Occurrence rates of SuperDARN ground scatter echoes and electron density in the ionosphere

Alexander V. Koustov¹, Sydney Ullrich², Pavlo V. Ponomarenko¹, Mehdi Ghalamkarian Nejad¹, David R. Themens³, and Robert G. Gillies⁴

¹University of Saskatchewan ²Department of Physics and Engineering Physics ³University of Birmingham ⁴University of Calgary

November 23, 2022

Abstract

Ground scatter (GS) echoes in Super Dual Auroral Radar Network (SuperDARN) observations have been always expected to occur under high-enough electron density in the ionosphere providing sufficient bending of HF radio wave paths toward the ground. In this study we provide direct evidence statistically supporting this notion by comparing the GS occurrence rate for the Rankin Inlet SuperDARN radar and the F region peak electron density N_mF_2 measured at Resolute Bay by the CADI ionosonde and incoherent scatter radars RISR-N/C. We show that the occurrence rate increases with N_mF_2 roughly linearly up to about $^{-4}\cdot10^{11}$ m⁻³, and the trend saturates at larger N_mF_2 . One expected consequence of this relationship is correlation in seasonal and solar cycle variations of the GS echo occurrence rate and N_mF_2 . GS occurrence rates for a number of SuperDARN radars at middle latitudes, in the auroral zone and in the polar cap are considered separately for daytime and nighttime. The data indicate that the daytime occurrence rates are maximized in winter and nighttime occurrence rates are maximized in summer for middle latitude and auroral zone radars in the Northern Hemisphere, consistent with the Winter Anomaly (WA) phenomenon. The effect is most evident in the North American and Japanize sectors, and the quality of WA signatures deteriorates in the European and, especially, in the Australian sectors. The effect does not exist in the South American sector and in the polar caps of both hemispheres.

1 Title: Occurrence rates of SuperDARN ground scatter echoes and electron density in the

2 ionosphere

- 3 Alexander V. Koustov
- 4 Department of Physics and Engineering Physics, University of Saskatchewan, Saskatoon,
- 5 Saskatchewan, Canada
- 6 e-mail: <u>sasha.koustov@usask.ca</u>
- 7
- 8 Sydney Ullrich
- 9 Department of Physics and Engineering Physics, University of Saskatchewan, Saskatoon,
- 10 Saskatchewan, Canada
- 11 e-mail: <u>sju861@mail.usask.ca</u>
- 12
- 13 Pavlo V. Ponomarenko
- 14 Department of Physics and Engineering Physics, University of Saskatchewan, Saskatoon,
- 15 Saskatchewan, Canada
- 16 e-mail: <u>pasha.ponomarenko@usask.ca</u>
- 17
- 18 Mehdi Ghalamkarian Nejad
- 19 Department of Physics and Engineering Physics, University of Saskatchewan, Saskatoon,
- 20 Saskatchewan, Canada
- 21 e-mail: meg698@mail.usask.ca
- 22
- 23 David R. Themens
- 24 Department of Physics, University of New Brunswick, Fredericton, NB, Canada and
- 25 Space Environment and Radio Engineering Group, School of Engineering, University of
- 26 Birmingham, Birmingham, UK
- 27 e-mail: <u>david.themens@gmail.com</u>
- 28
- 29 Robert G. Gillies
- 30 Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Calgary, Calgary, Alberta, Canada
- 31 e-mail: <u>rgillies@ucalgary.ca</u>
- 32 Correspondence to: A.V. Koustov, sasha.koustov@usask.ca

- 34
- 35
- 36
- 37
- 38 39
- 40
- 41
- 42
- 43
- 44

45	
46	Key points
47	
48 49	• Occurrence rate of SuperDARN grounds scatter increases with peak electron density increase. The trend saturates at large electron densities
50	
51 52	• Midlatitude SuperDARN radars show dayside maxima in occurrence of ground scatter in winter, consistent with winter anomaly phenomenon
53	
54 55	• Polar cap SuperDARN radars show summer maxima in occurrence of ground scatter both at daytime and nighttime
56	day time and fightime
57	
58	
59 60	Keywords: midlatitude winter anomaly, electron density, SuperDARN radars, ground scatter
61	occurrence rate, meonerent scatter radars Risk, er Drionosonae
62	
63	
64	Abstract
65	
65 67	base been always expected to occur under high-enough electron density in the ionosphere
68	providing sufficient bending of HF radio wave naths toward the ground. In this study we provide
69	direct evidence statistically supporting this notion by comparing the GS occurrence rate for the
70	Rankin Inlet SuperDARN radar and the F region peak electron density $N_m F_2$ measured at Resolute
71	Bay by the CADI ionosonde and incoherent scatter radars RISR-N/C. We show that the occurrence
72	rate increases with $N_{\rm m}F_2$ roughly linearly up to about ~ $4 \cdot 10^{11} {\rm m}^{-3}$ and the trend saturates at larger
73	$N F_{\rm e.}$ One expected consequence of this relationship is correlation in seasonal and solar cycle
74	$V_m^2 = 0$ one enpotence consequence of the relationship is conclusion in second and some open variations of the GS echo occurrence rate and $N = GS$ occurrence rates for a number of
74	variations of the GS cento occurrence rate and $N_m T_2$. GS occurrence rates for a number of SuperDADN reders at middle latitudes in the superplaces and in the polar concerns and the polar con
75 76	separately for daytime and nighttime. The data indicate that the daytime occurrence rates are
77	maximized in winter and nighttime occurrence rates are maximized in summer for middle latitude
78	and auroral zone radars in the Northern Hemisphere, consistent with the Winter Anomaly (WA)
79 80	quality of WA signatures deteriorates in the European and especially in the Australian sectors
81	The effect does not exist in the South American sector and in the polar caps of both hemispheres.
82	
83	
84	
85	
0.0	
86	

88 Introduction

89

High-frequency (HF) radio waves transmitted into the Earth's ionosphere can experience
significant refraction or even be reflected from ionospheric layers of enhanced ionization so that
they turn to the ground and reach it some distance away from a transmitter. The wave energy then
can be either forwarded farther back into space or returned to the transmitter via scattering from
roughness of the Earth's surface. Such returned signals are often called ground scatter (GS), e.g.
Davis (1969). GS is a ubiquitous feature of Super Dual Auroral Radar Network (SuperDARN) HF

- 96 radar records (Chisham et al., 2007; Nishitani et al., 2019).
- 97

Ground scatter echoes detected by SuperDARN have been extensively used for studies of various
ionospheric phenomena, for example for assessing gravity waves' parameters and locating their
sources (Karhunen et al., 2006; Samson et al., 1990), for investigation of the medium-scale
travelling ionospheric disturbances at middle latitudes (Grocott et al., 2013; Frissell et al., 2014;
2016; Oinats et al., 2016), the nature of geomagnetic pulsations (Ponomarenko et al., 2003; 2005)
and regional anomalies in the electron density distribution in the ionosphere (de Larquier et al.,

2011; Milan et al., 2013). GS echoes are also useful for estimates of the electron density in the

- 105 ionosphere (André et al., 1998; Bland et al., 2014).
- 106

Despite decades of SuperDARN research and numerous publications involving ground scatter 107 (e.g., reviews by Chisham et al., 2007 and Nishitani et al., 2019) and generally well-accepted 108 109 mechanism of such signals' formation, several aspects of these echo observations are still not well investigated and understood. Quantitative information on the occurrence of GS echoes at various 110 latitudes has not been widely discussed, contrary to the case of ionospheric echoes (e.g., 111 Ghezelbash, 2013; Ghezelbash et al., 2014a,b). This is, perhaps, because the primary goal of the 112 SuperDARN radar experiment is plasma flow monitoring, and GS is literally a nuisance in 113 achieving this goal. One interesting and important topic in SuperDARN GS research is occurrence 114 rate of these echoes and factors affecting their onset. Of interest are the solar cycle, seasonal and 115 diurnal variations of GS echo occurrence (e.g., Marcucci et al., 2021). 116

117

It has also been recognized that additional HF radio wave absorption in the D region is another 118 key factor to be taken into consideration (Chakraborty et al., 2018; Fiori et al., 2018; Watanabe & 119 Nishitani, 2013). An important role of the Earth's surface roughness in returning radio waves back 120 to a radar has been emphasized (Barrell et al., 2016; Ponomarenko et al., 2009; Shand et al., 1998) 121 but quantitative assessment of the effect is not an easy task. In terms of factors affecting GS echo 122 occurrence rate, it has always been accepted that radio wayes turning towards the ground is a key 123 process for detection of GS. However, the ionospheric electron density "threshold" required for 124 steady detection of SuperDARN GS echoes has never been discussed. All-in-all, the threshold 125 conditions in the ionosphere for detection of SuperDARN GS echoes require investigation. 126

127

128 The present paper has two major goals. One is to establish statistically whether GS occurrence rate

- increases with the electron density in the ionosphere. We target GS echo detection via the *F* region and possible correlation with the peak electron density $N_m F_2$. Our second goal is to investigate
- and possible conclution with the peak electron density $W_m T_2$. Our second goar is to investigate
- 131 long-term trends in the occurrence of SuperDARN GS echoes, such as solar cycle and seasonal

132 variations, and to establish whether these trends are consistent with changes of $N_m F_2$. We focus

133 on differences between daytime and nighttime GS echo occurrence rates as these observations are

affected by the roughness and conductivity of the Earth's surface similarly. We group

SuperDARN radars according to their field-of-view (FOV) location, at middle latitudes, auroral rone latitudes and at extreme high latitudes, in the polar cap

- 2010 zone latitudes and at extreme high latitudes, in the polar cap.
- 137

138 **2. Modeling of ground scatter signals**

For better understanding of the issues addressed in this study, we give a brief description of HFGS radar signal formation.

141

HF radio waves transmitted into the ionosphere experience refraction controlled by the electron 142 density distribution in the ionosphere. To evaluate possible paths of waves, a 3-D analysis is 143 generally required, but many major features of HF radio wave propagation in the ionosphere can 144 be illustrated by considering a 2-D model of the electron density distribution with changes only 145 vertically and with the distance from a radar. In a case of smooth spatial variations, such as those 146 147 given by statistical ionospheric models (e.g., the E-CHAIM model, Themens et al. (2017, 2019)), the application of Snell's law is straightforward for ray tracing. The tracings presented below have 148 been done with one additional effect. The ionosphere contains inhomogeneities of various scales. 149 150 They affect radio wave paths locally and can potentially introduce significant deviations of radio wave paths from those expected for a "smooth" ionosphere (e.g., Uspensky et al., 1993). Such 151 effects are typically ignored in HF propagation analysis because detailed information on localized 152 inhomogeneities is seldom available. In our ray tracings, we included their effects by introducing 153 a random local tilt of an ionospheric layer at a refraction point of a layer, at every step of 154 calculations, and allowing random departures of the tilt from the large-scale density trend given 155 by the E-CHAIM model. The random tilts were assigned according to Gaussian distribution with 156 157 zero mean and width of 1° .

158

Figure 1 shows possible radio wave paths in the ionosphere for the Rankin Inlet (RKN) 159 SuperDARN radar along its beam 7 for the transmitter frequency of 12 MHz. Two extreme cases 160 are considered, with low electron density (Figure 1a, 00 UT, local dusk) and high electron density 161 (Figure 1b, 18 UT, local noon). The electron density distributions (2-D) were adopted according 162 163 to the E-CHAIM electron density model (Themens et al., 2017, 2019) for 10 March 2016. The E-CHAIM based electron density were multiplied by a factor of 1.25 at every height to achieve 164 qualitative overall agreement between the modeled and observed bands of GS and ionospheric 165 echo bands. In Figure 1, white and red markers correspond to group range gates 0, 10, 20... and 166 5, 15, 25 ..., respectively. We remind the reader that the slant range to an echoing region in 167 SuperDARN observations is computed as $range(km) = 180 + 45 \times (range gate)$. Yellow markers at 168 ionospheric heights in Figure 1 are locations from where ionospheric echoes can be detected if 169 170 decameter irregularities are present. Magenta markers on the ground are those locations from where a ground scatter signal can be received. 171



174

Figure 1: Ray tracing for 12 MHz radio waves transmitted by the Rankin Inlet (RKN) radar 175 along its beam 7. The 2-D electron density distribution, represented by the color contours, is 176 according to the E-CHAIM electron density model (Themens et al., 2017, 2019) with all values 177 for (a) 00 UT and (b) 18 UT on 10 March 2016 increased by 1.25. White and red markers 178 179 correspond to group range gates 0, 10, 20 ... and 5, 15, 25..., respectively. Yellow markers at ionospheric heights mark those locations from where the ionospheric echoes can be detected 180 while magenta markers on the ground are those locations from where the ground scatter echoes 181 can be detected. 182

183

184

Figure 1a for dusk conditions shows that while ionospheric echoes can come anywhere from range 185 gates 25 and 40, the GS echoes are expected from range gates 45-60. Figure 1b for noon conditions 186 shows that both ionospheric and GS echoes are generally coming from shorter ranges. 187 Interestingly, GS echoes can be received via *E* region (~100 km heights), as well as via *F* region 188 (~200 km). This is because the electron density is larger during daytime, an easily recognizable 189 190 feature from the electron density color contours in Figures 1a and 1b at heights around ~300 km. Distinguishing GS from the E and F regions in observations is difficult during these periods 191 because the range gates can be close to each other. 192

193

194 To model the GS echo power distribution with range, we performed a number of tracings so that

the total number of wave ground hits would be on the order of ~1000. The average power of echoes in each 45-km gate along the radar beam was then computed by assuming that the power is proportional to the number of rays reached this specific range gate and assuming that the radio
wave power decays inversely proportional to the cube of the range. Contributions from high and
low beams were summed together.

200

Figure 2 shows the range gate distribution of the expected GS echo power (expressed in dBs from 201 an arbitrary level) at two radar frequencies of 10 and 12 MHz and for 4 periods, local dusk (00 202 UT), midnight (06 UT), dawn (12 UT) and noon (18 UT) for the event considered. All plots show 203 that the echo power range profile has a maximum at shorter ranges within every band, and the echo 204 power decays more slowly at farther ranges. For noon (18 UT), a second 12 MHz band at larger 205 ranges is seen. All the plots also show that 10 MHz echo bands are shifted toward shorter ranges 206 207 by \sim 5 range gates. Finally, the plots show that the bands as a whole are shifted toward smaller range gates at noon as compared to other time sectors. The last two features are expected because 208 the electron density is largest on the dayside for the RKN field of view. 209 210



211 212



bottom corner of each panel indicate the total number of rays that formed the ground scatter

range profiles at 10 and 12 MHz, respectively.

3. A comparison of the peak electron density in the ionosphere and occurrence of ground scatter

223

224 We explore now whether an increase in the peak electron density in the ionospheric F region $N_m F_2$

correlates with an increase in the occurrence rate of GS signals detected by the Rankin Inlet

SuperDARN radar. The reason for the RKN radar selection is that, within its field of view, routine measurements of $N_m F_2$ are carried out at Resolute Bay (RB) with CADI ionosonde (Jayachandran

et al., 2009) and occasional experiments are carried out with the Resolute Incoherent Scatter Radars, RISR-North and RISR-Canada (Gillies et al., 2019). The RB zenith corresponds to the

RKN range gate 26 at the height of \sim 300 km. Another reason for this radar selection is that sporadic

- E layers, occasionally blocking HF radio waves' access to the F region (Cameron et al., 2022) are
- not a frequent phenomenon in the polar cap (McDougall et al., 2000), especially on the nightside.
- 233

Since RKN GS echoes are usually detected in a wide band of range gates, from 10 to 50-60, a 234 235 better coverage with electron density measurements would be beneficial for the issue under investigation but none of the SuperDARN radars have such a desired coverage and only few have 236 one or two ionosondes. Another important feature of the RKN radar is that its antennae has 237 specially-installed wire mesh at the back of the antenna so that the radar mostly detects echoes 238 from the antennae front side. This removes one of the uncertainties in SuperDARN GS 239 measurements (Burrell et al., 2018). In this study, the GS was identified according to the standard 240 method introduced by Blanchard et al. (2009). 241

242

For the comparison, first, RB CADI ionosonde observations from 2007 to 2019 were considered with critical frequencies f_0F_2 being scaled from ionograms collected typically with 15-min resolution, except for special experiments (for example, joint experiments with the RISR radars)

- for which up to 1-min ionograms were available.
- 247

A second and independent data set was created by considering RISR electron density profiles (2016-2019) for both the WorldDay mode(11-beam experiments) and the Imaging mode (51-beam experiments) measurements with 5-min resolution and with beams at elevation angles above 55° so that the data would correspond to the RB zenith. Individual electron density profiles from multiple beams were averaged and then fit with a polynomial of the 3-rd order near the profile maximum to identify the peak electron density.

254

The occurrence rate of RKN GS echoes in every 15-min interval of a day were computed for every range gate and beams 4-6 oriented toward RB (see maps in Koustov et al., 2020a,b, Figure 2). The rates were computed as the ratio of the number of detected echoes over the total number of

transmissions in specific beams). The rates were matched with the $N_m F_2$ inferred from either

- 259 CADI or RISR observations.
- 260

Two time sectors of the comparison were selected for presentation - nighttime (03-09 UT) and daytime (15-21 UT) reflecting extremes in terms of sunlight/ionospheric conditions. Figure 3

- summarizes results of the comparison, separately for nighttime (left column) and daytime (right
- column). Color panels of Figures 3a and 3b show GS occurrence rate as a function of $N_m F_2$ (in

steps of $0.25 \cdot 10^{11} \text{ m}^{-3}$). One visible effect on both panels is generally larger range gate numbers of bands with enhanced GS occurrence rate at night. Also noticeable is a progressively larger shift of the echo bands closer to the radar at larger $N_m F_2$. Both effects are expected, as discussed in the previous section (Figure 2).

269



- 270 271
- 272

Figure 3: Ground scatter (GS) occurrence rate (over 15-min intervals) for the Rankin Inlet (RKN) 273 SuperDARN radar observations in beams 4-6 at various range gates as a function of the F region 274 peak electron density $N_m F_2$ measured concurrently by the Resolute Bay CADI ionosonde (a) for 275 nighttime (03-09 UT) and (b) daytime (15-21 UT). Solid white lines indicate the number of 276 available joint points (counts were divided by 40). (c) Line plot of the average GS occurrence rate 277 over the band of ranges (gates 30-50 for nighttime and 20-40 for daytime), given as a solid line, 278 versus $N_m F_2$ for joint observations with CADI at (c) nighttime and (d) daytime. $N_m F_2$ bins of 279 0.25×10^{11} m⁻³ were implemented. Dashed lines in all panels show the same dependence but for joint 280 observations of the RKN and RISR radars. 281

282

To explore the correlation of GS occurrence rate upon $N_m F_2$ in a more quantitative manner, we show by solid lines the average occurrence rate over the band of range gates 30-50 on the nightside and 20-40 on the dayside, Figures 3c and 3d, respectively. The slightly different span of echo bands for nighttime and daytime were chosen because of the mentioned above general difference in the band locations.



- 291 ~ $4 \cdot 10^{11} \text{ m}^{-3}$. The level of saturation is higher at nighttime. Unfortunately, for both plots, the 292 number of joint observations drops down dramatically at highest $N_m F_2$, see white lines reflecting 293 the number of CADI-RKN measurements (the actual values were reduced by 40 times, and the 294 scale on the left can be used to evaluate the actual numbers). The data coverage can be considered 295 as reasonable for $N_m F_2$ up to ~ $5 \cdot 10^{11} \text{ m}^{-3}$ with the number of points in individual pixels reaching 296 ~100.
- 297
- Similar analysis and assessment have been done for joint RISR RKN data set (color panels are 298 not presented in Figure 3). The average occurrence rates over the bands of enhanced GS occurrence 299 are presented in Figures 3c and 3d by red dashed lines. The number of points for this comparison 300 is shown in Figures 3a and 3b by dashed red lines (the actual values were reduced by 40 times, 301 and the scale on the left can be used to evaluate the actual numbers). These observations cover low 302 end of values involved in the comparison with CADI. The occurrence rate- $N_m F_2$ curves for the 303 RISR-RKN comparison are both similar to those obtained for the CADI-RKN comparison, see 304 Figures 3c and 3d. Unfortunately, no RISR data above $\sim 4 \cdot 10^{11} \text{ m}^{-3}$ are available so that whether 305
- 306 "saturation" in the trend occurs is impossible to determine.
- 307

308 4. Typical ground scatter band location for the Saskatoon SuperDARN radar

- Our goal now is to investigate whether long-term variations in the GS occurrence rate on the dayside and nightside are governed by the expected variations of $N_m F_2$.
- 312

Figure 4 presents GS occurrence rates at various range gates for the Saskatoon (SAS) SuperDARN 313 radar at nighttime (left column) and daytime (right column) between range gates 0 and 50 and for 314 315 the period of 2013-2018. Observations in beams 5-10 (looking poleward) and all radar frequencies were considered. For both time sectors in Figure 4, frequent occurrence of short-range GS echoes 316 (gates 0-3) is evident. These echoes have been the subject of a targeted study by Ponomarenko et 317 al. (2016) and they will not be discussed here. The primary interest in this study is GS echoes at 318 319 range gates 20-50. These are well seen as "islands" centered on winter with more obvious and consistent pattern for the daytime. Strong decay in occurrence rate toward 2018 is seen. The effect 320 321 is consistent with the decay in the solar activity as indicated by the F10.7-cm flux data, see for example Figure 4a in Koustov et al. (2019). Less obvious features in the patterns of enhanced GS 322 323 occurrence in Fig 4 are 1) overall, larger detection rates at closer range gates for the periods of higher solar activity (well seen for the daytime data) and 2) shifts of echo bands with enhanced 324 325 echo occurrence toward larger gates with the time off the winter (seen as more "curve"-shaped areas with enhanced echo occurrence). These and other details of these features for the HOK 326 SuperDARN radar have been investigated by Oinats et al. (2016), and what we see in our plots are 327 consistent with the modeling by Oinats et al. (2016). 328



331

Figure 4: Occurrence rate of ground-scattered (GS) echo detection by the Saskatoon (SAS) SuperDARN radar at various ranges (range gates) in all beams irrespective of radar frequency for observations in 2013-2018. Left column are data for the nighttime (0300-0900 UT) while right column are data for daytime (1500-2100 UT). Notice that that the scale (color bars at the top) for the nighttime occurrence rate is half of that for the daytime occurrence rate.

337

Another noticeable feature in plots of Figure 4 is a separate region with enhanced echo occurrence 338 rate at range gates 15-20, predominantly in summer. These are well recognizable at the nighttime 339 plots. During daytime, such regions are well seen only during the years of low solar activity (2016-340 341 2018) and these regions "overlap/merge" with the regions of enhanced GS occurrence at higher range gates that shift to shorter ranges under high solar activity. The short-range regions of 342 343 enhanced echo occurrence are likely related to the onset of relatively strong E region electron density at daytime or sporadic E layers at nighttime. Misidentification of nighttime echoes as GS 344 345 is likely another reason for the nighttime cluster of short-range echoes as E region echoes are particularly in abundance at nighttime (Makarevich et al., 2002). The overlap of GS echoes 346 347 received through the F region and E region is expected when the E region electron density is enhanced. Such cases are seen in Figure 1b during noon hours for the considered event. 348

One conclusion from plots of Figure 4 is that if one wants to capture variations in GS echo occurrence for the SAS radar in general, one can consider occurrence rates for gates 10-50, but

- keep in mind that some summer echoes also originate from ray bending at the *E* region heights.
- 353

5. Seasonal variations of GS echoes in Saskatoon and F region peak electron density

In this section, we investigate seasonal variations in average SAS GS occurrence rate and its 355 correlation with electron density $N_m F_2$ variations. The SAS radar detects echoes from regions 356 close to the equatorial/central part of the auroral oval. We computed the average GS echo 357 occurrence for all beams and gates 10-50 with 15-min resolution in four sectors: night (03-09 UT), 358 dawn (09-15 UT), day (15-21 UT) and dusk (21-24 UT) but only data for daytime and nighttime 359 are presented here, Figure 5. Figure 5 shows the data trends obtained by applying smoothing with 360 +/- 15-day boxcar filter. Figure 5 also shows electron density $N_m F_2$ variations for nighttime and 361 daytime as given by the E-CHAIM ionospheric model (Themens et al., 2017, 2019) for each 15-362 min interval of SAS occurrence computations and smoothed with the same filter. 363 364





Figure 5: Line plots of the ground scatter (GS) occurrence rate for the Saskatoon SuperDARN radar in all beams averaged over range gates 10-50 for (a) nighttime (0300 and 0900 UT) and (b) daytime (1500-2100 UT) observations between 2007 and 2019. Transmissions on all radar frequencies were considered. The 15-min occurrence values were smoothed by applying a running +/- 15 day boxcar filter. Black line is the electron density $N_m F_2$ according to the E-CHAIM ionospheric model (Themens et al., 2017, 2019) computed for 15-min intervals and smoothed by applying a running +/- 15 day boxcar filter. In each panel, Pearson correlation coefficient (corr)

between variations of the GS occurrence rate and $N_m F_2$ is presented.

- Figure 5a indicates that the nighttime GS occurrence rate consistently reaches maxima in the summer and minima in the winter. The values of nighttime maxima are larger at high solar activity
- 376 (2012-2015) so that crest-to-peak ratios of variations are somewhat larger during high solar activity
- period (2012-2015). Figure 5a also shows that the electron density $N_m F_2$ maximizes around
- summer, but not for every year considered. For some years, the $N_m F_2$ maxima are shifted to the
- fall equinoctial time (2011-2014). The effect is known for midlatitude observations (Richardson,
- 380 2001). Even though the $N_m F_2$ and GS occurrence rate curves have clear single peaks, the

correlation between variations of $N_m F_2$ and GS occurrence rate is rather weak, the Pearson

- correlation coefficient is only 0.29.
- 383

Seasonal variations of daytime GS occurrence rate, Figure 5b, show more complex pattern, with
larger variability. Overall, the minimal rates are consistently achieved in summer while the
maxima are achieved around winter time, but not always close to December-January solstice time.
Double-peaked curves are evident for winter of 2008-2009, 2009-2010, 2010-2011, 2011-2012
with occurrence rate maxima shifted towards equinoctial time, consistent with semi-annual
anomaly behaviour (Themens et al., 2017, 2019).

390

Variations of daytime $N_m F_2$ also show multi-peaked features with maxima often occurring close to the equinoctial time. However, the local "dips" of $N_m F_2$ in winter solstices are not as strong as those for the occurrence rate. An increase in both occurrence rate and $N_m F_2$ at high solar activity is evident although enhancements of $N_m F_2$ seem to be much stronger. During low solar activity, peak-to-minimum ratios are larger for the occurrence rate, a factor of 2-3 versus a factor of 1.2-1.5 for $N_m F_2$. During high solar activity (2012-2015), peak-to-minimum ratios are larger for $N_m F_2$

- 397 , a factor of 2-5 versus a factor of 1.2-1.5 for the GS occurrence rate. The Pearson correlation 398 coefficient between variations of the occurrence rate and $N_m F_2$ for the entire considered period is
- 0.55 which is better than that for the nighttime.
- 400

From the SAS data presented in Figure 5 one can conclude that the major difference between the
seasonal variations in GS occurrence rate during nighttime and daytime is that while the nighttime
occurrence rate is maximized in summer, the daytime occurrence rate is enhanced rather in winter.
This is consistent with the midlatitude Winter Anomaly (WA) phenomenon (e.g., Yasyukevich et
al., 2018) that will be discussed later.

406

407 6. Seasonal variations of GS occurrence rate for some Northern Hemisphere SuperDARN 408 radars

- Now we compare seasonal variations of nighttime and daytime GS occurrence rates for a number
 of SuperDARN radars operated in the Northern Hemisphere at middle latitudes, i.e. where the WA
 is highly expected, Figure 6. We consider data for 2007-2018, the same period as for the SAS
 radar.
- 412 1 413



Figure 6: Line plots of the ground scatter (GS) occurrence rate for four SuperDARN radars in the Northern Hemisphere, Christmas Valley East (CVE), Wallops Island (WAL), Hankasalmi (HAN) and Hokkaido East (HOK). Observations in all beams and radar frequencies averaged over range gates 10-50 for (a) nighttime (0300 UT and 0900 UT, blue line) and (b) daytime (1500-2100 UT, red line) were considered. For some years, no data were available (gaps). The 15-min occurrence values were smoothed by applying a running 30-day boxcar filter.

422 423

Figure 6a for the Christmas Valley East (CVE) radar shows a clear "anticorrelation" of nighttime
and daytime curves, with daytime maxima being achieved in winter and nighttime maxima being
achieved in summer. Exceptional are 2017 and 2018 when additional daytime maxima are seen in
summer.

428

Figure 6b for the Wallops Island (WAL) radar shows less clear patterns. Generally, the nighttime
occurrence rate peaks are typically seen in summer while daytime occurrence rate peaks are seen

- in winter, but the enhancements are less smooth comparing to the CVE patterns of Figure 6a. This
- 432 is particularly well seen for the nighttime data in 2007-2012.
- 433

- Figure 6c for the Hankasalmi (HAN) radar in the European sector also shows daytime occurrence
 rate maxima in winter and nighttime occurrence rate maxima in summer. The daytime
 enhancements are weaker during 2012-2015, the period of high solar activity, and in 2017-2018.
 General decrease in maximum occurrence rates at HAN during high solar activity period of 20122015 is inconsistent with the data for other considered radars.
- 439
- Figure 6d for the Hokkaido East (HOK) radar in the Japanese sector shows consistently summer maxima for nighttime occurrence rate. The HOK daytime data are more confusing; the enhancements/maxima, overall, are in winter but, for many years, there is significant variability of the GS occurrence rate with minor peaks at equinoctial time.
- 444
- One can conclude that daytime GS occurrence rate is generally maximized predominantly in winter
 for the Northern Hemisphere midlatitude radars (CLE, HOK) and the auroral zone HAN radar.
- 7. Seasonal variations of GS occurrence rate for some Southern Hemisphere SuperDARN
 radars

Now we compare seasonal variations of nighttime and daytime GS occurrence rate for two 450 Southern Hemisphere SuperDARN radars, TIGER (TIG) and Kerguelen (KIR), Figure 7, both in 451 the Australian sector. The nighttime data for the TIG radar, Figure 7a, show clear local (in the 452 453 Southern Hemisphere) summer maxima except for 2017-2018 when the rates dropped down dramatically. For the daytime, the seasonal variations of GS occurrence rate are not well defined 454 455 although for some years, e.g. 2010-2015, the maxima occur in local winter. Data for the KER radar, Figure 7b, show tendencies similar to those for the TIG radar, namely more or less consistent 456 summer maxima for nighttime and some winter enhancements for the daytime. We also processed 457 data for the Falkland Islands (FIR) radar in the South American sector. Consistent with data 458 reported earlier by Grocott et al. (2013), their Figure 5, daytime GS occurrence rates are highest 459 in summer with some shift to equinoctial time. 460

461



Figure 7: The same as in Figure 6 but for two Southern Hemisphere SuperDARN radars,
Australian Tiger (TIG) and Kerguelen Island (KER).

465 One can conclude that daytime maxima in winter are less evident in the Australian sector and not466 seen in the South American sector.

467

468 8. Seasonal variations of GS occurrence rate for SuperDARN polar cap radars

Now we present GS occurrence rate data for the polar cap radars. We selected one radar in each
hemisphere, the Inuvik (INV) radar in the Northern Hemisphere and the Dome C East (DCE) radar
in the Southern Hemisphere, Figure 8. Both radars detect GS echoes predominantly in local
summer, both on dayside and nightside. While the DCE daytime GS occurrence rate is higher at

- arighttime, the opposite holds for the INV radar.
- 474



475

476 Figure 8: The same as in Figure 6 but for two polar cap SuperDARN radars, Inuvik (INV,
477 Northern Hemisphere) and Dome C East (DCE, Southern Hemisphere).

478

479 9. Discussion

480

Reasons for variations in GS echo detection rate with the SuperDARN radars have not been
discussed widely. Perhaps, to some extent, this is because of difficulty in assessing various factors
affecting the echo detection rate.

484

One important aspect that gained attraction in recent publications (e.g., Burrell et al., 2018; Ponomarenko et al., 2009; Shand et al., 1998) is the fact that for successful GS echo detection, Earth's surface scatterers of the incoming radio waves must be present. These can be rocks, sharp edges of the landscape, or sea waves. The surface conditions vary with snow and ice coverage in winter. It has been known for years that GS echoes are not frequent for the Antarctic SuperDARN radars where the radio wave reflection from the ice shield is not effective (see, for example, DCE data in Koustov et al. (2019), their Figure 6 and HAN data in Milan et al. (1997), their Figure 7).

- 492 The data presented in this study are in full agreement with previous findings regarding the
- important role of the ground scatterers. Our Figures 5-8 show directly that the polar cap DCE radar

(Antarctica) has the smallest GS occurrence rates. According to our analysis (data are not presented here, but some data are available in Koustov et al. (2019), their Figure 6) the McMurdo (Antarctica) radar has the lowest occurrence rates among all SuperDARN radars, two and more times smaller than those presented in Figure 8 and by Marcucci et al. (2021) for the DCN radar. This is not a surprise because the MCM radar transmits radio waves toward the mainland covered by ice all year around.

500

We demonstrated in this study that many features in variations of the GS occurrence rate cannot 501 be explained by changes in the character of the surface reflectors. Data presented tells us that 502 ionospheric propagation factors are also very important ones. For example, all the radars (but 503 HAN) show a decrease in summer/winter echo occurrence rate peaks by a factor of 1.5-2 as the 504 solar activity subsided from high in 2012-2014 to low in 2017-2018. These decreases are about 505 the same in magnitude for the polar cap radars, SAS auroral zone radar and middle latitude radars. 506 We expect that the surface reflectors within each radar field of view do not change dramatically 507 508 over the years.

509

510 We also demonstrated in this study that seasonal variations in GS occurrence rate are not quite 511 explainable by changes in the character of the surface reflectors. During nighttime and daytime, the reflectors are the same implying that seasonal variations of occurrence rate should be very 512 513 similar for these time sectors of observations. This is not the case. For example, the SAS data for nighttime (Figure 5a) show systematic occurrence of smooth single-peaked enhancements 514 centered in summer while the daytime data show mostly double-peaked maxima centered around 515 winter with a minor dip usually coinciding with winter. Moreover, a GS occurrence rate single-516 peaked maximum at nighttime is a universal feature for observations of all the radars considered. 517 The data for the daytime are less consistent. Double-peaked daytime maxima are seen for INV 518 519 radar in the polar cap and HAN radar in the auroral zone. The midlatitude radars in the North American sector (Figure 6) show mostly single-peaked winter maxima during daytime and single-520 peaked summer maxima during nighttime. Thus, for these midlatitude radars the seasons for 521 maximum GS echo occurrence rate are completely different, winter versus summer. 522

523

524 Our major message with the data presented is that ionospheric propagation factors affect occurrence rates of GS echoes in very significant way. As mentioned, these factors have always 525 526 been kept in mind, but actual experimental data supporting this notion have been very limited so far (e.g., de Larquier et al., 2011; Nishitani et al., 2019). Perhaps the most direct evidence was 527 presented by de Larquier et al. (2011) who reported enhanced GS echo detection by the Blackstone 528 529 SuperDARN radar during summer evening hours, after the local sunset, that clearly correlated with 530 enhancements of the ionospheric electron density as measured by the Millstone Hill incoherent scatter radar (their Figure 5). 531

- 532
- Marcucci et al. (2021) presented data on GS occurrence rate for the polar cap DCE and DCN
 radars, located at the South Geomagnetic Pole and capable receiving echoes at magnetic latitudes
 of up to 65°. The study was focused on ionospheric echoes but presented data on the ground scatter
- allows one to make some conclusions. Data of their Figure 7 show that GS echoes are hardly
- detectable for the $N_m F_2$ (as given by the IRI ionospheric model within the DCE radar field of
- view) below ~ $1.5 \cdot 10^{11} \,\mathrm{m}^{-3}$. They also found lowest GS occurrence rate rates for winter (their
- Figure 3) with strong solar cycle decrease in 2019 as compared to 2013-2014. This decrease is

well recognizable in all time sectors. Marcucci et al. (2021) presented their data in MLT-MLAT
coordinates that makes it not easy to compare daytime and nighttime differences. We performed
analysis of DCN data in individual beams and sorted them according to the local time sectors,
similarly to other SuperDARN radars considered in this study and found (data are not presented)
that GS occurrence rates are maximized in local summer with daytime DCN maxima being shifted

- 545 by a about a month toward the local fall equinox. Thus, in terms of seasonal variation, the DCN
- 546 data are consistent with the DCE data.
- 547

Other SuperDARN publications gave direct or indirect evidence that the enhanced electron density 548 is a major factor in GS echo detection. Milan et al. (1997) presented GS data for the HAN and 549 Pikkvibaer (Iceland) radars for initial observations in 1995-1996, the period of low solar activity. 550 The HAN nighttime data showed clear increase in echo detection in summer. The daytime data 551 showed comparable GS occurrence rates for all seasons. We note that the presented data on critical 552 frequency of the ionosonde f_0F_2 (their Figure 6) operated within the radar field of view do not 553 show significant differences in values of daytime f_0F_2 between summer and winter while 554 night time f_0F_2 are clearly larger in summer. The PYK radar showed clearly larger occurrence of 555 daytime GS echoes in winter. Nighttime GS data seasonal differences were not obvious. Frissell 556

- et al. (2014) presented data on GS echo occurrence for the Blackstone radar for June 2010- May
 2011. Their Figure 7 has saturated color, but one can recognize the less frequent occurrence of
 daytime echoes in summer months of May-August implying that daytime GS is more frequent in
 winter. The nighttime occurrence rates seem to be larger during equinoctial months of MarchApril and September-October. Grocott et al. (2013) presented data on GS echo detection with the
 Falkland Island (FIR) radar, their Figure 5. The radar clearly shows larger daytime echo detection
 rates in summer (December-January-February). At nighttime hours, a strong decrease of echo
- detection rates toward winter is obvious. Thus, for this radar, the GS dominates during summer
 months for both daytime and nighttime. We performed analysis of FIR data with our approach and
 confirmed the above results that we initially inferred from plots published by Crocott et al. (2013).
- Another recent focus in SuperDARN research with respect to GS echoes has been on the identification of whether these echoes are detected from the front lobe or the back lobe of the radar antennae. Prominent are efforts with respect to the HAN radar (Barrell et al., 2015; 2018). It is important to keep in mind that this radar has been operated at radar frequencies < 10 MHz, i.e., at the low end of frequencies used by other radars for which significant portion of data are at 11 MHz and higher.
- 574

One idea pursued by Barrel et al. (2018) is that at high solar activity the electron density in the 575 auroal zone/polar cap, monitored through the antenna front lobe, is large enough to support echo 576 577 detection from this direction while at low solar activity it is not as high and many, especially at nighttime, echoes are actually coming often from the back lobe. Their Figure 4 shows that outside 578 winter periods, GS echoes are mostly detected from front lobe during daytime and often from the 579 580 back lobe during nighttime, irrespective of the solar cycle phase. The authors suggest that perhaps 581 there is some enhancement of echo detection from the back lobe for high solar activity for equinoctial time, but it is hardly possible to quantify this judgement based on the data provided in 582 583 their Figure 5. One solid conclusion by Burrell et al. (2018) is that daytime GS occurrence rate shows a clear decrease of the number of back lobe GS returns at high solar activity. Overall, 584

however, the results by Burrell et al. (2018) indicate confusing solar cycle dependencies in terms
of the ratio of returns from the front and back lobes.

587

The HAN data reported in our Figure 6c indicate that the solar cycle variation for all GS echoes (without separation them according to the direction of arrival) show annual variations but the relationship with the phase of the solar cycle is confusing. This is in contrast with the results for other SuperDARN radars for which a clear tendency for the decrease of GS echo occurrence at

- by solar activity is evident. Reasons for this difference requires further investigation.
- 593

In this study we, first of all, investigated the relationship in GS occurrence rate and $N_m F_2$ by

- directly comparing HF data and $N_m F_2$ data obtained for the region roughly corresponding to the
- 596 ¹/₂ hop of the HF radio wave propagation paths, i.e. the region where the major radio wave bending 597 toward the ground is expected to occur. Our Figure 3 shows that indeed the GS occurrence rate
- increases with the electron density in the ionosphere, as it has been expected. Our plot also shows
- that with the electron density increase, the rate of growth slows down or even saturates. This is
- expected because for the electron density close to the threshold of GS echo detection (sufficient
- radio wave bending), the radio wave bending is only effective at heights near the electron density
- profile peak $h_m F_2$. At larger electron densities, the bending occurs at heights below $h_m F_2$ and at
- closer to the radar ranges, for example, compare ray tracings in Figures 1a and 1b. Thus, we
 confirmed, for the first time experimentally, the critical role of having high-enough electron
 density for detection of GS echoes with the SuperDARN radars.
- 606

Expanding this idea, we compared seasonal variations of GS occurrence rate for the SAS radar and electron density $N_m F_2$ given by the E-CHAIM statistical model. Although the correlation

between the two data sets was not great, the shape of the curves, speaking qualitatively, were the

same with single-peaked near summer maxima at nighttime and often double-peaked maxima

611 centered around winter solstice time at daytime. We hypothesize that the found discrepancies are

not only because of the statistical nature of the E-CHAIM model but also because of some uncertainties in actual GS band location, and more detailed comparison might give a better

- 614 agreement.
- 615

616 One consequence of the found occurrence rate- $N_m F_2$ correlation is that the enhancement in ground

617 scatter occurrence rate could be an indicator of enhanced electron density in the ionosphere for

specific conditions under consideration. de Larquier et al. (2011) have exposed this notion by

619 looking at midlatitude evening anomaly and GS occurrence rate for the Backstone radar.

620

One important finding of our investigation is that the midlatitude SuperDARN radars in the North 621 American sector show a clear GS occurrence rate daytime enhancement in winter, e.g. our Figure 622 623 6 and to some extent Figure 5. This effect was not seen in the nighttime sector. Importantly, the daytime winter enhancements were less clear in the European and Australian sectors and were not 624 seen in the South American sector. These enhancements, or lack of enhancement, in GS occurrence 625 rate are consistent with the well-known effect of electron density enhancements at midlatitudes 626 known as winter anomaly, WA (e.g., Pavlov & Pavlova, 2005; Torr et al., 1980; Yasyukevich et 627 al., 2018). 628

The WA is a ubiquitous midlatitude phenomenon in the North American sector. Recent paper by 630 631 Yasyukevich et al. (2018) gives an extensive and detailed summary of WA studies. The essence of the phenomenon is in higher summer maximum electron densities at noon in winter as compared 632 to that in summer, under comparable solar activity levels. The effect is strongest in North 633 American sector, somewhat weaker in the Japanese sector, and even further weaker over Europe 634 and in the Australian sector. WA is not evident in the South American sector. The data presented 635 in this study thus show a great deal of similarity in terms of how the WA deteriorates as we go 636 from the North American sector to Australian sector and to South American sector (Yas yukevich 637 et al., 2018). In this sense, it is of great interest to investigate whether the daytime winter 638 enhancements in GS occurrence rate occur in the Asian sector where Russian HF radar at 639 640 Ekaterinburg (Berngardt et al., 2015) and new Chinese HF SuperDARN radar (Wang et al., 2022) have accumulated sufficient amount of data. 641

642

643 One worthy note is with respect to the WA effect for the HAN radar. Sodankyla ionosonde data presented by Ghezelbash (2013) show that the WA effect only present at high solar activity. It is 644 then not a surprise that the HAN data on GS occurrence, Figure 6c, are confusing with respect to 645

- WA effect for 2017 and 2018. 646
- 647

10. Conclusions 648

649 In this study, we investigated two aspects of ground scatter detection with HF SuperDARN radars: 650 1) the correlation of the GS echo occurrence rate and the electron density in the ionosphere and 2) 651 a general pattern in solar cycle and seasonal variations of GS occurrence rate at nighttime and 652 daytime at various latitudes and longitudinal zones. 653

- 654 655 Obtained results can be summarized as follows:
- 656

- Occurrence rate of GS echo detection with the SuperDARN radar at Rankin Inlet increases with 657 an increase of the peak electron density of the ionospheric F layer for $N_m F_2$ up to ~ 4.10¹¹ m⁻³. At 658 larger $N_m F_2$, saturation in the trend is evident. These findings are consistent with the expectations 659 from the ray tracings of radio wave paths in the ionosphere. 660

661

- GS occurrence rate for the midlatitude SuperDARN radars in the North American sector are 662 maximized in winter at daytime but in summer at nighttime. The winter daytime maxima are less 663 obvious in the European, Japanese, and Australian sectors and not seen in the South American 664 sector. Winter maxima in occurrence of daytime echoes at midlatitudes are consistent with the 665 winter anomaly phenomenon known for the maximum electron density in the F layer. Daytime 666 maxima in GS occurrence rate for the auroral zone radars are often double-peaked with local peaks 667 shifted toward local equinoxes. 668

669

- Daytime summer maxima or nighttime winter maxima in GS occurrence rate show solar cycle 670 effect with reduced by a factor ~2 values at low solar activity periods, for most of the SuperDARN 671 radars. The reduction also holds for the polar cap radars in both hemispheres. 672

⁶⁷⁴ - In the polar cap, the maxima of GS occurrence rate coincide with local summer seasons during both daytime and nighttime. 675

681 Acknowledgments

The SuperDARN data used in this work were collected with the support of the Canadian Space Agency's Geospace Observatory (GO Canada) continuation initiative, the Canada Foundation for Innovation, and the Province of Saskatchewan. The University of Calgary RISR-C radar is funded by the Canada Foundation for Innovation and is a partnership with the US National Science Foundation and SRI International who also fund RISR-C radar operation. The research was supported by an NSERC Discovery grant and Data Analysis Grant from Canadian Space Agency to A.V.K.

692 Data availability statement693

Resolute Bay CADI ionosonde data can be downloaded from CHAIN website at
http://chain.physics.unb.ca/chain/pages/cadi/. RISR-N/C data are available at the Madrigal
database http://data.phys.ucalgary.ca (RISR-C).
The data used in this work are also freely available through the NSF-supported Open Madrigal
Initiative (http://cedar.openmadrigal.org/openmadrigal). SuperDARN data can be obtained online
(https://superdarn.ca).

- . _ .

713 **References**

André, D., Sofko, G. J., Baker, K., & MacDougall, J. (1998). SuperDARN interferometry: Meteor
echoes and electron densities from groundscatter. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, 103, 7003–7015. https://doi.org/10.1029/97JA02923

717

Berngardt O. I., Zolotukhina, N. A., & Oinats, A. V. (2015). Observations of field-aligned
ionospheric irregularities during quiet and disturbed conditions with EKB radar: First results. *Earth, Planets and Space*, 67, 143. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40623-015-0302-3

721

Blanchard, G. T., Sundeen, S., & Baker, K. B. (2009). Probabilistic identification of highfrequency radar backscatter from the ground and ionosphere based on spectral characteristics. *Radio Science*, 44, RS5012. https://doi.org/10.1029/2009RS004141

725

Bland, E. C., McDonald, A. J., de Larquier, S., & Devlin J. C. (2014). Determination of ionospheric
 parameters in real time using SuperDARN HF radars. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, *119*, 5830–5846. https://doi.org/10.1002/2014JA020076

729

730 Burrell, A. G., Milan, S. E., Perry, G. W., Yeoman, T. K., & Lester, M. (2015). Automatically

determining the origin direction and propagation mode of high-frequency radar backscatter. *Radio*

732 *Science*, *50*, 1225–1245. https://doi.org/10.1002/2015RS005808

733

Burrell, A. G., Perry, G. W., Yeoman, T. K., Milan, S. E., & Stoneback, R. (2018). Solar influences
on the return direction of high-frequency radar backscatter. *Radio Science*, *53*, 577–597.
https://doi.org/10.1002/2017RS006512

737

Cameron, T. G., Fiori, R. A. D., Themens, D. R., Warrington, E. M., Thayaparan, T., & Galeschuk,
 D. (2022). Evaluation of the effect of sporadic-E on high frequency radio wave propagation in the

740 Arctic. Journal of Atmospheric and Solar-Terrestrial Physics, 228, 105826.

- 741 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jastp.2022.105826.
- 742

Chakraborty, S., Ruohoniemi, J. M., Baker, J. B. H., & Nishitani, N. (2018). Characterization of
short-wave fadeout seen in daytime SuperDARN ground scatter observations. *Radio Science*, *53*,
472–484. https://doi.org/10.1002/2017RS006488

746

Chisham, G., Lester, M., Milan, S. E., Freeman, M. P., Bristow, W. A., Grocott, A., et al. (2007).
A decade of the super dual auroral radar network (SuperDARN): Scientific achievements, new

- techniques and future directions. Surveys of Geophysics, 28, 33–109.
- 750 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10712-007-9017-8
- 751

Davies, K. Ionospheric Radio, volume 31 of Electromagnetics and Radar Series. Peregrinus, 1990.
 ISBN 9780863411861.

754

de Larquier, S., Ruohoniemi, J. M., Baker, J. B. H., Ravindran Varrier, N., & Lester, M. (2011).

- First observations of the midlatitude evening anomaly using Super Dual Auroral Radar Network (SuperDARN) radars. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 116*, A10321.
- 758 https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JA016787

761

762 for Monitoring the Space Weather Impact of Solar X-Ray Flares. Space Weather, 16. 763 https://doi.org/10.1029/2018SW001905 764 Frissell, N. A., Baker, J. B. H., Ruohoniemi, J. M., Gerrard, A. J., Miller, E. S., Marini, J. P., 765 West, M. L., & Bristow W. A. (2014). Climatology of medium-scale traveling ionospheric 766 disturbances observed by the midlatitude Blackstone SuperDARN radar. Journal of Geophysical 767 Research: Space Physics, 119, 7679–7697. https://doi.org/10.1002/2014JA019870 768 769 770 Gillies, R. G., van Eyken, A., Spanswick, E., Nicolls, M. J., Kelly, J., Greffen, M., et al. (2016). 771 First observations from the RISR-C incoherent scatter radar. Radio Science, 51, 1645–1659. 772 https://doi.org/10.1002/2016RS006062 773 774 Ghezelbash, M., Fiori, R. A. D., & Koustov, A.V. (2014a). Variations in the occurrence of 775 SuperDARN F region echoes. Annales Geophysicae, 32, 147-156. https://doi.org/10.5194/angeo-776 32-147-2014 777 778 Ghezelbash, M., Koustov, A. V., Themens, D. R., & Jayachandran, P. T. (2014b). Seasonal and diurnal variations of PolarDARN F region echo occurrence in the polar cap and their causes. 779 780 Journal Geophysical Research: Physics, of Space 119. 10426-10439. https://doi.org/10.1002/2014JA020726 781 782 783 Ghezelbash, M. (2013). Occurrence and causes of F-region echoes for the Canadian PolarDARN/

Fiori, R. A. D., Koustov, A. V., Chakraborty, S., Ruohoniemi, J. M., Danskin, D. W., Boteler, D. H., & Shepherd, S. G. (2018). Examining the Potential of the Super Dual Auroral Radar Network

- SuperDARN radars. M. Sc. Thesis, University of Saskatchewan, 2013.
- 785 http://hdl.handle.net/10388/ETD-2013-03-949.
- 786

Grocott, A., Hosokawa, K., Ishida, T., Lester, M., Milan, S. E., Freeman, M. P., Sato, N., &
Yukimatu, A. S. (2013). Characteristics of medium-scale traveling ionospheric disturbances
observed near the Antarctic Peninsula by HF radar. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, *118*, 5830–5841. https://doi.org/10.1002/jgra.50515

- 791
- Jayachandran, P. T., Langley, R. B., MacDougall, J. W., Mushini, S. C., Pokhotelov, D., Hamza,
 A. M., et al. (2009). Canadian High Arctic Ionospheric Network (CHAIN). *Radio Science*, 44,
 RS0A03. https://doi.org/10.1029/2008RS004046
- 795

Karhunen T. J. T., Robinson, T. R., Arnold, N. F., & Lester, M. (2006). Determination of the
parameters of travelling ionospheric disturbances in the high-latitude ionosphere using CUTLASS
coherent scatter radars. *Journal of Atmospheric and Solar-Terrestrial Physics*, 68, 558–567.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jastp.2005.03.021

- 800
- Koustov, A.V., Ullrich, S., Ponomarenko, P. V., Nishitani, N., Marcucci, M. F., & Bristow, W. A.
- (2019). Occurrence of *F* region echoes for the polar cap SuperDARN radars. *Earth, Planets, and Space*, *71*, 112. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40623-019-1092-9
- 804

- Koustov, A. V., Ullrich, S., Ponomarekno, P. V., Gillies, R. G., & Nishitani, N. (2020a). 805 806 Comparison of SuperDARN peak electron density estimates based on elevation angle measurements to ionosonde and incoherent scatter radar measurements. Earth, Planets and Space, 807 72, 43. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40623-020-01170-w 808 809 Koustov, A. V., Luciuk, M. R., Gillies, R. G., Ullrich, S., Larson, B., McWilliams, K. A., & 810 Schmidt, M. T. (2020b). Velocity of SuperDARN echoes at intermediate radar ranges. Radio 811 Science, 55, e2020RS007142. https://doi.org/10.1029/2020RS007142 812 813 814 Makarevich, R. A., Koustov, A. V., Igarashi, K., Ohtaka, K., Ogawa, T., Nishitani, N., Sato, N., Yamagishi, H., & Yukimatu, A. S. (2002). Comparison of flow angle variations of E-region echo 815 characteristics at VHF and HF. Advances in Polar Upper Atmosphere Research, No 16, 59-83. 816 817 Marcucci, M. F., Coco, I., Massetti, S., Pignalberi, A., Forsythe, V., Pezzopane, M., Koustov, A., 818 et al. (2021). Echo occurrence in the southern polar ionosphere for the SuperDARN Dome C East 819 and Dome C North radars. Polar Science, 28, 100684. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polar.2021.100684 820 821 McDougall, J. W., Jayachandran, P. T., & Plane, J. M. C. (2000). Polar cap Sporadic-E: part 1, 822 Observations. Journal of Atmospheric and Solar-Terrestrial Physics, 62, 1155-1167. 823 824 https://doi.org/10.1016/S1364-6826(00)00093-6. 825 Milan, S. E., Jones, T. B., Robinson, T. R., Thomas, E. C., & Yeoman, T. K. (1997a). 826 Interferometric evidence for the observation of ground backscatter originating behind the 827 CUTLASS coherent HF radars. Annales Geophysicae, 15, 29–39, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00585-828 829 997-0029-y. 830 831 Milan, S. E., Yeoman, T. K., Lester, M., Thomas, E. C., & Jones, T. B. (1997b). Initial backscatter occurrence statistics from the CUTLASS HF radars. Annales Geophysicae, 15, 703-718. 832 833 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00585-997-0703-0 834 835 Milan, S. E., Grocott, A., de Larquier, S., Lester, M., Yeoman, T. K., Freeman, M. P., & Chisham, G. (2013). Traveling ionospheric disturbances in the Weddell Sea Anomaly associated with 836 geomagnetic activity. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 118, 6608-6617. 837 https://doi.org/10.1002/jgra.50566 838 839 840 Nishitani, N., Ruohoniemi, J. M., Lester, M., Baker, J. B. H., Koustov, A. V., Shepherd, S. G., et 841 al. (2019). Review of the accomplishments of mid-latitude SuperDARN HF radars. Progress in Earth and Planetary Science, 6(1), 1-57. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40645-019-0270-5 842 843 Oinats, A. V., Nishitani, N., Ponomarenko, P., & Ratovsky, K. G. (2016). Diurnal and seasonal 844 845 behavior of the Hokkaido East ground backscatter: simulation and observation. Earth, Planets and 846 Space, 68, 18. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40623-015-0378-9 847 Pavlov, A. V., Pavlova, N. M. (2005). Causes of mid-latitude $N_m F_2$ winter anomaly at solar 848
- 849 maximum. *Journal of Atmospheric and Terrestrial Physics*, 67, 862–877.
- 850 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jastp.2005.02.009

- Ponomarenko, P. V., Menk, F. W., & Waters, C. L. (2003). Visualization of ULF waves in
 SuperDARN data. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 30, 1926.
 https://doi.org/doi:10.1029/2003GL017757
 Ponomarenko, P. V., Menk, F. W., Waters, C. L, & Sciffer, M. D. (2005). Pc3–4 ULF waves
- Ponomarenko, P. V., Menk, F. W., Waters, C. L, & Sciffer, M. D. (2005). Pc3–4 ULF waves
 observed by the SuperDARN TIGER radar. *Annales Geophysicae*, 23, 1271–1280.
 https://doi.org/10.5194/angeo-23-1271-2005
- 859

Ponomarenko, P. V., St-Maurice, J.-P., Hussey, G. C., & Koustov, A. V. (2010). HF ground scatter
from the polar cap: Ionospheric propagation and ground surface effects. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 115*, A10310. https://doi.org/10.1029/2010JA015828

863

Ponomarenko, P. V., Iserhienrhien, B., & St.-Maurice, J.-P. (2016). Morphology and possible
origins of near-range oblique HF backscatter at high and midlatitudes. *Radio Science*, *51*, 718–
730. https://doi.org/10.1002/2016RS006088

867

868 Richards, P. G. (2001). Seasonal and solar cycle variations of the ionospheric peak electron

density: Comparison of measurement and models. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, *106*, 12803-12819. https://doi.org/10.1029/2000JA000365

871

Samson, J. C., Greenwald, R. A., Ruohoniemi, J. M., Frey, A., & Baker, K. B. (1990). Goose Bay
radar observations of earth-reflected, atmospheric gravity-waves in the high-latitude ionosphere. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, 95, 7693–7709.
https://doi.org/10.1029/JA095iA06p07693

876

Shand, B. A., Milan, S. E., Yeoman, T. K., Chapman, P. J., Wright, D. M., Jones, T. B., &
Pedersen, L. T. (1998). CUTLASS HF radar observations of the Odden ice tongue. *Annales Geophysicae*, 16, 280–282.

880

Torr, D. G., Torr, M. R., & Richards, P. G. (1980). Causes of the *F* region winter anomaly. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 7, 301–304. https://doi.org/10.1029/GL007i005p00301

883

Themens, D. R., Jayachandran, P. T., Galkin, I., & Hall, C. (2017). The empirical Canadian high arctic ionospheric model (E-CHAIM): $N_m F_2$ and $h_m F_2$. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, 122, 9015–9031. https://doi.org/10.1002/2017JA024398

887

Themens, D. R., Jayachandran, P. T., McCaffrey, A. M., Reid, B. & Varney, R. H. (2019). A
bottomside parameterization for the Empirical Canadian High Artic Ionospheric Model (ECHAIM), *Radio Sci.*, 54, 397–414. https://doi.org/10.1029/2018RS006748

891

Uspensky, M. V., Koustov, A. V., & Williams, P. J. S. (1993). The amplitude of auroral backscatter: III. Effect of tilted ionospheric layer. *Journal of Atmospheric and Terrestrial Physics*,

894 55, 1383–1392. https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-9169(93)90106-9

Wang, W., Zhang, J. J., Wang, C., Nishitani, N., Yan, J. Y., Lan, A. L., et al. (2022). Statistical
characteristics of mid-latitude ionospheric irregularities at geomagnetic quiet time: Observations
from the Jiamusi and Hokkaido East SuperDARN HF radars. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 127*, e2021JA029502. https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JA029502

Watanabe, K., & Nishitani, N. (2013). Study of ionospheric disturbances during solar flare events
using the SuperDARN Hokkaido radar. *Advances in Polar Science*, 24(1), 12–18.
https://doi.org/10.3724/SP.J.1085.2013.00012

905 Yasyukevich, Y., Yasyukevich, A., Ratovsky, K., Klimenko, M., Klimenko, V. V., & Chirik, N. 906 (2018). Winter anomaly in $N_m F_2$ and TEC: when and where it can occur. *Journal of Space*

907 Weather & Space Climate, 8, A45. https://doi.org/10.1051/swsc/2018036



917

918

Figure 1: Ray tracing for 12 MHz radio waves transmitted by the Rankin Inlet (RKN) radar

along its beam 7. The 2-D electron density distribution, represented by the color contours, is
 according to the E-CHAIM electron density model (Themens et al., 2017, 2019) with all values

for (a) 00 UT and (b) 18 UT on 10 March 2016 increased by 1.25. White and red markers

correspond to group range gates 0, 10, 20 ... and 5, 15, 25..., respectively. Yellow markers at

ionospheric heights mark those locations from where the ionospheric echoes can be detected

while magenta markers on the ground are those locations from where the ground scatter echoes can be detected.

927



Figure 2: The expected power (in dB with respect to an arbitrary level) of ground-scattered
echoes for various radar range gates of the SuperDARN Rankin Inlet (RKN) radar in beam 7 at

932 operating frequencies of 10 and 12 MHz. Four panels correspond to observations the (a)

midnight (06 UT), (b) dawn (12 UT), (c) daytime (18 UT) and (d) dusk (00 UT) sectors. A case

of 10 March 2016 was considered (Figure 1 shows modeling for 00 UT and 18 UT). Details of

the modelling approach are given in the text and in Koustov et al. (2020b). Numbers in the left-

- bottom corner of each panel indicate the total number of rays that formed the ground scatter
- range profiles at 10 and 12 MHz, respectively.
- 938





Figure 3: Ground scatter (GS) occurrence rate (over 15-min intervals) for the Rankin Inlet (RKN) 942 SuperDARN radar observations in beams 4-6 at various range gates as a function of the F region 943 peak electron density $N_m F_2$ measured concurrently by the Resolute Bay CADI ionosonde (a) for 944 nighttime (03-09 UT) and (b) daytime (15-21 UT). Solid white lines indicate the number of 945 available joint points (counts were divided by 40). (c) Line plot of the average GS occurrence rate 946 947 over the band of ranges (gates 30-50 for nighttime and 20-40 for daytime), given as a solid line, versus $N_m F_2$ for joint observations with CADI at (c) nighttime and (d) daytime. $N_m F_2$ bins of 948 $0.25 \times 10^{11} \, \text{m}^{-3}$ were implemented. Dashed lines in all panels show the same dependence but for joint 949

950 observations of the RKN and RISR radars.



Figure 4: Occurrence rate of ground-scattered (GS) echo detection by the Saskatoon (SAS)
SuperDARN radar at various ranges (range gates) in all beams irrespective of radar frequency for

observations in 2013-2018. Left column are data for the nighttime (0300-0900 UT) while right column are data for daytime (1500-2100 UT). Notice that that the scale (color bars at the top) for

957 the nighttime occurrence rate is half of that for the daytime occurrence rate.



Figure 5: Line plots of the ground scatter (GS) occurrence rate for the Saskatoon SuperDARN 960 961 radar in all beams averaged over range gates 10-50 for (a) nighttime (0300 and 0900 UT) and (b) daytime (1500-2100 UT) observations between 2007 and 2019. Transmissions on all radar 962 frequencies were considered. The 15-min occurrence values were smoothed by applying a running 963 +/- 15 day boxcar filter. Black line is the electron density $N_m F_2$ according to the E-CHAIM 964 ionospheric model (Themens et al., 2017, 2019) computed for 15-min intervals and smoothed by 965 applying a running +/- 15 day boxcar filter. In each panel, Pearson correlation coefficient (corr) 966 between variations of the GS occurrence rate and $N_m F_2$ is presented. 967 968



Figure 6: Line plots of the ground scatter (GS) occurrence rate for four SuperDARN radars in the
Northern Hemisphere, Christmas Valley East (CVE), Wallops Island (WAL), Hankasalmi (HAN)
and Hokkaido East (HOK). Observations in all beams and radar frequencies averaged over range
gates 10-50 for (a) nighttime (0300 UT and 0900 UT, blue line) and (b) daytime (1500-2100 UT,
red line) were considered. For some years, no data were available (gaps). The 15-min occurrence
values were smoothed by applying a running 30-day boxcar filter.



Figure 7: The same as in Figure 6 but for two Southern Hemisphere SuperDARN radars,
Australian Tiger (TIG) and Kerguelen Island (KER).



Figure 8: The same as in Figure 6 but for two polar cap SuperDARN radars, Inuvik (INV,
Northern Hemisphere) and Dome C East (DCE, Southern Hemisphere).