## Observation of an Electron Microburst With an Inverse Time-of-Flight Energy Dispersion

Mykhaylo Shumko<sup>1</sup>, Yoshizumi Miyoshi<sup>2</sup>, Lauren W Blum<sup>3</sup>, Alexa J. Halford<sup>4</sup>, Aaron Breneman<sup>4</sup>, Arlo Johnson<sup>5</sup>, John Sample<sup>5</sup>, David Klumpar<sup>5</sup>, and Harlan E. Spence<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Johns Hopkins University Applied Physics Laboratory <sup>2</sup>Institute for Space-Earth Environmental Research, Nagoya University <sup>3</sup>Unknown <sup>4</sup>NASA Goddard Space Flight Center <sup>5</sup>Montana State University <sup>6</sup>University of New Hampshire

July 17, 2023

### Observation of an Electron Microburst With an Inverse Time-of-Flight Energy Dispersion

# M. Shumko<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Y. Miyoshi<sup>4</sup>, L.W. Blum<sup>5</sup>, A.J. Halford<sup>2</sup>, A.W. Breneman<sup>2</sup>, A.T. Johnson<sup>6</sup>, J.G. Sample<sup>7</sup>, D.M. Klumpar<sup>7</sup>, and H.E. Spence<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Johns Hopkins University Applied Physics Laboratory, Laurel, Maryland, USA
 <sup>2</sup>NASA's Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, Maryland, USA
 <sup>3</sup>Department of Astronomy, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland, USA
 <sup>4</sup>Institute for Space-Earth Environmental Research, Nagoya University, Japan
 <sup>5</sup>Laboratory for Atmospheric and Space Physics, University of Colorado, Boulder, Colorado, USA
 <sup>6</sup>Institute for the Study of Earth, Oceans, and Space, University of New Hampshire, New Hampshire,
 USA
 <sup>7</sup>Department of Physics, Montana State University, Bozeman, Montana, USA

#### Key Points:

1

2

3

13

14	•	FIREBIRD-II observed a microburst whose 250 keV electrons arrived before the
15		650 keV electrons
16	•	We estimate that the observed inverse energy dispersion of $0.1 \text{ ms/keV}$ is statis-
17		tically significant
18	•	Our observations are consistent with the inverse time-of-flight model of chorus waves
19		resonating with 100s keV electrons

Corresponding author: M. Shumko, msshumko@gmail.com

#### 20 Abstract

Interactions between whistler mode chorus waves and electrons are a dominant mech-21 anism for particle acceleration and loss in the outer radiation belt. One form of this loss 22 is electron microburst precipitation: a sub-second intense burst of electrons. Despite pre-23 vious investigations, details regarding the microburst-chorus scattering mechanism—such 24 as dominant resonance harmonic—are largely unconstrained. One way to observation-25 ally probe this is via the time-of-flight energy dispersion. If a single cyclotron resonance 26 is dominant, then higher energy electrons will resonate at higher magnetic latitudes: some-27 times resulting in an inverse time-of-flight dispersion with lower-energy electrons lead-28 ing. Here we present a clear example of this phenomena, observed by a FIREBIRD-II 29 CubeSat on 27 August 2015, that shows good agreement with the Miyoshi-Saito time-30 of-flight model. When constrained by this observation, the Miyoshi-Saito model predicts 31 that a relatively narrowband chorus wave with a  $\sim 0.2$  of the equatorial electron gyrofre-32

<sup>33</sup> quency scattered the microburst.

#### <sup>34</sup> Plain Language Summary

Wave-particle interactions are a ubiquitous phenomenon in plasmas. Around Earth, 35 interactions between electrons and a plasma wave termed whistler mode chorus leads to 36 both the acceleration of the outer Van Allen radiation belt electrons, and rapid precip-37 itation of electrons into Earth's atmosphere. One form of this precipitation is called elec-38 tron microbursts: a sub-second and intense bursts of electrons most often observed by 39 high altitude balloons and low Earth orbiting satellites. While microbursts have been 40 studied since the dawn of the Space Age, fundamental details regarding how they are 41 generated are largely unknown. One clue to the properties of the scattering mechanism 42 comes from energy-dependent time-of-flight dispersion signatures. Electrons with a larger 43 kinetic energy move faster, and will therefore precipitate before the electrons with lower 44 kinetic energy. However, in this paper we show observations made by the FIREBIRD-45 II CubeSat mission of the opposite: lower-energy electrons arriving first. This counter-46 intuitive phenomena, termed inverse time-of-flight energy dispersion, together with mod-47 els, is a powerful tool to sense the detailed nature of how plasma waves scatter electrons 48 in Earth's near space environment. 49

#### 50 1 Introduction

Wave-particle interactions are ubiquitous phenomena in plasmas and are a vitally 51 52 important driver of Earth's outer Van Allen radiation belt dynamics. Specifically, whistler mode chorus waves are believed to contribute significantly to radiation belt electron ac-53 celeration and loss (e.g., Miyoshi et al., 2003; Bortnik & Thorne, 2007; Miyoshi et al., 54 2013; Reeves et al., 2013; Lejosne et al., 2022). Whistler mode chorus waves are right-55 hand circularly polarized and exist in two frequency bands: the lower band spanning ap-56 proximately  $\Omega = 0.1 - 0.4 \omega_{ce}$ , and the upper band approximately spanning  $\Omega = 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 - 0.5 -$ 57 0.9  $\omega_{ce}$  with a gap near 0.5  $\omega_{ce}$ , where  $\omega_{ce}$  is the electron gyro frequency (J. Li et al., 58 2019). Chorus waves often originate at the magnetic equator and propagate to higher 59 magnetic latitudes ( $\lambda$ ) where they can scatter electrons over a wide range of energies (e.g. 60 Horne & Thorne, 2003). 61

The effect of a chorus wave on an electron will, in general, differ in each subsequent 62 gyration and will average to zero over many gyrations (Walker, 1993). However, if the 63 electron experiences a static electric field during its gyration, the electron is in resonance 64 and can experience substantial acceleration or deceleration (e.g. Omura et al., 2009). One 65 form of chorus-electron gyro-resonance that leads to significant microburst flux occurs 66 when the electrons and the chorus wave are counter-steaming (e.g., Tsurutani & Lakhina, 67 1997; Lorentzen et al., 2001; Miyoshi et al., 2020; Kang et al., 2022). The resonance con-68 dition between relativistic electrons and field aligned chorus waves is often expressed as 69

$$\Omega + k_{||}v_{||} = \frac{n\omega_{ce}}{\gamma},\tag{1}$$

where the wave vector parallel to the background magnetic field is  $k_{||}$ , the electron velocity parallel to the background magnetic field is  $v_{||}$ , the resonance harmonic is n, and the Lorentz factor is  $\gamma$ . Here we use the sign convention where  $k_{||}$  and  $v_{||}$  are both positive, despite the fact that they must counter-propagate for resonance to occur.

Lorentzen et al. (2001) applied this resonance condition to estimate the energy-dependent 74 magnetic latitude of electrons interacting with chorus waves. The authors found that par-75 allel chorus waves can scatter 1 MeV electrons into the atmosphere via the n = 1 cy-76 clotron resonance harmonic at high magnetic latitudes ( $\lambda = 15^{\circ} - 30^{\circ}$ ). Horne and Thorne 77 (2003), Miyoshi et al. (2020), A. V. Artemyev et al. (2021), and others came to a sim-78 ilar conclusion. Alternatively, oblique chorus waves can scatter electrons too, but that 79 necessitates higher n (or n = 0 Landau resonance) and intense waves that are seldom 80 observed (e.g. A. Artemyev et al., 2016; Agapitov et al., 2018; A. Artemyev et al., 2022). 81 Out of the two possibilities, recent theoretical and observational results favor the cyclotron 82 resonance of field-aligned chorus waves with electrons (e.g. Shen et al., 2021; Chen et 83 al., 2022); this is the assumption that we adopt here. 84

Assuming field-aligned chorus waves, the n = 1 resonance condition results in a 85 energy-dependent electron time-of-flight (TOF) dispersion that has been modeled in a 86 few studies (e.g. Miyoshi et al., 2010; Saito et al., 2012). With prescribed wave param-87 eters, these TOF models predict microburst precipitation time as a function of energy 88 (dispersion curves). In other words, observations of the TOF energy dispersion, together 89 with models, can constrain the high-altitude wave environment that produces the pre-90 cipitation. Miyoshi et al. (2010) developed a TOF model by considering the magnetic 91 latitude where the first order cyclotron resonance condition is satisfied. The TOF ingre-92 dients include the time it takes the chorus wave to propagate to the  $\lambda$  where it will res-93 onate with counter-propagating electrons, the time the recently-resonant electron take 94 to reach the magnetic equator, and the quarter bounce period for the electron to travel 95 from the magnetic equator to the ionosphere. The authors used this model to describe 96 the observed energy dispersion of 1-10 keV electrons: the higher energy electrons ar-97 rive before the lower energy electrons. In passing, Miyoshi et al. (2010) also mentioned 98 that their TOF model sometimes predicted inverse dispersion: the higher energy elec-99 trons arrive after the lower energy electrons—a counterintuitive effect of interest in this 100 study. 101

Saito et al. (2012) used this TOF model to further explore the necessary conditions 102 for inverse dispersed microbursts. The authors found that the TOF dispersion should 103 be normal (high energy electrons lead) for sub-100 keV electrons, and inverse for > 100104 keV electrons. This effect was also confirmed with test-particle simulations in Miyoshi 105 et al. (2020) and Chen et al. (2020). We illustrate how this model can produce inverse 106 dispersion in Fig. 1(A)-(D). An instrument with sufficient time and energy resolution, 107 as well as sufficient energy extent, would observe a bow-shaped TOF dispersion curve 108 spanning 10-1000 keV energies. Considering a particle instrument sensitive to > 200 keV 109 electrons, the TOF model predicts that that those electrons will be inverse dispersed. 110 Figure 1(E) shows how this dispersion would appear in a time series. 111

A note regarding the terminology used in Saito et al. (2012). Saito et al. (2012) use the *negative* and *positive* dispersion terminology (in reference to the slope of the peak microburst flux in an energy-time spectrogram). For clarity, Saito et al. (2012)'s *positive* dispersion is equivalent to Miyoshi et al. (2010)'s *inverse* dispersion. And for simplicity, we henceforth use the *inverse* dispersion nomenclature only.



Figure 1. The time progression of electrons undergoing counter-streaming cyclotron resonance with field-aligned chorus wave. Panel (A) shows the electrons along the field line and a chorus wave as it begins propagating. Panels (B) and (C) show the magnetic latitudes where 250 and 650 keV counter-streaming electrons resonate with the chorus wave, and their path to the ionosphere. The 250 keV electrons resonate first, followed by the 650 keV electrons shorty after. While faster, the higher energy electrons resonate later, and must travel further to the ionosphere (represented by the curved arrows of differing length). Panel (D) shows the locations of these two microburst electron populations at the end of the their propagation in the ionosphere—the high energy electrons lag slightly behind the low energy electrons. At the same time as Panel (D), Panel (E) shows the observed flux in low Earth orbit. Here, the low-energy microburst peak arrives  $\Delta t$  before the high-energy microburst peak.

The TOF model allows us to constrain the chorus wave frequencies, range of resonant  $\lambda$ , and test if (or when) the n = 1 cyclotron resonance assumption—common in wave-particle scattering models—is valid.

While normally dispersed electron precipitation have been reported elsewhere, es-120 pecially in relation to pulsating aurora (e.g. Yau et al., 1981; Sato et al., 2004; Miyoshi 121 et al., 2010; Kawamura et al., 2021), inverse dispersed microbursts have not been clearly 122 observed. In this study we use The Focused Investigations of Relativistic Electron Burst 123 Intensity, Range, and Dynamics (FIREBIRD-II; Crew et al. (2016); Johnson et al. (2020)) 124 CubeSats and show a clear example of an inverse dispersed microburst observed on 27 125 August 2015 at 12:40:37 UT. The 18.75 ms cadence data was sufficient to resolve the dis-126 persion in four energy channels spanning 230-770 keV. We fit the observed dispersion 127 with a line and use Bayesian inference to account for instrument uncertainties. Lastly, 128 we place our observations in context by constraining the wave-particle interaction us-129 ing the TOF model (Miyoshi et al., 2010; Saito et al., 2012). 130

#### 131 2 Methodology

132

#### 2.1 The FIREBIRD-II CubeSats

The FIREBIRD-II mission consists of a pair of 1.5U CubeSats launched on 31 Jan-133 uary 2015 into a polar low Earth orbit. Part of their mission was to use their small spa-134 tial separation to quantify the spatial scale size of 200 keV to > 1 MeV microbursts with 135 the collimated detector's 6 energy channels (Crew et al., 2016; Shumko et al., 2018). Af-136 ter a few months, their separation increased beyond the size of any known microburst 137 (a few hundred km, see Shumko, Johnson, Sample, et al. (2020) and references within), 138 and the FIREBIRD-II science team began pursuing secondary science objectives includ-139 ing coordinated observations during conjunction with high altitude satellites (Breneman 140 et al., 2017; Capannolo et al., 2019; Duderstadt et al., 2021), and high time resolution 141 campaigns to observe microburst dispersion. For the latter objective, FIREBIRD-II col-142 lected 18.75 and 12.50 ms high resolution (HiRes) data—a cadence on the order of the 143 inverse dispersion delays theorized by Saito et al. (2012) for electron precipitation in the 144 100s keV range. 145

146

#### 2.2 Microburst Identification and Fitting

Finding and analyzing inverse-dispersed microbursts consists of three main steps: find microbursts, calculate the time of the microburst peak as a function of energy, and quantify the TOF energy dispersion. These steps are expanded on below.

Step 1: we find microbursts in the FIREBIRD-II collimated detector data using the burst parameter algorithm (O'Brien et al., 2003) that has been used in numerous studies (e.g. Douma et al., 2017; Shumko et al., 2021). To use this detection algorithm, we calculated the 100 and 500 ms running average counts in the  $\sim 250$  keV channel (lowest energy channel). To the averaged counts we then applied the detection algorithm with the same parameters as described in O'Brien et al. (2003),

Step 2: we calculate the arrival time of the microburst peak in *each* energy chan-156 nel. We applied the same methodology as in Shumko et al. (2021) by fitting the microburst 157 count time series in each energy channel with a Gaussian superposed with a trend line. 158 As in Shumko et al. (2021), we estimated the goodness of fit using the  $R^2$  statistic. We 159 then visually surveyed the detected microbursts and searched for inverse-dispersed mi-160 crobursts that were well-fit across multiple energy channels. During this process we dis-161 carded microbursts observed when the FIREBIRD-II's collimated detector was affected 162 by dead time or saturation: both are described in Johnson et al. (2020) and in Appendix 163

A. For each energy channel, we save the time of fitted peak microburst flux, and apply it in the third step.

Step 3: lastly we compare the time differences between the peak microburst flux 166 across energies and quantify the dispersion. For this we define the time lag between mi-167 croburst peak times in each energy channel as  $\Delta t_n = t_n - t_0$ , where  $t_n$  is the peak time 168 of the microburst in the *n*th energy channel, and  $t_0$  is the peak time in the lowest en-169 ergy channel. Inverse dispersed microbursts have a positive slope with this convention 170 when plotted as a function of energy. Then we quantify the average rate of dispersion 171 by fitting a line to the set of  $\Delta t_n$ . This allows us to readily see dispersion, inverse or oth-172 erwise, and calculate the average rate of dispersion in that energy range. 173

While fitting a line to the  $\Delta t_n$ , we need to consider the instrumental uncertainties in energy and time. One way to do this naturally is with Bayesian inference that defines uncertain parameters using probability density functions (e.g. Kruschke, 2014; Shumko, Johnson, Sample, et al., 2020). It allows us to define the *prior*—the range of possible fit parameter values. The *prior* is then updated during the Bayesian inference, constrained by the data and its uncertainty. The output is an updated version of the *prior*, called the *posterior* distribution.

We parameterize the uncertainty in time using a likelihood  $\mathcal{L} \sim Normal(\sigma = 18.75)$ 181 with units of [ms]. In energy, we describe the uncertainty with three assumptions: no 182 uncertainty, electrons uniformly distributed within each energy channel range, and elec-183 trons exponentially distributed within each energy channel range. While we tested all 184 three assumptions, due to the exponentially-falling microburst energy spectrum (Johnson 185 et al., 2021), the exponential energy channel assumption is the most realistic. For the 186 linear fit prior we assumed y-intercept ~ Normal( $\mu = 0, \sigma = 50$ ) with units of [ms], 187 and slope  $\sim Normal(\mu = 0, \sigma = 5)$  with units [ms/keV]. 188

Once fit, we characterize the range of possible slopes and y-intercepts, incorporating the data and uncertainties, with the mean and the 95% credible interval (CI) of the *posterior*. The mean of the *posterior* distribution is similar to the result using traditional least squares optimization.

#### 193 **3 Results**

The inverse dispersed microburst of interest here was observed on 27 August 2015 at 12:40:37 UT while FIREBIRD-II Flight Unit 3 (FU3) orbited above the southern tip of Greenland, at an L-shell of 5, and magnetic local time (MLT) of 10. At this location, FU3 only observed electrons that precipitated within a bounce period—inside the region called the bounce loss cone (e.g. J. B. Blake et al., 1996; Shumko et al., 2018; Greeley et al., 2019; Shumko, Johnson, O'Brien, et al., 2020).

Figure 2(A-D) show microburst electron count rates observed in four channels spanning 231-770 keV energies. Superposed on the counts is the result of the automated Gaussian fit with a linear trend (step 2), fit using the interval of data within the vertical grey rectangles. The Gaussian fits converged well to the microburst in these energy channels, with  $R^2 > 0.8$ . As a guide, we added a vertical dotted black line, aligned to the time of peak counts in the lowest energy channel (panel D), to help visually identify dispersion. The peak microburst counts were delayed at higher energies—the concrete signature of inverse dispersion.

To see this dispersion more clearly, Fig. 2(E) shows the peak time lag,  $\Delta t$ . The xaxis error bars correspond to the energy channel range, estimated with a GEometry ANd Tracking (Geant4; Agostinelli et al., 2003) model of the FIREBIRD-II detectors (Johnson et al., 2020). The y-axis error bars correspond to the 18.75 ms instrument cadence.



Figure 2. Inversely-dispersed microburst observed on 27 August 2015 at 12:40:37 UT. Panels (A)-(D) show the collimated detector counts spanning 230-770 keV energies in descending order. In each panel, FU3's counts are the solid step-line, while the superposition of Gaussian and linear fits is the dashed black line. The grey vertical bars span the 300-ms interval of data used for the fit, and the vertical dotted line is a guide to help identify dispersion. Panel (E) shows the peak time lag as a function of energy. The x-error bars corresponds to the energy channel range and y-errors correspond to the collimated detector cadence. We fit the peak time delay with a linear model. The black dashed line shows the best fit,  $\frac{1}{7}$  with the fit slope and the 95% credible interval (CI) annotated.

We then fit the points in Fig. 2(E) with a line to estimate the average dispersion (step 3). As previously mentioned, the uncertainty in peak time is parameterized with a Normal *log-likelihood*, and uncertainty in energy is parameterized with three assumptions and compared. For the exponential energy spectrum uncertainty, we calculated the exponential decay parameter from the data. That is, we fit the microburst flux to

$$J = J_0 e^{-E/E_0},$$
 (2)

and we found that the exponential decay parameter to be  $E_0 = 86$  keV, similar to the typical microburst spectrum reported by Johnson et al. (2021). Figure 2(E) shows the resulting linear fit, with the optimal TOF dispersion slope of 0.1 [ms/keV] with the 95% credible interval (CI) spanning 0.03–0.17 [ms/keV]. The other two x-error uncertainty assumptions resulted in a similar optimal dispersion slopes of 0.09 [ms/keV]—a 10% difference.

#### 4 Discussion and Conclusion

Notwithstanding a lack of magnetically conjugate high-altitude satellites at this time, 224 we compared the rate of dispersion delay to the TOF model (Miyoshi et al., 2010; Saito 225 et al., 2012) with the following inputs. A 3 kHz/s rising tone chorus element sweep rate, 226 and plasma density estimated using the Sheeley et al. (2001) model evaluated at the L-227 shell of FU3. We assume the constant plasma density along the field line. Figure 3 shows 228 three resulting TOF curves corresponding to different chorus wave frequencies spanning 229  $0.2 - 0.4 \omega_{ce}$ . Our observation is consistent with the 0.2  $\omega_{ce}$  curve—significantly con-230 straining the wave frequency that generated the microburst. 231

This conclusion is observationally supported by the Shue et al. (2019) and Shumko et al. (2021) results. The authors found that the chorus rising tone frequency sweeps over a wide range of frequencies on timescales longer than relativistic microbursts by a factor of 3-4. Since microburst electrons are scattered over a duration shorter than the frequency sweep, this suggests that relativistic microbursts are scattered by a relatively narrower band of wave frequency.

In modeling this microburst, we assumed that the plasma density is uniform along 238 the magnetic field line (Sheeley et al., 2001). In reality, this assumption is simplistic as 239 modulations in the plasma density can be as small as a few tens of km (Agapitov et al., 240 2011; Hosseini et al., 2021). This scale is similar to the  $\sim 28$  km equatorial distance that 241 FU3 traversed during this microburst (estimated using the Tsyganenko (1989) magnetic 242 field model). Density irregularities can duct chorus waves to high magnetic latitudes with-243 out significant attenuation, and modify the ratio of the plasma to cyclotron frequencies 244 that controls the resonant energies involved in wave-particle interactions (Summers et 245 al., 1998; Thorne et al., 2005; Miyoshi et al., 2015; Chen et al., 2022; A. V. Artemyev 246 et al., 2021). A parametric study of this effect on the electron TOF will be a subject of 247 future work. 248

Inverse dispersed microburst observations have also been reported by Kawamura et al. (2021), who analyzed a FIREBIRD-II conjunction above an auroral all sky imager that concurrently observed pulsating aurora. The authors detected the inverse TOF energy dispersion by applying the Hilbert transform and reported that the  $\Delta t$  were shorter than the FIREBIRD-II cadence during that observation.

Besides Kawamura et al. (2021) and this study, inverse dispersed microbursts are absent in the literature. Despite our efforts to automate this methodology to find more inverse dispersed microbursts, the FIREBIRD-II collimated detector is sometimes affected by dead time and saturation that can appear as inversely dispersed microbursts. The example in Appendix A demonstrates this saturation characteristic. As a result, reliable identification of inverse dispersed microbursts observed by FIREBIRD-II must be done by visual inspection.



Figure 3. Time-of-flight curves derived from the Miyoshi-Saito model (Saito et al., 2012). The colored curves correspond to chorus waves with normalized frequencies spanning  $0.2 - 0.4 \omega_{ce}$ . The four points correspond to the observed dispersion with the highest energy point pinned to the time nearest to where the curves intersect.

While FIREBIRD-II's 12.5-18.75 ms cadence, and 6 energy channels appear suf-261 ficient for observing microburst dispersion, working with this data taught us a few lessons 262 for future instrument development. For an instrument designed to test the TOF model 263 (Saito et al., 2012; Miyoshi et al., 2010), especially to observe the dispersion inflection 264 in the TOF curves (at 200 keV in Fig. 3) the overarching requirement is to observe enough 265 electrons across an energy range spanning 10s keV - 1 MeV. This is where the main dif-266 ficulty lies—there are exponentially fewer high-energy electrons than low-energy electrons, and the required fast sample rate necessitates the use of a large geometric factor for de-268 tection of high energy flux (e.g. Sullivan, 1971). This requirement must be met under 269 the constraints of sampling quickly enough, with enough differential energy channels, and 270 over a sufficient energy span. The number of differential energy channels may also be 271 crucial to constrain the wave generation region via ray tracing models. 272

Moreover, there may be a physical explanation for why inverse-dispersed microbursts are seldom observed. While the microburst studied here precipitated immediately as it was in the bounce loss cone region in the North Atlantic, this is not always the case. If the microburst is observed in the drift loss cone, some of the microburst electrons may survive successive glances off the atmosphere, shown by J. B. Blake et al. (1996) and Shumko et al. (2018), and any signature of inverse TOF dispersion will be quickly undone by bouncephase mixing and drift (O'Brien et al., 2022).

In summary, we found a clear inverse dispersed microburst where the high energy 280 electrons lagged behind the low energy electrons in the 231-770 keV range. We estimated 281 that the higher energy electrons arrived progressively later with a TOF dispersion of 0.1 [ms/keV]. Considering the instrument uncertainty, the range of probable dispersion values spans 283 284 0.03 - 0.17 [ms/keV]. This counter-intuitive effect is theoretically supported, assuming a field-aligned 0.2  $\omega_{ce}$  chorus wave resonated with electrons via the n = 1 cyclotron res-285 onance (Miyoshi et al., 2010; Saito et al., 2012). Consequently, our observation supports 286 that the first-order cyclotron resonance was most efficient, and the chorus wave prop-287 agated to high magnetic latitudes without significant attenuation (Thorne et al., 2005; 288 W. Li et al., 2011; Agapitov et al., 2013; Colpitts et al., 2020; Chen et al., 2022). It is 289 also evidence that a single microburst can be attributed to scattering by a wave with a 290 narrow range of frequencies. Finally, this study confirms that the TOF theory produces 291 credible results, and helps constrain the high-altitude plasma and wave environment where 292 microbursts are generated. 203

#### <sup>294</sup> Appendix A Saturation and Dead Time

The FIREBIRD-II count data is at times affected by dead time and saturation. Identifying dead time is relatively straightforward as additional penetrating particles do not produce a signal, resulting in 0 counts in the HiRes data across all energy channels.

FIREBIRD-II detectors also saturate when the electron energy spectrum is hard 298 (Johnson et al., 2020). This is a result of how the Dual Amplifier Pulse Peak Energy Run-299 down (DAPPER) integrated circuit (J. Blake et al., 2016) digitizes the accumulated charge. 300 The charge pulse is digitized by creating a fixed-voltage, variable duration digital pulse 301 with the pulse duration linearly proportional to the input from the detector. Therefore, 302 higher energy electrons take longer to process; during which no other electrons are counted. 303 When enough high-energy electrons are present, the amount of lower energy electrons 304 is undercounted. As a result, lower energy channel counts sag as the higher energy chan-305 nels peak. Johnson et al. (2020) describes this saturation in more detail and provides 306 an example in their Fig. 8. 307

This saturation results in microbursts that appear dispersed, so they must be visually inspected. Figure A1 demonstrates this saturation. It shows a very intense microburst, spanning the full energy range of the instrument. The two lowest energy channel counts in Panels (E) and (F) sag around 26.3 seconds—right as the > 1 MeV channel counts in Panel (A) peak. This is the tell-tale sign of saturation. Soon after, as > 1 MeV counts decrease, the counts in Panels (E) and (F) rebound. As a result, for the lowest energy channels, the automated Gaussian fitting algorithm converged at the presaturated microburst peak, resulting in an artificial (and compelling) inverse dispersion. For this reason, we urge researchers to carefully inspect each microburst for saturation before embarking on a statistical study of microburst dispersion.

#### Appendix B Open Research

The FIREBIRD-II data is available online at https://solar.physics.montana .edu/FIREBIRD\_II/. The authors used the pymc3 Python package (Salvatier et al., 2016) version 3.11.5 to implement the Bayesian fit. The code to reproduce these results is available on GitHub: https://github.com/mshumko/microburst\_dispersion, and is archived on Zenodo: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7799828/.

#### 324 Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledge the technicians, engineers, and scientists that made the FIREBIRD-

II mission possible. MS, AJH, AWB, and LWB were supported in part by the Goddard

<sup>327</sup> Internal Scientist Funding Model (ISFM) which funds the Space Precipitation Impacts

team with grant HISFM21. LWB was also supported by grant #80NSSC21K1682. YM

is supported by JSPS 20H01959, 22KK046, 22K21345, and 23H01229.

#### 330 References

331	Agapitov, O., Artemyev, A., Krasnoselskikh, V., Khotyaintsev, Y. V., Mourenas, D.,
332	Breuillard, H., Rolland, G. (2013). Statistics of whistler mode waves in
333	the outer radiation belt: Cluster staff-sa measurements. Journal of Geophysical
334	Research: Space Physics, 118(6), 3407–3420.
335	Agapitov, O., Krasnoselskikh, V., Dudok de Wit, T., Khotyaintsev, Y., Pickett,
336	J. S., Santolik, O., & Rolland, G. (2011). Multispacecraft observations of
337	chorus emissions as a tool for the plasma density fluctuations' remote sens-
338	ing. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 116(A9), n/a–n/a.
339	Retrieved from http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2011JA016540 (A09222) doi:
340	10.1029/2011JA016540
341	Agapitov, O., Mourenas, D., Artemyev, A., Mozer, F., Hospodarsky, G., Bonnell,
342	J., & Krasnoselskikh, V. (2018). Synthetic empirical chorus wave model
343	from combined van allen probes and cluster statistics. Journal of Geophysical
344	Research: Space Physics, $123(1)$ , $297-314$ .
345	Agostinelli, S., Allison, J., Amako, K. a., Apostolakis, J., Araujo, H., Arce, P.,
346	others (2003). Geant4—a simulation toolkit. Nuclear instruments and meth-
347	ods in physics research section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and
348	Associated Equipment, $506(3)$ , $250-303$ .
349	Artemyev, A., Agapitov, O., Mourenas, D., Krasnoselskikh, V., Shastun, V., &
350	Mozer, F. (2016). Oblique whistler-mode waves in the earth's inner magneto-
351	sphere: Energy distribution, origins, and role in radiation belt dynamics. Space
352	Science Reviews, $200$ , $261$ – $355$ .
353	Artemyev, A., Zhang, XJ., Zou, Y., Mourenas, D., Angelopoulos, V., Vainchtein,
354	D., Wilkins, C. (2022). On the nature of intense sub-relativistic elec-
355	tron precipitation. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 127(6),
356	e2022JA030571.
357	Artemyev, A. V., Demekhov, A., Zhang, XJ., Angelopoulos, V., Mourenas, D.,
358	Fedorenko, Y. V., others (2021). Role of ducting in relativistic electron
359	loss by whistler-mode wave scattering. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space



Figure A1. A microburst that erroneously appears inverse dispersed due to saturation. Counts in the two lowest energy channels, shown in panels (E) and (F), sag right as the > 1 MeV channel counts, shown in panel (A) peaks around 06:12:26.3. This leads to an erroneous signature of inverse dispersion.

360	Physics, 126(11), e2021JA029851.
361	Blake, J., Mauk, B., Baker, D., Carranza, P., Clemmons, J., Craft, J., others
362	(2016). The fly's eye energetic particle spectrometer (feeps) sensors for the
363	magnetospheric multiscale (mms) mission. Space Science Reviews, 199, 309–
364	329.
365	Blake, J. B., Looper, M. D., Baker, D. N., Nakamura, R., Klecker, B., & Hoves-
366	tadt, D. (1996). New high temporal and spatial resolution measurements by
367	sampex of the precipitation of relativistic electrons. Advances in Space Re-
368	search, 18(8), 171 - 186. Retrieved from http://www.sciencedirect.com/
369	science/article/pii/0273117795009698 doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/
370	0273-1177(95)00969-8
371	Bortnik, J., & Thorne, R. (2007). The dual role of elf/vlf chorus waves in the ac-
372	celeration and precipitation of radiation belt electrons. Journal of Atmospheric
373	and Solar-Terrestrial Physics, 69(3), 378–386.
374	Breneman, A., Crew, A., Sample, J., Klumpar, D., Johnson, A., Agapitov, O.,
375	others (2017). Observations directly linking relativistic electron microbursts
376	to whistler mode chorus: Van allen probes and FIREBIRD II. Geophysical
377	Research Letters.
378	Capannolo I, Li W, Ma O, Chen I, Shen X -C, Spence H, others (2019)
370	Direct observation of subrelativistic electron precipitation potentially driven by
380	emic waves. Geophysical Research Letters. 46(22), 12711–12721.
201	Chen L. Breneman A. W. Xia Z. & Zhang X-i (2020) Modeling of bounc-
382	ing electron microbursts induced by ducted chorus waves <i>Geophysi-</i>
202	cal Research Letters $\sqrt{7(17)}$ e2020GL089400 Retrieved from https://
384	agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2020GL089400
385	(e2020GL089400 10 1029/2020GL089400) doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/
296	2020GL089400
297	Chen L. Zhang X - I. Artemyey A. Angelonoulos V. Tsai E. Wilkins C. &
388	Horne B B (2022) Ducted chorus waves cause sub-relativistic and relativis-
380	tic electron microbursts Geonbusical Research Letters /9(5) e2021GL097559
300	Colnitts C. Miyoshi V. Kasahara V. Delzanno G. L. Wygant I. B. Cattell
390	C A others (2020) First direct observations of propagation of discrete
302	chorus elements from the equatorial source to higher latitudes, using the van
392	allen probes and arase satellites. <i>Journal of Geophysical Research: Space</i>
394	Physics, 125(10), e2020JA028315.
305	Crew A B Spence H E Blake J B Klumpar D M Larsen B A O'Brien
396	T P Widholm M (2016) First multipoint in situ observations of elec-
397	tron microbursts: Initial results from the NSF FIREBIRD II mission. <i>Jour-</i>
398	nal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 121(6), 5272–5283. Retrieved
399	from http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/2016JA022485 (2016JA022485) doi:
400	10.1002/2016JA022485
401	Douma, E., Rodger, C. J., Blum, L. W., & Clilverd, M. A. (2017). Occurrence
402	characteristics of relativistic electron microbursts from SAMPEX observations.
403	Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 122(8), 8096–8107. Retrieved
404	from http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/2017JA024067 (2017JA024067) doi:
405	10.1002/2017JA024067
406	Duderstadt, K. A., Huang, CL., Spence, H. E., Smith, S., Blake, J. B., Crew,
407	A. B., Vitt, F. M. (2021). Estimating the impacts of radiation belt
408	electrons on atmospheric chemistry using firebird ii and van allen probes
409	observations. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres. $n/a(n/a)$ .
410	e2020JD033098. Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrarv.wilev
411	.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2020JD033098 (e2020JD033098 2020JD033098) doi:
412	https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JD033098
413	Greeley, A., Kanekal, S., Baker, D., Klecker, B., & Schiller, Q. (2019). Quantifying
414	the contribution of microbursts to global electron loss in the radiation belts.

415	Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics.
416	Horne, R. B., & Thorne, R. M. (2003). Relativistic electron acceleration and pre-
417	cipitation during resonant interactions with whistler-mode chorus. <i>Geophysi-</i>
418	cal Research Letters, 30(10). Retrieved from http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/
419	2003GL016973 (1527) doi: 10.1029/2003GL016973
420	Hosseini, P., Agapitov, O., Harid, V., & Gołkowski, M. (2021). Evidence of small
421	scale plasma irregularity effects on whistler mode chorus propagation. Geo-
422	physical Research Letters, $48(5)$ , e2021GL092850.
423	Johnson, A., Shumko, M., Griffith, B., Klumpar, D., Sample, J., Springer, L.,
424	others (2020). The FIREBIRD-II CubeSat mission: Focused investigations of
425	relativistic electron burst intensity, range, and dynamics. <i>Review of Scientific</i>
426	Instruments, 91(3), 034503.
427	Johnson, A., Shumko, M., Sample, J., Griffith, B., Klumpar, D., Spence, H., &
428	Blake, J. B. (2021). The energy spectra of electron microbursts between 200
429	key and 1 mey. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 126(11).
430	e2021JA029709.
431	Kang, N., Bortnik, J., Zhang, X., Claudepierre, S., & Shi, X. (2022). Relativistic mi-
432	croburst scale size induced by a single point-source chorus element. <i>Geophysi-</i>
433	cal Research Letters, 49(23), e2022GL100841.
134	Kawamura M Sakanoj T Fukizawa M Miyoshi Y Hosokawa K Tsuchiya F
435	others (2021) Simultaneous pulsating aurora and microburst observations
436	with ground-based fast auroral imagers and cubesat firebird-ii. <i>Geophysical</i>
437	Research Letters, $48(18)$ , e2021GL094494.
438	Kruschke, J. (2014). Doing bayesian data analysis: A tutorial with r. jags, and stan.
420	Leiosne S Allison H. I. Blum L. W. Drozdov A Y. Hartinger M. D. Hudson
439	M K Zhao H (2022) Differentiating between the leading processes
440	for electron radiation belt acceleration Frontiers in Astronomy and Space
440	Colores 144
44/	DCUEILCES, 144.
442	Li, J., Bortnik, J., An. X., Li, W., Angelopoulos, V., Thorne, B. M., others
442 443 444	Li, J., Bortnik, J., An, X., Li, W., Angelopoulos, V., Thorne, R. M., others (2019). Origin of two-band chorus in the radiation belt of earth. <i>Nature</i>
442 443 444 445	<ul> <li>Sciences, 144.</li> <li>Li, J., Bortnik, J., An, X., Li, W., Angelopoulos, V., Thorne, R. M., others (2019). Origin of two-band chorus in the radiation belt of earth. Nature communications, 10(1), 4672.</li> </ul>
442 443 444 445 446	<ul> <li>Li, J., Bortnik, J., An, X., Li, W., Angelopoulos, V., Thorne, R. M., others (2019). Origin of two-band chorus in the radiation belt of earth. Nature communications, 10(1), 4672.</li> <li>Li, W., Bortnik, J., Thorne, R., &amp; Angelopoulos, V. (2011). Global distribution of</li> </ul>
442 443 444 445 446 447	<ul> <li>Li, J., Bortnik, J., An, X., Li, W., Angelopoulos, V., Thorne, R. M., others (2019). Origin of two-band chorus in the radiation belt of earth. Nature communications, 10(1), 4672.</li> <li>Li, W., Bortnik, J., Thorne, R., &amp; Angelopoulos, V. (2011). Global distribution of wave amplitudes and wave normal angles of chorus waves using themis wave</li> </ul>
442 443 444 445 446 447 448	<ul> <li>Li, J., Bortnik, J., An, X., Li, W., Angelopoulos, V., Thorne, R. M., others (2019). Origin of two-band chorus in the radiation belt of earth. Nature communications, 10(1), 4672.</li> <li>Li, W., Bortnik, J., Thorne, R., &amp; Angelopoulos, V. (2011). Global distribution of wave amplitudes and wave normal angles of chorus waves using themis wave observations. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 116(A12).</li> </ul>
442 443 444 445 446 447 448 449	<ul> <li>Li, J., Bortnik, J., An, X., Li, W., Angelopoulos, V., Thorne, R. M., others (2019). Origin of two-band chorus in the radiation belt of earth. Nature communications, 10(1), 4672.</li> <li>Li, W., Bortnik, J., Thorne, R., &amp; Angelopoulos, V. (2011). Global distribution of wave amplitudes and wave normal angles of chorus waves using themis wave observations. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 116 (A12).</li> <li>Lorentzen, K. B., Blake, J. B., Inan, U. S., &amp; Bortnik, J. (2001). Observations of</li> </ul>
442 443 444 445 446 447 448 449 450	<ul> <li>b. Sciences, 144.</li> <li>Li, J., Bortnik, J., An, X., Li, W., Angelopoulos, V., Thorne, R. M., others (2019). Origin of two-band chorus in the radiation belt of earth. Nature communications, 10(1), 4672.</li> <li>Li, W., Bortnik, J., Thorne, R., &amp; Angelopoulos, V. (2011). Global distribution of wave amplitudes and wave normal angles of chorus waves using themis wave observations. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 116 (A12).</li> <li>Lorentzen, K. R., Blake, J. B., Inan, U. S., &amp; Bortnik, J. (2001). Observations of relativistic electron microbursts in association with VLF chorus. Journal of</li> </ul>
442 443 444 445 446 447 448 449 450 451	<ul> <li>Li, J., Bortnik, J., An, X., Li, W., Angelopoulos, V., Thorne, R. M., others (2019). Origin of two-band chorus in the radiation belt of earth. Nature communications, 10(1), 4672.</li> <li>Li, W., Bortnik, J., Thorne, R., &amp; Angelopoulos, V. (2011). Global distribution of wave amplitudes and wave normal angles of chorus waves using themis wave observations. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 116(A12).</li> <li>Lorentzen, K. R., Blake, J. B., Inan, U. S., &amp; Bortnik, J. (2001). Observations of relativistic electron microbursts in association with VLF chorus. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 106(A4), 6017–6027. Retrieved from</li> </ul>
442 443 444 445 446 447 448 449 450 451 452	<ul> <li>b. Sciences, 144.</li> <li>Li, J., Bortnik, J., An, X., Li, W., Angelopoulos, V., Thorne, R. M., others (2019). Origin of two-band chorus in the radiation belt of earth. Nature communications, 10(1), 4672.</li> <li>Li, W., Bortnik, J., Thorne, R., &amp; Angelopoulos, V. (2011). Global distribution of wave amplitudes and wave normal angles of chorus waves using themis wave observations. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 116(A12).</li> <li>Lorentzen, K. R., Blake, J. B., Inan, U. S., &amp; Bortnik, J. (2001). Observations of relativistic electron microbursts in association with VLF chorus. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 106(A4), 6017–6027. Retrieved from http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2000JA003018. doi: 10.1029/2000JA003018</li> </ul>
442 443 444 445 446 447 448 449 450 451 452 453	<ul> <li>Li, J., Bortnik, J., An, X., Li, W., Angelopoulos, V., Thorne, R. M., others (2019). Origin of two-band chorus in the radiation belt of earth. Nature communications, 10(1), 4672.</li> <li>Li, W., Bortnik, J., Thorne, R., &amp; Angelopoulos, V. (2011). Global distribution of wave amplitudes and wave normal angles of chorus waves using themis wave observations. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 116(A12).</li> <li>Lorentzen, K. R., Blake, J. B., Inan, U. S., &amp; Bortnik, J. (2001). Observations of relativistic electron microbursts in association with VLF chorus. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 106(A4), 6017–6027. Retrieved from http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2000JA003018 doi: 10.1029/2000JA003018</li> <li>Mivoshi, Y. Kataoka, B. Kasahara, Y. Kumamoto, A. Nagai, T. &amp; Thomsen, M.</li> </ul>
442 443 444 445 446 447 448 449 450 451 452 453 454	<ul> <li>b. Sciences, 144.</li> <li>Li, J., Bortnik, J., An, X., Li, W., Angelopoulos, V., Thorne, R. M., others (2019). Origin of two-band chorus in the radiation belt of earth. Nature communications, 10(1), 4672.</li> <li>Li, W., Bortnik, J., Thorne, R., &amp; Angelopoulos, V. (2011). Global distribution of wave amplitudes and wave normal angles of chorus waves using themis wave observations. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 116(A12).</li> <li>Lorentzen, K. R., Blake, J. B., Inan, U. S., &amp; Bortnik, J. (2001). Observations of relativistic electron microbursts in association with VLF chorus. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 106(A4), 6017–6027. Retrieved from http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2000JA003018 doi: 10.1029/2000JA003018</li> <li>Miyoshi, Y., Kataoka, R., Kasahara, Y., Kumamoto, A., Nagai, T., &amp; Thomsen, M. (2013). High-speed solar wind with southward interplanetary magnetic field</li> </ul>
442 443 444 445 446 447 448 449 450 451 452 453 454 455	<ul> <li>Li, J., Bortnik, J., An, X., Li, W., Angelopoulos, V., Thorne, R. M., others (2019). Origin of two-band chorus in the radiation belt of earth. Nature communications, 10(1), 4672.</li> <li>Li, W., Bortnik, J., Thorne, R., &amp; Angelopoulos, V. (2011). Global distribution of wave amplitudes and wave normal angles of chorus waves using themis wave observations. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 116(A12).</li> <li>Lorentzen, K. R., Blake, J. B., Inan, U. S., &amp; Bortnik, J. (2001). Observations of relativistic electron microbursts in association with VLF chorus. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 106(A4), 6017–6027. Retrieved from http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2000JA003018 doi: 10.1029/2000JA003018</li> <li>Miyoshi, Y., Kataoka, R., Kasahara, Y., Kumamoto, A., Nagai, T., &amp; Thomsen, M. (2013). High-speed solar wind with southward interplanetary magnetic field causes relativistic electron flux enhancement of the outer radiation belt via</li> </ul>
442 443 444 445 446 447 448 449 450 451 452 453 454 455 455	<ul> <li>b. Sciences, 144.</li> <li>Li, J., Bortnik, J., An, X., Li, W., Angelopoulos, V., Thorne, R. M., others (2019). Origin of two-band chorus in the radiation belt of earth. Nature communications, 10(1), 4672.</li> <li>Li, W., Bortnik, J., Thorne, R., &amp; Angelopoulos, V. (2011). Global distribution of wave amplitudes and wave normal angles of chorus waves using themis wave observations. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 116(A12).</li> <li>Lorentzen, K. R., Blake, J. B., Inan, U. S., &amp; Bortnik, J. (2001). Observations of relativistic electron microbursts in association with VLF chorus. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 106(A4), 6017–6027. Retrieved from http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2000JA003018 doi: 10.1029/2000JA003018</li> <li>Miyoshi, Y., Kataoka, R., Kasahara, Y., Kumamoto, A., Nagai, T., &amp; Thomsen, M. (2013). High-speed solar wind with southward interplanetary magnetic field causes relativistic electron flux enhancement of the outer radiation belt via enhanced condition of whistler waves. Geophysical Research Letters. 40(17).</li> </ul>
442 443 444 445 446 447 448 449 450 451 452 453 454 455 455 456 457	<ul> <li>b. Bortnik, J., An, X., Li, W., Angelopoulos, V., Thorne, R. M., others (2019). Origin of two-band chorus in the radiation belt of earth. Nature communications, 10(1), 4672.</li> <li>Li, W., Bortnik, J., Thorne, R., &amp; Angelopoulos, V. (2011). Global distribution of wave amplitudes and wave normal angles of chorus waves using themis wave observations. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 116(A12).</li> <li>Lorentzen, K. R., Blake, J. B., Inan, U. S., &amp; Bortnik, J. (2001). Observations of relativistic electron microbursts in association with VLF chorus. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 106(A4), 6017–6027. Retrieved from http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2000JA003018 doi: 10.1029/2000JA003018</li> <li>Miyoshi, Y., Kataoka, R., Kasahara, Y., Kumamoto, A., Nagai, T., &amp; Thomsen, M. (2013). High-speed solar wind with southward interplanetary magnetic field causes relativistic electron flux enhancement of the outer radiation belt via enhanced condition of whistler waves. Geophysical Research Letters, 40(17), 4520–4525.</li> </ul>
442 443 444 445 446 447 448 449 450 451 452 453 454 455 455 456 457 458	<ul> <li>I. J., Bortnik, J., An, X., Li, W., Angelopoulos, V., Thorne, R. M., others (2019). Origin of two-band chorus in the radiation belt of earth. Nature communications, 10(1), 4672.</li> <li>I.i, W., Bortnik, J., Thorne, R., &amp; Angelopoulos, V. (2011). Global distribution of wave amplitudes and wave normal angles of chorus waves using themis wave observations. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 116(A12).</li> <li>I.orentzen, K. R., Blake, J. B., Inan, U. S., &amp; Bortnik, J. (2001). Observations of relativistic electron microbursts in association with VLF chorus. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 106(A4), 6017–6027. Retrieved from http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2000JA003018 doi: 10.1029/2000JA003018</li> <li>Miyoshi, Y., Kataoka, R., Kasahara, Y., Kumamoto, A., Nagai, T., &amp; Thomsen, M. (2013). High-speed solar wind with southward interplanetary magnetic field causes relativistic electron flux enhancement of the outer radiation belt via enhanced condition of whistler waves. Geophysical Research Letters, 40(17), 4520–4525.</li> <li>Miyoshi, Y., Katoh, Y., Nishiyama, T., Sakanoi, T., Asamura, K., &amp; Hirahara</li> </ul>
442 443 444 445 446 447 448 449 450 451 452 453 454 455 455 456 457 458 459	<ul> <li>Sciences, 144.</li> <li>Li, J., Bortnik, J., An, X., Li, W., Angelopoulos, V., Thorne, R. M., others (2019). Origin of two-band chorus in the radiation belt of earth. Nature communications, 10(1), 4672.</li> <li>Li, W., Bortnik, J., Thorne, R., &amp; Angelopoulos, V. (2011). Global distribution of wave amplitudes and wave normal angles of chorus waves using themis wave observations. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 116 (A12).</li> <li>Lorentzen, K. R., Blake, J. B., Inan, U. S., &amp; Bortnik, J. (2001). Observations of relativistic electron microbursts in association with VLF chorus. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 106 (A4), 6017–6027. Retrieved from http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2000JA003018 doi: 10.1029/2000JA003018</li> <li>Miyoshi, Y., Kataoka, R., Kasahara, Y., Kumamoto, A., Nagai, T., &amp; Thomsen, M. (2013). High-speed solar wind with southward interplanetary magnetic field causes relativistic electron flux enhancement of the outer radiation belt via enhanced condition of whistler waves. Geophysical Research Letters, 40(17), 4520–4525.</li> <li>Miyoshi, Y., Katoh, Y., Nishiyama, T., Sakanoi, T., Asamura, K., &amp; Hirahara, M. (2010). Time of flight analysis of pulsating aurora electrons. considering</li> </ul>
442 443 444 445 446 447 448 449 450 451 452 453 454 455 455 456 457 458 459 460	<ul> <li>Li, J., Bortnik, J., An, X., Li, W., Angelopoulos, V., Thorne, R. M., others (2019). Origin of two-band chorus in the radiation belt of earth. Nature communications, 10(1), 4672.</li> <li>Li, W., Bortnik, J., Thorne, R., &amp; Angelopoulos, V. (2011). Global distribution of wave amplitudes and wave normal angles of chorus waves using themis wave observations. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 116(A12).</li> <li>Lorentzen, K. R., Blake, J. B., Inan, U. S., &amp; Bortnik, J. (2001). Observations of relativistic electron microbursts in association with VLF chorus. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 106(A4), 6017–6027. Retrieved from http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2000JA003018 doi: 10.1029/2000JA003018</li> <li>Miyoshi, Y., Kataoka, R., Kasahara, Y., Kumamoto, A., Nagai, T., &amp; Thomsen, M. (2013). High-speed solar wind with southward interplanetary magnetic field causes relativistic electron flux enhancement of the outer radiation belt via enhanced condition of whistler waves. Geophysical Research Letters, 40(17), 4520–4525.</li> <li>Miyoshi, Y., Katoh, Y., Nishiyama, T., Sakanoi, T., Asamura, K., &amp; Hirahara, M. (2010). Time of flight analysis of pulsating aurora electrons, considering wave-particle interactions with propagating whistler mode waves. Journal of</li> </ul>
442 443 444 445 446 447 448 449 450 451 452 453 454 455 455 456 457 458 459 460 461	<ul> <li>J., Bortnik, J., An, X., Li, W., Angelopoulos, V., Thorne, R. M., others (2019). Origin of two-band chorus in the radiation belt of earth. Nature communications, 10(1), 4672.</li> <li>Li, W., Bortnik, J., Thorne, R., &amp; Angelopoulos, V. (2011). Global distribution of wave amplitudes and wave normal angles of chorus waves using themis wave observations. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 116(A12).</li> <li>Lorentzen, K. R., Blake, J. B., Inan, U. S., &amp; Bortnik, J. (2001). Observations of relativistic electron microbursts in association with VLF chorus. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 106(A4), 6017–6027. Retrieved from http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2000JA003018 doi: 10.1029/2000JA003018</li> <li>Miyoshi, Y., Kataoka, R., Kasahara, Y., Kumamoto, A., Nagai, T., &amp; Thomsen, M. (2013). High-speed solar wind with southward interplanetary magnetic field causes relativistic electron flux enhancement of the outer radiation belt via enhanced condition of whistler waves. Geophysical Research Letters, 40(17), 4520–4525.</li> <li>Miyoshi, Y., Katoh, Y., Nishiyama, T., Sakanoi, T., Asamura, K., &amp; Hirahara, M. (2010). Time of flight analysis of pulsating aurora electrons, considering wave-particle interactions with propagating whistler mode waves. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 115(A10).</li> </ul>
442 443 444 445 446 447 448 449 450 451 452 453 454 455 455 455 455 455 455 455 455	<ul> <li>Li, J., Bortnik, J., An, X., Li, W., Angelopoulos, V., Thorne, R. M., others (2019). Origin of two-band chorus in the radiation belt of earth. Nature communications, 10(1), 4672.</li> <li>Li, W., Bortnik, J., Thorne, R., &amp; Angelopoulos, V. (2011). Global distribution of wave amplitudes and wave normal angles of chorus waves using themis wave observations. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 116 (A12).</li> <li>Lorentzen, K. R., Blake, J. B., Inan, U. S., &amp; Bortnik, J. (2001). Observations of relativistic electron microbursts in association with VLF chorus. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 106 (A4), 6017–6027. Retrieved from http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2000JA003018 doi: 10.1029/2000JA003018</li> <li>Miyoshi, Y., Kataoka, R., Kasahara, Y., Kumamoto, A., Nagai, T., &amp; Thomsen, M. (2013). High-speed solar wind with southward interplanetary magnetic field causes relativistic electron flux enhancement of the outer radiation belt via enhanced condition of whistler waves. Geophysical Research Letters, 40(17), 4520–4525.</li> <li>Miyoshi, Y., Katoh, Y., Nishiyama, T., Sakanoi, T., Asamura, K., &amp; Hirahara, M. (2010). Time of flight analysis of pulsating aurora electrons, considering wave-particle interactions with propagating whistler mode waves. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 115 (A10).</li> <li>Miyoshi, Y., Morioka, A., Misawa, H., Obara, T., Nagai, T., &amp; Kasahara, Y. (2003).</li> </ul>
442 443 444 445 446 447 448 449 450 451 452 453 454 455 455 455 455 455 456 457 458 459 460 461 462 463	<ul> <li>Sciences, 144.</li> <li>Li, J., Bortnik, J., An, X., Li, W., Angelopoulos, V., Thorne, R. M., others (2019). Origin of two-band chorus in the radiation belt of earth. Nature communications, 10(1), 4672.</li> <li>Li, W., Bortnik, J., Thorne, R., &amp; Angelopoulos, V. (2011). Global distribution of wave amplitudes and wave normal angles of chorus waves using themis wave observations. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 116 (A12).</li> <li>Lorentzen, K. R., Blake, J. B., Inan, U. S., &amp; Bortnik, J. (2001). Observations of relativistic electron microbursts in association with VLF chorus. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 106 (A4), 6017–6027. Retrieved from http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2000JA003018 doi: 10.1029/2000JA003018</li> <li>Miyoshi, Y., Kataoka, R., Kasahara, Y., Kumamoto, A., Nagai, T., &amp; Thomsen, M. (2013). High-speed solar wind with southward interplanetary magnetic field causes relativistic electron flux enhancement of the outer radiation belt via enhanced condition of whistler waves. Geophysical Research Letters, 40(17), 4520–4525.</li> <li>Miyoshi, Y., Katoh, Y., Nishiyama, T., Sakanoi, T., Asamura, K., &amp; Hirahara, M. (2010). Time of flight analysis of pulsating aurora electrons, considering wave-particle interactions with propagating whistler mode waves. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 115 (A10).</li> <li>Miyoshi, Y., Morioka, A., Misawa, H., Obara, T., Nagai, T., &amp; Kasahara, Y. (2003). Rebuilding process of the outer radiation belt during the 3 november 1993</li> </ul>
442 443 444 445 446 447 448 449 450 451 452 453 454 455 455 456 457 458 459 460 461 462 463 464	<ul> <li>Sciences, 144.</li> <li>Li, J., Bortnik, J., An, X., Li, W., Angelopoulos, V., Thorne, R. M., others (2019). Origin of two-band chorus in the radiation belt of earth. Nature communications, 10(1), 4672.</li> <li>Li, W., Bortnik, J., Thorne, R., &amp; Angelopoulos, V. (2011). Global distribution of wave amplitudes and wave normal angles of chorus waves using themis wave observations. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 116(A12).</li> <li>Lorentzen, K. R., Blake, J. B., Inan, U. S., &amp; Bortnik, J. (2001). Observations of relativistic electron microbursts in association with VLF chorus. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 106(A4), 6017–6027. Retrieved from http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2000JA003018 doi: 10.1029/2000JA003018</li> <li>Miyoshi, Y., Kataoka, R., Kasahara, Y., Kumamoto, A., Nagai, T., &amp; Thomsen, M. (2013). High-speed solar wind with southward interplanetary magnetic field causes relativistic electron flux enhancement of the outer radiation belt via enhanced condition of whistler waves. Geophysical Research Letters, 40(17), 4520–4525.</li> <li>Miyoshi, Y., Katoh, Y., Nishiyama, T., Sakanoi, T., Asamura, K., &amp; Hirahara, M. (2010). Time of flight analysis of pulsating aurora electrons, considering wave-particle interactions with propagating whistler mode waves. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 115(A10).</li> <li>Miyoshi, Y., Morioka, A., Misawa, H., Obara, T., Nagai, T., &amp; Kasahara, Y. (2003). Rebuilding process of the outer radiation belt during the 3 november 1993 magnetic storm: Noaa and exos-d observations. Journal of Geophysical Research Resea</li></ul>
442 443 444 445 446 447 448 449 450 451 452 453 454 455 455 456 457 458 459 460 461 462 463 464	<ul> <li>I. J., Bortnik, J., An, X., Li, W., Angelopoulos, V., Thorne, R. M., others (2019). Origin of two-band chorus in the radiation belt of earth. Nature communications, 10(1), 4672.</li> <li>I.i, W., Bortnik, J., Thorne, R., &amp; Angelopoulos, V. (2011). Global distribution of wave amplitudes and wave normal angles of chorus waves using themis wave observations. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 116(A12).</li> <li>Lorentzen, K. R., Blake, J. B., Inan, U. S., &amp; Bortnik, J. (2001). Observations of relativistic electron microbursts in association with VLF chorus. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 106(A4), 6017–6027. Retrieved from http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2000JA003018 doi: 10.1029/2000JA003018</li> <li>Miyoshi, Y., Kataoka, R., Kasahara, Y., Kumamoto, A., Nagai, T., &amp; Thomsen, M. (2013). High-speed solar wind with southward interplanetary magnetic field causes relativistic electron flux enhancement of the outer radiation belt via enhanced condition of whistler waves. Geophysical Research Letters, 40(17), 4520–4525.</li> <li>Miyoshi, Y., Katoh, Y., Nishiyama, T., Sakanoi, T., Asamura, K., &amp; Hirahara, M. (2010). Time of flight analysis of pulsating aurora electrons, considering wave-particle interactions with propagating whistler mode waves. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 115(A10).</li> <li>Miyoshi, Y., Morioka, A., Misawa, H., Obara, T., Nagai, T., &amp; Kasahara, Y. (2003). Rebuilding process of the outer radiation belt during the 3 november 1993 magnetic storm: Noaa and exos-d observations. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 108(A1), SMP-3.</li> </ul>
442 443 444 445 446 447 448 449 450 451 452 453 454 455 456 457 458 457 458 459 460 461 462 463 464 465	<ul> <li>J. J., Bortnik, J., An, X., Li, W., Angelopoulos, V., Thorne, R. M., others (2019). Origin of two-band chorus in the radiation belt of earth. Nature communications, 10(1), 4672.</li> <li>Li, W., Bortnik, J., Thorne, R., &amp; Angelopoulos, V. (2011). Global distribution of wave amplitudes and wave normal angles of chorus waves using themis wave observations. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 116(A12).</li> <li>Lorentzen, K. R., Blake, J. B., Inan, U. S., &amp; Bortnik, J. (2001). Observations of relativistic electron microbursts in association with VLF chorus. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 106(A4), 6017–6027. Retrieved from http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2000JA003018 doi: 10.1029/2000JA003018</li> <li>Miyoshi, Y., Kataoka, R., Kasahara, Y., Kumamoto, A., Nagai, T., &amp; Thomsen, M. (2013). High-speed solar wind with southward interplanetary magnetic field causes relativistic electron flux enhancement of the outer radiation belt via enhanced condition of whistler waves. Geophysical Research Letters, 40(17), 4520–4525.</li> <li>Miyoshi, Y., Katoh, Y., Nishiyama, T., Sakanoi, T., Asamura, K., &amp; Hirahara, M. (2010). Time of flight analysis of pulsating aurora electrons, considering wave-particle interactions with propagating whistler mode waves. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 115(A10).</li> <li>Miyoshi, Y., Morioka, A., Misawa, H., Obara, T., Nagai, T., &amp; Kasahara, Y. (2003). Rebuilding process of the outer radiation belt during the 3 november 1993 magnetic storm: Noaa and exos-d observations. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 108(A1), SMP-3.</li> <li>Miyoshi, Y., Oyama, S., Saito, S., Kurita, S., Fujiwara, H., Kataoka, R., others</li> </ul>
442 443 444 445 446 447 448 449 450 451 452 453 454 455 455 455 455 455 455 455 455	<ul> <li>Li, J., Bortnik, J., An, X., Li, W., Angelopoulos, V., Thorne, R. M., others (2019). Origin of two-band chorus in the radiation belt of earth. Nature communications, 10(1), 4672.</li> <li>Li, W., Bortnik, J., Thorne, R., &amp; Angelopoulos, V. (2011). Global distribution of wave amplitudes and wave normal angles of chorus waves using themis wave observations. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 116(A12).</li> <li>Lorentzen, K. R., Blake, J. B., Inan, U. S., &amp; Bortnik, J. (2001). Observations of relativistic electron microbursts in association with VLF chorus. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 106(A4), 6017–6027. Retrieved from http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2000JA003018 doi: 10.1029/2000JA003018</li> <li>Miyoshi, Y., Kataoka, R., Kasahara, Y., Kumamoto, A., Nagai, T., &amp; Thomsen, M. (2013). High-speed solar wind with southward interplanetary magnetic field causes relativistic electron flux enhancement of the outer radiation belt via enhanced condition of whistler waves. Geophysical Research Letters, 40(17), 4520–4525.</li> <li>Miyoshi, Y., Katoh, Y., Nishiyama, T., Sakanoi, T., Asamura, K., &amp; Hirahara, M. (2010). Time of flight analysis of pulsating aurora electrons, considering wave-particle interactions with propagating whistler mode waves. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 115(A10).</li> <li>Miyoshi, Y., Morioka, A., Misawa, H., Obara, T., Nagai, T., &amp; Kasahara, Y. (2003). Rebuilding process of the outer radiation belt during the 3 november 1993 magnetic storm: Noaa and exos-d observations. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 108(A1), SMP-3.</li> <li>Miyoshi, Y., Oyama, S., Saito, S., Kurita, S., Fujiwara, H., Kataoka, R., others (2015). Energetic electron precipitation associated with pulsating aurora: Eis-</li> </ul>
442 443 444 445 446 447 448 449 450 451 452 453 454 455 455 456 457 458 459 460 461 462 463 464 465 464	<ul> <li>Li, J., Bortnik, J., An, X., Li, W., Angelopoulos, V., Thorne, R. M., others (2019). Origin of two-band chorus in the radiation belt of earth. Nature communications, 10(1), 4672.</li> <li>Li, W., Bortnik, J., Thorne, R., &amp; Angelopoulos, V. (2011). Global distribution of wave amplitudes and wave normal angles of chorus waves using themis wave observations. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 116 (A12).</li> <li>Lorentzen, K. R., Blake, J. B., Inan, U. S., &amp; Bortnik, J. (2001). Observations of relativistic electron microbursts in association with VLF chorus. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 106 (A4), 6017–6027. Retrieved from http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2000JA003018 doi: 10.1029/2000JA003018</li> <li>Miyoshi, Y., Kataoka, R., Kasahara, Y., Kumamoto, A., Nagai, T., &amp; Thomsen, M. (2013). High-speed solar wind with southward interplanetary magnetic field causes relativistic electron flux enhancement of the outer radiation belt via enhanced condition of whistler waves. Geophysical Research Letters, 40(17), 4520–4525.</li> <li>Miyoshi, Y., Katoh, Y., Nishiyama, T., Sakanoi, T., Asamura, K., &amp; Hirahara, M. (2010). Time of flight analysis of pulsating aurora electrons, considering wave-particle interactions with propagating whistler mode waves. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 115(A10).</li> <li>Miyoshi, Y., Morioka, A., Misawa, H., Obara, T., Nagai, T., &amp; Kasahara, Y. (2003). Rebuilding process of the outer radiation belt during the 3 november 1993 magnetic storm: Noaa and exos-d observations. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 108(A1), SMP-3.</li> <li>Miyoshi, Y., Oyama, S., Saito, S., Kurita, S., Fujiwara, H., Kataoka, R., others (2015). Energetic electron precipitation associated with pulsating aurora: Eiscat and van allen probe observations. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 108(A1), SMP-3.</li> </ul>

470	Miyoshi, Y., Saito, S., Kurita, S., Asamura, K., Hosokawa, K., Sakanoi, T., oth-
471	ers (2020). Relativistic electron microbursts as high energy tail of pulsating
472	aurora electrons.
473	O'Brien, T. P., Lorentzen, K. R., Mann, I. R., Meredith, N. P., Blake, J. B., Fen-
474	nell, J. F., Anderson, R. R. (2003). Energization of relativistic elec-
475	trons in the presence of ULF power and MeV microbursts: Evidence for dual
476	ULF and VLF acceleration. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics,
477	108(A8). Retrieved from http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2002JA009784 doi:
478	10.1029/2002JA009784
479	Omura, Y., Hikishima, M., Katoh, Y., Summers, D., & Yagitani, S. (2009). Non-
480	linear mechanisms of lower-band and upper-band vil chorus emissions in the mean stars have $L_{\rm constant}$ and $L_{\rm constant}$ $R_{\rm constant}$ $R_{\rm constant}$ $R_{\rm constant}$ $R_{\rm constant}$ $R_{\rm constant}$
481	O'Drive T. D. Lewen, C. & Diele, I. D. (2000) Electron maginitation and
482	U Brien, I. P., Lemon, C., & Blake, J. B. (2022). Electron precipitation cur-
483	tams—simulating the incrodurst origin hypothesis. <i>Journal of Geophysical</i> $P_{\text{observe}}$ , $S_{\text{page}}$ , $D_{\text{observe}}$ , $107(8)$ , $20221A020270$
484	Research: Space Frysics, 127(8), e2022JA050570.
485	others (2013) Electron accoloration in the heart of the yan allon radiation
480	belts Science $3/1(6149)$ 991–994
488	Saito S Miyoshi Y & Seki K (2012) Relativistic electron microbursts as-
489	sociated with whistler chorus rising tone elements: Gemsis-rbw simulations.
490	Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 117(A10), n/a–n/a. Re-
491	trieved from http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2012JA018020 (A10206) doi:
492	10.1029/2012JA018020
493	Salvatier, J., Wiecki, T. V., & Fonnesbeck, C. (2016, apr). Probabilistic program-
494	ming in python using PyMC3. PeerJ Computer Science, 2, e55. Retrieved
495	from https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj-cs.55 doi: 10.7717/peerj-cs.55
496	Sato, N., Wright, D., Carlson, C., Ebihara, Y., Sato, M., Saemundsson, T.,
497	Lester, M. (2004). Generation region of pulsating aurora obtained simultane-
498	ously by the fast satellite and a syowa-iceland conjugate pair of observatories.
499	Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 109(A10).
500	Sheeley, B., Moldwin, M., Rassoul, H., & Anderson, R. (2001). An empirical plas-
501	masphere and trough density model: Crres observations. Journal of Geophysi-
502	cal Research: Space Physics, 106 (A11), 25631–25641.
503	Shen, I., Chen, L., Zhang, AJ., Artemyev, A., Angelopoulos, V., Cuny, C. M.,
504	(2021). Conjugate observation of magnetospheric choices propa-
505	e2021GL005033
500	Shue I.H. Narivuki V. Katoh V. Saito S. Kasahara V. Hsieh V.K. Goto
508	V (2019) A systematic study in characteristics of lower band rising-tone
509	chorus elements. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 124 (11).
510	9003–9016.
511	Shumko, M., Blum, L. W., & Crew, A. B. (2021). Duration of individual relativis-
512	tic electron microbursts: A probe into their scattering mechanism. Geophysical
513	Research Letters, $48(17)$ , e2021GL093879.
514	Shumko, M., Johnson, A., Sample, J., Griffith, B. A., Turner, D. L., O'Brien, T. P.,
515	Claudepierre, S. G. (2020). Electron microburst size distribution de-
516	rived with AeroCube-6. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics,
517	e2019JA027651.
518	Shumko, M., Johnson, A. T., O'Brien, T. P., Turner, D. L., Greeley, A. D., Sam-
519	ple, J. G., Halford, A. J. (2020). Statistical properties of electron cur-
520	tain precipitation estimated with aerocube-6. Journal of Geophysical Re-
521	search: Space Physics, 120(12), e2020JA028462. Ketrieved from https://
522	(e2020JA028462 10 1029/2020JA028462) doi: https://doi.org/10.1020/
524	2020JA028462

525	Shumko, M., Sample, J., Johnson, A., Blake, B., Crew, A., Spence, H., Han-
526	dley, M. (2018). Microburst scale size derived from multiple bounces of
527	a microburst simultaneously observed with the firebird-ii cubesats. Geo-
528	physical Research Letters, 45(17), 8811-8818. Retrieved from https://
529	agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2018GL078925 doi:
530	10.1029/2018GL078925
531	Sullivan, J. (1971). Geometric factor and directional response of single and multi-
532	element particle telescopes. Nuclear Instruments and methods, $95(1)$ , 5–11.
533	Summers, D., Thorne, R. M., & Xiao, F. (1998). Relativistic theory of wave-
534	particle resonant diffusion with application to electron acceleration in the
535	magnetosphere. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 103(A9),
536	20487 - 20500.
537	Thorne, R. M., O'Brien, T. P., Shprits, Y. Y., Summers, D., & Horne, R. B. (2005).
538	Timescale for MeV electron microburst loss during geomagnetic storms. Jour-
539	nal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 110(A9). Retrieved from http://
540	dx.doi.org/10.1029/2004JA010882 (A09202) doi: 10.1029/2004JA010882
541	Tsurutani, B. T., & Lakhina, G. S. (1997). Some basic concepts of wave-particle in-
542	teractions in collisionless plasmas. Reviews of Geophysics, $35(4)$ , $491-501$ .
543	Tsyganenko, N. (1989). A solution of the chapman-ferraro problem for an el-
544	lipsoidal magnetopause. Planetary and Space Science, $37(9)$ , 1037 - 1046.
545	Retrieved from http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/
546	0032063389900767 doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0032-0633(89)90076-7
547	Walker, A. D. M. (1993). Plasma waves in the magnetosphere (Vol. 24). Springer
548	Science & Business Media.
549	Yau, A. W., Whalen, B. A., & McEwen, D. (1981). Rocket-borne measurements of
550	particle pulsation in pulsating aurora. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space
551	Physics, 86(A7), 5673-5681.