Diapycnal diffusivities in Kelvin Helmholtz engendered turbulent mixing: the diffusive convection regime in the Arctic Ocean

Yuchen Ma^1 and W R Peltier¹

¹University of Toronto

November 21, 2022

Abstract

Recent progress in the direct measurement of turbulent dissipation in the Arctic Ocean has highlighted the need for an improved parametrization of the turbulent diapycnal diffusivities of heat and salt that is suitable for application in the turbulent environment characteristic of this polar region. In support of this goal we describe herein a series of direct numerical simulations of the turbulence generated in the process of growth and breaking of Kelvin-Helmholtz billows. These simulations provide the data sets needed to serve as basis for a study of the stratified turbulent mixing processes that are expected to obtain in the Arctic Ocean environment. The mixing properties of the turbulence are studied using a previously formulated procedure in which the temperature and salinity fields are sorted separately in order to enable the separation of irreversible Arctic mixing from reversible stirring processes and thus the definition of turbulent diffusivities for both heat and salt that depend solely upon irreversible mixing. These analyses allow us to demonstrate that the irreversible diapycnal diffusivities for heat and salt are both solely dependent on the buoyancy Reynolds number in the Arctic Ocean environment. These are found to be in close agreement with the functional forms inferred for these turbulent diffusivities in the previous work of Bouffard & Boegman (2013). Based on a detailed comparison of our simulation data with this previous empirical work, we propose an algorithm that can be used for inferring the diapycnal diffusivities from turbulent dissipation measurements in the Arctic Ocean. Banner appropriate to article type will appear here in typeset article

1

Diapycnal diffusivities in Kelvin Helmholtz engendered turbulent mixing: the diffusive

³ convection regime in the Arctic Ocean

4 Yuchen Ma¹[†], W. R. Peltier ¹

5 ¹Department of Physics, University of Toronto, 60 St George Street, Ontario, Toronto

6 (Received xx; revised xx; accepted xx)

Recent progress in the direct measurement of turbulent dissipation in the Arctic Ocean has 7 highlighted the need for an improved parametrization of the turbulent diapycnal diffusivities 8 of heat and salt that is suitable for application in the turbulent environment characteristic 9 of this polar region. In support of this goal we describe herein a series of direct numerical 10 simulations of the turbulence generated in the process of growth and breaking of Kelvin-11 Helmholtz billows. These simulations provide the data sets needed to serve as basis for a 12 study of the stratified turbulent mixing processes that are expected to obtain in the Arctic 13 Ocean environment. The mixing properties of the turbulence are studied using a previously 14 formulated procedure in which the temperature and salinity fields are sorted separately in 15 order to enable the separation of irreversible Arctic mixing from reversible stirring processes 16 and thus the definition of turbulent diffusivities for both heat and salt that depend solely upon 17 irreversible mixing. These analyses allow us to demonstrate that the irreversible diapycnal 18 diffusivities for heat and salt are both solely dependent on the buoyancy Reynolds number in 19 the Arctic Ocean environment. These are found to be in close agreement with the functional 20 forms inferred for these turbulent diffusivities in the previous work of Bouffard & Boegman 21 (2013). Based on a detailed comparison of our simulation data with this previous empirical 22 work, we propose an algorithm that can be used for inferring the diapycnal diffusivities from 23 turbulent dissipation measurements in the Arctic Ocean. 24

25 1. Introduction

26 In the weakly-turbulent, strongly stratified Arctic region, direct measurements of turbulent

dissipation have been extremely scarce (e.g. Padman & Dillon (1987), Bourgault et al. (2011),

28 Shroyer (2012), Shaw & Stanton (2014)), until very recently. The increasing importance of

29 the Arctic region from the perspective of global climate and the role of the oceans in climate

30 change processes in general has led to an increasingly sharp focus on Arctic Ocean mixing

31 processes. This includes an increasing number of direct measurements of viscous dissipation

32 rate ε (see Scheifele *et al.* (2018) and Scheifele *et al.* (2021) for example) performed in

the Arctic with high-resolution conductivity-temperature-depth (CTD) profilers. These new

34 measurements are expected to significantly enhance our knowledge of vertical mixing and

35 thereby improve the accuracy of the estimation of melt-rates of Arctic sea-ice.

† Email address for correspondence: yc.ma@mail.utoronto.ca

Abstract must not spill onto p.2

2

However, in the process of inferring the operative diapycnal diffusivities from the available 36 turbulence measurements, the historically important model of Osborn (1980) has continued 37 to be applied together with the assumption of a constant flux coefficient $\Gamma = 0.2$ for mixing 38 efficiency. This somewhat crude yet still fashionable methodology for the parametrization 39 of diapycnal diffusivities may potentially lead to large systematic errors in the estimation 40 of K_{ρ} , for example, given that the canonical Osborn formula relies upon several especially 41 42 questionable assumptions when it is directly applied to the Arctic Ocean environment. First, the Arctic Ocean is a strongly stratified ocean with much lower turbulence intensities 43 compared with the low and mid-latitude oceans. Previous studies (e.g. Shih et al. (2005)) 44 suggested that at low-turbulence intensities as usually associated with $Re_b \sim O(1)$ (where 45 $Re_b = \varepsilon/(vN^2)$ is the buoyancy Reynolds number, v is the kinematic viscosity, ε is the viscous 46 dissipation rate and $N = \sqrt{-g/\rho_0 \langle d\overline{\rho}/dz \rangle}$ is the Brunt–Väisälä (or buoyancy) frequency), 47 the flux coefficient Γ may reach values that are much lower than the canonical value of 48 0.2. Second, most of the numerical data and field measurements that support $\Gamma = 0.2$ are 49 50 based upon the assumption that the density is strongly determined by temperature which is characterized by a relatively low Prandtl number ($Pr = \nu/\kappa_{\theta} \sim O(1)$, here κ_{θ} is the 51 thermal diffusivity) whereas the Arctic Ocean is a primarily salinity stratified ocean in 52 which the Schmitt number for salinity ($Sc = v/\kappa_s$, here κ_s is the haline diffusivity) is 53 characterized by a much higher value of approximately 700 (see Gregg et al. (2018)). This 54 may lead to significantly different characteristics of the diapycnal diffusivity such as that 55 demonstrated in Rahmani et al. (2016) or Bouffard & Boegman (2013). Third, aside from 56 the stably stratified salinity field, the main pycnocline in the Arctic also includes an unstably 57 stratified thermocline with cold water in the surface ocean lying above the relatively warm 58 59 water in the interior ocean. We will describe such circumstances as an environment in the "diffusive-convection regime" in what follows, even though strictly speaking the linear 60 "diffusive-convection instability" described in the double-diffusive convection literature (see 61 Radko (2013)) will not develop in the system as long as the density ratio $R_{0} = \beta S_{z} / \alpha \Theta_{z}$ 62 (sometimes referred to as inverse density ratio in the literature, here α is the thermal expansion 63 coefficient and β is the coefficient of haline contraction) is larger than $(Pr+1)/(Pr+\tau) \approx 1.08$ 64 (evaluated based on the typical value of Pr = 13 and diffusivity ratio $\tau = \kappa_s/\kappa_{\theta} = 0.005$ 65 in the Arctic Ocean, see Sharqawy et al. (2010)). In this circumstance it is important to 66 take both diffusing species explicitly into account given the fact that the co-existence of 67 the two oppositely stratified species with different diffusivities in the diffusive-convection 68 regime are known to be able to generate fine scale structures such as those characteristic of 69 thermohaline staircases (e.g. Timmermans et al. (2008)) in the Arctic region. Considering 70 the (perhaps unfounded) assumptions underlying application of the classical parametrization 71 scheme of Osborn (1980) to the Arctic environment, our major goal in the current work is 72 to employ direct numerical simulations (DNSs) to calibrate a proper mixing parametrization 73 scheme that is applicable to the special circumstances of the Arctic environment that might 74 replace the Osborn methodology. 75

Another significant flaw in the Osborn methodology derives from its failure to differentiate 76 between reversible turbulent stirring processes and irreversible mixing processes. In fact, 77 Osborn's parametrization failed to recognize that only irreversible diabatic process can 78 contribute to turbulent diapycnal diffusivity. Previous research (e.g. Winters et al. (1995), 79 Winters & D'Asaro (1996), Peltier & Caulfield (2003)) have established that it is the evolution 80 of the background potential energy reservoir that determines the temporal evolution of 81 irreversible mixing. Based upon detailed energy budget analyses, Salehipour & Peltier (2015) 82 83 further proposed a formula for the irreversible diapycnal diffusivities which resembles the original Osborn formula but only takes irreversible buoyancy flux into account. Even though 84

3

the original Osborn formula correctly captures the total amount of mixing once the system 85 enters into a stationary state, in the analysis of the instantaneous evolution of KH billows that 86 will be performed in what follows, the distinction between reversible and irreversible fluxes 87 has been shown to become very critical. It should be noticed that the distinction between 88 reversible and irreversible processes described above had only been recognized in the study 89 of stratified turbulence in either the single component case or the two-component case in 90 91 which both components are stably stratified scalar fields (Smyth et al. (2005)). The most recent work of Ma & Peltier (2021) extended the analysis to include the case in which one of 92 the scalars is unstably stratified. This was first applied to the case of salt-fingering double-93 diffusive turbulence, which develops under conditions in which warm salty water lies above 94 relatively colder and fresher water. As we will demonstrate in what follows the theoretical 95 framework established in Ma & Peltier (2021) that is based on sorting both individual fields 96 separately can be carried over almost without modification to the diffusive convection system 97 with only the roles played by temperature and salinity in the energy budget switched. In what 98 follows, the formulae for the irreversible diapycnal diffusivities for both heat and salt will 99 be derived that provide the basis for the new mixing analysis to be discussed herein. It will 100 be important to recognize that an alternative definition of background potential energy for 101 double-diffusion is provided in the recent work of Middleton & Taylor (2020). In this work 102 only the density field is sorted and the separate definitions of irreversible heat fluxes and 103 irreversible salt fluxes, which are important in our analyses to follow, cannot be defined. For 104 this reason, we will employ the method discussed in Ma & Peltier (2021) as the basis for our 105 turbulent analyses. 106

In what follows this analysis will be based on a series of DNS analyses that simulate mixing 107 induced by the development and the break-down into turbulence of a primary KH instability 108 in the diffusive-convection environment. KH instability has always been considered to be the 109 dominant mechanism responsible for mixing the ocean pycnocline (Gregg et al. (2018)). It 110 has been well studied by water tank experiments (e.g. Thorpe (1973), Patterson et al. (2006)) 111 and an extensive amount of theoretical analysis and DNS-based numerical simulations as 112 a basis for understanding the nature of the life-cycle in single component fluids (see the 113 recent review of Caulfield (2021)). Through a combination of secondary instability analyses 114 and DNSs in the past fifty years (e.g. Corcos & Sherman (1976), Klaassen & Peltier (1985), 115 Palmer et al. (1994), Staquet (1995), Caulfield & Peltier (2000), Staquet (2000), Mashayek & 116 Peltier (2012*a*,*b*), Salehipour *et al.* (2015)), the "zoo" of secondary instabilities that drive the 117 primary KH billow to turbulence has been well understood and which secondary instabilities 118 from the "zoo" dominates the turbulent transition is largely determined by the Reynolds 119 number of the flow (Mashayek & Peltier (2012a,b)). Furthermore, mixing efficiencies and 120 diapycnal diffusivities for density have been shown to vary significantly as different secondary 121 instabilities are involved in driving the system into a fully turbulent state (Mashayek & Peltier 122 (2013)). It has also been demonstrated that mixing efficiencies are also strongly dependent 123 on the background stratification and the Prandtl number (see Caulfield & Peltier (2000), 124 Salehipour et al. (2015) and Rahmani et al. (2016)) being employed. 125

Although the evolution of the classical KH billows and its influence on mixing have been 126 well studied in the literature, it has never been studied in the diffusive convection environment 127 128 which has to be considered in the context of understanding Arctic stratification and mixing. In fact, the coexistence of temperature and salinity fields in the development of KH billows has 129 been studied in the system in which both temperature and salinity fields were set to be stably 130 stratified (Smyth et al. (2005)) as well as in the system that favors the salt-fingering-favorable 131 stratification (Smyth & Kimura (2011) and Kimura et al. (2011)). It has been found in Smyth 132 133 et al. (2005) that the differential diffusion (the differences in the diapycnal diffusivities between temperature and salinity) only become significant when Re_b is smaller than 100. 134

Y. Ma and W. R. Peltier

135 In the work to be discussed in the current paper, we will perform DNSs of KH billows engendered turbulence that develops in the diffusive convection environment to discuss 136 its mixing properties and compare them with the existing literature on single component 137 systems and doubly stable systems of Smyth et al. (2005). By performing these analyses, 138 we will demonstrate that the diapycnal diffusivities for heat and salt operate independently 139 140 of one another being coupled only through the buoyancy Reynolds number Re_b . It is worth 141 remarking that this conclusion actually provides critical support for an assumption underlying the recent paper of Ma & Peltier (2022) in which we have described a new mechanism for the 142 formation of thermohaline staircases in the diffusive convection environment of the Arctic 143 Ocean. The basic assumption of Ma & Peltier (2022) is that the diapycnal diffusivities for 144 heat and salt are only a function of Re_b . That this assumption in that paper is verified by 145 146 the DNS-based turbulence analyses to be presented in what follow will be one of the major conclusions of the current paper. 147

The remainder of the present paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we will discuss 148 the governing formulae for mixing in the diffusive convection environment by performing a 149 detailed energy budget analysis that differentiates the irreversible and reversible processes. 150 We will then discuss the numerical settings for our DNSs on KH instability and subsequent 151 turbulent mixing in section 3. The time evolution of KH life cycles in these simulations will 152 153 be discussed and compared for simulations with different non-dimensional parameters in section 4. In the ensuing section 5 we will specifically discuss the functional dependence 154 155 of the diapycnal diffusivities for heat and salt in order to compare them with the existing 156 data-based parametrization of Bouffard & Boegman (2013). Based on these discussions, a 157 new algorithm is provided at the end of section 5 for future implementation to improve the understanding of Arctic Ocean turbulence measurements. Finally we will offer a Summary 158 159 and Conclusions of the results obtained in this paper in section 6.

160 **2. Scalar diffusivities in a diffusive convection system**

The Osborn (1980)'s formula continues to be widely employed to estimate the diapycnal 161 diffusivity for density K_{ρ} based on the measured viscous dissipation rate in the field of 162 physical oceanography. His formulation of the mixing problem for a single component fluid 163 has recently been tested by Salehipour & Peltier (2015) in order to produce results for 164 turbulent diffusivity that involve only irreversible mixing processes. In the formulation of 165 the mixing problem in this section we will properly extend the results of Salehipour & 166 167 Peltier (2015) to apply to the diffusive convection circumstance in which the stratification is determined simultaneously by a stably stratified salinity field and an unstably stratified 168 temperature field as is characteristic of the Arctic Ocean environment. Therefore, we will 169 first review both the canonical models of Osborn (1980) as well as the modified form of 170 Osborn's formulation described by Salehipour & Peltier (2015). This will be followed by 171 172 presentation of a careful energy budget analysis and the new formulae that apply to the case of Arctic Ocean turbulence that is of interest to us here. 173

174 2.1. Previous representation of scalar diffusivity in the single component fluid

The Osborn (1980) formulation of the mixing efficiency problem was derived on the basis of the following simplified equation for the conservation of turbulent kinetic energy:

$$\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{H} + \varepsilon, \tag{2.1a}$$

Focus on Fluids articles must not exceed this page length

$$\mathcal{P} = -\langle \overline{u'w'}\frac{d\overline{u}}{dz} \rangle, \qquad (2.2a)$$

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{g}{\rho_0} \langle \overline{\rho' w'} \rangle, \qquad (2.2b)$$

$$\varepsilon = 2\nu \overline{\langle s_{ij} s_{ij} \rangle}. \tag{2.2c}$$

In above equations, the overbar on a variable \overline{f} represents the horizontal average of the field *f*, the bracket $\langle . \rangle$ represents the vertical average, $\mathbf{u} = (u, v, w)$ is the velocity field that is further separated into the horizontally averaged fields $\overline{\mathbf{u}}$ and the perturbated field $\mathbf{u'}$ to it. ρ_0 is the reference density and $\rho' = \rho - \rho_0$ is the density perturbation. $s_{ij} = (\partial u_i / \partial x_j + \partial u_j / \partial x_i)/2$ is the strain rate tensor.

By employing the definition of the flux Richardson number $R_f = \mathcal{H}/\mathcal{P}$, Osborn (1980) wrote the diapycnal diffusivity K_{ρ}^{Osb} in the form of:

$$K_{\rho}^{Osb} = \frac{\mathcal{H}}{N^2} = \nu \frac{\mathcal{H}}{\varepsilon} \frac{\varepsilon}{\nu N^2} = \nu \Gamma^{Osb} Re_b, \qquad (2.3a)$$

$$\Gamma^{Osb} = \frac{\mathcal{H}}{\varepsilon} = \frac{R_f}{1 - R_f}.$$
(2.3b)

in which Γ^{Osb} is usually referred to as the flux coefficient and the value 0.2 was estimated to be the upper bound for Γ^{Osb} in the original work of Osborn (1980). In the subsequent practical application of this formulation of the mixing problem, Γ^{Osb} has always been assumed to be equal to the constant value 0.2 when applied to the understanding of oceanographic measurements. This is in spite of the fact that there exists significant evidence from simulations demonstrating that the value of $\Gamma = 0.2$ may not be accurate (see the recent review of Gregg *et al.* (2018) concerning its application in the field of oceanography).

191 However, as pointed out by Winters et al. (1995) and Peltier & Caulfield (2003), the buoyancy flux defined in (2.1) contains the influence of both irreversible and reversible 192 mixing process whereas only the irreversible component should contribute to mixing when 193 this is represented by a diapycnal diffusivity. In order to differentiate true irreversible mixing 194 from adiabatic stirring, a background potential energy BPE is defined by "sorting" the three-195 dimensional density field into a vertical profile $\rho_*(z,t)$ with a decreasing upwards density: 196 $BPE = g/\rho_0 \langle \rho_*(z,t)z \rangle$. The energy stored in this background potential energy reservoir is 197 the minimum potential energy which can not be transformed into kinetic energy. On the 198 other hand, the differences between this BPE and the total potential energy $PE = g/\rho_0 \overline{\langle \rho z \rangle}$ 199 is defined as the available potential energy (APE), as this part of the potential energy is 200 "available" to be transferred back to macroscopic motion. In a closed domain (no body force, 201 202 no boundary flux), the time derivative of the BPE can be shown (Winters & D'Asaro (1996)) to be: 203

$$\frac{d}{dt}BPE = \mathcal{M} + D_p, \qquad (2.4a)$$

$$\mathcal{M} + D_p = \frac{\kappa g}{\rho_0 V} \int_V -\frac{dz}{d\rho_*} |\nabla \rho|^2 dV.$$
(2.4b)

In above equations, κ is the density diffusivity in the single component system. Since dBPE/dt is always positive, BPE is a monotonically increasing function in time. \mathcal{M} is

Y. Ma and W. R. Peltier

the irreversible buoyancy flux that characterizes instantaneous mixing strength across the pycnocline that is generated due to the macroscopic fluid motion and D_p characterizes the part of mixing that would occur even in a completely motionless flow. In fact D_p is always negligible if any form of turbulence is developed in a system that is not incredibly small so that the second equation in (2.4) can also be treated as the definitions for \mathcal{M} .

Based on these definitions, Salehipour & Peltier (2015) derived a modified expression for the diapycnal diffusivities in which the flux Richardson number R_f in (2.3) is replaced by

213 the irreversible mixing efficiency \mathcal{E} as:

$$K_{\rho}^{irr} = \nu \frac{\mathcal{M}}{\varepsilon} \frac{\varepsilon}{\nu N_*^2} = \nu \Gamma^{irr} R e_{b*}, \qquad (2.5a)$$

$$\Gamma^{irr} = \frac{\mathcal{M}}{\varepsilon} = \frac{\mathcal{E}}{1 - \mathcal{E}},\tag{2.5b}$$

(2.6)

in which N_*^2 is the squared buoyancy frequency in the sorted profile but is always identical to the traditional definition of N^2 (see Salehipour & Peltier (2015), so that $Re_{b*} = Re_b$). (2.5) have the same form as (2.3), except that the irreversible versions of physical quantities in (2.5) are employed in place of Osborn's original expressions. Through these modifications, the formulae now correctly define the diapycnal diffusivities in terms of quantities involving irreversible mixing processes.

220 2.2. Scalar diffusivities in the presence of two diffusing species

We will here proceed to extend (2.5) to a diffusive-convection system, following similar 221 approaches that were applied in Ma & Peltier (2021) to the understanding of diapycnal 222 diffusivities in salt fingering turbulence. The existence of the unstably stratified scalar 223 224 field of temperature in the Arctic Ocean region allows potential energy to kinetic energy conversion and thereby the creation of macroscopic motion, which was unavailable in the 225 226 single-component case in which the background stratification of density was stably stratified. Thus, an energy budget analysis will be needed in order for a correct characterization of the 227 diapycnal diffusivities for both scalars to be defined. 228

The total kinetic energy per unit mass may be represented as $\mathcal{K} = |\mathbf{u}^2|/2$. Based on the assumption of the linear equation of state $\rho = \rho_0(1 - \alpha(\Theta - \Theta_0) + \beta(S - S_0))$ (thermal expansion rate α and haline contraction rate β are both assumed to be constant), we define the averaged potential energy per unit mass and decompose it into a temperature reservoir PE_{Θ} and a salinity reservoir PE_S as follows:

$$PE = \frac{8}{0}$$

$$PE = \frac{g}{\rho_0} \overline{\langle \rho z \rangle},$$
$$= -g\alpha \overline{\langle \Theta z \rangle} + g\beta \overline{\langle S z \rangle} + g\overline{\langle z \rangle},$$

 $= PE_{\Theta} + PE_S + PE_0.$

237 Here PE_0 is a constant term that will be ignored in what follows.

The time derivatives of \mathcal{K} , PE, PE_{Θ} , PE_S can be derived straightforwardly by assuming that the two fluid components obey the Boussinesq governing equations which leads to the system:

6

$$\frac{d\mathcal{K}}{dt} = -\mathcal{H}_{\Theta} - \mathcal{H}_{S} - \varepsilon, \qquad (2.7a)$$

$$\frac{dPE_{\Theta}}{dt} = \mathcal{H}_{\Theta} + \mathcal{D}_{p\Theta}, \qquad (2.7b)$$

$$\frac{dPE_S}{dt} = \mathcal{H}_S + \mathcal{D}_{pS},\tag{2.7c}$$

$$\frac{dPE}{dt} = \frac{dPE_{\Theta}}{dt} + \frac{dPE_S}{dt},$$

= $\mathcal{H}_{\Theta} + \mathcal{H}_S + \mathcal{D}_{p\Theta} + \mathcal{D}_{pS},$ (2.7d)
= $\mathcal{H} + \mathcal{D}_{pS}$

where

$$\mathcal{H}_{\Theta} = -g\alpha \overline{\langle \Theta' w' \rangle}, \qquad (2.8a)$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{S} = g\beta \langle S'w' \rangle, \tag{2.8b}$$

$$\mathcal{D}_{p\Theta} = g \alpha \kappa_{\theta} \langle \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial z} \rangle, \qquad (2.8c)$$

$$\mathcal{D}_{pS} = -g\beta\kappa_s \langle \frac{\partial S}{\partial z} \rangle. \tag{2.8d}$$

241 Just as in the single component case, the buoyancy fluxes \mathcal{H}_S and \mathcal{H}_Θ contain the contributions from both reversible processes and irreversible processes. The reversible fluxes capture the 242 energy transfer between the kinetic energy reservoir and the available potential energy 243 reservoirs APE_S and APE_{Θ} , while the irreversible fluxes transfer energy between APE_S and 244 APE_{Θ} and background potential energies BPE_S and BPE_{Θ} . Specifically, the background 245 potential energies BPE_{Θ} and BPE_S are defined as the part of the potential energy that 246 is associated with adiabatic re-arrangements of the temperature and salinity profiles to 247 monotonically-decreasing profiles $\Theta(z_{\theta*})$ and $S(z_{s*})$ and APE_{Θ} and APE_{S} describes the 248 differences between total energies and background potential energies, namely: 249

$$BPE_{\Theta} = -g\alpha \langle \Theta(z_{\theta*}, t) z_{\theta*} \rangle, \qquad (2.9a)$$

$$BPE_S = g\beta \langle S(z_{s*}, t) z_{s*} \rangle, \qquad (2.9b)$$

$$APE_{\Theta} = PE_{\Theta} - BPE_{\Theta}, \qquad (2.9c)$$

$$APE_S = PE_S - BPE_S. \tag{2.9d}$$

The irreversible buoyancy fluxes for heat (\mathcal{M}_{Θ}) and salt (\mathcal{M}_S) , again, characterize the time-derivative of BPE_{Θ} and BPE_S in a closed system as:



Figure 1: Graphical demonstration of energy budgets in the diffusive convection environment. The direction of the energy flow of the positive/negative transportation is clarified using arrows.

$$\frac{d}{dt}BPE_{\Theta} = g\alpha\kappa_{\theta} \langle \frac{dz_{\theta*}}{d\Theta} |\nabla\Theta|^2 \rangle,$$

= $\mathcal{M}_{\Theta} + D_{p\Theta},$ (2.10a)

$$\frac{d}{dt}BPE_{S} = -g\beta\kappa_{s}\langle\frac{dz_{S*}}{dS}|\nabla S|^{2}\rangle,$$

= $\mathcal{M}_{S} + D_{pS},$ (2.10b)

$$\frac{d}{dt}BPE = \frac{d}{dt}BPE_{\Theta} + \frac{d}{dt}BPE_{S},$$

= $\mathcal{M}_{\Theta} + \mathcal{M}_{S} + D_{p\Theta} + D_{pS},$ (2.10c)
= $\mathcal{M} + D_{p}.$

The above sets of equations imply simply that: while BPE_S is a monotonical increasing 252 function with time as in the traditional definition of background potential energy for a 253 single component fluid, BPE_{Θ} is a monotonically decreasing function which irreversibly 254 releases energy to APE_{Θ} which can then be transported to the kinetic energy reservoir. The 255 256 total background potential energy BPE, however, can either increase or decrease with time, depending upon the relative strengths of the negative \mathcal{M}_{Θ} and positive \mathcal{M}_{S} in the system. The 257 258 energy exchanges described above can also be visualized in the simplified diagram shown in Figure 1. It should be noticed that the APE_{Θ} has a slightly different meaning with the 259 traditional implication of available potential energy: while available potential energy usually 260 refers to the amount of potential energy stored by the reversible process that is available to 261 be released to the kinetic energy reservoir in the single component case (also applies for 262 APE_S), here the APE_{Θ} (have a negative value through its definition) represents the amount 263 of energy that has already been transported into the kinetic energy reservoir. However, this 264 part of energy is lost through reversible process so that it could possibly be transported back 265 through convection in the future evolution of the flow field. Combining APE_{Θ} and APE_{S} 266 together, the APE reservoir represents the part of the potential energy that can be exchanged 267 with the kinetic energy reservoir through reversible processes. 268

269 Given the definition of the irreversible buoyancy fluxes M_{Θ} and M_S above, we can derive the irreversible diapycnal diffusivities for heat and salt as follows: 270

$$K_{\Theta}^{irr} = \frac{\mathcal{M}_{\Theta}}{g\alpha \langle \frac{d\Theta}{dz_{\theta*}} \rangle},\tag{2.11a}$$

$$= v \frac{\mathcal{M}_{\Theta}}{\varepsilon} \frac{N^2}{g\alpha \langle \frac{d\Theta}{dz_0} \rangle} \frac{\varepsilon}{vN^2},$$
(2.11b)

$$= \nu \frac{\mathcal{M}_{\Theta}}{\varepsilon} \frac{R_{\rho*} - 1}{-1} \frac{\varepsilon}{\nu N^2}, \qquad (2.11c)$$

$$= \nu \Gamma_{\Theta}^{irr} R e_b, \qquad (2.11d)$$

$$K_{S}^{irr} = -\frac{\mathcal{M}_{S}}{g\beta\langle\frac{dS}{dz_{S}}\rangle},\tag{2.11e}$$

$$= -\nu \frac{\mathcal{M}_S}{\varepsilon} \frac{N^2}{g\beta \langle \frac{dS}{dZ_{v*}} \rangle} \frac{\varepsilon}{\nu N^2},$$
(2.11f)

$$= \nu \frac{\mathcal{M}_S}{\varepsilon} \frac{R_{\rho*} - 1}{R_{\sigma*}} \frac{\varepsilon}{\nu N^2},$$
(2.11g)

$$= \nu \Gamma_S^{irr} Re_b, \tag{2.11h}$$

where

$$R_{\rho*} \equiv \frac{\beta \langle \frac{dS}{dz_{s*}} \rangle}{\alpha \langle \frac{d\Theta}{dz_{a*}} \rangle},\tag{2.12a}$$

$$\Gamma_{\Theta}^{irr} \equiv \frac{-(R_{\rho*} - 1)\mathcal{M}_{\Theta}}{\varepsilon}, \qquad (2.12b)$$

$$\Gamma_S^{irr} \equiv \frac{(R_{\rho*} - 1)\mathcal{M}_S}{\varepsilon R_{\rho*}}.$$
(2.12c)

In above equations, $R_{\rho*}$ is always identical with the traditional R_{ρ} (due to the same reason 271

272

that N_*^2 is identical to N^2 which we have mentioned above) so that we will not differentiate them in what follows. Γ_{Θ}^{irr} and Γ_{S}^{irr} are defined as the flux coefficients for temperature and 273 salinity separately (Γ_{Θ}^{irr} has also been previously introduced as "the dissipation ratio" in the 274 literature (e.g. St. Laurent & Schmitt (1999)). Since the overall stratification is stable we have $R_{\rho} > 1$, this leads to the fact that both Γ_{Θ}^{irr} and Γ_{S}^{irr} are positive, guaranteeing the diapycnal 275 276

277

diffusivities for both scalars K_{Θ}^{irr} , K_{S}^{irr} to be positive. Meanwhile, the diapycnal diffusivity for density can be derived in the form of the density 278 flux coefficient as: 279

$$K_{\rho}^{irr} = \frac{\mathcal{M}}{N^2},\tag{2.13a}$$

$$= \nu \frac{\mathcal{M}}{\varepsilon} \frac{\varepsilon}{\nu N^2},\tag{2.13b}$$

$$= \nu \Gamma_{\rho}^{irr} Re_b. \tag{2.13c}$$

By employing the buoyancy flux \mathcal{M} as a summation of \mathcal{M}_{θ} and \mathcal{M}_{s} , it is straightforward to show that Γ_{ρ}^{irr} (or K_{ρ}^{irr}) can be determined by Γ_{Θ}^{irr} (or K_{Θ}^{irr}) and Γ_{S}^{irr} (or K_{S}^{irr}) from: 280 281

$$\Gamma_{\rho}^{irr} = \frac{R_{\rho}}{R_{\rho} - 1} \Gamma_{S}^{irr} - \frac{1}{R_{\rho} - 1} \Gamma_{\Theta}^{irr}, \qquad (2.14a)$$

$$K_{\rho}^{irr} = \frac{R_{\rho}}{R_{\rho} - 1} K_{S}^{irr} - \frac{1}{R_{\rho} - 1} K_{\Theta}^{irr}.$$
 (2.14b)

Although K_{Θ}^{irr} and K_{S}^{irr} are both positive as has been demonstrated above, (2.14) shows that K_{ρ}^{irr} can be negative if the temperature term dominates. As we will see below, this situation might occur in the early and late evolution stage of KH instability growth in the strongly stratified case, in which situation the strength of the turbulence is weak enough and the temperature mixes more efficiently than salinity.

As in the single-component case, the irreversible flux coefficient Γ_{ρ}^{irr} can be written in the form of instantaneous mixing efficiency as:

$$\Gamma_{\rho}^{irr} = \frac{\mathcal{E}}{1 - \mathcal{E}},\tag{2.15a}$$

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{\mathcal{M}}{\mathcal{M} + \varepsilon} = \frac{\mathcal{M}_{\Theta} + \mathcal{M}_{S}}{\mathcal{M}_{\Theta} + \mathcal{M}_{S} + \varepsilon}.$$
(2.15b)

In the single component case \mathcal{E} always remains in the range $0 < \mathcal{E} < 1$ and clearly represents the amount of irreversible mixing relative to the viscous dissipation. However, in the diffusive-convection environment \mathcal{E} can both take negative values and values that are much larger than 1, in the cases of $\mathcal{M} < 0$ following its definition in (2.15). Therefore, \mathcal{E} no longer carries the meaning of "efficiency" in the doubly diffusive system and we will employ the flux-coefficient form of the diffusivities in (2.11) rather than the mixing-efficiency form in our analyses in what follows.

Another important physical quantity is the ratio of (irreversible) diapycnal diffusivity for salinity to that for temperature, namely:

298

$$d = \frac{K_S^{irr}}{K_{\Theta}^{irr}}.$$
(2.16)

The ratio of diapycnal diffusivities d has been widely used in the literature (e.g. Gargett *et al.* (2003), Merryfield (2005), Smyth *et al.* (2005), Jackson & Rehmann (2009)) to characterize the degree of differential diffusivity in the system where both temperature and salinity fields are stably stratified. These analyses demonstrate that d is close to unity in the strong turbulence limit, but decreases rapidly as turbulence intensity decreases or stratification strengthens, see Gregg *et al.* (2018) for further discussion.

The above formulae provide us the theoretical basis required for calibration of the irreversible components of diapycnal diffusivities in studies of doubly diffusive turbulence. Using DNSs that we will introduce in the next section, we will investigate quantitively how energy is transferred between the different energy reservoirs and how the irreversible diapycnal diffusivities evolve in a typical KH life cycle.

310 3. Parameter choices for direct numerical simulations of KH instability with two 311 oppositely diffusing species

In this section we will discuss the design of the DNSs to be employed to study the evolution of the KH billow and the turbulence to which this evolution gives rise. We will first discuss

Rapids articles must not exceed this page length



Figure 2: Sketch of initial condition for the scalar fields (a) and streamwise velocity field (b) in our KH simulation. In (a) the dashed, dotted and sold line represent S(z), $\Theta(z)$ and $\rho(z)$ respectively.

how the KH system is formulated in section 3.1, which will be followed by a discussion of the detailed numerical methodology to be employed in section 3.2.

316

3.1. Theoretical Preliminaries

In order to study the mixing induced by vertical shear in a system stratified in both temperature

and salinity, we apply the idealized initial vertical profiles for horizontal velocity, temperature

319 and salinity as follows:

$$u(x, y, z, t = 0) = U_0 \tanh\left(\frac{z}{h}\right), \qquad (3.1a)$$

$$\Theta(x, y, z, t = 0) = -\Delta\Theta \tanh\left(\frac{z}{h}\right), \qquad (3.1b)$$

$$S(x, y, z, t = 0) = -\Delta S \tanh\left(\frac{z}{h}\right), \qquad (3.1c)$$

where (x,y,z) is the stream-wise, span-wise and vertical directions (positve z direction is set 320 to be antiparallel with gravity) respectively and (u,v,w) represents the velocity component 321 322 in each of these directions. h is half the thickness for the shear layer (which is also half the thickness of the salinity and temperature interfaces in the model system to be employed), 323 $\Delta\Theta$, ΔS and U_0 are half the variations of initial temperature, salinity and horizontal velocity 324 profiles across the interface, as shown in the sketch of these initial profiles in Figure 2. Both 325 $\Theta(z)$ and S(z) will contribute to the density through an idealized linear equation of state 326 $\rho = \rho_0 (1 - \alpha \Theta + \beta S)$. To mimic the stratification in the Arctic region, we have relatively 327 colder and fresher water above warmer and saltier water while keeping the density profile 328 gravitationally stable. This requires that the stably stratified salinity contributes more to 329 density than the unstably stratified temperature profile, namely $\Delta \rho = \beta \Delta S - \alpha \Delta \Theta > 0$, as 330 331 illustrated in Figure 2.

The flows of interest to us will be described by the (non-dimensional) Boussinesq approximation by the system:

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{u}}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{u} = -\boldsymbol{\nabla} p - J(\frac{R_{\rho}}{R_{\rho} - 1}S - \frac{1}{R_{\rho} - 1}\Theta)\boldsymbol{e}_{z} + \frac{1}{Re}\nabla^{2}\boldsymbol{u}, \qquad (3.2a)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u} = 0, \tag{3.2b}$$

$$\frac{\partial O}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla \Theta = \frac{1}{RePr} \nabla^2 \Theta, \qquad (3.2c)$$

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla S = \frac{1}{ReSc} \nabla^2 S, \qquad (3.2d)$$

in which the non-dimensionalization has employed *h* as the length-scale, $\Delta\Theta$, ΔS and U_0 as the temperature, salinity and velocity scales respectively. The five non-dimensional control parameters in this set of field equations are the Reynolds number *Re*, the bulk Richardson number *J*, density ratio R_ρ , Prandtl number Pr as well as Schmidt number Sc, which are defined as follows:

$$Re = \frac{U_0 h}{v},\tag{3.3a}$$

$$J = \frac{g\Delta\rho h}{\rho_0 U_0^2} = \frac{g(\beta\Delta S - \alpha\Delta\Theta)h}{\rho_0 U_0^2},$$
(3.3b)

$$R_{\rho} = \frac{\beta \Delta S}{\alpha \Delta \Theta},\tag{3.3c}$$

$$Pr = \frac{v}{\kappa_{\theta}},\tag{3.3d}$$

$$Sc = \frac{\nu}{\kappa_s}.$$
(3.3e)

Compared with the single component fluid upon which most studies of KH instability to-date 339 have focused, we have introduced the Schmitt number Sc and the density ratio R_{o} into the 340 parameter space. Sc represents the ratio of momentum diffusivity to the salinity diffusivity 341 in the ocean. It is usually much higher than the Pr due to much lower diffusivity of salinity 342 compared to that of heat. The density ratio R_{ρ} characterizes the relative importance of 343 salinity and temperature to the stratification of density, a parameter which lies in the range 344 of $(1 < R_{\rho} < \infty)$ in the system which is our intention to study. In the limit of $R_{\rho} \rightarrow \infty$, the 345 unstably stratified temperature field $\Theta(x, y, z, t)$ is decoupled from the momentum equation 346 in (3.2a), so that the system described by (3.1) and (3.2) essentially returns to that for a single 347 component fluid whose stratification is entirely determined by salinity. On the other hand, 348 if R_{ρ} is close to 1, the unstably stratified component in the system becomes so strong that 349 the system will also be susceptible to the buoyancy induced oscillatory diffusive-convection 350 instability. In this scenario, the system is difficult to investigate numerically since both shear-351 driven instability and buoyancy driven instability are involved and the widely separated 352 length scales are activated simultaneously. More importantly, this small density ratio region 353 of parameter space has seldom been observed in the Arctic ocean (Shibley et al. (2017)). For 354 this reason we will restrict our discussion in this paper upon a much wider range of density 355 ratio $R_{\rho} \ge 2$ that is more representative of observed conditions in the Arctic Ocean. 356

357 3.2. Detailed design characteristics of the ensemble of DNS simulations

Governing equations (3.2) are integrated in a hexahedron of size (L_x, L_y, L_z) using the opensource computational fluid dynamics solver Nek5000 (Paul F. Fischer & Kerkemeier (2008)).

360 Nek5000 was originally developed at Argonne National Laboratory based on the spectral

Numbering	J	$R_{ ho}$	Pr	Sc	Lx	Ly	Lz	Resolution
1	0.12	2	7	70	14.15	5	20	1120×399×595
2	0.12	5	7	70	14.15	5	20	1120×399×595
3	0.12	8	7	70	14.15	5	20	1120×399×595
4	0.12	∞	N.A.	70	14.15	5	20	1120×399×595
5	0.12	2	7	70	28.30	3	20	2240×399×595
6	0.05	2	7	70	14.31	3	20	1225×266×966
7	0.05	∞	N.A.	70	14.31	5	20	1225×427×847

Table 1: Governing parameters for the direct numerical simulations performed in this paper.

element method in such a way as to support a user-defined complex geometry (see Fischer
(1997), Fischer *et al.* (2002) for example). It is well suited for use to simulate highly turbulent
flows (see Salehipour *et al.* (2015), Ma & Peltier (2021) for example) since it allows users to
economically design the computational mesh in such a way as to contain higher resolution
in more strongly turbulent regions and lower resolution elsewhere.

The detailed information for each of our numerical simulations that are to be discussed 366 in this paper are summarized in Table 1. We integrate the doubly diffusive systems with 367 different initial bulk Richardson number J and different density ratio R_{ρ} to investigate their 368 influences on the evolution of the KH life cycle. We furthermore perform control simulations 369 of the single component KH billow (simulation number 4,7) to illustrate in detail how the 370 introduction of another diffusing species will influence the evolution of KH billows. For 371 most of the simulations performed in this paper, we set the streamwise extent of our domain 372 L_x to contain one wavelength of the fastest growing mode of linear instability, except in 373 simulation number 5 in which we select the domain length to contain twice the fastest 374 growing wavelength in order to investigate the secondary pairing instability that we will 375 describe in the next section. The spanwise extent of the domain L_y is set to be 5h and a 376 slightly smaller domain of 3h has been selected for the high-resolution simulation numbers 377 5 and 6, both of which have been shown to be large enough to ensure that the fastest growing 378 379 modes of secondary cross-stream instabilities are adequately resolved (Mashayek & Peltier (2011)). L_z is set to 20*h* in all these simulations. 380

It is notoriously difficult to perform DNSs that involve the evolution of the salinity field: 381 the low haline diffusivity requires an extremely high resolution so that the Batchelor scale 382 for salinity $L_B = (\nu \kappa_s^2 / \varepsilon)^{1/4}$ can be resolved in our DNS grids. To this end we employ 383 a compromise value of Sc=70 and Pr=7, a condition which has relatively mild mesh 384 requirements while keeping an order of magnitude difference between the salinity and 385 temperature diffusivities. Meanwhile, the small Batchelor scale that needs to be resolved 386 in DNS also exerts a constraint on the Reynolds number: a value of Re = 600 provides the 387 L_B that is available for our current simulations. As will be demonstrated in what follows, 388 this intermediate value of the Reynolds number will lead to values of the buoyancy Reynolds 389 number in the turbulent phase of billow evolution on the order of O(10), which is in the range 390 of moderate turbulent intensity observed to characterize Arctic ocean turbulence as discussed 391 in Dosser et al. (2021). To design the most efficient mesh for each of these simulations we have 392 employed a series of low resolution simulations to calibrate L_B , according to which the mesh 393 resolution for the high-resolution simulations has been selected so that the depth-dependent 394 395 mesh size is always smaller than $3L_B$ within the entire life cycle of the KH turbulence (the pre-determination of mesh grids are described in Appendix A). 396

Y. Ma and W. R. Peltier

397 In these simulations, the initial condition (3.1) is seeded with a small-amplitude twodimensional structure equal to that of the fastest growing mode (the non-dimensional 398 horizontal velocity amplitude is set to 0.005) in the linear stability analysis of the Taylor-399 Goldstein equation. A further component of the initial conditions consisting of white noise 400 of magnitude $0.0005(\Delta\Theta, \Delta S)$ is included to seed the growth of the secondary instabilities. 401 402 We choose periodic boundary conditions for salinity and temperature as well as velocity 403 fields in the streamwise and spanwise directions. Meanwhile, on the top and bottom surfaces of the domain, we assume free-slip and impermeable boundary conditions for velocity and 404 insulated boundary conditions for the temperature and salinity fields. 405

4. Time evolution of the KH billows in the diffusive convection environment 406

In this section, we will discuss the characteristics of the time evolution of our simulation 407 408 results for KH wave life cycles.

4.1. Different phases of evolution of KH instability with two oppositely stratified species 409

In order to aid our analysis of the KH instability and its subsequent nonlinear evolution 410 we decompose the velocity field into the horizontally averaged mean field $\overline{\mathbf{u}}$, the spanwise 411 412 averaged component \mathbf{u}_{2d} associate with the primary KH wave as well as an inherently threedimensional component \mathbf{u}_{3d} that is associated with the secondary instability arising from the 413 primary KH billow, namely: 414

415

$$\mathbf{u} = \overline{\mathbf{u}} + \mathbf{u}_{2\mathbf{d}} + \mathbf{u}_{3\mathbf{d}},\tag{4.1}$$

the individual components of these vector fields are defined as:

$$(\overline{u}, 0, 0) = \overline{(u, v, w)}, \tag{4.2a}$$

$$(u,0,0) = (u, v, w),$$
(4.2*a*)
(*u*_{2*d*},0,*w*_{2*d*}) = $\langle (u - \overline{u}, v, w) \rangle_y,$ (4.2*b*)

$$(u_{3d}, v_{3d}, w_{3d}) = (u - \overline{u} - u_{2d}, v, w - w_{2d}).$$

$$(4.2c)$$

In the above equations, the symbol $\langle . \rangle_y$ represents averaging the field over the spanwise 416 direction. The total kinetic energy \mathcal{K} of the flow can then be decomposed as \mathcal{K} = 417 $\mathcal{K} + \mathcal{K}_{2d} + \mathcal{K}_{3d}$ and the values of \mathcal{K}_{2d} and \mathcal{K}_{3d} represent the growth of the primary KH 418 billow and the growth of three-dimensional turbulence respectively. Here we illustrate the 419 evolution of $\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K}_{2d}$ and \mathcal{K}_{3d} , normalized by the initial kinetic energy \mathcal{K}_0 in Figure 3(a) 420 and Figure 3(b) for simulation number 2 (J=0.12, R_{ρ} =5). Following Peltier & Caulfield 421 (2003), this compartmentalization allows us to define four different characteristic times 422 $t_{2dmax}, t_d, t_{3dmax}, t_{end}$ to divide the system into four different phases of evolution. The first 423 phase represents the growth of the initially two-dimensional primary KH billow, begins at 424 t = 0 and ends at $t = t_{2dmax}$ which is defined as the time when the two-dimensional KH 425 billow saturates (the time that \mathcal{K}_{2d} reaches its maximum). During the second phase, the 426 427 saturated KH billow continues to evolve in a two-dimension fashion. This phase ends at t_d which characterizes the onset of three-dimensional secondary instability. Quantitively t_d is 428 defined by the time that the viscous dissipation rate $\varepsilon(t)$ doubles its initial value. Shortly 429 after t_d , the three-dimensional secondary instability starts to grow as shown in the curve of 430 \mathcal{K}_{3d} in Figure 3(b), until \mathcal{K}_{3d} reaches its maximum value at t_{3d} . The fourth stage represents 431 the decay of three-dimensional turbulence until the flow becomes laminar at t_{end} which we 432 take to be defined as the time that \mathcal{K}_{3d} falls below 10% of its peak value. 433

434 Visualizations of the salinity field and temperature field at these characteristic times for simulation number 2 are illustrated in Figure 4. The primary KH billow can be clearly 435



Figure 3: Evolution of \mathcal{K} , \mathcal{K}_{2d} , \mathcal{K}_{3d} and various components of *PE*, *BPE* normalized by the initial kinetic energy \mathcal{K}_0 as a function of time in simulation number 2. The four vertical dashed lines represent the values for the four characteristic times $t_{2dmax}, t_d, t_{3dmax}, t_{end}$.

observed for both the salinity field and the temperature field at both t_{2dmax} (shown in 436 Figure 4(a,b) and t_d (shown in Figure 4(c,d)) when the flow is dominated by two-dimensional 437 dynamics. The development of secondary instabilities then drives the system into a fully 438 turbulent state as depicted in Figure 4(e,f). It is important to note that although temperature 439 and salinity fields display essentially identical structures at t_{2dmax} and t_d , they appear 440 significantly different in the fully turbulent stage: the turbulent patches are much smaller 441 in the salinity field than in the temperature field. The much smaller diffusivity for the 442 salinity field allows the existence of finer structure in the turbulence when compared with 443 the temperature field. Finally at t_{end} the three-dimensional turbulence decays and the flow 444 collapses into a laminar state which is characteristic of both the salinity and temperature 445 446 fields in Figure 4(g,h).

The development and collapse of the KH billow eventually mixes the physical properties of 447 the flow by transforming a significant fraction of the initial kinetic energy of the initial shear 448 flow into background potential energies. In order to evaluate the variation of background 449 energies, we have sorted both the temperature field and the salinity field utilizing the 450 451 parallel sorting algorithm proposed in Salehipour et al. (2015) to obtain the background potential energies for our DNS data in the evolution process. In Figure 3(c), we plot the 452 evolution of background potential energies BPE_{Θ} , BPE_S , BPE that can be compared with 453 the conventional potential energies PE_{Θ} , PE_{S} , PE (all have had their initial values subtracted 454 and are normalized by \mathcal{K}_0) for simulation number 2. As we discussed in section 2, the kinetic 455 energies in our current doubly diffusive system continue to extract energy from BPE_{Θ} and 456 457 transfer energy to BPE_S , leading to monotonic decrease of BPE_{Θ} and monotonic increase of BPE_{S} . The total background potential energy is then determined by the summation of BPE_{Θ} 458



Figure 4: Iso-surfaces for both the salinity fields (left row) and the temperature fields (right row) at four different characteristic times t_{2dmax} (a,b), t_d (c,d), t_{3dmax} (e,f), $t_{end}(g,h)$.

and BPE_S . Since the density stratification is dominated by the stably stratified salinity field, *BPE* experiences an overall increase with time for this specific run (an example involving a decrease in *BPE* will be discussed in the strongly stratified case in what follows).

Despite the fact that the stratification is mainly determined by salinity, the temperature 462 field mixes more effectively than salinity considering the fact that molecular diffusivity for 463 temperature is 10 times higher than that for salinity in our DNSs. This can be quickly verified 464 by referring to Figure 3(c): from t = 0 to $t = t_{end}$, BPE_S increases by total amount of 465 $0.0095\mathcal{K}_0$ whereas BPE_{Θ} decreases by the total amount of $0.0038\mathcal{K}_0$. The ratio of their 466 relative variations γ^{tot} can then be straightforwardly evaluated to have the value of 2.5 which 467 is much smaller than the density ratio $R_{\rho} = 5$, demonstrating that mixing in the temperature 468 field leads to a more significant change in its background potential energy compared with 469 salinity. We can also directly compare the variations of irreversible diapycnal diffusivities 470 471 for salinity and temperature. In Figure 5(a), we plot the evolution of irreversible flux for temperature M_{Θ} , salinity M_S , and density M respectively (all non-dimensionalized by U_0^3/L) 472 for simulation number 2. The associated evolution of bulk-averaged diapycnal diffusivities 473 are plot in Figure 5(b). It is clear that K_{Θ}^{irr} is significantly higher than K_{S}^{irr} in all different 474 stages of evolution, especially at approximately $t = t_d$ just before the onset of the secondary 475 instabilities. The diffusivity ratio d for the evolution is shown in Figure 5(c). Consistently, d 476 is smaller than 1 except for the time near t_{3dmax} at which the three-dimensional turbulence 477 reaches the maximum amplitude. The combined diapycnal diffusivities for density K_{ρ}^{irr} can 478 then be determined by K_{Θ}^{irr} and K_{S}^{irr} based on (2.14). Generally speaking, K_{ρ}^{irr} is close to K_{S}^{irr} 479 since salinity is the dominant component in determining the stratification. However, stronger 480

481 K_{Θ}^{irr} is representing the stronger negative part of the density flux induced by temperature so 482 that K_{ρ}^{irr} will be influenced to be smaller.

The fact that the temperature mixes more effectively than salinity can also be verified 483 in their flux coefficients in Figure 5(d). The irreversible flux coefficients for temperature 484 Γ_{Θ}^{irr} reaches its peak of approximately 0.4 before the onset of three-dimensional secondary instability, and drops to the value of approximately 0.1 in the fully turbulent stage. While the value of Γ_{Θ}^{irr} in the life cycle remains comparable with the canonical value of 0.2, the 485 486 487 irreversible flux coefficients for salinity Γ_S^{irr} is always considerably lower than 0.2. This 488 again emphasizes the idea that different flux coefficients should be assumed for temperature 489 and salinity separately due to their different values of molecular diffusivity. The combined 490 flux coefficient for density can also be determined through the relation (2.14b). Similar to 491 the evolution of K_{ρ}^{irr} , Γ_{ρ}^{irr} is also close to Γ_{S}^{irr} . The finite differences between Γ_{S}^{irr} and Γ_{ρ}^{irr} are mostly minor in the fully turbulent regime, which keeps increasing as turbulence dies 492 493 at the end of the simulation life cycle. In Figure 5(e) we also show the time-evolution of 494 dissipation ratio for temperature $\varepsilon_{\Theta} \equiv |\nabla \Theta|^2 / (RePr)$ and salinity $\varepsilon_S \equiv |\nabla S|^2 / (ReSc)$ which 495 are non-dimensionalized by dimensional units of $\Delta \Theta^2 U_0^2/L$ and $\Delta S^2 U_0^2/L$ separately. These 496 physical quantities also reflect the strength of mixing in the turbulence life-cycle and their 497 evolution are consistent with the evolution of diapycnal diffusivities for both scalars as in 498 Figure 5(b). 499

500

4.2. Influences of bulk Richardson number J and density ratio R_{ρ}

Having discussed the typical characteristics of the evolution of KH billows and the mixing properties of turbulence in this doubly diffusive system, we will focus next upon the influence the governing parameters J and R_{ρ} upon the detailed characteristics of turbulent mixing that were discussed above in general terms for simulation number 2.

To demonstrate the specific influences of these two governing parameters, we show in 505 Figure 6(a)-(d) the evolution of the total kinetic energy \mathcal{K} , the background potential energy 506 BPE, the buoyancy Reynolds number Re_b and the irreversible flux coefficients for density 507 Γ_{ρ}^{irr} separately for two different bulk Richardson number J = 0.05 and J = 0.12 at different 508 values of R_{ρ} . By comparing the evolution of kinetic energy and background potential energy 509 in Figure 6(a) and (b), it will be clear that a larger proportion of energy is transferred from 510 511 the kinetic energy reservoir to the background potential energies in the weaker stratification 512 case J = 0.05, compared with the stronger stratification J = 0.12. This is consistent with 513 the role played by bulk Richardson number discussed in Caulfield & Peltier (2000). The weaker stratification also naturally leads to a higher Re_b (shown Figure 6(c)) at the peak 514 of turbulence intensity compared with the stronger stratification case, although Re_{h} in both 515 cases remains at a relatively low value due to the small value of *Re* implemented in these 516 517 simulations. It is also worth noting that the irreversible flux coefficient for density is also 518 significantly higher in the turbulent phase for J = 0.05 compared with J = 0.12 as shown in Figure 6(d). This decrease of flux coefficient with J is also consistent with previous DNS 519 simulations, which is often referred to as the right flank of the non-monotonic functional 520 dependence of flux coefficient on the gradient Richardson number (e.g. Caulfield (2021)). 521

With this understanding of the effect of *J*, we turn next to an exploration of the effect of *R*_{ρ} on the evolution of KH billow turbulence in the doubly diffusive system. *R*_{ρ} represents the importance of the salinity field relative to the temperature field on stratification. By comparing the evolution of *BPE* in Figure 6(b), we are able to characterize the different behavior of *BPE* for simulations with different *R*_{ρ}. At relatively small density ratio *R*_{ρ} = 2, we note that the background potential energy is decreasing prior to *t* = 100 (before the onset of the three-dimensional secondary instability activates). Furthermore, in the special case of



Figure 5: Evolution of irreversible fluxes M_{Θ} , M_S , M (a) irreversible diapycnal diffusivities K_{Θ}^{irr} , K_S^{irr} , K_{ρ}^{irr} (non-dimensionalized by molecular viscosity ν) (b), diffusivity ratio d (c), flux coefficients Γ_{Θ}^{irr} , Γ_S^{irr} , Γ_{ρ}^{irr} (d) and dissipation ratios for scalars ε_{Θ} , ε_S (non-dimensionalized by dimensional units of $\Delta \Theta^2 U_0^2 / L$ and $\Delta S^2 U_0^2 / L$ separately) (e) as a function of time in simulation number 2.

 $R_{\rho} = 2$ at J = 0.12, the total background potential energy experiences a decreasing trend again after t = 170 and falls below its initial value at approximately t = 200. This period of decreasing *BPE* may also be verified in Figure 6(d) where it is associated with negative flux coefficient.

For the general comparison between different simulations shown in Figure 6(b), we can conclude that a smaller R_{ρ} always leads to a lower net increase of *BPE* relative to its initial value. This can be qualitatively understand as follows: the constantly increase of *BPEs* is competing with the constantly decrease of *BPE*_{Θ} in the evolution of *BPE*, and the smaller R_{ρ} suggests that *BPE*_{Θ} is playing a more important role in influencing *BPE* which makes it easier for *BPE* to decrease or to remain at a relatively low value. In fact, a quantitative explanation

18



Figure 6: Evolution of total Kinetic energy \mathcal{K} (a), background potential energy BPE (b), buoyancy Reynolds number Re_b (c) and irreversible flux coefficients for density Γ_{ρ}^{irr} (d) as a function of time in simulations with different governing parameter of bulk Richardson number J and density ratio R_{ρ} .

for the arguments above can be reached through an analysis of the total irreversible buoyancyflux:

$$\mathcal{M} = N^2 K_{\rho}^{irr},\tag{4.3a}$$

$$= N^{2} \left(\frac{-1}{R_{\rho} - 1} K_{\Theta}^{irr} + \frac{R_{\rho}}{R_{\rho} - 1} K_{S}^{irr}\right).$$
(4.3b)

In the above equations, (4.3b) is derived by substituting the relationship between K_{ρ}^{irr} , K_{S}^{irr} 541 and K_{Θ}^{irr} that we have shown previously in (2.14b) into (4.3a). As we have demonstrated 542 in the last subsection, K_{Θ}^{irr} is always higher than K_{S}^{irr} especially when the turbulence is 543 weak. In (4.3b), N^2 is fixed since we have employed the same bulk Richardson number J 544 in simulations, the variation of R_{ρ} influences the relative importance of K_{Θ}^{irr} and K_{S}^{irr} to influence the instantaneous buoyancy flux \mathcal{M} : In the case of large R_{ρ} , K_{ρ}^{irr} is close to the 545 546 value of K_S^{irr} . When R_ρ is sufficiently small, on the other hand, \mathcal{M} can be negative when 547 it is dominated by the negative term in (2.14b), leading to a decreasing BPE as shown in 548 the two curves with $R_{\rho} = 2$ in Figure 6(b) which we mentioned above. Generally speaking, the differences between K_S^{irr} and K_{Θ}^{irr} are most significant when the buoyancy Reynolds 549 550 number is small, which explains why these time intervals of decreasing BPE occur either at 551 552 the early or late stage of the KH evolution. In section 5 we will provide a detailed analysis of a parametrization scheme that is suitable for K_S^{irr} and K_{Θ}^{irr} in our system based on the 553

buoyancy Reynolds number Re_b , so that the detailed value of buoyancy flux in (4.3) can be better quantified.

556 While we have compared the time evolution of the KH billow under different parameters 557 above, it is also beneficial for us to compare the overall effect of mixing that is accumulated 558 in the entire evolution cycle. To do this, we firstly define the accumulated irreversible fluxes 559 $\mathcal{M}_{\Theta}^{acc}, \mathcal{M}_{S}^{acc}, \mathcal{M}^{acc}$, accumulated viscous dissipation ratio ε^{acc} and accumulated flux ratio 560 $\Gamma_{\Theta}^{acc}, \Gamma_{S}^{acc}, \Gamma_{\rho}^{acc}$ as the time-integral of the associated physical quantities, following:

$$\mathcal{M}_{\Theta}^{acc} = \int_{0}^{t_{end}} M_{\Theta} dt, \qquad (4.4a)$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{S}^{acc} = \int_{0}^{t_{end}} M_{S} dt, \qquad (4.4b)$$

$$\mathcal{M}^{acc} = \mathcal{M}^{acc}_{\Theta} + \mathcal{M}^{acc}_{S}, \qquad (4.4c)$$

$$\varepsilon^{acc} = \int_0^{t_{end}} \varepsilon dt, \qquad (4.4d)$$

$$\Gamma_{\Theta}^{acc} = \frac{\mathcal{M}_{\Theta}^{acc}}{\varepsilon^{acc}} \frac{R_{\rho} - 1}{-1},\tag{4.4e}$$

$$\Gamma_{S}^{acc} = \frac{\mathcal{M}_{S}^{acc}}{\varepsilon^{acc}} \frac{R_{\rho} - 1}{R_{\rho}},\tag{4.4f}$$

$$\Gamma_{\rho}^{acc} = \frac{\mathcal{M}^{acc}}{\varepsilon^{acc}}.$$
(4.4g)

These accumulated quantities have been evaluated for our simulations to be shown in 561 Table 2. In consistent with our discussions above, simulations with J = 0.05 leads to 562 stronger turbulence and stronger mixing compared with J = 0.12, which is reflected in the 563 higher values $|\mathcal{M}_{\Theta}^{acc}|$, \mathcal{M}_{S}^{acc} , \mathcal{M}^{acc} and higher ε^{acc} . The influences of variation of R_{ρ} we discussed above can also be confirmed in Table 2: Table 2 shows that simulations with higher 564 565 R_{ρ} will have higher values of \mathcal{M}^{acc} , which has been well explained in our discussions above 566 using (4.3b). Besides this, it can also be observed that a larger R_{ρ} will lead to smaller values 567 of both $|\mathcal{M}_{\Theta}^{acc}|$ and \mathcal{M}_{S}^{acc} . This can in fact also be explained simply by noting that the two 568 coefficients $1/(R_{\rho}-1)$ and $R_{\rho}/(R_{\rho}-1)$ in (4.3b) are both decreasing functions of R_{ρ} . As R_{ρ} 569 goes from small values to large values, the system becomes more and more dominated by the 570 salinity stratification and \mathcal{M}_{s}^{acc} gradually converges to their values in the single-component 571 572 cases with the corresponding J.

Although we have explained how the accumulated buoyancy fluxes vary significantly with R_{ρ} , the accumulated flux coefficients for individual component Γ_{Θ}^{acc} and Γ_{S}^{acc} are not strong functions of R_{ρ} as shown in Table 2. This suggests that R_{ρ} only influences the overall flux coefficient Γ_{ρ}^{acc} by changing the participation between two scalars without influencing much on their individual flux coefficients. This will be one of the most important conclusion drawn from our analysis, which will be discussed in detail in section 5.

579

4.3. Secondary instabilities in the doubly diffusive system

In our discussions above, we have assumed that three-dimensional secondary instabilities that control the transition to three-dimensional turbulence may be fully represented in a numerical domain that includes only a single wavelength of the fastest growing mode of linear instability in the streamwise direction. As shown in Mashayek & Peltier (2013), the path to turbulence can potentially influence the mixing in the system. To this end, we will investigate the detailed secondary instability that our simulations are susceptible

J	$R_{ ho}$	tend	$\mathcal{M}^{acc}_{\Theta}/K_0$	$\mathcal{M}_{S}^{acc}/K_{0}$	\mathcal{M}^{acc}/K_0	ε^{acc}/K_0	Γ^{acc}_{Θ}	Γ_S^{acc}	Γ_{ρ}^{acc}
0.12	2	353	-0.020	õ.020	-0.0007	0.13	0.16	0.076	-0.005
0.12	5	308	-0.0038	0.0095	0.0057	0.10	0.15	0.073	0.055
0.12	8	290	-0.0021	0.0084	0.0063	0.10	0.15	0.073	0.063
0.12	∞	262	N.A.	0.0084	0.0084	0.10	N.A	0.083	0.083
0.05	2	432	-0.059	0.097	0.038	0.26	0.22	0.18	0.15
0.05	∞	419	N.A.	0.041	0.041	0.25	N.A	0.17	0.17

Table 2: Accumulated irreversible heat fluxes $\mathcal{M}_{\Theta}^{acc}$, irreversible salt flux \mathcal{M}_{S}^{acc} , total irreversible flux $\mathcal{M}_{\Theta}^{acc}$, accumulated viscous dissipation ε^{acc} , irreversible temperature flux coefficient Γ_{Θ}^{acc} , irreversible salt flux coefficient Γ_{S}^{acc} and total irreversible flux coefficient Γ_{Θ}^{acc} evaluated for our numerical simulations with different R_{ρ} and J. $\mathcal{M}_{\Theta}^{acc}$, \mathcal{M}_{S}^{acc} , \mathcal{M}_{S}^{acc} , $\mathcal{M}_{\Theta}^{acc}$ have been non-dimensionalized by the initial kinetic energy \mathcal{K}_{0} in this Table.

to. In the single component case, the characteristics of these secondary instabilities have been summarized in the work of Mashayek & Peltier (2012a,b). In this subsection we will firstly provide a brief review of these secondary instabilities, followed by an analysis of the secondary instability mechanism(s) that govern the turbulence transition in our DNS-based analyses.

The first candidate from the secondary instability "zoo" is the amalgamation instability or 591 pairing instability (Winant & Browand (1974), Pierrehumbert & Widnall (1982), Klaassen & 592 593 Peltier (1989)), which is characterized by the vortex pairing of nearby KH billows. However, 594 the vortex merging events have rarely been observed in either oceanographic or atmospheric environments since it is always suppressed by other candidate modes of secondary instability 595 at high Reynolds number. An example of such competing secondary instabilities is the shear-596 aligned convective instability (Davis & Peltier (1979), Klaassen & Peltier (1985)) which 597 arises due to the overturning of the statically unstable regions inside the vortex cores created 598 by the roll-up of iso-density surfaces during billow growth. Another well-studied secondary 599 instability is the secondary shear instability of the vorticity braid that connects adjacent 600 601 billows in a horizontally periodic array of such structures (Corcos & Sherman (1976), Staquet (1995) and Staquet (2000)). The newest member of the "zoo" of secondary instabilities is 602 the instability (usually named stagnation point instability) which only exist at sufficiently 603 high Reynolds number. Driven by the strain-related deformation of the background flow, the 604 instability grows at the stagnation point on the braid and produces a region of recirculation 605 near the stagnation point which then evolves into turbulence (see Mashayek & Peltier (2013) 606 and Salehipour et al. (2015)). 607

In the evolution of KH billows, the route to turbulence is strongly dependent on the 608 Reynolds number of the background flow. For the particular value of Re = 600 selected 609 for our DNSs, transition to the fully turbulent state is usually obtained through the onset 610 of secondary shear-aligned convective instability in the singly-stratified system (see DNSs 611 of Caulfield & Peltier (2000), for example). However, it is not yet clear whether this is still 612 true in our doubly-diffusive system, considering that the introduction of a second stratified 613 component might influence the buoyancy force that causes convective instability. To this end, 614 we performed the same non-separable secondary stability analysis following the methodology 615 initially developed by Klaassen & Peltier (1985). By analyzing the stability properties of the 616 primary KH billow using this methodology (both the description of this methodology and 617 the results obtained by its application are provided in Appendix B), we demonstrated that 618



Figure 7: Streamwise vorticity iso-surfaces of $\omega_x = 0.2$ (red) and $\omega_x = -0.2$ (blue) for simulation number 5.

the dominant mode of secondary instability is indeed the secondary shear-aligned convectiveinstability.

In order to visualize the growth of the secondary instability predicted by the non-separable 621 analysis we plot in Figure 7 the streamwise vorticity iso-surfaces for simulation number 622 5 which contains two fastest growing wavelengths of primary KH instability so that the 623 pairing instability would be captured if it were to emerge. However, the pairing of vortices 624 did not occur in this longer domain and the secondary shear-aligned convective instability 625 remains the dominant mode among the zoo of secondary instabilities. The growth of the 626 secondary shear-aligned convective instability can be clearly identified in the convective 627 rolls that are aligned with the background shear in Figure 7(a). These convection rolls have 628 previously been shown in the DNS analysis of Caulfield & Peltier (2000), Mashayek & Peltier 629 (2013) for example and now also in our analyses of KH billow mediated transition in the 630 doubly diffusive system. As time evolves, the interaction between neighboring rolls drive the 631 system into the three-dimensional turbulent state and eventually relaminarization as shown 632 in Figure 7(b)-(d). 633

5. Parametrization of scalar diffusivities in the diffusive convection system

With the properly defined irreversible diapycnal diffusivities (for both heat, salinity and density) introduced in section 2 and the DNS data postprocessed in section 4, we are in a good position to explore the parametrization of these diapycnal diffusivities in the diffusiveconvection system.

639 5.1. Dependence of diapycnal diffusivities on governing non-dimensional parameters

It has been widely accepted that the buoyancy Reynolds number Re_b is the most-important 640 non-dimensional parameter that influences the diapycnal diffusivities (e.g. Caulfield (2021)). 641 We will therefore evaluate the irreversible diapycnal diffusivities K_{Θ}^{irr} and K_{S}^{irr} in the fully 642 turbulent regime $(t_{3dmax} < t < t_{end})$ of each of our DNSs and plot them as a function of 643 Re_b at each time as shown in the scatter plot in Figure 8(a)(c). The corresponding irreversible 644 flux coefficients Γ_{Θ}^{irr} and Γ_{S}^{irr} is shown in Figure 8(b)(d) and the diffusivity ratio is shown 645 in Figure 8(e). It will be apparent that for our simulations with $R_{\rho} = \infty$ the temperature field 646 is not active in the simulation and thus the K_{Θ}^{irr} data (and also d) is not applicable in these simulations. Simulations with different bulk Richardson numbers achieved a distribution 647 648 of buoyancy Reynolds number in the range from 20 to 100, which perfectly captures the 649 environment of the central Canada Basin region of the Arctic Ocean which is characterized 650 by low energy turbulence with $Re_b < 100$ (see the most recent estimations of Dosser *et al.* 651 (2021), for example). 652

Scatter plots in Figure 8 shows that both K_{Θ}^{irr} and K_{S}^{irr} are almost monotonically increasing functions of Re_b , despite the fact that different values of J and R_{ρ} are employed in these 653 654 simulations. In fact, our simulations with J = 0.05 is characterized by higher Re_b compared 655 with the J = 0.12 cases, due to the weaker stratification employed. Figure 8 demonstrates 656 that the bulk Richardson number J is only contributing to the diapycnal diffusivities through 657 its influence on Re_b thus there is no need to consider an explicit dependence on J. At the 658 same time, different values of R_{ρ} do not significantly change the dependence on Re_b either, 659 suggesting that K_{Θ}^{irr} and K_{S}^{irr} do not strongly depend on R_{ρ} . This is a somewhat unusual result 660 considering that past simulations of diffusive-convection interfaces have always revealed 661 strong functional dependence of diapycnal diffusivities on R_{ρ} (see Caro (2009), Carpenter 662 et al. (2012), Flanagan et al. (2013), Brown & Radko (2021) for example). The key differences 663 should be understood as follows: our current system is a dynamically driven (specifically 664 shear driven) system and it is the turbulence generated from the background shear that 665 causes mixing for both temperature and salinity. For these previous simulations on the 666 diffusive interface, on the other hand, the macroscopic motions are mainly induced by the 667 release of potential energy from the unstably stratified component (temperature component) 668 of the double-diffusive system and such systems should be recognized as the buoyancy-driven 669 systems (the system of Brown & Radko (2021) is simultaneously driven by buoyancy and 670 shear). Since R_{ρ} controls the relatively strength of the stratification of stably stratification 671 component over unstably stratified component, it is apparent that variations of R_{ρ} should 672 strongly influence the vertical mixing in the buoyancy-driven systems. Therefore no conflicts exists by showing that K_{Θ}^{irr} and K_{S}^{irr} are weakly dependent on R_{ρ} in our dynamically driven 673 674 system. 675

It should be furthermore mentioned that the exiting parametrization scheme of diapy-676 cnal diffusivities implemented in global ocean models have always assumed a functional 677 dependence of R_{ρ} (see the KPP parametrization of Large *et al.* (1994), Kelley (1990), for 678 example). Such parametrization schemes have been established based on the assumption that 679 a series of thermohaline staircases will be formed in the diffusive convection environment 680 and the fluxes across the diffusive interfaces staircases (which has been regarded as the 681 buoyancy driven system as mentioned above) are strongly dependent on R_{ρ} (see Marmorino 682 & Caldwell (1976), Linden & Shirtcliffe (1978) for example). As discussed in Peltier et al. 683 (2020), the conventional parametrization scheme for diapycnal diffusivity under conditions 684 of diffusive-convection water column stratification may lead to a significant over-estimation 685



Figure 8: Irreversible diapycnal diffusivities K_S^{irr} (a) K_{Θ}^{irr} (c), irreversible mixing effeciencies Γ_S^{irr} (b) Γ_{Θ}^{irr} (d) and diffusivity ratio (e) evaluated for the fully turbulent regime of DNSs plotted as a function of Re_b . Each scatter point represents the average value over non-overlapping time-interval of 5 non-dimensional units. The solid line shows the parametrization of above values in the work of Bouffard & Boegman (2013). The three vertical dashed line represents the three critical values of Re_b that separates four different regimes of Bouffard & Boegman (2013)'s parametrization scheme.

of diapycnal diffusivities when it is inserted into an enhancement to diapycnal diffusivity based upon the assumption that a staircase has formed even if the turbulence level is so high that the staircase would not be able to form. In this scenario, a parametrization based on the dynamically driven system (will be discussed in the following subsection) should be employed instead.

5.2. Comparison with the existing turbulent parametrization of Bouffard & Boegman (2013)

In fact, the weak dependence of K_{Θ}^{irr} and K_{S}^{irr} on R_{ρ} essentially suggests that the temperature field and salinity field are weakly coupled in the development of turbulence, they react to 695 the background shear, stratified turbulence and buoyancy forcing as if they are the only diffusing species in the system. It is therefore of great interest to compare our results for 696 the dependence of these diffusivities upon buoyancy Reynolds number to those previously 697 published for single component systems. To be useful for our purposes such parametrization 698 699 would have to include explicit dependence on the Prandtl number (Schmitt number) to provide 700 different parametrizations for temperature and salinity. To our knowledge, the only turbulent 701 parametrization scheme that stresses the differences in the Prandtl number (Schmitt number) is that based upon the recent work of Bouffard & Boegman (2013) (hereafter BB). By 702 examining extensive sets of published data from both laboratory experiments (e.g. Jackson 703 & Rehmann (2003), Rehmann & Koseff (2004)) and direct numerical simulations (e.g. Shih 704 et al. (2005), Smyth et al. (2005)) on single component fluids with either the Prandtl number 705 706 for temperature or the Schmidt number for salinity, BB extend previous parametrizations of Shih et al. (2005) to incorporate a proper dependence upon Pr into their parametrization 707 scheme. Their scheme therefore dependent on both Re_b and Pr (Sc) as: 708

$$K_{\rho}^{BB}(Re_{b},Pr) = \begin{cases} \kappa, & \text{if } Re_{b} < 10^{\frac{2}{3}}Pr^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \\ \frac{0.1}{Pr^{\frac{1}{4}}}\nu Re_{b}^{\frac{3}{2}}, & \text{if } 10^{\frac{2}{3}}Pr^{-\frac{1}{2}} < Re_{b} < (3ln\sqrt{Pr})^{2}, \\ 0.2\nu Re_{b}, & \text{if } (3ln\sqrt{Pr})^{2} < Re_{b} < 100, \\ 2\nu Re_{b}^{\frac{1}{2}}, & \text{if } Re_{b} > 100. \end{cases}$$

$$(5.1)$$

In the above parametrizations, the diapycnal diffusivities have different power law dependence 710 on Re_b in different ranges of Re_b . The smallest Re_b regime is the molecular regime in which 711 molecular diffusivities are assumed. The second regime, the buoyancy-controlled regime, 712 (which is originally included in BB) describes the regime in which mixing is strongly 713 influenced by the Prandtl number. In this regime, the diapycnal diffusivities increase rapidly 714 with Re_b at the rate of $Re_b^{3/2}$. The third regime is the transitional regime which is consistent with the classic Osborn model with flux coefficient fixed to 0.2. For Re_b higher than 100 the 715 716 system enters the energetic regime in which diffusivities scale with $Re_{b}^{0.5}$ in accordance with 717 previous work of Shih et al. (2005). 718

709

BB's parametrization described above is evaluated at Pr(Sc)=70 and Pr=7 separately for 719 different Re_b and plotted as the solid line in Figure 8 (a)(c). A strikingly good fit can be 720 identified in these figures: for K_S^{irr} , a close match between the parametrization and our DNS 721 data can be found except for the tail of low Re_b . In fact, our DNS data strongly support the 722 existence of a large buoyancy-controlled regime for salinity (5 < Re_b < 70) in which K_S scales at $Re_b^{3/2}$. When Re_b drops below approximately 3, however, K_S^{irr} drops to the level 723 724 of the molecular value in a fashion that is much faster than $Re_b^{3/2}$, suggesting a possible overestimation of (5.1) in the low Re_b range. For the temperature field, the parametrization 725 726 seems to produce a slight overestimation of the diapycnal diffusivities. However, the different 727 728 power laws for the buoyancy-controlled regime and transition regime can be clearly identified in our DNS data, demonstrating the reasonableness of the manner in which the partition of 729 regimes of BB. Meanwhile, BB's prediction for flux coefficients as a function form of Re_b 730 are plotted in Figure 8(b) and (d) to be compared with our numerical data. It can also be seen 731 from these two plots that the functional dependence of Γ_S^{irr} and Γ_{Θ}^{irr} over Re_b follows well from BB although the values of Γ_S^{irr} and Γ_{Θ}^{irr} are somewhat smaller than the predicted value 732 733 of BB. Furthermore, we compare the parametrized diffusivity ratio (shown in Fig.5 of BB) 734 with our DNS data in Figure 8(c) and again find a good match. Also consistent with previous 735 736 work of Smyth *et al.* (2005), the diffusivity ratio only reaches unity when Re_b reaches the level of O(100), otherwise strong differences in the diffusivity ratio between temperature and 737

Y. Ma and W. R. Peltier

738 salinity exist. We interpret these close fits to a parameterization scheme for single component systems comprised of a species with Prandtl number 7 and another single diffusing species 739 with Schmidt number much higher (70 instead of the actual Schmidt number for salt of 700) 740 to fully verify the validate our conclusion that in the diffusive convection regime of the Arctic 741 742 Ocean the turbulent diffusivities for temperature and salinity operate independently. This is 743 a critical conclusion as it was upon this assumption that our recently published new theory 744 for the formation of the previously unexplained thermohaline staircases in the Arctic Ocean has been based (Ma & Peltier (2022)). 745

In ending this section further comment is warranted on two subtleties connected to the 746 preceding analyses. First it is important to note that the BB' parameterization is based upon a 747 combination of experimental/DNS data (e.g. Shih et al. (2005), Jackson & Rehmann (2003)) 748 that are evaluated based on the conventional definitions of K_{Θ} and K_S . As K_{Θ} and K_S are 749 determined in quasi-steady states of these systems, it is reasonable to assume that they are consistent with the irreversible definitions K_{Θ}^{irr} and K_{S}^{irr} . The KH system that has been 750 751 studied here, on the other hand, is a transiently evolving system that does not reach a quasi-752 steady state. K_{Θ} and K_S are highly variable quantities that frequently obtain negative values 753 because they are strongly influenced by the reversible stirring process of the KH billow which 754 does not contribute to turbulent diffusivity. Therefore we have employed the instantaneous 755 values of the turbulence data to compute the irreversible vertical diffusivities K_{Θ}^{irr} and K_{S}^{irr} instead of K_{Θ} and K_{S} in our parametrization study. A second issue that warrants comment 756 757 concerns the question of the impact upon mixing in the event that iso-surfaces of salinity 758 759 and temperature are not parallel and perpendicular to the local gravitational acceleration. 760 This is the circumstance that attends the existence of so-called thermohaline intrusions that have been suggested previously as an explanation (Bebieva & Timmermans (2017)) for the 761 thermohaline staircases observed in the polar oceans in regions where cold and fresh water 762 overlies relatively warm and salty water. Although our hypothesis in Ma & Peltier (2022) 763 764 obviated the need to invoke such exotic circumstances it is nevertheless that there continues to be interest in what the mixing properties might be in this situation (eg. see the model 765 of Middleton & Taylor (2020) as well as chapter 7 of Radko (2013) for a review). In this 766 circumstance the turbulent diffusivities K_{Θ} and K_S can differ with the irreversible diffusivities 767 K_{Θ}^{irr} and K_{S}^{irr} even if the system is in a quasi-steady state. 768

769 5.3. An algorithm for the determination of diapycnal diffusivities in the stratified turbulence

In the practical measurement of turbulence and mixing in the Arctic Ocean, there are generally 770 771 two most critical physical quantities that are especially important to understand: the diapycnal diffusivities for density K_{ρ} and the vertical heat flux F_H . In the recent work on direct or indirect 772 measurements in the Arctic Ocean (for example, Chanona et al. (2018), Chanona & Waterman 773 (2020), Scheifele *et al.* (2018), Scheifele *et al.* (2021), Dosser *et al.* (2021)), a critical level of $Re_b^{cr} = 10$ or $Re_b^{cr} = 20$ is usually chosen to differentiate the turbulent regimes from the 774 775 molecular regime. In the molecular regime the difference between the molecular diffusion 776 for temperature and salinity is identified so that $K_{\rho} = R_{\rho}/(R_{\rho} - 1)\kappa_s - 1/(R_{\rho} - 1)\kappa_{\theta}$. In 777 the turbulent regime, however, the canonical Osborn's formula $K_{\rho} = K_{\Theta} = 0.2\nu Re_b$ we 778 discussed in section 2 has been used to estimate both K_{ρ} and K_{Θ} . K_{Θ} is then further used to 779 780 estimate the heat flux.

Based on our DNS results, at least two major sources of systematic errors in this standard procedure may be identified in the determination of K_{ρ} based on the current algorithm described above. First, the water column density is mostly influenced by the salinity, whose diapycnal diffusivity K_{S}^{irr} has a $Re_{b}^{3/2}$ dependence in the vast range of buoyancy-controlled regime (0.17 < Re_{b} < 96) as predicted by taking Sc=700 in (5.1). Despite a smaller value 786 of Sc=70 applied in our DNS, our data confirmed that such 3/2 power law does exist in a wide range of the parameter space (5 < Re_b < 60). For such a wide range of Re_b (in 787 fact a significant proportion of the turbulent measurements in the Arctic lie in this range 788 of Re_b , see (Dosser *et al.* (2021) for example), the Osborn formula was suggesting a linear 789 dependence on Re_b by mistake thus can lead to a strong over-estimation of K_S^{irr} (considering 790 that $Re_b^{3/2}$ dependence and Re_b dependence overlaps at approximately $Re_b = 100$). Second, 791 even though K_{ρ}^{irr} is usually similar to K_{S}^{irr} as shown in the previous section, our rigorous 792 derivation in (2.14) shows that K_{ρ}^{irr} depends upon both K_{S}^{irr} and K_{Θ}^{irr} through the relationship 793 (2.14b). Therefore the true value of K_{ρ}^{irr} should be even smaller than the estimation from 794 K_{S}^{irr} , especially when R_{ρ} is low. Such differences of K_{ρ}^{irr} and K_{S}^{irr} are clearly apparent in our Figure 5. For the above reasons, the simplified algorithm that is currently used in 795 796 the oceanographic measurement literature can lead to a large overestimate of K_{ρ} due to the 797 existence of two error sources both of which exaggerate K_{ρ} . 798

Despite the systematic errors in K_{ρ} estimation mentioned above, the traditional method gives relatively better estimates in terms of the temperature diapycnal diffusivity K_{Θ} . In fact, at Pr=7 for the temperature field, BB's parametrization agrees with the canonical Osborn formula for a wide range of values of buoyancy Reynolds number ($9 < Re_b < 100$). However, an overestimation of K_{Θ} is still present at smaller Re_b ($Re_b < 9$) and therefore the estimation of the heatflux derived from K_{Θ} based on Osborn's formula may still lead to exaggeration in the low-turbulent environment.

Given our analysis above, we propose the following simple three-steps algorithm to be employed for evaluate the diapycnal diffusivities for density as well as heat-fluxes in the measurement in the Arctic:

1. Calculate K_S and K_{Θ} based on the parametrization of BB in (5.1). Replace K_S to molecular diffusivity κ_s once Re_b drops below a critical value of $Re_b^{cr} = 5$.

2. Using the vertical derivatives of scalars S_z and Θ_z to evaluate $R_\rho = \beta S_z / \alpha \Theta_z$ to calculate *K*_{\rho} in individual water columns based on (2.14b), which is restated here as:

$$K_{\rho} = \frac{R_{\rho}}{R_{\rho} - 1} K_{S} - \frac{1}{R_{\rho} - 1} K_{\Theta}.$$
(5.2)

3. Infer the heat flux F_H based Fick's law using the local temperature gradient Θ_z and the estimation of K_{Θ} from step 1.

In the above algorithm, a critical buoyancy Reynolds number Re_b^{cr} is kept in the first step by recognizing that BB parametrization may give overestimation on the K_S in the low Re_b regime. We expect this algorithm to be employed in future estimation of diapycnal diffusivities based on the measurements of viscous dissipation ratio.

820 6. Summary and Conclusions

813

In this paper we have investigated the growth and collapse of KH billows in a diffusive 821 822 convection environment using DNS. By employing a similar but appropriately extended methodology of analysis as that previously applied for analysis of the turbulence engendered 823 by KH wave breaking in the single component fluid case, we have demonstrated that the evo-824 lution of the KH billow has almost the same characteristics steps as in the single component 825 case. The two-dimensional primary KH billow first grows to its maximum amplitude after 826 which time the three-dimensional secondary shear-aligned convective instability starts to 827 828 develop which drive the system into a fully turbulent state; later the turbulence dissipates and the system returns to a laminar state. Although the background potential energy reservoir now 829

consists of two components, in which the temperature related background potential energy 830 BPE_{Θ} keeps releasing energy into turbulence and the salinity background potential energy 831 BPE_S keeps extracting this energy from the turbulence, these two processes are occurring 832 independently so that the diapycnal diffusivities (which represent the instantaneous mixing 833 rate) are independent of the density ratio R_{ρ} . In fact, we have demonstrated that K_{S}^{irr} and K_{Θ}^{irr} both are solely dependent on the buoyancy Reynolds number Re_b and such functional 834 835 dependence fits well with the previous parametrization of Bouffard & Boegman (2013). This 836 has allowed us to calibrate a method for the inference of turbulent heat flux based upon 837 results for singly-diffusing-species. Utilizing our three-step algorithm based on DNSs and 838 839 the parametrization of Bouffard & Boegman (2013), the systematic errors in the estimation of diapycnal diffusivity for density K_{ρ} is expected to be significantly reduced. 840

This work appears to represent a significantly original contribution to the understanding of 841 vertical mixing in the Arctic Ocean environment. One of the major obstacles in understanding 842 vertical mixing in the Arctic Ocean has been associated with the absence of an understanding 843 of the thermohaline staircase structures that frequently form and persist in certain regions. 844 The current state of understanding of Arctic Ocean staircases appears to be an awkward 845 amalgam of distinctly different explanations for mixing in regions in which staircases are 846 present (e.g. Timmermans et al. (2008)) and those regions in which staircases are absent. 847 In the latter regions it is always assumed that the absence of staircase is due a high level of 848 849 internal wave activity and turbulence induced by internal wave breaking (e.g. Dosser *et al.* (2021)). As we have discussed above, the simplified Osborn (1980)'s formula has been widely 850 applied in this case to infer mixing based on the dissipation rate measurements and our new 851 algorithm helps to significantly reduce the systematic errors in the estimation process. In 852 regions where staircases have formed, on the other hand, a different class of formulas have 853 been used to infer the diapycnal diffusivities which have strong dependent on the density 854 ratio R_{ρ} (e.g. Large *et al.* (1994), Kelley (1990)). In this scenario, the mixing are believed 855 to be determined by the molecular diffusivities for heat and salt in the sharp interfaces (e.g. 856 Linden & Shirtcliffe (1978), Carpenter et al. (2012)) that separate successive well mixed 857 regions in the staircase instead of being induced by dynamically driven turbulence. 858

These two different scenarios (to be applied in regions with/without staircases) have 859 recently been connected in the work of Ma & Peltier (2022) which demonstrated that the 860 formation of these staircase structure can be explained using a turbulence parametrization 861 scheme. Specifically speaking, Ma & Peltier (2022) showed that the layered structure arises 862 863 spontaneously in a system with constant gradients in the diffusive-convection environment by assuming that the diapycnal diffusivities for salt and heat in the Arctic region obey the 864 865 turbulent parametrization described by Bouffard & Boegman (2013). In the current work, we have further shown that the effectiveness of this fundamental assumption in Ma & Peltier 866 (2022) can be validated using detailed DNS analysis. Therefore, an accurate calibration of an 867 accurate turbulent parametrization scheme lies at the heart of understanding vertical mixing, 868 in both regions in which staircases are present and in regions where they absent. 869

In the future refinement of the turbulence parametrization we have developed using DNS 870 of breaking KH billows, a larger Reynolds number Re and higher Schmitt number Sc will 871 872 be applied in order to extend the simulations provided in this work. These critical nondimensional parameters are confined in our current DNSs due to the limitation on the 873 available computational resources. Use of a higher Re will lead to a broader range of Re_{b} in 874 the $K_{S}(K_{\Theta}) - Re_{b}$ diagram so that the parametrization of the energetic regime in Bouffard & 875 Boegman (2013)'s parametrization can be closely calibrated; and a higher Sc will make the 876 877 system more physically relevant so that the results can be directly compared with data from field measurements. It is also beneficial to study the stratified turbulence in the body-forced 878

Numbering	Lx	N_{x}	N_y	N_z	N_c	H_c	q
1	14.15	1120	399	595	315	2	1.143
2	14.15	1120	399	595	315	2	1.143
3	14.15	1120	399	595	315	2	1.143
4	14.15	1120	399	595	315	2	1.143
5	28.30	2240	399	595	315	2	1.143
6	14.31	1225	226	966	686	4	1.120
7	14.31	1225	427	847	511	3	1.098

Table 3: Detailed mesh information parameters for our DNSs.

system (e.g. Shih *et al.* (2005), Howland *et al.* (2020)) to test whether the same turbulent parametrization is applicable in that case.

Acknowledgements. The authors thank Leo Middleton and two other anonymous referees for helping us

improve the article. Financial support under the NSERC Discovery grant A9627 is gratefully acknowledged.

883 The computations on which this paper is based were performed on the Niagara cluster at the SciNet

High Performance Computing facility at the University of Toronto funded by the Canadian Foundation for

885 Innovation, the Province of Ontario and the University of Toronto.

886 Declaration of interests. The authors report no conflict of interest.

887 Author ORCID. Y. Ma, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5829-6196.

888 Appendix A. Determination of grid resolution using low-resolution simulations

The computational fluid dynamics solver Nek5000 supports a user-defined complex mesh 889 in direct numerical simulations. We utilize this flexible property of the solver to design our 890 mesh in such a way as to save computational power, as has also been applied in previous 891 works of Salehipour et al. (2015) and Ma & Peltier (2021). Specifically we have performed a 892 low-resolution simulation with a uniform grids at $574 \times 287 \times 798$ points previous to each of 893 our major simulations. The maximum dissipation rate at each depth level has been recorded in 894 the full evolution cycle of KH billow in these low-resolution simulations, according to which 895 the minimum Batchelor scale (for salinity) at each depth level is computed. The grid intervals 896 are then designed to contain N_c uniform grids in the central region of $-H_c \leq z \leq H_c$. In 897 regions above and below this central region, the vertical grid interval is uniformly stretched 898 by a fix percentage q between successive elements. Each element is then discretized using 899 8th (chosen for our simulations) order Lagrange polynomial interpolants (which means each 900 element effectively contains seven grids) as our implementation in Nek5000. The values of 901 H_c and q are selected in a way that the vertical grid intervals are everywhere below three times 902 the Batchelor's scale for salinity, see Figure 15 of Ma & Peltier (2021) for a visualization. 903 Meanwhile, the horizontal grid intervals are always selected to be the same as the uniform 904 grid interval in the central region to guarantee accuracy in the central region. The detailed 905

906 mesh information for each of our simulations are summarized in Table 3.

907 Appendix B. Settings and results of the secondary instability analysis

As mentioned in section 4.3 of the main text, we have performed a non-separable stability analysis to determine the nature of the three-dimensional instability that the system is subject

910 to. In this Appendix B we will briefly discuss the settings and the results of the stability

911 analysis.

Y. Ma and W. R. Peltier

912 Since the primary KH instability is two-dimensional, the fluid will keep evolving in a two-dimensional fashion until the onset of three-dimensional instabilities. Here, we assume 913 that the growth of such three-dimensional instabilities are much faster than the evolution of 914 two-dimensional KH billow. At a given time, we can treat the two-dimensional flow as a quasi-915 steady state that is "frozen" in time to analyze whether a given three-dimensional disturbance 916 will be strengthened or suppressed by the background two-dimensional flow. Specifically, we 917 918 assume the background field f(x, y, z) (velocity field, pressure field, temperature or the salinity field) at a given time t_0 is composed by a two-dimensional background state $\tilde{f}(x, z, t_0)$ and a 919 three-dimensional perturbation component $f_{3d}(x, y, z, t_0 + t)$. Here t has the time scale for the 920 growth of three-dimensional instability and based on our assumption we have $t \ll t_0$. We 921 further decompose the three-dimensional perturbation in the normal modes with a spanwise 922 923 wavenumber d and a complex growth-rate σ_{3d} , namely:

$$f(x, y, z, t) = \tilde{f}(x, z, t_0) + f_{3d}(x, y, z, t)$$
(B 1a)

$$f_{3d}(x, y, z, t_0 + t) = f_{3d}^{\dagger}(x, z, t_0)e^{idy + \sigma_{3d}t},$$
(B 1b)

By substituting such expansions for velocity, pressure, temperature and salinity fields into (3.2) and linearizing about the background state, we will arrive at a set of equations for the perturbation fields. The complex form of this equation set can be found in Klaassen & Peltier (1985) and the additional equation for salinity in our system is the same as for the temperature equation in Klaassen & Peltier (1985). By further expanding the two- dimensional scalar fields into a set of truncated orthogonal basis using a Galerkin method as:

$$u_{3d}^{\dagger} = \sum_{\lambda=-L}^{L} \sum_{\nu=0}^{N} u_{\lambda\nu} F_{\lambda\nu}, \qquad (B\,2a)$$

$$w_{3d}^{\dagger} = \sum_{\lambda=-L}^{L} \sum_{\nu=0}^{N} w_{\lambda\nu} G_{\lambda\nu}, \qquad (B\,2b)$$

$$\Theta_{3d}^{\dagger} = \sum_{\lambda = -L}^{L} \sum_{\nu=0}^{N} \Theta_{\lambda\nu} G_{\lambda\nu}, \qquad (B\,2c)$$

$$S_{3d}^{\dagger} = \sum_{\lambda = -L}^{L} \sum_{\nu=0}^{N} S_{\lambda\nu} G_{\lambda\nu}, \qquad (B \, 2d)$$

$$p_{3d}^{\dagger} = \sum_{\lambda=-L}^{L} \sum_{\nu=0}^{N} p_{\lambda\nu} F_{\lambda\nu}, \qquad (B\,2e)$$

where

$$F_{\lambda\nu} = e^{i\lambda\alpha x} cos(\frac{\nu\pi z}{L_z}), \tag{B 3a}$$

$$G_{\lambda\nu} = e^{i\lambda\alpha x} \sin(\frac{\nu\pi z}{L_z}) \tag{B3b}$$

are the orthogonal basis that satisfies the zero-vertical-derivative condition on both top and bottom boundaries ($z = 0, z = L_z$) and periodic boundary condition on stream-wise boundaries ($x = 0, x = L_x$). By substituting these expansions into the field equations and diagonalizing these equations by integrating over the two-dimensional domain after



Figure 9: Growth-rate (real part of σ_{3d}) of the fastest growing mode of the secondary instability as a function of spanwise wavenumber *d*.

multiplying $F_{\lambda\nu}^*$ or $G_{\lambda\nu}^*$ on the left-hand side, the original field equations will be transformed in the eigenvalue problem that takes the form of:

936
$$\sigma_{3d}V_i = A_{ii}V_i. \tag{B4}$$

Here *i* or *j* are indexes for the actual two-dimensional indices (λ, ν) that is constrained over the modified triangular scheme of Klaassen & Peltier (1985), namely of $2\lambda + \nu \leq N$ where *N* is an odd integer. In this work we set N = 33 and use the standard MATLAB routine to solve this two-dimensional matrix for the eigenvalue problem to obtain the eigenvalue σ_{3d} as the complex growth-rate and the eigenvector V_i as the fastest growing mode.

In Figure 9, we plot the growth-rate (real part of σ_{3d}) as a function of spanwise wavenumber 942 d for the simulation number 6 with J = 0.05 and $R_{\rho} = 2$ at $t = t_{2d}$. We specifically choose the 943 944 simulation number 6 to demonstrate because it has the smallest bulk Richardson number as well as the smallest density ratio among all our simulations. Therefore the double-diffusive 945 effect of simulation number 6, if it is important, will be the strongest in all simulations. 946 However, in Figure 9 we see that the fastest growing wavelength has its peak at approximately 947 d = 4.3 which remains consistent with the characteristics of the classical shear aligned 948 949 secondary convective instability described in Klaassen & Peltier (1985) or Peltier & Caulfield (2003). Furthermore, the eigenfunction of the fastest growing mode at t_{2d} for salinity and 950 temperature separately is plotted in Figure 10 (c)(d), to be compared with the cross-section 951 salinity and temperature field at the same time in Figure 10 (a)(b). From these comparisons it 952 can be clearly seen that the most unstable mode for both temperature and salinity focuses on 953 the statistically unstable region of the primary KH billow. Therefore we have shown that the 954 secondary instability that the system will develop is still the classical secondary convective 955 instability described in Klaassen & Peltier (1985). 956



Figure 10: Cross section at y=0 for salinity (a) and temperature field (b) at the t_{2d} , compared with the fastest growing eigenfunction for the salinity field (c) and temperature field (d).

REFERENCES

- BEBIEVA, YANA & TIMMERMANS, MARY-LOUISE 2017 The relationship between double-diffusive intrusions
 and staircases in the arctic ocean. *Journal of Physical Oceanography* 47 (4), 867–878.
- BOUFFARD, DAMIEN & BOEGMAN, LEON 2013 A diapycnal diffusivity model for stratified environmental
 flows. *Dynamics of Atmospheres and Oceans* 61, 14–34.
- BOURGAULT, D, HAMEL, C, CYR, F, TREMBLAY, J-É, GALBRAITH, PS, DUMONT, D & GRATTON, Y 2011
 Turbulent nitrate fluxes in the amundsen gulf during ice-covered conditions. *Geophysical Research Letters* 38 (15).
- BROWN, JUSTIN M & RADKO, TIMOUR 2021 Diffusive staircases in shear: Dynamics and heat transport.
 Journal of Physical Oceanography 51 (6), 1915–1928.
- CARO, GREGORY P 2009 Direct numerical simulations of diffusive staircases in the arctic. *Tech. Rep.*. NAVAL
 POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL MONTEREY CA.
- 968 CARPENTER, JR, SOMMER, T & WÜEST, A 2012 Simulations of a double-diffusive interface in the diffusive
 969 convection regime. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* 711, 411–436.
- CAULFIELD, CP 2021 Layering, instabilities, and mixing in turbulent stratified flows. *Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics* 53, 113–145.

- CAULFIELD, CP & PELTIER, WR 2000 The anatomy of the mixing transition in homogeneous and stratified
 free shear layers. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* 413, 1–47.
- CHANONA, MELANIE & WATERMAN, STEPHANIE 2020 Temporal variability of internal wave-driven mixing
 in two distinct regions of the arctic ocean. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans* 125 (10),
 e2020JC016181.
- CHANONA, MELANIE, WATERMAN, STEPHANIE & GRATTON, YVES 2018 Variability of internal wave-driven
 mixing and stratification in canadian arctic shelf and shelf-slope waters. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans* 123 (12), 9178–9195.
- CORCOS, GILLES M & SHERMAN, FREDERICK S 1976 Vorticity concentration and the dynamics of unstable
 free shear layers. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* 73 (2), 241–264.
- DAVIS, PA & PELTIER, WR 1979 Some characteristics of the kelvin-helmholtz and resonant overreflection
 modes of shear flow instability and of their interaction through vortex pairing. *Journal of Atmospheric Sciences* 36 (12), 2394–2412.
- DOSSER, HV, CHANONA, M, WATERMAN, S, SHIBLEY, NC & TIMMERMANS, M-L 2021 Changes in internal
 wave-driven mixing across the arctic ocean: Finescale estimates from an 18-year pan-arctic record.
 Geophysical Research Letters 48 (8), e2020GL091747.
- FISCHER, PAUL F 1997 An overlapping schwarz method for spectral element solution of the incompressible
 navier–stokes equations. *Journal of Computational Physics* 133 (1), 84–101.
- FISCHER, PAUL F, KRUSE, GERALD W & LOTH, FRANCIS 2002 Spectral element methods for transitional flows
 in complex geometries. *Journal of Scientific Computing* 17 (1), 81–98.
- FLANAGAN, JASON D, LEFLER, ANGELA S & RADKO, TIMOUR 2013 Heat transport through diffusive interfaces.
 Geophysical Research Letters 40 (10), 2466–2470.
- GARGETT, ANN E, MERRYFIELD, WILLIAM J & HOLLOWAY, GREG 2003 Direct numerical simulation
 of differential scalar diffusion in three-dimensional stratified turbulence. *Journal of Physical Oceanography* 33 (8), 1758–1782.
- GREGG, MICHAEL CHARLES, D'ASARO, ERIC A, RILEY, JAMES J & KUNZE, ERIC 2018 Mixing efficiency in
 the ocean. Annual review of marine science 10, 443–473.
- HOWLAND, CHRISTOPHER J, TAYLOR, JOHN R & CAULFIELD, CP 2020 Mixing in forced stratified turbulence
 and its dependence on large-scale forcing. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* 898.
- JACKSON, P RYAN & REHMANN, CHRIS R 2003 Laboratory measurements of differential diffusion in a diffusively stable, turbulent flow. *Journal of Physical Oceanography* 33 (8), 1592–1603.
- JACKSON, P RYAN & REHMANN, CHRIS R 2009 Theory for differential transport of scalars in sheared stratified
 turbulence. *Journal of fluid mechanics* 621, 1–21.
- KELLEY, DAN E 1990 Fluxes through diffusive staircases: A new formulation. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans 95 (C3), 3365–3371.
- KIMURA, SATOSHI, SMYTH, WILLIAM & KUNZE, ERIC 2011 Turbulence in a sheared, salt-fingering-favorable
 environment: Anisotropy and effective diffusivities. *Journal of physical oceanography* 41 (6), 1144–
 1159.
- KLAASSEN, GP & PELTIER, WR 1985 The onset of turbulence in finite-amplitude kelvin–helmholtz billows.
 Journal of Fluid Mechanics 155, 1–35.
- KLAASSEN, GP & PELTIER, WR 1989 The role of transverse secondary instabilities in the evolution of free
 shear layers. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* 202, 367–402.
- LARGE, WILLIAM G, MCWILLIAMS, JAMES C & DONEY, SCOTT C 1994 Oceanic vertical mixing: A review and
 a model with a nonlocal boundary layer parameterization. *Reviews of geophysics* 32 (4), 363–403.
- 1016 LINDEN, PF & SHIRTCLIFFE, TGL 1978 The diffusive interface in double-diffusive convection. *Journal of* 1017 *Fluid Mechanics* **87** (3), 417–432.
- MA, YUCHEN & PELTIER, WR 2021 Parametrization of irreversible diapycnal diffusivity in salt-fingering
 turbulence using DNS. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* 911.
- 1020 MA, YUCHEN & PELTIER, WR 2022 Thermohaline staircase formation in the diffusive convection regime: a 1021 theory based upon stratified turbulence asymptotics. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* **931**.
- MARMORINO, GEORGE O & CALDWELL, DOUGLAS R 1976 Heat and salt transport through a diffusive thermohaline interface. In *Deep Sea Research and Oceanographic Abstracts*, vol. 23, pp. 59–67.
 Elsevier.
- MASHAYEK, A & PELTIER, WR 2011 Three-dimensionalization of the stratified mixing layer at high reynolds
 number. *Physics of Fluids* 23 (11), 111701.
- 1027 MASHAYEK, A & PELTIER, WR 2012a The 'zoo'of secondary instabilities precursory to stratified shear

- 1028flow transition. part 1 shear aligned convection, pairing, and braid instabilities. Journal of Fluid1029Mechanics 708, 5–44.
- MASHAYEK, A & PELTIER, WR 2012b The 'zoo'of secondary instabilities precursory to stratified shear flow
 transition. part 2 the influence of stratification. *Journal of fluid mechanics* **708**, 45–70.
- MASHAYEK, A & PELTIER, WR 2013 Shear-induced mixing in geophysical flows: does the route to turbulence
 matter to its efficiency? *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* 725, 216–261.
- 1034 MERRYFIELD, WILLIAM J 2005 Dependence of differential mixing on n and r ρ . Journal of physical 1035 oceanography **35** (6), 991–1003.
- MIDDLETON, LEO & TAYLOR, JOHN R 2020 A general criterion for the release of background potential energy
 through double diffusion. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* 893.
- OSBORN, TR 1980 Estimates of the local rate of vertical diffusion from dissipation measurements. *Journal of physical oceanography* **10** (1), 83–89.
- PADMAN, LAURIE & DILLON, THOMAS M 1987 Vertical heat fluxes through the beaufort sea thermohaline
 staircase. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans 92 (C10), 10799–10806.
- PALMER, TERESA L, FRITTS, DAVID C, ANDREASSEN, ØYVIND & LIE, IVAR 1994 Three-dimensional evolution
 of kelvin-helmholtz billows in stratified compressible flow. *Geophysical research letters* 21 (21),
 2287–2290.
- PATTERSON, MICHAEL D, CAULFIELD, CP, MCELWAINE, JN & DALZIEL, SB 2006 Time-dependent mixing in
 stratified kelvin-helmholtz billows: Experimental observations. *Geophysical research letters* 33 (15).
- 1047 PAUL F. FISCHER, JAMES W. LOTTES & KERKEMEIER, STEFAN G. 2008 nek5000 Web page. 1048 Http://nek5000.mcs.anl.gov.
- PELTIER, WR & CAULFIELD, CP 2003 Mixing efficiency in stratified shear flows. Annual review of fluid
 mechanics 35 (1), 135–167.
- PELTIER, W RICHARD, MA, YUCHEN & CHANDAN, DEEPAK 2020 The kpp trigger of rapid amoc intensification in the nonlinear dansgaard-oeschger relaxation oscillation. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans* 1053 125 (5), e2019JC015557.
- PIERREHUMBERT, RT & WIDNALL, SE0479 1982 The two-and three-dimensional instabilities of a spatially
 periodic shear layer. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* 114, 59–82.
- 1056 RADKO, TIMOUR 2013 Double-diffusive convection. Cambridge University Press.
- RAHMANI, MONA, SEYMOUR, BR & LAWRENCE, GA 2016 The effect of prandtl number on mixing in low
 reynolds number kelvin-helmholtz billows. *Physics of Fluids* 28 (5), 054107.
- REHMANN, CHRIS R & KOSEFF, JEFFREY R 2004 Mean potential energy change in stratified grid turbulence.
 Dynamics of atmospheres and oceans 37 (4), 271–294.
- SALEHIPOUR, HESAM & PELTIER, WR 2015 Diapycnal diffusivity, turbulent prandtl number and mixing
 efficiency in boussinesq stratified turbulence. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* 775, 464–500.
- SALEHIPOUR, H, PELTIER, WR & MASHAYEK, A 2015 Turbulent diapycnal mixing in stratified shear flows:
 the influence of prandtl number on mixing efficiency and transition at high reynolds number. *Journal* of Fluid Mechanics 773, 178–223.
- 1066 SCHEIFELE, BENJAMIN, WATERMAN, STEPHANIE & CARPENTER, JEFFREY R 2021 Turbulence and mixing in 1067 the arctic ocean's amundsen gulf. *Journal of Physical Oceanography* **51** (1), 169–186.
- SCHEIFELE, BENJAMIN, WATERMAN, STEPHANIE, MERCKELBACH, LUCAS & CARPENTER, JEFFREY R 2018
 Measuring the dissipation rate of turbulent kinetic energy in strongly stratified, low-energy
 environments: A case study from the arctic ocean. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans* 123 (8),
 5459–5480.
- SHARQAWY, MH, LIENHARD, VJH & ZUBAIR, SM 2010 The thermophysical properties of seawater: a review of existing correlations and data accessed thermophysical properties of seawater: a review of existing correlations and data, desalin. water treat. 16 (2010) 354–380.
- SHAW, WILLIAM J & STANTON, TIMOTHY P 2014 Vertical diffusivity of the western arctic ocean halocline.
 Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans 119 (8), 5017–5038.
- 1077 SHIBLEY, NC, TIMMERMANS, M-L, CARPENTER, JR & TOOLE, JM 2017 Spatial variability of the arctic 1078 ocean's double-diffusive staircase. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans* **122** (2), 980–994.
- SHIH, LUCINDA H, KOSEFF, JEFFREY R, IVEY, GREGORY N & FERZIGER, JOEL H 2005 Parameterization
 of turbulent fluxes and scales using homogeneous sheared stably stratified turbulence simulations.
 Journal of Fluid Mechanics 525, 193–214.
- SHROYER, EMILY L 2012 Turbulent kinetic energy dissipation in barrow canyon. Journal of physical oceanography 42 (6), 1012–1021.

- SMYTH, WD & KIMURA, S 2011 Mixing in a moderately sheared salt-fingering layer. *Journal of physical oceanography* 41 (7), 1364–1384.
- SMYTH, WD, NASH, JD & MOUM, JN 2005 Differential diffusion in breaking kelvin–helmholtz billows.
 Journal of Physical Oceanography 35 (6), 1004–1022.
- 1088ST. LAURENT, LOUIS & SCHMITT, RAYMOND W 1999 The contribution of salt fingers to vertical mixing in1089the north atlantic tracer release experiment. Journal of Physical Oceanography 29 (7), 1404–1424.
- STAQUET, CHANTAL 1995 Two-dimensional secondary instabilities in a strongly stratified shear layer. *Journal* of Fluid Mechanics 296, 73–126.
- STAQUET, C 2000 Mixing in a stably stratified shear layer: two-and three-dimensional numerical experiments.
 Fluid Dynamics Research 27 (6), 367.
- 1094 THORPE, SA 1973 Experiments on instability and turbulence in a stratified shear flow. *Journal of Fluid* 1095 *Mechanics* 61 (4), 731–751.
- TIMMERMANS, M-L, TOOLE, J, KRISHFIELD, R & WINSOR, P 2008 Ice-tethered profiler observations of the double-diffusive staircase in the canada basin thermocline. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans* 1098 113 (C1).
- WINANT, CLINTON D & BROWAND, FRED K 1974 Vortex pairing: the mechanism of turbulent mixing-layer
 growth at moderate reynolds number. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* 63 (2), 237–255.
- WINTERS, KRAIG B & D'ASARO, ERIC A 1996 Diascalar flux and the rate of fluid mixing. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* 317, 179–193.
- 1103 WINTERS, KRAIG B, LOMBARD, PETER N, RILEY, JAMES J & D'ASARO, ERIC A 1995 Available potential 1104 energy and mixing in density-stratified fluids. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* **289**, 115–128.