
972	seismic velocities in the Earth from traveltimes Geophysical Journal Inter-
973	national 122(1) 108–124 Retrieved 2023-03-15 from https://doi.org/
075	10.1111/i.1365-246X.1995.tb03540.x doi: $10.1111/i.1365-246X.1995$
976	tb03540 x
077	Kikuchi M & Fukao V (1987 December) Inversion of long-period P-waves
977	from great earthquakes along subduction zones Tectononbusics $1//(1)$ 231–
970	247 Betrieved 2023-05-31 from https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/
980	article/pii/0040195187900205_doi: 10.1016/0040-1951(87)90020-5
0.021	Kirby S H Stein S Okal E A & Bubie D C (1996) Metastable mantle
901	phase transformations and deep earthquakes in subducting oceanic litho-
983	sphere. <i>Reviews of Geophysics</i> , 34(2), 261–306. Retrieved 2023-02-20.
984	from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/96BG01050
985	(eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/96RG01050) doi:
986	10.1029/96BG01050
0.97	Knopoff L & Bandall M L $(1970)$ The compensated linear-vector dipole:
907	A possible mechanism for deep earthquakes Journal of Geonhusical
989	Research (1896-1977), 75(26), 4957–4963. Retrieved 2023-01-31, from
990	https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/JB075i026p04957
991	(eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/JB075i026p04957)
002	doi: 10.1029/JB075i026p04957
002	Lambert V Lapusta N & Perry S (2021 March) Propagation of large
995	earthquakes as self-healing pulses or mild cracks Nature 591(7849) 252–
005	258 Betrieved 2023-05-15 from https://www.nature.com/articles/
996	s41586-021-03248-1 (Number: 7849 Publisher: Nature Publishing Group)
997	doi: 10.1038/s41586-021-03248-1
009	Li J. Zheng Y. Thomsen L. Lapen T. J. & Fang X. (2018 Sentember)
900	Deep earthquakes in subducting slabs hosted in highly anisotropic rock
399 1000	fabric Nature Geoscience 11(9) 696–700 Retrieved 2022-03-15 from
1001	https://www.nature.com/articles/s41561-018-0188-3 (Number 0
1001	Publisher: Nature Publishing Group) doi: 10.1038/s41561_018_0188_3
1002	r astisher. Manure r astishing Group/ ast. 10.1030/541301-010-0100-3

1003	Luo, H., Zeng, H., Shi, Q., Wang, T., Liao, M., Hu, J., & Wei, S. (2023, Jan-
1004	uary). Could thermal pressurization have induced the frequency-dependent
1005	rupture during the 2019 Mw8.0 Peru intermediate-depth earthquake? Geo-
1006	physical Journal International, 232(1), 115–127. Retrieved 2023-01-31, from
1007	https://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggac329
1008	Madariaga, R. (1976, June). Dynamics of an expanding circular fault. Bulletin of the
1009	Seismological Society of America, 66(3), 639–666. Retrieved 2023-02-15, from
1010	https://doi.org/10.1785/BSSA0660030639 doi: 10.1785/BSSA0660030639
1011	Marguin, V., & Simpson, G. (2023). Influence of Fluids on Earthquakes
1012	Based on Numerical Modeling. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid
1013	Earth, 128(2), e2022JB025132. Retrieved 2023-06-02, from https://
1014	onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2022JB025132 (_eprint:
1015	https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2022JB025132) doi:
1016	10.1029/2022JB025132
1017	Mirwald, A., Cruz-Atienza, V. M., Díaz-Mojica, J., Iglesias, A., Singh, S. K., Villa-
1018	fuerte, C., & Tago, J. (2019). The 19 September 2017 (Mw7.1) Intermediate-
1019	Depth Mexican Earthquake: A Slow and Energetically Inefficient Deadly
1020	Shock. Geophysical Research Letters, 46(4), 2054–2064. Retrieved 2023-06-23,
1021	from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2018GL080904
1022	(_eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2018GL080904) doi:
1023	10.1029/2018GL080904
1024	Montagner, JP., & Kennett, B. L. N. (1996, April). How to reconcile body-wave
1025	and normal-mode reference earth models. <i>Geophysical Journal International</i> ,
1026	125(1), 229–248. Retrieved 2023-03-15, from https://doi.org/10.1111/
1027	j.1365-246X.1996.tb06548.x doi: 10.1111/j.1365-246X.1996.tb06548.x
1028	Mousavi, S. M., & Langston, C. A. (2017, May). Automatic noise-removal/signal-
1029	removal based on general cross-validation thresholding in synchrosqueezed do-
1030	main and its application on earthquake data. <i>Geophysics</i> , 82(4), V211–V227.
1031	Retrieved 2023-03-09, from https://doi.org/10.1190/geo2016-0433.1 doi:
1032	10.1190/geo2016-0433.1
1033	Noda, H., & Lapusta, N. (2013, January). Stable creeping fault segments can
1034	become destructive as a result of dynamic weakening. <i>Nature</i> , 493(7433),
1035	518-521. Retrieved 2023-05-31, from https://www.nature.com/articles/
1036	nature11703 (Number: 7433 Publisher: Nature Publishing Group) doi:
1037	10.1038/nature11703
1038	Novoselov, A., Balazs, P., & Bokelmann, G. (2022, March). SEDENOSS: SEparating
1039	and DENOising Seismic Signals With Dual-Path Recurrent Neural Network
1040	Architecture. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 127(3). Re-
1041	trieved 2022-08-11, from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1029/
1042	2021JB023183 doi: 10.1029/2021JB023183
1043	Oth, A., Parolai, S., Bindi, D., & Wenzel, F. (2009, February). Source Spectra
1044	and Site Response from S Waves of Intermediate-Depth Vrancea, Romania,
1045	Earthquakes. Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America, 99(1), 235–254.
1046	Retrieved 2023-05-18, from https://doi.org/10.1785/0120080059 doi:
1047	10.1785/0120080059
1048	Park, S., Avouac, JP., Zhan, Z., & Gualandi, A. (2023, February). Weak upper-
1049	mantle base revealed by postseismic deformation of a deep earthquake. <i>Nature</i> .
1050	1-6. Retrieved 2023-03-01, from https://www.nature.com/articles/s41586
1051	-022-05689-8 (Publisher: Nature Publishing Group) doi: 10.1038/s41586-022
1052	-05689-8
1053	Park, S., & Ishii, M. (2015, November). Inversion for runture properties based
1054	upon 3-D directivity effect and application to deep earthquakes in the Sea
1055	of Okhotsk region. <i>Geophysical Journal International.</i> 203(2). 1011–1025.
1056	Retrieved 2023-02-03, from https://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggv352 doi:
1057	10.1093/gji/ggv352
	100100

1058 1059	Pearson, D. G., Brenker, F. E., Nestola, F., McNeill, J., Nasdala, L., Hutchison, M. T., Vincze, L. (2014, March). Hydrous mantle transition zone in-
1060	dicated by ringwoodite included within diamond. Nature, 507(7491), 221–
1061	224. Retrieved 2023-02-23, from https://www.nature.com/articles/
1062	nature13080 (Number: 7491 Publisher: Nature Publishing Group) doi:
1063	10.1038/nature13080
1064	Plümper, O., John, T., Podladchikov, Y. Y., Vrijmoed, J. C., & Scambelluri, M.
1065	(2017, February). Fluid escape from subduction zones controlled by channel-
1066	forming reactive porosity. Nature Geoscience, $10(2)$ , $150-156$ . Retrieved
1067	2023-02-23, from https://www.nature.com/articles/ngeo2865 (Number: 2
1068	Publisher: Nature Publishing Group) doi: 10.1038/ngeo2865
1069	Poli, P., & Prieto, G. (2014, December). Global and along-strike variations of source
1070	duration and scaling for intermediate-depth and deep-focus earthquakes. Geo-
1071	physical Research Letters, 41(23), 8315–8324. Retrieved 2022-08-09, from
1072	https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/2014GL061916 doi:
1073	10.1002/2014GL061916
1074	Poli, P., & Prieto, G. A. (2016, December). Global rupture parameters for
1075	deep and intermediate-depth earthquakes. Journal of Geophysical Re-
1076	search: Solid Earth, 121(12), 8871–8887. Retrieved 2022-07-26, from
1077	https://onlinelibrarv.wilev.com/doi/10.1002/2016JB013521 doi:
1078	10.1002/2016JB013521
1079	Prieto G A (2022 May) The <i>Multitaner</i> Spectrum Analysis Package in Python
1080	Seismological Research Letters 93(3) 1922–1929 Retrieved 2022-08-22
1081	from https://pubs.geoscienceworld.org/srl/article/93/3/1922/
1082	612834/The-Multitaper-Spectrum-Analysis-Package-in-Python doi:
1083	10 1785/0220210332
1003	Prieto C A Florez M Barrett S A Beroza C C Pedraza P Blanco
1084	I F & Poveda E (2013) Seismic evidence for thermal runaway
1005	during intermediate-depth earthquake runture <i>Geophysical Research</i>
1000	Letters $10(23)$ 6064-6068 Betrieved 2022-10-11 from https://
1007	(enrint)
1000	https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/2013GL058109) doi:
1009	10 1002/2013GL058109
1000	Prieto C A Froment B Vu C Poli P & Abercrombie B (2017 March)
1091	Earthquake runture below the brittle-ductile transition in continental litho-
1092	spheric mantle Science Advances 3(3) e1602642 Betrieved 2023-06-
1095	$21 \text{ from https://www.science.org/doi/full/10_1126/sciedy_1602642}$
1094	(Publisher: American Association for the Advancement of Science) doi:
1095	10 1126/sciady 1602642
1090	Prieto C A Parker B L & Vernon III F L $(2000 \text{ August})$ A Fortran $00$
1097	library for multitaner spectrum analysis Computers & Consciences 25(8)
1090	1701-1710 Retrieved 2023-05-01 from https://www.sciencedirect.com/
1099	science/article/nii/S0098300409000077 doi: 10.1016/j.cageo.2008.06
1100	007
1101	Padulian M. & Papa M. (1006 August). Scaling of source parameters for Vrances
1102	(Romania) intermediate depth earthquakes — Testenenheisies 961(1) 67.81
1103	Retrieved 2023 05 18 from https://www.scioncodirect.com/scionce/
1104	article/pii//00/0195196000571_doi: 10.1016/00/0.1051/06/00057.1
1105	Disc. I. D. (2006) Heating and machine of faults during conthemate align. Low
1106	nice, J. R. (2000). nearing and weakening of faults during earthquake slip. Jour-
1107	from https://onlinelibrory.uiler.com/doi/ohc/10.1000/0005 JD004000
1108	non nucps://onineitorary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2005JB004006
1109	(_eprint: https://oninenorary.wney.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2000JB004000) doi: 10/1020/2005 IB004006
1110	$\begin{array}{c} 10.1023/20000 \\ \text{Schwandt D. Lacobean C. D. Decken T. W. Lin 7. & Ducken V. C. (2014) \\ \end{array}$
1111	Juna) Debudention molting at the tag of the larger weight $G$
1112	June). Denymation menting at the top of the lower manue. Science,

1112	3//(6189) 1265-1268 Retrieved 2023-02-23 from https://www.science
1113	org/doi/full/10.1126/science.1253358 (Publisher: American Associa-
1115	tion for the Advancement of Science) doi: 10.1126/science.1253358
1116	Shi Q (2023 April) TeleseismicDenoiser: DenoTe Zenodo Betrieved 2023-06-01
1117	from https://zenodo.org/record/7807794 doi: 10.5281/zenodo.7807794
1118	Shi, Q., & Wei, S. (2020). Highly Heterogeneous Pore Fluid Pressure Enabled
1110	Rupture of Orthogonal Faults During the 2019 Ridgecrest Mw7.0 Earthquake.
1120	Geophysical Research Letters, 47(20), e2020GL089827. Retrieved 2023-05-31.
1121	from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2020GL089827
1122	(_eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2020GL089827) doi:
1123	10.1029/2020GL089827
1124	Singh, S. K., Pacheco, J. F., Bansal, B. K., Pérez-Campos, X., Dattatravam,
1125	R. S., & Suresh, G. (2004, August). A Source Study of the Bhuj, In-
1126	dia. Earthquake of 26 January 2001 (Mw 7.6). Bulletin of the Seismo-
1127	logical Society of America, 94(4), 1195–1206. Retrieved 2023-06-01, from
1128	https://doi.org/10.1785/012003212 doi: 10.1785/012003212
1129	Sobolev, A. V., Asafov, E. V., Gurenko, A. A., Arndt, N. T., Batanova, V. G., Port-
1130	nyagin, M. V., Byerly, G. R. (2019, July). Deep hydrous mantle reservoir
1131	provides evidence for crustal recycling before 3.3 billion years ago. Nature,
1132	571(7766), 555-559. Retrieved 2023-02-23, from https://www.nature.com/
1133	articles/s41586-019-1399-5 (Number: 7766 Publisher: Nature Publishing
1134	Group) doi: 10.1038/s41586-019-1399-5
1135	Steblov, G. M., Ekström, G., Kogan, M. G., Freymueller, J. T., Titkov, N. N.,
1136	Vasilenko, N. F., Kondratyev, M. N. (2014). First geodetic observa-
1137	tions of a deep earthquake: The 2013 Sea of Okhotsk Mw 8.3, 611 km-deep,
1138	event. Geophysical Research Letters, 41(11), 3826–3832. Retrieved 2023-01-31,
1139	from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/2014GL060003
1140	(_eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/2014GL060003) doi:
1141	10.1002/2014 GL060003
1142	Stockwell, R., Mansinha, L., & Lowe, R. (1996, April). Localization of the complex
1143	spectrum: the S transform. $IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing, 44(4),$
1144	998–1001. (Conference Name: IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing) doi:
1145	10.1109/78.492555
1146	Thomson, D. (1982, September). Spectrum estimation and harmonic analysis. Pro-
1147	ceedings of the IEEE, $70(9)$ , 1055–1096. (Conference Name: Proceedings of
1148	the IEEE) doi: $10.1109/PROC.1982.12433$
1149	Tibi, R., Bock, G., & Wiens, D. A. (2003). Source characteristics of large deep
1150	earthquakes: Constraint on the faulting mechanism at great depths. Jour-
1151	nal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 108(B2). Retrieved 2023-06-01,
1152	from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2002JB001948
1153	(_eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2002JB001948) doi:
1154	10.1029/2002JB001948
1155	Tsai, V. C., Nettles, M., Ekström, G., & Dziewonski, A. M. (2005). Mul-
1156	tiple CMT source analysis of the 2004 Sumatra earthquake. Geophys-
1157	ical Research Letters, 32(17). Retrieved 2023-05-31, from https://
1158	onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2005GL023813 (_eprint:
1159	10 1020 / 2005 CT 022813 doi/ doi/ pdi/ 10.1029/ 2005 GL023813) doi:
1160	Takeunan O Huang & Chambeng E Distance In V. D. Ma C. D
1161	с Р. Toit K. (2019 March) Lee VII inclusions in diamonda. E-id-
1162	G. R., Ialt, K. (2016, March). ICC-VII inclusions in diamonds: Evidence for acuoous fluid in Earth's doop months
1163	Betrieved 2023 02 23 from https://www.geience.org/doi/full/10_1126/
1165	science 2020-02-20, 110111100ps.//www.science.org/doi/1011/10.1120/
1165	Science) doi: 10.1126/science aao3030
1100	Turner & R. Ferreira & M. C. Borbellini A. Brantut N. Facconda M.
110/	rumer, n. n., remena, n. w. G., Derbemm, A., Dranbub, N., rattenda, W.,

1168	& Kendall, E. (2022). Across-Slab Propagation and Low Stress Drops
1169	of Deep Earthquakes in the Kuril Subduction Zone. <i>Geophysical Re-</i>
1170	search Letters, 49(16), e2022GL098402. Retrieved 2023-05-01, from
1171	https://onlinelibrarv.wilev.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2022GL098402
1172	(_eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2022GL098402)
1173	doi: 10.1029/2022GL098402
1174	Vallée M (2013 October) Source time function properties indicate a strain
1174	drop independent of earthquake depth and magnitude Nature Communi-
1175	cations $l(1)$ 2606 Betrieved 2023-03-15 from https://www.neture.com/
1170	articles/ncomme3606 (Number: 1 Publisher: Nature Publishing Group) doi:
1170	10 1038/ncomms3606
1170	Vonkataraman $\Lambda_{k}$ k Kanamori H (2004) Observational constraints on
1179	the fracture energy of subduction zone earthquakes
1180	nhusical Pascarah: Solid Farth 100(P5) Potrioved 2023 05 01 from
1181	https://onlinelibrary.viloy.com/doi/oba/10_1020/2002 IP002540
1182	( oprint: https://onlinelibrowy.viley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/200306002549
1183	(-epinit: nttps://oninenbiary.wney.com/doi/pdi/10.1029/200505002049)
1184	$U: D \subseteq U \subseteq$
1185	viesca, R. C., & Garagash, D. I. (2015, November). Ubiquitous weakening of faults
1186	due to thermal pressurization. Nature Geoscience, $\delta(11)$ , $\delta 75-\delta 79$ . Retrieved
1187	2022-12-04, from https://www.nature.com/articles/ngeo2554 (Number:
1188	11 Publisher: Nature Publishing Group) doi: 10.1038/ngeo2554
1189	Warren, L. M., & Shearer, P. M. (2006, January). Systematic determination of
1190	earthquake rupture directivity and fault planes from analysis of long-period
1191	P-wave spectra. Geophysical Journal International, $164(1)$ , $46-62$ . Retrieved
1192	2023-06-22, from https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246X.2005.02769.x
1193	doi: 10.1111/j.1365-246X.2005.02769.x
1194	Wei, S., Helmberger, D., Zhan, Z., & Graves, R. (2013). Rupture complexity of the
1195	Mw 8.3 sea of okhotsk earthquake: Rapid triggering of complementary earth-
1196	quakes? Geophysical Research Letters, $40(19)$ , 5034–5039. Retrieved 2023-05-
1197	31, from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/grl.50977
1198	(_eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/grl.50977) doi:
1199	$10.1002/{ m grl}.50977$
1200	Wiens, D. A. (2001, December). Seismological constraints on the mechanism of deep
1201	earthquakes: temperature dependence of deep earthquake source properties.
1202	<i>Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors</i> , 127(1), 145–163. Retrieved
1203	2023-06-01, from https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/
1204	S0031920101002254 doi: 10.1016/S0031-9201(01)00225-4
1205	Yamasaki, T., & Seno, T. (2003). Double seismic zone and dehydration
1206	embrittlement of the subducting slab. Journal of Geophysical Re-
1207	search: Solid Earth, 108(B4). Retrieved 2023-02-23, from https://
1208	onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2002JB001918 (_eprint:
1209	https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2002JB001918) doi:
1210	10.1029/2002JB001918
1211	Ye L Lav T & Kanamori H (2020 November) Anomalously low aftershock
1212	productivity of the 2019 MW 8.0 energetic intermediate-depth faulting be-
1212	neath Peru Earth and Planetary Science Letters 5/9 116528 Retrieved
1213	2023-05-18 from https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/
1214	S0012821X20304726 doi: 10.1016/i.epsl.2020.116528
1215	Vo I Law T Kanamori H Zhan Z & Duputol Z (2016 Juno) Divorso rup
1216	ture processes in the 2015 Dorn doop on the unker doublet Science Advances
1217	2(6) a1600581 Batriaved 2023 01 31 from https://www.acience.arg/doi/
1218	full/10 1126/aciedy 1600E91 (Dublishow Amorican Accounting for the
1219	Advancement of Science) doi: 10.1126/sciendy.1600581
1220	Via I Danello M A (* He D (2022 December) A $\cdots$ 14:4-1- $\cdots$ 1 1
1221	I III, J., Denone, M. A., & He, B. (2022, December). A multitask encoder-decoder
1222	to separate eartinguake and ambient noise signal in seismograms. Geophys-

1223	ical Journal International, 231(3), 1806–1822. Retrieved 2022-09-25, from
1224	https://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggac290 doi: 10.1093/gji/ggac290
1225	Yin, J., Li, Z., & Denolle, M. A. (2021, March). Source Time Function Clustering
1226	Reveals Patterns in Earthquake Dynamics. Seismological Research Letters,
1227	92(4), 2343-2353. Retrieved 2023-05-31, from https://doi.org/10.1785/
1228	0220200403 doi: 10.1785/0220200403
1229	Zhan, Z. (2020). Mechanisms and Implications of Deep Earthquakes. Annual Re-
1230	view of Earth and Planetary Sciences, $48(1)$ , 147–174. Retrieved 2022-10-04,
1231	from https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-earth-053018-060314 (_eprint:
1232	https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-earth-053018-060314) doi: 10.1146/annurev
1233	-earth-053018-060314
1234	Zhan, Z., Helmberger, D. V., Kanamori, H., & Shearer, P. M. (2014, July).
1235	Supershear rupture in a Mw $6.7$ after shock of the 2013 Sea of Okhotsk
1236	earthquake. Science, $345(6193)$ , 204–207. Retrieved 2023-06-22, from
1237	https://www.science.org/doi/full/10.1126/science.1252717 (Pub-
1238	lisher: American Association for the Advancement of Science) doi: $10.1126/$
1239	science.1252717
1240	Zhan, Z., Kanamori, H., Tsai, V. C., Helmberger, D. V., & Wei, S. (2014, Jan-
1241	uary). Rupture complexity of the 1994 Bolivia and 2013 Sea of Okhotsk deep
1242	earthquakes. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 385, 89–96. Retrieved
1243	2023-06-22, from https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/
1244	S0012821X13005979 doi: 10.1016/j.epsl.2013.10.028
1245	Zhang, R. (2019, June). Making Convolutional Networks Shift-Invariant Again.
1246	arXiv. Retrieved 2023-02-15, from http://arxiv.org/abs/1904.11486
1247	(arXiv:1904.11486 [cs]) doi: 10.48550/arXiv.1904.11486
1248	Zhu, W., Mousavi, S. M., & Beroza, G. C. (2019, November). Seismic Signal
1249	Denoising and Decomposition Using Deep Neural Networks. IEEE Irans-
1250	actions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, 57(11), 9476–9488. Retrieved
1251	2022-08-11, from https://leeexplore.leee.org/document/88022/8/ doi:
1252	10.1109/1 GR 5.2019.2920772
1253	tion to improve generalization of deep neural networks
1254	Combusing (Vol. 61, pp. $151-177$ ) Elsovier Botrioved 2022 06 15 from
1255	https://linkinghub_elsevier_com/retrieve/nii/S0065268720300030
1250	doi: 10.1016/bs.amb.2020.07.003
1257	Zollo A Orefice A & Convertito V (2014) Source parameter scal-
1258	ing and radiation efficiency of microearthquakes along the Irpinia fault
1259	zone in southern Apennines Italy Iournal of Geophysical Research
1200	Solid Earth 119(4) 3256–3275 Retrieved 2023-06-01 from https://
1262	onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/2013.IB010116 (eprint:
1263	https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10/1002/2013.IB010116)
1264	10.1002/2013JB010116

# Improved observations of deep earthquake ruptures using machine learning

# Qibin $Shi^1$ and Marine A. Denolle<sup>1</sup>

 $^1\mathrm{Department}$  of Earth and Space Sciences, University of Washington

## 5 Key Points:

1

2

3

4

6	•	A neural network is used to double the number of earthquakes studied by improv-
7		ing the data quality.
8	•	Denoising teleseismic waves improves the source signature in Mw5-6 events and
9		reduces uncertainties
10	•	Large deep earthquake ruptures are dissipative and compact

Corresponding author: Qibin Shi, qibins@uw.edu

#### 11 Abstract

Elevated seismic noise for moderate-size earthquakes recorded at teleseismic distances 12 has limited our ability to see their complexity. We develop a machine-learning-based al-13 gorithm to separate noise and earthquake signals that overlap in frequency. The multi-14 task encoder-decoder model is built around a kernel pre-trained on local (e.g., short dis-15 tances) earthquake data (Yin et al., 2022) and is modified by continued learning with 16 high-quality teleseismic data. We denoise teleseismic P waves of deep Mw5.0+ earth-17 quakes and use the clean P waves to estimate source characteristics with reduced uncer-18 tainties of these understudied earthquakes. We find a scaling of moment and duration 19 to be  $M_0 \simeq \tau^{4.16}$ , and a resulting strong scaling of stress drop and radiated energy with magnitude ( $\sigma \simeq M_0^{0.2}$  and  $E_R \simeq M_0^{1.23}$ ). The median radiation efficiency is 5%, a low 20 21 value compared to shallow earthquakes. Overall, we show that deep earthquakes have 22 weak rupture directivity and few subevents, suggesting a simple model of a circular crack 23 with radial rupture propagation is appropriate. When accounting for their respective scal-24 ing with earthquake size, we find no systematic depth variations of duration, stress drop, 25 or radiated energy within the 100-700 km depth range. Our study supports the findings 26 27 of Poli and Prieto (2016) with a doubled amount of earthquakes investigated and with earthquakes of lower magnitudes. 28

<sup>29</sup> Plain Language Summary

The vibration of the Earth's ground recorded at seismometers carries the seismic 30 signatures of distant earthquakes superimposed to the Earth's natural or anthropogenic 31 noise surrounding the seismic station. We use artificial intelligence technology to sep-32 arate the weak signals of distant earthquakes from other sources of ground vibrations 33 that are not related to the earthquakes. The separated signal provides new insights into 34 earthquakes, especially those within the Earth's deep interior, most of which have not 35 been investigated due to noise levels. In contrast with shallow earthquakes, deep earth-36 quakes are less efficient at radiating energy, though their stress drop and radiated en-37 ergy are abnormally larger the bigger they are. This may suggest that deep earthquakes 38 tend to be more confined fault surfaces. A dual mechanism between nucleation in the 39 subduction-zone core and propagation of larger events in the dry mantle explains our 40 observations. 41

#### 42 **1** Introduction

Deep earthquakes are understudied because they tend not to generate shaking-induced 43 damage, only rarely generate surface displacement (Steblov et al., 2014; Luo et al., 2023; 44 Park et al., 2023), and their extreme remoteness yields poor seismic signals on surface 45 sensors. They occur in the deep portion of subducted oceanic lithosphere. The mech-46 anisms that lead to the unstable seismic slip of deep earthquakes are still debated (Zhan, 47 2020). Indeed, the rheology of Earth materials does not favor brittle failure below about 48 70 km, thus requiring mechanisms different from shallow earthquakes. A minimum of 49 seismicity is reached at a depth of about 300 km (Frohlich, 1989; Green & Houston, 1995; 50 Kirby et al., 1996; Zhan, 2020), indicating different mechanisms operate the intermedi-51 ate (above 300 km) and deep-focus earthquakes (below 300 km). Previous studies have 52 revealed fairly complicated characteristics of the deep earthquakes (Ye et al., 2016; Knopoff 53 & Randall, 1970). The focal mechanisms of deep earthquakes usually show non-double-54 couple components (Knopoff & Randall, 1970), implying more complex rupture processes 55 than simple shear dislocation on faults with uniform fault geometries. The non-double-56 couple moment tensor could also be partially attributed to the anisotropic features of 57 the slab rock fabric (Li et al., 2018). Deep earthquakes' stress drops are larger than shal-58 low earthquakes, mostly due to the increased rigidity (Vallée, 2013). Multiple investi-59 gations found a strong magnitude dependence of the stress drop, which may be inter-60
preted as dynamic weakening mechanisms (Radulian & Popa, 1996; Oth et al., 2009; Pri eto et al., 2013; Poli & Prieto, 2016). Deep earthquakes follow Gutenberg-Richter law (B.
 Gutenberg & C. F. Richter, 1949) but have depleted aftershock productivity compared

to shallow earthquakes (Dascher-Cousineau et al., 2020; Ye et al., 2020).

The presence of deep earthquakes within the subducted slab provides an interest-65 ing window to explore the physical processes of subduction. (Zhan, 2020) reviewed the 66 three leading mechanisms that favor dynamic rupture of deep earthquakes: i) mineral 67 dehydration from metamorphosis processes that release fluids and lubricate faults (i.e., 68 69 dehydration embrittlement), ii) phase transformation that changes mineral density and volume, and iii) thermal runaway that lowers fault friction from shear heating. The flu-70 ids released by mineral dehydration are thought to explain the double-seismic zone (DSZ) 71 (Brudzinski et al., 2007; Hacker et al., 2003; Yamasaki & Seno, 2003; Abers et al., 2013). 72 Whether the released water can penetrate the slab core (Green & Houston, 1995; Boneh 73 et al., 2019) and be transported deeper in the mantle is still under debate (Plümper et 74 al., 2017; Pearson et al., 2014; Schmandt et al., 2014; Tschauner et al., 2018; Sobolev et 75 al., 2019). 76

Teleseismic observations of deep earthquakes are the most common data available 77 to study these earthquakes. Because small events are more frequent than large earth-78 quakes, moderate-size earthquakes (Mw5-6) could provide crucial constraints on the rup-79 ture mechanisms of deep earthquakes. However, elevated seismic noise has limited our 80 ability to investigate the dynamics of moderate-size earthquakes (Mw5-6) from teleseis-81 mic distances. The source analyses of deep earthquakes have been conducted with only 82 the high signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) data of Mw5.8+ earthquakes (Poli & Prieto, 2014, 83 2016), leaving a vast number of moderate-magnitude earthquakes ignored given then with 84 lower SNR waveforms. Furthermore, SNR-based data selection of teleseismic P waves 85 may result in azimuthal biases with azimuths and take-off angles due to the radiation 86 pattern. 87

The superposition of seismic noise and signal at overlapping frequencies poses chal-88 lenges to the traditional Fourier-based noise removal approaches (Douglas, 1997). Other 89 time-frequency methods are useful in separating the overlapped spectra but requiring 90 extensive human intervention (Donoho & Johnstone, 1994; Stockwell et al., 1996; Chang 91 et al., 2000; Mousavi & Langston, 2017). The recent development of deep neural net-92 works for seismological research has repeatedly demonstrated its potential for extract-93 ing coherent earthquake features from noisy seismic observations. Several recent stud-94 ies have applied machine learning to denoise the signals in the time-frequency domain 95 with the assumption that local earthquake and noise signals have distinct Fourier spec-96 tra. Zhu et al. (2019) converted seismic time series (seismograms) of local earthquakes 97 to a time-frequency representation and developed a deep convolutional neural network 98 to extract the earthquake signals in a time-frequency latent space. In fact, the time-frequency 99 information may also be utilized implicitly by appropriate convolutional layers consid-100 ered multi-frequency-band "filters" in the time domain. Using that concept, Novoselov 101 et al. (2022) showed that recurrent neural networks could separate overlapping seismic 102 signals produced by distinct sources. Yin et al. (2022) combined two-branch encoder-103 decoder and recurrent neural networks to compose the WaveDecompNet, which has been 104 proven effective in reconstructing local earthquake and noise waveforms. Yin et al. (2022) 105 demonstrated that even the clean noise waveforms improved the coherence of noise single-106 station cross-correlations for ambient noise seismology. 107

There remain challenges in using these existing models to denoise teleseismic recordings. First, teleseismic waveforms have a much lower SNR than local or regional waveforms for the same earthquake magnitude, mainly due to the geometrical spreading and attenuation. Second, the attenuation of global seismic phases distorts the signal such that signal frequencies overlap with the microseismic signals in velocity seismograms.

This study uses a multi-task encoder-decoder to denoise the teleseismic waves of 113 global M5.0+ earthquakes, a method that we name "DenoTe" (Shi, 2023). The neural 114 network takes the architecture of WaveDecompNet (Yin et al., 2022) as a kernel to ex-115 tract high-level features of the teleseismic body waves and uses convolutional layers to 116 reconstruct the denoised signals and pure noise signals. We add a layer on the top and 117 bottom of the kernel network to adjust the input window lengths. Our training data com-118 prises teleseismic data from the International Federation of Digital Seismograph Networks 119 (FDSN) for Mw5-8 earthquakes of the 2000-2021 International Seismological Centre (ISC) 120 earthquake catalog (International Seismological Centre, 2022). The pre-trained kernel 121 is updated through transfer learning. We denoise the teleseismic body waves to extract 122 P-wave pulses of deep Mw5.0+ earthquakes. We estimate several source parameters: pulse 123 duration and rupture directivity using relative duration measurements and radiated en-124 ergy, stress drop, and fracture energy using denoised P-wave spectra. We discuss the strong 125 scaling of these properties with earthquake magnitude in contrast with the typical scal-126 ing of crustal earthquakes and the possible dual mechanisms that would explain inter-127 mediate and deep earthquakes. 128



## <sup>129</sup> 2 Data Preparation

Figure 1. Earthquakes and seismic stations. (a) The 1148 earthquakes with high-SNR recordings used as training data. (b) The FDSN and GSN broadband stations recorded the 45,262 high-SNR teleseismic waveforms of the 1148 earthquakes. (c) The 920 deep earthquakes with low-SNR teleseismic waveforms labeled with focal mechanisms are denoised and tested in this study.

We use supervised learning to separate the earthquake and noise waveforms from their combined form. The amount, diversity, and accuracy of the training data greatly impact learning performance. The volume of high-quality earthquake records from global seismic networks has grown vastly in the past two decades. We extract 1148 Mw5.5+ earthquakes from the 2000-2021 ISC earthquake catalog (International Seismological Centre, 2022) based on focal mechanisms (specifically rake angle) to ensure a relatively even number of strike-slip (306), normal-faulting (242), and reverse-faulting (600) earthquake
 types. The extracted earthquake list includes events from diverse seismic regions and depths
 ranging from the surface to 700 km (Figure1a).

To prepare the labels of "clean" P waves seismic waveforms, we download data from 139 all broadband seismometers available from the FDSN stations selected at teleseismic an-140 gular distances between  $30^{\circ}$  and  $90^{\circ}$  to avoid Moho and core reflected and converted phases. 141 The P waves of Mw5.0-5.9 are noisy in general, thus, tend not to be included in the train-142 ing data given our signal-to-noise ratio-based selection criteria. We calculate the P-wave 143 arrival time based on the catalog origin time and hypocentral location using an Obspy 144 implementation of Tau-P (Crotwell et al., 1999; Beyreuther et al., 2010) in an IASPI91 145 Earth model (Kennet, 1991). We then downsample the three-component ground veloc-146 ity waveforms down to 10 Hz and cut a wide time window starting from 2,500 seconds 147 before and 2,500 seconds after the P-arrival. We then calculate the amplitude-based SNR 148 using a noise window (75-10 seconds before) and a signal window (0-75 seconds after the 149 P-wave arrival) with the following definition, 150

$$SNR = \frac{A_S}{A_N},\tag{1}$$

where  $A_S$  and  $A_N$  are the standard deviations of the amplitudes of the signal window 152 and noise window, respectively. We only select the clean P-wave labels with SNR higher 153 than 25 for training. We gathered 45,262 high-SNR P waves of 1,148 earthquakes of mag-154 nitude Mw5.5+. To generate realistic noise waveforms, we extract a 150-second noise win-155 dow before each P wave arrival time and consider it as the noise signal specific to the 156 station. Our data selection provides 45,262 earthquake traces and 45,262 noise traces, 157 each composed of three-component seismograms. The proportions of waveforms gener-158 ated by the strike-slip, normal-faulting, and reverse-faulting events are 21%, 25%, and 159 54%, respectively (Figure 1b). 160

# <sup>161</sup> **3 Denoising**

15

We develop, train, and apply a multi-task encoder-decoder to denoise the teleseismic P waves in the time domain. We adapt from an existing model architecture by Yin et al. (2022) to use teleseismic data.

#### **3.1** Neural Network Architecture

We expand from the encoder-decoder network of Yin et al. (2022) to adapt to longer 166 input window lengths. We follow a similar style as WaveDecompNet in Yin et al. (2022). 167 Because the teleseismic waveforms have distinct low-level features from the local wave-168 forms, we stack the WaveDecomNet kernel with feature extraction layers. The stacked 169 neural network on the top encoder branch is a 2-layer convolutional neural network (CNN) 170 with a 1-layer fully connected layer (FCNN) on the optimal training performance. Next, 171 we introduce the architecture of the two-branch encoder-encoder (Figure 2) and the strat-172 egy to enhance training efficiency. 173

Similar to Yin et al. (2022), we use a stride of two after each CNN layer to avoid aliasing (Zhang, 2019). A skip connection is introduced after the first CNN layer to retain the fine scale of the feature. Compared to the single-branch prediction of either the earthquake or noise signal (Zhu et al., 2019; Novoselov et al., 2022), our multi-task model (i.e., two-branch prediction) depends on the efficiency of feature extraction for both earthquake and noise signals.

The data is normalized using standard scaling (removing the mean and normalizing by the data standard deviation) and can be rescaled after the wavefield separation by the same scaling factor. In the following analysis, where we measure simply duration



Figure 2. Architecture of the teleseismic wave denoiser, DenoTe. DenoTe is constructed based on the U-net with symmetric structures in the encoding and decoding branches of WaveDecomp-Net (Yin et al., 2022). The neural network reads composite earthquake waveforms (black) and predicts earthquake (red) and noise (gray) signals through the two output branches, which have the same structure and length. The size, number of channels, and kernel length are indicated for each sub-network. CNN: convolutional neural network. FCNN: fully connected neural network.



**Figure 3.** The three steps of data augmentation: the raw high-SNR P wave (red) is 1) stretched, 2) shifted along the time axis, and 3) scaled before it is stacked with the noise (gray) extracted from the same station to compose the noisy waveform (black).

estimates and normalize the data to seismic moment, we do not rescale the data after denoising.

#### **3.2 Data Augmentation**

Training the model with 60% of the overall data is insufficient to yield a satisfy-186 ing model performance (see details below). Therefore, we proceed with a data augmen-187 tation approach to improve model training. We conduct a three-step data augmentation 188 to increase the diversity of the training data (Figure 3), which is most important to the 189 generalization of neural networks. The training data is more likely selected from higher 190 magnitude earthquakes (i.e., Mw6+), which tend to have longer source duration and thus 191 tend to generate relatively lower-frequency signals compared to the more frequent smaller 192 earthquakes. Hence, the raw training data lacks high-frequency information, such as those 193 expected for lower-magnitude earthquakes (Mw5-6). To generate high-frequency data 194 compatible with these small earthquakes, we augment the training data of earthquake 195 waveforms by squeezing the seismogram along the time axis. The squeezing ratio is ran-196 domly sampled from 1,2,...8 with equal probability (i.e., 12.5% for all ratios). We then 197 shift waveforms to avoid the case of the denoising algorithm memorizing the stationary 198 P-wave arrival time Zhu et al. (2020). We take the theoretical P arrival time as the orig-199 inal zero and then shift waveforms using a uniform probability between  $\pm$  75 seconds. 200 After shifting, we trim the time series to the  $-75s \sim +75s$  time window. Thus, the trimmed 201 waveforms mostly include the P wave onsets. In the final augmentation step, we stack 202 each 150-second trace with the 150-second amplified noise extracted from pre-P noise 203 at the same channel. A random SNR (as defined in Equation 1) between 0.5 and 10 is 204 selected to give earthquake and noise relative weights in the combined, "noisy" wave-205 form. The three-step augmentation –stretching, shifting, and adding noise– is performed 206 repeatedly in every training epoch with randomly selected parameters. The diversity of 207 the data is enhanced with each additional training step (epoch), which reduces the pos-208 sibility of overfitting the training data (Zhu et al., 2020). 209



Figure 4. Example of DenoTe's performance. In the time domain: (a) composite waveform, (b) (label) earthquake signal (label data, P-wave, its coda, and the direct S wave), (c) comparison between the labeled (red) and predicted (blue) earthquake signals (and their variance reduction and correlation coefficient), and (a) comparison between the labeled (red) and predicted (blue) noise signals (and their variance reduction and correlation coefficient). In the frequency domain: (e) comparison between the velocity spectra of the label and predicted earthquake data and (f) comparison between the velocity spectra of the label and predicted noise data.

# 210 **3.3 Training**

We train DenoTe using the composed waveform data and high-quality labels of the P-wave and noise signals. We first shuffle and then split the entire dataset and corresponding labels into three subsets: 60% for training, 20% for validation, and 20% for testing. Data augmentation (section 3.2) is done after the split, ensuring no data exchange among subsets or no data leakage leading to unrealistic testing scores. The validation and test data are also augmented data sets after data augmentation of the original data. Training is greatly improved thanks to data augmentation.

The main criterion for proper denoising is the similarity between the predicted and labeled waveforms for both earthquake and noise time series. To improve from the classic loss function mean-squared error (MSE) and focus on wiggle-by-wiggle reconstruction, we define a new loss function that combines the Pearson correlation coefficient (CC) and the MSE of the residual waveforms: loss = MSE + 1- CC. The CC is independent of the absolute wave amplitude, typically between -1.0 and 1.0, such that 1-CC varies between 0 and 2. In comparison, the MSE typically ranges between 0 and 1. Different weighting choices are tested between MSE and (1-CC). We find by trial and error an equal weighting between both is optimal for reducing the waveform misfit.

We train for up to 200 epochs and set up an early stopping mechanism when the 227 minimum validation loss is not updated for 20 consecutive epochs. We randomly divide 228 the training subset into 177 mini-batches containing 256 three-component waveforms. 229 The learning rate is fixed at 0.001, combined with an adaptive momentum (ADAM) to 230 control the step size in the gradient-decent process. This training process is efficient and 231 converges at a low loss of about 0.45 after 140 epochs (see Figure S1). The validation 232 loss computed for every epoch shows closely follows the training loss. The final testing 233 loss is 0.46 (Fig. S1), similar to the training and validation losses. The training, valida-234 tion, and test losses suggest that the neural network does not over-fit the training data 235 and may generalize to diverse teleseismic waves. In Figure 4, we compare the ground truth 236 waveform and the predicted waveforms (P wave and noise), both matching well the am-237 plitude of the pulse and the phases in the direct and coda waves of P and S waves. 238

239

## 3.4 Predicting (denoising) the P waves

We apply DenoTe to 3,079 Mw5.0+ deep earthquakes between 1/1/2000 and 12/31/2021, of which 920 are labeled with focal mechanisms (217 strike-slip, 341 reverse-faulting and 362 normal faulting events as shown in Figure1c). The data is normalized before prediction and rescaled after wavefield separation using standard scaling.

For subsequent validation of the source characteristics, we select the raw, noisy P waves with SNR >2 (as defined in Equation 1) and extract the denoised P waves through DenoTe. This ensures that the post-processing analysis is only selecting data that could have been included in previous analysis and should limit the effect of artifacts generated by the model (though these were minimal when using the WaveDecompNet kernel Yin et al. (2022)).

The first-order source processes are better analyzed from displacement waveforms 250 since these are proportional to the moment-rate function in the far-field seismograms. 251 Therefore, we integrate all denoised velocity waveforms to displacement and normalize 252 them to their maximum absolute amplitude. We show waveform examples from two earth-253 quakes, original and denoised waveforms, sorted by station azimuth relative to the earth-254 quake epicenter, aligned using cross-correlation Figure 5. We find a systematic improve-255 ment of the P wave signal-to-noise ratio for a broad range of frequencies after denois-256 ing. 257

We find, in general, that the noise is considerably reduced: pre-P signals have much lower amplitudes and low-frequency noises after the P and are also absent in the post-P pulse. Because of the noise removal, it is a lot easier to visualize and automatically measure pulse width.

# <sup>262</sup> 4 Source Parameters

The goal of this study is to improve the quality of the source parameters of the deep Mw5.0+ earthquakes. Source parameters are extracted from the time domain (source duration and directivity) or the spectral domain (corner frequency, stress drop, radiated energy, and radiation ratio).



Figure 5. Denoising performance on two representative earthquakes deep earthquakes: the Mw6.1 2013 April 21 earthquake near the Izu Islands in Japan and the Mw5.9 2002 February 1 earthquake at Primor'ye in Russia. (a) and (c) show the original displacement waveforms, and (b) and (d) show the denoised waveforms. The waveforms are aligned with the peak amplitude, stretched based on the maximum cross-correlation coefficients, and sorted by azimuth relative to the epicenter. The blue waveforms are flipped in polarity for better visualization. The dashed line marks the onset of the P waves. The stacked displacement waveform is shown in green. The cumulative energy waveform shown in red is computed using the integral of the squared stacked velocity waveform. The black and yellow dots indicate the onset and termination time of the energy growth, which defines the duration.

In the following subsections, we select the denoised deep events with at least 20 data in at least six azimuthal bins (each of 45° width). This selection leads to **739** deep Mw5+ earthquakes for further analysis and ensures that the statistical properties of deep earthquakes are not biased by imperfect data coverage. This about doubles the number of events studied relative to Poli and Prieto (2016).

#### 4.1 Source Duration

272

20

The event source duration is assumed to be the measured pulse width of the stacked 273 P displacement waveform (we ignore the broadening of the pulse due to attenuation). 274 This assumption is made because displacement seismograms are proportional to moment 275 rate functions in the far field of an attenuation-free whole space. We first shift the time 276 series using cross-correlation. We use the highest SNR trace as a reference and align all 277 others using cross-correlation. We normalize the waveforms with their maximum am-278 plitudes (flipping those with negative polarity). We then stack the aligned and normal-279 ized traces for a first reference waveform. In a second iteration, we align the waveforms 280 according to the first reference. We show these aligned and normalized waveforms in Fig-281 ure 5. 282

In the second iteration, we take the stacked waveform as a reference to align each normalized trace again. We then stretch each normalized trace according to the reference using the stretching ratio that maximizes the Pearson coefficient between the stretched trace and the reference. We then stack the aligned and stretched pulses to obtain our improved stacked P-wave pulse.

We measure the source duration of the average from cumulative energy. We first 288 take the derivative of the stacked displacement pulse, square it, and integrate it over time 289 to compute the cumulative energy function. A typical cumulative energy function shows 290 a flat-ramp-flat shape, where the time when cumulative energy rises corresponds to the 291 source duration. We use the time when 5% and 90% of the total energy are reached to 292 approximate the onset and termination of the event. The threshold choice was chosen 293 to mitigate the artifact of the coda waves. All durations done in the time domain fol-294 low this calculation. 295

Because earthquake duration varies greatly with earthquake magnitude, we also calculate the scaled duration  $\tau_S$  in a similar way to Houston et al. (1998) and Poli and Prieto (2014), using the following definition,

$$\tau_S = \frac{\beta}{\beta^{ref}} \left(\frac{M_0^{ref}}{M_0}\right)^{3+\epsilon} \tau, \qquad (2)$$

where  $\tau$  is the source duration,  $\beta$  is the shear-wave velocity at the event depth of the Preliminary Reference Earth Model (PREM) (Dziewonski & Anderson, 1981), and  $M_0$  is the event seismic moment.  $M_0^{ref}$  is the reference moment 10<sup>19</sup> N m and  $\beta^{ref}$  is the shearwave velocity 4.4 km/s at the reference depth 170 km. Here,  $\epsilon$  represents the departure from the self-similarity and is fit to the data (Houston et al., 1998; Kanamori, 2004; Poli & Prieto, 2014). The map view of the scaled duration is shown in Figure S2.

We also measure duration as the inverse of the corner frequency. Section 4.4 discusses how we perform spectral fitting, extracting the corner frequency that is inversely proportional to the duration. We test this relation and show it in supplementary Figure S3.

The source duration of moderate-size earthquakes  $(10^{16} < M_0 < 10^{19} \text{ N m})$  shows relatively higher variability than those of larger earthquakes  $(M_0 > 10^{19} \text{ N m})$ , possibly due to the limited number of large events or sensitivity to residual noise (Figure 6a). This increased variability at low magnitudes is typical of studies Allmann and Shearer



Figure 6. Durations scaling with magnitude and depth. (a) The source duration is shown as a function of the moment with markers color-coded by the event depth and compared with two idealized scaling relationships shown as black lines (the solid line for a scaling of 0.24, the dashed line for a self-similar scaling of 0.33). Each green dot and bar indicate the bootstrapped average of each moment bin and its standard deviation. (b) The magnitude-scaled source duration (eq 2) against depth and color-coded by the event magnitude. The green dots indicate the bootstrapped average and the error bars indicate the standard deviation of the depth bins.

(2009); Denolle and Shearer (2016); Courboulex et al. (2016). As shown in Figure 6a, the source duration of the earthquakes of moments around  $10^{18}$  N m (equivalent to  $M_W 5.9$ ) ranges between 1 and 8 s, which is about an order of magnitude difference. The duration measurement taken as the inverse of the corner frequency exhibits similar variability (Figure S3).

Potential errors that introduce variability in the measurements could be attributed to depth phases of the shallowest deep earthquakes, which can be easily eliminated for short-duration events using a cut-off time window of 0-20 s following the first arrival, but could be difficult to remove for long-duration events where the depth phases interfering with the direct phases.

We fit the observed  $\log_{10} \tau \sim a \log_{10} M_0$  with linear regression, where the duration is corrected with the depth-dependent bulk properties (i.e., shear-wave velocity). We find that a = 0.24 matches best with the moderate- to large-magnitude earthquakes, and this represents the scaling  $\tau \sim M_0^{0.24}$ . The measurements of the inverse of corner frequency further confirm the scaling assuming  $\tau = 1/f_c$  (see Figure S3). This scaling is similar to what has been found for intermediate and deep earthquakes (Allmann & Shearer, 2009; Turner et al., 2022; Poli & Prieto, 2016).

The depth dependence in scaled duration is well explained by the depth variations in material properties, or equivalently that scaled duration is depth independent. Given a reference magnitude of Mw6.6, the scaled duration at a depth of 100-250 km has a mean value of about 5.5 s, while those at a depth of 500-600 km have a mean value of about 5.3 s. The mean scaled duration, when estimated from corner frequency (i.e.,  $1/f_c$ ), of the intermediate-depth and deep-focus events are both about 5.5 s. Similar variability of  $1/f_c$  is found for the intermediate-depth and deep-focus events (2-12 s).

#### **4.2 Directivity Effects**

359

The rupture directivity alters the shape of far-field P-wave pulses by stretching or 339 squeezing the seismic waveforms with ratios that vary with the azimuths and take-off 340 angles away from the direction of rupture propagation. Directivity effects usually yield 341 a shorter apparent duration and an enhanced high-frequency content in the direction of 342 rupture propagation. These effects may be referred to as Doppler effects. When the earth-343 quake rupture propagates in a unilateral direction, the Doppler effects are clear and asym-344 metric with respect to the direction of rupture. When the earthquake rupture propagates 345 fast, as measured by the ratio of the rupture speed  $V_r$  to the velocity of the seismic wave 346 propagation  $V_P$ , it enhances the contrast in apparent duration and magnifies Doppler 347 effects. 348

Figure S4 illustrates the geometrical relation between the direction of rupture and the direction of the seismic ray taking off. We modify equation 1 of Park and Ishii (2015) to express the apparent duration of the P-wave pulse at station  $i, \tau_i$ :

$$\tau_i = \frac{L}{V_r} \left( 1 - \frac{V_r}{V_P} \cos \theta_i \right), \tag{3}$$

where  $V_r$  is the average speed of a unilaterally propagating through rupture, L is the total length of rupture,  $V_P$  is the P-wave velocity at the source, and  $\theta_i$  is the angle between the rupture propagation and ray take-off directions. Because  $V_r$  tends to be closer to the shear-wave speed  $V_S$ , directivity effects in P-wave pulses are typically less than observed in S-wave pulses. Based on the geometry between the rupture directivity and the seismic ray path (Fig. 7a),  $\cos \theta_i$  is

$$\cos\theta_i = \sin\gamma_i \sin\beta + \cos\gamma_i \cos\beta \cos(\phi_i - \phi_r), \tag{4}$$

where the angle parameters are explained and illustrated in Figure S4. Each source-station geometry provides a unique set of geometrical parameters. We know  $\phi_i$  and  $\gamma_i$  from earthquake and receiver location and  $\tau_i$  from measurements. We need to find L,  $V_r$ ,  $\beta$ , and  $\phi_r$ . We perform a grid search for the four parameters.  $\beta$  is searched between  $-\pi/2$  and  $\pi/2$  with 36 grid points,  $\phi_r$  is searched between 0 and  $2\pi$  with 72 grid points,  $V_r$  is searched within  $0 \sim V_P$  with 100 grid points and L is searched between 0.6  $V_r \tau$  and 1.4  $V_r \tau$ with 8 grid points.

In order to get apparent  $V_r$  and the direction of directivity, we need to measure  $\tau_i$ . We measure the  $\tau_i$  at each station using the stretching/squeezing ratio between the stationspecific and the station-stacked displacement P waveforms. Then, we take the ratio between the relative pulse durations and the average source duration. We draw a threedimensional distribution of the relative durations because the P-wave rays from the source to receivers have specific take-off angles and azimuths.

We select the events with at least 20 data in at least six azimuthal bins (each of 373  $45^{\circ}$  width). The ratio of the optimal rupture velocity of the events with the local S-wave 374 velocity is referred to as the "Doppler ratio" because it is only relevant for unilateral mov-375 ing ruptures. Here, we cannot determine the rupture velocity of a radially propagating 376 rupture, but we can assess the circularity of the rupture propagation with the Doppler 377 ratio. High Doppler strength indicates a rather unilateral rupture, and a low Doppler 378 ratio indicates a rather circular rupture. Our measured Doppler ratio  $(V_{rup}/V_S)$  is shown 379 in Figure 7a. Most earthquakes in this analysis have an apparent unilateral rupture speed 380 slower than 50% of the S-wave velocity. Hence, we draw our first conclusion that uni-381 lateral propagation is not the dominant mode of propagation of deep earthquakes. Rather, 382 the crack model of radially propagating rupture might well suit our observations. 383

We report that the denoised waveforms yield a much-reduced variance among the station-specific Doppler ratio values. We attribute this to the enhanced cross-correlation



**Figure 7.** The Doppler effect of deep earthquakes analyzed in this study. (a) The equivalent unilateral rupture speed ratio to the S-wave velocity near the earthquake source is plotted to show the relation with the moment, color-coded by event depth. (b) The number of peaks of the source time function in relation to seismic moment color-coded by event depth.

coefficients of stretched P waves, contributing to a more precise estimation of the relative source durations.

Our result shows a significant correlation between the estimated  $V_{rup}/V_S$  and earth-388 quake moment. The smaller earthquakes have a broad range of Doppler ratios between 389 0.0 and 0.8, with a mean value of 0.3 (Figure 7a). This means the equivalent unilateral 390 rupture speeds of the moderate-size deep earthquakes are mostly lower than 30% of the 391 S-wave velocity. The large deep earthquakes have a narrower range of Doppler ratio val-392 ues between 0.0 and 0.4, with a mean value of 0.15. The decrease of the maximum Doppler 393 ratio with the increasing moment may be related to i) the weakening of material beyond 394 the seismogenic width (i.e., the slab) or ii) the growing complexity of the rupture pro-395 cesses, which can be involved with multiple faults or multiple mechanisms during a sin-396 gle large deep event, leading to more homogeneous rupture propagation and a poorer rep-397 resentation of the directivity with the Doppler ratio. 398

We conduct statistical tests to demonstrate the significance of the difference between the distributions of the Doppler ratio at different depths. The null hypothesis is that the mean of the two distributions of Doppler ratios (depth ranges of 100-300 km and 300-700 km) are equal. We then obtain a *t*-score of 1.6 with an associated *p*-score of 0.11. Hence, we cannot reject the null hypothesis. Therefore, Doppler ratios of earthquakes at the depth range of 100-300 km are statistically similar to that of earthquakes deeper than 300 km.

406

#### 4.3 Earthquake Complexity with Subevents

Complex earthquake ruptures may comprise subevents that are bursts of moment 407 release well separated in time (Kikuchi & Fukao, 1987; Houston et al., 1998; Ihmlé, 1998; 408 Antolik et al., 1999; Tibi et al., 2003; Tsai et al., 2005; Duputel et al., 2012; Wei et al., 409 2013; Zhan, Kanamori, et al., 2014; Danré et al., 2019; Shi & Wei, 2020; Yin et al., 2021). 410 We count the number of peaks of the stacked P-wave displacement for all deep earth-411 quakes analyzed in this study. We use a peak detector function (scipy.signal.find\_peaks 412 in Python) and only search between the P-wave arrival time and the apparent duration. 413 The data has been low-pass filtered below 4 Hz before integrating into displacements. 414 We pick the subevent peaks from the stacked displacement over stations. We found that 415

most events have between 1 and 3 subevents, as shown in Figure 7c. The waveform resolution (<4 Hz) is sufficient for Mw > 6 events and well below some Mw5.0-6.0 earthquakes. Three subevents are only detected for Mw > 5.5, and smaller events present fewer subevents (i.e., 1 or 2) as shown in Figure 7b. Larger earthquakes have a few but more subevents, but overall, deep earthquakes are simpler ruptures with fewer subevents confirming Yin et al. (2021) and the hypothesis that deep earthquakes are rather crack-like.

#### 4.4 Spectral Fitting

422

433

The far-field P wave displacement waveforms are an approximation to the moment-423 rate function. Their amplitudes are controlled by radiation patterns and geometrical spread-424 ing, which are mostly frequency independent. The seismogram amplitudes are also af-425 fected by seismic attenuation, which considerably decreases the seismic amplitudes at 426 frequencies greater than 1 Hz. It is common in seismology to remove the attenuation ef-427 fect by correcting the amplitudes in the frequency domain. We first transform the dis-428 placement time series to the Fourier amplitude spectrum using the package **mtspec** (Prieto, 429 2022; Prieto et al., 2009), which uses a multi-taper spectral analysis that is robust for 430 short windows (Thomson, 1982). To correct for the attenuation of high-frequency en-431 ergy for teleseismic P waves, we use the following equation, 432

$$\hat{S}(f) = \hat{U} \ e^{2\pi f t^*/2},\tag{5}$$

where  $t^* = 0.3$  for the P waves that originate from the mantle (Poli & Prieto, 2016). 434 We then scale each attenuation-corrected displacement spectra to one. To avoid biases 435 of azimuthal distributions in the station coverage, we group the P-wave spectra into eight 436  $\pi/4$ -wide azimuth bins. We first compute the average spectrum in each bin if there is 437 data, then stack the spectra over azimuth bins, ignoring those without data. This pro-438 cedure is to approximately correct the radiation pattern and geometrical spreading ef-439 fects. We then level the stacked P spectra with the ISC catalog earthquake moment. Next, 440 we use the following equation to model the source spectrum, assuming a Brune model 441 (Brune, 1970). 442

443 
$$\hat{S}'(f) = \frac{M_0}{1 + \left(\frac{f}{f_c}\right)^n},$$
 (6)

where the two parameters to find are the falloff rate n and corner frequency  $f_c$ . The choice 444 of a simple spectral shape is justified because of the low Doppler ratio and low complex-445 ity of the P-wave pulses. We perform fitting in the log-log space: log of amplitudes re-446 sampled on a log-frequency array. We then perform a grid search by minimizing the mean 447 square residuals between the modeled and observed spectrum between 0 and 1 Hz. We 448 limit the grid search to 2.5 Hz for the corner frequency, approximately the corner fre-449 quency (or inverse of duration) of an Mw5 earthquake based on the regional data anal-450 ysis of intermediate-depth earthquakes by Prieto et al. (2013). A visual comparison be-451 tween the optimal modeled spectra with the stacked spectra of the noisy and denoised 452 P waves is shown in Figure S5. The difference in spectral shapes between the synthetic 453 and stacked spectra is reduced after denoising. 454

We now explore the effects of earthquake size on the shape of the observed and modeled spectra. We group the spectra in seven-magnitude bins by normalizing all spectra and leveling them to the bin central moment. We show the bootstrapped spectra in Figure 8. We average the logarithmic spectra amplitude in each magnitude bin by bootstrapping (selecting with replacement) 1,000 times the data. We obtained 1,000 averaged spectra, shown in Figure 8, and then averaged again for a single stacked spectrum per magnitude bin. We perform the same analysis for the original and the denoised seismograms.

The main results that can be interpreted are the variation of the corner frequencies with the seismic moment for the denoised seismograms (Figure 8b). We find a vi-



Figure 8. Spectra averaged in magnitude bins. (a) The noisy spectra are divided into seven magnitude groups, as indicated on the left, and bootstrapped in each group 1000 times to compute the average spectra (gray). The median of the bootstrapped spectra mean (black lines) is well fit by the spectral model (blue dashed lines) after searching for the optimal corner frequency (yellow dots) and high-frequency fall-off rate. The corner frequency is marked as white circles with uncertainties shown as gray bars. (b) Same as (a) for the denoised waveforms.

sual correlation that  $M_0 \propto f_c^{-4}$ , again supporting a deviation from a self-similar behavior. This result holds when considering the 739 individual estimates of  $f_c$  (Figure S3) and confirms the inverse relation between duration  $\tau$  and moment,  $M_0 \sim \tau^{4.17}$ , illustrated in Figure 6a.

With the recognition that such noisy waveforms (Figure 8a) would be disregarded in seismological studies, we want to highlight the impact of including noise in the spectral fitting. Microseismic noise particularly biases the retrieval of corner frequency for magnitude Mw 5-6.5. Moreover, high-frequency noise biases the retrieval of the high-frequency fall-off rate (and thus corner frequency given the parameter trade-offs) of the larger earthquakes.

# 474 4.5 Stress Drop

481

Since the spectra are well fit using a single-corner frequency model and the weak directivity effects, we propose using a circular crack model of rupture for deep earthquakes. Crack models are modes of rupture where the fault slips behind the rupture front from the beginning of the fault slip until the earthquake fully arrests. We use the classic model of Brune (Brune, 1970) later updated by (Madariaga, 1976) to relate event duration and moment to stress drop  $\Delta \sigma$ :

$$\Delta \sigma = \frac{7}{16} M_0 \left( \frac{f_c}{0.35 V_S} \right)^3, \tag{7}$$

where the geometrical parameter 7/16 is used for a circular crack, the radius of the crack is estimated as  $0.35V_S/f_c$ . We extract the shear-wave velocity  $V_S$  from the 1D PREM model (Dziewonski & Anderson, 1981). We show the values of stress drop in Figures 9. We find a strong scaling of stress drops with earthquake magnitude but no variation with depth. We perform a linear regression  $\log_{10} (\Delta \sigma) \sim a \log_{10} M_0$  using linear-least squares



Figure 9. Stress Drop, Depth, and Magnitude. (a) The stress drop is shown against moment, color-coded by the event depth, with the bootstrapped mean of each magnitude bin shown in green and the best-fit scaling relationship denoted by the dashed line. (b) The stress drop is shown against depth, color-coded by the event magnitude. The bootstrapped mean on each depth bin is shown in green, and the best-fit scaling relationship is denoted by the dashed line.

and find the exponent a = 0.2. The resulting strong scaling suggests that if the Mw 5.0 earthquakes have a stress drop of about 1.8 MPa, the Mw 7.5 earthquakes have a stress drop of 10 MPa. This scaling is slightly weaker than that found by (Poli & Prieto, 2016), though we generally find lower stress drops more consistent with global studies and crustal earthquakes (Allmann & Shearer, 2009), and using the time-domain duration estimate T would decrease the mean value of stress drop.

As expected from the non-typical scaling of duration with seismic moments, the scaling of stress drop with magnitude is strong (Figure 9). We bootstrap the stress drop in the moment bins, calculate average stress drops, perform a linear regression in the loglog space, and find a best slope of 0.21, such as  $\Delta \sigma \sim M_0^{0.21}$ . Furthermore, the scaling is stronger for earthquakes deeper than 300 km: "intermediate depth" earthquakes have a scaling  $\Delta \sigma \sim M_0^{0.23}$  and "deep focused" earthquakes have a scaling of  $\Delta \sigma \sim M_0^{0.26}$ , as shown in Figure S6.

Unsurprisingly, the variability in spectral shapes shown in Figure 8a yields a higher variability in corner frequency and, consequently, in estimated stress drop. The variability may be unreasonable and span four orders of magnitude higher than for the same waveforms but denoised using DenoTe. Therefore, our denoising technique has been essential and provides more precise stress drop measurements and their scaling with magnitude. We calculate the stress drop using the duration estimates and find similar momentdependence (Figure S7).

We do not see any strong dependence between stress drop and depth (Fig. 9). We measure an increased variability of the shallowest intermediate-depth earthquakes, which may indicate that we have less stable duration measurements for the shallowest earthquakes (some depth phases may leak in our measurements), a greater sensitivity of the measurements to unknown attenuation effects, or may indicate a greater heterogeneity in source properties of shallow earthquakes. Vallée (2013) found the constant strain drop with depth better fits the data. We scale the source duration using Equation 2, a different approach from Vallée (2013), based on the assumption of constant stress drop with depth. However, since the density and S-wave velocity vary by some moderate amount, we can not discriminate between constant stress drop and constant strain drop.

#### 517 4.6 Radiated Energy

523

531

Next, we estimate the radiated energy of these earthquakes using the denoised waveforms. The kinetic energy of the radiated P wave can be estimated by integrating the squared P-wave velocity spectrum. We were partially motivated to measure if ML-denoising affected the waveforms over a broad range of frequencies, to which radiated energy is particularly sensitive. We estimate the radiated P-wave energy using,

$$E_P = \frac{2\pi M_0^2 \langle R_P^2 \rangle}{\rho V_P^5} \int_0^\infty [f \ \hat{S}(f)]^2 df, \tag{8}$$

where,  $\langle R_P^2 \rangle = 4\pi/15$  is the squared P-wave radiation pattern coefficient averaged over the double-couple focal sphere assuming the uniform shape of source spectra  $\hat{S}(f)$ ,  $\alpha$  is the P wave velocity at the location of the source. The shear modulus  $\mu$  is calculated with the shear-wave velocity of the PREM model, and the seismic moment  $M_0$  is calculated from moment-magnitude.

<sup>529</sup> With the radiated energy, we can further calculate the apparent stress (see Figure <sup>530</sup> S8) by

$$\sigma_a = \mu E_R / M_0, \tag{9}$$

In general, the observed spectra well match the model  $\hat{S}'(f)$  in equation 6 within 0-1 Hz (see Figure 8). Higher than 1 Hz, the observed spectra have a steeper fall-off than the model, which implies that attenuation may be frequency dependent and under-corrected at higher frequencies. Ide and Beroza (2001) has indicated that the source spectrum at frequencies higher than ten times the corner frequency only accounts for less than 10% of the total energy. Hence, we separate the integration in equation 8 in two parts: observed spectra integrated over 0-1 Hz and modeled spectra integrated over 1-4 Hz.

Similar to (Boatwright & Choy, 1986; Convers & Newman, 2011; Poli & Prieto, 2016; 539 Denolle & Shearer, 2016), we scale the S energy using the ratio  $E_S = 3V_P^5/2V_S^5 E_P$ . Sev-540 eral assumptions are required to apply this ratio. First, S waves are assumed to have the 541 same spectral shape as P waves. Second, we assume that the focal mechanism of the source 542 is strictly a double couple, which is questionable for deep earthquakes (Knopoff & Ran-543 dall, 1970; Frohlich, 1989; Green & Houston, 1995), and that we are sampling the whole 544 focal sphere. Third, we assume the ratio between P and S waves found in the PREM ve-545 locity model. 546

<sup>547</sup> We find that radiated energy also scales strongly with the seismic moment, with <sup>548</sup> an exponent of 1.23. Such scaling is expected from the scaling of corner frequency with <sup>549</sup> earthquake magnitude because of the abnormally higher corner frequency of larger earth-<sup>550</sup> quakes, within which seismic energy concentrates. Typical self-similar concepts of earth-<sup>551</sup> quake scaling promote the idea that scaled energy,  $E_R/M_0$  is constant (Venkataraman <sup>552</sup> & Kanamori, 2004; Baltay et al., 2010; Convers & Newman, 2011), though Denolle and <sup>553</sup> Shearer (2016) found this was true regardless of the fault geometry.

We show the moment-dependent radiated energy derived from the noisy and denoised P waves in Figure 10a and b, respectively. Similar to the other measurements, denoising reduces the variability of the radiated energy measurements but does not alter the general trend of the scaling (Figure S9).



Figure 10. Radiation energy and efficiency of deep earthquakes. Radiated energy as a function of seismic moment and color-coded with depth. The green dots and bars indicate the average logarithmic radiated energy bootstrapped in magnitude bins and the best-fitting regression coefficients, respectively, for the raw, attenuation-corrected waveforms (a) and after denoising, attenuation-corrected waveforms (b). (c) Radiation efficiency against moment as markers color-coded with event depth with the binned average efficiency. (d) Radiation efficiency against depth color-coded by event magnitude, with green dots denoting the bootstrapped average efficiency in each fine depth bin.

#### 558 4.7 Radiation Efficiency

Considering the simplified slip-weakening model of fault strength, we also calculate the apparent radiation efficiency introduced by Venkataraman and Kanamori (2004), also well explained and discussed in Abercrombie and Rice (2005), Noda and Lapusta (2013), and Lambert et al. (2021). We use the definition of radiation efficiency:

 $\eta_R = \frac{2\mu E_R}{\Delta\sigma M_0},\tag{10}$ 

where the shear modulus  $\mu$  is calculated with the shear-wave velocity of the PREM model, seismic moment  $M_0$  is calculated from moment-magnitude, radiated energy  $E_R$  and stress drop  $\Delta\sigma$  are measured above.

We find low radiation efficiency at about 0.05, similar to other studies (Poli & Pri-567 eto, 2016; Prieto et al., 2013; Wiens, 2001). These values are typically much lower than 568 those reported for crustal earthquakes (Venkataraman & Kanamori, 2004; Singh et al., 569 2004; Zollo et al., 2014; Prieto et al., 2017; Lambert et al., 2021). Noda and Lapusta (2013) 570 and Lambert et al. (2021) suggested that radiation efficiency inferred from seismic ob-571 servations tends to be overestimated as the seismological stress drop estimate is likely 572 to be underestimated (Noda & Lapusta, 2013). Together with these potential biases, our 573 results suggest deep earthquakes have much lower radiation efficiency than crustal ones. 574

We also observe a weak moment-dependence of radiation efficiency (Figure 10c), also implied by the slight difference in scaling found for radiated energy and stress drop. Visually, there is greater variability of radiation efficiency for smaller magnitude earthquakes, which can be attributed to greater variability in corner frequency.

To further study the relationship between the radiation efficiency and source depth, 579 we calculate the average radiation efficiency within each small depth interval (see Fig-580 ure 10d). The shallowest earthquakes (100-250 km) have average radiation efficiencies 581 about 30% higher than those of the events at greater depth. We can rule out attenua-582 tion effects: we have assumed a unique attenuation correction. Thus it is possible that we over-corrected the deep earthquake signals relative to shallower earthquake signals, 584 which would give an apparent higher radiated energy. Because radiation efficiency as cal-585 culated in equation 10 is effectively proportional to  $V_P^5/V_S^5$ , uncertainties from this ra-586 tio due to our choice of velocity depth profile can explain a portion of the depth-dependence. 587 Nevertheless, our conclusions remain unchanged when using the AK135f velocity model 588 (Kennett et al., 1995; Montagner & Kennett, 1996) see Figure S10 for comparison. 589

590

595

563

#### 4.8 Fracture Energy

Fracture energy is the energy spent to create the fracture. We use the definition of the energy budget in Kanamori and Rivera (2006) for slip-weakening models of earthquakes to estimate the fracture energy from our seismic observables, stress drop and scaled energy:

$$G' = \frac{1}{2} \left( \Delta \sigma - 2\sigma_a \right) S,$$

(11)

where  $\sigma_a$  is referred to as apparent stress and S is the average slip of the ruptured area that is calculated in an elliptical, circular model as  $S = M_0 / [\mu \pi (0.35 V_S \tau)^2]$ . We use  $\tau$  as our time-domain duration estimate in this example. It should be noted that the fracture energy can be underestimated in the case of undershoot, where the fault is weakened to a low friction level dynamically and recover to higher friction when the slip stops (Viesca & Garagash, 2015). We show the estimated values in Figure 11.

In general, deep earthquakes exhibit slightly higher fracture energy, discussed earlier, with a slightly lower radiation efficiency. But overall, both intermediate-depth and



**Figure 11.** Fracture energy against average slip inferred from seismic observations, colorcoded with depth. A linear regression of slip is fitted to the bootstrapped mean values of the binned data, and the best slopes are found for the earthquakes with estimates of average slip as shown in black solid line, in contrast to the power-law scaling by Viesca and Garagash (2015).

deep earthquakes share a similar relation between fracture energy and slip. This further suggests that their energy budget are similar despite the possible and diverse mechanisms discussed in Zhan (2020).

Typical scaling between observed fracture energy and average slip is  $G' \sim S^2$  is 607 overall satisfied with our observations. This is consistent with the inference from Abercrombie 608 and Rice (2005). For shallower earthquakes, Viesca and Garagash (2015) found a change 609 in scaling for larger earthquakes that could be modeled using dynamic weakening mech-610 anisms such as flash heating (Rice, 2006) and thermo-pressurization of fluids (Noda & 611 Lapusta, 2013; Marguin & Simpson, 2023). In contrast to the inferred behavior of shal-612 lower earthquakes (Viesca & Garagash, 2015), our results suggest no strong dynamic weak-613 ening mechanisms. 614

The overall low radiation efficiency of moderate- to large-size deep earthquakes imply that the fault weakening is likely to be persistent during the slip growth so that fracture energy keeps at a high level.

#### 5 Discussion on the properties of deep earthquakes

The weak directivity is a distinct feature of deep earthquakes, implying the rela-619 tively homogeneous stress states in the mantle or more diffusive rupture mechanisms. 620 On average, we find Doppler ratios of 0.1-0.4 for Mw > 7 deep earthquakes, correspond-621 ing to 0.5-2.2 km/s apparent unilateral rupture speed, assuming an average S-wave ve-622 locity of 4.5-5.5 km/s. This is consistent with the slow rupture speed observed for large 623 deep earthquakes. Beck et al. (1995) derived a slow rupture speed (1-2 km/s, 636 km) 624 for the 1994 Mw8.3 Bolivian earthquake. Park and Ishii (2015) derived the average rup-625 ture speed for the 2012 Mw7.7 (2.7 km/s, 583 km) and 2013 Mw8.3 (1.4 km/s, 602 km) 626 earthquakes in the Sea of Okhotsk region. Warren and Shearer (2006) studied the global 627 deep moderate-to-large earthquakes during 1988-2000 and found slow rupture speed in 628 most earthquakes. Prieto et al. (2017) obtained a best-fit slow unilateral and sub-horizontal 629 rupture directivity (1.3 km/s) of the 2013 Mw4.8 Wyoming earthquake (75 km). Díaz-630 Mojica et al. (2014) used an elliptical patch approach to study the 2011 Mw6.5 Guer-631 rero, Mexico earthquake (62 km) and found a slow rupture (0.5 km/s). Mirwald et al. 632 (2019) also found a slow rupture (0.34 km/s) during the 2017 Mw7.1 earthquake (57 km)633

<sup>634</sup> in the Cocos plate beneath central Mexico. In contrast, Zhan, Helmberger, et al. (2014) <sup>635</sup> used the duration after EGF correction and obtained a rupture speed above the local <sup>636</sup>  $V_S$  for the Mw6.7 Sea of Okhotsk earthquake (642 km), implying a very different rup-<sup>637</sup> ture process relative to the nearby 2013 Mw8.3 Okhotsk Earthquake. This may be con-<sup>638</sup> firmed by the larger variability of Doppler ratios we find for Mw5.0-6.9 earthquakes.

The moderate-magnitude earthquakes  $(10^{16} < M_0 < 10^{19} \text{ N m})$  have source di-639 mensions comparable to the width of the subduction zone slab core. Within the core, 640 frictional conditions may be more favorable for dynamic rupture, given the potentially 641 elevated pore pressure due to mineral phase transformation (dehydration or compaction), 642 or pre-existing slab faults. The larger-magnitude earthquakes have greater spatial ex-643 tent, and therefore can further propagate into the surrounding, mantle which could have 644 a less heterogeneous structure than the slab and considerably less water content. The 645 distinct environments where these earthquakes reside may lead to scale-dependent Doppler 646 ratios. The colder slab core may provide favorable conditions for small but faster rup-647 ture growth, while the surrounding warm material may be involved with a more dissi-648 pative and slower rupture. 649

Deep earthquakes have shorter source duration and thus higher corner frequencies 650 than shallow earthquakes due to increased rigidity with depth (Vallée, 2013). The magnitude-651 duration scaling  $M_0 \sim \tau^4$  that we measured from the denoised P waves is consistent 652 with previous studies (Poli & Prieto, 2014). The corner frequency of deep earthquake 653 displacement seismograms of direct P waves obtained from fitting Brune's models fol-654 lows the same scaling with seismic moment  $(M_0 \sim f_c^{-4})$  are consistent with the time-655 domain measurements. The difference between this scaling and that found for shallow 656 earthquakes (Allmann & Shearer, 2009) suggests that the rupture area and slip scaling 657 are not self-similar. 658

Given the moment-duration scaling, we infer that stress drop increases with seis-659 mic moment. Early studies on the topic reported weak stress drop scaling (Frohlich, 2006), 660 while some recent studies based on a larger number of stations and wider frequency band 661 have found evident scaling (Prieto et al., 2013; Poli & Prieto, 2016). We obtain a sim-662 ilar moment-scaling of stress drop  $\Delta \sigma \sim M_0^{0.21}$  for Mw5-8 earthquakes at a 100-700 km 663 depth range. This contrasts with shallow earthquakes, where stress drop tends to be scale-664 invariant (Allmann & Shearer, 2009; Denolle & Shearer, 2016; Courboulex et al., 2016). 665 Cocco et al. (2016) compared stress drop estimates from different tectonic settings and using different methodologies to confirm the large variability up to three orders of mag-667 nitude (0.1–100 MPa, similar to the range in Figure 9) for a broad range of seismic mo-668 ment (-8 < MW < 9), and reported no evident scaling of stress drop with earthquake 669 size. 670

The radiation efficiency of deep earthquakes mainly ranges between 1% and 10%, much lower than that of shallow large events (25% by Kanamori and Brodsky (2004)). The low radiation efficiency and high stress drop of these deep earthquakes could also be explained by substantial shear heating, similar to the interpretation of Prieto et al. (2013). We have ignored 3D velocity and attenuation models, which significantly impact the high-frequency content of the P-wave displacement, which should be incorporated in future work.

In spite of the argument that different mechanisms may enable intermediate-depth 678 earthquakes and deep-focus (Zhan, 2020), they show similar characteristics in terms of 679 magnitude scaling with duration, static stress drop, and radiated energy. The lack of depth 680 variations in these parameters may also indicate that similar mechanisms govern the earth-681 quakes in the two depth ranges. We note that the stress drop-magnitude scaling (power 682 law of exponent 0.21) and the low median radiation efficiency (0.05) of both intermediate-683 depth and deep-focus earthquakes are similar to the result of Prieto et al. (2013). This 684 indicates that the source processes of deep earthquakes could be dissipative and trans-685

late a small portion of static stress drop into high-frequency radiation. Hence, this study
 further extends the possibility of thermal runaway mechanism from the intermediate depth earthquakes to the deep-focus events.

The study based on data from shallow earthquakes (Abercrombie & Rice, 2005) 689 suggests the frictional strength decreases more rapidly in the initial stage of rapid slip 690 and then decreases more slowly at larger cumulated slip  $(\sigma_f(S) \propto -S^{0.28})$ . Deep earth-691 quakes show a more uniform decay rate of friction over slip distance ( $\sigma_f(S) \propto -S^1$ ). 692 Based on the scaling of fracture energy and average slip, deep earthquakes may not fa-693 vor the dynamic weakening mechanism of thermal pressurization mechanism, Viesca and Garagash (2015) proposed to dominate for shallow events (Fig. 11). Alternative mech-695 anisms may include flash heating and even melting, which require persistently high frac-696 ture energy for larger earthquakes. On the other hand, thermal pressurization may be 697 greatly limited for deep earthquakes because of the depleted water or fluid at the depth 698 range, especially if the earthquakes propagate in the mantle. Nonetheless, other mech-699 anisms, such as shear heating, may be invoked to explain the large fracture energy and 700 slow rupture propagation. 701

It appears difficult to invoke single mechanisms proposed for deep earthquakes (phase 702 transformation, dehydration embrittlement, shear heating) to explain whole event dy-703 namics. Our measurements of source dynamics favor the interpretation of dissipative shear 704 heating as a dominant mechanism at the source, though dissipative mechanisms do not 705 favor nucleation. Instead, the dual-mechanism proposed y Zhan (2020) is practical may 706 explain the combination of dynamic nucleation and dissipative propagation. Besides, two 707 nucleation mechanisms can be invoked to differentiate between intermediate-depth and 708 deep-focused earthquakes. The intermediate-depth earthquakes may be initiated by de-709 hydration embrittlement, and the deep-focus earthquake may be triggered by transfor-710 mational faulting. As the rupture grows in size, thermal runaway takes over, leading to 711 a large portion of stress drop being dissipated near the source. Due to the diffusive na-712 ture of heat transmission, shear heating allows for dynamic rupture, even if it's ineffi-713 cient at radiating waves. 714

In general, deep earthquakes have relatively simple rupture processes compared to 715 crustal earthquakes because of the fewer subevents identified from their source time func-716 tions. This feature may favor that deep earthquakes tend to start on the faults with pre-717 ferred orientation (e.g., along the metastable olivine wedge or along the pre-existing intra-718 plate faults) and develop with smooth propagation. This starting phase may be related 719 to a relatively faster unilateral rupture speed (Zhan, Helmberger, et al., 2014). As the 720 rupture is growing to a certain extent, the smooth propagation with the preferred fault 721 orientation could be replaced with a slower and dissipative phase, which probably has 722 a complex fault orientation (e.g., the 1994 Bolivia earthquake interpreted by Zhan, Kanamori, 723 et al. (2014)). 724

Our neural networks can be easily generalized to other seismic waves with differ-725 ent window lengths and sampling rates. The fully-connected layer between the shallow 726 and deep kernels is adjustable, with higher learning capability for larger input sizes. Hence, 727 the same architecture can be effectively applied to other seismic phases with minor mod-728 ifications. Therefore, the general framework we developed in this study is of great po-729 tential to be applied to different types of research. An extension of this work could be 730 extending the analysis for shallow earthquakes, which are still offshore and have cover-731 age on island stations that are polluted with microseismic noise. The denoised waveform 732 can provide Green's functions with better azimuthal coverages. 733

Another widely employed research is receiver function studies that rely on the data quality of the three-component teleseismic seismograms. With the P wave denoiser, the secondary phases can better stand out from the strong noise, so it provides many-fold more data recordings: 135,265 traces of Mw5-5.5 deep earthquakes were selected based on SNR > 8 after denoising, while only 3,118 of them could have been used with the same SNR criterion without denoising. We show the overall improvement for individual deep earthquakes in Figure S11. Furthermore, the application of our "DenoTe" to regional seismic networks would greatly benefit the real-time phase picking for largerscale earthquake monitoring and enhance the accuracy of both the travel-time-based and waveform-based tomography studies.

# 744 6 Conclusion

This study demonstrates that machine learning can be included as data pre-processing 745 to enhance our observation capabilities for earthquake source characterization. The demon-746 stration uses deep earthquakes as an example because they already have relatively "clean" 747 seismograms. Our ML denoising considerably improved the volume of data with a suf-748 ficiently good signal-to-noise ratio and an accurate wiggle-to-wiggle reconstruction over 749 a broad range of frequencies, especially in the smaller earthquake magnitudes. We dou-750 bled the number of events studied and considerably added independent observations (e.g., 751 station waveforms) to each earthquake. We have demonstrated that broadband signals 752 can be recovered using time-domain ML processing. 753

Our analysis of deep earthquakes is an update from the Poli and Prieto (2016) analysis, whereby we include more events of smaller magnitudes and expand beyond the analysis of scaling, depth dependence, energy budget, and earthquake complexity. We confirm the results of other studies that have found a strong scaling of stress drop and scaled energy with earthquake magnitude, which suggests weakening mechanisms stronger with earthquake size.

The lack of directivity effects and low complexity found for intermediate and deep earthquakes suggests that these events are rather crack-like and confined ruptures. In general, we find that typical stress drops of 1-10 MPa and low scaled energy  $(10^{-5})$ , relatively low directivity, yielding low radiation efficiency and high fracture energy. While dynamic mechanisms may be at play for larger earthquakes, the rupture propagation of intermediate and deep earthquakes is dissipative.

There remain limitations to this work. Our preliminary test on S wave data was inconclusive because generating the data set of "clean" S waves is tedious and because S waves are much more depleted in high frequency than can be corrected for by a frequencyconstant t\* model. There are clearly opportunities to incorporate ML denoising in other earthquake studies such as receiver functions and finite source inversions.

#### 771 7 Open Research

The software package for denoising is developed using PyTorch. It is named "De-772 noTe" and can be accessed from https://github.com/qibinshi/TeleseismicDenoiser. 773 We use data from the 1078 networks of the FDSN archive. The digital object identifier 774 (DOI) of all 1078 networks can be found in the supplementary materials. The minimally 775 pre-processed seismic data used for training the neural network can be accessed at https:// 776 dasway.ess.washington.edu/qibins/Psnr25\_1p4\_2000-2021.hdf5 and the waveform 777 data and metadata for the deep eearthquake analysis can be accessed at http://dasway 778 .ess.washington.edu/qibins/deepquake\_M5.5\_6\_data\_metadata.zip. The earthquake 779 catalog for selecting the waveform data is downloaded from ISC http://www.isc.ac.uk/. 780

## 781 Acknowledgments

We acknowledge the National Science Foundation (CAREER award EAR 2124722) for

<sup>783</sup> supporting this research. The authors thank Jiuxun Yin for the discussions about his

denoising. Conceptualization: QS, MD. Data curation: QS. Formal Analysis: QS. Fund-

<sup>785</sup> ing acquisition: MD. Investigation QS, MD. Methodology: QS, MD. Project adminis-

tration: MD, QS. Resources: MD. Software: QS. Supervision: MD. Validation: QS, Yiyu

<sup>787</sup> Ni. Visualization: QS. Writing – original draft: QS. Writing – review & editing: QS, MD.

The DOIs of the seismic network involved in this study are saved as a ZIP file. The fa-

cilities of IRIS Data Services, and specifically the IRIS Data Management Center, were

<sup>790</sup> used for access to waveforms and metadata (last accessed July 2022).

# 791 References

Abercrombie, R. E., & Rice, J. R. (2005, August). Can observations of earthquake 792 scaling constrain slip weakening? Geophysical Journal International, 162(2), 793 406-424. Retrieved 2022-12-04, from https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246X 794 .2005.02579.x doi: 10.1111/j.1365-246X.2005.02579.x 795 Abers, G. A., Nakajima, J., van Keken, P. E., Kita, S., & Hacker, B. R. (2013,796 Thermal-petrological controls on the location of earthquakes within Mav). 797 subducting plates. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 369-370, 178-187. 798 Retrieved 2023-02-23, from https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/ 799 article/pii/S0012821X1300143X doi: 10.1016/j.epsl.2013.03.022 Allmann, B. P., & Shearer, P. M. (2009).Global variations of stress 801 drop for moderate to large earthquakes. Journal of Geophysical Re-802 search: Solid Earth, 114(B1). Retrieved 2023-05-01, from https:// 803 onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2008JB005821 (\_eprint: 804 https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2008JB005821) doi: 805 10.1029/2008JB005821 806 Antolik, M., Dreger, D., & Romanowicz, B. (1999).Rupture processes of large 807 deep-focus earthquakes from inversion of moment rate functions. Journal of 808 Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 104 (B1), 863–894. Retrieved 2023-05-31. 809 from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/1998JB900042 810 (\_eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/1998JB900042) doi: 811 10.1029/1998JB900042 812 B. Gutenberg, & C. F. Richter. (1949).Seismicity Of The Earth And Associated 813 Phenomena. Princeton University Press. Retrieved 2023-01-31, from http:// 814 archive.org/details/seismicityofthee009299mbp 815 Baltay, A., Prieto, G., & Beroza, G. C. (2010). Radiated seismic energy from coda 816 measurements and no scaling in apparent stress with seismic moment. Jour-817 nal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 115(B8). Retrieved 2023-05-31, 818 from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2009JB006736 819 (\_eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2009JB006736) doi: 820 10.1029/2009JB006736 821 Beck, S. L., Silver, P., Wallace, T. C., & James, D. (1995).Directivity anal-822 ysis of the Deep Bolivian Earthquake of June 9, 1994. Geophysical Re-823 Retrieved 2023-06-22, from https:// search Letters, 22(16), 2257–2260. 824 onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/95GL01089 (\_eprint: 825 https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/95GL01089) doi: 10.1029/ 826 95GL01089 827 Beyreuther, M., Barsch, R., Krischer, L., Megies, T., Behr, Y., & Wassermann, 828 ObsPy: A Python Toolbox for Seismology. J. (2010, May). Seismo-829 logical Research Letters, 81(3), 530–533. Retrieved 2023-04-28, from 830 https://doi.org/10.1785/gssrl.81.3.530 doi: 10.1785/gssrl.81.3.530 831 Boatwright, J., & Choy, G. L. (1986). Teleseismic estimates of the energy radiated 832 by shallow earthquakes. Journal of Geophysical Research, 91(B2), 2095. Re-833 trieved 2022-08-24, from http://doi.wiley.com/10.1029/JB091iB02p02095 834 doi: 10.1029/JB091iB02p02095 835 Boneh, Y., Schottenfels, E., Kwong, K., van Zelst, I., Tong, X., Eimer, M., ... 836 Zhan, Z. (2019).Intermediate-Depth Earthquakes Controlled by In-837

838	coming Plate Hydration Along Bending-Related Faults. Geophysical Re-
839	search Letters, 46(7), 3688–3697. Retrieved 2023-02-23, from https://
840	onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2018GL081585 (_eprint:
841	https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2018GL081585) doi:
842	10.1029/2018GL081585
843	Brudzinski, M. R., Thurber, C. H., Hacker, B. R., & Engdahl, E. R. (2007, June).
844	Global Prevalence of Double Benioff Zones. Science, 316(5830), 1472–1474.
845	Retrieved 2023-02-23, from https://www.science.org/doi/full/10.1126/
846	science.1139204 (Publisher: American Association for the Advancement of
847	Science) doi: $10.1120$ /science. $1139204$
848	Brune, J. N. (1970). Tectonic stress and the spectra of seismic shear
849	waves from earthquakes. Journal of Geophysical Research (1890- 1077) 75(26) 4007 5000 Betrieved 2022 02 12 from https://
850	1977), 75(20), 4997–5009. Retrieved 2025-02-15, from https://
851	https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/JB0/51026p04997 (_eprint:
852	10.1029/3D075020p04997) doi: 10.1029/3D075020p04997) doi:
853	Chang S. Vy. B. & Vetterli M. (2000 Contembor) Adaptive wevelet thresholding
854	for image denoising and compression <i>IEEE Transactions on Image Processing</i>
855	0(0) 1532–1546 (Conference Name: IEEE Transactions on Image Processing)
957	doi: 10.1109/83.862633
057	$C_{OCCO}$ M Tinti E & Cirella A (2016 October) On the scale dependence of
950	earthquake stress drop Journal of Seismology 20(4) 1151–1170 Retrieved
860	2023-06-23 from https://doi org/10 1007/s10950-016-9594-4 doi:
861	10 1007/s10950-016-9594-4
862	Convers J A & Newman A V (2011) Global evaluation of large earth-
863	quake energy from 1997 through mid-2010. Journal of Geophysical Re-
864	search: Solid Earth, 116(B8). Retrieved 2023-05-31. from https://
865	onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2010JB007928 (_eprint:
866	https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2010JB007928) doi:
867	10.1029/2010JB007928
868	Courboulex, F., Vallée, M., Causse, M., & Chounet, A. (2016, May). Stress-
869	Drop Variability of Shallow Earthquakes Extracted from a Global Database
870	of Source Time Functions. Seismological Research Letters, 87(4), 912–918.
871	Retrieved 2023-02-15, from https://doi.org/10.1785/0220150283 doi:
872	10.1785/0220150283
873	Crotwell, H. P., Owens, T. J., & Ritsema, J. (1999, March). The TauP Toolkit:
874	Flexible Seismic Travel-time and Ray-path Utilities. Seismological Research
875	Letters, 70(2), 154–160. Retrieved 2023-03-01, from https://doi.org/
876	10.1785/gssrl.70.2.154 doi: 10.1785/gssrl.70.2.154
877	Danré, P., Yin, J., Lipovsky, B. P., & Denolle, M. A. (2019). Earthquakes
878	Within Earthquakes: Patterns in Rupture Complexity. Geophysical Re-
879	search Letters, 46(13), 7352–7360. Retrieved 2023-05-31, from https://
880	onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2019GL083093 (_eprint:
881	https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2019GL083093) doi:
882	10.1029/2019GL083093
883	Dascher-Cousineau, K., Brodsky, E. E., Lay, T., & Goebel, T. H. W. (2020). What
884	Controls Variations in Aftershock Productivity? Journal of Geophysical Re-
885	search: Solid Earth, 125 (2), e2019JB018111. Retrieved 2023-05-18, from
886	https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2019JB018111
887	(_eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2019JB018111)
888	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
889	Denoile, M. A., & Snearer, P. M. (2016, September). New perspectives on
890	sen-similarity for shallow thrust earthquakes. Journal of Geophysical
891	neseurch: Solid Earth, 121(9), 0555–0505. Retrieved 2022-09-21, from
892	nttps://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/dol/10.1002/2016JB013105 dol:

893	$10.1002/2016 \mathrm{JB}013105$
894	Donoho, D. L., & Johnstone, I. M. (1994, September). Ideal spatial adaptation by
895	wavelet shrinkage. <i>Biometrika</i> , 81(3), 425–455. Retrieved 2023-03-09. from
896	https://doi.org/10.1093/biomet/81.3.425_doi: 10.1093/biomet/81.3.425
907	Douglas A (1997 June) Bandnass filtering to reduce noise on seismograms:
097	Is there a better way? Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America
898	Is there a better way: Dutletin of the Setsmological Society of America, 27(2) 770 777 Detrived 2022 02 00 from https://doi.org/10.1705/
899	87(5), 770-777. Retrieved 2023-05-09, from https://doi.org/10.1785/
900	BSSA0870030770 doi: 10.1785/BSSA0870030770
901	Duputel, Z., Kanamori, H., Tsai, V. C., Rivera, L., Meng, L., Ampuero, JP., &
902	Stock, J. M. (2012, October). The 2012 Sumatra great earthquake se-
903	quence. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 351-352, 247–257. Retrieved
904	2023-05-31, from https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/
905	S0012821X12003846 doi: 10.1016/j.epsl.2012.07.017
906	Dziewonski, A. M., & Anderson, D. L. (1981, June). Preliminary reference
907	Earth model. Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors, 25(4), 297–
908	356. Retrieved 2023-03-12, from https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/
909	article/pii/0031920181900467 doi: 10.1016/0031-9201(81)90046-7
910	Díaz-Mojica, J., Cruz-Atienza, V. M., Madariaga, R., Singh, S. K., Tago,
911	J., & Iglesias, A. (2014). Dynamic source inversion of the M6.5
912	intermediate-depth Zumpango earthquake in central Mexico: A par-
913	allel genetic algorithm. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid
914	<i>Earth.</i> 119(10), 7768–7785. Retrieved 2023-06-23. from https://
915	onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/2013JB010854 (eprint:
916	https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/2013JB010854) doi:
917	10.1002/2013JB010854
018	Frohlich C (1989) The Nature of Deen-Focus Earthquakes Annual $Re$ -
010	view of Earth and Planetary Sciences 17(1) 227–254 Retrieved 2023-
920	02-20 from https://doi.org/10.1146/annurey.ea.17.050189.001303
021	(eprint: https://doi.org/10.1146/annurey.ea.17.050189.001303) doi:
022	101146 /annurev ea 17 050189 001303
022	Frohlich C (2006) Deen Earthquakes Cambridge University Press
525	
U. 27	Green H W & Houston H (1995) The Mechanics of Deep Earthquakes Annual
924	Green, H. W., & Houston, H. (1995). The Mechanics of Deep Earthquakes. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences 23(1) 169–213 Betrieved 2023-
924 925	Green, H. W., & Houston, H. (1995). The Mechanics of Deep Earthquakes. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences, 23(1), 169–213. Retrieved 2023- 02-20. from https://doi.org/10.1146/annurey.ea.23.050195.001125
924 925 926	Green, H. W., & Houston, H. (1995). The Mechanics of Deep Earthquakes. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences, 23(1), 169–213. Retrieved 2023- 02-20, from https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125 (aprint: https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125)
924 925 926 927	Green, H. W., & Houston, H. (1995). The Mechanics of Deep Earthquakes. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences, 23(1), 169–213. Retrieved 2023- 02-20, from https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125 (_eprint: https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125) doi: 10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125
924 925 926 927 928	<ul> <li>Green, H. W., &amp; Houston, H. (1995). The Mechanics of Deep Earthquakes. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences, 23(1), 169–213. Retrieved 2023- 02-20, from https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125</li> <li>(_eprint: https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125) doi: 10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125</li> </ul>
924 925 926 927 928 929	<ul> <li>Green, H. W., &amp; Houston, H. (1995). The Mechanics of Deep Earthquakes. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences, 23(1), 169–213. Retrieved 2023- 02-20, from https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125 (_eprint: https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125) doi: 10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125</li> <li>Hacker, B. R., Peacock, S. M., Abers, G. A., &amp; Holloway, S. D. (2003). Sub- duction factors 2. Are intermediate donth contheucles in subduction.</li> </ul>
924 925 926 927 928 929 930	<ul> <li>Green, H. W., &amp; Houston, H. (1995). The Mechanics of Deep Earthquakes. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences, 23(1), 169–213. Retrieved 2023- 02-20, from https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125 (_eprint: https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125) doi: 10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125</li> <li>Hacker, B. R., Peacock, S. M., Abers, G. A., &amp; Holloway, S. D. (2003). Sub- duction factory 2. Are intermediate-depth earthquakes in subducting claba linked to metamorphic debudynetion meeting?</li> </ul>
924 925 926 927 928 929 930 931	<ul> <li>Green, H. W., &amp; Houston, H. (1995). The Mechanics of Deep Earthquakes. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences, 23(1), 169–213. Retrieved 2023- 02-20, from https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125 (_eprint: https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125) doi: 10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125</li> <li>Hacker, B. R., Peacock, S. M., Abers, G. A., &amp; Holloway, S. D. (2003). Sub- duction factory 2. Are intermediate-depth earthquakes in subducting slabs linked to metamorphic dehydration reactions? Journal of Geo- nbusical Beacamptic Solid Family 108(P1)</li> </ul>
924 925 926 927 928 929 930 931 932	<ul> <li>Green, H. W., &amp; Houston, H. (1995). The Mechanics of Deep Earthquakes. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences, 23(1), 169–213. Retrieved 2023- 02-20, from https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125 (_eprint: https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125) doi: 10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125</li> <li>Hacker, B. R., Peacock, S. M., Abers, G. A., &amp; Holloway, S. D. (2003). Sub- duction factory 2. Are intermediate-depth earthquakes in subducting slabs linked to metamorphic dehydration reactions? Journal of Geo- physical Research: Solid Earth, 108(B1). Retrieved 2023-02-23, from</li> </ul>
924 925 926 927 928 929 930 931 932 933	<ul> <li>Green, H. W., &amp; Houston, H. (1995). The Mechanics of Deep Earthquakes. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences, 23(1), 169–213. Retrieved 2023- 02-20, from https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125 (_eprint: https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125) doi: 10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125</li> <li>Hacker, B. R., Peacock, S. M., Abers, G. A., &amp; Holloway, S. D. (2003). Sub- duction factory 2. Are intermediate-depth earthquakes in subducting slabs linked to metamorphic dehydration reactions? Journal of Geo- physical Research: Solid Earth, 108(B1). Retrieved 2023-02-23, from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2001JB001129</li> </ul>
924 925 926 927 928 929 930 931 932 933 933	<ul> <li>Green, H. W., &amp; Houston, H. (1995). The Mechanics of Deep Earthquakes. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences, 23(1), 169–213. Retrieved 2023- 02-20, from https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125 (_eprint: https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125) doi: 10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125</li> <li>Hacker, B. R., Peacock, S. M., Abers, G. A., &amp; Holloway, S. D. (2003). Sub- duction factory 2. Are intermediate-depth earthquakes in subducting slabs linked to metamorphic dehydration reactions? Journal of Geo- physical Research: Solid Earth, 108(B1). Retrieved 2023-02-23, from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2001JB001129 (_eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2001JB001129)</li> </ul>
924 925 926 927 928 929 930 931 932 933 934 935	<ul> <li>Green, H. W., &amp; Houston, H. (1995). The Mechanics of Deep Earthquakes. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences, 23(1), 169–213. Retrieved 2023- 02-20, from https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125 (_eprint: https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125) doi: 10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125</li> <li>Hacker, B. R., Peacock, S. M., Abers, G. A., &amp; Holloway, S. D. (2003). Sub- duction factory 2. Are intermediate-depth earthquakes in subducting slabs linked to metamorphic dehydration reactions? Journal of Geo- physical Research: Solid Earth, 108(B1). Retrieved 2023-02-23, from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2001JB001129 (_eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2001JB001129) doi: 10.1029/2001JB001129</li> </ul>
924 925 926 927 928 929 930 931 931 932 933 934 935 936	<ul> <li>Green, H. W., &amp; Houston, H. (1995). The Mechanics of Deep Earthquakes. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences, 23(1), 169–213. Retrieved 2023- 02-20, from https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125 (_eprint: https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125) doi: 10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125</li> <li>Hacker, B. R., Peacock, S. M., Abers, G. A., &amp; Holloway, S. D. (2003). Sub- duction factory 2. Are intermediate-depth earthquakes in subducting slabs linked to metamorphic dehydration reactions? Journal of Geo- physical Research: Solid Earth, 108(B1). Retrieved 2023-02-23, from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2001JB001129 (_eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2001JB001129) doi: 10.1029/2001JB001129</li> <li>Houston, H., Benz, H. M., &amp; Vidale, J. E. (1998). Time functions of deep earth-</li> </ul>
924 925 926 927 928 929 930 931 932 933 934 935 936 937	<ul> <li>Green, H. W., &amp; Houston, H. (1995). The Mechanics of Deep Earthquakes. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences, 23(1), 169–213. Retrieved 2023- 02-20, from https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125 (_eprint: https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125) doi: 10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125</li> <li>Hacker, B. R., Peacock, S. M., Abers, G. A., &amp; Holloway, S. D. (2003). Sub- duction factory 2. Are intermediate-depth earthquakes in subducting slabs linked to metamorphic dehydration reactions? Journal of Geo- physical Research: Solid Earth, 108(B1). Retrieved 2023-02-23, from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2001JB001129 (_eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2001JB001129) doi: 10.1029/2001JB001129</li> <li>Houston, H., Benz, H. M., &amp; Vidale, J. E. (1998). Time functions of deep earth- quakes from broadband and short-period stacks. Journal of Geophysical</li> </ul>
924 925 926 927 928 929 930 931 932 933 934 935 936 937 938	<ul> <li>Green, H. W., &amp; Houston, H. (1995). The Mechanics of Deep Earthquakes. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences, 23(1), 169–213. Retrieved 2023- 02-20, from https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125 (_eprint: https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125) doi: 10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125</li> <li>Hacker, B. R., Peacock, S. M., Abers, G. A., &amp; Holloway, S. D. (2003). Sub- duction factory 2. Are intermediate-depth earthquakes in subducting slabs linked to metamorphic dehydration reactions? Journal of Geo- physical Research: Solid Earth, 108(B1). Retrieved 2023-02-23, from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2001JB001129 (_eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2001JB001129) doi: 10.1029/2001JB001129</li> <li>Houston, H., Benz, H. M., &amp; Vidale, J. E. (1998). Time functions of deep earth- quakes from broadband and short-period stacks. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 103(B12), 29895-29913. Retrieved 2023-02-14,</li> </ul>
924 925 926 927 928 929 930 931 932 933 934 933 934 935 936 937 938 939	<ul> <li>Green, H. W., &amp; Houston, H. (1995). The Mechanics of Deep Earthquakes. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences, 23(1), 169–213. Retrieved 2023- 02-20, from https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125 (_eprint: https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125) doi: 10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125</li> <li>Hacker, B. R., Peacock, S. M., Abers, G. A., &amp; Holloway, S. D. (2003). Sub- duction factory 2. Are intermediate-depth earthquakes in subducting slabs linked to metamorphic dehydration reactions? Journal of Geo- physical Research: Solid Earth, 108(B1). Retrieved 2023-02-23, from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2001JB001129 (_eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2001JB001129) doi: 10.1029/2001JB001129</li> <li>Houston, H., Benz, H. M., &amp; Vidale, J. E. (1998). Time functions of deep earth- quakes from broadband and short-period stacks. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 103(B12), 29895–29913. Retrieved 2023-02-14, from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/98JB02135</li> </ul>
924 925 926 927 928 929 930 931 933 934 933 934 935 936 937 938 939 939	<ul> <li>Green, H. W., &amp; Houston, H. (1995). The Mechanics of Deep Earthquakes. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences, 23(1), 169–213. Retrieved 2023- 02-20, from https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125 (.eprint: https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125) doi: 10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125</li> <li>Hacker, B. R., Peacock, S. M., Abers, G. A., &amp; Holloway, S. D. (2003). Sub- duction factory 2. Are intermediate-depth earthquakes in subducting slabs linked to metamorphic dehydration reactions? Journal of Geo- physical Research: Solid Earth, 108(B1). Retrieved 2023-02-23, from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2001JB001129 (.eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2001JB001129) doi: 10.1029/2001JB001129</li> <li>Houston, H., Benz, H. M., &amp; Vidale, J. E. (1998). Time functions of deep earth- quakes from broadband and short-period stacks. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 103(B12), 29895–29913. Retrieved 2023-02-14, from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/98JB02135 (.eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/98JB02135) doi:</li> </ul>
924 925 926 927 928 929 930 931 931 932 933 934 935 936 937 938 939 939 940 941	<ul> <li>Green, H. W., &amp; Houston, H. (1995). The Mechanics of Deep Earthquakes. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences, 23(1), 169–213. Retrieved 2023- 02-20, from https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125 (_eprint: https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125) doi: 10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125</li> <li>Hacker, B. R., Peacock, S. M., Abers, G. A., &amp; Holloway, S. D. (2003). Sub- duction factory 2. Are intermediate-depth earthquakes in subducting slabs linked to metamorphic dehydration reactions? Journal of Geo- physical Research: Solid Earth, 108(B1). Retrieved 2023-02-23, from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2001JB001129 (_eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2001JB001129) doi: 10.1029/2001JB001129</li> <li>Houston, H., Benz, H. M., &amp; Vidale, J. E. (1998). Time functions of deep earth- quakes from broadband and short-period stacks. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 103(B12), 29895–29913. Retrieved 2023-02-14, from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/98JB02135 (_eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/98JB02135) doi: 10.1029/98JB02135</li> </ul>
924 925 926 927 928 929 930 931 931 932 933 934 935 936 937 938 939 940 941	<ul> <li>Green, H. W., &amp; Houston, H. (1995). The Mechanics of Deep Earthquakes. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences, 23(1), 169–213. Retrieved 2023- 02-20, from https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125 (_eprint: https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125) doi: 10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125</li> <li>Hacker, B. R., Peacock, S. M., Abers, G. A., &amp; Holloway, S. D. (2003). Sub- duction factory 2. Are intermediate-depth earthquakes in subducting slabs linked to metamorphic dehydration reactions? Journal of Geo- physical Research: Solid Earth, 108(B1). Retrieved 2023-02-23, from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2001JB001129 (_eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2001JB001129) doi: 10.1029/2001JB001129</li> <li>Houston, H., Benz, H. M., &amp; Vidale, J. E. (1998). Time functions of deep earth- quakes from broadband and short-period stacks. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 103(B12), 29895–29913. Retrieved 2023-02-14, from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/98JB02135 (_eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/98JB02135</li> <li>fueprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/98JB02135</li> <li>fueprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/98JB02135</li> </ul>
924 925 926 927 928 929 930 931 932 933 934 935 936 935 936 937 938 939 940 941 941 942	<ul> <li>Green, H. W., &amp; Houston, H. (1995). The Mechanics of Deep Earthquakes. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences, 23(1), 169–213. Retrieved 2023- 02-20, from https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125 (_eprint: https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125) doi: 10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125</li> <li>Hacker, B. R., Peacock, S. M., Abers, G. A., &amp; Holloway, S. D. (2003). Sub- duction factory 2. Are intermediate-depth earthquakes in subducting slabs linked to metamorphic dehydration reactions? Journal of Geo- physical Research: Solid Earth, 108(B1). Retrieved 2023-02-23, from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2001JB001129 (_eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2001JB001129) doi: 10.1029/2001JB001129</li> <li>Houston, H., Benz, H. M., &amp; Vidale, J. E. (1998). Time functions of deep earth- quakes from broadband and short-period stacks. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 103(B12), 29895-29913. Retrieved 2023-02-14, from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/98JB02135 (_eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/98JB02135) doi: 10.1029/98JB02135</li> <li>Ide, S., &amp; Beroza, G. C. (2001, September). Does apparent stress vary with earth- quake size? Geophysical Research Letters, 28(17), 3349-3352. Retrieved 2022-</li> </ul>
924 925 926 927 928 929 930 931 932 933 934 935 936 935 936 937 938 939 940 941 941	<ul> <li>Green, H. W., &amp; Houston, H. (1995). The Mechanics of Deep Earthquakes. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences, 23(1), 169–213. Retrieved 2023- 02-20, from https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125 (_eprint: https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125) doi: 10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125</li> <li>Hacker, B. R., Peacock, S. M., Abers, G. A., &amp; Holloway, S. D. (2003). Sub- duction factory 2. Are intermediate-depth earthquakes in subducting slabs linked to metamorphic dehydration reactions? Journal of Geo- physical Research: Solid Earth, 108(B1). Retrieved 2023-02-23, from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2001JB001129 (_eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2001JB001129) doi: 10.1029/2001JB001129</li> <li>Houston, H., Benz, H. M., &amp; Vidale, J. E. (1998). Time functions of deep earth- quakes from broadband and short-period stacks. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 103(B12), 29895-29913. Retrieved 2023-02-14, from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/98JB02135 (_eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/98JB02135) doi: 10.1029/98JB02135</li> <li>Ide, S., &amp; Beroza, G. C. (2001, September). Does apparent stress vary with earth- quake size? Geophysical Research Letters, 28(17), 3349-3352. Retrieved 2022- 08-31, from http://doi.wiley.com/10.1029/2001GL013106 doi: 10.1029/</li> </ul>
924 925 926 927 928 929 930 931 932 933 934 935 936 936 937 938 939 940 941 942 942 943 944	<ul> <li>Green, H. W., &amp; Houston, H. (1995). The Mechanics of Deep Earthquakes. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences, 23(1), 169–213. Retrieved 2023- 02-20, from https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125 (.eprint: https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125) doi: 10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125</li> <li>Hacker, B. R., Peacock, S. M., Abers, G. A., &amp; Holloway, S. D. (2003). Sub- duction factory 2. Are intermediate-depth earthquakes in subducting slabs linked to metamorphic dehydration reactions? Journal of Geo- physical Research: Solid Earth, 108(B1). Retrieved 2023-02-23, from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2001JB001129 (.eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2001JB001129) doi: 10.1029/2001JB001129</li> <li>Houston, H., Benz, H. M., &amp; Vidale, J. E. (1998). Time functions of deep earth- quakes from broadband and short-period stacks. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 103(B12), 29895-29913. Retrieved 2023-02-14, from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/98JB02135 (.eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/98JB02135) doi: 10.1029/98JB02135</li> <li>Ide, S., &amp; Beroza, G. C. (2001, September). Does apparent stress vary with earth- quake size? Geophysical Research Letters, 28(17), 3349–3352. Retrieved 2022- 08-31, from http://doi.wiley.com/10.1029/2001GL013106 doi: 10.1029/ 2001GL013106</li> </ul>
924 925 926 927 928 929 930 931 931 932 933 934 935 936 937 938 939 940 941 942 943 944 945 946	<ul> <li>Green, H. W., &amp; Houston, H. (1995). The Mechanics of Deep Earthquakes. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences, 23(1), 169–213. Retrieved 2023- 02-20, from https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125 (.eprint: https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125) doi: 10.1146/annurev.ea.23.050195.001125</li> <li>Hacker, B. R., Peacock, S. M., Abers, G. A., &amp; Holloway, S. D. (2003). Sub- duction factory 2. Are intermediate-depth earthquakes in subducting slabs linked to metamorphic dehydration reactions? Journal of Geo- physical Research: Solid Earth, 108(B1). Retrieved 2023-02-23, from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2001JB001129 (.eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2001JB001129) doi: 10.1029/2001JB001129</li> <li>Houston, H., Benz, H. M., &amp; Vidale, J. E. (1998). Time functions of deep earth- quakes from broadband and short-period stacks. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 103(B12), 29895-29913. Retrieved 2023-02-14, from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/98JB02135 (.eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/98JB02135) doi: 10.1029/98JB02135</li> <li>Ide, S., &amp; Beroza, G. C. (2001, September). Does apparent stress vary with earth- quake size? Geophysical Research Letters, 28(17), 3349–3352. Retrieved 2022- 08-31, from http://doi.wiley.com/10.1029/2001GL013106 doi: 10.1029/ 2001GL013106</li> <li>Ihmlé, P. F. (1998). On the interpretation of subevents in teleseismic wave-</li> </ul>

948	cal Research: Solid Earth, 103(B8), 17919–17932. Retrieved 2023-05-31,
949	<pre>from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/98JB00603</pre>
950	(_eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/98JB00603) doi:
951	10.1029/98JB00603
952	International Seismological Centre, I. (2022). ISC-GEM Earthquake Catalogue. ISC
953	Bulletin. doi: https://doi.org/10.31905/d808b825
954	Kanamori, H. (2004, February). Static and Dynamic Scaling Relations for Earth-
955	quakes and Their Implications for Rupture Speed and Stress Drop. Bulletin of
956	the Seismological Society of America, 94(1), 314–319. Retrieved 2023-06-23,
957	from https://pubs.geoscienceworld.org/bssa/article/94/1/314-319/
958	103081 doi: 10.1785/0120030159
959	Kanamori, H., & Brodsky, E. E. (2004, August). The physics of earthquakes. <i>Re-</i>
960	ports on Progress in Physics, 67(8), 1429–1496. Retrieved 2023-02-16, from
961	https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/0034-4885/67/8/R03 doi:
962	10.1088/0034-4885/67/8/R03
963	Kanamori, H., & Rivera, L. (2006). Energy partitioning during an earthquake. In
964	Geophysical Monograph Series (Vol. 170, pp. 3–13). Washington, D. C.: Amer-
965	ican Geophysical Union. Retrieved 2023-05-29. from https://onlinelibrary
966	.wilev.com/doi/10.1029/170GM03 doi: 10.1029/170GM03
067	Kennet B L N (1991) Jaspei 1991 Seismological Tables Terra Nova
968	3(2) 122–122 Retrieved 2023-03-12 from https://onlinelibrary
969	.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/i.1365-3121.1991.tb00863.x (eprint:
970	https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1111/i.1365-3121.1991.tb00863.x)
971	doi: 10.1111/i.1365-3121.1991.tb00863.x
072	Kennett B L N Engdahl E B $\&$ Buland B (1995 July) Constraints on
972	seismic velocities in the Earth from traveltimes Geophysical Journal Inter-
973	national 122(1) 108–124 Retrieved 2023-03-15 from https://doi.org/
075	10.1111/i.1365-246X.1995.tb03540.x doi: $10.1111/i.1365-246X.1995$
976	tb03540 x
077	Kikuchi M & Fukao V (1987 December) Inversion of long-period P-waves
977	from great earthquakes along subduction zones Tectononbusics $1//(1)$ 231–
970	247 Betrieved 2023-05-31 from https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/
980	article/pii/0040195187900205_doi: 10.1016/0040-1951(87)90020-5
0.021	Kirby S H Stein S Okal E A & Bubie D C (1996) Metastable mantle
901	phase transformations and deep earthquakes in subducting oceanic litho-
983	sphere. <i>Reviews of Geophysics</i> , 34(2), 261–306. Retrieved 2023-02-20.
984	from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/96BG01050
985	(eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/96RG01050) doi:
986	10.1029/96BG01050
0.97	Knopoff L & Bandall M L $(1970)$ The compensated linear-vector dipole:
907	A possible mechanism for deep earthquakes Journal of Geonhusical
989	Research (1896-1977), 75(26), 4957–4963. Retrieved 2023-01-31, from
990	https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/JB075i026p04957
991	(eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/JB075i026p04957)
002	doi: 10.1029/JB075i026p04957
002	Lambert V Lapusta N & Perry S (2021 March) Propagation of large
995	earthquakes as self-healing pulses or mild cracks Nature 591(7849) 252–
005	258 Betrieved 2023-05-15 from https://www.nature.com/articles/
996	s41586-021-03248-1 (Number: 7849 Publisher: Nature Publishing Group)
997	doi: 10.1038/s41586-021-03248-1
009	Li J. Zheng Y. Thomsen L. Lapen T. J. & Fang X. (2018 Sentember)
900	Deep earthquakes in subducting slabs hosted in highly anisotropic rock
399 1000	fabric Nature Geoscience 11(9) 696–700 Retrieved 2022-03-15 from
1001	https://www.nature.com/articles/s41561-018-0188-3 (Number 0
1001	Publisher: Nature Publishing Group) doi: 10.1038/s41561_018_0188_3
1002	r astisher. Manure r astishing Group/ ast. 10.1030/541301-010-0100-3

1003	Luo, H., Zeng, H., Shi, Q., Wang, T., Liao, M., Hu, J., & Wei, S. (2023, Jan-
1004	uary). Could thermal pressurization have induced the frequency-dependent
1005	rupture during the 2019 Mw8.0 Peru intermediate-depth earthquake? Geo-
1006	physical Journal International, 232(1), 115–127. Retrieved 2023-01-31, from
1007	https://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggac329
1008	Madariaga, R. (1976, June). Dynamics of an expanding circular fault. Bulletin of the
1009	Seismological Society of America, 66(3), 639–666. Retrieved 2023-02-15, from
1010	https://doi.org/10.1785/BSSA0660030639 doi: 10.1785/BSSA0660030639
1011	Marguin, V., & Simpson, G. (2023). Influence of Fluids on Earthquakes
1012	Based on Numerical Modeling. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid
1013	Earth, 128(2), e2022JB025132. Retrieved 2023-06-02, from https://
1014	onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2022JB025132 (_eprint:
1015	https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2022JB025132) doi:
1016	10.1029/2022JB025132
1017	Mirwald, A., Cruz-Atienza, V. M., Díaz-Mojica, J., Iglesias, A., Singh, S. K., Villa-
1018	fuerte, C., & Tago, J. (2019). The 19 September 2017 (Mw7.1) Intermediate-
1019	Depth Mexican Earthquake: A Slow and Energetically Inefficient Deadly
1020	Shock. Geophysical Research Letters, 46(4), 2054–2064. Retrieved 2023-06-23,
1021	from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2018GL080904
1022	(_eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2018GL080904) doi:
1023	10.1029/2018GL080904
1024	Montagner, JP., & Kennett, B. L. N. (1996, April). How to reconcile body-wave
1025	and normal-mode reference earth models. <i>Geophysical Journal International</i> ,
1026	125(1), 229–248. Retrieved 2023-03-15, from https://doi.org/10.1111/
1027	j.1365-246X.1996.tb06548.x doi: 10.1111/j.1365-246X.1996.tb06548.x
1028	Mousavi, S. M., & Langston, C. A. (2017, May). Automatic noise-removal/signal-
1029	removal based on general cross-validation thresholding in synchrosqueezed do-
1030	main and its application on earthquake data. <i>Geophysics</i> , 82(4), V211–V227.
1031	Retrieved 2023-03-09, from https://doi.org/10.1190/geo2016-0433.1 doi:
1032	10.1190/geo2016-0433.1
1033	Noda, H., & Lapusta, N. (2013, January). Stable creeping fault segments can
1034	become destructive as a result of dynamic weakening. <i>Nature</i> , 493(7433),
1035	518-521. Retrieved 2023-05-31, from https://www.nature.com/articles/
1036	nature11703 (Number: 7433 Publisher: Nature Publishing Group) doi:
1037	10.1038/nature11703
1038	Novoselov, A., Balazs, P., & Bokelmann, G. (2022, March). SEDENOSS: SEparating
1039	and DENOising Seismic Signals With Dual-Path Recurrent Neural Network
1040	Architecture. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 127(3). Re-
1041	trieved 2022-08-11, from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1029/
1042	2021JB023183 doi: 10.1029/2021JB023183
1043	Oth, A., Parolai, S., Bindi, D., & Wenzel, F. (2009, February). Source Spectra
1044	and Site Response from S Waves of Intermediate-Depth Vrancea, Romania,
1045	Earthquakes. Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America, 99(1), 235–254.
1046	Retrieved 2023-05-18, from https://doi.org/10.1785/0120080059 doi:
1047	10.1785/0120080059
1048	Park, S., Avouac, JP., Zhan, Z., & Gualandi, A. (2023, February). Weak upper-
1049	mantle base revealed by postseismic deformation of a deep earthquake. <i>Nature</i> .
1050	1-6. Retrieved 2023-03-01, from https://www.nature.com/articles/s41586
1051	-022-05689-8 (Publisher: Nature Publishing Group) doi: 10.1038/s41586-022
1052	-05689-8
1053	Park, S., & Ishii, M. (2015, November). Inversion for runture properties based
1054	upon 3-D directivity effect and application to deep earthquakes in the Sea
1055	of Okhotsk region. <i>Geophysical Journal International.</i> 203(2). 1011–1025.
1056	Retrieved 2023-02-03, from https://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggv352 doi:
1057	10.1093/gji/ggv352
	100100

1058 1059	Pearson, D. G., Brenker, F. E., Nestola, F., McNeill, J., Nasdala, L., Hutchison, M. T., Vincze, L. (2014, March). Hydrous mantle transition zone in-
1060	dicated by ringwoodite included within diamond. Nature, 507(7491), 221–
1061	224. Retrieved 2023-02-23, from https://www.nature.com/articles/
1062	nature13080 (Number: 7491 Publisher: Nature Publishing Group) doi:
1063	10.1038/nature13080
1064	Plümper, O., John, T., Podladchikov, Y. Y., Vrijmoed, J. C., & Scambelluri, M.
1065	(2017, February). Fluid escape from subduction zones controlled by channel-
1066	forming reactive porosity. Nature Geoscience, $10(2)$ , $150-156$ . Retrieved
1067	2023-02-23, from https://www.nature.com/articles/ngeo2865 (Number: 2
1068	Publisher: Nature Publishing Group) doi: 10.1038/ngeo2865
1069	Poli, P., & Prieto, G. (2014, December). Global and along-strike variations of source
1070	duration and scaling for intermediate-depth and deep-focus earthquakes. Geo-
1071	physical Research Letters, 41(23), 8315–8324. Retrieved 2022-08-09, from
1072	https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/2014GL061916 doi:
1073	10.1002/2014GL061916
1074	Poli, P., & Prieto, G. A. (2016, December). Global rupture parameters for
1075	deep and intermediate-depth earthquakes. Journal of Geophysical Re-
1076	search: Solid Earth, 121(12), 8871–8887. Retrieved 2022-07-26, from
1077	https://onlinelibrarv.wilev.com/doi/10.1002/2016JB013521 doi:
1078	10.1002/2016JB013521
1079	Prieto G A (2022 May) The <i>Multitaner</i> Spectrum Analysis Package in Python
1080	Seismological Research Letters 93(3) 1922–1929 Retrieved 2022-08-22
1081	from https://pubs.geoscienceworld.org/srl/article/93/3/1922/
1082	612834/The-Multitaper-Spectrum-Analysis-Package-in-Python doi:
1083	10 1785/0220210332
1003	Prieto C A Florez M Barrett S A Beroza C C Pedraza P Blanco
1084	I F & Poveda E (2013) Seismic evidence for thermal runaway
1005	during intermediate-depth earthquake runture <i>Geophysical Research</i>
1000	Letters $10(23)$ 6064-6068 Betrieved 2022-10-11 from https://
1007	(enrint)
1000	https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/2013GL058109) doi:
1009	10 1002/2013GL058109
1000	Prieto C A Froment B Vu C Poli P & Abercrombie B (2017 March)
1091	Earthquake runture below the brittle-ductile transition in continental litho-
1092	spheric mantle Science Advances 3(3) e1602642 Betrieved 2023-06-
1095	$21 \text{ from https://www.science.org/doi/full/10_1126/sciedy_1602642}$
1094	(Publisher: American Association for the Advancement of Science) doi:
1095	10 1126/sciady 1602642
1090	Prieto C A Parker B L & Vernon III F L $(2000 \text{ August})$ A Fortran $00$
1097	library for multitaner spectrum analysis Computers & Consciences 25(8)
1090	1701-1710 Retrieved 2023-05-01 from https://www.sciencedirect.com/
1099	science/article/nii/S0098300409000077 doi: 10.1016/j.cageo.2008.06
1100	007
1101	Padulian M. & Papa M. (1006 August). Scaling of source parameters for Vrances
1102	(Romania) intermediate depth earthquakes — Testenenheisies 961(1) 67.81
1103	Retrieved 2023 05 18 from https://www.scioncodirect.com/scionce/
1104	article/pii//00/0195196000571_doi: 10.1016/00/0.1051/06/00057.1
1105	Disc. I. D. (2006) Heating and machine of faults during conthemate align. Low
1106	nice, J. R. (2000). nearing and weakening of faults during earthquake slip. Jour-
1107	from https://onlinelibrory.uiler.com/doi/ohc/10.1000/0005 JD004000
1108	non nucps://onineitorary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2005JB004006
1109	(_eprint: https://oninenorary.wney.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2000JB004000) doi: 10/1020/2005 IB004006
1110	$\begin{array}{c} 10.1023/20000 \\ \text{Schwandt D. Lacobean C. D. Decken T. W. Lin 7. & Ducken V. C. (2014) \\ \end{array}$
1111	Juna) Debudention molting at the tag of the larger weight $G$
1112	June). Denymation menting at the top of the lower manue. Science,

1112	3//(6189) 1265-1268 Retrieved 2023-02-23 from https://www.science
1113	org/doi/full/10.1126/science.1253358 (Publisher: American Associa-
1115	tion for the Advancement of Science) doi: 10.1126/science.1253358
1116	Shi Q (2023 April) TeleseismicDenoiser: DenoTe Zenodo Betrieved 2023-06-01
1117	from https://zenodo.org/record/7807794 doi: 10.5281/zenodo.7807794
1118	Shi, Q., & Wei, S. (2020). Highly Heterogeneous Pore Fluid Pressure Enabled
1110	Rupture of Orthogonal Faults During the 2019 Ridgecrest Mw7.0 Earthquake.
1120	Geophysical Research Letters, 47(20), e2020GL089827. Retrieved 2023-05-31.
1121	from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2020GL089827
1122	(_eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2020GL089827) doi:
1123	10.1029/2020GL089827
1124	Singh, S. K., Pacheco, J. F., Bansal, B. K., Pérez-Campos, X., Dattatravam,
1125	R. S., & Suresh, G. (2004, August). A Source Study of the Bhuj, In-
1126	dia. Earthquake of 26 January 2001 (Mw 7.6). Bulletin of the Seismo-
1127	logical Society of America, 94(4), 1195–1206. Retrieved 2023-06-01, from
1128	https://doi.org/10.1785/012003212 doi: 10.1785/012003212
1129	Sobolev, A. V., Asafov, E. V., Gurenko, A. A., Arndt, N. T., Batanova, V. G., Port-
1130	nyagin, M. V., Byerly, G. R. (2019, July). Deep hydrous mantle reservoir
1131	provides evidence for crustal recycling before 3.3 billion years ago. Nature,
1132	571(7766), 555-559. Retrieved 2023-02-23, from https://www.nature.com/
1133	articles/s41586-019-1399-5 (Number: 7766 Publisher: Nature Publishing
1134	Group) doi: 10.1038/s41586-019-1399-5
1135	Steblov, G. M., Ekström, G., Kogan, M. G., Freymueller, J. T., Titkov, N. N.,
1136	Vasilenko, N. F., Kondratyev, M. N. (2014). First geodetic observa-
1137	tions of a deep earthquake: The 2013 Sea of Okhotsk Mw 8.3, 611 km-deep,
1138	event. Geophysical Research Letters, 41(11), 3826–3832. Retrieved 2023-01-31,
1139	from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/2014GL060003
1140	(_eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/2014GL060003) doi:
1141	10.1002/2014 GL060003
1142	Stockwell, R., Mansinha, L., & Lowe, R. (1996, April). Localization of the complex
1143	spectrum: the S transform. $IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing, 44(4),$
1144	998–1001. (Conference Name: IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing) doi:
1145	10.1109/78.492555
1146	Thomson, D. (1982, September). Spectrum estimation and harmonic analysis. Pro-
1147	ceedings of the IEEE, $70(9)$ , 1055–1096. (Conference Name: Proceedings of
1148	the IEEE) doi: $10.1109/PROC.1982.12433$
1149	Tibi, R., Bock, G., & Wiens, D. A. (2003). Source characteristics of large deep
1150	earthquakes: Constraint on the faulting mechanism at great depths. Jour-
1151	nal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 108(B2). Retrieved 2023-06-01,
1152	from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2002JB001948
1153	(_eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2002JB001948) doi:
1154	10.1029/2002JB001948
1155	Tsai, V. C., Nettles, M., Ekström, G., & Dziewonski, A. M. (2005). Mul-
1156	tiple CMT source analysis of the 2004 Sumatra earthquake. Geophys-
1157	ical Research Letters, 32(17). Retrieved 2023-05-31, from https://
1158	onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2005GL023813 (_eprint:
1159	10 1020 / 2005 CT 022813 doi/ doi/ pdi/ 10.1029/ 2005 GL023813) doi:
1160	Takeunan O Huang & Chambeng E Distance In V. D. Ma C. D
1161	с Р. Toit K. (2019 March) Lee VII inclusions in diamonda. E-id-
1162	G. R., Ialt, K. (2016, March). ICC-VII inclusions in diamonds: Evidence for acuoous fluid in Earth's doop months
1163	Betrieved 2023 02 23 from https://www.geience.org/doi/full/10_1126/
1165	science 2020-02-20, 110111100ps.//www.science.org/doi/1011/10.1120/
1165	Science) doi: 10.1126/science aao3030
1100	Turner & R. Ferreira & M. C. Borbellini A. Brantut N. Facconda M.
110/	rumer, n. n., remena, n. w. G., Derbemm, A., Dranbub, N., rattenda, W.,

1168	& Kendall, E. (2022). Across-Slab Propagation and Low Stress Drops
1169	of Deep Earthquakes in the Kuril Subduction Zone. <i>Geophysical Re-</i>
1170	search Letters, 49(16), e2022GL098402. Retrieved 2023-05-01, from
1171	https://onlinelibrarv.wilev.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2022GL098402
1172	(_eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2022GL098402)
1173	doi: 10.1029/2022GL098402
1174	Vallée M (2013 October) Source time function properties indicate a strain
1174	drop independent of earthquake depth and magnitude Nature Communi-
1175	cations $l(1)$ 2606 Betrieved 2023-03-15 from https://www.neture.com/
1170	articles/ncomme3606 (Number: 1 Publisher: Nature Publishing Group) doi:
1170	10 1038/ncomms3606
1170	Vonkataraman $\Lambda_{k}$ k Kanamori H (2004) Observational constraints on
1179	the fracture energy of subduction zone earthquakes
1180	nhusical Pascarah: Solid Farth 100(P5) Potrioved 2023 05 01 from
1181	https://onlinelibrary.viloy.com/doi/oba/10_1020/2002 IP002540
1182	( oprint: https://onlinelibrowy.viley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/200306002549
1183	(-epinit: nttps://oninenbiary.wney.com/doi/pdi/10.1029/200505002049)
1184	$U: D \subseteq U \subseteq$
1185	viesca, R. C., & Garagash, D. I. (2015, November). Ubiquitous weakening of faults
1186	due to thermal pressurization. Nature Geoscience, $\delta(11)$ , $\delta 75-\delta 79$ . Retrieved
1187	2022-12-04, from https://www.nature.com/articles/ngeo2554 (Number:
1188	11 Publisher: Nature Publishing Group) doi: 10.1038/ngeo2554
1189	Warren, L. M., & Shearer, P. M. (2006, January). Systematic determination of
1190	earthquake rupture directivity and fault planes from analysis of long-period
1191	P-wave spectra. Geophysical Journal International, $164(1)$ , $46-62$ . Retrieved
1192	2023-06-22, from https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246X.2005.02769.x
1193	doi: 10.1111/j.1365-246X.2005.02769.x
1194	Wei, S., Helmberger, D., Zhan, Z., & Graves, R. (2013). Rupture complexity of the
1195	Mw 8.3 sea of okhotsk earthquake: Rapid triggering of complementary earth-
1196	quakes? Geophysical Research Letters, $40(19)$ , 5034–5039. Retrieved 2023-05-
1197	31, from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/grl.50977
1198	(_eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/grl.50977) doi:
1199	$10.1002/{ m grl}.50977$
1200	Wiens, D. A. (2001, December). Seismological constraints on the mechanism of deep
1201	earthquakes: temperature dependence of deep earthquake source properties.
1202	<i>Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors</i> , 127(1), 145–163. Retrieved
1203	2023-06-01, from https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/
1204	S0031920101002254 doi: 10.1016/S0031-9201(01)00225-4
1205	Yamasaki, T., & Seno, T. (2003). Double seismic zone and dehydration
1206	embrittlement of the subducting slab. Journal of Geophysical Re-
1207	search: Solid Earth, 108(B4). Retrieved 2023-02-23, from https://
1208	onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2002JB001918 (_eprint:
1209	https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2002JB001918) doi:
1210	10.1029/2002JB001918
1211	Ye L Lav T & Kanamori H (2020 November) Anomalously low aftershock
1212	productivity of the 2019 MW 8.0 energetic intermediate-depth faulting be-
1212	neath Peru Earth and Planetary Science Letters 5/9 116528 Retrieved
1213	2023-05-18 from https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/
1214	S0012821X20304726 doi: 10.1016/i.epsl.2020.116528
1215	Vo I Law T Kanamori H Zhan Z & Duputol Z (2016 Juno) Divorso rup
1216	ture processes in the 2015 Dorn doop on the unker doublet Science Advances
1217	2(6) a1600581 Batriaved 2023 01 31 from https://www.acience.arg/doi/
1218	full/10 1126/aciedy 1600E91 (Dublishow Amorican Accounting for the
1219	Advancement of Science) doi: 10.1126/sciendy.1600581
1220	Via I Danello M A (* He D (2022 December) A $\cdots$ 14:4-1- $\cdots$ 1 1
1221	I III, J., Denone, M. A., & He, B. (2022, December). A multitask encoder-decoder
1222	to separate eartinguake and ambient noise signal in seismograms. Geophys-

1223	ical Journal International, 231(3), 1806–1822. Retrieved 2022-09-25, from
1224	https://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggac290 doi: 10.1093/gji/ggac290
1225	Yin, J., Li, Z., & Denolle, M. A. (2021, March). Source Time Function Clustering
1226	Reveals Patterns in Earthquake Dynamics. Seismological Research Letters,
1227	92(4), 2343-2353. Retrieved 2023-05-31, from https://doi.org/10.1785/
1228	0220200403 doi: 10.1785/0220200403
1229	Zhan, Z. (2020). Mechanisms and Implications of Deep Earthquakes. Annual Re-
1230	view of Earth and Planetary Sciences, $48(1)$ , 147–174. Retrieved 2022-10-04,
1231	from https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-earth-053018-060314 (_eprint:
1232	https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-earth-053018-060314) doi: 10.1146/annurev
1233	-earth-053018-060314
1234	Zhan, Z., Helmberger, D. V., Kanamori, H., & Shearer, P. M. (2014, July).
1235	Supershear rupture in a Mw $6.7$ after shock of the 2013 Sea of Okhotsk
1236	earthquake. Science, $345(6193)$ , 204–207. Retrieved 2023-06-22, from
1237	https://www.science.org/doi/full/10.1126/science.1252717 (Pub-
1238	lisher: American Association for the Advancement of Science) doi: $10.1126/$
1239	science.1252717
1240	Zhan, Z., Kanamori, H., Tsai, V. C., Helmberger, D. V., & Wei, S. (2014, Jan-
1241	uary). Rupture complexity of the 1994 Bolivia and 2013 Sea of Okhotsk deep
1242	earthquakes. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 385, 89–96. Retrieved
1243	2023-06-22, from https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/
1244	S0012821X13005979 doi: 10.1016/j.epsl.2013.10.028
1245	Zhang, R. (2019, June). Making Convolutional Networks Shift-Invariant Again.
1246	arXiv. Retrieved 2023-02-15, from http://arxiv.org/abs/1904.11486
1247	(arXiv:1904.11486 [cs]) doi: 10.48550/arXiv.1904.11486
1248	Zhu, W., Mousavi, S. M., & Beroza, G. C. (2019, November). Seismic Signal
1249	Denoising and Decomposition Using Deep Neural Networks. IEEE Irans-
1250	actions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, 57(11), 9476–9488. Retrieved
1251	2022-08-11, from https://leeexplore.leee.org/document/88022/8/ doi:
1252	10.1109/1 GR 5.2019.2920772
1253	tion to improve generalization of deep neural networks
1254	Combusing (Vol. 61, pp. $151-177$ ) Elsovier Botrioved 2022 06 15 from
1255	https://linkinghub_elsevier_com/retrieve/nii/S0065268720300030
1250	doi: 10.1016/bs.amb.2020.07.003
1257	Zollo A Orefice A & Convertito V (2014) Source parameter scal-
1258	ing and radiation efficiency of microearthquakes along the Irpinia fault
1259	zone in southern Apennines Italy Iournal of Geophysical Research
1200	Solid Earth 119(4) 3256–3275 Retrieved 2023-06-01 from https://
1262	onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/2013.IB010116 (eprint:
1263	https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10/1002/2013.IB010116)
1264	10.1002/2013JB010116

# Supporting Information for "Improved observations of deep earthquake ruptures using machine learning"

Qibin Shi<sup>1</sup> and Marine A. Denolle<sup>1</sup>

 $^1\mathrm{Department}$  of Earth and Space Sciences, University of Washington

# Contents of this file

- 1. Figure S1. Loss during the training.
- 2. Figure S2. Map view of the apparent stress and scaled duration of deep earthquakes.
- 3. Figure S3. Corner frequency with earthquake moment.
- 4. Figure S4. Geometrical configuration between source directivity and seismic ray.
- 5. Figure S5. Spectra of noisy and denoised P waves of an Mw5.5 deep event.
- 6. Figure S6. Stress drop against seismic moment at different depths.
- 7. Figure S7. Stress drop derived from the duration estimates.
- 8. Figure S8. Fall-off rate of model spectra and the inferred apparent stress.
- 9. Figure S9. Source parameters estimated using the noisy raw P waves.
- 10. Figure S10. Fracture energy and radiation efficiency using the AK135-f model.
- 11. Figure S11. The SNR (dB) improvement for the deep earthquakes in this analysis.

Corresponding author: Q. Shi, Department of Earth and Space Sciences, University of Washington, 4000 15th Avenue NE, Seattle, WA 98195-1310, USA. (qibins@uw.edu)

# Additional Supporting Information (Files uploaded separately)

1. Tables S1 (uploaded as Seismic\_network\_DOI\_list.txt). The Digital Object Identifiers (DOIs) of seismic networks were used in this study. The network codes and DOIs are obtained from the International Federation of Digital Seismograph Networks (FDSN).



**Figure S1.** Loss during the training. The loss of each reconstructed waveform is the average between the mean-squared error and the cross-correlation coefficient. The total loss (blue dots) is the sum of losses in the signal branch (green dots) and noise branch (purple dots). The validation loss is used to determine the termination time of the training in order to prevent overfitting.



Figure S2. Map view of the apparent stress and scaled duration of the deep earthquakes.

X - 5



**Figure S3.** Corner frequency with earthquake moment. (a) The scaling relation between the earthquake moment and the inverse of corner frequency is shown by dots color-coded by event depth and fitted with linear lines in the logarithmic space. (b) The inverse of corner frequency scaled to the same moment and shear-wave velocity is plotted with event depth. (c) and (d) show the same relation between the inverse of corner frequency and the source duration but color-coded by event depth and magnitude respectively.


Figure S4. Cartoon representing the geometrical configuration between source directivity and seismic ray and the parameters used to calculate rupture directivity. The rupture direction is shown as a thick arrow with horizontal azimuth  $\phi_r$  and inclination angle  $\beta$  is on the fault plane (shaded) with strike  $\phi_s$  and dip  $\alpha$ . The seismic ray between the source and the receiver is a dashed arrow with horizontal azimuth  $\phi_i$ , and inclination angle  $\gamma_i$  deviates from the rupture directivity vector with an angle  $\theta_i$ .



Figure S5. Spectra of noisy and denoised P waves of an Mw5.5 deep event. (a) and (b) are the raw P waves and the denoised P waves, respectively, of the Mw5.5 earthquake. The P waves are aligned with the peak amplitude, stretched based on the maximum cross-correlation coefficients and arranged by their station azimuth relative to the epicenter. The blue traces are flipped with signs for better alignment. The orange and purple dots mark the first and last points of the original time window for alignment. (c) and (d) are azimuthally binned average spectra and the stacked total spectra for noisy and denoised waveforms respectively. The thick green and red lines are the stacked spectra of the signal window and the noise window preceding the signal, respectively. The blue dashed lines are the best-fit spectral model, marked with the corner frequency shown as white dots.



Figure S6. Stress drop against seismic moment in the two depth range: 100-300 km and 300-700km. The slope of the best fit regression is indicated in the text box.



**Figure S7.** Stress drop derived from the duration estimates. (a) Stress drop against the seismic moment color-coded by depth. (b) Stress against depth color-coded by moment magnitude.

X - 9



**Figure S8.** Fall-off rate of model spectra and the inferred apparent stress. (a) Variation of fall-off rate with earthquake moment color-coded by event depth. (b) Variation of fall-off rate with event depth color-coded by event size. (c) The scaling relation between the apparent stress and earthquake moment is color-coded by event depth. The green squares and the green line represent the binned average of apparent stress and the best-fit scaling relation. (d) Variation of apparent stress with event depth color-coded by event size.

and spectral-fitting derived corner frequency is relatively low compared to the denoised results



June 23, 2023, 7:28pm



**Figure S10.** Fracture energy and radiation efficiency inferred using the AK135-f average Earth's velocity model. (a) and (b) are the scaling relation between fracture energy and slip that is best fitted by the power-law scaling. (a) is color-coded by event depth and (b) by event size. (c) Variation of radiation efficiency with earthquake moment. (d) Variation of radiation efficiency with teh event depth. Green squares represent the binned average values of radiation efficiency.



**Figure S11.** The SNR (dB) improvement for the deep earthquakes in this analysis. (a) The average increase amount of SNR for individual events after denoising, shown against event moment color-coded by depth. (b) Histogram of the SNR improvement among all events selected for analysis.