Co-located wind and temperature observations at mid-latitudes during mesospheric inversion layer events

Alexis Mariaccia¹, Philippe Keckhut², Alain Hauchecorne³, Sergey M. Khaykin⁴, and Mathieu Ratynski¹

¹Laboratoire Atmosphères, Milieux, Observations Spatiales (LATMOS) ²LATMOS-IPSL ³CNRS-IPSL ⁴CNRS-LATMOS

February 25, 2023

Co-located wind and temperature observations at mid-latitudes during mesospheric inversion layer events

A. Mariaccia¹, P. Keckhut¹, A. Hauchecorne¹, S. Khaykin¹, and M. Ratynski¹

¹Laboratoire Atmosphères, Milieux, Observations Spatiales, UMR 8190, Institut Pierre-Simon Laplace,
 Université Versailles-Saint Quentin, Université Paris-Saclay, 78280 Guyancourt, France.

Key Points: First simultaneous wind and temperature observations in the altitude range 30-90 km during mesospheric inversion layer events. According to these new observations, there is a strong wind deceleration occurring at the same altitude that the temperature inversion. These results argue in favor of the MIL's formation mechanism involving gravity

wave dissipation.

12

Corresponding author: Alexis Mariaccia, alexis.mariaccia@latmos.ipsl.fr

13 Abstract

The mesospheric inversion layer (MIL) phenomenon is a temperature enhancement (10-14 50 K) in a vertical layer (\sim 10 km) lasting several days and spanning thousands of kilo-15 meters within the mesosphere. As MILs govern the mesospheric variability, their study 16 is crucial for a better understanding of the middle-atmosphere global circulation. MIL 17 phenomenon is also important for applications in aeronautics as perturbations in the meso-18 sphere are significant issues for the safe reentry of rockets, space shuttles, or missiles. How-19 ever, the description of this phenomenon remains incomplete, since no observations of 20 MIL's effects on winds exist, hampering an understanding of the mechanisms responsi-21 ble for their formation. This study investigates simultaneous wind-temperature obser-22 vations in the altitude range of 30-90 km during MIL events. Strong winds deceleration 23 occurred in the same altitude range as the temperature inversion, confirming the role of 24 gravity waves in MIL's formation mechanisms. 25

²⁶ Plain Language Summary

Atmospheric waves propagate from the lower to upper layers, transferring their en-27 ergy throughout the atmosphere. The mesosphere (50-90 km) is subject to these energy 28 transfers, causing unexpected temperature increases (10-50 K) over a vertical layer (~ 10 29 km). These deviations are called mesospheric inversion layers (MILs). Though largely 30 observed in temperature profiles, the MIL phenomenon remains misunderstood, as MIL's 31 32 impacts on the wind in the middle atmosphere remain unknown. In this study, we first reported simultaneous wind-temperature observations between 30 and 90 km during MIL 33 events. We observed a strong wind deceleration in the same altitude range where the tem-34 perature increases. This result argues in favor of the role of gravity waves in MIL's for-35 mation mechanisms. 36

37 1 Introduction

The mesosphere (50-90 km) is a substantial layer of the atmosphere where large 38 and small-scale perturbations occur. These perturbations are caused by the propagation 39 and breaking of atmospheric tides and waves from sources above and below, inducing 40 deviations from its natural thermal structure. The so-called Mesospheric Inversion Layer 41 (MIL) phenomenon is an especially significant perturbation that is now recognized to 42 be responsible for a large part of the mesospheric variability. Moreover, MILs have gar-43 nered interest among researchers, since mesospheric perturbations are significant issues 44 for applications in aeronautics, in particular the safe reentry of space shuttles and mis-45 siles (Wing et al., 2020). Indeed, since the first MIL phenomenon's signatures observed 46 by rockets (e.g., Stroud et al., 1960; Theon et al., 1967; Schmidlin, 1976) that reported 47 a non-expected positive lapse rate in the mesosphere, researchers have carried out nu-48 merous studies of MIL events (e.g., Leblanc & Hauchecorne, 1997; Gan et al., 2012; Dao 49 et al., 1995; Duck et al., 2001; Leblanc et al., 1995; Cutler et al., 2001). An important 50 review of the knowledge state on the MIL phenomenon has been carried out by Meriwether 51 and Gardner (2000). The MIL phenomenon (henceforth referred to as simply MIL) is 52 defined as a layer of about 10 km with enhanced temperature between 15 and 50 K, span-53 ning over a thousand square kilometers over several days. MILs are currently known to 54 occur quite often at low to mid-latitudes, preferentially in winter, and have been sep-55 arated into two subtypes: the lower MIL, occurring between 65 and 80 km, especially 56 in winter, and the upper MIL, occurring above 85 km. Different mechanisms have been 57 suggested to explain their formation, such as planetary waves dissipation (Salby et al., 58 2002; France et al., 2015), gravity waves and tides interaction (Liu & Hagan, 1998; Meri-59 wether & Gardner, 2000), and chemical heating (Meriwether & Mlynczak, 1995; Ramesh 60 et al., 2013); however, these mechanisms remain not entirely described and are still an 61 active research field. In particular, the wind behavior in the middle atmosphere (30-90 62

km) when a MIL event occurs remains an unanswered question, even though several stud-63 ies have suggested its significant role in the MIL appearance (Meriwether & Gerrard, 2004). 64 For instance, Hauchecorne et al. (1987) estimated the role of gravity wave dissipation 65 in the MIL's persistence, and showed that this process strongly depends on the temper-66 ature and the background wind. Salby et al. (2002) and Sassi et al. (2002)cfocused on 67 the mechanism of MIL creation and revealed with simulations that the planetary wave 68 breaking is supposed to occur in the same altitude range of a weak zonal wind region. 69 The wind behavior during MIL events is an essential component of understanding the 70 MIL phenomenon and, more broadly, the impacts on general middle atmosphere circu-71 lation. Although some studies have reported simultaneous wind-temperature observa-72 tions in the middle atmosphere (e.g., Stroud et al., 1960; Theon et al., 1967; Baumgarten, 73 2010), most of them did not focus on the MIL phenomenon. Furthermore, some of these 74 studies have detected MILs without knowing the phenomenon. For instance, Stroud et 75 al. (1960) was unaware of the MIL phenomenon yet reported a temperature inversion 76 at 80 km with strong wind shear at the same altitude without giving any explanation 77 to this observed behavior. 78

Despite this supposed role, only two studies Huang et al. (1998, 2002) have reported simultaneous zonal wind and temperature observations from Na LiDAR in the altitude range 85-100 km in which a large wind shear associated with a MIL was detected. However, this incomplete description of the wind signature at upper MIL altitudes is insufficient for determining the entire shear profile and studying how gravity waves propagate from the stratosphere to the thermosphere (Le Du et al., 2022).

To date, all the theoretical and modeled wind behavior assumptions in the mid-85 dle atmosphere during a MIL event have never been confirmed due to the absence of ac-86 curate co-located and simultaneous temperature and wind measurements with the for-87 mer instruments (Meriwether & Gerrard, 2004). To our knowledge, the DYANA cam-88 paign, which took place in the northern hemisphere in 1990, is one the only during which 89 Rayleigh LiDAR and falling spheres simultaneously measured temperature and wind, re-90 spectively, in the whole middle atmosphere. However, the characteristics of the MILs ob-91 served during this campaign were not studied, as this was not one of the main objectives. 92 In addition, the falling sphere profiles suffer from significant smoothing and bias (see Fig. 93 1) due to the large speed of the payload in the mesosphere, making this technique not 94 enough reliable (Lübken et al., 1994). Since then, remote sensing techniques have been 95 developed, particularly with the rise of the Doppler Rayleigh LiDAR technology capa-96 ble of accurately measuring the temperature and wind in the atmospheric window of 30-97 90 km. Doppler LiDAR currently operates at the Observatoire of Haute-Provence (OHP) as well as Rayleigh LiDAR and Ozone LiDAR. The latter two LiDAR measuring the tem-99 perature and monitoring the ozone, respectively, making the OHP one of the rare sta-100 tion in the world where co-located and simultaneous wind-temperature observations in 101 the middle-atmosphere are possible. 102

Our study aims to answer how the winds evolve during MIL events by providing 103 the first time simultaneous temperature and wind observations in the altitude range of 104 30-90 km. The two observation data sets used here were acquired at Biscarrosse during 105 the DYANA campaign in 1990 and at the OHP, located 550 km apart, in 2021/2022 win-106 ter. Biscarrosse and OHP stations exhibit a similar mesospheric climatology (Hauchecorne 107 et al., 1991), making them well-situated for investigating the MIL's signature at both 108 sites. Additionally, we explore how ERA5 reanalyses simulated the wind and temper-109 ature during MIL events. 110

The publication is structured as follows. In Section 2, the data set from DYANA and Aeolus Validation campaigns as well as ERA5 reanalyses are presented. Then, the method to identify and to characterize MIL events is described in Section 3. The temperaturewind observations for each selected date with MIL events are shown and commented in Section 4. Finally, mechanisms responsible for lower MILs are discussed, and perspectives are given in Section 5.

117 **2** Data description

118

2.1 The DYANA Campaign: Rayleigh LiDAR and Falling Spheres

The DYANA (DYnamics Adapted Network for the Atmosphere) campaign was con-119 ducted in the northern hemisphere over a large horizontal area from January to March 120 1990 in order to explore the middle atmosphere dynamics (10-100 km). This campaign 121 was designed to improve the lack of horizontal coverage missing during previous cam-122 paigns. The main dynamical objectives were to study the large, medium, and small-scale 123 variations generated by planetary waves, gravity waves, tides, and turbulence. Another 124 aim was to inter-compare measurements in order to cross-check experimental methods. 125 Thus, several techniques were employed during these three months to measure temper-126 ature and density from multiple ground-based stations. The set of these techniques with 127 their monitored height range was: rocket bornes (90-115 km), falling spheres (30-90 km), 128 Rayleigh LiDAR (30-90 km), sodium LiDAR (80-105 km), data sondes (25-65 km) and 129 radiosondes (0-32 km). These different instruments occasionally carried out coordinated 130 temperature and density measurements at the exact location and approximately the same 131 periods (about 1h) to perform inter-comparisons. For instance, the station based in south-132 west France at Biscarrosse (44°N-1°W) benefited from simultaneous observations from 133 Rayleigh LiDAR and falling spheres. During the campaign, falling spheres were released 134 at about 110 km altitude to obtain density, temperature, and wind profiles in the mid-135 dle atmosphere. A detailed description of the falling sphere technique can be found in 136 Engler (1965) and Jones and Peterson (1968). At the ground, a Rayleigh LiDAR mea-137 sured the density profile by counting the number of photons from which the tempera-138 ture was inferred by assuming hydrostatic equilibrium in the 30-90 km range, where a 139 pure molecular backscattering is expected. The vertical resolution of LiDAR tempera-140 ture profiles is typically 200 m. The Rayleigh Lidar method and the technical informa-141 tion about the LiDAR located at Biscarrosse have been described in Hauchecorne et al. 142 (1991). The complete description of the DYANA campaign and its objectives have been 143 reported in Offermann (1994). The presentation of each instrumental technique and the 144 inter-comparison results are shown in Lübken et al. (1994). In the measurements data 145 set carried out at Biscarrosse in 1990, eight dates of co-located and simultaneous temperature-146 wind observations are available. 147

148

2.2 Aeolus Validation Campaign: OHP LiDARs

In August 2018, in the frame of the Living Planet Program, the Aeolus satellite 149 was launched by the European Space Agency in order to provide global wind profiles from 150 the surface to 30 km for a three years period (Straume, A.G. et al., 2020). The Aeolus 151 satellite measures horizontal line-of-sight winds with a Doppler wind LiDAR named AL-152 ADIN (Atmospheric LAser Doppler Instrument), which is the first-ever Doppler Wind 153 LiDAR embarked on a satellite. In the meantime and in order to assess and validate Ae-154 olus wind observations, ground-based Doppler LiDAR observations within the AboVE-155 2 (Aeolus Validation Experiment) were undertaken at the Observatory of Haute-Provence 156 (OHP, 44°N, 6°E) (Ratynski et al., 2022). Moreover, the double-edge technique for wind 157 profiling, first demonstrated at OHP (Chanin et al., 1989; Garnier et al., 1992), is re-158 alized in ALADIN Rayleigh channel. Several co-located LiDARs have been monitoring 159 the middle atmosphere at the OHP within the Network for the Detection of Composi-160 tion Changes (NDACC) for decades. Since 1993, a LIOvent Doppler LiDAR has been 161 measuring the wind velocities at OHP, providing the first lidar-based wind climatology 162 in the middle atmosphere (Souprayen et al., 1999). The principle, using the Rayleigh backscat-163 tering at 532 nm, is based on the Doppler shift between the emitted and the backscat-164

tered laser light caused by the displacement of scattering molecules relative to the LiDAR. The detection of Doppler shift is performed employing a double-edge Fapbry-Perot
interferometer. The complete description of the Doppler LiDAR's technique and the instrument design at OHP has been reported in Chanin et al. (1989) and more recently
in Khaykin et al. (2020).

Finally, an Ozone LiDAR has been monitoring the ozone as part of the Network 170 for the Detection of Stratospheric Changes. The Ozone LiDAR's principle rests on the 171 differential absorption LiDAR technique requiring the emission of two simultaneous laser 172 173 wavelengths, 308 (absorbing) and 355 (non-absorbing) nm here, with differential absorption by ozone to provide its vertical profile. The method and the technical information 174 about the Ozone LiDAR at OHP have been described in several studies (e.g., Godin-Beekmann 175 et al., 2003; Wing et al., 2018). Thus, in order to perform simultaneous wind and tem-176 perature measurements at OHP, the temperature observations can also be derived by 177 the Ozone LiDAR in off mode by using only the non-absorbing channel (355 nm). There-178 fore, in addition to the DYANA campaign dataset, we benefited from 44 dates of simul-179 taneous observations of temperature and wind carried out at the OHP from 2018 to 2022. 180

2.3 ERA5 Reanalyses

181

199

200

201

202

The ERA5 reanalyses are the last generation of reanalyses, archiving the past cli-182 mate on earth from 1950 to the present, produced by the ECMWF (European Center 183 Medium for Weather Forecast) since 2016. These ERA5 reanalyses are produced with 184 a 4DVar assimilation scheme and the integrated system forecast Cycle 41r2. The ERA5 185 output is constructed every hour on a 0.25° latitude-longitude grid and 137 vertical lev-186 els lying from the surface to the level pressure 0.01hPa (approximately 80 km). More 187 technical information about ERA5 reanalyses can be found in Hersbach et al. (2020). 188 Here, in order to pursue investigations on how the ECMWF model simulates the MIL 189 phenomenon already undertaken in Mariaccia et al. (2022), ERA5 wind and tempera-190 ture reanalyses are extracted at the nearest hour of the mid of acquisitions for the six 191 dates shown above Biscarrosse and the OHP (Fig. 1 and 2). 192

¹⁹³ 3 Method for identifying and characterizing MILs

Here, in order to identify MIL events within the temperature profiles, we followed the method developed by Leblanc and Hauchecorne (1997) and Fechine et al. (2008), which has been applied in numerous previous studies (e.g., Cutler et al., 2001; Leblanc et al., 1998; Ardalan et al., 2022). According to them, a MIL is identified when these three criteria are observed:

- The MIL bottom must be at least 5 km above the stratopause and the MIL top below 90 km.
 - The temperature perturbation must be significant relative to the measurement uncertainty, i.e., Terr < ΔT .
- Finally, the temperature amplitude must be 2σ larger than the temperature fluctuations expected by gravity waves at these altitudes. According to Mzé et al. (2014), gravity waves are expected to generate perturbations of 1.6 K at 50 km and 4 K at 75 km.

Afterward, we characterized each observed MIL by computing their amplitude, thickness, and gradient similarly to the method developed in Figure 2 in Ardalan et al. (2022). Thus, for each observed temperature profile, our algorithm identified two altitudes: the altitude of the bottom MIL from which the temperature gradient reverses and the altitude of the top MIL where the temperature maximum is reached. These two altitudes are pointed out with horizontal solid lines in Figures 1 and 2 which delimit the observed MIL's altitude range (ΔZ_{MIL}). Finally, the altitude corresponding to the potential ex-

tension of the temperature anomaly is determined when the temperature profile returns

to the standard climatology, which is arbitrarily determined. Thus, amplitudes of tem-

perature increase (Δ T) within the MIL is computed over the Δ Z_{MIL} thickness (Fig. 1) for each profile. Zonal (Δ U) and meridional (Δ V) wind deviations are computed over

the thickness ΔZ_{MIL} since the reversal of temperature gradients remains a better indi-

cator than a wind drop to identify MIL's signature.

220 4 Results

221

4.1 DYANA campaign in Biscarrosse

As a result, only two dates in the data from the DYANA campaign possess lower 222 MIL presences which are exploitable. Figure 1 shows temperature zonal and meridional 223 wind profiles measured by LiDAR and falling spheres. Simulated temperature profiles 224 are provided by ERA5 for these two cases in the middle atmosphere during which lower 225 MILs were present. According to these profiles, it is evident that a connection exists be-226 tween the temperature and wind evolutions, i.e., a wind deceleration occurs when the 227 temperature increases, sometimes leading to a wind reversal for both meridional and zonal 228 winds. Moreover, this wind deceleration tends to start at an altitude around the alti-229 tude where the temperature inversion starts. For instance, on 18 Jan 1990, the observed 230 MIL illustrates well this temperature-wind connection with a temperature increase of 231 13.6 ± 0.8 K, causing the deceleration of the total wind lying from 92 m.s⁻¹ to 12 m.s⁻¹. 232 While for the MIL observed on 5 Feb 1990, a total wind deceleration of around 14 m.s^{-1} 233 is found for a temperature elevation of 24.4 ± 3 K. Thus, the magnitude of the wind de-234 celeration is not necessarily linearly linked with the temperature amplitude of the MIL. 235 However, these wind deceleration values possess uncertainties since they are computed 236 on the vertical domain where the positive temperature gradient is observed and not in 237 the altitude range where the wind drop occurs. Nevertheless, this method captures the 238 wind deceleration process during a MIL phenomenon. 239

The temperature measured by the falling sphere is compared with the collocated 240 LiDAR temperature profile for the same dates. As a result, falling spheres' temperatures 241 are systematically lower than LiDAR's temperatures between 65 and 70-75 km for the 242 two dates. Lübken et al. (1994) have reported that this difference is about 5 K between 243 65 and 77 km and is mainly due to drag uncertainty associated with the sphere descent 244 that has a significant impact during the transition from super to sub-sonic at these al-245 titudes. Thus, on 18 Jan 1990, the LiDAR detected the MIL bottom at around 65 km, 246 while the falling sphere temperature profiles exhibit the MIL bottom higher near 68 km. 247 However, the bottom of the MIL observed by the LiDAR corresponds better to the al-248 titude where zonal and meridional winds start to decrease. Furthermore, the temper-249 ature profile from the falling spheres possesses a noise not realistic between 30 and 40 250 km, caused by an effect of vertical winds (Lübken et al., 1994), absent in the LiDAR pro-251 file. Therefore, to characterize mesospheric inversions with minimum uncertainty, only 252 the temperature profiles acquired from Rayleigh LiDAR during the DYANA campaign 253 are used to compute MIL's temperature amplitudes. 254

However, we notice that the ERA5 reanalyses imprecisely simulated the magnitude,
thickness, and altitude of the temperature inversion for these two dates. Surprisingly,
for both dates, the zonal and meridional winds deceleration processes associated with
the MIL are simulated with realistic magnitudes in ERA5 but starting at lower altitudes
than in spheres' observations.



DYANA Campaign. The statistical noise (red shaded area) of the LiDAR temperature signal is displayed. The two horizontal black solid lines indicate, respectively, temperature anomaly (ΔZ_{Ano}). The black cross points out the bottom of the MIL measured from falling spheres. In addition, the ERA5 temperature-wind profiles the derived bottom and top of the MIL detected by the Rayleigh LiDAR. The horizontal dashed line represents the altitude of the potential total extension of the Figure 1. Temperature and wind profiles measured at Biscarrosse from falling spheres and Rayleigh LiDAR between 30 and 90 km for two dates during the extracted for each date are shown.

4.2 AboVE-2 campaign at OHP

After applying the MIL identification method to the 44 available temperature profiles, we found only four cases during 2021/2022 winter in which a lower MIL was identifiable.

The main reason for these few identified MIL events is the challenge of measuring 264 wind in the mesosphere due to the dependence on sky transparency. Furthermore, many 265 actual wind observations possess weak signals, limiting the accurate detection of lower 266 MILs during this period. For the recent observations, only zonal wind measurements were 267 performed by the Doppler LiDAR during 2021/2022 winter to facilitate the inter-comparisons 268 with the collocated Aeolus observations, which measures essentially the zonal compo-269 nent of winds. Nevertheless, as the zonal wind is often more significant than the merid-270 ional wind in the mesosphere by a factor of 10, we supposed that a zonal wind reduc-271 tion implies very likely a total wind deceleration. 272

Figure 2 shows temperature and zonal wind profiles observed above the OHP for 273 these four dates in the mesosphere where lower MILs were detected. Similar zonal wind 274 deceleration behavior, as observed in Figure 1, is found within the lower MILs. In ad-275 dition, the altitudes at which the temperature increases match well with those where the 276 zonal wind starts to decelerate, similar to previous observations (Fig. 1), confirming the 277 temperature-wind connection. Afterward, we computed the MIL's characteristics by fol-278 lowing the method described in section 3 for these four MIL events. For instance, on 3 279 Dec 2021, the MIL detected was characterized by a temperature elevation of 11.1 ± 3.9 280 K associated with a zonal wind deceleration of $43.3 \pm 17 \text{ m.s}^{-1}$. However, according to 281 the wind observations, the zonal wind dropped over a larger altitude range than the one 282 where the temperature increased. Therefore, this computed zonal wind fluctuation is lower 283 than the one observed, which is, in reality, around 150 m.s^{-1} (Fig. 2). Consequently, these 284 computed zonal wind amplitudes possess uncertainties due to the employed method. These 285 results illustrate the Doppler LiDAR's capacity to capture strong wind fluctuations over 286 narrow layers. For instance, on 6 Dec 2021, a zonal wind deceleration of 105.5 ± 57.5 287 ${\rm m.s^{-1}}$ associated with a temperature elevation of 29.5 \pm 19.2 K are computed over a layer 288 of 1.65 km. Finally, the MIL events on 12 Dec 2021 and 31 Jan 2020, respectively, pos-289 sess temperature elevations of 6.5 ± 2.9 K and 46.8 ± 14.3 K associated with zonal wind 290 decelerations of $34.3 \pm 10.1 \text{ m.s}^{-1}$ and $59.4 \pm 68.7 \text{ m.s}^{-1}$. These computed values con-291 firm, unlike those above Biscarrosse, that large temperature amplitudes within MILs tend 292 to be directly related to substantial wind deceleration. Thus, over these six MIL events, 293 we found a mean temperature gradient of 7.5 $K.km^{-1}$ associated with a mean zonal wind 294 deceleration gradient of 21 m.s^{-1} .km⁻¹. 295

On 3 Dec 2021, a second MIL was present at 75 km in the temperature profile, but the altitude range of the wind observations at this altitude does not allow to derive wind deceleration of this MIL. Despite this uncertainty, the Doppler LiDAR technique is an excellent instrument for documenting MIL's effects on winds. Finally, unlike the two MILs above Biscarrosse, ERA5 temperature and wind reanalyses did not reproduce MILs' presence for these four dates above the OHP.

5 Discussion and perspectives on mechanisms responsible for lower MILs

From the above results concerning co-located temperature and wind observations in the mesosphere during MIL events (Figures 1 and 2), we can determine that MIL's formation involved systematic wind drops within the altitude range where the temperature increases. Unfortunately, these results are insufficient to precisely determine how the zonal wind deceleration magnitude varies with a specific temperature increase. Indeed, the computed amplitudes possess several uncertainties, such as the possibility of





observations carried out outside the MIL center and the presence of other geophysical
 processes. Moreover, the method employed here to characterize MILs is better suited for
 capturing temperature amplitudes than for capturing wind shears within MILs. Con sequently, further simultaneous temperature-wind observations are necessary to quantify the wind-temperature interconnection accurately.

Among the reported existing mechanisms, the observed connection between tem-315 perature and wind supports the theory of MIL's formation mechanism, firstly introduced 316 by Hauchecorne et al. (1987), which is based on breaking gravity waves inside a thin layer. 317 318 Since the zonal wind is westerly at all altitudes from the troposphere to the mesosphere in winter, only gravity waves with a westward phase can propagate up to the mesosphere 319 and break at a critical layer where the phase speed becomes close to the background wind 320 (Lindzen, 1981). When a gravity wave breaks and dissipates, the associated momentum 321 transfer decreases the zonal wind above the mesospheric jet, generating turbulence. This 322 turbulence then produces downward vertical heat flux from the upper layer, which gen-323 erates adiabatic warming responsible for temperature inversion. Thus, this generated tur-324 bulence layer favors a continuous breaking of gravity waves which can sustain a temper-325 ature inversion layer of tens of kelvins for several days. These perturbations that occur 326 during a MIL event are illustrated in Figure 7 in Hauchecorne et al. (1987), with a schematic 327 representation of the vertical profiles of the mean temperature and the mean zonal wind 328 matching the observations reported in this article. Finally, the results found in Hauchecorne 329 and Maillard (1990), who have simulated a temperature inversion with a 2D model that 330 implies a wind drop by the breaking of gravity waves inside a thin layer, support the no-331 tion that this mechanism is essential in MIL's formation. 332

The research done by (Sassi et al., 2002) further supports this idea. The authors 333 simulated a lower MIL events between 70 and 80 km at mid-latitudes with the break-334 ing of planetary waves, which generates warming in the upper stratosphere and cooling 335 in the lower mesosphere favorable towards MIL's appearance. Their analysis shows that 336 such lower MIL events occur in a weak westward wind region produced by the deposi-337 tion of momentum from westward gravity waves known to occur above 70 km (Mzé et 338 al., 2014). Additionally, when they remove the gravity wave activity in their model, the 339 positive temperature lapse rate created in the mesosphere disappears, confirming the cru-340 cial role of gravity waves in the lower MIL's formation and persistence. 341

Figure 1 shows that the ERA5 reanalyses are sometimes able to simulate the wind 342 deceleration phenomenon with similar magnitude to the observations reported here, whereas 343 the temperature inversion is nearly overlooked. Nevertheless, for most cases, particularly 344 over the OHP, Figure 2 shows that ERA5 reanalyses did not capture temperature and 345 wind fluctuations in the mesosphere during MIL events. As already discussed in Mariaccia 346 et al. (2022), the coarse vertical resolution of the model at these altitudes prevent the 347 simulation of such fluctuations. The authors also mention that, the bad representation 348 of the mesosphere is enhanced by the lack of assimilated observation by the model at these 349 altitudes. Furthermore, the sponge layer implemented in the model probably damps the 350 gravity wave energy propagation up to the mesosphere which is necessary for MIL's ap-351 parition and sustainability. The realistic MIL characteristics statistics simulated by the 352 Whole Atmosphere Community Climate Model (WACCM), which benefit from a bet-353 ter vertical resolution in the mesosphere than ERA5 (France et al., 2015), suggests that 354 the resolution improvement is the first crucial step in the MIL's simulation achievement. 355 Thus, the new results given above suggest that MIL's formation mechanisms should be 356 considered as a first lead to pursue the elaboration of an accurate theory on the lower 357 MIL's apparition. Future investigations are necessary to test how the energy transfer from 358 gravity wave dissipation in the mesosphere can create background wind drops and tem-359 perature increases as those reported here. The elaboration of a new 3-D mechanistic model, 360 in the same manner, that the one developed by Hauchecorne and Maillard (1990) should 361

be pursued, but with a better vertical resolution to simulate temperature inversions by reducing locally wind.

However, the instrumental error associated with the Rayleigh LiDAR grows less 364 quickly than for the Ozone LiDAR. This result is expected, as the Ozone LiDAR was 365 not designed for measuring temperature. Similarly, the Doppler LiDAR observations still 366 suffer from large instrumental errors in the higher mesosphere impacting the study of 367 MIL's effects on zonal wind. Therefore, in order to improve our description of the MIL 368 phenomenon, more wind observations performed by LIOwind Doppler LiDAR with merid-369 370 ional winds are required in addition to temperature measurements to benefit more extensive statistics of simultaneous wind temperature. Furthermore, the improvement of 371 this technique to reduce instrumental errors in the upper mesosphere should be pursued. 372 Finally, the development of technical instruments capable of measuring the turbulence 373 generated by gravity waves within MILs should be undertaken (Hauchecorne et al., 2016). 374

375 Open Research

The OHP ground-based lidar data can be obtained via NDACC lidar database https:// ndacc.larc.nasa.gov/. The indications to download the ERA-5 data over 137 levels are given on the ECWMF website https://confluence.ecmwf.int/display/CKB/How+ to+download+ERA5.

380 Acknowledgments

We gratefully thank the personnel of Station Gerard Megie at OHP (Frederic Gomez, 381 Francois Dolon, Francois Huppert and others) for conducting the lidar observations. The 382 work related to Aeolus validation has been performed in the frame of Aeolus Scientific 383 Calibration & Validation Team (ACVT) activities under support of CNES Aeolus project. 384 The temperature measurements have been obtained as part of as part of the Network 385 for the Detection of Atmospheric Composition Change (NDACC). The falling sphere data 386 as well as the lidar data from Centre d'Essai des Landes (CEL) have been acquired in 387 the frame of the DYANA camapign implying Direction Générale de l'Armement (DGA). 388 This work was performed within the framework of the European ARISE project and was 389 funded by the French Educational Ministry with EUR IPSL. 390

391 References

- Ardalan, M., Keckhut, P., Hauchecorne, A., Wing, R., Meftah, M., & Farhani, G.
 (2022). Updated climatology of mesospheric temperature inversions detected by rayleigh lidar above observatoire de haute provence, france, using a k-mean clustering technique. Atmosphere, 13(5). doi: 10.3390/atmos13050814
- Baumgarten, G. (2010). Doppler rayleigh/mie/raman lidar for wind and tempera ture measurements in the middle atmosphere up to 80 km. Atmospheric Mea surement Techniques, 3(6), 1509–1518. doi: 10.5194/amt-3-1509-2010
- Chanin, M.-L., Garnier, A., Hauchecorne, A., & Porteneuve, J. (1989). A doppler
 lidar for measuring winds in the middle atmosphere. *Geophysical research letters*, 16(11), 1273–1276.
- Cutler, L. J., Collins, R. L., Mizutani, K., & Itabe, T. (2001). Rayleigh lidar observations of mesospheric inversion layers at poker flat, alaska (65 n, 147 w). Geophysical research letters, 28(8), 1467–1470.
- Dao, P. D., Farley, R., Tao, X., & Gardner, C. S. (1995). Lidar observations of the
 temperature profile between 25 and 103 km: Evidence of strong tidal perturba tion. Geophysical research letters, 22(20), 2825–2828.
- ⁴⁰⁸ Duck, T. J., Sipler, D. P., Salah, J. E., & Meriwether, J. W. (2001). Rayleigh lidar ⁴⁰⁹ observations of a mesospheric inversion layer during night and day. *Geophysical*

410	Research Letters, 28(18), 3597–3600.
411	Engler, N. A. (1965). Development of methods to determine winds, density, pressure,
412	and temperature from the robin falling balloon. (Tech. Rep.). DAYTON UNIV
413	OHIO RESEARCH INST.
414	Fechine, J., Wrasse, C., Takahashi, H., Mlvnczak, M., & Russell, J. (2008). Lower-
415	mesospheric inversion layers over brazilian equatorial region using timed/saber
416	temperature profiles. Advances in Space Research, 41(9), 1447-1453. doi:
417	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asr.2007.04.070
418	France J Harvey V Bandall C Collins B Smith A Peck E & Fang X
419	(2015). A climatology of planetary wave-driven mesospheric inversion layers
420	in the extratropical winter. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres.
421	120(2), 399-413.
422	Gan O Zhang S D & Yi F (2012) Timed/saber observations of lower meso-
422	spheric inversion layers at low and middle latitudes <u>Journal of Geophysical</u>
423	Research: Atmospheres 117(D7)
424	Carnier A Chanin M I Hauchecorne A k Portonouvo I C (1002) Laser
425	device for measuring wind sneeds at medium altitudes by using a domaler effect
426	(US Potont 5 088 815)
427	Codin Poolmann, C. Dortanouro, I. & Carnier, A. (2002). Systematic dial lider.
428	monitoring of the strategraphic even vertical distribution at observatoire de
429	house provenes $(42.02 \text{ p}, 5.71 \text{ s})$. Learnal of environmental Monitoring $(5(1))$
430	$\begin{array}{c} \text{faute-provence (45.92 fr, 5.11 e).} \\ 57 67 \end{array}$
431	$J_{1}=0$.
432	the middle atmospheric temperature (22, 87 km) as seen by rayleigh lider over
433	the middle atmospheric temperature $(35-87 \text{ km})$ as seen by rayleign idar over the south of france. Learned of Coophysical Research: Atmospheres, $\theta(DS)$
434	15207 15200
435	10297-10009.
436	Hauchecorne, A., Chanin, M. L., & Wilson, R. (1987). Mesospheric temperature
437	inversion and gravity wave breaking. Geophysical Research Letters, 14(9), 953-
438	950. doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/GL014009p00955
439	Hauchecorne, A., Cot, C., Dalaudier, F., Porteneuve, J., Gaudo, I., Wilson, R.,
440	Besson, C. (2010, May). Tentative detection of clear-air turbulence us-
441	from https://ann.antice.com/ac/abstract.ofr20015), 5420-5428. Retrieved
442	10 1264/AO = 002420
443	10.1304 / AO.050.000420
444	Hauchecorne, A., & Malliard, A. (1990). A 2-d dynamical model of mesospheric
445	temperature inversions in winter. Geophysical Research Letters, 17(12), 2197-
446	2200. doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/GL01/1012p02197
447	Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berristord, P., Hirahara, S., Horanyi, A., Munoz-Sabater, J.,
448	others (2020). The erab global reanalysis. Quarterly Journal of the Royal M_{1} = h_{1}^{-1} = $h_{1}^$
449	Meteorological Society, 140(130), 1999–2049.
450	Huang, IY., Hickey, M. P., Tuan, TF., Dewan, E. M., & Picard, R. H. (2002).
451	Further investigations of a mesospheric inversion layer observed in the aloha-93 $f(D) = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{1}{2$
452	campaign. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 107(D19), ACL
453	17-1-ACL 17-8. doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JD001186
454	Huang, T. Y., Hur, H., Tuan, T. F., Li, X., Dewan, E. M., & Picard, R. H. (1998).
455	Sudden narrow temperature-inversion-layer formation in aloha-93 as a critical-
456	layer-interaction phenomenon. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres,
457	103(Db), 6323-6332. doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/97JD03076
458	Jones, L. M., & Peterson, J. W. (1968). Falling sphere measurements, 30 to 120 km.
459	In R. S. Quiroz (Ed.), Meteorological investigations of the upper atmosphere:
460	Proceedings of the american meteorological society symposium on meteorolog-
461	ical investigations above 70 kilometers, miami beach, florida, 31 may-2 june
462	1967 (pp. 176–189). Boston, MA: American Meteorological Society. doi:
463	10.1007/978-1-935704-37-9_21
464	Khaykin, S. M., Hauchecorne, A., Wing, R., Keckhut, P., Godin-Beekmann, S.,

465	Porteneuve, J., Schmitt, J. (2020). Doppler lidar at observatoire de haute-
466	provence for wind profiling up to 75 km altitude: performance evaluation and
467	observations. Atmospheric Measurement Techniques, 13(3), 1501–1516. doi:
468	10.5194/amt-13-1501-2020
469	Leblanc, T., & Hauchecorne, A. (1997). Recent observations of mesospheric tem-
470	perature inversions. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 102(D16),
471	19471 - 19482.
472	Leblanc, T., Hauchecorne, A., Chanin, ML., Rodgers, C., Taylor, F., & Livesey, N.
473	(1995). Mesospheric temperature inversions as seen by isams in december 1991.
474	Geophysical research letters, 22(12), 1485–1488.
475	Leblanc, T., McDermid, I. S., Keckhut, P., Hauchecorne, A., She, C. Y., &
476	Krueger, D. A. (1998). Temperature climatology of the middle atmosphere
477	from long-term lidar measurements at middle and low latitudes. Jour-
478	nal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 103(D14), 17191-17204. doi:
479	https://doi.org/10.1029/98JD01347
480	Le Du, T., Keckhut, P., Hauchecorne, A., & Simoneau, P. (2022). Observation of
481	gravity wave vertical propagation through a mesospheric inversion layer. Atmo-
482	<i>sphere</i> , 13(7). Retrieved from https://www.mdpi.com/2073-4433/13/7/1003
483	doi: $10.3390/atmos13071003$
484	Lindzen, R. S. (1981). Turbulence and stress owing to gravity wave and tidal break-
485	down. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 86(C10), 9707–9714.
486	Liu, HL., & Hagan, M. E. (1998). Local heating/cooling of the mesosphere due to
487	gravity wave and tidal coupling. Geophysical Research Letters, $25(15)$, 2941–
488	2944.
489	Lübken, FJ., Hillert, W., Lehmacher, G., Zahn, U., Bittner, M., Offermann, D.,
490	Czechowsky, P. (1994). Intercomparison of density and temperature
491	profiles obtained by lidar, ionization gauges, falling spheres, datasondes and
492	radiosondes during the dyana campaign. Journal of Atmospheric and Ter-
493	restrial Physics, $56(13)$, 1969-1984. (Dynamic Adapted Network for the the
494	Atmosphere) doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-9169(94)90023-X
495	Mariaccia, A., Keckhut, P., Hauchecorne, A., Claud, C., Le Pichon, A., Meftah, M.,
496	& Khaykin, S. (2022). Assessment of era-5 temperature variability in the
497	middle atmosphere using rayleigh lidar measurements between 2005 and 2020.
498	Atmosphere, 13(2), 242.
499	Meriwether, J. W., & Gardner, C. S. (2000). A review of the mesosphere inversion
500	layer phenomenon. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 105(D10),
501	12405-12416.
502	Meriwether, J. W., & Gerrard, A. J. (2004). Mesosphere inversion layers and strato-
503	sphere temperature enhancements. <i>Reviews of Geophysics</i> , 42(3). doi: https://
504	$\frac{\text{dol.org}}{10.1029}/2003\text{RG000133}$
505	Meriwether, J. W., & Miynczak, M. G. (1995). Is chemical neating a major cause
506	of the mesosphere inversion layer: Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmo-
507	Spheres, 100 (D1), 1379–1367. Maá N. Haushasama A. Kashbut D. & Thátia M. (2014). Vertical distribution of
508	mze, N., Hauchecome, A., Kecknut, F., & Thetis, M. (2014). Vertical distribution of
509	middle latitude site <u>lowrnal of Coonducted Research</u> : Atmospheres 110(21)
510	12 069-12 083 doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/2014 ID022035
511	Offermann D (1994) The dyana campaign: a survey Lowred of Atmospheric and
512	Terrestrial Physics 56(13) 1630-1657 (Dynamic Adapted Network for the the
514	Atmosphere) doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-0160/04)00002-7
514	Ramesh K Sridharan S & Vijava Bhaskara Rao S (2013) Dominance of chom
515	ical heating over dynamics in causing a few large mesospheric inversion laver
517	events during january-february 2011. Journal of Geophysical Research. Space
518	Physics, 118(10), 6751–6765.
519	Ratynski, M., Khavkin, S., Hauchecorne, A., Wing, R., Cammas, JP., Hello, Y., &

520	Keckhut, P. (2022). Validation of aeolus wind profiles using ground-based lidar
521	and radiosonde observations at la réunion island and the observatoire de haute
522	provence. EGUsphere, 2022, 1–33. doi: 10.5194/egusphere-2022-822
523	Salby, M., Sassi, F., Callaghan, P., Wu, D., Keckhut, P., & Hauchecorne, A. (2002).
524	Mesospheric inversions and their relationship to planetary wave structure.
525	Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 107(D4), ACL 4-1-ACL 4-13.
526	doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JD000756
527	Sassi, F., Garcia, R. R., Boville, B. A., & Liu, H. (2002). On temperature inversions
528	and the mesospheric surf zone. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres,
529	107(D19), ACL 8-1-ACL 8-11. doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JD001525
530	Schmidlin, F. (1976). Temperature inversions near 75 km. Geophysical Research Let-
531	$ters, \ \Im(3), \ 173-176.$
532	Souprayen, C., Garnier, A., Hertzog, A., Hauchecorne, A., & Porteneuve, J. (1999,
533	Apr). Rayleigh-mie doppler wind lidar for atmospheric measurements. i.
534	instrumental setup, validation, and first climatological results. <i>Appl. Opt.</i> ,
535	38(12), 2410-2421. doi: 10.1364/AO.38.002410
536	Straume, A.G., Rennie, M., Isaksen, L., de Kloe, J., Marseille, GJ., Stoffelen, A.,
537	\dots Parinello, T. (2020). Esa's space-based doppler wind lidar mission aeolus -
538	first wind and aerosol product assessment results. EPJ Web Conf., 237, 01007.
539	doi: 10.1051/epjconf/202023701007
540	Stroud, W. G., Nordberg, W., Bandeen, W. R., Bartman, F. L., & Titus, P. (1960).
541	Rocket-grenade measurements of temperatures and winds in the mesosphere
542	over churchill, canada. Journal of Geophysical Research (1896-1977), 65(8),
543	2307-2323. doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/JZ065i008p02307
544	Theon, J. S., Nordberg, W., Katchen, L. B., & Horvath, J. J. (1967). Some observa-
545	tions on the thermal behavior of the mesosphere. Journal of Atmospheric Sci-
546	ences, $24(4)$, $428 - 438$. doi: $10.1175/1520-0469(1967)024(0428:SOOTTB)2.0$
547	.CO;2
548	Wing, R., Hauchecorne, A., Keckhut, P., Godin-Beekmann, S., Khaykin, S., McCul-
549	lough, E. M., d'Almeida, E. (2018). Lidar temperature series in the middle
550	atmosphere as a reference data set–part 1: Improved retrievals and a 20-year
551	cross-validation of two co-located french lidars. Atmospheric Measurement
552	Techniques, $11(10)$, $5531-5547$.
553	Wing, R., Martic, M., Hauchecorne, A., Porteneuve, J., Keckhut, P., Courcoux, Y.,
554	Cocuron, D. (2020). Atmospheric density and temperature vertical profile
555	retrieval for flight-tests with a rayleigh lidar on-board the french advanced test

retrieval for flight-tests with a rayleigh lidar on-board the french adv range ship monge. Atmosphere, 11(1). doi: 10.3390/atmos11010075

556