Timelines of plume characteristics of the Hunga Tonga-Hunga Ha'apai eruption sequence from 19 December 2021 to 16 January 2022: Himawari-8 observations

Ashok Kumar Gupta^{1,1}, Ralf Bennartz^{2,2}, Kristen E Fauria^{1,1}, and Tushar Mittal^{3,3}

¹Vanderbilt University ²Vanderbilt University/University of Wisconsin -Madison ³Mas-sachusetts Institute of Technology

November 30, 2022

Abstract

The 15 January 2022 Hunga Tonga-Hunga Ha'apai (HTHH) eruption was preceded by large eruptions on 19 December 2021 and 13 January 2022. We present the evolution of umbrella cloud top height for all three major HTHH eruptions using satellite remote sensing. We also determined the umbrella clouds' radial expansion and volumetric flow rates (VFR) and confirmed that the umbrellas on all three dates contained significant water and ice. Additionally, we identified two umbrella clouds at distinct elevations on 15 January 2022. Specifically, after 05:30 UTC, the strong westward propagation of an upper umbrella (UB) cloud at 31 km \pm 1–3km enabled the visibility of the lower umbrella (UA) cloud at 17 km \pm 1–2km. The satellite-derived VFR for 15 January 2022 was 5.0 \pm 1.0 x 1011 m3s-1, nearly two orders of magnitude higher than the VFRs estimated for the 19 December 2021 and 13 January 2022 eruptions.

1 2	Timelines of plume characteristics of the Hunga Tonga-Hunga Ha'apai eruption sequence from 19 December 2021 to 16 January 2022: Himawari-8
3 4	observations
5	Authors: Ashok Kumar Gupta ^{1*} , Ralf Bennartz ^{1,2} , Kristen E. Fauria ¹ , Tushar Mittal ^{3,4}
7 8 9	Affiliations:
10 11 12 13	¹ Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, Vanderbilt University; Nashville, Tennessee, USA
13 14 15	² Space Science and Engineering Center, University of Wisconsin - Madison, Wisconsin, USA
16 17 18	³ Department of Earth, Atmospheric and Planetary Sciences, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA
19 20 21	⁴ Department of Geosciences, Pennsylvania State University, Pennsylvania, USA
22 23 24	
25 26 27	*Corresponding author. Email: <u>ashok.k.gupta@vanderbilt.edu</u>
28 29	
30 31	
52 33 34	
35 36	
37 38	
39 40 41	
42 43	
44 45	

46 Abstract:

48	The 15 January 2022 Hunga Tonga-Hunga Ha'apai (HTHH) eruption was preceded by large
49	eruptions on 19 December 2021 and 13 January 2022. We present the evolution of umbrella
50	cloud top height for all three major HTHH eruptions using satellite remote sensing. We also
51	determined the umbrella clouds' radial expansion and volumetric flow rates (VFR) and
52	confirmed that the umbrellas on all three dates contained significant water and ice. Additionally,
53	we identified two umbrella clouds at distinct elevations on 15 January 2022. Specifically, after
54	05:30 UTC, the strong westward propagation of an upper umbrella (UB) cloud at 31 km \pm 1–
55	3km enabled the visibility of the lower umbrella (U _A) cloud at 17 km \pm 1–2km. The satellite-
56	derived VFR for 15 January 2022 was 5.0 \pm 1.0 x 10 ¹¹ m ³ s ⁻¹ , nearly two orders of magnitude
57	higher than the VFRs estimated for the 19 December 2021 and 13 January 2022 eruptions.
58	
59	
60	
61	
62	
63	
64	
65	
66	
67	
68	
69	
70	
71	
72	
73	
74	
75	
76	

- 77 Main Text
- 78

79 On 15 January 2022, between 04:00-04:10 UTC, the shallow water Hunga Tonga-Hunga 80 Ha'apai (referred as, "HTHH") (175.38°W, 20.57°S) volcano, constituted one of the century's 81 most explosive submarine eruptions. The ashfall and tsunamis produced by the eruption severely affected the Kingdom of Tonga and surrounding regions^{1,2,3,4,5,6}. Lamb waves produced by the 82 83 HTHH eruption circled multiple times around the globe⁵ and the highest plume reached 84 approximately 55-58 km^{7,8}. The height of the plume and umbrella region, the area where the 85 volcanic cloud spreads laterally as a neutrally buoyant gravity current, is known to depend on the 86 properties (e.g., mass flux, thermal flux, volatile and external water content of the magma) at the 87 vent and environmental conditions⁹. Volcanic plumes that entrain external water can be 88 especially buoyant and high-reaching because of the added buoyancy from water vapor, 89 especially from the latent heat released from water vapor condensation as the plume rises¹⁰. 90

91 Critically, the 15 January 2022 HTHH eruption occurred after an approximately month-92 long period of eruptive activity that started on 19 December 2021 and that included two umbrella 93 cloud producing eruptions. Here we assess the maximum heights and volume fluxes of the 94 umbrella clouds from these recent eruptive phases of the HTHH (specifically, the explosive 95 eruptions on 19 Dec 2021, 13 Jan 2022, and 15 Jan 2022). We focus on the umbrella cloud because it contains a significant fraction of volcanic material hours after eruption onset^{11,12}, is 96 97 essential for understanding the physical processes associated with the HTHH explosive 98 eruptions, and its behavior is likely correlatable with other data sets (e.g., seismic, atmospheric, 99 infrasound, hydroacoustic, lightning^{1,5,6}). We acknowledge that plumes often overshoot the 100 umbrella cloud height and thus the umbrella height is not the maximum plume height. The plume 101 overshoot height has been well-documented for the 15 January 2022 HTHH eruption at 55-58 km^{7,8}. However, for analysis of the large-scale dispersal of material from an eruption, the 102 103 umbrella cloud height can be a more representative height compared to the maximum plume 104 height, which can be very transient and hence dependent on time resolution of the satellite 105 datasets. Quantification of umbrella cloud height is also important for constraining plume models 106 and, to our knowledge, has not been carefully analyzed to date for HTHH or similar submarine eruptions ^{1,11,12,13,14,15}. Quantifying volcanic cloud properties is a first step towards understanding 107

108 the physical and dynamical processes that led to such a remarkably explosive eruption on 15

109 January 2022. Additionally, by evaluating this full eruptive sequence, we can put the 15 Jan 2022

110 eruption in context and lay the groundwork for understanding why the preceding HTHH

- 111 submarine eruptions were not as explosive.
- 112

We used the full disk data of Himawari-8 geostationary satellite^{16,17} (10-min temporal 113 114 resolution and ~2 km pixel resolution at 11.2µm) to track the brightness temperatures (that is, the 115 equivalent blackbody temperature) of the umbrella clouds through time. The overshooting top or 116 pulses of plumes starts cooling down as it rises in the atmosphere, making the occurrence of 117 overshoot detectable by assessing the minimum brightness temperature in an umbrella. 118 We measure the minimum value of brightness temperature at the wavelength of 11.2µm 119 (BT_{11.2µm}) within the umbrellas to infer when the plume produces overshooting tops. We also 120 used the $BT_{11,2\mu m}$ to extract umbrella clouds' average temperature using a histogram and image 121 segmentation¹⁸ techniques (referred as BT_{Hist}) (see Methods). The histogram and image segmentation¹⁸ techniques classify the pixels associated with umbrella clouds well. In contrast, 122 123 averaging brightness temperature over a spatial fixed domain induces biases by both excluding 124 portions of large umbrella clouds and including clear-sky pixels in evaluation of small umbrella 125 clouds. To determine the umbrella cloud top heights from brightness temperature, we use the "temperature method"^{19,20,21}, which assumes that the umbrella clouds are in thermal equilibrium 126 127 with their surroundings (see Methods). This temperature method primarily utilizes the real-time ECMWF Reanalysis version-5 (ERA5)²² data. 128

129

Based on the above image segmentation and histogram techniques, we evaluate the area covered by umbrella clouds and measure the radial expansion of umbrella clouds as a function of time to calculate the volumetric flow rate (VFR) of the umbrella clouds^{11,12} (see Methods). We calculate VFR for the initiation of each umbrella cloud (within the first 1–2 hours) and for the distinct eruptions during December 2021 and January 2022. The VFR and radial expansion patterns show how far and fast volcanic material (e.g., ash and water) was distributed near the neutral buoyancy levels.

138	For assessing the umbrella's composition and umbrella phase, we conducted a multi-	
139	channel analysis using channels 8.6µm, 11.2µm and 12.4µm ^{23,24,25,26,27} (see Methods) and variou	15
140	RGBs. With these tri-spectral channels and various RGBs, we primarily focus on the first order	
141	phase and optical properties of umbrella clouds (see Methods). A detailed investigation of ash	
142	detection using a combination of visible and thermal channels and radiative transfer modeling is	\$
143	out of the scope of this study.	
144		
145	Our results compare the umbrella cloud characteristics for three main events during	
146	recent HTHH eruptions between 19 Dec 2021 and 15 Jan 2022. These three major events are:	
147		
148	a. Initial eruption (19–20 Dec 2021)	
149	b. Major eruption (13–14 Jan 2022)	
150	c. Climactic eruption (15 Jan 2022)	
151		
152	Results	
153		
154	a. Initial eruption on 19 Dec 2021	
155		
156	The recent eruptive phase of HTHH began on 19 Dec 2021 at 20:40 UTC (see Movie S1	,
157	S2), shortly after which the altitude of an umbrella cloud reached around 15 km (with an	
158	uncertainty of $1-2$ km; Figure 1e). The umbrella height was sustained for ~ 6 hours and this	
159	initial eruption subsided on 20 Dec 2021 between 01:00-02:00 UTC (see Movie S1). The	
160	umbrella cloud from this event laterally spread in the northeastward direction, due to prevailing	
161	westerly (eastward) wind in the upper troposphere (as identified from ERA5 ²²) and covered an	
162	area of around 21 thousand square km within the first 150 min at contour level 220K (Figure 2a).
163	Using this umbrella cloud area over the first 150 minutes of the eruption on 19 Dec 2021, and	
164	assuming spreading at the level of neutral buoyancy ^{11,12} , the VFR was found to be (3.7 \pm 0.7) x	
165	10 ⁹ m ³ s ⁻¹ (Figure 2a). This VFR uncertainty is primarily linked to errors involved in analyzing	
166	the areal extent of umbrella clouds due to changes in the geolocation accuracy of the Himawari-	8
167	pixels ^{28,29} and the natural variability of the Brunt-Väisälä frequency over tropics ³⁰ .	
168		

169 The brown color of the umbrella cloud in "ash RGB" (Figure 3b and Movie S3) and near 170 zero values of BTD_{11.2-12.4µm} (Figure 3c) indicate that most of the umbrella cloud was optically 171 thick. However, the edge of umbrella clouds shows strong positive values of the BTD_{8.6-11.2µm} 172 and BTD_{11.2-12.4µm}, (Figure 3c, d and Movie S4, S5) suggesting optically thin ice clouds along the 173 edge. The noticeable black/blue color near the outer edge of the umbrella in ash RGB (Figure 3b 174 and Movie S3) confirms that this umbrella cloud contained a thin ice cloud. We cannot directly 175 detect ash in the umbrella cloud because for an optically thick cloud in a humid environment, 176 simple 2-channel and 3-channel BTD tests are limited for ash detection^{26,27} (including the 177 detection of ash embedded in the ice clouds). The ground-based observations of ash deposition across Tonga indicate that the umbrella cloud did have some ash component³¹. Overall umbrella 178 179 cloud on 19 December 2021 was optically thick and contained significant ice. 180 181 We observed sporadic explosive eruptions between 20th and 31st Dec 2021 (see Movie 182 S6) that produced plumes reaching 8–12km (Figure S1g) but no umbrella clouds. During this 183 period, we observe intermittent fluctuations in $BT_{11,2um}$ around the volcano (Figure S1e) 184 indicative of sporadic eruptive activity. This shows a good agreement with a report by the Global Volcanism Program³¹. The prevailing meteorological clouds near the eruption site during 01–12 185 186 Jan 2022 hindered clear observations of brightness temperature changes related to volcanic 187 activity. However, we do not see evidence for eruptions that surpassed the different meteorological clouds during this time. During occasional cloud-free conditions on 7th Jan, 11th 188 189 Jan, and 12th Jan 2022, we observed intermittent weak pulses of BT_{11.2um} emanating from the 190 eruption sites (see Movie S6).

191

192 **b. Major eruption on 13 Jan 2022**

193

With the clearing of the meteorological clouds, we could use Himawari-8 to observe a major eruption on 13 Jan 2022, starting around 15:20 UTC (see the first pulse around the HTHH vent in Movie S1). The altitude of the umbrella cloud top reached 18 km (with an uncertainty of 1–2 km), slightly crossing the tropopause height (Figure 1f). During 13-14 Jan 2022, the umbrella cloud was sustained near tropopause height for more than 22 hours, making this the longest-lived umbrella cloud of all three eruptions. We see evidence that the 13–14 Jan 2022

200 eruption was unsteady from fluctuations in BT_{min}, which indicates when plume overshoot 201 occurred (Figure 1f; light-blue line). The lowest BT_{min} value was around 174.5K at 23:30 UTC, 202 mid-way through the 13–14 Jan eruption. Compared to the BT_{min} fluctuations in 19–20 Dec 203 2021, this major eruption produced frequent fluctuations in BT_{min}, suggesting the occurrence of 204 multiple explosions and an unsteady eruption. 205 206 The Jan 13-14 2022 volcanic cloud spread in the north-eastward direction following the 207 upper tropospheric eastward moving wind (Movie S1). The umbrella clouds covered an area of 208 about 30 thousand square km within the first 150 min (Figure 2b). For the initial 150 min of 209 eruption on 13 Jan 2022, our estimation of VFR at contour level of 200K was found to be (5.1 + 1.0) x 10^9 m³s⁻¹ (Figure 2b). The VFR on 13 Jan 2022 is almost 30% higher than the 210 corresponding value on 19 Dec 2021. 211 212 213 On 13 Jan at 19:00 UTC, the bright white color in "true-color RGB" and brown color in "ash RGB" indicate the presence of high thick ice clouds (Figure 3f, g and Movie S2, S3). 214 215 Similar to the 19 Dec, the black and dark blue colors in ash RGB (Figure 3g) indicate the thin ice cloud near the umbrella's edge. The positive magnitude of BTD_{8.6-11.2µm} further confirms that the 216 217 umbrella cloud exhibits an ice phase on 13 Jan 2022 (Figure 3i and Movie S5). The boundary 218 between the near-zero BTD_{11.2-12.4µm} and positive BTD_{11.2-12.4µm} highlights the optical 219 characteristics of these umbrella clouds. 220 221 As stated above, in an optically thick cloud over a humid environment, the ash detection is limited using thermal channels 26,27 , and hence, we cannot determine the presence or absence of 222 223 ash using the simple BTD tests. The local report from Tonga Geological Services confirmed ashfall over Tongatapu and Ha'apai group near Tonga island³², suggesting that the umbrella 224 225 cloud did have some ash particles. Overall, during the major eruption between 13-14 Jan 2022, 226 the multi-channel analysis and true color/ash RGB suggest that the umbrella clouds contained 227 significant ice content. 228

c. Climactic eruption on 15 Jan 2022

The climactic stage of the eruption began on 15 January 2022 shortly after 04:00 UTC, as seen from the reflectance satellite imagery (see Movie S2). We find that the umbrella cloud had an initial height of 31 km (with an uncertainty of 1–3 km), which is less than the overshoot height of around 55-58 km^{7,8}. The average umbrella cloud height declines to 17 km (with an uncertainty of 1-2 km) over a period of ~11 hours (Figure 1g). During this period, the near-zero magnitude of BTD_{11.2-12.4µm} shows that the umbrella clouds are optically thick except near the edge of the umbrella (see Movie S4).

238

239 The occurrence of plume overshoot time can be identified using BT_{min} values near the 240 vent site. Any colder pixels near the vent site relative to the surrounding in the upper troposphere 241 could indicate the start of an eruption or eruptive pluses. For example, the BT_{min} value near 242 eruption initiation (04:20 UTC) was 170.93K in Himawari-8 10-min full disk data, colder than 243 any point in the upper troposphere (Figure 1e-g). We identify a second instance of plume overshoot between ~08:30–08:40 UTC, as shown by a second decline in BT_{min} with a value of 244 245 181.19K (indicated by the light blue line in Figure 1g). We interpret the second overshoot to indicate a second eruptive pulse. One-min GOES-1733 mesoscale observations were carried out 246 247 over Tonga island, starting on 15 Jan 2022 at 07:05 UTC. At finer time-resolution, 1-min GOES-248 17 confirms the second dip at $\sim 08:42$ with a more precise minima value of 167.98K. 249

250 Two umbrella clouds and Volumetric Flow Rate (VFR)

251

252 We identify a second lower-altitude (17 km \pm 1–2km, near the tropopause height) 253 umbrella cloud that becomes visible at 05:30 UTC as the upper umbrella cloud moves westward, 254 presumably due to advection by stratospheric winds (Figure 1d and see Movie S8). The lower 255 umbrella cloud, U_A, has a distinct brightness temperature relative to the upper umbrella cloud: 256 U_A (BT_{11.2µm} < 210 K) and U_B (215K < BT_{11.2µm} < 235K) (Figure 1d; indicated by two contour 257 labels). At 05:00 UTC (Figure 2d), 1 hour after eruption onset, the frequency histogram of 258 BT_{11.2µm} is mainly dominated by U_B with a peak at ~230K. Starting around 05:30 UTC when the 259 upper umbrella cloud moves westward, both UA and UB are identifiable in the time-series of 260 frequency histogram of BT_{11.2µm} (see Figure 2e and Movie S7, S8). Subsequently, by 11:50 UTC 261 (Figure 2f), the upper umbrella (U_B) has largely dissipated, and the frequency histogram shows 262 the presence of the lower umbrella cloud, U_A.

263

264 The upper umbrella, U_B, expanded rapidly and covered an area of about 170 thousand 265 square km, an area the size of Cambodia or Uruguay, within the initial 150 min (Figure 2c). The 266 area covered by umbrella cloud during 19 Dec 2021 and 13 Jan 2022 is 13% and 17% of the 267 areal coverage by U_B on 15 Jan 2022, respectively, for the same initial 150 min.

268

269 The satellite-based VFR for the upper umbrella cloud, U_B (contour levels between 215 and 235 K), is estimated to be $(5.0 + 1.0) \times 10^{11} \text{ m}^3\text{s}^{-1}$ for the initial 50 min of eruption. The 270 271 estimated VFR for the upper umbrella on 15 Jan 2022 is two orders of magnitude higher than the 272 corresponding VFRs on 19 Dec 2021 and 13 Jan 2022, suggesting a much higher eruptive flux. 273 We do not estimate a VFR for the lower umbrella because it was shielded by U_B and therefore 274 not visible in its initial stages.

- 275
- 276

Composition of upper (U_B) and lower umbrella (U_A) clouds

277

278 On 15 Jan 2022, at 04:50 UTC, the true-color RGB shows the upper umbrella cloud in 279 grey and white (Figure 3k, S2). The shadow marking on the northwestward umbrella edge 280 suggests that it is a tall umbrella cloud (Figure 3k, S2). The overshooting plume is also visible in 281 the true-color imagery at 04:50 UTC. The brown circular pattern in ash RGB also indicates the 282 umbrella clouds are high-level thick clouds (Figure 31). This is further discernable from near-283 zero magnitudes of BTD_{11,2-12,4um} and BTD_{8,6-11,2um} (Figure 3m, n and Movie S4, S5). The blue 284 and black outer rim of U_B in the ash RGB (Figure 31) indicates optically thin ice clouds along the 285 edges of the umbrella. This is in qualitative agreement with the areas of blue boundaries near the 286 outer rim of U_B in the maps of BTD_{11.2-12.4µm} (Figure 3m and Movie S4) and BTD_{8.6-11.2µm} (Figure 287 3n and Movie S5). Based on the observations from visible and thermal channels, we found that 288 the upper umbrella, U_B, contains substantial ice.

289

290 The lower umbrella cloud, U_A, is also composed of abundant water and ice at 08:40 UTC, 291 as indicated from the ash RGB and BTD tests. The widespread near-zero value of BTD_{11.2-12.4µm}

292	across the eruption site confirms that the U_A is optically thick. Some of the outer portions of U_A
293	exhibit strong positive values of $BTD_{11.2-12.4\mu m}$, indicating the optically thin ice clouds. Overall,
294	multi-channel analysis shows that most U_B and U_A areas are composed of optically thick ice
295	clouds that have optically thin edges. The assessment of volcanic ash within the U_B and U_A could
296	not be conducted due to limited ability of thermal channels to detect volcanic ash in optically
297	thick ice clouds and a humid environment ²⁶ . We expect, however, that at least the lower umbrella
298	contained volcanic ash due to the widespread fallout of ash over the Kingdom of Tonga ³¹ .
299	

11 .1 . 1

C .1

стт

. .1

- **300 Discussion and Conclusions:**
- 301

202

The 15 January 2022 eruption of HTHH was preceded by approximately a month of volcanic activity including two eruptions that produced umbrella clouds that spread along the tropopause. Our major findings are summarized below (see Figure 4, highlighting major findings):

306

307 1. The initial eruption occurred on 19 Dec at around 20:40 UTC for about 6 hours until 308 20 Dec 2021 between 01:00-02:00 UTC; the umbrella clouds reached an altitude of 309 around 15 km \pm 1–2 km and crossed slightly into the lower stratosphere. The satellite-based VFR for the Dec 19 event was $(3.7 + 0.7) \times 10^9 \text{ m}^3\text{s}^{-1}$. The volcanic 310 311 umbrella clouds in the initial eruption on 19 Dec were mainly made of thick ice 312 clouds. Between late Dec 20 and 31 Dec 2021, we observed the production of weak 313 plumes that reached 8-12 km. During 01-12 Jan 2022, we did not observe volcanic 314 plumes as meteorological clouds may have hindered the ability to interpret small 315 plumes. During cloud-free conditions on 7th Jan, 11th Jan, and 12th Jan 2022, we 316 observed intermittent weak pulses of BT_{11.2µm} emanating from the vent.

317

3182. A major eruption started on 13 Jan 2022 at 15:20 UTC and was sustained for about 22319hours, making this the longest lasting umbrella studied here. The umbrella cloud320reached an altitude of 18 km \pm 1–2 km and the initial VFR was (5.0 ± 1.0) x $10^9 \text{m}^3 \text{s}^-$ 3211. Significant fluctuations in the minimum brightness temperature values suggest that322the eruption was unsteady with many intermittent eruptive pulses. Similar to 19 Dec

323 2021, the 13 Jan 2022 umbrella had significant ice content and was made of optically
324 thick high-level ice clouds.

- 326 3. On 15 Jan 2022, the Himawari-8 reflectance data captured the start of the eruption at 327 approximately 04:00 UTC. An (upper) umbrella cloud developed quickly and obtained an area of 112 thousand km² within 50 mins. The initial satellite-derived 328 VFR for the upper umbrella cloud on 15 Jan 2022 was $(5.0 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{11} \text{ m}^3\text{s}^{-1}$, nearly 329 two orders of magnitude higher than that estimated on 19 Dec 2021 and 13 Jan 2022 330 331 eruptions. We identified two distinct umbrella clouds at two different altitudes: an 332 upper umbrella U_A that spread in the stratosphere at 31 km \pm 1–3km and a lower 333 umbrella cloud that spread at the tropopause at 17 km \pm 1–2km. The lower cloud, U_A, 334 only became visible an hour and a half after eruption onset at 05:30 UTC as the upper 335 umbrella cloud was advected westward, presumably due to the easterly wind in the 336 stratosphere near 30 hPa.
- 3384. We observed a second eruptive pulse at ~08:40 UTC, four hours after the start of the339eruption, that produced plume overshoot. This was inferred from the coldest $BT_{11.2\mu m}$ 340occurring at 08:40 UTC with a value of 181 K. We found that both UB and UA were341made of thick ice clouds but could not resolve the presence or absence of ash. Thick342layer of ashfall were reported during climactic eruption¹, which implies that these343umbrella clouds did comprise some ash particles.
- 344

337

325

345 Results on the timelines of overshooting volcanic plumes (based on BT_{min}) and umbrella heights (based on BT_{Hist}) provide foundational data for plume models^{34,35} and reveal important 346 347 eruption features that compare well against other independent data sets. For example, we 348 identified a second eruptive pulse on 15 Jan 2022 that produced plume overshoot at ~08:40 UTC 349 - an aspect of the eruption sequence not yet recognized using satellite remote sensing. Analysis 350 of infrasound and hydroacoustic stations revealed a final eruptive pulse at ~08:31 UTC on 15 Jan 351 2022⁵, consistent with the timing of the second plume overshoot. Together these observations 352 lead to questions about the causes of these two eruptive pulses. In this context, one of the goals

- of this study is to provide a foundational timeline against which other future data sets^{5,36} (e.g.,
 seismic) can be compared to build a more complete picture of eruptive processes.
- 355

The VFRs associated with the HTTH eruptions on 19 Dec 2021 and 13 Jan 2022 qualitatively agree (with the uncertainty limit) with the explosive submarine eruption of Anak Krakatau²⁸ on 22 Dec 2018 (\sim 5 × 10⁹ m³s⁻¹).

359

360 The 15 Jan 2022 HTHH eruption is unlike previously documented eruptions because of 361 its double umbrella cloud (e.g., U_A and U_B at 18 and 31 km, respectively). Although multiple 362 neutral buoyancy layers have been observed for multi-phase fluid plumes (e.g., Deepwater Horizon hydrocarbon plume with oil and as bubbles³⁷, various lab experiments³⁸), this 363 364 phenomenon has not been documented for volcanic eruptions with extensive umbrellas to the 365 best of our knowledge³⁹. Furthermore, 3D numerical volcanic plume models for subaerial 366 eruptions also do not have the multiple umbrella cloud features. We hypothesize that the water-367 rich nature of the HTHH eruption may have facilitated the development of the double umbrella, 368 possibly due to extensive ice condensation and latent heat driven processes making the volcanic 369 plume more akin to strongly multiphase buoyant plume. However, at this point the specific 370 mechanisms that drove double umbrella formation are not known and require future work. 371

372 The 15 Jan 2022 HTHH eruption shares several features with the 1991 eruption of Mount Pinatubo. Both eruptions produced plume overshoot and umbrella clouds (plume top height was 373 at ~37 km and umbrella top height was at ~25km for the climactic stage of Pinatubo^{15,39}) and 374 375 similar eruption duration. The average VFR during the 15 June 1991 climactic eruption of Pinatubo was around one order lowered (5.8–7 x 10^{10} m³s⁻¹; Figure 2e) than the recent 15 376 January 2022 climactic eruption of HTHH^{15,39}. That said, the 15 Jan HTHH produced higher 377 378 plume and upper umbrella cloud top heights (~55 km and ~31 km, respectively) compared to 379 Pinatubo. Next, we compare their ratios of umbrella top heights to plume top heights. The 15 Jan 380 2022 HTHH eruption had an umbrella to plume top height ratio of 0.58, less than 1991 Pinatubo's ratio of 0.68 (and Calbuco 2015's 0.71 and Kelud 2014's 0.71)¹⁵. This comparison 381 382 shows that HTHH's plume was exceptionally high reaching, even for an eruption that created an 383 umbrella at ~31 km and highlights the potential influence of enhanced water associated

buoyancy. Since the characteristics of the HTHH eruption are different from other subarerial eruptions, we do not attempt to use the plume or the umbrella height to estimate a mass eruption rate since these relationships have not explicitly been calibrated for large submarine eruptions.

388 Our findings of the abundant water and ice content on 15 Jan 2022 qualitatively agree 389 with the reporting by Millan⁴¹ and Xu⁴² (using Aura Microwave Limb Sounder data) that the 390 eruption added an unprecedented (>10% of the total stratospheric H₂O burden) amount of water 391 to the stratosphere compared to any eruption or wildfire over the past 2 decades. The primary 392 stratospheric hydration close to the eruption was observed at ~20–10 hPa (~25–31 km) levels, 393 consistent with being sourced from the dominant upper HTHH Umbrella cloud (U_B).

394

Additionally, Kloss⁴³ (using in-situ balloon-borne observations of the plume at La Reunion island) found that the Hunga Tonga plume one week after the eruption had no coarse (> 1 μ m) ash aerosol component in contrast with Pinatubo 1991 or the Raikoke 2019 eruption⁴⁴. This balloon-borne result is to first order, consistent with our observation of a lack of strong ash signature in the umbrella cloud although there are significant uncertainties in the eruption's initial ash content due to the possibility of ice coated ash particles and rapid ash sedimentation after the eruption.

402

403 The global dispersal of the umbrella cloud, with abundant water and ice content in the 404 stratosphere region, could strongly influence the longwave and solar radiations at the top-of-the-405 atmosphere (TOA), which can, in turn, regulate the Earth's surface temperature and climate. Sellitto⁴⁵ showed that immediately after the eruption, the longwave (LW) water vapor cooling 406 407 dominates the umbrella cloud's localized stratospheric in-plume heating/cooling rates and 408 produces a rapid descent of the umbrella (qualitatively consistent with our umbrella cloud height 409 measurements). Over the longer term, three-four weeks after the climactic eruption, Sellitto⁴⁵ 410 showed that the water vapor's TOA radiative forcing switches sign (due to decreased altitude) and is +0.8 Wm⁻², thus canceling out the cooling impact of aerosols⁴⁵). For comparison, the aged 411 412 plume TOA for large recent volcanic eruptions (e.g., Raikoke 2019, Ambae 2018) and wildfires 413 (e.g., Australian bushfires 2019–2020), as well as the Pinatubo 1991 eruption^{46,47}, are typically negative with values ranging from -3.5 (Pinatubo) to -0.3 Wm⁻². 414

415 Methods

417

416 Extraction of umbrella clouds

418 Before estimating volcanic umbrella top height, it is important to accurately assess the 419 magnitude of BT_{11.2µm} related to the umbrella top. To do this we average BT_{11.2µm} values (also 420 estimate the standard deviation) from all pixels associated with the umbrella clouds. Defining the 421 pixels (area) associated with the umbrella clouds is challenging, however, because umbrella 422 cloud areas evolve as the clouds grow, shrink, and are advected by winds. For example, if one 423 were to consider all BT_{11.2µm} values in an eruptive area, the non-volcanic clouds overpassing near 424 the eruption site and clear-sky conditions are likely to affect the above magnitude of $BT_{11.2\mu m}$. 425 Other factors, such as semi-transparent clouds and high overshooting cloud top, can bias the 426 BT_{11.2µm} towards colder temperatures in the troposphere and warmer temperatures in the 427 stratosphere. However, over a large number of measurements, these biases should be reduced 428 when the umbrella cloud covers the majority of a given area. For a large sample of 429 measurements near HTHH eruption sites, we developed a histogram and image segmentation 430 method for evaluating the BT_{11.2µm} associated with the umbrella clouds. This histogram method 431 for extraction of umbrella clouds is primarily based on the image segmentation technique¹⁸. After 432 assessing the BT_{11.2µm} values for a given umbrella cloud and verifying that the umbrella clouds 433 are optically thick and in thermal equilibrium with the surrounding, we can retrieve the top heights of umbrella clouds using ERA5 temperature profiles^{19,20,21,22}. 434

435

436 Histogram and image segmentation technique—BT_{Hist}:

437

To determine a brightness temperature that captures the umbrella cloud top border, we determine the frequency of occurrence of $BT_{11.2\mu m}$ over a large sample of measurements covering an area as shown in Figure 1a-d and Movie S7 (2000 pixels x 1245 pixels areas; each pixel has ~2 km resolution for thermal channels; although pixel resolution changes with the solar zenith angle^{16,17}).

443

444 The $BT_{11.2\mu m}$ magnitudes in Figure 1a-d may correspond to volcanic, non-volcanic clouds 445 or clear-sky conditions. In a clear-sky condition, the frequency of occurrence of $BT_{11.2\mu m}$ should 446 peak at near-surface temperature. Similarly, suppose umbrella clouds are present as exemplified 447 in Figure 1a-d. In that case, the frequency histogram of $BT_{11,2um}$ (when warmer pixels > 270K. 448 are removed to avoid biasing towards clear pixels) should be high near a temperature, reasonably 449 representing a peak temperature value (referred as T_{peak}) associated with the umbrella clouds. In 450 a given image (e.g., Figure 1a-d), the T_{peak} will also encompass the interior region of the 451 umbrella cloud during the initial growth phase. For all three umbrella formation events (that is, 452 19 Dec 2021, 13 Jan 2022, 15 Jan 2022), the T_{peak} associated with each umbrella cloud may be 453 different. For a given eruption, we take the upper bound of T_{peak} in such a way that we 454 incorporate maximum possible umbrella features and avoid any non-volcanic cloud influences. 455 For instance, for the 19 Dec 2021 eruption, a threshold value (T_U) of contour level was 456 determined based on the time-varying loops of T_{peak} and its upper bound at which the umbrella is 457 not influenced by non-volcanic clouds surrounding the volcanic umbrella regions. For all three 458 events, the upper bound is generally bounded within 5K to 12K of T_{peak}. We referred to this 459 upper bound of T_{peak} as threshold value (T_U) associated with umbrella clouds. Therefore, the 460 selection of contour level T_U depends upon the peak frequency histogram of brightness 461 temperature of umbrella clouds. After finding the umbrella threshold temperature, $T_U < 220$ K for 462 19-20 Dec 2021 (initial eruption), $T_U < 210$ K for 13-14 Jan 2022 (major eruption), 215K $< T_{UB} <$ 463 235K for the upper umbrella on 15 Jan 2022 (climactic eruption), TuA < 210 K for the lower 464 umbrella on 15 Jan 2022 (climactic eruption), we then followed a set of procedures to estimate 465 the mean BT_{11.2µm} (including standard deviation) of these umbrella clouds (see Fig. 3e, j, o, t and 466 Movie S8):

467

4681. We first apply threshold temperature conditions defined above on the $BT_{11.2\mu m}$ map469(shown in Figure 1a-d). This enables removing some of the warmer pixels associated470with clear-sky or non-volcanic clouds, as stated above. We then create a bi-level image of471 $BT_{11.2\mu m}$ with 0s (pixels not satisfying the threshold temperature condition) and 1s (pixels472satisfying the threshold temperature condition).

473

474
2. In the bi-level image obtained from point (1), we perform a maximum frequency
475 histogram test on all the "1s" to make sure that the pixels reasonably represent the
476 umbrella clouds. After finding all indices of pixels associated with umbrella clouds, we
477 extracted the BT_{11.2µm} magnitudes associated with umbrella clouds. The extracted

478	umbrellas using point (1) and (2) are shown in Figure 3e, j, o, t (see supplementary
479	Movie S8 for 15 Jan 2022).
480	
481	3. We then calculate the conditional mean and standard deviation of these $BT_{11.2\mu m}$ pixels
482	associated with umbrella clouds using point (1) and (2). Subsequently, we create a time-
483	series of the mean and standard deviation of $BT_{11.2\mu m}$ (defined as BT_{Hist} in Fig. 1e-g).
484	
485	4. Furthermore, using point (2), we mapped the indices associated with the umbrella clouds
486	over latitude/longitude area and evaluated the total areal and radial extents covered by
487	umbrella clouds (Fig. 2a-c).
488	
489	After obtaining BT _{Hist} , we use the temperature method (described below) to estimate the height
490	of these umbrella clouds. The uncertainty in the umbrella height is determined using the
491	uncertainty in the BT_{Hist} values. But before estimating the umbrella height, we assessed the
492	optical properties of these umbrella clouds based on the $BTD_{11.2-12.4\mu m}$ values. For instance, if
493	$BTD_{11.2-12.4\mu m}$ is close to zero, it most likely represents an optically thick cloud.
494	
495	Estimation of umbrella cloud height
496	
497	To convert brightness temperature to height, we determined the altitude at which the brightness
498	temperature was equivalent to the atmospheric temperature using real-time ERA5 ²² atmospheric
499	profile data, which provides hourly estimates of real-time pressure level data, such as
500	atmospheric temperature, vertical pressure, and vertical velocity.
501	
502	Temperature method— H_U (Umbrella top height)
503	
504	For evaluating umbrella heights, we match the satellite's estimated BT _{Hist} with the collocated and
505	linearly interpolated ERA5 ²² temperature profile in real-time. This conversion method of
506	brightness temperature value to vertical height using the ERA5 temperature profile is called the
507	"temperature method" ^{19,20,21} . As stated above, this method is especially useful for optically thick
508	umbrella clouds when they are in thermal equilibrium with the surrounding environments. The

509 assumptions inherent in this conversion are: (1) the umbrella cloud is optically thick so that the 510 thermal emission is primarily associated with the uppermost cloud top layer, and (2) the umbrella 511 cloud is not influenced by the non-volcanic cloud and clear-sky pixel temperatures. The above 512 assumptions imply that the temperature method is applicable when the umbrella cloud's 513 brightness temperature is in thermal equilibrium with its ambient environment. To test 514 assumption (1), that the umbrella clouds are optically thick, we apply the near-zero difference 515 test between brightness temperature at 11.2µm and 12.4µm and find that the umbrellas are 516 optically thick everywhere except their outermost edges. For testing assumption (2), our 517 histogram techniques avoid the influence of non-volcanic clouds and clear-sky pixel 518 temperatures.

519

520 Umbrellas reaching either the troposphere or the stratosphere can have two height solutions 521 based on the ERA5 temperature profiles. Still, only one of the heights will be a true solution for 522 the plume falling in the stratosphere or troposphere. We can find this true solution based on the 523 time-varying BT_{11,2um} associated with umbrella clouds. For instance, in an explosive eruption, if 524 plume overshoots into the stratosphere and umbrella clouds are initially lying in the stratosphere, 525 they will remain stratospheric and eventually spread along with a neutral buoyancy level in the 526 stratosphere for a certain duration. In this case (e.g., on 15 Jan 2022), the correct height solution 527 should be taken from the ERA5 temperature profile in the stratosphere. Based on the a priori 528 information of the time series of the brightness temperature of the volcanic cloud and the ERA5 529 temperature profile, we can select the correct height solution and also estimate the associated 530 uncertainty values.

531

However, when an eruption is weak and the plume breaks in the middle atmosphere without an umbrella formation, the ERA5 temperature method may yield an ambiguous solution. Moreover, the ERA5 temperature-based height-retrievals are not applicable when an eruption produces overshooting cloud tops. The overshooting cloud tops related to volcanic eruptions could reach into the stratosphere, causing the breakdown of the hydrostatic equilibrium state. In this scenario, the assessment of overshooting top height using the ERA5 temperature method will not be applicable or produce ambiguous results and one needs to apply other techniques, such as

- stereoscopic^{7,8}, shadow trigonometry²¹. Consequently, our analysis in this study has focused
 exclusively on the umbrella clouds which satisfy the requirements for the temperature methods.
- For two overpasses of CALIPSO datasets⁴⁸ on 14 Jan 2022 at 14:27 UTC (over 179.17°E, 542 543 21.70°S) and 16 Jan 2022 at 15:42 (over 160.02°E, 22.68°S), we find that the altitude of a strong 544 total attenuated backscatter signal at 532 nm from 18 km and 32 km, respectively. This total 545 attenuated backscatter signal at 532 nm is primarily related to the stratospheric aerosol layer and 546 volcanic clouds. Since the lifetime of the stratospheric aerosol layer is high, it is reasonable to 547 assume the umbrella cloud has also attained a similar altitude (Fig. S3 and S4). The CALIPSO 548 estimated heights are consistent with our measured umbrella heights and thus help validate the 549 accuracy of our method.
- 550

551 Differentiating U_A and U_B

552

553 The frequency histogram over 2000 pixels x 1245 pixels areas in Figure 1d give a priori

554 information to characterize umbrella clouds. We also evaluate the frequency histogram of

555 $BT_{11.2\mu m}$ as a function of time for the above domain to characterize the peak $BT_{11.2\mu m}$ for U_A and

556 U_B . The time series of frequency histograms of $BT_{11.2\mu m}$ associated with U_B shows that this peak

557 varies between ~235K and ~215K (see Movie S7). Thus, the upper umbrella was characterized

 $\label{eq:stable} 558 \qquad \mbox{for } 215K < BT_{11.2\mu m} < 235K. \mbox{ Similarly, lower tropospheric umbrella } U_A \mbox{ was characterized.}$

559

- 560 Volumetric Flow Rate Estimates
- 561

562 Using point (4) of histogram technique (see above), we determined the time-series of areal

563 extents (A) of umbrella clouds which is then converted into radial extent (R), using $R = \sqrt{A/\pi}$

as the umbrella was elongated in one direction (eastward on 19 Dec 2021 and westward during

other three events) due to prevailing wind in the upper troposphere and stratosphere. For

566 estimating volumetric flow rate (VFR), we use the parameterization equation 11,12,13,14

567	$R = \left(\frac{3\lambda QN}{2\pi}\right)^{1/3} t^{2/3}$ (where λ is a constant that is approximately 0.2, Q is the volume flux
568	and N is the Brunt-Väisälä frequency, and t is time) to fit with our measurements of spherical-
569	equivalent plume top radius through time for the initial 50-150 min (Figure 2a-c). Also, the
570	Brunt–Väisälä frequency (N) is taken as 0.026 near tropopause and 0.022 at around 30 km in the
571	stratospheric region as evaluated using ERA5 ²² reanalysis data. In estimating the VFR, we
572	accounted for viewing zenith angle correction. The uncertainty in VFR can be attributed to errors
573	involved in analyzing the areal extent of umbrella clouds from Himawari-8 pixels ^{16, 17} ,
574	unsteadiness of the eruption, and because of the natural variability of the Brunt-Väisälä
575	frequency over tropics ²⁸ . The geolocation accuracy of Himawari-8/AHI is around 2km. The error
576	in estimating the areal extent of umbrella clouds due to assumption of perfectly circular umbrella
577	from Himawari-8 pixels is ~10% per 30km radius ^{28, 29} . The error with the natural variability of
578	Brunt-Väisälä frequency is ~ $10\%^{30}$. Moreover, above parameterization equation for the VFR
579	estimation in a changing umbrella cloud with height may also produce some inaccuracy ^{11,12,13,14} .
580	
581	
582	
583	
584	
585	
586	
587	
588	
589	
590	
591	
592	
593	
594	
595	

596	REFERENCES:
597	
598	
599	1. Global Volcanism Program. Report on Hunga Tonga-Hunga Ha'apai (Tonga). In: Sennert, S K
600	(ed.), Weekly Volcanic Activity Report, 16 February-22 February 2022. Smithsonian
601	Institution and US Geological Survey (2022).
602	
603	2. Brenna, M. et al. Post-caldera volcanism reveals shallow priming of an intra-ocean arc
604	andesitic caldera: Hunga volcano, Tonga, SW Pacific, Lithos, 412–413, 106614 (2022).
605	
606	3. Yuen, D. A. et al. Under the surface: Pressure-induced planetary-scale waves, volcanic
607	lightning, and gaseous clouds caused by the submarine eruption of Hunga Tonga-Hunga
608	Ha'apai volcano. Earthquake Res. Adv., 100-134 (2022).
609	
610	4. Wright, C. et al. Tonga eruption triggered waves propagating globally from surface to edge of
611	space, Atmos. Sci., https://doi.org/10.1002/essoar.10510674.1, (2022).
612	
613	5. Matoza, R. S. et al. Atmospheric waves and global seismoacoustic observations of the January
614	2022 Hunga eruption, Tonga, Science, eeeeeeeeeee. DOI:10.1126/science.abo7063
615	(2022).
616	
617	6. Omira, R., Ramalho, R.S., Kim, J. et al. Global Tonga tsunami explained by a fast-moving
618	atmospheric source. Nat., https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-04926-4, (2022).
619	
620	7. Carr, J.L., Horváth, Á., Wu, D.L. & Friberg, M.D. Stereo Plume Height and Motion Retrievals
621	for the Record-Setting Hunga Tonga-Hunga Ha'apai Eruption of 15 January
622	2022. Geophy. Res. Lett., 49(9), e2022GL098131, (2022).
623	
624	8. NASA Earth Observatory. Tonga Volcano Plume Reached the Mesosphere. (2022).
625	
626	9. Carey, S. & Marcus B. "Volcanic plumes." In The encyclopedia of volcanoes, pp. 571-585.
627	Academic Press, (2015).
628	
629	10. Hanna, S. R. Rise and condensation of large cooling tower plumes. J. Appl. Meteoro.
630	Climatolo., 11(5), 793-799, (1972).
631	
632	11. Costa, A., Folch, A., & Macedonio, G. Density-driven transport in the umbrella region of
633	volcanic clouds: implications for tephra dispersion models. Geophys. Res. Lett. 40.
634	4823–4827 (2013)
635	
636	12 Woods A W & Kienle I The dynamics and thermodynamics of volcanic clouds. Theory
637	and observations from the april 15 and april 21, 1990 eruptions of redoubt volcano
638	Alaska I Volcanol Geotherm Res 62 273–299 (1994)
639	1100100, 0.000000, 00000000, 000, 02, 275, 277 (1777).
640	13. Sparks, R. The dimensions and dynamics of volcanic eruption columns, Bull, Volcanol
641	48(1), 3-15 (1986).

642	
643 644	14. Mastin, L.G. Testing the accuracy of a 1-D volcanic plume model in estimating mass
645	eruption rate. Journal of Geophy. Res.: Atmos., 119(3), 2474-2493 (2014).
646 647 648 649	15. Webster, H.N., Devenish, B.J., Mastin, L.G., Thomson, D.J. & Van Eaton, A.R. Operational modelling of umbrella cloud growth in a lagrangian volcanic ash transport and dispersion model. Atmos., 11(2), 200 (2020).
650 651 652	 Bessho, K. et al. An Introduction to Himawari-8/9–Japan's New-Generation Geostationary Meteorological Satellites. J. Meteorol. Soc. Jpn. Ser II 94, 151–183 (2016).
653 654 655 656	 Suzuki, M., Taniguchi, H., Tsuchiyama, H., Uesawa, D., Yokota, H., and Yoshida, R.: An Introduction to Himawari-8/9–Japan's New-Generation Geostationary Meteorological Satellites, 94, 151–183 (2016).
657 658	 Canty, M.J. Image analysis, classification and change detection in remote sensing: with algorithms for ENVI/IDL and Python. Crc Press (2014).
660 661 662 663	 Prata, A. J., and I. F. Grant. "Retrieval of microphysical and morphological properties of volcanic ash plumes from satellite data: Application to Mt Ruapehu, New Zealand." Q. J. Royal Meteorol. Soc. 127, 576, 2153-2179 (2001).
664 665	 Hamann, U. Remote sensing of cloud top pressure/height from SEVIRI: analysis of ten current retrieval algorithms. Atmos. Measurement Techniques, 7(9), 2839-2867 (2014).
667 668 669 670	 Horváth, Á. et al., (2021). Geometric estimation of volcanic eruption column height from GOES-R near-limb imagery–Part 2: Case studies. Atmos. Chem. Phys., 21(16), 12207- 12226 (2021).
671 672 673	22. Hersbach, H. et al. The ERA5 global reanalysis. Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc. 146, 1999–2049 (2020).
674 675 676	23. Prata, A. J. "Observations of volcanic ash clouds in the 10-12 μm window using AVHRR/2 data." Int. J. Rem. Sens. 10(4-5): 751-761 (1989).
677 678 679	 Prata, A. J. Infrared radiative transfer calculations for volcanic ash clouds. Geophys. Res. Lett. 16, 1293–1296 (1989).
680 681 682	25. Strabala, K. I., Ackerman, S. A., & Menzel, W. P. Cloud Properties inferred from 8–12-μm Data, J. Appl. Meteorol. Climatolo., 33(2), 212-229 (1994).
683 684 685	26. Rose, W.I. et al. Ice in the 1994 Rabaul eruption cloud: implications for volcano hazard and atmospheric effects. Nat., 375(6531), 477-479 (1995).
686 687	27. Prata, F., Bluth, G., Rose, B., Schneider, D., & Tupper, A.: Comments on "Failures in detecting volcanic ash from a satellite-based technique," 78, 341–346 (2001).

688	
689	28 Prata A T et al Anak Krakatau triggers volcanic freezer in the upper troposphere Sci Ren
690	10 3584 (2020)
691	
692	29 Takeuchi W Assessment of geometric errors of Advanced Himawari-8 Imager (AHI) over
693	one year operation. In IOP Conference Series: Farth and Environmental Science, vol
694	37(1) 012004 IOP Publishing (2016)
695	57(1) 012004. 101 1 dollahing (2010).
606	20 Wüst S. Bittner M. Vee, I.H. Mlynczak, M.G. & Russell III. I.M. Variability of the
607	Drunt Väisälä fraguonov at the OH* airglow lavar haight at low and midlatitudas
608	Atmos Massur Tash 12(11) 6067 6002 (2020)
600	Aunos. Measur. rech., $15(11)$, $0007-0095$ (2020).
700	21 Clobal Valaaniam Dragram Danant on Hunga Tanga Hunga Halanai (Tanga) (Crafford
700	51. Global Volcanism Program. Report on Hunga Tonga-Hunga Ha apai (Tonga) (Crafford,
701	A.E., and venzke, E., eds.). Bulletin of the Global volcanism Network, 47.2.
702	Smithsonian Institution (2022).
/03	
/04	32. News report based on Tonga Geological Services, 51 vana akolo Koad, Nuku alota, Tonga,
/05	https://matangitonga.to/2022/01/14/volcanic-plume-ash-steam-and-gas-over-tonga,
/06	(2022).
/0/	
/08	33. Schmit, I. J. et al. A Closer Look at the ABI on the GOES-R Series, Bull. Am. Meteorol.
/09	Soc., 98(4), 681-698 (2017).
/10	
/11	34. Costa, A., J Suzuki, Y., & Koyaguchi, I. Understanding the plume dynamics of explosive
712	super-eruptions. <i>Nat. Commun.</i> , 9(1), 1-6 (2018).
713	
714	35. Mastin, L. G. A user-triendly one-dimensional model for wet volcanic plumes.
715	Geochem. Geophys. Geosystems 8, (2007).
716	
717	36. Fauria, K. E. et al. Simultaneous creation of a large vapor plume and pumice raft by a
718	shallow submarine eruption, preprint, https://doi.org/10.1002/essoar.10510412.1, (2022).
719	
720	37. Socolofsky, S.A., Adams, E.E. & Sherwood, C.R. Formation dynamics of subsurface
721	hydrocarbon intrusions following the Deepwater Horizon blowout. Geophys. Res.
722	Lett., 38(9) (2011).
723	
724	38. Mingotti, N. & Woods, A.W. Multiphase plumes in a stratified ambient. J. Fluid
725	Mechanics, 869, 292-312 (2019).
726	
727	39. Mastin, L.G. & Van Eaton, A.R. Comparing Simulations of Umbrella-Cloud Growth and
728	Ash Transport with Observations from Pinatubo, Kelud, and Calbuco Volcanoes. Atmos.,
729	11(10), 10-38 (2020).
730	
731	40. Holasek, R.E., Self, S. & Woods, A.W. Satellite observations and interpretation of the 1991
732	Mount Pinatubo eruption plumes. J. Geophys. Res.: Solid Earth, 101(B12), 27635-27655
733	(1996).

734 735	41. Millan, L. et al. The Hunga Tonga-Hunga Ha'apai Hydration of the Stratosphere, preprint, https://doi.org/10.1002/essoar.10511266.1, (2022).
736 737 738 739 740	 Xu, J., Li, D., Bai, Z., Tao, M. & Bian, J. Large Amounts of Water Vapor Were Injected into the Stratosphere by the Hunga Tonga–Hunga Ha'apai Volcano Eruption. Atmosphere, 13(6), 912 (2022).
741 742 743 744	 Kloss, C. et al. Aerosol characterization of the stratospheric plume from the volcanic eruption at Hunga Tonga January 15th 2022, preprint, https://doi.org/10.1002/essoar.10511312.1, (2022).
745 746 747 748	44. Kloss, C. et al. Stratospheric aerosol layer perturbation caused by the 2019 Raikoke and Ulawun eruptions and their radiative forcing. Atmos. Chem. Phys., 21(1), 535-560 (2021).
749 750 751	45. Sellitto, P. et al. The unexpected radiative impact of the Hunga Tonga eruption of January 15th, 2022 (2022).
752 753 754	46. Bergstrom, R.W., Kinne, S., Russell, P.B., Bauman, J. J. & Minnis, P. Radiative Forcing of the Pinatubo Aerosol as a Function of Latitude and Time (1996).
755 756 757	 Kloss, C. et al. Impact of the 2018 Ambae eruption on the global stratospheric aerosol layer and climate. J. Geophys. Res.: Atmospheres, 125(14), e2020JD032410 (2020).
758 759 760	 Winker, D. M., Hunt, W. H. & McGill, M. J. Initial performance assessment of CALIOP. Geophys. Res. Lett. 34, (2007).
761 762 763	49. EUMETSAT User Services. Best practices for RGB compositing of multi-spectral imagery. Darmstadt, (2009).
764 765 766	50. Miller, S. el al. A Sight for Sore Eyes - The Return of True Color to Geostationary Satellites. <i>Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.</i> (2016).
767 768 769	
770 771 772	
773 774 775	
776 777 778	

 Funding: This work was funded by National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) grant 80NSSC20K1450 to PI (K.E.F) and Co-PI (R.B.). A.G. was supported with this funding. TM was supported by a Massachusetts Institute of Technology Crosby fellowship. Author contributions: AG analyzed the data and developed it with R. B., K. E. F., and T. M. A. G., R. B., K. E. F., and T. M. contributed to conceptual development of this work. K. E. F., R. B. and T. M. supervised this research. K. E. F. and R. B. obtained NASA funding. A. G. drafted the original manuscript. The manuscript was reviewed and edited by K. E. F, T. M., and R. B. Competing interests: Authors declare that they have no competing interests.
 Author contributions: AG analyzed the data and developed it with R. B., K. E. F., and T. M. A. G., R. B., K. E. F., and T. M. contributed to conceptual development of this work. K. E. F., R. B. and T. M. supervised this research. K. E. F. and R. B. obtained NASA funding. A. G. drafted the original manuscript. The manuscript was reviewed and edited by K. E. F, T. M., and R. B. Competing interests: Authors declare that they have no competing interests.
 AG analyzed the data and developed it with R. B., K. E. F., and T. M. A. G., R. B., K. E. F., and T. M. contributed to conceptual development of this work. K. E. F., R. B. and T. M. supervised this research. K. E. F. and R. B. obtained NASA funding. A. G. drafted the original manuscript. The manuscript was reviewed and edited by K. E. F, T. M., and R. B. Competing interests: Authors declare that they have no competing interests.
 794 795 Competing interests: Authors declare that they have no competing interests.
796
797 Data and materials availability:
798
799 The Himawari-8 data used in this study are available in public domain and it can be also
800 obtained from https://registry.opendata.aws/noaa-himawari/. Eight supplementary Movies and
801 .csv file related to Figure 1e-g can be accessed using this link 802 (https://zep.ede.org/paperd/6757667)
$\frac{(\operatorname{nups.}//\operatorname{Zenodo.org/record/0757007})}{202}$
803
805 Code availability:
806
807 All the images in a Figure 1, 2, and 3 (including Movies) are generated using Python 3 and open
808 source matplotlib library (https://www.python.org/downloads/ &
809 https://matplotlib.org/stable/index.html) and Himawari-8 data. Source code for extracting
810 umbrella clouds is available upon request from A. K. G. or R. B.
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818 810
819 820
020 921
021 922
823
824

- 825 Main Figures:
- 826

828



829 Figure 1: Upper panels: (a) Himawari-8 observed brightness temperature at 11.2 microns 830 (BT_{11,2um}) centered around Hunga Tonga-Hunga Ha'apai (HTHH) (175.38°W, 20.57°S) 831 submarine volcano on 19 December 2021 at 22:50 UTC. Panel (b), (c) and (d) are similar to panel (a) but for 13 January 2022 at 19:00 UTC, 15 January 2022 at 04:50 UTC, 15 January 832 833 2022 at 08:40 UTC, respectively. Two contour levels in panel (d) indicate UA and UB (separated umbrella clouds). The contour U_A is outlined for $BT_{11.2\mu m}$ (T_{U_A}) < 210K, and contour U_B is 834 outlined for $215K \le BT_{11.2\mu m} (T_{U_R}) \le 235K$. The colorbar represents the brightness temperature 835 836 (BT_{11.2um}) measured in Kelvin [K]. Bottom panels: (e) the black line (BT_{Hist} [K]) indicates a 837 histogram of BT_{11.2µm} associated with umbrella clouds at a contour level of 220 K during the 838 HTHH eruptions on 19-20 December 2021 (initial eruption starting at 20:40Z on 19 December). 839 The red line $(H_{U_{220K}})$ represents the umbrella height in km. The uncertainties associated with BT_{Hist} [K] and $H_{U_{220K}}$ are indicated using grey and light red shaded colors. The light blue line 840 841 represents the minimum BT_{11.2µm} (BT_{min}) covering the entire domain shown in the upper panel 842 (a). The light grey horizontal bar around 16 km is the mean tropopause height during 19-20 843 December 2021. (f) Same as (e) but for 13-14 January 2022 (major eruption starting at ~15:20Z 844 on 13 January). (g) On 15 January 2022, BT_{Hist} is shown for two distinct umbrella clouds:

.6

BT_{HistU_A} (dashed red line) and BT_{HistU_B} (solid red line). The umbrella heights H_{U_A} and H_{U_B} are estimated for corresponding BT_{HistU_A} and BT_{HistU_A}. Again, the light blue line represents the minimum BT_{11.2µm} (BT_{min}) covering the entire domain shown in upper panel (d). Two explosions on 15 January in the interval of four hours are marked by purple color arrows.

- 849 850
- 851
- 852
- 853





Figure 2: Upper panels: (a) On 19 December 2021 (initial eruption starts around 20:40 UTC), the radial change of umbrella height as a function of the initial 150 minutes is estimated using the Himawari-8 observations (Obs) and described by violet dots. The dashed purple line in panel (a) indicates the polynomial fitting for the initial 150 min for the contour labeled at 220 K. The R (in meter) and t (in sec) relations and volumetric flow rate (VFR) and associated uncertainty values are described by the inset text at different BT_{11.2µm} contour levels. (b) Same as (a) but 13-14 January 2022 eruption time (starting at 15:20 UTC). In this case, the polynomial fitting is

- 862 performed for the 200K BT_{11.2µm} value. The R and VFR represent the same as in (a). (c) Same as
- 863 (a) but for the greatest explosive eruption on 15 January 2022 starting between 04:00-04:10 UTC
- 864 (true color RGB shows the initial eruption at 04:00 UTC). In panel (c), the radial expansion of
- the umbrella with time during the climactic eruption of Pinatubo for the contour level between
- 866 220K and 240K was taken from Mastin³⁵. **Bottom panels**: (d) On 15 January 2022 at 05:00
- 867 UTC, the frequency histogram of $BT_{11.2\mu m}$ was estimated for the entire area of Fig. 1d. At 05:00
- 868 UTC, the upper umbrella cloud (215 K < T_{U_R}< 235 K) is dominant during the initial hours of
- climactic eruptions on 15 Jan 2022. (b) The frequency histogram of $BT_{11.2\mu m}$ on 15 January 2022
- at 08:40 UTC, when two umbrella clouds distinctly appear (as seen in Fig. 1d). (c) Same as (a)
- but at 11:50 Z when climactic eruptions started waning out.
- 872
- 873
- 874



878 Figure 3: (a) Himawari-8 observed true color RGB centered around Hunga Tonga-Hunga 879 Ha'apai (HTHH) (175.38°W, 20.57°S) submarine volcano on 19 December 2021 at 22:50 UTC. 880 Panel (f), and (k) are similar to panel (a) but for 13 January 2022 at 19:00 UTC, 15 January 2022 881 at 04:50 UTC, respectively. Panel (p) is same as panel (k) but at 08:40 UTC. Also, there are no 882 reflectance data during local nighttime at 08:40 UTC. Panel (b, g, l, q) same as (a, f, k, p) but for 883 Ash RGB. (c) Himawari-8 observed brightness temperature difference between 11.2-12.4µm 884 (BTD_{11.2-12.4µm}) on 19 December 2021 at 22:50 UTC. Panel (h), (m) and (r) are similar to panel







897 Typical clear-sky temperature profile on 15 January 2022 at 05:00:00 UTC over HTHH.

905	Supplementary Materials for
906	
907 908 909 910 911 912	Timelines of plume characteristics of the Hunga Tonga-Hunga Ha'apai eruption sequence from 19 December 2021 to 16 January 2022: Himawari-8 observations
913 914 015	Authors: Ashok Kumar Gupta ^{1*} , Ralf Bennartz ^{1,2} , Kristen E. Fauria ¹ , Tushar Mittal ^{3,4}
913 916 917	Affiliations:
918 919 920	¹ Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, Vanderbilt University; Nashville, Tennessee, USA
920 921 922	² Space Science and Engineering Center, University of Wisconsin - Madison, Wisconsin, USA
923 924 925	³ Department of Earth, Atmospheric and Planetary Sciences, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA
926 927 928 929 930	⁴ Department of Geosciences, Pennsylvania State University, Pennsylvania, USA
931 932 933 934	*Corresponding author. Email: <u>ashok.k.gupta@vanderbilt.edu</u>
935	This PDF file includes:
936	Materials and Methods
937	Captions for Movies S1 to S8
938	Figs. S1 to S5
939	Supplementary Text
940	
941	
942	
943	
944	

945 Materials and Methods

946

947 Minimum Brightness Temperature—BT_{min}:

948

949 For determining the umbrella cloud-top temperature, the BT_{11.2µm} is an important parameter due 950 to its strong transmissivity, which helps minimize the influence of the atmosphere above the 951 umbrella clouds. In other words, the brightness temperature at 11.2µm (BT_{11.2µm}) is 952 approximately proportional to the umbrella cloud-top or surface temperature because of the 953 minimal atmospheric absorption. This minimal absorption at 11.2µm is primarily due to the water vapor^{16,17}. For the explosive eruptions, the parallax correction in the $BT_{11,2um}$ is not 954 955 considered as the coverage of umbrella clouds was more dominant than the overshooting tops, 956 which generally exhibits a stronger parallax effect. 957 958 To identify the start of an eruption and overshooting top location, we use the minimum 959 brightness temperature values. Minimum brightness temperature can be used because plume 960 overshoot can produce plumes that are colder than any point in the atmosphere and can also be 961 useful to detect eruptive activity. For our case, the BT_{min} was taken within 2000 x 1245 pixels

962 (e.g., the entire area covered in Figure 1d) enclosing the vent site.

963

964 **True color and Ash RGBs and BTD tests**

965

966 We first employed true color and ash RGBs (see Methods) to determine the umbrella's

967 compositional characteristics. To create natural color RGBs suitable for human eyes to

968 distinguish the volcanic features from Himawari-8 observation, we use reflectance at 0.47 (blue

channel), 0.51 (green channel), and 0.64 (red channel). We set the color enhancement gamma

- value as 3 to bring out the bright and distinguishable true color RGB (Figure 3a-c). These RGBs
- 971 composites were initially developed by European Organization for the Exploitation of
- 972 Meteorological Satellites (EUMETSAT)^{49,50}. We used infrared window channels such as 8.6µm,

973 10.4μm, and 12.4μm from Himawari-8 to create ash RGBs^{49,50}.

975	A channel at 8.6µm is particularly useful because of the higher volcanic ash absorption at 8.6µm
976	relative to 11.2 μ m and 12.4 μ m ^{26,27} . The channels at 11.2 μ m and 12.4 μ m have opposite
977	characteristics of absorption and scattering of water and quartz (present in volcanic ash) contents
978	in the umbrella ^{26,27} . Therefore, for discriminating volcanic ash with ice clouds, we first use the
979	reverse absorption technique ^{21,23,24} related to channels at 11.2 μ m and 12.4 μ m with some
980	limitations (see Methods). However, using the reverse absorption technique to identify the
981	umbrella clouds' composition, such as volcanic ash, can be misleading when volcanic ash is
982	present in optically thick umbrella clouds (with strong temperature inversion), and occurs in a
983	humid environment (such as in the tropics), mixed with ice/water clouds, and exhibits a
984	relatively larger size ^{21,26,27} . We try to address some of these challenges by also using tri-spectral
985	channels brightness temperature difference tests (e.g., BTD _{11.2-12.4µm} vs. BTD _{8.6-11.2µm}) for
986	interpreting the phase and optical properties of umbrella's composition other than RGBs. For
987	instance, a strong positive value of $BTD_{8.6-11.2\mu m}$ relative to the $BTD_{11.2-12.24\mu m}$ could indicate the
988	presence of ice clouds. A strong negative value of $BTD_{11.2-12.24\mu m}$ could indicate the presence of
989	ash clouds within certain limitations described above.
990	
990 991	Domain average method—Umbrella Cloud heights:
990 991 992	Domain average method—Umbrella Cloud heights:
990 991 992 993	Domain average method—Umbrella Cloud heights: We take domain average (174.78°W–175.84°W; 21.00°S–20.25°S; magenta box in Figure S1)
990 991 992 993 994	Domain average method—Umbrella Cloud heights: We take domain average (174.78°W–175.84°W; 21.00°S–20.25°S; magenta box in Figure S1) brightness temperature at 11.2µm (BT _{avg}) and convert the BT _{avg} into the height based ERA5
990 991 992 993 994 995	Domain average method—Umbrella Cloud heights: We take domain average (174.78°W–175.84°W; 21.00°S–20.25°S; magenta box in Figure S1) brightness temperature at 11.2µm (BT _{avg}) and convert the BT _{avg} into the height based ERA5 data ⁹ . This method allows us to determine the altitude of the cloud tops associated with volcano
990 991 992 993 994 995 996	Domain average method—Umbrella Cloud heights: We take domain average (174.78°W–175.84°W; 21.00°S–20.25°S; magenta box in Figure S1) brightness temperature at 11.2µm (BT _{avg}) and convert the BT _{avg} into the height based ERA5 data ⁹ . This method allows us to determine the altitude of the cloud tops associated with volcano eruption provided that the averaging domain is devoid of meteorological clouds contamination as
990 991 992 993 994 995 996 997	Domain average method—Umbrella Cloud heights: We take domain average (174.78°W–175.84°W; 21.00°S–20.25°S; magenta box in Figure S1) brightness temperature at 11.2µm (BT _{avg}) and convert the BT _{avg} into the height based ERA5 data ⁹ . This method allows us to determine the altitude of the cloud tops associated with volcano eruption provided that the averaging domain is devoid of meteorological clouds contamination as it can influence the BT _{avg} values.
990 991 992 993 994 995 996 997 998	Domain average method—Umbrella Cloud heights: We take domain average (174.78°W–175.84°W; 21.00°S–20.25°S; magenta box in Figure S1) brightness temperature at 11.2 μ m (BT _{avg}) and convert the BT _{avg} into the height based ERA5 data ⁹ . This method allows us to determine the altitude of the cloud tops associated with volcano eruption provided that the averaging domain is devoid of meteorological clouds contamination as it can influence the BT _{avg} values.
990 991 992 993 994 995 996 997 998 999	Domain average method—Umbrella Cloud heights: We take domain average (174.78°W–175.84°W; 21.00°S–20.25°S; magenta box in Figure S1) brightness temperature at 11.2 μ m (BT _{avg}) and convert the BT _{avg} into the height based ERA5 data ⁹ . This method allows us to determine the altitude of the cloud tops associated with volcano eruption provided that the averaging domain is devoid of meteorological clouds contamination as it can influence the BT _{avg} values.
990 991 992 993 994 995 996 997 998 999 999 1000	Domain average method—Umbrella Cloud heights: We take domain average (174.78°W–175.84°W; 21.00°S–20.25°S; magenta box in Figure S1) brightness temperature at 11.2μm (BT _{avg}) and convert the BT _{avg} into the height based ERA5 data ⁹ . This method allows us to determine the altitude of the cloud tops associated with volcano eruption provided that the averaging domain is devoid of meteorological clouds contamination as it can influence the BT _{avg} values.
990 991 992 993 994 995 996 997 998 999 1000 1001	Domain average method—Umbrella Cloud heights: We take domain average (174.78°W–175.84°W; 21.00°S–20.25°S; magenta box in Figure S1) brightness temperature at 11.2µm (BT _{avg}) and convert the BT _{avg} into the height based ERA5 data ⁹ . This method allows us to determine the altitude of the cloud tops associated with volcano eruption provided that the averaging domain is devoid of meteorological clouds contamination as it can influence the BT _{avg} values. Supplementary Movies S1 to S8
990 991 992 993 994 995 996 997 998 999 1000 1001 1002	Domain average method—Umbrella Cloud heights: We take domain average (174.78°W–175.84°W; 21.00°S–20.25°S; magenta box in Figure S1) brightness temperature at 11.2µm (BT _{avg}) and convert the BT _{avg} into the height based ERA5 data ⁹ . This method allows us to determine the altitude of the cloud tops associated with volcano eruption provided that the averaging domain is devoid of meteorological clouds contamination as it can influence the BT _{avg} values. Supplementary Movies S1 to S8 Movie S1:
 990 991 992 993 994 995 996 997 998 999 1000 1001 1002 1003 	Domain average method—Umbrella Cloud heights: We take domain average (174.78°W–175.84°W; 21.00°S–20.25°S; magenta box in Figure S1) brightness temperature at 11.2μm (BT _{avg}) and convert the BT _{avg} into the height based ERA5 data ⁹ . This method allows us to determine the altitude of the cloud tops associated with volcano eruption provided that the averaging domain is devoid of meteorological clouds contamination as it can influence the BT _{avg} values. Supplementary Movies S1 to S8 Movie S1: The 10-min time-series of brightness temperature at 11.2μm (BT _{11.2μm}) over the HTHH site

1005 January 2022 (Climactic eruption).

1006	Movie S2:
1007	Daytime true color RGB over the HTHH site covering 19-20 December 2021 (initial
1008	eruption),13-14 January 2022 (major eruption), and 15 January 2022 (Climactic eruption).
1009	
1010	Movie S3:
1011	The 10-min time-series of Ash RGB over the HTHH site covering 19-20 December 2021 (initial
1012	eruption), 13-14 January 2022 (major), and 15 January 2022 (Climactic).
1013	
1014	Movie S4:
1015	The brightness temperature difference between $11.2\mu m$ and $12.4\mu m$ over the HTHH site at the
1016	time-interval of 10-min during 19-20 December 2021 (initial eruption),13-14 January 2022
1017	(major), and 15 January 2022 (Climactic).
1018	
1019	Movie S5:
1020	Same as Movie S6 but for brightness temperature difference between $8.6\mu m$ and $11.2\mu m$.
1021	
1022	Movie S6:
1023	Same as Movie S3 but for different dates between 21 December 2021 and 12 January 2022.
1024	
1025	Movie S7:
1026	Left panel: the frequency histogram of $BT_{11.2\mu m}$ estimated for the entire area of Figure 1d for all
1027	values of $BT_{11.2\mu m}$ on 15 January 2022. Right panel: same as above but for $BT_{11.2\mu m} > 270 K$.
1028	
1029	Movie S8:
1030	The extracted umbrella clouds based on histogram and image segmentation techniques (see
1031	Method section) for 15 January 2022 during 04:00-12:50 UTC. The part of lower umbrella cloud
1032	(U_A) is visible at 05:30 UTC as upper umbrella (U_B) moves westward. The red triangle marks the
1033	HTHH vent.
1034	
1035	
1036	

1037 Supplementary Figures





1054	quantities as in panel e. Bottom panels: (g-h) The red line indicates the satellite-derived volcanic
1055	clouds height in km (sometimes contaminated with non-volcanic clouds) based on the $\mathrm{BT}_{\mathrm{avg}}$
1056	value and ERA5 data above. The blue and cyan lines indicate contour U_A and contour U_B
1057	umbrella heights (depicted in panel d) for the eruption on 15 January 2022.
1058 1059	
1060	We applied the average domain technique to find the average brightness temperature (BI_{avg})
1061	associated with pulses of plumes (21-31 Dec 2021) and umbrella clouds (19-20 Dec 2021, 13-14
1062	Jan 2022, 15 Jan 2022). The selected domain surrounding the regions of HTHH's vent is
1063	highlighted by a rectangular box enclosing the HTHH's vent. The magenta rectangular box
1064	covers area (174.78°W–175.84°W; 21.00°S–20.25°S) that encloses the parallax effect. However,
1065	estimating plume/umbrella top temperature using the average domain technique can produce
1066	significant biasing, especially when the eruption activity is weak, and we have an irregular pulse
1067	of plumes. Figure S1e, f shows the large deviation in the BT_{avg} during Dec 21-31, 2021. During
1068	this period, the HTHH eruptions were not so explosive that they could not produce umbrella
1069	clouds. Due to a large deviation in BT_{avg} , the satellite-derived umbrella height also exhibits a
1070	large uncertainty, as evident from Fig. S1g, h.
1071	



Figure S2: Visual imagery of the HTHH explosive eruption on 15 January 2022 at 04:50 UTC.

1077 Different features of volcanic clouds are highlighted.







Figure S4:

Same as Figure S3 but after the climactic eruption on 16 January 2022 at 15:41 UTC.

It shows that the plume altitude reached up to \sim 31 km.



1096 1097

1098Figure S5: Volumetric flow rate (VFR) is estimated for the contour labeled at: (a) 210K (b)1099220K on 19 December 2021 for the initial 150 mins from Himawari-8 observations (Obs); (c)1100220 K and (d) 210 K on 13 January 2022 for the initial 150 mins; (e) $215K < T_{U_B} < 240K$ and1101(f) $215K < T_{U_B} < 240K$ on 15 January 2022 for the initial 50 mins. The mean and uncertainty1102numbers are rounded.

Using other contour levels, umbrella cloud areas over the first 150 minutes of the eruption on 19 Dec 2021 for contour levels at 210 K (Fig. S5a) and 200 K (Fig. S5b) are slightly reduced. The VFR was found to be $3.0 \pm 0.60 \times 10^9 \text{ m}^3\text{s}^{-1}$ at 210 K (Fig. S5a) and $(1.7 \pm 0.15) \times 10^9 \text{ m}^3\text{s}^{-1}$ at 200 K (Fig. S5b), respectively. These slight reductions in VFR values are indicative in the uncertainty in VFR associated with different choices in contour levels and hence the areal coverage.

1111 At d	lifferent contour	levels of 210K	and 220K,	the VFR on	13 Jan	2022 is increased	l by more
-----------	-------------------	----------------	-----------	------------	--------	-------------------	-----------

- 1112 than 50% relative to the contour level of 200K due to larger area coverage by umbrella clouds
- 1113 within the first 150 min (Figure S5c, d).
- 1115 For contour levels between 215 and 230 K, the VFR for U_B is 72% (Figure S5e) higher than the
- 1116 estimated value between 215 and 235 K contour levels (Figure 2c).

-

(a) 19 Dec 2021,22:50Z(b) 13 Jan 2022,19:00Z (c) 15 Jan 2022,04:50Z (d) 15 Jan 2022,08:40Z









Date (19 Dec 2021 – 15 Jan 2022)

Himawari-8 2022/01/15 04:50:00 UTC, True Color RGB

CALIPSO Profile 2022-01-14T14:25:46Z/2022-01-14T14:27:46Z

CALIPSO Profile 2022-01-16T15:41:07Z/2022-01-16T15:43:07Z

(km

nn

P atte

Back

<u>e</u>

б

Time (UTC)

