Evidence of an aseismic slip continuously driving the 2017 Valparaiso earthquake sequence

Luc Moutote¹, Yuji Itoh², Olivier Lengliné³, Zacharie Duputel⁴, and Anne Socquet⁵

¹Institut Terre et Environnement de Strasbourg, UMR7063, Université de Strasbourg/EOST, CNRS, Strasbourg, France
²Universite Grenoble Alpes
³Université de Strasbourg, IPGS, CNRS
⁴Institut de Physique du Globe de Strasbourg, UMR7516, Université de Strasbourg/EOST, CNRS
⁵Université Grenoble Alpes

March 1, 2023

Abstract

Following laboratory experiments and friction theory, slow slip events and seismicity rate accelerations observed before mainshock are often interpreted as evidence of a nucleation phase. However, such precursory observations still remain scarce and are associated with different time and length scales, raising doubts about their actual preparatory nature. We study the 2017 Valparaiso Mw= 6.9 earthquake, which was preceded by aseismic slip accompanied by an intense seismicity both suspected to reflect its nucleation phase. We complement previous observations, which have focused only on precursory activity, with a continuous investigation of seismic and aseismic processes from the foreshock sequence to the post-mainshock phase. By building a high-resolution seismicity catalog and searching for anomalous seismicity rate increases compared to aftershock triggering models, we highlight an over-productive seismicity starting within the foreshock sequence and persisting several days after the mainshock. Using repeating earthquakes and high-rate GPS observations, we highlight a transient aseismic perturbation starting just before the first foreshock and extending continuously after the mainshock. The estimated slip rate is lightly impacted by large magnitude earthquakes and does not accelerate towards the mainshock. Therefore, the unusual seismic and aseismic activity observed during the 2017 Valparaiso sequence might be interpreted as the result of a slow slip event starting before the mainshock and extending beyond it. Rather than pointing to a possible nucleation phase of the 2017 Valparaiso mainshock, the identified slow slip event acts as an aseismic loading of nearby faults, increasing the seismic activity, and thus the likelihood of a large rupture.











Evidence of an aseismic slip continuously driving the 2017 Valparaiso earthquake sequence

L. Moutote¹, Y. Itoh², O. Lengliné¹, Z. Duputel³, A. Socquet²

| 4 | ¹ Institut Terre et Environnement de Strasbourg, UMR7063, Université de Strasbourg/EOST, CNRS, |
|---|--|
| 5 | Strasbourg, France. |
| 6 | $^2 \mathrm{Universit\acute{e}}$ Grenoble Alpes, Universit\acute{e} Savoie Mont Blanc, CNRS, IRD, ISTerre, Grenoble, France. |
| 7 | $^3 {\rm Observatoire}$ Volcanologique du Piton de la Fournaise, Université Paris Cité, Institut de Physique du |
| 8 | Globe de Paris, CNRS, F-75005, Paris France. |

Key Points:

1

2

3

9

| 10 | • | We use a high resolution seismic catalog and GPS to investigate seismic and aseis- mic process before and after the Valpareise mainshock |
|----|---|---|
| 11 | | inc process before and after the varparaiso mainshock |
| 12 | • | An unusually high seismicity and an aseismic slip is continuously observed from |
| 13 | | the foreshock sequence up to days after the mainshock |
| 14 | • | Rather than a nucleation phase of the mainshock, the slow slip event acts as an |
| 15 | | aseismic loading of nearby faults during the entire sequence |
| | | |

 $Corresponding \ author: \ Luc \ Moutote, \verb"lmoutote@unistra.fr" \\$

16 Abstract

Following laboratory experiments and friction theory, slow slip events and seismicity rate 17 accelerations observed before mainshock are often interpreted as evidence of a nucleation 18 phase. However, such precursory observations still remain scarce and are associated with 19 different time and length scales, raising doubts about their actual preparatory nature. 20 We study the 2017 Valparaiso $M_w = 6.9$ earthquake, which was preceded by aseismic 21 slip accompanied by an intense seismicity both suspected to reflect its nucleation phase. 22 We complement previous observations, which have focused only on precursory activity, 23 with a continuous investigation of seismic and aseismic processes from the foreshock se-24 quence to the post-mainshock phase. By building a high-resolution seismicity catalog 25 and searching for anomalous seismicity rate increases compared to aftershock trigger-26 ing models, we highlight an over-productive seismicity starting within the foreshock se-27 quence and persisting several days after the mainshock. Using repeating earthquakes and 28 high-rate GPS observations, we highlight a transient aseismic perturbation starting just 29 before the first foreshock and extending continuously after the mainshock. The estimated 30 slip rate is lightly impacted by large magnitude earthquakes and does not accelerate to-31 wards the mainshock. Therefore, the unusual seismic and aseismic activity observed dur-32 ing the 2017 Valparaiso sequence might be interpreted as the result of a slow slip event 33 starting before the mainshock and extending beyond it. Rather than pointing to a pos-34 sible nucleation phase of the 2017 Valparaiso mainshock, the identified slow slip event 35 acts as an aseismic loading of nearby faults, increasing the seismic activity, and thus the 36 likelihood of a large rupture. 37

³⁸ Plain Language Summary

Both laboratory experiments and friction theory show that earthquakes do not be-39 gin abruptly but are preceded by an accelerating slip associated with a seismicity increase. 40 On the field, however, such precursory observations still remain scarce and are associ-41 ated with different characteristic time and length scales, raising doubts that they actu-42 ally reflect the same nucleation phenomena. We study the 2017 Valparaiso M = 6.943 earthquake, which was preceded by both a slow slip and an intense seismicity suspected 44 to reflect such nucleation phase. We complement previous studies, that have focused only 45 on precursory activity, with a continuous investigation of seismic and slow slip before and 46 after the mainshock. Using refined earthquake detection tools, we highlight a seismic-47 ity excess starting before and persisting several days after the mainshock. Using repeat-48 ing earthquakes and high-resolution GPS, we show that the slow slip does not acceler-49 ate towards the mainshock, but continues after it. Therefore, rather than pointing to a 50 possible accelerating nucleation phase of the Valparaiso mainshock, we suggest that the 51 slow slip drives an enhanced seismic activity that is not mainshock-directed. Within such 52 slow-slip driven seismicity, the probability of triggering a large earthquake (subsequently 53 considered as the mainshock) is increased. 54

55 1 Introduction

Both laboratory experiments and friction theory show that earthquake ruptures 56 do not begin abruptly but are preceded by a slow slip phase accelerating over a finite 57 nucleation zone (Das & Scholz, 1981; Dieterich, 1992; Rubin & Ampuero, 2005; Latour 58 et al., 2013; McLaskey, 2019). However, extrapolating the results of these laboratory-59 derived rate-and-state models to natural faults is not straightforward, as some param-60 eters entering the model definition are not known for large-scale systems (Ampuero & 61 Rubin, 2008; Kaneko & Ampuero, 2011). In particular, the size of the nucleation zone 62 predicted by such models is not well constrained. If the nucleation length is large, the 63 slow, quasi-static, predicted crack-like expansion could be observed on natural faults. On 64 the other hand, an accelerating pulse in a small nucleation zone could be more difficult 65

to detect in practice. The existence and detectability of such nucleation phases before actual earthquakes is thus an important question with direct implications for earthquake

prediction and seismic hazard assessment (Brodsky & Lay, 2014).

Recently, with geodetic measurements, several aseismic slip transients have been 69 reported before the occurrence of large earthquakes (Mavrommatis et al., 2014; Ruiz et 70 al., 2014; Radiguet et al., 2016; Socquet et al., 2017; Voss et al., 2018; Marill et al., 2021). 71 In addition to geodetic observations, other observations such as repeating earthquakes 72 are frequently used to support the detection of these aseismic processes (Nadeau & John-73 son, 1998; Igarashi et al., 2003; Kato et al., 2012; Mavrommatis et al., 2015; Kato et al., 74 2016; Uchida, 2019). Because of their timing, preceding large events, these transient aseis-75 mic slips are sometimes interpreted as evidence of the mainshock nucleation phase as de-76 picted by theory and laboratory experiments. However, despite the densification of geode-77 tic and seismic networks around active faults, precursory aseismic slip observations still 78 remain scarce. The few examples that have been identified often have large uncertain-79 ties in both their location and temporal evolution, making it difficult to infer any accel-80 eration trend as the mainshock approaches. Moreover, there are significant discrepan-81 cies in the duration of reported preparatory slip, ranging from a few tens of seconds (Tape 82 et al., 2018) to years before the main rupture (e.g., Mavrommatis et al., 2014; Marill et 83 al., 2021), which raises doubts about whether these observations are actually reflecting 84 the same geophysical process. 85

On the other hand, many large earthquakes are also preceded by seismicity rate 86 increases, which may be additional evidence of a slow preparatory process before large 87 earthquakes (Dodge et al., 1995, 1996; Bouchon et al., 2011, 2013; Seif et al., 2019). In 88 the framework of a slow nucleation phase, such foreshock activity is interpreted as small 89 locked asperities that break up as the background aseismic slip accelerates (Ohnaka, 1992; 90 Dodge et al., 1996; McLaskey, 2019). However, analyzing solely the seismicity rate to 91 infer preparatory process before large earthquake is a difficult task (Ross et al., 2019; 92 van den Ende & Ampuero, 2020; Moutote et al., 2021). Indeed, earthquakes are strongly 93 time- and space-clustered (Helmstetter & Sornette, 2003; Marsan & Lengline, 2008) mainly 94 because they interact with each other, making their probability of occurrence dependent 95 on the past seismic activity. Therefore, the successive occurrence of earthquakes and their 96 interactions can lead to seismicity rate increases, independently from any external pro-97 cess (Helmstetter & Sornette, 2003; Felzer et al., 2004; Marsan & Enescu, 2012). There-98 fore, determining if the rise of foreshock earthquake sequence results uniquely from earth-99 quake interactions or could in some occasion represent a true signal associated with a 100 preparatory phase remains actively debated (Llenos et al., 2009; Mignan, 2015; Kato et 101 al., 2016; Tape et al., 2018; Ellsworth & Bulut, 2018; Gomberg, 2018). 102

It is worth mentioning that detecting both an aseismic slip and an enhanced earth-103 quake activity before a large earthquake may not appear as sufficient evidence of a nu-104 cleation phase. There are indeed multiple evidence of earthquake swarms that have been 105 linked to a slow slip transient without culminating into a large rupture (Lohman & McGuire, 106 2007; Vallée et al., 2013; Nishikawa et al., 2021). An interesting example was reported 107 near the Guerrero gap. Mexico, where at least 4 episodic and co-located aseismic slip events 108 have been successively detected over 10 years without being followed by any significant 109 110 earthquake. Yet, in 2014, a slow slip event was reported on the same portion of the interface but was this time associated with the $M_w = 7.3$ Papanoa earthquake (Radiguet 111 et al., 2016). Such example shows that detecting both an aseismic slip and an unusu-112 ally high seismicity before a large earthquake may not necessarily represent a determin-113 istic nucleation process of a mainshock. 114

In this study, we analyze in detail the seismic and aseismic processes observed before and after the April 2017 Valparaiso $M_w = 6.9$ earthquake (Chile; Figure 1). This mainshock was preceded by an intense 2-day long foreshock sequence with magnitudes up to $M_w = 6$ and followed by an abundant aftershock activity. In addition, an aseis-

mic precursory fault slip has been reported during the foreshock sequence (Ruiz et al., 119 2017; Caballero et al., 2021). This aseismic pre-slip may have initiated before the first 120 foreshock and is persisting, at least, up to the mainshock (Caballero et al., 2021). How-121 ever, the aseismic activity was not investigated after the mainshock and its onset and time evolution is still unclear due to the sampling intervals of the GPS data used (6 hours 123 and 1 day, respectively). We, first, build a high-resolution seismic catalog from 2016 to 124 2021 and then we compare the seismicity in the vicinity of the mainshock with aftershock 125 triggering models to highlight unusual variations in seismicity rates. In a second part, 126 we investigate the aseismic slip transient during the entire earthquake sequence using 127 repeating earthquake and high-rate GPS observation. We finally discuss whether the aseis-128 mic slip is part of the nucleation of the mainshock or if it just mediates the whole seis-129 mic sequence. 130

¹³¹ 2 ValEqt: A high resolution catalog

In order to produce a detailed analysis of the micro-seismic activity near the mainshock, we build a high resolution catalog using newly developed detection methods. We use 13 broadband stations from the National Seismological Center (CSN) of the University of Chile (Barrientos & National Seismological Center (CSN) Team, 2018) in the vicinity of the mainshock from 1 January 2016 to 1 January 2021 (see Figure 1). Only a few stations were available earlier than 2016, which does not allow us to carry out a reliable seismicity analysis.

139

2.1 Detection, location and magnitude estimation

We pick P- and S- wave arrivals of earthquakes on daily raw waveforms using EQ-Transformer, an automatic deep learning phase picker trained on a worldwide earthquake database (Mousavi et al., 2020a). We associate phases picks into events with REAL (Zhang et al., 2019a), performed over a 3° by 3° grid. We only consider events for which both P and S phases are associated on at least 3 stations. We locate events using NonLinLoc (Lomax et al., 2000) in a 3D velocity model of Chile (B. Potin, pers. com.). We discard events with a NonLinLoc RMS residual above 1s to avoid false detections.

¹⁴⁷ We then estimate a local magnitude following the original Richter approach on Wood-¹⁴⁸ Anderson seismometers. For that purpose, we correct the recorded waveforms from their ¹⁴⁹ instrument response and convolve them with a Wood-Anderson response. For all sta-¹⁵⁰ tions and horizontal components, we convert the maximum zero to peak S waves am-¹⁵¹ plitude, A_{WA} , into a magnitude, M, using the Richter empirical formula (Richter, 1935, ¹⁵² 1958; Shearer, 2019):

$$M = \log_{10}(A_{WA}) - 2.21 + 2.56 \log_{10}(\Delta) \tag{1}$$

where A_{WA} , is in mm and Δ is the hypocentral distance in km. The event magnitude is taken as the median of all estimations over stations/components. Given its proximity to the ocean, the Valparaiso region is prone to oceanic microseismic noise that dominates the S wave amplitude of small events. To reduce the noise level, we thus first filter all waveforms between 1 and 20 Hz prior to the magnitude estimation. If an event is estimated with a magnitude M > 3, we re-estimate its magnitude accounting for lower frequencies with a 0.05-20 Hz bandpass filtering.

The resultant catalog consists of more than 90 000 events from 2016 to 2021 within a 3 by 3 degree region centered on the Valparaiso mainshock. Over the same region and period, the official Chilean catalog (Centro Seismologico National, CSN) reported only ~7000 events. Figure 1 shows the spatial and temporal distribution of earthquakes according to this catalog. figures/Main/map.pdf

Figure 1. Time, location and magnitude of earthquakes detected by our Valparaiso high resolution catalog between 2016 and 2021. a) Horizontal location of earthquakes. The red triangles show the location of the 13 broadband stations used to build the catalog. b) Time evolution of the latitude of earthquakes. c) Depth and longitude of earthquakes. The thick red line shows the extent of the ValEqt catalog analyzed in this study. d) Time and magnitude of earthquakes within the ValEqt sub-region. Black dots are our catalog. Blue dots (in the foreground) are the CSN catalog used as reference. e) Gutenberg-Richter magnitude frequency distribution of our ValEqt catalog in black and the CSN catalog in blue. f) Same as d) but zoomed in the vicinity of the mainshock. g) Comparison of magnitude estimations for earthquakes shared by the CSN and the ValEqt catalog. The blue star indicates the $M_w = 6.9$ mainshock.

2.2 Event selection and comparison with the CSN catalog

To study the seismic activity in the vicinity of the mainshock, we extract all the 166 earthquakes within $-33.5^{\circ} \leq Latitude \leq -32.8^{\circ}$ and $-72.5^{\circ} \leq Longitude \leq -71.5^{\circ}$ 167 with no depth cutoff. Our goal here is to focus on seismicity in the vicinity of the main-168 shock that is not affected by other nearby large earthquakes. From Figure 1.b we see sev-169 eral temporally clustered seismic activity. The largest cluster is related to the 2017 $M_w =$ 170 6.9 Valparaiso mainshock. We clearly see that none of the secondary clusters affect our 171 sub-catalog. Figure 1.c shows the depth distribution of earthquakes along longitude that 172 clearly highlight the subduction plane. The 2017 activity is located on the shallowest part 173 of the subduction plane with no direct connection with deeper activities. This sub-catalog 174 (hereafter, referred to ValEqt catalog) gathers more than 10000 events. The magnitude 175 evolution as a function of time of ValEqt is presented in black in Figure 1.d and a zoom 176 on the mainshock sequence in Figure 1.f. 177

We compare our ValEqt catalog with the CSN catalog (blue in Figures 1.d and .f) 178 from the same sub-region. The Gutenberg-Richter distribution in Figure 1.e shows that 179 the ValEqt catalog includes much more small magnitude earthquakes than the CSN cat-180 alog, lowering the local magnitude of completeness from $M_c^{CSN} = 3$ to $M_c^{ValEqt} = 2$. 181 We note that almost all CSN earthquakes were re-detected by our detection procedure. 182 We only miss 12 CSN earthquakes all with a magnitude below 3, either because the data 183 of the 13 stations used in our study were unavailable at that time or these earthquakes 184 were interlaced with the waveform of a preceding earthquake making difficult to pick P 185 and S phases even after a careful review. On the other hand, thanks to EQTransformer, 186 we detected many earthquakes with a magnitude above 3 not listed in CSN catalog. These 187 newly identified earthquakes occurred immediately before or after a larger earthquake, 188 making them difficult to detect by standard methods (i.e. STA/LTA or visual inspec-189 tion) because of the amplitude ratio. Figure 1.g shows the differences in magnitude for 190 earthquakes recorded in both catalogs. Overall, ValEqt magnitudes are consistent with 191 CSN estimations, but with a constant bias of about +0.2 units. This shift could result 192 from a different relation used by CSN to compute earthquakes magnitude compare to 193 Equation 1. Because local magnitude saturates for large magnitude earthquakes, the main-194 shock magnitude is underestimated at M = 6.2. We, therefore, fix manually its value 195 based on its moment magnitude $M_w = 6.9$. 196

¹⁹⁷ 3 Seismicity analysis

165

The high resolution ValEqt catalog allows us to obtain a refined view of the seismicity rate variations observed in the region before and after the $M_w = 6.9$ Valparaiso mainshock. The two largest foreshocks are recorded with M = 6.1 and M = 5.5, approximately 2 days and 1 days before the mainshock, respectively. The largest aftershock occurred 4 days after the mainshock with a magnitude M = 6.1.

Because of its space and time correlation with the mainshock, a previously reported 203 slow slip event during the foreshock sequence (Ruiz et al., 2017; Caballero et al., 2021) 204 is suspected to reflect the nucleation process of the $M_w = 6.9$ earthquake and to pos-205 sibly drive the foreshock seismicity. However, sharp increase of the seismicity rate fol-206 lowing the two largest foreshocks in Figure 2.a suggests that part of the foreshock ac-207 tivity is not directly linked with the slow slip event and actually corresponds to after-208 shock triggering. We, therefore, estimate which part of the seismicity before and after 209 the $M_w = 6.9$ mainshock could be explained by aftershock triggering. For that, we use 210 two temporal models of aftershock triggering: the Epidemic Type Aftershock Sequence 211 (ETAS) model (Ogata, 1988; Zhuang et al., 2012) and a Model Independent Stochas-212 tic Declustering approach (Marsan & Lengline, 2008). We focus only on the temporal 213 variations of the seismicity because the studied region is sufficiently small, isolated and 214 uniquely clustered compared to the location uncertainties of earthquakes. 215



Figure 2. (a) Time-evolution of the cumulative number of earthquakes observed in the ValEqt catalog (black) and predicted by the best fitting ETASI model (blue) around the mainshock time. The blue dotted line shows the ETASI 99th percentile confidence interval. The middle subplot is the difference between the blue and black lines. Black dots in the bottom subplot indicate the time-magnitude evolution of the ValEqt catalog. (b) same as (a) but for the full 5-years period and with the transformed-time domain axis (Ogata, 1988). The blue star indicates the mainshock. Note how the transformed time domain allows an efficient analysis of the full 5-years seismicity with respect to the ETASI model.

3.1 ETAS and short-term incompleteness

216

The ETAS model has been widely used to generate synthetic earthquake catalogs 217 (Zhuang & Touati, 2015). It can serve as a basis for establishing a reference earthquake 218 catalog and testing any deviation from it (Ogata, 1989, 1992; Marsan et al., 2014; Moutote 219 et al., 2021; Seif et al., 2019). It is also used to forecast seismicity (Zhuang, 2012; Ta-220 roni et al., 2018). The ETAS model is a superposition of a stationary background seis-221 micity term and aftershock activity scaled in intensity by the magnitude of the trigger-222 ing event. The conditional intensity $\lambda_0(t)$ (i.e. the expected seismicity rate at t) given 223 by the ETAS model can be written as: 224

$$\lambda_0(t) = \mu + \sum_{i|t_i < t} A e^{\alpha (M_i - M_c)} (t - t_i + c)^{-p},$$
(2)

where μ is the stationary background seismicity rate. The sum on the right hand side 225 of this equation describes the expected aftershock seismicity rate at time t, triggered by 226 all the preceding events. The parameters c and p describe the time-decay in the after-227 shock seismicity rate (Omori, 1895; Utsu et al., 1995). The intensity of the triggering 228 is scaled by A and α , the global aftershock productivity of the region and the magnitude 229 dependence in the number of triggered events, respectively. M_c is the magnitude of com-230 pleteness. In the ETAS model, magnitudes are independent and distributed according 231 to Gutenberg-Richter's law (G-R). We can write the G-R probability density function 232 233 as:

$$f_0(M) = \beta \mathrm{e}^{-\beta(M - M_c)} \tag{3}$$

 $\beta = b \ln(10)$, with b the b-value of the G-R law. The G-R law and the ETAS model are 234 only defined above the magnitude of completeness M_c that is supposed to be constant 235 over time. However, in actual seismicity catalogs, we frequently observe temporal vari-236 ations of M_c (Kagan, 2004; de Arcangelis et al., 2018; Hainzl, 2016). Such variations of 237 M_c are usually attributed to the lack of low magnitude earthquakes during network main-238 tenance or during period of high seismic activity. The latter is our main concern for the 239 ValEqt catalog since the data availability is quite constant over the studied time-period. 240 When the seismicity rate is high, records of seismic wave of low magnitude earthquakes 241 are likely to be hidden by larger magnitude events. As shown in Figure 1.e, we estimate 242 an average magnitude of completeness $M_c = 2$ for the ValEqt catalog over 5 years. How-243 ever, M_c can increase just after large earthquakes because of the numerous aftershocks 244 they trigger. This is illustrated in Figure 3, showing a deficiency in small magnitude earth-245 quakes in the first hour following the $M_w = 6.9$ Valparaiso earthquake, with a magni-246 tude of completeness rising up to $M_c \sim 3.5$ immediately after the mainshock. The ob-247 served $M \geq 2$ earthquake rate is, therefore, underestimated just after the mainshock, 248 which may bias the estimation of an ETAS magnitude-dependent triggering process. This 249



Figure 3. Short-term incompleteness after the Valparaiso mainshock. The red horizontal line is the average magnitude of completeness (M_c) estimated from the G-R distribution of the ValEqt catalog. Note the lack of low magnitude earthquakes above M_c during early aftershock times. The blue star indicate the mainshock.

bias is often referred to as Short-Term Incompleteness because it is visible just after large
earthquakes (Kagan, 2004; de Arcangelis et al., 2018; Hainzl, 2016). However, it can be
generalized to a Rate-dependent incompleteness (Hainzl, 2021) since missing low magnitude events can affect any time-window with a sufficiently high seismicity rate.

To accommodate our seismicity analysis with $M_c = 2$ while taking into account 254 the rate-dependent incompleteness, we use the ETASI model (i.e. ETAS-Incomplete; Hainzl 255 (2016, 2021)) instead of the ETAS model. This new formulation takes into account a rate-256 dependent incompleteness by adding one parameter T_b , defined as a blind time; for a du-257 ration T_b following an earthquake of magnitude M, any event of magnitude less than M 258 cannot be detected. In practice, the ETASI model acts as an apparent rate at every t, 259 considering the likelihood of observing large magnitude events in $[t-T_b, t]$. The ETASI 260 apparent seismicity rate function is (Hainzl, 2021): 261

$$\lambda(t) \approx \frac{1}{T_b} (1 - e^{-T_b \lambda_0(t)}).$$
(4)

From equation 4, we see that the ETASI rate $\lambda(t)$ is simply the original ETAS rate $\lambda_0(t)$ of (2) modulated by the blind time T_b during high seismicity rate periods. Likewise, the G-R distribution is affected by the rate-dependent incompleteness because some low magnitude earthquakes are undetected. The apparent Gutenberg-Richter distribution at tis (Hainzl, 2021):

$$f(m,t) \approx \beta T_b \lambda_0(t) \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\beta(M-M_c)} \mathrm{e}^{T_b \lambda_0(t) \mathrm{e}^{-\beta(M-M_c)}}}{1 - \mathrm{e}^{-T_b \lambda_0(t)}}$$
(5)

From a given catalog $(t_i \in [T_1, T_2], m_i \ge M_c)$, we extract the best fitting ETASI parameters by maximizing the following Log-Likelihood function (Hainzl, 2021):

$$\mathcal{LL} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \ln[f(m_i, t_i)] + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \ln[\lambda(t_i)] - \int_{T_1}^{T_2} \lambda(t) \,\mathrm{d}t$$
(6)

For the ValEqt catalog, we extract the best fitting parameters for magnitudes above the 269 magnitude of completeness $M_c = 2$. Moreover, following Davidsen and Baiesi (2016), 270 we impose self similarity in the aftershock triggering process by fixing $\alpha = \beta$ during 271 the maximization of the likelihood function. With this self similarity constraint, the prob-272 ability for a M = 8 to trigger M = 6 earthquakes is assumed same as the probability 273 for a M = 4 to trigger M = 2 earthquakes. We tested a case without $\alpha = \beta$ at the 274 earlier stage of this study, but the resultant branching rate inverted from the ValEqt cat-275 alog was much larger than 1, leading to a non-stationary synthetic ETAS catalog with 276 an infinite number of aftershocks and increasingly large magnitudes. Fixing $\alpha = \beta$ also 277 reduces the parameters space to 6 parameters as for the classic ETAS model. We present 278 on table 1 the best fitting ETASI parameters extracted from the ValEqt catalog. 279

To test the reliability of the ETASI Log-Likelihood maximization, we invert the ETASI parameters for 100 synthetics ETASI catalogs (Figure S1). We use the ETASI parameters extracted from ValEqt as the true parameters to generate the synthetic catalogs.

 Table 1. Best fitting ETASI parameters extracted from the ValEqt catalog

| Parameter | А | c (Minutes) | р | $\alpha = \beta$ | μ (events/day) | T_b (seconds) |
|-----------|------------|-------------|------|------------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| Value | $9.9e{-3}$ | 11.74 | 1.18 | 1.71 | 0.27 | 116.57 |

Results indicate that $A, p, \alpha = \beta, \mu$ and T_b are well constrained by the parameter es-283 timation and c slightly overestimated but with a reasonably close value. This tendency 284 agrees with the conclusions of Hainzl (2021). They have found a similar bias for c and 285 suggested that it may be explained by the lack of earthquakes during rate-dependent in-286 completeness. Such incomplete data is breaking the triggering links between earthquakes 287 and complicates the estimation of an Omori-Utsu rate decay for individual aftershock 288 sequences. Moreover, after a large magnitude earthquake, the early aftershock rate is mainly 289 controlled by the rate-dependent incompleteness for a period greater than c. It delays 290 the apparent start of the Omori-Utsu rate decay and likely bias the c-value estimation 291 toward higher values. In any case, as suggested by Hainzl (2021), the c-value estimated 292 with the ETASI model is less biased than estimated with the classic ETAS model over 293 incomplete catalogs. 294

3.2 Testing ValEqt against the ETASI model

295

With the best-fitting parameters and Equation 4, we compute the seismicity rate expected by ETASI at any time t in the studied time-period. Integrating this expected seismicity rate over time gives an expected number of earthquakes. We define as $\tau(t)$ the cumulative number of earthquakes expected from the best fitting ETASI model as:

$$\tau(t) = \int_{T_1}^t \lambda(u) \,\mathrm{d}u \tag{7}$$

Where, λ is the ETASI rate given by Equation 4 and T_1 is the start time of the catalog. We compare $\tau(t)$ with the observed cumulative number of earthquakes at t, $N_{obs}(t)$. If the best fitting ETASI model explains perfectly the observed seismicity, $\tau(t)$ and $N_{obs}(t)$ must be equal over time. Any strong differences between $\tau(t)$ and $N_{obs}(t)$ highlight an anomalous activity in respect to the ETASI model. Representing the predicted seismic activity, $\tau(t)$ as a function of the observed seismic activity, $N_{obs}(t)$ is known as the transformed time analysis introduced by Ogata (1988).

The evolution of $\tau(t)$ and $N_{obs}(t)$ around the mainshock occurrence time is displayed 307 in Figure 2.a. On Figure 2.b, we display the entire period in the transformed time do-308 main. This transformed time representation enables a simplified comparison of the seis-309 micity over the full duration of the catalog, by gathering periods of low and high seis-310 micity in a single figure. In the transformed time domain, if the seismicity is perfectly 311 explained by the best-fitting ETASI process, $\tau(t)$ and $N_{obs}(t)$ should be equal and thus 312 exhibit a straight line with a slope of 1 (i.e. a unit Poisson rate) with a normal standard deviation of $\sigma(t) = \sqrt{\tau(t)(1 - \frac{\tau(t)}{\tau(T_2)})}$ (Ogata, 1992). If the curve significantly diverges 313 314 from this straight line, we can interpret the local slope as a seismicity deficit (slope <315 1) or excess (slope > 1) compared to the ETASI model. They are better illustrated by 316 the difference $N_{obs}(t) - \tau(t)$ (Figure 2), in which the seismicity deficit and excess cor-317 respond to negative and positive slopes, respectively. Our results highlight that the seis-318 micity surrounding the Valparaiso mainshock diverges from the ETASI prediction by more 319 than 3σ . We observe three main regimes of seismicity with respect to the best-fitting ETASI 320 model. From the starting time of the catalog and up to the first foreshock, we observe 321 a low negative slope that indicates a small deficit of earthquakes compared to ETASI model. 322 We then observe a significant change toward a positive slope (step $\geq 3\sigma$) highlighting 323

an excess of seismicity, starting within the foreshock sequence and persisting at least 5 324 days after the mainshock. After that time, the slope slowly returns to its initial low deficit 325 regime. These results indicate that the best fitting ETASI model cannot successfully re-326 produce the 5-year seismicity variations observed in the area of the 2017 Valparaiso main-327 shock. Specifically, they suggest that the anomalously high seismic activity observed from 328 -1 day up to +5 days after the mainshock is driven by a specific process that is not cap-329 tured by our stationary ETAS model. Moreover, the two deficit time periods can also 330 be explained by this enhanced earthquake activity around the mainshock. This transient 331 enhanced seismicity biases the estimation of ETASI parameters towards higher produc-332 tivity values than required for the time outside the transient, leading to an overestima-333 tion of the seismicity rate. This interpretation is supported by synthetic tests which show 334 that similar variations of $N_{obs}(t)$ - $\tau(t)$ are obtained when a finite duration transient seis-335 mic activity is added over the stationary background rate of synthetic catalogs (see Text 336 S1 and Figure S2). 337

3.3 Declustering approach

338

To confirm whether the anomalously high seismic activity around the mainshock 339 is a real and significant feature, we employ another declustering approach, which is a mod-340 ified version of the model-independent stochastic declustering (MISD) algorithm of Marsan 341 and Lengline (2008). Our method differs from the original MISD in two aspects: First, 342 as did for the ETAS model, we focus on the temporal variations of the seismicity rate by ignoring the spatial dependence. Second, in addition to the magnitude-dependent af-344 tershock seismicity and the stationary background seismicity, we consider an external 345 forcing process that can trigger an additional seismicity around the mainshock. It mod-346 els seismicity unrelated to earthquake interaction, such as slow slip driven seismicity. Ne-347 glecting any spatial dependence in the original method, the earthquake rate at time t348 can be expressed as 349

$$\phi(t) = \phi_0 + \sum_{i, t_i < t} g(m_i, t - t_i)$$
(8)

where ϕ_0 is a constant background rate over the whole duration of the catalog T; m_i and t_i are the magnitude and occurrence time of earthquake i, respectively, and g is a triggering kernel. The method assumes no shape for g but simply considers a piecewise constant discretization in time and magnitude of the kernel such that

$$g_{kl} = g\left(M_k < m < M_{k+1}, T_l < t < T_{l+1}\right) \tag{9}$$

where T_l , and M_k are the time and magnitude intervals used for discretization, respectively. Based on equation (8) and an initial guess of g, we can compute the earthquake rate $\phi(t)$ and then the weights ω_{ij} of earthquake i triggering earthquake j and the background weight ω_{0j} . These weights are defined as

$$\omega_{ij} = \frac{g(m_i, t_j - t_i)}{\phi(t_j)}; \ \omega_{0j} = \frac{\phi_0}{\phi(t_j)},$$
(10)

$$\sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \omega_{ij} + \omega_{0j} = 1.$$
(11)

where the last equation is used for normalization and actually transforms these weights into probabilities. These weights are then used to compute a new estimate of the triggering kernel and the background rate. The process is repeated until reaching the convergence. For a detailed description of the algorithm, the reader is referred to Marsan and Lengliné (2010).

Then, we further modify the original method without spatial dependence explained above to account for a possible additional seismicity driven by an external process. We assume that this external forcing process starts at the time, t_e and lasts for a duration T_e. We hypothesize that the contribution of this external process can be modeled with a constant earthquake rate, ϕ_e such that the seismicity rate is now described as

$$\phi(t) = \phi_0 + \sum_{i, t_i < t} g(m_i, t - t_i) + \phi_e \left(\mathcal{H}(t - t_e) - \mathcal{H}(t - t_e - T_e) \right)$$
(12)

where \mathcal{H} is the Heaviside step function. We do not attempt to model the shape of this external triggering process but rather keep a simplified model with a constant rate. Therefore, we introduce the weights $\omega_{ej} = \phi_e/\phi(t_j)$ if $t_e < t_j < t_e + T_e$ and 0 otherwise. The normalization condition becomes $\sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \omega_{ij} + \omega_{0j} + \omega_{ej} = 1$. This additional triggering modifies the likelihood function associated with the original algorithm such that we have now:

$$L = -\phi_0 T - \phi_e T_e + n_0 \phi_0 + n_e \phi_e - \sum_{ij} n_i g_{ij} \delta t_j + \sum_{ij} n_{ij} \ln(g_{ij}), \qquad (13)$$

with, n_0 the number of background earthquakes, $n_0 = \sum_i \omega_{0i}$ and $n_e = \sum_i \omega_{ei}$ the 374 number of earthquakes triggered by the external forcing process. The number of earth-375 quakes with magnitude in the interval $[m_i, m_i+1]$ is noted n_i , while n_{ij} is the number 376 of earthquakes triggered by a magnitude i earthquake in the time interval $[t_i, t_{i+1}]$ of 377 duration δ_i . Based on this approach, we compute the background rate ϕ_0 , the kernel g 378 and the external forcing rate, ϕ_e . As the duration of this external forcing T_e is unknown, 379 we simply estimate it by grid search ranging from 0.01 day up to 30 days, and, for each 380 run, we store the inverted parameters as well as the likelihood function returned by the 381 algorithm. We select as the best set of parameters the ones that maximize L, thus fix-382 ing as well the duration T_e of the transient. In order to test the method, we perform a 383 series of synthetic tests to check the ability of the proposed algorithm to recover a tran-384 sient episode of seismicity (See Text S2 and Figure S3). 385

We apply the declustering algorithm described above to the ValEqt catalog with 386 $t_e = 47$ hours before the occurrence of the Valparaiso mainshock (i.e. the origin time of 387 the first foreshock). We also take into account the time-evolution of the magnitude of 388 completeness following large earthquakes using the approach of Peng et al. (2007) in which 389 a transient magnitude of completeness $m_c(t) = \overline{m}(t) - 1/(b \ln(10))$ is computed with 390 $\overline{m}(t)$ an average magnitude computed over the next N_e earthquakes in time. It follows 391 that an earthquake at time t counts as $n(t) = 10^{m_c(t)-m_c}$. Here, we set b = 0.74 as 392 inverted from the ETASI procedure, $m_c = 2$ and we choose $N_e = 10$ as in Marsan and 393 Lengliné (2010). The maximum likelihood, L is obtained with a value of $T_e = 10$ days, 394 corresponding to an inverted value of $\phi_e = 41$ earthquake per day. Such large values of 395 transient duration and rate indicate that a substantial part of the seismicity is not well 396 explained by magnitude-dependent triggering kernels alone. Figure 4 shows the back-397 ground events and those triggered by the external process (i.e., events that do not re-398 sult from earthquake interactions). This shows that an additional triggering, starting be-399 fore the Valparaiso mainshock and lasting several days after its occurrence is needed in 400 order to correctly represent the seismicity. 401

402 4 Repeater activity

A slowly creeping subducting interface loads embedded asperities that will repeatedly fail over time, producing repeating earthquakes (i.e., with similar source location and waveforms; Uchida (2019); Kato et al. (2012, 2016)). Such repeater events can then be used to track the aseismic slip rate surrounding the ruptured asperities.

To search for repeating events in the vicinity of the 2017 Valparaiso earthquake, we evaluate the similarity of waveforms for all earthquake pairs within the ValEqt catalog. We compute an average cross-correlation coefficient (CC) over the 7 stations that are associated with the largest number of P and S picks (i.e., MT01, MT09, MT02, VA03, figures/Main/MISD.pdf

Figure 4. a) (red) Cumulative count of earthquakes predicted by our best fitting modified MISD model. (black) Cumulative number of earthquakes of the ValEqt catalog. (blue) Cumulative count of earthquake declustered by the modified MISD analysis. This include background events and those triggered by the external process ($\sum_i \omega_{0i} + \omega_{ei}$). Bottom subplot (black dot) shows times and magnitudes of the ValEqt catalog. b) Same as a) but zoomed in the Grey area. t_e and T_e are respectively the start time and the duration of the external process of our modified MISD model.

VA06, MT07 and VA05). At every station, the cross-correlation coefficient is defined as 411 the maximum value of the cross-correlation function between the two waveforms of the 412 earthquake pair. This cross-correlation function is computed in a 40-second time win-413 dow starting 5 seconds before the P arrival and in the 2 to 20 Hz band. This allows us 414 to include both P and S arrivals and to maximize the signal to noise ratio. The final CC 415 value of the earthquake pair is defined as the average of the CC values computed at avail-416 able stations. Pairs of events that share less than 3 stations are automatically discarded. 417 Then, we gather earthquakes with similar waveforms into families based on a hierarchi-418 cal clustering algorithm using a complete linkage over the CC value. We retain families 419 of earthquakes with a high waveform similarity (i.e. CC > 0.80) as a first sub-set of 420 potential repeating earthquakes. Then, we ensure that events within a family are all co-421 located on the same asperity using the HypoDD double-difference relocation algorithm 422 (Waldhauser & Ellsworth, 2000). For every pair of event, we use travel time differences 423 between both P and S phases at all stations. The time delay between 2 P phases is es-424 timated with the maximum of the cross correlation function over 5 second windows that 425 start 1.5 second before the pick. For S phases, we use a 10 second window starting 3 sec-426 ond before the pick. Those traces were band-pass filtered with a band width of 2-20 Hz. 427 To evaluate the relocation uncertainties, we relocate events within each family using the 428 SVD solving method of HypoDD. On average, a pair of event is relocated with 13 dif-429 ferential travel-time measurements and all families with unsuccessful HypoDD solution 430 are discarded. After the relocation, we estimate a rupture radius for each event within 431 the remaining families by assuming a circular crack model and a stress drop of 3 MPa 432 (Hanks & Bakun, 2002). With relocated hypocenters and circular rupture radii, we com-433 pute the 3D distance between rupture patches for every earthquake pairs. Taking into 434 account hypocenter location uncertainties, we discard all events that have less than 80%435 of chance to intersect with all the other rupture areas of the family. Finally, we discard 436 events within a family with a magnitude difference $\Delta M \geq 1$. With these criteria, all 437 the events in each repeater family have a high waveform similarity and they are suffi-438 ciently collocated considering their rupture size with a similar magnitude. 439

Following this approach, we detected more than 350 repeater families including at 440 least 2 events (Figures 5 and 6). Across all families, we identified more than 1200 repeat-441 ing earthquakes. In order to test the robustness of our repeating earthquake analysis, 442 we changed the various thresholds for forming the repeater sequences. It yielded mod-443 erate variation of the number of repeaters and number of families but does not alter the 444 conclusions presented below. An intense repeater activity initiated during the 2-days fore-445 shock sequence and it presents the highest observed repeater rate over the whole cat-446 alog duration. After the mainshock occurrence time, the repeater rate decays continu-447 ously over the whole analyzed period, but never returns to its initial rate. Unlike the seis-448 micity of the ValEqt catalog, the repeaters rate is not strongly impacted by the occur-449 rences of large magnitude earthquakes. 450

figures/Main/repeaters.pdf

Figure 5. (a) Families of repeating earthquake detected in the ValEqt catalog. A horizontal black line represents one family by connecting the repeating earthquake (red dots). The green and black curves are the normalized cumulative number of repeaters and ValEqt earthquakes respectively. (b) Normalized cumulative slip estimated from repeating earthquakes. (c) Times and magnitudes of ValEqt earthquakes (black dot) and repeating earthquakes (red dot). The blue star indicates the mainshock. (d, e and f) Same as (a, b and c) but zoomed in the vicinity of the mainshock time. Note that the normalized cumulative count of repeaters and ValEqt earthquakes starts at t=-2 days in (d).

figures/Main/repeaters_map.pdf

Figure 6. Space and time evolution of the ValEqt seismicity (black dot) and its repeating earthquakes (red dot). The blue star indicates the mainshock. a) Horizontal distribution of the seismicity. b) Latitudes, longitudes and magnitudes against the chronological index of the ValEqt seismicity. The chronological index in shown by the bottom horizontal axis ticks for each subplot. The corresponding time (days from mainshock) is shown with the top horizontal axis ticks. The two vertical dotted lines highlight the index/time of the first foreshock and the index/time of the mainshock, respectively.

The repeater activity is confined to a small region compared to the earthquakes in the ValEqt catalog (Figure 6). The main repeater activity is located in the vicinity of the mainshock hypocenter and a secondary activity is observed to the south before and after the largest aftershock. During the foreshock sequence, the repeater activity and the seismicity are almost perfectly co-located. After the mainshock, the repeater activity remains exclusively located at the initial foreshock location, unlike the seismicity that spreads to a wider area.

The aforementioned observations indicate that the repeater activity does not be-458 have as a simple subset of the seismicity. Repeaters seem to be driven by an indepen-459 dent process that initiates before the mainshock within a specific area delimited by the 460 foreshock activity. This recalls the occurrence of the preseismic aseismic transient slip 461 (Ruiz et al., 2017; Caballero et al., 2021). We estimate the time-evolution of aseismic 462 slip on the subduction interface from the observed repeater activity. We follow the ap-463 proach of Kato et al. (2012, 2016) using a circular crack model with a constant stress 464 drop of 3 MPa to estimate the individual repeater slip amplitudes (Hanks & Bakun, 2002; 465 Uchida, 2019). Individual slip offsets are summed over time and averaged by the num-466 ber of repeater families to estimate cumulative slip evolution (Figure 5). The obtained slip rate is maximum at the beginning of the foreshock sequence and slowly decays with 468 time over days to months until the end of the studied time-period, although, as for the 469 repeaters rate, the slip rate is slightly impacted by the occurrence of large earthquake. 470

5 Aseismic slip before and after the mainshock captured by high-rate GPS

Both the inferred unusual seismicity activity (Figure 2, 4) and the repeater-based slip rate (Figure 5, 6) suggest the presence of a specific triggering process before and after the mainshock, which is likely an aseismic slip. Indeed, the aseismic slip is reported for the pre-mainshock stage (Ruiz et al., 2017; Caballero et al., 2021), but temporal relationship between the aseismic preslip and the foreshock sequence remained unclear, which
is key to understanding mechanical processes. For the post-mainshock stage, no studies have yet investigated very early postseismic deformation and rapid afterslip associated with the 2017 Valparaiso mainshock. Therefore, to fill the gap between the two stages,
we use high-rate GPS (hereafter, HRGPS) to investigate transient slip during the whole
2017 sequence as independent observable from the seismicity analysis.

We employ 5-minute coordinates between 30 days before and after the mainshock 483 at 6 sites near the epicenter (Figure S4) (Caballero et al., 2021), processed by Nevada Geodetic Laboratory (Blewitt et al., 2018). Nominal errors of these coordinates are \sim 485 7 mm and \sim 9 mm for east and north components, respectively. We do not use sites VALN 486 and CUVI (Figure S4) because 5-min coordinates of the former are too noisy and those 487 of the latter are not available. The original coordinates are affected by a high noise level, 488 so we post-process the series to alleviate the fluctuations (Figure S5). We first fix the 489 coordinates into the South American plate reference frame by using its Euler pole with 490 respect to ITRF2014 (Altamimi et al., 2017) (black dots in Figure S5). Then, we remove 491 the fluctuations associated with multipath (i.e., Choi et al., 2004; Itoh & Aoki, 2022; Lar-492 son et al., 2007; Ragheb et al., 2007), which is estimated as a seasonal component of "Seasonal-493 Trend decomposition using LOESS (STL)" (Cleveland et al., 1990; Pedregosa et al., 2011) 494 with a period of 86100 seconds. This period is the closest integer multiple of the sampling interval to a typical repeat period of multipath signature (86154 seconds; Ragheb 496 et al., 2007). Then, the multipath free time series (red in Figure S5) is corrected from 497 a diurnal variation component following the same procedure as the multipath removal 498 but with a repeat period of 86400 seconds in order to obtain diurnal fluctuations free series (purple in Figure S5). 500

Next, we remove the common mode fluctuation at all the sites, which are primarily due to fluctuation of reference frame and uncertainty of satellite orbits (e.g., Wdowinski et al., 1997). We extract common mode fluctuation (orange in Figure S5) by stacking coordinate time series at distant sites from the source area (Figure S4). Prior to stacking, we remove some outliers and the linear trend. Here, outliers are defined as epochs satisfying Equation 14 (Itoh et al., 2022).

$$\left|x_{i} - \frac{q_{1} + q_{3}}{2}\right| > n * \frac{q_{3} - q_{1}}{2} \tag{14}$$

where, x_i is displacement at the *i*-th epoch, q_1 and q_3 are the 25 and 75 percentile values of the position time series, respectively, and *n* is a threshold which was set to 8 in this study. The linear trend is estimated from the time series without outliers. The extracted common mode fluctuation is subsequently subtracted from the time series at the 6 sites of interest (blue in Figure S5).

Then, we remove the pre-mainshock trend from the common mode free time se-512 ries. The linear trend is estimated from the data between 30 and 10 days before the main-513 shock. The trend is extrapolated to the subsequent period. Finally, we stack the cleaned 514 time series at BN05 and TRPD, which are only ~ 5 km apart, to further reduce the noise 515 level (Figures S6 and S7). For stacking, the two time series are weighted according to 516 the inverse of the square of quartile deviation of time series from 30 to 10 days before 517 the mainshock. Hereafter, we assign a pseudo-name of site STAC to the stacked time se-518 ries for the ease of writing and discussion. 519

The stacked time series at STAC, closest to the mainshock epicenter, clearly exhibits a westward transient motion before, during, and after the mainshock (Figure 7). The pre-mainshock transient motion started ~3 days before the mainshock and ~1 day before the largest foreshock (Figure 2). No acceleration of displacements is discernible before the mainshock, which can be interpreted as no acceleration of aseismic slip toward



Figure 7. Comparison of high-rate GPS displacements and seismicity evolution before and after the 2017 Valparaiso mainshock. a) Red dots indicate cleaned east positions between 5 days before and after the mainshock at the two closest sites QTAY and STAC (location shown in c)). Note that STAC is a pseudo-site name assigned to stacked time series of TRPD and BN05 (See text and Figure S4 for details). Black dots at the bottom panel indicate magnitude of detected seismicity. Notable large earthquakes are marked with stars, epicenters of which are shown in c). b) Same as a) but with data between 30 days before and after the mainshock. A moving median with a window length of 24 hours is shown in blue for each site. c) Site location (red inverted triangles) and epicenters (stars with corresponding colors with a) and b)). The same figure but for all available HRGPS sites is shown in Figure S6 for east displacement and S7 for north displacement.

the mainshock. Coseismic displacement associated with the largest foreshock is not re-525 solved and possibly buried in the remaining noise given the expected amplitude of co-526 seismic displacement (Caballero et al., 2021). Following the mainshock, very rapid post-527 seismic deformation took place over ~ 1 day with an amplitude equal to $\sim 25\%$ of the main-528 shock coseismic displacement, followed by a slower but continuing deformation lasting 529 until at least ~ 20 days with a displacement reaching $\sim 50\%$ of the mainshock coseismic 530 one. This amount of postseismic displacement is, if interpreted as a proxy of afterslip 531 moment, much larger than the global average of postseismic to coseismic slip moment 532 ratio for M > 6 earthquakes (~30%) (Alwahedi & Hawthorne, 2019). Similarly, tran-533 sient westward motion before and after the mainshock is visible with smaller amplitudes 534 at QTAY, ~ 20 km south of STAC (Figure 7). At the other 3 sites, namely, CTPC, RCSD, 535 and ROB1, the transient motion before the mainshock is less convincing whereas the post-536 seismic transient motion following the mainshock are discernible. This postseismic mo-537 tion pattern is not uniform, so it does not represent local artifacts (Figure S6). The north 538 component of GPS coordinate time series does not exhibit discernible pre-mainshock mo-539 tion but post-mainshock motion is visible at CTPC, RCSD, and ROB1 (Figure S7). Based 540 on these predominantly trenchward motions, we conclude that the HRGPS observations 541 before and after the mainshock indicate the presence of an aseismic slip along the megath-542 rust at different rates. 543

⁵⁴⁴ 6 Discussion and conclusion

In this study, we have investigated the seismic and aseismic processes during the 545 2017 Valparaiso seismic sequence, from the foreshocks to the post-seismic sequence. For 546 that, we have first built a high resolution catalog of the seismicity from 2016 to 2021, 547 improving the of completeness by 1 magnitude unit compared to the local CSN catalog. 548 Thanks to this catalog, we have tested whether the seismicity can be explained by a sta-549 tionary background term (describing a constant tectonic loading) and earthquake inter-550 actions. Two different temporal magnitude-dependent aftershock triggering models (i.e., 551 ETASI and MISD models) have shown that the seismicity from the foreshock sequence 552 up to several days after the mainshock (5 and 8 days, respectively) is more abundant than 553 predicted. This result requires an additional forcing which may be linked to an increase 554 of the slip rate on the interface. Such forcing had already been suggested by previous 555 studies during the pre-seismic period (Ruiz et al., 2017; Caballero et al., 2021) but so 556 far no study have investigated the processes taking place during the early post-seismic 557 period, where the seismicity excess is persisting according to our analysis. To better doc-558 ument a potential increased slip rate on the interface, we have used both repeating earth-559

quake and HRGPS positions during the entire sequence, including during the days fol-560 lowing the mainshock. Assuming that the repeater rate is directly linked to the slip rate, 561 our results indicate that a transient perturbation of the slip rate begins with the start 562 of the foreshock sequence and then slowly decays over days to months without a clear 563 termination. The steady evolution of the estimated slip rate indicates that the mainshock 564 and large earthquakes have limited impacts on its time-evolution. Using HRGPS data. 565 we have confirmed previous the geodetic observations of a slow slip during the foreshock 566 sequence and clearly shown that it started ~ 1 day before the occurrence of the first fore-567 shock. The HRGPS time-series show a complex time-evolution after the mainshock: an 568 immediate rapid westward displacement for ~ 1 day, followed by a slower westward dis-569 placement gradually decelerating over a period of more than 20 days. This long-term west-570 ward displacement observed from before the foreshock sequence and up to several days 571 after the mainshock is in first order consistent with the slip rate inferred from repeaters, 572 and supports that the slow slip persists after the mainshock. Furthermore, both repeaters 573 and HRGPS show no evidence of slip acceleration prior to the mainshock, suggesting that 574 aseismic slip evolves independently of the mainshock. 575

All the analyzed signals do not perfectly agree with each other and indicate dif-576 ferent start and end times of the identified transient. Setting the mainshock time as t =577 0, the seismicity excess is evidenced from -1.5 to 5 days for the ETAS analysis and from 578 -2 to 8 days for the MISD analysis. The repeating earthquakes track the slow slip event 579 since the occurrence time of first foreshock (-2 days) up to months after the mainshock 580 while the HRGPS suggests that the aseismic slip initiates ~ 1 day before the first fore-581 shock and persists at least for 20 days after the mainshock. Such differences reflect that 582 these various observations are not sensitive to the same fault processes. Our land-based geodetic measurements reflect any slip along a large area of the subduction interface. On 584 the other hand, the statistical seismicity analysis is representative of the process taking 585 place only at the earthquake location. Finally, repeating earthquakes provide localized, 586 but sparse in-situ measurements of the slip rate on a limited area of the interface (Fig-587 ure 6). Defining the exact interplay between all of these observations is challenging, but 588 we may consider that they are broadly interconnected because of their similar timing ex-589 tending from the foreshock sequence up to post-mainshock times. 590

The differences of slip behavior inferred from different observations may also partly 591 result from uncertainties and hypotheses inherent to our analysis approach. As earth-592 quakes actually interact in space, the ETAS and MISD models are often used with a spa-593 tial kernel to weight inter-event distances in the aftershock triggering scheme (Zhuang 594 et al., 2011). However, in this study, we focus only on the temporal variations of seis-595 micity, as spatial considerations would likely complicate the aftershock triggering asso-596 ciation in such a small study area. Because of the location uncertainties of earthquakes 597 due to the geometry of our network, the apparent inter-event distance is not well con-598 strained and may lead to unrealistic event association. Yet, thanks to our careful spa-599 tial selection, we believe that the ValEqt seismicity is sufficiently isolated and uniquely 600 clustered around the mainshock to be analyzed temporally (see Section 2; Figure 1). We 601 acknowledge that the repeating earthquake detection and the inferred slip rate is prone 602 to multiple uncertainties. First, the repeating earthquake detection is also impacted by 603 the rate dependent incompleteness mentioned in Section 3. As we cannot detect a lot 604 of low magnitude earthquakes when the seismicity rate is high, we also miss possible re-605 peaters. Such incompleteness may impact the slip rate inferred just after the mainshock 606 and other large earthquakes. Moreover, when the seismicity rate is high, the 40 second 607 cross-correlation window is likely to screen several successive waveforms and further blur 608 the detection of potential repeaters. To evaluate the influence of the window length, we 609 also performed the repeater detection using a smaller cross-correlation windows centered 610 only on the P phases. Because the window is shorter, we obtained more repeaters fam-611 ilies for the same CC threshold, but with similar conclusions as the ones presented here 612 (see Figure S8). Second, the repeater rupture sizes and slips is estimated with standard 613

scaling laws and apriori values (i.e., stress-drop, shear modulus). Using different scal-614 ing law or stress drop (Uchida, 2019; Nadeau & Johnson, 1998; Hanks & Bakun, 2002) 615 yielded slightly different repeater families and absolute slip estimates, but still we can 616 draw similar conclusions (see Table S1 and Figure S9). In order to minimize the influ-617 ence of such choice on the absolute amount of slip observed, we focus only on its tem-618 poral evolution pattern. The HRGPS data contains plenty of noise inherent to the pro-619 cessing strategy, which were not completely removed in this study. The remaining noise 620 limits the possibility to capture second order features of the slab interface processes, such 621 as an accelerated slip just before the mainshock. Moreover, our HRGPS displacements 622 can contain significant seismic slip contributions (e.g., Caballero et al., 2021) although 623 we assumed that our HRGPS displacements predominantly represent the contribution 624 from aseismic slip in this study. From this viewpoint, seismic deformation produced by 625 the post-mainshock bursts identified by our ETASI analysis probably alleviates the dis-626 crepancy between the HRGPS-based very rapid afterslip and the repeater-based steady 627 aseismic slip evolution. Nevertheless, quantification of displacements associated with the 628 post-mainshock bursts is beyond the scope of this study. 629

In spite of these limitations, our observations bring new insight on the possible mech-630 anisms that have driven the 2017 Valparaiso seismic sequence. As previously mentioned, 631 precursory slow slip is often interpreted as the nucleation phase slowly accelerating to-632 ward the mainshock dynamic rupture (Das & Scholz, 1981; Dieterich, 1992; Ampuero 633 & Rubin, 2008; Ohnaka, 1992; Latour et al., 2013). In this model, monitoring foreshocks 634 (small asperities loaded by the slipping interface) and the aseismic slip may help to track 635 the ongoing rupture and carry a strong predictive power on the subsequent mainshock 636 occurrence. In the 2017 Valparaiso case, however, there is no evidence of acceleration 637 of slip leading up to the mainshock and both the seismicity excess and the aseismic slip 638 persist after the mainshock. Therefore, we believe that the seismic and aseismic processes 639 observed before and after the Valparaiso mainshock cannot be interpreted as a (accel-640 erating) pre-slip nucleation phase. Rather, a model described by Meng and Duan (2022) 641 can be a better alternative. In this model, the slow slip event evolves independently from 642 the mainshock dynamic rupture, only acting as an aseismic loading of nearby asperities. 643 The ongoing slow slip event triggers seismicity by breaking embedded small asperities, 644 which may further enhance the rupture of nearby areas with usual earthquake interac-645 tions. Within such a slow-slip enhanced seismicity, the probability to observe a large earth-646 quake (i.e., a mainshock) is increased but is not deterministic as for the nucleation phase 647 model. The aseismic loading framework can explain the persistence of the enhanced seis-648 micity and the aseismic slip after the Valparaiso mainshock and the lack of observed slip 649 acceleration before it. Similar observations of a continuously enhanced foreshock and post-650 mainshock seismicity have been previously reported by Marsan et al. (2014). They showed 651 that worldwide mainshocks preceded by an enhanced foreshock seismicity are also as-652 sociated with an enhanced aftershock activity. They suggest that such observation likely 653 requires external triggering process such as aseismic slip or/and fluid migrations that oc-654 cur before and after the mainshock occurrence. Large earthquakes triggered by indepen-655 dent aseismic loading processes have already been observed in other regions that are fre-656 quently associated with slow slip events. As previously mentioned, Radiguet et al. (2016) 657 showed that recurrent slow-slips with no mainshock have been observed on the same in-658 terface for years, before finally triggering the 2014 $M_w = 7.3$ Papanoa earthquake. Sim-659 ilar recurrent slow-slip observations were associated with the triggering of the 2012 M_w = 660 7.6 earthquake in Costa Rica (Voss et al., 2018) or the 2020 $M_w = 6.9$ mainshock in 661 the Atacama region in Chile (Klein et al., 2018, 2021, 2023), that was followed with un-662 usually large post-seismic displacements. There are also numerous examples of slow slip 663 events that have been associated with seismicity swarms but not followed by a large main-664 shock (Lohman & McGuire, 2007; Vallée et al., 2013; Nishikawa et al., 2021). All of these 665 observations suggest that mainshocks preceded by both an aseismic slip and an enhanced 666 foreshock activity may simply be a probabilistic occurrence of a large rupture included in a continuous enhanced seismicity regime, mediated by a long-term underlying process. 668

Therefore, to properly address the precursory nature of unusual aseismic and seismic activities, earthquake sequences needs to be continuously analyzed from the foreshock to the post-mainshock activity. Finally, although this model is not as deterministic as the nucleation phase model, the real-time monitoring of aseismic slip and enhanced seismicity can provide useful additional information about the state of seismic hazard on an aseismically slipping fault.

675 7 Open Research

Broadband seismological data are provided by the National Seismological Center
 (CSN) of the University of Chile through the Incorporated Research Institutions for Seis mology Data Management Center (IRIS-DMC) under networks C1.

The High rate GPS positions provided by Nevada Geodetic Laboratory, University of Nevada, Reno are available at http://geodesy.unr.edu/.

Our catalog of earthquakes and repeating earthquakes (Moutote et al., 2023) can be found at : https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7665026

Phase picking software EQTransformer (Mousavi et al., 2020b) can be found at :
 https://github.com/smousavi05/EQTransformer

Phase association software REAL (Zhang et al., 2019b) can be found at : https://github.com/Dalmzhang/REAL

⁶⁸⁷ The location software NonLinLoc (Lomax, 2017) can be found at : http://alomax.free.fr/nlloc/

The double difference relocation software HypoDD (Waldhauser & Ellsworth, 2010) can be found at : https://www.ldeo.columbia.edu/~felixw/hypoDD.html

690 Acknowledgments

⁶⁹¹ Discussion with Mathilde Radiguet for HRGPS post-processing was fruitful. This

work was supported by the European Research Council (ERC) (under the European Union's

Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under grant agreement No. 805256 and

No. 865963). Y.I. is an Overseas Research Fellow of the Japan Society of the Promo-

tion of Science.

696 References

710

711

732

733

- Altamimi, Z., Métivier, L., Rebischung, P., Rouby, H., & Collilieux, X. (2017).
 ITRF2014 plate motion model. *Geophysical Journal International*, 209(3), 1906-1912. doi: 10.1093/gji/ggx136
 Altamimi, Z., Métivier, L., Rebischung, P., Rouby, H., & Collilieux, X. (2017).
- Alwahedi, M. A., & Hawthorne, J. C. (2019). Intermediate-magnitude postseismic slip follows intermediate-magnitude (m 4 to 5) earthquakes in california. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 46(7), 3676-3687. doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/ 2018GL081001
- Ampuero, J.-P., & Rubin, A. M. (2008). Earthquake nucleation on rate and state
 faults Aging and slip laws. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth,
 113(B1). doi: 10.1029/2007JB005082
- Barrientos, S., & National Seismological Center (CSN) Team. (2018). The Seismic
 Network of Chile. Seismological Research Letters, 89(2A), 467–474. doi: 10
 .1785/0220160195
 - Blewitt, G., Hammond, W. C., & Kreemer, C. (2018). Harnessing the gps data explosion for interdisciplinary science. EOS, 99. doi: 10.1029/2018EO104623
- Bouchon, M., Durand, V., Marsan, D., Karabulut, H., & Schmittbuhl, J. (2013).
 The long precursory phase of most large interplate earthquakes. *Nature Geoscience*, 6(4), 299–302. doi: 10.1038/ngeo1770
- Bouchon, M., Karabulut, H., Aktar, M., Ozalaybey, S., Schmittbuhl, J., & Bouin,
 M.-P. (2011). Extended nucleation of the 1999 mw 7.6 izmit Earthquake.
 Science, 331 (6019), 877–880. doi: 10.1126/science.1197341
- ⁷¹⁸ Brodsky, E. E., & Lay, T. (2014). Recognizing Foreshocks from the 1 April 2014 ⁷¹⁹ Chile Earthquake. *Science*, *344* (6185), 700–702. doi: 10.1126/science.1255202
- T20Caballero, E., Chounet, A., Duputel, Z., Jara, J., Twardzik, C., & Jolivet, R. (2021).T21Seismic and aseismic fault slip during the initiation phase of the 2017 mT22w = 6.9 valparaíso earthquake. Geophysical Research Letters, 48(6). doi:T2310.1029/2020GL091916
- Choi, K., Bilich, A., Larson, K. M., & Axelrad, P. (2004). Modified sidereal filter ing: Implications for high-rate gps positioning. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 31(22). doi: 10.1029/2004GL021621
- Cleveland, R. B., Cleveland, W. S., McRae, J. E., & Terpenning, I. (1990). Stl: A seasonal-trend decomposition. *J. Off. Stat*, 6(1), 3–73.
- ⁷²⁹ Das, S., & Scholz, C. H. (1981). Theory of time-dependent rupture in the Earth. ⁷³⁰ Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 86(B7), 6039-6051. doi: ⁷³¹ 10.1029/JB086iB07p06039
 - Davidsen, J., & Baiesi, M. (2016). Self-similar aftershock rates. Physical Review E, 94(2). doi: 10.1103/PhysRevE.94.022314
- de Arcangelis, L., Godano, C., & Lippiello, E. (2018). The overlap of aftershock
 coda waves and Short-Term postseismic forecasting. Journal of Geophysical
 Research: Solid Earth, 123(7), 5661–5674. doi: 10.1029/2018JB015518
- T37
 Dieterich, J. H.
 (1992).
 Earthquake nucleation on faults with rate-and state-Tectonophysics, 211(1), 115–134.

 T38
 0040-1951(92)90055-B
 Tectonophysics, 211(1), 115–134.
 doi: 10.1016/
- Dodge, D. A., Beroza, G. C., & Ellsworth, W. L. (1995). Foreshock sequence of
 the 1992 Landers, California, earthquake and its implications for earthquake
 nucleation. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 100(B6), 9865–9880.
 doi: 10.1029/95JB00871
- Dodge, D. A., Beroza, G. C., & Ellsworth, W. L. (1996). Detailed observations of
 California foreshock sequences: Implications for the earthquake initiation pro-*Cess. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 101 (B10), 22371–22392.
 doi: 10.1029/96JB02269
- Ellsworth, W. L., & Bulut, F. (2018). Nucleation of the 1999 Izmit earthquake by a triggered cascade of foreshocks. *Nature Geosci*, 11(7), 531–535. doi: 10.1038/
 s41561-018-0145-1

- Felzer, K. R., Abercrombie, R. E., & Ekström, G. (2004). A Common Origin for Af-751 tershocks, Foreshocks, and Multiplets. Bulletin of the Seismological Society of 752 America, 94(1), 88–98. doi: 10.1785/0120030069 753
- Gomberg, J. (2018). Unsettled earthquake nucleation. Nature Geoscience, 11(7), 754 463–464. doi: 10.1038/s41561-018-0149-x 755
- Hainzl, S. (2016). Apparent triggering function of aftershocks resulting from rate-756 dependent incompleteness of earthquake catalogs. Journal of Geophysical Re-757 search: Solid Earth, 121(9), 6499-6509. doi: 10.1002/2016JB013319 758
- (2021). ETAS-Approach Accounting for Short-Term Incompleteness of Hainzl. S. 759 Earthquake Catalogs. Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America. doi: 10 760 .1785/0120210146 761
- Hanks, T. C., & Bakun, W. H. (2002). A Bilinear Source-Scaling Model for M-log A 762 Observations of Continental Earthquakes. Bulletin of the Seismological Society 763 of America, 92(5), 1841–1846. doi: 10.1785/0120010148 764
- Helmstetter, A., & Sornette, D. (2003). Foreshocks explained by cascades of trig-765 gered seismicity. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 108(B10). doi: 766 10.1029/2003JB002409 767
- Igarashi, T., Matsuzawa, T., & Hasegawa, A. (2003).Repeating earthquakes and 768 interplate aseismic slip in the northeastern Japan subduction zone. Journal of 769 Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 108(B5). doi: 10.1029/2002JB001920 770
- Itoh, Y., & Aoki, Y. (2022). On the performance of position-domain sidereal filter 771 for 30-s kinematic gps to mitigate multipath errors. Earth, Planets and Space, 772 74(1), 1-20. doi: 10.1186/s40623-022-01584-8 773
- Itoh, Y., Aoki, Y., & Fukuda, J. (2022).Imaging evolution of cascadia slow-slip 774 event using high-rate gps. Scientific reports, 12(1), 1–12. doi: 10.1038/s41598 775 -022-10957-8 776
- Kagan, Y. Y. (2004).Short-Term properties of earthquake catalogs and models 777 Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America, 94(4), of earthquake source. 778 1207–1228. doi: 10.1785/012003098 779
- Kaneko, Y., & Ampuero, J.-P. (2011).A mechanism for preseismic steady rup-780 ture fronts observed in laboratory experiments. Geophysical Research Letters, 781 38(21). doi: 10.1029/2011GL049953 782
- Kato, A., Fukuda, J., Kumazawa, T., & Nakagawa, S. (2016). Accelerated nucleation 783 of the 2014 Iquique, Chile Mw 8.2 Earthquake. Sci Rep, 6(1), 24792. doi: 10 .1038/srep24792 785

784

- Kato, A., Obara, K., Igarashi, T., Tsuruoka, H., Nakagawa, S., & Hirata, N. (2012). 786 Propagation of Slow Slip Leading Up to the 2011 Mw 9.0 Tohoku-Oki Earth-787 quake. Science, 335(6069), 705–708. doi: 10.1126/science.1215141 788
- Klein, E., Duputel, Z., Zigone, D., Vigny, C., Boy, J.-P., Doubre, C., & Meneses, G. 789 Deep Transient Slow Slip Detected by Survey GPS in the Region of (2018).790 Atacama, Chile. Geophysical Research Letters, 45(22), 12,263–12,273. doi: 791 10.1029/2018GL080613 792
- Klein, E., Potin, B., Pasten-Araya, F., Tissandier, R., Azua, K., Duputel, Z., ... 793 (2021). Interplay of seismic and a-seismic deformation during the Vigny, C. 794 2020 sequence of Atacama, Chile. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 570, 795 117081. doi: 10.1016/j.epsl.2021.117081 796
- Klein, E., Vigny, C., Duputel, Z., Zigone, D., Rivera, L., Ruiz, S., & Potin, B. 797 (2023).Return of the Atacama deep Slow Slip Event: The 5-year recurrence 798 confirmed by continuous GPS. Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors, 799 334, 106970. doi: 10.1016/j.pepi.2022.106970 800
- Larson, K. M., Bilich, A., & Axelrad, P. (2007).Improving the precision of high-801 rate gps. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 112(B5). doi: 10.1029/ 802 2006JB004367 803
- Latour, S., Schubnel, A., Nielsen, S., Madariaga, R., & Vinciguerra, S. (2013). Char-804 acterization of nucleation during laboratory earthquakes. Geophys. Res. Lett., 805

| 806 | 40(19), 5064-5069. doi: $10.1002/grl.50974$ |
|---|---|
| 807 | Llenos, A. L., McGuire, J. J., & Ogata, Y. (2009). Modeling seismic swarms trig- |
| 808 | gered by aseismic transients. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 281(1), 59– |
| 809 | 69. doi: 10.1016/j.epsl.2009.02.011 |
| 810 | Lohman, R. B., & McGuire, J. J. (2007). Earthquake swarms driven by aseismic |
| 811 | creep in the Salton Trough, California. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid |
| 812 | Earth, 112(B4). doi: 10.1029/2006JB004596 |
| 813 | Lomax, A. (2017). NonLinLoc 7.0 [software]. Retrieved from http://alomax.free |
| 814 | .fr/nlloc/ |
| 815 | Lomax, A., Virieux, J., Volant, P., & Berge-Thierry, C. (2000). Probabilistic Earth- |
| 816 | quake Location in 3D and Lavered Models. In G. Nolet, C. H. Thurber, & |
| 817 | N. Rabinowitz (Eds.), Advances in Seismic Event Location (Vol. 18, pp. 101– |
| 818 | 134). Dordrecht. doi: 10.1007/978-94-015-9536-0_5 |
| 819 | Marill, L., Marsan, D., Socquet, A., Radiguet, M., Cotte, N., & Rousset, B. (2021). |
| 820 | Fourteen-Year Acceleration Along the Japan Trench. Journal of Geophysical |
| 821 | Research: Solid Earth, 126(11), e2020JB021226. doi: 10.1029/2020JB021226 |
| 822 | Marsan, D., & Enescu, B. (2012). Modeling the foreshock sequence prior to the |
| 823 | 2011. MW9.0 Tohoku, Japan, earthquake. Journal of Geophysical Research: |
| 824 | Solid Earth, 117(B6). doi: 10.1029/2011JB009039 |
| 825 | Marsan, D., Helmstetter, A., Bouchon, M., & Dublanchet, P. (2014). Foreshock |
| 826 | activity related to enhanced aftershock production: Foreshock and after- |
| 827 | shock activities. <i>Geophysical Research Letters</i> , 41(19), 6652–6658. doi: |
| 828 | 10.1002/2014GL061219 |
| 829 | Marsan, D., & Lengline, O. (2008). Extending earthquakes' reach through cascading. |
| 830 | Science, 319(5866), 1076–1079. |
| 831 | Marsan, D., & Lengliné, O. (2010). A new estimation of the decay of aftershock |
| 832 | density with distance to the mainshock. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid |
| 833 | Earth, 115(B9). |
| | |
| 834 | Mavrommatis, A. P., Segall, P., & Johnson, K. M. (2014). A decadal-scale deforma- |
| 834 835 | Mavrommatis, A. P., Segall, P., & Johnson, K. M. (2014). A decadal-scale deforma- tion transient prior to the 2011 Mw 9.0 Tohoku-oki earthquake. <i>Geophys. Res.</i> |
| 834 835 836 | Mavrommatis, A. P., Segall, P., & Johnson, K. M. (2014). A decadal-scale deforma- tion transient prior to the 2011 Mw 9.0 Tohoku-oki earthquake. <i>Geophys. Res.</i> <i>Lett.</i> , 41(13), 4486–4494. doi: 10.1002/2014GL060139 |
| 834 835 836 837 | Mavrommatis, A. P., Segall, P., & Johnson, K. M. (2014). A decadal-scale deformation transient prior to the 2011 Mw 9.0 Tohoku-oki earthquake. <i>Geophys. Res. Lett.</i>, 41(13), 4486–4494. doi: 10.1002/2014GL060139 Mavrommatis, A. P., Segall, P., Uchida, N., & Johnson, K. M. (2015). Long-term |
| 834 835 836 837 838 | Mavrommatis, A. P., Segall, P., & Johnson, K. M. (2014). A decadal-scale deformation transient prior to the 2011 Mw 9.0 Tohoku-oki earthquake. <i>Geophys. Res. Lett.</i>, 41(13), 4486–4494. doi: 10.1002/2014GL060139 Mavrommatis, A. P., Segall, P., Uchida, N., & Johnson, K. M. (2015). Long-term acceleration of aseismic slip preceding the Mw 9 Tohoku-oki earthquake: Con- |
| 834 835 836 837 838 838 | Mavrommatis, A. P., Segall, P., & Johnson, K. M. (2014). A decadal-scale deformation transient prior to the 2011 Mw 9.0 Tohoku-oki earthquake. Geophys. Res. Lett., 41 (13), 4486–4494. doi: 10.1002/2014GL060139 Mavrommatis, A. P., Segall, P., Uchida, N., & Johnson, K. M. (2015). Long-term acceleration of aseismic slip preceding the Mw 9 Tohoku-oki earthquake: Constraints from repeating earthquakes. Geophysical Research Letters, 42 (22), |
| 834 835 836 837 838 839 840 | Mavrommatis, A. P., Segall, P., & Johnson, K. M. (2014). A decadal-scale deformation transient prior to the 2011 Mw 9.0 Tohoku-oki earthquake. Geophys. Res. Lett., 41(13), 4486–4494. doi: 10.1002/2014GL060139 Mavrommatis, A. P., Segall, P., Uchida, N., & Johnson, K. M. (2015). Long-term acceleration of aseismic slip preceding the Mw 9 Tohoku-oki earthquake: Constraints from repeating earthquakes. Geophysical Research Letters, 42(22), 9717–9725. doi: 10.1002/2015GL066069 |
| 834 835 836 837 838 839 840 841 | Mavrommatis, A. P., Segall, P., & Johnson, K. M. (2014). A decadal-scale deformation transient prior to the 2011 Mw 9.0 Tohoku-oki earthquake. Geophys. Res. Lett., 41 (13), 4486–4494. doi: 10.1002/2014GL060139 Mavrommatis, A. P., Segall, P., Uchida, N., & Johnson, K. M. (2015). Long-term acceleration of aseismic slip preceding the Mw 9 Tohoku-oki earthquake: Constraints from repeating earthquakes. Geophysical Research Letters, 42 (22), 9717–9725. doi: 10.1002/2015GL066069 McLaskey, G. C. (2019). Earthquake Initiation From Laboratory Observations |
| 834 835 836 837 838 839 840 841 842 | Mavrommatis, A. P., Segall, P., & Johnson, K. M. (2014). A decadal-scale deformation transient prior to the 2011 Mw 9.0 Tohoku-oki earthquake. Geophys. Res. Lett., 41 (13), 4486–4494. doi: 10.1002/2014GL060139 Mavrommatis, A. P., Segall, P., Uchida, N., & Johnson, K. M. (2015). Long-term acceleration of aseismic slip preceding the Mw 9 Tohoku-oki earthquake: Constraints from repeating earthquakes. Geophysical Research Letters, 42 (22), 9717–9725. doi: 10.1002/2015GL066069 McLaskey, G. C. (2019). Earthquake Initiation From Laboratory Observations and Implications for Foreshocks. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, |
| 834 835 836 837 838 839 840 841 842 843 | Mavrommatis, A. P., Segall, P., & Johnson, K. M. (2014). A decadal-scale deformation transient prior to the 2011 Mw 9.0 Tohoku-oki earthquake. Geophys. Res. Lett., 41 (13), 4486–4494. doi: 10.1002/2014GL060139 Mavrommatis, A. P., Segall, P., Uchida, N., & Johnson, K. M. (2015). Long-term acceleration of aseismic slip preceding the Mw 9 Tohoku-oki earthquake: Constraints from repeating earthquakes. Geophysical Research Letters, 42 (22), 9717–9725. doi: 10.1002/2015GL066069 McLaskey, G. C. (2019). Earthquake Initiation From Laboratory Observations and Implications for Foreshocks. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 124 (12), 12882–12904. doi: 10.1029/2019JB018363 |
| 834 835 836 837 838 839 840 841 842 843 844 | Mavrommatis, A. P., Segall, P., & Johnson, K. M. (2014). A decadal-scale deformation transient prior to the 2011 Mw 9.0 Tohoku-oki earthquake. Geophys. Res. Lett., 41 (13), 4486–4494. doi: 10.1002/2014GL060139 Mavrommatis, A. P., Segall, P., Uchida, N., & Johnson, K. M. (2015). Long-term acceleration of aseismic slip preceding the Mw 9 Tohoku-oki earthquake: Constraints from repeating earthquakes. Geophysical Research Letters, 42 (22), 9717–9725. doi: 10.1002/2015GL066069 McLaskey, G. C. (2019). Earthquake Initiation From Laboratory Observations and Implications for Foreshocks. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 124 (12), 12882–12904. doi: 10.1029/2019JB018363 Meng, Q., & Duan, B. (2022). Dynamic Modeling of Interactions between Shallow |
| 834 835 836 837 838 839 840 841 842 843 844 844 | Mavrommatis, A. P., Segall, P., & Johnson, K. M. (2014). A decadal-scale deformation transient prior to the 2011 Mw 9.0 Tohoku-oki earthquake. Geophys. Res. Lett., 41 (13), 4486–4494. doi: 10.1002/2014GL060139 Mavrommatis, A. P., Segall, P., Uchida, N., & Johnson, K. M. (2015). Long-term acceleration of aseismic slip preceding the Mw 9 Tohoku-oki earthquake: Constraints from repeating earthquakes. Geophysical Research Letters, 42 (22), 9717–9725. doi: 10.1002/2015GL066069 McLaskey, G. C. (2019). Earthquake Initiation From Laboratory Observations and Implications for Foreshocks. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 124 (12), 12882–12904. doi: 10.1029/2019JB018363 Meng, Q., & Duan, B. (2022). Dynamic Modeling of Interactions between Shallow Slow-Slip Events and Subduction Earthquakes. Seismological Research Letters. |
| 834 835 836 837 838 840 841 842 843 844 845 846 | Mavrommatis, A. P., Segall, P., & Johnson, K. M. (2014). A decadal-scale deformation transient prior to the 2011 Mw 9.0 Tohoku-oki earthquake. Geophys. Res. Lett., 41(13), 4486–4494. doi: 10.1002/2014GL060139 Mavrommatis, A. P., Segall, P., Uchida, N., & Johnson, K. M. (2015). Long-term acceleration of aseismic slip preceding the Mw 9 Tohoku-oki earthquake: Constraints from repeating earthquakes. Geophysical Research Letters, 42(22), 9717–9725. doi: 10.1002/2015GL066069 McLaskey, G. C. (2019). Earthquake Initiation From Laboratory Observations and Implications for Foreshocks. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 124(12), 12882–12904. doi: 10.1029/2019JB018363 Meng, Q., & Duan, B. (2022). Dynamic Modeling of Interactions between Shallow Slow-Slip Events and Subduction Earthquakes. Seismological Research Letters. doi: 10.1785/022020138 |
| 834 835 836 837 838 839 840 841 842 843 844 845 846 847 | Mavrommatis, A. P., Segall, P., & Johnson, K. M. (2014). A decadal-scale deformation transient prior to the 2011 Mw 9.0 Tohoku-oki earthquake. Geophys. Res. Lett., 41 (13), 4486–4494. doi: 10.1002/2014GL060139 Mavrommatis, A. P., Segall, P., Uchida, N., & Johnson, K. M. (2015). Long-term acceleration of aseismic slip preceding the Mw 9 Tohoku-oki earthquake: Constraints from repeating earthquakes. Geophysical Research Letters, 42 (22), 9717–9725. doi: 10.1002/2015GL066069 McLaskey, G. C. (2019). Earthquake Initiation From Laboratory Observations and Implications for Foreshocks. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 124 (12), 12882–12904. doi: 10.1029/2019JB018363 Meng, Q., & Duan, B. (2022). Dynamic Modeling of Interactions between Shallow Slow-Slip Events and Subduction Earthquakes. Seismological Research Letters. doi: 10.1785/0220220138 Mignan, A. (2015). The debate on the prognostic value of earthquake foreshocks: A |
| 834 835 836 837 838 839 840 841 842 843 844 845 846 845 846 | Mavrommatis, A. P., Segall, P., & Johnson, K. M. (2014). A decadal-scale deformation transient prior to the 2011 Mw 9.0 Tohoku-oki earthquake. Geophys. Res. Lett., 41 (13), 4486–4494. doi: 10.1002/2014GL060139 Mavrommatis, A. P., Segall, P., Uchida, N., & Johnson, K. M. (2015). Long-term acceleration of aseismic slip preceding the Mw 9 Tohoku-oki earthquake: Constraints from repeating earthquakes. Geophysical Research Letters, 42 (22), 9717–9725. doi: 10.1002/2015GL066069 McLaskey, G. C. (2019). Earthquake Initiation From Laboratory Observations and Implications for Foreshocks. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 124 (12), 12882–12904. doi: 10.1029/2019JB018363 Meng, Q., & Duan, B. (2022). Dynamic Modeling of Interactions between Shallow Slow-Slip Events and Subduction Earthquakes. Seismological Research Letters. doi: 10.1785/0220220138 Mignan, A. (2015). The debate on the prognostic value of earthquake foreshocks: A meta-analysis. Scientific Reports, 4(1), 4099. doi: 10.1038/srep04099 |
| 834 835 836 837 838 840 841 842 843 844 845 846 846 848 849 | Mavrommatis, A. P., Segall, P., & Johnson, K. M. (2014). A decadal-scale deformation transient prior to the 2011 Mw 9.0 Tohoku-oki earthquake. Geophys. Res. Lett., 41 (13), 4486-4494. doi: 10.1002/2014GL060139 Mavrommatis, A. P., Segall, P., Uchida, N., & Johnson, K. M. (2015). Long-term acceleration of aseismic slip preceding the Mw 9 Tohoku-oki earthquake: Constraints from repeating earthquakes. Geophysical Research Letters, 42 (22), 9717-9725. doi: 10.1002/2015GL066069 McLaskey, G. C. (2019). Earthquake Initiation From Laboratory Observations and Implications for Foreshocks. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 124 (12), 12882-12904. doi: 10.1029/2019JB018363 Meng, Q., & Duan, B. (2022). Dynamic Modeling of Interactions between Shallow Slow-Slip Events and Subduction Earthquakes. Seismological Research Letters. doi: 10.1785/0220220138 Mignan, A. (2015). The debate on the prognostic value of earthquake foreshocks: A meta-analysis. Scientific Reports, 4(1), 4099. doi: 10.1038/srep04099 Mousavi, S. M., Ellsworth, W. L., Zhu, W., Chuang, L. Y., & Beroza, G. C. (2020a). |
| 834 835 836 837 838 840 841 842 843 844 845 846 846 847 848 849 | Mavrommatis, A. P., Segall, P., & Johnson, K. M. (2014). A decadal-scale deformation transient prior to the 2011 Mw 9.0 Tohoku-oki earthquake. Geophys. Res. Lett., 41(13), 4486–4494. doi: 10.1002/2014GL060139 Mavrommatis, A. P., Segall, P., Uchida, N., & Johnson, K. M. (2015). Long-term acceleration of aseismic slip preceding the Mw 9 Tohoku-oki earthquake: Constraints from repeating earthquakes. Geophysical Research Letters, 42(22), 9717–9725. doi: 10.1002/2015GL066069 McLaskey, G. C. (2019). Earthquake Initiation From Laboratory Observations and Implications for Foreshocks. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 124(12), 12882–12904. doi: 10.1029/2019JB018363 Meng, Q., & Duan, B. (2022). Dynamic Modeling of Interactions between Shallow Slow-Slip Events and Subduction Earthquakes. Seismological Research Letters. doi: 10.1785/022020138 Mignan, A. (2015). The debate on the prognostic value of earthquake foreshocks: A meta-analysis. Scientific Reports, 4(1), 4099. doi: 10.1038/srep04099 Mousavi, S. M., Ellsworth, W. L., Zhu, W., Chuang, L. Y., & Beroza, G. C. (2020a). Earthquake transformer—an attentive deep-learning model for simultaneous |
| 834 835 836 837 838 840 841 842 843 844 845 844 845 846 847 848 849 850 851 | Mavrommatis, A. P., Segall, P., & Johnson, K. M. (2014). A decadal-scale deformation transient prior to the 2011 Mw 9.0 Tohoku-oki earthquake. Geophys. Res. Lett., 41 (13), 4486–4494. doi: 10.1002/2014GL060139 Mavrommatis, A. P., Segall, P., Uchida, N., & Johnson, K. M. (2015). Long-term acceleration of aseismic slip preceding the Mw 9 Tohoku-oki earthquake: Constraints from repeating earthquakes. Geophysical Research Letters, 42 (22), 9717–9725. doi: 10.1002/2015GL066069 McLaskey, G. C. (2019). Earthquake Initiation From Laboratory Observations and Implications for Foreshocks. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 124 (12), 12882–12904. doi: 10.1029/2019JB018363 Meng, Q., & Duan, B. (2022). Dynamic Modeling of Interactions between Shallow Slow-Slip Events and Subduction Earthquakes. Seismological Research Letters. doi: 10.1785/0220220138 Mignan, A. (2015). The debate on the prognostic value of earthquake foreshocks: A meta-analysis. Scientific Reports, 4(1), 4099. doi: 10.1038/srep04099 Mousavi, S. M., Ellsworth, W. L., Zhu, W., Chuang, L. Y., & Beroza, G. C. (2020a). Earthquake transformer—an attentive deep-learning model for simultaneous earthquake detection and phase picking. Nature Communications, 11(1), 3952. |
| 834 835 836 837 838 840 841 842 843 844 845 846 844 845 846 847 848 849 850 851 852 | Mavrommatis, A. P., Segall, P., & Johnson, K. M. (2014). A decadal-scale deformation transient prior to the 2011 Mw 9.0 Tohoku-oki earthquake. Geophys. Res. Lett., 41 (13), 4486–4494. doi: 10.1002/2014GL060139 Mavrommatis, A. P., Segall, P., Uchida, N., & Johnson, K. M. (2015). Long-term acceleration of aseismic slip preceding the Mw 9 Tohoku-oki earthquake: Constraints from repeating earthquakes. Geophysical Research Letters, 42 (22), 9717–9725. doi: 10.1002/2015GL066069 McLaskey, G. C. (2019). Earthquake Initiation From Laboratory Observations and Implications for Foreshocks. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 124 (12), 12882–12904. doi: 10.1029/2019JB018363 Meng, Q., & Duan, B. (2022). Dynamic Modeling of Interactions between Shallow Slow-Slip Events and Subduction Earthquakes. Seismological Research Letters. doi: 10.1785/022020138 Mignan, A. (2015). The debate on the prognostic value of earthquake foreshocks: A meta-analysis. Scientific Reports, 4(1), 4099. doi: 10.1038/srep04099 Mousavi, S. M., Ellsworth, W. L., Zhu, W., Chuang, L. Y., & Beroza, G. C. (2020a). Earthquake transformer—an attentive deep-learning model for simultaneous earthquake detection and phase picking. Nature Communications, 11(1), 3952. doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-17591-w |
| 834 835 836 837 838 840 841 842 843 844 845 846 845 846 845 848 849 850 851 852 853 | Mavrommatis, A. P., Segall, P., & Johnson, K. M. (2014). A decadal-scale deformation transient prior to the 2011 Mw 9.0 Tohoku-oki earthquake. Geophys. Res. Lett., 41 (13), 4486–4494. doi: 10.1002/2014GL060139 Mavrommatis, A. P., Segall, P., Uchida, N., & Johnson, K. M. (2015). Long-term acceleration of aseismic slip preceding the Mw 9 Tohoku-oki earthquake: Constraints from repeating earthquakes. Geophysical Research Letters, 42 (22), 9717–9725. doi: 10.1002/2015GL066069 McLaskey, G. C. (2019). Earthquake Initiation From Laboratory Observations and Implications for Foreshocks. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 124 (12), 12882–12904. doi: 10.1029/2019JB018363 Meng, Q., & Duan, B. (2022). Dynamic Modeling of Interactions between Shallow Slow-Slip Events and Subduction Earthquakes. Seismological Research Letters. doi: 10.1785/0220220138 Mignan, A. (2015). The debate on the prognostic value of earthquake foreshocks: A meta-analysis. Scientific Reports, 4(1), 4099. doi: 10.1038/srep04099 Mousavi, S. M., Ellsworth, W. L., Zhu, W., Chuang, L. Y., & Beroza, G. C. (2020a). Earthquake transformer—an attentive deep-learning model for simultaneous earthquake detection and phase picking. Nature Communications, 11(1), 3952. doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-17591-w |
| 834 835 836 837 839 840 841 842 843 844 845 846 846 845 846 845 846 850 851 852 853 854 | Mavrommatis, A. P., Segall, P., & Johnson, K. M. (2014). A decadal-scale deformation transient prior to the 2011 Mw 9.0 Tohoku-oki earthquake. Geophys. Res. Lett., 41(13), 4486–4494. doi: 10.1002/2014GL060139 Mavrommatis, A. P., Segall, P., Uchida, N., & Johnson, K. M. (2015). Long-term acceleration of aseismic slip preceding the Mw 9 Tohoku-oki earthquake: Constraints from repeating earthquakes. Geophysical Research Letters, 42(22), 9717–9725. doi: 10.1002/2015GL066069 McLaskey, G. C. (2019). Earthquake Initiation From Laboratory Observations and Implications for Foreshocks. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 124(12), 12882–12904. doi: 10.1029/2019JB018363 Meng, Q., & Duan, B. (2022). Dynamic Modeling of Interactions between Shallow Slow-Slip Events and Subduction Earthquakes. Seismological Research Letters. doi: 10.1785/0220220138 Mignan, A. (2015). The debate on the prognostic value of earthquake foreshocks: A meta-analysis. Scientific Reports, 4(1), 4099. doi: 10.1038/srep04099 Mousavi, S. M., Ellsworth, W. L., Zhu, W., Chuang, L. Y., & Beroza, G. C. (2020). Earthquake transformer—an attentive deep-learning model for simultaneous earthquake transformer—an attentive deep-learning model for simultaneous earthquake detection and phase picking. Nature Communications, 11(1), 3952. doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-17591-w Mousavi, S. M., Ellsworth, W. L., Zhu, W., Chuang, L. Y., & Beroza, G. C. (2020b). EQTransformer [software]. Retrieved from https://github.com/smousavi05/ |
| 834 835 836 837 840 841 842 843 844 845 846 846 848 849 850 851 852 853 854 855 | Mavrommatis, A. P., Segall, P., & Johnson, K. M. (2014). A decadal-scale deformation transient prior to the 2011 Mw 9.0 Tohoku-oki earthquake. Geophys. Res. Lett., 41(13), 4486-4494. doi: 10.1002/2014GL060139 Mavrommatis, A. P., Segall, P., Uchida, N., & Johnson, K. M. (2015). Long-term acceleration of aseismic slip preceding the Mw 9 Tohoku-oki earthquake: Constraints from repeating earthquakes. Geophysical Research Letters, 42(22), 9717-9725. doi: 10.1002/2015GL066069 McLaskey, G. C. (2019). Earthquake Initiation From Laboratory Observations and Implications for Foreshocks. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 124(12), 12882-12904. doi: 10.1029/2019JB018363 Meng, Q., & Duan, B. (2022). Dynamic Modeling of Interactions between Shallow Slow-Slip Events and Subduction Earthquakes. Seismological Research Letters. doi: 10.1785/022020138 Mignan, A. (2015). The debate on the prognostic value of earthquake foreshocks: A meta-analysis. Scientific Reports, 4(1), 4099. doi: 10.1038/srep04099 Mousavi, S. M., Ellsworth, W. L., Zhu, W., Chuang, L. Y., & Beroza, G. C. (2020a). Earthquake transformer—an attentive deep-learning model for simultaneous earthquake detection and phase picking. Nature Communications, 11(1), 3952. doi: 10.1038/st1467-020-17591-w Mousavi, S. M., Ellsworth, W. L., Zhu, W., Chuang, L. Y., & Beroza, G. C. (2020b). EQTransformer [software]. Retrieved from https://github.com/smousavi05/EQTransformer |
| 834 835 836 837 840 841 842 843 844 845 846 847 848 849 850 851 852 853 854 855 856 | Mavrommatis, A. P., Segall, P., & Johnson, K. M. (2014). A decadal-scale deformation transient prior to the 2011 Mw 9.0 Tohoku-oki earthquake. Geophys. Res. Lett., 41(13), 4486-4494. doi: 10.1002/2014GL060139 Mavrommatis, A. P., Segall, P., Uchida, N., & Johnson, K. M. (2015). Long-term acceleration of aseismic slip preceding the Mw 9 Tohoku-oki earthquake: Constraints from repeating earthquakes. Geophysical Research Letters, 42(22), 9717-9725. doi: 10.1002/2015GL066069 McLaskey, G. C. (2019). Earthquake Initiation From Laboratory Observations and Implications for Foreshocks. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 124(12), 12882-12904. doi: 10.1029/2019JB018363 Meng, Q., & Duan, B. (2022). Dynamic Modeling of Interactions between Shallow Slow-Slip Events and Subduction Earthquakes. Seismological Research Letters. doi: 10.1785/022020138 Mignan, A. (2015). The debate on the prognostic value of earthquake foreshocks: A meta-analysis. Scientific Reports, 4(1), 4099. doi: 10.1038/srep04099 Mousavi, S. M., Ellsworth, W. L., Zhu, W., Chuang, L. Y., & Beroza, G. C. (2020a). Earthquake transformer—an attentive deep-learning model for simultaneous earthquake detection and phase picking. Nature Communications, 11(1), 3952. doi: 10.1038/st1467-020-17591-w Mousavi, S. M., Ellsworth, W. L., Zhu, W., Chuang, L. Y., & Beroza, G. C. (2020b). EQTransformer [software]. Retrieved from https://github.com/smousavi05/EQTransformer |
| 834 835 836 837 838 840 841 842 843 844 845 846 847 848 849 850 851 852 853 854 855 856 857 | Mavrommatis, A. P., Segall, P., & Johnson, K. M. (2014). A decadal-scale deformation transient prior to the 2011 Mw 9.0 Tohoku-oki earthquake. Geophys. Res. Lett., 41(13), 4486-4494. doi: 10.1002/2014GL060139 Mavrommatis, A. P., Segall, P., Uchida, N., & Johnson, K. M. (2015). Long-term acceleration of aseismic slip preceding the Mw 9 Tohoku-oki earthquake: Constraints from repeating earthquakes. Geophysical Research Letters, 42(22), 9717-9725. doi: 10.1002/2015GL066069 McLaskey, G. C. (2019). Earthquake Initiation From Laboratory Observations and Implications for Foreshocks. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 124 (12), 12882-12904. doi: 10.1029/2019JB018363 Meng, Q., & Duan, B. (2022). Dynamic Modeling of Interactions between Shallow Slow-Slip Events and Subduction Earthquakes. Seismological Research Letters. doi: 10.1785/022020138 Mignan, A. (2015). The debate on the prognostic value of earthquake foreshocks: A meta-analysis. Scientific Reports, 4 (1), 4099. doi: 10.1038/srep04099 Mousavi, S. M., Ellsworth, W. L., Zhu, W., Chuang, L. Y., & Beroza, G. C. (2020a). Earthquake transformer—an attentive deep-learning model for simultaneous earthquake detection and phase picking. Nature Communications, 11(1), 3952. doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-17591-w Mousavi, S. M., Ellsworth, W. L., Zhu, W., Chuang, L. Y., & Beroza, G. C. (2020b). EQTransformer [software]. Retrieved from https://github.com/smousavi05/EQTransformer Moutote, L., Lengliné, O., & Duputel, Z. (2023). ValEqt: A high-resolution Earthquake and Repeating earthquakes catalog of the 2017 Valparaiso se- |
| 834 835 836 837 838 840 841 842 843 844 845 846 845 846 845 850 851 852 853 854 855 855 855 | Mavrommatis, A. P., Segall, P., & Johnson, K. M. (2014). A decadal-scale deformation transient prior to the 2011 Mw 9.0 Tohoku-oki earthquake. Geophys. Res. Lett., 41(13), 4486–4494. doi: 10.1002/2014GL060139 Mavrommatis, A. P., Segall, P., Uchida, N., & Johnson, K. M. (2015). Long-term acceleration of aseismic slip preceding the Mw 9 Tohoku-oki earthquake: Constraints from repeating earthquakes. Geophysical Research Letters, 42(22), 9717–9725. doi: 10.1002/2015GL066069 McLaskey, G. C. (2019). Earthquake Initiation From Laboratory Observations and Implications for Foreshocks. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 124(12), 12882–12904. doi: 10.1029/2019JB018363 Meng, Q., & Duan, B. (2022). Dynamic Modeling of Interactions between Shallow Slow-Slip Events and Subduction Earthquakes. Seismological Research Letters. doi: 10.1785/022020138 Mignan, A. (2015). The debate on the prognostic value of earthquake foreshocks: A meta-analysis. Scientific Reports, 4(1), 4099. doi: 10.1038/srep04099 Mousavi, S. M., Ellsworth, W. L., Zhu, W., Chuang, L. Y., & Beroza, G. C. (2020a). Earthquake detection and phase picking. Nature Communications, 11(1), 3952. doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-17591-w Mousavi, S. M., Ellsworth, W. L., Zhu, W., Chuang, L. Y., & Beroza, G. C. (2020b). EQTransformer [software]. Retrieved from https://github.com/smousavi05/EQTransformer Moutote, L., Lengliné, O., & Duputel, Z. (2023). ValEqt: A high-resolution Earthquake and Repeating earthquakes catalog of the 2017 Valparaiso sequence [dataset]. Zenodo. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.5281/ |
| 834 835 836 837 838 840 841 842 843 844 845 846 847 848 849 850 851 852 853 854 855 856 857 858 859 | Mavrommatis, A. P., Segall, P., & Johnson, K. M. (2014). A decadal-scale deformation transient prior to the 2011 Mw 9.0 Tohoku-oki earthquake. Geophys. Res. Lett., 41(13), 4486–4494. doi: 10.1002/2014GL060139 Mavrommatis, A. P., Segall, P., Uchida, N., & Johnson, K. M. (2015). Long-term acceleration of aseismic slip preceding the Mw 9 Tohoku-oki earthquake: Constraints from repeating earthquakes. Geophysical Research Letters, 42(22), 9717–9725. doi: 10.1002/2015GL066069 McLaskey, G. C. (2019). Earthquake Initiation From Laboratory Observations and Implications for Foreshocks. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 124(12), 12882–12904. doi: 10.1029/2019JB018363 Meng, Q., & Duan, B. (2022). Dynamic Modeling of Interactions between Shallow Slow-Slip Events and Subduction Earthquakes. Seismological Research Letters. doi: 10.1785/0220220138 Mignan, A. (2015). The debate on the prognostic value of earthquake foreshocks: A meta-analysis. Scientific Reports, 4(1), 4099. doi: 10.1038/srep04099 Mousavi, S. M., Ellsworth, W. L., Zhu, W., Chuang, L. Y., & Beroza, G. C. (2020a). Earthquake transformer—an attentive deep-learning model for simultaneous earthquake detection and phase picking. Nature Communications, 11(1), 3952. doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-17591-w Mousavi, S. M., Ellsworth, W. L., Zhu, W., Chuang, L. Y., & Beroza, G. C. (2020b). EQTransformer [software]. Retrieved from https://github.com/smousavi05/EQTransformer Moutote, L., Lengliné, O., & Duputel, Z. (2023). ValEqt: A high-resolution Earthquake and Repeating earthquakes catalog of the 2017 Valparaiso sequence [dataset]. Zenodo. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7665026 |

| 861 | non-cascading foreshock activity in Southern California. Geophysical Research |
|-----|---|
| 862 | Letters, $48(7)$, e2020GL091757. doi: 10.1029/2020GL091757 |
| 863 | Nadeau, R. M., & Johnson, L. R. (1998). Seismological studies at Parkfield VI: |
| 864 | Moment release rates and estimates of source parameters for small repeating |
| 865 | earthquakes. Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America, 88(3), 790–814. |
| 866 | doi: 10.1785/BSSA0880030790 |
| 867 | Nishikawa, T., Nishimura, T., & Okada, Y. (2021). Earthquake Swarm Detection |
| 868 | Along the Hikurangi Trench, New Zealand: Insights Into the Relationship Be- |
| 869 | tween Seismicity and Slow Slip Events. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid |
| 870 | Earth, 126(4), e2020JB020618. doi: 10.1029/2020JB020618 |
| 871 | Ogata, Y. (1988). Statistical Models for Earthquake Occurrences and Residual |
| 872 | Analysis for Point Processes. Journal of the American Statistical Association, |
| 873 | 83(401), 9-27. doi: $10.1080/01621459.1988.10478560$ |
| 874 | Ogata, Y. (1989). Statistical model for standard seismicity and detection of anoma- |
| 875 | lies by residual analysis. Tectonophysics, $169(1-3)$, $159-174$. doi: $10.1016/0040$ |
| 876 | -1951(89)90191-1 |
| 877 | Ogata, Y. (1992). Detection of precursory relative quiescence before great earth- |
| 878 | quakes through a statistical model. Journal of Geophysical Research, $97(B13)$, |
| 879 | 19845. doi: 10.1029/92JB00708 |
| 880 | Ohnaka, M. (1992). Earthquake source nucleation: A physical model for short-term |
| 881 | precursors. Tectonophysics, $211(1)$, 149–178. doi: $10.1016/0040-1951(92)90057$ |
| 882 | -D |
| 883 | Omori, F. (1895). On the After-shocks of Earthquakes. The journal of the College of |
| 884 | Science, Imperial University, 7(2), 111–200. doi: 10.15083/00037562 |
| 885 | Pedregosa, F., Varoquaux, G., Gramfort, A., Michel, V., Thirion, B., Grisel, O., |
| 886 | others (2011). Scikit-learn: Machine learning in python. the Journal of |
| 887 | machine Learning research, 12, 2825–2830. |
| 888 | Peng, Z., Vidale, J. E., Ishii, M., & Helmstetter, A. (2007). Seismicity rate imme- |
| 889 | diately before and after main shock rupture from high-frequency waveforms in |
| 890 | japan. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 112(B3). |
| 891 | Radiguet, M., Perfettini, H., Cotte, N., Gualandi, A., Valette, B., Kostoglodov, V., |
| 892 | Campillo, M. (2016). Triggering of the 2014 Mw7.3 Papanoa earthquake |
| 893 | by a slow slip event in Guerrero, Mexico. Nature Geoscience, $9(11)$, 829–833. |
| 894 | doi: 10.1038/ngeo2817 |
| 895 | Ragheb, A., Clarke, P. J., & Edwards, S. (2007). Gps sidereal filtering: coordinate- |
| 896 | and carrier-phase-level strategies. Journal of Geodesy, 81(5), 325–335. doi: 10 |
| 897 | .1007/s00190-006-0113-1 |
| 898 | Richter, C. F. (1935). An instrumental earthquake magnitude scale. Bulletin of the |
| 899 | Seismological Society of America, $25(1)$, 2–32. doi: 10.1785/B55A0250010001 |
| 900 | Richter, C. F. (1958). Elementary Seismology. |
| 901 | Ross, Z. E., Trugman, D. T., Hauksson, E., & Shearer, P. M. (2019). Searching for |
| 902 | nidden earthquakes in Southern California. Science, 304 (6442), 767-771. doi: |
| 903 | 10.1120/science.aaw0000 |
| 904 | Rubin, A. M., & Ampuero, JP. (2005). Earthquake nucleation on (aging) rate and |
| 905 | state faults. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solia Earth, 110(D11). doi: 10 |
| 906 | .1029/2005JD005080 Duiz S. Adan Antoniow F. Paoz, I. C. Otarola, C. Dotin, P. dol Compo, F. |
| 907 | Ruiz, S., Adell-Antoniow, F., Daez, J. C., Otaroia, C., Fotili, D., del Campo, F., Bernard, P. (2017) Nucleation Phase and Dynamic Inversion of the My 6.0 |
| 908 | Valparaíso 2017 Farthquako in Contral Chilo — <i>Computer Roy Lett</i> //(20) |
| 909 | 10.290 - 10.297 doi: 10.1002/2017GL075675 |
| 910 | Ruiz S Metois M Fuenzalida A Ruiz I Levton F Grandin R Campos |
| 911 | J (2014) Intense foreshocks and a slow slip event preceded the 2014 Julique |
| 912 | Mw 8.1 earthquake. Science 3/5(6201) 1165–1169 |
| 914 | Seif, S., Zechar, J. D., Mignan, A., Nandan, S., & Wiemer, S. (2019) Foreshocks |
| 915 | and their potential deviation from general seismicity. Bulletin of the Seismolog- |

| 916 | ical Society of America, 109(1), 1–18. doi: 10.1785/0120170188 |
|-----|--|
| 917 | Shearer, P. M. (2019). Introduction to Seismology (3rd edition ed.). Cambridge; |
| 918 | New York, NY. |
| 919 | Socquet, A., Valdes, J. P., Jara, J., Cotton, F., Walpersdorf, A., Cotte, N., Nor- |
| 920 | abuena, E. (2017). An 8 month slow slip event triggers progressive nucleation |
| 921 | of the 2014 Chile megathrust. Geophysical Research Letters, $44(9)$, 4046–4053. |
| 922 | doi: 10.1002/2017GL073023 |
| 923 | Tape, C., Holtkamp, S., Silwal, V., Hawthorne, J., Kaneko, Y., Ampuero, J. P., |
| 924 | West, M. E. (2018). Earthquake nucleation and fault slip complexity in |
| 925 | the lower crust of central Alaska. Nature Geoscience, 11(7), 536–541. doi: |
| 926 | 10.1038/s41561-018-0144-2 |
| 927 | L D Evelver E (2018) Decreative CCEP Evelvetion of 1 Day 2 |
| 928 | J. D., Eucliner, F. (2018). Prospective USEP Evaluation of 1-Day, 5- Month and 5 Vr Fortheunka Forecasta for Italy. |
| 929 | Letters $89(\Lambda)$ 1251–1261 doi: 10.1785/0220180031 |
| 930 | Uchida N (2019) Detection of repeating earthquakes and their application in char- |
| 931 | acterizing slow fault slip Progress in Earth and Planetary Science 6(1) 40 |
| 933 | doi: 10.1186/s40645-019-0284-z |
| 934 | Utsu, T., Ogata, Y., S. R., & Matsu'ura, (1995). The Centenary of the Omori For- |
| 935 | mula for a Decay Law of Aftershock Activity. Journal of Physics of the Earth, |
| 936 | 43(1), 1–33. doi: 10.4294/jpe1952.43.1 |
| 937 | Vallée, M., Nocquet, JM., Battaglia, J., Font, Y., Segovia, M., Régnier, M., |
| 938 | Chlieh, M. (2013). Intense interface seismicity triggered by a shallow slow |
| 939 | slip event in the Central Ecuador subduction zone. Journal of Geophysical |
| 940 | Research: Solid Earth, 118(6), 2965–2981. doi: 10.1002/jgrb.50216 |
| 941 | van den Ende, M. P. A., & Ampuero, JP. (2020). On the Statistical Significance of |
| 942 | Foreshock Sequences in Southern California. Geophys. Res. Lett., $47(3)$. doi: |
| 943 | 10.1029/2019GL086224 |
| 944 | Voss, N., Dixon, T. H., Liu, Z., Malservisi, R., Protti, M., & Schwartz, S. (2018). Do |
| 945 | slow slip events trigger large and great megathrust earthquakes? Science Ad- ((10) = +0.470 h $(-10, 110)$ $(-10, 110)$ |
| 946 | <i>Vances</i> , 4(10), eaat8472. doi: 10.1120/sciadv.aat8472 |
| 947 | action Algorithm: Method and Application to the Northern Hauward Fault |
| 948 | California Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America 90(6) 1353–1368 |
| 949 | doi: 10.1785/0120000006 |
| 951 | Waldhauser, F., & Ellsworth, W. L. (2010). HumoDD 1.3 [dataset]. Retrieved from |
| 952 | https://www.ldeo.columbia.edu/~felixw/hypoDD.html |
| 953 | Wdowinski, S., Bock, Y., Zhang, J., Fang, P., & Genrich, J. (1997). Southern |
| 954 | california permanent gps geodetic array: Spatial filtering of daily positions |
| 955 | for estimating coseismic and postseismic displacements induced by the 1992 |
| 956 | landers earthquake. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 102(B8), |
| 957 | 18057-18070. doi: 10.1029/97JB01378 |
| 958 | Zhang, M., Ellsworth, W. L., & Beroza, G. C. (2019a). Rapid earthquake association |
| 959 | and location. Seismological Research Letters, $90(6)$, 2276–2284. doi: 10.1785/ |
| 960 | 0220190052 |
| 961 | Zhang, M., Ellsworth, W. L., & Beroza, G. C. (2019b). <i>REAL</i> [software]. Retrieved |
| 962 | from https://github.com/Dal-mzhang/REAL |
| 963 | Zhuang, J. (2012). Long-term earthquake forecasts based on the epidemic-type af- |
| 964 | tersnock sequence (E1A5) model for short-term clustering. Kesearch in Geo- |
| 965 | <i>physics</i> , $\mathcal{L}(1)$, co-co. doi: 10.4001/19.2012.co Zhuang I Harto D Worner M I Haingl S & Zhou S (2012) Basic models of |
| 900 | seismicity: Temporal models Community Online Resource for Statistical Seis |
| 968 | micity Analysis Theme $V(1)$ |
| | |

 $_{970}$ munity Online Resource for Statistical Seismicity Analysis, Theme V(1), 34.

Zhuang, J., Werner, M. J., Hainzl, S., Harte, D., & Zhou, S. (2011). Basic models of
 seismicity: Spatiotemporal models. Community Online Resource for Statistical
 Seismicity Analysis, Theme V(1), 20.

Figure 1.









Figure 2.



b)

Figure 3.



Figure 4.



Figure 5.



Figure 6.





Figure 7.



a)

b)

Supporting Information for "Evidence of an aseismic slip continuously driving the 2017 Valparaiso earthquake sequence"

L. Moutote¹, Y. Itoh², O. Lengliné¹, Z. Duputel³ and A. Socquet²

¹Institut Terre et Environnement de Strasbourg, UMR7063, Université de Strasbourg/EOST, CNRS, Strasbourg, France.

 2 Université Grenoble Alpes, Université Savoie Mont Blanc, CNRS, IRD, ISTerre, Grenoble, France.

³Observatoire Volcanologique du Piton de la Fournaise, Université Paris Cité, Institut de Physique du Globe de Paris, CNRS,

F-75005, Paris France.

Contents of this file

- 1. Text S1 to S2 $\,$
- 2. Figures S1 to S9
- 3. Tables S1

Text S1: ETAS-I Synthetic tests To support the significance of the transient seismicity observed in the vicinity of the mainshock in section 3, we perform the same analysis over synthetic catalogs. Synthetic catalogs follow the ETASI model (as defined in the main text), but contains a transient background seismicity somewhere in time in addition to the stationary background rate μ . We generate a synthetic catalogs as follow:

1. We first draw true background events over 5-years from a stationary Poisson process of rate μ .

2. In addition to this stationary background seismicity, we add a transient background seismicity comprising 300 events after a start time T_0 . The 300 waiting times after T_0 are drawn from an exponential distribution with an expected value $\lambda = 5$ days.

3. We draw all magnitudes independently from the G-R law.

4. We generate cascade of aftershock sequences for all background events following the ETAS model (Zhuang & Touati, 2015).

5. We build the short-term incompleteness by removing events hidden by T_b (Hainzl, 2021).

The resulting catalog contains magnitude-dependent aftershocks and stationary background events consistent with our ETASI model but also 300 transient background events after T_0 . The ETASI parameters $(A, c, p, \alpha, \mu, b, T_b)$ used for the simulations of the stationary background rate and aftershock sequences are the one extracted from ValEqt catalog. We present an example of a synthetic catalog on figure S2.

We perform the same seismicity analysis described in section 3 but with the synthetic catalogs previously generated and try to recover the transient background signal we added. As for ValEqt, we first extract from the synthetic catalogs, the best-fitting parameters

of the ETASI model fixing $\alpha = \beta$. Then, thanks to the transformed time analysis, the synthetic seismicity is tested with respect to predictions. Figure S2.c-d shows that we recover a significant difference between the synthetic seismicity and the best-fitting ETASI prediction, exactly at the time of the transient background rate. We observe the same three regimes of seismicity as observed in the ValEqt analysis: A slight deficit of seismicity for the two time-periods outside of the transient and a significant excess of seismicity within the transient. Note that the number of earthquake in excess is consistent with the 300 transient events added during the simulation. This support the hypothesis that a non-stationary transient background rate can be detected by identifying breaking point in the transformed time analysis. It also shows that a transient background seismicity bias the parameter estimation of the ETASI model. The seismicity outside the transient is in deficit compared to the best-fitting ETASI parameters, even if during these range earthquakes can be fully explain the ETASI parameters extracted from ValEqt. We find that the parameter estimation of A is biased toward a higher value than the one used for the simulation. This is because the model is trying to include a maximum of the non-stationary transient events in the aftershock triggering scheme to reduce at best the seismicity excess. It increases the aftershock productivity of the best-fitting ETASI parameters at the cost of stationary times.

Text S2: MISD synthetic tests Our modified MISD model contain an additional triggering kernel expected to capture earthquakes not explained by a magnitude-dependent triggering scheme. To support our modified MISD model and test its ability to capture the a transient non-stationary seismicity at proximity to the mainshock, we perform the same analysis over synthetic catalogs. We use two sets of synthetic catalogs generated according to the ETAS model:

1. 100 synthetic catalogs containing a transient background seismicity in addition to the stationary background rate.

2. 100 synthetic catalogs with no transient seismicity.

The synthetic catalogs are generated following the method described in ETASI synthetic test section, with or without the transient after T_0 . Here, (1) tests the ability of the external triggering kernel to recover a non-stationary transient. (2) ensure that the external kernel don't capture any seismicity when there is no anomaly. For the ValEqt catalog, the start point of the external triggering kernel of the MISD model was a-priory pinned with the start of foreshock sequence to further study the transient seismicity previously highlighted the ETASI analysis. For synthetic catalogs we a-priory pin the transient start point as follow: For (1), the start point of the MISD external triggering kernel is set at the beginning of the transient, as if it was previously detected by an ETASI analysis (see ETASI synthetics test). For (2), as their is no transient, we pin the kernel start 2 days before the largest magnitude of the catalog, to mimic the settings of the Valparaiso foreshock sequence.

We present in Figure S3 the cumulative count of earthquake declustered by the MISD analysis for the 2 test sets . Declustered events include background events and those as-

sociated with the external triggering process. MISD results of the two synthetic case (1) and (2) are present in Figure S3.a and b, respectively. For (1), we shows that the declustering is recovering both stationary background events and the 300 transient background events. For (2), the external kernel do not gather any earthquakes and we only recover the stationary background rate. It shows that the external triggering kernel is only able to extract a seismicity that is not explained by a magnitude-dependent triggering process or a stationary background rate. If the catalog is fully explained by a magnitude-dependent triggering process, the external kernel rate and length reduce to zero.

References

- Hainzl, S. (2021). ETAS-Approach Accounting for Short-Term Incompleteness of Earthquake Catalogs. Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America. doi: 10.1785/ 0120210146
- Ogata, Y. (1988). Statistical Models for Earthquake Occurrences and Residual Analysis for Point Processes. Journal of the American Statistical Association, 83(401), 9–27. doi: 10.1080/01621459.1988.10478560
- Zhuang, J., & Touati, S. (2015). Stochastic simulation of earthquake catalogs. Community Online Resource for Statistical Seismicity Analysis, Theme V(1), 34.



Figure S1. Maximum likelihood estimation of the parameters of 100 synthetic catalogs following the ETASI model. Red vertical dotted lines are the true ETASI parameters used for the generation of the synthetics catalogs.

| Lable 51 | • Repeater dete | ection as function of the stress | arop used in the circular (| clack inc |
|----------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|
| | Stress Drop $\Delta \sigma$ | Number of repeater families | Total number of repeaters | - 3 |
| | 1 MPa | 353 | 1218 | - |
| | 3 MPa | 352 | 1211 | |
| | 10 MPa | 351 | 1201 | |
| | | | | |

Repeater detection as function of the stress drop used in the circular crack model Table S1





Figure S2. ETASI seismicity analysis over a synthetics catalog following the ETASI model but including a transient non-stationary background rate. (a) (Blue) Time-evolution of the cumulative number of earthquakes in the synthetic catalog. (Red) Cumulative number of the nonstationary background event in the synthetic catalog. Bottom subplot is the time and magnitude of the synthetic catalog. The bottom subplot (black dots) is the time-magnitude evolution of the ValEqt catalog. (b) Cumulative number of earthquakes observed in the synthetic catalog against the cumulative number of earthquakes predicted by the best fitting ETASI model. Blue dotted lines shows the ETASI 99th percentile confidence interval. This x-axis representation of time is knows as the transformed time analysis (Ogata, 1988). The middle subplot is the difference between the observed and expected cumulative number of earthquake in the transformed time domain. (Red) Cumulative number of the non-stationary background event in the transformed time domain. We observe a significant seismicity excess compared to the best fitting ETASI model exactly where we added the transient non-stationary background event. Bottom subplot show the magnitudes of the synthetic catalog in the transformed time domain.



Figure S3. MISD seismicity analysis over 100 synthetics catalog following the ETASI model but including a transient non-stationary background rate. (a) Cumulative number of background earthquake declustered by the MISD procedure over 100 synthetic catalog with no transient. (b) Cumulative number of background earthquake declustered by the MISD procedure over 100 synthetic catalog containing a transient background seismicity. When there is a transient, our MISD model is able to recover both stationary background events and the transient background events. When there is no transient, MISD model is only recover the stationary background events.





Figure S4. GPS site location (site names labeled). Open circles and crosses indicate sites used and not used for this study, respectively. A red dot indicates a site STAC which is a pseudo-site to represent stacked time series of TRPD and BN05 shown in the inset (See main text and Figures 7, S6, and S7 for details). Solid squares indicate sites used for common mode filter construction.



Figure S5. Example of high-rate GPS post-processing at site TRPD (Figure S4) for east (left) and north (right) components. Black dots indicate high-rate GPS coordinates fixed to South American plate reference system. Red, purple, and blue dots indicate those after multipath effects, diurnal variation, and common mode fluctuation removals, respectively (See main text for details). Orange dots indicate a common mode filter.



Figure S6. Comparison of high-rate GPS displacements and seismicity evolution before and after the 2017 Valparaiso mainshock. a) Red dots show cleaned east positions between 5 days before and after the mainshock at the two closest sites QTAY and STAC (location shown in c)). Note that STAC is a pseudo-site name assigned to stacked time series of TRPD and BN05 (See text and Figure S6 for details). Black dots at the bottom panel indicate magnitude of detected seismicity. Notable large earthquakes are marked with stars, epicenters of which are shown in c). b) Same as a) but with data between 30 days before and after the mainshock. A moving median with a window length of 24 hours is shown in blue for each site. c) Site location (red inverted triangles) and epicenters (stars with corresponding colors with a) and b)). The same figure is February 27, 2023, 4:27pm shown in Figure S9 for north displacement.



Figure S7. Same as Figure S6 but for north displacements.



Figure S8. (a) Families of repeating earthquake detected in the ValEqt catalog but using a cross-correlation window centered only on the P phase. A horizontal black line represent one family by connecting the repeating earthquake (red dots). The green and black curves is the normalized cumulative number of repeaters and ValEqt earthquakes respectively. (b) Normalized cumulative slip estimated from repeating earthquakes. (c) Times and magnitudes of ValEqt earthquakes (black dot) and repeating earthquakes (red dot). The blue star indicate the mainshock. (d, e and f) Same as (a, b and c) but zoomed in the vicinity of the mainshock time. Note that the normalized cumulative count of repeaters and ValEqt earthquake start at t=-2 days in (d).



Figure S9. Aseismic slip estimate from repeating earthquakes as function of Stress Drop (Circular Crack model). (a) Absolute slip estimate. (b) Normalized slip estimate. (c) Times and magnitudes of ValEqt earthquakes (black dot) and repeating earthquakes (red dot). The blue star indicate the mainshock.