A novel high-resolution in situ tool for studying carbon biogeochemical processes in aquatic systems: The Lake Aiguebelette case study

Roberto Grilli¹, Tonya DelSontro², Josette Garnier³, Frederick Jacob⁴, and Julien Némery⁵

¹Univ. Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, IRD, Grenoble INP (Institute of Engineering), IGE ²Université du Québec à Montréal ³CNRS & University Pierre and Marie Curie ⁴Electricité de France, Hydro Engineering Centre ⁵University of Grenoble Alpes /CNRS/IRD,

November 21, 2022

Abstract

Lakes and reservoirs are a significant source of atmospheric methane (CH₄), with emissions comparable to the largest global CH₄ emitters. Understanding the processes leading to such significant emissions from aquatic systems is therefore of primary importance for producing more accurate projections of emissions in a changing climate. In this work, we present the first deployment of a novel membrane inlet laser spectrometer (MILS) for fast simultaneous detection of dissolved CH₄, C₂H₆ and d¹³CH₄. During a 1-day field campaign, we performed 2D mapping of surface water of Lake Aiguebelette (France). In the littoral (pelagic) area, average dissolved CH₄ concentrations and d¹³CH₄ were 391.9 ± 156.3 (169.8 ± 26.6) nmol L⁻¹ and -67.3 ± 3.4 (-61.5 ± 3.6) the pelagic zone was fifty times larger than the concentration expected at equilibrium with the atmosphere, confirming an oversaturation of dissolved CH₄ in surface waters over shallow and deep areas. The results suggest the presence of CH₄ sources less enriched in ¹³C in the littoral zone (presumably the littoral sediments). The CH₄ pool became more enriched in ¹³C with distance from shore, suggesting that oxidation prevailed over epilimnetic CH₄ production, that was further confirmed by an isotopic mass balance technique with the high-resolution transect data. This new *in situ* fast response sensor allows to obtain unique high-resolution and high-spatial coverage datasets within a limited amount of survey time. This tool will be useful in the future for studying processes governing CH₄ dynamics in aquatic systems.

1 2	A novel high-resolution in situ tool for studying carbon biogeochemical processes in aquatic systems: The Lake Aiguebelette case study		
3	R. Grilli ¹ , T. DelSontro ² , J. Garnier ³ , F. Jacob ⁴ , J. Némery ¹		
4	¹ Univ. Grenoble Alpes, IRD, CNRS, Grenoble INP*, IGE, F-38000, Grenoble, France		
5	² Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Waterloo, Canada		
6 7	³ Sorbonne Université CNRS EPHE, Milieux environnementaux, transferts et interactions dans les hydrosystèmes et les sols, METIS, France		
8	⁴ Centre d'Ingénierie Hydraulique, EDF, France		
9			
10	*Institute of Engineering, Université Grenoble Alpes		
11			
12	Corresponding author: Roberto Grilli (roberto.grilli@cnrs.fr)		
13			
14	Key Points:		
15	• Fast in situ dissolved methane and isotopic measurements		
16	High-resolution dissolve methane mapping		
17	Biogeochemical processes identification		

18 Abstract

- 19 Lakes and reservoirs are a significant source of atmospheric methane (CH₄), with emissions
- 20 comparable to the largest global CH₄ emitters. Understanding the processes leading to such
- significant emissions from aquatic systems is therefore of primary importance for producing
- 22 more accurate projections of emissions in a changing climate. In this work, we present the first
- deployment of a novel membrane inlet laser spectrometer (MILS) for fast simultaneous detection
- of dissolved CH₄, C₂H₆ and δ^{13} CH₄. During a 1-day field campaign, we performed 2D mapping
- of surface water of Lake Aiguebelette (France). In the littoral (pelagic) area, average dissolved
- 26 CH₄ concentrations and δ^{13} CH₄ were 391.9 ± 156.3 (169.8 ± 26.6) nmol L⁻¹ and -67.3 ± 3.4 (-
- 61.5 ± 3.6) %, respectively. The dissolved CH₄ concentration in the pelagic zone was fifty times
- larger than the concentration expected at equilibrium with the atmosphere, confirming an
 oversaturation of dissolved CH₄ in surface waters over shallow and deep areas. The results
- suggest the presence of CH₄ sources less enriched in 13 C in the littoral zone (presumably the
- littoral sediments). The CH₄ pool became more enriched in 13 C with distance from shore,
- 32 suggesting that oxidation prevailed over epilimnetic CH₄ production, that was further confirmed
- by an isotopic mass balance technique with the high-resolution transect data. This new *in situ*
- 34 fast response sensor allows to obtain unique high-resolution and high-spatial coverage datasets
- 35 within a limited amount of survey time. This tool will be useful in the future for studying
- 36 processes governing CH₄ dynamics in aquatic systems.

37 Plain Language Summary

- 38 High-resolution mapping of surface methane and its isotopic signature enables accurate
- 39 characterization of aquatic systems and discrimination of biochemical processes at work. At
- 40 Lake Aigueblette, this new in situ tool allowed us to conclude that methane present at the surface
- 41 comes mainly from shallow littoral areas, where sediments, which are the sources of methane,
- 42 are closer to the surface. During lateral transport of water masses from the littoral, the change in
- 43 isotopic signature reveals that methane oxidation prevails over local *in situ* production.
- 44 Comparison with previous studies validates the reliability of the high-resolution dataset and
- showed that, for smaller lakes, the methane isotopic signature changes faster than the methane
- concentration. This can be explained by the fact that the smaller lake has a larger littoral-to-total
- 47 surface area. This new tool will be useful in the future to study the processes governing CH_4
- 48 dynamics in aquatic systems.

49 **1 Introduction**

- 50 Inland waters are a significant source of atmospheric methane (CH₄) (DelSontro et al., 2018a;
- 51 Rosentreter et al., 2021; Saunois et al., 2019), which is a greenhouse gas (GHG) 34-85 times
- 52 stronger than carbon dioxide (on 100 to 20-yr timescales including feedbacks; Myhre et al.,
- 53 2013) and responsible for ~23% of global radiative forcing since 1750 (Etminan et al., 2016). Of
- the GHGs produced by inland waters (i.e., carbon dioxide, CH_4 and nitrous oxide), CH_4 is
- responsible for ~75% of the climatic impact of aquatic GHG emissions (DelSontro et al., 2018a)
- ⁵⁶ with aquatic CH₄ emissions comparable to the largest global CH₄ emitters wetlands and
- agriculture (Saunois et al., 2019). Considering that aquatic systems contribute up to half of
- global CH₄ emissions (Rosentreter et al., 2021), and the fact that CH₄ is predominantly formed in
- anoxic environments such as lake sediments (Bastviken et al., 2004), the source and
- 60 quantification of ubiquitous surface CH₄ observed in most aquatic systems are a question of
- 61 global importance (e.g., Tranvik et al., 2009; Juutinen et al., 2009; Rasilo et al., 2015). As a

result, monitoring of aquatic dissolved CH₄ concentrations and emissions has steadily become

- more commonplace, although the methods used, particularly for investigating concentrations,
- remain rather manual and laboratory oriented. Concentration alone may not always be sufficient
- 65 for identifying the source of surface CH₄ and the isotopic signature and/or the measurement of
- 66 other short-chain hydrocarbons can significantly help to unravel the origins of the dissolved CH₄
- and identify processes through which the observed CH_4 pool was potentially metabolized
- 68 (Claypool and Kvenvolden, 1983).
- The headspace technique (McAullife, 1971) is the manual approach most used to sample for
- dissolved CH₄, with concentrations later measured on a gas chromatograph (e.g., Garnier et al.,
- 2013; Rasilo et al., 2015). Because of the manual nature of these measurements, only a few or
- even just one sample is often taken in systems, particularly during multi-lake surveys (e.g.,
- Rasilo et al., 2015). Recently, however, equilibrator systems have been used to extract dissolved
- gas from water (either *in situ* or on site) which is then directed either to a laser-based optical
- r5 spectrometer (Gerardo-Nieto et al., 2019; Gonzalez-valencia et al., 2014; Grilli et al., 2020;
- Wankel et al., 2013; Yuan et al., 2020) or to a compact mass spectrometer (Bärenbold et al.,
- 2020; Brennwald et al., 2016; Short et al., 2006) for highly resolved measurements. Note that
- this is a not extensive list of studies. Other commercial devices for *in situ* measurements of
- dissolved gases are also available. For example, the METS sensor from Franatech has the
- advantage of being compact, low cost, and easy to use, but it relies on an indirect technique that
- suffers from not being gas selective, which may lead to artefacts due to presence of other
- dissolved gas species or to the variability of other parameters related to the water mass (e.g.
- dissolved oxygen content, temperature, salinity, hydrostatic pressure). The HydroC Contros
- sensor from -4H-JENA relies on the measurement of partial pressure of the dissolved gases by a
- Tunable Diode Laser Absorption Spectroscopy (TDLAS) technique but suffers from a slow
- response time ($t_{90} > 30$ min for CH₄) due to the membrane equilibration approach, making fast dynamic measurements impossible.
- 88 Compact quadrupole mass spectrometers are now available and led to the development and
- 89 commercialization of Membrane Inlet Mass Spectrometer (MIMS) devices. These instruments
- 90 provide a fast response time and a large spectrum of gas species that can be simultaneously
- analyzed (Nobel gases, N₂, O₂, CH₄, CO₂, H₂S, N₂O, etc.) (McMutrtry et al., 2005; Short et al.,
- 92 2006; Tortell, 2005). However, the compactness of the device for *in situ* measurements limits the
- achievable mass resolution, leading to a problem of interference between fragments with similar
- 94 mass, and making isotopic measurements nowadays still not conceivable.
- 95 With the advances on the development of optical spectroscopy sensors, and particular on cavity-
- based techniques, high precision concentration and also isotopic measurements are now possible
- 97 using compact and transportable instruments (among others, commercial sensors are also
- available e.g. Picarro, Los Gatos Research, Thermo Scientific). When coupled with a dissolved
- 99 gas extraction technique, these analyzers can provide *in situ* high-resolution isotopic gas
- 100 measurements (Maher et al., 2015; Wankel et al., 2013; Webb et al., 2016).
- 101 It was long thought that the primary source of surface CH₄ was exclusively from anoxic
- sediments, either transported from littoral zones (Hofmann et al., 2010; Murase et al., 2003) or
- 103 from pelagic sediments during non- or weakly-stratified periods (MacIntyre and Melack, 1995).
- In stratified systems, CH₄ produced in anoxic sediments diffuses into and accumulates in bottom
- waters but is trapped beneath a zone of minimal diffusion (Vachon et al., 2019) and oxidation
- 106 (Bastviken et al., 2008), which is the primary sink for dissolved CH₄. This begs the question
- 107 whether littoral sediments can adequately supply the surface CH₄ observed in most systems,

108 particularly in large and stratified lakes. Recent evidence suggests that CH₄ can also be produced

- in surface oxic waters (Bižić et al., 2020; Grossart et al., 2011) at rates sufficient enough to
- maintain surface CH_4 pools in a variety of systems and contribute significantly to atmospheric
- emissions (Günthel et al., 2019). Mass balance exercises in some systems have supported the notion that oxic methane production (OMP) can supply the majority of surface CH₄ during the
- notion that oxic methane production (OMP) can supply the majority of surface CH_4 during the stratified period (Donis et al., 2017). However, it is likely that both transport from littoral
- statilities statilities and OMP maintain the surface CH₄ supply in at least most smaller lakes (DelSontro et
- al., 2018b). Measurements of δ^{13} C of CH₄ have provided further evidence that surface CH₄ is not
- only sourced from bottom waters (e.g., Donis et al. 2017) and that oxidation and an addition
- from another CH₄ pool (i.e., OMP) modulates the observed CH₄ pool in surface waters
- (DelSontro et al., 2018b). High resolution δ^{13} C measurements have the potential to offer
- significantly more information regarding CH_4 sources and processing in freshwaters than
- 120 concentrations alone, but fast responding and high-resolution instruments for measuring $\delta^{13}C$ are 121 lacking.

In this work, we present a first deployment of a novel membrane inlet laser spectrometer (MILS) instrument that is an upgraded version of the SubOcean probe (Grilli et al., 2018, 2020; Triest et al., 2017). A newly developed mid-infrared spectrometer for simultaneous detection of CH₄, C₂H₆ and δ^{13} CH₄ (Lechevallier et al., 2019) was implemented on the *in situ* instrument. Laboratory calibrations of the sensor are reported in the method section, followed by the results and discussions about the dissolved CH₄ data from the field campaign at Lake Aiguebelette (south east of France). Besides proving the interest of our new deployed methodology on the

- Lake Aiguebelette, our field investigations aimed at providing reference data on this natural peri-
- 130 alpine lake in terms of CH₄ level and transformations based on associated $\delta^{13}C$ determinations.

131 2 Materials and Methods

132 **2.1 Study area and field setup**

133

134 The natural peri-alpine Lake Aiguebelette is located in the northern French Alps (45.5578°N,

135 5.8014°E) at an altitude of 374 masl (Fig. 1). The region has a sub-continental climate with mean

annual rainfall of 1311 mm, and mean monthly air temperature fluctuates between 1.6 and 24 $^{\circ}$ C

- 137 (OLA, 2022). The lake has a total volume of 166×10^6 m³ with a surface area of 5.45 km² for a
- maximum and mean depth of 70 m and 30.7 m, respectively (Rimet et al., 2020). The upstream $\frac{1}{2}$
- 139 watershed surface is 59 km^2 and the water of the lake flows through the channel of Thiers to a
- 140 hydroelectric plant. The lake outflow is regulated by the French Electricity Company (EDF),
- 141 leading to regular fluctuations of lake level up to 0.5 m.





Figure 1. a) Two pictures of the vegetation: left panel: reeds, taken near the sampling point A8 - A9; right panel: water lilies taken near the sampling location A1; b) A large satellite top view of Lake Aiguebelette with the bathymetry highlighted by the 5-m isobar lines and the different depths in blue (source EDF); c) A zoom on the investigated area with the trajectories of the *in situ* MILS sensor (orange line), the location of the discrete samples along two legs (blue stars), and the coastal vegetation in green.

149 The lake is a warm monomictic lake that stratifies from April to November and has a mean water

residence time of 3.1 years. Epilimnion depth reaches 10 m during the summer period when the hypolimnion has oxygen concentrations $< 1 \text{ mgO}_2 \text{ L}^{-1}$ (Rimet et al., 2020). Like other peri-

151 alpine lakes such as Geneva, Bourget and Annecy, Lake Aiguebelette experienced eutrophication

during the 1960s and 1970s due to urbanization and touristic development. The site is now a

natural area of ecological, faunistic and floristic interest listed as Natura 2000 since 2006 (NINH,

155 2016). A large part of the coastline (<6 m water depth) is a protected natural reserve and

156 experiences the regeneration of a large band of macrophytes dominated mostly by reeds, with

157 water lilies present preferentially in the southern coast of the lake. The southern coast is also

more urbanized than the northern coast of the studied area (CCLA, 2017).

159 The measurements were carried out on May 15th 2019, at the end of a 15-day period of activity at

160 the hydroelectric station, that lowered the water level by ~ 0.4 m. The continuous high-resolution

MILS measurements were performed on a small electric boat equipped with GPS positioning (Garmin 18x, with an accuracy of 15m, 1σ). The boat route explored the shallow areas near the

162 (Garmin 18x, with an accuracy of 15m, 1σ). The boat route explored the shallow areas near the 163 shore in the southwest of the lake to the islands in the center of the lake, then into the channel of

164 Thiers at the lake outlet (Figure 1). A second electric boat not equipped with GPS followed the

165 course of the first boat on legs A and B (Figure 1) in order to collect discrete water samples at 20

locations to help validate the MILS measurements. For the discrete samples, 100-ml of water

167 was collected in a glass flask at 0-30 cm below the surface without air bubbles. To stop

biological activity, 3 drops (50-80 µl) of a solution of HgCl₂ (i.e., 2.5-4% in final concentration)

169 was added and the glass flask was sealed with a rubber septum excluding any headspace gas on

the field. Measurements of physical-chemical parameters were realized using a multi-parameter
 probe (WTW 3420®), e.g., temperature, pH, conductivity, dissolved oxygen concentration and
 percentage of oxygen saturation.

173

174 2.2 The MILS in situ sensor

175

The membrane inlet laser spectrometer (MILS) used here is an upgraded version of the existing 176 SubOcean sensor that was fully described in Grilli et al. (2018). It relies on a patent-based 177 extraction system for fast response measurements (Triest et al., 2017). The optical spectrometer, 178 based on the optical feedback - cavity enhanced absorption spectroscopy (OFCEAS) technique 179 (Morville et al., 2014) was working in the mid-infrared region at 3.3 µm for simultaneous 180 detection of CH₄, C₂H₆ and δ^{13} CH₄ (Lechevallier et al., 2019). The entire sensor was installed on 181 the boat, and only the extraction unit was immerged in the water at ~50 cm depth (see Figure 182 S1). The latter is composed by two 10 µm thick polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) membranes of 56 183 184 mm diameter mounted face-to-face in a stainless-steel housing. The membrane block (MB) was connected to a submersible water pump (Sea-Bird Electronics, SBE 5T) that enables flushing of 185 the membranes with a water flow of 0.8 Lmin^{-1} . The extraction unit was attached to the boat and 186 connected to the probe with two 1/8", 1.2-m long flexible perfluoroalkoxy (PFA) gas pipes. A 187 second pipe was used to inject a known flow of carrier gas (Zero Air, ALPHAGAZ 2, Air 188 Liquide) on the dry side of the membranes. This has various purposes: i) increase the flow of gas 189 190 to analyze, ii) flush the membrane in order to maintain the partial pressure difference of the target gases across the membranes at its maximum (both points increase the response time of the 191 192 measurement); and iii) apply a dilution to the extracted gas to increase the dynamic range of the measurement and optimize in real time the concentration of CH₄ for the isotopic measurement. 193 The carrier gas was stored in a 1L stainless-steal tank and a pressure reducer (Pred) and mass 194 flow controller (MFC_{CG}, IQF+, Bronkhorst) were used for generating a controlled and constant 195 196 flow of dry carrier gas. The total flow coming from the extraction system, composed of the dry dissolved gas, water vapor and carrier gas, was measured by a second mass flow controller 197 (MFC_{TF}, IQF+ Bronkhorst) and then sent to the optical spectrometer. Prior to the MFC_{TF} a 3-198 port, 2-position switch valve (Burkert 6014, SV) was used for injecting from time to time a 199 standard gas for calibrating the isotopic measurement. The setpoint of the MFC_{TF} was set 0.1 200 sccm (standard cubic centimeter per minute) above the maximum flow coming from the 201 extraction unit. This allows for the use of the MFC_{TF} as a flow controller for the standard gas 202 203 measurement and as a flow meter during the dissolved gas measurement. 204

206

205 **2.3 Laboratory analysis and validation of the MILS instrument**

- 207 From the 20 discrete samples collected, concentrations of CH₄ were determined by gas
- chromatography with flame ionization detection (Clarus 580, PerkinElmer), after creating a 30-
- mL headspace with N₂, as described in (Abril and Iversen, 2002; Koné et al., 2010). Certified
- 210 CH₄:N₂ mixtures at 10 and 500 ppm of CH₄ were used as standards (Air Liquide, France).
- 211 Repeatability was around 5%. Dissolved methane concentration was calculated with the
- solubility coefficient provided by Sander (2015).
- 213 The setup used to calibrate the MILS instrument in the laboratory is fully described in (Grilli et
- al., 2018). Similar to the field application, the extraction unit is installed in a temperature
- stabilized chamber and immersed in ~10 L of water. A gas mixture at known concentration of

216 CH₄ in air is bubbled in the water by a diffuser, and the dissolved gas concentrations were

- 217 monitored continuously with the optical spectrometer. For CH_4 concentration measurements, the
- membrane efficiency was calculated at different water temperatures (4 22 °C) and salinities (0 31 psu) (reported in Grilli et al., 2018) and the concentrations of dissolved CH₄ were calculated
- -31 psu) (reported in Grilli et al., 2018) and the concentrations of dissolved CH₄ were calculate from the solubility coefficients provided by Sander (2015). Calibration results for C₂H₆ are not
- reported here because no variation of the dissolved C_2H_6 was observed during the campaign and
- thus this discussion is limited to CH₄ and δ^{13} CH₄ measurements. For the calibration of the
- isotopic measurement, three reference standards of -38.3, -54.5 and -66.5 % VPDB (Isometric
- Instrument) were used. As observed previously (Lechevallier et al., 2019), the isotopic signature
- shows a dependency on CH_4 concentrations with a deviation from the true value at lower concentrations. This deviation has to be considered while retrieving the isotopic value by using
- the calibration curves reported in Figure 2a. Here $R^{13}C$ is the measured ratio between the ${}^{13}CH_4$ and ${}^{12}CH_4$ absorption lines, and is related to the $\delta^{13}CH_4$ through the following equation:
- 229

230
$$\delta^{13}CH_{4 meas/VPDB} = \frac{R^{13}C_{meas}}{R^{13}C_{ref}} \times \left(1 + \delta^{13}CH_{4 ref/VPDB}\right) - 1$$
(1)

231

Where $R^{13}C_{meas}$ and $R^{13}C_{ref}$ correspond to the relative ${}^{13}C/{}^{12}C$ abundance ratios measured by the 232 instrument for the measured and reference gas, respectively, and $\delta^{13}CH_{4 ref/VPDB}$ is the isotopic 233 value for the reference mixture certified against a standard material (in this case Belemnitella 234 americana fossil carbonate, Vienna Pee Dee Belemnite scale). This means, for instance, that one 235 can compute the δ^{13} CH₄ for the standard at -66.5‰ by using the measured R¹³C and the certified 236 δ^{13} CH₄ of the -38.3% standard that will act as a reference. From the residuals between the 237 measurement data points and the exponential fits in Figure 2a we estimated a maximum 238 contribution by this calibration of $\pm 0.7\%$ to the final accuracy of the measurements. 239



Figure 2. a) Calibration curves of the optical spectrometer for three isotopic standard mixtures showing the dependency of the abundance isotopic ratio with the concentration of CH₄. This dependency is due to an instrumental (spectral fit related) artefact that has to be considered while retrieving the δ^{13} CH₄ values. b) A long-term stability test of the optical spectrometer. Measurement of the three standard mixtures was performed at different days. The spectrometer was switched off between each series of measurements. It should be noticed that the R¹³C was not referenced to a

- standard mixture; therefore, the scattering of the datapoints represents the worst precision one can
- expect from a set of measurements referred to the same reference measurement.

In order to prove the long-term stability of the system for retrieving the isotopic signature of CH_4

250 we performed the measurements of the three isotopic standard mixtures at ~ 100 ppm of CH₄

- during different days. Between each series of measurements, the instrument was switched off. The number of P_{12}^{12}
- The results are reported in Figure 2b. It should be noted that the plot reports the $R^{13}C$ value which is not referenced to a standard mixture. By applying equation 1 to the dataset, the
- corresponding variability in the δ^{13} CH₄ ranged between ±2 and ±3.3 ‰ (1 σ), which represents
- 255 the accuracy of the optical spectrometer on the δ^{13} CH₄ unreferenced to a measured standard
- mixture. This accuracy can be reduced to $\pm 0.2\%$ (1 σ) by averaging the data for ~10 min (Figure
- 257 3 in Lechevallier et al., 2019), but also by injecting a reference gas standard for a further ~10 min
- in order to prevent the accuracy of the measurement to be degraded by instrumental drifts. This,
- however, is at the price of degraded spatial resolution of the measurements. The same figure in
- Lechevallier et al. (2019) shows as well that by locking the position of the cavity modes with respect to the position of the absorption lines (which was the case for the field campaign at Lake
- respect to the position of the absorption lines (which was the case for the field campaign at Lake Aiguebelette), the spectrometer exhibits a much longer stability. Despite long-term drifts that
- start to arise after ~17 min, the precision of the measurement stays below $\pm 1 \% (1\sigma)$ for 12h. We
- can therefore claim an accuracy of the optical spectrometer of $\pm 0.8 \%$ (1 σ) during the 9h of
- continuous survey.
- For an accurate isotopic measurement, water conditions also have to be considered because a
- change in the water temperature will affect the isotopic fractionation at the membrane. This is
- related to the fact that after adsorption and permeation through the membrane, the gas will be
- desorbed, which is equivalent to an evaporative process causing a mass dependent fractionation.
- This effect was estimated in the laboratory, using the same calibration setup explained above. In
- the water where the MB was immerged, a gas mixture with a known concentration and isotopic signature of dissolved CH₄ in dry air was continuously bubbling while tuning the water
- temperature from 23 to 8°C and continuously monitoring the $R^{13}C$. The results are reported in
- Figure S2, showing an effect of the water temperature on the isotopic measurement of 0.6 % per
- $^{\circ}$ C on the R¹³C, which corresponds to 0.9 ‰ per °C on the δ^{13} CH₄. The calibration was less
- critical for this particular campaign since the instrument only measured surface water with a
- stable temperature of 14.5 ± 0.2 °C during the entire campaign, which corresponds to an added
- uncertainty of $\pm 0.2\%$ to the final accuracy estimation of the δ^{13} CH₄ measurement.
- According to the dependency of the δ^{13} CH₄ on the CH₄ concentration and water temperature and on the results on the repeatability of the δ^{13} CH₄ measurements, we can therefore claim a final
- accuracy of the *in situ* δ^{13} CH₄ measurements of ±1 ‰ (1 σ), while for the measurement of the
- dissolved CH₄ concentration the precision was previously estimated to ± 12 % (1 σ), largely
- limited by the accuracy on the measurement of the carrier gas and total gas flows (Grilli et al.,2018).
- 284 285

286 2.4 Performance of the MILS sensor in the field: reproducibility and comparison with discrete measurements

- 288
- 289 During the field campaign, reference standard gas measurements with the embedded gas
- standard mixture (see the description of the MILS sensor in section 2.2) were conducted with the
- 291 MILS instrument at different times of the day. The standard deviation of these reference

- measurements was ± 2 ‰, which agrees with the ± 1 ‰ precision mentioned above and resulting
- from the calibration experiments and propagation errors. This confirmed that the optical
- spectrometer was sufficiently stable over the 9h of survey.
- At 4:37 pm local time, we travelled ~320 m into the narrow channel on the South-West side of 295 the basin that leads to the hydroelectric plant, and then returned along almost the same exact 296 track over a 15-minute period (Figure S3). The similarity in concentration and isotopic results 297 reported in Figure S3 highlights the good reproducibility of the sensor in real conditions. 298 At the entrance of the channel (right-hand side of the lower plots in Figure S3), the isotopic 299 signature shows a discrepancy up to 3 %. This discrepancy is however not far from the accuracy 300 of the instrument for the measurement of the δ^{13} CH₄, and could also be related to a change in 301 water mass at the entrance of the channel between the beginning and the end of the profile. It 302 should be noted that during the measurements the hydroelectric plant was discharging water at 303 about 1 m³ s⁻¹. Despite that minor discrepancy in the δ^{13} CH₄, good reproducibility in both 304 dissolved CH₄ and δ^{13} CH₄ measurements was observed from the record in the channel. 305 Water sampling was conducted at different locations along two legs (Figure 1c) and analyzed in 306 307 the laboratory by the headspace technique in order to compare the results with the in situ dissolved gas measurements performed by the MILS sensor (Figure 3). The two data sets are 308 generally in good agreement, except for at A1 where the MILS observed a higher dissolved CH4 309 310 concentration than the discrete water sample analysis (390.1 \pm 46.8 and 213.5 \pm 10.7 nmol L⁻¹, respectively). This may be explained by different reasons. First, the discrete water sampling was 311 performed with a second boat not equipped with a GPS unit that was following the course of the 312 first boat; therefore, the two concentrations may not have been observed at the exact same 313 location. Figure 4a emphasizes this point as one can see the strong heterogeneity in surface water 314 CH₄ content within 20 m distance. Thus, even small offsets in location would be critical for 315 method comparison propose in nearshore zones due to the strong variability of surface dissolved 316 CH₄ concentrations. Position accuracy becomes less critical further away from the shore as 317 concentrations decrease (Figure 4b). A second possible reason for the discrepancy at A1 (Figure 318 3) comes from the fact that the extraction unit for the MILS sensor was at 50 cm depth, while 319 discrete water sampling was performed between 0 and 30 cm depth. This 20-50 cm difference in 320 sampling depth is likely to cause discrepancies between methods when sampling at shallower 321
 - nearshore depths where, as already stated, large concentration gradients can be present. Finally,
 - the discrepancy could also be related to a combination of the two hypotheses.



Figure 3. Comparison of dissolved methane measurements performed by the standard methods (discrete water sampling, DS, followed by laboratory headspace analysis, blue triangles) and *in situ* measurements performed by the MILS instrument (orange dots) along A and B legs. Error bars of ± 5 and $\pm 12\%$, respectively, are reported by solid lines and discussed in the material and method section. In the in insert the map with the sampling and measurement locations (black stars) as well as the margins of the basin (in grey).

331 **3 Results and Discussion**

332 **3.1 Spatial distribution of CH₄ and \delta^{13}CH₄ in Lake Aiguebelette**

333

The 2D maps in Figure 4 report the spatial variability of the dissolved CH₄ and its isotopic

signature. The thickness of the colored line was chosen in order to have a better graphical

visualization, while trying to be realistic with the possible uncertainty in the GPS position

- $(\sim 15m, 1\sigma)$. Dissolved C₂H₆ was also measured simultaneously, but the 2D map is not reported
- since the signal was very stable over the entire campaign with a mean value of 2.0 ± 0.1 nmol L⁻
- ¹. Dissolved C_2H_6 does not correlate with either the concentration of dissolved CH₄ or the
- δ^{13} CH₄. The shore of the lake was defined by where water depth was < 1m (black dots in Figure 4).
- 342 All observed dissolved CH₄ concentrations were above saturation in our study area. The
- 343 dissolved gas concentration in the pelagic zone, where concentrations were the lowest observed,
- is fifty times larger than the concentration of dissolved gases expected at equilibrium with the
- atmosphere (3.4 nmol L⁻¹ at survey temperature of 14.5 °C).
- 346 The highest concentrations of dissolved CH_4 (400 920 nmol L⁻¹) were observed along the shore
- southeast of the channel in small bay (red area on Figure 4a) and corresponded with slightly

more negative δ^{13} CH₄ values (-68.6 ± 3.3 ‰) with respect to the average value in the pelagic

- zone (- 60.7 ± 1.4 %). In this area at very shallow depths (< 3m) spontaneous ebullition was observed, which explains both high CH₄ concentrations and a more negative isotopic signature.
- observed, which explains both high CH₄ concentrations and a more negative isotopic signature. Further southeast of that location and ~80 m offshore was an area with the most enriched δ^{13} CH₄
- values (-51.3 \pm 1.3 %; red patch in the Figure 4c) and relatively low dissolved CH₄
- concentrations (155.0 \pm 3.5 nmol L⁻¹), although it was situated between two locations with
- elevated dissolved CH₄ concentrations (200 300 nmol L^{-1}). The ¹³C-enrichement in this area as
- to be related to a stronger biological activity, which may be related to the urbanization of this
- coastal area or to the presence of a large and dense patch of macrophytes (water lilies, see picture
- in Figure 1a). Water lilies are also present near the sampling location A1, but in this area an
- isotopic composition closer to the one expected in the sediments is found most probably due to presence of gas ebullition. On the north shore of the lake, concentrations were consistently
- higher (192 \pm 7 nmol L⁻¹), and δ^{13} CH₄ more negative (-65.9 \pm 1.8 ‰) than the average
- 361 concentration and isotopic composition near the islands and in the middle of the lake ($147.2 \pm$
- 362 3.4 nmol L⁻¹; 61.4 \pm 1.8%). In average, the CH₄ concentrations in the pelagic zone (> 75m from
- shore) were 2.7 times lower (37%) than in the littoral zones (<10m from shore) of the study area
- 364 $(160.8 \pm 14.2 \text{ nmol } \text{L}^{-1} \text{ vs } 435.0 \pm 174.5 \text{ nmol } \text{L}^{-1}, \text{ respectively, Table 1}), \text{ while the lightest}$
- δ^{13} CH₄ values were in the northern part of the study area along the shore and the heaviest in the
- 366 southern part just offshore.
- Littoral zones of most lakes tend to be hot spots of CH₄ production, accumulation, and emission
- for several reasons. First is that shallow waters allow for warming of surface sediments and
- 369 consequent production (Yvon-Durocher et al., 2014). While degradation rates are likely slow
- during cold winter temperatures, decomposition rates start to increase as spring temperatures
 begin to warm the shallow littoral sediments first. Thus, our May campaign led to rather high
- CH₄ concentrations, possibly an order of magnitude higher than what would have been observed
- in winter (cf. Zhang et al., 2021). Secondly, littoral zones tend to be CH_4 hot spots because the
- shallow sloping sediments of a littoral zone, such as that of our study area, can be a receptacle
- for organic carbon from algal and macrophyte biomass throughout the growing and dying
- 376 seasons. This increase in organic substrate, combined with warm temperatures, leads to higher
- 377 rates of methanogenesis than the pelagic. The littoral zone of Lake Aiguebelette and the islands
- have indeed a large band (from 5 m to 25 m wide) of rooted emergent aquatic macrophytes such
- as water lilies and reeds (density between 100-400 rods) (CCLA, 2017).



Figure 4. 2D maps of the dissolved CH₄ concentrations (a and b) and δ^{13} CH₄ (c). The red arrow indicates the water flow in the exit channel of the lake and the orange starts the location of the discrete water samplings. (a) is a zoom of the area near the sampling station A1 with high dissolved CH₄ concentrations and highest concentration gradients. Black dots in (b) and (c) are the <1m depth contour line, which we defined as the shoreline.

386 The productivity of littoral macrophytes has major implications for CH₄ release through the

accumulation of detritic organic matter in sediment (Desrosiers et al., 2022; Juutinen et al.,

2003). The increase of organic content in sediment of the macrophyte regions during and

following the growing period can lead to intense mineralization and depletion of oxygen in

sediment (Gaillard et al., 1987; Milberg et al., 2017; Phillips et al., 2013), conditions favorable

for methanogenesis. Conversely, in the deeper part of the lake that has a lower sediment surface-

to-water volume ratio than the littoral, less organic carbon would reach the bottom, of which

some of it would already be partially aerobically decomposed (Steinsberger et al., 2020). As the

bottom water of Lake Aiguebelette is still somewhat oxic (~3 mg/L), significant aerobic

degradation would occur during particle settling and even in the slightly oxic surface sediments.

Although, in general, decomposition will remain slow in the consistently cold bottom waters of

this 70 m deep lake (Gudasz et al., 2010), and much of the CH_4 that is produced and then

released will likely be oxidized (Bastviken et al., 2002). Ultimately, this type of functioning

would support relatively low CH_4 concentrations for most of Lake Aiguebelette surface water,

400 except for the shallow littoral zones as highlighted by our measurements.

401

402 **3.2 Isotopic signature for identification of biogeochemical processing**

403

The light isotopic signature of the CH_4 along the northern shore of the study area reflects fresh CH₄ production in the littoral zone while the slightly heavier CH_4 pool towards the islands

reflects oxidized CH₄, both of which are consistent with what has been observed elsewhere (e.g.,

407 DelSontro et al. 2018b). We therefore investigated the relationship between CH_4 concentration

and δ^{13} CH₄ with the distance from shore (DelSontro et al., 2018b). Two trends are shown in

- Figure 5: (i) both CH₄ and δ^{13} CH₄ are relatively flat and constant at distances > 75 m from the
- shore with average values of $160.8 \pm 14.2 \text{ nmol } \text{L}^{-1}$ and $-60.7 \pm 3.3 \text{ }$ %, respectively; (ii) CH₄ then
- rapidly increases near the shore, showing a larger scattering at a distance <10 m, with an average
- of 435.0 ± 174.5 nmol L⁻¹, highlighting that a large variability can be found nearby the shore

depending on the type of sediments and vegetation as well as variability in the water depths (this

is also visible in the 2D map of Figure 4a). The δ^{13} CH₄ starts to decrease at distance < 75m, and it

- also shows a larger scattering of the data at a distance <10 m, with a mean value of -67.6 ± 3.7 %.
- The decreasing concentration with distance from shore (Figure 5-a) indicates that CH₄ sources

are closer and/or more intense in the littoral zone. The presence of a CH₄ pool nearshore that is

less enriched in 13 C (Figure 5b) further supports the concentration trend, i.e. indicating that

littoral waters are closer to CH₄ sources, which are presumably the littoral sediments. Seeing as

421 this nearshore water can be advected offshore, the fact that the CH_4 pool becomes more enriched

422 in ${}^{13}C$ with distance from shore suggests that the CH₄ pool have been oxidized while travelling

- 423 away from the littoral.
- 424 While the trend of a decrease in concentration and an enrichment of δ^{13} CH₄ from the shore
- towards the center of the lake is obvious, there is a high degree of variability in concentration

and, to a lesser degree, in δ^{13} CH₄ near shore. The variability in concentration is similar to that

- 427 seen in nearshore sampling in other studies, particularly in vegetated habitats (Desrosiers et al., 2022)
- 428 2022).

The high-resolution data collected here allowed us to identify a strong non-linearity at low

430 concentrations while relating [CH₄] and δ^{13} CH₄ using Equation 12 of DelSontro et al, (2018b).

- 431 Calculating the rate coefficient ($k_{O/P}$ [d⁻¹]) expressing the net impact of biological processes
- 432 (oxidations and pelagic production) on surface CH_4 concentrations using only the data at high

433 concentrations (where the linear relationship holds), we obtained a $k_{O/P}$ of 0.17 d⁻¹ which is 424 within the same of such as the same distribution of the linear relationship holds).

434 within the range of values obtained on the twelve North American lakes studied in the refered

435 work and confirms that, at Lake Aiguebelette, oxidation prevails over pelagic production.

- 436 Nevertheless, the non-linearity, which also appears to be present for four of the twelve lakes 437 (Simard Beauchene Nominingue and Duryic) would require further study
- 437 (Simard, Beauchene, Nominingue and Purvis), would require further study to understand the
- reasons for it and improve the modelling.
- 439



Figure 5. Distance from shore. Black dots 2 seconds data for CH₄ (a) and 20 seconds data for δ^{13} CH₄ (b), orange lines are exponential fits with time constant of 18.8 m⁻¹ and -40.7 m⁻¹ for the CH₄ and δ^{13} CH₄ trend, respectively. The data from the channel were omitted for this figure, and

444 only the data from the lake are reported.

445

447	Table 1. Average (minimum, maximum) concentrations, isotopic composition and wat	ter
448	depths for the entire survey area, the pelagic and the littoral zones.	

		Avg CH ₄ (nmol L ⁻¹)	Avg δ ¹³ CH ₄ (‰)	Water Depth (m)
	Entire Survey Area	$\begin{array}{c} 256.4 \ \pm 147.3 \\ (140.6 \ ; 922.4) \end{array}$	-63.7 ± 4.5 (-77.6 ; -49.6)	11.6 ± 8.4 (1;26.5)
oral	< 6m water depth	391.9 ± 156.3	-67.3 ± 3.4	2.5 ± 1.6
ne		(158.8; 922.4)	(-77.6 ; -57.0)	(1;6)
Litto	<10 m from shore	435.0 ± 174.5 (165.0; 922.4)	-67.6 ± 3.7 (-77.6 ; -57.5)	1.3 ± 0.5 (1;7.6)
agic	> 6m water depth	169.8 ± 26.6	-61.5 ± 3.6	17.3 ± 5.4
ne		(140.6; 339.3)	(-73.2 ; -49.6)	(6 ; 26.5)
Pel:	>75m from shore	160.8 ± 14.2	-60.7 ± 3.3	19.8 ± 3.7
Zo		(142.6; 208.5)	(-69.0 ; -49.6)	(4.8; 26.5)

449 450

451 **3.3 A broader context for Lake Aiguebelette**

452

The work by Encinas *et al.* (2016) proposes to use the ratio of the littoral area to the area of the 453 lake $(f_{A,S/t})$ as a metric for scaling with respect to different lakes. Here the shallow littoral was 454 defined as the area with water depth < 6 m, and for Lake Aiguebelette this ratio is 0.1 (*i.e.*, the 455 456 littoral area corresponds to 10% of the total area of the lake). From our *in situ* continuous measurements we calculated average values of CH₄ in the shallow zone (CH_{4,s} = 391.8 ± 156.3 457 nmol L⁻¹), in the deep zone (CH_{4,d} = 169.8 ± 26.6 nmol L⁻¹) and over the entire area of study 458 $(CH_{4t} = 256.4 \pm 147.3 \text{ nmol } \text{L}^{-1})$. Those values are in good agreement with the observations 459 reported in Encinas et al. (2016), and our data of Lake Aiguebelette nicely fit with the linear 460 dependency in the log-log plot (predicted vs measured mean CH_4 concentrations) reported in 461 Figure 3d of this referred work. 462 Encinas et al. (2016) also studied the correlation between dissolved CH₄ and Chlorophyll-a (Chl-463 464 a) concentrations. In Figure 4 of their work, they reported that the CH₄ concentration at shallow depths normalized for temperature for Lakes Illmensee and Mindlelsee are slightly below 100 465

466 nmol L⁻¹ for values of Chl-a < $2 \mu g/L$, which corresponds to annual mean values at Lake

Aiguebelette (Rimet et al., 2019). In our study, we cannot estimate the dependency of the

 $ln(CH_4)$ with respect to water temperature (*c* parameter in the Encinas *et al.*, 2016) because we

do not have data during different seasons. But if we take a representative value from Encinas et

470 al. (2016) (c = $0.1^{\circ}C^{-1}$) to normalize our CH₄ concentrations then we obtain a normalized CH₄

471 concentration for the temperature effect (reported as $CH_{4,s}e^{-cT_s}$) of 90 nmol L⁻¹, which is in

472 good agreement with the values of the two above mentioned lakes. Lakes Illmensee and

473 Mindlelsee are similar to Lake Aiguebelette in terms of size and bathymetry (lake area $\sim 1 \text{ km}^2 \text{ vs}$

5.4 km²; ratio of littoral to surface area, $f_{A,S/t} = 24-28\%$ vs 10%), which may reinforce the

475 hypothesis of a possible link between dissolved CH₄ and Chl-a for similar aquatic environments.



Figure 6. The isotopic composition of dissolved methane (δ^{13} CH₄) plotted against the inverse of the concentration of dissolved CH₄ for continuous (grey dots) and averaged (black dots) surface water data from the campaign. The intercept at 1/CH₄ = 0 mol⁻¹ L, δ^{13} CH₄ = -72.8 ± 1.22 ‰ represents the isotopic signature at the source and the slope (1091 ± 152 ‰ nmol L⁻¹, indicate how fast the isotopic signature is changing with respect to the concentration of dissolved methane.

A method for retrieving the isotopic signature of the source of the target gas, called the Keeling 482 plot (Keeling, 1958), consists of plotting the δ^{13} CH₄ against the inverse of the dissolved CH₄ 483 concentration and suggests that the isotopic value at the intercept $(1/CH_4 = 0 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ L})$ 484 485 corresponds to the situation where the dissolved CH₄ concentrations tend to infinite values (Sasakawa et al., 2008). For our dataset, this intercept corresponds to δ^{13} CH₄ = -72.8 ± 1.22 ‰ 486 (Figure 6), which lies at the low end of typical values observed in other lakes (*e.g.*, DelSontro et 487 al., 2018b). The slope of that line $(1091 \pm 152 \text{ mmol } L^{-1})$ indicates how fast the isotopic 488 signature is changing with respect to the concentration of dissolved CH₄ and provides 489 information about the predominant CH₄ processing occurring (oxidation for positive slope, and 490 production for negative slope), but is also related to other factors such as the possible pathway of 491 CH₄ production, the residence time of the water mass, the presence of different CH₄ inputs, *etc.* 492 In order to investigate the relationship between surface CH₄ and its δ^{13} C signature, we used the 493 data from twelve Northern America lakes in DelSontro et al. (2018b) and calculate the Keeling 494 slope for each of them. We found a negative relationship between the absolute value of the 495 496 Keeling slope and lake area (Figure 7) that is likely explained by the fact that smaller lakes have a larger littoral-to-total area ratio, where methane production is likely the most active. Littoral 497 surface waters are closest to anoxic sediments where methanogenesis occurs as well as zones of 498 macrophytes that have a significant influence on CH₄ concentrations and may even contribute to 499 oxic methane production (Hilt et al., 2022). Nevertheless, littoral surface waters are covered by 500 oxic water that penetrates into surficial sediments and might promote oxidation. All of these 501

502 processes lead to changes in δ^{13} CH₄, which are therefore more pronounced in smaller lakes 503 because of that larger littoral fraction.

> Cromwell 10000 Ď 8¹³CH₄| × [CH₄] (|‰| nmol L⁻¹) Morency Aiguebelette Purvis Achigan 1000 Ċ, Beauchene MacDonald Croche Simard Ċ. þ des llets Champlain Nominingue Ontario 100 Camichagama 10 0.1 10 100 1000 10000 100000 1 Lake Area (km²)

504

Figure 7. A log-log plot of the absolute value of the slopes calculated while plotting the δ^{13} CH₄ vs the inverse of the CH₄ for the different lakes against the lake area. Data from Lake Aiguebelette (this work) is reported in orange. Data from the other lakes are from DelSontro et al., (2018b). R² = 0.60.

509 4. Conclusion and Future Works

We used an *in situ* fast response sensor for continuous, high-resolution measurements of 510 dissolved gases to create a 2D surface map of dissolved CH₄ and δ^{13} CH₄ of the southern portion 511 of Lake Aiguebelette. The MILS sensor has an accuracy of $\pm 12\%$ for dissolved CH₄ 512 concentration measurements (against \pm 5% for discrete measurements) and of \pm 1 ‰ (1 σ) for the 513 δ^{13} CH₄. CH₄ concentration data between discrete samples and the *in situ* MILS sensor are in 514 good agreement. The isotopic results of the MILS sensor enable to investigate the biological 515 processing of surface CH₄ at a higher spatial resolution than discrete samples allow. At lake 516 Aiguebelette we can conclude that CH₄ oxidation is the dominant biological process reducing the 517 surface CH₄ pool in this lake in spring, and hence reducing some CH₄ emissions. In this work, 518 we were able to compare our surface CH₄ and δ^{13} CH₄ trends with respect to discrete data from 519 twelve other lakes in North America. Lake Aiguebelette data followed the same trend as the 520 majority of these data, with a decreasing CH₄ concentration with distance from shore. The 521

522 comparison of these data highlights a dependency of the changing rate of isotopic ratio with

respect to CH_4 concentration which decreases as a function of lake size, which is related to the

fact that smaller lakes tend to have larger biologically active littoral zones relative to total lake

525 area. This multi-lake analysis comes to reinforce the reliability of the *in situ* MILS

- measurements, which allows for a reduction in measurement time while significantly improving 526
- 527 the resolution and spatial covering of the measurements.
- This new in situ methodology has several advantages over the traditional water sampling 528
- 529 followed by laboratory analysis. First, the MILS sensor allows higher spatial resolution because
- it is not limited by the number of samples and time for the analysis. This spatial resolution is 530
- especially important for the littoral zone, which shows a high degree of variability both in terms 531
- of CH₄ concentration and isotopic signatures. The *in situ* instrument provides therefore a more 532
- representative estimate of a water body than discrete sampling. Secondly, the MILS sensor 533
- avoids possible artefacts due to outgassing during water sampling as well as degradation of the 534 sample during storage (e.g., bacterial degradation or outgassing due to possible leaks). Finally, 535
- the fast deployment of the MILS system means that it is easier to conduct regular surveys and 536
- better resolve seasonal trends in aquatic CH₄. Although not illustrated in this study, the MILS 537
- sensor also allows in situ measurement with depth. Thus, vertical profiles at multiple locations 538
- could be conducted to better constrain CH₄ dynamics and the migration of water masses, as well 539
- as provide a more comprehensive view of how CH₄ contributes to the carbon cycle in aquatic 540 systems.
- 541
- 542

Authors contributions 543

544 JN was the coordinator of this project in collaboration with EDF and FJ. JN organized the

- measurement campaign, and was the on-site mission leader. He collected the water samples for 545
- analysis in the laboratory and ensured their storage. FJ participated in the measurement campaign 546
- 547 and provided the documents on the Lake Aiguebelette, the bathymetry data and the information
- on the activity of the hydro-electric power station. JG performed the analysis of the water 548
- samples in the laboratory and contributed to the interpretation of the data. RG designed, 549
- 550 developed, calibrated and deployed the MILS instrument. He also did the data processing and
- coordinated the interpretation of the results and the writing of the paper. TD contributed to the 551
- data interpretation and provided the data from previous campaigns. RG, TD, JN and JG actively 552
- contributed to the writing of the paper. 553
- 554

Acknowledgments 555

556 This study was funded by agreement N° FUGA-UGA-2019-001 between University Grenoble

- Alpes Fundation and EDF and by the French National Program (ANR) "Investment for Future -557
- Excellency Equipment" project TERRA FORMA with the reference ANR-21-ESRE-0014 ". The 558
- optical spectrometer of the MILS and the work carried out at IGE was financed thought the 559
- European Community's Seventh Framework Programme 598 ERC-2015-PoC under grant 560
- agreement no. 713619 (ERC OCEAN-IDs), the Agence 599 Nationale de la Recherche (ANR) 561
- under grant agreement ANR-18-CE04-0003-01 (SWIS), with support from the SATT Linksium 562
- of Grenoble, France, of the Service Partenariat & Valorisation (SPV) of the CNRS. 563
- We thank the Communité de Commune du Lac d'Aiguebellete (CCLA) for the loan of the 564
- electric boat to carry out the sampling campaign, and Arthaud's family for their nice house 565
- nearby the lake. The authors would like to thank students and staff at IGE laboratory for their 566
- contribution during field trip. We thank Anunciacion Martinez and Benjamin Mercier for the 567

- analysis of GHGs in METIS Laboratory. We thank Frederic Guerin from GET Lab, Véronique 568
- Vaury and Sylvain Huon from iEES lab and Didier Jezequel from IPGP currently at INRAE for 569 the fruitful discussions.
- 570
- 571

572 **Open Research**

- The data from the field campaign will be deposited in an open access repository during the 573
- reviewing process. 574
- 575

References 576

- Abril, G. and Iversen, N.: Methane dynamics in a shallow non-tidal estuary (Randers Fjord, 577
- Denmark), Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser., 230, 171–181, doi:10.3354/meps230171, 2002. 578
- Bärenbold, F., Boehrer, B., Grilli, R., Mugisha, A., von Tümpling, W., Umutoni, A. and Schmid, 579
- M.: No increasing risk of a limnic eruption at Lake Kivu: Intercomparison study reveals gas 580
- 581 concentrations close to steady state, edited by S. A. Loiselle, PLoS One, 15(8), e0237836,
- doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0237836, 2020. 582
- Bastviken, D., Eilertsson, J. and Tranvik, L.: Measurement of Methane Oxidation in Lakes: A 583
- 584 Comparison of Methods, Environ. Sci. Technol., 36(15), 3354–3361, doi:10.1021/es010311p, 2002. 585
- Bastviken, D., Cole, J., Pace, M. and Tranvik, L.: Methane emissions from lakes: Dependence of 586
- 587 lake characteristics, two regional assessments, and a global estimate, Global Biogeochem.
- Cycles, 18(4), 1–12, doi:10.1029/2004GB002238, 2004. 588
- Bastviken, D., Cole, J. J., Pace, M. L. and Van de Bogert, M. C.: Fates of methane from different 589
- lake habitats: Connecting whole-lake budgets and CH4 emissions, J. Geophys. Res. 590
- Biogeosciences, 113(G2), G02024, doi:10.1029/2007JG000608, doi:10.1029/2007JG000608, 591 2008. 592
- Bižić, M., Klintzsch, T., Ionescu, D., Hindiyeh, M. Y., Günthel, M., Muro-Pastor, A. M., Eckert, 593
- W., Urich, T., Keppler, F. and Grossart, H.-P.: Aquatic and terrestrial cyanobacteria produce 594 methane, Sci. Adv., 6(3), eaax5343, doi:10.1126/sciadv.aax5343, 2020. 595
- Brennwald, M. S., Schmidt, M., Oser, J. and Kipfer, R.: A Portable and Autonomous Mass 596
- Spectrometric System for On-Site Environmental Gas Analysis, Environ. Sci. Technol., 50(24), 597 13455-13463, doi:10.1021/acs.est.6b03669, 2016. 598
- CCLA: Community of Communes of Lac d'Aiguebelette, Etude des impacts des Act. Anthr. sur 599 les enjeux Conserv., https://ccla.fr/, 2017. 600
- 601 Claypool, G. E. and Kvenvolden, K. A.: Methane and other hydrocarbon gases in marine
- sediment., Annu. Rev. earth Planet. Sci. Vol. 11, 299-327, 602
- doi:10.1146/annurev.ea.11.050183.001503, 1983. 603
- DelSontro, T., Beaulieu, J. J. and Downing, J. A.: Greenhouse gas emissions from lakes and 604 impoundments: Upscaling in the face of global change, Limnol. Oceanogr. Lett., 3(3), 64-75, 605
- doi:10.1002/lol2.10073, 2018a. 606

- 607 DelSontro, T., del Giorgio, P. A. and Prairie, Y. T.: No Longer a Paradox: The Interaction
- 608 Between Physical Transport and Biological Processes Explains the Spatial Distribution of
- 609 Surface Water Methane Within and Across Lakes, Ecosystems, 21(6), 1073–1087,
- 610 doi:10.1007/s10021-017-0205-1, 2018b.
- Desrosiers, K., DelSontro, T. and del Giorgio, P. A.: Disproportionate Contribution of Vegetated
- Habitats to the CH4 and CO2 Budgets of a Boreal Lake, Ecosystems, doi:10.1007/s10021-021 00730-9, 2022.
- Donis, D., Flury, S., Stöckli, A., Spangenberg, J. E., Vachon, D. and McGinnis, D. F.: Full-scale
- evaluation of methane production under oxic conditions in a mesotrophic lake, Nat. Commun., 8,
- 616 1661, doi:10.1038/s41467-017-01648-4, 2017.
- Etminan, M., Myhre, G., Highwood, E. J. and Shine, K. P.: Radiative forcing of carbon dioxide,
- methane, and nitrous oxide: A significant revision of the methane radiative forcing, Geophys.
- 619 Res. Lett., 43(24), 12,614-12,623, doi:10.1002/2016GL071930, 2016.
- Gaillard, J. F., Sarazin, G., Pauwels, H., Philippe, L., Lavergne, D. and Blake, G.: Interstitial
- water and sediment chemistries of Lake Aiguebelette (Savoy, France), Chem. Geol., 63(1–2),
- 622 73–84, doi:10.1016/0009-2541(87)90075-1, 1987.
- Garnier, J., Vilain, G., Silvestre, M., Billen, G., Jehanno, S., Poirier, D., Martinez, A., Decuq, C.,
- 624 Cellier, P. and Abril, G.: Budget of methane emissions from soils, livestock and the river
- network at the regional scale of the Seine basin (France), Biogeochemistry, 116(1-3), 199-214, doi:10.1007/s10533-013-9845-1, 2013.
- 627 Gerardo-Nieto, O., Vega-Peñaranda, A., Gonzalez-Valencia, R., Alfano-Ojeda, Y. and Thalasso,
- 628 F.: Continuous Measurement of Diffusive and Ebullitive Fluxes of Methane in Aquatic
- Ecosystems by an Open Dynamic Chamber Method, Environ. Sci. Technol., 53(9), 5159–5167,
- 630 doi:10.1021/acs.est.9b00425, 2019.
- 631 Gonzalez-valencia, R., Magana-rodriguez, F., Gerardo-nieto, O., Sepulveda-jauregui, A.,
- 632 Martinez-cruz, K., Anthony, K. W., Baer, D. and Thalasso, F.: In Situ Measurement of Dissolved
- 633 Methane and Carbon Dioxide in Freshwater Ecosystems by O ff -Axis Integrated Cavity Output
- 634 Spectroscopy, 2014.
- Grilli, R., Triest, J., Chappellaz, J., Calzas, M., Desbois, T., Jansson, P., Guillerm, C., Ferré, B.,
- 636 Lechevallier, L., Ledoux, V. and Romanini, D.: Sub-Ocean: Subsea Dissolved Methane
- 637 Measurements Using an Embedded Laser Spectrometer Technology, Environ. Sci. Technol.,
- 638 52(18), 10543–10551, doi:10.1021/acs.est.7b06171, 2018.
- 639 Grilli, R., Darchambeau, F., Chappellaz, J., Mugisha, A., Triest, J. and Umutoni, A.: Continuous
- 640 in situ measurement of dissolved methane in Lake Kivu using a membrane inlet laser
- 641 spectrometer, Geosci. Instrumentation, Methods Data Syst., 9(1), 141–151, doi:10.5194/gi-9-
- 642 141-2020, 2020.
- 643 Grossart, H.-P., Frindte, K., Dziallas, C., Eckert, W. and Tang, K. W.: Microbial methane
- 644 production in oxygenated water column of an oligotrophic lake, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 108,
- 645 19657–19661, doi:10.1073/pnas.1110716108, 2011.
- 646 Gudasz, C., Bastviken, D., Steger, K., Premke, K., Sobek, S. and Tranvik, L. J.: Temperature-
- controlled organic carbon mineralization in lake sediments, Nature, 466(7305), 478–481,

- 648 doi:10.1038/nature09186, 2010.
- Günthel, M., Donis, D., Kirillin, G., Ionescu, D., Bizic, M., McGinnis, D. F., Grossart, H.-P. and
- Tang, K. W.: Contribution of oxic methane production to surface methane emission in lakes and
- 651 its global importance, Nat. Commun., 10, 5497, doi:10.1038/s41467-019-13320-0, 2019.
- Hilt, S., Grossart, H., McGinnis, D. F. and Keppler, F.: Potential role of submerged macrophytes
- for oxic methane production in aquatic ecosystems, Limnol. Oceanogr., 1–13,
- 654 doi:10.1002/lno.12095, 2022.
- 655 Hofmann, H., Federwisch, L. and Peeters, F.: Wave-induced release of methane: Littoral zones
- as source of methane in lakes, Limnol. Oceanogr., 55(5), 1990–2000,
- 657 doi:10.4319/lo.2010.55.5.1990, 2010.
- Juutinen, S., Alm, J., Larmola, T., Huttunen, J. T., Morero, M., Martikainen, P. J. and Silvola, J.:
- Major implication of the littoral zone for methane release from boreal lakes, Global Biogeochem.
 Cycles, 17(4), n/a-n/a, doi:10.1029/2003GB002105, 2003.
- Cycles, 17(4), 17a 17a, 401.1027/2003CD002103, 2003.
- Juutinen, S., Rantakari, M., Kortelainen, P., Huttunen, J. T., Larmola, T., Alm, J., Silvola, J. and
- Martikainen, P. J.: Methane dynamics in different boreal lake types, Biogeosciences, 6(2), 209–
- 663 223, doi:10.5194/bg-6-209-2009, 2009.
- Keeling, C. D.: The concentration and isotopic abundances of atmospheric carbon dioxide in
- rural areas, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 13, 322–334 [online] Available from:
- 666 papers2://publication/uuid/A28C5407-A4A1-4D85-9BA9-589DE73CD49C, 1958.
- 667 Koné, Y. J. M., Abril, G., Delille, B. and Borges, A. V.: Seasonal variability of methane in the
- rivers and lagoons of Ivory Coast (West Africa), Biogeochemistry, 100(1–3), 21–37,
 doi:10.1007/s10533-009-9402-0, 2010.
- Lechevallier, L., Grilli, R., Kerstel, E., Romanini, D. and Chappellaz, J.: Simultaneous detection
- of C2H6, CH4, and δ 13C-CH4 using optical feedback cavity-enhanced absorption spectroscopy
- in the mid-infrared region: Towards application for dissolved gas measurements, Atmos. Meas.
- 673 Tech., 12(6), 3101–3109, doi:10.5194/amt-12-3101-2019, 2019.
- MacIntyre, S. and Melack, J. M.: Vertical and Horizontal Transport in Lakes: Linking Littoral,
 Benthic, and Pelagic Habitats, J. North Am. Benthol. Soc., 14(4), 599–615, 1995.
- Maher, D. T., Cowley, K., Santos, I. R., Macklin, P. and Eyre, B. D.: Methane and carbon
- dioxide dynamics in a subtropical estuary over a diel cycle: Insights from automated in situ
- radioactive and stable isotope measurements, Mar. Chem., 168, 69–79,
- doi:10.1016/j.marchem.2014.10.017, 2015.
- McAullife, C.: GC determination of solutes by multiple phase equilibration, Chem Tech, Jan,
 46–51, 1971.
- McMutrtry, G. M., Wiltshire, J. C. and Bossuyt, A.: Hydrocarbon seep monitoring using in situ
- deep sea mass spectrometry, Ocean. 2005 Eur., 1, 395–400,
- doi:10.1109/OCEANSE.2005.1511747, 2005.
- Milberg, P., Törnqvist, L., Westerberg, L. M. and Bastviken, D.: Temporal variations in methane
- emissions from emergent aquatic macrophytes in two boreonemoral lakes, AoB Plants, 9(4),
- 687 doi:10.1093/aobpla/plx029, 2017.

- 688 Morville, J., Romanini, D. and Kerstel, E.: Cavity-Enhanced Spectroscopy and Sensing, edited
- by G. Gagliardi and H.-P. Loock, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg., 2014.
- Murase, J., Sakai, Y., Sugimoto, A., Okubo, K. and Sakamoto, M.: Sources of dissolved methane
- in Lake Biwa, Limnology, 4(2), 91–99, doi:10.1007/s10201-003-0095-0, 2003.
- Myhre, G., Shindell, D., Bréon, F.-M., Collins, W., Fuglestvedt, J., Huang, J., Koch, D.,
- Lamarque, J.-F., Lee, D., Mendoza, B., Nakajima, T., Robock, A., Stephens, G., Takemura, T.
- and Zhang, H.: Anthropogenic and Natural Radiative Forcing, in Climate Change 2013: The
- 695 Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the
- ⁶⁹⁶ Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, edited by T. Stocker, D. Qin, G.-K. Plattner, M.
- Tignor, S. K. Allen, J. Boschung, A. Nauels, Y. Xia, V. Bex, and P. Midgley, pp. 659–740,
 Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK and New York, NY, USA., 2013.
- 698 Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK and New York, NY, USA., 201
- 699 NINH: National Inventory of Natural Heritage, [online] Available from:
- 700 https://inpn.mnhn.fr/site/natura2000/FR8201770, 2016.
- OLA: Observatory on Lake, [online] Available from: https://www6.inrae.fr/soere-ola_eng, 2022.
- Phillips, N. G., Ackley, R., Crosson, E. R., Down, A., Hutyra, L. R., Brondfield, M., Karr, J. D.,
- 703 Zhao, K. and Jackson, R. B.: Mapping urban pipeline leaks: Methane leaks across Boston,
- 704 Environ. Pollut., 173, 1–4, doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2012.11.003, 2013.
- Rasilo, T., Prairie, Y. T. and del Giorgio, P. A.: Large-scale patterns in summer diffusive CH4
 fluxes across boreal lakes, and contribution to diffusive C emissions, Glob. Chang. Biol., 21,
- 707 1124–1139, doi:10.1111/gcb.12741, 2015.
- Rimet, F., Khac, V. T., Quétin, P. and De, S.: Suivi de la qualité des eaux du lac d'Aiguebelette.,
 2019.
- Rimet, F., Anneville, O., Barbet, D., Chardon, C., Crépin, L., Domaizon, I., Dorioz, J. M.,
- Espinat, L., Frossard, V., Guillard, J., Goulon, C., Hamelet, V., Hustache, J. C., Jacquet, S.,
- Lainé, L., Montuelle, B., Perney, P., Quetin, P., Rasconi, S., Schellenberger, A., Tran-Khac, V.
- and Monet, G.: The Observatory on LAkes (OLA) database: Sixty years of environmental data
- accessible to the public, J. Limnol., 79(2), 164–178, doi:10.4081/jlimnol.2020.1944, 2020.
- 715 Rosentreter, J. A., Borges, A. V., Deemer, B. R., Holgerson, M. A., Liu, S., Song, C., Melack, J.,
- Raymond, P. A., Duarte, C. M., Allen, G. H., Olefeldt, D., Poulter, B., Battin, T. I. and Eyre, B.
- D.: Half of global methane emissions come from highly variable aquatic ecosystem sources, Nat.
- 718 Geosci., 14(4), 225–230, doi:10.1038/s41561-021-00715-2, 2021.
- Sander, R.: Compilation of Henry 's law constants (version 4.0) for water as solvent, Atmos.
 Chem. Phys., 15, 4399–4981, doi:10.5194/acp-15-4399-2015, 2015.
- 721 Sasakawa, M., Tsunogai, U., Kameyama, S., Nakagawa, F., Nojiri, Y. and Tsuda, A.: Carbon
- isotopic characterization for the origin of excess methane in subsurface seawater, J. Geophys.
- 723 Res., 113(C3), C03012, doi:10.1029/2007JC004217, 2008.
- Saunois, M., Stavert, A. R., Poulter, B., Bousquet, P., Canadell, J. G., Jackson, R. B., Raymond,
- P. A., Dlugokencky, E. J., Houweling, S., Patra, P. K., Ciais, P., Arora, V. K., Bastviken, D.,
- Bergamaschi, P., Blake, D. R., Brailsford, G., Bruhwiler, L., Carlson, K. M., Carrol, M.,
- 727 Castaldi, S., Chandra, N., Crevoisier, C., Crill, P. M., Covey, K., Curry, C. L., Etiope, G.,

- Frankenberg, C., Gedney, N., Hegglin, M. I., Höglund-Isakson, L., Hugelius, G., Ishizawa, M.,
- 729 Ito, A., Janssens-Maenhout, G., Jensen, K. M., Joos, F., Kleinen, T., Krummel, P. B.,
- 730 Langenfelds, R. L., Laruelle, G. G., Liu, L., Machida, T., Maksyutov, S., McDonald, K. C.,
- 731 McNorton, J., Miller, P. A., Melton, J. R., Morino, I., Müller, J., Murgia-Flores, F., Naik, V.,
- Niwa, Y., Noce, S., O'Doherty, S., Parker, R. J., Peng, C., Peng, S., Peters, G. P.,
- Prigent, C., Prinn, R., Ramonet, M., Regnier, P., Riley, W. J., Rosentreter, J. A., Segers, A.,
- Simpson, I. J., Shi, H., Smith, S. J., Steele, P. L., Thornton, B. F., Tian, H., Tohjima, Y.,
- Tubiello, F. N., Tsuruta, A., Viovy, N., Voulgarakis, A., Weber, T. S., van Weele, M., van der
- 736 Werf, G. R., Weiss, R. F., Worthy, D., Wunch, D., Yin, Y., Yoshida, Y., Zhang, W., Zhang, Z.,
- 737 Zhao, Y., Zheng, B., Zhu, Q., Zhu, Q. and Zhuang, Q.: The Global Methane Budget 2000-2017,
- 738Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 12(3), 1561–1623, doi:10.5194/essd-2019-128, 2019.
- 739 Short, R. T., Toler, S. K., Kibelka, G. P. G., Rueda Roa, D. T., Bell, R. J. and Byrne, R. H.:
- 740 Detection and quantification of chemical plumes using a portable underwater membrane
- introduction mass spectrometer, TrAC Trends Anal. Chem., 25(7), 637–646,
- 742 doi:10.1016/j.trac.2006.05.002, 2006.
- 743 Steinsberger, T., Schwefel, R., Wüest, A. and Müller, B.: Hypolimnetic oxygen depletion rates in
- deep lakes: Effects of trophic state and organic matter accumulation, Limnol. Oceanogr., 65(12),
- 745 3128–3138, doi:10.1002/lno.11578, 2020.
- Tortell, P. D.: Dissolved gas measurements in oceanic waters made by membrane inlet mass
 spectrometry, Limnol. Oceanogr. Methods, 3(1), 24–37, doi:10.4319/lom.2005.3.24, 2005.
- 748 Tranvik, L. J., Downing, J. A., Cotner, J. B., Loiselle, S. A., Striegl, R. G., Ballatore, T. J.,
- Dillon, P., Finlay, K., Fortino, K., Knoll, L. B., Kortelainen, P. L., Kutser, T., Larsen, S.,
- Laurion, I., Leech, D. M., Mccallister, S. L., Mcknight, D. M., Melack, J. M., Overholt, E.,
- Porter, J. A., Prairie, Y., Renwick, W. H., Roland, F., Sherman, B. S., Schindler, D. W., Sobek,
- S., Tremblay, A., Vanni, M. J., Verschoor, A. M., Wachenfeldt, E. Von and Weyhenmeyer, G.
- A.: Lakes and reservoirs as regulators of carbon cycling and climate, Limnol. Oceanogr., 54(6),
- 754 2298–2314, doi:10.4319/lo.2009.54.6_part_2.2298, 2009.
- Triest, J., Chappellaz, J. and Grilli, R.: Patent WO2018127516A1: System for fast and in-situ sampling of dissolved gases in the ocean (CNRS, Grenoble FRANCE), 2017.
- 757 Vachon, D., Langenegger, T., Donis, D. and McGinnis, D. F.: Influence of water column
- stratification and mixing patterns on the fate of methane produced in deep sediments of a small
- r59 eutrophic lake, Limnol. Oceanogr., 64(5), 2114–2128, doi:10.1002/lno.11172, 2019.
- Wankel, S. D., Huang, Y., Gupta, M., Provencal, R., Leen, J. B., Fahrland, A., Vidoudez, C. and
- 761 Girguis, P. R.: Characterizing the Distribution of Methane Sources and Cycling in the Deep Sea
- via in Situ Stable Isotope Analysis, Environ. Sci. Technol., 47(3), 1478–1486,
- 763 doi:10.1021/es303661w, 2013.
- Webb, J. R., Maher, D. T. and Santos, I. R.: Automated, in situ measurements of dissolved CO2,
- 765 CH4, and δ 13C values using cavity enhanced laser absorption spectrometry: Comparing
- response times of air-water equilibrators, Limnol. Oceanogr. Methods, 14(5), 323–337,
- 767 doi:10.1002/lom3.10092, 2016.
- Yuan, F., Hu, M., He, Y., Chen, B., Yao, L., Xu, Z. and Kan, R.: Development of an in situ analysis system for methane dissolved in seawater based on cavity ringdown spectroscopy

- 770 Development of an in situ analysis system for methane dissolved in seawater based on cavity
- ringdown spectroscopy, Rev. Sci. Instrum., 91(083106), doi:10.1063/5.0004742, 2020.

	<i>@AGU</i> PUBLICATIONS
1	
2	JGR: Biogeosciences
3	Supporting Information for
4 5	A novel high-resolution in situ tool for studying carbon biogeochemical processes in aquatic systems: The Lake Aiguebelette case study
6	Roberto Grilli ¹ , Tonya DelSontro ² , Josette Garnier ³ , Frederick Jacob ⁴ , Julien Némery ¹
7 8 9 10 11 12	 ¹ Univ. Grenoble Alpes, IRD, CNRS, Grenoble INP*, IGE, F-38000, Grenoble, France ² Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Waterloo, Canada ³ Sorbonne Université CNRS EPHE, Milieux environnementaux, transferts et interactions dans les hydrosystèmes et les sols, METIS, France ⁴ Centre d'Ingénierie Hydraulique, EDF, France
13 14 15 16 17	*Institute of Engineering, Université Grenoble Alpes
18	



- 20 Figure S1. Schematic of the MILS (membrane inlet laser spectrometer) probe in the
- 21 field. The instrument was installed on the boat while the extraction unit (composed by the
- 22 membrane extraction block, MB, and a water pump) was immerged in the water at 50 cm
- 23 depth. The instrument and the extraction unit were connected with 1.2 m long 1/8" PFA
- tubing. SV is a 3-ports 2-positions switch valve allowing to regularly inject a standard
- 25 gas (std tank) at a known isotopic composition to the spectrometer for calibration
- 26 propose. MFC_{CG} and MFC_{TF} were two mass flow controllers for setting the carrier gas 27 and total gas flows. P_{red} is a pressure reducer. A vacuum pump (VP) and a solenoid
- and total gas flows. P_{red} is a pressure reducer. A vacuum pump (VP) and a solenoid
 proportional electrovalve EV were used for regulating the pressure in the measurement
- 28 proportional electrovalve EV were used for regulating the pressure in the measurement 29 cavity, and a silica gel dryer employed for removing the water vapor before the VP.



Figure S2. Dependency of the isotopic fractionation to the water temperature estimated to 0.6 ‰ per °C on the R¹³C, corresponding to 0.9 ‰ per °C on the δ^{13} CH₄.

- 33 34
- 35



Figure S3. Reproducibility of the instrument while going back and forward in the

38 channel (15 min continuous acquisition). Arrows indicate the direction of the time series.

- 39 The location of the channel is reported in two above inserts.
- 40