Detecting Extreme Temperature Events Using Gaussian Mixture Models

Aytaç PAÇAL¹, Birgit Hassler², Katja Weigel³, Mehmet Levent Kurnaz⁴, Michael F Wehner⁵, and Veronika Eyring¹

¹Deutsches Zentrum für Luft- und Raumfahrt ²Deutsches Zentrum für Luft- und Raumfahrt (DLR), Institut für Physik der Atmosphare ³University of Bremen ⁴Bogazici University ⁵Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory (DOE)

April 29, 2023

Abstract

Extreme temperature events have traditionally been detected assuming a unimodal distribution of temperature data. We found that surface temperature data can be described more accurately with a multimodal rather than a unimodal distribution. Here, we applied Gaussian Mixture Models (GMM) to daily near-surface maximum air temperature data from the historical and future Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 6 (CMIP6) simulations for 46 land regions defined by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). Using the multimodal distribution, we found that temperature extremes, defined based on daily data in the warmest mode of the GMM distributions, are getting more frequent in all regions. Globally, a 10-year extreme temperature event relative to 1980-2010 conditions will occur 15 times more frequently in the future under 3.0°C of Global Warming Levels (GWL). The frequency increase can be even higher in tropical regions, such that 10-year extreme temperature events will occur almost twice a week. Additionally, we analysed the change in future temperature distributions under different GWL and found that the hot temperatures are increasing faster than cold temperatures in low latitudes, while the cold temperatures are increasing faster than the hot temperature range is small. Our method captures the differences in geographical regions and shows that the frequency of extreme events will be even higher than reported in previous studies.



































































Detecting Extreme Temperature Events Using Gaussian Mixture Models

Aytaç Paçal^{1,2}, Birgit Hassler¹, Katja Weigel^{2,1}, M. Levent Kurnaz ⁴, Michael F. Wehner³, Veronika Eyring^{1,2}

¹Deutsches Zentrum für Luft- und Raumfahrt (DLR), Institut für Physik der Atmosphäre, Oberpfaffenhofen, Germany ²University of Bremen, Institute of Environmental Physics (IUP), Bremen, Germany

²University of Bremen, Institute of Environmental Physics (IUP), Bremen, Germany
 ³Computational Research Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA, USA
 ⁴Center for Climate Change and Policy Studies, Boğaziçi University, Istanbul, Turkey

Key Points:

1

2

3

5

6

7 8

10

16

11	•	Extreme temperature events are detected with Gaussian Mixture Models to fol-
12		low a multimodal rather than a unimodal distribution.
13	•	10-year temperature extremes will occur 15 times more frequently under 3.0° C fu-
14		ture warming.
15	•	Cold days are getting warmer faster than hot days in high latitudes, whereas it

is the opposite in low latitudes.

Corresponding author: Aytaç Paçal, aytac.pacal@dlr.de

17 Abstract

Extreme temperature events have traditionally been detected assuming a unimodal dis-18 tribution of temperature data. We found that surface temperature data can be described 19 more accurately with a multimodal rather than a unimodal distribution. Here, we ap-20 plied Gaussian Mixture Models (GMM) to daily near-surface maximum air temperature 21 data from the historical and future Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 6 (CMIP6) 22 simulations for 46 land regions defined by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change 23 (IPCC). Using the multimodal distribution, we found that temperature extremes, de-24 fined based on daily data in the warmest mode of the GMM distributions, are getting 25 more frequent in all regions. Globally, a 10-year extreme temperature event relative to 26 1980-2010 conditions will occur 15 times more frequently in the future under 3.0° C of 27 Global Warming Levels (GWL). The frequency increase can be even higher in tropical 28 regions, such that 10-year extreme temperature events will occur almost twice a week. 29 Additionally, we analysed the change in future temperature distributions under differ-30 ent GWL and found that the hot temperatures are increasing faster than cold temper-31 atures in low latitudes, while the cold temperatures are increasing faster than the hot 32 temperatures in high latitudes. The smallest changes in temperature distribution can 33 be found in tropical regions, where the annual temperature range is small. Our method 34 captures the differences in geographical regions and shows that the frequency of extreme 35 events will be even higher than reported in previous studies. 36

³⁷ Plain Language Summary

Extreme temperature events are unusual weather conditions with exceptionally low 38 or high temperatures. Traditionally, the temperature range was determined by assum-39 ing a single distribution, which describes the frequency of temperatures at a given cli-40 mate using their mean and variability. This single distribution was then used to detect 41 extreme weather events. In this study, we found that temperature data from reanaly-42 ses and climate models can be more accurately described using a mixture of multiple Gaus-43 sian distributions. We used the information from this mixture of Gaussians to determine the cold and hot extremes of the distributions. We analysed their change in a future cli-45 mate and found that hot temperature extremes are getting more frequent in all analyzed 46 regions at a rate that is even higher than found in previous studies. For example, a global 47 10-year event will occur 15 times more frequently under 3.0°C of global warming. Fur-48 thermore, our results show that the temperatures of hot days will increase faster than 49 the temperature of cold days in equatorial regions, while the opposite will occur in po-50 lar regions. Extreme hot temperatures will be the new normal in highly populated re-51 gions such as the Mediterranean basin. 52

53 1 Introduction

Increasing levels of atmospheric carbon dioxide (CO_2) concentration unequivocally 54 transformed the earth's climate (IPCC, 2021). This surplus of CO_2 in the atmosphere 55 contributes to the greenhouse effect, and by increasing the mean and the variability of 56 global temperatures, it amplifies the risk of high-impact temperature extremes (Baker 57 et al., 2018). The effects of anthropogenic global warming led to the emergence of heat 58 extremes that would not have occurred previously (Robinson et al., 2021). This means 59 that unprecedented heat extremes like the 2010 Russian heatwave or the 2021 western 60 North America heatwave would have likely not happened without the warming effect (Rahmstorf 61 & Coumou, 2011; Christidis et al., 2015; Thompson et al., 2022). The latter was found 62 to be a remarkable four standard deviations away from the mean (Thompson et al., 2022). 63 The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) Sixth Assessment Report (AR6) 64 concluded that human influence on the climate system is unequivocal (Eyring et al., 2021) 65 and virtually certain to be the main driver of the changes in hot and cold extremes (Seneviratne 66

et al., 2021). It introduced more frequent and intense hot extremes since the 1950s on 67 land areas while a decrease in cold extremes is observed (IPCC, 2021). Several studies 68 found that the duration, frequency, and intensity of extreme events will increase, and ex-69 treme events will be introduced at new locations (Seneviratne et al., 2012; Rahmstorf 70 & Coumou, 2011; Kharin et al., 2013; Sillmann, Kharin, Zhang, et al., 2013; Sillmann, 71 Kharin, Zwiers, et al., 2013; Pfleiderer et al., 2019; Perkins-Kirkpatrick & Lewis, 2020; 72 Vogel, Hauser, & Seneviratne, 2020; Raymond et al., 2020; Seneviratne et al., 2021; Mallick 73 et al., 2022). As the number of occurrences of heat extremes like the 2003 European heat-74 wave and their duration increase, the socio-economic burden of climate change poses a 75 threat to societies (Meehl & Tebaldi, 2004; Robine et al., 2008; García-León et al., 2021; 76

Demiroglu et al., 2020; Perera et al., 2020; Seneviratne et al., 2021).

The warming of the climate causes different changes in different regions. Tropics, 78 polar regions and the Middle East and North Africa (MENA) region, are hot spots of 79 notable climate trend shifts (Hao et al., 2018; Y. Zhang et al., 2022). Iyakaremye et al. 80 (2022) have shown that an abrupt shift in the daily maximum temperatures occurred 81 in Africa in the last two decades compared to the previous 20 years, which introduced 82 more frequent and intense hot days. Moreover, climate model projections also show a 83 1.6°C increase in the annual maximum of daily maximum temperature over Africa in the 84 future, despite a projected 1.5°C global warming level (Iyakaremye et al., 2021). By the 85 end of the century, the frequency and intensity of heatwaves will highly increase in the 86 MENA region under a business-as-usual pathway scenario, which will affect about half 87 of the MENA population (Lelieveld et al., 2016; Zittis et al., 2021; Ozturk et al., 2021). 88 The number of occurrences of exceptionally hot summers, which have 2-4°C hotter tem-89 peratures than the long-term average, has also increased from a single event between 1951 90 and 1980 to five events between 2001 and 2010 in Central and Eastern Europe, where 91 the 2010 heatwave was the hottest and longest event with the largest geographical ex-92 tent that ever occurred over Europe (Twardosz & Kossowska-Cezak, 2013; Guerreiro et 93 al., 2018). Similarly, other studies also found that the temperature extremes in Europe 94 will increase 20-fold at the end of the century, compared to 1961-1990 (Nikulin et al., 95 2011; Schär et al., 2004; Barriopedro et al., 2011). Over the Americas, the dry and hot 96 extremes showed an increase both in frequency and spatial scope over the past 122 years 97 (Alizadeh et al., 2020; Cai et al., 2014). 98

Correctly characterizing the temperature distributions to analyze extreme events qq is a still-continuing issue as extremes are by definition rare events, and several studies 100 showed that the assumption of distributions or a stationary climate often underestimates 101 the observed heat records (Benestad, 2004; Schär et al., 2004; Anderson & Kostinski, 2010; 102 Fischer & Schär, 2010; Barriopedro et al., 2011; C. Li et al., 2019; Loikith & Neelin, 2019). 103 Thompson et al. (2022) characterized extreme events by calculating a daily extreme in-104 dex which is the difference between the daily maximum temperature and mean daily max-105 imum temperature divided by the standard deviation. With the assumption of a nor-106 mal distribution, they found that the 2021 North American heatwave was one of the most 107 extreme events with 4 standard deviations from the mean. Moreover, the authors pro-108 jected that 20% of the weather risk attribution forecast regions (Stone, 2019) will ex-109 perience extreme events that are four standard deviations from the means in the future. 110 Other studies found that hot summers will be the norm, i.e. mean temperatures exceed 111 the temperature of the historically hottest summer, within the next 1-2 decades (Mueller 112 et al., 2016; Lewis et al., 2017; Vogel, Hauser, & Seneviratne, 2020; Vogel, Zscheischler, 113 et al., 2020). 114

Common indices to monitor and analyze climate extremes that are used in the climate community at the moment, such as ETCCDI (the Expert Team on Climate Change Detection and Indices), are mostly based on daily mean near-surface air temperature or daily maximum near-surface air temperature (X. Zhang et al., 2011; Alexander et al., 2006). Two standard approaches to detect extreme events are the percentile-over-threshold

(POT) and the block maxima method. The block maxima method groups data into an 120 equal length of blocks, e.g. month, season, or year, and use the maximum temperature 121 value of each block to fit the data. The POT method defines a threshold, e.g. percentiles, 122 and uses all temperature values above this threshold in the analysis. Choosing the per-123 centiles for defining extremes is not trivial as the temperature thresholds have a strong 124 seasonality and temporal dependence (Huang et al., 2016). The block maxima method 125 is more commonly used in climate studies because of its simplicity with monthly, sea-126 sonal or annual block periods for fitting generalized extreme value (GEV) distribution 127 to temperature and precipitation extremes (Kharin et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2016; Pa-128 ciorek et al., 2018; Wehner et al., 2018; C. Li et al., 2021; IPCC, 2021). The block max-129 ima method, however, does not use all available data, as calculating a single maximum 130 value from a block period throws out the rest of the data. To be approximated by the 131 GEV distribution, the blocks are assumed to be long enough and "max-stable", which 132 means that if you take the maximum of a group of values selected from a specific GEV 133 distribution, the result will be GEV distributed with the same shape parameter (Huang 134 et al., 2016; Ben Alaya et al., 2020). However, these assumptions might not be valid for 135 all possible use cases or all possible variables. For example, GEV is not the best fit for 136 shorter block lengths as the fit improves with increasing block size (Ben Alaya et al., 2020; 137 Wang et al., 2016). Ben Alaya et al. (2020) argued that the identically distributed ran-138 dom variables assumption of extreme value theory might be problematic for extreme pre-139 cipitation events. They considered a mixture of GEV distributions to fit precipitation 140 data to demonstrate that the mixture distribution could be a potential explanation for 141 the instability of annual maxima. Kollu et al. (2012) tested wind speed characteristics 142 using mixture probability distribution functions (PDF). They found that conventional 143 PDFs are inadequate to describe wind speed distributions compared to the mixture dis-144 tributions that they used in the study. A mixture of Gaussians was used by Shin et al. 145 (2022) to describe the distribution of the daily thermal comfort index in South Korea, 146 an index that has a strong seasonality. Ice surface temperature data follows a clear mul-147 timodal distribution, according to Clarkson et al. (2022). They also found that a uni-148 modal distribution fit is particularly poor at modelling the tail probabilities. Probabil-149 ity distributions with one and two components are called unimodal and bimodal, respec-150 tively, whereas distributions with multiple (two or more) components are called multi-151 modal distributions. 152

The temperature distributions are expected to move towards warmer temperatures 153 and to change their shape with changing means and standard deviations (IPCC, 2021). 154 Also, the assumption of distribution might not be correct for all geographical regions as 155 daily weather variables show a distinct non-Gaussianity (E. M. Volodin & Yurova, 2012; 156 Perron & Sura, 2013; Kodra & Ganguly, 2014; Sardeshmukh et al., 2015; Linz et al., 2018; 157 Tamarin-Brodsky et al., 2019). Furthermore, several studies found that daily mean, daily 158 maximum and real forecast data of 2m temperatures show bimodal features (Grace, 1995; 159 Wilks, 2002; Donat & Alexander, 2012; Cho & Jeong, 2016; Bertossa et al., 2021). These 160 changes, shifts and bimodalities in the temperature distributions affect the probabilities 161 in the tails. As extreme events are rare events that lie in the tails of a distribution, cor-162 rectly describing the tails is very important for extreme event detection. Even though 163 the block maxima method is widely used in studies which used block sizes large enough 164 to converge asymptotically to GEV distributions, a GEV distribution is not well suited 165 to describe extreme value data when the bimodality is apparent or block sizes are short 166 (Sardeshmukh et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2016; Knoben et al., 2019; Ben Alaya et al., 2020). 167 Therefore, the properties of the entire probability distribution, i.e. mean, standard de-168 viation and shape, are needed to get the tail properties right (Sardeshmukh et al., 2015). 169 A distribution can be described by not only the mean and the standard deviation, but 170 also skewness and kurtosis. Donat and Alexander (2012) found that daily minimum and 171 maximum temperatures have significantly shifted towards higher values and skewed to-172 wards the hotter part of the distribution. They highlighted that the changes in extremes 173 are related not only to the means but also to other parameters of the daily temperature 174

distribution. Sardeshmukh and Sura (2009) found a parabolic relationship between kurtosis and skewness that cause the non-Gaussianity of the observed daily weather anomalies. Similarly, Tamarin-Brodsky et al. (2022) used a mixture model with three Gaussians to describe the PDF of near-surface atmospheric temperature to analyze the relationship between kurtosis and skewness, as they are important to explain how the tails
of the distribution change. They found that two- and three-Gaussian models are useful to explain the relationship between kurtosis and skewness.

In the study presented here, our approach is to utilize the entire temperature dis-182 tribution to detect extreme events. We implemented Gaussian Mixture Models (GMM), 183 which describe the probability distribution function of data points as a mixture of Gaus-184 sian distributions. We determined the number of Gaussian components in the temper-185 ature distribution of each grid cell of 46 land regions defined by the Intergovernmental 186 Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) using daily near-surface maximum air temperature 187 data from the historical and future Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 6 (CMIP6) 188 simulations. This choice was supported by previous studies which found distinct bimodal-189 ity in daily weather variables (Grace, 1995; Wilks, 2002; Donat & Alexander, 2012; Cho 190 & Jeong, 2016; Bertossa et al., 2021) and was verified by applying the same analysis to 191 the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts Reanalysis 5th Generation 192 (ECMWF-ERA5) data for the same historical time period (1980-2010). The parameters 193 from the determined distribution components, namely means, standard deviations and 194 weights, were used to calculate the change in the return period of extreme temperature 195 events between the historical and future periods determined by using global warming lev-196 els (GWL). The return period of an event describes the average time between the oc-197 currences of a certain event of a defined size. In this study, we analysed 1-year, 5-year, 198 10-year and 20-year events, where an *n*-year event means that the event in question would 199 occur once in every n years. We only calculated return periods equal to or less than the 200 available future data period to prevent overestimating the return periods of extreme events, 201 since GMM distributions are not bounded. Section 2 presents the climate data and warm-202 ing levels used in this study, as well as the analyzed regions, and explains the method-203 ology of detecting extreme event return periods by using GMM. Section 3 shows our re-204 sults obtained using the GMM method for all analyzed IPCC land regions, and section 205 4 finalizes the paper with a summary and discussion. 206

²⁰⁷ 2 Data and Methodology

208

2.1 Climate Data

For this study, we used daily near-surface maximum temperatures from the Cou-209 pled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 6 (CMIP6), and for which both the histor-210 ical simulations and the simulations for Shared Socioeconomic Pathways (SSPs) 1-2.6, 211 2-4.5, 3-7.0 and 5-8.5 scenarios were available (O'Neill et al., 2014; Eyring et al., 2016; 212 O'Neill et al., 2016). Additionally, ECMWF-ERA5 dataset was included for the 31-year 213 time period (1980-2010). Table 1 shows the list of models and their resolutions. The 31-214 year time period from 1980 to 2010 from historical simulations is used as the base to cal-215 culate the return values of extreme temperature events, i.e. 1-year, 5-year, 10-year and 216 20-year events. The GWL, as introduced in the IPCC AR6 report, are used to assess the 217 changes in future climate in line with the warming levels defined in the Paris Agreement 218 which are compared to the pre-industrial period (IPCC, 2021). The future period for each 219 model is defined as a 20-year period when the central year of the future 20-year running 220 global daily near-surface temperature mean of that model first exceeds a GWL of 1.5°C. 221 2°C, 3°C, and 4°C between 2015 and 2100, relative to the global daily near-surface tem-222 perature mean of the 1850-1900 base period (IPCC, 2021; Hauser et al., 2022). As some 223 datasets did not exceed certain warming levels, they were excluded from the analysis (e.g 224 NOR-ESM2-MM was not used in calculations for 4°C warming under SPP5-8.5, as it 225

did not exceed this level). Figure 1 shows the historical and future GWL periods for each CMIP6 model used in this study.

Table 1. Reanalysis data and CMIP6 models used in this study to detect extreme temperature events. Climate models with spatial resolutions ranging from 50 to 500 km were used in the analyses. The first available ensemble members were chosen. The Renalysis dataset that has a resolution of 25km was regridded to 100 km and used for evaluating modality.

Model	Variant	Resolution	Reference
ECMWF-ERA5	Reanalysis	$25 \mathrm{km}$	(Hersbach et al., 2020)
ACCESS-CM2	r1i1p1f1	$250 \mathrm{km}$	(Dix et al., 2019)
ACCESS-ESM1-5	r1i1p1f1	$250 \mathrm{~km}$	(Ziehn et al., 2019)
AWI-CM-1-1-MR	r1i1p1f1	100 km	(Semmler et al., 2018)
BCC-CSM2-MR	r1i1p1f1	100 km	(Wu et al., 2018)
CanESM5	r1i1p1f1	$500 \mathrm{km}$	(Swart et al., 2019)
CNRM-CM6-1	r1i1p1f2	$250 \mathrm{~km}$	(Voldoire, 2018)
CNRM-CM6-1-HR	r1i1p1f2	$50 \mathrm{km}$	(Voldoire, 2019)
CNRM-ESM2-1	r1i1p1f2	$250 \mathrm{~km}$	(Seferian, 2018)
EC-Earth3	r1i1p1f1	100 km	((EC-Earth), 2019a)
EC-Earth3-CC	r1i1p1f1	100 km	((EC-Earth), 2021)
EC-Earth3-Veg	r1i1p1f1	100 km	((EC-Earth), 2019b)
EC-Earth3-Veg-LR	r1i1p1f1	$250 \mathrm{~km}$	((EC-Earth), 2020)
FGOALS-g3	r1i1p1f1	$250 \mathrm{~km}$	(L. Li, 2019)
GFDL-ESM4	r1i1p1f1	$100 \mathrm{~km}$	(Krasting et al., 2018)
HadGEM3-GC31-LL	r1i1p1f3	$250 \mathrm{~km}$	(Ridley et al., $2019a$)
HadGEM3-GC31-MM	r1i1p1f3	100 km	(Ridley et al., $2019b$)
INM-CM4-8	r1i1p1f1	$100 \mathrm{km}$	(von et al., 2019)
INM-CM5-0	r1i1p1f1	$100 \mathrm{km}$	(E. Volodin et al., 2019)
IPSL-CM6A-LR	r1i1p1f1	$250 \mathrm{~km}$	(Boucher et al., 2018)
KACE-1-0-G	r1i1p1f1	$250 \mathrm{~km}$	(Byun et al., 2019)
MIROC6	r1i1p1f1	$250 \mathrm{~km}$	(Tatebe & Watanabe, 2018)
MIROC-ES2L	r1i1p1f2	500 km	(Hajima et al., 2019)
MPI-ESM1-2-HR	r1i1p1f1	100 km	(Jungclaus et al., 2019)
MPI-ESM1-2-LR	r1i1p1f1	$250 \mathrm{~km}$	(Wieners et al., 2019)
MRI-ESM2-0	r1i1p1f1	100 km	(Yukimoto et al., 2019)
NESM3	r1i1p1f1	$250 \mathrm{~km}$	(Cao & Wang, 2019)
NorESM2-LM	r1i1p1f1	$250~\mathrm{km}$	(Seland et al., 2019)
NorESM2-MM	r1i1p1f1	100 km	(Bentsen et al., 2019)
UKESM1-0-LL	r1i1p1f2	$250 \mathrm{~km}$	(Tang et al., 2019)

227



Figure 1. Future periods of the CMIP6 models when the central year of the 20-year running window exceeds global warming levels relative to 1850-1900 base for the SSP5-8.5 scenario (Hauser et al., 2022). The colors in the graph go from light to dark, each color representing a different level of warming 1.5°C, 2°C, 3°C, and 4°C. These levels are expected to be exceeded around 2026, 2040, 2060, and 2070 respectively. 31-year historical base period indicated in gray. Note that different models have different time periods when they exceed the GWL. Future periods for other SSP scenarios are presented in the Supplementary Material Figure S2 to S4.

We extracted daily maximum near-surface air temperature for 31-year historical and 20-year future periods under GWL for each SSP individually for 46 IPCC land re-

228

229

gions that are shown in Figure 2 (Iturbide et al., 2020). All data extraction and prepro-230 cessing in this study were performed by using the Earth System Model Evaluation Tool 231 (ESMValTool) version 2.5.0, which is an open-source software package for analysing and 232 evaluating model simulations (Eyring et al., 2020; Lauer et al., 2020; Righi et al., 2020; 233 Weigel et al., 2021). We extracted the daily maximum near-surface air temperature from 234 each model for each region using shapefiles provided by IPCC (Iturbide et al., 2020), con-235 verted units from Kelvin to Celsius, and created a single spatiotemporal Network Com-236 mon Data Form (NetCDF) file for each region. The data were then ready to be used in 237 the diagnostic script written in Python where the extreme events and their return pe-238 riods were analyzed.



Figure 2. 46 land regions defined by IPCC are used in the study. See Supplementary Material Table S2 for region definitions.

239

240

2.2 Return Period Analyses

For the return period calculation of extreme temperature events, i.e. 1-year, 5-year, 241 10-year and 20-year events, we defined a temperature threshold for an event by calcu-242 lating the standard deviation distance of the event temperature from the mean temper-243 ature in the past, i.e. how many standard deviations away the event temperature was 244 from the mean. We then applied this temperature threshold value to the future period 245 but calculated its standard deviation distance from the mean using the parameters from 246 the future distribution, i.e. how many standard deviations away the event temperature 247 will be from the mean. To test the underlying distribution shape of the daily near-surface 248 maximum temperature distribution, we first analyzed data from individual grid cells of 249 each climate model. We found that daily maximum near-surface air temperature data 250 in climate grid cells usually do not follow a unimodal distribution, but rather follow a 251 bimodal distribution, a probability distribution composed of two components. 252

To calculate the return periods of extreme events, we modelled the temperature data from a grid cell as mixtures of Gaussian distributions, rather than a single Gaussian distribution.GMM is a probabilistic model that describes the data points in a pop-

ulation as a mixture of Gaussian distributions with unknown parameters which are the 256 mean, standard deviation and weight of each Gaussian component, five parameters in 257 total for a bimodal distribution. An example goodness-of-fit test for normal distribution, 258 GEV distribution with different shape parameters and GMM distributions on the daily 259 maximum temperature data from a random grid cell is presented in the Supplementary 260 Material Section 1. We used an unsupervised machine-learning package, the "Gaussian-261 Mixture" package from open-sourced machine-learning library Scikit-learn, to compute 262 the unknown parameters of the Gaussian components in a mixture that generates all ob-263 served data points (Pedregosa et al., 2011). We applied this package to the daily max-264 imum near-surface air temperature data in each grid cell of the CMIP6 models. The "Gaus-265 sianMixture" package first randomly assigns values to component parameters and then 266 uses the expectation-maximization algorithm (EM) to converge their values. EM fits GMM 267 to data by alternating between two steps, Expectation (E) and Maximization (M). In 268 the E step, it randomly assumes components and calculates the probability of each point 269 to be generated by that component. In the M step, it tweaks parameters to maximize 270 the likelihood found in the first step. It also uses the Bayesian Information Criteria (BIC) 271 score, which is used to estimate the goodness-of-fit of a distribution, and which accounts 272 for both the likelihood function and the number of parameters. For a Gaussian mixture 273 with K components, μ_k is the mean, σ_k is the standard deviation, and ω_k is the weight 274 of k^{th} component. Then, the probability distribution function of the GMM with K com-275 ponents would be: 276

$$p(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{K} \omega_i \mathcal{N}(x \mid \mu_i, \sigma_i) \tag{1}$$

$$\mathcal{N}(x \mid \mu_i, \sigma_i) = \frac{1}{\sigma_i \sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{(x - \mu_i)^2}{2\sigma_i^2}\right) \tag{2}$$

279
$$\sum_{i=1}^{K} \omega_i = 1$$
 (3)

In our analysis, we disregarded three or more Gaussian components. This choice 281 was supported by the value of the BIC score, and the fact that increasing the number 282 of components tends to cause overfitting, even though BIC scores penalise adding more 283 parameters. Furthermore, we used the gradient of BIC scores rather than using the low-284 est score. We selected the number of Gaussian components where the highest gradient 285 change occurs in the BIC scores as the best fit. To further prevent overfitting, we also 286 applied the following unimodality test after estimating the BIC scores: If the BIC score 287 returned a bimodal distribution, then the parameters of the mixture distribution com-288 ponents were used for the unimodality test. As shown in Equation 4, if the difference 289 between the means of Gaussian components was less than or equal to twice the mini-290 mum of standard deviations, then unimodal distribution was assumed, otherwise, the 291 bimodal distribution fit for the data was kept. After all these tests and checks, the ma-292 jority of grid cells showed a clear bimodal distribution. For a bimodal distribution, here-293 after we referred to the right (left) Gaussian component as "hot (cold) Gaussian" as shown 294 in Figure 3). 295

296

277

278

$$|\mu_1 - \mu_2| \le 2\min(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) \tag{4}$$

First, we grouped grid cells of a region depending on their modality, either unimodal 297 or bimodal, for each CMIP6 model, and calculated the percentages of grid modalities 298 among all grid cells of a region for each CMIP6 model. We then determined the multi-299 model mean percentages of grid cell modalities of a region as shown in Figure 4. Addi-300 tionally, we calculated the global multi-model mean percentage of grid cell modalities 301 using all regions and CMIP6 models. We found that globally 88.78% of all grid cells fol-302 low a bimodal distribution in the historical period as shown in the white box in the up-303 per centre part of Figure 4. Furthermore, we analysed the ECMWF-ERA5 dataset for 304



Figure 3. Exemplary bimodal distributions from a hypothetical grid cell for the historical (blue) and future (red) simulations. The parameters of each Gaussian component, means (blue and red dots), standard deviations and weights, determine the distribution shape, and are used in the analyses.

305	the same historical time period (1980-2010) to confirm whether bimodality is also found
306	in data other than model simulations. We regridded the ECMWF-ERA5 data from a
307	25-km grid to a 100-km grid using the nearest neighbour method to have a similar res-
308	olution as many CMIP6 datasets. ECMWF-ERA5 reanalysis dataset shows similar re-
309	sults to the CMIP6 models: Globally 87.68% of all grid cells in the ECMWF-ERA5 re-
310	analysis dataset follow a bimodal distribution as shown in the white box in the upper
311	centre part of Figure 5, while only 12.32% of them follow a unimodal distribution.



Figure 4. Multi-model mean percentages of grid modalities for the historical period in study regions grouped by continents. Dark and light blue bars show the percentage of grid cells with unimodal or bimodal distribution, respectively, for the historical period of 29 CMIP6 simulations.



Figure 5. Same as Figure 4 but for ECMWF-ERA5 reanalysis dataset.

Then, the parameters of the hot Gaussian component, $\mu_{hot}^{historical}$, $\sigma_{hot}^{historical}$ and $\omega_{hot}^{historical}$, were used to calculate the change in return periods. We only analysed 1-year, 5-year, 10-year and 20-year events, as GMM are unbounded. One should be careful while calculating the return periods using GMM, as the unbounded tails of Gaussian component could overestimate the probabilities of longer return periods. Therefore, return periods equal to or less than the analysis period were calculated using GMM. The change in return periods is calculated first in each grid cell of a region and then averaged together to produce regional results for each CMIP6 simulation.

For normally distributed data, the expected percentage of the population inside the $\mu \pm d\sigma$ range is defined as

322

326

$$E(\mu \pm d\sigma) = \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{d}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \tag{5}$$

where erf is the error function and d is the standard deviation distance. The approximate expected frequency, f, outside this range is then defined as the return period of an extreme.

$$1 in \frac{1}{1 - \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{d}{\sqrt{2}}\right)} days \tag{6}$$

An n-year event with this definition refers then to a temperature event occur-327 ring once in every n "year", where "year" is defined as the number of days covered by 328 the hot Gaussian component. For example, we can assume that a symmetrical bimodal 329 distribution results in 180 days of cold weather and 180 days of hot weather in a nor-330 mal 365-day calendar year. For such a symmetric case, a 10-year event would then be 331 a temperature event that occurs once in every 1800 days (10 years $\times 180 \frac{days}{year}$). Since we 332 cannot assume a symmetric distribution for grid cells of each model, we calculated the 333 number of days covered by the hot Gaussian component using the component weights 334 and dataset size. 335

Let \mathcal{D} denote the number of days in L years. Then, a "year" in the historical period, $|\mathcal{N}(\mu_{hot}^{historical}, \sigma_{hot}^{historical})|$ is defined as

$$|\mathcal{N}(\mu_{hot}^{historical}, \sigma_{hot}^{historical})| = \frac{\omega_{hot}^{historical}\mathcal{D}}{L}$$
(7)

where $\mu_{hot}^{historical}$ is the mean, $\sigma_{hot}^{historical}$ is the standard deviation and $\omega_{hot}^{historical}$ is the weight of hot Gaussian component. The expected frequency of *n*-year events in the historical period, $f_n^{historical}$, is then calculated by using the length of a year,

$$f_n^{historical} = n \times |\mathcal{N}(\mu_{hot}^{historical}, \sigma_{hot}^{historical})| \qquad n = 1, 5, 10, 20 \tag{8}$$

The standard deviation distance of range, $d_n^{historical}$, for an extreme event in the historical period can be calculated by using Equation 6,

$$d_n^{historical} = \operatorname{erf}^{-1}\left(1 - \frac{1}{f_n^{historical}}\right)\sqrt{2} \tag{9}$$

where erf⁻¹ is inverse error function. Now, we can calculate a temperature threshold, $\tau_n^{historical}$, for an *n*-year event in the historical period.

$$\tau_n^{historical} = \mu_{hot}^{historical} + d_n^{historical} \sigma_{hot}^{historical} \tag{10}$$

Using this temperature threshold from the historical period, we calculate the standard deviation distance of the temperature threshold of *n*-year event in the future, d_n^{future} ,

by using the mean μ_{hot}^{future} , and standard deviation σ_{hot}^{future} from the hot Gaussian component of the future distribution.

$$d_n^{future} = \frac{\tau_n^{historical} - \mu_{hot}^{future}}{\sigma_{i}^{future}} \tag{11}$$

$$f_n^{future} = \frac{1}{1 - \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{d_n^{future}}{\sqrt{2}}\right)}$$
(12)

359

365

367 368

354

3

345

(13)

Finally, the new value of the return period in the future \dot{n} , i.e. \dot{n} -year event, is calcu-

³⁵⁸ lated by using Equation 8

$$\dot{n} = \frac{f_h^{future}}{|\mathcal{N}(\mu_{hot}^{future}, \sigma_{hot}^{future})|} \tag{14}$$

where $\mathcal{N}(\mu_{hot}^{future}, \sigma_{hot}^{future})$ is length of a "year" in the future period.

With this method, we can also analyse if and how much the Gaussian components will shift in the future relative to the historical period. We defined ΔT , as the difference in differences between the means of cold and hot Gaussian components as shown in Equation 15:

$$\Delta T = \delta T_{cold} - \delta T_{hot} \tag{15}$$

$$\delta T_{cold} = \mu_{cold}^{future} - \mu_{cold}^{historical} \tag{16}$$

$$\delta T_{hot} = \mu_{hot}^{future} - \mu_{hot}^{historical} \tag{17}$$

In Figure 3 this change in hot and cold Gaussian means is schematically illustrated. As-369 suming the future means of Gaussian components are higher than the historical periods, 370 δT_{cold} and δT_{hot} will always be positive. Therefore, a negative ΔT means that the peaks 371 are diverging in the future: the hot Gaussian moves toward warmer temperatures faster 372 than the cold Gaussian, which increases the frequency of hot extremes and induces an 373 overall warmer climate. A positive ΔT means that the peaks are converging: the cold 374 Gaussian moves closer to the hot Gaussian, which increases the number of days with warmer 375 temperatures in the colder mode. 376

377 **3 Results**

First, we checked the change in the percentage of modalities from the present to 378 the future time periods. For this, we analyzed the modality of the temperature data from 379 each individual grid cell of an IPCC land region by counting the number of grid cells with 380 each modality. We found that the percentages of grid cells with bimodal distributions 381 stay almost the same under different warming levels. As some of the CMIP6 datasets 382 do not exceed certain warming levels, the number of datasets are not identical for the 383 historical and future period and therefore affect the change in percentages. We analysed 384 modalities of grid cells under different GWL for all SSP scenarios but we only present 385 SSP5-8.5 results here, as the SSP5-8.5 scenario had data from 29 CMIP6 models and the 386 GWL are scenario independent. Globally, almost 90% of all grid cells follow a bimodal 387 distribution as shown in Figure 4 for the historical period, Figure 5 for the reanalysis 388 data and Figure 6 for GWL 3.0°C for different regions grouped by continents (See Sup-389 plementary Material Figure S5 to S7 for other warming levels). East Antarctica (EAN) 390 region is not included in the analysis because it is composed of many grid cells near the 391 pole, causing numerical problems. Global averages and the number of datasets are shown 392 in the white box in the upper centre part of each figure. In the historical period, the grid 393 cells in tropical and sub-tropical regions have slightly higher percentages of unimodal dis-394 tributions compared to higher latitude regions. However, regions still mostly follow a bi-395 modal distribution as shown in Figure 4. The multi-model mean percentage of unimodal 396 distributions does not exceed 50% of grid cells in any of the regions, except in N.W.South-397 America (NWS) and South-American-Monsoon (SAM) regions where 52.5% and 51.2%398 of the grid cells follow a unimodal distribution, respectively, in the historical period. The 399 higher percentage of unimodal distributions in lower latitudes is consistent with tropical climate features, where hot temperatures are observed all year round and the an-401 nual temperature range is small (Richter, 2016; Beck et al., 2018). This climate type is 402 therefore expected to likely experience a temperature distribution close to a single Gaus-403 sian. All grid cells (99.9%) in CMIP6 models follow a bimodal distribution in the Mediter-404 ranean (MED) region in the historical period and under all future periods. In polar re-405 gions, more than 90% of the grid cells follow a bimodal distribution in the historical pe-406 riod. The percentage of grid cells with unimodal distributions in polar regions slightly 407 increases under future global warming levels. 408

As previously mentioned in Section 2.2, large values of ΔT (see Equation 15) will 409 cause the temperature distribution to change its modality for future GWL periods with 410 respect to the historical base period of 1980-2010. We analysed all regional grids for all 411 CMIP6 models for the modality changes under GWL 1.5°C, 2°C, 3°C, and 4°C. Figure 412 7 shows the percentage of changes in grid cell distribution modalities under GWL3.0°C. 413 Globally, the percentage of grids changing from a unimodal (bimodal) distribution in the 414 historical period to a bimodal (unimodal) distribution in the future periods is between 415 2.98% (2.41%) and 5.99% (3.99%) for different scenarios and GWL as shown in Table 416 2. The change from unimodal to bimodal distribution in the future period is most preva-417 lent in regions where the highest percentage of unimodality was observed in the histor-418 ical period, as shown in Figure 4. This suggests that regions that were previously char-419 acterized by more consistent temperatures (as indicated by a unimodal temperature dis-420 tribution) may experience more variability in temperature in the future. As our anal-421 vsis uses the mean and standard deviation of the same component from the historical 422 and future daily maximum temperature distributions, we only used the grid cells which 423 have the same modality in the historical and future periods. We disregarded the grid cells 424 with changing modalities, i.e. unimodal to bimodal or vice versa, as this will affect the 425 mean and standard deviation, and hence the return period analysis. 426



Figure 6. Same as Figure 4 but for future SSP5-8.5 scenario under GWL 3.0°C.





We also analysed the movements of the Gaussian components relative to each other 427 using the ΔT definition from Equation 15 in grid cells with a bimodal distribution. Fig-428 ure 8 shows the ΔT results for all analysed regions for SSP5-8.5 under 3.0°C warming 429 (see Supplementary Material Figure S8 to S10 for other warming levels). Changes in dis-430 tribution peaks are smaller for the lower warming levels. This is consistent with the fact 431 that the time periods for exceeding warming levels are very close to the historical pe-432 riod as shown in Figure 1. For the future 3.0° C warming scenario, we observed that the 433 mean temperatures are increasing in all regions. Temperature distributions for the Eu-434 ropean regions have negative ΔT values, -0.46 degrees on average. This will cause al-435 ready bimodal peaks in the historical period to separate further from each other in the 436 future, while the whole distribution moves towards higher temperatures. Divergence of 437 peaks will result in more extreme hot temperatures in Europe, as the hot Gaussian moves 438 faster. This result is in agreement with findings from the IPCC AR6 report, in which 439 temperatures in Europe are reported to increase faster than the rest of the globe (IPCC, 440 2021). Polar regions, Northern America and parts of Northern Asia have positive ΔT 441 values, i.e. converging peaks in grid cells with bimodal distributions. The distribution 442 shape shifts to warmer temperatures and approaches a unimodal distribution as the cold 443 Gaussian part of the distribution moves toward the warmer temperatures faster than the 444

EXP	GWL	${\rm Unimodal}{\rightarrow} {\rm Unimodal}$	${\rm Unimodal}{\rightarrow}{\rm Bimodal}$	${\rm Bimodal}{\rightarrow}{\rm Unimodal}$	$Bimodal \rightarrow Bimodal$
SSP1-2.6	$1.5^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$	11.22%	2.98%	2.41%	83.40%
SSP1-2.6	$2.0^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$	10.29%	3.65%	2.56%	83.51%
SSP2-4.5	$1.5^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$	10.96%	2.98%	2.43%	83.63%
SSP2-4.5	$2.0^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$	10.24%	3.70%	2.84%	83.21%
SSP2-4.5	$3.0^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$	8.77%	4.75%	3.18%	83.29%
SSP2-4.5	$4.0^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$	7.17%	5.99%	3.75%	83.08%
SSP3-7.0	$1.5^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$	10.79%	3.12%	2.54%	83.55%
SSP3-7.0	$2.0^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$	10.15%	3.76%	3.01%	83.08%
SSP3-7.0	$3.0^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$	8.92%	4.79%	3.56%	82.73%
SSP3-7.0	$4.0^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$	7.81%	5.50%	3.89%	82.80%
SSP5-8.5	$1.5^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$	11.03%	3.04%	2.47%	83.46%
SSP5-8.5	$2.0^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$	10.32%	3.75%	3.17%	82.76%
SSP5-8.5	$3.0^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$	9.16%	4.91%	3.90%	82.03%
SSP5-8.5	$4.0^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$	8.20%	5.57%	3.99%	82.24%

Table 2. Global average percentage of grid cells with varying distribution modality between the historical and future periods.

hot Gaussian part. This convergence is also consistent with the slight increase in the per-445 centage of unimodal distribution in polar regions as shown in Figure 6. This will cause 446 polar regions to have more days with warmer temperatures also in the colder mode while 447 also having an overall warmer climate. The convergence of peaks in three polar regions 448 (EAN, WAN, GIC) and three northern regions (RAR, NEN and NWN) becomes clear 449 when the regions are sorted by the mean temperature of cold Gaussian component as 450 shown in Figure 9. High ΔT values in polar regions are also supported by previous stud-451 ies reporting that Arctic regions are warming faster than the global average (Taylor et 452 al., 2022). The lowest ΔT values are in MED and SAM regions, -0.97 and -1.25 degrees 453 respectively, which will cause both bimodal peaks to diverge from each other while both 454 are moving towards warmer temperatures. Regions in Oceania, Central- and parts of South-455 America have ΔT values close to zero, i.e. the cold and hot Gaussian peaks shifts to-456 ward the warmer temperatures at the same rate. This will cause these regions to have 457 warmer cold and hot periods under future global warming levels compared to the his-458 torical period. When all regions are considered, we observe that the extreme tempera-459 ture events will increase everywhere, as the mean temperatures increase in all regions 460 compared to the historical distributions. The fact that the peaks are converging only in 461 cold climate regions while diverging in other regions shows that shifts in the Gaussian 462 components with respect to each other are essential for extreme temperature event anal-463 yses as these changes affect the overall distribution shape and extent.

After analysing the distribution shapes and peak movements, we calculated the re-465 turn periods - the average time between the occurrences of a certain event- of 1-year, 5-466 year, 10-year and 20-year events using only the grid cells with constant modalities, i.e. 467 unimodal or bimodal both for the historical and future periods, as described in Equa-468 tion 14. As we did not analyse the extremes in blocks such as season or a full year, but 469 the overall extremes in the region's temperature distribution, we used the number of data 470 points under the Gaussian component as the length of a *year* defined in Equation 7. For 471 example, globally a 10-year event was a temperature event that occurs once in every 1880 472 days (10 years $\times 188 \frac{days}{year}$) (for bimodal distributions) in the historical period, but it will 473 occur once in every 564, 311, 122 and 72 days under GWL 1.5° CC, 2.0° C, 3.0° C and 4.0° C 474 scenarios, as shown in the plot showing global results in Figure 10, respectively. In other 475 words, historical 10-year events will be 3-year, 1.65-year, 0.65-year and 0.3-year events 476 under the future GWL 1.5°C, 2.0°C, 3.0°C and 4.0°C scenarios, respectively. After cal-477 culating the frequency of extreme events using the temperature distributions in each grid 478 cell individually for an IPCC land region, we averaged the results for the whole region 479 for a single model. The global map with box plots in Figure 10 shows multi-model 10-480



Figure 8. Multi-model peak mean change of region temperature distributions from bimodal grid cells for SSP5-8.5 under GWL3.0°C. Blue and red dots are peak means for the historical and future periods, respectively, and are plotted on the left y-axis. Green bars describe the change in the peak mean temperature, ΔT , and are plotted on the right y-axis. The upward shift in both blue and red dots represents overall warming (see Supplementary Material Figure S8 to S10 for other warming levels).

481 year event frequencies of each region for SSP5-8.5 scenario under different GWL, where
482 blue, green, pink and red boxes represent 1.5°C, 2.0°C, 3.0°C and 4.0°C, respectively.
483 Results for 1-year, 5-year, and 20-year events are left out for simplicity and presented
484 in the Supplementary Material Figure S15 to S28. The length of a "year" in each region
485 that is used for return period calculations, i.e. the number of days in 10 years, is shown
486 on the top right corner of each sub-plot in Figure 10.

As shown in Figure 10, return periods of extreme temperature events are getting 487 shorter for all regions under all GWL scenarios as the median of each box is smaller than 488 the base period. The frequency of extreme events is higher in lower latitudes compared 489 to higher latitudes. For example, the return periods are getting prominently shorter in 490 regions around the equator -where a higher percentage of unimodal grid cells was observed-491 compared to the other regions. Furthermore, CMIP6 models show narrower boxes and 492 shorter whiskers in lower latitudes compared to wider boxes and longer whiskers in higher 493 latitudes for all analyzed GWL. Among all analysed regions, the Caribbean (CAR) re-101 gion has the highest increase in the frequency of a 10-year event, from once in 1910 days for the historical period to once in every 93.0, 24.9, 4.2 and 1.8 days under GWL 1.5, 496 2, 3, and 4°C, respectively. Regions around the equator (namely CAR, NSA, NWS, NES, 497 SEA, SCA, SAM, MDG, WAF, and SEAF regions) are the top 10 regions with the high-498 est increase in the frequency of extreme events under all GWL. The frequency of a tem-499 perature event equivalent to a 10-year event (historically once in every 1610 days) in the 500 Mediterranean (MED) region increases to once in 367.7, 184.2, 62.8 and 27.1 days in the 501 future under GWL 1.5, 2, 3, and 4°C, respectively. Within the European continent, the 502 West&Central Europe (WCE) region has a higher increase in the frequency of extreme 503 events compared to the Eastern Europe (EEU) and the North Eastern Europe (NEU) 504 regions, where the latter two regions are among the regions with the least increase in ex-505



Figure 9. Multi-model peak mean change of region temperature distributions sorted by cold Gaussian mean temperatures for SSP5-8.5 under GWL 3.0°C. Blue and red dots are peak means for the historical and future periods, respectively, and are plotted on the left y-axis. Green bars describe the change in the peak mean temperatures, ΔT , and are plotted on the right y-axis. The colder regions, three polar regions (EAN, WAN, GIC) and three northern regions (RAR, NEN and NWN), have positive ΔT values and their absolute values are higher than the other regions. The upward shift in blue dots shows that the temperature of cold days is getting warmer and this increase is faster in polar regions compared to the rest of the world (see Supplementary Material Figure S11 to S13 for other warming levels).

treme temperature event frequency. The smallest increase in the frequency of hot extremes 506 is observed in the Western Antarctica (WAN) region, where the return periods of 10-year 507 events will decrease from once in 1830 days to once in 1062.12, 844.7, 541.9 and 339.8 508 days under GWL 1.5, 2, 3, and 4°C, respectively. High latitude regions, such as WAN, 509 NEU, EAN, NWN, ESB, GIC, RAR, SSA, TIB, and NEN regions are the 10 regions with the smallest decrease in return periods of extreme hot temperature events. Some of these 511 regions are polar regions with positive ΔT values as shown in Figure 9. This will cause 512 more days with warmer temperatures in the colder mode of these regions while having 513 an increase in hot extremes. 514

515

4 Summary and Discussion

Detection of extreme events is important to mitigate their impact on natural and anthropogenic systems. Future projections suggest that the mean and standard deviations of maximum surface temperature will increase. This change in the shape of maximum surface temperature distributions increases the intensity and frequency of extreme events in the future. However, not only the shift to warmer temperatures but also the modality of temperature distribution affects the parameters of the entire distribution which is important to calculate the return periods as shown in this study.

GMM are a promising method for calculating the return periods of extreme events, and additionally determining the shape of the entire distribution for daily maximum temperature data. Some studies used seasonal periods to analyse extreme events (Walt & Fitchett, 2021; Prodhomme et al., 2022), however, onsets and length of seasons are pre-





dicted to change with climate change (Wang et al., 2021). Therefore, the definition of 527 current seasonal periods will not necessarily be valid for future climates. One can uti-528 lize GMM to determine the hot Gaussian component of a region to define the length of 529 the analysis period instead of using fixed seasonal definitions. Furthermore, one loses most 530 of the data with current extreme event indices. GEV distributions are a better fit for 531 longer block sizes than for shorter blocks like daily data. If the available dataset is short, 532 the longer block sizes will produce fewer data which can increase the variability in pa-533 rameter estimation (Huang et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2016). If there is more than one ex-534 tremely hot day in the block (month, season or year), e.g. several consecutive days, block 535 maxima methods consider only the hottest, and hence only one day in a block, while GMM 536 considers all days hotter than the threshold. Assuming that a heat wave lasts usually 537 days to a few weeks, a substantial number of hot days might not be seen by block max-538 ima methods as long as they fall into the same block. However, since the Gaussian com-539 ponents of GMM are not bounded, it is important to only calculate the return periods 540 of extreme events equal to or less than the study period when applying GMM. Addition-541 ally, we only used grid cells which have the same number of Gaussian components in their 542 temperature distribution, i.e. unimodal or bimodal distribution, both for the historical 543 and future periods. Grid cells with changing distribution shapes, e.g. transforming from 544 a bimodal distribution in the historical period to a unimodal distribution in the future 545 or vice versa, were found in less than 10% of the grid cell for each GWL as shown in Ta-546 ble 2, and were disregarded in the analysis as calculating the temperature thresholds be-547 comes problematic with the abrupt change in means and standard deviations. Finally, 548 GMM can provide information on different climate features in different regions such as 549 cold and hot periods, and their changes. 550

For the first time, the IPCC AR6 Report includes a new dedicated chapter on weather 551 and climate extreme events (IPCC, 2021). This emphasizes the importance of robust meth-552 ods of extreme event detection to be able to mitigate the impact of such events. IPCC 553 AR6 reports that the return periods of 10-year events will increase around the world, 554 with the highest changes projected to happen in some mid-latitude and semi-arid regions. 555 Our findings are in agreement with these results. Furthermore, IPCC AR6 projects the 556 warming rate in mid-latitudes to be higher than the average global warming rate. This 557 will introduce the highest increase in the temperature of the hottest days. For example, 558 almost all grid cells in the Mediterranean region follow a bimodal distribution, and the 559 peaks of bimodal distribution will diverge in the future. This might explain why the Mediter-560 ranean region is identified as one of the most responsive regions to climate change and 561 a hot spot of climate extremes (IPCC, 2021). Similarly, Arctic regions are projected to 562 have the highest increase in temperature of the coldest days (IPCC, 2021; C. Li et al., 563 2021). Our results are also consistent with these increases as shown in Figure 8, where 564 diverging peaks in mid-latitudes will shift the hot Gaussian part of temperature distri-565 butions to the higher temperature ranges. This shift in the Gaussian components of tem-566 perature distribution will cause those land regions to have warmer temperature extremes 567 and can explain the higher average warming rate than the global average. Likewise, con-568 verging peaks in polar regions as shown in Figure 8 will move the cold Gaussian part toward warmer temperatures, thereby introducing higher warming on the coldest days. 570

According to our analyses, 10-year events will increase almost 3-fold under GWL 571 1.5° C compared to the historical period for all SSP scenarios as shown in Figure 11 when 572 looking at the whole globe. This means a temperature event that occurs once in every 573 10 years (1880 days) will occur 3.3 times in every 10 years under GWL 1.5°C. 10-year 574 extreme temperature events will become even more frequent globally under GWL 2°C. 575 3°C and 4°C; 6.0, 15.3, and 32.7 times in every 10 years, respectively. In other words, 576 current 10-year events will be 3.0-year, 1.65-year, 0.65-year and 0.3-year events in the 577 future under GWL 1.5°C, 2°C, 3°C and 4°C, respectively. Our results show a higher in-578 crease compared to the IPCC AR6 report, where the frequency of 10-year events is pro-579 jected to increase approximately 3, 4, 5.5 and 9-fold under GWL 1.5°C, 2°C, 3°C and 580

4°C, respectively (IPCC, 2021), using a block maxima method for determining the ex-581 treme events. The higher increase in our method compared to IPCC AR6 can most likely 582 be explained by the fact that GMM considers all days hotter than the threshold, while 583 the block maxima method only uses the maximum of a block. Another important point 584 deduced from the analyses of different regions for several CMIP6 models is that the en-585 semble of analyzed CMIP6 models shows coherent results for regions as shown in the re-586 gional box plots in Figure 10. Most of the individual model results fall within the first 587 and third quartile, and only a few models fall outside this range. The higher number of 588 outlier points in the global box plot in Figure 10, and also shown for different SSP sce-589 narios in Figure 11, are caused by the differences between regional return periods. All 590 SSP scenarios show similar results with each other as the return periods are calculated 591 for GWL which have the same forcing on climate. 592



Figure 11. Global multi-model median of event frequencies for 10-year temperature events under 1.5, 2, 3 and 4°C warming levels for a) SSP1-2.6, b) SSP2-4.5, c) SSP3-7.0 and d) SSP5-8.5 scenarios. The orange lines inside the boxes show the CMIP6 multi-model median, and the boxes extend between the first quartile (Q1) to the third quartile (Q3) of the data, i.e. interquartile range (IQR). The vertical lines, i.e. whiskers, stretch out 1.5 IQR from the box. The circles represent the models outside of the interquartile range, i.e. outliers. The length of the hot period used for return period calculations, i.e. number of days in 10 years, is shown in the top right corner of each plot. The number of datasets is given in parenthesises. All plots show similar results for different SSP scenarios as the GWL are scenario-independent.

Return periods of extreme events become shorter in every region, which means that the frequency of extreme temperature events increases. This will become larger with in-

593

594

-21-

creasing global warming levels. Some climate models have already exceeded GWL 1.5°C with respect to the 1850-1900 period as shown in Figure 1. This fact further emphasises the importance of robust methods to detect extreme events. Even though there is a delay in taking the necessary precautions to reduce the speed of the warming of the climate, as time goes by, tomorrow's projections become today's reality.

600 Code and data availability

The recipes to extract regional data from CMIP6 models using ESMValTool, python scripts to analyse extreme events and to produce all figures of this manuscript are accessible in the following GitHub repository: https://github.com/EyringMLClimateGroup/ pacal23jgr_GaussianMixtureModels_Extremes. The regional output files amount to hundreds of GB.

The latest release of ESMValTool is publicly at https://github.com/ESMValGroup/ ESMValTool (Andela et al., 2022).

608 Acknowledgments

Funding for this study was provided by the European Research Council (ERC) Synergy 609 Grant "Understanding and Modelling the Earth System with Machine Learning (USMILE)" 610 under the Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (Grant Agreement No. 855187). 611 This work used resources of the Deutsches Klimarechenzentrum (DKRZ) granted by its 612 Scientific Steering Committee (WLA) under project ID bd1083. We acknowledge the World 613 Climate Research Programme, which, through its Working Group on Coupled Modelling, 614 coordinated and promoted CMIP6. We thank the climate modelling groups for produc-615 ing and making available their model outputs, the Earth System Grid Federation (ESGF) 616 for archiving the data and providing access, and the multiple funding agencies that sup-617 port CMIP6 and ESGF. We would like to extend our sincere gratitude to Dr. Pauline 618 Bonnet for her valuable comments and suggestions to improve the manuscript. 619

620 References

621	Alexander, L. V., Zhang, X., Peterson, T. C., Caesar, J., Gleason, B., Klein Tank,
622	A. M. G., Vazquez-Aguirre, J. L. (2006). Global observed changes in daily
623	climate extremes of temperature and precipitation. Journal of Geophysical
624	Research: Atmospheres, 111(D5). doi: 10.1029/2005JD006290
625	Alizadeh, M. R., Adamowski, J., Nikoo, M. R., AghaKouchak, A., Dennison, P., &
626	Sadegh, M. (2020). A century of observations reveals increasing likelihood
627	of continental-scale compound dry-hot extremes. Science Advances, $6(39)$,
628	eaaz4571. doi: 10.1126/sciadv.aaz4571
629	Andela, B., Broetz, B., de Mora, L., Drost, N., Eyring, V., Koldunov, N., Win-
630	terstein, F. (2022). ESMValTool [software]. Retrieved from https://
631	github.com/ESMValGroup/ESMValTool/ doi: 10.5281/zenodo.3401363
632	Anderson, A., & Kostinski, A. (2010). Reversible record breaking and variabil-
633	ity: Temperature distributions across the globe. Journal of Applied Meteorol-
634	ogy and Climatology, 49(8), 1681–1691. doi: 10.1175/2010JAMC2407.1
635	Baker, H. S., Millar, R. J., Karoly, D. J., Beyerle, U., Guillod, B. P., Mitchell,
636	D., Allen, M. R. (2018). Higher co2 concentrations increase extreme
637	event risk in a $1.5^{\circ}c$ world. Nature Climate Change, $8(7)$, 604–608. doi:
638	10.1038/s41558-018-0190-1
639	Barriopedro, D., Fischer, E. M., Luterbacher, J., Trigo, R. M., & García-Herrera, R.
640	(2011). The hot summer of 2010: Redrawing the temperature record map of
641	europe. Science, 332(6026), 220-224. doi: 10.1126/science.1201224
642	Beck, H. E., Zimmermann, N. E., McVicar, T. R., Vergopolan, N., Berg, A., &

643	Wood, E. F. (2018). Present and future köppen-geiger climate classi-
644	fication maps at 1-km resolution. Scientific Data, 5(1), 180214. doi: 10.1038/sdata.2018.214
646	Ben Alava M A Zwiers F & Zhang X (2020) An evaluation of block-
647	maximum-based estimation of very long return period precipitation ex-
648	tremes with a large ensemble climate simulation. Journal of Climate, 33(16).
649	6957–6970. doi: 10.1175/JCLI-D-19-0011.1
650	Benestad, R. (2004). Record-values, nonstationarity tests and extreme value dis-
651	tributions. Global and Planetary Change, $44(1-4)$, $11-26$. doi: 10.1016/
652	j.gloplacha.2004.06.002
653	Bentsen, M., Oliviè, D. J. L., Seland, y., Toniazzo, T., Gjermundsen, A., Graff,
654	L. S., Schulz, M. (2019). Ncc noresm2-mm model output prepared
655	for cmip6 cmip historical [dataset]. Earth System Grid Federation. doi:
656	10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.8040
657	Bertossa, C., Hitchcock, P., DeGaetano, A., & Plougonven, R. (2021). Bimodality
658	in ensemble forecasts of 2 m temperature: identification. Weather and Climate
659	Dynamics, 2(4), 1209-1224. Retrieved from https://wcd.copernicus.org/
660	articles/2/1209/2021/ doi: 10.5194/wcd-2-1209-2021
661	Boucher, O., Denvil, S., Levavasseur, G., Cozic, A., Caubel, A., Foujols, M
662	A., Cheruy, F. (2018). Ipsi ipsi-cmoa-ir model output prepared for
663	<i>cmipo cmip nistoricai</i> [dataset]. Earth System Grid Federation. doi:
664	10.22005/E5GF/OMF0.5195 Drum V II Line V I Grup II M Kine I Grup M & Kine D II (2010)
665	Nime kmg kage 1.0 g model output prepared for amin 6 amin historical [detpsot]
666	Farth System Crid Enderation doi: 10.22033/ESCE/CMIP6.8378
667	Cai W Borlace S Langaigne M van Bensch P Collins M Vecchi C Jin
660	F_{-F} (2014) Increasing frequency of extreme el niño events due to greenhouse
670	warming Nature Climate Change 4(22) 111–116 doi: 10.1038/nclimate2100
671	Cao, J., & Wang, B. (2019). Nuist nesmv3 model output prepared for cmin6 cmin
672	historical [dataset]. Earth System Grid Federation. doi: 10.22033/ESGF/ CMIP6 8760
674	Cho H & Leong S (2016) Estimation and comparative analysis on the distri-
675	bution functions of air and water temperatures in korean coastal seas. <i>Journal</i>
676	of Korean Society of Coastal and Ocean Engineers, 28, 171-176, doi: 10.9765/
677	KSCOE.2016.28.3.171
678	Christidis, N., Jones, G. S., & Stott, P. A. (2015). Dramatically increasing chance
679	of extremely hot summers since the 2003 european heatwave. Nature Climate
680	Change, $5(11)$, 46–50. doi: 10.1038/nclimate2468
681	Clarkson, D., Eastoe, E., & Leeson, A. (2022). Melt probabilities and surface tem-
682	perature trends on the greenland ice sheet using a gaussian mixture model.
683	The Cryosphere, 16(5), 1597-1607. Retrieved from https://tc.copernicus
684	.org/articles/16/1597/2022/ doi: $10.5194/tc-16-1597-2022$
685	Demiroglu, O. C., Saygili-Araci, F. S., Pacal, A., Hall, C. M., & Kurnaz, M. L.
686	(2020). Future holiday climate index (hci) performance of urban and
687	beach destinations in the mediterranean. $Atmosphere, 11(9), 911.$ doi:
688	10.3390/atmos11090911
689	Dix, M., Bi, D., Dobrohotoff, P., Fiedler, R., Harman, I., Law, R., Yang, R.
690	(2019). Csiro-arccss access-cm2 model output prepared for cmip6 cmip his-
691	torical [dataset]. Earth System Grid Federation. doi: 10.22033/ESGF/
692	CMIP6.4271
693	Donat, M. G., & Alexander, L. V. (2012). The shifting probability distribution
694	of global daytime and night-time temperatures. Geophysical Research Letters,
695	39(14). Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/
696	abs/10.1029/2012GL052459 doi: nttps://doi.org/10.1029/2012GL052459
697	(EC-Earth), EE. C. (2019a). Ec-earth-consortium ec-earth3 model output prepared

698 699	for cmip6 cmip historical [dataset]. Earth System Grid Federation. doi: 10 .22033/ESGF/CMIP6.4700
700	(EC-Earth), EE. C. (2019b). Ec-earth-consortium ec-earth3-veg model output pre-
701	pared for cmip6 cmip historical [dataset]. Earth System Grid Federation. doi: 10.22033/FSGE/CMIP6.4706
702	(EC-Earth) = E C (2020) Ec-earth-consortium ec-earth3-vea-lr model output pre-
704	pared for cmip6 cmip historical [dataset]. Earth System Grid Federation. doi:
705	10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.4707
706	(EC-Earth), EE. C. (2021). Ec-earth-consortium ec-earth-3-cc model output pre-
707	pared for cmip6 cmip historical [dataset]. Earth System Grid Federation. doi:
708	10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.4702
709	Eyring, V., Bock, L., Lauer, A., Righi, M., Schlund, M., Andela, B., Zimmer-
710	mann, K. (2020). Earth system model evaluation tool (esmvaltool) $v2.0$ – an
711	extended set of large-scale diagnostics for quasi-operational and comprehensive
712	13(7) 3383–3438 doi: 10.5194/gmd-13-3383-2020
714	Evring, V., Bony, S., Meehl, G. A., Senior, C. A., Stevens, B., Stouffer, R. J., &
715	Taylor, K. E. (2016). Overview of the coupled model intercomparison project
716	phase 6 (cmip6) experimental design and organization. Geoscientific Model
717	Development, 9(5), 1937-1958. doi: 10.5194/gmd-9-1937-2016
718	Eyring, V., Gillett, N. P., Achuta Rao, K. M., Barimalala, R., Barreiro Parrillo, M.,
719	Bellouin, N., Sun, Y. (2021). Human influence on the climate system
720	[Book Section]. In V. Masson-Delmotte et al. (Eds.), Climate change 2021:
721	sessment report of the intergovernmental panel on climate change (chap 3)
723	Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA: Cambridge University
724	Press.
725	Fischer, E. M., & Schär, C. (2010). Consistent geographical patterns of changes in
726 727	high-impact european heatwaves. Nature Geoscience, $3(6)$, 398-403. doi: 10 $.1038/ngeo866$
728	García-León, D., Casanueva, A., Standardi, G., Burgstall, A., Flouris, A. D.,
729	& Nybo, L. (2021). Current and projected regional economic impacts of heatways in supers. Nature Communications $10(1)$, 5807 doi:
730	of nearwaves in europe. Nature Communications, $12(1)$, 5807. doi: 10.1038/s/1/67-021-26050-z
731	Grace W (1995) A binormal model of frequency distributions of daily maximum
733	temperature. Australian meteorological magazine, 42, 151-161.
734	Guerreiro, S. B., Dawson, R. J., Kilsby, C., Lewis, E., & Ford, A. (2018). Future
735	heat-waves, droughts and floods in 571 european cities. Environmental Re-
736	search Letters, $13(3)$, 034009 . doi: $10.1088/1748-9326/aaaad3$
737	Hajima, T., Abe, M., Arakawa, O., Suzuki, T., Komuro, Y., Ogura, T., Tachiiri,
738	K. (2019). Miroc miroc-es2l model output prepared for cmip6 cmip historical
739	[dataset]. Earth System Grid Federation. doi: 10.22033/ESGF/CMIP0.5602
740	nao, Z., nao, F., Singn, V. P., & Zhang, X. (2018). Changes in the severity of compound drought and hot extremes over global land areas. <i>Environmental</i>
741	Research Letters 13(12) 124022 doi: 10.1088/1748-9326/aaee96
743	Hauser, M., Engelbrecht, F., & Fischer, E. M. (2022). Transient alobal warming lev-
744	els for CMIP5 and CMIP6 [dataset]. Zenodo. Retrieved from https://doi
745	.org/10.5281/zenodo.7390473 doi: 10.5281/zenodo.7390473
746	Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Hirahara, S., Horányi, A., Muñoz-Sabater, J.,
747	Thépaut, JN. (2020). The era5 global reanalysis. Quarterly Journal of
748	the Royal Meteorological Society, $146(730)$, 1999-2049. doi: 10.1002/qj.3803
749	Huang, W. K., Stein, M. L., McInerney, D. J., Sun, S., & Moyer, E. J. (2016).
750	Estimating changes in temperature extremes from millennial-scale climate simulations using generalized extreme value (gev) distributions
752	Statistical Climatology, Meteorology and Oceanography, 2(1), 79–103. doi:

753	10.5194/ascmo-2-79-2016
754	IPCC. (2021). Climate change 2021: The physical science basis (V. Masson-
755	Delmotte et al., Eds.). Cambridge University Press (In Press).
766	Iturbide M Cutiérrez I M Alves I M Bedia I Cerezo-Mota B Cimadev-
750	illa E. Vera C.S. (2020) An undate of incc climate reference re-
757	gions for subcontinental analysis of climate model data: definition and ag-
758	groups for subcontinental analysis of enhance model data. definition and agreemented datasets $Earth Sustem Science Data 19(4) 2050-2070$ doi:
759	$105104/_{\text{oss}d}$ 12 2050 2020
760	Ivalaromyo V. Zong C. Illah I. Cahigi A. Mumo R. & Ayugi B. (2022)
761	Becent observed changes in extreme high-temperature events and associated
762	meteorological conditions over africa International Journal of Climatology
763	joc 7485. doi: 10.1002/joc 7485
764	Just aromy V Zong C is Zhang C (2021) Changes in extreme temperature
765	iyakaremiye, V., Zeng, G., & Zhang, G. (2021). Changes in extreme temperature
766	Events over affica under 1.5 and 2.00 global warming scenarios. $International$
767	Journal of Cumulology, 41(2), 1500–1524. doi: 10.1002/joc.0808
768	Jungciaus, J., Dittiner, M., Wieners, KH., Wachsmann, F., Schupiner, M., Legutke,
769	5., Roeckner, E. (2019). Mpi-m mpi-esmi.2-nr model output prepared
770	<i>for chipo chip historicai</i> [dataset]. Earth System Grid Federation. doi:
771	10.22055 / ESGF / OMIP0.0594
772	Knarin, V. V., Zwiers, F. W., Zhang, X., & Wenner, M. (2013). Changes in tem-
773	perature and precipitation extremes in the cmip5 ensemble. Climatic Change, $110(2)$, 245 , 257 , 1.1 , $1007/-10594$, 0120705 , 0
774	119(2), 349-357. doi: 10.1007/S10584-013-0705-8
775	Knoben, W. J. M., Woods, R. A., & Freer, J. E. (2019). Global bimodal precipita-
776	tion seasonality: A systematic overview. International Journal of Climatology,
777	39(1), 558-567. Retrieved from https://rmets.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/
778	doi/abs/10.1002/joc.5/86 doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.5/86
779	Kodra, E., & Ganguly, A. R. (2014). Asymmetry of projected increases in extreme
780	temperature distributions. Scientific Reports, 4(1), 5884. Retrieved 2023-01-08,
781	from https://www.nature.com/articles/srep05884 (Number: 1 Publisher:
782	Nature Publishing Group) doi: 10.1038/srep05884
783	Kollu, R., Rayapudi, S. R., Narasimham, S., & Pakkurthi, K. M. (2012). Mixture
784	probability distribution functions to model wind speed distributions. Inter-
785	national Journal of Energy and Environmental Engineering, $3(1)$, 21 . doi: 10.1196/0051.6920.2.27
786	10.1180/2201-0802-3-27
787	Krasting, J. P., Jonn, J. G., Blanton, C., McHugn, C., Nikonov, S., Radnakrisn-
788	nan, A., Zhao, M. (2018). Noaa-gfal gfal-esm4 moael output prepared
789	<i>Jor cmipo cmip historical</i> [dataset]. Earth System Grid Federation. doi:
790	10.22033/ESGF/CMIP0.8597
791	Lauer, A., Eyring, V., Bellprat, O., Bock, L., Gler, B. K., Hunter, A., Zechlau,
792	5. (2020). Earth system model evaluation tool (estivation) v2.0 – diag-
793	models in amin
794	models in cmip. Geoscientific Model Development, $13(9)$, $4205-4228$. doi: 10.5104/mmd 12.4205.2020
795	10.5194/giiid-15-4205-2020
796	Leneveld, J., Proestos, Y., Hadjinicolaou, P., Tanarnte, M., Tyrns, E., & Zittis,
797	G. (2016). Strongly increasing neat extremes in the middle east and north $f_{\rm mid}$ (mark) in the 21-t contains (limitic Change 120(1, 2), 245, 260, doi:
798	arrica (mena) in the 21st century. Cumatic Change, $137(1-2)$, $245-200$. doi: 10.1007/-10594.016.1665.6
799	10.1007/S10384-010-1003-0
800	Lewis, S. C., King, A. D., & Perkins-Kirkpatrick, S. E. (2017). Defining a new nor-
801	mai for extremes in a warming world. Builetin of the American Meteorological
802	Society, $98(0)$, 1139–1151. doi: 10.11(5/BAMS-D-10-0183.1
803	LI, U., Zwiers, F., Zhang, X., & Li, G. (2019). How much information is required to
804	well constrain local estimates of future precipitation extremes? Earth's Future, $\alpha(1)$ 11 04 definition 1000 (2010) Epot 001
805	7(1), 11-24. doi: $10.1029/2018 EF 001001$
806	Li, C., Zwiers, F., Zhang, X., Li, G., Sun, Y., & Wehner, M. (2021). Changes in an-
807	nual extremes of daily temperature and precipitation in cmip6 models. Journal

808	of Climate, 34(9), 3441 - 3460. doi: 10.1175/JCLI-D-19-1013.1
809	Li, L. (2019). Cas fgoals-g3 model output prepared for cmip6 cmip historical
810	[dataset]. Earth System Grid Federation. doi: 10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.3356
811	Linz, M., Chen, G., & Hu, Z. (2018). Large-scale atmospheric control on non-
812	gaussian tails of midlatitude temperature distributions. Geophysical Research
813	<i>Letters</i> , 45(17), 9141–9149. doi: 10.1029/2018GL079324
814	Loikith, P. C., & Neelin, J. D. (2019). Non-gaussian cold-side temperature distri-
815	button tails and associated synoptic meteorology. Journal of Climate, $32(23)$,
816	8399–8414. doi: 10.1175/JCLI-D-19-0344.1
817	Mallick, J., Islam, A. R. M. T., Ghose, B., Islam, H. M. T., Rana, Y., Hu, Z.,
818	Ismail, Z. B. (2022). Spatiotemporal trends of temperature ex-
819	nicular theoretical and Applied Climatology $1/7(1-2)$ 307-324 doi:
820	$101007/s00704_021_03828_1$
922	Meehl G A k Tebaldi C (2004) More intense more frequent and longer last-
822	ing heat waves in the 21st century Science 305(5686) 994–997 doi: 10.1126/
824	science 1098704
825	Mueller, B., Zhang, X., & Zwiers, F. W. (2016). Historically hottest sum-
826	mers projected to be the norm for more than half of the world's popula-
827	tion within 20 years. Environmental Research Letters, 11(4), 044011. doi:
828	10.1088/1748-9326/11/4/044011
829	Nikulin, G., Kjellström, E., Hansson, U., Strandberg, G., & Ullerstig, A. (2011).
830	Evaluation and future projections of temperature, precipitation and wind ex-
831	tremes over europe in an ensemble of regional climate simulations. $Tellus A$,
832	63(1), 41-55. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0870.2010.00466.x
833	O'Neill, B. C., Kriegler, E., Riahi, K., Ebi, K. L., Hallegatte, S., Carter, T. R.,
834	van Vuuren, D. P. (2014). A new scenario framework for climate change
835	research: the concept of shared socioeconomic pathways. Climatic Change,
836	122(3), 387-400. doi: $10.1007/s10584-013-0905-2$
837	O'Neill, B. C., Tebaldi, C., van Vuuren, D. P., Eyring, V., Friedlingstein, P., Hurtt,
838	$(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_{n-1}, \dots, a_{n-1}, \dots, a_{n-1})$ for ample $(a_{n-1}, \dots, a_{n-1}, \dots, a_{n-1}, \dots, a_{n-1})$ for a $(a_{n-1}, \dots, a_{n-1}, \dots, a_{n-1})$ for a $(a_{n-1}, \dots, a_{n-1}, \dots, a_{n-1})$ for a $(a_{n-1}, \dots, a_{n-1})$ for a $(a_{n-1}, \dots, a_{n-1})$ for $(a_{n-1}, \dots, a_{n-1})$
839	$doi: 10.5194/gmd_9.3461_2016$
040	Ozturk T Savgili-Araci F S & Kurnaz M L (2021) Projected changes in ex-
842	treme temperature and precipitation indices over cordex-mena domain. Atmo-
843	sphere, $12(55)$, 622 , doi: $10.3390/atmos12050622$
844	Paciorek, C. J., Stone, D. A., & Wehner, M. F. (2018). Quantifying statisti-
845	cal uncertainty in the attribution of human influence on severe weather.
846	Weather and Climate Extremes, 20, 69-80. Retrieved from https://
847	www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2212094717300841 doi:
848	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wace.2018.01.002
849	Pedregosa, F., Varoquaux, G., Gramfort, A., Michel, V., Thirion, B., Grisel, O.,
850	Duchesnay, E. (2011). Scikit-learn: Machine learning in Python. Journal of
851	Machine Learning Research, 12, 2825–2830.
852	Perera, A. T. D., Nik, V. M., Chen, D., Scartezzini, JL., & Hong, T. (2020). Quan-
853	tilying the impacts of climate change and extreme climate events on energy N_{1} $= \frac{F(0)}{10}$ 150 150 $\pm \frac{10}{10}$ 10 1020 (41500 000 0550 0
854	systems. Nature Energy, 5(2), 150-159. doi: 10.1038/s41560-020-0558-0
855	rerkins-Kirkpatrick, S. E., & Lewis, S. U. (2020). Increasing trends in regional heat-
856	waves. <i>Nature Communications</i> , $11(1)$, 3557 . doi: 10.1038/S41407-020-16970
857	-1 Porron M & Sura P (2013) Climatology of non-range in atmospheric statistics
858	$I_{\text{ournal of Climate 26(3)}}$ Chinatology of non-gaussian atmospheric statistics.
860	Pfleiderer, P., Schleussner, CF. Kornhuber K & Coumou D (2019 August)
861	Summer weather becomes more persistent in a 2 °c world. Nature Cli-
862	mate Change, 9(9), 666-671. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1038/

863	s41558-019-0555-0 doi: 10.1038/s41558-019-0555-0
864	Prodhomme, C., Materia, S., Ardilouze, C., White, R. H., Batté, L., Guemas, V.,
865	García-Serrano, J. (2022). Seasonal prediction of European summer heat-
866	waves. Climate Dynamics, 58(7-8), 2149–2166. Retrieved 2022-05-02, from
867	https://link.springer.com/10.1007/s00382-021-05828-3 (3 citations
868	(Semantic Scholar/DOI) [2022-05-02]) doi: 10.1007/s00382-021-05828-3
869	Rahmstorf, S., & Coumou, D. (2011). Increase of extreme events in a warming
870	world. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 108(44), 17905-17909.
871	doi: 10.1073/pnas.1101766108
872	Raymond, C., Matthews, T., & Horton, R. M. (2020). The emergence of heat and
873	humidity too severe for human tolerance. Science Advances, $6(19)$. doi: 10
874	.1126/sciadv.aaw1838
875	Richter, M. (2016). Temperatures in the tropics. In L. Pancel & M. Köhl (Eds.),
876	Tropical forestry handbook (pp. 343–361). Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin
877	Heidelberg. doi: 10.1007/978-3-642-54601-3_38
878	Ridley, J., Menary, M., Kuhlbrodt, T., Andrews, M., & Andrews, T. (2019a). Mohc
879	hadgem3-gc31-ll model output prepared for cmip6 cmip historical [dataset].
880	Earth System Grid Federation. doi: 10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.6109
881	Ridley, J., Menary, M., Kuhlbrodt, T., Andrews, M., & Andrews, T. (2019b). Mohc
882	hadgem3-gc31-mm model output prepared for cmip6 cmip historical [dataset].
883	Earth System Grid Federation. doi: 10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.6112
884	Righi, M., Andela, B., Evring, V., Lauer, A., Predoi, V., Schlund, M., Zimmer-
885	mann, K. (2020). Earth system model evaluation tool (esmvaltool) v2.0 –
886	technical overview. Geoscientific Model Development, 13(3), 1179–1199. doi:
887	10.5194/gmd-13-1179-2020
888	Robine, JM., Cheung, S. L. K., Le Roy, S., Van Oven, H., Griffiths, C., Michel,
889	JP., & Herrmann, F. R. (2008). Death toll exceeded 70,000 in europe dur-
890	ing the summer of 2003. Comptes Rendus Biologies, 331(2), 171-178. doi:
891	10.1016/j.crvi.2007.12.001
892	Robinson, A., Lehmann, J., Barriopedro, D., Rahmstorf, S., & Coumou, D.
893	(2021). Increasing heat and rainfall extremes now far outside the his-
894	torical climate. <i>npj Climate and Atmospheric Science</i> , 4(11), 1–4. doi:
895	10.1038/s41612-021-00202-w
896	Sardeshmukh, P. D., Compo, G. P., & Penland, C. (2015). Need for caution in inter-
897	preting extreme weather statistics. Journal of Climate, 28(23), 9166–9187. doi:
898	10.1175/JCLI-D-15-0020.1
899	Sardeshmukh, P. D., & Sura, P. (2009). Reconciling non-gaussian climate statis-
900	tics with linear dynamics. Journal of Climate, 22(5), 1193 - 1207. Re-
901	trieved from https://journals.ametsoc.org/view/journals/clim/22/
902	5/2008jcli2358.1.xml doi: 10.1175/2008JCLI2358.1
903	Schär, C., Vidale, P. L., Lüthi, D., Frei, C., Häberli, C., Liniger, M. A., & Appen-
904	zeller, C. (2004). The role of increasing temperature variability in european
905	summer heatwaves. Nature, 427(6972), 332-336. doi: 10.1038/nature02300
906	Seferian, R. (2018). Cnrm-cerfacs cnrm-esm2-1 model output prepared for cmip6
907	cmip historical [dataset]. Earth System Grid Federation. doi: 10.22033/ESGF/
908	CMIP6.4068
909	Seland, y., Bentsen, M., Oliviè, D. J. L., Toniazzo, T., Gjermundsen, A., Graff,
910	L. S., Schulz, M. (2019). Ncc noresm2-lm model output prepared
911	for cmip6 cmip historical [dataset]. Earth System Grid Federation. doi:
912	10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.8036
913	Semmler, T., Danilov, S., Rackow, T., Sidorenko, D., Barbi, D., Hegewald, J.,
914	Jung, T. (2018). Awi awi-cm1.1mr model output prepared for cmip6 cmip
915	historical [dataset]. Earth System Grid Federation. doi: 10.22033/ESGF/
916	CMIP6.2686
917	Seneviratne, S., Nicholls, N., Easterling, D., Goodess, C., Kanae, S., Kossin, J.,

918	Zhang, X. (2012). Changes in climate extremes and their impacts on the
919	natural physical environment. In C. Field et al. (Eds.), Managing the risks of
920	extreme events and disasters to advance climate change adaptation (p. 109-
921	230). Cambridge, UK, and New York, NY, USA: Cambridge University Press.
922	Seneviratne, S., Zhang, X., Adnan, M., Badi, W., Dereczynski, C., Di Luca, A.,
923	Zhou, B. (2021). Weather and climate extreme events in a changing climate
924	[Book Section]. In V. Masson-Delmotte et al. (Eds.). <i>Climate change 2021:</i>
025	The physical science basis contribution of working aroun i to the sixth as-
026	sessment report of the intergovernmental panel on climate change (chap 11)
920	Cambridge United Kingdom and New York NV USA: Cambridge University
927	Dross
928	Chin L V. Kong M. & King K. D. (2022). Out door the small stars of an area in
929	Shin, JY., Kang, M., & Kim, K. R. (2022). Outdoor thermal stress changes in
930	south korea: increasing inter-annual variability induced by different trends
931	of heat and cold stresses. Science of The Total Environment, 805, 150132.
932	Retrieved from https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/
933	S0048969721052074 doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.150132
934	Sillmann, J., Kharin, V. V., Zhang, X., Zwiers, F. W., & Bronaugh, D. (2013).
935	Climate extremes indices in the cmip5 multimodel ensemble: Part 1. model
936	evaluation in the present climate. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmo-
937	spheres, 118(4), 1716–1733. doi: 10.1002/jgrd.50203
938	Sillmann, J., Kharin, V. V., Zwiers, F. W., Zhang, X., & Bronaugh, D. (2013).
939	Climate extremes indices in the cmip5 multimodel ensemble: Part 2. future
940	climate projections. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 118(6).
941	2473–2493. doi: 10.1002/jgrd.50188
042	Stone D A (2019) A hierarchical collection of political/economic regions for anal-
942	vsis of climate extremes <u>Climatic Change</u> 155(A) 639-656 doi: 10.1007/
945	s10584 010 02470 6
944	Support N.C. Colo, I.N. Khanin, V.V. Lagana, M. Sainagaa, J.F. Cillett
945	Swart, N. C., Cole, J. N., Kharini, V. V., Lazare, M., Scinocca, J. F., Gillett,
946	N. P., Sigmond, M. (2019). Occma canesmo model output prepared
947	for cmipo cmip nistorical [dataset]. Earth System Grid Federation. doi:
948	10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.3010
949	Tamarın-Brodsky, T., Hodges, K., Hoskins, B. J., & Shepherd, T. G. (2019). A
950	dynamical perspective on atmospheric temperature variability and its re-
951	sponse to climate change. Journal of Climate, 32(6), 1707–1724. doi:
952	10.1175/JCLI-D-18-0462.1
953	Tamarin-Brodsky, T., Hodges, K., Hoskins, B. J., & Shepherd, T. G. (2022). A sim-
954	ple model for interpreting temperature variability and its higher-order changes.
955	Journal of Climate, 35(1), 387–403. doi: 10.1175/JCLI-D-21-0310.1
956	Tang, Y., Rumbold, S., Ellis, R., Kelley, D., Mulcahy, J., Sellar, A., Jones, C.
957	(2019). Mohc ukesm1.0-ll model output prepared for cmip6 cmip historical
958	[dataset]. Earth System Grid Federation. doi: 10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.6113
959	Tatebe, H., & Watanabe, M. (2018). Miroc miroc6 model output prepared for cmip6
960	<i>cmip historical</i> [dataset]. Earth System Grid Federation, doi: 10.22033/ESGF/
961	CMIP6.5603
060	Taylor P. Boeke B. Boisvert I. Feldl N. Henry M. Huang V. Tan I
962	(2022) Process drivers inter model spread and the path forward: A re-
963	view of amplified anotic warming Exactle and the path forward. A re-
964	10 2220 /foort 2021 752261
965	
966	Thompson, V., Kennedy-Asser, A. T., Vosper, E., Lo, Y. T. E., Huntingford, C.,
967	Andrews, O., Mitchell, D. (2022). The 2021 western north america heat
968	wave among the most extreme events ever recorded globally. <i>Science Advances</i> ,
969	$\delta(18)$, eabm6860. doi: 10.1126/sciadv.abm6860
970	Twardosz, R., & Kossowska-Cezak, U. (2013). Exceptionally hot summers in central
971	and eastern europe $(1951-2010)$. Theoretical and Applied Climatology, $112(3)$,
972	617–628. doi: 10.1007/s00704-012-0757-0

973	Vogel, M. M., Hauser, M., & Seneviratne, S. I. (2020). Projected changes in hot,
974	dry and wet extreme events' clusters in CMIP6 multi-model ensemble. Envi-
975	ronmental Research Letters, 15(9), 094021. doi: 10.1088/1748-9326/ab90a7
976	Vogel, M. M., Zscheischler, J., Fischer, E. M., & Seneviratne, S. I. (2020). De-
977	velopment of future heatwaves for different hazard thresholds. Jour-
978	nal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 125(9), e2019JD032070. doi:
979	10.1029/2019JD032070
980	Voldoire, A. (2018). Cmip6 simulations of the cnrm-cerface based on cnrm-cm6-1
981	model for cmip experiment historical [dataset]. Earth System Grid Federation.
982	doi: 10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.4066
983	Voldoire, A. (2019). Cnrm-cerfacs cnrm-cm6-1-hr model output prepared for cmip6
984	<i>cmip historical</i> [dataset]. Earth System Grid Federation. doi: 10.22033/ESGF/
985	CMIP6.4067
986	Volodin, E., Mortikov, E., Gritsun, A., Lykossov, V., Galin, V., Diansky, N.,
987	Emelina, S. (2019). Inm inm-cm5-0 model output prepared for cmip6 cmip
988	historical [dataset]. Earth System Grid Federation. doi: 10.22033/ESGF/
989	CMIP6.5070
990	Volodin, E. M., & Yurova, A. Y. (2012). Summer temperature standard devia-
991	tion, skewness and strong positive temperature anomalies in the present day
992	climate and under global warming conditions. Climate Dynamics, $40(5-6)$.
993	1387-1398. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-012-1447-4
994	doi: 10.1007/s00382-012-1447-4
995	von, E., Mortikov, E., Gritsun, A., Lykossov, V., Galin, V., Diansky, N.,
996	Emelina, S. (2019). Inm inm-cm4-8 model output prepared for cmip6 cmip
997	historical [dataset]. Earth System Grid Federation. doi: 10.22033/ESGF/
998	CMIP6.5069
999	Walt, A. J., & Fitchett, J. M. (2021). Trend analysis of cold extremes in south
1000	africa: 1960–2016. International Journal of Climatology, $41(3)$, 2060–2081. doi:
1001	10.1002/joc.6947
1002	Wang, J., Guan, Y., Wu, L., Guan, X., Cai, W., Huang, J., Zhang, B. (2021).
1003	Changing lengths of the four seasons by global warming. <i>Geophysical Research</i>
1004	Letters, 48(6), e2020GL091753. doi: 10.1029/2020GL091753
1005	Wang, J., Han, Y., Stein, M. L., Kotamarthi, V. R., & Huang, W. K. (2016). Eval-
1006	uation of dynamically downscaled extreme temperature using a spatially-
1007	aggregated generalized extreme value (GEV) model.
1008	<i>ics</i> , 47(9-10), 2833–2849. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1007/
1009	s00382-016-3000-3 doi: 10.1007/s00382-016-3000-3
1010	Wehner, M., Stone, D., Shiogama, H., Wolski, P., Ciavarella, A., Christidis, N., &
1011	Krishnan, H. (2018). Early 21st century anthropogenic changes in extremely
1012	hot days as simulated by the c20c+ detection and attribution multi-model
1013	ensemble. Weather and Climate Extremes, 20, 1-8. Retrieved from https://
1014	www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2212094717301159 doi:
1015	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wace.2018.03.001
1016	Weigel, K., Bock, L., Gier, B. K., Lauer, A., Righi, M., Schlund, M., Eyring, V.
1017	(2021). Earth system model evaluation tool (esmvaltool) v2.0 – diagnostics for
1018	extreme events, regional and impact evaluation, and analysis of earth system
1019	models in cmip. Geoscientific Model Development, 14(6), 3159–3184. doi:
1020	10.5194/gmd-14-3159-2021
1021	Wieners, KH., Giorgetta, M., Jungclaus, J., Reick, C., Esch, M., Bittner, M.,
1022	Roeckner, E. (2019). Mpi-m mpi-esm1.2-lr model output prepared
1023	for cmip6 cmip historical [dataset]. Earth System Grid Federation. doi:
1024	10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.6595
1025	Wilks, D. S. (2002). Smoothing forecast ensembles with fitted probability distribu-
1026	tions. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 128(586), 2821-
1027	2836. Retrieved from https://rmets.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10

1028	.1256/qj.01.215 doi: https://doi.org/10.1256/qj.01.215
1029	Wu, T., Chu, M., Dong, M., Fang, Y., Jie, W., Li, J., Zhang, Y. (2018). Bcc bcc-
1030	csm2mr model output prepared for cmip6 cmip historical [dataset]. Earth Sys-
1031	tem Grid Federation. doi: 10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.2948
1032	Yukimoto, S., Koshiro, T., Kawai, H., Oshima, N., Yoshida, K., Urakawa, S.,
1033	Adachi, Y. (2019). Mri mri-esm2.0 model output prepared for cmip6 cmip
1034	historical [dataset]. Earth System Grid Federation. doi: 10.22033/ESGF/
1035	CMIP6.6842
1036	Zhang, X., Alexander, L., Hegerl, G. C., Jones, P., Tank, A. K., Peterson, T. C.,
1037	Zwiers, F. W. (2011). Indices for monitoring changes in extremes based
1038	on daily temperature and precipitation data. WIREs Climate Change, $2(6)$,
1039	851-870. doi: 10.1002/wcc.147
1040	Zhang, Y., Li, Q., Ge, Y., Du, X., & Wang, H. (2022). Growing prevalence
1041	of heat over cold extremes with overall milder extremes and multiple suc-
1042	cessive events. Communications Earth and Environment, $\Im(1)$, 73. doi:
1043	10.1038/s43247-022-00404-x
1044	Ziehn, T., Chamberlain, M., Lenton, A., Law, R., Bodman, R., Dix, M., Druken,
1045	K. (2019). Csiro access-esm1.5 model output prepared for cmip6 cmip historical
1046	[dataset]. Earth System Grid Federation. doi: 10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.4272
1047	
	Zittis, G., Hadjinicolaou, P., Almazroui, M., Bucchignani, E., Driouech, F., El Rhaz,
1048	Zittis, G., Hadjinicolaou, P., Almazroui, M., Bucchignani, E., Driouech, F., El Rhaz, K., Lelieveld, J. (2021). Business-as-usual will lead to super and ultra-
1048 1049	 Zittis, G., Hadjinicolaou, P., Almazroui, M., Bucchignani, E., Driouech, F., El Rhaz, K., Lelieveld, J. (2021). Business-as-usual will lead to super and ultra- extreme heatwaves in the middle east and north africa. npj Climate and

Figure 1.



Figure 2.



Figure 3.



Historical data

Figure 4.



Figure 5.



Figure 6.



Figure 7.





Figure 8.



Figure 9.



Figure 10.



Figure 11.

GWL (°C)