Synergies between Venus & Exoplanetary Observations

Way Michael¹, Ostberg Colby², Foley Bradford J³, Gillmann Cedric⁴, Höning Dennis⁵, Lammer Helmut⁶, O'Rourke Joseph⁷, Persson Moa⁸, Plesa Ana-Catalina⁹, Salvador Arnaud¹⁰, Scherf Manuel⁶, and Weller Matthew¹¹

¹NASA Goddard Institute for Space Studies
²University of California Riverside
³The Pennsylvania State University
⁴Rice University
⁵POTSDAM INSTITUTE FOR CLIMATE IMPACT RESEARCH
⁶Space Research Institute, Austrian Academy of Sciences
⁷Arizona State University
⁸Institut de Recherche en Astrophysique et Planétologie
⁹Deutsches Zentrum für Luft- und Raumfahrt
¹⁰University of Arizona
¹¹Lunar and Planetary Institute

November 16, 2022

Abstract

In this chapter we examine how our knowledge of present day Venus can inform terrestrial exoplanetary science and how exoplanetary science can inform our study of Venus. In a superficial way the contrasts in knowledge appear stark. We have been looking at Venus for millennia and studying it via telescopic observations for centuries. Spacecraft observations began with Mariner 2 in 1962 when we confirmed that Venus was a hothouse planet, rather than the tropical paradise science fiction pictured. As long as our level of exploration and understanding of Venus remains far below that of Mars, major questions will endure. On the other hand, exoplanetary science has grown leaps and bounds since the discovery of Pegasus 51b in 1995, not too long after the golden years of Venus spacecraft missions came to an end with the Magellan Mission in 1994. Multi-million to billion dollar/euro exoplanet focused spacecraft missions such as JWST, ARIEL and their successors will be flown in the coming decades. At the same time, excitement about Venus exploration is blooming again with a number of confirmed and proposed missions in the coming decades from India, Russia, Japan, the European Space Agency (ESA) and the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA). In this chapter, we review what is known and what we may discover tomorrow in complementary studies of Venus and its exoplanetary cousins.

Synergies between Venus & Exoplanetary Observations

Venus and its extrasolar siblings

M.J. Way · Colby Ostberg · Bradford J. Foley · Cedric Gillmann · Dennis Höning · Helmut Lammer · Joseph O'Rourke · Moa Persson · Ana-Catalina Plesa · Arnaud Salvador · Manuel Scherf · Matthew Weller

Received: date / Accepted: date

M.J. Way

NASA Goddard Institute for Space Studies, 2880 Broadway, New York, NY 10025 Theoretical Astrophysics, Department of Physics and Astronomy, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden E-mail: Michael.J.Way@nasa.gov

Colby Ostberg Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences, University of California, Riverside, CA 92521, USA

Bradford J. Foley Department of Geosciences, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, Pennsylvania

Cedric Gillmann Department of Earth, Environmental and Planetary Sciences, Rice University, Houston, TX 77005, USA

Dennis Höning Potsdam Institute for Climate Impact Research, Potsdam, Germany Department of Earth Sciences, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Helmut Lammer

Space Research Institute, Austrian Academy of Sciences, Schmiedl
str. 6, 8042, Graz, Austria $\,$

Joseph O'Rourke

School of Earth and Space Exploration, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ, USA

 Moa Persson

Institut de Recherche en Astrophysique et Planétologie, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Université Paul Sabatier - Toulouse III, Centre National d'Etudes Spatiales, Toulouse, France

Ana-Catalina Plesa Institute of Planetary Research, DLR, Berlin, Germany E-mail: Ana.Plesa@dlr.de

Arnaud Salvador Department of Astronomy and Planetary Science, Northern Arizona University, Box 6010, Flagstaff, AZ 86011, USA Habitability, Atmospheres, and Biosignatures Laboratory, University of Arizona, Tucson, Abstract In this chapter we examine how our knowledge of present day Venus can inform terrestrial exoplanetary science and how exoplanetary science can inform our study of Venus. In a superficial way the contrasts in knowledge appear stark. We have been looking at Venus for millennia and studying it via telescopic observations for centuries. Spacecraft observations began with Mariner 2 in 1962 when we confirmed that Venus was a hothouse planet, rather than the tropical paradise science fiction pictured. As long as our level of exploration and understanding of Venus remains far below that of Mars, major questions will endure. On the other hand, exoplanetary science has grown leaps and bounds since the discovery of Pegasus 51b in 1995, not too long after the golden years of Venus spacecraft missions came to an end with the Magellan Mission in 1994. Multi-million to billion dollar/euro exoplanet focused spacecraft missions such as JWST, ARIEL and their successors will be flown in the coming decades. At the same time, excitement about Venus exploration is blooming again with a number of confirmed and proposed missions in the coming decades from India, Russia, Japan, the European Space Agency (ESA) and the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA). In this chapter, we review what is known and what we may discover tomorrow in complementary studies of Venus and its exoplanetary cousins.

Keywords Exoplanets · Venus

1 Can exoplanets inform Venus' evolutionary history?

It may sound preposterous to propose that terrestrial exoplanets, which are far from being explored in-situ, and which present challenges even to detection of their atmospheres, can in any way inform Venus' evolutionary history. Yet exoplanetary science has already provided a means to put ancient Venus 4.2 billion years ago within the habitable zone (Yang et al., 2014; Way et al., 2016). Initial studies of Venus' early climate by Ingersoll (1969); Pollack (1971); Kasting et al. (1984), and others laid out the challenges for Venus having temperate surface conditions in its early history, given the $\sim 40\%$ higher incident solar radiation it received 4.2Ga compared with modern-day Earth. However, Pollack (1971) demonstrated that temperate conditions were possible if Venus had 100% cloud cover, providing an albedo sufficiently high to block enough incoming sunlight to reduce surface temperatures to less than 300K. Yet he provided no rationale for his choice of 100% cloud cover. Moving 40+ years

AZ, USA

Manuel Scherf

Matthew Weller

Lunar and Planetary Laboratory, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ, USA

Space Research Institute, Austrian Academy of Sciences, Schmiedlstr. 6, 8042, Graz, Austria Institute of Physics, University of Graz, Graz, Austria

Institute for Geodesy, Technical University, Graz, Austria

Lunar and Planetary Institute, 3600 Bay Area Blvd., Houston, TX 77058

into the future exoplanet researchers were beginning to look at large parameter sweeps using 3-D General Circulation Models (GCMs) to investigate how insolation and rotation rate influence climate (e.g. Yang et al., 2014). This effort was driven in part by the discovery of a large number of planets orbiting M-dwarf and K-dwarf stars – many in their habitable zones. One of the first of these exoplanet studies by Leconte et al. (2013) used the Laboratoire de Météorologie Dynamique $(LMD)^1$ GCM to demonstrate that temperate conditions were possible for the tidally locked world HD 85512 b, which orbits a K-dwarf star with a 58-day period. A year later, using the National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR)² Community Atmosphere Model (CAM) GCM, Yang et al. (2014) demonstrated that slowly rotating worlds (not necessarily tidally locked) with modern Earth-like atmospheres could in fact host temperate surface conditions with mean surface temperatures < 300K at stellar insolations approaching 2.5 times what Earth receives today. This was due to large scale contiguous high albedo tropospheric clouds located in the substellar region. These were a byproduct of the extended single-hemisphere-sized Hadley cells from a weakened Coriolis force due to the slower rotation rate. This exoplanet related discovery had confirmed Pollack's proposed 100% cloud cover 43 years later. The Yang et al. (2014) work prompted a number of similar studies (Way et al., 2016, 2018) that confirmed the original result with a completely different 3-D GCM known as ROCKE-3D (Resolving Orbital Keys of Earth and Extraterrestrial Environments with Dynamics)³ (Way et al., 2017). This research has had a profound effect on understanding the possible climate history of Venus and Venus-like worlds. Whereas earlier Venus focused studies claimed an early short-lived habitable period was possible (Grinspoon and Bullock, 2007), these exoplanet studies demonstrated that Venus could have had guite long periods of habitability (Way and Del Genio, 2020).

Thus far at least five different GCMs have produced the cloud-albedo feedback for slowly rotating worlds: ROCKE-3D, NCAR (Yang et al., 2014), the UK Met Office Unified Model (Walters et al., 2011), LMD, and Exocam ⁴. While such coherence may appear definitive these model results must be verified with observations of planets within the canonical Venus Zone (e.g. Kane et al., 2014, hereafter VZ). At the same time, there is still great uncertainty related to the longevity of the early magma ocean atmospheres (See Section 1.4), in the composition of the atmospheres (e.g. Bower et al., 2022) and exactly what role clouds might play (Turbet et al., 2021). Are these atmospheres a mix of CO, CO₂, N₂, H₂O, CH₄, or H₂, and what sorts of clouds are involved, if any? Here again exoplanetary observations hold the keys to the kingdom, and are the only way to definitively test and refine our models and their underlying physics.

¹ https://www-planets.lmd.jussieu.fr/

² https://ncar.ucar.edu/

³ https://simplex.giss.nasa.gov/gcm/ROCKE-3D/

⁴ https://github.com/storyofthewolf/ExoCAM

Planetary scientists recognize that the exploration of Venus can inform our understanding of exoplanets, and vice versa as discussed in this chapter. These linkages permeate the new decadal survey released by the United States of America's National Academies (National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, 2021) as detailed in the introduction to this topical collection (O'Rourke et al., 2022, this issue). Table 1 pulls verbatim excerpts from this new report identifying some of the observations of Venus and exoplanets that scientists consider most important in the near term. We can study Venus as "the exoplanet in our backyard" and obtain measurements, including in situ data, that are not feasible at planets orbiting distant stars. We can also study a statistical sample of Venus-sized exoplanets to explore if a Venus-like evolutionary pathway is typical. These parallel approaches will promote synergies and strengthen ties between these oft-separated scientific communities.

1.1 Transiting Exoplanets in the Venus Zone and JWST

The Transiting Exoplanet Survey Satellite (TESS; Ricker et al., 2010) is currently observing our nearest and brightest stellar neighbors in search of exoplanets. Similar to the Kepler/K2 mission (e.g. Howell et al., 2014, and references within)⁵, TESS is discovering exoplanets using the transit method. This method works by observing changes in the brightness of a star as a planet passes between the instrument and the star. The magnitude of the change in the star's brightness reveals the radius of the planet (assuming that one knows the radius of the star), while the periodicity of the brightness fluctuations is used to infer the planet's orbital period. The transit method is intrinsically biased towards planets with shorter orbital periods (Kane and von Braun, 2008), since the probability of observing a planet transit is inversely proportional to the planet's orbital period. This observational bias has led to TESS discovering a large number of terrestrial planets in the Venus Zone (VZ; Kane et al., 2014). The VZ is defined as the area around a star where a planet is more likely to resemble a Venus analog than an Earth analog, but does not guarantee a planet will have Venus-like surface conditions. Temperate planets may also reside in the VZ, as recent works have highlighted the possibility of Venus sustaining a temperate climate in the past (Way et al., 2016; Way and Del Genio, 2020). Ultimately, the VZ is a tool to guide target selection for follow-up observations of exoplanet atmospheres. These observations will provide information about the atmospheres of VZ planets, which helps infer information about their surface conditions and test the hypothesis of the VZ. Similar to the Habitable Zone (HZ; Kopparapu et al., 2013), the VZ is defined by two boundaries. The inner VZ boundary is defined, in terms of insolation flux, as 25x the flux received by Earth. This specific value was chosen as it is the flux needed to place Venus on the 'Cosmic Shoreline' (Zahnle and Catling, 2017), which is an empirical relationship used to predict the insolation flux needed for a terrestrial body to lose the majority of its atmosphere via thermal escape

⁵ https://www.nasa.gov/mission_pages/kepler/overview/index.html

Table 1 Recently, the Planetary Science and Astrobiology Decadal Survey 2023–2032 highlighted many synergies between observations of Venus and exoplanets (National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, 2021). This report prioritized scientific activities that would help answer two key questions: What does Venus teach us about the evolutionary pathways of exoplanets? Is the evolution of Venus typical of Venus-sized exoplanets? Below, we quoted priority questions, strategic research, and supportive activities from Chapter 15 ("Question 12: Exoplanets") that are related to many of the scientific connections between Venus and exoplanets discussed in this chapter and many others in this collection.

Priority questions linking Venus and Exoplanets					
12.1	Evolution of the Protoplanetary Disk				
12.3	Origin of Earth and Inner Solar System Bodies				
12.4	Impacts and Dynamics				
12.5	Solid Body Interiors and Surfaces				
12.6	Atmosphere and Climate Evolution on Solid Bodies				
12.10	Dynamic Habitability				
12.11	Search for Life Elsewhere				
Strategic Research to Benefit Exoplanetary Science					
Question(s)	Strategic Research				
12.1, 12.3, 12.6	Measure abundances and isotopic compositions of noble gases				
	and other key elements (in the atmosphere of Venus)				
12.6	Determine the properties of the atmospheres of terrestrial plan-				
	ets $(\dots$ Venus $\dots)$ that would be observable on exoplanets				
12.10	Constrain the inner edge of the habitable zone in the solar sys-				
	tem by studying the surface geomorphology and geochemistry				
	of Venus to assess whether it ever possessed oceans				
12.11	Study methods to discriminate past and present false posi-				
	tive biosignatures on solar system bodies (e.g., abiotic O2 on				
	Venus) from true biosignatures to inform false positives dis-				
	crimination methods for exoplanets				
	Devise metrics and frameworks to establish confidence in inter-				
	pretation of biosignatures in the solar system and exoplanetary				
	systems				
Strategic Research	on Exoplanets to Benefit Venusian Science				
Question(s)	Strategic Research				
12.1	Characterize protoplanetary disks around young stars				
12.3, 12.4, 12.5, 12.6,	Obtain an inventory of properties of solid body exoplanets				
12.10	(i.e., mass, composition, bulk Obtain an inventory of properties				
	of solid body exoplanets (i.e., mass, composition, bulk atmo-				
	spheric chemistry and abundance of clouds and hazes, potential				
	biosignatures, rotation rates, relative distance from host star,				
	type of host star)				
12.4	Determine how impacts contribute volatiles to (or, in some				
	cases, remove volatiles from) planetary bodies				
12.5	Search for magnetospheric activity at exoplanets				
Supportive Activities to Promote Synergy Between Venusian and Exo-					
planetary Science					
Observations of [Venus] through transit spectroscopy and direct-imaging as analogs					
to exoptanet observations					
Observations of porticle and reasonability in [Venus] as a function of these states					
Observations of particle and gas opacity in [Venus] as a function of phase angle to					
help determine the dependence of reflectivity and scattering on particles and clouds					

Laboratory studies to understand the relationship between the bulk composition of a planet and its atmosphere, and to determine the optical properties of clouds and hazes

Increased interactions between the astronomy, planetary science, astrobiology communities



Fig. 1 The locations of terrestrial VZ planets ($R_p < 1.5R_{\oplus}$) from the NASA Exoplanet Archive and TOI list in reference to the VZ as a function of planetary insolation flux. Earth and Venus are shown for reference.

processes. The outer VZ boundary is the runaway greenhouse boundary, which is the inner boundary of the HZ. This boundary is the insolation flux where an Earth-like planet is predicted to enter a runaway greenhouse state.

Unlike the Kepler/K2 mission, which observed stars nearly 1000 pc away, TESS is observing stars which are at a distance of ~60 pc. The closer vicinity of TESS stars makes them inherently brighter than Kepler/K2 stars, and therefore allows for more signal to be obtained from them. The increased number of photons from TESS stars creates an excellent opportunity to conduct follow-up observations of the atmospheres of TESS planets from ground and space based instruments. Planets detected by TESS are initially added to the TESS Object of Interest (TOI) list. However a TOI is required to be detected by additional observations in order for it to become a confirmed planet. All confirmed planets are listed on the NASA Exoplanet Archive⁶. At the time of writing, the NASA Exoplanet Archive and TOI list contain 153 and 55 terrestrial planets ($R_p < 1.5R_{\oplus}$) that spend any portion of their orbit in the VZ, respectively (Figure 1). A radius cutoff of 1.6 R_{\oplus} is typically chosen as it is the empirical upper size limit of terrestrial exoplanets (Fulton et al., 2017).

Determining that a planet resides in the VZ provides only a first-order estimate about the potential environment on that planet. In order to more accurately deduce possible surface conditions on a VZ planet, observations of its atmosphere will be required. JWST (launched in December 2021) may

 $^{^{6}}$ https://exoplanetarchive.ipac.caltech.edu/

be humanity's first opportunity to peer into the atmospheres of terrestrial exoplanets via either transmission or direct imaging spectroscopy (e.g. Barstow et al., 2015; Batalha and Line, 2017; Beichman et al., 2014; Belu et al., 2011; Clampin, 2011; Crouzet et al., 2017; Deming et al., 2009; Greene et al., 2016; Howe et al., 2017; Mollière et al., 2017; Lustig-Yaeger et al., 2019b; Fauchez et al., 2019; Koll et al., 2019; Wunderlich et al., 2019).

1.2 Transmission and Thermal Emission Spectra Detection with JWST

Informed predictions of the surface conditions and climates on potential exo-Venuses will require observations of their atmospheres via transmission and direct imaging spectroscopy. Direct Imaging spectroscopy is conducted by observing the light reflected and/or emitted by the planet as it orbits its host star. Transmission spectroscopy involves observing starlight that passes through the atmosphere of a transiting exoplanet. Both techniques can be used to gather information about the composition and structure of an exoplanet atmosphere. The atmospheres of terrestrial exoplanets have been inaccessible to this point, but JWST may provide the light-gathering power necessary to retrieve information from terrestrial exoplanet atmospheres (e.g. Lustig-Yaeger et al., 2019b; Batalha et al., 2018; Morley et al., 2017; Lincowski et al., 2019; Fauchez et al., 2019; Turbet et al., 2016; Meadows et al., 2018).

The performance of JWST when observing exoplanets can be predicted using the Transmission Spectroscopy Metric (TSM; Kempton et al., 2018). The TSM provides a first-order approximation of the signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) of transmission spectra resolved from 10 hours of transit observations using the JWST NIRISS instrument (Louie et al., 2018) that can be used to prioritize targets that offer the best opportunity for JWST follow-up observations. Kempton et al. (2018) identified the top terrestrial targets as having TSM values greater than 12. Applying this threshold to known VZ planets shows there are 36 planets which qualify as top candidates for JWST observations (Figure 2), including TRAPPIST-1b, c, and d (red stars in Figure 2). Given that the TRAPPIST-1 system also has 3 planets in the HZ, observations of both the TRAPPIST-1 VZ and HZ planets could help us to discern whether the differences in climate between Earth and Venus is a common phenomena.

Here we simulate JWST observations of Kepler-1649b (Angelo et al., 2017) as an exo-Venus by modelling hypothetical JWST NIRSpec PRISM transmission spectra using the Planetary Spectrum Generator (PSG; Villanueva et al., 2018). NIRSpec PRISM has a wavelength range of 0.7–5.0 μ m encompassing major H₂O and CO₂ features, and has been shown to be the optimal instrument for performing transmission spectroscopy in the NIR (Lustig-Yaeger et al., 2019b). PSG is a publicly available online interface that couples radiative transfer models, planetary databases, and spectral databases. Exo-Venus transmission or emission spectra can be produced with PSG by superimposing an atmosphere onto a terrestrial exoplanet in the VZ. Kepler-1649b is used as the hypothetical exo-Venus, as its size is similar to that of Venus, with a



Fig. 2 Planetary radii versus associated TSM values for terrestrial planets ($R_p < 1.5 R_{\oplus}$) from the NASA Exoplanet Archive and TOI list. Planets with TSM values greater than 12 (red dotted line) are predicted to allow for a S/N of at least 12 from 10 hours of observations with JWST. The green stars denote the three TRAPPIST-1 planets in the VZ.

radius of 1.077 R_{\oplus} (1.017 R_{\oplus}), and has a incident insolation flux that is 2.21 times greater than that of Earth (Venus is 1.9), albeit orbiting a much redder M-dwarf star (Angelo et al., 2017). We used an atmosphere for the Kepler-1649b exo-Venus that uses data from a ROCKE-3D simulation of the planet documented in Kane et al. (2018). Specifically, we use data from simulation 10 in the previously mentioned work, which assumes an Earth-like input atmosphere (1 bar N₂ dominated with 376 ppmv CO₂), a lower insolation flux than Kepler 1649b of 1.4 and a mean surface temperature of 60°C making it representative of a hypothetical temperate ancient-Venus. Note that using the actual insolation flux results in mean surface temperatures well over 100°C as shown in simulations 1–3 in Kane et al. (2018) which is beyond the capabilities of the GCM used in this study (ROCKE-3D). Figure 3 illustrates the structure and chemical composition of the atmosphere from simulation 10.

Using the Kepler-1649b atmosphere from the ROCKE-3D simulation as an input for PSG, we modelled the transmission spectrum of Kepler-1649b from 0.6–5.3 μ m, coinciding with the wavelength range of JWST NIRSpec PRISM. Since PSG is a 1-D radiative transfer model, the globally averaged pressure, temperature, and composition of the simulated Kepler-1649b atmosphere was used. Figure 4 displays the transmission spectra of the Kepler-1649b exo-Venus with and without water and water-ice aerosols, which is hereafter referred to as cloudy and cloudless, respectively. PSG determined that the atmosphere is opaque at elevations with higher aerosol densities, which had a significant



Fig. 3 Left: The globally averaged pressure-temperature profile of a Kepler-1649b Exo-Venus hypothetical atmosphere using data from a ROCKE-3D simulation of the planet. Right: Globally averaged Mean Mixing Ratio (mmr) composition versus Pressure. Note that the insolation for this exoplanet has been artificially reduced by a factor of 1.4, otherwise it would have most certainly entered a runaway greenhouse condition.

affect on the absorption features in the transmission spectra. Prominent H_2O and CO_2 absorption features are visible in the cloudless spectrum, but are nearly completely truncated by the clouds in the modelled spectrum. The effect of clouds in the temperate Venus atmosphere will likely make it difficult for JWST to detect any absorption features, as shown in previous work (Fauchez et al., 2019).

The H₂SO₄ clouds in the atmosphere of present-day Venus have an equally significant effect on its transmission spectra (Ehrenreich et al., 2012). This was also demonstrated in Meadows et al. (2018) who simulated H₂SO₄ clouds and hazes in hypothetical modern Venus analogs. Hazes can form when the CH_4 to CO_2 ratio is greater than 0.1 and are an important contributor to the radiation budget and the detectability of Earth-like planets (Arney et al., 2016, 2017). Furthermore, Meadows et al. (2018) examined cloud and haze formation effects on the detectability of atmospheres on Proxima Centauri b using a "1-D coupled climate-photochemical models to generate self-consistent atmospheres for several evolutionary scenarios, including high-O₂, high-CO₂, and more Earth-like atmospheres, with both oxic and anoxic compositions." They also included the hydrocarbon hazes in instances when the CH_4/CO_2 ratio was greater than 0.1. Because their atmospheres were not cold enough they did not see any CO_2 clouds, but they have been shown to play an important role in the radiation budget in ancient Mars simulations (Colaprete and Toon, 2003; Forget et al., 2013). However, it has long been postulated that the H₂SO₄ clouds on Venus are impermanent and require a regular supply of SO_2 from volcanism. As discussed in Section 2.1.3 the equilibrium level of SO_2 in the atmosphere is set by the volcanic outgassing rate versus the



Fig. 4 Transmission spectra modelled with PSG for a temperate Kepler-1649b exo-Venus, assuming both a cloudy and cloudless atmosphere.

chemical reactions with surface materials (Zolotov, 2018). The rate of present day volcanism on Venus is poorly constrained, although there are a number of studies from Venus Express demonstrating hot-spot volcanism (Shalygin et al., 2015; Smrekar et al., 2010). Other studies imply geologically recent volcanism due to the radar-dark floors of craters, presumably from volcanic fill-in (e.g. Herrick and Rumpf, 2011) while others have demonstrated on-going plume activity (Gülcher et al., 2020). Recently, Byrne and Krishnamoorthy (2022) have used the recent Earth volcanic record as a proxy to derive estimates for Venus. If volcanism ceased today estimates of the lifetime of the clouds in different studies have ranged from $\sim 2-50$ Myr (Fegley and Prinn, 1989; Bullock and Grinspoon, 1996, 2001) depending upon surface chemical reaction rates as mentioned above. Hence for some exo-Venus worlds H_2SO_4 clouds may not be an inhibitor to detection of major atmospheric species for a modern Venus-like atmosphere during periods of low volcanic sulfur outgassing.

It is important to note that the true nature and variety of environments on Venus-like worlds may be expansive, but will need to be investigated through atmospheric observations of exo-Venus candidates. Additionally, the atmospheric composition of an exo-Venus orbiting an M-dwarf star may differ from that of Venus. Placing Earth around Proxima-Centauri could enhance the abiotic production of CH_4 in its atmosphere (Meadows et al., 2018) which is often cited as an atmospheric biosignature (Thompson et al., 2022), and the atmospheric composition of Venus may be affected in a similar scenario. Furthermore, from an evolutionary point of view, the large energy deposition from stellar-winds produced by an M-dwarf could, over time, strip molecules from an exo-Venus atmosphere, which would affect the atmospheric composition as well (e.g. Airapetian et al., 2020), but was not accounted for when modelling the Kepler-1649b atmosphere.

The successful detection of transiting exo-Venus atmospheres with JWST remains uncertain, but models such as PandExo (Batalha et al., 2017) can provide insight into how JWST may perform. PandExo is an open-source code that allows users to simulate observations of exoplanets with JWST, and uses the Space Telescope Science Institute's Exposure Time Calculator, Pandeia (Pickering et al., 2016), to predict the S/N of observations. The performance of PandExo's simulated noise has been tested against noise simulations designed by the JWST instrument teams, and is within 10% agreement of their results (Batalha et al., 2017). Figure 5 shows a simulated transmission spectrum of the Kepler-1649b exo-Venus generated by PandExo, assuming 30 transit observations with JWST NIRSpec PRISM. The atmosphere used for the Pandexo simulated observations is the same as that used for Figure 4. Given 30 transit observation of Kepler-1649b, the simulated JWST data is unable to resolve any of the major absorption features in the NIR. Furthermore, the large uncertainty in the data would make it difficult to differentiate the spectra from that of a flat-line, which may result in mistaking an exo-Venus as a planet with no atmosphere (Lustig-Yaeger et al., 2019a). Increasing the number of transit observations would decrease the uncertainty in the data, however acquiring the JWST time needed to conduct these observations will be a challenge. The features being less than 5 ppm make them smaller than the predicted 20 ppm noise floor of the NIRSpec instrument (Rustamkulov et al., 2022), making them potentially undetectable by JWST given any amount of observations and only accessible with future observatories.

Assuming that absorption features are detected in the atmosphere of an exoplanet, retrieval algorithms will then be used to estimate its atmospheric composition. Retrieval algorithms have been shown to experience difficulty differentiating Earth-like from Venus-like planets, since Venus' transmission spectra lacks unique absorption features that can be used to distinguish it from Earth (Barstow et al., 2016). The information gained from a retrieval model can then be applied to a GCM, which model the possible surface conditions of the planet based on the atmosphere estimated by the retrieval. The use of GCMs may play a critical role in constraining the potential climates of exoplanets (Turbet et al., 2016; Wolf et al., 2019) for the foreseeable future in coordination with JWST.

Emission spectroscopy will be attempted by JWST primarily using the Mid-Infrared Instrument (MIRI), which has a wavelength range between 5 – 29 μ m. The emission spectra retrieved by MIRI will be useful for identifying the presence, or lack of an atmosphere on a planet (Batalha et al., 2018; Meadows et al., 2018; Turbet et al., 2016). Figure 6 illustrates several hypothetical emission spectra that could be observed on the VZ planet, L98-59d. Included are the following atmospheres: cloudless 92 bar Venus analog (red); 1 bar cloudless Venus with $0.1 \times$ the CO₂ of present-day Venus (yellow) ; 10 bar, O₂ dominated desiccated atmosphere with a surface temperature of 374 K; 10 bar, O₂ desiccated atmosphere with a surface temperature of 200 K;



Fig. 5 PandExo simulated transmission spectrum of an exo-Venus Kepler-1649b from 30 transit observations using JWST NIRSpec PRISM. The upper figure displays the PSG modelled transmission spectrum with no noise, while the bottom figure compares data from JWST simulated observations of Kepler-1649b to that of the original spectrum. Note that the y-axes of the two plots are on different scales, illustrating the size of the uncertainties in comparison to the noise-less spectrum.

an atmosphere-less, black-body emission spectrum assuming bond albedo = 0.1 and emissivity = 0.9; an atmosphere-less, black-body emission spectrum assuming a bond albedo = 0.3 and emissivity = 0.7. All atmospheres assume no clouds to illustrate the dependence of emission spectra on atmospheric composition. It can be seen that the presence of CO₂ in the 2 Venus-like atmospheres causes the structure of their emission spectra to differ greatly from the other 4 spectra, particularly with the large CO₂ emission peaks at 10 and ~12 μ m. The O₂ dominated desiccated atmospheres are included since many VZ planets orbit hyperactive M-dwarf stars, which could photodissociate any atmospheric H₂O in these planets over time (Wordsworth and Pierrehumbert, 2013; Luger and Barnes, 2015). In this scenario rapid hydrogen escape would ensue and an O₂ dominated, but H₂O desiccated, atmosphere would remain.

Coupling the PSG emission spectra with PandExo gives insight into the ability of JWST to detect an atmosphere on a hypothetical L98-59d, and whether JWST would be able to tell them apart (Figure 7). Figure 7 displays simulated JWST data assuming both 5 and 15 secondary eclipse observations of an exo-Venus L98-59d with no atmosphere, and with a cloudless 92 bar Venus-like atmosphere. For 5 eclipse observations, the uncertainty in the simulated data for both cases make it difficult to determine whether there is an atmosphere. With 15 eclipse observations, the simulated data is a much better fit to the modelled spectra up to 11 μ m. Retrieval models will also be used for JWST emission spectra to determine the likelihood of a planet having an



Fig. 6 A variety of emission spectra that could be potentially observed on exoplanets using the MIRI instrument aboard JWST. The planet-star flux ratio values are obtained by placing these atmospheres on the Venus-zone planet, L98-59d.

atmosphere, but as earlier studies cited above have shown it is unlikely any individual atmospheric features will be discerned.

In summary, there are an abundance of VZ planets which are promising candidates for follow-up JWST observations, and the TESS mission will be discovering additional candidates throughout its lifetime. Of these candidates, the TRAPPIST-1 planets in the VZ are especially intriguing, as observations of their atmospheres, and the atmospheres of the TRAPPIST-1 HZ planets, will provide an opportunity to compare the differences between Earth and Venus to planets receiving similar insolation flux. JWST will be our first opportunity to obtain information about the atmospheres of terrestrial planets, including exo-Venuses. Simulated JWST data revealed that 15 transit observations with JWST NIRSpec PRISM would be insufficient for resolving the atmosphere of Kepler-1649b with both a temperate exo-Venus, and present-day Venus atmosphere. Venusian clouds and hazes severely truncate the absorption features in the present-day Venus spectrum, and will make it difficult to efficiently determine the atmospheric composition of an exo-Venus, or detect its atmosphere at all. The temperate exo-Venus atmosphere would be difficult to detect as well, despite the lack of Venus-like clouds. Even if significant JWST time is allotted for observations of exo-Venuses, it still may be the case that atmospheric information vital for understanding the climates of exo-Venuses may remain inaccessible during the JWST era. The inability to infer the surface conditions of exo-Venuses will inhibit exoplanets from being a resource to study Venus' evolution, and whether Venus could have sustained temperate surface conditions in its past.



Fig. 7 Simulated JWST MIRI LRS data from 5 (top) and 30 (bottom) secondary eclipse observations of L98-59d assuming it has either no atmosphere, or a cloudless 92 bar Venuslike atmosphere. The dotted lines are the PSG modelled emission spectra, while the solid lines are PandExo simulated MIRI observations.

1.3 Future Space and Ground based exo-Venus observational capabilities

There are at least three next generation ground-based (>20m in diameter) optical near-IR observatories currently under construction (circa 2022) or likely to be built in the near future. The European led Extremely Large Telescope (ELT) has a capable first generation set of instruments (Ramsay et al., 2020) and is the only next generation telescope both fully funded and under construction. The Magellan Giant Telescope (GMT) (Fanson et al., 2020) and the Thirty Meter Telescope (TMT) (Sanders, 2013) are yet to be fully funded. The former two are currently under construction in Chile while the TMT is proposed for the northern hemisphere, although the exact location remains uncertain (Clery, 2019). Once complete, these new observatories will offer the opportunity for a marked increase in collecting area and resolution. With increasing advances in adaptive optics, they will afford new opportunities to characterize the atmospheres of nearby exo-Venuses, as they are discovered by space observatories devoted to detecting such systems via the transit method (e.g. Kepler⁷, TESS⁸, CHEOPS⁹, PLATO¹⁰) complimented by ground based radial velocity instruments like that of the FLAMES facility at the VLT (e.g. Pasquini et al., 2002). In space, JWST has just launched. It may be able to detect atmospheres around a few nearby terrestrial planets in systems such as

 $^{^7~{\}rm https://www.nasa.gov/mission_pages/kepler/main/index.html}$

 $^{^{8}\} https://www.nasa.gov/tess-transiting-exoplanet-survey-satellite$

⁹ https://sci.esa.int/web/cheops

 $^{^{10}\ \}rm https://platomission.com/2018/05/07/habitability-of-planets-around-solar-like-stars/$

Trappist-1, although such observations will be challenging, as discussed above. The ARIEL mission (Tinetti et al., 2021)¹¹, led by ESA, is also scheduled to be launched by the end of the decade with the ambition to measure the chemical fingerprints of ~ 1000 exoplanetary atmospheres (Tinetti et al., 2018). Follow-up with ground-based high resolution instruments could also be highly informative (Guilluy et al., 2021). Their near infrared low-dispersion spectrograph will cover the range from 1.24–1.92 μ m, which should be sufficient to detect close-in magma ocean worlds (see Extremely Large Telescope section below) with the explicit intention of observing "very irradiated magma ocean planets" (Tinetti et al., 2021) alongside Earth-like planets in the traditional habitable zone. The characterization of magma ocean world atmospheres is critical to understanding the early evolution of Venus (Hamano et al., 2013; Lebrun et al., 2013; Hamano et al., 2015; Salvador et al., 2017; Turbet et al., 2021).

A mostly-US funded successor to The Hubble Space Telescope was recently recommended as a top priority in the US National Academy of Sciences (NAS) Decadal Survey (National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, 2021, Section 7.4)¹². It is referred to as the "IR/O/UV Large Strategic Mission" (which we refer to as IROV, see Section 7.5.2 in the NAS report). It is "optimized for observing habitable exoplanets and general astrophysics". according to the report. The UV component is why IROV is more properly termed a successor to The Hubble Space Telescope rather than JWST - the latter being IR optimized. IROV is scheduled to launch in the early 2040s. IROV is expected to be some combination of The Large UV Optical Infrared Surveyor (LUVOIR) (The LUVOIR Team, 2019) with 8m diameter and HabEx (Martin et al., 2019) with a \sim 4m diameter mirror, while including a coronagraph for direct imaging and spectroscopy of extrasolar planets. IROV would have a "light collecting area several times larger, 2-3 times sharper image quality, and instruments and detectors significantly more sensitive, providing 1-2 order-of-magnitude leaps in sensitivity and performance over HST." The report recommends a $\sim 6m$ sized mirror as a balance between a Habex 4m, which would struggle to provide a "robust exoplanet census", and a LUVOIR 8m, which would likely launch much later than IROV, in the late 2040s or early 2050s. As shown in the work of Checlair et al. (2020), the diameter of the mirror appears to be the critical factor in determining whether we will make the revolutionary discoveries intended. IROV will be capable of observing over 100 nearby Sun-like stars and would quantify the elements of any associated planetary systems, giving ample opportunity for the discovery of Venus-like worlds at various stages in their evolutionary history. For Proxima Centauri b Meadows et al. (2018) demonstrates the capabilities of a HabEx 6.5m space telescope with coronagraph that could be similar to the capabilities of IROV. The inner working angle (IWA) is wavelength dependent and for the

 $^{^{11}}$ https://sci.esa.int/web/ariel/-/59798-summary

 $^{^{12}\} https://www.nationalacademies.org/our-work/decadal-survey-on-astronomy-and-astrophysics-2020-astro2020$

HabEx 6.5m they calculate the optimal IWA= $1\lambda/D=1.17\mu$ m. Examining the estimated reflection spectra in Figures 21–26 in Meadows et al. (2018) it is apparent that this instrument may be able to distinguish between 10 bar O2 rich atmospheres, a 90 bar cloud covered Venus, Archean and modern Earth. Both Meadows et al. (2018) and Turbet et al. (2016) provide simulations for Proxima Centauri b as both temperate and Venus-like. Barnes et al. (2016) also demonstrated that it is possible for Proxima Centauri b to have a Venus-like evolutionary path, so our closest neighbor may be denuded, an exo-Earth or even an exo-Venus. Finally, there is currently a mission proposal to ESA called LIFE (Konrad et al., 2021)¹³, which would entail a space based nulling interferometer. This is more-or-less a scaled down and more affordable version of one of the Terrestrial Planet Finder concept missions from nearly two decades ago (e.g. Coulter, 2003).

As mentioned above, only one next generation large (>30m) optical ground based telescope is fully funded today, so we focus the rest of this section on what the ELT will deliver for exoplanetary investigations with applications to exo-Venuses.

There are presently seven different first generation instruments intended for use with the ELT^{14} . Below we focus on three of the first generation instruments relevant to exo-Venus observations (see Table 2).

HARMONI (High Angular Resolution Monolithic Optical and Near-infrared Integral field spectrograph) (Rodrigues et al., 2018; Houllé et al., 2021) and METIS (Mid-infrared ELT Imager and Spectrograph) (Brandl et al., 2018) are funded via the telescope construction budget while HIRES (HIgh REsolution Spectrograph) (Marconi et al., 2018, 2021) is funded by a consortium. We note that HIRES has been renamed ANDES (ArmazoNes high Dispersion Echelle Spectrograph)¹⁵, but the instrument architecture remains the same (we will use both names herein).

METIS will operate at $3-19\mu$ m and will focus on high contrast imaging/spectroscopy, along with high spectral resolution integral field unit (IFU) observations. METIS is designed with a coronagraph which will reduce the brightness of an axially-symmetric source (star) by ~ 10^{-5} – 10^{-7} . Low resolution spectra will be obtained with the remaining reflected light for attempted characterization of planets more than 3 Astronomical Units in distance. METIS' IFU mode will have a $1.0'' \times 0.5''$ field of view and will allow for 3km s^{-1} spectral resolution over 2.9– 5.3μ m with an angular resolution down to 0.02''. METIS will also be capable of direct imaging in thermal emission which will be useful for detecting targets around Sun-like stars where the contrast is less than that of M-dwarfs (mid-IR is 10^{-7} while 10^{-10} in the visible) although the yield estimates are at most a few such objects (Quanz et al., 2015; Bowens et al., 2021).

¹³ https://www.life-space-mission.com

¹⁴ https://elt.eso.org/instrument

¹⁵ https://elt.eso.org/instrument/ANDES/

The near infrared arm of the HIRES instrument is a more capable version of the present day European Southern Observatory (ESO) Very Large Telescope (VLT) CRIRES+ (The CRyogenic InfraRed Echelle Spectrograph Upgrade Project) instrument¹⁶ for transmission spectroscopy. Baseline wavelength coverage is expected to be 0.55—1.80 μ m with a goal of 0.33—2.44 μ m at a spectral resolution 100000–150000, the bigger mirror allowing higher resolution studies than with CRIRES+. With the Integral Field Unit (IFU) HIRES will observe reflection spectra of nearby exo-Venus candidates discovered via transits, and radial velocity (RV) surveys. Given the geometrical constraints of transiting candidates many more nearby candidates will be available via RV surveys. Figure 2 of Lovis et al. (2022) depicts the possible reflected light candidates for two different IWAs for ELT at 0.75 and 1.5 μ m. Although the TRAPPIST-1 planets (Gillon et al., 2016) are beyond the reach of HIRES reflection spectroscopy because they are within the IWA, they will be accessible via transmission spectroscopy.

Given their capabilities for transmission, thermal and reflection spectra HIRES and METIS should allow us to disentangle the atmospheric chemical composition of exo-Venuses and exo-Earths within the habitable and possibly Venus zones (e.g. as shown for the Proxima Centauri b system by Turbet et al. 2016; Meadows et al. 2018) for nearby exoplanetary systems. They may be capable of catching a young exo-Venus in its magma ocean/steam atmosphere phase (e.g. Martins et al., 2013; Kawahara et al., 2014), possibly helping to constrain modelling studies (e.g. Matsui and Abe, 1986; Elkins-Tanton, 2008; Hamano et al., 2013; Lebrun et al., 2013; Salvador et al., 2017; Turbet et al., 2021).

HARMONI will leverage a combination of adaptive optics, a high-contrast imaging module, a medium resolution IFU (R up to 17 000) and a coronagraph to study exoplanets. The approach was first described by Sparks and Ford (2002) and in 2015 Snellen et al. (2015) demonstrated the potential for this combination for the ELT. Hoeijmakers et al. (2018) used a medium resolution IFS on the VLT SINFONI instrument (Eisenhauer et al., 2003) similar in many respects to HARMONI (but without a coronagraph) to characterize β Pic b. Hence the HARMONI instrument coupled to the ELT has tremendous potential for exo-Venus characterization. It is worth mentioning that a second generation high-contrast imager called PCS has been proposed for the ELT (Kasper et al., 2021). PCS would combine extreme adaptive optics with high spectral resolution exploiting the full potential of this technique on the ELT.

It may be possible to image accreting exoplanets in IR wavelengths (Mamajek and Meyer, 2007; Miller-Ricci et al., 2009; Bonati et al., 2019). Miller-Ricci et al. (2009) predicted several near infrared windows that would allow detection of a magma ocean. However, if water vapor is a major component of the atmosphere (which is not a given, see work by e.g. Bower et al. 2022) Goldblatt et al. (2013, see Supplementary Information) has shown that the atmosphere may be opaque at most optical and IR wavelengths making characterization

 $^{^{16}\} https://www.eso.org/sci/facilities/develop/instruments/crires_up.html$

Instrument	Main Specifications			Exo-Venus Science
	Field of view	Spectral	Wavelength	
	slit length	resolution	coverage	
	pixel scale		(μm)	
	Imager+coronagraph			
	$\sim 10 \times 10$ "	L,M,N +		
	@ 5 mas/pix in L,M	narrowbands	3-19	Thermal Emission
	@ 7 mas/pix in N			
METIS	Single slit	R∼ 1400 in L, 1900 in M, 400 in N.	3-13	
	IFU 0.6x0.9"			
	@ 8 mas/pix	L, M Bands		Transmission &
	w/coronagraph	$R \sim 100\ 000$	2.9-5.3	Reflection Spectra
	IFU 4 spaxel scales	R~3200		
HARMONI	0.8x0.6" @ 4mas/pix	$R \sim 7100$	0.47 - 2.45	Reflection Spectra
	6x9" @ 30x60mas/pix	R~17 000		
	(w/coronagraph)			
ANDES/	Single Object	R~100 000	0.4-1.8	Transmission &
HIRES	IFU (SCAO)	R~100 000	"	Reflection Spectra

Table 2 First generation ELT instruments relevant to exo-Venus characterization.

problematic. As mentioned above, the ELT HIRES & METIS instruments may have the capabilities to characterize not only the magma ocean and steam atmospheres (e.g. Lupu et al., 2014; Hamano et al., 2015; Bonati et al., 2019), but may also tell us if modelling studies of a temperate Venus (Way et al., 2016; Way and Del Genio, 2020) are correct to place it in the habitable zone in its early history. The study by Bonati et al. (2019) points to a K-band window around 2.2μ m being optimal at ELT with the smallest inner working angle of 24 milliarcseconds, but calculations by Turbet et al. (2021) could imply that the shorter wavelengths offered by HIRES may prove sufficient.

A number of studies have shown that it may be possible to detect the rotation rate, and other surface features such as ocean glint from single pixel images or low resolution spectroscopy of exoplanets (e.g. Pallé et al., 2008; Robinson et al., 2014; Fujii et al., 2014; Lustig-Yaeger et al., 2018; Jiang et al., 2018; Gómez-Leal et al., 2016; Mettler et al., 2020; Ryan and Robinson, 2021; Li et al., 2021). Rotation rate in particular has direct application to Venusian studies. Venus' present day retrograde rotation rate and how it might have come about has been studied for decades (see Hoolst, 2015, for a review). A variety of explanations have been put forward for its present-day obliquity and slow rotation rate, from impactors (e.g. McCord, 1968), solid-body tidal dissipation (e.g. MacDonald, 1964; Goldreich and Peale, 1966; Way and Del Genio, 2020), core-mantle friction (Goldreich and Peale, 1970; Correia and Laskar, 2001; Correia et al., 2003; Correia and Laskar, 2003), oceanic tidal dissipation (Green et al., 2019), to atmospheric tides (Ingersoll and Dobrovolskis, 1978; Dobrovolskis and Ingersoll, 1980; Dobrovolskis, 1980, 1983). Investigators have used Earth observation satellites, such as DSCOVR¹⁷(Jiang et al., 2018), and

 $^{^{17}\,}$ https://solarsystem.nasa.gov/missions/DSCOVR

space missions such as EPOXI¹⁸ (Robinson et al., 2014) for exoplanetary purposes. For example, DSCOVR has a charged coupled device array 2048×2048 pixels with sizes of 15 μ m. Wavelength coverage is from 200 to 950 nanometers. Jiang et al. (2018) shrank the DSCOVR high-resolution 2-D images down to a single pixel and successfully extracted estimates of the land/ocean ratio and rotation rate. This implies that with a sufficient cadence, the same single pixel 'images' we obtain for exoplanets may allow us to constrain their rotation rate (Li et al., 2021) and possibly land/sea ratio. Robinson et al. (2010) also demonstrated that it may be possible to use JWST to detect ocean glint in single pixel images of extrasolar planets, but would require an external occulter which is not available. With similar techniques, we can hope to get better statistical constraints on exo-Venus rotation rates. We could also gain new insight on the causes behind Venus' present-day rotation rate and what it might have been in the distant past. The importance of discerning the rotation rate of planets in the VZ cannot be understated as it can be tied back to the slowly rotating cloud-albedo feedback seen in GCM models that may allow temperate climates under high insolations as discussed in Section 1. As well, observing glint in an planet in the VZ would also be an important discovery as it would show that VZ planets do exist in the liquid water habitable zone (Kasting et al., 1993; Kopparapu et al., 2013, e.g.). On the other hand if no glint nor cloud-albedo feedback is seen in slow rotators in the VZ then this would make a good case for Venus never having been in the habitable zone.

1.4 The importance of primordial & basal magma oceans

Magma oceans are likely ubiquitous during the early history of terrestrial planets. During the accretion of Venus-sized planets, the gravitational energy released from gathering their mass is sufficient to melt their entire mantles (e.g. Elkins-Tanton, 2012, and references therein). Giant impacts can provide additional energy. Early mantle melting is also favored by radiogenic heating of short-lived isotopes (Merk et al., 2002), the loss of potential energy during core formation (Sasaki and Nakazawa, 1986; Samuel et al., 2010) and by tidal heating if one or several moons orbit the planet (Zahnle et al., 2007). Additional energy sources are available for planets that orbit close to their parent stars (e.g., in the Venus Zone around M dwarfs), including star-planet tidal heating (e.g. Driscoll and Barnes, 2015) and, speculatively, magnetic induction (e.g. Kislyakova et al., 2017). Observations of young exoplanets can help test several hypotheses about the early atmosphere and magma ocean of Venus-like planets.

Salvador et al. (2022); Gillmann et al. (2022, this issue) contain a detailed discussion on Venus' primordial and basal magma oceans. Briefly stated, historical models assumed that Earth and Venus had primordial magma oceans that were overlain by an outgassed, dense atmosphere mostly consisting of H_2O

 $^{^{18}\ \}rm https://www.nasa.gov/mission_pages/epoxi$

and CO_2 (Arrhenius et al., 1974; Jakosky and Ahrens, 1979). As reviewed in Massol et al. (2016), the idea of a steam & CO_2 magma ocean atmosphere continued to be the dominant hypothesis, although recent work has begun to question the simplicity of this formulation (Lichtenberg et al., 2021; Bower et al., 2022; Gaillard et al., 2022). Several 1-D models provide predictions about the longevity of the magma ocean in relation to the distance of Venus from its host-star (Matsui and Abe, 1986; Elkins-Tanton, 2008; Hamano et al., 2013; Lebrun et al., 2013; Salvador et al., 2017), but cannot conclusively constrain the timescale of the blanketing atmosphere. Either Venus' magma ocean was short-lived like that of Earth (~ 1 Myr), allowing water to condense on the surface, or so long (~ 100Myr) that the steam atmosphere is photodissociated, with hydrogen loss via atmospheric escape and oxygen absorption by the magma ocean (see Westall et al., 2022; Salvador et al., 2022, this issue). Recent 3-D atmospheric modelling by Turbet et al. (2021) has shown that the steam atmosphere and subsequent magma ocean lifetime could be long, leading again to a desiccated atmosphere during the magma ocean phase. Their model examined N₂, H₂O and CO₂ constituents from 1–30 bar in partial pressure. While these results should be confirmed by another 3-D GCM, their importance cannot be overstated, as it may determine whether Venus kept most of its primordial water or not, and whether water ever condensed on the surface of Venus. See Salvador et al. (2022, this issue) for a more detailed discussion.

To inform studies of Venus, scientists should seek to determine how atmospheric properties vary with the intensity of incident starlight, especially for very young exoplanets. If models that feature an early steam atmosphere for Venus are correct, then we should expect to find steam atmospheres around Venus-like exoplanets that are <100 Myr old (see Salvador et al., 2022, this issue). Under some critical threshold of stellar insolation, steam atmospheres may quickly condense into surface oceans. For example, Turbet et al. (2021) suggested that this threshold was 92% of Earth's present-day insolation, meaning that Earth narrowly escaped a Venusian fate. However, this critical value can vary depending on the details of the atmospheric model and uncertain parameters (Hamano et al., 2013; Lebrun et al., 2013; Goldblatt et al., 2013; Kopparapu et al., 2013). The predicted mass and composition of the magma ocean atmosphere results from the partitioning of volatile elements between the melt and the gas phase which is primarily controlled by their solubility within the melt and depends on the redox state of the magma ocean and thus the bulk composition of the exoplanet (e.g. Katyal et al., 2020; Barth et al., 2020). Observations of stellar composition can provide meaningful, but not exact, constraints on the compositions of terrestrial exoplanets (e.g. Hinkel and Unterborn, 2018; Adibekyan et al., 2021). While magma ocean outgassing is generally thought to be efficient because of the vigorous convection and associated velocities, other mechanisms, such as interstitial trapping of volatile-rich melt (Hier-Majumder and Hirschmann, 2017), could drastically alter this view and result in alternative outgassing scenarios (e.g., Ikoma et al., 2018). Furthermore, the convective dynamics and associated patterns might significantly increase the degassing timescales (Salvador and Samuel, 2022). Then, magma ocean degassing efficiency would decrease with the planet size and increase with the initial water content. Because of its thermal blanketing effect, the outgassing rate of the atmosphere might strongly affect the cooling of the magma ocean and lead to divergent planetary evolution paths and resulting surface conditions. Many other parameters affecting mantle evolution and mixing such as the rotation rate or the crystallization sequence could significantly affect the volatile distribution and resulting outgassing with time. Yet, they have been poorly studied in the frame of volatile degassing. Thus a complete understanding of the interplay between magma ocean cooling rate, outgassing and their influence on post-MO mantle convection regime and surface conditions is still lacking. Ultimately, a large sample size of exoplanets is needed to derive statistical conclusions.

Detailed characterization of terrestrial exoplanets will remain difficult for at least the next decade. Schaefer and Parmentier (2021) provide a summary of some technical pitfalls. However, some hot, bright planets that orbit very close to their parent stars can be studied with modern technology. For example, observations of the infrared phase curve of the terrestrial exoplanet LHS 3844b, collected with the Spitzer Space Telescope, revealed that it does not have a substantial atmosphere (e.g. Kreidberg et al., 2019), which is consistent with a volatile-poor bulk composition (e.g. Kane et al., 2020) or with low outgassing rates. Future observatories could potentially use the direct imaging technique to detect superficial magma oceans for planets that also have thin or nonexistent atmospheres (Bonati et al., 2019). Alternatively, planets with huge amounts of outgassing from a magma ocean might have an atmosphere that is thick enough to affect mass-radius measurements (Bower et al., 2019). In the same way, the partition of water between the atmosphere and the magma ocean of water-rich exoplanets can affects their calculated radii by up to 16% in some cases (Dorn and Lichtenberg, 2021), which would be enough to be tested for close-in bodies, and help understand the evolution of water budget in terrestrial planets. Furthermore, planets sustaining relatively long (~ 100 Myr) magma ocean states under a runaway greenhouse due to their proximity to the host star (Hamano et al., 2013, type-II planets) might also be distinguishable by a radius inflation effect (Turbet et al., 2019, 2020). thus providing additional constraints. In the history of exoplanetary studies, planets with extreme properties (e.g., hot Jupiters) were often the easiest and thus the earliest to be studied. Significant technical advances are needed to explore true exoplanetary analogues to Earth and Venus (see Section 1.3).

2 How can Venus inform exoplanetary studies

Our nearest planetary neighbor provides one of the end members of terrestrial habitability in our solar system. With its thick present-day atmosphere and inhospitable surface conditions, Venus is considered to be too close to our sun to be within the habitable zone, but was Venus ever within the habitable zone? The latter concept would be surprising to any modern-day climate scientist. How can a world that was receiving, 4.2 billion years ago, 1.4 times the incident solar radiation that Earth receives today be inside the habitable zone? As discussed above and in (e.g. Westall et al., 2022, this issue), an efficient cloud albedo feedback from a slowly rotating Venus may have kept ancient Venus temperate according to GCM modeling (Yang et al., 2014; Way et al., 2016) assuming sufficient surface liquid water and a short lived magma ocean phase (Hamano et al., 2013). If these GCM results are correct, we can expect to find habitable worlds well within the VZ around G-dwarf stars. For planets in the VZ of M-dwarfs, GCM results demonstrate severe limitations in the greater than modern-day Earth solar insolations (1361 W m⁻²) allowed by the redder spectral energy distribution of such host stars (Kane et al., 2018). This is because Earth-like atmospheres are highly efficient at absorbing and trapping the infrared radiation of M-dwarfs, preventing the high insolations and temperate climates seen in GCM exoplanet modelling studies of VZ planets around Gdwarfs (Yang et al., 2014; Way et al., 2018). As well, the (likely tidally-locked) planets around low mass stars tend to "rotate" much faster (i.e. shorter orbital periods) than around more massive stars. This results in a reduced cloud albedo feedback at the substellar point (e.g. Kopparapu et al., 2017). Venus can also become a point of reference when it comes to the behaviour of its interior. For example, it is still debated if Venus' mantle convection is indeed in a stagnant lid regime at present-day, as has long been theorized (Solomatov, 2004). However, Venus provides many more clues about the state of its mantle than any exoplanet, and can help discriminate between the multiple scenarios highlighted by numerical studies (Ballmer and Noack, 2021). Finally, most mechanisms at work on Venus (or Earth), are likely to also affect exoplanets, in one form or another. Venus' ability to inform exoplanetary studies goes beyond providing us with an example of the atmospheric signature of a planet in a runaway greenhouse state with an inhospitable climate: Venus can also help us understand planetary evolution more generally. For these reasons it is important to understand how our present-day and near-future understanding of Venus can inform the study of exo-Venuses. In the rest of this chapter, we will provide an overview of our understanding of Venus through time.

2.1 Volatile cycling and weathering on Venus through time

In addition to a thick, CO_2 -dominated atmosphere, resulting in an extremely hot climate, Venus also lacks modern Earth-style plate tectonics (e.g. Breuer and Moore, 2007) and a strong, intrinsic magnetic field. The exact style of tectonics Venus currently exhibits is not well known, due, in large part, to the difficulty in mapping the Venusian surface in sufficient detail. Venus does not appear to fall neatly within either the plate-tectonic or stagnant-lid endmember regimes of tectonics. Although there is no evidence for a global network of plate boundaries and mobile plates, there are regions of the Venusian surface with features strikingly similar to subduction zones on Earth (e.g. Davaille et al., 2017; Gerya, 2014b; Sandwell and Schubert, 1992). Moreover, there is evidence for the motion of discrete crustal blocks on Venus, though it is difficult to constrain when this motion may have occurred during Venusian history (Byrne et al., 2021). Finally, Venus' lithosphere is estimated to be thinner than what would be expected if the planet were in a stagnant-lid state (Borrelli et al., 2021).

These significant differences in the magnetospheric, tectonic, and climatic state of Venus compared to Earth also possibly led to significant differences in atmospheric retention, surface weathering, and volatile cycling. Understanding these differences is crucial for interpreting future atmospheric observations from exoplanets, in particular those in the "Venus zone" (Kane et al., 2014) that are thus likely to also be in a runaway greenhouse state. In this section, we will explore how Venus' current state leads to different weathering, volatile cycling, and atmospheric retention processes and behavior than operate on Earth.

Like all rocky planets, Venus' climate is likely coupled to the interior (e.g. Gillmann and Tackley, 2014) and the magnetosphere (e.g. Foley and Driscoll, 2016). The hot, thick CO_2 greenhouse climate may be both a cause and a consequence of Venus' lack of plate tectonics. Likewise, the presence or absence of a magnetic field may be controlled by the style of tectonics the planet exhibits. Meanwhile, atmospheric evolution is influenced by the magnetosphere, which alters rates of atmospheric escape (See Section 2.3). Such atmospheric evolution then affects the climate, feeding back to interior processes (see Chapter 3b for more).

Coupling between surface and interior opens up further questions about the evolution of Venus and how it informs exoplanet studies. Do planets that experience a runaway greenhouse necessarily also lose plate tectonics and the operation of a core dynamo? Are runaway greenhouse climates, and their subsequent impact on a planet's interior always externally driven (e.g. due to changes in stellar luminosity), or can they be internally driven as well (e.g. due to changes in tectonics or rates of volatile outgassing via volcanism)? Are the current surface conditions inherited from the cooling of an early magma ocean stage or the results of the long-term evolution? Studying Venus' history can help shed light on these questions. We therefore structure this section as follows: first, we outline the weathering, and volatile cycling that operate on Venus today; next, we discuss how these processes might have evolved throughout Venusian history, and what constraints we have on this evolution; finally, we discuss how these processes are coupled to the interior evolution, and how this coupling could dictate rocky planet evolution in general.

2.1.1 Volatile cycling and weathering on present-day Venus

Volatile cycling on Earth is driven by volcanic outgassing from the interior and weathering processes, which reincorporate outgassed volatiles into rocks at the surface. The latter is typically facilitated by water-rock reactions, and ingassing of volatiles via the return of these volatilized surface rocks to the interior, typically through subduction. On Venus, the extremely hot climate, lack of liquid water at the surface, and lack of global-scale plate tectonics means volatile cycling, to the extent it can occur, must behave very differently than on Earth.

Some of the key volatiles for the evolution of Venus' atmosphere and surface environment are C, H, N, and S. Considering C & H first, there is a clear dichotomy in these species at the surface and in the atmosphere between Earth & Venus today: Venus' surface is dry and the atmosphere is dominated by ~90 bars of CO₂, while on Earth liquid water is abundant and CO₂ is only a trace gas in the atmosphere. This dichotomy leads to significant differences in weathering, but may also have been caused by differences in weathering.

2.1.2 Weathering

On Earth, the carbonate-silicate cycle operates to regulate the amount of CO_2 in the atmosphere, and maintain a temperate climate throughout most of Earth's history (e.g. Walker et al., 1981; Berner, 1993; Kasting, 1993). Silicate weathering is the primary mechanism for removing CO_2 from the atmosphere in this cycle, and the dependence of the rate of silicate weathering on climate state creates a negative feedback. Weathering on the modern Earth is driven by reactions between exposed rock on Earth's surface, as well as rock on the seafloor near mid-ocean ridges (e.g. Brady and Gíslason, 1997; Coogan and Gillis, 2013; Coogan and Dosso, 2015; Krissansen-Totton et al., 2018), and CO₂ dissolved in rainwater and the oceans. Liquid water is therefore critical, and weathering will be severely limited on a planet lacking liquid water, like Venus. There is some chemical reaction between Venus' CO_2 -rich atmosphere and surface rocks (See Chapter 3b for a detailed discussion), as evidenced by carbonate-rich coatings, which may form as an intermediate step in weathering of Venus' surface (Dyar et al., 2021). Nevertheless, the slow gas-solid reactions and the limited erosion in the absence of water prevents the efficient consumption of atmospheric CO_2 by the formation of carbonates (Zolotov, 2019). In addition, carbonates are thermodynamically unstable at Venus' surface, where they react with sulfur species, in particular SO_2 , from the atmosphere to form sulfates (Gilmore et al., 2017). Indeed, the elevated bulk sulfur content of 0.65 ± 0.40 wt% and 1.9 ± 0.6 wt% recorded at the Venera 13 and Vega 2 landing sites, respectively (Surkov et al., 1984, 1986) indicates net trapping of sulfurbearing phases from the atmosphere into surface rocks (Zolotov, 2019). All told, the lack of liquid water on Venus today means that weathering cannot act as an efficient removal process for atmospheric CO_2 .

Such inefficient silicate weathering could in fact partly explain why Venus' present-day atmosphere is CO_2 dominated. Without weathering to remove it, CO_2 continuously accumulates in the atmosphere, as volcanic degassing from the interior proceeds. Earth contains a similar amount of CO_2 locked in carbonate rocks as exists in the Venusian atmosphere today (e.g. Ronov and Yaroshevsky, 1969; Holland, 1978; Lécuyer et al., 2000), thanks to active weathering processes on the Earth.

Another key factor is that weathering on Earth is also tied to tectonics. For weathering to be continuously active, erosion is needed to transport weathered rock away, and expose fresh rock. In the extreme case where there is no erosion whatsoever, weathering would cease entirely once a layer of weathered rock formed at the surface, as ground water would be unable to reach fresh, weatherable rock. A less extreme, and more common scenario, is when the rate of silicate weathering becomes limited by the supply of fresh rock brought to the near surface environment by erosion. In this case, all climate feedback involved in silicate weathering is lost; the weathering rate depends only on the erosion rate, as all fresh rock is weathered nearly instantly when brought into the weathering zone near the surface. Weathering reaching this state of being globally "supply limited" is another potential mechanism for forming a CO_2 dominated, hothouse climate, even if liquid water is still present on a planet's surface (e.g. Foley, 2015; Kump, 2018).

Silicate weathering is also linked to the land area of the planet: Wind and rainfall on emerged continents promote erosion and, in turn, the rate at which new surface is exposed. A large land area is however not vital for a stable climate: On a planet largely covered by oceans, seafloor-weathering dominates and can regulate the atmospheric CO_2 to some extent (e.g. Foley, 2015; Höning et al., 2019; Krissansen-Totton et al., 2018).

As erosion rates are ultimately bounded by rates of tectonic uplift, it has been previously argued that plate tectonics might be essential for silicate weathering (e.g. Kasting and Catling, 2003). As a result, another possible explanation for Venus' present-day atmospheric state could be that a lack of plate tectonics limits silicate weathering, allowing volcanically outgassed CO₂ to build up in the atmosphere. However, even without plate tectonics there are processes, such as volcanism, that act to supply weatherable rock to the surface. So whether a lack of plate tectonics leads to a hothouse climate depends on whether these other processes can supply enough fresh, weatherable rock to keep pace with CO_2 outgassing. Foley and Smye (2018) argue that even in a stagnant-lid regime, volcanism provides a sufficient supply of weatherable rock to sustain temperate climates. This study considered outgassing of CO_2 from the mantle and from decarbonation of crustal carbonate as it is buried by fresh lava flows, and found that a much higher concentration of CO_2 in erupted magma than on the modern Earth would be needed for a hothouse climate to form. However, the amount of CO_2 outgassed also depends on the types of materials through which magmas penetrate on their way to eruption (e.g. Henehan et al., 2016). If magmas erupt through C-rich crustal rocks, more CO_2 can be released than one would expect based on mantle CO_2 concentration alone. For example, in the case of the Siberian Traps, volatile release likely outweighed weathering as a result of magma interaction with crustal rocks (e.g. Svensen et al., 2009). However, such high CO_2 degassing rates may be anomalous and, geologically speaking, short-lived, as they require magmas to first hit regions where crustal rocks are C-rich, and then can only be maintained until these pockets of C-rich crustal rocks have been exhausted. Maintaining a permanent hothouse climate with liquid water present would require CO_2 degassing rates to continuously exceed silicate weathering rates through the planet's lifetime.

It therefore remains unclear exactly how the present atmosphere of Venus came about if there was an earlier temperate period (Head et al., 2021). A loss of water due to a runaway greenhouse climate would almost certainly lead to the buildup of a thick CO_2 atmosphere, as long as volcanism was still active. A lack of plate tectonics, with liquid water still present, could impede weathering to the point where a hothouse climate forms, but this would require either a CO_2 -rich mantle or for magmas to interact with C-rich rocks as they erupt; without either of these two conditions weathering can still maintain a temperate climate even in a stagnant-lid regime of tectonics.

Whether the tectonic regime or the presence of liquid water is the more significant limitation on weathering processes has important implications for exoplanets. If weathering is not strongly affected by tectonic regime, then one does not need to know a planet's tectonic regime in order to assess whether a carbonate-silicate cycle, capable of sustaining habitable surface conditions, can operate. Estimating an exoplanet's tectonic state from remote observations will be a significant challenge, so testing whether habitability is possible without plate tectonics is critical for exoplanet studies. Future Venusian exploration can help test the importance of tectonics for weathering and habitability. If Venus is shown to have had active silicate weathering in the past, while also lacking plate tectonics, then we would have direct evidence that plate tectonics is not necessary for the carbonate-silicate cycle. On the other hand, if Venus' history indicates the loss of water through a runaway greenhouse was the primary causal factor for Venus' CO_2 -rich atmosphere, then we'd expect exoplanets that have experienced runaway greenhouses to have similar atmospheric states. Such expectations can be tested with future observations, as outlined in Section 1. Going further, exploring when and why the carbonate-silicate cycle ultimately failed to regulate the climate on Venus, as must have happened at some point during Venus' history, would offer clues to the conditions for habitability of terrestrial planets (see also Westall et al., 2022, this issue).

2.1.3 Volcanism & Outgassing

Weathering is not the only aspect of the carbonate-silicate cycle that is essential for regulating atmospheric CO_2 levels. Volcanic outgassing is also necessary, at sufficiently high rates, to maintain enough CO_2 to prevent global glaciation (e.g. Walker et al., 1981; Kadoya and Tajika, 2014; Foley and Smye, 2018; Stewart et al., 2019). Venus today is of course near the other extreme limit, with a CO_2 dominated atmosphere, rather than a CO_2 poor one. However, the importance of volcanic outgassing to rocky planets in general highlights the question of whether Venus is actively outgassing today.

The variations of SO_2 in the atmosphere of Venus have been recorded by Venera 12 (Gelman et al., 1979), Pioneer Venus (Oyama et al., 1980; Esposito, 1984) and Venus Express (Marcq et al., 2013). Combined with models

these can give estimates of the column sulfur abundance (e.g. Schulze-Makuch et al., 2004; Krasnopolsky, 2016). The variations of SO_2 and the maintenance of the H_2SO_4 cloud layer on Venus have been suggested to indicate volcanic activity. Since SO_2 reacts with calcite (CaCO₃) on the surface of Venus to form anhydrite $(CaSO_4)$, it will be consumed unless replenished by volcanism. Following Gilmore et al. (2017) this can be written as $CaCO_3(calcite)+1.5$ $SO_2(gas) \rightarrow CaSO_4(anhydrite) + CO_2(gas) + 0.25 S_2(gas)$. Fegley and Prinn (1989) calculated a sulphur removal rate of 2.8×10^{13} g yr⁻¹. In order to maintain the global H_2SO_4 cloud layer, this removal rate needs to be balanced by a volcanic outgassing rate of 5.6×10^{13} g yr⁻¹ or 1.1 Pa kyr⁻¹ SO₂. Depending on the S/Si ratio of erupted material, Fegley and Prinn (1989) estimated the equivalent global volcanic eruption rate to $0.4 - 11 \text{ km}^3/\text{yr}$. This rate is lower than the total average output rates on Earth of about $26 - 34 \text{ km}^3/\text{yr}$, of which about 75% are contributed by ocean-ridge magmatism (Crisp, 1984), while recent work by Byrne and Krishnamoorthy (2022) implies that Venusian volcanic rates should be similar to those on modern Earth. It should be noted, however, that atmospheric dynamics and chemistry may be responsible for the variability of sulfur species in the atmosphere of Venus (Hashimoto and Abe, 2005; Marcq et al., 2013). The measurements mentioned above will be improved upon with mass spectrometer observations from the upcoming DAVINCI mission (Garvin et al., 2020)¹⁹ which will help to better constrain column abundances of sulphur and a number of other species. As well, the DAVINCI in-situ infrared (IR) imaging camera should help connect surface observables to the orbiting IR and radar instruments on VERITAS and Envision (Widemann et al., 2022) to confirm or refute previous indications of on-going volcanism (e.g. Smrekar et al., 2010; Shalygin et al., 2015; Gilmore et al., 2017) as a possible sulfur source, and provide valuable insight to exoplanet studies.

Remote observations of H_2O and HDO have been made from Venus orbit (e.g. Cottini et al., 2012), from Earth ground based instruments (e.g. Encrenaz et al., 1995; Sandor and Clancy, 2005), and from in-situ instruments on the Pioneer Venus large probe and Venera 15 (Donahue et al., 1982; Koukouli et al., 2005). A compilation of H_2O measurements by De Bergh et al. (2006) gives atmospheric column values from 20–45ppmv with one measurement at 200ppmv. It is generally assumed that H_2O sources are volcanic like those of its sulphur counterparts (e.g. Fegley, 2003, 2014; Truong and Lunine, 2021).

Tying the abundances of N_2 in the upper atmosphere to lower atmosphere abundances remains challenging (e.g. Peplowski et al., 2020). N_2 as the second most abundant gas in the Venusian atmosphere is often overlooked, but it corresponds to nearly four times the atmospheric abundance on Earth when scaled by planetary mass. Here again the DAVINCI mission will give more accurate column abundances of N_2 and in combination with photochemical modelling (e.g. Krasnopolsky, 2012) may help us to better understand the

 $^{^{19}\,}$ https://www.nasa.gov/feature/goddard/2021/nasa-to-explore-divergent-fate-of-earth-s-mysterious-twin-with-goddard-s-davinci

upper atmosphere abundances and how those tie to possible surface sources and the N_2 cycle in general. N_2 is certainly a challenging gas to detect in exoplanetary atmospheres, but Schwieterman et al. (2015) has shown that it may be possible.

Future atmospheric characterization of exoplanets can also help test models of volcanic outgassing, by potentially identifying ongoing volcanic activity on such planets. SO_2 has been proposed as a proxy for explosive volcanism (Kaltenegger et al., 2010), as well as sulfate aerosols (Misra et al., 2015). Sulfate aerosols are formed during volcanic eruptions and have a lifetime of months to years in the atmosphere; as such they may be detectable in transit transmission spectra (Misra et al., 2015). Venusian measurements are critical to helping us constrain the longevity and rate of volcanism on rocky exoplanets - a key question for interpreting future atmospheric observations performed by upcoming missions such as JWST, ELT and ARIEL (See Section 1.3). Additional modelling studies have investigated volcanism and outgassing of terrestrial exoplanets (Kite et al., 2009; Tosi et al., 2017; Noack et al., 2017; Dorn et al., 2018; Foley and Smye, 2018; Foley, 2019). These studies provide predictions for how long volcanism can last on planets in different tectonic regimes, with different sizes, heat budgets, and material properties. On Exo-Venus planets with an atmosphere similar to that of Venus, the signal of SO_2 and other volcanic gases needs to be detected above an optically thick lower atmosphere. However, volcanic gas plumes are less buoyant in a hot and dense atmosphere and may thus not reach high enough altitudes compared to altitudes reached in otherwise thinner and colder atmospheres (Henning et al., 2018).

In addition, analogs of present-day Venus may present a featureless spectra both in transit transmission and in direct imaging (See Section 1.2 and Figure 4), making their characterization difficult (Arney and Kane, 2018; Fauchez et al., 2019). Nevertheless, these challenges further emphasize the necessity of additional Venus exploration. By studying Venus' present-day atmosphere, interaction with any present-day volcanism, and the evolution of the atmosphere over time, we could test these proposed proxies for exoplanetary volcanism, and perhaps develop more effective ones.

As mentioned above, studying Venus' evolution may help constrain further predictions from models of exoplanet outgassing and climate evolution. For example, in a study employing parameterized thermal evolution modelling and mantle outgassing, Tosi et al. (2017) investigated the habitability of a stagnant lid Earth (an Earth-like planet without plate tectonics) and found that depending on the mantle redox conditions, several hundreds bar of CO_2 may be outgassed. Moreover, models of mantle melting and volatile partitioning suggest that the chemical composition of the atmosphere and the dominant outgassed species are strongly controlled by the redox state of the mantle (Ortenzi et al., 2020). For sulfur species both fO_2 and water content are critical (Gaillard and Scaillet, 2009, 2014). For a given water content, the outgassed sulfur increases for increasing fO_2 . For oxidising conditions, SO_2 is the dominant sulfur species irrespective of the water content. For reduced conditions, however, SO_2 and S_2 are the dominant sulfur species for hydrated melts (Gaillard and Scaillet, 2009). At the same time surface pressure also affects the final composition of the gases released into the atmosphere. For example, high surface pressures may limit outgassing of water, because the solubility of the latter in surface lava significantly increases for atmospheric pressures larger than 10 bar (Gaillard and Scaillet, 2014). Under present-day Venus surface pressures, the most dominant outgassing species is CO_2 , while only a small portion of SO_2 and water is expected to be outgassed, due to their high solubility in surface lava (Gaillard and Scaillet, 2014). If constraints on Venus' interior oxidation state can be placed by measuring atmospheric H_2/H_2O and temperature (e.g. Sossi et al., 2020), then results from these models can potentially be tested by both the present-day atmospheric makeup, and whatever constraints on the long-term evolution of the atmospheric composition are developed from future missions. This ability to benchmark outgassing models against Venus will improve our predictions for the atmospheres of exoplanets. Future missions will be used to constrain the present-day atmospheric composition and perhaps surface water abundances. These are particularly interesting as they may be directly related to mantle water abundance which would help constrain the range of water content-dependent parameters associated with mantle melting (e.g., Hirschmann, 2006; Ni et al., 2016) and convective dynamics such as viscosity and density (e.g., Lange, 1994).

Venus may also be able to help us to predict the evolution and habitability of terrestrial exoplanets more generally. Since most exoplanets detected thus far are larger than Earth and Venus, a scaling of the main physics with planet size and mass is crucial. For Venus-like planets with a similar relative core mass fraction, the planet mass can be directly derived from its size (Valencia et al., 2006). When exploring the habitability of massive planets, it is important to attempt to quantify the volcanic outgassing rate which controls the atmospheric partial pressure of CO_2 regardless of their tectonic state. On the one hand, the mantle temperature generally increases with the size of a planet, which increases the strength of convection and the melting depth. This favours an increasing outgassing rate with planet size. On the other hand, the pressure gradient is higher in more massive planets, which reduces the strength of convection and the melting depth, favoring smaller outgassing rates of massive planets. The melting depth is particularly important for stagnant-lid planets, since on a planet with plate tectonics, mantle material can rise to the surface at mid-ocean ridges. An additional important factor to be considered for massive planets is the buoyancy of partial melt, which needs to be positively buoyant in order to rise to the surface. Since the density of melt increases more strongly with pressure than solid rock, only melt that forms below a certain pressure contributes to volcanic outgassing (Ohtani et al., 1995; Agee, 1998). The above noted competing mechanisms typically lead to a higher degassing rate for planets between 2 and 4 Earth masses and a reduced outgassing rate for more massive planets (Noack et al., 2017; Dorn et al., 2018; Kruijver et al., 2021). Compared to smaller planets, high outgassing rates of large planets can last longer, since their larger ratio between volume and surface area implies a less efficient cooling. While for massive stagnant-lid planets, the above noted effects can even lead to a cessation of volcanism, (Noack et al., 2017; Dorn et al., 2018). This is not the case for planets with plate tectonics where the melting region is extended closer to the surface beneath mid-ocean ridges (Kite et al., 2009; Kruijver et al., 2021).

A recent study by Quick et al. (2020) finds that even massive exoplanets such as 55 Cancri e, an $8 M_E$ rocky exoplanet, might be volcanically active based on the estimated heat sources (radiogenic and tidal) available in their interior. Rocky exoplanets closely orbiting their parent star may experience volcanic activity focused only on one hemisphere, due to the strong surface temperature variations caused by their tidally locked orbit (Meier et al., 2021). Altogether, understanding physical processes that control volcanic outgassing of Venus throughout its evolution, and studying the sensitivity of these processes to planetary parameters such as size, bulk composition, and tectonic state, will greatly advance our estimates of the atmospheric composition of exoplanets.

2.1.4 Volatile ingassing

As explained in Section 2.1.2 silicate weathering can regulate the amount of CO_2 in the atmosphere if liquid water is present on the surface. The carbon that is removed from the atmosphere eventually becomes stored in carbonate sediments, which are subsequently buried on the seafloor. The fate of these sediments on longer timescales is controlled by the tectonic regime of the planet. Plate tectonics allow for a relatively shallow temperature-depth gradient in subduction zones, which allows large parts of the carbonates to remain stable during subduction. On modern Earth, approximately half of the carbon that enters subduction zones is released at arc volcanoes, although this fraction strongly depends on the temperature-depth profile of the individual subduction zone (Sleep and Zahnle, 2001; Dasgupta and Hirschmann, 2010; Ague and Nicolescu, 2014). The remaining carbon is subducted into the mantle, which closes the deep carbon cycle. On exoplanets with plate tectonics the fraction of subducted carbon that enters the mantle may differ significantly. On planets with higher plate speed, steeper angle of subduction and/or smaller mantle temperature, carbonates would not heat up as strongly during subduction and a larger fraction could remain stable. For example, cooling of the Earth's mantle during the past 3 Gyr could have enhanced the carbon fraction that enters the mantle by approximately 10% (Höning et al., 2019). On timescales of millions to billions of years, this variation can play a key role in the distribution of carbon between the mantle and the atmosphere.

Without plate tectonics, transporting carbon into the mantle is challenging. The slow sinking of carbonated crust, as it becomes buried by new lava flows, results in a thermal equilibrium with the surrounding rock. The bulk of the carbonates becomes unstable at a relatively narrow temperature interval (Foley and Smye, 2018), which is usually exceeded within the stagnant lid. If the released CO_2 is transported with uprising lava or through cracks to the surface, recycling of carbon into the mantle is rare. As a result, the combined crust-atmosphere carbon reservoir on stagnant-lid planets would steadily increase with ongoing volcanic outgassing. Since the release rate of CO_2 from the crust into the atmosphere depends on the crustal carbon reservoir, an important consequence is that atmospheric CO_2 retains a memory of its initial value. The initial atmospheric CO_2 reservoir may be erased quickly, but if this then gets stored in the crust and is not recycled into the mantle, CO_2 release (and therefore atmospheric CO_2) in the subsequent evolution would still depend on the initial CO_2 . However, on planets with plate tectonics, the initial carbon distribution becomes unimportant after some million years (Foley, 2015), because of the recycling. Another important consequence is that weathering cessation could result in a dramatic rise of atmospheric CO_2 , since all carbon that has been degassed during the entire history of the planet would accumulate in the atmosphere. In case of early Venus the atmospheric CO_2 concentration would have increased by approximately one order of magnitude within 100 Myr (Höning et al., 2021). Altogether, volatile ingassing strongly affects the long-term atmospheric evolution of a planet. Predicting volatile ingassing does not only require knowledge about the tectonic and thermal state of the planet but furthermore a precise understanding of the fate of released CO_2 in the crustal matrix.

As explained in Section 2.1, there maybe active subduction in localized regions of Venus today, possibly driven by lithospheric burial under plumeinduced volcanism and subsequent rollback of the buried lithosphere (Gerya, 2014b; Davaille et al., 2017). Although the Venusian crust is not highly volatilized today, due to the lack of liquid water and hence nearly non-existent weathering, this style of subduction could potentially drive volatile ingassing if it were active with liquid water present. Rates of ingassing possible with this style of limited subduction have not been well studied, but are likely much lower than ingassing rates that would be seen with Earth-like plate tectonics. Venus exploration can thus potentially help constrain rates of volatile ingassing for planets that lie in between the end-member plate-tectonic and stagnant-lid regimes, and help inform the range of volatile cycling behavior that might be seen on exoplanets.

Bean et al. (2017) discussed a comparative planetology approach to test the habitable zone concept: If silicate weathering is generally temperaturedependent on exoplanets with liquid surface water, the atmospheric CO_2 concentration on the planet should decrease with increasing incident insolation, for example as a function of stellar type, age, distance between the star and the planet. When incident insolation exceeds a critical value, surface water would evaporate and weathering would cease. Therefore, we would expect to observe an abrupt increase of atmospheric CO_2 on planets at the inner edge of the habitable zone (Turbet et al., 2019; Graham and Pierrehumbert, 2020). For stagnant-lid planets, this abrupt CO_2 increase might even be more pronounced, because volcanic degassing would be accompanied by a release of CO_2 from buried carbonates. From thermal evolution models coupled to a carbon cycle model for stagnant lid planets, Höning et al. (2021) predicted an increase of the CO_2 concentration on planets at the inner edge of the habitable zone of at least one order of magnitude.

2.1.5 Weathering and the sulfur cycle on Venus today

The chemical interaction between the surface and atmosphere on Venus is particularly important as it can affect the sulfur cycle (see Gillmann et al., 2022, this issue). The latter plays a dominant role in the complex photochemistry and dynamics of Venus' atmosphere affecting sulphuric acid cloud formation (e.g. Fegley and Prinn, 1989), the presence of an optically thick aerosol layer (Knollenberg and Hunten, 1980) and variations of SO₂ atmospheric content (Esposito, 1984; Marcq et al., 2013). While sulfur and other atmospheric species could be supplied to the atmosphere via volcanic activity, whose present-day level has large uncertainties (Mueller et al., 2017, and references therein), weathering processes act as a sink to remove these through complex multiphase chemistry. This is yet another area where exoplanet observations can play an important role in discerning not only the state of the atmosphere in a VZ planet, but may also provide some constraints on volcanic activity for a modern Venus-like world with measureable SO₂ abundances.

2.2 Venus' magnetic field

Venus lacks a global (i.e., strong) magnetic field today. As discussed in O'Rourke et al. (2022, this issue), any intrinsic magnetism in Venus must be relatively weak—specifically producing magnetic fields $\leq 5-10$ times weaker at the surface than Earth's dynamo-generated field (Phillips and Russell, 1987). However, we currently have no meaningful information about the magnetic history of Venus prior to the Mariner 2 flyby in 1962. Understanding why Venus has no global magnetic field now and whether one existed in the past is important for several reasons (e.g. Lapôtre et al., 2020; Laneuville et al., 2020). First, planetary magnetism is intrinsically interesting as a complex phenomenon (e.g. Stevenson, 2003, 2010). Second, the absence (or presence) of a global magnetic field places constraints on models of planetary formation and thermal evolution. Finally, magnetic fields may play key roles in mediating atmospheric escape processes over time (See Section 2.3 below). Studies of Venus provide clues about how magnetic fields will shape the evolution of exoplanets. At the same time, studies of exoplanets may elucidate if the magnetic aspect of the Earth/Venus dichotomy is a natural corollary to the differences in atmospheric conditions-that is, are the prospects for a long-lived, global magnetic field correlated with surface habitability?

Studying planetary magnetism is thus a "two-way street" between Venus and exoplanets (Lapôtre et al., 2020). Over the next few decades, we should advance our scientific understanding by both exploring Venus and searching for extrasolar magnetospheres. Various direct and indirect methods for detecting magnetic fields at exoplanets have been proposed. Space-based radio telescopes could search for direct radio emission (e.g. Driscoll and Olson, 2011). Other ideas include searching for various types of auroral emission from exoplanets or evidence of the interaction of stars and the stellar wind with magnetized exoplanets (e.g. Lazio et al., 2016; Vedantham et al., 2020; Pope et al., 2020). Brown dwarfs are the current frontier for direct detections of magnetic fields (e.g. Kao et al., 2018). Indirect evidence has been presented for the magnetic fields of hot Jupiters from stellar interactions (e.g. Cauley et al., 2019).

There are a number of geodynamic scenarios for Venus which may have implications for exoplanetary studies. Venus lacks a global magnetic field today because it does not have a strong dynamo operating in its deep interior. Although Venus rotates slowly compared to Earth, a dynamo would still exist if a large amount of electrically conductive liquid were churning vigorously. Such reservoirs (e.g., a metallic core that is at least partially liquid) might exist, but they are currently stagnant. Broadly speaking, two types of scenarios have been proposed to explain why no dynamo operates within Venus. These scenarios make different predictions about whether any crustal remnant magnetism might await detection on Venus. Moreover, these scenarios imply different predictions for what kinds of exoplanets will host global intrinsic magnetic fields.

The first type of story for Venus' magnetic history argues that the tectonic state of Venus prevents any dynamo from operating in the deep interior. As discussed in the previous section (and shown in Fig. 12), the interior of Venus is thought to cool more slowly than Earth's if its mantle operates in the episodicand/or stagnant-lid regime. Venus could have a metallic core that has the same bulk composition and is chemically homogeneous, like Earth's core. However, iron alloys are thermally as well as electrically conductive (e.g. Williams, 2018), so thermal conduction can transport all the heat from a slow-cooling core without any fluid motion. Earth's cooling rate is arguably only somewhat higher than the critical value required to sustain convection (e.g. Nimmo, 2015; Davies et al., 2015; Labrosse, 2015). Slow cooling is thus fatal to the chances for a dynamo in Venus at present-day (e.g. Nimmo, 2002; Driscoll and Bercovici, 2014; O'Rourke et al., 2018). This general conclusion also holds if Venus initially had a basal magma ocean (O'Rourke, 2020). Critically, a dynamo seems more likely to have operated in the past. In this case, crustal remnant magnetism may provide a detectable record of an early dynamo (e.g. O'Rourke et al., 2019).

The second type of story proposes that the stochastic nature of the accretion of Venus doomed the chances for a dynamo from the start. Specifically, Jacobson et al. (2017) proposed that Venus did not suffer a late energetic impact (but see Jacobson et al. 2022 this issue for the latest research on this topic). The absence of such an impact would mean that the core of Venus could have an onion-like structure where the outermost layers were added last. As proto-Venus grew, its interior grew hotter and had higher pressures. Core-forming material would thus equilibrate with silicates under progressively more extreme conditions, causing more light elements such as silicon and oxygen to partition into the iron alloy (e.g. Siebert et al., 2013; Fischer et al., 2015). This process would establish a stable density gradient in the core that prevents convection-material containing a few weight percent of extra light elements would need to cool by thousands of degrees (impossibly) to become negatively buoyant. This stable stratification would exist even if the core of Venus had the same bulk composition (and thus relative size) as Earth's. In this case, the subsequent thermal evolution of Venus is irrelevant to the prospects for a dynamo. No dynamo would exist even if the core cooled at Earth-like rates. Discovering any crustal remnant magnetism would thus probably disprove this scenario.

We can extrapolate predictions for exoplanets from these two types of stories about Venus. If tectonic state is the dominant factor, then Venus-like geodynamics should produce Venus–like magnetic histories. That is, a planet with a Venus–like atmosphere (and thus surface) would be less likely to have a long-lived global magnetic field (see Section 2.4) while modern Venus-like climates might be bad for plate tectonics (see Section 2.1). Planetary magnetism could thus serve as a probe of a planet's tectonic state, which is otherwise difficult to determine by observation. If planet-star distance controls atmospheric properties, then magnetospheres should be rare in the Venus Zone (VZ), but common in the habitable zone (HZ). In contrast, planet-star distance probably does not control the timing of giant impacts during planetary accretion (e.g. Rubie et al., 2015; Jacobson et al., 2017). If stochastic events are the dominant factor, then Venus-sized planets in both the VZ and HZ may or may not have magnetospheres. Hence the probability of a global magnetic field would not strongly depend on planet-star distance. Ultimately, exoplanets provide the large sample size necessary to tell us if Venus reflects general principles of planetary evolution, or if Venus trod an evolutionary pathway that is cosmically rare.

Planetary mass can also affect the prospects for a global magnetic field. The term "super-Earth" is often used for exoplanets with an Earth-like density but masses up to ~ 5 Earth-masses and ~ 1.5 Earth-radii (e.g. Rogers, 2015; Weiss and Marcy, 2014). However, this terminology may be misleading given the absence of definite facts about the surface of any super-Earth. Any massive planet, especially one in the VZ, could be a "super-Venus" with a Venus-like atmosphere and hellish surface conditions (e.g. Kane et al., 2013). All else being equal, larger planets are possibly more likely to host dynamos. Larger cores can have higher energy contents (e.g. Driscoll and Olson, 2011) and, depending on their bulk composition, are still expected to grow solid inner cores that provide a strong power source for a dynamo (e.g. Boujibar et al., 2020; Bonati et al., 2021; van Summeren et al., 2013). Simple scaling laws predict that the actual cooling rate of the core would increase with planetary mass faster than the critical value required to drive convection (Blaske and O'Rourke, 2021). Super-Venus (and super-Earth) planets are also likely to have basal magma oceans (Soubiran and Militzer, 2018) made of liquid silicates that are electrically conductive enough to sustain a dynamo (e.g. Stixrude et al., 2020). Ultimately, a super-Venus could sustain a global magnetic field for much longer than Venus – meaning that tectonic state and dynamo occurrence might not correlate for massive exoplanets.

2.3 Atmospheric escape and importance of a magnetic field

Here, we discuss present-day observations of the terrestrial planets in our solar system with a focus on Venus, alongside simulations regarding the influence of a global magnetic field on atmospheric escape and habitability. These hold critical lessons for the longevity of exoplanetary atmospheres since the terrestrial worlds of our solar system hold the ground truth necessary to understand atmospheric evolution in general.

The lack of a global magnetic field at Venus today might lead one to believe that Venus' atmosphere is very vulnerable to the interaction with the solar wind, and thus to the loss of its atmosphere. The effect of the presence of a global magnetic field on atmospheric evolution via atmospheric escape has long been debated. The consensus was that a global magnetic field is important for protecting the atmosphere from being stripped by the solar wind (e.g. Lundin et al., 2007). However, recent spacecraft visiting the three terrestrial sibling planets, Venus, Earth, and Mars, have provided data to shed some new light on this question. Atmospheric escape rates for the three planets appear relatively similar (Strangeway et al., 2010). This new data is important in order to understand if a global magnetic field is necessary for terrestrial planets and exoplanets to retain their atmosphere despite loss caused by stellar radiation.

To understand the influence of solar wind on atmospheric evolution, we first have to compare the characteristics of the three planets. One of the major differences between them is that Venus and Mars do not have a global magnetic field, while Earth does. Secondly, the size of Venus and Earth is approximately the same, while the radius of Mars is about half of Venus' and Earth's. As a consequence, the mass of Mars is only a tenth of that of Venus or Earth. Third, while Earth's atmosphere is mainly composed of N₂ and O₂, Venus' and Mars' main atmospheric constituent is CO₂. Fourth, Mars has an atmospheric surface pressure of ≈ 6 mbar, Earth a comfortable 1 bar, and Venus a crushing 93 bar. Fifth, as Venus lies closer to the Sun, it resides in a harsher solar radiation and solar wind environment than Earth and Mars. Thus Venus receives about twice and five times more energy and solar wind particles from our host star than the other two planets. It may already be obvious that the solar wind cannot completely remove an atmosphere from a planet even when a global magnetic field is not present, as Venus has the thickest atmosphere of the three sibling planets.

However, we have no constraints on when Venus lost its magnetic field, nor the strength of any field it might have possessed (e.g. O'Rourke et al., 2018). Thus far, no crustal remnant field has been detected on Venus, as it has been on Mars (Acuna et al., 1999). The crustal remnant magnetic field on Mars tells us that Mars once had a magnetic field, and constraints on its strength can be approximated, even if it is vigorously debated (e.g. Langlais et al., 2019,
and references therein). Many studies have asserted that remnant magnetism could not survive within the hot crust of Venus. However, at present-day, the surface is ~ 100 K below the Curie temperatures of common magnetic carriers such as magnetite and hematite. Therefore, crustal remnant magnetism could possibly have survived for billions of years, down to depths of a few kilometers (e.g. O'Rourke et al., 2019). A magnetometer survey below the ionosphere on a future mission could conduct the first capable search for crustal magnetization (O'Rourke et al., 2018).

A planet with a global magnetic field will interact with the solar wind and form a magnetosphere, such as at Earth. A planet without a global magnetic field will instead form an induced magnetosphere from the interaction between the solar wind and the ionosphere (Luhmann et al., 2004), as at Venus and Mars. The difference is important for understanding how the solar wind can influence the escape rates from a planet, as different types of interactions cause different channels of escape to be important.

At Venus, the main escape channels are ion escape from ion pickup in the solar wind or ion acceleration in the magnetotail (for more details see the review of the main Venusian escape channels for O^+ and H^+ by Lammer et al. 2006 and in (Gillmann et al., 2022, ,this issue). The O^+ ion escape rates at Venus have been estimated at ~ $10^{24} - 10^{25} s^{-1}$ (Brace et al., 1987; McComas et al., 1986; Barabash et al., 2007; Fedorov et al., 2011; Persson et al., 2018, 2020; Masunaga et al., 2019). These escape rates were also found to be weakly dependent on the solar wind dynamic pressure and energy flux, but not so much with EUV flux (Edberg et al., 2011; Kollmann et al., 2016; Masunaga et al., 2019; Persson et al., 2020). In addition, extreme space weather, such as an Interplanetary Coronal Mass Ejection (ICME) events, may increase the escape rates by several orders of magnitude (e.g., Luhmann et al., 2007), for a time.

Mars' ion escape rates show a similar order of magnitude to Venus'. The O⁺ escape rates lie in the range of $10^{24} - 10^{25} \text{s}^{-1}$ (Bogdanov and Vaisberg, 1975; Lundin et al., 1990; Nilsson et al., 2012; Ramstad et al., 2015; Brain et al., 2015; Dong et al., 2017; Nilsson et al., 2021; Scherf and Lammer, 2021). In contrast with Venus, the O⁺ escape rates at Mars were found to be inversely correlated with the solar wind dynamic pressure (Dubinin et al., 2017; Ramstad et al., 2018), but have a positive correlation with the EUV flux (Ramstad et al., 2015). Due to the lower gravity at Mars, and thus escape velocity, the ions need less acceleration in order to escape, compared to both Venus and Earth. A large part of escape at Mars is therefore the low energy ion escape, which also has a stronger correlation with upstream solar wind and solar XUV flux compared to their higher energy counterparts (Dubinin et al., 2017; Ramstad et al., 2017). The escaping ions of less than 50 eV were shown to contribute between 35-90% to the total ion escape (Ramstad et al., 2017). However, during space weather events it was shown that the high energy ion escape at Mars can increase as it does for Venus (Edberg et al., 2010; Jakosky et al., 2015). Hence even though Venus and Mars have the same type of interaction with the solar wind, the escape rates are not dependent on the same parameters.



Fig. 8 Summary of measured heavy ion escape rates as a function of upstream solar wind dynamic pressure at Venus (blue and yellow, Masunaga et al., 2019), Earth (purple, Schillings et al., 2019) and Mars (black and red, Ramstad et al., 2018). Figure adapted from Ramstad and Barabash (2021)

Despite its strong global magnetic field, Earth displays escape rates of equal or even higher order of magnitude than both Venus and Mars. Several studies indicate average O^+ escape rates in the order of $10^{24} - 10^{26} s^{-1}$ (e.g., Yau et al., 1985; Peterson et al., 2001; Andersson et al., 2005; Nilsson et al., 2012; Slapak et al., 2017; Schillings et al., 2019). The O^+ escape rates at Earth are closely related to geomagnetic activity, and increase with higher activity (e.g., Yau et al., 1985; Slapak et al., 2017). In addition, Schillings et al. (2019) showed that Earth's O^+ escape rate is strongly correlated with the solar wind dynamic pressure, but does not have a strong correlation with EUV flux.

A summary of the results from three studies on the average escape rates at Venus, Earth and Mars is shown in Figure 8 as taken from Ramstad and Barabash (2021), where the heavy ion escape rates are presented as a function of the solar wind dynamic pressure. As is evident, the escape rates at Earth are higher and more dependent on the changes in the solar wind dynamic pressure than Venus and Mars. Gunell et al. (2018) went into the details on the effect of a global magnetic field on escape by running a set of simulations on how the H^+ and O^+ escape rates from a Venus-like, an Earth-like and a Mars-like planet would be affected by a change in the dipole magnetic moment of its core. The results of the simulations are shown in Figure 9. They took into account the seven largest escape channels for magnetized and unmagnetized planets. The study gives us a similar picture to the recent measurements shown in Figure 8: A magnetic field does not always protect the atmosphere, in some cases it can actually increase the escape rates. This conclusion was also supported by global MHD simulations of Venus- and Earth-type exoplanets by Dong et al. (2020). This means that the global magnetic field is not the only characteristic that determines the escape rate from a planet, there are many other factors to consider.

One important factor to be considered is the composition of a planet's atmosphere, though it tends to be neglected within comparative studies of planetary escape. While CO_2 , N_2 , O_2 , CO and O heat the upper atmosphere through photoionization by XUV radiation, O_2 , and O_3 through photodissociation by solar UV radiation, and O through exothermic three-body reactions (Kulikov et al., 2006), CO_2 molecules act as an infrared cooler in the thermosphere (e.g., Roble and Dickinson, 1989; Roble, 1995; Mlynczak et al., 2010; Cnossen, 2020). It emits infrared radiation from the sun back into space, thereby reducing heat within the upper atmosphere. This not only leads to a decline of thermospheric temperature compared to admixtures with less CO_2 , but also to a decrease of the exobase altitude (see also Gillmann et al. 2022, this issue). IR cooling through CO_2 might be the most important of the two effects (Kulikov et al., 2006).

This effect is exemplified through a comparison between the upper atmospheres of Venus and Earth, as can be seen in Figure 10. Even though Venus receives twice as much energy from our Sun, the altitude of its exobase $(r_{\rm exo,v} \approx 200 \,\mathrm{km})$ is less than half that of the Earth $(r_{\rm exo,e} \approx 500 \,\mathrm{km})$. This is due to the main constituent of the Earth's atmosphere being 78% N₂ and 21% O₂, whereas CO₂ only constitutes a minor species (with a mixing ratio of $\approx 0.04\%$ CO₂), while Venus' atmosphere holds a mixing ratio of about 96% CO₂ and 4% N₂. Mars in turn has a similar atmospheric composition to Venus and a comparable exobase level of $r_{\rm exo,m} \approx 200 \,\mathrm{km}$. Thus its smaller mass is compensated by an EUV flux that is 5 times less intense than at Venus' orbital distance. In addition to the altitude, CO₂ also reduces the average exospheric temperature $T_{\rm exo}$ which varies for neutral particles from about 220 K and 250 K at Mars and Venus, respectively, to over 1000 K at Earth. Both characteristics might affect atmospheric escape.

Figure 10 shows simulated neutral upper atmosphere temperature profiles for present-day Venus (Johnstone et al., 2018), Earth (Johnstone et al., 2018), Mars (Amerstorfer et al., 2017), and three hypothetical planets. The dashed red line (Tian et al., 2009) is equivalent to a Martian atmosphere that is irradiated by an EUV flux that is three times as high as at present. For such



Fig. 9 Mass escape from Venus-, Earth- and Mars-like planets, for both neutral and ion $(H^+ \text{ and } O^+)$ escape, and how it varies with a change in the dipole magnetic moment of the planet. These are from model computations including seven of the most important escape channels. Today's value of the magnetic moment of Venus (V), Mars (M), Earth (E), and Jupiter (J) is indicated. From Gunell et al. (2018).

an increase, exobase level and temperature rise towards $r_{\rm exo} = 415$ km and $T_{\rm exo} = 350$ K, respectively. If Mars resided at Venus' orbit, both values would be higher, since the EUV flux at Venus' orbit is about 5 times as high compared to the orbit of Mars. However, this profile is the closest analog to such a planet available in the literature. The dashed and dotted orange lines depict Earth's present-day atmosphere (Johnstone et al., 2018) for 2.0 and 2.5 Ga, respectively. This is the approximate time frame at which the EUV flux at Earth's orbit is believed to be about twice as high as at present day (see Tu et al. 2015, and Gillmann et al. 2022 this issue), i.e. comparable to the orbital location of Venus. For these two cases, the exobase levels and temperatures for



Fig. 10 The neutral upper atmosphere profiles for modern Earth (Johnstone et al., 2018), Venus (Johnstone et al., 2018), and Mars (Tian et al., 2009), and for three hypothetical planets (Tian et al., 2009; Johnstone et al., 2018) that resemble Earth's atmosphere approximately for Venus' EUV flux (dashed and dotted orange lines), and Mars closer to Venus' orbit (the EUV flux at Venus's orbit is about 5 times higher than for Mars, but this plot for 3 EUV is the closest profile available to this value).

an N₂-dominated atmosphere rise towards $r_{\rm exo} = 700$ km and $T_{\rm exo} = 1800$ K, and $r_{\rm exo} = 980$ km and $T_{\rm exo} = 2500$ K, respectively. If Venus would indeed have such an atmosphere, these levels would be even higher since this planet has a higher equilibrium temperature and about 80% of the Earth's mass. A nitrogen-dominated atmosphere around Venus instead of its present-day CO₂ atmosphere would, therefore, lead to a significantly different atmospheric structure, thereby illustrating that composition and orbital location indeed matters. But will this also affect the rates of atmospheric escape? Would they cease to be similar if the planets would change place and/or atmospheric composition?

As mentioned earlier, Gunell et al. (2018) derived a formalism to compare atmospheric escape at Venus-, Earth-, and Mars-like planets. Although they did not consider different atmospheric composition, even though this can affect the outcome significantly, as illustrated below. By way of example, these authors (Gunell et al., 2018, Equation A.10) semi-empirically parameterized the particle loss through ion pickup as,

$$Q_{\mathrm{pu},\alpha} = Q_{0,\mathrm{pu},\alpha} \frac{2h_a^3 r_\mathrm{b} h_a^2 r_\mathrm{b} h_a r_\mathrm{b}^2}{2h_a^3 h_a^2 r_\mathrm{exo} h_a r_\mathrm{exo}^2} e^{\frac{\Delta r}{h_\alpha}},\tag{1}$$

where $\Delta r = r_{\rm exo} - r_{\rm b}$ is the distance between $r_{\rm exo}$ and the outer boundary layer $r_{\rm b}$, i.e., either the induced magnetosphere boundary $r_{\rm IMB}$ for an unmagnetized, or the magnetopause standoff distance $r_{\rm sd}$ for a magnetized planet, $h_{\alpha} = (k_{\rm B}T_{\rm exo,\alpha}r_{\rm exo}^2)/GM_{\rm pl}m_{\alpha}$ is the scale height of species α , $T_{\rm exo,\alpha}$ is the exospheric temperature of species α , $k_{\rm B}$ is the Boltzmann constant, G is the gravitational constant, and $M_{\rm pl}$ is the mass of the planet. The constant $Q_{0,\mathrm{pu},\alpha}$ is a scaling factor for retrieving today's escape rates in case $r_{\rm exo}$ and $r_{\rm b}$ resemble the present-day values of these planets. As one can see, $r_{\rm exo}$ and $T_{\rm exo}$ are important parameters within $Q_{\mathrm{pu},\alpha}$, and both values are affected by the composition of an atmosphere and the incident EUV flux it receives from its host star. Therefore our hypothetical planets – Mars with 3 times the present-day EUV flux, and the Venus-like planets with a nitrogen-dominated atmosphere – will end up with different values for $Q_{\mathrm{pu},\alpha}$.

With this formalism, it is thus in principle possible to directly compare atmospheric loss from Venus, Earth, and Mars with our hypothetical planets. However, it is not straight forward since we do not know how $r_{\rm IMB}$ scales with the change of exobase level. Moreover, it turns out that this equation is quite sensitive to the scaling factor $Q_{0,\mathrm{pu},\alpha}$ and the exobase temperature with which it was derived. This can be seen in Figure 11, which illustrates how changes in $T_{\rm exo}$ (panel a), $r_{\rm exo}$ (panel b), and $Q_{0,{\rm pu},\alpha}$ (for Venus, both panels – see below) can affect the outcome of Equation 1 and mostly entail significant changes in ion-pickup escape rates at Mars and Venus. In all of the illustrated cases in Figure 11 r_{IMB} was kept equal to the values employed in Gunell et al. (2018). Present-day O⁺ escape rates for Mars and Venus are also shown within this figure; these are displayed for the same values of $T_{\rm exo}$ and $r_{\rm exo}$ as used within Gunell et al. (2018) since there are no specific studies correlating ion escape rates at these planets with different exobase radii and temperatures. A few specific examples of Figure 11 that are related to our hypothetical planets are discussed next.

For Mars, if we keep the scaling factor for oxygen loss at $Q_{0,\text{pu},\alpha} = 2.6 \times 10^{32} \text{ s}^{-1}$ and insert $r_{\text{exo}} = 415 \text{ km}$ of our hypothetical Martian planet but keep T_{exo} at 300 K as in Gunell et al. (2018), the escape rate rises 3–46 times, depending on whether Δr or r_{IMB} is kept equal to Gunell et al. (2018) (Figure 11, black 'x' with r_{IMB} kept equal). If we increase the temperature by 50K to $T_{\text{exo}} = 350 \text{ K}$, then the escape increases even further by about an order of magnitude (Figure 11, blue 'x' with r_{IMB} kept equal).

For our hypothetical Venus-like planets with N₂-dominated atmospheres, the change in escape rate is minimal between 1.2 and 4 times for both hypothetical cases and changes in Δr , if one keeps $T_{\rm exo}$ constant (Figure 11b, solid orange line). However, Gunell et al. (2018) used the exospheric temperature of hot oxygen to retrieve their scaling factor of $Q_{0,pu,\alpha} = 1.2 \times 10^{25} {\rm s}^{-1}$ for oxygen. If we instead scale with the neutral temperature of cold oxygen at the exobase (≈ 250 K), which is by far the main oxygen species at the exobase level (Lammer et al., 2006), and retrieve $Q_{0,pu,\alpha} \approx 10^{35} {\rm s}^{-1}$, then the loss of oxygen would rise by several orders of magnitude if we insert exobase temperatures of 1800 K and 2500 K for our 2.0 and 2.5 Ga cases, respectively (Figure 11a and b, dotted orange lines). However, this might be above any reasonable escape for such an atmosphere even if it is significantly more expanded than Venus' real atmosphere.

From an exoplanet perspective this exercise illustrates that it is not trivial to scale the escape and compare different planets with different atmospheric compositions and to draw a definitive conclusion on the importance of intrinsic magnetic fields from the current state of research. Further investigation into atmospheric escape at magnetized and unmagnetized planets is therefore highly warranted. This uncertainty is even more critical if one goes back in time to higher EUV fluxes than at Venus' present-day orbit. As already illustrated in Figure 10, Earth's nitrogen-dominated atmosphere starts to significantly expand for higher EUV fluxes (e.g., Tian et al., 2008; Johnstone et al., 2018, 2021). Crucially, even CO_2 -dominated atmospheres will start to inflate for fluxes that are about 15 to 20 times higher than at present-day (Tian et al., 2009; Johnstone et al., 2021).

Given our present knowledge, it is difficult to estimate how these severely altered conditions (which also apply to young solar-like stars) will affect atmospheric escape, particularly at magnetized planets. Kislyakova et al. (2020) investigated polar escape at Earth for different EUV fluxes ranging back until the Archean eon. They found a significant increase in the polar loss of nitrogen and oxygen within their model from presently $2.1 \times 10^{26} \text{s}^{-1}$ and $8.4 \times 10^{24} \text{s}^{-1}$ for O⁺ and N⁺ to $1.6 \times 10^{27} \text{s}^{-1}$ and $5.6 \times 10^{26} \text{s}^{-1}$ at 2.5 Ga (or 7.6 and 66.7 times more respectively). This increase in escape of O^+ is more significant than in the case of unmagnetized Venus, for which it was recently extrapolated back in time by Persson et al. (2020). However, it is neither well established whether atmospheric escape would have been stronger at Earth without a magnetic field at 2.5 Ga, nor how escape at Venus would have evolved if it had a nitrogen-dominated atmosphere and/or if it had been "shielded" by an intrinsic magnetic field. Besides that, it seems probable that a Venus-like exoplanet with an Earth-like atmosphere would show larger escape rates than if it had a CO₂-dominated atmosphere, which is important for considering its potential habitability. Yet the early Earth atmosphere had very little O_2 and a higher pCO_2 (e.g. Catling and Zahnle, 2020) which may have limited atmospheric escape (Lichtenegger et al., 2010). The same possibility exists for early Venus' atmospheric composition - its evolution would have changed the picture we see today in ways that are difficult to constrain without more information on the planet's distant past. However, whether an intrinsic magnetic field would diminish the escape remains poorly understood.

From these considerations, one finds that atmospheric composition is likely more important for defining atmospheric loss than the presence of an intrinsic magnetic field. However, even if Earth-like magnetospheres do not shield atmospheres from escape, they can separate particle fluxes according to their energy spectrum so that life forms on a planet's surface are protected from highly energetic primary and secondary solar cosmic rays. There are two sources of cosmic rays, the first originate from high energetic solar events (SCRs), while the second are called galactic cosmic rays (GCRs) that belong to energetic



Fig. 11 Ion-pickup escape rates of Mars and Venus as calculated with Equation 1 vs. exobase temperature $T_{\rm exo}$ (panel a) and exobase radius $r_{\rm exo}$ (panel b). For Mars, the scaling factor $Q_{0,{\rm pu},\alpha}$ was kept at $2.6 \times 10^{32} {\rm s}^{-1} = {\rm const.}$ for all displayed example cases; as one can see, escape rates change significantly for small changes in $T_{\rm exo}$ and $r_{\rm exo}$. For Venus, changes in escape rates are more modest, if the same value for $Q_{0,{\rm pu},\alpha}$ is chosen as in Gunell et al. (2018). However, if one recalculates $Q_{0,{\rm pu},\alpha}$ by taking into account the exobase temperature of cold oxygen, small changes in $T_{\rm exo}$, again, entail significant changes in escape rates (dashed orange lines). The dotted orange lines illustrate the 3 Venus cases discussed in the main text; here, $T_{\rm exo}$ and $r_{\rm exo}$ were changed simultaneously in both panels. The present-day ion escape rates of Mars and Venus are displayed for comparison; the blue and black crosses are Mars examples discussed in the main text.

sources in the Milky Way or other galaxies. Upon impact with the Earth's atmosphere, cosmic rays produce showers of secondary particles, some of which reach the surface. SCRs can have global effects on life-forms that enhance mutation rates (Belisheva and Popov, 1995; Belisheva et al., 2012; Dar et al., 1998; Brack et al., 2010).

Within Earth's magnetospheric cusp area over the Arctic it was found that secondary radiation produced by intense high energy SCR particle showers, like the October 1989 solar proton event (Reeves et al., 1992), caused various biological phenomena associated with DNA lesions on the cellular level (Belisheva and Popov, 1995; Belisheva et al., 2012). These biological effects were detected during experiments with three cellular lines growing in culture during three events of ground level enhancements in the neutron count rate detected and correlated by ground-based neutron monitors, in October 1989 at Srednyi Island, in the White Sea of the Physical Research Institute of the St. Petersburg University, and at the Kola Science Centre of the Russian Academy of Sciences in Apatity, Murmansk region (e.g., Belisheva et al., 2012). Depending on the planetary magnetic field and atmospheric pressure, cosmic ray particles interact with the atmosphere where they generate secondary highly energetic particles of which some can reach the surface of planets for Earth-like pressure values or lower (e.g., Shea and Smart, 1995).

The protection of Earth's surface against secondary high energy solar cosmic ray particles with a surface pressure of ≈ 1 bar atmosphere amounts to $\approx 1000 \text{ g cm}^{-2}$, whereas that of the thin Martian atmosphere with ≤ 10 mbar only results in $\approx 16 \text{ g cm}^{-2}$ (e.g., Shea and Smart, 1995; Brack et al., 2010). If the planetary atmosphere is dense enough, like that of Venus, these highenergy particles cannot penetrate to the surface. However, the atmospheric region on Venus that may be favourable for biology is located between and/or near the upper and lower bounds of the three Venusian cloud layers (Cockell, 1999; Mogul et al., 2021; Kotsyurbenko et al., 2021) at $\approx 38-55$ km (Marov and Greenspoon, 1998), where the atmospheric pressure level is comparable to Earth's. Because Venus is not shielded by an intrinsic magnetosphere like the Earth, high-energy SCR particles will therefore precipitate into its atmosphere and are absorbed around the so-called thermally biological favourable atmospheric layers.

Finally, we point out that smaller magnetic moments that may originate due to tidally locking on terrestrial planets inside the habitable zones of low-mass M and K-type stars, and potentially also due to induced magnetospheres, would provide a weaker protection of planetary surfaces or biologically favourable atmospheric layers against GCRs (Grießmeier et al., 2005, 2009). However, in a follow-up study, Grießmeier et al. (2016) point out that for such planets, as well as for unmagnetized bodies, with atmospheric pressures similar or higher than the Earth's, the effects of the increased GCR radiation would be small. For thin atmospheres on the other hand, the shielding from GCRs would be entirely controlled by the magnetosphere, if present. If not, the surface radiation dose cannot be prevented from increasing up to several hundred times the background flux.

2.4 The Critical Dependence of and on Planetary Thermal History

The great divergence between Venus and Earth is critical to understanding potential exoplanetary evolution. Given comparable sizes, masses, and presumably chemical make-up, Venus is often thought of as the Earth's twin. As such, one would naturally expect it to exhibit similar patterns of convection, heat loss, and tectonics. Venus, however, is strikingly different in its apparent convective, tectonic, and atmospheric conditions today. These observations lead to a key set of questions: given the broad similarities between Earth and Venus, (1) what led to the dramatic differences between the two planets; and (2) What can the divergence between Venus and Earth tell us about the thermal evolution of exoplanets? With significant attention (in both this chapter and others) devoted to the former, here, we will focus on the latter. To address this question in some detail, it is important to outline what we know about the thermal-tectonic regimes and evolution of the Earth. We will then extrapolate this knowledge to the Earth-Venus divergence, and outline potential implications for exoplanets.

The Earth is the only body in the Solar System for which significant information about its thermal, geologic, atmospheric, and tectonic evolution is readily accessible. Consequently, Earth derived data and observations are often used to inform general models of thermal evolution, which are then extrapolated to other bodies in our Solar System, and beyond. However, despite the Earth's large dataset, our knowledge and understanding of the Earth's thermal evolution remains largely opaque. For instance, while we know Earth is currently within a plate tectonics regime, its initiation and total life of activity are far from certain (e.g. O'Neill et al., 2007; Debaille et al., 2013; Gerya, 2014a; Lu et al., 2021). These uncertain time frames have profound implications for understanding the long-term thermal and surface evolution of the Earth, let alone Venus, and extrasolar planets.

Critical to this discussion is the notion that the thermal and tectonic state of a planet are intimately connected, and tie into the long-term surface-interior geophysical cycles that influence and control both atmospheric and surface evolution (see Section 2.1; as well as Gillmann et al. 2022, this issue, Phillips et al. 2001; Lenardic et al. 2008; Driscoll and Bercovici 2014; Gillmann and Tackley 2014; O'Rourke et al. 2018; Krissansen-Totton et al. 2021). Consequently, a discussion of any one aspect of planetary thermal evolution inherently discusses the other aspects, even if only tacitly. As tectonic states have distinct characteristics, each affects planetary evolution and a planet's thermal state differently. For the purposes of this section, we will briefly outline three main tectonic end-members relative to their thermal implications (definitions of tectonic states are discussed in greater detail in 3.A).

Returning to the Earth, we can define plate tectonics as a subset of active (or mobile) lid convection (e.g. Schubert et al., 2001). This mode of tectonics is characterized by the outermost layer of cold and rigid rock participating in the mantle convective cycle. That outer layer is brought back into the interior along with the convective mantle. This leads to the cooling of the interior, a thin lithosphere, and generally efficient heat loss at the surface. In contrast to the mobile lid, the outermost cold and rigid surface layer of the stagnant lid regime resists convective motions (e.g. Schubert et al., 2001). As a consequence, this mode of tectonics has a thicker immobile surface that does not actively participate in mantle convection. The stagnant lid leads to inefficient heat loss and higher internal temperatures when compared to an active lid state. An additional regime considered is the episodic lid (Moresi and Solomatov, 1998), sometimes identified as a transitional regime between active and stagnant lids (Weller et al., 2015; Weller and Lenardic, 2018; Weller and Kiefer, 2021). This regime is highly dynamic, characterized by periods of extreme quiescence punctuated with rapid episodes of surface-interior interaction (Armann and Tackley, 2012). In a first order sense, an example of internal temperatures for each regime for an Earth or Venus sized body is indicated in Figure 12. Critical to the discussion of planetary thermal evolution, each of these three states has been suggested to have once operated on the Earth in the past, to varying degrees, though the exact nature and expression of these tectonics, and indeed the thermal state the early Earth exhibited, is vigorously debated (e.g. Condie and Kröner, 2008; Davies, 1993; Debaille et al., 2013; Calvert et al., 1995; O'Neill et al., 2007; O'Neill et al., 2015; O'Neill et al., 2016; Stern, 2008; Moyen and van Hunen, 2012; Moore and Webb, 2013; Gerya, 2014a; O'Neill and Debaille, 2014). The list of citations is by no means meant to be exhaustive.

While the geologic record often is ambiguous, and as a consequence, the thermal evolution of the early Earth is passionately debated, it has long been agreed that, as the planet loses heat, the Earth will eventually cease operating in a plate tectonic regime and begin to move into a stagnant-lid regime, similar to observations for current day Mars (e.g. Nimmo and Stevenson, 2000). While the time frame of this transition remains unclear, a key aspect of planetary tectonics and thermal evolution is highlighted here: the tectonic and thermal state of a planet may change significantly, and perhaps more than once, as the planet evolves. This idea, generally postulated to explain Earth observations, may be extended to other planetary bodies, as has been suggested by studies exploring the convective and tectonic sensitivities to changes in internal mantle temperatures over time, and surface temperature changes through planetary climatic evolution (e.g. O'Neill et al., 2007; O'Neill et al., 2016; Lenardic et al., 2008; Landuyt and Bercovici, 2009; Foley et al., 2012; Lenardic and Crowley, 2012; Stein et al., 2013; Gillmann and Tackley, 2014; Weller et al., 2015; Weller and Lenardic, 2018).

Earth and Venus can be seen as planetary end-members (in a bifurcation space). For the tectonic/thermal evolution of planets, there exist two main drivers of change: (1) Changes in internal temperatures from changes in heat



Fig. 12 Simple thermal history numerical models for an Earth/Venus sized Active lid (black dotted line) and a Stagnant lid (grey dotted line), taken from an identical initial thermal state (here taken as 2174 K) see Breuer and Moore (2007) (and references therein) for a detailed discussion of models. The Episodic lid thermal state is taken from O'Neill (2020), and shows three distinct evolutionary trends: early active episodic, middle quiescent-episodic, and final active lid. Here T[K] represents the average mantle temperature in Kelvin.

loss and radiogenic heating rates; and (2) changes in surface temperatures from the long-term climate variations of the planet. First, we examine case (1)through the lens of secular cooling (loss of heat with time and depleting internal heat sources). Early in planetary thermal evolution, the internal temperatures are high due to leftover heat from accretion and high levels of radiogenic elements (e.g. Figure 12). From both buoyancy and velocity/stress-scaling arguments (e.g. Lenardic et al., 2021, and references therein), these conditions tend to strongly favor early stagnant lid tectonic states (Weller et al., 2015; O'Neill et al., 2016; Weller and Lenardic, 2018). However, as radiogenic heating, and consequently internal temperatures, decreases with time, this early stagnant state may yield, often through an intermediary episodic state, into an active lid regime. With further heat loss and decrease in radiogenic heating rates, the active lid may ultimately transition once again into a stagnant lid, potentially through an oscillatory episodic state. This stagnant \rightarrow episodic \rightarrow active lid pathway, as suggested for the Earth (e.g. O'Neill et al., 2007; O'Neill et al., 2016), can be thought of as the consequence of secular cooling and depletion of radiogenic heating. This then may be thought of as a system state driving force operating on (Earth or Venus sized) planetary bodies, moving the planetary system towards a specific evolutionary path over time, which then may be acted upon by other forces and processes.

While secular cooling (driver 1) serves to push the planet to an active lid state (and an eventual return to stagnant conditions as more heat is progressively lost), surface temperature changes (driver 2) can profoundly alter the expression of tectonics (e.g. Lenardic et al., 2008; Landuyt and Bercovici, 2009; Foley et al., 2012; Gillmann and Tackley, 2014; Weller et al., 2015). For a planet operating in active lid tectonics, an increase in surface temperatures on geologic time scales has been demonstrated to trigger a transition from active lid convection, into a significantly long-lived episodic lid regime (Gillmann and Tackley, 2014), before eventually settling into stagnant lid behavior (Weller et al., 2015; Weller and Kiefer, 2021). For an early stagnant lid thermal state, high surface temperatures can prevent the planet from transitioning states entirely. Conversely, a stagnant lid planet with high surface temperature could transition into a mobile lid state, if surface temperature dropped low enough (Lenardic et al., 2008; Gillmann and Tackley, 2014). Therefore, surface temperatures may override the secular driven changes in tectonics for Venus/Earth sized bodies. Alternatively, it could enhance some of its effects, depending on the tectonic/thermal state of a planet at the time of surface temperature change.

For both early thermal states (hot, young, or enriched in radiogenic/tidal heating sources) and late thermal states (cold, old, or lacking significant radiogenic heating sources), there exists a strong thermal coupling that pushes the planet towards stagnant lid states (e.g. Weller et al., 2015; O'Neill et al., 2016; Weller and Lenardic, 2018). However, a significant span of a planet's thermal evolution is controlled by competing and nonlinear forcing, both internal (e.g. heating and temperature) and external (e.g. surface temperature). As a result, the planetary thermal and tectonic state may be predominantly governed by the specific thermal history of the system, allowing stable and unstable active lids, episodic lids, stagnant lids, or all of the above. In fact, nonlinearity within the convective thermal system allows for a hysteresis of states and thermal evolutionary scenarios (Figure 13). Within the hysteresis window, the specific evolutionary history of the system (e.g. the initial conditions, along with the specific thermal evolution) has been shown to play a significant control on the mode of tectonics and thermal state that a planet may operate within. This contrasts with a more traditional view, where a specific set of planetary parameters such as strength of the lithosphere, internal temperature, or surface conditions is directly associated with a specific tectonics/thermal state (Weller and Lenardic, 2012; Lenardic and Crowley, 2012; Weller et al., 2015; Weller and Lenardic, 2018) (see Figure 13 caption).

The hysteresis window is specifically a region of multiple stable tectonic/thermal solutions for otherwise similar planetary bodies. That is, otherwise identical planetary states (e.g. surface temperatures, heating rates, rock strength, volatile contents, etc) can allow for entirely different tectonic and thermal regimes, depending on how the planet evolved toward this state. Interestingly, this window does not seem to be uniform in regard to system complexity or energetics. Figure 13 illustrates the hysteresis window conceptually as a function of system energy, or vigor of convection (traditionally considered by



Fig. 13 Modified after Lenardic and Crowley (2012) (Tobias Rolf is credited with an earlier modification of this Figure). Schematic view of bifurcations in planetary tectonics. X-axis denotes changing planetary state variables, for example: Surface temperatures (Tsurf), global yield strength (YS), damage accumulation/healing, volatile abundances and cycling, radiogenic heating rates (H), etc... Convective systems inherently allow for variations in tectonic stability space as a function of increasing convective vigor or energy (Y-axis, background to foreground). For systems with limited energy or low Ra, a single stability point exists (attractor) for a set combination of parameters (e.g. tectonic state has a functional relationship with planetary parameters). For these states, changing parameter paths, or the systems history (denoted by directional increasing/decreasing horizontal arrows with tectonic state indicators: active lid -blue circles, stagnant lid - red circles), has no effect on the final tectonic/thermal state (back projection on the phase space). As complexity increases, multiple attractors effect the stability space for a given set of planetary parameters. Instead on single attractor space (uni-tectonic space), multiple competing attractor wells ensure a path dependence on the final tectonic state. The system allows for rapid changes with parameter variations (direction transition arrows). Multiple solutions exist dependent on the initial conditions and history of the system (hysteresis space, purple shading) as indicated by both mobile and stagnant lid solution viable for the same parameters (foreground). Venus and Earth are plotted as possible endmembers in this hysteresis gap. Putative super-Earth's/Venus' would be projected to plot out of the page in ever widening hysteresis space.

the Rayleigh number (Ra) or viscosity contrast). For simple systems with low energy (low convective vigor) there exists a single coupled tectonic-thermal attractor space, or direction of evolution. To put it another way, there exists only one set of stable solutions for any combination of individual planetary states. However, we do not expect planets in general to operate at these low energy/low complexity system states (Lenardic and Crowley, 2012; Weller and Lenardic, 2012). As complexity and the energetics of the system increases (for example Ra and viscosity contrasts), the system is increasingly affected by competing stable tectonic/thermal solutions (Lenardic and Crowley, 2012; Weller and Lenardic, 2012). For conditions expected for real bodies, such as Earth or Venus, the hysteresis space may encompass most reasonable planetary parameters (Weller and Lenardic, 2018), and consequently the thermal and tectonic evolution of a planet is almost entirely governed by the planet's specific geologic and climatic history. As system complexity and energy increase, as for example for so-called super-Earth's and super-Venus', this window may be expected to contain all real solutions. For the foreseeable future, the complexity of such systems make it computationally unfeasible to run in-depth (non-parameterized) numerical simulations to model them.

If we consider a putative proto-Venus/Earth type body, the hysteresis framework offers interesting insight into the coupled thermal tectonic evolution of terrestrial bodies. In this framework, both planetary states are equally possible, and dependent on the specific thermal evolution of each planet. In Figure 13, these end-member states are indicated by the Earth evolving along a prior state that allowed active lid convection, whereas Venus' earlier evolution did not. However, that does not imply that Venus could not have been in an active lid state at some point, or that it fundamentally lacks the capacity to do so. In fact, there exists suggestive, but not unambiguous, evidence that Venus may have operated in some form of active lid mode of tectonics at one time in its past (see Rolf et al. 2022 this issue for discussion), or that tectonic state may exist as a continuum rather than just simple end-members.

In a general sense, the implications for exoplanets are that there may not exist a preferred tectonic or thermal state for any one planetary variable or type. Instead, the thermal and tectonic state of exoplanets may be much more strongly controlled by the planets' specific history, a history that we will not be able to sample or observe. As a corollary, this implies that tectonic regime may be vulnerable to change by random events, such as collisions with large impactors (Gillmann et al., 2016; O'Neill et al., 2017), given they occur at a favourable time to destabilize the current state. If the planetary tectonic/thermal state of extrasolar planets is non-unique, this suggests that we need to move towards considering tectonic and thermal states in a probability space, as opposed to known variable space (e.g. surface temperature, size, etc). For example, water, if detected in planetary atmospheres, may not be indicative of an active lid state, as has been suggested as the requirement for plate tectonics on Earth (Hubbert and Rubey, 1959; Bird, 1978). These results further imply that finding both water and habitable surface conditions would not be an indicator of the tectonic or thermal state of a planet, nor its geologic and climatic history. On the other hand, this probability-oriented approach makes the characterization of exoplanets even more critical to bypass the Solar system assumptions that underpin our understanding of planetary evolution.

Planetary evolution in nonlinear space then is highly complex, but finding solutions is not insurmountable. Instead of focusing on key parameters that control tectonic or thermal states, we need to focus on and understand the probabilities of Venus type solutions relative to Earth (or even other) type solutions. If both Venus and Earth operated within an active lid mode of tectonics in our Solar System, then the potential for active lid modes may be common, but the systems could have strong temporal (e.g. O'Neill et al., 2016), stochastic (e.g. Weller and Lenardic, 2018; Weller and Kiefer, 2020), and reinforcing feedback (e.g. Lenardic et al., 2019) dependencies, that interface in extremely complex ways. The existence of the hysteresis window indicates that we need to understand the feedback effects between the evolution of the atmosphere, mantle, and surface tectonics in a more holistic and probabilistic way through suites of ensemble numerical simulations that focus on the interplay of planetary starting conditions, varying physical parameters and the physics they encompass, as well as stochastic fluctuations. Within our own Solar System, results from the InSight mission (Banerdt et al., 2020) have greatly improved our understanding of the interior structure of Mars (e.g. Knapmeyer-Endrun et al., 2021; Khan et al., 2021; Stähler et al., 2021). Compared to Mars, which is characterized by a stagnant lid regime throughout its thermal history, Venus tectonic evolution might have been significantly different. Though great care must be taken in extrapolating between dissimilar planets (e.g., Mars to Venus), InSight's results demonstrate how geophysical measurements can provide valuable and detailed information about the interior of other planets. This type of data provides us with the ability to compare and contrast the differences in the interiors of terrestrial planets operating in different tectonic regimes.

The initial thermal state of the planet, which is intimately related to its accretion sequence, determines the amount of energy the planet will dissipate over its history and is thus of fundamental importance regarding its entire evolution. Despite the absence of direct evidence on the Earth and Venus, several heating mechanisms are thought to affect the earliest stages of planetary evolution (for a detailed discussion, see Salvador et al., 2022, this issue). The accretion process itself delivers a substantial amount of energy to the growing planets through the accumulation and burial of impact energy (e.g., Safronov, 1978; Tonks and Melosh, 1993). Radiogenic heating produced by the decay of short-lived isotopes (in particular ²⁶Al and ⁶⁰Fe) is responsible for substantial melting of early forming and growing planetary embryos, planetesimals, and proto-planets (e.g., Merk et al., 2002; Bhatia, 2021). During the formation of the core, metal-silicate differentiation and metal downwards migration release gravitational energy dissipated by viscous heating which could increase the temperature of an entire Earth-sized planet by almost 2000 K (Tozer, 1965; Flasar and Birch, 1973). Due to the combination of these heat sources, terrestrial planets are generally thought to experience one or several episodes of early and large-scale mantle melting (e.g., Elkins-Tanton, 2012). Without an atmosphere overlying the molten surface, the heat accumulated can be rapidly radiated to space but melting can be enhanced and sustained in the presence of a primordial atmosphere (e.g., Hayashi et al., 1979; Ikoma and Genda, 2006) providing a thermal blanketing effect. On early Earth, the hypothetical Moonforming giant impact is often referred to as being responsible for generating a last and global-scale magma ocean extending throughout the entire mantle (e.g. Benz et al., 1986; Canup, 2004). From then on, its cooling, solidification, and associated chemical differentiation would then set the stage for the subsequent long-term evolution of the planet. On Venus, the absence of a moon cannot completely discard the likelihood of an early fully molten stage. Indeed, the orbital proximity of Earth and Venus implies similar bulk properties and suggests that they have experienced similar accretion sequences (e.g. Morbidelli et al., 2012; Raymond, 2021) with similar endowments of radioactive elements so that the aforementioned heating mechanisms and resulting globalscale melting events would likely apply for both planets, although recent work may put some of this into question (Emsenhuber et al., 2021, e.g.]). While these energetic processes are inherent to the formation of terrestrial planets, the initial thermal state and the occurrence and timing of large scale melting events on exoplanets are critically related to the timescale of the accretion phase (see Salvador et al., 2022, and references therein). While the current orbital configuration might help put constraints on the tidal heating presently affecting an observed solidified exoplanet, inferring their initial thermal state is out of reach. However, observing a substantial number of young exoplanetary systems might help testing and informing planetary formation and early evolution models to draw more statistically robust trends, thus improving our understanding of early planetary pathways and associated thermal states.

Mantle viscosity is one of the most important parameters that controls the cooling behavior of the interior. This in turn affects magmatic and tectonic processes throughout the thermochemical evolution of the planet. The viscosity of silicate materials is strongly temperature and pressure dependent. The dependence of viscosity on temperature is given by the activation energy, which is the energy necessary to create vacancies in the crystal lattice and the barrier that atoms need to overcome in order to migrate into a vacant site. The activation volume describes the pressure dependence of the viscosity and indicates that for higher pressure the energy necessary for the formation of vacancies and the barrier for atom migration increase. While rheological parameters have been measured in laboratory experiments (e.g., Hirth and Kohlstedt, 2003), uncertainties in their values are large because such experiments need to be extrapolated to the conditions relevant for planetary interiors. In particular, the effects of the depth dependence of the viscosity has been highly debated for the deep interior of large rocky planets (super-Earths). Some authors suggest an almost isoviscous interior of large super-Earths indicating a fully convecting mantle (Karato, 2011), but others indicate that a strong pressure dependence



Fig. 14 Effects of pressure dependent parameters on the convection pattern for a Venus-like interior (Hirschberger et al., 2020): a) small pressure dependence of viscosity and thermal conductivity (i.e., viscosity increases with depth by a factor of 32 and thermal conductivity increases with depth by a factor of 1.7); b) strong pressure dependence of the viscosity but weak pressure dependence of thermal conductivity (i.e., viscosity increases with depth by about 4 orders of magnitude and thermal conductivity increases with depth the same as in panel a); c) strong pressure dependence of both viscosity and thermal conductivity (i.e., viscosity increases with depth the same as in panel b); a factor of about 6).

of the viscosity will lead to the formation of a stagnant region in the lower mantle (the so-called CMB lid) (Stamenković et al., 2011).

While the pressure inside the mantles of Earth and Venus does not reach the range for which a CMB lid could form, a strong pressure dependence will affect the convection planform, as well as the number and shape of mantle plumes. Mantle convection models show that a strong pressure dependent viscosity will promote fewer and more prominent mantle plumes compared to cases where little or no pressure dependence is applied (Fig. 14). This in turn may affect the melt production in the interior and the geoid. A strong viscosity increase related to mineral phase transitions, as it is suggested to match the geoid on the Earth, has been found inconsistent with the gravity-topography correlation on Venus (Rolf et al., 2018). This suggests a more gradual increase of the viscosity with depth, possibly indicating a drier upper mantle than on Earth (Rolf et al., 2018). In addition to the viscosity, thermodynamic parameters such as thermal expansivity and thermal conductivity vary with temperature and pressure and can affect the dynamics of the mantle (Tosi et al., 2013). In particular, the increase of thermal conductivity with pressure promotes more diffuse plumes and downwellings thus decreasing the temperature variations in the mantle (Hirschberger et al., 2020). However the strongest effect on convection is expected for the pressure dependence of the viscosity as this increases by several orders of magnitude, compared to an increase by a factor of about 6 for the thermal conductivity (Armann and Tackley, 2012).

3 Conclusions

The terrestrial worlds of our solar system are the benchmarks for exploring the exoplanetary realm of our galaxy. As shown herein there is a tremendous amount of knowledge from solar system objects that can be applied to exoplanetary observations of Venus analogs. Conversely with new ground and space based capabilities coming on-line in the coming decade we will also begin to take lessons from Venus' exoplanetary cousins to learn more about the evolutionary history of Venus and Earth. Yet there is a large imbalance in the knowledge each domain presents us today as reflected in the sizes of the exoplanet versus Venus sections of this chapter. The Venus sections are decidedly larger as one might expect of our nearest planetary neighbor whose atmosphere and surface has been studied intensely with spacecraft and ground based instruments for the past 60+ years, whereas exoplanetary science is still in its infancy. As noted throughout Section 2 Venus studies also benefit tremendously from the study of our home world Earth and our second closest neighbor Mars. For decades planetary scientists have struggled to understand how a possibly early habitable period on both Venus and Mars could result in their present apparently uninhabitable states. If Venus did evolve from an earlier temperate period with surface water reservoirs to it's present hothouse state exactly how did it occur, and what are the key processes involved? We still lack a full understanding of how such a catastrophic event could take place, but there is great anticipation that the study of planets in neighboring stellar systems will help inform our studies of Venus. Yet as shown in Section 1 we are at least two decades away from statistically characterizing the atmospheres of exo-Venus worlds. At the same time we are over a decade away until the data from the newly confirmed Venus missions from ESA and NASA begins to arrive. Even that data will take many years to process and understand, as we see today with the on-going studies of the Magellan Mission radar data from the 1990s (e.g. Byrne et al., 2021; Khawja et al., 2020; MacLellan et al., 2021; Brossier et al., 2021; Borrelli et al., 2021).

There are a number of takeaways to consider when looking at how Venus and exoplanetary studies might inform each other in the future as discussed within this chapter. Firstly, lets consider the key role that the early evolution of Venus' magma ocean plays in possibly deciding Venus' long-term H₂O budget and the possibility of surface liquid water. In this case exoplanetary observations of planets in the VZ can help us to constrain magma ocean lifetimes around a wide range of stellar hosts, including those explicitly resembling the G-dwarf that is our sun. This involves research programs explicitly looking for solar twins, defined as stellar hosts with chemical compositions or early XUV activity very similar to our sun (Gustafsson et al., 2010; Airapetian et al., 2021). Secondly, why did Earth and Venus take such divergent evolutionary paths when they otherwise appear to be so similar in size, density and possibly chemical composition (Lécuyer et al., 2000) in comparison with the other terrestrial planets within the solar system? Examining exoplanets in the VZ may tell us whether Venus ever had temperate surface conditions and whether rotation rate plays a role in stabilizing such conditions as demonstrated in GCM studies (Yang et al., 2014; Way et al., 2016). Unfortunately in the near term it could be that a modern Venus-like cloud and haze layer will prevent JWST from resolving atmospheric species that could give clues to exoplanetary atmospheric evolution histories. Clouds in general make observing even major species very challenging with JWST (Fauchez et al., 2019; Teinturier et al., 2022), although there may be some opportunities when observing more arid planets with fewer clouds (Ding and Wordsworth, 2022). Thirdly, can we discern the longevity of any postulated climate state in Venus' history? For example, if Venus had a temperate period its longevity may be constrained from in-situ observations of the noble gas isotopes as described in (Avice et al., 2022, this issue) and in (Baines et al., 2013), while exoplanetary worlds in the VZ may also help us to bound the problem. There is an on-going debate as to the timescale of volcanic outgassing required to produce the basaltic plains that cover nearly 80% of Venus' surface (e.g. Phillips et al., 1992; Bullock et al., 1993; Herrick, 1994; Strom et al., 1994; Basilevsky and Head, 1996; Bjonnes et al., 2012; Ivanov and Head, 2013; Kreslavsky et al., 2015). Then there is the nature of the 92 bar CO_2 atmosphere in place today. If there was a period of time with a lower atmospheric density (e.g. 1 bar) similar to that achieved by Earth throughout most of its history what mechanism or mechanisms occurred to emplace the present 92 bar atmosphere (e.g. Head et al., 2021)? In these last two cases observing a statistically relevant sample of VZ worlds in different evolutionary phases could help us bound the parameter space in ways we may only scarcely comprehend today.

Acknowledgements MJW would like to thank Nikolai Piskunov in Uppsala Astrophysics for useful discussions regarding the first generation instrumentation on the ELT as well as Jonathan C. Tan at The Department of Space, Earth & Environment at Chalmers University, Sweden for sharing his insights regarding the ARIEL mission. MJW also acknowledges support from NASA's Nexus for Exoplanet System Science (NExSS), the GSFC Sellers Exoplanet Environments Collaboration (SEEC), which is funded by the NASA Planetary Science Division's Internal Scientist Funding Model (ISFM) and the ROCKE-3D Project ISFM jointly funded by a NASA Planetary and Earth Science Divisions. CG acknowledges the support of Rice University and the CLEVER planets group (itself supported by NASA and part of NExSS). ACP gratefully acknowledges the financial support and endorsement from the DLR Management Board Young Research Group Leader Program and the Executive Board Member for Space Research and Technology.

References

- Acuna MH, Connerney JEP, Ness NF, Lin RP, Mitchell D, Carlson CW, Mc-Fadden J, Anderson KA, Reme H, Mazelle C, Vignes D, Wasilewski P, Cloutier P (1999) Global Distribution of Crustal Magnetization Discovered by the Mars Global Surveyor MAG/ER Experiment. Science 284:790, DOI 10.1126/science.284.5415.790
- Adibekyan V, Dorn C, Sousa SG, Santos NC, Bitsch B, Israelian G, Mordasini C, Barros SC, Delgado Mena E, Demangeon OD, et al. (2021) A

compositional link between rocky exoplanets and their host stars. Science 374(6565):330-332

- Agee CB (1998) Crystal-liquid density inversions in terrestrial and lunar magmas. Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors 107(1-3):63–74
- Ague JJ, Nicolescu S (2014) Carbon dioxide released from subduction zones by fluid-mediated reactions. Nature Geoscience 7(5):355–360
- Airapetian VS, Barnes R, Cohen O, Collinson GA, Danchi WC, Dong CF, Del Genio AD, France K, Garcia-Sage K, Glocer A, Gopalswamy N, Grenfell JL, Gronoff G, Güdel M, Herbst K, Henning WG, Jackman CH, Jin M, Johnstone CP, Kaltenegger L, Kay CD, Kobayashi K, Kuang W, Li G, Lynch BJ, Lüftinger T, Luhmann JG, Maehara H, Mlynczak MG, Notsu Y, Osten RA, Ramirez RM, Rugheimer S, Scheucher M, Schlieder JE, Shibata K, Sousa-Silva C, Stamenković V, Strangeway RJ, Usmanov AV, Vergados P, Verkhoglyadova OP, Vidotto AA, Voytek M, Way MJ, Zank GP, Yamashiki Y (2020) Impact of space weather on climate and habitability of terrestrialtype exoplanets. International Journal of Astrobiology 19(2):136–194, DOI 10.1017/S1473550419000132, 1905.05093
- Airapetian VS, Jin M, Lueftinger T, Saikia SB, Kochukhov O, Guedel M, Van Der Holst B, Manchester IV W (2021) One year in the life of young suns: Data constrained corona-wind model of kappa1 ceti. arXiv preprint arXiv:210601284
- Amerstorfer UV, Gröller H, Lichtenegger H, Lammer H, Tian F, Noack L, Scherf M, Johnstone C, Tu L, Güdel M (2017) Escape and evolution of Mars's CO₂ atmosphere: Influence of suprathermal atoms. Journal of Geophysical Research (Planets) 122(6):1321–1337, DOI 10.1002/2016JE005175
- Andersson E, Bauer P, Beljaars A, Chevallier F, Hólm E, Janisková M, Kållberg P, Kelly G, Lopez P, McNally A, Moreau E, Simmons AJ, Thépaut JN, Tompkins AM (2005) Assimilation and Modeling of the Atmospheric Hydrological Cycle in the ECMWF Forecasting System. Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society 86(3):387–402, DOI 10.1175/BAMS-86-3-387
- Angelo I, Rowe JF, Howell SB, Quintana EV, Still M, Mann AW, Burningham B, Barclay T, Ciardi DR, Huber D, Kane SR (2017) Kepler-1649b: An exovenus in the solar neighborhood. The Astronomical Journal 153(4):162, DOI 10.3847/1538-3881/aa615f, URL https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/aa615f
- Armann M, Tackley PJ (2012) Simulating the thermochemical magmatic and tectonic evolution of Venus's mantle and lithosphere: Two-dimensional models. Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets 117(E12)
- Arney G, Kane S (2018) Venus as an Analog for Hot Earths. 1804.05889
- Arney G, Domagal-Goldman SD, Meadows VS, Wolf ET, Schwieterman E, Charnay B, Claire M, Hébrard E, Trainer MG (2016) The Pale Orange Dot: The Spectrum and Habitability of Hazy Archean Earth. Astrobiology 16(11):873–899, DOI 10.1089/ast.2015.1422, 1610.04515
- Arney GN, Meadows VS, Domagal-Goldman SD, Deming D, Robinson TD, Tovar G, Wolf ET, Schwieterman E (2017) Pale Orange Dots: The Impact of Organic Haze on the Habitability and Detectability of Earthlike Exoplanets.

ApJ836(1):49, DOI 10.3847/1538-4357/836/1/49, 1702.02994

- Arrhenius G, De BR, Alfvén H (1974) Origin of the ocean. The Sea 5:839–861 Avice G, Parai R, Jacobson S, Labidi J, Trainer M, Petkov P Mikhail (2022)
- Noble gases and stable isotopes track the originand early evolution of the venus atmosphere. Space Science Reviews
- Baines KH, Atreya SK, Bullock MA, Grinspoon DH, Mahaffy P, Russell CT, Schubert G, Zahnle K (2013) The Atmospheres of the Terrestrial Planets: Clues to the Origins and Early Evolution of Venus, Earth, and Mars, University of Arizona Press, Tucson, p 137. DOI 10.2458/azu_uapress_9780816530595-ch006
- Ballmer MD, Noack L (2021) The diversity of exoplanets: from interior dynamics to surface expressions. Elements: An International Magazine of Mineralogy, Geochemistry, and Petrology 17(4):245–250
- Banerdt WB, Smrekar SE, Banfield D, Giardini D, Golombek M, Johnson CL, Lognonné P, Spiga A, Spohn T, Perrin C, et al. (2020) Initial results from the InSight mission on Mars. Nature Geoscience 13(3):183–189
- Barabash S, Fedorov A, Sauvaud JJ, Lundin R, Russell CT, Futaana Y, Zhang TL, Andersson H, Brinkfeldt K, Grigoriev A, Holmström M, Yamauchi M, Asamura K, Baumjohann W, Lammer H, Coates AJ, Kataria DO, Linder DR, Curtis CC, Hsieh KC, Sandel BR, Grande M, Gunell H, Koskinen HEJ, Kallio E, Riihelä P, Säles T, Schmidt W, Kozyra J, Krupp N, Fränz M, Woch J, Luhmann J, McKenna-Lawlor S, Mazelle C, Thocaven JJ, Orsini S, Cerulli-Irelli R, Mura M, Milillo M, Maggi M, Roelof E, Brandt P, Szego K, Winningham JD, Frahm RA, Scherrer J, Sharber JR, Wurz P, Bochsler P (2007) The loss of ions from Venus through the plasma wake. Nature450(7170):650–653, DOI 10.1038/nature06434
- Barnes R, Deitrick R, Luger R, Driscoll PE, Quinn TR, Fleming DP, Guyer B, McDonald DV, Meadows VS, Arney G, Crisp D, Domagal-Goldman SD, Foreman-Mackey D, Kaib NA, Lincowski A, Lustig-Yaeger J, Schwieterman E (2016) The Habitability of Proxima Centauri b I: Evolutionary Scenarios. arXiv e-prints arXiv:1608.06919, 1608.06919
- Barstow JK, Aigrain S, Irwin PG, Kendrew S, Fletcher LN (2015) Transit spectroscopy with james webb space telescope: systematics, starspots and stitching. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society 448(3):2546– 2561
- Barstow JK, Aigrain S, Irwin PG, Kendrew S, Fletcher LN (2016) Telling twins apart: exo-earths and venuses with transit spectroscopy. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society 458(3):2657–2666
- Barth P, Carone L, Barnes R, Noack L, Mollière P, Henning T (2020) Magma ocean evolution of the TRAPPIST-1 planets. arXiv e-prints arXiv:2008.09599, 2008.09599
- Basilevsky AT, Head JW (1996) Evidence for rapid and widespread emplacement of volcanic plains on Venus: Stratigraphic studies in the Baltis Vallis Region. Geophysical Research Letters 23:1497–1500, DOI 10.1029/96GL00975

- Batalha NE, Line MR (2017) Information content analysis for selection of optimal jwst observing modes for transiting exoplanet atmospheres. The Astronomical Journal 153(4):151
- Batalha NE, Mandell A, Pontoppidan K, Stevenson KB, Lewis NK, Kalirai J, Earl N, Greene T, Albert L, Nielsen LD (2017) PandExo: A community tool for transiting exoplanet science withJWST&HST. Publications of the Astronomical Society of the Pacific 129(976):064501, DOI 10.1088/1538-3873/aa65b0, URL https://doi.org/10.1088/1538-3873/aa65b0
- Batalha NE, Lewis NK, Line MR, Valenti J, Stevenson K (2018) Strategies for constraining the atmospheres of temperate terrestrial planets with jwst. The Astrophysical Journal Letters 856(2):L34
- Bean JL, Abbot DS, Kempton EMR (2017) A statistical comparative planetology approach to the hunt for habitable exoplanets and life beyond the solar system. The Astrophysical Journal Letters 841(2):L24
- Beichman C, Benneke B, Knutson H, Smith R, Lagage PO, Dressing C, Latham D, Lunine J, Birkmann S, Ferruit P, et al. (2014) Observations of transiting exoplanets with the james webb space telescope (jwst). Publications of the Astronomical Society of the Pacific 126(946):1134
- Belisheva nK, Popov A (1995) Dynamics of the morphofunctional state of cell cultures with variation in the geomagnetic field in high latitudes. Biophysics 40:737–745
- Belisheva NK, Lammer H, Biernat HK, Vashenuyk EV (2012) The effect of cosmic rays on biological systems - an investigation during GLE events. Astrophysics and Space Sciences Transactions 8(1):7–17, DOI 10.5194/astra-8-7-2012
- Belu A, Selsis F, Morales JC, Ribas I, Cossou C, Rauer H (2011) Primary and secondary eclipse spectroscopy with jwst: exploring the exoplanet parameter space. Astronomy & Astrophysics 525:A83
- Benz W, Slattery WL, Cameron AGW (1986) The origin of the moon and the single-impact hypothesis I. Icarus66(3):515–535, DOI 10.1016/0019-1035(86)90088-6
- Berner RA (1993) Paleozoic Atmospheric CO_2: Importance of Solar Radiation and Plant Evolution. Science 261(5117):68–70, DOI 10.1126/science.261.5117.68
- Bhatia GK (2021) Early thermal evolution of the embryos of Earth: Role of 26Al and impact-generated steam atmosphere. Planetary and Space Science 207:105335, DOI 10.1016/j.pss.2021.105335, URL https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0032063321001744
- Bird P (1978) Stress and temperature in subduction shear zones: Tonga and Mariana. Geophysical Journal International 55(2):411–434, DOI 10.1111/j.1365-246X.1978.tb04280.x
- Bjonnes EE, Hansen VL, James B, Swenson JB (2012) Equilibrium resurfacing of Venus: Results from new Monte Carlo modeling and implications for Venus surface histories. Icarus 217:451–461, DOI 10.1016/j.icarus.2011.03.033

- Blaske CH, O'Rourke JG (2021) Energetic Requirements for Dynamos in the Metallic Cores of Super-Earth and Super-Venus Exoplanets. Journal of Geophysical Research (Planets) 126(7):e06739, DOI 10.1029/2020JE006739
- Bogdanov AV, Vaisberg OL (1975) Structure and variations of solar wind-Mars interaction region. J. Geophys. Res.80(4):487, DOI 10.1029/JA080i004p00487
- Bonati I, Lichtenberg T, Bower DJ, Timpe ML, Quanz SP (2019) Direct imaging of molten protoplanets in nearby young stellar associations. Astronomy & Astrophysics 621:A125
- Bonati I, Lasbleis M, Noack L (2021) Structure and Thermal Evolution of Exoplanetary Cores. Journal of Geophysical Research (Planets) 126(5):e06724, DOI 10.1029/2020JE006724
- Borrelli ME, O'Rourke JG, Smrekar SE, Ostberg CM (2021) A Global Survey of Lithospheric Flexure at Steep-Sided Domical Volcanoes on Venus Reveals Intermediate Elastic Thicknesses. Journal of Geophysical Research (Planets) 126(7):e06756, DOI 10.1029/2020JE006756
- Boujibar A, Driscoll P, Fei Y (2020) Super-Earth Internal Structures and Initial Thermal States. Journal of Geophysical Research (Planets) 125(5):e06124, DOI 10.1029/2019JE006124
- Bowens R, Meyer MR, Delacroix C, Absil O, van Boekel R, Quanz SP, Shinde M, Kenworthy M, Carlomagno B, Orban de Xivry G, Cantalloube F, Pathak P (2021) Exoplanets with ELT-METIS. I. Estimating the direct imaging exoplanet yield around stars within 6.5 parsecs. A&A653:A8, DOI 10.1051/0004-6361/202141109, 2107.06375
- Bower DJ, Kitzmann D, Wolf AS, Sanan P, Dorn C, Oza AV (2019) Linking the evolution of terrestrial interiors and an early outgassed atmosphere to astrophysical observations. A&A631:A103, DOI 10.1051/0004-6361/201935710, 1904.08300
- Bower DJ, Hakim K, Sossi PA, Sanan P (2022) Retention of Water in Terrestrial Magma Oceans and Carbon-rich Early Atmospheres. Planetary Science Journal 3(4):93, DOI 10.3847/PSJ/ac5fb1, 2110.08029
- Brace LH, Kasprzak WT, Taylor HA, Theis RF, Russell CT, Barnes A, Mihalov JD, Hunten DM (1987) The ionotail of Venus: Its configuration and evidence for ion escape. J. Geophys. Res.92(A1):15–26, DOI 10.1029/JA092iA01p00015
- Brack A, Horneck G, Cockell CS, Bérces A, Belisheva NK, Eiroa C, Henning T, Herbst T, Kaltenegger L, Léger A, Liseau R, Lammer H, Selsis F, Beichman C, Danchi W, Fridlund M, Lunine J, Paresce F, Penny A, Quirrenbach A, Röttgering H, Schneider J, Stam D, Tinetti G, White GJ (2010) Origin and Evolution of Life on Terrestrial Planets. Astrobiology 10(1):69–76, DOI 10.1089/ast.2009.0374
- Brady PV, Gíslason SR (1997) Seafloor weathering controls on atmospheric co2 and global climate. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 61(5):965–973, DOI https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-7037(96)00385-7, URL https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0016703796003857

- Brain DA, McFadden JP, Halekas JS, Connerney JEP, Bougher SW, Curry S, Dong CF, Dong Y, Eparvier F, Fang X, Fortier K, Hara T, Harada Y, Jakosky BM, Lillis RJ, Livi R, Luhmann JG, Ma Y, Modolo R, Seki K (2015) The spatial distribution of planetary ion fluxes near Mars observed by MAVEN. Geophys. Res. Lett.42(21):9142–9148, DOI 10.1002/2015GL065293
- Brandl BR, Absil O, Agócs T, Baccichet N, Bertram T, Bettonvil F, van Boekel R, Burtscher L, van Dishoeck E, Feldt M, Garcia PJV, Glasse A, Glauser A, Güdel M, Haupt C, Kenworthy MA, Labadie L, Laun W, Lesman D, Pantin E, Quanz SP, Snellen I, Siebenmorgen R, van Winckel H (2018) Status of the mid-IR ELT imager and spectrograph (METIS). In: Evans CJ, Simard L, Takami H (eds) Ground-based and Airborne Instrumentation for Astronomy VII, Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series, vol 10702, p 107021U, DOI 10.1117/12.2311492
- Breuer D, Moore W (2007) Dynamics and Thermal History of the Terrestrial Planets, the Moon, and Io, vol 10, American Geophysical Union, pp 299–348. DOI 10.1016/B978-044452748-6/00161-9
- Brossier J, Gilmore M, Toner K, Stein A (2021) Distinct mineralogy and age of individual lava flows in atla regio, venus derived from magellan radar emissivity. Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets 126(3):e2020JE006722
- Bullock MA, Grinspoon DH (1996) The stability of climate on venus. Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets 101(E3):7521–7529
- Bullock MA, Grinspoon DH (2001) The recent evolution of climate on venus. Icarus 150(1):19–37
- Bullock MA, Grinspoon DH, Head III JW (1993) Venus resurfacing rates: Constraints provided by 3-d monte carlo simulations. Geophysical Research Letters 20(19):2147–2150, DOI 10.1029/93GL02505, URL https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/93GL02505, https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/93GL02505
- Byrne PK, Krishnamoorthy S (2022) Estimates on the frequency of volcanic eruptions on venus. Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets 127(1):e2021JE007040, DOI https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JE007040, URL https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2021JE007040, e2021JE007040 2021JE007040, https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2021JE007040
- Byrne PK, Ghail RC. Şengör AMC, James PB, Klimczak С, Solomon SC(2021)А globally fragmented and mobile lithosphere on venus. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 118(26),DOI 10.1073/pnas.2025919118, URL https://www.pnas.org/content/118/26/e2025919118, https://www.pnas.org/content/118/26/e2025919118.full.pdf
- Calvert A, Sawyer E, Davis W, Ludden J (1995) Archaean subduction inferred from seismic images of a mantle suture in the superior province. Nature 375:670–674
- Canup RM (2004) Simulations of a late lunar-forming impact. Icarus168(2):433–456, DOI 10.1016/j.icarus.2003.09.028

- Catling DC, Zahnle KJ (2020) The archean atmosphere. Science Advances 6(9):eaax1420
- Cauley PW, Shkolnik EL, Llama J, Lanza AF (2019) Magnetic field strengths of hot Jupiters from signals of star-planet interactions. Nature Astronomy 3:1128–1134, DOI 10.1038/s41550-019-0840-x, 1907.09068
- Checlair JH, Hayworth BPC, Olson SL, Komacek TD, Villanueva GL, Popović P, Yang H, Abbot DS (2020) Non-detection of O_2/O_3 informs frequency of Earth-like planets with LUVOIR but not HabEx. arXiv e-prints arXiv:2008.03952, 2008.03952
- Clampin M (2011) Overview of the james webb space telescope observatory. In: UV/Optical/IR Space Telescopes and Instruments: Innovative Technologies and Concepts V, International Society for Optics and Photonics, vol 8146, p 814605
- Clery D (2019) No safe haven for the Thirty Meter Telescope. Science 365(6457):960–961, DOI 10.1126/science.365.6457.960
- Cnossen I (2020) Analysis and Attribution of Climate Change in the Upper Atmosphere From 1950 to 2015 Simulated by WACCM-X. Journal of Geophysical Research (Space Physics) 125(12):e28623, DOI 10.1029/2020JA028623
- Cockell CS (1999) Life on Venus. Planet. Space Sci.47(12):1487–1501, DOI 10.1016/S0032-0633(99)00036-7
- Colaprete A, Toon OB (2003) Carbon dioxide clouds in an early dense martian atmosphere. Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets 108(E4)
- Condie KC, Kröner A (2008) When did plate tectonics begin? Evidence from the geologic record. In: When Did Plate Tectonics Begin on Planet Earth?, Geological Society of America, DOI 10.1130/2008.2440(14)
- LA, Dosso SE (2015)Alteration of ocean Coogan crust provides a strong temperature dependent feedback on the geological carbon cycle and is a primary driver of the sr-isotopic of seawater. Earth and Planetary Science composition Letters 415:38-46,DOI https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2015.01.027, URL https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0012821X15000485
- Coogan LA, Gillis KM (2013) Evidence that low-temperature oceanic hydrothermal systems play an important role in the silicate-carbonate weathering cycle and long-term climate regulation. Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems 14(6):1771–1786, DOI https://doi.org/10.1002/ggge.20113, URL https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/ggge.20113, https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/ggge.20113
- Correia ACM, Laskar J (2001) The four final rotation states of Venus. Nature 411:767–770, DOI 10.1038/35081000
- Correia ACM, Laskar J (2003) Long-term evolution of the spin of Venus. II. numerical simulations. Icarus 163:24–45, DOI 10.1016/S0019-1035(03)00043-5
- Correia ACM, Laskar J, de Surgy ON (2003) Long-term evolution of the spin of Venus. I. theory. Icarus 163:1–23, DOI 10.1016/S0019-1035(03)00042-3
- Cottini V, Ignatiev N, Piccioni G, Drossart P, Grassi D, Markiewicz W (2012) Water vapor near the cloud tops of venus from venus express/virtis dayside data. Icarus 217(2):561–569

- Coulter DR (2003) NASA's Terrestrial Planet Finder mission: the search for habitable planets. In: Fridlund M, Henning T, Lacoste H (eds) Earths: DAR-WIN/TPF and the Search for Extrasolar Terrestrial Planets, ESA Special Publication, vol 539, pp 47–54
- Crisp JA (1984) Rates of magma emplacement and volcanic output. Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research 20(3-4):177–211
- Crouzet N, Bonfils X, Delfosse X, Boisse I, Hébrard G, Forveille T, Donati JF, Bouchy F, Moutou C, Doyon R, et al. (2017) Follow-up and characterization of the tess exoplanets with sophie, spirou, and jwst. arXiv preprint arXiv:170103539
- Dar A, Laor A, Shaviv NJ (1998) Life Extinctions by Cosmic Ray Jets. Phys. Rev. Lett.80(26):5813–5816, DOI 10.1103/PhysRevLett.80.5813, astro-ph/9705008
- Dasgupta R, Hirschmann MM (2010) The deep carbon cycle and melting in earth's interior. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 298(1-2):1–13
- Davaille A, Smrekar S, Tomlinson S (2017) Experimental and observational evidence for plume-induced subduction on venus. Nature Geoscience 10:1, DOI 10.1038/ngeo2928
- Davies C, Pozzo M, Gubbins D, Alfè D (2015) Constraints from material properties on the dynamics and evolution of Earth's core. Nature Geoscience 8(9):678–685, DOI 10.1038/ngeo2492
- Davies GF (1993) Conjectures on the thermal and tectonic evolution of the earth. Lithos 30(3):281–289, DOI https://doi.org/10.1016/0024-4937(93)90041-A, the evolving earth
- De Bergh C, Moroz V, Taylor F, Crisp D, Bézard B, Zasova L (2006) The composition of the atmosphere of venus below 100 km altitude: An overview. Planetary and space Science 54(13-14):1389–1397
- Debaille V, O'Neill C, Brandon AD, Haenecour P, Yin QZ, Mattielli N, Treiman AH (2013) Stagnant-lid tectonics in early earth revealed by 142nd variations in late archean rocks. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 373:83– 92, DOI https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2013.04.016
- Deming D, Seager S, Winn J, Miller-Ricci E, Clampin M, Lindler D, Greene T, Charbonneau D, Laughlin G, Ricker G, et al. (2009) Discovery and characterization of transiting super earths using an all-sky transit survey and follow-up by the james webb space telescope. Publications of the Astronomical Society of the Pacific 121(883):952
- Ding F, Wordsworth RD (2022) Prospects for water vapor detection in the atmospheres of temperate and arid rocky exoplanets around M-dwarf stars. arXiv e-prints arXiv:2201.08423, 2201.08423
- Dobrovolskis AR (1980) Atmospheric tides and the rotation of Venus. II Spin evolution. Icarus 41:18–35, DOI 10.1016/0019-1035(80)90157-8
- Dobrovolskis AR (1983) Atmospheric tides on Venus. III The planetary boundary layer. Icarus 56:165–175, DOI 10.1016/0019-1035(83)90133-1
- Dobrovolskis AR, Ingersoll AP (1980) Atmospheric tides and the rotation of Venus. I Tidal theory and the balance of torques. Icarus 41:1–17, DOI 10.1016/0019-1035(80)90156-6

- Donahue TM, Hoffman JH, Hodges RR, Watson AJ (1982) Venus was wet -A measurement of the ratio of deuterium to hydrogen. Science 216:630–633, DOI 10.1126/science.216.4546.630
- Dong C, Jin M, Lingam M (2020) Atmospheric escape from TOI-700 d: Venus versus earth analogs. The Astrophysical Journal 896(2):L24, DOI 10.3847/2041-8213/ab982f
- Dong Y, Fang X, Brain DA, McFadden JP, Halekas JS, Connerney JEP, Eparvier F, Andersson L, Mitchell D, Jakosky BM (2017) Seasonal variability of Martian ion escape through the plume and tail from MAVEN observations. Journal of Geophysical Research (Space Physics) 122(4):4009–4022, DOI 10.1002/2016JA023517
- Dorn C, Lichtenberg T (2021) Hidden water in magma ocean exoplanets. The Astrophysical Journal Letters 922(1):L4
- Dorn C, Noack L, Rozel A (2018) Outgassing on stagnant-lid super-Earths. Astronomy & Astrophysics 614:A18
- Driscoll P, Bercovici D (2014) On the thermal and magnetic histories of Earth and Venus: Influences of melting, radioactivity, and conductivity. Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors 236:36–51, DOI 10.1016/j.pepi.2014.08.004
- Driscoll P, Olson P (2011) Optimal dynamos in the cores of terrestrial exoplanets: Magnetic field generation and detectability. Icarus213(1):12–23, DOI 10.1016/j.icarus.2011.02.010
- Driscoll PE, Barnes R (2015) Tidal Heating of Earth-like Exoplanets around M Stars: Thermal, Magnetic, and Orbital Evolutions. Astrobiology 15(9):739–760, DOI 10.1089/ast.2015.1325, 1509.07452
- Dubinin E, Fraenz M, Pätzold M, McFadden J, Halekas JS, DiBraccio GA, Connerney JEP, Eparvier F, Brain D, Jakosky BM, Vaisberg O, Zelenyi L (2017) The Effect of Solar Wind Variations on the Escape of Oxygen Ions From Mars Through Different Channels: MAVEN Observations. Journal of Geophysical Research (Space Physics) 122(11):11,285–11,301, DOI 10.1002/2017JA024741
- Dyar MD, Helbert J, Cooper RF, Sklute EC, Maturilli A, Mueller NT, Kappel D, Smrekar SE (2021) Surface weathering on Venus: Constraints from kinetic, spectroscopic, and geochemical data. Icarus 358:114139
- Edberg NJT, Nilsson H, Williams AO, Lester M, Milan SE, Cowley SWH, Fränz M, Barabash S, Futaana Y (2010) Pumping out the atmosphere of Mars through solar wind pressure pulses. Geophys. Res. Lett.37(3):L03107, DOI 10.1029/2009GL041814
- Edberg NJT, Nilsson H, Futaana Y, Stenberg G, Lester M, Cowley SWH, Luhmann JG, McEnulty TR, Opgenoorth HJ, Fedorov A, Barabash S, Zhang TL (2011) Atmospheric erosion of Venus during stormy space weather. Journal of Geophysical Research (Space Physics) 116(A9):A09308, DOI 10.1029/2011JA016749
- Ehrenreich D, Vidal-Madjar A, Widemann T, Gronoff G, Tanga P, Barthélemy M, Lilensten J, Des Etangs AL, Arnold L (2012) Transmission spectrum of venus as a transiting exoplanet. Astronomy & Astrophysics 537:L2

- Eisenhauer F, Abuter R, Bickert K, Biancat-Marchet F, Bonnet H, Brynnel J, Conzelmann RD, Delabre B, Donaldson R, Farinato J, Fedrigo E, Genzel R, Hubin NN, Iserlohe C, Kasper ME, Kissler-Patig M, Monnet GJ, Roehrle C, Schreiber J, Stroebele S, Tecza M, Thatte NA, Weisz H (2003) SINFONI
 Integral field spectroscopy at 50 milli-arcsecond resolution with the ESO VLT. In: Iye M, Moorwood AFM (eds) Instrument Design and Performance for Optical/Infrared Ground-based Telescopes, Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series, vol 4841, pp 1548–1561, DOI 10.1117/12.459468, astro-ph/0306191
- Elkins-Tanton LT (2008) Linked magma ocean solidification and atmospheric growth for Earth and Mars. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 271(1-4):181–191, DOI 10.1016/j.epsl.2008.03.062
- Elkins-Tanton LT (2012) Magma Oceans in the Inner Solar System. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences 40(1):113–139, DOI 10.1146/annurev-earth-042711-105503
- Emsenhuber A, Asphaug E, Cambioni S, Gabriel TSJ, Schwartz SR (2021) Collision Chains among the Terrestrial Planets. II. An Asymmetry between Earth and Venus. Planetary Science Journal 2(5):199, DOI 10.3847/PSJ/ac19b1, 2110.00221
- Encrenaz T, Lellouch E, Cernicharo J, Paubert G, Gulkis S, Spilker T (1995) The thermal profile and water abundance in the venus mesosphere from h2o and hdo millimeter observations. Icarus 117(1):162–172
- Esposito LW (1984) Sulfur dioxide: Episodic injection shows evidence for active Venus volcanism. Science 223(4640):1072–1074
- Fanson J, Bernstein R, Angeli G, Ashby D, Bigelow B, Brossus G, Bouchez A, Burgett W, Contos A, Demers R, Figueroa F, Fischer B, Groark F, Laskin R, Millan-Gabet R, Pi M, Wheeler N (2020) Overview and status of the Giant Magellan Telescope project. In: Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series, Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series, vol 11445, p 114451F, DOI 10.1117/12.2561852
- Fauchez TJ, Turbet M, Villanueva GL, Wolf ET, Arney G, Kopparapu RK, Lincowski A, Mandell A, de Wit J, Pidhorodetska D, Domagal-Goldman SD, Stevenson KB (2019) Impact of clouds and hazes on the simulated JWST transmission spectra of habitable zone planets in the TRAPPIST-1 system. The Astrophysical Journal 887(2):194, DOI 10.3847/1538-4357/ab5862, URL https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ab5862
- Fedorov A, Barabash S, Sauvaud JA, Futaana Y, Zhang TL, Lundin R, Ferrier C (2011) Measurements of the ion escape rates from Venus for solar minimum. Journal of Geophysical Research (Space Physics) 116(A7):A07220, DOI 10.1029/2011JA016427
- Fegley B, Prinn RG (1989) Estimation of the rate of volcanism on Venus from reaction rate measurements. Nature 337(6202):55–58
- Fegley J B (2003) Venus. Treatise on Geochemistry 1:711, DOI 10.1016/B0-08-043751-6/01150-6

- Fegley J B (2014) Venus. In: Davis AM (ed) Planets, Asteriods, Comets and The Solar System, vol 2, Elsevier, pp 127–148
- Fischer RA, Nakajima Y, Campbell AJ, Frost DJ, Harries D, Langenhorst F, Miyajima N, Pollok K, Rubie DC (2015) High pressure metal-silicate partitioning of ni, co, v, cr, si, and o. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 167:177–194, DOI https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2015.06.026, URL https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0016703715004093
- Flasar FM, Birch F (1973)Energetics of core formation: А correction. Journal of Geophysical Research 78(26):6101-6103, DOI 10.1029/JB078i026p06101, URL https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/JB078i026p06101
- Foley BJ (2015) The Role of Plate Tectonic-Climate Coupling and Exposed Land Area in the Development of Habitable Climates on Rocky Planets. ApJ812(1):36, DOI 10.1088/0004-637X/812/1/36, 1509.00427
- Foley BJ (2019) Habitability of Earth-like Stagnant Lid Planets: Climate Evolution and Recovery from Snowball States. Astrophys J 875:72, DOI 10.3847/1538-4357/ab0f31, 1903.12111
- Foley BJ, Driscoll PE (2016) Whole planet coupling between climate, mantle, and core: Implications for rocky planet evolution. Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems 17(5):1885–1914, DOI 10.1002/2015GC006210, 1711.06801
- Foley BJ, Smye AJ (2018) Carbon cycling and habitability of earth-sized stagnant lid planets. Astrobiology 18(7):873–896, DOI 10.1089/ast.2017.1695, URL https://doi.org/10.1089/ast.2017.1695, pMID: 30035642, https://doi.org/10.1089/ast.2017.1695
- Foley BJ, Bercovici D, Landuyt W (2012) The conditions for plate tectonics on super-earths: Inferences from convection models with damage. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 331-332:281–290, DOI https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2012.03.028
- Forget F, Wordsworth R, Millour E, Madeleine JB, Kerber L, Leconte J, Marcq E, Haberle R (2013) 3d modelling of the early martian climate under a denser co2 atmosphere: Temperatures and co2 ice clouds. Icarus 222(1):81–99, DOI https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2012.10.019, URL https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0019103512004265
- Fujii Y, Kimura J, Dohm J, Ohtake M (2014) Geology and photometric variation of solar system bodies with minor atmospheres: Implications for solid exoplanets. Astrobiology 14(9):753–768, DOI 10.1089/ast.2014.1165, URL https://doi.org/10.1089/ast.2014.1165, pMID: 25238324, https://doi.org/10.1089/ast.2014.1165
- Fulton BJ, Petigura EA, Howard AW, Isaacson H, Marcy GW, Cargile PA, Hebb L, Weiss LM, Johnson JA, Morton TD, Sinukoff E, Crossfield IJM, Hirsch LA (2017) The California-Kepler Survey. III. A Gap in the Radius Distribution of Small Planets. AJ154(3):109, DOI 10.3847/1538-3881/aa80eb, 1703.10375
- Gaillard F, Scaillet B (2009) The sulfur content of volcanic gases on Mars. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 279(1-2):34–43

- Gaillard F, Scaillet B (2014) A theoretical framework for volcanic degassing chemistry in a comparative planetology perspective and implications for planetary atmospheres. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 403:307–316
- Gaillard F, Bernadou F, Roskosz M, Bouhifd MA, Marrocchi Y, Iacono-Marziano G, Moreira M, Scaillet B, Rogerie G (2022) Redox controls during magma ocean degassing. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 577:117255
- Garvin J, Getty S, Arney G, Johnson N, Malespin C, Webster C, Ravine M, Lorenz R, Kiefer W, Atreya S, et al. (2020) Deep atmosphere of venus investigation of noble gases, chemistry, and imaging plus (davinci+): Discovering a new venus via a flyby, probe, orbiter mission. In: AGU Fall Meeting Abstracts, vol 2020, pp P026–0001
- Gelman B, Zolotukhin V, Lamonov N, Levchuk B, Mukhin L, Nenarokov D, Khotnikov B, Rotin V, Lipatov A (1979) An analysis of the chemical composition of the atmosphere of venus on an ams of the venera-12 using a gas chromatograph. NASA STI/Recon Technical Report N 79:25964
- Gerya T (2014a) Precambrian geodynamics: Concepts and models. Gondwana Research 25(2):442–463, DOI https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gr.2012.11.008
- Gerya TV (2014b) Plume-induced crustal convection: 3D thermomechanical model and implications for the origin of novae and coronae on Venus. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 391:183–192, DOI 10.1016/j.epsl.2014.02.005
- Gillmann C, Tackley P (2014) Atmosphere/mantle coupling and feedbacks on venus. Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets 119(6):1189–1217
- Gillmann C, Golabek GJ, Tackley PJ (2016) Effect of a single large impact on the coupled atmosphere-interior evolution of venus. Icarus 268:295 – 312, DOI https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2015.12.024, URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0019103515005795
- Gillmann C, Way MJ, Avice G, Breuer D, Golabek GJ, Höning D, Krissansen-Totton J, Lammer H, Plesa AC, Persson M, O'Rourke JG, Salvador A, Scherf M, Zolotov MY (2022) The long-term evolution of the atmosphere of venus: processes and feedback mechanisms. Space Science Reviews
- Gillon M, Jehin E, Lederer SM, Delrez L, de Wit J, Burdanov A, Grootel VV, Burgasser AJ, Triaud AHMJ, Opitom C, Demory BO, Sahu DK, Gagliuffi DCB, Magain P, Queloz D (2016) Temperate earth-sized planets transiting a nearby ultracool dwarf star. Nature 533:221 – 224
- Gilmore M, Treiman A, Helbert J, Smrekar S (2017) Venus surface composition constrained by observation and experiment. Space Science Reviews 212(3):1511–1540
- Goldblatt C, Robinson TD, Zahnle KJ, Crisp D (2013) Low simulated radiation limit for runaway greenhouse climates. Nature Geoscience 6(8):661–667
- Goldreich P, Peale SJ (1966) Resonant Rotation for Venus? Nature 209:1117–1118, DOI 10.1038/2091117a0
- Goldreich P, Peale SJ (1970) The Obliquity of Venus. Astronomical Journal 75:273, DOI 10.1086/110975
- Gómez-Leal I, Codron F, Selsis F (2016) Thermal light curves of Earth-like planets: 1. Varying surface and rotation on planets in a terrestrial orbit. Icarus269:98–110, DOI 10.1016/j.icarus.2015.12.050

- Graham RJ, Pierrehumbert R (2020) Thermodynamic and energetic limits on continental silicate weathering strongly impact the climate and habitability of wet, rocky worlds. The Astrophysical Journal 896(2):115
- Green JAM, Way MJ, Barnes R (2019) Consequences of Tidal Dissipation in a Putative Venusian Ocean. ApJ876(2):L22, DOI 10.3847/2041-8213/ab133b, 1903.07517
- Greene TP, Line MR, Montero C, Fortney JJ, Lustig-Yaeger J, Luther K (2016) Characterizing transiting exoplanet atmospheres with jwst. The Astrophysical Journal 817(1):17
- Grießmeier JM, Stadelmann A, Motschmann U, Belisheva NK, Lammer H, Biernat HK (2005) Cosmic Ray Impact on Extrasolar Earth-Like Planets in Close-in Habitable Zones. Astrobiology 5(5):587–603, DOI 10.1089/ast.2005.5.587
- Grießmeier JM, Stadelmann A, Grenfell JL, Lammer H, Motschmann U (2009) On the protection of extrasolar Earth-like planets around K/M stars against galactic cosmic rays. Icarus199(2):526–535, DOI 10.1016/j.icarus.2008.09.015, 0902.0952
- Grießmeier JM, Tabataba-Vakili F, Stadelmann A, Grenfell JL, Atri D (2016) Galactic cosmic rays on extrasolar Earth-like planets. II. Atmospheric implications. A&A587:A159, DOI 10.1051/0004-6361/201425452, 1603.06500
- Grinspoon DH, Bullock MA (2007) Astrobiology and Venus Exploration, American Geophysical Union (AGU), pp 191–206. DOI 10.1029/176GM12, URL https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/176GM12, https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/176GM12
- Guilluy G, Sozzetti A, Giacobbe P, Bonomo AS, Micela G (2021) On The Synergy Between Ariel And Ground-Based High-Resolution Spectroscopy. arXiv e-prints arXiv:2112.08956, 2112.08956
- Gülcher AJ, Gerya TV, Montési LG, Munch J (2020) Corona structures driven by plume–lithosphere interactions and evidence for ongoing plume activity on venus. Nature Geoscience 13(8):547–554
- Gunell H, Maggiolo R, Nilsson H, Stenberg Wieser G, Slapak R, Lindkvist J, Hamrin M, De Keyser J (2018) Why an intrinsic magnetic field does not protect a planet against atmospheric escape. A&A614:L3, DOI 10.1051/0004-6361/201832934
- Gustafsson B, Meléndez J, Asplund M, Yong D (2010) The chemical composition of solar-type stars in comparison with that of the sun. Astrophysics and Space Science 328(1):185–191
- Hamano K, Abe Y, Genda H (2013) Emergence of two types of terrestrial planet on solidification of magma ocean. Nature 497:607–610, DOI 10.1038/nature12163
- Hamano K, Kawahara H, Abe Y, Onishi M, Hashimoto GL (2015) Lifetime and Spectral Evolution of a Magma Ocean with a Steam Atmosphere: Its Detectability by Future Direct Imaging. ApJ806(2):216, DOI 10.1088/0004-637X/806/2/216, 1505.03552
- Hashimoto GL, Abe Y (2005) Climate control on Venus: Comparison of the carbonate and pyrite models. Planetary and Space Science 53(8):839–848

- (1979)Hayashi С, Nakazawa Κ, Mizuno Η Earth's melting the blanketing effect of the primordial dense due to atmosphere. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 43(1):22-28.DOI https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-821X(79)90152-3, URL https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0012821X79901523
- Head J, Wilson L, Ivanov M, Wordsworth R (2021) Contributions of Volatiles to the Venus Atmosphere from the Observed Extrusive Volcanic Record: Implications for the History of the Venus Atmosphere. In: EGU General Assembly Conference Abstracts, EGU General Assembly Conference Abstracts, pp EGU21–13030
- Henehan MJ, Hull PM, Penman DE, Rae JW, Schmidt DN (2016) Biogeochemical significance of pelagic ecosystem function: an end-cretaceous case study. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 371(1694):20150510
- Henning WG, Renaud JP, Saxena P, Whelley PL, Mandell AM, Matsumura S, Glaze LS, Hurford TA, Livengood TA, Hamilton CW, Efroimsky M, Makarov VV, Berghea CT, Guzewich SD, Tsigaridis K, Arney GN, Cremons DR, Kane SR, Bleacher JE, Kopparapu RK, Kohler E, Lee Y, Rushby A, Kuang W, Barnes R, Richardson JA, Driscoll P, Schmerr NC, Genio ADD, Davies AG, Kaltenegger L, Elkins-Tanton L, Fujii Y, Schaefer L, Ranjan S, Quintana E, Barclay TS, Hamano K, Petro NE, Kendall JD, Lopez ED, Sasselov DD (2018) Highly Volcanic Exoplanets, Lava Worlds, and Magma Ocean Worlds: An Emerging Class of Dynamic Exoplanets of Significant Scientific Priority. White paper submitted in response to the National Academy of Sciences 2018 Exoplanet Science Strategy solicitation, from the NASA Sellers Exoplanet Environments Collaboration (SEEC) of the Goddard Space Flight Center, 1804.05110
- Herrick RR (1994) Resurfacing history of Venus. Geology 22:703, DOI 10.1130/0091-7613(1994)022j0703:RHOV;2.3.CO;2
- Herrick RR, Rumpf ME (2011) Postimpact modification by volcanic or tectonic processes as the rule, not the exception, for venusian craters. Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets 116(E2)
- Hier-Majumder S, Hirschmann MM (2017) The origin of volatiles in the Earth's mantle. Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems 18(8), DOI 10.1002/2017GC006937, arXiv:1011.1669v3
- Hinkel NR, Unterborn CT (2018) The Star-Planet Connection. I. Using Stellar Composition to Observationally Constrain Planetary Mineralogy for the 10 Closest Stars. ApJ853(1):83, DOI 10.3847/1538-4357/aaa5b4, 1709.08630
- Hirschberger P, Plesa AC, Breuer D (2020) Elastic Lithosphere Thickness Calculations from Numerical Thermal Evolution Models of Venus' Interior with a Variable Thermal Conductivity. In: AGU Fall Meeting, 690646
- Hirschmann MM (2006) Water, Melting, and the deep Earth H₂O cycle. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences 34(1):629–653, DOI 10.1146/annurev.earth.34.031405.125211
- Hirth G, Kohlstedt D (2003) Rheology of the upper mantle and the mantle wedge: A view from the experimentalists. Geophysical monograph-american

geophysical union 138:83–106

- Hoeijmakers HJ, Schwarz H, Snellen IAG, de Kok RJ, Bonnefoy M, Chauvin G, Lagrange AM, Girard JH (2018) Medium-resolution integral-field spectroscopy for high-contrast exoplanet imaging. Molecule maps of the β Pictoris system with SINFONI. A&A617:A144, DOI 10.1051/0004-6361/201832902, 1802.09721
- Holland HD (1978) The chemistry of the atmosphere and oceans. In: The Chemistry of the Atmosphere and Oceans, Wiley, New York
- Höning D, Tosi N, Spohn T (2019) Carbon cycling and interior evolution of water-covered plate tectonics and stagnant-lid planets. Astronomy & Astrophysics 627:A48
- Höning D, Baumeister P, Grenfell JL, Tosi N, Way MJ (2021) Early habitability and crustal decarbonation of a stagnant-lid venus. Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets 126(10):e2021JE006895, DOI https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JE006895
- Hoolst TV(2015)10.04 rotation of the terrestrial planets. In: Schubert G (ed)Treatise on Geophysics (Second Edition), second edition edn, Elsevier, Oxford, 121151,pp DOI https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-53802-4.00168-8, URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/B9780444538024001688
- Houllé M, Vigan A, Carlotti A, Choquet É, Cantalloube F, Phillips MW, Sauvage JF, Schwartz N, Otten GPPL, Baraffe I, Emsenhuber A, Mordasini C (2021) Direct imaging and spectroscopy of exoplanets with the ELT/HARMONI high-contrast module. A&A652:A67, DOI 10.1051/0004-6361/202140479, 2104.11251
- Howe AR, Burrows A, Deming D (2017) An information-theoretic approach to optimize just observations and retrievals of transiting exoplanet atmospheres. The Astrophysical Journal 835(1):96
- Howell SB, Sobeck C, Haas M, Still M, Barclay T, Mullally F, Troeltzsch J, Aigrain S, Bryson ST, Caldwell D, Chaplin WJ, Cochran WD, Huber D, Marcy GW, Miglio A, Najita JR, Smith M, Twicken JD, Fortney JJ (2014) The K2 Mission: Characterization and Early Results. Publications of the Astronomical Society of the Pacific 126(938):398, DOI 10.1086/676406, 1402.5163
- Hubbert MK, Rubey W (1959) Role of fluid pressure in mechanics of overthrust faulting, Pts. I and II. Geological Society of America Bulletin 70:115–205
- Ikoma M, Genda H (2006) Constraints on the mass of a habitable planet with water of nebular origin. The Astrophysical Journal 648(1, 1):696–706, DOI 10.1086/505780
- Ikoma M, Elkins-Tanton L, Hamano K, Suckale J (2018) Water partitioning in planetary embryos and protoplanets with magma oceans. Space Science Reviews 214(4):76, DOI 10.1007/s11214-018-0508-3, URL https://doi.org/10.1007/s11214-018-0508-3
- Ingersoll AP (1969) The Runaway Greenhouse: A History of Water on Venus. Journal of Atmospheric Sciences 26:1191–1198, DOI 10.1175/1520-0469(1969)026;1191:TRGAHO;2.0.CO;2

- Ingersoll AP, Dobrovolskis AR (1978) Venus' rotation and atmospheric tides. Nature 275:37, DOI 10.1038/275037a0
- Ivanov MA, Head JW (2013) The history of volcanism on Venus. Planetary Space Science 84:66–92, DOI 10.1016/j.pss.2013.04.018
- Jacobson S, Breuer D, Gillmann C, Golabek G, Gülcher A, O'Rourke J, Sakuraba H, Salvador A, Way M (2022) Accretion, differentiation, and early state of venus. Space Science Reviews
- Jacobson SA, Rubie DC, Hernlund J, Morbidelli Α, Nakajima Μ (2017)Formation, stratification, and mixing of the cores and Earth and Planetary Science of earth venus. Letters 474:375-386, DOI https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2017.06.023, URL https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0012821X17303333
- Jakosky BM, Ahrens TJ (1979) The history of an atmosphere of impact origin. Lunar and Planetary Science Conference Proceedings 3:2727–2739
- Jakosky BM, Grebowsky JM, Luhmann JG, Connerney J, Eparvier F, Ergun R, Halekas J, Larson D, Mahaffy P, McFadden J, Mitchell DF, Schneider N, Zurek R, Bougher S, Brain D, Ma YJ, Mazelle C, Andersson L, Andrews D, Baird D, Baker D, Bell JM, Benna M, Chaffin M, Chamberlin P, Chaufray YY, Clarke J, Collinson G, Combi M, Crary F, Cravens T, Crismani M, Curry S, Curtis D, Deighan J, Delory G, Dewey R, DiBraccio G, Dong C, Dong Y, Dunn P, Elrod M, England S, Eriksson A, Espley J, Evans S, Fang X, Fillingim M, Fortier K, Fowler CM, Fox J, Gröller H, Guzewich S, Hara T, Harada Y, Holsclaw G, Jain SK, Jolitz R, Leblanc F, Lee CO, Lee Y, Lefevre F, Lillis R, Livi R, Lo D, Mayyasi M, McClintock W, McEnulty T, Modolo R, Montmessin F, Morooka M, Nagy A, Olsen K, Peterson W, Rahmati A, Ruhunusiri S, Russell CT, Sakai S, Sauvaud JA, Seki K, Steckiewicz M, Stevens M, Stewart AIF, Stiepen A, Stone S, Tenishev V, Thiemann E, Tolson R, Toublanc D, Vogt M, Weber T, Withers P, Woods T, Yelle R (2015) MAVEN observations of the response of Mars to an interplanetary coronal mass ejection. Science 350(6261):0210, DOI 10.1126/science.aad0210
- Jiang JH, Zhai AJ, Herman J, Zhai C, Hu R, Su H, Natraj V, Li J, Xu F, Yung YL (2018) Using Deep Space Climate Observatory Measurements to Study the Earth as an Exoplanet. AJ156(1):26, DOI 10.3847/1538-3881/aac6e2, 1805.05834
- Johnstone CP, Güdel M, Lammer H, Kislyakova KG (2018) Upper atmospheres of terrestrial planets: Carbon dioxide cooling and the Earth's thermospheric evolution. A&A617:A107, DOI 10.1051/0004-6361/201832776, 1806.06897
- Johnstone CP, Lammer H, Kislyakova K, Scherf M, Guedel M (2021) The young Sun's XUV-activity as a constraint for lower CO₂-limits in the Earth's Archean atmosphere. Earth Planet Sci under revision
- Kadoya S, Tajika E (2014) Conditions for Oceans on Earth-like Planets Orbiting within the Habitable Zone: Importance of Volcanic CO₂ Degassing. Astrophys J 790:107, DOI 10.1088/0004-637X/790/2/107
- Kaltenegger L, Henning W, Sasselov D (2010) Detecting volcanism on extrasolar planets. The Astronomical Journal 140(5):1370

- Kane SR, von Braun K (2008) Constraining orbital parameters through planetary transit monitoring. The Astrophysical Journal 689(1):492
- Kane SR, Barclay T, Gelino DM (2013) A Potential Super-Venus in the Kepler-69 System. ApJ770(2):L20, DOI 10.1088/2041-8205/770/2/L20, 1305.2933
- Kane SR, Kopparapu RK, Domagal-Goldman SD (2014) ON THE FRE-QUENCY OF POTENTIAL VENUS ANALOGS FROMKEPLERDATA. Astrophysical Journal 794(1):L5, DOI 10.1088/2041-8205/794/1/l5
- Kane SR, Ceja AY, Way MJ, Quintana EV (2018) Climate Modeling of a Potential ExoVenus. ApJ869(1):46, DOI 10.3847/1538-4357/aaec68, 1810.10072
- Kane SR, Roettenbacher RM, Unterborn CT, Foley BJ, Hill ML (2020) A Volatile-poor Formation of LHS 3844b Based on Its Lack of Significant Atmosphere. Planetary Science Journal 1(2):36, DOI 10.3847/PSJ/abaab5, 2007.14493
- Kao MM, Hallinan G, Pineda JS, Stevenson D, Burgasser A (2018) The Strongest Magnetic Fields on the Coolest Brown Dwarfs. ApJS237(2):25, DOI 10.3847/1538-4365/aac2d5, 1808.02485
- Karato Si (2011) Rheological structure of the mantle of a super-Earth: Some insights from mineral physics. Icarus 212(1):14–23
- Kasper M, Cerpa Urra N, Pathak P, Bonse M, Nousiainen J, Engler B, Heritier CT, Kammerer J, Leveratto S, Rajani C, Bristow P, Le Louarn M, Madec PY, Ströbele S, Verinaud C, Glauser A, Quanz SP, Helin T, Keller C, Snik F, Boccaletti A, Chauvin G, Mouillet D, Kulcsár C, Raynaud HF (2021) PCS A Roadmap for Exoearth Imaging with the ELT. The Messenger 182:38–43, DOI 10.18727/0722-6691/5221, 2103.11196
- JF, Catling D (2003)Evolution of Kasting a habitable planet. Annual Review of Astronomy and Astrophysics 41(1):429-463,DOI 10.1146/annurev.astro.41.071601.170049, URL https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.astro.41.071601.170049, https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.astro.41.071601.170049
- Kasting JF, Pollack JB, Ackerman TP (1984) Response of earth's atmosphere to increases in solar flux and implications for loss of water from Venus. Icarus 57:335–355, DOI 10.1016/0019-1035(84)90122-2
- Kasting JF. Whitmire DP, Reynolds RT (1993)Habitable zones around main sequence stars. Icarus 101(1):108128,DOI https://doi.org/10.1006/icar.1993.1010, URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0019103583710109
- Katyal N, Ortenzi G, Lee Grenfell J, Noack L, Sohl F, Godolt M, García Muñoz A, Schreier F, Wunderlich F, Rauer H (2020) Effect of mantle oxidation state and escape upon the evolution of Earth's magma ocean atmosphere. A&A643:A81, DOI 10.1051/0004-6361/202038779, 2009.14599
- Kawahara H, Murakami N, Matsuo T, Kotani T (2014) Spectroscopic Coronagraphy for Planetary Radial Velocimetry of Exoplanets. ApJS212(2):27, DOI 10.1088/0067-0049/212/2/27, 1404.5712
- Kempton EMR, Bean JL, Louie DR, Deming D, Koll DD, Mansfield M, Christiansen JL, López-Morales M, Swain MR, Zellem RT, et al. (2018) A framework for prioritizing the tess planetary candidates most amenable to atmospheric characterization. Publications of the Astronomical Society of the Pacific 130(993):114401
- Khan A, Ceylan S, van Driel M, Giardini D, Lognonné P, Samuel H, Schmerr NC, Stähler SC, Duran AC, Huang Q, Kim D, Broquet A, Charalambous C, Clinton JF, Davis PM, Drilleau M, Karakostas F, Lekic V, McLennan SM, Maguire RR, Michaut C, Panning MP, Pike WT, Pinot B, Plasman M, Scholz JR, Widmer-Schnidrig R, Spohn T, Smrekar SE, Banerdt WB (2021) Upper mantle structure of mars from insight seismic data. Science 373(6553):434–438, DOI 10.1126/science.abf2966, URL https://www.science.org/doi/abs/10.1126/science.abf2966, https://www.science.org/doi/pdf/10.1126/science.abf2966
- Khawja S, Ernst R, Samson C, Byrne P, Ghail R, MacLellan L (2020) Tesserae on venus may preserve evidence of fluvial erosion. Nature communications 11(1):1–8
- Kislyakova KG, Noack L, Johnstone CP, Zaitsev VV, Fossati L, Lammer H, Khodachenko ML, Odert P, Güdel M (2017) Magma oceans and enhanced volcanism on TRAPPIST-1 planets due to induction heating. Nature Astronomy 1:878–885, DOI 10.1038/s41550-017-0284-0, 1710.08761
- Kislyakova KG, Johnstone CP, Scherf M, Holmström M, Alexeev II, Lammer H, Khodachenko ML, Güdel M (2020) Evolution of the Earth's Polar Outflow From Mid-Archean to Present. Journal of Geophysical Research (Space Physics) 125(8):e27837, DOI 10.1029/2020JA027837, 2008.10337
- Kite ES, Manga M, Gaidos E (2009) Geodynamics and rate of volcanism on massive Earth-like planets. The Astrophysical Journal 700(2):1732
- Knapmeyer-Endrun B, Panning MP, Bissig F, Joshi R, Khan A, Kim D, Lekić V, Tauzin B, Tharimena S, Plasman M, et al. (2021) Thickness and structure of the martian crust from InSight seismic data. Science 373(6553):438–443
- Knollenberg R, Hunten D (1980) The microphysics of the clouds of Venus: Results of the Pioneer Venus particle size spectrometer experiment. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics 85(A13):8039–8058
- Koll DDB, Malik M, Mansfield M, Kempton EMR, Kite E, Abbot D, Bean JL (2019) Identifying Candidate Atmospheres on Rocky M Dwarf Planets via Eclipse Photometry. ApJ886(2):140, DOI 10.3847/1538-4357/ab4c91, 1907.13138
- Kollmann P, Brandt PC, Collinson G, Rong ZJ, Futaana Y, Zhang TL (2016) Properties of planetward ion flows in Venus' magnetotail. Icarus274:73–82, DOI 10.1016/j.icarus.2016.02.053
- Konrad BS, Alei E, Angerhausen D, Carrión-González Ó, Fortney JJ, Grenfell JL, Kitzmann D, Mollière P, Rugheimer S, Wunderlich F, Quanz SP, the LIFE Collaboration (2021) Large Interferometer For Exoplanets

(LIFE): III. Spectral resolution, wavelength range and sensitivity requirements based on atmospheric retrieval analyses of an exo-Earth. arXiv eprints arXiv:2112.02054, 2112.02054

- Kopparapu RK, Ramirez R, Kasting JF, Eymet V, Robinson TD, Mahadevan S, Terrien RC, Domagal-Goldman S, Meadows V, Deshpande R (2013) Habitable zones around main-sequence stars: New estimates. The Astrophysical Journal 765(2)
- Kopparapu Rk, Wolf ET, Arney G, Batalha NE, Haqq-Misra J, Grimm SL, Heng K (2017) Habitable Moist Atmospheres on Terrestrial Planets near the Inner Edge of the Habitable Zone around M Dwarfs. ApJ845(1):5, DOI 10.3847/1538-4357/aa7cf9, 1705.10362
- Kotsyurbenko OR, Cordova JA, Belov AA, Cheptsov VS, Kölbl D, Khrunyk YY, Kryuchkova MO, Milojevic T, Mogul R, Sasaki S, Słowik GP, Snytnikov V, Vorobyova EA (2021) Exobiology of the Venusian Clouds: New Insights into Habitability through Terrestrial Models and Methods of Detection. Astrobiology 21(10):1186–1205, DOI 10.1089/ast.2020.2296
- Koukouli M, Irwin P, Taylor F (2005) Water vapor abundance in venus' middle atmosphere from pioneer venus oir and venera 15 fts measurements. Icarus 173(1):84–99
- Krasnopolsky VA (2012) A photochemical model for the venus atmosphere at 47-112 km. Icarus 218(1):230-246
- Krasnopolsky VA (2016) Sulfur aerosol in the clouds of venus. Icarus 274:33–36
 Kreidberg L, Koll DDB, Morley C, Hu R, Schaefer L, Deming D, Stevenson KB, Dittmann J, Vanderburg A, Berardo D, Guo X, Stassun K, Crossfield I, Charbonneau D, Latham DW, Loeb A, Ricker G, Seager S, Vanderspek
- R (2019) Absence of a thick atmosphere on the terrestrial exoplanet LHS 3844b. Nature573(7772):87–90, DOI 10.1038/s41586-019-1497-4, 1908.06834
- Kreslavsky MA, Ivanov MA, Head JW (2015) The resurfacing history of Venus: Constraints from buffered crater densities. Icarus 250:438–450, DOI 10.1016/j.icarus.2014.12.024
- Krissansen-Totton J, Arney GN, Catling DC (2018)Constraining the climate and ocean ph of the early earth with a geological carbon cycle model. Proceedings of the National Academy of 115(16):4105-4110,DOI 10.1073/pnas.1721296115, Sciences URL https://www.pnas.org/content/115/16/4105, https://www.pnas.org/content/115/16/4105.full.pdf
- Krissansen-Totton J, Fortney JJ, Nimmo F (2021) Was venus ever habitable? constraints from a coupled interior–atmosphere–redox evolution model. The Planetary Science Journal 2(5):216
- Kruijver A, Höning D, van Westrenen W (2021) Carbon cycling and habitability of massive earth-like exoplanets. The Planetary Science Journal 2(5):208
- Kulikov YN, Lammer H, Lichtenegger H, Terada N, Ribas I, Kolb C, Langmayr D, Lundin R, Guinan E, Barabash S, et al. (2006) Atmospheric and water loss from early venus. Planetary and Space Science 54(13-14):1425–1444
- Kump LR (2018) Prolonged late permian–early triassic hyperthermal: failure of climate regulation? Philosophical Transac-

tions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences 376(2130):20170078, DOI 10.1098/rsta.2017.0078, URL https://royalsocietypublishing.org/doi/abs/10.1098/rsta.2017.0078, https://royalsocietypublishing.org/doi/pdf/10.1098/rsta.2017.0078

- Labrosse S (2015) Thermal evolution of the core with a high thermal conductivity. Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors 247:36–55, DOI https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pepi.2015.02.002, URL https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0031920115000175, transport Properties of the Earth's Core
- Lammer H, Lichtenegger HIM, Biernat HK, Erkaev NV, Arshukova IL, Kolb C, Gunell H, Lukyanov A, Holmstrom M, Barabash S, Zhang TL, Baumjohann W (2006) Loss of hydrogen and oxygen from the upper atmosphere of Venus. Planet. Space Sci.54(13-14):1445–1456, DOI 10.1016/j.pss.2006.04.022
- Landuyt W, Bercovici D (2009) Variations in planetary convection via the effect of climate on damage. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 277(1):29–37, DOI https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2008.09.034
- Laneuville M, Dong C, O'Rourke JG, Schneider AC (2020) Magnetic fields on rocky planets. In: Planetary Diversity, 2514-3433, IOP Publishing, pp 3–1 to 3–47, DOI 10.1088/2514-3433/abb4d9ch3, URL https://dx.doi.org/10.1088/2514-3433/abb4d9ch3
- Lange RA (1994) Chapter 9. THE EFFECT OF H20, CO2 AND F ON THE DENSITY AND VISCOSITY OF SILICATE MELTS. In: Carroll MR, Holloway JR (eds) Volatiles in Magmas, Mineralogical Society of America Washington, DC, United States, chap 9, pp 331–370, DOI 10.1515/9781501509674-015
- Langlais B, Thébault E, Houliez A, Purucker ME, Lillis RJ (2019) A new model of the crustal magnetic field of mars using mgs and maven. Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets 124(6):1542–1569, DOI https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JE005854, URL https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2018JE005854, https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2018JE005854
- Lapôtre MGA, O'Rourke JG, Schaefer LK, Siebach KL, Spalding C, Tikoo SM, Wordsworth RD (2020) Probing space to understand Earth. Nature Reviews Earth and Environment 1(3):170–181, DOI 10.1038/s43017-020-0029-y
- Lazio J, Shkolnik E, Hallinan G (2016) Planetary Magnetic Fields -Planetary Interiors and Habitability - Final Report. in Study Report prepared for the Keck Institute for Space Studies (KISS, URL https://kiss.caltech.edu/final_reports/Magnetic_final_report.pdf
- Lebrun T, Massol H, ChassefièRe E, Davaille A, Marcq E, Sarda P, Leblanc F, Brandeis G (2013) Thermal evolution of an early magma ocean in interaction with the atmosphere. Journal of Geophysical Research (Planets) 118:1155– 1176, DOI 10.1002/jgre.20068
- Leconte J, Forget F, Charnay B, Wordsworth R, Selsis F, Millour E, Spiga A (2013) 3D climate modeling of close-in land planets: Circulation patterns, climate moist bistability, and habitability. Astronomy and Astrophysics 554:A69, DOI 10.1051/0004-6361/201321042, 1303.7079

- Lécuyer C, Simon L, Guyot F (2000) Comparison of carbon, nitrogen and water budgets on venus and the earth. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 181(1):33 – 40, DOI https://doi.org/10.1016/S0012-821X(00)00195-3, URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0012821X00001953
- Lenardic A, Crowley JW (2012) On the Notion of Well-defined Tectonic Regimes for Terrestrial Planets in this Solar System and Others. Astrophy J 755(2):132, DOI 10.1088/0004-637X/755/2/132
- Lenardic A, Jellinek M, Moresi L (2008) A climate change induced transition in the tectonic style of a terrestrial planet. Earth Planet Sci Lett 271
- Lenardic A, Weller M, Höink T, Seales J (2019) Toward a boot strap hypothesis of plate tectonics: Feedbacks between plates, the asthenosphere, and the wavelength of mantle convection. Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors 296:106299, DOI https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pepi.2019.106299
- Lenardic A, Seales J, Moore W, Weller M (2021) Convective and tectonic plate velocities in a mixed heating mantle. Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems 22(2):e2020GC009278
- Li J, Jiang JH, Yang H, Abbot DS, Hu R, Komacek TD, Bartlett SJ, Yung YL (2021) Rotation period detection for earth-like exoplanets. The Astronomical Journal 163(1):27, DOI 10.3847/1538-3881/ac36ce, URL https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/ac36ce
- e2020JE006711 2020JE006711, https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2020JE006711 Lichtenegger HIM, Lammer H, Grießmeier JM, Kulikov YN, von Paris
- P, Hausleitner W, Krauss S, Rauer H (2010) Aeronomical evidence for higher CO₂ levels during Earth's Hadean epoch. Icarus210(1):1–7, DOI 10.1016/j.icarus.2010.06.042
- Lincowski AP, Lustig-Yaeger J, Meadows VS (2019) Observing isotopologue bands in terrestrial exoplanet atmospheres with the james webb space telescope: implications for identifying past atmospheric and ocean loss. The Astronomical Journal 158(1):26
- Louie DR, Deming D, Albert L, Bouma LG, Bean J, Lopez-Morales M (2018) Simulated JWST/NIRISS Transit Spectroscopy of Anticipated Tess Planets Compared to Select Discoveries from Space-based and Ground-based Surveys. PASP130(986):044401, DOI 10.1088/1538-3873/aaa87b, 1711.02098
- Lovis C, Blind N, Chazelas B, Kühn JG, Genolet L, Hughes I, Sordet M, Schnell R, Turbet M, Fusco T, Sauvage JF, Bugatti M, Billot N, Hagelberg J, Hocini E, Guyon O (2022) RISTRETTO: high-resolution spectroscopy at the diffraction limit of the VLT. In: Evans CJ, Bryant JJ, Motohara K (eds) Ground-based and Airborne Instrumentation for Astronomy IX, Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series, vol 12184, p 121841Q, DOI 10.1117/12.2627923, 2208.14838

- Lu G, Zhao L, Chen L, Wan B, Wu F (2021) Reviewing subduction initiation and the origin of plate tectonics: What do we learn from present-day earth? Earth and Planetary Physics 5(2):123–140
- Luger R, Barnes R (2015) Extreme Water Loss and Abiotic O2Buildup on Planets Throughout the Habitable Zones of M Dwarfs. Astrobiology 15(2):119–143, DOI 10.1089/ast.2014.1231, 1411.7412
- Luhmann J, Ledvina S, Russell C (2004) Induced magnetospheres. Advances in Space Research 33(11):1905–1912
- Luhmann JG, Kasprzak WT, Russell CT (2007) Space weather at Venus and its potential consequences for atmosphere evolution. Journal of Geophysical Research (Planets) 112(E4):E04S10, DOI 10.1029/2006JE002820
- Lundin R, Zakharov A, Pellinen R, Barabasj SW, Borg H, Dubinin EM, Hultqvist B, Koskinen H, Liede I, Pissarenko N (1990) Aspera/Phobos measurements of the ion outflow from the MARTIAN ionosphere. Geophys. Res. Lett.17(6):873–876, DOI 10.1029/GL017i006p00873
- Lundin R, Lammer H, Ribas I (2007) Planetary Magnetic Fields and Solar Forcing: Implications for Atmospheric Evolution. Space Science Reviews 129(1-3):245–278, DOI 10.1007/s11214-007-9176-4
- Lupu RE, Zahnle K, Marley MS, Schaefer L, Fegley B, Morley C, Cahoy K, Freedman R, Fortney JJ (2014) The Atmospheres of Earthlike Planets after Giant Impact Events. ApJ784(1):27, DOI 10.1088/0004-637X/784/1/27, 1401.1499
- Lustig-Yaeger J, Meadows VS, Tovar Mendoza G, Schwieterman EW, Fujii Y, Luger R, Robinson TD (2018) Detecting Ocean Glint on Exoplanets Using Multiphase Mapping. AJ156(6):301, DOI 10.3847/1538-3881/aaed3a, 1901.05011
- Lustig-Yaeger J, Meadows VS, Lincowski AP (2019a) A Mirage of the Cosmic Shoreline: Venus-like Clouds as a Statistical False Positive for Exoplanet Atmospheric Erosion. ApJ887(1):L11, DOI 10.3847/2041-8213/ab5965, 1911.09132
- Lustig-Yaeger J, Meadows VS, Lincowski AP (2019b) The Detectability and Characterization of the TRAPPIST-1 Exoplanet Atmospheres with JWST. AJ158(1):27, DOI 10.3847/1538-3881/ab21e0, 1905.07070
- MacDonald GJF (1964) Tidal Friction. Reviews of Geophysics and Space Physics 2:467–541, DOI 10.1029/RG002i003p00467
- MacLellan L, Ernst R, El Bilali H, Ghail R, Bethell E (2021) Volcanic history of the derceto large igneous province, astkhik planum, venus. Earth-Science Reviews p 103619
- Mamajek EE, Meyer MR (2007) An Improbable Solution to the Underluminosity of 2M1207B: A Hot Protoplanet Collision Afterglow. ApJ668(2):L175– L178, DOI 10.1086/522957, 0709.0456
- Marconi A, Allende Prieto C, Amado PJ, Amate M, Augusto SR, Becerril S, Bezawada N, Boisse I, Bouchy F, Cabral A, Chazelas B, Cirami R, Coretti I, Cristiani S, Cupani G, de Castro Leão I, de Medeiros JR, de Souza MAF, Di Marcantonio P, Di Varano I, D'Odorico V, Drass H, Figueira P, Fragoso AB, Fynbo JPU, Genoni M, González Hernández JI, Haehnelt M, Hughes

I, Huke P, Kjeldsen H, Korn AJ, Landoni M, Liske J, Lovis C, Maiolino R, Marquart T, Martins CJAP, Mason E, Monteiro MA, Morris T, Murray G, Niedzielski A, Oliva E, Origlia L, Pallé E, Parr-Burman P, Parro VC, Pepe F, Piskunov N, Rasilla JL, Rees P, Rebolo R, Riva M, Rousseau S, Sanna N, Santos NC, Shen TC, Sortino F, Sosnowska D, Sousa S, Stempels E, Strassmeier K, Tenegi F, Tozzi A, Udry S, Valenziano L, Vanzi L, Weber M, Woche M, Xompero M, Zackrisson E (2018) ELT-HIRES, the high resolution spectrograph for the ELT: results from the Phase A study. In: Evans CJ, Simard L, Takami H (eds) Ground-based and Airborne Instrumentation for Astronomy VII, Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series, vol 10702, p 107021Y, DOI 10.1117/12.2311664

- Marconi A, Abreu M, Adibekyan V, Aliverti M, Allende Prieto C, Amado P, Amate M, Artigau E, Augusto S, Barros S, Becerril S, Benneke B, Bergin E, Berio P, Bezawada N, Boisse I, Bonfils X, Bouchy F, Broeg C, Cabral A, Calvo-Ortega R, Canto Martins BL, Chazelas B, Chiavassa A, Christensen L, Cirami R, Coretti I, Covino S, Cresci G, Cristiani S, Cunha Parro V, Cupani G, de Castro Leão I, Renan de Medeiros J, Furlande Souza MA, Di Marcantonio P, Di Varano I, D'Odorico V, Doyon R, Drass H, Figueira P, Belen Fragoso A, Uldall Fynbo JP, Gallo E, Genoni M, González Hernández J, Haehnelt M, Hlavacek-Larrondo J, Hughes I, Huke P, Humphrey A, Kjeldsen H, Korn A, Kouach D, Landoni M, Liske J, Lovis C, Lunney D, Maiolino R, Malo L, Marquart T, Martins C, Mason E, Molaro P, Monnier J, Monteiro M, Mordasini C, Morris T, Mucciarelli A, Murray G, Niedzielski A, Nunes N, Oliva E, Origlia L, Pallé E, Pariani G, Parr-Burman P, Peñate J, Pepe F, Pinna E, Piskunov N, Rasilla Piñeiro JL, Rebolo R, Rees P, Reiners A, Riva M, Romano D, Rousseau S, Sanna N, Santos N, Sarajlic M, Shen TC, Sortino F, Sosnowska D, Sousa S, Stempels E, Strassmeier K, Tenegi F, Tozzi A, Udry S, Valenziano L, Vanzi L, Weber M, Woche M, Xompero M, Zackrisson E, Zapatero Osorio MR (2021) HIRES, the High-resolution Spectrograph for the ELT. The Messenger 182:27–32, DOI 10.18727/0722-6691/5219, 2011.12317
- Marcq E, Bertaux JL, Montmessin F, Belyaev D (2013) Variations of sulphur dioxide at the cloud top of Venus's dynamic atmosphere. Nature geoscience 6(1):25-28
- Marov MK, Greenspoon DH (1998) The planet Venus. Yale University Press, New Haven, CT
- Martin S, Kuan G, Stern D, Scowen P, Krist J, Mawet D, Ruane G (2019) Habitable Exoplanet Observatory (HabEx) telescope and optical instruments. In: Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series, Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series, vol 11117, p 1111704, DOI 10.1117/12.2530737
- Martins JHC, Figueira P, Santos NC, Lovis C (2013) Spectroscopic direct detection of reflected light from extrasolar planets. MNRAS436(2):1215– 1224, DOI 10.1093/mnras/stt1642, 1308.6516
- Massol H, Hamano K, Tian F, Ikoma M, Abe Y, Chassefière E, Davaille A, Genda H, Güdel M, Hori Y, et al. (2016) Formation and evolution of pro-

toatmospheres. Space Science Reviews 205(1):153-211

- Masunaga K, Futaana Y, Persson M, Barabash S, Zhang TL, Rong ZJ, Fedorov A (2019) Effects of the solar wind and the solar EUV flux on O⁺ escape rates from Venus. Icarus321:379–387, DOI 10.1016/j.icarus.2018.11.017
- Matsui T, Abe Y (1986) Impact-induced atmospheres and oceans on Earth and Venus. Nature322(6079):526–528, DOI 10.1038/322526a0
- McComas DJ, Spence HE, Russell CT, Saunders MA (1986) The average magnetic field draping and consistent plasma properties of the venus magnetotail. J. Geophys. Res.91(A7):7939–7953, DOI 10.1029/JA091iA07p07939
- McCord TB(1968)satellites The loss of retrograde in the solar system. Journal of Geophysical Research (1896 -1977)73(4):1497-1500.DOI 10.1029/JB073i004p01497, URL https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/JB073i004p01497, https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/JB073i004p01497
- Meadows VS, Arney GN, Schwieterman EW, Lustig-Yaeger J, Lincowski AP, Robinson T, Domagal-Goldman SD, Deitrick R, Barnes RK, Fleming DP, et al. (2018) The habitability of proxima centauri b: environmental states and observational discriminants. Astrobiology 18(2):133–189
- Meier TG, Bower DJ, Lichtenberg T, Tackley PJ, Demory BO (2021) Hemispheric Tectonics on LHS 3844b. The Astrophysical Journal Letters 908(2):L48
- Merk R, Breuer D, Spohn T (2002) Numerical modeling of ²6al-induced radioactive melting of asteroids considering accretion. Icarus DOI 10.1006/icar.2002.6872
- Mettler JN, Quanz SP, Helled R (2020) Earth as an Exoplanet. I. Time Variable Thermal Emission Using Spatially Resolved Moderate Imaging Spectroradiometer Data. AJ160(6):246, DOI 10.3847/1538-3881/abbc15, 2010.02589
- Miller-Ricci E, Meyer MR, Seager S, Elkins-Tanton L (2009) On the Emergent Spectra of Hot Protoplanet Collision Afterglows. ApJ704(1):770–780, DOI 10.1088/0004-637X/704/1/770, 0907.2931
- Misra A, Krissansen-Totton J, Koehler MC, Sholes S (2015) Transient sulfate aerosols as a signature of exoplanet volcanism. Astrobiology 15(6):462–477
- Mlynczak MG, Hunt LA, Thomas Marshall B, Martin-Torres FJ, Mertens CJ, Russell JM, Remsberg EE, López-Puertas M, Picard R, Winick J, Wintersteiner P, Thompson RE, Gordley LL (2010) Observations of infrared radiative cooling in the thermosphere on daily to multiyear timescales from the TIMED/SABER instrument. Journal of Geophysical Research (Space Physics) 115(A3):A03309, DOI 10.1029/2009JA014713
- Mogul R, Limaye SS, Lee YJ, Pasillas M (2021) Potential for Phototrophy in Venus' Clouds. Astrobiology 21(10):1237–1249, DOI 10.1089/ast.2021.0032
- Mollière P, van Boekel R, Bouwman J, Henning T, Lagage PO, Min M (2017) Observing transiting planets with jwst-prime targets and their synthetic spectral observations. Astronomy & Astrophysics 600:A10
- Moore W, Webb AA (2013) Heat-pipe earth. Nature 501:501–5, DOI 10.1038/nature12473

- Morbidelli A, Lunine JI, O'Brien DP, Raymond SN, Walsh KJ (2012) Building Terrestrial Planets. Annual review of Earth and Planetary Sciences 40:251– 275, DOI 10.1146/annurev-earth-042711-105319
- Moresi L, Solomatov V (1998) Mantle convection with a brittle lithosphere: thoughts on the global tectonic styles of the Earth and Venus. Geophysical Journal International 133(3):669–682, DOI 10.1046/j.1365-246X.1998.00521.x
- Morley CV, Kreidberg L, Rustamkulov Z, Robinson T, Fortney JJ (2017) Observing the atmospheres of known temperate earth-sized planets with jwst. The Astrophysical Journal 850(2):121
- Moyen JF, van Hunen J (2012) Short-term episodicity of Archaean plate tectonics. Geology 40(5):451–454, DOI 10.1130/G322894.1
- Mueller N, Smrekar S, Helbert J, Stofan E, Piccioni G, Drossart P (2017) Search for active lava flows with VIRTIS on Venus Express. Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets 122(5):1021–1045
- National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine (2021) Pathways to Discovery in Astronomy and Astrophysics for the 2020s. The National Academies Press, Washington, DC, DOI 10.17226/26141, URL https://www.nap.edu/catalog/26141/pathways-todiscovery-in-astronomy-and-astrophysics-for-the-2020s
- Ni H, Zhang L, Guo X (2016) Water and partial melting of Earth's mantle. Science China Earth Sciences 59(4):720–730, DOI 10.1007/s11430-015-5254-8, URL https://doi.org/10.1007/s11430-015-5254-8
- Nilsson H, Stenberg G, Futaana Y, Holmström M, Barabash S, Lundin R, Edberg NJT, Fedorov A (2012) Ion distributions in the vicinity of Mars: Signatures of heating and acceleration processes. Earth, Planets, and Space 64(2):135–148, DOI 10.5047/eps.2011.04.011
- Nilsson Η, Zhang Q, Stenberg Wieser G, Holmström M. Barabash S, Futaana Y, Fedorov A, М, Persson Wieser Μ (2021) Solar cycle variation of ion escape from mars. Icarus p 114610, DOI https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2021.114610, URL https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S001910352100275X
- Nimmo F (2002) Why does Venus lack a magnetic field? Geology 30(11):987–990, https://pubs.geoscienceworld.org/gsa/geology/articlepdf/30/11/987/3524399/i0091-7613-30-11-987.pdf
- Nimmo F (2015) Energetics of the core, Elsevier, pp 31–65. DOI 10.1016/B978-0-444-53802-4.00167-6
- Nimmo F, Stevenson DJ (2000) Influence of early plate tectonics on the thermal evolution and magnetic field of Mars. J Geophys Res 105(E5):11969– 11980, DOI 10.1029/1999JE001216
- Noack L, Rivoldini A, Van Hoolst T (2017) Volcanism and outgassing of stagnant-lid planets: implications for the habitable zone. Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors 269:40–57
- Ohtani E, Nagata Y, Suzuki A, Kato T (1995) Melting relations of peridotite and the density crossover in planetary mantles. Chemical Geology 120(3-4):207–221

- O'Neill C, Debaille V (2014) The evolution of hadean–eoarchaean geodynamics. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 406:49–58, DOI https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2014.08.034
- O'Neill C, Lenardic A, Moresi L, Torsvik T, Lee CT (2007) Episodic precambrian subduction. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 262(3):552–562, DOI https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2007.04.056
- O'Neill C, Lenardic A, Condie \mathbf{KC} (2015)Earth's punctuated tectonic evolution: cause and effect. Geological Society, Lon-Special 389(1):17-40, DOI 10.1144/SP389.4, don, Publications https://sp.lyellcollection.org/content/389/1/17.full.pdf
- O'Neill C, Marchi S, Zhang S, Bottke W (2017) Impact-driven subduction on the Hadean Earth. Nature Geoscience 10:793–797, DOI 10.1038/ngeo3029
- O'Rourke JG (2020) Venus: A Thick Basal Magma Ocean May Exist Today. Geophys. Res. Lett.47(4):e86126, DOI 10.1029/2019GL086126
- Gillmann С, Tackley Р (2018)O'Rourke JG, Prospects for ancient dynamo and modern crustal remanent anmagnetism on venus. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 502:46-56,DOI https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2018.08.055, URL https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0012821X18305211
- O'Rourke JG, Buz J, Fu RR, Lillis RJ (2019) Detectability of remanent magnetism in the crust of venus. Geophysical Research Letters 46(11):5768–5777, DOI https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GL082725, URL https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2019GL082725, https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2019GL082725
- O'Rourke JG, Wilson C, Borrelli M, Byrne P, Dumoulin C, Ghail R, Gülcher A, Jacobson S, Spohn T, Weller M, Westall F (2022) Venus, the planet: Introduction to earth's sister planet. Space Science Reviews DOI https://www.essoar.org/doi/10.1002/essoar.10511847.10
- Ortenzi G, Noack L, Sohl F, Guimond C, Grenfell J, Dorn C, Schmidt J, Vulpius S, Katyal N, Kitzmann D, et al. (2020) Mantle redox state drives outgassing chemistry and atmospheric composition of rocky planets. Scientific reports 10(1):1–14
- Oyama V, Carle G, Woeller F, Pollack J, Reynolds R, Craig R (1980) Pioneer venus gas chromatography of the lower atmosphere of venus. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics 85(A13):7891–7902
- O'Neill С (2020)Planetary thermal evolution models tectonic with transitions. Planetary and Space Science 192:105059.DOI https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pss.2020.105059, URL https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0032063320302725
- O'Neill C, Lenardic A, Weller M, Moresi L, Quenette S, Zhang S (2016) A window for plate tectonics in terrestrial planet evolution? Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors 255:80–92, DOI https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pepi.2016.04.002
- Pallé E, Ford EB, Seager S, Montañés-Rodríguez P, Vazquez M (2008) Identifying the Rotation Rate and the Presence of Dynamic Weather on Extrasolar Earth-like Planets from Photometric Observations. ApJ676(2):1319–1329,

DOI 10.1086/528677, 0802.1836

- Pasquini L, Avila G, Blecha A, Cacciari C, Cayatte V, Colless M, Damiani F, de Propris R, Dekker H, di Marcantonio P, Farrell T, Gillingham P, Guinouard I, Hammer F, Kaufer A, Hill V, Marteaud M, Modigliani A, Mulas G, North P, Popovic D, Rossetti E, Royer F, Santin P, Schmutzer R, Simond G, Vola P, Waller L, Zoccali M (2002) Installation and commissioning of FLAMES, the VLT Multifibre Facility. The Messenger 110:1–9
- Peplowski PN, Lawrence DJ, Wilson JT (2020) Chemically distinct regions of venus's atmosphere revealed by measured n 2 concentrations. Nature Astronomy 4(10):947–950
- Persson M, Futaana Y, Fedorov A, Nilsson H, Hamrin M, Barabash S (2018) H⁺/O⁺ Escape Rate Ratio in the Venus Magnetotail and its Dependence on the Solar Cycle. Geophys. Res. Lett.45(20):10,805–10,811, DOI 10.1029/2018GL079454
- Persson M, Futaana Y, Ramstad R, Masunaga K, Nilsson H, Hamrin M, Fedorov A, Barabash S (2020) The Venusian Atmospheric Oxygen Ion Escape: Extrapolation to the Early Solar System. Journal of Geophysical Research (Planets) 125(3):e06336, DOI 10.1029/2019JE006336
- Peterson WK, Collin HL, Yau AW, Lennartsson OW (2001) Polar/Toroidal Imaging Mass-Angle Spectrograph observations of suprathermal ion outflow during solar minimum conditions. J. Geophys. Res.106(A4):6059–6066, DOI 10.1029/2000JA003006
- Phillips JL, Russell CT (1987) Upper limit on the intrinsic magnetic field of venus. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics 92(A3):2253–2263, DOI https://doi.org/10.1029/JA092iA03p02253, URL https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/JA092iA03p02253, https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/JA092iA03p02253
- Phillips RJ, Raubertas RF, Arvidson RE, Sarkar IC, Herrick RR, Izenberg N, Grimm RE (1992) Impact craters and Venus resurfacing history. Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets 97(E10):15923–15948
- Phillips RJ, Bullock MA, Hauck SA (2001) Climate and interior coupled evolution on venus. Geophysical research letters 28(9):1779–1782
- Pickering TE, Pontoppidan KM, Laidler VG, Sontag CD, Robberto M, Karakla DM, Hanley C, Gilbert K, Slocum C, Earl NM, et al (2016) Pandeia: a multi-mission exposure time calculator for jwst and wfirst. Observatory Operations: Strategies, Processes, and Systems VI DOI 10.1117/12.2231768, URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1117/12.2231768
- Pollack JB (1971) A Nongrey Calculation of the Runaway Greenhouse: Implications for Venus' Past and Present. Icarus 14:295–306, DOI 10.1016/0019-1035(71)90001-7
- Pope BJS, Bedell M, Callingham JR, Vedantham HK, Snellen IAG, Price-Whelan AM, Shimwell TW (2020) No massive companion to the coherent radio-emitting m dwarf GJ 1151. The Astrophysical Journal 890(2):L19, DOI 10.3847/2041-8213/ab5b99, URL https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/ab5b99

- Quanz SP, Crossfield I, Meyer MR, Schmalzl E, Held J (2015) Direct detection of exoplanets in the 3-10 μm range with E-ELT/METIS. International Journal of Astrobiology 14(2):279–289, DOI 10.1017/S1473550414000135, 1404.0831
- Quick LC, Roberge A, Mlinar AB, Hedman MM (2020) Forecasting Rates of Volcanic Activity on Terrestrial Exoplanets and Implications for Cryovolcanic Activity on Extrasolar Ocean Worlds. Publications of the Astronomical Society of the Pacific 132(1014):084402
- Ramsay S, Amico P, Bezawada N, Cirasuolo M, Derie F, Egner S, George E, Gonté F, González Herrera JC, Hammersley P, Haupt C, Heijmans J, Ives D, Jakob G, Kerber F, Koehler B, Mainieri V, Manescau A, Oberti S, Padovani P, Peroux C, Siebenmorgen R, Tamai R, Vernet J (2020) The ESO Extremely Large Telescope instrumentation programme. In: Advances in Optical Astronomical Instrumentation 2019, Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series, vol 11203, p 1120303, DOI 10.1117/12.2541400
- Ramstad R, Barabash S (2021) Do Intrinsic Magnetic Fields Protect Planetary Atmospheres from Stellar Winds? Space Sci. Rev.217(2):36, DOI 10.1007/s11214-021-00791-1
- Ramstad R, Barabash S, Futaana Y, Nilsson H, Wang XD, Holmström M (2015) The Martian atmospheric ion escape rate dependence on solar wind and solar EUV conditions: 1. Seven years of Mars Express observations. Journal of Geophysical Research (Planets) 120(7):1298–1309, DOI 10.1002/2015JE004816
- Ramstad R, Barabash S, Futaana Y, Nilsson H, Holmström M (2017) Global Mars-solar wind coupling and ion escape. Journal of Geophysical Research (Space Physics) 122(8):8051–8062, DOI 10.1002/2017JA024306
- Ramstad R, Barabash S, Futaana Y, Nilsson H, Holmström M (2018) Ion Escape From Mars Through Time: An Extrapolation of Atmospheric Loss Based on 10 Years of Mars Express Measurements. Journal of Geophysical Research (Planets) 123(11):3051–3060, DOI 10.1029/2018JE005727
- Raymond SN (2021) A terrestrial convergence. Nature Astronomy 5:875–876, DOI 10.1038/s41550-021-01488-9
- Reeves GD, Cayton TE, Gary SP, Belian RD (1992) The Great Solar Energetic Particle Events of 1989 Observed From Geosynchronous Orbit. J. Geophys. Res.97(A5):6219–6226, DOI 10.1029/91JA03102
- Ricker GR, Latham D, Vanderspek R, Ennico K, Bakos G, Brown T, Burgasser A, Charbonneau D, Clampin M, Deming L, et al. (2010) Transiting exoplanet survey satellite (tess). In: American Astronomical Society Meeting Abstracts# 215, vol 215, pp 450–06
- Robinson TD, Meadows VS, Crisp D (2010) Detecting Oceans on Extrasolar Planets Using the Glint Effect. ApJ721(1):L67–L71, DOI 10.1088/2041-8205/721/1/L67, 1008.3864
- Robinson TD, Ennico K, Meadows VS, Sparks W, Bussey DBJ, Schwieterman EW, Breiner J (2014) Detection of Ocean Glint and Ozone Absorption Using LCROSS Earth Observations. ApJ787(2):171, DOI 10.1088/0004-

637X/787/2/171, 1405.4557

- Roble RG (1995) Major greenhouse cooling (yes, cooling): The upper atmosphere response to increased CO₂. Reviews of Geophysics 33(S1):539-546, DOI 10.1029/95RG00118
- Roble RG, Dickinson RE (1989) How will changes in carbon dioxide and methane modify the mean structure of the mesosphere and thermosphere? Geophys. Res. Lett.16(12):1441–1444, DOI 10.1029/GL016i012p01441
- Rodrigues M, Capone J, Earle A, Foster T, Hidalgo A, Lewis I, Lynn J, O'brien K, Tosh I, George EM, Accardo M, Alvarez D, Conzelmann R, Hopgood J, Clarke F, Schnetler H, Tecza M, Thatte N (2018) The HARMONI/ELT spectrographs. In: Evans CJ, Simard L, Takami H (eds) Ground-based and Airborne Instrumentation for Astronomy VII, Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series, vol 10702, p 107029M, DOI 10.1117/12.2313396
- Rogers LA (2015) Most 1.6 earth-radius planets are not rocky. The Astrophysical Journal 801(1):41
- Rolf T, Steinberger B, Sruthi U, Werner SC (2018) Inferences on the mantle viscosity structure and the post-overturn evolutionary state of Venus. Icarus 313:107–123
- Rolf T, Weller M, Gülcher A, Byrne P, O'Rourke JG, Herrick R, Bjonnes E, Davaille A, Ghail R, Gillmann C, Plesa AC (2022) Dynamics and evolution of venus' mantle through time. Space Science Reviews
- Ronov AB, Yaroshevsky AA (1969) Chemical Composition of the Earth's Crust. In: The Earth's Crust and Upper Mantle, American Geophysical Union, pp 37–57, DOI 10.1029/GM013p0037
- Rubie D, Jacobson S, Morbidelli A, O'Brien D, Young E, de Vries J, Nimmo F, Palme H, Frost D (2015) Accretion and differentiation of the terrestrial planets with implications for the compositions of early-formed solar system bodies and accretion of water. Icarus 248:89–108, DOI https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2014.10.015, URL https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0019103514005545
- Rustamkulov Z, Sing DK, Liu R, Wang A (2022) Analysis of a jwst nirspec lab time series: Characterizing systematics, recovering exoplanet transit spectroscopy, and constraining a noise floor. The Astrophysical Journal Letters 928(1):L7
- Ryan DJ, Robinson TD (2021) Detecting Oceans on Exoplanets with Phase-Dependent Spectral Principal Component Analysis. arXiv e-prints arXiv:2109.11062, 2109.11062
- Safronov VS (1978) The heating of the Earth during its formation. Icarus 33(1):3-12, DOI 10.1016/0019-1035(78)90019-2
- Salvador A, Samuel H (2022) Convective outgassing efficiency in planetary magma oceans: Insights from computational fluid dynamics. Icarus p 115265, DOI 10.1016/j.icarus.2022.115265, URL https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0019103522003578
- Salvador A, Massol H, Davaille A, Marcq E, Sarda P, Chassefière E (2017) The relative influence of H_2O and CO_2 on the primitive surface conditions

and evolution of rocky planets. Journal of Geophysical Research (Planets) 122(7):1458–1486, DOI 10.1002/2017JE005286

- Salvador A, Avice G, Breuer D, Gillmann C, Jacobson S, Lammer H, Marcq E, Raymond SN, Sakuraba H, Scherf M, Way M (2022) Magma ocean, water, and the early atmosphere of venus. Space Science Reviews
- Samuel H, Tackley PJ, Evonuk M (2010) Heat partitioning during core formation by negative diapirism in terrestrial planets. Earth Planet Sci Lett 290:13–1–9
- Sanders GH (2013) The Thirty Meter Telescope (TMT): An International Observatory. Journal of Astrophysics and Astronomy 34(2):81–86, DOI 10.1007/s12036-013-9169-5
- Sandor BJ, Clancy RT (2005) Water vapor variations in the venus mesosphere from microwave spectra. Icarus 177(1):129–143
- Sandwell DT, Schubert G (1992)Evidence for retsubduction Science rograde lithospheric on venus. 257(5071):766-770,DOI 10.1126/science.257.5071.766, URL https://science.sciencemag.org/content/257/5071/766, https://science.sciencemag.org/content/257/5071/766.full.pdf
- Sasaki S. Nakazawa Κ (1986)Metal-silicate fractionation Earth: for in the growing Energy source the terrestrial ocean. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth magma 91(B9):9231-9238, DOI 10.1029/JB091iB09p09231, URL https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/JB091iB09p09231
- Schaefer L, Parmentier V (2021) The air over there: exploring exoplanet atmospheres. arXiv e-prints arXiv:2108.08387, 2108.08387
- Scherf M, Lammer H (2021) Did Mars Possess a Dense Atmosphere During the First ~400 Million Years? Space Sci. Rev.217(1):2, DOI 10.1007/s11214-020-00779-3, 2102.05976
- Schillings A, Slapak R, Nilsson H, Yamauchi M, Dandouras I, Westerberg LG (2019) Earth atmospheric loss through the plasma mantle and its dependence on solar wind parameters. Earth, Planets, and Space 71(1):70, DOI 10.1186/s40623-019-1048-0
- Schubert G, Turcotte D, Olson P (2001) Mantle Convection in the Earth and Planets. Cambridge University Press, URL https://books.google.com/books?id=2lwnV2xCMmoC
- Schulze-Makuch D, Grinspoon DH, Abbas O, Irwin LN, Bullock MA (2004) A sulfur-based survival strategy for putative phototrophic life in the venusian atmosphere. Astrobiology 4(1):11–18
- Schwieterman EW, Robinson TD, Meadows VS, Misra A, Domagal-Goldman S (2015) Detecting and constraining n2 abundances in planetary atmospheres using collisional pairs. The Astrophysical Journal 810(1):57
- Shalygin EV, Markiewicz WJ, Basilevsky AT, Titov DV, Ignatiev NI, Head JW (2015) Active volcanism on venus in the ganiki chasma rift zone. Geophysical Research Letters 42(12):4762–4769
- Shea MA, Smart DF (1995) History of solar proton event observations. Nuclear Physics B Proceedings Supplements 39(1):16–25, DOI 10.1016/0920-

5632(95)00003-R

- Siebert J. Badro J, D, F.I (2013)Antonangeli Ryerson Terrestrial accretion under oxidizing conditions. Science 339(6124):1194-1197.DOI 10.1126/science.1227923, URL https://www.science.org/doi/abs/10.1126/science.1227923, https://www.science.org/doi/pdf/10.1126/science.1227923
- Slapak R, Schillings A, Nilsson H, Yamauchi M, Westerberg LG, Dandouras I (2017) Atmospheric loss from the dayside open polar region and its dependence on geomagnetic activity: implications for atmospheric escape on evolutionary timescales. Annales Geophysicae 35(3):721–731, DOI 10.5194/angeo-35-721-2017
- Sleep NH, Zahnle K (2001) Carbon dioxide cycling and implications for climate on ancient earth. Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets 106(E1):1373– 1399
- Smrekar SE, Stofan ER, Mueller N, Treiman A, Elkins-Tanton L, Helbert J, Piccioni G, Drossart P (2010) Recent hotspot volcanism on venus from virtis emissivity data. Science 328(5978):605–608
- Snellen I, de Kok R, Birkby JL, Brandl B, Brogi M, Keller C, Kenworthy M, Schwarz H, Stuik R (2015) Combining high-dispersion spectroscopy with high contrast imaging: Probing rocky planets around our nearest neighbors. A&A576:A59, DOI 10.1051/0004-6361/201425018, 1503.01136
- Solomatov VS (2004) Initiation of subduction by small-scale convection. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth 109(B1), DOI https://doi.org/10.1029/2003JB002628
- Sossi PA, Burnham AD, Badro J, Lanzirotti A, Newville M, O'neill HSC (2020) Redox state of earth's magma ocean and its venus-like early atmosphere. Science advances 6(48):eabd1387
- Soubiran F, Militzer B (2018) Electrical conductivity and magnetic dynamos in magma oceans of Super-Earths. Nature Communications 9:3883, DOI 10.1038/s41467-018-06432-6
- Sparks WB, Ford HC (2002) Imaging Spectroscopy for Extrasolar Planet Detection. ApJ578(1):543–564, DOI 10.1086/342401, astro-ph/0209078
- Stähler SC, Khan A, Banerdt WB, Lognonné P, Giardini D, Ceylan S, Drilleau M, Duran AC, Garcia RF, Huang Q, Kim D, Lekic V, Samuel H, Schimmel M, Schmerr N, Sollberger D, Stutzmann É, Xu Z, Antonangeli D, Charalambous C, Davis PM, Irving JCE, Kawamura T, Knapmeyer M, Maguire R, Marusiak AG, Panning MP, Perrin C, Plesa AC, Rivoldini A, Schmelzbach C, Zenhäusern G, Beucler É, Clinton J, Dahmen N, van Driel M, Gudkova T, Horleston A, Pike WT, Plasman M, Smrekar SE (2021) Seismic detection of the martian core. Science 373(6553):443–448, DOI 10.1126/science.abi7730
- Stamenković V, Breuer D, Spohn T (2011) Thermal and transport properties of mantle rock at high pressure: Applications to super-Earths. Icarus 216(2):572–596
- Stein C, Lowman J, Hansen U (2013) The influence of mantle internal heating on lithospheric mobility: Implications for superearths. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 361:448–459, DOI

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2012.11.011

- Stern RJ (2008) Modern-style plate tectonics began in Neoproterozoic time: An alternative interpretation of Earth's tectonic history. In: When Did Plate Tectonics Begin on Planet Earth?, Geological Society of America, DOI 10.1130/2008.2440(13)
- Stevenson DJ(2003)Planetary magnetic fields. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 208(1):1-11,DOI https://doi.org/10.1016/S0012-821X(02)01126-3, URL https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0012821X02011263
- Stevenson DJ (2010) Planetary Magnetic Fields: Achievements and Prospects. Space Sci. Rev.152(1-4):651–664, DOI 10.1007/s11214-009-9572-z
- Stewart E, Ague JJ, Ferry JM, Schiffries CM, Tao RB, Isson TT, Planavsky NJ (2019) Carbonation and decarbonation reactions: Implications for planetary habitability. American Mineralogist 104(10):1369– 1380, DOI 10.2138/am-2019-6884, URL https://doi.org/10.2138/am-2019-6884, https://pubs.geoscienceworld.org/ammin/articlepdf/104/10/1369/4835310/am-2019-6884.pdf
- Stixrude L, Scipioni R, Desjarlais M (2020) A silicate dynamo in the early earth. Nature Communications 11:935, DOI 10.1038/s41467-020-14773-4
- Strangeway R, Russell C, Luhmann J, Moore T, Foster J, Barabash S, Nilsson H (2010) Does a planetary-scale magnetic field enhance or inhibit ionospheric plasma outflows? In: Agu fall meeting abstracts, vol 2010, pp SM33B–1893
- Strom RG, Schaber GG, Dawson DD (1994) The global resurfacing of Venus. Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets 99(E5):10899–10926
- Surkov YA, Barsukov V, Moskalyeva L, Kharyukova V, Kemurdzhian A (1984) New data on the composition, structure, and properties of Venus rock obtained by Venera 13 and Venera 14. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth 89(S02):B393–B402
- Surkov YA, Moskalyova L, Kharyukova V, Dudin A, Smirnov G, Zaitseva SY (1986) Venus rock composition at the Vega 2 landing site. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth 91(B13):E215–E218
- Svensen H, Planke S, Polozov AG, Schmidbauer N, Corfu F, Podladchikov YY, Jamtveit B (2009) Siberian gas venting and the end-permian environmental crisis. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 277(3):490–500, DOI https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2008.11.015, URL https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0012821X08007292
- Teinturier L, Vieira N, Jacquet E, Geoffrion J, Bestavros Y, Keating D, Cowan NB (2022) Mapping the Surface of Partially Cloudy Exoplanets is Hard. MNRASDOI 10.1093/mnras/stac030, 2201.00825
- The LUVOIR Team (2019) The LUVOIR Mission Concept Study Final Report. arXiv e-prints arXiv:1912.06219, 1912.06219
- Thompson MA, Krissansen-Totton J, Wogan N, Telus M, Fortney JJ (2022) The case and context for atmospheric methane as an exoplanet biosignature. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 119(14):e2117933119, DOI 10.1073/pnas.2117933119,

- URL https://www.pnas.org/doi/abs/10.1073/pnas.2117933119, https://www.pnas.org/doi/pdf/10.1073/pnas.2117933119
- Tian F, Kasting JF, Liu HL, Roble RG (2008) Hydrodynamic planetary thermosphere model: 1. Response of the Earth's thermosphere to extreme solar EUV conditions and the significance of adiabatic cooling. Journal of Geophysical Research (Planets) 113(E5):E05008, DOI 10.1029/2007JE002946
- Tian F, Kasting JF, Solomon SC (2009) Thermal escape of carbon from the early Martian atmosphere. Geophys. Res. Lett.36(2):L02205, DOI 10.1029/2008GL036513
- Tinetti G, Drossart P, Eccleston P, Hartogh P, Heske A, Leconte J, Micela G, Ollivier M, Pilbratt G, Puig L, et al. (2018) A chemical survey of exoplanets with ariel. Experimental Astronomy 46(1):135–209
- Tinetti G, Eccleston P, Haswell C, Lagage PO, Leconte J, Lüftinger T, Micela G, Min M, Pilbratt G, Puig L, et al (2021) Ariel: Enabling planetary science across light-years. arXiv e-prints arXiv:2104.04824, 2104.04824
- Tonks WB, Melosh HJ (1993) Magma ocean formation due to giant impacts. Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets 98(E3):5319–5333, DOI 10.1029/92JE02726, URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/92JE02726
- Tosi N, Yuen DA, de Koker N, Wentzcovitch RM (2013) Mantle dynamics with pressure-and temperature-dependent thermal expansivity and conductivity. Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors 217:48–58
- Tosi N, Godolt M, Stracke B, Ruedas T, Grenfell JL, Höning D, Nikolaou A, Plesa AC, Breuer D, Spohn T (2017) The habitability of a stagnant-lid Earth. Astronomy & Astrophysics 605:A71
- Tozer DC (1965) Thermal History of the Earth: I. The Formation of the Core. Geophysical Journal International 9(2-3):95–112, DOI 10.1111/j.1365-246X.1965.tb02064.x, URL https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246X.1965.tb02064.x
- Truong N, Lunine JI (2021) Volcanically extruded phosphides as an abiotic source of venusian phosphine. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 118(29), DOI 10.1073/pnas.2021689118, URL https://www.pnas.org/content/118/29/e2021689118, https://www.pnas.org/content/118/29/e2021689118,
- Tu L, Johnstone CP, Güdel M, Lammer H (2015) The extreme ultraviolet and x-ray sun in time: High-energy evolutionary tracks of a solar-like star. Astronomy & Astrophysics 577:L3
- Turbet M, Leconte J, Selsis F, Bolmont E, Forget F, Ribas I, Raymond SN, Anglada-Escudé G (2016) The habitability of Proxima Centauri b. II. Possible climates and observability. A&A596:A112, DOI 10.1051/0004-6361/201629577, 1608.06827
- Turbet M, Ehrenreich D, Lovis C, Bolmont E, Fauchez T (2019) The runaway greenhouse radius inflation effect - An observational diagnostic to probe water on Earth-sized planets and test the habitable zone concept. Astronomy & Astrophysics 628:A12, DOI 10.1051/0004-6361/201935585, URL https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201935585

- Turbet M, Bolmont E, Ehrenreich D, Gratier P, Leconte J, Selsis F, Hara N, Lovis C (2020) Revised mass-radius relationships for water-rich rocky planets more irradiated than the runaway greenhouse limit. Astronomy & Astrophysics 638:A41, DOI 10.1051/0004-6361/201937151, URL https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201937151
- Turbet M, Bolmont E, Chaverot G, Ehrenreich D, Leconte J, Marcq E (2021) Day-night cloud asymmetry prevents early oceans on Venus but not on Earth. Nature598:276-280, DOI 10.1038/s41586-021-03873-w
- Valencia D, O'Connell RJ, Sasselov D (2006) Internal structure of massive terrestrial planets. Icarus 181(2):545–554
- van Summeren J, Gaidos E, Conrad CP (2013) Magnetodynamo lifetimes for rocky, Earth-mass exoplanets with contrasting mantle convection regimes. Journal of Geophysical Research (Planets) 118(5):938–951, DOI 10.1002/jgre.20077, 1304.2437
- Vedantham H, Callingham J, Shimwell T, Tasse C, Pope B, Bedell M, Snellen I, Best P, Hardcastle M, Haverkorn M, et al. (2020) Coherent radio emission from a quiescent red dwarf indicative of star–planet interaction. Nature Astronomy 4(6):577–583
- Villanueva GL, Smith MD, Protopapa S, Faggi S, Mandell AM (2018) Planetary spectrum generator: An accurate online radiative transfer suite for atmospheres, comets, small bodies and exoplanets. Journal of Quantitative Spectroscopy and Radiative Transfer 217:86–104
- Walker JCG, Hays PB, Kasting JF (1981) A negative feedback mechanism for the long-term stabilization of the earth's surface temperature. Journal of Geophysical Research 86:9776–9782, DOI 10.1029/JC086iC10p09776
- Walters DN, Best MJ, Bushell AC, Copsey D, Edwards JM, Falloon PD, Harris CM, Lock AP, Manners JC, Morcrette CJ, Roberts MJ, Stratton RA, Webster S, Wilkinson JM, Willett MR, Boutle IA, Earnshaw PD, Hill PG, MacLachlan C, Martin GM, Moufouma-Okia W, Palmer MD, Petch JC, Rooney GG, Scaife AA, Williams KD (2011) The met office unified model global atmosphere 3.0/3.1 and jules global land 3.0/3.1 configurations. Geoscientific Model Development 4(4):919–941, DOI 10.5194/gmd-4-919-2011, URL https://gmd.copernicus.org/articles/4/919/2011/
- Way MJ, Del Genio AD (2020) Venusian habitable climate scenarios: Modeling venus through time and applications to slowly rotating venus-like exoplanets. Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets 125(5):e2019JE006276
- Way MJ, Del Genio AD, Kiang NY, Sohl LE, Grinspoon DH, Aleinov I, Kelley M, Clune T (2016) Was Venus the first habitable world of our solar system? Geophysical Research Letters 43:8376–8383, DOI 10.1002/2016GL069790, 1608.00706
- Way MJ, Aleinov I, Amundsen DS, Chandler MA, Clune TL, Del Genio AD, Fujii Y, Kelley M, Kiang NY, Sohl L, Tsigaridis K (2017) Resolving Orbital and Climate Keys of Earth and Extraterrestrial Environments with Dynamics (ROCKE-3D) 1.0: A General Circulation Model for Simulating the Climates of Rocky Planets. Astrophysical Journal Supplement Series 231:12, DOI 10.3847/1538-4365/aa7a06, 1701.02360

- Way MJ, Del Genio AD, Aleinov I, Clune TL, Kelley M, Kiang NY (2018) Climates of Warm Earth-like Planets I: 3-D Model Simulations. ArXiv eprints 1808.06480
- Weiss LM, Marcy GW (2014) The mass-radius relation for 65 exoplanets smaller than 4 earth radii. The Astrophysical Journal Letters 783(1):L6
- Weller M, Lenardic A, O'Neill C (2015) The effects of internal heating and large scale climate variations on tectonic bi-stability in terrestrial planets. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 420:85–94, DOI https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2015.03.021
- Weller MB, Kiefer WS (2020) The physics of changing tectonic regimes: Implications for the temporal evolution of mantle convection and the thermal history of venus. Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets 125(1):e2019JE005960, DOI https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JE005960
- Weller MB, Kiefer WS (2021) Punctuated Evolution of the Venusian Atmosphere from Mantle Outgassing. In: Lunar and Planetary Science Conference, Lunar and Planetary Science Conference, p 1555
- Weller MB, Lenardic A (2012) Hysteresis in mantle convection: Plate tectonics systems. Geophysical Research Letters 39(10), DOI https://doi.org/10.1029/2012GL051232
- Weller MB, Lenardic A (2018) On the evolution of terrestrial planets: Bi-stability, stochastic effects, and the non-uniqueness of tectonic states. Geoscience Frontiers 9(1):91–102, DOI https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gsf.2017.03.001, lid Tectonics
- Westall F, Hoenig D, Gillmann C, Way M (2022) The habitability of venus. Space Science Reviews
- Widemann T, Avice G, Breuer D, Gillmann C, Salvador A, Way M (2022) Future observations and missions. Space Science Reviews
- Williams Q (2018) The thermal conductivity of earth's core: A key geophysical parameter's constraints and uncertainties. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences 46(1):47–66, DOI 10.1146/annurevearth-082517-010154, URL https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-earth-082517-010154, https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-earth-082517-010154
- Wolf ET, Kopparapu R, Airapetian V, Fauchez T, Guzewich SD, Kane SR, Pidhorodetska D, Way MJ, Abbot DS, Checlair JH, et al. (2019) The importance of 3d general circulation models for characterizing the climate and habitability of terrestrial extrasolar planets. arXiv preprint arXiv:190305012
- Wordsworth R, Pierrehumbert R (2013) Water loss from terrestrial planets with co2-rich atmospheres. The Astrophysical Journal 778(2):154
- Wunderlich F, Godolt M, Grenfell JL, Städt S, Smith AMS, Gebauer S, Schreier F, Hedelt P, Rauer H (2019) Detectability of atmospheric features of Earth-like planets in the habitable zone around M dwarfs. A&A624:A49, DOI 10.1051/0004-6361/201834504, 1905.02560
- Yang J, Boué G, Fabrycky DC, Abbot DS (2014) Strong Dependence of the Inner Edge of the Habitable Zone on Planetary Rotation Rate. Astrophysical Journal 787:L2, DOI 10.1088/2041-8205/787/1/L2, 1404.4992

- Yau AW, Shelley EG, Peterson WK, Lenchyshyn L (1985) Energetic auroral and polar ion outflow at DE 1 altitudes: Magnitude, composition, magnetic activity dependence, and long-term variations. J. Geophys. Res.90(A9):8417–8432, DOI 10.1029/JA090iA09p08417
- Zahnle K, Arndt N, Cockell C, Halliday A, Nisbet E, Selsis F, Sleep NH (2007) Emergence of a habitable planet. Space Science Reviews DOI 10.1007/s11214-007-9225-z
- Zahnle KJ, Catling DC (2017) The cosmic shoreline: The evidence that escape determines which planets have atmospheres, and what this may mean for proxima centauri b. The Astrophysical Journal 843(2):122
- Zolotov M (2019) Chemical weathering on Venus. In: Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Planetary Science, Oxford University Press
- Zolotov MY (2018) Gas–solid interactions on venus and other solar system bodies. Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry 84(1):351–392