# Major modes of climate variability dominate nonlinear Antarctic ice-sheet elevation changes 2002-2020

Matt A $\operatorname{King}^1$  and Poul Christoffersen^2

<sup>1</sup>University of Tasmania <sup>2</sup>University of Cambridge

March 05, 2024

#### Abstract

We explore the links between elevation variability of the Antarctic Ice Sheet (AIS) and large-scale climate modes. Using multiple linear regression, we quantify the cumulative effects of El Nino Southern Oscillation (ENSO) and the Southern Annular Mode (SAM) on gridded AIS elevations. Cumulative ENSO and SAM explain a median of 29% of the partial variance and up to 85% in some coastal areas. After spatial smoothing, these signals have high spatial correlation with those from GRACE gravimetry ( $r^{-}=0.65$  each). Much of the signal is removed by a model of firn densification but inter-model differences exist especially for ENSO. At the lower parts of the Thwaites and Pine Island glaciers, near their grounding line, we find the Amundsen Sea Low (ASL) explains  $^{90}$ % of the observed elevation variability. There, firn effects explain only a small fraction of the variability, suggesting significant height changes have a climatological ice-dynamic response.

# 1 Major modes of climate variability dominate nonlinear Antarctic ice-sheet elevation

2 changes 2002-2020

## 3 Matt A. King<sup>1,2</sup>, Poul Christoffersen<sup>2,3</sup>

- 4 <sup>1</sup>Surveying and Spatial Sciences, School of Geography, Planning, and Spatial Sciences,
- 5 University of Tasmania, Hobart, Tasmania 7001, Australia
- <sup>2</sup>The Australian Centre for Excellence in Antarctic Science, University of Tasmania, Hobart,
   Tasmania 7001, Australia
- <sup>3</sup>Institute for Marine and Antarctic Studies, University of Tasmania, Hobart, Tasmania 7001,
   Australia

10

11 Corresponding author: Matt King (<u>Matt.King@utas.edu.au</u>)

#### 12 Key Points:

- Cumulative effects of large-scale climate modes dominate detrended altimeter time series
   of Antarctic ice elevation 2002-2020
- These decadal signals have the same spatial pattern in altimeter ice height and GRACE
   mass time series.
- These decadal signals are largely due to surface mass balance, but ice dynamic changes
   may play a role in the Amundsen Sea Embayment

#### 19 Abstract

- 20 We explore the links between elevation variability of the Antarctic Ice Sheet (AIS) and large-
- scale climate modes. Using multiple linear regression, we quantify the cumulative effects of El
- 22 Nino Southern Oscillation (ENSO) and the Southern Annular Mode (SAM) on gridded AIS
- elevations. Cumulative ENSO and SAM explain a median of 29% of the partial variance and up
- to 85% in some coastal areas. After spatial smoothing, these signals have high spatial correlation
- with those from GRACE gravimetry (r~=0.65 each). Much of the signal is removed by a model
- of firn densification but inter-model differences exist especially for ENSO. At the lower parts of
- the Thwaites and Pine Island glaciers, near their grounding line, we find the Amundsen Sea Low
  (ASL) explains ~90% of the observed elevation variability. There, firn effects explain only a
- (ASL) explains ~90% of the observed elevation variability. There, firn effects explain only a
   small fraction of the variability, suggesting significant height changes have a climatological ice-
- 30 dynamic response.
- 31

#### 32 Plain Language Summary

33 This study investigates how variations in the height of the Antarctic Ice Sheet (AIS) are

- 34 connected to large-scale climate patterns. We used a statistical method to measure the effects of
- two climate phenomena: El Nino Southern Oscillation (ENSO) and the Southern Annular Mode
- 36 (SAM). We found that the cumulative effects of these phenomena account for about 29% of the
- variations in AIS height on average, and up to 85% in some coastal areas. These patterns match
- well with independent data from the GRACE satellites over the same period. Applying a model
- that considers the compacting of snow into ice (firn densification) removes much of this signal, suggesting much, but not all, of the signals are related to snowfall variations. At the fronts of the
- rapidly changing Thwaites and Pine Island glaciers, the dominant climate phenomenon is the
- 42 Amundsen Sea Low (ASL), which varies in strength and location. Here, the cumulative effects
- 43 of the ASL changes explain about 90% of the variations in height of these glaciers, with only a
- small part explained by firn effects. We suggest the unexplained variability is at least partly due
- 45 to changes in ice flow.
- 46

#### 47 **1 Introduction**

- 48 Observations of the changing volume of the Antarctic Ice Sheet play a major role in
- 49 understanding ice-sheet change (e.g., Otosaka et al., 2023; Shepherd et al., 2012) from the
- 50 whole-of-ice-sheet down to individual glaciers (e.g., Smith et al., 2020; Wingham et al., 2009).
- 51 The now three-decade record of continuous ice volume change captures the variability and
- 52 longer-term change of both surface mass balance (SMB), and related firn processes, and
- elevation effects of changing ice dynamics. These changes are, respectively, related to
- <sup>54</sup> atmospheric and oceanic processes (Horwath et al., 2012; Smith et al., 2020). Several studies
- 55 have examined the relationship between ice height changes and modes of climate variability, in
- 56 particular linking them to both El Niño Southern Oscillation (ENSO) and the Antarctic
- 57 Circumpolar Wave (Kaitheri et al., 2021; Mémin et al., 2015; Mémin et al., 2014).
- 58 Strangely, less studied in this context is the role of the dominant mode of climate variability in
- 59 the Southern Hemisphere, the Southern Annular Mode (SAM). Despite SAM driving variability
- and trends in SMB across a wide range of timescales (Diener et al., 2021; Medley & Thomas,

- 61 2019; van den Broeke & van Lipzig, 2017), SAM has yet to be linked to observations of ice
- 62 sheet elevation change, with one related study reporting no correlation to estimates of ice shelf
- 63 elevation change (Paolo et al., 2018). By contrast, the cumulative sum of SAM (Diener et al.,
- 64 2021; Kim et al., 2020) has recently been shown to be linearly related to the dominant signal in
- detrended surface mass time series derived from satellite gravimetry (King et al., 2023), with
- 66 large-scale spatially-coherent signal across coastal regions at decadal timescales.

67 The ~300 km spatial resolution of satellite gravimetry, combined with uncertainties in models of

- 68 SMB (Mottram et al., 2021), meant that King et al. (2023) were not able to separate the relative
- contributions of SMB and ice dynamical change forced respectively by the atmosphere and
   ocean (Hansen et al., 2021; Kim et al., 2020; Palóczy et al., 2018; Spence et al., 2017; Thomas et
- ocean (Hansen et al., 2021; Kim et al., 2020; Palóczy et al., 2018; Spence et al., 2017; Thomas et al., 2017; Verfaillie et al., 2022). In particular, ice dynamical change will have a distinct spatial
- pattern compared to SMB that is not detectable by GRACE but could be possible with altimetry
- (Smith et al., 2020). Detecting (or otherwise) a response of the grounded ice sheet to large-scale
- reclimate variability via the oceans and ice shelves would provide important insights into ice-sheet
- 75 sensitivity to climate change.
- 76 In this paper we analyze a recent gridded compilation of satellite altimeter data and compare
- these time series to cumulative climate indices. We compare the derived signals to those from
- <sup>78</sup> space gravimetry and then, taking advantage of the high-resolution altimeter data, explore the
- 79 signal over key ice streams: Thwaites, Pine Island, Totten, and Denman.
- 80

#### 81 2 Datasets and Analysis

#### 82 2.1 Altimeter dataset

83 We make use of a gridded altimeter product (Nilsson et al., 2023) at 1920 m horizontal

resolution and covering the period from Apr 1985 to Dec 2020 (Nilsson et al., 2022). We

- spatially down-sample this to 5 km horizontal resolution. To facilitate comparison with space
- gravimetry data we only make use of data from 2002 to the end of the record. The dataset
- 87 contains monthly ice-sheet elevation-change data derived from a range of radar and laser
- altimeter missions; over the study period these are ERS-2, Envisat and CryoSat-2 and ICESat
- and ICESat-2. The approach to accounting for differences in reflection surfaces and other
- systematic effects is described by Nilsson et al. (2022). To reduce spatial noise we apply a
  Gaussian smoother with widths specified below, with width defined at the half height of the
- function, consistent with the definition commonly used in GRACE data smoothing (Wahr et al.,
- 93 1998).
- 94 2.2 Space gravimetry dataset
- 95 We use the COST-G RL01 Level-3 50 km gridded GRACE and GRACE-FO V0002 dataset
- obtained from http://gravis.gfz-potsdam.de/antarctica (Sasgen et al., 2020). We make use of data
- from Mar 2002 to Dec 2020, with the end point chosen to match the end of the altimetry dataset.

- <sup>98</sup> The data are spaced approximately monthly and with a data gap of  $\sim 12$  months between GRACE and GRACE EQ from mid 2017 to mid 2018
- and GRACE-FO from mid-2017 to mid-2018.
- 100 We note that while this product is gridded at 50 km, the intrinsic GRACE resolution is 200-
- 101 300 km. Post-processing steps include replacement of low-degree GRACE coefficients and
- 102 insertion of degree-1 terms using standard approaches (Dahle & Murböck, 2020; Sasgen et al.,
- 103 2020).
- 104 Since we are interested in decadal variability and trends, we also lightly smooth the altimetry and 105 GRACE data with a Gaussian filter with width 7 months (Wahr et al., 1998).
- 106 *2.3 Climate indices*
- 107 We compare the altimeter and GRACE data primarily with SAM and ENSO indices, with
- additional comparison to Amundsen Sea Low (ASL) indices in the Amundsen Sea region. For
- 109 the ASL indices, we make use of both the absolute center pressure (ASLP) and longitude (ASL $\lambda$ )
- within the ASL Index version 3.20210820-era5 based on monthly ERA5 reanalysis data
- 111 (Hosking et al., 2016). For SAM, we make use of the Marshall station index (Marshall, 2003).
- For ENSO, we make use of the Nino3.4 index based on the HadISST1 dataset (Rayner, 2003)
- and use a 6-month lag (King et al., 2023; Paolo et al., 2018). We normalized each index with the
- mean and standard deviation computed over 1971-1999 inclusive, then cumulatively summed
- them, limited them to the data period, and then renormalized to produce  $SAM_{\Sigma}$ ,  $ENSO_{\Sigma}$ ,  $ASLP_{\Sigma}$ ,
- 116 and ASL $\lambda_{\Sigma}$ .
- 117 The raw indices and their cumulative sums are shown in Fig S1. Correlations above 0.7 are
- evident between ASLP<sub> $\Sigma$ </sub> and SAM<sub> $\Sigma$ </sub> and between ASL $\lambda_{\Sigma}$  and ENSO<sub> $\Sigma$ </sub> (Fig S1, S2). This is due to
- the ASL being affected by larger-scale modes of climate variability, with SAM in particular
- 120 modulating its absolute pressure and ENSO modulating the longitude of its center (Clem et al.,
- 121 2017; Hosking et al., 2016; Turner et al., 2013).
- 122 2.4 Multi-variate Empirical Orthogonal Functions
- 123 For a data-driven analysis we make use of Multi-variate Empirical Orthogonal Functions
- 124 (MEOF) (Wang, 1992). MEOFs are an extension of conventional Empirical Orthogonal
- 125 Functions but allow the dominant modes across multiple variables to be identified rather than
- treating each variable separately. We use MEOF to analyze the elevation and mass change
- 127 gridded datasets after individual normalization. We first smooth the altimetry dataset with a
- 128 50 km-wide Gaussian smoother and sub-sample the altimeter dataset to match the 50 km
- horizontal resolution of GRACE. Given the limited sampling of altimetry in the northern
- 130 Antarctic Peninsula we truncate that region from both datasets prior to computing MEOFs.
- 131 2.5 Regression
- 132 Using ordinary least squares, we solved the coefficients (a, b, c, d, and e) of the functional model
- 133 describing time-evolving elevation (h) with time (t):

134 
$$h(t_i) = a + b(t_i - t_0) + \sum_{k=1}^{2} (c_k^s \sin(2\pi f_k t_i) + c_k^c \cos(2\pi f_k t_i)) + dSAM_{\Sigma} + eENSO_{\Sigma}$$
(1)

- 135 Where  $f_k = [1, 2]$  cycles per year. We adopted  $t_0$  as the mid point of the altimeter series.
- 136 2.6 Data uncertainty
- 137 For regression parameter uncertainties, we recognize the existence of temporal correlations in the
- altimeter time series (Ferguson et al., 2004), in part due to SMB variation (King & Watson,
- 139 2020), and take these into account. Following King et al. (2023), we compared trend
- 140 uncertainties from a linear regression using a Generalized Gauss Markov noise model to those
- 141 generated using a white noise only (temporally uncorrelated) noise model using HECTOR v2.0
- software (Bos et al., 2013). For regressions that included the SAM and ENSO terms, the white
- noise only model produced uncertainties a factor of 3 too small, taken as the median of the ratio of trend uncertainties, or factor 40 too small when not including the SAM and ENSO terms. We
- applied these scale factors to the uncertainties from the regression. For the GRACE uncertainties
- 146 we used the scale factors of King et al. (2023).

## 147 **3 Results**

- 148 *3.1 Ice-sheet scale analysis*
- 149 Our data-driven MEOF analysis shows that ice elevation and mass time series are both
- dominated by decadal-scale variability (Fig. S3c, f). Together, the two leading modes explain
- 151 65% of the non-linear variance of the combined and smoothed time series. Their corresponding
- principal components (PCs) correlate with detrended  $SAM_{\Sigma}$  (r=0.73) and 6-month lagged  $ENSO_{\Sigma}$
- 153 (r=0.89). The ASLP<sub> $\Sigma$ </sub> and ASL $\lambda_{\Sigma}$  terms are not of direct relevance at the ice-sheet scale given the
- 154 limited geographical footprint of influence of the ASL, but also have high correlations with the 155 data.
- 156
- 157 GRACE and altimetry MEOFs have a high spatial correlation (Fig. S3a-b, d-e; r=0.87 for
- MEOF1 and r=0.75 for MEOF2) suggesting they are sensing the same signal and are both
- dominated by coastal changes. The potential in the high-resolution altimetry record is
- particularly evident in MEOF1 where the spatially-diffuse signal in GRACE (Fig. S3a) is shown to be concentrated over small regions that coincide with the major ice streams of the Amundsen
- to be concentrated over small regions that coincide with the major ice streams of the Amundse
   Sea Embayment and the coastline of the Bellingshausen Sea and Marie Byrd Land (Fig. S3b).
- We note that while MEOF3 (Fig. S4) is partly affected by striping in the GRACE field,
- 164 characteristic of GRACE systematic error, coherent signal is evident between GRACE and
- altimetry along the coastlines of the Bellingshausen Sea, Marie Byrd Land and Wilkes Land,
- suggesting the signal is robust in those regions, although the variance explained (5%) is much
- 167 smaller than MEOFs 1 and 2. A similar signal to PC3, with periodicities of ~4-7 years, has also
- been identified in analysis of GRACE data (King et al., 2023; Mémin et al., 2015). Beyond
- 169 MEOF3, the modes explain little variance (<4%) and are dominated by noise, at least for
- 170 GRACE (Fig. S4d).
- 171
- 172 To quantify the SAM and ENSO contribution to ice sheet elevation change we regress the
- 173 altimetry time series against  $SAM_{\Sigma}$  and  $ENSO_{\Sigma}$  and the other parameters in Eq. 1. Here we use
- the gridded data after applying a 10 km Gaussian spatial filter. The 5 km gridded altimeter
- regression analysis shown in Fig. 1a,b reveals large-scale spatially coherent signal relating to
- 176 SAM and ENSO around the coasts of Antarctica. Together, these two terms often explain more
- than 40% of the partial variance of the timeseries around the coast and into the interior, with the

178 partial variance controlling for the other regression terms. The median partial-variance explained

- across the ice sheet is 29% (Fig. 1c). The SAM<sub> $\Sigma$ </sub> coefficient is strongest in the Amundsen Sea
- 180 Embayment where it centers on the Pine Island, Thwaites, Smith, and Pope Glaciers (Fig. S5a).
- 181 The negative elevation signal in this region is linked to periods where positive SAM dominates 182 negative SAM (positive SAM<sub> $\Sigma$ </sub>). Other strong signal exists along the coastal zone of the
- Bellingshausen Sea, Marie Byrd Land, and parts of coastal East Antarctica. A more diffuse
- signal is evident in the interior of West Antarctica and parts of East Antarctica (Fig. S6a). The
- $ENSO_{\Sigma}$  coefficient has particularly high positive values, indicating elevation increase associated
- 186 with sustained El Niño, along the coast of the Bellingshausen Sea and well upstream into Pine
- 187 Island Glacier (Fig. S5b)
- 188
- Applying a 200 km Gaussian smoother to the altimeter data and rerunning the regression (Fig.
- 190 1d-e) produces coefficients with large-scale spatial coherence and larger partial variances
- explained, often exceeding 60% in key coastal regions but extending well into the interior of the
- ice sheet (Fig. 1f). Comparing them to results of a regression with GRACE data (Fig. 1g-h)
- 193 (King et al., 2023) shows high agreement in the signs and spatial distribution of the signal. We
- 194 note that there are insufficient altimeter data in the Northern Antarctic Peninsula to analyze the
- 195 signal in this region. Computing spatial correlations between the smoothed altimetry regression
- and the GRACE regression gives r=0.65 for SAM<sub> $\Sigma$ </sub> and r=0.68 for ENSO<sub> $\Sigma$ </sub>.
- 197

198 We next examine the role of SMB variability on the estimated coefficients from the altimetry

- regression. To do this we subtract the IMAU Firn Densification Model (IMAU FDM) v1.2A
- 200 (Veldhuijsen et al., 2023) from the altimetry time series and repeat the regression. The results are
- shown in Figure 2. Comparing Fig. 2a with Fig. 1a shows that IMAU FDM effectively removes
- all the SAM-related signal in East Antarctic Ice Sheet (EAIS) but much of the SAM signal
- remains in West Antarctic Ice Sheet (WAIS). Much of the coastal EAIS ENSO-related signal is
- removed by IMAU FDM but with small over-correction evident for much of the ice sheet,
   including signal reversing sign in George V Land and WAIS. Repeating the regression but
- 206 instead using the GSFC FDM v1.2.1 (Medley et al., 2022) shows that there is significant
- sensitivity to the choice of FDM (Fig. 2d-f), with GSFC FDM apparently over-correcting ENSO-
- related signal in the Totten Glacier region but in much better agreement with the altimetry in
- 209 WAIS. Given the decadal timescales of the signals, these inter-model differences are likely to
- 210 have contributions from both the FDMs themselves and their underlying SMB models (Medley
- et al., 2022).
- 212
- 213 The combination of coefficients estimated from each of GRACE and altimetry allows the density
- of these terms to be estimated. Given the GRACE resolution half-width is about 100km, we
- computed densities and their at locations 100 km upstream of the grounding lines of the
- 216 Thwaites, Pine Island, Totten, and Denman glacier. These computed densities are sensitive to the
- radius of the Gaussian smoother applied to the altimetry data, and we adopted a 200 km
- smoother to approximate the GRACE resolution. ENSO-related results are highly uncertain in
- the Denman and Totten glacier regions due to limited signal, but the other densities (SAM and
- ENSO related) suggest the observed changes have a density between snow and ice, clustering
- around 600 kg/m<sup>3</sup> (Fig. S6). While the estimates are uncertain, they suggest that some of the
- signal could originate in ice dynamics rather than SMB.





Figure 1. Results of regression analysis of gridded data. Shown are the SAM<sub> $\Sigma$ </sub> and ENSO<sub> $\Sigma$ </sub> coefficients and variances explained for the altimetry (top row), altimetry after 200 km Gaussian smoothing (middle row), and GRACE (bottom row). The partial variances explained by SAM<sub> $\Sigma$ </sub> and lagged ENSO<sub> $\Sigma$ </sub> are in the right column.



Figure 2. Results of regression analysis of FDM-corrected gridded altimeter data. Regression coefficients are shown (left and central columns) and the partial variances explained by  $SAM_{\Sigma}$ and lagged  $ENSO_{\Sigma}$  (right column). Shown are the coefficients and variances explained for the altimetry time series after subtracting of the IMAU FDM (top row) and GSFC FDM (bottom row).

237

231



- 239
- 240 3.2 Regional scale analysis
- 241 3.2.1 Thwaites and Pine Island glaciers

242 The partial variance explained by the SAM<sub> $\Sigma$ </sub> and ENSO<sub> $\Sigma$ </sub> terms (before subtracting an FDM) is

- above 60% for much of the Amundsen Sea Embayment (ASE; Fig. 1c, f; S5c,f). Regardless of
- the FDM model adopted, much  $SAM_{\Sigma}$  signal remains in the ASE broadly and  $ENSO_{\Sigma}$  signal is
- evident in the Pine Island Glacier region (Fig. 2). Closer examination of these regions in Fig. S5

- (top row) indicates that the ASE signals are concentrated along low-elevation and fast flowing 246
- regions that correspond to Pine Island, Thwaites, and nearby glaciers. This is further evidenced 247
- through cross-sections near to the front of these glaciers (Fig. S7) along the yellow lines in Fig. 248
- S5. It is notable that the phase of the SAM-related signal is switched in the fast-flowing region of 249
- Pine Island Glacier. 250
- Coefficient magnitudes generally decay upstream of the grounding line (Fig. S8). Subtracting the 251
- IMAU FDM before performing the regression results in coefficients along the centerline and 252
- cross profiles that are shifted nearly uniformly but are not significantly altered in their spatial 253
- pattern (dashed lines Fig. S7-S8). Together these results suggest there may be an ice dynamic 254
- component within the SAM<sub> $\Sigma$ </sub> and ENSO<sub> $\Sigma$ </sub> coefficients in addition to residual SMB/FDM signal. 255
- Along the coastal margin of the ASE the climatology is more directly controlled by the ASL than 256
- SAM and ENSO which modulate its depth and location (Clem et al., 2017; Turner et al., 2013). 257
- To explore this further we repeated the regression replacing SAM<sub> $\Sigma$ </sub> and ENSO<sub> $\Sigma$ </sub> in Equation 1 258
- with ASLP<sub> $\Sigma$ </sub> and ASL $\lambda_{\Sigma}$ . While the magnitude of the estimated coefficients differs between 259
- $SAM_{\Sigma}/-ASLP_{\Sigma}$  and  $ENSO_{\Sigma}/-ASL\lambda_{\Sigma}$  the broader spatial pattern will be nearly identical due to the 260
- high correlations of these coefficient pairs over the data period (Fig. S1-S2) and so we just 261
- explore in detail the impact of estimating the ASL coefficients at one point location per glacier, 262
- at a centerline location about 20 km upstream of their respective grounding lines (Fig. S5 yellow 263
- crosses; Table S1). 264
- The detrended data are shown in Fig. 3 (top row) where they reveal non-linear variability of 265
- several meters over the data period (blue plusses). Time series of estimated ASL coefficients 266
- sum to closely reproduce the data (black line). These two terms explain 84% (Thwaites) and 90% 267
- (Pine Island) of the partial variance of the altimeter time series. Interestingly, the phase of the 268
- ASLP<sub> $\Sigma$ </sub> term is opposite between Thwaites and Pine Island, while the ASL $\lambda_{\Sigma}$  term is in phase. 269
- Neither of the FDM models can explain the elevation variability at Thwaites or Pine Island 270
- glaciers (Fig. S9, brown lines). This could be because the SMB models are unable to reproduce 271 the precipitation in this region, especially in ~2007 at Thwaites Glacier, but this would require a
- 272
- highly localized signal as this event does not occur at Pine Island Glacier. The misfit could be 273 caused by errors in background altimeter models, however we note we obtain nearly identical
- 274 results using the alternative dataset of Schröder et al. (2019). The most likely source of the 275
- unexplained height signal is ice flow dynamics responding to large-scale climate variability. 276
- 277
- The dynamic effect of ice flow and its influence on ice sheet mass and surface elevation at a 278
- given point can be estimated from satellite-derived glacier velocities and the principle of mass 279
- conservation (Supplementary Text S1). Based on year-on-year changes in ice velocity since 280
- 2003, it is reasonable to expect several meters of dynamic elevation change in the lower parts of 281
- 282 Pine Island and Thwaites due to a combination of advection and strain thinning (Fig. S10).
- 283



Figure 3. Detrended elevation time series at glacier point locations. Time series are shown for 285 sites ~20 km upstream of the grounding line and along the centerline of flow (Fig. S5 yellow 286 crosses; Table S1). Shown are the altimeter time series after 10 km Gaussian smoothing and 287 subtracting the estimated trend and harmonics (blue plusses), and the two components of the 288 model (colored lines) and their sum (black line) for each glacier. For Thwaites and Pine Island 289 290 glaciers (top row), ASL coefficients are shown, while for Totten and Denman glaciers (bottom row) SAM and ENSO terms are shown. The partial variances explained by the sum of the two 291 coefficients are listed in each panel. Grey shading is the 1-sigma uncertainty of the model. Error 292 bars represent the 2-sigma uncertainties of the data. 293

294

#### 3.2.2 Totten and Denman glaciers

The SAM and ENSO coefficients in the region of Totten and Denman glaciers have smaller 296 magnitude and are much more diffuse than in the ASE (Fig. S5d-e). Nonetheless, these terms 297 explain significant amounts of the partial variance (Fig. S5f) in this region. There is almost no 298 non-linear signal to explain near the front of the Denman Glacier (Fig. 3), with the largest SAM 299 or ENSO signal in the Denman region is west of Denman. Nonetheless, SAM contributes about 300 30% of the partial variance at Denman. If the underlying surface lowering trend of Denman is 301 affected by climate variability it is not obviously associated with SAM and ENSO over this 302 period. 303

Despite the modest signal near Totten there is still evidence that significant SAM and ENSO signals exist in the fast-flowing region of Totten Glacier (Fig. 3), at least in the 20-30 km above

the grounding zone (Fig. S7c, Fig. S8). Unlike the ASE glaciers, there is insufficient ice velocity

time series for Totten Glacier to explore the cumulative impacts of time-varying ice dynamics on

ice elevation. As noted above, the FDM-corrected results are model-dependent in this region and

so the origin(s) of the Totten Glacier non-linear elevation change signal is unclear but may

310 contain a component due to ice dynamic changes.

#### 311 4 Discussion

312 Our analysis reveals the spatial fingerprints of SAM and ENSO on AIS elevation over 2002-

2021, patterns which are confirmed by analysis of GRACE mass change data over the same

314 period. These patterns may not be stationary with time. Indeed, circulation patterns associated

with SAM are known to vary over decades (Marshall et al., 2013; Silvestri & Vera, 2009), with

316 effects including variable precipitation in the Antarctic Peninsula (Goodwin et al., 2016). Within

this context it is therefore not unexpected that our pattern of SAM variability is different to the

318 SMB-only SAM reconstruction of Medley and Thomas (2019) for the second half of the 20<sup>th</sup>

century for instance. Differences with SMB-only reconstructions would also result if ice-

320 dynamic effects on ice elevation and mass were non-negligible as hinted at by our data.

321

322 There are only a few previous studies exploring the relationship between ice dynamics,

323 expressed as changes in ice mass, thickness, or elevation, and modes of climate variability, most

notably in the Amundsen Sea Embayment region (Christie et al., 2023). In particular, Dutrieux et

al. (2014) found reduced PIG ice shelf melt during a strong 2012 La Niña. Consistent with this,

Paolo et al. (2018) found PIG ice shelf melting increased during El Niño, reducing ice shelf

thickness, but that the ice shelf elevation increased overall due to increased accumulation. Our

finding that PIG increases in elevation upstream of its grounding line when El Niño is sustained

329 (or sustained westward ASL position), while the glacier is also dynamically thinning (Fig. S10),

- is consistent with this overall picture.
- 331

The SAM/ASLP-related signal upstream of PIG, Thwaites, and other ASE glaciers is the largest

unexplained signal in Antarctica. The spatial pattern, with largest signal at lowest elevations,

could be explained by both ice dynamics or unmodeled SMB or firn densification. Limited

idealized study of the impacts of SAM on ASE basal melt is consistent with our observation of

reduced upstream elevation with positive SAM but with melt response times that are decades

- longer than our analysis explores (Verfaillie et al., 2022), perhaps ruling out SAM but leaving
- the possibility of the localized ASLP as an source of immediate changes in buttressing.

- 340 We note that while the SAM<sub> $\Sigma$ </sub> and ASLP<sub> $\Sigma$ </sub> signals are correlated and our analysis cannot separate
- their different effects, they have different long-term implications for the ice sheet. As discussed
- by King et al. (2023),  $SAM_{\Sigma}$  has a trend due to the positive phase of SAM that has emerged since
- 343 the 1940s. ASLP<sub> $\Sigma$ </sub> does not have a strong long-term trend, and so the extent to which the changes
- in coastal West Antarctica are related to the ASL rather than SAM will reduce the inferred
- contribution of SAM to ice-mass loss over recent decades (King et al., 2023).

346

Finally, our findings offer a simple way to remove decadal-scale variability from altimetry time series. This reduces correlated noise in the time series and will alter both the derived trends and, perhaps most significantly, the uncertainties of derived trends and other parameters if correlated noise is considered in the regression as it should (Ferguson et al., 2004; King & Watson, 2020;

- 351 Williams et al., 2014; Wouters et al., 2013).
- 352

#### 353 **5** Conclusions

354 We analyzed gridded Antarctic ice elevation time series and show that much of the time series

- variance can be explained through a simple linear model based on the cumulative indices of the
- 356 Southern Annular Mode and El Nino Southern Oscillation. The spatial pattern of this signal,
- once spatially smoothed, is in close agreement with the spatial pattern evident in GRACE data
- suggesting that observed ice elevation variability is robust and climatological. The Amundsen
   Sea Low is more directly relevant to the Amundsen Sea Embayment and we show that variations
- in its pressure and longitude explain ~90% of the variance over Pine Island and Thwaites
- 361 glaciers.

362 Subtracting the effects of modeled firn densification removes much, but not all, signal, with

- inter-model differences evident. Residual climatological signal is particularly large at the fronts
- of fast-flowing glaciers in the Amundsen Sea Embayment. We suggest that ice dynamic effects
- may be contributing to this signal. Computing changes in elevation due to observed variation in
- horizontal velocity suggests the velocities are potential of the right magnitude to explain it.
- Further work is required to quantify the magnitude and response-times of upstream ice to
- changes in climatological variability in ice shelf melt.
- 369

# 370 Acknowledgments

371 This work was supported by the ARC Australian Centre for Excellence in Antarctic Science

- 372 (Project ID SR200100008). We thank the individuals and groups sharing altimetry and GRACE
- data, firn densification models, and climate indices.

#### 375 **Open Research**

#### 376 Data Availability Statement

- All underlying data are openly available. Altimetry data were obtained from
- 378 <u>https://doi.org/10.5067/L3LSVDZS15ZV</u>. GRACE time series were obtained from
- 379 <u>http://gravis.gfz-potsdam.de/ais</u> . The IMAU FDM was obtained from
- 380 <u>https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5172513</u>. The GSFC FDM was obtained from
- 381 <u>https://zenodo.org/record/7054574#.Y0iiTnbMJPY</u>. SAM index time series were obtained from
- 382 <u>http://www.nerc-bas.ac.uk/public/icd/gjma/newsam.1957.2007.txt</u>. ASL data were obtained
- from <u>https://scotthosking.com/asl\_index</u> using version 3.20210820-era5. Nino3.4 index time
- 384 series were obtained from
- 385 <u>https://psl.noaa.gov/gcos\_wgsp/Timeseries/Data/nino34.long.anom.data</u>. Data presented here
- 386 will be made openly available upon acceptance.

#### 387 **References**

- Bos, M. S., Fernandes, R. M. S., Williams, S. D. P., & Bastos, L. (2013). Fast error analysis of continuous GNSS
  observations with missing data. *Journal of Geodesy*, 87(4), 351-360. doi:10.1007/s00190-012-0605-0
- Christie, F. D. W., Steig, E. J., Gourmelen, N., Tett, S. F. B., & Bingham, R. G. (2023). Inter-decadal climate
   variability induces differential ice response along Pacific-facing West Antarctica. *Nature Communications*,
   *14*(1), 93. doi:10.1038/s41467-022-35471-3
- Clem, K. R., Renwick, J. A., & McGregor, J. (2017). Large-Scale Forcing of the Amundsen Sea Low and Its
   Influence on Sea Ice and West Antarctic Temperature. *Journal of Climate*, *30*(20), 8405-8424.
   doi:10.1175/Jcli-D-16-0891.1
- Dahle, C., & Murböck, M. (2020). Post-processed GRACE/GRACE-FO Geopotential GSM Coefficients COST-G
   RL01 (Level-2B Product). doi:10.5880/GFZ.GRAVIS\_06\_L2B
- Diener, T., Sasgen, I., Agosta, C., Fürst, J. J., Braun, M. H., Konrad, H., & Fettweis, X. (2021). Acceleration of
  Dynamic Ice Loss in Antarctica From Satellite Gravimetry. *Frontiers in Earth Science*, 9. Original
  Research. doi:10.3389/feart.2021.741789
- Dutrieux, P., De Rydt, J., Jenkins, A., Holland, P. R., Ha, H. K., Lee, S. H., et al. (2014). Strong Sensitivity of Pine
  Island Ice-Shelf Melting to Climatic Variability. *Science*, 343(6167), 174-178.
  doi:doi:10.1126/science.1244341
- Ferguson, A. C., Davis, C. H., & Cavanaugh, J. E. (2004). An autoregressive model for analysis of ice sheet
  elevation change time series. *Ieee Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, 42(11), 2426-2436.
  Article. doi:10.1109/tgrs.2004.836788
- Goodwin, B. P., Mosley-Thompson, E., Wilson, A. B., Porter, S. E., & Sierra-Hernandez, M. R. (2016).
   Accumulation Variability in the Antarctic Peninsula: The Role of Large-Scale Atmospheric Oscillations and Their Interactions. *Journal of Climate*, 29(7), 2579-2596. doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-15-0354.1
- Hansen, N., Langen, P. L., Boberg, F., Forsberg, R., Simonsen, S. B., Thejll, P., et al. (2021). Downscaled surface
   mass balance in Antarctica: impacts of subsurface processes and large-scale atmospheric circulation. *The Cryosphere, 15*(9), 4315-4333. doi:10.5194/tc-15-4315-2021
- Horwath, M., Legresy, B., Remy, F., Blarel, F., & Lemoine, J. M. (2012). Consistent patterns of Antarctic ice sheet
  interannual variations from ENVISAT radar altimetry and GRACE satellite gravimetry. *Geophysical Journal International*, 189(2), 863-876. doi:10.1111/j.1365-246X.2012.05401.x
- Hosking, J. S., Orr, A., Bracegirdle, T. J., & Turner, J. (2016). Future circulation changes off West Antarctica:
  Sensitivity of the Amundsen Sea Low to projected anthropogenic forcing. *Geophysical Research Letters*,
  43(1), 367-376. doi:10.1002/2015GL067143
- Kaitheri, A., Mémin, A., & Rémy, F. (2021). Inter-Annual Variability in the Antarctic Ice Sheets Using Geodetic
   Observations and a Climate Model. *Remote Sensing*, 13(11), 2199.

- Kim, B.-H., Seo, K.-W., Eom, J., Chen, J., & Wilson, C. R. (2020). Antarctic ice mass variations from 1979 to 2017
   driven by anomalous precipitation accumulation. *Scientific Reports*, 10(1), 20366. doi:10.1038/s41598 020-77403-5
- King, M. A., Lyu, K., & Zhang, X. (2023). Climate variability a key driver of recent Antarctic ice-mass change.
   *Nature Geoscience, 16*(12), 1128-1135. doi:10.1038/s41561-023-01317-w
- King, M. A., & Watson, C. S. (2020). Antarctic Surface Mass Balance: Natural Variability, Noise, and Detecting
   New Trends. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 47(12), e2020GL087493. doi:10.1029/2020GL087493
- Marshall, G. J. (2003). Trends in the Southern Annular Mode from Observations and Reanalyses. *Journal of Climate, 16*(24), 4134-4143. doi:10.1175/1520-0442(2003)016<4134:Titsam>2.0.Co;2
- Marshall, G. J., Orr, A., & Turner, J. (2013). A Predominant Reversal in the Relationship between the SAM and
   East Antarctic Temperatures during the Twenty-First Century. *Journal of Climate, 26*(14), 5196-5204.
   doi:10.1175/Jcli-D-12-00671.1
- Medley, B., Neumann, T. A., Zwally, H. J., Smith, B. E., & Stevens, C. M. (2022). Simulations of firn processes
  over the Greenland and Antarctic ice sheets: 1980–2021. *The Cryosphere*, *16*(10), 3971-4011.
  doi:10.5194/tc-16-3971-2022
- Medley, B., & Thomas, E. R. (2019). Increased snowfall over the Antarctic Ice Sheet mitigated twentieth-century
   sea-level rise. *Nature Climate Change*, 9(1), 34-39. doi:10.1038/s41558-018-0356-x
- Mémin, A., Flament, T., Alizier, B., Watson, C., & Rémy, F. (2015). Interannual variation of the Antarctic Ice Sheet
   from a combined analysis of satellite gravimetry and altimetry data. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*,
   422(0), 150-156. doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2015.03.045
- Mémin, A., Flament, T., Rémy, F., & Llubes, M. (2014). Snow- and ice-height change in Antarctica from satellite
  gravimetry and altimetry data. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 404(0), 344-353.
  doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2014.08.008
- Mottram, R., Hansen, N., Kittel, C., van Wessem, J. M., Agosta, C., Amory, C., et al. (2021). What is the surface
   mass balance of Antarctica? An intercomparison of regional climate model estimates. *The Cryosphere*,
   15(8), 3751-3784. doi:10.5194/tc-15-3751-2021
- Nilsson, J., Gardner, A., & Paolo, a. F. S. (2023). *MEaSUREs ITS\_LIVE Antarctic Grounded Ice Sheet Elevation Change, Version 1.* Retrieved from: <u>https://nsidc.org/data/NSIDC-0782/versions/1</u>
   doi:10.5067/L3LSVDZS15ZV
- 452 Nilsson, J., Gardner, A. S., & Paolo, F. S. (2022). Elevation change of the Antarctic Ice Sheet: 1985 to 2020. *Earth* 453 *Syst. Sci. Data*, 14(8), 3573-3598. doi:10.5194/essd-14-3573-2022
- Otosaka, I. N., Shepherd, A., Ivins, E. R., Schlegel, N. J., Amory, C., van den Broeke, M. R., et al. (2023). Mass
  balance of the Greenland and Antarctic ice sheets from 1992 to 2020. *Earth Syst. Sci. Data*, 15(4), 15971616. doi:10.5194/essd-15-1597-2023
- Palóczy, A., Gille, S. T., & McClean, J. L. (2018). Oceanic Heat Delivery to the Antarctic Continental Shelf: LargeScale, Low-Frequency Variability. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 123*(11), 7678-7701.
  doi:10.1029/2018JC014345
- Paolo, F. S., Padman, L., Fricker, H. A., Adusumilli, S., Howard, S., & Siegfried, M. R. (2018). Response of
   Pacific-sector Antarctic ice shelves to the El Niño/Southern Oscillation. *Nature Geoscience*, 11(2), 121 126. doi:10.1038/s41561-017-0033-0
- Rayner, N. A. (2003). Global analyses of sea surface temperature, sea ice, and night marine air temperature since the
   late nineteenth century. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 108(D14). doi:10.1029/2002jd002670
- Sasgen, I., Groh, A., & Horwath, M. (2020). COST-G GravIS RL01 Ice-Mass Change Products. doi:10.5880/COST-G.GRAVIS\_01\_L3\_ICE
- Schröder, L., Horwath, M., Dietrich, R., Helm, V., van den Broeke, M. R., & Ligtenberg, S. R. M. (2019). Four
   decades of Antarctic surface elevation changes from multi-mission satellite altimetry. *The Cryosphere*,
   *13*(2), 427-449. doi:10.5194/tc-13-427-2019
- Shepherd, A., Ivins, E. R., A, G., Barletta, V. R., Bentley, M. J., Bettadpur, S., et al. (2012). A Reconciled Estimate
  of Ice-Sheet Mass Balance. *Science*, 338(6111), 1183-1189. doi:10.1126/science.1228102
- Silvestri, G., & Vera, C. (2009). Nonstationary Impacts of the Southern Annular Mode on Southern Hemisphere
   Climate. *Journal of Climate, 22*(22), 6142-6148. doi:10.1175/2009JCLI3036.1
- Smith, B., Fricker, H. A., Gardner, A. S., Medley, B., Nilsson, J., Paolo, F. S., et al. (2020). Pervasive ice sheet mass
  loss reflects competing ocean and atmosphere processes. *Science*, *368*(6496), 1239-1242.
  doi:doi:10.1126/science.aaz5845

- 477 Spence, P., Holmes, R. M., Hogg, A. M., Griffies, S. M., Stewart, K. D., & England, M. H. (2017). Localized rapid
  478 warming of West Antarctic subsurface waters by remote winds. *Nature Climate Change*, 7(8), 595-603.
  479 doi:10.1038/nclimate3335
- Thomas, E. R., van Wessem, J. M., Roberts, J., Isaksson, E., Schlosser, E., Fudge, T. J., et al. (2017). Regional
   Antarctic snow accumulation over the past 1000 years. *Clim. Past, 13*(11), 1491-1513. doi:10.5194/cp-13-1491-2017
- Turner, J., Phillips, T., Hosking, J. S., Marshall, G. J., & Orr, A. (2013). The Amundsen Sea low. *International Journal of Climatology*, 33(7), 1818-1829. doi:10.1002/joc.3558
- van den Broeke, M. R., & van Lipzig, N. P. M. (2017). Changes in Antarctic temperature, wind and precipitation in
  response to the Antarctic Oscillation. *Annals of Glaciology*, *39*, 119-126.
  doi:10.3189/172756404781814654
- Veldhuijsen, S. B. M., van de Berg, W. J., Brils, M., Kuipers Munneke, P., & van den Broeke, M. R. (2023).
   Characteristics of the 1979–2020 Antarctic firn layer simulated with IMAU-FDM v1.2A. *The Cryosphere*, 17(4), 1675-1696. doi:10.5194/tc-17-1675-2023
- Verfaillie, D., Pelletier, C., Goosse, H., Jourdain, N. C., Bull, C. Y. S., Dalaiden, Q., et al. (2022). The circum Antarctic ice-shelves respond to a more positive Southern Annular Mode with regionally varied melting.
   *Communications Earth & Environment*, 3(1), 139. doi:10.1038/s43247-022-00458-x
- Wahr, J., Molenaar, M., & Bryan, F. (1998). Time variability of the Earth's gravity field: Hydrological and oceanic
  effects and their possible detection using GRACE. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*,
  103(B12), 30205-30229. doi:10.1029/98JB02844
- Wang, B. (1992). The Vertical Structure and Development of the Enso Anomaly Mode during 1979-1989. *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, 49(8), 698-712. doi:10.1175/1520-0469(1992)049<0698:Tvsado>2.0.Co;2
- Williams, S. D. P., Moore, P., King, M. A., & Whitehouse, P. L. (2014). Revisiting GRACE Antarctic ice mass
   trends and accelerations considering autocorrelation. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 385, 12-21.
   doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2013.10.016
- Wingham, D. J., Wallis, D. W., & Shepherd, A. (2009). Spatial and temporal evolution of Pine Island Glacier
   thinning, 1995-2006. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 36, 5. Article. doi:L1750, 10.1029/2009gl039126
- Wouters, B., Bamber, J. L., van den Broeke, M. R., Lenaerts, J. T. M., & Sasgen, I. (2013). Limits in detecting
   acceleration of ice sheet mass loss due to climate variability. *Nature Geoscience*, 6(8), 613-616.
   doi:10.1038/ngeo1874
- 507
- 508
- 509
- 510 References From the Supporting Information
- 511
- Haran, T., Bohlander, J., Scambos, T., & Fahnestock, M. (2014, updated 2019). MODIS mosaic of Antarctica 2008 2009 (MOA2009) Image Map, Version 1. doi:10.7265/N5KP8037
- Howat, I. M. (2022). *The Reference Elevation Model of Antarctica Mosaics, Version 2.* doi:10.7910/DVN/EBW8UC
- Rignot, E., Mouginot, J., & Scheuchl, B. (2017). *MEaSUREs InSAR-Based Antarctica Ice Velocity Map, Version 2.* doi:10.5067/D7GK8F5J8M8R
- 518
- 519

# 1 Major modes of climate variability dominate nonlinear Antarctic ice-sheet elevation

2 changes 2002-2020

## 3 Matt A. King<sup>1,2</sup>, Poul Christoffersen<sup>2,3</sup>

- 4 <sup>1</sup>Surveying and Spatial Sciences, School of Geography, Planning, and Spatial Sciences,
- 5 University of Tasmania, Hobart, Tasmania 7001, Australia
- <sup>2</sup>The Australian Centre for Excellence in Antarctic Science, University of Tasmania, Hobart,
   Tasmania 7001, Australia
- <sup>3</sup>Institute for Marine and Antarctic Studies, University of Tasmania, Hobart, Tasmania 7001,
   Australia

10

11 Corresponding author: Matt King (<u>Matt.King@utas.edu.au</u>)

#### 12 Key Points:

- Cumulative effects of large-scale climate modes dominate detrended altimeter time series
   of Antarctic ice elevation 2002-2020
- These decadal signals have the same spatial pattern in altimeter ice height and GRACE
   mass time series.
- These decadal signals are largely due to surface mass balance, but ice dynamic changes
   may play a role in the Amundsen Sea Embayment

#### 19 Abstract

- 20 We explore the links between elevation variability of the Antarctic Ice Sheet (AIS) and large-
- scale climate modes. Using multiple linear regression, we quantify the cumulative effects of El
- 22 Nino Southern Oscillation (ENSO) and the Southern Annular Mode (SAM) on gridded AIS
- elevations. Cumulative ENSO and SAM explain a median of 29% of the partial variance and up
- to 85% in some coastal areas. After spatial smoothing, these signals have high spatial correlation
- with those from GRACE gravimetry (r $\sim$ =0.65 each). Much of the signal is removed by a model
- of firn densification but inter-model differences exist especially for ENSO. At the lower parts of
- the Thwaites and Pine Island glaciers, near their grounding line, we find the Amundsen Sea Low
  (ASL) explains ~90% of the observed elevation variability. There, firn effects explain only a
- (ASL) explains ~90% of the observed elevation variability. There, firn effects explain only a
   small fraction of the variability, suggesting significant height changes have a climatological ice-
- 30 dynamic response.
- 31

#### 32 Plain Language Summary

33 This study investigates how variations in the height of the Antarctic Ice Sheet (AIS) are

- 34 connected to large-scale climate patterns. We used a statistical method to measure the effects of
- two climate phenomena: El Nino Southern Oscillation (ENSO) and the Southern Annular Mode
- 36 (SAM). We found that the cumulative effects of these phenomena account for about 29% of the
- variations in AIS height on average, and up to 85% in some coastal areas. These patterns match
- well with independent data from the GRACE satellites over the same period. Applying a model
- that considers the compacting of snow into ice (firn densification) removes much of this signal, suggesting much, but not all, of the signals are related to snowfall variations. At the fronts of the
- rapidly changing Thwaites and Pine Island glaciers, the dominant climate phenomenon is the
- 42 Amundsen Sea Low (ASL), which varies in strength and location. Here, the cumulative effects
- 43 of the ASL changes explain about 90% of the variations in height of these glaciers, with only a
- small part explained by firn effects. We suggest the unexplained variability is at least partly due
- 45 to changes in ice flow.
- 46

#### 47 **1 Introduction**

- 48 Observations of the changing volume of the Antarctic Ice Sheet play a major role in
- 49 understanding ice-sheet change (e.g., Otosaka et al., 2023; Shepherd et al., 2012) from the
- 50 whole-of-ice-sheet down to individual glaciers (e.g., Smith et al., 2020; Wingham et al., 2009).
- 51 The now three-decade record of continuous ice volume change captures the variability and
- 52 longer-term change of both surface mass balance (SMB), and related firn processes, and
- elevation effects of changing ice dynamics. These changes are, respectively, related to
- <sup>54</sup> atmospheric and oceanic processes (Horwath et al., 2012; Smith et al., 2020). Several studies
- 55 have examined the relationship between ice height changes and modes of climate variability, in
- 56 particular linking them to both El Niño Southern Oscillation (ENSO) and the Antarctic
- 57 Circumpolar Wave (Kaitheri et al., 2021; Mémin et al., 2015; Mémin et al., 2014).
- 58 Strangely, less studied in this context is the role of the dominant mode of climate variability in
- 59 the Southern Hemisphere, the Southern Annular Mode (SAM). Despite SAM driving variability
- and trends in SMB across a wide range of timescales (Diener et al., 2021; Medley & Thomas,

- 61 2019; van den Broeke & van Lipzig, 2017), SAM has yet to be linked to observations of ice
- 62 sheet elevation change, with one related study reporting no correlation to estimates of ice shelf
- 63 elevation change (Paolo et al., 2018). By contrast, the cumulative sum of SAM (Diener et al.,
- 64 2021; Kim et al., 2020) has recently been shown to be linearly related to the dominant signal in
- detrended surface mass time series derived from satellite gravimetry (King et al., 2023), with
- 66 large-scale spatially-coherent signal across coastal regions at decadal timescales.

67 The ~300 km spatial resolution of satellite gravimetry, combined with uncertainties in models of

- 68 SMB (Mottram et al., 2021), meant that King et al. (2023) were not able to separate the relative
- contributions of SMB and ice dynamical change forced respectively by the atmosphere and
   ocean (Hansen et al., 2021; Kim et al., 2020; Palóczy et al., 2018; Spence et al., 2017; Thomas et
- ocean (Hansen et al., 2021; Kim et al., 2020; Palóczy et al., 2018; Spence et al., 2017; Thomas et al., 2017; Verfaillie et al., 2022). In particular, ice dynamical change will have a distinct spatial
- pattern compared to SMB that is not detectable by GRACE but could be possible with altimetry
- (Smith et al., 2020). Detecting (or otherwise) a response of the grounded ice sheet to large-scale
- reclimate variability via the oceans and ice shelves would provide important insights into ice-sheet
- 75 sensitivity to climate change.
- 76 In this paper we analyze a recent gridded compilation of satellite altimeter data and compare
- these time series to cumulative climate indices. We compare the derived signals to those from
- <sup>78</sup> space gravimetry and then, taking advantage of the high-resolution altimeter data, explore the
- 79 signal over key ice streams: Thwaites, Pine Island, Totten, and Denman.
- 80

#### 81 2 Datasets and Analysis

#### 82 2.1 Altimeter dataset

83 We make use of a gridded altimeter product (Nilsson et al., 2023) at 1920 m horizontal

resolution and covering the period from Apr 1985 to Dec 2020 (Nilsson et al., 2022). We

- spatially down-sample this to 5 km horizontal resolution. To facilitate comparison with space
- gravimetry data we only make use of data from 2002 to the end of the record. The dataset
- 87 contains monthly ice-sheet elevation-change data derived from a range of radar and laser
- altimeter missions; over the study period these are ERS-2, Envisat and CryoSat-2 and ICESat
- and ICESat-2. The approach to accounting for differences in reflection surfaces and other
- systematic effects is described by Nilsson et al. (2022). To reduce spatial noise we apply a
  Gaussian smoother with widths specified below, with width defined at the half height of the
- function, consistent with the definition commonly used in GRACE data smoothing (Wahr et al.,
- 93 1998).
- 94 2.2 Space gravimetry dataset
- 95 We use the COST-G RL01 Level-3 50 km gridded GRACE and GRACE-FO V0002 dataset
- obtained from http://gravis.gfz-potsdam.de/antarctica (Sasgen et al., 2020). We make use of data
- from Mar 2002 to Dec 2020, with the end point chosen to match the end of the altimetry dataset.

- <sup>98</sup> The data are spaced approximately monthly and with a data gap of  $\sim 12$  months between GRACE and GRACE EQ from mid 2017 to mid 2018
- and GRACE-FO from mid-2017 to mid-2018.
- 100 We note that while this product is gridded at 50 km, the intrinsic GRACE resolution is 200-
- 101 300 km. Post-processing steps include replacement of low-degree GRACE coefficients and
- 102 insertion of degree-1 terms using standard approaches (Dahle & Murböck, 2020; Sasgen et al.,
- 103 2020).
- 104 Since we are interested in decadal variability and trends, we also lightly smooth the altimetry and 105 GRACE data with a Gaussian filter with width 7 months (Wahr et al., 1998).
- 106 *2.3 Climate indices*
- 107 We compare the altimeter and GRACE data primarily with SAM and ENSO indices, with
- additional comparison to Amundsen Sea Low (ASL) indices in the Amundsen Sea region. For
- 109 the ASL indices, we make use of both the absolute center pressure (ASLP) and longitude (ASL $\lambda$ )
- within the ASL Index version 3.20210820-era5 based on monthly ERA5 reanalysis data
- 111 (Hosking et al., 2016). For SAM, we make use of the Marshall station index (Marshall, 2003).
- For ENSO, we make use of the Nino3.4 index based on the HadISST1 dataset (Rayner, 2003)
- and use a 6-month lag (King et al., 2023; Paolo et al., 2018). We normalized each index with the
- mean and standard deviation computed over 1971-1999 inclusive, then cumulatively summed
- them, limited them to the data period, and then renormalized to produce  $SAM_{\Sigma}$ ,  $ENSO_{\Sigma}$ ,  $ASLP_{\Sigma}$ ,
- 116 and ASL $\lambda_{\Sigma}$ .
- 117 The raw indices and their cumulative sums are shown in Fig S1. Correlations above 0.7 are
- evident between ASLP<sub> $\Sigma$ </sub> and SAM<sub> $\Sigma$ </sub> and between ASL $\lambda_{\Sigma}$  and ENSO<sub> $\Sigma$ </sub> (Fig S1, S2). This is due to
- the ASL being affected by larger-scale modes of climate variability, with SAM in particular
- 120 modulating its absolute pressure and ENSO modulating the longitude of its center (Clem et al.,
- 121 2017; Hosking et al., 2016; Turner et al., 2013).
- 122 2.4 Multi-variate Empirical Orthogonal Functions
- 123 For a data-driven analysis we make use of Multi-variate Empirical Orthogonal Functions
- 124 (MEOF) (Wang, 1992). MEOFs are an extension of conventional Empirical Orthogonal
- 125 Functions but allow the dominant modes across multiple variables to be identified rather than
- treating each variable separately. We use MEOF to analyze the elevation and mass change
- 127 gridded datasets after individual normalization. We first smooth the altimetry dataset with a
- 128 50 km-wide Gaussian smoother and sub-sample the altimeter dataset to match the 50 km
- horizontal resolution of GRACE. Given the limited sampling of altimetry in the northern
- 130 Antarctic Peninsula we truncate that region from both datasets prior to computing MEOFs.
- 131 2.5 Regression
- 132 Using ordinary least squares, we solved the coefficients (a, b, c, d, and e) of the functional model
- 133 describing time-evolving elevation (h) with time (t):

134 
$$h(t_i) = a + b(t_i - t_0) + \sum_{k=1}^{2} (c_k^s \sin(2\pi f_k t_i) + c_k^c \cos(2\pi f_k t_i)) + dSAM_{\Sigma} + eENSO_{\Sigma}$$
(1)

- 135 Where  $f_k = [1, 2]$  cycles per year. We adopted  $t_0$  as the mid point of the altimeter series.
- 136 2.6 Data uncertainty
- 137 For regression parameter uncertainties, we recognize the existence of temporal correlations in the
- altimeter time series (Ferguson et al., 2004), in part due to SMB variation (King & Watson,
- 139 2020), and take these into account. Following King et al. (2023), we compared trend
- 140 uncertainties from a linear regression using a Generalized Gauss Markov noise model to those
- 141 generated using a white noise only (temporally uncorrelated) noise model using HECTOR v2.0
- software (Bos et al., 2013). For regressions that included the SAM and ENSO terms, the white
- noise only model produced uncertainties a factor of 3 too small, taken as the median of the ratio of trend uncertainties, or factor 40 too small when not including the SAM and ENSO terms. We
- applied these scale factors to the uncertainties from the regression. For the GRACE uncertainties
- 146 we used the scale factors of King et al. (2023).

## 147 **3 Results**

- 148 *3.1 Ice-sheet scale analysis*
- 149 Our data-driven MEOF analysis shows that ice elevation and mass time series are both
- dominated by decadal-scale variability (Fig. S3c, f). Together, the two leading modes explain
- 151 65% of the non-linear variance of the combined and smoothed time series. Their corresponding
- principal components (PCs) correlate with detrended  $SAM_{\Sigma}$  (r=0.73) and 6-month lagged  $ENSO_{\Sigma}$
- 153 (r=0.89). The ASLP<sub> $\Sigma$ </sub> and ASL $\lambda_{\Sigma}$  terms are not of direct relevance at the ice-sheet scale given the
- 154 limited geographical footprint of influence of the ASL, but also have high correlations with the 155 data.
- 156
- 157 GRACE and altimetry MEOFs have a high spatial correlation (Fig. S3a-b, d-e; r=0.87 for
- MEOF1 and r=0.75 for MEOF2) suggesting they are sensing the same signal and are both
- dominated by coastal changes. The potential in the high-resolution altimetry record is
- particularly evident in MEOF1 where the spatially-diffuse signal in GRACE (Fig. S3a) is shown to be concentrated over small regions that coincide with the major ice streams of the Amundsen
- to be concentrated over small regions that coincide with the major ice streams of the Amundse
   Sea Embayment and the coastline of the Bellingshausen Sea and Marie Byrd Land (Fig. S3b).
- We note that while MEOF3 (Fig. S4) is partly affected by striping in the GRACE field,
- 164 characteristic of GRACE systematic error, coherent signal is evident between GRACE and
- altimetry along the coastlines of the Bellingshausen Sea, Marie Byrd Land and Wilkes Land,
- suggesting the signal is robust in those regions, although the variance explained (5%) is much
- 167 smaller than MEOFs 1 and 2. A similar signal to PC3, with periodicities of ~4-7 years, has also
- been identified in analysis of GRACE data (King et al., 2023; Mémin et al., 2015). Beyond
- 169 MEOF3, the modes explain little variance (<4%) and are dominated by noise, at least for
- 170 GRACE (Fig. S4d).
- 171
- 172 To quantify the SAM and ENSO contribution to ice sheet elevation change we regress the
- 173 altimetry time series against  $SAM_{\Sigma}$  and  $ENSO_{\Sigma}$  and the other parameters in Eq. 1. Here we use
- the gridded data after applying a 10 km Gaussian spatial filter. The 5 km gridded altimeter
- regression analysis shown in Fig. 1a,b reveals large-scale spatially coherent signal relating to
- 176 SAM and ENSO around the coasts of Antarctica. Together, these two terms often explain more
- than 40% of the partial variance of the timeseries around the coast and into the interior, with the

178 partial variance controlling for the other regression terms. The median partial-variance explained

- across the ice sheet is 29% (Fig. 1c). The SAM<sub> $\Sigma$ </sub> coefficient is strongest in the Amundsen Sea
- 180 Embayment where it centers on the Pine Island, Thwaites, Smith, and Pope Glaciers (Fig. S5a).
- 181 The negative elevation signal in this region is linked to periods where positive SAM dominates 182 negative SAM (positive SAM<sub> $\Sigma$ </sub>). Other strong signal exists along the coastal zone of the
- Bellingshausen Sea, Marie Byrd Land, and parts of coastal East Antarctica. A more diffuse
- signal is evident in the interior of West Antarctica and parts of East Antarctica (Fig. S6a). The
- $ENSO_{\Sigma}$  coefficient has particularly high positive values, indicating elevation increase associated
- 186 with sustained El Niño, along the coast of the Bellingshausen Sea and well upstream into Pine
- 187 Island Glacier (Fig. S5b)
- 188
- Applying a 200 km Gaussian smoother to the altimeter data and rerunning the regression (Fig.
- 190 1d-e) produces coefficients with large-scale spatial coherence and larger partial variances
- explained, often exceeding 60% in key coastal regions but extending well into the interior of the
- ice sheet (Fig. 1f). Comparing them to results of a regression with GRACE data (Fig. 1g-h)
- 193 (King et al., 2023) shows high agreement in the signs and spatial distribution of the signal. We
- 194 note that there are insufficient altimeter data in the Northern Antarctic Peninsula to analyze the
- 195 signal in this region. Computing spatial correlations between the smoothed altimetry regression
- and the GRACE regression gives r=0.65 for SAM<sub> $\Sigma$ </sub> and r=0.68 for ENSO<sub> $\Sigma$ </sub>.
- 197

198 We next examine the role of SMB variability on the estimated coefficients from the altimetry

- regression. To do this we subtract the IMAU Firn Densification Model (IMAU FDM) v1.2A
- 200 (Veldhuijsen et al., 2023) from the altimetry time series and repeat the regression. The results are
- shown in Figure 2. Comparing Fig. 2a with Fig. 1a shows that IMAU FDM effectively removes
- all the SAM-related signal in East Antarctic Ice Sheet (EAIS) but much of the SAM signal
- remains in West Antarctic Ice Sheet (WAIS). Much of the coastal EAIS ENSO-related signal is
- removed by IMAU FDM but with small over-correction evident for much of the ice sheet,
   including signal reversing sign in George V Land and WAIS. Repeating the regression but
- 206 instead using the GSFC FDM v1.2.1 (Medley et al., 2022) shows that there is significant
- sensitivity to the choice of FDM (Fig. 2d-f), with GSFC FDM apparently over-correcting ENSO-
- related signal in the Totten Glacier region but in much better agreement with the altimetry in
- 209 WAIS. Given the decadal timescales of the signals, these inter-model differences are likely to
- 210 have contributions from both the FDMs themselves and their underlying SMB models (Medley
- et al., 2022).
- 212
- 213 The combination of coefficients estimated from each of GRACE and altimetry allows the density
- of these terms to be estimated. Given the GRACE resolution half-width is about 100km, we
- computed densities and their at locations 100 km upstream of the grounding lines of the
- 216 Thwaites, Pine Island, Totten, and Denman glacier. These computed densities are sensitive to the
- radius of the Gaussian smoother applied to the altimetry data, and we adopted a 200 km
- smoother to approximate the GRACE resolution. ENSO-related results are highly uncertain in
- the Denman and Totten glacier regions due to limited signal, but the other densities (SAM and
- ENSO related) suggest the observed changes have a density between snow and ice, clustering
- around 600 kg/m<sup>3</sup> (Fig. S6). While the estimates are uncertain, they suggest that some of the
- signal could originate in ice dynamics rather than SMB.





Figure 1. Results of regression analysis of gridded data. Shown are the SAM<sub> $\Sigma$ </sub> and ENSO<sub> $\Sigma$ </sub> coefficients and variances explained for the altimetry (top row), altimetry after 200 km Gaussian smoothing (middle row), and GRACE (bottom row). The partial variances explained by SAM<sub> $\Sigma$ </sub> and lagged ENSO<sub> $\Sigma$ </sub> are in the right column.



Figure 2. Results of regression analysis of FDM-corrected gridded altimeter data. Regression coefficients are shown (left and central columns) and the partial variances explained by  $SAM_{\Sigma}$ and lagged  $ENSO_{\Sigma}$  (right column). Shown are the coefficients and variances explained for the altimetry time series after subtracting of the IMAU FDM (top row) and GSFC FDM (bottom row).

237

231



- 239
- 240 3.2 Regional scale analysis
- 241 3.2.1 Thwaites and Pine Island glaciers

242 The partial variance explained by the SAM<sub> $\Sigma$ </sub> and ENSO<sub> $\Sigma$ </sub> terms (before subtracting an FDM) is

- above 60% for much of the Amundsen Sea Embayment (ASE; Fig. 1c, f; S5c,f). Regardless of
- the FDM model adopted, much  $SAM_{\Sigma}$  signal remains in the ASE broadly and  $ENSO_{\Sigma}$  signal is
- evident in the Pine Island Glacier region (Fig. 2). Closer examination of these regions in Fig. S5

- (top row) indicates that the ASE signals are concentrated along low-elevation and fast flowing 246
- regions that correspond to Pine Island, Thwaites, and nearby glaciers. This is further evidenced 247
- through cross-sections near to the front of these glaciers (Fig. S7) along the yellow lines in Fig. 248
- S5. It is notable that the phase of the SAM-related signal is switched in the fast-flowing region of 249
- Pine Island Glacier. 250
- Coefficient magnitudes generally decay upstream of the grounding line (Fig. S8). Subtracting the 251
- IMAU FDM before performing the regression results in coefficients along the centerline and 252
- cross profiles that are shifted nearly uniformly but are not significantly altered in their spatial 253
- pattern (dashed lines Fig. S7-S8). Together these results suggest there may be an ice dynamic 254
- component within the SAM<sub> $\Sigma$ </sub> and ENSO<sub> $\Sigma$ </sub> coefficients in addition to residual SMB/FDM signal. 255
- Along the coastal margin of the ASE the climatology is more directly controlled by the ASL than 256
- SAM and ENSO which modulate its depth and location (Clem et al., 2017; Turner et al., 2013). 257
- To explore this further we repeated the regression replacing SAM<sub> $\Sigma$ </sub> and ENSO<sub> $\Sigma$ </sub> in Equation 1 258
- with ASLP<sub> $\Sigma$ </sub> and ASL $\lambda_{\Sigma}$ . While the magnitude of the estimated coefficients differs between 259
- $SAM_{\Sigma}/-ASLP_{\Sigma}$  and  $ENSO_{\Sigma}/-ASL\lambda_{\Sigma}$  the broader spatial pattern will be nearly identical due to the 260
- high correlations of these coefficient pairs over the data period (Fig. S1-S2) and so we just 261
- explore in detail the impact of estimating the ASL coefficients at one point location per glacier, 262
- at a centerline location about 20 km upstream of their respective grounding lines (Fig. S5 yellow 263
- crosses; Table S1). 264
- The detrended data are shown in Fig. 3 (top row) where they reveal non-linear variability of 265
- several meters over the data period (blue plusses). Time series of estimated ASL coefficients 266
- sum to closely reproduce the data (black line). These two terms explain 84% (Thwaites) and 90% 267
- (Pine Island) of the partial variance of the altimeter time series. Interestingly, the phase of the 268
- ASLP<sub> $\Sigma$ </sub> term is opposite between Thwaites and Pine Island, while the ASL $\lambda_{\Sigma}$  term is in phase. 269
- Neither of the FDM models can explain the elevation variability at Thwaites or Pine Island 270
- glaciers (Fig. S9, brown lines). This could be because the SMB models are unable to reproduce 271 the precipitation in this region, especially in ~2007 at Thwaites Glacier, but this would require a
- 272
- highly localized signal as this event does not occur at Pine Island Glacier. The misfit could be 273 caused by errors in background altimeter models, however we note we obtain nearly identical
- 274 results using the alternative dataset of Schröder et al. (2019). The most likely source of the 275
- unexplained height signal is ice flow dynamics responding to large-scale climate variability. 276
- 277
- The dynamic effect of ice flow and its influence on ice sheet mass and surface elevation at a 278
- given point can be estimated from satellite-derived glacier velocities and the principle of mass 279
- conservation (Supplementary Text S1). Based on year-on-year changes in ice velocity since 280
- 2003, it is reasonable to expect several meters of dynamic elevation change in the lower parts of 281
- 282 Pine Island and Thwaites due to a combination of advection and strain thinning (Fig. S10).
- 283



Figure 3. Detrended elevation time series at glacier point locations. Time series are shown for 285 sites ~20 km upstream of the grounding line and along the centerline of flow (Fig. S5 yellow 286 crosses; Table S1). Shown are the altimeter time series after 10 km Gaussian smoothing and 287 subtracting the estimated trend and harmonics (blue plusses), and the two components of the 288 model (colored lines) and their sum (black line) for each glacier. For Thwaites and Pine Island 289 290 glaciers (top row), ASL coefficients are shown, while for Totten and Denman glaciers (bottom row) SAM and ENSO terms are shown. The partial variances explained by the sum of the two 291 coefficients are listed in each panel. Grey shading is the 1-sigma uncertainty of the model. Error 292 bars represent the 2-sigma uncertainties of the data. 293

294

#### 3.2.2 Totten and Denman glaciers

The SAM and ENSO coefficients in the region of Totten and Denman glaciers have smaller 296 magnitude and are much more diffuse than in the ASE (Fig. S5d-e). Nonetheless, these terms 297 explain significant amounts of the partial variance (Fig. S5f) in this region. There is almost no 298 non-linear signal to explain near the front of the Denman Glacier (Fig. 3), with the largest SAM 299 or ENSO signal in the Denman region is west of Denman. Nonetheless, SAM contributes about 300 30% of the partial variance at Denman. If the underlying surface lowering trend of Denman is 301 affected by climate variability it is not obviously associated with SAM and ENSO over this 302 period. 303

Despite the modest signal near Totten there is still evidence that significant SAM and ENSO signals exist in the fast-flowing region of Totten Glacier (Fig. 3), at least in the 20-30 km above

the grounding zone (Fig. S7c, Fig. S8). Unlike the ASE glaciers, there is insufficient ice velocity

time series for Totten Glacier to explore the cumulative impacts of time-varying ice dynamics on

ice elevation. As noted above, the FDM-corrected results are model-dependent in this region and

so the origin(s) of the Totten Glacier non-linear elevation change signal is unclear but may

310 contain a component due to ice dynamic changes.

#### 311 4 Discussion

312 Our analysis reveals the spatial fingerprints of SAM and ENSO on AIS elevation over 2002-

2021, patterns which are confirmed by analysis of GRACE mass change data over the same

314 period. These patterns may not be stationary with time. Indeed, circulation patterns associated

with SAM are known to vary over decades (Marshall et al., 2013; Silvestri & Vera, 2009), with

316 effects including variable precipitation in the Antarctic Peninsula (Goodwin et al., 2016). Within

this context it is therefore not unexpected that our pattern of SAM variability is different to the

318 SMB-only SAM reconstruction of Medley and Thomas (2019) for the second half of the 20<sup>th</sup>

century for instance. Differences with SMB-only reconstructions would also result if ice-

320 dynamic effects on ice elevation and mass were non-negligible as hinted at by our data.

321

322 There are only a few previous studies exploring the relationship between ice dynamics,

323 expressed as changes in ice mass, thickness, or elevation, and modes of climate variability, most

notably in the Amundsen Sea Embayment region (Christie et al., 2023). In particular, Dutrieux et

al. (2014) found reduced PIG ice shelf melt during a strong 2012 La Niña. Consistent with this,

Paolo et al. (2018) found PIG ice shelf melting increased during El Niño, reducing ice shelf

thickness, but that the ice shelf elevation increased overall due to increased accumulation. Our

finding that PIG increases in elevation upstream of its grounding line when El Niño is sustained

329 (or sustained westward ASL position), while the glacier is also dynamically thinning (Fig. S10),

- is consistent with this overall picture.
- 331

The SAM/ASLP-related signal upstream of PIG, Thwaites, and other ASE glaciers is the largest

unexplained signal in Antarctica. The spatial pattern, with largest signal at lowest elevations,

could be explained by both ice dynamics or unmodeled SMB or firn densification. Limited

idealized study of the impacts of SAM on ASE basal melt is consistent with our observation of

reduced upstream elevation with positive SAM but with melt response times that are decades

- longer than our analysis explores (Verfaillie et al., 2022), perhaps ruling out SAM but leaving
- the possibility of the localized ASLP as an source of immediate changes in buttressing.

- 340 We note that while the SAM<sub> $\Sigma$ </sub> and ASLP<sub> $\Sigma$ </sub> signals are correlated and our analysis cannot separate
- their different effects, they have different long-term implications for the ice sheet. As discussed
- by King et al. (2023),  $SAM_{\Sigma}$  has a trend due to the positive phase of SAM that has emerged since
- 343 the 1940s. ASLP<sub> $\Sigma$ </sub> does not have a strong long-term trend, and so the extent to which the changes
- in coastal West Antarctica are related to the ASL rather than SAM will reduce the inferred
- contribution of SAM to ice-mass loss over recent decades (King et al., 2023).

346

Finally, our findings offer a simple way to remove decadal-scale variability from altimetry time series. This reduces correlated noise in the time series and will alter both the derived trends and, perhaps most significantly, the uncertainties of derived trends and other parameters if correlated noise is considered in the regression as it should (Ferguson et al., 2004; King & Watson, 2020;

- 351 Williams et al., 2014; Wouters et al., 2013).
- 352

#### 353 **5** Conclusions

354 We analyzed gridded Antarctic ice elevation time series and show that much of the time series

- variance can be explained through a simple linear model based on the cumulative indices of the
- 356 Southern Annular Mode and El Nino Southern Oscillation. The spatial pattern of this signal,
- once spatially smoothed, is in close agreement with the spatial pattern evident in GRACE data
- suggesting that observed ice elevation variability is robust and climatological. The Amundsen
   Sea Low is more directly relevant to the Amundsen Sea Embayment and we show that variations
- in its pressure and longitude explain ~90% of the variance over Pine Island and Thwaites
- 361 glaciers.

362 Subtracting the effects of modeled firn densification removes much, but not all, signal, with

- inter-model differences evident. Residual climatological signal is particularly large at the fronts
- of fast-flowing glaciers in the Amundsen Sea Embayment. We suggest that ice dynamic effects
- may be contributing to this signal. Computing changes in elevation due to observed variation in
- horizontal velocity suggests the velocities are potential of the right magnitude to explain it.
- Further work is required to quantify the magnitude and response-times of upstream ice to
- changes in climatological variability in ice shelf melt.
- 369

# 370 Acknowledgments

371 This work was supported by the ARC Australian Centre for Excellence in Antarctic Science

- 372 (Project ID SR200100008). We thank the individuals and groups sharing altimetry and GRACE
- data, firn densification models, and climate indices.

#### 375 **Open Research**

#### 376 Data Availability Statement

- All underlying data are openly available. Altimetry data were obtained from
- 378 <u>https://doi.org/10.5067/L3LSVDZS15ZV</u>. GRACE time series were obtained from
- 379 <u>http://gravis.gfz-potsdam.de/ais</u> . The IMAU FDM was obtained from
- 380 <u>https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5172513</u>. The GSFC FDM was obtained from
- 381 <u>https://zenodo.org/record/7054574#.Y0iiTnbMJPY</u>. SAM index time series were obtained from
- 382 <u>http://www.nerc-bas.ac.uk/public/icd/gjma/newsam.1957.2007.txt</u>. ASL data were obtained
- from <u>https://scotthosking.com/asl\_index</u> using version 3.20210820-era5. Nino3.4 index time
- 384 series were obtained from
- 385 <u>https://psl.noaa.gov/gcos\_wgsp/Timeseries/Data/nino34.long.anom.data</u>. Data presented here
- 386 will be made openly available upon acceptance.

#### 387 **References**

- Bos, M. S., Fernandes, R. M. S., Williams, S. D. P., & Bastos, L. (2013). Fast error analysis of continuous GNSS
  observations with missing data. *Journal of Geodesy*, 87(4), 351-360. doi:10.1007/s00190-012-0605-0
- Christie, F. D. W., Steig, E. J., Gourmelen, N., Tett, S. F. B., & Bingham, R. G. (2023). Inter-decadal climate
   variability induces differential ice response along Pacific-facing West Antarctica. *Nature Communications*,
   *14*(1), 93. doi:10.1038/s41467-022-35471-3
- Clem, K. R., Renwick, J. A., & McGregor, J. (2017). Large-Scale Forcing of the Amundsen Sea Low and Its
   Influence on Sea Ice and West Antarctic Temperature. *Journal of Climate*, *30*(20), 8405-8424.
   doi:10.1175/Jcli-D-16-0891.1
- Dahle, C., & Murböck, M. (2020). Post-processed GRACE/GRACE-FO Geopotential GSM Coefficients COST-G
   RL01 (Level-2B Product). doi:10.5880/GFZ.GRAVIS\_06\_L2B
- Diener, T., Sasgen, I., Agosta, C., Fürst, J. J., Braun, M. H., Konrad, H., & Fettweis, X. (2021). Acceleration of
  Dynamic Ice Loss in Antarctica From Satellite Gravimetry. *Frontiers in Earth Science*, 9. Original
  Research. doi:10.3389/feart.2021.741789
- Dutrieux, P., De Rydt, J., Jenkins, A., Holland, P. R., Ha, H. K., Lee, S. H., et al. (2014). Strong Sensitivity of Pine
  Island Ice-Shelf Melting to Climatic Variability. *Science*, 343(6167), 174-178.
  doi:doi:10.1126/science.1244341
- Ferguson, A. C., Davis, C. H., & Cavanaugh, J. E. (2004). An autoregressive model for analysis of ice sheet
  elevation change time series. *Ieee Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, 42(11), 2426-2436.
  Article. doi:10.1109/tgrs.2004.836788
- Goodwin, B. P., Mosley-Thompson, E., Wilson, A. B., Porter, S. E., & Sierra-Hernandez, M. R. (2016).
   Accumulation Variability in the Antarctic Peninsula: The Role of Large-Scale Atmospheric Oscillations and Their Interactions. *Journal of Climate*, 29(7), 2579-2596. doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-15-0354.1
- Hansen, N., Langen, P. L., Boberg, F., Forsberg, R., Simonsen, S. B., Thejll, P., et al. (2021). Downscaled surface
   mass balance in Antarctica: impacts of subsurface processes and large-scale atmospheric circulation. *The Cryosphere, 15*(9), 4315-4333. doi:10.5194/tc-15-4315-2021
- Horwath, M., Legresy, B., Remy, F., Blarel, F., & Lemoine, J. M. (2012). Consistent patterns of Antarctic ice sheet
  interannual variations from ENVISAT radar altimetry and GRACE satellite gravimetry. *Geophysical Journal International*, 189(2), 863-876. doi:10.1111/j.1365-246X.2012.05401.x
- Hosking, J. S., Orr, A., Bracegirdle, T. J., & Turner, J. (2016). Future circulation changes off West Antarctica:
  Sensitivity of the Amundsen Sea Low to projected anthropogenic forcing. *Geophysical Research Letters*,
  43(1), 367-376. doi:10.1002/2015GL067143
- Kaitheri, A., Mémin, A., & Rémy, F. (2021). Inter-Annual Variability in the Antarctic Ice Sheets Using Geodetic
   Observations and a Climate Model. *Remote Sensing*, 13(11), 2199.

- Kim, B.-H., Seo, K.-W., Eom, J., Chen, J., & Wilson, C. R. (2020). Antarctic ice mass variations from 1979 to 2017
   driven by anomalous precipitation accumulation. *Scientific Reports*, 10(1), 20366. doi:10.1038/s41598 020-77403-5
- King, M. A., Lyu, K., & Zhang, X. (2023). Climate variability a key driver of recent Antarctic ice-mass change.
   *Nature Geoscience, 16*(12), 1128-1135. doi:10.1038/s41561-023-01317-w
- King, M. A., & Watson, C. S. (2020). Antarctic Surface Mass Balance: Natural Variability, Noise, and Detecting
   New Trends. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 47(12), e2020GL087493. doi:10.1029/2020GL087493
- Marshall, G. J. (2003). Trends in the Southern Annular Mode from Observations and Reanalyses. *Journal of Climate, 16*(24), 4134-4143. doi:10.1175/1520-0442(2003)016<4134:Titsam>2.0.Co;2
- Marshall, G. J., Orr, A., & Turner, J. (2013). A Predominant Reversal in the Relationship between the SAM and
   East Antarctic Temperatures during the Twenty-First Century. *Journal of Climate, 26*(14), 5196-5204.
   doi:10.1175/Jcli-D-12-00671.1
- Medley, B., Neumann, T. A., Zwally, H. J., Smith, B. E., & Stevens, C. M. (2022). Simulations of firn processes
  over the Greenland and Antarctic ice sheets: 1980–2021. *The Cryosphere*, *16*(10), 3971-4011.
  doi:10.5194/tc-16-3971-2022
- Medley, B., & Thomas, E. R. (2019). Increased snowfall over the Antarctic Ice Sheet mitigated twentieth-century
   sea-level rise. *Nature Climate Change*, 9(1), 34-39. doi:10.1038/s41558-018-0356-x
- Mémin, A., Flament, T., Alizier, B., Watson, C., & Rémy, F. (2015). Interannual variation of the Antarctic Ice Sheet
   from a combined analysis of satellite gravimetry and altimetry data. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*,
   422(0), 150-156. doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2015.03.045
- Mémin, A., Flament, T., Rémy, F., & Llubes, M. (2014). Snow- and ice-height change in Antarctica from satellite
  gravimetry and altimetry data. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 404(0), 344-353.
  doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2014.08.008
- Mottram, R., Hansen, N., Kittel, C., van Wessem, J. M., Agosta, C., Amory, C., et al. (2021). What is the surface
   mass balance of Antarctica? An intercomparison of regional climate model estimates. *The Cryosphere*,
   15(8), 3751-3784. doi:10.5194/tc-15-3751-2021
- Nilsson, J., Gardner, A., & Paolo, a. F. S. (2023). *MEaSUREs ITS\_LIVE Antarctic Grounded Ice Sheet Elevation Change, Version 1.* Retrieved from: <u>https://nsidc.org/data/NSIDC-0782/versions/1</u>
   doi:10.5067/L3LSVDZS15ZV
- 452 Nilsson, J., Gardner, A. S., & Paolo, F. S. (2022). Elevation change of the Antarctic Ice Sheet: 1985 to 2020. *Earth* 453 *Syst. Sci. Data*, 14(8), 3573-3598. doi:10.5194/essd-14-3573-2022
- Otosaka, I. N., Shepherd, A., Ivins, E. R., Schlegel, N. J., Amory, C., van den Broeke, M. R., et al. (2023). Mass
  balance of the Greenland and Antarctic ice sheets from 1992 to 2020. *Earth Syst. Sci. Data*, 15(4), 15971616. doi:10.5194/essd-15-1597-2023
- Palóczy, A., Gille, S. T., & McClean, J. L. (2018). Oceanic Heat Delivery to the Antarctic Continental Shelf: LargeScale, Low-Frequency Variability. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 123*(11), 7678-7701.
  doi:10.1029/2018JC014345
- Paolo, F. S., Padman, L., Fricker, H. A., Adusumilli, S., Howard, S., & Siegfried, M. R. (2018). Response of
  Pacific-sector Antarctic ice shelves to the El Niño/Southern Oscillation. *Nature Geoscience*, 11(2), 121126. doi:10.1038/s41561-017-0033-0
- Rayner, N. A. (2003). Global analyses of sea surface temperature, sea ice, and night marine air temperature since the
   late nineteenth century. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 108(D14). doi:10.1029/2002jd002670
- Sasgen, I., Groh, A., & Horwath, M. (2020). COST-G GravIS RL01 Ice-Mass Change Products. doi:10.5880/COST-G.GRAVIS\_01\_L3\_ICE
- Schröder, L., Horwath, M., Dietrich, R., Helm, V., van den Broeke, M. R., & Ligtenberg, S. R. M. (2019). Four
   decades of Antarctic surface elevation changes from multi-mission satellite altimetry. *The Cryosphere*,
   *13*(2), 427-449. doi:10.5194/tc-13-427-2019
- Shepherd, A., Ivins, E. R., A, G., Barletta, V. R., Bentley, M. J., Bettadpur, S., et al. (2012). A Reconciled Estimate
  of Ice-Sheet Mass Balance. *Science*, 338(6111), 1183-1189. doi:10.1126/science.1228102
- Silvestri, G., & Vera, C. (2009). Nonstationary Impacts of the Southern Annular Mode on Southern Hemisphere
   Climate. *Journal of Climate, 22*(22), 6142-6148. doi:10.1175/2009JCLI3036.1
- Smith, B., Fricker, H. A., Gardner, A. S., Medley, B., Nilsson, J., Paolo, F. S., et al. (2020). Pervasive ice sheet mass
  loss reflects competing ocean and atmosphere processes. *Science*, *368*(6496), 1239-1242.
  doi:doi:10.1126/science.aaz5845

- 477 Spence, P., Holmes, R. M., Hogg, A. M., Griffies, S. M., Stewart, K. D., & England, M. H. (2017). Localized rapid
  478 warming of West Antarctic subsurface waters by remote winds. *Nature Climate Change*, 7(8), 595-603.
  479 doi:10.1038/nclimate3335
- Thomas, E. R., van Wessem, J. M., Roberts, J., Isaksson, E., Schlosser, E., Fudge, T. J., et al. (2017). Regional
   Antarctic snow accumulation over the past 1000 years. *Clim. Past, 13*(11), 1491-1513. doi:10.5194/cp-13-1491-2017
- Turner, J., Phillips, T., Hosking, J. S., Marshall, G. J., & Orr, A. (2013). The Amundsen Sea low. *International Journal of Climatology*, 33(7), 1818-1829. doi:10.1002/joc.3558
- van den Broeke, M. R., & van Lipzig, N. P. M. (2017). Changes in Antarctic temperature, wind and precipitation in
  response to the Antarctic Oscillation. *Annals of Glaciology*, *39*, 119-126.
  doi:10.3189/172756404781814654
- Veldhuijsen, S. B. M., van de Berg, W. J., Brils, M., Kuipers Munneke, P., & van den Broeke, M. R. (2023).
   Characteristics of the 1979–2020 Antarctic firn layer simulated with IMAU-FDM v1.2A. *The Cryosphere*, 17(4), 1675-1696. doi:10.5194/tc-17-1675-2023
- Verfaillie, D., Pelletier, C., Goosse, H., Jourdain, N. C., Bull, C. Y. S., Dalaiden, Q., et al. (2022). The circum Antarctic ice-shelves respond to a more positive Southern Annular Mode with regionally varied melting.
   *Communications Earth & Environment*, 3(1), 139. doi:10.1038/s43247-022-00458-x
- Wahr, J., Molenaar, M., & Bryan, F. (1998). Time variability of the Earth's gravity field: Hydrological and oceanic
  effects and their possible detection using GRACE. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*,
  103(B12), 30205-30229. doi:10.1029/98JB02844
- Wang, B. (1992). The Vertical Structure and Development of the Enso Anomaly Mode during 1979-1989. *Journal* of the Atmospheric Sciences, 49(8), 698-712. doi:10.1175/1520-0469(1992)049<0698:Tvsado>2.0.Co;2
- Williams, S. D. P., Moore, P., King, M. A., & Whitehouse, P. L. (2014). Revisiting GRACE Antarctic ice mass
   trends and accelerations considering autocorrelation. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 385, 12-21.
   doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2013.10.016
- Wingham, D. J., Wallis, D. W., & Shepherd, A. (2009). Spatial and temporal evolution of Pine Island Glacier
   thinning, 1995-2006. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 36, 5. Article. doi:L1750, 10.1029/2009gl039126
- Wouters, B., Bamber, J. L., van den Broeke, M. R., Lenaerts, J. T. M., & Sasgen, I. (2013). Limits in detecting
   acceleration of ice sheet mass loss due to climate variability. *Nature Geoscience*, 6(8), 613-616.
   doi:10.1038/ngeo1874
- 507
- 508
- 509
- 510 References From the Supporting Information
- 511
- Haran, T., Bohlander, J., Scambos, T., & Fahnestock, M. (2014, updated 2019). MODIS mosaic of Antarctica 2008 2009 (MOA2009) Image Map, Version 1. doi:10.7265/N5KP8037
- Howat, I. M. (2022). *The Reference Elevation Model of Antarctica Mosaics, Version 2.* doi:10.7910/DVN/EBW8UC
- Rignot, E., Mouginot, J., & Scheuchl, B. (2017). *MEaSUREs InSAR-Based Antarctica Ice Velocity Map, Version 2.* doi:10.5067/D7GK8F5J8M8R
- 518
- 519

520	
521	Geophysical Research Letters
522	Supporting Information for
523 524	Major modes of climate variability dominate nonlinear Antarctic ice-sheet elevation changes 2002- 2020
525	Matt A. King <sup>1,2</sup> , Poul Christoffersen <sup>2,3</sup>
526 527	<sup>1</sup> School of Geography, Planning, and Spatial Sciences, University of Tasmania, Hobart, Tasmania 7001, Australia
528 529	<sup>2</sup> The Australian Centre for Excellence in Antarctic Science, University of Tasmania, Hobart, Tasmania 7001, Australia
530 531	<sup>3</sup> Institute for Marine and Antarctic Studies, University of Tasmania, Hobart, Tasmania 7001, Australia
532	
533	
534	Contents of this file
535	T
536	lext S1
531	Table S1
538	Table ST
539	
540	

#### Text S1 541

542

To make a first-order estimate of plausible velocity-driven changes on surface elevation on Pine 543 Island and Thwaites Glacier, we used annual Measures v2 ice velocities (Rignot et al., 2017) to 544 derive year-on-year velocity changes at the glacier point positions where we extracted time series 545 of surface elevation changes (shown in Fig. 3). The annual velocity changes were extracted in 546 polar stereographic coordinates (x, y) and averaged over a  $25 \times 25$  km box centred around each 547 of the two geographical locations. The corresponding changes in surface height were estimated 548 from the conservation of mass: 549

550 
$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} = \dot{a} + \dot{b} + \bar{v} \cdot \nabla H -$$

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} = \dot{a} + \dot{b} + \bar{v} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{H} + \mathbf{H} \cdot \dot{\varepsilon}_z$$

where  $\dot{a}$  is the surface accumulation from snowfall,  $\dot{b}$  is the basal accumulation when water at 551

the bed freezes on (negative for melting),  $\bar{v}$  is the velocity vector,  $\nabla$  is the gradient operator, H is 552

- ice thickness and  $\dot{\varepsilon}_z$  is the vertical stain rate averaged for the ice column. We used the third and 553 fourth term on the RHS to derive estimates of the elevation change stemming specifically from 554
- the change in velocity, ignoring the accumulation terms  $\dot{a}$  and  $\dot{b}$ . If the velocity change is  $\delta \bar{v}$ , the 555
- change in height is  $-\delta \bar{v} \cdot \nabla H + H \cdot \delta \dot{\varepsilon}_z$ , where the first term denotes elevation gain from 556
- advection of thicker ice (or the opposite) and the second term is elevation loss due to dynamic 557
- thinning when the ice velocity increases (or the opposite). The change in velocity,  $\delta \bar{v} =$ 558
- $(\Delta v_x, \Delta v_y)$  was the annual difference from one year to the next. The advection term was 559
- calculated as  $\Delta v_x dh/dx + \Delta v_y dh/dy$ , while strain thinning was calculated as 560
- $H(-\Delta(dv_x/dx) \Delta(dv_y/dy))$  with the assumption that ice is incompressible ( $\delta \dot{\epsilon}_z = -\delta \dot{\epsilon}_x \delta \dot{\epsilon}_y$ 561
- $\delta \dot{\epsilon}_{v}$ ) and vertical strain in the ice column is uniform. Fig. S10 shows the estimated elevation 562
- change in terms of advection and strain and their total. 563







Fig S1. Cumulatively summed and detrended climate indices. The panels show the normalized climate indices (a), their cumulative sum renormalized (b), after further detrending and renormalization (c). ENSO and ASLλ terms are shown offset by 4 units for clarity.







202	
584	Fig S3. The leading two modes of the detrended altimeter and GRACE data based on MVEOF.
585	The EOFs are shown after scaling to reverse the effects of normalization. GRACE modes
586	(a, d) are shown in units of meters of water equivalent (w.e.), and altimeter modes (b, e)
587	shown in units of meters of ice elevation. Overlain on PC1 is the detrended $SAM_{\Sigma}$
588	and $-ASLP_{\Sigma}$ indices and overlain on PC2 is the lagged $ENSO_{\Sigma}$ and $-ASL\lambda_{\Sigma}$ . The
589	variances explained by each mode are indicated in the legends of panels c and f.



592 Fig S4. Modes 3 and 4 of the MVEOF. Note the changes in color scale from Fig S3.



- **Fig S5.** Detail of Figure 1 in the Amundsen Sea Embayment (top) and Denman-Totten glacier (bottom) regions. Thick black lines show the grounding line and thin black lines the ice shelf limits (Haran et al., 2014, updated 2019). Pink lines define the 100 m/yr speed contour (Rignot et al., 2017). Yellow lines mark the locations of ice stream profiles and yellow cross marks the location of the ice stream time series.





**Fig S6.** Density of SAM<sub> $\Sigma$ </sub> and ENSO<sub> $\Sigma$ </sub> variability from the ratio of GRACE and smoothedaltimetry coefficients. Error bars reflect 1-sigma uncertainties. ENSO<sub> $\Sigma$ </sub> values are not shown for Denman and Totten as they are too uncertain.





Fig S7. Cross-section profiles of SAM<sub> $\Sigma$ </sub> (a) and ENSO<sub> $\Sigma$ </sub> (b) coefficients as a function of distance 618 across Thwaites (a), Pine Island (b), Totten (c) and Denman (d) glaciers. Coefficients are 619 shown as estimated before (colored solid line) and after (colored dashed line) subtraction 620 of the IMAU FDM. The ice elevation extracted from the REMA v2.0 1 km mosaic 621 (Howat, 2022) is shown as a black line (central axes). Colored shading indicates the 622 1-sigma confidence limits. The vertical grey box indicates the 100 m/yr limits of ice flow 623 based on Measures v2. The locations of the profiles are shown in Figure S5 as yellow 624 lines. 625



**Fig S8.** Centre-line profiles of  $SAM_{\Sigma}$  (a) and  $ENSO_{\Sigma}$  (b) coefficients as a function of distance upstream of the grounding line of major ice streams. Coefficients are shown before (solid line) and after (dashed line) subtraction of the IMAU FDM. Shading indicates the 1-sigma confidence limits. The locations of the profiles are shown in Figure S5 as yellow lines.



636 2005 2010 2015 2020 2005 2010 2015 2020
637 Figure S9. As for Figure 3 but showing only the Thwaites and Pine Island time series. Panels
638 show (left axes) the detrended data and their 2-sigma uncertainties (blue plusses and error bars),
639 outputs of two models of firn densification (FDM; brown lines), the best fitting models based on
640 ASL (black solid line with 2-sigma uncertainty in gray shading) and SAM+ENSO (black dashed
641 lines) terms.



changes in satellite derived velocities for Pine Island Glacier (top) and Thwaites Glacier
(bottom). These elevation change anomalies are calculated from the advective thickness change
(blue circles) and strain (magenta triangle) tied to the observed change in velocity from one year

to the next. The total elevation change (black squares) is the sum. Estimates are based on gridded

surface velocities  $(v_x, v_y)$  in a 25 x 25 km box centered around glacier points used to show

observed elevation changes in Figure 3 of main text. Other sources of elevation change are

651 ignored. See Text S1 for details.

643

652653 Table S1

#### 654 Fue

654

Table S1. Location in Antarctic Polar Stereographic coordinates (EPSG:3031) of sites in Fig. 3
 and Fig S9 and discussed in the main text.

Glacier	X(m)	Y(m)
Thwaites	-1511702	-463473
Pine Island	-1583860	-232513
Totten	2274795	-988293
Denman	2496950	-423352