Sensitive response of atmospheric oxidative capacity to the uncertainty in the emissions of nitric oxide (NO) from soils in Amazonia

Ben H. Lee¹, J. William Munger², Steven C. C. Wofsy², Luciana V Rizzo³, James Y. S. Yoon⁴, Alex Turner⁴, Joel A Thornton⁴, and Abigail L.S. Swann⁴

¹Department of Atmospheric Sciences, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195, USA ²Harvard University ³Universidade de Sao Paulo ⁴University of Washington

December 10, 2023

Abstract

Soils are a major source of nitrogen oxides, which in the atmosphere help govern its oxidative capacity. Thus the response of soil nitric oxide (NO) emissions to forcings such as warming or forest loss has a meaningful impact on global atmospheric chemistry. We find that the soil emission rate of NO in Amazonia from a common inventory is biased low by at least an order of magnitude in comparison to tower-based observations. Accounting for this regional bias decreases the modeled global methane lifetime by 1.4% to 2.6%. In comparison, a fully deforested Amazonia, representing a 37% decrease in global emissions of isoprene, decreases methane lifetime by at most 4.6%, highlighting the sensitive response of oxidation rates to changes in emissions of NO compared to those of terpenes. Our results demonstrate that improving our understanding of soil NO emissions will yield a more accurate representation of atmospheric oxidative capacity.

1	Sensitive response of atmospheric oxidative capacity to the uncertainty in
2	the emissions of nitric oxide (NO) from soils in Amazonia
3	
4	Ben H. Lee ¹ , J. William Munger ² , Steven C. Wofsy ² , Luciana V. Rizzo ³ , James Y. S. Yoon ¹ ,
5	Alexander J. Turner ¹ , Joel A. Thornton ¹ , Abigail L. S. Swann ¹
6 7	1 University of Washington Seattle WA USA
, 8	² Harvard University, Cambridge, MA, USA
9	³ University of São Paulo, São Paulo, SP, Brazil
10	
11	Corresponding author: Abigail L. S. Swann (aswann@uw.edu)
12	Key Points:
12 13	 Soil emission rates of NO in common inventories may be between 10x and 20x too low
12 13 14	 Soil emission rates of NO in common inventories may be between 10x and 20x too low over the Amazon basin
12 13 14 15	 Key Points: Soil emission rates of NO in common inventories may be between 10x and 20x too low over the Amazon basin Higher soil NO over the Amazon basin alters the oxidative capacity of the atmosphere
12 13 14 15 16	 Key Points: Soil emission rates of NO in common inventories may be between 10x and 20x too low over the Amazon basin Higher soil NO over the Amazon basin alters the oxidative capacity of the atmosphere and decreases global methane lifetime by 1.4% to 2.6%
12 13 14 15 16 17	 Key Points: Soil emission rates of NO in common inventories may be between 10x and 20x too low over the Amazon basin Higher soil NO over the Amazon basin alters the oxidative capacity of the atmosphere and decreases global methane lifetime by 1.4% to 2.6% Global methane lifetime is more sensitive to Amazon soil NO fluxes than to the loss of
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Key Points: Soil emission rates of NO in common inventories may be between 10x and 20x too low over the Amazon basin Higher soil NO over the Amazon basin alters the oxidative capacity of the atmosphere and decreases global methane lifetime by 1.4% to 2.6% Global methane lifetime is more sensitive to Amazon soil NO fluxes than to the loss of terpene fluxes from total deforestation of the Amazon

20 Abstract

Soils are a major source of nitrogen oxides, which in the atmosphere help govern its oxidative 21 capacity. Thus the response of soil nitric oxide (NO) emissions to forcings such as warming or 22 forest loss has a meaningful impact on global atmospheric chemistry. We find that the soil 23 emission rate of NO in Amazonia from a common inventory is biased low by at least an order of 24 magnitude in comparison to tower-based observations. Accounting for this regional bias 25 decreases the modeled global methane lifetime by 1.4% to 2.6%. In comparison, a fully 26 deforested Amazonia, representing a 37% decrease in global emissions of isoprene, decreases 27 methane lifetime by at most 4.6%, highlighting the sensitive response of oxidation rates to 28 changes in emissions of NO compared to those of terpenes. Our results demonstrate that 29 improving our understanding of soil NO emissions will yield a more accurate representation of 30 atmospheric oxidative capacity. 31

32

33 Plain Language Summary

Soils emit a gas called nitric oxide (NO). The amount of NO is emitted from soils in tropical 34 forests is not well known, but has been assumed to be small. We simulated how different 35 amounts of NO emissions from soils in the Amazon impacted atmospheric chemistry. By 36 comparing our modeled results to observations we found that NO emissions from soils in the 37 Amazon may be between 10 and 20 times larger than the current default assumption. This 38 matters because NO reacts in the atmosphere with the gas that is the main gas that reacts with 39 things like methane. Therefore soil NO emissions end up impacting how long methane can last 40 in the atmosphere, and that would have additional climate impacts. Even though soil NO 41 emissions are smaller than other sources of NO emissions globally, we find that the amount of 42 NO emitted in the Amazon matters a lot for how long methane can last in the atmosphere. Our 43 results demonstrate that improving estimates of soil NO emissions is going to be necessary for 44 making accurate estimates of how long methane and other reactive species will stay in the 45 atmosphere. 46

47

48 **1 Introduction**

Nitrogen oxide radicals ($NO_x=NO+NO_2$) play a critical role in regulating the atmosphere's 49 oxidizing capacity through reactions that recycle hydrogen oxides $(HO_x=OH+HO_2)$ during the 50 oxidation of hydrocarbons. Accurate representation of this chemistry is crucial, particularly in 51 remote forested regions characterized by relatively low NO_x burdens and high emission rates of 52 biogenic terpenes that give rise to steeply non-linear effects on HO_x, which govern the lifetimes 53 of virtually all hydrocarbons including isoprene and methane. Notably and most recently, Wells 54 et al. (2020) attributed the high-bias in modeled isoprene levels over Amazonia compared to 55 those observed by the Cross-track Infrared Sounder (CrIS) to a low-bias in NO, likely from soils, 56 causing a low-bias in OH, thus, a runaway increase in modeled isoprene lifetime. 57

58

The atmosphere in and above forests has long been thought to be depressed of hydroxyl (OH) radicals (Jacob & Wofsy, 1988; Logan et al., 1981; Spivakovsky et al., 2000) due to the high concentrations of volatile organic compounds (VOC), which react with OH to form organic peroxy radicals (RO₂), and limited availability of NO_x. In the absence of NO_x, RO₂ will self-react and react with hydro-peroxy radicals (HO₂) to net remove OH and thus depress oxidative capacity. The availability of NO enables the catalytic recycling of OH during the daytime via reactions with RO₂ and HO₂.

66

67	$RO_2 + NO \rightarrow RO + NO_2$
68	$RO + O_2 \rightarrow HO_2 + R'O$
69	$HO_2 + NO \rightarrow OH + NO_2$

 $NO_2 + hv (+O_2) \rightarrow NO + O_3$

70

71

While there have been additional insights into OH recycling pathways from RO₂ chemistry
which do not require NO_x, these recycling pathways are not sufficient to explain the Amazon
regional observations of isoprene and formaldehyde from satellites (Wells et al., 2020). Tropical
forests, and the associated large emissions of reactive VOC, are therefore significant influences
on hemispheric if not global oxidizing capacity with consequences for the lifetimes of other
trace gases such as methane. In-situ observations of OH and HO₂ in forested environments,

however, often show that HO_x concentrations are in fact elevated above expectations (Lelieveld 78 et al., 2008; Tan et al., 2001; Whalley et al., 2011). Implementation of updated chemical 79 mechanisms focused on isoprene - globally the most abundantly emitted volatile organic 80 compound (VOC) (Guenther et al., 2006; Messina et al., 2016) – have elevated modeled HO_x 81 levels (Bates & Jacob, 2019; Squire et al., 2015; Taraborrelli et al., 2012), though robust tests to 82 confirm the validity of those updates remain elusive (Archibald et al., 2010). Recently, Jeong et 83 84 al. (2022) report that measured OH during the GoAmazon campaign in the 2014 wet season near Manaus, Brazil agreed well with those calculated using multiple chemical models, 85 suggesting that the VOC-RO₂-HO_x-NO_x coupled chemistry is well understood. However, NO 86 measurements during that experiment were unavailable, which is emblematic of the inherent 87 challenges of deployments to such regions where testing of our understanding of emissions and 88 chemistry is most lacking. 89

90

The sensitivity of the burden and lifetime of methane to global OH levels is well studied (Squire 91 et al., 2015; Voulgarakis et al., 2013; Wild et al., 2020; Zhao et al., 2020). The role that 92 anthropogenic NO_x plays in governing OH and therefore methane levels is also well 93 documented (Laughner et al., 2021; Peng et al., 2022; Stevenson et al., 2022). Less emphasis 94 has been placed on the role of NO_x from natural sources, though Song et al. (2021) report that 95 approximately half of atmospheric NO_x is now derived from sources other than fossil fuel 96 combustion. Soils produce NO through nitrification/denitrification with subsequent emission to 97 98 the atmosphere moderated by a suite of environmental conditions including soil type, gas diffusivity, moisture, temperature, etc. Alterations to forests, whether through conversion to 99 pasture or logging, will consequently result in changes to soil emissions (Garcia-Montiel et al., 100 2001; Keller et al., 2005). 101

102

Numerous global chemical models – including the Community Atmosphere Model (CESM2 CAM-Chem) utilized here – refer to the work of *Yienger and Levy* (1995) to constrain soil NO
 emissions. More recent work by *Hudman et al.* (2012), on which *Wells et al.* (2020) rely,
 developed an updated parameterization including a more physical representation of soil

processes derived from field observations that yielded greater soil NO emissions globally (10.7
 Tg N yr⁻¹) compared to that of Yienger and Levy (7.4 Tg N yr⁻¹), though rates over Amazonia are
 significantly lower due likely to the inclusion of NO_x loss on canopy surfaces. Discrepancies
 amongst published inventories additionally highlight the uncertainty in soil emission rates of
 NO (Davidson, 1993; Ganzeveld et al., 2002; Jaeglé et al., 2005; Martin et al., 2003; Yan et al.,
 2005), the tropospheric abundance of which is not reliably inferred from space.

113

We present the sensitivity of the oxidative capacity of the atmosphere, and specifically, the 114 global lifetime of methane as a function of increasing soil NO emission rates over just the 115 Amazon region as supported by in-situ observations at the Tapajos National Forest (54.580°W, 116 2.51°S, Pará, Brazil) from January to August of 2015. We compare this scenario to a set of 117 simulations in which the region is fully deforested leading to a near ceasing of the emissions of 118 biogenic terpenes, which are known to have implications on chemistry and climate (L. 119 120 Ganzeveld et al., 2010; L. Ganzeveld & Lelieveld, 2004; Geddes et al., 2016; Heald & Spracklen, 2015; Keller et al., 2005; Lathière et al., 2006; Opacka et al., 2021; Unger, 2014; Wiedinmyer et 121 al., 2006; Wu et al., 2012). Results presented here demonstrate the importance of capturing 122 not only the magnitude of NO emissions but responses to evolving conditions given the 123 sensitive response of the oxidative capacity, particularly in and above forests in tropical regions 124 where methane oxidation is likely fastest and least certain (Turner et al., 2019). 125

127 2 Methods

126

We performed six sets of coupled biosphere-atmospheric chemistry simulations using the 128 CESM2-CAM-Chem global model (Danabasoglu et al., 2020; Emmons et al., 2010) with active 129 biogeochemistry at ~1° spatial resolution. Three separate sets were initialized with forests in 130 Amazonia in a state that is representative of the early 1980s. The other three were initialized 131 with Amazonia that was effectively devoid of trees by changing the plant functional type to a 132 grassland over the region (from 16°S to 8°N and from 48°W to 78°W), as illustrated in Figure S1. 133 Leaf area is calculated prognostically, as are other carbon fluxes and pools, however, 134 atmospheric CO₂ concentrations are specified based on observed concentrations for each year. 135

The removal of trees in the model changes physical fluxes of energy and water, as well as carbon 136 and chemical species (e.g. isoprene). The model calculated total leaf area index is about 6.5 m² 137 m^{-2} in the forested scenarios and about 1.8 $m^2 m^{-2}$ in the deforested scenario, wherein the 138 emission rates of biogenic terpenes including isoprene and monoterpenes are negligible. For 139 each of the forested and deforested Amazonia scenarios we calculated three sets of simulations 140 representing different soil NO conditions: (i) baseline soil NO emission rate based on the work 141 of Yienger and Levy (1995), and factors of (ii) $10 \times$ that rate, and (ii) $20 \times$ of that rate. All 142 simulation scenarios span from 2001 to 2005, except for the forested baseline soil NO scenario 143 which spans from 1980 to 2015. All aspects of the model except for plant type and soil NO 144 fluxes within the Amazon vary transiently across years based on observed quantities, including 145 greenhouse gas concentrations, sea surface temperatures, and land cover change outside the 146 Amazon basin ("FCfireHIST" compset in CESM2). 147

148

We also utilized FOAM (Framework for 0-D Atmospheric Modeling; Wolfe et al., 2016), which 149 leverages the more comprehensive Master Chemical Mechanism (MCM) version 3.3.1 (Jenkin et 150 al., 2015; Jenkin et al., 1997; Saunders et al., 2003) to compare against the CESM2-CAM-Chem 151 results. We performed a series of simulations. Each was conducted with constant introduction 152 rates of NO, O_3 , and isoprene into the well-mixed volume with active photochemistry (J_{NO2} = 153 2×10^{-2} s⁻¹), and allowed to evolve for 60 hours, much longer than the time needed for all species 154 to reach steady state. The rate of NO introduction was varied widely to capture the response of 155 156 oxidation chemistry to the steady state NO mixing ratios, while the rates of introduction of O₃ and isoprene were set such that the steady state resulting mixing ratios were comparable to the 157 levels reported by CESM2-CAM-Chem for the three soil NO emission rate scenarios. We 158 compare in the section below the levels OH and HO₂ calculated by CEMS2-CAM-Chem and 159 FOAM. 160

161

Mixing ratios of NO, nitrogen dioxide (NO₂), and O₃ were measured at the Tapajos National Forest from January to August of 2015. Ambient air in excess of instrument requirements was drawn in at 4-6 liters per minute (lpm) through inlets located at eight heights off of the tall

tower (0.91, 3.05, 10.42, 19.57, 28.71, 39.41, 53.04, 62.24 m above the ground). The NO 165 chemiluminescence analyzer drew ~2 lpm and the O₃ analyzer drew ~1 lpm. Excess flow was 166 pulled by a bypass pump to maintain constant pressure measured by a pressure controller. The 167 inlets were sampled in sequence for 4 minutes each. NO and NO₂ were measured by an 168 EcoPhysics CLD-780TR analyzer equipped with an external NO₂ photolysis cell using a 169 Hamamatsu LED with peak wavelength at 365 nm (Pollack et al., 2010). The photolysis cell was 170 toggled on and off at 60 s intervals to provide both NO and NO + NO₂ measurement at each 171 sample height. All instruments were housed in an air conditioned shed near the base of the 172 tower. Instrument background signal was measured by periodically adding O₃ generated by a 173 Hg-vapor lamp to the sample stream to convert NO to NO₂ before the sample entered the 174 detector. Instrument gain and NO₂ conversion efficiency were determined by routinely adding a 175 small flow of NO or NO₂ standard to the sample inlet. 176

177

178 **3 Results and Discussion**

In comparison to the measurements made above the forest canopy, CESM2-CAM-Chem 179 underestimates NO mixing ratio in the lower-most level of the atmosphere in the model-grid 180 encompassing the Tapajos National Forest, when utilizing the baseline soil NO emission rate as 181 prescribed by the work of Yienger and Levy (1995) (Figures 1a and S2). Observed vertical profile 182 from 0.9 m to 62 m from the forest floor show that NO is most abundant near the forest floor, 183 elevated to as high as a few parts per billion (ppb). Its mixing ratio decreases with height 184 predominantly as it reacts with O_3 to form NO_2 (Bakwin et al., 1990), indicating that the 185 dominant NO source at this site is likely from the soil underneath the forest canopy, and 186 negligibly from an upwind source. The years 2015 and 2016 were characterized by El Niño 187 conditions. The resulting drier-than-normal conditions in Amazonia could have contributed to 188 higher than normal soil NO emissions, which exhibit a non-linear response to changing soil 189 moisture levels (Davidson, 1993; Davidson & Kingerlee, 1997; Garcia-Montiel et al., 2001; Gut et 190 al., 2002; Luo et al., 2012). However, El Niño became active at earliest in March of 2015, 191 whereas the model underestimates observations throughout the year with no noticeable 192 change in the model-observation discrepancy around that time. Biomass burning as well does 193

not appear to have had a significant long-term impact on NO levels at the site given that the
 observations at the top of the tower do not exhibit periodic bursts or enhancements associated
 with influence from fresh or intense combustion sources (Figure S2).

197

Observed NO₂ and O₃ levels are, likewise, greater than those modeled for the lowest level of the 198 atmosphere when implementing the baseline soil NO emission rate. One implication is that the 199 modeled oxidative capacity of the atmosphere, namely OH and HO₂, is also likely biased low 200 given NO cycles HO₂ to OH and that O₃ is the dominant primary HO_x source. As such, increasing 201 the model soil NO emission rate enhances the levels of NO_x, HO_x and O₃, as shown in Figure 1 202 (and Figures S3, S4, and S5). The median level of NO measured above the forest canopy 203 generally resides between that modeled with 10× and 20× soil emission rates. Similarly, 204 modeled soil NO emission rates at 10x and 20x also show closer agreement with measured soil 205 NO emission rates from unperturbed tropical forests (Figure S7). The mean ratios of the 206 207 observed to modeled NO_x resulting from utilizing the baseline, $10\times$, and $20\times$ soil emission rates are 2,7, 0.7, and 0.4, respectively. 208

209

With the enhanced soil emission rates, OH – though never measured at the Tapajos National 210 Forest – increases to levels that are well within an order of magnitude of that observed during 211 the GoAmazon experiment (Jeong et al., 2022) (Figure 2d), with corresponding effects on the 212 lifetime and burden of isoprene. However, the modeled isoprene mixing ratio still overestimates 213 observations at the Tapajos National Forest made in June of 2016 (Sarkar et al., 2020) by a 214 factor between 8 and 12 (Figure 2c). This discrepancy is not due to inaccurate isoprene fluxes 215 (Figure 2b), which at the site agrees well between what is measured by the eddy covariance 216 approach and modeled using MEGAN (Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature; , 217 which also constrains biogenic terpene emissions for CESM2-CAM-Chem. 218

219

In addition to a low bias in soil NO emission rate, mixing in the boundary layer within CESM2-

221 CAM-Chem is likely too slow. The vertical profile of modeled NO in the boundary layer exhibits a

sharp enhancement in the lowest level of the atmosphere that interacts with the surface where

emissions are continuously occurring (Figure S3) with O₃ showing a corresponding depletion 223 (Figure S4), comparable to what is observed from the tower beneath the forest canopy (Figure 224 1). Such erroneously slow vertical mixing leads to excessive accumulation of NO in the lower-225 most layer of the atmosphere and deprives the rest of the boundary layer of NO (and isoprene) 226 that would otherwise lead to enhanced O₃ and HO_x levels, and therefore a shorter isoprene 227 lifetime. Faster boundary layer mixing in the model would lead to dilution of NO in the surface 228 layer and would in turn require an even stronger surface NO flux than what we have 229 implemented here in order to match what was observed at the Tapajos National Forest. As such, 230 the soil NO emission rate we infer here is likely a lower bound on the truth due to the slow 231 mixing in CESM2-CAM-Chem. 232

233

There is good agreement between OH and HO₂ concentrations determined for the three forested simulations of varying soil NO emission rates and those of the FOAM simulations (**Figure S8**) indicating that all significant chemical mechanisms listed in the more comprehensive MCM v3.3.1 (Jenkin et al., 2015; Jenkin et al., 1997; Saunders et al., 2003) are represented by the chemistry module of CESM2-CAM-Chem which is more condensed. Therefore, the high-bias in isoprene mixing ratios (**Figure 2c**) is likely not the result of deficient chemistry in CESM2-CAM-Chem.

240

We also note the variability in the observed NO values, as evidenced by the large difference in 241 the observed mean and observed median NO mixing ratios (Figure 2). This is likely due to the 242 dependence of nitrification and denitrification that generate NO on changes to conditions such 243 as soil humidity and soil temperature. The modeled NO levels are much less variable – denoted 244 by the shaded trace for a given month that represent the variability between the years 2001 245 and 2005 – since its emissions are based on seasonally-varying but annually-repeating 246 climatology. The minimum and maximum soil NO flux in the model region corresponding to the 247 Tapajos National Forest are 2.0×10^9 and 2.8×10^9 molecules cm⁻² s⁻¹, respectively. As a result, the 248 response of soil NO emissions to varying environmental conditions is not readily captured 249 currently by CESM2-CAM-Chem. 250

251

The resulting increase in OH due to increasing the soil NO emission rate of Amazonia is 252 significant enough to be globally relevant. We find that there is about a 3-fold increase in the 253 fractional change in OH number concentration below 800 hPa in response to increasing the 254 baseline soil emission rate by a factor of $20 \times$ (Figure 3). The factors of $10 \times$ and $20 \times$ increases in 255 soil NO emission rates relative to baseline rates lead to 2.6% and 5.5% increases in the total 256 (natural and anthropogenic) global surface NO_x emissions (Figure 4b), and cause decreases in 257 the global methane lifetime of 1.4% and 2.6%, respectively (Figure 4a). For context, this is 258 approaching the amount needed to resolve the stabilization of methane observed between the 259 years 2000 and 2007 (Dlugokencky et al., 2003; Lan et al., 2022), which can be explained by an 260 approximately 4% increase in global OH levels as supported by remote-site measurements of 261 methyl chloroform (Rigby et al., 2017; Turner et al., 2017), and which remains yet unresolved 262 by models (Stevenson et al., 2020; Turner et al., 2019). 263

264

We compare the global methane lifetime's sensitivity to changes in Amazonian soil NO_x 265 emissions and terpene emissions. Nearly ceasing Amazonia's emissions of biogenic terpenes 266 including isoprene through simulated deforestation causes a 37% decrease in the global 267 isoprene emission rate (Figure 4c) but only a 4.6% decrease in the global methane lifetime. That 268 a much larger change in isoprene emissions compared to NO emissions is required to induce a 269 comparable change in OH illustrates the much higher sensitivity of global OH levels and 270 methane lifetime to the emission rate of NO relative to that of terpenes. Lastly, simulating 271 deforestation with a dynamic biosphere model shows its impact on soil characteristics such as 272 273 moisture and soil temperature (Figure S9), both of which drive nitrification and denitrification 274 processes that generate NO (Davidson et al., 2000; Garcia-Montiel et al., 2001; Luo et al., 2012), alongside a suite of other variables such as soil and tree types, extents of nitrogen input, and 275 history of land-use (Bakwin et al., 1990; Erickson et al., 2002; Koehler et al., 2009; Pilegaard et 276 al., 2006). These results call for the need for a dynamic soil model for NO emissions capable of 277 incorporating the response of soil nitrogen processing to changes in environmental conditions, 278 to adequately represent and forecast atmospheric oxidative chemistry. 279

280

281 **4 Conclusions**

Emission rates of NO from soils in CESM2-CAM-Chem are underestimated by at least an order 282 of magnitude compared to ground-based observations of NO_x fluxes, partitioning and vertical 283 profiles. Factors that likely contribute to the low-bias in existing inventories include inadequate 284 number of field measurements encompassing multiple seasons in numerous land types that 285 provide model constraints, as well as implementation of overly aggressive NO_x loss on forest 286 canopy surfaces. We show that correcting this low-bias in NO_x emissions enhances regional OH 287 levels to such an extent as to be globally significant. The soil NO emission rate over Amazonia 288 was increased by a factor of $10 \times to 20 \times relative to the rates prescribed by Yienger and Levy$ 289 (Yienger & Levy II, 1995) to achieve consistency with observations at the Tapajos National 290 Forest, resulting in a global average methane lifetime decreases of 1.4% and 2.6%, respectively. 291 The extent of NO flux underestimation may be even greater if the model utilized here suffers 292 from slow vertical mixing of the boundary layer. The impact on the global oxidative capacity 293 due to such increases in soil NO emissions over Amazonia is comparable to that due to the near 294 complete ceasing of biogenic VOC emissions from the deforestation of Amazonia. Given the 295 sensitivity of the global atmospheric oxidative capacity to relatively small changes in our 296 current estimation of global NO_x emissions, understanding the magnitude and sign of the 297 response of soil NO emissions to past and future forcings including land use and land cover 298 change are critical for assessing the lifetimes of all reactive species of the atmosphere. 299 300

301 Acknowledgements

ALSS and BHL acknowledge NSF Macrosystems award to the University of Washington DEB 1925837. LVR acknowledges the Sao Paulo State Funding Agency (FAPESP 10156-8/2013).
 JAT acknowledges an award from the NSF Atmospheric and Geo-space Sciences AGS-2023670.
 Trace gas observations at the Tapajos km67 site were supported by Deptartment of Energy
 Grant # DE-SC0008311. We especially thank the staff at the LBA office in Santarem for logistical
 support.

308

309 Open Research

- 310 The observations made at the Tapajos National Forest as well as the model simulation output
- described in this paper are available through Dryad (available at this link during peer review:
- 312 https://datadryad.org/stash/share/uWEs8q4jBPor-wijYBwdnmacFixCaNWV10yXIGxQzIo, final
- 313 DOI to be provided after manuscript is accepted).
- 314

315 **References**

- Archibald, A. T., Cooke, M. C., Utembe, S. R., Shallcross, D. E., Derwent, R. G., & Jenkin, M. E.
- (2010). Impacts of mechanistic changes on HO_x formation and recycling in the oxidation of isoprene. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 10(17), 8097–8118.
- 319 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-10-8097-2010
- Bakwin, P. S., Wofsy, S. C., Fan, S.-M., Keller, M., Trumbore, S. E., & Da Costa, J. M. (1990).
- 321 Emission of nitric oxide (NO) from tropical forest soils and exchange of NO between the
- forest canopy and atmospheric boundary layers. *Journal of Geophysical Research:*
- 323 *Atmospheres*, *95*(D10), 16755–16764. https://doi.org/10.1029/JD095iD10p16755
- Bates, K. H., & Jacob, D. J. (2019). A new model mechanism for atmospheric oxidation of
- isoprene: global effects on oxidants, nitrogen oxides, organic products, and secondary
- organic aerosol. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, *19*(14), 9613–9640.
- 327 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-9613-2019
- Danabasoglu, G., Lamarque, J.-F., Bacmeister, J., Bailey, D. A., DuVivier, A. K., Edwards, J., et al.
- 329 (2020). The Community Earth System Model Version 2 (CESM2). Journal of Advances in
- 330 *Modeling Earth Systems*, *12*(2), e2019MS001916. https://doi.org/10.1029/2019MS001916
- 331 Davidson, E. A. (1993). Soil Water Content and the Ratio of Nitrous Oxide to Nitric Oxide Emitted
- from Soil. In R. S. Oremland (Ed.), *Biogeochemistry of Global Change: Radiatively Active*
- 333 Trace Gases Selected Papers from the Tenth International Symposium on Environmental
- Biogeochemistry, San Francisco, August 19–24, 1991 (pp. 369–386). Boston, MA: Springer
- 335 US. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4615-2812-8_20
- Davidson, E. A., & Kingerlee, W. (1997). A global inventory of nitric oxide emissions from soils.

Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems, 48(1), 37–50.

338 https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1009738715891

- Davidson, E. A., Keller, M., Erickson, H. E., Verchot, L. V., & Veldkamp, E. (2000). Testing a
- 340 Conceptual Model of Soil Emissions of Nitrous and Nitric Oxides: Using two functions based
- on soil nitrogen availability and soil water content, the hole-in-the-pipe model characterizes
- a large fraction of the observed variation of nitric oxide and nitrous oxide emissions from
- soils. *BioScience*, 50(8), 667–680. https://doi.org/10.1641/0006-
- 344 3568(2000)050[0667:TACMOS]2.0.CO;2
- ³⁴⁵ Dlugokencky, E. J., Houweling, S., Bruhwiler, L., Masarie, K. A., Lang, P. M., Miller, J. B., & Tans, P.
- P. (2003). Atmospheric methane levels off: Temporary pause or a new steady-state?
 Geophysical Research Letters, *30*(19). https://doi.org/10.1029/2003GL018126
- Emmons, L. K., Walters, S., Hess, P. G., Lamarque, J.-F., Pfister, G. G., Fillmore, D., et al. (2010).
- 349 Description and evaluation of the Model for Ozone and Related chemical Tracers, version 4
- 350 (MOZART-4). Geoscientific Model Development, 3(1), 43–67. https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-3-
- 351 43-2010
- Erickson, H., Davidson, E. A., & Keller, M. (2002). Former land-use and tree species affect
 nitrogen oxide emissions from a tropical dry forest. *Oecologia*, *130*(2), 297–308.
- 354 https://doi.org/10.1007/s004420100801
- 355 Ganzeveld, L., & Lelieveld, J. (2004). Impact of Amazonian deforestation on atmospheric
- chemistry. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *31*(6). https://doi.org/10.1029/2003GL019205
- Ganzeveld, L., Bouwman, L., Stehfest, E., van Vuuren, D. P., Eickhout, B., & Lelieveld, J. (2010).
- 358 Impact of future land use and land cover changes on atmospheric chemistry-climate
- interactions. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 115*(D23).
- 360 https://doi.org/10.1029/2010JD014041
- Ganzeveld, L. N., Lelieveld, J., Dentener, F. J., Krol, M. C., Bouwman, A. J., & Roelofs, G.-J. (2002).
- Global soil-biogenic NOx emissions and the role of canopy processes. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 107*(D16), ACH 9-1-ACH 9-17.
- 364 https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JD001289
- Garcia-Montiel, D. C., Steudler, P. A., Piccolo, M. C., Melillo, J. M., Neill, C., & Cerri, C. C. (2001).
- 366 Controls on soil nitrogen oxide emissions from forest and pastures in the Brazilian Amazon.
- 367 *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 15(4), 1021–1030. https://doi.org/10.1029/2000GB001349

368	Geddes, J. A., Heald, C. L., Silva, S. J., & Martin, R. V. (2016). Land cover change impacts on
369	atmospheric chemistry: simulating projected large-scale tree mortality in the United States.
370	Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 16(4), 2323–2340. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-
371	2323-2016
372	Guenther, A., Karl, T., Harley, P., Wiedinmyer, C., Palmer, P. I., & Geron, C. (2006). Estimates of
373	global terrestrial isoprene emissions using MEGAN (Model of Emissions of Gases and
374	Aerosols from Nature). Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 6(11), 3181–3210.
375	https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-6-3181-2006
376	Gut, A., van Dijk, S. M., Scheibe, M., Rummel, U., Welling, M., Ammann, C., et al. (2002). NO
377	emission from an Amazonian rain forest soil: Continuous measurements of NO flux and soil
378	concentration. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 107(D20), LBA 24-1-LBA 24-
379	10. https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JD000521
380	Heald, C. L., & Spracklen, D. V. (2015). Land Use Change Impacts on Air Quality and Climate.
381	<i>Chemical Reviews, 115</i> (10), 4476–4496. https://doi.org/10.1021/cr500446g
382	Hudman, R. C., Moore, N. E., Mebust, A. K., Martin, R. V., Russell, A. R., Valin, L. C., & Cohen, R.
383	C. (2012). Steps towards a mechanistic model of global soil nitric oxide emissions:
384	implementation and space based-constraints. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 12(16),
385	7779–7795. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-7779-2012
386	Jacob, D. J., & Wofsy, S. C. (1988). Photochemistry of biogenic emissions over the Amazon
387	forest. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 93(D2), 1477–1486.
388	https://doi.org/10.1029/JD093iD02p01477
389	Jaeglé, L., Steinberger, L., Martin, R.V., and Chance, K. (2005). Global partitioning of NOx sources
390	using satellite observations: Relative roles of fossil fuel combustion, biomass burning and
391	soil emissions. Faraday Discussions, 130(0):407–423. https://doi.org/10.1039/B502128F
392	Jenkin, M. E., Young, J. C., & Rickard, A. R. (2015). The MCM v3.3.1 degradation scheme for
393	isoprene. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 15(20), 11433–11459.
394	https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-11433-2015

Jenkin, Michael E., Saunders, S. M., & Pilling, M. J. (1997). The tropospheric degradation of
 volatile organic compounds: a protocol for mechanism development. *Atmospheric Environment*, 31(1), 81–104. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(96)00105-7

Jeong, D., Seco, R., Emmons, L., Schwantes, R., Liu, Y., McKinney, K. A., et al. (2022). Reconciling
 Observed and Predicted Tropical Rainforest OH Concentrations. *Journal of Geophysical*

400 *Research: Atmospheres, 127*(1), e2020JD032901. https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JD032901

Keller, M., Varner, R., Dias, J. D., Silva, H., Crill, P., Oliveira, R. C. de, & Asner, G. P. (2005). Soil-

402 Atmosphere Exchange of Nitrous Oxide, Nitric Oxide, Methane, and Carbon Dioxide in

Logged and Undisturbed Forest in the Tapajos National Forest, Brazil. *Earth Interactions*,

404 9(23), 1–28. https://doi.org/10.1175/EI125.1

Koehler, B., Corre, M. D., Veldkamp, E., Wullaert, H., & Wright, S. J. (2009). Immediate and long-

406 term nitrogen oxide emissions from tropical forest soils exposed to elevated nitrogen input.
 407 *Global Change Biology*, *15*(8), 2049–2066. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-

408 **2486.2008.01826.x**

Lan, X., Thoning, K. W., & Dlugokenchy, E. J. (2022). Trends in globally-averaged CH4, N2O, and

410 SF6 determined from NOAA Global Monitoring Laboratory measurements (Version Version

411 2023-09) [Data set]. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.15138/P8XG-AA10

Lathière, J., Hauglustaine, D. A., Friend, A. D., De Noblet-Ducoudré, N., Viovy, N., & Folberth, G.

A. (2006). Impact of climate variability and land use changes on global biogenic volatile

organic compound emissions. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, *6*(8), 2129–2146.

415 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-6-2129-2006

Laughner, J. L., Neu, J. L., Schimel, D., Wennberg, P. O., Barsanti, K., Bowman, K. W., et al. (2021).

417 Societal shifts due to COVID-19 reveal large-scale complexities and feedbacks between

418 atmospheric chemistry and climate change. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*

419 Sciences, 118(46), e2109481118. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2109481118

Lelieveld, J., Butler, T. M., Crowley, J. N., Dillon, T. J., Fischer, H., Ganzeveld, L., et al. (2008).

421 Atmospheric oxidation capacity sustained by a tropical forest. *Nature*, *452*(7188), 737–740.

422 https://doi.org/10.1038/nature06870

- Logan, J. A., Prather, M. J., Wofsy, S. C., & McElroy, M. B. (1981). Tropospheric chemistry: A
- 424 global perspective. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 86*(C8), 7210–7254.

425 https://doi.org/10.1029/JC086iC08p07210

- Luo, G. J., Brüggemann, N., Wolf, B., Gasche, R., Grote, R., & Butterbach-Bahl, K. (2012). Decadal
- variability of soil CO₂, NO, N₂O, and CH₄ fluxes at the Höglwald Forest, Germany.
- 428 *Biogeosciences*, *9*(5), 1741–1763. https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-9-1741-2012
- 429 Martin, R. V., Jacob, D. J., Chance, K., Kurosu, T. P., Palmer, P. I., & Evans, M. J. (2003). Global
- inventory of nitrogen oxide emissions constrained by space-based observations of NO2

431 columns. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 108*(D17).

- 432 https://doi.org/10.1029/2003JD003453
- 433 Messina, P., Lathière, J., Sindelarova, K., Vuichard, N., Granier, C., Ghattas, J., et al. (2016). Global
- 434 biogenic volatile organic compound emissions in the ORCHIDEE and MEGAN models and
- sensitivity to key parameters. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, *16*(22), 14169–14202.
- 436 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-14169-2016
- 437 Opacka, B., Müller, J.-F., Stavrakou, T., Bauwens, M., Sindelarova, K., Markova, J., & Guenther, A.
- 438 B. (2021). Global and regional impacts of land cover changes on isoprene emissions derived
- from spaceborne data and the MEGAN model. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 21(11),
- 440 8413–8436. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-8413-2021
- Peng, S., Lin, X., Thompson, R. L., Xi, Y., Liu, G., Hauglustaine, D., et al. (2022). Wetland emission
- and atmospheric sink changes explain methane growth in 2020. Nature, 612(7940), 477–
- 443 482. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-05447-w
- Pilegaard, K., Skiba, U., Ambus, P., Beier, C., Brüggemann, N., Butterbach-Bahl, K., et al. (2006).
- 445 Factors controlling regional differences in forest soil emission of nitrogen oxides (NO and
- 446 N₂O). *Biogeosciences*, 3(4), 651–661. https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-3-651-2006
- 447 Pollack, I. B., Lerner, B. M., & Ryerson, T. B. (2010). Evaluation of ultraviolet light-emitting diodes
- for detection of atmospheric NO2 by photolysis chemiluminescence. *Journal of*
- 449 Atmospheric Chemistry, 65(2), 111–125. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10874-011-9184-3

- Rigby, M., Montzka, S. A., Prinn, R. G., White, J. W. C., Young, D., O'Doherty, S., et al. (2017). Role
 of atmospheric oxidation in recent methane growth. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *114*(21), 5373–5377. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1616426114
- 453 Sarkar, C., Guenther, A. B., Park, J.-H., Seco, R., Alves, E., Batalha, S., et al. (2020). PTR-TOF-MS
- eddy covariance measurements of isoprene and monoterpene fluxes from an eastern
- 455 Amazonian rainforest. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 20(12), 7179–7191.
- 456 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-7179-2020
- 457 Saunders, S. M., Jenkin, M. E., Derwent, R. G., & Pilling, M. J. (2003). Protocol for the
 458 development of the Master Chemical Mechanism, MCM v3 (Part A): tropospheric
- degradation of non-aromatic volatile organic compounds. *Atmospheric Chemistry and*

460 *Physics*, *3*(1), 161–180. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-3-161-2003

- 461 Song, W., Liu, X.-Y., Hu, C.-C., Chen, G.-Y., Liu, X.-J., Walters, W. W., et al. (2021). Important
- 462 contributions of non-fossil fuel nitrogen oxides emissions. *Nature Communications*, *12*(1),
 463 243. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-20356-0
- 464 Spivakovsky, C. M., Logan, J. A., Montzka, S. A., Balkanski, Y. J., Foreman-Fowler, M., Jones, D. B.
- A., et al. (2000). Three-dimensional climatological distribution of tropospheric OH: Update
- and evaluation. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 105*(D7), 8931–8980.
- 467 https://doi.org/10.1029/1999JD901006
- 468 Squire, O. J., Archibald, A. T., Griffiths, P. T., Jenkin, M. E., Smith, D., & Pyle, J. A. (2015). Influence
- of isoprene chemical mechanism on modelled changes in tropospheric ozone due to climate
- and land use over the 21st century. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, *15*(9), 5123–5143.
- 471 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-5123-2015
- 472 Stevenson, D. S., Zhao, A., Naik, V., O'Connor, F. M., Tilmes, S., Zeng, G., et al. (2020). Trends in
- global tropospheric hydroxyl radical and methane lifetime since 1850 from AerChemMIP.
- 474 Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 20(21), 12905–12920. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-
- 475 12905-2020
- Stevenson, D. S., Derwent, R. G., Wild, O., & Collins, W. J. (2022). COVID-19 lockdown emission
 reductions have the potential to explain over half of the coincident increase in global

- atmospheric methane. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 22(21), 14243–14252.
- 479 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-22-14243-2022
- Tan, D., Faloona, I., Simpas, J. B., Brune, W., Shepson, P. B., Couch, T. L., et al. (2001). HO x
- 481 budgets in a deciduous forest: Results from the PROPHET summer 1998 campaign. *Journal*
- 482 of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 106(D20), 24407–24427.
- 483 https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JD900016
- Taraborrelli, D., Lawrence, M. G., Crowley, J. N., Dillon, T. J., Gromov, S., Groß, C. B. M., et al.
- (2012). Hydroxyl radical buffered by isoprene oxidation over tropical forests. *Nature Geoscience*, 5(3), 190–193. https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo1405
- Turner, A. J., Frankenberg, C., Wennberg, P. O., & Jacob, D. J. (2017). Ambiguity in the causes for
- decadal trends in atmospheric methane and hydroxyl. *Proceedings of the National Academy*
- 489 of Sciences, 114(21), 5367–5372. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1616020114
- 490 Turner, A. J., Frankenberg, C., & Kort, E. A. (2019). Interpreting contemporary trends in
- 491 atmospheric methane. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 116(8), 2805–
- 492 2813. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1814297116
- ⁴⁹³ Unger, N. (2014). Human land-use-driven reduction of forest volatiles cools global climate.
- 494 *Nature Climate Change*, *4*(10), 907–910. https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2347
- Voulgarakis, A., Naik, V., Lamarque, J.-F., Shindell, D. T., Young, P. J., Prather, M. J., et al. (2013).
- 496 Analysis of present day and future OH and methane lifetime in the ACCMIP simulations.
- 497 Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 13(5), 2563–2587. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-
- 498 2563-2013
- 499 Wells, K. C., Millet, D. B., Payne, V. H., Deventer, M. J., Bates, K. H., de Gouw, J. A., et al. (2020).
- 500 Satellite isoprene retrievals constrain emissions and atmospheric oxidation. *Nature*,
- 501 585(7824), 225–233. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2664-3
- 502 Whalley, L. K., Edwards, P. M., Furneaux, K. L., Goddard, A., Ingham, T., Evans, M. J., et al. (2011).
- 503 Quantifying the magnitude of a missing hydroxyl radical source in a tropical rainforest.
- 504 Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 11(14), 7223–7233. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-
- 505 **7223-2011**

- Wiedinmyer, C., Tie, X., Guenther, A., Neilson, R., & Granier, C. (2006). Future Changes in
 Biogenic Isoprene Emissions: How Might They Affect Regional and Global Atmospheric
 Chemistry? *Earth Interactions*, *10*(3), 1–19. https://doi.org/10.1175/EI174.1
- 509 Wild, O., Voulgarakis, A., O'Connor, F., Lamarque, J.-F., Ryan, E. M., & Lee, L. (2020). Global
- sensitivity analysis of chemistry–climate model budgets of tropospheric ozone and OH:
- exploring model diversity. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, *20*(7), 4047–4058.
- 512 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-4047-2020
- Wolfe, G. M., Marvin, M. R., Roberts, S. J., Travis, K. R., & Liao, J. (2016). The Framework for 0-D
 Atmospheric Modeling (F0AM) v3.1. *Geoscientific Model Development*, 9(9), 3309–3319.
- 515 https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-9-3309-2016
- 516 Wu, S., Mickley, L. J., Kaplan, J. O., & Jacob, D. J. (2012). Impacts of changes in land use and land
- cover on atmospheric chemistry and air quality over the 21st century. *Atmospheric*
- 518 *Chemistry and Physics, 12*(3), 1597–1609. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-1597-2012
- Yan, X., Ohara, T., & Akimoto, H. (2005). Statistical modeling of global soil NOx emissions. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, *19*(3). https://doi.org/10.1029/2004GB002276
- 521 Yienger, J. J., & Levy II, H. (1995). Empirical model of global soil-biogenic NOχ emissions. *Journal*
- of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 100(D6), 11447–11464.
- 523 https://doi.org/10.1029/95JD00370
- Zhao, Y., Saunois, M., Bousquet, P., Lin, X., Berchet, A., Hegglin, M. I., et al. (2020). On the role of
- 525 trend and variability in the hydroxyl radical (OH) in the global methane budget. Atmospheric
- 526 *Chemistry and Physics, 20*(21), 13011–13022. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-13011-2020





Figure 1. Mixing ratios of (a) NO, (b) NO₂, and (c) O₃ at the Tapajos National Forest as observed 530 at eight heights on the tall tower from Jan to Aug of 2015, and as modeled for the lowest level 531 of the atmosphere in CESM2-CAM-Chem under three soil NO emission rate scenarios. Model-532 observation comparison shows that soil NO emission rates in CESM2-CAM are biased low by at 533 least an order of magnitude. 534



Figure 2. Monthly means of (a) NO mixing ratio, (b) isoprene flux, (c) isoprene mixing ratio, and 538 (d) OH concentration in the lower-most level of the atmosphere above the Tapajos National 539 Forest. Though there is general model-observation agreements in isoprene flux, NO mixing ratio 540 and OH concentration by enhancing soil NO emission rate, there exists a large discrepancy in 541 isoprene mixing ratio, indicating that accounting for the low-bias in soil NO emission rates does 542 not fully resolve the high-bias in isoprene mixing ratio. Observations of isoprene flux and mixing 543 ratios were conducted at the Tapajos National Forest in June of 2016 [Sarkar et al., 2020], while 544 OH concentration was measured outside of Manaus, Brazil during GoAmazon in Feb-Mar of 545

- ⁵⁴⁶ 2014 [Jeong et al., 2022]. Observed isoprene and OH values represent respective campaign
- 547 mean values.
- 548
- 549





Figure 4. Impact on global (a) methane lifetime (b) soil NO emission rates and (c) biogenic
 isoprene emission rates due to changes in soil NO emission rate of Amazonia and/or
 deforestation of Amazonia, relative to the forested Amazonia scenario with baseline NO
 emission rate of CESM2-CAM-Chem.

1	Sensitive response of atmospheric oxidative capacity to the uncertainty in
2	the emissions of nitric oxide (NO) from soils in Amazonia
3	
4	Ben H. Lee ¹ , J. William Munger ² , Steven C. Wofsy ² , Luciana V. Rizzo ³ , James Y. S. Yoon ¹ ,
5	Alexander J. Turner ¹ , Joel A. Thornton ¹ , Abigail L. S. Swann ¹
6 7	1 University of Washington Seattle WA USA
, 8	² Harvard University, Cambridge, MA, USA
9	³ University of São Paulo, São Paulo, SP, Brazil
10	
11	Corresponding author: Abigail L. S. Swann (aswann@uw.edu)
12	Key Points:
12 13	 Soil emission rates of NO in common inventories may be between 10x and 20x too low
12 13 14	 Soil emission rates of NO in common inventories may be between 10x and 20x too low over the Amazon basin
12 13 14 15	 Key Points: Soil emission rates of NO in common inventories may be between 10x and 20x too low over the Amazon basin Higher soil NO over the Amazon basin alters the oxidative capacity of the atmosphere
12 13 14 15 16	 Key Points: Soil emission rates of NO in common inventories may be between 10x and 20x too low over the Amazon basin Higher soil NO over the Amazon basin alters the oxidative capacity of the atmosphere and decreases global methane lifetime by 1.4% to 2.6%
12 13 14 15 16 17	 Key Points: Soil emission rates of NO in common inventories may be between 10x and 20x too low over the Amazon basin Higher soil NO over the Amazon basin alters the oxidative capacity of the atmosphere and decreases global methane lifetime by 1.4% to 2.6% Global methane lifetime is more sensitive to Amazon soil NO fluxes than to the loss of
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Key Points: Soil emission rates of NO in common inventories may be between 10x and 20x too low over the Amazon basin Higher soil NO over the Amazon basin alters the oxidative capacity of the atmosphere and decreases global methane lifetime by 1.4% to 2.6% Global methane lifetime is more sensitive to Amazon soil NO fluxes than to the loss of terpene fluxes from total deforestation of the Amazon

20 Abstract

Soils are a major source of nitrogen oxides, which in the atmosphere help govern its oxidative 21 capacity. Thus the response of soil nitric oxide (NO) emissions to forcings such as warming or 22 forest loss has a meaningful impact on global atmospheric chemistry. We find that the soil 23 emission rate of NO in Amazonia from a common inventory is biased low by at least an order of 24 magnitude in comparison to tower-based observations. Accounting for this regional bias 25 decreases the modeled global methane lifetime by 1.4% to 2.6%. In comparison, a fully 26 deforested Amazonia, representing a 37% decrease in global emissions of isoprene, decreases 27 methane lifetime by at most 4.6%, highlighting the sensitive response of oxidation rates to 28 changes in emissions of NO compared to those of terpenes. Our results demonstrate that 29 improving our understanding of soil NO emissions will yield a more accurate representation of 30 atmospheric oxidative capacity. 31

32

33 Plain Language Summary

Soils emit a gas called nitric oxide (NO). The amount of NO is emitted from soils in tropical 34 forests is not well known, but has been assumed to be small. We simulated how different 35 amounts of NO emissions from soils in the Amazon impacted atmospheric chemistry. By 36 comparing our modeled results to observations we found that NO emissions from soils in the 37 Amazon may be between 10 and 20 times larger than the current default assumption. This 38 matters because NO reacts in the atmosphere with the gas that is the main gas that reacts with 39 things like methane. Therefore soil NO emissions end up impacting how long methane can last 40 in the atmosphere, and that would have additional climate impacts. Even though soil NO 41 emissions are smaller than other sources of NO emissions globally, we find that the amount of 42 NO emitted in the Amazon matters a lot for how long methane can last in the atmosphere. Our 43 results demonstrate that improving estimates of soil NO emissions is going to be necessary for 44 making accurate estimates of how long methane and other reactive species will stay in the 45 atmosphere. 46

47

48 **1 Introduction**

Nitrogen oxide radicals ($NO_x=NO+NO_2$) play a critical role in regulating the atmosphere's 49 oxidizing capacity through reactions that recycle hydrogen oxides $(HO_x=OH+HO_2)$ during the 50 oxidation of hydrocarbons. Accurate representation of this chemistry is crucial, particularly in 51 remote forested regions characterized by relatively low NO_x burdens and high emission rates of 52 biogenic terpenes that give rise to steeply non-linear effects on HO_x, which govern the lifetimes 53 of virtually all hydrocarbons including isoprene and methane. Notably and most recently, Wells 54 et al. (2020) attributed the high-bias in modeled isoprene levels over Amazonia compared to 55 those observed by the Cross-track Infrared Sounder (CrIS) to a low-bias in NO, likely from soils, 56 causing a low-bias in OH, thus, a runaway increase in modeled isoprene lifetime. 57

58

The atmosphere in and above forests has long been thought to be depressed of hydroxyl (OH) radicals (Jacob & Wofsy, 1988; Logan et al., 1981; Spivakovsky et al., 2000) due to the high concentrations of volatile organic compounds (VOC), which react with OH to form organic peroxy radicals (RO₂), and limited availability of NO_x. In the absence of NO_x, RO₂ will self-react and react with hydro-peroxy radicals (HO₂) to net remove OH and thus depress oxidative capacity. The availability of NO enables the catalytic recycling of OH during the daytime via reactions with RO₂ and HO₂.

66

67	$RO_2 + NO \rightarrow RO + NO_2$
68	$RO + O_2 \rightarrow HO_2 + R'O$
69	$HO_2 + NO \rightarrow OH + NO_2$

 $NO_2 + hv (+O_2) \rightarrow NO + O_3$

70

71

While there have been additional insights into OH recycling pathways from RO₂ chemistry
which do not require NO_x, these recycling pathways are not sufficient to explain the Amazon
regional observations of isoprene and formaldehyde from satellites (Wells et al., 2020). Tropical
forests, and the associated large emissions of reactive VOC, are therefore significant influences
on hemispheric if not global oxidizing capacity with consequences for the lifetimes of other
trace gases such as methane. In-situ observations of OH and HO₂ in forested environments,

however, often show that HO_x concentrations are in fact elevated above expectations (Lelieveld 78 et al., 2008; Tan et al., 2001; Whalley et al., 2011). Implementation of updated chemical 79 mechanisms focused on isoprene - globally the most abundantly emitted volatile organic 80 compound (VOC) (Guenther et al., 2006; Messina et al., 2016) – have elevated modeled HO_x 81 levels (Bates & Jacob, 2019; Squire et al., 2015; Taraborrelli et al., 2012), though robust tests to 82 confirm the validity of those updates remain elusive (Archibald et al., 2010). Recently, Jeong et 83 84 al. (2022) report that measured OH during the GoAmazon campaign in the 2014 wet season near Manaus, Brazil agreed well with those calculated using multiple chemical models, 85 suggesting that the VOC-RO₂-HO_x-NO_x coupled chemistry is well understood. However, NO 86 measurements during that experiment were unavailable, which is emblematic of the inherent 87 challenges of deployments to such regions where testing of our understanding of emissions and 88 chemistry is most lacking. 89

90

The sensitivity of the burden and lifetime of methane to global OH levels is well studied (Squire 91 et al., 2015; Voulgarakis et al., 2013; Wild et al., 2020; Zhao et al., 2020). The role that 92 anthropogenic NO_x plays in governing OH and therefore methane levels is also well 93 documented (Laughner et al., 2021; Peng et al., 2022; Stevenson et al., 2022). Less emphasis 94 has been placed on the role of NO_x from natural sources, though Song et al. (2021) report that 95 approximately half of atmospheric NO_x is now derived from sources other than fossil fuel 96 combustion. Soils produce NO through nitrification/denitrification with subsequent emission to 97 98 the atmosphere moderated by a suite of environmental conditions including soil type, gas diffusivity, moisture, temperature, etc. Alterations to forests, whether through conversion to 99 pasture or logging, will consequently result in changes to soil emissions (Garcia-Montiel et al., 100 2001; Keller et al., 2005). 101

102

Numerous global chemical models – including the Community Atmosphere Model (CESM2 CAM-Chem) utilized here – refer to the work of *Yienger and Levy* (1995) to constrain soil NO
 emissions. More recent work by *Hudman et al.* (2012), on which *Wells et al.* (2020) rely,
 developed an updated parameterization including a more physical representation of soil

processes derived from field observations that yielded greater soil NO emissions globally (10.7
 Tg N yr⁻¹) compared to that of Yienger and Levy (7.4 Tg N yr⁻¹), though rates over Amazonia are
 significantly lower due likely to the inclusion of NO_x loss on canopy surfaces. Discrepancies
 amongst published inventories additionally highlight the uncertainty in soil emission rates of
 NO (Davidson, 1993; Ganzeveld et al., 2002; Jaeglé et al., 2005; Martin et al., 2003; Yan et al.,
 2005), the tropospheric abundance of which is not reliably inferred from space.

113

We present the sensitivity of the oxidative capacity of the atmosphere, and specifically, the 114 global lifetime of methane as a function of increasing soil NO emission rates over just the 115 Amazon region as supported by in-situ observations at the Tapajos National Forest (54.580°W, 116 2.51°S, Pará, Brazil) from January to August of 2015. We compare this scenario to a set of 117 simulations in which the region is fully deforested leading to a near ceasing of the emissions of 118 biogenic terpenes, which are known to have implications on chemistry and climate (L. 119 120 Ganzeveld et al., 2010; L. Ganzeveld & Lelieveld, 2004; Geddes et al., 2016; Heald & Spracklen, 2015; Keller et al., 2005; Lathière et al., 2006; Opacka et al., 2021; Unger, 2014; Wiedinmyer et 121 al., 2006; Wu et al., 2012). Results presented here demonstrate the importance of capturing 122 not only the magnitude of NO emissions but responses to evolving conditions given the 123 sensitive response of the oxidative capacity, particularly in and above forests in tropical regions 124 where methane oxidation is likely fastest and least certain (Turner et al., 2019). 125

127 2 Methods

126

We performed six sets of coupled biosphere-atmospheric chemistry simulations using the 128 CESM2-CAM-Chem global model (Danabasoglu et al., 2020; Emmons et al., 2010) with active 129 biogeochemistry at ~1° spatial resolution. Three separate sets were initialized with forests in 130 Amazonia in a state that is representative of the early 1980s. The other three were initialized 131 with Amazonia that was effectively devoid of trees by changing the plant functional type to a 132 grassland over the region (from 16°S to 8°N and from 48°W to 78°W), as illustrated in Figure S1. 133 Leaf area is calculated prognostically, as are other carbon fluxes and pools, however, 134 atmospheric CO₂ concentrations are specified based on observed concentrations for each year. 135

The removal of trees in the model changes physical fluxes of energy and water, as well as carbon 136 and chemical species (e.g. isoprene). The model calculated total leaf area index is about 6.5 m² 137 m^{-2} in the forested scenarios and about 1.8 $m^2 m^{-2}$ in the deforested scenario, wherein the 138 emission rates of biogenic terpenes including isoprene and monoterpenes are negligible. For 139 each of the forested and deforested Amazonia scenarios we calculated three sets of simulations 140 representing different soil NO conditions: (i) baseline soil NO emission rate based on the work 141 of Yienger and Levy (1995), and factors of (ii) $10 \times$ that rate, and (ii) $20 \times$ of that rate. All 142 simulation scenarios span from 2001 to 2005, except for the forested baseline soil NO scenario 143 which spans from 1980 to 2015. All aspects of the model except for plant type and soil NO 144 fluxes within the Amazon vary transiently across years based on observed quantities, including 145 greenhouse gas concentrations, sea surface temperatures, and land cover change outside the 146 Amazon basin ("FCfireHIST" compset in CESM2). 147

148

We also utilized FOAM (Framework for 0-D Atmospheric Modeling; Wolfe et al., 2016), which 149 leverages the more comprehensive Master Chemical Mechanism (MCM) version 3.3.1 (Jenkin et 150 al., 2015; Jenkin et al., 1997; Saunders et al., 2003) to compare against the CESM2-CAM-Chem 151 results. We performed a series of simulations. Each was conducted with constant introduction 152 rates of NO, O_3 , and isoprene into the well-mixed volume with active photochemistry (J_{NO2} = 153 2×10^{-2} s⁻¹), and allowed to evolve for 60 hours, much longer than the time needed for all species 154 to reach steady state. The rate of NO introduction was varied widely to capture the response of 155 156 oxidation chemistry to the steady state NO mixing ratios, while the rates of introduction of O₃ and isoprene were set such that the steady state resulting mixing ratios were comparable to the 157 levels reported by CESM2-CAM-Chem for the three soil NO emission rate scenarios. We 158 compare in the section below the levels OH and HO₂ calculated by CEMS2-CAM-Chem and 159 FOAM. 160

161

Mixing ratios of NO, nitrogen dioxide (NO₂), and O₃ were measured at the Tapajos National Forest from January to August of 2015. Ambient air in excess of instrument requirements was drawn in at 4-6 liters per minute (lpm) through inlets located at eight heights off of the tall

tower (0.91, 3.05, 10.42, 19.57, 28.71, 39.41, 53.04, 62.24 m above the ground). The NO 165 chemiluminescence analyzer drew ~2 lpm and the O₃ analyzer drew ~1 lpm. Excess flow was 166 pulled by a bypass pump to maintain constant pressure measured by a pressure controller. The 167 inlets were sampled in sequence for 4 minutes each. NO and NO₂ were measured by an 168 EcoPhysics CLD-780TR analyzer equipped with an external NO₂ photolysis cell using a 169 Hamamatsu LED with peak wavelength at 365 nm (Pollack et al., 2010). The photolysis cell was 170 toggled on and off at 60 s intervals to provide both NO and NO + NO₂ measurement at each 171 sample height. All instruments were housed in an air conditioned shed near the base of the 172 tower. Instrument background signal was measured by periodically adding O₃ generated by a 173 Hg-vapor lamp to the sample stream to convert NO to NO₂ before the sample entered the 174 detector. Instrument gain and NO₂ conversion efficiency were determined by routinely adding a 175 small flow of NO or NO₂ standard to the sample inlet. 176

177

178 **3 Results and Discussion**

In comparison to the measurements made above the forest canopy, CESM2-CAM-Chem 179 underestimates NO mixing ratio in the lower-most level of the atmosphere in the model-grid 180 encompassing the Tapajos National Forest, when utilizing the baseline soil NO emission rate as 181 prescribed by the work of Yienger and Levy (1995) (Figures 1a and S2). Observed vertical profile 182 from 0.9 m to 62 m from the forest floor show that NO is most abundant near the forest floor, 183 elevated to as high as a few parts per billion (ppb). Its mixing ratio decreases with height 184 predominantly as it reacts with O_3 to form NO_2 (Bakwin et al., 1990), indicating that the 185 dominant NO source at this site is likely from the soil underneath the forest canopy, and 186 negligibly from an upwind source. The years 2015 and 2016 were characterized by El Niño 187 conditions. The resulting drier-than-normal conditions in Amazonia could have contributed to 188 higher than normal soil NO emissions, which exhibit a non-linear response to changing soil 189 moisture levels (Davidson, 1993; Davidson & Kingerlee, 1997; Garcia-Montiel et al., 2001; Gut et 190 al., 2002; Luo et al., 2012). However, El Niño became active at earliest in March of 2015, 191 whereas the model underestimates observations throughout the year with no noticeable 192 change in the model-observation discrepancy around that time. Biomass burning as well does 193

not appear to have had a significant long-term impact on NO levels at the site given that the
 observations at the top of the tower do not exhibit periodic bursts or enhancements associated
 with influence from fresh or intense combustion sources (Figure S2).

197

Observed NO₂ and O₃ levels are, likewise, greater than those modeled for the lowest level of the 198 atmosphere when implementing the baseline soil NO emission rate. One implication is that the 199 modeled oxidative capacity of the atmosphere, namely OH and HO₂, is also likely biased low 200 given NO cycles HO₂ to OH and that O₃ is the dominant primary HO_x source. As such, increasing 201 the model soil NO emission rate enhances the levels of NO_x, HO_x and O₃, as shown in Figure 1 202 (and Figures S3, S4, and S5). The median level of NO measured above the forest canopy 203 generally resides between that modeled with 10× and 20× soil emission rates. Similarly, 204 modeled soil NO emission rates at 10x and 20x also show closer agreement with measured soil 205 NO emission rates from unperturbed tropical forests (Figure S7). The mean ratios of the 206 207 observed to modeled NO_x resulting from utilizing the baseline, $10\times$, and $20\times$ soil emission rates are 2,7, 0.7, and 0.4, respectively. 208

209

With the enhanced soil emission rates, OH – though never measured at the Tapajos National 210 Forest – increases to levels that are well within an order of magnitude of that observed during 211 the GoAmazon experiment (Jeong et al., 2022) (Figure 2d), with corresponding effects on the 212 lifetime and burden of isoprene. However, the modeled isoprene mixing ratio still overestimates 213 observations at the Tapajos National Forest made in June of 2016 (Sarkar et al., 2020) by a 214 factor between 8 and 12 (Figure 2c). This discrepancy is not due to inaccurate isoprene fluxes 215 (Figure 2b), which at the site agrees well between what is measured by the eddy covariance 216 approach and modeled using MEGAN (Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature; , 217 which also constrains biogenic terpene emissions for CESM2-CAM-Chem. 218

219

In addition to a low bias in soil NO emission rate, mixing in the boundary layer within CESM2-

221 CAM-Chem is likely too slow. The vertical profile of modeled NO in the boundary layer exhibits a

sharp enhancement in the lowest level of the atmosphere that interacts with the surface where

emissions are continuously occurring (Figure S3) with O₃ showing a corresponding depletion 223 (Figure S4), comparable to what is observed from the tower beneath the forest canopy (Figure 224 1). Such erroneously slow vertical mixing leads to excessive accumulation of NO in the lower-225 most layer of the atmosphere and deprives the rest of the boundary layer of NO (and isoprene) 226 that would otherwise lead to enhanced O₃ and HO_x levels, and therefore a shorter isoprene 227 lifetime. Faster boundary layer mixing in the model would lead to dilution of NO in the surface 228 layer and would in turn require an even stronger surface NO flux than what we have 229 implemented here in order to match what was observed at the Tapajos National Forest. As such, 230 the soil NO emission rate we infer here is likely a lower bound on the truth due to the slow 231 mixing in CESM2-CAM-Chem. 232

233

There is good agreement between OH and HO₂ concentrations determined for the three forested simulations of varying soil NO emission rates and those of the FOAM simulations (**Figure S8**) indicating that all significant chemical mechanisms listed in the more comprehensive MCM v3.3.1 (Jenkin et al., 2015; Jenkin et al., 1997; Saunders et al., 2003) are represented by the chemistry module of CESM2-CAM-Chem which is more condensed. Therefore, the high-bias in isoprene mixing ratios (**Figure 2c**) is likely not the result of deficient chemistry in CESM2-CAM-Chem.

240

We also note the variability in the observed NO values, as evidenced by the large difference in 241 the observed mean and observed median NO mixing ratios (Figure 2). This is likely due to the 242 dependence of nitrification and denitrification that generate NO on changes to conditions such 243 as soil humidity and soil temperature. The modeled NO levels are much less variable – denoted 244 by the shaded trace for a given month that represent the variability between the years 2001 245 and 2005 – since its emissions are based on seasonally-varying but annually-repeating 246 climatology. The minimum and maximum soil NO flux in the model region corresponding to the 247 Tapajos National Forest are 2.0×10^9 and 2.8×10^9 molecules cm⁻² s⁻¹, respectively. As a result, the 248 response of soil NO emissions to varying environmental conditions is not readily captured 249 currently by CESM2-CAM-Chem. 250

251

The resulting increase in OH due to increasing the soil NO emission rate of Amazonia is 252 significant enough to be globally relevant. We find that there is about a 3-fold increase in the 253 fractional change in OH number concentration below 800 hPa in response to increasing the 254 baseline soil emission rate by a factor of $20 \times$ (Figure 3). The factors of $10 \times$ and $20 \times$ increases in 255 soil NO emission rates relative to baseline rates lead to 2.6% and 5.5% increases in the total 256 (natural and anthropogenic) global surface NO_x emissions (Figure 4b), and cause decreases in 257 the global methane lifetime of 1.4% and 2.6%, respectively (Figure 4a). For context, this is 258 approaching the amount needed to resolve the stabilization of methane observed between the 259 years 2000 and 2007 (Dlugokencky et al., 2003; Lan et al., 2022), which can be explained by an 260 approximately 4% increase in global OH levels as supported by remote-site measurements of 261 methyl chloroform (Rigby et al., 2017; Turner et al., 2017), and which remains yet unresolved 262 by models (Stevenson et al., 2020; Turner et al., 2019). 263

264

We compare the global methane lifetime's sensitivity to changes in Amazonian soil NO_x 265 emissions and terpene emissions. Nearly ceasing Amazonia's emissions of biogenic terpenes 266 including isoprene through simulated deforestation causes a 37% decrease in the global 267 isoprene emission rate (Figure 4c) but only a 4.6% decrease in the global methane lifetime. That 268 a much larger change in isoprene emissions compared to NO emissions is required to induce a 269 comparable change in OH illustrates the much higher sensitivity of global OH levels and 270 methane lifetime to the emission rate of NO relative to that of terpenes. Lastly, simulating 271 deforestation with a dynamic biosphere model shows its impact on soil characteristics such as 272 273 moisture and soil temperature (Figure S9), both of which drive nitrification and denitrification 274 processes that generate NO (Davidson et al., 2000; Garcia-Montiel et al., 2001; Luo et al., 2012), alongside a suite of other variables such as soil and tree types, extents of nitrogen input, and 275 history of land-use (Bakwin et al., 1990; Erickson et al., 2002; Koehler et al., 2009; Pilegaard et 276 al., 2006). These results call for the need for a dynamic soil model for NO emissions capable of 277 incorporating the response of soil nitrogen processing to changes in environmental conditions, 278 to adequately represent and forecast atmospheric oxidative chemistry. 279

280

281 **4 Conclusions**

Emission rates of NO from soils in CESM2-CAM-Chem are underestimated by at least an order 282 of magnitude compared to ground-based observations of NO_x fluxes, partitioning and vertical 283 profiles. Factors that likely contribute to the low-bias in existing inventories include inadequate 284 number of field measurements encompassing multiple seasons in numerous land types that 285 provide model constraints, as well as implementation of overly aggressive NO_x loss on forest 286 canopy surfaces. We show that correcting this low-bias in NO_x emissions enhances regional OH 287 levels to such an extent as to be globally significant. The soil NO emission rate over Amazonia 288 was increased by a factor of $10 \times to 20 \times relative to the rates prescribed by Yienger and Levy$ 289 (Yienger & Levy II, 1995) to achieve consistency with observations at the Tapajos National 290 Forest, resulting in a global average methane lifetime decreases of 1.4% and 2.6%, respectively. 291 The extent of NO flux underestimation may be even greater if the model utilized here suffers 292 from slow vertical mixing of the boundary layer. The impact on the global oxidative capacity 293 due to such increases in soil NO emissions over Amazonia is comparable to that due to the near 294 complete ceasing of biogenic VOC emissions from the deforestation of Amazonia. Given the 295 sensitivity of the global atmospheric oxidative capacity to relatively small changes in our 296 current estimation of global NO_x emissions, understanding the magnitude and sign of the 297 response of soil NO emissions to past and future forcings including land use and land cover 298 change are critical for assessing the lifetimes of all reactive species of the atmosphere. 299 300

301 Acknowledgements

ALSS and BHL acknowledge NSF Macrosystems award to the University of Washington DEB 1925837. LVR acknowledges the Sao Paulo State Funding Agency (FAPESP 10156-8/2013).
 JAT acknowledges an award from the NSF Atmospheric and Geo-space Sciences AGS-2023670.
 Trace gas observations at the Tapajos km67 site were supported by Deptartment of Energy
 Grant # DE-SC0008311. We especially thank the staff at the LBA office in Santarem for logistical
 support.

308

309 Open Research

- 310 The observations made at the Tapajos National Forest as well as the model simulation output
- described in this paper are available through Dryad (available at this link during peer review:
- 312 https://datadryad.org/stash/share/uWEs8q4jBPor-wijYBwdnmacFixCaNWV10yXIGxQzIo, final
- 313 DOI to be provided after manuscript is accepted).
- 314

315 **References**

- Archibald, A. T., Cooke, M. C., Utembe, S. R., Shallcross, D. E., Derwent, R. G., & Jenkin, M. E.
- (2010). Impacts of mechanistic changes on HO_x formation and recycling in the oxidation of isoprene. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 10(17), 8097–8118.
- 319 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-10-8097-2010
- Bakwin, P. S., Wofsy, S. C., Fan, S.-M., Keller, M., Trumbore, S. E., & Da Costa, J. M. (1990).
- 321 Emission of nitric oxide (NO) from tropical forest soils and exchange of NO between the
- forest canopy and atmospheric boundary layers. *Journal of Geophysical Research:*
- 323 *Atmospheres*, *95*(D10), 16755–16764. https://doi.org/10.1029/JD095iD10p16755
- Bates, K. H., & Jacob, D. J. (2019). A new model mechanism for atmospheric oxidation of
- isoprene: global effects on oxidants, nitrogen oxides, organic products, and secondary
- organic aerosol. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, *19*(14), 9613–9640.
- 327 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-9613-2019
- Danabasoglu, G., Lamarque, J.-F., Bacmeister, J., Bailey, D. A., DuVivier, A. K., Edwards, J., et al.
- 329 (2020). The Community Earth System Model Version 2 (CESM2). Journal of Advances in
- 330 *Modeling Earth Systems*, *12*(2), e2019MS001916. https://doi.org/10.1029/2019MS001916
- 331 Davidson, E. A. (1993). Soil Water Content and the Ratio of Nitrous Oxide to Nitric Oxide Emitted
- from Soil. In R. S. Oremland (Ed.), *Biogeochemistry of Global Change: Radiatively Active*
- 333 Trace Gases Selected Papers from the Tenth International Symposium on Environmental
- Biogeochemistry, San Francisco, August 19–24, 1991 (pp. 369–386). Boston, MA: Springer
- 335 US. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4615-2812-8_20
- Davidson, E. A., & Kingerlee, W. (1997). A global inventory of nitric oxide emissions from soils.

Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems, 48(1), 37–50.

338 https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1009738715891

- Davidson, E. A., Keller, M., Erickson, H. E., Verchot, L. V., & Veldkamp, E. (2000). Testing a
- 340 Conceptual Model of Soil Emissions of Nitrous and Nitric Oxides: Using two functions based
- on soil nitrogen availability and soil water content, the hole-in-the-pipe model characterizes
- a large fraction of the observed variation of nitric oxide and nitrous oxide emissions from
- soils. *BioScience*, 50(8), 667–680. https://doi.org/10.1641/0006-
- 344 3568(2000)050[0667:TACMOS]2.0.CO;2
- ³⁴⁵ Dlugokencky, E. J., Houweling, S., Bruhwiler, L., Masarie, K. A., Lang, P. M., Miller, J. B., & Tans, P.
- P. (2003). Atmospheric methane levels off: Temporary pause or a new steady-state?
 Geophysical Research Letters, *30*(19). https://doi.org/10.1029/2003GL018126
- Emmons, L. K., Walters, S., Hess, P. G., Lamarque, J.-F., Pfister, G. G., Fillmore, D., et al. (2010).
- 349 Description and evaluation of the Model for Ozone and Related chemical Tracers, version 4
- 350 (MOZART-4). Geoscientific Model Development, 3(1), 43–67. https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-3-
- 351 43-2010
- Erickson, H., Davidson, E. A., & Keller, M. (2002). Former land-use and tree species affect
 nitrogen oxide emissions from a tropical dry forest. *Oecologia*, *130*(2), 297–308.
- 354 https://doi.org/10.1007/s004420100801
- 355 Ganzeveld, L., & Lelieveld, J. (2004). Impact of Amazonian deforestation on atmospheric
- chemistry. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *31*(6). https://doi.org/10.1029/2003GL019205
- Ganzeveld, L., Bouwman, L., Stehfest, E., van Vuuren, D. P., Eickhout, B., & Lelieveld, J. (2010).
- 358 Impact of future land use and land cover changes on atmospheric chemistry-climate
- interactions. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 115*(D23).
- 360 https://doi.org/10.1029/2010JD014041
- Ganzeveld, L. N., Lelieveld, J., Dentener, F. J., Krol, M. C., Bouwman, A. J., & Roelofs, G.-J. (2002).
- Global soil-biogenic NOx emissions and the role of canopy processes. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 107*(D16), ACH 9-1-ACH 9-17.
- 364 https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JD001289
- Garcia-Montiel, D. C., Steudler, P. A., Piccolo, M. C., Melillo, J. M., Neill, C., & Cerri, C. C. (2001).
- 366 Controls on soil nitrogen oxide emissions from forest and pastures in the Brazilian Amazon.
- 367 *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 15(4), 1021–1030. https://doi.org/10.1029/2000GB001349

368	Geddes, J. A., Heald, C. L., Silva, S. J., & Martin, R. V. (2016). Land cover change impacts on
369	atmospheric chemistry: simulating projected large-scale tree mortality in the United States.
370	Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 16(4), 2323–2340. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-
371	2323-2016
372	Guenther, A., Karl, T., Harley, P., Wiedinmyer, C., Palmer, P. I., & Geron, C. (2006). Estimates of
373	global terrestrial isoprene emissions using MEGAN (Model of Emissions of Gases and
374	Aerosols from Nature). Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 6(11), 3181–3210.
375	https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-6-3181-2006
376	Gut, A., van Dijk, S. M., Scheibe, M., Rummel, U., Welling, M., Ammann, C., et al. (2002). NO
377	emission from an Amazonian rain forest soil: Continuous measurements of NO flux and soil
378	concentration. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 107(D20), LBA 24-1-LBA 24-
379	10. https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JD000521
380	Heald, C. L., & Spracklen, D. V. (2015). Land Use Change Impacts on Air Quality and Climate.
381	<i>Chemical Reviews, 115</i> (10), 4476–4496. https://doi.org/10.1021/cr500446g
382	Hudman, R. C., Moore, N. E., Mebust, A. K., Martin, R. V., Russell, A. R., Valin, L. C., & Cohen, R.
383	C. (2012). Steps towards a mechanistic model of global soil nitric oxide emissions:
384	implementation and space based-constraints. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 12(16),
385	7779–7795. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-7779-2012
386	Jacob, D. J., & Wofsy, S. C. (1988). Photochemistry of biogenic emissions over the Amazon
387	forest. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 93(D2), 1477–1486.
388	https://doi.org/10.1029/JD093iD02p01477
389	Jaeglé, L., Steinberger, L., Martin, R.V., and Chance, K. (2005). Global partitioning of NOx sources
390	using satellite observations: Relative roles of fossil fuel combustion, biomass burning and
391	soil emissions. Faraday Discussions, 130(0):407–423. https://doi.org/10.1039/B502128F
392	Jenkin, M. E., Young, J. C., & Rickard, A. R. (2015). The MCM v3.3.1 degradation scheme for
393	isoprene. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 15(20), 11433–11459.
394	https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-11433-2015

Jenkin, Michael E., Saunders, S. M., & Pilling, M. J. (1997). The tropospheric degradation of
 volatile organic compounds: a protocol for mechanism development. *Atmospheric Environment*, 31(1), 81–104. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(96)00105-7

Jeong, D., Seco, R., Emmons, L., Schwantes, R., Liu, Y., McKinney, K. A., et al. (2022). Reconciling
 Observed and Predicted Tropical Rainforest OH Concentrations. *Journal of Geophysical*

400 *Research: Atmospheres, 127*(1), e2020JD032901. https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JD032901

Keller, M., Varner, R., Dias, J. D., Silva, H., Crill, P., Oliveira, R. C. de, & Asner, G. P. (2005). Soil-

402 Atmosphere Exchange of Nitrous Oxide, Nitric Oxide, Methane, and Carbon Dioxide in

Logged and Undisturbed Forest in the Tapajos National Forest, Brazil. *Earth Interactions*,

404 9(23), 1–28. https://doi.org/10.1175/EI125.1

Koehler, B., Corre, M. D., Veldkamp, E., Wullaert, H., & Wright, S. J. (2009). Immediate and long-

406 term nitrogen oxide emissions from tropical forest soils exposed to elevated nitrogen input.
 407 *Global Change Biology*, *15*(8), 2049–2066. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-

408 **2486.2008.01826.x**

Lan, X., Thoning, K. W., & Dlugokenchy, E. J. (2022). Trends in globally-averaged CH4, N2O, and

410 SF6 determined from NOAA Global Monitoring Laboratory measurements (Version Version

411 2023-09) [Data set]. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.15138/P8XG-AA10

Lathière, J., Hauglustaine, D. A., Friend, A. D., De Noblet-Ducoudré, N., Viovy, N., & Folberth, G.

A. (2006). Impact of climate variability and land use changes on global biogenic volatile

organic compound emissions. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, *6*(8), 2129–2146.

415 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-6-2129-2006

Laughner, J. L., Neu, J. L., Schimel, D., Wennberg, P. O., Barsanti, K., Bowman, K. W., et al. (2021).

417 Societal shifts due to COVID-19 reveal large-scale complexities and feedbacks between

418 atmospheric chemistry and climate change. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*

419 Sciences, 118(46), e2109481118. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2109481118

Lelieveld, J., Butler, T. M., Crowley, J. N., Dillon, T. J., Fischer, H., Ganzeveld, L., et al. (2008).

421 Atmospheric oxidation capacity sustained by a tropical forest. *Nature*, *452*(7188), 737–740.

422 https://doi.org/10.1038/nature06870

- Logan, J. A., Prather, M. J., Wofsy, S. C., & McElroy, M. B. (1981). Tropospheric chemistry: A
- 424 global perspective. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 86*(C8), 7210–7254.

425 https://doi.org/10.1029/JC086iC08p07210

- Luo, G. J., Brüggemann, N., Wolf, B., Gasche, R., Grote, R., & Butterbach-Bahl, K. (2012). Decadal
- variability of soil CO₂, NO, N₂O, and CH₄ fluxes at the Höglwald Forest, Germany.
- 428 *Biogeosciences*, *9*(5), 1741–1763. https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-9-1741-2012
- 429 Martin, R. V., Jacob, D. J., Chance, K., Kurosu, T. P., Palmer, P. I., & Evans, M. J. (2003). Global
- inventory of nitrogen oxide emissions constrained by space-based observations of NO2

431 columns. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 108*(D17).

- 432 https://doi.org/10.1029/2003JD003453
- 433 Messina, P., Lathière, J., Sindelarova, K., Vuichard, N., Granier, C., Ghattas, J., et al. (2016). Global
- 434 biogenic volatile organic compound emissions in the ORCHIDEE and MEGAN models and
- sensitivity to key parameters. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, *16*(22), 14169–14202.
- 436 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-14169-2016
- 437 Opacka, B., Müller, J.-F., Stavrakou, T., Bauwens, M., Sindelarova, K., Markova, J., & Guenther, A.
- 438 B. (2021). Global and regional impacts of land cover changes on isoprene emissions derived
- from spaceborne data and the MEGAN model. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 21(11),
- 440 8413–8436. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-8413-2021
- Peng, S., Lin, X., Thompson, R. L., Xi, Y., Liu, G., Hauglustaine, D., et al. (2022). Wetland emission
- and atmospheric sink changes explain methane growth in 2020. Nature, 612(7940), 477–
- 443 482. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-05447-w
- Pilegaard, K., Skiba, U., Ambus, P., Beier, C., Brüggemann, N., Butterbach-Bahl, K., et al. (2006).
- 445 Factors controlling regional differences in forest soil emission of nitrogen oxides (NO and
- 446 N₂O). *Biogeosciences*, 3(4), 651–661. https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-3-651-2006
- 447 Pollack, I. B., Lerner, B. M., & Ryerson, T. B. (2010). Evaluation of ultraviolet light-emitting diodes
- for detection of atmospheric NO2 by photolysis chemiluminescence. *Journal of*
- 449 Atmospheric Chemistry, 65(2), 111–125. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10874-011-9184-3

- Rigby, M., Montzka, S. A., Prinn, R. G., White, J. W. C., Young, D., O'Doherty, S., et al. (2017). Role
 of atmospheric oxidation in recent methane growth. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *114*(21), 5373–5377. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1616426114
- 453 Sarkar, C., Guenther, A. B., Park, J.-H., Seco, R., Alves, E., Batalha, S., et al. (2020). PTR-TOF-MS
- eddy covariance measurements of isoprene and monoterpene fluxes from an eastern
- 455 Amazonian rainforest. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 20(12), 7179–7191.
- 456 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-7179-2020
- 457 Saunders, S. M., Jenkin, M. E., Derwent, R. G., & Pilling, M. J. (2003). Protocol for the
 458 development of the Master Chemical Mechanism, MCM v3 (Part A): tropospheric
- degradation of non-aromatic volatile organic compounds. *Atmospheric Chemistry and*

460 *Physics*, *3*(1), 161–180. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-3-161-2003

- 461 Song, W., Liu, X.-Y., Hu, C.-C., Chen, G.-Y., Liu, X.-J., Walters, W. W., et al. (2021). Important
- 462 contributions of non-fossil fuel nitrogen oxides emissions. *Nature Communications*, *12*(1),
 463 243. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-20356-0
- 464 Spivakovsky, C. M., Logan, J. A., Montzka, S. A., Balkanski, Y. J., Foreman-Fowler, M., Jones, D. B.
- A., et al. (2000). Three-dimensional climatological distribution of tropospheric OH: Update
- and evaluation. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 105*(D7), 8931–8980.
- 467 https://doi.org/10.1029/1999JD901006
- 468 Squire, O. J., Archibald, A. T., Griffiths, P. T., Jenkin, M. E., Smith, D., & Pyle, J. A. (2015). Influence
- of isoprene chemical mechanism on modelled changes in tropospheric ozone due to climate
- and land use over the 21st century. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, *15*(9), 5123–5143.
- 471 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-5123-2015
- 472 Stevenson, D. S., Zhao, A., Naik, V., O'Connor, F. M., Tilmes, S., Zeng, G., et al. (2020). Trends in
- global tropospheric hydroxyl radical and methane lifetime since 1850 from AerChemMIP.
- 474 Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 20(21), 12905–12920. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-
- 475 12905-2020
- Stevenson, D. S., Derwent, R. G., Wild, O., & Collins, W. J. (2022). COVID-19 lockdown emission
 reductions have the potential to explain over half of the coincident increase in global

- atmospheric methane. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 22(21), 14243–14252.
- 479 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-22-14243-2022
- Tan, D., Faloona, I., Simpas, J. B., Brune, W., Shepson, P. B., Couch, T. L., et al. (2001). HO x
- 481 budgets in a deciduous forest: Results from the PROPHET summer 1998 campaign. *Journal*
- 482 of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 106(D20), 24407–24427.
- 483 https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JD900016
- Taraborrelli, D., Lawrence, M. G., Crowley, J. N., Dillon, T. J., Gromov, S., Groß, C. B. M., et al.
- (2012). Hydroxyl radical buffered by isoprene oxidation over tropical forests. *Nature Geoscience*, 5(3), 190–193. https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo1405
- Turner, A. J., Frankenberg, C., Wennberg, P. O., & Jacob, D. J. (2017). Ambiguity in the causes for
- decadal trends in atmospheric methane and hydroxyl. *Proceedings of the National Academy*
- 489 of Sciences, 114(21), 5367–5372. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1616020114
- 490 Turner, A. J., Frankenberg, C., & Kort, E. A. (2019). Interpreting contemporary trends in
- 491 atmospheric methane. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 116(8), 2805–
- 492 2813. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1814297116
- ⁴⁹³ Unger, N. (2014). Human land-use-driven reduction of forest volatiles cools global climate.
- 494 *Nature Climate Change*, *4*(10), 907–910. https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2347
- Voulgarakis, A., Naik, V., Lamarque, J.-F., Shindell, D. T., Young, P. J., Prather, M. J., et al. (2013).
- 496 Analysis of present day and future OH and methane lifetime in the ACCMIP simulations.
- 497 Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 13(5), 2563–2587. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-
- 498 2563-2013
- 499 Wells, K. C., Millet, D. B., Payne, V. H., Deventer, M. J., Bates, K. H., de Gouw, J. A., et al. (2020).
- 500 Satellite isoprene retrievals constrain emissions and atmospheric oxidation. *Nature*,
- 501 585(7824), 225–233. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2664-3
- 502 Whalley, L. K., Edwards, P. M., Furneaux, K. L., Goddard, A., Ingham, T., Evans, M. J., et al. (2011).
- 503 Quantifying the magnitude of a missing hydroxyl radical source in a tropical rainforest.
- 504 Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 11(14), 7223–7233. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-
- 505 **7223-2011**

- Wiedinmyer, C., Tie, X., Guenther, A., Neilson, R., & Granier, C. (2006). Future Changes in
 Biogenic Isoprene Emissions: How Might They Affect Regional and Global Atmospheric
 Chemistry? *Earth Interactions*, *10*(3), 1–19. https://doi.org/10.1175/EI174.1
- 509 Wild, O., Voulgarakis, A., O'Connor, F., Lamarque, J.-F., Ryan, E. M., & Lee, L. (2020). Global
- sensitivity analysis of chemistry–climate model budgets of tropospheric ozone and OH:
- exploring model diversity. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, *20*(7), 4047–4058.
- 512 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-4047-2020
- Wolfe, G. M., Marvin, M. R., Roberts, S. J., Travis, K. R., & Liao, J. (2016). The Framework for 0-D
 Atmospheric Modeling (F0AM) v3.1. *Geoscientific Model Development*, 9(9), 3309–3319.
- 515 https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-9-3309-2016
- 516 Wu, S., Mickley, L. J., Kaplan, J. O., & Jacob, D. J. (2012). Impacts of changes in land use and land
- cover on atmospheric chemistry and air quality over the 21st century. *Atmospheric*
- 518 *Chemistry and Physics, 12*(3), 1597–1609. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-1597-2012
- Yan, X., Ohara, T., & Akimoto, H. (2005). Statistical modeling of global soil NOx emissions. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, *19*(3). https://doi.org/10.1029/2004GB002276
- 521 Yienger, J. J., & Levy II, H. (1995). Empirical model of global soil-biogenic NOχ emissions. *Journal*
- of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 100(D6), 11447–11464.
- 523 https://doi.org/10.1029/95JD00370
- Zhao, Y., Saunois, M., Bousquet, P., Lin, X., Berchet, A., Hegglin, M. I., et al. (2020). On the role of
- 525 trend and variability in the hydroxyl radical (OH) in the global methane budget. Atmospheric
- 526 *Chemistry and Physics, 20*(21), 13011–13022. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-13011-2020





Figure 1. Mixing ratios of (a) NO, (b) NO₂, and (c) O₃ at the Tapajos National Forest as observed 530 at eight heights on the tall tower from Jan to Aug of 2015, and as modeled for the lowest level 531 of the atmosphere in CESM2-CAM-Chem under three soil NO emission rate scenarios. Model-532 observation comparison shows that soil NO emission rates in CESM2-CAM are biased low by at 533 least an order of magnitude. 534



Figure 2. Monthly means of (a) NO mixing ratio, (b) isoprene flux, (c) isoprene mixing ratio, and 538 (d) OH concentration in the lower-most level of the atmosphere above the Tapajos National 539 Forest. Though there is general model-observation agreements in isoprene flux, NO mixing ratio 540 and OH concentration by enhancing soil NO emission rate, there exists a large discrepancy in 541 isoprene mixing ratio, indicating that accounting for the low-bias in soil NO emission rates does 542 not fully resolve the high-bias in isoprene mixing ratio. Observations of isoprene flux and mixing 543 ratios were conducted at the Tapajos National Forest in June of 2016 [Sarkar et al., 2020], while 544 OH concentration was measured outside of Manaus, Brazil during GoAmazon in Feb-Mar of 545

- ⁵⁴⁶ 2014 [Jeong et al., 2022]. Observed isoprene and OH values represent respective campaign
- 547 mean values.
- 548
- 549





Figure 4. Impact on global (a) methane lifetime (b) soil NO emission rates and (c) biogenic
 isoprene emission rates due to changes in soil NO emission rate of Amazonia and/or
 deforestation of Amazonia, relative to the forested Amazonia scenario with baseline NO
 emission rate of CESM2-CAM-Chem.

Geophysical Research Letters

Supporting Information for

Sensitive response of atmospheric oxidative capacity to the uncertainty in the emissions of nitric oxide (NO) from soils in Amazonia

Ben H. Lee¹, J. William Munger², Steven C. Wofsy², Luciana V. Rizzo³, James Y. S. Yoon¹, Alexander J. Turner¹, Joel A. Thornton¹, Abigail L. S. Swann¹

¹ University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA, ² Harvard University, Cambridge, MA, USA, ³ University of São Paulo, São Paulo, SP, Brazil

Contents of this file

Figures S1 to S7



Figure S1. Modeled total leaf area index (LAI, $cm^2 cm^{-2}$) simulated for August 2002 in the (a) forested and (b) deforested scenarios.



Figure S2. Mixing ratios of NO measured at the top of the tower at Tapajos National Forest in 2015, and modeled by CESM2-CAM-Chem. Error bars on the model values represent the standard deviation of simulations from 2001 to 2005. The model values colored in red indicate the monthly values that were included for the model-observation comparison shown in Figure 1.



Figure S3. Vertical profiles of NO mixing ratio above the model-grid encompassing the Tapajos National Forest for the three forested scenarios with baseline, $10\times$, and $20\times$ soil NO emission rates. These profiles represent the model period of August 2003. Pressure was converted to altitude using a 8.5 km scale height.



Figure S4. Same as Figure S3 but for O₃.



Figure S5. Same as Figure S3 but for OH.



Figure S6. Same as Figure S3 but for isoprene.



Figure S7. Baseline soil NO emission rates implemented in the CESM2-CAM-Chem as well as those measured over tropical soils in unperturbed forests (Bakwin et al., 1990; Erickson et al., 2002; Garcia-Montiel et al., 2001; Gut et al., 2002; Keller et al., 2005; Verchot et al., 1999; Weitz et al., 1998). The measurements span different time periods and durations, seasons, soil type, etc. This list is by no means complete but shows that the modeled rates reside in the low-end of the range of observations. The shading for the modeled soil NO emission rate represents the minimum and maximum rates over the course of a model year.



Figure S8. That there is agreement in the modeled concentrations of (a) OH and (b) HO₂ between the Master Chemical Mechanisms and CESM2-CAM-Chem, indicates consistency in the chemical mechanisms included in the two models. The reason for the over-estimation of isoprene mixing ratio by CESM-CAM (Figure 3c) remains yet unresolved.



Figure S9. Modeled monthly (top) soil temperature and (bottom) soil moisture for the forested and deforested scenarios. As a result of deforestation, the top 10 cm of the soil is warmer throughout the year. Moisture level of the top 10 cm is more variable (drier in the dry season, and wetter in the wet season).