Sensitivity of seismic-noise based methods to controlled water content changes : insight from laboratory measurements

Thomas Gaubert Bastide¹, Clarisse Bordes¹, Stéphane Garambois¹, Daniel Brito¹, Olivia Collet², and Christophe Voisin¹

¹Affiliation not available

²Laboratoire des Fluides Complexes et de leurs Réservoirs Université de Pau et des Pays de l'Adour E2S UPPA, CNRS

June 23, 2023

Abstract

In recent years, seismic-noise based methods have shown great potential for the monitoring of various processes occurring in the Earth's crust. Among them, the fine detection of hydrological variations at different scales is a major issue in the context of water resources management (Gaubert-Bastide et al. 2022). In order to better understand the sensitivity of continuous seismic wavefields to water content variations affecting both saturated and unsaturated zones, we have set up a dynamic and meter-scale laboratory experiment. This sandbox experiment reproduces field surveys based on the recording and processing of seismic background noise (hundred to kiloHertz range) in the context of hydrological variations. These multiple measurements, which benefit from independent measurements of pressure and water saturation at different depths, allow us to refine the sensitivity of the seismic wavefield to variations in water content and hysteretic behavior related to different phases of imbibition/drainage.





Aim of the study

In recent years, seismic-noise based methods have shown great potential for the monitoring of various processes occurring in the Earth's crust. Among them, the fine detection of hydrological variations at different scales is a major issue in the context of water resources management. In order to better understand the sensitivity of continuous seismic wavefields to water content variations affecting both saturated and unsaturated zones, we have set up a dynamic and meter-scale laboratory experiment. This sandbox experiment reproduces field surveys based on the recording and processing of seismic background noise (hundred to kiloHertz range) in the context of hydrological variations. These multiple measurements, which benefit from independent measurements of pressure and water saturation at different depths, allow us to refine the sensitivity of the seismic wavefield to variations in water content and hysteretic behavior related to different phases of imbibition/drainage.

The experimental setup



2 Pressure sensors 12 Saturation probes 1 piezoelectric loudspeaker



Seismic noise is continuously emitted and recorded during 4 imbibition/drainage cycles (72h)

Wavefield recovery and identification

From the recording of the seismic noise on the accelerometer array, we obtain a set of **45 pairs of** receivers with various distances.

Seismograms are recovered from the crosscorrelations after whitenning and filtering.

A clear wave propagates with a 60 m/s velocity (low saturation)





polarization confirm the wavefront to be associated to **Rayleigh waves**

Sensitivity of seismic-noise based methods to controlled water content changes: insight from laboratory measurements

Thomas Gaubert–Bastide^{1,2}, Clarisse Bordes¹, Stéphane Garambois², Daniel Brito¹, Olivia Collet¹ and Christophe Voisin ²

Sensitivity kernels









Sensitivity kernels show the Rayleigh wave to be very sensitive to the first 15cm *i.e.* to the vadose zone

Velocity changes by the stretching method



Crosscorrelation averaged in the [2300-3000] min time window (very stable period under low saturation) is used as the **reference for stretching**





2e-08

Spectacular velocity variations are observed (>30%) which follow the pore pressure measurements

A drift is observed during the two first cycles due to a compaction effect

Discrepancies in velocity variations obtained for various bandwidths suggest a possible effect of sensitivity kernels that can be tested by using a complete Biot-Gassman approach that involves pore pressure effects

Predictions Vs Measurements Saturation and pore pressure measurements allow us to predict velocity changes: **Within the Biot-Gassman fluid substitution assumption:** in this classical approach velocity changes are due to variations in bulk modulus and density. It clear fails in predicting huge velocity variations. **Within the Biot-Gassman-Walton approach:** that also accounts for the pore pressure changes extrapolated at every depth from. It predicts stronger variations but an unperfect match with observed velocity changes — Measured — Biot-Gassmar 1400 Monitoring time (min) Improving predictions needs to account for the sensitivity kernel! Biot-Gassman-Walton **model** is weightened for every **depth** using the sensitivity kernels of the Rayleigh wave Monitored 200-300 □ It is performed in frequency Drv Kerne ranges that involve various sensitivity kernels The match is remarkable and reproduces lower Monitored 400-500 H; velocity changes for highest Wet Kerne frequencies Ionitoring time (min) Conclusion • Seismic noise crosscorrelation has the ability to monitor the vadose zone when dealing with surface waves Due to strong saturation and pore pressure changes in the vadose zone, velocity changes are spectacular (>30%) provide remarkable predictions (1) Laboratoire des Fluides Complexes et de leurs Réservoirs Université de Pau et des Pays de l'Adour E2S UPPA, CNRS, Total, Pau, France

(2) ISTerre Université Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, IRD, Univ. Savoie Mont-Blanc, Grenoble, France

Corresponding authors: tgaubert001@univ-pau.fr / clarisse.bordes@univ-pau.fr









□ The depth-intergation of Biot-Gassman-Walton models weighten by sensitivity kernels



H52H-0552