Forced subduction initiation near spreading centers: effects of brittle-ductile damage

Mingqi Liu¹ and Taras V. Gerya²

¹ETH Zurich ²Swiss Federal Institute of Technology (ETH-Zurich)

November 28, 2022

Abstract

Although positive buoyancy of young lithosphere near spreading centers does not favor subduction, subduction initiation near ridges may occur upon forced compression due to their intrinsic rheological weakness. It has been repeatedly proposed that detachment faults may directly control the nucleation of new subduction zones. However, recent 3D numerical experiments suggested that direct inversion of a single detachment fault does not occur. Here, we further investigate numerically this controversy by focussing on the influence of brittle-ductile damage on the dynamics of near-ridge subduction initiation. We model self-consistently the inversion of inherited long-term spreading patterns using 3D high-resolution thermomechanical numerical models combining strain weakening of faults with grain size evolution in lithospheric mantle. Numerical results show that development and evolution of detachment faults are strongly affected by the brittle-ductile damage coupling. Forced compression predominantly thickens the weakest near-ridge region of oceanic lithosphere, and reactivates inherited extensional faults. This results in rotation of blocks along reactivated faults leading to their subsequent locking. As the result, the development of a new megathrust zone occurs, which accommodates further shortening and subduction initiation. Strain weakening has a key impact on the collapse of thickening mid-ocean ridge region and the occurrence of near-ridge subduction initiation. In contrast, grain size evolution of mantle plays a subordinate role in these processes by slightly modifying the localization of shear zones near brittle-ductile transition. Through comparing with the geological record, our numerical results provide new helpful insights into natural near-ridge subduction initiation processes recorded by the Mirdita ophiolite of Albani.

Hosted file

essoar.10511299.1.docx available at https://authorea.com/users/521334/articles/606930-forced-subduction-initiation-near-spreading-centers-effects-of-brittle-ductile-damage

1 2 3	Forced subduction initiation near spreading centers: effects of brittle-ductile damage Mingqi Liu, Taras Gerya				
4	Department of Earth Sciences, ETH Zurich, Sonneggstrasse 5, CH-8092 Zurich				
5	Corresponding author: Mingqi Liu (mingqi.liu@erdw.ethz.ch)				
6	Key Points:				
7	• Upon forced compression, plates shorten, brittle parts thicken and new megathrust zone forms				
8	• Strain-weakening of friction coefficient is crucial for the nucleation of new subduction zones				
9 10 11	• Inherited detachment faults control lithospheric shortening but not subduction megathrust initiation				

12 Abstract

13 Although positive buoyancy of young lithosphere near spreading centers does not favor subduction, subduction initiation near ridges may occur upon forced compression due to their intrinsic 14 rheological weakness. It has been repeatedly proposed that detachment faults may directly control the 15 nucleation of new subduction zones. However, recent 3D numerical experiments suggested that 16 17 direct inversion of a single detachment fault does not occur. Here, we further investigate numerically 18 this controversy by focussing on the influence of brittle-ductile damage on the dynamics of near-19 ridge subduction initiation. We model self-consistently the inversion of inherited long-term spreading 20 patterns using 3D high-resolution thermomechanical numerical models combining strain weakening 21 of faults with grain size evolution in lithospheric mantle. Numerical results show that development 22 and evolution of detachment faults are strongly affected by the brittle-ductile damage coupling. 23 Forced compression predominantly thickens the weakest near-ridge region of oceanic lithosphere, 24 and reactivates inherited extensional faults. This results in rotation of blocks along reactivated faults 25 leading to their subsequent locking. As the result, the development of a new megathrust zone occurs, 26 which accommodates further shortening and subduction initiation. Strain weakening has a key impact 27 on the collapse of thickening mid-ocean ridge region and the occurrence of near-ridge subduction 28 initiation. In contrast, grain size evolution of mantle plays a subordinate role in these processes by 29 slightly modifying the localization of shear zones near brittle-ductile transition. Through comparing 30 with the geological record, our numerical results provide new helpful insights into natural near-ridge 31 subduction initiation processes recorded by the Mirdita ophiolite of Albani.

32 Plain Language Summary

33 Intra-oceanic subduction, comprising 40% of the subduction margins, is widely distributed in the

- earth. It can bring oceanic slabs under the overriding parts of oceanic origin, forming oceanic
- 35 magmatic arcs worldwide. Although several intra-oceanic subduction initiation models have been
- 36 proposed, the question of how and where subduction initiates still remains elusive. Here, through 3D 37 numerical models, we self-consistently explore the process of oceanic spreading followed by near-
- ridge subduction initiation and investigate the influence of brittle-ductile damage on this process.
- 39 Numerical results demonstrate that plates shorten and thicken, and near-ridge faults rotate under
- 40 forced compression, resulting in the newly formed megathrust zone and the subsequent near-ridge
- 41 subduction initiation. Strain-weakening of friction coefficient is crucial for the collapse of thickening
- 42 brittle layers. And thermal and compositional heterogeneities inherited from the seafloor spreading
- 43 accommodate and control subduction angles and polarity. Furthermore, our model results provide
- 44 new insights into the subduction initiation processes recorded by the Mirdita ophiolite of Albani.

45 **1 Introduction**

46 Subduction initiation and infancy remain controversial due to the limited observational 47 constraints from the early stages of subduction (Stern and Gerya, 2018; Crameri et al., 2020; 48 Lalemand and Arcay, 2021). Previous studies have categorized the subduction initiation into two 49 modes - spontaneous and induced (Stern and Gerya, 2018) and proposed several tectonic settings 50 such as (1) fracture zones or transform faults (Stern and Bloomer, 1992), (2) passive continental 51 margins (Erickson, 1993; Regenauer-Lieb et al., 2001), (3) mantle plume (Gerya et al., 2015), (4) 52 oceanic detachment faults (Maffione et al., 2015; Gulcher et al., 2019) and (5) oceanic spreading 53 centers (Spray, 1983; Gurnis et al., 2004; Qing et al., 2021). However, despite the fact that oceanic 54 spreading centers are some of the weakest parts of the Earth (Gurnis et al., 2004), the positive 55 buoyancy of the young oceanic lithosphere presumably hampers the near-ridge subduction initiation. 56 In particular, oceanic lithosphere should be at least 10 Myr old to become subductable due to own

57 negative buoyancy compared to the ambient mantle (Cloose, 1993). In contrast, very young and

58 positively buoyant lithosphere near spreading centers can only start subducting by forced

59 compression (Keenan et al., 2016). Paradoxically, under forced compression, near-ridge subduction

- 60 can start considerably easier than the subduction of older an stronger oceanic lithosphere, which
- 61 requires larger horizontal forces to cause the initial plate bending (Forsyth and Uyeda, 1975).

62 Young lithosphere with slow-ultraslow spreading rates deforms by slipping along large-offset 63 detachment faults in large parts of the Atlantic, Indian, and Arctic Oceans (Dick et al., 2003; Cann et 64 al., 1997; Escartn et al., 2008; Tucholke et al., 2008). Although lithosphere at fast-spreading ridges 65 accretes through symmetric normal faults with relatively constant crustal thickness, it may also form 66 detachment faults just prior to their termination due to the lowering of the spreading rate (Maffione et 67 al., 2015). Lower crustal and mantle rocks are exhumed along detachment faults, forming dome-68 shaped structures at the seafloor (Garces and Gee, 2007; Morris et al., 2009; MacLeod et al., 2011; Sauter et al., 2013; Smith et al., 2008; Whitney et al., 2013). The rate of accretion of the oceanic crust 69 70 varies dramatically, especially along ultraslow-slow spreading ridges, both in space and in time due 71 to strongly fluctuating magma supply (Bown and White, 1994; Morgan and Chen, 1993; Liu et al., 72 2022). Studies from geophysical observations and numerical models indicated that the depth of 73 detachment faults can reach 10-30 km, enhancing the hydrothermal circulation and alternation at 74 spreading centers (e.g., Andreani et al., 2014; Bach et al., 2004, 2006; Beard et al., 2009; Bickert, et 75 al., 2020; Boschi et al., 2006, 2013; Klein et al., 2009; Maffione et al., 2014; Mével, 2003; Plümper 76 et al., 2012, 2014; Schroeder et al., 2002). At the root of detachment faults, especially at amagmatic 77 sections, stain and stress concentrations lead to dynamic recrystallization, forming grain size 78 reduction zones (Bickert et al., 2021). The combined effects of hydrothermal alteration and grain size 79 reduction zones may further reduce near-ridge oceanic lithospheric strength and facilitate the stain 80 location under compression, resulting in near-ridge subduction initiation along an inherited single 81 detachment fault (Maffione et al., 2015).

82 Observations and computational simulations have both been used to investigate the 83 subduction initiation by ridge inversion in the past (Gurnis et al., 2004; Keenan et al., 2016; Beaussier et al., 2019a, 2019b; Gulcher et al., 2019; Qing et al., 2021). Through comparing the 84 85 oceanic crustal age with the overlaving lithospheric age and studying the timming of subduction 86 intiation in the western Philippines, Keenan et al., (2016) found, at the time of subduction initiation, 87 oceanic crust was less than 1 Myr old and implied that forced subduction initiated at a spreading 88 ridge evolving from divergence to convergence. In 2D and 3D numerical models, effects of thermal 89 states and geometries of spreading ridges were explored and numerical results indicated that warm 90 paleo-ridge structures controlled by a short transition time from divergence to convergence favour the 91 near-ridge subduction initiation (Gurnis et al., 2004; Beaussier et al., 2019a, 2019b; Qing et al., 92 2021). 2D models with a prescribed detachment fault suggested that ridge-inversed subduction may 93 be directly controlled by the single detachment fault which reduces the lithospheric strength and 94 enhances the strain localization (Maffione et al., 2015). However, recent 3D thermomechanical 95 models suggested that the single detachment fault inversion is unlikely (Gulcher et al., 2019). 96 Instead, the base of several inherited detachment faults become cut by newly formed subduction 97 zone. Thus, the dynamic evolution and the rheological mechanisms of preexisting detachment faults 98 formation and inversion remain controversial and require further numerical investigation.

Furthermore, the coupled brittle-ductile damage induced by strain weakening of faults and grain damage in the lithosphere (e.g., Gerya et al., 2021) may be a critical potential mechanism to facilitate both detachment faults formation and subduction initiation by ridge inversion. Sufficient grain damage in the lithosphere promotes shear localization and long-lived weak zones, resulting in the accumulation of weak plate boundaries (Bercovici and Ricard, 2012, 2014). Spontaneous and accumulated brittle-ductile damage caused by lateral stress may facilitate passive margins collapse

- 105 (Bercovici and Mulyukova, 2021). Previous numerical models with grain size evolution suggested
- 106 that grain size reduction can produce weakening in the ductile regime, which leads to sufficient
- 107 localization to trigger an oceanic transform fault (Schierjott et al., 2020). And brittle-damage damage
- 108 localization in the subduction zone can produce pervasive subducting slab weakening and dynamic
- 109 slab segmentation (Gerya et al., 2021). However the effect of brittle-ductile damage on ridge-
- 110 inversed subduction initiation has never been investigated numerically, which gives further
- 111 motivation for performing systematic numerical modeling.
- 112 In this paper, we focus on the influence of brittle-ductile damage on both the detachment
- faults formation and the subsequent ridge-inversed subduction initiation. We model the spontaneous formation and inversion of inherited spreading patterns after long spreading through 3D high-
- resolution thermomechanical numerical modeling combining strain weakening of faults with grain
- 116 growth and grain damage in the mantle lithosphere. The intensity of strain weakening, grain damage,
- 117 mantle potential temperature, and convergence rates are studied. And the influence of spreading rates
- and initial ridge geometries in the formation and inversion of detachment faults and transform faults
- are also explored. In addition, a new ridge-inversed subduction evolution process is proposed and
- 120 compared with the recorded by the Mirdita ophiolite of Albani.

121 **2 Methods and model setup**

122 2.1 Numerical methods

Follwing Gerya, (2013) and Liu et al., (2022), we use 3D high-resolution thermomechanical numerical models which solve the incompressible mass, momentum, and heat conservation equation through the staggered finite differences method and marker-in-cell techniques (Gerya and Yuen, 2007). Brittle/plastic and viscous deformation mechanisms are implemented through the effective viscosity in this study. The strain-dependent Drucker-Prager criterion is used in the brittle/plastic part

128 to simulate the fracture-related strain weakening:

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_{plastic} &= \frac{\sigma_{yield}}{2\dot{\varepsilon_{II}}}, (1) \\ \sigma_{yield} &= C_{\gamma} + \varphi(P - P_f), (2) \end{aligned}$$

- 129 Where $\eta_{plastic}$ is the effective plastic viscosity, σ_{yield} is the scalar yield stress, and ε_{II} is the second 130 invariant of the strain rate tensor. C_{γ} is the cohesion, P is the total pressure in the solid matrix, and P_f
- 131 is the hydrostatic fluid pressure. φ is the internal friction coefficient which is controlled by the plastic
- 132 strain γ (time-integrating the second invariant of the plastic strain rate tensor $\dot{\varepsilon}_{ij(\text{plastic})}$) through the
- 133 linear interpolation:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi &= 1, when \ P < P_f \ (tensile \ fracture)), (3a) \\ \varphi &= \varphi_0, for \ \gamma \leq \gamma_0 \\ \varphi &= \varphi_0 + (\gamma - \gamma_0) \frac{\varphi_1 - \varphi_0}{\gamma_1 - \gamma_0}, for \ \gamma_0 < \gamma \leq \gamma_1 \\ \varphi &= \varphi_1, for \ \gamma > \gamma_1 \end{aligned} \right\}, when \ P \geq P_f \ (confined \ fracture), (3b)$$

134 Where φ_0 and φ_1 are the upper and lower limits of friction coefficient, respectively.

Following Liu et al., (2022), in the ductile part, the effective ductile viscosity following a composite law is calculated by harmonic averaging of both dislocation and diffusion creep mechanisms. A constant grain size is used in the crustal material, while the process of both grain

- 138 growth and grain damage are implemented in the mantle rocks. The dominant rheology is calculated
- 139 through viscosity ratios of both dislocation and diffusion creeps. Final effective viscosity is a
- 140 combination of plastic and ductile rheology and defined as the minimum value:

- 141 $\eta_{eff} = \min(\eta_{plastic}, \eta_{ductile})$. More details about numerical method and grain size evolution can be
- 142 obtained from the Supporting information and and Liu et al., (2022).
- 143 2.2 Model setup

As shown in Fig. 1, the model domain is defined as a box of $202 \times 98 \times 202$ km with 0.5 km 144 145 high grid resolution in each direction and around 130 million randomly distributed Lagrangian 146 markers. We set symmetrical oceanic plates (0.1 Myr in the center and 10 Myr at both left and right boundaries) with 7-km thick oceanic crust along x direction to mimic the incipient oceanic spreading. 147 148 The half-space cooling model with constant thermal diffusivity (Turcotte and Schubert, 2002) is used 149 to implement the initial thermal configuration. Constant temperatures are implemented at the upper 150 and lower boundaries. To simulate various mantle potential temperatures, different temperatures are 151 implemented at the lower boundary (Liu et al., 2022). Spreading/convergent rates are implemented at 152 left and right boundaries along x direction ($v_{spreading} = v_{left} + v_{right}$, and $v_{left} = v_{right}$). To ensure the mass conservation in the computational domain, we set the compensating velocities at the 153 154 upper (for the sticky air and water layer) and lower (for mantle rocks) boundaries along y direction. 155 The transition from extension to compression is calculated by linear interpolation (Fig. 1c). The 156 duration of the transition scales with the initially imposed spreading rate to ensure a constant rate of 157 plate velocity change. Upon forced compression, subduction initiation occurs at or near the spreading center. 158



159 160

161

Figure 1. Initial model setup and boundary conditions.

162 Composition and thermal configurations. Sticky air and water are implemented at the top boundary 163 to simulate the topography. (b) Boundary conditions are lateral inflow/outflow in *x* direction and 164 compensating through vertical influx velocities in *y* direction (v_{top} and v_{bottom}). (c) Prescribed 165 lateral velocity boundary conditions. Transition ($t_0 - t_2$) from extension to compression is 166 computed by linear interpolation. 0 mm/yr is at t_1 .

167 **3 Numerical results**

168 23 numerical models are run to systematically investigate the influence of brittle-ductile
 169 damage on the near-ridge subduction initiation by modeling the inversion of inherited spreading
 170 patterns after long spreading (Table 1). Spreading rates, mantle potential temperature, convergence

171 rates, ridge geometries, and brittle-ductile damage are explored in these models. Representative

- 172 numerical results are discussed below.
- 173 3.1 Reference model development from divergence to convergence

174 The reference model is implemented with the ultraslow spreading rate (10 mm/yr) and 175 constant mantle potential temperature (1340°C) to simulate the formation of detachment faults and 176 explore the effect of brittle-ductile damage in the ridge-inversed subduction initiation. The interval 177 from divergence to convergence is set to 3Myr (12 -15 Myr) to ensure a smooth transition. Numerical 178 results at both divergence and convergence stages are presented in Fig. 2. Over 12 Myr of oceanic 179 spreading, fundamentally different magmatic and amagmatic ridge sections (Liu et al., 2022) with 180 long-lived detachment faults form spontaneously and alternate along the spreading ridge (Fig. 2a). 181 Hot and thin brittle/plastic layer are produced in magmatic sections with elevated topography and 182 normal thickness of oceanic crust (Fig. 2a, 3a), while mantle-derived rocks with grain damage are 183 exhumated into the seafloor in amagmatic ridge sections with lowered topography, cold and thick 184 brittle/plastic layer (Fig. 2a, 3b). Grain damage occurs at the root of the detachment fault and 185 weakens the rheological strength in which deformation is dominated by grain size sensitive diffusion 186 creep (Fig. 3), especially in amagmatic sections. In contrast with oblique spreading ridges in wide 187 amagmatic sections (Fig. 2a), narrow and weak fracture zone with grain damage leads to a large 188 offset between adjacent magmatic spreading ridge segments. After the transition to convergence 189 (from 13.5 Myr), inherited detachment faults near spreading centers are reactivated, resulting in 190 shortening of former spreading ridges, thickening of brittle layer, and rotation of inherited 191 blocks(Fig. 3). Under the continued compression, gradual cooling and/or grain damage lead to the 192 narrow weak region with smaller grain size beneath the former spreading ridge (Fig. 3 at 13.9 Myr), 193 and then strain localization induced by the rotation goes deeply along this narrow weak region with 194 further and deeper grain size reduction in the mantle (Fig. 3 at 15 Myr). Subduction initiates along 195 the newly generated strain localization zone once movements of tectonic blocks near the former 196 spreading centers become arrested within the relatively uniform thickened brittle/plastic lithospheric 197 layer (Fig. 3 at 15-16 Myr). A pronounced subduction thrust forms at 17 Myr (Figs. 2b and 3) with a 198 trench located 20-40 km away from the former spreading center.

Brittle-ductile damage thus plays significant role for both the inversion of inherited spreading patterns by lithospheric shortening and subsequent subduction initiation. Due to narrower weak regions and more uniform thickness of the brittle layer in cold amagmatic sections compared to hot magmatic sections, subduction initiates earlier in amagmatic sections than in magmatic ones (cf. Fig. 3b and 3a). Furthermore, different spreading configurations inherited from divergence in each section cause different geometries, resulting in variable subduction angles (Fig. 2b). Brittle-ductile damage in amagmatic sections helps creating initial curved subduction megathrust interface (Fig. 2b).

Focusing on the evolution of the reference model (Fig. 3), we can see that inherited detachment faults formed during divergence are not able to directly control the subduction initiation. Under convergence, inherited faults are reactivated and lead to the rotation of blocks within shortening and thickening spreading ridges. As the result, inherited low-angle faults rotate toward unfavorable steep/vertical angles which lead to their gradual locking and strain localization along the newly formed shallower angle thrust zone. Therefore, inherited brittle-ductile damage along detachment faults controls lithospheric shortening rather than subduction megathrust initiation.



Figure 2. Reference model results at both of divergence and convergence stages.
 Distribution of composition (left) and detachment faults along the ridge (right) at 12 Myr which is

216 the start of transition from divergence (a) to convergence (b). (a) Rock types distribution (left) and 217 ridge structure (right) at 12 Myr when the oceanic spreading ends. The dashed red line shows the 218 location of spreading ridges. Grain damage is present in amagmatic sections (Amg) and fracture 219 zones (FZ) with blue color in the right panel. Newly formed oceanic crust without grain size 220 evolution is shown by grey color in the magmatic sections. (b) Composition distribution (left) and 221 subduction slab (right) at 17 Myr when the subduction megathrust formed. The dashed white line 222 marks the subduction trench. Subduction slab with thermal distribution is extracted through the high strain rate, $> 5e - 14 s^{-1}$. Grain damage (light green) and high strain localization (orange) 223 along spreading centers in the horizontal depth of 20 km at the end of divergence are presented. 224 225 The translucent plane of the second strain rate invariant at the depth of 20 km at 17 Myr are shown 226 in rights panels of (a) and (b). Dashed lines A-A' and B-B' mark locations of vertical profiles in Fig. 3.





Figure 3. Evolution of the reference model from divergence to convergence.

(a) Profiles along A - A' at the magmatic section. (b) Profiles along B - B' at the amagmatic section. The second invariant of strain rate, grain size, and rheological mechanism are presented from left to right. Locations of profiles are marked in Fig. 2. Div, divergence. Con, convergence. Tran, transition.

232 BDT, brittle-ductile transition.

233 3.2 Influence of strain weakening and grain damage

Previous studies have proved that various weakening mechanisms have a significant impact on both oceanic spreading patterns and ridge-inversed subduction initiation (Keenan et al., 2016; Gulcher et al., 2019; Beaussier et al., 2019a, 2019b; Qing et al., 2021; Liu et al., 2022). Here, we explore the effects of two main weakening mechanisms: strain-dependent friction coefficient weakening to localize deformation and grain damage by dynamic recrystallization.

239 Models with varying strain weakening intensities and grain damage are shown in Fig. 4. In the model with strong strain weakening ($\varphi_1 = 0$) and grain damage, strongly curved spreading 240 ridges at the end of divergence are prominent, which indicates a greater weakening of fractured rocks 241 242 and a larger offset of detachment faults. Magmatic and amagmatic sections alternate with the almost 243 same length. Under compression, detachment faults near spreading centers are reactivated and then rotated, resulting in the newly formed strain localization. The ridge-inversed subduction initiates 244 245 towards the spreading centers along the new weak zone. With the decreasing of strain weakening 246 intensity (increasing φ_1), smaller strain weakening intensity results in a smaller offset of detachment 247 faults and much straighter spreading ridges. And amagmatic sections become much sparser and 248 narrower. The model with only grain size evolution as the sole weakening mechanism develops

- conjugate faults, rooting into the brittle-duction transition (Fig. 5). The intersection of both conjugate
- 250 faults reaches below the seafloor at the end of divergence, forming the axial rift. The spreading
- 251 pattern with conjugated faults is obviously different from the spreading pattern in the reference 252 model which develops long-lived large offset detachment faults. Upon forced compression, conjugate
- thrusts form at former spreading centers in models with smaller strain weakening intensity.
- 254 Continued compression is mainly accommodated by shortening of the swelling ridges with high
- topography till its collapse in these models. Yet, due to extremely small strain weakening intensity in
- 256 models with the largest φ_1 (0.6), the swelling ridges are difficult to collapse and fail to develop
- 257 subduction initiation.

258	In contrast to strain weakening, the effects of grain damage are relatively small for both the
259	formation of spreading patterns and the ridge-inversed subduction initiation. In models with strong
260	strain weakening ($\varphi_1 = 0$), curved spreading ridges are observed both with and without grain
261	damage. Alternate magmatic and amagmatic sections are also documented in both types of models
262	and ridge-inversed subduction initiates toward spreading ridges along the newly formed weak
263	thrusting zone. Subduction angles are accommodated by amagmatic sections. Especially, narrow
264	fracture zones with large offset lead to the rapid transition of subduction angles (Fig. 2) or opposite
265	subduction polarity (Fig. 4), which may be mainly controlled by the shortening and rotation of faults
266	near former spreading ridges. In models with small strain weakening intensity ($\varphi_1 \leq 0.3$), regardless
267	of grain damage, spreading ridges are always straight and swelling ridges form under compression.
268	However, due to the grain damage at roots of detachment faults in amagmatic sections and brittle-
269	ductile transition (Fig. 5), the weak mantle is prone to swell instead of collapse under compression.
270	Therefore, the stronger swelling ridges in models without grain damage are easier to collapse and

271 develop the subduction initiation (Fig. 4).



Former spreading centers are presented in green (magmatic segments) and blue (amagmatic segments) dashed lines. Subduction zones are indicated through purple lines with triangles. Dashed

277 purple lines mark the transition. Red dashed lines in the model of nwn00 mark locations of vertical

278 profiles in Fig 5.



279 280

Figure 5. Ridge-inversion results of the model, *nwn00*, without strain weakening.

281

(a) Profiles along A - A' at the magmatic section. (b) Profiles along B - B' at the amagmatic section.

The second invariant of strain rate and grain damage are presented from left to right. Locations of profiles are marked in Fig. 4. Color codes are the same with Fig. 3.

284 3.3 Influence of variable mantle potential temperature

The effects of increasing/decreasing mantle potential temperature are explored (Fig. 6). The most obvious difference between these models is the temperature configuration and the magma supply beneath spreading centers which significantly influence the distribution of magmatic and amagmatic sections along spreading ridges and subsequent ridge-inversed subduction initiation.

289 During divergence, high potential temperature leads to hot thermal configuration and a great 290 amount of magma supply, resulting in the only formation of the magmatic section with thick oceanic 291 crust and grain growth in the mantle. Owing to the hot and weak mantle, very large offset (over 100 292 km) detachment faults with rolling-hinge mode form and transform faults develop (Fig. 6a). Yet, cold 293 thermal structure in the model with low mantle potential temperature produces a small magma supply 294 and causes thick brittle lithospheric layer, resulting in only several small magmatic sections observed 295 (Fig. 6c). Under compression, when compared to the reference model, due to the weaker mantle in 296 the model with high potential temperature, reactivated faults near spreading centers slightly rotate, 297 and then subduction initiates along the reactivated fault which is far away from the spreading center. However, in the low mantle potential temperature, after shortening and thickening, the newly formed 298 299 weak zone is opposite with former and near-ridge detachment fault. Grain damage along the new 300 weak zone facilitates the subduction initiation. Furthermore, according to locations of narrow fracture 301 zones and opposite subduction polarity, the presence of inherited narrow fracture zones with large 302 offset are a potential mechanism to trigger the development of opposite subduction polarity.



303

Figure 6. Ridge-inversed subduction with variable mantle potential temperatures.
 (a-c) Left panel: Ridge-inversed subduction results with variable mantle temperatures; right panels:
 results of evolution along the red line in the left panel. Strain rate and grain size distribution are
 displayed. The color codes are the same with Figs. 3 and 4.

308 3.4 Influence of variable convergence rates

309Previous studies have suggested that convergence rates have a significant impact on the310rheological coupling between plates (Faccenda et al., 2008). Slow convergence rates cause strong

311 rheological coupling between plates, while fast convergence rates lead to weak rheological coupling.

- 312 Therefore, we systematically investigated the effect of variable convergence rates in the formation of
- 313 ridge-inversed subduction.

314 Divergence and convergence rates explored by different models are shown in Fig. 7(a). The 315 spreading rates remained constant in these models whereas different convergence rates (and their 316 different partitioning between the plates) are implemented during the convergence stage. In 317 particular, variable convergence directions with the same full convergent rate (10 mm/vr) are implemented in models fIm3, continl, and continr. Half of the full rate is implemented in both left 318 319 and right boundaries in model fIm3, while the full rate is prescribed in the left boundary in model 320 continl and in the right boundary in model continr. Numerical results show that, at 17 Myr, except for 321 the trench locations, subduction slabs share similar patterns in these three models (Fig. 7b) and are 322 accommodated by amagmatic sections and fracture zones. In addition, variable convergence rates are 323 implemented in models rheo1 (20 mm/yr), rheo2 (40 mm/yr), and rheo4 (80 mm/yr). As shown in 324 Fig. 7(c), a low convergence rate (rheo1) causes the same subduction direction, while high 325 convergence rates (40 and 80 mm/yr) induce opposite subduction polarity. This may indicate that 326 convergence rates play a significant role in the rheological couping during the shortening, thickening, 327 and rotation of inherited detachment faults. Regardless of convergence partitioning between plates, 328 the same convergence rates always develop similar subduction initiation patterns. However, variable 329 convergence rates may change the convergent process, brittle-ductile damage, and the rheological coupling conditions, which further leads to different newly formed lithospheric strain localization 330 331 pattern. Subduction initiates along the new weak shear zones and forms opposite subduction polarity 332 in different ridge sections. (b) (c) (a) Full rate (mm/yr) 10 Time (Myr) Extension Tran 0 15 12 fIm3 17 -10 rheo1 -20

contin

Div

Myr 12

Con

15

13.5

continr fIm3

Figure 7. Ridge-inversed subduction initiation results from different convergence rates. Prescribed lateral velocity boundary conditions. (b) Ridge-inversed subduction results with variable convergence directions. (c) Ridge-inversed subduction results with variable convergence rates. Convergence lengths in all models are almost the same, about 30 km.

rheo2

rheo4

Compression

338 4 Discussion

-40

-80-

333 334

335

336

337

339 Due to the positive buoyancy at young spreading ridges, ridge-inversed subduction initiation 340 has been considered difficult until recently. Although many numerical models have investigated its mechanisms and processes (Gurnis et al., 2004; Maffione et al., 2015; Keenan et al., 2016; Beaussier 341 342 et al., 2019a, 2019b; Gulcher et al., 2019; Qing et al., 2021), this mechanism still remain partly 343 elusive. Through 3D high-resolution self-consistent magmatic-thermomechanical numerical models, we find that tectonic patterns inherited from seafloor spreading and new weak shear zones formed 344 after shortening, thickening, and rotation of former detachment faults near spreading centers upon 345 346 forced compression (Fig. 8) have a great impact on the ridge-inversed subduction initiation. In 347 addition, thermal and compositional heterogeneities from magmatic and amagmatic sections and 348 transform faults accommodate and control subduction angles and polarity.

349 4.1 Near-ridge lithospheric stain localization under compression

350 In our models, detachment fault can be formed not only in slow-ultraslow spreading rates but 351 also at the terminal stage of spreading in intermediate spreading models (Table 1). Previous studies 352 have proposed that near-ridge subduction initiation is directly controlled by the single detachment 353 fault (Maffione et al., 2015) or the nascent subduction zone cuts through the base of previous 354 detachment faults (Gulcher et al., 2019). Different from these studies, through systematic numerical 355 models, we find that the ridge-inversed subduction initiation goes through three main stages (Fig. 8): 356 (1) inherited tectonic pattern from seafloor spreading. It may include thermal and compositional 357 heterogeneities, geometries of spreading ridges, faults orientations, transform faults, et al. (2) 358 Shortening and thickening of spreading ridges. Under compression, spreading ridges are gradually 359 shortening and thickening, resulting in relatively uniform brittle lithospheric layer in both plates and 360 the rotation of near-ridge faults and blocks. Afterward, the newly formed strain localization goes 361 deeply through the narrow weak region. (3) Subduction initiates along the newly formed strain localization zone towards former spreading ridges. Subduction angles are accommodated by the 362 363 inherited tectonic patterns. Opposite subduction polarity may occur, which is triggered by inherited 364 transform faults. In addition, along spreading ridges, owing to brittle-ductile damage, the earlier 365 strain localization in wide amagmatic sections promotes the strain localization in adjacent magmatic 366 sections.

367 Effects of weakening mechanisms show that strain-dependent friction coefficient weakening 368 plays an essential role in ridge-inversed subduction initiation. Model without strain weakening (Fig. 369 4) forms conjugated large normal faults in the terminal stage of spreading. Under forced 370 compression, symmetric conjugated thrusts cause high ridge swelling and fail to result in a 371 subduction initiation, which is similar to model results with a high healing rate in Gulcher et al., 372 (2019). Although Beaussier et al., (2019a, 2019b) proposed that the failure of ridge swelling from 373 high gravitational potential energy under the continuous convergence leads to subduction initiation, 374 our models suggest that strain-dependent friction coefficient weakening rather than high gravitational 375 potential energy controls the occurrence of ridge-inversed subduction initiation. Ridge-inversed 376 subduction initiates along the newly formed weak zone in models with strong strain weakening 377 intensity, even if there is no swelling ridge (Fig. 4). However, if the intensity of strain weakening is 378 extremely weak even though the ridge swelling is very high, subduction still fails to initiate. In 379 addition, small effects of grain damage in the root region of detachment faults are observed which are 380 consistent with the geological observation (Bickert et al., 2021). Compared to strain-dependent 381 friction coefficient weakening, grain damage thus should only play a subordinate role in near-ridge 382 lithospheric strain localization and subduction initiation.



(c) Subduction initiation along the new weak zone





Figure 8. Schematic diagram of the ridge-inversed subduction evolution.

385 Mature spreading patterns. Detachment faults formed under slow-ultraslow spreading rates 386 exhume mantle rocks into the seafloor and yield oceanic core complexes (OCCs). (b) Oceanic pltaes 387 shorten and thicken under compression. Faults near spreading ridges are reactivated and rotate, 388 resulting in the newly formed weak zone. (c) Under continued compression, subduction initiates 389 towards the former spreading centers along the newly formed weak zone. Transform faults or 390 fracture zones may a potenital location to induce the opposite subduction polarity.

391 4.2 Effects of inherited tectonic spreading patterns in ridge-inversed subduction

392 Inherited heterogeneous tectonic patterns from seafloor spreading is crucial for the

development of ridge-inversed subduction in our models. As found by Liu et al. (2022), spreading

rates and mantle potential temperature are key controls for tectonic patterns along spreading centers.

395 Small conjugate faults forming in symmetric spreading centers are induced by thin brittle/plastic

396 layer and intermediate-fast spreading rates. And deeply penetrating asymmetric detachment faults

- 397 forming in thick brittle/plastic layer and amagmatic sections exhume mantle rocks and result in 398 compositional heterogeneity along slow and ultraslow spreading ridges. High mantle potential
- temperature induces a high extent of mantle melting and sufficient magma supply, resulting in
- 400 smooth bathymetry and uniformly thick oceanic crust along spreading ridges. In contrast, spare
- 401 magmatic segments form at low potential temperature with three-dimensional heterogeneity along the
- 402 spreading ridge due to insufficient magma supply. Furthermore, initial oblique spreading ridges can
- 403 cause more magmatic and amagmatic sections and produce stepped ridge-transform patterns at the
- 404 large oblique angle model (Table 1).

405 Inherited spreading patterns affect lithospheric strain localization and thereby control the 406 near-ridge subduction angles under compression. Strain localization in cold wide amagmatic sections 407 with deeply-rooted detachment faults and thick brittle layer forms earlier than that in magmatic 408 sections with shallower detachment faults and thinner brittle layer. This in turn results in earlier 409 subduction initiation in amagmatic sections, which in turn promotes subsequent subduction initiation 410 in adjacent magmatic sections. Due to the composition and thermal differences between magmatic 411 and amagmatic sections, shapes of subducting slabs are affected by the inherited ridge heterogeneity. 412 In addition, mature transform faults with offset ridge sections are prone to induce opposite 413 subduction polarity, which is consistent with previous studies (Beaussier et al., 2019a, 2019b). 414 However, even the same inherited spreading pattern can still form different subduction polarities 415 under different convergence rates. It is mainly because convergence rate magnitude can affect brittle-416 ductile damage and rheological coupling conditions (Faccenda et al., 2008), induce different strain 417 localization, and form opposite subduction polarity (Qing et al., 2021). In contrast, the partitioning of 418 convergence rate between the two plates does not produce any significant effect and results of 419 experiments remain almost the same irrespective of convergence rate partitioning.

420 4.3 Comparison with previous numerical models

421 Ridge-inversed subduction initiation has been widely studied from geological observation to 422 numerical models (Gurnis et al., 2004; Maffione et al., 2015; Keenan et al., 2016; Beaussier et al., 423 2019a, 2019b; Gulcher et al., 2019; Oing et al., 2021). For numerical models, 2D models with 424 prescribed spreading ridge are set in Gurnis et al., (2004), Maffione et al., (2015), and Qing et al., 425 (2021), while 3D models with inherited spreading patterns from continental rifting or spreading ridge 426 are set in Beaussier et al., (2019a, 2019b) and Gulcher et al., (2019). In contrast with prescribed 427 homogenous spreading ridges in 2D models, inherited spreading patterns evolving from continental 428 rifting or spreading ridge in 3D models show thermal and compositional heterogeneities with 429 variable geometries of spreading ridges. Forced subduction initiation in 2D models occurs along 430 spreading ridges in the model with symmetric ridges (Gurnis et al., 2004; Qing et al., 2021) and 431 along the detachment fault in the model with prescribed single detachment fault (Maffione et al., 2015). In 3D models with inherited spreading patterns, forced subduction initiation is mainly 432 433 controlled by ridge swelling (Beaussier et al., 2019a, 2019b), while detachment faults are also 434 observed and are cut by nascent subduction zones (Gulcher et al., 2019). Compared to recent 3D models (Beaussier et al., 2019a, 2019b; Gulcher et al., 2019), our models are set with higher 435 436 resolution and bigger domain size as well as with coupled brittle-ductile damage (e.g., Gerya et al., 437 2021), which allows to more accurately resolve the transition from oceanic spreading to subduction 438 initiation. The fine process from divergence to convergence shows that shorting and thickening of 439 plates and the newly formed lithospheric strain localization are key factors of forced ridge-inversed 440 subduction initiation in our models. Except for the hot and weak mantle in the high mantle potential 441 temperature model, the direction of newly formed strain localization is independent of the orientation 442 of the latest detachment fault formed during oceanic spreading stage. In addition, offset ridge

- 443 sections induced by spontaneously formed large offset transform faults are prone to form opposite
- 444 subduction polarity.
- 445 4.4 Comparison with natural examples

446 Although many evolving processes are simplified in our models, it is essential to provide some helpful insights into the near-ridge subduction initiation. Occurrences of near-ridge subduction 447 448 initiation around the world have been possibly recognized in the Mirdita ophiolite of Albania, the 449 Proto-Palawan Trench, and the Yap trench (Fujiwara et al., 2000; Gurnis et al., 2004; Maffione et al., 450 2015; Keenan et al., 2016). The dynamics of ridge-inversed subduction have been widely discussed 451 and compared with natural cases (Gurnis et al., 2004; Beaussier et al., 2019a, 2019b; Qing et al., 452 2021). Therefore, here we mainly focus on the effects of detachment faults in the newly formed strain 453 localization, near-ridge subduction initiation, and the possible geological records in these cases.

Observations of the Jurassic ophiolites of Albania and Greece from geochemistry, structure 454 455 geology, and paleomagnetism in Maffione et al., (2015) suggest that the subduction zone formed in 456 the western Neotethys is parallel to the spreading ridges and related to the oceanic detachment faults. 457 Similar ages between exposed mid-ocean ridge basalt (MORB) and supraduction zone (SSZ) indicate that no significant time gap exists between the pre-existing spreading centers and subduction 458 459 initiation (Liati et al., 2004; Stern, 2004). Paleomagnetic constraints reveal that the preserved oceanic 460 detachment faults and oceanic core complexes in the ultramafic Puka Massif (Maffione et al., 2013) 461 are toward and close to the paleo-spreading ridges. Thus, forced convergence from the central Atlantic and the Alpine Tethys during the Middle Jurassic (Torsvik et al., 2012) may induce the 462 463 subduction to initiate along detachments close to the spreading ridges rather than exactly at the spreading axis (Maffione et al., 2015). However, according to our 3D self-consistent 464 465 thermomechanical numerical results (Fig. 2 and 3b), we find that upon forced convergence, weak pre-existing detachment faults are prone to thicken and rotate till locked up with high angles and then 466 467 form new lithospheric strain localization instead of yielding the nucleation of new subduction zones 468 along the fault planes. Finally, the subduction initiation starts along the newly formed shear zones 469 rather than the detachment faults close to the spreading ridges.

470 **5 Conclusion**

471 3D high-resolution self-consistent thermomechanical numerical models with brittle-ductile 472 damage are conducted to study the forced near-ridge subduction initiation by modeling the inversion 473 of inherited mature spreading patterns formed after long-term spreading. Our model results suggest 474 that upon compression, plates shorten and thicken and inherited near-ridge faults rotate, resulting in 475 the newly formed lithospheric strain localization along the emerging megathrust. Subsequently, near-476 ridge subduction zone initiates along the megathrust, which controls its polarity. The intensity of 477 strain-dependent friction coefficient weakening is crucial for the collapse of shortening and 478 thickening plates and the occurrence of subduction initiation. Without strain-dependent friction 479 coefficient weakening, an elevated symmetrical ridge swelling forms upon forced compression, 480 which is difficult to collapse and initiate the asymmetric subduction system. As for grain damage, it 481 may only play a subordinate role in the near-ridge subduction initiation. In addition, heterogeneous 482 tectonic patterns inheriting from the seafloor spreading have an impact on the formation of near-ridge weak strain localization and subsequent subduction zone geometry. In particular, the uniform 483 484 subducting slab forms after the symmetric spreading pattern produced at fast spreading ridge. In 485 contrast, the heterogenous and curved subducting slabs are produced after shortening of more 486 heterogeneous (ultra)slow spreading ridges containing both magmatic and amagmatic sections. 487 Opposite subduction polarity induced by inheritance of large offset oceanic transform faults is also

observed in our models. Studies of convergence rates suggest that variable convergence rates can
change the rheological coupling conditions which have a great effect on the resulting subduction
zone geometry. Comparison of our numerical results with the reconstruction of the Mirdita ophiolite
of Albania suggests that the respective subduction zone initiated along the newly formed megathrust
rather than along an inherited detachment fault.

493 Acknowledgments

M.L. would like to acknowledge the support from the China Scholarship Council
(201808110216). Open-source Paraview and Matlab software were used to visualize 3D and 2D

- 496 figures, respectively. Numerical simulations were performed on the ETH-Zurich Euler cluster.
- 497 Data Availability Statement

498 Due to 3D models with very high resolution, output files are too large to be uploaded into the 499 repository. But they can be available from the corresponding author upon request.

- 500 **Reference**
- Andreani, M., Escartin, J., Delacour, A., Ildefonse, B., Godard, M., Dyment, J., ... & Fouquet, Y.
 (2014). Tectonic structure, lithology, and hydrothermal signature of the Rainbow massif (Mid-Atlantic Ridge 36° 14' N). Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, 15(9), 3543-3571.
- Bach, W., Garrido, C. J., Paulick, H., Harvey, J., & Rosner, M. (2004). Seawater-peridotite
 interactions: First insights from ODP Leg 209, MAR 15 N. Geochemistry, Geophysics,

506 Geosystems, 5(9).

- Beard, James S., B. Ronald Frost, Patricia Fryer, Andrew McCaig, Roger Searle, Benoit Ildefonse,
 Pavel Zinin, and Shiv K. Sharma. "Onset and progression of serpentinization and magnetite
 formation in olivine-rich troctolite from IODP Hole U1309D." Journal of Petrology 50, no. 3
 (2009): 387-403.
- Beaussier, S. J., Gerya, T. V., & Burg, J. P. (2019a). 3D numerical modelling of the Wilson cycle:
 structural inheritance of alternating subduction polarity. Geological Society, London, Special
 Publications, 470(1), 439-461.
- Beaussier, S. J., Gerya, T. V., & Burg, J. P. (2019b). Near-ridge initiation of intraoceanic subduction:
 Effects of inheritance in 3D numerical models of the Wilson Cycle. Tectonophysics, 763, 1-13.
- Bercovici, D., & Ricard, Y. (2012). Mechanisms for the generation of plate tectonics by two-phase
 grain-damage and pinning. Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors, 202, 27-55.
- 518 Bercovici, D., Ricard, Y. (2013). Generation of plate tectonics with two-phase grain-damage and 519 pinning: Source-sink model and toroidal flow. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 365, 275–288.
- Bercovici, D., & Ricard, Y. (2014). Plate tectonics, damage and inheritance. Nature, 508(7497), 513516.
- Bercovici, D., Schubert, G., Ricard, Y. (2015). Abrupt tectonics and rapid slab detachment with grain
 damage. Proceed. National Acad. Sci. 112, 1287-1291.
- Bercovici, D., & Mulyukova, E. (2021). Evolution and demise of passive margins through grain
 mixing and damage. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 118(4).
- Bickert, M., Lavier, L., & Cannat, M. (2020). How do detachment faults form at ultraslow mid-ocean
 ridges in a thick axial lithosphere?. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 533, 116048.
- Bickert, M., Cannat, M., Tommasi, A., Jammes, S., & Lavier, L. (2021). Strain Localization in the
 Root of Detachment Faults at a Melt-Starved Mid-Ocean Ridge: A Microstructural Study of
 Abyssal Peridotites From the Southwest Indian Ridge. Geochemistry, Geophysics,
 Geosystems, 22(5), e2020GC009434.
- Boschi, C., Früh-Green, G. L., Delacour, A., Karson, J. A., & Kelley, D. S. (2006). Mass transfer and
 fluid flow during detachment faulting and development of an oceanic core complex, Atlantis
 Massif (MAR 30 N). Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, 7(1).
- Boschi, C., Bonatti, E., Ligi, M., Brunelli, D., Cipriani, A., Dallai, L., ... & Bedini, R. M. (2013).
 Serpentinization of mantle peridotites along an uplifted lithospheric section, Mid Atlantic Ridge at 11 N. Lithos, 178, 3-23.
- Bown, J. W., & White, R. S. (1994). Variation with spreading rate of oceanic crustal thickness and
 geochemistry. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 121(3-4), 435-449.
- Buck, W. R., Lavier, L. L., & Poliakov, A. N. (2005). Modes of faulting at mid-ocean
 ridges. Nature, 434(7034), 719-723.
- Cann, J. R., Blackman, D. K., Smith, D. K., McAllister, E., Janssen, B., Mello, S., & Escartin, J.
 (1997). Corrugated slip surfaces formed at ridge–transform intersections on the Mid-Atlantic
- 544 Ridge. Nature, 385(6614), 329.
- 545 Clauser, C., Huenges, E. (1995). Thermal conductivity of rocks and minerals. In: Ahrens, T.J.
 546 (editor), Rock Physics and Phase Relations. AGU Reference Shelf 3. American Geophysical
 547 Union, Washington DC, pp. 105–126.

- 548 Cloos, M. (1993). Lithospheric buoyancy and collisional orogenesis: Subduction of oceanic plateaus,
 549 continental margins, island arcs, spreading ridges, and seamounts. Geological Society of
 550 America Bulletin, 105(6), 715-737.
- Crameri, F., Magni, V., Domeier, M., Shephard, G.E., Chotalia, K., Cooper, G., Eakin, C.M., Grima,
 A.G., Gürer, D., Király, A., Mulyukova, E., Peters, K., Robert, B., Thielmann, M. (2020) A
 transdisciplinary and community-driven database to unravel subduction zone initiation. Nature
 Communications, 11, 1-14.
- Dick, H. J., Lin, J., & Schouten, H. (2003). An ultraslow-spreading class of ocean
 ridge. Nature, 426(6965), 405-412.
- Erickson, S. G. (1993). Sedimentary loading, lithospheric flexure, and subduction initiation at passive
 margins. Geology, 21(2), 125-128.
- Escartín, J., Smith, D. K., Cann, J., Schouten, H., Langmuir, C. H., & Escrig, S. (2008). Central role
 of detachment faults in accretion of slow-spreading oceanic lithosphere. Nature, 455(7214),
 790-794.
- Faccenda, M., Gerya, T. V., & Chakraborty, S. (2008). Styles of post-subduction collisional orogeny:
 Influence of convergence velocity, crustal rheology and radiogenic heat
 production. Lithos, 103(1-2), 257-287.
- Forsyth, D., & Uyeda, S. (1975). On the relative importance of the driving forces of plate
 motion. Geophysical Journal International, 43(1), 163-200.
- Fujiwara, T., Tamura, C., Nishizawa, A., Fujioka, K., Kobayashi, K., & Iwabuchi, Y. (2000).
 Morphology and tectonics of the Yap Trench. Marine Geophysical Researches, 21(1), 69-86.
- Garcés, M., & Gee, J. S. (2007). Paleomagnetic evidence of large footwall rotations associated with
 low-angle faults at the Mid-Atlantic Ridge. Geology, 35(3), 279-282.
- Gerya, T. V., & Meilick, F. I. (2011). Geodynamic regimes of subduction under an active margin:
 effects of rheological weakening by fluids and melts. Journal of Metamorphic Geology, 29(1),
 7-31.
- Gerya, T. V. (2013). Three-dimensional thermomechanical modeling of oceanic spreading initiation
 and evolution. Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors, 214, 35-52.
- Gerya, T. V., Stern, R. J., Baes, M., Sobolev, S. V., & Whattam, S. A. (2015). Plate tectonics on the
 Earth triggered by plume-induced subduction initiation. Nature, 527(7577), 221-225.
- Gerya, T. V., Yuen, D. A. (2007). Robust characteristics method for modelling multiphase viscoelasto-plastic thermo-mechanical problems. Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors, 163(14), 83-105.
- Gerya, T. V., Bercovici, D., & Becker, T. W. (2021). Dynamic slab segmentation due to brittle–ductile
 damage in the outer rise. Nature, 599(7884), 245-250.
- Gregg, P. M., Behn, M. D., Lin, J., & Grove, T. L. (2009). Melt generation, crystallization, and
 extraction beneath segmented oceanic transform faults. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid
 Earth, 114(B11).
- Gülcher, A. J., Beaussier, S. J., & Gerya, T. V. (2019). On the formation of oceanic detachment faults
 and their influence on intra-oceanic subduction initiation: 3D thermomechanical
 modeling. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 506, 195-208.
- 589 Gurnis, M., Hall, C., & Lavier, L. (2004). Evolving force balance during incipient
 590 subduction. Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, 5(7).
- Hilairet, N., B. et al. (2007). High-pressure creep of serpentine, interseismic deformation, and
 initiation of subduction. Science, 318, 1910–1913.
- Hirth, G., Kohlstedt, D. (2003). Rheology of the upper mantle and the mantle wedge: a view from the
 experimentalists. In: Eiler, J. (Ed.), Subduction Factor Monograph, vol.138. American
 Geophysical Union, Washington, DC, pp. 83–105.
- 596 Hofmeister, A. M. (1999). Mantle values of thermal conductivity and the geotherm from Phonon

- 597 lifetimes. Science, 283, 1699–1706.
- Lallemand, S., Arcay, D. (2021) Subduction initiation from the earliest stages to self-sustained
 subduction: Insights from the analysis of 70 Cenozoic sites. Earth-Science Reviews, 221,
 103779.
- Liati, A., Gebauer, D., & Fanning, C. M. (2004). The age of ophiolitic rocks of the Hellenides
 (Vourinos, Pindos, Crete): first U–Pb ion microprobe (SHRIMP) zircon ages. Chemical
 Geology, 207(3-4), 171-188.
- Liu, M., Gerya, T., & Rozel, A. B. (2022). Self-organization of magma supply controls crustal
 thickness variation and tectonic pattern along melt-poor mid-ocean ridges. Earth and Planetary
 Science Letters, 584, 117482.
- 607 Karato, S., Wu, P. (1993) Rheology of the upper mantle: a synthesis. Science 260, 771–778.
- Katz, R. F. (2010). Porosity-driven convection and asymmetry beneath mid-ocean
 ridges. Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, 11(11).
- Keenan, T. E., Encarnación, J., Buchwaldt, R., Fernandez, D., Mattinson, J., Rasoazanamparany, C.,
 & Luetkemeyer, P. B. (2016). Rapid conversion of an oceanic spreading center to a subduction
 zone inferred from high-precision geochronology. Proceedings of the National Academy of
 Sciences, 113(47), E7359-E7366.
- Klein, F., Bach, W., Jöns, N., McCollom, T., Moskowitz, B., & Berquó, T. (2009). Iron partitioning
 and hydrogen generation during serpentinization of abyssal peridotites from 15 N on the MidAtlantic Ridge. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 73(22), 6868-6893.
- MacLeod, C. J., Escartin, J., Banerji, D., Banks, G. J., Gleeson, M., Irving, D. H. B., ... & Smith, D.
 K. (2002). Direct geological evidence for oceanic detachment faulting: The Mid-Atlantic Ridge,
 15 45' N. Geology, 30(10), 879-882.
- MacLeod, C. J., Carlut, J., Escartín, J., Horen, H., & Morris, A. (2011). Quantitative constraint on
 footwall rotations at the 15° 45' N oceanic core complex, Mid-Atlantic Ridge: Implications for
 oceanic detachment fault processes. Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, 12(5).
- Maffione, M., Morris, A., & Anderson, M. W. (2013). Recognizing detachment-mode seafloor
 spreading in the deep geological past. Scientific reports, 3(1), 1-6.
- Maffione, M., Morris, A., Plümper, O., & van Hinsbergen, D. J. (2014). Magnetic properties of
 variably serpentinized peridotites and their implication for the evolution of oceanic core
 complexes. Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, 15(4), 923-944.
- Maffione, M., Thieulot, C., Van Hinsbergen, D. J., Morris, A., Plümper, O., & Spakman, W. (2015).
 Dynamics of intraoceanic subduction initiation: 1. Oceanic detachment fault inversion and the
 formation of supra-subduction zone ophiolites. Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, 16(6),
 1753-1770.
- Mével, C. (2003). Serpentinization of abyssal peridotites at mid-ocean ridges. Comptes Rendus
 Geoscience, 335(10-11), 825-852.
- Montési, L. G., Behn, M. D., Hebert, L. B., Lin, J., & Barry, J. L. (2011). Controls on melt migration
 and extraction at the ultraslow Southwest Indian Ridge 10–16 E. Journal of Geophysical
 Research: Solid Earth, 116(B10).
- Morgan, J. P., & Ghen, Y. J. (1993). Dependence of ridge-axis morphology on magma supply and
 spreading rate. Nature, 364(6439), 706-708.
- Morris, A., Gee, J. S., Pressling, N., John, B. E., MacLeod, C. J., Grimes, C. B., & Searle, R. C.
 (2009). Footwall rotation in an oceanic core complex quantified using reoriented Integrated
 Ocean Drilling Program core samples. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 287(1-2), 217-228
- Ocean Drilling Program core samples. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 287(1-2), 217-228.
 Mulyukova, E., Bercovici, D. (2017). Formation of lithospheric shear zones: Effect of temperature on
- 643 two-phase grain damage. Phys. Earth Planet. Inter. 270, 195–212.
- Plümper, O., Røyne, A., Magrasó, A., & Jamtveit, B. (2012). The interface-scale mechanism of
 reaction-induced fracturing during serpentinization. Geology, 40(12), 1103-1106.

- Plümper, O., Beinlich, A., Bach, W., Janots, E., & Austrheim, H. (2014). Garnets within geode-like
 serpentinite veins: Implications for element transport, hydrogen production and life-supporting
 environment formation. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 141, 454-471.
- 649 Qing, J., Liao, J., Li, L., & Gao, R. (2021). Dynamic evolution of induced subduction through the
 650 inversion of spreading ridges. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 126(3),
 651 e2020JB020965.
- Olive, J. A., Behn, M. D., & Tucholke, B. E. (2010). The structure of oceanic core complexes
 controlled by the depth distribution of magma emplacement. Nature Geoscience, 3(7), 491-495.
- Ranalli, G. Rheology of the Earth (Chapman and Hall, 1995).
- Regenauer-Lieb, K., Yuen, D. A., & Branlund, J. (2001). The initiation of subduction: criticality by
 addition of water?. Science, 294(5542), 578-580.
- Rozel, A. B., Ricard, Y., & Bercovici, D. (2011). A thermodynamically self-consistent damage
 equation for grain size evolution during dynamic recrystallization. Geophysical Journal
 International, 184(2), 719–728.
- Sauter, D., Cannat, M., Rouméjon, S., Andreani, M., Birot, D., Bronner, A., ... & MacLeod, C. J.
 (2013). Continuous exhumation of mantle-derived rocks at the Southwest Indian Ridge for 11
 million years. Nature Geoscience, 6(4), 314.
- Schierjott, J. C., Thielmann, M., Rozel, A. B., Golabek, G. J., Gerya, T. V. (2020). Can Grain Size
 Reduction Initiate Transform Faults? —Insights From a 3-D Numerical study. Tectonics, 39(10),
 e2019TC005793.
- Schroeder, T., John, B., & Frost, B. R. (2002). Geologic implications of seawater circulation through
 peridotite exposed at slow-spreading mid-ocean ridges. Geology, 30(4), 367-370.
- Smith, D. K., Escartín, J., Schouten, H., & Cann, J. R. (2008). Fault rotation and core complex
 formation: Significant processes in seafloor formation at slow-spreading mid-ocean ridges (MidAtlantic Ridge, 13–15 N). Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, 9(3).
- 671 Spray, J. G. (1983). Lithosphere–asthenosphere decoupling at spreading centers and initiation of
 672 obduction. Nature, 304(5923), 253-255.
- 673 Stern, R. J., & Bloomer, S. H. (1992). Subduction zone infancy: examples from the Eocene Izu674 Bonin-Mariana and Jurassic California arcs. Geological Society of America Bulletin, 104(12),
 675 1621-1636.
- Stern, R. J. (2004). Subduction initiation: spontaneous and induced. Earth and Planetary Science
 Letters, 226(3-4), 275-292.
- 678 Stern, R. J., & Gerya, T. (2018). Subduction initiation in nature and models: A
 679 review. Tectonophysics, 746, 173-198.
- Theissen-Krah, S., Iyer, K., Rüpke, L. H., & Morgan, J. P. (2011). Coupled mechanical and
 hydrothermal modeling of crustal accretion at intermediate to fast spreading ridges. Earth and
 Planetary Science Letters, 311(3-4), 275-286.
- Torsvik, T. H., Van der Voo, R., Preeden, U., Mac Niocaill, C., Steinberger, B., Doubrovine, P. V., ...
 & Cocks, L. R. M. (2012). Phanerozoic polar wander, palaeogeography and dynamics. EarthScience Reviews, 114(3-4), 325-368.
- Tucholke, B. E., Behn, M. D., Buck, W. R., & Lin, J. (2008). Role of melt supply in oceanic
 detachment faulting and formation of megamullions. Geology, 36(6), 455-458.
- 688 Turcotte, D. L. & Schubert, G. Geodynamics (Cambridge Univ. Press, 2002).
- 689 Whitney, D. L., Teyssier, C., Rey, P., & Buck, W. R. (2013). Continental and oceanic core
- 690 complexes. Bulletin, 125(3-4), 273-298.

691 **Table 1. Results of numerical experiments.** Sp: spreading rate; Conv, convergence rate; Trant: transition time from divergence to convergence; SW:

692 strain weakening; GSE: grain size evolution; IDF: long detachment faults; sDF: short detachment faults; sNF: symmetric normal faults; HF: horse faults; Mag:

magmatic segments; aMag; amagmatic segments; FZ, narrow fracture zones; Tranf: transform faults; Oblir: oblique ridge; Strar: straight ridge; SI: subduction
 initiation. *, reversal models.

flm310ReferenceIDF, Mag, aMag, FZ, Oblir modes controlled by aMagv505Sp: 5 mm/yr, Trant: 30-31 MyrIDF, sparse Mag, aMag, StrarNear ridge S1 in same direction, subduction modes controlled by aMagv757.5Sp: 7.5 mm/yr, Trant: 20-22 MyrIDF, Mag, aMag, OblirNear ridge S1 in same direction, subduction modes controlled by aMag2fg20Sp: 20 mm/yr, Trant: 7-10 MyrsDF, IDF, Tranf, OblirNear ridge S1 in same direction, subduction modes controlled by aMag4fg40Sp: 40 mm/yr, Trant: 4-6 MyrsNF, sDF, StrarNear ridge S1 in same direction8fg80Sp: 80 mm/yr, Trant: 2-3 MyrsNF, SDF, StrarNear ridge S1 in same directiontm10010Low bottom temperature (-100 K)sDF, IDF, sparse Mag, aMagNear ridge S1 in opposite directions, subduction modes controlled by Tranf and aMagtp10010High bottom temperature (+100 K)IDF, Tranf, thick crust, StrarNear ridge S1 in opposite directions, subduction modes controlled by Tranf and aMagnwn0010No GSEIDF, Mag, aMag, FZ, Tranf, Near ridge S1 in opposite directions, subduction modes controlled by Tranf and aMage33w6310Moderate SW in the crust and mantlesDF, HF, Mag, aMag, StrarPart SI, two active conjugate thrusts on both side of former spreading ridgesn33w6310Moderate SW in the crust and mantle, no GSEsDF, HF, Mag, aMag, StrarPart SI, two active conjugate thrusts on both side of former spreading ridgesn33w6610No SW in crustIDF in aMag, sDF and HF in 	Model	Sp (<i>mm/yr</i>)	Different from reference model	Spreading pattern	Inversion results
v505Sp: 5 mm/yr, Trant: 30-31 MyrIDF, sparse Mag, aMag, StrarNear ridge SI in same direction, subduction modes controlled by aMagv757.5Sp: 7.5 mm/yr, Trant: 20-22 MyrIDF, Mag, aMag, OblirNear ridge SI in same direction, subduction modes controlled by aMag2fg20Sp: 20 mm/yr, Trant: 7-10 MyrsDF, IDF, Tranf, OblirNear ridge SI in same direction, subduction modes controlled by Tranf4fg40Sp: 40 mm/yr, Trant: 4-6 MyrsNF, sDF, StrarNear ridge SI in same direction8fg80Sp: 80 mm/yr, Trant: 2-3 MyrsNF, StrarNear ridge SI in same directionm10010Low bottom temperature (-100 K)sDF, IDF, sparse Mag, aMagNear ridge SI in opposite directions, subduction modes controlled by Tranfmvn0010High bottom temperature (+100 K)sDF, Tranf, thick crust, StrarNear ridge SI in opposite directions, subduction modes controlled by Tranfnwn0010No GSEIDF, Tranf, thick crust, StrarNear ridge SI in opposite directions, subduction modes controlled by Tranfnwn0010No GSEIDF, Mag, aMag, FZ, Tranf, OblirNear ridge SI in opposite directions, subduction modes controlled by Tranf and aMage33w6310Moderate SW in the crust and mantle.sDF, HF, Mag, aMag, StrarPart SI, two active conjugate thrusts on both side of former spreading ridgesn33w6310Moderate SW in the crust and mantle.sDF, HF, Mag, aMag, StrarPart SI, two active conjugate thrusts on both side of former spreading ridgesn33w6610No SW in	fIm3	10	Reference	lDF, Mag, aMag, FZ, Oblir	Near ridge SI in same direction, subduction modes controlled by aMag
v757.5Sp: 7.5 mm/yr, Trant: 20-22 MyrIDF, Mag, aMag, OblirNear ridge SI in same direction, subduction modes controlled by aMag2fg20Sp: 20 mm/yr, Trant: 7-10 MyrsDF, IDF, Tranf, OblirNear ridge SI in same directions, subduction modes controlled by Tranf4fg40Sp: 40 mm/yr, Trant: 4-6 MyrsNF, sDF, StrarNear ridge SI in same direction8fg80Sp: 80 mm/yr, Trant: 2-3 MyrsNF, SDF, StrarNear ridge SI in same directionm10010Low bottom temperature (-100 K)sDF, IDF, sparse Mag, aMagNear ridge SI in opposite directions, subduction modes controlled by Tranf and aMagp10010High bottom temperature (+100IDF, Tranf, thick crust, StrarNear ridge SI in opposite directions, subduction modes controlled by Tranf and aMagnwn0010No SW in crust and mantlesDF, HF, Mag, aMag, StrarFailed SI, two active conjugate thrusts on both side of former spreading ridgesrhng10Moderate SW in the crust and mantlesDF, HF, Mag, aMag, StrarPart SI, two active conjugate thrusts on both side of former spreading ridgesn33w6310Moderate SW in the crust and mantle, no GSEsDF, HF, Mag, aMag, StrarPart SI, two active conjugate thrusts on both side of former spreading ridgesn33w6610No SW in crustsDF, HF, Mag, aMag, StrarFailed SI, two active conjugate thrusts on both side of former spreading ridgesn32w6610No SW in crustIDF in aMag, sDF and HF in Mag, StrarNear ridge SI in opposite directions, subduction modes controlled by aMag <t< td=""><td>v50</td><td>5</td><td>Sp: 5 mm/yr, Trant: 30-31 Myr</td><td>lDF, sparse Mag, aMag, Strar</td><td>Near ridge SI in same direction, subduction modes controlled by aMag</td></t<>	v50	5	Sp: 5 mm/yr, Trant: 30-31 Myr	lDF, sparse Mag, aMag, Strar	Near ridge SI in same direction, subduction modes controlled by aMag
2fg20Sp: 20 mm/yr, Trant: 7-10 MyrsDF, IDF, Tranf, OblirNear ridge SI in opposite directions, subduction modes controlled by Tranf4fg40Sp: 40 mm/yr, Trant: 4-6 MyrsNF, sDF, StrarNear ridge SI in same direction8fg80Sp: 80 mm/yr, Trant: 2-3 MyrsNF, StrarNear ridge SI in same directiontm10010Low bottom temperature (-100 K)sDF, IDF, sparse Mag, aMagNear ridge SI in opposite directions, subduction modes controlled by Tranf and aMagtp10010High bottom temperature (+100 K)IDF, Tranf, thick crust, StrarNear ridge SI in opposite directions, subduction modes controlled by Tranfnwn0010No SW in crust and mantle mantlesDF, HF, Mag, aMag, StrarFailed SI, two active conjugate thrusts on both side of former spreading ridgesrhng10No GSE mantleIDF, Mag, aMag, StrarFailed SI, two active conjugate thrusts on both side of former spreading ridgesr33w6310Moderate SW in the crust and mantle, no GSEsDF, HF, Mag, aMag, StrarPart SI, two active conjugate thrusts on both side of former spreading ridgesr33w6610No SW in crustIDF in aMag, sDF and HF in Mag, StrarFailed SI, two active conjugate thrusts on both side of former spreading ridgesc33w6610No SW in crustIDF in aMag, sDF and HF in Mag, StrarNear ridge SI in same directions, subduction modes controlled by aMagob1110Oblique ridge: 19°, Trant: 12-15IDF, Mag, aMag, OblirNear ridge SI in same directions, subduction modes controlled by aMag <t< td=""><td>v75</td><td>7.5</td><td>Sp: 7.5 mm/yr, Trant: 20-22 Myr</td><td>lDF, Mag, aMag, Oblir</td><td>Near ridge SI in same direction, subduction modes controlled by aMag</td></t<>	v75	7.5	Sp: 7.5 mm/yr, Trant: 20-22 Myr	lDF, Mag, aMag, Oblir	Near ridge SI in same direction, subduction modes controlled by aMag
4fg40Sp: 40 mm/yr, Trant: 4-6 MyrsNF, sDF, StrarNear ridge SI in same direction8fg80Sp: 80 mm/yr, Trant: 2-3 MyrsNF, StrarNear ridge SI in same directiontm10010Low bottom temperature (-100 K)sDF, IDF, sparse Mag, aMagNear ridge SI in opposite directions, subduction modes controlled by Tranf and aMagtp10010High bottom temperature (+100 K)IDF, Tranf, thick crust, StrarNear ridge SI in opposite directions, subduction modes controlled by Tranf and aMagnwn0010No SW in crust and mantle No GSEsDF, HF, Mag, aMag, StrarNear ridge SI in opposite directions, subduction modes controlled by Tranf and aMage33w6310Moderate SW in the crust and mantlesDF, HF, Mag, aMag, StrarPart SI, two active conjugate thrusts on both side of former spreading ridgesn33w6310Moderate SW in the crust and mantlesDF, HF, Mag, aMag, StrarPart SI, two active conjugate thrusts on both side of former spreading ridgesn33w6610No SW in crust GSEIDF in aMag, sDF and HF in Mag, StrarFailed SI, two active conjugate thrusts on both side of former spreading ridgesc33w6610No SW in crust MyrIDF in aMag, sDF and HF in Mag, StrarNear ridge SI in sposite directions, subduction modes controlled by aMagob1110Oblique ridge: 19°, Trant: 12-15 MyrIDF, Mag, aMag, OblirNear ridge SI in opposite directions, subduction modes controlled by aMagob2210Oblique ridge: 38°, Trant: 10-12 MyrIDF, Mag, aMag, OblirNear ridge SI in	2fg	20	Sp: 20 mm/yr, Trant: 7-10 Myr	sDF, lDF, Tranf, Oblir	Near ridge SI in opposite directions, subduction modes controlled by Tranf
8fg 80 Sp: 80 mm/yr, Trant: 2-3 Myr sNF, Strar Near ridge SI in same direction tm100 10 Low bottom temperature (-100 K) sDF, IDF, sparse Mag, aMag Near ridge SI in opposite directions, subduction modes controlled by Tranf and aMag tp100 10 High bottom temperature (+100 K) IDF, Tranf, thick crust, Strar Near ridge SI in opposite directions, subduction modes controlled by Tranf nwn00 10 No SW in crust and mantle sDF, HF, Mag, aMag, Strar Failed SI, two active conjugate thrusts on both side of former spreading ridges rhng 10 No GSE IDF, Mag, aMag, FZ, Tranf, oblir Near ridge SI in opposite directions, subduction modes controlled by Tranf and aMag e33w63 10 Moderate SW in the crust and mantle sDF, HF, Mag, aMag, Strar Part SI, two active conjugate thrusts on both side of former spreading ridges n33w63 10 Moderate SW in the crust and mantle, no GSE sDF, HF, Mag, aMag, Strar Part SI, two active conjugate thrusts on both side of former spreading ridges c33w66 10 No SW in crust IDF in aMag, SDF and HF in Mag, aMag, Strar Part SI, two active conjugate thrusts on both side of former spreading ridges c33w66 10 No SW in crust IDF in a	4fg	40	Sp: 40 mm/yr, Trant: 4-6 Myr	sNF, sDF, Strar	Near ridge SI in same direction
tm10010Low bottom temperature (-100 K)sDF, IDF, sparse Mag, aMagNear ridge SI in opposite directions, subduction modes controlled by Tranf and aMagtp10010High bottom temperature (+100 K)IDF, Tranf, thick crust, StraNear ridge SI in opposite directions, subduction modes controlled by Tranfnwn0010No SW in crust and mantlesDF, HF, Mag, aMag, StrarFailed SI, two active conjugate thrusts on both side of former spreading ridgesrhng10No GSEIDF, Mag, aMag, FZ, Tranf, OblirNear ridge SI in opposite directions, subduction modes controlled by Tranf and aMage33w6310Moderate SW in the crust and mantlesDF, HF, Mag, aMag, StrarPart SI, two active conjugate thrusts on both side of former spreading ridgesn33w6310Moderate SW in the crust and mantle, no GSEsDF, HF, Mag, aMag, StrarPart SI, two active conjugate thrusts on both side of former spreading ridgesc33w6610No SW in crust and mantle, no GSEsDF, HF, Mag, aMag, StrarFailed SI, two active conjugate thrusts on both side of former spreading ridgesc33w6610No SW in crustIDF in aMag, sDF and HF in Mag, StrarNear ridge SI in opposite directions, subduction modes controlled by aMagob1110Oblique ridge: 19°, Trant: 12-15IDF, Mag, aMag, OblirNear ridge SI in same direction, subduction modes controlled by aMagob2210Oblique ridge: 38°, Trant: 10-12IDF, Mag, aMag, OblirNear ridge SI in opposite directions, subduction modes controlled by aMagob11left - 5Asymmetric	8fg	80	Sp: 80 mm/yr, Trant: 2-3 Myr	sNF, Strar	Near ridge SI in same direction
tp10010High bottom temperature (+100 K)IDF, Tranf, thick crust, Strar sDF, HF, Mag, aMag, StrarNear ridge SI in opposite directions, subduction modes controlled by Tranfnwn0010No SW in crust and mantlesDF, HF, Mag, aMag, StrarFailed SI, two active conjugate thrusts on both side of former spreading ridgesrhng10No GSEIDF, Mag, aMag, FZ, Tranf, OblirNear ridge SI in opposite directions, subduction modes controlled by Tranf and aMage33w6310Moderate SW in the crust and mantlesDF, HF, Mag, aMag, Strar SDF, HF, Mag, aMag, StrarPart SI, two active conjugate thrusts on both side of former spreading ridgesn33w6310Moderate SW in the crust and mantle, no GSEsDF, HF, Mag, aMag, Strar mantle, no GSEPart SI, two active conjugate thrusts on both side of former spreading ridgesc33w6610No SW in crust GSEIDF in aMag, sDF and HF in Mag, StrarFailed SI, two active conjugate thrusts on both side of former spreading ridgesc33w6610No SW in crustIDF in aMag, sDF and HF in Mag, StrarNear ridge SI in opposite directions, subduction modes controlled by aMagob1110Oblique ridge: 19°, Trant: 12-15IDF, Mag, aMag, OblirNear ridge SI in opposite direction, subduction modes controlled by aMagob2210Oblique ridge: 38°, Trant: 10-12IDF, Mag, aMag, OblirNear ridge SI in opposite directions, subduction modes controlled by aMagav1left - 5Asymmetric Sp and Conv, Trant:IDF, Tranf, Mag, aMag, OblirNear ridge SI in opposite directions, subduction mo	tm100	10	Low bottom temperature (-100 K)	sDF, lDF, sparse Mag, aMag	Near ridge SI in opposite directions, subduction modes controlled by Tranf and aMag
nwn0010No SW in crust and mantlesDF, HF, Mag, aMag, StrarFailed SI, two active conjugate thrusts on both side of former spreading ridgesrhng10No GSEIDF, Mag, aMag, FZ, Tranf, OblirNear ridge SI in opposite directions, subduction modes controlled by Tranf and aMage33w6310Moderate SW in the crust and mantlesDF, HF, Mag, aMag, StrarPart SI, two active conjugate thrusts on both side of former spreading ridgesn33w6310Moderate SW in the crust and mantle, no GSEsDF, HF, Mag, aMag, StrarPart SI, two active conjugate thrusts on both side of former spreading ridgesn33w6610No SW in crust and mantle, no GSEsDF, HF, Mag, aMag, StrarPart SI, two active conjugate thrusts on both side of former spreading ridgesc33w6610No SW in crust and mantle, no GSEsDF, HF, Mag, aMag, StrarFailed SI, two active conjugate thrusts on both side of former spreading ridgesc33w6610No SW in crustIDF in aMag, sDF and HF in Mag, StrarNear ridge SI in opposite directions, subduction modes controlled by aMagob1110Oblique ridge: 19°, Trant: 12-15IDF, Mag, aMag, OblirNear ridge SI in same direction, subduction modes controlled by aMagob2210Oblique ridge: 38°, Trant: 10-12IDF, Mag, aMag, OblirNear ridge SI in opposite directions, subduction modes controlled by aMagav1left - 5Asymmetric Sp and Conv, Trant:IDF, Tranf, Mag, aMag, OblirNear ridge SI in opposite directions, subduction modes controlled by aMag	tp100	10	High bottom temperature (+100 K)	lDF, Tranf, thick crust, Strar	Near ridge SI in opposite directions, subduction modes controlled by Tranf
rhng10No GSEIDF, Mag, aMag, FZ, Tranf, OblirNear ridge SI in opposite directions, subduction modes controlled by Tranf and aMage33w6310Moderate SW in the crust and mantlesDF, HF, Mag, aMag, StrarPart SI, two active conjugate thrusts on both 	nwn00	10	No SW in crust and mantle	sDF, HF, Mag, aMag, Strar	Failed SI, two active conjugate thrusts on both side of former spreading ridges
e33w6310Moderate SW in the crust and mantlesDF, HF, Mag, aMag, StrarPart SI, two active conjugate thrusts on both side of former spreading ridgesn33w6310Moderate SW in the crust and mantle, no GSEsDF, HF, Mag, aMag, StrarPart SI, two active conjugate thrusts on both side of former spreading ridgesn33w6610No SW in crust and mantle, no GSEsDF, HF, Mag, aMag, StrarFailed SI, two active conjugate thrusts on both side of former spreading ridgesc33w6610No SW in crust and mantle, no GSEsDF, HF, Mag, aMag, SDF and HF in Mag, StrarNear ridge SI in opposite directions, subduction modes controlled by aMagob1110Oblique ridge: 19°, Trant: 12-15 MyrIDF, Mag, aMag, OblirNear ridge SI in same direction, subduction modes controlled by aMagob2210Oblique ridge: 38°, Trant: 10-12 MyrIDF, Mag, aMag, OblirNear ridge SI in opposite directions, subduction modes controlled by aMagav1left - 5Asymmetric Sp and Conv, Trant:IDF, Tranf, Mag, aMag, OblirNear ridge SI in opposite directions, subduction modes controlled by aMag	rhng	10	No GSE	lDF, Mag, aMag, FZ, Tranf, Oblir	Near ridge SI in opposite directions, subduction modes controlled by Tranf and aMag
n33w6310Moderate SW in the crust and mantle, no GSEsDF, HF, Mag, aMag, StrarPart SI, two active conjugate thrusts on both side of former spreading ridgesn33w6610No SW in crust and mantle, no GSEsDF, HF, Mag, aMag, StrarFailed SI, two active conjugate thrusts on both 	e33w63	10	Moderate SW in the crust and mantle	sDF, HF, Mag, aMag, Strar	Part SI, two active conjugate thrusts on both side of former spreading ridges
n33w66 10 No SW in crust and mantle, no GSE sDF, HF, Mag, aMag, Strar Failed SI, two active conjugate thrusts on both side of former spreading ridges c33w66 10 No SW in crust IDF in aMag, sDF and HF in Mag, Strar Near ridge SI in opposite directions, subduction modes controlled by aMag ob11 10 Oblique ridge: 19°, Trant: 12-15 Myr IDF, Mag, aMag, Oblir Near ridge SI in same direction, subduction modes controlled by aMag ob22 10 Oblique ridge: 38°, Trant: 10-12 Myr IDF, Mag, aMag, Oblir Near ridge SI in opposite directions, subduction modes controlled by aMag av1 left - 5 Asymmetric Sp and Conv, Trant: IDF, Tranf, Mag, aMag, Oblir Near ridge SI in opposite directions, subduction	n33w63	10	Moderate SW in the crust and mantle, no GSE	sDF, HF, Mag, aMag, Strar	Part SI, two active conjugate thrusts on both side of former spreading ridges
c33w66 10 No SW in crust IDF in aMag, sDF and HF in Mag, Strar Near ridge SI in opposite directions, subduction modes controlled by aMag ob11 10 Oblique ridge: 19°, Trant: 12-15 Myr IDF, Mag, aMag, Oblir Near ridge SI in same direction, subduction modes controlled by aMag ob22 10 Oblique ridge: 38°, Trant: 10-12 Myr IDF, Mag, aMag, Oblir Near ridge SI in opposite directions, subduction modes controlled by aMag av1 left - 5 Asymmetric Sp and Conv, Trant: IDF, Tranf, Mag, aMag, Oblir Near ridge SI in opposite directions, subduction	n33w66	10	No SW in crust and mantle, no GSE	sDF, HF, Mag, aMag, Strar	Failed SI, two active conjugate thrusts on both side of former spreading ridges
ob11 10 Oblique ridge: 19°, Trant: 12-15 IDF, Mag, aMag, Oblir Near ridge SI in same direction, subduction modes controlled by aMag ob22 10 Oblique ridge: 38°, Trant: 10-12 IDF, Mag, aMag, Oblir Near ridge SI in opposite directions, subduction modes controlled by aMag av1 left - 5 Asymmetric Sp and Conv, Trant: IDF, Tranf, Mag, aMag, Oblir Near ridge SI in opposite directions, subduction modes controlled by aMag	c33w66	10	No SW in crust	lDF in aMag, sDF and HF in Mag, Strar	Near ridge SI in opposite directions, subduction modes controlled by aMag
ob22 10 Oblique ridge: 38°, Trant: 10-12 IDF, Mag, aMag, Oblir Near ridge SI in opposite directions, subduction modes controlled by aMag av1 left - 5 Asymmetric Sp and Conv, Trant: IDF, Tranf, Mag, aMag, Oblir Near ridge SI in opposite directions, subduction	ob11	10	Oblique ridge: 19°, Trant: 12-15 Myr	lDF, Mag, aMag, Oblir	Near ridge SI in same direction, subduction modes controlled by aMag
av1 left - 5 Asymmetric Sp and Conv, Trant: IDF, Tranf, Mag, aMag, Oblir Near ridge SI in opposite directions, subduction	ob22	10	Oblique ridge: 38°, Trant: 10-12 Myr	lDF, Mag, aMag, Oblir	Near ridge SI in opposite directions, subduction modes controlled by aMag
	av1	left - 5	Asymmetric Sp and Conv, Trant:	lDF, Tranf, Mag, aMag, Oblir	Near ridge SI in opposite directions, subduction

	right - 10	8.5-9.5 Myr		modes controlled by Tranf and aMag
av2	left - 10 right - 5	Asymmetric Sp and Conv, Trant: 8.5-9.5 Myr	lDF, Mag, aMag, Strar	Near ridge SI in same direction, subduction modes controlled by aMag
contl*	-	Conv: left-10 mm/yr, right-0 mm/yr	Same with reference model	Same with reference model except for the trench position
contr*	-	Conv: left-0 mm/yr, right-10 mm/yr	Same with reference model	Same with reference model except for the trench position
rheo1*	-	Conv: 10 mm/yr	lDF, Mag, aMag, FZ, Oblir	Near ridge SI in same direction, subduction modes controlled by aMag
rheo2*	-	Conv: 20 mm/yr	Same with rheo1*	Near ridge SI in opposite directions, subduction modes controlled by aMag
rheo4*	-	Conv: 40 mm/yr	Same with rheo1*	Same with rheo2*