

Ponalagusamy R.¹ and R Ponalagusamy²

¹Affiliation not available

²Department of Mathematics, National Institute of Technology

December 5, 2023

A Short Note on “Two-layered Model (Casson-Newtonian) for Blood Flow Through an Arterial Stenosis: Axially Variable Slip Velocity at the Wall”

R. Ponalagusamy

Department of Mathematics, National Institute of Technology,

Tiruchirappalli-620 015, Tamilnadu, India.

(E-mail: rpalagu@nitt.edu)

Abstract

The purpose of this note is to analyze the work done by Ponalagusamy and Tamilselvi [1]. Ponalagusamy and Tamilselvi [1] have obtained the analytic expression for an axially variable slip velocity at the wall by assuming the flow rate for the case of one-layered model with slip velocity is equal to that of two-layered model with zero slip velocity. This assumption may, in general, not be valid. Keeping this in view, the flow rate for the case of one-layered model with slip velocity is generally considered to be equal to the product of a constant(C_1) and the flow rate of two-layered model without slip velocity, where $0 < C_1$. Slip velocity at the wall has been computed for different values of C_1 for tube diameters $40\ \mu m$ and $66.6\ \mu m$. It is observed from the present investigation that a series of experiments on blood flow is to be performed to determine the proper values of C_1 under various values of flow parameters and radius of the arterial stenosis.

Key words: New formulas, Blood flow, Axially variable slip velocity, Stenosed arteries, A two-fluid model, Casson fluid, Different shapes of stenoses

Discussion and Conclusion

In the paper [1], it is mentioned that the introduction of a thin solvent layer near the wall produces the same effect as that of the slip at the wall (Bennett [2]). In the case of one layered model ($R = R_1$) with slip at the wall, the flow rate Q_{1L} is given as (for more detail, refer [1]):

$$Q_{1L} =$$

$$\frac{\rho^* q(z) \text{Re}}{8\beta} R^4 + R^2 u_s(z) + \frac{\text{Re} \theta \rho^*}{3} \{R^3 - R_p^3\} - \frac{2\sqrt{2}\rho^* \text{Re}}{7\sqrt{\beta}} \{\theta q(z)\}^{1/2} \{R^{7/2} - R_p^{7/2}\},$$

...(1)

where $\rho^* = \frac{\bar{\rho}_p}{\bar{\rho}}$, $\text{Re} = \frac{\bar{\rho} \bar{U}_0 \bar{R}_0}{\bar{\mu}^*}$ and $\bar{\rho}$ and $\bar{\mu}^*$ are the density and viscosity of the fluid when the

flow is one-layered. For the two-layered model without slip at the wall ($u_s = 0$), the flow rate

Q_{2L} is given as

$$Q_{2L} =$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{q(z) R_{ep} R^4}{8\beta} \left[1 - \left(1 - \frac{\delta(z)}{R} \right)^4 (1 - \mu) \right] + \frac{\mu R_{ep} \theta R^3}{3} \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{\delta(z)}{R} \right)^3 - \left(\frac{R_p}{R} \right)^3 \right\} \\ & - \frac{2\sqrt{2}\mu R_{ep}}{7\sqrt{\beta}} \{ \theta q(z) R^7 \}^{1/2} \left[\left\{ 1 - \frac{\delta(z)}{R} \right\}^{7/2} - \left(\frac{R_p}{R} \right)^{7/2} \right] \end{aligned} \quad \dots (2)$$

where $\delta(z) = \bar{\delta}(\bar{z}) / \bar{R}_0$ is the non-dimensional peripheral layer thickness which is a function of axial distance z . Since the two models (one-layered with slip and two-layered without slip) represent the same phenomena and reported by (Bennett[2]), the flow rates can be equated as

$$Q_{1L} = C_1 Q_{2L}$$

$$\frac{\rho^* q(z) \text{Re}}{8\beta} R^4 + R^2 u_s(z) + \frac{\text{Re} \theta \rho^*}{3} \{R^3 - R_p^3\} - \frac{2\sqrt{2}\rho^* \text{Re}}{7\sqrt{\beta}} \{\theta q(z)\}^{1/2} \{R^{7/2} - R_p^{7/2}\} =$$

$$C_1 \left\{ \frac{q(z) R_{ep} R^4}{8\beta} \left[1 - \left(1 - \frac{\delta(z)}{R}\right)^4 (1 - \mu) \right] + \frac{\mu R_{ep} \theta R^3}{3} \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{\delta(z)}{R}\right)^3 - \left(\frac{R_p}{R}\right)^3 \right\} \right. \\ \left. - \frac{2\sqrt{2}\mu R_{ep}}{7\sqrt{\beta}} \{\theta q(z) R^7\}^{1/2} \left[\left\{1 - \frac{\delta(z)}{R}\right\}^{7/2} - \left(\frac{R_p}{R}\right)^{7/2} \right] \right\}$$

Where $Q_{2L} = Q^*$.

....(3)

From Eq.(3), one can obtain $u_s(z)$ as

$$u_s(z) = \frac{q(z) R^2}{8\beta} \left[C_1 R_{ep} \left[1 - \left(1 - \frac{\delta(z)}{R}\right)^4 (1 - \mu) \right] - \rho^* \text{Re} \right] \\ + \frac{R\theta}{3} \left[C_1 \mu R_{ep} \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{\delta(z)}{R}\right)^3 - \left(\frac{R_p}{R}\right)^3 \right\} - \rho^* \text{Re} \left\{ 1 - \left(\frac{R_p}{R}\right)^3 \right\} \right] + \frac{2}{7} \{2\theta q(z) R^3 / \beta\}^{1/2} [\rho^* \text{Re} \{1 - (\frac{R_p}{R})^{7/2}\} \\ - C_1 \mu R_{ep} \{ (1 - \frac{\delta(z)}{R})^{7/2} - (\frac{R_p}{R})^{7/2} \}]$$

...(4)

From Eq.(4), the dimensional form of the slip velocity \bar{u}_s is obtained as

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{u}_s = & \frac{\bar{q}_0(\bar{R}_0)^2}{8} \left[\frac{C_1}{\bar{\mu}_p} \left\{ 1 - \left\{ 1 - \frac{\bar{\delta}_0}{\bar{R}_0} \right\}^4 (1 - \mu) \right\} - \frac{1}{\bar{\mu}^*} \right] + \frac{\bar{\tau}_0 \bar{R}_0}{3} \left[\frac{C_1}{\bar{\mu}_c} \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{\bar{\delta}_0}{\bar{R}_0} \right)^3 - \left(\frac{\bar{R}_p}{\bar{R}_0} \right)^3 \right\} - \frac{1}{\bar{\mu}^*} \left\{ 1 - \left(\frac{\bar{R}_p}{\bar{R}_0} \right)^3 \right\} \right] \\ & + \frac{8}{7} \left\{ \frac{\bar{\tau}_0 \bar{Q}^* \bar{\rho}_p}{\pi \bar{R}_0^2} \right\}^{1/2} \left[\left(\frac{1}{\bar{\mu}^*} \right)^{1/2} \left\{ 1 - \left(\frac{\bar{R}_p}{\bar{R}_0} \right)^{7/2} \right\} - C_1 \mu \left(\frac{1}{\bar{\mu}_p} \right)^{1/2} \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{\bar{\delta}_0}{\bar{R}_0} \right)^{7/2} - \left(\frac{\bar{R}_p}{\bar{R}_0} \right)^{7/2} \right\} \right] \end{aligned}$$

.....(5)

It is to be mentioned that ‘-’over a letter denotes the corresponding dimensional quantity.

The slip velocities at the wall with $C_1=1$ for tube diameters $40 \mu m$ and $66.6 \mu m$ are mistakenly mentioned in the paper [1] and the corresponding corrected values are given in Table-I.

Tube Diameter	$\bar{u}_s \text{ cm/sec}$			
	$C_1 = 0.25$	$C_1 = 0.5$	$C_1 = 0.75$	$C_1 = 1$
$40 \mu m$	0.30248	1.06002	2.01756	2.87511
$66.6 \mu m$	0.06103	0.36307	0.66512	0.96716

Table-I Slip Velocity $\bar{u}_s \text{ cm/sec}$

It is observed from Table-I that the slip velocity at the wall decreases as the value of C_1 decreases. The value of C_1 should be less than unity due to the fact that the slip velocity cannot

be greater than the velocity at the centre of the tube for tube diameter $40\ \mu m$. Whereas for the case of tube diameter $66.6\ \mu m$, the value of C_1 may be greater than unity. Hence, it is concluded that a series of experiments on blood flow is to be carried out to determine the proper values of C_1 under various values of flow parameters.

REFERENCES

1. Ponalagusamy R. and Tamilselvi R. A Study on Two-Layered Model (Casson-Newtonian) for Blood flow through an arterial stenosis: Axially Variable Slip Velocity at the wall. Journal of The Franklin Institute, **348**(2011), 2308-2321.
2. Bennett L. Red cell slip at wall in vitro. Science **155**(1967), 1554-1556.