### Global-scale shifts in Anthropocene rooting depths pose unexamined consequences for critical zone functioning

Hauser Emma<sup>1</sup>, Sullivan Pamela L<sup>2</sup>, Flores Alejandro N.<sup>3</sup>, Hirmas Daniel<sup>4</sup>, and Billings Sharon A<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Kansas <sup>2</sup>Oregon State University <sup>3</sup>Boise State University <sup>4</sup>University of California, Riverside

November 16, 2022

#### Abstract

Rooting depth is an ecosystem trait that determines the extent of soil development and carbon (C) and water cycling. Recent hypotheses propose that human-induced changes to Earth's biogeochemical cycles propagate deeply due to rooting depth changes from agricultural and climate-induced land cover changes. Yet, the lack of a global-scale quantification of rooting depth responses to human activity limits knowledge of hydrosphere-atmosphere-lithosphere feedbacks in the Anthropocene. Here we use land cover datasets to demonstrate that root depth distributions are changing globally as a consequence of agricultural expansion truncating depths above which 99% of root biomass occurs (D99) by ~60 cm, and woody encroachment linked to anthropogenic climate change extending D99 in other regions by ~38 cm. The net result of these two opposing drivers is a global reduction of D99 by 5%, or ~8 cm, representing a loss of ~11,600 km<sup>3</sup> of rooted volume. Projected land cover scenarios in 2100 suggest additional future D99 shallowing of up to 30 cm, generating further losses of rooted volume of ~43,500 km<sup>3</sup>, values exceeding root losses experienced to date and suggesting that the pace of root shallowing will quicken in the coming century. Losses of Earth's deepest roots — soil-forming agents — suggest unanticipated changes in fluxes of water, solutes, and C. Two important messages emerge from our analyses: dynamic, human-modified root distributions should be incorporated into earth systems models, and a significant gap in deep root research inhibits accurate projections of future root distributions and their biogeochemical consequences.

1	Global-scale shifts in Anthropocene rooting depths pose unexamined consequences
2	for critical zone functioning
3	Emma Hauser <sup>1</sup> , Pamela L. Sullivan <sup>2</sup> , Alejandro N. Flores <sup>3</sup> , Daniel Hirmas <sup>4</sup> , Sharon A. Billings <sup>1</sup>
4	<sup>1</sup> Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology and Kansas Biological Survey and Center for Ecological Research. The University of Kansas, Lawrence, KS, USA
6 7	<sup>2</sup> College of Earth, Ocean, and Atmospheric Science, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR, USA.
8	<sup>3</sup> Department of Geosciences, Boise State University, Boise, ID, USA
9	<sup>4</sup> Department of Environmental Sciences, University of California, Riverside, CA, USA.
10 11 12	Corresponding author: Emma Hauser, Sharon Billings (emma.hauser@umt.edu, sharon.billings@ku.edu)
13	Key Points:
14 15	<ul> <li>Rooting depths are changing globally; the depth to which 99% of crop roots extend is shallower by ~ 60 cm compared to natural systems.</li> </ul>
16 17	<ul> <li>In other regions, such as those experiencing woody encroachment, roots are deepening by ~38 cm compared to previous dominant vegetation.</li> </ul>
18 19 20	• These opposing phenomena result in average rooting depths that are ~8 cm shallower today and projected to become ~30 cm shallower by 2100.

#### 21 Abstract

Rooting depth is an ecosystem trait that determines the extent of soil development and carbon 22 23 (C) and water cycling. Recent hypotheses propose that human-induced changes to Earth's biogeochemical cycles propagate deeply due to rooting depth changes from agricultural and 24 climate-induced land cover changes. Yet, the lack of a global-scale quantification of rooting 25 depth responses to human activity limits knowledge of hydrosphere-atmosphere-lithosphere 26 27 feedbacks in the Anthropocene. Here we use land cover datasets to demonstrate that root depth distributions are changing globally as a consequence of agricultural expansion truncating depths 28 above which 99% of root biomass occurs (D99) by ~60 cm, and woody encroachment linked to 29 anthropogenic climate change extending D99 in other regions by ~38 cm. The net result of these 30 two opposing drivers is a global reduction of D99 by 5%, or ~8 cm, representing a loss of 31 ~11,600 km<sup>3</sup> of rooted volume. Projected land cover scenarios in 2100 suggest additional future 32 D99 shallowing of up to 30 cm, generating further losses of rooted volume of  $\sim$ 43,500 km<sup>3</sup>, 33 values exceeding root losses experienced to date and suggesting that the pace of root shallowing 34 will quicken in the coming century. Losses of Earth's deepest roots — soil-forming agents — 35 suggest unanticipated changes in fluxes of water, solutes, and C. Two important messages 36 37 emerge from our analyses: dynamic, human-modified root distributions should be incorporated into earth systems models, and a significant gap in deep root research inhibits accurate 38 projections of future root distributions and their biogeochemical consequences. 39

#### 40 Plain Language Summary

The distribution of plant roots helps determine the extent of nutrient, C and water cycling 41 42 beneath Earth's surface. Human activities, including land use and climate change, can change the distribution of plant roots and their activities across the globe. Here, we used global land cover 43 44 datasets in combination with field-generated rooting depth equations to estimate global scale changes to roots both now and into the future. Globally, roots are shallower than they would be 45 in the absence of human activity due to extensive land conversion to agriculture. In some 46 regions, human-promoted woody encroachment induces root elongation, but this effect is 47 overwhelmed by the spatial extent of agricultural conversion. In the future, roots likely will 48 become shallower at an even faster pace. In future projections, deep roots appear especially 49 vulnerable to loss, prompting numerous questions for additional field- and modeling-based 50

studies about the ways nutrients, C, and water will cycle in a future with fewer deep roots. We

52 provide a foundation for those questions by demonstrating human influence on the roots that

shape the character of Earth's skin.

#### 54 **1 Introduction**

Roots are subsurface engineers, and their distributions drive ecosystem-scale processes (Maeght 55 et al., 2013; Pierret et al., 2016; Sullivan et al., 2022) such as soil development (Brantley et al., 56 2017; Hasenmueller et al., 2017; Austin et al., 2018), release of mineral-bound nutrients 57 (Jobbagy & Jackson, 2001; Hasenmueller et al., 2017; Austin et al., 2018), subsoil water flow 58 paths and residence time (Zhang et al., 2015; Fan et al., 2017), and deep C fluxes (Richter and 59 Markewitz, 1995; Schenk, 2007; Pierret et al., 2016; Fan et al., 2017; Billings et al., 2018). The 60 dominant drivers of rooting distributions are plant functional type (PFT, Jackson et al., 1996) and 61 variation in water availability (Schenk, 2007; Nippert et al., 2007; Fan et al., 2017), both of 62 which are changing in response to anthropogenic land cover conversion, as well as altered 63 64 atmospheric composition and concomitant changes in climate (Edgeworth et al., 2001; Cramer et al., 2010; Ellis et al., 2010). This observation suggests that rooting depth distributions are likely 65 66 undergoing changes due to human activities in the critical zone (CZ, Earth's living skin, Jordan et al., 2001). 67

68

Quantifying large-scale, human-induced changes to rooting distributions and how they may 69 70 differ regionally is a critical step towards a greater understanding of how roots govern large-71 scale, sub-surface and surface processes. In spite of widespread recognition of the importance of root depth (Maeght et al., 2013; Pierret et al., 2016) and a growing recognition of the great 72 depths to which roots can penetrate (Stone & Kalisz, 1991; Nepstad et al., 1994; Canadell et al., 73 1996; Schenk & Jackson, 2002a; Schenk & Jackson et al., 2002b; Fan et al., 2017), large-scale 74 responses of rooting depths to anthropogenic perturbations of the biosphere have been poorly 75 characterized. This knowledge gap is due in part to the challenges of accessing relatively deep 76 soil horizons (Maeght et al., 2013), as well as the challenge of unraveling the vast complexity of 77 Earth's subsurface systems. One consequence of poorly defined rooting distributions at large 78 spatial scales is generalized representations of rooting parameters in land models (McCormack et 79 al., 2015; Iversen et al., 2017; McCormack et al., 2017). Although many land models, such as the 80 81 Community Land Model (CLM), represent changes to roots with land use change (Lawrence et

al., 2019), some land cover types are not well represented in these models. For example, crops in

CLMs are assigned the same rooting depth as C3 grasses (Lawrence et al., 2019), though row

crops, in particular, typically have far shallower roots than perennial plants (Canadell et al.,

85 1996; DuPont et al., 2014, Billings et al., 2018). Given the plethora of CZ functions influenced

by roots (Maeght et al., 2013; Pierret et al., 2016), poor characterization of rooting depths likely

87 limits the accuracy of projected responses of the coupled terrestrial water, energy, and C cycles

to climate in the Anthropocene.

89

Two Anthropocene phenomena occur at sufficient magnitude to potentially alter rooting 90 91 distributions at the global scale. First, many regions have experienced conversion to annual row crops (Ramankutty & Foley, 1999; Ellis et al., 2010), a process that induces mortality of deep 92 93 perennial root systems and replaces them with relatively shallow roots (Billings et al., 2018). In contrast, climate change and increasing atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations are linked to root 94 95 extension of extant woody plants (Iversen, 2010), and shifting ecoregion ranges may increase rooting depths where more deeply rooted woody vegetation becomes increasingly abundant in 96 97 grasslands and tundra (Jackson et al., 1996; Harsch et al., 2009; Stevens et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2019). Studies exploring rooting depth typically focus on absolute rooting depths and their 98 responses to climate or atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> (Kleidon & Heimann, 1998; Kleidon, 2003) or, 99 separately, land cover changes in specific regions of interest (Jaramillo et al., 2003; Hertel et al., 100 101 2009; DuPont et al., 2010). Despite known changes in global land cover (Ellis et al., 2010) that are associated with distinct rooting depths (Jackson et al., 1996; Zeng, 2001), as well as global 102 analyses of the maximum extent of contemporary root depths (Schenk & Jackson, 2002a; Schenk 103 & Jackson, 2002b; Schenk & Jackson, 2005), to date, no one has directly quantified the net 104 change in rooting distributions at the global scale as a consequence of these opposing human 105 106 activities.

107

Here we provide a first estimate of the extent to which rooting depths increase or decrease in response to land use and climate change and the volume of soil affected by this change. We also project how rooting depths and rooted volumes may change throughout the 21<sup>st</sup> century as more land is converted to agricultural and urban use, and as biome ranges continue to shift with changing climate. We emphasize that our focus is not on maximum rooting depths. Indeed, there

is a growing appreciation of the great depths to which vegetation can root (Stone & Kalisz 1991; 113 Schenk & Jackson, 2002a; Schenk & Jackson, 2005; Maeght et al., 2013; Pierret et al., 2016; Fan 114 et al., 2017) though the true maximum rooting depth may never be known in some systems 115 (Kleidon, 2003; Pierret et al., 2016; Fan et al., 2017). Instead, we focus on the depths to which 116 most or half (i.e., 99%, 95%, and 50%) of the root biomass of an ecosystem extends (Zeng, 117 2001), as well as changes to rooted soil volume. These metrics highlight the depths within which 118 most roots reside as well as the soil volume through which most root distribution changes occur, 119 120 both functionally consequential measures. Additionally, these metrics represent those for which much data exist, enabling the cross-system comparisons necessary to estimate the spatial extent 121 of rooting depth changes in the Anthropocene. Our work thus reveals how anthropogenic, global-122 scale changes in rooting depth metrics are changing, thereby illuminating critical next steps to 123 124 help us understand future CZ functioning.

#### 125 **2 Materials and Methods**

We estimated the volume of soil influenced by human-promoted modification of root distributions. To do this, we estimated potential (i.e., no human influence), contemporary, and projected root distributions at the global scale by combining biome-specific rooting depth functions derived from empirical studies (described below) with spatially explicit land cover datasets. As a part of this process, we examined multiple datasets that, in theory, could help us estimate how humans modify rooting distributions. First, we offer a description of selected datasets followed by an explanation of our selection from those available.

133

134 We used satellite-derived, potential vegetation representing 15 land cover classes (Haxeltine & Prentice, 1996) and their potential global distribution in the absence of human activity at a 5-135 136 minute spatial resolution (Ramankutty & Foley, 1999). We compared potential vegetation classes to contemporary land cover as defined by the Global Land Cover 2000 (GLC2000) 137 138 dataset (Bartolome & Belward, 2005). GLC2000 represents 22 land cover types, which are designated according to plant functional types ascribed to satellite images and ground-truthed by 139 regional analysts. We aligned contemporary vegetation classifications with potential vegetation 140 classes according to previously published frameworks for ecoregion designation (Bartolome & 141 142 Belward, 2005), and augmented these classes to include a class for permafrost regions where

rooting depth is likely limited (Billings et al., 1997; Boike et al., 2018). These efforts resulted in

- 144 25 distinct land cover types for which rooting depths were assigned. Projected vegetation classes
- 145 were similarly developed for four Shared Socioeconomic Pathway (SSP) and Representative
- 146 Concentrations Pathway (RCP) scenarios using spatial projections of gridded, 0.5° x 0.5°
- resolution land covers for the year 2100 (Hurtt et al., 2011). All maps were adjusted to the same
- resolution for analyses using the Raster package in R (Hijmans et al., 2019).
- 149

150 For all vegetation datasets except those above 60°N (described below), we estimated biomespecific rooting depths by assigning rooting depth functions derived from empirical data 151 compiled in the Fine Root Ecology Database (FRED) and the National Ecological Observatory 152 Network (NEON) database (Iversen et al., 2021; NEON 2021). These datasets have recently 153 154 expanded rooting depth knowledge beyond earlier works (e.g., Jackson et al., 1996; Zeng, 2001; Schenk and Jackson, 2005) by accumulating new datapoints detailing root trait and distribution 155 patterns in diverse biomes (Krasowski et al., 2018; Montagnoli et al., 2018; Lozanova et al., 156 2019; Andrade et al., 2020). However, to date no one has harmonized and analyzed these 157 datasets to produce equations describing global rooting depth distributions. Their use here thus 158 represents an advance in the ways we represent rooting depths and their distributions across the 159 globe. Specifically, we used these datasets to estimate the depths by which rooting systems 160 exhibit 50% (D50), 95% (D95), and 99% (D99) of their total biomass in each land cover type. To 161 generate rooting depth functions, we assigned FRED and NEON rooting depth data to biomes 162 163 according to the position of each datapoint on our modified GLC2000 land cover map. Each set of points was checked using Google Earth to ensure that datapoints were correctly assigned. Due 164 to the resolution of the GLC2000 map, some shrubland and woodland categories were 165 incorrectly identified as cropland; for these points, we reassigned shrub-covered areas to the 166 open-closed deciduous shrubland class and woodlands to the open broadleaved deciduous forest 167 class. We then fit depth-decay curves to each set of points for each biome using the model 168 presented by Zeng (2001). Parameter values and their confidence intervals were obtained for 169 depth-decay curves using a bootstrap procedure where curves were fit to randomly-selected 170 samples (with replacement) of each set of points 1200 times as recommended by Lander (2013). 171 By using the Zeng (2001) model, we assumed that rooting depth distributions remain similar for 172 each vegetation functional type in the potential, contemporary, and future scenarios. The merit of 173

this assumption may vary with time but keeping the rooting depth of each biome's vegetation type consistent across the Holocene and into the future allows us to parse the influence of land cover change on rooting depths from that of less well-characterized phenomena.

177

To match the land cover classifications used in potential and contemporary vegetation maps to 178 biome classifications for which we have rooting depth equations, we modified estimated rooting 179 depth distributions for several land covers based on findings from region-specific literature. For 180 181 example, potential land cover datasets combine both polar and mid-latitude deserts into a single desert category based on hydrologic regimes, yet rooting depths in polar deserts are often 182 183 constrained by permafrost. We thus separated these two desert regions, reassigning deserts in polar regions to the 'tundra' classification above 60°N (Zhang et al., 2008). Further, in potential 184 185 and contemporary vegetation datasets, we reassigned evergreen forest and mixed vegetation classes above 50°N to the 'boreal' vegetation classification given previously generated 186 187 vegetation maps of northern region forests (Brandt et al., 2013; Price et al., 2013), and also assigned herbaceous and shrubland classes above 60°N to the class 'tundra' because these 188 regions exhibit low stature vegetation and lie in previously described tundra areas (Zhang et al., 189 2008). To generate maps of rooting depth, we gave potential vegetation above 60°N that was 190 previously assigned to the polar desert class a rooting depth specific to permafrost-underlain 191 regions, where roots typically do not penetrate deeper than 30 cm and 50% of root biomass is 192 typically found within 10 cm (Billings et al., 1997; Zhang et al., 2008; Boike et al., 2018; Keuper 193 194 et al. 2020). For contemporary rooting depth maps, regions above 60°N were all assigned to 195 either a permafrost underlain tundra class or boreal class, which reflect recent measurements in FRED and NEON datasets. Finally, because many remote sensing-based studies of regional 196 ecosystem fluxes omit large, lower latitude desert regions from their analyses due to the lack of 197 198 quantifiable ecosystem productivity in these systems (Zhao et al., 2005b), we omitted midlatitude deserts from rooting depth averages reported in the main text. Instead, we present rooting 199 depth metrics that incorporate the potential contribution of these mid-latitude deserts to global 200 root averages in Table 1 of the Supporting Information. Comparison of these results with those 201 reported in the text reveal an inflated influence of mid-latitude desert rooting depth estimates on 202 global averages that likely does not represent reality due to the low density of plants in true 203 deserts (Whitford & Duval, 2019). Ice-covered regions were also omitted from the analyses. 204

2	0	5
-	υ	-

To assess potential effects of global-scale perturbations projected by the year 2100 on rooting 206 depth distributions, we examined multiple SSP and RCP land cover projections from the 207 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). Projected vegetation classes were 208 developed for 4 SSP RCP scenarios (SSP2 RCP4.5, SSP1 RCP2.6, SSP4 RCP6.0, SSP5 209 RCP8.5). Landuse Harmonization datasets designate land cover classes more coarsely than either 210 GLC2000 or potential vegetation datasets, delineating primary and secondary forest regions, 211 212 primary and secondary non-forest regions, five agricultural classes, pastureland, rangeland, and urban regions (Hurtt et al., 2011). We assigned a rooting depth equation derived from 213 214 agricultural croplands in the FRED and NEON datasets to all five agricultural classes in the Landuse Harmonization dataset. For secondary non-forests, pastures, and rangelands we 215 216 assigned rooting depth equations representing herbaceous and grassland systems in the FRED and NEON datasets. Because most secondary forests in these scenarios were in the boreal region, 217 we assigned secondary forests the average root depth value (107.5 cm) of mixed forests (130 cm) 218 and boreal forests (85 cm). Primary forests were assigned depth values generated from the 219 average of all forest classes in the contemporary dataset, and primary non-forests were assigned 220 depths generated by averaging contemporary grassland and shrubland classes. Reflecting 221 anticipated warming and large projected losses of permafrost in the northern hemisphere 222 (Lawrence & Slater, 2005), rooting depths assigned in all future scenarios removed permafrost 223 constraints. 224

225

We examined multiple datasets describing contemporary global root distributions (Schenk and 226 Jackson, 2009) and landcover scenarios across time (Hurtt et al., 2011) as potential candidates 227 for addressing the degree to which humans modify the rooted volume of Earth's subsurface. 228 229 Such datasets have been pivotal in developing our understanding of and appreciation for the depths of deep roots (Schenk & Jackson, 2005; Schenk, 2005; Pierret et al., 2016), and the 230 Landuse Harmonization (LUH) scenarios represent the best available data for future land cover 231 classifications to date (Hurtt et al., 2020). However, the Schenk and Jackson dataset does not 232 describe roots in agricultural lands, ploughed and fertilized lands, or wetlands (Schenk and 233 Jackson, 2005), and is not divided into land cover classes that can be integrated with datasets 234 describing potential and future land cover scenarios. The LUH scenarios combine land cover 235

classes in ways that result in the loss of important nuances in root distribution estimates in past 236 and contemporary scenarios. For example, all forest types in LUH scenarios are grouped into 237 'secondary' and 'primary' forest rather than more region-specific forest classifications (Hurtt et 238 al., 2020). In contrast, employing the GLC2000 vegetation classes with rooting depths derived 239 from FRED and NEON data, which include data from Jackson et al. (1996), permitted us to 240 examine two key features of interest. First, this approach permitted incorporation of agricultural 241 land cover classes — a feature that is absent in datasets featuring root distributions alone. 242 243 Second, the Ramankutty and Foley (1999) dataset serves as the only spatially quantified representation of the potential land cover in the absence of human activity at a 5-minute 244 resolution, allowing for detailed backcasting of estimates of human-induced changes to roots. 245

246

247 Using the R raster package (RStudio Team, 2017; Hijmans et al., 2019), we assigned rooting depth values to each land cover classification of the potential, contemporary, and projected 248 249 vegetation maps, and calculated global means of each depth metric. After determining the differences in rooting depths across scenarios, we examined the spatial extent of depth changes 250 to determine differences in rooted volume across scenarios. We then compared metrics across 251 time using 95% confidence intervals of the mean estimates of global rooting depth metrics. 252 Estimates of rooting depth, reflect measurement uncertainty, particularly at deeper depths 253 (Schenk and Jackson, 2002b). However, because we applied root measurements in a consistent 254 manner across potential, contemporary, and projected vegetation maps, we can assess relative 255 256 differences of root distributions across these different scenarios. We performed correlated t-tests on pairs of rasterized parameter estimate maps (i.e., potential vs. contemporary, and 257 contemporary vs. projected) to determine whether differences between these estimated rooting 258 depth metrics are significantly different from zero. Data were assessed to ensure they met the 259 assumptions of correlated t-tests, including independence of observations, normal distribution of 260 the dependent variable, and no dependent variable outliers. Where data did not meet the 261 assumptions, we ran Wilcoxon tests on the dataset pairs to assess differences in root depth 262 metrics and reported the V-statistics and associated *P*-values generated from those tests. 263

#### 264 **3 Results**

a)

- Comparisons of potential and contemporary land cover (Figures 1a and b) and their estimated 265 rooting depths (Figures 1c and d) suggest that spatially averaged, global values of D99 are the 266 net result of two competing phenomena: shallowing of roots in agricultural regions and 267 deepening of roots in regions experiencing woody encroachment. Specifically, the global 268 average D99 is 5% shallower (8 cm) under contemporary land cover distributions than if 269 potential vegetation cover types covered Earth's terrestrial surface (V =  $7.11 \times 10^{11}$ , Wilcoxon P 270 < 0.0001; Figures 1c and d, Table S1). This represents a loss of rooted volume of  $\sim 11,600$  km<sup>3</sup>. 271 Values of D95 for contemporary land cover also express similar trends of root shallowing (6% or 272 5 cm, loss of ~7250 km<sup>3</sup>; V = 7.06 x 10<sup>11</sup>, Wilcoxon P < 0.0001; Figures S1a and b). Depth to 273 274 50% root biomass (D50), by comparison, displays relatively greater variation between contemporary and potential land cover, becoming 21% shallower (1.5 cm, 1300 km<sup>3</sup>, V = 5.32 x 275
- 276  $10^{11}$ , Wilcoxon P < 0.0001) on average (Figure S2).



b)

Figure 1. Land cover and associated rooting depths under potential vegetation in the absence of human influence (left column) and current vegetation distribution (right column). (a) Potential vegetation cover in the absence of

human activity (b) Contemporary land cover distribution from Global Land Cover 2000 (GLC2000), modified to

- correspond to potential vegetation land cover classifications. (c) and (d) depict depths by which 99% of rooting
- 281 biomass occurs (D99) under potential (c) and contemporary (d) land cover types. Inset histogram displays rooting
- depth distributions. Blue histogram reflects potential vegetation data, and red histogram contemporary land cover.
- 283 Dashed vertical lines represent means. Appearance of a distinct color change from dark blue to light grey in Asia
- and Canada at 60°N in (c) is an artifact of restricting maximum rooting depth assignments to reflect well-
- characterized limitations imposed by frozen soils; this distinction is less evident in contemporary D99 maps (d)
- 286 because of the higher spatial resolution of the GLC2000 dataset. Appearance of a distinct line at 50°N, especially
- evident in (d), reflects reassignment of mixed forests to the boreal forest class above this latitude (Brandt et al.,
- 288 2013; Price et al., 2013). See text for reassignment details. While these lines are unrealistic, it reflects our current
- 289 knowledge about root depths in northern regions and demonstrates the remaining need for additional work
- 290 combining cryospheric studies and soil science to characterize root systems at relatively high latitudes.
- 291

292 Agricultural land conversion serves as a dominant influence on these global trends (Figures 2 and 3). Regions where roots experienced shallowing during the shift from potential to 293 contemporary land cover are on average 43 cm shallower (23%) than potential vegetation 294 distributions and represent ~48% of Earth's land surface (7.01 x  $10^7$  ha; Fig. 3). Thirty three 295 percent of shallowing regions (2.28 x 10<sup>7</sup> ha) experience agricultural expansion. In these areas, 296 perennial vegetation has been converted to agricultural land (defined here as annual crops and 297 managed pasture), such that D99 has decreased by as much as 33% (60 cm). The remaining 298 shallowing occurs primarily in some northern and arid regions, possibly due to increased 299 disturbance (Harsch et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2020, Hurtt et al., 2020), urbanization (Lindsey & 300 301 Bassuk, 1992; Day et al. 2010) and desertification (Lal, 2001, Zhao et al., 2005b). Where woody encroachment is evident in contemporary land cover data, D99 increased relative to 302 potential vegetation by up to 39% (38 cm; note that here we use the phrase 'woody 303 encroachment' to refer to both shrubland encroachment into grasslands, and forest encroachment 304 into Arctic and alpine tundra). This result may overestimate current rooting depths if the rooting 305 depths we assigned were derived from well-established, mature systems, given that woody plants 306 in recently encroached systems likely have not yet achieved such depths (Stevens et al., 2017; 307 Billings et al., 2018). Despite this possible overestimation, root deepening via woody 308 encroachment does not overcome the effect of root shallowing, in part because of the smaller 309 total fraction of Earth's terrestrial surface experiencing woody encroachment (35% or 5.06 x 310  $10^{7}$  ha). 311





314

315 **Figure 2**. Representation of rooting depth elongation due to woody encroachment (a and b) and rooting depth

truncation due to agricultural expansion (c and d). Blue region in B demonstrates the belowground increase in roots

317 shown in blue in Figure 3. Red region in D exemplifies loss of rooting system depth for red regions in Figure 3.

318





320 **Figure 3.** Mapped differences between potential and contemporary rooting depths. Red cells indicate a decrease in

321 the depth to 99% of rooting biomass (D99) while blue cells indicate an increase in D99 resulting from contemporary

322 vegetation distributions. Appearance of a distinct color change from dark blue to light grey and red in Asia and

323 Canada at 50°N reflects reassignment of mixed forests to the boreal forest class above this latitude (Brandt et al.,

- 324 2013; Price et al., 2013). See Figure 1 caption for additional explanation.
- 325

Changes to rooting distributions by the year 2100 vary under different potential scenarios of 326 climate and land use change as well as different societal responses to those changes. The SSP 327 scenarios examined here represent global narratives including a scenario with few roadblocks to 328 both mitigation of and adaptation to climate change (SSP1), moderate challenges to mitigation 329 and adaptation (SSP2), a scenario of social inequality with many challenges to adaptation but 330 few for mitigation (SSP4), and a strategy of fossil fuel dependence with many challenges to 331 mitigation but few to social adaptation (SSP 5, Riahi et al., 2017). These narratives are used in 332 conjunction with projected land use and climate (RCP) scenarios to model future societal and 333 ecological conditions, on which we rely for our rooting distribution estimates. 334

335

336 Projections for the year 2100 suggest that the scenario with the largest cropland increase and

- relatively low radiative forcing enhancement from current levels (SSP1 RCP2.6, Figure 4a)
- 338 generates the most extreme reduction of deep roots, truncating values of D99 by 30 cm (V = 2.16
- 339 x  $10^{10}$ , Wilcoxon *P* < 0.0001). The smallest shallowing of D99, 22.3 cm (V = 1.77 x
- $10^{10}$ , Wilcoxon P < 0.0001), occurs under the highest emissions scenario (SSP5 RCP8.5, Figure
- 4b). As a result, the future rooted volume will be reduced by  $\sim$ 32,400 km<sup>3</sup> to  $\sim$ 43,500 km<sup>3</sup>.
- 342



343

344

Figure 4. Projected changes of depth to 99% rooting biomass (D99) by the year 2100 relative to contemporary

- rooting depth distributions. Projections are based on land use and emissions changes under two combinations of
- 347 Shared Socioeconomic Pathways (SSP) and Representative Concentration Pathways (RCP), SSP1 RCP2.6 (a) and
- 348 SSP5 RCP8.5 (b). These two maps represent the scenario of greatest and least projected change, respectively. Red
- 349 colors indicate root depth truncation or shallowing, and blue indicates elongation or deepening. Appearance of a

- 350 distinct color change from dark red to light grey in Asia at 50°N reflects reassignment of mixed forests to the boreal
- forest class above this latitude (Brandt et al., 2013; Price et al., 2013; see text for reassignment details).
- 352

Values of D50 for the year 2100 experience a shallowing of 3 cm across all assessed scenarios

- $V = 2.47 \times 10^{10}$ , Wilcoxon P < 0.0001; Figure S5), representing a loss of rooted soil volume of
- <sup>355</sup> ~4400 km<sup>3</sup>. Though small relative to changes in deep root systems, this D50 shallowing is
- double that occurring during the previous ~10,000 y (Gupta, 2004) of anthropogenic land
- 357 conversion (Figure S6).

#### 358 **4 Discussion**

359 Our estimates of rooting depth and rooted soil volume suggest that root biomass throughout Earth's soils, even deep in the subsurface, has been and will continue to be vulnerable to human 360 361 influence (Figures 2, 3, 4). Although maximum rooting depths are poorly characterized and are likely deeper than is typically appreciated (Maeght et al., 2013; Pierret et al., 2016; Fan et al., 362 2017), we demonstrate that the depths to which most or half of all rooting biomass reach (i.e., 363 D99, D95, and D50) currently reflect human-induced, global-scale changes in land cover (Figure 364 365 1). We further demonstrate that root shallowing in agricultural regions (~60 cm across 2.28 x  $10^7$  ha for D99) and root deepening in regions experiencing woody encroachment (~38 cm 366 across 5.06 x 10<sup>7</sup> ha for D99) result in a globally-averaged estimate of net 8 cm shallowing of 367 D99 values. This represents a net loss of ~11,600 km<sup>3</sup> of rooted volume to date in the 368 369 Anthropocene.

370

In the future, rooting depth scenarios might be expected to reflect the elongating effects of 371 woody encroachment on D99, D95, D50 and rooted soil volume to a yet greater extent, given the 372 apparent role of rising atmospheric  $CO_2$  concentrations in promoting woody encroachment 373 (Devine et al. 2017). However, the four IPCC scenarios explored here suggest that by 2100, 374 globally-averaged rooting distributions may become yet shallower relative to contemporary 375 rooting depths (Figures 4, S4 and S5). Reduced rooting depths by 2100 are driven by substantial 376 root shallowing across regions of Africa, the Middle East, Asia and Australia (Fig. 4), where 377 deeply rooted shrublands are projected to transition to herbaceous grasslands and where there is 378 continued agricultural and pasture expansion (Hurtt et al. 2020). In both cases, a more shallowly 379 380 rooted, herbaceous vegetation cover replaces the current, more deeply rooted vegetation, either

as a consequence of shifting climate or land cover change. These transitions result in a nearly

three-fold decrease in our two relatively deep rooting depth metrics (D95 and D99) and a two-

fold decrease in D50 by the year 2100, suggesting that roots across Earth's subsurface will be

subject to extensive additional anthropogenic changes in the future and that the deepest roots

385 appear especially vulnerable to loss.

386

The global patterns we observed are strongly driven by trends in boreal and tundra regions, 387 388 where mapped scenarios suggest patterns of both root shallowing and deepening (Figs. 2, 4, S5, and S6), and thus uncertainty about temporal dynamics of roots. While some studies hint that 389 390 roots may deepen as soils currently designated as permafrost thaw (Harsch et al., 2009; Sistla et al., 2013; Malhotra et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2020), others suggest that long term changes in 391 392 snowpack will produce extremes in soil freeze/thaw cycles that will reduce vegetation survival and rooting depth (Groffman et al., 2001; Blume-Werry et al., 2016). Most of our scenarios 393 394 suggest deepening of D99 and D95 in northern regions over time, lending support to findings of deepening roots as permafrost thaws (Figs. 3 and 4). However, contemporary D50 maps 395 demonstrate shallowing relative to potential vegetation in these same regions (Fig. S6), implying 396 that roots in boreal and tundra regions may be experiencing a more general change in the 397 curvature of rooting depth distributions instead of consistently deepening over time. These 398 observations support findings of altered root distributions where permafrost experiences altered 399 seasonal cycles, such as longer growing seasons (Blume-Werry et al. 2019). Data describing 400 401 rooting depths in these regions are more limited than in many other ecoregions (Iversen et al., 2021; NEON 2021), resulting in less certainty about future rooting depths in areas currently 402 underlain by permafrost, and likely leading to the varied findings in our maps. 403

404

In maps of D50, additional regions also suggest that rooting depth distributions are undergoing a general change in curvature as a response to anthropogenic change. Shallowing D50 values are evident across potential, contemporary, and future scenarios (Figs. 3, 4, S5 and S6), and these D50 metrics appear to become shallower to a greater extent between contemporary and future (i.e., 2100) scenarios compared to the D50 changes that appear to have taken place already. This finding suggests that anthropogenically-induced changes in the root abundances of surficial soil horizons within the coming decades will likely exceed those of the past several millennia.

Shallowing D50 values occur alongside both shallowing and deepening of D99 and D95 values 412 in different regions of the globe, hinting of a trend of reshaped root distributions. Recently 413 collected data from the FRED and NEON databases make this change in curvature more 414 415 apparent than some of the individual datasets on which they build (Canadell et al., 1996; Zeng, 2001; Schenk and Jackson, 2005), highlighting the importance of continuing to characterize the 416 distribution of roots across the globe for understanding both the depths to which roots proliferate, 417 and the shape of their depth distributions. These most recent advances in FRED and NEON D50 418 419 data emphasize that even relatively shallow soil horizons (*i.e.*, those expressed by D50), where both natural and agricultural species root, will undergo redistribution in the coming decades, 420 421 with roots shifting the curvature of their distributions in response to regional changes in land use and climate. 422

423

There are myriad feasible consequences of altered rooting depth distributions for biogeochemical 424 and hydrological fluxes that prompt intriguing hypotheses. For example, roots beneath the zone 425 of maximum rooting density are attributed to developing the soils that mantle Earth's surface, so 426 much so that they are referred to as the planet's biotic weathering front, where life - roots and 427 microbes - promotes the dissolution of bedrock (Richter & Markewitz, 1995; Berner et al., 428 2003; Brantley et al., 2012; Pawlik, 2013; Dontsova et al., 2020). Results from the current study 429 suggest that these biotic weathering forces in many temperate and tropical regions do not reach 430 as deeply into the regolith as they did prior to human influence (Figure 3), prompting the 431 432 hypothesis that the intensity of biotic processes responsible for soil formation at the bottom of the soil profile have declined in the Anthropocene. Further, a smaller volume of soil explored by 433 rooting systems of some regions prompts the hypothesis that soil water storage capacity, nutrient 434 replenishment, and solute losses from freshly weathered material have similarly declined 435 (Swank, 1986; Nepstad et al., 1994; Berner, 1998). In contrast, in regions where root deepening 436 is occurring, we might expect increases in the influences of biotic weathering deep in the soil 437 profile. 438

439

440 Our findings serve as a useful starting point for formulating and probing these hypotheses.

441 Although this study makes a first attempt at measuring the extent of anthropogenically-induced

442 changes in rooting systems at a global scale, it also points to key knowledge gaps. The

uncertainty embedded in the projections reported here highlights the substantial need for better 443 quantification of rooting distributions in diverse biomes, particularly for deep roots, and how we 444 quantify their future dynamics. One challenge to global root quantification is the lack of 445 446 correspondence between potential, contemporary and future land cover classifications. These incongruencies sometimes result in estimated changes in regionally-specific rooting depths that 447 contrast with current knowledge about anticipated vegetation transitions. In the current study, 448 place-based literature provided invaluable constraints on rooting depths for many ecosystems, 449 450 but rooting depths in many regions of Asia, Australia, and Africa remain understudied. A lack of data describing contemporary rooting depth distributions in northern regions and estimates of 451 vegetative cover and associated rooting depths in the future also emerged as important 452 knowledge gaps (see especially Figure 1c). Additionally, there is a great deal of uncertainty in 453 454 estimates of the deepest roots worldwide (Shenk & Jackson, 2002). Indeed, many of the deepest roots have been observed incidentally, suggesting that we have not yet sampled roots to their 455 fullest extent (Fan et al., 2017). 456

457

We suggest that CZ research combining empirical and modeling approaches could help focus 458 future research efforts on these critical gaps. First, empirical studies clarifying the ways in which 459 global rooting distributions are changing could help with the development of decadal- to 460 centennial-scale responses of extant ecosystems to climate change. Specifically, the leveraging 461 of on-going climate experiments (e.g., Caplan et al., 2019), naturally existing climatic gradients 462 (e.g., Ziegler et al., 2017), and chronosequences (e.g., Billings et al., 2018) could demonstrate 463 how rooting depths respond to global changes to temperature and precipitation, as well as reveal 464 quantitative relationships between rooting depth distributions and their impacts on soil formation 465 processes, especially at depth. Focusing these studies in regions with relatively less research will 466 improve our understanding of root-induced processes at the global scale. 467

468

Additionally, empirical and modeling studies examining the biogeochemical consequences of
rooting depth change are critical. More extensive work either directly measuring subsurface
biogeochemical fluxes as they respond to changes in rooting depth distributions, or modeling of
biogeochemical processes that project such fluxes, will be invaluable for generating input
parameters representing subsurface biogeochemical fluxes in ESMs. Because terrestrial

vegetation exerts a fundamental global control on land-atmosphere exchanges of water, energy, 474 C, and other elements, improved representation of rooting distributions in global land models 475 such as the Community Land Model (Lawrence et al., 2019) is of critical importance. This is 476 477 particularly true as more sophisticated aboveground and belowground vegetation and biogeochemical processes are incorporated into these models (e.g., Tang et al., 2013; Fisher et 478 al., 2017; Kennedy et al., 2019). With improved fidelity to biophysical and biogeochemical 479 processes comes the corresponding opportunity to explore the potential consequences of changes 480 481 in global rooting depths on land-atmosphere exchanges of water, energy, and C, and the largescale ramifications that changes in rooting depths have for climate. Well-designed numerical 482 experiments could elucidate the relative impacts of exogenous (e.g., agricultural conversion, 483 woody encroachment) versus endogenous (e.g., water and nutrient limitation) drivers of changes 484 485 in rooting depths on terrestrial cycling of water, energy, and C. These modeling efforts can feedback into empirical studies by illuminating regions where rooting depth knowledge is not 486 sufficient and by pointing toward parameters requiring more explicit definition to improve future 487 predictions. Such integrative studies would strengthen the nascent interactions between ESM and 488 CZ communities to address pressing questions about global change that cannot be solved without 489 substantial input from both disciplines (National Academy of Sciences, Engineering and 490 Medicine, 2020). The improved representation of changing rooting depth distributions can link 491 these research communities, representing a critical collaboration for understanding current and 492 future functioning of Earth's CZ and climate. 493

#### 494 **5** Conclusion

495 Losses of relatively deep roots suggest an overlooked and subtle mechanism by which humans alter soil and ecosystem development. It is well established that humans accelerate losses of 496 497 surface soil via erosion, which can result in a thinning of Earth's skin of soil (Wilkinson and McElroy, 2007). In contrast, altered rooting depths deep in soil profiles and associated shifts in 498 499 rooted volume due to anthropogenic land use and climate change suggest a means by which human actions may govern soil thickness near the bottom of soil profiles. These shifts in rooting 500 distributions support the idea that signals of the Anthropocene penetrate deeply into the 501 subsurface even in naturally-occurring elemental cycles (Billings et al., 2018). Indications of 502 503 widespread human transformation of land cover across millennia (Edgeworth et al., 2015) imply

that reductions in deep root abundances have been underway in multiple regions for a similar 504 length of time. Though improving process representation in land models continues apace (Fisher 505 and Koven, 2020), the representation of rooting depth distributions remains largely a static 506 function of only PFT (cf. Drewniak, 2019). We present an opportunity to advance a dynamic 507 representation of roots in land models by better constraining how rooting depth distributions vary 508 with global change, as well as by identifying specific ecological processes particularly suited to 509 better quantifying the dynamics of rooting, both past and future (e.g., regions of woody 510 511 encroachment). Co-designed modeling, field and lab studies are needed to help clarify the consequences of rooting depth changes for contemporary and future CZ development. Such 512 studies can elucidate the ways in which surficial anthropogenic activities radiate deep within 513 Earth's subsurface, altering the developmental pace and character of Earth's CZ. 514

#### 515 Acknowledgments

516 We thank Drs. Jorge Soberón, A. Townsend Peterson, Daniel Richter and Paulyn Cartwright for

offering helpful insights. We extend appreciation to Dr. Dan Markewitz for sharing his thoughts

about root extension into the subsoil, and to multiple anonymous reviewers for their comments.

519 Funding for this work was provided by NSF 1331846 and NSF 1841614. This material is also

520 based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Award No. OIA-1656006

and matching support from the State of Kansas through the Kansas Board of Regents.

#### 522 Data Availability and Code Availability

- 523 The original GLC2000 dataset modified for this analysis can be accessed at
- 524 <u>https://forobs.jrc.ec.europa.eu/products/glc2000/products.php</u>. The unmodified potential
- 525 vegetation data can be found at <u>https://daac.ornl.gov/cgi-bin/dsviewer.pl?ds\_id=961</u>. All future
- <sup>526</sup> land use projections can be accessed through the Landuse Harmonization data portal at
- 527 <u>http://luh.umd.edu/data.shtml</u>. Rasters modified as described in Methods for contemporary and
- 528 potential land cover, along with root depth assignment .csv files and code are available on

- 529 Zenodo (<u>https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.6522673</u>).
- 530

542

#### 531 Author Contributions

- 532 SAB and EMH conceived of the idea with input from PLS. Analyses were developed and
- implemented by EMH and SAB. The manuscript was written by EMH and SAB with input from
- 534 PLS, ANF and DH.

#### 535 **References**

- Andrade, E., Rosa, G. Q., Almeida, A. M., Silva, A. G. R. D., & Sena, M. G. T.
  (2020). Rainfall regime on fine root growth in a seasonally dry tropical forest. *Revista Caatinga*, 33, 458-469.
- Austin, J.J.C., Perry, A., Richter, D. D. & Schroeder, P. A. (2018). Modifications of 2:1 clay
   minerals in a kaolinite-dominated Ultisol under changing landuse regimes. *Clays and Clay Minerals*, 66, 61-73. doi: 10.1346/CCMN.2017.064085
- Bartolome, E. & Belward, A.S. (2005). GLC2000: A new approach to global land cover
  mapping from Earth observation data. *International Journal of Remote Sensing, 26,*1959-1977. doi: 10.1080/01431160412331291297
- Berner, R. A. (1998). The carbon cycle and carbon dioxide over Phanerozoic time: the role of
  land plants. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences*, 353, 75-82.
- Berner, E. K., Berner, R. A., & Moulton, K. L. (2003). Plants and mineral weathering: present
   and past. *TrGeo*, *5*, 605. doi: 10.1098/rstb.1998.0192
- Billings, S.A., Hirmas, D., Sullivan, P. L., Lehmeier, C. A., Bagchi, S., Min, K., Brecheisen, Z.,
  Hauser, E., Stair, R., Flournoy, R. & Richter, D.D. (2018). Loss of deep roots limits
  agents of soil development that are only partially restored by decades of forest
  regeneration. *Elementa Science of the Anthropocene*, *6*, 34.
  doi:10.1525/elementa.287
- Billings, W. D., Peterson, K. M., Shaver, G. R., & Trent, A. W. (1977). Root growth, respiration,
  and carbon dioxide evolution in an arctic tundra soil. *Arctic and Alpine Research*, *9*, 129137.
- Boike, J., Juszak, I., Lange, S., Chadburn, S., Burke, E., Paul Overduin, P., ... & Gouttevin, I.
  (2018). A 20-year record (1998–2017) of permafrost, active layer and meteorological
  conditions at a high Arctic permafrost research site
  (Bayelva, Spitsbergen). *Earth System Science Data*, 10, 355-390. doi: 10.5194/essd-10-
- 563
   (Bayelva, Spitsbergen). Earth System Science Data, 10, 355-390. doi: 10.5194/essd-10 

   564
   355-2018
- 565

566 567	Blume-Werry, G., Kreyling, J., Laudon, H., & Milbau, A. (2016). Short-term climate change manipulation effects do not scale up to long-term legacies: Effects of an absent snow
568	cover on boreal forest plants. <i>Journal of Ecology</i> 104, 1638-1648
569	
570	Blume-Werry, G., Milbau, A., Teuber, L. M., Johansson, M., & Dorrepaal, E. (2019), Dwelling
571	in the deep-strongly increased root growth and rooting depth enhance plant interactions
572	with thawing permafrost soil. <i>New Phytologist</i> , 223, 1328-1339.
573	
574	Brandt, J.P., Flannigan, M.D., Maynard, D.G., Thompson, I.D. & Volney, W.J.A. (2013). An
575	introduction to Canada's boreal zone: ecosystem processes, health, sustainability and
576	environmental issues. Environmental Reviews, 21. doi:10. 1139/er-2013-0040.
577	
578	Brantley, S. L., Eissenstat, D. M., Marshall, J. A., Godsey, S. E., Balogh-Brunstad, Z., Karwan,
579	D. L., Papuga, S. A., Roering, J., Dawson, T. E., Evaristo, J., Chadwick, O.,
580	McDonnell, J. J., & Weathers, K. C. (2017). Reviews and syntheses: On the roles trees
581	play in building and plumbing the Critical Zone. <i>Biogeosciences</i> , 14, 5115-5142.
582	doi: <u>10.5194/bg-14-5115-2017</u>
583	
584	Brantley, S. L., Lebedeva, M., & Hausrath, E. M. (2012). A geobiological view of weathering
585	and erosion. Fundamentals of Geobiology, 205-227. doi: 10.1002/9781118280874.ch12
586	
587	Canadell, J., Jackson, R. B., Ehleringer, J. B., Mooney, H. A., Sala, O. E. & Schulze E-D.
588	(1996). Maximum rooting depth of vegetation types at the global scale. Oecologia, 108,
589	583-595. doi: 10.1007/BF00329030
590	
591	Caplan, J.S., Gimenez, D., Hirmas, D.R., Brunsell, N.A., Blair, J.M. & Knapp, A.K. (2019).
592	Decadal-scale shifts in soil hydraulic properties as induced by altered precipitation. Sci
593	<i>Adv.</i> 5 :eaau6635. doi:10.1126/sciadv.aau6635.
594	
595	Cramer, W., Bondeau, A., Woodward, I., Prentice, I., Betts, R., Brovkin, V., Cox, P., Fisher, V.,
596	Foley, J., Friend, A., Kucharik, C., Lomas, M., Ramankutty, N., Sitch, S., Smith, B.,
597	White, A. & Young-Molling, C.(2001). Global response of terrestrial ecosystem structure
598	and function to CO2 and climate change: results from six dynamic global vegetation
599	models. <i>Global Change Biology</i> , 7, 357-373. doi:10.1046/j.13652486.2001.00383.x
600	
601	Day, S. D., Wiseman, P. E., Dickinson, S. B., & Harris, J. R. (2010). Contemporary concepts of
602	root system architecture of urban trees. Arboriculture & Urban Forestry, 36, 149-159.
603	
604	Devine, A.P., McDonald, R.A., Quaite, T. & Maclean, I.M.D. (2017). Determinants of woody
605	Encroachment and cover in African savannas. <i>Oecologia</i> , 183, 939-951.
606	
607	Dontsova, K., Balogh-Brunstad, Z. & Chorover, J. (2020). Plants as Drivers of Rock Weathering.
608	In <i>Biogeochemical Cycles</i> (eds K. Dontsova, Z. Balogh-Brunstad and G. Le Roux).
609	doi:10.1002/9/81119413332.cn2

610	Drewniak, B. A. (2019). Simulating Dynamic Roots in the Energy Exascale Earth System Land
611	Model. Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 11, 338–359. doi: 10.1020/2018MS001334
612	10.1029/2016/01534
614	DuPont S. T. Culmon S. W. Forris, H. Buckley, D. H. & Clover, J. D. (2010). No tillago
615	Duronit, S. T., Cumian, S. W., Ferris, H., Duckley, D. H., & Olover, J. D. (2010). No-unage
615	decreases active corbon stocks, and impacts soil biota. <i>Agriculture, accountered</i>
617	anvironment 137 25 32 doi: 10.1016/j.ageo.2000.12.021
619	environment, 157, 25-52. doi: 10.1010/J.agec.2009.12.021
610	DuPont S.T. Beniston, I. Glover, I.D. Hodson, A. Culman, S.W. Lal, R. & Ferris, H.
620	(2014) Root traits and soil properties in harvested perennial grassland annual wheat and
621	never-tilled annual wheat <i>Plant and Soil 38</i> 405-420
622	never-tined annual wheat. I tant and 50tt, 50, 405-420.
623	Edgeworth M Richter D D Waters C Haff P Neal C & Price S I (2015) Diachronous
624	beginnings of the Anthropocene: The lower bounding surface of anthropogenic deposits
625	<i>The Anthropocene Review, 2</i> , 33-58. doi: 10.1177/2053019614565394
626	Ellis, E.C., Goldewijk, K. K., Siebert, S., Lightman, D. & Ramankutty, N. (2010).
627	Anthropogenic transformation of the biomes, 1700 to 2000. Global Ecology and
628	Biogeography, 19, 589-606. doi: 10.1111/j.1466-8238.2010.00540.x
629	Fan, Y., Miguez-Macho G., Jobbágy, F. G., Jackson, R. B. & Otero-Casal, C. (2017).
630	Hydrologic regulation of plant rooting depth. Proceedings of the National. Academy of
631	<i>Science USA</i> , <i>114</i> , 10572-10577. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1712381114
632	Fisher, R. A., & Koven, C. D. (2020). Perspectives on the Future of Land Surface Models and
633	the Challenges of Representing Complex Terrestrial Systems. Journal of Advances in
634	Modeling Earth Systems, 12, 1–24. doi: 10.1029/2018MS001453
635	
636	Fisher, R. A., Koven, C. D., Anderegg, W. R. L., Christoffersen, B. O., Dietze, M. C., Farrior, C.
637	E., Holm, J. A., Hurtt, G. C., Knox, R. G., Lawrence, P. J., Lichstein, J. W., Longo, M.,
638	Matheny, A. M., Medvigy, D., Muller-Landau, H. C., Powell, T. L., Serbin, S. P., Sato,
639	H., Shuman, J. K., Moorcroft, P. R. (2017). Vegetation demographics in Earth System
640	Models: A review of progress and priorities. <i>Global Change Biology</i> , 24, 35–54. doi:
641	10.1111/gcb.13910
642	
643	Groffman, P. M., Driscoll, C. T., Fahey, T. J., Hardy, J. P., Fitzhugh, R. D., & Tierney, G. L.
644	(2001). Colder soils in a warmer world: a snow manipulation study in a northern
645	hardwood forest ecosystem. <i>Biogeochemistry</i> , 56, 135-150.
646	
647	Gupta, A.K. (2004). Origin of agriculture and domestication of plants and animals linked to
648	early Holocene climate amelioration. <i>Current Science</i> , 87, 54-59.
649	Harsch, M. A., Hulme, P. E., McGlone, M. S., & Duncan, R. P. (2009). Are treelines advancing?
650	A global meta-analysis of treeline response to climate warming. Ecology Letters, 12,
651	1040-1049. doi: 10.1111/j.1461-0248.2009.01355.x
652	Hasenmueller, E.A., Gu, X., Weitzman, J. N., Adams, T. S., Stinchcomb, G. E., Eissenstat, D.
653	M., Drohan, P. J., Brantley, S. L., Kaye, J. P. (2017). Weathering of rock to regolith: The

654 655	activity of deep roots in bedrock fractures. <i>Geoderma</i> , 300, 11-31. doi: 10.1016/j.geoderma.2017.03.020
656 657 658	Haxeltine, A., & Prentice, I. C. (1996). BIOME3: An equilibrium terrestrial biosphere model based on ecophysiological constraints, resource availability, and competition among plant functional types. <i>Global Biogeochemical Cycles</i> , <i>10</i> , 693-709. doi: 10.1029/96GB02344
659	Hertel, D., Harteveld, M. A., & Leuschner, C. (2009). Conversion of a tropical forest into
660	agroforest alters the fine root-related carbon flux to the soil. <i>Soil Biology and</i>
661	Biochemistry, 41, 481-490. doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2008.11.020
662	Hijmans, R. J., van Etten, J., Sumner, M., Cheng, J., Baston, D., Bevan, A., et al. (2019).
663	"Geographic Data Analysis and Modeling," (CRAN, v. 3.0-7, 2019; https://cran.r-
664	project.org/web/packages/raster/raster.pdf).
665	Hurtt, G. C., Chini, L. P., Frolking, S., Betts, R. A., Feddema, J., Fischer, G., & Jones, C. D.
666	(2011). Harmonization of land-use scenarios for the period 1500–2100: 600 years of
667	global gridded annual land-use transitions, wood harvest, and resulting secondary lands.
668	<i>Climatic Change</i> , 109, 117. doi: 10.1007/s10584-011-0153-2
<ul> <li>669</li> <li>670</li> <li>671</li> <li>672</li> <li>673</li> <li>674</li> <li>675</li> <li>676</li> <li>677</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Hurtt, G. C., Chini, L., Sahajpal, R., Frolking, S., Bodirsky, B. L., Calvin, K., Doelman, J. C., Fisk, J., Fujimori, S., Goldewijk, K. K., Hasegawa, T., Havlik, P., Heinimann, A., Humpenöder, F., Jungclaus, J., Kaplan, J., Kennedy, J., Kristzin, T., Lawrence, D., Lawrence, P., Ma, L., Mertz, O., Pongratz, J., Popp, A., Poulter, B., Riahi, K., Shevliakova, E., Stehfest, E., Thornton, P., Tubiello, F. N., van Vuuren, D. P., and Zhang, X. (2020). Harmonization of Global Land-Use Change and Management for the Period 850–2100 (LUH2) for CMIP6. <i>Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss.</i>, <i>13</i>, 5425-5464. https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-2019-360.</li> </ul>
678	Iversen, C.M. (2010). Digging deeper: Fine-root responses to rising atmospheric CO <sub>2</sub>
679	concentration in forested ecosystems. <i>New Phytologist</i> , 186, 346-357.
680	doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2009.03122.x
681	Iversen, C. M., McCormack, M. L., Powell, A. S., Blackwood, C. B., Freschet, G. T., Kattge, J.,
682	& van Bodegom, P. M. (2017). A global fine-root ecology database to address below-
683	ground challenges in plant ecology. <i>New Phytologist</i> , 215, 15-26.
685	Iversen, C.M., McCormack, M. L., Baer, J.K., Powell, A.S., Chen, W., Collins, C., &
686	Zadworny, M. (2021). Fine-Root Ecology Database (FRED): A global collection of root
687	trait data with coincident site, vegetation, edaphic, and climatic data, version 3. Oak
688	Ridge National Laboratory, TES SFA, U.S. Department of Energy, Oak Ridge,
689	Tennessee, U.S.A. Access on-line at: https://doi.org/10.25581/ornlsfa.014/1459186.
690	Jackson, R.B., Canadell, J., Ehleringer, J. R., Mooney, H. A., Sala, O.E. & Schulze, E. D. (1996).
691	A global analysis of root distributions for terrestrial biomes. <i>Oecologia</i> , 108, 389-411.
692	doi: 10.1007/BF00333714
693 694 695 696	Jaramillo, V. J., Ahedo-Hernández, R., & Kauffman, J. B. (2003). Root biomass and carbon in a tropical evergreen forest of Mexico: changes with secondary succession and forest conversion to pasture. <i>Journal of Tropical Ecology</i> , <i>19</i> , 457-464. doi: 10. 1017/S0266467403003493

697	
698	Jobbagy, E. G. & Jackson, R. B. (2001) The distribution of soil nutrients with depth: Global
699	patterns and the imprint of plants. <i>Biogeochemistry</i> , 53, 51-77.
700	doi: 10.1023/A:1010/60/20215
701	
702	Jordan, T. Ashley G. M., Barton, M. D., Burges, S. J., Farley, K. A., Freeman, K. H., Jeanloz, R.,
703	Marshall, C. R., Orcutt, J.A., Richter, F.M. et al. (Eds.). (2001). Basic research
704	opportunities in Earth Science. Washington D.C.: National Academy Press.
705	
706 707	Kennedy, D., Swenson, S., Oleson, K. W., Lawrence, D. M., Fisher, R., Lola da Costa, A. C., & Gentine, P. (2019). Implementing Plant Hydraulics in the Community Land Model,
708	Version 5. Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 11, 485–513. doi:
709	<u>10.1029/2018MS001500</u>
710	
711	Keuper, F., Wild, B., Kummu, M., Beer, C., Blume-Werry, G., Fontaine, S., & Dorrepaal, E.
712	(2020). Carbon loss from northern circumpolar permatrost soils amplified by rhizosphere
713	priming. Nature Geoscience, 13, 560-565.
714	Klaiden A (2002) Clabel detects of reating more doubling from inverse motheds
/15	Lournal of Climato 17, 2741, 2722, doi: 10.1175/1520
/16	Journal of Climale, 17, 2741-2722. doi: 10.1175/1520- 0442(2004)017<2714:CDOPZD>2.0 CO:2
/1/	0442(2004)01/<2/14:GDORZD>2.0.CO;2
/18	Klaidan A. & Haimann M (1008) A mathed of datamaining reacting doubt from a tomastrial
720	biognhore model and its impacts on the global water and earbon evale. <i>Clobal Change</i>
720	Biology 4, 275,286, doi: 10.1046/j.1265.2486.1008.00152.v
721	<i>Diology</i> , 4, 275-280. doi: 10.1040/j.1505-2480.1598.00152.x
722	Krasowski M I Laviane M B Szuter M A Olesinski I Kershaw I A & McGarriale F
723	(2018) A ge-related changes in survival and turnover rates of balsam fir (A bies balsamea
725	(L) Mill) fine roots. Tree physiology 38, 865-876
726	(E.) which the roots. The physiology, 50, 005 070.
720	Lal R (2001) Potential of desertification control to sequester carbon and mitigate the
728	greenhouse effect. <i>Climatic change</i> 51, 35-72.
729	groomicase encour cumane change, er, ee 72.
730	Lander, J.P. (2013). <i>R for everyone: Advanced analytics and graphics</i> . Addison-Wesley, Boston.
731	MA.
732	
733	Lawrence, D. M., Fisher, R. A., Koven, C. D., Oleson, K. W., Swenson, S. C., Bonan, G.,
734	Collier, N., Ghimire, B., Kampenhout, L., Kennedy, D., Kluzek, E., Lawrence, P. J., Li,
735	F., Li, H., Lombardozzi, D., Riley, W. J., Sacks, W. J., Shi, M., Vertenstein, M., Zeng,
736	X. (2019). The Community Land Model Version 5: Description of New Features,
737	Benchmarking, and Impact of Forcing Uncertainty. Journal of Advances in Modeling
738	Earth Systems, 11, 4245–4287. doi: 10.1029/2018MS001583

739 740 741	Lawrence, D. M., & Slater, A. G. (2005). A projection of severe near-surface permafrost degradation during the 21st century. <i>Geophysical Research Letters</i> , 32, L24401. doi:10.1029/2005GL025080.
742 743 744	Lindsey, P., & Bassuk, N. (1992). Redesigning the urban forest from the ground below: A new approach to specifying adequate soil volumes for street trees. <i>Arboricultural Journal, 16</i> , 25-39.
745 746 747	<ul> <li>Lozanova, L., Zhiyanski, M., Vanguelova, E., Doncheva, S., Marinov, M. P., &amp; Lazarova, S. (2019). Dynamics and vertical distribution of roots in European Beech forests and Douglas Fir plantations in Bulgaria. <i>Forests</i>, 10, 1123.</li> </ul>
748 749	Maeght, J. L., Rewald, B. & Pierret, A. (2013). How to study deep roots and why it matters. <i>Frontiers in Plant Science, 4</i> , 299. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2013.00299
750 751 752	Malhotra, A., Brice, D. J., Childs, J., Graham, J. D., Hobbie, E. A., Vander Stel, H., &Iversen, C. M. (2020). Peatland warming strongly increases fine-root growth. <i>Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences</i> , 117, 17627-17634.
753 754 755 756	McCormack, M. L., Crisfield, E., Raczka, B., Schnekenburger, F., Eissenstat, D. M., & Smithwick, E. A. (2015). Sensitivity of four ecological models to adjustments in fine root turnover rate. <i>Ecological modelling</i> , 297, 107-117.
757 758 759 760	<ul> <li>McCormack, M. L., Guo, D., Iversen, C. M., Chen, W., Eissenstat, D. M., Fernandez, C. W.,</li> <li>&amp; Reich, P. B. (2017). Building a better foundation: Improving root-trait measurements to understand and model plant and ecosystem processes. <i>New Phytologist</i>, 215, 27-37.</li> </ul>
761 762 763 764	Montagnoli, A., Terzaghi, M., Giussani, B., Scippa, G. S., & Chiatante, D. (2018). An integrated method for high-resolution definition of new diameter-based fine root sub-classes of Fagus sylvatica L. <i>Annals of forest science</i> , 75, 1-13.
765 766 767 768	National Academy of Sciences, Engineering and Medicine. (2020). <i>A Vision for NSF Earth</i> <i>Sciences 2020-2030: Earth in Time</i> . Washington, DC: The National Academies Press. <u>https://doi.org/10.17226/25761</u> .
769 770 771 772	NEON (National Ecological Observatory Network). Root biomass and chemistry, Megapit, RELEASE-2021 (DP1.10066.001). https://doi.org/10.48443/s6k6-8h54. Dataset accessed from https://data.neonscience.org on November 22, 2021
773 774 775 776	<ul> <li>Nepstad, D.C., Carvalho, C. R. d., Davidson, E. A., Jipp, P. H., Lefebvre, P. A., Negreiros, G. H., da Silva, E. D., Stone, T. A., Trumbore, S. E. &amp; Vieira, S. (1994). The role of deep roots in the hydrological and carbon cycles of Amazonian forests. <i>Nature</i>, <i>372</i>, 666-669. doi: 10.1038/372666a0</li> </ul>
777 778	Nippert, J.B. & Knapp, A.K. (2007). Linking water uptake with rooting patterns in grassland species. <i>Oecologia</i> , 153, 261-272. doi: 10.1007/s00442-007-0745-8
779 780	Pawlik, L. (2013). The role of trees in the geomorphic system of forested hillslopes—A review.

781 782	Earth-Science Reviews, 126, 250-265. doi: 10.1016/j.earscirev.2013.08.007
783 784 785 786	Pierret, A., Maeght, J. L., Clement, C., Montoroi, J. P., Hartman, C., & Gonkhamdee, S. (2016). Understanding deep roots and their functions in ecosystems: An advocacy for more unconventional research. <i>Annals of Botany</i> , 118, 621-625. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcw130</u>
787 788 789	Price, D.T., Alfaro, R.I., Brown, K.J., Flannigan, M.D., Fleming, R.A., Hogg, E.H., & Venier, L.A. (2013). Anticipating the consequences of climate change for Canada's boreal forest ecosystems. <i>Environmental Reviews</i> , 21, 322-326.
790 791 792 793	Ramankutty, N. & Foley, J.A. (1999). Estimating historical changes in global land cover: Croplands from 1700 to 1992. <i>Global Biogeochemical Cycles</i> , <i>13</i> , 997-1027. <u>doi: 10.1029/1999GB900046</u>
794 795 796	Richter, D. D. & Markewitz, D. (1995). How deep is soil? <i>BioScience</i> , 45, 600-609. doi: 10.2307/1312764
797 798 799 800 801	Riahi, K., Van Vuuren, D. P., Kriegler, E., Edmonds, J., O'neill, B. C., Fujimori, S., & Lutz, W. (2017). The shared socioeconomic pathways and their energy, land use, and greenhouse gas emissions implications: an overview. <i>Global Environmental Change</i> , 42, 153-168.
802 803 804	RStudio Team. (2017). "RStudio: Integrated development for R," (RStudio Inc, Boston, <u>http://www.rstudio.com/</u> ).
805 806 807 808	Schenk, J. H. (2007). The shallowest possible water extraction profile: A null model for global root distributions. <i>Vadose Zone Journal</i> , 7, 1119-1124. doi: 10.2136/vzj2007.0119
809 810 811	Schenk, H. J. & Jackson, R.B. (2002a). The global biogeography of roots. <i>Ecological Monographs</i> , 72, 311-328.
812 813 814 815	Schenk, H. J. & Jackson R.B. (2002b). Rooting depths, lateral root spreads, and belowground/aboveground allometries of plants in water limited ecosystems. <i>Journal of</i> <i>Ecology</i> , 90, 480-494.
816 817	Schenk, H. J. & Jackson, R.B. (2003). Global Distribution of Root Profiles in Terrestrial Ecosystems. Data set with documentation. Available from the Oak Ridge National

818 819	Laboratory Distributed Active Archive Center, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, U.S.A. On-line at http://www.daac.ornl.gov.
820	
821 822 823	Schenk, H. J., & Jackson, R.B. (2005). Mapping the global distribution of deep roots in relation to climate and soil characteristics. <i>Geoderma</i> , 126, 129-140.
824 825 826 827 828 829	Schenk, H.J., & Jackson, R.B. 2009. ISLSCP II Ecosystem Rooting Depths. In Hall, Forrest G., G. Collatz, B. Meeson, S. Los, E. Brown de Colstoun, and D. Landis (eds.). ISLSCP Initiative II Collection. Data set. Available on-line [http://daac.ornl.gov/] from Oak Ridge National Laboratory Distributed Active Archive Center, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, U.S.A. doi:10.3334/ORNLDAAC/929
830 831 832	Sistla, S. A., Moore, J. C., Simpson, R. T., Gough, L., Shaver, G. R., & Schimel, J. P. (2013). Long-term warming restructures Arctic tundra without changing net soil carbon storage. <i>Nature</i> , 497, 615-618.
833 834 835 836	Stevens, N., Lehmann, C. E. R., Murphy, B. P. & Durigan, G. (2017). Savanna woody encroachment is widespread across three continents. <i>Global Change Biology</i> , 23, 235- 244. doi: 10.1111/gcb.13409
837 838 839	Stone, E. L., & Kalisz, P. J. (1991). On the maximum extent of tree roots. <i>Forest ecology and management</i> , <i>46</i> , 59-102.
840 841 842 843	Sullivan, P. L., Billings, S. A., Hirmas, D., Li, L., Zhang, X., Ziegler, S., & Wen, H. (2022). Embracing the dynamic nature of soil structure: A paradigm illuminating the role of life in critical zones of the Anthropocene. <i>Earth-Science Reviews</i> , 225, 103873.
844 845	Swank, W. T. (1986). Biological control of solute losses from forest ecosystems (Vol. 85). John Wiley & Sons, New York.
846 847 848 849 850	Tang, J. Y., Riley, W. J., Koven, C. D., & Subin, Z. M. (2013). CLM4-BeTR, a generic biogeochemical transport and reaction module for CLM4: Model development, evaluation, and application. <i>Geosci. Model Dev.</i> , 6, 127–140. doi: 10.5194/gmd-6-127- 2013
851 852 853 854 855	Wang, J. A., Sulla-Menashe, D., Woodcock, C. E., Sonnentag, O., Keeling, R. F., & Friedl, M. A. (2020). Extensive land cover change across Arctic–Boreal Northwestern North America from disturbance and climate forcing. <i>Global Change Biology</i> , 26, 807-822. doi: 10.1111/gcb.14804
856 857	Whitford, W. G., & Duval, B. D. (2019). Ecology of desert systems. Academic Press.
858 859 860	Wilkinson, B. H., & McElroy, B. J. (2007). The impact of humans on continental erosion and sedimentation. <i>Geological Society of America Bulletin</i> , 119, 140-156. doi: 10.1130/B25899.1

861 Zhang, T., Barry, R. G., Knowles, K., Heginbottom, J. A., & Brown, J. (2008). Statistics and 862 characteristics of permafrost and ground-ice distribution in the Northern Hemisphere. 863 Polar Geography, 31, 47-68. doi: 10.1080/10889370802175895 864 865 Zhang, Y., Niu, J., Yu, X., & Zhu, W. (2015). Effects of fine root length density and root 866 biomass on soil preferential flow in forest ecosystems. Forest Systems, 24, e012. 867 doi: 10.5424/fs/2015241-06048 868 869 Zhao, H. L., Zhao, X. Y., Zhou, R. L., Zhang, T. H., & Drake, S. (2005a). Desertification 870 processes due to heavy grazing in sandy rangeland, Inner Mongolia. Journal of arid 871 environments, 62, 309-319. 872 873 874 Zhao, M., Heinsch, F. A., Nemani, R. R., & Running, S. W. (2005b). Improvements of the MODIS terrestrial gross and net primary production global data set. *Remote sensing of* 875 Environment, 95, 164-176. doi: 10.1016/j.rse.2004.12.011 876 877 Zeng, X. (2001). Global vegetation root distribution for land modeling. American 878 Meteorological Society, 2, 525-530. doi: 10.1175/1525-7 879 541(2001)002<0525:GVRDFL>2.0.CO;2 880 881

Ziegler, S.E., Benner, R., Billings, S.A., Edwards, K.A., Philben, M., Zhu, X. & Laganière, J.
(2017). Climate warming can accelerate carbon fluxes without changing soil carbon
stocks. *Frontiers in Earth Science*, *5*, 2. doi:10.3389/feart.2017.00002

## **@AGU**PUBLICATIONS

#### Earth's Future

Supporting Information for

# Global-scale shifts in rooting depths in the Anthropocene present unexamined consequences in critical zone functioning

Emma Hauser<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Pamela L. Sullivan<sup>3</sup>, Alejandro Flores<sup>4</sup>, Daniel Hirmas<sup>5</sup>, Sharon A. Billings<sup>1,2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, The University of Kansas, Lawrence, KS, USA.

<sup>2</sup>The Kansas Biological Survey, Lawrence, KS, USA.

<sup>3</sup>College of Earth, Ocean, and Atmospheric Science, Oregon State University, Corvallis,

OR, USA.

<sup>4</sup>Department of Geosciences, Boise State Univerity, Boise, ID, USA

<sup>5</sup>Department of Environmental Science, University of California, Riverside, CA

Contents of this file

Figures S1 to S6 Table S1

#### Introduction

Here we include additional figures and a data table, generated via the same methods detailed in the main text. The figures represent an extension of the figures presented in text and the table represents alternate ways of calculating rooting depth metrics using different representations of rooting depths for desert biomes.



**Figure S1.** Comparison of potential (A) and contemporary (B) distributions of depth to 95% rooting biomass (D95) across the globe. Inset histograms display the distribution of rooting depths in each map, with dashed lines marking the means of the two datasets (blue histogram represents potential vegetation, red contemporary). Appearance of a distinct color change from dark blue to light grey in Asia and Canada at 60°N is an artifact of restricted maximum rooting depth assignments at northern latitudes used in our calculations to account for growth limitations imposed by frozen soils. Appearance of a distinct color change from dark blue to light blue and grey in Asia and Canada at 50°N reflects reassignment of mixed forests to the boreal forest class above this latitude (Brandt et al., 2013; Price et al., 2013).



**Figure S2.** Comparison of potential (A) and contemporary (B) distributions of depth to 50% rooting biomass (D50) across the globe. Inset histograms display the distribution of rooting depths in each map, with dashed lines marking the means of the two datasets (blue histogram represents potential vegetation, red contemporary). The appearance of a distinct color change from blue to light grey in Asia and Canada at 60°N is an artifact of restricted maximum rooting depth assignments at northern latitudes used in our calculations to account for growth limitations imposed by frozen soils. Appearance of a distinct color change from dark blue to light blue and grey in Asia and Canada at 50°N

reflects reassignment of mixed forests to the boreal forest class above this latitude (Brandt et al., 2013; Price et al., 2013).



**Figure S3.** Change in depth to 95% rooting biomass (D95) due to differences in potential vegetation distributions compared to contemporary vegetation distributions. Red regions denote shallower roots in contemporary systems, while blue regions denote deeper roots in contemporary systems when compared to their potential vegetation distributions. Appearance of a distinct color change from blue to light grey in Asia and Canada at 50°N reflects reassignment of mixed forests to the boreal forest class above this latitude (Brandt et al., 2013; Price et al., 2013).



**Figure S4.** Change in depth to 99% rooting biomass (D99) due to differences between contemporary rooting depth distributions and anticipated rooting distributions under two projected SSP RCP scenarios for the year 2100; SSP2 RCP4.5 (a) and SSP4 RCP6.0 (b). Grey and red colors indicate root depth truncation and blue indicates elongation.



SSP1 RCP2.6 D50, Global mean change: 3.0 cm (shallower)

SSP5 RCP8.5 D50, Global mean change: 3.0 cm (shallower)

Figure S5. Change in depth to 50% rooting biomass (D50) due to differences between contemporary rooting depth distributions and anticipated rooting distributions under two projected SSP RCP scenarios for the year 2100 (results are similar for both scenarios); SSP1 RCP2.6 (a) and SSP5 RCP8.5 (b). These two maps represent scenarios of greatest projected change and least projected change. Grey and red colors indicate root depth truncation and blue indicates elongation.



Figure S6. Change in depth to 50% rooting biomass (D50) due to differences in potential vegetation distributions compared to contemporary vegetation distributions. Red regions denote shallower roots in contemporary systems, while blue regions denote deeper roots in contemporary systems when compared to their potential vegetation distributions. Appearance of a distinct color change from dark blue to light grey in Asia and Canada at 50°N reflects reassignment of mixed forests to the boreal forest class above this latitude (Brandt et al., 2013; Price et al., 2013

**Table S1**. Mean global rooting depth metrics with 95% confidence intervals for potential and contemporary land cover distributions under two scenarios of user assumptions. The third column displays the difference in cm between potential and contemporary root distributions and the percent change in parentheses. The first three rows indicate global means excluding true desert regions. The second three rows include true deserts in calculations of global mean rooting depth metrics but set roots in those systems to a depth of zero.

Metric	Potential Mean Rooting Depth (m, 95% Cl)	Contemporary Mean Rooting Depth (m, 95% Cl)	Change From potential (cm)
D99 (Desert excluded)	1.50 (+/- 0.001)	1.41 (+/- 0.0001)	-8.15 (5.4%)
D95(Desert	0.88 (+/-	0.82(+/-	-4.93 (5.6%)
excluded)	0.0006)	0.00006)	
D50 (Desert	0.07 (+/-	0.057 (+/-	-1.45 (20.7%)
excluded)	0.00007)	0.000008)	
D99 (Desert	1.35 (+/-	1.22 (+/-	-14.7 (10.9%)
roots set to 0m)	0.0011)	0.0001)	
D95(Desert	0.79 (+/-	0.72 (+/-	-11.1 (14.1%)
roots set to 0m)	0.0006)	0.00007)	
D50 (Desert	0.066 (+/-	0.05 (+/-	-1.7 (25.8%)
roots set to 0m)	0.00007)	0.000007)	