

Projected water table depth changes of the world's major groundwater basins and potential human impact

Maya Costantini^{1,1}, Jeanne Colin^{2,2}, and Bertrand Decharme^{3,3}

¹CNRM, CNRS/Météo-France

²Météo-France

³Météo-France/CNRM

December 7, 2022

Abstract

As groundwater found in aquifers is the main reservoir of freshwater for human activity, knowledge of the future response of groundwater to climate change is key for improving water management adaptation plans. We analyse the evolution of future levels of unconfined aquifers in the 218 world's major groundwater basins in global climate simulations following the latest IPCC scenarios, run with models able to capture feedbacks among climate, land use and groundwater. Neglecting these feedbacks has been identified by the IPCC Sixth Assessment Report (AR6) as a source of uncertainties in impact models. We find a rising of groundwater levels on global average, which is consistent with the projected global intensification of precipitation. However, the evolution of water table depths is not spatially uniform and presents large regional disparities. Depending on the scenario, we find a rise (respectively a depletion) of groundwater levels in 2100 over 40% to 52% (respectively 20% to 26%) of the area covered by the 218 world's major groundwater basins. Using spatialized projections of population in 2100, we estimate that 31% to 43% of the world's population could be affected by these groundwater changes, facing either water scarcity issues, or increased risks of flooding. As the climate models we used do not represent human groundwater withdrawals, we also use the projections of population to identify regions where groundwater withdrawals could exacerbate the projected depletion, or even reverse a projected rise into a depletion.

1 **Projected water table depth changes of the world's**
2 **major groundwater basins**

3 **Maya Costantini¹, Jeanne Colin¹, Bertrand Decharme¹**

4 ¹Centre National de Recherches Météorologiques (CNRM), Météo-France/CNRS, Toulouse, France

5 **Key Points:**

- 6 • The impact of climate change on water table depth in the world's major groundwater
7 basins is assessed using CMIP6 global simulations.
8 • Projections run with four SSP scenarios show a global rising of groundwater by 2100,
9 with the occurrence of a depletion in numerous regions.
10 • In 2100, 31% to 43% of the world's population could face water scarcity issues or
11 flood risks worsened by these water table depth changes.

Corresponding author: Maya Costantini, maya.costantini@meteo.fr

12 Abstract

13 As groundwater found in aquifers is the main reservoir of freshwater for human activ-
14 ity, knowledge of the future response of groundwater to climate change is key for improving
15 water management adaptation plans. We analyse the climate-driven evolution of future
16 levels of unconfined aquifers in the 218 world's major groundwater basins in global climate
17 simulations following the latest IPCC scenarios, run with models able to capture feedbacks
18 among climate, land use and groundwater. We find a rising of groundwater levels on global
19 average, which is consistent with the projected global intensification of precipitation. How-
20 ever, the evolution of water table depths is not spatially uniform and presents large regional
21 disparities. Depending on the scenario, we find a statistically significant rise (respectively a
22 depletion) of groundwater levels in 2100 over 40[34-47]% to 52[50-54]% (respectively 20[19-
23 24]% to 26[25-29]%) of the area covered by the 218 world's major groundwater basins. Using
24 spatialized projections of population in 2100, we estimate that 31[29-36]% to 43[42-44]%
25 of the world's population could be affected by these groundwater changes, facing either water
26 scarcity issues, or increased risks of flooding. As the climate models we used do not repre-
27 sent human groundwater withdrawals (irrigation as well as domestic and industrial uses), we
28 also use FAO maps of present-day irrigated areas and projections of population to identify
29 regions where groundwater withdrawals could exacerbate the projected depletion, or even
30 reverse a projected rise into a depletion.

31 1 Introduction

32 Groundwater, stored in permeable geological structures (aquifers), constitutes the largest
33 unfrozen reserve of freshwater on Earth. It amounts to approximately 35% of human fresh
34 water withdrawals (Doll et al., 2012) and sustains ecosystems by supplying baseflow during
35 dry periods. The recharge of aquifers stems mainly from rainfall, melted snow, and water
36 exchanges with inland water bodies. Conversely, groundwater sustains these bodies of water
37 and is the main driver of river flow. To a lesser extent, it also contributes to evapotran-
38 spiration in groundwater-dependent ecosystems. In addition to these natural water fluxes,
39 pumping and soil infiltration of irrigation water also affect groundwater levels. The evolu-
40 tion of groundwater resources with climate change is therefore of great importance for both
41 humankind and natural ecosystems.

42 As climate change modify the natural hydrological cycle as well as human water use and
43 demand, it also affect groundwater resources (Green et al., 2011; R. G. Taylor et al., 2013;
44 Wada, 2016; Scanlon et al., 2012; IPCC, 2021c). Over the past decade, studies exploring the
45 impact of future climate change on groundwater have relied on hydrological models driven
46 by atmospheric forcing or estimated recharge. Until the recent work of Wu et al. (2020), who
47 used a fully coupled global climate model, studies exploring the impacts of future climate
48 change on groundwater have relied on hydrological models driven by atmospheric forcings
49 or estimated recharges. Few of these studies are global (Wada et al., 2012; Reinecke et al.,
50 2021). In most cases, the spatial scale is limited to a given set of watershed or a single region
51 (Meixner et al., 2016; Maxwell & Kollet, 2008; Condon et al., 2020; Amanambu et al., 2020).
52 These global and regional studies give valuable insights regarding the future of groundwater
53 resources, but regardless of their scale, they can not take into account the groundwater-
54 climate feedbacks because of their modelling framework. A number of studies have shown
55 that including groundwater in a coupled surface-atmosphere model leads to an increase
56 of evapotranspiration, which can impact near-surface temperature and precipitation (e.g.
57 Anyah et al. (2008); Larsen et al. (2016); Wang et al. (2018)). Without these feedbacks,
58 the response of groundwater to climate change may be biased (Maxwell & Kollet, 2008;
59 Meixner et al., 2016), and the future long-term evolution of the land surface hydrology can
60 be misleading (Boe, 2021).

61 Over the past few years, a number of authors have recommended the inclusion of a
62 representation of groundwater in Earth system models and global climate models (Clark
63 et al., 2015; Fan et al., 2019; Boe, 2021; Gleeson et al., 2021), and some of them have
64 argued that these integrated models would ultimately help to assess the future effects of
65 climate change on groundwater (Fan et al., 2019; Gleeson et al., 2021). Taking up this
66 suggestion, Wu et al. (2020) considered an ensemble of future global simulations following
67 the old business-as-usual RCP8.5 scenario (designed for the fifth phase of the Coupled
68 Model Intercomparison Project CMIP5 (K. E. Taylor et al., 2012)), performed with the
69 Community Earth System Model version 4.0 (Kay et al., 2015) which includes a simple
70 parameterization of aquifers. The authors analysed the future evolution of groundwater
71 storage in this ensemble of projections, but they limited their assessment to 7 key mid-
72 latitudes aquifers, thus failing to provide a worldwide picture of the global changes.

73 In this present study, we look to go beyond the work of Wu et al. (2020) by providing
74 a wider scale analysis of future groundwater levels using more recent global climate simu-
75 lations. To do so, we consider the future climate-driven evolution of the 218 world's major
76 groundwater basins which cover 43% of the global land surface (without Antarctica and
77 Greenland) and under four of the up-to-date greenhouse gas concentration pathways sce-
78 narios (SSP126, SSP245, SSP370 and SSP585) (O'Neill et al., 2017). The simulations were
79 performed at the French National Center for Meteorological Research (CNRM in french),
80 for the sixth phase of the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project (CMIP6) (Eyring et al.,
81 2016) with our two fully coupled climate models CNRM-CM6-1 (Voldoire et al., 2019) and
82 CNRM-ESM2-1 (Seferian et al., 2019). Both models include a hydrogeological representa-
83 tion of unconfined aquifer processes in the world's major groundwater basins (Decharme
84 et al., 2019; Vergnes & Decharme, 2012). They simulate the evolution of the Water Ta-
85 ble Depth (WTD), defined as the depth of the piezometric head in each aquifer, using
86 a two-dimensional diffusive scheme of the groundwater flows also accounting for two-way
87 water exchanges with the river and the unsaturated soil column. This two-way coupling
88 allows the CNRM models to capture groundwater-climate feedbacks, and CNRM-ESM2-1
89 also accounts for land-use changes feedbacks.

90 The recently issued IPCC Sixth Assessment Report (AR6) (IPCC, 2022a) pointed out
91 the necessity to include such feedbacks in projections of future groundwater resources. With
92 the inclusion of these processes in the CNRM models, the present study contributes to
93 further narrow one of the knowledge gaps identified in the AR6 (IPCC, 2022a). However,
94 human groundwater withdrawals and irrigation are not simulated in the CNRM models, as
95 is also the case for most of the models used in the previously mentioned studies. Therefore,
96 our analysis only considers the "natural" part of the climate change-induced changes of
97 water table depths. .

98 Hereafter, the evolution of WTD is analysed over the 1850-2100 period using CMIP6
99 simulations run with the CNRM models. The results are put in perspective with a multi-
100 model analysis of the precipitation and evapotranspiration changes simulated by 18 other
101 state-of-the-art global climate models which contributed to CMIP6. Finally, we discuss the
102 foreseeable impacts of the projected evolution of groundwater levels on the human water
103 need in 2100, and vice versa.

104 **2 Materials and Methods**

105 **2.1 CNRM models**

106 The global climate model CNRM-CM6-1 (<http://www.umr-cnrm.fr/cmip6/spip.php?article11>) and the Earth system model CNRM-ESM2-1 (<http://www.umr-cnrm.fr/cmip6/spip.php?article10>) are both two global fully coupled atmosphere-ocean-surface

109 general circulation models of the CNRM. They are part of the models engaged in CMIP6
110 to contribute to the AR6 (Eyring et al., 2016; IPCC, 2021a). These models are run at
111 a resolution of approximately 1.5° and based on the same core of components. CNRM-
112 CM6-1 simulates the main physical processes in the ocean, the sea ice, the land surface
113 and the atmosphere (Voldoire et al., 2019). Using the same physics, CNRM-ESM2-1 repre-
114 sents in addition the global carbon cycle including carbon cycling in vegetation. Leaf level
115 photosynthesis, plant respiration, stomatal conductance, and plant biomass are explicitly
116 computed by the model. Leaf phenology results directly from the simulated carbon bal-
117 ance of the canopy (Delire et al., 2020). This allows to represent the physiological effects
118 of CO_2 on plant transpiration and growth (increased water use efficiency and fertilisation
119 effect). CNRM-ESM2-1 also accounts for land-use-land-cover change scenarios derived from
120 the Land Use Harmonized version 2 release LUH2 (Hurtt et al., 2020) for CMIP6 and in-
121 cludes an interactive atmospheric chemistry scheme and an interactive tropospheric aerosols
122 scheme (Seferian et al., 2019).

123 In these two climate models, the ISBA-CTRIP (Decharme et al., 2019) (Interaction-
124 Soil-Biosphere-Atmosphere - CNRM version of the Total Runoff Integrating Pathways) land
125 surface system provides a physical and realistic representation of the continental hydrolog-
126 y (<http://www.umr-cnrm.fr/spip.php?article1092&lang=en>). ISBA uses multilayer
127 schemes for both the soil and the snowpack to calculate the time evolution of the water
128 and energy budgets at the land surface and to provide water flow to CTRIP. In this way,
129 CTRIP which simulates inundation dynamic, groundwater processes and river discharges in
130 the ocean. Because of the coarse resolution of the model (0.5°), only the 218 world's largest
131 unconfined aquifer basins with diffusive groundwater movements are represented for the mo-
132 ment (Vergnes & Decharme, 2012; Vergnes et al., 2012). More complex aquifer systems like
133 confined, karstic, orogenic and localized shallow aquifers remain difficult to simulate at the
134 global scale due to the lack of precise global parameter database. The hydrogeological mod-
135 elling of groundwater dynamics relies on a two-dimensional one-layer diffusive widespread
136 unconfined aquifer scheme (Vergnes et al., 2012) based on the well-known MODCOU hy-
137 drogeological model (Vergnes, May 2014; Ledoux et al., 1989). This scheme computes the
138 WTD in aquifers according to the lateral groundwater fluxes, the two-way water exchanges
139 with the rivers (Vergnes & Decharme, 2012; Vergnes et al., 2012) and the unsaturated soil
140 (Decharme et al., 2019; Vergnes J.P., Decharme B, 2014). Groundwater basins boundaries
141 and their hydrogeological parameters were estimated using global maps of groundwater re-
142 sources and topological, lithological and geological data sets (Vergnes & Decharme, 2012).
143 Groundwater basins have been delimited using the global map of the groundwater resources
144 of the world from the Worldwide Hydrogeological Mapping and Assessment Programme
145 (WHYMAP), the hydrogeological map over the United States from the U.S. Geological Sur-
146 vey (USGS) and the global map of lithology (Durr et al., 2005). This last map also allows
147 one to determine the transmissivity and the effective porosity in each aquifer basin (Vergnes
148 & Decharme, 2012; Decharme et al., 2019).

149 Groundwater processes as well as other hydrological features were validated thoroughly
150 during the last decade in ISBA-CTRIP on a regional and global scale. These evaluations
151 were performed specifically by comparing model results to in-situ measurements of the
152 piezometric head, the GRACE terrestrial water storage estimates and a large set of in-situ
153 river discharges measurements in forced land surface applications (Decharme et al., 2019;
154 Vergnes & Decharme, 2012; Vergnes et al., 2012; Vergnes J.P., Decharme B, 2014) as well as
155 in our fully-coupled climate models (Voldoire et al., 2019; Roehrig et al., 2020). Finally, it
156 was thanks to this evaluation work that the ISBA-CTRIP land surface system was used in
157 many global hydrological applications, some of which highlight important results regarding
158 global hydrology and climate change (Padron et al., 2020; Cazenave et al., 2014; Douville
159 et al., 2013).

160 In this study, we only consider the WTD which are shallower than 100 m ($WTD < 100m$)
 161 over 1985 – 2014 in the historical CMIP6 experiment (present-day climate). In deeper
 162 aquifers, we assume that groundwater is too disconnected from the surface to be significantly
 163 impacted by climate change at the time scales we consider (less than 250 years). This is
 164 especially true over hyper-arid regions (e.g. in the Sahara desert) where fossil aquifers were
 165 recharged by precipitation during paleoclimatic periods (R. G. Taylor et al., 2013; Scanlon
 166 et al., 2006; Alley et al., 2002). The current annual precipitation rates here are extremely
 167 weak, which limits the groundwater recharge and thus constrains WTD to very deep levels.

168 2.2 CMIP6 Experiments and Data Post-processing

169 Our analysis of the water table depth changes is based on the results of CMIP6 sim-
 170 ulations run with the CNRM models. The multi-model analysis includes the results of
 171 CMIP6 simulations run with the 18 models of the CMIP6 panel which had published the
 172 variables of interest (see next subsection) at the time of our analysis (see Table 1). For the
 173 past and present-day climate (1850 – 2014) we use simulations run for the historical exper-
 174 iment, which is part of the CMIP6 core experiments (Eyring et al., 2016). For the future
 175 period (2015 – 2100), we use simulations run for the ScenarioMIP experiments (O’Neill et
 176 al., 2016, 2017; Meinshausen et al., 2017). We consider four scenarios, based on different
 177 Shared Socioeconomic Pathways (SSP) and different levels of radiative forcing (increase of
 178 the atmosphere’s radiative balance (in $W.m^{-2}$) between 1850 and 2100) : SSP126, SSP145,
 179 SSP370, SSP585. To put it simply, the SSP126 scenario is the optimistic one. It is defined
 180 by a sustainable societal development, with a relatively low radiative forcing. The SSP245
 181 scenario is a middle-of-the-road pathway. It depicts a world where the socioeconomic trends
 182 do not deviate too much from the historical period patterns, with an intermediate radiative
 183 forcing. The SSP370 scenario displays regional rivalries and a higher radiative forcing. The
 184 SSP585 scenario in the worst case scenario, with a strong fossil-fueled development and a
 185 subsequently high radiative forcing.

186 For each experiment (historical or scenarios), models run an ensemble of simulations,
 187 composed of several members. These ensembles allows to sample the climate internal vari-
 188 ability and thus provides a better assessment the models’ response to the evolution of climate
 189 forcings (the more members, the better). We used all the available members at the time
 190 of our analysis (see Table 1). The variables we considered are the Water Table Depth
 191 (WTD), precipitation (PR) and evapotranspiration ($EVSPSBL$). As the two CNRM cli-
 192 mate models provide similar results for the variables of interest, their data were processed
 193 jointly. The same weight was given to CNRM-CM6-1 and CNRM-ESM2-1 by first com-
 194 puting the ensemble mean of each model (average of all members) for each variable and
 195 each experiment, and then averaging the two ensemble means. For the multi-model anal-
 196 ysis of the 18 other state-of-the-art CMIP6 models we considered, we also computed the
 197 ensemble means of each model, and then we averaged these ensemble means. All the vari-
 198 ables computed by the different CMIP6 models were regridded on the 0.5° regular grid
 199 over which WTD is computed in the CNRM models. The interpolation was done us-
 200 ing a first order conservative remapping provided by the Climate Data Operator (CDO:
 201 <http://www.idris.fr/media/ada/cdo.pdf>). The interpolation was performed on the en-
 202 semble means of each model, as were any further statistical computations (time series,
 203 averages over time periods, percentages of change, etc.).

Table 1. Models used and number of members for each model

Global Climate Model	Number of members (historical)	Number of members (SSPs)
<i>CNRM/CNRM – CM6 – 1</i>	30	6
<i>CNRM/CNRM – EMS2 – 1</i>	11	5
<i>BCC/BCC – CSM2 – MR</i>	3	1
<i>CAS/FGOALS – f3 – L</i>	3	3
<i>CAS/FGOALS – g3</i>	6	4
<i>CCCma/CanESM5 – CanOE</i>	3	3
<i>CCCma/CanESM5</i>	40	25
<i>CSIRO/ACCESS – ESM1 – 5</i>	10	3
<i>INM/INM – CM4 – 8</i>	1	1
<i>INM/INM – CM5 – 0</i>	10	1
<i>IPSL/IPSL – CM6A – LR</i>	32	6
<i>MIROC/MIROC6</i>	50	50
<i>MIROC/MIROC – ES2L</i>	10	1
<i>MOHC/UKESM1 – 0 – LL</i>	11	5
<i>NASA – GISS/GISS – E2 – 1 – G</i>	10	1
<i>NCAR/CESM2</i>	11	5
<i>NCAR/CESM2 – WACCM</i>	3	5
<i>NIMS – KMA/KAGE – 1 – 0 – G</i>	3	3
<i>NOAA – GFDL/GFDL – ESM4</i>	2	1
<i>UA/MCM – UA – 1 – 0</i>	1	1

204 The statistical significance of field differences on maps computed using the False De-
205 tection Rate (FDR) test (Wilks, 2006, 2016). The FDR test is based on a Student test for
206 the computation of P-values at each grid point. To determine the significance, P-values
207 are compared to a threshold which depends on the series of P-values (for every grid point).
208 This test allows to reduce the rate of false significance, which can be rather high for auto-
209 correlated fields such as climate variables (Wilks, 2006, 2016). In our case, it gives a better
210 confidence on the fact that the changes we analyze are truly due to climate change rather
211 than stemming from internal variability. In addition, to provide confidence intervals on the
212 fraction of surface impacted by significant changes of water table depth, we used a bootstrap
213 method. We performed a resampling of the 11 members for each scenario and for the 41 his-
214 torical members. The FDR test of significance was then computed for each of the bootstrap
215 1000 samples. The confidence intervals we provide correspond to the 5th and 95th quantiles
216 of the distribution we obtain with the bootstrap resampling, noted [5th-95th] hereafter.

217 2.3 Future Population Density Projections

218 The evolution of population density (people per km²) is derived from the projection
219 of population density by countries (KC & Lutz, 2017) conducted for CMIP6 and with the
220 population density in 2015 at 0.5° provided by the SocioEconomic Data and Applications
221 Center (SEDAC, 2018). For each country, the percentage of change in population density is
222 computed between 2015 (see Supporting Information Fig.S1) and 2100 according to CMIP6
223 projections for each SSP scenario (see Supporting Information Fig.S2). This percentage is
224 then applied to the population density at 0.5° in 2015 provided by the SEDAC. These global
225 maps of the world's population in 2100 are used to discuss the possible human impacts of
226 the projected WTD changes. This information is also used to determine in which regions
227 our results on WTD changes are likely to be biased by the lack of human groundwater
228 withdrawals in the CNRM models, and in which way this supposed bias might affect our

229 results.

230

231 2.4 Present-day Irrigation Data

232 Part of the analysis of our results also refers to maps of areas currently equipped
 233 for irrigation in each of the CNRM models grid cells. They are derived from Siebert et al.
 234 (2010) using the FAO (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations) data. The
 235 two global maps we used provide the percentage of areas equipped for irrigation and the
 236 percentage of irrigated areas serviced by groundwater, at a resolution of 5 arc minutes. The
 237 two FAO maps was simply interpolated at the 0.5° resolution over which *WTD* is computed
 238 in the CNRM models. And we combined these two maps to compute the percentages of area
 239 equipped for groundwater. These data are used to further discuss the possible influence of
 240 groundwater withdrawals on our results.

241 3 Results

242 3.1 Current status and projected groundwater levels




Figure 1. Global distribution of the mean WTD simulated by the CNRM global climate models in the 218 world's major groundwater basins over the present-day period (1985 – 2014) in the historical experiment.

243 The current status of the world's major groundwater basins simulated by the CNRM
 244 models is shown in Fig.1. 40% of the global land area presents a WTD which is shallower
 245 than 100 *m* and 36% of the land area presents WTD between 1 and 10 *m*. This is consistent
 246 with estimates from the high resolution observation-driven model of Fan et al. (2013) based
 247 on observations made over the last 60 years (see Supplementary Material in Fan et al.
 248 (2013)), where around 38% of the WTD are comprised between 1 and 10 *m*).

249 In agreement with recent observational studies (IPCC, 2021b), the globally yearly averaged
 250 WTD simulated by the CNRM models shows a slight rise over the 1960 to 2014 period
 251 in the historical experiment (Fig.2.A). Following our model estimates, global WTD should
 252 continue to rise with climate change in all future scenarios, at least until 2100 (i.e. the end

253 of the scenarios). The higher the radiative forcing associated to SSP scenarios, the stronger
 254 the trend of WTD. The AR6 indicates that the global mean annual precipitation over land
 255 is also projected to increase until 2100, in all scenarios(IPCC, 2021c). Precipitation simu-
 256 lated by CNRM models follow the same behavior (Fig.2.B). Overall, the variations of the
 257 simulated global WTD follow those of precipitation, except over the 1950 – 1970 period at
 258 a first glance. During this period, the global mean annual precipitation drops because of
 259 an increase in sulfur emissions in the atmosphere(Wild, 2012). This is not followed by a
 260 decrease of the global mean WTD, even if this decrease is simulated over several regions
 261 such as that of south and southeast Asia (not shown). However, the long-term evolution of
 262 the two variables are highly correlated, with a R-squared of 0.957 between the 5-yr running
 263 means of global WTD and precipitation (not shown).




Figure 2. Time series (1850–2100) of the 5-year running average of global mean WTD anomalies (panel **A**) and precipitation over land anomalies (panel **B**), relative to their global average in present-day climate (1985 – 2014 period of the historical experiment), according to all scenarios. The shading areas around the global means represent the inter-member spread (± 1.64 inter-member variance) of each experiment. Boxplots further reflect the inter-member distribution of the last 30 years of the historical experiment (1985 – 2014) and of each scenario (2071 – 2100). On the boxplots, the vertical line indicates the median, the boxplot limits the 1st and 3rd quartiles and the whiskers’ length is 1.5 times the interquartile range.

264 Naturally, this global rising of groundwater due to climate change does not prevent the
 265 occurrence of a depletion in numerous regions. The map on Fig.3.A represents the relative
 266 difference of WTD between present-day climate (1985 – 2014) and the end of the 21st
 267 century (2071 – 2100), following the SSP370 scenario. For readability reasons, we chose to highlight
 268 a single scenario (see Supporting Information Fig.S4 for the other scenarios). We picked
 269 the SSP370 because it is one of the scenarios, along with SSP245, which best match the
 270 recent evolution of anthropogenic global fossil-fuel concentrations (Hausfather & Peters,
 271 2020). Despite a global WTD rising of 3.8[3.6-4.0]%, these results show a clear North-South
 272 dipole in Europe and America between groundwater rising in the north and depletion
 273 in the south (north of the 45° latitude, approximately). The Mediterranean basin, Southern
 274 Africa, Amazonia, central America, Australia and parts of China should experience a strong
 275 groundwater depletion, whilst central Africa, India, Indonesia and eastern Argentina should
 276 see an increase of their groundwater resources with climate change. This spatial pattern

of the WTD changes are the same for all scenarios, the severity of which only impacts the amplitude of the changes and not their sign.

Overall, our projections of groundwater levels are consistent with the findings of the few previous studies based on CMIP5 scenarios which addressed the question of future groundwater resources at the global scale, using a fully coupled model (Wu et al., 2020) or global hydrological models run offline (Reinecke et al., 2021).

3.2 Climate drivers of the WTD changes

Almost everywhere, the sign of WTD changes is determined by the changes of precipitation rather than evapotranspiration. Generally, the water table rises if the precipitation increases and vice versa, whereas an increase (respectively decrease) of evapotranspiration rarely leads to a depletion (rise) of the aquifer (Fig.3). To further investigate this matter, two linear regression models were computed for each grid point: the first one links the 5-yr running mean time-series of WTD with precipitation, and the second one also accounts for the evapotranspiration time-series. The comparison of the corresponding R-squared (Fig.4) shows that over most regions, the second regression model is only slightly better than the first one, given that the correlation between WTD and precipitation is already very high (R-squared over 0.8) and that evapotranspiration is also highly correlated to precipitation. In most places therefore, precipitation proves to be the main driver of the WTD long-term evolution, hence the widespread agreement of signs between the trends of WTD and precipitation (blue and red areas on Fig.3.D).

There are however a few regions where the inclusion of evapotranspiration in the regression model considerably improves the rather low R-squared obtained with precipitation only (Fig.4), which means that evapotranspiration then plays a major role in the evolution of WTD. This is consistent with previous studies (Condon et al., 2020; Wu et al., 2020) which stressed the importance of evapotranspiration in the future evolution of groundwater. The regions where the influence of evapotranspiration prevails correspond to the areas of disagreement between the precipitation and WTD changes (orange and green areas on Fig.3.D), which are in fact characterized by a lack of significance on the precipitation changes (Fig.3.B). In these cases, either the water table deepens with the increase of evapotranspiration (green areas on Fig.3.D) or it rises with the reduction of evapotranspiration (orange areas on Fig.3.D). It is easy to understand how evapotranspiration can increase in a warmer climate. But the decrease of evapotranspiration, in the absence of a significant change of precipitation, is somewhat surprising. Further analysis shows that it is explained by land use change features in SSP scenarios (Hurtt et al., 2020) imposed on the CNRM-ESM2-1 model. For example, the deforestation of the Congo Basin in the SSP370 scenario favours groundwater recharge, as it reduces the withdrawal of soil moisture for deep rooted trees transpiration. Indeed, the conversion of forest to agricultural lands can cause an increase in groundwater recharge even if rainfall slightly decreases (Owuor et al., 2016).

Our analysis of the drivers of WTD changes concerns the aquifers shallower than 100 meters in the world's major groundwater basins, which altogether cover 40% of the land surface. However, it is reasonable to assume that aquifers which are not represented in the CNRM models will be driven by the same climate variables (i.e precipitation and evapotranspiration when precipitation changes are not statically significant). Thus, it seems reasonable to assume that the evolution of the non-represented groundwater basins will mainly follow the precipitation and the evapotranspiration changes.




Figure 3. Water Table Depth (A), Precipitation (B) and Evapotranspiration (C) changes (in %) between 1985 – 2014 in the historical experiment and 2071 – 2100 in the SSP370 scenario (the values of the change averaged over land are annotated on the maps). Areas in blue (red) correspond to a future WTD rise (depletion) (A) or an increase (decrease) of precipitation/evapotranspiration (B/C). The white regions correspond to areas where the changes are not statistically significant according to the FDR test (Wilks, 2006, 2016) at a 95% level of confidence. On B and C, the localisation of the groundwater basins is emphasized to facilitate the comparison with WTD (A). D: in red and blue : comparison of the sign of WTD and precipitation (PR) changes ; in yellow and green : comparison of the sign of WTD and evapotranspiration (ET) changes wherever the sign of precipitation changes is not consistent with the sign of WTD changes. The white regions correspond to areas where WTD changes are not statistically significant.

323

3.3 Multi-model analysis

324

325

326

To further explore the uncertainties on the groundwater response to climate change in the CMIP6 experiments, it would be necessary to conduct a multi-model analysis. Unfortunately, in the CMIP6 cohort, the CNRM models are ones of the few which compute




Figure 4. Left panel: R^2 values of the linear regressions for the statistical model $WTD = \alpha * PR + \beta$. The linear regression is computed for each grid point with samples made of the yearly mean values of each variables for each SSP scenarios (i.e. all years from 2014 to 2100). Center panel: Same as left panel but for the statistical model $WTD = a * PR + b * ET + c$. Right panel: R^2 values differences between the second model (center panel) and the first one (left panel). Red areas correspond to areas where WTD changes are better correlated with both precipitation and evapotranspiration changes than with precipitation changes only.

327 water table depth, but the only one using an hydrogeological modelling approach. The question
 328 can not therefore be addressed directly. We can however confront the CNRM models' projections
 329 of precipitation and evapotranspiration to those simulated by 18 other state-of-the-art climate
 330 models contributing to CMIP6. Given that these two climate variables drive the long-term trends
 331 of WTD, they are responsible for a significant part of the uncertainties associated with the
 332 projections of WTD.

333 Results of this multi-model analysis show that overall, the CNRM models agree with the other
 334 CMIP6 models on the evolution of precipitation and evapotranspiration over land surfaces in the
 335 future (Fig.5 and Fig.6). The CNRM models global time-series (1850-2100) fall within the range
 336 of the inter-model spread. The spatial patterns of precipitation and evapotranspiration future
 337 changes of the CNRM models are also in agreement with the CMIP6 multi-model ensemble
 338 results (Fig.7). This naturally reflects the findings already reported in the AR6 (IPCC, 2021a),
 339 as well as in the previous IPCC assessment report (IPCC, 2013b). In both cases (CNRM models
 340 and CMIP6 ensemble), the future climate is projected to be wetter and more humid in most
 341 regions outside of the Mediterranean, Australia, southern Africa, Brazil and Central America.
 342 The few areas where the CNRM models results disagree with the CMIP6 multi-model mean on
 343 the sign of the changes correspond to transition zones between regions of humidification and
 344 drying. And in most of these places, the climate change signal is not statistically significant in
 345 the CNRM models.




Figure 5. Times-series (1850 – 2100) of the 5-yr running means of global land precipitation anomalies (relatively to 1985 – 2014) for each SSP scenario: ensemble means of the model references in Table 1 to the exclusion of the CNRM models, multi-model ensemble of these ensemble means, and ensemble mean of the CNRM models. a is the slope of the linear regression of each time-series. a^* indicates that the slope is not significantly different from 0. The range of the inter-model spread for linear trend of the multi-model ensemble is also given.

346 This agreement between the CNRM models and the CMIP6 multi-model ensembles regard-
 347 ing the climatic drivers of WTD changes provides an increased confidence in our projections
 348 of groundwater levels.




Figure 6. Same as Fig.5 but for evapotranspiration.

3.4 Potential humans impacts in 2100

349

350 Our projections of future groundwater levels can also be analysed in terms of the fore-
 351 seeable impact on human water risks. To perform the said analysis, we used projections of
 352 population densities in 2100 (Supporting Information Fig.S2), which we derived from the
 353 current population density (2015) provided by the SocioEconomic Data and Applications
 354 Center (SEDAC, 2018) and the projected relative changes of population in each country, pro-
 355 vided for each SSP scenario (KC & Lutz, 2017). The goal is to determine how the population
 356 might be impacted by the variation of WTD with climate change.

357 Because human withdrawals of groundwater are not represented in the CNRM models,
 358 our projections of WTD might be somewhat biased, in the sense that some of the simulated
 359 future water tables might be shallower than they would be with the inclusion of groundwater
 360 pumping. Indeed, it has been shown that pumping can cause or worsen the depletion of
 361 aquifer basins (IPCC, 2021a, 2022b; Famiglietti, 2014; Doll et al., 2009; Gurdak, 2017; Scan-
 362 lon et al., 2012; Wu et al., 2020). Irrigation accounts for 70% of groundwater withdrawals
 363 (Siebert et al., 2010) and thus constitutes the main use of groundwater. Using maps of areas
 364 currently equipped for irrigation (see Supporting Information Fig S3) we find that 2.8% of
 365 the areas located over large groundwater basins are equipped for irrigation and 0.9% specifi-
 366 cally for groundwater irrigation. By 2100, most of the future scenarios of global irrigated
 367 areas show either a stagnation of irrigated areas or a slight increase followed by a decrease.
 368 In the few scenarios projecting an increase of the global irrigated area, its future extent
 369 does not exceed twice the present-day values computed over the historical period (Hurt et
 370 al., 2020). Thus, groundwater irrigation should still affect only a small portion of the land
 371 surface, which suggests that in most parts of the world, our climate driven-estimates should
 372 not be biased by the lack of groundwater irrigation in the CNRM models.




Figure 7. First column: Multi-model ensemble (excluding the CNRM models) of precipitation relative change (in %) between 1985 – 2014 and 2071 – 2100 for each SSP scenario. Black dots indicate areas where 90% of the models agree on the sign of the change. Second column: Comparison of the precipitation multi-model change with the change simulated by the CNRM models. In blue: common increase ; in red: common decrease ; in orange: opposite signs of change. Third and fourth columns: same as the first and second columns but for evapotranspiration.

373 In the following, we further discuss this point using the future population density.
 374 Indeed, the comparison of areas currently equipped for irrigation with the world's population
 375 density (see Fig.S3 and Fig.S1 in Supporting Information) shows that except in the US
 376 great plains, irrigated areas are densely populated, while the reverse is not necessarily true.
 377 Future population density therefore allows to determine where groundwater irrigation could
 378 actually matter and also integrates other uses of groundwater (domestic and industrial uses).

379 Figures 8 and 9 gather the information on WTD and population density in 2100. If we
 380 consider the area covered by the world's major groundwater basins we studied here, we find
 381 that 40[34-47]% to 52[50-54]% (respectively 20[19-24]% to 26[25-29]%) of this surface will
 382 be affected by a rise (respectively a depletion) of groundwater levels (Fig.8). In terms of
 383 population, the global pie chart on Fig.9 indicates that, for the SSP370 scenario, 49% of the
 384 world's population in 2100 is projected to live in regions located above large groundwater
 385 basins and is therefore likely to rely on groundwater resources (see Supporting Information
 386 Fig.S6 for the other scenarios). Among them, 18[17-19]% (~ 1.1[1.0-1.1] billion people)
 387 are projected to be affected by groundwater depletion, 67[67-71]% (~ 4.0[4.0-4.2] billion
 388 people) by a rising of groundwater levels, and 14[11-15]% would experience no significant
 389 change. People living outside these large groundwater basins could however still partly rely
 390 on groundwater resources, either because they live near a large aquifer or because they
 391 exploit more localised aquifers. Considering all land surfaces (i.e. whether or not they are
 392 located above large groundwater basins) in each of the regions defined on Figure 9, we find
 393 that 16% of the world's population (~ 2.0 billion people) is projected to live in regions
 394 where climate change mostly induces a decline of future groundwater resources, such as the
 395 Mediterranean region or northwest America. For the 84% of people (~ 10.2 billion people)
 396 living in regions where aquifer levels are mostly projected to rise, the increase of ground-
 397 water resources could be lessened by human withdrawals, or even reverse into a decrease in
 398 the more highly populated areas, such as South Asia. Note that the computation of confi-




Figure 8. Share of area covered by the world's major groundwater basins where groundwater levels are projected to rise (blue) and to deplete (red). The color intensity indicates the projected population density (people per km²) in 2100. The light colours correspond to areas with fewer than 10 inhabitants per square kilometer and the dark colours to areas with more than 75 inhabitants per square kilometer. The white regions correspond to areas where WTD changes between 1985 – 2014 and 2071 – 2100 are not statistically significant.

399 dence intervals does not apply in these two cases, as we consider the dominant sign of WTD
 400 changes over large regions and it remains the same throughout the bootstrap resampling of
 401 our ensemble of simulations.
 402

403 In all scenarios, the areas currently equipped for groundwater irrigation amount to
 404 $\sim 1\%$ of the surface where WTD are projected to rise and 0.5% to 0.8% of the surface
 405 where the changes of WTD are not statistically significant in our projections (see table on
 406 Fig.S5 in Supporting Information). So while the inclusion of human withdrawals would
 407 locally reverse the sign of our projections of WTD changes, it would have little effect on the
 408 percentages of area and population affected by a rise or depletion of groundwater, therefore
 409 not invalidating the figures we gave in the previous paragraph.




Figure 9. Evolution of WTD and population density in 2100 with the SSP370 scenario. As in Fig.8, aquifer areas are coloured blue (red) if groundwater levels are projected to rise (deepen), whilst the color intensity indicates the projected population density in 2100. The global pie chart (left hand corner) represents the distribution of the world's population which could be affected by a rising (turquoise) or a depletion (brown) of groundwater levels, or which is likely to live above an aquifer basin where future changes are not significant (white) or over unstudied areas (grey). The same pie charts are given for each selected region, defined as those used in the Atlas of Global and Regional Climate Projections in the Annex 1 of the IPCC AR5 (IPCC, 2013a).

410 We now consider the water risks associated with WTD changes. Three different types
 411 of situations can be identified. The first one corresponds to moderately populated areas
 412 where aquifers are projected to rise, such as the high latitudes or parts of Northern Europe.
 413 In these regions, water stress should not be an issue in the future, as the risk of human
 414 withdrawal exceeding the projected increase of groundwater storage can be considered as
 415 moderate. There could however be an increased flood risk. Indeed, the saturation of aquifers
 416 and overlaying soils can foster or worsen spring freshets and floods associated with periods
 417 of intense precipitation.

418 The second case corresponds to highly populated areas such as South Asia or central
 419 Africa, where groundwater levels are also projected to rise. The flood risk might increase in
 420 these regions, as in those previously mentioned. With a high population density however,
 421 human water requirements are expected to be significant and even increase with climate
 422 change and/or the growth of the population. The projected increase of groundwater storage
 423 could therefore be reversed and become a decrease, as is already the case in the Ganges valley
 424 in North India and in North China where groundwater is already depleted (Rodell et al.,
 425 2009; Siebert et al., 2010; Panda et al., 2021). The projected increase of precipitation with
 426 climate change could either lead to a replenishment and further increase of groundwater
 427 resources in these regions (albeit less than projected in our simulations) or it could be
 428 entirely compensated, and even surpassed, by a growing human strain on groundwater.

429 The third situation corresponds to regions such as the Mediterranean, southern Africa
 430 and southwestern USA. In these moderately to highly populated places, the mean regional
 431 WTD is projected to deepen, corresponding to a depletion of groundwater (even without
 432 taking into account human withdrawal). This could be a huge problem in populated areas

433 where the drop of WTD will widen the risk of water stress, especially in regions that are
434 already groundwater-dependant (Iglesias et al., 2007) such as the central valley in California.
435 Again, in these regions, the real future depletion should be much stronger than projected,
436 as human withdrawals are not taken into account in the CNRM models and are likely to
437 increase in the future..
438

439 4 Summary and prospect

440 The CNRM models provide a spatially contrasted response of groundwater to climate
441 change throughout the 21st century. In all scenarios, the area experiencing a rise in ground-
442 water levels (39[34-47]% to 52[49-54]% depending on the scenario) is twice the area ex-
443 perienceing a depletion (20[19-24]% to 25[25-29]%). Discussing the potential water risks
444 associated with this projected evolution of groundwater levels, we find that depending on
445 the scenario, 0.7[0.6-0.7] to 1.1[1.1-1.1] billion people (9[9-11]% of the world's population
446 in SSP126 to 9[9-9]% of the world's population in SSP370) could be affected by groundwa-
447 ter depletion in 2100 and thus face water scarcity issues. On the contrary, 1.4[1.3-1.7] to
448 4.0[4.0-4.3] billion people (21[20-25]% of the world's population in SSP126 to 33[33-35]%
449 of the world's population in SSP370) could see their groundwater resources increase, but this
450 could come at the cost of a higher risk of flood events and landslides, due to the seasonal or
451 occasional saturation of aquifers. The confidence in our estimates of the long-term climate
452 driven-evolution of groundwater level is increased by the agreement between our projections
453 of the main climatic drivers of this evolution (precipitation and evapotranspiration) and
454 CMIP6 multi-model ensemble projections of these drivers.

455 Nonetheless, to further assess the uncertainties on the groundwater response to future
456 climate change, we argue in favor of a more comprehensive multi-model approach, which
457 would rely on coupled global climate models or Earth system models including a realistic
458 representation of groundwater processes. Other members of the climate and/or hydrology
459 modelling communities have also advocated for the development and use of such holistic
460 global models (Fan et al., 2013; Clark et al., 2015; Boe, 2021; Gleeson et al., 2021). Improving
461 and increasing our confidence in the projections of future groundwater resources does indeed
462 constitute a high-stake issue because it conditions the implementation of suitable mitigation
463 and adaptation plans to counter the widening risks of water scarcity (Famiglietti, 2014;
464 Thomas & Famiglietti, 2019).

465 Beyond the necessity to account for a valuable representation of groundwater pro-
466 cesses in global climate models, we emphasize the need to consider the representation of
467 groundwater pumping and irrigation processes (groundwater contributes to 42% of irrigated
468 water (Doll et al., 2012), which amounts to 70% of human groundwater intake (Siebert et al.,
469 2010)). As we discussed in section 3.4, the consideration of human groundwater withdrawal
470 and its future evolution is likely to locally modulate, and in some places even invert, the
471 impact of the future climate change on groundwater (Wada, 2016; Wu et al., 2020). This
472 modulation of the groundwater evolution, along with the modification of evapotranspira-
473 tion and/or hydrological processes induced by irrigation, could affect in return the projected
474 climate, hence the need to include these processes in fully coupled climate models.

475 5 Open Research

476 All the CNRM climate models and multi-model ensemble data are freely available
477 on the ESGF website (<https://esgf-node.ipsl.upmc.fr/search/cmip6-ips1/>). The
478 SEDAC data are available at [https://sedac.ciesin.columbia.edu/data/set/gpw-v4-
479 -population-density-rev11](https://sedac.ciesin.columbia.edu/data/set/gpw-v4-population-density-rev11), the CMIP6 projection of population density by country
480 at <https://tntcat.iiasa.ac.at/SspDb/dsd?Action=htmlpage\&page=30>, the GMTED

481 1km topography data at https://topotools.cr.usgs.gov/gmted_viewer/, the global map
 482 of the groundwater resources of the world from WHYMAP at <http://www.whymap.org>, and
 483 the the principal aquifers of the conterminous United States from the USGS at [https://](https://water.usgs.gov/GIS/metadata/usgswrd/XML/aquifers_us.xml)
 484 water.usgs.gov/GIS/metadata/usgswrd/XML/aquifers_us.xml. The irrigation datas from
 485 FAO are available at <https://data.apps.fao.org/aquamaps/>.

486 Acknowledgments

487 The authors would like to thank Christine Delire, Herve Douville, Julien Boe and Florence
 488 Habets for their useful comments. The authors are also grateful to the anonymous reviewers.
 489 This work is supported by the “Centre National de Recherches Météorologiques” (CNRM)
 490 of Météo-France and the “Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique” (CNRS) of the
 491 French research ministry. This paper has received support from the European Union’s
 492 Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under Grant Agreement N° 101003536
 493 (ESM2025 – Earth System Models for the Future).

494 References

- 495 Alley, W. M., Healy, R. W., LaBaugh, J. W., & Reilly, T. E. (2002). Flow and storage in
 496 groundwater systems. *Science*, *296*(5575), 1985–1990. doi: 10.1126/science.1067123
- 497 Amanambu, A. C., Obarein, O. A., Mossa, J., Li, L., Ayeni, S. S., Balogun, O., ... Ochege,
 498 F. U. (2020). Groundwater system and climate change: Present status and future
 499 considerations. *Journal of Hydrology*, *589*(May), 125163. Retrieved from [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2020.125163)
 500 doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2020.125163 doi: 10.1016/j.jhydrol.2020.125163
- 501 Anyah, R. O., Weaver, C. P., Miguez-Macho, G., Fan, Y., & Robock, A. (2008). Incorporat-
 502 ing water table dynamics in climate modeling: 3. Simulated groundwater influence on
 503 coupled land-atmosphere variability. *Journal of Geophysical Research Atmospheres*,
 504 *113*(7). doi: 10.1029/2007JD009087
- 505 Boe, J. (2021). The physiological effect of CO2 on the hydrological cycle in summer over
 506 Europe and land-atmosphere interactions. *Climatic Change*, *167*(1-2), 1–20. doi:
 507 10.1007/s10584-021-03173-2
- 508 Cazenave, A., Dieng, H.-b., Meyssignac, B., Schuckmann, K. V., Decharme, B., & Berthier,
 509 E. (2014). The rate of sea-level rise. *Nature Climate Change*, *4*(May), 358–361. doi:
 510 10.1038/NCLIMATE2159
- 511 Clark, M. P., Fan, Y., Lawrence, D. M., Adam, J. C., Bolster, D., Gochis, D. J., ...
 512 Maxwell, R. M. (2015). Improving the representation of hydrologic processes in
 513 Earth SystemModels. *Water Resources Research*, *51*, 5929–5956. doi: 10.1002/
 514 2015WR017096.Received
- 515 Condon, L. E., Atchley, A. L., & Maxwell, R. M. (2020). Evapotranspiration depletes
 516 groundwater under warming over the contiguous United States. *Nature Communi-*
 517 *cations*, *11*(1). Retrieved from <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-14688-0>
 518 doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-14688-0
- 519 Decharme, B., Delire, C., Minvielle, M., Colin, J., Vergnes, J. P., Alias, A., ... Voldoire,
 520 A. (2019). Recent Changes in the ISBA-CTRIP Land Surface System for Use in
 521 the CNRM-CM6 Climate Model and in Global Off-Line Hydrological Applications.
 522 *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems*, *11*(5), 1207–1252. doi: 10.1029/
 523 2018MS001545
- 524 Delire, C., Seferian, R., Decharme, B., Alkama, R., Calvet, J. C., Carrer, D., ... Tzanos, D.
 525 (2020). The Global Land Carbon Cycle Simulated With ISBA-CTRIP: Improvements
 526 Over the Last Decade. *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems*, *12*(9), 1–31.
 527 doi: 10.1029/2019MS001886
- 528 Doll, P., Fiedler, K., & Zhang, J. (2009). Global-scale analysis of river flow alterations due
 529 to water withdrawals and reservoirs. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, *13*(12),
 530 2413–2432. doi: 10.5194/hess-13-2413-2009
- 531 Doll, P., Hoffmann-Dobrev, H., Portmann, F. T., Siebert, S., Eicker, A., Rodell, M., ...

- 532 Scanlon, B. R. (2012). Impact of water withdrawals from groundwater and surface
533 water on continental water storage variations. *Journal of Geodynamics*, 59-60, 143–
534 156. Retrieved from <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jog.2011.05.001> doi: 10
535 .1016/j.jog.2011.05.001
- 536 Douville, H., Ribes, A., Decharme, B., Alkama, R., & Sheffield, J. (2013). Anthropogenic
537 influence on multidecadal changes in reconstructed global evapotranspiration. *Nature
538 Climate Change*, 3(1), 59–62. Retrieved from [http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/
539 nclimate1632](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nclimate1632) doi: 10.1038/nclimate1632
- 540 Durr, H. H., Meybeck, M., & Durr, S. H. (2005). Lithologic composition of the Earth's
541 continental surfaces derived from a new digital map emphasizing riverine material
542 transfer. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 19(4), 1–23. doi: 10.1029/2005GB002515
- 543 Eyring, V., Bony, S., Meehl, G. A., Senior, C. A., Stevens, B., Stouffer, R. J., & Taylor,
544 K. E. (2016). Overview of the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 6
545 (CMIP6) experimental design and organization. *Geoscientific Model Development*,
546 9(5), 1937–1958. doi: 10.5194/gmd-9-1937-2016
- 547 Famiglietti, J. S. (2014). The global groundwater crisis. *Nature Climate Change*, 4(11),
548 945–948. Retrieved from <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2425> doi: 10.1038/
549 nclimate2425
- 550 Fan, Y., Clark, M., Lawrence, D. M., Swenson, S., Band, L. E., & Brantley, S. L.
551 (2019). Hillslope Hydrology in Global Change Research and Earth System Mod-
552 eling Water Resources Research. *Water Resources Research*, 55, 1737–1772. doi:
553 10.1029/2018WR023903
- 554 Fan, Y., Li, H., & Miguez-Macho, G. (2013). Global patterns of Groundwater Table Depth.
555 *Science*, 339(6122), 940–943. doi: 10.1126/science.1229881
- 556 Gleeson, T., Wagener, T., Doll, P., Zipper, S. C., West, C., Wada, Y., ... Maxwell, R.
557 (2021). GMD perspective: The quest to improve the evaluation of groundwater rep-
558 resentation in continental- to global-scale models. *Geoscientific Model Development*,
559 14(April), 7545–7571.
- 560 Green, T. R., Taniguchi, M., Kooi, H., Gurdak, J. J., Allen, D. M., Hiscock, K. M., ...
561 Aureli, A. (2011). Beneath the surface of global change: Impacts of climate change on
562 groundwater. *Journal of Hydrology*, 405(3-4), 532–560. doi: 10.1016/j.jhydrol.2011
563 .05.002
- 564 Gurdak, J. J. (2017). Climate-induced pumping. *Nature Geoscience*, 10.
- 565 Hausfather, Z., & Peters, G. P. (2020). Emissions – the ‘business as usual’ story is misleading.
566 *Nature*, 577(7792), 618–620. doi: 10.1038/d41586-020-00177-3
- 567 Hurtt, G. C., Chini, L., Sahajpal, R., Frohling, S., Bodirsky, B. L., Calvin, K., ... Hasegawa,
568 T. (2020). Harmonization of global land use change and management for the period
569 850 – 2100 (LUH2) for CMIP6. *Geoscientific Model Development*, 13, 5425–5464.
- 570 Iglesias, A., Garrote, L., Flores, F., & Moneo, M. (2007). Challenges to manage the
571 risk of water scarcity and climate change in the Mediterranean. *Water Resources
572 Management*, 21(5), 775–788. doi: 10.1007/s11269-006-9111-6
- 573 IPCC. (2013a). Annex I: Atlas of Global and Regional Climate Projections [van Olden-
574 borgh, G.J., M. Collins, J. Arblaster, J.H. Christensen, J. Marotzke, S.B. Power, M.
575 Rummukainen and T. Zhou (eds.)]. In: *Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science
576 Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Inter-
577 governmental Panel on Climate Change* [Stocker, T.F., D. Qin, G.-K. Plattner, M.
578 Tignor, S.K. Allen, J. Boschung, A. Nauels, Y. Xia, V. Bex and P.M. Midgley (eds.)].
579 Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, U.
- 580 IPCC. (2013b). *Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working
581 Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate
582 Change* [Stocker, T.F., D. Qin, G.-K. Plattner, M. Tignor, S.K. Allen, J. Boschung,
583 A. Nauels, Y. Xia, V. Bex and P.M. Midgley (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press,
584 Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 1535 pp.
- 585 IPCC. (2021a). *Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working
586 Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate*

- 587 Change[Masson-Delmotte, V., P. Zhai, A. Pirani, S.L. Connors, C. Péan, S. Berger,
588 N. Caud, Y. Chen, L. Goldfarb, M.I. Gomis, M. Huang, K. Leitzell, E. Lonnoy, J.B.R.
589 Matthews, T.K. Maycock, T. Waterfield, O. Yelekçi, R. Yu, and B. Zhou (eds.)]. Cam-
590 bridge University Press. In Press.
- 591 IPCC. (2021b). Douville, H., K. Raghavan, J. Renwick, R.P. Allan, P.A. Arias, M. Barlow,
592 R. Cerezo-Mota, A. Cherchi, T.Y. Gan, J. Gergis, D. Jiang, A. Khan, W. Pokam
593 Mba, D. Rosenfeld, J. Tierney, and O. Zolina, 2021: Water Cycle Changes. In *Climate
594 Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the
595 Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*[Masson-
596 Delmotte, V., P. Zhai, A. Pirani, S.L. Connors, C. Péan, S. Berger, N. Caud, Y. Chen,
597 L. Goldfarb, M.I. Gomis, M. Huang, K. Leitzell, E. Lonnoy, J.B.R. Matthews, T.K.
598 Maycock, T. Waterfield, O. Yelekçi, R. Yu, and B. Zhou (eds.)]. Cambridge University
599 Press. In Press.
- 600 IPCC. (2021c). Lee, J.-Y., J. Marotzke, G. Bala, L. Cao, S. Corti, J.P. Dunne, F. En-
601 gelbrecht, E. Fischer, J.C. Fyfe, C. Jones, A. Maycock, J. Mutemi, O. Ndiaye, S.
602 Panickal, and T. Zhou: 2021, Future Global Climate: Scenario-Based Projections and
603 Near-Term Information. In *Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contri-
604 bution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental
605 Panel on Climate Change*[Masson-Delmotte, V., P. Zhai, A. Pirani, S.L. Connors, C.
606 Péan, S. Berger, N. Caud, Y. Chen, L. Goldfarb, M.I. Gomis, M. Huang, K. Leitzell,
607 E. Lonnoy, J.B.R. Matthews, T.K. Maycock, T. Waterfield, O. Yelekçi, R. Yu, and B.
608 Zhou (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press. In Press.
- 609 IPCC. (2022a). Caretta, M.A., A. Mukherji, M. Arfanuzzaman, R.A. Betts, A. Gelfan,
610 Y. Hirabayashi, T.K. Lissner, J. Liu, E. Lopez Gunn, R. Morgan, S. Mwanga, and
611 S. Supratid, 2022: Water. In: *Climate Change 2022: Impacts, Adaptation, and Vul-
612 nerability. Contribution of Working Group II to the Sixth Assessment Report of the
613 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change* [H.-O. Pörtner, D.C. Roberts, M. Tignor,
614 E.S. Poloczanska, K. Mintenbeck, A. Alegría, M. Craig, S. Langsdorf, S. Lösschke, V.
615 Möller, A. Okem, B. Rama (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press. In Press.
- 616 IPCC. (2022b). IPCC, 2022: *Climate Change 2022: Impacts, Adaptation, and Vulner-
617 ability. Contribution of Working Group II to the Sixth Assessment Report of the
618 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change* [H.-O. Pörtner, D.C. Roberts, M. Tig-
619 nor, E.S. Poloczanska, K. Mintenbeck, A. Alegría, M. Craig, S. Langsdorf, S. Lösschke,
620 V. Möller, A. Okem, B. Rama (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press. In Press.
- 621 Kay, J. E., Deser, C., Phillips, A., Mai, A., Hannay, A., Strand, G., ... Versteinstein, M.
622 (2015). The Community Earth System Model (CESM) Large Ensemble Project: a
623 community resource for studying climate change in the presence of internal climate
624 variability. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, 96(August), 1333–1350.
625 doi: 10.1175/BAMS-D-13-00255.1
- 626 KC, S., & Lutz, W. (2017). The human core of the shared socioeconomic pathways: Pop-
627 ulation scenarios by age, sex and level of education for all countries to 2100. *Global
628 Environmental Change*, 42, 181–192. Retrieved from [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/
629 j.gloenvcha.2014.06.004](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2014.06.004) doi: 10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2014.06.004
- 630 Larsen, M. A. D., Christensen, J. H., Drews, M., & Butts, M. B. (2016). Local control on pre-
631 cipitation in a fully coupled climate-hydrology model. *Nature Publishing Group*(April).
632 Retrieved from <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/srep22927> doi: 10.1038/srep22927
- 633 Ledoux, E., Girard, G., & De Marsily, G. (1989). Spatially distributed modelling: con-
634 ceptual approach, coupling surface water and groundwater, in: *Unsaturated Flow in
635 Hydrologic Modeling: Theory and Practice. edited by: Morel-Seytoux, H. J.*, 435–454.
- 636 Maxwell, R. M., & Kollet, S. J. (2008). Interdependence of groundwater dynamics and
637 land-energy feedbacks under climate change. *Nature Geoscience*, 1(10), 665–669. doi:
638 10.1038/ngeo315
- 639 Meinshausen, M., Vogel, E., Nauels, A., Lorbacher, K., Meinshausen, N., Etheridge, D. M.,
640 ... Weiss, R. (2017). Historical greenhouse gas concentrations for climate modelling
641 (CMIP6). *Geoscientific Model Development*, 10(5), 2057–2116. doi: 10.5194/gmd-10

642 -2057-2017

- 643 Meixner, T., Manning, A. H., Stonestrom, D. A., Allen, D. M., Ajami, H., Blasch, K. W.,
644 ... Walvoord, M. A. (2016). Implications of projected climate change for groundwater
645 recharge in the western United States. *Journal of Hydrology*, *534*, 124–138. Retrieved
646 from <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2015.12.027> doi: 10.1016/j.jhydrol
647 .2015.12.027
- 648 O'Neill, B. C., Krieglner, E., Ebi, K. L., Kemp-Benedict, E., Riahi, K., Rothman, D. S., ...
649 Solecki, W. (2017). The roads ahead: Narratives for shared socioeconomic pathways
650 describing world futures in the 21st century. *Global Environmental Change*, *42*, 169–
651 180. Retrieved from <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2015.01.004> doi:
652 10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2015.01.004
- 653 O'Neill, B. C., Tebaldi, C., Van Vuuren, D. P., Eyring, V., Friedlingstein, P., Hurtt, G., ...
654 Sanderson, B. M. (2016). The Scenario Model Intercomparison Project (ScenarioMIP)
655 for CMIP6. *Geoscientific Model Development*, *9*(9), 3461–3482. doi: 10.5194/gmd-9
656 -3461-2016
- 657 Owuor, S. O., Butterbach-Bahl, K., Guzha, A. C., Rufino, M. C., Pelster, D. E., Diaz-Pines,
658 E., & Breuer, L. (2016). Groundwater recharge rates and surface runoff response to
659 land use and land cover changes in semi-arid environments. *Ecological Processes*, *5*(1).
660 Retrieved from <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s13717-016-0060-6> doi: 10.1186/
661 s13717-016-0060-6
- 662 Padron, R. S., Gudmundsson, L., Decharme, B., Ducharne, A., Lawrence, D. M., Mao,
663 J., ... Seneviratne, S. I. (2020). Observed changes in dry-season water availability
664 attributed to human-induced climate change. *Nature Geoscience*, *13*(July), 447–481.
665 Retrieved from <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41561-020-0594-1> doi: 10.1038/
666 s41561-020-0594-1
- 667 Panda, D. K., Ambast, S. K., & Shamsudduha, M. (2021). Groundwater depletion in
668 northern India: Impacts of the sub-regional anthropogenic land-use, socio-politics and
669 changing climate. *Hydrological Processes*, *35*(2), 1–16. doi: 10.1002/hyp.14003
- 670 Reinecke, R., Schmied, H. M., Trautmann, T., Andersen, L. S., Burek, P., Florke, M., ...
671 Pokhrel, Y. (2021). Uncertainty of simulated groundwater recharge at different global
672 warming levels : a global-scale multi-model ensemble study. *Hydrology and Earth
673 System Sciences*, *25*, 787–810.
- 674 Rodell, M., Velicogna, I., & Famiglietti, J. S. (2009). Satellite-based estimates of ground-
675 water depletion in India. *Nature*, *460*(7258), 999–1002. Retrieved from [http://
676 dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature08238](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature08238) doi: 10.1038/nature08238
- 677 Roehrig, R., Beau, I., Saint-Martin, D., Alias, A., Decharme, B., Gueremy, J. F., ... Senesi,
678 S. (2020). The CNRM Global Atmosphere Model ARPEGE-Climat 6.3: Description
679 and Evaluation. *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems*, *12*(7), 1–53. doi:
680 10.1029/2020MS002075
- 681 Scanlon, B. R., Faunt, C. C., Longuevergne, L., Reedy, R. C., Alley, W. M., McGuire, V. L.,
682 & McMahon, P. B. (2012). Groundwater depletion and sustainability of irrigation
683 in the US High Plains and Central Valley. *Proceedings of the National Academy of
684 Sciences of the United States of America*, *109*(24), 9320–9325. doi: 10.1073/pnas
685 .1200311109
- 686 Scanlon, B. R., Keese, K. E., Flint, A. L., Flint, L. E., Gaye, C. B., Edmunds, W. M., &
687 Simmers, I. (2006). Global synthesis of groundwater recharge in semiarid and arid
688 regions. *Hydrological Processes*, *20*(15), 3335–3370. doi: 10.1002/hyp.6335
- 689 SEDAC. (2018). Center for International Earth Science Information Network - CIESIN
690 - Columbia University. 2018. Gridded Population of the World, Version 4 (GPWv4):
691 Population Density, Revision 11. Palisades, NY: NASA Socioeconomic Data and Appli-
692 cations Center (SEDAC). <https://doi.org/10.7927/H49C6VHW>. Accessed 18/03/21.
- 693 Seferian, R., Nabat, P., Michou, M., Saint-Martin, D., Voldoire, A., Colin, J., ... Madec,
694 G. (2019). Evaluation of CNRM Earth System Model, CNRM-ESM2-1: Role of
695 Earth System Processes in Present-Day and Future Climate. *Journal of Advances in
696 Modeling Earth Systems*, *11*(12), 4182–4227. doi: 10.1029/2019MS001791

- 697 Siebert, S., Burke, J., Faures, J. M., Frenken, K., Hoogeveen, J., Doll, P., & Portmann,
698 F. T. (2010). Groundwater use for irrigation - A global inventory. *Hydrology and*
699 *Earth System Sciences*, *14*(10), 1863–1880. doi: 10.5194/hess-14-1863-2010
- 700 Taylor, K. E., Stouffer, R. J., & Meehl, G. A. (2012). An Overview of CMIP5 and the
701 experiment design. *Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, *93*, 1333–1349. doi: 10.1175/BAMS-D-11-
702 -00094.1
- 703 Taylor, R. G., Scanlon, B., Döll, P., Rodell, M., Van Beek, R., Wada, Y., ... Treidel, H.
704 (2013). Ground water and climate change. *Nature Climate Change*, *3*(4), 322–329.
705 doi: 10.1038/nclimate1744
- 706 Thomas, B. F., & Famiglietti, J. S. (2019). Identifying Climate-Induced Groundwater
707 Depletion in GRACE Observations. *Scientific Reports*, *9*(1), 1–9. Retrieved from
708 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-40155-y>
- 709 Vergnes, J.-P. (May 2014). Développement d'une modélisation hydrologique incluant la
710 représentation des aquifères : évaluation sur la France et à l'échelle globale. *PhD*
711 *thesis (Institut National Polytechnique de Toulouse)*.
- 712 Vergnes, J. P., & Decharme, B. (2012). A simple groundwater scheme in the TRIP river
713 routing model: Global off-line evaluation against GRACE terrestrial water storage
714 estimates and observed river discharges. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, *16*(10),
715 3889–3908. doi: 10.5194/hess-16-3889-2012
- 716 Vergnes, J. P., Decharme, B., Alkama, R., Martin, E., Habets, F., & Douville, H. (2012).
717 A simple groundwater scheme for hydrological and climate applications: Description
718 and offline evaluation over France. *Journal of Hydrometeorology*, *13*(4), 1149–1171.
719 doi: 10.1175/JHM-D-11-0149.1
- 720 Vergnes J.P., Decharme B, H. F. (2014). Introduction of groundwater capillary rises using
721 subgrid spatial variability of topography into the ISBA land surface model. *Journal*
722 *of Geophysical Research*(119), 11,065–11,086. doi: 10.1002/2014JD021573. Received
- 723 Voltaire, A., Saint-Martin, D., Senesi, S., Decharme, B., Alias, A., Chevallier, M., ...
724 Waldman, R. (2019). Evaluation of CMIP6 DECK Experiments With CNRM-CM6-
725 1. *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems*, *11*(7), 2177–2213. doi: 10.1029/
726 2019MS001683
- 727 Wada, Y. (2016). Impacts of Groundwater Pumping on Regional and Global Water
728 Resources. *Terrestrial Water Cycle and Climate Change*(May 2016), 337. doi:
729 10.1007/978-3-319-32449-4
- 730 Wada, Y., Van Beek, L. P., Sperna Weiland, F. C., Chao, B. F., Wu, Y. H., & Bierkens,
731 M. F. (2012). Past and future contribution of global groundwater depletion to sea-level
732 rise. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *39*(9), 1–6. doi: 10.1029/2012GL051230
- 733 Wang, F., Ducharme, A., Cheruy, F., Lo, M. H., & Grandpeix, J. Y. (2018). Impact of
734 a shallow groundwater table on the global water cycle in the IPSL land-atmosphere
735 coupled model. *Climate Dynamics*, *50*, 3505–3522. Retrieved from [http://link](http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s00382-017-3820-9)
736 [.springer.com/10.1007/s00382-017-3820-9](http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s00382-017-3820-9) doi: 10.1007/s00382-017-3820-9
- 737 Wild, M. (2012). Enlightening global dimming and brightening. *Bulletin of the American*
738 *Meteorological Society*, *93*(1), 27–37. doi: 10.1175/BAMS-D-11-00074.1
- 739 Wilks, D. S. (2006). On 'field significance' and the False Discovery Rate. *Journal of Applied*
740 *Meteorology and Climatology*, *45*(9), 1181–1189. doi: 10.1175/JAM2404.1
- 741 Wilks, D. S. (2016). 'The stippling shows statistically significant grid points': How research
742 results are routinely overstated and overinterpreted, and what to do about it. *Bulletin*
743 *of the American Meteorological Society*, *97*(12), 2263–2273. doi: 10.1175/BAMS-D-15-
744 -00267.1
- 745 Wu, W.-Y., Lo, M.-h., Wada, Y., Famiglietti, J. S., Reager, J. T., Yeh, P. J., ... Yang,
746 Z.-L. (2020). Divergent effects of climate change on future groundwater availability in
747 key mid-latitude aquifers. *Nature Communications*, *11*, 1–9. Retrieved from [http://](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-17581-y)
748 dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-17581-y doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-17581-y

Supporting Information for "Projected water table depth changes of the world's major groundwater basins"

Maya Costantini¹, Jeanne Colin¹, Bertrand Decharme¹

¹Centre National de Recherches Météorologiques (CNRM), Météo-France/CNRS, Toulouse, France

Contents of this file

1. Figures S1 to S6




Figure S1. Population densities in people pr km² in 2015 provided by the SEDAC




Figure S2. Projections of population densities in people pr km² in 2100 for each SSP scenario




Figure S3. Present-day irrigation maps from FAO and Siebert et al. (2010), expressed in percentage of cell area. Top: Percentage of area equipped for irrigation. Bottom: Percentage of area equipped for irrigation with groundwater.




Figure S4. Same as Fig.3 but for the other SSP scenarios

	Not Significant	Groundwater Depletion				Groundwater Rising			
		<10 ppl/km ²	[10;75] ppl/km ²	>75 ppl/km ²	all	<10 ppl/km ²	[10;75] ppl/km ²	>75 ppl/km ²	all
SSP126	0.8%	0.6%	0.5%	2.7%	0.9%	0.1%	0.2%	5.9%	1.1%
SSP245	0.8%	0.4%	0.7%	2.7%	0.9%	0.2%	0.2%	4.9%	1.0%
SSP370	0.5%	0.2%	0.9%	2.0%	0.8%	0.2%	0.2%	4.8%	1.2%
SSP585	0.5%	0.3%	0.6%	2.8%	0.9%	0.2%	0.1%	5.4%	1.2%

Figure S5. The table gives, for each scenario and each section of the pie charts of Fig.8, the percentage of the area equipped for groundwater irrigation.




Figure S6. Same as Fig.9 but for the other SSP scenarios