

# Increasing access to reproductive health services through maternity waiting homes for women living farthest from a health facility in rural Zambia: a quasi-experimental study

Jody Lori<sup>1</sup>, Michelle Munro-Kramer<sup>1</sup>, Haiyin Liu<sup>1</sup>, Kathleen McGlasson<sup>2</sup>, Xingyu Zhang<sup>1</sup>, HaEun Lee<sup>1</sup>, Thandiwe Ngoma<sup>3</sup>, Jeanette Kaiser<sup>2</sup>, Misheck Bwalya<sup>3</sup>, Gertrude Musonda<sup>4</sup>, Isaac Sakala<sup>4</sup>, Joseph Perosky<sup>1</sup>, Rachel Fong<sup>2</sup>, Carol Boyd<sup>1</sup>, Parker Chastain<sup>2</sup>, Peter Rockers<sup>2</sup>, Davidson Hamer, MD<sup>5</sup>, Godfrey Biemba<sup>6</sup>, Taryn Vian<sup>2</sup>, Rachael Bonawitz<sup>2</sup>, Nancy Lockhart<sup>1</sup>, and Nancy Scott<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Michigan

<sup>2</sup>Boston University

<sup>3</sup>Right to Care

<sup>4</sup>Aficare

<sup>5</sup>Boston University School of Public Health

<sup>6</sup>National Health Research Authority

October 12, 2020

## Abstract

**Objective:** To report on the effectiveness of a standardized core Maternity Waiting Home (MWH) model to increase facility deliveries and access to reproductive health services among women living farthest from a health facility (>10km) using facility-based data. **Design:** Quasi-experimental design. **Setting:** Seven rural districts in Zambia. **Population:** Women delivering at 40 health facilities between June 2016 to August 2018. **Methods:** 20 intervention sites and 20 comparison sites were used to test if MWHs increased access to reproductive health services for women living in rural Zambia. The difference-in-differences (DID) methodology was used to examine the effectiveness of the core MWH model on our primary outcomes. **Main Outcome Measures:** Differences in the change from baseline to endline in the percentage of women who: 1) traveled greater than 10 km for delivery, (2) attended a postnatal visit at 6 days postpartum, and (3) were referred to a higher-level health facility between intervention and comparison group. **Results:** We detected a significant difference for the percentage of deliveries at intervention facilities with the core MWH model for all women living >10km away ( $p=0.03$ ), adolescent women (<18 years) living >10km away ( $p=0.002$ ), and primigravida women living >10km away ( $p=0.01$ ). There were no significant differences for women attending a postnatal care visit at 6 days postpartum ( $p=0.07$ ) or for women referred to the next level of care ( $p=0.29$ ). **Conclusion:** The core MWH model was successful in reaching women with historically low rates of facility delivery, those living >10km from a healthcare facility, including adolescent women and primigravidas.

## Hosted file

Main manuscript\_BJOG.pdf available at <https://authorea.com/users/366460/articles/486217-increasing-access-to-reproductive-health-services-through-maternity-waiting-homes-for-women-living-farthest-from-a-health-facility-in-rural-zambia-a-quasi-experimental-study>