# Implementing a plant hydraulics parameterization in the Canadian Land Surface Scheme Including biogeochemical Cycles (CLASSIC) v.1.4

Muhammad Umair<sup>1</sup>, Joe R. Melton<sup>2</sup>, Alexandre Roy<sup>3</sup>, Cleiton Breder Eller<sup>4</sup>, Jennifer Lynn Baltzer<sup>5</sup>, Bram Hadiwijaya<sup>6</sup>, bo qu<sup>7</sup>, Nia Perron<sup>7</sup>, and Oliver Sonnentag<sup>7</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Universite de Montreal <sup>2</sup>Environment Canada <sup>3</sup>Université du Québec à Trois-Rivières <sup>4</sup>University of Exeter <sup>5</sup>Wilfrid Laurier University <sup>6</sup>Deaprtment of Sustainability Research, SMART Research Institute <sup>7</sup>Université de Montréal

April 23, 2024

#### Abstract

Drought conditions caused by soil moisture stress and/or high vapour pressure deficit pose a challenge to many terrestrial ecosystem models (TEMs). The Canadian LAnd Surface Scheme Including biogeochemical Cycles (CLASSIC) employs an empirical approach to link soil moisture stress with stomatal conductance. Such soil moisture-based empirical approaches typically perform poorly during drought. Here, we implemented an explicit plant hydraulics parameterization, i.e., Stomatal Optimization based on Xylem hydraulics (SOX), in CLASSIC, thereby connecting the soil-plant-atmosphere continuum through plant hydraulic traits. Performance of the resulting CLASSIC\$\_{SOX}\$ was evaluated against carbon and water fluxes measured with eddy covariance at eight boreal forest flux tower sites in North America. Compared to CLASSIC, CLASSIC\$\_{SOX}\$ better simulated gross primary productivity (GPP) across all sites, i.e., coefficient of determination (R\$^{2}\$) and (-0.99 to -0.58 g C m\$^{-2}\$ d\$^{-1}\$), respectively. Under drought conditions, identified using the Palmer drought severity index, GPP simulated with CLASSIC\$\_{SOX}\$ improved compared to CLASSIC, i.e., R\$^{2}\$ increased (0.51 to 0.60), and RMSE and bias decreased (1.79 to 1.46 g C m\$^{-2}\$ d\$^{-1}\$) and (-0.97 to -0.53 g C m\$^{-2}\$ d\$^{-1}\$), respectively. In contrast, CLASSIC\$\_{SOX}\$ simulated evapotranspiration worsened, i.e., R\$^{2}\$ decreased (0.61 to 0.42), RMSE increased (0.54 to 0.62 mm d\$^{-1}\$), and bias changed direction (0.09 to -0.09 mm d\$^{-1}\$). As evaporation is a highly parameterized process in CLASSIC, it likely needs to be re-parameterized to account for the SOX transpiration behaviour.

## Implementing a plant hydraulics parameterization in the Canadian Land Surface Scheme Including biogeochemical Cycles (CLASSIC) v.1.4

1

2

3

4

5

6

17

**Key Points:** 

#### Muhammad Umair<sup>1</sup>, Joe R. Melton<sup>2</sup>, Alexandre Roy<sup>3</sup>, Cleiton B. Eller<sup>4</sup>, Jennifer Baltzer<sup>5</sup>, Bram Hadiwijaya<sup>1,6</sup>, Bo Qu<sup>1</sup>, Nia Perron<sup>1,7</sup>, Oliver Sonnentag<sup>1</sup>

7 8	<sup>1</sup> Département de géographie, Université de Montréal, Montréal, QC, H2V 2B8, Canada. <sup>2</sup> Climate Research Division, Environment and Climate Change Canada, Victoria, B.C., V8W 3V6,
9 10	Canada. <sup>3</sup> Département des Sciences de l'Environnement, Université du Québec à Trois-Rivières (UQTR),
11	Trois-Rivières, Québec, G9A 5H7, Canada.
12	<sup>4</sup> Department of Biology, Federal University of Ceará, Fortaleza, Brazil.
13	<sup>5</sup> Department of Biology, Wilfrid Laurier University, Waterloo, ON, N2L 3C5, Canada.
14	<sup>6</sup> Department of Sustainability Research, SMART Research Institute, Riau, 28686, Indonesia.
15	<sup>7</sup> Institut des sciences de la forêt tempérée (ISFORT), Université du Québec en Outaouais (UQO), 58 rue
16	principale, Ripon, QC J0V 1V0, Canada

	18	nt hydraulics parameterization was included in the Canadian Land Surface
1	19	e Including biogeochemical Cycles
1	20	ated at eight boreal forest flux tower sites, the new parameterization improve
1	21	simulation of gross primary production
:	22	g drought periods, in particular, the new plant hydraulics parameterization
1	23	forms the original soil moisture stress-based approach

Corresponding author: Oliver Sonnentag, Muhammad Umair, oliver.sonnentag@umontreal.ca, muhammad.umair.1@umontreal.ca

#### 24 Abstract

Drought conditions caused by soil moisture stress and/or high vapour pressure deficit 25 pose a challenge to many terrestrial ecosystem models (TEMs). The Canadian LAnd Sur-26 face Scheme Including biogeochemical Cycles (CLASSIC) employs an empirical approach 27 to link soil moisture stress with stomatal conductance. Such soil moisture-based empir-28 ical approaches typically perform poorly during drought. Here, we implemented an ex-29 plicit plant hydraulics parameterization, i.e., Stomatal Optimization based on Xylem hy-30 draulics (SOX), in CLASSIC, thereby connecting the soil-plant-atmosphere continuum 31 through plant hydraulic traits. Performance of the resulting  $\text{CLASSIC}_{SOX}$  was evalu-32 ated against carbon and water fluxes measured with eddy covariance at eight boreal for-33 est flux tower sites in North America. Compared to CLASSIC,  $CLASSIC_{SOX}$  better sim-34 ulated gross primary productivity (GPP) across all sites, i.e., coefficient of determina-35 tion  $(\mathbb{R}^2)$  increased (0.51 to 0.59), root mean square error (RMSE) and bias decreased 36  $(1.85 \text{ to } 1.54 \text{ g C m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1})$  and  $(-0.99 \text{ to } -0.58 \text{ g C m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1})$ , respectively. Under drought 37 conditions, identified using the Palmer drought severity index, GPP simulated with  $CLASSIC_{SOX}$ 38 improved compared to CLASSIC, i.e.,  $R^2$  increased (0.51 to 0.60), and RMSE and bias 39 decreased (1.79 to 1.46 g C m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>) and (-0.97 to -0.53 g C m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>), respectively. In 40 contrast,  $CLASSIC_{SOX}$  simulated evapotranspiration worsened, i.e.,  $R^2$  decreased (0.61) 41 to 0.42), RMSE increased (0.54 to 0.62 mm  $d^{-1}$ ), and bias changed direction (0.09 to 42  $-0.09 \text{ mm d}^{-1}$ ). As evaporation is a highly parameterized process in CLASSIC, it likely 43 needs to be re-parameterized to account for the SOX transpiration behaviour. 44

#### 45 Plain Language Summary

Most terrestrial ecosystem models (TEMs) perform poorly during drought condi-46 tions in terms of simulating gross primary production (GPP), which is linked to their 47 soil moisture stress (SMS) functions. Soil moisture stress functions implemented in TEMs 48 empirically relate the effect of soil water stress on stomatal conductance. An alternative 49 to SMS function is a plant hydraulics parameterization (e.g. Stomatal optimization based 50 on Xylem hydraulics - SOX), which connects the soil-plant-atmosphere in a single con-51 tinuum using plant hydraulic traits. In this study, we implemented SOX in the Cana-52 dian Land Surface Scheme Including biogeochemical Cycles (CLASSIC) TEM replac-53 ing its SMS function. The original CLASSIC and CLASSIC with the new plant hydraulics 54 parameterization (CLASSIC<sub>SOX</sub>) were evaluated at eight boreal forest flux tower sites 55 in North America.  $CLASSIC_{SOX}$  improved GPP compared to CLASSIC, especially dur-56 ing drought conditions. However,  $CLASSIC_{SOX}$  needs further refinement for evapotran-57 spiration by re-parameterizing the evaporation scheme corresponding to SOX transpi-58 ration. Overall, plant hydraulics parameterization improved simulated GPP while us-59 ing fewer parameters and increased ecological realism compared to the SMS function. 60

Keywords: Terrestrial ecosystem model, plant hydraulics, drought conditions, bo real forest, carbon and water fluxes, soil moisture stress

#### 63 1 Introduction

Intensification of the hydrological cycle and the projected increase in the frequency 64 and severity of extreme events such as droughts challenge our ability to predict land-atmosphere 65 interactions (Rahmstorf & Coumou, 2011; He et al., 2017; Anderegg et al., 2019; Miralles 66 et al., 2019). Most TEMs, including the Canadian Land Surface Scheme Including bio-67 geochemical Cycles (CLASSIC; Melton et al. (2020)), use an empirical soil moisture stress 68 (SMS) function in their optimality-based photosynthesis scheme to constrain carbon as-69 similation through photosynthesis (A) and stomatal conductance  $(g_s)$  (e.g., Cox et al., 70 1998; Medlyn et al., 2016; Best et al., 2011; Clark et al., 2011; Ronda et al., 2001). How-71 ever, SMS functions have only limited theoretical support (Medlyn et al., 2016; Anderegg 72 & Venturas, 2020), and tend to underestimate gross primary production (GPP) during 73

natural and experimental drought conditions (Ukkola et al., 2016; Eller et al., 2018; Trug-74 man et al., 2018). This limited skill has led to a growing demand for increased ecolog-75 ical realism in TEMs to improve model simulations under drought conditions, often ad-76 dressed by replacing SMS functions with explicit representations of plant hydraulics (Kennedy 77 et al., 2019; Eller et al., 2020; Sabot et al., 2020; Green et al., 2024; Paschalis et al., 2024). 78 Stomatal conductance quantifies stomatal opening and thus is a key parameter that con-79 nects the terrestrial carbon and water cycles (Sellers et al., 1996; Cox et al., 1999). Wa-80 ter moves from soils to plants through the rhizosphere and the plant hydraulic system, 81 which is composed primarily of xylem tracheary elements (Williams et al., 1996). Once 82 the water reaches the leaves, it enters the atmosphere through the stomata by evapo-83 ration, thus connecting the soil-plant-atmosphere continuum (Williams et al., 1996). Stom-84 atal conductance responds dynamically to soil moisture, atmospheric  $CO_2$  concentration 85  $(c_a)$ , and meteorological conditions, allowing plants to regulate carbon gain and water 86 loss over a wide range of environmental conditions (Grantz, 1990; Field et al., 1995; Buck-87 ley, 2019). Several plant photosynthetic and hydraulic traits, such as xylem hydraulic 88 conductance and vulnerability to embolism, are coupled with stomatal regulation (Ethier 89 et al., 2006; Brodribb & Jordan, 2008; Martínez-Vilalta et al., 2014; Martin-StPaul et 90 al., 2017). 91 In addition to implementing soil moisture stress through SMS functions, optimality-based 92 photosynthesis schemes can simulate stomatal conductance response to environmental 93 conditions without explicitly including plant hydraulics (Cowan & Farquhar, 1977; Le-94 uning, 1995; Medlyn et al., 2011). Optimality-based photosynthesis schemes simulate stom-95 atal conductance response to the environment by maximizing an objective function (Dewar 96 et al., 2009; Prentice et al., 2014; Buckley, 2017; Franklin et al., 2020). Cowan and Far-97 quhar (hereafter CF) (Cowan & Farquhar, 1977) introduced an approach for optimiz-98 ing the stomatal conductance response, which has been adopted in many TEMs (Medlyn 99 et al., 2001, 2011; Melton et al., 2020). The objective function  $(A-\lambda T)$  in the CF approach 100 maximizes carbon gain and postulates that plants try to maintain a constant marginal 101 water use efficiency,  $\lambda$ . The CF approach effectively simulates the stomatal conductance 102 response to meteorological conditions based upon available water (Farquhar et al., 1980) 103 and has laid the foundation for several widely used photosynthesis schemes (Jacobs, 1994; 104 Leuning, 1995; Medlyn et al., 2011). However, CF simulates an increase in  $g_s$  under an 105 increase in  $c_a$ , a response contrary to most observations (Mott, 1988; Medlyn et al., 2001). 106 Furthermore,  $\lambda$  in the CF approach is not linked with plant hydraulic traits, which form 107 the basis for how plants transport water from their roots to stomata (Buckley, 2017). 108 Stomatal conductance can be modelled using photosynthesis schemes that consider both 109 structural and functional plant traits within physiological constraints (Dewar, 2010; Sabot 110 et al., 2022). Various photosynthesis schemes based on plant hydraulics have been pro-111 posed in the last decade to overcome the limitations of empirical functions and optimality-112 based photosynthesis schemes by directly accounting for the loss of capacity to trans-113 port water from soil to leaf during drought conditions (Mencuccini, Manzoni, & Christof-114 fersen, 2019; Sabot et al., 2022). Hydraulics-based photosynthesis schemes use plant hy-115 draulic traits to produce realistic stomatal conductance response to environmental con-116 ditions (Choat et al., 2012; Manzoni, Vico, Katul, et al., 2013; Lin et al., 2015; Sperry 117 et al., 2017; Eller et al., 2018; Mencuccini, Manzoni, & Christoffersen, 2019; Wang et al., 118 2019; Eller et al., 2020; Sabot et al., 2020). One example of a hydraulics-based photo-119 synthesis scheme is the Stomatal Optimization based on Xylem hydraulics (SOX; Eller 120

et al. (2018)). In brief, SOX is based on the Sperry et al. (2017) approach but incorporates a numerical routine similar to the PGEN model (a leaf-scale model of photosynthesis, respiration, transpiration, stomatal conductance, and energy balance; Friend, 1995). The SOX approach uses three xylem hydraulic parameters to optimize the product of *A* and the cost associated with the xylem hydraulic conductance loss, which is represented

as a function of water potential  $(\Psi)$  (Eller et al., 2018).

Limited research has been conducted to adequately simulate  $g_s$  using plant hydraulics

in the boreal biome due to cold winters, summer droughts, and scarcity of in-situ plant

hydraulic observations (Manzoni, Vico, Porporato, & Katul, 2013; Lin et al., 2015; Sabot 129 et al., 2020; Lambert et al., 2022). In this study, we integrated SOX with CLASSIC to 130 simulate  $g_s$  using plant hydraulics and stomatal optimization, resulting in CLASSIC<sub>SOX</sub>. 131 We evaluated both CLASSIC and  $CLASSIC_{SOX}$  at eight boreal forest flux tower sites 132 in North America (Qu, Roy, Melton, Black, et al., 2023). By enhancing the ecological 133 realism in CLASSIC<sub>SOX</sub>, our goal was to improve the performance of CLASSIC regard-134 ing daily evapotranspiration (ET) and GPP. To meet our goal, our two objectives were 135 to: 136

- 1. examine the response of  $g_s$  to environmental conditions in SOX compared to the 137 SMS function used in CLASSIC and 138
- 139
- 2. evaluate the performance of  $CLASSIC_{SOX}$  compared to CLASSIC against observation-
- based estimates of daily GPP and ET with a focus on drought conditions iden-140 tified with the Palmer drought severity index (Palmer, 1965; Wells et al., 2004). 141

#### 2 Materials and Methods 142

143 144

152

#### 2.1 The Canadian Land Surface Scheme Including biogeochemical Cycles (CLASSIC)

CLASSIC is an open-source community model and successor to the Canadian Land 145 Surface Scheme (CLASS) and the Canadian Terrestrial Ecosystem Model (CTEM) (Melton 146 et al., 2020; Seiler et al., 2021). CLASSIC uses a photosynthesis scheme derived from 147 Collatz et al. (1991, 1992) for C3 and C4 plants, calculating the maximum carbon as-148 similation rate allowed by light, RuBisCO, and electron transport capacity (Melton & 149 Arora, 2016). The maximum catalytic capacity of RuBisCO (V<sub>m</sub>, mol CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) 150 is calculated as: 151

$$V_m = \frac{V_{max} f_{25}(2.0) S_{root}(\theta) \times 10^{-6}}{[1 + e^{0.3(T_c - T_{high})}][1 + e^{0.3(T_{low} - T_c)}]}$$
(1)

where  $T_c$  (°C) is the canopy temperature,  $T_{low}$  (°C) and  $T_{high}$  (°C) are the plant func-153 tional type (PFT)-dependent lower and upper-temperature limits for photosynthesis,  $f_{25}$ 154 is the standard Q<sub>10</sub> function at 25 °C, and V<sub>max</sub> is the PFT-dependent maximum rate 155 of carboxylation by the RuBisCO enzyme (mol CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>). The constant  $10^{-6}$  converts V<sub>max</sub> from units of  $\mu$ mol CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> to mol CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>. The effect of soil mois-156 157 ture on carbon assimilation rate is introduced through a multiplier for  $V_m$  ( $S_{root}(\theta)$ , which 158 is given as: 159

$$S_{root}(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^{g} S(\theta_i) r_i \tag{2}$$

16

160

$$S(\theta_i) = [1 - [1 - \Phi_i]]^{\varrho}$$
(3)

where  $S_{root}(\theta)$  is calculated by weighting  $S(\theta_i)$  with the fraction of roots  $(r_i)$  in each soil 163 layer, i, and  $\rho$  is a PFT-specific sensitivity to soil moisture stress (Melton & Arora, 2016). 164  $\Phi_i$  is the degree of soil saturation (wetness) and given as: 165

$$\Phi_i(\theta_i) = max \left[ 0, min \left( 1, \frac{\theta_i - \theta_{i,wilt}}{\theta_{i,field} - \theta_{i,wilt}} \right) \right]$$
(4)

where  $\theta_i$  is the volumetric soil moisture (m<sup>3</sup> m<sup>-3</sup>) of the *i*th soil layer and  $\theta_{i,field}$  and 167  $\theta_{i,wilt}$  are the soil moisture at field capacity and wilting point, respectively. 168

CLASSIC employs the approach of Leuning (1995) for photosynthesis-canopy conduc-169 tance coupling. Canopy conductance  $(g_c, \text{ mol } m^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1})$  is calculated as a function of net

170 photosynthesis rate ( $G_{canopy,net}$ , mol  $CO_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ), as below: 171

$$g_c = m \frac{G_{canopy,net} P_{atm}}{(c_s - \Gamma)} \frac{1}{(1 + VPD/VPD_o)} + bLAI$$
(5)

where m and b are fitting parameters. The value of m is: 9.0 (for needle-leaved trees). 173 12.0 (for other C3 plants), and 6.0 (for C4 plants), and the value of b is 0.01 and 0.04174 for C3 and C4 plants, respectively. Surface atmospheric pressure is represented by  $P_{atm}$ 175 (Pa). VPD is vapour pressure deficit (kPa), and parameter  $VPD_{o}$  is 2000 Pa for trees 176 and 1500 Pa for crops and grasses.  $\Gamma$  is the CO<sub>2</sub> compensation point (CO<sub>2</sub> partial pres-177 sure where photosynthetic uptake equals the leaf respiratory losses), and LAI is leaf area 178 index (m<sup>2</sup> of leaf m<sup>-2</sup> of ground). The CO<sub>2</sub> partial pressure at the leaf surface,  $c_s$ , is cal-179 culated as: 180

$$c_s = c_{ap} - \frac{1.37G_{canopy.net}P_{atm}}{g_b} \tag{6}$$

where  $c_{ap}$  is CO<sub>2</sub> atmospheric partial pressure (Pa) and  $g_b$  is the aerodynamic conductance (mol CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>). Intercellular CO<sub>2</sub> concentration,  $c_i$  (Pa), is calculated as:

$$c_i = c_s - \frac{1.65G_{canopy,net}P_{atm}}{g_c} \tag{7}$$

as the calculations of  $c_i$  and  $G_{canopy,net}$  depend on each other; the equations are solved iteratively for photosynthesis-canopy conductance coupling. The initial value of  $c_i$  is the value from the previous time step or, lacking that, is taken as  $0.7c_{ap}$ . For more details on CLASSIC configuration and parameter values, see Melton and Arora (2016) and Qu, Roy, Melton, Baltzer, et al. (2023).

#### 2.2 Stomatal Optimization based on Xylem hydraulics

181

184

190

Over the last three decades, the ecological realism in various TEMs has been en-191 hanced through explicit plant hydraulics parameterizations (Williams et al., 1996; Hick-192 ler et al., 2006; Bonan et al., 2014; Kennedy et al., 2019; Eller et al., 2020; Sabot et al., 193 2022). Often, the motivation to implement an explicit plant hydraulics parameteriza-194 tion was to address the overestimation of drought impacts on GPP due to SMS func-195 tions (Eller et al., 2018, 2020). We considered four different plant hydraulics parame-196 terizations for inclusion in CLASSIC. Hickler et al. (2006) introduced plant hydraulics 197 in the LPJ-DGVM model and used the hypothesis of maximizing conductance based on 198 the xylem vulnerability curve (Tyree & Sperry, 1989; Tyree et al., 1994; Sperry et al., 199 1998). The LPJ-DGVM approach has six parameters from three plant structures (leaf, 200 stem, root) and is of relatively high complexity. Bonan et al. (2014) implemented the 201 soil-plant-atmosphere model with CLM4.5 (SPA; Williams et al. (1996, 2001)) as a pho-202 tosynthesis scheme based on optimized water use efficiency and constrained leaf water 203 potential. This implies a strict isohydric behaviour (isohydric plants maintain constant leaf water potential during drought and non-drought conditions by controlling stomatal 205 conductance and transpiration.) similar to the LPJ-DGVM approach of Hickler et al. 206 (2006). The plant hydraulic stress (PHS) approach implemented in CLM5.0 (Kennedy 207 et al., 2019) included vapour pressure deficit (VPD) in its water stress function, not con-208 sidered by Bonan et al. (2014). High VPD causes an increase in the water potential gra-209 dient between the soil and the atmosphere with consequences for stomatal conductance 210 response. Due to the projected increase of VPD associated with global warming, it will 211 be essential to represent VPD in plant hydraulics parameterizations for stomatal opti-212 mization (Seager et al., 2015; Ficklin & Novick, 2017). The PHS approach used plant 213 hydraulics with soil moisture stress, which is a highly detailed parameterization in three 214 plant structures (leaf, stem, and roots) and involves four parameters (Kennedy et al., 215 2019). Eller et al. (2018, 2020) introduced the SOX approach with numerical optimiza-216 tion and analytical approximation. The plant hydraulics parameterization introduced 217 through SOX considers how both VPD and soil water potential regulate plant hydraulic 218 conductance in a single compartment (Section 2.3). Sabot et al. (2022) compared sev-219 eral empirical and plant hydraulics parameterizations for photosynthesis using a single 220 TEM. The SOX numerical approach has been identified as being among the most effec-221 tive plant hydraulics parameterizations during drought and non-drought conditions (Wang 222 et al., 2020; Sabot et al., 2022). 223

We used three criteria to select an appropriate plant hydraulics parameterization for in-224 clusion in CLASSIC: 1) a small number of parameters to limit issues related to param-225 eter uncertainty and equifinality, 2) moderate complexity to match the general approach 226 of CLASSIC, and 3) potential applicability across diverse landscapes (Kyker-Snowman 227 et al., 2022). Based upon these criteria, we decided to integrate SOX with CLASSIC due 228 to its relatively parsimonious parameterization compared to the SMS function in CLAS-229 SIC (Eller et al., 2018; Melton et al., 2020). In addition, SOX is of reasonable complex-230 ity and previous studies demonstrated its applicability in tropical and temperate biomes 231 (Eller et al., 2018, 2020). 232

233 234

2

255

26

## 2.3 CLASSIC with Stomatal Optimization based on Xylem hydraulics $(CLASSIC_{SOX})$

Calculation of  $g_c$ , assimilation (A), and transpiration (T) through SOX requires 235 five steps: 1) calculation of SOX parameters using wood density (WD; Text A1, A2), 2) 236 calculation of A using  $c_i$  values, 3) calculation of a cost function based on xylem hydraulic 237 conductance loss  $(k_{cost})$  using equations 12, 13, and 14, 4) calculation of optimum  $c_i$  us-238 ing A and  $k_{cost}$  (equation 15), and 5) calculation of  $g_c$ , and T using optimum  $c_i$  and A. 239 The SOX approach uses changes in xylem hydraulic conductance to find the optimal stom-240 atal conductance ( $g_s$ , mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) value for the current environmental conditions (Eller 241 et al., 2018). The SOX approach calculates optimal  $g_s$  by maximizing the product of car-242 bon assimilation (A, mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> and ( $k_{cost}$ ) using a numerical optimization algorithm 243 (Friend, 1995; Eller et al., 2018). The SOX approach can be used with any TEM that 244 calculates A using  $c_i$  (Pa) and meteorological conditions. Here, SOX is implemented into 245 CLASSIC via its photosynthesis scheme. Stomatal conductance and transpiration (T, 246 mol H<sub>2</sub>O m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) are derived by using A,  $c_i$ ,  $c_a$  (Pa), and VPD as: 247

$$g_s = \frac{A}{c_a - c_i} \tag{8}$$

$$T = 1.6g_s VPD$$
 (9)

where the value 1.6 represents the ratio between water vapour and  $CO_2$  diffusion in the air.

Using Darcy's Law, leaf water potential ( $\Psi_l$ , MPa) is calculated using T assuming steadystate conditions (without considering stored water in plants for T):

$$\Psi_l = \Psi_{l,pd} - \frac{T}{k_{sl}} \tag{10}$$

where  $k_{sl}$  is the xylem hydraulic conductance from soil to leaf (mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> MPa<sup>-1</sup>), and  $\Psi_{l,pd}$  is the predawn leaf water potential (MPa) calculated using root water potential (II, MPa) reduced by the water potential gradient induced by energy height (h. m)

tial ( $\Psi_r$ , MPa) reduced by the water potential gradient induced by canopy height (h, m):

$$\Psi_{l,pd} = \Psi_r - h\rho g \times 10^{-6} \tag{11}$$

where  $\rho$  is the density of water (1000 kg m<sup>-3</sup>), g is the acceleration due to gravity (9.8 m s<sup>-2</sup>), and 10<sup>-6</sup> is for unit conversion from Pa to MPa. Following a simple and parameter parsimonious approach for SOX, we assumed root water potential ( $\Psi_r$ ) is approximately equal to the soil water potential ( $\Psi_s$ , MPa) ( $\Psi_r \approx \Psi_s$ ). As  $\Psi_l$  and  $k_{sl}$  depend on each other for their computation, thus a drought-induced re-

duction in  $\Psi_l$  leads to a decrease in  $k_{sl}$ , and vice-versa (equation 10; Sperry & Tyree, 1988). The inverse polynomial function from Manzoni, Vico, Katul, et al. (2013) is used to represent  $k_{sl}$ :

$$k_{sl} = k_{sl,max} \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{\Psi_{l,mid}}{\Psi_{50}} \right)^a \right]^{-1}$$
(12)

where the parameter  $\Psi_{50}$  is the water potential at 50 % loss of maximum hydraulic conductance (i.e.,  $0.5k_{sl,max}$ ), and a is the vulnerability curve parameter which controls the shape of the hydraulic conductance loss curve with decreasing  $\Psi_l$ . The parameter *a* is calculated using  $\Psi_{50}$  following empirical relationship (Text A1, Christoffersen et al., 2016). In-situ observations of  $\Psi_{50}$  for boreal forests are scarce. Thus, WD (g cm<sup>-3</sup>) was used to calculate  $\Psi_{50}$  based on an empirical relationship (Text A1, Christoffersen et al., 2016). Wood density was also used to calculate  $k_{sl,max}$  based on an empirical relationships (Text A1, Christoffersen et al., 2016).

- A2, Savage et al., 2010; Christoffersen et al., 2016). This approach decreases the num-
- ber of required parameters for SOX to only one (WD). CLASSIC<sub>SOX</sub> uses  $k_{sl}$  from the previous time-step to calculate the  $\Psi_l$  and determines  $k_{sl}$  for the current time-step us-
- $_{279}$  ing equations 10 to 12.

282

285

289

300

304

Following Eller et al. (2018), we assume the gradual drop of water potential in plants

using the middle value of the  $\Psi_l$  and  $\Psi_{l,pd}$  ( $\Psi_{l,mid}$ ):

$$\Psi_{l,mid} = \frac{\Psi_{l,pd} + \Psi_l}{2} \tag{13}$$

For the cost of stomatal opening  $(k_{cost})$ , the  $k_{sl}$  is calculated using equation 12 and normalized with the  $k_{sl,max}$ :

$$k_{cost} = \frac{k_{sl}}{k_{sl,max}} \tag{14}$$

The SOX approach maximizes the product of A and  $k_{cost}$  as a function of  $c_i$  by evaluating it in the interval  $(0, c_a)$  as used by others (Friend, 1995; Eller et al., 2018). For the

maximum value of the product of A and  $k_{cost}$ , the optimum  $c_i$  ( $c_{i,opt}$ ) can be found at:

$$\frac{\partial(A.k_{cost})}{\partial(c_i)} = 0 \tag{15}$$

c<sub>*i*,opt</sub> is then used to calculate optimum A,  $g_s$ , T, and  $\Psi_l$  using the photosynthesis scheme (Collatz et al., 1991, 1992; Melton & Arora, 2016) and equations 8, 9, and 10. We calculated soil liquid fraction (between 0 to 1, unitless) to constrain  $g_c$ , A, and  $R_{mL}$ during freezing conditions.

$$SL_{frac} = \frac{\theta_{liq}}{\theta_{liq} + \theta_{ice}} \tag{16}$$

$$g_c = g_c \times SL_{frac} \tag{17}$$

$$A = A \times SL_{frac} \tag{18}$$

$$R_{mL} = R_{mL} \times SL_{frac} \tag{19}$$

For the implementation in CLASSIC, SOX then replaces the CLASSIC calculations found in equations 1 to 7 expressing SMS function  $(S_{root}(\theta))$  and computes  $g_c$  from plant hydraulic traits and meteorological variables using equations 8 to 15 (Fig. 1).

#### 2.4 Parsimonious parametrization

Our implementation of SOX in CLASSIC (CLASSIC<sub>SOX</sub>) is a parameter parsimo-305 nious approach compared to the SMS function in the original version of CLASSIC. Three 306 additional parameters are needed by SOX:  $\Psi_{50}$ ,  $k_{sl,max}$ , and canopy height (h). CLAS-307 SIC updates h daily based upon growing conditions for the vegetation. Using empirical 308 relationships, we calculated  $k_{sl,max}$  from  $\Psi_{50}$  and WD (Savage et al., 2010; Christoffersen 309 et al., 2016; Eller et al., 2018). Thus, the total parameters in  $CLASSIC_{SOX}$  was one (WD) 310 compared to four in CLASSIC ( $\rho$  for PFT-specific sensitivity to soil moisture stress, equa-311 tion 3; m, b, and  $V_{o}$  for photosynthesis and canopy conductance coupling, equation 5). 312 The fewer parameters in  $CLASSIC_{SOX}$  compared to CLASSIC can be advantageous for 313 avoiding problems related to parameter uncertainty and equifinality. 314



Figure 1. Equations and parameters used to calculate canopy conductance  $(g_c)$  using a) CLASSIC and b) CLASSIC<sub>SOX</sub>. All symbols are defined in the model explanation sections 2.1 and 2.3 except air O<sub>2</sub> concentration (O<sub>a</sub>). In CLASSIC<sub>SOX</sub>, the blue line shows a water channel from soil to plants and then to the atmosphere using plant hydraulic traits and soil ( $\Psi_s$ ) and leaf water potential ( $\Psi_l$ ).

#### **2.5** Boreal forest flux tower sites

We used eddy covariance measurements from eight boreal forest flux tower sites 316 with a total of 65 site years (ranging from 1994-2019) to evaluate fluxes simulated with 317 CLASSIC and CLASSIC<sub>SOX</sub> (Fig. S1, Table S1). Site characteristics, meteorological, 318 and water and carbon flux data used for model parameterization, forcing and evaluation 319 were obtained from a recently compiled boreal forest model benchmarking dataset for 320 North America (Qu, Roy, Melton, Black, et al., 2023, See Table S1 for further details about 321 the sites), respectively. 322 Wood density data for all Canadian sites was obtained from the National Forest Inven-323 tory archives (NFI, accessed 08 25, 2022). For the three Alaskan sites (US-BZS, US-Prr, 324 US-Uaf), the WD for black spruce is assumed to be the same as the Canadian boreal 325 forest sites. For every needle-leaf (ENF, black spruce) trees, the WD is  $0.332 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ 326 for all sites except CA-Qfo, where it is  $0.444 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$  due to higher aridity index (AI). 327 The western boreal biome had a lower AI (i.e., drier) compared to the higher AI (i.e., 328 more humid) eastern boreal biome (Fig. S1; Zomer et al., 2022). For deciduous needle-329 leaf (DNF, tamarack) trees at CA-Obs, WD is  $0.267 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ . For other PFTs (evergreen 330 broad-leaf shrubs (EBS), deciduous broad-leaf shrubs (DBS), and C3 grass (C3G)) at 331 all sites, the plant hydraulic parameters were used following Eller et al. (2020). 332

333 2.6 Experimental design

Four experiments are conducted (Table 1), each with a different model configura-334 tion. Experiments 1 and 2 are stand-alone outside of CLASSIC, while experiments 3 and 335 4 are based on CLASSIC. The experiments are: 1) CLASSIC's SMS function and pho-336 tosynthesis scheme using constant meteorological conditions (Section 2.1, Table S2), 2) 337 SOX approach using constant meteorological conditions (Section 2.3, Table S2), 3) CLAS-338 SIC (Section 2.1), and 4) CLASSIC with SOX implemented ( $CLASSIC_{SOX}$ , Section 2.3). 339 Experiments 3 and 4 (Table 1) used the same initial conditions after spinning up the model 340 using site-level meteorological forcing data for 200 years at each site. 341

**Table 1.** Experimental Design. All four experiments used the same photosynthesis scheme implemented in Canadian Land Surface Scheme Including biogeochemical Cycles (CLASSIC; Collatz et al., 1991, 1992). Constant and observed meteorological conditions are used as forcings in the model. SMS represents Soil Moisture Stress function and SOX represents Stomatal Optimization based on Xylem hydraulics.

Experiments	SMS	SOX	CLASSIC model	constant meteorology	observed meteorology
1- SMS	Yes	No	No	Yes	No
2- SOX	No	Yes	No	Yes	No
3- CLASSIC	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
4- $CLASSIC_{SOX}$	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes

#### 2.7 Model evaluation

342

We examined the response of  $g_s$  in experiments 1 (SMS) and 2 (SOX) to constant meteorological conditions ( $T_c$ , VPD, incident photosynthetically active radiation measured as photosynthetic photon flux density ( $I_{PAR}$ ,  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>)),  $c_a$ , and  $\Psi_s$  while varying the SOX parameters. The canopy conductance response to site-level meteorological conditions,  $c_a$ , and  $\Psi_s$  was also examined at all eight study sites for CLASSIC and CLASSIC<sub>SOX</sub>. The results from experiments 3 and 4 (CLASSIC and CLASSIC<sub>SOX</sub>, respectively) were evaluated against daily net ecosystem exchange-derived GPP (here-

after NEE-derived GPP) and ET obtained from eddy covariance measurements. Coef-350 ficient of determination  $(R^2)$ , root mean square error (RMSE), and bias describe the model 351 results by season (spring: March-April-May [MAM], summer: June-July-August [JJA], 352 and autumn: September-October-November [SON]) and all seasons combined (All). 353 The Palmer drought severity index (PDSI; Palmer, 1965; Wells et al., 2004) was used 354 to identify drought conditions based on observed meteorological conditions at the flux 355 tower sites (Fig. S2). The PDSI has seven categories with increasing water stress: wet 356 periods (W;  $PDSI \ge 0.50$ ), normal conditions (N; 0.50 > PDSI > -0.50), incipient drought 357  $(A; -0.5 \ge PDSI > -1.0)$ , mild drought  $(B; -1.0 \ge PDSI > -2.0)$ , moderate drought (C;358  $-2.0 \ge PDSI > -3.0$ , severe drought (D;  $-3.0 \ge PDSI > -4.0$ ), and extreme drought (E; 359  $PDSI \leq -4.0$ ) (Wells et al., 2004). Two groups were created using the PDSI categories 360 to plot and compare the results between drought (C, D, and E) and non-drought con-361 ditions (W, N, A, and B). Five sites (CA-Obs, CA-Man, CA-Qfo, US-BZS, US-Uaf) ex-362 perienced drought conditions during the available observational periods (Fig. S2). The 363 results for drought and non-drought conditions were plotted and compared with statis-364 tics between outputs from CLASSIC and  $CLASSIC_{SOX}$  to evaluate the impact of re-365 placing SMS function by SOX during drought conditions. 366

#### 367 3 Results

368

#### 3.1 Experiments 1 (SMS) and 2 (SOX)

Stomatal conductance response to changes in  $I_{PAR}$ ,  $c_a$ ,  $T_c$ , VPD, and  $\Psi_s$  for Ex-369 periment 1 (SMS) and Experiment 2 (SOX) with minimum, average, and maximum WD 370 is shown in figure 2. SOX simulates increasing  $g_s$  and decreasing  $\Psi_l$  with increasing  $I_{PAR}$ 371 (Fig. 2a, b). The response curves become more shallow as WD decreases (Fig. 2a, b). 372 The slope of  $g_s$  with respect to increasing  $I_{PAR}$  for SOX is lower than the SMS function, 373 which makes  $g_s$  higher at low  $I_{PAR}$  and slightly lower at high  $I_{PAR}$  (Fig. 2a). SOX sim-374 ulates decreasing  $g_s$  and increasing  $\Psi_l$  with increasing  $c_a$  and WD, which can be corrob-375 orated by equation 8-10 (Fig. 2c, d). The SMS function simulates decreasing  $g_s$  with in-376 creasing  $c_a$ , similar to SOX curve of maximum WD (Fig. 2c). The response of  $g_s$  to  $T_c$ 377 for SOX and SMS function is regulated by the relationship between  $V_m$  and  $T_c$  in the 378 photosynthesis scheme (equation 1), resulting in higher  $g_s$  and lower  $\Psi_l$  at higher tem-379 peratures (Fig. 2e,f). 380

The stomatal conductance response to atmospheric demand represented by VPD resulted in decreasing  $g_s$  and  $\Psi_l$  for SOX and the SMS function as the atmosphere becomes drier with increasing VPD (Fig. 2g,h). In CLASSIC,  $g_s$  is higher (0.25 < VPD (kPa) < 5) and lower (0.25 > VPD (kPa) > 5) than SOX with maximum WD (Fig. 2g). The  $g_s$  for lower WD curves (0.18 and 0.35 g cm<sup>-3</sup>) is lower for SOX than CLASSIC (Fig. 2g). In SOX,  $g_s$  and  $\Psi_l$  decreases with decreasing  $\Psi_s$  (Fig. 2i, j). The response of  $g_s$  to  $\Psi_s$  for SOX is more pronounced than for each of the meteorological variables due to higher  $g_s$ compared to the SMS function at low  $\Psi_s$  (Fig. 2i, j).

The relationship between  $g_c$  and  $I_{PAR}$ ,  $c_a$ ,  $T_c$ , VPD, and  $\Psi_s$  highlights the increase in g<sub>c</sub> for CLASSIC<sub>SOX</sub> at all sites (Fig. S3). Overall  $g_c$  increased from 0.15 mol C m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> with CLASSIC to a maximum of 0.4 mol C m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> for CLASSIC<sub>SOX</sub> (Fig. S3).

392

#### 3.2 Evaluation of CLASSIC<sub>SOX</sub>

<sup>393</sup> CLASSIC<sub>SOX</sub> simulated higher daily GPP than CLASSIC at all sites (Fig. 3, S4a, <sup>394</sup> S5). During peak summer (around July), CLASSIC<sub>SOX</sub>-simulated GPP was closer to <sup>395</sup> observed NEE-derived GPP compared to CLASSIC (Fig. 3). At the two Alaskan sites, <sup>396</sup> US-BZS and US-Uaf, both model versions underestimated the simulated GPP during <sup>397</sup> peak summer. Even though CLASSIC<sub>SOX</sub> simulated higher GPP than CLASSIC at US-<sup>398</sup> BZS and US-Uaf, both versions of CLASSIC considerably underestimated GPP compared <sup>399</sup> to the NEE-derived GPP (Fig. 3f, h, S5f, h). The bias between CLASSIC<sub>SOX</sub> and NEE-



Figure 2. Response functions for stomatal conductance (g<sub>s</sub>) and leaf water potential ( $\Psi_l$ ) with meteorological variables (incident photosynthetically active radiation (I<sub>PAR</sub>), canopy temperature (T<sub>c</sub>), vapour pressure deficit (VPD)), atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration (c<sub>a</sub>), and soil water potential ( $\Psi_s$ ) for experiment 1 and 2. All other input variables and parameters are kept constant at their default values (Table S2). Canopy water potential at 50 % of hydraulic conductance loss ( $\Psi_{50}$ ) and vulnerability curve parameter (a) values for the respective wood density (WD) values are: WD = 0.18 g cm<sup>-3</sup>,  $\Psi_{50}$  = -1.59 MPa, a = 2.32; WD = 0.35 g cm<sup>-3</sup>,  $\Psi_{50}$  = -2.60 MPa, a = 2.05; WD = 0.52 g cm<sup>-3</sup>,  $\Psi_{50}$  = -4.03 MPa, a = 1.84. The WD values correspond with the minimum (0.18 g cm<sup>-3</sup>), average (0.35 g cm<sup>-3</sup>), and maximum (0.52 g cm<sup>-3</sup>) from the NFI dataset over the Canadian boreal forest (NFI, accessed 08 25, 2022). SMS represents the CLASSIC's soil moisture stress function.



Figure 3. Mean annual observed NEE-derived gross primary production (GPP) compared to mean annual simulated daily GPP with  $CLASSIC_{SOX}$  and CLASSIC. The size and hue of the NEE-derived GPP dots represent the percentage of observations available across possible days for the site years with larger and darker dots representing periods with a greater number of observations. DOY is day-of-year.

derived GPP during peak summer decreased for CA-Obs and CA-SMC than CLASSIC 400 (Fig. 3a, d, S5a, d), but  $CLASSIC_{SOX}$  adequately matched the observations at the re-401 maining sites (Fig. 3b, c, e, and g). At most sites, R<sup>2</sup>, RMSE, and bias improved using 402 CLASSIC<sub>SOX</sub> compared to CLASSIC (Fig. S5). A combined scatterplot for all sites re-403 vealed improved statistics for GPP, i.e.,  $\mathbb{R}^2$  increased from 0.51 to 0.59 (16 %), while RMSE 404 and bias decreased from 1.85 to 1.54 g C m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> (17 %) and -0.99 to -0.58 g C m<sup>-2</sup> 405  $d^{-1}$  (41 %), respectively, between CLASSIC<sub>SOX</sub> and CLASSIC against NEE-derived GPP 406 (Fig. S4a). The seasonal (MAM, JJA, SON, and All) R<sup>2</sup>, RMSE, and bias showed that 407 MAM and SON have better results compared to JJA (Fig. S7a-c, 3). Overall, cumulative GPP increased from 23.54 kg C m<sup>-2</sup> to 27.14 kg C m<sup>-2</sup> for eight sites (65 site-years) 409 compared to NEE-derived cumulative GPP of 32.12 kg C m<sup>-2</sup>, which is 15 % (42 % of 410 the total difference between CLASSIC and observations) increased results using  $CLASSIC_{SOX}$ 411 compared to CLASSIC. 412

For ET, three sites (CA-Obs, CA-Man, and CA-Qfo) showed underestimation at 413 peak summer with a negative bias and lower  $\mathbb{R}^2$  for CLASSIC<sub>SOX</sub> than CLASSIC (Fig. 414 4a-c, S6a-c). However, the remaining sites showed similar results for  $CLASSIC_{SOX}$  and 415 CLASSIC (Fig. 4d-h, S6d-h). For all sites combined, the  $\mathbb{R}^2$  decreased from 0.61 to 0.42 416 (31 %), RMSE increased from 0.54 to 0.62 mm  $d^{-1}$  (15 %), and bias changed from over-417 estimation to underestimation (0.09 to -0.09 mm d<sup>-1</sup>) between CLASSIC and CLASSIC<sub>SOX</sub> 418 when compared against observations (Fig. S4b). The seasonal (MAM, JJA, SON, and 419 All)  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , RMSE, and bias showed a weaker relationship for  $\mathrm{CLASSIC}_{SOX}$  at CA-Obs, 420 CA-Man, and CA-Qfo than CLASSIC against observations (Fig. 4a-c, S7d-f). However, 421 the remaining sites showed approximately similar seasonal statistics for  $CLASSIC_{SOX}$ 422 and CLASSIC (Fig. 4d-h, S7d-h). 423

For all sites combined, the GPP-ET relationship for flux tower observations,  $CLASSIC_{SOX}$ , and CLASSIC showed increased  $R^2$  (from 0.21 to 0.42) for CLASSIC than  $CLASSIC_{SOX}$ compared to the observed  $R^2$  of 0.61. However, the slope and intercept increased (from 1.08 to 1.12, and 1.29 to 1.86, respectively) for  $CLASSIC_{SOX}$  than CLASSIC compared



Figure 4. Mean annual observed evapotranspiration (ET) compared to mean annual simulated daily ET with  $CLASSIC_{SOX}$  and CLASSIC. The size and hue of the ET dots represent the percentage of observations available across possible days for the site years with larger and darker dots representing periods with a greater number of observations. DOY is day-of-year.



**Figure 5.** Kernel density plots describing the relationship between gross primary production (GPP) and evapotranspiration (ET) at all sites for flux tower observations (a),  $CLASSIC_{SOX}$  (b), and CLASSIC (c). As a visual guide, the black dotted line is the 2:1 line between GPP and ET (GPP = 2 × ET).

to the observed slope and intercept of 2.41 and 0.73, respectively (Fig. 5). The underestimated ET for CLASSIC<sub>SOX</sub> is reflected in the GPP-ET relationship with decreased  $R^2$  (Fig. 5). The higher intercept for CLASSIC<sub>SOX</sub> shows higher evaporation (when T is zero on the Y-axis) than CLASSIC and observations (Fig. 5b).

432

#### 3.3 Model responses during drought

CLASSIC<sub>SOX</sub> simulated GPP considerably better, under drought and non-drought 433 conditions, than CLASSIC (Fig. 6a,b). The statistics for  $\text{CLASSIC}_{SOX}$  compared to CLAS-434 SIC were also higher for the drought than non-drought conditions, i.e.,  $\mathbb{R}^2$  increased from 435 0.51 to 0.60, while RMSE and bias decreased from 1.79 to 1.46 g C m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> and -0.97436 to  $-0.53 \text{ g C m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$ , respectively (Fig. 6a). Under non-drought conditions, the statis-437 tics for  $CLASSIC_{SOX}$  compared to CLASSIC were comparatively lower, i.e.,  $\mathbb{R}^2$  increased 438 from 0.51 to 0.58, while RMSE and bias decreased from 1.87 to 1.56 g C m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> and 439 -1.0 to -0.59 g C m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>, respectively (Fig. 6b). For ET, similar to what can be seen 440 in figure 4,  $CLASSIC_{SOX}$  performance when compared for the drought and non-drought 441 conditions showed poorer with observations than CLASSIC (Fig. 6c,d). Under drought 442 conditions  $\text{CLASSIC}_{SOX}$  compared to CLASSIC saw ET R<sup>2</sup> decreased from 0.60 to 0.43, 443 while RMSE and bias increased from 0.53 to 0.59 (mm  $d^{-1}$ ) and 0.08 (overestimation) 444 to  $-0.14 \text{ (mm d}^{-1})$  (underestimation). Under non-drought conditions, ET  $\mathbb{R}^2$  decreased 445 from 0.61 to 0.41, RMSE and bias increased from 0.55 to 0.63 (mm  $d^{-1}$ ) and 0.09 (over-446 estimation) to  $-0.08 \pmod{d^{-1}}$  (underestimation). 447

#### 448 4 Discussion

<sup>449</sup> Our study focused on two objectives which will be discussed here: 1) to examine <sup>450</sup> the response of  $g_s$  to environmental conditions in SOX compared to the SMS function <sup>451</sup> used in CLASSIC, and 2) to evaluate the performance of CLASSIC<sub>SOX</sub> compared to CLAS-<sup>452</sup> SIC against observation-based estimates of daily GPP and ET with a focus on drought <sup>453</sup> conditions identified with the PDSI.

Stomatal conductance  $(g_s)$  response for SOX (for cases of higher and lower WD) and the 454 SMS function of CLASSIC to each environmental condition is essential to understand 455 before site-level analysis (Experiments 1 and 2, Fig. 2). The stomatal conductance re-456 sponse to  $T_c$  for SOX is not following the parabolic curve of the SMS function at higher 457  $T_c$ ; SOX shows an effect of hydraulic-induced stomatal closure at higher  $T_c$  varying with 458 WD (where the VPD would get higher at higher  $T_c$ , which would increase T and  $\Psi_l$  and 459 make the stomata close later for higher WD than SMS function) (Fig. 2e, f). The stom-460 atal conductance response to increasing VPD in SOX for plants vulnerable to cavitation 461 (characterized by low WD and high  $\Psi_{50}$ ) is captured through a reduction in their hy-462 draulic conductance, even at high  $\Psi_l$ , which results in a lower  $g_s$  compared to the SMS 463 function (Fig. 2g, h). Plants with a higher WD (and thus a lower  $\Psi_{50}$ ) can maintain more 464 open stomata in SOX despite higher atmospheric demand for water (higher VPD), lead-465 ing to a higher  $g_s$ , T, hydraulic conductance, and lower  $\Psi_l$  (Fig. 2g, h). However, in the 466 SMS function, the rate of decrease for  $g_s$  with respect to VPD is higher than the more 467 gradual  $g_s$  decrease in SOX, which makes the SMS function simulated  $g_s$  higher for mod-468 erate atmospheric demand (VPD) than simulated by SOX (Fig. 2g, h). The higher  $g_s$ 469 simulated by SOX at both high and low  $\Psi_s$ , shows that even at very low  $\Psi_s$  of -5 MPa 470 under drought conditions (Fig. 2i), plants can continue photosynthesis and retain higher 471  $g_s$  for SOX compared to SMS function. Higher  $g_s$  is achieved by using the  $k_{sl}$  in SOX, 472 which varies according to the plant hydraulic parameters and  $\Psi_s$ , instead of using the 473 SMS function, which restricts photosynthesis earlier as the  $\Psi_s$  reaches the wilting point 474 (Fig. 2i, j). For the combined effect of the meteorological variables ( $T_c$ , VPD, and  $I_{PAR}$ ), 475  $c_a$ , and  $\Psi_s$  at all eight sites,  $g_c$  is simulated higher by CLASSIC<sub>SOX</sub> than CLASSIC (Fig. 476 S3), which might be due to  $\Psi_s$  (considering its higher  $g_s$  for SOX) compared to other 477 meteorological variables (Fig. 2). 478



**Figure 6.** Model response to drought (a,c) and non-drought (b,d) categories for daily gross primary production (GPP, top row) and evapotranspiration (ET, bottom row). The drought categories are defined using the Palmer drought severity index (PDSI). Drought is categorized as extreme (E), severe (D), and moderate (C), while non-drought conditions include mild (B), incipient (A), normal (N) and wet spells (W). The black dotted line is the 1:1 line between modelled and observed data.

The difference in mean annual GPP for both  $CLASSIC_{SOX}$  and CLASSIC compared to 479 mean annual NEE-derived GPP during peak summer might be due to uncertainties as-480 sociated with  $\text{CLASSIC}_{SOX}$  and CLASSIC parameters (Section 2.1, 2.3). Comparing 481 the simulated GPP against NEE-derived GPP observations across all sites shows improved GPP for  $CLASSIC_{SOX}$ , i.e., improved  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , RMSE, and bias compared to CLASSIC (Fig. 483 S4a). This finding is mainly due to the high  $g_c$  of  $CLASSIC_{SOX}$  at low  $\Psi_s$  compared 484 to CLASSIC (Fig. S3e). The underestimation of GPP at US-BZS and US-Uaf might be 485 due to the WD parameter because we assumed the WD of the Alaskan boreal forest sites 486 is similar to that of the Canadian boreal forest sites. Both  $CLASSIC_{SOX}$  and CLAS-487 SIC underestimated GPP at US-BZS and US-Uaf, indicating probably a structural lim-488 itation of CLASSIC. The underestimation of GPP might be linked with higher obser-489 vations (which itself derived from NEE, i.e., modelled), as the mean annual GPP unex-490 pectedly increases from 5 to 8 g C m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> from a nearby site experiencing similar en-491 vironmental conditions (US-Prr, Fig. 3f-h). Moreover, the mean annual GPP from US-492 BZS and US-Uaf is even higher than the CA-Obs, CA-Man, and CA-Qfo sites, charac-493 terized by dense tree cover, higher AI, and MAT than US-BZS and US-Uaf (Fig. 3, Ta-494 ble S1). There might be uncertainties related to the WD parameter in SOX (Section 4.2) 495 along with the issue of other hydraulic compartments of the soil-plant-atmosphere con-496 tinuum being important during drought (e.g., the soil-root hydraulic conductance might 497 decline very fast during drought; Carminati & Javaux, 2020). Higher  $g_s$  at low  $\Psi_s$  might be due to the structural limitation of SOX because SOX assumes  $\Psi_r \approx \Psi_s$  and excludes 499 the decline of soil-root hydraulic conductance during drought conditions (Eller et al., 2018; 500 Carminati & Javaux, 2020). While CLASSIC<sub>SOX</sub> demonstrably improved GPP compared 501 to CLASSIC using an SMS function,  $\text{CLASSIC}_{SOX}$  simulated poorer water fluxes with 502 an underestimated peak summer ET at CA-Obs and CA-Man (Fig. 4, S6). The under-503 estimated ET can be attributed to the CLASSIC's evaporation scheme being effectively 504 'tuned' for the SMS transpiration behaviour. As transpiration changes under the SOX 505 representation, CLASSIC's canopy and ground evaporation scheme likely needs to be re-506 assessed. The poorer ET impacted the GPP-ET relationship with a lower  $R^2$  for CLASSIC<sub>SOX</sub> 507 (Fig. 5). The slope between GPP and ET slightly increased due to the higher GPP for 508  $CLASSIC_{SOX}$  compared to CLASSIC (Fig. 5). 509 The CLASSIC<sub>SOX</sub> relationship between  $g_c$  and  $\Psi_s$  indicates that during low soil water 510 potential (i.e., drought conditions),  $CLASSIC_{SOX}$  simulated higher  $g_c$  because it used 511 plant hydraulic traits instead of the SMS function used in CLASSIC (Fig. S3). More-512 over, higher  $g_s$  for SOX during drought conditions is depicted in  $g_s$  response curves (Fig. 513 2i), where the  $g_s$  becomes approximately zero for the SMS function when the  $\Psi_s$  reaches 514

the wilting point. While SOX simulated higher  $g_s$  even at very low  $\Psi_s$  of -5.0 MPa, and low wood density (WD = 0.18 g cm<sup>-3</sup>, with  $\Psi_{50} = -1.59$  MPa and a = 2.32, Fig. 2i). At higher VPD, the plants with higher WD keep their stomates open longer meaning their simulated  $g_s$  is higher for SOX compared to CLASSIC (Fig. 2g). All of the results for CLASSIC<sub>SOX</sub> better simulate stomatal conductance response under drought conditions using plant hydraulics which was underestimated with the SMS function in CLAS-SIC (Fig. 2, S3).

#### 522

#### 4.1 $CLASSIC_{SOX}$ limitations

In this study, we tested an implementation of SOX in CLASSIC at eight boreal for-523 est flux tower sites. Implementing an explicit plant hydraulics parameterization in the 524 boreal biome adds challenges to the model simulations. One of the main challenges is 525 obtaining plant functional type/species-specific parameters (i.e.  $\Psi_{50}$  and  $k_{sl,max}$ ) for veg-526 etation in the boreal biome, as they are missing from the available plant hydraulic trait 527 databases (Lin et al., 2015; Mencuccini, Rosas, et al., 2019; Choat et al., 2012; Manzoni. 528 Vico, Porporato, & Katul, 2013). To address this challenge, we obtained WD data from 529 NFI for the entire Canadian boreal forest and used empirical equations from Christoffersen 530 et al. (2016) to calculate  $\Psi_{50}$  and  $k_{sl,max}$  for each study site. Using WD to calculate val-531

ues for plant hydraulic parameters, rather than the observed values, adds uncertainty
 to parameter values. Further uncertainties are due to mapping parameter values from
 species to PFTs, differences within the same species due to edaphic, climatic, or phe notypical factors, and measurement uncertainties. In addition, we used the SOX param-

eter values for shrubs, sedges, and C3 grasses from Eller et al. (2020).

<sup>537</sup> CLASSIC<sub>SOX</sub> is significantly computationally more expensive than CLASSIC due to a <sup>538</sup> higher number of optimizations required to determine the  $c_i$ . The lack of an efficient op-<sup>539</sup> timization algorithm (e.g. feedback control to stomatal optimization) should be addressed <sup>540</sup> in future studies to ensure the model is computationally efficient enough for high-resolution

<sup>541</sup> large domain studies (Jones et al., 2022).

#### 542 5 Conclusions

We implemented a plant hydraulics parameterization, SOX, in CLASSIC and tested 543 the resulting  $CLASSIC_{SOX}$  at the eight boreal forest flux tower sites in North Amer-544 ica. Our study used wood density and plant hydraulic traits data, which connects the 545 soil, plant, and atmosphere continuum in a single compartment. An explicit connection 546 of soil and atmosphere through plants was previously missing in the existing empirically-547 based soil moisture stress function of CLASSIC that was used to limit photosynthesis. 548 The plant hydraulics parameterization also proved to be more parameter parsimonious 549 than the soil moisture stress function used in CLASSIC, reducing the number of param-550 eters from four to one in  $CLASSIC_{SOX}$ . Experimental results for GPP at all sites were 551 more consistent with the NEE-derived observations, especially under drought conditions 552 with low soil water potential and high vapour pressure deficit. Annual accumulated GPP 553 using the plant hydraulics parameterization (CLASSIC<sub>SOX</sub>) at all eight sites (65 site-554 years) improved by 15 % compared to CLASSIC when evaluated against the NEE-derived 555 observed GPP. While  $CLASSIC_{SOX}$  improved simulated GPP under all moisture con-556 ditions (i.e. drought and non-drought), it further underestimated ET than the original 557 CLASSIC, likely due to the evaporation scheme of CLASSIC being tailored to the ex-558 isting soil moisture stress function-based transpiration scheme. Further research is needed 559 to investigate SOX across the boreal biome and its impact upon historical and future 560 carbon fluxes. 561

#### 562 6 Open Research

The current version of model is available from the project website: https://gitlab .com/cccma/classic under the Open Government License – Canada and the GNU General Public License version 2. The version of the model (CLASSIC v.1.4) used in this study.

The model benchmarking dataset used in this study is available on Zenodo: https:// doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7266010 (Qu, Roy, Melton, Black, et al., 2023).

#### 569 Acknowledgments

This work is part of the project "Using satellite L-band observations to incorporate plant hydraulic functioning into a terrestrial ecosystem model to project future boreal forest response to drought conditions and heat stress" funded through the Canadian Space Agency

<sup>573</sup> (CSA) Grant 21SUESUSLB awarded to Oliver Sonnentag.

#### 574 **References**

Anderegg, W. R., Trugman, A. T., Bowling, D. R., Salvucci, G., & Tuttle, S. E.
 (2019). Plant functional traits and climate influence drought intensification
 and land-atmosphere feedbacks. Proceedings of the National Academy of
 Sciences, 116(28), 14071–14076.

- Anderegg, W. R., & Venturas, M. D. (2020). Plant hydraulics play a critical role in earth system fluxes. *New Phytologist*, 226(6), 1535–1538.
- Best, M. J., Pryor, M., Clark, D., Rooney, G. G., Essery, R., Ménard, C., ... others
  (2011). The joint uk land environment simulator (jules), model description–
  part 1: energy and water fluxes. *Geoscientific Model Development*, 4(3),
  677–699.
- Bonan, G., Williams, M., Fisher, R., & Oleson, K. (2014). Modeling stomatal
   conductance in the earth system: linking leaf water-use efficiency and water
   transport along the soil-plant-atmosphere continuum. *Geoscientific Model Development*, 7(5), 2193-2222.
- Brodribb, T. J., & Jordan, G. J. (2008). Internal coordination between hydraulics
  and stomatal control in leaves. *Plant, Cell & Environment*, 31(11), 1557–1564.
- Buckley, T. N. (2017). Modeling stomatal conductance. *Plant physiology*, 174(2), 593 572–582.
- Buckley, T. N. (2019). How do stomata respond to water status? New Phytologist, 224(1), 21-36.
- Carminati, A., & Javaux, M. (2020). Soil rather than xylem vulnerability controls
   stomatal response to drought. *Trends in Plant Science*, 25(9), 868–880.
- <sup>598</sup> Choat, B., Jansen, S., Brodribb, T. J., Cochard, H., Delzon, S., Bhaskar, R., ...

599

600

605

606

607

608

622

623

624

- others (2012). Global convergence in the vulnerability of forests to drought. Nature, 491(7426), 752–755.
- Christoffersen, B. O., Gloor, M., Fauset, S., Fyllas, N. M., Galbraith, D. R., Baker,
   T. R., ... others (2016). Linking hydraulic traits to tropical forest function in
   a size-structured and trait-driven model (tfs v. 1-hydro). Geoscientific Model
   Development, 9(11), 4227–4255.
  - Clark, D., Mercado, L., Sitch, S., Jones, C., Gedney, N., Best, M., ... others (2011). The joint uk land environment simulator (jules), model description-part 2: carbon fluxes and vegetation dynamics. *Geoscientific Model Development*, 4(3), 701-722.
- <sup>609</sup> Collatz, G. J., Ball, J. T., Grivet, C., & Berry, J. A. (1991). Physiological and
   <sup>610</sup> environmental regulation of stomatal conductance, photosynthesis and tran <sup>611</sup> spiration: a model that includes a laminar boundary layer. Agricultural and
   <sup>612</sup> Forest meteorology, 54 (2-4), 107–136.
- Collatz, G. J., Ribas-Carbo, M., & Berry, J. A. (1992). Coupled photosynthesis stomatal conductance model for leaves of c4 plants. Functional Plant Biology,
   19(5), 519–538.
- Cowan, I., & Farquhar, G. (1977). Stomatal function in relation to leaf metabolism
   and environment. Symposia of the Society for Experimental Biology (31), 471 505.
- <sup>619</sup> Cox, P., Betts, R., Bunton, C., Essery, R., Rowntree, P., & Smith, J. (1999). The
   <sup>620</sup> impact of new land surface physics on the gcm simulation of climate and cli <sup>621</sup> mate sensitivity. *Climate Dynamics*, 15, 183–203.
  - Cox, P., Huntingford, C., & Harding, R. (1998). A canopy conductance and photosynthesis model for use in a gcm land surface scheme. *Journal of Hydrology*, 212, 79–94.
- Dewar, R. C. (2010). Maximum entropy production and plant optimization the ories. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*,
   365(1545), 1429–1435.
- Dewar, R. C., Franklin, O., Mäkelä, A., McMurtrie, R. E., & Valentine, H. T.
   (2009). Optimal function explains forest responses to global change. Bioscience, 59(2), 127–139.
- Eller, C. B., Rowland, L., Mencuccini, M., Rosas, T., Williams, K., Harper, A., ...
- others (2020). Stomatal optimization based on xylem hydraulics (sox) improves land surface model simulation of vegetation responses to climate. New

634	Phytologist, 226(6), 1622-1637.
635	Eller, C. B., Rowland, L., Oliveira, R. S., Bittencourt, P. R., Barros, F. V.,
636	Da Costa, A. C., others (2018). Modelling tropical forest responses
637	to drought and el niño with a stomatal optimization model based on xylem
638	hydraulics. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sci-
639	ences, 373(1760), 20170315.
640	Ethier, G., Livingston, N., Harrison, D., Black, T., & Moran, J. (2006). Low
641	stomatal and internal conductance to co2 versus rubisco deactivation as deter-
642	minants of the photosynthetic decline of ageing evergreen leaves. Plant, Cell $\mathcal{C}$
643	Environment, 29(12), 2168–2184.
644	Farquhar, G., Schulze, E. D., & Kuppers, M. (1980). Responses to humidity by
645	stomata of nicotiana glauca l. and corylus avellana l. are consistent with the
646	optimization of carbon dioxide uptake with respect to water loss. Functional
647	Plant Biology, 7(3), 315–327.
648	Ficklin, D. L., & Novick, K. A. (2017). Historic and projected changes in vapor pres-
649	sure deficit suggest a continental-scale drying of the united states atmosphere.
650	Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 122(4), 2061–2079.
651	Field, C. B., Jackson, R. B., & Mooney, H. A. (1995). Stomatal responses to in-
652	creased co2: implications from the plant to the global scale. Plant, Cell & En-
653	vironment, 18(10), 1214–1225.
654	Franklin, O., Harrison, S. P., Dewar, R., Farrior, C. E., Brännström, Å., Dieckmann,
655	U., others (2020). Organizing principles for vegetation dynamics. Nature
656	plants, $6(5)$ , $444-453$ .
657	Friend, A. (1995). Pgen: an integrated model of leaf photosynthesis, transpiration.
658	and conductance. Ecological Modelling, 77(2-3), 233–255.
659	Grantz, D. (1990). Plant response to atmospheric humidity. Plant. Cell & Environ-
660	ment, $13(7)$ , $667-679$ .
661	Green, J., Zhang, Y., Luo, X., & Keenan, T. (2024). Systematic underestimation of
662	canopy conductance sensitivity to drought by earth system models. AGU Ad-
663	vances, 5(1), e2023 AV 001026.
664	He, X., Wada, Y., Wanders, N., & Sheffield, J. (2017). Intensification of hydrological
665	drought in california by human water management. Geophysical Research Let-
666	ters, 44(4), 1777–1785.
667	Hickler, T., Prentice, I. C., Smith, B., Sykes, M. T., & Zaehle, S. (2006). Imple-
668	menting plant hydraulic architecture within the lpj dynamic global vegetation
669	model. Global Ecology and Biogeography, 15(6), 567–577.
670	Jacobs, C. M. J. (1994). Direct impact of atmospheric co 2 enrichment on regional
671	transpiration. Wageningen University and Research.
672	Jones, S., Eller, C. B., & Cox, P. M. (2022). Application of feedback control to
673	stomatal optimisation in a global land surface model. Frontiers in Environ-
674	mental Science, 10, 2167.
675	Kennedy, D., Swenson, S., Oleson, K. W., Lawrence, D. M., Fisher, R., Lola da
676	Costa, A. C., & Gentine, P. (2019). Implementing plant hydraulics in the com-
677	munity land model, version 5. Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems,
678	11(2), 485–513.
679	Kyker-Snowman, E., Lombardozzi, D. L., Bonan, G. B., Cheng, S. J., Dukes, J. S.,
680	Frey, S. D.,, others (2022). Increasing the spatial and temporal impact of
681	ecological research: A roadmap for integrating a novel terrestrial process into
682	an earth system model. Wiley Online Library.
683	Lambert, M. S., Tang, H., Aas, K. S., Stordal, F., Fisher, R. A., Fang, Y., Par-
684	mentier, FJ. W. (2022). Inclusion of a cold hardening scheme to represent
685	frost tolerance is essential to model realistic plant hydraulics in the arctic-
686	boreal zone in clm5. 0-fates-hydro. Geoscientific Model Development. 15(23).
687	8809-8829.
688	Leuning, R. (1995). A critical appraisal of a combined stomatal-photosynthesis

689	model for c3 plants. Plant, Cell & Environment, 18(4), 339–355.
690	Lin, YS., Medlyn, B. E., Duursma, R. A., Prentice, I. C., Wang, H., Baig, S.,
691	others (2015). Optimal stomatal behaviour around the world. <i>Nature Climate</i>
602	Change $5(5)$ 459-464
092	Manzoni S. Vice, C. Katul, C. Palmroth S. Jackson, B. B. & Perperate A.
693	(2012) Hydroulia limits on maximum plant transmission and the amorganes of
694	(2013). Hydraune mints on maximum plant transpiration and the energence of the effettive effective $de = df$ . New Distribution $100(1)$ , $100(12)$
695	the safety-enciency trade-on. New Phytologist, 198(1), 109–178.
696	Manzoni, S., Vico, G., Porporato, A., & Katul, G. (2013). Biological constraints on
697	water transport in the soil-plant-atmosphere system. Advances in Water Re-
698	sources, 51, 292–304.
699	Martínez-Vilalta, J., Poyatos, R., Aguadé, D., Retana, J., & Mencuccini, M. (2014).
700	A new look at water transport regulation in plants. New phytologist, $204(1)$ ,
701	105 - 115.
702	Martin-StPaul, N., Delzon, S., & Cochard, H. (2017). Plant resistance to drought
703	depends on timely stomatal closure. <i>Ecology letters</i> , 20(11), 1437–1447.
704	Medlyn B E Barton C Broadmeadow M Ceulemans B De Angelis P
705	Forstreuter M others (2001) Stomatal conductance of forest species
706	after long-term exposure to elevated co2 concentration: a synthesis
700	Phytologist = 1/9(2) = 247-264
707	Medlum D.E. De Keurre M.C. Zeehle C. Wellien A. D. Duureree D. A. Luur
708	Mediyii, D. E., De Kauwe, M. G., Zaenie, S., Walker, A. P., Duurshia, R. A., Luus,
709	K., otners (2016). Using models to guide field experiments: A priori pre-
710	dictions for the co-2 response of a nutrient-and water-limited native eucalypt
711	woodland. Global Change Biology, 22(8), 2834–2851.
712	Medlyn, B. E., Duursma, R. A., Eamus, D., Ellsworth, D. S., Prentice, I. C., Bar-
713	ton, C. V., Wingate, L. (2011). Reconciling the optimal and empirical
714	approaches to modelling stomatal conductance. Global Change Biology, $17(6)$ ,
715	2134-2144.
716	Melton, J. R., & Arora, V. (2016). Competition between plant functional types
717	in the canadian terrestrial ecosystem model (ctem) v. 2.0. Geoscientific Model
718	Development, g(1), 323-361.
719	Melton, J. R., Arora, V. K., Wisernig-Cojoc, E., Seiler, C., Fortier, M., Chan, E.,
720	& Teckentrup, L. (2020). Classic v1. 0: the open-source community succes-
721	sor to the canadian land surface scheme (class) and the canadian terrestrial
722	ecosystem model (ctem)-part 1. Model framework and site-level performance
722	Geoscientific Model Development 13(6) 2825–2850
725	Moncuccini M. Manzoni S. & Christofferson B. (2010). Modelling water fluxes in
724	nlanta: from tissues to biognhoro. New Phatologist 202(3), 1207, 1222
725	Manuarini M. Derre T. Derreland I. Cheet D. Compliance II. January C.
726	Mencuccini, M., Rosas, I., Rowland, L., Choat, B., Cornelissen, H., Jansen, S.,
727	others (2019). Lear economics and plant hydraulics drive lear: wood area $\frac{1}{12}$
728	ratios. New Phytologist, $224(4)$ , $1544-1556$ .
729	Miralles, D. G., Gentine, P., Seneviratne, S. I., & Teuling, A. J. (2019). Land-
730	atmospheric feedbacks during droughts and heatwaves: state of the science and
731	current challenges. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, $1436(1)$ ,
732	19 - 35.
733	Mott, K. A. (1988). Do stomata respond to co2 concentrations other than intercellu-
734	lar? Plant physiology, $86(1)$ , 200–203.
735	NFI. (accessed 08 25, 2022). National forest inventory. 2021. canada's national forest
736	inventory –ground-plot data, version 2.0. [dataset]. NFI.
737	Palmer W C (1965) Meteorological drought (Vol. 30) US Department of Com-
730	merce Weather Bureau
1 30	Deschalig A De Keuwe M C Sabet M & Estichi S (2024) When de plant
739	i aschans, A., De Rauwe, M. G., Sabot, M., & Fatteni, S. (2024). When do plant
740	nyuraunce matter in terrestrial diosphere modelling: Global Unange Biology, 20(1) = 17022
741	$\partial U(1), et (U22.$
742	Prentice, I. C., Dong, N., Gleason, S. M., Maire, V., & Wright, I. J. (2014). Bal-
743	ancing the costs of carbon gain and water transport: testing a new theoretical

744	framework for plant functional ecology. Ecology letters, $17(1)$ , $82-91$ .
745	Qu, B., Roy, A., Melton, J. R., Baltzer, J. L., Ryu, Y., Detto, M., & Sonnentag, O.
746	(2023). Optimizing maximum carboxylation rate for north america's boreal
747	forests in the canadian land surface scheme including biogeochemical cycles
748	(classic) v. 1.3. EGUsphere, 2023, 1–19.
749	Qu, B., Roy, A., Melton, J. R., Black, T. A., Amiro, B., Euskirchen, E. S., oth-
750	ers (2023). A boreal forest model benchmarking dataset for north america:
751	a case study with the canadian land surface scheme including biogeochemical
752	cycles (classic) [dataset]. Environmental Research Letters, 18(8), 085002.
753	Rahmstorf, S., & Coumou, D. (2011). Increase of extreme events in a warming
754	world. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 108(44), 17905–
755	17909.
756	Ronda B De Bruin H & Holtslag A (2001) Representation of the canopy con-
757	ductance in modeling the surface energy budget for low vegetation <i>Journal of</i>
759	Applied Meteorology and Climatology $\mathcal{J}_{0}(8)$ 1431–1444
750	Sabot M F Do Kauwo M C Pitman A I Modlym B F Elleworth D S
759	Martin StPaul N K others (2022) One stomatal model to rule them all?
760	toward improved representation of earbon and water eveloping alobal mod
761	$d_{\text{Lowman}} = \int d_{\text{Lowman}} d_{Lowma$
762	Schot M. E. Do Kourno, M. C. Ditmon, A. I. Modlum, D. E. Verboof, A. Ultrolo
763	A M & Abramanita C (2020) Diant matit manimization improved pro-
764	A. M., & Abrahowitz, G. (2020). Frant profit maximization improves pre- dictions of superson forest non-negative draught $N_{\rm ev}$ Distributed scient $\partial \partial \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{E})$
765	actions of european forest responses to drought. <i>New Phytologist</i> , 220(0),
766	
767	Savage, V. M., Bentley, L. P., Enquist, B. J., Sperry, J. S., Smith, D., Reich, P. B.,
768	& von Alimen, E. (2010). Hydraulic trade-offs and space filling enable better
769	predictions of vascular structure and function in plants. Proceedings of the $N_{\rm eff}$
770	National Academy of Sciences, 107(52), 22722–22727.
771	Seager, R., Hooks, A., Williams, A. P., Cook, B., Nakamura, J., & Henderson, N.
772	(2015). Climatology, variability, and trends in the us vapor pressure deficit, an
773	important fire-related meteorological quantity. Journal of Applied Meteorology
774	and $Climatology, 54(6), 1121-1141.$
775	Seiler, C., Melton, J. R., Arora, V. K., & Wang, L. (2021). Classic v1. 0: the open-
776	source community successor to the canadian land surface scheme (class) and
777	the canadian terrestrial ecosystem model (ctem)-part 2: Global benchmarking
778	[software]. Geoscientific Model Development, 14(5), 2371–2417.
779	Sellers, P. J., Tucker, C. J., Collatz, G. J., Los, S. O., Justice, C. O., Dazlich, D. A.,
780	& Randall, D. A. (1996). A revised land surface parameterization (sib2)
781	for atmospheric gcms. part ii: The generation of global fields of terrestrial
782	biophysical parameters from satellite data. Journal of climate, $9(4)$ , 706–737.
783	Sperry, J. S., Adler, F., Campbell, G., & Comstock, J. (1998). Limitation of plant
784	water use by rhizosphere and xylem conductance: results from a model. <i>Plant</i> ,
785	Cell $\mathcal{B}$ Environment, 21(4), 347–359.
786	Sperry, J. S., & Tyree, M. T. (1988). Mechanism of water stress-induced xylem em-
787	bolism. Plant physiology, $88(3)$ , $581-587$ .
788	Sperry, J. S., Venturas, M. D., Anderegg, W. R., Mencuccini, M., Mackay, D. S.,
789	Wang, Y., & Love, D. M. (2017). Predicting stomatal responses to the en-
790	vironment from the optimization of photosynthetic gain and hydraulic cost.
791	Plant, cell & environment, $40(6)$ , 816–830.
792	Trugman, A., Medvigy, D., Mankin, J., & Anderegg, W. (2018). Soil moisture stress
793	as a major driver of carbon cycle uncertainty. Geophysical Research Letters,
794	45(13),  6495-6503.
795	Tyree, M. T., Davis, S. D., & Cochard, H. (1994). Biophysical perspectives of
796	xylem evolution: is there a tradeoff of hydraulic efficiency for vulnerability to
	dysfunction? $IAWA iournal, 15(4), 335-360$ .

<sup>798</sup> Tyree, M. T., & Sperry, J. S. (1989). Vulnerability of xylem to cavitation and em-

799	bolism. Annual review of plant biology, $40(1)$ , 19–36.
800	Ukkola, A., De Kauwe, M., Pitman, A., Best, M., Abramowitz, G., Haverd, V.,
801	Haughton, N. (2016). Land surface models systematically overestimate
802	the intensity, duration and magnitude of seasonal-scale evaporative droughts.
803	Environmental Research Letters, $11(10)$ , $104012$ .
804	Wang, Y., Sperry, J. S., Anderegg, W. R., Venturas, M. D., & Trugman, A. T.
805	(2020). A theoretical and empirical assessment of stomatal optimization mod-
806	eling. New Phytologist, $227(2)$ , $311-325$ .
807	Wang, Y., Sperry, J. S., Venturas, M. D., Trugman, A. T., Love, D. M., & Anderegg,
808	W. R. $(2019)$ . The stomatal response to rising co2 concentration and drought
809	is predicted by a hydraulic trait-based optimization model. Tree physiology,
810	39(8), 1416-1427.
811	Wells, N., Goddard, S., & Hayes, M. J. (2004). A self-calibrating palmer drought
812	severity index. Journal of climate, $17(12)$ , $2335-2351$ .
813	Williams, M., Law, B. E., Anthoni, P. M., & Unsworth, M. H. (2001). Use of a sim-
814	ulation model and ecosystem flux data to examine carbon–water interactions
815	in ponderosa pine. Tree physiology, 21(5), 287–298.
816	Williams, M., Rastetter, E., Fernandes, D., Goulden, M., Wofsy, S., Shaver, G.,
817	Nadelhoffer, K. (1996). Modelling the soil-plant-atmosphere continuum in a
818	quercus-acer stand at harvard forest: the regulation of stomatal conductance
819	by light, nitrogen and soil/plant hydraulic properties. Plant, Cell & Environ-
820	ment, 19(8), 911-927.
821	Zomer, R. J., Xu, J., & Trabucco, A. (2022). Version 3 of the global aridity index
822	and potential evapotranspiration database. Scientific Data, $9(1)$ , 409.

## Supporting Information for "Implementing a plant hydraulics parameterization in the Canadian Land Surface Scheme Including biogeochemical Cycles (CLASSIC) v.1.4"

Muhammad Umair<sup>1</sup>, Joe R. Melton<sup>2</sup>, Alexandre Roy<sup>3</sup>, Cleiton B. Eller<sup>4</sup>,

Jennifer Baltzer<sup>5</sup>, Bram Hadiwijaya<sup>1,6</sup>, Bo Qu<sup>1</sup>, Nia Perron<sup>1,7</sup>, Oliver

 $Sonnentag^1$ 

 $^1\mathrm{D}\acute{\mathrm{e}}$ partement de géographie, Université de Montréal, Montréal, QC, H2V 2B8, Canada.

<sup>2</sup>Climate Research Division, Environment and Climate Change Canada, Victoria, B.C., V8W 3V6, Canada.

<sup>3</sup>Département des Sciences de l'Environnement, Université du Québec à Trois-Rivières (UQTR), Trois-Rivières, Québec, G9A 5H7,

Canada.

<sup>4</sup>Department of Biology, Federal University of Ceará, Fortaleza, Brazil.

<sup>5</sup>Department of Biology, Wilfrid Laurier University, Waterloo, ON, N2L 3C5, Canada.

<sup>6</sup>Department of Sustainability Research, SMART Research Institute, Riau, 28686, Indonesia.

<sup>7</sup>Institut des sciences de la forêt tempérée (ISFORT), Université du Québec en Outaouais (UQO), 58 rue principale, Ripon, QC J0V

1V0, Canada

Corresponding author: Oliver Sonnentag, Muhammad Umair, Département de géographie, Université de Montréal, Montréal, QC, H2V 2B8, Canada. (oliver.sonnentag@umontreal.ca, muhammad.umair.1@umontreal.ca)

#### Contents of this file

- 1. Text S1 to S2  $\,$
- 2. Figures S1 to S8
- 3. Tables S1 to S2

Text S1. The shape of the leaf water potential  $(\Psi_l)$  vulnerability curve parameter (a) can be calculated as a function of the xylem water potential  $(\Psi_{50})$  using empirical relationship from Christoffersen et al. (2016). The relation between the  $\Psi_{50}$  and the slope for the linear portion of the vulnerability function is given as:

$$s_x = 65.15(-\Psi_{50})^{-1.25} \tag{1}$$

then a can be calculated as:

$$a = -4\frac{s_x}{100}\Psi_{50} \tag{2}$$

due to the scarcity of observed  $\Psi_{50}$  data, we calculated it following the empirical relationship from Christoffersen et al. (2016) using observed wood density (WD, g cm<sup>-3</sup>) as:

$$\Psi_{50} = -(3.57WD)^{1.73} - 1.09\tag{3}$$

**Text S2.** The plant maximum xylem hydraulic conductance  $(k_{sl,max})$  was calculated using the same equations as in Eller et al. (2018) followed by Christoffersen et al. (2016) and Savage et al. (2010). It was calculated on a leaf-area basis from the maximum

canopy height (h; m), maximum petiole-level hydraulic conductivity ( $K_{pet,max}$ ; mol m<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> MPa<sup>-1</sup>), huber value ( $H_v$ ) which is calculated as the ratio between active xylem area (sapwood area -  $A_s$ ; m<sup>2</sup>m<sup>-2</sup>) and the leaf area ( $A_l$ ; m<sup>2</sup>m<sup>-2</sup>), and a tapering factor ( $X_{tap}$ ; unitless) which accounts for the changes in conduit diameter as the plant height changes from bottom to the top of the tree.

$$k_{sl,max} = \frac{K_{pet,max}h_v}{h}X_{tap} \tag{4}$$

 $K_{pet,max}$  is calculated using maximum branch xylem conductivity ( $K_{x,max}$ ; mol m<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> MPa<sup>-1</sup>) following Christoffersen et al. (2016):

$$K_{pet,max} = \left[\frac{r_{int,pet}}{r_{int,ref}}\right]^2 K_{x,max}$$
(5)

where  $r_{int,pet}$  is the petiole conduit radius (10  $\mu$ m) and  $r_{int,ref}$  is the conduit radius of the terminal branches (22  $\mu$ m), to represent conduit tapering from branch to petiole (Friend, 1995). The  $K_{x,max}$  can be calculated using an empirical function from Christoffersen et al. (2016) as:

$$K_{x,max} = \frac{0.0021e^{-26.6WD/A_{max}}}{A_l A_s} \tag{6}$$

where WD is the wood density (g cm<sup>-3</sup>) and  $A_{max}$  is the maximum photosynthetic capacity ( $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>). The hydraulic tapering factor ( $X_{tap}$ ) is calculated as:

$$X_{tap} = \frac{X_{tap:notap}(h)}{X_{tap:notap}(1)} \tag{7}$$

where  $X_{tap:notap}(h)$  and  $X_{tap:notap}(1)$  are factors that represent the ratio of the theoretical whole tree conductance with taper ( $K_{max,tap}$ ) to that without taper ( $K_{max,notap}$ ) at height h and 1 m, respectively. These factors are calculated following the Savage et al. (2010) and Christoffersen et al. (2016).

$$K_{max} = a(n_{ext}^{N/2})^b \tag{8}$$

where a and b are constants set to  $7.2 \times 10^{-13}$  and 1.32, to calculate  $K_{max,notap}$  and  $6.6 \times 10^{-13}$  and 1.85 for  $K_{max,tap}$  (Christoffersen et al., 2016). The  $n_{ext}$  represents the branching patterns in the Savage et al. (2010) model and is set to 2. The N is the total number of branching levels, calculated as a function of h:

$$N = \frac{3ln \left[1 - \frac{h}{L_{pet}} (1 - n_{ext}^{1/3})\right]}{ln(n_{ext})} - 1$$
(9)

where  $L_{pet}$  is petiole length set to 0.04 m (Savage et al., 2010).

#### References

Christoffersen, B. O., Gloor, M., Fauset, S., Fyllas, N. M., Galbraith, D. R., Baker,

T. R., ... others (2016). Linking hydraulic traits to tropical forest function in a size-

structured and trait-driven model (tfs v. 1-hydro). *Geoscientific Model Development*, 9(11), 4227–4255.

- Eller, C. B., Rowland, L., Oliveira, R. S., Bittencourt, P. R., Barros, F. V., Da Costa,
  A. C., ... others (2018). Modelling tropical forest responses to drought and el
  niño with a stomatal optimization model based on xylem hydraulics. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 373(1760), 20170315.
- Friend, A. (1995). Pgen: an integrated model of leaf photosynthesis, transpiration, and conductance. *Ecological Modelling*, 77(2-3), 233–255.
- Savage, V. M., Bentley, L. P., Enquist, B. J., Sperry, J. S., Smith, D., Reich, P. B., & Von Allmen, E. (2010). Hydraulic trade-offs and space filling enable better predictions of vascular structure and function in plants. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 107(52), 22722–22727.
- Zomer, R. J., Xu, J., & Trabucco, A. (2022). Version 3 of the global aridity index and potential evapotranspiration database. *Scientific Data*, 9(1), 409.



Figure S1. Eight flux tower sites used in the study with aridity index from Zomer et al. (2022)



Figure S2. Palmer drought severity index (PDSI) for all sites.\*W indicates all the categories under wet conditions. Grey and white bars represent each year.



Figure S3. Histograms and kernel density estimates between canopy conductance  $(g_c)$  and meteorological variables (incident photosynthetic active radiations  $(I_{PAR})$ , canopy temperature  $(T_c)$ , vapour pressure deficit (VPD)), atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration  $(c_a)$ , and soil water potential  $(\Psi_s)$ .



Figure S4. Combined scatter plots of GPP and ET for all sites. The black dotted line is the1:1 line between modelled and observed data.



Figure S5. Scatter plots of NEE-derived GPP with CLASSIC and CLASSIC<sub>SOX</sub> simulations for all sites. The black dotted line is the 1:1 line between modelled and NEE-derived GPP.



Figure S6. Scatter plots of observed ET with CLASSIC and CLASSIC<sub>SOX</sub> simulations for all sites. The black dotted line is the 1:1 line between modelled and observed ET.



**April 4, 2024, 7:43pm Figure S7.** R<sup>2</sup>, RMSE, and bias of GPP and ET for March-April-May (MAM), June-July-August (JJA), September-October-November (SON), and all seasons combined (All) for all sites.



Figure S8. Water potential at 50 % loss of maximum hydraulic conductance ( $\Psi_{50}$ ) and vulnerability curve parameter (a) are calculated using wood density following empirical relationship from (Christoffersen et al., 2016).

	US-Uaf	US-PII	US-BZS	CA- HPC	CA- SMC	CA-Qfo	CA- Man	CA-Obs	Site ID
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	University of Alaska, Fairbanks / Fair- banks Alaska	Poker Flat Research Range Black Spruce Forest / Fairbanks, Alaska	Bonanza Creek Black Spruce / Fairbanks, Alaska	Havikpak Creek / Northwest Territories, Canada	Smith Creek / North- west Territories, Canada	Quebec-Eastern Bo- real, Mature Black Spruce / Quebec,	Canada Manitoba-Northern Old Black Spruce / Manitoba, Canada	Saskatchewan- Western Boreal, Mature Black Spruce	Site Name
	64.87°N	65.12°N	64.70°N	68.33°N	63.15°N	49.69°N	55.88°N	53.99°N	Latitude
	147.86°W	147.49°W	148.32°W	133.5°W	123.25°W	74.34°W	98.48°W	105.12°W	Longitude
	2003- 2018	2011- 2014	2014- 2018	2016- 2018	2018- 2019	2003- 2010	1994- 2008	1999- 2010	Years
	[20% ENF] [45% EBS, 10% DBS, 25% SDC]	EORDJ [20% ENF] [14% EBS, 13% DBS, 24% SDG]	[21% ENF] [75% EBS, 22% DBS, 39% SDG, 13%	FORB] [15% ENF] [58% EBS, 5% DBS, 4% C3G, 3% FORB]	[22% ENF] [34% EBS, 12% DBS, 15% SDG, 4%	[90% ENF] [10% DBS]	[90% ENF] [5% EBS, 5% DBS]	[90% ENF] [10% DNF]	PFT [Overstory] [Understory]
Lichen	76% Sphagnum, 14% Feath- ermoss 10%	14% Sphagnum, 13% Feath- ermoss, 13% Lichen	lichen 6% Sphagnum, 73% Feath- ermoss, 10%	Lichen 4% brown moss, 9% Sphagnum, 18% feather moss, 42%	25% Feath- ermoss, 15%	Feathermoss 13% Sphagnum, 46% Feath- ermoss, 6%	17% Brown moss, 34% Sphagnum, 53%	10% Sphagnum, 70% Feath- ermoss, 10% Lichen	Ground Cover
	20% spruce	20% spruce	21% spruce	15% spruce	22% spruce	90% spruce	90% spruce	90% spruce, Tamara	Species
	Black	Black	Black	Black	Black	Black	Black	Black 10% ack	
	-2.9	-2.0	-2.4	-8.2	-2.8	-0.4	-3.2	0.8	MAT (°C)
	263	275	274	241	388	962	520	406	$\overline{\rm MAP}$ (mm)

Table S1. Site details include location, time period, PFT (overstory and understory), ground cover, species, mean annual

UMAIR ET AL.: PLANT HYDRAULICS IN CLASSIC

X - 14

**Table S2.**Input variables and parameters used in the stomatal response curves for SMSfunction of CLASSIC and SOX standalone simulations, which are shown in figure 2 and discussed

in Section 3.1.

Variables/Parameters	Name	Value		
input variables	incident photosynthetic radiation $(I_{PAR})$	$2000 \ \mu mol m^{-2} s^{-1}$		
	atmospheric $CO_2$ concentration ( $c_a$ )	40 Pa		
	canopy temperature $(T_c)$	$20^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$		
	vapour pressure deficit (VPD)	0.5  kPa		
	soil water potential $(\Psi_s)$	- 0.1 MPa		
	atmospheric pressure $(\mathbf{P}_{atm})$	0.1 MPa		
	air $O_2$ concentration $(O_a)$	$0.2 \text{ mol mol}^{-1}$		
input parameters	maximum rubisco carboxylation at $25^{\circ}C(V_{max})$	$5 \ge 10^{-4} \mod m^{-2} \ s^{-1}$		
	leaf scatter coefficient $(v)$	0.15		
	high temperature photosynthesis limit $(T_{high})$	$40^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$		
	low temperature photosynthesis limit $(T_{low})$	$10^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$		
	quantum efficiency $(\epsilon)$	$0.1 \text{ mol mol}^{-1}$		
	plant height $(h)$	20 m		
	maximum soil-to-leaf hydraulic conductance	$0.01 \text{ mol } \text{m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$		
	$(k_{sl,max})$	$MPa^{-1}$		
	photosynthesis canopy conductance coupling pa-	9.0		
	rameter (m)			
	photosynthesis canopy conductance coupling pa-	0.01		
	rameter (b)			
	photosynthesis canopy conductance coupling pa-	2000 Pa		
	rameter $(V_o)$			
	PFT specific sensitivity to soil moisture stress $(\varrho)$	2.0		

April 4, 2024, 7:43pm