# Canopy height and climate dryness parsimoniously explain spatial variation of unstressed stomatal conductance

Yanlan Liu<sup>1</sup>, Olivia Flournoy<sup>2</sup>, Quan Zhang<sup>3</sup>, Kimberly Novick<sup>4</sup>, Randal D. Koster<sup>5</sup>, and Alexandra Konings<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>The Ohio State University <sup>2</sup>Stanford University <sup>3</sup>Wuhan University <sup>4</sup>Indiana University Bloomington <sup>5</sup>NASA Goddard SFC

November 24, 2022

#### Abstract

The spatio-temporal variation of stomatal conductance directly regulates photosynthesis, water partitioning, and biosphereatmosphere interactions. While many studies have focused on stomatal response to stresses, the spatial variation of unstressed stomatal conductance remains poorly determined, and is usually characterized in land surface models (LSMs) simply based on plant functional type (PFT). Here, we derived unstressed stomatal conductance at the ecosystem-scale using observations from 115 global FLUXNET sites. When aggregated by PFTs, the across-PFT pattern was highly consistent with the parameterizations of LSMs. However, PFTs alone captured only 17% of the variation in unstressed stomatal conductance across sites. Within the same PFT, unstressed stomatal conductance was negatively related to climate dryness and canopy height, which explained  $45\\%$  of the total spatial variation. Our results highlight the importance of plant-environment interactions in shaping stomatal traits. The trait-environment relationship established here provides an empirical approach for improved parameterizations of stomatal conductance in LSMs.









## Canopy height and climate dryness parsimoniously explain spatial variation of unstressed stomatal conductance

## Yanlan Liu <sup>1,2</sup>, Olivia Flournoy <sup>3</sup>, Quan Zhang <sup>4</sup>, Kimberly A. Novick <sup>5</sup>, Randal D. Koster <sup>6</sup>, Alexandra G. Konings <sup>7</sup>

6	<sup>1</sup> School of Earth Sciences, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, USA
7	$^{2}$ School of Environment and Natural Resources, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, USA
8	<sup>3</sup> Department of Geophysics, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA
9	<sup>4</sup> State Key Laboratory of Water Resources and Hydropower Engineering Science, Wuhan University,
10	Wuhan, China
11	<sup>5</sup> O'Neill School of Public and Environmental Affairs, Indiana University Bloomington, Bloomington, IN,
12	USA
13	<sup>6</sup> Global Modeling and Assimilation Office, NASA GSFC, Greenbelt, MD, USA
14	<sup>7</sup> Department of Earth System Science, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA

#### 15 Key Points:

1

2

3

4

5

16	•	Many large-scale models represent the spatial patterns of unstressed stomatal con-
17		ductance using plant functional types (PFTs)
18	•	PFT-averages of unstressed stomatal conductance at FLUXNET sites only cap-
19		ture seventeen percent of spatial variability
20	•	Spatial variation of unstressed stomatal conductance is better explained using cli-
21		mate dryness and canopy height

Corresponding author: Yanlan Liu, liu.9367@osu.edu

#### 22 Abstract

The spatio-temporal variation of stomatal conductance directly regulates photosynthe-23 sis, water partitioning, and biosphere-atmosphere interactions. While many studies have 24 focused on stomatal response to stresses, the spatial variation of unstressed stomatal con-25 ductance remains poorly determined, and is usually characterized in land surface mod-26 els (LSMs) simply based on plant functional type (PFT). Here, we derived unstressed 27 stomatal conductance at the ecosystem-scale using observations from 115 global FLUXNET 28 sites. When aggregated by PFTs, the across-PFT pattern was highly consistent with the 29 parameterizations of LSMs. However, PFTs alone captured only 17% of the variation 30 in unstressed stomatal conductance across sites. Within the same PFT, unstressed stom-31 atal conductance was negatively related to climate dryness and canopy height, which ex-32 plained 45% of the total spatial variation. Our results highlight the importance of plant-33 environment interactions in shaping stomatal traits. The trait-environment relationship 34 established here provides an empirical approach for improved parameterizations of stom-35 atal conductance in LSMs. 36

#### <sup>37</sup> Plain Language Summary

Stomatal conductance regulates the ease with which vegetation extracts water from 38 the soil and releases it to the atmosphere. It thus helps determine the total evapotran-39 spiration and plant uptake of carbon, which in turn significantly influences many aspects 40 of ecosystem function, ranging from regional water resources to biodiversity and climate 41 feedbacks. In particular, stomatal conductance under a stress-free condition (without lim-42 itations from water, light, or other factors) acts as the basis of all mathematical mod-43 els of stomatal dynamics. It is important to understand what causes the unstressed con-44 ductance to vary from one place to the next. Large-scale models often assume the un-45 stressed stomatal conductance is the same for all ecosystems belonging to the same plant 46 functional type (for example, deciduous forests, grasslands, or croplands). However, based 47 on observations at 115 sites across the globe, we showed that unstressed stomatal con-48 ductance varies significantly between sites within the same plant functional type. Sites 49 located in drier climates and with taller canopies tended to have lower unstressed stom-50 atal conductance. Accounting for climate dryness and canopy height helped better ex-51 plain the spatial variation. Our results provide a useful approach to improving model 52 descriptions of stomatal conductance. 53

-2-

#### 54 1 Introduction

Stomatal conductance for water vapor and carbon dioxide is a primary control on 55 transpiration and photosynthesis. Many aspects of ecosystem function, including water 56 resources (Fowler et al., 2019; Mankin et al., 2019), carbon sink strength (Powell et al., 57 2013; Trugman et al., 2018), tree mortality (McDowell et al., 2011; Anderegg et al., 2018), 58 regional climate feedbacks (Kala et al., 2016; Green et al., 2017), and ecoclimate telecom-59 munications (Garcia et al., 2016; Stark et al., 2016), are directly regulated by the spatio-60 temporal variation of stomatal conductance. Representation of this variation has been 61 recognized as the central link of biosphere-atmosphere interactions in observational and 62 modeling studies (Hetherington & Woodward, 2003; Buckley & Mott, 2013; Bonan et 63 al., 2014; Franks et al., 2018). Under reference conditions of low water stress and non-64 limiting radiation and temperature, the open apertures of stomata lead to unstressed stom-65 atal conductance  $(g_{s,ref})$ . During periods of water, light, or temperature stress, stom-66 at close, thus downregulating stomatal conductance. Over the past decades, much at-67 tention has been focused on evaluating the reduction of stomatal conductance in response 68 to meteorological conditions and water stress (Powell et al., 2013; Novick et al., 2016; 69 Sperry et al., 2017; Konings et al., 2017; Trugman et al., 2018; Y. Liu et al., 2020). How-70 ever, although  $g_{s,\text{ref}}$  is the reference basis for downregulation of stomatal conductance 71 under all meteorological conditions, its spatial variation remains poorly understood. Due 72 to the direct influence of  $g_{s,\text{ref}}$  on biosphere-atmosphere interactions during both stressed 73 and non-stressed conditions, an accurate description of the spatial variation of  $g_{s,ref}$  is 74 fundamental for predictions of ecosystem dynamics in space and time. 75

In-situ measurements have found a negative relationship between  $g_{s,ref}$  and canopy 76 height at the tree scale (Ryan et al., 2000; Schäfer et al., 2000; Novick et al., 2009), sup-77 porting the hydraulic limitation hypothesis (Ryan et al., 2006). This theory predicts that, 78 under steady-state flow conditions, the  $g_{s,ref}$  should be coordinated with xylem conduc-79 tance, which itself is inversely related to the soil-to-leaf path length, i.e., canopy height. 80 Nonetheless, observations suggesting a positive relationship between  $g_{s,ref}$  and canopy 81 height also exist (McDowell et al., 2002). This may be because taller canopies have greater 82 sapwood area per leaf area, thus contributing to a higher xylem conductance and thus 83 greater  $g_{s,ref}$  (Fischer et al., 2002). The overall balance of these two factors remains un-84 clear. Moreover, leaf-scale measurements have found that species in more arid climates 85 tend to have lower stomatal density and area (Carlson et al., 2016; C. Liu et al., 2018). 86

-3-

Because  $g_{s,ref}$  is morphologically determined at the leaf scale by these factors (Franks 87 et al., 2009; Lammertsma et al., 2011; Dow et al., 2014), these measurements suggest a 88 negative relationship between  $g_{s,ref}$  and climate dryness. However, the observational stud-89 ies were based on measurements at leaf and tree scales with a limited number of species. 90 It remains unclear whether these relationships explaining the spatial variation of  $g_{s,ref}$ 91 are generalizable to the ecosystem-scale. Furthermore, each of the observational stud-92 ies tested an independent correlation between  $g_{s,ref}$  and an individual covariate. The com-93 bined effect requires further investigation. 94

Addressing this gap is particularly relevant for land surface models (LSMs), which 95 typically omit spatial variation of  $g_{s,ref}$  other than that due to the distribution of plant 96 functional types (PFTs). Specifically,  $g_{s,ref}$  in LSMs corresponds to the stomatal con-97 ductance under optimal meteorological conditions and no soil moisture limitation, rep-98 resented using empirical or optimal approaches, e.g., the Jarvis, Ball-Berry and Medlyn 99 models (Jarvis, 1976; Ball et al., 1987; Medlyn et al., 2011; Franks et al., 2018). The spa-100 tial pattern of  $g_{s,\text{ref}}$  is determined by a single parameter or an equivalent parameter set 101 (e.g., the slope parameter, the maximum photosynthetic carboxylation rate  $V_{c,\max}$ , etc.) 102 assigned for each PFT. However, previous studies have found many related plant traits, 103 such as  $V_{c,\max}$  and multiple hydraulic traits, vary significantly within a PFT (Anderegg, 104 2015; Walker et al., 2017; Konings & Gentine, 2017; Y. Liu et al., 2021), which can in-105 cur large errors in stomatal closure modeling (Wolz et al., 2017). These variations can, 106 among others, emerge from plant-environment interactions and community dynamics, 107 through which the environment can be considered as a filter in shaping the community-108 average traits (Cornwell et al., 2006; Ackerly & Cornwell, 2007). Such "environmental 109 filtering" has previously been applied in large-scale models to improve the parameter-110 ization of photosynthetic traits and empirical evapotranspiration parameters by map-111 ping them to climate and environmental characteristics (Verheijen et al., 2015; Walker 112 et al., 2017; Wu et al., 2020). In the same way,  $q_{s,ref}$  may also vary with ecological and 113 environmental conditions as a result of plant-environment interactions. 114

Our objective is to explore the extent to which information about canopy height and climate dryness predict spatial variation in ecosystem scale  $g_{s,ref}$ . We use observations at 115 FLUXNET sites to derive  $g_{s,ref}$  and hypothesize that  $g_{s,ref}$  varies with canopy height and climatic factors, including mean annual air temperature, mean annual precipitation, and climate dryness across sites. We examine whether an environmental fil-

-4-

ter exists that could characterize the spatial variation of  $g_{s,ref}$  better than the PFT-based approach widely used in LSMs. Our analysis aims to parsimoniously explain the spatial

variation of  $g_{s,ref}$  within PFTs using readily available datasets, thus providing a tractable

<sup>123</sup> approach to better parameterize stomatal conductance in LSMs.

#### 124 2 Methods

125

#### 2.1 Sites and datasets

The 115 global FLUXNET sites covered seven PFTs and a wide range of climates. 126 Among the sites included in the FLUXNET2015 Tier1 dataset (FLUXNET, 2016), we 127 analyzed only those with ET and relevant meteorological data available, and for which 128 there were at least 100 valid observations satisfying the quality control filters described 129 in Section 2.2. The PFT of each site is determined based on the International Geosphere-130 Biosphere Programme (IGBP) classification system. The sites include 31 evergreen needle-131 leaf forests, 12 deciduous broadleaf forests, 11 evergreen broadleaf forests, 10 shrublands, 132 12 savannas, 25 grasslands, and 14 croplands. Leaf area index was extracted from the 133 closest 500 m pixel from the MODIS (Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer) 134 product (MCD15A3H.006) using Google Earth Engine (Myneni et al., 2015) with a 4-135 day temporal resolution. It was then smoothed using the Savitzky–Golay filter to remove 136 high-frequency noise and linearly interpolated to the same temporal resolution (half-hourly 137 or hourly, depending on the site) as the flux measurements. Canopy height was obtained 138 from the Biological, Ancillary, Disturbance and Metadata (BADM) associated with the 139 FLUXNET2015 dataset. 140

141

#### 2.2 Derivation of unstressed stomatal conductance

Ecosystem conductance  $(G_s)$  was calculated by inverting the Penman-Monteith equa-142 tion (Penman, 1948; Monteith, 1965) using ET and relevant meteorological conditions 143 at a half-hourly or hourly scale, including net radiation, air temperature, relative humid-144 ity, wind speed, and friction velocity. To control the uncertainty in the estimated con-145 ductance, only measurements taken between 10 am and 3 pm that satisfy the following 146 filters were used: no rainfall in the previous two days, net radiation greater than half of 147 the annual maximum, vapor pressure deficit greater than 0.6 kPa, and wind speed greater 148 than 1 m/s. More details on the inversion method are described in Zhang et al. (2019). 149

-5-

We note that  $G_s$  estimated by inverting the Penman-Monteith equation is subject to bias, 150 which remains challenging to accurately quantify due to biased or unmeasured energy 151 budget components (Wehr & Saleska, 2021). While this bias has been shown to cause 152 skewed down-regulation sensitivities of stomatal conductance to light and moisture stresses 153 (Wehr & Saleska, 2021), we only analyze stomatal conductance under close-to-optimal 154 conditions at all sites here. Notably, for sites with available observations of energy bud-155 get components, we tested only using the data when the energy closure error is below 156 average and found the main results remained fundamentally unchanged (Fig. S1). Thus, 157 this uncertainly will likely contribute to unexplained residuals but not qualitatively change 158 the derived relationships. 159

The ecosystem conductance was then partitioned into soil conductance and canopy conductance using a data-driven approach that generalizes Leuning's and Medlyn's models of stomatal conductance (C. Lin et al., 2018; X. Li et al., 2019):

$$G_s = G_0 + G_1 \frac{\text{GPP}}{\text{VPD}^m} \tag{1}$$

where GPP is the gross primary production; VPD is the vapor pressure deficit; and  $G_0$ , 163  $G_1$ , and m are parameters fitted by minimizing the root-mean-square error. As discussed 164 in more detail below, we assume that, at the ecosystem scale,  $G_0$  is dominated by soil 165 conductance. One set of fitting parameters was estimated for each site using all avail-166 able data from the growing season, which was identified based on LAI being greater than 167 its median. Because  $G_0$  can vary with soil moisture, the parameters were fitted using 168 data binned by the quartiles of soil moisture measurements at each site for which soil 169 moisture measurements were available, and using all valid data otherwise (at 16 sites). 170 The accuracy of Eq. 1 was evaluated at each site. We subtracted the fitted constants 171  $G_0$  at different soil moisture levels from the hourly/half-hourly ecosystem conductance 172  $G_s$  to approximate canopy conductance, which preserves the original variation of  $G_s$  and 173 reduces the uncertainty introduced by fitting errors. 174

The canopy conductance was then scaled to stomatal conductance  $(g_s)$  at leaf-scale using LAI as follows.

$$g_s = \frac{(G_s - G_0)}{\min(\text{LAI}, 6)} \tag{2}$$

The cut-off point of LAI = 6 was used to account for the nonlinear scaling between stomata and canopy conductances due to shading in dense canopy (Granier et al., 2000; Novick et al., 2009; Alam et al., 2021). Lastly, the unstressed stomatal conductance  $(g_{s,ref})$  was

quantified as the 90th percentile of the  $g_s$  time series satisfying all the filters described 180 above at each site. The 90th percentile was used to approximate the maximum stom-181 atal conductance while minimizing the impact of outliers due to observational noise. We 182 note that because the optimal temperature, saturated radiation, and minimal water stress 183 rarely co-occur,  $g_{s,ref}$  is expected to be lower than but correlated to the maximum stom-184 atal conductance, as also found in leaf-scale measurements (Dow et al., 2014; McElwain 185 et al., 2016). Thus, through this work, the term "unstressed conductance" may not rep-186 resent the truly maximum conductance, but rather the conductance observed under en-187 vironmental conditions that are reasonably close to optimal. 188

We adopted several approaches to evaluate the uncertainties inherent to our ap-189 proach. First, we tested the robustness of our method to errors in the separation of soil 190 and canopy conductance, such as in the case where there is an intercept in the stomatal 191 conductance-GPP relationship due to cuticular conductance, incompletely closed stom-192 ata, or other reasons (Medlyn et al., 2011; Duursma et al., 2019). In this case,  $G_0$  also 193 represents part of the canopy conductance. In the extreme case (i.e., no soil conductance 194 contribution to  $G_0$ ), instead of Eq. 2,  $g_s$  could be calculated as  $g_s = G_s / \min(\text{LAI}, 6)$ . 195 Combined with  $g_s$  derived from Eq. 2, these two estimates span the possible range of 196 zero to large contributions of stomatal conductance to  $G_0$ , allowing us to test the robust-197 ness of our results to  $G_s$  partitioning uncertainty. Second, we tested different thresholds 198 for the cut-off point (LAI = 4, 6, and 8) used to scale the canopy conductance to stom-199 at al conductance. Finally, while  $g_{s,\text{ref}}$  represents stomatal conductance under close-to-200 optimal conditions at all sites, the hydroclimatic conditions when  $g_{s,ref}$  was achieved could 201 be farther away from the optima at some sites than others. For example, in most sites, 202  $g_s$  close to  $g_{s,ref}$  was found around VPD of 1 kPa, as expected theoretically (Oren et al., 203 1999); however, in extremely dry sites, it was only found when VPD exceeded 1.5 kPa 204 (Fig. S2). To better understand whether this difference is attributable to real variations 205 or to the methodological choice to surrogate  $g_{s,ref}$  to the 90th percentile of  $g_{s,ref}$ , we cal-206 culated an alternative  $g_{s,ref}$  as the envelope (90th quantile) of  $g_s$  under VPD = 1 kPa 207 using a quantile regression (Fig. S3) (Koenker, 2005). 208

209

#### 2.3 Baseline model and scaled model estimating $g_{s,ref}$ variation

210

211

### Two models for estimating spatial variation of $g_{s,ref}$ were compared: a 'baseline model' that is an analogy of the PFT-based approach used in land models and a 'scaled model'

that parsimoniously accounts for relations with canopy height and climate conditions,

i.e., environmental filters. The baseline model was derived by calculating the average of

 $g_{s,ref}$  for all FLUXNET sites, and can be written as:

$$y_i^j = c^j + \delta_i \tag{3}$$

where  $y_i^j$  is the  $g_{s,ref}$  at the *i*th site belonging to the *j*th PFT;  $c^j$  is the *j*th PFT-specific parameter, equal to the average  $g_{s,ref}$  of the *j*th PFT; and  $\delta_i$  is the model error.

To test whether an environmental filter could better estimate  $g_{s,ref}$  variation, a scaled model was used, which describes  $g_{s,ref}$  as a fixed linear combination of explanatory variables that is multiplicatively scaled by a PFT-specific factor.

$$y_i^j = \alpha^j (\beta^T X_i) + \delta_i \tag{4}$$

where  $X_i$  is a vector containing z-scores of a set of explanatory variables for the *i*th site, 220 and  $\beta$  contains the corresponding coefficients. Z-scores rather than the original magni-221 tudes of explanatory variables were used in  $X_i$  so that  $\beta^T$  reflects the relative sensitiv-222 ities. Note that the vector  $\beta^T$  is independent of PFT j, maintaining the same ratio of 223 sensitivity to each of the possible explanatory variables  $X_i$ . By maintaining this consis-224 tency, the number of necessary variables is reduced significantly, preserving the parsi-225 monious nature of the model and preventing over-fitting. The PFT-specific parameter 226  $\alpha^{j}$  accounts for different scalings across PFTs. For  $X_{i}$ , we explored widely-available vari-227 ables (to ensure a large dataset and tractability of the resulting model as an environmetal 228 filter) of three categories: long-term average precipitation and air temperature, dryness 229 index, and canopy height (Table 1). Mean annual air temperature (MAT) and precip-230 itation (MAP) were calculated using the FLUXNET2015 dataset as averages across the 231 entire record of each site. We considered six different metrics to quantify climate dry-232 ness based on actual evapotranspiration (ET), potential evapotranspiration (PET) and 233 MAP. PET was calculated using the Penman-Monteith equation, and ET was calculated 234 as the average of the observations across the entire record period. The inverse of canopy 235 height  $(1/H_c)$ , rather than canopy height itself, was used because the inverse linearly con-236 trols the xylem conductance from the root to the leaf, which affects stomatal conduc-237 tance through hydraulic coordination (Brodribb & Jordan, 2011; Manzoni et al., 2013). 238 To identify the most informative variables, we conducted model selection by choosing 239 at most one variable within each of the three categories. The performance of models with 240 different variable combinations was evaluated using the coefficient of determination  $(\mathbf{R}^2)$ 241

-8-

- <sup>242</sup> and the Akaike information criteria (AIC). We analyzed the top ten scaled models (based
- $_{243}$  on AIC) and compared their AIC and  $R^2$  to the baseline model. We further examined
- the relation between  $g_{s,\text{ref}}$  and the selected independent variables as reflected by  $\beta$ . The
- uncertainty of  $\beta$  of the best-performing model was estimated using seven-fold bootstrap-
- <sup>246</sup> ping (Efron, 1992).

Candidate variables						
Canopy height	$1/H_c$					
Dryness index	PET/MAP	PET-MAP	PET/ET	PET-ET	$\mathrm{ET}/\mathrm{MAP}$	ET-MAP
Mean climate	MAP	MAT				

 Table 1. Candidate variables considered in the scaled model

#### 247

#### 2.4 Unstressed stomatal conductance within land surface models

We compared the baseline model derived here from FLUXNET sites to the actual 248 parameterizations used in land surface models and a global modeling system. The Global 249 Land Data Assimilation System (GLDAS) (Rodell et al., 2004), the Community Land 250 Model Version 4.5 (CLM4.5) (Oleson et al., 2013) and Version 5 (CLM5) (Lawrence et 251 al., 2019) were used as examples. The land model Noah v3.3 in GLDAS prescribes un-252 stressed stomatal conductance per PFT, and these values were directly compared with 253  $g_{s,\text{ref}}$  here. CLM4.5 and CLM5 describe stomatal conductance using the Ball-Berry model 254 and the Medlyn model respectively; in these two models, the unstressed stomatal con-255 ductance is not directly prescribed but primarily determined by the maximum rate of 256 Rubisco carboxylase activity  $V_{cmax}$  and the slope parameter  $g_1$ , which are specified by 257 PFT. We calculated the equivalent unstressed stomatal conductance of each PFT using 258 the PFT-specific parameters as in Oleson et al. (2013) and Lawrence et al. (2019) un-259 der reference conditions, i.e., net radiation of  $600 \text{ W/m}^2$ , air and leaf temperature of 25 260 °C, VPD of 0.6 kPa, and without soil moisture limitation. The maximum rate of pho-261 to synthetic electron transport  $(J_{max})$  and the photorespiration rate were approximated 262 as 1.97 and 0.015 times  $V_{c,max}$ , respectively (Oleson et al., 2013). 263

#### <sup>264</sup> **3** Results and Discussion

265

#### 3.1 Unstressed stomatal conductance across sites

Across sites, the ecosystem conductance model (Eq. 1) captures on average 43%266 and up to 82% of subdaily variation of the derived ecosystem conductance  $G_s$  (Fig. 1a). 267 This is on par with an  $\mathbb{R}^2$  of 0.52 at one site reported in C. Lin et al. (2018). The model 268  $\mathbb{R}^2$  does not exhibit clear spatial clusters (Fig. 1a) and does not significantly differ for 269 sites with and without soil moisture measurements (p > 0.1 using a Kolmogorov-Smirnov)270 test). At sites with available soil moisture measurements,  $G_0$  increases with soil mois-271 ture as expected. The across-sites medians of  $G_0$  are 0.043, 0.059, 0.066, and 0.080 mol/m<sup>2</sup>/s 272 under soil moisture within the first to the fourth quartiles, respectively (Fig. 1b). The 273 mid-50% of the slope  $(G_1)$  and the exponent (m) parameters range from 0.075 to 0.121 274  $(kPa^m \text{ mol } \mu \text{mol}^{-1})$ , and from 0.240 to 0.584, respectively (Fig. 1c, d). The values of 275 all three parameters estimated here are consistent with those in previous studies (C. Lin 276 et al., 2018; X. Li et al., 2019). 277

The derived  $g_{s,ref}$  spans a wide range from 0.022 to 0.409 mol/m<sup>2</sup>/s (Fig. 1e) and is not clustered by PFT or climate type. Each of the tropical, temperate and boreal regions and all of the PFTs include both small (below the 25th percentile across all sites) and large (above the 75th percentile) values of  $g_{s,ref}$ . The large spatial variability of  $g_{s,ref}$ highlights the need for its appropriate characterization.

283

#### 3.2 Cross-PFT pattern and connection to parameterization of LSMs

The PFT-averaged  $g_{s,ref}$  is highest in croplands (0.186 mol/m<sup>2</sup>/s), followed by grass-284 lands (0.135 mol/m<sup>2</sup>/s), and is lowest in evergreen broadleaf forests (0.083 mol/m<sup>2</sup>/s). 285 This cross-PFT pattern is largely consistent with the parameterization of LSMs (Fig. 286 2). The equivalent  $g_{s,ref}$  from GLDAS, CLM4.5 and CLM5 are correlated with the site-287 averaged  $g_{s,ref}$  per PFT with Pearson correlation coefficients of 0.76, 0.83, and 0.88 re-288 spectively (p < 0.01 for all), though these correspondences are in large part attributable 289 to high  $g_{s,ref}$  in croplands. When excluding croplands, the correlations degrade to 0.78, 290 0.55, and 0.31 for the three model parameterizations, respectively. While the cross-PFT 291 patterns derived here and the parametrization of LSMs are largely consistent, significant 292 differences also remain. These may be attributable to the limited number of sites in each 293



Figure 1. (a) Temporal variation of ecosystem conductance  $G_s$  explained by the ecosystem conductance model (Eq. 1) for all investigated FLUXNET sites. Model accuracy was evaluated using the coefficient of determination ( $\mathbb{R}^2$ ) between fitted  $G_s$  and that derived from observations. (b) Probability density function (pdf) of the fitted soil conductance ( $G_0$ ) across sites under measured soil moisture in the four quartiles separated by the 25th ( $q_{25}$ ), the 50th ( $q_{50}$ ), and the 75th ( $q_{75}$ ) quantiles of each site, and under all soil moisture conditions at sites without soil moisture measurement (no SM). (c) The pdf of the slope parameter  $G_1$ . (d) The pdf of the VPDsensitivity parameter m. (e) The unstressed stomatal conductance ( $g_{s,ref}$ ) derived for FLUXNET sites.

PFT in this study and similarly, the small number of (possibly different) sites typically





Figure 2. Relations between PFT-averaged unstressed stomatal conductance  $(g_{s,ref})$  of FLUXNET sites and the equivalent  $g_{s,ref}$  calculated using the parameterizations of (a) Noah v3.3 in GLDAS, (b) the Ball-Berry model in CLM4.5, and (c) the Medlyn model in CLM5. Black dashed lines denote the regression lines. Colored dots represent the seven PFTs, including evergreen needleleaf forests (ENF), deciduous broadleaf forests (DBF), evergreen broadleaf forests (EBF), shrublands (SHB, including both open and closed shrublands), savannas (SAV, including both savannas and woody savannas), grasslands (GRA), and croplands (CRO). Different symbol shapes denote parameterizations specific for tropical, temperate and boreal biomes. Each panel only shows available PFTs and biomes in the corresponding model.

On average, the cross-PFT variation of observed  $g_{s,ref}$  is only half of that seen within each of the seven PFTs (Fig. 3a). As a result, the PFT-averages of  $g_{s,ref}$  only explain 17% of the total observed variation across all sites (Fig. 3b). This suggests that representing the unstressed stomatal conductance via PFT alone ignores significant sources of spatial variation, which may result in spatial errors of simulated biosphere-atmosphere interactions in LSMs.

302

#### 3.3 Improved spatial estimation of unstressed stomatal conductance

The most informative variable in explaining the spatial variation of  $g_{s,ref}$  is the dryness index, calculated as the long-term averaged deficit between potential evapotranspiration and the actual evapotranspiration (PET-ET), followed by canopy height. Using these two variables, the scaled model (Eq. 4) explains 45% of the variation of  $g_{s,ref}$  across all sites (Fig. 4), which more than doubles the R<sup>2</sup> of 0.17 using PFT-averages (Fig. 3).



Figure 3. (a) The coefficient of variation of  $g_{s,ref}$  across- and within-PFTs. (b) Relation between PFT-averaged  $g_{s,ref}$  (the baseline model) and the  $g_{s,ref}$  across sites. Acronyms of PFTs are noted in the caption of Fig. 2.

Despite requiring more parameters, the best scaled model is also more informative (AIC= 308 -328.52) than the baseline model (AIC=-279.74). The  $g_{s,ref}$  is negatively related to 309 PET-ET and positively related to  $1/H_c$ , with greater sensitivity to PET-ET (-0.198± 310 0.018) than to  $1/H_c$  (0.046  $\pm$  0.011), where the sensitivity coefficients were calculated 311 using the variables' z-scores. We note that multiple variable combinations and the cor-312 responding regression coefficients yield similar model accuracies (Table S1). However, 313 both  $1/H_c$  and the dryness index are selected in the majority of the top ten models. Across 314 models, the signs of the relationships between both  $1/H_c$  and the dryness index to  $g_{s,ref}$ 315 are also consistent. Mean annual temperature and precipitation are also selected in eight 316 out of the ten top models, although  $g_{s,ref}$  is less sensitive to mean climate conditions than 317 to the dryness index. These findings are robust with respect to the alternative approx-318 imations and thresholds for deriving  $g_{s,ref}$  described in Section 2.2. Climate dryness and 319 canopy height are still the most informative variables explaining 38% to 45% of  $g_{s,ref}$  vari-320 ation, in contrast to 13% to 16% using PFT averages (Fig. S4–S7). 321

Our results indicate that accounting for climate dryness and canopy height explains more than two times the  $g_{s,ref}$  variation explained by PFT alone. This suggests that a simple and tractable equation can enable significantly more accurate  $g_{s,ref}$  assumptions for use in LSMs. Plants in drier climates tend to exhibit lower  $g_{s,ref}$ , which provides the

-13-



**Figure 4.** Performance of the best scaled model in estimating  $g_{s,ref}$  using canopy height and climate dryness across sites. Acronyms of PFTs are noted in the caption of Fig. 2.

first ecosystem-scale evidence consistent with leaf scale measurements suggesting species in drier climates tend to have lower stomatal density and area and thus lower unstressed stomatal conductance (Carlson et al., 2016; C. Liu et al., 2018). Based on leaf-level gas exchange, Y.-S. Lin et al. (2015) also found drier climates were correlated with higher marginal water use efficiency, indicating low stomatal conductance under unstressed conditions.

To our knowledge, our study is the first to present evidence on the coordination 332 between unstressed stomatal and xylem conductances (evidenced here through canopy 333 height) at the ecosystem scale. Previous studies have found strong coordination between 334 xylem and stomatal vulnerabilities to water stresses (Martin-StPaul et al., 2017; Bro-335 dribb et al., 2017; Pivovaroff et al., 2018), and identified positive  $1/H_c$  -  $g_{s,ref}$  relation-336 ships based on tree-scale measurements for each species (Schäfer et al., 2000; Ryan et 337 al., 2000; Phillips et al., 2003; Delzon et al., 2004). Our study extends these results by 338 showing that, even without distinguishing species within a PFT, canopy height explains 339  $g_{s,\text{ref}}$  variations at the ecosystem-scale. It further suggests that the direct effect of canopy 340 height on xylem conductance (which suggests a positive relationship between  $1/H_c$  and 341  $g_{s,\mathrm{ref}}$ ) outweighs the influence of xylem conductance's dependence on the sapwood-to-342 leaf area (which by itself suggests a negative relationship between  $1/H_c$  and  $g_{s,ref}$ ). Nev-343

- $g_{44}$  ertheless, these competing influences may explain why, at stand-scale,  $g_{s,ref}$  is less sen-
- <sup>345</sup> sitive to canopy height than to climate dryness.

#### <sup>346</sup> 4 Conclusions and implications

This study investigated the spatial variation of stomatal conductance under un-347 stressed conditions  $(g_{s,ref})$  derived from FLUXNET sites across the globe. Differences 348 between PFTs only account for a limited fraction of the total spatial variance. This high-349 lights the uncertainties introduced by PFT-based parameterization schemes commonly 350 used in LSMs. In contrast, using PFT, canopy height and climate dryness significantly 351 contribute to explaining the spatial variation of  $g_{s,ref}$ , even in the absence of any infor-352 mation about species composition, competition, soil type (which may affect rooting prop-353 erties), or other factors. Note that the predictive capabilities of this relationship are not 354 obvious a priori from the existence of analogous univariate species-scale relationships. 355 The large range of other factors varying at ecosystem-scale could have prevented the ex-356 is tence of a tractable relationship for  $g_{s,ref}$  with climate dryness and can opy height. In-357 deed, for water use efficiency (WUE) – another stomatal trait – it has been shown that 358 the WUE aridity index relationship is very different between leaf and ecosystem scales 359 (H. Li et al., 2022). Greater  $g_{s,ref}$  is associated with lower canopy height and more mesic 360 climates, which is supported by ecophysiological theory and is qualitatively consistent 361 with previous evidence observed at leaf and tree scales. Our findings suggest that ex-362 plicitly considering canopy height and climate dryness can contribute to a more accu-363 rate description of unstressed stomatal conductance and its ecohydrological consequences 364 in models. Additionally, an increasing number of land models have started to incorpo-365 rate plant hydraulics and therefore a mechanistic impact of canopy height on the equiv-366 alent  $g_{s,\text{ref}}$  (Kennedy et al., 2019; Eller et al., 2020; L. Li et al., 2021). The sensitivity 367 of  $g_{s,\text{ref}}$  to can opy height estimated here can provide an observation-based diagnostic bench-368 mark for examining such parameterizations. Overall, the fact that climate and ecolog-369 ical state explain  $g_{s,\text{ref}}$  highlights the importance of plant-environment interactions and 370 ecological dynamics in shaping community-average traits. Our findings motivate further 371 studies accounting for these impacts to improve prediction of biosphere-atmosphere in-372 teractions. 373

#### <sup>374</sup> Open Research

- All meteorological data and canopy height data were obtained from the FLUXNET2015
- area index was ex-
- tracted from the MODIS product (MCD15A3H.006, https://doi.org/10.5067/MODIS/MCD15A3H.006).

#### 378 Acknowledgments

- AGK was funded by the NASA Modeling, Analysis, and Prediction program, grant 80NSSC21K1523,
- and by NSF DEB-1942133. QZ was supported by the National Natural Science Foun-
- dation of China (no. U2243214). KAN acknowledges support from NSF-DEB 1552747.
- RDK's involvement with the study was supported by the NASA MAP program. The au-
- thors acknowledge the FLUXNET network for providing the FLUXNET2015 dataset.

#### 384 References

- Ackerly, D. D., & Cornwell, W. K. (2007). A trait-based approach to community assembly: partitioning of species trait values into within- and among-community components. *Ecol. Lett.*, 10(2), 135–145.
- Alam, M. S., Lamb, D. W., & Warwick, N. W. M. (2021). A canopy transpiration
   model based on scaling up stomatal conductance and radiation interception as
   affected by leaf area index. *Water*, 13(3). doi: 10.3390/w13030252
- Anderegg, W. R. L. (2015). Spatial and temporal variation in plant hydraulic traits and their relevance for climate change impacts on vegetation. New Phytol., 205(3), 1008–1014.
- Anderegg, W. R. L., Wolf, A., Arango-Velez, A., Choat, B., Chmura, D. J., Jansen,
   S., ... Pacala, S. (2018). Woody plants optimise stomatal behaviour relative
   to hydraulic risk. *Ecol. Lett.*, 21(7), 968–977.
- Ball, J. T., Woodrow, I. E., & Berry, J. A. (1987). A model predicting stomatal
  conductance and its contribution to the control of photosynthesis under different environmental conditions. In J. Biggins (Ed.), Progress in photosynthesis
  research: Volume 4 proceedings of the VIIth international congress on photosynthesis providence, rhode island, USA, august 10–15, 1986 (pp. 221–224).
- 402 Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands.
- Bonan, G., Williams, M., Fisher, R., & Oleson, K. (2014). Modeling stomatal
  conductance in the earth system: linking leaf water-use efficiency and water

405	$transport along the soil-plant-atmosphere \ continuum. \qquad Geoscientific \ Model$
406	$Development, \ 7(5), \ 2193-2222.$
407	Brodribb, T. J., & Jordan, G. J. (2011). Water supply and demand remain bal-
408	anced during leaf acclimation of nothofagus cunning hamii trees. $\ New \ Phytolo-$
409	$gist,\ 192(2),\ 437{-}448.$
410	Brodribb, T. J., McAdam, S. A., & Carins Murphy, M. R. (2017). Xylem and stom-
411	ata, coordinated through time and space. Plant, Cell & Environment, $40(6)$ ,
412	872–880.
413	Buckley, T. N., & Mott, K. A. (2013). Modelling stomatal conductance in response
414	to environmental factors. Plant, cell & environment, $36(9)$ , $1691-1699$ .
415	Carlson, J. E., Adams, C. A., & Holsinger, K. E. (2016). Intraspecific variation
416	in stomatal traits, leaf traits and physiology reflects adaptation along aridity
417	gradients in a south a frican shrub. Ann. Bot., $117(1)$ , 195–207.
418	Cornwell, W. K., Schwilk, L. D. W., & Ackerly, D. D. (2006). A trait-based test for
419	habitat filtering: convex hull volume. $Ecology$ , $87(6)$ , 1465–1471.
420	Delzon, S., Sartore, M., Burlett, R., Dewar, R., & Loustau, D. (2004). Hydraulic
421	responses to height growth in maritime pine trees. Plant, Cell & Environment,
422	27(9), 1077-1087.
423	Dow, G. J., Bergmann, D. C., & Berry, J. A. (2014). An integrated model of stom-
424	at al development and leaf physiology. New Phytologist, $201(4)$ , 1218–1226.
425	Duursma, R. A., Blackman, C. J., Lopéz, R., Martin-StPaul, N. K., Cochard, H., &
426	Medlyn, B. E. (2019). On the minimum leaf conductance: its role in models of
427	plant water use, and ecological and environmental controls. New Phytologist,
428	221(2), 693-705.
429	Efron, B. (1992). Bootstrap methods: another look at the jackknife. In <i>Break</i> -
430	throughs in statistics (pp. 569–593). Springer.
431	Eller, C. B., Rowland, L., Mencuccini, M., Rosas, T., Williams, K., Harper, A.,
432	others $(2020)$ . Stomatal optimization based on xylem hydraulics (sox) im-
433	proves land surface model simulation of vegetation responses to climate. $\ New$
434	Phytologist, 226(6), 1622-1637.
435	Fischer, D. G., Kolb, T. E., & DeWald, L. E. (2002). Changes in whole-tree water
436	relations during ontogeny of pinus flexilis and pinus ponderosa in a high-

 $_{437}$  elevation meadow. Tree Physiology, 22(10), 675–685.

438	FLUXNET. (2016). FLUXNET 2015 tier 1 dataset. (Available at http://fluxnet
439	.fluxdata.org/data/fluxnet2015-dataset/. Accessed July 25, 2018)
440	Fowler, M. D., Kooperman, G. J., Randerson, J. T., & Pritchard, M. S. (2019). The
441	effect of plant physiological responses to rising co 2 on global streamflow. $Na$ -
442	ture Climate Change, $9(11)$ , 873–879.
443	Franks, P. J., Bonan, G. B., Berry, J. A., Lombardozzi, D. L., Holbrook, N. M.,
444	Herold, N., & Oleson, K. W. (2018). Comparing optimal and empirical stom-
445	at a conductance models for application in earth system models. $Glob. Chang.$
446	Biol., 24(12), 5708-5723.
447	Franks, P. J., Drake, P. L., & Beerling, D. J. (2009). Plasticity in maximum stom-
448	atal conductance constrained by negative correlation between stomatal size
449	and density: an analysis using eucalyptus globulus. Plant, cell & environment,
450	32(12), 1737 – 1748.
451	Garcia, E. S., Swann, A. L. S., Villegas, J. C., Breshears, D. D., Law, D. J., Saleska,
452	S. R., & Stark, S. C. (2016). Synergistic ecoclimate teleconnections from for-
453	est loss in different regions structure global ecological responses. PLoS One,
454	11(11), e0165042.
455	Granier, A., Loustau, D., & Bréda, N. (2000). A generic model of forest canopy con-
456	ductance dependent on climate, soil water availability and leaf area index. $An$ -
457	nals of forest science, $57(8)$ , $755-765$ .
458	Green, J. K., Konings, A. G., Alemohammad, S. H., Berry, J., Entekhabi, D., Ko-
459	lassa, J., Gentine, P. (2017). Regionally strong feedbacks between the
460	atmosphere and terrestrial biosphere. Nature Geoscience, $10(6)$ , $410-414$ .
461	Hetherington, A. M., & Woodward, F. I. (2003). The role of stomata in sensing and
462	driving environmental change. Nature, 424 (6951), 901–908.
463	Jarvis, P. (1976). The interpretation of the variations in leaf water potential and
464	stomatal conductance found in canopies in the field. Philosophical Transactions
465	of the Royal Society of London. B, Biological Sciences, 273(927), 593–610.
466	Kala, J., De Kauwe, M. G., Pitman, A. J., Medlyn, B. E., Wang, YP., Lorenz, R.,
467	& Perkins-Kirk patrick, S. E. (2016). Impact of the representation of stomatal
468	conductance on model projections of heatwave intensity. Scientific reports,
469	6(1), 1-7.

470 Kennedy, D., Swenson, S., Oleson, K. W., Lawrence, D. M., Fisher, R., Lola da

471	Costa, A. C., & Gentine, P. (2019). Implementing plant hydraulics in the com-
472	munity land model, version 5. Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems,
473	11(2), 485-513.
474	Koenker, R. (2005). Quantile regression. Cambridge University Press. doi: $10.1017/$
475	CBO9780511754098
476	Konings, A. G., & Gentine, P. (2017). Global variations in ecosystem-scale isohydric-
477	ity. Global change biology, $23(2)$ , $891-905$ .
478	Konings, A. G., Williams, A., & Gentine, P. (2017). Sensitivity of grassland pro-
479	ductivity to aridity controlled by stomatal and xylem regulation. Nature Geo-
480	science, 10(4), 284-288.
481	Lammertsma, E. I., de Boer, H. J., Dekker, S. C., Dilcher, D. L., Lotter, A. F., &
482	Wagner-Cremer, F. (2011). Global CO2 rise leads to reduced maximum
483	stomatal conductance in florida vegetation. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.,
484	108(10), 4035-4040.
485	Lawrence, D. M., Fisher, R. A., Koven, C. D., Oleson, K. W., Swenson, S. C., Bo-
486	nan, G., others (2019). The community land model version 5: Description
487	of new features, benchmarking, and impact of forcing uncertainty. Journal of
487 488	Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 11(12), 4245–4287.
487 488 489	<ul> <li>Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 11(12), 4245–4287.</li> <li>Li, H., Wei, M., Dong, L., Hu, W., Xiong, J., Sun, Y., others (2022). Leaf and</li> </ul>
487 488 489 490	<ul> <li>of new features, benchmarking, and impact of forcing uncertainty. Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 11(12), 4245–4287.</li> <li>Li, H., Wei, M., Dong, L., Hu, W., Xiong, J., Sun, Y., others (2022). Leaf and ecosystem water use efficiencies differ in their global-scale patterns and drivers.</li> </ul>
487 488 489 490 491	<ul> <li>of new features, benchmarking, and impact of forcing uncertainty. Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 11(12), 4245–4287.</li> <li>Li, H., Wei, M., Dong, L., Hu, W., Xiong, J., Sun, Y., others (2022). Leaf and ecosystem water use efficiencies differ in their global-scale patterns and drivers. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 319, 108919.</li> </ul>
487 488 489 490 491 492	<ul> <li>of new features, benchmarking, and impact of forcing uncertainty. Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 11(12), 4245–4287.</li> <li>Li, H., Wei, M., Dong, L., Hu, W., Xiong, J., Sun, Y., others (2022). Leaf and ecosystem water use efficiencies differ in their global-scale patterns and drivers. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 319, 108919.</li> <li>Li, L., Yang, ZL., Matheny, A. M., Zheng, H., Swenson, S. C., Lawrence, D. M.,</li> </ul>
487 488 489 490 491 492 493	<ul> <li>of new features, benchmarking, and impact of forcing uncertainty. Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 11(12), 4245–4287.</li> <li>Li, H., Wei, M., Dong, L., Hu, W., Xiong, J., Sun, Y., others (2022). Leaf and ecosystem water use efficiencies differ in their global-scale patterns and drivers. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 319, 108919.</li> <li>Li, L., Yang, ZL., Matheny, A. M., Zheng, H., Swenson, S. C., Lawrence, D. M., Leung, L. R. (2021). Representation of plant hydraulics in the noah-mp</li> </ul>
487 488 489 490 491 492 493 494	<ul> <li>of new features, benchmarking, and impact of forcing uncertainty. Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 11(12), 4245–4287.</li> <li>Li, H., Wei, M., Dong, L., Hu, W., Xiong, J., Sun, Y., others (2022). Leaf and ecosystem water use efficiencies differ in their global-scale patterns and drivers. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 319, 108919.</li> <li>Li, L., Yang, ZL., Matheny, A. M., Zheng, H., Swenson, S. C., Lawrence, D. M., Leung, L. R. (2021). Representation of plant hydraulics in the noah-mp land surface model: Model development and multiscale evaluation. Journal of</li> </ul>
487 488 489 490 491 492 493 494 495	<ul> <li>of new features, benchmarking, and impact of forcing uncertainty. Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 11(12), 4245-4287.</li> <li>Li, H., Wei, M., Dong, L., Hu, W., Xiong, J., Sun, Y., others (2022). Leaf and ecosystem water use efficiencies differ in their global-scale patterns and drivers. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 319, 108919.</li> <li>Li, L., Yang, ZL., Matheny, A. M., Zheng, H., Swenson, S. C., Lawrence, D. M., Leung, L. R. (2021). Representation of plant hydraulics in the noah-mp land surface model: Model development and multiscale evaluation. Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 13(4), e2020MS002214.</li> </ul>
487 488 489 490 491 492 493 494 495 496	<ul> <li>of new features, benchmarking, and impact of forcing uncertainty. Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 11(12), 4245–4287.</li> <li>Li, H., Wei, M., Dong, L., Hu, W., Xiong, J., Sun, Y., others (2022). Leaf and ecosystem water use efficiencies differ in their global-scale patterns and drivers. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 319, 108919.</li> <li>Li, L., Yang, ZL., Matheny, A. M., Zheng, H., Swenson, S. C., Lawrence, D. M., Leung, L. R. (2021). Representation of plant hydraulics in the noah-mp land surface model: Model development and multiscale evaluation. Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 13(4), e2020MS002214.</li> <li>Li, X., Gentine, P., Lin, C., Zhou, S., Sun, Z., Zheng, Y., Zheng, C. (2019). A</li> </ul>
487 488 489 490 491 492 493 494 495 496 497	<ul> <li>of new features, benchmarking, and impact of forcing uncertainty. Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 11(12), 4245–4287.</li> <li>Li, H., Wei, M., Dong, L., Hu, W., Xiong, J., Sun, Y., others (2022). Leaf and ecosystem water use efficiencies differ in their global-scale patterns and drivers. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 319, 108919.</li> <li>Li, L., Yang, ZL., Matheny, A. M., Zheng, H., Swenson, S. C., Lawrence, D. M., Leung, L. R. (2021). Representation of plant hydraulics in the noah-mp land surface model: Model development and multiscale evaluation. Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 13(4), e2020MS002214.</li> <li>Li, X., Gentine, P., Lin, C., Zhou, S., Sun, Z., Zheng, Y., Zheng, C. (2019). A simple and objective method to partition evapotranspiration into transpiration</li> </ul>
487 488 489 490 491 492 493 494 495 495 496 497 498	<ul> <li>of new features, benchmarking, and impact of forcing uncertainty. Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 11(12), 4245–4287.</li> <li>Li, H., Wei, M., Dong, L., Hu, W., Xiong, J., Sun, Y., others (2022). Leaf and ecosystem water use efficiencies differ in their global-scale patterns and drivers. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 319, 108919.</li> <li>Li, L., Yang, ZL., Matheny, A. M., Zheng, H., Swenson, S. C., Lawrence, D. M., Leung, L. R. (2021). Representation of plant hydraulics in the noah-mp land surface model: Model development and multiscale evaluation. Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 13(4), e2020MS002214.</li> <li>Li, X., Gentine, P., Lin, C., Zhou, S., Sun, Z., Zheng, Y., Zheng, C. (2019). A simple and objective method to partition evapotranspiration into transpiration and evaporation at eddy-covariance sites. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology,</li> </ul>
487 488 489 490 491 492 493 494 495 496 497 498 499	<ul> <li>of new features, benchmarking, and impact of forcing uncertainty. Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 11(12), 4245-4287.</li> <li>Li, H., Wei, M., Dong, L., Hu, W., Xiong, J., Sun, Y., others (2022). Leaf and ecosystem water use efficiencies differ in their global-scale patterns and drivers. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 319, 108919.</li> <li>Li, L., Yang, ZL., Matheny, A. M., Zheng, H., Swenson, S. C., Lawrence, D. M., Leung, L. R. (2021). Representation of plant hydraulics in the noah-mp land surface model: Model development and multiscale evaluation. Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 13(4), e2020MS002214.</li> <li>Li, X., Gentine, P., Lin, C., Zhou, S., Sun, Z., Zheng, Y., Zheng, C. (2019). A simple and objective method to partition evapotranspiration into transpiration and evaporation at eddy-covariance sites. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 265, 171-182.</li> </ul>
487 488 489 490 491 492 493 494 495 496 497 498 499 500	<ul> <li>of new features, benchmarking, and impact of forcing uncertainty. Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 11(12), 4245–4287.</li> <li>Li, H., Wei, M., Dong, L., Hu, W., Xiong, J., Sun, Y., others (2022). Leaf and ecosystem water use efficiencies differ in their global-scale patterns and drivers. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 319, 108919.</li> <li>Li, L., Yang, ZL., Matheny, A. M., Zheng, H., Swenson, S. C., Lawrence, D. M., Leung, L. R. (2021). Representation of plant hydraulics in the noah-mp land surface model: Model development and multiscale evaluation. Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 13(4), e2020MS002214.</li> <li>Li, X., Gentine, P., Lin, C., Zhou, S., Sun, Z., Zheng, Y., Zheng, C. (2019). A simple and objective method to partition evapotranspiration into transpiration and evaporation at eddy-covariance sites. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 265, 171–182.</li> <li>Lin, C., Gentine, P., Huang, Y., Guan, K., Kimm, H., &amp; Zhou, S. (2018). Diel</li> </ul>
487 488 489 490 491 492 493 494 495 496 497 498 499 500 501	<ul> <li>of new features, benchmarking, and impact of forcing uncertainty. Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 11(12), 4245-4287.</li> <li>Li, H., Wei, M., Dong, L., Hu, W., Xiong, J., Sun, Y., others (2022). Leaf and ecosystem water use efficiencies differ in their global-scale patterns and drivers. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 319, 108919.</li> <li>Li, L., Yang, ZL., Matheny, A. M., Zheng, H., Swenson, S. C., Lawrence, D. M., Leung, L. R. (2021). Representation of plant hydraulics in the noah-mp land surface model: Model development and multiscale evaluation. Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 13(4), e2020MS002214.</li> <li>Li, X., Gentine, P., Lin, C., Zhou, S., Sun, Z., Zheng, Y., Zheng, C. (2019). A simple and objective method to partition evapotranspiration into transpiration and evaporation at eddy-covariance sites. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 265, 171-182.</li> <li>Lin, C., Gentine, P., Huang, Y., Guan, K., Kimm, H., &amp; Zhou, S. (2018). Diel ecosystem conductance response to vapor pressure deficit is suboptimal and</li> </ul>

Lin, Y.-S., Medlyn, B. E., Duursma, R. A., Prentice, I. C., Wang, H., Baig, S., ...

504	others $(2015)$ . Optimal stomatal behaviour around the world. Nature Climate
505	Change, 5(5), 459-464.
506	Liu, C., He, N., Zhang, J., Li, Y., Wang, Q., Sack, L., & Yu, G. (2018). Variation
507	of stomatal traits from cold temperate to tropical forests and association with
508	water use efficiency. Funct. Ecol., $32(1)$ , 20–28.
509	Liu, Y., Holtzman, N. M., & Konings, A. G. (2021). Global ecosystem-scale plant
510	hydraulic traits retrieved using model–data fusion. Hydrology and Earth Sys-
511	$tem \ Sciences, \ 25(5), \ 2399-2417.$
512	Liu, Y., Kumar, M., Katul, G. G., Feng, X., & Konings, A. G. (2020). Plant hy-
513	draulics accentuates the effect of atmospheric moisture stress on transpiration.
514	Nature Climate Change, 10(7), 691–695.
515	Mankin, J. S., Seager, R., Smerdon, J. E., Cook, B. I., & Williams, A. P. (2019).
516	Mid-latitude freshwater availability reduced by projected vegetation responses
517	to climate change. Nature Geoscience, $12(12)$ , 983–988.
518	Manzoni, S., Vico, G., Porporato, A., & Katul, G. (2013). Biological constraints on
519	water transport in the soil-plant-atmosphere system. Advances in Water Re-
520	sources, 51, 292–304.
521	Martin-StPaul, N., Delzon, S., & Cochard, H. (2017). Plant resistance to drought
522	depends on timely stomatal closure. Ecology Letters, $20(11)$ , 1437–1447.
523	McDowell, N. G., Barnard, H., Bond, B., Hinckley, T., Hubbard, R., Ishii, H.,
524	others (2002). The relationship between tree height and leaf area: sapwood
525	area ratio. $Oecologia, 132(1), 12-20.$
526	McDowell, N. G., Beerling, D. J., Breshears, D. D., Fisher, R. A., Raffa, K. F., &
527	Stitt, M. (2011). The interdependence of mechanisms underlying climate-
528	driven vegetation mortality. Trends in ecology & evolution, $26(10)$ , 523–532.
529	McElwain, J. C., Yiotis, C., & Lawson, T. (2016). Using modern plant trait relation-
530	ships between observed and theoretical maximum stomatal conductance and
531	vein density to examine patterns of plant macroevolution. New Phytologist,
532	209(1), 94-103.
533	Medlyn, B. E., Duursma, R. A., Eamus, D., Ellsworth, D. S., Prentice, I. C., Bar-
534	ton, C. V. M., Wingate, L. (2011). Reconciling the optimal and empirical
535	approaches to modelling stomatal conductance. $Glob. Chang. Biol., 17(6),$

2134 - 2144.

536

537	Monteith, J. L. (1965). Evaporation and environment. In Symposia of the society for
538	experimental biology (Vol. 19, pp. 205–234).
539	Myneni, R., Knyazikhin, Y., & Park, T. (2015). MCD15A3H MODIS/Terra+Aqua
540	Leaf Area Index/FPAR 4-day L4 Global 500m SIN Grid V006.
541	(NASA EOSDIS Land Processes DAAC. Accessed on 2020-04-27.
542	doi:10.5067/MODIS/MCD15A3H.006)
543	Novick, K. A., Ficklin, D. L., Stoy, P. C., Williams, C. A., Bohrer, G., Christo-
544	pher Oishi, A., Phillips, R. P. (2016). The increasing importance of at-
545	mospheric demand for ecosystem water and carbon fluxes. Nat. Clim. Chang.,
546	6(11), 1023-1027.
547	Novick, K. A., Oren, R., Stoy, P., Juang, JY., Siqueira, M., & Katul, G. (2009).
548	The relationship between reference canopy conductance and simplified hy-
549	draulic architecture. Adv. Water Resour., 32(6), 809–819.
550	Oleson, K. W., Lawrence, D. M., Bonan, G. B., Drewniak, B., Huang, M., Koven,
551	C. D., others (2013). Technical description of version 4.5 of the Com-
552	munity Land Model (CLM) (Tech. Rep.). National Center for Atmospheric
553	Research, Boulder, Colorado. (NCAR Technical Note NCAR/TN-503+STR)
554	Oren, R., Sperry, J., Katul, G., Pataki, D., Ewers, B., Phillips, N., & Schäfer, K.
555	(1999). Survey and synthesis of intra-and interspecific variation in stomatal
556	sensitivity to vapour pressure deficit. $Plant, Cell & Environment, 22(12),$
557	1515–1526.
558	Penman, H. L. (1948). Natural evaporation from open water, bare soil and grass.
559	Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A. Mathematical and Physi-
560	cal Sciences, $193(1032)$ , $120-145$ .
561	Phillips, N., Bond, B., McDowell, N., Ryan, M. G., & Schauer, A. (2003). Leaf area
562	compounds height-related hydraulic costs of water transport in oregon white
563	oak trees. Functional Ecology, 17(6), 832–840.
564	Pivovaroff, A. L., Cook, V. M., & Santiago, L. S. (2018). Stomatal behaviour and
565	stem xylem traits are coordinated for woody plant species under exceptional
566	drought conditions. Plant, cell & environment, 41(11), 2617–2626.
567	Powell, T. L., Galbraith, D. R., Christoffersen, B. O., Harper, A., Imbuzeiro, H. M.,
568	Rowland, L., others (2013). Confronting model predictions of carbon fluxes
569	with measurements of a mazon forests subjected to experimental drought. $\ New$

570	Phytologist, 200(2), 350–365.
571	Rodell, M., Houser, P., Jambor, U., Gottschalck, J., Mitchell, K., Meng, CJ.,
572	others (2004). The global land data assimilation system. Bulletin of the
573	American Meteorological Society, 85(3), 381–394.
574	Ryan, M. G., Bond, B. J., Law, B. E., Hubbard, R. M., Woodruff, D., Cienciala, E.,
575	& Kucera, J. (2000). Transpiration and whole-tree conductance in ponderosa
576	pine trees of different heights. Oecologia, 124(4), 553–560.
577	Ryan, M. G., Phillips, N., & Bond, B. J. (2006). The hydraulic limitation hypothesis
578	revisited. Plant, Cell & Environment, 29(3), 367–381.
579	Schäfer, K., Oren, R., & Tenhunen, J. (2000). The effect of tree height on crown
580	level stomatal conductance. Plant, Cell & Environment, 23(4), 365–375.
581	Sperry, J. S., Venturas, M. D., Anderegg, W. R., Mencuccini, M., Mackay, D. S.,
582	Wang, Y., & Love, D. M. (2017). Predicting stomatal responses to the en-
583	vironment from the optimization of photosynthetic gain and hydraulic cost.
584	Plant, cell & environment, $40(6)$ , 816–830.
585	Stark, S. C., Breshears, D. D., Garcia, E. S., Law, D. J., Minor, D. M., Saleska,
586	S. R., Redmond, M. D. (2016). Toward accounting for ecoclimate telecon-
587	nections: intra- and inter-continental consequences of altered energy balance
588	after vegetation change. Landsc. Ecol., 31(1), 181–194.
589	Trugman, A. T., Medvigy, D., Mankin, J. S., & Anderegg, W. R. L. (2018). Soil
590	moisture stress as a major driver of carbon cycle uncertainty. Geophys. Res.
591	Lett., $45(13)$ , $6495-6503$ .
592	Tyree, M. T., & Ewers, F. W. (1991). The hydraulic architecture of trees and other
593	woody plants. New Phytologist, $119(3)$ , $345-360$ .
594	Verheijen, L. M., Aerts, R., Brovkin, V., Cavender-Bares, J., Cornelissen, J. H. C.,
595	Kattge, J., & van Bodegom, P. M. (2015). Inclusion of ecologically based trait
596	variation in plant functional types reduces the projected land carbon sink in an
597	earth system model. Glob. Chang. Biol., 21(8), 3074–3086.
598	Walker, A. P., Quaife, T., van Bodegom, P. M., De Kauwe, M. G., Keenan, T. F.,
599	Joiner, J., Woodward, F. I. (2017). The impact of alternative trait-scaling
600	hypotheses for the maximum photosynthetic carboxylation rate (vcmax ) on
601	global gross primary production. New Phytol., 215(4), 1370–1386.
602	Wehr, R., & Saleska, S. R. (2021). Calculating canopy stomatal conductance from

603	eddy covariance measurements, in light of the energy budget closure problem.
604	Biogeosciences, 18(1), 13-24.
605	Wolz, K. J., Wertin, T. M., Abordo, M., Wang, D., & Leakey, A. D. (2017). Di-
606	versity in stomatal function is integral to modelling plant carbon and water
607	fluxes. Nature Ecology & Evolution, 1(9), 1292–1298.
608	Wu, G., Hu, Z., Keenan, T. F., Li, S., Zhao, W., Cao, R. C., Sun, X. (2020). In-
609	corporating spatial variations in parameters for improvements of an evapotran-
610	spiration model. Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences, 125(11),
611	e2019JG005504.
612	Zhang, Q., Ficklin, D. L., Manzoni, S., Wang, L., Way, D., Phillips, R. P., & Novick,
613	K. A. (2019). Response of ecosystem intrinsic water use efficiency and gross
614	primary productivity to rising vapor pressure deficit. Environ. Res. Lett.,
615	14(7), 074023.

Figure 1.





а



Figure 2.







Figure 3.



Figure 4.



## Supporting Information for "Canopy height and climate dryness parsimoniously explain spatial variations of unstressed stomatal conductance"

Yanlan Liu <sup>1,2</sup>, Olivia Flournoy <sup>3</sup>, Quan Zhang <sup>4</sup>, Kimberly A. Novick <sup>5</sup>,

Randal D. Koster $^{6},$  Alexandra G. Konings $^{7}$ 

<sup>1</sup>School of Earth Sciences, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, USA

<sup>2</sup>School of Environment and Natural Resources, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, USA

<sup>3</sup>Department of Geophysics, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA

<sup>4</sup>State Key Laboratory of Water Resources and Hydropower Engineering Science, Wuhan University, Wuhan, China

<sup>5</sup>O'Neill School of Public and Environmental Affairs, Indiana University Bloomington, Bloomington, IN, USA

 $^6\mathrm{Global}$  Modeling and Assimilation Office, NASA GSFC, Greenbelt, MD, USA

<sup>7</sup>Department of Earth System Science, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA

#### Contents of this file

- 1. Table S1  $\,$
- 2. Figures S1-S7

April 27, 2022, 3:16am

Table S1. Accuracies and selected variables of the top ten scaled models based on AIC. The coefficients in front of the selected variables are the regression coefficients ( $\beta$  in Eq. 4 of the main text) of the normalized variables (z-scores), representing the sensitivities of  $g_{s,ref}$  to the selected variables.  $g_{s,ref}$  of each site is the 90th percentile of stomatal conductance derived using  $g_s = (G_s - G_0)/\min(\text{LAI}, 6).$ 

:

				Selected variables	
Model	$\mathbf{R}^2$	AIC	Canopy height	Dryness index	Mean climate
1	0.45	-328.52	$+0.046/H_{c}$	-0.198(PET - ET)	
2	0.44	-328.50		-0.201(PET - ET)	
3	0.44	-326.72		-0.212(PET - ET)	-0.016MAP
4	0.45	-326.63	$+0.047/H_{c}$	-0.208(PET - ET)	-0.007MAT
5	0.43	-326.62		-0.229(PET - ET)	+0.026MAT
6	0.45	-326.47	$+0.043/H_{c}$	-0.209(PET - ET)	-0.015MAP
7	0.40	-320.53		-0.155PET/ET	-0.071 MAT
8	0.41	-319.56	$+0.063/H_{c}$	-0.139PET/ET	-0.053MAT
9	0.39	-316.12		-0.155PET/ET	-0.039 MAP
10	0.40	-315.69	$+0.051/H_{c}$	-0.149PET/ET	$-0.030 \mathrm{MAP}$



:

Figure S1. Comparison between gs,ref derived from observations (y-axis) and those estimated using (a) the baseline model (PFT-averages) and (b) the best scaled model, color coded by PFTs. Here, gs,ref is derived as described in the main text, but using only data when the energy closure error is below a threshold of 18%, which is the average across time and sites. The energy closure error is calculated as the difference between net radiation and the summation of latent, sensible, and ground heat fluxes, normalized by the net radiation. Only sites with available downward and upward longwave and shortwave radiation and ground heat flux observations and with at least 100 observations satisfying all quality-control filters are analyzed. The  $\beta^T X$ in Eq. (4) of the best scaled model is 0.64 - 0.108 (PET - ET) - 0.062 MAT, followed by  $0.63 + 0.050/H_c - 0.088 (PET - ET) - 0.057 MAT$ , where  $1/H_c$ , PET - ET, and MAT are zscores of the corresponding variables.

X - 3



Figure S2. Relation between dryness index (long-term averaged annual PET-ET) and vapor pressure deficit (VPD) when the stomatal conductance  $g_s$  is close to  $g_{s,ref}$ , i.e., within the range of 85th and 95th percentiles. Each black dot shows the mean and each horizontal blue line shows the standard deviation of VPD when  $g_s$  is close to  $g_{s,ref}$  at each site.

April 27, 2022, 3:16am



Figure S3. An example of deriving unstressed stomatal conductance  $(g_{s,ref})$  as the 90th percentile of stomatal conductance  $(g_s)$  at all times and as the envelope at VPD of 1 kPa, respectively, at the AR-Vir site. Grey dots are  $g_s$  derived from half-hourly observations satisfying the filters (described in Section 2.2.) across the entire record. The purple line shows the upper envelope of  $g_s$ , calculated using a quantile regression (Koenker, 2005) that estimates the 90th quantile of  $g_s$  in response to VPD using the *cvxpy* software in Python. The blue and red dashed lines denote the 90th percentile of  $g_s$  and the envelope at VPD of 1kPa, respectively.



Figure S4. Comparison between  $g_{s,ref}$  derived from observations (y-axis) and those estimated using (a) the baseline model (PFT-averages) and (b) the best scaled model, color coded by PFTs. Here,  $g_{s,ref}$  is the 90th percentile of stomatal conductance ( $g_s$ ) at all times, which was derived assuming ecosystem conductance  $G_s$  represents canopy conductance, i.e., replacing Eq. (2) in the main text with  $g_s = G_s/\min(\text{LAI}, 6)$ . The  $\beta^T X$  in Eq. (4) of the best scaled model is  $0.76 + 0.075/H_c - 0.221(\text{PET} - \text{ET}) - 0.053\text{MAP}$ , where  $1/H_c$ , PET - ET, and MAP are z-scores of the corresponding variables.

April 27, 2022, 3:16am





а

:

Figure S5. Comparison between  $g_{s,ref}$  derived from observations (y-axis) and those estimated using (a) the baseline model (PFT-averages) and (b) the best scaled model, color coded by PFTs. Here,  $g_{s,ref}$  is the 90th percentile of stomatal conductance  $(g_s)$  at all times, which was derived using a LAI cut-off of 4, i.e.,  $g_s = (G_s - G_0)/\min(\text{LAI}, 4)$ . The  $\beta^T X$  in Eq. (4) of the best scaled model is  $0.66 + 0.038/H_c - 0.223(\text{PET} - \text{ET}) + 0.036\text{MAT}$ , where  $1/H_c$ , PET – ET, and MAT are z-scores of the corresponding variables.



Figure S6. Same as Fig. S4 except that a LAI cut-off of 8 was used, i.e.,  $g_s = (G_s - G_0)/\min(\text{LAI}, 8)$ . The  $\beta^T X$  in Eq. (4) of the best scaled model is 0.81 - 0.230(PET - ET) - 0.033MAP. The  $\beta^T X$  of the second best (AIC = -270.93, R<sup>2</sup> = 0.42) scaled model is  $0.75 + 0.077/H_c - 0.213(\text{PET} - \text{ET}) - 0.028\text{MAP}$ , where  $1/H_c$ , PET – ET, and MAP are z-scores of the corresponding variables.





Figure S7. Comparison between  $g_{s,ref}$  derived from observations (y-axis) and those estimated using (a) the baseline model (PFT-averages) and (b) the best scaled model, color coded by PFTs. Here,  $g_{s,ref}$  is the envelope of stomatal conductance when VPD = 1 kPa, estimated using quantile regression as illustrated in Fig. S2. The stomatal conductance was derived using Eq.(2) in the main text. The  $\beta^T X$  in Eq. (4) of the best scaled model is  $0.71 + 0.041/H_c - 0.197(\text{PET} - \text{ET})$ , where  $1/H_c$  and PET – ET are z-scores of the corresponding variables.

April 27, 2022, 3:16am