

Zuhriddin Juraev<sup>1</sup> and Young-Jin Ahn<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Geography, Chonnam National University

July 7, 2023



# International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews

Journal homepage: [www.ijrpr.com](http://www.ijrpr.com) ISSN 2582-7421

## Geography, Experience, and Imagination: A Review of Lowenthal's Work

*Young-Jin Ahn<sup>1</sup>, Zuhridin Juraev<sup>1,\*</sup>*

<sup>1</sup>Department of Geography, Chonnam National University

Yongbong-Ro 77, Buk-Gu, Gwangju 500-757, Korea

E-mail address: [chonnamphdteam@gmail.com](mailto:chonnamphdteam@gmail.com) / <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6804-7273>

### ABSTRACT

This article is a chapter review of a student, focusing on "Geography, Experience, and Imagination: Towards a Geographical Epistemology" by David Lowenthal, published in the Annals of the Association of American Geographers in 1961. The essay examines the scope, aim, educational aspect, and benefits of the article.

**Keywords:** Place, Cognition, Theory, Behavior, Geography.

### Introduction

This article is a student examination essay that addresses the intricate interplay of geography, experience, and imagination and aims to develop a comprehensive understanding of geographical epistemology. It explores the profound influence of human perception, cultural diversity, and personal experience in shaping our understanding of the world. The main goal of the article is to emphasize the crucial importance of incorporating subjective elements, namely personal experience, imagination, and cultural context, into geographical analysis. By emphasizing the central role of human subjectivity in the formation of geographical knowledge, it challenges the conventional view of geography as a detached and objective science. An invaluable teaching tool for students, researchers, and teachers in geography and related fields, it encourages students to engage in a nuanced inquiry into how perception, cultural diversity, and personal experience actively shape our understanding of geographic phenomena. It also argues for a fundamental reassessment of traditional approaches to geography and urges the adoption of interdisciplinary and reflexive perspectives. This article offers numerous benefits to its readers. It broadens the scope of geographic research by incorporating subjective elements to promote a nuanced understanding of human-environment interactions. By acknowledging the influence of cultural diversity and personal experiences, it promotes inclusivity and challenges biases in geographic research. It also stimulates critical thinking and reflexivity in geographic analysis, leading to comprehensive and contextually informed interpretations of the world. Overall, this article presents "Geography, Experience, and Imagination: Towards a Geographical Epistemology" as a valuable educational resource that broadens perspectives, challenges traditional notions, and promotes a holistic and integrative approach to geographic understanding. Let us now dive into a brief overview of the chapter.

### Main Summary

Geographers analyze aspects of the environment using the same scale and groups as they are commonly understood in daily life. However, their approach is more theoretical, organized, objective, consistent, and comprehensive compared to typical inquiries. The field of geography encompasses knowledge and concepts about humans and the environment, including topics such as truth and error, factual information, complex relationships, established laws, uncertain hypotheses, and insights from natural and social sciences, history, common sense, intuition, and even mystical experiences. Geography closely aligns with ordinary speech by observing and categorizing environmental factors in dimensions and categories that people commonly perceive. It is a broad and diverse discipline, reflecting the wide range of interests and abilities of individuals. While geography has a narrower focus compared to the broader scope of humanity, its discourse revolves around information and concepts about people and their environments. In a sense, every person who observes their surroundings qualifies as a geographer to some extent. What is remarkable is the widespread agreement on the nature and organization of the world, which extends beyond the confines of the discipline itself. This consensus is shared by billions of individuals worldwide, not limited to professional geographers.

Many aspects of geography are known even to those unfamiliar with scientific concepts. These include the typical relationships between objects and their surroundings, the distinctive placement of features on the Earth's surface, the characteristic texture, weight, appearance, and physical state of land, air, and water, the regular transition from day to night, and the division of areas by individuals, families, or groups. Schools teach the world as a sphere with specific continents, oceans, countries, peoples, and ways of life. This geographical consensus tends to be additive, scientific, and cumulative. Consequently, most people today share a similar understanding of the world that is built upon the knowledge accumulated through various experiments

and observations. Although humanity as a whole may eventually attain a "sense of space current at or near the most advanced frontier of thought," no individual or entity has traversed that frontier extensively. Only a fraction of the broadly shared worldview, which can be communicated theoretically, is accepted within Western scientific society. In contrast, primitive worldviews were straightforward and consistent enough for everyone to share most of their content. The amount of knowledge a person can acquire in a single moment or lifetime is negligible compared to the vastness of the environment, and the boundaries of knowledge are expanding at an overwhelming pace. The emergence of new sciences enhances our capacity for understanding and cognition, but the technical methods and jargon associated with these disciplines can hinder effective communication. It is often assumed that certain information is common knowledge when, in reality, it may not be.

We are all susceptible to self-deception, believing that certain claims are supported by the scientists within our social circles. Only mentally sound, healthy, and sentient adults can experience the fundamental aspects of our shared worldview. Individuals with psychotic conditions struggle to differentiate between themselves and the external world, while those with limited intellectual capacity face challenges in understanding concepts like space, time, or causality. Mystics, claustrophobics, and individuals with a fear of open spaces may perceive their own body spaces as extensions of the external world. Schizophrenic individuals often misjudge distance and size, and invalids may experience disorientation or lose familiar landmarks and symbols. It takes maturity to perceive the world from others' perspectives since infants are unable to distinguish their own identity from that of others. According to Piaget, young children hold the belief that everything in the world is alive, created by and for humans, and endowed with will. In their early stages, toddlers struggle to arrange objects in space, imagine locations beyond their immediate sight, or extrapolate from perceptual experiences, making them limited in their geographic understanding. It takes many years for children to realize that others perceive the world from different perspectives and that a comprehensive understanding of things cannot be achieved from a single viewpoint alone. Piaget charts the growth of conceptual and perceptual objectivity in children, which is essential for grasping even the simplest and most localized aspects of geography.

Age-related hearing loss, visual impairments, and other health issues can lead older individuals to feel disconnected from reality and experience a sense of regression into a second infancy. As time progresses, the General Consensus—the collective understanding of the world—changes, influenced by the knowledge and discoveries of each generation. New facts emerge, leading to the development of new theories that require a revised version of the worldview constructed by geographers. Both scientists and the general public tend to ignore data that contradicts their beliefs, and outdated hypotheses are often discarded in favor of convenience rather than factual accuracy. The erosion of a worldview occurs gradually over time rather than disappearing instantly. For instance, in the seventeenth century, many scholars believed that the Earth was initially smooth, regular, and uniform. However, this view was eventually superseded by a more nuanced understanding of God, humanity, and aesthetic standards. The most self-centered worldview that humans can adopt is one that revolves solely around themselves. However, it is important to recognize that other animals have distinct experiences and perspectives. The human visual world is highly diverse, with some individuals possessing the ability to discern finer details, see better in low light, perceive ultraviolet rays as colors, and focus more sharply on objects at different distances. However, the human perceptual experience operates within certain limits for each sensory modality. The brightness of lighting, the volume of thunder, or the sensation of rain's wetness during a storm are all determined by established formulas specific to human perception. While scientific tools can offer some understanding of other environments, real or imagined, they do not fully capture the experience of normal human vision at microscopic scales. The central nervous systems and perceptual abilities of many other species differ qualitatively and quantitatively from those of humans.

Personal geography plays a significant role in conversations as it shapes the daily existence of each individual. It encompasses both more and less than the common body of knowledge, being simultaneously more restricted, limited, and constrained. The entire planet is composed of a vast mosaic of small unexplored territories, representing private worlds. In comparison to the shared world, these private environments are more complex and less amenable to systematic inquiry and investigation. Within personal consciousness, several "New Worlds" coexist, such as the individual subconscious territories of the Virginias and Carolinas, the collective unconscious's Far West, and the realm of visionary experiences. These exist alongside the "Old World" of personal consciousness. The shared understanding of the world is influenced by metaphysical assumptions, such as the concept of original sin and the belief in inherent human perfection. In contrast to the broader field of geography, fantasy plays a more prominent role within personal environments. Imagination, distortion, and ignorance continue to shape our private landscapes. We all become Gullivers in our own Lilliput or Alices in our personal Wonderlands. Despite potential alterations or reinterpretations, personal milieus remain closely tied to the shared "real" world. The sensation can occur even in the absence of external stimuli, and the mind's eye serves as a powerful tool for observing the world. If the mental image of the world did not align with the external reality, we would struggle to function outside of a mental institution and would never have developed a shared worldview.

Perception plays a vital role in our lives due to the constant and sudden changes in our environment. Our private environments, in contrast, evolve slowly and possess a certain degree of flexibility, adaptability, and shapelessness. Individually, we learn most efficiently by rapidly assimilating a wide range of information. As thinking, feeling, and believing are interconnected processes, perception itself can never be considered pure. Essential perception encompasses every perspective on the world, whether conscious or unconscious, vague or distinct, objective or subjective, unintentional or intentional, literal or schematic. It is the convergence of perception, memory, reasoning, and faith that allows for the most authentic and straightforward experience of the world. Each individual within a social group, people from different cultures, and even the same person as a child and as an adult possess significantly diverse private milieus. Each person inhabits a unique milieu, making distinct choices within it and responding to it in various ways, rendering each private viewpoint distinctive. Appraisals are also heavily influenced by society and culture, as each social system organizes the world according to its unique criteria and structure, and each culture interprets the environment through its distinct style and techniques. For example, Eskimo maps often precisely depict the number and shape of turns in rivers and roads but omit linear distances, according to Stefansson.

Different cultures have varied approaches to compass directions. The Tuamotus use wind directions, the Chukchee of Siberia differentiate between twenty-two compass directions, the Tikopians use inward and seaward to locate objects, and Westerners tend to be more spatially egocentric compared

to Chinese or Balinese cultures. On the North China plain, orientation is influenced by the religious significance of the cardinal directions, while the Balinese refer to all directions in terms of compass points. Research conducted by Zulu using the Ames trapezoidal window demonstrated that the sense of shape is also culturally conditioned, with Zulu participants perceiving it as a trapezoid more frequently than Americans, who typically see it as a rectangle. While getting lost is an unpleasant experience for anyone, the Balinese have difficulty finding their north. Territoriality, which varies among different groups, refers to the ownership, division, and assessment of space. Cultural differences are also evident in spatial behavior. Japanese individuals tend to gravitate towards the center of a room, Europeans are reluctant to give up space, and American workers stake out claims around the walls, adjusting to accommodate new hires. Samoans emphasize the overall impression, Moroccans focus on details, and Trukese people differentiate various open spaces. Eastern Mediterranean Arabs make a social distinction between the right and left sides of exterior offices. The most fundamental color terminology relates to brightness and wetness levels, and color systems are more emotional and subjective than scientific. Color encompasses a wide range of culturally distinct categories of experience and observed events. For example, the Trukese categorize freshwater and saltwater as unrelated substances, the Aruntas divide the night sky into several constellations, the Aleuts lack a general name for their chain of islands, and the gauchos classify the plant world into four named groupings. An attempt by an Indian administrator to use colors as fair voting symbols failed because color also carries economic, ethical, and aesthetic dimensions.

Linguistic diversity greatly influences the way different speech communities perceive the world. Words that have names are easier to discern than those without names, and the categorization of objects into mass (sand, flour, grass, snow) or nouns (man, dog, thimble, leaf), as well as masculine, feminine, or neuter genders, can shape individuals' perception of things. Language, like the environment, adapts to an individual's perspective. The frame through which experiences are understood is shaped and molded by language, and different languages accomplish this in varying ways. Beyond just vocabulary, the structural elements of language have a profound impact on how people conceptualize the world, and syntax permeates fundamental modes of thinking. For example, La Barre suggests that the Shawnee phrase "I let her have one on the noggin" is semantically equivalent to "The damned thing slipped out of my hand." In contrast to European languages, where action follows perception and the transitive verb assigns purpose and reason to every event, Greenlandic speakers often perceive events without a clear cause. The distinction in French between the imperfect and perfect tenses, for instance, contrasts the uniformity of nature with the uniqueness of human experience in a way that English typically does not convey. Furthermore, significant differences exist even within linguistic families. According to Waismann, growing up speaking a particular language leads us to develop a shared perspective on the world. Private worldviews diverge from one another even when considering logical necessity, physiological differences, and social norms.

Form and color can appear differently when viewed from new angles, and prolonged observation can transform red into an apparent green or cause a figure to appear smaller in relation to its surroundings. The intent behind perception alters the character of the world, and appearances are shaped by expectations. The appearance of a landscape depends on all the surrounding factors, and our initial impression of a place often becomes our lasting impression. Trowbridge discovered numerous subjective mental maps of the United States that deviated by degrees ranging from zero to 180. Lynch describes structural mental representations of the world as positional, fragmented, flexible, or inflexible depending on whether individuals primarily orient themselves by distant landmarks, memories of specific details, intersections, street turns, directions, or maps. Since all information is influenced by feelings, subjective aspects in private geography are inherently unique and cannot be reduced to objective criteria alone.

Our ability to distinguish between people, places, and things is often influenced by our personal connections and emotions. Even with thorough examination of images and ethnographic data, it is difficult to discern members of a different race with the same ease, quickness, and certainty that intense emotions can bring. Stereotypes shape our learning and perception of the world. Henry James's iconic geographies are interpretive studies that capture the essence of a location by emphasizing its implications rather than its mere appearance. Our conceptualization of the distant, unknown, and different is often based on what is close, familiar, and self-evident to us, and we tend to view everything in terms of ourselves. Therefore, we question the exclusive reliance on science as the sole source of truth, as truth should be presented in a manner that best suits its nature. The influence of our past experiences on how we perceive our environment exemplifies subsequent occupancy. Our childhood experiences and our present encounters shape our identity as adults. Quine suggests that we are ingrained with an outdated natural philosophy from an early age, while Portmann argues that we are all still influenced by pre-Copernican thinking. According to Mead, prior assumptions can continue to distort our perceptions in later experiences, leading to errors in reasoning or syntax that may be rooted in long-forgotten cultural influences.

No one can fully replicate another person's "known land." Our adult histories encompass memory, which significantly impacts how we perceive the world, including colors, abstract concepts, hypotheses, and the shared perspectives of entire cultures. Recollections can obscure details of the actual contemporary landscape, as depicted in Pratolini's *Il Quartiere*, where people in a burned-out and deserted area of Florence continue to walk along the lines of the old streets instead of cutting diagonally across the square where buildings once stood. The environment acts as a mnemonic device, helping people remember the history and ideals of their community. Our perceptions of nature and humanity are shaped by personal experiences, education, learning, imagination, and memory. Each person's unique perspective, influenced by cultural and individual lenses of habit and preference, creates order and organizes space, time, and causality according to their perceptions. Human reasoning, optics, artificial light, artificial color, and conceptions of the good, the real, and the beautiful all contribute to the construction of a unified geographical understanding of the world. As Raleigh eloquently expressed in his essay, it is not reality but opinion that can freely travel the world without needing a passport.

---

## Rationale

So, this review of a chapter offers valuable lessons that enrich our understanding of the discipline. This review reminds us that perception is not a fixed or objective process but is influenced by various factors. By acknowledging the subjectivity of perception and the role of individual and cultural

perspectives, we can approach geographic analysis with greater openness and sensitivity. This report highlights the profound impact of cultural and linguistic diversity on how people perceive and interpret the world. This awareness underscores the need to incorporate diverse perspectives, languages, and cultural contexts to gain a fuller understanding of geographic phenomena.

The texts call attention to the influence of stereotypes and prejudices on our understanding of the world. By acknowledging and challenging these preconceived notions, we can strive for more accurate and comprehensive geographic analyses that avoid perpetuating harmful stereotypes. This review also highlights the intersections of geography with other disciplines such as psychology, anthropology, and linguistics. Incorporating interdisciplinary approaches allows us to explore the complex interactions between people and their environment, broadening our perspectives and promoting a holistic understanding.

In addition, the text emphasizes the importance of considering historical, social, and cultural contexts when interpreting landscapes, places, and cultures. This contextual understanding helps us avoid oversimplifications and provides for a more nuanced understanding of geographic phenomena. The texts emphasize how memory and historical experience shape our perceptions of the environment. By acknowledging the role of memory in shaping our understanding of landscapes and the importance of historical context, we can deepen our knowledge and appreciation of the relationship between past and present geographies. This survey, then, challenges the notion of absolute objectivity in geographic knowledge. They encourage critical thinking and reflexivity by highlighting the subjective nature of our interpretations. Recognizing the influence of personal and cultural perspectives encourages a more nuanced and self-conscious approach to geographic research and analysis. This essay, then, encourages us to approach geography with humility and to explore diverse perspectives. By taking interdisciplinary approaches, being mindful of biases, and considering the subjective nature of perception, we can strive for a more comprehensive understanding of the ever-changing world in which we live.

## References

- Lowenthal, D. (1961). *Geography, Experience, and Imagination: Towards a Geographical Epistemology*.  
*Annals of the Association of American Geographers*, 51(3), 241–260. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2561658>
- Relph, E. (1976). *Place and Placelessness*. Pion.
- Tuan, Y. F. (1977). *Space and Place: The Perspective of Experience*. University of Minnesota Press.
- Soja, E. W. (1989). *Postmodern Geographies: The Reassertion of Space in Critical Social Theory*. Verso.
- Massey, D. (1994). *Space, Place, and Gender*. University of Minnesota Press.
- Cresswell, T. (1996). In *Place/Out of Place: Geography, Ideology, and Transgression*. University of Minnesota Press.
- Harvey, D. (2006). *Spaces of Global Capitalism: A Theory of Uneven Geographical Development*. Verso.
- Mitchell, D. (2008). *Imagining the Post-Apartheid State: An Ethnographic Account of Namibia*. University of Pennsylvania Press.
- Marston, S. A., Jones III, J. P., & Woodward, K. (Eds.). (2009). *Human Geography without Scale*. Transaction Publishers.
- Thrift, N. (2011). *Non-Representational Theory: Space, Politics, Affect*. Routledge.
- Gibson-Graham, J. K. (2013). *A Postcapitalist Politics*. University of Minnesota Press.
- Elden, S. (2017). *Foucault's Last Decade*. Polity Press.